

SUNTRUST BANKS INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 08, 2013

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

✓ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934

Commission File Number 001-08918

SUNTRUST BANKS, INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Georgia  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)  
303 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30308  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)  
(404) 588-7711  
(Registrant’s telephone number, including area code)

58-1575035  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ✓ No ☐  
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

✓ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer,” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ☐ No ✓

At July 31, 2013, 537,528,406 shares of the Registrant’s Common Stock, \$1.00 par value, were outstanding.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  | Page       |
|--|------------|
| Glossary of Defined Terms  | i - iii    |
| <u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>  | iii        |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>  |            |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>   | <u>1</u>   |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss)/Income</u>  | <u>2</u>   |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>   | <u>3</u>   |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity</u>   | <u>4</u>   |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>   | <u>5</u>   |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>  | <u>6</u>   |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>80</u>  |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>                            | <u>123</u> |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>   | <u>123</u> |
| <u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>   |            |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>   | <u>123</u> |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>   | <u>123</u> |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>                           | <u>124</u> |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>   | <u>125</u> |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>   | <u>125</u> |
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>   | <u>125</u> |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>  | <u>125</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURE</u>   | <u>126</u> |

GLOSSARY OF DEFINED TERMS

ABS — Asset-backed securities.  
ACH — Automated clearing house.  
AFS — Available for sale.  
AIP — Annual Incentive Plan.  
ALCO — Asset/Liability Management Committee.  
ALM — Asset/Liability Management.  
ALLL — Allowance for loan and lease losses.  
AOCI — Accumulated other comprehensive income.  
ARS — Auction rate securities.  
ASU — Accounting standards update.  
ATE — Additional termination event.  
ATM — Automated teller machine.  
Bank — SunTrust Bank.  
Basel III — The third Basel Accord developed by the BCBS to strengthen existing regulatory capital requirements.  
BCBS — Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.  
Board — The Company's Board of Directors.  
C&I — Commercial and Industrial.  
CCAR — Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review.  
CDO — Collateralized debt obligation.  
CD — Certificate of deposit.  
CDS — Credit default swaps.  
CEO — Chief Executive Officer.  
CFO — Chief Financial Officer.  
CIB — Corporate and Investment Banking.  
Class A shares — Visa Inc. Class A common stock.  
Class B shares — Visa Inc. Class B common stock.  
CLO — Collateralized loan obligation.  
Coke — The Coca-Cola Company.  
Company — SunTrust Banks, Inc.  
CP — Commercial paper.  
CRE — Commercial Real Estate.  
CSA — Credit support annex.  
DDA — Demand deposit account.  
Dodd-Frank Act — The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010.  
DBRS — DBRS, Inc.  
DTA — Deferred tax asset.  
EPS — Earnings per share.  
ERISA — Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.  
Exchange Act — Securities Exchange Act of 1934.  
FASB — Financial Accounting Standards Board.  
FDIC — The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.  
Federal Reserve — The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.  
Fed funds — Federal funds.

FFELP — Federal Family Education Loan Program.  
FHA — Federal Housing Administration.  
FHLB — Federal Home Loan Bank.  
FICO — Fair Isaac Corporation.  
Fitch — Fitch Ratings Ltd.  
FRB — Federal Reserve Board.  
FTE — Fully taxable-equivalent.  
FVO — Fair value option.  
GenSpring — GenSpring Family Offices, LLC.  
GSE — Government-sponsored enterprise.  
HAMP — Home Affordable Modification Program.  
HARP — Home Affordable Refinance Program.  
HUD — U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.  
IIS — Institutional Investment Solutions.  
IPO — Initial public offering.  
IRLC — Interest rate lock commitment.  
ISDA — International Swaps and Derivatives Association.  
LCR — Liquidity coverage ratio.  
LGD — Loss given default.  
LHFI — Loans held for investment.  
LHFI-FV — Loans held for investment carried at fair value.  
LHFS — Loans held for sale.  
LIBOR — London InterBank Offered Rate.  
LOCOM — Lower of cost or market.  
LTI — Long-term incentive.  
LTV — Loan to value.  
MBS — Mortgage-backed securities.  
MD&A — Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.  
Moody’s — Moody’s Investors Service.  
MRA — Master Repurchase Agreement.  
MRMG — Model Risk Management Group.  
MSR — Mortgage servicing right.  
MVE — Market value of equity.  
NOW — Negotiable order of withdrawal account.  
NPA — Nonperforming assets.  
NPL — Nonperforming loan.  
NPR — Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.  
OCC — Office of the Comptroller of the Currency.  
OCI — Other comprehensive income.  
OFAC — Office of Foreign Assets Control.  
OIG — Office of Inspector General.  
OREO — Other real estate owned.  
OTC — Over-the-counter.  
OTTI — Other-than-temporary impairment.

Parent Company — SunTrust Banks, Inc., the parent Company of SunTrust Bank and other subsidiaries of SunTrust Banks, Inc.

PD — Probability of default.

QSPE — Qualifying special-purpose entity.

RidgeWorth — RidgeWorth Capital Management, Inc.

ROA — Return on average total assets.

ROE — Return on average common shareholders' equity.

RSU — Restricted stock unit.

RWA — Risk-weighted assets.

S&P — Standard and Poor's.

SBA — Small Business Administration.

SCAP — Supervisory Capital Assessment Program.

SEC — U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

SERP — Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan.

SPE — Special purpose entity.

STIS — SunTrust Investment Services, Inc.

STM — SunTrust Mortgage, Inc.

STRH — SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc.

SunTrust — SunTrust Banks, Inc.

SunTrust Community Capital — SunTrust Community Capital, LLC.

TAG — Transaction Account Guarantee.

TDR — Troubled debt restructuring.

TRS — Total return swaps.

U.S. — United States.

U.S. GAAP — Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States.

U.S. Treasury — The United States Department of the Treasury.

VA — Veterans Administration.

VAR — Value at risk.

VI — Variable interest.

VIE — Variable interest entity.

Visa — The Visa, U.S.A. Inc. card association or its affiliates, collectively.

Visa Counterparty — a financial institution which purchased the Company's Visa Class B shares.

W&IM — Wealth and Investment Management.

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X, and accordingly do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. However, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary to comply with Regulation S-X have been included.

Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year ending December 31, 2013.

## Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

SunTrust Banks, Inc.

## Consolidated Statements of Income

|  | Three Months Ended June 30 |         | Six Months Ended June 30 |         |
|--|----------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
|  | 2013                       | 2012    | 2013                     | 2012    |
| (Dollars in millions and shares in thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited) |                            |         |                          |         |
| <b>Interest Income</b>   |                            |         |                          |         |
| Interest and fees on loans   | \$1,157                    | \$1,263 | \$2,326                  | \$2,563 |
| Interest and fees on loans held for sale   | 29                         | 31      | 60                       | 55      |
| Interest and dividends on securities available for sale <sup>1</sup>             | 143                        | 180     | 286                      | 375     |
| Trading account interest and other   | 18                         | 18      | 34                       | 33      |
| Total interest income  | 1,347                      | 1,492   | 2,706                    | 3,026   |
| <b>Interest Expense</b>  |                            |         |                          |         |
| Interest on deposits   | 75                         | 118     | 154                      | 245     |
| Interest on long-term debt   | 53                         | 90      | 104                      | 178     |
| Interest on other borrowings   | 8                          | 10      | 16                       | 18      |
| Total interest expense   | 136                        | 218     | 274                      | 441     |
| Net interest income  | 1,211                      | 1,274   | 2,432                    | 2,585   |
| Provision for credit losses  | 146                        | 300     | 358                      | 617     |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses                            | 1,065                      | 974     | 2,074                    | 1,968   |
| <b>Noninterest Income</b>  |                            |         |                          |         |
| Service charges on deposit accounts  | 164                        | 167     | 324                      | 332     |
| Trust and investment management income   | 130                        | 130     | 254                      | 260     |
| Retail investment services   | 69                         | 62      | 130                      | 120     |
| Other charges and fees   | 97                         | 111     | 186                      | 208     |
| Investment banking income  | 93                         | 75      | 161                      | 147     |
| Trading income   | 49                         | 70      | 91                       | 127     |
| Card fees  | 78                         | 85      | 154                      | 164     |
| Mortgage production related income   | 133                        | 103     | 292                      | 166     |
| Mortgage servicing related income  | 1                          | 70      | 39                       | 151     |
| Net securities gains <sup>2</sup>  | —                          | 14      | 2                        | 32      |
| Other noninterest income   | 44                         | 53      | 88                       | 109     |
| Total noninterest income   | 858                        | 940     | 1,721                    | 1,816   |
| <b>Noninterest Expense</b>   |                            |         |                          |         |
| Employee compensation  | 635                        | 654     | 1,246                    | 1,306   |
| Employee benefits  | 102                        | 108     | 250                      | 254     |
| Outside processing and software  | 187                        | 180     | 365                      | 356     |
| Net occupancy expense  | 86                         | 88      | 175                      | 176     |
| Regulatory assessments   | 41                         | 60      | 95                       | 111     |
| Equipment expense  | 46                         | 46      | 91                       | 91      |
| Operating losses   | 72                         | 69      | 111                      | 129     |
| Credit and collection services   | 52                         | 61      | 85                       | 116     |
| Marketing and customer development   | 31                         | 32      | 61                       | 59      |
| Amortization of intangible assets  | 6                          | 11      | 12                       | 22      |
| Other real estate expense  | 1                          | 52      | 1                        | 103     |
| Net loss on debt extinguishment  | —                          | 13      | —                        | 13      |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|   |         |         |         |         |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Other noninterest expense   | 138     | 172     | 268     | 351     |
| Total noninterest expense   | 1,397   | 1,546   | 2,760   | 3,087   |
| Income before provision for income taxes                            | 526     | 368     | 1,035   | 697     |
| Provision for income taxes  | 146     | 91      | 297     | 160     |
| Net income including income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 380     | 277     | 738     | 537     |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest                  | 3       | 2       | 9       | 12      |
| Net income  | \$377   | \$275   | \$729   | \$525   |
| Net income available to common shareholders                         | \$365   | \$270   | \$705   | \$515   |
| Net income per average common share:                                |         |         |         |         |
| Diluted   | \$0.68  | \$0.50  | \$1.31  | \$0.96  |
| Basic   | 0.68    | 0.51    | 1.32    | 0.97    |
| Dividends declared per common share                                 | 0.10    | 0.05    | 0.15    | 0.10    |
| Average common shares - diluted                                     | 539,763 | 537,495 | 539,812 | 536,951 |
| Average common shares - basic                                       | 535,172 | 533,964 | 535,425 | 533,532 |

<sup>1</sup> Includes dividends on Coke common stock of \$15 million and \$31 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

<sup>2</sup> Includes credit-related OTTI losses of \$0 and \$2 million that were unrealized losses reclassified from OCI, before taxes, for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$1 million and \$4 million that were unrealized losses reclassified from OCI, before taxes, for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).



SunTrust Banks, Inc.

## Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss)/Income

| (Dollars in millions) (Unaudited)  | Three Months Ended June 30 |         | Six Months Ended June 30 |        |
|--|----------------------------|---------|--------------------------|--------|
|  | 2013                       | 2012    | 2013                     | 2012   |
| Net income   | \$377                      | \$275   | \$729                    | \$525  |
| Components of other comprehensive (loss)/income:   |                            |         |                          |        |
| Change in net unrealized gains on securities,<br>net of tax of (\$223), \$80, (\$265), and \$107, respectively   | (382                       | ) 142   | (455                     | ) 192  |
| Change in net unrealized gains on derivatives,<br>net of tax of (\$54), (\$38), (\$96), and (\$96), respectively | (91                        | ) (69   | ) (163                   | ) (170 |
| Change related to employee benefit plans,<br>net of tax of \$3, (\$2), \$15, and (\$16), respectively            | 5                          | (4      | ) 26                     | (28    |
| Total other comprehensive (loss)/income  | (468                       | ) 69    | (592                     | ) (6   |
| Total comprehensive (loss)/income  | (\$91                      | ) \$344 | \$137                    | \$519  |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

SunTrust Banks, Inc.  
Consolidated Balance Sheets

| (Dollars in millions and shares in thousands) (Unaudited)   | June 30,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Assets  |                  |                      |
| Cash and due from banks   | \$3,027          | \$7,134              |
| Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell  | 1,111            | 1,101                |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other banks  | 21               | 22                   |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | 4,159            | 8,257                |
| Trading assets (includes encumbered securities of \$926 and \$727 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively)   | 5,824            | 6,049                |
| Securities available for sale   | 23,389           | 21,953               |
| Loans held for sale <sup>1</sup> (\$3,196 and \$3,243 at fair value at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively) | 3,647            | 3,399                |
| Loans <sup>2</sup> (\$339 and \$379 at fair value at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively)                   | 122,031          | 121,470              |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses   | (2,125 )         | (2,174 )             |
| Net loans   | 119,906          | 119,296              |
| Premises and equipment  | 1,506            | 1,564                |
| Goodwill  | 6,369            | 6,369                |
| Other intangible assets (MSRs at fair value: \$1,199 and \$899 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively)      | 1,244            | 956                  |
| Other real estate owned   | 198              | 264                  |
| Other assets  | 5,304            | 5,335                |
| Total assets  | \$171,546        | \$173,442            |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity  |                  |                      |
| Noninterest-bearing consumer and commercial deposits  | \$37,999         | \$39,481             |
| Interest-bearing consumer and commercial deposits   | 87,589           | 90,699               |
| Total consumer and commercial deposits  | 125,588          | 130,180              |
| Brokered time deposits (CDs at fair value: \$793 and \$832 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively)          | 2,006            | 2,136                |
| Foreign deposits  | 25               | —                    |
| Total deposits  | 127,619          | 132,316              |
| Funds purchased   | 420              | 617                  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase  | 1,869            | 1,574                |
| Other short-term borrowings   | 5,825            | 3,303                |
| Long-term debt <sup>3</sup> (\$1,594 and \$1,622 at fair value at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively)      | 9,818            | 9,357                |
| Trading liabilities   | 1,176            | 1,161                |
| Other liabilities   | 3,812            | 4,129                |
| Total liabilities   | 150,539          | 152,457              |
| Preferred stock, no par value   | 725              | 725                  |
| Common stock, \$1.00 par value  | 550              | 550                  |
| Additional paid in capital  | 9,126            | 9,174                |
| Retained earnings   | 11,447           | 10,817               |
| Treasury stock, at cost, and other <sup>4</sup>   | (558 )           | (590 )               |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)/income, net of tax   | (283 )           | 309                  |
| Total shareholders' equity  | 21,007           | 20,985               |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity  | \$171,546        | \$173,442            |

|  |         |         |
|--|---------|---------|
| Common shares outstanding  | 538,653 | 538,959 |
| Common shares authorized   | 750,000 | 750,000 |
| Preferred shares outstanding   | 7       | 7       |
| Preferred shares authorized  | 50,000  | 50,000  |
| Treasury shares of common stock  | 11,268  | 10,962  |
| <sup>1</sup> Includes loans held for sale, at fair value, of consolidated VIEs   | \$289   | \$319   |
| <sup>2</sup> Includes loans of consolidated VIEs   | 345     | 365     |
| <sup>3</sup> Includes debt of consolidated VIEs (\$285 and \$286 at fair value at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively) | 645     | 666     |
| <sup>4</sup> Includes noncontrolling interest held   | 115     | 114     |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

3

---

## SunTrust Banks, Inc.

## Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

| (Dollars and shares in millions, except per share data) (Unaudited) | Preferred Stock | Common Shares Outstanding | Common Stock | Additional Paid in Capital | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock and Other <sup>1</sup> | Accumulated                                    | Total    |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------|
|   |                 |                           |              |                            |                   |                                       | Other Comprehensive (Loss)/Income <sup>2</sup> |          |
| Balance, January 1, 2012  | \$275           | 537                       | \$550        | \$9,306                    | \$8,978           | (\$792 )                              | \$1,749  | \$20,066 |
| Net income  | —               | —                         | —            | —                          | 525               | —                                     | —  | 525      |
| Other comprehensive loss  | —               | —                         | —            | —                          | —                 | —                                     | (6 )   | (6 )     |
| Change in noncontrolling interest                                   | —               | —                         | —            | —                          | —                 | 4                                     | —  | 4        |
| Common stock dividends, \$0.10 per share                            | —               | —                         | —            | —                          | (54 )             | —                                     | —  | (54 )    |
| Preferred stock dividends, \$2,033 per share                        | —               | —                         | —            | —                          | (6 )              | —                                     | —  | (6 )     |
| Exercise of stock options and stock compensation expense            | —               | —                         | —            | (17 )                      | —                 | 26                                    | —  | 9        |
| Restricted stock activity   | —               | 1                         | —            | (61 )                      | —                 | 65                                    | —  | 4        |
| Amortization of restricted stock compensation                       | —               | —                         | —            | —                          | —                 | 15                                    | —  | 15       |
| Issuance of stock for employee benefit plans and other              | —               | —                         | —            | (10 )                      | —                 | 21                                    | —  | 11       |
| Balance, June 30, 2012  | \$275           | 538                       | \$550        | \$9,218                    | \$9,443           | (\$661 )                              | \$1,743  | \$20,568 |
| Balance, January 1, 2013  | \$725           | 539                       | \$550        | \$9,174                    | \$10,817          | (\$590 )                              | \$309  | \$20,985 |
| Net income  | —               | —                         | —            | —                          | 729               | —                                     | —  | 729      |
| Other comprehensive loss  | —               | —                         | —            | —                          | —                 | —                                     | (592 )   | (592 )   |
| Change in noncontrolling interest                                   | —               | —                         | —            | —                          | —                 | 1                                     | —  | 1        |
| Common stock dividends, \$0.15 per share                            | —               | —                         | —            | —                          | (81 )             | —                                     | —  | (81 )    |
| Preferred stock dividends <sup>3</sup>                              | —               | —                         | —            | —                          | (18 )             | —                                     | —  | (18 )    |
| Acquisition of treasury stock                                       | —               | (2 )                      | —            | —                          | —                 | (50 )                                 | —  | (50 )    |
| Exercise of stock options and stock compensation expense            | —               | 1                         | —            | (15 )                      | —                 | 25                                    | —  | 10       |
| Restricted stock activity   | —               | 1                         | —            | (33 )                      | —                 | 37                                    | —  | 4        |
| Amortization of restricted stock compensation                       | —               | —                         | —            | —                          | —                 | 15                                    | —  | 15       |
| Issuance of stock for employee benefit plans and other              | —               | —                         | —            | —                          | —                 | 4                                     | —  | 4        |
| Balance, June 30, 2013  | \$725           | 539                       | \$550        | \$9,126                    | \$11,447          | (\$558 )                              | (\$283 )                                       | \$21,007 |

<sup>1</sup> At June 30, 2013, includes (\$605) million for treasury stock, (\$68) million for compensation element of restricted stock, and \$115 million for noncontrolling interest.

At June 30, 2012, includes (\$707) million for treasury stock, (\$65) million for compensation element of restricted stock, and \$111 million for noncontrolling interest.

<sup>2</sup> Components of AOCI at June 30, 2013, included \$65 million in unrealized net gains on AFS securities, \$369 million in unrealized net gains on derivative financial instruments, and (\$717) million related to employee benefit plans. At June 30, 2012, components included \$2,055 million in unrealized net gains on AFS securities, \$399 million in unrealized net gains on derivative financial instruments, and (\$711) million related to employee benefit plans.

<sup>3</sup> Dividends were \$2,022 per share for Perpetual Preferred Stock Series A and B and \$2,856 per share for Perpetual Preferred Stock Series E for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

## SunTrust Banks, Inc.

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| (Dollars in millions) (Unaudited)   | Six Months Ended June 30 |         |
|---|--------------------------|---------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012    |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities  |                          |         |
| Net income including income attributable to noncontrolling interest   | \$738                    | \$537   |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:   |                          |         |
| Depreciation, amortization, and accretion   | 365                      | 382     |
| Origination of mortgage servicing rights  | (203)                    | (161)   |
| Provisions for credit losses and foreclosed property  | 389                      | 706     |
| Mortgage repurchase provision   | 29                       | 330     |
| Stock option compensation and amortization of restricted stock compensation   | 16                       | 17      |
| Net loss on extinguishment of debt  | —                        | 13      |
| Net securities gains  | (2)                      | (32)    |
| Net gain on sale of loans held for sale, loans, and other assets  | (350)                    | (501)   |
| Net decrease/(increase) in loans held for sale  | 141                      | (121)   |
| Net increase in other assets  | (274)                    | (336)   |
| Net (decrease)/increase in other liabilities  | (125)                    | 18      |
| Net cash provided by operating activities   | 724                      | 852     |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities  |                          |         |
| Proceeds from maturities, calls, and paydowns of securities available for sale  | 3,233                    | 3,179   |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale  | 497                      | 2,210   |
| Purchases of securities available for sale  | (5,828)                  | (1,451) |
| Net increase in loans, including purchases of loans   | (1,608)                  | (4,312) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans  | 383                      | 1,054   |
| Capital expenditures  | (43)                     | (112)   |
| Payments related to acquisitions, including contingent consideration  | —                        | (9)     |
| Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned and other assets  | 249                      | 313     |
| Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities   | (3,117)                  | 872     |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities  |                          |         |
| Net (decrease)/increase in total deposits   | (4,697)                  | 481     |
| Net increase/(decrease) in funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and other short-term borrowings | 2,620                    | (1,938) |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt  | 609                      | 4,000   |
| Repayment of long-term debt   | (99)                     | (1,991) |
| Repurchase of common stock  | (50)                     | —       |
| Common and preferred dividends paid   | (99)                     | (60)    |
| Stock option activity   | 11                       | 14      |
| Net cash (used in)/provided by financing activities   | (1,705)                  | 506     |
| Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents  | (4,098)                  | 2,230   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period  | 8,257                    | 4,509   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period  | \$4,159                  | \$6,739 |
| Supplemental Disclosures:   |                          |         |
| Loans transferred from loans held for sale to loans   | \$17                     | \$31    |
| Loans transferred from loans to loans held for sale   | 144                      | 1,116   |
| Loans transferred from loans and loans held for sale to other real estate owned   | 134                      | 200     |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).



Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, which are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations in these financial statements, have been made.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could vary from these estimates. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform to the current period presentation.

The Company evaluated subsequent events through the date its financial statements were issued.

These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. During the second quarter of 2013, the Company revised its credit policy relating to residential loans that have been discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy and not reaffirmed by the borrower, such that these loans are reclassified to accrual status from nonaccrual status after six months of payment performance following discharge by the bankruptcy court. As a result, the Company reclassified approximately \$220 million of performing Chapter 7 bankruptcy loans that have been performing for six months or more since discharge to accrual status from nonaccrual status during the second quarter; however, these loans continued to be reported as TDRs.

Except for accounting policies that have been recently adopted as described below, and the policy change related to Chapter 7 bankruptcy loans noted above, there have been no significant changes to the Company's accounting policies as disclosed in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Accounting Policies Recently Adopted and Pending Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities." The ASU requires additional disclosures about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities", which more narrowly defined the scope of financial instruments to only include derivatives, repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and lending transactions. The Company adopted these ASUs as of January 1, 2013, and the adoption did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS. See Note 2, "Federal Funds Sold and Securities Borrowed or Purchased under Agreements to Resell" and Note 11, "Derivative Financial Instruments."

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income" which provides disclosure guidance on amounts reclassified out of AOCI by component. The Company adopted the ASU as of January 1, 2013, and the adoption did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS. See Note 16, "Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income."

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-04, "Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)." The ASU requires additional disclosures about joint and several liability arrangements and requires the Company to measure obligations resulting from joint and several



liability arrangements as the sum of the amount the Company agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors and any additional amount the Company expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. The ASU is effective for the fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The Company is evaluating the impact of the ASU; however, it is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

In June 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-08, "Financial Services—Investment Companies (Topic 946): Amendments to the Scope, Measurement, and Disclosure Requirements." The ASU clarifies the characteristics of an investment company and requires an investment company to measure noncontrolling ownership interests in other investment companies at fair value rather than using the equity method of accounting. The ASU is effective for the fiscal years and interim periods beginning

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

after December 15, 2013. The Company is evaluating the impact of the ASU; however, it is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-10, "Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes (a consensus of the Emerging Issues Task Force)." The ASU permits the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (OIS) to be used as a benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes, in addition to U.S. Treasury rates and LIBOR. The amendments also remove the restriction on using different benchmark rates for similar hedges. The ASU was effective prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. The ASU has no impact on the Company's current hedging relationships and, thus, no impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, "Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists (a consensus of the Emerging Issues Task Force)." Prior to this ASU, U.S. GAAP did not include explicit guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The ASU requires, with limited exceptions, that an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a DTA for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. The ASU is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013. As early adoption is permitted, the Company adopted this ASU upon issuance and it resulted in an immaterial reclassification within liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As this ASU only impacts financial statement presentation, there will be no impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

#### NOTE 2 - FEDERAL FUNDS SOLD AND SECURITIES BORROWED OR PURCHASED UNDER AGREEMENTS TO RESELL

Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell were as follows:

| (Dollars in millions)  | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------|-------------------|
| Federal funds  | \$69          | \$29              |
| Securities borrowed  | 265           | 155               |
| Resell agreements  | 777           | 917               |
| Total federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell | \$1,111       | \$1,101           |

Securities purchased under agreements to resell are primarily collateralized by U.S. government or agency securities and are carried at the amounts at which securities will be subsequently resold. Securities borrowed are primarily collateralized by corporate securities. The Company takes possession of all securities under agreements to resell and securities borrowed and performs the appropriate margin evaluation on the acquisition date based on market volatility, as necessary. It is the Company's policy to obtain possession of collateral with a fair value between 95% to 110% of the principal amount loaned under resale and securities borrowing agreements. The total market value of the collateral held was \$1.0 billion and \$1.1 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, of which \$254 million and \$246 million was repledged, respectively. The Company has also pledged \$926 million and \$727 million of trading assets to secure \$934 million and \$703 million of repurchase agreements at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## Netting of Securities - Repurchase and Resell Agreements

The Company has various financial assets and financial liabilities that are subject to enforceable master netting agreements or similar agreements. The Company's derivatives that are subject to enforceable master netting agreements or similar agreements are discussed in Note 11, "Derivative Financial Instruments." Securities purchased under agreements to resell and securities sold under agreements to repurchase are governed by a MRA. Under the terms of the MRA, all transactions between the Company and the counterparty constitute a single business relationship such that in the event of default, the nondefaulting party is entitled to set off claims and apply property held by that party in respect of any transaction against obligations owed. Any payments, deliveries, or other transfers may be applied against each other and netted.

The following table presents the Company's eligible securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell and securities sold under agreements to repurchase at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| (Dollars in millions)                                       | Gross<br>Amount | Amount<br>Offset | Net Amount<br>Presented in<br>Consolidated<br>Balance Sheets |      | Held/Pledged<br>Financial<br>Instruments | Net<br>Amount |
|---|-----------------|------------------|--|------|--|---------------|
| June 30, 2013   |                 |                  |  |      |  |               |
| Financial assets:   |                 |                  |  |      |  |               |
| Securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell | \$1,042         | \$—              | \$1,042  | 1, 2 | \$1,033                                  | \$9           |
| Financial liabilities:                                      |                 |                  |  |      |  |               |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase              | 1,869           | —                | 1,869  | 1    | 1,869                                    | —             |
| December 31, 2012   |                 |                  |  |      |  |               |
| Financial assets:   |                 |                  |  |      |  |               |
| Securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell | \$1,072         | \$—              | \$1,072  | 1, 2 | \$1,069                                  | \$3           |
| Financial liabilities:                                      |                 |                  |  |      |  |               |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase              | 1,574           | —                | 1,574  | 1    | 1,574                                    | —             |

<sup>1</sup> None of the Company's repurchase and reverse repurchase transactions met the right of setoff criteria at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes \$69 million and \$29 million of Fed funds sold which are not subject to a master netting agreement at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## NOTE 3 – SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

## Securities Portfolio Composition

| (Dollars in millions)                  | June 30, 2013     |                     |                      |               |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
|  | Amortized<br>Cost | Unrealized<br>Gains | Unrealized<br>Losses | Fair<br>Value |
| U.S. Treasury securities               | \$793             | \$7                 | \$22                 | \$778         |
| Federal agency securities              | 2,203             | 57                  | 38                   | 2,222         |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 248               | 10                  | 2                    | 256           |
| MBS - agency                           | 18,784            | 453                 | 324                  | 18,913        |
| MBS - private                          | 181               | 1                   | 1                    | 181           |
| ABS                                    | 124               | 2                   | 1                    | 125           |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | 37                | 3                   | —                    | 40            |
| Other equity securities <sup>1</sup>   | 873               | 1                   | —                    | 874           |
| Total securities AFS                   | \$23,243          | \$534               | \$388                | \$23,389      |

| (Dollars in millions)                  | December 31, 2012 |                     |                      |               |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
|  | Amortized<br>Cost | Unrealized<br>Gains | Unrealized<br>Losses | Fair<br>Value |
| U.S. Treasury securities               | \$212             | \$10                | \$—                  | \$222         |
| Federal agency securities              | 1,987             | 85                  | 3                    | 2,069         |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 310               | 15                  | 5                    | 320           |
| MBS - agency                           | 17,416            | 756                 | 3                    | 18,169        |
| MBS - private                          | 205               | 4                   | —                    | 209           |
| ABS                                    | 214               | 5                   | 3                    | 216           |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | 42                | 4                   | —                    | 46            |
| Other equity securities <sup>1</sup>   | 701               | 1                   | —                    | 702           |
| Total securities AFS                   | \$21,087          | \$880               | \$14                 | \$21,953      |

<sup>1</sup>At June 30, 2013, other equity securities was comprised of the following: \$334 million in FHLB of Atlanta stock, \$402 million in Federal Reserve Bank stock, \$137 million in mutual fund investments, and \$1 million of other. At December 31, 2012, other equity securities was comprised of the following: \$229 million in FHLB of Atlanta stock, \$402 million in Federal Reserve Bank stock, \$69 million in mutual fund investments, and \$2 million of other.

The following table presents interest and dividends on securities AFS:

| (Dollars in millions)        | Three Months Ended June<br>30 |       | Six Months Ended June 30 |       |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|
|                              | 2013                          | 2012  | 2013                     | 2012  |
| Taxable interest             | \$133                         | \$153 | \$265                    | \$322 |
| Tax-exempt interest          | 3                             | 4     | 5                        | 8     |
| Dividends <sup>1</sup>       | 7                             | 23    | 16                       | 45    |
| Total interest and dividends | \$143                         | \$180 | \$286                    | \$375 |

<sup>1</sup>Includes dividends on the Coke common stock of \$15 million and \$31 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Securities AFS that were pledged to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements, trusts, and other funds had a fair value of \$9.3 billion and \$10.6 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no securities AFS pledged under secured borrowing arrangements under which the

secured party has possession of the collateral and would customarily sell or repledge that collateral, other than in an event of default of the Company.

The amortized cost and fair value of investments in debt securities at June 30, 2013, by estimated average life, are shown below. Actual cash flows may differ from estimated average lives and contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without penalties.

9

---

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

| (Dollars in millions)                  | Distribution of Maturities |              |               |                   |        | Total    |
|--|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|--------|----------|
|  | 1 Year<br>or Less          | 1-5<br>Years | 5-10<br>Years | After 10<br>Years |        |          |
| Amortized Cost:                        |                            |              |               |                   |        |          |
| U.S. Treasury securities               | \$1                        | \$201        | \$591         | \$—               |        | \$793    |
| Federal agency securities              | 63                         | 1,391        | 594           | 155               |        | 2,203    |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 90                         | 102          | 11            | 45                |        | 248      |
| MBS - agency                           | 1,539                      | 10,915       | 2,926         | 3,404             |        | 18,784   |
| MBS - private                          | —                          | 142          | 39            | —                 |        | 181      |
| ABS                                    | 87                         | 35           | 2             | —                 |        | 124      |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | —                          | 17           | 20            | —                 |        | 37       |
| Total debt securities                  | \$1,780                    | \$12,803     | \$4,183       | \$3,604           |        | \$22,370 |
| Fair Value:                            |                            |              |               |                   |        |          |
| U.S. Treasury securities               | \$1                        | \$208        | \$569         | \$—               |        | \$778    |
| Federal agency securities              | 63                         | 1,439        | 567           | 153               |        | 2,222    |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 92                         | 108          | 12            | 44                |        | 256      |
| MBS - agency                           | 1,620                      | 11,231       | 2,852         | 3,210             |        | 18,913   |
| MBS - private                          | —                          | 142          | 39            | —                 |        | 181      |
| ABS                                    | 86                         | 37           | 2             | —                 |        | 125      |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | —                          | 19           | 21            | —                 |        | 40       |
| Total debt securities                  | \$1,862                    | \$13,184     | \$4,062       | \$3,407           |        | \$22,515 |
| Weighted average yield <sup>1</sup>    | 3.02                       | % 2.87       | % 2.26        | % 2.68            | % 2.72 | %        |

<sup>1</sup>Average yields are based on amortized cost and presented on a FTE basis.

## Securities in an Unrealized Loss Position

The Company held certain investment securities where amortized cost exceeded fair market value, resulting in unrealized loss positions. Market changes in interest rates and credit spreads may result in temporary unrealized losses as the market price of securities fluctuates. At June 30, 2013, the Company did not intend to sell these securities nor was it more-likely-than-not that the Company would be required to sell these securities before their anticipated recovery or maturity. The Company has reviewed its portfolio for OTTI in accordance with the accounting policies in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

| (Dollars in millions)                  | June 30, 2013           |                      |                         |                      |               |                      |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
|  | Less than twelve months |                      | Twelve months or longer |                      | Total         | Unrealized<br>Losses |
|  | Fair<br>Value           | Unrealized<br>Losses | Fair<br>Value           | Unrealized<br>Losses | Fair<br>Value |                      |
| Temporarily impaired securities:       |                         |                      |                         |                      |               |                      |
| U.S. Treasury securities               | \$569                   | \$22                 | \$—                     | \$—                  | \$569         | \$22                 |
| Federal agency securities              | 670                     | 38                   | —                       | —                    | 670           | 38                   |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 1                       | —                    | 20                      | 2                    | 21            | 2                    |
| MBS - agency                           | 7,405                   | 324                  | —                       | —                    | 7,405         | 324                  |
| ABS                                    | —                       | —                    | 13                      | 1                    | 13            | 1                    |
| Total temporarily impaired securities  | 8,645                   | 384                  | 33                      | 3                    | 8,678         | 387                  |
| OTTI securities <sup>1</sup> :         |                         |                      |                         |                      |               |                      |
| MBS - private                          | 63                      | 1                    | —                       | —                    | 63            | 1                    |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|                           |         |       |      |     |         |       |
|---------------------------|---------|-------|------|-----|---------|-------|
| Total OTTI securities     | 63      | 1     | —    | —   | 63      | 1     |
| Total impaired securities | \$8,708 | \$385 | \$33 | \$3 | \$8,741 | \$388 |

10

---



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

| (Dollars in millions)                  | December 31, 2012       |                      | Twelve months or longer |                      | Total<br>Fair<br>Value | Unrealized<br>Losses |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
|  | Less than twelve months |                      | Fair<br>Value           | Unrealized<br>Losses |                        |                      |
|  | Fair<br>Value           | Unrealized<br>Losses |                         |                      |                        |                      |
| Temporarily impaired securities:       |                         |                      |                         |                      |                        |                      |
| Federal agency securities              | \$298                   | \$3                  | \$—                     | \$—                  | \$298                  | \$3                  |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 1                       | —                    | 24                      | 5                    | 25                     | 5                    |
| MBS - agency                           | 1,212                   | 3                    | —                       | —                    | 1,212                  | 3                    |
| ABS                                    | —                       | —                    | 13                      | 2                    | 13                     | 2                    |
| Total temporarily impaired securities  | 1,511                   | 6                    | 37                      | 7                    | 1,548                  | 13                   |
| OTTI securities <sup>1</sup> :         |                         |                      |                         |                      |                        |                      |
| ABS                                    | —                       | —                    | 3                       | 1                    | 3                      | 1                    |
| Total OTTI securities                  | —                       | —                    | 3                       | 1                    | 3                      | 1                    |
| Total impaired securities              | \$1,511                 | \$6                  | \$40                    | \$8                  | \$1,551                | \$14                 |

<sup>1</sup>Includes OTTI securities for which credit losses have been recorded in earnings in current or prior periods.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, unrealized losses on securities that have been in a temporarily impaired position for longer than twelve months included municipal ARS and one ABS collateralized by 2004 vintage home equity loans. The municipal securities are backed by investment grade rated obligors; however, the fair value of these securities continues to be impacted by the lack of a functioning ARS market and the extension of time for expected refinance and repayment. No credit loss is expected on these securities. The ABS continues to receive timely principal and interest payments, and is evaluated quarterly for credit impairment. Cash flow analysis shows that the underlying collateral can withstand highly stressed loss assumptions without incurring a credit loss.

The portion of unrealized losses on securities that have been other-than-temporarily impaired that relates to factors other than credit is recorded in AOCI. Losses related to credit impairment on these securities are determined through estimated cash flow analyses and have been recorded in earnings in current or prior periods.

## Realized Gains and Losses and Other-than-Temporarily Impaired Securities

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended June 30 |      | Six Months Ended June 30 |      |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
|                       | 2013                       | 2012 | 2013                     | 2012 |
| Gross realized gains  | \$1                        | \$16 | \$4                      | \$36 |
| Gross realized losses | (1 )                       | —    | (1 )                     | —    |
| OTTI                  | —                          | (2 ) | (1 )                     | (4 ) |
| Net securities gains  | \$—                        | \$14 | \$2                      | \$32 |

Credit impairment that is determined through the use of cash flow models is estimated using cash flows on security specific collateral and the transaction structure. Future expected credit losses are determined by using various assumptions, the most significant of which include default rates, prepayment rates, and loss severities. If, based on this analysis, the security is in an unrealized loss position and the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security, the expected cash flows are then discounted at the security's initial effective interest rate to arrive at a present value amount. OTTI credit losses reflect the difference between the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis of these securities.

The Company continues to reduce existing exposure primarily through paydowns. In certain instances, the amount of impairment losses recognized in earnings includes credit losses on debt securities that exceeds the total impairment, and as a result, the securities may have unrealized gains in AOCI relating to factors other than credit.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The securities that gave rise to credit impairments recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, as shown in the table below, consisted of private MBS with a fair value of approximately \$2 million and \$140 million, respectively, at June 30, 2013 and 2012.

| (Dollars in millions)                                      | Three Months Ended June 30 |      | Six Months Ended June 30 |      |
|--|----------------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
|  | 2013                       | 2012 | 2013                     | 2012 |
| OTTI <sup>1</sup>  | \$—                        | \$—  | \$—                      | \$—  |
| Portion of gains/(losses) recognized in OCI (before taxes) | —                          | 2    | 1                        | 4    |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings               | \$—                        | \$2  | \$1                      | \$4  |

<sup>1</sup> The initial OTTI amount represents the excess of the amortized cost over the fair value of AFS debt securities. For subsequent impairments of the same security, amount includes additional declines in the fair value subsequent to the previously recorded OTTI, if applicable, until such time the security is no longer in an unrealized loss position.

The following is a rollforward of credit losses recognized in earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, related to securities for which the Company does not intend to sell and it is not more-likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell as of the end of each period presented. Subsequent credit losses may be recorded on securities without a corresponding further decline in fair value when there has been a decline in expected cash flows.

| (Dollars in millions)   | Three Months Ended June 30 |      | Six Months Ended June 30 |      |
|---|----------------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
|   | 2013                       | 2012 | 2013                     | 2012 |
| Balance, beginning of period  | \$32                       | \$27 | \$31                     | \$25 |
| Additions:  |                            |      |                          |      |
| OTTI credit losses on previously impaired securities                                  | —                          | 2    | 1                        | 4    |
| Reductions:   |                            |      |                          |      |
| Increases in expected cash flows recognized over the remaining life of the securities | —                          | (1 ) | —                        | (1 ) |
| Balance, end of period  | \$32                       | \$28 | \$32                     | \$28 |

The following table presents a summary of the significant inputs used in determining the measurement of credit losses recognized in earnings for private MBS and ABS for the six months ended June 30:

|                 |          |          |
|-----------------|----------|----------|
|                 | 2013     | 2012     |
| Default rate    | 6 - 9%   | 2 - 6%   |
| Prepayment rate | 7 - 8%   | 7 - 21%  |
| Loss severity   | 61 - 74% | 47 - 56% |

Assumption ranges represent the lowest and highest lifetime average estimates of each security for which credit losses were recognized in earnings. Ranges may vary from period to period as the securities for which credit losses are recognized vary. Additionally, severity may vary widely when losses are few and large.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## NOTE 4 - LOANS

## Composition of Loan Portfolio

The composition of the Company's loan portfolio is shown in the following table:

| (Dollars in millions)                              | June 30,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Commercial loans:                                  |                  |                      |
| C&I  | \$55,070         | \$54,048             |
| Commercial real estate                             | 4,308            | 4,127                |
| Commercial construction                            | 667              | 713                  |
| Total commercial loans                             | 60,045           | 58,888               |
| Residential loans:                                 |                  |                      |
| Residential mortgages - guaranteed                 | 3,622            | 4,252                |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed <sup>1</sup> | 23,341           | 23,389               |
| Home equity products                               | 14,682           | 14,805               |
| Residential construction                           | 635              | 753                  |
| Total residential loans                            | 42,280           | 43,199               |
| Consumer loans:                                    |                  |                      |
| Guaranteed student loans                           | 5,431            | 5,357                |
| Other direct                                       | 2,483            | 2,396                |
| Indirect   | 11,151           | 10,998               |
| Credit cards                                       | 641              | 632                  |
| Total consumer loans                               | 19,706           | 19,383               |
| LHFI   | \$122,031        | \$121,470            |
| LHFS   | \$3,647          | \$3,399              |

<sup>1</sup>Includes \$339 million and \$379 million of loans carried at fair value at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

During the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company transferred \$87 million and \$687 million in LHFI to LHFS, and \$5 million and \$20 million in LHFS to LHFI, respectively. Additionally, during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company sold \$159 million and \$907 million in loans and leases for a gain of \$3 million and \$30 million, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company transferred \$144 million and \$1.1 billion in LHFI to LHFS, and \$17 million and \$31 million in LHFS to LHFI, respectively. Additionally, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company sold \$662 million and \$1.0 billion in loans and leases for a gain of \$7 million and \$36 million, respectively.

## Credit Quality Evaluation

The Company evaluates the credit quality of its loan portfolio by employing a dual internal risk rating system, which assigns both PD and LGD ratings to derive expected losses. Assignment of PD and LGD ratings are predicated upon numerous factors, including consumer credit risk scores, rating agency information, borrower/guarantor financial capacity, LTV ratios, collateral type, debt service coverage ratios, collection experience, other internal metrics/analysis, and qualitative assessments.

For the commercial portfolio, the Company believes that the most appropriate credit quality indicator is an individual loan's risk assessment expressed according to the broad regulatory agency classifications of Pass or Criticized. The Company's risk rating system is granular, with multiple risk ratings in both the Pass and Criticized categories. Pass ratings reflect relatively low PDs; whereas, criticized assets have a higher PD. The granularity in Pass ratings assists in the establishment of pricing, loan structures, approval requirements, reserves, and ongoing credit management

requirements. The Company conforms to the following regulatory classifications for Criticized assets: Other Assets Especially Mentioned (or Special Mention), Adversely Classified, Doubtful, and Loss. However, for the purposes of disclosure, management believes the most meaningful distinction within the Criticized categories is between Accruing Criticized (which includes Special Mention and a portion of Adversely Classified) and Nonaccruing Criticized (which includes a portion of Adversely Classified and Doubtful and Loss). This distinction identifies those relatively higher risk

13

---

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

loans for which there is a basis to believe that the Company will collect all amounts due from those where full collection is less certain.

Risk ratings are refreshed at least annually, or more frequently as appropriate, based upon considerations such as market conditions, loan characteristics, and portfolio trends. Additionally, management routinely reviews portfolio risk ratings, trends, and concentrations to support risk identification and mitigation activities.

For consumer and residential loans, the Company monitors credit risk based on indicators such as delinquencies and FICO scores. The Company believes that consumer credit risk, as assessed by the industry-wide FICO scoring method, is a relevant credit quality indicator. Borrower-specific FICO scores are obtained at origination as part of the Company's formal underwriting process, and refreshed FICO scores are obtained by the Company at least quarterly. For government-guaranteed loans, the Company monitors the credit quality based primarily on delinquency status, as it is a more relevant indicator of credit quality due to the government guarantee. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, 87% and 89%, respectively, of the guaranteed student loan portfolio was current with respect to payments. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, 82% and 83%, respectively, of the guaranteed residential loan portfolio was current with respect to payments. Loss exposure to the Company on these loans is mitigated by the government guarantee.

LHFI by credit quality indicator are shown in the tables below:

| (Dollars in millions)     | Commercial Loans                         |                      |                        |                      |                          |                      |
|---------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|                           | C&I                                      |                      | Commercial real estate |                      | Commercial construction  |                      |
|                           | June 30,<br>2013                         | December 31,<br>2012 | June 30,<br>2013       | December 31,<br>2012 | June 30,<br>2013         | December 31,<br>2012 |
| Credit rating:            |  |                      |                        |                      |                          |                      |
| Pass                      | \$53,339                                 | \$52,292             | \$3,876                | \$3,564              | \$534                    | \$506                |
| Criticized accruing       | 1,504                                    | 1,562                | 378                    | 497                  | 110                      | 173                  |
| Criticized nonaccruing    | 227                                      | 194                  | 54                     | 66                   | 23                       | 34                   |
| Total                     | \$55,070                                 | \$54,048             | \$4,308                | \$4,127              | \$667                    | \$713                |
|                           | Residential Loans <sup>1</sup>           |                      |                        |                      |                          |                      |
| (Dollars in millions)     | Residential mortgages -<br>nonguaranteed |                      | Home equity products   |                      | Residential construction |                      |
|                           | June 30,<br>2013                         | December 31,<br>2012 | June 30,<br>2013       | December 31,<br>2012 | June 30,<br>2013         | December 31,<br>2012 |
|                           |  |                      |                        |                      |                          |                      |
| Current FICO score range: |  |                      |                        |                      |                          |                      |
| 700 and above             | \$17,671                                 | \$17,410             | \$11,376               | \$11,339             | \$482                    | \$561                |
| 620 - 699                 | 3,730                                    | 3,850                | 2,244                  | 2,297                | 107                      | 123                  |
| Below 620 <sup>2</sup>    | 1,940                                    | 2,129                | 1,062                  | 1,169                | 46                       | 69                   |
| Total                     | \$23,341                                 | \$23,389             | \$14,682               | \$14,805             | \$635                    | \$753                |
|                           | Consumer Loans <sup>3</sup>              |                      |                        |                      |                          |                      |
| (Dollars in millions)     | Other direct                             |                      | Indirect               |                      | Credit cards             |                      |
|                           | June 30,<br>2013                         | December 31,<br>2012 | June 30,<br>2013       | December 31,<br>2012 | June 30,<br>2013         | December 31,<br>2012 |
|                           |  |                      |                        |                      |                          |                      |
| Current FICO score range: |  |                      |                        |                      |                          |                      |
| 700 and above             | \$2,051                                  | \$1,980              | \$8,391                | \$8,300              | \$442                    | \$435                |
| 620 - 699                 | 367                                      | 350                  | 2,141                  | 2,038                | 155                      | 152                  |
| Below 620 <sup>2</sup>    | 65                                       | 66                   | 619                    | 660                  | 44                       | 45                   |
| Total                     | \$2,483                                  | \$2,396              | \$11,151               | \$10,998             | \$641                    | \$632                |

<sup>1</sup>Excludes \$3.6 billion and \$4.3 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, of guaranteed residential loans. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the majority of these loans had FICO scores of 700 and above.

<sup>2</sup>For substantially all loans with refreshed FICO scores below 620, the borrower's FICO score at the time of origination exceeded 620 but has since deteriorated as the loan has seasoned.

<sup>3</sup>Excludes \$5.4 billion of guaranteed student loans at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The payment status for the LHF portfolio is shown in the tables below:

| (Dollars in millions)                              | June 30, 2013    |                              |                            |                          | Total     |
|--|------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
|  | Accruing Current | Accruing 30-89 Days Past Due | Accruing 90+ Days Past Due | Nonaccruing <sup>2</sup> |           |
| Commercial loans:                                  |                  |                              |                            |                          |           |
| C&I  | \$54,748         | \$78                         | \$17                       | \$227                    | \$55,070  |
| Commercial real estate                             | 4,238            | 15                           | 1                          | 54                       | 4,308     |
| Commercial construction                            | 644              | —                            | —                          | 23                       | 667       |
| Total commercial loans                             | 59,630           | 93                           | 18                         | 304                      | 60,045    |
| Residential loans:                                 |                  |                              |                            |                          |           |
| Residential mortgages - guaranteed                 | 2,967            | 38                           | 617                        | —                        | 3,622     |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed <sup>1</sup> | 22,643           | 163                          | 19                         | 516                      | 23,341    |
| Home equity products                               | 14,341           | 115                          | 1                          | 225                      | 14,682    |
| Residential construction                           | 543              | 7                            | 1                          | 84                       | 635       |
| Total residential loans                            | 40,494           | 323                          | 638                        | 825                      | 42,280    |
| Consumer loans:                                    |                  |                              |                            |                          |           |
| Guaranteed student loans                           | 4,715            | 375                          | 341                        | —                        | 5,431     |
| Other direct                                       | 2,462            | 16                           | 1                          | 4                        | 2,483     |
| Indirect   | 11,093           | 49                           | 1                          | 8                        | 11,151    |
| Credit cards                                       | 629              | 6                            | 6                          | —                        | 641       |
| Total consumer loans                               | 18,899           | 446                          | 349                        | 12                       | 19,706    |
| Total LHF  | \$119,023        | \$862                        | \$1,005                    | \$1,141                  | \$122,031 |

<sup>1</sup>Includes \$339 million of loans carried at fair value, the majority of which were accruing current.

<sup>2</sup>Nonaccruing loans past due 90 days or more totaled \$789 million. Nonaccruing loans past due fewer than 90 days include modified nonaccrual loans reported as TDRs and performing second lien loans which are classified as nonaccrual when the first lien loan is nonperforming.

| (Dollars in millions)                              | December 31, 2012 |                              |                            |                          | Total    |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
|  | Accruing Current  | Accruing 30-89 Days Past Due | Accruing 90+ Days Past Due | Nonaccruing <sup>2</sup> |          |
| Commercial loans:                                  |                   |                              |                            |                          |          |
| C&I  | \$53,747          | \$81                         | \$26                       | \$194                    | \$54,048 |
| Commercial real estate                             | 4,050             | 11                           | —                          | 66                       | 4,127    |
| Commercial construction                            | 679               | —                            | —                          | 34                       | 713      |
| Total commercial loans                             | 58,476            | 92                           | 26                         | 294                      | 58,888   |
| Residential loans:                                 |                   |                              |                            |                          |          |
| Residential mortgages - guaranteed                 | 3,523             | 39                           | 690                        | —                        | 4,252    |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed <sup>1</sup> | 22,401            | 192                          | 21                         | 775                      | 23,389   |
| Home equity products                               | 14,314            | 149                          | 1                          | 341                      | 14,805   |
| Residential construction                           | 625               | 15                           | 1                          | 112                      | 753      |
| Total residential loans                            | 40,863            | 395                          | 713                        | 1,228                    | 43,199   |
| Consumer loans:                                    |                   |                              |                            |                          |          |
| Guaranteed student loans                           | 4,769             | 556                          | 32                         | —                        | 5,357    |
| Other direct                                       | 2,372             | 15                           | 3                          | 6                        | 2,396    |
| Indirect   | 10,909            | 68                           | 2                          | 19                       | 10,998   |



Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|                      |           |         |       |         |           |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|-------|---------|-----------|
| Credit cards         | 619       | 7       | 6     | —       | 632       |
| Total consumer loans | 18,669    | 646     | 43    | 25      | 19,383    |
| Total LHF1           | \$118,008 | \$1,133 | \$782 | \$1,547 | \$121,470 |

<sup>1</sup>Includes \$379 million of loans carried at fair value, the majority of which were accruing current.

<sup>2</sup>Nonaccruing loans past due 90 days or more totaled \$975 million. Nonaccruing loans past due fewer than 90 days include modified nonaccrual loans reported as TDRs and performing second lien loans which are classified as nonaccrual when the first lien loan is nonperforming.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## Impaired Loans

A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the agreement. Commercial nonaccrual loans greater than \$3 million and certain consumer, residential, and commercial loans whose terms have been modified in a TDR are individually evaluated for impairment. Smaller-balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are not included in the following tables. Additionally, the tables below exclude guaranteed student loans and guaranteed residential mortgages for which there was nominal risk of principal loss.

| (Dollars in millions)                              | June 30, 2013            |                             |                   | December 31, 2012        |                             |                   |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
|  | Unpaid Principal Balance | Amortized Cost <sup>1</sup> | Related Allowance | Unpaid Principal Balance | Amortized Cost <sup>1</sup> | Related Allowance |
| Impaired loans with no related allowance recorded: |                          |                             |                   |                          |                             |                   |
| Commercial loans:                                  |                          |                             |                   |                          |                             |                   |
| C&I  | \$113                    | \$72                        | \$—               | \$59                     | \$40                        | \$—               |
| Commercial real estate                             | 10                       | 9                           | —                 | 6                        | 5                           | —                 |
| Commercial construction                            | 48                       | 47                          | —                 | 45                       | 45                          | —                 |
| Total commercial loans                             | 171                      | 128                         | —                 | 110                      | 90                          | —                 |
| Impaired loans with an allowance recorded:         |                          |                             |                   |                          |                             |                   |
| Commercial loans:                                  |                          |                             |                   |                          |                             |                   |
| C&I  | 81                       | 70                          | 17                | 46                       | 38                          | 6                 |
| Commercial real estate                             | 7                        | 3                           | —                 | 15                       | 7                           | 1                 |
| Commercial construction                            | 9                        | 7                           | 1                 | 5                        | 3                           | —                 |
| Total commercial loans                             | 97                       | 80                          | 18                | 66                       | 48                          | 7                 |
| Residential loans:                                 |                          |                             |                   |                          |                             |                   |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed              | 2,289                    | 1,999                       | 228               | 2,346                    | 2,046                       | 234               |
| Home equity products                               | 724                      | 647                         | 93                | 661                      | 612                         | 88                |
| Residential construction                           | 272                      | 205                         | 26                | 259                      | 201                         | 26                |
| Total residential loans                            | 3,285                    | 2,851                       | 347               | 3,266                    | 2,859                       | 348               |
| Consumer loans:                                    |                          |                             |                   |                          |                             |                   |
| Other direct                                       | 15                       | 15                          | 2                 | 14                       | 14                          | 2                 |
| Indirect   | 71                       | 71                          | 3                 | 46                       | 46                          | 2                 |
| Credit cards                                       | 17                       | 17                          | 4                 | 21                       | 21                          | 5                 |
| Total consumer loans                               | 103                      | 103                         | 9                 | 81                       | 81                          | 9                 |
| Total impaired loans                               | \$3,656                  | \$3,162                     | \$374             | \$3,523                  | \$3,078                     | \$364             |

<sup>1</sup>Amortized cost reflects charge-offs that have been recognized plus other amounts that have been applied to reduce the net book balance.

