INDEPENDENT BANK CORP
Form 10-Q
November 04, 2011

## Table of Contents

UNITED STATES<br>SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION<br>WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549<br>FORM 10-Q<br>QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)<br>OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934<br>For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011<br>Commission File Number: 1-9047<br>Independent Bank Corp.<br>(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

| Massachusetts | $04-2870273$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| (State or other jurisdiction of | (I.R.S. Employer |
| incorporation or organization) | Identification No.) |

Office Address: 2036 Washington Street, Hanover Massachusetts 02339
Mailing Address: 288 Union Street, Rockland, Massachusetts 02370
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)
(781) 878-6100
(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes p No o
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T
( $\$ 232.405$ of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes p No o
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer o Accelerated Filer p Non-accelerated Filer o Smaller Reporting
Company o
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b
As of November 1, 2011, there were 21,484,437 shares of the issuer s common stock outstanding, par value $\$ 0.01$ per share.
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## PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

INDEPENDENT BANK CORP. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS<br>(Unaudited Dollars in Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Amounts)



## LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

| DEPOSITS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demand Deposits | \$ | 977,323 | \$ | 842,067 |
| Savings and Interest Checking Accounts |  | 1,424,060 |  | 1,375,254 |
| Money Market |  | 744,682 |  | 717,286 |
| Time Certificates of Deposit Over \$100,000 |  | 226,464 |  | 219,480 |
| Other Time Certificates of Deposits |  | 415,004 |  | 473,696 |
| TOTAL DEPOSITS |  | 3,787,533 |  | 3,627,783 |
| BORROWINGS |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Borrowings |  | 257,873 |  | 302,414 |
| Federal Funds Purchased and Assets Sold Under Repurchase Agreements |  | 216,331 |  | 168,119 |
| Junior Subordinated Debentures |  | 61,857 |  | 61,857 |
| Subordinated Debentures |  | 30,000 |  | 30,000 |
| Other Borrowings |  | 2,203 |  | 3,044 |
| TOTAL BORROWINGS |  | 568,264 |  | 565,434 |
| OTHER LIABILITIES |  | 82,903 |  | 66,049 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES |  | 4,438,700 |  | 4,259,266 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES |  |  |  |  |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred Stock, $\$ .01$ par value. Authorized: 1,000,000 Shares Outstanding: None |  |  |  |  |
| Common Stock, \$. 01 par value. Authorized: 75,000,000 Issued and |  |  |  |  |
| Outstanding : 21,465,109 shares at September 30, 2011 and 21,220,801 shares at December 31, 2010 (Includes 235,540 and 219,900 shares of unvested fully participating restricted stock awards, respectively) |  | 212 |  | 210 |
| Shares Held in Rabbi Trust at Cost 178,986 shares in September 30, 2011 and 178,382 shares at December 31, 2010 |  | $(2,884)$ |  | $(2,738)$ |
| Deferred Compensation Obligation |  | 2,884 |  | 2,738 |
| Additional Paid in Capital |  | 232,845 |  | 226,708 |
| Retained Earnings |  | 232,369 |  | 210,320 |
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Tax |  | $(4,360)$ |  | (766) |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY |  | 461,066 |  | 436,472 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | \$ | 4,899,766 | \$ | 4,695,738 |

The accompanying condensed notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## INDEPENDENT BANK CORP.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Unaudited Dollars in Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data)

|  |  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |
| INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on Loans | \$ | 43,763 | \$ | 44,436 | \$ | 130,917 | \$ | 133,267 |
| Interest on Loans Held for Sale |  | 116 |  | 174 |  | 305 |  | 390 |
| Taxable Interest and Dividends on Securities |  | 4,929 |  | 5,679 |  | 15,779 |  | 18,277 |
| Non-taxable Interest and Dividends on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities |  | 78 |  | 164 |  | 286 |  | 553 |
| Interest on Federal Funds Sold |  | 49 |  | 135 |  | 80 |  | 267 |
| TOTAL INTEREST AND DIVIDEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INCOME |  | 48,935 |  | 50,588 |  | 147,367 |  | 152,754 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on Deposits |  | 3,419 |  | 4,801 |  | 10,448 |  | 16,225 |
| Interest on Borrowings |  | 3,842 |  | 4,590 |  | 11,696 |  | 13,955 |
| TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE |  | 7,261 |  | 9,391 |  | 22,144 |  | 30,180 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME |  | 41,674 |  | 41,197 |  | 125,223 |  | 122,574 |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES |  | 2,000 |  | 3,500 |  | 7,682 |  | 15,081 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES |  | 39,674 |  | 37,697 |  | 117,541 |  | 107,493 |
| NON-INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Charges on Deposit Accounts |  | 4,223 |  | 3,178 |  | 12,374 |  | 9,566 |
| Interchange and ATM Fees |  | 2,005 |  | 1,263 |  | 5,681 |  | 3,611 |
| Investment Management |  | 3,491 |  | 2,851 |  | 10,310 |  | 8,768 |
| Mortgage Banking Income, Net |  | 907 |  | 1,469 |  | 2,637 |  | 3,091 |
| Bank Owned Life Insurance Income |  | 757 |  | 901 |  | 2,323 |  | 2,353 |
| Net Gain(Loss) on Sales of Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available for Sale |  |  |  | (22) |  | 723 |  | 458 |
| Gross Change on Write-Down of Certain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments to Fair Value |  | (318) |  | 207 |  | 101 |  | 325 |
| Less: Portion of Other-Than-Temporary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impairment Losses Recognized in Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Income |  | 290 |  | (214) |  | (305) |  | (594) |
| Net Loss on Write-Down of Certain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments to Fair Value |  | (28) |  | (7) |  | (204) |  | (269) |
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| Other Non-Interest Income |  | 960 |  | 2,021 |  | 4,542 |  | 5,065 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL NON-INTEREST INCOME |  | 12,315 |  | 11,654 |  | 38,386 |  | 32,643 |
| NON-INTEREST EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and Employee Benefits |  | 20,568 |  | 19,792 |  | 60,582 |  | 56,662 |
| Occupancy and Equipment Expenses |  | 4,107 |  | 3,839 |  | 12,946 |  | 12,068 |
| Data Processing and Facilities Management |  | 1,152 |  | 1,404 |  | 3,828 |  | 4,195 |
| Advertising Expense |  | 703 |  | 469 |  | 3,247 |  | 1,699 |
| FDIC Assessment |  | 691 |  | 1,352 |  | 2,760 |  | 3,944 |
| Consulting Expense |  | 685 |  | 803 |  | 1,715 |  | 1,600 |
| OREO Valuation Write-Off |  | 656 |  | 189 |  | 1,461 |  | 272 |
| Legal |  | 580 |  | 720 |  | 1,647 |  | 2,575 |
| Telephone |  | 522 |  | 513 |  | 1,584 |  | 1,591 |
| Other Non-Interest Expense |  | 5,759 |  | 5,459 |  | 18,990 |  | 18,452 |
| TOTAL NON-INTEREST EXPENSE |  | 35,423 |  | 34,540 |  | 108,760 |  | 103,058 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES |  | 16,566 |  | 14,811 |  | 47,167 |  | 37,078 |
| PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES |  | 4,607 |  | 3,666 |  | 12,900 |  | 8,676 |
| NET INCOME | \$ | 11,959 | \$ | 11,145 | \$ | 34,267 | \$ | 28,402 |
| BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE | \$ | 0.56 | \$ | 0.53 | \$ | 1.60 | \$ | 1.35 |
| DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE | \$ | 0.56 | \$ | 0.53 | \$ | 1.60 | \$ | 1.35 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SHARES (BASIC) |  | 21,463,714 |  | 20,981,372 |  | 21,401,885 |  | 20,961,378 |
| Common Share Equivalents |  | 13,077 |  | 52,793 |  | 32,452 |  | 74,536 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SHARES (DILUTED) |  | 21,476,791 |  | 21,034,165 |  | 21,434,337 |  | 21,035,914 |

The accompanying condensed notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(Unaudited Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

|  | Value of <br> Shares <br> Held in <br> Rabbi | Deferred Additional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

BALANCEDECEMBER 31,2010
21,220,801 ..... \$ 210
\$ $(2,738)$ 2,738 \$ \$22 ,708 ..... \$
\$210 320 ..... \$
(766) \$ 436,472
COMPREHENSIVE
INCOME:
Net Income ..... 34,267 ..... 34,267
Change in UnrealizedGain on Securities
Available For Sale,
Net of Tax and
RealizedGains/(Losses)228
Change in Fair Valueof Cash Flow Hedges,Net of Tax and
Realized
Gains/(Losses)$(4,152)$Amortization of Prior
Service Cost, net of
tax330
Other Comprehensive
Loss$(3,594)$$(3,594)$
TOTAL
COMPREHENSIVE
INCOME ..... 30,673
COMMON
DIVIDEND
DECLARED (\$0.57
PER SHARE)$(12,218)$$(12,218)$
PROCEEDS FROM
EXERCISE OF
STOCK OPTIONS 164,100 2 ..... 3,711 ..... 3,713
TAX BENEFIT
RELATED TOEQUITY AWARDACTIVITY251251
EQUITY BASEDCOMPENSATION1,9171,917
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| RESTRICTED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STOCK AWARDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRANTED, NET OF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AWARDS | 60,495 |  |  |  |  |  | (361) |  |  |  | (361) |
| SHARES ISSUED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UNDER DIRECT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STOCK PURCHASE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLAN | 19,713 |  |  |  |  |  | 540 |  |  |  | 540 |
| DEFERRED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMPENSATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OBLIGATION |  |  |  | (146) |  | 146 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAX BENEFIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RELATED TO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEFERRED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMPENSATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRIBUTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 |  |  |  | 79 |
| BALANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEPTEMBER 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21,465,109 |  |  | $(2,884)$ |  |  | \$ 232,845 |  |  |  | \$61,066 |
| BALANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DECEMBER 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 21,072,196 | \$ 209 | \$ | $(2,482)$ | \$ | 2,482 | \$ 225,088 | \$ 184,599 | \$ | 2,753 | \$ 412,649 |
| COMPREHENSIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INCOME: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28,402 |  |  | 28,402 |
| Change in Unrealized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gain on Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available For Sale, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net of Tax and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Realized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gains/(Losses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,013 |  |
| Change in Fair Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of Cash Flow Hedges, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net of Tax and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Realized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gains/(Losses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(8,590)$ |  |
| Amortization of Prior |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost, net of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |  |
| Other Comprehensive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loss |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(5,507)$ | $(5,507)$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMPREHENSIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22,895 |
| DIVIDENDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DECLARED: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(11,443)$ |  |  | $(11,443)$ |



The accompanying condensed notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements
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# INDEPENDENT BANK CORP. <br> CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS <br> (Unaudited Dollars In Thousands) 

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income | \$ | 34,267 | \$ | 28,402 |
| ADJUSTMENTS TO RECONCILE NET INCOME TO NET CASH PROVIDED |  |  |  |  |
| BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and Amortization |  | 7,178 |  | 7,109 |
| Provision for Loan Losses |  | 7,682 |  | 15,081 |
| Deferred Income Tax Benefit |  | (32) |  | (5) |
| Net Gain on Sale of Investments |  | (723) |  | (458) |
| Loss on Write-Dow n of Investments in Securities Available for Sale |  | 204 |  | 269 |
| Loss on Sale of Fixed Assets |  | 302 |  | 280 |
| Loss on Sale of Other Real Estate Ow ned and Foreclosed Assets |  | 1,308 |  | 74 |
| Realized Gain on Sale Leaseback Transaction |  | (775) |  | (775) |
| Stock Based Compensation |  | 1,917 |  | 1,206 |
| Increase in Cash Surrender Value of Bank Ow ned Life Insurance |  | $(2,308)$ |  | $(2,353)$ |
| Change in Fair Value on Loans Held for Sale |  | (929) |  | (227) |
| Net Change In: |  |  |  |  |
| Trading Assets |  | (387) |  | $(1,247)$ |
| Loans Held for Sale |  | 6,690 |  | $(7,628)$ |
| Other Assets |  | $(29,778)$ |  | $(24,154)$ |
| Other Liabilities |  | 11,075 |  | 25,847 |
| TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS |  | 1,424 |  | 13,019 |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  | 35,691 |  | 41,421 |
| CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from Sales of Securities Available For Sale |  | 14,639 |  | 6,423 |
| Proceeds from Maturities and Principal Repayments of Securities Available For |  |  |  |  |
| Sale |  | 80,416 |  | 116,142 |
| Purchase of Securities Available For Sale |  | $(10,072)$ |  | $(46,349)$ |
| Proceeds from Maturities and Principal Repayments of Securities Held to Maturity |  | 27,442 |  | 14,501 |
| Purchase of Securities Held to Maturity |  | $(45,946)$ |  | $(101,927)$ |
| Purchase of Bank Ow ned Life Insurance |  | (220) |  | (219) |
| Net Increase in Loans |  | $(181,160)$ |  | $(35,452)$ |
| Cash Used In Business Combinations |  | (457) |  | (269) |
| Purchase of Bank Premises and Equipment |  | $(5,933)$ |  | $(5,142)$ |
| Proceeds from the Sale of Bank Premises and Equipment |  |  |  | 37 |
| Proceeds from the Sale of Other Real Estate Ow ned and Foreclosed Assets |  | 3,919 |  | 4,834 |
| NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  | $(117,372)$ |  | $(47,421)$ |
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| CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Decrease in Time Deposits | $(51,708)$ | $(151,478)$ |
| Net Increase in Other Deposits | 211,458 | 393,342 |
| Net Increase(Decrease) in Federal Funds Purchased and Assets Sold Under |  |  |
| Repurchase Agreements | 48,212 | $(10,126)$ |
| Net Increase(Decrease) in Short Term Federal Home Loan Bank Advances |  | $(60,002)$ |
| Net Decrease in Long Term Federal Home Loan Bank Advances | $(44,144)$ |  |
| Net (Decrease)Increase in Treasury Tax \& Loan Notes | (841) | 549 |
| Proceeds from Exercise of Stock Options | 3,713 | 393 |
| Tax Benefit from Stock Option Exercises | 251 | 70 |
| Restricted Shares Surrendered | (361) | (109) |
| Tax Benefit from Deferred Compensation Distribution | 79 |  |
| Shares Issued Under Direct Stock Purchase Plan | 540 |  |
| Common Dividends Paid | $(11,960)$ | $(11,419)$ |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 155,239 | 161,220 |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 73,558 | 155,220 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR | 161,282 | 121,905 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD | \$ 234,840 | \$ 277,125 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING |  |  |
| Transfer of Loans to Foreclosed Assets | \$ 5,691 | \$ 9,925 |
| The accompanying condensed notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements. |  |  |
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## CONDENSED NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Independent Bank Corp. (the Company ) is a state chartered, federally registered bank holding company, incorporated in 1985. The Company is the sole stockholder of Rockland Trust Company ( Rockland Trust or the Bank ), a Massachusetts trust company chartered in 1907.
In the first quarter of 2011, Goddard Avenue Securities Corp. a Massachusetts security corporation, was formed as a wholly owned subsidiary of Rockland Trust to hold securities and other qualifying assets. In the second quarter of 2011, Rockland Trust established Rockland MHEF Fund LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Rockland Trust. Massachusetts Housing Equity Fund, Inc. is the third party non-member manager of Rockland MHEF Fund LLC which was established in connection with a low-income housing tax credit investment. There have been no other changes to the structure of the Company subsequent to December 31, 2010.

All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain previously reported amounts may have been reclassified to conform to the current year s presentation.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements, primarily consisting of normal recurring adjustments, have been included. Operating results for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011 or any other interim period.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
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## NOTE 2 RECENT ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) Topic No. 715 Compensation Retirement Benefits Multiemployer Plans Update No. 2011-09. Issued in September 2011, this update requires additional separate quantitative and qualitative disclosures for multiemployer pension plans and multiemployer other postretirement benefit plans. The amended disclosures provide users with more detailed information about an employer s involvement in multiemployer pension plans. The amendments in this update are effective for annual periods for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The amendments should be applied retrospectively for all prior periods presented. The Company does not anticipate the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial position.

FASB ASC Topic No. 350 Intangibles Goodwill and Other Update No. 2011-08. Issued in September 2011, this update gives an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the two-step impairment test. Additionally, under the amendments in this update, an entity has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to performing the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not anticipate the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial position.

FASB ASC Topic No. 220 Comprehensive Income Update No. 2011-05. Issued in June 2011, this update provides amendments to Topic No. 220, Comprehensive Income, which states that an entity has the option to present total comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. The entity is no longer permitted to present the components of other comprehensive income within the statement of stockholders equity. Furthermore, entities must present separately on the income statement, reclassification adjustments between other comprehensive income and net income. The amendments in this update should be applied retrospectively and are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not anticipate the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial position. Subsequent to issuing this update, the FASB has proposed deferring the new requirement to present reclassifications of other comprehensive income on the income statement. All other elements of the update would remain effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011.
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FASB ASC Topic No. 820 Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Report Standards ( IFRS ) Update No. 2011-04. Issued in May 2011, the amendments in this update result in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The amendments change the wording used to describe many of the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. This update does require additional disclosures pertaining to transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 investments, sensitivity analysis on Level 3 investments, and additional categorization of disclosed fair value amounts. The amendments in this update are to be applied prospectively and are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application is not permitted. The Company does not anticipate the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial position.

FASB ASC Topic No. 860, Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements Update No. 2011-03. Issued in April 2011, the amendments in this update remove, from the assessment of effective control, the criterion relating to the transferor $s$ ability to repurchase or redeem financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee. The amendments in this update also eliminate the requirement to demonstrate that the transferor possesses adequate collateral to fund substantially all of the cost of purchasing replacement financial assets. The amendments in this update are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011 and should be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company does not anticipate the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial position.

FASB ASC Topic No. 310, A Creditor s Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring Update No. 2011-02. Issued in April 2011, this update provides guidance and clarification to help creditors in determining whether a creditor has granted a concession and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties for purposes of determining whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. In addition, the previously deferred disclosure requirements originally included in Update No. 2010-20 are effective upon adoption of this standard. The amendments in this update were effective the quarter ended September 30, 2011 and did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial position.
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## NOTE 3 SECURITIES

The following table presents a summary of the amortized cost, gross unrealized holding gains and losses, other-than-temporary impairment recorded in other comprehensive income and fair value of securities available for sale and securities held to maturity for the periods below:

September 30, 2011
Gross Unrealizether-Than-
AmortizedUnrealized Losses Temporary Fair
Cost Gains Other Impairment Value
(Dollars
In Thousands)

December 31, 2010
Gross Unrealizether-Than-
AmortizedUnrealized Losses Temporary Fair
Cost Gains Other Impairment Value (Dollars

In
Thousands)

Available for Sale
Securities
U.S. Treasury

|  | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | 715 | $\$$ | 2 | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Agency
Mortgage-Backed
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Securities } & 226,216 & 16,853 & \text { (2) } & 243,067 & 296,821 & 16,481 & 313,302\end{array}$
Agency
Collateralized
Mortgage
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Obligations } & 35,550 & 607 & \text { (2) } & 36,155 & 45,426 & 779 & \text { (70) } & \text { 46,135 }\end{array}$
Private
Mortgage-Backed
Securities 6,892 (67) 6,825 10,408 (154) 10,254

Single Issuer Trust
Preferred
Securities Issued

| by Banks | 5,000 | (862) | 4,138 | 5,000 | (779) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Pooled Trust
Preferred
Securities Issued
by Banks and

|  | Insurers | 8,517 | $(2,433)$ | $(3,196)$ | 2,888 | 8,550 | $(2,309)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(3,413)$ | 2,828 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total Available
for Sale Securities $\$ 282,175 \$ 17,460$ \$ $(3,299) \$(3,263) \$ 293,073 \quad \$ 366,920 \quad \$ 17,262 \quad \$(3,158) \$(3,567) \$ 377,457$
Held to Maturity
Securities
U.S. Treasury
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { Securities } & \$ & 1,014 & \$ & 96 & \$ & \$ & \$ & 1,110 & \$ & \$ & \$\end{array}$
Agency
Mortgage-Backed

| Securities | 122,264 | 4,809 | 127,073 | 95,697 | 1,348 | $(1,778)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Agency | 81,191 | 3,333 | 84,524 | 89,823 | 600 | $(1,691)$ |

Collateralized
Mortgage

Obligations
State, County, and Municipal

| Securities <br> Single Issuer Trust <br> Preferred | 4,450 | 50 | 4,500 | 10,562 | 167 | 10,729 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Securities Issued <br> by Banks | 6,623 | 21 | $(171)$ | 6,473 | 6,650 | 19 | $(163)$ |

Total Held to
Maturity
Securities
\$220,552 \$ 8,374 \$ (171) \$
\$228,755 \$ 202,732 \$ 2,134 \$ $(3,632)$ \$
\$ 201,234

TOTAL $\$ 502,727 \$ 25,834 \$(3,470) \$(3,263) \$ 521,828 \quad \$ 569,652 \$ 19,396 \$(6,790) \$(3,567) \$ 578,691$
When securities are sold, the adjusted cost of the specific security sold is used to compute the gain or loss on the sale. The following table shows the gross gains and losses on available for sale securities for the periods indicated:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Three Months Ended } \\ & \text { September 30, } \\ & 2011 \quad 2010 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, <br> 2011 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  | (Dollars in <br> Thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Gains on Available for Sale Securities | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | 723 | \$ |  | 80 |
| Gross Losses on Available for Sale Securities |  |  | (22) |  |  |  |  | 22) |
| NET GAINS (LOSSES) ON AVAILABLE FOR SALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SECURITIES | \$ | \$ | (22) |  | 723 | \$ |  | 58 |

The actual maturities of certain securities may differ from the contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. A schedule of the contractual maturities of securities available for sale and securities held to maturity is presented below:
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|  | Available for Sale |  | Held to Maturity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost (Dollars |  | Amortized Cost (Dollars |  |
| Due in One Year or Less | \$ | \$ | \$ 720 | \$ 724 |
| Due from One Year to Five Years | 2,995 | 3,151 | 8,095 | 8,204 |
| Due from Five to Ten Years | 64,263 | 68,414 | 3,051 | 3,268 |
| Due after Ten Years | 214,917 | 221,508 | 208,686 | 216,559 |
| TOTAL | \$ 282,175 | \$ 293,073 | \$ 220,552 | \$ 228,755 |

Inclusive in the table above is $\$ 13.2$ million and $\$ 24.3$ million, respectively, of callable securities in the Company s investment portfolio at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 investment securities carried at $\$ 352.5$ million and $\$ 350.3$ million, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits, assets sold under repurchase agreements, treasury tax and loan notes, letters of credit, and for other purposes.

