

FIRST CITIZENS BANCSHARES INC /DE/

Form 10-Q

May 09, 2013

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 001-16715

First Citizens BancShares, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

56-1528994

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

4300 Six Forks Road, Raleigh, North Carolina

(Address of principle executive offices)

27609

(Zip code)

(919) 716-7000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding twelve months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past ninety days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files) Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of 'accelerated filer' and 'large accelerated filer' in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Class A Common Stock—\$1 Par Value—8,586,058 shares

Class B Common Stock—\$1 Par Value—1,032,883 shares

(Number of shares outstanding, by class, as of May 9, 2013)

Table of Contents

INDEX

| | Page(s) |
|--|-----------|
| PART I. <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013, and March 31, 2012</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013, and March 31, 2012</u> | 5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013, and March 31, 2012</u> | 6 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013, and March 31, 2012</u> | 7 |
| <u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 8 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 36 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 63 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 63 |
| PART II. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 64 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 69 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 70 |

Table of Contents

Part 1

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

First Citizens BancShares, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | March 31* 2013 | December 31# 2012 | March 31* 2012 |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| | (thousands, except share data) | | |
| Assets | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$460,217 | \$639,730 | \$552,663 |
| Overnight investments | 954,232 | 443,180 | 752,334 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 5,279,678 | 5,226,228 | 4,457,739 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 1,229 | 1,342 | 1,688 |
| Loans held for sale | 86,351 | 86,333 | 73,457 |
| Loans and leases: | | | |
| Covered under loss share agreements | 1,621,327 | 1,809,235 | 2,183,869 |
| Not covered under loss share agreements | 11,509,080 | 11,576,115 | 11,489,529 |
| Less allowance for loan and lease losses | 273,019 | 319,018 | 272,500 |
| Net loans and leases | 12,857,388 | 13,066,332 | 13,400,898 |
| Premises and equipment | 872,045 | 882,768 | 864,466 |
| Other real estate owned: | | | |
| Covered under loss share agreements | 101,901 | 102,577 | 142,418 |
| Not covered under loss share agreements | 44,828 | 43,513 | 48,092 |
| Income earned not collected | 47,255 | 47,666 | 52,406 |
| Receivable from FDIC for loss share agreements | 195,942 | 270,192 | 492,384 |
| Goodwill | 102,625 | 102,625 | 102,625 |
| Other intangible assets | 2,884 | 3,556 | 6,076 |
| Other assets | 344,437 | 367,610 | 278,415 |
| Total assets | \$21,351,012 | \$21,283,652 | \$21,225,661 |
| Liabilities | | | |
| Deposits: | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$4,915,038 | \$4,885,700 | \$4,572,300 |
| Interest-bearing | 13,149,883 | 13,200,325 | 13,187,192 |
| Total deposits | 18,064,921 | 18,086,025 | 17,759,492 |
| Short-term borrowings | 573,102 | 568,505 | 677,993 |
| Long-term obligations | 444,252 | 444,921 | 649,818 |
| Payable to FDIC for loss share agreements | 98,870 | 101,641 | 82,033 |
| Other liabilities | 251,286 | 218,553 | 164,202 |
| Total liabilities | 19,432,431 | 19,419,645 | 19,333,538 |
| Shareholders' Equity | | | |
| Common stock: | | | |
| Class A - \$1 par value (11,000,000 shares authorized; 8,586,058 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2013; 8,588,031 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012; 8,644,307 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2012) | 8,586 | 8,588 | 8,644 |
| Class B - \$1 par value (2,000,000 shares authorized; 1,032,883 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2013; 1,032,883 shares | 1,033 | 1,033 | 1,631 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST CITIZENS BANCSHARES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012; 1,631,424 shares

issued and outstanding at March 31, 2012)

| | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Surplus | 143,766 | 143,766 | 143,766 |
| Retained earnings | 1,845,101 | 1,792,726 | 1,804,498 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net | (79,905) | (82,106) | (66,416) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 1,918,581 | 1,864,007 | 1,892,123 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$21,351,012 | \$21,283,652 | \$21,225,661 |

* Unaudited

Derived from 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

Table of ContentsFirst Citizens BancShares, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Income

| | Three months ended March 31 | |
|---|---|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (thousands, except share and per share data, unaudited) | |
| Interest income | | |
| Loans and leases | \$211,763 | \$238,137 |
| Investment securities: | | |
| U. S. Treasury | 496 | 739 |
| Government agency | 3,326 | 4,332 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 4,579 | 1,889 |
| Corporate bonds | — | 1,199 |
| State, county and municipal | 6 | 12 |
| Other | 77 | 131 |
| Total investment securities interest and dividend income | 8,484 | 8,302 |
| Overnight investments | 357 | 313 |
| Total interest income | 220,604 | 246,752 |
| Interest expense | | |
| Deposits | 10,313 | 16,472 |
| Short-term borrowings | 704 | 1,391 |
| Long-term obligations | 4,705 | 7,937 |
| Total interest expense | 15,722 | 25,800 |
| Net interest income | 204,882 | 220,952 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | (18,606 |) 30,715 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses | 223,488 | 190,237 |
| Noninterest income | | |
| Cardholder and merchant services | 23,557 | 22,450 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 14,999 | 14,846 |
| Wealth management services | 14,515 | 13,755 |
| Fees from processing services | 5,619 | 8,562 |
| Securities gains (losses) | 58 | (45 |
| Other service charges and fees | 3,766 | 3,441 |
| Mortgage income | 3,788 | 2,924 |
| Insurance commissions | 2,980 | 2,756 |
| ATM income | 1,168 | 1,455 |
| Adjustment for FDIC receivable for loss share agreements | (24,053 |) (26,796 |
| Other | 11,116 | 3,595 |
| Total noninterest income | 57,513 | 46,943 |
| Noninterest expense | | |
| Salaries and wages | 76,119 | 75,684 |
| Employee benefits | 25,019 | 20,249 |
| Occupancy expense | 18,809 | 18,607 |
| Equipment expense | 18,946 | 18,166 |
| FDIC insurance expense | 2,666 | 3,057 |
| Foreclosure-related expenses | 4,305 | 4,590 |
| Other | 48,491 | 42,978 |
| Total noninterest expense | 194,355 | 183,331 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST CITIZENS BANCSHARES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Income before income taxes | 86,646 | 53,849 |
| Income taxes | 31,061 | 18,354 |
| Net income | \$55,585 | \$35,495 |
| Average shares outstanding | 9,618,985 | 10,283,842 |
| Net income per share | \$5.78 | \$3.45 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

4

Table of ContentsFirst Citizens BancShares, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

| | Three months ended March 31 | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (thousands, unaudited) | |
| Net income | \$55,585 | \$35,495 |
| Other comprehensive income | | |
| Unrealized gains on securities: | | |
| Change in unrealized securities gains arising during period | (1,418 |) (2,898 |
| Deferred tax benefit | 542 | 1,123 |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains included in income before income taxes | (58 |) — |
| Deferred tax expense | 23 | — |
| Total change in unrealized gains on securities, net of tax | (911 |) (1,775 |
| Change in fair value of cash flow hedges: | | |
| Change in unrecognized loss on cash flow hedges | 2 | (359 |
| Deferred tax expense | (1 |) 141 |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains included in income before income taxes | 813 | 749 |
| Deferred tax benefit | (321 |) (296 |
| Total change in unrecognized loss on cash flow hedges, net of tax | 493 | 235 |
| Change in pension obligation: | | |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains included in income before income taxes | 4,304 | 2,790 |
| Deferred tax expense | (1,685 |) (1,092 |
| Total change in pension obligation, net of tax | 2,619 | 1,698 |
| Other comprehensive income | 2,201 | 158 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$57,786 | \$35,653 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of ContentsFirst Citizens BancShares, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

| | Class A Common Stock | Class B Common Stock | Surplus | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Total Shareholders' Equity |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| (thousands, except share data, unaudited) | | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$8,644 | \$ 1,640 | \$143,766 | \$1,773,652 | \$ (66,574) | \$1,861,128 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 35,495 | — | 35,495 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | — | — | — | — | 158 | 158 |
| Repurchase of 8,388 shares of Class B common stock | — | (9) | — | (1,564) | — | (1,573) |
| Cash dividends (\$0.30 per share) | — | — | — | (3,085) | — | (3,085) |
| Balance at March 31, 2012 | \$8,644 | \$ 1,631 | \$143,766 | \$1,804,498 | \$ (66,416) | \$1,892,123 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$8,588 | \$ 1,033 | \$143,766 | \$1,792,726 | \$ (82,106) | \$1,864,007 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 55,585 | — | 55,585 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | — | — | — | — | 2,201 | 2,201 |
| Repurchase of 1,973 shares of Class A common stock | (2) | — | — | (319) | — | (321) |
| Cash dividends (\$0.30 per share) | — | — | — | (2,891) | — | (2,891) |
| Balance at March 31, 2013 | \$8,586 | \$ 1,033 | \$143,766 | \$1,845,101 | \$ (79,905) | \$1,918,581 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of ContentsFirst Citizens BancShares, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| | Three months ended March 31 | |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (thousands, unaudited) | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$55,585 | \$35,495 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | (18,606 |) 30,715 |
| Deferred tax (benefit) expense | 7,733 | (5,692 |
| Change in current taxes payable | 31,625 | 22,857 |
| Depreciation | 17,994 | 16,620 |
| Change in accrued interest payable | (2,700 |) (2,233 |
| Change in income earned not collected | 411 | (10,190 |
| Gain on sale of processing services, net | (4,085 |) — |
| Securities losses (gains) | (58 |) 45 |
| Origination of loans held for sale | (117,981 |) (135,897 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale | 121,523 | 158,391 |
| Gain on sale of loans | (3,560 |) (3,412 |
| Loss on sale of other real estate | 1,350 | 1,495 |
| Net amortization of premiums and discounts | (47,236 |) (35,480 |
| FDIC receivable for loss share agreements | 5,619 | (18,274 |
| Net change in other assets | (6,980 |) 23,564 |
| Net change in other liabilities | 32,662 | 6,487 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 73,296 | 84,491 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net change in loans outstanding | 269,428 | 277,719 |
| Purchases of investment securities available for sale | (736,923 |) (1,681,584 |
| Proceeds from maturities of investment securities held to maturity | 113 | 134 |
| Proceeds from maturities of investment securities available for sale | 674,606 | 1,275,014 |
| Proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale | 1,582 | — |
| Net change in overnight investments | (511,052 |) (317,359 |
| Cash received from the FDIC for loss share agreements | 42,519 | 123,204 |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate | 36,019 | 23,853 |
| Additions to premises and equipment | (8,713 |) (26,610 |
| Net cash used by investing activities | (232,421 |) (325,629 |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net change in time deposits | (195,381 |) (306,338 |
| Net change in demand and other interest-bearing deposits | 174,277 | 488,556 |
| Net change in short-term borrowings | 4,597 | 62,771 |
| Repayment of long-term obligations | (669 |) (37,781 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (321 |) (1,573 |
| Cash dividends paid | (2,891 |) (3,085 |
| Net cash provided (used) by financing activities | (20,388 |) 202,550 |
| Change in cash and due from banks | (179,513 |) (38,588 |
| Cash and due from banks at beginning of period | 639,730 | 590,801 |
| Cash and due from banks at end of period | \$460,217 | \$552,213 |
| CASH PAYMENTS FOR: | | |

| | | |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Interest | \$18,422 | \$28,033 |
| Income taxes | 3,364 | 84 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Change in unrealized securities gains (losses) | \$(1,476 |) \$(2,898) |
| Change in fair value of cash flow hedge | 815 | 389 |
| Change in pension obligation | 4,304 | 2,790 |
| Transfers of loans to other real estate | 38,008 | 26,840 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

7

Table of Contents

First Citizens BancShares, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)
Note A
Accounting Policies and Basis of Presentation

First Citizens BancShares, Inc. (BancShares) is a financial holding company organized under the laws of Delaware and conducts operations through its banking subsidiary, First-Citizens Bank & Trust Company (FCB), which is headquartered in Raleigh, North Carolina.

General

These consolidated financial statements and notes are presented in accordance with the instructions for Form 10-Q and, therefore, do not include all information and notes necessary for a complete presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flow activity required in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). In the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the consolidated financial position and consolidated results of operations have been made. The information contained in the financial statements and footnotes included in BancShares Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, should be referred to in connection with these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements.

BancShares evaluates all subsequent events prior to filing this Form 10-Q.

Reclassifications

In certain instances, amounts reported in prior years' consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current financial statement presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on previously reported cash flows, shareholders' equity or net income.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates, and different assumptions in the application of these policies could result in material changes in BancShares' consolidated financial position and/or consolidated results of operations and related disclosures. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change include the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses, determination of fair value for financial instruments, other real estate owned (OREO), cash flow estimates on acquired loans receivable and payable from/to FDIC for loss share agreements, purchase accounting related adjustments, and income tax assets, liabilities, and expense.

Goodwill Impairment

Annual impairment tests are conducted as of July 31 each year. Based on the third quarter 2012 impairment test, management concluded there was no impairment of goodwill. In addition to the annual testing requirement, impairment tests are performed for various other events including significant adverse changes in the business climate, considering various qualitative and quantitative factors to determine whether impairment exists.

Recent Accounting and Regulatory Pronouncements

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2013-01, “Balance Sheet”

This ASU's objective is to clarify that the scope of ASU No. 2011-11, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities, would apply to derivatives including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset in accordance with Section 210-20-45 or Section 815-10-45 or are subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement.

Table of Contents

BancShares is required to apply the amendments for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The effective date is the same as the effective date of Update 2011-11. BancShares has adopted the methodologies prescribed by this ASU by the date required, and the ASU did not have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

FASB ASU 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income."

This ASU requires BancShares to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts, BancShares is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts.

For public entities, the amendments are effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. BancShares has adopted the methodologies prescribed by this ASU by the date required, and the ASU did not have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations. BancShares has included the required disclosures in Note L.

FASB ASU 2013-04, "Liabilities"

This ASU provides guidance for the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this Update is fixed at the reporting date, except for obligations addressed within existing guidance in GAAP.

The guidance requires BancShares to measure those obligations as the sum of the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors and any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. The guidance in this Update also requires an entity to disclose the nature and amount of the obligation as well as other information about those obligations.

The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2013. Early adoption is permitted. BancShares will adopt the methodologies prescribed by this ASU by the date required, and does not anticipate that the ASU will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Note B

Investments

The aggregate values of investment securities at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012, along with unrealized gains and losses determined on an individual security basis are as follows:

| | Cost | Gross unrealized gains | Gross unrealized losses | Fair value |
|--|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Investment securities available for sale | | | | |
| March 31, 2013 | | | | |
| U. S. Treasury | \$749,284 | \$474 | \$1 | \$749,757 |
| Government agency | 3,147,363 | 2,952 | 274 | 3,150,041 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,348,765 | 10,849 | 1,512 | 1,358,102 |
| Equity securities | 543 | 19,860 | — | 20,403 |
| State, county and municipal | 546 | 1 | — | 547 |
| Other | 844 | 1 | 17 | 828 |
| Total investment securities available for sale | \$5,247,345 | \$34,137 | \$1,804 | \$5,279,678 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| U. S. Treasury | \$823,241 | \$403 | \$12 | \$823,632 |
| Government agency | 3,052,040 | 3,501 | 337 | 3,055,204 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,315,211 | 14,787 | 341 | 1,329,657 |
| Equity securities | 543 | 15,822 | — | 16,365 |
| State, county and municipal | 546 | 4 | — | 550 |
| Other | 838 | — | 18 | 820 |
| Total investment securities available for sale | \$5,192,419 | \$34,517 | \$708 | \$5,226,228 |
| March 31, 2012 | | | | |
| U. S. Treasury | \$1,065,035 | \$306 | \$305 | \$1,065,036 |
| Government agency | 2,859,197 | 1,228 | 5,040 | 2,855,385 |
| Corporate bonds | 225,214 | 1,214 | — | 226,428 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 282,706 | 8,393 | 191 | 290,908 |
| Equity securities | 894 | 18,049 | — | 18,943 |
| State, county and municipal | 1,026 | 14 | 1 | 1,039 |
| Total investment securities available for sale | \$4,434,072 | \$29,204 | \$5,537 | \$4,457,739 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | | | | |
| March 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | \$1,229 | \$120 | \$27 | \$1,322 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | \$1,342 | \$133 | \$27 | \$1,448 |
| March 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | \$1,688 | \$183 | \$27 | \$1,844 |

Investments in residential mortgage-backed securities primarily represent securities issued by the Government National Mortgage Association, Federal National Mortgage Association, and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.

Investments in corporate bonds represent debt securities issued by various financial institutions under the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program. These debt obligations were issued with the full faith and credit of the United States of America. The guarantee for these securities is triggered when an issuer defaults on a scheduled payment.

The following table provides the expected maturity distribution for residential mortgage-backed securities and the contractual maturity distribution of other investment securities as of the dates indicated. Callable securities are assumed to mature on their earliest call date.

10

Table of Contents

| | March 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | March 31, 2012 | |
|--|----------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| | Cost | Fair value | Cost | Fair value | Cost | Fair value |
| Investment securities available for sale | | | | | | |
| Maturing in: | | | | | | |
| One year or less | \$2,350,896 | \$2,352,328 | \$2,288,556 | \$2,289,859 | \$2,750,247 | \$2,748,710 |
| One through five years | 2,233,407 | 2,237,530 | 2,323,222 | 2,329,207 | 1,469,876 | 1,469,236 |
| Five through 10 years | 181,370 | 182,281 | 194,398 | 196,371 | 67,229 | 67,683 |
| Over 10 years | 481,129 | 487,136 | 385,700 | 394,426 | 145,826 | 153,167 |
| Equity securities | 543 | 20,403 | 543 | 16,365 | 894 | 18,943 |
| Total investment securities available for sale | \$5,247,345 | \$5,279,678 | \$5,192,419 | \$5,226,228 | \$4,434,072 | \$4,457,739 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | | | | | | |
| Maturing in: | | | | | | |
| One through five years | \$1,132 | \$1,188 | \$1,242 | \$1,309 | \$379 | \$393 |
| Five through 10 years | 18 | 10 | 18 | 11 | 1,201 | 1,306 |
| Over 10 years | 79 | 124 | 82 | 128 | 108 | 145 |
| Total investment securities held to maturity | \$1,229 | \$1,322 | \$1,342 | \$1,448 | \$1,688 | \$1,844 |

For each period presented, securities gains (losses) include the following:

| | Three months ended March 31 | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Gross gains on sales of investment securities available for sale | \$58 | \$— |
| Gross losses on sales of investment securities available for sale | — | — |
| Other than temporary impairment loss on equity securities | — | (45) |
| Total securities gains (losses) | \$58 | \$(45) |

Table of Contents

The following table provides information regarding securities with unrealized losses as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012:

| | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or more | | Total | Unrealized |
|---|---------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized |
| | Value | Losses | Value | Losses | Value | Losses |
| March 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Investment securities available for sale: | | | | | | |
| U. S. Treasury | \$25,060 | \$1 | \$— | \$— | \$25,060 | \$1 |
| Government agency | 548,618 | 274 | — | — | 548,618 | 274 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 349,746 | 1,379 | 10,089 | 133 | 359,835 | 1,512 |
| Other | 828 | 17 | — | — | 828 | 17 |
| Total | \$924,252 | \$1,671 | \$10,089 | \$133 | \$934,341 | \$1,804 |
| Investment securities held to maturity: | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | \$— | \$— | \$16 | \$27 | \$16 | \$27 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Investment securities available for sale: | | | | | | |
| U. S. Treasury | \$120,045 | \$12 | \$— | \$— | \$120,045 | \$12 |
| Government agency | 407,498 | 337 | — | — | 407,498 | 337 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 135,880 | 214 | 9,433 | 127 | 145,313 | 341 |
| Other | 820 | 18 | — | — | 820 | 18 |
| Total | \$664,243 | \$581 | \$9,433 | \$127 | \$673,676 | \$708 |
| Investment securities held to maturity: | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | \$— | \$— | \$17 | \$27 | \$17 | \$27 |
| March 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Investment securities available for sale: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$579,673 | \$305 | \$— | \$— | \$579,673 | \$305 |
| Government agency | 2,143,742 | 5,040 | — | — | 2,143,742 | 5,040 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 28,595 | 156 | 1,113 | 35 | 29,708 | 191 |
| State, county and municipal | 127 | 1 | 10 | — | 137 | 1 |
| Total | \$2,752,137 | \$5,502 | \$1,123 | \$35 | \$2,753,260 | \$5,537 |
| Investment securities held to maturity: | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | \$— | \$— | \$20 | \$27 | \$20 | \$27 |
|--|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|

Investment securities with an aggregate fair value of \$10,105 have had continuous unrealized losses for more than 12 months as of March 31, 2013, with an aggregate unrealized loss of \$160. These 30 investments are residential mortgage-backed securities. None of the unrealized losses identified as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, or March 31, 2012, relate to the marketability of the securities or the issuer's ability to honor redemption obligations. For all periods presented, BancShares had the ability and intent to retain these securities for a period of time sufficient to recover all unrealized losses. Therefore, none of the securities were deemed to be other than temporarily impaired.

Table of Contents

Investment securities having an aggregate carrying value of \$2,558,079 at March 31, 2013, \$2,351,072 at December 31, 2012, and \$2,540,463 at March 31, 2012, were pledged as collateral to secure public funds on deposit to secure certain short-term borrowings and for other purposes as required by law.

Note C

Loans and Leases

Loans and leases outstanding include the following as of the dates indicated:

| | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | March 31, 2012 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Covered loans | \$1,621,327 | \$1,809,235 | \$2,183,869 |
| Noncovered loans and leases: | | | |
| Commercial: | | | |
| Construction and land development | 300,497 | 309,190 | 346,557 |
| Commercial mortgage | 5,352,594 | 5,341,839 | 5,127,948 |
| Other commercial real estate | 176,456 | 160,980 | 150,316 |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,662,124 | 1,726,126 | 1,739,724 |
| Lease financing | 336,329 | 330,679 | 315,704 |
| Other | 194,186 | 125,681 | 149,792 |
| Total commercial loans | 8,022,186 | 7,994,495 | 7,830,041 |
| Noncommercial: | | | |
| Residential mortgage | 834,879 | 822,889 | 793,612 |
| Revolving mortgage | 2,150,800 | 2,210,133 | 2,282,138 |
| Construction and land development | 115,628 | 131,992 | 132,677 |
| Consumer | 385,587 | 416,606 | 451,061 |
| Total noncommercial loans | 3,486,894 | 3,581,620 | 3,659,488 |
| Total noncovered loans and leases | 11,509,080 | 11,576,115 | 11,489,529 |
| Total loans and leases | \$13,130,407 | \$13,385,350 | \$13,673,398 |

Table of Contents

| | March 31, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | | March 31, 2012 | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | Impaired acquisition date | All other covered loans | Total | Impaired acquisition date | All other covered loans | Total | Impaired acquisition date | All other covered loans | Total |
| Covered loans: | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction and land development | \$53,209 | \$151,315 | \$204,524 | \$71,225 | \$166,681 | \$237,906 | \$100,736 | \$209,865 | \$310,601 |
| Commercial mortgage | 101,397 | 847,055 | 948,452 | 107,281 | 947,192 | 1,054,473 | 122,876 | 1,072,665 | 1,195,541 |
| Other commercial real estate | 30,191 | 63,041 | 93,232 | 35,369 | 71,750 | 107,119 | 31,727 | 113,251 | 144,978 |
| Commercial and industrial | 6,149 | 39,544 | 45,693 | 3,932 | 45,531 | 49,463 | 17,397 | 75,864 | 93,261 |
| Lease financing | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 45 | 45 |
| Other | — | 1,042 | 1,042 | — | 1,074 | 1,074 | — | 1,283 | 1,283 |
| Total commercial loans | 190,946 | 1,101,997 | 1,292,943 | 217,807 | 1,232,228 | 1,450,035 | 272,736 | 1,472,973 | 1,745,709 |
| Noncommercial: | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage | 43,924 | 235,073 | 278,997 | 48,077 | 249,849 | 297,926 | 46,905 | 251,633 | 298,538 |
| Revolving mortgage | 9,788 | 27,351 | 37,139 | 9,606 | 29,104 | 38,710 | 14,125 | 35,891 | 50,016 |
| Construction and land development | 10,609 | 415 | 11,024 | 15,136 | 5,657 | 20,793 | 56,722 | 28,833 | 85,555 |
| Consumer | — | 1,224 | 1,224 | — | 1,771 | 1,771 | 1,453 | 2,598 | 4,051 |
| Total noncommercial loans | 64,321 | 264,063 | 328,384 | 72,819 | 286,381 | 359,200 | 119,205 | 318,955 | 438,160 |
| Total covered loans | \$255,267 | \$1,366,060 | \$1,621,327 | \$290,626 | \$1,518,609 | \$1,809,235 | \$391,941 | \$1,791,928 | \$2,183,869 |

At March 31, 2013, \$2,522,055 in noncovered loans were pledged to secure debt obligations, compared to \$2,570,773 at December 31, 2012, and \$2,398,476 at March 31, 2012.