Included in the impaired loan balances above were \$2.7 billion and \$2.4 billion of accruing TDRs, at amortized cost, at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, of which 96% and 95% were current, respectively. See Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies," to the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, for further information regarding the Company's loan impairment policy.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

| (Dollars in millions)                              | Three Months Ended June 30 |   |                        |   | Six Months Ended June 30 |   |                        |   |
|--|----------------------------|---|------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|------------------------|---|
|  | 2013                       |   | 2012                   |   | 2013                     |   | 2012                   |   |
|  | Average Amortized Cost     | Interest Income Recognized <sup>1</sup> | Average Amortized Cost | Interest Income Recognized <sup>1</sup> | Average Amortized Cost   | Interest Income Recognized <sup>1</sup> | Average Amortized Cost | Interest Income Recognized <sup>1</sup> |
| Impaired loans with no related allowance recorded: |                            |   |                        |   |                          |   |                        |   |
| Commercial loans:                                  |                            |   |                        |   |                          |   |                        |   |
| C&I  | \$70                       | \$1                                     | \$37                   | \$—                                     | \$63                     | \$1                                     | \$38                   | \$—                                     |
| Commercial real estate                             | 9                          | —                                       | 59                     | 1                                       | 8                        | —                                       | 63                     | 1                                       |
| Commercial construction                            | 47                         | —                                       | 28                     | —                                       | 36                       | 1                                       | 32                     | —                                       |
| Total commercial loans                             | 126                        | 1                                       | 124                    | 1                                       | 107                      | 2                                       | 133                    | 1                                       |
| Impaired loans with an allowance recorded:         |                            |   |                        |   |                          |   |                        |   |
| Commercial loans:                                  |                            |   |                        |   |                          |   |                        |   |
| C&I  | 80                         | 1                                       | 81                     | —                                       | 75                       | 1                                       | 83                     | —                                       |
| Commercial real estate                             | 3                          | —                                       | 82                     | —                                       | 2                        | —                                       | 84                     | —                                       |
| Commercial construction                            | 8                          | —                                       | 66                     | —                                       | 7                        | —                                       | 67                     | 1                                       |
| Total commercial loans                             | 91                         | 1                                       | 229                    | —                                       | 84                       | 1                                       | 234                    | 1                                       |
| Residential loans:                                 |                            |   |                        |   |                          |   |                        |   |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed              | 2,002                      | 27                                      | 2,255                  | 20                                      | 2,008                    | 49                                      | 2,260                  | 42                                      |
| Home equity products                               | 648                        | 5                                       | 535                    | 7                                       | 652                      | 10                                      | 539                    | 13                                      |
| Residential construction                           | 205                        | 3                                       | 232                    | 3                                       | 206                      | 5                                       | 237                    | 5                                       |
| Total residential loans                            | 2,855                      | 35                                      | 3,022                  | 30                                      | 2,866                    | 64                                      | 3,036                  | 60                                      |
| Consumer loans:                                    |                            |   |                        |   |                          |   |                        |   |
| Other direct                                       | 15                         | —                                       | 12                     | —                                       | 15                       | —                                       | 12                     | —                                       |
| Indirect   | 72                         | 1                                       | 14                     | 1                                       | 74                       | 2                                       | 15                     | 1                                       |
| Credit cards                                       | 17                         | 1                                       | 25                     | —                                       | 19                       | 1                                       | 26                     | 1                                       |
| Total consumer loans                               | 104                        | 2                                       | 51                     | 1                                       | 108                      | 3                                       | 53                     | 2                                       |
| Total impaired loans                               | \$3,176                    | \$39                                    | \$3,426                | \$32                                    | \$3,165                  | \$70                                    | \$3,456                | \$64                                    |

<sup>1</sup>Of the interest income recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, cash basis interest income was \$1 million and \$6 million, respectively.

Of the interest income recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, cash basis interest income was \$4 million and \$8 million, respectively.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Nonperforming assets are shown in the following table:

| (Dollars in millions)                 | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Nonaccrual/NPLs:                      |               |                   |
| Commercial loans:                     |               |                   |
| C&I                                   | \$227         | \$194             |
| Commercial real estate                | 54            | 66                |
| Commercial construction               | 23            | 34                |
| Residential loans:                    |               |                   |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed | 516           | 775               |
| Home equity products                  | 225           | 341               |
| Residential construction              | 84            | 112               |
| Consumer loans:                       |               |                   |
| Other direct                          | 4             | 6                 |
| Indirect                              | 8             | 19                |
| Total nonaccrual/NPLs                 | 1,141         | 1,547             |
| OREO <sup>1</sup>                     | 198           | 264               |
| Other repossessed assets              | 8             | 9                 |
| Nonperforming LHFS                    | 48            | 37                |
| Total nonperforming assets            | \$1,395       | \$1,857           |

<sup>1</sup>Does not include foreclosed real estate related to loans insured by the FHA or the VA. Proceeds due from the FHA and the VA are recorded as a receivable in other assets until the funds are received and the property is conveyed. The receivable amount related to proceeds due from the FHA or the VA totaled \$177 million and \$140 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

#### Restructured Loans

TDRs are loans in which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, and the Company has granted an economic concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. When loans are modified under the terms of a TDR, the Company typically offers the borrower an extension of the loan maturity date and/or a reduction in the original contractual interest rate. In certain limited situations, the Company may offer to restructure a loan in a manner that ultimately results in the forgiveness of contractually specified principal balances.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had \$3 million and \$1 million, respectively, in commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose terms have been modified in a TDR.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The number and amortized cost of loans modified under the terms of a TDR during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, by type of modification, are shown in the following tables:

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013<sup>1</sup>

| (Dollars in millions)                 | Number of Loans Modified | Principal Forgiveness <sup>2</sup> | Rate Modification <sup>3</sup> | Term Extension and/or Other Concessions | Total |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| Commercial loans:                     |                          |                                    |                                |   |       |
| C&I                                   | 29                       | \$18                               | \$—                            | \$15                                    | \$33  |
| Commercial real estate                | 1                        | —                                  | —                              | —                                       | —     |
| Commercial construction               | —                        | —                                  | —                              | —                                       | —     |
| Residential loans:                    |                          |                                    |                                |   |       |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed | 637                      | —                                  | 36                             | 53                                      | 89    |
| Home equity products                  | 755                      | —                                  | 17                             | 31                                      | 48    |
| Residential construction              | 104                      | —                                  | 7                              | 2                                       | 9     |
| Consumer loans:                       |                          |                                    |                                |   |       |
| Other direct                          | 32                       | —                                  | —                              | 1                                       | 1     |
| Indirect                              | 831                      | —                                  | —                              | 16                                      | 16    |
| Credit cards                          | 155                      | —                                  | 1                              | —                                       | 1     |
| Total TDRs                            | 2,544                    | \$18                               | \$61                           | \$118                                   | \$197 |

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013<sup>1</sup>

| (Dollars in millions)                 | Number of Loans Modified | Principal Forgiveness <sup>2</sup> | Rate Modification <sup>3</sup> | Term Extension and/or Other Concessions | Total |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| Commercial loans:                     |                          |                                    |                                |   |       |
| C&I                                   | 96                       | \$18                               | \$2                            | \$49                                    | \$69  |
| Commercial real estate                | 5                        | —                                  | 4                              | 1                                       | 5     |
| Commercial construction               | —                        | —                                  | —                              | —                                       | —     |
| Residential loans:                    |                          |                                    |                                |   |       |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed | 913                      | —                                  | 61                             | 70                                      | 131   |
| Home equity products                  | 1,438                    | —                                  | 36                             | 48                                      | 84    |
| Residential construction              | 217                      | —                                  | 18                             | 4                                       | 22    |
| Consumer loans:                       |                          |                                    |                                |   |       |
| Other direct                          | 80                       | —                                  | —                              | 3                                       | 3     |
| Indirect                              | 1,734                    | —                                  | —                              | 33                                      | 33    |
| Credit cards                          | 386                      | —                                  | 2                              | —                                       | 2     |
| Total TDRs                            | 4,869                    | \$18                               | \$123                          | \$208                                   | \$349 |

<sup>1</sup>Includes loans modified under the terms of a TDR that were charged-off during the period.

<sup>2</sup>Restructured loans which had forgiveness of amounts contractually due under the terms of the loan typically have had multiple concessions including rate modifications and/or term extensions. The total amount of charge-offs associated with principal forgiveness during both the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, was \$2 million.

<sup>3</sup>Restructured loans which had a modification of the loan's contractual interest rate may also have had an extension of the loan's contractual maturity date and/or other concessions. The financial effect of modifying the interest rate on the loans modified as a TDR was immaterial to the financial statements during the three and six months ended June 30,

2013.

19

---

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

| (Dollars in millions)                 | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 <sup>1</sup> |                                    |                                |   |       |
|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
|                                       | Number of Loans Modified                      | Principal Forgiveness <sup>2</sup> | Rate Modification <sup>3</sup> | Term Extension and/or Other Concessions | Total |
| Commercial loans:                     |   |                                    |                                |   |       |
| C&I                                   | 80  | \$—                                | \$1                            | \$3                                     | \$4   |
| Commercial real estate                | 13  | 6                                  | 6                              | —                                       | 12    |
| Commercial construction               | 5   | 1                                  | —                              | 10                                      | 11    |
| Residential loans:                    |   |                                    |                                |   |       |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed | 199   | —                                  | 21                             | —                                       | 21    |
| Home equity products                  | 457   | —                                  | 33                             | 2                                       | 35    |
| Residential construction              | 140   | —                                  | 1                              | 20                                      | 21    |
| Consumer loans:                       |   |                                    |                                |   |       |
| Other direct                          | 27  | —                                  | —                              | 1                                       | 1     |
| Indirect                              | 795   | —                                  | —                              | 14                                      | 14    |
| Credit cards                          | 361   | —                                  | 2                              | —                                       | 2     |
| Total TDRs                            | 2,077   | \$7                                | \$64                           | \$50                                    | \$121 |

| (Dollars in millions)                 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 <sup>1</sup> |                                    |                                |   |       |
|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
|                                       | Number of Loans Modified                    | Principal Forgiveness <sup>2</sup> | Rate Modification <sup>3</sup> | Term Extension and/or Other Concessions | Total |
| Commercial loans:                     |   |                                    |                                |   |       |
| C&I                                   | 183   | \$—                                | \$2                            | \$15                                    | \$17  |
| Commercial real estate                | 23  | 12                                 | 7                              | 2                                       | 21    |
| Commercial construction               | 12  | 2                                  | —                              | 11                                      | 13    |
| Residential loans:                    |   |                                    |                                |   |       |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed | 424   | —                                  | 41                             | 1                                       | 42    |
| Home equity products                  | 841   | —                                  | 64                             | 3                                       | 67    |
| Residential construction              | 175   | —                                  | 1                              | 29                                      | 30    |
| Consumer loans:                       |   |                                    |                                |   |       |
| Other direct                          | 39  | —                                  | —                              | 1                                       | 1     |
| Indirect                              | 795   | —                                  | —                              | 14                                      | 14    |
| Credit cards                          | 863   | —                                  | 5                              | —                                       | 5     |
| Total TDRs                            | 3,355                                       | \$14                               | \$120                          | \$76                                    | \$210 |

<sup>1</sup>Includes loans modified under the terms of a TDR that were charged-off during the period.

<sup>2</sup>Restructured loans which had forgiveness of amounts contractually due under the terms of the loan typically have had multiple concessions including rate modifications and/or term extensions. The total amount of charge-offs associated with principal forgiveness during both the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, was \$1 million.

<sup>3</sup>Restructured loans which had a modification of the loan's contractual interest rate may also have had an extension of the loan's contractual maturity date and/or other concessions. The financial effect of modifying the interest rate on the loans modified as a TDR was immaterial to the financial statements during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.





## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The preceding tables represent loans modified under the terms of a TDR during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012; whereas, the following tables represent loans modified as a TDR over longer time periods that became 90 days or more delinquent during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the table below represents defaults on loans that were first modified between the periods January 1, 2012 and June 30, 2013, including loans modified under the terms of a TDR that were charged-off during the period.

| (Dollars in millions)    | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 |                | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 |                |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
|                          | Number of Loans                  | Amortized Cost | Number of Loans                | Amortized Cost |
| Commercial loans:        |                                  |                |                                |                |
| C&I                      | 19                               | \$—            | 42                             | \$—            |
| Commercial real estate   | 3                                | —              | 4                              | 3              |
| Commercial construction  | —                                | —              | 1                              | —              |
| Residential loans:       |                                  |                |                                |                |
| Residential mortgages    | 80                               | 6              | 156                            | 10             |
| Home equity products     | 52                               | 3              | 101                            | 6              |
| Residential construction | 10                               | —              | 16                             | 2              |
| Consumer loans:          |                                  |                |                                |                |
| Other direct             | 2                                | —              | 9                              | —              |
| Indirect                 | 49                               | 1              | 88                             | 1              |
| Credit cards             | 35                               | —              | 79                             | 1              |
| Total TDRs               | 250                              | \$10           | 496                            | \$23           |

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the table below represents defaults on loans that were first modified between the periods January 1, 2011 and June 30, 2012, including loans modified under the terms of a TDR that were charged-off during the period.

| (Dollars in millions)    | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 |                | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |                |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
|                          | Number of Loans                  | Amortized Cost | Number of Loans                | Amortized Cost |
| Commercial loans:        |                                  |                |                                |                |
| C&I                      | 14                               | \$1            | 25                             | \$3            |
| Commercial real estate   | —                                | —              | 4                              | 4              |
| Commercial construction  | 4                                | 4              | 7                              | 6              |
| Residential loans:       |                                  |                |                                |                |
| Residential mortgages    | 28                               | 9              | 56                             | 14             |
| Home equity products     | 38                               | 3              | 81                             | 6              |
| Residential construction | 6                                | —              | 17                             | 2              |
| Consumer loans:          |                                  |                |                                |                |
| Other direct             | —                                | —              | 2                              | —              |
| Credit cards             | 57                               | —              | 135                            | 1              |
| Total TDRs               | 147                              | \$17           | 327                            | \$36           |

The majority of loans that were modified and subsequently became 90 days or more delinquent have remained on nonaccrual status since the time of modification.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company does not have a significant concentration of risk to any individual client except for the U.S. government and its agencies. However, a geographic concentration arises because the Company operates primarily in the Southeastern and Mid-Atlantic regions of the U.S. The Company engages in limited international banking activities. The Company's total cross-border outstanding loans were \$734 million and \$562 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The major concentrations of credit risk for the Company arise by collateral type in relation to loans and credit commitments. The only significant concentration that exists is in loans secured by residential real estate. At June 30, 2013, the Company owned \$42.3 billion in residential loans, representing 35% of total LHFI, and had \$11.3 billion in commitments to extend credit on home equity lines and \$8.6 billion in mortgage loan commitments. Of the residential loans owned at June 30, 2013, 9% were guaranteed by a federal agency or a GSE. At December 31, 2012, the Company owned \$43.2 billion in residential loans, representing 36% of total LHFI, and had \$11.7 billion in commitments to extend credit on home equity lines and \$9.2 billion in mortgage loan commitments. Of the residential loans owned at December 31, 2012, 10% were guaranteed by a federal agency or a GSE.

Included in the residential mortgage portfolio were \$12.6 billion and \$13.3 billion of mortgage loans at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, that included terms such as an interest only feature, a high LTV ratio, or a second lien position that may increase the Company's exposure to credit risk and result in a concentration of credit risk. Of these mortgage loans, \$6.6 billion and \$7.6 billion, respectively, were interest only loans, primarily with a ten year interest only period. Approximately \$1.3 billion of those interest only loans at June 30, 2013, and \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2012, were loans with no mortgage insurance and were either first liens with combined original LTV ratios in excess of 80% or were second liens. Additionally, the Company owned approximately \$6.0 billion and \$5.7 billion of amortizing loans with no mortgage insurance at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, comprised of first liens with combined original LTV ratios in excess of 80% and second liens.

## NOTE 5 - ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

The allowance for credit losses consists of the ALLL and the reserve for unfunded commitments. Activity in the allowance for credit losses is summarized in the table below:

| (Dollars in millions)                        | Three Months Ended June 30 |         | Six Months Ended June 30 |         |
|--|----------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
|  | 2013                       | 2012    | 2013                     | 2012    |
| Balance at beginning of period               | \$2,205                    | \$2,400 | \$2,219                  | \$2,505 |
| Provision for loan losses                    | 152                        | 302     | 356                      | 615     |
| (Benefit)/provision for unfunded commitments | (6                         | ) (2    | ) 2                      | 2       |
| Loan charge-offs                             | (233                       | ) (397  | ) (506                   | ) (860  |
| Loan recoveries                              | 54                         | 47      | 101                      | 88      |
| Balance at end of period                     | \$2,172                    | \$2,350 | \$2,172                  | \$2,350 |
| Components:                                  |                            |         |                          |         |
| ALLL   | \$2,125                    | \$2,300 |                          |         |
| Unfunded commitments reserve <sup>1</sup>    | 47                         | 50      |                          |         |
| Allowance for credit losses                  | \$2,172                    | \$2,350 |                          |         |

<sup>1</sup> The unfunded commitments reserve is recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Activity in the ALLL by segment is presented in the tables below:

| (Dollars in millions)          | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 |             |          |         |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------|---------|
|                                | Commercial                       | Residential | Consumer | Total   |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$921                            | \$1,087     | \$144    | \$2,152 |
| Provision for loan losses      | 42                               | 78          | 32       | 152     |
| Loan charge-offs               | (64 )                            | (143 )      | (26 )    | (233 )  |
| Loan recoveries                | 20                               | 24          | 10       | 54      |
| Balance at end of period       | \$919                            | \$1,046     | \$160    | \$2,125 |

| (Dollars in millions)          | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 |             |          |         |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------|---------|
|                                | Commercial                       | Residential | Consumer | Total   |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$901                            | \$1,315     | \$132    | \$2,348 |
| Provision for loan losses      | 49                               | 230         | 23       | 302     |
| Loan charge-offs               | (94 )                            | (274 )      | (29 )    | (397 )  |
| Loan recoveries                | 31                               | 6           | 10       | 47      |
| Balance at end of period       | \$887                            | \$1,277     | \$136    | \$2,300 |

| (Dollars in millions)          | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 |             |          |         |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|----------|---------|
|                                | Commercial                     | Residential | Consumer | Total   |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$902                          | \$1,131     | \$141    | \$2,174 |
| Provision for loan losses      | 106                            | 190         | 60       | 356     |
| Loan charge-offs               | (124 )                         | (321 )      | (61 )    | (506 )  |
| Loan recoveries                | 35                             | 46          | 20       | 101     |
| Balance at end of period       | \$919                          | \$1,046     | \$160    | \$2,125 |

| (Dollars in millions)          | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |             |          |         |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|----------|---------|
|                                | Commercial                     | Residential | Consumer | Total   |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$964                          | \$1,354     | \$139    | \$2,457 |
| Provision for loan losses      | 87                             | 488         | 40       | 615     |
| Loan charge-offs               | (220 )                         | (576 )      | (64 )    | (860 )  |
| Loan recoveries                | 56                             | 11          | 21       | 88      |
| Balance at end of period       | \$887                          | \$1,277     | \$136    | \$2,300 |

As discussed in Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies," to the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the ALLL is composed of both specific allowances for certain nonaccrual loans and TDRs and general allowances grouped into loan pools based on similar characteristics. No allowance is required for loans carried at fair value. Additionally, the Company records an immaterial allowance for loan products that are guaranteed by government agencies, as there is nominal risk of principal loss.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The Company's LHFI portfolio and related ALLL is shown in the tables below:

| June 30, 2013          |                |                 |                |                 |                |                 |                |                 |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| (Dollars in millions)  | Commercial     |                 | Residential    |                 | Consumer       |                 | Total          |                 |
|                        | Carrying Value | Associated ALLL | Carrying Value | Associated ALLL | Carrying Value | Associated ALLL | Carrying Value | Associated ALLL |
| Individually evaluated | \$208          | \$18            | \$2,851        | \$347           | \$103          | \$9             | \$3,162        | \$374           |
| Collectively evaluated | 59,837         | 901             | 39,090         | 699             | 19,603         | 151             | 118,530        | 1,751           |
| Total evaluated        | 60,045         | 919             | 41,941         | 1,046           | 19,706         | 160             | 121,692        | 2,125           |
| LHFI at fair value     | —              | —               | 339            | —               | —              | —               | 339            | —               |
| Total LHFI             | \$60,045       | \$919           | \$42,280       | \$1,046         | \$19,706       | \$160           | \$122,031      | \$2,125         |

  

| December 31, 2012      |                |                 |                |                 |                |                 |                |                 |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| (Dollars in millions)  | Commercial     |                 | Residential    |                 | Consumer       |                 | Total          |                 |
|                        | Carrying Value | Associated ALLL | Carrying Value | Associated ALLL | Carrying Value | Associated ALLL | Carrying Value | Associated ALLL |
| Individually evaluated | \$138          | \$7             | \$2,859        | \$348           | \$81           | \$9             | \$3,078        | \$364           |
| Collectively evaluated | 58,750         | 895             | 39,961         | 783             | 19,302         | 132             | 118,013        | 1,810           |
| Total evaluated        | 58,888         | 902             | 42,820         | 1,131           | 19,383         | 141             | 121,091        | 2,174           |
| LHFI at fair value     | —              | —               | 379            | —               | —              | —               | 379            | —               |
| Total LHFI             | \$58,888       | \$902           | \$43,199       | \$1,131         | \$19,383       | \$141           | \$121,470      | \$2,174         |

## NOTE 6 – GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

## Goodwill

Goodwill is required to be tested for impairment on an annual basis, which is performed by the Company during the third quarter, or as events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount or indicate that it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists when the carrying amount of a reporting unit is zero or negative. The Company monitored events and circumstances during the first six months of 2013 and did not observe any factors that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its respective carrying value. Accordingly, goodwill was not tested for impairment during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

During the second quarter of 2013, branch-managed business banking clients were transferred from Wholesale Banking to Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management, resulting in the reallocation of \$300 million in goodwill. Also, as discussed in Note 15, "Business Segment Reporting," the Company reorganized its segment reporting structure and goodwill reporting units during the first quarter of 2012. The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by reportable segment for the six months ended June 30 are as follows:

| (Dollars in millions)    | Retail Banking | Diversified Commercial Banking | CIB   | W&IM  | Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management | Wholesale Banking | Total   |
|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|--|-------------------|---------|
| Balance, January 1, 2013 | \$—            | \$—                            | \$—   | \$—   | \$3,962  | \$2,407           | \$6,369 |
| Intersegment transfers   | —              | —                              | —     | —     | 300  | (300)             | —       |
| Balance, June 30, 2013   | \$—            | \$—                            | \$—   | \$—   | \$4,262  | \$2,107           | \$6,369 |
| Balance, January 1, 2012 | \$4,854        | \$928                          | \$180 | \$382 | \$—  | \$—               | \$6,344 |
| Intersegment transfers   | (4,854)        | (928)                          | (180) | (382) | 3,930  | 2,414             | —       |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|                                |     |     |     |     |         |         |         |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|---------|---------|
| Acquisition of FirstAgain, LLC | —   | —   | —   | —   | 32      | —       | 32      |
| Balance, June 30, 2012         | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$3,962 | \$2,414 | \$6,376 |

24

---

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## Other Intangible Assets

Changes in the carrying amounts of other intangible assets for the six months ended June 30 are as follows:

| (Dollars in millions)                                 | Core Deposit<br>Intangibles | MSRs -<br>Fair Value | Other | Total   |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------|---------|
| Balance, January 1, 2013                              | \$17                        | \$899                | \$40  | \$956   |
| Amortization  | (7 )                        | —                    | (5 )  | (12 )   |
| MSRs originated                                       | —                           | 203                  | —     | 203     |
| Changes in fair value:                                |                             |                      |       |         |
| Due to changes in inputs and assumptions <sup>1</sup> | —                           | 250                  | —     | 250     |
| Other changes in fair value <sup>2</sup>              | —                           | (152 )               | —     | (152 )  |
| Sale of MSRs  | —                           | (1 )                 | —     | (1 )    |
| Balance, June 30, 2013                                | \$10                        | \$1,199              | \$35  | \$1,244 |
| Balance, January 1, 2012                              | \$38                        | \$921                | \$58  | \$1,017 |
| Amortization  | (11 )                       | —                    | (11 ) | (22 )   |
| MSRs originated                                       | —                           | 161                  | —     | 161     |
| Changes in fair value:                                |                             |                      |       |         |
| Due to changes in inputs and assumptions <sup>1</sup> | —                           | (102 )               | —     | (102 )  |
| Other changes in fair value <sup>2</sup>              | —                           | (112 )               | —     | (112 )  |
| Sale of MSRs  | —                           | (3 )                 | —     | (3 )    |
| Balance, June 30, 2012                                | \$27                        | \$865                | \$47  | \$939   |

<sup>1</sup> Primarily reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, due to changes in interest rates.

<sup>2</sup> Represents changes due to the collection of expected cash flows, net of accretion, due to the passage of time.

## Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company retains MSRs from certain of its sales or securitizations of residential mortgage loans. MSRs on residential mortgage loans are the only servicing assets capitalized by the Company and are classified within intangible assets on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Income earned by the Company on its MSRs is derived primarily from contractually specified mortgage servicing fees and late fees, net of curtailment costs. Such income earned for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$77 million and \$153 million, respectively, and \$80 million and \$163 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. These amounts are reported in mortgage servicing related income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the total unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans serviced was \$140.4 billion and \$144.9 billion, respectively. Included in these amounts were \$109.3 billion and \$113.2 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, of loans serviced for third parties. During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company sold MSRs, at a price approximating their fair value, on residential loans with an unpaid principal balance of \$632 million and \$1.4 billion, respectively.

At the end of each quarter, the Company determines the fair value of the MSRs using a valuation model that calculates the present value of the estimated future net servicing income. The model incorporates a number of assumptions as MSRs do not trade in an active and open market with readily observable prices. The Company determines fair value using market based prepayment rates, discount rates, and other assumptions that are compared to various sources of market data including independent third party valuations and industry surveys. Senior management and the STM valuation committee review all significant assumptions quarterly since many factors can affect the fair value of MSRs. Changes to the valuation model inputs and assumptions are reflected in the periods' results.



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

A summary of the key characteristics, inputs, and economic assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the Company's MSR's at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and the sensitivity of the fair values to immediate 10% and 20% adverse changes in those assumptions are shown in the table below. Substantially all of the increase in fair value during the six months ended June 30, 2013, was driven by an increase in prevailing interest rates during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

| (Dollars in millions)                         | June 30, 2013 |   | December 31, 2012 |   |
|---|---------------|---|-------------------|---|
| Fair value of retained MSR's                  | \$1,199       |   | \$899             |   |
| Prepayment rate assumption (annual)           | 10            | % | 16                | % |
| Decline in fair value from 10% adverse change | \$42          |   | \$50              |   |
| Decline in fair value from 20% adverse change | 82            |   | 95                |   |
| Discount rate (annual)                        | 11            | % | 11                | % |
| Decline in fair value from 10% adverse change | \$57          |   | \$37              |   |
| Decline in fair value from 20% adverse change | 109           |   | 70                |   |
| Weighted-average life (in years)              | 6.7           |   | 4.9               |   |
| Weighted-average coupon                       | 4.5           | % | 4.8               | % |

The above sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the amounts indicate, changes in fair value based on variations in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in this table, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the retained interest is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another, which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities. Additionally, the sensitivities above do not include the effect of hedging activity undertaken by the Company to offset changes in the fair value of MSR's. See Note 11, "Derivative Financial Instruments," for further information regarding these hedging activities.



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

**NOTE 7 - CERTAIN TRANSFERS OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES****Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and related Variable Interest Entities**

As discussed in Note 10, "Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company has transferred loans and securities in sale or securitization transactions in which the Company has, or had, continuing involvement. Except as specifically noted herein, the Company is not required to provide additional financial support to any of the entities that are VIEs described below, nor has the Company provided any support it was not otherwise obligated to provide.

Further, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company evaluated whether any of its previous conclusions regarding whether it is the primary beneficiary of the VIEs described below should be changed based upon events occurring during the quarter. These evaluations did not result in changes to previous consolidation conclusions.

When evaluating transfers and other transactions with VIEs for consolidation, the Company first determines if it has a VI in the VIE. A VI is typically in the form of securities representing retained interests in the transferred assets and, at times, servicing rights and collateral manager fees. If the Company has a VI in the entity, it then evaluates whether or not it has both (1) the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE and (2) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE to determine if the Company should consolidate the VIE.

Below is a summary of transfers of financial assets to VIEs for which the Company has retained some level of continuing involvement and supplements Note 10, "Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Residential Mortgage Loans**

The Company typically transfers first lien residential mortgage loans in conjunction with Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae, and Freddie Mac securitization transactions whereby the loans are exchanged for cash or securities that are readily redeemable for cash proceeds and servicing rights. The Company sold residential mortgage loans to these entities, which resulted in pre-tax gains of \$124 million and \$236 million, including servicing rights, for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively and \$281 million and \$460 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These gains are included within mortgage production related income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. These gains include the change in value of the loans as a result of changes in interest rates from the time the related IRLCs were issued to the borrowers but do not include the results of hedging activities initiated by the Company to mitigate this market risk. See Note 11, "Derivative Financial Instruments," for further discussion of the Company's hedging activities. As seller, the Company has made certain representations and warranties with respect to the originally transferred loans, including those transferred under Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae, and Freddie Mac programs, and those representations and warranties are discussed in Note 12, "Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees."

In a limited number of securitizations, the Company has received securities representing retained interests in the transferred loans in addition to cash and servicing rights in exchange for the transferred loans. The received securities are carried at fair value as either trading assets or securities AFS. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair value of securities received totaled \$83 million and \$98 million, respectively, and were valued using a third party pricing service.

The Company evaluated these securitization transactions for consolidation under the VIE consolidation guidance. As servicer of the underlying loans, the Company is generally deemed to have power over the securitization. However, if a single party, such as the issuer or the master servicer, effectively controls the servicing activities or has the unilateral ability to terminate the Company as servicer without cause, then that party is deemed to have power over the securitization. In almost all of its securitization transactions, the Company does not have power over the VIE as a result of these rights held by the master servicer. In certain transactions, the Company does have power as the servicer; however, the Company does not also have an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the securitization. The absorption of losses and the receipt of benefits would generally manifest itself through the retention of senior or subordinated interests. Total assets at June 30, 2013 and

December 31, 2012, of the unconsolidated trusts in which the Company has a VI are \$390 million and \$445 million, respectively.

The Company's maximum exposure to loss related to the unconsolidated VIEs in which it holds a VI is comprised of the loss of value of any interests it retains and any repurchase obligations it incurs as a result of a breach of its representations and warranties, which is discussed in Note 12, "Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees."

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## Commercial and Corporate Loans

The Company has involvement with CLO entities that own commercial leveraged loans and bonds, certain of which were transferred by the Company to the CLOs. In addition to retaining certain securities issued by the CLOs, the Company also acts as collateral manager for these CLOs. The securities retained by the Company and the fees received as collateral manager represent a VI in the CLOs, which are considered to be VIEs. The Company has determined that it is the primary beneficiary of and, thus, has consolidated one of these CLOs as it has both the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses and the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the CLO. The Company's involvement with the CLO includes receiving fees for its duties as collateral manager, including eligibility for performance fees, as well as ownership in one of the senior interests in the CLO and certain preference shares of the CLO. Substantially all of the assets and liabilities of the CLO are loans and issued debt, respectively. The loans are classified within LHFS at fair value and the debt is included within long-term debt at fair value on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. See Note 13, "Fair Value Election and Measurement," for a discussion of the Company's methodologies for estimating the fair values of these financial instruments. At June 30, 2013, the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets reflected \$289 million of loans held by the CLO and \$285 million of debt issued by the CLO. At December 31, 2012, the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets reflected \$319 million of loans held by the CLO and \$286 million of debt issued by the CLO. Although the Company consolidates the CLO, its creditors have no recourse to the general credit of the Company, as the liabilities of the CLO are paid only to the extent of available cash flows from the CLO's assets.

For the remaining CLOs, which are also considered to be VIEs, the Company has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary as it does not have an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the entities that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The Company's preference share exposure was valued at \$3 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The Company's only remaining involvement with these VIEs is through its collateral manager role. The Company receives fees for managing the assets of these vehicles; these fees are considered adequate compensation and are commensurate with the level of effort required to provide such services. The fees received by the Company from these entities are recorded as trust and investment management income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Senior fees earned by the Company are generally not considered at risk; however, subordinate fees earned by the Company are subject to the availability of cash flows and to the priority of payments. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, these VIEs had \$1.7 billion and \$1.8 billion, respectively, of estimated assets and \$1.7 billion of estimated liabilities.

## Student Loans

During 2006, the Company completed a securitization of government-guaranteed student loans through a transfer of loans to a securitization SPE, which previously qualified as a QSPE, and retained the related residual interest in the SPE. The Company concluded that this securitization of government-guaranteed student loans (the "Student Loan entity") should be consolidated. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets reflected \$364 million and \$384 million, respectively, of assets held by the Student Loan entity and \$360 million and \$380 million, respectively, of debt issued by the Student Loan entity.

Payments from the assets in the SPE must first be used to settle the obligations of the SPE, with any remaining payments remitted to the Company as the owner of the residual interest. To the extent that losses occur on the SPE's assets, the SPE has recourse to the federal government as the guarantor up to a maximum guarantee amount of 97%. Losses in excess of the government guarantee reduce the amount of available cash payable to the Company as the owner of the residual interest. To the extent that losses result from a breach of the master servicer's servicing responsibilities, the SPE has recourse to the Company; the SPE may require the Company to repurchase the loan from the SPE at par value. If the breach was caused by the subservicer, the Company has recourse to seek reimbursement from the subservicer up to the guaranteed amount. The Company's maximum exposure to loss related to the SPE is represented by the potential losses resulting from a breach of servicing responsibilities. To date, all loss claims filed with the guarantor that have been denied due to servicing errors have either been cured or reimbursement has been

provided to the Company by the subservicer.

CDO Securities

The Company has transferred bank trust preferred securities in securitization transactions. The Company's maximum exposure to loss at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, includes current senior interests held in trading securities, which have fair values of \$62 million and \$52 million, respectively.

As further discussed in Note 13, "Fair Value Election and Measurement," the Company valued these interests by constructing a pricing matrix of values based on a range of overcollateralization levels that are derived from discussions with the dealer community along with limited trade data. The primary assumptions and inputs considered by the

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Company in valuing these retained interests include prepayment speeds, credit losses, and market yield. While all the underlying collateral is currently eligible for repayment by the obligor, given the nature of the collateral and the current repricing environment, the Company assumed no prepayment would occur before the final maturity, which is approximately 21 years on a weighted average basis. The expected market yield ranged from 9.5% to 12.0% at June 30, 2013 based on discussion with the dealer community with limited trade data adjusted for specific deal factors. At June 30, 2013, a 10% and 20% adverse change in the assumed market yield results in declines of approximately \$6 million and \$11 million, respectively, in the fair value of these securities. In evaluating the impact of credit losses, consideration was given to the underlying collateral of the VIEs, which is highly concentrated, and as a result, the default or deferral of certain large exposures adversely impacts the value of the interests. The Company estimates that if each of the VIEs in which the Company holds retained positions experienced one or two additional large deferrals or defaults, it should not have a significant impact on the fair value of the retained securities. However, should three additional large deferrals or defaults of an underlying collateral obligation occur in each of these VIEs, the fair value of the retained securities would decline \$13 million.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the total assets of the trust preferred CDO entities in which the Company has remaining exposure to loss were \$1.1 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively. The Company determined that it was not the primary beneficiary of any of these VIEs as the Company lacks the power to direct the significant activities of any of the VIEs. No events occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2013 that changed the Company's sale accounting conclusion.

The following tables present certain information related to the Company's asset transfers in which it has continuing economic involvement.

| (Dollars in millions)                       | Three Months Ended June 30 |      | Six Months Ended June 30 |      |
|---|----------------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
|   | 2013                       | 2012 | 2013                     | 2012 |
| Cash flows on interests held <sup>1</sup> : |                            |      |                          |      |
| Residential Mortgage Loans <sup>2</sup>     | \$11                       | \$8  | \$17                     | \$15 |
| Commercial and Corporate Loans              | —                          | —    | 1                        | —    |
| CDO Securities                              | 1                          | 1    | 1                        | 1    |
| Total cash flows on interests held          | \$12                       | \$9  | \$19                     | \$16 |
| Servicing or management fees <sup>1</sup> : |                            |      |                          |      |
| Residential Mortgage Loans <sup>2</sup>     | \$1                        | \$1  | \$1                      | \$1  |
| Commercial and Corporate Loans              | 2                          | 2    | 5                        | 5    |
| Total servicing or management fees          | \$3                        | \$3  | \$6                      | \$6  |

<sup>1</sup> The transfer activity is related to unconsolidated VIEs.

<sup>2</sup> Does not include GSE mortgage loan transfers

Portfolio balances and delinquency balances based on accruing loans 90 days or more past due and all nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and net charge-offs related to managed portfolio loans (both those that are owned or consolidated by the Company and those that have been transferred) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

| (Dollars in millions) | Portfolio Balance <sup>1</sup> |                   | Past Due <sup>2</sup> |                   | Net Charge-offs                 |                                 |                               |                               |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                       | June 30, 2013                  | December 31, 2012 | June 30, 2013         | December 31, 2012 | Three Months Ended June 30 2013 | Three Months Ended June 30 2012 | Six Months Ended June 30 2013 | Six Months Ended June 30 2012 |
| Type of loan:         |                                |                   |                       |                   |                                 |                                 |                               |                               |
| Commercial            | \$60,045                       | \$58,888          | \$322                 | \$320             | \$44                            | \$63                            | \$89                          | \$164                         |
| Residential           | 42,280                         | 43,199            | 1,463                 | 1,941             | 119                             | 268                             | 275                           | 565                           |
| Consumer              | 19,706                         | 19,383            | 361                   | 68                | 16                              | 19                              | 41                            | 43                            |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|                            |           |           |         |                    |                |       |       |       |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------------------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Total loan portfolio       | 122,031   | 121,470   | 2,146   | 2,329              | 179            | 350   | 405   | 772   |
| Managed securitized loans: |           |           |         |                    |                |       |       |       |
| Commercial                 | 1,732     | 1,767     | 24      | 23                 | —              | —     | —     | —     |
| Residential                | 102,268   | 104,877   | 1,673   | <sup>3</sup> 2,186 | <sup>3</sup> 6 | 9     | 14    | 16    |
| Total managed loans        | \$226,031 | \$228,114 | \$3,843 | \$4,538            | \$185          | \$359 | \$419 | \$788 |

<sup>1</sup>Excludes \$3.6 billion and \$3.4 billion of LHFS at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

<sup>2</sup>Excludes \$49 million and \$38 million of past due LHFS at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

<sup>3</sup>Excludes loans that have completed the foreclosure or short sale process (i.e., involuntary prepayments).

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

**Other Variable Interest Entities**

The Company also has involvement with VIEs from business activities as further discussed in Note 10, "Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Total Return Swaps**

The Company has involvement with various VIEs related to its TRS business. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had \$1.8 billion and \$1.9 billion, respectively, in senior financing outstanding to VIEs, which was classified within trading assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and carried at fair value. These VIEs had entered into TRS contracts with the Company with outstanding notional amounts of \$1.8 billion and \$1.9 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and the Company had entered into mirror TRS contracts with third parties with the same outstanding notional amounts. At June 30, 2013, the fair values of these TRS assets and liabilities were \$30 million and \$26 million, respectively, and at December 31, 2012, the fair values of these TRS assets and liabilities were \$51 million and \$46 million, respectively, reflecting the pass-through nature of these structures. The notional amounts of the TRS contracts with the VIEs represent the Company's maximum exposure to loss, although such exposure to loss has been mitigated via the TRS contracts with third parties. For additional information on the Company's TRS with these VIEs, see Note 11, "Derivative Financial Instruments."

**Community Development Investments**

As part of its community reinvestment initiatives, the Company invests primarily within its footprint in multi-family affordable housing developments and other community development entities as a limited and/or general partner and/or a debt provider. The Company receives tax credits for various investments. The Company has determined that the related partnerships are VIEs. For partnerships where the Company operates strictly as the general partner, the Company consolidates these partnerships on its Consolidated Balance Sheets. As the general partner, the Company typically guarantees the tax credits due to the limited partner and is responsible for funding construction and operating deficits. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, total assets, which consist primarily of fixed assets and cash attributable to the consolidated entities, were \$3 million, and total liabilities, excluding intercompany liabilities, were \$1 million. Security deposits from the tenants are recorded as liabilities on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company maintains separate cash accounts to fund these liabilities and these assets are considered restricted. The tenant liabilities and corresponding restricted cash assets were not material at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. While the obligations of the general partner are generally non-recourse to the Company, as the general partner, the Company may from time to time step in when needed to fund deficits. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company did not provide any significant amount of funding as the general partner or to cover any deficits the partnerships may have generated.

For other partnerships, the Company acts only in a limited partnership capacity. The Company has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of these partnerships and accounts for its interests in accordance with the accounting guidance for investments in affordable housing projects. The general partner or an affiliate of the general partner provides guarantees to the limited partner, which protects the Company from losses attributable to operating deficits, construction deficits, and tax credit allocation deficits. Partnership assets of \$1.2 billion in these partnerships were not included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The limited partner interests had carrying values of \$206 million and \$186 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and are recorded in other assets in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company's maximum exposure to loss for these investments totaled \$541 million and \$505 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company's maximum exposure to loss would be borne by the loss of the equity investments along with \$266 million and \$236 million of loans, interest-rate swaps, or letters of credit issued by the Company to the entities at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The difference between the maximum exposure to loss and the investment and loan balances is primarily attributable to the unfunded equity commitments. Unfunded equity commitments are amounts that the Company has committed to the entities upon the entities meeting certain conditions. When these

conditions are met, the Company will invest these additional amounts in the entities.

Additionally, the Company owns noncontrolling interests in funds whose purpose is to invest in community developments. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company's investment in these funds totaled \$71 million and \$63 million, respectively, and the Company's maximum exposure to loss on its equity investments, which is comprised of its investments in the funds plus any additional unfunded equity commitments, was \$157 million and \$110 million, respectively.



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

When the Company owns both the limited partner and general partner interests or acts as the indemnifying party, the Company consolidates the entities. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, total assets, which consist primarily of fixed assets and cash, attributable to the consolidated non-VIE partnerships were \$229 million and \$239 million, respectively, and total liabilities, excluding intercompany liabilities, primarily representing third party borrowings, were \$95 million and \$100 million, respectively.

During 2012, the Company decided to sell certain affordable housing properties, and accordingly, recorded an impairment charge to adjust the carrying values to their estimated net realizable values. At June 30, 2013, market indicators remain consistent with these carrying values and marketing efforts continue with an expected disposition in 2013.

## Registered and Unregistered Funds Advised by RidgeWorth

RidgeWorth, a registered investment advisor and majority owned subsidiary of the Company, serves as the investment advisor for various private placement, common and collective funds, and registered mutual funds (collectively the "Funds"). The Company evaluates these Funds to determine if the Funds are VIEs. In February 2010, the FASB issued guidance that defers the application of the existing VIE consolidation guidance for investment funds meeting certain criteria. All of the registered and unregistered Funds advised by RidgeWorth meet the scope exception criteria, thus, are not evaluated for consolidation under the guidance. Accordingly, the Company continues to apply the consolidation guidance in effect prior to the issuance of the existing guidance to interests in funds that qualify for the deferral.

The Company has concluded that some of the Funds are VIEs. However, the Company has concluded that it is not the primary beneficiary of these funds as the Company does not absorb a majority of the expected losses nor expected returns of the funds. The Company's exposure to loss is limited to the investment advisor and other administrative fees it earns and if applicable, any equity investments. The total unconsolidated assets of these funds at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, were \$301 million and \$372 million, respectively.

## NOTE 8 – NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE

Equivalent shares of 21 million and 26 million related to common stock options and common stock warrants outstanding at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were excluded from the computations of diluted income per average common share because they would have been anti-dilutive.

Reconciliations of net income to net income available to common shareholders and the difference between average basic common shares outstanding and average diluted common shares outstanding are included below.

|   | Three Months Ended |        | Six Months Ended |        |
|---|--------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
|   | June 30            |        | June 30          |        |
| (In millions, except per share data)                              | 2013               | 2012   | 2013             | 2012   |
| Net income  | \$377              | \$275  | \$729            | \$525  |
| Preferred dividends   | (9                 | ) (3   | ) (18            | ) (6   |
| Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to unvested shares | (3                 | ) (2   | ) (6             | ) (4   |
| Net income available to common shareholders                       | \$365              | \$270  | \$705            | \$515  |
| Average basic common shares                                       | 535                | 534    | 535              | 534    |
| Effect of dilutive securities:                                    |                    |        |                  |        |
| Stock options   | 2                  | 1      | 2                | 1      |
| Restricted stock  | 3                  | 2      | 3                | 2      |
| Average diluted common shares                                     | 540                | 537    | 540              | 537    |
| Net income per average common share - diluted                     | \$0.68             | \$0.50 | \$1.31           | \$0.96 |
| Net income per average common share - basic                       | \$0.68             | \$0.51 | \$1.32           | \$0.97 |



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## NOTE 9 - INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes was \$146 million and \$91 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 28% and 25%, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the provision for income taxes was \$297 million and \$160 million, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 29% and 23%, respectively. The Company calculated the provision for income taxes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, by applying the estimated annual effective tax rate to year-to-date pre-tax income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the provision for income taxes was calculated discretely based on actual year-to-date results. Interest and penalties related to tax matters are recorded as a component of the income tax provision.

## NOTE 10 - EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The Company sponsors various short-term incentive plans and LTIs for eligible employees, which may be delivered through various incentive programs, including stock options, RSUs, restricted stock, and LTI cash. AIP is the Company's short-term cash incentive plan for key employees that provides for potential annual cash awards based on the Company's performance and/or the achievement of business unit and individual performance objectives. Awards under the LTI cash plan generally cliff vest over a period of three years from the date of the award and are paid in cash. All incentive awards are subject to clawback provisions. Compensation expense for the AIP and LTI cash plans was \$39 million and \$40 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively and \$78 million and \$77 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company's AIP plan included a higher number of eligible participants, of which some participants previously received compensation under other incentive plans.

## Stock-Based Compensation

The Company provides stock-based awards through the 2009 Stock Plan (as amended and restated effective January 1, 2011) under which the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has the authority to grant stock options, restricted stock, and RSUs to key employees of the Company. Some awards may have performance or other conditions, such as vesting tied to the Company's total shareholder return relative to a peer group or vesting tied to the achievement of an absolute financial performance target. Under the 2009 Stock Plan, approximately 21 million shares of common stock are authorized and reserved for issuance, of which no more than 17 million shares may be issued as restricted stock or stock units. At June 30, 2013, 17 million shares were available for grant, including 9 million shares available to be issued as restricted stock.

Stock options are granted at an exercise price that is no less than the fair market value of a share of SunTrust common stock on the grant date and may be either tax-qualified incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options. Stock options typically vest pro-rata over three years and generally have a maximum contractual life of ten years. Upon exercise, shares are generally issued from treasury stock. Upon exercise, the weighted average fair value of options granted during the first six months of 2013 and 2012 were \$7.37 and \$7.83 per share, respectively. The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on the following assumptions for the six months ended June 30:

|  |         |         |   |
|--|---------|---------|---|
|  | 2013    | 2012    |   |
| Dividend yield                             | 1.28    | % 0.91  | % |
| Expected stock price volatility            | 30.98   | 39.88   |   |
| Risk-free interest rate (weighted average) | 1.02    | 1.07    |   |
| Expected life of options                   | 6 years | 6 years |   |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Stock-based compensation expense recognized in noninterest expense was as follows:

| (Dollars in millions)                  | Three Months Ended June 30 |      | Six Months Ended June 30 |      |
|--|----------------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
|  | 2013                       | 2012 | 2013                     | 2012 |
| Stock-based compensation expense:      |                            |      |                          |      |
| Stock options                          | \$1                        | \$2  | \$4                      | \$6  |
| Restricted stock                       | 8                          | 8    | 15                       | 15   |
| RSUs                                   | 4                          | 4    | 13                       | 18   |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | \$13                       | \$14 | \$32                     | \$39 |

32

---

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The recognized stock-based compensation tax benefit was \$5 million and \$6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$12 million and \$15 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

## Retirement Plans

SunTrust did not contribute to either of its noncontributory qualified retirement plans ("Retirement Benefit Plans") during the first six months of 2013. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets for the Retirement Benefit Plans is 7% for 2013.

Anticipated employer contributions for 2013 are \$8 million for the SERP. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the actual contributions/benefit payments were \$1 million and \$4 million, respectively.

SunTrust contributed less than \$1 million to the Postretirement Welfare Plan during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. Additionally, SunTrust expects to receive a Medicare Part D Subsidy reimbursement for 2013 in the amount of \$3 million. The expected pre-tax long-term rate of return on plan assets for the Postretirement Welfare Plan is 5% for 2013.

Components of net periodic benefit for the three and six months ended June 30, were as follows:

| (Dollars in millions)          | Three Months Ended June 30 |                               |                  |                               |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                | 2013                       |                               | 2012             |                               |
|                                | Pension Benefits           | Other Postretirement Benefits | Pension Benefits | Other Postretirement Benefits |
| Interest cost                  | \$28                       | \$2                           | \$31             | \$1                           |
| Expected return on plan assets | (47                        | ) (2                          | ) (43            | ) (1                          |
| Recognized net actuarial loss  | 7                          | —                             | 6                | —                             |
| Net periodic benefit           | (\$12                      | ) \$—                         | (\$6             | ) \$—                         |

| (Dollars in millions)          | Six Months Ended June 30 |                               |                  |                               |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                | 2013                     |                               | 2012             |                               |
|                                | Pension Benefits         | Other Postretirement Benefits | Pension Benefits | Other Postretirement Benefits |
| Interest cost                  | \$56                     | \$3                           | \$60             | \$3                           |
| Expected return on plan assets | (93                      | ) (3                          | ) (86            | ) (3                          |
| Recognized net actuarial loss  | 13                       | —                             | 12               | —                             |
| Net periodic benefit           | (\$24                    | ) \$—                         | (\$14            | ) \$—                         |



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## NOTE 11 - DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company enters into various derivative financial instruments, both in a dealer capacity to facilitate client transactions and as an end user as a risk management tool. ALCO monitors all derivative activities. When derivatives have been entered into with clients, the Company generally manages the risk associated with these derivatives within the framework of its VAR approach that monitors total daily exposure and seeks to manage the exposure on an overall basis. Derivatives are also used as a risk management tool to hedge the Company's balance sheet exposure to changes in identified cash flow and fair value risks, either economically or in accordance with hedge accounting provisions. The Company's Corporate Treasury function is responsible for employing the various hedge accounting strategies to manage these objectives. Additionally, as a normal part of its operations, the Company enters into IRLCs on mortgage loans that are accounted for as freestanding derivatives and has certain contracts containing embedded derivatives that are carried, in their entirety, at fair value. All freestanding derivatives and any embedded derivatives that the Company bifurcates from the host contracts are carried at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in trading assets, other assets, trading liabilities, or other liabilities. The associated gains and losses are either recognized in AOCI, net of tax, or within the Consolidated Statements of Income, depending upon the use and designation of the derivatives.

## Credit and Market Risk Associated with Derivatives

Derivatives expose the Company to credit risk. The Company minimizes the credit risk of derivatives by entering into transactions with counterparties with defined exposure limits based on credit quality that are reviewed periodically by the Company's Credit Risk Management division. The Company's derivatives may also be governed by an ISDA or other master agreement, and depending on the nature of the derivative, bilateral collateral agreements are typically in place as well. When the Company has more than one outstanding derivative transaction with a single counterparty and there exists a legal right of offset with that counterparty, the Company considers its exposure to the counterparty to be the net market value of its derivative positions with that counterparty if an asset, adjusted for held collateral. At June 30, 2013, net derivative asset positions were \$1.2 billion, representing the \$2.0 billion of derivative gains adjusted for collateral of \$0.8 billion that the Company held in relation to these gain positions. At December 31, 2012, net derivative asset positions were \$1.8 billion, representing \$2.6 billion of derivative gains, adjusted for collateral of \$0.8 billion that the Company held in relation to these gain positions.

Derivatives also expose the Company to market risk. Market risk is the adverse effect that a change in market factors, such as interest rates, currency rates, equity prices, or implied volatility, has on the value of a derivative. The Company manages the market risk associated with its derivatives by establishing and monitoring limits on the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken. The Company continually measures this risk associated with its derivatives designated as trading instruments using a VAR methodology.

Derivative instruments are priced with observable market assumptions at a mid-market valuation point, with appropriate valuation adjustments for liquidity and credit risk. For purposes of valuation adjustments to its derivative positions, the Company has evaluated liquidity premiums that may be demanded by market participants, as well as the credit risk of its counterparties and its own credit. The Company has considered factors such as the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties, its net exposures, and remaining maturities in determining the appropriate fair value adjustments to recognize. Generally, the expected loss of each counterparty is estimated using the Company's internal risk rating system. The risk rating system utilizes counterparty-specific PD and LGD estimates to derive the expected loss. For counterparties that are rated by national rating agencies, those ratings are also considered in estimating the credit risk. Additionally, counterparty exposure is evaluated by offsetting positions that are subject to master netting arrangements, as well as by considering the amount of marketable collateral securing the position. All counterparties and defined exposure limits are explicitly approved. Counterparties are regularly reviewed and appropriate business action is taken to adjust the exposure to certain counterparties, as necessary. This approach is also used by the Company to estimate its own credit risk on derivative liability positions. The Company adjusted the net fair value of its derivative contracts for estimates of net counterparty credit risk by approximately \$19 million and \$29 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The majority of the Company's derivatives contain contingencies that relate to the creditworthiness of the Bank. These contingencies, which are contained in industry standard master netting agreements, may be considered events of default. Should the Bank be in default under any of these provisions, the Bank's counterparties would be permitted to close-out net at amounts that would approximate the then-fair values of the derivatives resulting in a single sum due by one party to the other. The counterparties would have the right to apply any collateral posted by the Bank against any net amount owed by the Bank. Additionally, certain of the Company's derivative liability positions, totaling \$1.0 billion in fair value at June 30, 2013 and \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2012, contain provisions conditioned on downgrades of the Bank's credit rating. These provisions, if triggered, would either give rise to an ATE that permits the counterparties to close-out net and apply collateral or, where a CSA is present, require the Bank to post additional collateral. At June 30, 2013, the Bank carried senior long-term debt ratings of A3/BBB+ from three of the major ratings agencies. At the current rating level, ATEs have been triggered for approximately \$5 million in fair value liabilities as of June 30, 2013. For illustrative purposes, if the Bank were downgraded to Baa3/BBB-,



Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

ATEs would be triggered in derivative liability contracts that had a total fair value of \$4 million at June 30, 2013; ATEs do not exist at lower ratings levels. At June 30, 2013, \$1.0 billion in fair value of derivative liabilities were subject to CSAs, against which the Bank has posted \$951 million in collateral, primarily in the form of cash. If requested by the counterparty pursuant to the terms of the CSA, the Bank would be required to post estimated additional collateral against these contracts at June 30, 2013, of \$10 million if the Bank were downgraded to Baa3/BBB-, and any further downgrades to Ba1/BB+ or below would require the posting of an additional \$2 million.