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had no investments in obligations of individual states, counties, or municipalities, which exceeded $10 \%$ of stockholders equity.

## Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

The Company continually reviews investment securities for the existence of other-than-temporary impairment ( OTTI ), taking into consideration current market conditions, the extent and nature of changes in fair value, issuer rating changes and trends, the credit worthiness of the obligor of the security, volatility of earnings, current analysts evaluations, the Company s intent to sell the security or whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery, as well as other qualitative factors. The term other-than-temporary is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent, but indicates that the prospects for a near-term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the carrying value of the investment.

The following tables show the gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company s investments in an unrealized loss position, which the Company has not deemed to be OTTI, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position:


December 31, 2010

| Description of Securities | \# of holdings | Less than 12 months |  | 12 months or longer |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized |
|  |  | Value | Losses | Value | Losses | Value | Losses |
|  |  |  |  |  | lars In sands) |  |  |

Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities
Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations
Single Issuer Trust
Preferred Securities Issued by Banks and Insurers Pooled Trust Preferred Securities Issued by Banks and Insurers

TOTAL TEMPORARILY
IMPAIRED SECURITIES 14 \$ $126,537 \quad \$(3,702) \quad \$ 6,585 \quad \$(3,088) \quad \$ 133,122 \quad \$(6,790)$
The Company does not intend to sell these investments and has determined based upon available evidence that it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the security before the recovery of its amortized cost basis. As a result, the Company does not consider these investments to be OTTI. The Company made this determination by reviewing various qualitative and quantitative factors regarding each investment category, such as current market conditions, extent and nature of changes in fair value, issuer rating changes and trends, volatility of
earnings, and current analysts evaluations.
As a result of the Company s review of these qualitative and quantitative factors, the causes of the impairments listed in the table above by category are as follows at September 30, 2011:

Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities and Collateralized Mortgage Obligations: This portfolio has contractual terms that generally do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost of the investment. The decline in market value of these securities is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality. Additionally, these securities are implicitly guaranteed by the U.S. Government or one of its agencies.
Single Issuer Trust Preferred Securities: This portfolio consists of two securities, both of which are below investment grade. The unrealized loss on these securities is attributable to the illiquid nature of the trust preferred market in the current economic environment. Management evaluates various financial metrics for each of the issuers, including capitalization rates.
Pooled Trust Preferred Securities: This portfolio consists of two below investment grade securities of which one is performing while the other is deferring payments as contractually allowed. The unrealized loss on these securities is attributable to the illiquid nature of the trust preferred market and the significant risk premiums required in the current economic environment.
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Management evaluates collateral credit and instrument structure, including current and expected deferral and default rates and timing. In addition, discount rates are determined by evaluating comparable spreads observed currently in the market for similar instruments.
Management monitors the following issuances closely for impairment due to the history of OTTI losses recorded within these classes of securities. Management has determined that the securities possess characteristics which in the current economic environment could lead to further OTTI charges. The following tables summarize pertinent information as of September 30, 2011, that was considered by management in determining if OTTI existed:


TOTAL PRIVATE
MORTGAGE-BACKED


TOTAL
\$ 15,409 \$
$(2,433)$
\$ $(3,263)$
\$ 9,713
$(10,732)$ \$
$(13,995)$
(1) The amortized cost reflects previously recorded OTTI charges recognized in earnings for the applicable securities.
$\left.\begin{array}{lclllll} & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Excess } \\ \text { Subordination }\end{array} \\ \text { (After }\end{array}\right]$

## Pooled Trust Preferred Securities

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trust Preferred Security A | C1 | 57 | $36.96 \%$ | $22.19 \%$ | C <br> (Fitch <br> $\&$ |
| Trust Preferred Security B |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crust Preferred Security F | B | 33 | $28.14 \%$ | $23.52 \%$ | $24.56 \%$ | CC <br> (Fitch) <br> CCC+ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trust Preferred Security G | A1 | 33 | $28.14 \%$ | $23.52 \%$ | $48.68 \%$ | (S\&P) |

## Private Mortgage-Backed Securities

| Crivate Mortgage-Backed Securities | One | 2 A 1 | N/A | $4.14 \%$ | $12.63 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Private Mortgage-Backed Securities | Two | A19 | N/A | $2.39 \%$ | $6.12 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| (Fitch) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Moody |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) Excess subordination represents the additional default/losses in excess of both current and projected defaults/losses that the security can absorb before the security experiences any credit impairment.
(2) The Company reviewed credit ratings provided by $S \& P$, Moody $s$ and Fitch in its evaluation of issuers.

Per review of the factors outlined above, seven of the securities shown in the table above were deemed to be OTTI.
The remaining securities were not deemed to be OTTI as the Company does not intend to sell these investments and has determined, based upon available
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evidence, that it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the securities before the recovery of their amortized cost basis.

During 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded OTTI credit losses on certain securities. The following table shows the total OTTI that the Company recorded for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars | ou | nds) | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  |
| Total OTTI Gains (Losses) | \$ (318) | \$ | 207 | \$ | 101 | \$ | 325 |
| Less: Non-credit Related OTTI Gains (Losses) Recognized in OCI | (290) |  | 214 |  | 305 |  | 594 |
| CREDIT RELATED OTTI LOSSES | \$ (28) | \$ | (7) | \$ | (204) |  | (269) |

The following table shows the cumulative credit related component of OTTI for the periods indicated:

Balance at Beginning of Period
Add:
Incurred on Securities not Previously Impaired Incurred on Securities Previously Impaired Less:
Realized Gain/Loss on Sale of Securities
Reclassification Due to Changes in Company s
Intent
Increases in Cash Flow Expected to be
Collected

BALANCE AT END OF PERIOD
(7)
(28)
For the Three Months
Ended
September 30,
$2011 \quad 2010$
(Dollars in Thousands)
$\$(10,704) \quad \$(10,456)$ Impairment

S
011

Credit Related Component of Other-Than-Temporary
For the Three Months Impairment For the Nine Months

For the Nine Months
Ended
September 30, 2011

2010
(Dollars in Thousands)
$\$(10,528) \quad \$(10,194)$
(204)
$\$(10,732) \quad \$(10,463) \quad \$(10,732) \quad \$(10,463)$
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## NOTE 4 LOANS, ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES AND CREDIT QUALITY

The following table summarizes changes in the allowance for loan losses by loan category and bifurcates the amount of allowance allocated to each loan category based on collective impairment analysis and loans evaluated individually for impairment:

As of September 30, 2011
(Dollars in Thousands)

| CommercialCommercial | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and | Real | Commercial | Small | Real | Consumer Consumer <br> Home |  |
| Industrial | Estate | ConstructionBusiness | Estate | Equity | Other | Total |

Allowance for
Loan Losses:
Beginning

| Balance | $\$ 10,423$ | $\$$ | 21,939 | $\$$ | 2,145 | $\$$ | 3,740 | $\$$ | 2,915 | $\$$ | 3,369 | $\$ 1,724$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charge-offs | $(2,455)$ | $(1,386)$ | $(769)$ | $(970)$ | $(490)$ | $(912)$ | $(1,261)$ | $(8,243)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoveries | 348 | 98 | 500 | 72 |  |  | 30 | 536 | 1,584 |  |  |  |  |
| Provision | 3,286 | 2,334 | 15 | $(845)$ | 756 | 1,629 | 507 | 7,682 |  |  |  |  |  |

Ending
Balance $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll} & \$ 11,602 & \$ & 22,985 & \$ & 1,891 & \$ & 1,997 & \$ & 3,181 & \$ & 4,116 & \$ \\ 1,506 & \$ & 47,278\end{array}$

Ending
Balance:
individually
evaluated for


Ending
Balance:
collectively
evaluated for
impairment $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll} & \$ 11,044 & \$ & 22,679 & \$ & 1,891 & \$ & 1,698 & \$ & 1,923 & \$ & 4,092 & \$ 1,266\end{array} \$ 44,593$

Financing
Receivables:
Ending
Balance: total
loans by group $\$ 567,552 \$ 1,815,063 \$ 119,309 \quad \$ 77,230 \quad \$ 447,906 \quad \$ 648,475 \quad \$ 47,590 \quad \$ 3,723,125(1)$
Ending
Balance:
individually
evaluated for
impairment $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll} & \$ & 2,956 & \$ & 32,611 & \$ & 551 & \$ 3,025 & \$ & 12,699 & \$ & 474 & \$ & 2,146\end{array} \mathbf{\$} \quad 54,462$

Ending
Balance:
collectively
evaluated for impairment $\$ 564,596$ \$ 1,782,452 $\$ 118,758$ \$74,205 $\$ 435,207$ \$ 648,001 $\$ 45,444 \quad \$ 3,668,663$

As of December 31, 2010
(Dollars in Thousands)

| CommercialCommercial | Residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and | Real | Commercial | Small | Real | Consumer Consumer <br> Home |  |
| Industrial | Estate | ConstructionBusiness | Estate | Equity | Other | Total |

## Allowance for

Loan Losses:
Beginning

| Balance | $\$ 7,545$ | $\$$ | 19,451 | $\$$ | 2,457 | $\$$ | 3,372 | $\$$ | 2,840 | $\$$ | 3,945 | $\$$ | 2,751 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Ending
Balance $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll} & \$ 10,423 & \$ & 21,939 & \$ & 2,145 & \$ & 3,740 & \$ & 2,915 & \$ & 3,369 & \$ \\ 1,724 & \$ & 46,255\end{array}$
Ending
Balance:
individually
evaluated for
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}\text { impairment } & \$ & 511 & \$ & 411 & \$ & 151 & \$ & 221 & \$ & 991 & \$ & 17 & \$ & 245 & \$\end{array}$

Ending
Balance:
collectively
evaluated for
impairment $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll} & \$ & 9,912 & \$ & 21,528 & \$ & 1,994 & \$ & 3,519 & \$ & 1,924 & \$ & 3,352 & \$ 1,479\end{array} \$$

Financing
Receivables:
Ending
Balance: total
loans by group $\$ 502,952 \$ 1,717,118$ \$ 129,421 $\$ 80,026$ \$ 478,111 $\$ 579,278$ \$ 68,773 $\$ 3,555,679(1)$
Ending
Balance:
individually
evaluated for
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { impairment } & \$ & 3,823 & \$ & 26,665 & \$ & 1,999 & \$ & 2,494 & \$ & 9,963 & \$ & 428 & \$ & 2,014\end{array}$

Ending
Balance:
collectively
evaluated for
impairment $\$ 499,129$ \$ 1,690,453 $\$ 127,422$ \$77,532 $\$ 468,148$ \$ 578,850 $\$ 66,759 \quad \$ 3,508,293$
(1) The amount of deferred fees included in the ending balance was $\$ 2.8$ million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.
For the purpose of estimating the allowance for loan losses, management segregates the loan portfolio into the portfolio segments detailed in the above tables. Each of these loan categories possesses unique risk characteristics that are considered when determining the appropriate level of allowance for each segment. Some of the risk characteristics unique to each loan category include:
Commercial Portfolio:
Commercial \& Industrial Loans in this category consist of revolving and term loan obligations extended to business and corporate enterprises for the purpose of financing working capital and/or capital investment. Collateral generally consists of pledges of business assets including, but not limited to: accounts receivable, inventory, plant \& equipment, or real estate, if applicable. Repayment sources consist of: primarily, operating cash flow, and secondarily, liquidation of assets.
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Real Estate Commercial Loans in this category consist of mortgage loans to finance investment in real property such as multi-family residential, commercial/retail, office, industrial, hotels, educational and healthcare facilities and other specific use properties. Loans are typically written with amortizing payment structures. Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations. Loan to value ratios at origination are governed by established policy and regulatory guidelines. Repayment sources consist of: primarily, cash flow from operating leases and rents, and secondarily, liquidation of assets.
Commercial Real Estate Construction Loans in this category consist of short-term construction loans, revolving and non-revolving credit lines and construction/permanent loans to finance the acquisition, development and construction or rehabilitation of real property. Project types include: residential 1-4 family condominium and multi-family homes, commercial/retail, office, industrial, hotels, educational and healthcare facilities and other specific use properties. Loans may be written with non-amortizing or hybrid payment structures depending upon the type of project.
Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations. Loan to value ratios at origination are governed by established policy and regulatory guidelines. Repayment sources vary depending upon the type of project and may consist of: sale or lease of units, operating cash flows or liquidation of other assets.
Small Business Loans in this category consist of revolving, term loan and mortgage obligations extended to sole proprietors and small businesses for purposes of financing working capital and/or capital investment. Collateral generally consists of pledges of business assets including, but not limited to: accounts receivable, inventory, plant \& equipment, or real estate (if applicable). Repayment sources consist of: primarily, operating cash flows, and secondarily, liquidation of assets.

For the commercial portfolio it is the Bank s policy to obtain personal guaranties for payment from individuals holding material ownership interests of the borrowing entities.

## Consumer Portfolio:

Consumer Real Estate Residential Residential mortgage loans held in the Bank sportfolio are made to borrowers who demonstrate the ability to make scheduled payments with full consideration to underwriting factors such as current and expected income, employment status, current assets, other financial resources, credit history and the value of the collateral. Collateral consists of mortgage liens on 1-4 family residential properties. The Company does not originate sub-prime loans.
Consumer Home Equity Home equity loans and lines are made to qualified individuals for legitimate purposes secured by senior or junior mortgage liens on owner-occupied 1-4 family homes, condominiums or vacation homes or on non-owner occupied 1-4 family homes with more restrictive loan to value requirements. Borrower qualifications include favorable credit history combined with supportive income requirements and combined loan to value ratios within established policy guidelines.
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Consumer Other Other consumer loan products including personal lines of credit and amortizing loans made to qualified individuals for various purposes such as education, auto loans, debt consolidation, personal expenses or overdraft protection. Borrower qualifications include favorable credit history combined with supportive income and collateral requirements within established policy guidelines. Consumer Other loans may be secured or unsecured. Auto loans collateral consists of liens on motor vehicles.
Credit Quality
The Company continually monitors the asset quality of the loan portfolio using all available information. Based on this information, loans demonstrating certain payment issues or other weaknesses may be categorized as delinquent, impaired, nonperforming and/or put on nonaccrual status. Additionally, in the course of resolving such loans, the Company may choose to restructure the contractual terms of certain loans to match the borrower s ability to repay the loan based on their current financial condition. If a restructured loan meets certain criteria, it may be categorized as a troubled debt restructuring ( TDR ). The Company reviews numerous credit quality indicators when assessing the risk in its loan portfolio.

For the commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, commercial construction and small business portfolios, the Company utilizes a 10 -point commercial risk-rating system, which assigns a risk-grade to each borrower based on a number of quantitative and qualitative factors associated with a commercial loan transaction. Factors considered include industry and market conditions, position within the industry, earnings trends, operating cash flow, asset/liability values, debt capacity, guarantor strength, management and controls, financial reporting, collateral, and other considerations. The risk-ratings categories are defined as follows:

## 1-6 Rating Pass

Risk-rating grades 1 through 6 comprise those loans ranging from Substantially Risk Free which indicates borrowers are of unquestioned credit standing and the pinnacle of credit quality, well established companies with a very strong financial condition, and loans fully secured by cash collateral, through Acceptable Risk , which indicates borrowers may exhibit declining earnings, strained cash flow, increasing leverage and/or weakening market fundamentals that indicate above average or below average asset quality, margins and market share. Collateral coverage is protective.

## 7 Rating Potential Weakness

Borrowers exhibit potential credit weaknesses or downward trends deserving management s close attention. If not checked or corrected, these trends will weaken the Bank s asset and position. While potentially weak, these borrowers are currently marginally acceptable; no loss of principal or interest is envisioned.
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## 8 Rating Definite Weakness Loss Unlikely

Borrowers exhibit well defined weaknesses that jeopardize the orderly liquidation of debt. Loan may be inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or by the collateral pledged, if any. Normal repayment from the borrower is in jeopardy, although no loss of principal is envisioned. However, there is a distinct possibility that a partial loss of interest and/or principal will occur if the deficiencies are not corrected. Collateral coverage may be inadequate to cover the principal obligation.

## 9 Rating Partial Loss Probable

Borrowers exhibit well defined weaknesses that jeopardize the orderly liquidation of debt with the added provision that the weaknesses make collection of the debt in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. Serious problems exist to the point where partial loss of principal is likely. 10 Rating Definite Loss
Borrowers deemed incapable of repayment. Loans to such borrowers are considered uncollectible and of such little value that continuation as active assets of the Bank is not warranted.

The credit quality of the commercial loan portfolio is actively monitored and any changes in credit quality are reflected in risk-rating changes. Risk-ratings are assigned or reviewed for all new loans, when advancing significant additions to existing relationships (over $\$ 50,000$ ), at least quarterly for all actively managed loans, and any time a significant event occurs, including at renewal of the loan.