Credit quality indicators

Loans and leases are monitored for credit quality on a recurring basis. The credit quality indicators used are dependent on the portfolio segment to which the loan relates. Commercial loans and leases, noncommercial loans and leases, and covered loans have different credit quality indicators as a result of the methods used to monitor each of these loan segments.

The credit quality indicators for commercial loans and leases and all covered loans and leases are developed through review of individual borrowers on an ongoing basis. Each borrower is evaluated at least annually with more frequent

evaluation of more severely criticized loans or leases. The indicators represent the rating for loans or leases as of the date presented based on the most recent assessment performed. These credit quality indicators are defined as follows:

Pass – A pass rated asset is not adversely classified because it does not display any of the characteristics for adverse classification.

Special mention – A special mention asset has potential weaknesses that deserve management’s close attention. If left uncorrected, such potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects or collateral position at some future date. Special mention assets are not adversely classified and do not warrant adverse classification.

Substandard – A substandard asset is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged, if any. Assets classified as substandard generally have a well-defined weakness, or weaknesses, that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. These assets are characterized by the distinct possibility of loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Table of Contents

Doubtful – An asset classified as doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in an asset classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values.

Loss – Assets classified as loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that it is inappropriate to be carried as an asset. This classification is not necessarily equivalent to no potential for recovery or salvage value, but rather that it is not appropriate to defer a full write-off even though partial recovery may be effected in the future.

Ungraded – Ungraded loans represent loans that are not included in the individual credit grading process due to their relatively small balances or borrower type. The majority of noncovered, ungraded loans at March 31, 2013, relate to business credit cards and tobacco buyout loans classified as commercial and industrial loans. Business credit card loans with an outstanding balance of \$78,041 at March 31, 2013, are subject to automatic charge off when they become 120 days past due in the same manner as unsecured consumer lines of credit. Tobacco buyout loans with an outstanding balance of \$21,304 at March 31, 2013, are secured by assignments of receivables made pursuant to the Fair and Equitable Tobacco Reform Act of 2004. The credit risk associated with these loans is considered low as the payments that began in 2005 and continue through 2014 are made by the Commodity Credit Corporation, which is part of the United States Department of Agriculture.

The credit quality indicators for noncovered, noncommercial loans are based on the delinquency status of the borrower. As the borrower becomes more delinquent, the likelihood of loss increases.

The composition of the loans and leases outstanding at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012, by credit quality indicator is provided below:

| Grade: | Commercial noncovered loans and leases | | | | | | Total commercial noncovered loans and leases |
|-------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|--|
| | Construction and land development | Commercial mortgage | Other commercial estate | Commercial realand industrial | Lease financing | Other | |
| March 31, 2013 | | | | | | | |
| Pass | \$274,917 | \$5,050,073 | \$ 168,885 | \$ 1,519,890 | \$ 332,790 | \$ 192,489 | \$7,539,044 |
| Special mention | 13,878 | 149,904 | 1,771 | 15,121 | 1,084 | 1,391 | 183,149 |
| Substandard | 11,501 | 139,262 | 5,158 | 24,176 | 1,689 | 17 | 181,803 |
| Doubtful | 73 | 9,600 | 98 | 1,252 | 728 | — | 11,751 |
| Ungraded | 128 | 3,755 | 544 | 101,685 | 38 | 289 | 106,439 |
| Total | \$300,497 | \$5,352,594 | \$ 176,456 | \$ 1,662,124 | \$ 336,329 | \$ 194,186 | \$8,022,186 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Pass | \$274,480 | \$5,018,677 | \$ 151,549 | \$ 1,564,862 | \$ 325,626 | \$ 124,083 | \$7,459,277 |
| Special mention | 14,666 | 161,789 | 2,812 | 18,368 | 1,601 | 837 | 200,073 |
| Substandard | 18,761 | 145,980 | 5,038 | 24,059 | 1,663 | 756 | 196,257 |
| Doubtful | 952 | 12,822 | 98 | 1,693 | 771 | — | 16,336 |
| Ungraded | 331 | 2,571 | 1,483 | 117,144 | 1,018 | 5 | 122,552 |
| Total | \$309,190 | \$5,341,839 | \$ 160,980 | \$ 1,726,126 | \$ 330,679 | \$ 125,681 | \$7,994,495 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST CITIZENS BANCSHARES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

March 31,
2012

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------------|
| Pass | \$303,018 | \$4,744,063 | \$ 136,776 | \$1,554,112 | \$ 309,681 | \$147,767 | \$7,195,417 |
| Special mention | 20,097 | 243,495 | 6,805 | 35,497 | 3,336 | 2,018 | 311,248 |
| Substandard | 21,297 | 130,815 | 6,068 | 27,057 | 2,453 | — | 187,690 |
| Doubtful | 1,821 | 6,588 | 365 | 1,676 | — | — | 10,450 |
| Ungraded | 324 | 2,987 | 302 | 121,382 | 234 | 7 | 125,236 |
| Total | \$346,557 | \$5,127,948 | \$ 150,316 | \$1,739,724 | \$ 315,704 | \$149,792 | \$7,830,041 |

15

Table of Contents

| | | Noncommercial noncovered loans and leases | | | | Total noncommercial noncovered loans | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|
| | | Residential mortgage | Revolving mortgage | Construction and land development | Consumer | | | | | | |
| March 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current | | \$802,439 | \$2,130,189 | \$114,077 | \$381,769 | \$ 3,428,474 | | | | | |
| 30-59 days past due | | 19,663 | 14,022 | 217 | 1,815 | 35,717 | | | | | |
| 60-89 days past due | | 789 | 2,998 | 63 | 968 | 4,818 | | | | | |
| 90 days or greater past due | | 11,988 | 3,591 | 1,271 | 1,035 | 17,885 | | | | | |
| Total | | \$834,879 | \$2,150,800 | \$115,628 | \$385,587 | \$ 3,486,894 | | | | | |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current | | \$786,626 | \$2,190,186 | \$128,764 | 409,218 | \$ 3,514,794 | | | | | |
| 30-59 days past due | | 15,711 | 12,868 | 1,941 | 4,405 | 34,925 | | | | | |
| 60-89 days past due | | 7,559 | 3,200 | 490 | 1,705 | 12,954 | | | | | |
| 90 days or greater past due | | 12,993 | 3,879 | 797 | 1,278 | 18,947 | | | | | |
| Total | | \$822,889 | \$2,210,133 | \$131,992 | \$416,606 | \$ 3,581,620 | | | | | |
| March 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current | | \$763,411 | \$2,274,091 | \$130,561 | \$446,421 | \$ 3,614,484 | | | | | |
| 30-59 days past due | | 14,001 | 2,349 | 808 | 1,885 | 19,043 | | | | | |
| 60-89 days past due | | 2,812 | 1,212 | 446 | 1,028 | 5,498 | | | | | |
| 90 days or greater past due | | 13,388 | 4,486 | 862 | 1,727 | 20,463 | | | | | |
| Total | | \$793,612 | \$2,282,138 | \$132,677 | \$451,061 | \$ 3,659,488 | | | | | |
| Grade: | Covered loans | Construction and land development commercial | Commercial mortgage | Other commercial real estate | Commercial and industrial | Lease financing | Residential mortgage | Revolving mortgage | Construction and land development noncommercial | Consumer and other | Total covered loans |
| March 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pass | | \$11,396 | \$343,535 | \$31,031 | \$17,131 | \$— | \$163,937 | \$27,657 | \$262 | \$1,492 | \$596,441 |
| Special mention | | 25,886 | 233,026 | 12,832 | 10,822 | — | 14,687 | 1,926 | — | 30 | 299,209 |
| Substandard | | 94,938 | 298,647 | 37,862 | 12,060 | — | 75,082 | 7,556 | 9,326 | 240 | 535,711 |
| Doubtful | | 69,782 | 72,572 | 11,507 | 5,366 | — | 3,255 | — | 1,436 | — | 163,918 |
| Ungraded | | 2,522 | 672 | — | 314 | — | 22,036 | — | — | 504 | 26,048 |
| Total | | \$204,524 | \$948,452 | \$93,232 | \$45,693 | \$— | \$278,997 | \$37,139 | \$11,024 | \$2,266 | \$1,621,327 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pass | | \$17,010 | \$376,974 | \$33,570 | \$19,451 | \$— | \$172,165 | \$29,540 | \$334 | \$1,617 | \$650,661 |
| Special mention | | 25,734 | 259,264 | 17,518 | 12,465 | — | 14,863 | 1,736 | — | 34 | 331,614 |
| Substandard | | 105,061 | 344,542 | 44,335 | 14,698 | — | 83,193 | 7,434 | 17,190 | 239 | 616,692 |
| Doubtful | | 87,445 | 73,016 | 11,696 | 2,757 | — | 4,268 | — | 3,269 | 117 | 182,568 |
| Ungraded | | 2,656 | 677 | — | 92 | — | 23,437 | — | — | 838 | 27,700 |
| Total | | \$237,906 | \$1,054,473 | \$107,119 | \$49,463 | \$— | \$297,926 | \$38,710 | \$20,793 | \$2,845 | \$1,809,235 |
| March 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: FIRST CITIZENS BANCSHARES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------|------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|-------------|
| Pass | \$31,445 | \$392,233 | \$56,689 | \$31,768 | \$45 | \$173,640 | \$35,684 | \$7,020 | \$2,478 | \$731,002 |
| Special mention | 89,243 | 335,020 | 26,736 | 21,376 | — | 18,054 | 802 | 14,263 | 546 | 506,040 |
| Substandard | 86,750 | 382,134 | 51,918 | 24,905 | — | 70,545 | 11,153 | 53,919 | 1,082 | 682,406 |
| Doubtful | 99,747 | 85,993 | 9,635 | 15,212 | — | 9,934 | 2,377 | 10,353 | 816 | 234,067 |
| Ungraded | 3,416 | 161 | — | — | — | 26,365 | — | — | 412 | 30,354 |
| Total | \$310,601 | \$1,195,541 | \$144,978 | \$93,261 | \$45 | \$298,538 | \$50,016 | \$85,555 | \$5,334 | \$2,183,869 |

16

Table of Contents

The aging of the outstanding loans and leases, by class, at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012, (excluding loans and leases acquired with deteriorated credit quality) is provided in the table below. The calculation of days past due begins on the day after payment is due and includes all days through which all required interest or principal has not been paid. Loans and leases 30 days or less past due are considered current due to various grace periods that allow borrowers to make payments within a stated period after the due date and still remain in compliance with the loan agreement.

| | 30-59 days past due | 60-89 days past due | 90 days or greater | Total past due | Current | Total loans and leases |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| March 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Noncovered loans and leases: | | | | | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | \$782 | \$7,943 | \$1,082 | \$9,807 | \$290,690 | \$300,497 |
| Commercial mortgage | 19,321 | 5,873 | 17,300 | 42,494 | 5,310,100 | 5,352,594 |
| Other commercial real estate | 346 | 503 | 1,282 | 2,131 | 174,325 | 176,456 |
| Commercial and industrial | 7,895 | 1,878 | 2,406 | 12,179 | 1,649,945 | 1,662,124 |
| Lease financing | 635 | 230 | 621 | 1,486 | 334,843 | 336,329 |
| Other | 42 | — | — | 42 | 194,144 | 194,186 |
| Residential mortgage | 19,663 | 789 | 11,988 | 32,440 | 802,439 | 834,879 |
| Revolving mortgage | 14,022 | 2,998 | 3,591 | 20,611 | 2,130,189 | 2,150,800 |
| Construction and land development - noncommercial | 217 | 63 | 1,271 | 1,551 | 114,077 | 115,628 |
| Consumer | 1,815 | 968 | 1,035 | 3,818 | 381,769 | 385,587 |
| Total noncovered loans and leases | \$64,738 | \$21,245 | \$40,576 | \$126,559 | \$11,382,521 | \$11,509,080 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Noncovered loans and leases: | | | | | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | \$927 | \$— | \$7,878 | \$8,805 | \$300,385 | \$309,190 |
| Commercial mortgage | 21,075 | 3,987 | 20,318 | 45,380 | 5,296,459 | 5,341,839 |
| Other commercial real estate | 387 | 1,240 | 1,034 | 2,661 | 158,319 | 160,980 |
| Commercial and industrial | 6,205 | 1,288 | 1,614 | 9,107 | 1,717,019 | 1,726,126 |
| Lease financing | 991 | 138 | 621 | 1,750 | 328,929 | 330,679 |
| Other | 18 | 13 | — | 31 | 125,650 | 125,681 |
| Residential mortgage | 15,711 | 7,559 | 12,993 | 36,263 | 786,626 | 822,889 |
| Revolving mortgage | 12,868 | 3,200 | 3,879 | 19,947 | 2,190,186 | 2,210,133 |
| Construction and land development - noncommercial | 1,941 | 490 | 797 | 3,228 | 128,764 | 131,992 |
| Consumer | 4,405 | 1,705 | 1,278 | 7,388 | 409,218 | 416,606 |
| Total noncovered loans and leases | \$64,528 | \$19,620 | \$50,412 | \$134,560 | \$11,441,555 | \$11,576,115 |
| March 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Noncovered loans and leases: | | | | | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | \$2,030 | \$1,366 | \$3,288 | \$6,684 | \$339,873 | \$346,557 |
| Commercial mortgage | 27,947 | 6,548 | 13,939 | 48,434 | 5,079,514 | 5,127,948 |
| Other commercial real estate | 787 | 43 | 193 | 1,023 | 149,293 | 150,316 |
| Commercial and industrial | 5,522 | 1,006 | 1,754 | 8,282 | 1,731,442 | 1,739,724 |
| Lease financing | 824 | 99 | 1,269 | 2,192 | 313,512 | 315,704 |
| Other | — | — | — | — | 149,792 | 149,792 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST CITIZENS BANCSHARES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| Residential mortgage | 14,001 | 2,812 | 13,388 | 30,201 | 763,411 | 793,612 |
| Revolving mortgage | 2,349 | 1,212 | 4,486 | 8,047 | 2,274,091 | 2,282,138 |
| Construction and land development - noncommercial | 808 | 446 | 862 | 2,116 | 130,561 | 132,677 |
| Consumer | 1,885 | 1,028 | 1,727 | 4,640 | 446,421 | 451,061 |
| Total noncovered loans and leases | \$56,153 | \$14,560 | \$40,906 | \$111,619 | \$11,377,910 | \$11,489,529 |

17

Table of Contents

The recorded investment, by class, in loans and leases on nonaccrual status, and loans and leases greater than 90 days past due and still accruing at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012, (excluding loans and leases acquired with deteriorated credit quality) are as follows:

| | March 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | March 31, 2012 | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Loans and | Loans and | Loans and | Loans and | Loans and | Loans and |
| | Nonaccrual leases > | Nonaccrual leases > | Nonaccrual leases > | Nonaccrual leases > | Nonaccrual leases > | Nonaccrual leases > |
| | loans and 90 | loans and 90 | loans and 90 | loans and 90 | loans and 90 | loans and 90 |
| | leases | leases | leases | leases | leases | leases |
| | days and | days and | days and | days and | days and | days and |
| | accruing | accruing | accruing | accruing | accruing | accruing |
| Noncovered loans and leases: | | | | | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | \$8,506 | \$158 | \$14,930 | \$541 | \$11,995 | \$182 |
| Commercial mortgage | 46,722 | 3,565 | 48,869 | 1,671 | 31,222 | 1,180 |
| Commercial and industrial | 10,419 | 1,154 | 8,635 | 466 | 8,148 | 599 |
| Lease financing | 1,182 | 3 | 1,075 | — | 146 | 1,268 |
| Other commercial real estate | 2,361 | — | 2,319 | — | 783 | — |
| Construction and land development - noncommercial | 848 | 423 | 668 | 111 | — | 862 |
| Residential mortgage | 12,509 | 2,380 | 12,603 | 3,337 | 14,069 | 3,542 |
| Revolving mortgage | — | 3,589 | — | 3,877 | — | 4,467 |
| Consumer | 36 | 1,029 | 746 | 1,269 | — | 1,728 |
| Total noncovered loans and leases | \$82,583 | \$12,301 | \$89,845 | \$11,272 | \$66,363 | \$13,828 |

Acquired Loans

The following table provides changes in the carrying value of acquired loans impaired at acquisition date and all other acquired loans during the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012:

| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Impaired at acquisition date | All other acquired loans | Impaired as acquisition date | All other acquired loans |
| Balance, January 1 | \$290,626 | \$1,518,609 | \$458,305 | \$1,903,847 |
| Reductions for repayments, foreclosures and changes in carrying value, net of accretion | (35,359) | (152,549) | (66,364) | (111,919) |
| Balance, March 31 | \$255,267 | \$1,366,060 | \$391,941 | \$1,791,928 |
| Outstanding principal balance at March 31 | \$858,645 | \$1,838,709 | \$1,222,862 | \$2,395,860 |

The carrying value of loans on the cost recovery method was \$43,882 at March 31, 2013, \$74,479 at December 31, 2012, and \$171,951 at March 31, 2012. Prior to the third quarter of 2012, the cost recovery method was being applied to nonperforming loans acquired from four of the six FDIC-assisted transactions. During the third and fourth quarters of 2012, those loans were installed on an automated acquired loan accounting system that estimated cash flows for all loans. Based on these improved cash flow estimates, loans that were previously accounted for under the cost recovery method began to accrete yield. The cost recovery method continues to be applied to loans when the timing of the cash flows is no longer reasonably estimable due to subsequent nonperformance by the borrower or uncertainty in the ultimate disposition of the asset.

For acquired loans, improved cash flow estimates and receipt of unscheduled loan payments result in the reclassification of nonaccretable difference to accretible yield. During the third and fourth quarters of 2012, the improved ability to estimate cash flows due to expanded use of an acquired loan accounting system also contributed to

significant increases in accretable yield. Accretable yield resulting from the improved ability to estimate future cash flows generally does not represent amounts previously identified as nonaccretable difference.

The following table documents changes to the amount of accretable yield for the first three months of 2013 and 2012. Other, net includes reclassifications from nonaccretable difference to accretable yield and changes to accretable yield attributable to revised cash flow estimates.

18

Table of Contents

| | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Balance, January 1 | \$539,564 | \$276,690 |
| Accretion | (79,886 |) (64,896 |
| Disposals | (485 |) — |
| Other, net | 26,742 | 73,150 |
| Balance, March 31 | \$485,935 | \$284,944 |

Note D

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

Activity in the allowance for loan and lease losses, ending balances of loans and leases and related allowance by class of loans is summarized as follows:

| | Construction and Land Development - Commercial | Commercial Mortgage | Other Commercial Real Estate | Commercial and Industrial | Lease Financing | Other | Residential Mortgage | Revolving Mortgage | Construction and Land Development - Non- commercial | Consumer | Non- specific | Total |
|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------|------------------|---------|
| Noncovered Loans | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Three months ended March 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at January 1 | \$6,031 | \$70,927 | \$2,059 | \$23,352 | \$3,521 | \$1,175 | \$3,836 | \$25,185 | \$1,721 | \$25,389 | \$15,850 | \$179,0 |
| Charge-offs | (254) | (654) | (54) | (1,258) | — | — | (818) | (2,188) | (245) | (2,596) | — | (8,067 |
| Recoveries | 368 | 8 | 10 | 369 | — | — | 39 | 71 | 56 | 630 | — | 1,551 |
| Provision | (1,834) | 2,536 | (100) | 500 | 22 | 315 | 733 | 1,431 | (113) | 604 | (78) | 4,016 |
| Balance at March 31 | \$4,311 | \$72,817 | \$1,915 | \$22,963 | \$3,543 | \$1,490 | \$3,790 | \$24,499 | \$1,419 | \$24,027 | \$15,772 | \$176,5 |
| Three months ended March 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at January 1 | \$5,467 | \$67,486 | \$2,169 | \$23,723 | \$3,288 | \$1,315 | \$8,879 | \$27,045 | \$1,427 | \$25,962 | \$14,122 | \$180,8 |
| Charge-offs | (5,729) | (2,464) | (142) | (1,447) | (191) | — | (1,035) | (2,940) | (676) | (3,008) | — | (17,632 |
| Recoveries | 42 | 996 | — | 250 | 31 | 4 | 42 | 216 | 7 | 432 | — | 2,020 |
| Provision | 6,828 | 6,137 | 221 | 1,720 | 192 | (38) | 1,221 | 2,590 | 639 | 902 | 700 | 21,112 |
| Balance at March 31 | \$6,608 | \$72,155 | \$2,248 | \$24,246 | \$3,320 | \$1,281 | \$9,107 | \$26,911 | \$1,397 | \$24,288 | \$14,822 | \$186,3 |

Table of Contents

| | Construction and Land Development - Commercial | Commercial Mortgage | Other Commercial Real Estate | Commercial and Industrial | Lease Financing | Other | Residential Mortgage | Revolving Mortgage | Construction and Land Development - Non- commercial | Consumer | Non- specific | Total |
|--|--|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------|------------------|-----------|
| Allowance for loan and lease losses: March 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ALLL for loans and leases individually evaluated for impairment | \$588 | \$10,405 | \$141 | \$3,159 | \$223 | \$— | \$694 | \$44 | \$82 | \$179 | \$— | \$15,515 |
| ALLL for loans and leases collectively evaluated for impairment | 3,723 | 62,412 | 1,774 | 19,804 | 3,320 | 1,490 | 3,096 | 24,455 | 1,337 | 23,848 | — | 145,259 |
| Nonspecific ALLL | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 15,772 | 15,772 |
| Total allowance for loan and lease losses December 31, 2012 | \$4,311 | \$72,817 | \$1,915 | \$22,963 | \$3,543 | \$1,490 | \$3,790 | \$24,499 | \$1,419 | \$24,027 | \$15,772 | \$176,546 |
| ALLL for loans and leases individually evaluated for impairment | \$2,469 | \$11,697 | \$298 | \$2,133 | \$202 | \$53 | \$959 | \$1 | \$287 | \$256 | \$— | \$18,355 |
| ALLL for loans and leases collectively evaluated for impairment | 3,562 | 59,230 | 1,761 | 21,219 | 3,319 | 1,122 | 2,877 | 25,184 | 1,434 | 25,133 | — | 144,841 |
| Nonspecific ALLL | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 15,850 | 15,850 |
| Total allowance for loan and lease losses | \$6,031 | \$70,927 | \$2,059 | \$23,352 | \$3,521 | \$1,175 | \$3,836 | \$25,185 | \$1,721 | \$25,389 | \$15,850 | \$179,046 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST CITIZENS BANCSHARES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| March 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ALLL for loans and leases individually evaluated for impairment | \$2,605 | \$6,662 | \$256 | \$838 | \$19 | \$— | \$782 | \$— | \$145 | \$42 | \$— | \$11,349 |
| ALLL for loans and leases collectively evaluated for impairment | 4,003 | 65,493 | 1,992 | 23,408 | 3,301 | 1,281 | 8,325 | 26,911 | 1,252 | 24,246 | — | 160,212 |
| Nonspecific ALLL | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 14,822 | 14,822 |
| Total allowance for loan and lease losses | \$6,608 | \$72,155 | \$2,248 | \$24,246 | \$3,320 | \$1,281 | \$9,107 | \$26,911 | \$1,397 | \$24,288 | \$14,822 | \$186,383 |
| Loans and leases: March 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases individually evaluated for impairment | \$10,353 | \$134,707 | \$3,413 | \$22,601 | \$401 | \$— | \$19,720 | \$5,471 | \$866 | \$1,683 | | |
| Loans and leases collectively evaluated for impairment | 290,144 | 5,217,887 | 173,043 | 1,639,523 | 335,928 | 194,186 | 815,159 | 2,145,329 | 114,762 | 383,904 | | |
| Total loan and leases | \$300,497 | \$5,352,594 | \$176,456 | \$1,662,124 | \$336,329 | \$194,186 | \$834,879 | \$2,150,800 | \$115,628 | \$385,587 | | |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases individually evaluated for impairment | \$17,075 | \$133,804 | \$3,375 | \$22,619 | \$804 | \$707 | \$15,836 | \$4,203 | \$1,321 | \$2,509 | | |
| Loans and leases collectively evaluated for impairment | 292,115 | 5,208,035 | 157,605 | 1,703,507 | 329,875 | 124,974 | 807,053 | 2,205,930 | 130,671 | 414,097 | | |
| Total loan and leases | \$309,190 | \$5,341,839 | \$160,980 | \$1,726,126 | \$330,679 | \$125,681 | \$822,889 | \$2,210,133 | \$131,992 | \$416,606 | | |

March 31,
2012

Loans and
leases

individually
evaluated for
impairment

Loans and
leases

collectively
evaluated for
impairment

Total loan
and leases

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----|
| \$21,621 | \$95,265 | \$2,721 | \$17,261 | \$375 | \$— | \$12,772 | \$— | \$3,345 | \$915 | \$ |
| 324,936 | 5,032,683 | 147,595 | 1,722,463 | 315,329 | 149,792 | 780,840 | 2,282,138 | 129,332 | 450,146 | — |
| \$346,557 | \$5,127,948 | \$150,316 | \$1,739,724 | \$315,704 | \$149,792 | \$793,612 | \$2,282,138 | \$132,677 | \$451,061 | \$ |

