

MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC  
Form 10-K  
September 30, 2008

---

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-K**

**Q ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (NO FEE  
REQUIRED)  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008**

**COMMISSION FILE NO. 000-24969**

**mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

(Name of issuer in its charter)

**NEW JERSEY**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**22-2287503**

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

**587 CONNECTICUT AVE., NORWALK,**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**CT 06854-1711**

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(203) 838-2741**

**SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(G) OF THE ACT:**

**COMMON STOCK, \$.01 PAR VALUE**

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, during the preceding 12 months (or for shorter period that the registrant was required to file such report), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to the Form 10-K.

As of September 23, 2008 there were approximately 527,000,000 shares of common stock, \$01 par value, outstanding and the aggregate market price of shares held by non-affiliates was approximately \$21,000,000 (Based upon a closing common stock price of \$.04 on September 23, 2008 solely for the purpose of calculating the preceding amount, all directors and officers of the registrant are deemed to be affiliates.)

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

**mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**  
**ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K**  
 FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	PAGE
<b>PART I</b>	
ITEM 1. Business	6
ITEM 2. Properties	23
ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings	23
ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	23
<b>PART II</b>	
ITEM 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	24
ITEM 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data	33
ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Data	36
ITEM 7A. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risks	48
ITEM 8 and 8A. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Internal Control Assessment	48
ITEM 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	
<b>PART III</b>	
ITEM 10. Directors and Executive Officers	50
ITEM 11. Executive Compensation	52
ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	54
ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	55
<b>PART IV</b>	
ITEM 14. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K	60
Report of Independent Public Accountants	64
Report of Certified Public Accountants	66
Consolidated Financial Statements	67
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	77
	4

PART I

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This report contains "forward-looking statements". In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as "may," "intend," "might," "will," "should," "could," "would," "expect," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," or the negative of these terms and similar expressions intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements reflect the Company's current views with respect to future events and are based on assumptions and subject to risks and uncertainties. The Company discusses many of these risks and uncertainties in greater detail in Part I, Item 1 of this 10-K under the heading "Risk Factors." These risks and uncertainties may cause the Company's actual results, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Also, these forward-looking statements represent the Company's estimates and assumptions as of the date of this report. The Company is under no duty to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this report to conform such statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with mPhase Technologies' financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report.

General Description of the Business

mPhase Technologies, Inc., is a publicly-held New Jersey company founded in 1996 with over 19,000 shareholders and approximately 440 million shares of common stock outstanding as of June 30, 2008. The Company's common stock is traded on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board under the ticker symbol XDSSL.

mPhase is a development company specializing in microfluidics, microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) and nanotechnology. Through its wholly owned subsidiary AlwaysReady, Inc., mPhase is commercializing its first nanotechnology-enabled product for military and commercial applications - The Smart NanoBattery providing Power On Command . The new well-patented battery technology, based on the phenomenon of electrowetting, offers a unique way to store energy and manage power.. Features of the Smart NanoBattery include: potentially infinite shelf life, environmentally friendly design, fast ramp to power, programmable control, and direct integration with microelectronic devices.

The platform technology behind the Smart NanoBattery is a porous nanostructured material used to repel and precisely control the flow of liquids. The material has a *Smart Surface* that can potentially be designed for self-cleaning applications, water purification/desalination, liquid filtration/separation, and environmental cleanup.

mPhase has been awarded a Phase II Small Business Technology Transfer Program (STTR) grant, part of the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program, from the U.S. Army for continued development of a reserve Smart NanoBattery for a critical computer memory application.

mPhase is headquartered in Little Falls, New Jersey, with additional offices in Norwalk, Connecticut, and New York, New York.

Description of Operations

Microfluidics, MEMS, and Nanotechnology

In February of 2004, mPhase entered the business of developing new products based on materials whose properties and behavior are controlled at the micrometer and nanometer scales. (For reference, a micrometer or micron is equal one millionth ( $10^{-6}$ ) of a meter and a nanometer is one billionth ( $10^{-9}$ ) of a meter the scale of atoms and molecules. A human hair is approximately 50 microns in diameter, or 50,000 nanometers thick.)

The Company has expertise and capabilities in microfluidics, microelectromechanical systems (MEMS), and nanotechnology. Microfluidics refers to the behavior, precise control and manipulation of fluids that are geometrically constrained to a small, typically micrometer scale. MEMS is the integration of mechanical elements, sensors, actuators, and electronics on a common silicon substrate through microfabrication technology. Nanotechnology is the creation of functional materials, devices and systems through control of matter (atoms and molecules) on the nanometer length scale (1-100 nanometers), and exploitation of novel phenomena and properties (physical, chemical, biological, mechanical, electrical) at that length scale.

In its Smart NanoBattery, mPhase exploits the physical phenomenon of electrowetting by which a voltage is used to change the wetting properties of a liquid/solid interface at the nanometer scale. Consider water as the liquid. Through electrowetting, mPhase can change a surface from what is referred to as a hydrophobic ("water fearing") state to a hydrophilic ("water loving") state. In the hydrophobic state, the water beads up or is repelled by the surface. In the hydrophilic state, the water spreads out or is absorbed by the surface. The ability to electronically control the wetting characteristics of a surface at the nanometer scale forms the basis of mPhase's nanotechnology operations and intellectual property portfolio.

In the Smart NanoBattery application, mPhase uses electrowetting as a new technique to s activate or literally "turn on" a battery once it is ready to be used for the first time.. At the heart of the Smart NanoBattery is a porous, nanostructured superhydrophic or superlyophobic membrane designed and fabricated by mPhase. The so-called superhydrophobic membrane applies to water and the superlyophobic membrane applies to nonaqueous or organic liquids such as ethanol or mineral oil. The difference between the two membrane types lies in the nanoscale architecture at the surface. By virtue of its superhydrophobic or superlyophobic character, the membrane, although porous, is able to physically separate the liquid electrolyte from the solid electrodes so that the battery remains dormant or inactive, thus providing no voltage, or current until called upon. This electrolyte-electrode separation gives the battery the feature of potentially unlimited shelf life and the benefit of being always ready when needed, which is not necessarily the case for conventional batteries. Electrowetting alters the liquid/membrane interface so that the liquid is now able to flow over the membrane's surface and rapidly move through the pores where it is able to contact the solid electrode materials located on the other side of the membrane.

mPhase uses MEMS, to precisely control the machining of silicon-based materials at the micrometer and nanometer scales. This ability has led to the Company's proprietary membrane design that controls the wetting and movement of liquids on a solid surface. mPhase uses microfluidics to control the flow of liquid electrolyte through the porous membrane and is also the basis for other possible applications such as self-cleaning surfaces, filtration and separation and liquid delivery systems.

## History of Nanotechnology Operations

### Smart NanoBattery

mPhase Technologies along with Bell Labs, jointly conducted research from February 2004 through April of 2007 that demonstrated control and manipulation of fluids on superhydrophobic and superlyophobic surfaces to create a new type of battery or energy storage device with power management features obtained by controlling the wetting behavior of a liquid electrolyte on a solid surface. The scientific research conducted set the ground work for continued development of the Smart NanoBattery and formed a path to commercialization of the technology for a broad range of market opportunities. During 2005 and 2006, the battery team tested modifications and enhancements to the internal design of the battery to optimize its power and energy density characteristics, as well as making engineering improvements that were essential in moving the battery from a zinc-based chemistry to a commercial lithium-based chemistry that can be manufactured on a large scale. The Company began its efforts by entering into a \$1.2 million 12 month Development Agreement with the Bell Labs division of Alcatel/Lucent for exploratory research of control and manipulation of fluids on superhydrophobic surfaces to create power cells ( batteries) by controlling wetting behavior of an electrolyte on nanostructured electrode surfaces. The goal was to develop a major breakthrough in battery technology creating batteries with longer shelf lives as the result of no direct electrode contact (meaning no power drain prior to activation). The Company extended its development effort twice for an additional 2 year ending in March of 2007 and for two additional periods thereafter through July 31, 2007. During this time, the technical focus shifted from trying to separate the liquid electrolyte from nanostructured electrodes to developing a nanostructured membrane that could physically separate the liquid electrolyte from the solid electrodes.

mPhase also began working with the Rutgers University Energy Storage Research Group (ESRG) in July of 2005 to conduct contract research in advanced battery chemistries involving lithium. This work involved characterizing and testing materials that could be used in the mPhase battery. In July of 2007, the relationship shifted to a collaboration focused on developing a memory backup battery needed by the U.S. Army. The work was funded through a Phase I Small Business Technology Transfer Program (STTR) grant.

Also in July of 2007, mPhase formed a new wholly-owned subsidiary, Always Ready, Inc., to focus on the development of its nanotechnology products. The Company has used this subsidiary as a division of the Company in order to develop increasing brand recognition of its battery product. The Company decided in September of 2007 to transfer its development work out of Bell Labs (Alcatel/Lucent) in order to broaden its nanotechnology product commercialization efforts. In terms of the battery, Bell Labs was no longer sufficient because they had no in-house means to handle lithium chemistry. mPhase/AlwaysReady continued to work with Rutgers ESRG which could work with lithium, but also engaged in work with other companies to supply essential components , fabricate prototypes, and plan manufacturing approaches. These companies included a well-respected silicon foundry and battery manufacturer.

In February of 2008, the Company announced that a prototype of its Smart NanoBattery was successfully deployed in a gun-fired test at the Aberdeen Proving Ground at Maryland. The test was conducted by the U.S. Army Armament Research and Development and Engineering Center (ARDEC) of Picatinny, New Jersey. The battery not only survived the harsh conditions of deployment at a gravitational force in excess of 45,000 g , but was also flawlessly activated in the process .

In March of 2008, mPhase announced that it had been invited to submit a proposal for a Phase II STTR grant based upon the successful work it had performed on the Phase I grant to develop a version of the Smart NnaoBattey referred to as the multi-cell, micro-array reserve battery for a critical U.S. Army memory backup application The Phase II grant in the gross amount of \$750,000 (net \$500,000) was granted to the Company in the middle of September of 2008 (see subsequent events). In March of 2008, the Company also announced the successful transfer to a commercial foundry of certain processes critical to the manufacturing of its Smart NanoBattery. This will enable fabrication of the porous membranes for the multi-cell, micro-array reserve battery mentioned above.. The Company successfully manufactured nanostructured membranes at the foundry that are essential to commercial production of the battery. By achieving a series of delayed activations, the shelf-life and continuous run-time of such battery is increased to a period of time in excess of twenty years. In April of 2008, the Company announced that it had successfully activated it first Smart NanoBattery prototype by electrowetting using a hard-wired configuration and a remotely-activated device. Remote activation plays a key role in providing power to wireless sensors systems and RFID tags.

Also, in April of 2008, the Company announced that it had successfully produced its first lithium-based reserve battery with a soft or pouch

package and breakable separator (in place of the electrowettable membrane) that relies on mechanical rather electrical activation to provide Power On Command . The Company believes that it is a significant milestone in moving from a low energy density zinc-based battery to a higher energy density lithium-based battery towards proving that the Smart NanoBattery will eventually be economically and commercially viable.

#### Magnetometer

In March of 2005, the Company entered into a second Development Agreement for 12 months at a cost of \$1.2 million with the Bell Labs to develop MEMS-based ultrasensitive magnetic sensor devices, also known as magnetometers, that could be used in military and commercial electronics (*e.g.*, cell phones) for determining location, as well as in portable security and metal detection applications. The agreement was renewed in April of 2006 for another 12 months. Although proven to work in the lab, the magnetometer technology could not be scaled up as quickly and as cost effectively as the battery. The project was shelved in September 2007 so that all technical resources could be allocated to the battery project.



## IPTV

Historically, the Company since its inception has focused upon developing innovative solutions for the delivery of Broadcast Television as part of a "triple play" of services that includes voice and high-speed internet for telephone service providers globally. Beginning in fiscal year 2004, the Company began developing Broadcast television delivery solutions through software/middleware designed to enable telephone service providers to deliver video data using internet protocol. The Company's middleware/software is highly scalable, potentially saving telephone service providers significant hardware deployment costs for routers and servers required for the carrier class delivery of broadcast television using internet protocol. Such solution potentially expands the content of available information from the internet into broadcast quality television. The Company's middleware is capable of delivering over copper, fiber, coax or any infrastructure representing a combination of the foregoing that is used by a telecommunications service provider. The Company has not to date been able to derive any significant revenue from our TV+ solution. We believe that this is due to a number of factors:

1.

The Company's original product, the Traverser Digital Video Data Delivery System, was designed to enable telephone service providers to deliver Broadcast Television, high speed-internet and voice over copper telephone lines using DSL compression technology. The technology developed in partnership with Georgia Tech Research Corporation marked a significant architectural breakthrough in design using a non-internet protocol proprietary technology. As internet technology for video transmission improved, telecommunications service providers worldwide moved to requiring internet protocol and open carrier class standards for their transition to a "triple play" of converged services to customers that includes Broadcast quality television. In 2003 the Company transitioned from its legacy Traverser DVDDS product to its TV+ solution. The TV+ is middleware using internet protocol with open carrier-class standards compatible with all modes of hardware. The TV+ enables telephone service providers to deliver over any topology or infrastructure (e.g. copper, fiber or coax) broadcast quality television, video on demand, high-speed internet and voice required by telephone service providers.

2.

The Company's strategic focus for its broadcast television solution for telecommunications service providers targeted telephone service providers outside the United States in emerging markets where fiber and cable infrastructure did not exist. Penetration of these emerging international markets proved more difficult and costly than the Company originally anticipated. Telephone service providers in such areas owned by the government or recently privatized, often lack reliable infrastructure to accommodate basic test deployments and are demanding robust television features that their customer base is unable to afford absent significant governmental subsidies.

3.

The Company now believes, based upon its development efforts over a period of approximately 10 years, that its TV+ solution is a compelling solution for large deployments by telephone service providers in the United States owing to its superior scalability that requires significantly less hardware (primarily routers and servers) per customer that can assist in the migration of the delivery of broadcast television using internet protocol. The Company is currently seeking a strategic partner in the United States to test and deploy its TV+ solution.

Because the roll-out of broadcast television using internet protocol has been a lengthy process for major service providers in the United States, the Company has temporarily suspended development of new features for its TV+ solution in order to conserve financial resources pending further development in the U.S. market. All inventory has been written off and all strategic alternatives relative to this business segment including the valuation and sale of assets or licensing of the technology are being evaluated.



## Nanotechnology Products

### Platform Technology

The surface is an important part of virtually every physical object and often plays an overriding role in many processes, beyond just connectivity and structural support, but more deeply into areas involving chemical and biological interactions. In some instances, the surface provides an easy entry into the chemical or biological systems, in others it protects the internal elements of the object, surrounded by the surfaces.

mPhase's platform technology is the *Smart Surface*. By being able to control the surface properties of materials down to the nanometer scale, new and improved devices can be designed and built that may lead to compelling business opportunities. One type of smart surface of particular interest allows the properties to be changed in response to an external stimulus.

Initially, mPhase's development focused on MEMS devices by manipulating the surface of silicon materials – the same material used to make microelectronic materials and devices. Using physical and chemical processes, the surface of the silicon is modified to make solid porous structures known as membranes. This is where microfluidics comes into play. These membranes can be used to selectively control the flow of liquids through the pores or openings at the micrometer length scale.

Surfaces may be characterized as *hydrophilic* or *hydrophobic* depending on whether or not they attract or repel water (or other liquids). A hydrophilic surface can be wet and adsorbs water. A hydrophobic surface, on the other hand, cannot be wet. Hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces are abundant in nature and in synthetic materials, both organic and inorganic in chemical composition. A familiar example of a hydrophilic surface is a sponge that readily soaks up water. By contrast, many plant leaves and flower petals are hydrophobic, as are insect parts and bird feathers. Synthetic hydrophobic surfaces include Scotchgard® treated fabric, Teflon® coated metal, or Rain-X® coated glass. On a hydrophobic surface, water beads up and can move around without being absorbed by the solid material that it is resting on.

So-called *superhydrophobic* surfaces are also found in nature and can now be replicated in the lab. The lotus leaf and rose petal, for example, exhibit superhydrophobicity. Here water droplets form almost perfect spheres with hardly any contact with the underlying solid surface. This makes the liquid even easier to move and manipulate.

The synthesis of superhydrophobic surfaces has recently been made possible by advances in nanotechnology and mPhase is leading the way to better understand and create materials and devices incorporating these unique surface properties.

As mPhase's research and development efforts evolve, in addition to silicon materials, the ability to control the surface properties of materials can be extended to other substances such as polymers, ceramics, metals and fibers as examples, providing opportunities for our platform technology to be used in a range of potential applications such as energy storage and power management for portable electronics and microelectronics, self-cleaning surfaces, filters for water purification or desalination systems, materials for environmental remediation that separate liquids or solvents, and other situations where the control of the interaction of a solid surface exposed to a liquid is vitally important.

## Smart NanoBattery

Battery technology has changed little in its fundamentals over the past 150 years. As a result, ordinary batteries begin dissipating energy as soon as they are assembled and therefore have limited shelf life. Chemistries are fixed inside the package so the user cannot interact with the contents to program functionality. The size and form of batteries have not kept pace with the miniaturization of electrical components, microprocessors and integrated circuits. As a result, the optimal implementation of an electronic device is not always achieved. Some batteries contain chemicals that are not considered safe or environmentally friendly ("green"). This makes disposal a potential issue.

mPhase is challenging this convention by using their proprietary superhydrophobic porous silicon membrane technology as the basis to build the Smart NanoBattery providing Power On Command .

Superhydrophobicity initially keeps the liquid electrolyte physically separated from the solid electrodes of the battery, thus preventing the chemical reactions from occurring that cause the battery to provide power. This gives the Smart NanoBattery the benefit of potentially infinite shelf life.

A conventional battery loses some capacity while sitting on the shelf in its package or stored in an electronic or electrical device, even before being used for the first time. On the other hand, the Smart NanoBattery is built so that it is inactive and remains that way indefinitely until it is turned on. No power is lost to self-discharge or leakage current prior to activation. When needed, the Smart NanoBattery can be activated on command via the phenomenon of electrowetting. The surface properties of the porous silicon membrane are selectively controlled to shift instantly from a superhydrophobic to hydrophilic state. In other words, electrowetting acts as the triggering mechanism.

mPhase has successfully fabricated and demonstrated its first 3-volt lithium-based Smart NanoBattery, based on a design allowing either manual or remote activation by the user, the feature known as Power on Command .

By incorporating the phenomenon of electrowetting on nanostructured surfaces into a revolutionary way of storing energy, the Smart NanoBattery provides power to portable electronic and microelectronic devices exactly when and where it is needed. It is an alternative and an augmentation to conventional batteries, still converting stored chemical energy into usable electrical energy, but in a way that is potentially more reliable, more versatile, more environmentally friendly, and less expensive than the industry norm.

## Applications

mPhase is exploring military and commercial applications of smart surfaces in which the properties can be accurately and precisely controlled down to the nanometer scale. Electrowetting allows the switching from a hydrophobic to hydrophilic state as a result of an electronic stimulus.

The Smart NanoBattery, mPhase's first smart surface product, has a unique architecture that enables a shelf life of decades, remote activation, programmable control, scalable manufacturing, and adaptability to multiple configurations. The value proposition to the end user is to have a source of energy or power that is literally always ready - reliable, convenient, low cost - a battery guaranteed to work at full capacity when and where you need it.

The Smart NanoBattery can conceivably supply power "*on command*" to a wide variety of portable electronic and microelectronic devices used in military, medical, industrial, and consumer applications.

mPhase has already proven that the battery works in lab tests as well as in a significant field test conducted for the U.S. Army as part of a guided munitions project. The relationship with the Army also includes a \$850,000 funded project to develop a battery for a mission critical computer memory backup application. The target is a small footprint, 3-volt lithium battery with a minimum shelf life of 20 years and uninterruptible power output during this time period. No other battery technology available today can deliver the long-term performance requirements specified by the U.S. Army for this application.

The Smart NanoBattery can potentially be designed to accommodate a variety of sophisticated portable electronic and microelectronic devices including next-generation cell phones and PDAs, handheld gaming devices, wireless sensor systems, RFID tags, high-tech flashlights and beacons, health alert alarms, and non-implantable and implantable medical devices such as pacemakers.

Initial applications will address the need to supply emergency and backup power to a range of products for defense and security, with future applications in the commercial and consumer arenas.

As its first commercial product, mPhase is developing an emergency flashlight using a simplified version of the Smart NanoBattery with a patent-pending breakable separator in place of the electrowettable membrane. The emergency flashlight is envisioned as a compact device that would be activated with a simple push-button switch to provide Power On Command in the event of an emergency. The device would be ideal for storing in the glove compartment of a car, on boats, trains or planes, coupled with fire extinguishers, packed with safety, first aid and survival kits.

## **Business Development, Organization, and Acquisition Activities**

mPhase was incorporated in New Jersey in 1979 under the name Tecma Laboratory, Inc. In 1987, the Company changed its name to Tecma Laboratories, Inc. As Tecma Laboratories, Inc., the Company was primarily engaged in the research, development and exploration of products in the skin care field. On February 17, 1997, the Company acquired Lightpaths, Inc., a Delaware corporation, which was engaged in the development of telecommunications products incorporating DSL technology, and the Company changed its name to Lightpaths TP Technologies, Inc.

On January 29, 1997, the Company formed another wholly-owned subsidiary called TLI Industries, Inc. The shares of TLI were spun off to its stockholders on March 31, 1997 after the Company transferred the assets and liabilities, including primarily fixed assets, patents and shareholder loans related to the prior business of Tecma Laboratories. As a consequence of these transactions, the Company became the holding company of its wholly-owned subsidiary, Lightpaths, Inc. on February 17, 1997.

On May 5, 1997, the Company completed a reverse merger with Lightpaths TP Technologies, Inc. and thereafter changed its name to mPhase Technologies, Inc. on June 2, 1997.

On March 26, 1998, the Company entered into a Licensing Agreement with Georgia Tech Research Corporation ("GTRC") in which mPhase became the exclusive licensee of all patents received by GTRC in connection with development of the legacy Traverser DVDDS. GTRC is entitled to receive a royalty equal to 5% of gross sales of the Traverser DVDDS and 30% of any "lump sum payments" received in connection with revenues received by mPhase from the Traverser DVDDS product under the terms of its license, as amended. The Traverser DVDDS has been replaced by the Company's IPTV solution.

On June 25, 1998, mPhase acquired Microphase Telecommunications, Inc., a Delaware corporation, from Microphase Corporation by issuing 2,500,000 shares of its common stock. Microphase Telecommunications' principal assets were patents and patent applications utilized in the development of its proprietary Traverser technology.

In March 2000, mPhase entered into a joint venture with AlphaStar International, Inc. to form an entity called mPhaseTelevision.Net, Inc. in which the Company held a 50% interest. On May 1, 2000, the Company acquired an additional 6.5% interest in mPhaseTelevision.Net, Inc. and made it one of its consolidated subsidiaries.

On March 14, 2000, mPhase entered into an agreement with BMW Manufacturing Corp., located in South Carolina. Under the agreement, the Company installed its legacy Traverser DVDDS product for BMW's telephone transmission network at an automotive manufacturing plant to enable video broadcast of information to its employees. Such system was replaced with a competitor's network during fiscal year 2007.

In December of 2001, Hart Telephone company located in Hartwell, Georgia completed the building and development of its digital headend enabling Hart to test the Company's legacy Traverser DVDDS product with approximately 20 customers receiving about 80 channels of television services utilizing such platform.

In May of 2002, mPhase initiated discussion for development of a cost-reduced set top box (INI) with the Bell Laboratories division of Lucent Technologies, Inc.

Effective December 1, 2002, mPhase entered into a Development Agreement with the Bell Laboratories division of Lucent Technologies, Inc. for the development of mPhase's broadcast television switch as an integrated platform with the Lucent Stinger DSL Access Concentrator.

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

On December 9, 2002, pursuant to a Statement of Work, Lucent commenced development of the Broadcast Television Switch for mPhase. On December 15, 2002, mPhase engaged Lucent for the cost reduction of its Traverser INI set top box.

On January 21, 2003 mPhase entered into a Co-Branding Agreement with Lucent Technologies under which mPhase's INI set top box would be co-branded with the Lucent Technologies name and logo.

On April 4, 2003, mPhase entered into a Systems Integration Agreement with Lucent Technologies. Under the terms of such an agreement mPhase has been given the exclusive rights to sell worldwide as a 'bundled' solution the Stinger in connection with mPhases's BTS.

Effective September 15, 2003, mPhase entered into a Development Agreement with the Bell Laboratories division of Lucent Technologies, Inc. that has been extended through December of 2005 pursuant to additional Statements of Work under such Development Agreement for development of its IPTV solution.

## Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Effective February 3, 2004, mPhase entered into a Development Agreement with the Bell Laboratories division of Lucent Technologies, Inc. for the development of micro power source arrays fabricated using nanotextured superhydrophobic materials.

On November 28, 2004, mPhase entered into a Software License Agreement with Espial Group, Inc to be used in the set top box of its TV+ solution. Espial Group, Inc. is a leader in system operating software for set top boxes used to receive IPTV.

On January 3, 2005, mPhase entered into a work order with Magpie Telecom Insiders, Inc. pursuant to the terms of a Software Development Agreement dated September 2, 2004 for purposes of adding video on demand to its TV+ solution.

Effective March 5, 2005, mPhase extended its Development Agreement with Bell Labs for an additional 12 months for the development of micro power source power arrays.

Effective March 10, 2005, mPhase entered into a Development Agreement with the Bell Laboratories division of Lucent Technologies Inc. for the development of a new generation of magnetic field sensors using the science of nanotechnology.

In April of 2006, mPhase renewed each of the nanotechnology agreements with Bell Labs dated March 5, 2005 and March 10, 2005, respectively, for an additional 12 months at the cost of \$100,000 per month each.

In May of 2006, the Development Agreement with the Bell Labs division of Lucent Technologies, Inc. covering the Company's TV+ solution was not renewed by the Company and Velankani, a software designer headquartered in India, assumed responsibilities for development of the system management software object code and system integration of the Company's TV+ solution. The Company has been working with Velankani for system integration testing since January of 2006.

On June 27, 2006, the Company entered into Amendment No. 4 to a Software License Agreement with Espial Group, Inc. which extended the term of its original development agreement through 2008 for Software development and support of the TV+ software in connection with multiple set top boxes of various vendors.

On September 13, 2006, the Company announced its first test of its IPTV solution with Comstar/Odessa, a major telecommunications service provider in the Ukraine for a trial deployment of our IPTV solution. Upon successful completion of such trial, it is anticipated that a 6,000 subscriber deployment would follow generating the Company's first revenues with respect to its IPTV solution.

As of November 14, 2006, the Company entered into a Common Amendment to its Statement of Work with Velankani Systems Technologies, Inc. rescheduling certain payments due for software integration services for the Company's IPTV solution performed by Velankani for mPhase including a conversion of a portion of the outstanding payable to mPhase common stock at \$.17 per share.

On December 13, 2006, the Company entered into a Non-Exclusive Distribution Agreement with Netdialogue, a reseller and service integrator of IPTV middleware for telecommunications service providers located in Russia.

On January 4, 2007, the Company entered into a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement for Novel Reserve Cell Technologies and High Sensitivity Magnetometer Technology with the U.S. Army Armament Research Center located in Picatinny, New Jersey.

On January 23, 2007, the Company entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with Latens Systems Limited under which Latens grants to mPhase a license to use its conditional access software (encoding and encryption for IPTV



delivery).

On February 3, 2007, the Company entered into Amendment No. 4 to a Development Agreement effective February 3, 2004, with Lucent Technologies, Inc. extending research and development through April 27, 2007, relating to micro-power source arrays fabricated using nano-textured superhydrophobic materials.

On February 17, 2007, the Company extended a Cooperative Research Agreement through December 31, 2007, originally entered into on July 15, 2005 with Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey governing cooperative research on a Lithium nanostructured reserve battery.

On February 22, 2007, the Company entered into a new Statement of Work with Espial Group, Inc for Integration of its EVO software to the Bitband Server for two set top boxes manufactured by Amino and Tilgin respectively in connection with mPhase's IPTV solution. The Company simultaneously entered into a Payment Agreement with Espial Group, Inc rescheduling certain payments owed by the Company for services performed in connection with software development of its IPTV solution.

## Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

On March 28, 2007, the Company entered into a Reseller Agreement with Steeleye Technology, Inc. for software utilized for high use rollover redundancy for IPTV.

On April 17, 2007, the Company announced that it had formed AlwaysReady, Inc., a New Jersey Corporation, as a new wholly-owned subsidiary. The Company plans to transfer all of its nanotechnology assets and appropriate liabilities to such company as a first step in the separation of its nanotechnology product line from its IPTV product. The Company plans to staff AlwaysReady, Inc with a new management team experienced in the nanotechnology area in order to unlock and maximize overall shareholder value. On May 29, 2007, AlwaysReady, Inc announced the hiring of Source Capital Group, an investment banking firm specializing in the raising of private equity, to raise a minimum of \$1.5 million in a Private Placement in which the Company would sell up to a 10% interest in AlwaysReady, Inc. to institutional and accredited investors. In addition the Company announced that it planned to eventually transform AlwaysReady, Inc. into a publicly traded company. mPhase plans to retain a 90% interest in Always Ready, Inc. and the shares of common stock of Always Ready, Inc. will be registered on appropriate filings with the SEC under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, as well as the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and listed for trading on the over the counter bulletin board.

On April 28, 2007, the Company extended its Development Agreement with Lucent Technologies relating to micro-power source arrays fabricated using nano-textured superhydrophobic materials originally entered into in February of 2004 with Amendment #5 through July 31, 2007.

On May 10, 2007, the Company entered into a Consulting Agreement with CT NanoBusiness Alliance to produce a report and assist the Company with respect to its strategy for development and marketing of its nano power cell product.

On May 11, 2007, the Company entered into an Escrow Agreement with Bitband Technologies, Inc. governing certain payments to be made by the Company to Bitband in connection with certain servers provided and services rendered for the Company's IPTV product testing.

On June 20, 2007, the Company announced that it is forming a new subsidiary, Granita Media, Inc. ("Granita"), a Delaware corporation, that will provide targeted advertising to users of the TV+ middleware solution. Through the use of specific viewer demographics such as age, gender and defined consumer preferences, the Company believes that a new form of broadcast television advertising could develop that is more powerful and focused than is currently being used by broadcasters. It is believed that targeted advertising software to be developed by Granita will enhance mPhase's middleware by offering a source of additional revenues for a telephone service provider deploying IPTV. mPhase planed to fund the new company initially through up to \$500,000 of equity to be provided by employees and additional outside institutional financing which will involve the sale of up to 10% of the common stock of Granita with mPhase retaining 90% of the stock of Granita. The financing of the new company was unsuccessful and its employees were terminated or resigned between October and December of 2007.