Notional and Fair Value of Derivative Positions

The following tables present the Company's derivative positions at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The notional amounts in the tables are presented on a gross basis and have been classified within Asset Derivatives or Liability Derivatives based on the estimated fair value of the individual contract at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Gross positive and gross negative fair value amounts associated with respective notional amounts are presented without consideration of any netting agreements, including collateral arrangements. For contracts constituting a combination of options that contain a written option and a purchased option (such as a collar), the notional amount of each option is presented separately, with the purchased notional amount generally being presented as an Asset Derivative and the written notional amount being presented as a Liability Derivative. For contracts that contain a combination of options, the fair value is generally presented as a single value with the purchased notional amount if the combined fair value is positive, and with the written notional amount, if the combined fair value is negative.

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

| (Dollars in millions)   | June 30, 2013 <sup>1</sup>                           |                     |               | Liability Derivatives           |                     |               |
|---|--|---------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
|   | Asset Derivatives<br>Balance Sheet<br>Classification | Notional<br>Amounts | Fair<br>Value | Balance Sheet<br>Classification | Notional<br>Amounts | Fair<br>Value |
| Derivatives designated in cash flow hedging relationships <sup>2</sup>  |  |                     |               |                                 |                     |               |
| Interest rate contracts hedging   |  |                     |               |                                 |                     |               |
| Floating rate loans   | Trading assets                                       | \$17,250            | \$555         | Trading liabilities             | \$—                 | \$—           |
| Derivatives designated in fair value hedging relationships <sup>3</sup> |  |                     |               |                                 |                     |               |
| Interest rate contracts covering:                                       |  |                     |               |                                 |                     |               |
| Fixed rate debt   | Trading assets                                       | 2,000               | 50            | Trading liabilities             | 900                 | 12            |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments <sup>4</sup>          |  |                     |               |                                 |                     |               |
| Interest rate contracts covering:                                       |  |                     |               |                                 |                     |               |
| Fixed rate debt   | Trading assets                                       | —                   | —             | Trading liabilities             | 60                  | 7             |
| MSRs  | Other assets   | 7,382               | 57            | Other liabilities               | 7,316               | 132           |
| LHFS, IRLCs <sup>5</sup>  | Other assets   | 10,764              | 294           | Other liabilities               | 6,494               | 65            |
| Trading activity <sup>6</sup>   | Trading assets                                       | 79,726              | 3,705         | Trading liabilities             | 76,436              | 3,503         |
| Foreign exchange rate contracts covering:                               |  |                     |               |                                 |                     |               |
| Commercial loans  | Trading assets                                       | —                   | —             | Trading liabilities             | 32                  | 2             |
| Trading activity  | Trading assets                                       | 2,381               | 58            | Trading liabilities             | 2,055               | 53            |
| Credit contracts covering:  |  |                     |               |                                 |                     |               |
| Loans   | Other assets   | —                   | —             | Other liabilities               | 479                 | 7             |
| Trading activity <sup>7</sup>   | Trading assets                                       | 1,839               | 32            | Trading liabilities             | 1,918               | 28            |
| Equity contracts - Trading activity <sup>6</sup>                        | Trading assets                                       | 17,781              | 1,832         | Trading liabilities             | 25,107              | 2,039         |
| Other contracts:  |  |                     |               |                                 |                     |               |
| IRLCs and other <sup>8</sup>  | Other assets   | 2,151               | 23            | Other liabilities               | 3,860               | 75            |
| Commodities   | Trading assets                                       | 203                 | 15            | Trading liabilities             | 203                 | 15            |
| Total   |  | 122,227             | 6,016         |                                 | 123,960             | 5,926         |
| Total derivatives   |  | \$141,477           | \$6,621       |                                 | \$124,860           | \$5,938       |

<sup>1</sup> The Company offsets cash collateral paid to and received from derivative counterparties when the derivative contracts are subject to master netting arrangements and meet the derivative offsetting requirements. The effects of offsetting on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2013, are presented in Note 13, "Fair Value Election and Measurement." In some situations, trading derivatives are offset with derivatives used for risk management purposes that are recorded in other assets or other liabilities. As a result, the Company may reclass balances between trading assets or liabilities and other assets or other liabilities based on the predominant account.

<sup>2</sup> See "Cash Flow Hedges" in this Note for further discussion.

<sup>3</sup> See "Fair Value Hedges" in this Note for further discussion.

<sup>4</sup> See "Economic Hedging and Trading Activities" in this Note for further discussion.

<sup>5</sup> Amount includes \$2.3 billion of notional amounts related to interest rate futures. These futures contracts settle in cash daily, one day in arrears. The derivative asset or liability associated with the one day lag is included in the fair value column of this table.

<sup>6</sup> Amounts include \$15.3 billion and \$0.2 billion of notional related to interest rate futures and equity futures, respectively. These futures contracts settle in cash daily, one day in arrears. The derivative assets/liabilities associated with the one day lag are included in the fair value column of this table.

<sup>7</sup> Asset and liability amounts each include \$3 million of notional from purchased and written credit risk participation agreements, respectively, whose notional is calculated as the notional of the derivative participated adjusted by the relevant RWA conversion factor.

<sup>8</sup> Includes a notional amount that is based on the number of Visa Class B shares, 3.2 million, the conversion ratio from Class B shares to Class A shares, and the Class A share price at the derivative inception date of May 28, 2009. This derivative was established upon the sale of Class B shares in the second quarter of 2009 as discussed in Note 12, "Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees." The fair value of the derivative liability, which relates to a notional amount of \$134 million, is immaterial and is recognized in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

| (Dollars in millions)   | December 31, 2012 <sup>1</sup> |                  |            | December 31, 2012 <sup>1</sup> |                  |            |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|------------|--------------------------------|------------------|------------|
|   | Asset Derivatives              |                  |            | Liability Derivatives          |                  |            |
|   | Balance Sheet Classification   | Notional Amounts | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Classification   | Notional Amounts | Fair Value |
| Derivatives designated in cash flow hedging relationships <sup>2</sup>  |                                |                  |            |                                |                  |            |
| Interest rate contracts hedging:  |                                |                  |            |                                |                  |            |
| Floating rate loans   | Trading assets                 | \$17,350         | \$771      | Trading liabilities            | \$—              | \$—        |
| Derivatives designated in fair value hedging relationships <sup>3</sup> |                                |                  |            |                                |                  |            |
| Interest rate contracts covering:                                       |                                |                  |            |                                |                  |            |
| Fixed rate debt   | Trading assets                 | 1,000            | 61         | Trading liabilities            | —                | —          |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments <sup>4</sup>          |                                |                  |            |                                |                  |            |
| Interest rate contracts covering:                                       |                                |                  |            |                                |                  |            |
| Fixed rate debt   | Trading assets                 | —                | —          | Trading liabilities            | 60               | 10         |
| MSRs  | Other assets                   | 6,185            | 150        | Trading/Other liabilities      | 12,643           | 33         |
| LHFS, IRLCs, LHFI-FV <sup>5</sup>                                       | Other assets                   | 2,333            | 6          | Other liabilities              | 7,076            | 15         |
| Trading activity <sup>6</sup>   | Trading assets                 | 81,930           | 6,044      | Trading liabilities            | 86,037           | 5,777      |
| Foreign exchange rate contracts covering:                               |                                |                  |            |                                |                  |            |
| Foreign-denominated debt and commercial loans                           | Trading assets                 | —                | —          | Trading liabilities            | 34               | —          |
| Trading activity  | Trading assets                 | 2,451            | 66         | Trading liabilities            | 2,326            | 63         |
| Credit contracts covering:  |                                |                  |            |                                |                  |            |
| Loans   | Trading/Other assets           | —                | —          | Other liabilities              | 445              | 8          |
| Trading activity <sup>7</sup>   | Trading assets                 | 1,958            | 55         | Trading liabilities            | 2,081            | 49         |
| Equity contracts - Trading activity <sup>6</sup>                        | Trading assets                 | 15,748           | 1,342      | Trading liabilities            | 22,184           | 1,529      |
| Other contracts:  |                                |                  |            |                                |                  |            |
| IRLCs and other <sup>8</sup>  | Trading/Other assets           | 6,783            | 132        | Other liabilities              | 142              | 1          |
| Commodities   | Trading assets                 | 255              | 29         | Trading liabilities            | 255              | 29         |
| Total   |                                | 117,643          | 7,824      |                                | 133,283          | 7,514      |
| Total derivatives   |                                | \$135,993        | \$8,656    |                                | \$133,283        | \$7,514    |

<sup>1</sup> The Company offsets cash collateral paid to and received from derivative counterparties when the derivative contracts are subject to master netting arrangements and meet the derivative offsetting requirements. The effects of offsetting on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012, are presented in Note 13, "Fair Value Election and Measurement."

<sup>2</sup> See "Cash Flow Hedges" in this Note for further discussion.

<sup>3</sup> See "Fair Value Hedges" in this Note for further discussion.

<sup>4</sup> See "Economic Hedging and Trading Activities" in this Note for further discussion.

<sup>5</sup> Amount includes \$1.7 billion of notional amounts related to interest rate futures. These futures contracts settle in cash daily, one day in arrears. The derivative liability associated with the one day lag is included in the fair value column of this table.

<sup>6</sup> Amounts include \$16.2 billion and \$0.8 billion of notional related to interest rate futures and equity futures, respectively. These futures contracts settle in cash daily, one day in arrears. The derivative asset associated with the

one day lag is included in the fair value column of this table.

<sup>7</sup> Asset and liability amounts each include \$3 million of notional from purchased and written interest rate swap risk participation agreements, respectively, whose notional is calculated as the notional of the interest rate swap participated adjusted by the relevant RWA conversion factor.

<sup>8</sup> Includes a notional amount that is based on the number of Visa Class B shares, 3.2 million, the conversion ratio from Class B shares to Class A shares, and the Class A share price at the derivative inception date of May 28, 2009. This derivative was established upon the sale of Class B shares in the second quarter of 2009 as discussed in Note 12, "Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees." The fair value of the derivative liability, which relates to a notional amount of \$134 million, is immaterial and is recognized in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

#### Impact of Derivatives on the Consolidated Statements of Income and Shareholders' Equity

The impacts of derivatives on the Consolidated Statements of Income and the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, are presented below. The impacts are segregated between those derivatives that are designated in hedging relationships and those that are used for economic hedging or trading purposes, with further identification of the underlying risks in the derivatives and the hedged items, where appropriate. The tables do not disclose the financial impact of the activities that these derivative instruments are intended to hedge.

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

| (Dollars in millions)  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013  |  |   | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013  |   |  |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
|  | Amount of pre-tax loss recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | Classification of gain/(loss) reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of pre-tax gain reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of pre-tax loss recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | Amount of pre-tax gain reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) |  |
| Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships:                  |   |  |   |   |   |  |
| Interest rate contracts hedging forecasted debt                  | (\$2 )  | Interest on long-term debt   | \$—   | (\$2 )  | \$—   |  |
| Interest rate contracts hedging floating rate loans <sup>1</sup> | (43 )   | Interest and fees on loans   | 79  | (43 )   | 166   |  |
| Total  | (\$45 )   |  | \$79  | (\$45 )   | \$166   |  |

<sup>1</sup> During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company also reclassified \$21 million and \$48 million, respectively, in pre-tax gains from AOCI into net interest income. These gains related to hedging relationships that have been previously terminated or de-designated and are reclassified into earnings in the same period in which the forecasted transaction occurs.

| (Dollars in millions)  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013                   |   |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013                     |   |   |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|
|  | Amount of loss on Derivatives recognized in Income | Amount of gain on related Hedged Items recognized in Income | Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Hedges (Ineffective Portion) | Amount of loss on Derivatives recognized in Income | Amount of gain on related Hedged Items recognized in Income | Amount of gain recognized in Income on Hedges (Ineffective Portion) |
| Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships:             |  |   |  |  |   |   |
| Interest rate contracts hedging fixed rate debt <sup>1</sup> | (\$18 )  | \$18  | \$—  | (\$23 )  | \$24  | \$1   |

<sup>1</sup> Amounts are recognized in trading income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

| (Dollars in millions)                              | Classification of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Derivatives | Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income              | Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income            |
|--|---|---|---|
|  |   | on Derivatives for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | on Derivatives for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: |   |   |   |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|   |                                    |       |       |   |
|---|------------------------------------|-------|-------|---|
| Interest rate contracts covering:         |                                    |       |       |   |
| Fixed rate debt                           | Trading income                     | \$2   | \$2   |   |
| MSRs                                      | Mortgage servicing related income  | (158) | (214) | ) |
| LHFS, IRLCs                               | Mortgage production related income | 256   | 291   |   |
| Trading activity                          | Trading income                     | 18    | 26    |   |
| Foreign exchange rate contracts covering: |                                    |       |       |   |
| Commercial loans                          | Trading income                     | (3)   | (1)   | ) |
| Trading activity                          | Trading income                     | 14    | 26    |   |
| Credit contracts covering:                |                                    |       |       |   |
| Loans                                     | Other income                       | (1)   | (2)   | ) |
| Trading activity                          | Trading income                     | 5     | 10    |   |
| Equity contracts - trading activity       | Trading income                     | (16)  | (15)  | ) |
| Other contracts:                          |                                    |       |       |   |
| IRLCs                                     | Mortgage production related income | (75)  | 27    | ) |
| Total                                     |                                    | \$42  | \$150 |   |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

| (Dollars in millions)  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012   |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|
|  | Amount of pre-tax gain/(loss) recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | Classification of gain/(loss) reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of pre-tax gain reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of pre-tax gain/(loss) reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) |
| Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships:                  |  |  |   |  |
| Equity contracts hedging Securities AFS                          | (\$103 )   |  | \$—   | (\$161 ) \$—   |
| Interest rate contracts hedging Floating rate loans <sup>1</sup> | 117  | Interest and fees on loans   | 83  | 167 166  |
| Total  | \$14   |  | \$83  | \$6 \$166  |

<sup>1</sup> During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company also reclassified \$37 million and \$105 million, respectively, in pre-tax gains from AOCI into net interest income. These gains related to hedging relationships that have been previously terminated or de-designated and are reclassified into earnings in the same period in which the forecasted transaction occurs.

| (Dollars in millions)  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012                   |   |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012                     |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|
|  | Amount of gain on Derivatives recognized in Income | Amount of loss on related Hedged Items recognized in Income | Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Hedges (Ineffective Portion) | Amount of gain on Derivatives recognized in Income | Amount of loss on related Hedged Items recognized in Income | Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Hedges (Ineffective Portion) |
| Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships <sup>1</sup> : |  |   |  |  |   |  |
| Interest rate contracts hedging Fixed rate debt                | \$8  | (\$8 )  | \$—  | \$7  | (\$7 )  | \$—  |
| Interest rate contracts hedging Securities AFS                 | —  | —   | —  | 1  | (1 )  | —  |
| Total  | \$8  | (\$8 )  | \$—  | \$8  | (\$8 )  | \$—  |

<sup>1</sup> Amounts are recognized in trading income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

| (Dollars in millions) | Classification of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Derivatives | Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Derivatives for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Derivatives for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
|                       |   | Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:   |  |

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:



Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|   |                                    |       |   |       |
|---|------------------------------------|-------|---|-------|
| Interest rate contracts covering:             |                                    |       |   |       |
| Fixed rate debt                               | Trading income                     | (\$2  | ) | (\$1  |
| MSRs  | Mortgage servicing related income  | 269   |   | \$196 |
| LHFS, IRLCs, LHFI-FV                          | Mortgage production related income | (135  | ) | (170  |
| Trading activity                              | Trading income                     | 27    |   | 54    |
| Foreign exchange rate contracts covering:     |                                    |       |   |       |
| Commercial loans and foreign-denominated debt | Trading income                     | 115   |   | 130   |
| Trading activity                              | Trading income                     | 11    |   | 14    |
| Credit contracts covering:                    |                                    |       |   |       |
| Loans <sup>1</sup>                            | Other income                       | (1    | ) | (4    |
| Trading activity                              | Trading income                     | 6     |   | 12    |
| Equity contracts - trading activity           | Trading income                     | 10    |   | 13    |
| Other contracts:                              |                                    |       |   |       |
| IRLCs   | Mortgage production related income | 257   |   | 442   |
| Total   |                                    | \$557 |   | \$686 |

<sup>1</sup>For the six months ended June 30, 2012, losses of \$3 million were recorded in trading income.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## Netting of Derivatives

The Company has various financial assets and financial liabilities that are subject to enforceable master netting agreements or similar agreements. The Company's securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell and securities sold under agreements to repurchase that are subject to enforceable master netting agreements or similar agreements are discussed in Note 2, "Federal Funds Sold and Securities Borrowed or Purchased Under Agreements to Resell." The Company enters into ISDA or other legally enforceable industry standard master netting arrangements with derivative counterparties. Under the terms of the master netting arrangements, all transactions between the Company and the counterparty constitute a single business relationship such that in the event of default, the nondefaulting party is entitled to set off claims and apply property held by that party in respect of any transaction against obligations owed. Any payments, deliveries, or other transfers may be applied against each other and netted. The table below shows total gross derivative assets and liabilities which are adjusted on an aggregate basis, where applicable to take into consideration the effects of legally enforceable master netting agreements, including any cash collateral received or paid, for the net reported amount in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Also included in the table is financial instrument collateral related to legally enforceable master netting agreements that represents securities collateral received or pledged and customer cash collateral held at third-party custodians. These amounts are not offset on the Consolidated Balance Sheets but are shown as a reduction to total derivative assets and liabilities in the table to derive net derivative assets and liabilities. These amounts are limited to the derivative asset/liability balance, and accordingly, do not include excess collateral received/pledged.

The following tables present the Company's gross derivative financial assets and liabilities at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and the related impact of enforceable master netting arrangements, where applicable:

| (Dollars in millions)  | Gross Amount | Amount Offset | Net Amount Presented in Consolidated Balance Sheets | Held/Pledged Financial Instruments | Net Amount |
|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------------------------|------------|
| June 30, 2013  |              |               |   |                                    |            |
| Derivative financial assets:   |              |               |   |                                    |            |
| Derivatives subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement     | \$6,015      | \$4,722       | \$1,293   | \$68                               | \$1,225    |
| Derivatives not subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement | 23           | —             | 23  | —                                  | 23         |
| Exchange traded derivatives  | 583          | 351           | 232   | —                                  | 232        |
| Total derivative financial assets  | \$6,621      | \$5,073       | \$1,548   | <sup>1</sup> \$68                  | \$1,480    |
| Derivative financial liabilities:  |              |               |   |                                    |            |
| Derivatives subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement     | \$5,350      | \$4,992       | \$358   | \$20                               | \$338      |
| Derivatives not subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement | 235          | —             | 235   | —                                  | 235        |
| Exchange traded derivatives  | 353          | 351           | 2   | —                                  | 2          |
| Total derivative financial liabilities                                       | \$5,938      | \$5,343       | \$595   | <sup>2</sup> \$20                  | \$575      |
| (Dollars in millions)  |              |               |   |                                    |            |
| December 31, 2012  |              |               |   |                                    |            |
| Derivative financial assets:   |              |               |   |                                    |            |
| Derivatives subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement     | \$8,041      | \$6,273       | \$1,768   | \$94                               | \$1,674    |
| Derivatives not subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement | 132          | —             | 132   | —                                  | 132        |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |         |         |         |                   |         |
|--|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| Exchange traded derivatives  | 483     | 300     | 183     | —                 | 183     |
| Total derivative financial assets  | \$8,656 | \$6,573 | \$2,083 | <sup>1</sup> \$94 | \$1,989 |
| Derivative financial liabilities:  |         |         |         |                   |         |
| Derivatives subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement     | \$7,051 | \$6,802 | \$249   | \$37              | \$212   |
| Derivatives not subject to master netting arrangement or similar arrangement | 163     | —       | 163     | —                 | 163     |
| Exchange traded derivatives  | 300     | 300     | —       | —                 | —       |
| Total derivative financial liabilities                                       | \$7,514 | \$7,102 | \$412   | <sup>2</sup> \$37 | \$375   |

<sup>1</sup> At June 30, 2013, \$1.4 billion, net of \$530 million offsetting collateral, is recognized in trading assets and \$101 million, net of \$151 million offsetting collateral, is recognized in other assets within the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. At December 31, 2012, \$1.9 billion, net of \$730 million offsetting collateral, is recognized in trading assets and \$178 million is recognized in other assets within the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

<sup>2</sup> At June 30, 2013, \$460 million, net of \$1.0 billion offsetting collateral, is recognized in trading liabilities and \$135 million is recognized in other liabilities within the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. At December 31, 2012, \$397 million, net of \$1.3 billion offsetting collateral, is recognized in trading liabilities and \$15 million is recognized in other liabilities within the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## Credit Derivatives

As part of its trading businesses, the Company enters into contracts that are, in form or substance, written guarantees: specifically, CDS, swap participations, and TRS. The Company accounts for these contracts as derivatives and, accordingly, recognizes these contracts at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in trading income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The Company writes CDS, which are agreements under which the Company receives premium payments from its counterparty for protection against an event of default of a reference asset. In the event of default under the CDS, the Company would either net cash settle or make a cash payment to its counterparty and take delivery of the defaulted reference asset, from which the Company may recover all, a portion, or none of the credit loss, depending on the performance of the reference asset. Events of default, as defined in the CDS agreements, are generally triggered upon the failure to pay and similar events related to the issuer(s) of the reference asset. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, all written CDS contracts reference single name corporate credits or corporate credit indices. When the Company has written CDS, it has generally entered into offsetting CDS for the underlying reference asset, under which the Company paid a premium to its counterparty for protection against an event of default on the reference asset. The counterparties to these purchased CDS are generally of high creditworthiness and typically have ISDA master netting agreements in place that subject the CDS to master netting provisions, thereby, mitigating the risk of non-payment to the Company. As such, at June 30, 2013 the Company did not have any significant risk of making a non-recoverable payment on any written CDS. During 2013 and 2012, the only instances of default on written CDS were driven by credit indices with constituent credit default. In all cases where the Company made resulting cash payments to settle, the Company collected like amounts from the counterparties to the offsetting purchased CDS. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the written CDS had remaining terms ranging from less than one year to two years. The maximum guarantees outstanding at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, as measured by the gross notional amounts of written CDS, were \$52 million. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the gross notional amounts of purchased CDS contracts, which represent benefits to, rather than obligations of, the Company, were \$130 million and \$175 million, respectively. The fair values of written CDS were \$1 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and the fair values of purchased CDS were \$1 million and less than \$1 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The Company has also entered into TRS contracts on loans. The Company's TRS business consists of matched trades, such that when the Company pays depreciation on one TRS, it receives the same amount on the matched TRS. To mitigate its credit risk, the Company typically receives initial cash collateral from the counterparty upon entering into the TRS and is entitled to additional collateral if the fair value of the underlying reference assets deteriorates. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were \$1.8 billion and \$1.9 billion of outstanding and offsetting TRS notional balances, respectively. The fair values of the TRS derivative assets and liabilities at June 30, 2013, were \$30 million and \$26 million, respectively, and related collateral held at June 30, 2013, was \$276 million. The fair values of the TRS derivative assets and liabilities at December 31, 2012, were \$51 million and \$46 million, respectively, and related collateral held at December 31, 2012, was \$282 million.

The Company writes risk participations, which are credit derivatives, whereby the Company has guaranteed payment to a dealer counterparty in the event that the counterparty experiences a loss on a derivative, such as an interest rate swap, due to a failure to pay by the counterparty's customer (the "obligor") on that derivative. The Company monitors its payment risk on its risk participations by monitoring the creditworthiness of the obligors, which is based on the normal credit review process the Company would have performed had it entered into the derivatives directly with the obligors. The obligors are all corporations or partnerships. However, the Company continues to monitor the creditworthiness of its obligors and the likelihood of payment could change at any time due to unforeseen circumstances. To date, no material losses have been incurred related to the Company's written risk participations. At June 30, 2013, the remaining terms on these risk participations generally ranged from less than one year to thirteen years with a weighted average on the maximum estimated exposure of 7.3 years. The Company's maximum estimated exposure to written risk participations, as measured by projecting a maximum value of the guaranteed derivative

instruments based on interest rate curve simulations and assuming 100% default by all obligors on the maximum values, was approximately \$25 million and \$20 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The fair values of the written risk participations were less than \$1 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. As part of its trading activities, the Company may enter into purchased risk participations to mitigate credit exposure to a derivative counterparty.

#### Cash Flow Hedges

The Company utilizes a comprehensive risk management strategy to monitor sensitivity of earnings to movements in interest rates. Specific types of funding and principal amounts hedged are determined based on prevailing market conditions and the shape of the yield curve. In conjunction with this strategy, the Company may employ various interest rate derivatives as risk management tools to hedge interest rate risk from recognized assets and liabilities or from forecasted transactions. The terms and notional amounts of derivatives are determined based on management's assessment of future interest rates, as well as other factors.

Interest rate swaps have been designated as hedging the exposure to the benchmark interest rate risk associated with floating rate loans. At June 30, 2013, the range of hedge maturities for hedges of floating rate loans was between one year and five

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

years, with the weighted average being 2.6 years. Ineffectiveness on these hedges was less than \$1 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. At June 30, 2013, \$396 million of the deferred net gains on derivatives that are recognized in AOCI are expected to be reclassified to net interest income over the next twelve months in connection with the recognition of interest income on these hedged items. The amount to be reclassified into income includes both active and terminated or de-designated cash flow hedges. The Company may choose to terminate or de-designate a hedging relationship in this program due to a change in the risk management objective for that specific hedge item, which may arise in conjunction with an overall balance sheet management strategy. The Company also designated interest rate swaps to hedge exposure to changes in probable interest payments attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate associated with a forecasted issuance of fixed rate debt.

#### Fair Value Hedges

The Company enters into interest rate swap agreements as part of the Company's risk management objectives for hedging its exposure to changes in fair value due to changes in interest rates. These hedging arrangements convert Company-issued fixed rate long-term debt to floating rates. Consistent with this objective, the Company reflects the accrued contractual interest on the hedged item and the related swaps as part of current period interest. There were no components of derivative gains or losses excluded in the Company's assessment of hedge effectiveness related to the fair value hedges.

#### Economic Hedging and Trading Activities

In addition to designated hedging relationships, the Company also enters into derivatives as an end user as a risk management tool to economically hedge risks associated with certain non-derivative and derivative instruments, along with entering into derivatives in a trading capacity with its clients.

The primary risks that the Company economically hedges are interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk, and credit risk. Economic hedging objectives are accomplished by entering into offsetting derivatives either on an individual basis or collectively on a macro basis and generally accomplish the Company's goal of mitigating the targeted risk. To the extent that specific derivatives are associated with specific hedged items, the notional amounts, fair values, and gains/(losses) on the derivatives are illustrated in the tables in this footnote.

¶The Company utilizes interest rate derivatives to mitigate exposures from various instruments.

The Company is subject to interest rate risk on its fixed rate debt. As market interest rates move, the fair value of the Company's debt is affected. To protect against this risk on certain debt issuances that the Company has elected to carry at fair value, the Company has entered into pay variable-receive fixed interest rate swaps that decrease in value in a rising rate environment and increase in value in a declining rate environment.

The Company is exposed to risk on the returns of certain of its brokered deposits that are carried at fair value. To hedge against this risk, the Company has entered into interest rate derivatives that mirror the risk profile of the returns on these instruments.

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk associated with MSRs, which the Company hedges with a combination of mortgage and interest rate derivatives, including forward and option contracts, futures, and forward rate agreements.

The Company enters into mortgage and interest rate derivatives, including forward contracts, futures, and option contracts to mitigate interest rate risk associated with IRLCs and mortgage LHFS.

¶The Company is exposed to foreign exchange rate risk associated with certain commercial loans.

The Company enters into CDS to hedge credit risk associated with certain loans held within its Wholesale Banking segment. The Company accounts for these contracts as derivatives and, accordingly, recognizes these contracts at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in other income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

¶Trading activity, as illustrated in the tables within this footnote, primarily includes interest rate swaps, equity derivatives, CDS, futures, options, and foreign currency contracts. These derivatives are entered into in a dealer capacity to facilitate client transactions or are utilized as a risk management tool by the Company as an end user in

certain macro-hedging strategies. The macro-hedging strategies are focused on managing the Company's overall interest rate risk exposure that is not otherwise hedged by derivatives or in connection with specific hedges and, therefore, the Company does not specifically associate individual derivatives with specific assets or liabilities.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## NOTE 12 – REINSURANCE ARRANGEMENTS AND GUARANTEES

## Reinsurance

The Company provides mortgage reinsurance on certain mortgage loans through contracts with several primary mortgage insurance companies. Under these contracts, the Company provides aggregate excess loss coverage in a mezzanine layer in exchange for a portion of the pool's mortgage insurance premium. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, approximately \$0.8 billion and \$5.2 billion, respectively, of mortgage loans were covered by such mortgage reinsurance contracts. No new mortgage loans have been reinsured since January 1, 2009 and the Company has entered into commutation agreements with various mortgage insurers to commute and terminate reinsurance agreements and trust agreements. The Company's maximum exposure to losses is limited by reinsurance contracts which define the loss amounts ceded to the Company as well as by establishing trust accounts for each contract. The trust accounts, which are comprised of funds contributed by the Company plus premiums earned under the reinsurance contracts, are maintained to fund claims made under the reinsurance contracts. If claims exceed funds held in the trust accounts, the Company does not intend to make additional contributions beyond future premiums earned under the existing contracts.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the total loss exposure ceded to the Company was approximately \$34 million and \$179 million, respectively. The maximum amount of loss exposure based on funds held in each separate trust account, including net premiums due to the trust accounts, was limited to \$4 million at June 30, 2013 and \$6 million at December 31, 2012. Of these amounts, \$1 million and \$3 million of losses have been reserved for at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, reducing the Company's net remaining loss exposure to \$3 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The Company's evaluation of the required reserve amount includes an estimate of claims to be paid by the trust in relation to loans in default and an assessment of the sufficiency of future revenues, including premiums and investment income on funds held in the trusts, to cover future claims. Future reported losses may exceed \$3 million since future premium income will increase the amount of funds held in the trust; however, future cash losses, net of premium income, are not expected to exceed \$3 million. The amount of future premium income is limited to the population of loans currently outstanding since additional loans are not being added to the reinsurance contracts and future premium income could be further curtailed to the extent the Company agrees to relinquish control of other individual trusts to the mortgage insurance companies. Premium income, which totaled less than \$1 million and \$3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$1 million and \$8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, is reported as part of other noninterest income. The related provision for losses, which totaled less than \$1 million and \$3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$1 million and \$9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, is reported as part of other noninterest expense.

## Guarantees

The Company has undertaken certain guarantee obligations in the ordinary course of business. The issuance of a guarantee imposes an obligation for the Company to stand ready to perform and make future payments should certain triggering events occur. Payments may be in the form of cash, financial instruments, other assets, shares of stock, or provisions of the Company's services. The following discussion appends and updates certain guarantees disclosed in Note 17, "Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company has also entered into certain contracts that are similar to guarantees, but that are accounted for as derivatives as discussed in Note 11, "Derivative Financial Instruments."

## Letters of Credit

Letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company, generally to guarantee the performance of a client to a third party in borrowing arrangements, such as CP, bond financing, and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to clients and may be reduced by selling participations to third parties. The Company issues letters of credit that are classified as



financial standby, performance standby, or commercial letters of credit.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the maximum potential amount of the Company's obligation was \$3.6 billion and \$4.0 billion, respectively, for financial and performance standby letters of credit. The Company's outstanding letters of credit generally have a term of less than one year but may extend longer. If a letter of credit is drawn upon, the Company may seek recourse through the client's underlying obligation. If the client's line of credit is also in default, the Company may take possession of the collateral securing the line of credit, where applicable. The Company monitors its credit exposure under standby letters of credit in the same manner as it monitors other extensions of credit in accordance with its credit policies. Some standby letters of credit are designed to be drawn upon and others are drawn upon only under circumstances of dispute or default in the underlying transaction to which the Company is not a party. In all cases, the Company holds the right to reimbursement from the applicant and may or may not also hold collateral to secure that right. An internal assessment of the PD and loss severity in the event of default is performed consistent with the methodologies used for all commercial borrowers. The management of credit risk regarding letters of credit leverages the risk rating process to focus higher visibility on the

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

higher risk and/or higher dollar letters of credit. The associated reserve is a component of the unfunded commitments reserve recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and included in the allowance for credit losses as disclosed in Note 5, "Allowance for Credit Losses." Additionally, unearned fees relating to letters of credit are recorded in other liabilities. The net carrying amount of unearned fees was immaterial at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

## Loan Sales

STM, a consolidated subsidiary of SunTrust, originates and purchases residential mortgage loans, a portion of which are sold to outside investors in the normal course of business, through a combination of whole loan sales to GSEs, Ginnie Mae, and non-agency investors. Prior to 2008, the Company also sold loans through a limited number of Company sponsored securitizations. When mortgage loans are sold, representations and warranties regarding certain attributes of the loans sold are made to these third party purchasers. Subsequent to the sale, if a material underwriting deficiency or documentation defect is discovered, STM may be obligated to repurchase the mortgage loan or to reimburse the investor for losses incurred (make whole requests) if such deficiency or defect cannot be cured by STM within the specified period following discovery. Defects in the securitization process or breaches of underwriting and servicing representations and warranties can result in loan repurchases, as well as adversely affect the valuation of MSR, servicing advances, or other mortgage loan-related exposures, such as OREO. These representations and warranties may extend through the life of the mortgage loan. STM's risk of loss under its representations and warranties is largely driven by borrower payment performance since investors will perform extensive reviews of delinquent loans as a means of mitigating losses.

Loan repurchase requests generally arise from loans sold during the period from January 1, 2005 to June 30, 2013, which totaled \$285.0 billion at the time of sale, consisting of \$221.7 billion and \$30.3 billion of agency and non-agency loans, respectively, as well as \$33.0 billion of loans sold to Ginnie Mae. The composition of the remaining outstanding balance by vintage and type of buyer at June 30, 2013, is shown in the following table:

| Remaining Outstanding Balance by Year of Sale |       |       |       |       |        |        |        |        |        |         |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| (Dollars in billions)                         | 2005  | 2006  | 2007  | 2008  | 2009   | 2010   | 2011   | 2012   | 2013   | Total   |
| GSE <sup>1</sup>                              | \$2.4 | \$2.8 | \$5.4 | \$5.0 | \$12.6 | \$8.3  | \$9.0  | \$18.6 | \$12.7 | \$76.8  |
| Ginnie Mae <sup>1</sup>                       | 0.5   | 0.3   | 0.3   | 1.5   | 3.5    | 2.7    | 2.2    | 4.1    | 2.1    | 17.2    |
| Non-agency                                    | 3.4   | 5.0   | 3.4   | —     | —      | —      | —      | —      | —      | 11.8    |
| Total   | \$6.3 | \$8.1 | \$9.1 | \$6.5 | \$16.1 | \$11.0 | \$11.2 | \$22.7 | \$14.8 | \$105.8 |

<sup>1</sup> Balances based on loans currently serviced by the Company and excludes loans serviced by others and certain loans in foreclosure.

Non-agency loan sales include whole loans and loans sold in private securitization transactions. While representations and warranties have been made related to these sales, they can differ in many cases from those made in connection with loans sold to the GSEs in that non-agency loans may not be required to meet the same underwriting standards and non-agency investors may be required to demonstrate that the alleged breach was material and caused the investors' loss. Loans sold to Ginnie Mae are insured by either the FHA or VA. As servicer, we may elect to repurchase delinquent loans in accordance with Ginnie Mae guidelines; however, the loans continue to be insured. Although we indemnify the FHA and VA for losses related to loans not originated in accordance with their guidelines, such occurrences have historically been limited and the repurchase liability for loans sold to Ginnie Mae is immaterial. Refer to Note 14, "Contingencies," for additional information on the HUD investigation regarding origination practices for FHA loans.

Although the timing and volume has varied, repurchase and make whole requests have increased over the past several years. Repurchase requests from GSEs, Ginnie Mae, and non-agency investors, for all vintages, were \$929 million

during the six months ended June 30, 2013, and \$1.7 billion, \$1.7 billion, and \$1.1 billion during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, and on a cumulative basis since 2005 totaled \$8.0 billion. The majority of these requests are from GSEs, with a limited number of requests from non-agency investors. Repurchase requests from non-agency investors were \$9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, and \$22 million, \$50 million, and \$55 million, during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Additionally, loans originated during 2006 - 2008 have consistently comprised the vast majority of total repurchase requests during the past three years.

The repurchase and make whole requests received have been primarily due to alleged material breaches of representations related to compliance with the applicable underwriting standards, including borrower misrepresentation and appraisal issues. STM performs a loan by loan review of all requests and contests demands to the extent they are not considered valid.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

At June 30, 2013, the unpaid principal balance of loans related to unresolved requests previously received from investors was \$419 million, comprised of \$412 million from the GSEs and \$7 million from non-agency investors. Comparable amounts at December 31, 2012, were \$655 million, comprised of \$639 million from the GSEs and \$16 million from non-agency investors.

A significant degree of judgment is used to estimate the mortgage repurchase liability as the estimation process is inherently uncertain and subject to imprecision. During the third quarter of 2012, the Company received incremental information from the GSEs that, coupled with the Company's experience related to full file requests and repurchase demands, enhanced the Company's ability to estimate inherent losses attributable to the remaining expected demands on currently delinquent loans sold to the GSEs prior to 2009. As a result, the Company substantially increased the reserve during the third quarter of 2012. Full file requests have increased and pending demands have declined during 2013, both of which we believe is an acceleration in timing of the expected cumulative requests, and is reflective of the GSEs publicly disclosed objectives to complete repurchase demands of pre-2009 vintage loan sales by the end of 2013. The Company believes its reserve appropriately estimates incurred losses based on its current analysis and assumptions, including GSE repurchase request criteria. However, the Company is aware of other institutions' disclosures of settlement of legacy repurchase exposures. In the event the Company were to evaluate specific settlement terms, it would consider all factors, including the benefits of eliminating future losses not currently captured in the reserve and reducing current operating expenses versus the potential loss, if any, of exceeding the current reserve estimate relating to the specific exposures that would be the subject of any such settlement. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company's estimate of the liability for incurred losses related to all vintages of mortgage loans sold totaled \$363 million and \$632 million, respectively. The liability is recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the related repurchase provision is recognized in mortgage production related income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company's reserve for mortgage loan repurchases:

| (Dollars in millions)          | Three Months Ended June 30 |        | Six Months Ended June 30 |        |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|
|                                | 2013                       | 2012   | 2013                     | 2012   |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$513                      | \$383  | \$632                    | 320    |
| Repurchase provision           | 15                         | 155    | 29                       | 330    |
| Charge-offs                    | (165                       | ) (104 | ) (298                   | ) (216 |
| Balance at end of period       | \$363                      | \$434  | \$363                    | 434    |

During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company repurchased or otherwise settled mortgages with original loan balances of \$571 million and \$368 million, respectively, related to investor demands. At June 30, 2013, the carrying value of outstanding repurchased mortgage loans, net of any allowance for loan losses, was \$358 million, comprised of \$314 million LHFI and \$44 million LHFS, respectively, of which \$50 million LHFI and \$44 million LHFS, were nonperforming. At December 31, 2012, the carrying value of outstanding repurchased mortgage loans, net of any allowance for loan losses, was \$240 million, comprised of \$209 million LHFI and \$31 million LHFS, respectively, of which \$70 million LHFI and \$31 million LHFS, were nonperforming.

The Company normally retains servicing rights when loans are transferred. As servicer, the Company makes representations and warranties that it will service the loans in accordance with investor servicing guidelines and standards which include (i) collection and remittance of principal and interest, (ii) administration of escrow for taxes and insurance, (iii) advancing principal, interest, taxes, insurance, and collection expenses on delinquent accounts, (iv) loss mitigation strategies including loan modifications, and (v) foreclosures. The Company recognizes a liability for contingent losses when MSR's are sold, which totaled \$12 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Contingent Consideration

The Company has contingent payment obligations related to certain business combination transactions. Payments are calculated using certain post-acquisition performance criteria. The potential obligation and amount recorded as a liability representing the fair value of the contingent payments was \$29 million and \$30 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. If required, these contingent payments will be payable within the next three years.

Visa

The Company issues credit and debit transactions through Visa and MasterCard International. The Company is a defendant, along with Visa and MasterCard International (the “Card Associations”), as well as several other banks, in one of several antitrust lawsuits challenging the practices of the Card Associations (the “Litigation”). The Company entered into judgment and loss sharing agreements with Visa and certain other banks in order to apportion financial responsibilities arising from any potential adverse judgment or negotiated settlements related to the Litigation. Additionally, in connection with Visa's

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

restructuring in 2007, a provision of the original Visa By-Laws, Section 2.05j, was restated in Visa's certificate of incorporation. Section 2.05j contains a general indemnification provision between a Visa member and Visa, and explicitly provides that after the closing of the restructuring, each member's indemnification obligation is limited to losses arising from its own conduct and the specifically defined Litigation.

Agreements associated with Visa's IPO have provisions that Visa will fund a litigation escrow account, established for the purpose of funding judgments in, or settlements of, the Litigation. Since inception of the escrow account, Visa has funded over \$8.5 billion into the escrow account, approximately \$4.1 billion of which has been paid out in Litigation settlements and another \$4.4 billion which was paid into a settlement fund during 2012. If the escrow account is insufficient to cover the Litigation losses, then Visa will issue additional Class A shares ("loss shares"). The proceeds from the sale of the loss shares would then be deposited in the escrow account. The issuance of the loss shares will cause a dilution of Visa's Class B shares as a result of an adjustment to lower the conversion factor of the Class B shares to Class A shares. Visa U.S.A.'s members are responsible for any portion of the settlement or loss on the Litigation after the escrow account is depleted and the value of the Class B shares is fully-diluted. In May 2009, the Company sold its 3.2 million Visa Inc. Class B shares to the Visa Counterparty and entered into a derivative with the Visa Counterparty. The Company received \$112 million and recognized a gain of \$112 million in connection with these transactions. Under the derivative, the Visa Counterparty is compensated by the Company for any decline in the conversion factor as a result of the outcome of the Litigation. Conversely, the Company is compensated by the Visa Counterparty for any increase in the conversion factor. The amount of payments made or received under the derivative is a function of the 3.2 million shares sold to the Visa Counterparty, the change in conversion rate, and Visa's share price. The Visa Counterparty, as a result of its ownership of the Class B shares, is impacted by dilutive adjustments to the conversion factor of the Class B shares caused by the Litigation losses. The conversion factor at the inception of the derivative in May 2009 was 0.6296 and at June 30, 2013 the conversion factor was 0.4206 due to Visa's funding of the litigation escrow account since 2009. Decreases in the conversion factor triggered payments by the Company to the Visa Counterparty of \$0 and \$22 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

During 2012, the Card Associations and defendants signed a memorandum of understanding to enter into a settlement agreement to resolve the plaintiffs' claims in the Litigation. Visa's share of the claims represents approximately \$4.4 billion, which was paid from the escrow account into a settlement fund during 2012. During the second quarter of 2013, various members of the putative class elected to opt out of the settlement. This will result in a proportional decrease in the amount of the settlement. While the estimated fair value of the derivative liability was immaterial at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the ultimate impact to the Company could be significantly different if the settlement is not approved and/or based on the ultimate resolution with the plaintiffs that opted out of the settlement.

#### Tax Credit Investments Sold

SunTrust Community Capital, a SunTrust subsidiary, previously obtained state and federal tax credits through the construction and development of affordable housing properties and continues to obtain state and federal tax credits through investments in affordable housing developments. SunTrust Community Capital or its subsidiaries are limited and/or general partners in various partnerships established for the properties. Some of the investments that generate state tax credits may be sold to outside investors. At June 30, 2013, SunTrust Community Capital has completed six sales containing guarantee provisions stating that SunTrust Community Capital will make payment to the outside investors if the tax credits become ineligible. SunTrust Community Capital also guarantees that the general partner under the transaction will perform on the delivery of the credits. The guarantees are expected to expire within a fifteen year period from inception. At June 30, 2013, the maximum potential amount that SunTrust Community Capital could be obligated to pay under these guarantees is \$37 million; however, SunTrust Community Capital can seek recourse against the general partner. Additionally, SunTrust Community Capital can seek reimbursement from cash flow and residual values of the underlying affordable housing properties provided that the properties retain value. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$2 million and \$3 million, respectively, was accrued, representing the remainder of tax credits to be delivered, and were recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Other

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into indemnification agreements and provides standard representations and warranties in connection with numerous transactions. These transactions include those arising from securitization activities, underwriting agreements, merger and acquisition agreements, swap clearing agreements, loan sales, contractual commitments, payment processing, sponsorship agreements, and various other business transactions or arrangements. The extent of the Company's obligations under these indemnification agreements depends upon the occurrence of future events; therefore, the Company's potential future liability under these arrangements is not determinable.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## NOTE 13 - FAIR VALUE ELECTION AND MEASUREMENT

The Company carries certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis and appropriately classifies them as level 1, 2, or 3 within the fair value hierarchy. The Company's recurring fair value measurements are based on a requirement to carry such assets and liabilities at fair value or the Company's election to carry certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value. Assets and liabilities that are required to be carried at fair value on a recurring basis include trading securities, securities AFS, and derivative financial instruments. Assets and liabilities that the Company has elected to carry at fair value on a recurring basis include certain LHFS and LHFI, MSRs, certain brokered time deposits, and certain issuances of fixed rate debt.

In certain circumstances, fair value enables a company to more accurately align its financial performance with the economic value of actively traded or hedged assets or liabilities. Fair value also enables a company to mitigate the non-economic earnings volatility caused from financial assets and liabilities being carried at different bases of accounting, as well as, to more accurately portray the active and dynamic management of a company's balance sheet. Depending on the nature of the asset or liability, the Company uses various valuation techniques and assumptions in estimating fair value. The assumptions used to estimate the value of an instrument have varying degrees of impact to the overall fair value of the asset or liability. This process involves the gathering of multiple sources of information, including broker quotes, values provided by pricing services, trading activity in other similar securities, market indices, pricing matrices along with employing various modeling techniques, such as discounted cash flow analyses, in arriving at the best estimate of fair value. Any model used to produce material financial reporting information is required to have a satisfactory independent review performed on an annual basis, or more frequently, when significant modifications to the functionality of the model are made. This review is performed by an internal group that separately reports to the Corporate Risk Function.

The Company has formal processes and controls in place to ensure the appropriateness of all fair value estimates. For fair values obtained from a third party or those that include certain trader estimates of fair value, there is an internal independent price validation function within the Finance organization that provides oversight for fair value estimates. For level 2 instruments and certain level 3 instruments, the validation generally involves evaluating pricing received from two or more other third party pricing sources that are widely used by market participants. The Company reviews pricing validation information from both a qualitative and quantitative perspective and determines whether pricing differences exceed acceptable thresholds. If the pricing differences exceed acceptable thresholds, then the Company reviews differences in valuation approaches used, which may include contacting a pricing service to gain further information on the valuation of a particular security or class of securities to determine the ultimate resolution of the pricing variance, which could include an adjustment to the price used for financial reporting purposes.

The Company classifies instruments as level 2 in the fair value hierarchy if it is able to determine that external pricing sources are using similar instruments trading in the markets as the basis for estimating fair value. One way the Company determines this is by the number of pricing services that will provide a quote on the instrument along with the range of values provided by those pricing services. A wide range of quoted values may indicate that significant adjustments to the trades in the market are being made by the pricing services. The Company maintains a cross-functional approach if the fair value estimates for level 3 securities AFS and trading assets and liabilities are internally developed, since the selection of unobservable inputs is subjective. This cross-functional approach includes input on assumptions not only from the related line of business, but also from risk management and finance. A consensus of the estimate of the instrument's fair value is reached after evaluating all available information pertaining to fair value. Inputs, assumptions, and overall conclusions on internally priced level 3 valuations are formally documented on a quarterly basis. As the balances of level 3 securities has declined, the need for this cross-functional approach is now limited primarily to the remaining ARS instruments that are valued internally.

The classification of an instrument as level 3 involves judgment and is based on a variety of subjective factors. These factors are used in the assessment of whether a market is inactive, resulting in the application of significant



unobservable assumptions in the valuation of a financial instrument. A market is considered inactive if significant decreases in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have been observed. In determining whether a market is inactive, the Company evaluates such factors as the number of recent transactions in either the primary or secondary markets, whether price quotations are current, the nature of the market participants, the variability of price quotations, the significance of bid/ask spreads, declines in (or the absence of) new issuances, and the availability of public information. Inactive markets necessitate the use of additional judgment in valuing financial instruments, such as pricing matrices, cash flow modeling, and the selection of an appropriate discount rate. The assumptions used to estimate the value of an instrument where the market was inactive are based on the Company's assessment of the assumptions a market participant would use to value the instrument in an orderly transaction and includes consideration of illiquidity in the current market environment.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The following tables present certain information regarding assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and the changes in fair value for those specific financial instruments in which fair value has been elected.

| (Dollars in millions)                  | Assets/Liabilities | Fair Value Measurements at<br>June 30, 2013 Using   |   |  |
|--|--------------------|---|---|--|
|  |                    | Quoted Prices In<br>Active<br>Markets for<br>Identical<br>Assets/Liabilities<br>(Level 1) | Significant<br>Other<br>Observable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) |
| Assets                                 |                    |   |   |  |
| Trading assets:                        |                    |   |   |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities               | \$221              | \$221   | \$—   | \$—  |
| Federal agency securities              | 558                | —   | 558   | —  |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 26                 | —   | 26  | —  |
| MBS - agency                           | 511                | —   | 511   | —  |
| CDO/CLO securities                     | 65                 | —   | 2   | 63   |
| ABS                                    | 6                  | —   | —   | 6  |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | 540                | —   | 540   | —  |
| CP                                     | 84                 | —   | 84  | —  |
| Equity securities                      | 102                | 102   | —   | —  |
| Derivative contracts                   | 1,977              | 232   | 1,745   | —  |
| Trading loans                          | 2,264              | —   | 2,264   | —  |
| Gross trading assets                   | 6,354              | 555   | 5,730   | 69   |
| Offsetting collateral <sup>1</sup>     | (530               | )   |   |  |
| Total trading assets                   | 5,824              |   |   |  |
| Securities AFS:                        |                    |   |   |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities               | 778                | 778   | —   | —  |
| Federal agency securities              | 2,222              | —   | 2,222   | —  |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 256                | —   | 219   | 37   |
| MBS - agency                           | 18,913             | —   | 18,913  | —  |
| MBS - private                          | 181                | —   | —   | 181  |
| ABS                                    | 125                | —   | 103   | 22   |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | 40                 | —   | 38  | 2  |
| Other equity securities <sup>2</sup>   | 874                | 137   | —   | 737  |
| Total securities AFS                   | 23,389             | 915   | 21,495  | 979  |
| LHFS:                                  |                    |   |   |  |
| Residential loans                      | 2,910              | —   | 2,902   | 8  |
| Corporate and other loans              | 286                | —   | 286   | —  |
| Total LHFS                             | 3,196              | —   | 3,188   | 8  |
| LHFI                                   | 339                | —   | —   | 339  |
| MSRs                                   | 1,199              | —   | —   | 1,199  |
| Other assets <sup>3</sup>              | 252                | 2   | 227   | 23   |
| Offsetting collateral <sup>1</sup>     | (151               | )   |   |  |
| Other assets, net                      | 101                |   |   |  |
| Liabilities                            |                    |   |   |  |

|                                     |       |     |       |     |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| Trading liabilities:                |       |     |       |     |
| U.S. Treasury securities            | 460   | 460 | —     | —   |
| MBS - agency                        | 5     | —   | 5     | —   |
| Corporate and other debt securities | 246   | —   | 246   | —   |
| Equity securities                   | 5     | 5   | —     | —   |
| Derivative contracts                | 1,411 | 2   | 1,409 | —   |
| Gross trading liabilities           | 2,127 | 467 | 1,660 | —   |
| Offsetting collateral <sup>1</sup>  | (951  | )   |       |     |
| Total trading liabilities           | 1,176 |     |       |     |
| Brokered time deposits              | 793   | —   | 793   | —   |
| Long-term debt                      | 1,594 | —   | 1,594 | —   |
| Other liabilities <sup>3,4</sup>    | 164   | —   | 60    | 104 |

<sup>1</sup> Amount represents the cash collateral received from or deposited with derivative counterparties. Amount is offset with derivatives in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2013.

<sup>2</sup> Includes \$334 million of FHLB of Atlanta stock, \$402 million of Federal Reserve Bank stock, \$137 million in mutual fund investments, and \$1 million of other equity securities.

<sup>3</sup> These amounts include IRLCs and derivative financial instruments entered into by the Mortgage segment to hedge its interest rate risk.

<sup>4</sup> These amounts include the derivative associated with the Company's sale of Visa shares during the year ended December 31, 2009, certain CDS, and contingent consideration obligations related to acquisitions.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

| (Dollars in millions)                  | Assets/Liabilities | Fair Value Measurements at<br>December 31, 2012 Using                                  |   |  |
|--|--------------------|--|---|--|
|  |                    | Quoted Prices In<br>Active<br>Markets for Identical<br>Assets/Liabilities<br>(Level 1) | Significant<br>Other<br>Observable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) |
| Assets                                 |                    |  |   |  |
| Trading assets:                        |                    |  |   |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities               | \$111              | \$111  | \$—   | \$—  |
| Federal agency securities              | 462                | —  | 462   | —  |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 34                 | —  | 34  | —  |
| MBS - agency                           | 432                | —  | 432   | —  |
| CDO/CLO securities                     | 55                 | —  | 3   | 52   |
| ABS                                    | 36                 | —  | 31  | 5  |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | 567                | —  | 566   | 1  |
| CP                                     | 28                 | —  | 28  | —  |
| Equity securities                      | 100                | 100  | —   | —  |
| Derivative contracts                   | 2,635              | 183  | 2,452   | —  |
| Trading loans                          | 2,319              | —  | 2,319   | —  |
| Gross trading assets                   | 6,779              | 394  | 6,327   | 58   |
| Offsetting collateral <sup>1</sup>     | (730               | )  |   |  |
| Total trading assets                   | 6,049              |  |   |  |
| Securities AFS:                        |                    |  |   |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities               | 222                | 222  | —   | —  |
| Federal agency securities              | 2,069              | —  | 2,069   | —  |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 320                | —  | 274   | 46   |
| MBS - agency                           | 18,169             | —  | 18,169  | —  |
| MBS - private                          | 209                | —  | —   | 209  |
| ABS                                    | 216                | —  | 195   | 21   |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | 46                 | —  | 41  | 5  |
| Other equity securities <sup>2</sup>   | 702                | 69   | —   | 633  |
| Total securities AFS                   | 21,953             | 291  | 20,748  | 914  |
| LHFS:                                  |                    |  |   |  |
| Residential loans                      | 2,924              | —  | 2,916   | 8  |
| Corporate and other loans              | 319                | —  | 319   | —  |
| Total LHFS                             | 3,243              | —  | 3,235   | 8  |
| LHFI                                   | 379                | —  | —   | 379  |
| MSRs                                   | 899                | —  | —   | 899  |
| Other assets <sup>3</sup>              | 178                | 2  | 44  | 132  |
| Liabilities                            |                    |  |   |  |
| Trading liabilities:                   |                    |  |   |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities               | 582                | 582  | —   | —  |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|                                     |        |     |       |    |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-----|-------|----|
| Corporate and other debt securities | 173    | —   | 173   | —  |
| Equity securities                   | 9      | 9   | —     | —  |
| Derivative contracts                | 1,656  | —   | 1,656 | —  |
| Gross trading liabilities           | 2,420  | 591 | 1,829 | —  |
| Offsetting collateral <sup>1</sup>  | (1,259 | )   |       |    |
| Total trading liabilities           | 1,161  |     |       |    |
| Brokered time deposits              | 832    | —   | 832   | —  |
| Long-term debt                      | 1,622  | —   | 1,622 | —  |
| Other liabilities <sup>3,4</sup>    | 46     | —   | 15    | 31 |

<sup>1</sup> Amount represents the cash collateral received from or deposited with derivative counterparties. Amount is offset with derivatives in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012.

<sup>2</sup> Includes \$229 million of FHLB of Atlanta stock, \$402 million of Federal Reserve Bank stock, \$69 million in mutual fund investments, and \$2 million of other equity securities.

<sup>3</sup> These amounts include IRLCs and derivative financial instruments entered into by the Mortgage segment to hedge its interest rate risk.

<sup>4</sup> These amounts include the derivative associated with the Company's sale of Visa shares during the year ended December 31, 2009, certain CDS, and contingent consideration obligations related to acquisitions.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The following tables present the difference between the aggregate fair value and the unpaid principal balance of trading loans, LHFS, LHFI, brokered time deposits, and long-term debt instruments for which the FVO has been elected. For LHFS and LHFI for which the FVO has been elected, the tables also include the difference between aggregate fair value and the unpaid principal balance of loans that are 90 days or more past due, as well as loans in nonaccrual status.

| (Dollars in millions)             | Aggregate Fair Value<br>at<br>June 30, 2013     | Aggregate Unpaid<br>Principal<br>Balance under FVO at<br>June 30, 2013     | Fair Value<br>Over/(Under)<br>Unpaid Principal |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Assets:                           |   |  |  |
| Trading loans                     | \$2,264   | \$2,225  | \$39   |
| LHFS                              | 3,192   | 3,231  | (39 )  |
| Past due loans of 90 days or more | 1   | 2  | (1 )   |
| Nonaccrual loans                  | 3   | 13   | (10 )  |
| LHFI                              | 331   | 353  | (22 )  |
| Past due loans of 90 days or more | —   | 1  | (1 )   |
| Nonaccrual loans                  | 8   | 14   | (6 )   |
| Liabilities:                      |   |  |  |
| Brokered time deposits            | 793   | 788  | 5  |
| Long-term debt                    | 1,594   | 1,460  | 134  |
|                                   |   |  |  |
| (Dollars in millions)             | Aggregate Fair Value<br>at<br>December 31, 2012 | Aggregate Unpaid<br>Principal<br>Balance under FVO at<br>December 31, 2012 | Fair Value<br>Over/(Under)<br>Unpaid Principal |
| Assets:                           |   |  |  |
| Trading loans                     | \$2,319   | \$2,285  | \$34   |
| LHFS                              | 3,237   | 3,109  | 128  |
| Past due loans of 90 days or more | 3   | 5  | (2 )   |
| Nonaccrual loans                  | 3   | 12   | (9 )   |
| LHFI                              | 360   | 371  | (11 )  |
| Past due loans of 90 days or more | 1   | 3  | (2 )   |
| Nonaccrual loans                  | 18  | 28   | (10 )  |
| Liabilities:                      |   |  |  |
| Brokered time deposits            | 832   | 825  | 7  |
| Long-term debt                    | 1,622   | 1,462  | 160  |

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The following tables present the change in fair value during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, of financial instruments for which the FVO has been elected, as well as MSR's. The tables do not reflect the change in fair value attributable to the related economic hedges the Company used to mitigate the market-related risks associated with the financial instruments. Generally, the changes in the fair value of economic hedges are also recognized in trading income, mortgage production related income, or mortgage servicing related income, as appropriate, and are designed to partially offset the change in fair value of the financial instruments referenced in the tables below. The Company's economic hedging activities are deployed at both the instrument and portfolio level.

| (Dollars in millions)  | Fair Value Gain/(Loss) for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to Election of the FVO |   |                                   |   | Fair Value Gain/(Loss) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to Election of the FVO |   |                                   |   |
|------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
|                        | Trading Income  | Mortgage Production Related Income <sup>1</sup> | Mortgage Servicing Related Income | Total Changes in Fair Values Included in Current Period Earnings <sup>2</sup> | Trading Income  | Mortgage Production Related Income <sup>1</sup> | Mortgage Servicing Related Income | Total Changes in Fair Values Included in Current Period Earnings <sup>2</sup> |
| <b>Assets:</b>         |   |   |                                   |   |   |   |                                   |   |
| Trading loans          | \$2   | \$—   | \$—                               | \$2   | \$6   | \$—   | \$—                               | \$6   |
| LHFS                   | (1 )  | (86 )   | —                                 | (87 )   | 1   | (107 )  | —                                 | (106 )  |
| LHFI                   | —   | (6 )  | —                                 | (6 )  | —   | (9 )  | —                                 | (9 )  |
| MSR's                  | —   | 1   | 81                                | 82  | —   | 2   | 98                                | 100   |
| <b>Liabilities:</b>    |   |   |                                   |   |   |   |                                   |   |
| Brokered time deposits | 2   | —   | —                                 | 2   | 4   | —   | —                                 | 4   |
| Long-term debt         | 37  | —   | —                                 | 37  | 27  | —   | —                                 | 27  |

<sup>1</sup> Income related to LHFS does not include income from IRLCs. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, income related to MSR's includes \$1 million and \$2 million of MSR's recognized upon the sale of loans reported at LOCOM.

<sup>2</sup> Changes in fair value for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 exclude accrued interest for the period then ended. Interest income or interest expense on trading loans, LHFS, LHFI, brokered time deposits, and long-term debt that have been elected to be carried at fair value are recognized in interest income or interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

|  | Fair Value Gain/(Loss) for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to Election of the FVO |  |  |  | Fair Value Gain/(Loss) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to Election of the FVO |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

| (Dollars in millions)               | Mortgage |                     |          | Total                 | Mortgage |                     |          | Total                 |
|-------------------------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|-----------------------|
|                                     | Trading  | Production          | Mortgage | in                    | Trading  | Production          | Mortgage | in                    |
|                                     | Income   | Related             | Related  | Fair Values           | Income   | Related             | Related  | Fair Values           |
|                                     |          | Income <sup>1</sup> | Income   | Included in           |          | Income <sup>1</sup> | Income   | Included in           |
|                                     |          |                     |          | Current               |          |                     |          | Current               |
|                                     |          |                     |          | Period                |          |                     |          | Period                |
|                                     |          |                     |          | Earnings <sup>2</sup> |          |                     |          | Earnings <sup>2</sup> |
| <b>Assets:</b>                      |          |                     |          |                       |          |                     |          |                       |
| Trading loans                       | \$8      | \$—                 | \$—      | \$8                   | \$16     | \$—                 | \$—      | \$16                  |
| LHFS                                | (2 )     | 30                  | —        | 28                    | 5        | 13                  | —        | 18                    |
| LHFI                                | 1        | 5                   | —        | 6                     | 1        | 2                   | —        | 3                     |
| MSRs                                | —        | 20                  | (281 )   | (261 )                | —        | 30                  | (214 )   | (184 )                |
| <b>Liabilities:</b>                 |          |                     |          |                       |          |                     |          |                       |
| Brokered time deposits <sup>7</sup> | —        | —                   | —        | 7                     | 7        | —                   | —        | 7                     |
| Long-term debt                      | (10 )    | —                   | —        | (10 )                 | (14 )    | —                   | —        | (14 )                 |

<sup>1</sup> Income related to LHFS does not include income from IRLCs. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, income related to MSRs includes \$20 million and \$30 million of MSRs recognized upon the sale of loans reported at LOCOM.

<sup>2</sup> Changes in fair value for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 exclude accrued interest for the period then ended. Interest income or interest expense on trading loans, LHFS, LHFI, brokered time deposits, and long-term debt that have been elected to be carried at fair value are recognized in interest income or interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The following is a discussion of the valuation techniques and inputs used in developing fair value measurements for assets and liabilities classified as level 2 or 3 that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, based on the class of asset or liability as determined by the nature and risks of the instrument.

**Trading Assets and Securities Available for Sale**

Unless otherwise indicated, trading assets are priced by the trading desk and securities AFS are valued by an independent third party pricing service.

**Federal agency securities**

The Company includes in this classification securities issued by federal agencies and GSEs. Agency securities consist of debt obligations issued by HUD, FHLB, and other agencies or collateralized by loans that are guaranteed by the SBA and are, therefore, backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government. For SBA instruments, the Company estimated fair value based on pricing from observable trading activity for similar securities or obtained fair values from a third party pricing service; accordingly, the Company has classified these instruments as level 2.

**U.S. states and political subdivisions**

The Company's investments in U.S. states and political subdivisions (collectively "municipals") include obligations of county and municipal authorities and agency bonds, which are general obligations of the municipality or are supported by a specified revenue source. Holdings were geographically dispersed, with no significant concentrations in any one state or municipality. Additionally, all but an immaterial amount of AFS municipal obligations classified as level 2 are highly rated or are otherwise collateralized by securities backed by the full faith and credit of the federal government. Level 3 AFS municipal securities includes ARS purchased since the auction rate market began failing in February 2008 and have been considered level 3 securities due to the significant decrease in the volume and level of activity in these markets, which has necessitated the use of significant unobservable inputs into the Company's valuations. Municipal ARS are classified as securities AFS. These securities were valued based on comparisons to similar ARS for which auctions are currently successful and/or to longer term, non-ARS issued by similar municipalities. The Company also evaluated the relative strength of the municipality and made appropriate downward adjustments in price based on the credit rating of the municipality as well as the relative financial strength of the insurer on those bonds. Although auctions for several municipal ARS have been operating successfully, ARS owned by the Company at June 30, 2013, continued to be classified as level 3 as they are those ARS for which the auctions continued to fail; accordingly, due to the uncertainty around the success rates for auctions and the absence of any successful auctions for these identical securities, the Company continued to price the ARS below par.

Level 3 AFS municipal bond securities also include bonds that are only redeemable with the issuer at par and cannot be traded in the market. As such, no significant observable market data for these instruments is available. To estimate pricing on these securities, the Company utilized a third party municipal bond yield curve for the lowest investment grade bonds and priced each bond based on the yield associated with that maturity.

**MBS – agency**

MBS – agency includes pass-through securities and collateralized mortgage obligations issued by GSEs and U.S. government agencies, such as Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae. Each security contains a guarantee by the issuing GSE or agency. For agency MBS, the Company estimated fair value based on pricing from observable trading activity for similar securities or obtained fair values from a third party pricing service; accordingly, the Company has classified these instruments as level 2.

**MBS – private**

Private MBS includes purchased interests in third party securitizations, as well as retained interests in Company-sponsored securitizations of 2006 and 2007 vintage residential mortgages; including both prime jumbo fixed rate collateral and floating rate collateral. At the time of purchase or origination, these securities had high investment grade ratings, however, through the credit crisis, they have experienced a deterioration in credit quality leading to downgrades to non-investment grade levels. Generally, the Company obtains pricing for its securities from an independent pricing service. The Company evaluates third party pricing to determine the reasonableness of the

information relative to changes in market data, such as any recent trades, market information received from outside market participants and analysts, and/or changes in the underlying collateral performance. Even though third party pricing has been available, the Company continued to classify private MBS as level 3, as the Company believes that this third party pricing relies on significant unobservable assumptions, as evidenced by a persistently wide bid-ask price range and variability in pricing from the pricing services, particularly for the vintage and exposures held by the Company.

52

---

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Securities that are classified as AFS and are in an unrealized loss position are included as part of the Company's quarterly OTTI evaluation process. See Note 3, "Securities Available for Sale," for details regarding assumptions used to assess impairment and impairment amounts recognized through earnings on private MBS.

**CDO/CLO Securities**

The Company's investments in level 3 trading CDOs consisted of senior ARS interests in Company-sponsored securitizations of trust preferred collateral. The auctions related to these securities continue to fail and the Company continues to make significant adjustments to valuation assumptions based on information available from observable secondary market trading of similar term securities; therefore, the Company continues to classify these as level 3 investments. The Company values these interests by constructing a pricing matrix based on a range of overcollateralization levels that are derived from discussions with the dealer community along with limited trade data. Based on dealer feedback on indicative pricing and expected yields, the matrix was revised during the first quarter of 2013. The price derived from the pricing matrix is adjusted for each security based on deal specific factors such as the percentage of collateral that is considered to be at heightened risk for future deferral or default, and collateral specific prepayment expectations, among other factors. Under this modified approach, all CDO ARS were valued using a discounted cash flow to an expected yield approach at June 30, 2013. The primary assumptions and inputs considered by the Company in valuing these retained interests include prepayment speeds, credit losses, and the market yield. See the level 3 assumptions table in this note, as well as Note 7, "Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities," for discussion of the sensitivity of these interests to changes in the assumptions.

**Asset-backed securities**

Level 2 ABS classified as securities AFS are primarily interests collateralized by third party securitizations of 2009 through 2011 vintage auto loans. These ABS are either publicly traded or are 144A privately placed bonds. The Company utilizes an independent pricing service to obtain fair values for publicly traded securities and similar securities for estimating the fair value of the privately placed bonds. No significant unobservable assumptions were used in pricing the auto loan ABS; therefore, the Company classified these bonds as level 2. Level 3 ABS classified as securities AFS are valued based on third party pricing with significant unobservable assumptions. Additionally, trading ARS are classified as level 2 due to observable market trades and bids for similar senior securities. These ARS consist of student loan ABS that are generally collateralized by FFELP student loans, the majority of which benefit from a maximum guarantee amount of 97%. During the first quarter of 2013, the Company sold the remaining senior student loan ARS. For valuations of subordinate securities in the same structure, the Company adjusts valuations on the senior securities based on the likelihood that the issuer will refinance in the near term, a security's level of subordination in the structure, and/or the perceived risk of the issuer as determined by credit ratings or total leverage of the trust. These adjustments may be significant; therefore, the subordinate student loan ARS held as trading assets continue to be classified as level 3.

**Corporate and other debt securities**

Corporate debt securities are predominantly comprised of senior and subordinate debt obligations of domestic corporations and are classified as level 2. Other debt securities in level 3 primarily include bonds that are redeemable with the issuer at par and cannot be traded in the market; as such, no significant observable market data for these instruments is available.

**Commercial paper**

From time to time, the Company trades third party CP that is generally short-term in nature (less than 30 days) and highly rated. The Company estimates the fair value of this CP based on observable pricing from executed trades of similar instruments; thus, CP is classified as level 2.

**Equity securities**

Level 3 equity securities classified as securities AFS include FHLB stock and Federal Reserve Bank stock, which are redeemable with the issuer at cost and cannot be traded in the market. As such, no significant observable market data

for these instruments is available. The Company accounts for the stock based on industry guidance that requires these investments be carried at cost and evaluated for impairment based on the ultimate recovery of cost.

Derivative contracts (trading assets or trading liabilities)

With the exception of certain instruments discussed under "other assets/liabilities, net" that qualify as derivative instruments, the Company's derivative instruments are level 1 or 2 instruments. Level 1 derivative contracts generally include exchange-traded futures or option contracts for which pricing is readily available.

The Company's level 2 instruments are predominantly standard OTC swaps, options, and forwards, with underlying market variables of interest rates, foreign exchange, equity, and credit. Because fair values for OTC contracts are not

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

readily available, the Company estimates fair values using internal, but standard, valuation models that incorporate market-observable inputs. The valuation model is driven by the type of contract: for option-based products, the Company uses an appropriate option pricing model, such as Black-Scholes; for forward-based products, the Company's valuation methodology is generally a discounted cash flow approach. The primary drivers of the fair values of derivative instruments are the underlying variables, such as interest rates, exchange rates, equity, or credit. As such, the Company uses market-based assumptions for all of its significant inputs, such as interest rate yield curves, quoted exchange rates and spot prices, market implied volatilities, and credit curves.

Derivative instruments are primarily transacted in the institutional dealer market and priced with observable market assumptions at a mid-market valuation point, with appropriate valuation adjustments for liquidity and credit risk. For purposes of valuation adjustments to its derivative positions, the Company has evaluated liquidity premiums that may be demanded by market participants, as well as the credit risk of its counterparties and its own credit. The Company has considered factors such as the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties, its net exposures, and remaining maturities in determining the appropriate fair value adjustments to record. Generally, the expected loss of each counterparty is estimated using the Company's proprietary internal risk rating system. The risk rating system utilizes counterparty-specific probabilities of default and LGD estimates to derive the expected loss. For counterparties that are rated by national rating agencies, those ratings are also considered in estimating the credit risk. In addition, counterparty exposure is evaluated by netting positions that are subject to master netting arrangements, as well as considering the amount of marketable collateral securing the position. Specifically approved counterparties and exposure limits are defined. Creditworthiness of the approved counterparties is regularly reviewed and appropriate business action is taken to adjust the exposure to certain counterparties, as necessary. This approach used to estimate exposures to counterparties is also used by the Company to estimate its own credit risk on derivative liability positions. See Note 11, "Derivative Financial Instruments," for additional information on the Company's derivative contracts.

#### Trading loans

The Company engages in certain businesses whereby the election to carry loans at fair value for financial reporting aligns with the underlying business purpose. Specifically, the loans that are included within this classification are: (i) loans made or acquired in connection with the Company's TRS business (see Note 7, "Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities," and Note 11, "Derivative Financial Instruments," for further discussion of this business), (ii) loans backed by the SBA, and (iii) the loan sales and trading business within the Company's Wholesale Banking segment. All of these loans are classified as level 2, due to the market data that the Company uses in the estimate of fair value.

The loans made in connection with the Company's TRS business are short-term, demand loans, whereby the repayment is senior in priority and whose value is collateralized. While these loans do not trade in the market, the Company believes that the par amount of the loans approximates fair value and no unobservable assumptions are made by the Company to arrive at this conclusion. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had outstanding \$1.8 billion and \$1.9 billion, respectively, of such short-term loans carried at fair value.

SBA loans are similar to SBA securities discussed herein under "Federal agency securities," except for their legal form. In both cases, the Company trades instruments that are fully guaranteed by the U.S. government as to contractual principal and interest and there is sufficient observable trading activity upon which to base the estimate of fair value. The loans from the Company's sales and trading business are commercial and corporate leveraged loans that are either traded in the market or for which similar loans trade. The Company elected to carry these loans at fair value since they are actively traded. The Company is able to obtain fair value estimates for substantially all of these loans through a third party valuation service that is broadly used by market participants. While most of the loans are traded in the market, the Company does not believe that trading activity qualifies the loans as level 1 instruments, as the volume and level of trading activity is subject to variability and the loans are not exchange-traded, such that the Company believes that level 2 is a more appropriate presentation of the underlying market activity for the loans. At June 30,

2013 and December 31, 2012, \$418 million and \$357 million, respectively, of loans related to the Company's trading business were held in inventory.

All recognized gains or losses due to changes in fair value are attributable to instrument-specific credit risk.

Loans Held for Sale and Loans Held for Investment

Residential LHFS

The Company values certain newly-originated mortgage LHFS predominantly at fair value based upon defined product criteria. The Company chooses to fair value these mortgage LHFS to eliminate the complexities and inherent

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

difficulties of achieving hedge accounting and to better align reported results with the underlying economic changes in value of the loans and related hedge instruments. Origination fees and costs are recognized in earnings when earned or incurred. The servicing value is included in the fair value of the loan and initially recognized at the time the Company enters into IRLCs with borrowers. The Company uses derivatives to economically hedge changes in servicing value as a result of including the servicing value in the fair value of the loan. The mark-to-market adjustments related to LHFS and the associated economic hedges are captured in mortgage production related income.

Level 2 LHFS are primarily agency loans which trade in active secondary markets and are priced using current market pricing for similar securities adjusted for servicing, interest rate risk, and credit risk. Non-agency residential mortgages are also included in level 2 LHFS. As disclosed in the tabular level 3 rollforwards, transfers of certain mortgage LHFS into level 3 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were not due to using alternative valuation approaches, but were largely due to borrower defaults or the identification of other loan defects impacting the marketability of the loans.

For residential loans that the Company has elected to carry at fair value, the Company considers the component of the fair value changes due to instrument-specific credit risk, which is intended to be an approximation of the fair value change attributable to changes in borrower-specific credit risk. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recognized losses of \$2 million in fair value attributable to borrower-specific credit risk in the Consolidated Statements of Income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recognized gains in the Consolidated Statements of Income of \$5 million and \$2 million, respectively, due to changes in fair value attributable to borrower-specific credit risk. In addition to borrower-specific credit risk, there are other, more significant, variables that drive changes in the fair values of the loans, including interest rates and general conditions in the principal markets for the loans.

#### Corporate and other LHFS

As discussed in Note 7, "Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities," the Company has determined that it is the primary beneficiary of a CLO vehicle, which resulted in the Company consolidating the loans of that vehicle. Because the CLO trades its loans from time to time and to fairly present the economics of the CLO, the Company elected to carry the loans of the CLO at fair value. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income losses of \$1 million and gains of \$3 million, respectively, due to changes in fair value attributable to borrower-specific credit risk, compared to losses of \$2 million and gains of \$5 million for the same periods in 2012, respectively. The Company obtains fair value estimates for substantially all of these loans using a third party valuation service that is broadly used by market participants. While most of the loans are traded in the markets, the Company does not believe the loans qualify as level 1 instruments, as the volume and level of trading activity is subject to variability and the loans are not exchange-traded, such that the Company believes that level 2 is more representative of the general market activity for the loans.

#### LHFI

Level 3 LHFI predominantly includes mortgage loans that are deemed not marketable, largely due to borrower defaults or the identification of other loan defects. The Company values these loans using a discounted cash flow approach based on assumptions that are generally not observable in the current markets, such as prepayment speeds, default rates, loss severity rates, and discount rates. These assumptions have an inverse relationship to the overall fair value. Level 3 LHFI also includes mortgage loans that are valued using collateral based pricing. Changes in the applicable housing price index since the time of the loan origination are considered and applied to the loan's collateral value. An additional discount representing the return that a buyer would require is also considered in the overall fair value.

#### Other Intangible Assets

Other intangible assets that the Company records at fair value are the Company's MSR assets. The fair values of MSRs are determined by projecting cash flows, which are then discounted to estimate an expected fair value. The fair values of MSRs are impacted by a variety of factors, including prepayment assumptions, discount rates, delinquency rates,

contractually specified servicing fees, servicing costs, and underlying portfolio characteristics. For additional information, see Note 6, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." The underlying assumptions and estimated values are corroborated by values received from independent third parties based on their review of the servicing portfolio. Because these inputs are not transparent in market trades, MSRs are considered to be level 3 assets.



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## Other Assets/Liabilities, net

The Company's other assets/liabilities that are carried at fair value on a recurring basis include IRLCs that satisfy the criteria to be treated as derivative financial instruments, derivative financial instruments that are used by the Company to economically hedge certain loans and MSR, contingent consideration, and the derivative that the Company obtained as a result of its sale of Visa Class B shares.

The fair value of IRLCs on residential LHFS, while based on interest rates observable in the market, is highly dependent on the ultimate closing of the loans. These "pull-through" rates are based on the Company's historical data and reflect the Company's best estimate of the likelihood that a commitment will ultimately result in a closed loan. As pull-through rates increase, the fair value of IRLCs also increases. Servicing value is included in the fair value of IRLCs, and the fair value of servicing is determined by projecting cash flows which are then discounted to estimate an expected fair value. The fair value of servicing is impacted by a variety of factors, including prepayment assumptions, discount rates, delinquency rates, contractually specified servicing fees, servicing costs, and underlying portfolio characteristics. Because these inputs are not transparent in market trades, IRLCs are considered to be level 3 assets. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company transferred \$74 million and \$209 million of IRLCs out of level 3 as the associated loans were closed, compared to \$218 million and \$390 million during the same periods in 2012, respectively.

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk associated with MSR, IRLCs, residential LHFS, and residential LHFI reported at fair value. The Company may hedge these exposures with a combination of derivatives, including MBS forward and option contracts, interest rate swap and swaption contracts, futures contracts, and eurodollar options. The Company estimates the fair values of such derivative instruments consistent with the methodologies discussed herein under "Derivative contracts" and accordingly these derivatives are considered to be level 2 instruments.

During the second quarter of 2009, in connection with its sale of Visa Class B shares, the Company entered into a derivative contract whereby the ultimate cash payments received or paid, if any, under the contract are based on the ultimate resolution of litigation involving Visa. The value of the derivative was estimated based on the Company's expectations regarding the ultimate resolution of that litigation, which involved a high degree of judgment and subjectivity. Accordingly, the value of the derivative liability is classified as a level 3 instrument. See Note 12, "Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees," for a discussion of the valuation assumptions.

Contingent consideration associated with acquisitions is adjusted to fair value until settled. As the assumptions used to measure fair value are based on internal metrics that are not market observable, the earn-out is considered a level 3 liability.

## Liabilities

## Trading liabilities

Trading liabilities are primarily comprised of derivative contracts, but also include various contracts involving U.S. Treasury securities, equity securities, and corporate and other debt securities that the Company uses in certain of its trading businesses. The Company employs the same valuation methodologies for these derivative contracts and securities as are discussed within the corresponding sections herein under "Trading Assets and Securities Available for Sale."

## Brokered time deposits

The Company has elected to measure certain CDs at fair value. These debt instruments include embedded derivatives that are generally based on underlying equity securities or equity indices, but may be based on other underlyings that may or may not be clearly and closely related to the host debt instrument. The Company elected to carry certain of these instruments at fair value to better align the economics of the CDs with the Company's risk management strategies. The Company evaluated, on an instrument by instrument basis, whether a new issuance would be carried at fair value.

The Company classified these CDs as level 2 instruments due to the Company's ability to reasonably measure all significant inputs based on observable market variables. The Company employs a discounted cash flow approach to the host debt component of the CD, based on observable market interest rates for the term of the CD and an estimate of the Bank's credit risk. For the embedded derivative features, the Company uses the same valuation methodologies as if the derivative were a standalone derivative, as discussed herein under "Derivative contracts."

For brokered time deposits carried at fair value, the Company estimated credit spreads above LIBOR, based on credit spreads from actual or estimated trading levels of the debt or other relevant market data. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recognized an immaterial amount of losses due to changes in its own credit spread

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

on its brokered time deposits carried at fair value, compared to losses of approximately \$1 million and \$6 million for the same periods in 2012, respectively.

Long-term debt

The Company has elected to carry at fair value certain fixed rate debt issuances of public debt which are valued by obtaining quotes from a third party pricing service and utilizing broker quotes to corroborate the reasonableness of those marks. Additionally, information from market data of recent observable trades and indications from buy side investors, if available, are taken into consideration as additional support for the value. Due to the availability of this information, the Company determined that the appropriate classification for the debt is level 2. The election to fair value the debt was made to align the accounting for the debt with the accounting for the derivatives without having to account for the debt under hedge accounting, thus avoiding the complex and time consuming fair value hedge accounting requirements.

The Company's public debt carried at fair value impacts earnings predominantly through changes in the Company's credit spreads as the Company has entered into derivative financial instruments that economically convert the interest rate on the debt from fixed to floating. The estimated earnings impact from changes in credit spreads above U.S. Treasury rates were losses of \$1 million and \$19 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and gains of less than \$1 million and losses of \$8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

The Company also carries approximately \$285 million of issued securities contained in a consolidated CLO at fair value to recognize the nonrecourse nature of these liabilities to the Company. Specifically, the holders of the liabilities are only paid interest and principal to the extent of the cash flows from the assets of the vehicle, and the Company has no current or future obligations to fund any of the CLO vehicle's liabilities. The Company classified these securities as level 2, as the primary driver of their fair values are the loans owned by the CLO, which the Company also elected to carry at fair value, as discussed herein under "Loans Held for Sale and Loans Held for Investment – Corporate and other LHFS."

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The valuation technique and range, including weighted average, of the unobservable inputs associated with the Company's level 3 assets and liabilities are as follows:

| (Dollars in millions)                        | Level 3 Significant Unobservable Input Assumptions |                                     |  |  |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
|  | Fair value<br>June 30,<br>2013                     | Valuation Technique                 | Unobservable Input <sup>1</sup>  | Range<br>(weighted average)                                    |
| Trading assets:                              |  |                                     |  |  |
| CDO/CLO securities                           | \$63   | Matrix pricing/Discounted cash flow | Indicative pricing based on overcollateralization ratio<br>Estimated collateral losses | \$42-\$54 (\$48)<br>31-41% (36%)                               |
| ABS  | 6  | Matrix pricing                      | Market yield<br>Indicative pricing   | 10-12% (11%)<br>\$55 (\$55)                                    |
| Securities AFS:                              |  |                                     |  |  |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions       | 37   | Matrix pricing                      | Indicative pricing   | \$80-\$111 (\$95)  |
| MBS - private                                | 181  | Third party pricing                 | N/A  |  |
| ABS  | 22   | Third party pricing                 | N/A  |  |
| Corporate and other debt securities          | 2  | Cost                                | N/A  |  |
| Other equity securities                      | 737  | Cost                                | N/A  |  |
| Residential LHFS                             | 8  | Monte Carlo/Discounted cash flow    | Option adjusted spread<br>Conditional prepayment rate<br>Conditional default rate      | 225-675 bps (325 bps)<br>2-15 CPR (5 CPR)<br>0-1 CDR (0.5 CDR) |
| LHFI   | 331  | Monte Carlo/Discounted cash flow    | Option adjusted spread<br>Conditional prepayment rate<br>Conditional default rate      | 0-675 bps (299 bps)<br>1-30 CPR (12 CPR)<br>0-12 CDR (3 CDR)   |
| MSRs   | 8  | Collateral based pricing            | Appraised value  | NM <sup>2</sup>  |
|  | 1,199  | Discounted cash flow                | Conditional prepayment rate  | 6-23 CPR (10 CPR)  |
|  | (50)   | Internal model                      | Discount rate  | 9-28% (12%)  |
|  | (23)   |                                     | Pull through rate  | 2-100% (79%)   |
| Other assets/(liabilities), net <sup>3</sup> | (6)  |                                     | MSR value  | 7-241 bps (122 bps)  |
|  | (6)  |                                     | Loan production volume   | 0-150% (92%)   |
|  |  | Internal model                      | Revenue run rate   | NM <sup>2</sup>  |

<sup>1</sup> For certain assets and liabilities that the Company utilizes third party pricing, the unobservable inputs and their ranges are not reasonably available to the Company, and therefore, have been noted as "N/A."

<sup>2</sup> Not meaningful.

<sup>3</sup> Input assumptions relate to the Company's IRLCs and the contingent consideration obligations related to acquisitions. Excludes \$2 million of Other Liabilities. Refer to Note 12, "Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees," for additional information.



Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

| (Dollars in millions)                        | Level 3 Significant Unobservable Input Assumptions |                                  |   |                             |
|--|--|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
|  | Fair value<br>December<br>31, 2012                 | Valuation Technique              | Unobservable Input <sup>1</sup>                         | Range<br>(weighted average) |
| Trading assets:                              |  |                                  |   |                             |
| CDO/CLO securities                           | \$52   | Matrix pricing                   | Indicative pricing based on overcollateralization ratio | \$33-\$45 (\$40)            |
|  |  |                                  | Estimated collateral losses                             | 34-45% (39%)                |
| ABS  | 5  | Matrix pricing                   | Indicative pricing                                      | \$45 (\$45)                 |
| Corporate and other debt securities          | 1  | Third party pricing              | N/A   |                             |
| Securities AFS:                              |  |                                  |   |                             |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions       | 46   | Matrix pricing                   | Indicative pricing                                      | \$72-\$115 (\$92)           |
| MBS - private                                | 209  | Third party pricing              | N/A   |                             |
| ABS  | 21   | Third party pricing              | N/A   |                             |
| Corporate and other debt securities          | 5  | Cost                             | N/A   |                             |
| Other equity securities                      | 633  | Cost                             | N/A   |                             |
| Residential LHFS                             | 8  | Monte Carlo/Discounted cash flow | Option adjusted spread                                  | 0-622 bps (251 bps)         |
|  |  |                                  | Conditional prepayment rate                             | 5-30 CPR (15 CPR)           |
|  |  |                                  | Conditional default rate                                | 0-20 CDR (3.5 CDR)          |
| LHFI   | 369  | Monte Carlo/Discounted cash flow | Option adjusted spread                                  | 0-622 bps (251 bps)         |
|  |  |                                  | Conditional prepayment rate                             | 5-30 CPR (15 CPR)           |
|  |  |                                  | Conditional default rate                                | 0-20 CDR (3.5 CDR)          |
| MSRs   | 10   | Collateral based pricing         | Appraised value   | NM <sup>2</sup>             |
|  |  |                                  | Conditional prepayment rate                             | 6-31 CPR (16 CPR)           |
|  |  |                                  | Discount rate   | 9-28% (11%)                 |
| Other assets/(liabilities), net <sup>3</sup> | 132<br>(24 )<br>(7 )                               | Internal model                   | Pull through rate                                       | 9-98% (71%)                 |
|  |  |                                  | MSR value   | 6-244 bps (104 bps)         |
|  |  |                                  | Loan production volume                                  | 0-150% (92%)                |
|  |  |                                  | Revenue run rate  | NM <sup>2</sup>             |
|  |  |                                  | Internal model  |                             |

<sup>1</sup> For certain assets and liabilities that the Company utilizes third party pricing, the unobservable inputs and their ranges are not reasonably available to the Company, and therefore, have been noted as "N/A."

<sup>2</sup> Not meaningful.

<sup>3</sup> Input assumptions relate to the Company's IRLCs and the contingent consideration obligations related to acquisitions. Refer to Note 12, "Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees," for additional information.



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The following tables present a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for fair valued assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (other than MSR's which are disclosed in Note 6, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets"). Transfers into and out of the fair value hierarchy levels are assumed to be as of the end of the quarter in which the transfer occurred. None of the transfers into or out of level 3 have been the result of using alternative valuation approaches to estimate fair values. There were no transfers between level 1 and 2 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Fair Value Measurements  
Using Significant Unobservable Inputs

| (Dollars in millions)                  | Beginning<br>balance<br>April 1,<br>2013 | Included<br>in<br>earnings | OCI  | Purchase | Sales | Settlements | Transfers<br>to/from<br>other<br>balance<br>sheet<br>line items | Transfers<br>into<br>Level 3 | Transfers<br>out of<br>Level 3 | Fair value<br>June 30,<br>2013 | Included<br>in<br>earnings<br>(held at<br>June<br>30,<br>2013) <sup>1</sup> |
|--|--|----------------------------|------|----------|-------|-------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Trading assets:                        |  |                            |      |          |       |             |   |                              |                                |                                |   |
| CDO/CLO securities                     | \$61                                     | \$2                        | \$—  | \$—      | \$—   | \$—         | \$—   | \$—                          | \$—                            | \$63                           | \$2   |
| ABS                                    | 5  | 1                          | —    | —        | —     | —           | —   | —                            | —                              | 6                              | 1   |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | 1  | —                          | —    | —        | —     | (1 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | —                              | —   |
| Total trading assets                   | 67                                       | 3                          | 2    | —        | —     | (1 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | 69                             | 3   |
| Securities AFS:                        |  |                            |      |          |       |             |   |                              |                                |                                |   |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 47                                       | —                          | —    | —        | (6 )  | (4 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | 37                             | —   |
| MBS - private                          | 202                                      | —                          | (6 ) | —        | —     | (15 )       | —   | —                            | —                              | 181                            | —   |
| ABS                                    | 23                                       | —                          | —    | —        | —     | (1 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | 22                             | —   |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | 5  | —                          | —    | —        | —     | (3 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | 2                              | —   |
| Other equity securities                | 672                                      | —                          | —    | 65       | —     | —           | —   | —                            | —                              | 737                            | —   |
| Total securities AFS                   | 949                                      | —                          | (6 ) | 65       | (6 )  | (23 )       | —   | —                            | —                              | 979                            | —   |
| Residential LHFS                       | 6  | (1 )                       | —    | —        | (3 )  | —           | (2 )  | 10                           | (2 )                           | 8                              | (1 )  |
| LHFI                                   | 360                                      | (7 )                       | 4    | —        | —     | (15 )       | 1   | —                            | —                              | 339                            | (7 )  |
| Other assets/(liabilities), net        | 68                                       | (76 )                      | 5    | —        | —     | 1           | (74 )   | —                            | —                              | (81 )                          | 1   |



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

| Fair Value Measurements<br>Using Significant Unobservable Inputs |   |                            |                |           |       |             |   |                              |                                |                                |   |              |
|--|---|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------|-------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------|
| (Dollars in millions)  | Beginning<br>balance<br>January<br>2013 | Included<br>in<br>earnings | OCI            | Purchases | Sales | Settlements | Transfers<br>to/from<br>other<br>balance<br>sheet<br>line items | Transfers<br>into<br>Level 3 | Transfers<br>out of<br>Level 3 | Fair value<br>June 30,<br>2013 | Included<br>in<br>earnings<br>(held at<br>June<br>30,<br>2013) <sup>1</sup> |              |
| Trading assets:  |   |                            |                |           |       |             |   |                              |                                |                                |   |              |
| CDO/CLO securities   | \$52                                    | \$11                       | \$—            | \$—       | \$—   | \$—         | \$—   | \$—                          | \$—                            | \$63                           | \$11  |              |
| ABS  | 5                                       | 1                          | —              | —         | —     | —           | —   | —                            | —                              | 6                              | 1   |              |
| Corporate and other debt securities                              | 1                                       | —                          | —              | —         | —     | (1 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | —                              | —   |              |
| Total trading assets   | 58                                      | 12                         | <sup>2</sup> — | —         | —     | (1 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | 69                             | 12  | <sup>2</sup> |
| Securities AFS:  |   |                            |                |           |       |             |   |                              |                                |                                |   |              |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions                           |   |                            |                |           |       |             |   |                              |                                |                                |   |              |
| MBS - private  | 46                                      | —                          | 2              | —         | (7 )  | (4 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | 37                             | —   |              |
| ABS  | 209                                     | —                          | (3 )           | —         | —     | (25 )       | —   | —                            | —                              | 181                            | —   |              |
| Corporate and other debt securities                              | 21                                      | (1 )                       | 3              | —         | —     | (1 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | 22                             | (1 )  |              |
| Other equity securities  | 5                                       | —                          | —              | —         | —     | (3 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | 2                              | —   |              |
| Total securities AFS   | 633                                     | —                          | —              | 110       | —     | (6 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | 737                            | —   |              |
| Total securities AFS   | 914                                     | (1 )                       | <sup>3</sup> 2 | 110       | (7 )  | (39 )       | —   | —                            | —                              | 979                            | (1 )  | <sup>3</sup> |
| Residential LHFS   |   |                            |                |           |       |             |   |                              |                                |                                |   |              |
| LHFI   | 8                                       | (1 )                       | —              | —         | (13)  | —           | (4 )  | 21                           | (3 )                           | 8                              | (1 )  |              |
| Other assets/(liabilities), net                                  | 379                                     | (12 )                      | <sup>4</sup> — | —         | —     | (31 )       | 3   | —                            | —                              | 339                            | (11 )   | <sup>4</sup> |
| Other assets/(liabilities), net                                  | 101                                     | 26                         | <sup>5</sup> — | —         | —     | 1           | (209 )  | —                            | —                              | (81 )                          | 1   |              |

<sup>1</sup> Change in unrealized gains/(losses) included in earnings during the period related to financial assets still held at June 30, 2013.

<sup>2</sup> Amounts included in earnings are recognized in trading income.

<sup>3</sup> Amounts included in earnings are recognized in net securities gains.

<sup>4</sup> Amounts are generally included in mortgage production related income; however, the mark on certain fair value loans is included in trading income.

<sup>5</sup> Amounts included in earnings are net of issuances, fair value changes, and expirations and are recognized in mortgage production related income.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Fair Value Measurements  
Using Significant Unobservable Inputs

| (Dollars in millions)                  | Beginning<br>balance<br>April 1,<br>2012 | Included<br>in<br>earnings | OCI               | Purchases | Sales | Settlements | Transfers<br>to/from<br>other<br>balance<br>sheet<br>line items | Transfers<br>into<br>Level 3 | Transfers<br>out of<br>Level 3 | Fair value<br>June 30,<br>2012 | Included<br>in<br>earnings<br>(held at<br>June<br>30,<br>2012) <sup>1</sup> |
|--|--|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------|-------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Assets                                 |  |                            |                   |           |       |             |   |                              |                                |                                |   |
| Trading assets:                        |  |                            |                   |           |       |             |   |                              |                                |                                |   |
| CDO/CLO securities                     | \$43                                     | \$—                        | \$—               | \$—       | \$—   | \$—         | \$—   | \$—                          | \$—                            | \$43                           | \$—   |
| ABS                                    | 5  | —                          | —                 | —         | —     | —           | —   | —                            | —                              | 5                              | —   |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | 1  | —                          | —                 | —         | —     | —           | —   | —                            | —                              | 1                              | —   |
| Total trading assets                   | 49                                       | —                          | —                 | —         | —     | —           | —   | —                            | —                              | 49                             | —   |
| Securities AFS:                        |  |                            |                   |           |       |             |   |                              |                                |                                |   |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 57                                       | —                          | —                 | —         | —     | (2 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | 55                             | —   |
| MBS - private ABS                      | 216                                      | (1 )                       | 4                 | —         | —     | (11 )       | —   | —                            | —                              | 208                            | (1 )  |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | 17                                       | —                          | 1                 | —         | —     | (1 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | 17                             | —   |
| Other equity securities                | 5  | —                          | —                 | 2         | —     | (2 )        | —   | —                            | —                              | 5                              | —   |
| Total securities AFS                   | 831                                      | —                          | —                 | 72        | —     | (46 )       | —   | —                            | —                              | 857                            | —   |
| Residential LHFS                       | 1,126                                    | (1 ) <sup>2</sup>          | 5                 | 74        | —     | (62 )       | —   | —                            | —                              | 1,142                          | (1 ) <sup>2</sup>   |
| LHFI                                   | 4  | —                          | —                 | —         | —     | —           | (2 )  | 1                            | (1 )                           | 2                              | —   |
| Other assets/(liabilities), net        | 413                                      | 5                          | <sup>3</sup> —    | —         | —     | (14 )       | 1   | 1                            | —                              | 406                            | —   |
| Derivative contracts                   | 91                                       | 258                        | <sup>4</sup> —    | (23 )     | —     | 1           | (218 )  | —                            | —                              | 109                            | —   |
| Liabilities                            |  |                            |                   |           |       |             |   |                              |                                |                                |   |
| Derivative contracts                   | (246 )                                   | —                          | (10) <sup>6</sup> | —         | —     | —           | —   | —                            | —                              | (349 )                         | —   |

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Fair Value Measurements  
Using Significant Unobservable Inputs

| (Dollars in millions)                         | Beginning balance January 1, 2012 | Included in earnings | OCI               | Purchases | Sales | Settlements | Transfers to/from other sheet line items | Transfers into Level 3 | Transfers out of Level 3 | Fair value June 30, 2012 | Included in earnings (held at June 30, 2012) <sup>1</sup> |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------|-------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| <b>Assets</b>                                 |                                   |                      |                   |           |       |             |  |                        |                          |                          |   |
| <b>Trading assets:</b>                        |                                   |                      |                   |           |       |             |  |                        |                          |                          |   |
| CDO/CLO securities                            | \$43                              | \$—                  | \$—               | \$—       | \$—   | \$—         | \$—                                      | \$—                    | \$—                      | \$43                     | (\$1 )  |
| ABS   | 5                                 | —                    | —                 | —         | —     | —           | —  | —                      | —                        | 5                        | —   |
| Corporate and other debt securities           | 1                                 | —                    | —                 | —         | —     | —           | —  | —                      | —                        | 1                        | —   |
| Total trading assets                          | 49                                | —                    | —                 | —         | —     | —           | —  | —                      | —                        | 49                       | (1 ) <sup>5</sup>   |
| <b>Securities AFS:</b>                        |                                   |                      |                   |           |       |             |  |                        |                          |                          |   |
| <b>U.S. states and political subdivisions</b> |                                   |                      |                   |           |       |             |  |                        |                          |                          |   |
| MBS - private                                 | 221                               | (4 )                 | 14                | —         | —     | (23 )       | —  | —                      | —                        | 208                      | (4 )  |
| ABS   | 16                                | —                    | 2                 | —         | —     | (1 )        | —  | —                      | —                        | 17                       | —   |
| Corporate and other debt securities           | 5                                 | —                    | —                 | 2         | —     | (2 )        | —  | —                      | —                        | 5                        | —   |
| Other equity securities                       | 741                               | —                    | —                 | 162       | —     | (46 )       | —  | —                      | —                        | 857                      | —   |
| Total securities AFS                          | 1,041                             | (4 ) <sup>2</sup>    | 15                | 164       | —     | (74 )       | —  | —                      | —                        | 1,142                    | (4 ) <sup>2</sup>   |
| Residential LHFS                              | 1                                 | —                    | —                 | —         | (1 )  | —           | 3  | 4                      | (5 )                     | 2                        | —   |
| LHFI  | 433                               | 1 <sup>3</sup>       | —                 | —         | —     | (26 )       | (5 )                                     | 3                      | —                        | 406                      | 1 <sup>3</sup>  |
| Other assets/(liabilities), net               | 62                                | 438 <sup>4</sup>     | —                 | (23 )     | —     | 22          | (390 )                                   | —                      | —                        | 109                      | —   |
| <b>Liabilities</b>                            |                                   |                      |                   |           |       |             |  |                        |                          |                          |   |
| Derivative contracts                          | (189 )                            | 1 <sup>5</sup>       | (16) <sup>6</sup> | —         | —     | —           | —  | —                      | —                        | (349 )                   | 1 <sup>5</sup>  |

<sup>1</sup> Change in unrealized gains/(losses) included in earnings for the period related to financial assets still held at June 30, 2012.

<sup>2</sup> Amounts included in earnings are generally recognized in net securities gains; however, any related hedge ineffectiveness is recognized in trading income.

<sup>3</sup> Amounts are generally included in mortgage production related income; however, the mark on certain fair value loans is included in trading income.

<sup>4</sup> Amounts included in earnings are net of issuances, fair value changes, and expirations and are recognized in mortgage production related income.

<sup>5</sup> Amounts included in earnings are recognized in trading income.

<sup>6</sup> Amount recognized in OCI is the effective portion of the cash flow hedges related to the Company's probable forecasted sale of its shares of Coke common stock as discussed in Note 16, "Derivative Financial Instruments," to the



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## Non-recurring Fair Value Measurements

The following tables present those assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The changes in fair value when comparing balances at June 30, 2013 to December 31, 2012, generally result from the application of LOCOM or through write-downs of individual assets. The table does not reflect the change in fair value attributable to any related economic hedges the Company may have used to mitigate the interest rate risk associated with LHFS and MSRs.

| (Dollars in millions) | June 30, 2013 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Losses for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | Losses for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 |
|-----------------------|---------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| LHFS                  | \$103         | \$—  | \$103   | \$—                                       | (\$8 )  | (\$8 )  |
| LHFI                  | 61            | —  | —   | 61  | —   | —   |
| OREO                  | 63            | —  | 3   | 60  | (12 )   | (16 )   |
| Affordable Housing    | 3             | —  | —   | 3   | (2 )  | (2 )  |
| Other Assets          | 29            | —  | 29  | —   | (3 )  | (4 )  |

| (Dollars in millions) | December 31, 2012 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Losses for the Year Ended December 31, 2012 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--|---|---|---|
| LHFS                  | \$65              | \$—  | \$65  | \$—                                       | \$—   |
| LHFI                  | 308               | —  | —   | 308                                       | (79 )                                       |
| OREO                  | 264               | —  | 205   | 59  | (48 )                                       |
| Affordable Housing    | 82                | —  | —   | 82  | (96 )                                       |
| Other Assets          | 65                | —  | 42  | 23  | (13 )                                       |

The following is a discussion of the valuation techniques and inputs used in developing fair value measurements for assets classified as level 2 or 3 that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, as determined by the nature and risks of the instrument.

## Loans Held for Sale

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, level 2 LHFS consisted primarily of agency and non-agency residential mortgages, which were measured using observable collateral valuations and corporate loans that are accounted for at LOCOM. These loans were valued consistent with the methodology discussed in the Recurring Fair Value Measurement section of this footnote.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company transferred \$22 million of residential mortgage NPLs to LHFS, as the Company elected to actively market these loans for sale. These loans were predominantly reported at amortized cost prior to transferring to LHFS; however, a portion of the NPLs was carried at fair value. As a result of transferring the loans to LHFS, the Company recognized a \$3 million charge-off to reflect the loans' estimated market value. These transferred NPL loans were sold at approximately their carrying value during the six months ended June 30, 2013. In conjunction with the sale of these residential mortgage NPLs, the Company also sold an additional \$39 million of residential mortgage NPLs which had either been transferred to LHFS in a prior period or repurchased into LHFS directly. These additional loans were sold at a gain of approximately \$5 million.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company transferred \$116 million of residential mortgage NPLs to LHFS, as the Company elected to actively market these loans for sale. These loans were predominantly reported at amortized cost prior to transferring to LHFS; however, a portion of the NPLs was carried at fair value. As a result of

transferring the loans to LHFS, the Company recognized a \$35 million charge-off to reflect the loans' estimated market value. Of these transferred loans, \$71 million were sold at a gain of \$4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012, \$7 million were returned to LHFI as they were no longer deemed marketable for sale, and the remainder were removed as a result of various loss events.

**Loans Held for Investment**

At June 30, 2013, LHFI consisted primarily of consumer and residential real estate loans discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy that had not been reaffirmed by the borrower, as well as nonperforming commercial real estate loans for which specific reserves have been recognized. At December 31, 2012, LHFI consisted primarily of residential real estate loans discharged in Chapter

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

7 bankruptcy that had not been reaffirmed by the borrower and nonperforming commercial real estate loans for which specific reserves have been recognized. As these loans have been classified as nonperforming, cash proceeds from the sale of the underlying collateral is the expected source of repayment for a majority of these loans. Accordingly, the fair value of these loans is derived from the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, incorporating market data if available. There were no gains or losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 as the charge-offs related to these loans are a component of the ALLL. Due to the lack of market data for similar assets, all of these loans are considered level 3.

**OREO**

OREO is measured at the lower of cost or its fair value less costs to sell. Level 2 OREO consists primarily of residential homes, commercial properties, and vacant lots and land for which binding purchase agreements exist. Level 3 OREO consists primarily of residential homes, commercial properties, and vacant lots and land for which initial valuations are based on property-specific appraisals, broker pricing opinions, or other available market information. Due to the lower dollar value per property and geographic dispersion of the portfolio, certain vacant lots and land properties are re-evaluated using a pooled approach, which applies geographic factors to adjust carrying values for estimated further declines in value. Land and lots have proven to be the most challenging asset class to accurately value due in part to the low balance per property composition of the asset class. The pooled discount methodology provides a means to reserve for losses across a broad band of assets rather than rely on potentially unreliable asset-specific valuations. The pooled discount methodology is applied to land and lot assets that have valuations older than six months. The Company's independent internal valuation group determines the discounts to be applied and the discount percentages are segregated by state and by asset class (residential or commercial). The range of discount percentages applied to residential properties was 30% to 60% with a weighted average of 44%. The range of discount percentages applied to commercial properties was 15% to 45% with a weighted average of 36%. The discount percentages reflect the general market decline/increase in a particular state for a particular asset class and are determined by examining various valuation sources, including but not limited to, recent appraisals or sales prices of similar assets within each state.

**Affordable Housing**

The Company evaluates its consolidated affordable housing properties for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment is recognized if the carrying amount of the property exceeds its fair value. Fair value measurements for affordable housing properties are derived from internal analyses using market assumptions if available. Significant assumptions utilized in these analyses include cash flows, market capitalization rates, and tax credit market pricing. Due to the lack of comparable sales in the marketplace, these valuations are considered level 3. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recognized impairment charges of \$2 million on affordable housing properties, while no impairment charges were recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

**Other Assets**

Other assets consist of private equity investments, other repossessed assets, assets under operating leases where the Company is the lessor, and land held for sale.

Investments in private equity partnerships are valued based on the estimated expected remaining cash flows to be received from these assets discounted at a market rate that is commensurate with their risk profile. Based on the valuation methodology and the lack of observable inputs, these investments are considered level 3. No impairment charges were recognized on private equity partnership investments during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Other repossessed assets consist of repossessed personal property that is measured at fair value less cost to sell. These assets are considered level 2 as their fair value is determined based on market comparables and broker opinions. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recognized impairment charges of \$3 million on other repossessed assets. No impairment charges were recognized on other repossessed assets during the three months ended June 30, 2012, while an immaterial amount of impairment charges were recognized during the six months

ended June 30, 2012.

The Company monitors the fair value of assets under operating leases where the Company is the lessor and recognizes impairment to the extent the carrying value is not recoverable and the fair value is less than its carrying value. Fair value is determined using collateral specific pricing digests, external appraisals, and recent sales data from industry equipment dealers. As market data for similar assets is available and used in the valuation, these assets are considered level 2. No impairment charges were recognized during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized an immaterial amount of impairment charges attributable to the fair value of various personal property under operating leases.

65

---



Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Land held for sale is measured at the lesser of carrying value or fair value less cost to sell. The fair value of the land is determined using broker opinions, and based on the lack of observable inputs, the land is considered level 3. No impairment charges were recognized on land during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts and fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

| (Dollars in millions)            | June 30, 2013     |            | Fair Value Measurement Using   |   |   |     |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|--|---|---|-----|
|                                  | Carrying Amount   | Fair Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |     |
| Financial assets:                |                   |            |  |   |   |     |
| Cash and cash equivalents        | \$4,159           | \$4,159    | \$4,159  | \$—   | \$—                                       | (a) |
| Trading assets                   | 5,824             | 5,824      | 555  | 5,200   | 69  | (b) |
| Securities AFS                   | 23,389            | 23,389     | 915  | 21,495  | 979                                       | (b) |
| LHFS                             | 3,647             | 3,648      | —  | 3,640   | 8   | (c) |
| LHFI, net                        | 119,906           | 115,530    | —  | 3,165   | 112,365                                   | (d) |
| Financial liabilities:           |                   |            |  |   |   |     |
| Consumer and commercial deposits | 125,588           | 125,654    | —  | 125,654                                       | —   | (e) |
| Brokered time deposits           | 2,006             | 2,009      | —  | 2,009   | —   | (f) |
| Foreign deposits                 | 25                | 25         | —  | 25  | —   | (f) |
| Short-term borrowings            | 8,114             | 8,114      | —  | 8,114   | —   | (f) |
| Long-term debt                   | 9,818             | 9,815      | —  | 9,331   | 484                                       | (f) |
| Trading liabilities              | 1,176             | 1,176      | 467  | 709   | —   | (b) |
|                                  |                   |            |  |   |   |     |
| (Dollars in millions)            | December 31, 2012 |            | Fair Value Measurement Using   |   |   |     |
|                                  | Carrying Amount   | Fair Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |     |
| Financial assets:                |                   |            |  |   |   |     |
| Cash and cash equivalents        | \$8,257           | \$8,257    | \$8,257  | \$—   | \$—                                       | (a) |
| Trading assets                   | 6,049             | 6,049      | 394  | 5,597   | 58  | (b) |
| Securities AFS                   | 21,953            | 21,953     | 291  | 20,748  | 914                                       | (b) |
| LHFS                             | 3,399             | 3,399      | —  | 3,375   | 24  | (c) |
| LHFI, net                        | 119,296           | 115,690    | —  | 4,041   | 111,649                                   | (d) |
| Financial liabilities:           |                   |            |  |   |   |     |
| Consumer and commercial deposits | 130,180           | 130,449    | —  | 130,449                                       | —   | (e) |
| Brokered time deposits           | 2,136             | 2,164      | —  | 2,164   | —   | (f) |
| Short-term borrowings            | 5,494             | 5,494      | —  | 5,494   | —   | (f) |
| Long-term debt                   | 9,357             | 9,413      | —  | 8,829   | 584                                       | (f) |

|                     |       |       |     |     |   |     |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|---|-----|
| Trading liabilities | 1,161 | 1,161 | 591 | 570 | — | (b) |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|---|-----|

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating the fair value of financial instruments:

- (a) Cash and cash equivalents are valued at their carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet, which are reasonable estimates of fair value due to the relatively short period to maturity of the instruments.
- Securities AFS, trading assets, and trading liabilities that are classified as level 1 are valued based on quoted market prices. For those instruments classified as level 2 or 3, refer to the respective valuation discussions within this footnote.
- LHFS are generally valued based on observable current market prices or, if quoted market prices are not available, on quoted market prices of similar instruments. Refer to the LHFS section within this footnote for further discussion of the LHFS carried at fair value. In instances for which significant valuation assumptions are not readily observable in the market, instruments are valued based on the best available data to approximate fair value. This data may be

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

internally-developed and considers risk premiums that a market participant would require under then-current market conditions.

LHFI fair values are based on a hypothetical exit price, which does not represent the estimated intrinsic value of the loan if held for investment. The assumptions used are expected to approximate those that a market participant purchasing the loans would use to value the loans, including a market risk premium and liquidity discount.

Estimating the fair value of the loan portfolio when loan sales and trading markets are illiquid, or for certain loan (d) types, nonexistent, requires significant judgment. Therefore, the estimated fair value can vary significantly depending on a market participant's ultimate considerations and assumptions. The final value yields a market participant's expected return on investment that is indicative of the current market conditions, but it does not take into consideration the Company's estimated value from continuing to hold these loans or its lack of willingness to transact at these estimated values.

The Company generally estimated fair value for LHFI based on estimated future cash flows discounted, initially, at current origination rates for loans with similar terms and credit quality, which derived an estimated value of 100% and 101% on the loan portfolio's net carrying value at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The value derived from origination rates likely does not represent an exit price; therefore, an incremental market risk and liquidity discount was subtracted from the initial value at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The discounted value is a function of a market participant's required yield in the current environment and is not a reflection of the expected cumulative losses on the loans. Loan prepayments are used to adjust future cash flows based on historical experience and prepayment model forecasts. The value of related accrued interest on loans approximates fair value; however, it is not included in the carrying amount or fair value of loans. The value of long-term customer relationships is not permitted under current U.S. GAAP to be included in the estimated fair value.

Deposit liabilities with no defined maturity such as DDAs, NOW/money market accounts, and savings accounts have a fair value equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair (e) values for CDs are estimated using a discounted cash flow measurement that applies current interest rates to a schedule of aggregated expected maturities. The assumptions used in the discounted cash flow analysis are expected to approximate those that market participants would use in valuing deposits. The value of long-term relationships with depositors is not taken into account in estimating fair values.

Fair values for foreign deposits, certain brokered time deposits, short-term borrowings, and certain long-term debt are based on quoted market prices for similar instruments or estimated using discounted cash flow analysis and the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of instruments. For brokered time deposits and (f) long-term debt that the Company carries at fair value, refer to the respective valuation sections within this footnote. For level 3 debt, the terms are unique in nature or there are otherwise no similar instruments than can be used to value the instrument without using significant unobservable assumptions. In this situation, we look at current borrowing rates along with the collateral levels that secure the debt in determining an appropriate fair value adjustment.

Unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit are not included in the table above. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had \$44.9 billion and \$42.7 billion, respectively, of unfunded commercial loan commitments and letters of credit. A reasonable estimate of the fair value of these instruments is the carrying value of deferred fees plus the related unfunded commitments reserve, which was a combined \$50 million and \$49 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. No active trading market exists for these instruments, and the estimated fair value does not include any value associated with the borrower relationship. The Company does not estimate the fair values of consumer unfunded lending commitments which can generally be canceled by providing notice to the borrower.

## NOTE 14 – CONTINGENCIES

#### Litigation and Regulatory Matters

In the ordinary course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries are parties to numerous civil claims and lawsuits and subject to regulatory examinations, investigations, and requests for information. Some of these matters involve claims for substantial amounts. The Company's experience has shown that the damages alleged by plaintiffs or claimants are often overstated, based on novel or unsubstantiated legal theories, unsupported by facts, and/or bear no relation to the ultimate award that a court might grant. Additionally, the outcome of litigation and regulatory matters and the timing of ultimate resolution are inherently difficult to predict. Because of these factors, the Company typically cannot provide a meaningful estimate of the range of reasonably possible outcomes of claims in the aggregate or by individual claim. However, on a case-by-case basis, reserves are established for those legal claims in which it is probable that a loss will be incurred and the amount of such loss can be reasonably estimated. In no cases are those accrual amounts material to the financial condition of the Company. The actual costs of resolving these claims may be substantially higher or lower than the amounts reserved.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

For a limited number of legal matters in which the Company is involved, the Company is able to estimate a range of reasonably possible losses. For other matters for which a loss is probable or reasonably possible, such an estimate is not possible. For those matters where a loss is both estimable and reasonably possible, management currently estimates the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses as \$0 to approximately \$400 million in excess of the reserves, if any, related to those matters. This amount reflects an increase of \$100 million since December 31, 2012, primarily related to mortgage-related matters. This estimated range of reasonably possible losses represents the estimated possible losses over the life of such legal matters, which may span a currently indeterminable number of years, and is based on information currently available at June 30, 2013. The matters underlying the estimated range will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from this estimate. Those matters for which an estimate is not possible are not included within this estimated range; therefore, this estimated range does not represent the Company's maximum loss exposure. Based on current knowledge, it is the opinion of management that liabilities arising from legal claims in excess of the amounts currently reserved, if any, will not have a material impact to the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. However, in light of the significant uncertainties involved in these matters and the large or indeterminate damages sought in some of these matters, an adverse outcome in one or more of these matters could be material to the Company's results or cash flows for any given reporting period.

The following is a description of certain litigation and regulatory matters:

**Interchange and Related Litigation****Card Association Antitrust Litigation**

The Company is a defendant, along with Visa U.S.A. and MasterCard International, as well as several other banks, in one of several antitrust lawsuits challenging their practices. For a discussion regarding the Company's involvement in this litigation matter, refer to Note 12, "Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees."

**In re ATM Fee Antitrust Litigation**

The Company is a defendant in a number of antitrust actions that have been consolidated in federal court in San Francisco, California under the name In re ATM Fee Antitrust Litigation, Master File No. C04-2676 CR13. In these actions, Plaintiffs, on behalf of a class, assert that Concord EFS and a number of financial institutions have unlawfully fixed the interchange fee for participants in the Star ATM Network. Plaintiffs claim that Defendants' conduct is illegal under Section 1 of the Sherman Act. Plaintiffs initially asserted the Defendants' conduct was illegal per se. In August 2007, Concord and the bank defendants filed motions for summary judgment on Plaintiffs' per se claim. In March 2008, the Court granted the motions on the ground that Defendants' conduct in setting an interchange fee must be analyzed under the rule of reason. The Court certified this question for interlocutory appeal, and the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit rejected Plaintiffs' petition for permission to appeal on August 13, 2008. Plaintiffs subsequently filed a Second Amended Complaint in which they asserted a rule of reason claim. This complaint was dismissed by the Court as well, but Plaintiffs were given leave to file another amended complaint. Plaintiffs filed yet another complaint and Defendants moved to dismiss the same. The Court granted this motion in part by dismissing one of the Plaintiffs' two claims but denied the motion as to one claim. On September 16, 2010, the Court granted the Defendants' motion for summary judgment as to the remaining claim on the grounds that Plaintiffs lack standing to assert that claim. Plaintiffs filed an appeal of this decision with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and the Ninth Circuit affirmed the District Court's decision. Plaintiffs filed a motion for rehearing en banc; however, this motion was denied. Plaintiffs filed a petition for a writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme Court in July 2013.

**Overdraft Fee Cases**

The Company has been named as a defendant in three putative class actions relating to the imposition of overdraft fees on customer accounts.

The first such case, *Buffington et al. v. SunTrust Banks, Inc. et al.* was filed in Fulton County Superior Court on May 6, 2009. This action was removed to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta

Division on June 10, 2009, and was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida for inclusion in Multi-District Litigation Case No. 2036 on December 1, 2009. Plaintiffs asserted claims for breach of contract, conversion, unconscionability, and unjust enrichment for alleged injuries they suffered as a result of the method of posting order used by the Company, which allegedly resulted in overdraft fees being assessed to their joint checking account, and purport to bring their action on behalf of a putative class of “all SunTrust Bank account holders who incurred an overdraft charge despite their account having a sufficient balance of actual funds to cover all debits that have been submitted to the bank for payment,” as well as “all SunTrust account holders who incurred one or more overdraft charges based on SunTrust Bank's reordering of charges.” Plaintiffs sought restitution, damages, expenses of litigation, attorneys' fees, and other relief deemed equitable by the Court. The Company filed a Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Compel Arbitration and both motions were denied. The denial of the motion to compel arbitration was appealed to the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals. The Eleventh Circuit remanded this matter back to the District Court with instructions to the District Court to review its prior ruling in light of the Supreme Court's decision in AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion. The District Court then denied SunTrust's motion to compel arbitration for different reasons.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

SunTrust appealed this decision to the Eleventh Circuit and, on March 1, 2012, the Eleventh Circuit reversed the District Court's decision and ordered that SunTrust's Motion to Compel Arbitration be granted. Plaintiffs filed a petition for rehearing or rehearing en banc, which was denied. Plaintiffs have filed a petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court, which also was denied. This matter is now closed.

The second of these cases, *Bickerstaff v. SunTrust Bank*, was filed in the Fulton County State Court on July 12, 2010, and an amended complaint was filed on August 9, 2010. Plaintiff asserts that all overdraft fees charged to his account which related to debit card and ATM transactions are actually interest charges and therefore subject to the usury laws of Georgia. Plaintiff has brought claims for violations of civil and criminal usury laws, conversion, and money had and received, and purports to bring the action on behalf of all Georgia citizens who have incurred such overdraft fees within the last four years where the overdraft fee resulted in an interest rate being charged in excess of the usury rate. SunTrust filed a motion to compel arbitration and on March 16, 2012, the Court entered an order holding that SunTrust's arbitration provision is enforceable but that the named plaintiff in the case had opted out of that provision pursuant to its terms. The court explicitly stated that it was not ruling at that time on the question of whether the named plaintiff could have opted out for the putative class members. SunTrust filed an appeal of this decision, but this appeal was dismissed based on a finding that the appeal was prematurely granted. On April 8, 2013, the plaintiff filed a motion for class certification and that motion is pending.

The third of these cases, *Byrd v. SunTrust Bank*, was filed on April 23, 2012, in the United States District Court for the Western District of Tennessee. Plaintiff asserts claims for breach of contract, conversion, unconscionability, and unjust enrichment for alleged injuries suffered as a result of the method of posting order used by SunTrust, which allegedly resulted in overdraft fees being assessed to his checking account, and purport to bring this action on behalf of a putative class of "all SunTrust Bank account holders who incurred an overdraft charge despite their account having a sufficient balance of actual funds to cover all debits that have been submitted to the bank for payment," as well as "all SunTrust account holders who incurred one or more overdraft charges based on SunTrust Bank's reordering of charges." Plaintiff seeks restitution, damages, expenses of litigation, attorneys' fees, and other relief deemed equitable by the Court. The District Court granted SunTrust's motion to compel arbitration in July 2013.

*SunTrust Mortgage, Inc. v. United Guaranty Residential Insurance Company of North Carolina*  
STM filed suit in the Eastern District of Virginia in July 2009 against United Guaranty Residential Insurance Company of North Carolina ("UGRIC") seeking payment of denied mortgage insurance claims on second lien mortgages. STM's claims were in two counts. Count One involved a common reason for denial of claims by UGRIC for a group of loans. Count Two involved a group of loans with individualized reasons for the claim denials asserted by UGRIC. UGRIC counterclaimed for declaratory relief involving interpretation of the insurance policy involving certain caps on the amount of claims covered and whether STM was obligated to continue to pay premiums after any caps were met. The Court granted STM's motion for summary judgment as to liability on Count One and, after a trial on damages, awarded STM \$34 million along with \$6 million in prejudgment interest on August 19, 2011. The Court stayed Count Two pending final resolution of Count One. On September 13, 2011, the Court awarded an additional \$5 million to the Count One judgment for fees on certain issues. On UGRIC's counterclaim, the Court agreed that UGRIC's interpretation was correct regarding STM's continued obligations to pay premiums in the future after coverage caps are met. However, on August 19, 2011, the Court found for STM on its affirmative defense that UGRIC can no longer enforce the contract due to its prior breaches and, consequently, denied UGRIC's request for a declaration that it was entitled to continue to collect premiums after caps are met.

On February 1, 2013, the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals (i) upheld the judgment to STM of \$45 million (\$34 million in claims, \$6 million in interest, and \$5 million in additional fees); and (ii) vacated the ruling in STM's favor regarding the defense STM asserted to UGRIC's claim that STM owes continued premium after the caps are reached. On February 15, 2013, UGRIC filed a motion asking the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals to re-hear its appeal. This

request was denied on March 4, 2013. The case has returned to the District Court for further proceedings regarding STM's defense to UGRIC's claims for additional premiums.

#### Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. Litigation

Beginning in October 2008, STRH, along with other underwriters and individuals, were named as defendants in several individual and putative class action complaints filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York and state and federal courts in Arkansas, California, Texas, and Washington. Plaintiffs alleged violations of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and/or state law for allegedly false and misleading disclosures in connection with various debt and preferred stock offerings of Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. ("Lehman Brothers") and sought unspecified damages. All cases were transferred for coordination to the multi-district litigation captioned In re Lehman Brothers Equity/Debt Securities Litigation pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss all claims asserted in the class action. On July 27, 2011, the District Court granted in part and denied in part the motion to dismiss the claims against STRH and the other underwriter defendants in the class action. A settlement with the class plaintiffs was approved by the Court and the class settlement approval process was completed. A number of individual lawsuits and smaller



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

putative class actions remained pending following the class settlement. STRH has settled one such individual action and is in settlement discussions in another. The other individual lawsuits have been dismissed, subject to an appeal in one case and a potential appeal in another.

#### SunTrust Shareholder Derivative Litigation

On September 9, 2011, the Company and several current and former executives and members of the Board were named in a shareholder derivative action filed in the Superior Court of Fulton County, Georgia, Sharon Benfield v. James M. Wells, III. et al., and on December 19, 2011, the Company and several current and former executives and members of the Board were named as defendants in a separate shareholder derivative action filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Edward Mannato v. James M. Wells, III, et al. The plaintiffs in both of these lawsuits purport to bring their claims on behalf of and for the benefit of the Company. Generally, these lawsuits are substantially overlapping and make very broad allegations of mismanagement of, and misrepresentations about, the Company's exposure to loan losses and the residential real estate market leading up to and during the recent real estate and credit market crises. In both cases, the plaintiffs assert causes of action for breach of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets, and unjust enrichment. The Mannato lawsuit arises out of a shareholder demand made of SunTrust in March 2008 that was the subject of an investigation conducted at the direction of a committee of independent members of the Company's Board. This committee concluded that no wrongdoing had occurred and that the interests of the Company's shareholders would not be served by pursuing the claims alleged in the plaintiff's demand. A new committee conducted a new investigation of the allegations raised in the lawsuit and concluded that no wrongdoing had occurred and that the interests of the Company's shareholders would not be served by pursuing the claims alleged in the plaintiff's demand. The Benfield lawsuit arises out of a shareholder demand made of SunTrust in February 2011 that was the subject of an investigation conducted at the direction of the same Board committee, which concluded that these allegations had no merit. On October 29, 2012, the Court dismissed all claims in the Benfield case. This decision is on appeal. The Court stayed the Mannato case, initially pending the outcome of a similar case and then upon the death of the plaintiff.

#### Colonial BancGroup Securities Litigation

Beginning in July 2009, STRH, certain other underwriters, the Colonial BancGroup, Inc. ("Colonial BancGroup") and certain officers and directors of Colonial BancGroup were named as defendants in a putative class action filed in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Alabama entitled *In re Colonial BancGroup, Inc. Securities Litigation*. The complaint was brought by purchasers of certain debt and equity securities of Colonial BancGroup and seeks unspecified damages. Plaintiffs allege violations of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 due to allegedly false and misleading disclosures in the relevant registration statement and prospectus relating to Colonial BancGroup's goodwill impairment, mortgage underwriting standards, and credit quality. On August 28, 2009, the Colonial BancGroup filed for bankruptcy. The defendants' motion to dismiss was denied in May 2010, but the Court subsequently ordered Plaintiffs to file an amended complaint. This amended complaint was filed and the defendants filed a motion to dismiss which is currently pending.

#### Consent Order with the Federal Reserve

On April 13, 2011, SunTrust Banks, Inc., SunTrust Bank, and STM entered into a Consent Order with the Federal Reserve in which SunTrust Banks, Inc., SunTrust Bank, and STM agreed to strengthen oversight of and improve risk management, internal audit, and compliance programs concerning, the residential mortgage loan servicing, loss mitigation, and foreclosure activities of STM. Under the terms of the Consent Order, SunTrust Bank and STM agreed, among other things, to: (a) strengthen the coordination of communications between borrowers and STM concerning ongoing loss mitigation and foreclosure activities; (b) submit a plan to enhance processes for oversight and management of third party vendors used in connection with residential mortgage servicing, loss mitigation and foreclosure activities; (c) enhance and strengthen the enterprise-wide compliance program with respect to oversight of

residential mortgage loan servicing, loss mitigation and foreclosure activities; (d) ensure appropriate oversight of STM's activities with respect to Mortgage Electronic Registration System; (e) review and remediate, if necessary, STM's management information systems for its residential mortgage loan servicing, loss mitigation, and foreclosure activities; (f) improve the training of STM officers and staff concerning applicable law, supervisory guidance and internal procedures concerning residential mortgage loan servicing, loss mitigation and foreclosure activities, including the single point of contact for foreclosure and loss mitigation; (g) retain an independent consultant to conduct a comprehensive assessment of STM's risks, including, but not limited to, operational, compliance, transaction, legal, and reputational risks particularly in the areas of residential mortgage loan servicing, loss mitigation and foreclosure; (h) enhance and strengthen the enterprise-wide risk management program with respect to oversight of residential mortgage loan servicing, loss mitigation and foreclosure activities; and (i) enhance and strengthen the internal audit program with respect to residential loan servicing, loss mitigation and foreclosure activities. The comprehensive third party risk assessment was completed in August 2011, and the Company continues implementation of recommended enhancements. All of the action plans designed to complete the above enhancements were accepted by the Federal Reserve and are currently in implementation. During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company engaged an independent third party consultant approved by the Federal Reserve to prepare a validation report with respect to compliance with the aspects of the Consent Order referenced above. The independent third party

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

consultant completed its review and submitted its report to the Federal Reserve during the second quarter of 2013. The Company is currently implementing the recommendations noted in this report.

Under the terms of the Consent Order, SunTrust Bank and STM also retained an independent foreclosure consultant approved by the Federal Reserve to conduct a review of residential foreclosure actions pending at any time during the period from January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2010, for loans serviced by STM, to identify any errors, misrepresentations, or deficiencies, determine whether any instances so identified resulted in financial injury, and prepare a written report detailing the findings. On January 7, 2013, the Company, as well as nine other mortgage servicers, entered into an agreement with the OCC and the Federal Reserve to end the independent foreclosure review process and accelerate remediation of loans included in the review. Consistent with this agreement, an Amendment to the Consent Order was entered on February 28, 2013. Pursuant to the Amendment, the Company made a cash payment of \$63 million to fund lump-sum payments to borrowers who faced a foreclosure action on their primary residence between January 1, 2009 and December 31, 2010, and committed \$100 million to effect loss mitigation or other foreclosure prevention actions. Lump-sum payments to borrowers are being administered by an independent agent approved by the Federal Reserve. The amount of lump-sum payment to a borrower was determined pursuant to a Financial Remediation Framework jointly established by the OCC and the Federal Reserve based on circumstances surrounding the foreclosure activity. The OCC and the Federal Reserve released Independent Foreclosure Review Payment Agreement Details on April 9, 2013 providing that lump-sum payments can range from \$300 to \$125,000. As a result of the agreement, the Company is no longer incurring the consulting and legal costs of the independent third parties providing file review, borrower outreach, and legal services associated with the Consent Order foreclosure file review. Redacted versions of the action plans and the Company's engagement letter with the independent foreclosure consultant are available on the Federal Reserve's website. The full text of the Consent Order is available on the Federal Reserve's website and was filed as Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The February 28, 2013 Amendment to the Consent Order also is available on the Federal Reserve's website and is filed as Exhibit 10.1 to this Form 10-Q. As a result of the Federal Reserve's review of the Company's residential mortgage loan servicing and foreclosure processing practices that preceded the Consent Order, the Federal Reserve announced that it would impose a civil money penalty. At this time, no such penalty has been imposed, and the amount and terms of such a potential penalty have not been finally determined. The Company's accrual for expected costs related to a potential settlement with the U.S. and the States Attorneys General regarding certain mortgage servicing claims, which is discussed below at "United States and States Attorneys General Mortgage Servicing Claims," includes the expected incremental costs, if any, of a civil money penalty relating to the Consent Order.

#### Putative ERISA Class Actions

##### Company Stock Class Action

Beginning in July 2008, the Company and certain officers, directors, and employees of the Company were named in a putative class action alleging that they breached their fiduciary duties under ERISA by offering the Company's common stock as an investment option in the SunTrust Banks, Inc. 401(k) Plan (the "Plan"). The plaintiffs purport to represent all current and former Plan participants who held the Company stock in their Plan accounts from May 2007 to the present and seek to recover alleged losses these participants supposedly incurred as a result of their investment in Company stock.

The Company Stock Class Action was originally filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida but was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, (the "District Court") in November 2008.

On October 26, 2009, an amended complaint was filed. On December 9, 2009, defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On October 25, 2010, the District Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint. Defendants and plaintiffs filed separate motions for the District Court to certify its October 25, 2010 order for immediate interlocutory appeal. On January 3, 2011, the District Court granted both

motions.

On January 13, 2011, defendants and plaintiffs filed separate petitions seeking permission to pursue interlocutory appeals with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit (“the Circuit Court”). On April 14, 2011, the Circuit Court granted defendants and plaintiffs permission to pursue interlocutory review in separate appeals. The Circuit Court subsequently stayed these appeals pending decision of a separate appeal involving The Home Depot in which substantially similar issues are presented. On May 8, 2012, the Circuit Court decided this appeal in favor of The Home Depot. On March 5, 2013, the Circuit Court issued an order remanding the case to the District Court for further proceedings in light of its decision in The Home Depot case.

#### Mutual Funds Class Action

On March 11, 2011, the Company and certain officers, directors, and employees of the Company were named in a putative class action alleging that they breached their fiduciary duties under ERISA by offering certain STI Classic Mutual Funds as investment options in the Plan. The plaintiff purports to represent all current and former Plan participants who held the STI Classic Mutual Funds in their Plan accounts from April 2002 through December 2010 and seeks to recover alleged losses these Plan participants supposedly incurred as a result of their investment in the STI Classic Mutual Funds. This action was

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division (the "District Court"). On June 6, 2011, plaintiff filed an amended complaint, and, on June 20, 2011, defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On March 12, 2012, the Court granted in part and denied in part the motion to dismiss. The Company filed a subsequent motion to dismiss the remainder of the case on the ground that the Court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over the remaining claims. On October 30, 2012, the Court dismissed all claims in this action. Immediately thereafter, plaintiffs' counsel initiated a substantially similar lawsuit against the Company substituting two new plaintiffs and also filed an appeal of the dismissal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. SunTrust has filed a motion to dismiss in the new action and will defend itself in the appeal filed with the Eleventh Circuit.

#### SunTrust Mortgage Reinsurance Class Actions

STM and Twin Rivers Insurance Company ("Twin Rivers") have been named as defendants in two putative class actions alleging that the companies entered into illegal "captive reinsurance" arrangements with private mortgage insurers. More specifically, plaintiffs allege that SunTrust's selection of private mortgage insurers who agree to reinsure loans referred to them by SunTrust with Twin Rivers results in illegal "kickbacks" in the form of the insurance premiums paid to Twin Rivers. Plaintiffs contend that this arrangement violates the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA") and results in unjust enrichment to the detriment of borrowers. The first of these cases, *Thurmond, Christopher, et al. v. SunTrust Banks, Inc. et al.*, was filed in February 2011 in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. This case was stayed by the Court pending the outcome of *Edwards v. First American Financial Corporation*, a captive reinsurance case that was pending before the U.S. Supreme Court at the time. The second of these cases, *Acosta, Lemuel & Maria Ventrella et al. v. SunTrust Bank, SunTrust Mortgage, Inc., et al.*, was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California in December 2011. This case was stayed pending a decision in the *Edwards* case also. In June 2012, the U.S. Supreme Court withdrew its grant of certiorari in *Edwards* and, as a result, the stays in these cases were lifted. The plaintiffs in *Acosta* voluntarily dismissed this case. A motion to dismiss is pending in the *Thurmond* case.

#### United States and States Attorneys General Mortgage Servicing Claims

In January 2012, the Company commenced discussions related to a mortgage servicing settlement with the U.S., through the Department of Justice and Attorneys General for several states regarding various potential claims relating to the Company's mortgage servicing activities. While these discussions are continuing, the Company has not reached any agreement with such parties. The Company has estimated the cost of resolving these and potential similar claims, including the costs of such a settlement, borrower-specific actions, and/or legal matters to defend such claims if they are not settled, and accrued this expense in its financial results.

#### False Claim Act Litigation

SunTrust Mortgage is a defendant in a *qui tam* lawsuit brought in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia under the federal False Claims Act, *United States ex rel. Bibby & Donnelly v. Wells Fargo, et al.* This lawsuit originally was filed under seal, but the second amended complaint was unsealed by the District Court in October 2011. The plaintiffs, who allege that they are officers of a mortgage broker, allege that numerous mortgage originators, including SunTrust Mortgage, made false statements to the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs to obtain loan guarantees by the VA under its Interest Rate Reduction Refinancing Loans ("IRRRL") program. Plaintiffs allege that the mortgage originators charged fees in connection with these loans that were not permitted under the IRRRL program and made false statements to the VA to the effect that the loans complied with all applicable regulations or program requirements. According to Plaintiffs, by doing so, the originators caused the VA to pay, among other costs, amounts to honor the loan guarantees to which they were not entitled. Plaintiffs have sued on their own behalf and on behalf of the U.S., and seek, among other things, unspecified damages equal to the loss that SunTrust Mortgage allegedly caused the U.S. (trebled under the False Claims Act), statutory civil penalties of between \$5,500 and \$11,000 per violation, injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees. To date, the U.S. has not joined in the prosecution of this

action, and SunTrust Mortgage and the relators have settled this dispute.

#### HUD Investigation

On April 25, 2012, the Company was informed of the commencement of an investigation by the HUD OIG relating to STM's origination practices for FHA loans. Since that time, STM has provided documents and continues to fully cooperate with the investigation. During the first quarter of 2013, the HUD OIG, together with the U.S. Department of Justice (collectively, the "Government"), advised STM of their preliminary investigation findings, including alleged violations of the False Claims Act. The Government and the Company remain engaged in settlement discussions that include both financial and non-financial terms and conditions. The Company continues to disagree with the analysis and methodology used to support the Government's allegations. Settlement of this matter is highly dependent upon the acceptable resolution of both the financial and non-financial provisions, and no assurances can be given at this time that an acceptable settlement agreement will be reached.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Mortgage Modification Investigation

STM has been cooperating with the United States Attorneys' Office for the Western District of Virginia and the Office of the Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program (collectively, the "Government") in their investigation of STM's administration of HAMP. More specifically, the Government's investigation focuses on whether, during 2009 and 2010, STM harmed borrowers and violated civil or criminal laws by failing to properly process applications for modifications of certain mortgages owned by the GSEs by devoting insufficient resources to its loss mitigation function and making misrepresentations to borrowers about timelines and other features associated with the HAMP modification process. The Government has advised STM that it has made no determinations about how it will proceed in this matter. STM continues to cooperate with the investigation and believes that it has substantial defenses to the asserted allegations.

NOTE 15 - BUSINESS SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company has three segments used to measure business activity: Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management, Wholesale Banking, and Mortgage Banking, with the remainder in Corporate Other. The business segments are determined based on the products and services provided or the type of customer served, and they reflect the manner in which financial information is evaluated by management. During the second quarter of 2013, branch-managed business banking clients were transferred from Wholesale Banking to Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management, and all periods presented reflect this transfer. The following is a description of the segments and their composition, which reflects the transfer of branch-managed business banking clients.

The Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management segment is made up of two primary businesses: Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management.

Consumer Banking provides services to consumers and branch-managed small business clients through an extensive network of traditional and in-store branches, ATMs, the internet ([www.suntrust.com](http://www.suntrust.com)), mobile banking, and telephone (1-800-SUNTRUST). Financial products and services offered to consumers and small business clients include deposits, home equity lines and loans, credit lines, indirect auto, student lending, bank card, other lending products, and various fee-based services. Consumer Banking also serves as an entry point for clients and provides services for other lines of business.

Private Wealth Management provides a full array of wealth management products and professional services to both individual and institutional clients including loans, deposits, brokerage, professional investment management, and trust services to clients seeking active management of their financial resources. Institutional clients are served by the IIS business. Discount/online and full service brokerage products are offered to individual clients through STIS. Private Wealth Management also includes GenSpring, which provides family office solutions to ultra high net worth individuals and their families. Utilizing teams of multi-disciplinary specialists with expertise in investments, tax, accounting, estate planning, and other wealth management disciplines, GenSpring helps families manage and sustain wealth across multiple generations.

The Wholesale Banking segment includes the following five businesses:

CIB delivers comprehensive capital markets, corporate and investment banking solutions, including advisory, capital raising, and financial risk management, to clients in the Wholesale Banking and Private Wealth Management segment. Investment Banking and Corporate Banking teams within CIB serve clients across the nation, offering a full suite of traditional banking and investment banking products and services to companies with annual revenues

typically greater than \$100 million. Investment Banking serves select industry segments including consumer and retail, energy, financial services, healthcare, industrials, media and communications, real estate, and technology. Corporate Banking serves clients across diversified industry sectors based on size, complexity, and frequency of capital markets issuance. Formerly managed within Commercial Real Estate, equipment lease financing solutions (through SunTrust Equipment Finance & Leasing) and corporate insurance premium financing (through Premium Assignment Corporation) are also managed within CIB.

Commercial & Business Banking offers an array of traditional banking products and investment banking services as needed by clients in the commercial, dealer services (financing dealer floor plan inventories), not-for-profit and government, and small business sectors.



Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

Commercial Real Estate provides financial solutions for commercial real estate developers and investors, including construction, mini-perm, and permanent real estate financing, as well as tailored financing and equity investment solutions for community development and affordable housing projects delivered through SunTrust Community Capital.

RidgeWorth, an SEC registered investment advisor, serves as investment manager for the RidgeWorth Funds as well as individual clients. RidgeWorth is also a holding company with ownership in other institutional asset management boutiques offering a wide array of equity and fixed income capabilities. These boutiques include Ceredex Value Advisors, Certium Asset Management, Seix Investment Advisors, Silvant Capital Management, StableRiver Capital Management, and Zevenbergen Capital Investments.

Treasury & Payment Solutions provides all of SunTrust business clients with services required to manage their payments and receipts combined with the ability to manage and optimize their deposits across all aspects of their business. Treasury & Payment Solutions operates all electronic and paper payment types, including card, wire transfer, ACH, check, and cash, plus provides clients the means to manage their accounts electronically online both domestically and internationally.

Mortgage Banking offers residential mortgage products nationally through its retail, broker, and correspondent channels, as well as via the internet ([www.suntrust.com](http://www.suntrust.com)) and by telephone (1-800-SUNTRUST). These products are either sold in the secondary market, primarily with servicing rights retained, or held in the Company's loan portfolio. Mortgage Banking services loans for itself and for other investors and includes ValuTree Real Estate Services, LLC, a tax service subsidiary.

Corporate Other includes management of the Company's investment securities portfolio, long-term debt, end user derivative instruments, short-term liquidity and funding activities, balance sheet risk management, and most real estate assets. Additionally, it includes Enterprise Information Services, which is the primary information technology and operations group, Corporate Real Estate, Marketing, SunTrust Online, Human Resources, Finance, Corporate Risk Management, Legal and Compliance, Branch Operations, Communications, Procurement, and Executive Management.

Because the business segment results are presented based on management accounting practices, the transition to the consolidated results, which are prepared under U.S. GAAP, creates certain differences which are reflected in Reconciling Items.

For business segment reporting purposes, the basis of presentation in the accompanying discussion includes the following:

- Net interest income – Net interest income is presented on a FTE basis to make tax-exempt assets comparable to other taxable products. The segments have also been matched maturity funds transfer priced, generating credits or charges based on the economic value or cost created by the assets and liabilities of each segment. The mismatch between funds credits and funds charges at the segment level resides in Reconciling Items. The change in the matched maturity funds mismatch is generally attributable to corporate balance sheet management strategies.

- Provision for credit losses - Represents net charge-offs by segment. The difference between the segment net charge-offs and the consolidated provision for credit losses is reported in Reconciling Items.

- Provision/(benefit) for income taxes - Calculated using a nominal income tax rate for each segment. This calculation includes the impact of various income adjustments, such as the reversal of the FTE gross up on tax-exempt assets, tax adjustments, and credits that are unique to each segment. The difference between the calculated provision/(benefit) for income taxes at the segment level and the consolidated provision for income taxes is reported in Reconciling Items.

The segment's financial performance is comprised of direct financial results, as well as various allocations that for internal management reporting purposes provide an enhanced view of analyzing the segment's financial performance. The internal allocations include the following:

**Operational Costs –** Expenses are charged to the segments based on various statistical volumes multiplied by activity based cost rates. As a result of the activity based costing process, planned residual expenses are also allocated to the segments. The recoveries for the majority of these costs are in Corporate Other.

**Support and Overhead Costs –** Expenses not directly attributable to a specific segment are allocated based on various drivers (e.g., number of full-time equivalent employees and volume of loans and deposits). The recoveries for these allocations are in Corporate Other.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

• Sales and Referral Credits – Segments may compensate another segment for referring or selling certain products. The majority of the revenue resides in the segment where the product is ultimately managed.

The application and development of management reporting methodologies is a dynamic process and is subject to periodic enhancements. The implementation of these enhancements to the internal management reporting methodology may materially affect the results disclosed for each segment with no impact on consolidated results. Whenever significant changes to management reporting methodologies take place, the impact of these changes is quantified and prior period information is reclassified wherever practicable. Prior year results have been restated to reflect the transfer of branch-managed business banking clients from Wholesale Banking to Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management.

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

| (Dollars in millions)  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013               |                   |                  |                 |                   | Consolidated |
|--|--|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|
|  | Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management | Wholesale Banking | Mortgage Banking | Corporate Other | Reconciling Items |              |
| <b>Balance Sheets:</b>   |  |                   |                  |                 |                   |              |
| Average total assets   | \$45,012                                       | \$66,498          | \$32,711         | \$26,880        | \$1,436           | \$172,537    |
| Average total liabilities  | 84,791   | 46,561            | 4,429            | 15,609          | (125)             | 151,265      |
| Average total equity   | —  | —                 | —                | —               | 21,272            | 21,272       |
| <b>Statements of Income/(loss):</b>  |  |                   |                  |                 |                   |              |
| Net interest income  | \$646  | \$397             | \$141            | \$72            | (\$45)            | \$1,211      |
| FTE adjustment   | —  | 29                | —                | 2               | —                 | 31           |
| Net interest income - FTE <sup>1</sup>                                     | 646  | 426               | 141              | 74              | (45)              | 1,242        |
| Provision for credit losses <sup>2</sup>                                   | 99   | 18                | 61               | —               | (32)              | 146          |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses                      | 547  | 408               | 80               | 74              | (13)              | 1,096        |
| Total noninterest income   | 372  | 333               | 131              | 26              | (4)               | 858          |
| Total noninterest expense  | 690  | 405               | 339              | (33)            | (4)               | 1,397        |
| Income/(loss) before provision/(benefit) for income taxes                  | 229  | 336               | (128)            | 133             | (13)              | 557          |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes <sup>3</sup>                          | 84   | 105               | (52)             | 43              | (3)               | 177          |
| Net income/(loss) including income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 145  | 231               | (76)             | 90              | (10)              | 380          |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest                         | —  | 1                 | —                | 2               | —                 | 3            |
| Net income/(loss)  | \$145  | \$230             | (\$76)           | \$88            | (\$10)            | \$377        |
| <b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2012</b>                                    |  |                   |                  |                 |                   |              |
| (Dollars in millions)  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012               |                   |                  |                 |                   | Consolidated |
|  | Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management | Wholesale Banking | Mortgage Banking | Corporate Other | Reconciling Items |              |
| <b>Balance Sheets:</b>   |  |                   |                  |                 |                   |              |
| Average total assets   | \$47,161                                       | \$63,870          | \$35,787         | \$29,324        | \$1,773           | \$177,915    |
| Average total liabilities  | 85,333   | 45,987            | 4,347            | 21,956          | (180)             | 157,443      |
| Average total equity   | —  | —                 | —                | —               | 20,472            | 20,472       |
| <b>Statements of Income/(loss):</b>  |  |                   |                  |                 |                   |              |
| Net interest income  | \$682  | \$376             | \$131            | \$94            | (\$9)             | \$1,274      |
| FTE adjustment   | —  | 32                | —                | 1               | (1)               | 32           |
| Net interest income - FTE <sup>1</sup>                                     | 682  | 408               | 131              | 95              | (10)              | 1,306        |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |       |       |        |        |      |         |
|--|-------|-------|--------|--------|------|---------|
| Provision for credit losses <sup>2</sup>                                   | 128   | 57    | 165    | —      | (50  | ) 300   |
| Net interest income/(loss) after provision for credit losses               | 554   | 351   | (34    | ) 95   | 40   | 1,006   |
| Total noninterest income   | 389   | 334   | 179    | 40     | (2   | ) 940   |
| Total noninterest expense  | 756   | 450   | 343    | (2     | ) (1 | ) 1,546 |
| Income/(loss) before provision/(benefit) for income taxes                  | 187   | 235   | (198   | ) 137  | 39   | 400     |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes <sup>3</sup>                          | 67    | 67    | (82    | ) 53   | 18   | 123     |
| Net income/(loss) including income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 120   | 168   | (116   | ) 84   | 21   | 277     |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest                         | —     | —     | —      | 3      | (1   | ) 2     |
| Net income/(loss)  | \$120 | \$168 | (\$116 | ) \$81 | \$22 | \$275   |

<sup>1</sup> Presented on a matched maturity funds transfer price basis for the segments.

<sup>2</sup> Provision for credit losses represents net charge-offs for the segments.

<sup>3</sup> Includes regular income tax provision/(benefit) and taxable-equivalent income adjustment reversal.

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

| (Dollars in millions)  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013                 |                   |                  |                 |                   | Consolidated |
|--|--|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|
|  | Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management | Wholesale Banking | Mortgage Banking | Corporate Other | Reconciling Items |              |
| <b>Balance Sheets:</b>   |  |                   |                  |                 |                   |              |
| Average total assets   | \$45,065                                       | \$66,083          | \$32,947         | \$26,578        | \$1,502           | \$172,175    |
| Average total liabilities  | 85,096   | 46,873            | 4,383            | 14,754          | (126)             | 150,980      |
| Average total equity   | —  | —                 | —                | —               | 21,195            | 21,195       |
| <b>Statements of Income/(loss):</b>  |  |                   |                  |                 |                   |              |
| Net interest income  | \$1,293  | \$783             | \$269            | \$157           | (\$70)            | \$2,432      |
| FTE adjustment   | —  | 58                | —                | 3               | —                 | 61           |
| Net interest income - FTE <sup>1</sup>                                     | 1,293  | 841               | 269              | 160             | (70)              | 2,493        |
| Provision for credit losses <sup>2</sup>                                   | 207  | 46                | 152              | —               | (47)              | 358          |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses                      | 1,086  | 795               | 117              | 160             | (23)              | 2,135        |
| Total noninterest income   | 729  | 639               | 329              | 31              | (7)               | 1,721        |
| Total noninterest expense  | 1,392  | 801               | 609              | (35)            | (7)               | 2,760        |
| Income/(loss) before provision/(benefit) for income taxes                  | 423  | 633               | (163)            | 226             | (23)              | 1,096        |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes <sup>3</sup>                          | 155  | 195               | (67)             | 71              | 4                 | 358          |
| Net income/(loss) including income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 268  | 438               | (96)             | 155             | (27)              | 738          |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest                         | —  | 4                 | —                | 5               | —                 | 9            |
| Net income/(loss)  | \$268  | \$434             | (\$96)           | \$150           | (\$27)            | \$729        |

| (Dollars in millions)                    | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012                 |                   |                  |                 |                   | Consolidated |
|--|--|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|
|  | Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management | Wholesale Banking | Mortgage Banking | Corporate Other | Reconciling Items |              |
| <b>Balance Sheets:</b>                   |  |                   |                  |                 |                   |              |
| Average total assets                     | \$47,114                                       | \$63,343          | \$35,511         | \$30,077        | \$1,340           | \$177,385    |
| Average total liabilities                | 85,059   | 47,017            | 4,088            | 21,182          | (325)             | 157,021      |
| Average total equity                     | —  | —                 | —                | —               | 20,364            | 20,364       |
| <b>Statements of Income/(loss):</b>      |  |                   |                  |                 |                   |              |
| Net interest income                      | \$1,367  | \$746             | \$257            | \$221           | (\$6)             | \$2,585      |
| FTE adjustment                           | —  | 61                | —                | 2               | —                 | 63           |
| Net interest income - FTE <sup>1</sup>   | 1,367  | 807               | 257              | 223             | (6)               | 2,648        |
| Provision for credit losses <sup>2</sup> | 292  | 148               | 331              | —               | (154)             | 617          |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |       |       |        |         |      |         |
|--|-------|-------|--------|---------|------|---------|
| Net interest income/(loss) after provision for credit losses               | 1,075 | 659   | (74    | ) 223   | 148  | 2,031   |
| Total noninterest income   | 761   | 664   | 336    | 61      | (6   | ) 1,816 |
| Total noninterest expense  | 1,528 | 897   | 676    | (7      | ) (7 | ) 3,087 |
| Income/(loss) before provision/(benefit) for income taxes                  | 308   | 426   | (414   | ) 291   | 149  | 760     |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes <sup>3</sup>                          | 111   | 116   | (168   | ) 102   | 62   | 223     |
| Net income/(loss) including income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 197   | 310   | (246   | ) 189   | 87   | 537     |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest                         | —     | 8     | —      | 5       | (1   | ) 12    |
| Net income/(loss)  | \$197 | \$302 | (\$246 | ) \$184 | \$88 | \$525   |

<sup>1</sup> Presented on a matched maturity funds transfer price basis for the segments.

<sup>2</sup> Provision for credit losses represents net charge-offs for the segments.

<sup>3</sup> Includes regular income tax provision/(benefit) and taxable-equivalent income adjustment reversal.

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

## NOTE 16 - ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

AOCI was calculated as follows:

| (Dollars in millions)                                | Three Months Ended June 30 |                                       |                     |                   |                                       |                     |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
|  | 2013                       |                                       |                     | 2012              |                                       |                     |
|  | Pre-tax<br>Amount          | Income<br>Tax<br>(Expense)<br>Benefit | After-tax<br>Amount | Pre-tax<br>Amount | Income<br>Tax<br>(Expense)<br>Benefit | After-tax<br>Amount |
| AOCI, beginning balance                              | \$310                      | (\$125 )                              | \$185               | \$2,624           | (\$950 )                              | \$1,674             |
| Unrealized (losses)/gains on AFS securities:         |                            |                                       |                     |                   |                                       |                     |
| Unrealized net (losses)/gains                        | (605 )                     | 223                                   | (382 )              | 236               | (85 )                                 | 151                 |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for realized gains | —                          | —                                     | —                   | (14 )             | 5                                     | (9 )                |
| Unrealized (losses)/gains on cash flow hedges:       |                            |                                       |                     |                   |                                       |                     |
| Unrealized net (losses)/gains                        | (45 )                      | 18                                    | (27 )               | 14                | (7 )                                  | 7                   |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for realized gains | (100 )                     | 36                                    | (64 )               | (121 )            | 45                                    | (76 )               |
| Change related to employee benefit plans             | 8                          | (3 )                                  | 5                   | (6 )              | 2                                     | (4 )                |
| AOCI, ending balance                                 | (\$432 )                   | \$149                                 | (\$283 )            | \$2,733           | (\$990 )                              | \$1,743             |

| (Dollars in millions)                                | Six Months Ended June 30 |                                       |                     |                   |                                       |                     |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
|  | 2013                     |                                       |                     | 2012              |                                       |                     |
|  | Pre-tax<br>Amount        | Income<br>Tax<br>(Expense)<br>Benefit | After-tax<br>Amount | Pre-tax<br>Amount | Income<br>Tax<br>(Expense)<br>Benefit | After-tax<br>Amount |
| AOCI, beginning balance                              | \$506                    | (\$197 )                              | \$309               | \$2,744           | (\$995 )                              | \$1,749             |
| Unrealized (losses)/gains on AFS securities:         |                          |                                       |                     |                   |                                       |                     |
| Unrealized net (losses)/gains                        | (718 )                   | 264                                   | (454 )              | 331               | (119 )                                | 212                 |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for realized gains | (2 )                     | 1                                     | (1 )                | (32 )             | 12                                    | (20 )               |
| Unrealized (losses)/gains on cash flow hedges:       |                          |                                       |                     |                   |                                       |                     |
| Unrealized net (losses)/gains                        | (45 )                    | 17                                    | (28 )               | 6                 | (5 )                                  | 1                   |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for realized gains | (214 )                   | 79                                    | (135 )              | (272 )            | 101                                   | (171 )              |
| Change related to employee benefit plans             | 41                       | (15 )                                 | 26                  | (44 )             | 16                                    | (28 )               |
| AOCI, ending balance                                 | (\$432 )                 | \$149                                 | (\$283 )            | \$2,733           | (\$990 )                              | \$1,743             |



## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), continued

The reclassification from AOCI consisted of the following:

| (Dollars in millions)                     | Three Months Ended |          | Six Months Ended |          | Affected line item in the<br>Consolidated Statements of<br>Income |
|---|--------------------|----------|------------------|----------|---|
|   | June 30            |          | June 30          |          |   |
| Details about AOCI components             | 2013               | 2012     | 2013             | 2012     |   |
| Realized gains on AFS securities:         |                    |          |                  |          |   |
|   | \$—                | (\$14 )  | (\$2 )           | (\$32 )  | Net securities gains  |
|   | —                  | 5        | 1                | 12       | Provision for income taxes  |
|   | \$—                | (\$9 )   | (\$1 )           | (\$20 )  |   |
| Gains on cash flow hedges:                |                    |          |                  |          |   |
|   | (\$100 )           | (\$121 ) | (\$214 )         | (\$272 ) | Interest and fees on loans  |
|   | 36                 | 45       | 79               | 101      | Provision for income taxes  |
|   | (\$64 )            | (\$76 )  | (\$135 )         | (\$171 ) |   |
| Change related to employee benefit plans: |                    |          |                  |          |   |
| Amortization of actuarial losses          | \$6                | \$6      | \$13             | \$12     | Employee benefits   |
|   | 2                  | (12 )    | 28               | (56 )    | Other assets/other liabilities <sup>1</sup>                       |
|   | 8                  | (6 )     | 41               | (44 )    |   |
|   | (3 )               | 2        | (15 )            | 16       | Provision for income taxes  |
|   | \$5                | (\$4 )   | \$26             | (\$28 )  |   |

<sup>1</sup> This AOCI component is recognized as an adjustment to the funded status of employee benefit plans in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. (For additional information, see Note 15, "Employee Benefit Plans," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K).

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Important Cautionary Statement About Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements. Statements regarding (i) future levels of net charge-offs and the trend in net charge-offs, noninterest and cyclical expenses, the efficiency ratio, expected income from interest rate swaps, the early stage delinquency ratio, provision expense, the ALLL, NPLs, volatility in the repurchase demands, full file requests, mortgage repurchase provision, mortgage production income including refinance and repurchase volumes, gain on sale margins, MSR value, other real estate expense, gains on sales of OREO properties, loan growth including growth in income-producing commercial real estate loan production, and the provision for income taxes; (ii) the impact to net income available to common shareholders due to lower provisions as credit quality continues to improve; (iii) the contribution of interest rate swaps to net interest income; (iv) future levels of net interest margin, and the contribution of a steeper yield curve to net interest margin and net interest income; (v) the performance of the residential real estate portfolio; (vi) our expectations regarding Federal Reserve treatment, and the timing of such treatment, of our hybrid capital elements and the effect of such treatment on our regulatory capital ratios, and of our current capital levels under future Federal Reserve capital requirements; and (vii) future improvements in our overall asset quality; are forward looking statements. Also, any statement that does not describe historical or current facts is a forward-looking statement. These statements often include the words "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "plans," "targets," "initiatives," "potentially," "probably," "projects," "outlook" or similar expressions or future conditional verbs such as "may," "will," "should," "would," and "could." Such statements are based upon the current beliefs and expectations of management and on information currently available to management. Such statements speak as of the date hereof, and we do not assume any obligation to update the statements made herein or to update the reasons why actual results could differ from those contained in such statements in light of new information or future events.

Forward-looking statements are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. Investors are cautioned against placing undue reliance on such statements. Actual results may differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements can be found in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K and in Part II, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" of this report, and include risks discussed in this MD&A and in other periodic reports that we file with the SEC. Those factors include: our framework for managing risks may not be effective in mitigating risk and loss to us; as one of the largest lenders in the Southeast and Mid-Atlantic U.S. and a provider of financial products and services to consumers and businesses across the U.S., our financial results have been, and may continue to be, materially affected by general economic conditions, particularly unemployment levels and home prices in the U.S., and a deterioration of economic conditions or of the financial markets may materially adversely affect our lending and other businesses and our financial results and condition; legislation and regulation, including the Dodd-Frank Act, as well as future legislation and/or regulation, could require us to change certain of our business practices, reduce our revenue, impose additional costs on us, or otherwise adversely affect our business operations and/or competitive position; we are subject to capital adequacy and liquidity guidelines and, if we fail to meet these guidelines, our financial condition would be adversely affected; loss of customer deposits and market illiquidity could increase our funding costs; we rely on the mortgage secondary market and GSEs for some of our liquidity; we are subject to credit risk; our ALLL may not be adequate to cover our eventual losses; we may have more credit risk and higher credit losses to the extent our loans are concentrated by loan type, industry segment, borrower type, or location of the borrower or collateral; we will realize future losses if the proceeds we receive upon liquidation of nonperforming assets are less than the carrying value of such assets; a downgrade in the U.S. government's sovereign credit rating, or in the credit ratings of instruments issued, insured or guaranteed by related institutions, agencies or instrumentalities, could result in risks to us and general economic conditions that we are not able to predict; the failure of the European Union to stabilize the fiscal condition and creditworthiness of its weaker member economies could have international implications potentially impacting global financial institutions, the financial markets, and the economic recovery underway in the U.S.; weakness in the real estate market, including the secondary residential mortgage loan markets, has adversely affected us and may continue to adversely affect us; we are subject to certain risks related to originating

and selling mortgages, and may be required to repurchase mortgage loans or indemnify mortgage loan purchasers as a result of breaches of representations and warranties, borrower fraud, or as a result of certain breaches of our servicing agreements, and this could harm our liquidity, results of operations, and financial condition; financial difficulties or credit downgrades of mortgage and bond insurers may adversely affect our servicing and investment portfolios; we may face certain risks as a servicer of loans, or also may be terminated as a servicer or master servicer, be required to repurchase a mortgage loan or reimburse investors for credit losses on a mortgage loan, or incur costs, liabilities, fines and other sanctions if we fail to satisfy our servicing obligations, including our obligations with respect to mortgage loan foreclosure actions; we are subject to risks related to delays in the foreclosure process; we may continue to suffer increased losses in our loan portfolio despite enhancement of our underwriting policies and practices; our mortgage production and servicing revenue can be volatile; as a financial services company, changes in general business or economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations; changes in market interest rates or capital markets could

adversely affect our revenue and expense, the value of assets and obligations, and the availability and cost of capital and liquidity; changes in interest rates could also reduce the value of our MSR and mortgages held for sale, reducing our earnings; changes which are being considered in the method for determining LIBOR may affect the value of debt securities and other financial obligations held or issued by SunTrust that are linked to LIBOR, or may affect the Company's financial condition or results of operations; the fiscal and monetary policies of the federal government and its agencies could have a material adverse effect on our earnings; depressed market values for our stock may require us to write down goodwill; clients could pursue alternatives to bank deposits, causing us to lose a relatively inexpensive source of funding; consumers may decide not to use banks to complete their financial transactions, which could affect net income; we have businesses other than banking which subject us to a variety of risks; hurricanes and other disasters may adversely affect loan portfolios and operations and increase the cost of doing business; negative public opinion could damage our reputation and adversely impact business and revenues; we rely on other companies to provide key components of our business infrastructure; a failure in or breach of our operational or security systems or infrastructure, or those of our third party vendors and other service providers, including as a result of cyber attacks, could disrupt our businesses, result in the disclosure or misuse of confidential or proprietary information, damage our reputation, increase our costs and cause losses; the soundness of other financial institutions could adversely affect us; we depend on the accuracy and completeness of information about clients and counterparties; regulation by federal and state agencies could adversely affect the business, revenue, and profit margins; competition in the financial services industry is intense and could result in losing business or margin declines; maintaining or increasing market share depends on market acceptance and regulatory approval of new products and services; we might not pay dividends on your common stock; our ability to receive dividends from our subsidiaries could affect our liquidity and ability to pay dividends; disruptions in our ability to access global capital markets may adversely affect our capital resources and liquidity; any reduction in our credit rating could increase the cost of our funding from the capital markets; we have in the past and may in the future pursue acquisitions, which could affect costs and from which we may not be able to realize anticipated benefits; we are subject to certain litigation, and our expenses related to this litigation may adversely affect our results; we may incur fines, penalties and other negative consequences from regulatory violations, possibly even from inadvertent or unintentional violations; we depend on the expertise of key personnel, and if these individuals leave or change their roles without effective replacements, operations may suffer; we may not be able to hire or retain additional qualified personnel and recruiting and compensation costs may increase as a result of turnover, both of which may increase costs and reduce profitability and may adversely impact our ability to implement our business strategies; our accounting policies and processes are critical to how we report our financial condition and results of operations, and they require management to make estimates about matters that are uncertain; changes in our accounting policies or in accounting standards could materially affect how we report our financial results and condition; our stock price can be volatile; our disclosure controls and procedures may not prevent or detect all errors or acts of fraud; our financial instruments carried at fair value expose us to certain market risks; our revenues derived from our investment securities may be volatile and subject to a variety of risks; and we may enter into transactions with off-balance sheet affiliates or our subsidiaries.

## INTRODUCTION

This MD&A is intended to assist readers in their analysis of the accompanying consolidated financial statements and supplemental financial information. It should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes. When we refer to "SunTrust," "the Company," "we," "our" and "us" in this narrative, we mean SunTrust Banks, Inc. and its subsidiaries (consolidated).

We are a leading provider of financial services, particularly in the Southeastern United States, and our headquarters is located in Atlanta, Georgia. Our principal banking subsidiary, SunTrust Bank, offers a full line of financial services for consumers and businesses through its branches located primarily in Florida, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and the District of Columbia. Within our geographic footprint, we operate under three business segments: Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management, Wholesale Banking, and Mortgage Banking, with the remainder in Corporate Other. See Note 15, "Business Segment Reporting," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for a description of our business segments. In addition to deposit, credit, and trust and investment services offered by the Bank, our other subsidiaries provide mortgage banking, asset

management, securities brokerage, and capital market services.

The following analysis of our financial performance for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, notes to consolidated financial statements, and other information contained in this document and our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year consolidated financial statements and related information to conform them to the June 30, 2013 presentation. In the MD&A, net interest income, net interest margin, total revenue, and efficiency ratios are presented on an FTE basis. The FTE basis adjusts for the tax-favored status of net interest income from certain loans and investments. We believe this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and it enhances comparability of net interest income arising from taxable and tax-exempt sources. Additionally, we present certain non-U.S. GAAP metrics to assist investors in understanding management's view of particular financial measures, as well as to align presentation of these financial measures with peers in the industry who may also provide a similar presentation. Reconcilements for all non-U.S. GAAP measures are provided in Table 1, "Selected Quarterly Financial Data."

## EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

### Economic and regulatory

Moderate economic growth continued in the second quarter of 2013 driven by improvement in labor market conditions, increased household spending, and further strengthening of many housing markets in which we operate. Household spending continued to moderately increase as consumer confidence increased to its highest level since 2008 and borrowing costs remained at relatively low levels. Compared to December 31, 2012, the housing market continued to strengthen as demonstrated by continued price increases, favorable shifts in supply and demand, and some encouraging signs from certain homebuilding activities. However, uncertainty remained about the strength of economic growth amidst a continued elevated unemployment rate that ended the quarter at 7.6%, unchanged from the first quarter and only slightly below the December 31, 2012 level. Further, the global economy continued to recover unevenly as concerns remained over the economic health of the European Union and reports of slowing growth in emerging markets. While some actions were taken during 2012 to ease the European sovereign debt crisis, uncertainty about the sustained financial health of certain European countries continued to exist.

During the second quarter of 2013, the Federal Reserve reaffirmed that a highly accommodative monetary policy will remain in effect for a considerable time after its asset purchase program ends and the economic recovery strengthens. Accordingly, the Federal Reserve conveyed that it anticipates maintaining key interest rates at exceptionally low levels, at least as long as the unemployment rate remains above 6.5% and its long-term inflation goals are not met. As a result of executing its monetary policy, the Federal Reserve continues to maintain large portfolios of U.S. Treasury notes and bonds and agency MBS with plans to continue adding Treasuries and agency MBS to its portfolio. However, the Federal Reserve indicated it is prepared to increase or reduce the pace of its purchases as the outlook for the labor market or inflation changes. During the second quarter, driven in large part by the financial markets' expectations regarding future Federal Reserve monetary policy actions, certain market interest rates increased and the yield curve steepened. See additional discussion regarding the second quarter interest rate increase in the "Net Interest Income/Margin" and "Noninterest Income" sections of this MD&A. The Federal Reserve outlook includes moderate economic growth, a gradual decline in unemployment, and the expectation of stable longer-term inflation.

### Capital

During the first quarter, we announced capital plans in conjunction with the 2013 CCAR process and completion of the Federal Reserve's review of our capital plan. Accordingly, during the second quarter we increased the quarterly common stock dividend to \$0.10 per common share, which is a \$0.05 per common share increase from the prior quarter. Additionally, we repurchased \$50 million of our common stock during the second quarter and have plans to repurchase an additional \$150 million of our outstanding common stock through the first quarter of 2014.

Our capital remained strong at June 30, 2013, as earnings during the first half of the year drove a \$655 million increase in our Tier 1 common equity, and our Tier 1 common equity ratio improved to 10.19% compared to 10.04% at December 31, 2012. Our Tier 1 capital and total capital ratios were 11.24% and 13.43%, respectively, compared to 11.13% and 13.48%, respectively, at December 31, 2012. Overall, our capital remains well above the requirements to be considered "well capitalized" according to current and proposed regulatory standards. See additional discussion of our capital and liquidity position in the "Capital Resources" and "Liquidity Risk Management" sections of this MD&A. The Federal Reserve issued final rules on July 2, 2013 related to capital adequacy requirements to implement the BCBS's Basel III framework for financial institutions in the U.S. The final rules become effective for us on January 1, 2015 and, based on our current analysis of the rules, we believe that our RWA would increase primarily due to increased risk-weightings for commercial real estate, MSRs, and certain on and off balance sheet exposures, resulting in a decline in our capital ratios. Based on our current and ongoing analysis of the recently published rules, we estimate our current Basel III Tier 1 common ratio, on a fully phased-in basis, would be approximately 9.5%, which would be in compliance with the requirements. See the "Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures" section in this MD&A for a reconciliation of the current Basel I ratio to the estimated Basel III ratio. See additional discussion in the "Capital Resources" section of this MD&A.

### Financial performance

Continued credit quality improvement and a significant decrease in expenses drove improvement in our earnings during the second quarter compared to the same period in the prior year. Net income available to common shareholders during the second quarter of 2013 was \$365 million, or \$0.68 per average diluted common share, compared to \$270 million, or \$0.50 per average diluted common share for the second quarter of 2012. Total revenue declined moderately as a result of the continued challenging interest rate environment, but offsetting the decline was a 51% decline in the provision for credit losses and a 10% reduction in noninterest expenses. Lower revenues were driven by a 5% decrease in net interest income primarily due to lower rates earned on average earning assets, which were partially offset by lower rates paid on average interest bearing liabilities and a reduction in average long-term debt. As a result, our net interest margin declined by 14 basis points compared to the second quarter of 2012. The decrease

in the provision for credit losses was attributable to improved credit quality, while the decrease in noninterest expenses was driven by the abatement of cyclically high credit-related costs and our ongoing efficiency improvement efforts. During the first six months of 2013, our efficiency and tangible efficiency ratios both improved over 300 basis points compared to the first six months of 2012.

During the first six months of 2013 net income available to common shareholders was \$705 million, or \$1.31 per average diluted common share, compared to \$515 million, or \$0.96 per average diluted common share, during the first six months of 2012. The results for the first six months of 2013 compared to the same period of last year were also driven by moderately lower revenue, offset by significantly lower provision for credit losses and an 11% decline in noninterest expenses due to the same factors as discussed for the quarter. As credit metrics are now at lower absolute levels, the favorable impact to net income available to common shareholders due to lower provisions for credit losses is expected to be less substantial in future periods.

Our asset quality metrics continued to improve during 2013, as NPLs, NPAs, and net charge-offs all declined to five year lows. Total NPLs continued the downward trend from 2012 with a decline of 26% from December 31, 2012, driven by reduced inflows into nonaccrual, continuing resolution of problem loans, and return to accruing status of approximately \$220 million of loans previously discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy that exhibited a period of sustained payment performance since being discharged. Declines in NPLs were experienced in most categories, with the largest declines coming from the residential portfolio driven by the Chapter 7 bankruptcy loans. In the third quarter, we expect NPLs will continue to trend lower. OREO declined 25% compared to year end, totaling 0.1% of total assets at June 30, 2013, which was the result of continued disposition of properties, coupled with a moderation of inflows. Our accruing restructured loan portfolio increased compared to December 31, 2012, primarily as a result of the Chapter 7 bankruptcy loans returning to accruing status. However, the accruing restructured portfolio continued to exhibit strong payment performance with 96% current on principal and interest payments at June 30, 2013. Early stage delinquencies, a leading indicator of asset quality, particularly for consumer loans, declined during the first six months of 2013, both in total and when excluding government-guaranteed loan delinquencies.

At June 30, 2013, the ALLL ratio was 1.75% of total loans, a decline of five basis points compared to December 31, 2012. The provision for loan losses decreased 50% and net charge-offs decreased 49% during the second quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter of 2012. Provision for loan losses was down 42% and net charge-offs decreased 48% during the first six months of 2013 compared to the first six months of 2012. The declines during 2013 were the result of improved credit quality. Annualized net charge-offs to total average loans was 0.59% and 0.67% during the second quarter and first six months of 2013, respectively, driven by decreases in charge-offs within each loan segment. In the third quarter, we expect net charge-offs will remain relatively stable compared to the second quarter. Overall, the improved credit metrics have been driven by fewer delinquencies, lower loss severities, and higher prices upon disposition of foreclosed assets, all of which are benefits of an improving housing market. We anticipate future improvements in our overall asset quality will likely be driven by residential loans, as the commercial and consumer portfolios are already at or near normalized levels. See additional discussion of credit and asset quality in the "Loans," "Allowance for Credit Losses," and "Nonperforming Assets," sections of this MD&A.

Average loans decreased 2% and 1% during the second quarter and first six months of 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2012. The declines during these periods were a result of lower federally guaranteed student and residential mortgage loan balances due primarily to sales in the second half of 2012, predominantly offset by ongoing growth in C&I loans and our consumer portfolio, excluding guaranteed student loans. Also driving the decline in average loans in both periods was the significant decrease in average NPLs primarily due to our continued resolution efforts and NPL sales in 2012. The percentage of our total loan portfolio that is government-guaranteed was 7% at June 30, 2013. We remain committed to providing financing and fulfilling the credit needs in the communities that we serve and are focused on extending credit to qualified borrowers. To that end, during the first six months of 2013, we extended approximately \$48 billion in new loan originations, commitments, and renewals of commercial, residential, and consumer loans to our clients, an increase of 9% from the first six months of 2012. Overall, loan demand remains moderate, but healthy commercial loan pipelines during the second quarter and gradual improvement in consumer and business confidence provide indications of potential future improvement.

Average consumer and commercial deposits increased 1% during the second quarter and the first six months of 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012, respectively. The increase during both periods was primarily the result of an



increase in noninterest-bearing DDAs and lower cost NOW accounts, partially offset by a decrease in higher cost time deposits. Average time deposits declined 17% and 18% from the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, as a result of the consumer shift from higher cost to lower cost deposits. The increase over the prior year periods in average consumer and commercial deposits allowed us to further reduce our wholesale and short-term borrowings. Specifically, during the second quarter and first six months of 2013, we reduced our higher-cost wholesale funding sources, primarily long-term debt, on average, by 29% and 24%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012. Additionally, we reduced our average other short-term borrowings by 22% and 43% compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively. See additional discussions in the "Net Interest Income/Margin" and "Borrowings" sections of this MD&A.

Total revenue, on an FTE basis, decreased 7% and 6% compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, driven by lower net interest income, as a result of the continued low interest rate environment, and a decrease in noninterest income, driven by a decline in mortgage-related revenue. Net interest income, on an FTE basis, decreased 5% and 6% compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, due to a decrease in our commercial loan swap-related income, the continued low interest rate environment, and lower average earning assets. Additionally, a 67 and 64 basis point decline in yields on AFS securities compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, contributed to the decrease in net interest income. Partially offsetting these declines in asset yields was a decrease in rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities due to the consumer shift to lower cost deposit products as well as a significant decline in our long-term debt expense. Our net interest margin was 3.25% for the second quarter of 2013, compared to 3.39% for the second quarter of 2012 and was 3.29% during the first six months of 2013 compared to 3.44% during the same period in 2012. The decline in margin was due to the same factors as noted in the decline in net interest income.

Noninterest income decreased 9% and 5% compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, driven by decreases in mortgage servicing related income, trading income, other charges and fees, and securities gains, largely offset by a lower mortgage repurchase provision and an increase in investment banking income. Mortgage servicing related income decreased as a result of less favorable net hedge performance, as well as lower servicing fees due to the decline in the servicing portfolio. The mortgage repurchase provision decreased \$140 million and \$301 million compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, as a result of the third quarter 2012 increase in the mortgage repurchase reserve to a level that reflects estimated losses of remaining expected demands on foreclosed and currently delinquent pre-2009 GSEs loan sales. Noninterest expense decreased 10% and 11% compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, with about half of the decline driven by lower core operating costs including compensation, consulting, and legal, and the balance due to a significant reduction in credit-related expenses. Decreases in OREO expense drove the decline in credit-related expense, and the elimination of certain expenses associated with the Independent Foreclosure Review drove the decline in legal and consulting expense. The decrease in employee compensation was largely attributable to the reduction in full-time equivalent employees.

Compared to the first quarter of 2013, net income available to common shareholders increased 7%, driven by improvement in credit quality as reflected in the 31% decrease in the provision for credit losses that offset slightly lower revenue and slightly higher expenses. The decline in revenue was a result of lower net interest income, driven by a reduction in commercial loan swap income, partially offset by an additional business day and modestly higher earning assets. Additionally, noninterest income declined due to a decrease in mortgage-related income, predominantly all of which was the result of lower gain on sale margins and a decline in net hedge performance, both of which were affected by the mortgage interest rate volatility in the quarter. Largely offsetting the decrease in mortgage-related income was a broad based increase in other fee income categories, led by a 37% increase in investment banking income and an increase in wealth management-related revenue. Noninterest expenses increased \$34 million, or 2%, during the quarter as core operating costs were well controlled, but more volatile cyclical costs increased, driven by a specific mortgage-related legal matter and higher collection expenses related to continued resolution of defaulted mortgages, partially offset by seasonally lower employee benefits expense and a decline in FDIC expenses. Despite the increase in noninterest expenses during the quarter, we expect the cyclical expenses to continue to decline over time and our focus on achieving our efficiency ratio objectives remains high. While the efficiency ratio increased from the first quarter due to cyclical cost increases and the modest revenue decline, we remain committed to achieving an approximate 65% tangible efficiency ratio in 2013 and to our long-term target of below 60%. See additional discussion of our financial performance in this MD&A.

#### Line of Business Highlights

Net income improved during the second quarter and first six months of 2013 in Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management compared to the same periods in 2012. Reductions in expenses and provision for credit losses offset lower revenue to drive the 21% and 36% increase in net income over the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively. The improvement in credit quality, most notably in our home equity portfolio, drove the decrease in the provision for credit losses. The reduction in expenses was driven by changes to our staffing model and retail

branch network as a result of clients increasingly utilizing self-service channels. The decrease in expenses drove the 296 and 227 basis point improvements in our efficiency and tangible efficiency ratios, respectively, compared to the first six months of 2012.

Wholesale Banking reported strong results in 2013 that included improved net income compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2012 that was led by slightly improved revenue as a result of increased net interest income and decreases in the provision for credit losses and noninterest expense. Credit quality improvement in our CRE portfolio drove a 68% and 69% decrease in the provision for credit losses compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively. Noninterest expenses decreased 10% and 11% compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2012, resulting in efficiency and tangible efficiency ratios below 60%. The efficiency and tangible efficiency ratio improved 687 and 633 basis points, respectively, compared to the first six months of 2012.

Mortgage Banking results improved significantly compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2012, primarily due to decreases in the provision for credit losses, the mortgage repurchase provision, and noninterest expenses, along with a slight increase in net interest income. Decreases in provisions and expenses were a result of improved credit quality and a continued improvement in the operating environment. The expense decreases helped to mitigate the lower gain on sale margins in 2013 compared to the high levels realized in 2012. Despite the lower margins, revenue on new originations was strong as mortgage production volume was at its highest level since the third quarter of 2009 and increased 10% and 13% compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, led by an increase of 14% and 18% in refinance activity in the second quarter and first six months of 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012. However, we expect the refinance volume to abate during the second half of 2013, but we believe purchase volume is gaining momentum, albeit not currently at a rate to offset expected refinance declines, with an increase of 3% during the second quarter of 2013 compared to the same quarter in 2012.

Additional information related to performance of our segments during the quarter can be found in Note 15, "Business Segment Reporting," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q, and further discussion of segment results for the first half of 2013 and 2012, can be found in the "Business Segment Results" section of this MD&A.

## SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

Table 1

|  | Three Months Ended June 30 |           | Six Months Ended June 30 |           |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| (Dollars in millions, except per share data)                     | 2013                       | 2012      | 2013                     | 2012      |
| Summary of Operations:   |                            |           |                          |           |
| Interest income  | \$1,347                    | \$1,492   | \$2,706                  | \$3,026   |
| Interest expense   | 136                        | 218       | 274                      | 441       |
| Net interest income  | 1,211                      | 1,274     | 2,432                    | 2,585     |
| Provision for credit losses                                      | 146                        | 300       | 358                      | 617       |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses            | 1,065                      | 974       | 2,074                    | 1,968     |
| Noninterest income   | 858                        | 940       | 1,721                    | 1,816     |
| Noninterest expense  | 1,397                      | 1,546     | 2,760                    | 3,087     |
| Income before provision for income taxes                         | 526                        | 368       | 1,035                    | 697       |
| Provision for income taxes                                       | 146                        | 91        | 297                      | 160       |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest               | 3                          | 2         | 9                        | 12        |
| Net income   | \$377                      | \$275     | \$729                    | \$525     |
| Net income available to common shareholders                      | \$365                      | \$270     | \$705                    | \$515     |
| Net interest income - FTE  | \$1,242                    | \$1,306   | \$2,493                  | \$2,648   |
| Total revenue - FTE  | 2,100                      | 2,246     | 4,214                    | 4,464     |
| Total revenue - FTE, excluding net securities gains <sup>1</sup> | 2,100                      | 2,232     | 4,212                    | 4,432     |
| Net income per average common share:                             |                            |           |                          |           |
| Diluted  | 0.68                       | 0.50      | 1.31                     | 0.96      |
| Basic  | 0.68                       | 0.51      | 1.32                     | 0.97      |
| Dividends paid per average common share                          | 0.10                       | 0.05      | 0.15                     | 0.10      |
| Book value per common share                                      | 37.65                      | 37.69     |                          |           |
| Tangible book value per common share <sup>2</sup>                | 26.08                      | 26.02     |                          |           |
| Selected Average Balances  |                            |           |                          |           |
| Total assets   | \$172,537                  | \$177,915 | \$172,175                | \$177,385 |
| Earning assets   | 153,495                    | 154,890   | 152,986                  | 154,757   |
| Loans  | 121,372                    | 123,365   | 121,128                  | 122,954   |
| Consumer and commercial deposits                                 | 126,579                    | 125,885   | 127,114                  | 125,864   |
| Brokered time and foreign deposits                               | 2,075                      | 2,243     | 2,122                    | 2,258     |
| Total shareholders' equity                                       | 21,272                     | 20,472    | 21,195                   | 20,364    |
| Average common shares - diluted (thousands)                      | 539,763                    | 537,495   | 539,812                  | 536,951   |
| Average common shares - basic (thousands)                        | 535,172                    | 533,964   | 535,425                  | 533,532   |
| Financial Ratios (Annualized)                                    |                            |           |                          |           |
| ROA  | 0.88                       | % 0.62    | % 0.85                   | % 0.59    |
| ROE  | 7.12                       | 5.37      | 6.95                     | 5.16      |
| Net interest margin - FTE  | 3.25                       | 3.39      | 3.29                     | 3.44      |
| Efficiency ratio <sup>3</sup>                                    | 66.56                      | 68.83     | 65.50                    | 69.17     |
| Tangible efficiency ratio <sup>4</sup>                           | 66.27                      | 68.33     | 65.21                    | 68.67     |
| Total average shareholders' equity to total average assets       | 12.33                      | 11.51     | 12.31                    | 11.48     |
| Tangible equity to tangible assets <sup>5</sup>                  | 8.95                       | 8.31      |                          |           |
| Capital adequacy at period end                                   |                            |           |                          |           |
| Tier 1 common equity   | 10.19                      | % 9.40    | %                        |           |
| Tier 1 capital   | 11.24                      | 10.15     |                          |           |
| Total capital  | 13.43                      | 12.84     |                          |           |
| Tier 1 leverage  | 9.40                       | 8.15      |                          |           |



## SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA, continued

| (Dollars in millions, except per share data)  | Three Months Ended June 30 |               | Six Months Ended June 30 |         |   |
|---|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------|---|
|   | 2013                       | 2012          | 2013                     | 2012    |   |
| Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures  |                            |               |                          |         |   |
| Net interest income   | \$1,211                    | \$1,274       | \$2,432                  | \$2,585 |   |
| FTE adjustment  | 31                         | 32            | 61                       | 63      |   |
| Net interest income - FTE   | 1,242                      | 1,306         | 2,493                    | 2,648   |   |
| Noninterest income  | 858                        | 940           | 1,721                    | 1,816   |   |
| Total revenue - FTE   | 2,100                      | 2,246         | 4,214                    | 4,464   |   |
| Securities gains, net   | —                          | (14           | ) (2                     | ) (32   |   |
| Total revenue - FTE excluding securities gains, net <sup>1</sup>                            | \$2,100                    | \$2,232       | \$4,212                  | \$4,432 |   |
| Efficiency ratio <sup>3</sup>   | 66.56                      | % 68.83       | % 65.50                  | % 69.17 | % |
| Impact of excluding amortization of intangible assets                                       | (0.29                      | ) (0.50       | ) (0.29                  | ) (0.50 | ) |
| Tangible efficiency ratio <sup>4</sup>  | 66.27                      | % 68.33       | % 65.21                  | % 68.67 | % |
|   | June 30,<br>2013           | June 30, 2012 |                          |         |   |
| Total shareholders' equity  | \$21,007                   | \$20,568      |                          |         |   |
| Goodwill, net of deferred taxes of \$174 and \$156, respectively                            | (6,195                     | ) (6,220      | )                        |         |   |
| Other intangible assets, net of deferred taxes of \$4 and \$10, respectively, and MSRs      | (1,240                     | ) (929        | )                        |         |   |
| MSRs  | 1,199                      | 865           |                          |         |   |
| Tangible equity   | 14,771                     | 14,284        |                          |         |   |
| Preferred stock   | (725                       | ) (275        | )                        |         |   |
| Tangible common equity  | \$14,046                   | \$14,009      |                          |         |   |
| Total assets  | \$171,546                  | \$178,257     |                          |         |   |
| Goodwill  | (6,369                     | ) (6,376      | )                        |         |   |
| Other intangible assets including MSRs  | (1,244                     | ) (939        | )                        |         |   |
| MSRs  | 1,199                      | 865           |                          |         |   |
| Tangible assets   | \$165,132                  | \$171,807     |                          |         |   |
| Tangible equity to tangible assets <sup>5</sup>   | 8.95                       | % 8.31        | %                        |         |   |
| Tangible book value per common share <sup>2</sup>   | \$26.08                    | \$26.02       |                          |         |   |
| Total loans   | \$122,031                  | \$124,560     |                          |         |   |
| Government guaranteed loans   | (9,053                     | ) (12,911     | )                        |         |   |
| Loans held at fair value  | (339                       | ) (406        | )                        |         |   |
| Total loans, excluding government guaranteed and fair value loans                           | \$112,639                  | \$111,243     |                          |         |   |
| Allowance to total loans, excluding government guaranteed and fair value loans <sup>6</sup> | 1.89                       | % 2.07        | %                        |         |   |

| (Dollars in billions)                              | June 30, 2013 |   |
|--|---------------|---|
| Reconciliation of Tier 1 Common Equity Ratio       |               |   |
| Tier 1 Common Equity - Basel I                     | \$14.2        |   |
| Adjustments from Basel I to Basel III <sup>7</sup> | —             |   |
| Tier 1 Common Equity - Basel III <sup>8</sup>      | 14.2          |   |
| <br>   |               |   |
| RWA - Basel I                                      | 139.0         |   |
| Adjustments from Basel I to Basel III <sup>9</sup> | 9.7           |   |
| RWA - Basel III <sup>8</sup>                       | 148.7         |   |
| Tier 1 Common Equity Ratio:                        |               |   |
| Basel I  | 10.2          | % |
| Basel III <sup>8</sup>                             | 9.5           |   |

<sup>1</sup>We present total revenue- FTE excluding net securities gains. Total Revenue is calculated as net interest income - FTE plus noninterest income. Net interest income is presented on an FTE basis, which adjusts for the tax-favored status of net interest income from certain loans and investments. We believe this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income, and it enhances comparability of net interest income arising from taxable and tax-exempt sources. We also believe that noninterest income without net securities gains is more indicative of our performance because it isolates income that is primarily client relationship and client transaction driven and is more indicative of normalized operations.

<sup>2</sup>We present a tangible book value per common share that excludes the after-tax impact of purchase accounting intangible assets and also excludes preferred stock from tangible equity. We believe this measure is useful to investors because, by removing the effect of intangible assets that result from merger and acquisition activity as well as preferred stock (the level of which may vary from company to company), it allows investors to more easily compare our book value on common stock to other companies in the industry.

<sup>3</sup>Computed by dividing noninterest expense by total revenue - FTE. The FTE basis adjusts for the tax-favored status of net interest income from certain loans and investments. We believe this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income, and it enhances comparability of net interest income arising from taxable and tax-exempt sources.

<sup>4</sup>We present a tangible efficiency ratio which excludes the amortization of intangible assets other than MSRs. We believe this measure is useful to investors because, by removing the effect of these intangible asset costs (the level of which may vary from company to company), it allows investors to more easily compare our efficiency to other companies in the industry. This measure is utilized by us to assess our efficiency and that of our lines of business.

<sup>5</sup>We present a tangible equity to tangible assets ratio that excludes the after-tax impact of purchase accounting intangible assets. We believe this measure is useful to investors because, by removing the effect of intangible assets that result from merger and acquisition activity (the level of which may vary from company to company), it allows investors to more easily compare our capital adequacy to other companies in the industry. This measure is used by us to analyze capital adequacy.

<sup>6</sup>We present a ratio of allowance to total loans, excluding government guaranteed and fair value loans, to exclude loans from the calculation that are held at fair value with no related allowance and loans guaranteed by a government agency that do not have an associated allowance recorded due to nominal risk of principal loss.

<sup>7</sup>Primarily relates to the impacts of mortgage servicing assets essentially offset by certain disallowed DTAs.

<sup>8</sup>The Basel III calculations of Tier 1 common equity, RWA, and the Tier 1 common equity ratio are based upon our current interpretation of the final Basel III rules issued by the Federal Reserve in July 2013, on a fully phased in basis.

<sup>9</sup>The largest differences between our RWA as calculated under Basel I compared to Basel III relate to the risk-weightings for certain commercial loans, unfunded commitments, and mortgage servicing assets.





Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

| Consolidated Daily Average Balances, Income/Expense, and Average Yields Earned/Rates Paid<br>(Dollars in millions; yields on taxable-equivalent basis) | Three Months Ended |                |              |                  |                |              | Table 2             |              |
|--|--------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
|  | June 30, 2013      |                |              | June 30, 2012    |                |              | Increase/(Decrease) |              |
|  | Average Balances   | Income/Expense | Yields/Rates | Average Balances | Income/Expense | Yields/Rates | Average Balances    | Yields/Rates |
| Assets   |                    |                |              |                  |                |              |                     |              |
| Loans: <sup>1</sup>  |                    |                |              |                  |                |              |                     |              |
| C&I - FTE <sup>2</sup>   | \$54,490           | \$544          | 4.01 %       | \$50,798         | \$578          | 4.58 %       | \$3,692             | (0.57 )      |
| Commercial real estate   | 4,262              | 35             | 3.27         | 4,582            | 42             | 3.65         | (320 )              | (0.38 )      |
| Commercial construction  | 628                | 5              | 3.47         | 862              | 8              | 3.85         | (234 )              | (0.38 )      |
| Residential mortgages - guaranteed   | 3,768              | 27             | 2.86         | 5,853            | 47             | 3.19         | (2,085 )            | (0.33 )      |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed  | 22,470             | 242            | 4.30         | 22,707           | 260            | 4.59         | (237 )              | (0.29 )      |
| Home equity products   | 14,358             | 131            | 3.65         | 15,066           | 138            | 3.69         | (708 )              | (0.04 )      |
| Residential construction   | 559                | 8              | 5.46         | 707              | 9              | 5.11         | (148 )              | 0.35         |
| Guaranteed student loans   | 5,339              | 50             | 3.78         | 7,195            | 69             | 3.84         | (1,856 )            | (0.06 )      |
| Other direct   | 2,434              | 27             | 4.41         | 2,186            | 24             | 4.37         | 248                 | 0.04         |
| Indirect   | 11,073             | 94             | 3.41         | 10,288           | 99             | 3.88         | 785                 | (0.47 )      |
| Credit cards   | 617                | 15             | 9.80         | 537              | 14             | 10.35        | 80                  | (0.55 )      |
| Nonaccrual <sup>3</sup>  | 1,374              | 9              | 2.76         | 2,584            | 6              | 1.00         | (1,210 )            | 1.76         |
| Total loans <sup>4</sup>   | 121,372            | 1,187          | 3.92         | 123,365          | 1,294          | 4.22         | (1,993 )            | (0.30 )      |
| Securities available for sale:   |                    |                |              |                  |                |              |                     |              |
| Taxable  | 22,834             | 141            | 2.46         | 22,569           | 176            | 3.13         | 265                 | (0.67 )      |
| Tax-exempt - FTE <sup>2</sup>  | 263                | 3              | 5.18         | 375              | 5              | 5.32         | (112 )              | (0.14 )      |
| Total securities available for sale - FTE  | 23,097             | 144            | 2.49         | 22,944           | 181            | 3.16         | 153                 | (0.67 )      |
| Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell   | 1,107              | —              | —            | 924              | —              | 0.01         | 183                 | (0.01 )      |
| LHFS   | 3,540              | 29             | 3.26         | 3,352            | 31             | 3.65         | 188                 | (0.39 )      |
| Interest-bearing deposits  | 21                 | —              | 0.06         | 22               | —              | 0.26         | (1 )                | (0.20 )      |
| Interest earning trading assets  | 4,358              | 18             | 1.60         | 4,283            | 18             | 1.67         | 75                  | (0.07 )      |
| Total earning assets   | 153,495            | 1,378          | 3.60         | 154,890          | 1,524          | 3.96         | (1,395 )            | (0.36 )      |
| ALLL   | (2,143 )           |                |              | (2,323 )         |                |              | 180                 |              |
| Cash and due from banks  | 4,453              |                |              | 4,721            |                |              | (268 )              |              |
| Other assets   | 14,346             |                |              | 15,260           |                |              | (914 )              |              |
| Noninterest earning trading assets   | 1,699              |                |              | 2,230            |                |              | (531 )              |              |
| Unrealized gains on securities available for sale  | 687                |                |              | 3,137            |                |              | (2,450 )            |              |
| Total assets   | \$172,537          |                |              | \$177,915        |                |              | (\$5,378)           |              |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity   |                    |                |              |                  |                |              |                     |              |
| Interest-bearing deposits:   |                    |                |              |                  |                |              |                     |              |
| NOW accounts   | \$26,015           | \$4            | 0.06 %       | \$24,957         | \$6            | 0.10 %       | \$1,058             | (0.04 )      |
| Money market accounts  | 41,850             | 13             | 0.13         | 41,950           | 24             | 0.23         | (100 )              | (0.10 )      |
| Savings  | 5,808              | 1              | 0.05         | 5,169            | 1              | 0.11         | 639                 | (0.06 )      |
| Consumer time  | 9,163              | 26             | 1.15         | 10,997           | 40             | 1.47         | (1,834 )            | (0.32 )      |
| Other time   | 5,036              | 17             | 1.34         | 6,193            | 25             | 1.63         | (1,157 )            | (0.29 )      |
| Total interest-bearing consumer and commercial deposits  | 87,872             | 61             | 0.28         | 89,266           | 96             | 0.43         | (1,394 )            | (0.15 )      |
| Brokered time deposits   | 2,038              | 14             | 2.54         | 2,211            | 22             | 3.88         | (173 )              | (1.34 )      |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |           |         |        |           |         |        |           |         |
|--|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|---------|
| Foreign deposits                               | 37        | —       | 0.13   | 32        | —       | 0.18   | 5         | (0.05 ) |
| Total interest-bearing deposits                | 89,947    | 75      | 0.33   | 91,509    | 118     | 0.52   | (1,562 )  | (0.19 ) |
| Funds purchased                                | 657       | —       | 0.10   | 810       | —       | 0.11   | (153 )    | (0.01 ) |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 1,879     | 1       | 0.13   | 1,646     | 1       | 0.18   | 233       | (0.05 ) |
| Interest-bearing trading liabilities           | 751       | 4       | 2.29   | 751       | 4       | 2.36   | —         | (0.07 ) |
| Other short-term borrowings                    | 5,422     | 3       | 0.24   | 6,942     | 5       | 0.27   | (1,520 )  | (0.03 ) |
| Long-term debt <sup>4</sup>                    | 9,700     | 53      | 2.19   | 13,657    | 90      | 2.65   | (3,957 )  | (0.46 ) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities             | 108,356   | 136     | 0.50   | 115,315   | 218     | 0.76   | (6,959 )  | (0.26 ) |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits                   | 38,707    |         |        | 36,619    |         |        | 2,088     |         |
| Other liabilities                              | 3,702     |         |        | 4,337     |         |        | (635 )    |         |
| Noninterest-bearing trading liabilities        | 500       |         |        | 1,172     |         |        | (672 )    |         |
| Shareholders' equity                           | 21,272    |         |        | 20,472    |         |        | 800       |         |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity     | \$172,537 |         |        | \$177,915 |         |        | (\$5,378) |         |
| Interest Rate Spread                           |           |         | 3.10 % |           |         | 3.20 % |           | (0.10 ) |
| Net interest income - FTE <sup>4</sup>         |           | \$1,242 |        |           | \$1,306 |        |           |         |
| Net Interest Margin <sup>5</sup>               |           |         | 3.25 % |           |         | 3.39 % |           | (0.14 ) |

<sup>1</sup>Interest income includes loan fees of \$44 million and \$31 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

<sup>2</sup>Interest income includes the effects of taxable-equivalent adjustments using a federal income tax rate of 35% and, where applicable, state income taxes to increase tax-exempt interest income to a taxable-equivalent basis. The net taxable-equivalent adjustment amounts included in the above table aggregated \$31 million and \$32 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

<sup>3</sup>Income on consumer and residential nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is recognized on a cash basis.

<sup>4</sup>Derivative instruments that manage our interest-sensitivity position increased net interest income \$106 million and \$125 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

<sup>5</sup>The net interest margin is calculated by dividing annualized net interest income – FTE by average total earning assets.

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Consolidated Daily Average Balances, Income/Expense, and Average Yields Earned/Rates Paid (cont.)

| (Dollars in millions; yields on taxable-equivalent basis)                          | Six Months Ended |         |        |               |         |        | Increase/(Decrease) |              |
|--|------------------|---------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------------|--------------|
|  | June 30, 2013    |         |        | June 30, 2012 |         |        | Average Balances    | Yields/Rates |
| Assets   |                  |         |        |               |         |        |                     |              |
| Loans: <sup>1</sup>  |                  |         |        |               |         |        |                     |              |
| C&I - FTE <sup>2</sup>   | \$54,129         | \$1,101 | 4.10 % | \$50,170      | \$1,176 | 4.72 % | \$3,959             | (0.62 )      |
| Commercial real estate   | 4,177            | 70      | 3.38   | 4,660         | 86      | 3.69   | (483 )              | (0.31 )      |
| Commercial construction  | 645              | 12      | 3.61   | 891           | 17      | 3.87   | (246 )              | (0.26 )      |
| Residential mortgages - guaranteed   | 3,922            | 54      | 2.73   | 6,166         | 99      | 3.22   | (2,244 )            | (0.49 )      |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed  | 22,428           | 479     | 4.27   | 22,327        | 519     | 4.65   | 101                 | (0.38 )      |
| Home equity products   | 14,361           | 260     | 3.64   | 15,174        | 279     | 3.70   | (813 )              | (0.06 )      |
| Residential construction   | 587              | 15      | 5.02   | 722           | 18      | 5.12   | (135 )              | (0.10 )      |
| Guaranteed student loans   | 5,368            | 102     | 3.85   | 7,252         | 140     | 3.88   | (1,884 )            | (0.03 )      |
| Other direct   | 2,416            | 53      | 4.42   | 2,143         | 47      | 4.41   | 273                 | 0.01         |
| Indirect   | 11,035           | 190     | 3.47   | 10,200        | 200     | 3.94   | 835                 | (0.47 )      |
| Credit cards   | 617              | 30      | 9.66   | 541           | 29      | 10.47  | 76                  | (0.81 )      |
| Nonaccrual <sup>3</sup>  | 1,443            | 20      | 2.84   | 2,708         | 14      | 1.03   | (1,265 )            | 1.81         |
| Total loans <sup>4</sup>   | 121,128          | 2,386   | 3.97   | 122,954       | 2,624   | 4.29   | (1,826 )            | (0.32 )      |
| Securities available for sale:   |                  |         |        |               |         |        |                     |              |
| Taxable  | 22,524           | 280     | 2.50   | 23,409        | 366     | 3.13   | (885 )              | (0.63 )      |
| Tax-exempt - FTE <sup>2</sup>  | 278              | 7       | 5.20   | 398           | 11      | 5.37   | (120 )              | (0.17 )      |
| Total securities available for sale - FTE  | 22,802           | 287     | 2.53   | 23,807        | 377     | 3.17   | (1,005 )            | (0.64 )      |
| Federal funds sold and securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell | 1,099            | —       | 0.02   | 827           | —       | 0.02   | 272                 | —            |
| LHFS   | 3,646            | 60      | 3.28   | 3,001         | 55      | 3.67   | 645                 | (0.39 )      |
| Interest-bearing deposits  | 21               | —       | 0.09   | 21            | —       | 0.24   | —                   | (0.15 )      |
| Interest earning trading assets  | 4,290            | 34      | 1.57   | 4,147         | 33      | 1.58   | 143                 | (0.01 )      |
| Total earning assets   | 152,986          | 2,767   | 3.65   | 154,757       | 3,089   | 4.01   | (1,771 )            | (0.36 )      |
| ALLL   | (2,160 )         |         |        | (2,375 )      |         |        | 215                 |              |
| Cash and due from banks  | 4,457            |         |        | 4,642         |         |        | (185 )              |              |
| Other assets   | 14,344           |         |        | 15,076        |         |        | (732 )              |              |
| Noninterest earning trading assets   | 1,808            |         |        | 2,245         |         |        | (437 )              |              |
| Unrealized gains on securities available for sale                                  | 740              |         |        | 3,040         |         |        | (2,300 )            |              |
| Total assets   | \$172,175        |         |        | \$177,385     |         |        | (\$5,210)           |              |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity   |                  |         |        |               |         |        |                     |              |
| Interest-bearing deposits:   |                  |         |        |               |         |        |                     |              |
| NOW accounts   | \$26,198         | \$9     | 0.07 % | \$25,110      | \$12    | 0.10 % | \$1,088             | (0.03 )      |
| Money market accounts  | 42,419           | 29      | 0.14   | 42,219        | 49      | 0.23   | 200                 | (0.09 )      |
| Savings  | 5,669            | 2       | 0.06   | 5,015         | 3       | 0.11   | 654                 | (0.05 )      |
| Consumer time  | 9,291            | 53      | 1.15   | 11,234        | 84      | 1.50   | (1,943 )            | (0.35 )      |
| Other time   | 5,140            | 34      | 1.35   | 6,281         | 52      | 1.66   | (1,141 )            | (0.31 )      |
| Total interest-bearing consumer and commercial deposits                            | 88,717           | 127     | 0.29   | 89,859        | 200     | 0.45   | (1,142 )            | (0.16 )      |
| Brokered time deposits   | 2,062            | 27      | 2.58   | 2,238         | 45      | 3.96   | (176 )              | (1.38 )      |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |           |         |        |           |         |        |           |         |
|--|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|---------|
| Foreign deposits                               | 60        | —       | 0.14   | 20        | —       | 0.17   | 40        | (0.03 ) |
| Total interest-bearing deposits                | 90,839    | 154     | 0.34   | 92,117    | 245     | 0.53   | (1,278 )  | (0.19 ) |
| Funds purchased                                | 686       | —       | 0.10   | 840       | 1       | 0.11   | (154 )    | (0.01 ) |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 1,793     | 2       | 0.16   | 1,640     | 1       | 0.16   | 153       | —       |
| Interest-bearing trading liabilities           | 737       | 8       | 2.25   | 641       | 7       | 2.10   | 96        | 0.15    |
| Other short-term borrowings                    | 4,576     | 6       | 0.26   | 8,056     | 9       | 0.23   | (3,480 )  | 0.03    |
| Long-term debt <sup>4</sup>                    | 9,530     | 104     | 2.20   | 12,507    | 178     | 2.87   | (2,977 )  | (0.67 ) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities             | 108,161   | 274     | 0.51   | 115,801   | 441     | 0.77   | (7,640 )  | (0.26 ) |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits                   | 38,397    |         |        | 36,005    |         |        | 2,392     |         |
| Other liabilities                              | 3,874     |         |        | 4,116     |         |        | (242 )    |         |
| Noninterest-bearing trading liabilities        | 548       |         |        | 1,099     |         |        | (551 )    |         |
| Shareholders' equity                           | 21,195    |         |        | 20,364    |         |        | 831       |         |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity     | \$172,175 |         |        | \$177,385 |         |        | (\$5,210) |         |
| Interest Rate Spread                           |           |         | 3.14 % |           |         | 3.24 % |           | (0.10 ) |
| Net interest income - FTE <sup>4</sup>         |           | \$2,493 |        |           | \$2,648 |        |           |         |
| Net Interest Margin <sup>5</sup>               |           |         | 3.29 % |           |         | 3.44 % |           | (0.15 ) |

<sup>1</sup>Interest income includes loan fees of \$71 million and \$55 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

<sup>2</sup>Interest income includes the effects of taxable-equivalent adjustments using a federal income tax rate of 35% and, where applicable, state income taxes to increase tax-exempt interest income to a taxable-equivalent basis. The net taxable-equivalent adjustment amounts included in the above table aggregated \$61 million and \$63 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

<sup>3</sup>Income on consumer and residential nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is recognized on a cash basis.

<sup>4</sup>Derivative instruments that manage our interest-sensitivity position increased net interest income \$225 million and \$281 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

<sup>5</sup>The net interest margin is calculated by dividing annualized net interest income – FTE by average total earning assets.

## Net Interest Income/Margin

## Second Quarter of 2013

Net interest income, on an FTE basis, was \$1.2 billion during the second quarter of 2013, a decrease of \$64 million, or 5%, from the second quarter of 2012. The decrease was predominantly driven by ongoing compression in earning asset yields, particularly in loans and securities AFS, as a result of the low interest rate environment, a reduction in our commercial loan swap-related income, lower earning assets, and the forgone Coke dividend income as a result of the sale and contribution of our Coke common stock during the third quarter of 2012. These factors were partially offset by a favorable shift in the deposit mix to lower cost products from higher cost products, lower rates paid on deposits, and a significant reduction in our long-term debt expense. As a result of these changes, net interest margin decreased 14 basis points to 3.25% during the second quarter of 2013, compared to 3.39% during the second quarter of 2012. Average earning assets decreased by \$1.4 billion, or 1%, compared to the second quarter of 2012, driven by a \$2.0 billion, or 2%, reduction in average loans, partially offset by an increase of \$153 million, or 1%, in our average securities AFS portfolio and a \$188 million, or 6%, increase in average LHFS. The decline in average loans was largely due to sales of government guaranteed residential mortgages and student loans during 2012. Average nonaccrual loans also declined 47%, driven by ongoing credit quality improvement and the sales of NPLs during 2012. These decreases were partially offset by growth in C&I loans of \$3.7 billion, or 7%, primarily driven by our large corporate and middle market borrowers, and consumer loans, excluding guaranteed student loans, which increased approximately 9% compared to the second quarter of 2012.

Yields on earning assets declined 36 basis points to 3.60% during the second quarter of 2013, compared to 3.96% during the second quarter of 2012. The yield on our securities AFS portfolio during the second quarter of 2013 was 2.49%, a decrease of 67 basis points, and our loan portfolio yielded 3.92%, down 30 basis points from the second quarter of 2012. The yield declines were primarily driven by the low interest rate environment and, for loans, by lower commercial loan swap-related income and, for securities, by the foregone dividend income on the Coke common stock.

We utilize interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk. The largest notional position of these swaps are pay variable-receive fixed interest rate swaps that convert a portion of our commercial loan portfolio from floating rates, based on LIBOR, to fixed rates. At June 30, 2013, the outstanding notional balance of active swaps was \$17.3 billion, which qualified as cash flow hedges on variable rate commercial loans, compared to \$13.4 billion at June 30, 2012. In addition to the income recognized from currently outstanding swaps, we also continue to recognize interest income over the original hedge period resulting from terminated or de-designated swaps that were previously designated as cash flow hedges on variable rate commercial loans. Swap-related interest income declined to \$100 million during the second quarter of 2013 from \$120 million during the same period in 2012. The \$20 million decline was primarily due to a decline in income from the maturity of \$2.1 billion of active swaps during the second quarter of 2013 and \$9.0 billion of previously terminated swaps that reached their original maturity date during 2012 and 2013. We added \$2.0 billion of new pay variable-receive fixed commercial loan swaps in the second quarter of 2013 after interest rates increased, which we expect to aid net interest income in the near-term by approximately \$7 million per quarter. As we manage our interest rate risk we may purchase and/or terminate additional interest rate swaps. Our notional balance of active swaps will begin to mature in the second quarter of 2014 with remaining maturities through 2018, absent any additions or terminations. The average maturity of our active swap notional balances at June 30, 2013 was 2.6 years. The commercial loan swaps have a fixed rate of interest that is received, while the rate paid is based on LIBOR. Estimated quarterly income of these swaps based on current expectations of future LIBOR rates is as follows:

Table 3

|                       | Ending Notional Balances<br>of Active Swaps<br>(in billions) | Estimated Income<br>Related to Swaps<br>(in millions) |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| Third Quarter 2013    | \$17.3   | \$100   |
| Fourth Quarter 2013   | 17.3   | 100   |
| First Quarter of 2014 | 17.3   | 100   |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|                     |      |    |
|---------------------|------|----|
| Second Quarter 2014 | 16.1 | 96 |
| Third Quarter 2014  | 16.1 | 90 |
| Fourth Quarter 2014 | 12.6 | 73 |

91

---

Average interest-bearing liabilities during the quarter decreased \$7.0 billion, or 6%, from the second quarter of 2012 and average rates on interest-bearing liabilities were 0.50%, a decrease of 26 basis points. The decrease was predominantly a result of a \$4.0 billion, or 29%, reduction in average long-term debt, a \$3.0 billion, or 17%, decrease in average higher-cost time deposits, and a \$1.5 billion, or 22%, reduction in average other short-term borrowings. These were partially offset by an increase of \$1.6 billion, or 2%, in lower cost average interest-bearing deposits. The continued shift toward lower cost deposit products also included an increase of \$2.1 billion, or 6%, in average demand deposits compared to the second quarter of 2012. The driver of the decline in average long-term debt was primarily attributable to the redemption of \$1.2 billion of higher cost trust preferred securities during the third quarter of 2012, which had a weighted average rate of approximately 7%, and extinguishment of a \$1.0 billion FHLB advance and \$1.2 billion of senior notes related to the Coke transaction in 2012. Slightly offsetting these declines, we took advantage of the lower interest rates early in the second quarter of 2013 and issued \$600 million of 10-year senior notes at a 2.75% coupon. Subsequently, we added \$600 million of new pay variable-receive fixed long term debt swaps in the second quarter of 2013 that we expect to aid net interest income in the near-term by approximately \$3 million per quarter. The reduction in average other short-term borrowings was due to a reduction in short-term FHLB advances during the second half of 2012. The decrease of 26 basis points on rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities compared to the second quarter of 2012 was primarily driven by a 46 basis point decline in rates paid on long-term debt, driven by the aforementioned redemption and extinguishments, and a 19 basis point decline in rates paid on total interest bearing deposits, which included a 15 basis point decrease in consumer and commercial deposits. The decline in consumer and commercial deposits was a result of the improved funding mix driven by the shift from higher cost deposit products to lower cost deposit products.

During the second quarter of 2013, the interest rate environment was characterized by a steepening in the yield curve versus the second quarter of 2012, as rates at the long end of the yield curve increased. More specifically, during the second quarter of 2013, benchmark rates were as follows compared to second quarter of 2012: one-month LIBOR averaged 0.20%, a decrease of 4 basis points, three-month LIBOR averaged 0.28%, a decrease of 19 basis points, five-year swaps averaged 1.57%, an increase of 49 basis points, and ten-year swaps averaged 2.70%, an increase of 75 basis points. During the second quarter of 2013, the Fed funds target rate averaged 0.25% and the Prime rate averaged 3.25%, both unchanged from the second quarter of 2012.

In the coming quarters, assuming current rates remain unchanged, we expect the steeper yield curve to help mitigate the declining trend in net interest margin, as well as provide an increasing benefit to net interest income over time. Specifically, the steeper curve is beneficial to spread income and the positive benefit should be noticed in our financial results over time as existing loans and securities provide cash flows that we can redeploy at higher yields. We anticipate net interest margin to decline by mid-single digits in the third quarter, followed by a more stable margin in the fourth quarter as compared to the third quarter.

#### First Half of 2013

For the first six months of 2013, net interest income was \$2.5 billion, a decrease of \$155 million, or 6%, from the first six months of 2012. The decrease was predominantly driven by the same factors as discussed above for the second quarter related to lower asset yields, a reduction in commercial loan swap-related income, lower earning assets, and the elimination of the Coke dividend income.

Average earning assets decreased by \$1.8 billion, or 1%. The decrease was driven by reductions of \$1.8 billion, or 1%, in average loans and \$1.0 billion, or 4%, in average securities AFS, partially offset by an increase of \$645 million, or 21% in average LHFS. The factors contributing to the year-over-year changes in earning assets were the same as those discussed related to the second quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter of 2012.

Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$7.6 billion, or 7%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012, predominantly due to a \$3.5 billion, or 43%, reduction in other short-term borrowings, a \$3.1 billion, or 18%, decrease in higher-cost time deposits, and a \$3.0 billion, or 24%, reduction in long-term debt. These were partially offset by an increase of \$1.9 billion, or 3%, in lower cost interest-bearing deposits. Average consumer and commercial deposits increased \$1.3 billion, or 1%, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012, and included an increase of \$2.4 billion, or 7%, in demand deposits, as well as the increase in the aforementioned lower cost interest-bearing deposits, partially offset by the decrease in higher-cost time deposits,



reflecting the continued shift toward lower cost deposit products. The factors contributing to the year-over-year reduction in average other short-term borrowings and average long-term debt were the same as those discussed related to the second quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter of 2012.

The net interest margin was 3.29%, a decline of 15 basis points compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. Yields on average earning assets declined 36 basis points to 3.65% for the six months ended June 30, 2013, from 4.01% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The average yield on securities AFS was 2.53%, down 64 basis points from the six months ended June 30, 2012. Prepayments and maturities of higher yielding securities, reinvestment of principal cash flow at lower

yields, and the foregone dividend income on the Coke common stock, drove the decline in yield on securities AFS. Also contributing to the decline in yields on average earning assets during the six months ended June 30, 2013, was a 39 basis point decline in LHFS, as well as a 32 basis point decline in loans, primarily due to the low interest rate environment and a \$57 million decline in our commercial loan swap-related income. Offsetting the decline in the yield on average earning assets, was a decrease of 26 basis points on rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012, primarily driven by a 67 basis point decline in rates paid on long-term debt as we were able to repay higher cost debt with our increased lower cost deposits and available cash position. A 16 basis point decline in rates paid on consumer and commercial deposits also contributed to the decrease in interest bearing liability rates paid and was also a result of the improved funding mix driven by the shift from higher cost deposit products to lower cost deposit products.

#### Foregone Interest

Foregone interest income from NPLs reduced the net interest margin by 2 basis points during the second quarter of 2013 and 3 basis points during the first six months of 2013, compared to a reduction of 9 basis points and 10 basis points during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as average nonaccrual loans decreased by \$1.2 billion and \$1.3 billion during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012. See additional discussion of our expectations for future levels of credit quality in the "Allowance for Credit Losses" and "Nonperforming Assets" sections of this MD&A. Table 2 contains more detailed information concerning average balances, yields earned, and rates paid.

#### NONINTEREST INCOME

| (Dollars in millions)                  | Three Months Ended<br>June 30 |       |          | Six Months Ended<br>June 30 |         |          |
|--|-------------------------------|-------|----------|-----------------------------|---------|----------|
|  | 2013                          | 2012  | % Change | 2013                        | 2012    | % Change |
| Service charges on deposit accounts    | \$164                         | \$167 | (2 %)    | \$324                       | \$332   | (2 %)    |
| Trust and investment management income | 130                           | 130   | —        | 254                         | 260     | (2 %)    |
| Retail investment services             | 69                            | 62    | 11       | 130                         | 120     | 8        |
| Other charges and fees                 | 97                            | 111   | (13 %)   | 186                         | 208     | (11 %)   |
| Investment banking income              | 93                            | 75    | 24       | 161                         | 147     | 10       |
| Trading income                         | 49                            | 70    | (30 %)   | 91                          | 127     | (28 %)   |
| Card fees <sup>1</sup>                 | 78                            | 85    | (8 %)    | 154                         | 164     | (6 %)    |
| Mortgage production related income     | 133                           | 103   | 29       | 292                         | 166     | 76       |
| Mortgage servicing related income      | 1                             | 70    | (99 %)   | 39                          | 151     | (74 %)   |
| Other noninterest income               | 44                            | 53    | (17 %)   | 88                          | 109     | (19 %)   |
| Net securities gains                   | —                             | 14    | (100 %)  | 2                           | 32      | (94 %)   |
| Total noninterest income               | \$858                         | \$940 | (9 %)    | \$1,721                     | \$1,816 | (5 %)    |

<sup>1</sup> PIN interchange fee income was previously presented in other charges and fees and is now included in card fees, where other interchange fee income is recorded, for all periods presented.

Noninterest income decreased \$82 million, or 9%, compared to the second quarter of 2012, and decreased by \$95 million, or 5%, during the first six months of 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year. The decline in noninterest income in both periods was driven by a decrease in mortgage servicing related income due to less favorable net hedge performance, and lower trading income, and less securities gains. Partially offsetting the decrease in both periods was higher mortgage production related income as a result of a significant reduction in the mortgage repurchase provision and higher investment banking income.

Other charges and fees decreased during the second quarter of 2013 by \$14 million, or 13%, compared to the second quarter of 2012, and decreased by \$22 million, or 11%, during the first six months of 2013 compared to the first six months of the prior year. The decrease was due to lower insurance premium income due to a reduction in reinsurance agreements with mortgage insurance companies and lower letter of credit and loan commitment fee income.

Investment banking income was \$93 million during the second quarter of 2013, an increase of \$18 million, or 24%, compared to the second quarter of the prior year, and \$161 million for the first six months of 2013, an increase of \$14 million, or 10%, compared to the first six months of 2012. The increases were driven by increased syndicated finance and high yield bond origination fees and higher fixed income underwriting fees.

Trading income decreased \$21 million, or 30%, during the second quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter of 2012, and by \$36 million, or 28%, during the first six months of 2013 compared to the first six months of the 2012. The decrease was largely driven by lower core trading income impacted by higher interest rates during the second quarter, partially offset by mark-to-market valuation adjustments on our fair value debt and index-linked CDs. Mortgage production related income increased \$30 million, or 29%, during the second quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter of 2012, and \$126 million, or 76%, during the first six months of 2013 compared to the first six months of 2012 due primarily to the decline in the mortgage repurchase provision (discussed further below) and higher origination fees, partially offset by reduced gain on sale margins. Gain on sale income decreased due to the compression in industry gain on sale margins relative to the historically high 2012 levels. The declining margin trend continued in the second quarter of 2013, albeit at a somewhat slower pace than experienced in recent quarters, as a result of the significant increase in interest rates during the latter part of the second quarter. The higher origination fees were driven by an increased amount of closed loans. Closed loans during the second quarter of 2013 were at the highest level since the third quarter of 2009 and totaled \$9.1 billion compared to \$8.3 billion for the second quarter of 2012, an increase of \$840 million, or 10%. Loan originations during the first six months of 2013 were \$17.9 billion compared to \$15.9 billion during the first six months of the prior year, an increase of \$2.0 billion, or 13%. Mortgage production during the second quarter and first six months of 2013 was comprised of approximately 66% and 73%, respectively, in refinance activity driven in part by the HARP 2.0 program. The HARP 2.0 program contributed approximately 17% and 19% to the total refinance production activity for the current quarter and first six months of 2013, respectively. However, with the increase in market interest rates that occurred in the latter part of the second quarter, we expect the refinance volume to abate in the second half of 2013, including HARP refinancing, some of which we experienced in the latter part of the current quarter. Purchase volume, on the other hand, is gaining momentum as it increased 3% from the second quarter of 2012 and home purchase application volume in the current quarter was 25% higher than the second quarter of 2012. While purchase volume is not currently at levels that will entirely offset the expected decline in refinances, it will provide some mitigation. Regardless, mortgage production income could remain under pressure due to the anticipated decline in refinance volume and continued declines in gain on sale margins toward the long-term historical average.

The mortgage repurchase provision for the second quarter of 2013 was \$15 million, a decrease of \$140 million, compared to the second quarter of 2012. For the first six months of 2013, the mortgage repurchase provision was \$29 million, a decrease of \$301 million, compared to the same period of the prior year. The lower provision was due to the third quarter of 2012 increase to the mortgage repurchase reserve. This was the result of information received during 2012 from the GSEs and our experience related to demands, both of which enhanced our ability to estimate losses related to remaining expected demands on foreclosed and currently delinquent pre-2009 GSEs loan sales. The reserve for mortgage repurchases was \$363 million at June 30, 2013, a decrease of \$269 million from December 31, 2012, resulting from the recognition of losses on resolved repurchase requests. Resolution activity increased on outstanding repurchase requests during the year, as the GSEs seek to complete pre-conservatorship vintage repurchases by the end of 2013. This was evident in a lower pending population, higher charge-offs, and an increase in full file requests during the year. We continue to expect quarter over quarter volatility in future demands and full file requests as we work with the GSEs through the resolution process, but we believe the increase during the year is an acceleration in timing of the expected cumulative requests. We believe our reserve appropriately estimates incurred losses based on our current analysis and assumptions, including GSE repurchase request criteria. However, we are aware of other institutions' disclosures of settlement of legacy repurchase exposures. In the event we were to evaluate specific settlement terms, we would consider all factors, including the benefits of eliminating future losses not currently captured in the reserve and reducing current operating expenses versus the potential loss, if any, of exceeding the current reserve estimate relating to the specific exposures that would be the subject of any such settlement. In the third quarter we expect the mortgage repurchase provision to remain stable. For additional information on the mortgage repurchase reserve, see Note 12, "Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q.

Mortgage servicing related income decreased \$69 million, or 99%, compared to the second quarter of 2012, and \$112 million, or 74%, compared to the first six months of the prior year. The decrease was primarily due to lower servicing fees as a result of less favorable net hedge performance and a smaller servicing portfolio. Net hedge performance is

highly sensitive to the market interest rate environment, which was volatile during the second quarter of 2013. As a result, during the second quarter of 2013, the interest rate environment caused the hedge to be only slightly additive to income. Further, mortgage servicing income declined compared to the second quarter and the first six months of 2012 due to higher collection of cash flows (i.e, decay) as a result of the increased refinance activity. At June 30, 2013, the servicing portfolio was \$140.4 billion compared to \$153.4 billion at June 30, 2012. The decline was driven by the sales of certain loans in the servicing portfolio and the high level of refinance activity.

Other noninterest income decreased \$9 million, or 17%, during the second quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter of 2012, and by \$21 million, or 19%, during the first six months of 2013 compared to the first six months of 2012 primarily due to lower gains from the sales of leases.

Net securities gains decreased by \$14 million and \$30 million respectively, during the second quarter and first six months of 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year due to the repositioning of our portfolio during 2012 to capture better relative value.

## NONINTEREST EXPENSE

Table 5

| (Dollars in millions)              | Three Months Ended |         |          | Six Months Ended |         |          |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|------------------|---------|----------|
|                                    | June 30            | June 30 | % Change | June 30          | June 30 | % Change |
| Employee compensation              | \$635              | \$654   | (3 %)    | \$1,246          | \$1,306 | (5 %)    |
| Employee benefits                  | 102                | 108     | (6 )     | 250              | 254     | (2 )     |
| Personnel expenses                 | 737                | 762     | (3 )     | 1,496            | 1,560   | (4 )     |
| Outside processing and software    | 187                | 180     | 4        | 365              | 356     | 3        |
| Net occupancy expense              | 86                 | 88      | (2 )     | 175              | 176     | (1 )     |
| Operating losses                   | 72                 | 69      | 4        | 111              | 129     | (14 )    |
| Credit and collection services     | 52                 | 61      | (15 )    | 85               | 116     | (27 )    |
| Equipment expense                  | 46                 | 46      | —        | 91               | 91      | —        |
| Regulatory assessments             | 41                 | 60      | (32 )    | 95               | 111     | (14 )    |
| Marketing and customer development | 31                 | 32      | (3 )     | 61               | 59      | 3        |
| Consulting and legal fees          | 18                 | 41      | (56 )    | 33               | 76      | (57 )    |
| Other staff expense                | 12                 | 12      | —        | 23               | 34      | (32 )    |
| Amortization of intangible assets  | 6                  | 11      | (45 )    | 12               | 22      | (45 )    |
| Other real estate expense          | 1                  | 52      | (98 )    | 1                | 103     | (99 )    |
| Net loss on debt extinguishment    | —                  | 13      | (100 )   | —                | 13      | (100 )   |
| Other expense                      | 108                | 119     | (9 )     | 212              | 241     | (12 )    |
| Total noninterest expense          | \$1,397            | \$1,546 | (10 %)   | \$2,760          | \$3,087 | (11 %)   |

Noninterest expense decreased \$149 million, or 10%, compared to the second quarter of 2012, and decreased \$327 million, or 11%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. The significant reduction was due to our continued focus on efficiency initiatives resulting in declines across most expense categories, as well as the abatement of cyclically high credit-related costs.

Personnel expenses decreased \$25 million, or 3%, compared to the second quarter of 2012, and decreased \$64 million, or 4%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease for both periods was largely attributable to the reduction in full-time equivalent employees and lower incentive compensation. The decline in the six months was also partially due to an approximate \$20 million reduction of incentive compensation expense as a result of the actual 2012 incentive payments made in the first quarter of 2013.

Operating losses increased \$3 million, or 4%, compared to the second quarter of 2012, and decreased \$18 million, or 14%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease for the six month period was driven by declines in litigation-related expenses that tend to fluctuate based on specific legal matters and mortgage servicing-related compensatory fees. During the current quarter, operating losses included an expense involving a specific mortgage-related legal matter. While the matter is not fully resolved, based on the information we currently have, it was appropriate to record an accrual during the current quarter for the estimated cost of resolution. See discussion of this matter in Note 14, "Contingencies," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q.

Credit and collection services expense decreased \$9 million, or 15%, compared to the second quarter of 2012, and decreased \$31 million, or 27%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012, driven by lower escrow and servicing advance reserves as a result of the nonperforming and guaranteed loan sales that occurred last year, partially offset by increases in expenses related to the accelerated pace of defaulted loan resolutions during the second quarter

of 2013. While collection expenses have declined, they continue to be closely related to resolution of NPAs and, as a result, could experience some volatility as they return to normalized levels.

Regulatory assessments decreased \$19 million, or 32%, compared to the second quarter of 2012, and decreased \$16 million, or 14%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012 due to declines in our assessment rate, reflecting our reduced risk profile.

Consulting and legal expenses decreased \$23 million, or 56%, compared to the second quarter of 2012, and decreased \$43 million, or 57%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012, predominantly due to the elimination of certain expenses associated with the Independent Foreclosure Review that was part of the Consent Order. We entered into an Amendment to the Consent Order in February 2013 that allowed us to begin eliminating consulting and legal costs of independent third parties providing file review, borrower outreach, and legal services associated with the Consent Order foreclosure file review. For additional information regarding the Consent Order and the Amendment, see Note 14, "Contingencies," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q and the "Nonperforming Assets" section of this MD&A.

Other staff expense decreased \$11 million, or 32%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012, driven by declines in severance expenses.

Other real estate expense decreased \$51 million compared to the second quarter of 2012, and decreased \$102 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease was predominantly due to a decline in the OREO inventory resulting in lower expenses and loss provisioning and an increase in gains on sales of owned properties during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to losses on sales of owned properties in the same periods of 2012. It is unlikely that gains will continue to largely offset expenses, so future quarterly expenses may increase from the current level, but as the economic environment improves over time, we expect that other real estate expense will continue to be notably lower than the elevated levels during 2012.

#### PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes includes both federal and state income taxes. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the provision for income taxes was \$146 million and \$297 million, resulting in effective tax rates of 28% and 29%, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the provision for income taxes was \$91 million and \$160 million, resulting in effective tax rates of 25% and 23%, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, was primarily due to higher pre-tax earnings in these periods.

During the third quarter of 2013, the Company completed an internal reorganization of certain subsidiaries. The reorganization resulted in the realization of a permanent benefit for tax purposes. We expect this tax benefit to materially reduce our provision for income tax expense in the third quarter of 2013. The amount of such reduction has not been finalized and will depend upon a third party appraisal of certain assets.

The provision for income taxes differs from the provision using statutory rates primarily due to favorable permanent tax items such as income from lending to tax exempt entities and federal tax credits from community reinvestment activities. See Note 9, "Income Taxes," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for further information on the provision for income taxes.

#### LOANS

Our disclosures about the credit quality of our loan portfolios and the related credit reserves i) describe the nature of credit risk inherent in our loan portfolio, ii) provide information on how we analyze and assess credit risk in arriving at an adequate and appropriate ALLL, and iii) explain the changes in the ALLL and reasons for those changes. We disclose our loan portfolio by segment and/or by type of loan, which is how we document our method for determining our ALLL. Loan types are further categorizations of our portfolio segments.

We report our loan portfolio in three segments: commercial, residential, and consumer. Loans are assigned to these segments based upon the type of borrower, purpose, collateral, and/or our underlying credit management processes. Additionally, within each segment, we have identified loan types, which further disaggregate loans based upon common risk characteristics.

##### Commercial

The C&I loan type includes loans to fund business operations or activities, corporate credit cards, loans secured by owner-occupied properties, and other wholesale lending activities. Commercial real estate and commercial



construction loan types are based on investor exposures where repayment is largely dependent upon the operation, refinance, or sale of the underlying real estate. Commercial and construction loans secured by owner-occupied properties are classified as C&I loans, as the primary source of loan repayment for owner-occupied properties is business income and not real estate operations.

## Residential

Residential mortgages consist of loans secured by 1-4 family homes, mostly prime first-lien loans, both guaranteed and nonguaranteed. Residential construction loans include owner-occupied residential lot loans and construction-to-perm loans. Home equity products consist of equity lines of credit and closed-end equity loans that may be in either a first lien or second lien position. At June 30, 2013, 35% of our home equity products were in a first lien position and 65% were in a junior lien position. For home equity products in a junior lien position, we own or service 29% of the loans that are senior to the home equity product.

Only a small percentage of home equity lines are scheduled to convert to amortizing during 2013, with 96% of home equity line balances scheduled to convert to amortization in 2014 or later and 58% in 2017 or later. A majority of accounts historically have not converted to amortizing. Based on historical trends, within 12 months of the end of their draw period, approximately 78% of accounts, and approximately 64% of accounts with a balance, are closed or refinanced before or soon after converting. We perform credit management activities on home equity accounts to limit our loss exposure. These activities result in the suspension of available credit of most home equity junior lien accounts when the first lien position is delinquent, including when the junior lien is still current. We monitor the delinquency status of first mortgages serviced by other parties. Additionally, we actively monitor refreshed credit bureau scores of borrowers with junior liens, as these scores are highly sensitive to first lien mortgage delinquency. At June 30, 2013, our home equity junior lien loss severity was approximately 87%.

## Consumer

The loan types comprising our consumer loan segment include guaranteed student loans, other direct (consisting primarily of direct auto loans, loans secured by negotiable collateral, and private student loans), indirect (consisting of loans secured by automobiles, marine, or recreational vehicles), and consumer credit cards.

The composition of our loan portfolio is shown in the following table:

## Loan Portfolio by Types of Loans

| (Dollars in millions)                              | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | Table 6  |   |
|--|---------------|-------------------|----------|---|
|  |               |                   | % Change |   |
| Commercial loans:                                  |               |                   |          |   |
| C&I  | \$55,070      | \$54,048          | 2        | % |
| Commercial real estate                             | 4,308         | 4,127             | 4        |   |
| Commercial construction                            | 667           | 713               | (6       | ) |
| Total commercial loans                             | 60,045        | 58,888            | 2        |   |
| Residential loans:                                 |               |                   |          |   |
| Residential mortgages - guaranteed                 | 3,622         | 4,252             | (15      | ) |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed <sup>1</sup> | 23,341        | 23,389            | —        |   |
| Home equity products                               | 14,682        | 14,805            | (1       | ) |
| Residential construction                           | 635           | 753               | (16      | ) |
| Total residential loans                            | 42,280        | 43,199            | (2       | ) |
| Consumer loans:                                    |               |                   |          |   |
| Guaranteed student loans                           | 5,431         | 5,357             | 1        |   |
| Other direct                                       | 2,483         | 2,396             | 4        |   |
| Indirect   | 11,151        | 10,998            | 1        |   |
| Credit cards                                       | 641           | 632               | 1        |   |
| Total consumer loans                               | 19,706        | 19,383            | 2        |   |
| LHFI   | \$122,031     | \$121,470         | —        | % |
| LHFS   | \$3,647       | \$3,399           | 7        | % |

<sup>1</sup>Includes \$339 million and \$379 million of loans carried at fair value at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

We believe that our loan portfolio is well diversified by product, client, and geography throughout our footprint. However, our loan portfolio may be exposed to certain concentrations of credit risk which exist in relation to individual borrowers or groups of borrowers, certain types of collateral, certain types of industries, certain loan products, or certain regions of the country. See Note 4, "Loans," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for more information.

The following table shows the percentage breakdown of our total LHFI portfolio by geographic region:

| Geography:               | Commercial       |                      | Residential      |                      | Consumer         |                      |                  |                      |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|                          | June 30,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 | June 30,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 | June 30,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 | June 30,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
| Central <sup>1</sup>     | 24               | % 27                 | % 21             | % 21                 | % 15             | % 15                 | %                | %                    |
| Florida <sup>2</sup>     | 19               | 19                   | 25               | 26                   | 18               | 19                   |                  |                      |
| MidAtlantic <sup>3</sup> | 25               | 25                   | 39               | 38                   | 26               | 26                   |                  |                      |
| Other                    | 32               | 29                   | 15               | 15                   | 41               | 40                   |                  |                      |
| Total                    | 100              | % 100                | % 100            | % 100                | % 100            | % 100                | %                | %                    |

<sup>1</sup> The Central region includes Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Mississippi, and Tennessee.

<sup>2</sup> The Florida region includes Florida only.

<sup>3</sup> The MidAtlantic region includes the District of Columbia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia.

#### Loans Held for Investment

LHFI remained relatively flat at June 30, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012. We continued to make progress in our loan portfolio diversification strategy, as we were successful in both growing targeted commercial balances and in reducing our exposure to certain higher risk residential and construction loans. Continuing to manage down our commercial and residential construction portfolios resulted in a combined \$164 million decline in these portfolios during the first six months of 2013 and an \$8.7 billion decrease since the end of 2008, which has driven a significant improvement in our risk profile. Average loans during the first six months of 2013 totaled \$121.1 billion. See the "Net Interest Income/Margin" section of this MD&A for more information regarding average loan balances.

Commercial loans increased \$1.2 billion, or 2%, during the first six months of 2013. Growth was driven by C&I loans, encompassing a diverse array of large corporate and middle market borrowers, as well as commercial real estate loans. C&I loans increased \$1.0 billion, or 2%, from December 31, 2012 driven by growth in our not-for-profit, government, and dealer portfolios. We also had growth in our large corporate lending areas, most notably in asset securitizations, energy, and asset-based lending. Commercial real estate loans increased \$181 million, or 4%, from December 31, 2012 with the majority of the increase in the portfolio due to progress made in increasing targeted, high-quality income-producing commercial real estate loan production, where we anticipate seeing further growth. Commercial construction loans decreased \$46 million, or 6%, from December 31, 2012, primarily as runoff from legacy construction exposure exceeded new extensions within our target population.

Given the past stresses and early stage recovery in the commercial real estate market, we continue to be proactive in our credit monitoring and management processes to provide early warning of problem loans. At least annually and more frequently if credit quality deteriorates, we perform a thorough liquidity and contingency analysis of loans within the commercial real estate portfolio to identify loans with an increased risk of default by providing a thorough view of borrowers' capacity and their ability to service their debt obligations. We also have strict limits and exposure caps on specific projects and borrowers for risk diversification. Given the relatively modest population of new construction projects and the completion of many that were previously started, the aggregate amount of interest reserves that we are obligated to fund has declined from prior periods and are not considered significant relative to total loans outstanding. We believe that our investor-owned portfolio is appropriately diversified by borrower, geography, and property type. We typically underwrite commercial projects to credit standards that are more stringent than historical commercial MBS guidelines. Where appropriate, we have taken prudent actions with our clients to strengthen our credit position. These actions reflect market terms and structures and are intended to improve the client's financial ability to perform. Impaired loans are assessed relative to the client's and guarantor's, if any, ability to service the debt, the loan terms, and the value of the property. These factors are taken into consideration when formulating our ALLL through our credit risk rating and/or specific reserving processes.

Residential loans decreased across all residential loan classes during the first six months of 2013.

Government-guaranteed residential mortgages decreased \$630 million, or 15%, during the first six months of 2013.

The change was the result of payments and payoffs primarily driven by refinance activity. Nonguaranteed residential mortgage loan originations were primarily to borrowers with strong credit characteristics (e.g., average FICO scores above 760) and were secured by residential properties with LTVs that averaged below 80%.

Consumer loans increased \$323 million, or 2%, during the first six months of 2013, driven by increases in government-guaranteed student loans of \$74 million, other direct loans of \$87 million, and indirect loans of \$153 million. The increase

was largely due to strong demand for loans secured by cars and light duty trucks resulting from the highest U.S. automobile sales since 2007.

#### Loans Held for Sale

During the first six months of 2013, LHFS increased \$248 million compared to December 31, 2012 due to normal fluctuations in the timing of closing new mortgage LHFS and the ultimate sale of these loans to third parties, primarily GSEs.

#### Asset Quality

Our asset quality continued to trend favorably during the first six months of 2013, driven by the intentional reduction of our higher-risk loan balances combined with lower inflows of NPLs. These items have resulted in an improved risk profile and movement in our loan portfolio towards longer-term balance sheet targets.

During the first six months of 2013, NPLs decreased \$406 million, or 26%, largely the result of a decline in residential mortgage NPLs. Partially driving the decline was the reclassification of approximately \$220 million of loans previously discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy to accruing status during the period as they exhibited a six month period of payment performance since being discharged. Our NPLs have decreased significantly since their peak in 2009, down by \$4.4 billion, or 79%. At June 30, 2013, the percentage of NPLs to total loans was 0.94%, down 33 basis points from December 31, 2012. We anticipate NPLs will continue to decline in the third quarter of 2013. Net charge-offs were \$179 million and \$405 million compared to \$350 million and \$772 million during the second quarter and first six months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. Net charge-offs decreased \$171 million, or 49%, and \$367 million, or 48%, during the second quarter and first six months of 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012, respectively. During the second quarter and first six months of 2013, the net charge-off ratio fell to the lowest level in over five years; 0.59% and 0.67%, respectively, compared to 1.14% and 1.26% during the same periods in 2012, respectively. We expect net charge-offs to remain relatively stable in the third quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter.

Total early stage delinquencies decreased to 0.71%, the lowest level since June 2006, and a decline of 22 basis points from December 31, 2012. Early stage delinquencies, excluding government-guaranteed loans, improved to 0.40% of total loans at June 30, 2013, from 0.48% at December 31, 2012. At June 30, 2013, all residential and consumer loan classes, except other direct consumer loans, showed improvement in early stage delinquencies compared to December 31, 2012. We expect any future early stage delinquency ratio improvements to be driven by residential loans, as they are still elevated by historical standards. The overall economy, particularly changes in unemployment, will influence additional improvement.

Overall, we are pleased with our improved risk profile and positive trends of our asset quality. As we look forward, a recovering economy should continue to support our positive asset quality trends, with additional improvements influenced by the residential portfolio, as most of the commercial and consumer portfolios are already at or near normalized credit quality levels.

## ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

The allowance for credit losses consists of both the ALLL and the reserve for unfunded commitments. A rollforward of our allowance for credit losses, along with our summarized credit loss experience is shown in the table below. See Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies," to our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and Note 5, "Allowance for Credit Losses," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q, as well as the "Allowance for Credit Losses" section within "Critical Accounting Policies" in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information regarding our ALLL accounting policy, determination, and allocation.

## Summary of Credit Losses Experience

Table 8

| (Dollars in millions)                         | Three Months Ended June 30 |           |                       | Six Months Ended June 30 |           |                       |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
|   | 2013                       | 2012      | % Change <sub>5</sub> | 2013                     | 2012      | % Change <sub>5</sub> |
| Allowance for Credit Losses                   |                            |           |                       |                          |           |                       |
| Balance - beginning of period                 | \$2,205                    | \$2,400   | (8 )%                 | \$2,219                  | \$2,505   | (11 )%                |
| (Benefit)/provision for unfunded commitments  | (6 )                       | (2 )      | NM                    | 2                        | 2         | —                     |
| Provision for loan losses:                    |                            |           |                       |                          |           |                       |
| Commercial                                    | 42                         | 49        | (14 )                 | 106                      | 87        | 22                    |
| Residential                                   | 78                         | 230       | (66 )                 | 190                      | 488       | (61 )                 |
| Consumer                                      | 32                         | 23        | 39                    | 60                       | 40        | 50                    |
| Total provision for loan losses               | 152                        | 302       | (50 )                 | 356                      | 615       | (42 )                 |
| Charge-offs:                                  |                            |           |                       |                          |           |                       |
| Commercial loans                              | (64 )                      | (94 )     | (32 )                 | (124 )                   | (220 )    | (44 )                 |
| Residential loans                             | (143 )                     | (274 )    | (48 )                 | (321 )                   | (576 )    | (44 )                 |
| Consumer loans                                | (26 )                      | (29 )     | (10 )                 | (61 )                    | (64 )     | (5 )                  |
| Total charge-offs                             | (233 )                     | (397 )    | (41 )                 | (506 )                   | (860 )    | (41 )                 |
| Recoveries:                                   |                            |           |                       |                          |           |                       |
| Commercial loans                              | 20                         | 31        | (35 )                 | 35                       | 56        | (38 )                 |
| Residential loans                             | 24                         | 6         | NM                    | 46                       | 11        | NM                    |
| Consumer loans                                | 10                         | 10        | —                     | 20                       | 21        | (5 )                  |
| Total recoveries                              | 54                         | 47        | 15                    | 101                      | 88        | 15                    |
| Net charge-offs                               | (179 )                     | (350 )    | (49 )                 | (405 )                   | (772 )    | (48 )                 |
| Balance - end of period                       | \$2,172                    | \$2,350   | (8 )%                 | \$2,172                  | \$2,350   | (8 )%                 |
| Components:                                   |                            |           |                       |                          |           |                       |
| ALLL  | \$2,125                    | \$2,300   | (8 )%                 |                          |           |                       |
| Unfunded commitments reserve <sup>1</sup>     | 47                         | 50        | (6 )                  |                          |           |                       |
| Allowance for credit losses                   | \$2,172                    | \$2,350   | (8 )%                 |                          |           |                       |
| Average loans                                 | \$121,372                  | \$123,365 | (2 )%                 | \$121,128                | \$122,954 | (1 )%                 |
| Period-end loans outstanding                  | 122,031                    | 124,560   | (2 )                  |                          |           |                       |
| Ratios:                                       |                            |           |                       |                          |           |                       |
| ALLL to period-end loans <sup>2,3</sup>       | 1.75                       | % 1.85    | % (5 )%               |                          |           |                       |
| ALLL to NPLs <sup>4</sup>                     | 188                        | 94        | 100                   |                          |           |                       |
| ALLL to net charge-offs (annualized)          | 2.97x                      | 1.64x     | 81                    |                          |           |                       |
| Net charge-offs to average loans (annualized) | 0.59                       | % 1.14    | % (48 )%              | 0.67                     | % 1.26    | % (47 )%              |

<sup>1</sup> The unfunded commitments reserve is recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

<sup>2</sup> \$339 million and \$406 million, respectively, of LHFI carried at fair value were excluded from period-end loans in the calculation.

<sup>3</sup> Excluding government-guaranteed loans of \$9.1 billion and \$12.9 billion, respectively, from period-end loans in the calculation results in ratios of 1.89% and 2.07%, respectively.

<sup>4</sup> In calculating the ratio, \$8 million and \$19 million at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of NPLs carried at fair value were excluded.

<sup>5</sup> NM - not meaningful. Those changes over 100 percent were not considered to be meaningful.

#### Charge-offs

Net charge-offs decreased by \$171 million, or 49%, during the second quarter of 2013 compared with the same period in 2012, driven by general improvement in credit quality. For the first six months of 2013, net charge-offs decreased by \$367 million, or 48%, compared to the first six months of 2012. The decline in net charge-offs was particularly notable in our residential mortgage, home equity, and residential construction portfolios. The ratio of net charge-offs to average loans was 0.59% during the second quarter of 2013, a reduction of 55 basis points from the same period in 2012 and at the lowest level



in over five years. We expect net charge-offs to remain relatively stable in the third quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter. See Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional policy information related to charge-offs.

#### Provision for Credit Losses

The total provision for credit losses includes the provision for loan losses, as well as the provision for unfunded commitments. The provision for loan losses is the result of a detailed analysis performed to estimate an appropriate and adequate ALLL. During the second quarter of 2013, the provision for loan losses decreased \$150 million, or 50%, compared to the second quarter of 2012. For the first six months of 2013, the provision for loan losses decreased \$259 million, or 42%, compared to the same period in 2012. The change in the provision for loan losses was largely attributable to improvements in credit quality trends and lower net charge-offs during the first half of 2013.

#### ALLL and Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

##### Allowance for Loan Losses by Loan Segment

| (Dollars in millions) | June 30, 2013 |                                   |                                    | December 31, 2012 |                                   |                                    | Table 9 |   |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|---|
|                       | ALLL          | Segment ALLL as a % of total ALLL | Loan segment as a % of total loans | ALLL              | Segment ALLL as a % of total ALLL | Loan segment as a % of total loans |         |   |
| Commercial loans      | \$919         | 43                                | % 49                               | \$902             | 41                                | % 48                               |         | % |
| Residential loans     | 1,046         | 49                                | 35                                 | 1,131             | 52                                | 36                                 |         |   |
| Consumer loans        | 160           | 8                                 | 16                                 | 141               | 7                                 | 16                                 |         |   |
| Total                 | \$2,125       | 100                               | % 100                              | \$2,174           | 100                               | % 100                              |         | % |

The ALLL decreased by \$49 million, or 2%, during the first six months of 2013, driven by the ALLL related to residential loans that decreased by \$85 million. Partially offsetting the decrease in the residential loans ALLL was the ALLL related to consumer loans and commercial loans, which increased \$19 million and \$17 million, respectively, due to loan growth during the first six months of 2013. The overall decrease in ALLL reflects the continued improvement in the credit quality trends of our loan portfolio as evidenced by improved early stage delinquencies and reductions in higher-risk balances and NPLs. The appropriate ALLL level will continue to be determined by our quarterly review process. We currently expect ALLL levels relative to total loans to remain relatively stable as the anticipated continued improvement in asset quality is offset by anticipated loan growth.

At June 30, 2013, the ALLL to period-end loans ratio of 1.75% decreased 5 basis point from 1.80% at December 31, 2012. When excluding government-guaranteed loans, the ALLL to period-end loans decreased to 1.89% at June 30, 2013, compared to 1.95% at December 31, 2012. The ratio of the ALLL to total NPLs was 188% at June 30, 2013, compared to 142% at December 31, 2012. The increase in this ratio was primarily attributable to the \$406 million decrease in NPLs, partially offset by the modest reduction in ALLL.

## NONPERFORMING ASSETS

The following table presents our nonperforming assets:

| (Dollars in millions)  | June 30, 2013 | December 31,<br>2012 | Table 10 |    |
|--|---------------|----------------------|----------|----|
|  |               |                      | % Change |    |
| Nonaccrual/NPLs  |               |                      |          |    |
| Commercial loans:  |               |                      |          |    |
| C&I  | \$227         | \$194                | 17       | %  |
| Commercial real estate   | 54            | 66                   | (18)     | )  |
| Commercial construction  | 23            | 34                   | (32)     | )  |
| Total commercial NPLs  | 304           | 294                  | 3        |    |
| Residential loans:   |               |                      |          |    |
| Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed  | 516           | 775                  | (33)     | )  |
| Home equity products   | 225           | 341                  | (34)     | )  |
| Residential construction   | 84            | 112                  | (25)     | )  |
| Total residential NPLs   | 825           | 1,228                | (33)     | )  |
| Consumer loans:  |               |                      |          |    |
| Other direct   | 4             | 6                    | (33)     | )  |
| Indirect   | 8             | 19                   | (58)     | )  |
| Total consumer NPLs  | 12            | 25                   | (52)     | )  |
| Total nonaccrual/NPLs  | 1,141         | 1,547                | (26)     | )  |
| OREO <sup>1</sup>  | 198           | 264                  | (25)     | )  |
| Other repossessed assets   | 8             | 9                    | (11)     | )  |
| Nonperforming LHFS   | 48            | 37                   | 30       |    |
| Total nonperforming assets   | \$1,395       | \$1,857              | (25)     | )% |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more  | \$1,005       | \$782                | 29       | %  |
| Accruing LHFS past due 90 days or more   | 1             | 1                    | —        |    |
| TDRs   |               |                      |          |    |
| Accruing restructured loans  | \$2,781       | \$2,501              | 11       | %  |
| Nonaccruing restructured loans <sup>2</sup>  | 415           | 639                  | (35)     | )  |
| Ratios   |               |                      |          |    |
| NPLs to total loans  | 0.94          | % 1.27               | % (26)   | )% |
| Nonperforming assets to total loans plus OREO,<br>other repossessed assets, and nonperforming LHFS | 1.14          | 1.52                 | (25)     | )  |

<sup>1</sup> Does not include foreclosed real estate related to loans insured by the FHA or the VA. Proceeds due from the FHA and the VA are recorded as a receivable in other assets until the funds are received and the property is conveyed. The receivable amount related to proceeds due from FHA or the VA totaled \$177 million and \$140 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

<sup>2</sup> Nonaccruing restructured loans are included in total nonaccrual/NPLs.

Nonperforming assets decreased \$462 million, or 25%, during first six months of 2013. The decrease was primarily attributed to a \$406 million, or 26%, decrease in NPLs. All loan classes declined except C&I, which increased \$33 million, or 17%. Net charge-offs, lower inflows, foreclosures, and improved loan performance contributed to the decrease in NPLs. Specifically, the decrease in NPLs related primarily to reductions in residential mortgage NPLs of \$259 million, or 33%, and home equity products NPLs of \$116 million, or 34%. The decrease in residential mortgage NPLs was primarily due to the return of approximately \$220 million of Chapter 7 bankruptcy loans, which were current at least six months from the discharge date, to accruing TDR status. See additional discussion of these Chapter 7 bankruptcy loans in Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q. At June 30, 2013, our ratio of NPLs to total loans was 0.94%, down from 1.27% at December 31, 2012. We expect NPLs to continue to trend down in the third quarter as housing markets and asset quality improves and

loans move through the foreclosure process. The performance of the residential real estate portfolios will be a prime influence on NPL balances.

Real estate related loans comprise a significant portion of our overall NPAs as a result of the devaluation of U.S. housing during the recent economic recession. The amount of time necessary to obtain control of residential real estate collateral in certain states, primarily Florida, has remained elevated due to delays in the foreclosure process. These delays may continue to impact the resolution of real estate related loans within the NPA portfolio.

Nonaccrual loans, loans over 90 days past due and still accruing, and TDR loans, are problem loans or loans with potential weaknesses that are disclosed in the NPA table above. Loans with known potential credit problems that may not otherwise be disclosed in this table include accruing criticized commercial loans, which are disclosed along with additional credit quality information in Note 4, "Loans," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no known significant potential problem loans that are not otherwise disclosed.

#### Nonperforming Loans

The increase of \$10 million, or 3%, in nonperforming commercial loans partially offset the decrease in total NPLs during the first six months of 2013. The increase in nonperforming commercial loans was predominantly driven by a \$33 million increase in C&I NPLs, largely offset by a \$12 million reduction in commercial real estate NPLs and an \$11 million reduction in commercial construction NPLs. The increase in C&I NPLs was driven by a limited number of wholesale loans.

Nonperforming residential loans were the largest driver of the overall decline in NPLs, decreasing \$403 million, or 33%, during the first six months of 2013. The reduction in nonguaranteed residential mortgage NPLs and home equity products NPLs accounted for \$259 million and \$116 million, respectively, of this decrease, primarily as a result of certain Chapter 7 bankruptcy loans returning to accruing status, in addition to foreclosures, net charge-offs, and improved loan performance. Additionally, residential construction NPLs decreased \$28 million, primarily as a result of net charge-offs.

Interest income on consumer and residential nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is recognized on a cash basis. Interest income on commercial nonaccrual loans is not generally recognized until after the principal has been reduced to zero. We recognized \$9 million and \$6 million of interest income related to nonaccrual loans during second quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$20 million and \$14 million for the first six months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. If all such loans had been accruing interest according to their original contractual terms, estimated interest income of \$20 million and \$41 million during second quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$43 million and \$87 million for first six months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, would have been recognized.

#### Other Nonperforming Assets

OREO decreased \$66 million, or 25%, during the first six months of 2013 as a result of net decreases of \$48 million in residential construction related properties and \$19 million in commercial properties, offset by an increase of \$1 million in residential homes. During the first six months of 2013 and 2012, sales of OREO resulted in proceeds of \$205 million and \$259 million, respectively, contributing to net gains on sales of OREO of \$41 million and \$3 million, respectively, inclusive of valuation reserves. Gains and losses on the sale of OREO are recorded in other real estate expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Sales of OREO and the related gains or losses are highly dependent on our disposition strategy and buyer opportunities. See Note 13, "Fair Value Election and Measurement," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for more information.

Geographically, most of our OREO properties are located in Georgia, Florida, and North Carolina. Residential properties and land comprised 62% and 14%, respectively, of OREO at June 30, 2013; the remainder is related to commercial and other properties. Upon foreclosure, the values of these properties were reevaluated and, if necessary, written down to their then-current estimated value less estimated costs to sell. Any further decreases in values could result in additional losses on these properties. We are actively managing and disposing of these foreclosed assets to minimize future losses.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, total accruing loans past due ninety days or more included LHFI and LHFS and totaled \$1.0 billion and \$783 million, respectively. Accruing LHFI past due ninety days or more increased by \$223 million, or 29%, during the first six months of 2013, primarily driven by guaranteed student loan delinquencies. Residential mortgages and student loans that are fully guaranteed by a federal agency comprised 95% and 92%, respectively, of past due accruing loans at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. As of the same dates, \$48 million and \$60 million, respectively, of accruing loans past due ninety days or more were not guaranteed. In April 2011, we, along with other servicers, entered into Consent Orders with supervisory regulatory agencies (in our case, the FRB). The Consent Order required us to improve certain processes related to residential mortgage loan

servicing. Under the Consent Order, we agreed to retain an independent consultant approved by the FRB to conduct a review of residential foreclosure actions pending or completed at any time during 2009 and 2010 for loans serviced by STM to identify any errors or deficiencies, determine whether any instances so identified resulted in financial harm, and prepare a written report detailing the findings. On February 28, 2013, we, along with nine other mortgage servicers, entered into an Amendment to the Consent Order with the FRB to conclude the independent foreclosure review and accelerate remediation to all borrowers whose loans were included in the review. During the second quarter of 2013, an independent agent approved by the Federal Reserve began

administering lump-sum remediation payments to borrowers. See additional discussion in Note 14, "Contingencies," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q and the "Noninterest Expense" section of this MD&A.

#### Restructured Loans

To maximize the collection of loan balances, we evaluate troubled loans on a case-by-case basis to determine if a loan modification would be appropriate. We pursue loan modifications when there is a reasonable chance that an appropriate modification would allow our client to continue servicing the debt. For loans secured by residential real estate, if the client demonstrates a loss of income such that the client cannot reasonably support a modified loan, we may pursue short sales and/or deed-in-lieu arrangements. For loans secured by income producing commercial properties, we perform an in-depth and ongoing programmatic review. We review a number of factors, including cash flows, loan structures, collateral values, and guarantees to identify loans within our income producing commercial loan portfolio that are most likely to experience distress. Based on our review of these factors and our assessment of overall risk, we evaluate the benefits of proactively initiating discussions with our clients to improve a loan's risk profile. In some cases, we may renegotiate terms of their loans so that they have a higher likelihood of continuing to perform. To date, we have restructured loans in a variety of ways to help our clients service their debt and to mitigate the potential for additional losses. The primary restructuring methods being offered to our residential clients are reductions in interest rates and extensions of terms. For commercial loans, the primary restructuring method is the extension of terms.

Loans with modifications deemed to be economic concessions resulting from borrower financial difficulties are reported as TDRs. Accruing loans may retain accruing status at the time of restructure and is determined by, among other things, the nature of the restructure, the borrower's repayment history, and the borrower's repayment capacity. Nonaccruing loans that are modified and demonstrate a sustainable history of repayment performance, typically six months, in accordance with their modified terms are generally reclassified to accruing TDR status. Generally, once a residential loan becomes a TDR, we expect that the loan will continue to be reported as a TDR for its remaining life even after returning to accruing status as the modified rates and terms at the time of modification were typically more favorable than those generally available in the market at the time of the modification. We note that some restructurings may not ultimately result in the complete collection of principal and interest (as modified by the terms of the restructuring), culminating in default, which could result in additional incremental losses. These potential incremental losses have been factored into our overall ALLL estimate through the use of loss forecasting methodologies. The level of re-defaults will likely be affected by future economic conditions. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, specific reserves included in the ALLL for residential TDRs were \$347 million and \$348 million, respectively. See Note 4, "Loans," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for more information.

Representatives of the United States Attorneys' Office for the Western District of Virginia (USAO) and the Office of the Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program (collectively the "Government") have advised STM of the status of their ongoing investigation of STM's administration of HAMP. The Government's investigation focuses on whether, during 2009 and 2010, STM harmed borrowers and violated civil or criminal laws by making misrepresentations and failing to properly process applications for modifications of certain mortgages owned by the GSEs pursuant to the HAMP guidelines. The Government has advised STM that it has made no determinations about how it will proceed in this matter, and STM continues to cooperate with the investigation and believes that it has substantial defenses to the asserted allegations. See additional discussion in Note 14, "Contingencies," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q and Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors--We may incur fines, penalties and other negative consequences from regulatory violations, possibly even inadvertent or unintentional violations."

The following tables display our residential real estate TDR portfolio by modification type and payment status. Guaranteed loans that have been repurchased from Ginnie Mae under an early buyout clause and subsequently modified have been excluded from the table. Such loans totaled \$29 million and \$24 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

## Selected Residential TDR Data

Table 11

| (Dollars in millions)             | June 30, 2013 |                         |         |                  |                         |       |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------|-------------------------|-------|
|                                   | Accruing TDRs |                         |         | Nonaccruing TDRs |                         |       |
|                                   | Current       | Delinquent <sup>1</sup> | Total   | Current          | Delinquent <sup>1</sup> | Total |
| Rate reduction                    | \$566         | \$60                    | \$626   | \$23             | \$43                    | \$66  |
| Term extension                    | 16            | 6                       | 22      | 2                | 5                       | 7     |
| Rate reduction and term extension | 1,537         | 150                     | 1,687   | 21               | 168                     | 189   |
| Other <sup>2</sup>                | 196           | 7                       | 203     | 23               | 71                      | 94    |
| Total                             | \$2,315       | \$223                   | \$2,538 | \$69             | \$287                   | \$356 |

| (Dollars in millions)             | December 31, 2012 |                         |         |                  |                         |       |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------|-------------------------|-------|
|                                   | Accruing TDRs     |                         |         | Nonaccruing TDRs |                         |       |
|                                   | Current           | Delinquent <sup>1</sup> | Total   | Current          | Delinquent <sup>1</sup> | Total |
| Rate reduction                    | \$470             | \$37                    | \$507   | \$36             | \$45                    | \$81  |
| Term extension                    | 16                | 4                       | 20      | 3                | 7                       | 10    |
| Rate reduction and term extension | 1,562             | 172                     | 1,734   | 78               | 209                     | 287   |
| Other <sup>2</sup>                | 7                 | 2                       | 9       | 172              | 39                      | 211   |
| Total                             | \$2,055           | \$215                   | \$2,270 | \$289            | \$300                   | \$589 |

<sup>1</sup> TDRs considered delinquent for purposes of this table were those at least thirty days past due.

<sup>2</sup> Primarily consists of extensions and deficiency notes.

At June 30, 2013, our total TDR portfolio was \$3.2 billion and was composed of \$2.9 billion, or 91%, of residential loans (predominantly first and second lien residential mortgages and home equity lines of credit), \$200 million, or 6%, of commercial loans (predominantly income-producing properties), and \$103 million, or 3%, of consumer loans. Total TDRs increased \$56 million from December 31, 2012. Accruing TDRs were up \$280 million, or 11%, largely offset by a decrease in nonaccruing TDRs of \$224 million, or 35%. The increase in accruing TDRs was primarily due to the return of approximately \$220 million of Chapter 7 bankruptcy loans to accruing TDR status from nonaccruing TDR. See additional discussion in Note 1, "Significant Accounting Policies," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q.

Generally, interest income on restructured loans that have met sustained performance criteria and have been returned to accruing status is recognized according to the terms of the restructuring. Such recognized interest income was \$30 million during the second quarters of 2013 and 2012, and \$56 million and \$59 million for the first six months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. If all such loans had been accruing interest according to their original contractual terms, estimated interest income of \$40 million during the second quarters of 2013 and 2012, and \$76 million and \$79 million for the first six months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, would have been recognized.

## SELECTED FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS CARRIED AT FAIR VALUE

The following is a discussion of the more significant financial assets and financial liabilities that are currently carried at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. For a complete discussion of our fair value elections and the methodologies used to estimate the fair values of our financial instruments, refer to Note 13, "Fair Value Election and Measurement," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q.

| Trading Assets and Liabilities<br>(Dollars in millions) | June 30, 2013  | Table 12<br>December 31, 2012 |
|---|----------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Trading Assets:</b>                                  |                |                               |
| U.S. Treasury securities                                | \$221          | \$111                         |
| Federal agency securities                               | 558            | 462                           |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions                  | 26             | 34                            |
| MBS - agency  | 511            | 432                           |
| CDO/CLO securities                                      | 65             | 55                            |
| ABS   | 6              | 36                            |
| Corporate and other debt securities                     | 540            | 567                           |
| CP  | 84             | 28                            |
| Equity securities                                       | 102            | 100                           |
| Derivatives <sup>1</sup>                                | 1,447          | 1,905                         |
| Trading loans <sup>2</sup>                              | 2,264          | 2,319                         |
| <b>Total trading assets</b>                             | <b>\$5,824</b> | <b>\$6,049</b>                |
| <b>Trading Liabilities:</b>                             |                |                               |
| U.S. Treasury securities                                | \$460          | \$582                         |
| MBS - agency  | 5              | —                             |
| Corporate and other debt securities                     | 246            | 173                           |
| Equity securities                                       | 5              | 9                             |
| Derivatives <sup>1</sup>                                | 460            | 397                           |
| <b>Total trading liabilities</b>                        | <b>\$1,176</b> | <b>\$1,161</b>                |

<sup>1</sup> Amounts are offset with cash collateral received from or deposited with counterparties when the contracts are subject to master netting arrangements.

<sup>2</sup> Includes loans related to TRS.

## Trading Assets and Liabilities

Trading assets decreased \$225 million, or 4%, since December 31, 2012, as a result of normal changes in the trading portfolio product mix, primarily due to a decrease in derivatives, partially offset by increases in U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities. Derivative assets decreased \$458 million, including the impact of a \$200 million decrease in cash collateral.

Trading liabilities were relatively flat compared to December 31, 2012, as a decrease in U.S. Treasury securities was offset by increases in corporate and other debt securities and derivatives as a result of normal business activity.

Derivative liabilities increased \$63 million, including the impact of a \$308 million decrease in cash collateral.

See Note 11, "Derivative Financial Instruments," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for additional information on derivatives.



## Securities Available for Sale

Table 13

| (Dollars in millions)                  | June 30, 2013  |                  |                   | Fair Value |
|--|----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
|  | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses |            |
| U.S. Treasury securities               | \$793          | \$7              | \$22              | \$778      |
| Federal agency securities              | 2,203          | 57               | 38                | 2,222      |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 248            | 10               | 2                 | 256        |
| MBS - agency                           | 18,784         | 453              | 324               | 18,913     |
| MBS - private                          | 181            | 1                | 1                 | 181        |
| ABS                                    | 124            | 2                | 1                 | 125        |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | 37             | 3                | —                 | 40         |
| Other equity securities <sup>1</sup>   | 873            | 1                | —                 | 874        |
| Total securities AFS                   | \$23,243       | \$534            | \$388             | \$23,389   |

<sup>1</sup>At June 30, 2013, other equity securities included the following: \$334 million in FHLB of Atlanta stock, \$402 million in Federal Reserve Bank stock, \$137 million in mutual fund investments, and \$1 million of other.

| (Dollars in millions)                  | December 31, 2012 |                  |                   | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
|  | Amortized Cost    | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses |            |
| U.S. Treasury securities               | \$212             | \$10             | \$—               | \$222      |
| Federal agency securities              | 1,987             | 85               | 3                 | 2,069      |
| U.S. states and political subdivisions | 310               | 15               | 5                 | 320        |
| MBS - agency                           | 17,416            | 756              | 3                 | 18,169     |
| MBS - private                          | 205               | 4                | —                 | 209        |
| ABS                                    | 214               | 5                | 3                 | 216        |
| Corporate and other debt securities    | 42                | 4                | —                 | 46         |
| Other equity securities <sup>1</sup>   | 701               | 1                | —                 | 702        |
| Total securities AFS                   | \$21,087          | \$880            | \$14              | \$21,953   |

<sup>1</sup>At December 31, 2012, other equity securities included the following: \$229 million in FHLB of Atlanta stock, \$402 million in Federal Reserve Bank stock, \$69 million in mutual fund investments, and \$2 million of other.

## Securities Available for Sale

The securities AFS portfolio is managed as part of our overall ALM process to optimize income and portfolio value over an entire interest rate cycle while mitigating the associated risks. Changes in the size and composition of the portfolio during the six months reflect our efforts to maintain a high quality portfolio while managing our interest rate and liquidity risk profile. The increase of \$1.4 billion at June 30, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012, was primarily the result of increased holdings of U.S. Treasury securities, government agency securities, and agency MBS, as we opportunistically reinvested the proceeds from fourth quarter loan sales.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded \$2 million in net realized gains from the sale of securities AFS, compared to net realized gains of \$32 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012, including \$1 million and \$4 million in OTTI, respectively. The \$32 million in gains during 2012 reflected our repositioning of the portfolio to capture better relative value. Compared to year end, the decrease in net unrealized gains at June 30, 2013 was driven by the increase in market interest rates during the second quarter. For additional information on composition and valuation assumptions related to securities AFS, see Note 3, "Securities Available for Sale," and the "Trading Assets and Securities Available for Sale" section of Note 13, "Fair Value Election and Measurement," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q.

For the second quarter of 2013, the average yield on a FTE basis for the securities AFS portfolio was 2.49%, compared with 3.16% for the second quarter of 2012. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, the average yield on a FTE basis for the securities AFS portfolio was 2.53%, compared with 3.17% for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Prepayments and maturities of higher yielding securities, reinvestment of principal cash flow at lower yields, and the foregone dividend income on the Coke common stock, drove the decline in yield on securities AFS. Our total investment securities portfolio had an effective duration of 4.2 years at June 30, 2013, compared to 2.2 years at December 31, 2012. The increase in the effective duration is the result of reinvestment of securities' cash flow and proceeds from the fourth quarter loan sales into longer duration holdings,

107

---

which is reflective of our overall management of interest rate risk. Effective duration is a measure of price sensitivity of a bond portfolio to an immediate change in market interest rates, taking into consideration embedded options. An effective duration of 4.2 years suggests an expected price change of 4.2% for a one percent instantaneous change in market interest rates.

The credit quality and liquidity profile of the securities portfolio remained strong at June 30, 2013, and, consequently, we have the flexibility to respond to changes in the economic environment and take actions as opportunities arise to manage our interest rate risk profile and balance liquidity against investment returns. Over the longer term, the size and composition of the investment portfolio will reflect balance sheet trends and our overall liquidity and interest rate risk management objectives. Accordingly, the size and composition of the investment portfolio could change meaningfully over time.

## BORROWINGS

### Short-Term Borrowings

Table 14

|   | June 30, 2013 |        |  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 |                                      |         | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 |                                      |         |
|---|---------------|--------|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
|   | Balance       | Rate   |  | Daily Average                    | Maximum Outstanding at any Month-End |         | Daily Average                  | Maximum Outstanding at any Month-End |         |
| (Dollars in millions)                                       |               |        |  | Balance                          | Rate                                 |         | Balance                        | Rate                                 |         |
| Funds purchased <sup>1</sup>                                | \$420         | 0.07 % |  | \$657                            | 0.10 %                               | \$1,000 | \$686                          | 0.10 %                               | \$1,000 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase <sup>1</sup> | 1,869         | 0.18   |  | 1,879                            | 0.13                                 | 1,869   | 1,793                          | 0.16                                 | 1,869   |
| FHLB advances   | 3,950         | 0.23   |  | 3,619                            | 0.25                                 | 3,950   | 2,814                          | 0.27                                 | 3,950   |
| Other short-term borrowings <sup>2</sup>                    | 1,875         | 0.28   |  | 1,803                            | 0.21                                 | 1,875   | 1,762                          | 0.23                                 | 1,875   |
|   |               |        |  |                                  |                                      |         |                                |                                      |         |
|   | June 30, 2012 |        |  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 |                                      |         | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |                                      |         |
|   |               |        |  | Daily Average                    | Maximum Outstanding at any Month-End |         | Daily Average                  | Maximum Outstanding at any Month-End |         |
| (Dollars in millions)                                       | Balance       | Rate   |  | Balance                          | Rate                                 |         | Balance                        | Rate                                 |         |
| Funds purchased <sup>1</sup>                                | \$847         | 0.09 % |  | \$810                            | 0.11 %                               | \$847   | \$840                          | 0.11 %                               | \$908   |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase <sup>1</sup> | 1,583         | 0.20   |  | 1,646                            | 0.18                                 | 1,710   | 1,640                          | 0.16                                 | 1,781   |
| FHLB advances   | 5,500         | 0.28   |  | 5,225                            | 0.25                                 | 5,500   | 6,310                          | 0.20                                 | 9,000   |
| Other short-term borrowings <sup>2</sup>                    | 1,598         | 0.53   |  | 1,717                            | 0.32                                 | 1,875   | 1,746                          | 0.36                                 | 1,878   |

<sup>1</sup> Funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase mature overnight or at a fixed maturity generally not exceeding three months. Rates on overnight funds reflect current market rates. Rates on fixed maturity borrowings are set at the time of the borrowings.

<sup>2</sup> Other short-term borrowings includes master notes, dealer collateral, and other short-term borrowed funds.

### Short-Term Borrowings

Our period-end short-term borrowings decreased \$1.4 billion, or 15%, from June 30, 2012, predominantly due to a \$1.6 billion decrease in FHLB advances as a result of our decision to utilize a portion of loan sale proceeds during 2012 to reduce short-term borrowings. We also experienced a decrease of \$427 million in funds purchased, offset by increases of \$286 million and \$252 million in securities sold under agreements to repurchase and master notes, respectively.

Daily average short-term borrowings decreased \$1.4 billion, or 15%, compared to the second quarter of 2012. The decrease was primarily attributable to decreases in daily average balances for FHLB advances of \$1.6 billion and funds purchased of \$153 million partially offset by increases in daily average balances of \$233 million and \$70 million in securities sold under agreements to repurchase and master notes, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, our daily average short-term borrowings decreased \$3.5 billion, or 33%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012, primarily due to a decrease in daily average balance for FHLB advances of \$3.5 billion and a decline in the daily average balance for funds purchased of \$154 million, offset by an increase in daily average balance for securities sold under agreements to repurchase of \$153 million.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, our maximum monthly outstanding balances for funds purchased was higher than our period-end balance as a result of increased activity in funds purchased during the second quarter of 2013, due to ordinary balance sheet management practices. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, our maximum monthly outstanding balance for FHLB advances was higher than the daily average balance as a result of increased borrowing during the second quarter of 2013.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, our maximum monthly outstanding balance for FHLB advances was higher than our period-end balance as a result of higher FHLB borrowings at certain points during the six months ended June 30, 2012, due to ordinary balance sheet management practices.

## Long-Term Debt

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, our long-term debt increased \$461 million. The increase was primarily due to the issuance of \$600 million of 10-year senior notes under our Global Bank Note program during the second quarter, partially offset by a \$102 million decrease in direct finance leases due to paydowns. The senior notes pay a fixed annual coupon rate of 2.75% and will mature on May 1, 2023. We may call the notes beginning on April 1, 2023. There have been no other material changes in our long-term debt, as described in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, since December 31, 2012.

## CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our primary federal regulator, the Federal Reserve, measures capital adequacy within a framework that makes capital requirements sensitive to the risk profiles of individual banking companies. The guidelines weight assets and off-balance sheet risk exposures according to predefined classifications, creating a base from which to compare capital levels. Tier 1 capital primarily includes realized equity and qualified preferred instruments, less purchase accounting intangibles such as goodwill and core deposit intangibles. Total capital consists of Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital, which includes qualifying portions of subordinated debt, ALLL up to a maximum of 1.25% of RWA, and 45% of the unrealized gain on equity securities. Additionally, mark-to-market adjustments related to our estimated credit spreads for debt and index linked CDs accounted for at fair value are excluded from regulatory capital.

Both the Company and the Bank are subject to minimum Tier 1 capital and Total capital ratios of 4% and 8%, respectively. To be considered "well-capitalized," ratios of 6% and 10%, respectively, are required. Additionally, the Company and the Bank are subject to requirements for the Tier 1 leverage ratio, which measures Tier 1 capital against average total assets less certain deductions, as calculated in accordance with regulatory guidelines. The minimum and well-capitalized leverage ratios are 3% and 5%, respectively. On July 2, the Federal Reserve published new rules related to required minimum capital ratios that become effective for us on January 1, 2015. See further discussion below under "Basel III."

The concept of Tier 1 common equity, the portion of Tier 1 capital that is considered common equity, was first introduced in the 2009 SCAP. Our regulator, rather than U.S. GAAP, defines Tier 1 common equity and the Tier 1 common equity ratio. As a result, our calculation of these measures may differ from those of other financial services companies who calculate them. However, Tier 1 common equity and the Tier 1 common equity ratio continue to be important factors which regulators examine in evaluating financial institutions; therefore, we present these measures to allow for evaluations of our capital.

Effective January 1, 2013, the Market Risk Rule promulgated by the Federal Reserve and other U.S. regulators became effective. The application of the Market Risk Rule required changes to the computation of RWA associated with assets held in our trading account and expanded the calculation to include a stressed VAR measure among other things. See the "Market Risk from Trading Activities" section of this MD&A for additional discussion.

## Regulatory Capital Ratios

Table 15

| (Dollars in millions)                   | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|---------------|-------------------|
| Tier 1 capital                          | \$15,631      | \$14,975          |
| Total capital                           | 18,664        | 18,131            |
| RWA                                     | 139,010       | 134,524           |
| Average total assets for leverage ratio | 166,261       | 168,053           |
| Tier 1 common equity:                   |               |                   |
| Tier 1 capital                          | \$15,631      | \$14,975          |
| Less:                                   |               |                   |
| Qualifying trust preferred securities   | 627           | 627               |
| Preferred stock                         | 725           | 725               |
| Allowable minority interest             | 115           | 114               |
| Tier 1 common equity                    | \$14,164      | \$13,509          |
| Risk-based ratios:                      |               |                   |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|                                      |       |   |       |   |
|--------------------------------------|-------|---|-------|---|
| Tier 1 common equity <sup>1</sup>    | 10.19 | % | 10.04 | % |
| Tier 1 capital                       | 11.24 |   | 11.13 |   |
| Total capital                        | 13.43 |   | 13.48 |   |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio                | 9.40  |   | 8.91  |   |
| Total shareholders' equity to assets | 12.25 |   | 12.10 |   |

<sup>1</sup> At June 30, 2013 our Basel III Tier 1 common equity ratio as calculated under the final Basel III capital rules was estimated to be 9.5%. See the "Reconciliation of Non-U.S. GAAP Measures" section in this MD&A for a reconciliation of the current Basel I ratio to the estimated Basel III ratio.

109

---

At June 30, 2013, our capital ratios are well above current regulatory requirements with Tier 1 capital and Tier 1 common ratios improving from their December 31, 2012 levels, while our total capital ratio remains relatively stable. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, our Tier 1 common equity and Tier 1 capital increases were driven by increased retained earnings, and our RWA increased, partially due to the change in RWA computation as a result of the Market Risk Rule. The net effect of these increases was an increase in our Tier 1 common equity ratio and Tier 1 capital ratio.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we declared and paid common dividends totaling \$81 million, or \$0.15 per common share, compared with \$54 million, or \$0.10 per common share during the six months ended June 30, 2012. Additionally, we declared and paid preferred dividends during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 of \$18 million and \$6 million, respectively.

Substantially all of our retained earnings are undistributed earnings of the Bank, which are restricted by various regulations administered by federal and state bank regulatory authorities. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, retained earnings of the Bank available for payment of cash dividends to the Parent Company under these regulations totaled approximately \$2.3 billion and \$1.8 billion, respectively.

During the first quarter of 2013, we submitted our capital plan for review by the Federal Reserve in conjunction with the 2013 CCAR process. Upon completion of the Federal Reserve's review, they did not object to our planned capital actions. As such, we maintained dividend payments on our preferred stock during the first half of 2013, increased our quarterly common stock dividend from \$0.05 to \$0.10 beginning in the second quarter of 2013, and announced the repurchase of up to \$200 million of our outstanding common stock to be completed between the second quarter of 2013 and the first quarter of 2014. During the second quarter, we repurchased \$50 million, or approximately 1.7 million shares, of our outstanding common stock, with plans to repurchase the remaining \$150 million by the end of the first quarter of 2014.

#### Basel III

The composition of our capital elements will be impacted by the Dodd–Frank Act in at least two ways over the next several years. First, the Dodd–Frank Act authorizes the Federal Reserve to enact “prudential” capital requirements which require greater capital levels than presently required and which vary among financial institutions based on size, risk, complexity, and other factors. As expected, the Federal Reserve used this authority in its new capital rules published on July 2, 2013, seeking to implement the Basel III capital requirements. Second, a portion of the Dodd–Frank Act, sometimes referred to as the Collins Amendment, directs the Federal Reserve to adopt new capital requirements for certain bank holding companies, including us, which are at least as stringent as those applicable to insured depository institutions, such as SunTrust Bank.

On July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve issued final rules addressing implementation of the Basel III capital requirements, which require banking organizations such as SunTrust to begin compliance on January 1, 2015 with the revised minimum regulatory capital ratios and begin the transition period for the revised definitions of regulatory capital and the revised regulatory capital adjustments and deductions, as well as begin compliance with the standardized approach for determining RWA. Furthermore, beginning January 1, 2016, these banking organizations are required to begin the transition period for capital conservation and countercyclical capital buffers, which places restrictions on the amount of retained earnings that may be used for distributions or discretionary bonus payments. Additional rules, known as the Advanced Approaches rules, require additional measurement of RWA as well as separate calculation of qualifying capital instruments. The Advanced Approaches rules generally apply to banking organizations with total consolidated assets of \$250 billion or more, or that have total on-balance sheet foreign exposures of \$10 billion or more. Accordingly, SunTrust is not currently required to comply with these separate requirements.

Under the final rules, the minimum capital requirements remain unchanged from the rules proposed by the Federal Reserve in 2012, with thresholds for Common Equity Tier 1 ratio of 4.5%; Tier 1 Capital ratio of 6%; Total Capital ratio of 8%; and U.S. Leverage ratio of 4%. The capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of RWA also remains applicable. As of June 30, 2013, we believe each of our regulatory capital ratios exceeds their respective “adequately capitalized” minimums under the final rules, as well as the 2.5% capital conservation buffer, when measured on a fully-phased-in basis.

Also unchanged from the NPR capital rule is the final Basel III capital rules requiring the phase out of non-qualifying Tier 1 Capital instruments such as trust preferred securities and cumulative preferred securities. As such, over a 2-year period beginning on January 1, 2015, approximately \$627 million in principal amount of Parent Company trust preferred and other hybrid capital securities currently outstanding will no longer qualify for Tier 1 capital treatment, but instead will qualify for Tier 2 capital treatment. Accordingly, we anticipate that, by January 1, 2016, all \$627 million of our outstanding trust preferred securities will lose Tier 1 capital treatment, and will be reclassified as Tier 2 capital. We anticipate the impact of this to result in an approximately 40 basis point reduction in our Tier 1 capital ratio. We do not expect any impact to our total capital ratio as a result of the transition to Tier 2 capital.



## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

There have been no significant changes to our Critical Accounting Policies as described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

## ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT

There have been no significant changes to our Enterprise Risk Management as described in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### Credit Risk Management

There have been no significant changes in our credit risk management practices as described in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### Operational Risk Management

There have been no significant changes in our operational risk management practices as described in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### Market Risk Management

Market risk refers to potential losses arising from changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices, commodity prices, and other relevant market rates or prices. Interest rate risk, defined as the exposure of net interest income and MVE to adverse movements in interest rates, is our primary market risk and mainly arises from the structure of our balance sheet, which includes all loans. Variable rate loans, prior to any hedging related actions, are approximately 56% of total loans and after giving consideration to hedging related actions, are approximately 42% of total loans. We are also exposed to market risk in our trading instruments carried at fair value. ALCO meets regularly and is responsible for reviewing our open positions and establishing policies to monitor and limit exposure to market risk.

### Market Risk from Non-Trading Activities

The primary goal of interest rate risk management is to control exposure to interest rate risk, within policy limits approved by the Board. These limits and guidelines reflect our tolerance for interest rate risk over both short-term and long-term horizons. No limit breaches occurred during the first six months of 2013.

The major sources of our non-trading interest rate risk are timing differences in the maturity and repricing characteristics of assets and liabilities, changes in the shape of the yield curve, and the potential exercise of explicit or embedded options. We measure these risks and their impact by identifying and quantifying exposures through the use of sophisticated simulation and valuation models, which, as described in additional detail below, are employed by management to understand net interest income at risk and MVE at risk. These measures show that our interest rate risk profile is slightly asset sensitive at June 30, 2013.

MVE and net interest income sensitivity are complementary interest rate risk metrics and should be viewed together. Net interest income sensitivity captures asset and liability repricing mismatches for the first year inclusive of forecast balance sheet changes and is considered a shorter term measure, while MVE sensitivity captures mismatches within the period end balance sheets through the financial instruments' respective maturities and is considered a longer term measure.

A positive net interest income sensitivity in a rising rate environment indicates that over the forecast horizon of one year, asset based income will increase more quickly than liability based expense due to balance sheet composition. A negative MVE sensitivity in a rising rate environment indicates that value of the financial assets will decrease more than the value of financial liabilities.

One of the primary methods that we use to quantify and manage interest rate risk is simulation analysis, which we use to model net interest income from assets, liabilities, and derivative positions under various interest rate scenarios and balance sheet structures. This analysis measures the sensitivity of net interest income over a two year time horizon,

which differs from the interest rate sensitivities in Table 16 which are prescribed to be over a one year time horizon. Key assumptions in the simulation analysis (and in the valuation analysis discussed below) relate to the behavior of interest rates and spreads, the changes in product balances, and the behavior of loan and deposit clients in different rate environments. This analysis

incorporates several assumptions, the most material of which relate to the repricing characteristics and balance fluctuations of deposits with indeterminate or non-contractual maturities.

As the future path of interest rates cannot be known, we use simulation analysis to project net interest income under various scenarios including implied forward and deliberately extreme and perhaps unlikely scenarios. The analyses may include rapid and gradual ramping of interest rates, rate shocks, basis risk analysis, and yield curve twists. Each analysis incorporates what management believes to be the most appropriate assumptions about client behavior in an interest rate scenario. Specific strategies are also analyzed to determine their impact on net interest income levels and sensitivities.

The sensitivity analysis included below is measured as a percentage change in net interest income due to instantaneous moves in benchmark interest rates. Estimated changes set forth below are dependent upon material assumptions such as those previously discussed.

Table 16

| (Basis points)<br>Rate Change | Estimated % Change in Net Interest Income<br>Over 12 Months <sup>1</sup> |                   |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------|
|                               | June 30, 2013  | December 31, 2012 |
| +200                          | 2.3%   | 4.8%              |
| +100                          | 1.3%   | 2.5%              |
| -25                           | (0.7)%   | (0.8)%            |

<sup>1</sup>Estimated % change of net interest income is reflected on a non-FTE basis.

The decrease in asset sensitivity from December 31, 2012 is predominantly due to slower assumed prepayments on mortgage-related products due to higher long-term rates and balance sheet mix changes, including new commercial loan swaps. Net interest income increases due to higher rates are less than those estimated at December 31, 2012, due to a decline in floating rate assets relative to liabilities which would reprice to higher yields over the next year if rates did in fact increase.

We also perform valuation analysis, which we use for discerning levels of risk present in the balance sheet and derivative positions that might not be taken into account in the net interest income simulation analysis. Whereas net interest income simulation highlights exposures over a relatively short time horizon, valuation analysis incorporates all cash flows over the estimated remaining life of all balance sheet and derivative positions. The valuation of the balance sheet, at a point in time, is defined as the discounted present value of asset cash flows and derivative cash flows minus the discounted present value of liability cash flows, the net of which is referred to as MVE. The sensitivity of MVE to changes in the level of interest rates is a measure of the longer-term repricing risk and options risk embedded in the balance sheet. Similar to the net interest income simulation, MVE uses instantaneous changes in rates. However, MVE values only the current balance sheet and does not incorporate the growth assumptions that are used in the net interest income simulation model. As with the net interest income simulation model, assumptions about the timing and variability of balance sheet cash flows are critical in the MVE analysis. Particularly important are the assumptions driving prepayments and the expected changes in balances and pricing of the indeterminate deposit portfolios. At June 30, 2013, the MVE profile indicates changes in net balance sheet value due to instantaneous changes in rates. MVE sensitivity is reported in both upward and downward rate shocks.

Market Value of Equity Sensitivity

Table 17

| (Basis points)<br>Rate Change | Estimated % Change in MVE |                   |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
|                               | June 30, 2013             | December 31, 2012 |
| +200                          | (6.0)%                    | (2.4)%            |
| +100                          | (2.6)%                    | (0.1)%            |

-25

0.4%

(0.3)%

The increase in MVE sensitivity from December 31, 2012 is primarily due to a slight increase in asset durations from higher rates.

While an instantaneous and severe shift in interest rates was used in this analysis to provide an estimate of exposure under an extremely adverse scenario, we believe that a gradual shift in interest rates would have a much more modest impact. Since MVE measures the discounted present value of cash flows over the estimated lives of instruments, the change in MVE does not directly correlate to the degree that earnings would be impacted over a shorter time horizon (i.e., the current year). Further,

112

---

MVE does not take into account factors such as future balance sheet growth, changes in product mix, changes in yield curve relationships, and changing product spreads that could mitigate the adverse impact of changes in interest rates. The net interest income simulation and valuation analyses do not include actions that management may undertake to manage this risk in response to anticipated changes in interest rates.

#### Market Risk from Trading Activities

Under established policies and procedures, we manage market risk associated with trading activities using a VAR approach that takes into account exposures resulting from interest rate risk, equity risk, foreign exchange risk, spread risk, and commodity risk. For trading portfolios, VAR measures the estimated maximum loss from a trading position, given a specified confidence level and time horizon. VAR results are monitored daily for each trading portfolio against established limits. For risk management purposes, our VAR calculation measures the potential trading losses using a one-day holding period at a one-tail, 99% confidence level. This means that, on average, trading losses are expected to exceed VAR one out of 100 trading days or two to three times per year. While VAR can be a useful risk management tool, it does have inherent limitations including the assumption that past market behavior is indicative of future market performance. As such, VAR is only one of several tools used to manage trading risk. Other tools used to actively manage trading risk include scenario analysis, stress testing, profit and loss attribution, and stop loss limits. In addition to VAR, in accordance with the new Risk-Based Capital Guidelines: Market Risk Rule (the “Market Risk Rule”), which was effective January 1, 2013, we also calculate Stressed VAR, which is used as a component of the total market risk-based capital charge. We calculate the Stressed VAR risk measure using a ten-day holding period at a one-tail, 99% confidence level and employ a historical simulation approach based on a continuous twelve-month historical window that reflects a period of significant financial stress to our portfolio.

The following table presents VAR, Stressed VAR, and net trading assets for the three and six months ended June 30, as well as VAR by Risk Factor at June 30, 2013:

| Value at Risk Profile                                  | Table 18                   |       |                          |       |
|--|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|
| (Dollars in millions)                                  | Three Months Ended June 30 |       | Six Months Ended June 30 |       |
|  | 2013                       | 2012  | 2013                     | 2012  |
| VAR (1-day holding period)                             |                            |       |                          |       |
| Ending   | \$5                        | \$4   | \$5                      | \$4   |
| High   | 8                          | 4     | 8                        | 5     |
| Low  | 3                          | 3     | 3                        | 3     |
| Average  | 4                          | 4     | 5                        | 4     |
| Stressed VAR (10-day holding period) <sup>1</sup>      |                            |       |                          |       |
| Ending   | \$31                       | N/A   | \$31                     | N/A   |
| High   | 92                         | N/A   | 92                       | N/A   |
| Low  | 25                         | N/A   | 12                       | N/A   |
| Average  | 35                         | N/A   | 29                       | N/A   |
| Net Trading Assets (Dollars in billions)               |                            |       |                          |       |
| Ending balance   | \$4.6                      | \$4.5 | \$4.6                    | \$4.5 |
| Average balance  | 4.8                        | 4.6   | 4.8                      | 4.7   |
| (Dollars in millions)                                  | June 30, 2013              |       |                          |       |
| VAR by Risk Factor (1-day holding period) <sup>1</sup> |                            |       |                          |       |
| Commodity price risk                                   | \$—                        |       |                          |       |
| Equity price risk                                      | 4                          |       |                          |       |
| Foreign exchange risk                                  | —                          |       |                          |       |
| Interest rate risk                                     | 3                          |       |                          |       |
| Credit spread risk                                     | 4                          |       |                          |       |
| VAR (1-day diversified) total                          | 5                          |       |                          |       |

<sup>1</sup> "N/A" - The calculation of Stressed VAR and VAR by Risk Factor under the new Market Risk Rule was not applicable in prior periods.

The trading portfolio, measured in terms of VAR, is predominantly comprised of four material sub-portfolios of covered positions: Equity Derivatives, Fixed Income, Interest Rate Derivatives, and Credit Trading. There were no material changes in composition of the trading portfolio during the first six months of 2013. While VAR and Stressed VAR was higher during the six months ended June 30, 2013, driven by increased volume in our equity derivatives business, exposure to equity price risk factors were subsequently reduced resulting in a lower risk profile at June 30, 2013. The trading portfolio did not contain any correlation trading positions or on or off balance sheet securitization positions during the first six months of 2013.

Effective January 1, 2013, a change to our VAR methodology was implemented and we began using historical based simulation instead of the previously used Monte Carlo simulation. At the time of methodology change implementation, our VAR calculated using the Monte Carlo simulation yielded results that were similar to the historical simulation presented. The methodology change was primarily to ensure our internal modeling approach for VAR was on the same basis as that for Stressed VAR, which is a requirement under the Market Risk Rule.

In accordance with the Market Risk Rule, we evaluate the accuracy of our VAR model through daily backtesting by comparing daily trading gains and losses (excluding fees, commissions, reserves, net interest income, and intraday

trading) with the corresponding daily VAR-based measures. As illustrated below, for the first six months of 2013 there were no instances where trading losses exceeded firmwide VAR.

114

---

We have valuation policies, procedures, and methodologies for all covered positions. Additionally, reporting of trading positions is in accordance with U.S. GAAP and is subject to independent price verification. See Note 11, "Derivative Financial Instruments" and Note 13, "Fair Value Election and Measurement" in this Form 10-Q and "Critical Accounting Policies" in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for discussion of valuation policies, procedures, and methodologies.

**Model risk management:** Our model risk management approach for validating and evaluating the accuracy of internal models and modeling processes includes developmental and implementation testing and on-going monitoring and maintenance performed by the various model owners. Our MRMG performs an independent model review for the VAR and stressed VAR models. The model reviews include an evaluation of all the model-owner authored documentation and the model-owner performed monitoring and maintenance. In addition, the MRMG performs its own testing which may include independent results replication, model replication, challenger model development, sensitivity analysis, benchmarking against other models or available metrics, back testing, and outcomes analysis. Due to ongoing developments in financial markets and modeling technological developments, but also for purposes of model enhancement, we review the VAR models on an ongoing basis.

**Stress testing:** We use a comprehensive range of stress testing techniques to help monitor risks across trading desks and to augment standard daily VAR reporting. The stress testing framework is designed to quantify the impact of rare and extreme historical but plausible stress scenarios that could lead to large unexpected losses. In addition to performing firmwide stress testing of our aggregate trading portfolio, additional types of secondary stress tests including historical repeats and simulations using hypothetical risk factor shocks are also performed. Across our comprehensive stress testing framework, all trading positions across each applicable market risk category (interest rate risk, equity risk, foreign exchange risk, spread risk, and commodity risk) are included. We review stress testing scenarios on an ongoing basis and make updates as necessary to ensure that both current and potential emerging risks are appropriately captured.

**Trading portfolio capital adequacy:** We assess capital adequacy on a regular basis, based on estimates of our risk profile and capital positions under both baseline and stressed scenarios. Scenarios consider material risks, including credit risk, market risk, and operational risk. Our assessment of capital adequacy arising from market risk also includes a review of risk arising from material portfolios of covered positions. See "Capital Resources" in this MD&A for additional discussion of capital adequacy.

#### Liquidity Risk Management

Liquidity risk is the risk of being unable to meet obligations as they come due at a reasonable funding cost. We mitigate this risk by structuring our balance sheet prudently and by maintaining diverse borrowing resources to fund potential cash needs.



For example, we structure our balance sheet so that we fund less liquid assets, such as loans, with stable funding sources, such as retail and wholesale deposits, long-term debt, and capital. We primarily monitor and manage liquidity risk at the Parent Company and Bank levels as the non-bank subsidiaries are relatively small and these subsidiaries ultimately rely upon the Parent Company as a source of liquidity in adverse environments.

The Bank's primary liquid assets consist of excess reserves and free and liquid securities (unencumbered, high-quality, liquid assets) in its investment portfolio. The Bank manages its investment portfolio primarily as a store of liquidity, maintaining the strong majority of its securities in liquid and high-grade asset classes such as agency MBS, agency debt, and U.S. Treasury securities. At June 30, 2013, the Bank's AFS investment portfolio contained \$12.5 billion of unencumbered and liquid securities at book value, of which approximately 95% consisted of agency MBS, agency debt, and U.S. Treasury securities.

We manage the Parent Company to maintain most of its liquid assets in cash and securities that could be quickly converted to cash. Unlike the Bank, it is not typical for the Parent Company to maintain a material investment portfolio of publicly traded securities. We manage the Parent Company cash balance to provide sufficient liquidity to fund all forecasted obligations (primarily debt and capital service) for an extended period of months in accordance with our risk limits.

We assess liquidity needs that may occur in both the normal course of business and times of unusual events, considering both on and off-balance sheet arrangements and commitments that may impact liquidity in certain business environments. We have contingency funding plans that assess liquidity needs that may arise from certain stress events such as credit rating downgrades, severe economic recessions, and financial market disruptions. Our contingency plans also provide for continuous monitoring of net borrowed funds dependence and available sources of contingency liquidity. These sources of contingency liquidity include available cash reserves; the ability to sell, pledge, or borrow against unencumbered securities in the Bank's investment portfolio; the capacity to borrow from the FHLB system; and the capacity to borrow at the Federal Reserve Discount Window. The following table presents period end and average balances from these four sources as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. We believe these contingency liquidity sources exceed any contingent liquidity needs.

| Contingency Liquidity Sources                   | Table 19      |   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|---------------|---|
|   | June 30, 2013 |   | June 30, 2012 |   |
| (Dollars in billions)                           | As of         | Average for the Six Months Ended <sup>1</sup> | As of         | Average for the Six Months Ended <sup>1</sup> |
| Excess reserves                                 | \$—           | \$1.6   | \$2.6         | \$1.9   |
| Free and liquid investment portfolio securities | 12.5          | 12.1  | 12.5          | 13.6  |
| FHLB borrowing capacity                         | 12.8          | 13.6  | 10.9          | 10.8  |
| Discount Window borrowing capacity              | 19.4          | 18.9  | 17.3          | 17.1  |
| Total   | \$44.7        | \$46.2  | \$43.3        | \$43.4  |

<sup>1</sup>Average based upon month-end data, except excess reserves, which is based upon a daily average.

Uses of Funds. Our primary uses of funds include the extension of loans and credit, the purchase of investment securities, working capital, and debt and capital service. The Bank and the Parent Company borrow in the money markets using instruments such as Fed funds, Eurodollars, and CP. At June 30, 2013, the Parent Company had no CP outstanding and the Bank retained a material cash position in its Federal Reserve account. The Parent Company also retains a material cash position, in accordance with our policies and risk limits, discussed in greater detail below.

Contingent uses of funds may arise from a variety of adverse events such as financial market disruptions or credit rating downgrades. Factors that affect our credit ratings include, but are not limited to, the credit risk profile of our assets, the adequacy of our ALLL, the level and stability of our earnings, the liquidity profile of both the Bank and the Parent Company, the economic environment, and the adequacy of our capital base. At June 30, 2013, S&P maintained

a "Positive" outlook and Moody's, Fitch, and DBRS all maintained a "Stable" outlook on our credit ratings. Future credit rating downgrades are possible, although not currently anticipated given the "Positive" and "Stable" credit rating outlooks.

## Debt Credit Ratings and Outlook

Table 20

|                      | June 30, 2013 |          |        |           |
|----------------------|---------------|----------|--------|-----------|
|                      | Moody's       | S&P      | Fitch  | DBRS      |
| SunTrust Banks, Inc. |               |          |        |           |
| Short-term           | P-2           | A-2      | F2     | R-1 (low) |
| Senior long-term     | Baa1          | BBB      | BBB+   | A (low)   |
| SunTrust Bank        |               |          |        |           |
| Short-term           | P-2           | A-2      | F2     | R-1 (low) |
| Senior long-term     | A3            | BBB+     | BBB+   | A         |
| Outlook              | Stable        | Positive | Stable | Stable    |

Sources of Funds. Our primary source of funds is a large, stable retail deposit base. Core deposits, predominantly made up of consumer and commercial deposits originated primarily from our retail branch network are our largest and most cost-effective source of funding. Core deposits decreased to \$125.6 billion at June 30, 2013, from \$130.2 billion at December 31, 2012, driven primarily by a seasonal decline in deposits. The expiration of the FDIC's temporary unlimited deposit insurance coverage for noninterest-bearing transaction accounts on December 31, 2012 had an immaterial impact on our deposit balances.

We also maintain access to a diversified collection of both secured and unsecured wholesale funding sources. These uncommitted sources include Fed funds purchased from other banks, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, negotiable CDs, offshore deposits, FHLB advances, Global Bank Notes, and CP. Aggregate wholesale funding increased modestly to \$15.9 billion at June 30, 2013 from \$15.3 billion at December 31, 2012. Net short-term unsecured borrowings, which includes wholesale domestic and foreign deposits, as well as Fed funds purchased, decreased modestly from \$4.5 billion at December 31, 2012 to \$4.3 billion at June 30, 2013.

As mentioned above, the Bank and Parent Company maintain programs to access the debt capital markets. The Parent Company maintains an SEC shelf registration statement from which it may issue senior or subordinated notes and various capital securities such as common or preferred stock. Our Board has authorized the issuance of up to \$5.0 billion of such securities, of which approximately \$1.6 billion of issuance capacity remains available. During the first six months of 2013, we issued several small structured notes for the Parent Company in the aggregate amount of \$36 million. The Bank maintains a Global Bank Note program under which it may issue senior or subordinated debt with various terms. In April 2013, the Bank issued \$600 million of 10-year senior notes that will pay a fixed annual coupon rate of 2.75%. We may call the notes at par beginning on April 1, 2023. At June 30, 2013, the Bank retained \$36.9 billion of remaining capacity to issue notes under the program.

Our issuance capacity under these Bank and Parent Company programs refers to authorization granted by our Board, or formal program capacity, and does not refer to a commitment to purchase by any investor. Debt and equity securities issued under these programs are designed to appeal primarily to domestic and international institutional investors. Institutional investor demand for these securities is dependent upon numerous factors, including but not limited to our credit ratings and investor perception of financial market conditions and the health of the banking sector. Therefore, our ability to access these markets in the future could be impaired for either systemic or idiosyncratic reasons. As mentioned above, we maintain contingency funding scenarios to anticipate and manage the likely impact of impaired capital markets access and other adverse liquidity circumstances.

Parent Company Liquidity. Our primary measure of Parent Company liquidity is the length of time the Parent Company can meet its existing and certain forecasted obligations using its cash resources. We measure and manage this metric "Months to Required Funding," using forecasts of both normal and adverse conditions. Under adverse conditions, we measure how long the Parent Company can meet its capital and debt service obligations after experiencing material attrition of short-term, unsecured funding and without the support of dividends from the Bank or access to the capital markets. At June 30, 2013, the Parent's Months to Required Funding remained well in excess

of current ALCO and Board limits. Our Board Risk Committee regularly reviews this and other liquidity risk metrics. In accordance with these risk limits established by ALCO and the Board, we manage the Parent Company's liquidity by structuring its maturity schedule to minimize the amount of debt maturing within a short period of time. There is no Parent Company debt scheduled to mature in 2013. A majority of the Parent Company's liabilities are long-term in nature, coming from the proceeds of our capital securities and long-term senior and subordinated notes.

The primary uses of Parent Company liquidity include debt service, dividends on capital instruments, the periodic purchase of investment securities, loans to our subsidiaries, and common share repurchases. We may repurchase common stock subject to an annual capital plan submitted to the Federal Reserve in the CCAR process. Since the Federal Reserve did not object to

our capital plan submitted in January 2013, during the first quarter we announced that our Board had authorized us to repurchase up to \$200 million of our common stock between the second quarter of 2013 and first quarter of 2014. Pursuant to that plan, we repurchased \$50 million of our outstanding common stock during the second quarter. We used existing cash balances at the Parent Company to fund these repurchases. See further details of the authorized common share repurchases in the "Capital Resources" section of this MD&A and in Part II, "Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds" in this Form 10-Q. We fund corporate dividends primarily with dividends from our banking subsidiary. We are subject to both state and federal banking regulations that limit our ability to pay common stock dividends in certain circumstances.

**Recent Developments.** The impact of the expiration of the FDIC's TAG program on December 31, 2012 had a very modest impact on deposit balance attrition. The TAG program provided a government guarantee of funds deposited in noninterest-bearing transaction accounts at FDIC-insured institutions. In anticipation of the TAG program expiration, we previously forecasted a modest decline in related deposit balances in early 2013. Deposit attrition during the first six months of 2013 attributable to the TAG program expiration was a fraction of the Bank's total potential exposure and not meaningful in the context of aggregate deposit decline during the first six months of 2013. Given the nature of the types of depositors affected and the well-publicized program expiration, we do not expect any further material TAG-related impact.

Numerous legislative and regulatory proposals currently outstanding may have an effect on our liquidity if they become effective. For example, the BCBS published in January 2013 revised standards for the proposed LCR that would require banks to hold unencumbered, high-quality, liquid assets sufficient to withstand projected cash outflows under a prescribed 30-day stress scenario. The LCR is subject to an observation period that began in 2011, but will be phased-in as a requirement beginning January 1, 2015. While the potential impact of this and other regulatory proposals cannot be fully quantified at present, we believe that we will be well positioned to comply with new standards as they become effective as a result of our strong core banking franchise and prudent liquidity management practices.

In 2011, the Federal Reserve published proposed measures to strengthen regulation and supervision of large bank holding companies and systemically important nonbank financial firms, pursuant to sections 165 and 166 of the Dodd-Frank Act. These proposed regulations include a number of requirements related to liquidity that would be instituted in phases. The first phase encompasses largely qualitative liquidity risk management practices, including internal liquidity stress testing. The second phase would include certain quantitative liquidity requirements related to the proposed Basel III liquidity standards. We believe that we will be well positioned to demonstrate compliance with these new requirements and standards if and when they are adopted.

**Other Liquidity Considerations.** As presented below, we had an aggregate potential obligation of \$65.3 billion to our clients in unused lines of credit at June 30, 2013. Commitments to extend credit are arrangements to lend to clients who have complied with predetermined contractual obligations. We also had \$3.6 billion in letters of credit at June 30, 2013, most of which are standby letters of credit, which require that we provide funding if certain future events occur. Approximately \$1.7 billion of these letters supported variable rate demand obligations at June 30, 2013.

| Unfunded Lending Commitments<br>(Dollars in millions) | June 30, 2013 | Table 21<br>December 31, 2012 |
|---|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Unused lines of credit:                               |               |                               |
| Commercial  | \$39,409      | \$36,902                      |
| Mortgage commitments <sup>1</sup>                     | 8,550         | 9,152                         |
| Home equity lines                                     | 11,343        | 11,739                        |
| Commercial real estate                                | 1,821         | 1,684                         |
| Credit card   | 4,204         | 4,075                         |
| Total unused lines of credit                          | \$65,327      | \$63,552                      |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|                         |         |         |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| Letters of credit:      |         |         |
| Financial standby       | \$3,541 | \$3,993 |
| Performance standby     | 50      | 49      |
| Commercial              | 32      | 56      |
| Total letters of credit | \$3,623 | \$4,098 |

<sup>1</sup> Includes IRLC contracts with notional balances of \$5.9 billion and \$6.8 billion as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

### Other Market Risk

Except as discussed below, there have been no other significant changes to other market risk as described in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

MSRs, which are carried at fair value, totaled \$1.2 billion and \$899 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, are managed within established risk limits, and are monitored as part of various governance processes. We recognized increases of \$82 million and \$98 million in the fair value of our MSRs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and decreases of \$282 million and \$214 million in the fair value of our MSRs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. Increases or decreases in fair value include the decay resulting from the realization of expected monthly net servicing cash flows. We recorded \$76 million and \$115 million of net losses related to MSRs, inclusive of decay and related hedges, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and \$11 million and \$17 million of net losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The increase in net losses related to MSRs during 2013 compared to 2012 was driven by a decline in net hedge performance as a result of the increase in market interest rate volatility, as well as an increase in the decay as a result of elevated refinance activity. We expect the decay to decline as the level of refinance activity tapers due to the increase in mortgage rates in the latter part of the current quarter. We originated MSRs with fair values at the time of origination of \$93 million and \$203 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively and \$78 million and \$161 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

We continue to monitor our holdings of foreign debt, securities, and commitments to lend to foreign countries and corporations, both funded and unfunded. Specifically, the risk is higher for exposure to countries that are experiencing significant economic, fiscal, and/or political strains. We have identified five countries in Europe that we believe are experiencing strains such that the likelihood of default is higher than would be anticipated if current economic, fiscal, and political strains were not present. The countries we identified were Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, and Spain, and were chosen based on the economic situation experienced in these countries during 2011 and 2012 and continuing to exist at June 30, 2013. At June 30, 2013, we had no outstanding exposure to sovereign debt of these countries. However, at June 30, 2013, we had direct exposure to corporations and individuals in these countries of \$104 million comprised of securities held, unfunded commitments to lend, and a nominal amount of funded loans. Indirect exposure to these countries was \$48 million at June 30, 2013 and consists entirely of double default risk exposure. The majority of the exposure is the notional amount of letters of credit issued on behalf of a bank syndicate under the terms of a syndicated corporate loan agreement. Overall, gross exposure to these countries is less than 1% of our total assets at June 30, 2013.

### OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

See discussion of off-balance sheet arrangements in Note 7, "Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities," and Note 12, "Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q.

### CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENTS

In the normal course of business, we enter into certain contractual obligations, including obligations to make future payments on debt and lease arrangements, contractual commitments for capital expenditures, and service contracts. At June 30, 2013, except for the changes noted within the "Borrowings" section of this MD&A, there have been no other material changes in our Contractual Commitments as described in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### BUSINESS SEGMENTS

The following table presents net income/(loss) for our reportable business segments:

| Net Income/(Loss) by Segment<br>(Dollars in millions) | Table 22                   |      |                          |      |
|---|----------------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
|   | Three Months Ended June 30 |      | Six Months Ended June 30 |      |
|   | 2013                       | 2012 | 2013                     | 2012 |
|   |                            |      |                          |      |

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |       |        |       |        |   |
|--|-------|--------|-------|--------|---|
| Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management | \$145 | \$120  | \$268 | \$197  |   |
| Wholesale Banking                              | 230   | 168    | 434   | 302    |   |
| Mortgage Banking                               | (76   | ) (116 | ) (96 | ) (246 | ) |
| Corporate Other                                | 88    | 81     | 150   | 184    |   |

119

---



The following table presents average loans and average deposits for our reportable business segments:

| Average Loans and Deposits by Segment          |  | Table 23                   |          |  |          |   |
|--|--|----------------------------|----------|--|----------|---|
|  |  | Three Months Ended June 30 |          |  |          |   |
|  |  | Average Loans              |          | Average Consumer and Commercial Deposits |          |   |
| (Dollars in millions)                          |  | 2013                       | 2012     | 2013                                     | 2012     |   |
| Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management |  | \$40,284                   | \$42,202 | \$83,923                                 | \$84,616 |   |
| Wholesale Banking                              |  | 53,475                     | 50,311   | 38,960                                   | 37,724   |   |
| Mortgage Banking                               |  | 27,574                     | 30,809   | 3,742                                    | 3,573    |   |
| Corporate Other                                |  | 39                         | 43       | (46                                      | ) (28    | ) |
|  |  | Six Months Ended June 30   |          |  |          |   |
|  |  | Average Loans              |          | Average Consumer and Commercial Deposits |          |   |
| (Dollars in millions)                          |  | 2013                       | 2012     | 2013                                     | 2012     |   |
| Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management |  | \$40,329                   | \$42,271 | \$84,279                                 | \$84,323 |   |
| Wholesale Banking                              |  | 52,966                     | 49,849   | 39,223                                   | 38,142   |   |
| Mortgage Banking                               |  | 27,784                     | 30,802   | 3,630                                    | 3,386    |   |
| Corporate Other                                |  | 49                         | 32       | (18                                      | ) 13     |   |

See Note 15, "Business Segment Reporting," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for discussion of our segment structure, basis of presentation, and internal management reporting methodologies.

## BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 vs. 2012

### Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management

Consumer Banking and Private Wealth Management reported net income of \$268 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, an increase of \$71 million, or 36%, compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in net income was driven by continued credit quality improvement resulting in a lower provision for credit losses and lower noninterest expense, which more than offset lower revenue.

Net interest income was \$1.3 billion, a decrease of \$74 million, or 5%, compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease was driven by lower average loan balances and lower deposit spreads. A \$1.9 billion, or 5%, decline in average loan balances drove a \$26 million, or 5%, decrease in interest income, while loan spreads remained unchanged. The decline in average loans was driven by the \$2.0 billion student loan sale executed in the fourth quarter of 2012 and home equity line paydowns. These declines were partially offset by growth in indirect auto loans.

Net interest income related to deposits decreased \$61 million, or 7%, compared to the same period in 2012, as deposit spreads decreased 14 basis points. Average deposit balances were essentially flat, however, favorable deposit mix trends continued as low cost average deposit balances increased, offsetting a \$2.9 billion, or 18%, decline in average time deposits.

Provision for credit losses was \$207 million, a decrease of \$85 million, or 29%, compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease was driven by net charge-off declines of \$72 million in home equity lines and \$13 million in consumer mortgage loans.

Total noninterest income was \$729 million, a decrease of \$32 million, or 4%, compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease was largely driven by the reclassification of certain card rewards costs to offset related revenue and lower gains on the sale of student loan portfolios. Additionally, increases in wealth and investment management revenue were partially offset by declines in fees on service charges on deposit accounts.

Total noninterest expense was \$1.4 billion, a decrease of \$136 million, or 9%, compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease was driven by reductions in staff expense, other operating expenses, and overhead costs. Client utilization of self-service channels has provided opportunities to change staffing models in the retail branch network driving the declines in staff and structural expenses.

### Wholesale Banking

Wholesale Banking reported net income of \$434 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, an increase of \$132 million, or 44%, compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in net income was attributable to decreases in provision for credit losses and noninterest expense combined with an increase in net interest income, partially offset by a decrease in noninterest income.

Net interest income was \$841 million, a \$34 million, or 4%, increase compared to the same period in 2012, driven by higher average loan and deposit balances. Net interest income related to loans increased \$18 million, or 3%, as average loan balances increased \$3.1 billion, or 6%, with increases in commercial and tax-exempt loans, partially offset by decreases in commercial real estate loans. Net interest income related to deposits decreased \$4 million, or 1%. Average customer deposit balances increased \$1.1 billion, or 3%, compared to prior year. Favorable trends in deposit mix continued as lower-cost demand deposits increased \$775 million, or 4%, and time deposits decreased \$171 million, or 15%, and average combined interest-bearing transaction accounts and money market accounts increased \$472 million, or 3%.

Provision for credit losses was \$46 million, a decrease of \$102 million, or 69%, compared to the same period in 2012. The decline was driven by lower commercial real estate loan net charge-offs.

Total noninterest income was \$639 million, a decrease of \$25 million, or 4%, compared to the same period in 2012. The decline was driven by lower trading revenue, and a decline in leasing gains, loan fees and letter of credit fees, partially offset by higher investment banking fees, increases in structured leasing gains and sale of affordable housing partnership assets.

Total noninterest expense was \$801 million, a decrease of \$96 million, or 11%, compared to the prior year. Noninterest expense reflected continued declines in other real estate related expense combined with lower outside processing costs, partially offset by increases in allocated costs.

### Mortgage Banking

Mortgage Banking reported a net loss of \$96 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to a net loss of \$246 million for the same period in 2012, an improvement of \$150 million, or 61%. The improvement was driven by lower provision for credit losses and lower noninterest expense, partially offset by lower noninterest income.

Net interest income was \$269 million, an increase of \$12 million, or 5%, predominantly due to higher net interest income on loans, partially offset by lower net interest income on deposits. Average loan balances decreased \$3.0 billion, or 10%, largely due to loan sales executed in the second half of 2012 and regular paydown of loan balances. Offsetting the decrease in average loan balances was an increase in loan spreads, resulting in a \$12 million increase in net interest income. Net interest income on LHFS was unchanged compared to the same period in 2012, due to lower spreads offsetting a \$508 million increase in average balances. Total average deposits increased \$244 million, or 7%, however, net interest income decreased by \$4 million due to lower deposit spreads.

Provision for credit losses was \$152 million, a decrease of \$179 million, or 54%, compared to the same period in 2012. The improvement was driven by a decline in net charge-offs, partially attributable to the \$35 million in net charge-off related to the transfer to LHFS of nonperforming residential mortgage loans included in the first quarter of 2012, which subsequently sold in the second quarter of 2012.

Total noninterest income was \$329 million, a decrease of \$7 million, or 2%, compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease was predominantly driven by lower mortgage servicing income, offset by higher mortgage production income. Mortgage servicing income of \$39 million, decreased \$112 million, or 74%, driven by less favorable net hedge performance, higher decay, and lower servicing fees. Total loans serviced were \$140.4 billion at June 30, 2013 compared with \$153.4 billion at June 30, 2012, down 8%. Mortgage loan production income increased \$127 million

due to a \$301 million decline in mortgage repurchase provision and higher production-related fee income, partially offset by lower gain on sale revenue. Loan originations were \$17.9 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$15.9 billion for the prior year, an increase of \$2.0 billion, or 13%.

Total noninterest expense was \$609 million, a decline of \$67 million, or 10%, compared to the same period in 2012. Consulting expense decreased \$43 million, predominantly due to lower costs associated with the Federal Reserve Consent Order, while credit and collection services decreased \$27 million, other real estate decreased \$12 million, and other expense decreased \$9 million. Operating losses declined \$16 million due to lower costs largely attributed to mortgage servicing and litigation expenses. Total allocated costs increased \$32 million, while outside processing increased \$11 million due to higher production volume.

#### Corporate Other

Corporate Other net income for the six months ended June 20, 2013 was \$150 million, a decrease of \$34 million, or 18%, compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease was primarily due to lower net interest income as a result of maturing commercial loan related interest rate swaps and lower gains from the sale of securities AFS.

Net interest income was \$160 million, a decrease of \$63 million, or 28%, compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease was driven by lower income from aforementioned interest swaps and a \$31 million decrease in income on investment securities due to the foregone dividend income resulting from the sale of the Coke stock in the third quarter of 2012. These declines were partially offset by a decline in the cost of funds. Total average assets decreased \$3.5 billion, or 12%, driven by a reduction in the investment portfolio from the aforementioned sale of the Coke stock. Average long-term debt decreased \$2.9 billion, or 26%, compared to 2012 primarily due to the repayment of senior and subordinated debt. Average short-term borrowings decreased \$2.4 billion, or 40%, due to repayment of short-term FHLB borrowings.

Total noninterest income was \$31 million, a decrease of \$30 million, or 49%, compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease was predominantly due to \$31 million decrease in net gains on the sale of securities AFS.

Total noninterest expense was a contra expense of \$35 million, an increase of \$28 million, compared to a contra expense of \$7 million in the same period in 2012. The contra expenses are mainly due to recovery of funding cost on certain fixed assets and is partially offset by debt extinguishment costs incurred by Corporate Treasury and unallocated severance expenses. The increase in contra expenses was mainly due to lower severance cost, a decline in operating losses, and a decrease in debt extinguishment charges related to redemption of higher cost trust preferred securities in the second quarter of 2012.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See “Enterprise Risk Management” in the MD&A, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

The Company's management conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its CEO and CFO, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures at June 30, 2013. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act) are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based upon the evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at June 30, 2013.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes to the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the second quarter of 2013, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting. We intend to begin implementing the new "Internal Control - Integrated Framework" in 2014 prior to its compliance deadline of December, 2014.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company and its subsidiaries are parties to numerous claims and lawsuits arising in the normal course of its business activities, some of which involve claims for substantial amounts. Although the ultimate outcome of these suits cannot be ascertained at this time, it is the opinion of management that none of these matters, when resolved, will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations, cash flows, or financial condition. For additional information, see Note 14, “Contingencies,” to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q, which is incorporated into this Item 1 by reference.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

The risks described in this report and in the Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known or that the Company currently deems to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition, or future results. In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which could materially affect the Company's business, financial condition, or future results.

Below we revise the risk factor discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 under the heading “We may incur fines, penalties and other negative consequences from regulatory violations, possibly even inadvertent or unintentional violations” as follows:

We may incur fines, penalties and other negative consequences from regulatory violations, possibly even inadvertent or unintentional violations.

We maintain systems and procedures designed to ensure that we comply with applicable laws and regulations, but there can be no assurance that these will be effective. For example, in 2011, the Federal Reserve conducted a horizontal review of the nation's largest mortgage loan servicers, which resulted in our entering into a Consent Order with the Federal Reserve. We describe the Consent Order in Note 19, "Contingencies," to our Consolidated Financial Statements and in the "Nonperforming Assets" section of the MD&A in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. Further, we recently entered into a settlement agreement as part of the Independent Foreclosure Review established as a result of this Consent Order and pursuant to that settlement agreement we made a \$63 million cash

payment (which was accrued in 2012) and commit \$100 million in other mortgage assistance to borrowers through existing programs such as loan modifications. Also, STM has been cooperating with the United States Attorneys' Office for the Western District of Virginia and the Office of the Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program (collectively, the "Government") whose investigation focuses on whether, during 2009 and 2010, STM harmed borrowers and violated civil or criminal laws by making misrepresentations and failing to properly process applications for modifications of certain mortgages owned by the GSEs pursuant to the HAMP guidelines. The Government has advised STM that it has made no determinations about how it will proceed in this matter. STM continues to cooperate with the investigation and believes that it has substantial defenses to the asserted allegations.

We may incur fines, penalties and other negative consequences from regulatory violations. We may suffer other negative consequences resulting from findings of noncompliance with regulations, including restrictions on certain activities, such as our mortgage business, which may affect our relationship with the GSEs and may also damage our reputation, and this in turn might materially affect our business and results of operations.

Further, some legal/regulatory frameworks provide for the imposition of fines or penalties for noncompliance even though the noncompliance was inadvertent or unintentional and even though there was in place at the time systems and procedures designed to ensure compliance. For example, we are subject to regulations issued by the OFAC that prohibit financial institutions from participating in the transfer of property belonging to the governments of certain foreign countries and designated nationals of those countries. OFAC may impose penalties for inadvertent or unintentional violations even if reasonable processes are in place to prevent the violations.

## Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(a) None.

(b) None.

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities:

|                                     | Common Stock <sup>1</sup>                     |                              | Number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs | Table 24  |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|---|
|                                     | Total number of shares purchased <sup>2</sup> | Average price paid per share |  | Approximate dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs (\$ in millions) |
| April 1 - 30                        | 1,072,400                                     | \$29.03                      | 1,072,400  | \$169   |
| May 1 - 31                          | 591,532                                       | 31.89                        | 591,532  | 150   |
| June 1 - 30                         | -   | -                            | -  | 150   |
| Total during second quarter of 2013 | 1,663,932                                     | \$30.05                      | 1,663,932  | \$150   |

<sup>1</sup> On March 14, 2013, the Company announced that its Board had authorized the repurchase of up to \$200 million shares of the Company's common stock. This authorization expires December 31, 2016. However, any share repurchase is subject to the approval of the Company's primary banking regulator as part of the annual capital planning and stress testing process and therefore, this authority effectively expires on March 31, 2014. During the second quarter of 2013, the Company repurchased approximately \$50 million of its common stock at market value as part of this publicly announced plan.

<sup>2</sup> Includes shares repurchased pursuant to SunTrust's employee stock option plans, pursuant to which participants may pay the exercise price upon exercise of SunTrust stock options by surrendering shares of SunTrust common stock which the participant already owns. SunTrust considers shares so surrendered by participants in SunTrust's employee stock option plans to be repurchased pursuant to the authority and terms of the applicable stock option plan rather than



pursuant to publicly announced share repurchase programs. During the second quarter of 2013, no shares of SunTrust common stock were surrendered by participants in SunTrust's employee stock option plans.

At June 30, 2013, the Company had authority from its Board to repurchase all of the 13.9 million outstanding stock purchase warrants. However, any such repurchase would be subject to the prior approval of the Federal Reserve through the capital planning and stress testing process, and the Company did not request approval to repurchase any warrants.

SunTrust did not repurchase any shares of its Series A Preferred Stock Depositary Shares, Series B Preferred Stock Depositary Shares, Series E Preferred Stock Depositary Shares, or warrants to purchase common stock during the second quarter of 2013, and there was no unused Board authority to repurchase any shares of Series A Preferred Stock Depositary Shares, Series B Preferred Stock Depositary Shares, or the Series E Preferred Stock Depositary Shares.

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

| Exhibit | Description  |                  |
|---------|--|------------------|
| 3.1     | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant, restated effective January 16, 2009, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 22, 2009, as further amended by Articles of Amendment dated December 19, 2012, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 20, 2012. | *                |
| 3.2     | Bylaws of the Registrant, as amended and restated on August 8, 2011, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2011.  | *                |
| 31.1    | Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.  | (filed herewith) |
| 31.2    | Certification of Corporate Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.  | (filed herewith) |
| 32.1    | Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.  | (filed herewith) |
| 32.2    | Certification of Corporate Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.  | (filed herewith) |
| 101.1   | Interactive Data File.   | (filed herewith) |
| *       | incorporated by reference  |                  |

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SunTrust Banks, Inc.  
(Registrant)

/s/ Thomas E. Panther  
Thomas E. Panther, Senior Vice President and Director of  
Corporate Finance and Controller (on behalf of the  
Registrant and as Principal Accounting Officer)

Date: August 8, 2013.