20

Table of Contents

| | Construction and Land Development Commercial | Commercial Mortgage | Other Commercial Real Estate | Commercial and Industrial | Lease Financing | Residential Mortgage | Revolving Mortgage | Construction and Land Development Non-commercial | Consumer and Other | Total |
|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------|
| Covered Loans | | | | | | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Three months ended March 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at January 1 | \$31,186 | \$50,275 | \$11,234 | \$8,897 | \$— | \$19,837 | \$9,754 | \$8,287 | \$502 | \$139,972 |
| Charge-offs | (4,733) | (9,898) | (931) | (1,254) | — | (729) | (114) | (3,218) | — | (20,877) |
| Recoveries | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Provision | (13,147) | (2,084) | (5,131) | 4,233 | — | (1,505) | (2,505) | (2,313) | (170) | (22,622) |
| Balance at March 31 | \$13,306 | \$38,293 | \$5,172 | \$11,876 | \$— | \$17,603 | \$7,135 | \$2,756 | \$332 | \$96,473 |
| Three months ended March 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at January 1 | \$16,693 | \$39,557 | \$16,862 | \$5,500 | \$13 | \$5,433 | \$77 | \$4,652 | \$474 | \$89,261 |
| Charge-offs | (1,387) | (6,211) | — | (3,189) | — | (1,955) | — | — | (5) | (12,747) |
| Recoveries | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Provision | (2,570) | 6,398 | (5,712) | 11,417 | (10) | 1,254 | 950 | (1,932) | (192) | 9,603 |
| Balance at March 31 | \$12,736 | \$39,744 | \$11,150 | \$13,728 | \$3 | \$4,732 | \$1,027 | \$2,720 | \$277 | \$86,117 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses: | | | | | | | | | | |
| March 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | | |
| ALLL for loans and leases acquired with deteriorated credit quality December 31, 2012 | \$13,306 | \$38,293 | \$5,172 | \$11,876 | \$— | \$17,603 | \$7,135 | \$2,756 | \$332 | \$96,473 |
| ALLL for loans and leases acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 31,186 | 50,275 | 11,234 | 8,897 | — | 19,837 | 9,754 | 8,287 | 502 | 139,972 |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------|------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|-------------|
| March 31, 2012 ALLL for loans and leases acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 12,736 | 39,744 | 11,150 | 13,728 | 3 | 4,732 | 1,027 | 2,720 | 277 | 86,117 |
| Loans and leases: March 31, 2013 Loans and leases acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 204,524 | 948,452 | 93,232 | 45,693 | — | 278,997 | 37,139 | 11,024 | 2,266 | 1,621,327 |
| December 31, 2012 Loans and leases acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 237,906 | 1,054,473 | 107,119 | 49,463 | — | 297,926 | 38,710 | 20,793 | 2,845 | 1,809,235 |
| March 31, 2012 Loans and leases acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$310,601 | \$1,195,541 | \$144,978 | \$93,261 | \$45 | \$298,538 | \$50,016 | \$85,555 | \$5,334 | \$2,183,869 |

Table of Contents

The following tables provide information on noncovered impaired loans and leases, exclusive of loans and leases evaluated collectively as a homogeneous group, including interest income recognized in the period during which the loans and leases were considered impaired.

| | With a recorded allowance | With no recorded allowance | Total | Unpaid principal balance | Related allowance recorded |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| March 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Impaired noncovered loans and leases | | | | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | \$ 1,333 | \$ 7,949 | \$ 9,282 | \$ 20,303 | \$ 458 |
| Commercial mortgage | 32,248 | 70,739 | 102,987 | 105,270 | 7,896 |
| Other commercial real estate | 528 | 2,620 | 3,148 | 3,203 | 101 |
| Commercial and industrial | 7,055 | 11,465 | 18,520 | 19,098 | 2,972 |
| Lease financing | 349 | — | 349 | 349 | 215 |
| Residential mortgage | 9,465 | 7,820 | 17,285 | 17,921 | 566 |
| Revolving mortgage | 1,925 | 3,546 | 5,471 | 5,471 | 44 |
| Construction and land development - noncommercial | 866 | — | 866 | 865 | 81 |
| Consumer | 1,683 | — | 1,683 | 1,683 | 179 |
| Total impaired noncovered loans and leases | \$ 55,452 | \$ 104,139 | \$ 159,591 | \$ 174,163 | \$ 12,512 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Impaired noncovered loans and leases | | | | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | \$ 5,941 | \$ 10,116 | \$ 16,057 | \$ 31,879 | \$ 2,340 |
| Commercial mortgage | 39,648 | 72,160 | 111,808 | 114,351 | 10,628 |
| Other commercial real estate | 1,425 | 1,823 | 3,248 | 3,348 | 279 |
| Commercial and industrial | 7,429 | 11,371 | 18,800 | 19,196 | 1,949 |
| Lease financing | 665 | 81 | 746 | 746 | 194 |
| Other | — | 707 | 707 | 707 | 53 |
| Residential mortgage | 9,346 | 4,240 | 13,586 | 13,978 | 832 |
| Revolving mortgage | 1,238 | 2,965 | 4,203 | 4,203 | 1 |
| Construction and land development - noncommercial | 1,162 | 158 | 1,320 | 1,321 | 287 |
| Consumer | 1,609 | 900 | 2,509 | 2,509 | 256 |
| Total impaired noncovered loans and leases | \$ 68,463 | \$ 104,521 | \$ 172,984 | \$ 192,238 | \$ 16,819 |
| March 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Impaired noncovered loans and leases | | | | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | \$ 19,768 | \$ — | \$ 19,768 | \$ 33,430 | \$ 2,487 |
| Commercial mortgage | 54,593 | 8,773 | 63,366 | 64,551 | 4,915 |
| Other commercial real estate | 1,521 | — | 1,521 | 1,521 | 196 |
| Commercial and industrial | 6,797 | 5,801 | 12,598 | 12,598 | 574 |
| Lease financing | 79 | — | 79 | 79 | 4 |
| Residential mortgage | 10,438 | — | 10,438 | 10,438 | 674 |
| Construction and land development - noncommercial | 3,345 | — | 3,345 | 3,345 | 145 |
| Consumer | 915 | — | 915 | 915 | 42 |
| Total impaired noncovered loans and leases | \$ 97,456 | \$ 14,574 | \$ 112,030 | \$ 126,877 | \$ 9,037 |

At March 31, 2013, covered loans which have had an adverse change in expected cash flows since the date of acquisition equaled \$739,900, for which \$96,473 in related allowance for loan losses has been recorded. At March 31, 2012, covered loans which have had an adverse change in expected cash flows since the date of acquisition equaled \$1,892,721, for which \$86,117 in related allowance for loan losses has been recorded.

Table of Contents

| | YTD Average Balance | YTD Interest Income Recognized |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Three months ended March 31, 2013 | | |
| Noncovered impaired loans and leases: | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | \$9,284 | \$112 |
| Commercial mortgage | 103,848 | 1,425 |
| Other commercial real estate | 3,179 | 45 |
| Commercial and industrial | 18,997 | 266 |
| Lease financing | 355 | 6 |
| Residential mortgage | 17,330 | 228 |
| Revolving mortgage | 5,472 | 25 |
| Construction and land development - noncommercial | 866 | 11 |
| Consumer | 1,683 | 5 |
| Total noncovered impaired loans and leases | \$161,014 | \$2,123 |
| Three months ended March 31, 2012 | | |
| Noncovered impaired loans and leases: | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | \$23,129 | \$58 |
| Commercial mortgage | 64,206 | 530 |
| Other commercial real estate | 2,050 | 15 |
| Commercial and industrial | 12,466 | 66 |
| Lease financing | 201 | 1 |
| Residential mortgage | 10,107 | 90 |
| Construction and land development - noncommercial | 3,510 | 23 |
| Consumer | 954 | 4 |
| Total noncovered impaired loans and leases | \$116,623 | \$787 |

Table of Contents

Troubled Debt Restructurings

The following tables provide the types of troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) made during the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012, as well as a summary of loans that were modified as a TDR during the 12 months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012 that subsequently defaulted during the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012.

| | Three months ended March 31, 2013 | | | | Three months ended March 31, 2012 | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | All Restructurings | | Restructurings with payment default | | All Restructurings | | Restructurings with payment default | |
| | Number of Loans | Recorded investment at period end | Number of Loans | Recorded investment at period end | Number of Loans | Recorded investment at period end | Number of Loans | Recorded investment at period end |
| Noncovered loans | | | | | | | | |
| Interest only period provided | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial mortgage | 1 | \$ 356 | — | \$ — | 10 | \$ 4,625 | 1 | \$ 1,080 |
| Commercial and industrial | — | — | — | — | 1 | 531 | — | — |
| Consumer | — | — | — | — | 1 | 900 | — | — |
| Total interest only | 1 | 356 | — | — | 12 | 6,056 | 1 | 1,080 |
| Loan term extension | | | | | | | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | — | — | — | — | 1 | 7,169 | — | — |
| Commercial mortgage | 6 | 2,117 | 1 | 483 | 13 | 3,692 | 4 | 1,452 |
| Commercial and industrial | 1 | 186 | — | — | 3 | 282 | 1 | 59 |
| Lease financing | — | — | — | — | 2 | 73 | — | — |
| Residential mortgage | 4 | 683 | — | — | 5 | 805 | 1 | 167 |
| Construction and land development - noncommercial | — | — | — | — | 1 | 2,001 | 1 | 395 |
| Total loan term extension | 11 | 2,986 | 1 | 483 | 25 | 14,022 | 7 | 2,073 |
| Below market interest rate | | | | | | | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | — | — | — | — | 1 | 231 | 2 | 763 |
| Commercial mortgage | 3 | 2,556 | 1 | 1,024 | 2 | 1,956 | 1 | 122 |
| Commercial and industrial | 1 | 17 | 1 | 116 | — | 764 | — | — |
| Residential mortgage | 5 | 675 | — | — | 1 | 878 | — | — |
| Consumer | 5 | 1,490 | — | — | 3 | — | — | — |
| Total below market interest rate | 14 | 4,738 | 2 | 1,140 | 7 | 3,829 | 3 | 885 |
| Discharged from bankruptcy | | | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage | 2 | 299 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Revolving mortgage | 24 | 1,878 | 5 | 233 | — | — | — | — |
| | 26 | 2,177 | 5 | 233 | — | — | — | — |

Total discharged from
bankruptcy

Other concession

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----|-----------|---|----------|----|-----------|----|----------|
| Commercial mortgage | — | — | — | — | 1 | 168 | — | — |
| Commercial and industrial | — | — | — | — | 1 | 23 | — | — |
| Total other concession | — | — | — | — | 2 | 191 | — | — |
| Total noncovered restructurings | 52 | \$ 10,257 | 8 | \$ 1,856 | 46 | \$ 24,098 | 11 | \$ 4,038 |

24

Table of Contents

| | Three months ended March 31, 2013 | | | | Three months ended March 31, 2012 | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | All Restructurings | | Restructurings with payment default | | All Restructurings | | Restructurings with payment default | |
| | Recorded | Recorded | Recorded | Recorded | Recorded | Recorded | Recorded | |
| | Number of loans | investment at period end | Number of loans | investment at period end | Number of loans | investment at period end | Number of loans | investment at period end |
| Covered loans | | | | | | | | |
| Interest only period provided | | | | | | | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | — | \$ — | — | \$ — | 1 | \$ 133 | — | \$ — |
| Commercial mortgage | 2 | 1,991 | 1 | 291 | — | — | — | — |
| Total interest only | 2 | 1,991 | 2 | 388 | 1 | 133 | — | — |
| Loan term extension | | | | | | | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | — | — | — | — | 2 | 161 | — | — |
| Commercial mortgage | — | — | — | — | 1 | 480 | — | — |
| Residential mortgage | — | — | — | — | 1 | 49 | — | — |
| Total loan term extension | — | — | — | — | 4 | 690 | 1 | 145 |
| Below market interest rate | | | | | | | | |
| Construction and land development - commercial | 1 | 309 | — | — | 10 | 1,794 | — | — |
| Commercial mortgage | 1 | 2,946 | 3 | 3,222 | 7 | 9,194 | 1 | 2,449 |
| Commercial and industrial | 2 | 458 | — | — | 3 | 260 | — | — |
| Residential mortgage | 2 | 726 | 2 | 726 | 7 | 1,557 | 2 | 915 |
| Total below market interest rate | 6 | 4,439 | 5 | 3,948 | 27 | 12,805 | 4 | 3,435 |
| Total covered restructurings | 8 | \$ 6,430 | 7 | \$ 4,336 | 32 | \$ 13,628 | 5 | \$ 3,580 |

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the recorded investment in troubled debt restructurings subsequent to modification was not materially impacted by the modification since forgiveness of principal is not a restructuring option frequently used by BancShares.

Total troubled debt restructurings at March 31, 2013, equaled \$320,642, of which \$182,411 were covered and \$138,231 were noncovered. Troubled debt restructurings at December 31, 2012, totaled \$333,170, which consisted of \$193,207 covered by loss share agreements and \$139,963 that were noncovered. At March 31, 2012, total troubled debt restructurings were \$318,323 of which \$165,857 were covered by loss share agreements and \$152,466 were noncovered.

The majority of troubled debt restructurings are included in the special mention, substandard, or doubtful grading categories which results in more elevated loss expectations when determining the expected cash flows that are used to determine the allowance for loan losses associated with these loans. When a restructured loan subsequently defaults, it is evaluated and downgraded if appropriate. The more severely graded the loan, the lower the estimated expected cash flows and the greater the allowance recorded. Further, troubled debt restructurings over \$500 and graded substandard or lower are evaluated individually for impairment through review of collateral values.

Table of Contents

Note E

Other Real Estate Owned

The following table explains changes in other real estate owned during the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012.

| | Covered | Not covered | Total |
|------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 148,599 | \$ 50,399 | \$ 198,998 |
| Additions | 20,532 | 6,308 | 26,840 |
| Sales | (17,221 |) (8,157 |) (25,378 |
| Writedowns | (9,492 |) (458 |) (9,950 |
| Balance at March 31, 2012 | \$ 142,418 | \$ 48,092 | \$ 190,510 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 102,577 | \$ 43,513 | \$ 146,090 |
| Additions | 29,370 | 8,638 | 38,008 |
| Sales | (27,316 |) (6,223 |) (33,539 |
| Writedowns | (2,730 |) (1,100 |) (3,830 |
| Balance at March 31, 2013 | \$ 101,901 | \$ 44,828 | \$ 146,729 |

Note F

Receivable from the FDIC for Loss Share Agreements

The following table provides changes in the receivable from the FDIC for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013, and 2012:

| | Three months ended March 31 | |
|---|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 270,192 | \$ 617,377 |
| Accretion of discounts and premiums, net | (26,112 |) (20,063 |
| Receipt of payments from FDIC | (42,519 |) (123,204 |
| Post-acquisition and other adjustments, net | (5,619 |) 18,274 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 195,942 | \$ 492,384 |

The receivable from the FDIC for loss share agreements is measured separately from the related covered assets and is recorded at fair value at the acquisition date using projected cash flows related to the loss share agreements based on the expected reimbursements for losses and the applicable loss share percentages. See Note J for information related to BancShares' recorded payable to FDIC for loss share agreements.

Post-acquisition adjustments represent the net change in loss estimates related to covered loans and OREO as a result of changes in expected cash flows and the allowance for loan and lease losses related to covered loans. For loans covered by loss share agreements, subsequent decreases in the amount expected to be collected from the borrower or collateral liquidation result in a provision for loan and lease losses, an increase in the allowance for loan and lease losses and a proportional adjustment to the receivable from the FDIC for the estimated amount to be reimbursed. Subsequent increases in the amount expected to be collected from the borrower or collateral liquidation result in the reversal of any previously recorded provision for loan and lease losses and related allowance for loan and lease losses and adjustments to the receivable from the FDIC, or prospective adjustment to the accretable yield and the related receivable from the FDIC if no provision for loan and lease losses had been recorded previously. Other adjustments include those resulting from unexpected recoveries of amounts previously charged-off.

Table of Contents

Note G

Estimated Fair Values

Fair value estimates are intended to represent the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. Where there is no active market for a financial instrument, BancShares has made estimates using discounted cash flow or other valuation techniques. Inputs to these valuation methods are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and require significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Accordingly, the derived fair value estimates presented below are not necessarily indicative of the amounts BancShares could realize in a current market exchange.

Assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value according to a fair value hierarchy comprised of three levels. The levels are based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. The level of an asset or liability within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement (with level 1 considered highest and level 3 considered lowest). A brief description of each level follows:

Level 1 values are based on quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 values are based on quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

Level 3 values are generated from model-based techniques that use at least one significant assumption not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include the use of discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

The methodologies used to estimate the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities are discussed below:

Investment securities. Investment securities are measured based on quoted market prices, when available. For certain residential mortgage-backed securities and state, county and municipal securities, fair values are determined using broker prices based on recent sales of similar securities. The inputs used in the fair value measurement of investment securities are considered level 1 or level 2 inputs. The details of investment securities available for sale and the corresponding level of inputs are provided in the table of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

Loans held for sale. Fair value for loans held for sale is generally based on market prices for loans with similar characteristics or external valuations. The inputs used in the fair value measurements for loans held for sale are considered level 2 inputs.

Loans and leases. For variable rate loans, carrying value is a reasonable estimate of fair value. For fixed rate loans, fair values are estimated based on discounted future cash flows using the current interest rates at which loans with similar terms would be made to borrowers of similar credit quality. Additional valuation adjustments are made for liquidity and credit risk. The inputs used in the fair value measurements for loans and leases are considered level 3 inputs.

Receivable from the FDIC for loss share agreements. Fair value is estimated based on discounted future cash flows using current discount rates. Due to post-acquisition improvements in expected losses, significant portions of the FDIC receivable will be recovered through amortization of the receivable over the remaining life of the loss share agreement rather than by cash flows from the FDIC. The estimated amounts to be amortized in future periods have no fair value. The inputs used in the fair value measurements for the receivable from the FDIC are considered level 3 inputs. The FDIC loss share agreements are not transferable and, accordingly, there is no market for this receivable.

FHLB stock. The carrying amount of FHLB stock is a reasonable estimate of fair value as these securities are not readily marketable and are evaluated for impairment based on the ultimate recoverability of the par value. BancShares

considers positive and negative evidence, including the profitability and asset quality of the issuer, dividend payment history and recent redemption experience, when determining the ultimate recoverability of the par value. BancShares believes its investment in FHLB stock is ultimately recoverable at par.

Preferred stock issued under the TARP program. Preferred securities issued under the Troubled Asset Recovery Program are recorded at cost and are evaluated quarterly for impairment based on the ultimate recoverability of the purchase price. The fair value of these securities is derived from a third-party proprietary model that is considered to be a level 3 input.

Table of Contents

Deposits. For non-time deposits and variable rate time deposits, carrying value is a reasonable estimate of fair value. The fair value of fixed rate time deposits is estimated by discounting future cash flows using the interest rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The inputs used in the fair value measurements for deposits are considered level 2 inputs.

Long-term obligations. For fixed rate trust preferred securities, the fair values are determined based on recent trades of the actual security. For other long-term obligations, fair values are estimated by discounting future cash flows using current interest rates for similar financial instruments. The inputs used in the fair value measurements for long-term obligations are considered level 2 inputs.

Payable to the FDIC for loss share agreements. The fair value of the payable to the FDIC for loss share agreements is determined by the projected cash flows based on expected payments to the FDIC in accordance with the loss share agreements. Cash flows are discounted to reflect the timing of the estimated amounts due to the FDIC. The inputs used in the fair value measurements for the payable to the FDIC are considered level 3 inputs. See Note J for more information on the payable to the FDIC.

Interest Rate Swap. Under the terms of the existing cash flow hedge, BancShares pays a fixed payment to the counterparty in exchange for receipt of a variable payment that is determined based on the three-month LIBOR rate. The fair value of the cash flow hedge is, therefore, based on projected LIBOR rates for the duration of the hedge, values that, while observable in the market, are subject to adjustment due to pricing considerations for the specific instrument. If the fair value of the swap is a net asset, the risk of default by the counterparty is considered in the determination of fair value and is considered a level 3 input. The inputs used in the fair value measurements of the interest rate swap are considered level 2 inputs.

Off-balance-sheet commitments and contingencies. Carrying amounts are reasonable estimates of the fair values for such financial instruments. Carrying amounts include unamortized fee income and, in some cases, reserves for any credit losses from those financial instruments. These amounts are not material to BancShares' financial position.

For all other financial assets and financial liabilities, the carrying value is a reasonable estimate of the fair value as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012. The carrying value and fair value for these assets and liabilities are equivalent because they are relatively short term in nature and there is no interest rate or credit risk relating to them that would cause the fair value to differ from the carrying value.

Table of Contents

| | March 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | March 31, 2012 | |
|---|----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| | Carrying value | Fair value | Carrying value | Fair value | Carrying value | Fair value |
| Cash and due from banks | \$460,217 | \$460,217 | \$639,730 | \$639,730 | \$552,663 | \$552,663 |
| Overnight investments | 954,232 | 954,232 | 443,180 | 443,180 | 752,334 | 752,334 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 5,279,678 | 5,279,678 | 5,226,228 | 5,226,228 | 4,457,739 | 4,457,739 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 1,229 | 1,322 | 1,342 | 1,448 | 1,688 | 1,844 |
| Loans held for sale | 86,351 | 88,116 | 86,333 | 87,654 | 73,457 | 75,342 |
| Loans covered by loss share agreements, net of allowance for loan and lease losses | 1,524,854 | 1,494,357 | 1,669,263 | 1,635,878 | 2,097,752 | 2,055,797 |
| Loans and leases not covered by loss share agreements, net of allowance for loan and lease losses | 11,332,534 | 11,298,475 | 11,397,069 | 11,238,597 | 11,303,146 | 11,171,217 |
| Receivable from the FDIC for loss share agreements (1) | 195,942 | 104,684 | 270,192 | 100,161 | 492,384 | 297,963 |
| Income earned not collected | 47,255 | 47,255 | 47,666 | 47,666 | 52,406 | 52,406 |
| Stock issued by: | | | | | | |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta | 28,789 | 28,789 | 36,139 | 36,139 | 41,043 | 41,043 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco | 9,092 | 9,092 | 10,107 | 10,107 | 12,356 | 12,356 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle | 4,370 | 4,370 | 4,410 | 4,410 | 4,490 | 4,490 |
| Preferred stock | 46,397 | 47,624 | 40,768 | 40,793 | — | — |
| Deposits | 18,064,921 | 18,099,371 | 18,086,025 | 18,126,893 | 17,759,492 | 17,810,831 |
| Short-term borrowings | 573,102 | 573,102 | 568,505 | 568,505 | 677,993 | 677,993 |
| Long-term obligations | 444,252 | 469,980 | 444,921 | 472,642 | 649,818 | 679,727 |
| Payable to the FDIC for loss share agreements | 98,870 | 119,473 | 101,641 | 125,065 | 82,033 | 95,643 |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Accrued interest payable | 6,653 | 6,653 | 9,353 | 9,353 | 21,486 | 21,486 |
| Interest rate swap | 9,583 | 9,583 | 10,398 | 10,398 | 10,325 | 10,325 |

(1) The fair value of the receivable from FDIC for loss share agreements excludes receivable related to accretable yield in prospective periods.

Among BancShares' assets and liabilities, investment securities available for sale and interest rate swaps accounted for as cash flow hedges are reported at their fair values on a recurring basis. Certain other assets are adjusted to their fair value on a nonrecurring basis, including loans held for sale, which are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. Impaired loans, OREO, goodwill and other intangible assets are periodically tested for impairment. Loans held for investment, deposits, short-term borrowings and long-term obligations are not reported at fair value. BancShares did not elect to voluntarily report any assets or liabilities at fair value.

Table of Contents

For assets and liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis, the following table provides fair value information as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012:

| Description | Fair value | Fair value measurements using: | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| March 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Assets measured at fair value | | | | |
| Investment securities available for sale | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$749,757 | \$749,757 | \$— | \$— |
| Government agency | 3,150,041 | 3,150,041 | — | — |
| Other | 828 | 828 | — | — |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,358,102 | — | 1,358,102 | — |
| Equity securities | 20,403 | 20,403 | — | — |
| State, county, municipal | 547 | — | 547 | — |
| Total | \$5,279,678 | \$3,921,029 | \$1,358,649 | \$— |
| Liabilities measured at fair value | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps accounted for as cash flow hedges | \$9,583 | \$— | \$9,583 | \$— |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Assets measured at fair value | | | | |
| Investment securities available for sale | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$823,632 | \$823,632 | \$— | \$— |
| Government agency | 3,055,204 | 3,055,204 | — | — |
| Other | 820 | 820 | — | — |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,329,657 | — | 1,329,657 | — |
| Equity securities | 16,365 | 16,365 | — | — |
| State, county, municipal | 550 | — | 550 | — |
| Total | \$5,226,228 | \$3,896,021 | \$1,330,207 | \$— |
| Liabilities measured at fair value | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps accounted for as cash flow hedges | \$10,398 | \$— | \$10,398 | \$— |
| March 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Assets measured at fair value | | | | |
| Investment securities available for sale | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$1,065,036 | \$1,065,036 | \$— | \$— |
| Government agency | 2,855,385 | 2,855,385 | — | — |
| Corporate bonds | 226,428 | 226,428 | — | — |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 290,908 | — | 290,908 | — |
| Equity securities | 18,943 | 18,943 | — | — |
| State, county, municipal | 1,039 | — | 1,039 | — |
| Total | \$4,457,739 | \$4,165,792 | \$291,947 | \$— |
| Liabilities measured at fair value | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps accounted for as cash flow hedges | \$10,325 | \$— | \$10,325 | \$— |

Table of Contents

Prices for US Treasury securities, government agency securities, corporate bonds and equity securities are readily available in the active markets in which those securities are traded and the resulting fair values are derived from level 1 inputs. Prices for residential mortgage-backed securities and state, county and municipal securities are obtained using the fair values of similar assets, which are deemed to be level 2 inputs. There were no assets or liabilities valued on a recurring basis using level 3 inputs at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, or March 31, 2012, and there were no transfers between level 1 and level 2 categories during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013, and 2012.