The Company utilizes a comprehensive strategy for monitoring commercial credit quality. Borrowers are required to provide updated financial information at least annually which is carefully evaluated for any changes in credit quality. Larger loan relationships are subject to a full annual credit review by an experienced credit analysis group. Additionally, the Company retains an independent loan review firm to evaluate the credit quality of the commercial loan portfolio. The independent loan review process achieves significant penetration into the commercial loan portfolio and reports the results of these reviews to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis.
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The following table details the internal risk-rating categories for the Company s commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, commercial construction and small business portfolios:
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrr} & \text { Risk } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Commercial } \\ \text { and }\end{array} & \text { Commercial } & \text { September 30, 2011 } \\ \text { Commercial }\end{array}\right)$
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrr} & \text { Risk } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Commercial } \\ \text { and }\end{array} & \text { Commercial } & \text { December 31, 2010 } \\ \text { Commercial }\end{array}\right)$

For the Company s residential real estate, residential construction, home equity and other consumer portfolios, the quality of the loan is best indicated by the repayment performance of an individual borrower. However, the Company does supplement performance data with current Fair Isaac Corporation ( FICO ) and Loan to Value ( LTV ) estimates. Current FICO data is purchased and appended to all consumer loans on a quarterly basis. In addition, automated valuation services and broker opinions of value are used to supplement original value data for the residential and home equity portfolios, periodically, typically twice per annum. The following table shows the weighted average FICO scores and the weighted average combined LTV ratio for the periods indicated below:
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|  | As of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ \text { 30, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ \text { 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| Residential Portfolio |  |  |
| FICO Score (re-scored) (1) | 734 | 738 |
| Combined LTV (re-valued) (2) | 66.0\% | 64.0\% |
| Home Equity Portfolio |  |  |
| FICO Score (re-scored) (1) | 761 | 760 |
| Combined LTV (re-valued) (2) | 55.0\% | 55.0\% |
| (1) The average FICO scores above for September 30, 2011 are based upon rescores available from August 2011, and actual score data for loans booked between September 1 and September 30, 2011. The average FICO scores above for December 31, 2010 are based upon re-scores available from November 2010 and actual score data for loans booked between December 1 and December 31, 2010. |  |  |
| (2) The combined LTV ratios for September 30, 2011 are based upon updated automated valuations as of May 31, 2011. The combined LTV ratios at December 31, 2010 are based upon updated automated valuations as of November 30, 2010. |  |  |
| early detection and response to delinquent and default situations. Delinquent loans are managed by a team of seasoned collection specialists and the Bank seeks to make arrangements to resolve any delinquent or default situation over the shortest possible time frame. As a general rule, loans more than 90 days past due with respect to principal or interest are classified as nonaccrual loans. As permitted by banking regulations, certain consumer loans past due 90 days or more may continue to accrue interest. The Company also may use discretion regarding other loans over 90 days delinquent if the loan is well secured and in process of collection. Set forth is information regarding the Company s nonperforming loans at the period shown. |  |  |
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The following table shows nonaccrual loans at the dates indicated:

|  | September <br> 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
|  | (Dollars In Thousands) |  |
| Loans accounted for on a nonaccrual basis (1) | 1,836 | $\$$ |
| Commercial and Industrial | 10,121 | 3,123 |
| Commercial Real Estate | 552 | 7,837 |
| Commercial Construction | 1,032 | 1,999 |
| Small Business | 10,420 | 887 |
| Residential Real Estate | 2,009 | 6,728 |
| Home Equity | 327 | 1,752 |
| Consumer Other | $\$ 26,297$ | $\$ 05$ |
|  |  | 22,831 |

(1) Included in these amounts w ere $\$ 8.5$ million and $\$ 4.0$ million nonaccruing TDRs at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.
The following table shows the age analysis of past due financing receivables as of the dates indicated:
September 30, 2011

(Dollars in Thousands)
Commercial
and

| Industrial | 12 | \$ 775 | 1 | \$ 85 | 19 | \$ | 1,129 | 32 | \$ 1,989 | \$ 565,563 | \$ 567,552 | \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate | 19 | 6,268 | 4 | 1,123 | 29 |  | 5,452 | 52 | 12,843 | 1,802,220 | 1,815,063 |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction | 1 | 133 |  |  | 3 |  | 551 | 4 | 684 | 118,625 | 119,309 |  |
| Small |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business | 18 | 335 | 8 | 146 | 24 |  | 174 | 50 | 655 | 76,575 | 77,230 |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate | 8 | 1,596 | 9 | 3,226 | 32 |  | 5,511 | 49 | 10,333 | 431,267 | 441,600 |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,306 | 6,306 |  |
| Home Equity | 30 | 1,808 | 10 | 425 | 19 |  | 1,329 | 59 | 3,562 | 644,913 | 648,475 |  |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Other | 269 | 1,947 | 51 | 609 | 72 |  | 447 | 392 | 3,003 | 44,587 | 47,590 | 328 |
| TOTAL | 357 | \$ 12,862 | 83 | \$ 5,614 | 198 |  | 14,593 | 638 | \$ 33,069 | \$3,690,056 | \$ 3,723,125 | \$ 328 |

December 31, 2010

## Recorded

| 30-59 days | 60-89 days | 90 days or <br> more | Total Past <br> Due | Total | Recorded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment |  |  |  |  |  |


| Commercial and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industrial | 16 | \$ | 1,383 | 8 | \$ 910 | 18 | \$ | 2,207 | 42 | \$ 4,500 | \$ 498,452 | \$ 502,952 | \$ |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate | 13 |  | 2,809 | 7 | 4,820 | 29 |  | 6,260 | 49 | 13,889 | 1,703,229 | 1,717,118 |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 1,999 | 9 | 1,999 | 127,422 | 129,421 |  |
| Small |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business | 23 |  | 1,071 | 11 | 302 | 19 |  | 420 | 53 | 1,793 | 78,233 | 80,026 |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate | 14 |  | 4,793 | 6 | 865 | 21 |  | 4,050 | 41 | 9,708 | 464,228 | 473,936 |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,175 | 4,175 |  |
| Home Equity | 31 |  | 1,737 | 8 | 878 | 12 |  | 1,095 | 51 | 3,710 | 575,568 | 579,278 | 4 |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Other | 402 |  | 2,986 | 89 | 478 | 85 |  | 564 | 576 | 4,028 | 64,745 | 68,773 | 273 |
| TOTAL | 499 |  | 14,779 | 129 | \$ 8,253 | 193 |  | 16,595 | 821 | \$ 39,627 | \$3,516,052 | \$ 3,555,679 | \$ 277 |
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In the course of resolving nonperforming loans, the Bank may choose to restructure the contractual terms of certain loans. The Bank attempts to work-out an alternative payment schedule with the borrower in order to avoid foreclosure actions. Any loans that are modified are reviewed by the Bank to identify if a TDR has occurred, which is when, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower s financial difficulties, the Bank grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. Terms may be modified to fit the ability of the borrower to repay in line with its current financial status and the restructuring of the loan may include the transfer of assets from the borrower to satisfy the debt, a modification of loan terms, or a combination of the two.

The following table shows the Company s total TDRs and other pertinent information as of the dates indicated:

|  | As of September 30, 2011 <br> (Dollars in <br> Thousands) |  | As of December 31, 2010 <br> (Dollars in <br> Thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TDRs on Accrual Status | \$ | 35,633 | \$ | 26,091 |
| TDRs on Nonaccrual |  | 8,520 |  | 3,982 |
| TOTAL TDR S | \$ | 44,153 | \$ | 30,073 |
| Amount of specific reserves included in the allowance for loan loss associated with TDRs: | \$ | 1,921 | \$ | 1,658 |
| Additional commitments to lend to a borrower who has been a party to a TDR: | \$ | 1,668 | \$ | 1,240 |

The Bank s policy is to have any restructured loan which is on nonaccrual status prior to being modified remain on nonaccrual status for six months, subsequent to being modified, before management considers its return to accrual status. If the restructured loan is on accrual status prior to being modified, it is reviewed to determine if the modified loan should remain on accrual status. Additionally, loans classified as TDRs are adjusted accordingly to reflect the changes in value of the recorded investment in the loan, if any, resulting from the granting of a concession.
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The following table shows the modifications which occurred during the periods indicated and the change in the recorded investment subsequent to the modifications occurring:


Consumer Home
Equity

| Consumer | Other | 71 |  | 816 |  | 816 | 71 |  | 863 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 863 |  |
| SUBTOTAL | 132 | $\$$ | 11,853 | $\$$ | 11,901 | 157 | $\$$ | 19,931 | $\$$ |
| 20,037 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) The post-modification balances represent the balance of the loan on the date of modifications. These amounts may show an increase when modifications include a capitalization of interest.
(2) Residential real estate includes residential construction.

The following table shows the Company s post-modification balance of TDRs listed by type of modification as of the periods indicated:

|  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 011 |  | 10 |  | 011 |  | 2010 |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  |
| Extended Maturity | \$ | 481 | \$ | 687 | \$ | 3,978 |  | 9,403 |
| Adjusted Interest Rate |  | 622 |  | 5 |  | 647 |  | 52 |
| Combination Rate \& Maturity |  | 954 |  | 7,133 |  | 7,276 |  | 10,582 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 2,057 | \$ | 7,825 | \$ | 11,901 | \$ | 20,037 |
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The following table shows the loans that have been modified during the past twelve months which have subsequently defaulted during the periods indicated. The Company considers a loan to have defaulted when it reaches 90 days past due.

|  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of | Recorded | Number of |  | rded |
|  | Contracts (Dollars | Investment Thousands) | Contracts (Dollars |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tment } \\ & \text { ands) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings |  |  |  |  |  |
| That Subsequently Defaulted (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial \& Industrial |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Commercial Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small Business | 2 | 66 | 2 |  | 22 |
| Residential Real Estate (2) | 1 | 378 | 2 |  | 951 |
| Consumer Home Equity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer Other | 2 | 11 | 2 |  | 11 |
| SUBTOTAL | 5 | \$ 455 | 6 | \$ | 984 |
|  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 <br> 2010 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of | Recorded | Number of |  | rded |
|  | Contracts (Dollars | Investment Thousands) | Contracts (Dollars |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tment } \\ & \text { ands) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings |  |  |  |  |  |
| That Subsequently Defaulted |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial \& Industrial |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Commercial Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small Business | 2 | 66 | 2 |  | 22 |
| Residential Real Estate (2) | 1 | 378 | 2 |  | 951 |
| Consumer Home Equity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer Other | 2 | 11 | 2 |  | 11 |
| SUBTOTAL | 5 | \$ 455 | 6 | \$ | 984 |

(1) This table does not reflect any TDRs which were charged off during the periods indicated. The amount of TDRs that were modified in the past twelve months that were charged off were $\$ 358,000$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and $\$ 20,000$ and $\$ 29,000$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.
(2) Residential real estate includes residential construction.

All TDR loans are considered impaired and therefore are subject to a specific review for impairment. The impairment analysis appropriately discounts the present value of the anticipated cash flows by the loan s contractual rate of interest in effect prior to the loan s modification. The amount of impairment, if any, is recorded as a specific loss allocation to each individual loan in the allowance for loan losses. Commercial loans (commercial and industrial,
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commercial construction, commercial real estate and small business loans) and residential loans that have been classified as TDRs and which subsequently default are reviewed to determine if the loan should be deemed collateral dependent. In such an instance,
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any shortfall between the value of the collateral and the book value of the loan is determined by measuring the recorded investment in the loan against the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell. The Company charges off the amount of any confirmed loan loss in the period when the loans, or portion of loans, are deemed uncollectible. Smaller balance consumer TDR loans are reviewed to determine when a charge-off is appropriate.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower s prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.
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The table below sets forth information regarding the Company s impaired loans as of the dates indicated:

| As of September 30, 2011 |  |  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 |  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unpaid |  | Average | Interest | Average | Interest |
| Recorded | Principal | Related | Recorded | Income | Recorded | Income |
| Investment | Balance | Allowance | Investment | Recognized | Investment | Recognized |


| With no Related |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial | \$ 2,182 | \$ | 2,783 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,236 | \$ | 46 | \$ | 2,527 | \$ | 143 |
| Commercial Real |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estate | 19,317 |  | 19,644 |  |  |  | 19,391 |  | 345 |  | 19,600 |  | 1,020 |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction | 551 |  | 551 |  |  |  | 551 |  | 11 |  | 560 |  | 32 |
| Small Business | 1,621 |  | 1,674 |  |  |  | 1,641 |  | 28 |  | 1,627 |  | 82 |
| Residential Real |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estate (1) | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| Consumer Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity | 22 |  | 22 |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  | 22 |  | 1 |
| Consumer Other | 44 |  | 84 |  |  |  | 90 |  | 2 |  | 113 |  | 5 |
| Subtotal | 23,738 |  | 24,759 |  |  |  | 23,933 |  | 432 |  | 24,454 |  | 1,283 |
| With an Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial | \$ 774 | \$ | 777 | \$ | 558 | \$ | 756 | \$ | 12 | \$ | 926 | \$ | 41 |
| Commercial Real |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estate | 13,294 |  | 13,637 |  | 306 |  | 13,247 |  | 183 |  | 13,304 |  | 569 |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small Business | 1,404 |  | 1,430 |  | 299 |  | 1,401 |  | 21 |  | 1,505 |  | 67 |
| Residential Real |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estate (1) | 12,698 |  | 13,316 |  | 1,258 |  | 12,721 |  | 132 |  | 12,744 |  | 378 |
| Consumer Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity | 452 |  | 492 |  | 24 |  | 457 |  | 8 |  | 480 |  | 22 |
| Consumer Other | 2,102 |  | 2,116 |  | 240 |  | 2,043 |  | 20 |  | 1,919 |  | 56 |
| Subtotal | 30,724 |  | 31,768 |  | 2,685 |  | 30,625 |  | 376 |  | 30,878 |  | 1,133 |
| TOTAL | \$ 54,462 |  | 56,527 | \$ | 2,685 | \$ | 54,558 | \$ | 808 | \$ | 55,332 | \$ | 2,416 |

For the Three Months For the Nine Months
Ended
Ended

| As of September 30, 2010 |  |  | September 30, 2010 |  | September 30, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Interest | Average | Interest |
| corded | Principal | Relate | Recorded | Income | Recorded | Income |
| Investment | Balance | Allowance | Investment | Recognized | Investment | Recognized |
|  |  |  | in T | sands) |  |  |

With no Related
Allowance
Recorded:
Commercial \& Industrial
Commercial Rea

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\$ & 2,996 & \$ & 58
\end{array}
$$

Estate

$$
\$ 2,904 \quad \$
$$

$$
\$ \quad 2,944 \quad \$
$$169

$15,785 \quad 16,367$
16,024
275
16,367834

Commercial
Construction
Small Business
Residential Real
Estate (1)
Consumer Home
Equity
Consumer Other

| Subtotal | 19,613 |  | 21,163 |  |  |  | 19,972 |  | 348 |  | 20,338 |  | 1,056 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With an Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial | \$ 1,631 | \$ | 2,399 | \$ | 864 | \$ | 1,641 | \$ | 31 | \$ | 2,181 | \$ | 91 |
| Commercial Real |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estate | 7,247 |  | 7,502 |  | 444 |  | 7,256 |  | 104 |  | 7,543 |  | 346 |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small Business | 1,880 |  | 2,010 |  | 307 |  | 1,994 |  | 31 |  | 1,942 |  | 90 |
| Residential Real |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estate (1) | 9,106 |  | 9,469 |  | 855 |  | 9,075 |  | 130 |  | 9,186 |  | 267 |
| Consumer Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity | 409 |  | 415 |  | 14 |  | 410 |  | 6 |  | 411 |  | 14 |
| Consumer Other | 1,554 |  | 1,572 |  | 188 |  | 1,521 |  | 16 |  | 1,253 |  | 42 |
| Subtotal | 21,827 |  | 23,367 |  | 2,672 |  | 21,897 |  | 318 |  | 22,516 |  | 850 |
| TOTAL | \$ 41,440 | \$ | 44,530 | \$ | 2,672 | \$ | 41,869 | \$ | 666 | \$ | 42,854 | \$ | 1,906 |

(1) Includes residential construction loans.

## Table of Contents

## NOTE 5 EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of participating common shares outstanding. Unvested restricted shares are considered outstanding in the computation of basic earnings per share as holders of unvested restricted stock awards participate fully in the awards of stock ownership of the Company, including voting and dividend rights. Diluted earnings per share is calculated in a manner similar to that of basic earnings per share except that the weighted average number of common shares outstanding is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if all potentially dilutive common shares (such as those resulting from the exercise of stock options) were issued during the period, computed using the treasury stock method.

During 2011, the Company issued performance-based restricted stock awards to non-executive bank employees which will vest if certain performance measures are met. These performance-based restricted stock awards do not participate in the rewards of stock ownership of the Company until vested. As a result, these awards are not considered in the calculation of basic earnings per share. To the extent the performance measures outlined in the performance-based restricted stock award agreements are met in advance of vesting, the shares will be included in the diluted earnings per share calculation, computed using the treasury stock method.

Earnings per share consisted of the following components for the periods indicated:


NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO
COMMON SHAREHOLDERS PER
SHARE
BASIC EPS
Effect of Dilutive Securities
DILUTIVE EPS
Weighted Average Shares
21,401,885 20,961,378(1)

21,434,337 21,035,914
0.56
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Stock Options
Three Months Ended
September 30,
2011 $\quad 2010$

888,963 1,007,005

| Nine Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| September 30, |  |
| 2011 | 2010 |

797,564

## NOTE 6- STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

Restricted Stock Awards
During 2011 the Company has made the following restricted stock award grants:

| Date <br> $2 / 10 / 2011$ | Shares <br> Granted <br> 27,750 | Plan | Fair <br> Value | Vesting Period <br> Ratably over 5 years <br> from grant date |  |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 / 17 / 2011$ | 33,000 | 2005 Employee Stock Plan | $\$$ | 27.58 | Ratably over 5 years <br> from grant date |
| $5 / 3 / 2011$ | 3,000 | 2005 Employee Stock Plan | $\$$ | 27.43 | Ratably over 5 years <br> from grant date |
| $5 / 24 / 2011$ | 9,800 | 2005 Employee Stock Plan | $\$ 29.00$ | At the end of 5 years <br> from grant date (1) |  |

(1) These restricted stock grants issued out of the 2010 Non-Employee Director Stock Plan will vest at the end of a five year period, or earlier if the director ceases to be a director for any reason other than cause, such as, for example, by retirement. If a non-employee director is removed from the Board for cause, the Company has ninety (90) days within which to exercise a right to repurchase any unvested portion of any restricted stock award from the non-employee director for the aggregate price of one dollar (\$1.00).
The fair value of the restricted stock awards are based upon the average of the high and low price at which the Company s common stock traded on the date of grant. The holders of these awards participate fully in the rewards of stock ownership of the Company, including voting and dividend rights.

## Performance-Based Restricted Stock Awards

On August 8, 2011, the Company granted 3,637 performance-based restricted stock awards to certain non-executive Bank employees. These performance-based restricted stock awards were issued from the 2005 Employee Stock Plan, were determined to have a fair value per share of $\$ 23.81$ and vest on December 31, 2014. The number of shares vested as of December 31, 2014 may be adjusted in accordance with the attainment of certain performance measures outlined in the award agreement. These awards will be accounted for as equity awards due to the nature of these awards and the fact that these shares will not be settled in cash.

The fair value of the performance-based restricted stock awards is based upon the average of the high and low price at which the Company s common stock traded on the date of grant. The holders of these awards do not participate in the rewards of stock ownership of the Company until vested.
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## Stock Options

During 2011 the Company made the following awards of non-qualified options to purchase shares of common stock:

| Date | Shares Granted | Plan | Fair Value |  | Vesting Period (Ratably) | Expiration <br> Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/10/2011 | 40,000 |  |  |  | 3 years from grant |  |
|  |  | 2005 Employee Stock Plan | \$ | 6.81 | date | 2/10/2021 |
| 2/17/2011 | 54,000 |  |  |  | 3 years from grant |  |
|  |  | 2005 Employee Stock Plan | \$ | 6.39 | date | 2/17/2021 |
| 5/24/2011 | 7,000 | 2010 Non-Employee | \$ | 6.72 | 3 year period | 5/24/2021 |

The following table shows the assumptions used to determine the fair value of the granted options:

|  | February | February | May |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 ,}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 ,}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 ,}$ |
| Volatility | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| Expected Life | $32.38 \%$ | $32.11 \%$ | $32.95 \%$ |
| Dividend Yield | 5.5 Years | 5 Years | 5 Years |
| Risk Free Interest Rate | $2.90 \%$ | $2.89 \%$ | $2.87 \%$ |
| NO | $2.57 \%$ | $2.27 \%$ | $1.81 \%$ |

## NOTE 7 DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company s derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company $s$ known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally to manage the Company s interest rate risk. Additionally, the Company enters into interest rate derivatives and foreign exchange contracts to accommodate the business requirements of its customers ( customer related positions ). The Company minimizes the market and liquidity risks of customer-related positions by entering into similar offsetting positions with broker-dealers. Derivative instruments are carried at fair value in the Company sfinancial statements. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative instrument is dependent upon whether or not it qualifies as a hedge for accounting purposes, and further, by the type of hedging relationship.

The Company does not enter into proprietary trading positions for any derivatives. Asset Liability Management

The Company currently utilizes interest rate swap agreements as hedging instruments against interest rate risk associated with the Company s borrowings. An interest rate swap is an agreement whereby one party agrees to pay a floating rate of interest on a notional principal amount in exchange for receiving a fixed rate of interest on the same notional amount, for a predetermined period of time, from a second party. The amounts relating to the notional principal amount are not actually exchanged. The maximum length of time over
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which the Company is currently hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions related to the payment of variable interest on existing financial instruments is eight years.

The following table reflects the Company s derivative positions for the periods indicated below for interest rate swaps which qualify as hedges for accounting purposes:

As of September 30, 2011


As of December 31, 2010


Edgar Filing: INDEPENDENT BANK CORP - Form 10-Q

| 25,000 | 9-Dec-08 | 10-Dec-08 | 10-Dec-13 | 3 Month <br> LIBOR | $0.30 \%$ | $2.59 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25,000 | 9-Dec-08 | 10-Dec-08 | 10-Dec-18 | 3 Month <br> LIBOR | $0.30 \%$ | $2.94 \%$ |
| 50,000 | 17-Nov-09 | 20-Dec-10 | 20-Dec-14 | 3 Month <br> LIBOR | $0.30 \%$ | $3.04 \%$ |

$(1,002)$
(109)
(1) In August 2011, the Company entered into a forward starting swap with a notional amount of $\$ 40.0$ million, with the intention of hedging \$40.0 million of a future FHLB advance to be originated in April 2012.
For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments, the effective portion of the gains or losses is reported as a component of OCI, and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The Company expects approximately $\$ 5.6$ million (pre-tax), to be reclassified to interest expense from OCI, related to the Company s cash flow hedges in the next twelve months. This reclassification is due to anticipated payments that will be made and/or received on the swaps based upon the forward curve as of September 30, 2011.

