

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.  
Form 10-K  
March 31, 2009

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008

Commission File Number: 001-32171

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

72-1571637  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

3305 Flamingo Drive, Vero Beach, FL 32963  
(Address of principal executive offices - Zip Code)

772-231-1400  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of Each Class  
Class A Common Stock, \$0.001 par value

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Edgar Filing: BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>
	Smaller Reporting Company <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

As of March 30, 2009, there were 26,941,606 shares of the Registrant's Class A Common Stock outstanding. The aggregate market value of the Class A Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant (24,406,309 shares) at June 30, 2008 was approximately \$6.6 million. The aggregate market value was calculated by using the last sale price of the Class A Common Stock as of that date. As of June 30, 2008, all of the Registrant's Class B Common Stock was held by affiliates of the Registrant. As of June 30, 2008, the aggregate market value of the Registrant's Class C Common Stock held by non-affiliates (319,388 shares) was \$319, which value is based on the initial purchase price of the Class C Common Stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.

INDEX

PART I

ITEM 1. Business.	2
ITEM 1A. Risk Factors	18
ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.	28
ITEM 2. Properties.	28
ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings.	28
ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.	29

PART II

ITEM 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.	30
ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data.	32
ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	33
ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	46
ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.	47
ITEM 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.	83
ITEM 9A. Controls and Procedures.	83
ITEM 9A (T). Controls and Procedures.	83
ITEM 9B. Other Information.	83

PART III

ITEM 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.	84
ITEM 11. Executive Compensation.	84
ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.	84
ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.	84
ITEM 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.	84

PART IV

ITEM 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.	85
---	----

## PART I

### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### Overview

Bimini Capital Management, Inc., a Maryland corporation (“Bimini Capital” and, collectively with its subsidiaries, the “Company,” “we” or “us”), is primarily in the business of investing in mortgage-backed securities. We are organized and operate as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for federal income tax purposes, and our corporate structure includes a taxable REIT subsidiary (“TRS”). Bimini Capital’s website is located at <http://www.biminicapital.com>.

From November 3, 2005 to June 30, 2007 we operated a mortgage banking business through a taxable REIT subsidiary. This entity ceased originating mortgages through two of their production channels during the second quarter of 2007 and the third, the retail loan production channel, was sold. No mortgage loans were originated beyond June 30, 2007.

#### History

We were originally formed in September 2003 as Bimini Mortgage Management, Inc. (“Bimini Mortgage”) for the purpose of creating and managing a leveraged investment portfolio consisting of residential mortgage backed securities (“MBS”). Through November 2, 2005, we operated solely as a REIT.

- On November 3, 2005, Bimini Mortgage acquired Opteum Financial Services, LLC (“OFS”). This entity was renamed Orchid Island TRS, LLC (“OITRS”) effective July 3, 2007. Hereinafter, any historical mention, discussion or references to Opteum Financial Services, LLC or to OFS (such as in previously filed documents or Exhibits) now means Orchid Island TRS, LLC or “OITRS.” Upon closing of the transaction, OITRS became a wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company. Under the terms of the transaction, the Company issued 3.7 million shares of Class A Common Stock and 1.2 million shares of Class A Redeemable Preferred Stock to the former members of OITRS.
- On February 10, 2006, the Company changed its name to Opteum Inc. (“Opteum”). At the Company’s 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the shares of Class A Redeemable Preferred Stock issued to the former members of OITRS were converted into shares of the Company’s Class A Common Stock on a one-for-one basis following the approval of such conversion by the Company’s stockholders.
- On December 21, 2006, Bimini Capital sold to Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. (“Citigroup Realty”) a Class B non-voting limited liability company membership interest in OITRS, representing 7.5% of all of OITRS’s outstanding limited liability company membership interests, for \$4.1 million. In connection with the transaction, the Company also granted Citigroup Realty the option, exercisable on or before December 20, 2007, to acquire additional Class B non-voting limited liability company membership interests in OITRS representing 7.49% of all of OITRS’s outstanding limited liability company membership interests. This option was not exercised. On May 27, 2008, Bimini Capital repurchased the 7.5% interest for \$0.05 million.
- On April 18, 2007, the Board of Managers of OITRS, at the recommendation of the Board of Directors of the Company, approved the closure of OITRS’ wholesale and conduit mortgage loan origination channels in the second quarter of 2007. Also, during the second and third quarters of 2007, substantially all of the other operating assets of OITRS were sold and the proceeds were primarily used to repay secured indebtedness.

- On September 28, 2007, the Company changed its name to Bimini Capital Management, Inc.

## Structure

We have elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") under Sections 856 and 859 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). Our qualification as a REIT depends upon our ability to meet, on an annual or in some cases quarterly basis, various complex requirements under the Internal Revenue Code relating to, among other things, the sources of our gross income, the composition and values of our assets, our distribution levels and the diversity of ownership of our shares. We have elected to treat OITRS as a taxable REIT subsidiary (TRS).

As used in this document, discussions related to "Bimini Capital," the parent company, the registrant, and the REIT qualifying activities or the general management of our portfolio of mortgage backed securities ("MBS") refer to "Bimini Capital Management, Inc." Further, discussions related to our taxable REIT subsidiary or non-REIT eligible assets refer to OITRS and its consolidated subsidiaries. Discussions relating to the "Company" refer to the consolidated entity (the combination of Bimini Capital and OITRS). The assets and activities that are not REIT eligible, such as mortgage origination, acquisition and servicing activities, were formerly conducted by OITRS and are now reported as discontinued operations.

## Description of Our Business

In general, under our current business strategy, we expect to maximize the operational and tax benefits provided by our REIT structure. We seek to generate net interest income from our portfolio of mortgage-backed securities, which is the difference between (1) the interest income we receive from mortgage-backed securities and (2) the interest we pay on the debt used to finance these investments, plus certain administrative expenses.

## Mortgage-Backed Securities Portfolio

### Investment Strategy

Our current investment strategy, which is subject to change at any time without notice to our stockholders, is to realize net interest income from our investment in mortgage-backed securities ("MBS"). Our MBS portfolio may consist of pass-through certificates, collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) and agency MBS derivatives.

Pass-through certificates are securities representing interests in pools of mortgage loans secured by residential real property in which payments of both interest and principal on the securities are generally made monthly. In effect, these securities pass through the monthly payments made by the individual borrowers on the mortgage loans that underlie the securities, net of fees paid to the issuer or guarantor of the securities. Pass-through certificates can be divided into various categories based on the characteristics of the underlying mortgages, such as the term or whether the interest rate is fixed or variable. The mortgage loans underlying pass-through certificates can generally be classified in the following categories:

- **Adjustable-Rate Mortgages.** Adjustable-Rate Mortgages, or ARMs, are mortgages for which the borrower pays an interest rate that varies over the term of the loan. The interest rate usually resets based on market interest rates, although the adjustment of such an interest rate may be subject to certain limitations. Traditionally, interest rates reset periodically. We refer to such ARMs as "traditional" ARMs. Since interest rates on ARMs fluctuate based on market conditions, ARMs tend to have interest rates that do not deviate from current market rates by a large amount. This in turn can mean that ARMs have less price sensitivity to interest rates. We classify ARMs as those

securities whose coupons reset within one year.

- **Fixed-Rate Mortgages.** Fixed-Rate mortgages allow each borrower to pay an interest rate that is constant throughout the term of the loan. Traditionally, most fixed-rate mortgages have an original term of 30 years. However, shorter terms (also referred to as final maturity dates) have become common in recent years. Because the interest rate on the loan never changes, even when market interest rates change, over time there can be a divergence between the interest rate on the loan and current market interest rates. This in turn can make a fixed-rate mortgage price sensitive to market fluctuations in interest rates. In general, the longer the remaining term on the mortgage loan, the greater the price sensitivity.
- **Hybrid Adjustable-Rate Mortgages.** Hybrid ARMs have a fixed-rate for the first few years of the loan, often three, five, or seven years, and thereafter reset periodically like traditional ARMs. Effectively, such mortgages are hybrids, combining the features of a pure fixed-rate mortgage and a "traditional" ARM. Hybrid ARMs have price sensitivity to interest rates similar to that of a fixed-rate mortgage during the period when the interest rate is fixed and similar to that of an ARM when the interest rate is in its periodic reset stage. However, even though hybrid ARMs usually have a short time period in which the interest rate is fixed, during such period the price sensitivity may be high.
- **Balloon Maturity Mortgages.** Balloon maturity mortgages are a type of fixed-rate mortgage where all or most of the principal amount is due at maturity, rather than paid in periodic equal installments, or amortized, over the life of the loan. These mortgages have a static interest rate for the life of the loan. However, the term of the loan is usually quite short, typically less than seven years. As the balloon maturity mortgage approaches its maturity date, the price sensitivity of the mortgage declines.

In addition to pass-through certificates, we may also invest in agency MBS derivatives. A mortgage derivative is a collateralized mortgage obligation ("CMO") class with leveraged exposure to prepayments on the underlying collateral. The coupon on the class can either be fixed or floating. Mortgage derivatives offer a wide range of duration, curve shape, and convexity exposures. Mortgage derivatives can generally be classified as follows:

- **Floater.** A floater is a CMO whose coupon periodically resets at a specified spread over a specified index, subject to a cap and a floor. Usually, floaters have low durations, low negative convexity, and reduced exposure to prepayment risks relative to the underlying collateral. In addition, they typically also have negative duration exposure to the short-end of the yield curve because of the floater cap. When short-term rates increase, the value of the floater decreases and vice-versa. In contrast, an inverse floater has a coupon with an inverse linear relationship to its index. Inverse floaters generally have longer durations, higher negative convexity, and higher exposure to prepayment risks relative to the underlying collateral.
- **Interest Only.** An interest only (IO) CMO is created by stripping the interest cash flows of the underlying mortgage collateral. An IO pays interest on a notional principal amount and has a coupon formula similar to that of a floater, with the exception that IOs don't receive principal payments. In contrast, an inverse IO (IIO) has a coupon with an inverse linear relationship to its index. IIOs typically have large positive short-end durations and large negative long-end durations. The value of an IIO typically falls if short-term rates move up, primarily due to a decline in the IIO's coupon as rates rise. The value of an IIO typically increases as long-term rates rise, primarily due to the accompanying decline in prepayments. IIOs usually benefit when the yield curve steepens and are hurt when the curve flattens.
- **Principal Only.** A principal only (PO) CMO is created by stripping the principal cash flows of the underlying mortgage collateral. Total payments to a PO are fixed – all that is uncertain is the timing of those payments. Because of this POs usually have high durations. Prepayments are desirable because the holder receives the money earlier; therefore, the value of a PO tends to rise with declining interest rates.

The following table shows the breakdown of mortgage loans underlying the mortgage backed securities portfolio as of December 31, 2008 and 2007:

(in thousands)

	December 31,			
	2008		2007	
	Carrying Value	% of Total	Carrying Value	% of Total
<b>Pass-Through Certificates:</b>				
Adjustable Rate Mortgages	\$ 70,632	41.0	\$ 177,608	25.7
Fixed Rate Mortgages	24,884	14.5	113,989	16.5
Hybrid ARMs	63,068	36.6	398,982	57.8
<b>Total Pass-Through Certificates</b>	<b>158,584</b>	<b>92.1</b>	<b>690,579</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Mortgage Derivative Certificates:</b>				
Inverse IO MBS	13,524	7.9	-	-
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$ 172,108</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>\$ 690,579</b>	<b>100.0</b>

As of December 31, 2008, 82.1% and 17.9% of our portfolio was issued by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, respectively. As of December 31, 2008, our portfolio had a weighted average coupon of 5.19%. The constant prepayment rate (CPR) for the portfolio, which reflects the annualized proportion of principal that was prepaid, was 5.76% for December 2008. The effective duration for the portfolio was 1.279 as of December 31, 2008. Duration measures the price sensitivity of a fixed income security to movements in interest rates. Effective duration captures both the movement in interest rates and the fact that the cash flows of a mortgage related security are altered when interest rates move.

In evaluating our MBS portfolio assets and their performance, we primarily evaluate the following critical factors:

- asset performance in differing interest rate environments,
  - duration of the particular MBS asset,
  - yield to maturity,
- potential for prepayment of principal, and
  - the market price of the investment.

We seek to minimize the effects on our income caused by prepayments on the mortgage loans underlying our securities at a rate materially different than anticipated. Toward that end, we have positioned our portfolio to include securities with prepayment characteristics that we expect to result in less interest rate sensitive and, therefore, more stable prepayments. We believe that MBS collateralized by the following types of loan pools achieves this goal.

- Borrowers with low loan balances have a lower economic incentive to refinance and have historically prepaid at lower rates than borrowers with larger loan balances. The reduced incentive to refinance has two parts: borrowers with low loan balances will have smaller interest savings because overall interest payments are smaller on their loans; and closing costs for refinancing, which are generally not proportionate to the size of a loan, make refinancing of smaller loans less attractive as it takes a longer period of time for the interest savings to cover the cost of refinancing.
- Mortgage loans backed by the U.S. Government or Government Sponsored Agencies where borrowers have slightly impaired credit histories or loan-to-value ratios greater than 80%. Borrowers with this profile have proportionately higher delinquency rates than typical Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae borrowers, resulting in a higher than market interest rate because of the increased default and delinquency risk. Prepayment rates on these securities are lower than average because refinancing is more difficult for delinquent, recently delinquent or high LTV loans.
- Agency pools collateralized by loans against investment properties generally result in slower prepayments because borrowers financing investment properties are required to pay an upfront premium. Payment of this premium requires a larger rate movement for the borrower to achieve the same relative level of savings upon refinancing.

We have created a diversified portfolio to avoid undue geographic, loan originator, and other types of concentrations. By maintaining essentially all of our MBS portfolio in AAA rated, government or government-sponsored or chartered enterprises and government or federal agencies, which may include an implied guarantee of the federal government as to payment of principal and interest, management believes it can significantly reduce its exposure to losses from credit risk. Legislation may be proposed to change the relationship between certain agencies, such as Fannie Mae and the federal government. This may have the effect of reducing the actual or perceived credit quality of mortgage related securities issued by these agencies. As a result, such legislation could increase the risk of loss on investments in Fannie Mae and/or Freddie Mac MBS. The Company currently intends to continue to invest in such securities, even if such agencies' relationships with the federal government change.

#### Leverage Strategy

Our mortgage-backed securities portfolio is partially funded through borrowings in the repurchase market. In addition, we use leverage in an attempt to increase potential returns to our stockholders. However, the use of leverage may also have the effect of increasing losses when economic conditions are unfavorable. Under normal market conditions, we generally seek to borrow between eight and twelve times the amount of our equity, although our investment policies require no minimum or maximum leverage. For purposes of this calculation, we treat our trust



preferred securities as an equity capital equivalent. We use repurchase agreements to borrow against existing mortgage related securities and use the proceeds to acquire additional mortgage related securities.

When market conditions become severely disrupted, as they are currently, our leverage strategy will be affected. Under such conditions we may not leverage our portfolio to the point we would under more normal market conditions due to the inability to obtain sufficient financing, a desire to reduce the risk exposure of the portfolio, or both. Currently, the Company's access to financing has been limited by the lenders and our leverage has been reduced. To compensate, we may, and have, employed derivative mortgage backed securities to increase returns. Such securities are not financed.

We seek to structure the financing in such a way as to limit the effect of fluctuations in short-term rates on our interest rate spread. In general, our borrowings are short-term and we actively manage, on an aggregate basis, both the interest rate indices and interest rate adjustment periods of our borrowings against the interest rate indices and interest rate adjustment periods on our mortgage related securities in order to limit our liquidity and interest rate related risks.

We generally borrow at short-term rates from various lenders through various contractual agreements including repurchase agreements. Repurchase agreements are generally, but not always, short-term in nature. Under these repurchase agreements, we sell securities to a lender and agree to repurchase those securities in the future for a price that is higher than the original sales price. The difference between the sales price we receive and the repurchase price we pay represents interest paid to the lender. This is determined by reference to an interest rate index (such as the London Interbank Offered Rate or, LIBOR) plus an interest rate spread. Although structured as a sale and repurchase obligation, a repurchase agreement operates as a financing under which we effectively pledge our securities as collateral to secure a short-term loan equal in value to a specified percentage of the market value of the pledged collateral. While used as collateral, we retain beneficial ownership of the pledged collateral, including the right to distributions. At the maturity of a repurchase agreement, we are required to repay the loan and concurrently receive our pledged collateral from the lender or, with the consent of the lender, renew such agreement at the then prevailing financing rate. Our repurchase agreements may require us to pledge additional assets to the lender in the event the market value of the existing pledged collateral declines. At December 31, 2008, repurchase agreements outstanding totaled approximately \$148.7 million.

We have engaged AVM, L.P., a securities broker-dealer, to provide us with repurchase agreement trading, clearing and administrative services. AVM, L.P. acts as our agent and adviser in arranging for third parties to enter into repurchase agreements with us, executes and maintains records of our repurchase transactions and assists in managing the margin arrangements between us and our counterparties for each of our repurchase agreements.

#### Risk Management Approach

Our investment strategy exposes the Company to several types of risk. Interest Rate Risk Management

We believe the primary risk inherent in our portfolio investments is the effect of movements in interest rates. This risk arises because the effects of interest rate changes on our borrowings will not be perfectly correlated with the effects of interest rate changes on the income from, or value of, our portfolio investments. We therefore follow an interest rate risk management program designed to offset the potential adverse effects resulting from the rate adjustment limitations on our mortgage related securities. Under normal market conditions, the Company seeks to minimize differences between interest rate indices and interest rate adjustment periods of our adjustable-rate MBS and related borrowings by matching the terms of assets and related liabilities both as to maturity and to the underlying interest rate index used to calculate interest rate charges.

To the extent the Company has the flexibility to adjust borrowing terms, our interest rate risk management program encompasses a number of procedures, including the following:

- monitoring and adjusting, if necessary, the interest rate sensitivity of our mortgage related securities compared with the interest rate sensitivities of our borrowings.
- structuring our repurchase agreements that fund our purchases of adjustable-rate MBS to have varying maturities and interest rate adjustment periods in order to match the reset dates on our adjustable-rate MBS.
- actively managing, on an aggregate basis, the interest rate indices and interest rate adjustment periods of our mortgage related securities and comparing them to the interest rate indices and adjustment periods of our borrowings. Our liabilities under our repurchase agreements are all LIBOR based, and we select our adjustable-rate MBS to favor LIBOR indexes, among other considerations.

Through the use of these procedures, under normal market conditions, we attempt to reduce the risk of differences between interest rate adjustment periods of our adjustable-rate MBS and our related borrowings. However, no assurances can be given that our interest rate risk management strategies can successfully be implemented.

---

We may from time to time use derivative financial instruments to hedge all or a portion of the interest rate risk associated with our borrowings. We may enter into swap or cap agreements, option, put or call agreements, futures contracts, forward rate agreements or similar financial instruments to hedge indebtedness that we may incur or plan to incur. These contracts would be intended to more closely match the effective maturity of, and the interest received on, our assets with the effective maturity of, and the interest owed on, its liabilities. We may also use derivative financial instruments in an attempt to protect our MBS portfolio against declines in the market value of our assets that result from general trends in debt markets. The inability to match closely the maturities and interest rates of our assets and liabilities or the inability to protect adequately against declines in the market value of our assets could result in losses. Derivative instruments will not be used for speculative purposes.

- Credit Risk Management

We invest in a limited universe of mortgage related securities, primarily, but not limited to, those issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. Payment of principal and interest underlying securities issued by Ginnie Mae is guaranteed by the U.S. Government. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac mortgage related securities are guaranteed as to payment of principal and interest by the respective entity issuing the security.

- Prepayment Risk Management

A key feature of most mortgage loans is the ability of the borrower to repay principal earlier than scheduled. This is called a prepayment. Prepayments arise primarily due to sale of the underlying property, refinancing, or foreclosure. Prepayments result in a return of principal to pass-through certificate holders. This may result in a lower or higher rate of return upon reinvestment of principal. This is generally referred to as prepayment uncertainty. If a security purchased at a premium prepays at a higher-than-expected rate, then the value of the premium would be eroded at a faster-than-expected rate. Similarly, if a discount mortgage prepays at a lower-than-expected rate, the amortization towards par would be accumulated at a slower-than-expected rate. The possibility of these undesirable effects is sometimes referred to as "prepayment risk."

In general, declining interest rates tend to increase prepayments, and rising interest rates tend to result in fewer prepayments. Like other fixed-income securities, when interest rates rise, the value of mortgage related securities generally declines. The rate of prepayments on underlying mortgages will affect the price and volatility of mortgage related securities and may shorten or extend the effective maturity of the security beyond what was anticipated at the time of purchase. If interest rates rise, our holdings of mortgage related securities may experience reduced returns if the borrowers of the underlying mortgages pay off their mortgages later than anticipated. This is generally referred to as "extension risk."

We seek to manage the risk of prepayments of the underlying mortgages by creating a diversified portfolio with a variety of prepayment characteristics.

- Capital Risk Management

Since all of the elements of risk that our investment strategy exposes the Company to have the potential to negatively affect the prices of our assets or the level of our earnings, they ultimately pose a risk to our capital. Under normal market conditions, and to the extent the Company has access to sufficient levels of funding, our primary means of protecting our capital is through the management of the amount of leverage we employ. When market conditions deteriorate and ready access to funding is not available, we obtain leverage through the assets we acquire. In such

instances the Company will acquire derivative MBS assets that have leverage imbedded in them through the structural features of the security. Examples would be interest only or inverse interest only securities. In an effort to determine the appropriate amount of leverage to employ, we focus on the characteristics of the securities we apply leverage to and to the aggregate characteristics of our portfolio. There are four primary characteristics of both our securities and our portfolio that we focus on. The characteristics are:

- effective duration,
  - convexity,
- expected return and
- the slope of the yield curve.

"Effective duration" measures the sensitivity of a security's price to movements in interest rates. "Convexity" measures the sensitivity of a security's effective duration to movements in interest rates. "Expected return" captures the market's assessment of the risk of a security. We generally assume markets are efficient with respect to the pricing of risk.

While these three risk components primarily address the price movement of a security, we believe the income earning potential of our portfolio-as reflected in the slope of the yield curve-offsets potential negative price movements. We believe the risk of our portfolio is lower when the slope of the yield curve is steep, and thus is inversely proportional to the slope of the yield curve.

We use these components of risk to drive the leverage applied to our portfolio. We look at our leverage from two perspectives. The first considers the ratio of our MBS portfolio to our equity (for purposes of determining our leverage ratio we treat our trust preferred debt as equity). This tells us how much an adverse movement in the prices of our assets will affect our equity base. The effective duration of our portfolio gives us our best estimate of the price movement of the portfolio given a adverse movement in interest rates. Since the types of assets we apply leverage to typically have short durations (under two years),by limiting our leverage ratio to no more than 12 to 1, our equity base should be sufficient to absorb adverse interest rate shocks of several hundred basis points. With a leverage ratio significantly under 8 to 1, we generally would not generate sufficient returns to attract and retain equity capital given the types of assets we own. Accordingly, under normal market conditions our leverage ratio will generally be between 8 and 12 to one, although at times it may be outside of this range.

The second approach we take to our leverage level focuses on the amount of liquidity needed to withstand margin calls related to adverse price movements and prepayments on our MBS assets. As with our leverage ratio, the types of assets we invest in and the magnitude of adverse interest rate movements we expect to be able to withstand determine the amount of liquidity we need to maintain. Under normal market conditions we typically retain approximately 50% of our equity base in cash or unencumbered securities so it is available to meet margin calls. To the extent prepayments are expected to be higher/lower, we either retain more/less liquidity or reduce/increase our leverage. Also, since we are unable to borrow 100 percent of the value of our assets under repurchase agreement fundings, the difference (or haircut) determines how much liquidity we will have available. When haircut levels are high our leverage level will be reduced. Accordingly, haircut levels and/or anticipated prepayments indirectly drive our leverage since they drive the amount of liquidity we are able or need to maintain.

As previously mentioned, when market conditions are severely distressed, as they are currently, our leverage employed may be lower than would be the case under more normal market conditions. Owing to our deficit in stockholders equity, our access to financing is limited and thus our leverage employed is constrained. As a result, management may be unable to leverage the MBS portfolio to the point the process described above would suggest. Under such conditions we may, and have, employed derivative mortgage backed securities to enhance returns. Such securities are not financed.

#### POLICIES WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN OTHER ACTIVITIES

If our Board of Directors determines that additional funding is required, we may raise such funds through additional offerings of equity or debt securities or the retention of cash flow (subject to provisions in the Code concerning distribution requirements and the taxability of undistributed net taxable income) or a combination of these methods. In the event that our Board of Directors determines to raise additional equity capital, it has the authority, without stockholder approval, to issue additional common stock or preferred stock in any manner and on such terms and for such consideration as it deems appropriate, at any time.

We have authority to offer Class A Common Stock or other equity or debt securities in exchange for property and to repurchase or otherwise reacquire shares and may engage in such activities in the future.

Subject to gross income and asset tests necessary for REIT qualification, we may invest in securities of other REITs, other entities engaged in real estate activities or securities of other issuers, including for the purpose of exercising control over such entities.

We may engage in the purchase and sale of investments. We do not underwrite the securities of other issuers.

Our Board of Directors may change any of these policies without prior notice to our stockholders and without the approval of our stockholders.

#### CERTAIN FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following discussion summarizes the material federal income tax considerations regarding our qualification and taxation as a REIT. The information in this summary is based on the Code, current, temporary and proposed Treasury regulations promulgated under the Code, the legislative history of the Code, current administrative interpretations and practices of the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") and court decisions, all as of the date hereof. The administrative interpretations and practices of the IRS upon which this summary is based include its practices and policies as expressed in private letter rulings which are not binding on the IRS, except with respect to the taxpayers who requested and received such rulings. No assurance can be given that future legislation, Treasury regulations, administrative interpretations and practices and court decisions will not significantly change current law, or adversely affect existing interpretations of existing law, on which the information in this summary is based. Even if there is no change in applicable law, no assurance can be provided that the statements made in the following summary will not be challenged by the IRS or will be sustained by a court if so challenged, and we will not seek a ruling with respect to any part of the information discussed in this summary. This summary is qualified in its entirety by the applicable provisions of the Code, Treasury regulations, and administrative and judicial interpretations of the Code.

**INVESTORS ARE URGED TO CONSULT THEIR TAX ADVISORS REGARDING THE FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL, AND FOREIGN TAX CONSEQUENCES TO THEM OF ACQUIRING, HOLDING AND DISPOSING OF OUR CLASS A COMMON STOCK AND THE POTENTIAL CHANGES IN APPLICABLE TAX LAWS.**

#### General

Bimini Capital has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code commencing with our initial taxable period ended December 31, 2003. We believe that we have been organized and operated, and we intend to continue to be organized and to operate, in a manner so as to qualify as a REIT. However, no assurance can be given that we in fact qualify or will remain qualified as a REIT.

Our qualification as a REIT depends on our ability to meet, through actual annual operating results, income and asset requirements, distribution levels, diversity of stock ownership, and the various other qualification tests imposed under the Code discussed below. While we intend to operate so that we qualify as a REIT, given the highly complex nature

of the rules governing REITs, the ongoing importance of factual determinations and the possibility of future changes in our circumstances or in the law, no assurance can be given that our actual results for any particular taxable year will satisfy these requirements. In addition, qualification as a REIT may depend on future transactions and events that cannot be known at this time.

So long as we qualify as a REIT, we generally will be permitted an income tax deduction for qualifying dividends that we distribute to our stockholders. As a result, we generally will not be required to pay federal income taxes on any cumulative net taxable income that is currently distributed to our stockholders. This treatment substantially eliminates the "double taxation" that ordinarily results from investment in a corporation. Double taxation means taxation once at the corporate level when income is earned and once again at the stockholder level when this income is distributed. Under current law, dividends received by non-corporate U.S. stockholders through 2010 from certain U.S. corporations and qualified foreign corporations generally are eligible for taxation at the rates applicable to long-term capital gains (a maximum of 15%). This substantially reduces, but does not completely eliminate, the double taxation that has historically applied to corporate dividends. With limited exceptions, however, dividends paid by us or other entities that are taxed as REITs are not eligible for the reduced rates on dividends, and will continue to be taxed at rates applicable to ordinary income, which will be as high as 35% through 2010.

Even as a REIT, however, we will be subject to federal taxation, as follows:

§ We will be required to pay tax at regular corporate rates on any undistributed net taxable income (reduced for any available net operating loss carryover), including undistributed net capital gain.

§ We may be subject to the "alternative minimum tax" on its items of tax preference, if any.

§ If we have (i) net income from the sale or other disposition of "foreclosure property" which is held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business or (ii) other non-qualifying income from foreclosure property, we will be required to pay tax at the highest corporate rate on this income. Foreclosure property is generally defined as property acquired through foreclosure or after a default on a loan secured by the property or on a lease of the property.

§ We will be required to pay a 100% tax on any net income from prohibited transactions. Prohibited transactions are, in general, sales or other taxable dispositions of property, other than foreclosure property, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. Under existing law, whether property is held as inventory or primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of a trade or business depends on all the facts and circumstances surrounding the particular transaction.

§ If we fail to satisfy the 75% gross income test or the 95% gross income test discussed below, but nonetheless maintain our qualification as a REIT because certain other requirements are met, we will be subject to a 100% tax on an amount equal to the greater of (i) the amount by which we fail the 75% gross income test and (ii) the amount by which we fail the 95% gross income test, multiplied by a fraction intended to reflect our profitability.