Certain financial assets and liabilities are carried at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value and are, therefore, carried at fair value only when fair value is less than the asset cost. Certain impaired loans are also carried at fair value. OREO not covered by loss share agreements that has been recently remeasured is deemed to be at fair value. For financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, the following table provides fair value information as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012:

| Description | Fair value | Fair value measurements using: | | |
|---|------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| March 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Loans held for sale | \$65,164 | \$— | \$65,164 | \$— |
| Impaired loans not covered by loss share agreements | 39,937 | — | — | 39,937 |
| Other real estate not covered by loss share agreements remeasured during current year | 1,970 | — | — | 1,970 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Loans held for sale | 65,244 | — | 65,244 | — |
| Impaired loans not covered by loss share agreements | 51,644 | — | — | 51,644 |
| Other real estate not covered by loss share agreements remeasured during current year | 21,113 | — | — | 21,113 |
| March 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Loans held for sale | 45,146 | — | 45,146 | — |
| Impaired loans not covered by loss share agreements | 82,882 | — | — | 82,882 |
| Other real estate not covered by loss share agreements remeasured during current year | 8,048 | — | — | 8,048 |

The values of loans held for sale are generally based on market prices for loans with similar characteristics or external valuations.

The values of impaired loans are determined by either collateral valuations or discounted present value of the expected cash flow calculations. Collateral values are determined using appraisals or other third-party value estimates of the subject property with discounts generally between 10 and 14 percent applied for estimated holding and selling costs and other external factors that may impact the marketability of the property. Impaired loans are assigned to an asset manager and monitored monthly for significant changes since the last valuation. If significant changes are noted, the asset manager orders a new valuation or adjusts the valuation accordingly. Expected cash flows are determined using expected loss rates developed from historic experience for loans with similar risk characteristics.

OREO is measured and reported at fair value using level 3 inputs for valuations based on unobservable criteria. The values of OREO are determined by collateral valuations. Collateral values are determined using appraisals or other third-party value estimates of the subject property with discounts generally between 10 and 14 percent applied for estimated holding and selling costs and other external factors that may impact the marketability of the property. Changes to the value of the assets between scheduled valuation dates are monitored through continued communication with brokers and monthly reviews by the asset manager assigned to each asset. The asset manager uses the information gathered from brokers and other market sources to identify any significant changes in the market or the subject property as they occur. Valuations are then adjusted or new appraisals are ordered to ensure the reported values reflect the most current information.

Table of Contents

No financial liabilities were carried at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, or March 31, 2012.

Note H

Employee Benefit Plans

Pension expense is a component of employee benefits expense. For the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013, and 2012 the components of pension expense are as follows:

| | Three months ended March 31 | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Service cost | \$4,222 | \$2,827 |
| Interest cost | 5,895 | 4,496 |
| Expected return on assets | (6,931 |) (5,379 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 53 | 53 |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss | 4,251 | 2,737 |
| Total pension expense | \$7,490 | \$4,734 |

The assumed discount rate for 2013 is 4.00 percent, the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is 7.25 percent, and the assumed rate of salary increases is 4.00 percent. For 2012 the assumed discount rate was 4.75 percent, expected long-term rate of return was 7.50 percent and the assumed rate of salary increases was 4.00 percent.

Note I

Income Taxes

Income tax expense totaled \$31,061 and \$18,354 for the first quarters of 2013 and 2012, representing effective tax rates of 35.8 percent and 34.1 percent during the respective periods.

The increase in the effective tax rate for the first quarter of 2013 results from the diluted impact of various favorable permanent differences on higher pre-tax earnings.

Note J

Commitments and Contingencies

To meet the financing needs of its customers, BancShares and its subsidiaries have financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and recourse obligations on mortgage loans sold. These instruments involve elements of credit, interest rate or liquidity risk.

Commitments to extend credit are legally binding agreements to lend to customers. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of fees. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future liquidity requirements. Established credit standards control the credit risk exposure associated with these commitments. In some cases, BancShares requires that collateral be pledged to secure the commitment, including cash deposits, securities and other assets. At March 31, 2013, BancShares had unused commitments totaling \$5,546,883 compared to \$5,467,998 at December 31, 2012 and \$5,748,952 at March 31, 2012.

Standby letters of credit are commitments guaranteeing performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are issued primarily to support public and private borrowing arrangements. To minimize its exposure, BancShares'

credit policies govern the issuance of standby letters of credit. At March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012, BancShares had standby letters of credit amounting to \$59,715, \$63,085 and \$59,798, respectively. The credit risk related to the issuance of these letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to clients and, therefore, these letters of credit are collateralized when necessary.

Table of Contents

Residential mortgage loans are sold with standard representations and warranties relating to documentation and underwriting requirements for the loans. If deficiencies are discovered at any point in the life of the loan, the investor may require BancShares to repurchase the loan. As of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, other liabilities included a reserve of \$4,060 and \$4,065, respectively, for estimated losses arising from the repurchase of loans under these provisions.

In addition to standard representations and warranties, residential mortgage loans sold with limited recourse liability represent guarantees to repurchase the loans or repay a portion of the sale proceeds in the event of nonperformance by the borrower. The recourse period is generally 120 days or fewer after sale. At March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012, BancShares has sold loans of approximately \$194,242, \$97,706 and \$210,789, respectively, for which the recourse period had not yet elapsed. Of these loans at March 31, 2013, \$90,772 represent loans that would require repurchase in the event of nonperformance by the borrower. Any loans that are repurchased under the recourse obligation will carry the same credit risk as mortgage loans originated by the company and will be collateralized in the same manner.

BancShares has recorded a receivable from the FDIC for the expected reimbursement of losses on assets covered under the various loss share agreements. These loss share agreements impose certain obligations on us that, in the event of noncompliance, could result in the delay or disallowance of some or all of our rights under those agreements. Requests for reimbursement are subject to FDIC review and may be delayed or disallowed for noncompliance. The loss share agreements are subject to interpretation by both the FDIC and FCB, and disagreements may arise regarding coverage of losses, expenses and contingencies.

The loss share agreements for four FDIC-assisted transactions include provisions related to contingent payments commonly known as "clawback liability," which may be owed to the FDIC at the termination of the Agreements. The FDIC clawback liability represents an estimated payment by BancShares to the FDIC if actual cumulative losses on acquired covered assets are lower than the cumulative losses originally estimated by the FDIC at the time of acquisition. The FDIC clawback liability is estimated by discounting estimated future payments and is recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as a payable to FDIC for loss share agreements. As of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012, the clawback liability was \$98,870, \$101,641 and \$82,033 respectively.

BancShares and various subsidiaries have been named as defendants in legal actions arising from their normal business activities in which damages in various amounts are claimed. BancShares is also exposed to litigation risk relating to the prior business activities of banks from which assets were acquired and liabilities assumed in the various FDIC-assisted transactions. Although the amount of any ultimate liability with respect to such matters cannot be determined, in the opinion of management, any such liability will not have a material effect on BancShares' consolidated financial statements.

On February 18, 2011, United Western Bank, United Western Bank's parent company, United Western Bancorp, and five of their directors filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia against the OTS, its Acting Director, and the FDIC in its corporate and receivership capacities, alleging that the seizure of United Western Bank by the OTS and the subsequent appointment of the FDIC as receiver were illegal. The complaint requested the court to direct the OTS to remove the FDIC as receiver and return control of United Western Bank to the plaintiffs. Neither BancShares nor FCB was named as a party. In June 2011, the Court dismissed all plaintiffs other than United Western Bank and dismissed the FDIC in both capacities, leaving United Western Bank and the OTS and its Acting Director as the only parties. In July 2011, following passage of the Dodd-Frank Act, the OCC and the Acting Comptroller were substituted for the OTS and its Acting Director as the only defendants. On March 5, 2013, the court entered a final, appealable order denying United Western Bank's Motion for Summary Judgment and granting OCC's and the Comptroller's Motion for Summary Judgment. On April 26, 2013, United Western Bank filed its Notice of Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. It is unclear what impact, if any, the litigation will have on FCB or the assets acquired in the United Western transaction.

During March 2012, FCB received communications from the US Small Business Administration (SBA) asserting that the SBA is entitled to receive a share of amounts paid or to be paid by the FDIC to FCB relating to certain specific SBA-guaranteed loans pursuant to the loss share agreement between FCB and the FDIC applicable to Temecula Valley Bank. FCB disputes the validity of the SBA claims and is pursuing administrative relief through the SBA. FCB is unable to determine the outcome or range of loss, if any, related to these claims.

Table of ContentsNote K
Derivatives

At March 31, 2013, BancShares had an interest rate swap entered into during 2011 that qualifies as a cash flow hedge under GAAP. For all periods presented, the fair value of the outstanding derivative is included in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets, and the net change in fair value is included in the consolidated statements of cash flows under the caption of net change in other liabilities.

The interest rate swaps are used for interest rate risk management purposes and convert variable-rate exposure on outstanding debt to a fixed rate. The 2011 interest rate swap has a notional amount of \$93,500, representing the amount of variable rate trust preferred capital securities issued during 2006 and still outstanding at the swap inception date. The 2011 interest rate swap hedges interest payments through June 2016 and requires fixed-rate payments by BancShares at 5.50 percent in exchange for variable-rate payments of 175 basis points above the three-month LIBOR, which is equal to the interest paid to the holders of the trust preferred capital securities. Settlement of the swap occurs quarterly. As of March 31, 2013, collateral with a fair value of \$9,656 was pledged to secure the existing obligation under the interest rate swap.

| | March 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | March 31, 2012 | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Notional amount | Estimated fair value of liability | Notional amount | Estimated fair value of liability | Notional amount | Estimated fair value of liability |
| 2011 interest rate swap hedging variable rate exposure on trust preferred securities 2011-2016 | \$93,500 | \$9,583 | \$93,500 | \$10,398 | \$93,500 | \$10,325 |

For cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss due to changes in the fair value of the derivative hedging instrument is included in other comprehensive income, while the ineffective portion, representing the excess of the cumulative change in the fair value of the derivative over the cumulative change in expected future discounted cash flows on the hedged transaction, is recorded in the consolidated income statement. BancShares' interest rate swaps have been fully effective since inception. Therefore, changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps have had no impact on net income. For the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, BancShares recognized interest expense of \$813 and \$749, respectively, resulting from incremental interest paid to the interest rate swap counterparty, none of which related to ineffectiveness. The estimated net amount in accumulated other comprehensive income at March 31, 2013, that is expected to be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months is a net after-tax loss of \$1,951.

The following table discloses activity in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to the interest rate swaps during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013, and 2012.

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss resulting from interest rate swaps as of January 1 | \$(10,398) | \$(10,714) |
| Other comprehensive income recognized during the three-month period ended March 31 | 1815 | 389 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss resulting from interest rate swaps as of March 31 | \$(9,583) | \$(10,325) |

BancShares monitors the credit risk of the interest rate swap counterparty.

Table of Contents

Note L

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated other comprehensive loss included the following as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012:

| | March 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | | March 31, 2012 | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|---|
| | Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | Deferred tax expense (benefit) | Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | Deferred tax expense (benefit) | Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | Deferred tax expense (benefit) | Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax |
| Unrealized gains on investment securities available for sale | \$32,333 | \$12,727 | \$19,606 | \$33,809 | \$13,292 | \$20,517 | \$23,667 | \$9,327 | \$14,340 |
| Funded status of defined benefit plan | (154,030) | (60,318) | (93,712) | (158,334) | (62,003) | (96,331) | (122,465) | (47,957) | (74,508) |
| Unrealized loss on cash flow hedge | (9,583) | (3,784) | (5,799) | (10,398) | (4,106) | (6,292) | (10,325) | (4,077) | (6,248) |
| Total | \$(131,280) | \$(51,375) | \$(79,905) | \$(134,923) | \$(52,817) | \$(82,106) | \$(109,123) | \$(42,707) | \$(66,416) |

| | Gains and losses on cash flow hedges ¹ | Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities ¹ | Defined benefit pension items ¹ | Total |
|--|---|---|--|--------------|
| Three months ended March 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ (6,292) | \$ 20,517 | \$ (96,331) | \$ (82,106) |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications | 1 | (876) | — | (875) |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | 492 | (35) | 2,619 | 3,076 |
| Net current period other comprehensive income | 493 | (911) | 2,619 | 2,201 |
| Ending balance | \$ (5,799) | \$ 19,606 | \$ (93,712) | \$ (79,905) |
| Three months ended March 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ (6,483) | \$ 16,115 | \$ (76,206) | \$ (66,574) |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications | (218) | (1,775) | — | (1,993) |

| | | | | |
|--|----------|------------|-----------|-------------|
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | 453 | — | 1,698 | 2,151 |
| Net current period other comprehensive income | 235 | (1,775 |) 1,698 | 158 |
| Ending balance | \$(6,248 |) \$14,340 | \$(74,508 |) \$(66,416 |

¹ All amounts are net of tax. Amounts in parentheses indicate debits.

Table of Contents

| Details about accumulated other comprehensive income | Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income ¹ | Affected line item in the statement where net income is presented |
|--|--|---|
| Three months ended March 31, 2013 | | |
| Gains and losses on cash flow hedges | | |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ (813 |) Long-term obligations |
| | 321 | Income taxes |
| | \$ (492 |) Net of tax |
| Unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities | | |
| | \$ 58 | Securities gains (losses) |
| | (23 |) Income taxes |
| | 35 | Net of tax |
| Amortization of defined benefit pension items | | |
| Prior service costs | \$ (52 |) Employee Benefits |
| Actuarial gains | (4,252 |) Employee Benefits |
| | (4,304 |) Total before taxes |
| | 1,685 | Income taxes |
| | \$ (2,619 |) Net of tax |
| Total reclassifications for the period | \$ (3,076 |) |
| Three months ended March 31, 2012 | | |
| Gains and losses on cash flow hedges | | |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ (749 |) Long-term obligations |
| | 296 | Income taxes |
| | (453 |) Net of tax |
| Amortization of defined benefit pension items | | |
| Prior service costs | \$ (53 |) Employee Benefits |
| Actuarial gains | (2,737 |) Employee Benefits |
| | (2,790 |) Total before taxes |
| | 1,092 | Income taxes |
| | \$ (1,698 |) Net of tax |
| Total reclassifications for the period | \$ (2,151 |) |

¹ Amounts in parentheses indicate debits to profit/loss.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

INTRODUCTION

Management's discussion and analysis of earnings and related financial data are presented to assist in understanding the financial condition and results of operations of First Citizens BancShares, Inc. and Subsidiaries (BancShares). This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and related notes presented within this report. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Although certain

amounts for prior years have been reclassified to conform to statement presentations for 2013, the reclassifications have no material effect on shareholders' equity or net income as previously reported. Unless otherwise noted, the terms "we," "us" and "BancShares" refer to the consolidated financial position and consolidated results of operations for BancShares.

Table of Contents

BancShares is a financial holding company headquartered in Raleigh, North Carolina, that offers full-service banking through its wholly-owned banking subsidiary, First-Citizens Bank & Trust Company (FCB), a North Carolina-chartered bank. FCB is a state-chartered bank organized under the laws of the state of North Carolina. As of March 31, 2013, FCB operated 412 branches in North Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, Maryland, Tennessee, Washington, California, Florida, Georgia, Texas, Arizona, New Mexico, Oregon, Colorado, Oklahoma, Kansas, Missouri, and Washington, DC.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW AND EARNINGS SUMMARY

BancShares' earnings and cash flows are primarily derived from our commercial banking activities. We offer commercial and consumer loans, deposit and treasury services products, cardholder and merchant services, wealth management services, as well as various other products and services typically offered by commercial banks. We gather deposits from retail and commercial customers and also secure funding through various non-deposit sources. We invest the liquidity generated from these funding sources in interest-earning assets, including loans and leases, investment securities and overnight investments. We also invest in the bank premises, furniture and equipment used to conduct our commercial banking business.

Various external factors influence the focus of our business efforts. Since 2008, asset quality challenges, capital adequacy problems and weak economic conditions have resulted in unfavorable conditions for growth. However, during this period of industry-wide turmoil, we have elected to participate in FDIC-assisted transactions involving distressed financial institutions. Participation in FDIC-assisted transactions provided opportunities to increase our business volumes in existing markets and to expand our banking presence to adjacent markets that we deemed demographically attractive.

Under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), acquired assets are initially recorded at fair value, and fair value discounts and premiums are accreted or amortized to earnings over the life of the underlying asset or liability. In addition, post-acquisition deterioration results in an adjustment to the allowance for loan and lease losses. For each of the six FDIC-assisted transactions, loss share agreements protect us from a substantial portion of the asset quality risk that we would otherwise incur. The estimated receivable and payable related to those loss share agreements are measured and recorded in the financial statements and are remeasured based on changes in the estimated cash flows to be derived from the covered assets.

The amortization and accretion of premiums and discounts, the recognition of post-acquisition impairment, and the related accounting for the indemnification asset may result in significant income statement volatility. In some periods, the net impact may be favorable, while, in other periods, the net impact may be unfavorable.

Apart from acquisition accounting adjustments, various other trends have had an unfavorable impact on our income statement during the past two years. Low interest rates and competitive loan and deposit pricing has led to very narrow interest margins. Further, legislatively-imposed restrictions on our ability to collect various fees have adversely affected noninterest income. Additionally, while distressed customers continue to experience difficulty meeting their debt service obligations, other customers defer new borrowings due to economic uncertainty, while other customers aggressively continue to repay existing debt.

Although improved when compared to prior years, soft real estate markets continue to cause banks to carry relatively large inventories of ORE and to market and sell properties for amounts less than estimated market prices. Real estate demand in many of our markets remains weak, resulting in depressed real estate prices that continue to affect collateral values for many borrowers. As a result, when customer cash flow is inadequate to avoid default, losses resulting from liquidation of collateral are higher than would have occurred prior to the decline in real estate values.

Exposure to declining real estate values have caused some loans secured by a second mortgage to become effectively unsecured.

In an effort to assist customers experiencing financial difficulty, we have selectively agreed to modify existing loan terms to provide relief to customers who are experiencing liquidity challenges or other circumstances that could affect their ability to meet debt obligations. The majority of the modifications we provide are to customers that are currently performing under existing terms but may be unable to do so in the near future without a modification.

The demand for our treasury services products has been adversely influenced by extraordinarily low interest rates. Our balance sheet liquidity position remains strong despite significant attrition of deposits assumed in the FDIC-assisted transactions.

Table of Contents

Ongoing economic weakness and market uncertainty continues to have a significant impact on virtually all financial institutions in the United States. Beyond the profitability pressures resulting from a weak economy, financial institutions continue to face challenges resulting from implementation of legislative and governmental reforms to stabilize the financial services industry and provide added consumer protection. In addition to the various actions previously enacted by governmental agencies and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act), further regulatory changes will likely occur, including the Basel III capital requirements that are anticipated to be finalized during 2013.

The Dodd-Frank Act contained provisions that will gradually eliminate our ability to include trust preferred securities as equity for capital adequacy purposes. Due to the anticipated elimination of those securities from our capital and the cost of those borrowings, we elected to redeem \$150.0 million of our trust preferred securities during July 2012. BancShares' consolidated net income during the first quarter of 2013 equaled \$55.6 million, an increase of \$20.1 million from the \$35.5 million earned during the corresponding period of 2012. The annualized return on average assets and equity amounted to 1.07 percent and 12.01 percent, respectively, during the first quarter of 2013, compared to 0.68 percent and 7.63 percent during the same period of 2012. Net income per share during the first quarter of 2013 totaled \$5.78, compared to \$3.45 during the first quarter of 2012. The increase in net income in 2013 was due to a reduction in the provision for loan and lease losses and higher noninterest income, partially offset by lower net interest income and higher noninterest expense.

Net interest income decreased \$16.1 million from \$221.0 million in the first quarter of 2012 to \$204.9 million in 2013, primarily due to the impact of loan shrinkage and a decrease in the taxable-equivalent yield on interest-earning assets. The taxable-equivalent net yield on interest-earning assets decreased 45 basis points from the first quarter of 2012 to 4.35 percent. For the first quarter, acquired loan accretion income significantly impacted the taxable-equivalent net yield on interest-earning assets. Since the balance of acquired loans will continue to decline over the next several years, accretion income will likewise decline.

We recorded an \$18.6 million credit to provision for loan and lease losses during the first quarter of 2013, compared to provision expense of \$30.7 million during the first quarter of 2012. The credit to provision expense related to covered loans totaled \$22.6 million during the first quarter of 2013, compared to provision expense of \$9.6 million during the first quarter of 2012, a \$32.2 million favorable change. The significant reduction in provision expense for covered loans resulted from lower current impairment, unexpected payoffs of acquired loans for which an allowance had previously been established and the reversal of previously-identified post-acquisition deterioration of acquired loans covered by loss share agreements with the FDIC. To the extent deterioration is covered by a loss share agreement, there is a corresponding adjustment to the FDIC receivable with an offset to noninterest income for the covered credit-related portion at the appropriate indemnification rate. Impairment related to the timing of cash flows does not trigger adjustments to the FDIC receivable. Provision expense for noncovered loans totaled \$4.0 million during the first quarter of 2013 compared to \$21.1 million during the first quarter of 2012, a reduction of \$17.1 million, resulting from lower charge-offs and credit quality improvements in the noncovered commercial loan portfolio.

Noninterest income increased \$10.6 million in the first quarter of 2013 when compared to the first quarter of 2012, primarily resulting from the sale of a large portion of our client bank processing service relationships.

Noninterest expense increased \$11.0 million, or 6.0 percent, in the first quarter of 2013, when compared to the same period in 2012, due to increases in employee benefits, processing fees paid to third parties and fixed asset impairments resulting from the sale of our client bank processing service relationships.

Income tax expense in the first quarter of 2013 totaled \$31.1 million compared to \$18.4 million for the same period of 2012,

representing effective tax rates of 35.8 percent and 34.1 percent during the respective periods. The increase in the effective tax rate for the first quarter of 2013 results from the diluted impact of various favorable permanent differences on higher pre-tax earnings.