The ineffective portion of a cash flow hedge is recognized directly in earnings. The Company did not recognize any ineffectiveness for the three and nine months ending

## Edgar Filing: INDEPENDENT BANK CORP - Form 10-Q
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September 30, 2011, and recognized an immaterial amount related to hedge ineffectiveness during the three and nine months ending September 30, 2010.

During the first quarter of 2010, one of the Company s $\$ 25.0$ million interest rate swaps failed to qualify for hedge accounting. The Company ceased hedge accounting on January 6, 2010, which was the last date the interest rate swap qualified for hedge accounting. As a result, the Company recognized a loss of $\$ 238,000$ directly in earnings as part of non-interest expense and reclassified $\$ 107,000$ from interest expense to non-interest expense within the first quarter of 2010. Additionally, a gain of $\$ 191,000$ which was previously deferred in OCI was immediately recognized in income during the first quarter, based on the Company s anticipation of the hedged forecasted transaction no longer being probable to occur. The Company terminated the swap in June 2010 as a result of management s decision to pay down the underlying borrowing and recognized $\$ 792,000$ in earnings through the date of termination.

The table below presents the net amortization income recognized as an offset to interest expense related to previously terminated swaps for the periods indicated:


## Customer Related Positions

Interest rate derivatives, primarily interest-rate swaps, offered to commercial borrowers through the Bank s loan level derivative program do not qualify as hedges for accounting purposes. The Bank believes that its exposure to commercial customer derivatives is limited because these contracts are simultaneously matched at inception with an offsetting dealer transaction. The commercial customer derivative program allows the Bank to retain variable-rate commercial loans while allowing the customer to synthetically fix the loan rate by entering into a variable-to-fixed interest rate swap.

Foreign exchange contracts offered to commercial borrowers through the Bank s derivative program do not qualify as hedges for accounting purposes. The Bank acts as a seller and buyer of foreign exchange contracts to accommodate its customers. To mitigate the market and liquidity risk associated with these derivatives, the Bank enters into similar offsetting positions.

The following table reflects the Company s customer related derivative positions for the periods indicated below for those derivatives not designated as hedging:

## Table of Contents




## Table of Contents

The table below presents the fair value of the Company $s$ derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the Balance Sheet at the periods indicated:

|  | Fair Values of Derivative Instruments |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Asset Derivatives |  |  | Liability Derivatives |  |
|  | Fair Value | Fair Value |  | Fair Value | Fair Value |
|  | at | at |  | at | at |
| Balance | September | December | Balance | September | December |
| Sheet | 30, | 31, | Sheet | 30, | 31, |
| Location | 2011 | 2010 | Location | 2011 | 2010 |
|  | (Dollars In Thousands) |  | (Dollars In Thousands) |  |  |

## Derivatives designated as hedges:

Other
Assets \$
$\$$
Other
Liabilities \$ 18,880 \$
12,206

## Derivatives not

designated as hedges:
Customer Related
Positions:

| Loan level swaps | Other Assets | \$ | 23,709 | \$ | 9,813 | Other Liabilities | \$ | 23,761 | \$ | 9,975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foreign exchange | Other |  |  |  |  | Other |  |  |  |  |
| contracts | Assets |  | 712 |  | 1,655 | Liabilities |  | 702 |  | 1,640 |
| TOTAL |  | \$ | 24,421 | \$ | 11,468 |  | \$ | 24,463 | \$ | 11,615 |

The table below presents the effect of the Company $s$ derivative financial instruments included in OCI and current earnings for the periods indicated:

Amount of Derivative Gain/(Loss) Recognized/Reclassified

For the Three Months
Ended
September 30, 20112010
(Dollars in Thousands)

For the Nine Months
Ended
September 30,
20112010
(Dollars in Thousands)

Gain/(Loss) in OCI on Derivative (Effective
Portion), Net of Tax

Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from OCI into Interest Income (Effective Portion)

Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Interest Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion \& Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)
$\$ \quad(4,110) \quad \$ \quad 3,367$
$\$(1,467) \quad \$ \quad 948 \quad \$(4,065) \quad \$ \quad 2,926$
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Derivative contracts involve the risk of dealing with derivative counterparties and their ability to meet contractual terms. Institutional counterparties must have an investment grade credit rating and be approved by the Company s Board of Directors. The Company s credit exposure on interest rate swaps is limited to the net positive fair value and accrued interest of all swaps with each counterparty. The Company had no exposure relating to interest rate swaps with institutional counterparties at September 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010. The Company s exposure relating to customer related positions was approximately $\$ 24.2$ million
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and $\$ 10.2$ million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Credit exposure may be reduced by the amount of collateral pledged by the counterparty.

The Company currently holds derivative instruments that contain credit-risk related contingent features that are in a net liability position, which require the Company to assign collateral. The notional amount of these instruments as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was $\$ 591.2$ million and $\$ 482.0$ million, respectively. The aggregate fair value of these instruments at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were $\$ 42.6$ million and $\$ 20.0$ million, respectively. The Company has collateral assigned to these derivative instruments amounting to $\$ 47.0$ million and $\$ 30.8$ million, respectively. Collateral legally required to be maintained at dealer banks by the Company is monitored and adjusted as necessary. Per a review completed by management of these instruments at September 30, 2011 it was determined that no additional collateral would have to be posted to immediately settle these instruments.

The Company does not offset fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments. The Company does net the amount recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral against the obligation to return cash collateral arising from derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting arrangement.

## Mortgage Derivatives

Forward sale contracts of residential mortgage loans, considered derivative instruments for accounting purposes, are utilized by the Company in its efforts to manage risk of loss associated with its mortgage loan commitments and mortgage loans intended for sale. Prior to closing and funding certain single-family residential mortgage loans, an interest rate lock commitment is generally extended to the borrower. During the period from commitment date to closing date, the Company is subject to the risk that market rates of interest may change. If market rates rise, investors generally will pay less to purchase such loans resulting in a reduction in the gain on sale of the loans or, possibly, a loss. In an effort to mitigate such risk, forward delivery sales commitments are executed, under which the Company agrees to deliver whole mortgage loans to various investors. The interest rate lock commitments and forward sales commitments are recorded at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded in current period earnings. Effective July 1, 2010, pursuant to FASB ASC Topic No. 825, Financial Instruments, the Company elected to carry newly originated closed loans held for sale at fair value. As such, the change in fair value of loans held for sale is recorded in current period earnings.

The table below summarizes the fair value of residential mortgage loans commitments, forward sales agreements, and loans held for sale:
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(1) Changes in these fair values are recorded as a component of Mortgage Banking Income.

## NOTE 8 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of a market participant rather than an entity-specific measure. Therefore, even when market assumptions are not readily available, the Company s own assumptions are set to reflect those that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. If there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, regardless of the valuation technique(s) used, the objective of a fair value measurement remains the same. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. The Company uses prices and inputs that are current as of the measurement date, including in periods of market dislocation. In periods of market dislocation, the observability of prices and inputs may be reduced for many instruments. This condition could cause an instrument to be reclassified from one level to another.

The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC defines fair value and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC are described below:
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Level 1 Inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Valuations based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 Prices or valuations that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by the Company in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. A financial instrument s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

## Valuation Techniques

There have been no changes in the valuation techniques used during the current period.

## Trading Securities

These equity and fixed income securities are valued based on quoted market prices. These securities are categorized in Level 1 as they are actively traded and no valuation adjustments have been applied.
U.S. Treasury Securities

Fair value is estimated using either multi-dimensional spread tables or benchmarks. The inputs used include benchmark yields, reported trades, and broker/dealer quotes. These securities are classified as Level 2.
Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities
Fair value is estimated using either a matrix or benchmarks. The inputs used include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, and issuer spreads. These securities are categorized as Level 2.

## Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations and Private Mortgage-Backed Securities

The valuation model for these securities is volatility-driven and ratings based, and uses multi-dimensional spread tables. The inputs used include benchmark yields, recent reported trades, new issue data, broker and dealer quotes, and collateral performance. If there is at least one significant model assumption or input that is not observable, these securities are categorized as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy; otherwise, they are classified as Level 2.
Single and Pooled Issuer Trust Preferred Securities
The fair value of trust preferred securities, including pooled and single issuer preferred securities, is estimated using external pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or
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similar techniques. The inputs used in these valuations include benchmark yields, recent reported trades, new issue data, broker and dealer quotes and collateral performance. Accordingly, these trust preferred securities are categorized as Level 3.
Loans Held for Sale
The Company measures loans held for sale pursuant to the fair value option. The fair value of loans held for sale is measured using quoted market prices when available. If quoted market prices are not available, comparable market values or discounted cash flow analysis may be utilized. These assets are typically categorized as Level 2.
Derivative Instruments

## Derivatives

The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analyses on the expected cash flows of derivatives. These analyses reflect the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and use observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities. The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty s nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings. Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its interest rate derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads, to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparties. However, as of September 30, 2011, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2.
Residential Mortgage Loan Commitments and Forward Sales Agreements
The fair value of the commitments and agreements are estimated using the anticipated market price based on pricing indications provided from syndicate banks. These commitments and agreements are categorized as Level 2.

## Impaired Loans

Loans that are deemed to be impaired are valued based upon the lower of cost or fair value of the underlying collateral or discounted cash flow analyses. The inputs used in the appraisals of the collateral are not always observable, and therefore the loans may be categorized as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy; otherwise, they are classified as Level 2. The inputs used in performing discounted cash flow analyses are not observable and therefore such loans are classified as Level 3.

## Table of Contents

## Other Real Estate Owned

The fair values are estimated based upon recent appraisal values of the property less estimated costs to sell the property. Certain inputs used in appraisals are not always observable, and therefore Other Real Estate Owned may be categorized as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy. When inputs used in appraisals are observable, they are classified as Level 2.

## Mortgage Servicing Asset

The mortgage servicing asset is amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated servicing income, and is assessed for impairment based upon fair value at each reporting date. A valuation model, which utilizes a discounted cash flow analysis using interest rates and prepayment speed assumptions currently quoted for comparable instruments, is used for impairment testing. If the valuation model reflects a value less than the carrying value, loan servicing rights are adjusted to fair value through a valuation allowance as determined by the model. As such, the Company classifies the mortgage servicing asset as Level 3.

## Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and identified intangible assets are subject to impairment testing. The Company conducts an annual impairment test of goodwill in the third quarter of each year and more frequently if necessary. Intangible assets that are subject to amortization are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. To estimate the fair value of goodwill and other intangible assets the Company utilizes both a comparable analysis of relevant price multiples in recent market transactions and discounted cash flow analysis. Both valuation models require a significant degree of management judgment. In the event the fair value as determined by the valuation model is less than the carrying value, the goodwill and/or other intangibles may be impaired. If the impairment testing resulted in impairment, the Company would classify goodwill and other intangible assets subjected to non-recurring fair value adjustments as Level 3.
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Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis at the periods indicated were as follows:

|  |  | Using |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quoted <br> Prices <br> in Active | Significant |  |
|  | Markets |  |  |
|  | for | Other | Significant |
| Balance | Identical | Observable | Unobservable |
|  | Assets | Inputs | Inputs |
|  | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |

September 30, 2011
Description
Assets
Trading Securities
Securities Available for Sale:
U.S. Treasury Securities

Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities
Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations
Private Mortgage-Backed Securities
Single Issuer Trust Preferred Securities Issued
by Banks and Insurers
Pooled Trust Preferred Securities Issued by
Banks and Insurers
\$ 7,984
\$ 7,984
\$
\$

Loans Held for Sale
Derivative Instruments
243,067 243,067

Liabilities
Derivative Instruments
44,120
44,120
December 31, 2010
Description
Assets

| Trading Securities | $\$ 7,597$ | $\$ 7,597$ | $\$$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Securities Available for Sale: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury Securities | 717 |  | 717 |  |
| Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities | 313,302 |  | 313,302 |  |
| Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations | 46,135 | 46,135 |  |  |
| Private Mortgage-Backed Securities | 10,254 |  | 10,254 |  |
| Single Issuer Trust Preferred Securities Issued |  |  |  | 4,221 |
| by Banks and Insurers | 4,221 |  | 2,828 |  |
| Pooled Trust Preferred Securities Issued by | 2,828 | 27,917 |  |  |
| Banks and Insurers | 27,917 | 12,520 |  |  |
| Loans Held for Sale | 12,520 | 24,280 |  |  |
| Derivative Instruments | 24,280 |  |  |  |
| Liabilities | 40 |  |  |  |
| Derivative Instruments |  |  |  |  |
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The table below presents a reconciliation for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). These instruments were valued using pricing models and discounted cash flow methodologies.

## Balance at June 30, 2011

Gains and Losses (realized/unrealized) Included in earnings
Included in Other Comprehensive Income
Purchases
Issuances
Settlements
Transfers in to Level 3
Balance at September 30, 2011

Balance at January 1, 2010
Gains and Losses (realized/unrealized)
Included in earnings
Included in Other Comprehensive Income
Purchases
Issuances
Settlements
Transfers in to Level 3
Balance at December 31, 2010
Gains and Losses (realized/unrealized) Included in earnings
Included in Other Comprehensive Income
Purchases
Issuances
Settlements
Transfers in to Level 3

| $\$$ | 2,888 | $\$ 4,138$ | $\$$ | 6,825 | $\$ 13,851$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Table of Contents

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at the periods indicated were as follows:

| Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quoted |  |  |  |  |
| Prices in |  |  |  |  |
|  | Active | Significant |  |  |
|  | Markets |  |  |  |
|  | for | Other | Significant |  |
|  | Identical | Observable | Unobservable | Total |
| Balance | Assets | Inputs | Inputs | Gains |
|  | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) | (Losses) |

As of September 30, 2011

## Description

| Impaired Loans | $\$ 30,724$ | $\$$ | $\$$ |  | $\$$ | 30,724 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Other Real Estate Owned | 8,497 |  | 3,811 |  | 4,686 |  |
| Mortgage Servicing Asset | 1,230 |  |  |  | 1,230 |  |

As of December 31, 2010
Description

| Impaired Loans | $\$ 23,411$ | $\$$ | $\$$ |  | $\$$ | 23,411 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other Real Estate Owned | 7,273 |  | 2,933 |  | 4,340 |  |
| Mortgage Servicing Asset | 1,635 |  |  |  | 1,635 |  |

The estimated fair values and related carrying amounts of the Company s financial instruments are as follows:

## FINANCIAL ASSETS

Securities Held To Maturity (a)
Loans, Net of Allowance for Loan Losses (b)

| September 30, |  | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 |  | FAIR | BOOK |
| BOOK | FAIR | FAIR |  |
| VALUE | VALUE | VALUE | VALUE |
| (Dollars In Thousands) | (Dollars In Thousands) |  |  |
| $\$ 220,552$ | $\$ 228,755$ | $\$ 202,732$ | $\$ 201,234$ |
| $3,675,847$ | $3,708,613$ | $3,509,424$ | $3,554,761$ |

## FINANCIAL LIABILITIES

| Time Certificates of Deposits ( c) | $\$ 641,468$ | $\$$ | 650,074 | $\$ 693,176$ | $\$ 697,064$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Advances ( c) | 257,873 |  | 255,970 |  | 302,414 | 297,740 |
| Federal Funds Purchased and Assets Sold Under |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repurchase Agreements ( c) | 216,331 | 218,377 | 168,119 | 171,702 |  |  |
| Junior Subordinated Debentures (d) | 61,857 | 60,311 | 61,857 | 60,796 |  |  |
| Subordinated Debentures ( c) | 30,000 | 24,570 | 30,000 | 23,655 |  |  |

(a) The fair values presented are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments and/or discounted cash flow analyses.
(b) Fair value is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities or cash flows.
(c) Fair value was determined by discounting anticipated future cash payments using rates currently available for instruments with similar remaining maturities.
(d) Fair value was determined based upon market prices of securities with similar terms and maturities.

This summary excludes financial assets and liabilities for which the carrying value approximates fair value. For financial assets, these include cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, short-term investments, Federal Home Loan Bank stock, and bank owned life insurance. For financial liabilities, these include demand, savings, money market deposits, and federal funds purchased, and assets sold under repurchase agreements. The estimated fair value of demand, savings and money market deposits is the amount payable at the reporting date. Also excluded from the summary are financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis, as previously described.

## Table of Contents

## NOTE 9 COMPREHENSIVE INCOME(LOSS)

Information on the Company s comprehensive income(loss), presented net of taxes, is set forth below for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:


| Earnings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Change in Fair Value of Securities Available for Sale | $(2,325)$ | (880) | $(1,445)$ | 4,986 | 1,973 | 3,013 |
| Change in Fair Value of Cash Flow Hedges Net Cash Flow Hedge | $(5,692)(2)$ | $(2,325)$ | $(3,367)$ | $(17,430)(2)$ | $(7,120)$ | $(10,310)$ |
| Gains Reclassified into Earnings | 948 | 387 | 561 | 2,926 | 1,206 | 1,720 |
| Net Change in Fair Value of Cash Flow Hedges | $(4,744)$ | $(1,938)$ | $(2,806)$ | $(14,504)$ | $(5,914)$ | $(8,590)$ |
| Amortization of Certain Costs Included in Net |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Periodic Retirement Costs | 40 | 16 | 24 | 118 | 48 | 70 |
| TOTAL OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMPREHENSIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOSS | \$ $(7,029)$ | \$ $(2,802)$ | \$ $(4,227)$ | \$ (9,400) | \$ $(3,893)$ | \$ $(5,507)$ |

(1) Net security losses represent pre-tax OTTI credit related losses of $\$ 28,000$ and $\$ 7,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively and there w ere no gains or losses and $\$ 22,000$ in losses on sales of securities for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, net security losses represent pre-tax OTTI credit related losses of \$204,000 and $\$ 269,000$ and net gains on sales of securities of $\$ 723,000$ and $\$ 458,000$, respectively .
(2) Includes the remaining balance of a realized but unrecognized gain, net of tax , from the termination of interest rate swaps in June 2009. The original gain of $\$ 1.3$ million, net of tax will be recognized in earnings through December 2018, the original maturity date of the swap. The balance of this gain had amortized to $\$ 1.0$ million and $\$ 1.2$ million at September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively .
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, net of tax, is comprised of the following components:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At Sepi } \\ & \mathbf{2 0 1 1} \\ & \text { (Dollars i } \end{aligned}$ | er | 30, 2010 <br> sands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unrealized gain on securities available for sale | \$ 6,533 | \$ | 7,406 |
| Net actuarial loss and prior service cost for pension and other post retirement benefit plans | (764) |  | $(1,142)$ |
| Unrealized loss on cash flow hedge | $(11,169)$ |  | $(10,202)$ |
| Deferred gain on hedge accounting transactions | 1,040 |  | 1,184 |
| TOTAL | \$ (4,360) | \$ | $(2,754)$ |
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## Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, notes and tables included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

A number of the presentations and disclosures in this Form 10-Q, including, without limitation, statements regarding the level of allowance for loan losses, the rate of delinquencies and amounts of charge-offs, and the rates of loan growth, and any statements preceded by, followed by, or which include the words may, could, should, will, would, hope, might, believe, expect, anticipate, estimate, intend, plan, assume or similar expressi forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

These forward-looking statements, implicitly and explicitly, include the assumptions underlying the statements and other information with respect to the beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, anticipations, estimates, intentions, financial condition, results of operations, future performance and business, of the Company including the Company s expectations and estimates with respect to the Company s revenues, expenses, earnings, return on average equity, return on average assets, efficiency ratio, asset quality and other financial data and capital and performance ratios.

Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in the Company s forward-looking statements are reasonable, these statements involve risks and uncertainties that are subject to change based on various important factors (some of which are beyond the Company s control). The following factors, among others, could cause the Company s financial performance to differ materially from the Company s goals, plans, objectives, intentions, expectations and other forward-looking statements:
a weakening in the United States economy in general and the regional and local economies within the New England region and Massachusetts, which could result in a deterioration of credit quality, a change in the allowance for loan losses, or a reduced demand for the Company s credit or fee-based products and services;
adverse changes in the local real estate market could result in a deterioration of credit quality and an increase in the allowance for loan loss, as most of the Company s loans are concentrated in eastern Massachusetts and Cape Cod, and to a lesser extent, Rhode Island, and a substantial portion of these loans have real estate as collateral;
the effects of, and changes in, trade, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve
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System, could affect the Company s business environment or affect the Company s operations;
the effects of, any changes in, and any failure by the Company to comply with tax laws generally and requirements of the federal New Markets Tax Credit program in particular could adversely affect the Company stax provision and its financial results;
inflation, interest rate, market and monetary fluctuations could reduce net interest income and could increase credit losses;
adverse changes in asset quality could result in increasing credit risk-related losses and expenses;
changes in the deferred tax asset valuation allowance in future periods may adversely affect financial results;
competitive pressures could intensify and affect the Company s profitability, including continued industry consolidation, the increased financial services provided by non-banks and banking reform;
a deterioration in the conditions of the securities markets could adversely affect the value or credit quality of the Company s assets, the availability and terms of funding necessary to meet the Company s liquidity needs, and the Company s ability to originate loans and could lead to impairment in the value of securities in the Company s investment portfolios, having an adverse effect on the Company s earnings;
a further deterioration of the credit rating for U.S. long-term sovereign debt could adversely impact the Company. On August 5, 2011, Standard and Poor s downgraded the U.S. long-term sovereign debt from AAA, the highest rating, to AA+, the second highest rating. This downgrade does not directly impact the immediate current financial position or outlook for the Company, but a further downgrade could result in a re-evaluation of the risk-free rate used in many accounting models, other-than-temporary-impairment of securities and/or impairment of goodwill and other intangibles;
the potential need to adapt to changes in information technology could adversely impact the Company s operations and require increased capital spending;
the risk of electronic fraudulent activity within the financial services industry, especially in the commercial banking sector due to cyber criminals targeting bank accounts and other customer information, which could adversely impact the Company s operations, damage its reputation and require increased capital spending;
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changes in consumer spending and savings habits could negatively impact the Company s financial results;
acquisitions may not produce results at levels or within time frames originally anticipated and may result in unforeseen integration issues or impairment of goodwill and/or other intangibles;
new laws and regulations regarding the financial services industry including, but not limited to, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform \& Consumer Protection Act, may have significant effects on the financial services industry in general, and/or the Company in particular, the exact nature and extent of which is uncertain;
changes in laws and regulations (including laws and regulations concerning taxes, banking, securities and insurance) generally applicable to the Company s business could adversely affect the Company s operations; and
changes in accounting policies, practices and standards, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies as well as the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, and other accounting standard setters, could negatively impact the Company sfinancial results.
If one or more of the factors affecting the Company s forward-looking information and statements proves incorrect, then the Company s actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, forward-looking information and statements contained in this Form 10-Q. Therefore, the Company cautions you not to place undue reliance on the Company s forward-looking information and statements.

The Company does not intend to update the Company s forward-looking information and statements, whether written or oral, to reflect change. All forward-looking statements attributable to the Company are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.
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## Selected Quarterly Financial Data

The selected consolidated financial and other data of the Company set forth below does not purport to be complete and should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by, the more detailed information, including the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes, appearing elsewhere herein.

| Three Months |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ended |  |  |  |  |
| September |  |  | December | September |
| 30, | June 30, | March 31, | 31, | 30, |
| 2011 | 2011 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 2010 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data) |  |  |  |

## FINANCIAL

CONDITION DATA:
Securities available for
sale
Securities held to maturity
Loans
Allowance for loan losses
Goodwill and Core
Deposit Intangibles
Total assets
Total deposits
Total borrowings
Stockholders equity
Non-performing loans
Non-performing assets

OPERATING DATA:

| Interest income | \$ | 48,935 | \$ | 49,474 | \$ | 48,958 | \$ | 49,971 | \$ | 50,588 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest expense |  | 7,261 |  | 7,398 |  | 7,485 |  | 8,582 |  | 9,391 |
| Net interest income |  | 41,674 |  | 42,076 |  | 41,473 |  | 41,389 |  | 41,197 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 2,000 |  | 3,482 |  | 2,200 |  | 3,575 |  | 3,500 |
| Non-interest income |  | 12,315 |  | 13,474 |  | 12,598 |  | 14,263 |  | 11,654 |
| Non-interest expenses |  | 35,423 |  | 36,856 |  | 36,482 |  | 36,688 |  | 34,540 |
| Net income available to the common shareholder |  | 11,959 |  | 11,120 |  | 11,188 |  | 11,838 |  | 11,145 |
| PER SHARE DATA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income Basic | \$ | 0.56 | \$ | 0.52 | \$ | 0.53 | \$ | 0.56 | \$ | 0.53 |
| Net income Diluted |  | 0.56 |  | 0.52 |  | 0.52 |  | 0.56 |  | 0.53 |
| Cash dividends declared |  | 0.19 |  | 0.19 |  | 0.19 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.18 |
| Book value (1) |  | 21.48 |  | 21.24 |  | 20.93 |  | 20.57 |  | 20.08 |

OPERATING RATIOS:

| Return on average assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Return on average <br> common equity | $0.99 \%$ | $0.95 \%$ | $0.98 \%$ | $1.01 \%$ | $0.95 \%$ |
| Net interest margin (on a <br> fully tax equivalent basis) | $10.28 \%$ | $9.78 \%$ | $10.24 \%$ | $10.85 \%$ | $10.38 \%$ |

Edgar Filing: INDEPENDENT BANK CORP - Form 10-Q

| Equity to assets | $9.41 \%$ | $9.41 \%$ | $9.64 \%$ | $9.30 \%$ | $9.05 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dividend payout ratio | $34.10 \%$ | $36.65 \%$ | $36.33 \%$ | $32.25 \%$ | $34.26 \%$ |

ASSET QUALITY
RATIOS:
Non-performing loans as a percent of gross loans
Non-performing assets as a percent of total assets
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans Allowance for loan losses as a percent of non-performing loans
177.57\%
212.70\%
$198.50 \% \quad 200.17 \%$
184.79\%

CAPITAL RATIOS:

| Tier 1 leverage capital ratio | 8.59\% | 8.54\% | 8.48\% | 8.19\% | 7.99\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | 10.62\% | 10.46\% | 10.48\% | 10.28\% | 10.35\% |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | 12.67\% | 12.52\% | 12.55\% | 12.37\% | 12.47\% |

(1) Calculated by dividing total stockholders equity by the total outstanding shares as of the end of each period.
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## Executive Level Overview

During the third quarter of 2011, the Company sustained its positive momentum, as its underlying business lines continued to perform well. The Company reported net income for the third quarter of $\$ 12.0$ million, or $\$ 0.56$ on a diluted per share basis, an increase of $7.3 \%$ and $5.7 \%$, respectively, as compared to three months ended September 30, 2010. The following table illustrates key performance measures for the periods indicated:

Diluted Earnings Per Share
Return on Average Assets
Return on Average Common Equity
Net Interest Margin

| For the Three Months |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ended |  |
| September 30, |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| $\$ 0.56$ | $\$ 0.53$ |
| $0.99 \%$ | $0.95 \%$ |
| $10.28 \%$ | $10.38 \%$ |
| $3.84 \%$ | $3.89 \%$ |

For the Nine Months
Ended September 30, 20112010
\$ 1.60 \$ 1.35 0.97\% 0.83\%
10.10\% 8.98\%

Total loans remained consistent at $\$ 3.7$ billion at September 30, 2011, compared with the prior quarter, and have grown by $6.3 \%$ since December 31, 2010 on an annualized basis. The Company continues to see strong loan growth in the home equity portfolio, with growth in the third quarter of $9.9 \%$, on an annualized basis. Compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, commercial and home equity loan growth was $8.2 \%$ and $16.0 \%$, respectively on an annualized basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The Company continues to experience robust commercial and home equity loan demand and maintains a strong loan pipeline.

Deposits remained consistent at $\$ 3.8$ billion at September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior quarter. Core deposits increased by $\$ 30.5$ million to $\$ 3.1$ billion, while time deposits declined, as expected, decreasing at a modest pace by $\$ 29.5$ million, or $4.4 \%$, in the third quarter. Core deposits to total deposits rose to $83.1 \%$ as the Company continues to emphasize core deposits, and the total cost of deposits has steadily declined to $0.37 \%$ for the current quarter.

The Company maintained a solid credit profile during the quarter. Net charge-offs decreased to $\$ 1.4$ million, or $0.15 \%$ of average loans on an annualized basis for the third quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 3.3$ million, or $0.36 \%$, for the quarter ended June 30, 2011. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 2.0$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, a decrease in provisioning from the prior quarter amount of $\$ 3.5$ million, reflective of strong credit metrics and static loan balances. Nonperforming loans increased to $\$ 26.6$ million, or $0.72 \%$ of total loans at September 30, 2011, from $\$ 21.9$ million, or $0.59 \%$ of total loans at June 30, 2011. Delinquency as a percent of loans increased to $0.89 \%$ at September 30, 2011 compared to $0.83 \%$ at June 30, 2011. Early stage delinquency ( 30 to 89 days) increased slightly by 2 basis points to $0.50 \%$ of loans.
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The following charts represent a number of important asset quality indicators that management monitors: The Company s net charge-offs over the trailing five quarters are shown in the table below:
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The following table shows the levels of the Company s nonperforming loans over the trailing five quarters:
Nonperforming assets are comprised of nonperforming loans, nonperforming securities, other real estate owned, and other assets in possession, and are closely managed to ensure an expedient workout. The following table shows the rollforward of nonperforming assets for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011:
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The chart below shows the level of delinquencies over the trailing five quarters:
The net interest margin decreased to $3.84 \%$ in the current quarter from $3.97 \%$ in the second quarter of 2011 and $3.89 \%$ in the third quarter of 2010 , as a result of the prevailing low rate environment and the consequent pressure placed on new asset origination yields along with the Company s relative inability to further reduce the cost of deposits.

Non-interest income, excluding securities gains, decreased in the third quarter of 2011 when compared to the second quarter of 2011 , mainly due to an increase in the unrealized losses on the Company s trading assets due to market conditions. Non-interest income increased when compared to the third quarter of 2010 due to improved service charges, interchange and investment management revenue.

Non-interest expense was down in the third quarter of 2011 when compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2011. The third quarter of 2011 included reductions in credit-related loan workout expenses and OREO property write-downs, decreases in advertising expense, as well as lower FDIC assessments due to a change in the assessment base during 2011 when compared to the linked quarter, offset by an increase in salary and benefit costs due to the Company s growth initiatives. Non-interest expense has increased from the same period in 2011 due primarily to the increased salary and benefits in the current quarter as well as slight increases in occupancy costs as compared to the third quarter of 2010.

Capital levels remained strong. The Company s tier one leverage capital ratio rose to $8.6 \%$ for the quarter and the tangible common equity ratio (pro forma to include the deductibility of goodwill) remained consistent at $7.1 \%$, showing that the Company continues to generate adequate levels of capital to internally fund future growth.
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The following table summarizes the impact of non-core items recorded for the time periods indicated below and reconciles them to the most comparable amounts calculated in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ( GAAP ):
Three Months Ended September 30,
Net Income
Available to Common
Shareholders
2011

AS REPORTED (GAAP)
Net Income available to Common Shareholders (GAAP)
Non-GAAP Measures:
Non-Interest Income Components:
Net Loss on Sale of Securities, net of tax 13
TOTAL IMPACT OF NON-CORE ITEMS
\$ 11,959 \$ 11, 145
\$ 0.56
\$ 0.53

AS ADJUSTED (NON-GAAP)
\$ 11,959
\$ 11, 158
\$ 0.56
\$ 0.53

Nine Months Ended September 30, Net Income
Available to Common Diluted
Shareholders Earnings Per Share $20112010 \quad 2011 \quad 2010$ (Dollars in Thousands)

## AS REPORTED (GAAP)

Net Income available to Common Shareholders (GAAP)
Non-GAAP Measures:
Non-Interest Income Components:
Net Gain on Sale of Securities, net of tax
(428)
(0.02)

Non-Interest Expense Components:
Fair Value Mark on a Terminated Hedging Relationship, net of tax

TOTAL IMPACT OF NON-CORE ITEMS
(428)

328
0.01

AS ADJUSTED (NON-GAAP)
\$ 33,839
\$ 28,459
When management assesses the Company s financial performance for purposes of making day-to-day and strategic decisions it does so based upon the performance of its core banking business, which is primarily derived from the combination of net interest income and non-interest or fee income, reduced by operating expenses, the provision for loan losses, and the impact of income taxes. The Company s financial performance is determined in accordance with GAAP which sometimes includes gain or loss due to items that management does not believe are related to its core banking business, such as gains or losses on the sales of securities, merger and acquisition expenses, and other items. Management, therefore, also computes the Company s non-GAAP operating earnings, which excludes these items, to measure the strength of the Company s core banking business and to identify trends
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that may to some extent be obscured by gains or losses which management deems not to be core to the Company $s$ operations. Management believes that the financial impact of the items excluded when computing non-GAAP operating earnings will disappear or become immaterial within a near-term finite period.

Management s computation of the Company s non-GAAP operating earnings are set forth above because management believes it may be useful for investors to have access to the same analytical tool used by management to evaluate the Company s core operational performance so that investors may assess the Company soverall financial health and identify business and performance trends that may be more difficult to identify and evaluate when non-core items are included.
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Management also believes that the computation of non-GAAP operating earnings may facilitate the comparison of the Company to other companies in the financial services industry.

Non-GAAP operating earnings should not be considered a substitute for GAAP operating results. An item which management deems to be non-core and excludes when computing non-GAAP operating earnings can be of substantial importance to the Company s results for any particular quarter or year. The Company s non-GAAP operating earnings set forth above are not necessarily comparable to non-GAAP information which may be presented by other companies.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. The Company believes that the Company s most critical accounting policies are those which the Company sfinancial condition depends upon, and which involve the most complex or subjective decisions or assessments.

There have been no material changes in critical accounting policies during the first nine months of 2011. Please refer to the 2010 Form 10-K for a complete listing of critical accounting policies.

## FINANCIAL POSITION

Securities Portfolio The Company s securities portfolio consists of trading assets, securities available for sale, and securities which management intends to hold until maturity. Securities decreased by $\$ 103.3$ million to $\$ 521.6$ million at September 30, 2011 as compared to December 31, 2010. This decrease is primarily due to paydowns on securities and the sale of mortgage backed securities as well as reduced investment activity due to unattractive yields. The ratio of securities to total assets as of September 30, 2011 was $10.6 \%$ compared to $12.5 \%$ at December 31, 2010.

The Company continually reviews investment securities for the presence of other-than-temporary impairment ( OTTI ). Further analysis of the Company s OTTI can be found in Note 3 Securities within Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 hereof.

## Edgar Filing: INDEPENDENT BANK CORP - Form 10-Q

## Table of Contents

Residential Mortgage Loan Sales The Company s primary loan sale activity arises from the sale of government sponsored enterprise eligible residential mortgage loans to other financial institutions. During 2011 and 2010, the Bank originated residential loans with the intention of selling them in the secondary market. Loans are sold with servicing rights released and with servicing rights retained. The table below reflects the origination of these loans during the periods indicated:

## Table 1 -Residential Mortgage Loan Sales

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |  | 2010 |
|  | (Dollars | ousands) | (Dollars |  | ands) |
| Loans originated and sold with servicing rights released | \$ 58,976 | \$87,365 | \$ 179,481 |  | 204,940 |
| Loans originated and sold with servicing rights retained | \$ 1,549 | \$ 2,891 | \$ 6,591 | \$ | 6,782 |

The Company recognizes a mortgage servicing asset when it sells a loan with servicing rights retained. When the Company sells a loan the Company enters into agreements that contain representations and warranties about the characteristics of the loans sold and their origination. The Company may be required to either repurchase mortgage loans or to indemnify the purchaser from losses if representations and warranties are breached. The Company has not at this time established a reserve for loan repurchases as it believes material losses are not probable.

Loan Portfolio Management has been focusing on changing the overall composition of the balance sheet by emphasizing growth in commercial and home equity lending categories, while placing less emphasis on the other lending categories. Although changing the composition of the Company s loan portfolio has led to a slower growth rate than what otherwise may have been achieved, management believes the change to be prudent given the prevailing interest rate and economic environment. At September 30, 2011, the Bank s loan portfolio amounted to $\$ 3.7$ billion, an increase of $\$ 167.4$ million, or $4.7 \%$, from December 31 , 2010. The Company was able to sustain growth by continuing to generate growth in both the commercial and industrial and commercial real estate categories, resulting in total commercial portfolio growth of $6.2 \%$, or $8.2 \%$ annualized from December 31, 2010. The Company s home equity portfolio has also shown solid growth, with increases of $12.0 \%$, or $16.0 \%$ on an annualized basis, as compared to December 31, 2010.

The Bank s commercial real estate portfolio, inclusive of commercial construction, is the Bank s largest loan type concentration. This portfolio is well-diversified with loans secured by a variety of property types, such as owner-occupied and non-owner-occupied commercial, retail, office, industrial, warehouse, industrial development bonds, and other special purpose properties, such as hotels, motels, nursing homes, restaurants, churches, recreational facilities, marinas, and golf courses. Commercial real estate also includes loans secured by
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certain residential-related property types including multi-family apartment buildings, residential development tracts and condominiums. The following pie chart shows the diversification of the commercial real estate portfolio as of September 30, 2011:

## Commercial Real Estate Portfolio by Property Type

The Bank s commercial and industrial portfolio has shown growth of $12.8 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. This portfolio is also well-diversified with loans to various types of industries. The following pie chart shows the diversification of the commercial and industrial portfolio as of September 30, 2011:
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Asset Quality The Company continually monitors the credit quality of the loan portfolio using all available information. Based on this information, loans demonstrating certain payment issues or other weaknesses may be categorized as delinquent, impaired, nonperforming and/or put on nonaccrual status. Additionally, in the course of resolving such loans, the Company may choose to restructure the contractual terms of certain loans to match the borrower s ability to repay the loan based on their current financial condition. If a restructured loan meets certain criteria, it may be categorized as a troubled debt restructuring ( TDR ). When the Bank works to resolve loans with any of these issues, the borrower s needs are considered as much as reasonably possible without jeopardizing the Bank s position. If such efforts by the Bank do not result in a satisfactory arrangement, the loan is referred to legal counsel, at which time foreclosure proceedings are initiated. At any time prior to a sale of the property at foreclosure, the Bank may terminate foreclosure proceedings if the borrower is able to work-out a satisfactory payment plan.

Delinquency The Bank sphilosophy toward managing its loan portfolios is predicated upon careful monitoring, which stresses early detection and response to delinquent and default situations. The Bank seeks to make arrangements to resolve any delinquent or default situation over the shortest possible time frame. Generally, the Bank requires that a delinquency notice be mailed to a borrower upon expiration of a grace period (typically no longer than 15 days beyond the due date). Reminder notices may be sent and telephone calls may be made prior to the expiration of the grace period. If the delinquent status is not resolved within a reasonable time frame following the mailing of a delinquency notice, the Bank s personnel charged with managing its loan portfolios contacts the borrower to ascertain
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the reasons for delinquency and the prospects for payment. Any subsequent actions taken to resolve the delinquency will depend upon the nature of the loan and the length of time that the loan has been delinquent. A late charge is usually assessed on loans upon expiration of the grace period.

Nonaccrual Loans As permitted by banking regulations, certain consumer loans past due 90 days or more continue to accrue interest. In addition, certain commercial and real estate loans that are more than 90 days past due may be kept on an accruing status if the loan is well secured and in the process of collection. As a general rule, within commercial real estate or home equity categories, loans more than 90 days past due with respect to principal or interest are classified as nonaccrual loans. Income accruals are suspended on all nonaccrual loans and all previously accrued and uncollected interest is reversed against current income. A loan remains on nonaccrual status until it becomes current with respect to principal and interest (and in certain instances remains current for up to six months), when the loan is liquidated, or when the loan is determined to be uncollectible and is charged-off against the allowance for loan losses.

Troubled Debt Restructurings In the course of resolving nonperforming loans, the Bank may choose to restructure the contractual terms of certain loans. The Bank attempts to work-out an alternative payment schedule with the borrower in order to avoid foreclosure actions. Any loans that are modified are reviewed by the Bank to identify if a TDR has occurred, which is when, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower s financial difficulties, the Bank grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. Terms may be modified to fit the ability of the borrower to repay in line with its current financial status and the restructuring of the loan may include the transfer of assets from the borrower to satisfy the debt, a modification of loan terms, or a combination of the two.

It is the Bank s policy to have any restructured loans which are on nonaccrual status prior to being modified remain on nonaccrual status for six months, subsequent to being modified, before management considers its return to accrual status. If the restructured loan is on accrual status prior to being modified, it is reviewed to determine if the modified loan should remain on accrual status. Loans that are considered TDRs are classified as performing, unless they are on nonaccrual status. All TDRs are considered impaired by the Company, unless it is determined that the borrower is performing under modified terms and the restructuring agreement specified an interest rate greater than or equal to an acceptable rate for a comparable new loan.

Nonperforming Assets Nonperforming assets are comprised of nonperforming loans, nonperforming securities, OREO, and other assets in possession. Nonperforming loans consist of loans that are more than 90 days past due but still accruing interest and nonaccrual loans.