§ Commencing with our taxable year beginning on January 1, 2005, if due to reasonable cause, we fail to satisfy any of the REIT asset tests, as described below, by more than a de minimis amount, and we nonetheless maintain our REIT qualification because of specified cure provisions, we will be required to pay a tax equal to the greater of \$50,000 or the highest corporate tax rate multiplied by the net taxable income generated by the nonqualifying assets.

§ Commencing with our taxable year beginning on January 1, 2005, if we fail to satisfy any provision of the Code that would result in our failure to qualify as a REIT (other than a violation of the REIT gross income or asset tests described below) and the violation is due to reasonable cause, we may retain our REIT qualification but will be required to pay a penalty of \$50,000 for each such failure.

- § We will be required to pay a 4% excise tax on the excess of the required distribution over the amounts actually distributed if we fail to distribute during each calendar year at least the sum of (i) 85% of our ordinary net taxable income for the year; (ii) 95% of our capital gain net income for the year; and (iii) any undistributed taxable income from prior periods. This distribution requirement is in addition to, and different from, the distribution requirements discussed below.
- § If we acquire any asset from a corporation which is or has been taxed as a C corporation under the Code in a transaction in which the basis of the asset in our hands is determined by reference to the basis of the asset in the hands of the C corporation, and we subsequently recognize gain on the disposition of the asset during the 10-year period beginning on the date that the asset is acquired, then we will be required to pay tax at the highest regular corporate tax rate on this gain to the extent of the excess of (i) the fair market value of the asset, over (ii) our adjusted basis in the asset, in each case determined as of the date on which the asset is acquired. The results described in this paragraph with respect to the recognition of gain will apply unless an election under Treasury regulation Section 1.337(d)-7(c) is made to cause the C corporation to recognize all of the gain inherent in the property at the time of acquisition of the asset.
- § We will generally be subject to tax on the portion of any excess inclusion income derived from an investment in residual interests in REMICs to the extent our stock is held by specified tax-exempt organizations not subject to tax on unrelated business taxable income.
- § We could be subject to a 100% excise tax if our dealings with any taxable REIT subsidiary is not at arm's length.

In addition, our subsidiary, OITRS, has elected to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary, which is an entity that is subject to federal, state, and local income taxation separately from the REIT. OITRS's ability to deduct interest paid or accrued to the REIT for federal, state and local tax purposes is also subject to certain limitations.

---

## Requirements for Qualification as a REIT

The Code defines a REIT as a corporation, trust or association:

- (i) that is managed by one or more trustees or directors;
- (ii) that issues transferable shares or transferable certificates to evidence beneficial ownership;
- (iii) that would be taxable as a domestic corporation but for Sections 856 through 859 of the Code;
- (iv) that is not a financial institution or an insurance company within the meaning of the Code;
- (v) that is beneficially owned by 100 or more persons;
- (vi) not more than 50% in value of the outstanding stock of which is owned, actually or constructively, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities), during the last half of each taxable year (the "5/50 Rule"); and
- (vii) that meets other tests, described below, regarding the nature of its income and assets and the amount of its distributions.

The Code provides that all of the first four conditions stated above must be met during the entire taxable year and that the fifth condition must be met during at least 335 days of a taxable year of 12 months, or during a proportionate part of a taxable year of less than 12 months. The fifth and sixth conditions do not apply until after the first taxable year for which an election is made to be taxed as a REIT.

Our charter provides for restrictions regarding ownership and transfer of our stock. These restrictions are intended to assist us in satisfying the share ownership requirements described in the fifth and sixth conditions above. These restrictions, however, may not ensure that we will, in all cases, be able to satisfy the stock ownership rules. If we fail to satisfy any of these stock ownership rules, and no other relief provisions apply, our qualification as a REIT may terminate. If, however, we complied with the rules contained in the applicable Treasury regulations that require a REIT to determine the actual ownership of its stock and we do not know, or would not have known through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that we failed to meet the requirement of the 5/50 Rule, we would not be disqualified as a REIT.

To monitor our compliance with the stock ownership tests, we are required to maintain records regarding the actual ownership of our shares of stock. To do so, we are required to demand written statements each year from the record holders of certain percentages of our shares of stock in which the record holders are to disclose the actual owners of the shares (i.e., the persons required to include our dividends in gross income). A list of those persons failing or refusing to comply with this demand must be maintained as part of our records. A record holder who fails or refuses to comply with the demand must submit a statement with its tax return disclosing the actual ownership of the shares of stock and certain other information.

In addition, a corporation generally may not elect to become a REIT unless its taxable year is the calendar year. Our taxable year is the calendar year.





### Tax Effect of Subsidiaries

At December 31, 2008, we own 100% of the outstanding membership interests of OITRS. We have made an election to tax OITRS as a C Corporation and to treat OITRS as a taxable REIT subsidiary. A taxable REIT subsidiary may earn income that would be non-qualifying income if earned directly by a REIT and is generally subject to full corporate level tax. A REIT may own up to 100% of all outstanding stock of a taxable REIT subsidiary. However, no more than 20% of a REIT's assets may consist of the securities of taxable REIT subsidiaries. Any dividends that a REIT receives from a taxable REIT subsidiary will generally be eligible to be taxed at the preferential rates applicable to qualified dividend income and, for purposes of REIT gross income tests, will be qualifying income for purposes of the 95% gross income test but not the 75% gross income test. Certain restrictions imposed on taxable REIT subsidiaries are intended to ensure that such entities will be subject to appropriate levels of federal income taxation. First, a taxable REIT subsidiary may not deduct interest payments made in any year to an affiliated REIT to the extent that such payments exceed, generally, 50% of the taxable REIT subsidiary's adjusted taxable income for that year (although the taxable REIT subsidiary may carry forward to, and deduct in, a succeeding year the disallowed interest amount if the 50% test is satisfied in that year). Additionally, if a taxable REIT subsidiary pays interest, rent or another amount to a REIT that exceeds the amount that would be paid to an unrelated party in an arm's length transaction, an excise tax equal to 100% of such excess will be imposed.

An unincorporated domestic entity, such as a partnership or limited liability company, that has a single owner, generally is not treated as an entity separate from its parent for federal income tax purposes. If we own 100% of the interests of such an entity, we will be treated as owning its assets and receiving its income directly. An unincorporated domestic entity with two or more owners generally is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. In the case of a REIT that is a partner in a partnership that has other partners, the REIT is treated as owning its proportionate share of the assets of the partnership and as earning its proportionate share of the gross income of the partnership, based on its percentage of capital interests, for the purposes of the applicable REIT qualification tests. Commencing with its taxable year beginning on January 1, 2005, solely for purposes of the 10% value test described below, the determination of a REIT's interest in partnership assets will be based on the REIT's proportionate interest in any securities issued by the partnership, excluding for these purposes, certain excluded securities as described in the Code. Thus, our proportionate share of the assets, liabilities and items of income of any partnership, joint venture or limited liability company that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes in which we acquire an interest directly or indirectly will be treated as our assets and gross income for purposes of applying the various REIT qualification requirements.

### Income Tests

We must satisfy two gross income requirements annually to maintain our qualification as a REIT. First, we must derive at least 75% of our gross income, excluding gross income from prohibited transactions, from specified real estate sources, including rental income, interest on obligations secured by mortgages on real property or on interests in real property, dividends or other distributions on, and gain from the sale of, stock in other REITs, gain from the disposition of "real estate assets" (i.e., interests in real property, mortgages secured by real property or interests in real property, and some other assets) and income from certain types of temporary investments. Second, we must derive at least 95% of our gross income, excluding gross income from prohibited transactions and qualifying hedges entered into after December 31, 2004, from the sources of income that satisfy the 75% gross income test described above, and dividends, interest and gain from the sale or disposition of stock or securities, and qualifying interest rate swap and cap agreements, options, futures and forward contracts entered into before January 1, 2005 to hedge debt incurred to acquire and own real estate assets.

For these purposes, interest earned by a REIT ordinarily does not include any interest if the determination of all or some of the amount of interest depends on the income or profits of any person. An amount will generally not be excluded from the term "interest," however, solely by reason of being based on a fixed percentage or percentages of receipts or sales.

---

Any amount includible in our gross income with respect to a regular or residual interest in a REMIC generally is treated as interest on an obligation secured by a mortgage on real property. If, however, less than 95% of the assets of a REMIC consists of real estate assets (determined as if we held such assets), we will be treated as receiving directly our proportionate share of the income of the REMIC. In addition, if we receive interest income with respect to a mortgage loan that is secured by both real property and other property and the highest principal amount of the loan outstanding during a taxable year exceeds the fair market value of the real property on the date we became committed to make or purchase the mortgage loan, a portion of the interest income, equal to (i) such highest principal amount minus such value, divided by (ii) such highest principal amount, generally will not be qualifying income for purposes of the 75% gross income test. Interest income received with respect to non-REMIC pay-through bonds and pass-through debt instruments, such as collateralized mortgage obligations or CMOs, however, generally will not be qualifying income for purposes of the 75% gross income test.

We inevitably may have some gross income from sources that will not be qualifying income for purposes of one or both of the gross income tests. However, we intend to maintain our qualification as a REIT by monitoring and limiting any such non-qualifying income.

If we fail to satisfy one or both of the 75% or 95% gross income tests for any taxable year, we may, nevertheless, qualify as a REIT for such taxable year if we are entitled to relief under applicable provisions of the Code. Generally, we may be entitled to relief if:

- our failure to meet these tests was due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect;
- we attach a schedule of the sources of our income to our federal income tax return; and,
- any incorrect information on the schedule was not due to fraud with intent to evade tax.

Commencing with our taxable year beginning on January 1, 2005, in order to maintain our qualification as a REIT, if we fail to satisfy the 75% or 95% gross income test, such failure must be due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect, and, following our identification of such failure for any taxable year, we must set forth a description of each item of our gross income that satisfies the REIT gross income tests in a schedule for the taxable year filed in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Treasury.

If we are entitled to avail ourselves of the relief provisions, we will maintain our qualification as a REIT but will be subject to certain taxes as described above. We may not, however, be entitled to the benefit of these relief provisions in all circumstances. If these relief provisions do not apply to a particular set of circumstances, we will not qualify as a REIT. We may not always be able to maintain compliance with the gross income tests for REIT qualification despite monitoring our income.

#### Foreclosure Property

Net income realized by us from foreclosure property is generally subject to tax at the maximum federal corporate tax rate (currently at 35%). Foreclosure property is real property and related personal property that is acquired through foreclosure following a default on a lease of such property or indebtedness secured by such property and for which an election is made to treat the property as foreclosure property.

#### Prohibited Transaction Income

Any gain realized by us on the sale of any asset other than foreclosure property, held as inventory or otherwise held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of a trade or business, will be prohibited transaction income and

subject to a 100% excise tax. Prohibited transaction income may also adversely affect our ability to satisfy the gross income test for qualification as a REIT. Whether an asset is held as inventory or primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of a trade or business depends on all facts and circumstances surrounding the particular transaction. While the Code provides a safe harbor which, if met, would cause a sale of an asset to not be treated as a prohibited transaction, we may not be able to meet the requirements of the safe harbor in all circumstances. Any sales of assets made through a taxable REIT subsidiary, such as OITRS, will not be subject to the prohibited transaction tax but will be subject to regular corporate income taxation.

---

## Asset Tests

At the close of each quarter of its taxable year, we must satisfy four tests relating to the nature and diversification of our assets. First, at least 75% of the value of our total assets must be represented by real estate assets, cash, cash items and government securities. Second, not more than 25% of our total assets may be represented by securities, other than those securities included in the 75% asset test. Third, the value of the securities we own in any taxable REIT subsidiaries, in the aggregate, may not exceed 20% of the value of our total assets. Fourth, of the investments included in the 25% asset class, the value of any one issuer's securities may not exceed 5% of the value of our total assets and we generally may not own more than 10% by vote or value of any one issuer's outstanding securities, in each case except with respect to securities of qualified REIT subsidiaries or taxable REIT subsidiaries and in the case of the 10% value test except with respect to "straight debt" having specified characteristics and other excluded securities, as described in the Code, including, but not limited to, any loan to an individual or an estate, any obligation to pay rents from real property and any security issued by a REIT. In addition, (i) our interest as a partner in a partnership is not considered a security for purposes of applying the 10% value test; (ii) any debt instrument issued by a partnership (other than straight debt or other excluded security) will not be considered a security issued by the partnership if at least 75% of the partnership's gross income is derived from sources that would qualify for the 75% gross income test; and (iii) any debt instrument issued by a partnership (other than straight debt or other excluded security) will not be considered a security issued by the partnership to the extent of our interest as a partner in the partnership.

Qualified real estate assets include interests in mortgages on real property to the extent the principal balance of a mortgage does not exceed the fair market value of the associated real property, regular or residual interests in a REMIC (except that, if less than 95% of the assets of a REMIC consist of "real estate assets" (determined as if we held such assets), we will be treated as holding directly our proportionate share of the assets of such REMIC), and shares of other REITs. Non-REMIC CMOs, however, generally do not qualify as qualified real estate assets for this purpose.

We believe that all or substantially all of the mortgage related securities that we own are and will be qualifying assets for purposes of the 75% asset test. However, to the extent that we own non-REMIC CMOs or other debt instruments secured by mortgage loans (rather than by real property) or debt securities issued by C corporations that are not secured by mortgages on real property, those securities may not be qualifying assets for purposes of the 75% asset test. We will monitor the status of our assets for purposes of the various asset tests and will seek to manage our portfolio to comply at all times with such tests.

After initially meeting the asset tests at the close of any quarter, we will not lose our qualification as a REIT for failure to satisfy the asset tests at the end of a later quarter solely by reason of changes in asset values. If we fail to satisfy the asset tests because we acquire securities during a quarter, we can cure this failure by disposing of sufficient non-qualifying assets within 30 days after the close of that quarter. Commencing with our taxable year beginning on January 1, 2005, if we fail to meet the 5% or 10% asset tests, after the 30 day cure period, we may dispose of sufficient assets (generally within six months after the last day of the quarter in which our identification of the failure to satisfy these asset tests occurred) to cure such a violation that does not exceed a de minimis amount equal to the lesser of 1% of our assets at the end of the relevant quarter or \$10,000,000. For violations of any of the REIT asset tests that are due to reasonable cause and that are larger than the de minimis amount described above, we may avoid disqualification as a REIT after the 30 day cure period by taking steps including the disposition of sufficient assets to meet the asset test (generally within six months after the last day of the quarter in which our identification of the failure to satisfy the REIT asset test occurred) and paying a tax equal to the greater of \$50,000 or the highest corporate tax rate multiplied by the net income generated by the non-qualifying assets; provided that we file a schedule for such quarter describing each asset that causes us to fail to satisfy the asset test in accordance with regulations prescribed by

the Treasury.

#### Annual Dividend Distribution Requirements

To maintain our qualification as a REIT, we are required to distribute dividends, other than capital gain dividends, to our stockholders in an amount at least equal to the sum of: (i) 90% of our REIT taxable income (after reductions for net operating loss carryforwards, if any), and (ii) 90% of our after-tax net income, if any, from foreclosure property, less (iii) the excess of the sum of certain items of our non-cash income items over 5% of our REIT taxable income. In general, for this purpose, our REIT taxable income is ordinary net taxable income computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction and net capital gain.

Only distributions that qualify for the "dividends paid deduction" available to REITs under the Code are counted in determining whether the distribution requirements are satisfied. We must make these distributions in the taxable year to which they relate, or in the following taxable year, if they are declared before we timely file our tax return for that year, paid on or before the first regular dividend payment following the declaration and we elect on our tax return to have a specified dollar amount of such distributions treated as if paid in the prior year. For these and other purposes, dividends declared by us in October, November or December of one taxable year and payable to a stockholder of record on a specific date in any such month shall be treated as both paid by us and received by the stockholder during such taxable year, provided that the dividend is actually paid by January 31 of the following taxable year.

In addition, dividends distributed by us must not be preferential. If a dividend is preferential, it will not qualify for the dividends paid deduction. To avoid being preferential, every stockholder of the class of stock to which a distribution is made must be treated the same as every other stockholder of that class, and no class of stock may be treated other than according to its dividend rights as a class.

To the extent that we do not distribute all of our net capital gain, or we distribute at least 90%, but less than 100%, of our REIT taxable income, we will be required to pay tax on this undistributed income at regular ordinary and capital gain corporate tax rates. Furthermore, if we fail to distribute during each calendar year (or, in the case of distributions with declaration and record dates falling in the last three months of the calendar year, by the end of the January immediately following such year) at least the sum of (i) 85% of our REIT ordinary income for such year, (ii) 95% of our REIT capital gain income for such year, and (iii) any undistributed taxable income from prior periods, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the excess of such required distribution over the amounts actually distributed. We intend to make timely distributions sufficient to satisfy the annual distribution requirements.

Because we may deduct capital losses only to the extent of our capital gains, we may have taxable net income that exceeds our economic income. In addition, we will recognize taxable net income in advance of the related cash flow if any of our subordinated mortgage related securities are deemed to have original issue discount. For federal income tax purposes, we generally must accrue original issue discount based on a constant yield method that takes into account projected prepayments. As a result of the foregoing, we may have less cash than is necessary to distribute all of our taxable net income and thereby avoid corporate income tax and the excise tax imposed on certain undistributed income. In such a situation, we may need to borrow funds or issue additional common or preferred stock.

Under certain circumstances, we may be able to rectify a failure to meet the distribution requirements for a year by paying "deficiency dividends" to our stockholders in a later year, which may be included in our deduction for dividends paid for the earlier year. Although we may be able to avoid being taxed on amounts distributed as deficiency dividends, we will be required to pay to the IRS interest based upon the amount of any deduction taken for deficiency dividends.

#### Excess Inclusion Income

If we acquire a residual interest in a REMIC, we may realize excess inclusion income. In addition, if we are deemed to have issued debt obligations having two or more maturities, the payments on which correspond to payments on mortgage loans owned by us, such arrangement will be treated as a taxable mortgage pool for federal income tax purposes. If all or a portion of our assets are treated as a taxable mortgage pool, our qualification as a REIT generally should not be impaired. However, a portion of our taxable net income derived from such a taxable mortgage pool could be characterized as excess inclusion income. Excess inclusion income is an amount, with respect to any calendar quarter, equal to the excess, if any, of (i) income allocable to the holder of a residual interest in a REMIC or a taxable mortgage pool interest over (ii) the sum of an amount for each day in the calendar quarter equal to the product of (a) the adjusted issue price at the beginning of the quarter multiplied by (b) 120% of the long-term federal rate (determined on the basis of compounding at the close of each calendar quarter and properly adjusted for the length of such quarter). Our excess inclusion income, if any, would be subject to the distribution requirements applicable to REITs, notwithstanding that we may not receive current cash in respect of such amounts. Recently issued IRS guidance indicates that any excess inclusion income recognized by us is to be allocated among our stockholders in proportion to our dividends paid. A stockholder's share of any excess inclusion income could not be offset by the stockholder's net operating losses, would be subject to tax as unrelated business taxable income to a tax-exempt holder, and would be subject to federal income tax withholding (without reduction pursuant to any otherwise applicable income tax treaty) with respect to amounts allocable to non-U.S. stockholders. In addition, to the extent our stock is held in record name by tax-exempt entities that are not subject to unrelated business income tax, or "disqualified organizations," we would be taxed on our excess inclusion income allocated to such stockholders at the highest corporate tax rate (currently 35%). Moreover, although the law is not entirely clear, the IRS has taken the position that, to the extent our stock owned by disqualified organizations is held in street name by a broker/dealer or other nominee, the broker/dealer or nominee would be liable for a tax at the highest corporate income tax rate on our excess inclusion income, if any, allocable to such disqualified organization. Although we do not currently generate excess inclusion income, it is possible that we may generate excess inclusion income in future periods.

#### Hedging Transactions

From time to time we may enter into hedging transactions with respect to one or more of our assets or liabilities. Our hedging transactions could take a variety of forms, including interest rate cap agreements, options, futures contracts, forward rate agreements, or similar financial instruments. We may enter into other hedging transactions, including rate locks and guaranteed financial contracts. To the extent that we enter into an interest rate swap or cap contract, option, futures contract, forward rate agreement, or any similar financial instrument prior to January 1, 2005 to reduce our interest rate risk on indebtedness incurred to acquire or carry real estate assets, any payment under or gain from the disposition of hedging transactions should be qualifying income for purposes of the 95% gross income test, but not the 75% gross income test. To the extent we hedge with other types of financial instruments or for other purposes prior to January 1, 2005, any payment under or gain from such transactions would not be qualifying income for purposes of the 95% or 75% gross income tests. Commencing with our taxable year beginning on January 1, 2005, except to the extent provided by Treasury regulations, any income from a hedging transaction entered into after December 31, 2004 to manage risk of interest rate or price changes or currency fluctuations with respect to borrowings made or to be made, or ordinary obligations incurred or to be incurred, by us, which is clearly identified as such before the close of the day on which it was acquired, originated, or entered into, including gain from the sale or disposition of such a transaction, will not constitute gross income for purposes of the 95% gross income test, to the extent that the transaction hedges any indebtedness incurred or to be incurred by us to acquire or carry real estate assets. We will monitor the income generated by any such transactions in order to ensure that such gross income, together with any other non-qualifying income received by us, will not cause us to fail to satisfy the 95% or 75% gross income tests.

#### Failure to Qualify as a REIT

If we fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT in any taxable year, and the relief provisions of the Code do not apply, we will be required to pay taxes, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income in that taxable year and all subsequent taxable years at regular corporate rates. Distributions to our stockholders in any year in which



we fail to qualify as a REIT will not be deductible by us and we will not be required to distribute any amounts to our stockholders. As a result, we anticipate that our failure to qualify as a REIT would reduce the cash available for distribution to our stockholders. In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, all distributions to our stockholders will be taxable as dividends from a C corporation to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, and U.S. individual stockholders may be taxable at preferential rates on such dividends, and U.S. corporate stockholders may be eligible for the dividends-received deduction. Unless entitled to relief under specific statutory provisions, we would also be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which we lose our qualification. Commencing with our taxable year beginning on January 1, 2005, specified cure provisions may be available to us in the event we violate a provision of the Code that would result in our failure to qualify as a REIT. We would be provided additional relief in the event that we violate a provision of the Code that would result in our failure to qualify as a REIT (other than violations of the REIT gross income or asset tests, as described above, for which other specified cure provisions are available) if (i) the violation is due to reasonable cause, and (ii) we pay a penalty of \$50,000 for each failure to satisfy the provision.

#### State, Local and Foreign Taxation

We may be required to pay state, local and foreign taxes in various state, local and foreign jurisdictions, including those in which we transact business or make investments, and our stockholders may be required to pay state, local and foreign taxes in various state, local and foreign jurisdictions, including those in which they reside. Our state, local and foreign tax treatment may not conform to the federal income tax consequences summarized above. OITRS has elected to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary, which is an entity that is subject to federal, state and local income taxation. In addition, the ability of OITRS to deduct interest paid or accrued to us for federal, state and local tax purposes is subject to certain limitations.

---

#### Possible Legislative or Other Action Affecting REITs

The rules dealing with federal income taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the IRS and the Treasury Department, resulting in revisions of regulations and revised interpretations of established concepts as well as statutory changes. Revisions in federal tax laws and interpretations thereof could affect the tax consequences of investing in our stock.

#### AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The Internet address of our corporate website is <http://www.biminicapital.com>. We provide copies of our periodic reports (on Forms 10-K and 10-Q) and current reports (on Form 8-K), as well as the beneficial ownership reports filed by our directors, executive officers and 10% stockholders (on Forms 3, 4 and 5) free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed electronically with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission"). We may from time to time provide important disclosures to investors by posting them in the investor relations section of our website, as allowed by securities rules. We also will provide any of the foregoing information without charge upon written request to Bimini Capital Management Inc., 3305 Flamingo Drive, Vero Beach, Florida 32963, Attention: Investor Relations Director.

Our filings with the Commission may be read and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20549, on official business days during the hours of 10:00 am to 3:00 pm. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. The Commission also maintains an Internet website at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains our reports, proxy information statements and other information that we will file electronically with the Commission.

Also posted on our website within the "investor relations" section under the heading "corporate governance" are (i) our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, (ii) our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, (iii) our Corporate Governance Guidelines, (iv) our Whistleblowing and Whistleblower Protection Policy, and charters for the Audit, Compensation and Governance and Nominating Committees. These documents also are available in print upon request of any stockholder to our Investor Relations Director.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

##### RISKS RELATED TO OUR MBS PORTFOLIO

Interest rate mismatches between our adjustable-rate securities and our borrowings used to fund purchases of our mortgage-related securities may reduce net income or result in a loss during periods of changing interest rates.

Our portfolio of MBS includes adjustable rate MBS and hybrid adjustable rate MBS, and the mix of these securities in the portfolio may be increased or decreased over time. Additionally, the interest rates of these securities may vary over time based on changes in a short-term interest rate index, of which there are many. We finance our acquisitions of adjustable-rate securities in part with borrowings that have interest rates based on indices and repricing terms similar to, but perhaps with shorter maturities than, the interest rate indices and repricing terms of the adjustable-rate securities. Short-term interest rates are ordinarily lower than longer-term interest rates. During periods of changing interest rates, this interest rate mismatch between our portfolio assets and liabilities could reduce or eliminate net income and dividend yield and could cause us to suffer a loss. In particular, in a period of rising interest rates, we could experience a decrease in, or elimination of, net income or a net loss because the interest rates on our borrowings adjust faster than the interest rates on our adjustable-rate securities.

Interest rate fluctuations will also cause variances in the yield curve, which may reduce our net income. The relationship between short-term and longer-term interest rates is often referred to as the "yield curve." If short-term interest rates rise disproportionately relative to longer-term interest rates (a flattening of the yield curve), our borrowing costs may increase more rapidly than the interest income earned on assets. Because our assets may bear interest based on longer-term rates than its borrowings, a flattening of the yield curve would tend to decrease net income and the market value of mortgage loan assets. Additionally, to the extent cash flows from investments that return scheduled and unscheduled principal are reinvested in mortgage loans, the spread between the yields of the new investments and available borrowing rates may decline, which would likely decrease our net income. It is also possible that short-term interest rates may exceed longer-term interest rates (a yield curve inversion), in which event our borrowing costs may exceed our interest income and we could incur operating losses.

A significant portion of our portfolio may consist of fixed-rate MBS, which may cause us to experience reduced net income or a loss during periods of rising interest rates.

Our portfolio includes or may include fixed-rate and balloon maturity MBS. Because the interest rate on a fixed-rate mortgage never changes, over time there can be a divergence between the interest rate on the loan and the current market interest rates. We fund our acquisition of fixed-rate MBS with short-term repurchase agreements and term loans. During periods of rising interest rates, our costs associated with borrowings used to fund the acquisition of fixed-rate assets are subject to increases while the income we earn from these assets remains substantially fixed. This would reduce and could eliminate the net interest spread between the fixed-rate MBS that we purchase and the borrowings we use to purchase them, which would reduce net interest income and could cause us to suffer a loss.

Increased levels of prepayments on the mortgages underlying our mortgage-related securities might decrease net interest income or result in a net loss.

Pools of mortgage loans underlie the mortgage-related securities that we acquire. We generally receive payments that are made on these underlying mortgage loans. When we acquire mortgage-related securities, we anticipate that the underlying mortgages will prepay at a projected rate generating an expected yield. When borrowers prepay their mortgage loans faster than expected it results in corresponding prepayments on the mortgage-related securities that are faster than expected. Faster-than-expected prepayments could potentially harm the results of our operations in various ways, including the following:

- We seek to purchase some mortgage-related securities that have a higher interest rate than the market interest rate at the time. In exchange for this higher interest rate, we will be required to pay a premium over the market value to acquire the security.

- A portion of our adjustable-rate MBS may bear interest at rates that are lower than their fully indexed rates, which are equivalent to the applicable index rate plus a margin. If an adjustable-rate mortgage-backed security is prepaid prior to or soon after the time of adjustment to a fully-indexed rate, we will have held that mortgage-related security while it was less profitable and lost the opportunity to receive interest at the fully indexed rate over the remainder of its expected life.

- If we are unable to acquire new mortgage-related securities to replace the prepaid mortgage-related securities, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow may suffer and we could incur losses.

Prepayment rates generally increase when interest rates fall and decrease when interest rates rise, but changes in prepayment rates are difficult to predict. Prepayment rates also may be affected by other factors, including, without limitation, conditions in the housing and financial markets, general economic conditions and the relative interest rates on adjustable-rate and fixed-rate mortgage loans. While we seek to minimize prepayment risk, we must balance prepayment risk against other risks and the potential returns of each investment when selecting investments. No strategy can completely insulate us from prepayment or other such risks.

Mortgage loan modification programs and future legislative action may adversely affect the value of, and the returns on, mortgages and mortgage-related securities we own or may acquire in the future.

During the third quarter of 2008, the federal government, through the Federal Housing Administration and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, commenced implementation of programs designed to provide homeowners with assistance in avoiding residential mortgage loan foreclosures. In addition, certain mortgage lenders and servicers have voluntarily, or as part of settlements with law enforcement authorities, established loan modification programs relating to the mortgages they hold or service. In January 2009, the President announced his “Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan,” which is focused on reducing foreclosures. These programs may involve, among other things, the modification of mortgage loans to reduce the principal amount of the loans or the rate of interest payable on the loans, or to extend the payment terms of the loans. In addition, members of the U.S. Congress have indicated support for additional legislative relief for homeowners, including a proposed amendment of the bankruptcy laws to permit the modification of mortgage loans in bankruptcy proceedings. These loan modification programs, as well as future law enforcement and legislative or regulatory actions, including amendments to the bankruptcy laws that result in the modification of outstanding mortgage loans, may adversely affect the value of, and the returns on, the mortgage securities we currently own or may acquire in the future.

We may incur increased borrowing costs related to repurchase agreements that would harm our results of operations.

Our borrowing costs under repurchase agreements are generally adjustable and correspond to short-term interest rates, such as LIBOR or a short-term Treasury index, plus or minus a margin. The margins on these borrowings over or under short-term interest rates may vary depending upon a number of factors, including, without limitation:

- the movement of interest rates;
- the availability of financing in the market; and
- the value and liquidity of our mortgage-related securities.

Most of our borrowings are collateralized borrowings in the form of repurchase agreements. If the interest rates on these repurchase agreements increase, our results of operations will be harmed and we may incur losses.

Interest rate caps on our adjustable-rate MBS may reduce our income or cause us to suffer a loss during periods of rising interest rates.

Adjustable-rate MBS are typically subject to periodic and lifetime interest rate caps. Periodic interest rate caps limit the amount an interest rate can increase during any given period. Lifetime interest rate caps limit the amount an interest rate can increase through the maturity of a mortgage-backed security. Our borrowings typically are not subject to similar restrictions. Accordingly, in a period of rapidly increasing interest rates, the interest rates paid on our borrowings could increase without limitation while caps could limit the interest rates on our adjustable-rate MBS. This problem is magnified for adjustable-rate MBS that are not fully indexed. Further, some adjustable-rate MBS may be subject to periodic payment caps that result in a portion of the interest being deferred and added to the principal outstanding. As a result, we may receive less cash income on adjustable-rate MBS than necessary to pay interest on our related borrowings. Interest rate caps on our MBS could reduce our net interest income or cause us to suffer a net loss if interest rates were to increase beyond the level of the caps.

We may not be able to purchase interest rate caps at favorable prices, which could cause us to suffer a loss in the event of significant changes in interest rates.

Our policies permit us to purchase interest rate caps to help us reduce our interest rate and prepayment risks associated with investments in mortgage-related securities. This strategy potentially helps us reduce our exposure to significant changes in interest rates. A cap contract is ultimately of no benefit to us unless interest rates exceed the target rate. If we purchase interest rate caps but do not experience a corresponding increase in interest rates, the costs of buying the caps would reduce our earnings. Alternatively, we may decide not to enter into a cap transaction due to its expense, and we would suffer losses if interest rates later rise substantially. Our ability to engage in interest rate hedging transactions is limited by the REIT gross income requirements. See "Legal and Tax Risks" below.

Our leverage strategy increases the risks of our operations, which could reduce net income and the amount available for distributions to stockholders or cause us to suffer a loss.

Under normal market conditions, we generally seek to borrow between eight and twelve times the amount of our equity, although at times our borrowings may be above or below this range. For purposes of this calculation, we treat trust preferred securities as an equity capital equivalent. We incur this indebtedness by borrowing against a substantial portion of the market value of our mortgage-related securities. Our total indebtedness, however, is not expressly limited by our policies and will depend on our and our prospective lenders' estimates of the stability of our portfolio's cash flow. As a result, there is no limit on the amount of leverage that we may incur. We face the risk that we might not be able to meet our debt service obligations or a lender's margin requirements from our income and, to the extent we cannot, we might be forced to liquidate some of our assets at unfavorable prices. Our use of leverage amplifies the risks associated with other risk factors, which could reduce our net income and the amount available for distributions to stockholders or cause us to suffer a loss. For example:

• A majority of our borrowings are secured by our mortgage-related securities, generally under repurchase agreements. A decline in the market value of the mortgage-related securities used to secure these debt obligations could limit our ability to borrow or result in lenders requiring us to pledge additional collateral to secure our borrowings. In that situation, we could be required to sell mortgage-related securities under adverse market conditions in order to obtain the additional collateral required by the lender. If these sales are made at prices lower than the carrying value of the mortgage-related securities, we would experience losses.

• A default under a mortgage-related security that constitutes collateral for a loan could also result in an involuntary liquidation of the mortgage-related security, including any cross-collateralized mortgage-related securities. This would result in a loss to us of the difference between the value of the mortgage-related security upon liquidation and the amount borrowed against the mortgage-related security.

• To the extent we are compelled to liquidate qualified REIT assets to repay debts, our compliance with the REIT rules regarding our assets and our sources of income could be negatively affected, which would jeopardize our qualification as a REIT. Losing our REIT qualification would cause us to lose tax advantages applicable to REITs and would decrease profitability and distributions to stockholders.

• If we experience losses as a result of our leverage policy, such losses would reduce the amounts available for distribution to stockholders.

An increase in interest rates may adversely affect our stockholder's equity, which may harm the value of our Class A Common Stock.

Increases in interest rates may negatively affect the fair market value of our mortgage-related securities. Our fixed-rate MBS will generally be more negatively affected by such increases. In accordance with GAAP, we will be required to reduce the carrying value of our mortgage-related securities by the amount of any decrease in the fair value of mortgage-related securities compared to amortized cost. If unrealized losses in fair value occur, we will have to either reduce current earnings or reduce stockholders' equity without immediately affecting current earnings, depending on how we classify the mortgage-related securities under GAAP. In either case, our stockholder's equity will decrease to

the extent of any realized or unrealized losses in fair value.

We depend on borrowings to purchase mortgage-related securities and reach our desired amount of leverage. If we fail to obtain or renew sufficient funding on favorable terms or at all, we will be limited in our ability to acquire mortgage-related securities, which will harm our results of operations and could impair our ability to maintain our REIT status.

We depend on borrowings to fund acquisitions of mortgage-related securities and reach our desired amount of leverage. Accordingly, our ability to achieve our investment and leverage objectives depends on our ability to borrow money in sufficient amounts and on favorable terms. In addition, we must be able to renew or replace our maturing borrowings on a continuous basis. We depend on many lenders to provide the primary credit facilities for our purchases of mortgage-related securities. The current dislocation and weakness in the broader mortgage markets could adversely affect one or more of our potential lenders and could cause one or more of our potential lenders to be unwilling or unable to provide us with financing. This could potentially increase our financing costs and reduce our liquidity. If we cannot renew or replace maturing borrowings on favorable terms or at all, we may have to sell our mortgage-related securities under adverse market conditions, which would harm our results of operations and may result in permanent losses. Additionally, if we are unable to maintain a portfolio of sufficient size we may be unable to maintain our REIT status and be subject to federal income taxes as a regular corporation and may face a tax liability.

Possible market developments could cause our lenders to require us to pledge additional assets as collateral. If our assets were insufficient to meet the collateral requirements, we might be compelled to liquidate particular assets at inopportune times and at unfavorable prices.

Possible market developments, including a sharp or prolonged rise in interest rates, a change in prepayment rates or increasing market concern about the value or liquidity of one or more types of mortgage-related securities in which our portfolio is concentrated, might reduce the market value of our portfolio, which might cause our lenders to require additional collateral. Any requirement for additional collateral might compel us to liquidate our assets at inopportune times and at unfavorable prices, thereby harming our operating results. If we sell mortgage-related securities at prices lower than the carrying value of the mortgage-related securities, we would experience losses.

---

Our use of repurchase agreements to borrow funds may give our lenders greater rights in the event that either we or any of our lenders file for bankruptcy, which may make it difficult for us to recover our collateral in the event of a bankruptcy filing.

Our borrowings under repurchase agreements may qualify for special treatment under the bankruptcy code, giving our lenders the ability to avoid the automatic stay provisions of the bankruptcy code and to take possession of and liquidate our collateral under the repurchase agreements without delay if we file for bankruptcy. Furthermore, the special treatment of repurchase agreements under the bankruptcy code may make it difficult for us to recover our pledged assets in the event that any of our lenders files for bankruptcy. Thus, the use of repurchase agreements exposes our pledged assets to risk in the event of a bankruptcy filing by either our lenders or us.

Because the assets that we acquire might experience periods of illiquidity, we might be prevented from selling our mortgage-related securities at favorable times and prices, which could cause us to suffer a loss and/or reduce distributions to stockholders.

Although we generally plan to hold our mortgage-related securities until maturity, there may be circumstances in which we sell certain of these securities. Mortgage-related securities generally experience periods of illiquidity. As a result, we may be unable to dispose of our mortgage-related securities at advantageous times and prices or in a timely manner. The lack of liquidity might result from the absence of a willing buyer or an established market for these assets, as well as legal or contractual restrictions on resale. The illiquidity of mortgage-related securities may harm our results of operations and could cause us to suffer a loss and/or reduce distributions to stockholders. Such conditions are more likely to occur for derivative mortgage backed securities. Such securities are generally traded in markets much less liquid than the market for pass through MBS securities, which comprise the balance of the portfolio.

Competition might prevent us from acquiring mortgage-related securities at favorable yields, which could harm our results of operations.

Our net income largely depends on our ability to acquire mortgage-related securities at favorable spreads over our borrowing costs. In acquiring mortgage-related securities, we compete with other REITs, investment banking firms, savings and loan associations, banks, insurance companies, mutual funds, other lenders and other entities that purchase mortgage-related securities, many of which have greater financial resources than we do. Additionally, many of our competitors are not subject to REIT tax compliance or required to maintain an exemption from the Investment Company Act. As a result, we may not be able to acquire sufficient mortgage-related securities at favorable spreads over our borrowing costs, which would harm our results of operations.

Our investment strategy involves risk of default and delays in payments, which could harm our results of operations.

We may incur losses if there are payment defaults under our mortgage-related securities. Our mortgage-related securities will be government or agency certificates. Agency certificates are mortgage-related securities issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. Payment of principal and interest underlying securities issued by Ginnie Mae are guaranteed by the U.S. Government. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities are guaranteed as to payment of principal and interest by the respective agency issuing the security. Since June 30, 2008, there have been increased market concerns about Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae's ability to withstand future credit losses associated with mortgage-related securities on which they provide guarantees without the direct support of the U.S. Government. Recently, the government passed the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have recently been placed into the conservatorship of the Federal Housing Finance Agency, their federal regulator, pursuant to its powers under The Federal Housing Finance Regulatory Reform Act of 2008, a part of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008. It is possible that guarantees made by Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae

would not be honored in the event of default on the underlying securities. Legislation may be proposed to change the relationship between certain agencies, such as Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, and the federal government. This may have the effect of reducing the actual or perceived credit quality of mortgage-related securities issued by these agencies. As a result, such legislation could increase the risk of loss on investments in Fannie Mae and/or Freddie Mac mortgage-related securities. We currently intend to continue to invest in such securities, even if such agencies' relationships with the federal government changes.

---



If we fail to maintain relationships with AVM, L.P. and its affiliate, III Associates, or if we do not establish relationships with other repurchase agreement trading, clearing and administrative service providers, we may have to reduce or delay our operations and/or increase our expenditures.

We have engaged AVM, L.P. and its affiliate, III Associates, to provide us with certain repurchase agreement trading, clearing and administrative services. If we are unable to maintain relationships with AVM and III Associates or we are unable to establish successful relationships with other repurchase agreement trading, clearing and administrative service providers, we may have to reduce or delay our operations and/or increase our expenditures and undertake the repurchase agreement trading, clearing and administrative services on our own.

### LEGAL AND TAX RISKS

If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will be subject to federal income tax as a regular corporation and may face a substantial tax liability.

We intend to operate in a manner that allows us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. However, REIT qualification involves the satisfaction of numerous requirements (some on an annual or quarterly basis) established under technical and complex provisions of the Code, as amended, or regulations under the Code, for which only a limited number of judicial or administrative interpretations exist. The determination that we qualify as a REIT requires an analysis of various factual matters and circumstances that may not be totally within our control. For instance, a lack of access to adequate financing may prevent us from maintaining a portfolio of sufficient size to satisfy the REIT qualification requirements. Such could be the case when market conditions become severely distressed and/or the Company's financial condition deteriorates materially. Accordingly, it is not certain we will be able to qualify and remain qualified as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Even a technical or inadvertent violation of the REIT requirements could jeopardize our REIT qualification. Furthermore, Congress or the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, might change the tax laws or regulations and the courts might issue new rulings, in each case potentially having a retroactive effect that could make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any tax year, then:

- we would be taxed as a regular domestic corporation, which, among other things, means that we would be unable to deduct distributions to stockholders in computing taxable income and would be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates;

- any resulting tax liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to stockholders, and could force us to liquidate assets at inopportune times, causing lower income or higher losses than would result if these assets were not liquidated; and

- unless we were entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, we would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the subsequent four taxable years following the year during which we lost our REIT qualification, and our cash available for distribution to its stockholders therefore would be reduced for each of the years in which we do not qualify as a REIT.

Even if we remain qualified as a REIT, we may face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flow. We may also be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, our sources of income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our stock. We may also be required to make distributions to our stockholders at unfavorable times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. Thus, compliance with REIT requirements may hinder our ability to operate solely with the goal of maximizing profits.

In addition, the REIT provisions of the Code impose a 100% tax on income from "prohibited transactions." Prohibited transactions generally include sales of assets that constitute inventory or other property held for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business, other than foreclosure property. This 100% tax could impact our ability to sell mortgage-related securities at otherwise opportune times if we believe such sales could result in our being treated as engaging in prohibited transactions. However, we would not be subject to this tax if we were to sell assets through a taxable REIT subsidiary. We will also be subject to a 100% tax on certain amounts if the economic arrangements between us and our taxable REIT subsidiary are not comparable to similar arrangements among unrelated parties.

Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively, which could in turn leave us more exposed to the effects of adverse changes in interest rates.

The REIT provisions of the Code may substantially limit our ability to hedge mortgage-related securities and related borrowings by generally requiring us to limit our income in each year from qualified hedges, together with any other income not generated from qualified REIT real estate assets, to less than 25% of our gross income. In addition, we must limit our aggregate gross income from non-qualified hedges, fees, and certain other non-qualifying sources, to less than 5% of our annual gross income. As a result, we may in the future have to limit the use of hedges or implement hedges through a taxable REIT subsidiary. This could result in greater risks associated with changes in interest rates than we would otherwise want to incur. If we fail to satisfy the 25% or 5% limitations, unless our failure was due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect and we meet certain other technical requirements, we could lose our REIT qualification. Even if our failure was due to reasonable cause, we may have to pay a penalty tax equal to the amount of income in excess of certain thresholds, multiplied by a fraction intended to reflect our profitability.

Dividends paid by REITs are not qualified dividends eligible for reduced tax rates.

In general, the current maximum federal income tax rate for "qualified dividends" paid to individual U.S. stockholders is 15%. Dividends paid by REITs, however, are generally not qualified dividends eligible for the reduced rates. The more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate dividends, which are usually qualified dividends, could cause stockholders who are individuals to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stock of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the stock of REITs, including our Class A Common Stock.

To maintain our REIT qualification, we may be forced to borrow funds on unfavorable terms or sell our MBS portfolio securities at unfavorable prices to make distributions to our stockholders.

As a REIT, we must distribute at least 90% of our annual net taxable income (excluding net capital gains) to our stockholders. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our net taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay to our stockholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under the Code. From time to time, we may generate taxable income greater than our income for financial reporting purposes from, among other things, amortization of capitalized purchase premiums, or our net taxable income may be greater than our cash flow available for distribution to our stockholders. If we do not have other funds available in these situations, we could be required to borrow funds, sell a portion of our mortgage-related securities at unfavorable prices or find other sources of funds in order to meet the REIT distribution requirements and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4% excise tax. These other sources could increase our costs or reduce equity and reduce amounts available to invest in mortgage-related securities.

Reliance on legal opinions or statements by issuers of mortgage-related securities could result in a failure to comply with REIT gross income or asset tests.

When purchasing mortgage-related securities, we may rely on opinions of counsel for the issuer or sponsor of such securities, or statements made in related offering documents, for purposes of determining whether and to what extent those securities constitute REIT real estate assets for purposes of the REIT asset tests and produce income which qualifies under the REIT gross income tests. The inaccuracy of any such opinions or statements may adversely affect our REIT qualification and could result in significant corporate-level tax.

Possible legislative or other actions affecting REITs could adversely affect us and our stockholders.

The rules dealing with federal income taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the IRS and the U.S. Treasury Department. Our business may be harmed by changes to the laws and regulations affecting us, including changes to securities laws and changes to the Code provisions applicable to the taxation of REITs. New legislation may be enacted into law, or new interpretations, rulings or regulations could be adopted, any of which could adversely affect us and our stockholders, potentially with retroactive effect.

---

We may recognize excess inclusion income that would increase the tax liability of our stockholders.

If we recognize excess inclusion income and that is allocated to our stockholders, this income cannot be offset by net operating losses of our stockholders. If the stockholder is a tax-exempt entity, then this income would be fully taxable as unrelated business taxable income under Section 512 of the Code. If the stockholder is a foreign person, such income would be subject to federal income tax withholding without reduction or exemption pursuant to any otherwise applicable income tax treaty. In addition, to the extent our stock is owned by tax-exempt "disqualified organizations," such as government-related entities that are not subject to tax on unrelated business taxable income, although Treasury regulations have not yet been drafted to clarify the law, we may incur a corporate level tax at the highest applicable corporate tax rate on the portion of our excess inclusion income that is allocable to such disqualified organizations.

Excess inclusion income could result if we hold a residual interest in a real estate mortgage investment conduit, or REMIC. Excess inclusion income also could be generated if we were to issue debt obligations with two or more maturities and the terms of the payments on these obligations bore a relationship to the payments received on our mortgage-related securities securing those debt obligations (i.e., if we were to own an interest in a taxable mortgage pool). However, Treasury regulations have not been issued regarding the allocation of excess inclusion income to stockholders of a REIT that owns an interest in a taxable mortgage pool. We do not expect to acquire significant amounts of residual interests in REMICs, other than interests owned by our taxable REIT subsidiary, which is treated as a separate taxable entity for these purposes. We intend to structure borrowing arrangements in a manner designed to avoid generating significant amounts of excess inclusion income. We do, however, expect to enter into various repurchase agreements that have differing maturity dates and afford the lender the right to sell any pledged mortgaged securities if we should default on our obligations.

A portion of our distributions may be deemed a return of capital for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

The amount of our distributions to the holders of our Class A Common Stock in a given year may not correspond to REIT taxable income for that year. To the extent our distributions exceed our REIT taxable income, the distribution will be treated as a return of capital for federal income tax purposes. A return of capital distribution will not be taxable to the extent of a stockholder's tax basis in our shares but will reduce a stockholder's basis in our shares of Class A Common Stock.

Our reported GAAP financial results differ from the taxable income results that drive our dividend distributions.

Our dividend distributions are driven by the dividend distribution requirements under the REIT tax laws and our profits as calculated for tax purposes pursuant to the Code. Our reported results for GAAP purposes differ materially from both our cash flows and our REIT taxable income. As a result of the significant differences between GAAP and REIT taxable income accounting, stockholders and analysts must undertake a complex analysis to understand our tax results and dividend distribution requirements. This complexity may hinder the trading of our stock or may lead observers to misinterpret our results.

Legislation related to corporate governance has increased our costs of compliance and our liability.

Enacted and proposed laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and disclosure requirements applicable to public companies, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, have increased the costs of corporate governance, reporting and disclosure practices. These costs may increase in the future due to our continuing implementation of compliance programs mandated by these requirements. In addition, these new laws, rules and regulations create new legal bases for administrative enforcement, civil and criminal proceedings against us in case of non-compliance, thereby increasing our risks of liability and potential sanctions.

Failure to maintain an exemption from the Investment Company Act would harm our results of operations.

We intend to conduct our business so as not to become regulated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act. If we fail to qualify for this exemption, our ability to use leverage would be substantially reduced and we would be unable to conduct our business. The Investment Company Act exempts entities that are primarily engaged in the business of purchasing or otherwise acquiring mortgages and other liens on, and interests in, real estate. Under the current interpretation of the SEC staff, in order to qualify for this exemption, we must maintain at least 55% of our assets directly in these qualifying real estate interests, with at least 25% of remaining assets invested in real estate-related securities. Mortgage-related securities that do not represent all of the certificates issued with respect to an underlying pool of mortgages may be treated as separate from the underlying mortgage loans and, thus, may not qualify for purposes of the 55% requirement. Therefore, our ownership of these mortgage-related securities is limited by the provisions of the Investment Company Act.

In satisfying the 55% requirement under the Investment Company Act, we treat as qualifying interests mortgage-related securities issued with respect to an underlying pool as to which we holds all issued certificates. If the SEC or its staff adopts a contrary interpretation of such treatment, we could be required to sell a substantial amount of our mortgage-related securities under potentially adverse market conditions. Further, in order to ensure that we at all times qualify for the exemption under the Investment Company Act, we may be precluded from acquiring mortgage-related securities whose yield is higher than the yield on mortgage-related securities that could be purchased in a manner consistent with the exemption. These factors may lower or eliminate our net income.

#### OTHER RISKS

There can be no assurance that the actions of the U.S. Government, Federal Reserve and other governmental and regulatory bodies for the purpose of stabilizing the financial markets will achieve their intended results.

In response to the financial issues affecting the banking system and financial markets and going concern threats to investment banks and other financial institutions, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, or EESA, was recently enacted. The EESA provides the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury with the authority to establish a Troubled Asset Relief Program, or TARP, to purchase from financial institutions up to \$700 billion of equity or preferred securities, residential or commercial mortgages and certain other securities or instruments that are related to such mortgages, that in each case were originated or issued on or before March 14, 2008. There can be no assurances that the EESA or other policy initiatives will have a beneficial impact on the financial markets, including current extreme levels of volatility. We cannot predict whether or when such actions may occur or what impact, if any, such actions could have on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Hedging transactions may adversely affect our earnings, which could adversely affect cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We may enter into interest rate cap or swap agreements or pursue other hedging strategies, including the purchase of puts, calls or other options and futures contracts. Our hedging activity will vary in scope based on the level and volatility of interest rates and principal prepayments, the type of MBS we hold, and other changing market conditions. Hedging may fail to protect or could adversely affect us because, among other things:

- hedging can be expensive, particularly during periods of rising and volatile interest rates;
- available interest rate hedging may not correspond directly with the interest rate risk for which protection is sought;
- the duration of the hedge may not match the duration of the related liability;

- certain types of hedges may expose us to risk of loss beyond the fee paid to initiate the hedge;

the amount of income that a REIT may earn from hedging transactions is limited by federal income tax provisions governing REITs;

the credit quality of the party owing money on the hedge may be downgraded to such an extent that it impairs our ability to sell or assign our side of the hedging transaction; and

- the party owing money in the hedging transaction may default on its obligation to pay.

Our hedging activity may adversely affect our earnings, which could adversely affect cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war may affect any market for our Class A Common Stock, the industry in which we conduct our operations, and our profitability.

Terrorist attacks may harm our results of operations and the investments of our stockholders. We cannot assure you that there will not be further terrorist attacks against the United States or U.S. businesses. These attacks or armed conflicts may directly impact the property underlying our mortgage-related securities or the securities markets in general. Losses resulting from these types of events are uninsurable. More generally, any of these events could cause consumer confidence and spending to decrease or result in increased volatility in the United States and worldwide financial markets and economies. They also could result in economic uncertainty in the United States or abroad. Adverse economic conditions could harm the value of the property underlying our mortgage-related securities or the securities markets in general, which could harm our operating results and revenues and may result in the volatility of the market value of our Class A Common Stock.

Current loan performance data may not be indicative of future results.

When making capital budgeting and other decisions, we use projections, estimates and assumptions based on our experience with mortgage loans. Actual results and the timing of certain events could differ materially in adverse ways from those projected, due to factors including changes in general economic conditions, fluctuations in interest rates, fluctuations in mortgage loan prepayment rates and fluctuations in losses due to defaults on mortgage loans. These differences and fluctuations could rise to levels that may adversely affect our profitability.

Changes in interest rates may reduce our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations resulting in a decline in the value of our Class A Common Stock.

Our results of operations will be derived in part from the spread between the yield on our MBS assets and the cost of our borrowings. There is no assurance that there will be a positive spread in either high interest rate environments or low interest rate environments, or that the spread will not be negative as has recently been in the case. Changes in interest rates may reduce our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations and may cause our funding costs to exceed income. In addition, during periods of high interest rates, our dividend yield on our Class A Common Stock may be less attractive compared to alternative investments of equal or lower risk. Each of these factors could negatively affect the market value of our Class A Common Stock.

Our financial position has deteriorated during 2008. Continued deterioration may negatively affect our liquidity, results of operations and the value of our Class A Common Stock.

Our financial position deteriorated further during 2008 due to our constrained ability to generate revenues resulting from additional reductions in our MBS portfolio. The portfolio reductions were brought about by our need to use funds for the closure of our taxable REIT subsidiary's mortgage banking business. Our results of operations for 2008

were also negatively impacted by unprecedented disruptions in the securities markets and the global economy generally, which brought about a severe tightening of credit conditions and volatile asset prices. The result was, and continues to be, a substantial deleveraging of the financial system and substantial losses incurred by various market participants. Although we have taken actions and are evaluating other actions intended to improve our financial position and liquidity, there can be no assurance that our efforts will be successful. Continued deterioration may negatively affect liquidity, results of operations and the value of our Class A Common Stock.

We have guaranteed certain obligations of our taxable REIT subsidiary, OITRS. If OITRS fails to meet its financial obligations, we may be forced to liquidate certain of our MBS assets to satisfy these guarantees which could negatively affect our liquidity, results of operations and the value of our Class A Common Stock and may result in margin calls from our lenders.

We have guaranteed certain obligations of our taxable REIT subsidiary, OITRS. If OITRS is unable to fulfill its obligations we may be required to under our guarantees of OITRS's obligations. To meet such obligations, we may be required to sell MBS portfolio assets to generate the necessary cash and such sales may negatively affect our liquidity, results of operations and the value of our Class A Common Stock. These sales may also result in margin calls from our lenders and transaction counterparties.

We are currently a defendant in securities class action lawsuits and may incur expenses that are not covered by insurance in the defense of these lawsuits. Any expenses incurred that are not reimbursed by insurance could negatively and materially impact our financial position, liquidity and results of operations.

As described in Part I - Item 3. Legal Proceedings below, we have been named as a defendant in two lawsuits alleging various violations of the federal securities laws and seeking class action certification. These cases involve complex legal proceedings, the outcomes of which are difficult to predict. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of these lawsuits that is not covered by insurance could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

There may be a limited market for our Class A Common Stock in the future.

On October 29, 2007, NYSE Regulation, Inc. notified us that our average global market capitalization over a consecutive thirty trading day period had fallen below the NYSE Euronext's minimum quantitative continued listing criteria for REITs of \$25 million. As a result, trading in our Class A Common Stock on the NYSE Euronext was suspended prior to the market opening on November 5, 2007 and our Class A Common Stock was subsequently delisted. We may apply to list our Class A Common Stock on another national securities market in the future, however, no assurance can be given that our Class A Common Stock will be approved for listing on such national securities market. Until such time that our Class A Common Stock is approved for listing on another national securities market, the ability to buy and sell our Class A Common Stock may be limited and this may continue to depress or result in a decline in the market price of our Class A Common Stock. Additionally, until such time that our Class A Common Stock is approved for listing on another national securities market, our ability to raise capital through the sale of additional securities may be limited.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

Our executive offices and principal administrative offices are located at 3305 Flamingo Drive, Vero Beach, Florida, 32963. This property is adequate for our business as currently conducted.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are involved in various lawsuits and claims, both actual and potential, including some that we have asserted against others, in which monetary and other damages are sought. Except as described below, these lawsuits and claims relate primarily to contractual disputes arising out of the ordinary course of our business. The outcome of such lawsuits and claims is inherently unpredictable. However, we believe that, in the aggregate, the outcome of all lawsuits and claims involving us will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or liquidity; however, any such outcome may be material to the results of operations of any particular period in which costs, if any, are recognized. See also Notes 10 and 14 to our accompanying consolidated financial statements.

On September 17, 2007, a complaint was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida by William Kornfeld against us, certain of our current and former officers and directors, Flagstone Securities, LLC and BB&T Capital Markets alleging various violations of the federal securities laws and seeking class action certification. On October 9, 2007, a complaint was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida by Richard and Linda Coy against us, certain of our current and former officers and directors, Flagstone Securities, LLC and BB&T Capital Markets alleging various violations of the federal securities laws and seeking class action certification. The cases have been consolidated, class certification has been granted, and lead plaintiffs' counsel has been appointed. We filed a motion to dismiss the case on December 22, 2008, and plaintiffs have filed a response in opposition. Our motion to dismiss is currently pending before the court. We believe the plaintiffs' claims in these actions are without merit and we intend to vigorously defend the cases.

On June 14, 2007, a complaint was filed in the Circuit Court of the Twelfth Judicial District in and for Manatee County, Florida by Coast Bank of Florida against OITRS seeking monetary damages and specific performance and alleging breach of contract for allegedly failing to repurchase approximately fifty loans. On September 5, 2007, OITRS filed a motion to dismiss Coast's complaint based on the Florida Banking Statute of Frauds. On February 25, 2008, the Court denied OITRS's motion to dismiss, but the court subsequently clarified that the motion was denied because the Court needs additional facts in order to determine whether Coast's claims are barred under the Florida Banking Statute of Frauds. As a result, the parties conducted limited discovery relating to the Statute of Frauds and OITRS filed a motion for summary judgment in November, 2008. Coast did not respond, but on February 3, 2009, filed a motion for leave to amend its complaint which was argued on March 16, 2009. The Court ruled in favor of Coast and granted it permission to file an amended complaint. Once the Court enters its written order, OITRS will have 20 days to answer the amended complaint. The amended complaint differs from the original complaint in that it raises new facts and changes the nature of the claims. The parties will engage in further discovery to prepare the case for summary judgment motions and potentially trial.

---



On July 2, 2008, an amended complaint was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, Central District by IndyMac Bank, F.S.B. against OITRS and others seeking monetary damages and specific performance and alleging, among other allegations, breach of contract for allegedly failing to repurchase thirty-six loans. On August 18, 2008, the Court entered an order substituting the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation as conservator for IndyMac Federal Bank, F.S.B., in the place of IndyMac Bank, F.S.B. On January 16, 2009, the Court entered an order dismissing the amended complaint with prejudice pursuant to a stipulation of dismissal that was entered into among the parties to the case. As a result of the Court's order of dismissal, this proceeding is now concluded. No amounts were paid by OITRS in connection with the execution of the stipulation of dismissal or the Court's order of dismissal.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

None.

---

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

## MARKET INFORMATION

Our Class A common stock currently trades over the counter under the symbol "BMNM.OB." Prior to November 7, 2007, our Class A common stock was listed on the NYSE Euronext.

On March 30, 2009, the last sales price of the Class A Common Stock was \$.05 per share. The following table is a summary of historical price information and dividends declared and paid per common share for all quarters of 2008 and 2007:

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Full Year
<b>2008</b>					
High	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.60
Low	0.18	0.24	0.15	0.03	0.03
Close	0.31	0.27	0.17	0.04	0.04
Dividends declared per share	-	-	-	-	-
<b>2007</b>					
High	\$ 7.61	\$ 5.98	\$ 3.19	\$ 1.22	\$ 7.61
Low	4.00	2.72	0.91	0.22	0.22
Close	4.50	2.72	1.32	0.25	0.25
Dividends declared per share	0.05	-	-	-	0.05

As of December 31, 2008, we had 26,207,023 shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding, which were held by 505 holders of record. The 505 holders of record include Cede & Co., which holds shares as nominee for The Depository Trust Company, which itself holds shares on behalf of 92 beneficial owners of our Class A Common Stock.

As of December 31, 2008, we had 319,388 shares of Class B Common Stock outstanding, which were held by 2 holders of record and 319,388 shares of Class C Common Stock outstanding, which were held by one holder of record. There is no established public trading market for our Class B Common Stock or Class C Common Stock.

## DIVIDEND DISTRIBUTION POLICY

In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Code, we must make distributions to our stockholders each year in an amount at least equal to:

- 90% of our REIT taxable income (computed without regard to our deduction for dividends paid and our net capital gains);
- plus 90% of the excess of net income from foreclosure property over the tax imposed on such income by the Code;
- minus any excess non-cash income that exceeds a percentage of our income.

In general, our distributions will be applied toward these requirements if paid in the taxable year to which they relate, or in the following taxable year if the distributions are declared before we timely file our tax return for that year, the

distributions are paid on or before the first regular distribution payment following the declaration, and we elect on our tax return to have a specified dollar amount of such distributions treated as if paid in the prior year. Distributions declared by us in October, November or December of one taxable year and payable to a stockholder of record on a specific date in such a month are treated as both paid by us and received by the stockholder during such taxable year, provided that the distribution is actually paid by us by January 31 of the following taxable year.

We anticipate that distributions generally will be taxable as ordinary income to our stockholders, although a portion of such distributions may be designated by us as capital gain or may constitute a return of capital, as occurred in 2006 and 2007. We furnish annually to each of our stockholders a statement setting forth distributions paid during the preceding year and their characterization as ordinary income, return of capital or capital gains.

Our ability to distribute the earnings of our taxable REIT subsidiary, OITRS, is accomplished through the payment of some or all of their after-tax net income, if any, in the form of dividends to us. Certain contractual agreements with OITRS's lenders may, under certain circumstances, limit the ability of OITRS to pay dividends to us. Such restrictions also apply to interest on our inter-company loans to OITRS.

#### EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The plan documents for the plans described in the footnotes below are included as Exhibits to this Form 10-K, and are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety. The following table provides information as of December 31, 2008, regarding the number of shares of Class A Common Stock that may be issued under our equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	Total number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (1)	132,369 (2)	-	3,118,220 (3)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders (4)	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>132,369</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>3,118,220</b>

(1) Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders include the Bimini Capital Management, Inc. 2003 Long Term Incentive Plan (the "LTI Plan"). This plan was approved by shareholders on December 18, 2003, prior to our initial public offering. The LTI Plan is a broad-based equity incentive plan that permits the grant of stock options, restricted stock, phantom shares, dividend equivalent rights and other stock-based awards. Subject to adjustment upon certain corporate transactions or events, a maximum of 4,000,000 shares of Class A Common Stock (but no more than 10% of the number of shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding on any particular grant date) may be subject to awards under the LTI Plan.

(2) Represents the aggregate number of shares of Class A Common Stock remaining to be issued upon settlement of phantom share awards granted pursuant to the LTI Plan and outstanding as of December 31, 2008.

(3) Represents the maximum number of shares remaining available for future issuance under the terms of the LTI Plan irrespective of the 10% limitation described in footnote 1 above. Taking into account the 10% limitation and the number of shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding as of December 31, 2008, the maximum number of shares remaining available for future issuance under the terms of the LTI Plan as of December 31, 2008, was 1,738,922 shares.

(4) Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders include the Bimini Capital Management, Inc. 2004 Performance Bonus Plan (the "Performance Bonus Plan"). The Performance Bonus Plan is an annual bonus plan that permits the issuance of Class A Common Stock in payment of awards made under the plan. There is no limit on the number of shares available for issuance under the Performance Bonus Plan. No shares have ever been issued under the Performance Bonus Plan and no equity based awards have ever been made under the Performance Bonus Plan.

#### ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

We have not repurchased any shares of our equity securities during the fourth quarter of 2008.

---

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Not Applicable.

---

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

When used in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, in future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") or in press releases or other written or oral communications, statements which are not historical in nature, including those containing words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "should," "expect," "believe," "intend" and similar expressions, are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act").

These forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, those described or incorporated by reference in Part I - Item 1A - Risk Factors of this Form 10-K. These and other risks, uncertainties and factors, including those described in reports that the Company files from time to time with the Commission, could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those reflected in such forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and the Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims, any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of such statements.

The following discussion of the financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report.

### Introduction

As used in this document, references to "Bimini Capital," the parent company, the registrant, and to real estate investment trust ("REIT") qualifying activities or the general management of Bimini Capital's portfolio of mortgage backed securities ("MBS") refer to "Bimini Capital Management, Inc." Further, references to Bimini Capital's taxable REIT subsidiary or non-REIT eligible assets refer to Orchid Island TRS, LLC and its consolidated subsidiaries. This entity, which was previously named Opteum Financial Services, LLC, and referred to as "OFS," was renamed Orchid Island TRS, LLC effective July 3, 2007. Hereinafter, any historical mention, discussion or references to Opteum Financial Services, LLC or to OFS (such as in previously filed documents or Exhibits) now means Orchid Island TRS, LLC or "OITRS." References to the "Company" refer to the consolidated entity (the combination of Bimini Capital and OITRS).

Bimini Capital Management, Inc., formerly Opteum Inc. and Bimini Mortgage Management, Inc., was formed in September 2003 to invest primarily in but not limited to, residential mortgage related securities issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association (more commonly known as Fannie Mae), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (more commonly known as Freddie Mac) and the Government National Mortgage Association (more commonly known as Ginnie Mae). Bimini Capital attempts to earn a return on the spread between the yield on its assets and its costs, including the interest expense on the funds it borrows. It generally, when market conditions are not under severe stress as they are currently, intends to borrow between eight and twelve times the amount of its equity capital in an attempt to enhance its returns to stockholders. This leverage may be adjusted above or below this range to the extent management or the Company's Board of Directors deems necessary or appropriate. For purposes of this calculation, Bimini Capital treats its junior subordinated notes as an equity capital equivalent. Bimini Capital is self-managed and self-advised and has elected to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

On April 18, 2007, the Board of Managers of OITRS, at the recommendation of the Board of Directors of the Company, approved the closure of the wholesale and conduit mortgage loan origination channels. Both channels ceased accepting new applications for mortgage loans on April 20, 2007. On May 7, 2007, OITRS signed a binding agreement to sell its retail mortgage loan origination channel to a third party as well. On June 30, 2007, OITRS entered into an amendment to this agreement. The proceeds of the transactions were approximately \$1.5 million plus the assumption of certain liabilities of OITRS. The transaction, coupled with the disposal of the conduit and wholesale origination channels, resulted in a loss of approximately \$10.5 million. Going forward, OITRS will not operate a mortgage loan origination business and the results of the mortgage origination business are reported as discontinued operations.

## DIVIDENDS TO STOCKHOLDERS

In order to maintain its qualification as a REIT, Bimini Capital is required (among other provisions) to annually distribute dividends to its stockholders in an amount at least equal to, generally, 90% of Bimini Capital's REIT taxable income. REIT taxable income is a term that describes Bimini Capital's operating results calculated in accordance with rules and regulations promulgated pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code.

Bimini Capital's REIT taxable income is computed differently from net income as computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP net income"), as reported in the Company's accompanying consolidated financial statements. Depending on the number and size of the various items or transactions being accounted for differently, the differences between REIT taxable income and GAAP net income can be substantial and each item can affect several reporting periods. Generally, these items are timing or temporary differences between years; for example, an item that may be a deduction for GAAP net income in the current year may not be a deduction for REIT taxable income until a later year. The most significant difference is that the results of the Company's taxable REIT subsidiary do not impact REIT taxable income.

As a REIT, Bimini Capital may be subject to a federal excise tax if Bimini Capital distributes less than 85% of its taxable income by the end of the calendar year. Accordingly, Bimini Capital's dividends are based on its taxable income, as determined for federal income tax purposes, as opposed to its net income computed in accordance with GAAP (as reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements).

## Results of Operations

### 2008 v. 2007 PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW

Described below are the Company's results of operations for the twelve months ended December 31, 2008, as compared to the Company's results of operations for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007. During the twelve month period ended December 31, 2007, the Company ceased all mortgage origination business at OITRS. As stated above, results of those operations are reported in the financial statements as discontinued operations.

Consolidated net loss for the year ended December 31, 2008, was approximately \$56.4 million, compared to a consolidated net loss of approximately \$247.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Consolidated net loss per basic and diluted share of Class A Common Stock was \$2.20 for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to a consolidated net loss per basic and diluted share of Class A Common Stock of \$9.92 for the comparable prior period. The consolidated net loss in 2008 was driven primarily by negative mark to market adjustments on the retained interest, trading of OITRS of \$41.0 million and lower net interest income from the MBS portfolio resulting from reduced size of the portfolio. The reduction in the size of the MBS portfolio was necessitated by the need to fund the wind down of discontinued operations of OITRS, primarily the repayment of debt guaranteed by the Company and the servicing advance obligations. The servicing advance obligation and the debt guaranteed by the Company were eliminated and retired, respectively, during 2008.

The consolidated net loss in 2007 was driven primarily by a collapse in the operations at OITRS, and the secondary mortgage market generally, resulting in large losses on loan sales. The second major cause for the lower operating results in 2007 was a permanent impairment taken on MBS securities in the Company's MBS portfolio. As of June 30, 2007, due to liquidity and working capital needs brought about by the turmoil in the mortgage market, the Company no longer had the ability to hold such assets until their amortized cost could be fully recovered. During the three months ended June 30, 2007, the Company sold securities with a market value at the time of sale of approximately \$782.0 million that were impaired at the time of sale, realizing losses on sale of \$18.6 million. The Company recorded \$55.3 million of permanent impairments on the remaining \$1.8 billion of MBS securities held as available-for-sale in the second quarter of 2007. During the six months ended December 31, 2007, the Company sold \$1.25 billion of the remaining \$1.8 billion securities that previously had been classified as impaired assets, realizing gains on sale of \$1.5 million. Commencing on June 30, 2007, and for the balance of 2007, such available securities permanently impaired were valued on a lower of cost or market (LOCOM) basis. When such securities are valued on a LOCOM basis, the yield at which the Company recognized interest income is adjusted to reflect the new carrying value. As a result of these actions, the Company's net interest margin (or NIM) on its portfolio of MBS securities held as available for sale increased to 45 basis points as of December 31, 2007 from negative 54 basis points at December 31, 2006. The NIM measures the spread, in basis points, between the weighted average yield on the MBS portfolio and the weighted average borrowing costs on the repurchase liabilities. This figure does not incorporate the effect of other sources of interest income or expense nor overhead expenses.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company has adopted SFAS 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities ("SFAS 159"), and the fair value option with respect to its MBS portfolio. As a result, all of the Company's MBS assets are carried at fair value with fluctuations in fair value reflected in earnings. The Company will not amortize purchase premium on such assets nor will there be a quarterly retrospective adjustment for such assets. During 2008, owing to reduced access to repurchase agreement funding, the Company instituted an alternative investment strategy utilizing inverse interest only securities. Such assets are held for trading with fluctuation in their market value reflected in earning for the period in which they occur.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, comprehensive loss was \$56.4 million. For the year ended December 31, 2007, comprehensive loss was \$170.9 million, including the net unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities of \$2.1 million and the reclassification during the year ended December 31, 2007 of the other-than-temporary loss on MBS of \$55.3 million. The factors resulting in the unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities are described below.

Comprehensive (loss) is as follows:

(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
Net loss	\$ (56,377)	\$ (247,653)
Net Realized loss on MBS	-	19,388
Other-than-temporary loss on MBS	-	55,250
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities, net	-	2,136
Comprehensive loss	\$ (56,377)	\$ (170,879)

Unrealized gains/(losses) on available-for-sale securities is a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss, which is included in stockholders' equity on the consolidated balance sheet. Accumulated other comprehensive loss is the difference between the fair value of the portfolio of MBS securities and their cost basis. As stated above, effective January 1, 2008 the Company carries all MBS assets at fair value with fluctuation in value reflected in earnings for the period as opposed to equity via accumulated other comprehensive income/loss.

The Company has negative retained earnings (titled "Accumulated deficit" in the stockholders' equity section of the accompanying consolidated financial statements) as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, partially because of the



consequences of Bimini Capital's tax qualification as a REIT. As is more fully described in the "Dividends to Stockholders" section above, Bimini Capital's dividends are based on its REIT taxable income, as determined for federal income tax purposes, and not on its net income computed in accordance with GAAP (as reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements).

For the year ended December 31, 2008, Bimini Capital's REIT taxable loss was approximately \$4.1 million. The most significant difference from the Company's GAAP basis loss is the loss from discontinued operations, which is not included in the REIT taxable loss. Other contributors to the GAAP-tax difference include interest on inter-company loans with OITRS as well as timing differences in the recognition of compensation expense attributable to phantom stock awards. With respect to the phantom stock awards, the future deduction of this temporary difference is uncertain as to both the timing and the amount, as the amount of the tax impact is measured at the fair value of the shares as of a future date. At December 31, 2008, the REIT has about \$11.1 million of tax net operating loss carryforwards that are immediately available to offset future REIT taxable income.

#### PERFORMANCE OF BIMINI CAPITAL'S MBS PORTFOLIO

For the year ended December 31, 2008, the REIT generated \$7.7 million of net portfolio interest income. Included in these results were \$26.1 million of interest income from MBS assets offset by a \$18.4 million of interest expense on repurchase liabilities. Portfolio net interest income increased approximately \$8.1 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase is due primarily to a wider net interest margin available in the market, offsetting the effects of a substantially smaller portfolio. The results were also positively impacted by the Company's implementation of its alternative investment strategy which employed inverse interest only (IIO) securities. Such securities benefited from lower levels of one month LIBOR and slower repayments, even though they were only deployed for a portion of year. The Company's IIO securities are not pledged as part of a repurchase agreement borrowing.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, the REIT's general and administrative costs were \$5.6 million compared to \$7.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The decrease in general and administrative expenses was primarily the result of a reduction in employee compensation expense. Operating expenses, which incorporate trading costs, fees and other direct costs, were essentially unchanged for the year ended December 31, 2008, at \$0.7 million versus \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

As stated above, the REIT recorded permanent impairment charges to various MBS securities during the year ended December 31, 2007. In addition, certain securities were sold that were impaired at the time of sale. As a result, the REIT had \$17.3 million in losses from the sale of securities in the MBS portfolio during the year ended December 31, 2007. During the year ended December 31, 2008 the Company had approximately \$0.3 million in gains from the sale of securities.

As of December 31, 2008, Bimini Capital's MBS portfolio consisted of \$172.1 million of agency or government MBS at fair value, all of which were classified as trading. This portfolio had a weighted average coupon of 5.19% and a net weighted average repurchase agreement borrowing cost of 1.89%. The following tables summarize Bimini Capital's agency and government mortgage related securities as of December 31, 2008 and 2007:

(in thousands)

Asset Category	Fair Value	Percentage of Entire Portfolio	Weighted Average Coupon	Weighted	Longest Maturity	Weighted	Weighted Average Cap	Weighted Average Periodic Cap
				Average Maturity in Months		Average Coupon Reset in Months		
December 31, 2008								
Adjustable-Rate MBS	\$ 70,632	41.0%	4.79%	276	1-Jan-36	7.76	10.37%	10.11%

Edgar Filing: BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. - Form 10-K

Fixed-Rate MBS	24,884	14.5%	6.50%	356	1-Sep-38	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hybrid Adjustable-Rate MBS	63,068	36.6%	5.03%	335	1-Apr-38	49.65	10.03%	2.00%
Total Mortgage Backed Pass Through	158,584	92.1%	5.15%	312	1-Sep-38	27.52	10.21%	5.13%
Inverse IO MBS	13,524	7.9%	5.64%	348	25-Jan-38	0.34	n/a	n/a
Total Mortgage Assets	\$ 172,108	100.0%	5.19%	315	1-Sep-38	25.02	n/a	5.13
December 31, 2007								
Adjustable-Rate MBS	\$ 177,608	25.7%	6.58%	294	1-Apr-44	5.49	10.61%	2.47%
Fixed-Rate MBS	110,297	16.0%	6.98%	335	1-Oct-37	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hybrid Adjustable-Rate MBS	398,982	57.8%	6.11%	344	1-Sep-37	39.62	11.92%	3.62%
Fixed-Rate CMO	3,692	0.5%	7.00%	233	18-May-27	n/a	n/a	n/a
Total Portfolio	\$ 690,579	100.0%	6.37%	329	1-Apr-44	29.11	11.52%	3.41%

(in thousands)

Agency	December 31,			
	2008	Percentage of Entire Portfolio	2007	Percentage of Entire Portfolio
	Fair Value		Carrying Value	
Fannie Mae	\$ 141,364	82.1%	\$ 638,763	92.5%
Freddie Mac	30,744	17.9%	46,318	6.7%
Ginnie Mae	-	-	5,498	0.8%
Total Portfolio	\$ 172,108	100.0%	\$ 690,579	100.0%

Entire Portfolio	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Weighted Average Pass Through Purchase Price	102.05	102.32
Weighted Average Derivative Purchase Price	6.86	-
Weighted Average Current Price	101.10	101.94
Weighted Average Derivative Current Price	6.98	-
Effective Duration (1)	1.279	1.267

(1) Effective duration of 1.279 indicates that an interest rate increase of 1% would be expected to cause a 1.279% decline in the value of the MBS in the Company's investment portfolio at December 31, 2008. Effective duration of 1.267 indicates that an interest rate increase of 1% would be expected to cause a 1.267% decline in the value of the MBS in the Company's investment portfolio at December 31, 2007.

In evaluating Bimini Capital's MBS portfolio assets and their performance, Bimini Capital's management team primarily evaluates these critical factors: asset performance in differing interest rate environments, duration of the security, yield to maturity, potential for prepayment of principal and the market price of the investment.

Bimini Capital's portfolio of MBS will typically be comprised of adjustable-rate MBS, fixed-rate MBS, hybrid adjustable-rate MBS and balloon maturity MBS. Bimini Capital seeks to acquire low duration assets that offer high levels of protection from mortgage prepayments. Although the duration of an individual asset can change as a result of changes in interest rates, Bimini Capital strives to maintain a portfolio with an effective duration of less than 2.0. The stated contractual final maturity of the mortgage loans underlying Bimini Capital's portfolio of MBS generally ranges up to 30 years. However, the effect of prepayments of the underlying mortgage loans tends to shorten the resulting cash flows from Bimini Capital's investments substantially. Prepayments occur for various reasons, including refinancing of underlying mortgages and loan payoffs in connection with home sales.

Prepayments on the loans underlying Bimini Capital's MBS can alter the timing of the cash flows from the underlying loans to the Company. As a result, Bimini Capital gauges the interest rate sensitivity of its assets by measuring their effective duration. While modified duration measures the price sensitivity of a bond to movements in interest rates, effective duration captures both the movement in interest rates and the fact that cash flows to a mortgage related security are altered when interest rates move. Accordingly, when the contract interest rate on a mortgage loan is substantially above prevailing interest rates in the market, the effective duration of securities collateralized by such loans can be quite low because of expected prepayments. Although some of the fixed-rate MBS in Bimini Capital's portfolio are collateralized by loans with a lower propensity to prepay when the contract rate is above prevailing rates, their price movements track securities with like contract rates and therefore exhibit similar effective duration.

The derivative MBS securities employed in the Company's alternative investment strategy are inverse interest only securities. Such securities have coupons determined by one month LIBOR and as a result the value of such securities will be affected by actual or anticipated movements in one month LIBOR. These securities are also especially sensitive to movements in prepayments of the underlying mortgage loans as their cash flows are tied to the coupon interest of the underlying loans only.

Bimini Capital faces the risk that the market value of its assets will increase or decrease at different rates than that of its liabilities, including its hedging instruments. Accordingly, the Company assesses its interest rate risk by estimating the duration of its assets and the duration of its liabilities. Bimini Capital generally calculates duration using various financial models and empirical data and different models and methodologies can produce different duration numbers for the same securities.



The following sensitivity analysis shows the estimated impact on the fair value of Bimini Capital's interest rate-sensitive investments as of December 31, 2008, assuming rates instantaneously fall 100 basis points, rise 100 basis points and rise 200 basis points:

(in thousands)

		Interest Rates Fall 100 BPS	Interest Rates Rise 100 BPS	Interest Rates Rise 200 BPS
<b>Adjustable Rate MBS</b>				
Fair Value	\$ 70,632			
Change in fair Value		\$ 702	\$ (702)	\$ (1,403)
Change as a % of Fair Value		0.99%	(0.99)%	(1.99)%
<b>Fixed Rate MBS</b>				
Fair Value	\$ 24,884			
Change in fair Value		\$ 371	\$ (371)	\$ (742)
Change as a % of Fair Value		1.49%	(1.49)%	(2.98)%
<b>Hybrid Adjustable Rate MBS</b>				
Fair Value	\$ 63,068			
Change in fair Value		\$ 922	\$ (922)	\$ (1,845)
Change as a % of Fair Value		1.46%	(1.46)%	(2.93)%
<b>Derivatives</b>				
Fair Value	\$ 13,524			
Change in Fair Value		\$ 210	\$ (210)	\$ (419)
Change as a % of Fair Value		1.55%	(1.55)%	(3.10)%
<b>Portfolio Total</b>				
Fair Value	\$ 172,108			
Change in fair Value		\$ 2,205	\$ (2,205)	\$ (4,409)
Change as a % of Fair Value		1.28%	(1.28)%	(2.56)%
<b>Cash</b>				
Fair Value	\$ 7,669			

The table below reflects the same analysis presented above but with the figures in the columns that indicate the estimated impact of a 100 basis point fall or rise adjusted to reflect the impact of convexity.

(in thousands)

		Interest Rates Fall 100 BPS	Interest Rates Rise 100 BPS	Interest Rates Rise 200 BPS
<b>Adjustable Rate MBS</b>				
Fair Value	\$ 70,632			
Change in fair Value		\$ 379	\$ (675)	\$ (1,491)
Change as a % of Fair Value		0.54%	(0.96)%	(2.11)%
<b>Fixed Rate MBS</b>				
Fair Value	\$ 24,884			
Change in fair Value		\$ 233	\$ (531)	\$ (1,453)
Change as a % of Fair Value		0.94%	(2.14)%	(5.84)%
<b>Hybrid Adjustable Rate MBS</b>				
Fair Value	\$ 63,068			
Change in fair Value		\$ 284	\$ (1,400)	\$ (3,465)
Change as a % of Fair Value		0.45%	(2.22)%	(5.49)%
<b>Derivatives</b>				
Fair Value	\$ 13,524			
Change in Fair Value		\$ (1,653)	\$ 872	\$ (249)
Change as a % of Fair Value		(12.22)%	6.45%	(1.84)%
<b>Portfolio Total</b>				
Fair Value	\$ 172,108			
Change in fair Value		\$ (757)	\$ (1,734)	\$ (6,658)
Change as a % of Fair Value		(0.44)%	(1.01)%	(3.87)%
<b>Cash</b>				
Fair Value	\$ 7,669			

In addition to changes in interest rates, other factors impact the fair value of Bimini Capital's interest rate-sensitive investments and hedging instruments, such as the shape of the yield curve, market expectations as to future interest rate changes and other market conditions. Accordingly, in the event of changes in actual interest rates, the change in the fair value of Bimini Capital's assets would likely differ from that shown above and such difference might be material and adverse to Bimini Capital's stockholders.

The table below shows Bimini Capital's average investments held, total interest income, yield on average earning assets, average repurchase obligations outstanding, interest expense, average cost of funds, net interest income and net interest spread for the quarter ended December 31, 2008, and the nineteen previous quarters for Bimini Capital's portfolio of MBS securities only. The data in the table below does not include information pertaining to discontinued operations at OITRS.

## RATIOS FOR THE QUARTERS HAVE BEEN ANNUALIZED

(in thousands)

Quarter Ended	Average Investment Securities Held	Total Interest Income	Quarterly Retrospective to Adj. Paydowns	Premiums Lost due to Assets	Yield on Average Earning Assets (1)	Average Balance of Repurchase Agreements Outstanding	Interest Expense (2)	Average Cost of Funds (2)	Net Interest Income	Net Interest Spread	Trust Preferred Interest Expense
December 31, 2008	\$ 199,338	3,093	-	457	5.29%	\$ 174,701	1,114	2.55%	\$ 1,979	2.74%	\$ 1,933
September 30, 2008	375,239	6,149	-	568	5.95%	326,577	4,193	5.14%	1,956	0.81%	1,933
June 30, 2008	519,614	6,787	-	415	4.91%	471,732	5,448	4.62%	1,339	0.29%	1,933
March 31, 2008	602,948	10,112	-	652	6.28%	584,597	7,590	5.19%	2,522	1.08%	1,933
December 31, 2007	972,236	11,364	(345)	-	4.68%	944,832	10,531	4.46%	833	0.22%	1,933
September 30, 2007	1,536,265	24,634	(404)	-	6.41%	1,497,409	20,998	5.61%	3,636	0.81%	1,933
June 30, 2007	2,375,216	26,970	(6,182)	-	4.54%	2,322,727	33,444	5.76%	(6,475)	(1.22%)	1,933
March 31, 2007	2,870,265	38,634	1,794	-	5.38%	2,801,901	37,405	5.34%	1,229	0.04%	1,933
December 31, 2006	2,944,397	31,841	(4,013)	-	4.33%	2,869,210	39,448	5.50%	(7,607)	(1.17%)	1,933
September 30, 2006	3,243,674	43,051	3,523	-	5.31%	3,151,813	42,683	5.42%	368	(0.11%)	1,933
June 30, 2006	3,472,921	54,811	13,395	-	6.31%	3,360,421	41,674	4.96%	13,137	1.35%	1,933
March 31, 2006	3,516,292	40,512	1,917	-	4.61%	3,375,777	36,566	4.33%	3,946	0.28%	1,933
December 31, 2005	3,676,175	43,140	3,249	-	4.69%	3,533,486	35,337	4.00%	7,803	0.69%	1,858
September 30, 2005	3,867,263	43,574	4,348	-	4.51%	3,723,603	32,345	3.48%	11,230	1.03%	973
June 30, 2005	3,587,629	36,749	2,413	-	4.10%	3,449,744	26,080	3.02%	10,668	1.07%	454
March 31, 2005	3,136,142	31,070	1,013	-	3.96%	2,976,409	19,731	2.65%	11,339	1.31%	-
December 31, 2004	2,305,748	20,463	1,250	-	3.55%	2,159,891	10,796	2.00%	9,667	1.55%	-
September 30, 2004	1,573,343	11,017	-	-	2.80%	1,504,919	4,253	1.13%	6,764	1.67%	-
June 30, 2004	1,512,481	10,959	-	-	2.90%	1,452,004	4,344	1.20%	6,615	1.70%	-

March 31, 2004	871,140	7,194	-	-	3.30%	815,815	2,736	1.34%	4,458	1.96%	-
-------------------	---------	-------	---	---	-------	---------	-------	-------	-------	-------	---

- (1) Adjusted for premium lost on paydowns  
(2) Excludes Trust Preferred Interest

The net interest figures in the table above exclude interest associated with the trust preferred debt, which is reflected in the last column separately. The net interest income figures reflect the quarterly retrospective adjustment, where applicable. As a result of the entire MBS portfolio being classified as held for trading for the year ended December 31, 2008, there are no longer quarterly retrospective adjustments. For the quarter ended December 31, 2008, the net margin was 274 basis points on a portfolio of MBS securities classified entirely as held for trading. For the quarter ended December 31, 2007, \$0.3 million of the \$11.4 million of interest income was attributable to the quarterly retrospective adjustment.

#### PERFORMANCE OF DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS OF OITRS

As stated above, the Company has sold or discontinued all residential mortgage origination activities at OITRS. The principal business activities of OITRS were the origination and sale of mortgage loans. In addition, as part of the securitization of loans sold, OITRS retained an interest in the resulting residual interest cash flows more fully described below. Finally, OITRS serviced the loans securitized as well as some loans sold on a whole loan basis. As of December 31, 2008, there are no remaining originated mortgage servicing rights and mortgage loans held for sale are immaterial and not likely to result in meaningful income or loss. Such assets are also held for sale.

Losses realized on the OITRS activities for the year ended December 31, 2008, were \$41.7 million compared to \$70.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The fair value adjustment of retained interest, trading was \$(41.0) million and \$(29.1) million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The retained interests in securitizations represent residual interests in loans originated or purchased by OITRS prior to securitization. The total fair market value of these retained interests was approximately \$15.6 million as of December 31, 2008. Fluctuations in value of retained interests are primarily driven by projections of future interest rates (the forward LIBOR curve), the discount rate used to determine the present value of the residual cash flows and prepayment and loss estimates on the underlying mortgage loans. The decline in value for the period ended December 31, 2008, was primarily due to increased loss assumptions on the underlying mortgage loans and higher discount rates assumed for valuation purposes.

In addition to the decline in the market value of the retained interest, trading of \$29.1 million for the period ended December 31, 2007, the loss from OITRS activities reflect the reclassification of deferred loan origination costs, primarily under SFAS 109, of \$29.3 million and the effects of the mark to market of IRLCs and loans held for sale prior to the sale date of (\$3.8). OITRS's gains/(losses) from mortgage banking activities were the result of a sharp deterioration in the secondary market for the loans OITRS originated and sold. Owing to fears related to the credit performance of certain types of loans OITRS originated, namely high combined loan to value ("CLTV") and second lien mortgages, prices obtained upon sale were depressed and OITRS also experienced elevated levels of early payment defaults (EPDs), resulting in OITRS recording high loan loss reserves. Excluding the decline in the value of retained interest, trading, OITRS had a loss on mortgage banking activities of approximately \$36.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

The table below provides details of OITRS's gain/(loss) on mortgage banking activities for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. OITRS recognized a gain or loss on the sale of mortgages held for sale only when the loans were actually sold.

#### GAINS/(LOSSES) ON MORTGAGE BANKING ACTIVITIES



(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
Fair Value adjustment of retained interests, trading	\$ (40,998)	\$ (29,119)
Gain/(loss) on sales of mortgage loans	(557)	(6,012)
Fees on brokered loans	-	1,749
Gain/(loss) on derivatives	-	(4,473)
Direct loan origination expenses, deferred	-	(7,122)
Fees earned, brokering	-	887
Direct loan origination expenses, reclassified	-	(22,181)
Net gain/(loss) on sale of mortgage loans	(41,555)	(66,271)
Change in market value of IRLC's	-	14
Change in market value of mortgage loans held for sale	(103)	(3,811)
Gain/(loss) on mortgage banking activities	\$ (41,658)	\$ (70,068)

For the year ended December 31, 2007, OITRS originated mortgage loans of \$1.5 billion and sold \$2.1 billion of originated mortgage loans. Of the originated mortgage loans sold during the year ended December 31, 2007, \$0.8 billion of the \$2.1 billion were sold on a servicing retained basis.

For the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, OITRS had a net servicing loss of approximately \$1.6 million and \$13.2 million, respectively. The results for the year were driven primarily by negative fair value adjustments to the MSRs (inclusive of run-off of the servicing portfolio).

There were no additions to MSRs for the year ended December 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2007, additions to the MSRs approximately \$7.7 million. In turn, the net fair value adjustments for the year ended December 31, 2008, reflect declines in fair value due to run-off of \$0.4 million and adjustments due to increases in fair value due to changes in market conditions of \$1.1 million. The net fair value adjustments for the year ended December 31, 2007 reflect declines in fair value due to run-off of \$9.4 million and adjustments due to decreases in fair value of \$3.9 million due to changes in market conditions. Changes in valuation assumptions for the year ended December 31, 2007 reduced the fair market value by \$2.6 million.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal sources of cash generally consist of borrowings under repurchase agreements, payments of principal and interest we receive on our MBS portfolio, and cash flows received by OITRS from the residual interests that are used to repay intercompany debt. Our principal uses of cash are the repayment of principal and interest on our repurchase agreements, purchases of MBS, funding our operations and, to the extent dividends are declared, making dividend payments on our capital stock.

As of December 31, 2008, Bimini Capital had funding in place via master repurchase agreements with one counterparty. The counterparty to this agreement is not an affiliate of Bimini Capital. The agreement is secured by Bimini Capital's MBS and bears interest rates that are based on a spread to LIBOR.

As of December 31, 2008, Bimini Capital had an obligation outstanding under the repurchase agreement of approximately \$148.7 million with a net weighted average borrowing cost of 1.89%. Bimini Capital's outstanding repurchase agreement obligation is due in less than 1 month. Securing the repurchase agreement obligation as of December 31, 2008, was MBS with an estimated fair value, including accrued interest, of \$159.1 million and a weighted average maturity of 313 months.

As of December 31, 2008, Bimini Capital had amounts at risk greater than 10% of the equity of the Company with the following counterparty:

(in thousands)

Repurchase Agreement Counterparties	Amount at Risk(1)	Weighted Average Maturity of Repurchase Agreements in Days
December 31, 2008		
MF Global, Inc.	\$ 10,270	11

(1) Equal to the fair value of securities sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities, plus accrued interest expense.

Bimini Capital's master repurchase agreements have no stated expiration, but can be terminated at any time at Bimini Capital's option or at the option of the counterparty. However, once a definitive repurchase agreement under a master repurchase agreement has been entered into, it generally may not be terminated by either party. A negotiated termination can occur, but may involve a fee to be paid by the party seeking to terminate the repurchase agreement transaction.

As discussed above, increases in short-term interest rates could negatively impact the valuation of Bimini Capital's MBS portfolio. Should this occur, Bimini Capital's repurchase agreement counterparties could initiate margin calls, thus inhibiting its liquidity or forcing us to sell assets.

As a result of the closure of the mortgage origination operations at OITRS, the Company has had to amortize the financing line associated with retained interest in securitizations and meet the advancing obligations associated with OITRS's remaining mortgage servicing rights. Accordingly, during the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company undertook a series of assets sales intended to raise funds necessary to support the cash needs of OITRS and maintain adequate liquidity.

Given the current difficulties with respect to the availability of funding via the repurchase market, the Company has opted to augment its existing leveraged MBS portfolio with alternative sources of income. The Company has employed an alternative investment strategy utilizing derivative mortgage backed securities collateralized by MBS with comparable borrower and prepayment characteristics to the securities currently in the portfolio. Such securities are not funded in the repurchase market but instead are owned free and clear. The leverage inherent in the securities replaces the leverage obtained by acquiring pass-through securities and funding them in the repurchase market.

In May 2005, Bimini Capital completed a private offering of \$51.6 million of trust preferred securities of Bimini Capital Trust I ("BCTI") resulting in the issuance by Bimini Capital of \$51.6 million of junior subordinated notes. The interest rate payable by Bimini Capital on the BCTI junior subordinated notes is fixed for the first five years at 7.61% and then floats at a spread of 3.30% over three-month LIBOR for the remaining 25 years. However, the BCTI junior subordinated notes and the corresponding BCTI trust preferred securities are redeemable at Bimini Capital's option at the end of the first five year period and at any subsequent date that Bimini Capital chooses.

In addition, in October 2005, Bimini Capital completed a private offering of an additional \$51.5 million of trust preferred securities of Bimini Capital Trust II ("BCTII") resulting in the issuance by Bimini Capital of an additional \$51.5 million of junior subordinated notes. The interest rate on the BCTII junior subordinated notes and the corresponding BCTII trust preferred securities is fixed for the first five years at 7.8575% and then floats at a spread of 3.50% over three-month LIBOR for the remaining 25 years. However, the BCTII junior subordinated notes and the

corresponding BCTII trust preferred securities are redeemable at Bimini Capital's option at the end of the first five year period and at any subsequent date that Bimini Capital chooses.

Bimini Capital attempts to ensure that the income generated from available investment opportunities, when the use of leverage is employed for the purchase of assets, exceeds the cost of its borrowings. However, the issuance of debt at a fixed rate for any long-term period, considering the use of leverage, could create an interest rate mismatch if Bimini Capital is not able to invest at yields that exceed the interest rates of the Company's junior subordinated notes and other borrowings.

#### Outlook

The Company's results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2008 were impacted by the unprecedented disruptions in the securities markets and the global economy generally, which brought about a severe tightening of credit conditions and volatile asset prices. The result was, and continues to be, a substantial deleveraging of the financial system and substantial losses incurred by various market participants. In an effort to combat these developments, the newly elected administration of President Obama, the world's central banks, the Congress of the United States, the US Treasury and the Federal Reserve have taken unprecedented steps. The outcome of these developments continues to unfold and the end result is unclear.

The funding costs of the MBS portfolio have stabilized somewhat and the coupon on the MBS assets now exceeds the associated repurchase agreement funding costs. Also, the Company no longer needs to fund negative cash flow operations at OITRS, which in the past precluded the Company from reinvesting monthly pay-downs and also required the Company to sell MBS assets to generate funds throughout much of 2007 and 2008.

The Company has implemented an alternative investment strategy to supplement the levered MBS strategy in an effort to continue to maximize our net interest income until market conditions improve. Nonetheless, the reduced size of the portfolio in relation to the Company's operating expenses will constrain the earnings potential of the Company in the near term. Given the deteriorated credit conditions, which make access to funding precarious, and the reduced size of our portfolio, even with the benefit of our alternative investment strategy, no assurance can be made of our ability to generate sufficient net interest income to cover all of our costs or for rates to remain at current levels.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). The Company's significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the Company's accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

GAAP requires the Company's management to make some complex and subjective decisions and assessments. The Company's most critical accounting policies involve decisions and assessments which could significantly affect reported assets and liabilities, as well as reported revenues and expenses. The Company believes that all of the decisions and assessments upon which its financial statements are based were reasonable at the time made based upon information available to it at that time. Management has identified its most critical accounting policies to be the following:

#### MORTGAGE BACKED SECURITIES

The Company's investments in MBS are classified as available-for-sale securities or held for trading. Changes in fair value of securities held for trading are recorded through the statement of operations. The Company's MBS have fair values determined by management based on the average of third-party broker quotes received and/or by independent pricing sources when available. Because the price estimates may vary to some degree between sources, management must make certain judgments and assumptions about the appropriate price to use to calculate the fair values for

financial reporting purposes. Alternatively, management could opt to have the value of all of its positions in MBS determined by either an independent third-party pricing source or do so internally based on management's own estimates. Management believes pricing on the basis of third-party broker quotes is the most consistent with the definition of fair value described in SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements.

---

When the fair value of an available-for-sale security is less than amortized cost, management considers whether there is an other-than-temporary impairment in the value of the security. The decision is based on the credit quality of the issue (agency versus non-agency and for non-agency, the credit performance of the underlying collateral), the security prepayment speeds, the length of time the security has been in an unrealized loss position and the Company's ability and intent to hold securities. If, in management's judgment, an other-than-temporary impairment exists, the cost basis of the security is written down in the period to fair value and the unrealized loss is recognized in current period earnings. Such an event occurred in the period ended June 30, 2007 and as a consequence the cost basis of all MBS securities was written down to fair value and the previously unrealized loss recognized in earnings. As of June 30, 2007, all available-for-sale securities would then be valued as a lower of cost or market basis.

On January 1, 2008, in connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities-Including an amendment of FASB Statement 115, the Company transferred its remaining available-for-sale securities to trading and accordingly, recognized a \$1.7 million fair value adjustment.

#### REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS

We finance the acquisition of our MBS through the use of repurchase agreements. Repurchase agreements are treated as collateralized financing transactions and are carried at their contractual amounts, including accrued interest, as specified in the respective agreements.

#### RETAINED INTEREST, TRADING (CLASSIFIED AS HELD FOR SALE)

Retained interest, trading is the subordinated interests retained by OITRS from their various securitizations and includes the over-collateralization and residual net interest spread remaining after payments to the Public Certificates and NIM Notes (see Note 14). Retained interest, trading represents the present value of estimated cash flows to be received from these subordinated interests in the future. The subordinated interests retained are classified as "trading securities" and are reported at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reported in earnings. In order to value these unrated and unquoted retained interests, the Company utilizes either pricing available directly from dealers or calculates their present value by projecting their future cash flows on a publicly-available analytical system. When a publicly-available analytical system is employed, the Company uses the following variable factors in estimating the fair value of these assets:

Interest Rate Forecast. LIBOR interest rate curve.

Discount Rate. The present value of all future cash flows utilizing a discount rate assumption established at the discretion of the Company to represent market conditions and value.

Prepayment Forecast. The prepayment forecast may be expressed by the Company in accordance with one of the following standard market conventions: Constant Prepayment Rate ("CPR") or Percentage of a Prepayment Vector. Prepayment forecasts are made utilizing Citigroup Global Markets Yield Book and/or management estimates based on historical experience. Conversely, prepayment speed forecasts could have been based on other market conventions or third-party analytical systems. Prepayment forecasts may be changed as OITRS observes trends in the underlying collateral as delineated in the Statement to Certificate Holders generated by the securitization trust's Trustee for each underlying security.

Credit Performance Forecast. A forecast of future credit performance of the underlying collateral pool will include an assumption of default frequency, loss severity and a recovery lag. In general, the Company will utilize the combination of default frequency and loss severity in conjunction with a collateral prepayment assumption to arrive at

a target cumulative loss to the collateral pool over the life of the pool based on historical performance of similar collateral by the originator. The target cumulative loss forecast will be developed and noted at the pricing date of the individual security but may be updated by the Company consistent with observations of the actual collateral pool performance.

---

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, key economic assumptions and the sensitivity of the current fair value of retained interests to the immediate 10% and 20% adverse change in those assumptions are as follows:

(in thousands)

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Balance sheet carrying value of retained interests – fair value	\$ 15,601	\$ 69,301
Weighted average life (in years)	14.76	4.09
Prepayment assumption (annual rate)	19.36%	26.37%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (1,838)	\$ (6,908)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	\$ (3,086)	\$ (12,577)
Expected Credit losses (annual rate)	5.61%	1.22%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (2,841)	\$ (6,409)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	\$ (6,095)	\$ (13,633)
Residual Cash-Flow discount rate	27.50%	20.00%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (1,540)	\$ (4,138)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	\$ (2,838)	\$ (7,907)
	Forward	Forward
	LIBOR	LIBOR
	Yield	Yield
Interest rates on variable and adjustable loans and bonds	Curve	Curve
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (2,692)	\$ (14,906)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	\$ (5,067)	\$ (28,225)

These sensitivities are entirely hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based upon 10% and 20% variations in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated to greater or lesser percentage variations because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in this table, the effect of the variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the subordinated interest is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another that may magnify or counteract the sensitivities. To estimate the impact of a 10% and 20% adverse change of the forward LIBOR curve, a parallel shift in the forward LIBOR curve was assumed based on the forward LIBOR curve as of December 31, 2008 and 2007.

## INCOME RECOGNITION

For securities classified as held for trading, interest income is based on the stated interest rate and the outstanding principal balance; premium or discount associated with the purchase of the MBS are not amortized. As of January 1, 2008, all MBS portfolio securities are classified as held for trading.

All securities are either MBS pass through securities, interest only securities or inverse interest only securities. Income on MBS pass through securities classified as held for trading is based on the stated interest rate of the security. Premium or discount present at the date of purchase is not amortized. For inverse interest only and interest only securities classified as held for trading, the income is accrued based on the carrying value and the effective yield. As cash is received it is first applied to accrued interest and then to reduce the carrying value. At each reporting date, the effective yield is adjusted prospectively from the reporting period based on the new estimate of prepayments. The new effective yield is calculated based on the carrying value at the end of the previous reporting period, the new prepayment estimates and the contractual terms of the security. Changes in fair value during the period are recorded in earnings and reported as fair value adjustment-held for trading securities in the accompanying consolidated

statement of operations.

Interest income on available-for-sale MBS is accrued based on the actual coupon rate and the outstanding principal amount of the underlying mortgages. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted into interest income over the estimated lives of the MBS using the effective yield method adjusted for the effects of estimated prepayments based on SFAS No. 91, Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases - an amendment of FASB Statements No. 13, 60 and 65 and a rescission of FASB Statement No. 17. Adjustments are made using the retrospective method to the effective interest computation each reporting period based on the actual prepayment experiences to date and the present expectation of future prepayments of the underlying mortgages. To make assumptions as to future estimated rates of prepayments, the Company currently uses actual market prepayment history for the securities it owns and for similar securities that the Company does not own and current market conditions. If the estimate of prepayments is incorrect, the Company is required to make an adjustment to the amortization or accretion of premiums and discounts that would have an impact on future income.

---



## INCOME TAXES

Bimini Capital has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code. As further described below, Bimini Capital's subsidiary, OITRS a taxpaying entity for income tax purposes and is taxed separately from Bimini Capital. Bimini Capital will generally not be subject to federal income tax on its REIT taxable income to the extent that Bimini Capital distributes its REIT taxable income to its stockholders and satisfies the ongoing REIT requirements, including meeting certain asset, income and stock ownership tests. A REIT must generally distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders, of which 85% generally must be distributed within the taxable year, in order to avoid the imposition of an excise tax. The remaining balance may be distributed up to the end of the following taxable year, provided the REIT elects to treat such amount as a prior year distribution and meets certain other requirements.

OITRS and its activities are subject to corporate income taxes and the applicable provisions of SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. All of the consequences of OITRS's income tax accounting are included in discontinued operations. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. To the extent management believes deferred tax assets will not be fully realized in future periods, a provision is recorded so as to reflect the net portion, if any, of the deferred tax asset management expects to realize.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As previously discussed, OITRS formerly pooled loans originated or purchased and then sold them or securitized them to obtain long-term financing for its assets. Securitized loans are transferred to a trust where they serve as collateral for asset-backed bonds, which the trust primarily issues to the public. During 2007, OITRS did not execute a securitization, and is not expected to do so in the future. However, OITRS held approximately \$15.6 million of retained interests from securitizations as of December 31, 2008.

The cash flows associated with OITRS's securitization activities for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, were as follows:

(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
Proceeds from securitizations	\$ -	\$ -
Servicing fees received	1,082	11,744
Servicing advances	(6,579)	4,812
Cash flows received on retained interests	12,701	5,779

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not applicable.



ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

Index to Financial Statements

	Page
Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting	48
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms	49
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2008 and 2007	52
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007	53
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007	54
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007	55
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	56

---

## MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management of Bimini Capital Management, Inc. (the "Company") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorization of management and directors of the Company; and
- (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008. In making its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on the assessment and those criteria, management believes that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008.

The Company's registered public accounting firm has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The report is included herein.

/s/ Robert E. Cauley

Robert E. Cauley  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ G. Hunter Haas IV

G. Hunter Haas IV  
Vice President, Chief Investment Officer,  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer



Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders  
Bimini Capital Management, Inc.  
Vero Beach, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Bimini Capital Management, Inc. as of December 31, 2008 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' (deficit) equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Bimini Capital Management, Inc. at December 31, 2008, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Notes 1 and 12 to the consolidated financial statements, Bimini Capital Management, Inc. adopted FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" effective January 1, 2008.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Bimini Capital Management, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 30, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

West Palm Beach, Florida  
March 30, 2009

/s/ BDO Seidman, LLP  
Certified Public Accountants

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders  
Bimini Capital Management, Inc.  
Vero Beach, Florida

We have audited Bimini Capital Management, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Bimini Capital Management, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Item 9A, Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Bimini Capital Management, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Bimini Capital Management, Inc. as of December 31, 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' (deficit) equity, and cash flows for the year then ended and our report dated March 30, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

West Palm Beach, Florida  
March 30, 2009

/s/ BDO Seidman, LLP  
Certified Public Accountants

---



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Bimini Capital Management, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Bimini Capital Management, Inc. as of December 31, 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Bimini Capital Management, Inc. at December 31, 2007, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP  
Certified Public Accountants

Miami, Florida  
March 12, 2008

---

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Mortgage-backed securities:		
Available-for-sale, pledged to counterparties; at LOCOM	\$ -	\$ 293,729,451
Held for trading, pledged to counterparties, at fair value	158,444,253	396,175,157
Unpledged, at fair value	13,664,242	674,326
Total mortgage-backed securities	172,108,495	690,578,934
Cash and cash equivalents	7,668,581	27,284,760
Restricted cash	-	8,800,000
Principal payments receivable	187,779	99,089
Accrued interest receivable	887,536	3,637,302
Property and equipment, net	4,062,116	4,181,813
Prepays and other assets	4,590,601	5,315,835
Assets held for sale	43,287,020	96,619,615
Total Assets	\$ 232,792,128	\$ 836,517,348
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY</b>		
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
Repurchase agreements	\$ 148,695,082	\$ 678,177,771
Junior subordinated notes due to Bimini Capital Trust I & II	103,097,000	103,097,000
Accrued interest payable	983,069	3,872,101
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other	480,655	644,858
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	10,431,330	27,842,174
Total Liabilities	263,687,136	813,633,904
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES</b>		
<b>STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY</b>		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; designated, 1,800,000 shares as Class A Redeemable and 2,000,000 shares as Class B Redeemable; no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2007	-	-
Class A common stock, \$0.001 par value; 98,000,000 shares designated; 26,207,023 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 24,861,404 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2007	26,207	24,861
Class B common stock, \$0.001 par value; 1,000,000 shares designated, 319,388 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2007	319	319
Class C common stock, \$0.001 par value; 1,000,000 shares designated, 319,388 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2007	319	319
Additional paid-in capital	339,124,251	338,241,582

Edgar Filing: BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. - Form 10-K

Accumulated other comprehensive loss	-	-
Accumulated deficit	(370,046,104)	(315,383,637)
Stockholders' (Deficit) Equity	(30,895,008)	22,883,444
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' (Deficit) Equity	\$ 232,792,128	\$ 836,517,348

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

---

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
Interest income, net of amortization of premium and discount	\$ 26,139,769	\$ 102,502,182
Interest expense	(18,392,702)	(102,901,468)
Net interest income (expense), before interest on junior subordinated notes	7,747,067	(399,286)
Interest expense on junior subordinated notes	(8,361,727)	(8,361,727)
Net interest expense	(614,660)	(8,761,013)
Fair value adjustment - available for sale securities	-	(2,680,252)
Fair value adjustment - held for trading securities	62,831	2,163,708
Gain (loss) on sale of mortgage-backed securities, net	316,916	(17,291,032)
Other than temporary loss on mortgage backed securities	-	(55,250,278)
Deficiency of revenues, net	(234,913)	(81,818,867)
Direct REIT operating expenses	700,119	824,747
General and administrative expenses:		
Compensation and related benefits	2,760,455	4,828,089
Directors' fees and liability insurance	671,569	771,486
Audit, legal and other professional fees	890,725	1,343,777
Other administrative	1,235,214	704,590
Total general and administrative expenses	5,557,963	7,647,942
Total expenses	6,258,082	8,472,689
Loss from continuing operations before minority interest	(6,492,995)	(90,291,556)
Minority interest in consolidated subsidiary	-	770,563
Loss from continuing operations	(6,492,995)	(89,520,993)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(49,883,568)	(158,131,824)
Net loss	\$ (56,376,563)	\$ (247,652,817)
Basic And Diluted Net Loss Per Share Of:		
CLASS A COMMON STOCK		
Continuing operations	\$ (0.25)	\$ (3.58)
Discontinued operations	(1.95)	(6.34)
Total basic and diluted net loss per Class A share	\$ (2.20)	\$ (9.92)
CLASS B COMMON STOCK		
Continuing operations	\$ (0.24)	\$ (3.56)
Discontinued operations	(1.88)	(6.28)
Total basic and diluted net loss per Class B share	\$ (2.12)	\$ (9.84)
Average Shares Outstanding		
CLASS A COMMON STOCK	25,273,553	24,655,678

CLASS B COMMON STOCK	319,388	319,388
Cash dividends declared per share of:		
CLASS A COMMON STOCK	\$ -	\$ 0.05
CLASS B COMMON STOCK	\$ -	\$ 0.05
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements		

BIMINI CAPITAL MANGEMENT, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDER'S (DEFICIT) EQUITY

	Common Stock, Amounts at par value			Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Accumulated Deficit	Total
	Class A	Class B	Class C		Loss		
Balances, December 31, 2006	\$ 24,516	\$ 319	\$ 319	\$ 335,646,460	\$ (76,773,610)	\$ (66,463,175)	\$ 192,434,829
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(247,652,817)	(247,652,817)
Other comprehensive income:							
Reclassify net realized loss on mortgage backed securities	-	-	-	-	19,388,377	-	19,388,377
Unrealized gain on available for sale securities, net	-	-	-	-	2,134,955	-	2,134,955
Other-than-temporary loss on mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	-	55,250,278	-	55,250,278
Comprehensive loss							(170,879,207)
Issuance of Class A common shares for board compensation and equity plan share exercises, net	345	-	-	132,013	-	-	132,358
Cash dividends declared	-	-	-	-	-	(1,267,645)	(1,267,645)
Amortization of equity plan compensation	-	-	-	2,733,668	-	-	2,733,668
Equity plan shares withheld for statutory minimum withholding taxes	-	-	-	(270,559)	-	-	(270,559)
Balances, December 31, 2007	\$ 24,861	\$ 319	\$ 319	\$ 338,241,582	\$ -	\$ (315,383,637)	\$ 22,883,444
Cumulative effect adjustment upon	-	-	-	-	-	1,714,096	1,714,096

adoption of SFAS  
No. 159

Net loss, and comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	-	(56,376,563)	(56,376,563)
Issuance of Class A common shares for board compensation and equity plan share exercises, net	1,346	-	-	209,302	-	-	210,648
Amortization of equity plan compensation	-	-	-	673,367	-	-	673,367
Balances, December 31, 2008	\$ 26,207	\$ 319	\$ 319	\$ 339,124,251	\$ -	\$ (370,046,104)	\$ (30,895,008)
	See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements						

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (56,376,563)	\$ (247,652,817)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Loss from discontinued operations	49,883,568	158,131,824
Other-than-temporary loss on mortgage backed securities	-	55,250,278
Amortization of premium and discount on mortgage backed securities	-	9,007,515
Stock compensation and stock issuance costs	884,814	2,866,026
Depreciation and amortization	760,891	822,350
(Gain) loss on sale of mortgage-backed securities, net	(316,916)	17,291,032
Fair value adjustments, net on mortgage-backed securities	(62,831)	516,544
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	-	780
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Increase in securities held for trading	-	(393,189,314)
Decrease in accrued interest receivable	2,749,766	10,434,776
Decrease in prepaids and other assets	97,258	225,412
Decrease in accrued interest payable	(2,889,031)	(13,904,363)
Decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other	(165,001)	(318,170)
<b>NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(5,434,045)</b>	<b>(400,518,127)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
From available-for-sale securities:		
Purchases	(189,780,332)	(834,671,775)
Sales	617,526,604	2,441,516,832
Principal repayments	92,729,319	911,318,340
Purchases of property and equipment, and other	(13,218)	(10,558)
<b>NET CASH PROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>520,462,373</b>	<b>2,518,152,839</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash	8,800,000	(8,800,000)
Proceeds from repurchase agreements	4,026,420,312	15,518,125,432
Principal payments on repurchase agreements	(4,555,903,001)	(17,581,627,311)
Cash dividends paid	-	(2,534,582)
<b>NET CASH USED IN FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(520,682,689)</b>	<b>(2,074,836,461)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:</b>		
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,038,182	732,852,870
Net cash provided by investing activities	-	1,195,582
Net cash used in financing activities	(18,000,000)	(832,313,738)
<b>NET CASH USED IN DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS</b>	<b>(13,961,818)</b>	<b>(98,265,286)</b>
<b>NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>(19,616,179)</b>	<b>(55,467,035)</b>
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, Beginning of the year</b>	<b>27,284,760</b>	<b>82,751,795</b>
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, End of the year</b>	<b>\$ 7,668,581</b>	<b>\$ 27,284,760</b>
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:</b>		
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$ 30,914,333	\$ 125,167,558

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

---



BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2008

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization and Business Description

Bimini Capital Management, Inc., a Maryland corporation (“Bimini Capital”), was originally formed in September 2003 as Bimini Mortgage Management, Inc. (“Bimini Mortgage”) for the purpose of creating and managing a leveraged investment portfolio consisting of residential mortgage-backed securities (“MBS”). Bimini Capital’s website is located at <http://www.biminicapital.com>. On February 10, 2006, Bimini Mortgage changed its name to Opteum Inc. (“Opteum”). On September 28, 2007, Opteum changed its name to Bimini Capital Management, Inc.

On November 3, 2005, Bimini Mortgage acquired Opteum Financial Services, LLC. Upon closing of the transaction, OITRS became a wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiary of Bimini Capital. This entity, which was previously referred to as “OFS,” was renamed Orchid Island TRS, LLC effective July 3, 2007. Hereinafter, any historical mention, discussion or references to Opteum Financial Services, LLC or to OFS (such as in previously filed documents or Exhibits) now means Orchid Island TRS, LLC or “OITRS.”

On December 21, 2006, Bimini Capital sold to Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. (“Citigroup Realty”) a Class B non-voting limited liability company membership interest in OITRS, representing 7.5% of all of OITRS’s outstanding limited liability company membership interests, for \$4.1 million. On May 27, 2008, Bimini Capital repurchased Citigroup Realty’s interest in OITRS for \$0.05 million.

On April 18, 2007, the Board of Managers of OITRS, at the recommendation of the Board of Directors of Bimini Capital, approved the closure of OITRS’ wholesale and conduit mortgage loan origination channels in the second quarter of 2007. Also, during the second and third quarters of 2007, substantially all of the other operating assets of OITRS were sold. Therefore, all OITRS’s assets are considered as held for sale, and OITRS is reported as a discontinued operation for all periods presented following applicable accounting standards. Bimini Capital now operates in a single business segment.

Bimini Capital has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”). As a REIT, Bimini Capital is generally not subject to federal income tax on its REIT taxable income provided that it distributes to its stockholders at least 90% of its REIT taxable income on an annual basis. OITRS has elected to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary and, as such, is subject to federal, state and local income taxation. In addition, the ability of OITRS to deduct interest paid or accrued to Bimini Capital for federal, state and local tax purposes is subject to certain limitations.

As used in this document, discussions related to “Bimini Capital,” the parent company, the registrant, and to real estate investment trust (“REIT”) qualifying activities or the general management of Bimini Capital’s portfolio of mortgage backed securities (“MBS”) refer to “Bimini Capital Management, Inc.” Further, discussions related to Bimini Capital’s taxable REIT subsidiary or non-REIT eligible assets refer to OITRS and its consolidated subsidiaries. Discussions relating to the “Company” refer to the consolidated entity (the combination of Bimini Capital and OITRS). The assets and activities that are not REIT eligible, such as mortgage origination, acquisition and servicing activities, were formerly conducted by OITRS and are now reported as discontinued operations.

## Liquidity

The financing market utilized by the Company to fund its MBS portfolio, as well as the market for MBS securities, have deteriorated materially throughout 2008. Moreover, the turmoil that originated in the MBS market has now spread into many other financial markets as well as the global economy as a whole. The disruptions in the market have prompted unprecedented intervention by the new administration of President Obama, the world's central banks, the Congress of the United States, the US Treasury and the Federal Reserve in an effort to restore stability. In spite of these efforts market conditions are extremely volatile, credit is very tight and it is unknown at this time when and to what extent market conditions will stabilize and return to normal. These conditions have impacted the Company and are expected to continue to impact the Company.

At December 31, 2008 the Company had approximately \$148.7 million of outstanding obligations under repurchase agreements with maturities through January 2009. Subsequent to year-end and through the date of this report, the Company has been able to maintain its repurchase facilities with comparable terms to those that existed at December 31, 2008. Should the Company be unable to continue extending the maturity of the remaining repurchase obligations, it may be forced to sell assets, which may result in losses upon such sales. Accordingly, the Company has taken steps to augment its existing leveraged MBS portfolio with an alternative investment strategy since sufficient repurchase agreement funding is not available. The Company is currently employing an investment strategy that utilizes derivative mortgage backed securities collateralized by MBS with comparable borrower and prepayment characteristics to the securities currently in the portfolio. Such securities are not funded with repurchase agreements but instead are owned free and clear. However, if cash resources are, at any time, insufficient to satisfy the Company's liquidity requirements, such as when cash flow from operations are materially negative, the Company may be required to pledge additional assets to meet margin calls, liquidate assets, sell additional debt or equity securities or pursue other financing alternatives.

Management is currently pursuing all cost saving opportunities to maximize future earnings, including strategic options designed to increase the efficiency with which the Company manages its operations.

## Basis of Presentation and Use of Estimates

The accompanying consolidated financial statements are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") in the United States. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates affecting the accompanying financial statements include the fair values of MBS, the prepayment speeds used to calculate amortization and accretion of premiums and discounts on MBS, and certain discontinued operations related items including the deferred tax asset valuation allowance, the valuation allowance on mortgage loans held for sale, the valuation of retained interests, trading and the fair value of mortgage servicing rights.

## Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Bimini Capital and its wholly-owned subsidiary, OITRS, as well as the wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries of OITRS. OITRS is reported as a discontinued operation for all periods presented. All inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated from the consolidated financial statements.

As further described in Note 6, Bimini Capital has a common share investment in two trusts used in connection with the issuance of Bimini Capital's junior subordinated notes. Pursuant to the accounting guidance provided in Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation ("FIN") No. 46, revised December 2003, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, Bimini Capital's common share investments in the trusts are not consolidated in the financial statements of Bimini Capital, and accordingly, these investments are accounted for on the equity method.

#### Statement of Cash Flows

On January 1, 2008, the Company elected the fair value option for its available-for-sale portfolio of mortgage-backed securities. Upon making this election, the Company elected to classify its cash flow activities from its trading securities as investing activities consistent with its intent on holding these securities.

#### Discontinued Operations

During the second quarter of 2007, the Company closed OITRS' wholesale and conduit mortgage loan origination channels and sold substantially all of the operating assets of OITRS. The remaining assets and liabilities are considered to be contingent and remain pursuant to the terms of disposal of the operations with the exception of mortgage loans held for sale and retained interests for which the disposals of such has been delayed as a result of the current economic climate, however are still being actively marketed by the Company. Accordingly, all current and prior financial information related to OITRS and the mortgage banking business has been presented as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Refer to Note 14 - Discontinued Operations.

---

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less. The carrying amount of cash equivalents approximates its fair value at December 31, 2008 and 2007. Restricted cash represents cash held on deposit as collateral with certain repurchase agreement counterparties (i.e. lenders). Such amounts may be used to make principal and interest payments on the related repurchase agreements.

The Company maintains cash balances at several banks. Accounts at each institution are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$250,000. At December 31, 2008, uninsured deposits were approximately \$7.2 million.

### Mortgage-Backed Securities

The Company invests primarily in mortgage pass-through certificates, collateralized mortgage obligations, and interest only securities or inverse interest only securities representing interest in or obligations backed by pools of mortgage loans (collectively, "Mortgage-Backed Securities" or "MBS"). MBS transactions are recorded on the trade date. Realized gains and losses on sale of MBS are determined based on the specific identified cost of the security.

The fair value of the Company's investments in MBS is governed by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. The definition of fair value in SFAS No. 157 focuses on the price that would be received to sell the asset or paid to transfer the liability (i.e., an exit price), rather than the price that would be paid to acquire the asset or received to assume the liability (i.e., an entry price). Estimated fair values for MBS are based on the average of third-party broker quotes received and/or independent pricing sources when available.

In accordance with SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, the Company classifies its investments in MBS as either trading, available-for-sale or held-to-maturity. The Company classified all of its securities acquired prior to June 30, 2007 as available-for-sale. All securities acquired after June 30, 2007 are classified as trading. On January 1, 2008, in connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities-Including an amendment of FASB Statement 115, the Company transferred its remaining available-for-sale securities to trading and accordingly, recognized a \$1.7 million fair value adjustment. All MBS securities held by Bimini Capital are reflected in the Company's financial statements at their estimated fair value at December 31, 2008.

The Company's investments in mortgage-related derivatives are carried at fair value on the balance sheet and are included with mortgage-backed securities.

Income on MBS pass through securities classified as held for trading is based on the stated interest rate of the security. Premium or discount present at the date of purchase is not amortized. For interest only securities classified as held for trading, the income is accrued based on the carrying value and the effective yield. As cash is received it is first applied to accrued interest and then to reduce the carrying value. At each reporting date, the effective yield is adjusted prospectively from the reporting period based on the new estimate of prepayments. The new effective yield is calculated based on the carrying value at the end of the previous reporting period, the new prepayment estimates and the contractual terms of the security. For inverse interest only securities effective yield and income recognition calculations also take into account the index value applicable to the security. Owing to the fact realized cash flows for inverse interest only securities are driven by both prepayments and the index value, yield calculations have to take into account the impact of the index value on future cash flows. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force 99-20 ("EITF 99-20"), effective yield is derived from projected future cash flows. Changes in fair value during the period are recorded in earnings and reported as fair value adjustment-held for trading securities in the accompanying

consolidated statement of operations.

Interest income for securities classified as available for sale were accrued based on the outstanding principal amount of the MBS and their stated contractual terms. Premiums and discounts associated with the purchase of the MBS are amortized into interest income over the projected lives of the securities using the effective interest method. Adjustments are made using the retrospective method to the effective interest computation each reporting period. The adjustment is based on the actual prepayment experiences to date and the present expectation of future prepayments of the underlying mortgages and/or the current value of the indices underlying adjustable rate mortgage securities versus index values in effect at the time of purchase or the last adjustment period.

When the fair value of an available-for-sale security is less than amortized cost, management considers whether there is an other-than-temporary impairment in the value of the security. The decision is based on the credit quality of the issue (agency versus non-agency and for non-agency, the credit performance of the underlying collateral), the security prepayment speeds, the length of time the security has been in an unrealized loss position and the Company's ability and intent to hold securities. Due to liquidity and working capital needs, the Company determined, at June 30, 2007, that it no longer had the ability to hold such assets until their amortized cost could be fully recovered. Accordingly, the cost basis of all MBS classified as available-for-sale were written down to fair value as of that date and the previously unrealized loss was recognized in earnings as of June 30, 2007. The measurement basis for other-than-temporarily impaired (OTTI) MBS is the lower of cost or market (LOCOM) method. An impairment loss is recognized in earnings equal to the difference between the MBS' cost and its fair value at the balance sheet date of the reporting period for which the assessment is made. The LOCOM method generally recognizes the net decrease in value but not the net appreciation in the value of those securities. The fair value of impaired MBS then becomes the new cost basis of the MBS and is not adjusted for subsequent recoveries in fair value.

#### Financial Instruments

SFAS No. 107, Disclosure About Fair Value of Financial Instruments, requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value. Mortgage backed securities are accounted for at fair value in the consolidated balance sheet. The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value for these instruments are presented in Note 12 of the financial statements.

The estimated fair value of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, principal payments receivable, accrued interest receivable, repurchase agreements, unsettled security transactions, accrued interest payable and accounts payable and other liabilities generally approximates their carrying value as of December 31, 2008 due to the short term nature of these financial instruments.

It is impracticable to estimate the fair value of the Company's junior subordinated notes. Currently, there is a limited market for these types of instruments and it is unclear what interest rates would be available to the Company. Information regarding carrying amounts, effective interest rates and maturity dates for these instruments is presented in the Note 6 to the financial statements.

#### Property and Equipment, net

Property and equipment, net, consisting primarily of computer equipment with a depreciable life of 3 years, office furniture with a depreciable life of 8 to 20 years, leasehold improvements with a depreciable life of 15 years, land which has no depreciable life and building with a depreciable life of 30 years, is recorded at acquisition cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

#### Repurchase Agreements

The Company finances the acquisition of the majority of its MBS through the use of repurchase agreements. Repurchase agreements are treated as collateralized financing transactions and are carried at their contractual amounts, including accrued interest, as specified in the respective agreements. Although structured as a sale and repurchase obligation, a repurchase agreement operates as a financing under which securities are pledged as collateral to secure a short-term loan equal in value to a specified percentage (generally between 93 and 97 percent) of the market value of the pledged collateral. While used as collateral, the borrower retains beneficial ownership of the pledged collateral, including the right to distributions. At the maturity of a repurchase agreement, the borrower is required to repay the loan and concurrently receive the pledged collateral from the lender or, with the consent of the lender, renew such agreement at the then prevailing financing rate.

#### Stock-Based Compensation

The Company follows the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment to account for stock and stock-based awards. For stock and stock-based awards issued to employees, a compensation charge is recorded against earnings based on the fair value of the award. For transactions with non-employees in which services are performed in exchange for the Company's common stock or other equity instruments, the transactions are recorded on the basis of the fair value of the service received or the fair value of the equity instruments issued, whichever is more readily measurable at the date of issuance. The Company's stock-based compensation transactions resulted in an aggregate of approximately \$885,000 and \$2.9 million of compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

#### Earnings Per Share

The Company follows the provisions of SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share, and the guidance provided in the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 03-6, Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128, Earnings Per Share, which requires companies with complex capital structures, common stock equivalents or two (or more) classes of securities that participate in the declared dividends to present both basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS") on the face of the consolidated statement of operations. Basic EPS is calculated as income available to common stockholders divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is calculated using the "if converted" method for common stock equivalents. However, the common stock equivalents are not included in computing diluted EPS if the result is anti-dilutive.

Outstanding shares of Class B Common Stock, participating and convertible into Class A Common Stock, are entitled to receive dividends in an amount equal to the dividends declared on each share of Class A Common Stock if, as and when authorized and declared by the Board of Directors. Following the provisions of EITF 03-6, shares of the Class B Common Stock are included in the computation of basic EPS using the two-class method and, consequently, are presented separately from Class A Common Stock.

The shares of Class C Common Stock are not included in the basic EPS computation as these shares do not have participation rights. The outstanding shares of Class C Common Stock, totaling 319,388 shares, are not included in the computation of diluted EPS for the Class A Common Stock as the conditions for conversion into shares of Class A Common Stock were not met.

#### Income Taxes

Bimini Capital has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code. As further described in Note 14, Discontinued Operations, OITRS is a taxpaying entity for income tax purposes and is taxed separately from Bimini Capital. Bimini Capital will generally not be subject to federal income tax on its REIT taxable income to the extent that Bimini Capital distributes its REIT taxable income to its stockholders and satisfies the ongoing REIT requirements, including meeting certain asset, income and stock ownership tests. A REIT must generally distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders, of which 85% generally must be distributed within the taxable year, in order to

avoid the imposition of an excise tax. The remaining balance may be distributed up to the end of the following taxable year, provided the REIT elects to treat such amount as a prior year distribution and meets certain other requirements.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 132(R)-1, Employers Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets. This FSP amends Statement 132(R) to provide guidance on an employer's disclosures about plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan, addressing users' concerns about the lack of transparency surrounding the types of plan assets and associated risks in an employer's defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan and current events in the economy and markets that could have a significant impact on the value of plan assets. This FSP is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. The Company does not maintain a postretirement benefit plan; therefore, the adoption of FSP FAS 132(R)-1 is not expected to have any impact.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 140-4 and FIN 46(R)-8, Disclosures by Public entities (Enterprises) about Transfers of Financial assets and Interest in Variable Interest Entities. This FASB Staff Position (FSP) amends FASB Statement No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, to require public entities to provide additional disclosures about transfers of financial assets. It also amends FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, to require public enterprises, including sponsors that have a variable interest in a variable interest entity, to provide additional disclosures about their involvement with variable interest entities. Additionally, this FSP requires certain disclosures to be provided by a public enterprise that is (a) a sponsor of a qualifying special purpose entity (SPE) that holds a variable interest in the qualifying SPE but was not the transferor (nontransferor) of financial assets to the qualifying SPE and (b) a servicer of a qualifying SPE that holds a significant variable interest in the qualifying SPE but was not the transferor (nontransferor) of financial assets to the qualifying SPE. The disclosures required by this FSP are intended to provide greater transparency to financial statement users about a transferor's continuing involvement with transferred financial assets and an enterprise's involvement with variable interest entities and qualifying SPEs. This FSP shall be effective for the first reporting period (interim or annual) ending after December 15, 2008, with earlier application encouraged. This FSP shall apply for each annual and interim reporting period thereafter. The adoption of FSP FAS 140-4 and FIN 46(R) -8 does not impact the Company.

In October 2008, FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) 157-3, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active ("FSP 157-3"), in response to the deterioration of the credit markets. This FSP provides guidance clarifying how SFAS 157 should be applied when valuing securities in markets that are not active. The guidance provides an illustrative example that applies the objectives and framework of SFAS 157, utilizing management's internal cash flow and discount rate assumptions when relevant observable data does not exist. It further clarifies how observable market information and market quotes should be considered when measuring fair value in an inactive market. It reaffirms the notion of fair value as an exit price as of the measurement date and that fair value analysis is a transactional process and should not be broadly applied to a group of assets. FSP 157-3 is effective upon issuance including prior periods for which financial statements have not been issued. FSP 157-3 does not have a material effect on the fair value of the Company's assets.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities. The FSP addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share (EPS) under the two-class method. The FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) in Issue No. 03-6, Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128, previously reached a consensus that, share-based payment awards containing a right to receive dividends declared on common stock represent participating securities if such awards are fully vested. Issue No. 03-6 does not, however, provide guidance on share-based payment awards that are not fully vested (i.e., the requisite service for vesting has not yet been rendered). The FSP has been issued to clarify that unvested instruments granted in share-based payment

transactions containing non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) represent participating securities that should be included in the computation of EPS according to the two-class method. This FSP shall be effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years. Early application is not permitted. The Company has not issued share-based awards containing non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents; therefore, the adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1 is not expected to have any impact.

In March 2008, the FASB issued statement No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 ("SFAS 161"). This statement revises the requirements for the disclosure of derivative instruments and hedging activities that include the reasons a company uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted under SFAS 133 and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect a company's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 will be effective in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 161 and does not anticipate a material effect.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 140-3, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets and Repurchase Financing Transactions. The FSP addresses whether there are circumstances that would permit a transferor and a transferee to evaluate the accounting for the transfer of a financial asset separately from a repurchase financing when the counterparties to the two transactions are the same. The FSP presumes that the initial transfer of a financial asset and a repurchase financing are considered part of the same arrangement (a linked transaction) under FASB Statement No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities (Statement 140). However, if certain criteria specified in the FSP are met, the initial transfer and repurchase financing may be evaluated separately under Statement 140. The FSP is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating FSP FAS 140-3 but does not expect its application to have a significant impact on its financial reporting.

In December 2007, the FASB issued statement No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements ("SFAS 160"), amendment to ARB No. 51. This standard establishes accounting and reporting standards that require: (1) the ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent be clearly identified, labeled, and presented in the consolidated statement of financial position within equity, but separate from the parent's equity; (2) the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of income; (3) changes in a parent's ownership interest while the parent retains its controlling financial interest in its subsidiary be accounted for consistently; (4) when a subsidiary is deconsolidated, any retained noncontrolling equity investment in the former subsidiary be initially measured at fair value; and (4) entities provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. SFAS 160 is effective as of the beginning of the fiscal year that begins on or after December 15, 2008. The Company currently reports minority interests as a liability on the balance sheet and a separate line item on the income statement. Management is currently evaluating the effects, if any, that SFAS 160 will have upon adoption of this standard.

In June 2007, the FASB ratified the consensus reached in EITF 06-11, Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards. EITF 06-11 applies to entities that have share-based payment arrangements that entitle employees to receive dividends or dividend equivalents on equity-classified nonvested shares when those dividends or dividend equivalents are charged to retained earnings and result in an income tax deduction. Entities that have share-based payment arrangements that fall within the scope of EITF 06-11 will be required to increase capital surplus for any realized income tax benefit associated with dividends or dividend equivalents paid to employees for equity classified nonvested equity awards. Any increase recorded to capital surplus is required to be included in an entity's pool of excess tax benefits that are available to absorb potential future tax deficiencies on share-based payment awards. The Corporation adopted EITF 06-11 on January 1, 2008 for dividends declared on share-based payment awards subsequent to this date. The impact of adoption did not have a material impact on financial condition or results of operations.



In February 2007, the FASB issued statement No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (“SFAS 159”). This standard permits an entity to measure financial instruments and certain other items at estimated fair value. Most of the provisions of SFAS No. 159 are elective; however, the amendment to SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, applies to all entities that own trading and available-for-sale securities. The fair value option created by SFAS 159 permits an entity to measure eligible items at fair value as of specified election dates. The fair value option is generally applied instrument by instrument, is irrevocable unless a new election date occurs, and must be applied to the entire instrument and not to only a portion of the instrument. SFAS 159 is effective as of the beginning of the first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. On January 1, 2008, the Company elected the fair value option for its available-for-sale portfolio of mortgage-backed securities. Previously, these securities were considered to be other than temporarily impaired and carried at lower-of-cost or market. The election was made because the Company has the same intent on its use for all of its security holdings. The securities transferred have similar characteristics to the Company’s existing trading portfolio, including issuer, credit quality, yield, duration and remaining term. As of the adoption date, the carrying value of the existing mortgage-backed securities classified as available-for-sale was adjusted to fair value through a cumulative-effect adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings as of January 1, 2008. This adjustment represented an increase in the carrying value of the securities of approximately \$1.7 million.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, to eliminate the diversity in practice that exists due to the different definitions of fair value that are dispersed among the many accounting pronouncements that require fair value measurements, and the limited guidance for applying those definitions. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company adopted SFAS 157 on January 1, 2008, and the adoption did not have a material impact on financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (“FIN 48”), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. This Interpretation requires that the Company recognize in its financial statements, the impact of a tax position, if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective as of the beginning of the 2007 fiscal year, with the cumulative effect, if any, of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. The Company adopted FIN 48 on January 1, 2007, and such adoption did not have any impact on the Company’s consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments — an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140. SFAS 155 (i) permits an entity to measure at fair value any financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation; (ii) establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation; and (iii) contains other provisions that are not relevant to the Company. SFAS 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity’s first fiscal year beginning after September 15, 2006. A scope exception under SFAS 155 where by securitized interests that only contain an embedded derivative that is tied to the prepayment risk of the underlying prepayable financial asset, and for which the investor does not control the right to accelerate the settlement was adopted by the FASB. The MBS securities owned in the REIT portfolio fall under this scope exception. However, in the future, the Company may own securities that may not fall under the exception or the FASB may repeal the exception, in which case the Company would be subject to the provisions of SFAS 155. Should securities owned by the Company fall under the provisions of SFAS 155 in the future, the Company’s results of operations may exhibit volatility as certain of its future investments may be marked to market through the income statement.



## NOTE 2. MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES

On January 1, 2008, the entire available-for-sale securities portfolio was transferred to trading in conjunction with the Company's comprehensive review of its balance sheet management strategies and adoption of SFAS No. 159. Accordingly, fluctuations in the portfolio's fair value are recorded directly to income effective January 1, 2008. As of December 31, 2007, all of Bimini Capital's MBS were classified as either trading or available-for-sale.

The following are the carrying values of Bimini Capital's MBS portfolio at December 31, 2008 and 2007:

(in thousands)

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Pass-Through Certificates:		
Hybrid Arms and Balloons	\$ 63,068	\$ 398,982
Adjustable Rate Mortgages	70,632	177,608
Fixed Rate Mortgages	24,884	113,989
Total Pass-Through Certificates	158,584	690,579
Mortgage Derivative Certificates:		
MBS Derivatives	13,524	-
Totals	\$ 172,108	\$ 690,579

The following table presents the components of the carrying value of Bimini Capital's MBS portfolio of securities as of December 31, 2008 and 2007:

(in thousands)

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Available-for-Sale Securities		
Principal balance	\$ -	\$ 291,579
Unamortized premium	-	3,134
Unaccreted discount	-	(309)
Held for Trading Securities		
Principal balance	156,852	385,849
Premium	1,732	10,326
Discount	-	-
Trading Securities – MBS Derivatives	13,524	-
Carrying value/estimated fair value	\$ 172,108	\$ 690,579

As of December 31, 2008 all of Bimini Capital's MBS investments have contractual maturities greater than 24 months. Actual maturities of MBS investments are generally shorter than stated contractual maturities. Actual maturities of Bimini Capital's MBS investments are affected by the contractual lives of the underlying mortgages, periodic payments of principal, and prepayments of principal.

The overall decline in fair value of MBS was considered other-than-temporary as of June 30, 2007. Accordingly, the adjustment of \$55.3 million to reduce MBS to fair value was recorded in earnings. Generally, the factors considered in making this determination included: the expected cash flow from the MBS investment, the general quality of the MBS owned, any credit protection available, current market conditions, the magnitude and duration of the historical decline in market prices, and Bimini Capital's ability and intention to hold the MBS owned. As of June 30, 2007, the

Company no longer had the ability and intent to hold such securities until their value could be recovered due to the Company's liquidity and working capital requirements.

---

## NOTE 3. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

The composition of property and equipment follows:

(in thousands)

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Land	\$ 2,247	\$ 2,247
Buildings and leasehold improvements	1,816	1,816
Equipment	402	392
Furniture	64	71
Software	43	45
	4,572	4,571
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	510	389
Net property and equipment	\$ 4,062	\$ 4,182

Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment totaled \$127,000 in 2008 and \$194,000 in 2007.

## NOTE 4. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The Company follows the provisions of SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share, and the guidance provided in the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 03-6, Participating Securities and the two-class method under FASB Statement No. 128, Earnings Per Share, which requires companies with complex capital structures, common stock equivalents, or two classes of participating securities to present both basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS") on the face of the statement of operations. Basic EPS is calculated as income available to common stockholders divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is calculated using the "if converted" method for common stock equivalents.

Shares of Class B Common Stock, participating and convertible into Class A Common Stock, are entitled to receive dividends in an amount equal to the dividends declared on each share of Class A Common Stock if, as and when authorized and declared by the Board of Directors. Following the provisions of EITF 03-6, the Class B Common Stock is included in the computation of basic EPS using the two-class method, and consequently is presented separately from Class A Common Stock. Class B common shares are not included in the computation of diluted Class A EPS as the conditions for conversion to Class A shares were not met.

The Class C common shares are not included in the basic EPS computation as these shares do not have participation rights. The Class C common shares totaling 319,388 are not included in the computation of diluted Class A EPS as the conditions for conversion to Class A shares were not met.

The Company has dividend eligible stock incentive plan shares that were outstanding during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. These stock incentive plan shares have dividend participation rights, but no contractual obligation to share in losses. Since there is no such obligation, these incentive plan shares are not included, pursuant to EITF 03-6, in the basic EPS computations for the Class A Common Stock, even though they are participating securities. For the computation of diluted EPS for the Class A Common Stock for the periods ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, 21,534 and 126,873 stock incentive plan shares, respectively, are excluded as their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.



The table below reconciles the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted EPS.

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
Basic and diluted EPS per Class A common share:		
Numerator: net loss allocated to the Class A common shares	\$ (55,698)	\$ (244,512)
Denominator: basic and diluted:		
Class A common shares outstanding at the balance sheet date	26,207	24,861
Effect of weighting	(933)	(205)
Weighted average shares-basic and diluted	25,274	24,656
Basic and diluted EPS per Class A common share	\$ (2.20)	\$ (9.92)
Basic and diluted EPS per Class B common share:		
Numerator: net loss allocated to Class B common shares	\$ (679)	\$ (3,141)
Denominator: basic and diluted:		
Class B common shares outstanding at the balance sheet date	319	319
Effect of weighting	-	-
Weighted average shares-basic and diluted	319	319
Basic and diluted EPS per Class B common share	\$ (2.12)	\$ (9.84)

#### NOTE 5. REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS

As of December 31, 2008, Bimini Capital had outstanding repurchase obligations of approximately \$148.7 million with a net weighted average borrowing rate of 1.89% and these agreements were collateralized by MBS with a fair value of approximately \$159.1 million. As of December 31, 2007, Bimini Capital had outstanding repurchase obligations of approximately \$678.2 million with a net weighted average borrowing rate of 5.07%, and these agreements were collateralized by MBS with a fair value of approximately \$683.9 million.

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, Bimini Capital's repurchase agreements had remaining maturities as summarized below:

(in thousands)

	OVERNIGHT (1 DAY OR LESS)	BETWEEN 2 AND 30 DAYS	BETWEEN 31 AND 90 DAYS	GREATER THAN 90 DAYS	TOTAL
December 31, 2008					
Agency-Backed Mortgage--Backed Securities:					
Amortized cost of securities sold, including accrued interest receivable	\$ -	\$ 159,130	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 159,130
Fair market value of securities sold, including accrued interest receivable	\$ -	\$ 159,130	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 159,130
Repurchase agreement liabilities associated with these securities	\$ -	\$ 148,695	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 148,695
Net weighted average borrowing rate	-	1.89%	-	-	1.89%

December 31, 2007

## Agency-Backed Mortgage-Backed Securities:

Amortized cost of securities sold, including accrued interest receivable	\$	-	\$ 249,124	\$ 37,559	\$ 397,260	\$ 683,943
Fair market value of securities sold, including accrued interest receivable	\$	-	\$ 249,124	\$ 37,559	\$ 397,260	\$ 683,943
Repurchase agreement liabilities associated with these securities	\$	-	\$ 244,379	\$ 35,577	\$ 396,222	\$ 678,178
Net weighted average borrowing rate		-	5.21%	5.34%	4.96%	5.07%

The following summarizes information regarding the Company's amounts at risk with individual counterparties greater than 10% of the Company's equity at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

(in thousands)

Repurchase Agreement Counterparties	Amount at Risk(1)	Weighted Average Maturity of Repurchase Agreements in Days
December 31, 2008		
MF Global, Inc.	\$ 10,270	11
December 31, 2007		
Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.	\$ 8,823	193
Goldman Sachs & Co.	2,931	19

(1) Equal to the fair value of securities sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities, plus accrued interest expense.

## NOTE 6. TRUST PREFERRED SECURITIES

As of December 31, 2008, Bimini Capital sponsored two statutory trusts, of which 100% of the common equity is owned by the Company, formed for the purpose of issuing trust preferred capital securities to third-party investors and investing the proceeds from the sale of such capital securities solely in junior subordinated debt securities of the Company. The debt securities held by each trust are the sole assets of that trust. Obligations related to these statutory trusts are presented below.

(In thousands)

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Junior subordinated notes owed to Bimini Capital Trust I (BCTI)	\$ 51,550	\$ 51,550
Junior subordinated notes owed to Bimini Capital Trust II (BCTII)	\$ 51,547	\$ 51,547

The BCTI trust preferred securities and Bimini Capital's BCTI Junior Subordinated Notes have a fixed rate of interest until March 30, 2010, of 7.61% and thereafter, through maturity in 2035, the rate will float at a spread of 3.30% over the prevailing three-month LIBOR rate. The BCTI trust preferred securities and Bimini Capital's BCTI Junior Subordinated Notes require quarterly interest distributions and are redeemable at Bimini Capital's option, in whole or in part and without penalty, beginning March 30, 2010 and at any date thereafter. Bimini Capital's BCTI Junior Subordinated Notes are subordinate and junior in right of payment of all present and future senior indebtedness.



The BCTII trust preferred securities and Bimini Capital's BCTII Junior Subordinated Notes have a fixed rate of interest until December 15, 2010, of 7.8575% and thereafter, through maturity in 2035, the rate will float at a spread of 3.50% over the prevailing three-month LIBOR rate. The BCTII trust preferred securities and Bimini Capital's BCTII Junior Subordinated Notes require quarterly interest distributions and are redeemable at Bimini Capital's option, in whole or in part and without penalty, beginning December 15, 2010, and at any date thereafter. Bimini Capital's BCTII Junior Subordinated Notes are subordinate and junior in right of payment of all present and future senior indebtedness.

Each trust is a variable interest entity pursuant to FIN No. 46 because the holders of the equity investment at risk do not have adequate decision making ability over the trust's activities. Since Bimini Capital's investment in each trust's common equity securities was financed directly by the applicable trust as a result of its loan of the proceeds to Bimini Capital, that investment is not considered to be an equity investment at risk pursuant to FIN No. 46. Since Bimini Capital's common share investments in BCTI and BCTII are not a variable interest, Bimini Capital is not the primary beneficiary of the trusts. Therefore, Bimini Capital has not consolidated the financial statements of BCTI and BCTII into its financial statements. Based on the aforementioned accounting guidance, the accompanying consolidated financial statements present Bimini Capital's BCTI and BCTII Junior Subordinated Notes issued to the trusts as liabilities and Bimini Capital's investments in the common equity securities of BCTI and BCTII as assets. For financial statement purposes, Bimini Capital records payments of interest on the Junior Subordinated Notes issued to BCTI and BCTII as interest expense.

---

## NOTE 7. CAPITAL STOCK

### Authorized Shares

The total number of shares of capital stock which the Company has the authority to issue is 110,000,000 shares, consisting of 100,000,000 shares of common stock having a par value of \$0.001 per share and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock having a par value of \$0.001 per share. The Board of Directors has the authority to classify any unissued shares by setting or changing in any one or more respects the preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends, qualifications or terms or conditions of redemption of such shares.

### Common Stock

Of the 100,000,000 authorized shares of common stock, 98,000,000 shares were designated as Class A Common Stock, 1,000,000 shares were designated as Class B Common Stock and 1,000,000 shares were designated as Class C Common Stock. Holders of shares of common stock have no sinking fund or redemption rights and have no preemptive rights to subscribe for any of the Company's securities.

### Class A Common Stock

Each outstanding share of Class A Common Stock entitles the holder to one vote on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders, including the election of directors. Holders of shares of Class A Common Stock are not entitled to cumulate their votes in the election of directors.

Subject to the preferential rights of any other class or series of stock and to the provisions of the Company's charter, as amended, regarding the restrictions on transfer of stock, holders of shares of Class A Common Stock are entitled to receive dividends on such stock if, as and when authorized and declared by the Board of Directors.

### Class B Common Stock

Each outstanding share of Class B Common Stock entitles the holder to one vote on all matters submitted to a vote of common stockholders, including the election of directors. Holders of shares of Class B Common Stock are not entitled to cumulate their votes in the election of directors. Holders of shares of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock shall vote together as one class in all matters except that any matters which would adversely affect the rights and preferences of Class B Common Stock as a separate class shall require a separate approval by holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Class B Common Stock. Holders of shares of Class B Common Stock are entitled to receive dividends on each share of Class B Common Stock in an amount equal to the dividends declared on each share of Class A Common Stock if, as and when authorized and declared by the Board of Directors.

Each share of Class B Common Stock shall automatically be converted into one share of Class A Common Stock on the first day of the fiscal quarter following the fiscal quarter during which the Company's Board of Directors were notified that, as of the end of such fiscal quarter, the stockholders' equity attributable to the Class A Common Stock, calculated on a pro forma basis as if conversion of the Class B Common Stock (or portion thereof to be converted) had occurred, and otherwise determined in accordance with GAAP, equals no less than \$15.00 per share (adjusted equitably for any stock splits, stock combinations, stock dividends or the like); provided, that the number of shares of Class B Common Stock to be converted into Class A Common Stock in any quarter shall not exceed an amount that will cause the stockholders' equity attributable to the Class A Common Stock calculated as set forth above to be less than \$15.00 per share; provided further, that such conversions shall continue to occur until all shares of Class B Common Stock have been converted into shares of Class A Common Stock; and provided further, that the total

number of shares of Class A Common Stock issuable upon conversion of the Class B Common Stock shall not exceed 3% of the total shares of common stock outstanding prior to completion of an initial public offering of Bimini Capital's Class A Common Stock.

#### Class C Common Stock

No dividends will be paid on the Class C Common Stock. Holders of shares of Class C Common Stock are not entitled to vote on any matter submitted to a vote of stockholders, including the election of directors, except that any matters that would adversely affect the rights and privileges of the Class C Common Stock as a separate class shall require the approval of a majority of the Class C Common Stock.

Each share of Class C Common Stock shall automatically be converted into one share of Class A Common Stock on the first day of the fiscal quarter following the fiscal quarter during which the Company's Board of Directors were notified that, as of the end of such fiscal quarter, the stockholders' equity attributable to the Class A Common Stock, calculated on a pro forma basis as if conversion of the Class C Common Stock had occurred and giving effect to the conversion of all of the shares of Class B Common Stock as of such date, and otherwise determined in accordance with GAAP, equals no less than \$15.00 per share (adjusted equitably for any stock splits, stock combinations, stock dividends or the like); provided, that the number of shares of Class C Common Stock to be converted into Class A Common Stock shall not exceed an amount that will cause the stockholders' equity attributable to the Class A Common Stock calculated as set forth above to be less than \$15.00 per share; and provided further, that such conversions shall continue to occur until all shares of Class C Common Stock have been converted into shares of Class A Common Stock and provided further, that the total number of shares of Class A Common Stock issuable upon conversion of the Class C Common Stock shall not exceed 3% of the total shares of common stock outstanding prior to completion of an initial public offering of Bimini Capital's Class A Common Stock.

#### Issuances of Common Stock

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company issued 120,804 and 263,533 shares, respectively, of its Class A Common Stock to Bimini employees pursuant to the terms of the stock incentive plan phantom share grants (see Note 8).

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company issued 1,224,815 and 82,154 shares, respectively, of its Class A Common Stock for payment of Director fees. In addition, the Company also paid its directors cash compensation of approximately \$114,950 and \$331,000 during 2008 and 2007, respectively.

There was no change in the number of issued and outstanding shares of the Company's Class B Common Stock and Class C Common Stock.

#### Dividends

On March 9, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors declared a \$0.05 per share cash dividend to the holders of its dividend eligible securities on the record date of March 26, 2007. Dividends were payable on 24,556,219 shares of Class A Common Stock, 477,290 phantom shares granted under the Company's stock incentive plan (see Note 8) and 319,388 shares of Class B Common Stock. The distribution totaling \$1,267,645 million was paid on April 13, 2007. There were no other dividends declared in 2007 or 2008.

#### Preferred Stock

#### General

The Company's Board of Directors has the authority to classify any unissued shares of preferred stock and to reclassify any previously classified but unissued shares of any series of preferred stock previously authorized by the Board of Directors. Prior to issuance of shares of each class or series of preferred stock, the Board of Directors is required by the Company's charter to fix the terms, preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends or other distributions, qualifications and terms or conditions of redemption for each such class or series.

#### Classified and Designated Shares

Pursuant to the Company's supplementary amendment of its charter, effective November 3, 2005, and by resolutions adopted on September 29, 2005, the Company's Board of Directors classified and designated 1,800,000 shares of the authorized but unissued preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, as Class A Redeemable Preferred Stock and 2,000,000 shares of the authorized but unissued preferred stock as Class B Redeemable Preferred Stock.

#### Class A Redeemable Preferred Stock and Class B Redeemable Preferred Stock

The Class A Redeemable Preferred Stock and Class B Redeemable Preferred Stock rank equal to each other and shall have the same preferences, rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends and other distributions, qualifications and terms; provided, however that the redemption provisions of the Class A Redeemable Preferred Stock and the Class B Redeemable Preferred Stock differ. Each outstanding share of Class A Redeemable Preferred Stock and Class B Redeemable Preferred Stock shall have one-fifth of a vote on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders (or such lesser fraction of a vote as would be required to comply with the rules and regulations of the NYSE relating to the Company's right to issue securities without obtaining a stockholder vote). Holders of shares of preferred stock shall vote together with holders of shares of common stock as one class in all matters that would be subject to a vote of stockholders.

The conversion of the outstanding shares of Class A Redeemable Preferred Stock into Class A Common Stock was approved by the Company's stockholders at the Company's 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on April 28, 2006, and the outstanding shares of Class A Redeemable Preferred Stock were converted into 1,223,208 shares of Class A Common Stock on that date. No shares of the Class B Redeemable Preferred Stock have ever been issued.

#### Ownership Limitations

Bimini's amended charter, subject to certain exceptions, contains certain restrictions on the number of shares of stock that a person may own. Bimini's amended charter contains a stock ownership limit that prohibits any person from acquiring or holding, directly or indirectly, applying attribution rules under the Code, shares of stock in excess of 9.8% of the total number or value of the outstanding shares of Bimini's common stock, whichever is more restrictive, or Bimini's stock in the aggregate. Bimini's amended charter further prohibits (i) any person from beneficially or constructively owning shares of Bimini's stock that would result in Bimini being "closely held" under Section 856(h) of the Code or otherwise cause Bimini to fail to qualify as a REIT, and (ii) any person from transferring shares of Bimini's stock if such transfer would result in shares of Bimini's stock being owned by fewer than 100 persons. Bimini's board of directors, in its sole discretion, may exempt a person from the stock ownership limit. However, Bimini's board of directors may not grant such an exemption to any person whose ownership, direct or indirect, of an excess of 9.8% of the number or value of the outstanding shares of Bimini's stock (whichever is more restrictive) would result in Bimini being "closely held" within the meaning of Section 856(h) of the Code or otherwise would result in failing to qualify as a REIT. The person seeking an exemption must represent to the satisfaction of Bimini's board of directors that it will not violate the aforementioned restriction. The person also must agree that any violation or attempted violation of any of the foregoing restrictions will result in the automatic transfer of the shares of stock causing such violation to the trust (as defined below). Bimini's board of directors may require a ruling from the IRS or an opinion of counsel, in either case in form and substance satisfactory to Bimini's board of directors in its sole discretion, to determine or ensure Bimini's qualification as a REIT.

On January 28, 2008, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted resolutions decreasing the maximum ownership limit with respect to the Company's outstanding shares of capital stock from 9.8% to 4.98%. Subject to limitations, the Board of Directors may from time to time increase or decrease the maximum ownership limit; provided, however, that any decrease may only be made prospectively as to subsequent stockholders (other than a decrease as a result of a retroactive change in existing law that would require a decrease to retain the Company's status as a real estate investment trust under the Internal Revenue Code, in which case such decrease shall be effective immediately).

Any person who acquires or attempts or intends to acquire beneficial or constructive ownership of shares of Bimini's stock that will or may violate any of the foregoing restrictions on transferability and ownership, or any person who would have owned shares of Bimini's stock that resulted in a transfer of shares to the trust in the manner described below, will be required to give notice immediately to Bimini and provide Bimini with such other information as Bimini may request in order to determine the effect of such transfer on the Company.

If any transfer of shares of Bimini's stock occurs which, if effective, would result in any person beneficially or constructively owning shares of Bimini's stock in excess or in violation of the above transfer or ownership limitations, then that number of shares of Bimini's stock the beneficial or constructive ownership of which otherwise would cause such person to violate such limitations (rounded to the nearest whole share) shall be automatically transferred to a trust for the exclusive benefit of one or more charitable beneficiaries, and the prohibited owner shall not acquire any rights in such shares. Such automatic transfer shall be deemed to be effective as of the close of business on the business day prior to the date of such violative transfer. Shares of stock held in the trust shall be issued and outstanding shares of Bimini's stock. The prohibited owner shall not benefit economically from ownership of any shares of stock held in the trust, shall have no rights to dividends and shall not possess any rights to vote or other rights attributable to the shares of stock held in the trust. The trustee of the trust shall have all voting rights and rights to dividends or other distributions with respect to shares of stock held in the trust, which rights shall be exercised for the exclusive benefit of the charitable beneficiary. Any dividend or other distribution paid prior to the discovery by Bimini that shares of stock have been transferred to the trustee shall be paid by the recipient of such dividend or distribution to the trustee upon demand, and any dividend or other distribution authorized but unpaid shall be paid when due to the trustee. Any dividend or distribution so paid to the trustee shall be held in trust for the charitable beneficiary. The prohibited owner shall have no voting rights with respect to shares of stock held in the trust and, subject to Maryland law, effective as of the date that such shares of stock have been transferred to the trust, the trustee shall have the authority (at the trustee's sole discretion) (i) to rescind as void any vote cast by a prohibited owner prior to the discovery by Bimini that such shares have been transferred to the trust, and (ii) to recast such vote in accordance with the desires of the trustee acting for the benefit of the charitable beneficiary. However, if Bimini has already taken irreversible corporate action, then the trustee shall not have the authority to rescind and recast such vote.

Within 20 days after receiving notice from Bimini that shares of Bimini's stock have been transferred to the trust, the trustee shall sell the shares of stock held in the trust to a person, whose ownership of the shares will not violate any of the ownership limitations set forth in Bimini's amended charter. Upon such sale, the interest of the charitable beneficiary in the shares sold shall terminate and the trustee shall distribute the net proceeds of the sale to the prohibited owner and to the charitable beneficiary as follows. The prohibited owner shall receive the lesser of (i) the price paid by the prohibited owner for the shares or, if the prohibited owner did not give value for the shares in connection with the event causing the shares to be held in the trust (e.g., a gift, devise or other such transaction), the market price, as defined in Bimini's amended charter, of such shares on the day of the event causing the shares to be held in the trust and (ii) the price per share received by the trustee from the sale or other disposition of the shares held in the trust, in each case reduced by the costs incurred to enforce the ownership limits as to the shares in question. Any net sale proceeds in excess of the amount payable to the prohibited owner shall be paid immediately to the charitable beneficiary. If, prior to the discovery by Bimini that shares of Bimini's stock have been transferred to the trust, such shares are sold by a prohibited owner, then (i) such shares shall be deemed to have been sold on behalf of the trust and (ii) to the extent that the prohibited owner received an amount for such shares that exceeds the amount that such prohibited owner was entitled to receive pursuant to the aforementioned requirement, such excess shall be paid to the

trustee upon demand.

Pursuant to a letter dated November 2, 2006 from the Company to Mr. Norden, the Alyssa Blake Norden Trust of 1993, the Michael Jared Norden Trust of 1993 and the Amy Suzanne Trust of 1993, and based on representations from such persons, the Company increased the ownership limit for the foregoing stockholders to ensure that they would be able to acquire and own the shares of Company Class A Common Stock and Class A Preferred issued to them in connection with the Company's acquisition of OITRS. The Company also agreed to monitor its outstanding share ownership, including the extent to which it repurchases its stock, and to use its best efforts to enable the foregoing stockholders to be able to acquire and own any additional Company shares issuable to them in connection with the Company's acquisition of OITRS, as well as any Company shares issuable to Mr. Norden pursuant to any present or future employment or other compensation agreement between the Company and Mr. Norden, in each case, with respect to the Company's ownership limits.

In addition, shares of Bimini's stock held in the trust shall be deemed to have been offered for sale to Bimini, or Bimini's designee, at a price per share equal to the lesser of (i) the price per share in the transaction that resulted in such transfer to the trust (or, in the case of a devise or gift, the market price at the time of such devise or gift) and (ii) the market price on the date Bimini, or Bimini's designee, accept such offer. Bimini shall have the right to accept such offer until the trustee has sold the shares of stock held in the trust. Upon such a sale to Bimini, the interest of the charitable beneficiary in the shares sold shall terminate and the trustee shall distribute the net proceeds of the sale to the prohibited owner.

All certificates representing shares of Bimini's common stock and preferred stock, if issued, will bear a legend referring to the restrictions described above.

Every record holder of 0.5% or more (or such other percentage as required by the Code and the related Treasury regulations) of all classes or series of Bimini's stock, including shares of Bimini's common stock on any dividend record date during each taxable year, within 30 days after the end of the taxable year, shall be required to give written notice to Bimini stating the name and address of such record holder, the number of shares of each class and series of Bimini's stock which the record holder beneficially owns and a description of the manner in which such shares are held. Each such record holder shall provide to Bimini such additional information as Bimini may request in order to determine the effect, if any, of such beneficial ownership on Bimini's qualification as a REIT and to ensure compliance with the stock ownership limits. In addition, each record holder shall upon demand be required to provide to Bimini such information as Bimini may reasonably request in order to determine Bimini's qualification as a REIT and to comply with the requirements of any taxing authority or governmental authority or to determine such compliance. Bimini may request such information after every sale, disposition or transfer of Bimini's common stock prior to the date a registration statement for such stock becomes effective.

These ownership limits could delay, defer or prevent a change in control or other transaction of Bimini that might involve a premium price for the Class A Common Stock or otherwise be in the best interest of the stockholders.

## NOTE 8. STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS

On December 18, 2003, Bimini Capital adopted the 2003 Long Term Incentive Compensation Plan (the “2003 Plan”) to provide Bimini with the flexibility to use stock options and other awards as part of an overall compensation package to provide a means of performance-based compensation to attract and retain qualified personnel. The 2003 Plan was amended and restated in March 2004. Key employees, directors and consultants are eligible to be granted stock options, restricted stock, phantom shares, dividend equivalent rights and other stock-based awards under the 2003 Plan. Subject to adjustment upon certain corporate transactions or events, a maximum of 4,000,000 shares of the Class A Common Stock (but not more than 10% of the Class A Common Stock outstanding on the date of grant) may be subject to stock options, shares of restricted stock, phantom shares and dividend equivalent rights under the 2003 Plan.

Phantom share awards represent a right to receive a share of Bimini's Class A Common Stock. These awards do not have an exercise price and are valued at the fair value of Bimini Capital's Class A Common Stock at the date of the grant. The grant date value is being amortized to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the respective award. The phantom shares vest, based on the employees' continuing employment, following a schedule as provided in the grant agreements, for periods through December 31, 2010. The Company recognizes compensation expense over the vesting period. Compensation expense recognized for phantom shares during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 was approximately, \$673,000 and \$2.7 million, respectively. Phantom share awards may or may not include dividend equivalent rights. Dividends paid on unsettled phantom shares are charged to retained earnings when declared.

A summary of phantom share activity during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 is presented below:

	Years Ended December 31,			
	2008		2007	
	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Nonvested at January 1	127,372	\$ 11.36	339,862	\$ 12.60
Granted	250,000	0.26	25,607	7.61
Vested	(138,747)	5.89	(221,547)	13.02
Forfeited	(106,256)	5.82	(16,550)	8.78
Nonvested at December 31	132,369	\$ 0.58	127,372	\$ 11.36

As of December 31, 2008, there was approximately \$75,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested phantom share awards. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 16.8 months.

Bimini Capital also has adopted the 2004 Performance Bonus Plan (the “Performance Bonus Plan”). The Performance Bonus Plan is an annual bonus plan that permits the issuance of the Company's Class A Common Stock in payment of stock-based awards made under the plan. No stock-based awards have been made, and no shares of the Company's stock have been issued, under the Performance Bonus Plan.

## NOTE 9.

## SAVINGS INCENTIVE PLAN

Bimini's employees have the option to participate in the Bimini Capital Management, Inc., 401K Plan (the “Plan”). Under the terms of the Plan, eligible employees can make tax-deferred 401(k) contributions, and at Bimini's sole discretion, Bimini can match the employees' contributions. For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, Bimini

made 401(k) matching contributions of approximately \$30,000 and \$56,000, respectively.

---



NOTE 10.

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Outstanding Litigation

The Company is involved in various lawsuits and claims, both actual and potential, including some that it has asserted against others, in which monetary and other damages are sought. These lawsuits and claims relate primarily to contractual disputes arising out of the ordinary course of the Company's business. The outcome of such lawsuits and claims is inherently unpredictable. However, management believes that, in the aggregate, the outcome of all lawsuits and claims involving the Company will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or liquidity; however, any such outcome may be material to the results of operations of any particular period in which costs, if any, are recognized.

On September 17, 2007, a complaint was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida by William Kornfeld against us, certain of our current and former officers and directors, Flagstone Securities, LLC and BB&T Capital Markets alleging various violations of the federal securities laws and seeking class action certification. On October 9, 2007, a complaint was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida by Richard and Linda Coy against us, certain of our current and former officers and directors, Flagstone Securities, LLC and BB&T Capital Markets alleging various violations of the federal securities laws and seeking class action certification. The cases have been consolidated, class certification has been granted, and lead plaintiffs' counsel has been appointed. We filed a motion to dismiss the case on December 22, 2008, and plaintiffs have filed a response in opposition. Our motion to dismiss is currently pending before the court. We believe the plaintiffs' claims in these actions are without merit and we intend to vigorously defend the cases.

Guarantees

Bimini Capital has guaranteed the obligations of OITRS and OITRS's wholly-owned subsidiary, HS Special Purpose, LLC, under their respective financing facilities with Citigroup described in Note 14. These guarantees will remain in effect so long as the applicable financing facilities remain in effect. If an Event of Default occurs under these financing facilities that are not cured or waived, Bimini Capital may be required to perform under its guarantees. There is no specific limitation on the maximum potential future payments under these guarantees. However, Bimini Capital's liability under these guarantees would be reduced in an amount equal to the amount by which the collateral securing such obligations exceeds the amounts outstanding under the applicable facilities.

NOTE 11. INCOME TAXES

REIT taxable income (loss), as generated by Bimini Capital's qualifying REIT activities, is computed in accordance with the Code, which is different from the Company's financial statement net income (loss) as computed in accordance with GAAP. Depending on the number and size of the various items or transactions being accounted for differently, the differences between Bimini Capital's REIT taxable income (loss) and the Company's financial statement net income (loss) can be substantial and each item can affect several years.

Year 2008

For the year ended December 31, 2008, Bimini Capital's REIT taxable loss was approximately \$4.1 million. During 2008, Bimini Capital's most significant items and transactions being accounted for differently for REIT tax purposes include: the loss from discontinued operations; interest on the MBS portfolio and on the inter-company loans with OITRS; equity plan stock awards; the accounting for debt issuance costs and depreciation of property and equipment;

and gains (losses) realized on certain MBS sales. The interest on the MBS portfolio is being recognized on a different accounting method for tax purposes. The debt issuance costs and the property and equipment are being amortized and depreciated over different useful lives for tax purposes. The future deduction of equity plan stock compensation against REIT taxable income is uncertain as to the amount, because the tax impact is measured at the fair value of the shares as of a future date, and this amount may be greater than or less than the financial statement expense already recognized by the Company.

As of December 31, 2008, the REIT has approximately \$72.3 million of tax capital loss carryforwards available to offset future tax capital gains. As of December 31, 2008 the REIT has tax net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$11.1 million that are immediately available to offset future REIT taxable income. The tax capital loss carryforwards begin to expire in 2012, and the tax net operating loss carryforwards begin to expire in 2027.

---

Year 2007

For the year ended December 31, 2007, Bimini Capital's REIT taxable loss was approximately \$7.0 million. The most significant differences between the financial statements and the REIT tax computations include: the loss from discontinued operations; the other-than-temporary loss on MBS recorded at June 30, 2007; interest on inter-company loans with OITRS; equity plan stock awards; depreciation of property and equipment; the accounting for debt issuance costs; and losses realized on certain MBS sales.

The other-than-temporary loss on MBS is not recognized for tax purposes, as it does not represent an actual sale of any MBS securities by Bimini Capital. For tax purposes, the gain or loss on MBS sales are recognized only when the actual sale transaction is completed. During the year ended December 31, 2007, book losses of approximately \$17.3 million on MBS sales were realized; the tax capital losses for these MBS sales are only available to the REIT to offset future realized capital gains, and therefore they do not reduce REIT taxable income. The debt issuance costs are being amortized, and property and equipment are being depreciated, over different useful lives for tax purposes. The future deduction of equity plan stock compensation against REIT taxable income is uncertain both as to the year and as to the amount, because the tax impact is measured at the fair value of the shares as of a future date.

#### NOTE 12. FAIR VALUE

In connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 159, Bimini Capital elected to transfer its available-for-sale portfolio of MBS to trading. The election was made by the Company to provide consistent accounting treatment for all of its MBS investments since their intent on the purchase of all of its security holdings are consistent. The securities transferred have similar characteristics to the Company's existing trading portfolio, including issuer, credit quality, yield, duration and remaining term.

The securities transferred were previously considered to be other than temporarily impaired and carried at lower-of-cost-or-market. As such, decreases in fair value were charged directly to earnings, while increases in fair value were not recorded. As a result of electing to record these securities at fair value pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 159, the Company recorded the following adjustment to beginning accumulated deficit:

(in thousands)

Balance at January 1, 2008 (after adoption)	\$ 296,118
Balance at December 31, 2007 (prior to adoption)	(294,404)
Cumulative effect of adopting the fair value option	\$ 1,714

The Company measures or monitors all of its MBS on a fair value basis. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for its mortgage-backed securities, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset. When possible, the Company looks to active and observable markets to price identical assets. When identical assets are not traded in active markets, the Company looks to market observable data for similar assets. Nevertheless, certain assets are not actively traded in observable markets and the Company must use alternative valuation techniques to derive a fair value measurement.

All of the fair value adjustments included in losses from continuing operations resulted from Level 2 fair value methodologies; that is, the Company is able to value the assets based on observable market data for similar instruments. The securities in the Company's trading portfolio are priced via independent providers, whether those are pricing services or quotations from market-makers in the specific instruments. In obtaining such valuation information from third parties, the Company has evaluated the valuation methodologies used to develop the fair values in order to

determine whether such valuations are representative of an exit price in the Company's principal markets.

Fair value is used to measure the trading portfolio on a recurring basis. The fair value as of December 31, 2008 is determined as follows:

(in thousands)

Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2008, Using	
Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	\$ -
Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	172,108
Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	-
Total Fair Value Measurements	\$ 172,108

#### NOTE 13. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On March 25, 2009 the Company entered into an agreement with Taberna Capital Management, LLC (Taberna), the collateral manager of certain collateralized debt obligations issued in 2005 and collateralized by, among other securities, the trust preferred capital securities sold by Bimini Capital Trust I (BCT I) in May of 2005. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, the obligations under the trust preferred capital securities issued by BCT I will be discharged and the securities redeemed. Concurrently, Bimini Capital expects to redeem \$50 million of its junior subordinated notes issued to BCT I and anticipates recognizing a gain of approximately \$32 million on the early extinguishment of this debt.

#### NOTE 14. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

##### OITRS

Beginning in April 2007, the Board of Managers of OITRS, at the recommendation of and with the approval of the Board of Directors of Bimini Capital, began a process that would eventually result in the sale or closure of all business operations within OITRS. The decision to sell and/or close OITRS was made after evaluation of, among other things, short and long-term business prospects for OITRS, and its ability to recover from recent large operating losses. Due to this decision, all OITRS assets are considered as held for sale, and have been accounted for as discontinued operations beginning in the second quarter of 2007.

The impact of these decisions included OITRS recording impairment charges on goodwill and other intangible assets and on certain fixed assets. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, the closure and/or sale of mortgage loan origination channels resulted in an impairment charge of \$6.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2007. In addition, accordance with SFAS No. 142, OITRS recorded impairment charges for both goodwill and other intangible assets not subject to amortization of approximately \$2.8 million as of March 31, 2007.

Below is a summary of significant events associated with the Company's discontinued operations:

On April 18, 2007, the Board of Managers of OITRS approved the closure of OITRS's wholesale and conduit mortgage origination channels. On April 20, 2007, the wholesale and conduit mortgage loan origination channels ceased accepting applications for new mortgages from borrowers.

On April 26, 2007, OITRS entered into a binding agreement to sell a majority of its private-label and agency mortgage servicing portfolio, which had an aggregate unpaid principal balance of approximately \$5.9 billion as of March 31, 2007. The aggregate sales proceeds were used to repay debt that was secured by OITRS's mortgage servicing portfolio. All servicing was transferred to the buyer on or before July 2, 2007. The transaction resulted in a loss of \$2.8 million.

On May 7, 2007, OITRS signed a binding agreement to sell its retail mortgage loan origination channel to a third party. On June 30, 2007, OITRS entered into an amendment to this agreement. The sales price was \$1.5 million plus the assumption of certain liabilities, including the assumption of certain future operating lease obligations of OITRS.

The closure of the wholesale and conduit mortgage loan origination channels, coupled with sale of the retail channel, resulted in charges totaling \$7.6 million associated with severance payments to employees and operating lease termination costs, among other less significant costs.

On July 25, 2007, OITRS entered into a binding agreement to sell a majority of its remaining private-label and agency mortgage servicing portfolio, which had an aggregate unpaid principal balance of approximately \$3.0 billion as of June 30, 2007. The aggregate sales proceeds were used to repay the debt that secured OITRS's mortgage servicing portfolio. The transaction was completed on September 4, 2007 and resulted in a loss of approximately \$0.1 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, OITRS's 51% membership interest, and all control, in Interactive Mortgage Advisors, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("IMA"), was sold for \$500,000 as evidenced by a promissory note. The note, which is secured by the assets of IMA and guaranteed by certain affiliates of IMA, bears interest at a rate of 8% per annum and was paid in full in January 2009. The sale of OITRS' membership interest resulted in a loss of approximately \$85,000. This loss is included in "Other income and expenses, net of non-recurring items."

---

As of December 31, 2008, the remaining assets and liabilities of OITRS consist of contingent assets or liabilities that remain pursuant to the terms of the disposal of the operations with the exception of mortgage loans held for sale and retained interests for which disposal of such has been delayed as a result of the current economic climate, however are still being actively marketed for sale by the Company.

The results of discontinued operations of OITRS included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

(in thousands)

	Years ended December	
	2008	2007
Revenues		
Interest income, net	\$ 12	\$ 22,477
Interest expense	20	17,561
Net interest (deficiency) income	(8)	4,916
Loss on discontinued mortgage banking activities		
Fair value adjustment on retained interests, trading	(40,998)	(29,119)
Other discontinued mortgage banking activities	(660)	(40,949)
Other income and expenses, net of non-recurring items	1,954	(15,693)
Net servicing loss	(1,591)	(13,171)
Other interest expense and loss reserves	(2,005)	(23,950)
Deficiency of revenues, net	(43,308)	(117,966)
Expenses		
General and administrative expenses	5,031	32,985
Loss before provision for income taxes	(48,339)	(150,951)
Provision for income taxes	(1,545)	(7,181)
Total loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes	\$ (49,884)	\$ (158,132)

Loss from discontinued operations includes interest expense related to OITRS' warehouse lines of credit and its line of credit to finance the investment in retained interests. Intercompany interest expense of approximately \$8.0 million and \$11.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, has been eliminated in consolidation.

Loss from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2007 includes impairment charges of approximately \$3.4 million and \$8.9 million related to goodwill and property and equipment, respectively.

The assets and liabilities of OITRS as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

(in thousands)

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 35	\$ 705
Mortgage loans held for sale (b)	464	983
Retained interests, trading (c)	15,601	69,301
Securities held for sale	15	173
Originated mortgage servicing rights	-	3,073

Edgar Filing: BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. - Form 10-K

Receivables (d)	23,792	17,868
Property and equipment, net	-	285
Prepays and other assets	3,380	4,232
Assets held for sale	\$ 43,287	\$ 96,620
Liabilities		
Other secured borrowings	\$ -	\$ 18,000
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other	10,431	9,842
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	\$ 10,431	\$ 27,842

---

## (a) – Significant accounting policies of OITRS

The following accounting policies were applicable prior to the discontinuation of the residential mortgage origination operations. Going forward such policies generally will not be applicable to OITRS as it no longer originates residential mortgage loans. OITRS will continue to actively market for sale the mortgage loans held for sale and retained interests in securitizations, but will not generate any such assets in the future.

**Mortgage Loans Held for Sale.** Mortgage loans held for sale represent mortgage loans originated and held by the Company pending sale to investors. The mortgages are carried at the lower of cost or market as determined by outstanding commitments from investors or current investor yield requirements calculated on the aggregate loan basis. A valuation allowance is recorded to adjust mortgage loans held for sale to the lower of cost or market.

**Retained Interests, Trading.** Retained interests, trading is the subordinated interests retained by OITRS resulting from securitizations and includes the over-collateralization and residual net interest spread remaining after payments to the Public Certificates and NIM Notes. Retained interests, trading represents the present value of estimated cash flows to be received from these subordinated interests in the future. The subordinated interests retained are classified as “trading securities” and are reported at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reported in earnings.

**Mortgage Servicing Rights.** OITRS recognized mortgage servicing rights (“MSRs”) as an asset when separated from the underlying mortgage loans in connection with the sale of such loans. Upon sale of a loan, OITRS measured the retained MSRs by allocating the total cost of originating a mortgage loan between the loan and the servicing right based on their relative fair values.

**Gain on Sale of Loans.** Gains or losses on the sale of mortgage loans are recognized at the time legal title transfers to the purchaser of such loans based upon the difference between the sales proceeds from the purchaser and the allocated basis of the loan sold, adjusted for net deferred loan fees and certain direct costs and selling costs. OITRS deferred net loan origination costs and fees as a component of the loan balance on the balance sheet. Such costs are not amortized and are recognized into income as a component of the gain or loss upon sale. Accordingly, salaries, commissions, benefits and other operating expenses of \$22.2 million were capitalized as direct loan origination costs during the year ended December 31, 2007 and reflected in the basis of loans sold for gain on sale calculation purposes.

**Income Taxes.** OITRS and its activities are subject to corporate income taxes and the applicable provisions of SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. To the extent management believes deferred tax assets will not be fully realized in future periods, a provision will be recorded so as to reflect the net portion, if any, of the deferred tax asset management expects to realize.

## (b) – Mortgage loans held for sale, net

Mortgage loans held for sale consist of the following as of December 31, 2008 and 2007:

(in thousands)

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Mortgage loans held for sale, and other, net	\$ 3,022	\$ 4,780
Valuation allowance	(2,558)	(3,797)





## (c) – Retained interests, trading

The following table summarizes OITRS's residual interests in securitizations as of December 31, 2008 and 2007:

(in thousands)

Series	Issue Date	December 31,	
		2008	2007
HMAC 2004-1	March 4, 2004	\$ 2,441	\$ 2,460
HMAC 2004-2	May 10, 2004	2,735	1,408
HMAC 2004-3	June 30, 2004	1,281	880
HMAC 2004-4	August 16, 2004	1,867	1,506
HMAC 2004-5	September 28, 2004	3,080	3,043
HMAC 2004-6	November 17, 2004	1,846	5,181
OMAC 2005-1	January 31, 2005	999	6,948
OMAC 2005-2	April 5, 2005	169	7,046
OMAC 2005-3	June 17, 2005	1,181	10,736
OMAC 2005-4	August 25, 2005	2	9,752
OMAC 2005-5	November 23, 2005	-	7,717
OMAC 2006-1	March 23, 2006	-	10,835
OMAC 2006-2	June 26, 2006	-	1,789
Total		\$ 15,601	\$ 69,301

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, key economic assumptions and the sensitivity of the current fair value of residual cash flows to the immediate 10% and 20% adverse change in those assumptions are as follows:

(in thousands)

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Balance sheet carrying value of retained interests – fair value	\$ 15,601	\$ 69,301
Weighted average life (in years)	14.76	4.09
Prepayment assumption (annual rate)	19.36%	26.37%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (1,838)	\$ (6,908)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	\$ (3,086)	\$ (12,577)
Expected Credit losses (annual rate)	5.61%	1.22%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (2,841)	\$ (6,409)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	\$ (6,095)	\$ (13,633)
Residual Cash-Flow discount rate	27.50%	20.00%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (1,540)	\$ (4,138)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	\$ (2,838)	\$ (7,907)
	Forward LIBOR Yield Curve	Forward LIBOR Yield Curve
Interest rates on variable and adjustable loans and bonds		
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (2,692)	\$ (14,906)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	\$ (5,067)	\$ (28,225)

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based upon a 10% variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in

assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in this table, the effect of the variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the retained interest is calculated without changing any other assumption, in reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another which may magnify or counteract the sensitivities. To estimate the impact of a 10% and 20% adverse change of the Forward LIBOR curve, a parallel shift in the forward LIBOR curve was assumed based on the Forward LIBOR curve at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

---

Static pool loss percentages are calculated by using the original unpaid principal balance of each pool of assets as the denominator. The following static pool loss percentages are calculated based upon all OITRS securitizations that have been completed to date:

(in thousands)

Series	Issue Date	Original Unpaid Principal Balance	Actual Losses Through December 31, 2008	Projected Future Credit Losses as of December 31, 2008	Projected Total Credit Losses as of December 31, 2008
HMAC 2004-1	March 4, 2004	\$ 309,710	0.61%	0.64%	1.25%
HMAC 2004-2	May 10, 2004	388,737	0.85%	1.01%	1.86%
HMAC 2004-3	June 30, 2004	417,055	0.63%	1.49%	2.13%
HMAC 2004-4	August 16, 2004	410,123	0.68%	0.83%	1.51%
HMAC 2004-5	September 28, 2004	413,875	0.73%	1.21%	1.94%
HMAC 2004-6	November 17, 2004	761,027	1.10%	1.82%	2.93%
OMAC 2005-1	January 31, 2005	802,625	1.12%	2.51%	3.63%
OMAC 2005-2	April 5, 2005	883,987	1.37%	2.59%	3.96%
OMAC 2005-3	June 17, 2005	937,117	1.19%	3.54%	4.73%
OMAC 2005-4	August 25, 2005	1,321,739	1.69%	4.67%	6.36%
OMAC 2005-5	November 23, 2005	986,277	2.04%	6.64%	8.68%
OMAC 2006-1	March 23, 2006	934,441	1.78%	7.91%	9.68%
OMAC 2006-2	June 26, 2006	491,572	3.31%	13.56%	16.87%
Total		\$ 9,058,285	1.43%	4.18%	5.61%

The table below summarizes certain cash flows received from and paid to securitization trusts for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007:

(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
Proceeds from securitizations	\$ -	\$ -
Servicing fees received	1,082	11,744
Servicing advances	(6,579)	4,812
Cash flows received on retained interests	12,701	5,779

The following information presents quantitative information about delinquencies and credit losses on securitized financial assets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007:

(in thousands)

As of Date	Total Principal Amount of Loans	Principal Amount of Loans 60 Days or more	Net Credit Losses
December 31, 2008	\$ 3,920,433	\$ 728,884	\$ 129,715

December 31, 2007

\$ 4,528,481 \$ 457,872 \$ 23,639

## (d) – Mortgage servicing rights, net

As a result of the excessive and increasing burden of the monthly advancing requirement on delinquent loans serviced by OITRS, coupled with the Company's reduced liquidity, OITRS was unable to meet such servicing advance requirements in September of 2008 and as a result committed a servicer event of default under the various pooling and servicing agreements under which OITRS serviced loans. Accordingly, such servicing was surrendered to the master servicer and the carrying value of the related servicing right was charged to earnings in the third quarter of 2008. Such charge was approximately \$2.0 million. All advances made on such loans prior to the event of default, net of any costs incurred by the master servicer related to the servicing transfer, will be returned to the Company as the delinquent loans are liquidated over time. The balance of the receivable at December 31, 2008 was \$19.7 million. OITRS believes that the balance is fully collectible; therefore, no valuation allowance has been provided.

---

The table below provides the elements of the change in carrying value of the mortgage servicing rights for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

(in thousands)

	Years Ended December	
	31,	
	2008	2007
Balance at beginning of period (at cost)	\$ 3,073	\$ 98,859
Additions	-	7,718
Sales, net of reserve for prepayment protection	(1,344)	(87,603)
Mortgage servicing rights surrendered	(2,028)	-
Valuation allowance	(315)	-
Changes in fair value:		
Due to changes in market conditions and run-off	614	(13,351)
Due to change in valuation assumptions	-	(2,550)
Balance at end of period	\$ -	\$ 3,073

#### (e) - Other Secured Borrowings

Secured borrowings consisted of a line of credit for \$80.0 million that was secured by the retained interests in securitizations. The line was paid in full on May 26, 2008. The agreement provided for interest rate based on LIBOR plus 3.00%.

#### (f) – Income Taxes

OITRS is a tax paying entity for income tax purposes and is taxed separately from Bimini Capital. Therefore, OITRS separately reports an income tax provision or benefit based on its own taxable activities. The income tax provisions for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 differ from the amount determined by applying the statutory Federal rate of 35% to the pre-tax losses due primarily to the recording of, and adjustments to, the deferred tax asset valuation allowances. The net deferred tax assets generated by the net losses incurred during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 are offset in their entirety by deferred tax asset valuation allowances. The amounts of the gross tax benefits generated by these losses are reduced by offsetting valuation allowances of the same amount. The deferred tax assets and offsetting valuation allowances at December 31, 2008 and 2007 were approximately \$103.3 million and \$92.7 million, respectively.

During 2008, OITRS re-evaluated a previous tax position with regards to the taxability of excess inclusion income (“EII”). OITRS holds residual interests in various real estate mortgage investment conduits (“REMICs”), some of which generate EII pursuant to specific provisions of the Code. OITRS based its previously-held tax position on advice received from tax consultants regarding the taxability of EII, including the aggregation (or non-aggregation) of the tax inputs from all REMICs owned for purposes of the EII tax computation. As a result of the re-evaluation of the tax position, which included consulting with additional tax experts, OITRS now believes that it is no longer more likely than not that the tax position would be fully sustained upon examination, even though the exact computational methods and the ultimate EII tax due (if any) are still uncertain. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of accounting standard FIN 48, “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes,” OITRS has recorded a 2008 tax provision for \$1.5 million for taxes that may be due on EII. Interest through December 31, 2008 has been accrued in the amount of \$0.4 million. OITRS is continuing to research all the tax issues relating to EII and its ownership of the REMICs, and will adjust the tax and interest accrual in future periods as the uncertainty is resolved.

The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income within OITRS. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, management believed that it was more likely than not that the Company will not realize the full benefits of all of the federal and state tax loss carryforwards, which is the primary deferred tax asset of OITRS; therefore, an allowance for the full amount of the deferred tax assets has been recorded. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income or losses, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. In addition, OITRS has abandoned the tax NOLs in the states where operations have ceased, and those deferred tax assets have been written-off.

As of December 31, 2008, OITRS has an estimated federal tax net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$269.8 million, and estimated available state tax NOLs of \$68.0 million, which begin to expire in 2025, and are fully available to offset future taxable income.

OITRS recorded a tax provision for \$7.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2007. At December 31, 2006, OITRS had net deferred tax assets of approximately \$7.2 million. The recording of a valuation allowance (among other items) during the three months ended March 31, 2007 resulted in OITRS recording an income tax provision of \$11.5 million, which reduced the December 31, 2006 net deferred tax asset to a net deferred tax liability at March 31, 2007 of approximately \$4.3 million. As part of the recording of this allowance, the state tax NOLs at March 31, 2007 were fully reserved, as their availability to fully offset recorded deferred tax liabilities was not assured at that date. The losses incurred by OITRS post-March 31, 2007 were sufficient to ensure that the state tax NOLs would be available to offset recorded deferred tax liabilities and any realized gains on sales of OITRS assets; therefore the net deferred tax liability of \$4.3 million was offset by the deferred tax assets related to the state tax NOLs expected to be realized, and a \$4.3 million reduction in the deferred tax asset valuation allowance was recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2007.

(g) - Transactions with Related Parties

During the year ended December 31, 2007, OITRS received aggregate payments of approximately \$0.4 million from Southstar Funding, LLC (“Southstar Funding”) primarily in exchange for the performance of certain interim loan servicing functions. Southstar Funding is fifty percent owned by Southstar Partners, LLC (“Southstar Partners”). Certain former officers of OITRS, one of whom is also a former director of the Company, own membership interests in Southstar Partners. In addition, an officer of OITRS as well as a former director of the Company serves on the Board of Managers of Southstar Funding. Amounts paid for interim loan servicing were determined on an arms-length basis and are comparable to amounts charged to other, non-related parties.

(h) - Commitments and Contingencies

**Loans Sold to Investors.** Generally, OITRS is not exposed to significant credit risk on its loans sold to investors. In the normal course of business, OITRS provides certain representations and warranties during the sale of mortgage loans which obligate it to repurchase loans which are subsequently unable to be sold through the normal investor channels. The repurchased loans are secured by the related real estate properties, and can usually be sold directly to other permanent investors. There can be no assurance, however, that OITRS will be able to recover the repurchased loan value either through other investor channels or through the assumption of the secured real estate.

OITRS recognizes a liability for the estimated fair value of this obligation at the inception of each mortgage loan sale based on the anticipated repurchase levels and historical experience. The liability is recorded as a reduction of the gain on sale of mortgage loans and included as part of other liabilities in the accompanying financial statements.

Changes in this liability for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 are presented below:

(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
Balance—Beginning of period	\$ 6,960	\$ 8,836
Provision	1,759	16,029
Charge-Offs	(1,416)	(17,905)
Balance—End of period	\$ 7,303	\$ 6,960

Litigation Contingencies. OITRS is involved in various lawsuits and claims, both actual and potential, including some that it has asserted against others, in which monetary damages and other relief is sought. The resolution of such lawsuits and claims is inherently unpredictable. In accordance with GAAP, it is the policy of OITRS to accrue for loss contingencies only when it is both probable that a loss has actually been incurred and an amount of such loss is reasonably estimable. The lawsuits and claims involving OITRS, the most significant of which are described below, relate primarily to contractual disputes arising out of the ordinary course of OITRS's business as previously conducted.

On June 14, 2007, a complaint was filed in the Circuit Court of the Twelfth Judicial District in and for Manatee County, Florida by Coast Bank of Florida against OITRS seeking monetary damages and specific performance and alleging breach of contract for allegedly failing to repurchase approximately fifty loans. On September 5, 2007, OITRS filed a motion to dismiss Coast's complaint based on the Florida Banking Statute of Frauds. On February 25, 2008, the Court denied OITRS's motion to dismiss, but the court subsequently clarified that the motion was denied because the Court needs additional facts in order to determine whether Coast's claims are barred under the Florida Banking Statute of Frauds. As a result, the parties conducted limited discovery relating to the Statute of Frauds and OITRS filed a motion for summary judgment in November, 2008. Coast did not respond, but on February 3, 2009, filed a motion for leave to amend its complaint which was argued on March 16, 2009. The Court ruled in favor of Coast and granted it permission to file an amended complaint. Once the Court enters its written order, OITRS will have 20 days to answer the amended complaint. The amended complaint differs from the original complaint in that it raises new facts and changes the nature of the claims. We believe the plaintiff's claims in this matter are without merit and we intend to vigorously defend this case.

On July 2, 2008, an amended complaint was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, Central District by IndyMac Bank, F.S.B. against OITRS and others seeking monetary damages and specific performance and alleging, among other allegations, breach of contract for allegedly failing to repurchase thirty-six loans. On August 18, 2008, the Court entered an order substituting the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation as conservator for IndyMac Federal Bank, F.S.B., in the place of IndyMac Bank, F.S.B. On January 16, 2009, the Court entered an order dismissing the amended complaint with prejudice pursuant to a stipulation of dismissal that was entered into among the parties to the case. As a result of the Court's order of dismissal, this proceeding is now concluded. No amounts were paid by OITRS in connection with the execution of the stipulation of dismissal or the Court's order of dismissal.

(i) – Fair Value

OITRS measures or monitors many of its assets on a fair value basis. Fair value is used on a recurring basis for certain assets in which fair value is the primary basis of accounting. Examples of these include, loans held for sale, retained interests, trading, securities held for sale and mortgage servicing rights. Depending on the nature of the asset or liability, OITRS uses various valuation techniques and assumptions when estimating the instrument's fair value. These valuation techniques and assumptions are in accordance with SFAS No. 157.



Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at and/or marked to fair value, OITRS considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. When possible, OITRS looks to active and observable markets to price identical assets or liabilities. When identical assets and liabilities are not traded in active markets, OITRS looks to market observable data for similar assets and liabilities. Nevertheless, certain assets and liabilities are not actively traded in observable markets and OITRS must use alternative valuation techniques to derive a fair value measurement.

The following table presents financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

(in thousands)

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2008, Using			
	Fair Value Measurements December 31, 2008	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Mortgage loans held for sale	\$ 464	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 464
Retained interests, trading	15,601	-	-	15,601
Securities held for sale	15	-	-	15
Originated mortgage servicing rights	-	-	-	-

A reconciliation of activity for the year ended December 31, 2008 for assets measured at fair value based on significant unobservable (non-market) information (Level 3) is presented in the following table:

(in thousands)

	Mortgage Loans Held for Sale	Retained Interests, Trading	Securities Held for Sale	Originated Mortgage Servicing Rights
Beginning balance	\$ 983	\$ 69,301	\$ 173	\$ 3,073
Gains (losses) included in earnings	(76)	(40,998)	(27)	(1,729)
Purchases, issuances and settlements	(443)	(12,702)	(131)	(1,344)
Ending Balance	\$ 464	\$ 15,601	\$ 15	\$ -

Gains and losses included in earnings for the year ended December 31, 2008 are reported in loss on discontinued mortgage banking activities.

#### NOTE 15. SUMMARIZED QUARTERLY RESULTS (UNAUDITED)

The following is a presentation of the quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Quarters Ended,			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31

Edgar Filing: BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. - Form 10-K

2008								
Interest income	\$	10,110	\$	6,787	\$	6,149	\$	3,094
Interest expense		(7,627)		(5,459)		(4,193)		(1,114)
Net interest income (expense), before junior subordinated debt interest		2,483		1,328		1,956		1,980
Interest on junior subordinated debt		(2,090)		(2,090)		(2,091)		(2,091)
Net interest income (expense)		393		(762)		(135)		(111)
Other income (expense)		926		(352)		(984)		790
Total net revenues (deficiency of revenues)		1,319		(1,114)		(1,119)		679
Direct REIT operating expenses		185		188		165		162
General and administrative expenses		1,904		1,353		1,053		1,248
Total expenses		2,089		1,541		1,218		1,410
Minority interest		-		-		-		-
Loss from continuing operations		(770)		(2,655)		(2,337)		(731)
Discontinued operations (net of tax)		(4,334)		(31,905)		(12,054)		(1,591)
Net loss	\$	(5,104)	\$	(34,560)	\$	(14,391)	\$	(2,322)
Basic and Diluted Net Loss Per Share:								
Class A Common Stock								
Continuing operations	\$	(0.03)	\$	(0.10)	\$	(0.09)	\$	(0.03)
Discontinued operations (net of tax)		(0.17)		(1.26)		(0.47)		(0.06)
Total	\$	(0.20)	\$	(1.36)	\$	(0.56)	\$	(0.09)
Class B Common Stock								
Continuing operations	\$	(0.03)	\$	(0.10)	\$	(0.09)	\$	(0.03)
Discontinued operations (net of tax)		(0.17)		(1.25)		(0.47)		(0.06)
Total	\$	(0.20)	\$	(1.35)	\$	(0.56)	\$	(0.09)
2007								
Interest income	\$	38,634	\$	27,524	\$	24,635	\$	11,709
Interest expense		(37,552)		(33,591)		(21,144)		(10,614)
Net interest income (expense), before junior subordinated debt interest		1,082		(6,067)		3,491		1,095
Interest on junior subordinated debt		(2,090)		(2,090)		(2,090)		(2,092)
Net interest income (expense)		(1,008)		(8,157)		1,401		(997)
Other income (expense)		(821)		(73,818)		(2,530)		4,111
Total net revenues (deficiency of revenues)		(1,829)		(81,975)		(1,129)		3,114
Direct REIT operating expenses		228		223		181		193
General and administrative expenses		1,880		1,862		1,915		1,991
Total expenses		2,108		2,085		2,096		2,184
Minority interest		771		-		-		-
(Loss) income from continuing operations		(3,166)		(84,060)		(3,225)		930
Discontinued operations (net of tax)		(74,904)		(78,407)		(1,498)		(3,323)
Net loss	\$	(78,070)	\$	(162,467)	\$	(4,723)	\$	(2,393)
Basic and Diluted Net Loss Per Share:								
Class A Common Stock								
Continuing operations	\$	(0.13)	\$	(3.38)	\$	(0.13)	\$	0.04
Discontinued operations (net of tax)		(3.01)		(3.15)		(0.06)		(0.13)
Total	\$	(3.14)	\$	(6.53)	\$	(0.19)	\$	(0.09)
Class B Common Stock								
Continuing operations	\$	(0.13)	\$	(3.37)	\$	(0.13)	\$	0.04

Discontinued operations (net of tax)		(3.01)		(3.15)		(0.06)		(0.13)
Total	\$	(3.14)	\$	(6.52)	\$	(0.19)	\$	(0.09)

## ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None.

### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

#### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports filed with or submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based closely on the definition of "disclosure controls and procedures" in Rule 13a-15(e). In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

#### Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There were no significant changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

See Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting included in Item 8. See also the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in Item 8. for BDO Seidman, LLP's attestation report on management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting.

### ITEM 9A (T). CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Not applicable.

### ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.



PART III

ITEM 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

The information required by this Item 10 and not otherwise set forth below is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's definitive Proxy Statement relating to the Company's 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on June 16, 2009, which the Company expects to file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, pursuant to Regulation 14A, not later than 120 days after December 31, 2008 (the "Proxy Statement").

The Company's executive officers are appointed by the Company's Board of Directors. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, including the Chair of the Audit Committee, Robert J. Dwyer, is an "audit committee financial expert" within the meaning of Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K.

The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to all officers, directors and employees of the Company and its subsidiaries. The Company has also adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers that is applicable to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. A copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, as well as the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and the committee charters for each of the committees of the Board of Directors, can be obtained from our Internet website at [www.biminicapital.com](http://www.biminicapital.com) and will be made available to any shareholder upon request. The Company intends to disclose any waivers from, or amendments to, the Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers by posting a description of such waiver or amendment on our Internet Web site.

ITEM 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this Item 11 is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12. Security Ownership Of Certain Beneficial Owners And Management And Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by this Item 12 is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement and to Part II, Item 5 of this Form 10-K.

ITEM 13. Certain Relationships And Related Transactions, and director independence.

The information required by this Item 13 is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

ITEM 14. Principal Accountant Fees And Services.

The information required by this Item 14 is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

## PART IV

## ITEM 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

a. Financial Statements. The consolidated financial statements of the Company, together with the report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm thereon, are set forth in Part II-Item 8 of this Form 10-K and are incorporated herein by reference.

The following information is filed as part of this Form 10-K:

	Page
Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting	48
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	49
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2008 and 2007	52
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007	53
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007	54
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007	55
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	56

b. Financial Statement Schedules.

Not applicable.

c. Exhibits.

## Exhibit No.

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 29, 2005, filed with the SEC on September 30, 2005
- 3.1 Articles of Amendment and Restatement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form S-11/A, filed with the SEC on April 29, 2004
- 3.2 Articles Supplementary, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 3, 2005, filed with the SEC on November 8, 2005
- 3.3 Articles of Amendment, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 10, 2006, filed with the SEC on February 15, 2006
- 3.4 Articles of Amendment, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 24, 2007, filed with the SEC on September 24, 2007
- 3.5 Certificate of Notice, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated January 28, 2008, filed with the SEC on February 1, 2008
- 3.6 Amended and Restated Bylaws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 24, 2007, filed with the SEC on September 24, 2007
- †10.1 Employment Agreement between Bimini Mortgage Management, Inc. and Jeffrey J. Zimmer, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form S-11/A, dated April 12, 2004, filed with the SEC on April 29, 2004
- †10.2 Employment Agreement between Bimini Mortgage Management, Inc. and Robert E. Cauley, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form S-11/A, dated April 12, 2004, filed with the SEC on April 29, 2004

Edgar Filing: BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. - Form 10-K

- †10.3 Bimini Capital Management, Inc. 2003 Long Term Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended September 28, 2007
- †10.4 Bimini Capital Management, Inc. 2004 Performance Bonus Plan, as amended September 28, 2007
- †10.5 Form of Phantom Share Award Agreement
- †10.6 Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement
- †10.7 Separation Agreement and General Release, dated as of June 29, 2007, by and among Opteum Inc., Opteum Financial Services, LLC and Peter R. Norden, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 30, 2007, filed with the SEC on July 5, 2007
- 10.8 Voting Agreement, among certain stockholders of Bimini Mortgage Management, Inc., Jeffrey J. Zimmer, Robert E. Cauley, Amber K. Luedke, George H. Haas, IV, Kevin L. Bespolka, Maureen A. Hendricks, W. Christopher Mortenson, Buford H. Ortale, Peter Norden, certain of Mr. Norden's affiliates, Jason Kaplan, certain of Mr. Kaplan's affiliates and other former owners of Opteum Financial Services, LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99(D) to the Schedule 13D, dated November 3, 2005, filed with the SEC on November 14, 2005
- 10.9 Membership Interest Purchase, Option and Investor Rights Agreement among Opteum Inc., Opteum Financial Services, LLC and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. dated as of December 21, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated December 21, 2006, filed with the SEC on December 21, 2006
- 10.10 Seventh Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Orchid Island TRS, LLC, dated as of July 20, 2007, made and entered into by Opteum Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2007, filed with the SEC on August 14, 2007
- 10.11 Asset Purchase Agreement, dated May 7, 2007, by and among Opteum Financial Services, LLC, Opteum Inc. and Prospect Mortgage Company, LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 7, 2007, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2007
- 10.12 First Amendment to Purchase Agreement, dated June 30, 2007, by and among Metrocities Mortgage, LLC – Opteum Division, Opteum Financial Services, LLC and Opteum Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 30, 2007, filed with the SEC on July 5, 2007
- \*21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- \*23.1 Consent of BDO Seidman, LLP
- \*23.2 Consent of Ernst & Young, LLP
- \*24.1 Powers of Attorney
- \*31.1 Certification of the Principal Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- \*31.2 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- \*32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- \*32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

\* Filed herewith.

† Management compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed by Item 601 of Regulation S-K.





Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

BIMINI CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.

Date: March 31, 2009

By:/s/ Robert E. Cauley

Robert E. Cauley

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

---

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on March 31, 2009.

Signature	Capacity
/s/ Robert E. Cauley Robert E. Cauley	Director, Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer
/s/ G. Hunter Haas G. Hunter Haas	President, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Investment Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)
/s/ Robert J. Dwyer Robert J. Dwyer	Director