Table of ContentsTable 1
SELECTED QUARTERLY DATA

| | 2013 | 2012 | | | |
|---|---|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| | First Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Third Quarter | Second Quarter | First Quarter |
| | (thousands, except share data and ratios) | | | | |
| SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$220,604 | \$280,891 | \$236,674 | \$240,519 | \$246,752 |
| Interest expense | 15,722 | 17,943 | 21,318 | 25,087 | 25,800 |
| Net interest income | 204,882 | 262,948 | 215,356 | 215,432 | 220,952 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | (18,606) | 64,880 | 17,623 | 29,667 | 30,715 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses | 223,488 | 198,068 | 197,733 | 185,765 | 190,237 |
| Noninterest income | 57,513 | 33,219 | 51,842 | 57,296 | 46,943 |
| Noninterest expense | 194,355 | 198,728 | 190,077 | 194,797 | 183,331 |
| Income before income taxes | 86,646 | 32,559 | 59,498 | 48,264 | 53,849 |
| Income taxes | 31,061 | 10,813 | 19,974 | 10,681 | 18,354 |
| Net income | \$55,585 | \$21,746 | \$39,524 | \$37,583 | \$35,495 |
| Net interest income, taxable equivalent | \$205,553 | \$263,635 | \$216,069 | \$216,194 | \$221,765 |
| PER SHARE DATA | | | | | |
| Net income | \$5.78 | \$2.15 | \$3.85 | \$3.66 | \$3.45 |
| Cash dividends | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Market price at period end (Class A) | 182.70 | 163.50 | 162.90 | 166.65 | 182.69 |
| Book value at period end | 199.46 | 193.75 | 192.49 | 187.88 | 184.14 |
| SELECTED PERIOD AVERAGE BALANCES | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$21,150,143 | \$21,245,425 | \$21,075,174 | \$21,085,228 | \$20,843,491 |
| Investment securities | 5,196,930 | 5,169,159 | 4,888,047 | 4,598,141 | 4,141,160 |
| Loans and leases (covered and noncovered) | 13,289,828 | 13,357,928 | 13,451,164 | 13,612,114 | 13,822,226 |
| Interest-earning assets | 19,180,308 | 19,273,850 | 19,059,474 | 18,983,321 | 18,584,625 |
| Deposits | 17,922,665 | 17,983,033 | 17,755,974 | 17,667,221 | 17,498,813 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities | 14,140,511 | 14,109,359 | 14,188,609 | 14,418,509 | 14,478,901 |
| Long-term obligations | 444,539 | 447,600 | 524,313 | 646,854 | 682,067 |
| Shareholders' equity | \$1,877,445 | \$1,951,874 | \$1,945,263 | \$1,906,884 | \$1,870,066 |
| Shares outstanding | 9,618,985 | 10,159,262 | 10,264,159 | 10,271,343 | 10,283,842 |
| SELECTED PERIOD-END BALANCES | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$21,351,012 | \$21,283,652 | \$21,173,620 | \$21,240,990 | \$21,225,661 |
| Investment securities | 5,280,907 | 5,227,570 | 5,013,500 | 4,635,826 | 4,459,427 |
| Loans and leases: | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | 1,621,327 | 1,809,235 | 1,897,097 | 1,999,351 | 2,183,869 |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 11,509,080 | 11,576,115 | 11,455,233 | 11,462,458 | 11,489,529 |
| Deposits | 18,064,921 | 18,086,025 | 17,893,215 | 17,801,646 | 17,759,492 |
| Long-term obligations | 444,252 | 444,921 | 472,170 | 644,682 | 649,818 |
| Shareholders' equity | \$1,918,581 | \$1,864,007 | \$1,974,124 | \$1,929,790 | \$1,892,123 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST CITIZENS BANCSHARES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|---|
| Shares outstanding | 9,618,941 | 9,620,914 | 10,255,747 | 10,271,244 | 10,275,731 | |
| SELECTED RATIOS AND OTHER DATA | | | | | | |
| Rate of return on average assets (annualized) | 1.07 | %0.41 | %0.75 | %0.72 | %0.68 | % |
| Rate of return on average shareholders' equity (annualized) | 12.01 | 4.43 | 8.08 | 7.93 | 7.63 | |
| Net yield on interest-earning assets (taxable equivalent) | 4.35 | 5.44 | 4.51 | 4.58 | 4.80 | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans and leases: | | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | 5.95 | 7.74 | 4.77 | 4.39 | 3.94 | |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 1.53 | 1.55 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | |
| Nonperforming assets to total loans and leases and other real estate at period end: | | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | 8.46 | 9.26 | 12.87 | 18.37 | 18.68 | |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 1.10 | 1.15 | 1.05 | 1.03 | 0.99 | |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | 14.50 | 14.27 | 15.08 | 15.97 | 15.74 | |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | 16.19 | 15.95 | 16.76 | 17.66 | 17.62 | |
| Leverage capital ratio | 9.36 | 9.22 | 9.67 | 10.21 | 10.16 | |
| Dividend payout ratio | 5.19 | 13.95 | 7.79 | 8.20 | 8.70 | |
| Average loans and leases to average deposits | 74.15 | 74.28 | 75.76 | 77.05 | 78.99 | |

Average loan and lease balances include nonaccrual loans and leases. See discussion of issues affecting comparability of financial statements under the caption FDIC-Assisted Transactions.

Table of Contents

FDIC-ASSISTED TRANSACTIONS

While our growth has historically been achieved primarily through de novo activities, since mid-2009 BancShares participated in six FDIC-assisted transactions involving failed financial institutions. These transactions have had a significant impact on BancShares' financial condition and results of operations in subsequent periods.

FDIC-assisted transactions provided significant growth opportunities for BancShares from 2009 through 2011. These transactions allowed us to increase our presence in existing markets and to expand our banking presence to contiguous markets. Additionally, purchase discounts and fair value adjustments on acquired assets and assumed liabilities resulted in significant acquisition gains recorded at the time of each acquisition. All of the FDIC-assisted transactions include loss share agreements that protect us from a substantial portion of the credit and asset quality risk we would otherwise incur.

Balance sheet impact. Table 2 summarizes the balance sheet impact of the six FDIC-assisted transactions consummated during 2011, 2010 and 2009.

Table 2

FDIC-ASSISTED TRANSACTIONS

| Entity | Date of transaction | Fair value of | |
|---|---------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | | Loans acquired | Deposits assumed |
| | | (thousands) | |
| Colorado Capital Bank (CCB) | July 8, 2011 | \$ 320,789 | \$ 606,501 |
| United Western Bank (United Western) | January 21, 2011 | 759,351 | 1,604,858 |
| Sun American Bank (SAB) | March 5, 2010 | 290,891 | 420,012 |
| First Regional Bank (First Regional) | January 29, 2010 | 1,260,249 | 1,287,719 |
| Venture Bank (VB) | September 11, 2009 | 456,995 | 709,091 |
| Temecula Valley Bank (TVB) | July 17, 2009 | 855,583 | 965,431 |
| Total | | \$ 3,943,858 | \$ 5,593,612 |
| Carrying value of acquired loans as of March 31, 2013 | | \$ 1,621,327 | |

Income statement impact. The acquired loans, assumed deposits and assumed borrowings originated by the six banks have affected net interest income, provision for loan and lease losses and noninterest income in the periods following the respective acquisition date. Various fair value discounts and premiums that were previously recorded are being accreted and amortized into income over the life of the underlying asset or liability. Noninterest expense increased due to incremental staffing, facility costs for the branch locations, collection and foreclosure-related expenses resulting from the FDIC-assisted transactions. No acquisition gains were recorded during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013, and March 31, 2012.

During the three-month period ended March 31, 2013, we recorded a credit to provision expense for covered loans totaling \$22.6 million compared to provision expense of \$9.6 million during the same period of 2012. The decrease in the provision for covered loan losses in 2013 is the result of improved cash flow projections that have resulted in the reversal of previously-recognized impairment for post-acquisition deterioration. Provision expense for the fourth quarter of 2012 totaled \$62.3 million resulting from newly-identified impairment, including credit-related impairment and timing-related impairment resulting from changes in the projected loss dates.

The amount of accretable yield related to the loans changes when the estimated cash flows expected to be collected changes. The recognition of accretion income may be accelerated in the event of unscheduled repayments for amounts in excess of current estimates and various other post-acquisition events. Due to the many factors that can influence the amount of accretion income recognized in a given period, this component of net interest income is not easily predictable for future periods and impacts the comparability of interest income, net interest income and overall results of operations. During the three-month period ended March 31, 2013, accretion income for loans for which a fair value discount had been recorded equaled \$79.9 million, compared to \$110.6 million during the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$64.9 million during the first quarter of 2012.

Table of Contents

Post-acquisition improvements that affect accretion income, as well as post-acquisition deterioration of covered loans, also result in adjustments to the receivable from the FDIC for changes in the estimated amount that would be covered under the respective loss share agreement. While accretion income is recognized prospectively over the remaining life of the loan, the adjustment to the receivable from the FDIC is recognized over the shorter of the remaining life of the loan or the remaining term of the applicable loss share agreement. As a result, the recognition of accretion income may occur over a longer period than the related income statement impact of the adjustment to the receivable from the FDIC. During the three-month period ended March 31, 2013, the net adjustment to the FDIC receivable for post-acquisition improvements and deterioration in covered assets resulted in a net reduction to the FDIC receivable and noninterest income of \$24.1 million, compared to a net reduction in the receivable and a corresponding reduction in noninterest income of \$26.8 million during the same period of 2012.

The various terms of each loss share agreement and the components of the resulting receivable from the FDIC is provided in Table 3. The table includes the estimated fair value of the receivable at the respective acquisition dates of each FDIC-assisted transaction as well as the carrying value of the receivable at March 31, 2013. The carrying value as of March 31, 2013, excludes estimated obligations to the FDIC under any applicable clawback provisions.

As of March 31, 2013, the receivable from the FDIC includes \$107.3 million of estimated reimbursements from the FDIC. The receivable from the FDIC also includes \$88.7 million we expect to recover through prospective amortization of the asset arising from improvements in the related loans. The timing of expected losses on covered assets is monitored by management to ensure the losses will occur during the respective loss share terms. When projected losses are expected to occur after expiration of the applicable loss share agreement, the receivable from the FDIC is adjusted to reflect the forfeiture of loss share protection.

Acquisition accounting and issues affecting comparability of financial statements. As estimated exposures related to the acquired assets covered by the loss share agreements change based on post-acquisition events, our adherence to GAAP and accounting policy elections that we have made affect the comparability of our current results of operations to earlier periods. Several of the key issues affecting comparability are as follows:

- When post-acquisition events suggest that the amount of cash flows we will ultimately receive for a loan covered by a loss share agreement is less than originally expected:
An allowance for loan and lease losses is established for the post-acquisition exposure that has emerged with a corresponding charge to provision for loan and lease losses;
If the expected loss is projected to occur during the relevant loss share period, the receivable from the FDIC is adjusted to reflect the indemnified portion of the post-acquisition exposure with a corresponding increase to noninterest income;
- When post-acquisition events suggest that the amount of cash flows we will ultimately receive for a loan covered under a loss share agreement is greater than originally expected:
Any allowance for loan and lease losses that was previously established for post-acquisition exposure is reversed with a corresponding reduction to provision for loan and lease losses; if no allowance was established in earlier periods, the amount of the improvement in the cash flow projection results in a reclassification from the nonaccretable difference created at the acquisition date to an accretable yield; the newly-identified accretable yield is accreted into income over the remaining life of the loan as a credit to interest income;
The receivable from the FDIC is adjusted immediately for reversals of previously recognized impairment and prospectively for reclassifications from nonaccretable difference to reflect the indemnified portion of the post-acquisition change in exposure; a corresponding reduction in noninterest income is also recorded immediately for reversals of previously established allowances or for reclassifications from nonaccretable difference, over the shorter of the remaining life of the related loan or loss share agreements;
- When actual payments received on loans are greater than initial estimates, large nonrecurring discount accretion or reductions in the allowance for loan losses may be recognized during a specific period; discount accretion is

recognized as an increase to interest income; reductions in the allowance for loan and lease losses are recorded with a reduction in the provision for loan and lease losses;

41

Table of Contents

Adjustments to the receivable from the FDIC resulting from changes in estimated loan cash flows are based on the reimbursement provision of the applicable loss share agreement with the FDIC. Adjustments to the FDIC receivable partially offset the adjustment to the covered loan carrying value, but the rate of the change to the FDIC receivable relative to the change in the covered loan carrying value is not constant. The loss share agreements establish reimbursement rates for losses incurred within certain ranges. In some loss share agreements, higher loss estimates result in higher reimbursement rates, while in other loss share agreements, higher loss estimates trigger a reduction in the reimbursement rates. In addition, some of the loss share agreements include clawback provisions that require the purchaser to remit a payment to the FDIC in the event that the aggregate amount of losses is less than a loss estimate established by the FDIC. The adjustments to the FDIC receivable based on changes in loss estimates are measured based on the actual reimbursement rates and consider the impact of changes in the projected clawback payment. Table 3 provides details on the various reimbursement rates for each loss share agreement.

Table of Contents

Table 3

LOSS SHARE PROVISIONS FOR FDIC-ASSISTED TRANSACTIONS

| Entity | Fair value at acquisition date | Losses/expenses incurred through 3/31/2013 | Cumulative amount reimbursed by FDIC through 3/31/2013 | Carrying value at March 31, 2013 | | Current portion of receivable due from (to) FDIC for 3/31/2013 filings | Receivable related to accretable yield as of 3/31/2013 |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | | | | Receivable from FDIC | Payable to FDIC | | |
| (dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| TVB - combined losses | \$ 103,558 | \$ 187,033 | \$— | \$35,028 | \$— | \$— | \$20,404 |
| VB - combined losses | 138,963 | 154,993 | 119,259 | 7,897 | — | 4,735 | 379 |
| First Regional - combined losses | 378,695 | 313,391 | 224,061 | 50,777 | 66,925 | (6,802) |)23,046 |
| SAB - combined losses | 89,734 | 90,816 | 69,936 | 27,479 | 2,610 | 2,717 | 18,226 |
| United Western Non-single family residential losses | 112,672 | 108,486 | 87,435 | 23,822 | 15,109 | (404) |)10,234 |
| Single family residential losses | 24,781 | 3,271 | 1,533 | 12,124 | | 1,084 | 1,040 |
| CCB - combined losses | 155,070 | 175,896 | 138,173 | 38,815 | 14,226 | 2,685 | 15,344 |
| Total | \$ 1,003,473 | \$ 1,033,886 | \$ 640,397 | \$ 195,942 | \$ 98,870 | \$ 4,015 | \$ 88,673 |

Each FDIC-assisted transaction has a separate loss share agreement for Single-Family Residential loans (SFR) and non-Single-Family Residential loans (NSFR).

For TVB, combined losses are covered at 0 percent up to \$193.3 million, 80 percent for losses between \$193.3 million and \$464.0 million, and 95 percent for losses above \$464.0 million.

For VB, combined losses are covered at 80 percent up to \$235.0 million and 95 percent for losses above \$235.0 million.

For FRB, combined losses are covered at 0 percent up to \$41.8 million, 80 percent for losses between \$41.8 million and \$1.02 billion, and 95 percent for losses above \$1.02 billion.

For SAB, combined losses are covered at 80 percent up to \$99.0 million and 95 percent for losses above \$99.0 million.

For United Western SFR loans, losses are covered at 80 percent up to \$32.5 million, 0 percent between \$32.5 million and \$57.7 million, and 80 percent for losses above \$57.7 million.

For United Western NSFR loans, losses are covered at 80 percent up to \$111.5 million, 30 percent between \$111.5 million and \$227.0 million, and 80 percent for losses above \$227.0 million.

For CCB, combined losses are covered at 80 percent up to \$231.0 million, 0 percent between \$231.0 million and \$285.9 million, and 80 percent for losses above \$285.9 million.

Fair value at acquisition date represents the initial fair value of the receivable, excluding the payable. Receivable related to accretable yield represents balances that, due to post-acquisition credit quality improvement, will be amortized over the shorter of the covered asset's life or the term of the loss share period.

Table of Contents

INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS

Interest-earning assets include loans and leases, investment securities and overnight investments, all of which reflect varying interest rates based on the risk level and repricing characteristics of the underlying asset. Riskier investments typically carry a higher interest rate but expose us to potentially higher levels of default.

We have historically focused on maintaining high asset quality, which results in a loan and lease portfolio subjected to strenuous underwriting and monitoring procedures with a concentration of owner-occupied real estate loans in the medical and related fields. The focus on asset quality also influences the composition of our investment securities portfolio. At March 31, 2013, government agency securities represented 59.6 percent of our investment securities portfolio, compared to residential mortgage-backed securities and U.S. Treasury securities, which represented 25.7 percent and 14.2 percent, respectively, of the investment securities portfolio. The balance of the portfolio includes state, county and municipal securities and common stock of other financial institutions. Overnight investments are selectively made with the Federal Reserve Bank and other financial institutions that are within our risk tolerance.

During the first quarter of 2013, interest-earning assets averaged \$19.18 billion, an increase of \$595.7 million or 3.2 percent from the first quarter of 2012. The increase was due to higher levels of investment securities and overnight investments offset, in part, by lower loan and leases.

Loans and leases. Noncovered loans increased \$19.6 million from \$11.49 billion at March 31, 2012, to \$11.51 billion at March 31, 2013, but declined \$67.0 million since December 31, 2012. Loans covered by loss share agreements with the FDIC totaled \$1.62 billion at March 31, 2013, compared to \$1.81 billion at December 31, 2012, and \$2.18 billion at March 31, 2012. Noncovered loan demand remains extremely sluggish, while covered loan balances continue to decline due to repayments and charge-offs. Table 4 provides the composition of covered and noncovered loan and leases.

Commercial mortgage loans not covered by loss share agreements totaled \$5.35 billion at March 31, 2013, 46.5 percent of noncovered loans and leases. The March 31, 2013, balance increased \$10.8 million or 0.2 percent since December 31, 2012, and \$224.6 million or 4.4 percent since March 31, 2012. The growth reflects our continued focus on small business customers, particularly among medical-related and other professional customers. These loans are underwritten based primarily upon the cash flow from the operation of the business rather than the value of the real estate collateral.

At March 31, 2013, revolving mortgage loans not covered by loss share agreements totaled \$2.15 billion, representing 18.7 percent of total noncovered loans outstanding, a decrease of \$59.3 million or 2.7 percent since December 31, 2012, and \$131.3 million or 5.8 percent compared to March 31, 2012. The reduction in revolving mortgage loans from 2012 is a result of continued efforts by customers to reduce debt obligations.

At March 31, 2013, commercial and industrial loans not covered by loss share agreements equaled \$1.66 billion or 14.4 percent of total noncovered loans and leases, a reduction of \$64.0 million or 3.7 percent since December 31, 2012, and \$77.6 million or 4.5 percent since March 31, 2012. Weak economic conditions have limited our ability to originate commercial and industrial loans that meet our underwriting standards.

Commercial construction and land development loans not covered by loss share agreements totaled \$300.5 million or 2.6 percent of total noncovered loans at March 31, 2013, a decrease of \$46.1 million or 13.3 percent since March 31, 2012. This decrease was driven by a general reduction in construction lending. Our March 31, 2013, noncovered construction and land development portfolio does not include significant exposure to builders to acquire, develop or construct homes in large tracts of real estate. Most of the construction portfolio relates to borrowers in North Carolina and Virginia where real estate values have declined less severely than other markets in which we operate.

Consumer loans not covered by loss share agreements totaled \$385.6 million at March 31, 2013, down \$65.5 million or 14.5 percent since March 31, 2012, and down \$31.0 million or 7.4 percent from December 31, 2012. This decline is the result of the general contraction in consumer borrowing in 2013 and 2012 due to recessionary economic conditions and continued run-off in our automobile sales finance portfolio.

Residential mortgage loans not covered by loss share agreements totaled \$834.9 million at March 31, 2013, up \$41.3 million or 5.2 percent since March 31, 2012, and up \$12.0 million or 1.5 percent from December 31, 2012. While the majority of residential mortgage loans that we originated in 2012 and 2013 were sold to investors, other loans are retained in the loan portfolio principally due to the nonconforming characteristics of the retained loans.

Table of ContentsTable 4
LOANS AND LEASES

| | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | March 31, 2012 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | (dollars in thousands) | | |
| Covered loans | \$1,621,327 | \$1,809,235 | \$2,183,869 |
| Noncovered loans and leases: | | | |
| Commercial: | | | |
| Construction and land development | 300,497 | 309,190 | 346,557 |
| Commercial mortgage | 5,352,594 | 5,341,839 | 5,127,948 |
| Other commercial real estate | 176,456 | 160,980 | 150,316 |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,662,124 | 1,726,126 | 1,739,724 |
| Lease financing | 336,329 | 330,679 | 315,704 |
| Other | 194,186 | 125,681 | 149,792 |
| Total commercial loans | 8,022,186 | 7,994,495 | 7,830,041 |
| Noncommercial: | | | |
| Residential mortgage | 834,879 | 822,889 | 793,612 |
| Revolving mortgage | 2,150,800 | 2,210,133 | 2,282,138 |
| Construction and land development | 115,628 | 131,992 | 132,677 |
| Consumer | 385,587 | 416,606 | 451,061 |
| Total noncommercial loans | 3,486,894 | 3,581,620 | 3,659,488 |
| Total noncovered loans and leases | 11,509,080 | 11,576,115 | 11,489,529 |
| Total loans and leases | \$13,130,407 | \$13,385,350 | \$13,673,398 |

| | March 31, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | | March 31, 2012 | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| | Impaired at acquisition date | All other covered loans | Total | Impaired at acquisition date | All other covered loans | Total | Impaired at acquisition date | All other covered loans | Total |
| Covered loans: | (dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction and land development | \$53,209 | \$151,315 | \$204,524 | \$71,225 | \$166,681 | \$237,906 | \$100,736 | \$209,865 | \$310,601 |
| Commercial mortgage | 101,397 | 847,055 | 948,452 | 107,281 | 947,192 | 1,054,473 | 122,876 | 1,072,665 | 1,195,541 |
| Other commercial real estate | 30,191 | 63,041 | 93,232 | 35,369 | 71,750 | 107,119 | 31,727 | 113,251 | 144,978 |
| Commercial and industrial | 6,149 | 39,544 | 45,693 | 3,932 | 45,531 | 49,463 | 17,397 | 75,864 | 93,261 |
| Lease financing | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 45 | 45 |
| Other | — | 1,042 | 1,042 | — | 1,074 | 1,074 | — | 1,283 | 1,283 |
| Total commercial loans | 190,946 | 1,101,997 | 1,292,943 | 217,807 | 1,232,228 | 1,450,035 | 272,736 | 1,472,973 | 1,745,709 |
| Noncommercial: | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage | 43,924 | 235,073 | 278,997 | 48,077 | 249,849 | 297,926 | 46,905 | 251,633 | 298,538 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST CITIZENS BANCSHARES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Revolving mortgage | 9,788 | 27,351 | 37,139 | 9,606 | 29,104 | 38,710 | 14,125 | 35,891 | 50,016 |
| Construction and land development | 10,609 | 415 | 11,024 | 15,136 | 5,657 | 20,793 | 56,722 | 28,833 | 85,555 |
| Consumer | — | 1,224 | 1,224 | — | 1,771 | 1,771 | 1,453 | 2,598 | 4,051 |
| Total noncommercial loans | 64,321 | 264,063 | 328,384 | 72,819 | 286,381 | 359,200 | 119,205 | 318,955 | 438,160 |
| Total covered loans | \$255,267 | \$1,366,060 | \$1,621,327 | \$290,626 | \$1,518,609 | \$1,809,235 | \$391,941 | \$1,791,928 | \$2,183,869 |

45

Table of Contents

Commercial mortgage loans covered by loss share agreements totaled \$948.5 million at March 31, 2013, representing 58.5 percent of the total covered portfolio compared to \$1.05 billion at December 31, 2012, and \$1.20 billion at March 31, 2012. Commercial construction and land development loans covered by loss share agreements amounted to \$204.5 million, or 12.6 percent of total covered loans at March 31, 2013, a decrease of \$33.4 million from December 31, 2012, and \$106.1 million from March 31, 2012. Covered residential mortgage loans totaled \$279.0 million or 17.2 percent of the covered portfolio as of March 31, 2013, compared to \$297.9 million or 16.5 percent of total covered loans at December 31, 2012, and \$298.5 million or 13.7 percent of total covered loans at March 31, 2012. The changes in covered loan balances since December 31, 2012, and from March 31, 2012, reflect continued reductions of outstanding loans from the FDIC-assisted transactions from payments, charge-offs and foreclosure.

Although there are signs of improvement, economic conditions remain tenuous. As a result, we expect noncovered loan growth for the next several quarters to remain sluggish due to the generally weak demand for loans. Loan growth projections are subject to change due to further economic deterioration or improvement and other external factors.

Investment securities. Investment securities available for sale equaled \$5.28 billion at March 31, 2013, compared to \$5.23 billion at December 31, 2012, and \$4.46 billion at March 31, 2012. Available for sale securities are reported at their aggregate fair value, and unrealized gains and losses are included as a component of other comprehensive income, net of deferred taxes. Changes in the amount of our investment securities portfolio result from trends among loans and leases, deposits and short-term borrowings. When inflows arising from deposit and treasury services products exceed loan and lease demand, we invest excess funds in the securities portfolio. Conversely, when loan demand exceeds growth in deposits and short-term borrowings, we allow overnight investments to decline and use proceeds from maturing securities to fund loan demand. Details of investment securities at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012, are provided in Table 5.