Nonperforming securities consist of securities that are on nonaccrual status. The Company holds six collateralized debt obligation securities comprised of pools of trust preferred securities issued by banks and insurance companies, which are currently deferring interest payments on certain tranches within the bonds structure, including the tranches held by the Company. The bonds are anticipated to continue to defer interest until cash flows are sufficient to satisfy certain collateralization levels designed to protect more senior tranches. As
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a result, the Company has placed these securities on nonaccrual status and has reversed any previously accrued income related to these securities.

When loan collateral is deemed to be in the Bank s control, it is classified as OREO on the balance sheet and it is recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell at the date control is established, resulting in a new cost basis. The amount by which the recorded investment in the loan exceeds the fair value of the foreclosed asset (net of estimated costs to sell) is charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent declines in the fair value of the foreclosed asset below the new cost basis are recorded through the use of a valuation allowance. Subsequent increases in the fair value are recorded as reductions in the allowance, but not below zero. All costs incurred thereafter in maintaining the property are charged to non-interest expense. In the event the real estate is utilized as a rental property, rental income and expenses are recorded as incurred and included in non-interest income and non-interest expense, respectively.
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The following table sets forth information regarding nonperforming assets held by the Bank at the dates indicated:
Table 2 Nonperforming Assets/Loans

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ 30, \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Dol | mber <br> 31, <br> 10 <br> In Thousa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & \text { 30, } \\ & 2010 \\ & \text { s) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans Past Due 90 Days or More but Still Accruing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home Equity | \$ | \$ | 4 | \$ |  |
| Consumer Other | 328 |  | 273 |  | 216 |
| Total | \$ 328 | \$ | 277 | \$ | 216 |
| Loans Accounted for on a Nonaccrual Basis (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and Industrial | \$ 1,836 | \$ | 3,123 | \$ | 4,417 |
| Commercial Real Estate | 10,121 |  | 7,837 |  | 8,966 |
| Commercial Construction | 552 |  | 1,999 |  |  |
| Small Business | 1,032 |  | 887 |  | 909 |
| Residential Real Estate | 10,420 |  | 6,728 |  | 7,863 |
| Home Equity | 2,009 |  | 1,752 |  | 1,881 |
| Consumer Other | 327 |  | 505 |  | 435 |
| Total | \$ 26,297 | \$ | 22,831 | \$ | 24,471 |
| Total Nonperforming Loans | \$ 26,625 | \$ | 23,108 | \$ | 24,687 |
| Nonaccrual Securities | 1,255 |  | 1,051 |  | 1,017 |
| Other Real Estate Owned and Foreclosed Assets | 8,767 |  | 7,334 |  | 9,085 |
| Total Nonperforming Assets | \$ 36,647 | \$ | 31,493 | \$ | 34,789 |
| Nonperforming Loans as a Percent of Gross Loans | 0.72\% |  | 0.65\% |  | 0.72\% |
| Nonperforming Assets as a Percent of Total Assets | 0.75\% |  | 0.67\% |  | 0.74\% |

(1) Inclusive of $\$ 8.5$ million, $\$ 4.0$ million, and $\$ 3.7$ million TDRs on nonaccrual at September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and September 30, 2010, respectively.
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Potential problem loans are any loans which are not included in nonaccrual or nonperforming loans and which are not considered TDRs, where known information about possible credit problems of the borrower causes management to have concerns as to the ability of such borrower to comply with present loan repayment terms, however these loans continue to perform with respect to payments. Management actively monitors these loans and strives to minimize any possible adverse impact to the Bank. The table below shows the potential problem commercial loans at the time periods indicated:

Table 3 Potential Problem Commercial Loans

|  | September <br> 30, <br> 2011 <br> (Dollar | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ \text { 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ <br> Thousands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Loan Relationships | 56 | 62 |
| Aggregate Outstanding Balance | \$ 116,726 | 126,167 |

Income accruals are suspended on all nonaccrual loans and all previously accrued and uncollected interest is reversed against current income. The table below shows interest income that was recognized or collected on nonaccrual loans and performing TDRs as of the dates indicated:

Table 4 Interest Income Recognized/Collected on Nonaccrual Loans and Troubled Debt Restructurings

Interest income that would have been recognized if

| nonaccruing loans had been performing | $\$ 457$ | $\$$ | 638 | $\$ 1,257$ | $\$ 2,160$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest income recognized on TDRs still accruing | $\$ 590$ | $\$$ | 328 | $\$ 1,686$ | $\$ 1,006$ |
| Interest collected on these nonaccrual and TDRs and <br> included in interest income | $\$$ | 646 | $\$ 8376$ | $\$ 2,030$ | $\$ 1,278$ |

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the
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loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower s prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.

Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, commercial construction categories and for all loans identified as a troubled debt restructuring by comparing the loans value to either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan seffective interest rate, the loan $s$ obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. For impaired loans deemed collateral dependent, where impairment is measured using the fair value of the collateral, the Bank will either order a new appraisal or use another available source of collateral assessment such as a broker s opinion of value to determine a reasonable estimate of the fair value of the collateral.

At September 30, 2011, impaired loans included all commercial and industrial loans, commercial real estate loans, commercial construction, and small business loans that are on nonaccrual status, TDRs, and certain other loans that have been categorized as impaired. Total impaired loans at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were $\$ 54.5$ million and $\$ 47.4$ million, respectively. For additional information regarding the Bank s asset quality, including delinquent loans, nonaccrual loans, TDRs, and impaired loans, see Note 4, Loans, Allowance for Loan Losses, and Credit Quality within Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 hereof.

Allowance for Loan Losses The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level that management considers adequate to provide for probable loan losses based upon evaluation of known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by providing for loan losses through a charge to expense and by recoveries of loans previously charged-off and is reduced by loans being charged-off.

While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, future additions to the allowance may be necessary based on increases in nonperforming loans, changes in economic conditions, or for other reasons. Additionally, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of the Bank s examination process, periodically assess the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and may require the Company to increase its provision for loan losses or recognize further loan charge-offs.

As of September 30, 2011, the allowance for loan losses totaled $\$ 47.3$ million, or $1.27 \%$ of total loans as compared to $\$ 46.3$ million, or $1.30 \%$ of total loans, at December 31, 2010. The change in the allowance was due to a combination of factors including shifts in the composition of the loan portfolio mix, changes in asset quality and loan growth.
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The following table summarizes changes in the allowance for loan losses and other selected statistics for the periods presented:
Table 5 Summary of Changes in the Allowance for Loan Losses


| Allowance for Loan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Losses, Beginning of Period | \$ | 46,637 | \$ | 46,444 | \$ | 46,255 | \$ | 45,619 | \$ | 45,291 |
| Charged-Off Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and Industrial |  | 749 |  | 818 |  | 888 |  | 1,313 |  | 1,489 |
| Commercial Real Estate |  | 242 |  | 492 |  | 652 |  | 594 |  | 851 |
| Commercial Construction |  |  |  | 769 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small Business |  | 386 |  | 318 |  | 266 |  | 541 |  | 549 |
| Residential Real Estate |  | 88 |  | 280 |  | 122 |  | 46 |  | 51 |
| Consumer -Home Equity |  | 333 |  | 501 |  | 78 |  | 384 |  | 24 |
| Consumer -Other |  | 374 |  | 409 |  | 478 |  | 512 |  | 515 |
| Total Charged-Off Loans |  | 2,172 |  | 3,587 |  | 2,484 |  | 3,390 |  | 3,479 |
| Recoveries on Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Previously Charged-Off: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and Industrial |  | 77 |  | 69 |  | 202 |  | 276 |  | 60 |
| Commercial Real Estate |  | 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Construction |  | 425 |  | 25 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| Small Business |  | 18 |  | 26 |  | 28 |  | 46 |  | 34 |
| Residential Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| Consumer -Home Equity |  | 13 |  | 13 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 63 |
| Consumer -Other |  | 182 |  | 165 |  | 189 |  | 123 |  | 124 |
| Total Recoveries |  | 813 |  | 298 |  | 473 |  | 451 |  | 307 |
| Net Loans Charged-Off: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and Industrial |  | 672 |  | 749 |  | 686 |  | 1,037 |  | 1,429 |
| Commercial Real Estate |  | 144 |  | 492 |  | 652 |  | 594 |  | 851 |
| Commercial Construction |  | (425) |  | 744 |  | (50) |  |  |  |  |
| Small Business |  | 368 |  | 292 |  | 238 |  | 495 |  | 515 |
| Residential Real Estate |  | 88 |  | 280 |  | 122 |  | 46 |  | 25 |
| Consumer -Home Equity |  | 320 |  | 488 |  | 74 |  | 378 |  | (39) |
| Consumer -Other |  | 192 |  | 244 |  | 289 |  | 389 |  | 391 |
|  |  | 1,359 |  | 3,289 |  | 2,011 |  | 2,939 |  | 3,172 |

Total Net Loans
Charged-Off

| Provision for Loan Losses | 2,000 |  | 3,482 |  | 2,200 |  | 3,575 |  | 3,500 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TOTAL ALLOWANCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FOR LOAN LOSSES, <br> END OF PERIOD | $\$$ | 47,278 | $\$$ | 46,637 | $\$$ | 46,444 | $\$$ | 46,255 | $\$$ |

Net Loans Charged-off as a
Percent of Average Total Loans (Annualized)
Total Allowance for Loan
Losses as a Percent of Total
Loans
Total Allowance for Loan
Losses as a Percent of

| Nonperforming Loans <br> Net Loans Charged-Off as | $177.57 \%$ | $212.70 \%$ | $198.50 \%$ | $200.17 \%$ | $184.79 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| a Percent of Allowance for | $11.40 \%$ | $28.29 \%$ | $17.56 \%$ | $25.21 \%$ | $27.89 \%$ |
| Loan Losses (Annualized) <br> Recoveries as a Percent of <br> Charge-Offs (Annualized) | $37.43 \%$ | $8.31 \%$ | $19.04 \%$ | $13.30 \%$ | $8.82 \%$ |

For purposes of the allowance for loan losses, management segregates the loan portfolio into the portfolio segments detailed in the table below. The allocation of the allowance for loan losses is made to each loan category using the analytical techniques and estimation methods described herein. While these amounts represent management $s$ best estimate of the distribution of probable losses at the evaluation dates, they are not necessarily indicative of either the categories in which actual losses may occur or the extent of such actual losses that
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may be recognized within each category. Each of these loan categories possess unique risk characteristics that are considered when determining the appropriate level of allowance for each segment. The total allowance is available to absorb losses from any segment of the loan portfolio.

The following table sets forth the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by loan category at the dates indicated:
Table 6 Summary of Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

|  | September 30, 2011 <br> (Dollars In thousands) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ <br> Dollars In thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent of Loans |  | Percent of <br> Loans |
|  | Allowance | In Category To Total | Allowance | In Category To Total |
|  | Amount | Loans | Amount | Loans |
| Commercial and Industrial | \$ 11,602 | 15.2\% | \$ 10,423 | 14.1\% |
| Commercial Real Estate | 22,985 | 48.8\% | 21,939 | 48.4\% |
| Commercial Construction | 1,891 | 3.2\% | 2,145 | 3.6\% |
| Small Business | 1,997 | 2.1\% | 3,740 | 2.3\% |
| Residential Real Estate (1) | 3,181 | 12.0\% | 2,915 | 13.4\% |
| Home Equity | 4,116 | 17.4\% | 3,369 | 16.3\% |
| Consumer Other | 1,506 | 1.3\% | 1,724 | 1.9\% |
| Total Allowance for Loan Losses | \$ 47,278 | 100.0\% | \$ 46,255 | 100.0\% |

(1) Includes residential construction.

To determine if a loan should be charged-off, all possible sources of repayment are analyzed. Possible sources of repayment include the potential for future cash flows, the value of the Bank s collateral, and the strength of co-makers or guarantors. When available information confirms that specific loans or portions thereof are uncollectible, these amounts are promptly charged-off against the allowance for loan losses and any recoveries of such previously charged-off amounts are credited to the allowance.

Regardless of whether a loan is unsecured or collateralized, the Company charges off the amount of any confirmed loan loss in the period when the loans, or portions of loans, are deemed uncollectible. For troubled, collateral-dependent loans, loss-confirming events may include an appraisal or other valuation that reflects a shortfall between the value of the collateral and the book value of the loan or receivable, or a deficiency balance following the sale of the collateral. During the first nine months of 2011, the allowance has increased by approximately $\$ 1.0$ million to $\$ 47.3$ million at September 30, 2011.

For additional information regarding the Bank s allowance for loan losses, see Note 4, Loans, Allowance for Loan Losses, and Credit Quality within Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 hereof.
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Federal Home Loan Bank Stock The Bank held an investment in Federal Home Loan Bank Boston ( FHLBB ) of $\$ 35.9$ million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The FHLBB is a cooperative that provides services to its member banking institutions. The primary reason for the FHLBB membership is to gain access to a reliable source of wholesale funding, particularly term funding, as a tool to manage interest rate risk. The purchase of stock in the FHLBB is a requirement for a member to gain access to funding. The Company purchases FHLBB stock proportional to the volume of funding received and views the purchases as a necessary long-term investment for the purposes of balance sheet liquidity and not for investment return.

The FHLBB s board of directors have continued to focus on building retained earnings while delivering core solutions of liquidity and longer-term funding to their members. As a result of these efforts, the FHLBB was able to restore a modest dividend beginning in the first quarter of 2011.

Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets Goodwill and identifiable intangible assets were $\$ 141.1$ million and $\$ 142.0$ million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company performed its annual goodwill impairment testing during the third quarter of 2011, concluding that the Company s goodwill was not impaired.

Bank Owned Life Insurance The Bank holds Bank Owned Life Insurance ( BOLI) for the purpose of offsetting the Bank s future obligations to its employees under its retirement and benefits plans. The value of BOLI was $\$ 85.2$ million and $\$ 82.7$ million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Bank recorded tax exempt income from BOLI of $\$ 757,000$ and $\$ 2.3$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, a decrease of $16.0 \%$ and $1.3 \%$, respectively, compared to the year ago periods, due primarily to special dividends received in the prior year periods.

Deposits Total deposits increased by $\$ 159.8$ million, or $4.4 \%$, at September 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010. Core deposits were higher by $\$ 211.5$ million, or $7.2 \%$, driven by inflows in municipal deposits as well as increases in demand deposits due to both advertising efforts of the Company and seasonality within the deposit customer base. The Company s emphasis on core deposits has led to a steady reduction in time deposits which declined by $\$ 51.7$ million, or $7.5 \%$, at September 30, 2011 as compared to December 31, 2010. Core deposits to total deposits rose to $83.1 \%$ and the total cost of deposits declined to $0.39 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, down 23 basis points from the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The Bank also participates in the Certificate of Deposit Registry Service ( CDARS ) program, allowing the Bank to provide easy access to multi-million dollar FDIC deposit insurance protection on certificate of deposits investments for consumers, businesses and public entities. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, CDARS deposits totaled $\$ 47.3$ million and $\$ 13.6$ million, respectively.
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Borrowings The Company s borrowings amounted to $\$ 568.3$ million at September 30, 2011, a decrease of $\$ 2.8$ million from December 31, 2010. The following table shows the balance of borrowings at the periods indicated:

## Table 7 Borrowings by Category

|  | September 30, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & \text { 31, } \end{aligned}$ |  | \% <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Advances | \$ 257,873 | \$ | 302,414 | -14.7\% |
| Fed Funds Purchased and Assets Sold Under Repurchase |  |  |  |  |
| Agreements | 216,331 |  | 168,119 | 28.7\% |
| Junior Subordinated Debentures | 61,857 |  | 61,857 | 0.0\% |
| Subordinated Debentures | 30,000 |  | 30,000 | 0.0\% |
| Other Borrowings | 2,203 |  | 3,044 | -27.6\% |
| TOTAL BORROWINGS | \$ 568,264 | \$ | 565,434 | 0.5\% |

Capital Resources The Federal Reserve, the FDIC, and other regulatory agencies have established capital guidelines for banks and bank holding companies. Risk-based capital guidelines issued by the federal regulatory agencies require banks to meet a minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of $4.0 \%$ and a total risk-based capital ratio of $8.0 \%$. A minimum requirement of $4.0 \%$ Tier 1 leverage capital is also mandated. At September 30, 2011, the Company and the Bank exceeded the minimum requirements for Tier 1 risk-based, total risk-based capital, and Tier 1 leverage capital.

## Table of Contents

The Company s and the Bank s actual capital amounts and ratios are also presented in the following table:

## Table 8 Company and Bank s Capital Amounts and Ratios

September 30, 2011


December 31, 2010
Company: (Consolidated)
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)
Bank:
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)

| $\$ 429,304$ | $11.92 \%$ | $\$ 288,098 \geq$ | $8.0 \%$ | $\$ 360,123 \geq$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 354,267 | 9.84 | $144,049 \geq$ | 4.0 | $216,074 \geq$ | 6.0 |
| 354,267 | 7.83 | $181,039 \geq$ | 4.0 | $226,299 \geq$ | 5.0 |

Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)

354,267
$7.83 \quad 181,039 \geq$
4.0
$226,299 \geq$
On September 15, 2011 the Company s Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of $\$ 0.19$ per share to stockholders of record as of the close of business on September 26, 2011. This dividend was paid on October 7, 2011. For the quarter ended September 30, 2011, the dividend payout ratio amounted to $34.1 \%$.

## Investment Management

As of September 30, 2011, the Rockland Trust Investment Management Group had assets under administration of $\$ 1.6$ billion representing approximately 3,550 trust, fiduciary, and agency accounts. At December 31, 2010, assets under administration were $\$ 1.6$ billion, representing approximately 3,181 trust, fiduciary, and agency accounts.

## Edgar Filing: INDEPENDENT BANK CORP - Form 10-Q

Included in these amounts as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are assets under administration of $\$ 102.8$ million and $\$ 103.6$ million, respectively, relating to the Company s registered investment advisor, Bright Rock Capital Management, LLC, which was established in 2010 and provides institutional quality investment management services to institutional and high net
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worth clients. Revenue from the Investment Management Group amounted to $\$ 3.0$ million and $\$ 9.2$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to $\$ 2.5$ million and $\$ 7.7$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

Additionally, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, retail investments and insurance revenue was $\$ 438,000$ and $\$ 1.1$ million respectively compared to $\$ 343,000$ and $\$ 1.1$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. Retail investments and insurance includes revenue from LPL Financial and its affiliates, LPL Insurance Associates, Inc., and Savings Bank Life Insurance of Massachusetts.

## Mortgage Banking

The Bank originates residential loans for both its portfolio and with the intention of selling them in the secondary market. The Bank s mortgage banking income consists primarily of revenue from premiums received on loans sold with servicing released, origination fees, and gains and losses on sold mortgages less related commission expense. The gains and losses resulting from the sales of loans with servicing retained are adjusted to recognize the present value of future servicing fee income over the estimated lives of the related loans. The following table shows the residential loans that were closed, held in the portfolio, and sold or held for sale in the secondary market during the periods indicated:

## Table 9 Residential Real Estate Loans Closed, Held in Portfolio, and Sold or Held for Sale

|  | Three Months Ended |  | Nine Months Ended |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 30, | September 30, |  |  |
|  | 2011 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |
| Closed | $\$ 81,943$ | $\$ 109,999$ | $\$ 227,404$ | $\$ 262,229$ |
| Held in Portfolio | 12,524 | 15,603 | 48,042 | 40,769 |
| Sold or Held for Sale in the Secondary Market | 69,419 | 94,396 | 179,362 | 221,460 |

Included in the mortgage banking income results is the impact of the Bank s mortgage servicing assets. Servicing assets are recognized as separate assets when rights are acquired through sale of loans with servicing rights retained. The principal balance of loans serviced by the Bank on behalf of investors amounted to $\$ 248.7$ million at September 30, 2011 and $\$ 279.7$ million at December 31, 2010. Upon sale, the mortgage servicing asset is established, which represents the then current estimated fair value based on market prices for comparable mortgage servicing contracts, when available, or alternatively is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income. The valuation model incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income, such as the cost to service, the discount rate, an inflation rate, ancillary income, prepayment speeds and default rates and losses. Impairment is determined by stratifying the rights based on predominant characteristics, such as interest rate, loan type and investor type. Impairment is recognized through a valuation allowance, to the extent that fair value is less than the capitalized amount. If the Company later determines
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that all or a portion of the impairment no longer exists, a reduction of the allowance may be recorded as an increase to income. Servicing rights are recorded in other assets in the consolidated balance sheets, are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income, and are assessed for impairment based on fair value at each reporting date. The following table shows fair value of the servicing rights associated with these loans and the changes for the periods indicated:

## Table 10 Mortgage Servicing Asset

|  | Three Months Ended | Nine Months Ended |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, |  |  |

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company s results of operations are largely dependent on net interest income, which is the difference between the interest earned on loans and securities and the interest paid on deposits and borrowings. The results of operations are also affected by the level of income/fees from loans, deposits, mortgage banking and investment management, as well as the level of operating expenses, provision for loan losses, provision for income taxes, and the relative levels of interest rates and economic activity.