On July 6, 2007, the Company announced that it has executed with Double U. Master Fund, L.P., a limited partnership organized under the laws of the British Virgin Islands, a Private Equity Credit Agreement for an aggregate of up to \$6 million in financing through the sale, from time to time, of the common stock of the Company at a 14% discount to its market value (determined as set forth in detail in the Private Equity Credit Agreement). The terms of the Agreement provide that mPhase will have the option to "PUT" up to \$300,000 of its common stock to the Partnership per month upon the effectiveness of a Form S-1 Registration Statement covering such shares of common stock. Under the terms of the Agreement, the Company is not obligated to draw any minimum amount of money under the Private Equity Credit Line

On July 18, 2007, the Company announced the award of a Phase I US Army Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) Program Grant. This award is a Phase I six month research effort to develop a 30 plus year shelf life, low power, green battery (coin cell or similar) that will continuously power a static random access memory circuit for a

computer device. SRAM is a common type of digital memory chip used in a wide variety of electronic systems for data storage. During the six month research period, the team will characterize the design, conduct capacity and stability measurements of a reserve style power cell based on Lithium chemistry. Long term stability and shelf life is achieved by initially separating the active materials of the power cell during storage, and controlling the activation of the cell until needed to provide power. This research program extends the design of the company's smart battery to support the use of non-water based electrolytes that are commonly used in lithium based batteries. Lithium batteries are favored for powering many different types of electronic devices due to their higher voltage and power requirements that can be supplied by more common alkaline batteries. The Phase I grant, valued at \$100,000, will enable the Company to competitively compete for a Phase II award as an avenue used by U.S. government defense agencies to adopt advanced technology for commercialization and use. Rutgers University will support the Company and its newly formed subsidiary AlwaysReady, Inc. during the award period as a subcontractor under the award guidelines.

On August 21, 2007, the Company announced the acquisition of a 10% stock ownership position in Sovereign Tracking Systems LLC, a company located in New Jersey with a patent covering active, real-time, tracking systems that use radio frequency identification tags to secure high-end personnel tracking and monitoring systems. The Company believes that, although such company faces severe financial challenges, such patent could potentially compliment and enhance the Company's smart battery application being developed through AlwaysReady, Inc. a wholly-owned subsidiary.

On September 5, 2007, the Company announced that it had made significant progress, pursuant to the requirements of the STTR grant, in developing a prototype of a lithium manganese dioxide prototype of its SmartBattery product.

On October 19, 2007, the Company announced that in connection with the settlement and dismissal of a civil law suit originally filed on November 16, 2005 by the Securities and Exchange Commission in the Federal District Court in the District of Connecticut, the SEC issued a Cease and Desist Order and certain remedial sanctions against two officers of mPhase Technologies, Inc. (the "Company"). The civil suit was filed against Packetport.com, Inc. a Nevada corporation, Microphase Corporation, a Connecticut corporation, a company that provides administrative services to the Company and shares common management with the Company, and others. The two officers of the Company were Mr. Ronald A. Durando, President and Chief Executive Officer and Mr. Gustave T. Dotoli, the Chief Operating Officer. The Civil suit by the SEC named as respondents Mr. Durando, Mr. Dotoli and others in connection with their activities as officers and directors of Packetport.com. The cease and desist order from the SEC found that (1) All parties had violated Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933, as making unregistered offers or sales of Packetport.com common stock. (2) Mr. Durando and Mr. Dotoli had violated Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Rule 16(a) thereunder by failing to timely disclose the acquisition of their holdings on Form 3's and (3) Mr. Durando had violated Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, for failing to disclose the acquisition of more than five percent of the stock of Packetport.com. Under the order Mr. Durando was required to disgorge \$150,000 and Mr. Dotoli was required to disgorge \$100,000. The Company was not named as a party to the civil suit. More information regarding the detailed terms of the settlement can be found in SEC release No 8858 dated October 18, 2007 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933 and SEC Release No. 56672 dated October 18, 2007 promulgated pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Mr. Durando and Mr. Dotoli have continued to serve as officers and directors of the Company. Mr Durando and Mr. Dotoli together with Microphase corporation and others, without admitting or denying the findings of the SEC, except as to jurisdiction and subject matter, have consented to the entry of the Order Instituting Cease and Desist Proceedings, Making findings and Imposing a Cease and Desist Order and Remedial Sanctions pursuant to Section 8A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1933 and Section 21C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

On October 30, 2007, together with its subsidiary Granita Media, Inc, jointly announced that Comstar/Odessa, a major provider to telecommunications services in the Ukraine, had terminated the trial of the Company's TV+ solution.

On November 2, 2007, the Company announced the retirement of Mr. Necdet F. Egrul at the age of 84 from the Company's Board of Directors.

On February 20, 2008, the Company announced that a prototype of its smart reserve nanobattery was successfully deployed and activated by the resulting g force in a gun-fired test at the Aberdeen Proving Grounds in Maryland. The test was conducted by the U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center (ARDEC) of Picatinny New Jersey. In this test, the AlwaysReady battery delivered power to the test load inside the standard military anti-tank round (M830A1 or HEAT-High Explosive Anti Tank) and demonstrated extreme resiliency, surviving the harsh environment as well as the high acceleration at a g-Force in excess of 45,000 (One "g" is equal to the pull of gravity at sea level). The gun-fired test was part of a prototype evaluation process that the U.S. Army is conducting as part of its CRADA (Cooperative Research and Development Agreement). The Company's Engineers collaborated with those at Picatinny involved in the development of precision guidance components to successfully package this reserve electrochemical storage system to operate during the gun-firing and flight environment of a very high "g" round. The developmental qualification work, prior to the live test firing, was performed using Picatinny's air

gun test facilities by subjecting battery prototypes to various launch accelerations and various design iterations. The test validated the performance of the AlwaysReady battery with a current armament used by the Army. The Company has stated that its goal is to potentially incorporate this battery technology into smart, gun-fired munitions programs being developed by Picatinny.

On May 2, 2008 the Company announced that it had produced its first lithium-based battery that can be manually activated by providing power on command with a significantly longer shelf life prior to initial activation than those found in other batteries. The battery can be activated by command wirelessly from a remote location by a radio frequency signal giving it added mobility for sensor and similar applications.

## Products & Services

Since our inception in 1996, mPhase has been a development stage company focused on the development of intellectual property involving high technology innovative solutions and products with high-growth potential. The Company has served as an incubator for exploratory research and initial development for products that are best characterized as having a high risk/high reward

profile since they involve exploratory research to achieve significant scientific breakthroughs from existing products that can have a substantial economic impact and benefit upon successful commercialization.

The Smart NanoBattery is an outgrowth of the science of nanotechnology that the Company began in February of 2004 with the entering into a Project Development Agreement with the Bell Labs Division of Lucent Technologies, Inc. The Company has historically outsourced its Research and Development of new products to larger companies or institutions with significant scientific resources and experience in exploratory research. mPhase Technologies along with Alcatel/Lucent/Bell Labs, jointly conducted research from February 2004 through April of 2007 that demonstrated control and manipulation of fluids on superhydrophobic surfaces to create power cells, by controlling wetting behavior of electrolyte on nano structured electrode surfaces. This scientific research conducted set the ground work for continued exploration in the development of intelligent nanotechnology power cells (nano-batteries), and formed a path to commercialization of the technology for a broad range of market opportunities. During 2005 and 2006, the battery team tested modifications and enhancements to the internal design of the battery to optimize its power and energy density characteristics, as well as engineering improvements that were essential in moving the battery from a zinc based chemistry to design using lithium based chemistry. The Company established a strategic research working relationship with the Energy Storage Research Group (ESRG), a center of excellence in Rutgers University that has lab research facilities capable of handling lithium based battery development.

mPhase's current flagship product is its Smart NanoBattery that has a significant longer shelf life prior to initial activation than that of conventional batteries. The Smart NanoBattery has potentially significant applications for critical mission power sources that must be reliable and available upon command by the electronic device it is powering. Such applications involve, emergency flashlights and beacons, back -up power sources for computers and life support products, as well as significant military applications where critical mission backup power is essential for weapons control computers and electronic warfare equipment used in combat. Other potential military applications include power sources activated by g forces for guided munitions.

The Smart NanoBattery utilizes a proprietary technology developed over a period of 4 years. The battery design, prior to initial activation, has a membrane that separates the electrolyte and electrodes used to generate power. Conventional batteries do not provide for such separation and therefore their power begins to dissipate prior to the first time they are activated causing them to lose capacity. Conventional batteries have significant limits upon how long they can be stored prior to their first activation and in providing a reliable source of power required for critical applications requiring portable power supplies.

## Other Nanotechnology Products

Magnetometer: Work Suspended in 2007 (See also description of operations)

Magnetometers can be used in a wide range of applications for the detection of magnetic fields in applications that include military surveillance, securing the retail environment, automotive sensors and actuators, industrial processing, medical imaging, scientific measurements, detection of mineral deposits and even air and space exploration. In sensor networks ultra-sensitive magnetometers can be used, for example, to detect and accurately pinpoint battlefield objects or they might also be used to study the workings of the human brain.

Magnetometers work by sensing changes in magnetic fields due to the motion of magnetic objects or changes in electrical currents generated by those objects. The magnetometer detects these objects by measuring time-varying magnetic signals that are superimposed on the combination of earth

s background field used to orient compasses) and static magnetic fields due to near- by magnetic objects. In March of 2007, the Company ceased development with Alcatel/Bell Labs of its Magnetometer product in order to conserve financial resources.

TV+ Solution: Work Suspended (See also description of operations)

As mentioned previously, the roll-out of broadcast television using internet protocol has been a lengthy process for major service providers in the United States, the Company has temporarily suspended development of new features for its TV+ solution in order to conserve financial resources pending further development in the U.S. market. All inventories have been written off and all strategic alternatives relative to this business segment, including the valuation and sale of assets or licensing of the technology are being evaluated.

Competitive Business Conditions:

#### **Battery Segment**

The design and functionality of the mPhase/AlwaysReady lithium Smart Nanobattery make it unique to the portable electronics battery market segment.. To the best of our knowledge, there is no existing product that directly competes with the Smart NanoBattery that combines small size with a reserve design. As a reserve battery, the Smart NanoBattery remains dormant until it is activated on command. It does not self-discharge or die prior to its first activation , thereby offering extremely long shelf life prior to use as either as a primary or backup battery in a device. Shelf life is projected to be in excess of twenty years.

There are numerous thin film batteries based on lithium metal, lithium ion and lithium polymer, as well as other chemistries, used in military devices, portable electronics, RFID tags and wireless sensor networks, that are similar in size to the Smart NanoBattery, often referred to as microbatteries. None of these designs are based on reserve battery architectures. Thin film batteries are manufactured by companies including: Cymbet Corporation, Front Edge Technology, Infinite Power Solutions, ITN Energy Systems, Johnson Research and Development Company, KSW Microtec, Lithium Technology Corporation, MPower Solutions, Oak Ridge Micro-Energy, Power Paper, Solicore, VoltaFlex Corporation. Large companies such as Energizer, Ultralife, Varta and Proctor & Gamble are also involved with developing thin film batteries. Thin film battery markets are anticipated to reach 10 billion units, \$11 billion dollars by 2012. The market driving forces are those of wide expansion of portable devices in that time frame. With 3.5 billion cell phone users and 67 billion RFID tags per year anticipated in that time frame alone, it is anticipated that the volumes will be in place to create commercial demand for thin film batteries.

Traditional reserve batteries are quite distinct from the mPhase/AlwaysReady Smart NanoBattery in terms of size and activation mechanism. The market for reserve batteries has largely been limited to the military for supplying power to munitions and other mission-critical electronic devices. The traditional reserve battery tends to be larger and certain types are built by hand and contain mechanical parts to activate the battery. The Smart NanoBattery relies on the phenomenon of electrowetting to initiate activation or a mechanical barrier that can be broken, in the case of the breakable barrier design. Traditional reserve batteries for military applications have been supplied by companies such as EaglePicher, Yardney and Storage Battery Systems, Inc.

#### **Manufacturing**

mPhase subcontracts all of the manufacturing of its products to outside sources including related parties such as Janifast Ltd. and Microphase Corporation. We currently have no contracts in place for the manufacturing of our products with either Microphase Corporation or Janifast Ltd. or any other non-affiliated third party manufacturers. By using contract manufacturers, mPhase avoids the substantial capital investments required for internal production.

#### **Outsourcing**

The Company practices an outsourcing model whereby it contracts with third party vendors to perform research and development rather than performing the bulk of these functions internally. It also maintains a small core R/D staff of engineers and scientists in the fields critical for the battery development. From February of 2004 through March of 2007 the Company engaged Lucent/Bell Labs to develop, using the science of nanotechnology, micro power cell

arrays creating a structure for zinc batteries that separated the chemicals or electrolytes prior to initial activation. This was done by suspending on nano grass or small spoke like pieces of silicon a liquid electrolyte taking advantage of a super hydrophobic effect that occurs as a result of the ability to manipulate materials of a very small size or less than 1/50,000 the size of a human hair. The Company has, as a result of outsourcing, been able to have access to facilities, equipment and research capabilities that the Company would not be able to develop on its own given the financial resources and time that would be required to build or acquire such research capabilities. The Company has also been able to achieve key strategic alliances with the U.S. Army to successfully test, under military combat conditions, its SmartBattery design, leading to further validation of its path to product development under a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA). In addition the Company has formed a relationship with Energy Storage Research Group, a center of excellence at Rutgers University, in New Jersey, that has enabled the Company to expand its battery development from a zinc to a lithium battery capable of delivering significantly more power. During the period from March of 2005 to April of 2007, the Company engaged the Bell Labs division of Lucent Technologies, Inc. to develop a magnetometer or electronic sensor also using the science of nanotechnology. Although the Company has, in order to conserve financial resources, currently suspended further development of its magnetometer product line, we believe that the intellectual property developed from the research to date could be resumed to develop viable military and industrial products depending upon future financial resources of the Company and future competitive market conditions.



The Company has developed its current TV+ middleware solution that represents an evolution of product development since 1998 that was completed through major outsourcing contracts with Georgia Tech Research Institute and the Bell Labs division of Alcatel/Lucent. As previously noted, the Company in order to focus exclusively on its SmartBattery product has suspended further development of new features for the TV+. The Company continues to believe that the intellectual property pertaining to the TV+ middleware may have significant value in the future as the market for IPTV delivery evolves in the United States. The Company believes that the unique scalability of its platform and open carrier class standards is ideally suited for large-scale deployments of IPTV. The Company is currently seeking a major telephone service provider in the United States for a strategic partner to further test, customize and use as a network management platform to roll-out converged services including broadcast television, high speed internet, voice and Video on Demand.

## Patents and Licenses

We have filed and intend to file United States patents, in some cases EU patents and/or copyright applications relating to some of our proposed products and technologies, either with our collaborators, strategic partners or on our own. There can be no assurance; however, that any of the patents obtained will be adequate to protect our technologies or that we will have sufficient resources to enforce our patents.

Because we may license our technology and products in foreign markets, we may also seek foreign patent protection for some specific patents. With respect to foreign patents, the patent laws of other countries may differ significantly from those of the United States, as to the patentability of our products or technology. In addition, it is possible that competitors in both the United States and foreign countries, many of which have substantially greater resources and have made substantial investments in competing technologies, may have applied for, or may in the future apply for and obtain, patents, which will have an adverse impact on our ability to make and sell our products. There can also be no assurance that competitors will not infringe on our patents or will not claim that we are infringing on their patents. Defense and prosecution of patent suits, even if successful, are both costly and time consuming. An adverse outcome in the defense of a patent suit could subject us to significant liabilities to third parties, require disputed rights to be licensed from third parties or require us to cease our operations.

The Company has intellectual property as follows:

### IPTV:

In June of 2008, the Company filed a provisional patent for certain unique delivery aspects of its IPTV middleware.

The Company has recently decided not to incur the cost of maintaining certain patents originally obtained by Georgia Tech Research Corporation in connection with its Traverser DVDDS legacy product in which the Company was the exclusive worldwide licensee for a 5 % royalty. As previously noted, the TV+ solution has replaced the legacy product.

### Nano Technology, Micro Electrical Mechanical Systems (MEMS) and Battery Portfolio:

The Company has applied for seven (7) patent applications in the area of battery designs, microfluidics and MEMS fabrication. In addition, the Company has two (2) joint patent applications with the Bell Labs division of Lucent Technologies, obtained during the development period, and the licensing rights for use of five (5) additional patents from Lucent after the development period.

### Other Patents

On July 12, 2005, mPhase announced that it had been granted a U.S. patent that covers a series of techniques for splitting different voice and data signals in DSL access networks that is used in its Broadband Loop Watch product. The Company is not currently pursuing further development and marketing of this product owing to the lack of demand for loop diagnostics systems by telephone service providers.

We also rely on unpatented proprietary technology, and we can make no assurance that others may not independently develop the same or similar technology to ours or otherwise obtain access to our unpatented technology.

## Government Regulation

The Federal Communication Commission, or FCC, and various state public utility and service commissions, regulate most of mPhase

s potential domestic customers for our TV+ product. Changes to FCC regulatory policies may affect the accessibility of communications services, and otherwise affect how telecommunications providers conduct their business. These regulations may adversely affect the Company's potential penetration into certain markets. In addition, its business and results of operations may also be adversely affected by the imposition of certain tariffs, duties and other import restrictions on components, which mPhase obtains from non-domestic component suppliers. Changes in current or future laws or regulations, in the U.S. or elsewhere, could materially adversely affect the Company's business.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no state or local laws to which we are subject that are relevant to our system from a regulation and certification standpoint. At the Federal level, we are subject to Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Regulations Under the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 47, Chapter 1, Part 15-RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES, and Part 68-CONNECTION OF TERMINAL EQUIPMENT TO THE TELEPHONE NETWORK. Part 15 sets out the requirements to obtain a license for operating a radiator of electromagnetic energy, and the technical and administrative specifications relating to the marketing of such radiators. Part 68 sets out the rules and regulations to provide for uniform standards for the protection of the telephone network from harms caused by the connection of terminal equipment and associated wiring thereto, and for the compatibility of hearing aids and telephones so as to ensure that persons with hearing aids have reasonable access to the telephone network.

Our products and equipment were designed to comply with the aforementioned rules and regulations. Compliance with FCC rules and regulations allows our equipment to be marketed and sold in the United States. While the certification process and costs associated have no material effect on mPhase's financial condition, failure to comply with FCC rules and regulations would result in loss of revenue and additional costs on product revision and/or redesign.

## Research and Development

mPhase has designed the legacy Traverser Digital Video Data Delivery System (DVDDS) product to delivery broadcast television, high-speed internet and voice to customers of telephone service providers. The digital engineering and design was developed primarily on an outsourced basis through Georgia Tech Research Corporation with analog portions of the product developed by Microphase Corporation. As of June 30, 2008, we had been billed a cumulative total of approximately \$13,563,000 for research and development conducted by Georgia Tech Research Corporation.

mPhase originally contracted with the Bell Labs division of Lucent Technologies, Inc. in fiscal year 2002 to reduce the cost of its INI set top box used with the Traverser DVDDS platform. During fiscal year 2003, the Company engaged Lucent to develop an integrated system with the Lucent Stinger DSLAM and mPhase middleware for the delivery of Television, high speed internet and voice on an open standards system to replace the proprietary Traverser product. Releases 1.0 and 2.0 of the TV+ solution were designed by Bell Labs to be ATM systems that operated exclusively with the Lucent Stinger DSLAM to enable a telecommunications service provider to deliver broadcast television, voice and high speed internet over DSL.

Release 3.0 of our TV+ system that replaced the ATM protocol that was used in prior releases of the TV+ uses internet protocol and is referred to as our IPTV solution. It was completed during May of 2006 by the Bell Labs division of Alcatel/Lucent Technologies, Inc. under a contract extended in August of 2005. We have not renewed our contract for software development of our TV+ product with Bell Labs. In the aggregate we have paid Bell Labs approximately \$4.9 million for our TV+ solution.

Since 2006 major vendors of further software development for the TV+ included Velankani Communications (the successor to Bell Labs as systems integrator of the TV+), Magpie Telecom Insiders, Inc, Espial Telecommunications, Inc. As of June 30, 2008 we have been billed a cumulative total of approximately \$3.2 million for research and development for our TV+ from these vendors. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 such activity was suspended. The Company is currently in disputes with several of its vendors in connection with its TV+ solution regarding the failure of such vendors to deliver certain aspects of its TV+ software that are not, in the Company's

opinion of carrier class quality.

Our SmartBattery and power cell technology research and development was performed by the Bell Labs division of Alcatel/Lucent from February of 2004 through March of 2007 at an aggregate cost of \$3.8 million. The Company paid Bell Labs \$300,000 covering the period from April 27, 2007 through July 30, 2007 at which time it determined that in order to develop a lithium battery for higher density energy than zinc, it required facilities capable of handling lithium battery research that Bell Labs does not have. The Company has engaged a number of small foundries during fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 for commercialization of its SmartBattery at a cost of approximately \$150,000.

From March of 2005 through March of 2007, the Company had further engaged Bell Labs in under separate Development Agreements for the development of a new generation of ultra magnetic sensors (magnetometers) using the science of nanotechnology with a total cost of \$2.4 million. The Company did not renew such its engagement with Bell Labs upon expiration and did not incur any costs with respect to its magnetometer in fiscal year 2008 since the Company has suspended further development of the product to conserve financial resources.

### **Employees**

mPhase and its subsidiary companies presently have a total of 10 full-time employees and consultants, two of whom are also employed by Microphase Corporation. See the description in the section entitled Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

**RISK FACTORS**

**RISKS RELATED TO FINANCIAL ASPECTS OF OUR BUSINESS**

The Company has been forced to curtail development of all products except its SmartBattery in order to conserve financial resources

The Company has currently been forced to focus exclusively on commercialization of only one of its products thereby eliminating product diversification. The Company's lack of financial resources to simultaneously develop multiple products increases its overall risk profile as a development-stage company.

mPhase's stock price has suffered significant declines during the past eight years and remains volatile.

The market price of our common stock closed at \$7.88 on July 26, 2000 and closed at \$.041 on September 23, 2008. During such period the number of shares outstanding of the Company increased from approximately 30 million shares to 527 million shares. Such increase was the result of periodic private placements by the Company in order to finance company operations. Stocks in telecommunications equipment providers of DSL products have been very volatile during such period. Our common stock is a highly speculative investment and is suitable only for such investors with financial resources that enable them to sustain the loss of their entire investment in such stock. Because the price of our common stock is less than \$5.00 per share and is not traded on the NASDAQ National or NASDAQ Small Cap exchanges, it is considered to be a "penny stock" limiting the type of customers that broker/dealers can sell to. Such customers consist only of "established customers" and "Accredited Investors" (within the meaning of Rule 501 of Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended-generally individuals and entities of substantial net worth) thereby limiting the liquidity of our common stock.

We may not be able to raise sufficient capital to meet bring our SmartBattery and other applications of our technology to market.

We may not be able to obtain the amount of additional capital needed until the Company has established a significant and predictable sales and revenues from our technology. We have been successful in the past as a micro-cap development stage company in raising capital; however recent trends in the capital markets are likely to pose significant challenges for the Company. Factors affecting the availability of capital include:

1.

The price, volatility and trading volume of our common stock.

2.

Future financial results including sales and revenues generated from operations.

3.

The market's view of the business sector of nanotechnology and batteries.

4.

The perception in the capital markets of our ability to execute our business plan.

We have reported net losses for each of our fiscal years from our inception in 1996 through the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 and may not be able to operate profitably in the future.

We have had net losses of approximately \$172 million since our inception in 1996 including approximately \$3.9 million and \$16.9 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007, respectively and cannot be certain when or if we will ever be profitable. We expect to continue to have net losses for the foreseeable future and have a need to raise not less than \$5 million in additional cash in the next 12 months through further offerings and existing convertible debt arrangements to continue operations. As of June 30, 2008 we have negative working capital of approximately \$3.8 million and a stockholders deficit of \$2.8 million. Cumulative negative cash flow from operations since inception has amounted to approximately \$79 million

Economic support from affiliated companies has been significant.

During the downturn in the telecommunications industry beginning in 2001, both Microphase Corporation, and Janifast Ltd. provided significant financial support to mPhase in the form of either cash infusions or conversions of related party debt. Such companies, which share common management with mPhase, are under no legal obligation to and may not be able to sustain such economic support of mPhase in the future should such support be necessary.

Our independent auditor's report expresses doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

The reports of the Company's outside auditors, Rosenberg, Rich, Baker, Berman & Company with respect to its latest audited 10K for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008, June 30, 2007, June 30, 2006, stated that "there is substantial doubt of the Company's ability to continue as a going concern." Such opinion from our outside auditors makes it significantly more difficult and expensive for the Company to raise additional needed capital necessary to continue our operations.

Our common stock is subject to significant dilution upon issuance of shares we have reserved for future issuance.

As of June 30, 2008 outstanding convertible debt equal to \$ 3,419,000 all of which has the right to convert into additional shares of our common stock at discounts ranging from 20%-25% of mPhase's then current stock price computed on a formula basis that may adversely affect the future price of our common stock. As of June 30, 2008 we have warrants and options convertible into approximately 192 million shares of our common stock at \$.50 per share or less that, upon exercise may result in significant dilution to many of our current shareholders and may adversely affect the future price of our common stock. We may be forced to raise additional cash for operations by selling additional shares of our common stock to shareholders at depressed prices resulting in further dilution to our shareholders.

#### **RISK FACTORS RELATED TO OUR OPERATIONS**

We have been a development-stage company since our inception in 1996 and have not to date had a significant or successful deployment of any of our flagship product including our SmartBattery.

We have derived no material revenues from our SmartBattery from inception of development in February 2004 through June 30, 2008 and we have been forced to discontinue further product development and marketing of both our TV+ and Magnetometer products owing to limited financial resources.

The loss of key personnel could adversely affect our business

Management and employment contracts with all of our officers have expired and no assurances can be given that such executives will remain with the Company or that the Company will be able to successfully enter into agreements with such key executives. All of our officers have made significant investments in the Company in the form of equity periodic purchases of common stock and bridge loans and been granted stock and stock options that are intended to represent a key component of their compensation. Such grants may not provide the intended incentives to such officers if our stock price declines or experiences significant volatility.

We may incur substantial expenditures in the future in order to protect our intellectual property.

We believe that our intellectual property with respect to our SmartBattery and our proprietary rights with respect to the Company's permeable membrane design consisting of both micro and nano scale silicon features, that are coated with a monolayer chemistry used to repel liquids is critical to our future success. We have four patents pending with respect to our SmartBattery product in order to protect our product, however no patents have yet been granted. Our pending patent applications may never be granted for various reasons, including the existence of conflicting patents or defects in our applications. Even if a U.S. patent is ultimately granted there are significant risks regarding enforcement of patents in international markets. There are many patents being filed as the science of nanotechnology develops and the Company has limited financial resources compared to large, well established companies, in order to bring patent litigation based upon claims of patent infringement.

#### **RISKS RELATED TO OUR TARGETED MAKETS**

The sale of new high technology products often has a long lead-time and a multiplicity of risks.

Commercialization of new technology products often have very long lead time since it is not possible to predict when major companies will license such technology for sale to their customers. The Science of Nanotechnology and Microfluidics used to develop our SmartBattery is in its very early stages and acceptance and demand for such products can often be a long evolutionary process. In the case of the Company's IPTV solution the roll-out of delivery of broadcast television by telephone service providers using internet protocol has taken 10 years to develop and is still moving slowly which has resulted in the Company currently delaying any further development of such product to conserve financial resources.



The science of nanotechnology is at a very early stage as a discipline and is subject to great uncertainty and swift changes in technology.

Micro fluid dynamics and the manipulation of materials of nano size and dimensions is a very new science and the creation of new products is dependent upon new and different properties of such materials created that will result in many uncertain applications and rapid change. The evolution of nanotechnology as a new science adds greater uncertainty to new applications and new and improved product introductions is unpredictable.

We may not be able to create new products from our intellectual property using microfluidics that will be acceptable in water purification, oil separation from water and other environment markets.

The market for "green" products and solutions is characterized by: Changing regulatory standards; New and improved product introductions; Changing customer demands; and

Large companies such as Exxon with great resources that are currently focusing significant monies for new solutions.

Our future success will depend upon our ability to achieve compelling technology innovations that are economic and practical to produce in large quantities .Success in new technology, products and services is a complex and uncertain process requiring high levels of innovation, highly-skilled engineering and development personnel, and the accurate anticipation of technological and market trends. We may not be able to identify, develop, market or support new or enhanced technology, products, or services on a timely basis, if at all owing to our size and limited financial resources.

The commercialization of many applications of our technologies will depend on our ability to establish strategic relationships with commercial partners.

We are seeking commercial partners with established lines of business and greater financial resources than our own. Such partners may not place the priority that we do on joint projects because the success or failure of such projects is not as material to other existing well developed lines of business.

Our SmartBattery and our potential applications of our technology are components of end products and therefore the products are tied to the success of such products

The compelling need for critical mission batteries and other applications of our nanotechnology will depend upon both military and commercial needs going forward and the demand for our products as components. Thus are SmartBattery and other applications of our technology success will depend upon the continuing need for the end user products over which will depend upon market demand.

## ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate headquarters is located at 587 Connecticut Avenue, Norwalk, CT 06854-1711. The Company leases this office space from Microphase Corporation under a facilities agreement with Microphase that provides that mPhase lease office space, lab facilities and administrative staff on a month-to-month basis for \$5,000 month. The Company also maintains an office in Little Falls, New Jersey with monthly rent of \$12,656 per month.

## ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On October 19, 2007, in connection with the settlement and dismissal of a civil law suit originally filed on November 16, 2005 by the Securities and Exchange Commission in the Federal District Court in the District of Connecticut, the SEC issued a Cease and Desist Order and certain remedial sanctions against two officers of mPhase Technologies, Inc. (the "Company"). The civil suit was filed against Packetport.com, Inc. a Nevada corporation, Microphase Corporation, a Connecticut corporation, a company that provides administrative services to the Company and shares common management with the Company, and others. The two officers of the Company were Mr. Ronald A. Durando, President and Chief Executive Officer and Mr. Gustavo T. Dotoli, the Chief Operating Officer. The Civil suit by the SEC named as respondents Mr. Durando, Mr. Dotoli and others in connection with their activities as officers and directors of Packetport.com. The cease and desist order from the SEC found that (1) All parties had violated Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by making unregistered offers or sales of common stock of Packetport.com.(2) Mr. Durando and Mr. Dotoli had violated Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Rule 16(a) there under by failing to timely disclose the acquisition of their holdings on Form 3's and (3) Mr. Durando had violated Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, for failing to disclose the acquisition of more than five percent of the stock of Packetport.com. Under the order Mr. Durando was required to disgorge \$150,000 and Mr. Dotoli was required to disgorge \$100,000. The Company was not named as a party to the civil suit. More information regarding the detailed terms of the settlement can be found in SEC release No 8858 dated October 18, 2007 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933 and SEC Release No. 56672 dated October 18, 2007 promulgated pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Mr. Durando and Mr. Dotoli have continued to serve as officers and directors of the Company. Mr. Durando and Mr. Dotoli together with Microphase corporation and others, without admitting or denying the findings of the SEC, except as to jurisdiction and subject matter, have consented to the entry of the Order Instituting Cease and Desist Proceedings, Making findings and Imposing a Cease and Desist Order and Remedial Sanctions pursuant to Section 8A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1933 and Section 21C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. From time to time mPhase may be involved in various legal proceedings and other matters arising in the normal course of business.

On November 9, 2007, Magpie Telecom Insiders, Inc ("Magpie") filed a lawsuit in the Federal District Court in the State of Colorado alleging a breach of contract by mPhase in connection with a Statement of Work dated July 10, 2006 in connection with software supplied by Magpie for mPhase's TV+ solution. The claim alleges the failure of mPhase to make payments amounting to \$679,969 under the contract. mPhase believes it has certain affirmative defenses to the claim including deficiencies in the software delivered by Magpie and failure to deliver support services by Magpie. mPhase has counterclaimed against Magpie asserting that the software delivered was not of "carried class" standards required in the telecommunications industry and that Magpie sold or attempted to sale mPhase common stock received in connection with a modification of the payments due under the Statement of Work in violation of Rule 10b-5 and the Federal Securities Laws. This litigation, at the time of filing was considered to be the culmination of a dispute in the ordinary course of business involving a vendor of mPhase's TV+ solution, it is now considered to involve a significant amount of time and legal expenses to defend as to be material to the Company's TV+ solution and a prime cause of the termination by Comstar/Odessa of its TV+ test in September of 2007. The Company cannot, at this time predict the outcome of this letter but believes it has meritorious defenses and counterclaims against Magpie in this action.

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS****(A) MARKET PRICES OF COMMON STOCK**

The primary market for mPhase's common stock is the NASDAQ OTC Bulletin Board, where it trades under the symbol "XDSSL." The Company became publicly traded through a merger with Lightpaths TP Technologies, formerly known as Tecma Laboratories, Inc. pursuant to an agreement dated February 17, 1997. The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices for the shares for the periods indicated as provided by the NASDAQ's OTCBB System. The quotations shown reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown, or commission and may not represent actual transactions. These figures have been adjusted to reflect a 1 for 10 reverse stock split on March 1, 1997.

YEAR/QUARTER	HIGH	LOW
Fiscal year ended June 30, 2001		
First Quarter	\$9.25	\$3.00
Second Quarter	5.94	1.47
Third Quarter	3.38	1.22
Fourth Quarter	2.61	1.03
Fiscal year ended June 30, 2002		
First Quarter	\$1.67	\$.31
Second Quarter	.86	.31
Third Quarter	.62	.27
Fourth Quarter	.50	.23
Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2003		
First Quarter	\$.32	\$.15
Second Quarter	.31	.15
Third Quarter	.36	.19
Fourth Quarter	.42	.28
Fiscal year ended June 30, 2004		
First Quarter	\$.42	\$.29
Second Quarter	.61	.29
Third Quarter	.69	.38
Fourth Quarter	.46	.29
Fiscal year ended June 30, 2005		
First Quarter	\$.31	\$.21
Second Quarter	.35	.23
Third Quarter	.60	.30
Fourth Quarter	.41	.25
Fiscal year ended June 30, 2006		
First Quarter	\$.29	\$.21
Second Quarter	.32	.15
Third Quarter	.45	.19
Fourth Quarter	.34	.18
Fiscal year ended June 30, 2007		
First Quarter	\$.21	\$.16
Second Quarter	.20	.15
Third Quarter	.24	.15
Fourth Quarter	.19	.09

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Fiscal year ended June 30, 2008

First Quarter	\$ .13	\$ .07
Second Quarter	.09	.05
Third Quarter	.14	.05
Fourth Quarter	.13	.07
		24

---

(B) HOLDERS

As of June 30, 2008, mPhase had approximately 440 million shares of common stock outstanding and approximately 19,000 stockholders of record and 192 million shares of common stock reserved for issuance upon the conversion of warrants and options respectively. As of June 30, 2007, we had approximately 388 million shares of common stock outstanding and approximately 18,000 shareholders

(C) DIVIDENDS

mPhase has never declared or paid any cash dividends on its common stock and does not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The Company currently intends to retain future earnings, if any, to finance operations and the expansion of its business. Any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will be based upon mPhase's financial condition, operating results, capital requirements, plans for expansion, restrictions imposed by any financing arrangements and any other factors that the Board of Directors deems relevant.

Issuances of Unregistered Securities

The following securities were issued by us within the past three years and were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act"). Each of the transactions is claimed to be exempt from registration under the Act.

In September 2001, certain of our officers and directors purchased an aggregate of 2,000,000 shares of common stock for an aggregate investment of \$1,000,000. These issuances included 1,000,000 shares to Mr. L. Barton, a director at that time, for an investment of \$500,000; 400,000 shares to Mr. Ronald A. Durando, the Company's president and a director, for an investment of \$200,000; 400,000 shares to Mr. Gustave Dotoli, the Company's vice-president and a director, for an investment of \$200,000; and; 200,000 shares to Mr. Martin S. Smiley, the Company's vice-president, for an investment of \$100,000; and were exempt pursuant to Section 4(2) and/or Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act.

In December 2001 and January 2002, we issued 6,797,643 shares of common stock and a like amount of warrants at an exercise price of \$.30 per share for a term of five (5) years pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act for approximately \$2,000,000 in cash. This issuance was exempt pursuant to Section 4(2) and/or Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act.

During the year ended June 30, 2002 the Company issued 7,492,996 shares of its common stock, and 5,953,490 warrants to related parties and strategic vendors, in connection with the conversion of \$2,738,658 of accounts payable and accrued expenses, of which 6,150,000 shares of common stock and 3,400,000 warrants were issued in settlement of \$1,460,000 of accounts payable to related parties as follows:

a.)

During December 2001, the Company converted \$660,000 of liabilities due to Microphase and \$360,000 of liabilities due to Janifast into 2,200,000 and 1,200,000, respectively, shares of the Company's common stock and a like amount of warrants to purchase one share each of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$.30 pursuant to debt conversion agreements pursuant to Section 3(a)(9) of the Act and 320,000 shares of common stock plus warrants to purchase another 320,000 shares of common stock at \$.30 for a term of 5 years, respectively, were issued to strategic vendors pursuant to Section 3(a)(9) of the Act.

b.)

During the quarter ended March 31, 2002 the Company converted \$96,000 of liabilities due to Strategic Vendors into 320,000 shares of the Company's common stock and a like amount of warrants to purchase one share each of the

Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$.30 pursuant to debt conversion agreements pursuant to Section 3(a)(9) of the Act.

c.)

Effective March 31, 2002, the Company converted \$420,872 of liabilities due to Piper Rudnick LLP, outside legal counsel to mPhase pursuant to Section 3(a)(9) of the Act into a warrant to purchase up to a total of \$1,683,490 shares of the Company's common stock which pursuant to EITF 96 18, has an approximate value of \$.30 per share and a warrant to purchase 550,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$.30 per share pursuant to the terms of payment agreement. In addition, Piper agreed to accept a Promissory note for \$420,872 of current payables at an interest rate of 8% with payments of \$5,000 per month commencing June 1, 2002 and continuing through December 1, 2003, with a final payment of principal plus accrued interest due at maturity on December 31, 2003. Additionally, 1,022,996 shares of common stock were issued to strategic vendors, the value of which was based upon the price of the Company's common stock on the effective date of settlement with each strategic vendor, to settle \$761,786 of liabilities pursuant to Section 3(a)(9) of the Act. The conversion of \$1,182,658 of such liabilities which, together with gains from cash settlements of \$27,960 resulted in an aggregate gain on extinguishments of \$142,236.

d.)

Effective for June 30 2002, the Company converted \$360,000 of liabilities due to Microphase and \$80,000 of liabilities due to Janifast into 2,250,000 and 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock, respectively, pursuant to debt conversion agreements pursuant to Section 3(a)(9) of the Act.

From August 2001 to June 2002, we issued an aggregate of 2,976,068 shares of common stock to consultants for an aggregate of \$1,202,997. We also issued an aggregate of 2,675,000 warrants to consultants for an aggregate of \$1,040,000. Each transaction was pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Act.

During the year ended June 30, 2003, we issued 4,296,680 shares of Common Stock at \$.30 per share plus 5 year warrants to purchase 4,296,680 shares of Common Stock at \$.30 per share in a Private Placement pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act, generating net proceeds to the company of approximately \$1,164,000.

During the year ended June 30, 2003, the Company issued 426,000 shares of its common stock valued at \$112,245 and 1,690,000 warrants, valued at \$203,150 based upon the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Company recorded these charges, totaling \$318,395 to operations for the year ended June 30, 2003. Each transaction was pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Act.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003, the Company converted certain payables and accrued expenses with officers, related parties and strategic vendors pursuant to Section 4(2) and to Section 3(a)(9) of the Act aggregating approximately \$1.9 million into 5,923,333 restricted shares of the Company's common stock and 5 year warrants to purchase an additional 3,706,800 restricted shares of the Company's common stock. Of these, 5,533,333 shares of common stock and 3,491,800 warrants were issued in settlement of \$1,748,756 of debt to related parties as follows:

a.)

The conversion of \$620,000 and \$360,000 of liabilities due to Microphase corporation, and Janifast Ltd into 3,033,000 shares and 1,500,000 shares of stock, respectively. The value attributable to the shares was based upon the market price of the Company's common stock on the measurement date, such date was determined pursuant to EITF00-1, as to when all the contingent terms of the conversion agreements were met, in which no gain or loss was recognized on the conversion of \$980,000 of debt, and;

b.)

Also included in such conversions during the year ended June, 30 2003, were transactions whereby the Company converted \$525,967 of liabilities; \$269,362 due to the Company's president, \$211,605 due to the vice president and



\$45,000 due to the a sales manager who is also concurrently employed by Microphase, for unpaid management compensation and sales commissions due from mPhase into warrants to purchase up to a total of 2,656,500 shares of the Company's common stock. The aggregate value of such warrants was estimated using the Black-Scholes options pricing model, pursuant to EITF 96-18, having an approximate value of \$.21 per share, or \$538,173. The Company recorded a settlement expense of approximately \$12,206 with respect to the Company's president and vice president.

c.)

Strategic vendors converted \$117,486 of payables into 340,000 shares of the Company's common stock on the measurement date the value of which was based upon the price of the Company's common stock on the effective date of settlement with each party. This resulted in a gain of \$37,383, which, when combined with all conversions and the gains from cash settlements of \$36,049 for the fiscal year 2003, resulted in a net gain on extinguishments in the statements of operations of \$61,226 for the year ended June 30, 2003.

In August of 2003, the Company issued 333,334 shares of its common stock together with a like amount of warrants in a private placement pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act, generating net proceeds of \$100,000 which was collected during the three month period ended on September 30, 2003.

During the six months ending December 31, 2003, the Company granted 924,667 shares of its common stock and warrants to purchase 249,667 shares of its common stock to consultants for services performed, valued at \$307,243, and charged to operations during the period. Each transaction was pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Act.

During the three months ended December 31, 2003, the Company issued 500,000 shares of its common stock pursuant to warrants previously issued to purchase said shares pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act for an aggregate of \$150,000 in cash.

In December of 2003, the Company issued to five accredited investors 2,300,000 shares of its common stock together with a like amount of 5 year warrants to purchase one share each of the Company's common stock, with an exercise price of \$.35 per share, in a private placement pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act generating net proceeds of \$805,000, \$175,000 of which was collected in January, 2004. An advisor of the Company was issued 100,000 shares for assisting in this transaction.

In January of 2004, the Company issued to twenty-three accredited investors 7,160,720 shares of its common stock together with a like amount of 5 year warrants to purchase one share each of the Company's common stock, with an exercise price of \$.35 per share, in a private placement pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act generating net proceeds of \$2,506,250, all of which was collected in January, 2004.

In March and April of 2004, the Company issued to six accredited investors 1,811,429 shares of its common stock together with a like amount of 5 year warrants to purchase one share each of the Company's common stock, with an exercise price of \$.35 per share, in a private placement pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act generating net proceeds of \$634,000, all of which was collected in March and April, 2004. Two advisors of the company were issued 128,826 shares of its common stock together with a like amount of 5 year warrants to purchase one share each of the Company's common stock, with an exercise price of \$.35 per share for assisting in this transaction.

In June of 2004, the Company issued to three accredited investors 3,844,000 shares of its common stock together with two warrants each to purchase a like amount of stock at \$.35 and \$.50 respectively at a price of \$.25 per unit. Such warrants are exercisable for a period of 5 years and are callable at \$.10 per \$100,000 of the value of the shares in which such warrants may be converted if the common stock of the company trades for 20 consecutive days above (i) \$.50 per share in the case of the \$.35 warrant and (ii) \$.75 per share in the case of the \$.50 warrant.

During the year ended June 30, 2004, the Company issued 17,446,441 shares of its common stock valued at \$6,419,545 and 900,000 warrants, valued at \$300,901 based upon the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Company recorded these charges, totaling \$130,450 to operations for the year ended June 30, 2004. Each transaction was pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Act.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, the Company converted certain payables and accrued expenses with GTRC, a strategic vendor, pursuant to Section 4(2) and to Section 3(a)(9) of the Act aggregating approximately \$1.8 million into 5 year cashless warrants to purchase an additional 5,039,200 restricted shares of the Company's common stock valued at \$.35 per share plus a \$100,000 term promissory note. The Company is in arrears with respect to the first payment on the note and is currently renegotiating the amount of the note and payment schedule since the note includes past and future royalty payments with respect to the Company's patents covering its Traverser DVDDS, some of which the Company may relinquish going forward.

A July private placement of 622,000 shares, each with two separate 5 year warrants were sold for \$ 155,000, each warrant specifying the right to purchase one additional share at \$.25 and \$.50, respectively. A September private placement of 1,050,000 shares, each with two separate 5 year warrants was sold for \$ 247,400, each warrant specifying the right to purchase one additional share at \$.25 and \$.35, respectively. A total of 3,344,000 shares have been reserved to provide for conversion in connection with these warrants.

During the three months ending December 31, 2004, the Company granted 134,500 shares of its common stock to consultants for services performed valued at \$26,900. Additionally, the Company issued 2,817,954 shares of its common stock pursuant to the exercise of previously outstanding warrants, generating net proceeds intended to be used for general corporate purpose of \$563,590. During the quarter ended December 31 of 2004, the Company issued equity units consisting of 10,717,500 shares of its common stock together with a like amount of warrants, with an exercise price of \$.25, in a private placement generating net proceeds intended to be used for working capital and general corporate purposes, of 2,116,600 of which \$2,066,600 was collected through December 31, 2004 and \$50,000 was collected in January of 2005. A consultant who assisted the Company with this transaction also received 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock.

During January of 2005, the Company issued an additional 3,750,000 shares of equity units as part of the private placement begun in the second quarter of fiscal year 2005, generating additional proceeds of \$750,000. Additionally, 1,000,000 shares of common stock plus a 5 year warrant for a like amount of shares at \$.25 per share were issued to Janifast Ltd. upon conversion of \$200,000 of accounts payable. In addition 424,875 shares of common stock plus a 5 year warrant for a like amount of shares at \$.25 per share were issued to Martin Smiley, CFO and General Counsel of the Company in connection with his conversion of a \$75,000 promissory note plus accrued interest of \$9,975. In addition 65,000 shares of common stock and a 5 year warrant for a like amount of stock at \$.25 per share were issued to Mr. Durando, President and CEO of the Company for conversion of \$13,000 of accrued interest on various promissory notes issued by the Company and also received 1,395,400 shares of common stock of the Company in connection with the exercise of a warrant at \$.01 per share previously awarded for unpaid compensation. A reduction in principal of \$13,954 of a \$75,000 promissory note to Mr. Durando was made for payment of the exercise price of \$.01 per share under the warrant. Mr. Gustave T. Dotoli, Chief Operating Officer of the Company also was issued 375,000 shares of common stock of the Company in connection with the exercise of a portion of a warrant at \$.01 per share. Payment for such exercise was made in exchange for cancellation of \$3,750 of accrued interest on a \$75,000 promissory note. Finally Mr. Souzzo, a consultant, received 100,000 shares of common stock plus a 5 year warrant for a like amount of stock at \$.25 per share in exchange for cancellation of \$20,000 of accounts payable.

The December 31, 2004 an outstanding subscriptions receivable balance of \$50,000 was fully collected in January of 2005. Additionally, the December 2004 private placement was closed out in January of 2005 with the placement of 3,600,000 equity units at \$.20 per unit consisting of one share of common stock plus 5 year warrants for a like amount of shares with a strike price of \$.25 per share generating net proceeds of \$720,000 to the Company.

A January Private Placement realized net proceeds of \$357,250 upon issuance of 1,793,750 shares of Common Stock at \$.20 per share plus 5 year warrants to purchase 1,793,750 shares of Common Stock at \$.25 per share. A later Private Placement realized net proceeds of \$1,351,000 upon issuance of 4,920,000 shares of Common Stock plus 5 year warrants to purchase 4,920,000 shares of Common Stock at \$.25 per share.

In January of 2005 there were stock option awards issued to two consultants for services performed. The company granted 250,000 options to a consultant for professional services, these options provide for the right of stock purchase at an exercise price of \$.25; these options have a five year life and expire in January of 2010. A second award issued a like number of options to another service provider under similar terms, except that the options associated with this second award offer a call feature, available to the company, for redemption of such options at a call price of \$.45 at any time during their five year life. In aggregate, 400,000 options were issued in connection with these awards and will result in a charge to General and Administrative non-cash expense in the amount of 133,990 in the third quarter of fiscal 2005. The valuation of this charge was made on the basis of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option premium model.

In February of 2005, GTARC tendered 5,069,242 of cashless warrants which they held in connection with a previous debt settlement in exchange for 4,949,684 of the company's shares of common stock, the balance of the 119,558 warrants were effectively cancelled as a result of certain warrant exercise exchange provisions adjusting the exchange rate based on specified stock pricing experience as per the original debt settlement agreement.

A March Private Placement resulted in the realization of net proceeds of \$1,217,000 upon issuance of 4,396,667 shares of Common Stock at \$.30 per share plus 5 year warrants to purchase 4,396,667 shares of Common Stock at \$.30 per share.

On February 17 of 2005, the Company granted 2,600,000 warrants and 400,000 options to consultants for services performed valued at \$1,328,600 and \$204,400, respectively. The warrants and options provide the right to purchase a share of mPhase common stock at an exercise price \$.45 and \$.30 per share, respectively, over their 5 year life expiring in February of 2010. These warrant and option awards were valued on the basis of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option premium model and the value of the award will be expensed to General and Administrative non-cash expenses in the third quarter of fiscal 2005.

On January 15, 2005, Martin Smiley converted a \$100,000 convertible note payable by the Company in exchange for 400,000 shares of common stock and a like number of warrants that were price at \$.25 per unit. General and Administrative non-cash expense in the amount of \$131,750 was recognized in the third quarter of fiscal year 2005 consistent with the market price of the stock of \$.31 on the date of the award.

Also in January of 2005, Martin Smiley was awarded additional compensation of 425,000 shares of common stock. This award resulted in a charge to General and Administrative non-cash expense in the amount of \$ 131,750 in the third quarter of fiscal 2005, representing expense recognition consistent with the market price of that stock of \$.31 on the date of that award.

In January of 2005 there were stock option awards issued to two consultants for services performed. The company granted 250,000 options to a consultant for professional services, these options provide for the right of stock purchase at an exercise price of \$.25; these options have a five year life and expire in January of 2010. A second award issued a like number of options to another service provider under similar terms, except that the options associated with this second award offer a call feature, available to the company, for redemption of such options at a call price of \$.45 at

any time during their five year life. In aggregate, 400,000 options were issued in connection with these awards and will result in a charge to General and Administrative non-cash expense in the amount of \$ 133,990 in the third quarter of fiscal 2005. The valuation of this charge was made on the basis of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option premium model assumptions of a 145% volatility or beta factor and a 2.6% interest rate factor.

In February of 2005, GTARC tendered 5,069,242 of cashless warrants which they held in connection with a previous debt settlement in exchange for 4,949,684 of the company's shares of common stock, the balance of the 119,558 warrants were effectively cancelled as a result of certain warrant exercise exchange provisions adjusting the exchange rate based on specified stock pricing experience as per the original debt settlement agreement.

A March Private Placement resulted in the realization of net proceeds of \$1,217,000 upon issuance of 4,396,667 shares of Common Stock at \$.30 per share plus 5 year warrants to purchase 4,396,667 shares of Common Stock at \$.30 per share.

On February 17 of 2005, the Company granted 2,600,000 warrants and 400,000 options to consultants for services performed valued at \$1,328,600 and \$204,400, respectively. The warrants and options provide the right to purchase a share of mPhase common stock at an exercise price \$.45 and \$.30 per share, respectively, over their 5 year life expiring in February of 2010. These warrant and option awards were valued on the basis of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option premium model and the value of the award will be expensed to General and Administrative non-cash expenses in the third quarter of fiscal 2005.

On January 15, 2005, the company converted a \$ 100,000 convertible note payable to Martin Smiley in exchange for 400,000 shares and a like number of warrants that were price at \$.25 per unit or \$100,000 in aggregate. Also in January of 2005, Martin Smiley was awarded additional compensation of 425,000 shares of common stock. This award will result in a charge to General and Administrative non-cash expense in the amount of \$ 131,750 in the third quarter of fiscal 2005, representing expense recognition consistent with the market price of that stock of \$.31 on the date of that award.

In late February and early March of 2005, the Company converted approximately \$173,898 in accounts payable due various vendors into 535,296 shares of common stock aggregating \$183,310 in full settlement of those obligations and pursuant to Section 3(a)(9) of the Act.

During May 2005, the Company adjusted the exercise price of \$.45 per share of an investor's 5 year warrant to purchase 714,296 shares of common stock. The warrant was originally issued in January 2005, to \$.225 in July of 2005. In July of 2005 such investor exercised a portion of such warrant, as adjusted, to purchase 200,000 shares of the Company's common stock generating \$45,000 of net proceeds to the Company.

During the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2006 the following transactions impacted stockholders equity

On July 20, 2005, at the Company's annual meeting of Shareholders, the Shareholders ratified an amendment to its Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 250,000,000 to 500,000,000 shares.

#### Private Placements:

During the first fiscal quarter, the Company issued 4,648,625 unregistered shares together with 5 year warrants to purchase 4,648,625 shares at \$.25 per share in a private placement pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933 generating \$920,000 of gross proceeds. Also during the quarter, the Company issued 9,877,000 shares of its common stock together with 5 year warrants to purchase a like amount of shares at \$.20 per share in two private placement pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933 generating \$2,167,400 of gross proceeds.

During the second fiscal quarter the Company issued 1,702,900 shares together with of 5 year warrants to purchase 1,702,900 shares of the Company's common stock to accredited investors at \$.20 per share in a private placement

generating pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933 generating \$340,580 of gross proceeds. Also during the quarter, the Company issued 11,477,785 shares together with of 5 year warrants to purchase 11,477,785 shares of the Company's common stock to accredited investors at \$.18 per share in a private placement pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933 generating \$2,238,973 of gross proceeds.

During the third fiscal quarter, the Company issued 29,861,772 shares together with of 5 year warrants to purchase 29,861,772 shares of the Company's common stock to accredited investors at \$.18 per share in a private placement generating pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933 generating \$5,065,265 of gross proceeds.

In addition, the Company issues approximately 2,426,698 shares as finders fees as part of the private placements during the year. (See also comments regarding 12,792,117 shares explained under Reparations below)

#### Warrants Exercised:

During the first fiscal quarter the Company issued 225,000 shares of common stock pursuant to the exercise of warrants issued prior to the 3 month period generating net cash proceeds of \$45,000.

During the second fiscal quarter, the Company issued 1,714,286 shares of its common stock pursuant to the exercise of warrants, generating net proceeds of \$294,857.

During the third fiscal quarter, the Company issued 12,530,834 shares of its common stock pursuant to the exercise of warrants, generating net proceeds of \$2,525,867.

During the fourth fiscal quarter the Company issued 1,250,000 shares of its common stock pursuant to the exercise of warrants, generating net proceeds of \$250,000 to the Company.

### Options and Stock Based Compensation

At various points during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, the Company issued stock options to employees and officers for the right to purchase 23,595,000 shares. Pursuant to the adoption of FAS 123(R), the Company recognized an expense in the amount of \$3,837,423, all of which has been included in general and administrative expense. The fair value of options granted in 2007 were estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes stock option pricing model, based on the following weighted average assumptions: annual expected return of 0%, annual volatility of 108.5%, based on a risk-free interest rate of 4.4% and expected option life of 3 years.

During the fiscal year the Company issued to key employees and consultants common stock shares in the aggregate amount of 11,500,000 for services rendered. The value of such shares was determined based on the fair market value of the Company's stock on the date that such transaction was authorized. Accordingly, the Company recorded a charge to earnings in the aggregate amount of \$2,439,000.

### Debt Conversions

During the second fiscal quarter the Company converted \$369,000 and \$171,000 of liabilities due to Microphase Corporation, and Janifast Ltd into 2,050,000 shares and 950,000 shares of stock and warrants, respectively. In addition the Company converted \$50,000 of liabilities due to a strategic vendor into 331,864 shares of stock plus warrants of 277,778. The value attributable to the shares was based upon the market price of the Company's common stock on the measurement date.

### Reparations

At various times during the second and third fiscal quarters, the Company issued shares of its common stock together with a like amount of warrants as reparation to affect revised pricing on previous private offerings. This additional consideration was afforded to stockholders who participated in the private placement of equity units and invested a minimum of 30% of their original investment. Each unit consisted of one share of stock and a warrant to purchase an equal amount of shares at \$.18 per share. As additional consideration, each investor received the amount of shares that were required to bring the average cost of the total investment down to \$.18 per share (range of original investment \$.25 - \$.35). A total of 29,848,271 of such shares were issued as reparation under such a program and the Company recorded a charge to earnings (Other Expense) in the amount of \$5,530,504. In addition, shares in the amount of 12,792,117 were issued and charged to "Additional Paid In Capital" as an appropriate incentive for the additional cash investment.

During the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2007 the following transactions impacted stockholders equity

.

### Private Placements:

During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, the Company issued 6,780,716 shares of its common stock together with 5,555,556 of 5 year warrants to purchase one share each of the Company's common stock, with an exercise price of \$.18 per share in private placements generating net proceeds of \$1,104,000.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 6,622,223 shares of its common stock together with 5 year warrants to purchase 1,388,889 of the Company's common stock, with an exercise price of \$.18 per share in private placements generating net proceeds of \$833,866. Included in these amounts are finders fees paid in cash and 566,667 additional shares of common stock.



During the quarter ended March 31, 2007, the Company issued 14,973,083 shares of its common stock. Private placements generating net proceeds of \$1,777,503; included in this amount is an estimate of finder's fees to be paid of \$209,000.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2007, the Company issued 19,582,038 shares of its common stock. Private placements generating net proceeds of \$2,476,000; included in this amount is an estimate of finder's fees to be paid of \$41,000

Warrants Exercised:

During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, the Company issued 138,889 shares of its common stock pursuant to the exercise of warrants, generating net proceeds of \$25,000 to the Company.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 12,101,780 shares of its common stock pursuant to the exercise of warrants, generating net proceeds of \$1,669,667 to the Company. In addition, the Company issued to certain investors new 5 year warrants to purchase 11,111,112 of the Company's common stock, with exercise prices ranging from \$.15 - \$.18 per share.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2007, the Company issued 2,500,000 shares of its common stock pursuant to the exercise of warrants, generating net proceeds of \$375,000 to the Company.

### Options and Stock Based Compensation

During the twelve months ended June 30, 2007, the Company authorized the issuance of 10,455,000 in options and warrants of 2,821,113 to employees, officers, and consultants granting the right to purchase a like amount of common shares. Pursuant to the adoption of FAS 123(R), the Company recognized an expense in the amount of \$1,321,853, all of which has been included in general and administrative expense. The fair value of options granted was estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes stock option pricing model, based on the following weighted average assumptions: annual expected return of 0%, annual volatility of ranging between 80% -95%, based on a risk-free interest rate of 4.8% and expected option life of 5 years.

During the twelve months ended June 30, 2007, the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 18,172,983 shares of common stock, with an aggregate value of \$2,668,615 as compensation to consultants and employees. The stock value ranged in price from \$.12 to \$.20 per share, the fair value on the date of the awards.

### Debt Conversions

During the twelve months ended June 30, 2007, the Company converted accounts payable of \$991,709 into 6,073,728 shares of common stock.

### Reparations

During the twelve month period ended June 30, 2007, the Company became obligated to issue 22,664,580 of its common stock as reparation to affect revised pricing on previous private placements. This additional consideration was afforded to past investors who agreed to make an additional cash investment as part of a new private placement. The cost of such consideration was estimated to be the fair value of such shares at the time of the investment of \$1,874,020.

During the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2008 the following transactions impacted stockholders equity

### Private Placements

During the quarter ended September 30, 2007, the Company issued 500,000 shares of its common stock in private placements generating net proceeds of \$50,000

During the quarter ended December 31, 2007, the Company issued 850,000 shares of its common stock in private placements generating net proceeds of \$48,000

During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, the Company issued 23,250,000 shares of its common stock in private placements generating net proceeds of \$1,162,500.

### Exercise of Warrants

During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, 11,111,112 warrants to purchase common stock were exercised and additional warrants of 11,111,112 issued. Such transaction generated net proceeds of \$650,000.

### Other Equity Transactions

During the year months ended, June 30, 2008, the Company issued 500,000 shares of stock, 110,000 of options and approximately 13.5 million warrants to purchase common stock valued at \$346,985 to individuals and investors. In addition, it issued approximately 5.2 million shares of common stock valued at \$230,927 to reflect re-pricing agreements, 1,109,200 shares were issued to pay for finders fees valued at \$100,000 and issued 5,250,000 shares of

common stock valued at \$165,000 in connection with debt financing arrangement (see convertible debt below) The fair value of shares issued was estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes pricing model, based on the following weighted average assumptions: annual expected return of 0%, annual volatility of ranging between 70 -79 %, based on a risk-free interest rate of 2.25% and expected option life of 5 years

#### Investment in Granita Media inc

An investment of \$514,000 was received by Granita Media, Inc, a subsidiary formed July 1, 2007, to operate its IPTV business. Since the company remains the controlling shareholder in Granita Media and such results are consolidated, this investment is included in Additional Paid In Capital.

#### Convertible Debt Short Term

In September, 2007, the Company received proceeds of \$154,000 of convertible debt bearing interest at an annual rate of 15% and due September 1, 2008. Such debt may be converted into the Company's common shares at a price equal to a 20% discount from the 20 day average bid and ask price. Such election is at the option of the Company on September 1, 2008. In March 2008, \$100,000 of such debt was converted into 2,727,264 shares of common stock.

#### Long Term Convertible Debentures / Note Receivable / Debt Discount

During Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2008 the Company entered into three separate convertible debt arrangements with independent investors. These transactions are intended to provide liquidity and capital to the Company and are summarized below.

#### Arrangement #1

In December, 2007, the Company received proceeds of \$500,000 under a Securities Purchase Agreement. This transaction involves three related agreements: 1) A Securities Purchase Agreement which may under certain circumstances permit the Company to draw up to \$6,000,000 of funds; 2) A Convertible Debenture in the amount of \$1.5 million, with an interest rate of 7 ¼% and a maturity date of December 11, 2010 and 3) A Secured Note Receivable in the amount of \$1.0 million, with an interest rate of 8 ¼ % and a maturity date of February 1, 2011 due from the same parties who are the holders of the Convertible Debentures

Conversion of outstanding debentures into common shares is at the option of the holder. The number of shares into which this debenture can be converted is equal to the dollar amount of the debenture divided by the lesser of \$.35 per share or 80% of the 3 lowest Volume Weighted Average Prices during a 20 day trading period. At the time of the transaction (December 11, 2007) the derivative value of this security was calculated to be \$1,678,471. On June 30, 2008, given the decrease in the stock price, this value had decreased to \$322,636 creating a non-cash credit to earnings over the year of \$1,355,835 In addition, the transaction resulted in a note discount of \$1.5 million which is being amortized as expense over the life of the loan.

During the fourth quarter of FYE 2008, \$135,000 of such debt was converted into 2,177,723 shares of common stock and the Company received a \$50,000 payment under the provisions of the related Note Receivable. Subsequently, through September 18, 2008, an additional \$560,000 was converted into 14,792,000 shares and the Company received additional payments of \$700,000 of its Note Receivable. The Note Receivable is secured by all the assets of Golden Gate. It should also be noted that the holder has the right to offset unpaid principal amount against the note receivable as well as receive certain compensatory fees in the event of a default.

#### Arrangement #2

In February 2008, the Company entered into a Convertible Debenture transaction which involved the receipt of \$500,000 cash, a note payable of \$550,000 and the issuance of 3,250,000 shares of stock valued at \$260,000. The relative fair value of the shares was \$105,000 as of June 30, 2008. The terms of the debenture provide for a 7.5% interest rate, a due date of February 2012 and allow similar conversion privileges equal to 75% of the average of the three lowest prices over a 20 day period. The derivative value of such security was estimated to be \$581,428 on the date of issuance. On June 30, 2008, this value had decreased to \$142,593 creating a non cash credit to earnings of \$438,835. The cost of the shares issued and related debt discount is being amortized to expense over the life of the debenture. In the event of default under the note payable the holder is entitled to certain compensatory fees.

#### Arrangement #3

In April, 2008, the Company received proceeds of \$300,000 under a Securities Purchase Agreement. This transaction involves three related agreements: 1) A Securities Purchase Agreement which may under certain circumstances permit the Company to draw up to \$1,300,000 of funds; 2) Two Convertible Debentures totaling \$1,450,000, with a one time interest factor of 12% and a maturity date of March 25, 2011 and 3) A Secured Note Receivable in the amount of \$1.0 million, with a one time interest factor of 13.2 % and maturity dates of March 25, 2012 due from the same parties who are the holders of the Convertible Debentures.

Conversion of outstanding debentures into common shares is at the option of the holder. The number of shares into which this debenture can be converted is equal to the dollar amount of the debenture divided by 75%- 80% of the 3 lowest Volume Weighted Average Prices during a 20 day trading period. At the time of the transaction the derivative value of this security was calculated to be \$2,493,212. On June 30, 2008, given the decrease in the stock price, this value had decreased to, \$284,922 creating a non-cash credit to earnings over the year of \$2,208,290. In addition, the transaction resulted in a note discount which is being amortized as expense over the life of the loan. Draws under the Note Receivable are not anticipated until October 2008 and is collateralized by \$1 million of Blue Chip Stocks. In

total 2 million shares were issued in connection with the convertible debenture with a relative fair value of \$160,000 included in debt discount.

**ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

The selected financial data set forth below should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the historical financial statements and notes included in this annual report. The statement of operations data from October 2, 1996 (date of inception) to June 30, 1997 and for the year ended June 30, 1998, and the balance sheet data as of June 30, 1997 and 1998, are derived from financial statements that have been audited by Schuhalter, Coughlin & Suozzo, LLC, independent auditors, and are included in this document. The statement of operations data for the years ended June 30, 1999, 2000, and 2001 and the balance sheet data as of June 30, 1999, 2000, and 2001 are derived from financial statements that have been audited by Arthur Andersen LLP., independent auditors. The statement of operations data for each year ended June 30, 2002 through June 30, 2008 and the balance sheet data as of June 30, 2002 through June 30, 2008 are derived from financial statements that have been audited by Rosenberg Rich Baker Berman & Company, independent auditors, and are included in this document.

**SUMMARY OPERATING DATA**  
Year Ended June 30,  
(in thousands except per share data)

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>Cumulative from inception October 2, 1996 to June 30, 2008</u>
Total revenues	\$4,641	\$1,711	\$975	\$154	\$113	\$22,562
Cost of sales	4,068	1,446	974	90	1	16,425
Research and development	4,070	5,127	8,035	6,393	988	58,960
General and administrative	4,178	6,580	11,121	8,684	4,032	109,472
Depreciation and amortization	123	63	79	94	145	3,270
Operating loss	(7,798)	(11,505)	(19,234)	(15,107)	(5,053)	(165,565)
Other income (expense), net	150	382	(5,182)	(1,726)	1,311	(6,320)
Interest income (expense)	(111)	(111)	(35)	(18)	(215)	(383)
Net loss	(\$7,759)	(\$11,234)	(\$24,451)	(\$16,851)	(\$3,957)	(\$172,268)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	(\$0.10)	(\$0.10)	(\$0.12)	\$0.05	\$0.01	
Shares used in basic and diluted net loss per share	77,677,120	108,657,578	199,610,372	310,395,562	405,032,339	

**BALANCE SHEET DATA**  
in \$000's

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$90	\$351	\$1,360	\$23	\$16
Working capital (deficit)	(\$2,112)	(\$1,674)	(\$1,093)	(\$3,088)	(\$3,853)
Total assets	\$2,591	\$2,232	\$2,182	\$1,808	\$2,351

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Long-term obligations, net of current portion	\$1,038	\$315	\$0	\$0	\$1,161
Total stockholders' (deficit)	(\$2,918)	(\$1,618)	(\$606)	(\$2,754)	(\$2,805)

---

## Selected Quarterly Financial Information

The statement of operations data as of the quarterly periods indicated below are derived from unaudited financial statements reviewed by Rosenberg, Rich, Baker, Berman & Company, and include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items) that management considers necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements.

FISCAL 2008 QUARTERLY STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA:	Three Months Ended			
	September 30,	December 31	March 31,	June 30,
	(in thousands, except share amounts)			
Total revenues	\$35	\$61	\$1	\$16
Costs and Expenses:				
Cost of sales	0	1	0	0
Research and development	560	285	277	(134)
General and administrative	1,497	985	576	974
Depreciation and amortization	34	81	21	9
Operating loss	(2056)	(1291)	(873)	(833)
Interest expense, Net	(12)	(38)	(145)	<u>(\$20)</u>
Other Income (expense)	<u>(718)</u>	<u>1436</u>	<u>(2487)</u>	<u>3,080</u>
Net Loss	(\$2,786)	\$107	(\$3,505)	\$2,227
Basic and diluted net (loss) gain per share	(\$0.01)	\$0.00	(\$0.01)	\$0.00
Shares used in basic and diluted net loss per share	389,791,154	392,557,583	397,367,531	418,881,266
Includes certain reclassification from previous reported amounts				

FISCAL 2007 QUARTERLY STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA:	Three months ended			
	September 30	December 31	March 31	June 30
	(in thousands, except share amounts)			
Total revenues	\$106	\$15	\$15	\$18
Costs and Expenses:				
Cost of sales	85		3	2
Research and development	1,990	1,723	1,251	1,429
General and administrative	1,962	1,405	1,645	3,672
Depreciation and amortization	22	22	23	28
Operating loss	(3,954)	(3,136)	(2,906)	(5,111)
Interest expense, Net	(4)	(8)	1	(7)
Other Income (expense)		(695)	(359)	(672)
Net Loss	(\$3,958)	(\$3,839)	(\$3,264)	(\$5,791)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	(\$0.01)	(\$0.01)	(\$0.01)	(\$0.02)
Shares used in basic and diluted net loss per share	282,306,237	300,483,022	327,195,047	363,823,271
				34



FISCAL 2006 QUARTERLY STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA:	Three months ended			
	September 30	December 31	March 31,	June 30,
	(in thousands, except share amounts)			
Total revenues	\$381	\$168	\$284	\$142
Cost of Sales	337	135	256	246
Research and development	1,861	1,961	2,298	1,915
General and administrative	1,092	2,090	4,820	3,119
Depreciation and amortization	20	20	17	22
Operating loss	(2,929)	(4,038)	(7,107)	(5,160)
Interest expense, Net	(14)	(6)	(5)	(10)
OTHER income (EXPENSE) NET	(12)	(4,270)	(498)	(402)
Net loss	<u>(\$2,955)</u>	<u>(\$8,314)</u>	<u>(\$7,610)</u>	<u>(\$5,572)</u>
Basic and diluted net loss per share	<u>(\$.02)</u>	<u>(\$.04)</u>	<u>(\$.03)</u>	<u>(\$.03)</u>
Shares used in basic and diluted net loss per share(1)	<u>152,291,645</u>	<u>174,998,048</u>	<u>262,539,165</u>	<u>270,387,574</u>

FISCAL 2005 QUARTERLY STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA:	Three months ended			
	September 30	December 31	March 31	June 30
	(in thousands, except share amounts)			
Total revenues	\$179	\$296	\$564	\$672
Costs and Expenses:				
Cost of Sales	130	245	448	623
Research and development	1,101	1,055	1,664	1,307
General and administrative	709	2,071	2,639	1,032
Depreciation and amortization	<u>1</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>1</u>
Operating loss	(1,762)	(3,203)	(4,249)	(2,291)
Interest expense, Net	(29)	(66)	(37)	21
Other Income (Expense) Net	(41)	-	(59)	482
Net loss	<u>(\$1,832)</u>	<u>(\$3,269)</u>	<u>(\$4,345)</u>	<u>(\$1,788)</u>
Basic and diluted net loss per share	<u>(\$.02)</u>	<u>(\$.04)</u>	<u>(\$.04)</u>	<u>(\$.01)</u>
Shares used in basic and diluted net loss per share(1)	<u>89,719,962</u>	<u>93,388,984</u>	<u>120,015,504</u>	<u>137,719,500</u>

FISCAL 2004 QUARTERLY STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA:	Three months ended			
	September 30	December 31	March 31	June 30
	(in thousands, except share amounts)			
Total revenues	\$2,489	\$1,291	\$555	\$306
Costs and Expenses:				
Cost of sales	2,099	1,191	484	294
Research and development	611	843	1,404	1,212
General and administrative	605	914	803	1,856
Depreciation and amortization	<u>46</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>22</u>
Operating loss	(872)	(1,685)	(2,162)	(3,078)
Interest expense, Net	(16)	(16)	(20)	(59)
Other Income (Expense) Net	23	-	(152)	278
Net Loss	<u>(\$865)</u>	<u>(\$1,701)</u>	<u>(\$2,334)</u>	<u>(\$2,859)</u>

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Basic and diluted net loss per share	(\$.01)	(\$.02)	(\$.03)	(\$.03)
Shares used in basic and diluted net loss per share(1)	<u>71,725,318</u>	<u>72,814,272</u>	<u>81,564,405</u>	<u>84,885,017</u>

---

35

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS AND PLAN OF OPERATIONS

The following is management's discussion and analysis of certain significant factors, which have affected mPhase's financial position and should be read in conjunction with the accompanying financial statements, financial data and the related notes.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### OVERVIEW

mPhase Technologies, Inc. (OTC BB: XDSL.OB) is a development company specializing in microfluidics, microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) and nano- technology. Through its wholly owned subsidiary AlwaysReady, Inc., mPhase is focused on commercializing its first nanotechnology-enabled product for military and commercial applications - The Smart NanoBattery providing Power On Command™. Our new well-patented battery technology, based on the phenomenon of electrowetting, offers a unique way to store energy and manage power that will revolutionize the battery industry. Features of the Smart NanoBattery include: potentially infinite shelf life, environmentally friendly design, fast ramp to power, programmable control, and direct integration with microelectronic devices.

The platform technology behind the Smart NanoBattery is a porous nanostructured material used to repel and precisely control the flow of liquids. The material has a Smart Surface that can potentially be designed for self-cleaning applications, water purification/desalination, liquid filtration/separation, and drug delivery. mPhase has been awarded a Phase II Small Business Technology Transfer Program (STTR) grant, part of the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program, from the U.S. Army for continued development of a reserve Smart Nano-Battery for a critical computer memory application. mPhase Technologies, Inc. was founded in 1996 and is headquartered in Little Falls, New Jersey, with additional offices in Norwalk, Connecticut, and New York City.

mPhase Technologies, Inc., is an inventive and innovative development company specializing in microfluidics, micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS) and nanotechnology. A public company trading under the stock symbol OTC BB: XDSL, mPhase was founded in 1996 and is headquartered in Little Falls, New Jersey, with additional offices in Norwalk, Connecticut, and New York City. In 2004, mPhase Technologies collaborated with the renowned Bell Laboratories to develop a new technology called the Smart NanoBattery that has the potential to revolutionize the battery industry. This well-patented technology is based on a phenomenon known as electrowetting that provides a unique way to activate the battery to gain access to energy stored and manage power. The platform technology behind the Smart NanoBattery is a porous nanostructured component that repels and precisely controls the flow of certain liquid.

mPhase's Smart NanoBattery technology has been incorporated in leading-edge research and development projects supported by various groups within the U.S. Army for mission critical static random access memory (SRAM) backup and guided munitions applications. mPhase also sees opportunities beyond batteries given the versatility of its enabling platform technology.

mPhase received a Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) Program Phase I grant last year for \$100,000 from the U.S. Army and in September 2008 was awarded a prestigious \$750,000 (net \$500,000) Phase II STTR grant to continue battery development work for the SRAM project. The company has also been working with the U.S. Army as part of a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA).

Recently, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, mPhase has focused on development of a lithium Smart NanoBattery. Working closely with Rutgers University, mPhase introduced the first version of the lithium Smart NanoBattery designed for portable electronics and microelectronic applications.

One version of the lithium battery based on a breakable separator is being developed for an emergency flashlight application. mPhase collaborates with other companies and organizations and is actively seeking partners interested in using the Smart NanoBattery or its platform technology for power systems and other applications.

#### Controlling Surface Properties

The surface is an important part of virtually every physical object and often plays an overriding role in many processes, beyond just connectivity and structural support, but more deeply into areas involving chemical and biological interactions. In some instances, the surface provides an easy entry into the chemical or biological systems, in others it protects the internal elements of the object, surrounded by the surfaces.

**Microscopic Changes, World-Changing Innovation** mPhase's platform technology is the *Smart Surface*. By being able to control the surface properties of materials down to the nanometer scale, new and improved devices can be designed and built that may lead to compelling business opportunities. One type of smart surface of particular interest allows the properties to be changed in response to an external stimulus.

Initially, mPhase's development focused on MEMS devices by manipulating the surface of silicon materials – the same material used to make microelectronic materials and devices. Using physical and chemical processes, the surface of the silicon is modified to make solid porous structures known as membranes. This is where microfluidics comes into play. These membranes can be used to selectively control the flow of liquids through the pores or openings at the micrometer length scale.

Surfaces may be characterized as *hydrophilic* or *hydrophobic* depending on whether or not they attract or repel water (or other liquids). A hydrophilic surface can be wet and adsorbs water. A hydrophobic surface, on the other hand, cannot be wet. Hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces are abundant in nature and in synthetic materials, both organic and inorganic in chemical composition.

#### Platform Technology

A familiar example of a hydrophilic surface is a sponge that readily soaks up water. By contrast, many plant leaves and flower petals are hydrophobic, as are insect parts and bird feathers. Synthetic hydrophobic surfaces include Scotchgard™ treated fabric, Teflon® coated metal, or Rain-X® coated glass. On a hydrophobic surface, water beads up and can move around without being absorbed by the solid material that it is resting on.

#### Engineering Inspired by Nature

But there is more. So-called *superhydrophobic* surfaces are also found in nature and can now be replicated in the lab. The lotus leaf and rose petal, for example, exhibit superhydrophobicity. Here water droplets form almost perfect spheres with hardly any contact with the underlying solid surface. This makes the liquid even easier to move and manipulate.

The synthesis of superhydrophobic surfaces has recently been made possible by advances in nanotechnology and mPhase is leading the way to better understand and create materials and devices incorporating these unique surface properties.

### Enormous Application Possibilities

As mPhase's research and development efforts evolve, in addition to silicon materials, the ability to control the surface properties of materials can be extended to other substances such as polymers, ceramics, metals and fibers as examples, providing opportunities for our platform technology to be used in a range of potential applications such as energy storage and power management for portable electronics and microelectronics, self-cleaning surfaces, filters for water purification or desalination systems, materials for environmental remediation that separate liquids or solvents, and other situations where the control of the interaction of a solid surface exposed to a liquid is vitally important.

### Building a Better Battery

Battery technology has changed little in its fundamentals over the past 150 years. As a result, ordinary batteries begin dissipating energy as soon as they are assembled and therefore have limited shelf life. Chemistries are fixed inside the package so the user cannot interact with the contents to program functionality. The size and form of batteries have not kept pace with the miniaturization of electrical components, microprocessors and integrated circuits. As a result, the optimal implementation of an electronic device is not always achieved. Some batteries contain chemicals that are not considered safe or environmentally friendly ("green"). This makes disposal a potential issue.

mPhase is challenging this convention by using their proprietary superhydrophobic porous silicon membrane technology as the basis to build the Smart NanoBattery providing Power On Command™.

### Infinite Shelf Life & Improved Power Management

Superhydrophobicity initially keeps the liquid electrolyte physically separated from the solid electrodes of the battery, thus preventing the chemical reactions from occurring that cause the battery to provide power. This gives the Smart NanoBattery the benefit of potentially infinite shelf life.

A conventional battery loses some capacity while sitting on the shelf in its package or stored in an electronic or electrical device, even before being used for the first time. On the other hand, the Smart NanoBattery is built so that it is inactive and remains that way indefinitely until it is turned on. No power is lost to self-discharge or leakage current prior to activation. When needed, the Smart NanoBattery can be activated on command via the phenomenon of electrowetting. The surface properties of the porous silicon membrane are selectively controlled to shift instantly from a superhydrophobic to hydrophilic state. In other words, electrowetting acts as the triggering mechanism.

### Smart Nanobattery

mPhase has successfully fabricated and demonstrated its first 3-volt lithium-based Smart NanoBattery, based on a design allowing either manual or remote activation by the user, a feature known as Power on Command™. By incorporating the phenomenon of electrowetting on nanostructured surfaces into a revolutionary way of storing energy, the Smart NanoBattery provides power to portable electronic and microelectronic devices exactly when and where it is needed. It is an alternative and an augmentation to conventional batteries, still converting stored chemical energy into usable electrical energy, but in a way that is potentially more reliable, more versatile, more environmentally friendly, and less expensive than the industry norm.

Delivering Guaranteed Power on Command

mPhase is exploring military and commercial applications of smart surfaces in which the properties can be accurately and precisely controlled down to the nanometer scale. Electrowetting allows the switching from a hydrophobic to hydrophilic state as a result of an electronic stimulus.

The Smart NanoBattery, mPhase's first smart surface product, has a unique architecture that enables a shelf life of decades, remote activation, programmable control, scalable manufacturing, and adaptability to multiple configurations. The value proposition to the end user is to have a source of energy or power that is literally always ready - reliable, convenient, low cost - a battery guaranteed to work at full capacity when and where needed

The Smart NanoBattery can conceivably supply power "*on command*" to a wide variety of portable electronic and microelectronic devices used in military, medical, industrial, and consumer applications.

mPhase has already proven that the battery works in lab tests as well as in a significant field test conducted for the U.S. Army as part of a guided munitions project. The relationship with the Army also includes a \$850,000 funded project to develop a battery for a mission critical computer memory backup application. The target is a small footprint, 3-volt lithium battery with a minimum shelf life of 20 years and uninterruptible power output during this time period. No other battery technology available today can deliver the long-term performance requirements specified by the U.S. Army for this application.

The Smart NanoBattery can potentially be designed to accommodate a variety of sophisticated portable electronic and microelectronic devices including next- generation cell phones and PDAs, handheld gaming devices, wireless sensor systems, RFID tags, high-tech flashlights and beacons, health alert alarms, and non- implantable and implantable medical devices such as pacemakers.

Initial applications will address the need to supply emergency and backup power to a range of products for defense and security, with future applications in the commercial and consumer arenas.

As its first commercial product, mPhase is developing an emergency flashlight using a simplified version of the Smart NanoBattery with a patent-pending breakable separator in place of the electrowettable membrane. The emergency flashlight is envisioned as a compact device that would be activated with a simple push-button switch to provide Power On Command™ in the event of an emergency. The device would be ideal for storing in the glove compartment of a car, on boats, trains or planes, coupled with fire extinguishers, or packed with safety, first aid and survival kits.

Electrowetting is the physical phenomenon by which a voltage is used to change the wetting properties of a liquid/solid interface. An example of this is a water droplet on a hydrophobic (water repelling) surface. A water droplet will minimize its contact with such a surface. In its battery application, mPhase uses electrowetting as a new technique to activate a battery once it is ready for first time use.

## Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

### TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2008 VS. JUNE 30, 2007

#### Revenues

. Total revenues for the year ended June 30, 2008 decreased from \$153,504 to \$112,928. The decrease was primarily attributable the phasing out of Company's IPTV products. Revenue for the FYE was derived from the testing the Company's nanotechnology battery

#### Cost of sales

. Cost of sales was declined by approximately \$89,000 for the year ended June 30, 2008. The decrease results from the shift in strategy related to the IPTV segment and the sale of component parts (POTS Splitters etc) to an IPTV solution system based product such as (Comstar/Odessa). In addition, advisory fees received in connection with our Nanotechnology power cell have no associated cost of sale.

#### Research and Development

. Research and development expenses were \$988,091 for the year ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$6,393,215 in the year ended June 30, 2007, a decrease of \$5,405,124. During the year, as a result of the refocus of efforts exclusively into the nanotechnology battery research cost dropped significantly. The phasing out of research efforts relative to IPTV and the magnetometer reduced cost by approximately \$3.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. In addition, included in 2008 results, is the reversal of a \$400,000 accrual recorded in June of 2007, after a reassessment of our strategy related to the Magnetometer and the lack of a contractual obligation.

#### General and Administrative Expenses

. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$4,031,618 for the year ended June 30, 2008 down from \$8,684,053 for the comparable period in 2007, a decrease of \$4,652,435. During fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, the company incurred non-cash charges amounting to \$185,874 for stock based compensation awarded to officers, employees and consultants. During fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, such charges amounted to \$3,363,218 a decrease of \$3,016,233. In addition, the number of employees decreased by 15 resulting in lower payroll of approximately \$600,000 and reduced level of expenses across the board including: legal \$400,000, marketing related \$250,000 and travel \$320,000.

#### Other Income and Expense

. The current FYE 2008 reflects non cash charges of \$392,038 for reparations, \$505,910 charges related to the impairment of Granita Inventory and net settlement income of \$200,890. During the prior FYE 2007, reparation expense amounted to \$1,874,020 and settlement income was \$336,009. In addition, during FYE 2008, the Company realized a non-cash net gains of approximately \$1,838,748 resulting from the issuance and the changes in value relative to convertible debt. Included is a gain resulting from the change in derivative value of \$3,837,960 offset in part by amortization of debt discount, stock issuance cost and other charges amounting to \$1,899,212 as well as a non cash interest charge of \$100,000

#### Net loss

. mPhase recorded a net loss of \$3.956,721 million for the year ended June 30, 2008 as compared to a loss of \$16.9 million for the same period ended June 30, 2007. This represents a loss per common share of (\$.01) in 2008 as compared to (\$.05) in 2007, based upon weighted average common shares outstanding of 405,032,339 and 310,395,562 during the periods ending June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007 respectively.

### TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 VS. JUNE 30, 2006

#### Revenues

. Total revenues for the year ended June 30, 2007 decreased to \$153,504 from \$975,482 for the year ended June 30, 2006. The decrease was primarily attributable to decreased sales of the Company's ADSL POTS Splitter product line caused by a downturn of orders and the move of telecommunications service providers from ADSL to VDSL technology for deployment of IPTV. The Company also recognized \$43,192 of revenue in connection with its nanotechnology battery product mostly in the form of advisory revenues to a major credit card provider seeking to develop a "smart" credit card. The Company did not receive any additional revenues in fiscal year 2007 from its new IPTV solutions. The Company spent considerable efforts during fiscal year 2007 continuing product development of its IPTV solution and we do expect certain added revenue in fiscal year 2008 from deployments of our TV+ solution.





### Cost of sales

. Cost of sales was \$89,802 for the year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$974,583 in the year ended June 30, 2006. This decrease of \$884,781 reflects the decline in sales resulting from the shift in strategy in the IPTV segment from the sale of component parts (POTS Splitters etc) to an IPTV solution system based product such as (Comstar/Odyessa). In addition, advisory fees received in connection with our Nanotechnology power cell have no associated cost of sale.

### Research and Development

. Research and development expenses were \$6,393,215 for the year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$8,034,964 in the year ended June 30, 2006, a decrease of \$1,641,749. Expenditures to strategic vendors related to the IPTV business amounted to \$3.1 for FYE 2007 as compared to \$4.0 million for FYE 2006. Expenditures related to Nanotechnology amounted to \$2.3 million compared to \$2.5 for FYE 2006.

### General and Administrative Expenses

. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$8,684,053 for the year ended June 30, 2007 down from \$11,121,235 for the comparable period in 2006, a decrease of \$2,437,182. During fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, the company incurred non-cash charges amounting to \$6,276,423 for stock based compensation (including options) awarded to officers, employees and consultants. During fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, such charges amounted to \$3,363,218 a decrease of \$2,913,205. Other significant components were an increase in payroll of \$474,130 to \$1,987,119 and an increase in legal expense of \$449,545 to \$726,122. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in officer compensation fees of \$325,000.

### Other Income and Expense

reflects non cash charges of \$1,874,020 for FYE 2007 versus \$5,530,504 in FYE 2006 for the value of shares issued to investors to reflect market changes in the common stock (reparation expense).

### Net loss.

mPhase recorded a net loss of \$16.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to a loss of \$24.5 million for the same period ended June 30, 2006. This represents a loss per common share of (\$.05) in 2007 as compared to (\$.12) in 2006, based upon weighted average common shares outstanding of 310,395,562 and 199,610,372 during the periods ending June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007 respectively.

## CURRENT PLAN OF OPERATIONS

### Expanded Market Potential for Proprietary Membrane Technology

-

The core membrane technology used to enable the Smart NanoBattery's propriety membrane design can potentially be used to develop other non-power source applications and products. The Company's market potential for using the membrane design of this patent pending core technology broadens the application areas outside the portable power energy field.

The Company's permeable membrane design consisting of both micro and nano scale silicon features, that are coated with a monolayer chemistry used to repel liquids. The membrane works using microfluidics principle that permits the dynamic control of surfaces when interacting with liquids, and as a result, the membrane can be tuned to filter out certain types of materials. In the reserve battery application, the properties of the membrane are used to create a super hydrophobic that prevents the batteries electrolyte from coming into contact with the dry electrodes of the battery until activation. In a similar way, the membrane can be designed so that it can control the passing of liquids through the pores of the membrane, acting as a filter, allowing and restricting materials to pass through the membrane. This ability opens up the potential to use the membrane's design in new configurations for applications that require controlled filtering of materials used in the health, environmental, food services as well as other industries.

## RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

mPhase throughout its history has outsourced its research and development activity with respect to all of its product lines. The Company engaged the Bell Labs division of Lucent Technologies in February of 2004 to development a power cell using the science of nanotechnology. The Company terminated its development efforts with Lucent Bell Labs in fiscal years 2008 with respect to micro power cell products using the science of nanotechnology since the facilities at Bell Labs were only able to provide development of zinc based batteries. The Company has determined that in order to develop a commercially viable product that higher energy lithium based batteries are required and has established a research relationship with Rutgers University that has facilities capable of handling development of lithium batteries.

From March of 2005 through March of 2007, the Company pursuant to the terms of a Project Development Agreement engaged Bell Labs to development a magnetometer or electronic sensor products using the science of nanotechnology. Such Project Development Agreement was not renewed by the Company in order to conserve financial resources. No further development has occurred on the magnetometer, however, the Company, believes that the intellectual property created may have significant value in the future depending upon further scientific progress in the field and market developments.

Since inception, but prior to the end fiscal year 2006, the Company incurred \$13.5 million for research and development conducted by Georgia Tech Research Corporation in connection with its legacy Traverser DVDDS technology that was a proprietary end to end solution of hardware and software enabling telecommunications service providers to delivery broadcast television, high-speed internet and voice over copper telephone lines In fiscal year 2003 the Company began the transition of its product to development of a carriers standard open platform using middleware platform and transferred its research and development from Georgia Tech Research Corporation to the Bell Labs division of Lucent Technologies Inc. In May of 2007, the Company decided not to renew its Project Development Agreement for its TV+ solution with Bell Labs and chose a number of new software vendors to finalize its IPTV solution. The Company incurred research and development expenses with Lucent for fiscal years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 of \$2.3 million and \$4.4 million. It should be noted that all expenditures during FYE 2007 have been in connection with Nanotechnology.

The amount of research and development costs the Company has expended from its inception through June 30, 2008 is \$59.0 million. During the year ended June 30, 2008, the Company incurred research and development expenses of \$188,000 related to the development IPTV solutions compared to \$4.1 million for the same period ended June 30, 2007. In addition the Company incurred research and development expenses for the fiscal year June 30, 2008 of \$800,000 for its nanotechnology products as compared to \$2.3 million for fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.

#### STRATEGIC ALLIANCES IMPLEMENTED

The Company and Lucent share jointly in certain intellectual property developed with respect to nanotechnology products. The Company has established a working relationship with Rutgers University for development and testing of Lithium based batteries.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Research and development costs are charged to operations as incurred in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS"), No.2, "Accounting for Research and Development Cost."

### STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

On July 1, 2005, the Company adopted the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 123R, "*Share-Based Payment*" (SFAS 123R). SFAS 123R revised SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation" and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." SFAS 123R requires companies to measure and recognize compensation expense for all employee stock-based payments at fair value over the service period underlying the arrangement. Therefore, the Company is now required to record the grant-date fair value of its stock-based payments (i.e., stock options and other equity-based compensation) in the statement of operations. Effective, July 1, 2005, the Company adopted FAS 123R using the "modified prospective" method, and has recorded as an expense the fair value of all stock based grants to employees after such date. The Company has not restated its operating results for any prior fiscal year end or quarter.

### REPARATION EXPENSE

As an incentive for additional equity contributions, the Company will from time to time, adjust the cost of past private purchases of common stock through the issuance of additional shares in such magnitude as to reduce an investor's cost to an average price that more closely approximates current market value. The market value of additional shares issued without cash investment is charged to Reparation Expense, which is included in Other Expenses.

### MATERIAL RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company records material related party transactions. The Company incurs costs for engineering, design and production of prototypes and certain administrative functions from Microphase Corporation and the purchase of finished goods, primarily consisting of DSL splitter shelves and filters, from Janifast Limited. The Company has incurred costs for obtaining transmission rights. This enabled the Company to obtain re-transmission accreditation to proprietary television content that the Company plans to provide with its flagship product, the Traverser within its incorporated joint venture mPhase Television.Net, in which the Company owns a 56.5% interest.

Directors that are significant shareholders of Janifast Limited include Messrs Ronald A. Durando, Gustave T. Dotoli, Martin Smiley and Necdet F. Ergul.

Mr. Abraham Biderman is a Managing Director of Eagle Advisers, Inc., a firm that performs investment banking services for the Company and was employed until September 30, 2003 by our former investment banking firm Lipper & Company.

Mr. Durando, the President and CEO of mPhase, owns a controlling interest and is a director of Janifast Limited. Mr. Durando is Chief Operating Officer and Mr. Dotoli is an officer of Microphase Corporation. Mr. Ergul, who was the chairman of the board of mPhase until November 2007, owns a controlling interest and is a director of Microphase Corporation and Janifast Ltd. Microphase, Janifast and Hart Telephone have converted significant liabilities to equity in fiscal years June 30, 2001, 2002 and in the current fiscal year.

The following summarizes compensation to related parties for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006:

TOTAL

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

	Durando	Dotoli	Ergul Biderman	Smiley Guerino	Janifast	Microphase	RELATED		
Consulting/Salary	\$643,600	\$357,000	\$39,000	\$175,000			\$1,214,600		
Directors Stipend	\$7,500	\$7,500			\$7,500		\$22,500		
Rent			\$36,000			\$204,444	\$240,444		
Cost of Sales/Royalty					\$770,441	\$32,014	\$802,455		
Loan Interest						\$10,800	\$10,800		
R&D						\$197,639	\$197,639		
SG&A						\$86,923	\$86,923		
Estimated Value of Stock Issued	\$1,260,000	\$525,000	\$210,000	\$105,000	\$331,213		\$2,431,213		
Estimated Value of Options Issued	\$1,596,200	\$836,400	\$273,740	\$85,890	\$213,850	\$56,990	\$3,063,070		
Reparations Shares						\$834,633	\$728,434	\$1,563,067	
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$3,507,300</b>	<b>\$1,725,900</b>	<b>\$483,740</b>	<b>\$265,890</b>	<b>\$720,063</b>	<b>\$64,490</b>	<b>\$1,605,074</b>	<b>\$1,260,254</b>	<b>\$9,632,711</b>

44

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

The Following Summaries Compensation to Related Parties for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

	Durando	Dotoli	Ergul Biderman	Smiley	Guerino	Lawrence	Janifast	Microphase	TOTAL RELATED
Consulting / Salary	\$393,600	\$282,000		\$200,000					\$875,600
Directors Stipend and Interest	\$7,500	\$7,538	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$8,550	\$3,750	<u>\$3,750</u>		\$38,588
Rent								\$60,000	\$60,000
R&D								\$236,492	\$236,492
Finders Fees (including common shares)				\$520,000					\$520,000
Cost of Sales and SG&A							\$110,912	\$36,342	\$147,254
Reparations and Stock Based Compensation	<u>\$1,044,000</u>	<u>\$555,000</u>	<u>\$201,000</u>	<u>\$16,800</u>	<u>\$306,250</u>	<u>\$14,700</u>		<u>\$138,462</u>	<u>\$2,276,212</u>
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$1,445,100</b>	<b>\$844,538</b>	<b>\$204,750</b>	<b>\$540,550</b>	<b>\$514,800</b>	<b>\$18,450</b>	<b>\$3,750</b>	<b>\$249,374</b>	<b>\$332,834</b>
<b>PacketPort.com legal expense</b>									<b>\$611,807</b>
<b>Total expense to related parties</b>									<b>\$4,765,953</b>

The Following Summaries Compensation to Related Parties for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008

	Durando	Dotoli	Biderman	Smiley	Microphase	TOTAL RELATED
Consulting / Salary	\$393,600	\$282,000		\$200,000		\$875,600
Interest	\$19,490	\$4,156		\$18,752		\$42,398
Rent					\$60,000	\$60,000
R&D					\$28,151	\$28,151
Finders Fees			\$188,472			\$188,472
Cost of Sales and SG&A					<u>\$30,089</u>	\$30,089
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$413,090</b>	<b>\$286,156</b>	<b>\$188,472</b>	<b>\$218,752</b>	<b>\$118,240</b>	<b>\$1,224,710</b>

45

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Summary of amounts due to Officers  
For the year ended June 30, 2008

	<b>RON DURANDO</b>	<b>GUS DOTOLI</b>	<b>MARTIN SMILEY</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>NOTES PAYABLE OFFICERS</b>				
BALANCE 6/30/07	\$85,000	\$75,000	\$161,000	\$321,000
July 2007 Advances (Payments)	(\$30,000)	(\$75,000)		(\$105,000)
August 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$35,000	\$75,100	\$35,000	\$145,100
Sept 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$110,000			\$110,000
Assumption of Note Payable- Sovereign	\$110,000			\$110,000
Oct 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$75,000
Nov 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$76,000	\$36,000	\$11,000	\$123,000
Dec 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$25,000	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$25,000
Transferred to Deferred Comp	(\$148,000)	(\$123,500)		(\$271,500)
Jan 2008 Advances (Payments)	\$2,000	\$32,000		\$34,000
Feb 2008 Advances (Payments)	\$0	\$55,000	\$72,038	\$127,038
Mar 2008 Advances (Payments)	(\$180,000)	(\$47,500)	(\$40,000)	(\$267,500)
April 2008 Advances (Payments)	<u>(\$110,000)</u>	<u>(\$52,100)</u>	(\$45,000)	(\$207,100)
May 2008 Advances (Payments)			<u>(\$15,000)</u>	<u>(\$15,000)</u>
June 2008 Advances (Payments)				
<b>BALANCE Notes Payable Officers</b>	\$0	\$0	\$204,038	\$204,038
<b>Deferred Compensation</b>	\$278,000	\$323,500		\$601,500
<b>Due To Officers</b>				
BALANCE 6/30/07	\$188,400	\$75,500		\$263,900
Consulting Fee Earned - 1st Qtr	\$98,400	\$70,500		\$168,900
Consulting Fees Paid - 1st Qtr	(\$39,500)	(\$32,500)		(\$72,000)
Consulting Fee Earned - 2nd Qtr	\$98,400	\$70,500		\$168,900
Consulting Fees Paid - 2nd Qtr	(\$10,000)	(\$10,000)		(\$20,000)
Consulting Fee Earned - 3rd Qtr	\$98,400	\$70,500		\$168,900
Consulting Fees Paid - 3rd Qtr	(\$12,000)	(\$8,500)		(\$20,500)
Consulting Fee Earned - 4th Qtr	\$98,400	\$70,500		\$168,900
Consulting Fees Paid - 4th Qtr	<u>(\$221,510)</u>	<u>(\$204,244)</u>		(\$425,754)
<b>Balance Due to Officers</b>	\$298,990	\$102,256		\$401,246
<b>Interest Payable</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$18,751</u>	<u>\$18,751</u>
<b>Totals Payable to Officers</b>	<u>\$576,990</u>	<u>\$425,756</u>	<u>\$222,789</u>	<u>\$1,225,535</u>

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Through June 30, 2008, the Company has incurred development stage losses totaling approximately \$172 million and had cash and cash equivalents of \$15,533. At June 30, 2008, mPhase had a working capital deficit of \$3,853,019 as compared to a working capital deficit of \$3,088,439 as of June 30, 2007. The Company has a Convertible Debenture equity line of credit with Golden Gate Investors (total line of \$6 million) that should enable the Company to raise \$250,000 per month for the next fiscal year. Draws under this facility commenced July 2008. In addition, the Company has a similar \$1.0 million facility with JMJ Financial with draws anticipated to be available in October 2008. The Company believes this will be sufficient for its short-term liquidity. In the longer term, we estimate that the



Company will need to raise approximately \$1-\$1.5 million of additional capital above the funds anticipated from the monthly conversion by Golden Gate Investors and JMJ, to meet longer term liquidity needs through June 30, 2009. Such monies would be necessary primarily to fund future expenditures for commercialization of its SmartBattery products. Finally, depending upon sales and margins in fiscal year 2009, additional capital may be required to fund a portion of any growth necessary in operations.

Cash used in operating activities was \$3.7 million during the twelve months ending June 30, 2008. During such period, the cash used by operating activities consists principally of the net loss (\$3.9 million) less non cash changes related to convertible debt issued and associated changes in derivative value (\$1.2 million) and the reduction of accounts payable (\$995,000). These amounts are offset in part by non-cash charges related to issuance of common stock and options for services, the impairment of Granita inventory and reparation cost of \$185,874, \$505,910 and \$392,038 respectively.

During the twelve-month period ended June 30, 2008, the Company raised capital through private placements with accredited investors, whereby the Company issued 24.6 million shares of the Company's common stock, generating net proceeds to the Company of \$1,144,000 and generated \$650,000 of funds through the conversion of 11,111,113 warrants into shares of the Company's common stock.

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

During the twelve-month period ended June 30, 2007, the Company raised capital through private placements with accredited investors, whereby the Company issued 47,958,060 shares of the Company's common stock, generating net proceeds to the Company of \$6.2 million and generated \$2.1 million of funds through the conversion of 14,740,669 warrants into shares of the Company's common stock.

As a result, conversion of debt with related parties and strategic vendors during the periods enumerated is as follows:

Equity Conversions of Debt and Other Financial Instruments with Related Parties

<b>Janifast:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
Number of shares	950,000	830,769	
Number of warrants	950,000	0	
Amount converted to equity	\$171,000	\$108,000	
<b>Microphase Corporation:</b>			
Number of shares	2,050,000	0	
Number of warrants	2,050,000	0	
Amount converted to equity	\$369,000	\$0	
<b>Strategic Vendor Conversions:</b>			
Number of shares	331,864	5,242,959	
Number of warrants	277,778	0	
Amount converted to equity	\$50,000	\$1,996,561	
<b>Officers</b>			
Number of shares	0	0	
Number of warrants (A)	0	0	
Amount converted to equity	\$0	\$0	
<b>Total Related Party Conversions</b>			
Number of shares	3,331,864	6,073,728	NONE
Number of warrants	3,277,778	0	
Amount converted to equity	\$590,000	\$2,104,561	

LOSSES DURING THE DEVELOPMENT STAGE AND MANAGEMENT'S PLANS

Through June 30, 2008, the Company incurred development stage losses totaling approximately \$172 million and at June 30, 2008 had a working capital deficit of \$3,853,019. Funding in our traditional capital markets was difficult during FYE 2008. Management of the Company desired to avoid unnecessary dilution by issuing large amounts of equity at depressed prices to raise larger sums of cash. Alternatively the Company was able to enter into Convertible Debt arrangements with independent investors to provide liquidity and capital resources during the year. Such arrangements not only provided the Company with cash (\$1,350,000) but also with an equity line of credit of up to \$7 million (inclusive of funds received). These arrangements will likely provide much of the working capital anticipated to be needed during the next FYE. In addition and from time to time during FYE 2008, the Company raised necessary working capital via bridge loans from officers. Such loans have subsequently been repaid (see notes payable to officers). The Company's ability to continue as a going concern and its future success is dependent upon its ability to raise capital in the near term to: (1) satisfy its current obligations, (2) continue its research and development efforts, and (3) continue its efforts to commercialize and sell and receive military grants for its SmartBattery..

The Company is currently focused upon development and commercialization of its "Always Ready" battery product developed using the science of nanotechnology. The Company believes that such battery has a much longer shelf life than conventional batteries and will have significant commercial and military applications. The Company has temporarily suspended, to conserve financial resources, development of its magnetometer sensor devices also developed using the science of nanotechnology. In addition, although the Company has suspended all activity related

to its IPTV business, it continues to believe that the intellectual property created over years of development of its TV+ solution may have significant value as the market for IPTV further develops.

On August 30, 2004, the Company entered into a Payment Agreement with Piper & Rudnick LLC, its outside counsel in connection with the renegotiation of a Payment Agreement effective June 30, 2004. The Company, currently owes \$65,000 that is overdue under the terms of such agreement.

## ITEM 7A. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

The Company is not exposed to changes in interest rates as the Company has no floating rate debt arrangements and no investments in certain held-to-maturity securities. Under our current policies, we do not use interest rate derivative instruments to manage exposure to interest rate changes. A hypothetical 100 basis point adverse move in interest rates along the interest rate yield curve would not materially affect the fair value of any financial instruments at June 30, 2008. We believe that interest rate risks for our accounts receivable are insignificant. Sales to customers are denominated in dollars. Accordingly, we are not directly exposed to market risks from currency fluctuations.

## ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

See pages beginning 58.

### ITEM 8A.

#### Assessment of Internal Controls

#### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company is in the process of implementing disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's Exchange Act reports are recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of June 30, 2008, the management of the Company carried out an assessment, under the supervision of and with the participation of the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(b) and 15d-15(b). As of the date of this assessment, the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2008, as deemed appropriate for the size and scope of the Company's transactions and financial reporting requirements; however we did note certain deficiencies considered to be a reportable condition described below.

The Company's management performed additional accounting and financial analyses and other post-closing procedures including detailed validation work with regard to all the balance sheet account balances, additional analysis on income statement amounts and managerial review of all significant account balances and disclosures in the Annual Report on Form 10-K, to ensure that the Company's Annual Report and the financial statements forming part thereof are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, management believes that the financial statements included in this Annual Report fairly present, in all material respects, the Company's financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows for the periods presented.

#### Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the



Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the interim or annual financial statements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2008. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Our evaluation concluded that the company had no material weakness which would result in the reasonable possibility of a material misstatement described above; yet it did bring certain matters to our attention and we noted that we consider being a reportable condition.

Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control that in our judgment, could adversely affect the organization's ability to record, summarize and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements.

We noted that a condition which management considered to be a reportable condition existed because of the Company's lack of sufficient resources of accounting personnel with an appropriate level of knowledge and experience commensurate with the increasingly expanding financial reporting requirements of the Company, in both scope and complexity, as promulgated by SEC and generally accepted accounting principals (GAAP). Contributing to the lack of appropriate level of knowledge and experience commensurate with the Company's financial reporting requirements for the current Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008 was the initial implementation of accounting for financing agreements the Company entered into during this period which have characteristics of both equity and debt. This condition resulted in the identification of adjustments during the financial statement close process that have been recorded in the consolidated financial statements.

Our considerations of internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters in internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses as defined above. However, none of the reportable conditions described above is believed to be a material weakness.

Management concluded that the Company did maintain an effective level of internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2008 appropriate for the financial reporting requirements of the Company.

This report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding our internal controls over financial reporting. The disclosure contained under this Item 8A was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the SEC that permit us to provide only the disclosure under this Item 8A in this annual report.

#### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company is in the process of improving the internal control condition described above. However, Mphase Technologies is a small company with a total staff of approximately 10 employees and consultants. This size limits and may continue to limit, the Company's ability to provide for adequate backup of financial personnel Accordingly, efforts individually and in the aggregate maybe insufficient to fully eliminate the condition that could adversely affect

the organization's ability to record, summarize and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements.

## PART III

## ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Executive officers are selected by the Board of Directors. No family relationships exist between any of the executive officers or directors. The following table sets forth certain information with respect to each person, who is an executive officer or director. mPhase's executive officers and directors as of June 30, 2008 are as follows:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>AGE</u>	<u>POSITION(S)</u>
Ronald A. Durando	50	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Gustave T. Dotoli (2)	72	Chief Operating Officer and Director
Martin Smiley	60	Chief Financial Officer

## OUTSIDE DIRECTORS

Anthony H. Guerino (1)(2)	59	Director
Abraham Biderman (1)(2)	59	Director
Dr. Victor Lawrence	60	Director

(1) Member of the Audit Committee

(2) Member of the Compensation Committee

RONALD A. DURANDO is a co-founder of mPhase and has served as the Company's President, Chief Executive Officer and Director since its inception in October 1996. Since 1994, Mr. Durando has been an Officer of Microphase Corporation. Mr. Durando is not a Director of Microphase Corporation. From 1986-1994, Mr. Durando was President and Chief Executive Officer of Nutley Securities, Inc., a registered broker-dealer. In addition, Mr. Durando is also Chairman of the Board of Janifast, Ltd., a Hong Kong company, for operational and manufacturing companies in China. Mr. Durando is also President and a Director of PacketPort.com, Inc. ("Packet Port").

GUSTAVE T. DOTOLI has served as mPhase's Chief Operating Officer since October 1996 and has been a Director since October 1996. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Dotoli was President and CEO of State Industrial Safety, Inc. from 1986-1996. In addition, Mr. Dotoli currently serves as the Vice President of Corporate Development of Microphase Corporation. Mr. Dotoli is also a Director and Vice President of Packet Port. He is formerly the President and Chief Executive Officer of the following corporations: Imperial Electro- Plating, Inc., World Imports USA, Industrial Chemical Supply, Inc., SISCO Beverage, Inc. and Met Pack, Inc. Mr. Dotoli received a B.S. in Industrial Engineering from Fairleigh Dickenson University in 1959.

ANTHONY H. GUERINO has been a member of the Board since February 23, 2000. Since December 1997, Mr. Guerino has been an attorney in private practice in New Jersey. Prior thereto, Mr. Guerino served as a judge of the Newark Municipal Courts for over twenty (20) years, periodically sitting in the Essex County Central Judicial Processing Court at the Essex County Courthouse. Mr. Guerino has been a chairperson for and member of several judicial committees and associations in New Jersey, and has been an instructor for the Seton Hall School of Law's Trial Moot Court Program.

ABRAHAM BIDERMAN has been a member of the Board since August 3, 2000. He currently is the Managing Director of Eagle Advisers, Inc, a small investment banking firm. From 1990 through September 30, 2003, Mr. Biderman was employed by Lipper & Co. as Executive Vice President; Executive Vice President, Secretary and Treasurer of the Lipper Funds; and Co-Manager of Lipper Convertibles, L.P. Prior to joining Lipper & Co. in 1990, Mr. Biderman was Commissioner of the New York City Department of Housing, Preservation and Development from 1988 to 1989 and Commissioner of the New York City Department of Finance from 1986 to 1987. He was Chairman of the New York City Retirement System from 1986 to 1989. Mr. Biderman was Special Advisor to former Mayor Edward I. Koch from 1985 to 1986 and assistant to former Deputy Mayor Kenneth Lipper from 1983 to 1985. Mr. Biderman is a Director of the Municipal Assistance Corporation for the City of New York. Mr. Biderman graduated



from Brooklyn College and is a certified public accountant.

MARTIN SMILEY was elected on June 28, 2006 to the Board of Directors he joined mPhase as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and General Counsel in August 2000. Mr. Smiley has over twenty years experience as a corporate finance and securities attorney and as an investment banker. Prior to joining the company, Mr. Smiley served as a Principal at Morrison & Kibbey, Ltd., a mergers and acquisitions and investment banking firm from 1998 to 2000, and as a Managing Director for CIBC Oppenheimer Securities from 1994 to 1998. He served as a Vice President of Investment Banking at Chase Manhattan Bank from 1989 to 1994, and as a Vice President and Associate General Counsel for Chrysler Capital Corporation from 1984 to 1989. Mr. Smiley graduated with a B.A. in Mathematics from the University of Pennsylvania and earned his law degree from the University of Virginia School Of Law.

DR VICTOR LAWRENCE is bachelor Chair professor of Electrical Engineering and Associate Dean for Special Programs, in the Charles V Schafer, Jr. School of Engineering, at Steven Institute of Technology. Dr. Victor Lawrence is a member of the National Academy of Engineering and has worked in the information technology and communications field for over thirty years. He is an industry leader in digital communications R&D and services, an entrepreneur, an active member of engineering professional organizations, an author, and a teacher who has extensive international experience. Prior to joining Steven Institute of Technology Dr. Lawrence was Vice President, Advanced Communications Technology, Bell Laboratories, Lucent Technologies. He led the development of technologies that go into the most innovative, reliable, and cost-effective communications networks for the leading telecommunications service providers. He has supported Lucent's businesses with a staff of about 500 leading technologists and a budget of about \$100M. Major projects included gigabit, photonic, and wireless networking developments and services. He was responsible for a team of engineers that worked on performance analysis, simulations and development of broadband access and backbone networks for many national and international service providers. All of Lucent's R&D organizations relied on his high-technology support of computer-aided hardware design, physical and thermal design, systems compliance testing and certification, and design for high performance network control, signaling, and management. Earlier, he was Director, Advanced Multimedia Communications at Bell Labs, where he was responsible for systems engineering, exploratory development of multimedia signal processing, transmission, and switching, including speech and audio coding, modems, broadband transmission, ATM switching and protocols, and wireless communication and signal processing. He held a variety of leadership positions in data communications research, digital techniques, and information systems. His application of digital signal processing to data communications in the late 1980s and early 1990s led to many significant advances in high-speed transmission over copper lines (e.g., voice band modems and DSL), which helped create a global industry that leverages the public switched telephone network. Dr. Lawrence played a significant role in the development of every major international voiceband modem standard, making high-speed data communication over international networks possible. The universal availability of high-speed data connectivity stimulated the growth and widespread use of the Internet. He led the development of high-speed modem/fax chip sets that are used in data terminals, computers, and voice terminals for secure communications worldwide. His work on high-speed transceivers for local loop and for premises applications led to the development of a variety of DSL technologies, many of which are deployed today for broadband services. Entrepreneurship Dr. Lawrence spun off several ventures internal and external to Lucent to maximize the impact of technology developed in his organization.

At each annual meeting of stockholders, the newly elected directors' terms begin on the date of election and qualification, and continue through the next annual meeting following election. Terms may differ in the case where a director resigns, is removed from office, or until the time when a successor director is elected and qualified.

#### SECTION 16 (A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Directors, executive officers, and individual owning more than 10 percent of mPhase common stock are required to file initial reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The SEC regulations also require those persons to provide copies of all filed Section 16(a) reports to the Company. mPhase has reviewed the report copies filed in fiscal year 2007, and based also on written representations from those persons, the Company believes that there was compliance with Section 16(a) filing requirements for fiscal year 2008. All the officers and directors filed all of the required forms in a timely manner.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 and the two previous fiscal years, the compensation earned by mPhase's chief executive officer and the four other executive officers, whose compensation was greater than \$100,000 for services rendered in all capacities to the Company for the year ended June 30, 2008.

## SUMMARY EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

NAME & PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	SALARY	BONUS	AWARDS	STOCK AWARDS	OPTION AWARDS	NON-EQUITY INCENTIVE	PENSION VALUE	OTHER	TOTAL
<b>Ronald Durando</b> President Chief Executive Officer	2008	393,600	\$0	\$0	\$0		N/A	N/A	\$19,490 #4	\$413,090
	2007	\$393,600	\$0	\$860,000	\$196,000		N/A	N/A	\$7,500 #1	\$1,457,100
	2006	\$393,600	\$250,000	\$1,260,000	\$1,596,200				\$7,500 #1	\$3,507,300
<b>Gustave Dotoli</b> Chief Operating Officer	2008	\$282,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		N/A	N/A	\$4,156 #4	\$286,156
	2007	\$282,000	\$0	\$450,000	\$126,000		N/A	N/A	\$7,538 #2	\$865,538
	2006	\$282,000	\$75,000	\$525,000	\$836,400				\$7,500 #2	\$1,725,900
<b>Martin Smiley</b> Executive Vice President CFO Chief Legal Council Footnotes	2008	\$200,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		N/A	N/A	\$18,752 #4	\$218,752
	2007	\$200,000	\$0	\$262,500	\$56,000		N/A	N/A	\$8,550 #3	\$527,050
	2006	\$175,000	\$0	\$331,213	\$213,850		N/A	N/A	\$0	\$720,063

#1 consists of directors fees of \$7,500 in both 2006 and 2007

# 2 consists of directors fees of \$7,500 in both 2006 and 2007 plus interest of \$38 in 2007 on loan to the Company

#3 consists of directors fees of \$3,750 plus \$4,800 interest on loans to the Company

#4 Interest on loans to the Company

**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS**  
**at FISCAL YEAR END JUNE 30.2008**

	Number of Securities underlying Unexercised Options (Exercisable)	Number of Securities underlying Unexercised Options (Unexercisable)	Equity Incentive Plan awards Number of Securities	Option Exercise Price	Expiration Date	Number of shares of stock that has not been vested	Market Value of Shares not vested	Equity Incentive
Ronald Durando President CEO	500,000	0	0	\$0.45	6/19/2009	0	0	0
	1,000,000	0	0	\$0.45	6/19/2009	0	0	0
	2,500,000	0	0	\$0.35	12/31/2009	0	0	0
	550,000	0	0	\$0.18	2/23/2011	0	0	0
	3,450,000	0	0	\$0.18	2/23/2011	0	0	0
	475,000	0	0	\$0.21	2/23/2011	0	0	0
	3,525,000	0	0	\$0.21	2/23/2011	0	0	0
	1,000,000	0	0	\$0.21	3/28/2011	0	0	0
	750,000	0	0	\$0.25	6/14/2011	0	0	0
	25,000	0	0	\$0.25	6/14/2011	0	0	0
	1,400,000	0	0	\$0.21	8/24/2011	0	0	0
Gustave Dotoli COO	250,000	0	0	\$0.45	6/19/2009	0	0	0
	500,000	0	0	\$0.35	6/19/2009	0	0	0
	1,000,000	0	0	\$0.35	12/31/2009	0	0	0
	550,000	0	0	\$0.18	2/23/2011	0	0	0
	1,250,000	0	0	\$0.18	2/23/2011	0	0	0
	475,000	0	0	\$0.21	2/23/2011	0	0	0
	1,325,000	0	0	\$0.21	2/23/2011	0	0	0
	750,000	0	0	\$0.21	3/28/2011	0	0	0
	500,000	0	0	\$0.25	6/14/2011	0	0	0
	25,000	0	0	\$0.25	6/14/2011	0	0	0
	900,000	0	0	\$0.21	8/24/2011	0	0	0
Martin Smiley Executive VP	550,000	0	0	\$0.18	2/23/2011	0	0	0
	475,000	0	0	\$0.21	2/23/2011	0	0	0
CFO Chief Legal Council	25,000	0	0	\$0.21	2/23/2011	0	0	0
	250,000	0	0	\$0.25	6/14/2011	0	0	0
	400,000	0	0	\$0.21	6/24/2011	0	0	0

**COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION**

The members of the Compensation Committee during fiscal 2008 were Messrs. Biderman and Guerino. Neither Messrs. Biderman, nor Guerino have been one of mPhase's officers or employees. None of the Company's directors or executive officers served as a member of the Compensation Committee (or other board committee performing equivalent functions or, in the absence of such committee, the entire Board of Directors) of another entity during fiscal 2008 that has a director or executive officer serving also as a director on mPhase's Board of Directors, except that Mr. Dotoli is also a member of the Board of Directors of PacketPort, a company in which Mr. Durando serves as Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Dotoli, together with Mr. Durando and Mr. Ergul, are collectively controlling shareholders of Janifast and Mr. Dotoli and Mr. Ergul are also Directors of Janifast. Janifast has produced components for the TV+. mPhase plans to use Janifast to produce certain portions of orders for its Television platform in the future.



## ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth as of June 30, 2008 certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our shares:

1. by each person who is known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent (5%) of its outstanding common stock;
2. each of our directors;
3. by each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table; and, by all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

AFFILIATES (1 & 2-)	Shares	Warrants	Options	TOTAL	%
Victor Lawrence	-	-	-	-	
Anthony Guerino	-	-	765,000	765,000	0.12%
Abraham Biderman	1,076,900	-	1,065,000	2,141,900	0.34%
Gus Doteli	6,793,033	-	6,125,000	12,918,033	2.04%
Ron Durando (3)	16,588,965	65,000	12,675,000	29,328,965	4.64%
Ned Ergul	2,850,000	-	2,655,000	5,505,000	0.87%
Martin Smiley	6,262,629	2,345,569	1,225,000	9,833,198	1.56%
Microphase	16,060,019	5,572,222	-	21,632,241	3.42%
Janifast	8,227,778	1,950,000	-	10,177,778	1.61%
<b>Total Affiliates</b>	<b>57,859,324</b>	<b>9,932,791</b>	<b>24,510,000</b>	<b>92,302,115</b>	14.61%

(1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each beneficial owner is 587 Connecticut Avenue, Norwalk, Connecticut 06854-1711.

(2) Unless otherwise indicated, mPhase believes that all persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of the Company shares beneficially owned by them. The percentage for each beneficial owner listed above is based on 387,846,008 shares outstanding on June 30, 2008, and, with respect to each person holding options or warrants to purchase shares that are exercisable within 60 days after June 30, 2008, the number of options and warrants are deemed to be outstanding and beneficially owned by the person for the purpose of computing such person's percentage ownership, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person.

(3) Includes 1,816,148 shares held by Durando Investment LLC. Shares held by Janifast which Mr. Durando controls are stated separately.

## ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

## Material Related Party Transactions

The Company has material related party transactions. The Company incurs costs for engineering, design and production of prototypes and certain administrative functions from Microphase Corporation and the purchase of finished goods, primarily consisting of DSL splitter shelves and filters, from Janifast Limited. The Company has incurred costs for obtaining transmission rights. This enabled the Company to obtain re-transmission accreditation to proprietary television content that the Company plans to provide with its flagship product, the TV+ within its incorporated joint venture mPhase Television.Net, in which the Company owns a 56.5% interest.

Mr. Durando, the President and CEO of mPhase, owns a controlling interest and is a director and COB of Janifast Limited. Mr. Durando and Mr. Dotoli are officers of Microphase Corporation. Mr. Dotoli is also a shareholder of Janifast Limited. Mr. Ergul, the chairman of the board of mPhase, owns a controlling interest and is a director of Microphase Corporation and is a director and shareholder of Janifast Limited. Microphase, Janifast, are significant shareholders of mPhase.

Management believes the amounts charged to the Company by Microphase, Janifast, mPhase Television.Net and Hart Telephone are commensurate to amounts that would be incurred if outside parties were used. The Company believes Microphase, and Janifast Limited has the ability to fulfill their obligations to the Company without further support from the Company.

## Transactions with Officers, Directors and their Affiliates

Directors that are significant shareholders of Janifast Limited include Messrs Ronald A. Durando, Gustave T. Dotoli, Martin Smiley

## The Following Summaries Compensation to Related Parties for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008

	Durando	Dotoli	Biderman	Smiley	Microphase	TOTAL RELATED
Consulting / Salary	\$393,600	\$282,000		\$200,000		\$875,600
Interest	\$19,490	\$4,156		\$18,752		\$42,398
Rent					\$60,000	\$60,000
R&D					\$28,151	\$28,151
Finders Fees			\$188,472			\$188,472
Cost of Sales and SG&A					<u>\$30,089</u>	\$30,089
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$413,090</b>	<b>\$286,156</b>	<b>\$188,472</b>	<b>\$218,752</b>	<b>\$118,240</b>	<b>\$1,224,710</b>

55

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Summary of amounts due to Officers  
For the year ended June 30, 2008

	RON DURANDO	GUS DOTOLI	MARTIN SMILEY	TOTAL
<b>NOTES PAYABLE OFFICERS</b>				
BALANCE 6/30/07	\$85,000	\$75,000	\$161,000	\$321,000
July 2007 Advances (Payments)	(\$30,000)	(\$75,000)		(\$105,000)
August 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$35,000	\$75,100	\$35,000	\$145,100
Sept 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$110,000			\$110,000
Assumption of Note Payable- Sovereign	\$110,000			\$110,000
Oct 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$75,000
Nov 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$76,000	\$36,000	\$11,000	\$123,000
Dec 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$25,000	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$25,000
Transferred to Deferred Comp	(\$148,000)	(\$123,500)		(\$271,500)
Jan 2008 Advances (Payments)	\$2,000	\$32,000		\$34,000
Feb 2008 Advances (Payments)	\$0	\$55,000	\$72,038	\$127,038
Mar 2008 Advances (Payments)	(\$180,000)	(\$47,500)	(\$40,000)	(\$267,500)
April 2008 Advances (Payments)	<u>(\$110,000)</u>	<u>(\$52,100)</u>	(\$45,000)	(\$207,100)
May 2008 Advances (Payments)			<u>(\$15,000)</u>	<u>(\$15,000)</u>
June 2008 Advances (Payments)				
<b>BALANCE Notes Payable Officers</b>	\$0	\$0	\$204,038	\$204,038
<b>Deferred Compensation</b>	\$278,000	\$323,500		\$601,500
<b>Due To Officers</b>				
BALANCE 6/30/07	\$188,400	\$75,500		\$263,900
Consulting Fee Earned - 1st Qtr	\$98,400	\$70,500		\$168,900
Consulting Fees Paid - 1st Qtr	(\$39,500)	(\$32,500)		(\$72,000)
Consulting Fee Earned - 2nd Qtr	\$98,400	\$70,500		\$168,900
Consulting Fees Paid - 2nd Qtr	(\$10,000)	(\$10,000)		(\$20,000)
Consulting Fee Earned - 3rd Qtr	\$98,400	\$70,500		\$168,900
Consulting Fees Paid - 3rd Qtr	(\$12,000)	(\$8,500)		(\$20,500)
Consulting Fee Earned - 4th Qtr	\$98,400	\$70,500		\$168,900
Consulting Fees Paid - 4th Qtr	<u>(\$221,510)</u>	<u>(\$204,244)</u>		(\$425,754)
<b>Balance Due to Officers</b>	\$298,990	\$102,256		\$401,246
<b>Interest Payable</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$18,751</u>	<u>\$18,751</u>
<b>Totals Payable to Officers</b>	<u>\$576,990</u>	<u>\$425,756</u>	<u>\$222,789</u>	<u>\$1,225,535</u>

The Following Summaries Compensation to Related Parties for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

	Durando	Dotoli	Ergul Biderman	Smiley	Guerino	Lawrence	Janifast	Microphase	TOTAL RELATED
Consulting /									
Salary	\$393,600	\$282,000		\$200,000					\$875,600
Directors	\$7,500	\$7,538	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$8,550	\$3,750	<u>\$3,750</u>		\$38,588
Stipend and									



Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Interest										
Rent									\$60,000	\$60,000
R&D									\$236,492	\$236,492
Finders Fees (including common shares)				\$520,000						\$520,000
Cost of Sales and SG&A							\$110,912	\$36,342		\$147,254
Reparations and Stock Based Compensation	<u>\$1,044,000</u>	<u>\$555,000</u>	<u>\$201,000</u>	<u>\$16,800</u>	<u>\$306,250</u>	<u>\$14,700</u>			<u>\$138,462</u>	<u>\$2,276,212</u>
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$1,445,100</b>	<b>\$844,538</b>	<b>\$204,750</b>	<b>\$540,550</b>	<b>\$514,800</b>	<b>\$18,450</b>	<b>\$3,750</b>	<b>\$249,374</b>	<b>\$332,834</b>	<b>\$4,154,146</b>
<b>PacketPort.com legal expense</b>										<b>\$611,807</b>
<b>Total expense to related parties</b>										<b>\$4,765,953</b>

56

During the twelve months ended June 30, 2007, Mr. Biderman's through his affiliated firm of Palladium Capital Advisors earned finder's fees of \$520,000 in connection with the raising of approximately \$5 million in various equity transactions during the year.

In addition, at various points during fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, Messrs, Durando, Dotoli and Smiley provided \$650,000 in bridge loans to the Company which was evidenced by individual promissory notes. During December 2006, Messrs Durando and Dotoli agreed to convert their notes, in the amounts of \$130,000 and \$200,000 respectively, to a deferred compensation arrangement, the repayment terms of which have not been specified. Mr. Smiley has extended bridge loans to the Company of \$160,000, evidenced by promissory notes for \$101,000 and a \$60,000 note with a 12% rate of interest. In summary as of June 30, 2007, bridge loans outstanding were \$85,000, \$75,000 and \$161,000 to the Messrs Durando, Dotoli and Smiley, respectively. All of the foregoing promissory notes were payable on demand and only the \$161,000 payable to Mr. Smiley remained outstanding in June 2008

During the 12 month period ended June 30, 2007 Eagle Advisers, an investment banking firm founded by Mr. Abraham Biderman, a member of the Board of Directors of the Company, earned fees and reimbursement expenses of approximately \$782,568 in connection with services in regard to private placements of the Company's common stock and warrants and raised a total of \$5,820,652 net of such fees for the Company.

During the 12 month period ended June 30, 2005 Eagle Advisers, earned fees and reimbursement expenses of approximately \$633,000 in connection with services in connection with private placements of the Company's common stock and warrants and raised a total of \$6,117,000 net of such fees for the Company.

Additionally at June 30, 2004, Mr. Durando was owed \$300,000 and Mr. Smiley was owed \$100,000 by the Company as evidenced by a non-interest bearing promissory note that was repaid in July 2004. As of June 30, 2004 a total of \$55,000 in the aggregate was due to Mr. Durando and Mr. Dotoli for unpaid compensation.

Mr. Durando's June 30, 2004 note payable balance of \$300,000 was repaid by the Company during fiscal year 2005. During the first and second quarters of fiscal year 2005, Mr. Durando made additional bridge loans to the Company evidenced by various 12% demand notes in the aggregate of \$525,000. Mr. Durando was repaid a total of \$450,000 of such loans in January of 2005. In addition, Mr. Durando converted \$13,954 of the principal amount of a \$75,000 promissory note leaving unpaid principal of \$61,046 outstanding. Mr. Durando converted \$13,000 of accrued and unpaid interest on various promissory notes of the Company into 65,000 shares of common stock and a 5 year warrant to purchase a like amount of common stock at \$.25 per share.

During the twelve month period ended June 30, 2005 Mr. Dotoli and Mr. Smiley, the COO, and CFO and General Counsel of the Company respectively, each lent the Company \$75,000. Mr. Dotoli was repaid, the principal amount of such loan, in cash in January, 2005 and Mr. Smiley converted his \$75,000 loan into 375,000 shares of common stock of the Company plus a 5 year warrant to purchase a like amount of shares at \$.25 per share. In addition, Mr. Smiley converted \$9,975 of accrued interest into 49,875 shares of common stock plus a 5 year warrant to purchase a like amount of shares at \$.25 per share. Finally Mr. Smiley received 25,000 additional shares of common stock as a market adjustment to his equity investment of \$25,000 on August 30, 2004. Mr. Dotoli cancelled \$3,750 of accrued and unpaid interest from August 15, 2004 through January 15, 2004 into 375,000 shares of common stock pursuant to the terms of a portion of a warrant that was exercised at \$.01 per share previously given by the Company to Mr. Dotoli in exchange for and cancellation of unpaid compensation. On January 15, 2004, Mr. Smiley was awarded 425,000 shares of common stock as additional compensation.

During the six months ending December 31, 2004, accounts payable in the amount of \$250,000 owed by mPhase to Microphase Corporation was cancelled in exchange for the 1,250,000 shares of common stock and a 5 year warrant to purchase a like amount of shares at \$.25. In addition for such period, Janifast Ltd. cancelled \$200,000 of accounts payable owed by mPhase in exchange for 1,000,000 shares of common stock and a 5 year warrant to purchase a like

amount of shares at \$.25 per share.

In late February and early March of 2005, the various vendors converted approximately \$173,898 in accounts payable due from the Company into 535,296 shares of Common stock aggregating \$183,310 in full settlement of those obligations.

Mr. Ronald A. Durando converted \$13,000 of accrued and unpaid interest on various demand notes issued by the Company for loans by Mr. Durando during the six month period ended December 31, 2004 into 65,000 shares of common stock plus a 5 year warrant to purchase a like amount of shares at \$.25 per share. In addition Mr. Durando converted \$13,954 of principal of a \$75,000 promissory note into the exercise, in full, of a warrant to purchase 1,395,400 shares of common stock at \$.01 previously granted to Mr. Durando in exchange for cancellation of unpaid compensation.

In June of 2005, Mr. Smiley converted the his 12% \$100,000 note converted plus accrued interest thereon to 520,000 shares of common stock of mPhase at the rate of \$.20 cents per share plus a 5 year warrant for an additional 520,000 shares of common stock at \$.25 per share.

In addition a demand note payable to Martin Smiley, CFO and General Counsel of mPhase, in the amount of \$75,000 was converted into 375,000 shares of common stock plus a 5 year warrant to purchase a like amount of shares at \$.25 per share and Mr. Smiley extended from July 25, 2004 to July 25, 2005 a \$100,000 promissory note carrying 12% interest. In addition Mr. Smiley converted accrued and unpaid interest on his various promissory notes of \$ 9,975 through December 31, 2004 into 49,875 shares of common stock plus a 5 year warrant to purchase a like amount of common stock at \$.25 per share. Mr. Smiley's remaining \$100,000 note is convertible into Common Stock of mPhase at the rate of \$.25 cents per share through July 25, 2009. Upon conversion, the note holder will be granted warrants to purchase an equivalent amount of mPhase Common Stock at \$.25 cents per share for a period of five years from the date of conversion plus a 5 year warrant for a like amount of shares at \$.25 per share. Mr. Ronald A. Durando converted \$13,000 of accrued and unpaid interest on various demand notes issued by the Company for loans by Mr. Durando during the six month period ended December 31, 2004 into 65,000 shares of common stock plus a 5 year warrant to purchase a like amount of shares at \$.25 per share. In addition Mr. Durando converted \$13,954 of principal of a \$75,000 promissory note into the exercise, in full, of a warrant to purchase 1,395,400 shares of common stock at \$.01 previously granted to Mr. Durando in exchange for cancellation of unpaid compensation. Finally, Mr. Gustave Dotoli, Chief Operating Officer of the Company converted \$ 3,750 of accrued and unpaid interest on a \$75,000 promissory note into 375,000 shares of common stock at \$.01 pursuant to a portion of a warrant previously granted to Mr. Dotoli for unpaid compensation.

During fiscal year end June 30, 2007, Mr. Edward Suozzo, a consultant of the Company, converted \$50,000 of accounts payable owed by the Company into 331,864 shares of common stock plus a 5 year warrant to purchase 277,778 shares of common stock at \$.18 per share. During fiscal year end June 30, 2005, Mr. Suozzo converted \$20,000 of accounts payable owed by the Company into 100,000 shares of common stock plus a 5 year warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at \$.25 per share.

During fiscal year end June 30, 2007, Microphase Corporation and Janifast Corp, both related parties respectively converted \$369,000 and \$171,000 of accounts payable owed by the Company into 2,050,000 and 950,000 shares of common stock plus a 5 year warrant to purchase 2,050,000 and 950,000 shares of common stock at \$.18 per share.

During the three months ending September 30, 2004, a note payable in the amount of \$180,000 to Microphase Corporation, Such note was extended by Microphase from July 25, 2004 and now matures on July 25, 2005. Additionally, a note payable to Martin Smiley, CFO and General Counsel of mPhase, in the amount of \$100,000 was extended from July 25, 2004 to July 25, 2005. Both liabilities carry an interest rate of 12% payable quarterly in arrears and were extended effective June 30, 2004. Each note is convertible into Common Stock of mPhase at the rate of \$.25 cents per share plus a 5 year warrant for a like amount of common stock at \$.25 per share through July 25, 2005 and a second 5 year warrant at \$.50 per share convertible into a like amount of shares.

On August 30, 2004, the Company paid \$100,000 to Piper&Rudnick, LLP, its outside counsel, in connection with the renegotiation of a Payment Agreement effective June 30, 2004. Under the terms of the renegotiated Payment Agreement, the Company agreed to payments of \$25,000 each on December 1, 2004, March 1, 2005, June 1, 2005 and September 1, 2005 and a payment of \$50,000 on December 1, 2006 plus \$25,000 payments on March 1, 2006, June 1, 2006, September 1, 2006 and a final payment of \$75,000 payment on December 1, 2007. In addition, Piper&Rudnick LLP agreed to convert \$150,000 of such payable into a 5 year cashless warrant to purchase the Company's common stock at \$.25 per share.

On August 30, 2004 the Company issued two demand promissory notes each in the principal amount of \$75,000 at 12% interest in consideration of loans of \$75,000 to the Company from each of Mr. Dotoli, its COO and Mr. Smiley, its CFO and General Counsel. In addition on September 30, 2004, the Company issued a demand promissory note to Microphase Corporation, a related party, for a loan of \$175,000 to the Company with a 12% interest rate. Finally, the Company issued demand promissory notes with an interest rate of 12% to Mr. Ronald Durando, CEO of the Company for loans made to the Company dated August 30, 2004, as well as demand promissory notes to Mr. Durando, its CEO, for loans to the Company of \$200,000 on August 30, 2004, \$75,000 on September 28, 2004 and \$175,000 on

September 30, 2004 respectively.

Necdet F. Ergul, Ronald A. Durando and Gustave T. Dotoli, our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer, respectively, are executive officers and shareholders of Microphase and Ronald Durando and Gustave T. Dotoli are president and vice- president of PacketPort.com., respectively.

On November 26, 1999, Mr. Durando acquired, via a 100% ownership of PacketPort, Inc., a controlling interest in Linkon Corporation, now known as PacketPort.com, Inc. On November 26, 1999, PacketPort, Inc., a company owned 100% by Mr. Durando, acquired controlling interest in Linkon Corp., which subsequently changed its name to PacketPort.com, Inc. In connection with this transaction, Mr. Durando transferred 350,000 shares of our common stock to PacketPort, Inc.

Transactions with Microphase Corporation mPhase's President and Chairman of the Board of the Company are also employees of Microphase. On May 1, 1997, the Company entered into an agreement with Microphase, whereby it will use office space as well as the administrative services of Microphase, including the use of accounting personnel. This agreement for fiscal year 2006 required mPhase to pay Microphase \$10,000 per month. Microphase also charges fees for specific projects on a project-by-project basis. During the year ended June 30, 2007 and for the period of time from mPhase's inception (October 2, 1996) to June 30, 2007, \$531,820 and \$8,670,776, respectively, have been charged to expense or inventory under these Agreements and is included in operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Management believes that amounts charged to the Company by Microphase are commensurate to amounts that would be incurred if outside third parties were used. The Company is obligated to pay a 3% royalty to Microphase on revenues from its proprietary Traverser Digital Video and Data Delivery System and DSL component products.

#### Transactions with Janifast

Janifast Ltd., a Hong Kong corporation manufacturer, which has produced components for our prototype Traverser\_DVDDS product, and may produce such components for us in the future. Necdet F. Ergul, Ronald A. Durando and Gustave T. Dotoli are controlling shareholders of Janifast Ltd. with an aggregate ownership interest of greater than 75% of Janifast Ltd. Mr. Durando is Chairman of the Board of Directors and Mr. Ergul is a Director of Janifast.

#### Transactions with Other Related Parties

In March 2000, mPhase acquired a 50% interest in mPhaseTelevision.Net (formerly Telco Television Network, Inc.), an incorporated joint venture. This percentage was increased to approximately 57% in fiscal year 2001. Alpha Star International, Inc currently owns the remaining joint venture interest.

Effective June 30, 2004, the Company was \$473,787 in arrears with respect to a Promissory Note issued to Piper Rudnick LLP plus other legal fees of \$118,773.36. It should be noted that Piper & Rudnick received such Promissory Note plus two warrants received in March of 2002 in exchange for cancellation of certain payables. Such warrants have conversion rights into our common stock for a total of 2,233,490 shares that have been registered under a recently effective Form S-1 Registration Statement, and are cashless. On September 3, 2003, the Company paid \$10,000 in cash to Piper in exchange for reducing the total payable to \$550,000 plus the issuance of additional cashless warrant for \$150,000 worth of the Company's common stock valued at \$.25 per share. The remaining \$300,000 payable had the following future payment schedule:

1. Payments of \$25,000 each on December 1, 2004, March 1, 2005, June 1, 2005, September 1, 2005, March 1, 2006, June 1, 2006 and September 1, 2006.
2. A payment of \$50,000 on December 1, 2005
3. A payment of \$75,000 due on December 1, 2006

The Company has made all of the above payments except for \$65,000 of the \$75,000 due December 1, 2006, that is presently in arrears.

#### SUBSEQUENT EVENT

Consistent with the terms of the securities purchase agreement with Golden Gate Investors, during the period July 1, 2008 to September 29, 2008 the company received cash of \$700,000 as prepayment of its Note Receivable and converted \$415,000 of debt into 10,740,000 million shares of the Company's Common Stock. In addition, during such period, the Company issued 2,600,000 shares of Common Stock to consultants

In August of 2008 was notified that it had been awarded a research contract from the Department of the Army allowing the company an opportunity to continue testing its Nanobattery in Phase #2. Such contract provides the Company with approximately \$500,000 of net revenue over two years

In August of 2008, at a meeting of shareholders, the Company voted to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock to 2 billion from 900 million.

In July the Company received \$200,000 and issued a total of 7,862,000 shares in a private placement. Approximately 3,862,000 of such shares represented reparation of past investments.

In September 2008 the Company issued 64,450,000 shares of common stock and 104,950,000 options to purchase such stock at \$.05 to various employees consultants and individuals. Included in such issuance were 27,000,000 shares and 50,000,000 options to Mr. Durando, 16,000,000 shares and 30,000,000 options to Mr. Dotoli and 10,000,000 shares and 18,000,000 options to Mr. Smiley. Shares outstanding as of September 24, 2008 had increased to 527,000,000 million, warrants to 146,000,000 and options to 150,000,000

## ITEM 14A. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a)(1) The following is a list of the financial statements, financial statement schedules and exhibits, which are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Where so indicated by footnote, exhibits, which were previously filed, are incorporated by reference.

## FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES:

## EXHIBITS

**EXHIBITS****NUMBER****REFERENCE****DESCRIPTION**

2.1*	Exchange of Stock Agreement and Plan of Reorganization (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(a) to our registration statement on Form 10SB-12G filed on October 16, 1998 (file no. 000-24969)).
2.2*	Exchange of Stock Agreement and Plan of Reorganization dated June 25, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(b) to our registration statement on Form 10SB-12G filed on May 6, 1999 (file no. 000-24969)).
3.1*	Certificate of Incorporation of Tecma Laboratory, Inc. filed December 20, 1979 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to our registration statement on Form 10SB-12G filed on October 16, 1998 (file no. 000-24969)).
3.2*	Certificate of Correction to Certificate of Incorporation of Tecma Laboratory, Inc. dated June 19, 1987 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to our registration statement on Form 10SB-12G filed on October 16, 1998 (file no. 000-24969)).
3.3*	Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of Tecma Laboratory, Inc. filed August 28, 1987 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(c) to our registration statement on Form 10SB-12G filed on October 16, 1998 (file no. 000-24969)).
3.4*	Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of Tecma Laboratories, Inc. filed April 7, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(d) to our registration statement on Form 10SB-12G filed on October 16, 1998 (file no. 000-24969)).
3.5*	Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of Lightpaths TP Technologies, Inc. filed June 2, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(e) to our registration statement on Form 10SB-12G filed on October 16, 1998 (file no. 000-24969)).
3.6*	Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of mPhase Technologies, Inc. filed September 15, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3i to our quarterly report on Form 10Q filed on November 13, 2000 (file no. 000-24969)).
3.7*	Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(g) to our registration statement on Form 10SB-12G filed on October 16, 1998 (file no. 000-24969)).
4.1*	Form of Registration Rights Agreement, dated January 26, 2001, by and among the Company and the purchasers listed on Schedule A attached thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on June 18, 2001 (file no. 33-63262)).
4.2*	Form of Registration Rights Agreement, dated February 9, 2001, by and among the Company and the purchasers listed on Schedule A attached thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on June 18, 2001 (file no. 33-63262)).
4.3*	Form of Warrant.
4.4*	Warrant Issued to Piper Rudnick LLP.
10.1*	License Agreement, dated March 26, 1998, between the Company and Georgia Tech Research Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(e) to our registration statement on Form 10SB-12G filed on October 16, 1998 (file no. 000-24969)).
10.2*	First Amendment to the License Agreement dated January 8, 2001, between the Company and Georgia Tech Research Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our registration statement on



	Form S-1 filed on June 18, 2001 (file no. 33-63262)).
10.3*	Employment Agreement between Ronald A. Durando and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to our registration statement on Form SB-2 filed on August 13, 1999 (file no. 333-85147)).
10.4*	Employment Agreement between Gustave T. Dotoli and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to our registration statement on Form SB-2 filed on August 13, 1999 (file no. 333-85147)).

**EXHIBITS  
NUMBER  
REFERENCE**

**DESCRIPTION**

10.5*	Employment Agreement between Martin S. Smiley and the Company, dated as of August 15, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on June 18, 2001 (file no. 33-63262)).
10.8*	Supply Agreement by and between the Company and Hart Telephone Company, Inc., date of August 19, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on June 18, 2001 (file no. 33-63262)).
10.9*	Facilities/Services Agreement between the Company and Microphase Corporation, dated as of July 1, 1998. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on June 18, 2001 (file no. 33-63262)).
10.10*	Company's 2001 Stock Incentive (incorporated by reference to Exhibit C to our preliminary proxy statement on Form Pre 14A filed on March 21, 2001 (file no.000-30202)).
10.11*	License Agreement, dated July 31, 1996, by and between AT&T Paradyne Corporation and Microphase Corporation. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on June 18, 2001 (file no. 33-63262)).
10.12(a)*	Assignment Agreement dated February 17, 1997, by and between the Company and Microphase Corporation. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on June 18, 2001 (file no. 33- 63262)).
10.12(b)*	Distribution Agreement effective May 15, 2002 by and between Corning Cable System and the Company.
10.13.*	Development Agreement between Lucent Technologies, Inc. and mPhase Technologies, Inc., effective as of December 1, 2002, relating to Video Services Switch and Statement of Work, dated December 9, 2002.**
10.14. *	Purchase Order between the Company and Lucent Technologies, Inc., dated December 15, 2002, for cost reduction of the mPhase Traverser INI set box. **
10.15.*	Co-Branding Agreement, dated as of January 21, 2003, between the Company and Lucent Technologies, Inc.**
10.16*	Systems Integrator Agreement, dated as of April 4, 2003, between the Company and Lucent Technologies, Inc.**
10.17*	Development Agreement between Lucent Technologies, Inc and mPhase technologies, Inc. relating to Broadcast Television Switch (BTS) effective as of September 15, 2003.**
10.18*	Development Agreement effective February 3, 2004 between Lucent Technologies, Inc. and mPhase Technologies, Inc for development of micro fuel cell Nano Technology.**
10.19*	Software License Agreement between Espial Group, Inc, a Canadian Corporation and mPhase Technologies, Inc. entered into November 28, 2004.
10.20*	Software Development Agreement between Magpie Telecom Insiders, Inc, and mPhase Technologies, dated September 2, 2004 and Work Order dated January 3, 2005
10.21*	Development Agreement effective March 11, 2005 between Lucent Technologies Inc and mPhase Technologies relating to development of Magnetometers.
10.22*	Amendment No. 2 to Development Agreement dated as of March 9, 2005 relating to Micro Power Source Cells between mPhase Technologies, Inc and Lucent Technologies, Inc.
10.23*	Amendment No. 2 to Development Agreement between Lucent Technologies, Inc. and mPhase Technologies, Inc. dated as September 15, 2003
10.24*	Amendment No. 3 to Development Agreement between Lucent Technologies, Inc and mPhase Technologies, Inc. dated September 15,2003
10.25*	Amendment No. 4 to Development Agreement between Lucent Technologies, Inc and mPhase Technologies, Inc. dated as of September 15, 2003
10.26*	

	Annexure B Statement of Work dated August 22, 2005 between Magpie Insiders, Inc. and mPhase Technologies, Inc.
10.27*	Annexure C Statement of Work dated January 25, 2006 between Magpie Insiders Inc and mPhase Technologies, Inc.
10.28*	3 <sup>rd</sup> Amendment to Software License dated March 10, 2006 between Espial Group Inc. and mPhase Technologies, Inc.
10.29**	4 <sup>th</sup> Amendment to Software License dated June 27,2006 between Espial Group, Inc and mPhase Technologies, Inc.

**EXHIBITS****NUMBER  
REFERENCE****DESCRIPTION**

<u>10.32A*</u>	<u>Agreement between Rubenstein Investor Relations, Inc and mPhase Technologies, Inc dated January 16, 2007</u>
<u>10.32B*</u>	<u>Services Agreement between Rubenstein Associates, Inc and mPhase Technologies, Inc dated January 22, 2007</u>
<u>10.33*</u>	<u>Memorandum of Understanding between Latens and mPhase Technologies, Inc dated January 23, 2007</u>
<u>10.34*</u>	<u>Amendment #4 between Lucent Technologies and mPhase Technologies, Inc dated February 3, 2007</u>
<u>10.35*</u>	<u>First Amendment to the Master Work Agreement between Velankani and mPhase Technologies, Inc dated February 6, 2007</u>
<u>10.36*</u>	<u>Cooperative Research Agreement between Rutgers and mPhase Technologies, Inc dated February 5, 2007</u>
<u>10.37*</u>	<u>Statement of Work Espial and mPhase Technologies, Inc dated February 22, 2007</u>
<u>10.38*</u>	<u>Payment Agreement between Espial and mPhase Technologies, Inc dated February 22, 2007</u>
<u>10.39*</u>	<u>Reseller Agreement between Steeleye Technologies and mPhase Technologies, Inc dated March 28, 2007</u>
<u>10.40*</u>	<u>Consulting Agreement between CT Nanobusiness Alliance and mPhase Technologies, Inc. dated May 10, 2007</u>
<u>10.41*</u>	<u>Escrow Agreement between Wilson Sonsini Goodrich &amp; Rosati and mPhase Technologies, Inc dated May 2007</u>
<u>10.42*</u>	<u>Non-Exclusive Distribution Agreement between Netdialogue and mPhase Technologies, Inc. dated December 13, 2006</u>
<u>10.43*</u>	<u>Cooperative Research and Development Agreement between US Army Picatinny and mPhase Technologies, Inc dated December 20, 2006</u>
<u>10.44*</u>	<u>Amendment # 5 between Lucent Technologies Inc and mPhase Technologies, Inc.</u>
<u>10.45*</u>	<u>Private Equity Credit Agreement by and between mPhase Technologies, Inc. and Double U Master Fund LP. Dated as of June 27, 2007.</u>
21*	List of Subsidiaries (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21 to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on June 18, 2001 (file no. 33-63262)).
23.1*	Consents of Schuhalter, Coughlin & Suozzo, LLC dated August 31, 1998 and reference to Exhibit 23 to our registration statement on Form 10SB-12G filed on October 16, 1998 (file no. 000-24969)).
23.2*	Consents of Schuhalter, Coughlin & Suozzo, LLC dated April 23, 1999 and Mauriello, Franklin & LoBrace, P.C. dated April 23, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 23 to our registration statement on Form 10SB-12G filed on May 6, 1999 (file no. 000-24969)).
23.3*	Consent of Schuhalter, Coughlin & Suozzo, LLC dated August 13, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 23.1 to our registration statement on Form SB-2 filed on August 13, 1999 (file no. 333-85147)).
<u>23.4</u>	<u>Consent of Schuhalter, Coughlin &amp; Suozzo, LLC.</u>
<u>23.5</u>	<u>Consent of Rosenberg Rich Baker Berman and Company.</u>
23.6*	Consent of Piper Marbury Rudnick & Wolfe LLP (incorporated by reference to our registration statement, Amendment No. 2 filed on Aug. 15, 2001.)
23.7*	Consent of Rosenberg, Rich, Baker, Berman and Company (incorporated by reference to our registration statement, Amendment No. 1/A filed on August 1 2002.)
23.8*	Consent of Schuhalter, Coughlin & Suozzo, LLC (incorporated by reference to our registration statement, Amendment No 1/A filed on August 2, 2002.)
23.9*	Consent of Piper&Rudnick LLP. (incorporated by reference to our registration statement, Amendment No. 1/A filed on August 2, 2002)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

\* Incorporated by reference.

\*\* All or portions of such Agreements have been omitted and the Company has requested that the omitted sections be treated as "Confidential Information" pursuant to Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended and has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission separately.

## CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
Report of Rosenberg Rich Baker Berman & Company	64
Report of Arthur Andersen LLP	65
Report of Schuhalter, Coughlin & Suozzo, PC	66
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2008 and 2007	67
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended June 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008 and for the period from inception (October 2, 1996) through June 30, 2008.	68
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the period from inception (October 2, 1996) to June 30, 1997 and for each of the eleven years in the period ended June 30, 2008	69-74
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008 and for the period from inception (October 2, 1996) through June 30, 2008.	76
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	77-93

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of mPhase Technologies, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of mPhase Technologies, Inc. (a New Jersey corporation in the development stage) and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity (deficit), cash flows and Schedule II (Valuation and Qualifying Accounts, Item 14B) for each of the three years ended June 30, 2008 and for the period from inception (October 2, 1996) to June 30, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We did not audit the financial statements of mPhase Technologies, Inc. for the period from inception to June 30, 2001. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose report has been furnished to us and our opinion, insofar as it relates to amounts for the period from inception to June 30, 2001, included in the cumulative totals, is based solely upon the report of the other auditors.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of mPhase Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2008 and 2007 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2008 and for the period from inception to June 30, 2008, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses from operations and is in a working capital deficit position that raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans concerning these matters are also described in Note 2. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Rosenberg Rich Baker Berman & Company  
Bridgewater, NJ

September 29, 2008

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of mPhase Technologies, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of mPhase Technologies, Inc. (a New Jersey corporation in the development stage) and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2001 and 2000, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2001 and for the period from inception (October 2, 1996) to June 30, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We did not audit the financial statements of mPhase Technologies, Inc. for the period from inception to June 30, 1998. Such amounts are included in the cumulative from inception to June 30, 2001 totals of the statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows and reflect total net loss of 6 percent of the related cumulative totals. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose report has been furnished to us and our opinion, insofar as it relates to amounts for the period from inception to June 30, 1998, included in the cumulative totals, is based solely upon the report of the other auditors.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits and the report of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of mPhase Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2001 and 2000, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2001 and for the period from inception to June 30, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses from operations and is in a working capital deficit position that raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans concerning these matters are also described in Note 2. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Arthur Andersen LLP  
Stamford, Connecticut

October 12, 2001

PURSUANT TO SEC RELEASE NO. 33-8070 AND RULE 437A UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED, MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. HAS NOT RECEIVED WRITTEN CONSENT AFTER REASONABLE EFFORT TO USE THIS REPORT. THIS REPORT IS A COPY OF A PREVIOUSLY ISSUED ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP REPORT. THIS REPORT HAS NOT BEEN REISSUED BY ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP. WITH RESPECT TO THIS INSTANT 10K/A, YOU WILL NOT BE ABLE TO RECOVER AGAINST ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP UNDER SECTION 11 OF THE SECURITIES ACT FOR ANY UNTRUE STATEMENTS OF A MATERIAL FACT CONTAINED IN THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED BY ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP OR ANY OMISSIONS TO STATE A MATERIAL FACT REQUIRED TO BE STATED THEREIN.





REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of mPhase Technologies, Inc.:

We have audited the statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the period October 2, 1996 (date of inception) through June 30, 1998 of mPhase Technologies, Inc. (a development stage company). These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the results of its operations and its cash flows for the period of October 2, 1996 (date of inception) through June 30, 1998 in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Schuhalter, Coughlin & Suozzo, PC  
Raritan, New Jersey

January 28, 1999

mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)  
**Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	June 30, 2007	June 30, 2008
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash	\$23,253	\$15,533
Accounts receivable	1,961	4,000
Subscriptions receivable	500,000	
Inventories	505,910	
Prepaid and other current assets	<u>442,585</u>	<u>121,882</u>
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>1,473,709</b>	<b>141,415</b>
Property and equipment, net	282,801	149,418
Patents and licensing rights, net	<u>51,140</u>	
Note receivable		1,950,000
Investment in Sovereign		<u>110,000</u>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$1,807,650</b>	<b>\$2,350,833</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Accounts payable	\$2,355,250	\$2,076,965
Accrued expenses	933,145	388,444
Due to related parties	852,405	1,030,167
Notes payable, related parties	321,000	204,038
Short term notes	76,640	240,820
Convertible debt		<u>54,000</u>
Deferred revenue	<u>23,708</u>	
<b>TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>	<b>\$4,562,148</b>	<b>\$3,994,434</b>
Convertible debt derivative liability - (Note 8)		\$750,151
Convertible debentures net of discount of \$2,953,899 (Note 8)		<u>\$411,101</u>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>\$4,562,148</b>	<b>\$5,155,686</b>
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 11 )</b>	<b>-</b>	
<b>STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>		
Common stock, par value \$.01, 900,000,000 shares authorized 387,846,008 and 440,395,000 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2007, 2008, respectively	\$3,878,460	\$4,403,950
Additional paid in capital	162,100,718	165,067,510
Deferred compensation	(414,084)	
Deficit accumulated during development stage	(168,311,619)	(172,268,340)
Less-Treasury stock, 13,750 shares at cost	<u>(7,973)</u>	<u>(7,973)</u>
<b>TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>	<b><u>(\$2,754,498)</u></b>	<b><u>(\$2,804,853)</u></b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>	<b>\$1,807,650</b>	<b>\$2,350,833</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)  
**Consolidated Statements of Operations**

	For the Fiscal Years Ended			Date of
	<u>2006</u>	June 30, <u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	Inception to June 30, <u>2008</u>
REVENUES	\$975,482	\$153,504	\$112,928	\$22,562,039
<b>COSTS AND EXPENSES</b>				
Cost of Sales	974,583	89,802	949	16,424,692
Research and Development (including non-cash stock related charges of \$200,850, \$92,600,\$0 and \$2,410,119 for years ended 2006,2007,2008 and inception to date respectively)	8,034,964	6,393,215	988,091	58,960,508
General and Administrative (including non-cash stock related charges of \$6,075,573,\$3,448,785, \$564,878 and \$62,332,964 for years ended 2006,2007, 2008 and inception to date respectively)	11,121,235	8,684,053	4,031,618	109,471,763
Depreciation and Amortization	<u>78,416</u>	<u>93,855</u>	<u>145,331</u>	<u>3,270,188</u>
<b>TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES</b>	<b><u>20,209,198</u></b>	<b><u>15,260,925</u></b>	<b><u>5,165,989</u></b>	<b><u>188,127,151</u></b>
<b>LOSS FROM OPERATIONS</b>	(19,233,716)	(15,107,421)	(5,053,061)	(165,565,112)
<b>OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) net</b>				
Interest (Expense), net	(34,569)	(18,310)	(214,878)	(383,329)
Reparation, Impairment and Other (Expense) net	<u>(5,182,365)</u>	(1,725,831)	(527,530)	(8,158,647)
Change in Derivative Value and Debt Discount			1,838,748	1,838,748
<b>TOTAL OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)</b>	<b><u>(5,216,934)</u></b>	<b><u>(1,744,141)</u></b>	<b><u>1,096,340</u></b>	<b><u>(6,703,228)</u></b>
<b>NET LOSS</b>	(\$24,450,650)	(\$16,851,562)	(\$3,956,721)	(\$172,268,340)
<b>LOSS PER COMMON SHARE, basic and diluted</b>				
	(\$0.12)	(\$0.05)	(\$0.01)	
<b>WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING, basic and diluted</b>				
	199,610,372	310,395,562	405,032,339	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN  
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)  
FOR THE PERIOD FROM INCEPTION (OCTOBER 2, 1996)  
TO JUNE 30, 1997 AND FOR EACH OF THE ELEVEN YEARS  
IN THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

	Common Stock Shares	Par Value 0.01	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Deferred Compensation	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
<b>Balance, October 2, 1996</b> (date of inception).	1,140,427	\$11,404		\$459,753		(\$537,707)	(\$66,550)
Issuance of common stock of Tecma Laboratories, Inc., for 100% of the Company.	6,600,000	66,000		(537,157)		537,707	66,550
Issuance of common stock, in private placement, net of offering costs of \$138,931	594,270	5,943		752,531			758,474
Net loss						(781,246)	(781,246)
<b>Balance, June 30, 1997</b>	<b>8,334,697</b>	<b>\$83,347</b>		<b>\$675,127</b>		<b>(\$781,246)</b>	<b>(\$22,772)</b>
Issuance of common stock with warrants, in private placement, net of offering costs of \$84,065	999,502	9,995		791,874			801,869
Issuance of common stock for services	300,000	3,000		147,000			150,000
Issuance of common stock in connection with investment in unconsolidated subsidiary	250,000	2,500		122,500			125,000
Repurchase of 13,750 shares of common stock			(7,973)				(7,973)
Issuance of common stock with warrants in private placement, net of offering costs of \$121,138	1,095,512	10,955		659,191			670,146
Issuance of common stock for financing services	100,000	1,000		(1,000)			
Issuance of common stock in consideration for 100% of the common stock of Microphase Telecommunications, Inc.	2,500,000	25,000		1,685,000			1,710,000
Net loss						(4,341,059)	(4,341,059)
<b>Balance, June 30, 1998</b>	<b><u>13,579,711</u></b>	<b><u>\$135,797</u></b>	<b><u>(\$7,973)</u></b>	<b><u>\$4,079,692</u></b>		<b><u>(\$5,122,305)</u></b>	<b><u>(\$914,789)</u></b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN  
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)  
FOR THE PERIOD FROM INCEPTION (OCTOBER 2, 1996)  
TO JUNE 30, 1997 AND FOR EACH OF THE ELEVEN YEARS  
IN THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

	Common Stock Shares	Par Value 0.01	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Deferred Compensation	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
<b>Balance, June 30, 1998</b>	<b>13,579,711</b>	<b>\$135,797</b>	<b>(\$7,973)</b>	<b>\$4,079,692</b>		<b>(\$5,122,305)</b>	<b>(\$914,789)</b>
Issuance of common stock with warrants in private placements, net of offering costs of \$107,000	3,120,000	31,200		2,981,800			3,013,000
Issuance of common stock for services	1,599,332	15,993		8,744,873			8,760,866
Issuance of common stock with warrants in private placement, net of offering costs of \$45,353	642,000	6,420		1,553,227			1,559,647
Issuance of common stock in private placement, net of offering costs of \$679,311	4,426,698	44,267		10,343,167			10,387,434
Issuance of stock options for services				7,129,890			7,129,890
Issuance of warrants for services				16,302			16,302
Deferred employee stock option compensation					(140,000)		(140,000)
Net loss						(22,838,344)	(22,838,344)
<b>Balance, June 30, 1999</b>	<b>23,367,741</b>	<b>\$233,677</b>	<b>(\$7,973)</b>	<b>\$34,848,951</b>	<b>(\$140,000)</b>	<b>(\$27,960,649)</b>	<b>\$6,974,006</b>
Issuance of common stock and options in settlement	75,000	750		971,711			972,461
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of warrants and options	4,632,084	46,321		5,406,938			5,453,259
Issuance of common stock in private placement, net of cash offering costs of \$200,000	1,000,000	10,000		3,790,000			3,800,000
Issuance of common stock in private placement, net of cash offering costs of \$466,480	1,165,500	11,655		9,654,951			9,666,606
Issuance of common stock for services	1,164,215	11,642		8,612,265			8,623,907
Issuance of options for services				9,448,100			9,448,100
Deferred employee stock option compensation				1,637,375	(1,637,375)		-
Amortization of deferred employee stock option compensation					551,707		551,707
Net loss						(38,161,542)	(38,161,542)
<b>Balance, June 30, 2000</b>	<b>31,404,540</b>	<b>\$314,045</b>	<b>(\$7,973)</b>	<b>\$74,370,291</b>	<b>(\$1,225,668)</b>	<b>(\$66,122,191)</b>	<b>\$7,328,504</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN****STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)****FOR THE PERIOD FROM INCEPTION (OCTOBER 2, 1996)****TO JUNE 30, 1997 AND FOR EACH OF THE ELEVEN YEARS****IN THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2008**

	Common Stock Shares	Par Value 0.01	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Deferred Compensation	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
<b>Balance, June 30, 2000</b>	<b>31,404,540</b>	<b>\$ 314,045</b>	<b>(\$7,973)</b>	<b>\$74,370,291</b>	<b>(\$1,225,668)</b>	<b>(\$66,122,191)</b>	<b>\$7,328,504</b>
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of options	320,000	3,200		324,300			327,500
Issuance of common stock with warrants in private placements, net of cash offering costs of \$512,195	4,329,850	43,298		7,766,547			7,809,845
Issuance of common stock for services	450,000	4,500		1,003,125			1,007,625
Issuance of options and warrants for services				5,849,585			5,849,585
Deferred employee stock option compensation				607,885	(607,885)		
Amortization of deferred employee stock option compensation					1,120,278		1,120,278
Issuance of common stock in settlement of debt to directors and related parties	4,840,077	48,402		2,371,637			2,420,039
Net Loss						(23,998,734)	(23,998,734)
<b>Balance June 30, 2001</b>	<b><u>41,344,467</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 413,445</u></b>	<b><u>(\$7,973)</u></b>	<b><u>\$92,293,370</u></b>	<b><u>(\$713,275)</u></b>	<b><u>(\$90,120,925)</u></b>	<b><u>\$1,864,642</u></b>
Issuance of Common stock with warrants in private placement	6,980,643	69,807		1,903,943			1,973,750
Issuance of Common stock for services	2,976,068	29,760		1,169,241			1,199,001
Issuance of options and warrants for services				1,877,937			1,877,937
Cancellation of unearned options to former employees				(140,802)	140,802		
Amortization of deferred employee stock option compensation					548,550		548,550
Issuance of common stock and warrants in settlement of debt to related parties and strategic vendors	7,492,996	74,930		2,663,728			2,738,658
Sale of Common stock to certain Officers and Directors in private	2,000,000	20,000		980,000			1,000,000

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

placement							
Issuance of Common stock upon							
exercise of options	13,334	133		3,867			4,000
Net Loss						(11,249,387)	(11,249,387)
<b>Balance, June 30, 2002</b>	<b><u>60,807,508</u></b>	<b><u>\$608,075</u></b>	<b><u>(\$7,973)</u></b>	<b><u>\$100,751,284</u></b>	<b><u>(\$23,923)</u></b>	<b><u>\$(101,370,312)</u></b>	<b><u>\$ (42,849)</u></b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



**mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**  
**(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN**  
**STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)**  
**FOR THE PERIOD FROM INCEPTION (OCTOBER 2, 1996)**  
**TO JUNE 30, 1997 AND FOR EACH OF THE ELEVEN YEARS**  
**IN THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2008**

	Common Stock Shares	Par Value 0.01	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Deferred Compensation	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
<b>Balance, June 30, 2002</b>	<b><u>60,807,508</u></b>	<b><u>\$608,075</u></b>	<b><u>(\$7,973)</u></b>	<b><u>\$100,751,284</u></b>	<b><u>(\$23,923)</u></b>	<b><u>\$ (101,370,312)</u></b>	<b><u>\$ (42,849)</u></b>
Issuance of Common stock with warrants in private placement, net of Cash offering costs of \$124,687	4,296,680	42,967		1,121,351			1,164,318
Issuance of Common stock for services	426,000	4,260		107,985			112,245
Issuance of options and warrants for services				274,100			274,100
Amortization of deferred employee stock option compensations					23,923		23,923
Issuance of common stock and warrants in settlement of debt to related parties and strategic vendors	5,923,333	59,233		1,826,329			1,885,562
Net Loss						(6,646,185)	(6,646,185)
<b>Balance, June 30, 2003</b>	<b><u>71,453,521</u></b>	<b><u>\$714,535</u></b>	<b><u>(\$7,973)</u></b>	<b><u>\$104,081,049</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>(\$108,016,497)</u></b>	<b><u>(\$3,228,886)</u></b>
Issuance of common stock with warrants in private placement, net of cash offering costs of \$313,200	15,177,973	151,779		4,322,934			4,474,713
Issuance of common stock for services	924,667	9,247		238,153			247,400
Issuance of options and warrants for services				1,067,393			1,067,393
Issuance of common stock pursuant to exercise of warrants	1,233,334	12,333		304,467			316,800
Issuance of common stock and warrants in settlement of debt to related parties and strategic vendors	110,467	1,105		1,962,099			1,963,204
Net Loss						(7,758,586)	(7,758,586)
<b>Balance, June 30, 2004</b>	<b><u>88,899,962</u></b>	<b><u>\$888,999</u></b>	<b><u>(\$7,973)</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 111,976,095</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>(\$115,775,083)</u></b>	<b><u>(\$2,917,962)</u></b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



**mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN****STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)****FOR THE PERIOD FROM INCEPTION (OCTOBER 2, 1996)****TO JUNE 30, 1997 AND FOR EACH OF THE ELEVEN YEARS****IN THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2008**

	Common Stock Shares	Par Value 0.01	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
<b>Balance, June 30, 2004</b>	<b>88,899,962</b>	<b>\$888,999</b>	<b>(\$7,973)</b>	<b>\$111,976,095</b>	<b>(\$115,775,083)</b>	<b>(\$2,917,962)</b>
Issuance of Shares in Private Placement	39,853,661	398,535		6,888,553		7,287,088
Issuance of in connection with exercise of warrants	3,637,954	36,380		644,229		680,609
Conversion of Debt to Common stock and warrants	3,895,171	38,952		1,174,134		1,213,086
Options Awarded to Consultants				2,191,043		2,191,043
Options Awarded to Officers				625,290		625,290
Issuance of shares to Officers and consultants for services	1,151,000	11,510		322,500		334,010
Exercise of cashless warrants	4,949,684	49,499		(49,499)		
Exercise of warrants by officers	1,770,400	17,704				17,704
Reparation of Private Placement Offering	891,000	8,910		176,811		185,721
Net Loss					(11,234,324)	(11,234,324)
<b>Balance June 30, 2005</b>	<b>145,048,832</b>	<b>\$1,450,489</b>	<b>\$(7,973)</b>	<b>\$123,949,156</b>	<b>\$(127,009,407)</b>	<b>\$(1,617,735)</b>
Issuance of common stock pursuant to the exercise of warrants, net of cash expenses of \$108,000	15,720,120	157,201		2,850,523		3,007,724
Issuance of common stock with warrants in private placements, net of cash expenses of \$674,567	72,786,897	727,868		9,329,781		10,057,649
Issuance of common stock for services	11,500,000	115,000		2,324,000		2,439,000
Conversion of related party and strategic vendor debts to common stock and warrants	3,331,864	33,319		556,681		590,000
Stock options awarded to consultants, employees and officers				3,837,423		3,837,423
Issuance of additional shares and warrants to effect revised pricing on previous private offering charged to expense	29,848,271	298,483		5,232,021		5,530,504
Net loss					(24,450,650)	(24,450,650)
<b>Balance, June 30, 2006</b>	<b>278,235,984</b>	<b>\$2,782,360</b>	<b>\$(7,973)</b>	<b>\$148,079,585</b>	<b>(\$151,460,057)</b>	<b>(\$606,085)</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



**mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**  
**(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN**  
**STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)**  
**FOR THE PERIOD FROM INCEPTION (OCTOBER 2, 1996)**  
**TO JUNE 30, 1997 AND FOR EACH OF THE ELEVEN YEARS**  
**IN THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2008**

	<u>Shares</u>	<u>\$.01 Stated Value</u>	<u>Treasury Stock</u>	<u>Additional Paid in Capital</u>	<u>Deferred Compensation</u>	<u>Accumulated Deficit</u>	<u>Total Shareholders (Deficit) Equity</u>
Balance June 30, 2006	278,235,984	\$2,782,360	(\$7,973)	\$148,079,585		(\$151,460,057)	(\$606,085)
Issuance of common stock pursuant to the exercise of warrants (net of cash expenses of \$150,000)	14,740,669	\$147,406		\$1,922,261			\$2,069,667
Issuance of common stock in private placements, (net of cash expenses of \$216,134)	47,958,060	\$479,581		\$5,711,788			\$6,191,369
Issuance of common stock for services	18,172,983	\$181,730		\$2,486,885	(\$627,250)		\$2,041,365
Conversion of related party and strategic vendor debt to common stock	6,073,728	\$60,737		\$930,972			\$991,709
Issuance of additional shares and warrants to effect repricing	<u>22,664,580</u>	<u>\$226,646</u>		\$1,647,374			\$1,874,020
Stock options awarded to employees and officers				<u>\$1,321,853</u>			\$1,321,853
Deferred stock compensation					<u>\$213,166</u>		\$213,166
Net Loss						(\$16,851,562)	(\$16,851,562)
Balance June 30, 2007	387,846,004	\$3,878,460	(\$7,973)	\$162,100,718	(\$414,084)	(\$168,311,619)	(\$2,754,498)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**  
**(A Development Stage Company)**  
**Consolidated Statement of Changes in**  
**Shareholders' Deficit**

	Shares	\$.01 Stated Value	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid in Capital	Deferred Compensation	Accumulated Deficit	Total Shareholders (Deficit) Equity
Balance June 30, 2007	387,846,004	\$3,878,460	(\$7,973)	\$162,100,718	(\$414,084)	(\$168,311,619)	(\$2,754,498)
Issuance of common stock in private placements net of							
\$116,253 offering cost	24,600,000	\$246,000		\$898,247			\$1,144,247
Exercise of Warrants net of Offering Cost \$72,222	11,111,113	\$111,111		\$538,889			\$650,000
Common shares in settlement of accrued expenses	1,019,200	\$10,192		\$89,808			\$100,000
Issuance of additional shares effect repricing	4,663,741	\$46,637		\$345,401			\$392,038
Stock options/ warrants awarded to employees and investors				\$85,682			\$85,682
Stock based compensation	1,000,000	10,000		\$90,192			\$100,192
Amortization of deferred stock compensation					\$414,084		\$414,084
Investment in Granita				\$514,000			\$514,000
Conversion of debt	4,904,942	\$49,050		\$192,073			\$241,123
Cost related to convertible debt financing	5,250,000	<u>\$52,500</u>		<u>\$212,500</u>			\$265,000
Net Loss						(\$3,956,721)	(\$3,956,721)
Balance June,30, 2008	440,395,000	\$4,403,950	(\$7,973)	\$165,067,510	\$0	(\$172,268,340)	(\$2,804,853)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**  
**(A Development Stage Company)**  
**Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows**

	For The Years Ended			October 2, 1996
	2006	June 30, 2007	2008	(Date of Inception) To June 30, 2008
<b>Cash Flow From Operating Activities:</b>				
Net Loss	(\$24,450,650)	(\$16,851,562)	(\$3,956,721)	(\$172,268,340)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization	206,935	218,237	246,355	\$7,282,357
(Gain) loss on debt extinguishments				(\$772,216)
Non-cash charges relating to issuance of common stock, common stock options and warrants	6,276,423	3,363,218	185,874	\$62,620,468
Reparation charges	5,530,504	1,874,020	392,038	\$7,796,562
Derivative Value and Debt Discount charges			(1,188,597)	(\$1,188,597)
Write off of Granita Inventory			505,910	\$505,910
Other non cash charges including amortization of deferred compensation		213,166	\$414,084	\$1,015,065
Changes in assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable	887,604	104,276	(2,039)	\$423,876
Inventories	328,872	(344,640)		(\$510,471)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(23,905)	(325,808)	320,703	(\$40,821)
Loss on Unconsolidated Subsidiary and Other				\$906,535
Accounts payable, Accrued expenses, Deferred revenue Due to/from related parties	785,006	2,084,440	(746,694)	\$8,034,560
Microphase / Janifast//Lintel	(546,343)	73,742	(249,835)	\$5,378,063
Officers and Other	(23,400)	528,100	427,597	\$1,435,253
<b>Net cash used in operating activities</b>	<b>(\$11,028,954)</b>	<b>(\$9,062,811)</b>	<b>(\$3,651,325)</b>	<b>(\$79,381,796)</b>
<b>Cash Flow from Investing Activities:</b>				
Payments related to patents and licensing rights			-	(\$450,780)
Purchase of fixed assets	(\$340,493)	(\$114,480)	(\$61,832)	(\$3,279,387)
Investment in Sovereign			(110,000)	(110,000)
<b>Net Cash (used) in investing activities</b>	<b>(\$340,493)</b>	<b>(\$114,480)</b>	<b>(\$171,832)</b>	<b>(\$3,840,167)</b>
<b>Cash Flow from Financing Activities:</b>				
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, exercises warrants and finders fees, net	\$13,065,375	\$7,761,037	\$2,294,247	\$81,986,852
Payments of short term notes	(372,479)	(241,418)	(379,848)	(\$1,281,552)
Advances from Microphase				\$347,840
Issuance of Convertible Debentures			154,000	\$154,000
Net Proceeds (Repayment) from notes payable related parties	(314,709)	321,000	(116,962)	\$174,329
Proceeds of Long Term Convertible Debentures			1,350,000	\$1,350,000
Sale of minority interest in Granita subsidiary			514,000	\$514,000
Repurchase of treasury stock at cost				(\$7,973)
<b>Net cash provided by financing activities</b>	<b>\$12,378,187</b>	<b>\$7,840,619</b>	<b>\$3,815,437</b>	<b>\$83,237,496</b>
<b>Net increase (decrease) in cash</b>	<b>\$1,008,740</b>	<b>(\$1,336,672)</b>	<b>(\$7,720)</b>	<b>\$15,533</b>
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of	<u>\$351,185</u>	\$1,359,925	\$23,253	

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$1,359,925	\$23,253	\$15,533	\$15,533
--	-------------	----------	----------	----------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



**mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**  
**(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**JUNE 30, 2008**

## 1. ORGANIZATION AND NATURE OF BUSINESS

mPhase, a New Jersey corporation founded in 1996, is a publicly-held company with over 19,000 shareholders and approximately 442 million shares of common stock outstanding. The Company's common stock is traded on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board under the ticker symbol XDSL.

The Company is in the development stage and historically has focused much of its' efforts in the commercial deployment of its TV+ products for delivery of broadcast IPTV, and DSL component products which include POTS splitters. Beginning in 2004, the Company added a new line of power cell batteries and electronic sensors (magnetometers) being developed through the use of Nanotechnology. Since mPhase is in the development stage, the accompanying consolidated financial statements should not be regarded as typical for normal operating periods.

The Company has recently shifted its primary business focus to the development of battery technology and using the science of nanotechnology has developed a battery that has a significantly longer shelf life prior to activation than conventional batteries. In addition, such battery product, unlike conventional batteries, is capable of being disposed of after use without harm to the environment.

On April 17, 2007, the Company announced that it had formed AlwaysReady, Inc., a New Jersey Corporation, as a new wholly-owned subsidiary. The Company plans to transfer all of its nanotechnology assets and appropriate liabilities to such company so as to separate its nanotechnology product line from its IPTV product. Management and staff of AlwaysReady Inc have been hired, however, during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 the Company funded the all the operations of Always Ready, Inc and no assets or liabilities have been transferred.

On June 20, 2007, the Company announced the formation of a new subsidiary, Granita Media, Inc. ("Granita"), a Delaware corporation, to promote and develop its IPTV product line including targeted advertising. and middleware solution. Capitalization of Granita amounted to \$514,000 of equity, provided by employees and independent investors. During FYE June 30, 2008, related assets and liabilities were transferred to Granita and its results consolidated into the these financial statements. Additional funding was to have been arranged from outside institutional financing and potentially involve the sale of up to 10% of the common stock of Granita with mPhase retaining 90% of the stock of Granita. Owing to very challenging conditions in the capital markets, Granita was unable to raise funds necessary to operate as a self sufficient enterprise and fund the additional software development necessary for a targeted advertising enhancement capability of its TV+ solution. In order to conserve financial resources, all employees of Granita were either terminated or had resigned by December 31, 2007. (see also footnote #6) We are headquartered in Norwalk, Connecticut with offices in Little Falls, NJ and New York, NY. mPhase shares common office space with Microphase Corporation, a privately held company. Microphase is a leader in the field of radio frequency and filtering technologies within the defense and telecommunications industry. It has been in operation for over 50 years and has supported mPhase with engineering, administrative and financial resources.

## 2. LOSSES DURING THE DEVELOPMENT STAGE AND MANAGEMENT'S PLANS

Through June 30, 2008, the Company incurred development stage losses totaling approximately \$172 million and at June 30, 2008 had a working capital deficit of \$3,853,019. Funding in our tradition capital markets was difficult during FYE 2008. Management of the Company desired to avoid unnecessary dilution by issuing large amounts of equity at depressed prices to raise larger sums of cash. Alternatively the Company was able to enter into Convertible Debt arrangements with independent investors to provide liquidity and capital resources during the year. Such arrangements not only provided the Company with cash (\$1,350,000) but also with an equity line of credit of up to \$7

million (inclusive of funds received). These arrangements will likely provide much of the working capital anticipated to be needed during the next FYE (See Footnote #8). In addition and from time to time during FYE 2008, the Company raised necessary working capital via bridge loans from officers. Such loans have subsequently been repaid (see notes payable to officers).

The Company is currently focused upon development and commercialization of its "Always Ready" battery product developed using the science of nanotechnology. The Company believes that such battery has a much longer shelf life than conventional batteries and will have significant commercial and military applications. The Company has temporarily suspended, to conserve financial resources, development of its magnetometer sensor devices also developed using the science of nanotechnology. In addition, although the Company has suspended all activity related to its IPTV business, it continues to believe that the intellectual property created over years of development of its TV+ solution may have significant value as the market for IPTV further develops.

**mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**  
**(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**JUNE 30, 2008**

The Company's ability to continue as a going concern and its future success is dependent upon its ability to raise capital in the near term to: (1) satisfy its current obligations, (2) continue its research and development efforts, and (3) successfully market and sell its products. The Company believes that it will be able to complete the necessary steps in order to meet its cash flow requirements throughout fiscal 2009 and continue its development and commercialization efforts.

However, there can be no assurance that mPhase will generate sufficient revenues to provide positive cash flows from operations or that sufficient capital will be available, when required, to permit the Company to realize its plans. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

### 3. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of mPhase, its wholly-owned and majority owned subsidiaries. Significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

#### USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain reclassifications have been made in the prior period consolidated financial statements to conform to the current period presentation.

#### STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

On July 1, 2005, the Company adopted the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 123R, "*Share-Based Payment*" (SFAS 123R). SFAS 123R revised SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation" and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." SFAS 123R requires companies to measure and recognize compensation expense for all employee stock-based payments at fair value over the service period underlying the arrangement. Therefore, the Company is now required to record the grant-date fair value of its stock-based payments (i.e., stock options and other equity-based compensation) in the statement of operations. Effective, July 1, 2005, the Company adopted FAS 123R using the "modified prospective" method, and has recorded as an expense the fair value of all stock based grants to employees after such date. The Company has not restated its operating results for any prior fiscal year end or quarter.

#### PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of three to five years.

#### REVENUE RECOGNITION

As required, mPhase has adopted the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 104, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements," which provides guidelines on applying generally accepted accounting principles to revenue recognition based on the interpretations and practices of the SEC. Revenue

related to research agreements relative to its nanotechnology line are amortized over the term of the agreement on a straight line basis

#### RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Research and Development cost are charged to operations when incurred. The amounts charged to expense for the years ended 2006, 2007, 2008 and inception to date were \$8,034,964, \$6,393,215, \$988,091 and \$58,960,508, respectively.

#### PATENTS AND LICENSES

Patents and licenses are capitalized when mPhase determines there will be a future benefit derived from such assets, and are stated at cost. Amortization is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset, generally five years. Amortization expense was \$55,987, \$36,439, and \$51,140 for the years ended June 30, 2006, 2007, and 2008, respectively. As of June 30, 2008, the book value of such assets has been fully amortized.

**mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2008**

3. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - (Continued)

**INVENTORIES**

The Company uses the First In First Out method (FIFO) to account for inventory which is carried at cost. As of June 30, 2007, inventory consisted primarily of component parts being assembled on location in anticipation of deployment of specific IPTV systems. Appropriate reserves have been taken to assure that the cost of such inventory does not exceed the value of the underlying contract. During the year ended June 30, 2008, the Company determined that the value of inventory related to IPTV had been impaired and charged to earnings all associated amounts (\$505,910).

**LONG-LIVED ASSETS**

In August 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 144 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," which became effective for the Company July 1, 2002 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2005, June 30, 2006 thru June 30, 2008. The Company assesses long-term assets for impairment under SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. Under SFAS No. 144, the Company reviews long-term assets for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of those assets may not be recoverable. The Company also assesses these assets for impairment based on their estimated future cash flows.

**REPARATION EXPENSE**

As an incentive for additional equity contributions, the Company will from time to time, adjust the cost of past private purchases of common stock through the issuance of additional shares in such magnitude as to reduce an investor's cost to an average price that more closely approximates current market value. The market value of additional shares issued without cash investment is charged to Reparation Expense, which is included in Other Expenses. Reparation expenses have amounted to \$5,530,504, \$1,874,020, \$392,038 and \$7,796,562 for the years ended 2006, 2007, 2008 and inception to date, respectively.

**LOSS PER COMMON SHARE, BASIC AND DILUTED**

mPhase accounts for net loss per common share in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share" ("EPS"). SFAS No. 128 requires the disclosure of the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the entity. Common equivalent shares have been excluded from the computation of diluted EPS since their effect is anti-dilutive.

**BUSINESS CONCENTRATIONS AND CREDIT RISK**

To date the Company's products have been sold to a limited number of customers, primarily in the telecommunications and defense industry. The Company had revenue from two customers of 48% and 22% during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. During the year ended the June 30, 2008, all revenue is attributable to research contracts with the US Army.

Throughout the year, cash may exceed FDIC insured limits. The Company maintains cash balances at financial institutions. The balances are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$100,000. Cash balances exceeded FDIC insured limits at times throughout the years ended June 30, 2007 and 2008.

**SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION**

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>
Interest Paid	\$34,569	\$18,310	\$214,878

## Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

### Non Cash Investing and Financing Activities:

Conversion of vendor debt to equity	\$590,000	\$991,709	\$0
Conversion of convertible debt			\$241,123
Shares issued relative to debt financing			\$265,000
Shares issued in settlement of accrued expenses			\$100,000
Convertible notes payable issued for notes receivable			\$2,000,000
			79

---

**mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**  
**(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**JUNE 30, 2008**

**4. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment, at cost, consist of the following:

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>
Research Equipment	\$470,939	\$505,905
Office and Marketing	<u>195,900</u>	<u>224,998</u>
Gross Cost	666,839	730,903
<b>Less Accumulated</b> Depreciation	<u>(384,038)</u>	<u>(581,485)</u>
Net Property and Equipment	\$282,801	\$149,418

Depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2006, 2007 and 2008 was \$206,935, \$218,237 and \$246,355 respectively, of which \$128,519, \$124,382 and \$101,024 respectively, relates to research laboratory and testing equipment included in research and development expense.

**5. ACCRUED EXPENSES**

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>
Lucent Projects (a)	\$400,000	
Finders Fees	\$250,000	\$160,000
Other Projects	<u>\$283,145</u>	<u>\$228,444</u>
Total	\$933,145	\$388,444

(a) Based on an estimate continued work relating to the Magnetometer. Such project was abandoned without liability and reversed in FYE 2008

**6. GRANITA MEDIA**

Effective July 1, 2007, the Company formed Granita Media, Inc. to separate its IPTV business and facilitate the raising of capital. Pursuant to an arrangement with 4 employees of mPhase, such employees were terminated from mPhase as of July 1, 2007 and became employees of Granita Media Inc and invested solely in the common stock of Granita Media Inc. Under the arrangement, each of the 4 employees were required to invest \$125,000 in exchange for an aggregate 2% equity interest in Granita Media, Inc with mPhase continuing to own 98% of the Company. The 4 employees contributed a total of \$339,000 of the total \$500,000 equity investment required from them and raised from third party investors another \$175,000 for a total of \$514,000 Granita Media has 19,000,000 shares of common stock outstanding of which 18,000,000 was owned by mPhase Technology and 1,000,000 is being held for issuance the 4 employees and the third party investors pending an agreement among such persons of the allocation of such shares.

Under the terms of the arrangement between mPhase and the 4 employees, such employees were authorized to sell up to 7.99% of additional equity in the Company for a total of not less than \$2,000,000 of additional capital by December 31, 2007. As noted above, the employees raised a total of \$175,000 of outside capital only and pursuant to the arrangement, such employees either resigned or were terminated by mPhase together with several lower level employees of Granita. A dispute has arisen between Granita Media and one of the former employees with respect to a sum of approximately \$168,000 included in short term loans. It is the Company's position that such sums were voluntarily advanced to fund operating expenses after July 1, 2007. Since the 4 employee / officers of Granita Media were required to cover operating expenses of Granita Media after July 1, 2007 through equity investments either

directly or from third parties, the Company has taken the position that such amount nor any related interest and fees are not owed to the employee. In addition, the Company has substantial rights of offset for unpaid rent with respect to the portion of its Little Falls office occupied by Granita Media after July 1, 2007.



mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2008

During the twelve month period ended June 30, 2008, Granita Media Inc sustained losses estimated to be \$762,000, had exhausted all cash resources and was past due on payables to key vendors. In October, 2007, Granita Media received notice that its test system being deployed at Comstar/Odessa, a major telephone service provider in the Ukraine was being replaced by a system of another vendor used by its parent company in Russia. This event, together with the inability of the 4 employees to successfully arrange not less than \$2 million of additional equity financing under their arrangement with the Company to fund operating expenses of Granitia Media, Inc, prompted a suspension of operations and the resignation by all of the 4 employees the termination and all other technical employees. The Company is currently undergoing a review of strategic alternatives including the licensing of technology developed for the IPTV product and the potential of moving forward with its Targeted Advertising addition to such product.

## 7. SHORT TERM NOTES PAYABLE

Short term debt is comprised of the following:

	June 30, 2007	June 30, 2008
Note payable to Granita Employee (See note #6)		\$175,820
Note payable to law firm bearing 8% interest, originally monthly installments of \$5,000 per month commencing in June 2002 and continuing through December 1, 2003 with a final payment of principal plus accrued interest due at maturity on December 31, 2003, this note was in arrears as of June 30, 2004 and the company negotiated a new settlement arrangement as of August 31, 2004. Under such settlement agreement, the Company made a \$100,000 cash payment and gave a cashless warrant to purchase \$150,000 worth of common stock valued at \$.25 per share. In addition, the Company agreed to pay \$25,000 on each of December 1, 2004, March 1, 2005, June 1, 2005, September 1, 2005 and \$50,000 on December 1, 2005. Thereafter, the Company was obligated to pay \$25,000 on each of March 1, 2006, June 1, 2006, September 1, 2006 with a final payment of \$75,000 on December 1, 2006 (\$10,000 paid in 2008). The Company is currently in default	\$75,000	\$65,000
Notes Payable Other	<u>\$1,640</u>	<u>-0-</u>
Total Short Term Notes	<u>\$76,640</u>	<u>\$240,820</u>

## 8. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

mPhase initially authorized capital of 50,000,000 shares of common stock with no par value. On February 23, 2000, the Board of Directors proposed and on May 22, 2000 the shareholders approved an increase in the authorized capital to 150,000,000 shares of common stock. On June 15, 2004, a Special Meeting of Shareholders of the Company approved a proposal by the Company to amend the Company's Certificate of Incorporation under New Jersey law to increase the authorized shares of common stock from 150 million to 250 million shares and change the par value of all shares of common stock from no par to \$0.01 par stock.. Effective, June 30, 2005 and June 2006, the Company received authorization to increase the number of authorized shares to 500 million and 900 million respectively. (See also subsequent events)

**During the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2008 the following transactions impacted stockholders equity**

### Private Placements

During the quarter ended September 30, 2007, the Company issued 500,000 shares of its common stock in private placements generating net proceeds of \$50,000 During the quarter ended December 31, 2007, the Company issued 850,000 shares of its common stock in private placements generating net proceeds of \$48,000 During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, the Company issued 23,250,000 shares of its common stock in private placements generating net

proceeds of \$1,162,500.

**Exercise of Warrants**

During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, 11,111,112 warrants to purchase common stock were exercised and additional warrants of 11,111,112 issued. Such transaction generated net proceeds of \$650,000.

mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2008

### Other Equity Transactions

During the year months ended, June 30, 2008, the Company issued 1,000,000 shares of stock, 110,000 of options to purchase common stock valued at \$100,192 to individuals. In addition, it issued 4,663,741 shares of common stock valued at \$392,038 to reflect re-pricing agreements, 1,109,200 shares were issued to pay for finders fees valued at \$100,000 and issued 5,250,000 shares of common stock valued at \$265,000 in connection with debt financing arrangement (see convertible debt below) The fair value of shares issued was estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes pricing model, based on the following weighted average assumptions: annual expected return of 0%, annual volatility of ranging between 70 -79 %, based on a risk-free interest rate of 2.25% and expected option life of 5 years

### Investment in Granita Media inc

An investment of \$514,000 was received by Granita Media, Inc, a subsidiary formed July 1, 2007, to operate its IPTV business. Since the company remains the controlling shareholder in Granita Media and such results are consolidated, this investment is included in Additional Paid In Capital.

### Convertible Debt Short Term

In September, 2007, the Company received proceeds of \$154,000 of convertible debt bearing interest at an annual rate of 15% and due September 1, 2008. Such debt may be converted into the Company's common shares at a price equal to a 20% discount from the 20 day average bid and ask price. Such election is at the option of the Company on September 1, 2008. In March 2008, \$100,000 of such debt was converted into 2,727,264 shares of common stock.

### Long Term Convertible Debentures / Note Receivable / Debt Discount

During Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2008 the Company entered into three separate convertible debt arrangements with independent investors. These transactions are intended to provide liquidity and capital to the Company and are summarized below.

#### Arrangement #1

In December, 2007, the Company received proceeds of \$500,000 under a Securities Purchase Agreement. This transaction involves three related agreements: 1) A Securities Purchase Agreement which may under certain circumstances permit the Company to draw up to \$6,000,000 of funds; 2) A Convertible Debenture in the amount of \$1.5 million, with an interest rate of 7 ¼% and a maturity date of December 11, 2010 and 3) A Secured Note Receivable in the amount of \$1.0 million, with an interest rate of 8 ¼ % and a maturity date of February 1, 2011 due from the same parties who are the holders of the Convertible Debentures

Conversion of outstanding debentures into common shares is at the option of the holder. The number of shares into which this debenture can be converted is equal to the dollar amount of the debenture divided by the lesser of \$.35 per share or 80% of the 3 lowest Volume Weighted Average Prices during a 20 day trading period. At the time of the transaction (December 11, 2007) the derivative value of this security was calculated to be \$1,678,471. On June 30, 2008, given the decrease in the stock price, this value had decreased to \$322,636 creating a non-cash credit to earnings over the year of \$1,355,835 In addition, the transaction resulted in a note discount of \$1.5 million which is being amortized as expense over the life of the loan.

During the fourth quarter of FYE 2008, \$135,000 of such debt was converted into 2,177,723 shares of common stock and the Company received a \$50,000 payment under the provisions of the related Note Receivable. Subsequently, through September 18, 2008, an additional \$560,000 was converted into 14,792,000 shares and the Company received additional payments of \$700,000 of its Note Receivable. The Note Receivable is secured by all the assets of Golden Gate. It should also be noted that the holder has the right to offset unpaid principal amount against the note receivable

as well as receive certain compensatory fees in the event of a default.

Arrangement #2

In February 2008, the Company entered into a Convertible Debenture transaction which involved the receipt of \$500,000 cash, a note payable of \$550,000 and the issuance of 3,250,000 shares of stock valued at \$260,000. The relative fair value of the share discount was \$105,000 as of June 30, 2008. The terms of the debenture provide for a 7.5% interest rate, a due date of February 2012 and allow similar conversion privileges equal to 75% of the average of the three lowest prices over a 20 day period. The derivative value of such security was estimated to be \$581,428 on the date of issuance. On June 30, 2008, this value had decreased to \$142,593 creating a non cash credit to earnings of \$438,835. The cost of the shares issued and related debt discount is being amortized to expense over the life of the debenture. In the event of default under the note payable the holder is entitled to certain compensatory fees.

mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2008

Arrangement #3

In April, 2008, the Company received proceeds of \$300,000 under a Securities Purchase Agreement. This transaction involves three related agreements: 1) A Securities Purchase Agreement which may under certain circumstances permit the Company to draw up to \$1,000,000 of funds; 2) Two Convertible Debentures totaling \$1,450,000, with a one time interest factor of 12% and a maturity date of March 25, 2011 and 3) A Secured Note Receivable in the amount of \$1.0 million, with a one time interest factor of 13.2 % and maturity dates of March 25, 2012 due from the same parties who are the holders of the Convertible Debentures.

Conversion of outstanding debentures into common shares is at the option of the holder. The number of shares into which this debenture can be converted is equal to the dollar amount of the debenture divided by 75%- 80% of the 3 lowest Volume Weighted Average Prices during a 20 day trading period. At the time of the transaction the derivative value of this security was calculated to be \$2,493,212. On June 30, 2008, given the decrease in the stock price, this value had decreased to, \$284,922 creating a non-cash credit to earnings over the year of \$2,208,290. In addition, the transaction resulted in a note discount which is being amortized as expense over the life of the loan. Draws under the Note Receivable are not anticipated until October 2008 and is collateralized by \$1 million of Blue Chip Stocks. In total 2 million shares were issued in connection with the convertible debenture with a relative fair value of \$160,000 included in debt discount.

During the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2007 the following transactions impacted stockholders equity.

Private Placements:

During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, the Company issued 6,780,716 shares of its common stock together with 5,555,556 of 5 year warrants to purchase one share each of the Company's common stock, with an exercise price of \$.18 per share in private placements generating net proceeds of \$1,104,000.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 6,622,223 shares of its common stock together with 5 year warrants to purchase 1,388,889 of the Company's common stock, with an exercise price of \$.18 per share in private placements generating net proceeds of \$833,866. Included in these amounts are finders fees paid in cash and 566,667 additional shares of common stock.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2007, the Company issued 14,973,083 shares of its common stock. Private placements generating net proceeds of \$1,777,503; included in this amount is an estimate of finder's fees to be paid of \$209,000.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2007, the Company issued 19,582,038 shares of its common stock. Private placements generating net proceeds of \$2,476,000; included in this amount is an estimate of finder's fees to be paid of \$41,000

Warrants Exercised:

During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, the Company issued 138,889 shares of its common stock pursuant to the exercise of warrants, generating net proceeds of \$25,000 to the Company.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 12,101,780 shares of its common stock pursuant to the exercise of warrants, generating net proceeds of \$1,669,667 to the Company. In addition, the Company issued to

certain investors new 5 year warrants to purchase 11,111,112 of the Company's common stock, with exercise prices ranging from \$.15 - \$.18 per share.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2007, the Company issued 2,500,000 shares of its common stock pursuant to the exercise of warrants, generating net proceeds of \$375,000 to the Company.

### Options and Stock Based Compensation

During the twelve months ended June 30, 2007, the Company authorized the issuance of 10,455,000 in options and warrants of 2,821,113 to employees, officers, and consultants granting the right to purchase a like amount of common shares. Pursuant to the adoption of FAS 123(R), the Company recognized an expense in the amount of \$1,321,853, all of which has been included in general and administrative expense. The fair value of options granted was estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes stock option pricing model, based on the following weighted average assumptions: annual expected return of 0%, annual volatility of ranging between 80% -95%, based on a risk-free interest rate of 4.8% and expected option life of 5 years. During the twelve months ended June 30, 2007, the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 18,172,983 shares of common stock, with an aggregate value of \$2,041,365 as compensation to consultants and employees. The stock value ranged in price from \$.12 to \$.20 per share, the fair value on the date of the awards.

### Debt Conversions

During the twelve months ended June 30, 2007, the Company converted accounts payable of \$991,709 into 6,073,728 shares of common stock.

mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2008

### Reparations

During the twelve month period ended June 30, 2007, the Company became obligated to issue 22,664,580 of its common stock as reparation to affect revised pricing on previous private placements. This additional consideration was afforded to past investors who agreed to make an additional cash investment as part of a new private placement. The cost of such consideration was estimated to be the fair value of such shares at the time of the investment, or \$1,874,020.

### Conversions, Settlements and Gain on Extinguishments

As a result of the preceding, during the three years ended June 30, 2008, extinguishments, cancellations and conversions of debt for issuance of the Company's common stock to related parties is summarized in Note 11 and amounts relating to strategic investors is summarized as follows:

Equity Conversions of Debt with Strategic Vendors	For the Years Ended June 30,		
	2006	2007	2008
Number of Shares	3,331,864	6,073,728	1,092,200
Number of Warrants	3,277,778	0	0
Amount Converted to Equity	\$590,000	\$991,709	\$100,000
Gain on Extinguishments of Debt	\$30,608	0	0

The Company has no commitments from affiliates or related parties to provide additional financings. The Company has, from time to time, been able to obtain financings from affiliates when conditions in the capital markets make third party financing difficult to obtain or when external financing is available only upon very unattractive terms to the Company, and when such capital has been available from the affiliates.

mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2008

## STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS

A summary of the stock option activity for the years ended June 30, 2007 and, 2008 pursuant to the terms of both plans, which include incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options, is set forth on the below:

	Number of Options	Weighed Average Exercise Price
<b>Outstanding at June 30, 2006</b>	43,473,000	\$.26
Granted	8,855,000	\$0.21
Exercised		
Cancelled/Expired	6,435,000	\$0.81
<b>Outstanding at June 30, 2007</b>	45,893,000	\$0.25
Granted	85,000	\$0.15
Exercised		
Cancelled/Expired	0	0
<b>Outstanding at June 30, 2008</b>	45,978,000	\$0.25

The fair value of options granted in fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 and 2008 was estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes stock option pricing model, based on the following weighted average assumptions: annual expected return of 0%, an average life of 5 years, annual volatility of 79% and 71% and a risk-free interest rate 4.8% and 2.25% in the years 2007 and 2008 respectively.

The following summarizes information about stock options outstanding at June 30, 2008:

RANGE OF EXERCISE PRICE	NUMBER OUTSTANDING	WEIGHTED AVERAGE REMAINING CONTRACTUAL LIFE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	NUMBER EXERCISABLE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE
\$.13 - \$.45	45,373,000	2.4 years	\$.25	45,373,000	\$.25

## WARRANTS

During The fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 the company issued 13,104,168 of warrants at exercises prices ranging from \$.05 to \$.15 and 5,271,740 warrants expired. In addition the Company received a total of \$650,000 (net of fees) through the exercise of 11,111,113 warrants.

During The fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 the company issued 19,862,781 of warrants at exercises prices ranging from \$.17 to \$.25 and 10,165,399 warrants expired or were cancelled. In addition the Company received a total of \$2,069,667 through the exercise of 14,740,669 warrants.

As of June 30, 2008 and 2007 warrants covering 146,146,505 and 150,818,245 shares remain outstanding with a weighted average exercise price of \$.21 and \$.22 respectively.



mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2008

## 9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Mr. Durando, the President and CEO of mPhase together with Mr. Ergul own a controlling interest and are officers of Janifast Limited. Mr. Durando and Mr. Dotoli are officers of Microphase Corporation. Mr. Ergul, retired as the chairman of the board of mPhase in Nov 2007, owns a controlling interest and is a director of Microphase Corporation,

Mr. Abraham Biderman was employed until September 30, 2003 by our former investment-banking firm Lipper & Company. During the fiscal year ended June 30 2007, Mr. Biderman's current firm Eagle Advisers, Inc. has acted as a finder of money in connection with finder's fees of \$520,000, as well as addition administrative and occupancy charges of \$43,400. In 2008, Mr Biderman was paid \$188,472 of finders fees.

In addition, at various points during fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, Messrs. Durando, Dotoli and Smiley provided \$650,000 in bridge loans to the Company which was evidenced by individual promissory notes. During December 2006, Messrs Durando and Dotoli agreed to convert their notes, in the amounts of \$130,000 and \$200,000 respectively, to a deferred compensation arrangement, the repayment terms of which have not been specified. Mr. Smiley has extended bridge loans to the Company of \$160,000, evidenced by promissory notes for \$101,000 and a \$60,000 note with a 12% rate of interest. In summary, as of June 30, 2008, bridge loans outstanding were \$85,000,\$75,000 and \$161,000 to the Messrs. Durando, Dotoli and Smiley, respectively. All of the foregoing promissory notes are payable on demand.

### JANIFAST

During the year ended June 30, 2000, mPhase advanced money to Janifast Limited, which is owned by U.S. Janifast Holdings, Ltd, a related party of which three directors of mPhase are significant shareholders, in connection with the manufacturing of POTS Splitter shelves and DSL component products. As of June 30, 2000 the amount advanced to Janifast was approximately \$1,106,000, which is included in production advances-related parties on the accompanying balance sheet. There were no such advances during the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2003. Pursuant to debt conversion agreements between the Company and Janifast, for the year ended June 30, 2001 Janifast received 1,200,000 shares of mPhase common stock canceling liabilities of \$600,000, and for the year ended June 30, 2002 Janifast received 3,450,000 shares of mPhase common stock and 1,200,000 warrants to purchase mPhase common stock for the cancellation of \$720,000 of liabilities, as discussed in Note 10. During the year ended June 30, 2003 Janifast was issued 1,500,000 shares of mPhase common stock in connection with the cancellation of \$360,000 of outstanding liabilities of mPhase, the value of which was based upon the price of the Company's common stock on the effective date of settlement. No gain or loss was recognized in connection with conversions by Janifast for fiscal 2003. During the years ended June 30, 2003, 2004 and 2005 and the period from inception (October 2, 1996) to June 30, 2005, there has been \$174,959, \$2,771,925, 1,536,494 and \$15,001,105, respectively, of invoices for products and services have been charged to inventory or expense and is included in operating expenses in the accompanying statements of operations. Effective December 30, 2004, Janifast Ltd. agreed to convert \$200,000 of accounts payable into common stock of the Company at \$.20 per share plus a 5 year warrant for a like amount of shares at \$.25 per share. During the year ended June 30, 2007, Janifast agreed to converted \$108,000 of debt into 830,000 shares of common stock and received 769,231 additional shares of stock as reparations.

### MICROPHASE

The company leases office space from Microphase at both its Norwalk and Little Falls location. Current rental expense is \$5,000 and \$13,800 per month at Norwalk and Little Falls respectively. In addition, Microphase provides certain research and development services and shares administrative personnel from time to time.

mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2008

9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS - *(continued)*

On August 30, 2004, the Company paid \$100,000 in cash to Piper Rudnick LLP, outside legal counsel in the Company as part of a renegotiated settlement agreement that was originally effective as of March 31, 2002. The Company was in arrears with respect to payments due under the original settlement agreement and as part of the renegotiated agreement agreed to make the following payments:

a.

\$25,000 on each of December 1, 2004, March 2005, June 1, 2005, September 1, 2005 and a \$50,000 payment on December 1, 2005. Thereafter the Company is obligated to pay \$25,000 on each of March 1, 2006, June 1 2006, and September 1, 2006 with a final payment of \$75,000 of December 1, 2006.

b.

The Company also delivered a 5 year cashless warrant to purchase \$150,000 worth of common stock at \$.25 per share.

The warrant was valued pursuant to EITF 96-18, the ascribed value of the warrant minus the debt cancelled resulting loss of \$40,500.

As of June 30, 2004, Mr. Smiley and Microphase each agreed to extend to July 25, 2005, the maturity on their 12% convertible promissory notes in the principal amount of \$100,000 and \$180,000 respectively.

Additionally at June 30, 2004, Mr. Durando was owed \$300,000 by the Company as evidenced by a non-interest bearing promissory note that was repaid in July 2004. As of June 30, 2004 a total of \$55,000 in the aggregate was due to Mr. Durando and Mr. Dotoli for unpaid compensation.

Mr. Durando's June 30, 2004 note payable balance of \$300,000 was repaid by the Company during the nine month period ended March 31, 2005. During the first and second quarters of fiscal year 2005, Mr. Durando made additional bridge loans to the Company evidenced by various 12% demand notes in the aggregate of \$525,000. Mr. Durando was repaid a total of \$450,000 of such loans in January of 2005. In addition, Mr. Durando converted \$13,954 of the principal amount of a \$75,000 promissory note leaving unpaid principal of \$61,046 outstanding. Mr. Durando converted \$13,000 of accrued and unpaid interest on various promissory notes of the Company into 65,000 shares of common stock and a 5 year warrant to purchase a like amount of common stock at \$.25 per share.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, Mr. Dotoli and Mr. Smiley, the COO, and CFO and General Counsel of the Company respectively, each lent the Company \$75,000. Mr. Dotoli was repaid, the principal amount of such loan, in cash in January, 2005 and Mr. Smiley converted his \$75,000 loan into 375,000 shares of common stock of the Company plus a 5 year warrant to purchase a like amount of shares at \$.25 per share. In addition, Mr. Smiley converted \$9,975 of accrued interest into 49,875 shares of common stock plus a 5 year warrant to purchase a like amount of shares at \$.25 per share. Finally Mr. Smiley received 25,000 additional shares of common stock as a market adjustment to his equity investment of \$25,000 on August 30, 2004. Mr. Dotoli cancelled \$3,750 of accrued and unpaid interest from August 15, 2004 through January 15, 2004 into 375,000 shares of common stock pursuant to the terms of a portion of a warrant that was exercised at \$.01 per share previously given by the Company to Mr. Dotoli in exchange for and cancellation of unpaid compensation. On January 15, 2004, Mr. Smiley was awarded 425,000 shares of common stock as additional compensation.

In July of 2005, Mr. Smiley was repaid a loan of \$35,000, without interest made to the Company in June of 2005. In the three month period ended September 30, 2005, Mr. Durando and Mr. Smiley lent the Company \$50,000 and \$100,000, respectively, which was repaid by the Company, without interest in October of 2005.

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2008

The following summarizes compensation to related parties for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006:

	Durando	Dotoli	Ergul	Biderman	Smiley	Guerino	Janifast	Microphase	TOTAL RELATED
Consulting/Salary	\$643,600	\$357,000		\$39,000	\$175,000				\$1,214,600
Directors Stipend	\$7,500	\$7,500					\$7,500		\$22,500
Rent				\$36,000				\$204,444	\$240,444
Cost of Sales/Royalty							\$770,441	\$32,014	\$802,455
Loan Interest								\$10,800	\$10,800
R&D								\$197,639	\$197,639
SG&A								\$86,923	\$86,923
Estimated Value of Stock Issued	\$1,260,000	\$525,000	\$210,000	\$105,000	\$331,213				\$2,431,213
Estimated Value of Options Issued	\$1,596,200	\$836,400	\$273,740	\$85,890	\$213,850	\$56,990			\$3,063,070
Reparations Shares							\$834,633	\$728,434	\$1,563,067
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$3,507,300</b>	<b>\$1,725,900</b>	<b>\$483,740</b>	<b>\$265,890</b>	<b>\$720,063</b>	<b>\$64,490</b>	<b>\$1,605,074</b>	<b>\$1,260,254</b>	<b>\$9,632,711</b>

The Following Summaries Compensation to Related Parties for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

	Durando	Dotoli	Ergul	Biderman	Smiley	Guerino	Lawrence	Janifast	Microphase	TOTAL RELATED
Consulting / Salary	\$393,600	\$282,000			\$200,000					\$875,600
Directors Stipend and Interest	\$7,500	\$7,538	\$3,750	\$3,750	\$8,550	\$3,750	\$3,750			\$38,588
Rent									\$60,000	\$60,000
R&D									\$236,492	\$236,492
Finders Fees (including common shares)				\$520,000						\$520,000
Cost of Sales and SG&A								\$110,912	\$36,342	\$147,254
Reparations and Stock Based Compensation	\$1,044,000	\$555,000	\$201,000	\$16,800	\$306,250	\$14,700		\$138,462		\$2,276,212
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$1,445,100</b>	<b>\$844,538</b>	<b>\$204,750</b>	<b>\$540,550</b>	<b>\$514,800</b>	<b>\$18,450</b>	<b>\$3,750</b>	<b>\$249,374</b>	<b>\$332,834</b>	<b>\$4,154,146</b>
PacketPort.com legal expense										\$611,807
Total expense to related parties										\$4,765,953

mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
 (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 JUNE 30, 2008

The Following Summaries Compensation to Related Parties for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008

	Durando	Dotoli	Biderman	Smiley	Microphase	TOTAL RELATED
Consulting / Salary	\$393,600	\$282,000		\$200,000		\$875,600
Interest	\$19,490	\$4,156		\$18,752		\$42,398
Rent					\$60,000	\$60,000
R&D					\$28,151	\$28,151
Finders Fees			\$188,472			\$188,472
Cost of Sales and SG&A					<u>\$30,089</u>	\$30,089
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$413,090</b>	<b>\$286,156</b>	<b>\$188,472</b>	<b>\$218,752</b>	<b>\$118,240</b>	<b>\$1,224,710</b>

Edgar Filing: MPHASE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Summary of amounts due to Officers  
For the year ended June 30, 2008

	RON DURANDO	GUS DOTOLI	MARTIN SMILEY	TOTAL
<b>NOTES PAYABLE OFFICERS</b>				
BALANCE 6/30/07	\$85,000	\$75,000	\$161,000	\$321,000
July 2007 Advances (Payments)	(\$30,000)	(\$75,000)		(\$105,000)
August 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$35,000	\$75,100	\$35,000	\$145,100
Sept 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$110,000			\$110,000
Assumption of Note Payable- Sovereign	\$110,000			\$110,000
Oct 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$75,000
Nov 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$76,000	\$36,000	\$11,000	\$123,000
Dec 2007 Advances (Payments)	\$25,000	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$25,000
Transferred to Deferred Comp	(\$148,000)	(\$123,500)		(\$271,500)
Jan 2008 Advances (Payments)	\$2,000	\$32,000		\$34,000
Feb 2008 Advances (Payments)	\$0	\$55,000	\$72,038	\$127,038
Mar 2008 Advances (Payments)	(\$180,000)	(\$47,500)	(\$40,000)	(\$267,500)
April 2008 Advances (Payments)	<u>(\$110,000)</u>	<u>(\$52,100)</u>	(\$45,000)	(\$207,100)
MaY 2008 Advances (Payments)			<u>(\$15,000)</u>	<u>(\$15,000)</u>
June 2008 Advances (Payments)				
<b>BALANCE Notes Payable Officers</b>	\$0	\$0	\$204,038	\$204,038
<b>Deferred Compensation</b>	\$278,000	\$323,500		\$601,500
<b>Due To Officers</b>				
BALANCE 6/30/07	\$188,400	\$75,500		\$263,900
Consulting Fee Earned - 1st Qtr	\$98,400	\$70,500		\$168,900
Consulting Fees Paid - 1st Qtr	(\$39,500)	(\$32,500)		(\$72,000)
Consulting Fee Earned - 2nd Qtr	\$98,400	\$70,500		\$168,900
Consulting Fees Paid - 2nd Qtr	(\$10,000)	(\$10,000)		(\$20,000)
Consulting Fee Earned - 3rd Qtr	\$98,400	\$70,500		\$168,900
Consulting Fees Paid - 3rd Qtr	(\$12,000)	(\$8,500)		(\$20,500)
Consulting Fee Earned - 4th Qtr	\$98,400	\$70,500		\$168,900
Consulting Fees Paid - 4th Qtr	<u>(\$221,510)</u>	<u>(\$204,244)</u>		(\$425,754)
<b>Balance Due to Officers</b>	\$298,990	\$102,256		\$401,246
<b>Interest Payable</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$18,751</u>	<u>\$18,751</u>
<b>Totals Payable to Officers</b>	<u>\$576,990</u>	<u>\$425,756</u>	<u>\$222,789</u>	<u>\$1,225,535</u>

mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2008

## Equity Conversions of Debt and Other Financial Instruments with Related Parties

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>
<b>Janifast:</b>			
Number of shares	950,000	830,769	
Number of warrants	950,000	0	
Amount converted to equity	\$171,000	\$108,000	
<b>Microphase Corporation:</b>			
Number of shares	2,050,000	0	
Number of warrants	2,050,000	0	
Amount converted to equity	\$369,000	\$0	
<b>Strategic Vendor Conversions:</b>			
Number of shares	331,864	5,242,959	
Number of warrants	277,778	0	
Amount converted to equity	\$50,000	\$1,996,561	
<b>Officers</b>			
Number of shares	0	0	
Number of warrants (A)	0	0	
Amount converted to equity	\$0	\$0	
<b>Total Related Party Conversions</b>			
Number of shares	3,331,864	6,073,728	NONE
Number of warrants	3,277,778	0	
Amount converted to equity	\$590,000	\$2,104,561	

## 10. INCOME TAXES

No provision has been made for corporate income taxes due to cumulative losses incurred. At June 30, 2008, mPhase has operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$89.3 million and \$88.7 million to offset future federal and state income taxes respectively, which expire at various times from 2016 through 2024. Certain changes in stock ownership can result in a limitation in the amount of net operating loss and tax credit carryovers that can be utilized each year.



mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2008

## 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

### COMMITMENTS

The Company has a lease obligation for the rental of office space in Little Falls New Jersey until May 31, 2009. The annual obligation under such lease requires rent of \$151,862 for the year beginning June 1, 2008 and ending May 31, 2009.

mPhase has entered into various agreements with Georgia Tech Research ("GTRC") and its affiliate, Georgia Tech Applied Research Corporation, ("GTARC"), pursuant to which the Company receives technical assistance in developing the commercialization of its Digital Video and Data Delivery System. The amount incurred by the Company for GTRC technical assistance with respect to its research and development activities during the years ended, 2005, 2006 and 2007 totaled \$0, \$0 and \$0 respectively, and \$13,539,952 from the period from inception through June 30, 2008.

## 12. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Consistent with the terms of the securities purchase agreement with Golden Gate Investors, during the period July 1, 2008 to September 29, 2008 the company received cash of \$700,000 as prepayment of its Note Receivable and converted \$415,000 of debt into 10,740,000 million shares of the Company's Common Stock. In addition, during such period, the Company issued 2,600,000 shares of Common Stock to consultants

In August of 2008 was notified that it had been awarded a research contract from the Department of the Army allowing the company an opportunity to continue testing its Nanobattery in Phase #2. Such contract provides the Company with approximately \$500,000 of net revenue over two years

In August of 2008, at a meeting of shareholders, the Company voted to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock to 2 billion from 900 million.

In July the Company received \$200,000 and issued a total of 7,862,000 shares in a private placement. Approximately 3,862,000 of such shares represented reparation of past investments.

In September 2008 the Company issued 64,450,000 shares of common stock and 104,950,000 options to purchase such stock at \$.05 to various employees consultants and individuals. Included in such issuance were 27,000,000 shares and 50,000,000 options to Mr. Durando, 16,000,000 shares and 30,000,000 options to Mr. Dotoli and 10,000,000 shares and 18,000,000 options to Mr. Smiley. Shares outstanding as of September 24, 2008 had increased to 527,000,000 million, warrants to 146,000,000 and options to 150,000,000

mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2008

SCHEDULE II

ITEM 14B. VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

No Material Accounts exist

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant, has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**mPHASE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

Dated: September 29, 2008

By:

/s/ RONALD A. DURANDO

Ronald A. Durando

President, CEO

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Necdet F. Ergul, Chairman of the Board

September 29, 2008

Ronald A. Durando, Chief Executive Officer, Director

September 29, 2008

Gustave T. Dotoli, Chief Operating Officer, Director

September 29, 2008

Martin S. Smiley, Chief Financial Officer

September 29, 2008

Anthony Guerino, Director

September 29, 2008

Abraham Biderman, Director

September 29, 2008

Victor Lawrence, Director

September 29, 2008