Table of ContentsTable 5
INVESTMENT SECURITIES

| | March 31, 2013 | | | Average maturity (1) (Yrs./mos.) | Taxable equivalent yield (1) | December 31, 2012 | | March 31, 2012 | |
|--|----------------|-----------|-------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| | Cost | Fair | value | | | Cost | Fair | Cost | Fair |
| | | value | | | | | value | | value |
| Investment securities available for sale: | | | | | | | | | |
| U. S. Treasury: | | | | | | | | | |
| Within one year | \$450,166 | \$450,397 | 0/5 | 0.25 | %\$576,101 | \$576,393 | \$688,017 | \$688,176 | |
| One to five years | 299,118 | 299,360 | 1/10 | 0.29 | 247,140 | 247,239 | 377,018 | 376,860 | |
| Total | 749,284 | 749,757 | 1/0 | 0.27 | 823,241 | 823,632 | 1,065,035 | 1,065,036 | |
| Government agency: | | | | | | | | | |
| Within one year | 1,898,158 | 1,899,324 | 0/6 | 0.44 | 1,708,572 | 1,709,520 | 1,836,533 | 1,833,624 | |
| One to five years | 1,249,205 | 1,250,717 | 2/0 | 0.42 | 1,343,468 | 1,345,684 | 1,022,664 | 1,021,761 | |
| Total | 3,147,363 | 3,150,041 | 1/1 | 0.43 | 3,052,040 | 3,055,204 | 2,859,197 | 2,855,385 | |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Within one year | 2,086 | 2,120 | 0/9 | 3.67 | 3,397 | 3,456 | 241 | 239 | |
| One to five years | 685,084 | 687,453 | 3/2 | 1.50 | 732,614 | 736,284 | 69,835 | 70,244 | |
| Five to ten years | 180,466 | 181,393 | 6/11 | 1.77 | 193,500 | 195,491 | 67,219 | 67,673 | |
| Over ten years | 481,129 | 487,136 | 17/3 | 2.70 | 385,700 | 394,426 | 145,411 | 152,752 | |
| Total | 1,348,765 | 1,358,102 | 8/9 | 1.97 | 1,315,211 | 1,329,657 | 282,706 | 290,908 | |
| State, county and municipal: | | | | | | | | | |
| Within one year | 486 | 487 | 0/3 | 5.22 | 486 | 490 | 242 | 243 | |
| One to five years | — | — | — | — | — | — | 359 | 371 | |
| Five to ten years | 60 | 60 | 5/8 | 4.75 | 60 | 60 | 10 | 10 | |
| Over ten years | — | — | — | — | — | — | 415 | 415 | |
| Total | 546 | 547 | 0/10 | 5.16 | 546 | 550 | 1,026 | 1,039 | |
| Corporate bonds: | | | | | | | | | |
| Within one year | — | — | — | — | — | — | 225,214 | 226,428 | |
| Total | — | — | — | — | — | — | 225,214 | 226,428 | |
| Other | | | | | | | | | |
| Five to ten years | 844 | 828 | 5/3 | 7.58 | 838 | 820 | — | — | |
| Equity securities | 543 | 20,403 | | | 543 | 16,365 | 894 | 18,943 | |
| Total investment securities available for sale | 5,247,345 | 5,279,678 | | | 5,192,419 | 5,226,228 | 4,434,072 | 4,457,739 | |
| Investment securities held to maturity: | | | | | | | | | |

Residential
mortgage-backed
securities:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| One to five years | 1,132 | 1,188 | 4/0 | 5.59 | 1,242 | 1,309 | 379 | 393 |
| Five to ten years | 18 | 10 | 8/7 | 4.54 | 18 | 11 | 1,201 | 1,306 |
| Over ten years | 79 | 124 | 16/0 | 7.10 | 82 | 128 | 108 | 145 |
| Total investment securities held to maturity | 1,229 | 1,322 | 4/10 | 5.67 | 1,342 | 1,448 | 1,688 | 1,844 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total investment securities | \$5,248,574 | \$5,281,000 | | | \$5,193,761 | \$5,227,676 | \$4,435,760 | \$4,459,583 |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|

Average maturity assumes callable securities mature on their earliest call date; yields are based on amortized cost; (1)yields related to securities exempt from federal and/or state income taxes are stated on a taxable yield basis assuming statutory rates of 35.0 percent.

Table of Contents

INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES

Interest-bearing liabilities include interest-bearing deposits as well as short-term borrowings and long-term obligations. Deposits represent our primary funding source, although we have the ability to utilize non-deposit borrowings to stabilize our liquidity base and to fulfill commercial customer demand for treasury services. Interest-bearing liabilities totaled \$14.17 billion as of March 31, 2013, down \$347.8 million from March 31, 2012, due to continued customer migration of balances in interest-bearing accounts to demand deposit accounts, the continued reductions in deposits assumed in FDIC-assisted transactions, maturities of FHLB borrowings and the 2012 redemption of trust preferred securities.

Deposits. At March 31, 2013, total deposits equaled \$18.06 billion, a decrease of \$21.1 million or 0.1 percent since December 31, 2012, and an increase of \$305.4 million or 1.7 percent since March 31, 2012. The increase resulted from growth in legacy markets, partially offset by a reduction in assumed deposits.

Core deposit retention remains a key business objective. While we believe that traditional bank deposit products remain an attractive option for many customers, as economic conditions improve, our liquidity position could be adversely affected as bank deposits are withdrawn and invested elsewhere. Our ability to fund future loan growth could be constrained unless we are able to generate new deposits at a reasonable cost.

Short-term borrowings. At March 31, 2013, short-term borrowings totaled \$573.1 million compared to \$568.5 million at December 31, 2012, and \$678.0 million at March 31, 2012. The increase in short-term borrowings since December 31, 2012, is due to higher customer balances in our business and treasury services sweep products.

Long-term obligations. Long-term obligations equaled \$444.3 million at March 31, 2013, down \$0.7 million from December 31, 2012, and \$205.6 million from March 31, 2012. The decrease since March 31, 2012, resulted from the early redemption of trust preferred securities in July 2012, repayment of debt obligations related to a prior revolving mortgage loan securitization, and maturities of FHLB obligations.

At March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, long-term obligations included \$96.4 million in junior subordinated debentures representing obligations to FCB/NC Capital Trust III, a special purpose entity and the grantor trust for \$93.5 million of trust preferred securities. FCB/NC Capital Trust III's trust preferred securities mature in 2036 and may be redeemed at par in whole or in part at any time. BancShares has guaranteed all obligations of FCB/NC Capital Trust III. The proceeds from the trust preferred securities were used to purchase the junior subordinated debentures issued by BancShares.

At March 31, 2012, long-term obligations included \$251.7 million in junior subordinated debentures representing obligations to two special purpose entities, FCB/NC Capital Trust I and FCB/NC Capital Trust III (the Capital Trusts). The Capital Trusts were the grantor trusts for \$243.5 million of trust preferred securities outstanding as of March 31, 2012. The proceeds from the trust preferred securities were used to purchase the junior subordinated debentures issued by BancShares. The \$150.0 million in trust preferred securities issued by FCB/NC Capital Trust I had a scheduled maturity date in 2028 but were redeemed in whole in July 2012. BancShares has guaranteed all obligations of the Capital Trusts.

The Dodd-Frank Act contains provisions that, over a three-year period, will eliminate our ability to include the trust preferred securities in tier 1 capital. Beginning January 1, 2013, one-third of the outstanding trust preferred securities no longer qualified as tier 1 capital. All trust preferred securities will be excluded from tier 1 capital effective January 1, 2015. The inability to include the securities in tier 1 capital was the primary factor that resulted in our decision to redeem the trust preferred securities issued by FCB/NC Capital Trust I.

NET INTEREST INCOME

Interest income amounted to \$220.6 million during the first quarter of 2013, a \$26.1 million or 10.6 percent decrease from the first quarter of 2012. The decrease in interest income resulted from lower asset yields and a significant shift in asset composition resulting from a large reduction in average loans, offset by higher investment securities. Average interest-earning assets increased \$595.7 million or 3.2 percent to \$19.18 billion. The taxable-equivalent yield on interest-earning assets equaled 4.68 percent for the first quarter of 2013, compared to 5.36 percent for the corresponding period of 2012 as reflected in Table 6.

Table of Contents

Interest income earned from loans and leases totaled \$211.8 million during the first quarter of 2013, a \$26.4 million or 11.1 percent reduction when compared to the same period of 2012, the combined result of a \$532.4 million reduction in average loans and leases and a 47 basis point decline in the taxable-equivalent loan yield. During the fourth quarter of 2012, interest income earned on loans and leases totaled \$270.8 million. The taxable-equivalent yield on loans during the first quarter of 2013 was 6.48 percent compared to 8.08 percent during the fourth quarter of 2012 and 6.95 percent during the first quarter of 2012. Accretion income on acquired loans totaled \$79.9 million during the first quarter of 2013 compared to \$110.6 million during the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$64.9 million during the first quarter of 2012. The recognition of accretion income on acquired loans is significantly influenced by differences between initial cash flow estimates and changes to those estimates that evolve in subsequent periods. Accretion income in future periods will remain volatile but is likely to decrease as the balance of acquired loans continues to decline.

Interest income earned on the investment securities portfolio totaled \$8.5 million during the first quarter of 2013 compared to \$8.3 million during the same period of 2012. This decrease in income is the result of lower yields partially offset by an increase in average balances. The taxable-equivalent yield decreased 15 basis points from 0.82 percent in the first quarter of 2012 to 0.67 percent in the first quarter of 2013. This yield reduction was caused by significantly lower reinvestment rates on new securities compared to maturing and called securities. We anticipate the yield on investment securities will remain at current low levels until the Federal Reserve begins to raise the benchmark fed funds rates, an action that would likely lead to higher asset yields.

Interest expense amounted to \$15.7 million during the first quarter of 2013, a \$10.1 million or 39.1 percent decrease from the first quarter of 2012. The reduced level of interest expense resulted from lower rates and average balances. The rate on average interest-bearing liabilities equaled 0.45 percent during the first quarter of 2013, a 27 basis point decrease from the first quarter of 2012. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$338.4 million or 2.3 percent from the first quarter of 2012 to the first quarter of 2013 due to the run-off of deposits assumed in FDIC-assisted transactions and a reduction in long-term obligations resulting from the early redemption of trust preferred securities and maturities of FHLB borrowings.

Average interest-bearing deposits equaled \$13.14 billion during the first quarter of 2013, a decrease of \$28.2 million or 0.2 percent from the first quarter of 2012. Average money market accounts increased \$664.9 million or 11.5 percent from the first quarter of 2012, due to customers holding available liquidity in flexible deposit accounts. During the first quarter of 2013, time deposits averaged \$3.46 billion, down \$1.02 billion or 22.7 percent from the first quarter of 2012, resulting from customer preference for non-time deposits.

For the quarters ended March 31, 2013, and March 31, 2012, short-term borrowings averaged \$559.6 million and \$632.3 million, respectively. The \$72.7 million or 11.5 percent decrease in average short-term borrowings since the first quarter of 2012 is the result of maturities of FHLB debt during 2012.

Net interest income totaled \$204.9 million during the first quarter of 2013, a decrease of \$16.1 million or 7.3 percent from the first quarter of 2012. Lower current year net interest income and net yield on interest-earning assets resulted from loan shrinkage. During 2013 and 2012, growth in investment securities and reductions in average loans have caused the taxable-equivalent net yield on interest-earning assets to decline to 4.35 percent for the first quarter, down 45 basis points from the 4.80 percent recorded for the first quarter of 2012. Net interest income for the first quarter of 2013 included \$79.9 million of accretion income, compared to \$64.9 million in the first quarter of 2012.

Net interest income during the first quarter of 2013 represented a decrease of \$58.1 million when compared to the \$262.9 million recorded during the fourth quarter of 2012, primarily due to lower asset yields. The taxable-equivalent net yield on interest-earning assets for the first quarter of 2013 declined 109 basis points from the 5.44 percent recorded in the fourth quarter of 2012. A significant factor in the net interest income reduction was accretion income, which declined \$30.7 million in the first quarter of 2013 when compared to \$110.6 million of accretion income earned in the fourth quarter of 2012.

The continuing accretion of fair value discounts resulting from acquired loans will contribute to volatility in net interest income in future periods. Factors affecting the amount of accretion include unscheduled loan payments, changes in estimated cash flows and impairment. During 2012, many of the loans previously accounted for under the cost recovery method were transferred to an acquired loan accounting system and are now accreting yield. Fair value

discounts related to non-pooled loans that have been repaid unexpectedly are accreted into interest income at the time the loan obligation is satisfied.

Table of Contents

Table 6

Consolidated Taxable Equivalent Rate/Volume Variance Analysis - Three Months

| | 2013 | | 2012 | | Increase (decrease) due to: | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Average Balance (dollars in thousands) | Interest Income/ Expense | Yield/ Rate | Average Balance | Interest Income/ Expense | Yield/ Rate | Volume | Yield/ Rate | Total Change |
| Assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases | \$13,289,828 | \$212,271 | 6.48 % | \$13,822,226 | \$238,732 | 6.95 % | \$(9,783) | \$(16,678) | \$(26,461) |
| Investment securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| U. S. Treasury | 803,803 | 517 | 0.26 | 964,015 | 770 | 0.32 | (118) | (135) | (253) |
| Government agency | 3,096,761 | 3,466 | 0.45 | 2,611,298 | 4,512 | 0.69 | 679 | (1,725) | (1,046) |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,278,491 | 4,579 | 1.45 | 301,780 | 1,889 | 2.52 | 4,778 | (2,088) | 2,690 |
| Corporate bonds | — | — | — | 247,673 | 1,199 | 1.94 | (600) | (599) | (1,199) |
| State, county and municipal | 549 | 9 | 6.65 | 1,041 | 19 | 7.34 | (9) | (1) | (10) |
| Other | 17,326 | 76 | 1.78 | 15,353 | 131 | 3.43 | 12 | (67) | (55) |
| Total investment securities | 5,196,930 | 8,647 | 0.67 | 4,141,160 | 8,520 | 0.82 | 4,742 | (4,615) | 127 |
| Overnight investments | 693,550 | 357 | 0.21 | 621,239 | 313 | 0.20 | 32 | 12 | 44 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 19,180,308 | \$221,275 | 4.68 % | 18,584,625 | \$247,565 | 5.36 % | \$(5,009) | \$(21,281) | \$(26,290) |
| Cash and due from banks | 508,417 | | | 534,135 | | | | | |
| Premises and equipment | 881,023 | | | 864,355 | | | | | |
| Receivable from FDIC for loss share agreements | 234,670 | | | 495,887 | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (282,977) | | | (269,931) | | | | | |
| Other real estate owned | 150,870 | | | 197,868 | | | | | |
| Other assets | 477,832 | | | 436,552 | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$21,150,143 | | | \$20,843,491 | | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits: | | | | | | | | | |
| Checking With Interest | \$2,283,684 | \$143 | 0.03 % | \$2,049,885 | \$340 | 0.07 % | \$23 | \$(220) | \$(197) |
| Savings | 928,485 | 114 | 0.05 | 836,499 | 112 | 0.05 | 7 | (5) | 2 |
| | 6,463,186 | 3,185 | 0.20 | 5,798,321 | 4,272 | 0.30 | 417 | (1,504) | (1,087) |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-----------|--------|--------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|--|
| Money market accounts | | | | | | | | | | |
| Time deposits | 3,460,968 | 6,871 | 0.81 | 4,479,784 | 11,748 | 1.05 | (2,432) | (2,445) | (4,877) | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| interest-bearing deposits | 13,136,323 | 10,313 | 0.32 | 13,164,489 | 16,472 | 0.50 | (1,985) | (4,174) | (6,159) | |
| Short-term borrowings | 559,649 | 704 | 0.51 | 632,345 | 1,391 | 0.88 | (134) | (553) | (687) | |
| Long-term obligations | 444,539 | 4,705 | 4.23 | 682,067 | 7,937 | 4.65 | (2,639) | (593) | (3,232) | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| interest-bearing liabilities | 14,140,511 | \$15,722 | 0.45 % | 14,478,901 | \$25,800 | 0.72 % | \$(4,758) | \$(5,320) | \$(10,078) | |
| Demand deposits | 4,786,342 | | | 4,334,324 | | | | | | |
| Other liabilities | 345,845 | | | 150,752 | | | | | | |
| Shareholders' equity | 1,877,445 | | | 1,869,613 | | | | | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$21,150,143 | | | \$20,833,590 | | | | | | |
| Interest rate spread | | | 4.23 % | | | 4.64 % | | | | |
| Net interest income and net yield on interest-earning assets | | \$205,553 | 4.35 % | | \$221,765 | 4.80 % | \$(251) | \$(15,961) | \$(16,212) | |

Loans and leases include loans covered under loss share agreements, loans not covered under loss share agreements, nonaccrual loans and loans held for sale. Yields related to loans, leases and securities exempt from both federal and state income taxes, federal income taxes only, or state income taxes only are stated on a taxable-equivalent basis assuming statutory federal income tax rates of 35.0 percent and state income tax rates of 6.9 percent for each period. The taxable-equivalent adjustment was \$671 and \$813 for 2013 and 2012, respectively. The rate/volume variance is allocated equally between the changes in volume and rate.

Table of Contents

NONINTEREST INCOME

Noninterest income is an essential component of our total revenue and is critical to our ability to sustain adequate profitability levels. The primary sources of noninterest income have traditionally consisted of cardholder and merchant services income, service charges on deposit accounts and revenues derived from wealth management services. During 2013 and 2012, noninterest income has been significantly influenced by post-acquisition adjustments to the receivable from the FDIC resulting from the FDIC-assisted transactions.

Table 7

NONINTEREST INCOME

| | Three months ended March 31 | |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cardholder and merchant services | \$23,557 | \$22,450 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 14,999 | 14,846 |
| Wealth management services | 14,515 | 13,755 |
| Fees from processing services | 5,619 | 8,562 |
| Securities gains (losses) | 58 | (45) |
| Other service charges and fees | 3,766 | 3,441 |
| Mortgage income | 3,788 | 2,924 |
| Insurance commissions | 2,980 | 2,756 |
| ATM income | 1,168 | 1,455 |
| Adjustments to FDIC receivable for loss share agreements | (24,053 |) (26,796) |
| Other | 11,116 | 3,595 |
| Total noninterest income | \$57,513 | \$46,943 |

During the first three months of 2013, noninterest income amounted to \$57.5 million, compared to \$46.9 million during the same period of 2012. The majority of the \$10.6 million increase during 2013 is due to the sale of the processing services line of business, adjustments to the FDIC receivable for loss share agreements, cardholder and merchant services, and wealth management services income.

Other noninterest income includes \$7.5 million generated from the sale of our rights and most of our obligations under various service agreements with client banks, some of which are Related Persons. Net of asset impairments and severance costs recorded in conjunction with the sale that are included in noninterest expense, we recorded a net gain of \$5.5 million. We will continue to provide processing services to First Citizens Bank and Trust Company, Inc. (FCB-SC), a Related Person and our largest client bank, pursuant to a Master Services Agreement, which is attached as Exhibit 10.2.

The gain recorded during the first quarter was partially offset by a reduction in the fees from processing services, which declined \$2.9 million or 34.4 percent during the first three months of 2013 when compared to 2012. As a result of the non-retained service agreements, fees from processing services totaled \$5.6 million during the first quarter of 2013, compared to \$8.6 million during the first quarter of 2012. The large reduction will continue in future periods. Fees from processing services generated by all banks other than FCB-SC totaled \$19.2 million during 2012.

Changes in the FDIC receivable resulting from post-acquisition improvement and deterioration of covered assets is generally offset by an adjustment to noninterest income. Increases in the FDIC receivable for deterioration in covered

assets has a favorable impact on noninterest income, while reductions in the FDIC receivable for improvements in covered assets has an unfavorable impact on noninterest income. As a result of adjustments to the receivable from the FDIC, noninterest income was reduced by \$24.1 million during the first quarter of 2013, compared to \$43.8 million during the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$26.8 million during the first quarter of 2012. For each period, the reductions in noninterest income corresponded to writedowns of the FDIC receivable resulting from net improvements in estimated cash flows related to covered assets.

Table of Contents

Cardholder and merchant services generated \$23.6 million during the first quarter of 2013, an increase of \$1.1 million or 4.9 percent compared to the first quarter of 2012, the result of improved merchant revenue. Income from wealth management services totaled \$14.5 million during the first quarter of 2013, compared to \$13.8 million during the first quarter of 2012, an increase of \$760,000 or 5.5 percent due to favorable market conditions.

Service charges on deposit accounts equaled \$15.0 million and \$14.8 million for the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, resulting in a modest 1.0 percent increase. Mortgage income equaled \$3.8 million and \$2.9 million for the first quarters of 2013 and 2012, respectively, a \$864,000 increase from 2012.

NONINTEREST EXPENSE

The primary components of noninterest expense are salaries and related employee benefits, occupancy costs for branch offices and support facilities and equipment and software costs for our branch offices and our technology and operations infrastructure.

Table 8

NONINTEREST EXPENSE

| | Three months ended March 31 | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Salaries and wages | \$ 76,119 | \$ 75,684 |
| Employee benefits | 25,019 | 20,249 |
| Occupancy expense | 18,809 | 18,607 |
| Equipment expense | 18,946 | 18,166 |
| FDIC insurance expense | 2,666 | 3,057 |
| Foreclosure-related expenses | 4,305 | 4,590 |
| Collection | 5,274 | 5,939 |
| Processing fees paid to third parties | 4,381 | 3,149 |
| Consultant | 1,626 | 601 |
| Advertising | 297 | 1,363 |
| Other | 36,913 | 31,926 |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 194,355 | \$ 183,331 |

Noninterest expense equaled \$194.4 million for the first three months of 2013, an \$11.0 million or 6.0 percent increase from the \$183.3 million recorded during the same period of 2012.

For the first quarter of 2013, noninterest expense included a \$4.8 million increase in employee benefits expense due to a \$2.8 million increase in pension expense resulting from a lower discount rate during 2013. Employee health costs also increased during 2013.

Equipment expense increased \$780,000 or 4.3 percent during the first quarter of 2013 due to higher software depreciation. Equipment expenses will increase in future periods as we continue an effort to update our core technology systems and related business processes. The project begins in 2013 and will continue until 2016 with total costs estimated at \$100.0 million. As each phase of the project is completed, we anticipate that equipment expense, including depreciation expense for software and hardware investments and related maintenance expense, will increase.

Processing fees paid to third parties increased \$1.2 million or 39.1 percent during the first quarter of 2013, primarily due to increases related to mobile banking and outsourcing our commercial lockbox function.

Other noninterest expense includes \$1.4 million of fixed asset writedowns that resulted from the previously-discussed sale of the service agreements with client banks. The writedowns related to prior technology investments that became impaired as a result of that transaction.

Table of Contents

INCOME TAXES

We monitor and evaluate the potential impact of current events on the estimates used to establish income tax expenses and income tax liabilities. On a periodic basis, we evaluate our income tax positions based on current tax law, positions taken by various tax auditors within the jurisdictions where BancShares is required to file income tax returns, as well as potential or pending audits or assessments by such tax auditors.

Income tax expense totaled \$31.1 million and \$18.4 million for the first quarters of 2013 and 2012, representing effective tax rates of 35.8 percent and 34.1 percent during the respective periods. The higher effective tax rate results from the impact of various favorable permanent differences on higher pre-tax income in 2013.

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND CAPITAL ADEQUACY

We are committed to effectively managing our capital to protect our depositors, creditors and shareholders. We continually monitor the capital levels and ratios for BancShares and FCB to ensure they comfortably exceed the minimum requirements imposed by regulatory authorities and to ensure they are appropriate, given growth projections, risk profile and potential changes in the regulatory environment. Failure to meet certain capital requirements may result in actions by regulatory agencies that could have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. Table 9 provides information on capital adequacy for BancShares as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012.

Table 9

ANALYSIS OF CAPITAL ADEQUACY

| | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | March 31, 2012 | Regulatory minimum | Well-capitalized requirement | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| | (dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Tier 1 capital | \$1,972,088 | \$1,949,985 | \$2,108,473 | | | |
| Tier 2 capital | 229,865 | 229,385 | 251,442 | | | |
| Total capital | \$2,201,953 | \$2,179,370 | \$2,359,915 | | | |
| Risk-adjusted assets | \$13,601,048 | \$13,663,353 | \$13,394,789 | | | |
| Risk-based capital ratios | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 capital | 14.50 | % 14.27 | % 15.74 | % 4.00 | % 6.00 | % |
| Total capital | 16.19 | 15.95 | 17.62 | 8.00 | 10.00 | |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio | 9.36 | 9.22 | 10.16 | 3.00 | 5.00 | |

BancShares continues to exceed minimum capital standards and FCB remains well-capitalized.

As of July 1, 2012, our board granted authority to purchase up to 100,000 and 25,000 shares of Class A and Class B common stock, respectively, through June 30, 2013. During 2012, we purchased and retired 56,276 shares of Class A common stock and 100 shares of Class B common stock pursuant to the July 1, 2012, board authorization. During the first quarter of 2013, BancShares purchased and retired 1,973 shares of Class A common stock pursuant to the existing authorization. As of March 31, 2013, BancShares had the ability to purchase 41,751 and 24,900 shares of Class A and Class B common stock, respectively, under existing authorizations.

The Dodd-Frank Act contains provisions that gradually phase out our ability to include trust preferred capital securities in tier 1 capital. As of March 31, 2013, one-third of our trust preferred capital securities were excluded from tier 1 capital. After excluding the \$31.2 million no longer eligible, BancShares had \$62.3 million of trust preferred capital securities included in tier 1 capital as of March 31, 2013, compared to \$93.5 million at December 31, 2012, and \$243.5 million at March 31, 2012. Due to the Dodd-Frank exclusion, excessive liquidity and the high coupon rate,

BancShares redeemed \$150.0 million of trust preferred securities on July 31, 2012.

BancShares' remaining \$62.3 million in trust preferred capital securities that currently qualify as tier 1 capital will be phased out in equal increments of \$31.2 million during 2014 and 2015. Elimination of all trust preferred capital securities from the March 31, 2013, capital structure would result in a proforma tier 1 leverage capital ratio of 9.07 percent, a tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 14.04 percent, and a total risk-based capital ratio of 15.73 percent. On a proforma basis assuming disallowance of all trust preferred capital securities, BancShares and FCB continue to remain well-capitalized under current regulatory guidelines.