The following table provides a summary of results of operations:

## Table 11 Summary of Results of Operations

Net Income
Diluted Earnings Per Share
Return on Average Assets
Return on Average Equity

For the Three Months
Ended
September 30,

## 20112010

(Dollars in Thousands)

| $\$$ | 11,959 | $\$$ | 11,145 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | 0.56 | $\$$ | 0.53 |
|  | $0.99 \%$ |  | $0.95 \%$ |
|  | $10.28 \%$ |  | $10.38 \%$ |

For the Nine Months
Ended September 30, 20112010
(Dollars in Thousands)
\$ 34,267 \$ 28,402
\$ 1.60 \$ 1.35
0.97\%
0.83\%
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Net Interest Income The amount of net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates and by the volume and mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

On a fully tax equivalent basis, net interest income for the third quarter of 2011 increased $\$ 562,000$, or $1.4 \%$, to $\$ 42.0$ million, when compared to the third quarter of 2010. The Company s net interest margin was $3.84 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 as compared to $3.89 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2010. The Company s interest rate spread (the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities) was $3.63 \%$ and $3.66 \%$ for the third quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The decline in the net interest margin is primarily due to the continued reduction in interest rates driven by the global economic conditions and a modestly asset sensitive interest rate position.

The following tables present the Company s daily average balances, net interest income, interest rate spread, and net interest margin for the three and nine months ending September 30, 2011 and 2010. For purposes of the table and the following discussion, income from interest-earning assets and net interest income are presented on a fully-taxable equivalent basis by adjusting income and yields earned on tax-exempt interest received on securities and loans, to make them equivalent to income and yields on fully-taxable earning assets. The fully-taxable equivalent was calculated using the blended federal and state statutory tax rate:
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Table 12 Average Balance, Interest Earned/Paid \& Average Yields

| Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  |  | 2010 |  |
|  | Interest |  | Interest |  |  |
| Average | Earned/ | Yield/ | Average | Earned/ | Yield/ |
| Balance | Paid | Rate | Balance | Paid | Rate |
| (Dollar in Thousands) |  |  | (Dollar in Thousands) |  |  |


| INTEREST-EARNING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Earning Deposits with Banks, Federal Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sold, and Short Term |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments | 78,285 | \$ 49 | 0.25\% | \$ 200,862 | \$ 135 | 0.27\% |
| SECURITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trading Assets | 8,437 | 72 | 3.39\% | 7,257 | 61 | 3.33\% |
| Taxable Investment Securities | 526,509 | 4,856 | 3.66\% | 561,240 | 5,618 | 3.97\% |
| Non-taxable Investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities (1) | 7,104 | 133 | 7.43\% | 15,953 | 277 | 6.89\% |
| TOTAL SECURITIES | 542,050 | 5,061 | 3.70\% | 584,450 | 5,956 | 4.04\% |
| LOANS HELD FOR SALE | 12,422 | 116 | 3.70\% | 15,738 | 174 | 4.39\% |
| LOANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and Industrial | 555,745 | 5,840 | 4.17\% | 440,539 | 5,077 | 4.57\% |
| Commercial Real Estate (1) | 1,800,914 | 23,496 | 5.18\% | 1,641,627 | 23,736 | 5.74\% |
| Commercial Construction | 129,540 | 1,495 | 4.58\% | 148,151 | 1,792 | 4.80\% |
| Small Business | 77,850 | 1,141 | 5.81\% | 80,740 | 1,221 | 6.00\% |
| TOTAL COMMERCIAL | 2,564,049 | 31,972 | 4.95\% | 2,311,057 | 31,826 | 5.46\% |
| Residential Real Estate | 450,225 | 4,915 | 4.33\% | 525,003 | 6,174 | 4.67\% |
| Residential Construction | 6,735 | 73 | 4.30\% | 4,874 | 63 | 5.13\% |
| Consumer Home Equity | 638,991 | 6,103 | 3.79\% | 507,308 | 4,914 | 3.84\% |
| TOTAL CONSUMER REAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESTATE | 1,095,951 | 11,091 | 4.01\% | 1,037,185 | 11,151 | 4.27\% |
| TOTAL OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONSUMER | 49,864 | 978 | 7.78\% | 82,130 | 1,593 | 7.70\% |
| TOTAL LOANS | 3,709,864 | 44,041 | 4.71\% | 3,430,372 | 44,570 | 5.15\% |
| TOTAL INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EARNING ASSETS | 4,342,621 | 49,267 | 4.50\% | 4,231,422 | 50,835 | 4.77\% |
| CASH AND DUE FROM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BANKS | 57,103 |  |  | 55,357 |  |  |
| FEDERAL HOME LOAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BANK STOCK | 35,854 |  |  | 35,854 |  |  |
| OTHER ASSETS | 345,400 |  |  | 323,523 |  |  |

TOTAL ASSETS

INTEREST-BEARING
LIABILITIES
DEPOSITS
Savings and Interest
Checking Accounts
Money Market
Time Deposits
TOTAL
INTEREST-BEARING DEPOSITS
BORROWINGS
Federal Home Loan Bank Borrowings
Federal Funds Purchased and Assets Sold
Under Repurchase Agre
Junior Subordinated
Debentures
Subordinated Debenture
Other Borrowings
TOTAL BORROWING
TOTAL
INTEREST-BEARING
LIABILITIES
DEMAND DEPOSITS
OTHER LIABILITIES
TOTAL LIABILITIES
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY \$4,780,978

NET INTEREST INCOME
\$ 42,006

INTEREST RATE SPREAD
(2)

4,319,606
461,372
\$ 1,
1,36
7
6
364,307
23,736
659,154
$2,747,197 \quad 3,419 \quad 0.49 \%$

261,68

201,588
61,857
30,000
2,385

557,511
3,842
2.73\%

577,052
4,590
3.16\%

| $3,304,708$ | 7,261 | $0.87 \%$ | $3,360,104$ | 9,391 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 944,518 |  |  | $1.11 \%$ |  |
| 70,380 |  | 796,205 |  |  |
|  |  | 63,790 |  |  |
| $4,319,606$ |  | $4,220,099$ |  |  |
| 461,372 |  | 426,057 |  |  |

\$4,646,156

NET INTEREST MARGIN
(3)

Supplemental Information:
Total Deposits, including

| Demand Deposits <br> Cost of Total Deposits | $\$ 3,691,715$ | $\$ 3,419$ |  | $\$ 3,579,257$ | $\$ 4,801$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Funding Liabilities, <br> including Demand Deposits | $\$ 4,249,226$ | $\$ 7,261$ |  | $\$ 4,156,309$ | $\$ 9,391$ |  |  |
| Cost of Total Funding <br> Liabilities |  |  | $0.68 \%$ |  |  |  | $0.53 \%$ |

(1) The total amount of adjustment to present interest income and yield on a fully tax-equivalent basis is $\$ 332$ and $\$ 247$ for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
(2) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
(3) Net interest margin represents annualized net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
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Table 13 Average Balance, Interest Earned/Paid \& Average Yields

| Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  |  | 2010 |  |
|  | Interest |  | Interest |  |  |
| Average | Earned/ | Yield/ | Average | Earned/ | Yield/ |
| Balance | Paid | Rate | Balance | Paid | Rate |
| (Dollar in Thousands) |  |  | (Dollar in Thousands) |  |  |

INTEREST-EARNING
ASSETS
Interest Earning Deposits with Banks, Federal Funds

| Sold, and Short Term |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investments | \$ | 43,181 | \$ | 80 | 0.25\% | \$ | 138,319 | \$ | 267 | 0.26\% |
| SECURITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trading Assets |  | 8,388 |  | 206 | 3.28\% |  | 7,143 |  | 183 | 3.43\% |
| Taxable Investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities |  | 550,425 |  | 15,573 | 3.78\% |  | 562,422 |  | 18,093 | 4.30\% |
| Non-taxable Investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities (1) |  | 8,619 |  | 484 | 7.50\% |  | 17,582 |  | 936 | 7.12\% |
| TOTAL SECURITIES |  | 567,432 |  | 16,263 | 3.83\% |  | 587,147 |  | 19,212 | 4.37\% |
| LOANS HELD FOR SALE |  | 11,750 |  | 305 | 3.47\% |  | 10,204 |  | 390 | 5.11\% |
| LOANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and Industrial |  | 530,774 |  | 16,951 | 4.27\% |  | 406,838 |  | 14,051 | 4.62\% |
| Commercial Real Estate (1) |  | 1,779,379 |  | 70,310 | 5.28\% |  | 1,639,380 |  | 70,833 | 5.78\% |
| Commercial Construction |  | 127,285 |  | 4,388 | 4.61\% |  | 161,823 |  | 5,967 | 4.93\% |
| Small Business |  | 79,314 |  | 3,471 | 5.85\% |  | 81,506 |  | 3,639 | 5.97\% |
| TOTAL COMMERCIAL |  | 2,516,752 |  | 95,120 | 5.05\% |  | 2,289,547 |  | 94,490 | 5.52\% |
| Residential Real Estate |  | 458,609 |  | 15,481 | 4.51\% |  | 536,918 |  | 19,424 | 4.84\% |
| Residential Construction |  | 5,005 |  | 172 | 4.59\% |  | 7,146 |  | 276 | 5.16\% |
| Consumer Home Equity |  | 622,952 |  | 17,645 | 3.79\% |  | 492,048 |  | 14,140 | 3.84\% |


| TOTAL CONSUMER REAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ESTATE | $1,086,566$ | 33,298 | $4.10 \%$ | $1,036,112$ | 33,840 | $4.37 \%$ |
| TOTAL OTHER | 56,688 | 3,305 | $7.79 \%$ | 93,232 | 5,388 | $7.73 \%$ |
| CONSUMER | $3,660,006$ | 131,723 | $4.81 \%$ | $3,418,891$ | 133,718 | $5.23 \%$ |
| TOTAL LOANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL INTEREST | $4,282,369$ | 148,371 | $4.63 \%$ | $4,154,561$ | 153,587 | $4.94 \%$ |


| CASH AND DUE FROM |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| BANKS | 55,101 | 64,314 |
| FEDERAL HOME LOAN |  |  |
| BANK STOCK | 35,854 | 35,854 |
| OTHER ASSETS | 329,456 | 310,992 |

TOTAL ASSETS

INTEREST-BEARING
LIABILITIES DEPOSITS
Savings and Interest Checking Accounts Money Market
Time Deposits
TOTAL
INTEREST-BEARING
DEPOSITS
Federal Home Loan Bank
Borrowings
Federal Funds Purchased an
Assets Sold
Under Repurchase
Agreement
Junior Subordinated
Debentu
Subordin
Other B
TOTAL

INTEREST-BEARING
LIABILITIES

DEMAND DEPOSITS
OTHER LIABILITIES

TOTAL LIABILITIES
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY \$4,702,780

NET INTEREST INCOME

INTEREST RATE SPREAD

4,249,201
453,579
3,303,773

882,460
62,968
22,14
0.90\%

3,338,456

750,895
53,622

4,142,973
422,748
\$4,565,721

NET INTEREST MARGIN
(3)

Supplemental Information:
Total Deposits, including
Demand Deposits \$3,613,491 \$ 10,447 \$3,490,113 \$ 16,225
Cost of Total Deposits $\quad 0.39 \% \quad 0.62 \%$
Total Funding Liabilities, including Demand Deposits
Cost of Total Funding
Liabilities
\$4,186,233 \$ 22,144
\$4,089,351 \$ 30,180
$0.71 \%$
0.99\%
(1) The total amount of adjustment to present interest income and yield on a fully tax-equivalent basis is $\$ 1,004$ and $\$ 833$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
(2) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
(3) Net interest margin represents annualized net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
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The following table presents certain information on a fully tax-equivalent basis regarding changes in the Company s interest income and interest expense for the periods indicated. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided with respect to changes attributable to: (1) changes in rate (change in rate multiplied by old volume), (2) changes in volume (change in volume multiplied by old rate), and (3) changes in volume/rate (change in volume multiplied by change in rate) which is allocated to the change due to rate column:

Table 14 Volume Rate Analysis
Three Months Ended September

30,
2011 Compared to 2010
$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Change } & \text { Change } \\ \text { Due to } & \text { Due to } & \text { Total }\end{array}$ Rate
(1) Volume Change (Dollars in Thousands)

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 Compared to 2010
Change Change
Due to Due to Total
Rate (1) Volume Change (Dollars in Thousands)

INCOME ON
INTEREST-EARNING
ASSETS:
INTEREST EARNING
DEPOSITIS WITH BANKS,
FEDERAL FUNDS SOLD
AND SHORT TERM INVERSTMENTS
SECURIITIES:
Taxable Securities
Non-Taxable Securities (2)
Trading Assets
TOTAL SECURITIES
LOANS HELD FOR SALE
LOANS (2)(3)
TOTAL
$\$$
(4)
\$
(82) $\quad \$ \quad(86$
\$ (3) $\quad \$ \quad(184) \quad \$ \quad(187)$
(414) (348) (762

| $(2,134)$ | $(386)$ | $(2,520)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | $(477)$ | $(452)$ |
| $(9)$ | 32 | 23 |


| $(403)$ | $(492)$ | $(895)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(21)$ | $(37)$ | $(58)$ |
| $(4,160)$ | 3,631 | $(529)$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\$(4,588)$ | $\$ 3,020$ | $\$(1,568)$ |


| $(2,118)$ | $(831)$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $(144)$ | 59 |
| $(11,425)$ | 9,430 |

$(2,949)$
$(1,995)$
$\$(4,588) \quad \$ 3,020 \quad \$(1,568) \quad \$(13,690) \quad \$ 8,474 \quad \$(5,216)$

EXPENSE OF
INTEREST-BEARING
LIABILITIES:
DEPOSITS:
Savings and Interest Checking
Accounts
Money Market
Time Deposits
\$
(248) \$

TOTAL
INTEREST-BEARING
DEPOSITS
(416)
$(1,382)$
$(4,367)$
$(1,411)$
$(5,778)$
BORROWINGS:
$\$(237) \quad \$(321) \quad \$(558) \quad \$(1,033) \quad \$(695) \quad \$(1,728)$

## Federal Home Loan Bank

Borrowings
Federal Funds Purchased and Assets Sold Under Repurchase

Agreements
(270)

Junior Subordinated
Debentures
Subordinated Debentures
Other Borrowings
TOTAL BORROWINGIS
TOTAL

CHANGE IN NET
INTEREST INCOME
\$ $(1,482) \quad \$ \quad(648)$
\$ $(3,106) \quad \$ 3,668 \quad \$ 562$
(748)
$(1,625)$
(633)
\$ $(2,044)$
\$ $(8,036)$
(1) The changes for each category of interest income and expense are divided between the portion of change attributable to the variances in volume and the portion of the change attributable to the variances in rate for that category. The unallocated change in rate or volume variance has been allocated to the rate variances.
(2) The total amount of the adjustment to present income and yield on a fully tax-equivalent basis is $\$ 332$ and $\$ 247$ for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively and $\$ 1,004$ and $\$ 833$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
(3) Loans include portfolio loans, and nonperforming loans; however unpaid interest on nonaccrual loans has not been included for purposes of determining interest income.
Provision For Loan Losses The provision for loan losses represents the charge to expense that is required to maintain an adequate level of allowance for loan losses. The provision for loan losses totaled $\$ 2.0$ million and $\$ 7.7$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared with $\$ 3.5$ million and $\$ 15.1$ million for the comparable year-ago periods. The Company s allowance for loan losses, as a percentage of total loans, was $1.27 \%$ at September 30, 2011 compared to $1.30 \%$ at December 31, 2010 and $1.34 \%$ at September 30, 2010. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, net loan charge-offs totaled $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 6.7$ million, respectively, a decrease of $\$ 1.8$ million and $\$ 5.2$ million from the year ago comparative periods. While the total loan portfolio increased by $4.7 \%$ at September 30, 2011, as compared to December 31, 2010, the Company s solid credit
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profile and decreased charge-offs led to lower provisioning levels in the first nine months of 2011.
Although the economic environment remains challenging, regional and local general economic conditions continued to show gradual improvement during the third quarter, as measured in terms of employment levels, statewide economic activity, and other regional economic indicators. Local residential real estate market fundamentals were mixed during the third quarter of 2011 , characterized by a higher level of home sales compared to the same period in 2010 but lower median sales prices. Additionally, foreclosure activity in Massachusetts has shown signs of acceleration in the third quarter. Regional commercial real estate market conditions were mixed during the third quarter, with some areas experiencing a continued recovery, and others still exhibiting higher vacancy rates and negative absorption. Leading economic indicators signal continued economic improvement through the remainder of 2011, however uncertainty persists and growth is expected to be slow.

Management speriodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses considers past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio, adverse situations which may affect the borrowers ability to repay, the estimated value of the underlying collateral, if any, and current and prospective economic conditions. Substantial portions of the Bank s loans are secured by real estate in Massachusetts. Accordingly, the ultimate collectability of a substantial portion of the Bank s loan portfolio is susceptible to changes in property values within the state.

Non-Interest Income The following table sets forth information regarding non-interest income for the periods shown:


Non-interest income increased by $\$ 661,000$, or $5.7 \%$, and by $\$ 5.7$ million, or $17.6 \%$, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same periods in the prior year. The change in non-interest income is attributable to the following:
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Service charges on deposit accounts increased $\$ 1.0$ million, or $32.9 \%$, and $\$ 2.8$ million, or $29.4 \%$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same periods in 2010, primarily due to increased customer utilization of the Bank s overdraft privilege program.

Interchange and ATM fees increased by $\$ 742,000$, or $58.8 \%$, and $\$ 2.1$ million, or $57.3 \%$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to the reclassification of certain net interchange revenue as other non-interest income. Previously, the net amount was recorded in non-interest expense.

Investment management revenue increased by $\$ 640,000$, or $22.5 \%$, and $\$ 1.5$ million, or $17.6 \%$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase is mainly due to increases in assets under administration, which were $\$ 1.6$ billion at September 30, 2011, an increase of $\$ 98.5$ million, or $6.7 \%$, as compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase is due to the general increases in the stock market in these comparable periods and net new client asset flows.

Mortgage banking decreased by $\$ 562,000$, or $38.3 \%$, and $\$ 454,000$, or $14.7 \%$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily driven by lower volume.

Other non-interest income decreased by $\$ 1.1$ million, or $52.5 \%$, and $\$ 523,000$, or $10.3 \%$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decreases year to date are mainly associated with losses on the Company s trading securities and a decrease in revenues from the loan level interest rate derivatives.

Non-Interest Expense The following table sets forth information regarding non-interest expense for the periods shown:

Table 16 Non-Interest Expense

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in | ousands) | (Dollars | ousands) |
| Salaries and Employee Benefits | \$ 20,568 | \$ 19,792 | \$ 60,582 | \$ 56,662 |
| Occupancy and Equipment Expenses | 4,107 | 3,839 | 12,946 | 12,068 |
| Data Processing and Facilities Management | 1,152 | 1,404 | 3,828 | 4,195 |
| Advertising | 703 | 469 | 3,247 | 1,699 |
| FDIC Assessment | 691 | 1,352 | 2,760 | 3,944 |
| Consulting Expense | 685 | 803 | 1,715 | 1,600 |
| OREO Valuation Write-Off | 656 | 189 | 1,461 | 272 |
| Legal Fees | 580 | 720 | 1,647 | 2,575 |
| Telephone | 522 | 513 | 1,584 | 1,591 |
| Other Non-Interest Expense | 5,759 | 5,459 | 18,990 | 18,452 |
| TOTAL | \$ 35,423 | \$ 34,540 | \$ 108,760 | \$ 103,058 |

Non-interest expense increased by $\$ 883,000$, or $2.6 \%$, and by $\$ 5.7$ million, or $5.5 \%$, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same periods in the prior year. The change in non-interest expense is attributable to the following:
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Salaries and employee benefits increased by $\$ 776,000$, or $3.9 \%$, and $\$ 3.9$ million, or $6.9 \%$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same periods in 2010, with the increase attributable to salary merit increases, incentive programs, equity compensation plans, and expansion of the commercial banking business to support growth initiatives.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased by $\$ 268,000$, or $7.0 \%$, and by $\$ 878,000$, or $7.3 \%$, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. The increase for the three month period is mainly due to increased losses on fixed assets and for the nine month period the increase is mainly due to snow removal costs incurred during the first quarter of 2011.

Data processing and facilities management expense decreased by $\$ 252,000$, or $18.0 \%$, and by $\$ 367,000$, or $8.8 \%$, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, due primarily to a change to a lower cost service provider.

Advertising expense increased by $\$ 234,000$, or $49.9 \%$, and by $\$ 1.5$ million, or $91.1 \%$, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. The large increase is due to a major advertising campaign including television, radio and billboard advertisements in 2011.

FDIC Assessment decreased by $\$ 661,000$, or $48.9 \%$, and by $\$ 1.2$ million, or $30.0 \%$, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 due to a lower assessment rate that became effective during the second quarter.

Other non-interest expense increased by $\$ 300,000$, or $5.5 \%$, and by $\$ 538,000$, or $2.9 \%$, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to increases in credit-related loan workout costs and the reclassification of debit card processing fees to other non-interest income for amounts that were previously recorded net in non-interest expense offset by lower loan level derivative expense.

Income Taxes The tax effect of all income and expense transactions is recognized by the Company in each year s consolidated statements of income, regardless of the year in which the transactions are reported for income tax purposes. For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded combined federal and state income tax provisions of $\$ 4.6$ million and $\$ 3.7$ million equating to an effective tax rate of $27.8 \%$ and $24.8 \%$, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded combined federal and state income tax provisions of $\$ 12.9$ million and $\$ 8.7$ million equating to an effective tax rate of $27.4 \%$ and $23.4 \%$, respectively. The Company s effective rates for each year were lower than the blended federal and state statutory rates of $41.2 \%$ and $41.5 \%$ for the 2011 and 2010 tax years, attributable to certain tax preference assets such as BOLI, tax exempt bonds, as well as federal tax credits recognized in connection with the New Markets Tax Credit ( NMTC ) program. Effective July 1, 2008 Massachusetts state legislation was passed which enacted corporate tax reform. As a result of that legislation, the state tax rate is being reduced $1.5 \%$ over a three year period which began on January 1 , 2010 and will result in a blended statutory rate of $40.9 \%$ in 2012. The increase in the Company s effective
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tax rate in 2011 was primarily attributable to a reduction in federal tax credits recognized by the Company in connection with its NMTC program.

Deferred tax assets generally represent items that can be used as a tax deduction or credit in future income tax returns, for which a financial statement tax benefit has already been recognized. The realization of the net deferred tax asset generally depends upon future levels of taxable income and the existence of prior years taxable income to which carry-back refund claims could be made. Valuation allowances are established against those deferred tax assets determined not likely to be realized. The Company had no recorded tax valuation allowance as of September 30, 2011 and 2010.

To date the Company has been awarded a total of $\$ 125.0$ million in tax credit allocation authority under the Federal New Markets Tax Credit Program. Tax credits are eligible to be recognized over a seven year period totaling $39.0 \%$ of the total award, as capital is invested into a subsidiary which will lend to qualifying businesses in low income communities. Accordingly, the Company has received and continues to receive eligible aggregated tax credits totaling $\$ 48.8$ million. The following table details the tax credit recognition by year associated with this program:

Table 17 New Markets Tax Credit Recognition Schedule


Market Risk Market risk is the sensitivity of income to changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, commodity prices and other market-driven rates or prices. The Company $s$ trading operations are limited to the funds held for the purpose of funding an executive non-qualified supplementary retirement plan managed by the Company $s$ investment management group of $\$ 4.8$ million and a $\$ 3.2$ million acquired equities portfolio.

Interest rate risk is the most significant non-credit risk to which the Company is exposed. Interest rate risk is the sensitivity of income to changes in interest rates. Changes in interest rates, as well as fluctuations in the level and duration of assets and liabilities, affect net interest income, the Company s primary source of revenue. Interest rate risk arises directly from the Company s core banking activities. In addition to directly impacting net interest income, changes in the level of interest rates can also affect the amount of loans originated, the timing of cash flows on loans and securities, and the fair value of securities and derivatives, as well as other effects.

The primary goal of interest rate risk management is to control this risk within limits approved by the Board of Directors. These limits reflect the Company s tolerance for interest
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rate risk over both short-term and long-term horizons. The Company attempts to control interest rate risk by identifying, quantifying, and where appropriate, hedging its exposure. The Company manages its interest rate exposure by using a combination of on and off-balance sheet instruments, primarily fixed rate portfolio securities, and interest rate swaps.

The Company quantifies its interest rate exposures using net interest income simulation models, as well as simpler gap analysis, and Economic Value of Equity analysis. Key assumptions in these simulation analyses relate to behavior of interest rates and behavior of the Company $s$ deposit and loan customers. The most material assumptions relate to the prepayment of mortgage assets (including mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities) and the life and sensitivity of non-maturity deposits (e.g. DDA, NOW, savings and money market). The risk of prepayment tends to increase when interest rates fall. Since future prepayment behavior of loan customers is uncertain, the resultant interest rate sensitivity of loan assets cannot be determined exactly.

To mitigate these uncertainties, the Company gives careful attention to its assumptions. In the case of prepayment of mortgage assets, assumptions are derived from published dealer median prepayment estimates for comparable mortgage loans.

The Bank may choose to utilize interest rate swap agreements and interest rate caps and floors to mitigate interest rate risk. An interest rate swap is an agreement whereby one party agrees to pay a floating rate of interest on a notional principal amount in exchange for receiving a fixed rate of interest on the same notional amount for a predetermined period of time from a second party. Interest rate caps and floors are agreements whereby one party agrees to pay a floating rate of interest on a notional principal amount for a predetermined period of time to a second party if certain market interest rate thresholds are realized. The amounts relating to the notional principal amount are not actually exchanged. See Note 7, Derivatives and Hedging Activities within Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 hereof for additional information regarding the Company s Derivative Financial Instruments.

The Company manages the interest rate risk inherent in its mortgage banking operations by entering into forward sales contracts. An increase in market interest rates between the time the Company commits to terms on a loan and the time the Company ultimately sells the loan in the secondary market will have the effect of reducing the gain (or increasing the loss) the Company records on the sale. The Company attempts to mitigate this risk by entering into forward sales commitments in amounts sufficient to cover all closed loans and interest rate-locked loan commitments.

The Company s earnings are not directly or materially impacted by movements in foreign currency rates or commodity prices. Movements in equity prices may have a modest impact on earnings by affecting the volume of activity or the amount of fees from investment-related business lines, as well as changes in the fair value of trading securities.
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The Company s policy on interest rate risk simulation specifies that estimated net interest income for the subsequent 12 months of any simulation should decline by less than $10.0 \%$. The Company was well within policy limits at September 30, 2011 and 2010.

The following table sets forth the estimated effects on the Company s net interest income over a 12-month period following the indicated dates in the event of the indicated increases or decreases in market interest rates:

Table 18 Interest Rate Sensitivity

|  |  | $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ Basis <br> Point <br> Rate |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 200 Basis | 100 Basis | Increase <br> Point |
|  | Rate | Rate | Flattening |
| Increase | Decrease | Curve |  |
| September 30, 2011 | $2.6 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| September 30, 2010 | $1.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |

It should be emphasized, however, that the results are dependent on material assumptions such as those discussed above. For instance, asymmetrical rate behavior can have a material impact on the simulation results. If competition for deposits forced the Company to raise rates on those liabilities quicker than is assumed in the simulation analysis without a corresponding increase in asset yields, net interest income may be negatively impacted. Alternatively, if the Company is able to lag increases in deposit rates as loans re-price upward net interest income would be positively impacted.

The most significant factors affecting market risk exposure of the Company s net interest income during the nine months of 2011 were (i) the shape of the U.S. Government securities and interest rate swap yield curve, (ii) the level of U.S. prime interest rate and LIBOR rates, and (iii) the level of interest rates being offered on long-term fixed rate loans.

Liquidity Risk Liquidity, as it pertains to the Company, is the ability to generate adequate amounts of cash in the most economical way for the institution to meet its ongoing obligations to pay deposit withdrawals and to fund loan commitments. The Company s primary sources of funds are deposits, borrowings, and the amortization, prepayment and maturities of loans and securities.

The Bank utilizes its extensive branch network to access retail customers who provide a stable base of in-market core deposits. These funds are principally comprised of demand deposits, interest checking accounts, savings accounts, and money market accounts. Deposit levels are greatly influenced by interest rates, economic conditions, and competitive factors. The Bank has also established repurchase agreements, with major brokerage firms as potential sources of liquidity. At September 30, 2011 the Bank had the following sources of liquidity: Outstanding FHLBB borrowings of $\$ 257.9$ million, with access to $\$ 514.4$ million additional available borrowing capacity.
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No outstanding borrowings with the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston with access to $\$ 616.1$ million of available borrowing capacity.
Unpledged securities of $\$ 115.4$ million.
Outstanding repurchase agreements with major brokerage firms of $\$ 50.0$ million.
Outstanding customer repurchase agreements amounting to $\$ 166.3$ million.
In connection with the repurchase agreements, the Company had investment securities carried at $\$ 228.3$ million that were pledged to secure assets sold under these repurchase agreements.

Also included in borrowings at September 30, 2011, were $\$ 61.8$ million of junior subordinated debentures, comprised primarily of trust preferred debt issued to the public and $\$ 30.0$ million of subordinated debt.

Asset/Liability Management The Bank s asset/liability management process monitors and manages, among other things, the interest rate sensitivity of the balance sheet, the composition of the securities portfolio, funding needs and sources, and the liquidity position. All of these factors, as well as projected asset growth, current and potential pricing actions, competitive influences, national monetary and fiscal policy, and the regional economic environment are considered in the asset/liability management process.

The Asset/Liability Management Committee ( ALCO ), whose members are comprised of the Bank s senior management, develop procedures consistent with policies established by the Board of Directors, which monitor and coordinate the Bank s interest rate sensitivity and the sources, uses, and pricing of funds. Interest rate sensitivity refers to the Bank s exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and its effect on earnings. If assets and liabilities do not re-price simultaneously and in equal volume, the potential for interest rate exposure exists. It is management s objective to maintain stability in the growth of net interest income through the maintenance of an appropriate mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and, when necessary, within prudent limits, through the use of off-balance sheet hedging instruments such as interest rate swaps, floors and caps. The ALCO employs simulation analyses in an attempt to quantify, evaluate, and manage the impact of changes in interest rates on the Bank s net interest income. In addition, the Bank engages an independent consultant to render advice with respect to asset and liability management strategy.

The Bank is careful to increase deposits without adversely impacting the weighted average cost of those funds. Accordingly, management has implemented funding strategies that include FHLB advances and repurchase agreement lines. These non-deposit funds are also viewed as a contingent source of liquidity and, when profitable lending and investment opportunities exist, access to such funds provides a means to grow the balance sheet.

The Company actively manages its liquidity position under the direction of the ALCO. Periodic review under prescribed policies and procedures is intended to ensure that the Company will maintain adequate levels of available funds. At September 30, 2011 the Company s liquidity position was above policy guidelines. Management believes that the Bank has adequate liquidity available to respond to current and anticipated liquidity demands.
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Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements There have been no material changes in off-balance sheet financial instruments during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Please refer to the 2010 Form 10-K for a complete table of contractual obligations, commitments, contingencies and off-balance sheet financial instruments.

Contractual Obligations, Commitments, and Contingencies There have been no material changes in contractual obligations, commitments, or contingencies during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Please refer to the 2010 Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for a complete table of contractual obligations, commitments, contingencies, and off-balance sheet financial instruments. Subsequent to September 30, 2011, the Bank entered into an amended and restated contract with an existing vendor that was effective as of October 24, 2011 and described in the Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 28, 2011.

Regulatory Update In July 2010, Congress enacted the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act ). This law will significantly change the current bank regulatory structure and affect the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of implementing rules and regulations, and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress.

The Company continues to review the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act, monitor its implementation and assess its probable impact on the Company s business, financial condition, and results of operations. However, the ultimate effect of the Dodd-Frank Act on the financial services industry in general, and on the Company in particular, remains uncertain at this time.

Provisions under the Dodd-Frank Act include:
Effective July 21, 2011, a provision of the Dodd-Frank Act that eliminates the federal prohibitions on paying interest on demand deposits, thus allowing businesses to have interest bearing checking accounts. Depending on competitive responses, this significant change to existing law could have an adverse impact on the Company s interest expense.
The Dodd-Frank Act also broadens the base for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance assessments. Assessments are now based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution. The Dodd-Frank Act also permanently increased the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to $\$ 250,000$ per depositor, retroactive to January 1, 2009, and non-interest bearing transaction accounts have unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2013. The Company has begun to see a reduction in the amount of the FDIC assessment as a result of these changes in 2011.
The Dodd-Frank Act requires publicly traded companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and so-called golden parachute payments, and authorizes the Securities and Exchange Commission to
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promulgate rules that would allow stockholders to nominate their own board candidates using a company s proxy materials. The legislation also directs the Federal Reserve Board to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to bank holding company executives, regardless of whether the company is publicly traded or not. The Company s Board has decided to include a proxy vote on executive compensation every year.
The Dodd-Frank Act broadened the scope of derivative instruments and requires clearing and exchange trading of certain instruments. Furthermore, the Dodd-Frank Act includes capital margin, reporting and registration requirements for derivative participants. Final regulations are in process and the effective date of the changes has been delayed to December 31, 2011.
The Dodd-Frank Act creates a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions, including the authority to prohibit unfair, deceptive or abusive acts and practices. Banks and savings institutions with $\$ 10$ billion or less in assets will continue to be examined for compliance with consumer laws by their primary bank regulators.
The Dodd-Frank Act requires that the Federal Reserve Board ( FRB ) propose regulations to establish standards for debit card interchange transaction fees. Interchange fees are established by payment card networks and ultimately paid by merchants to debit card issuers for each electronic debit transaction. In accordance with the Dodd-Frank Act, these fees must be reasonable and proportional to the issuer s cost for processing the transaction. On June 29, 2011, the FRB approved a final debit card interchange regulation which caps an issuer s base fee at 21 cents per transaction plus an additional fee computed at five basis-points of the transaction value. If an issuer complies with certain fraud-prevention policies, the issuer can charge an additional $\$ 0.01$ per transaction to cover the costs of the fraud-prevention program. These standards apply to issuers that, together with their affiliates, have assets of $\$ 10$ billion or more. The effective date of the pricing restrictions is October 1, 2011. The Company s assets are under $\$ 10$ billion and therefore not directly impacted by these provisions. The Company is currently assessing the impact of these regulations with their payment card networks as it anticipated that the market will drive the interchange fees down for the Company.
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## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Information required by this Item 3 is included in Item 2 of Part I of this Form 10-Q, entitled Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer along with the Company s Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, the Company s Chief Executive Officer along with the Company s Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred through the third quarter of 2011 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company s internal controls over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is not involved in any legal proceedings other than routine legal proceedings occurring in the ordinary course of business or other matters not considered to be material. Management believes that those legal proceedings involve, in the aggregate, amounts that are immaterial to the Company s financial condition and results of operations.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

As of the date of this report, there have been no material changes with regard to the Risk Factors disclosed in Item 1A of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which are incorporated herein by reference.
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Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
(a) Not applicable.
(b) Not applicable.
(c) Not applicable

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities None
Item 5. Other Information None
Item 6. Exhibits

## Exhibits Index

## No. Exhibit

3.(i) Restated Articles of Organization, as adopted May 20, 2010, incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on May 24, 2010.
3.(ii) Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on May 24, 2010.
4.1 Specimen Common Stock Certificate, incorporated by reference to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992.
4.2 Specimen Preferred Stock Purchase Rights Certificate, incorporated by reference to Form 8-A Registration Statement filed on November 5, 2001.
4.3 Indenture of Registrant relating the Junior Subordinated Debt Securities issued to Independent Capital Trust V, is incorporated by reference to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed on February 28, 2007.
4.4 Form of Certificate of Junior Subordinated Debt Security for Independent Capital Trust V (included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.9)
4.5 Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust for Independent Capital Trust V, incorporated by reference to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed on February 28, 2007.
4.6 Form of Capital Security Certificate for Independent Capital Trust V (included as Exhibit A-1 to Exhibit 4.9).
4.7 Guarantee Agreement relating to Independent Capital Trust V, is incorporated by reference to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed on February 28, 2007.
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## No. Exhibit

4.8 Forms of Capital Securities Purchase Agreements for Independent Capital Trust V, is incorporated by reference to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed on February 28, 2007.
4.9 Subordinated Debt Purchase Agreement between USB Capital Resources and Rockland Trust Company dated as of August 27, 2008, is incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on September 2, 2008.
4.10 Rockland Trust Company Employee Savings, Profit Sharing and Stock Ownership Plan, incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed on April 16, 2010.
4.11 Independent Bank Corp. 2010 Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan, incorporated by reference to Form S-3 filed on August 24, 2010.
10.1 Independent Bank Corp. 1996 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan incorporated by reference to Definitive Proxy Statement for the 1996 Annual Meeting of Stockholders filed on March 19, 1996.
10.2 Independent Bank Corp. 1997 Employee Stock Option Plan incorporated by reference to the Definitive Proxy Statement for the 1997 Annual Meeting of Stockholders filed on March 20, 1997.
10.3 Independent Bank Corp. 2005 Employee Stock Plan, incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed on July 28, 2005.
10.4 Renewal Rights Agreement dated as of September 14, 2000 by and between the Company and Rockland Trust, as Rights Agent, incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on October 23, 2000.
10.5 Independent Bank Corp. Deferred Compensation Program for Directors (restated as amended as of December 1, 2000), incorporated by reference to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, filed on March 29, 2001.
10.6 Master Securities Repurchase Agreement, incorporated by reference to Form S-1 Registration Statement filed on September 18, 1992.
10.7 Revised employment agreements between Christopher Oddleifson, Raymond G. Fuerschbach, Edward F. Jankowski, Jane L. Lundquist, Gerard F. Nadeau, Edward H. Seksay, and Denis K. Sheahan and the Company and/or Rockland Trust and a Rockland Trust Company amended and restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan dated November 20, 2008, incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on November 21, 2008.
10.8 Specimen forms of stock option agreements for the Company s Chief Executive and other executive officers, incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2005.
10.9 On-Site Outsourcing Agreement by and between Fidelity Information Services, Inc. and Independent Bank Corp., effective as of November 1, 2004, incorporated by reference to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed on March 4, 2005. Amendment to On-Site Outsourcing Agreement, incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2008.
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## No. Exhibit

10.10 New Markets Tax Credit program Allocation Agreement between the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund of the United States Department of the Treasury and Rockland Community Development with an Allocation Effective Date of September 22, 2004, incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on October 14, 2004.
10.11 Independent Bank Corp. 2006 Non-Employee Director Stock Plan, incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed on April 17, 2006.
10.12 Independent Bank Corp. 2006 Stock Option Agreement for Non-Employee Director, incorporated by reference to Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2006.
10.13 Independent Bank Corp. 2006 Restricted Stock Agreement for Non-Employee Director, incorporated by reference to Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2006.
10.14 New Markets Tax Credit program Allocation Agreement between the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund of the United States Department of the Treasury and Rockland Community Development with an Allocation Effective Date of January 9, 2007, is incorporated by reference to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed on February 28, 2007.
10.15 New Markets Tax Credit program Allocation Agreement between the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund of the United States Department of the Treasury and Rockland Community Development with an Allocation Effective Date of June 18, 2009, incorporated by reference to Form 10-Q filed on November 5, 2009.
10.16 Item Processing and Other Services Agreement dated and effective as of July 1, 2010 by and between Fidelity Information Services, Inc. and Independent Bank Corp., incorporated by reference to Form 10-Q filed August 5, 2010.
10.17 Independent Bank Corp. 2010 Non-Employee Director Stock Plan, incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed May 24, 2010.
10.18 Independent Bank Corp. 2010 Stock Option Agreement for Non-Employee Director, incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed May 24, 2010.
10.20 Independent Bank Corp. 2010 Restricted Stock Agreement for Non-Employee Director, incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed May 24, 2010.
10.21 Independent Bank Corp. amendment to the Amended and Restated 2005 Employee Stock Plan, incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed on June 17, 2011.
10.22 Independent Bank Corp. and Rockland Trust company Executive Officer Performance Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on April 20, 2011.
31.1 Section 302 Certification of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 is attached hereto.*
31.2 Section 302 Certification of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 is attached hereto.*
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No. Exhibit
32.1 Section 906 Certification of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 is attached hereto.+
32.2 Section 906 Certification of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 is attached hereto.+
101.INS XBRL Instance Document +
101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document +
101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document +
101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document +
101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document +
101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document +

* Filed herewith
+ Furnished herewith
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

> INDEPENDENT BANK CORP.
> (registrant)

Date: November 4, 2011

Date: November 4, 2011
/s/ Christopher Oddleifson
Christopher Oddleifson
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ Denis K. Sheahan
Denis K. Sheahan
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)
INDEPENDENT BANK CORP.
(registrant)
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