

SYNTEL INC
Form 10-Q
August 05, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 000-22903

Syntel, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: SYNTEL INC - Form 10-Q

Michigan (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	38-2312018 (IRS Employer Identification No.)
525 E. Big Beaver Road, Suite 300, Troy, Michigan (Address of principal executive offices)	48083 (Zip Code)
248-619-2800 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, no par value: 41,750,143 shares issued and outstanding as of July 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

SYNTEL, INC.

INDEX

	Page
Part I Financial Information	
Item 1 Financial Statements	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity (unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)</u>	6
<u>Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
Item 2 <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
Item 3 <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	31
Item 4 <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	32
<u>Part II Other Information</u>	33
Item 1 <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	33
Item 1A <u>Risk Factors</u>	33
Item 6 <u>Exhibits</u>	33
<u>Signatures</u>	34
Exhibit 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer	36
Exhibit 31.2 Certification of Principal Executive Officer	38
Exhibit 31.3 Certification of Principal Financial Officer	40
Exhibit 32 Certification of Principal Executive Officers and Principal Financial Officer	42

Table of Contents

SYNTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(UNAUDITED)

(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE DATA)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,		SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net revenues	\$ 156,985	\$ 130,649	\$ 302,357	\$ 246,688
Cost of revenues	100,200	79,083	194,760	145,883
Gross profit	56,785	51,566	107,597	100,805
Selling, general and administrative expenses	27,781	19,114	52,404	41,362
Income from operations	29,004	32,452	55,193	59,443
Other income, principally interest	5,923	1,959	12,042	4,242
Income before income taxes	34,927	34,411	67,235	63,685
Income tax expense	7,287	6,151	14,546	10,294
Net income	\$ 27,640	\$ 28,260	\$ 52,689	\$ 53,391
Dividend per share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.12
EARNINGS PER SHARE:				
Basic	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.68	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.29
Diluted	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.68	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.28
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	41,605	41,508	41,592	41,495
Diluted	41,696	41,580	41,704	41,573

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SYNTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(UNAUDITED)
(IN THOUSANDS)

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 87,656	\$ 78,505
Short term investments	206,941	208,695
Accounts receivable, net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$2,687 and \$3,090 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 respectively	76,859	75,873
Revenue earned in excess of billings	20,391	5,329
Deferred income taxes and other current assets	52,985	43,705
Total current assets	444,832	412,107
Property and equipment	204,991	171,445
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	77,712	69,338
Property and equipment, net	127,279	102,107
Goodwill	906	906
Non current Term Deposits with Banks	30	66
Deferred income taxes and other non current assets	31,609	30,931
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 604,656	\$ 546,117
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
LIABILITIES		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 15,677	\$ 10,071
Accrued payroll and related costs	35,656	40,736
Income taxes payable	5,667	2,291
Accrued liabilities	18,887	16,561
Deferred revenue	8,996	9,783
Dividends payable	2,758	2,752
Total current liabilities	87,641	82,194
Other non current liabilities	14,460	12,453
TOTAL LIABILITIES	102,101	94,647
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Total shareholders equity	502,555	451,470
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 604,656	\$ 546,117

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SYNTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(UNAUDITED)

(IN THOUSANDS)

	Common Stock		Restricted Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income			Total Shareholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount			Unrealized Investment Gain	Unamortised Actuarial Gain	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment	
Balance, December 31, 2010	41,498	\$ 1	244	\$ 11,008	\$ 67,420	\$ 371,626	\$ 419	\$ (402)	\$ 1,398	\$ 451,470
Net income						52,689				52,689
Other comprehensive income, net of tax							(308)	7	2,468	2,167
Total Comprehensive Income										506,326
Stock options activity					2					2
Restricted stock activity	52		(45)	1,222						1,222
Dividends						(4,995)				(4,995)
Balance, June 30, 2011	41,550	\$ 1	199	\$ 12,230	\$ 67,422	\$ 419,320	\$ 111	\$ (395)	\$ 3,866	\$ 502,555

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**SYNTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(UNAUDITED)****(IN THOUSANDS)**

	SIX MONTHS ENDED	
	June 30,	
	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 52,689	\$ 53,391
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	8,087	6,870
Bad debt provisions	3	9
Realized gains on sales of short term investments	(1,521)	(539)
Deferred income taxes	(1,974)	(3,464)
Compensation expense related to restricted stock	1,224	496
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable and revenue earned in excess of billings	(13,803)	(28,880)
Other assets	(5,819)	(3,127)
Accrued payroll and other liabilities	2,985	9,173
Deferred revenue	(1,006)	(233)
Net cash provided by operating activities	40,865	33,696
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Property and equipment expenditures	(31,243)	(8,893)
Purchase of mutual funds	(101,338)	(179,347)
Purchase of term deposits with banks	(55,802)	(35,846)
Proceeds from sales of mutual funds	115,080	172,610
Maturities of term deposits with banks	45,023	27,840
Net cash used in investing activities	(28,280)	(23,636)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock		580
Tax benefit on stock options exercised	(2)	
Dividends paid	(4,989)	(4,969)
Net cash used in financing activities	(4,991)	(4,389)
Effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes on cash	1,557	(1,493)
Change in cash and cash equivalents	9,151	4,178
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	78,505	87,822
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 87,656	\$ 92,000

Edgar Filing: SYNTEL INC - Form 10-Q

Non cash investing and financing activities:

Cash dividends declared but unpaid	\$ 2,754	\$ 2,486
Cash paid for income taxes	6,525	7,760

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Syntel, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION:

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Syntel, Inc. (the Company or Syntel) have been prepared by management, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial position of Syntel and its subsidiaries as of June 30, 2011, the results of their operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, and cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 was derived from audited financial statements but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Operating results for the six months ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011.

2. PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION AND ORGANIZATION

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Syntel, Inc., a Michigan corporation (Syntel), its wholly owned subsidiaries, and a joint venture and its subsidiary. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The wholly owned subsidiaries of Syntel, Inc. are:

Syntel Limited, an Indian limited liability company (Syntel India);

Syntel (Singapore) PTE Limited, a Singapore limited liability company;

Syntel Europe Limited, an United Kingdom limited liability company (Syntel Europe);

Syntel Canada Inc., an Ontario limited liability company;

Syntel Deutschland GmbH, a German limited liability company;

Syntel (Hong Kong) Limited, a Hong Kong limited liability company;

Syntel Delaware, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Syntel Delaware);

Edgar Filing: SYNTEL INC - Form 10-Q

SkillBay LLC, a Michigan limited liability company (SkillBay);

Syntel (Mauritius) Limited, a Mauritius limited liability company (Syntel Mauritius);

Syntel Consulting Inc., a Michigan corporation (Syntel Consulting);

Syntel Holding (Mauritius) Limited, a Mauritius limited liability company (SHML);

Syntel Worldwide (Mauritius) Limited, a Mauritius limited liability company; and

Syntel (Australia) Pty. Ltd., an Australian limited liability company.
The partially owned joint venture of Syntel Delaware is:

State Street Syntel Services (Mauritius) Limited, a Mauritius limited liability company (SSSSML).
The wholly owned subsidiary of SSSSML is:

State Street Syntel Services Private Limited, an Indian limited liability company.

Table of Contents

The wholly owned subsidiaries of Syntel Mauritius are:

Syntel International Private Limited, an Indian limited liability company; and

Syntel Global Private Limited, an Indian limited liability company.

The wholly owned subsidiaries of SHML are:

Syntel Services Private Limited, an Indian limited liability company; and

TriZetto Syntel Services (Mauritius) Limited, a Mauritius limited liability company (TSSML).

The wholly owned subsidiary of TSSML is:

TriZetto Syntel Services (India) Private Limited, an Indian limited liability company.

The wholly owned subsidiary of Syntel Europe is:

Intellisourcing, sarl, a French limited liability company.

3. USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Such estimates include, but are not limited to, the allowance for doubtful accounts, impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, contingencies and litigation, the recognition of revenues and profits based on the proportional performance method and potential tax liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements.

4. REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company recognizes revenues from time and material contracts as the services are performed.

Revenue from fixed-price applications management, maintenance and support engagements is recognized as earned which generally results in straight-line revenue recognition as services are performed continuously over the term of the engagement.

Revenue from fixed-priced, applications development and integration projects in the Company's application outsourcing and e-Business segments are measured using the proportional performance method of accounting. Performance is generally measured based upon the efforts incurred to date in relation to the total estimated efforts to the completion of the contract. The Company monitors estimates of total contract revenues and costs on a routine basis throughout the delivery period. The cumulative impact of any change in estimates of the contract revenues or costs is reflected in the period in which the changes become known. In the event that a loss is anticipated on a particular contract, provision is made for the estimated loss. The Company issues invoices related to fixed price contracts based on either the achievement of milestones during a project or other contractual terms. Differences between the timing of billings and the recognition of revenue based upon the proportional performance method of accounting are recorded as revenue earned in excess of billings or deferred revenue in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Edgar Filing: SYNTEL INC - Form 10-Q

Revenues are reported net of sales incentives.

Reimbursements of out-of-pocket expenses are included in revenue in accordance with revenue guidance in the FASB codification.

Table of Contents**5. STOCK-BASED EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION PLANS**

The Company recognizes stock-based compensation expense in the consolidated financial statements for awards of equity instruments to employees and non-employee directors based on the grant-date fair value of those awards on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is generally the vesting term. The benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation expense is reported as a financing cash flow.

6. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company enters into foreign exchange forward contracts & currency option contracts where the counter party is a bank. The Company purchases foreign exchange forward contracts & currency option contracts to mitigate the risk of changes in foreign exchange rates on cash flows denominated in certain foreign currencies. These contracts are carried at fair value with resulting gains or losses included in the consolidated statements of income in other income.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, the Company did not enter into new foreign exchange forward contracts. During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, contracts amounting to \$40.0 million expired resulting in a gain of \$0.66 million. At June 30, 2011, foreign exchange forward contracts amounting to \$30.0 million were outstanding. The fair value of the foreign exchange forward contracts of \$0.63 million is reflected in other current assets in the balance sheet of the Company as at June 30, 2011. During the quarter ended June 30, 2011 forward contract gain of \$0.39 million, pertaining to direct client related contracts is recorded as other income and forward contract gain of \$0.27 million, pertaining to intercompany related contracts is recorded as other comprehensive gain.

7. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

For the purpose of reporting Cash and Cash Equivalents, the Company considers all liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, approximately \$18.5 million and \$23.3 million, respectively, are in a money market fund maintained by JP Morgan Chase Bank NA that invests in corporate bonds and treasury notes. Term deposits with original maturity of three months or less held with Bank of India, Punjab National Bank and ICICI Bank were \$32.3 million and \$20.0 million as at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The remaining amounts of cash and cash equivalents were held in bank and fixed deposits with various banking and financial institutions.

8. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Total Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		(In thousands)	
Net income	\$ 27,640	\$ 28,260	\$ 52,689	\$ 53,391
Other comprehensive income:				
- Unrealized investment gain/(loss)	(348)	97	(308)	130
- Unamortised actuarial gain/(loss), net of tax	2	(341)	7	(348)
- Foreign currency translation adjustments	(567)	(10,149)	2,468	(1,512)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 26,727	\$ 17,867	\$ 54,856	\$ 51,661

Table of Contents**9. EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the applicable period.

The Company has issued stock options and restricted stocks, which are considered to be potentially dilutive to the basic earnings per share. Diluted earnings per share is calculated using the treasury stock method for the dilutive effect of options and restricted stocks granted pursuant to the stock option and incentive plan, by dividing the net income by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period adjusted for these potentially dilutive options, except when the results would be anti-dilutive. The potential tax benefit on exercise of stock options is considered as additional proceeds while computing dilutive earnings per share using the treasury stock method.

The following tables set forth the computation of earnings per share:

	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2011		2010	
	Weighted Average Shares	Earnings per Share	Weighted Average Shares	Earnings per Share
	<i>(in thousands, except per share earnings)</i>			
Basic earnings per share	41,605	\$ 0.66	41,508	\$ 0.68
Potential dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stocks outstanding	91	(0.00)	72	(0.00)
Diluted earnings per share	41,696	\$ 0.66	41,580	\$ 0.68

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2011		2010	
	Weighted Average Shares	Earnings per Share	Weighted Average Shares	Earnings per Share
	<i>(in thousands, except per share earnings)</i>			
Basic earnings per share	41,592	\$ 1.27	41,495	\$ 1.29
Potential dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stocks outstanding	112	(0.01)	78	(0.01)
Diluted earnings per share	41,704	\$ 1.26	41,573	\$ 1.28

10. SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company is organized geographically and by business segment. For management purposes, the Company is primarily organized on a worldwide basis into four business segments:

Applications Outsourcing

Knowledge Process Outsourcing (KPO)

e-Business and

TeamSourcing

Table of Contents

These segments are the basis on which the Company reports its primary segment information to management. Management allocates all corporate expenses among the segments. No balance sheet/identifiable assets data is presented since the Company does not segregate its assets by segment. Financial data for each segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011 (in thousands)	2010 (in thousands)	2011 (in thousands)	2010 (in thousands)
Revenues:				
Applications Outsourcing	\$ 116,934	\$ 99,263	\$ 223,669	\$ 186,033
KPO	24,490	18,919	48,033	37,840
e-Business	12,485	8,968	25,179	16,428
TeamSourcing	3,076	3,499	5,476	6,387
	156,985	130,649	302,357	246,688
Gross Profit:				
Applications Outsourcing	37,428	33,834	69,810	64,853
KPO	13,832	11,761	26,772	25,017
e-Business	4,304	3,884	8,898	7,248
TeamSourcing	1,221	2,087	2,117	3,687
	56,785	51,566	107,597	100,805
Selling, general and administrative expenses	27,781	19,114	52,404	41,362
Income from operations	\$ 29,004	\$ 32,452	\$ 55,193	\$ 59,443

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, American Express Corp. and State Street Bank each contributed revenues in excess of 10% of total consolidated revenues. Revenue from American Express Corp. and State Street Bank was \$42.1 million and \$26.9 million, respectively, during the three months ended June 30, 2011, contributing approximately 26.8% and 17.1%, respectively of total consolidated revenues. Approximately 99% revenue from American Express Corp. was generated in the Application Outsourcing segment & one percent in KPO segment. Approximately 76% of the revenue from State Street Bank was generated in the KPO segment and 24% in the Application Outsourcing segment. The corresponding revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from American Express Corp and State Street Bank was \$30.3 million and \$21.0 million, respectively, contributing approximately 23.2% and 16.1%, respectively, of total consolidated revenues. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, revenue from American Express Corp. and State Street Bank was \$78.9 million and \$52.8 million, respectively, contributing approximately 26.1% and 17.5%, respectively, of total consolidated revenues. Approximately 99% revenue from American Express Corp. was generated in the Application Outsourcing segment and one percent in KPO segment. Approximately 76% of the revenue from State Street Bank was generated in the KPO segment and 24% in the Application Outsourcing segment. The corresponding revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from American Express Corp. and State Street Bank was \$55.9 million and \$42.1 million respectively, contributing approximately 22.6% and 17.1%, respectively, of total consolidated revenues. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, accounts receivable from American Express Corp. were \$18.4 million and \$15.6 million, respectively. Accounts receivable from State Street Bank were \$13.0 million and \$11.7 million, respectively, as at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**11. GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION**

The Company's net revenues and long-lived assets, by geographic area, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011 (in thousands)	2010	2011 (in thousands)	2010
Net Revenues (1)				
North America (2)	144,016	120,880	278,392	229,170
India	798	364	1,341	814
Europe (3)	11,589	8,856	21,594	15,779
Rest of the World	582	549	1,030	925
Total revenue	156,985	130,649	302,357	246,688

	As on	
	June, 30 2011 (in thousands)	Dec, 31 2010
Long-Lived Assets (4)		
North America (2)	1,633	1,818
India	126,451	101,145
Europe (3)	101	50
Total	128,185	103,013

Notes for the Geographic Information Disclosure:

1. Net revenues are attributed to regions based upon customer location
2. Primarily relates to operations in the United States
3. Primarily relates to operations in the United Kingdom
4. Long-lived assets include property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization and goodwill.

12. INCOME TAXES

The following table accounts for the differences between the federal statutory tax rate of 35% and the Company's overall effective tax rate:

Three Months Ended June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,
-----------------------------------	---------------------------------

Edgar Filing: SYNTEL INC - Form 10-Q

	2011	2010	2011	2010
Statutory provision	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State taxes, net of federal benefit	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%
Foreign effective tax rates different from US statutory rate	(14.4%)	(17.7%)	(13.5%)	(19.2%)
Tax reserve				
Others, net	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Effective Income Tax Rate	20.9%	17.9%	21.6%	16.2%

Table of Contents

During the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the effective income tax rates were 20.9% and 17.9%, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the effective income tax rates were 21.6% and 16.2%, respectively. The tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was impacted due to the effective tax rate impact for certain offshore facilities going out of tax holiday effective April 1, 2011.

The Company records provisions for income taxes based on enacted tax laws and rates in the various taxing jurisdictions in which it operates. In determining the tax provisions, the Company provides for tax uncertainties in income taxes, when it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that a tax position would not be sustained upon examination. Such uncertainties, which are recorded in income taxes payable, are based on management's estimates and accordingly, are subject to revision based on additional information. The provision no longer required for any particular tax year is credited to the current period's income tax expenses. Conversely, in the event of a future tax examination, any additional tax expense not previously provided for will be recognized in the period in which the actual liability is concluded or management determines that the Company will not prevail on certain tax positions taken in filed returns, based on the more likely than not concept.

The United States Internal Revenue Service (IRS) commenced an examination of the Company's U.S. income tax returns for years 2004 and 2005 in the first quarter of 2006. During July 2008, the IRS issued a notice of proposed adjustments towards the Company's transfer pricing tax positions for the year 2004 and the Company had appealed against the IRS position. During the first quarter 2009, the Company completed the appeal process with the IRS under a Fast Track Settlement process and agreed to settle all disagreements with the IRS towards the transfer pricing for years 2004, 2005 and 2006 for a certain amount which did not have any negative change to the Company's financial position. The IRS has completed their audits through tax year 2005 and transfer pricing issues through tax year 2006. The Company does not expect any material issues for the remaining open years.

Syntel Inc. and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in various tax jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. Federal tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2007 and for State tax examinations for years before 2006. During 2010, the IRS had commenced an examination of Syntel Limited's U.S. income tax return (form 1120F) for the tax year 2007. The IRS has closed the audit for Syntel India's U.S. income tax returns for the years 2007, 2008 and 2009, after reaching a settlement, for a certain amount, paid during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 which had no material impact on Syntel's financial position.

Syntel Limited, the Company's India subsidiary, has disputed tax matters for the financial years 1996-97 to 2006-07 pending at various levels of tax authorities. Financial year 2007-08 and onwards are open for regular tax scrutiny by the Indian tax authorities. However, the tax authorities in India are authorized to reopen the already concluded tax assessments and may re-open the case of Syntel Limited for financial years 2004-05 and onwards.

The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as part of income tax expense. During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized interest of approximately \$0.07 million. The Company had accrued approximately \$0.51 million and \$0.39 million for interest and penalties as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

For the financial years 1995-96, 1998-99 1999-2000 and 2001-02, the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (ITAT) has held the matter in favor of Syntel Limited. The Income Tax Department has filed a further appeal before the Bombay High Court for the amount allowed by ITAT. The Bombay High Court has dismissed the Income Tax Department appeal on account of a delay in filing the tax appeal on July 23, 2009. The Income Tax Department has not filed a further appeal before the Supreme Court of India. Syntel Limited has reviewed the disputed tax provision for financial year 1995-96, 1998-99, 1999-2000 and 2001-02 in view of the recent Supreme Court judgment. Accordingly, Syntel Limited has reversed the provision of uncertain tax liability of \$0.87M.

The liability for unrecognized tax benefits was \$22.67 million and \$19.71 million, as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

The Company has paid income taxes of \$19.46 million and \$16.64 million against the liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits of \$22.67 million and \$19.71 million, as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company has paid the taxes in order to reduce the possible interest and penalties related to these unrecognized tax benefits.

The Company's amount of unrecognized tax benefits for the tax disputes of \$1.46 million and potential tax disputes of \$8.35 million could change in the next twelve months as litigations and global tax audits progress. The examination of a particular potential tax dispute of \$3.39 million is due by December 31, 2011. At this time, due to the uncertain nature of this process, it is not reasonably possible to estimate an overall range of possible change.

Syntel's software development centers/units are located in Mumbai, Chennai Pune and Gurgaon, India. Software development centers/units enjoy favorable tax provisions due to their registration in SEZ, as Export Oriented Unit (EOU) and as units located in Software Technologies Parks of India (STPI).

Units registered with STPI, EOU's and certain units located in SEZ are exempt from payment of corporate income taxes for ten years of operations on the profits generated by these units or March 31, 2011 (substituted for 2010 by Finance (No. 2) Act, 2009), whichever is earlier. Certain units located in SEZ are eligible for 100% exemption from payment of corporate income taxes for the first five years of operation and 50% exemption for the next two years and a further 50% exemption for another three years, subject to fulfillment of criteria laid down. New units in SEZ that are operational after April 1, 2005 are eligible for 100% exemption from payment of corporate income taxes for the first five years of operation, 50% exemption for the next five years and a further 50% exemption for another five years, subject to fulfillment of criteria laid down.

Six SEZ units located at Mumbai have already ceased to enjoy the above-mentioned tax exemption. One SEZ unit located at Mumbai has completed its first five years of 100% exemption from payment of corporate income tax and effective April 1, 2007, 50% of the profits of the said unit would only be eligible for tax exemption. Further, three more SEZ units located at Mumbai have completed their first five years of 100% exemption from payment of corporate income taxes effective April 1, 2008, 50% of the profits of the said units would only be eligible for tax exemption. Also, the EOU located at Chennai has ceased to enjoy the above-mentioned tax exemption effective April 1, 2007. During the year ended December 31, 2008, Syntel started a Software Development unit in the Pune SEZ and during the year 2009, the Company started one more Software Development unit in the Pune SEZ. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company started a Software Development unit in the Syntel-Chennai SEZ. Further, the Company's four STPI units cease to enjoy tax exemption effective April 1, 2011 due to expiration of the statutory period for tax exemption.

Syntel KPO units located in Mumbai and Pune were exempt from payment of corporate income taxes on the profits generated by the unit up to March 31, 2011 (substituted for 2010 by Finance (No. 2) Act, 2009). During the year ended December 31, 2008, Syntel started a KPO unit in the Pune SEZ. During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, Syntel started a KPO SEZ unit in Mumbai.

Syntel's SEZ in Pune, set up under the SEZ Act 2005, commenced operations in 2008. The SEZ for Chennai commenced operations in 2010. Income from operation of the SEZ, as a developer, is exempt from payment of corporate income taxes for ten out of 15 years from the date of SEZ notification.

Provision for Indian income tax is made only in respect of business profits generated from these software development units, to the extent they are not covered by the above exemptions and on income from treasury operations and other income.

Syntel Limited had not provided for disputed Indian income tax liabilities amounting to \$2.01 million for the financial years 1995-96 to 2001-02, which is after recognizing certain tax liabilities aggregating \$0.04 million provided for uncertain income tax positions during the year 2007. During the quarter ended

Table of Contents

June 30, 2011, Company has reviewed the disputed tax provision for financial year 1995-96, 1998-99, 1999-2000 and 2001-02 in view of the recent Supreme Court judgment. Accordingly, disputed Indian income tax liabilities reduced to \$1.52M and reversed provision of uncertain tax liability of \$0.04M. Syntel Limited has obtained an opinion from one independent legal counsel (a former Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of India) for the financial year 1998-99 and opinions from another independent legal counsel (also a former Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of India) for the financial years 1995-96, 1996-97, 1997-98, 1999-2000 and 2000-01 and for subsequent periods, which support Syntel Limited's position in this matter.

For the financial year 1998-99, the appeal filed by the Income Tax Department has been dismissed by the ITAT and the matter stands in favor of Syntel Limited. The Income Tax Department has filed a further appeal before the Bombay High Court. The Bombay High Court has dismissed the Income Tax Department Appeal on account of a delay in filing tax appeal on July 23, 2009. The Income Tax Department has not filed further appeal before the Supreme Court of India. A similar appeal filed by Syntel Limited with the Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals) (CIT(A)) for the financial year 1999-2000 was, however, dismissed in March 2004. Syntel Limited has appealed this decision with the ITAT. During the year 2007, Syntel Limited received a favorable order from the ITAT on this appeal. The Income Tax Department filed a further appeal before the Bombay High Court. The Bombay High Court dismissed the Income Tax Department Appeal on account of a delay in filing the tax appeal on July 23, 2009. The Income Tax Department has not filed a further appeal before the Supreme Court of India.

Syntel Limited had also received orders for appeals filed with the CIT(A) against the demands raised by the Income Tax Officer for similar matters relating to the financial years 1995-96, 1996-97, 1997-98, 2000-01 and 2001-02 and received a favorable decision for 1995-96 and the contention of Syntel Limited was partially upheld for the other years. Syntel Limited has gone into further appeal with the ITAT for the amounts not allowed by the CIT(A). The Income Tax Department has appealed the favorable decisions for 1995-96 and the partially favorable decisions for the other years with the ITAT. Syntel Limited has received a favorable order from ITAT. The Income Tax Department has filed further appeals before the Bombay High Court for the financial years 1996-97, 1997-98 and 2000-01. The Bombay High Court has dismissed the Income Tax Department appeal and upheld the ITAT orders on December 15, 2009. The Income Tax Department has filed a review petition before the Bombay High Court. The Income Tax Department review petition was rejected due to filing defects. The Income Tax Department may rectify the defects and re-submit the review petition.

Syntel Limited has also not provided for other disputed Indian income tax liabilities aggregating to \$5.63 million for the financial years 2001-02 to 2004-05, which is after recognizing tax on certain tax liabilities aggregating \$0.03 million provided for uncertain income tax positions during the year 2007, against which Syntel Limited has filed the appeals with the CIT(A). Syntel Limited has obtained opinions from independent legal counsels, which support Syntel Limited's stand in this matter. Syntel Limited has received an order from the CIT(A) for the financial year 2001-02 and the contention of Syntel Limited was partially upheld. Syntel Limited has gone into further appeal with the ITAT in relation to the amounts not allowed by the CIT(A). The Income Tax Department has also filed a further appeal against the relief granted to Syntel Limited by CIT(A). Syntel Limited has received a favorable order from the ITAT on January 24, 2009, wherein the contention of the Company was fully upheld for financial year 2001-02. The Income Tax Department has filed a further appeal before the Bombay High Court against the order of ITAT in respect to tax on one item only. Accordingly, Company tax disputes are reduced for the financial year by \$2.4 million. The Bombay High Court has dismissed the Income Tax Department Appeal on account of a delay in filing the tax appeal on July 22, 2009. The Income Tax Department has not filed a further appeal before Supreme Court of India.

Syntel Limited has received the order for appeal filed with CIT(A) relating to financial year 2002-03 and financial year 2003-04, wherein the contention of Syntel Limited is partially upheld. Syntel Limited has gone into further appeal with the ITAT for the amounts not allowed by the CIT(A). The Income Tax Department has also filed a further appeal against the relief granted to Syntel Limited by CIT(A). The Syntel Limited and Income Tax Department appeals are scheduled for hearing before ITAT on October 18, 2011.

Table of Contents

For the financial year 2004-05, the appeal of the Company was fully allowed by CIT(A). The Income Tax Department has filed a further appeal with ITAT for the amounts allowed by the CIT(A) except one item. The Income Tax Department's appeal was rejected by ITAT. The Income Tax Department has filed a further appeal before the Bombay High Court for the amounts allowed by the ITAT, except an item on which CIT(A) had granted relief to the Company and the Income Tax Department had not filed an appeal before ITAT. Accordingly, the Company has reversed a tax provision of \$0.33 million during the year ended December 31, 2010. The Income Tax Department Appeal for the financial year 2004-05 is scheduled for a hearing before the Bombay High Court on August 10, 2011. For the financial year 2005-06, the Indian Income Tax Department has decided against the Company in respect to a particular tax position, and the Company has filed an appeal with the CIT(A). During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company's appeal for the financial year was fully allowed by CIT(A). The Income Tax Department has filed a further appeal with ITAT for the amounts allowed by the CIT(A). For the financial year 2006-07, the Indian Income Tax Department has decided against the Company in respect to particular tax position and the Company has filed an appeal with the CIT(A).

Syntel Limited has provided for a tax liability amounting to \$3.11 million in the books for the financial years 1996-97 to 2006-07, (except for financial year 1998-99, financial year 1999-2000, financial year 2001-02 and financial year 2004-05) on a particular tax matter. Syntel Limited has been contending the taxability of the same with the Indian Income Tax Department. For the financial years 1998-99 and 1999-2000, the ITAT has held the matter in favor of Syntel Limited. The Income Tax Department has filed a further appeal before the Bombay High Court for the amount allowed by ITAT. The Bombay High Court has dismissed the Income Tax Department appeal on account of a delay in filing the tax appeal on July 23, 2009. The Income Tax Department has not filed a further appeal before the Supreme Court of India. Syntel Limited has reviewed the disputed tax provision for financial year 1995-96, 1998-99, 1999-2000 and 2001-02 in view of the recent Supreme Court judgment. Accordingly, Syntel Limited has reversed the provision of uncertain tax liability of \$0.83M.

For the financial years 1996-97 to 1997-98 and 2000-01, the Company has received a favorable order from the ITAT, wherein the contention of the Company was upheld for these years. The Income Tax Department has filed a further appeal before the Bombay High Court for the amount allowed by ITAT. The Bombay High Court has dismissed the appeals filed by the Income Tax Department for the financial years 1996-97, 1997-98 and 2000-01 at the admission stage, on the grounds that no substantial question of law arose from the appeals filed by the Income Tax Department, as the ITAT had given a specific finding which was based on facts. The Income Tax Department has filed a review petition before the Bombay High Court on June 2, 2010. The Income Tax Department review petition was rejected due to filing defects. The Income Tax Department may rectify the defects and re-submit the review petition.

For the financial years 2001-02 and 2002-03, the CIT(A) has held against the Company and the Company has filed a further appeal with the ITAT. For the financial year 2001-02, the Income Tax Department appeal has been dismissed by ITAT and the Income Tax Department has filed a further appeal before the Bombay High Court in respect to one item only. The Bombay High Court has dismissed the Income Tax Department appeal on account of a delay in filing the tax appeal on July 22, 2009. The Income Tax Department has not filed a further appeal before the Supreme Court of India. For the financial year 2003-04, the CIT(A) has partially allowed the appeal in favor of the Company. The Company has filed an appeal with the ITAT for the amounts not allowed by the CIT(A). The Income Tax Department has filed a further appeal with ITAT for the amounts allowed by the CIT(A). The Income Tax Department has filed an appeal against the relief granted to Company by CIT(A) except one item. The Income Tax Department's appeal has been rejected by ITAT. The Income Tax Department has filed a further appeal before the Bombay High Court for the amounts allowed by the ITAT except an item on which CIT(A) had granted relief to the Company and the Income Tax Department had not filed an appeal before ITAT. Accordingly, the Company reversed a tax provision of \$0.33 million during the quarter ended March 31, 2010. The appeal is scheduled for a hearing before Bombay High Court on August 10, 2011. For the

Table of Contents

financial year 2005-06, the Indian Income Tax Department has decided against the Company in respect to a particular tax position and the Company has filed an appeal with the CIT(A). During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company's appeal for the financial year 2005-06 was fully allowed by CIT(A). The Income Tax Department has filed a further appeal with ITAT for the amounts allowed by the CIT(A). For the financial year 2006-07, the Indian Income Tax Department has decided against the Company in respect to a particular tax position and the Company has filed an appeal with the CIT(A). Based on the tax assessment of financial year 2006-07 and on earlier financial years, a tax provision on a potential tax dispute is no longer required. Accordingly, the Company has reversed a tax provision of \$0.40 million during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Fringe Benefit Tax (FBT) was introduced on April 1, 2005 as a tax on certain expenses calculated at predetermined rates on the expenses incurred and is payable at the enacted rates for a corporate (taxed @ 33.99% including surcharge, education cess and secondary & higher education cess). FBT is a separate section in the Indian income tax and is payable even by a corporate which is otherwise not liable to pay income taxes as per the Computation of Income. Accordingly, Indian entities had provided towards FBT in year April 1, 2005 to March 31, 2009. Since FBT is a tax on expenditure the amounts are grouped along with the respective expense heads and not as tax expense. This is in line with the industry practice.

Syntel Limited has provided and paid FBT on expenses incurred outside India. However, Syntel Limited contested with the Income Tax Department that no FBT shall be paid on expenses incurred outside India. The Income Tax Department has not issued notice for a FBT assessment of financial year 2007-08 and financial year 2008-09, and the time of issuing notice expired on September 30, 2010. However, the period for issuing notice expired on March 31, 2011. The Company has received a FBT refund along with interest for financial year 2008-09 and expects to receive a FBT refund for financial year 2007-08. The issuing intimation and assessment expired by limitation on March 31, 2011. Accordingly, Syntel has reversed the provision for FBT of \$1.06 million including FBT of \$0.86 million on expenses incurred outside India.

All the above tax exposures involve complex issues and may need an extended period to resolve the issues with the Indian income tax authorities. Management, after consultation with legal counsel, believes that the resolution of the above matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position.

Syntel Europe Limited has incurred losses of \$0.67 million and \$0.83 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and for the six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. As per UK tax laws, carrying back of tax losses against profits of the previous 12 months are allowed. Accordingly, Syntel Europe Limited has carried back the tax losses which are expected to result in a refund claim of \$ 0.19 million and \$0.23 million for year ended December 31, 2010 and for six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

Syntel Indian entities have received several orders for assessments of the withholding tax compliances in India. These assessments were completed without giving an opportunity of being heard by the Income Tax Department to the Indian entities and orders are not supported by reason. The aggregate liability of non payment of taxes withheld including the interest demanded aggregates to about \$1.59 million. Management is confident of the compliances made and do not have any reason to believe that the demand of the Department will sustain. Accordingly no provision has been made in the books for the quarter ended June 30, 2011.

Undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries

The Company intends to use remaining accumulated and future earnings of foreign subsidiaries to expand operations outside the United States and accordingly, undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries are considered to be indefinitely reinvested outside the United States and no provision for U.S. federal and state income tax or applicable dividend distribution tax has been provided thereon. If the Company determines to repatriate all eligible undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries as of June 30, 2011, the Company would have accrued taxes of approximately \$189.6 million.

Table of Contents

SERVICE TAX AUDIT

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, a service tax audit was conducted for the Adyar facility in Chennai; the scope of the audit was to review transactions covered under Central Excise and Customs Act, by the office of Accountant General (Commercial Receipt Audit). The Development Commissioner (DC) has issued a letter stating the audit objections raised by officer of the audit team. Most of the observations are pertaining to Service tax and are for an amount of \$3.85 million. Syntel India has filed a reply to said notice and further information.

Syntel India has obtained the views of a tax consultant in this matter and has filed an appropriate reply to the audit observations. The letter does not constitute any demand against the Company. Based on the consultant's advice, the Company will be in a position to defend the objections raised, and therefore no provision has been made in the books.

Further to our reply and information filed earlier, Syntel India has received a letter dated July 13, 2011 from the DC, indicating that the audit objections amounting to \$3.0 million, out of the total amount of \$3.85 million, have been closed. Syntel is pursuing for the closure of the balance audit objections of approximately \$0.85 million.

13. STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

Share Based Compensation:

The Company originally established a Stock Option and Incentive Plan in 1997 (the "1997 Plan"). On June 1, 2006 the Company adopted the Amended and Restated Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the "Stock Option Plan"), which amended and extended the 1997 Plan. Under the plan, a total of 8 million shares of Common Stock were reserved for issuance. The dates on which options granted under the Stock Option Plan become first exercisable are determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, but generally vest over a four-year period from the date of grant. The term of any option may not exceed ten years from the date of grant.

For certain options granted during 1997, the exercise price was less than the fair value of the Company's stock on the date of grant and accordingly, compensation expense is being recognized over the vesting period for such difference. For the options granted thereafter, the Company grants the options at the fair market value on the date of grant of the options.

The Company accounts for share-based compensation based on the estimated fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods in the Company's Statement of Income. Share-based compensation expense recognized as above for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$0.60 million and \$0.43 million including a charge for restricted stock. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the share-based compensation expense recognized was \$1.25 million and \$0.50 million, respectively, including a charge for restricted stock.

The shares issued upon the exercise of the options are generally new share issues.

Restricted Stock:

On different dates during the quarter ended June 30, 2004, the Company issued 319,300 shares of incentive restricted stock to its non-employee directors and some employees as well as to some employees of its subsidiaries. The shares were granted to employees for their future services as a retention tool at a zero exercise price, with the restrictions on transferability lapsing with regard to 10%, 20%, 30% and 40% of the shares issued on or after the first, second, third and fourth anniversary of the grant dates, respectively. The restriction on all stocks described in this paragraph lapsed during the year ended December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

On different dates during the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and September 30, 2005, the Company issued 54,806 shares of incentive restricted stock to its non-employee directors and some employees as well as to some employees of its subsidiaries. The shares were granted to employees for their future services as a retention tool at a zero exercise price, with the restrictions on transferability lapsing with regard to 25% of the shares issued on or after the first, second, third and fourth anniversary of the grant dates. The restriction on all stocks described in this paragraph lapsed during the year ended December 31, 2009. Generally, the shares to non-employee directors are granted for their future services starting from the date of the annual meeting to the date of the following annual meeting.

On different dates during the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 16,536 shares of incentive restricted stock to its non-employee directors. Generally, the shares to non-employee directors are granted for their future services starting from the date of the annual meeting to the date of the following annual meeting.

On different dates during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, the Company issued 12,224, 80,676 and 14,464 shares, respectively, of incentive restricted stock to its non-employee directors and some employees as well as to some employees of its subsidiaries. The shares were granted to employees for their future services as a retention tool at a zero exercise price, with the restrictions on transferability lapsing with regard to 25% of the shares issued on or after the first, second, third and fourth anniversary of the grant dates. Generally, the shares to non-employee directors are granted for their future services starting from the date of the annual meeting to the date of the following annual meeting.

In addition to the shares of restricted stock described above, on different dates during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 the Company issued 33,000, 66,000 and 57,500 shares, respectively, of incentive restricted stock to some employees as well as to some employees of its subsidiaries. The shares were granted to employees for their future services as a retention tool at a zero exercise price, with the restrictions on transferability lapsing with regard to 20% of the shares issued on or after the first, second, third, fourth and fifth anniversary of the grant dates.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 153,500 shares of performance restricted stock to some employees as well as to some employees of its subsidiaries. Each such performance restricted stock grant is divided in a pre-defined proportion with the vesting (lifting of restriction) of one portion based on the overall annual performance of the Company and the vesting (lifting of restriction) of the other portion based on the achievement of pre-defined long-term goals of the Company. These stocks will vest (have the restrictions lifted) over a period of five years (at each anniversary) in equal installments, subject to meeting the above pre-defined criteria of overall annual performance and achievement of the long-term goal. The stock linked to overall annual performance would lapse (revert to the Company) on non-achievement of the overall annual performance in the given year. However, the stock linked to achievement of the long-term goal would roll over into a common pool and would lapse only on the non-achievement of the long-term goal on or prior to the end of fiscal year 2012.

On different dates during the year ended December 31, 2010 and during the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company issued restricted stock awards of 209,358 and 9,614, respectively, to its non-employee directors and some employees as well as to some employee of its subsidiaries. The restricted stock awards were granted to employees for their future services as a retention tool at a zero exercise price, vest in shares with regards to 25% of the awards issued on or after the first, second, third and fourth anniversary of the grant dates.

Table of Contents

During the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company expensed \$0.60 million and \$0.43 million, respectively, as compensation on account of the above stock grants. During the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company expensed \$1.25 million and \$0.52 million, respectively, as compensation on account of the above stock grants.

For the restricted stock issued during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the dividend is accrued and paid subject to the same restriction as the restriction on transferability. For the restricted stock awards issued during the years ended December 31, 2010 and for the six months ended June 30, 2011 no dividend accrues on the restricted stock awards.

The impact on the Company's results of operations of recording stock-based compensation (including impact of restricted stock) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011 (in thousands)	2010 (in thousands)	2011 (in thousands)	2010 (in thousands)
Cost of revenues	\$ 173	\$ 107	\$ 315	\$ 115
Selling, general and administrative expenses	423	324	931	381
	\$ 596	\$ 431	\$ 1,246	\$ 496

Cash received from option exercises under all share-based payment arrangements for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, were none and for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was none and \$0.58 million, respectively. New shares were issued for all options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Valuation Assumptions

The Company calculates the fair value of each option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The following weighted-average assumptions were used for each respective period:

Assumptions:	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Risk free interest rate	1.71%	1.88%
Expected life	5.00	5.00
Expected volatility	58.88%	60.45%
Expected dividend yield	0.41%	0.71%

The Company's computation of expected volatility for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is based on historical volatility from exercised options on the Company's stock. The Company's computation of expected life was determined based on historical experience of similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the stock-based awards, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior. The interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the award is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected dividend yield is estimated based on the dividend yield at the time of grant, adjusted for expected dividend increases of historical pay out policy.

Table of Contents**Share-based Payment Award Activity**

The following table summarizes activity under the Company equity incentive plans for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	5,473	\$ 5.01		
Granted				
Exercised	325	5.00		
Forfeited				
Expired / Cancelled	5,148	5.01		
Outstanding at June 30, 2011		\$		\$
Options Exercisable at June 30, 2011		\$		\$

No options were granted during the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The aggregate fair value of shares vested during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$0.02 and \$0.67 million, respectively.

14. VACATION PAY

The accrual for unutilized leave balance is based on the available leave balance owed to the employees at period end. The leave balance eligible for carry-forward is valued at gross compensation rates and is eligible for payment at basic compensation rates.

The gross charge for unutilized earned leave was \$2.4 million and \$2.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$4.4 million and \$3.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The amounts accrued for unutilized earned leave are \$17.4 million and \$14.5 million as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and are included within Accrued payroll and related costs .

15. CONSOLIDATION OF A VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITY

Syntel Delaware is a 100% subsidiary of Syntel Inc and 49% shareholder of the joint venture (JV) entity State Street Syntel Sourcing (Mauritius) Ltd.,(SSSSML), the other shareholder being an affiliate of State Street Bank. Syntel Delaware has a variable interest in SSSSML as they are entitled to all the profits and solely responsible for all losses incurred by Syntel Mauritius even though it holds only 49% in the Joint Venture entity. Accordingly, Syntel Delaware consolidates the JV entity SSSSML.

The Company's KPO services to State Street Bank and two other clients are provided through the above joint venture between the Company and an affiliate of State Street Bank. Sales of KPO services only to these three clients represented approximately 14.7% and 13.9% of the Company's total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively and 15.1% and 14.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

16. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Company follows the accounting standard for fair value measurements and fair value option for financial assets and liabilities, which primarily relate to investments, forward contracts and nonfinancial assets and liabilities.

This standard includes a fair value hierarchy that is intended to increase consistency and comparability in fair value measurements and related disclosures. The fair value hierarchy is based on inputs to valuation techniques that are used to measure fair value that are either observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability based on market data obtained from independent sources while unobservable inputs reflect a reporting entity's pricing based upon their own market assumptions.

Table of Contents

The fair value hierarchy consists of the following three levels:

Level 1 Inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs are quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in an active market, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable and market-corroborated inputs which are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Inputs are derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or value drivers are unobservable. The following table summarizes the Company's financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011:

	(In Millions)			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Cash & Cash Equivalents				
Money Market Funds	\$ 18.5			\$ 18.5
Short Term Investments- Available for Sale Securities	20.3			20.3
Fair value of foreign exchange forward contracts		0.6		0.6
Total Assets measured at fair value	\$ 38.8	0.6		\$ 39.4

The following table summarizes the Company's financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010:

	(In Millions)			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Cash & Cash Equivalents				
Money Market Funds	\$ 23.3			\$ 23.3
Short Term Investments- Available for Sale Securities	33.3			33.3
Fair value of foreign exchange forward contracts		1.0		1.0
Total Assets measured at fair value	\$ 56.6	1.0		\$ 57.6

The value of each foreign exchange forward contract, at the end of each reporting period is calculated by using the forward contract rates published by Foreign Exchange Dealers Association of India (F.E.D.A.I). The difference between the current market forward price and the contracted forward price for each foreign exchange contract is calculated which then is applied to each outstanding contract. The market forward rates include a premium or a discount and the credit risk factor. The amounts are aggregated by type of contract and maturity.

Foreign currency option contracts are valued using third party valuation models based on market observable inputs, including general interest rate, market volatilities, credit risk, cash flow projections and size of the transaction.

Table of Contents

In addition to the above the following table summarizes the term deposits with various banks outstanding as at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Balance Sheet Item	(In Millions)	
	As at June 30, 2011	As at December 31, 2010
Cash & Cash Equivalents	\$ 32.3	\$ 20.0
Short Term Investments	186.6	175.4
Non Current Assets	0.03	0.1
Total	\$ 218.93	\$ 195.5

17. Investment in Medivo Inc.

The Company has agreed to invest \$125,000 in a Convertible Debt Promissory Note of Medivo, Inc. (Medivo), a Delaware corporation. \$75,000 of the investment was made in cash on July 6, 2011 and \$50,000 will be made in kind through efforts made under Master Services Agreement (MSA) executed with Medivo. The in-kind portion will be made over time as per the terms of the MSA. The Letter of Intent (LOI) and MSA for the same were signed on July 1, 2011. The note pays 6% interest per annum which is payable quarterly for two years and will be converted to Medivo common stock based on a 30% discount during Medivo s next round of financing. In case there is no next round, the note will undergo automatic conversion to common stock at a pre-money valuation of \$10,000,000 at the two year anniversary. The Convertible Debt Promissory Note is unsecured and will be deployed for sales and marketing activities at Medivo. Such debt offered will not be registered under the Securities Act of 1933. As of July 1, 2011, there were no efforts made under the in-kind portion of the investment.

18. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, which amends ASC 820 to add new requirements for disclosures about transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 and separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements. The ASU also clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation and about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. Further, the ASU amends guidance on employers disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets under ASC 715 to require that disclosures be provided by classes of assets instead of by major categories of assets. However, unlike the proposed ASU, the final ASU does not require entities to provide sensitivity disclosures. The FASB will consider whether to require sensitivity disclosures jointly with the IASB as part of a new convergence project on fair value measurement and disclosures. The ASU is effective for the first reporting period (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the requirement to provide the Level 3 activity of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis, which will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. The Company adopted this standard during the first quarter of 2011 and there was no significant impact on the Company s financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-28, Intangibles- Goodwill and other (Topic 350): When to perform step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with zero or negative carrying amounts (ASU 2010-28). This Update provides guidance on how an entity with reporting units that have a zero or negative carrying amounts shall consider qualitative factors in addition to the goodwill impairment Step 1 process to determine if Step 2 of the goodwill impairment process shall be completed. If

Table of Contents

the qualitative factors indicate that events or circumstances exist that indicate it is more likely than not that goodwill impairment exists, a Step 2 goodwill analysis is required even if Step 1 was passed. The amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company adopted this standard during the first quarter of 2011 and there was no significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-29, Business Combinations Topic (805): Disclosure of supplementary pro forma Information for Business Combinations (ASU 2010-29). This update provides clarification on the presentation of pro forma information for business combinations and applies to public entities. The Update specifies that the pro forma disclosure should include revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only if comparative financial statements are presented. It also expands the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of the material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The amendments are effective on a prospective basis for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. The Company adopted this standard during the first quarter of 2011 and there was no significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In April, 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs, to provide largely identical guidance about fair value measurement and disclosure requirements with the IASB's new IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurement. Issuing this standard completes a major project of the Boards' joint work to improve and converge IFRS and U.S. GAAP. The new standard does not extend the use of fair value but, rather, provides guidance about how fair value should be applied where it already is required or permitted under U.S. GAAP. Most of the changes are clarifications of existing guidance or wording changes to align with IFRS 13. A public entity is required to apply the ASU prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is not permitted for a public entity. In the period of adoption, a reporting entity will be required to disclose a change, if any, in valuation technique and related inputs that result from applying the ASU and to quantify the total effect, if practicable. The requirements of this ASU and its impact on the Company are being evaluated.

In June, 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05- Presentation of Comprehensive Income increases the prominence of other comprehensive income in financial statements. Under this ASU, an entity will have the option to present the components of net income and comprehensive income in either one or two consecutive financial statements. The ASU eliminates the option in U.S. GAAP to present other comprehensive income in the statement of changes in equity. An entity should apply the ASU retrospectively. For a public entity, the ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The requirements of this ASU and its impact on the Company are being evaluated.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**SYNTEL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Net Revenues. The Company's revenues consist of fees derived from its Applications Outsourcing, Knowledge Process Outsourcing (KPO), e-Business and TeamSourcing business segments. Net revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased to \$157.0 million from \$130.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, representing a 20.2% increase. Net revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased to \$302.4 million from \$246.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, representing a 22.6% increase. The increase in

Table of Contents

revenue was primarily due to additional business. The Company's verticalization sales strategy focusing on Banking and Financial Services; Healthcare; Insurance; Telecom; Automotive; Retail and Logistics and Travel has enabled better focus and relationships with key clients. Further, continued focus on execution and investments in new offerings such as our Testing and Center of Excellence have a potential to contribute growth in the business. Syntel's focus is to continue investments in more new offerings and geographic expansion. Worldwide billable headcount as of June 30, 2011 increased by 19.6% to 12,911 employees as compared to 10,793 employees as of June 30, 2010. The increase in revenues is commensurate with the growth in billable headcount. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had approximately 79.9% of its billable workforce in India as compared to 78.4% as of June 30, 2010. The Company's top five clients accounted for 63.5% of the total revenues in the three months ended June 30, 2011, up from 58.9% of its total revenues in the three months ended June 30, 2010. The Company's top five clients accounted for 63.6% of the total revenues in the six months ended June 30, 2011, up from 59.3% of its total revenues in the six months ended June 30, 2010. Moreover, the Company's top 10 clients accounted for 76.8% of the total revenues in the three months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to 74.2% in the three months ended June 30, 2010. The Company's top 10 clients accounted for 77.1% of the total revenues in the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to 74.8% in the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Cost of Revenues. The Company's cost of revenues consists of costs directly associated with billable consultants in the US and offshore, including salaries, payroll taxes, benefits, relocation costs, immigration costs, finder's fees, trainee compensation and travel. The cost of revenues increased to 63.8% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 60.5% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The 3.3% increase in cost of revenues, as a percent of revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2010, was attributable primarily to increases in headcount, salary increments for offshore employees and rupee appreciation. The cost of revenues increased to 64.4% of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 59.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The 5.3% increase in cost of revenues, as a percent of revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010, was attributable primarily to increases in headcount, salary increments for offshore and onsite employees and rupee appreciation.

Applications Outsourcing Revenues. Applications Outsourcing revenues increased to \$116.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 or 74.0% of total revenues, from \$99.3 million, or 76.0% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The \$17.6 million increase was attributable primarily to revenues from new engagements contributing \$81.9 million, largely offset by \$56.2 million in lost revenues as a result of project completion and \$8.1 million net reduction in revenues from existing projects. The revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased to \$223.7 million, or 74.0% of total revenues, from \$186.0 million or 75.4% of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The \$37.7 million increase for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was attributable primarily to revenues from new engagements of \$147.5 million, largely offset by \$61.3 million in lost revenues as a result of project completion and \$48.5 million net decrease in revenues from existing projects.

Applications Outsourcing Cost of Revenues. Applications Outsourcing cost of revenues consists of costs directly associated with billable consultants in the US and offshore, including salaries, payroll taxes, benefits, relocation costs, immigration costs, finder's fees, trainee compensation and travel. Applications Outsourcing cost of revenues increased to 68.0% of total Applications Outsourcing revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 65.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The 2.1% increase in cost of revenues, as a percent of revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2010, was attributable primarily to increases in headcount, salary increments for offshore employees and rupee appreciation. Cost of revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased to 68.8% of total Applications Outsourcing revenues, from 65.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The 3.7% increase in cost of revenues, as a percent of revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010, was attributable primarily to increases in headcount, salary increments for offshore employees and rupee appreciation.

Table of Contents

KPO Revenues. KPO revenues increased to \$24.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, or 15.6% of total revenues, from \$18.9 million, or 14.5% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The \$5.6 million increase was attributable primarily to revenues from new engagements contributing \$5.7 million and \$0.3 million net increase in revenues from existing projects, largely offset by \$0.4 million in lost revenues as a result of project completion. The revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased to \$48.0 million, or 15.9% of the total revenues, from \$37.8 million or 15.3% of the total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The \$10.2 million increase was attributable primarily to revenues from new engagements contributing \$10.9 million, largely offset by \$0.7 million in lost revenues as a result of project completion and net decrease in revenues from existing projects.

KPO Cost of Revenues. KPO cost of revenues consists of costs directly associated with billable consultants, including salaries, payroll taxes, benefits, finder's fees, trainee compensation, and travel. Cost of revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased to 43.5% of KPO revenues from 37.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The 5.7% increase in cost of revenues, as a percent of revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2010, was attributable primarily to increases in headcount, salary increments for offshore employees and rupee appreciation. Cost of revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased to 44.3% of KPO revenues, from 33.9% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The 10.4% increase in cost of revenues, as a percent of revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010, was attributable primarily to better utilization of resources and cost rationalization partly offset by rupee appreciation and increase in other expenses.

e-Business Revenues. E-Business revenues increased to \$12.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, or 8.0% of total revenues, from \$9.0 million, or 6.9% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The \$3.5 million increase was attributable primarily to revenues from new engagements contributing \$7.8 million, largely offset by \$2.5 million in lost revenues as a result of project completion and \$1.8 million net decrease in revenues from existing projects. The revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased to \$25.2 million, or 8.3% of total revenues, from \$16.4 million or 6.7% of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The \$8.8 million increase for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was attributable principally to a \$10.0 million increases in revenues from new engagements and \$3.5 million of net increase in revenues from existing customers, largely offset by \$4.7 million in lost revenues as a result of project completion.

e-Business Cost of Revenues. e-Business cost of revenues consists of costs directly associated with billable consultants in the US and offshore, including salaries, payroll taxes, benefits, relocation costs, immigration costs, finder's fees, trainee compensation, and travel. e-Business cost of revenues increased to 65.5% of total e-Business revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 56.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The 8.8% increase in cost of revenues as a percent of e-Business revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2010, is principally attributable to increases in headcount, salary increments for offshore employees and rupee appreciation. Cost of revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased to 64.7% of total e-business revenues, from 55.9% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The 8.8% increase in cost of revenues, as a percent of revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010, was attributable primarily to increases in headcount, salary increments for offshore and onsite employees and rupee appreciation.

TeamSourcing Revenues. TeamSourcing revenues decreased to \$3.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, or 2.0% of total revenues, from \$3.5 million, or 2.7% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The \$0.4 million decrease was attributable primarily to lost revenues as a result of project closure contributing \$1.7 million and \$0.3 million net decrease in

Table of Contents

revenues from existing projects, largely offset by increase in revenue from new engagements contributing \$1.6 million. The revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased to \$5.5 million, or 1.8% of total revenues, from \$6.4 million or 2.6% of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The \$0.9 million decrease for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was attributable principally to \$2.6 million decrease in revenues from existing projects and \$0.9 million decrease in revenue from project completion, largely offset by \$2.6 million increase in revenues from new engagements.

TeamSourcing Cost of Revenues. TeamSourcing cost of revenues consists of costs directly associated with billable consultants in the US and offshore, including salaries, payroll taxes, benefits, relocation costs, immigration costs, finder's fees, trainee compensation, and travel. TeamSourcing cost of revenues increased to 60.3% of TeamSourcing revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 40.4% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Cost of revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased to 61.3% of total TeamSourcing revenues, from 42.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Both the 19.9% and 19.0% increase in TeamSourcing cost of revenues, as a percent of TeamSourcing revenues, is attributable primarily to lower utilization of resources.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries, payroll taxes and benefits for sales, solutions, finance, administrative, and corporate staff; travel; telecommunications; business promotions; and marketing and various facility costs for the Company's global development centers and other offices.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were \$27.8 million or 17.7% of total revenues, compared to \$19.1 million or 14.6% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Selling, general and administrative costs for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were impacted by an increase in revenue by \$26.3 million having resulting in a 3.6 percentage decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenue.

The said decrease was partially offset by an increase in compensation and benefits of \$1.9 million, facility costs of \$2.7 million, corporate expenses of \$1.7 million, foreign exchange loss of \$1.9 million and depreciation and amortization of \$0.8 million partially offset by decreases in travel & relocation costs of \$0.1 million and other expenses of \$0.2 million which has resulted in an approximately 6.7 percentage net increase.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were \$52.4 million or 17.3% of total revenues, compared to \$41.4 million or 16.8% of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Selling, general and administrative costs for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were impacted by an increase in revenue by \$55.7 million having resulting in a 3.9 percentage decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenue.

The said decrease was partially offset by an increase in compensation costs of \$3.5 million, training & recruitment cost of \$0.2 million, corporate expenses of \$2.6 million, facility costs of \$4.6 million and depreciation and amortization of \$1.1 million due to new facility started during the period partially offset by decrease in travel & relocation costs of \$0.2 million, foreign exchange loss of \$0.1 million and other expenses of \$0.7 million which has resulted in an approximately 4.4 percentage net increase.

Other Income. Other income includes interest and dividend income, gains and losses from sale of securities, other investments and treasury operations.

Other income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$5.9 million or 3.8% of total revenues, compared to \$2.0 million or 1.5% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in other income of \$3.9 million was attributable to: increase in interest income of \$2.6 million, gains on forward contracts by \$0.6 million and gain on sale of mutual funds of \$0.7 million.

Table of Contents

Other income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$12.0 million or 4.0% of total revenues, compared to \$4.2 million or 1.7% of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in other income of \$7.8 million was attributable to: increase in interest income of \$4.9 million, gains on forward contracts of \$1.7 million, gain on sale of forward contract of \$1.0 million and miscellaneous receipt of \$0.1 million.

Income Taxes

The Company records provisions for income taxes based on enacted tax laws and rates in the various taxing jurisdictions in which it operates. In determining the tax provisions, the Company provides for tax uncertainties in income taxes, when it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that a tax position would not be sustained upon examination. Such uncertainties, which are recorded in income taxes payable, are based on management's estimates and accordingly are subject to revision based on additional information. The provision no longer required for any particular tax year is credited to the current period's income tax expenses. Conversely, in the event of a future tax examination, any additional tax expense not previously provided for will be recognized in the period in which the actual liability is concluded or management determines that the Company will not prevail on certain tax positions taken in filed returns, based on the more likely than not concept.

During the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the effective income tax rates were 20.9% and 17.9%, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the effective income tax rates were 21.6% and 16.2%, respectively. The tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was impacted due to the effective tax rate impact for certain offshore facilities going out of tax holiday effective April 1, 2011.

FINANCIAL POSITION

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and Cash equivalents decreased to \$87.7 million at June 30, 2011 from \$92.0 million at June 30, 2010.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company generally has financed its working capital needs through operations. The Mumbai, Chennai, Pune (India) and other expansion programs are financed from internally generated funds. The Company's cash and cash equivalents consist primarily of certificates of deposit, corporate bonds and treasury notes. These amounts are held by various banking institutions including US-based and India-based banks.

Net cash generated by operating activities was \$40.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. This includes a reduction of \$13.8 million related to a increase in net accounts receivable. The net cash generated by operating activities was \$33.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The number of days sales outstanding in net accounts receivable were approximately 56 days as of June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$28.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, consisting principally of \$31.2 million of capital expenditures primarily for construction/acquisition of Global Development Center at Pune, Leasehold land at Tirunelveli, Knowledge Process Outsourcing facility at Mumbai and an additional facility in Chennai, as well as for acquisition of computers and software and communications equipment and the purchase of short term investments of \$157.2 million, largely offset by \$160.1 million from the sale of short term investments. Net cash used in investing activities was \$23.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, consisting principally of \$8.9 million of capital expenditures primarily for construction/acquisition of Global Development Center at Pune, Knowledge Process Outsourcing facility at Mumbai and an additional facility in Chennai, as well as for acquisition of computers and software and communications equipment and the purchase of short term investments of \$215.2 million, largely offset by \$200.5 million from the sale of short term investments.

Table of Contents

Net cash used in financing activities was \$5.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, consisting principally of \$5.0 million in dividends paid out during the six months. Net cash used in financing activities was \$4.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, consisting principally of \$5.0 million in dividends paid out, partially offset by proceeds of \$0.6 million from the issuance of shares under the Company's employee stock option plan exercised during the six months.

The Company has a line of credit with JP Morgan Chase Bank NA, which provides for borrowings up to \$20.0 million and expires on August 31, 2011. The interest shall be paid to the Bank on the outstanding and unpaid principal amount of each Commercial Bank Floating Rate advance at the Commercial Bank Floating Rate plus the applicable margin and each LIBOR rate advance at the adjusted LIBOR rate. There were no outstanding borrowings at June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

The Company believes that the combination of present cash balances and future operating cash flows will be sufficient to meet the Company's currently anticipated cash requirements for at least the next 12 months.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

We believe the following critical accounting policies, among others, involve the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. The Company has discussed this critical accounting policy and the estimates with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

Revenue Recognition. Revenue recognition is the most significant accounting policy for the Company. The Company recognizes revenue from time and material contracts as services are performed. During the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 revenues from time and material contracts constituted 62% and 54% of total revenues, respectively. Revenue from fixed-price, application management, maintenance and support engagements is recognized as earned, which generally results in straight-line revenue recognition as services are performed continuously over the term of the engagement. During the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, revenues from fixed price application management and support engagements constituted 24% and 35% of total revenues, respectively.

Revenue on fixed price development projects is measured using the proportional performance method of accounting. Performance is generally measured based upon the efforts incurred to date in relation to the total estimated efforts required through the completion of the contract. The Company monitors estimates of total contract revenues and cost on a routine basis throughout the delivery period. The cumulative impact of any change in estimates of the contract revenues or costs is reflected in the period in which the change becomes known. In the event that a loss is anticipated on a particular contract, provision is made for the estimated loss. The Company issues invoices related to fixed price contracts based on either the achievement of milestones during a project or other contractual terms. Differences between the timing of billings and the recognition of revenue based upon the proportional performance method of accounting are recorded as revenue earned in excess of billings or deferred revenue in the accompanying financial statements. During the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, revenues from fixed price development contracts constituted 14% and 11% of total revenues, respectively.

Significant Accounting Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the reporting period. By their nature, these estimates and judgments are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. The Company bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition. The use of the proportional performance method of accounting requires that the Company make estimates about its future efforts and costs relative to its fixed price contracts. While the Company has procedures in place to monitor the estimates throughout the performance period, such estimates are subject to change as each contract progresses. The cumulative impact of any such changes is reflected in the period in which the change becomes known.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. The Company records an allowance for doubtful accounts based on a specific review of aged receivables. The provision for the allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses. These estimates are based on our assessment of the probable collection from specific client accounts, the aging of the accounts receivable, analysis of credit data, bad debt write-offs, and other known factors.

Income Taxes Estimates of Effective Tax Rates and Reserves for Tax Contingencies. The Company records provisions for income taxes based on enacted tax laws and rates in the various taxing jurisdictions in which it operates. In determining the tax provisions, the Company provides for tax uncertainties in income taxes, when it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that a tax position would not be sustained upon examination. Such uncertainties, which are recorded in income taxes payable, are based on management's estimates and accordingly are subject to revision based on additional information. The provision no longer required for any particular tax year is credited to the current period's income tax expenses. Conversely, in the event of a future tax examination, any additional tax expense not previously provided for will be recognized in the period in which the actual liability is concluded or management determines that the Company will not prevail on certain tax positions taken in filed returns, based on the more likely than not concept.

Accruals for Legal Expenses and Exposures. The Company estimates the costs associated with known legal exposures and their related legal expenses and accrues reserves for either the probable liability, if that amount can be reasonably estimated, or otherwise the lower end of an estimated range of potential liability.

Undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries. The Company intends to use accumulated and future earnings of foreign subsidiaries to expand operations outside the United States and accordingly undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries are considered to be indefinitely reinvested outside the United States and no provision for U. S. federal and state income tax or applicable dividend distribution tax has been provided thereon.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain information and statements contained in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other sections of this report, including the allowance for doubtful accounts, contingencies and litigation, potential tax liabilities, interest rate or foreign currency risks, and projections regarding our liquidity and capital resources, could be construed as forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements include statements containing words such as could, expects, may, anticipates, believes, estimates, plans, and other expressions. In addition, the Company or persons acting on its behalf may, from time to time, publish other forward looking statements. Such forward looking statements are based on management's estimates, assumptions and projections and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the forward looking statements.

Although management believes that the expectations, forecasts and goals reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results could differ materially for a variety of reasons, including, without limitation, the risks and uncertainties detailed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Other factors not currently anticipated may also materially and adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows, financial position and prospects. There can be no assurance that future results will meet expectations. While we believe that the forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are reasonable, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement. In addition, these statements speak only as of the date made. We do not undertake, and expressly disclaim any obligation to update or alter any statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by applicable law.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The Company is exposed to the impact of interest rate changes and foreign currency fluctuations.

Interest Rate Risk

The Company considers investments purchased with an original maturity of less than three months at date of purchase to be cash equivalents. The following table summarizes the Company's cash and cash equivalents and short term investments:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 87,656	\$ 78,505
Short term investments	206,941	208,695
Total	\$ 294,597	\$ 287,200

The Company's exposure to market rate risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to its investment portfolio. The Company does not use derivative financial instruments in its investment portfolio. The Company's investments are in high-quality Indian Mutual Funds and, by policy, limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. At any time, changes in interest rates could have a material impact on interest earnings for our investment portfolio. The Company strives to protect and preserve our invested funds by limiting default, market and reinvestment risk. Investments in interest earning instruments carry a degree of interest rate risk. Floating rate securities may produce less income than expected if there is a decline in interest rates. Due in part to these factors, the Company's future investment income may fall short of expectations, or the Company may suffer a loss in principal if the Company is forced to sell securities, which have declined in market value due to changes in interest rates as stated above.

Foreign Currency Risk

The Company's sales are primarily sourced in the United States of America and its subsidiary in the United Kingdom and are mostly denominated in U.S. dollars or UK pounds, respectively. Its foreign subsidiaries incur most of their expenses in the local currency. Accordingly, all foreign subsidiaries use the local currency as their functional currency. The Company's business is subject to risks typical of an international business, including, but not limited to differing economic conditions, changes in political climate, differing tax structures, other regulations and restrictions, and foreign exchange rate volatility. Accordingly, the Company's future results could be materially adversely impacted by changes in these or other factors. The risk is partially mitigated as the Company has sufficient resources in the respective local currencies to meet immediate requirements. The Company is also exposed to foreign exchange rate fluctuations as the financial results of foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars in consolidation. As exchange rates vary, these results, when translated, may vary from expectations.

Table of Contents

During the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Indian rupee has appreciated against the U.S. dollar by 1.30% as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. This rupee appreciation unfavorably impacted the Company's gross margin by 42 basis points, operating income by 58 basis points and net income by 55 basis points, each as a percentage of revenue. The Indian rupee denominated cost of revenues and selling, general and administrative expense was 52.7% and 73.5% of the expenses, respectively.

Although the Company cannot predict future movement in interest rates or fluctuations in foreign currency rates, the Company does not currently anticipate that interest rate risk or foreign currency risk will have a significant impact. In order to limit the exposure to interest rate or fluctuations in foreign currency rates, the Company entered into foreign exchange forward contracts where the counter party is a bank during the three months ended June 30, 2011, but these contracts do not have a material impact on the financial statements.

The Company entered into foreign exchange forward contracts to hedge part of its revenues where the counter party is a bank, during the quarter ended June 30, 2011, the Company did not enter into new foreign exchange forward contracts. The Company considers the risks of non-performance by the counter party is not material. Aggregate contracted principal amounts of contracts outstanding amounted to \$30.0 million as of June 30, 2011. The outstanding foreign exchange forward contracts as of June 30, 2011 mature in six months. The fair value of the foreign exchange forward contracts of \$0.63 million is reflected in other current assets in the balance sheet of the Company as at June 30, 2011. Net Gains/(Losses) on foreign exchange forward contracts are included under the heading other income in the statement of income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 amounted to \$0.39 million.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management evaluated, with the participation of the Company's principal executive officers (the Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer), the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the principal executive officers have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

While the Company is a party to ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business, the Company is not currently a party to any material legal proceeding or governmental investigation.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes in the Company's risk factors as disclosed in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved).

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits.

The Company's Chairman shares certain principal executive officer responsibilities with the Company's Chief Executive Officer and President. Therefore, in accordance with Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a), both the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer and President sign a 302 certification and the 906 certification as principal executive officers.

Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer.
31.3	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer.
32	Section 1350 Certification of Principal Executive Officers and Principal Financial Officer.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SYNTEL, INC.

Date: August 5, 2011

/s/ Prashant Ranade
Prashant Ranade,
Chief Executive Officer and President

Date: August 5, 2011

/s/ Arvind Godbole
Arvind Godbole,
Chief Financial Officer &
Chief Information Security Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer.
31.3	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer.
32	Section 1350 Certification of Principal Executive Officers and Principal Financial Officer.