Table of Contents

Tier 2 capital of BancShares and FCB includes qualifying subordinated debt that was issued in 2005 with a scheduled maturity date of June 1, 2015. Under current regulatory guidelines, when subordinated debt is within five years of its scheduled maturity date, issuers must discount the amount included in tier 2 capital by 20 percent for each year until the debt matures. The amount of subordinated debt that qualifies as tier 2 capital totaled \$50.0 million as of March 31, 2013, compared to \$75.0 million at March 31, 2012. The amount of subordinated debt eligible to be included in tier 2 capital will decline to \$25.0 million in the second quarter of 2013 and will be completely removed from tier 2 capital in the second quarter of 2014. Tier 2 capital is part of total risk-based capital, reflected in Table 9.

In September 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision announced new global regulatory capital guidelines (Basel III) aimed at strengthening existing capital requirements for bank holding companies through a combination of higher minimum capital requirements, new capital conservation buffers and more conservative definitions of capital and exposure. In June 2012, the Federal Reserve released proposed rules regarding implementation of the Basel III regulatory capital rules for United States banking organizations. The proposed rules address a significant number of outstanding issues and questions regarding how certain provisions of Basel III are proposed to be adopted in the United States. Key provisions of the proposed rules include the total phase-out from tier 1 capital of trust preferred securities for all banks, a capital conservation buffer of 2.50 percent above minimum capital ratios, inclusion of accumulated other comprehensive income in tier 1 common equity, inclusion in tier 1 capital of perpetual preferred stock and an effective minimum tier 1 common equity ratio of 7.00 percent. Final rules are expected to be issued in 2013. While we have estimated the impact the proposed rules would have on our capital ratios, we are unable at this time to predict how the final rules will differ from the proposed rules and the effective date of the final rules. We continue to monitor Basel III developments and remain committed to managing our capital levels in a prudent manner. BancShares' tier 1 common equity ratio based on the current tier 1 capital and risk-weighted assets calculations, excluding trust preferred securities, is 14.04 percent at March 31, 2013, compared to the fully phased-in Federal Reserve standards of 7.00 percent. Tier 1 common equity ratio is calculated in Table 10.

Table 10
TIER 1 COMMON EQUITY

| | March 31, 2013 (dollars in thousands) | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Tier 1 capital | \$1,972,088 | |
| Less: restricted core capital | 62,333 | |
| Tier 1 common equity | \$1,909,755 | |
| Risk-adjusted assets | \$13,601,048 | |
| | | |
| Tier 1 common equity ratio | 14.04 | % |

GOODWILL IMPAIRMENT

GAAP requires that we perform an impairment test each year to determine if goodwill is impaired. Annual impairment tests are conducted as of July 31 each year. We performed the annual goodwill impairment test during the third quarter of 2012 and there was no impairment of goodwill.

In addition to the annual testing requirement, we are required to test goodwill for impairment for various other events including significant adverse changes in the business climate. The test considers various qualitative and quantitative factors to determine whether impairment exists. As of March 31, 2013, the book value of our common stock was \$199.46, compared to a market price of \$182.70. If the stock price deteriorates further or remains below book value for a sustained period, subsequent impairment tests may determine that goodwill impairment exists. An impairment

charge could have a significant impact on our consolidated income statement. However, a goodwill impairment charge would not impact our capital ratios as those ratios are calculated using tangible capital amounts.

Table of Contents

RISK MANAGEMENT

Effective risk management is critical to our success. The most significant risks we confront are credit, interest rate and liquidity risk. Credit risk is the risk of not collecting payments pursuant to the contractual terms of loan, lease and investment assets. Interest rate risk results from changes in interest rates which may impact the re-pricing of assets and liabilities in different amounts or at different dates. Liquidity risk is the risk that we will be unable to fund obligations to loan customers, depositors or other creditors. To manage these risks as well as other risks that are inherent in our operation and to provide reasonable assurance that our long-term business objectives will be attained, various policies and risk management processes identify, monitor and manage risk within appropriate ranges. Management continually refines and enhances its risk management policies and procedures to maintain effective risk management programs and processes.

In response to the requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act, federal regulators released final stress testing rules on October 9, 2012. The annual stress test is a component of a broader stress testing framework that was finalized in late 2012. Implementation of the annual stress testing requirement has been delayed until September 30, 2013, for institutions, such as BancShares, with total assets of \$10.00 billion to \$50.00 billion. Through the stress testing program that has been implemented, BancShares and FCB satisfactorily comply with the 2012 stress testing regulations as well as guidance for ongoing bank-level stress testing published in May 2012. The results of the stress testing activities will be considered in combination with other risk management and monitoring practices to maintain an effective risk management program.

Credit risk management. The maintenance of excellent asset quality has historically been one of our key performance measures. Loans and leases not covered by loss share agreements with the FDIC were underwritten in accordance with our credit policies and procedures and are subject to periodic ongoing reviews. Loans covered by loss share agreements with the FDIC were recorded at fair value at the date of the acquisition and are subject to periodic reviews to identify any further credit deterioration. Our independent credit review function conducts risk reviews and analyses to ensure compliance with credit policies and to monitor asset quality trends. The risk reviews include portfolio analysis by geographic location, industry, collateral type and product. We strive to identify potential problem loans as early as possible, to record charge-offs or write-downs as appropriate and to maintain adequate allowances for loan and lease losses that are inherent in the loan and lease portfolio.

We maintain a well-diversified loan and lease portfolio and seek to minimize the risk associated with large concentrations within specific geographic areas, collateral types or industries. Despite our focus on diversification, several characteristics of our loan portfolio subject us to significant risk, such as our concentrations of real estate secured loans, revolving mortgage loans and medical-related loans.

We have historically carried a significant concentration of real estate secured loans. Within our noncovered loan portfolio, we mitigate that exposure through our underwriting policies that primarily rely on borrower cash flow rather than underlying collateral values. When we do rely on underlying real property values, we favor financing secured by owner-occupied real property and, as a result, a large percentage of our real estate secured loans are owner occupied. At March 31, 2013, loans secured by real estate not covered by loss share agreements totaled \$8.93 billion or 77.6 percent of total noncovered loans and leases compared to \$8.98 billion or 77.5 percent of noncovered loans and leases at December 31, 2012, and \$8.83 billion or 76.9 percent at March 31, 2012.

Among real estate secured loans, our revolving mortgage loans present a heightened risk due to long commitment periods during which the financial position of individual borrowers or collateral values may deteriorate significantly. In addition, a large percentage of our revolving mortgage loans are secured by junior liens. Substantial declines in collateral values could cause junior lien positions to become effectively unsecured. Revolving mortgage loans secured by real estate amounted to \$2.15 billion, or 18.7 percent of loans not covered by loss share agreements at March 31,

2013, compared to \$2.21 billion or 19.1 percent at December 31, 2012, and \$2.28 billion or 19.9 percent at March 31, 2012.

We have not acquired revolving mortgages in the secondary market nor have we originated these loans to customers outside of our market areas. All noncovered revolving mortgage loans were originated by us and were underwritten based on our standard lending criteria. The revolving mortgage loan portfolio consists largely of variable rate lines of credit which allow customer draws during the entire contractual period of the line of credit, typically 15 years. Approximately 85 percent of outstanding balances at March 31, 2013, require interest-only payments, while the remaining require monthly payments equal to 1.5 percent of the outstanding balance. Approximately 89.9 percent of the revolving mortgage portfolio relates to properties in North Carolina and Virginia. Approximately 34.9 percent of the loan balances outstanding are secured by senior collateral positions while the remaining 65.1 percent are secured by junior liens.

Table of Contents

Due to higher default risk resulting from financial strain facing our borrowers and lower collateral values, during 2012, we engaged a third party to obtain credit quality data on certain of our junior lien revolving mortgage loans. After gathering information on current lien position and delinquency status for both our junior lien position and the related senior lien, we considered whether the new data indicated that changes in loss estimates were required. The lien positions obtained by the third party closely matched the data in our loan systems that we had used to calculate the allowance for loan and lease losses. In addition, the data collected indicated that 97.0 percent of the sampled junior liens that were current as to payment status on the junior lien were also current on the related senior lien. Only 1.4 percent of the sampled junior liens had senior liens with more severe delinquency status compared to the related junior lien. Management concluded the credit quality and the probability of default of the senior liens was generally consistent with our junior lien historical results. The allowance for our revolving mortgage loans is calculated using estimated loss rates with primary consideration placed on losses sustained in recent periods. When considering future losses, we apply subjective adjustments to actual prior losses if we believe we may experience different levels of losses in future periods due to the various risks applicable to revolving mortgage loans including junior lien positions, trends in real estate valuations and potentially higher interest rates.

Noncovered loans and leases to borrowers in medical, dental or related fields totaled \$3.11 billion as of March 31, 2013, which represents 27.0 percent of loans and leases not covered by loss share agreements, compared to \$3.02 billion or 26.1 percent of noncovered loans and leases at December 31, 2012, and \$3.19 billion or 27.8 percent of noncovered loans and leases at March 31, 2012. The credit risk of this industry concentration is mitigated through our underwriting policies that emphasize reliance on adequate borrower cash flow rather than underlying collateral value and our preference for financing secured by owner-occupied real property. Except for this single concentration, no other industry represented more than 10 percent of total noncovered loans and leases outstanding at March 31, 2013.

Nonperforming assets include nonaccrual loans and leases and OREO that are both covered and not covered by FDIC loss share agreements. With the exception of certain residential mortgage loans, the accrual of interest on noncovered loans and leases is discontinued when we deem that collection of additional principal or interest is doubtful. Noncovered loans and leases are returned to accrual status when both principal and interest are current and the asset is determined to be performing in accordance with the terms of the loan instrument. The accrual of interest on certain residential mortgage loans is discontinued when a loan is more than three monthly payments past due and resumes when the loan is less than three monthly payments past due. Accretion of income for covered loans is discontinued when we are unable to estimate the amount or timing of cash flows. This designation may be made at acquisition date or subsequent to acquisition date, including at maturity when no formal repayment plan has been established. Covered loans may begin or resume accretion of income if information becomes available that allows us to estimate the amount or timing of future cash flows. See Table 11 for details on nonperforming assets and other risk elements.

At March 31, 2013, BancShares' nonperforming assets amounted to \$273.2 million or 2.1 percent of total loans and leases plus OREO, compared to \$310.4 million or 2.3 percent at December 31, 2012, and \$549.1 million or 4.0 percent at March 31, 2012. Of the \$273.2 million in nonperforming assets at March 31, 2013, \$145.8 million is covered by FDIC loss share agreements while the remaining \$127.4 million is not covered by loss share agreements. Nonperforming assets not covered by loss share agreements represent 1.1 percent of noncovered loans, leases and OREO at March 31, 2013, compared to 1.0 percent at March 31, 2012.

Covered nonaccrual loans equaled \$43.9 million as of March 31, 2013, compared to \$74.5 million at December 31, 2012, and \$292.2 million at March 31, 2012. The reduction in covered nonaccrual loans as of March 31, 2013, results primarily from the late-2012 deployment of the acquired loan accounting system, which resulted in accretion income being recognized on loans previously classified as nonaccrual and accounted for under the cost recovery method. Noncovered nonaccrual loans decreased \$7.3 million from December 31, 2012, to March 31, 2013, the net result of decreases in commercial mortgage and commercial construction and land development loans.

OREO includes foreclosed property and branch facilities that we have closed but not sold. Noncovered OREO totaled \$44.8 million at March 31, 2013, compared to \$43.5 million at December 31, 2012, and \$48.1 million at March 31, 2012. At March 31, 2013, construction and land development properties including vacant land for development represented 37.7 percent of OREO. Vacant land values have experienced an especially steep decline during the economic slowdown due to a significant drop in demand and values may continue to decline if demand remains weak.

Table of Contents

Once acquired, net book values of OREO are reviewed at least annually to evaluate if write-downs are required. Real estate appraisals are reviewed by the appraisal review department to ensure the quality of the appraised value in the report. The level of review is dependent on the value and type of the collateral, with higher value and more complex properties receiving a more detailed review. In a market of declining property values, as we have experienced in recent years, we utilize resources in addition to appraisals to obtain the most current market value. Changes to the value of the assets between scheduled valuation dates are monitored through continued communication with brokers and monthly reviews by the asset manager assigned to each asset. The asset manager uses the information gathered from brokers and other market sources to identify any significant changes in the market or the subject property as they occur. Valuations are then adjusted or new appraisals are ordered to ensure the reported values reflect the most current information. Decisions regarding write-downs are based on factors that include appraisals, broker opinions, previous offers received on the property, market conditions and the number of days the property has been on the market.

At March 31, 2013, the allowance for loan and lease losses allocated to noncovered loans totaled \$176.5 million or 1.53 percent of loans and leases not covered by loss share agreements, compared to \$179.0 million or 1.55 percent at December 31, 2012, and \$186.4 million or 1.62 percent at March 31, 2012. The reduction in the allowance for noncovered loan and lease losses from March 31, 2012, was primarily due to reductions in allowance for loans evaluated collectively for impairment, partially offset by higher allowances recorded for loans evaluated individually for impairment. The allowance for loans collectively evaluated for impairment has decreased \$15.0 million due to a significantly larger portion of the loan portfolio evaluated for individual impairment. The allowance for loans individually evaluated for impairment has increased by \$4.2 million since March 31, 2012, primarily due to a lower threshold used to identify impaired loans and reductions in collateral values.

An additional allowance of \$96.5 million relates to covered loans at March 31, 2013, established as a result of post-acquisition deterioration in credit quality for covered loans. The allowance for covered loans equaled \$86.1 million at March 31, 2012. The allowance for covered loans has increased since March 31, 2012, due to post-acquisition deterioration, partially offset by charge-offs that have been recorded and the reversal of previously recorded credit- and timing-related impairment.

Management considers the allowance adequate to absorb estimated probable losses that relate to loans and leases outstanding at March 31, 2013, although future additions may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions and other factors. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the allowance for loan and lease losses. Such agencies may require adjustments to the allowance based on information available to them at the time of their examination.

The provision for noncovered loan and lease losses recorded during the first quarter of 2013 equaled \$4.0 million, compared to \$21.1 million during the first quarter of 2012. The reduction in provision for noncovered loans and leases was primarily the result of reduced loss estimates, lower charge-offs and lower provisions for loans between \$500,000 and \$1.0 million now individually evaluated for impairment.

During the first quarter of 2013, we recorded a credit to provision expense of \$22.6 million for covered loans compared to provision expense of \$62.3 million during the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$9.6 million recorded during the first quarter of 2012. The favorable change compared to the prior periods resulted from the reversal of previously-identified post-acquisition deterioration of acquired loans covered by loss share agreements with the FDIC resulting from changes in estimates or payoffs. The increased provision expense recognized during the fourth quarter of 2012 primarily related to newly-identified impairment, including credit-related impairment and timing-related impairment resulting from changes in the projected loss dates. To the extent deterioration is covered by a loss share agreement, we also record a corresponding adjustment to the FDIC receivable with an offset to noninterest income for the covered credit-related portion at the appropriate indemnification rate. Impairment related to the timing of cash flows does not trigger adjustments to the FDIC receivable. Due to the imprecision of actual results when compared to

prior estimates, the amount of covered loan provision expense is subject to significant volatility. That volatility is even more significant due to our limited use of loan pools for accounting purposes.

Exclusive of losses related to covered loans, net charge-offs equaled \$6.5 million during the first quarter of 2013, compared to \$15.6 million during the first quarter of 2012. On an annualized basis, net charge-offs represented 0.23 percent of average noncovered loans and leases during the first quarter of 2013 compared to 0.54 percent during the first quarter of 2012. Net charge-offs on covered loans equaled \$20.9 million in the first quarter of 2013 compared to \$12.7 million recorded in the first quarter of 2012. Loss estimates for most covered loans are made at the individual loan level using loan-specific information. Therefore, fluctuations in charge-off levels on covered loans are not necessarily indicative of future performance of other covered loans.

Table of Contents

Table 11 provides details concerning the allowance for loan and lease losses during the past five quarters.

Table 11

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSS EXPERIENCE AND RISK ELEMENTS

| | 2013 | 2012 | | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | First | Fourth | Third | Second | First |
| | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter |
| | (dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses at beginning of period | \$319,018 | \$276,554 | \$272,929 | \$272,500 | \$270,144 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses: | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | (22,622) | 62,332 | 10,226 | 18,678 | 9,603 |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 4,016 | 2,548 | 7,397 | 10,989 | 21,112 |
| Net charge-offs of loans and leases: | | | | | |
| Charge-offs | (28,944) | (23,969) | (15,196) | (30,934) | (30,379) |
| Recoveries | 1,551 | 1,553 | 1,198 | 1,696 | 2,020 |
| Net charge-offs of loans and leases | (27,393) | (22,416) | (13,998) | (29,238) | (28,359) |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses at end of period | \$273,019 | \$319,018 | \$276,554 | \$272,929 | \$272,500 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses at end of period allocated to loans and leases: | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | \$96,473 | \$139,972 | \$90,507 | \$87,797 | \$86,117 |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 176,546 | 179,046 | 186,047 | 185,132 | 186,383 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses at end of period | \$273,019 | \$319,018 | \$276,554 | \$272,929 | \$272,500 |
| Detail of net charge-offs of loans and leases: | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | \$20,877 | \$12,867 | \$7,516 | \$16,998 | \$12,747 |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 6,516 | 9,549 | 6,482 | 12,240 | 15,612 |
| Total net charge-offs | \$27,393 | \$22,416 | \$13,998 | \$29,238 | \$28,359 |
| Reserve for unfunded commitments | \$7,744 | \$7,692 | \$7,999 | \$7,869 | \$7,789 |
| Average loans and leases: | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | \$1,697,776 | \$1,825,491 | \$1,916,305 | \$2,076,199 | \$2,254,636 |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 11,592,052 | 11,532,437 | 11,534,859 | 11,535,335 | 11,567,590 |
| Loans and leases at period-end: | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | 1,621,327 | 1,809,235 | 1,897,097 | 1,999,351 | 2,183,869 |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 11,509,080 | 11,576,115 | 11,455,233 | 11,462,458 | 11,489,529 |
| Risk Elements | | | | | |
| Nonaccrual loans and leases: | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | \$43,882 | \$74,479 | \$142,696 | \$271,381 | \$292,229 |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 82,583 | 89,845 | 75,255 | 69,406 | 66,363 |
| Other real estate: | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | 101,901 | 102,577 | 116,405 | 117,381 | 142,418 |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 44,828 | 43,513 | 45,063 | 49,454 | 48,092 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$273,194 | \$310,414 | \$379,419 | \$507,622 | \$549,102 |
| Nonperforming assets covered by loss share agreements | \$145,783 | \$177,056 | \$259,101 | \$388,762 | \$434,647 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST CITIZENS BANCSHARES INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| Nonperforming assets not covered by loss share agreements | 127,411 | 133,358 | 120,318 | 118,860 | 114,455 | |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$273,194 | \$310,414 | \$379,419 | \$507,622 | \$549,102 | |
| Accruing loans and leases greater than 90 days past due: | | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | \$278,687 | \$281,000 | \$248,573 | \$254,580 | \$268,403 | |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 12,301 | 11,272 | 14,071 | 12,907 | 13,828 | |
| Ratios | | | | | | |
| Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans and leases: | | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | 4.99 | % 2.80 | % 1.56 | % 3.29 | 2.27 | % |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 0.54 | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans and leases: | | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | 5.95 | 7.74 | 4.77 | 4.39 | 3.94 | |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 1.53 | 1.55 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | |
| Nonperforming assets to total loans and leases plus other real estate: | | | | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | 8.46 | 9.26 | 12.87 | 18.37 | 18.68 | |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 1.10 | 1.15 | 1.05 | 1.03 | 0.99 | |
| Total | 2.06 | 2.29 | 2.81 | 3.72 | 3.96 | |

Table of Contents

Restructured loans (TDRs) not covered by loss share agreements that are performing under their modified terms equaled \$85.6 million at March 31, 2013, compared to \$89.1 million at December 31, 2012, and \$114.9 million at March 31, 2012. Total covered and noncovered restructured loans as of March 31, 2013, equaled \$320.6 million, \$242.5 million of which are performing under their modified terms. TDRs are selectively made to provide relief to customers experiencing liquidity challenges or other circumstances that could affect their ability to meet their debt obligations. These modifications are typically executed only when customers are current on their payment obligation and we believe the modification will result in avoidance of default. Typical modifications include short-term deferral of interest or modification of payment terms. Nonperforming TDRs are not accruing interest and are included as risk elements within nonaccrual loans and leases in Table 11. Table 11 does not include performing TDRs, which are accruing interest based on the restructured terms. Table 12 provides details on performing and nonperforming TDRs as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and March 31, 2012.

Table 12

TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURINGS

| | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | March 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| (dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Performing TDRs: | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | \$156,862 | \$164,256 | \$121,778 |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 85,621 | 89,133 | 114,944 |
| Total performing TDRs | 242,483 | 253,389 | 236,722 |
| Nonperforming TDRs: | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | 25,549 | 28,951 | 44,079 |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 52,610 | 50,830 | 37,522 |
| Total nonperforming TDRs | 78,159 | 79,781 | 81,601 |
| All TDRs: | | | |
| Covered by loss share agreements | 182,411 | 193,207 | 165,857 |
| Not covered by loss share agreements | 138,231 | 139,963 | 152,466 |
| Total TDRs | \$320,642 | \$333,170 | \$318,323 |

Interest rate risk management. Interest rate risk results principally from assets and liabilities maturing or repricing at different points in time, from assets and liabilities repricing at the same point in time but in different amounts and from short-term and long-term interest rates changing in different magnitudes. Market interest rates also have an impact on the interest rate and repricing characteristics of loans and leases that are originated as well as the rate characteristics of our interest-bearing liabilities.

We assess our interest rate risk by simulating future amounts of net interest income under various interest rate scenarios and comparing those results to forecasted net interest income assuming stable rates. Certain variable rate products, including revolving mortgage loans, have interest rate floors. Due to the existence of contractual floors on loans, competitive pressures that constrain our ability to reduce deposit interest rates and the extraordinarily low current level of interest rates, it is highly unlikely that the rates on most interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities can decline materially from current levels. In our simulations, we do not calculate rate shocks, rate ramps or market value of equity for declining rate scenarios and assume the prime interest rate will not move below the March 31, 2013, rate of 3.25 percent. Our rate shock simulations indicate that, over a 24-month period, net interest income will increase by 5.1 percent, 4.1 percent and 1.1 percent with rates rising 200- and 300- and 400-basis points respectively. Our shock projections incorporate assumptions of likely customer migration of short-term deposit instruments to long-term, higher-rate instruments as rates rise. We also utilize the market value of equity as a tool in measuring and managing interest rate risk. As of March 31, 2013, the market value of equity calculated with 200-, 300- and 400-basis point immediate increases in interest rates equal 9.7 percent, 9.3 percent and 8.7 percent, respectively. The projected market value of equity under a stable rate scenario equals 10.1 percent.

We do not typically utilize interest rate swaps, floors, collars or other derivative financial instruments to attempt to hedge our overall balance sheet rate sensitivity and interest rate risk. However, we have entered into an interest rate swap to synthetically convert the variable rate on \$93.5 million of junior subordinated debentures to a fixed rate of 5.50 percent through June 2016. The interest rate swap qualifies as a hedge under GAAP.

59

Table of Contents

Liquidity risk management. Liquidity risk results from the mismatching of asset and liability cash flows and the potential inability to secure adequate amounts of funding from traditional sources of liquidity at a reasonable cost. We manage this risk by structuring our balance sheet prudently and by maintaining various noncore funding sources to fund potential cash needs. Our primary source of funds has historically been our large retail and commercial customer base, which continues to provide a stable base of core deposits. Core deposits are our largest and most cost-effective source of funding. We also maintain access to various types of noncore funding, including advances from the FHLB of Atlanta, federal funds arrangements with correspondent banks, brokered and CDARS deposits and a line of credit from a correspondent bank. Short-term borrowings resulting from commercial treasury customers are also a recurring source of liquidity, although the majority of those borrowings must be collateralized, thereby potentially restricting the use of the resulting liquidity.

We project our liquidity levels in the normal course of business as well as in conditions that might give rise to significant stress on our current liquidity and contingent sources of liquidity through noncore funding. We endeavor to estimate the impact of on and off-balance sheet arrangements and commitments that may impact liquidity. We monitor various financial and liquidity metrics, perform liquidity stress testing and have documented contingency funding plans that would be invoked if conditions warranted. Sources of noncore funding include available cash reserves, the ability to sell, pledge or borrow against unpledged investment securities and available borrowing capacity at the FHLB of Atlanta and the Federal Reserve discount window.

One of our principal sources of noncore funding is advances from the FHLB of Atlanta. Outstanding FHLB advances equaled \$195.3 million as of March 31, 2013, and we had sufficient collateral pledged to secure \$1.31 billion of additional borrowings. Additionally, we maintain federal funds lines of credit and other borrowing facilities. At March 31, 2013, BancShares had contingent access to \$475.0 million in unsecured borrowings through its various sources.

Once we have satisfied our loan demand and other funding needs, residual liquidity is held in cash or invested in overnight investments and investment securities available for sale. Net of amounts pledged for various purposes, the amount of such immediately-available balance sheet liquidity approximated \$2.61 billion at March 31, 2013, compared to \$1.40 billion at December 31, 2012, and \$1.47 billion at March 31, 2012.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

BancShares and various subsidiaries have been named as defendants in various legal actions arising from our normal business activities in which damages in various amounts are claimed. Although the amount of any ultimate liability with respect to those other matters cannot be determined, in the opinion of management, any such liability will not have a material effect on BancShares' consolidated financial statements.

Additional information relating to legal proceedings is set forth in Note J of BancShares' Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

CURRENT ACCOUNTING AND REGULATORY ISSUES

In June 2011, the FASB issued Comprehensive Income: Presentation of Comprehensive Income (ASU 2011-05). ASU 2011-05 allows financial statement issuers to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In December 2011, the FASB issued Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 (ASU 2011-12) which

deferred the portion of ASU 2011-05 that relates to the presentation of reclassification adjustments. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholders' equity, which is the presentation method previously utilized by BancShares. The updates in ASU 2011-05 and ASU 2011-12 are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, and have been applied retrospectively. The provisions of these updates have affected BancShares' financial statement format but had no impact on BancShares' financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Table of Contents

The enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) has resulted in expansive changes in many areas affecting the financial services industry in general and BancShares in particular. The legislation provides broad economic oversight, consumer financial services protection, investor protection, rating agency reform, and derivative regulatory reform. Various corporate governance requirements have resulted in expanded proxy disclosures and shareholder rights. Additional provisions address the mortgage industry in an effort to strengthen lending practices. Deposit insurance reform has resulted in permanent FDIC protection for up to \$250,000 of deposits and requires the FDIC's Deposit Insurance Fund to maintain 1.35 percent of insured deposits with the burden for closing the shortfall falling to banks with more than \$10.00 billion in assets.

In response to the Dodd-Frank Act, the formula used to calculate the FDIC insurance assessment paid by each FDIC-insured institution was significantly altered. The new formula was effective April 1, 2011, and changes the assessment base from deposits to total assets less equity, thereby placing a larger assessment burden on banks with large levels of non-deposit funding. The new assessment formula also considers the level of higher-risk consumer loans and higher-risk commercial and industrial loans and securities, risk factors that will potentially result in incremental insurance costs. The FDIC recently finalized their definitions of these higher-risk assets and reporting of these assets under the new definitions is effective beginning April 1, 2013. This new reporting requirement requires BancShares to implement process and system changes to accurately identify and report these higher-risk assets.

The Dodd-Frank Act also imposes new regulatory capital requirements for banks that will result in the disallowance of qualified trust preferred capital securities as tier 1 capital beginning in 2013. This legislation requires the reduction in tier 1 capital by the amount of qualified trust preferred capital securities in equal increments over a three-year period beginning in 2013. As of March 31, 2013, BancShares had \$62.3 million in trust preferred capital securities that were outstanding and included as tier 1 capital following the July 31, 2012, redemption of \$150.0 million of trust preferred capital securities. The remaining \$62.3 million in trust preferred capital securities will be eliminated from tier 1 capital in installments of \$31.2 million in 2014 and 2015.

In June 2012, the Federal Reserve released proposed rules regarding implementation of the Basel III regulatory capital rules for United States banking organizations. The proposed rules address a significant number of outstanding issues and questions regarding how certain provisions of Basel III are proposed to be adopted in the United States. Key provisions of the proposed rules include the total phase-out from tier 1 capital of trust preferred securities for all banks, a capital conservation buffer of 2.50 percent above minimum capital ratios, inclusion of accumulated other comprehensive income in tier 1 common equity, inclusion in tier 1 capital of perpetual preferred stock, and an effective floor for tier 1 common equity of 7.00 percent. Final rules are expected to be adopted in 2013. While we have estimated the impact that the proposed rules would have on our capital ratios, we are unable at this time to predict how the final rules will differ from the proposed rules and the effective date of the final rules. We will continue to monitor Basel III developments and remain committed to managing our capital levels in a prudent manner. BancShares' tier 1 common equity ratio based on the current tier 1 capital and risk-adjusted assets calculations (excluding trust preferred securities) is 14.04 percent at March 31, 2013, compared to the proposed fully phased-in Federal Reserve standards of 7.00 percent.

Management is not aware of any further recommendations by regulatory authorities that, if implemented, would have or would be reasonably likely to have a material effect on liquidity, capital ratios or results of operations.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements in this Report and exhibits relating to plans, strategies, economic performance and trends, projections of results of specific activities or investments, expectations or beliefs about future events or results, and other statements that are not descriptions of historical facts may be forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934.

Forward-looking information is inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, and actual results could differ materially from those currently anticipated due to a number of factors which include, but are not limited to, factors discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and in other documents filed by us from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Forward-looking statements may be identified by terms such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “could,” “expects,” “plans,” “intends,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “forecasts,” “projects,” “potential” or “continue,” or similar terms or the negation of these terms, or other statements concerning opinions or judgments of BancShares’ management about future events.

Table of Contents

Factors that could influence the accuracy of those forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the financial success or changing strategies of our customers, customer acceptance of our services, products and fee structure, the competitive nature of the financial services industry, our ability to compete effectively against other financial institutions in our banking markets, actions of government regulators, the level of market interest rates and our ability to manage our interest rate risk, changes in general economic conditions that affect our loan and lease portfolio, the abilities of our borrowers to repay their loans and leases, the values of real estate and other collateral, the impact of the FDIC-assisted transactions, and other developments or changes in our business that we do not expect.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. We have no obligation to update these forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the potential economic loss resulting from changes in market prices and interest rates. This risk can either result in diminished current fair values of financial instruments or reduced net interest income in future periods. As of March 31, 2013, BancShares' market risk profile has not changed significantly from December 31, 2012. Changes in fair value that result from movement in market rates cannot be predicted with any degree of certainty. Therefore, the impact that future changes in market rates will have on the fair values of financial instruments is uncertain.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

BancShares' management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of BancShares' disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, in accordance with Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). Based upon that evaluation, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that BancShares' disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that it is able to record, process, summarize and report in a timely manner the information required to be disclosed in the reports it files under the Exchange Act.

No change in BancShares' internal control over financial reporting occurred during the first quarter of 2013 that had materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect, BancShares' internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The risks and uncertainties that management believes are material are described below. The risks listed are not the only risks that BancShares faces. Additional risks and uncertainties that are not currently known or that management does not currently deem to be material could also have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, the results of our operations or our business. If this were to occur, the market price of our common stock could decline significantly.

Unfavorable economic conditions could continue to adversely affect our business

Our business is highly affected by national, regional and local economic conditions. These conditions cannot be predicted or controlled and may have a material impact on our operations and financial condition. Unfavorable economic developments beginning in 2008 have resulted in negative effects on the business, risk profile, financial condition and results of operations of financial institutions in the United States, including BancShares and FCB. Continued unfavorable economic conditions could weaken the national economy further as well as the economies of communities that we serve. Further economic deterioration in our market areas could depress our earnings and have an adverse impact on our financial condition and capital adequacy.

Weakness in real estate markets and exposure to junior liens have adversely impacted our business and our results of operations and may continue to do so

Real property collateral values have declined due to continuing weaknesses in real estate sales activity. That risk, coupled with higher delinquencies and losses on various loan products caused by high rates of unemployment and underemployment, has resulted in losses on loans that, while adequately collateralized at the time of origination, are no longer fully secured. Our continuing exposure to under-collateralization is concentrated in our non-commercial revolving mortgage loan portfolio. Approximately two-thirds of the revolving mortgage portfolio is secured by junior lien positions and lower real estate values for collateral underlying these loans has, in many cases, caused the outstanding balance of the senior lien to exceed the value of the collateral, resulting in a junior lien loan that is in effect unsecured. A large portion of our losses within the revolving mortgage portfolio has arisen from junior lien loans due to the inadequate collateral position.

Because of our conservative underwriting policies and generally stable or increasing collateral values, in past years, we have not experienced significant losses resulting from our junior lien positions. As a result, we have not closely monitored performance of senior lien positions held by other financial institutions in prior years. However, due to higher defaults resulting from financial strain facing our borrowers and lower collateral values, we now collect data to monitor performance of senior lien positions held by other lenders. That information allows us to better estimate the probability of default on junior lien positions we hold.

Further declines in collateral values, unfavorable economic conditions and sustained high rates of unemployment could result in greater delinquency, write-downs or charge-offs in future periods, which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and capital adequacy.

Accounting for acquired assets may result in earnings volatility

Fair value discounts that are recorded at the time an asset is acquired are accreted into interest income based on accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The rate at which those discounts are accreted is unpredictable, the result of various factors including unscheduled prepayments and credit quality

improvements that result in a reclassification from nonaccretable difference to accretable yield that is prospectively included in interest income. Post-acquisition deterioration results in the recognition of provision expense and allowance for loan and lease losses. Additionally, the income statement impact of adjustments to the indemnification asset may occur over a shorter period of time than the adjustments to the covered assets.

Fair value discount accretion, post-acquisition impairment and adjustments to the indemnification asset may result in significant volatility in our earnings. Volatility in earnings could unfavorably influence investor interest in our common stock thereby depressing the market value of our stock and the market capitalization of our company.

Table of Contents

Reimbursements under loss share agreements are subject to FDIC oversight and interpretation and contractual term limitations

The FDIC-assisted transactions completed during 2011, 2010 and 2009 include significant protection to FCB from the exposures to prospective losses on certain assets that are covered under loss share agreements with the FDIC. Loans and leases covered under loss share agreements represent 12.3 percent of total loans and leases as of March 31, 2013. The loss share agreements impose certain obligations on us, including obligations to manage covered assets in a manner consistent with prudent business practices and in accordance with the procedures and practices that we customarily use for assets that are not covered by loss share agreements. Based on projected losses as of March 31, 2013, we expect to receive cash payments from the FDIC totaling \$107.3 million over the remaining lives of the respective loss share agreements. We are also required to report detailed loan level information and file requests for reimbursement of covered losses and expenses on a quarterly basis. In the event of noncompliance, delay or disallowance of some or all of our rights under those agreements could occur, including the denial of reimbursement for losses and related collection costs.

The loss share agreements are subject to differing interpretations by the FDIC and FCB and disagreements may arise regarding coverage of losses, expenses and contingencies. Additionally, losses that are currently projected to occur during the loss share term may not occur until after the expiration of the applicable agreement and those losses could have a material impact on results of operations in future periods. Our current estimates of losses include only those losses that we project to occur during the loss share period and for which we believe we will receive reimbursement from the FDIC at the applicable reimbursement rate.

During March 2012, FCB received communications from the US Small Business Administration (SBA) asserting that the SBA is entitled to receive a share of amounts paid or to be paid by the FDIC to FCB relating to certain specific SBA-guaranteed loans pursuant to the Loss Share Agreement between FCB and the FDIC applicable to Temecula Valley Bank. FCB disputes the validity of the SBA claims and is pursuing administrative relief through the SBA.

We are subject to extensive oversight and regulation that continues to change

We and FCB are subject to extensive federal and state banking laws and regulations. These laws and regulations primarily focus on the protection of depositors, federal deposit insurance funds and the banking system as a whole rather than the protection of security holders. Federal and state banking regulators possess broad powers to take supervisory actions as they deem appropriate. These supervisory actions may result in higher capital requirements, higher deposit insurance premiums, increased expenses, reductions in fee income and limitations on activities that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

The Dodd-Frank Act instituted significant changes to the overall regulatory framework for financial institutions, including the creation of the CFPB that will impact BancShares and FCB. During the fourth quarter of 2011, limitations on debit card interchange fees became effective. Beginning January 1, 2013, one-third of our trust preferred securities that qualified as tier 1 capital ceased to be included in tier 1 capital with similar phase-outs occurring during 2014 and 2015.

In September 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision announced new global regulatory capital guidelines (Basel III) aimed at strengthening existing capital requirements for bank holding companies through a combination of higher minimum capital requirements, new capital conservation buffers and more conservative definitions of capital and exposure.

In June 2012, the Federal Reserve released proposed rules regarding implementation of the Basel III regulatory capital rules for United States banking organizations. The proposed rules address a significant number of outstanding issues and questions regarding how certain provisions of Basel III are proposed to be adopted in the United States. Key

provisions of the proposed rules include the total phase-out from tier 1 capital of trust preferred securities for all banks, a capital conservation buffer of 2.50 percent above minimum capital ratios, inclusion of accumulated other comprehensive income in tier 1 common equity, inclusion in tier 1 capital of perpetual preferred stock and an effective floor for tier 1 common equity of 7.00 percent. Final rules are expected to be adopted in 2013. While we have estimated the impact that the proposed rules would have on our capital ratios, we are unable at this time to predict how the final rules will differ from the proposed rules and the effective date of the final rules.

We encounter significant competition

We compete with other banks and specialized financial service providers in our market areas. Our primary competitors include local, regional and national banks and savings associations, credit unions, commercial finance companies, various wealth management providers, independent and captive insurance agencies, mortgage companies and non-bank providers of financial services. Some of our larger competitors, including banks that have a significant presence in our market areas, have the

65

Table of Contents

capacity to offer products and services we do not offer. Some of our competitors operate in a regulatory environment that is less stringent than the one in which we operate, or are not subject to federal and state income taxes. The fierce competitive pressure that we face tends to reduce pricing for many of our products and services to levels that are marginally profitable.

Our financial condition could be adversely affected by the soundness of other financial institutions

Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty or other relationships. We have exposure to numerous financial service providers, including banks, brokers and dealers in securities and other institutional clients. Transactions with other financial institutions expose us to credit risk in the event of default of the counterparty.

Natural disasters and other catastrophes could affect our ability to operate

The occurrence of catastrophic events, including weather-related events such as hurricanes, tropical storms, floods or windstorms, as well as earthquakes, pandemic disease, fires and other catastrophes, could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. In addition to natural catastrophic events, man-made events, such as acts of terror and governmental response to acts of terror, could adversely affect general economic conditions, which could have a material impact on our results of operations.

Unpredictable natural and other disasters could have an adverse effect if those events materially disrupt our operations or affect customers' access to the financial services we offer. Although we carry insurance to mitigate our exposure to certain catastrophic events, catastrophic events could nevertheless adversely affect our results of operations.

We are subject to interest rate risk

Our results of operations and cash flows are highly dependent upon our net interest income. Interest rates are sensitive to economic and market conditions that are beyond our control, including the actions of the Federal Reserve Board's Federal Open Market Committee. Changes in monetary policy could influence our interest income and interest expense as well as the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities. If the changes in interest rates on our interest-earning assets are not roughly equal to the changes in interest rates paid on our interest-bearing liabilities, our net interest income and, therefore, our net income could be adversely impacted.

Even though we maintain what we believe to be an adequate interest rate risk monitoring system, the forecasts of future net interest income are estimates and may be inaccurate. The shape of the yield curve may change differently than we forecasted, and we cannot accurately predict changes in interest rates or actions by the Federal Open Market Committee that may have a direct impact on market interest rates.

Our current level of balance sheet liquidity may come under pressure

Our deposit base represents our primary source of core funding and thus balance sheet liquidity. We normally have the ability to stimulate core deposit growth through reasonable and effective pricing strategies. However, in circumstances where our ability to generate needed liquidity is impaired, we would need access to noncore funding such as borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank and the Federal Reserve, fed funds purchased and brokered deposits. While we maintain access to noncore funding sources, we are dependent on the availability of collateral, the counterparty's willingness to lend to us and their liquidity capacity.

We face significant operational risks in our businesses

Our ability to adequately conduct and grow our business is dependent on our ability to create and maintain an appropriate operational and organizational control infrastructure. Operational risk can arise in numerous ways, including employee fraud, customer fraud and control lapses in bank operations and information technology. Our dependence on our employees and automated systems, including the automated systems used to account for acquired loans and those systems maintained by third parties, to record and process transactions may further increase the risk that technical failures or tampering of those systems will result in losses that are difficult to detect. We are also subject to disruptions of our operating systems arising from events that are wholly or partially beyond our control. Failure to maintain an appropriate operational infrastructure can lead to loss of service to customers, legal actions and noncompliance with various laws and regulations.

Our business could suffer if we fail to attract and retain skilled people

FCB's success depends primarily on its ability to attract and retain key people. Competition is intense for people who we believe will be successful in developing and attracting new business and/or managing critical support functions for FCB. Our

Table of Contents

historical lack of providing compensation to key people through annual cash incentives, incentive stock awards or long-term incentive awards creates unique challenges to our attraction and retention of key people. We may not be able to hire the best people or retain them for an adequate period of time after their hire date.

We continue to encounter technological change for which we expect to incur significant expense

The financial services industry continues to experience an increase in technological complexity required to provide a competitive array of products and services to customers. Our future success requires that we maintain technology that will support our ability to provide products and services that satisfactorily meet the banking and other financial needs of our customers. During the past two years, we have closely examined the state of our core technology systems and related business processes and determined that significant investments are required. The project to modernize our systems will begin in 2013 with phased implementation through 2016. The magnitude and scope of this project is significant with total costs estimated at \$100 million. If the project objectives are not achieved or if the cost of the project is materially in excess of the estimate, our business, financial condition and financial results could be adversely impacted.

We are subject to information security risks

We maintain and transmit large amounts of sensitive information electronically, including personal and financial information of our customers. In addition to our own systems, we also rely on external vendors to provide certain services and are, therefore, exposed to their information security risk. While we seek to mitigate internal and external information security risks, the volume of business conducted through electronic devices continues to grow, and our computer systems and network infrastructure, as well as the systems of external vendors and customers, present security risks and could be susceptible to hacking or identity theft.

We are also subject to risks arising from distributed denial of service attacks, which are occurring with increasing frequency. These attacks arise from both domestic and international sources and seek to obtain customer information for fraudulent purposes or, in some cases, to disrupt business activities. These information security risks could lead to a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and financial results of operations, as well as result in reputational damage.

We rely on external vendors

Third party vendors provide key components of our business infrastructure, including certain data processing and information services. A number of our vendors are large national entities with dominant market presence in their respective fields, and their services could be difficult to quickly replace in the event of failure or other interruption in service. Failures of certain vendors to provide services for any reason could adversely affect our ability to deliver products and services to our customers. External vendors also present information security risk. We monitor vendor risks, including the financial stability of critical vendors. The failure of a critical external vendor could disrupt our business and cause us to incur significant expense.

We are subject to litigation risks that may be uninsured

We face litigation risks as principal and fiduciary from customers, employees, vendors, federal and state regulatory agencies and other parties who may seek to assert single or class action liabilities against us. The frequency of claims and amount of damages and penalties claimed in litigation and regulatory proceedings against financial institutions remain high. Substantial legal liability or significant regulatory action against us may have material adverse financial effects or cause significant reputational harm. Although we carry insurance to mitigate our exposure to certain litigation risks, litigation could, nevertheless, adversely affect our results of operations.

We use accounting estimates in the preparation of our financial statements

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make significant estimates that affect the financial statements. Significant estimates include the allowance for loan and lease losses, the fair values of acquired loans and OREO both at acquisition date and in subsequent periods, and the related receivable from the FDIC for loss share agreements. Due to the uncertainty of the circumstances relating to these estimates, we may experience more adverse outcomes than originally estimated. The allowance for loan and lease losses may need to be significantly increased based on future events. The actual losses or expenses on loans or the losses or expenses not covered under the FDIC agreements may differ from the recorded amounts, resulting in charges that could materially affect our results of operations.

Table of Contents

Accounting standards may change

The Financial Accounting Standards Board and the Securities and Exchange Commission periodically modify the standards that govern the preparation of our financial statements. The nature of these changes is not predictable and could impact how we record transactions in our financial statements, which could lead to material changes in assets, liabilities, shareholders' equity, revenues, expenses and net income. In some cases, we could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in changes to previously-reported financial results or a cumulative adjustment to retained earnings. The application of new accounting rules or standards could require us to implement costly technology changes.

Our access to capital is limited which could impact our future growth

Based on existing capital levels, BancShares and FCB maintain well-capitalized ratios under current leverage and risk-based capital standards including the impact of the acquisitions in 2011, 2010 and 2009. Historically, our primary capital sources have been retained earnings and debt issued through both private and public markets including trust preferred securities and subordinated debt. Effective January 1, 2013, provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act eliminated one-third of our trust preferred securities from tier 1 risk-based capital with total elimination on January 1, 2015. The inability to include the trust preferred securities in tier 1 risk-based capital may lead us to redeem a portion or all of the securities prior to their scheduled maturity date. Since we have not historically raised capital through new issues of our common stock, absent additional acquisition gains our ability to raise additional tier 1 capital is limited to issuance of perpetual preferred stock. A lack of ready access to adequate amounts of tier 1 capital could limit our ability to consummate additional acquisitions, make new loans, meet our existing lending commitments and could potentially affect our liquidity and capital adequacy.

The major rating agencies regularly evaluate our creditworthiness and assign credit ratings to our debt and the debt of our bank subsidiary. The ratings of the agencies are based on a number of factors, some of which are outside of our control. In addition to factors specific to our financial strength and performance, the rating agencies also consider conditions generally affecting the financial services industry. In light of the difficulties currently confronting the financial services industry, there can be no assurance that we will maintain our current credit ratings. Rating reductions could adversely affect our access to funding sources and the cost of obtaining funding.

The market price of our stock may be volatile

Although publicly traded, our common stock has substantially less liquidity and public float than other large publicly traded financial services companies as well as average companies listed on the NASDAQ National Market System. This low liquidity increases the price volatility of our stock which may make it difficult for our shareholders to sell or buy our common stock when they deem a transaction is warranted at a price that they believe is attractive.

Excluding the impact of liquidity, the market price of our common stock can fluctuate widely in response to other factors including expectations of operating results, actual operating results, actions of institutional shareholders, speculation in the press or the investment community, market perception of acquisitions, rating agency upgrades or downgrades, stock prices of other companies that are similar to us, general market expectations related to the financial services industry and the potential impact of government actions affecting the financial services industry.

BancShares relies on dividends from FCB

As a financial holding company, BancShares is a separate legal entity from FCB and receives substantially all of its revenue and cash flow from dividends paid by FCB. The cash flow from these dividends is the primary source which allows BancShares to pay dividends on its common stock and interest and principal on its debt obligations. North

Carolina state law limits the amount of dividends that FCB may pay to BancShares. In the event that FCB is unable to pay dividends to BancShares for an extended period of time, BancShares may not be able to service its debt obligations or pay dividends on its common stock.

Our recorded goodwill may become impaired

As of March 31, 2013, we had \$102.6 million of goodwill recorded as an asset on our balance sheet. We test goodwill for impairment at least annually, and the impairment test compares the estimated fair value of a reporting unit with its net book value. We also test goodwill for impairment when certain events occur, such as a significant decline in our expected future cash flows, a significant adverse change in the business climate, or a sustained decline in the price of our common stock. These tests may result in a write-off of goodwill deemed to be impaired, which could have a significant impact on our results of

68

Table of Contents

operations, but would not impact our capital ratios since capital ratios are calculated using tangible capital amounts. Although the book value per share of our Class A common stock as of March 31, 2013, was \$199.46 compared to a market value of \$182.70, we do not believe that this represents a sustained decline in the price of our common stock, and, as of March 31, 2013, no impairment of goodwill had been recorded.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

PURCHASES OF COMMON STOCK

On June 18, 2012, the Board of Directors approved a stock trading plan that provides for the purchase of up to 100,000 shares of Registrant's Class A common stock and up to 25,000 shares of Registrant's Class B common stock. The shares may be purchased from time to time from July 1, 2012, through June 30, 2013. The board's action approving share purchases does not obligate BancShares to acquire any particular amount of shares, and purchases may be suspended or discontinued at any time. Any shares of stock that are purchased will be canceled.

The following tables provide the shares of Class A and Class B common stock purchased by BancShares during the three months ended March 31, 2013, as well as shares that may be purchased under publicly announced plans.

| Class A common stock | Total number of shares purchased | Average price paid per share | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs | Maximum number of shares that may be purchased under the plans or programs |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Repurchases from January 1, 2013, through January 31, 2013 | 1,973 | \$ 163.48 | 1,973 | 41,751 |
| Repurchases from February 1, 2013, through February 28, 2013 | — | — | — | 41,751 |
| Repurchases from March 1, 2013, through March 31, 2013 | — | — | — | 41,751 |
| Total | 1,973 | \$ 163.48 | 1,973 | 41,751 |
| Class B common stock | | | | |
| Repurchases from January 1, 2013, through January 31, 2013 | — | \$— | — | 24,900 |
| Repurchases from February 1, 2013, through February 28, 2013 | — | — | — | 24,900 |
| Repurchases from March 1, 2013, through March 31, 2013 | — | — | — | 24,900 |
| Total | — | \$— | — | 24,900 |

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

- 10.1 Post Retirement Agreement and Release between Registrant's subsidiary First-Citizens Bank & Trust Company and Kenneth A. Black (filed herewith)
- 10.2 Master Agreement for Banking Support Services between Registrant's subsidiary First-Citizens Bank & Trust Company and First Citizens Bank and Trust Company, Inc. (filed herewith, portions of which have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer (filed herewith)
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer (filed herewith)
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer (filed herewith)
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer (filed herewith)
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 9, 2013

FIRST CITIZENS BANCSHARES, INC.
(Registrant)

By: /s/ GLENN D. MCCOY
Glenn D. McCoy
Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer