COMMITTED CAPITAL ACQUISITION Corp Form POS AM November 06, 2013

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 6, 2013

File No: 333-174599

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

POST-EFFECTIVE AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO FORM S-1 ON FORM S-3 REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

COMMITTED CAPITAL ACQUISITION CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 5812 14-1961545 (State or other jurisdiction of Primary Standard Industrial (I.R.S. Employer Identification incorporation or organization) Classification Code Number) Number)

411 W. 14th Street, 2nd Floor

New York, New York 10014

(646) 624-2400

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

| Edgai Filling. Colvilvin TED CALITAL ACQUISITION COIP - FOITH TOS ANI |
|---|
| Samuel Goldfinger |
| Chief Financial Officer 411 W. 14 th Street, 2 nd Floor |
| New York, New York 10014 |
| (646) 624-2400 |
| (Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service) |
| |
| Copies to: |
| Sahir Surmeli, Esq. |
| Jeffrey P. Schultz, Esq. |
| Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and |
| Popeo, P.C. |
| Chrysler Center |
| 666 Third Avenue |
| New York, NY 10017 |
| (212) 935-3000 |
| (212) 983-3115 — Facsimile |
| |
| Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after this registration statement is declared effective. |
| If the only securities being registered on this Form are being offered pursuant to dividend or interest reinvestment plans, please check the following box. |
| |

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to

Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box. þ

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

If this Form is a registration statement pursuant to General Instruction I.D. or a post-effective amendment thereto that shall become effective upon filing with the Commission pursuant to Rule 462(e) under the Securities Act, check the following box.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment to a registration statement filed pursuant to General Instruction I.D. filed to register additional securities or additional classes of securities pursuant to Rule 413(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company b (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section8(a), may determine.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 on Form S-3 constitutes a post-effective amendment to the registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-174599) (the "Original Registration Statement") and contains an updated prospectus relating to shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants included as part of the units sold to investors in the registrant's initial public offering. The prospectus included in this Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 supersedes and replaces in its entirety the prospectus that was filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3) with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on October 24, 2011. This Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 on Form S-3 is being filed by the Company to convert the Original Registration Statement, as amended, into a Registration Statement on Form S-3. All filing fees payable in connection with the registration of all the securities covered by the registration statement were previously paid in connection with the filing of the Original Registration Statement.

The information in this preliminary prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to Completion, dated November 6, 2013

COMMITTED CAPITAL ACQUISITION CORPORATION

5,750,000 Shares of Common Stock

This prospectus relates to the issuance by us of 5,750,000 shares of our common stock, par value \$.0001 per share, that are issuable upon exercise of the warrants originally issued by us in our initial public offering pursuant to a prospectus dated October 24, 2011.

Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of our common stock. In order to obtain the shares, the holders of the warrants must pay an exercise price of \$5.00 per share. The warrants will expire on the date that is the earlier of (i) two years after the effective date of the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part or (ii) the 45th day following the date that our common stock closes at or above \$6.25 per share for 20 out of 30 trading days commencing on the effective date. We will receive approximately 51% of the proceeds from the exercise of the warrants but not from the sale of the underlying common stock. Approximately 49% of the proceeds from the exercise of the warrants will be received by a Liquidating Trust established for the benefit of former holders of membership interests of The ONE Group, LLC and holders of warrants to acquire membership interests of The ONE Group, LLC, as disclosed in this prospectus.

Our common stock, warrants and units are listed on the OTC Bulletin Board and trade under the symbols "STKS", "STKSW" and "STKSU", respectively. As of November 5, 2013, on the OTC Bulletin Board, the last sale prices of our common stock, warrants and units were \$5.52 per share, \$1.04 per warrant and \$7.05 per unit, respectively.

Investing in our securities involves a high degree of risk. See "Risk Factors" beginning on page 4 of this prospectus for a discussion of information that should be considered in connection with an investment in our securities.

| Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or |
|--|
| disapproved of these securities or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this prospectus. Any representation |
| to the contrary is a criminal offense. |
| |

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with information that is different.

The information contained in this prospectus is correct as of the date of this prospectus, regardless of the time of delivery of this prospectus or of any sale of shares of our common stock. You should be aware that some of this information may have changed by the time this document is delivered to you.

| The data of this muce | maatua ia | 2012 |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|
| The date of this pros | specius is | , 2013 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| PROSPECTUS SUMMARY | 1 |
|--|----|
| THE OFFERING | 3 |
| RISK FACTORS | 4 |
| CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS | 30 |
| USE OF PROCEEDS | 31 |
| PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION | 31 |
| DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES | 31 |
| LEGAL MATTERS | 35 |
| EXPERTS | 35 |
| WHERE YOU CAN FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | 35 |
| INCORPORATION OF DOCUMENTS BY REFERENCE | 36 |

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights certain information appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. For a more complete understanding of this offering, you should read the entire prospectus carefully, including the risk factors. Unless otherwise stated in this prospectus, references to "we", "us", "our", "company", "our company," "combined company" or "refer to Committed Capital Acquisition Corporation and its subsidiaries, including The ONE Group, LLC, or One Group, and its subsidiaries.

In this prospectus the term "Merger" means the acquisition of One Group by CCAC.

Our Company

Previously, we were a blank check company formed in the State of Delaware on January 24, 2006 for the purpose of acquiring, through a merger, capital stock exchange, asset acquisition, stock purchase, reorganization, exchangeable stock transaction or other similar business transaction, one or more operating businesses or assets, and a "shell company" (as such term is defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")). In October 2011, we consummated our initial public offering in which we sold 5,750,000 units, each consisting of one share of our common stock and a warrant to purchase one share of our common stock. We received total gross proceeds of \$28.75 million.

On October 16, 2013, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") among us, CCAC Acquisition Sub, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and our wholly owned subsidiary ("Merger Sub"), The ONE Group, LLC ("One Group") and Samuel Goldfinger as One Group Representative, pursuant to which we acquired One Group in a stock-for-stock exchange. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, we issued to the former members of One Group and to a Liquidating Trust established for the benefit of former holders of membership interests of One Group ("TOG Members") and holders of warrants to acquire membership interests of One Group ("TOG Warrant Owners") (the "Liquidating Trust") an aggregate of 12,631,400 shares of our common stock, par value \$.0001 per share (the "Common Stock") and \$11,750,000 in cash to the TOG Members (collectively, the "Merger Consideration"). The Merger Agreement also provides for up to an additional \$14,100,000 of payments to the TOG Members and the Liquidating Trust, the payment of which is contingent upon the exercise of the warrants to purchase 5,750,000 shares of Common Stock, which shares are covered by the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part.

As a result of the Merger, we are now a hospitality company that develops and operates upscale, high-energy restaurants and lounges and provides turn-key food and beverage services for hospitality venues including boutique hotels, casinos and other high-end locations in the United States and the United Kingdom. One Group was established

with the vision of becoming a global market leader in the hospitality industry by melding high-quality service, ambiance and cuisine into one great experience. Our primary restaurant brand is STK, a unique, multi-unit steakhouse concept that combines a high-energy, female-friendly, social atmosphere with the superior quality of a traditional steakhouse. Our food and beverage, or "F&B", hospitality services offerings include developing, managing and operating restaurants, bars, rooftops, pools, banqueting and catering facilities, private dining rooms, room service and mini bars tailored to the specific needs of high-end boutique hotels and casinos. Our F&B hospitality clients include global hospitality companies such as the Cosmopolitan Hotel, Gansevoort Hotel Group, Hippodrome Casino, ME Hotels and the Perry Hotel (owned by Starwood Capital).

We opened our first restaurant in January 2004 and as of June 30, 2013, we owned, operated or managed 19 restaurants and lounges, including six STKs throughout the United States and in London. Nine of our locations are operated under our six F&B hospitality management agreements, in which we provide comprehensive food and beverage services for our hospitality clients. All of our restaurants, lounges and F&B services are designed to create a unique social dining and entertainment experience within a destination location. We believe that this design philosophy separates us from more traditional restaurant and foodservice competitors.

During the last twelve months ("LTM") ended June 30, 2013, we generated system-wide revenue of \$112.1 million, representing 20.1% growth over the prior year period. Based on our strong momentum and brand appeal, we expect to continue to expand our operations domestically and internationally by continuing our disciplined and targeted site selection process and supplemented by the increasingly regular inbound inquiries we receive from office building, hotel and casino owners and landlords to develop and open new locations.

Our principal office is located at 411 W. 14th Street, 2nd Floor, New York, New York 10014, and our telephone number is (646) 624-2400. Our website address is www.togrp.com. The information on our website is not a part of this prospectus.

THE OFFERING

5,750,000 shares of Common Stock, \$.0001 par value per share, underlying warrants sold Securities offered

to the public in our initial public offering

Warrant exercise price \$5.00 per share

Common stock outstanding as of November 5, 2013

24,946,739 shares, including 5,750,000 shares of Common Stock included in our units

Common stock outstanding as of November 5, 2013

assuming exercise of all of 30,696,739 shares

the warrants then outstanding

Use of proceeds

Gross proceeds from the exercise of the warrants, if they are exercised in full, will be up to an aggregate of approximately \$28.75 million. We will receive approximately 51% of the proceeds and approximately 49% of the proceeds will be received by the Liquidating Trust pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement. We expect that any net proceeds from the exercise of the warrants received by us will be used for general corporate purposes and to fund working capital.

OTC Bulletin Board symbols for our:

Units "STKSU" Common stock "STKS" Warrants "STKSW"

RISK FACTORS

Investing in our Common Stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below as well as the other information included in this prospectus before purchasing our Common Stock. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial may also materially and adversely affect us. If any of these risks have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to Our Business

Our business is dependent on discretionary spending patterns in the areas in which our restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations are located and in the economy at large and economic downturns could materially adversely affect our results of operations.

Purchases at our restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services locations are discretionary for consumers and we are therefore susceptible to changes in discretionary patterns or economic slowdowns in the geographic areas in which they are located and in the economy at large. We believe that consumers generally are more willing to make discretionary purchases, including high-end restaurant meals, during favorable economic conditions, Disruptions in the overall economy, including high unemployment, financial market volatility and unpredictability, and the related reduction in consumer confidence could negatively affect customer traffic and sales throughout our industry, including our segment. Also, we believe the majority of our weekday revenues are derived from business customers using expense accounts and our business therefore may be affected by reduced expense account or other business-related dining by our business clientele. If business clientele were to dine less frequently at our locations or to spend at reduced levels, our business and results of operations would be adversely affected as a result of a reduction in customer traffic or average revenues per customer. Our hotel-based restaurants and food and beverage services operations would be particularly susceptible to reductions in business travel. There is also a risk that if the current economic conditions persist or worsen for an extended period of time, consumers might make long-lasting changes to their discretionary spending behavior, including dining out less frequently. Our casino-based restaurants and food and beverage services operations would be particularly susceptible to reductions in discretionary spending. The ability of the U.S. economy to return to the levels realized prior to the most recent economic downturn is likely to be affected by many national and international factors that are beyond our control, including current economic trends in Europe and Asia. These factors, including national, regional and local politics and economic conditions, disposable consumer income and consumer confidence, also affect discretionary consumer spending. Continued weakness in or a further worsening of the economy, generally or in a number of our markets, and our customers' reactions to these trends could adversely affect our business and cause us to, among other things, reduce the number and frequency of new location openings, close locations and delay our re-modeling of existing locations.

Changes in consumer preferences could adversely impact our business and results of operations.

The restaurant and hospitality industry is characterized by the continual introduction of new concepts and is subject to rapidly changing consumer preferences, tastes, trends and eating and purchasing habits. Our success depends in part on our ability to anticipate and respond quickly to changing consumer preferences, as well as other factors affecting the restaurant and hospitality industry, including new market entrants and demographic changes. Shifts in consumer preferences away from upscale steakhouses or beef in general, which are significant components of our concepts' menus and appeal, whether as a result of economic, competitive or other factors, could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our STK locations in New York and Las Vegas represent a significant portion of our revenues, and any significant downturn in their business or disruption in the operation of these locations could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our STK locations in New York and Las Vegas represented approximately 14% (Downtown), 12% (Midtown) and 21% (Las Vegas) of our total revenues (both owned and managed properties) in 2012. Accordingly, we are susceptible to any fluctuations in the business at our New York and Las Vegas STK locations, whether as a result of adverse economic conditions, negative publicity, changes in customer preferences or for other reasons. In addition, any natural disaster, prolonged inclement weather, act of terrorism or national emergency, accident, system failure or other unforeseen event in or around New York City or Las Vegas could result in a temporary or permanent closing of that location, could influence potential customers to avoid that geographic region or that location in particular or otherwise lead to a significant decrease in our overall revenues. Any significant interruption in the operation of these locations or other reduction in sales could adversely affect our business and results of operations. We also expect that our London operations will account for a significant percentage of revenue going forward and, accordingly, if our London operations were to perform below expectations our overall business, financial condition or results of operations would suffer.

In the foreseeable future we will continue to maintain a relatively small number of restaurant and food and beverage hospitality service locations. Accordingly, we will continue to depend on a small number of revenue generating installations to generate revenues and profits.

While we plan on growing as rapidly as prudently possible, in the foreseeable future we will only have a relatively small installed base from which to derive revenue and profits. Even if we are successful in implementing these plans (of which there can be no assurance), our operational risk will still be concentrated in a relatively small base of operating installations and failure of any of those installations to produce satisfactory levels of revenue or profit could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations as a whole.

Some of our restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations are located in regions that may be susceptible to severe weather conditions. As a result, adverse weather conditions in any of these areas could damage our operations, result in fewer guest visits to our operations and otherwise have a material adverse impact on our business.

Sales in any of our restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations may be adversely impacted by severe weather conditions, which can cause us to close operations for a period of time and/or incur costly repairs and/or experience a reduction in customer traffic. In addition, the impact severe weather conditions could cause us to cease operations at the affected location altogether. For example, we believe that the adverse weather experienced in the Northeast in 2012, specifically the impact caused by Hurricane Sandy as well as the poor weather conditions in the

New York City area at the beginning of 2013, had a significant negative impact on our sales and results of operations. In addition and by way of example, excessive heat in locations in which we operate outdoor installations, such as rooftops and pools, could have a material adverse effect on the operations in those locations. Weather conditions are impossible to predict as is the negative impact on our business that such conditions might cause.

If our restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations are not able to compete successfully with other restaurants, food and beverage hospitality services operations and other similar operations, our business and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Our industry is intensely competitive with respect to price, quality of service, location, ambiance of facilities and type and quality of food. A substantial number of national and regional restaurant chains and independently owned restaurants compete with us for customers, restaurant locations and qualified management and other restaurant staff. The principal competitors for our concepts are other upscale steakhouse chains such as Del Frisco's, Mastro's, Fleming's Prime Steakhouse and Wine Bar and The Capital Grille, as well as local upscale steakhouses such as Abe & Arthur's in New York City and She in Las Vegas, Nevada. Further, there is also competition from non-steak but upscale and high-energy restaurants such as Nobu and Lavo. Our concepts also compete with restaurants and other food and beverage hospitality services operations in the broader upscale dining segment and high-energy nightlife concepts. To the extent that our restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations are located in hotels, casinos, resorts and similar client locations, we are subject to competition in the broader lodging and hospitality markets that could draw potential customers away from our locations. Some of our competitors have greater financial and other resources, have been in business longer, have greater name recognition and are better established in the markets where our restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations are located or where we may expand. Our inability to compete successfully with other restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations may harm our ability to maintain acceptable levels of revenue growth, limit or otherwise inhibit our ability to grow one or more of our concepts, or force us to close one or more of our restaurants or food and beverage hospitality services operations. We may also need to evolve our concepts in order to compete with popular new restaurant or food and beverage hospitality services operation formats, concepts or trends that emerge from time to time, and we cannot provide any assurance that we will be successful in doing so or that any changes we make to any of our concepts in response will be successful or not adversely affect our profitability. In addition, with improving product offerings at fast casual restaurants and quick-service restaurants combined with the effects of negative economic conditions and other factors, consumers may choose less expensive alternatives, which could also negatively affect customer traffic at our restaurants or food and beverage hospitality services operations. Any unanticipated slowdown in demand at any of our restaurants or food and beverage hospitality services operations due to industry competition may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

To the extent that our restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations are located in hotels, casinos and similar destinations, our results of operations and growth are subject to the risks facing such venues.

Our ability to grow and realize profits from our operations in hotels, casinos and other branded or destination venues are dependent on the success of such venues' business. We are subject to the business decisions of our clients, in which we may have little or no influence in the overall operation of the applicable venue. For example, revenues from our Miami STK in the Perry Hotel are being adversely impacted by the renovations currently taking place at the Perry. In this case, we had no control over the decision of hotel management to temporarily close the hotel for renovations.

We will need to secure additional financing to support our planned operations.

We will require additional funds for our anticipated operations and to meet our capital needs. We expect to rely on our cash flow from operations, the proceeds from the Company's private placement of 3,131,339 shares of Common Stock at a purchase price of \$5.00 per share to purchasers that included some of the Company's existing stockholders, realizing gross proceeds of \$15,656,695 (the "October 2013 Private Placement"), the remaining proceeds from our initial public offering ("IPO") and other third-party financing for such funds. In the event our cash flow is insufficient to fund our further expansion, our inability to raise capital in addition to the proceeds from the October 2013 Private Placement and the remaining proceeds from our IPO would impede our growth and could materially adversely affect our existing business, financial condition or results of operations. Our ability to obtain additional funding will be subject to various factors, including market conditions, our operating performance, lender sentiment and our ability to incur additional debt in compliance with other contractual restrictions such as financial covenants under our existing credit facility or other debt documents. These factors may make the timing, amount, terms and conditions of additional financings unattractive. There is no assurance that we will be successful in securing the additional capital we need to fund our business plan on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all.

Our future growth depends in part on our ability to open new restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services locations and to and operate them profitable, and if we are unable to successfully execute this strategy, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

Our financial success depends in part on management's ability to execute our growth strategy. One key element of our growth strategy is opening new restaurants and food and beverage hospitality operations. We believe there are opportunities to open approximately three to five new locations (restaurants and/or hospitality services operations) annually, with STK serving as the primary driver of new unit growth in the near term. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to open new restaurants and food and beverage hospitality operations at the rate we currently expect.

A substantial majority of our historical growth has been due to opening new restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services locations. Our ability to open new restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services locations and operate them profitably is dependent upon a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, including without limitation:

finding quality site locations, competing effectively to obtain quality site locations and reaching acceptable agreements to lease or purchase sites;

complying with applicable zoning, land use and environmental regulations and obtaining, for an acceptable cost, required permits and approvals;

having adequate capital for construction and opening costs and efficiently managing the time and resources committed to building and opening each new restaurant and food and beverage hospitality services operation;

timely hiring and training and retaining the skilled management and other employees necessary to meet staffing needs;

successfully promoting our new locations and competing in their markets;

acquiring food and other supplies for new restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations from local suppliers; and

addressing unanticipated problems or risks that may arise during the development or opening of a new restaurant or food and beverage hospitality services operation or entering a new market.

We incur substantial pre-opening costs that may be difficult to recoup quickly.

While our business model tends to rely on landlord or host contributions to the capital costs of opening a new restaurant or food and beverage hospitality services operations, we incur substantial costs in our contributions to the build-out of the locations, recruiting and training staff, obtaining necessary permits, advertising and promotion and other pre-operating items. Once the restaurant or food and beverage hospitality services location is open, how quickly it achieves a desired level of profitability is impacted by many factors, including the level of market familiarity and acceptance when we enter new markets. Our business and profitability may be adversely affected if the "ramp-up" period for a new location lasts longer than we expect or if the profitability of a new location dips after our initial "ramp-up" marketing program ends.

New locations, once opened, may not be profitable, and the increases in average location sales and comparable location sales that we have experienced in the past may not be indicative of future results.

New locations may not be profitable and their sales performance may not follow historical or projected patterns. If we are forced to close any new operations, we will incur losses for the pre-opening expenses incurred in connection with opening such operations. In addition, our average location sales and comparable location sales may not increase at the rates achieved over the past several years. If our new locations do not perform as planned, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

Our expansion into new markets may present increased risks.

We plan to open new locations in markets where we have little or no operating experience. Restaurants or food and beverage hospitality services operations which we open in new markets may take longer to reach expected sales and profit levels on a consistent basis and may have higher construction, occupancy or operating costs than locations we open in existing markets, thereby affecting our overall profitability. New markets may have competitive conditions, consumer tastes and discretionary spending patterns that are more difficult to predict or satisfy than our existing markets. We may need to make greater investments than we originally planned in advertising and promotional activity in new markets to build brand awareness. We may find it more difficult in new markets to hire, motivate and keep qualified employees who share our vision, passion and business culture. We may also incur higher costs from entering new markets, if, for example, we assign area managers to manage comparatively fewer locations than we assign in more developed markets. We may find that restaurants in new markets do not meet our revenue and profit expectations and we may be forced to close those operations, incurring closing costs and reducing our opportunities. If we do not successfully execute our plans to enter new markets, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Opening new restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations in existing markets may negatively affect sales at our existing restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations.

The consumer target area of our restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations varies by location, depending on a number of factors, including population density, other local retail and business attractions, area demographics and geography. As a result, the opening of a new restaurant or food and beverage hospitality services operation in or near markets in which we already have existing locations could adversely affect the sales of those existing locations. Existing locations could also make it more difficult to build our consumer base for a new restaurant or food and beverage hospitality services operation in the same market. Our core business strategy does not entail opening new restaurants or food and beverage hospitality services operations that we believe will materially affect sales at our existing locations, but we may selectively open new locations in and around areas of existing locations that are operating at or near capacity to effectively serve our customers. Sales cannibalization between our restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations may become significant in the future as we continue to expand

our operations and could affect our sales growth, which could, in turn, materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We face a variety of risks associated with doing business in foreign markets that could have a negative impact on our financial performance.

We operate an STK restaurant as well as food and beverage hospitality services locations in London and we intend to continue our efforts to grow internationally. Although we believe we have developed the support structure for international operations and growth, there is no assurance that international operations will be profitable or international growth will continue. Our foreign operations are subject to all of the same risks as our domestic restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations, as well as additional risks including, among others, international economic and political conditions and the possibility of instability and unrest, differing cultures and consumer preferences, diverse government regulations and tax systems, the ability to source high quality ingredients and other commodities in a cost-effective manner, the availability of experienced management and the like.

Currency regulations and fluctuations in exchange rates could also affect our performance. As a result, we may experience losses from foreign currency translation, and such losses could adversely affect our overall sales and earnings.

We are subject to governmental regulation throughout the world, including, without limitation, antitrust and tax requirements, anti-boycott regulations, import/export/customs regulations and other international trade regulations, the USA Patriot Act and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Any new regulatory or trade initiatives could impact our operations in certain countries. Failure to comply with any such legal requirements could subject us to monetary liabilities and other sanctions, which could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If we are unable to increase our sales or improve our margins at existing restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations, our profitability and overall results of operations may be adversely affected.

Another key aspect of our growth strategy is increasing comparable restaurant and food and beverage hospitality services operation sales and improving location-level margins. Improving comparable location sales and location-level margins depends in part on whether we achieve revenue growth through increases in the average check and further expand our private dining business at each location. We believe there are opportunities to increase the average check at our locations through, for example, selective introduction of higher priced items and increases in menu pricing. We also believe that expanding and enhancing our private dining capacity will also increase our location sales, as our private dining business typically has a higher average check and higher overall margins than regular dining room business. However, these strategies may prove unsuccessful, especially in times of economic hardship, as customers may not order or enjoy higher priced items and discretionary spending on private dining events may decrease. We believe select price increases have not historically adversely impacted customer traffic; however, we expect that there is a price level at which point customer traffic would be adversely affected. It is also possible that these changes could cause our sales volume to decrease. If we are not able to increase our sales at existing locations for any reason, our

profitability and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We are dependent on our intellectual property to sustain our branding and differentiation strategies. The failure to enforce and maintain our intellectual property rights could enable others to use names confusingly similar to the names and marks used by our restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations, which could adversely affect the value of our brands.

We have registered, or have applications pending to register or have exclusive rights to utilize, the trademark STK with the United States Patent and Trademark Office and in certain foreign countries. In addition, we have the exclusive right to utilize the trademark Asellina in connection with restaurant services within the United States. The success of our business depends in part on our continued ability to utilize our existing trade names, trademarks and service marks as currently used in order to increase our brand awareness. In that regard, we believe that our trade names, trademarks and service marks are valuable assets that are critical to our success. The unauthorized use or other misappropriation of our trade names, trademarks or service marks could diminish the value of our brands and restaurant and food and beverage hospitality service concepts and may cause a decline in our revenues and force us to incur costs related to enforcing our rights. In addition, the use of trade names, trademarks or service marks similar to ours in some markets may keep us from entering those markets. While we may take protective actions with respect to our intellectual property, these actions may not be sufficient to prevent, and we may not be aware of all incidents of, unauthorized usage or imitation by others. Any such unauthorized usage or imitation of our intellectual property, including the costs related to enforcing our rights, could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Further, each of our marks is pledged as collateral securing its credit facility with BankUnited (formerly Herald National Bank). Default under that agreement could enable BankUnited to sell (at auction or otherwise) our trademarks, which would have a material adverse effect on our ability to continue our business. We have been in technical default under the credit facility but the lender has waived such past defaults. There can be no assurance that we will continue to receive waivers from the lender under our credit facility for any future defaults.

Some of our concepts are new and may not gain customer loyalty.

We have recently introduced the Asellina concept. There can be no assurance that this concept will enjoy broad consumer acceptance or that we will be able to successfully develop and grow this or any other new concepts to a point where they will become profitable or generate positive cash flow or prove to be a platform for future expansion. We may not be able to attract enough customers to meet targeted levels of performance at new restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations because potential customers may be unfamiliar with our concepts or the atmosphere or menu might not appeal to them. Restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations that are new in concept may even operate at a loss, which could have a material adverse effect on our overall operating results. In addition, opening a new concept such as Asellina in an existing market could reduce the revenue of our existing locations in that market. If we cannot successfully execute our growth strategies for new concepts or if customer traffic generated by new concepts results in a decline in customer traffic at one of our other locations in the same market, our business and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Due to the seasonality of our business, our operating results may fluctuate significantly and these fluctuations make it more difficult for us to predict accurately or in a timely manner factors that may have a negative impact on our business.

Our business is subject to seasonal fluctuations that may vary greatly depending upon the region in which a particular restaurant or food and beverage hospitality services operation is located. These fluctuations can make it more difficult for us to predict accurately or address in a timely manner factors that may have a negative impact on our business. Accordingly, results for any one quarter or fiscal year are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any other quarter or for any year.

If our advertising and marketing programs are unsuccessful in maintaining or driving increased customer traffic or are ineffective in comparison to those of our competitors, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

We conduct ongoing promotion-based brand awareness advertising campaigns. If these programs are not successful or conflict with evolving customer preferences, we may not increase or maintain our customer traffic and will incur expenses without the benefit of higher revenues. In addition, if our competitors increase their spending on marketing and advertising programs, or develop more effective campaigns, this could have a negative effect on our brand relevance, customer traffic and results of operations.

Negative customer experiences or negative publicity surrounding our locations or other restaurants or venues could adversely affect sales in one or more of our locations and make our brands less valuable.

The quality of our food and our facilities are two of our competitive strengths. Therefore, adverse publicity, whether or not accurate, relating to food quality, public health concerns, illness, safety, injury or government or industry findings concerning our locations, venues operated by other foodservice providers or others across the food industry supply chain could affect us more than it would other venues that compete primarily on price or other factors. If customers perceive or experience a reduction in our food quality, service or ambiance or in any way believe we have failed to deliver a consistently positive experience, the value and popularity of one or more of our concepts could suffer. Any shifts in consumer preferences away from the kinds of food we offer, particularly beef, whether because of dietary or other health concerns or otherwise, would make our locations less appealing and could reduce customer traffic and/or impose practical limits on pricing.

Negative publicity relating to the consumption of beef, including in connection with food-borne illness, or shifts in consumer tastes, could result in reduced consumer demand for our menu offerings, which could reduce sales.

Our success depends, in large part, upon the popularity of our menu offerings. Instances of food-borne illness, including Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy, which is also known as BSE or mad cow disease, aphthous fever, which is also known as hoof and mouth disease, as well as hepatitis A, lysteria, salmonella and e-coli, whether or not found the United States or traced directly to one of our suppliers or our locations, could reduce demand for our menu offerings. Any negative publicity relating to these and other health-related matters, or any other shifts in consumer preferences away from the kinds of food we offer, particularly beef, whether because of dietary or other health concerns or otherwise, may affect consumers' perceptions of our locations and the food that we offer, reduce customer visits to our locations and negatively impact demand for our menu offerings. Adverse publicity relating to any of these matters, beef in general or other similar concerns could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Increases in the prices of, and/or reductions in the availability of commodities, primarily beef, could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our profitability depends in part on our ability to anticipate and react to changes in commodity costs, which have a substantial effect on our total costs. For example purchases of beef represented approximately 30% of our food and beverage costs during each of 2010, 2011 and 2012, and we may not purchase beef pursuant to any long-term contractual arrangements with fixed pricing or use futures contracts or other financial risk management strategies to reduce our exposure to potential price fluctuations. The market for beef is subject to extreme price fluctuations due to seasonal shifts, climate conditions, the price of feed, industry demand, energy demand and other factors. For example, during 2011 and 2012, beef costs were impacted by (i) the summer drought in Texas and Oklahoma, (ii) the price of corn, (iii) the entrance of major supermarkets into the USDA choice beef market and (iv) new free trade agreements increasing exports. Although we currently do not engage in futures contracts or other financial risk management

strategies with respect to potential price fluctuations, from time to time, we may opportunistically enter into fixed price beef supply contracts or contracts for other food products or consider other risk management strategies with regard to our meat and other food costs to minimize the impact of potential price fluctuations. This practice could help stabilize our food costs during times of fluctuating prices, although there can be no assurances that this will occur. The prices of other commodities can affect our costs as well, including corn and other grains, which are ingredients we use regularly and are also used as cattle feed and therefore affect the price of beef. Energy prices can also affect our bottom line, as increased energy prices may cause increased transportation costs for beef and other supplies, as well as increased costs for the utilities required to run each location. Historically we have passed increased commodity and other costs on to our customers by increasing the prices of our menu items. While we believe these price increases did not historically affect our customer traffic, there can be no assurance additional price increases would not affect future customer traffic. If prices increase in the future and we are unable to anticipate or mitigate these increases, or if there are shortages for beef, our business and results of operations would be adversely affected.

We depend upon frequent deliveries of food, alcohol and other supplies, which subjects us to the possible risks of shortages, interruptions and price fluctuations.

Our ability to maintain consistent quality throughout our locations depends in part upon our ability to acquire fresh products, including beef, fresh seafood, quality produce and related items from reliable sources in accordance with our specifications. While we purchase our food products from a variety of suppliers and believe there to be multiple sources for our food products, if there were to occur any shortages, interruptions or significant price fluctuations in beef or seafood or if our suppliers were unable to perform adequately or fail to distribute products or supplies to our restaurants, or terminate or refuse to renew any contract with us, this could cause a short-term increase of our costs or cause us to remove certain items from a menu, increase the price of certain offerings or temporarily close a location, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

In addition, we purchase beer, wine and spirits from distributors, such as Southern Wine & Spirits and Republic National Distributing Company, who own the exclusive rights to sell such alcoholic beverage products in the geographic areas in which our locations reside. Our continued ability to purchase certain brands of alcohol beverages depends upon maintaining our relationships with those distributors, of which there can be no assurance. In the event any of our alcohol beverage distributors cease to supply us, we may be forced to offer brands of alcoholic beverage which have less consumer appeal or which do not match the brand image of our locations, which could increase our costs and our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We depend on the services of key executives, and our business and growth strategy could be materially harmed if we were to lose these and executives and were unable to replace them with executives of equal experience and capabilities. We will require additional senior personnel to support growth.

Some of our senior executives, such as Jonathan Segal, our Chief Executive Officer, and Sam Goldfinger, our Chief Financial Officer, are particularly important to our success because they have been instrumental in setting our strategic direction, operating our business, identifying, recruiting and training key personnel, identifying expansion opportunities and arranging necessary financing. We also plan to hire additional senior management personnel, including a new Chief Operating Officer, in order to support our planned growth. We currently have employment agreements with Messrs. Segal and Goldfinger, however we cannot prevent our executives from terminating their employment with us. Losing the services of any of these individuals could adversely affect our business. We also believe that our senior executives could not quickly be replaced with executives of equal experience and capabilities and their successors may not be as effective. We currently maintain a \$5,000,000 key person life insurance policy on Jonathan Segal and in the event of Mr. Segal's death the proceeds from such policy are payable to us.

We will need additional human and financial resources to sustain growth and the strain on our infrastructure and resources could delay the opening of new locations and adversely affect our ability to manage our existing locations.

We plan to continue our current pace of growth, including the development and promotion principally of STK. We believe there are opportunities to open three to five locations (restaurants and/or food and beverage hospitality services operations) annually, with new openings of STK likely serving as the key driver of new unit growth in the near term. In addition to new openings, we also may, among other things, add additional seating to our existing locations, further grow our private dining business, enclose outdoor space and add patio seating to our locations. This growth and these investments will increase our operating complexity and place increased demands on our management and human resources, purchasing and site management teams. While we have committed significant resources to expanding our current management systems, financial and management controls and information systems in connection with our recent growth, if this infrastructure is insufficient to support this expansion, our ability to open new locations, including the development and promotion of STK and to manage our existing locations, including the expansion of our private dining business, would be adversely affected. If we fail to continue to improve our infrastructure or if our improved infrastructure fails, we may be unable to implement our growth strategy or maintain current levels of operating performance in our existing locations.

Restaurant and hospitality companies have been the target of class action lawsuits and other proceedings alleging, among other things, violations of federal and state workplace and employment laws. Proceedings of this nature, if successful, could result in our payment of substantial damages.

In recent years restaurant and hospitality companies have been subject to lawsuits (including class actions) alleging, among other things, violations of federal and state laws regarding workplace and employment matters, discrimination and similar matters. A number of these lawsuits have resulted in the payment of substantial damages by the defendants. Similar lawsuits have been instituted from time to time alleging violations of various federal and state wage and hour laws regarding, among other things, employee meal deductions, the sharing of tips amongst certain employees, overtime eligibility of assistant managers and failure to pay for all hours worked. Although we maintain what we believe to be adequate levels of insurance commensurate with the nature and extent of our operations, insurance may not be available at all or in sufficient amounts to cover any liabilities with respect to these matters. Accordingly, if we are required to pay substantial damages and expenses as a result of these types or other lawsuits our business and results of operations would be adversely affected.

Occasionally, our customers file complaints or lawsuits against us alleging that we are responsible for some illness or injury they suffered at or after a visit to one of our locations, including actions seeking damages resulting from food borne illness and relating to notices with respect to chemicals contained in food products required under state law. We are also subject to a variety of other claims from third parties arising in the ordinary course of our business, including personal injury claims, contract claims and claims alleging violations of federal and state laws. In addition, our restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations are subject to state "dram shop" or similar laws which generally allow a person to sue us if that person was injured by a legally intoxicated person who was wrongfully

served alcoholic beverages at one of our locations. The restaurant and hospitality industry has also been subject to a growing number of claims that the menus and actions of restaurant chains have led to the obesity of certain of their customers. In addition, we may also be subject to lawsuits from our employees or others alleging violations of federal and state laws regarding workplace and employment matters, discrimination and similar matters. A number of these lawsuits have resulted in the payment of substantial damages by the defendants.

Regardless of whether any claims against us are valid or whether we are liable, claims may be expensive to defend and may divert time and money away from our operations. In addition, they may generate negative publicity, which could reduce customer traffic and sales. Although we maintain what we believe to be adequate levels of insurance, insurance may not be available at all or in sufficient amounts to cover any liabilities with respect to these or other matters. A judgment or other liability in excess of our insurance coverage for any claims or any adverse publicity resulting from claims could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our business is subject to substantial government regulation and we require current permits in order to operate. Failure to obtain and maintain the necessary permits in any of our locations could cause a material adverse effect on their ability to operate and generate revenue.

Our business is subject to extensive federal, state and local government regulation, including regulations related to the preparation and sale of food, the sale of alcoholic beverages, the sale and use of tobacco, zoning and building codes, land use and employee, health, sanitation and safety matters. For example, the preparation, storing and serving of food and the use of certain ingredients is subject to heavy regulation. Alcoholic beverage control regulations govern various aspects of our locations' daily operations, including the minimum age of patrons and employees, hours of operation, advertising, wholesale purchasing and inventory control, handling and storage. Typically our locations' licenses to sell alcoholic beverages must be renewed annually and may be suspended or revoked at any time for cause. In addition, because we operate in a number of different states, we are also required to comply with a number of different laws covering the same topics. The failure of any of our locations to timely obtain and maintain necessary governmental approvals, including liquor or other licenses, permits or approvals required to serve alcoholic beverages or food could delay or prevent the opening of a new location or prevent regular day-to-day operations, including the sale of alcoholic beverages, at a location that is already operating, any of which would adversely affect our business and results of operations.

In addition, the costs of operating our locations may increase if there are changes in laws governing minimum hourly wages, working conditions, overtime and tip credits, health care, workers' compensation insurance rates, unemployment tax rates, sales taxes or other laws and regulations such as those governing access for the disabled, including the Americans with Disabilities Act. For example, the Federal Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, or PPACA, which was enacted on March 23, 2010, among other things, includes guaranteed coverage requirements and imposes new taxes on health insurers and health care benefits that could increase the costs of providing health benefits to employees. In addition, because we have a significant number of locations that reside in certain states, regulatory changes in these states could have a disproportionate impact on our business. If any of the foregoing increased costs and we were unable to offset the change by increasing our menu prices or by other means, our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Government regulation can also affect customer traffic at our locations. A number of states, counties and cities have enacted menu labeling laws requiring multi-unit restaurant operators to disclose certain nutritional information. For example, the PPACA establishes a uniform, federal requirement for restaurant chains with 20 or more locations operating under the same trade name and offering substantially the same menus to post nutritional information on their menus, including the total number of calories. The law also requires such restaurants to provide to consumers, upon request, a written summary of detailed nutritional information, including total calories and calories from fat, total fat, saturated fat, cholesterol, sodium, total carbohydrates, complex carbohydrates, sugars, dietary fiber, and total protein in each serving size or other unit of measure, for each standard menu item. The FDA is also permitted to require additional nutrient disclosures, such as trans-fat content. We are not currently subject to requirements to post nutritional information on our menus or in our locations though there can be no assurance that we will not become subject to these requirements in the future. The publication of the final rules has been delayed and the FDA has not provided an expected date for their publication. Our compliance with the PPACA or other similar laws to which we

may become subject could reduce demand for our menu offerings, reduce customer traffic and/or reduce average revenue per customer, which would have an adverse effect on our revenue. Also, further government regulation restricting smoking in restaurants and bars, may reduce customer traffic. Any reduction in customer traffic related to these or other government regulations could affect revenues and adversely affect our business and results of operations.

We are also subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations concerning waste disposal, pollution, protection of the environment, and the presence, discharge, storage, handling, release and disposal of, and exposure to, hazardous or toxic substances. These environmental laws provide for significant fines and penalties for noncompliance and liabilities for remediation, sometimes without regard to whether the owner or operator of the property knew of, or was responsible for, the release or presence of hazardous toxic substances. Third parties may also make claims against owners or operators of properties for personal injuries and property damage associated with releases of, or actual or alleged exposure to, such hazardous or toxic substances at, on or from our locations. Environmental conditions relating to releases of hazardous substances at prior, existing or future locations could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. Further, environmental laws, and the administration, interpretation and enforcement thereof, are subject to change and may become more stringent in the future, each of which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

To the extent that governmental regulations impose new or additional obligations on our suppliers, including, without limitation, regulations relating to the inspection or preparation of meat, food and other products used in our business, product availability could be limited and the prices that our suppliers charge us could increase. We may not be able to offset these costs through increased menu prices, which could have a material adverse effect on our business. If any of our restaurants were unable to serve particular food products, even for a short period of time, or if we are unable to offset increased costs, our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We could face labor shortages that could slow our growth and adversely impact our ability to operate our locations.

Our success depends in part upon our ability to attract, motivate and retain a sufficient number of qualified employees, including managers, kitchen staff and servers, necessary to keep pace with our anticipated expansion schedule and meet the needs of our existing locations. A sufficient number of qualified individuals of the requisite caliber to fill these positions may be in short supply in some communities. Competition in these communities for qualified staff could require us to pay higher wages and provide greater benefits. Any inability to recruit and retain qualified individuals may also delay the planned openings of new restaurants and could adversely impact our existing locations. Any such inability to retain or recruit qualified employees, increased costs of attracting qualified employees or delays in location openings could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Changes to minimum wage laws could increase our labor costs substantially.

Under the minimum wage laws in most jurisdictions, we are permitted to pay certain hourly employees a wage that is less than the base minimum wage for general employees because these employees receive tips as a substantial part of their income. As of December 25, 2012, approximately 30% of our employees earn this lower minimum wage in their respective locations since tips constitute a substantial part of their income. If cities, states or the federal government change their laws to require all employees to be paid the general employee minimum base wage regardless of supplemental tip income, our labor costs would increase substantially. In addition, President Obama has called for an

increase in the federal minimum wage to at least \$9.00 per hour, which, if passed into law, would increase our costs. Certain states in which we operate restaurants have adopted or are considering adopting minimum wage statutes that exceed the federal minimum wage as well. We may be unable or unwilling to increase our prices in order to pass these increased labor costs on to our customers, in which case, our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We occupy most of our restaurants and some of our food and beverage hospitality services locations under long-term non-cancelable leases under which we may remain obligated to perform even if we close those operations, and we may be unable to renew leases at the end of their terms.

Most of our restaurants and some of our food and beverage hospitality operations are located in premises that we lease. Many of our current leases are non-cancelable and typically have terms ranging from 10 to 15 years with renewal options for terms ranging from 5 to 10 years. We believe that leases that we enter into in the future will be on substantially similar terms. If we were to close or fail to open a restaurant or other venue at a location we lease, we would generally remain committed to perform our obligations under the applicable lease, which could include, among other things, payment of the base rent for the balance of the lease term. Our obligation to continue making rental payments and fulfilling other lease obligations in respect of leases for closed or unopened restaurants could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Alternatively, at the end of the lease term and any renewal period for a restaurant, we may be unable to renew the lease without substantial additional cost, if at all. If we cannot renew such a lease we may be forced to close or relocate a restaurant, which could subject us to construction and other costs and risks.

Fixed rental payments and/or minimum percentage rent payments account for a significant portion of our operating expenses, which increases our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions and could limit our operating and financing flexibility.

Fixed payments and/or minimum percentage rent payments under our operating leases and management agreements account for a significant portion of our operating expenses and we expect the new locations we open in the future will contain similar terms. Our substantial operating lease obligations could have significant negative consequences, including:

- increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- 4 imiting our ability to obtain additional financing;
- requiring a substantial portion of our available cash flow to be applied to our rental obligations, thus reducing cash available for other purposes;
- limiting our flexibility in planning for or reacting to changes in our business or the industry in which we compete; and
- placing us at a disadvantage with respect to some of our competitors.

We depend on cash flow from operations to pay our obligations and to fulfill our other cash needs. If our business does not generate sufficient cash flow from operating activities and sufficient funds are not otherwise available to us from borrowings under our credit facility or other sources, we may not be able to meet our operating lease and management agreement obligations, grow our business, respond to competitive challenges or fund our other liquidity and capital needs, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

The impact of negative economic factors, including the availability of credit, on our landlords or the hotels, resorts or casinos in which some of our restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations are located, could negatively affect our financial results.

Negative effects on our existing and potential landlords due to the inaccessibility of credit and other unfavorable economic factors may, in turn, adversely affect our business and results of operations. If our landlords are unable to obtain financing or remain in good standing under their existing financing arrangements, they may be unable to provide construction contributions or satisfy other lease covenants to us. If any landlord files for bankruptcy protection, the landlord may be able to reject our lease in the bankruptcy proceedings. While we would under some circumstances have the option to retain our rights under the lease, we could not compel the landlord to perform any of its obligations and would be left with damages (which are subject to collectability risk) as our sole recourse. In addition, if the sites within which our co-located restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations are located are unable to obtain sufficient credit to continue to properly manage their sites, we may experience a drop in the level of quality of such sites. Our development of new locations may also be adversely affected by the negative financial situations of potential developers, landlords and host sites. Such parties may delay or cancel development projects or renovations of existing projects due to the instability in the credit markets and recent declines in consumer spending. This could reduce the number of high-quality locations available that we would consider for our new operations or cause the quality of the sites in which the restaurants and food and beverage hospitality services operations are located to deteriorate. Any of these developments could have an adverse effect on our existing businesses or cause us to curtail new projects.

Our current credit facility requires that we comply with certain affirmative and negative covenants and provides for a pledge of all of our assets to secure our obligations. Failure to comply with the terms of the credit agreement could result in a negative adverse impact on our ability to maintain or expand our business.

We are party to a credit agreement dated as of October 31, 2011, as amended (the "Credit Agreement") with BankUnited (formerly Herald National Bank). The Credit Agreement contains a number of significant restrictive covenants that generally limit our ability to, among other things:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- issue guarantees;
- make investments;
- use assets as security in other transactions or create any other liens;
- sell assets or merge with or into other companies;
- make capital expenditures in excess of specified amounts;
- enter into transactions with affiliates;
- sell equity or other ownership interests in our subsidiaries; and
- ereate or permit restrictions on our subsidiaries' ability to make payments to us.

Our Credit Agreement limits our ability to engage in these types of transactions even if we believed that a specific transaction would contribute to our future growth or improve our operating results. Our Credit Agreement also requires us to achieve specified financial and operating results and maintain compliance with specified financial ratios. To date, we have either been in compliance with these tests or such compliance has been waived by our lender. There can be no assurance that we will continue to receive waivers from the lender under our credit facility for any future defaults. Our ability to comply with these provisions may be affected by events beyond our control. A breach of any of these provisions or our inability to comply with required financial ratios in our Credit Agreement could result in a default under the Credit Agreement in which case the lenders will have the right to declare all borrowings to be immediately due and payable. If we are unable to repay all borrowings when due, whether at maturity or if declared due and payable following a default, the lenders would have the right to proceed against the collateral granted to secure the indebtedness which consists of substantially all of our assets. If we breach these covenants or fail to comply with the terms of the Credit Agreement, and the lenders accelerate the amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement our business and results of operations would be adversely affected.

| | \$ 50 |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Net loss for period | \$ - \$ 4,950 \$ - \$ 5,000 |
| Balance, December 31, 2000 | (35) (35) 9,375,000 50 |
| Issuance of common stock, April 2001 | 4,950 (35) 4,965 5,718,750 30 |
| Net loss for year | 15,220 15,250 |

| D. L. 21 2001 | (16,902) (16,902) |
|--|----------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2001 | 15,093,750 80 |
| | - |
| | 20,170 |
| Net loss for year | (16,937) 3,313 |
| Net loss for year | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | (14,878) |
| Delance December 21, 2002 | (14,878) |
| Balance, December 31, 2002 | 15,093,750 |
| | 80 |
| | - |
| | 20,170 |
| | (31,815) |
| | (11,565) |
| Issuance of common stock for services: | |
| July 2003 | |
| | 2,125,000 11 |
| | 424,989 |
| | 425,000 |
| | , |
| August 2003 | 300,000 |
| | 2 |
| | 14,998 |
| | 15,000 |
| | 13,000 |

38

| September 2003 | 1,000,000 |
|---|----------------------------|
| | 5 |
| | 49,995 |
| | 50,000 |
| October 2003 | 1,550,000 8 |
| | 619,992 |
| Jacobson of common stock for licensing rights | 620,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for licensing rights | 14,000,000 74 |
| | 2,099,926 |
| Common stock issuable for licensing rights | 2,100,000 |
| | 2,000,000 |
| | 11 299,989 |
| | 300,000 |
| Shares cancelled on September 30, 2003 | (9,325,000) (49) |
| | 49 |
| Not loss for year | - |
| Net loss for year | |
| | |
| | |
| Polomos Docombon 21, 2002 | (3,662,745) (3,662,745) |
| Balance, December 31, 2003 | 24,743,750 131 |
| | 2,000,000 11 |
| | |

| Edgar Filling. Commit TED OAT TTAE ACQUISITION COLD - FORTIT CO AM | |
|--|----------------|
| | 3,530,108 |
| | (3,694,560) |
| Issuance of common stock for services: | (164,310) |
| March 2004 | |
| | 1,652,300 9 |
| | 991,371 |
| | 991,380 |
| May 2004 | |
| | 500,000 |
| | 514,997 |
| | 515,000 |
| July 2004 | |
| | 159,756 1 |
| | 119,694 |
| | 119,695 |
| August 2004 | |
| | 100,000 1 |
| | 70,999 |
| | 71,000 |
| October 2004 | |
| | 732,400 4 |
| | 479,996 |
| | 480,000 |
| November 2004 | |
| | 650,000 4 |
| | 454,996 |
| | 40 |
| | |

| | 455,000 |
|---|----------------------------|
| December 2004 | 255,000 |
| | 233,000 |
| | 164,425 |
| Common stock issuable for AFGP license | 164,426 |
| | 1,000,000 |
| | 5 709,995 |
| | 710,000 |
| Common stock issuable for Recaf License | |
| | 400,000 |
| | 223,998 |
| Warrants granted (for 3,450,000 shares) for services, | 224,000 |
| October 2004 | |
| | 1,716,253 |
| | 1,716,253 |
| Options granted for services, October 2004 | |
| | 212,734 |
| Stock subscriptions receivable | 212,734 |
| | 1,800,000 |
| | 10 329,990 (220,000) |
| | (330,000) |
| Warrants exercised: | - |
| | - |
| August 2004 | |
| | 50,000 |

| | 15,000 |
|---|------------------------------|
| | 15,000 |
| October 2004 | |
| | 600,000 |
| | 600,000 |
| | 134,997 |
| | 135,000 |
| December 2004 | |
| | 1,000,000 |
| | 5 |
| | 224,995 |
| Options exercised, December 2004 | 225,000 |
| options energiaed, December 2001 | 100.000 |
| | 100,000 1 |
| | 29,999 |
| | 30,000 |
| Net loss for period | |
| | (6,368,030) |
| D.1. D. 1. 21.2004 | (6,368,030) |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 28,793,206 |
| | \$ 154 6,950,000 |
| | \$ 37 |
| | \$ 9,924,547 \$ (330,000) |
| | \$ (10,062,590) |
| | \$ (467,852) |
| Issuance of stock subscriptions receivable | |
| | \$ 240,000 |
| | 240,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for licensing rights | |
| | 2,000,000 11 |
| | (2,000,000) |

| Edgar Filling. Colvilvin TED CAFTTAL ACQUISITION COIP - FUITH FOS AN | 1 |
|--|---------------------|
| | (11) |
| Issuance of stock for warrants exercised | - |
| | 2,050,000 10 |
| | (2,050,000) (10) |
| Options exercised, | - |
| February 2005 | |
| | 35,000 |
| | 1 10,499 |
| | 10,500 |
| May 2005 | 200,000 |
| | 1 |
| | 59,999 |
| Note payable conversion, February 2005 | 60,000 |
| The second secon | 285,832 |
| | 1 85,749 |
| | |
| Issuance of common stock for Note payable conversion | 85,750 |
| April 2005 | 205.022 |
| | 285,832 |
| | (285,832) (1) |
| | - |
| May 2005 | 353,090 |
| | 2 |
| | 105,925 |
| | 105,927 |
| | |

43

| Issuance of common stock for AFGP license | 1,000,000 |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| | 5 (1,000,000) (5) |
| Issuance of common stock for stock subscriptions received | 1,400,000 6 (1,400,000) (6) |
| | 90,000 |
| Issuance of stock for options exercised | 90,000 |
| | 135,000 2 (135,000) (2) |
| Issuance of common stock for services: | - |
| April 2005 | 30,000 1 |
| | 14,999 |
| | 15,000 |
| May 2005 | 3,075,000 15 |
| | 3,320,985 |
| | 3,321,000 |
| June 2005 | 50,000 1 |
| | 50,499 |
| | 50,500 |
| August 2005 | (250,000) |

(1) (257,499)(257,500) August 2005 111,111 (92,593)(1) 15,000 15,000 October 2005 36,233 (36,233)(1) November 2005 November 2005 311,725 (245,000) (1) 36,249 36,250 December 2005 1,220,000 756,392 756,400 Common stock issuable for services rendered June 2005 200,000 149,999

| | 150,000 |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| August 2005 | |
| | 36,233 1 |
| | 21,739 |
| | 21,740 |
| September 2005 | |
| | 125,000 |
| | 1 74,999 |
| | 75,000 |
| September 2005(Proteocell) | |
| | 100,000 |
| | 1 57,999 |
| | 58,000 |
| December 2005 | |
| | 120,968 |
| | 1 74,999 |
| | 75,000 |
| Net loss for the year | 75,000 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | (4,826,540) (4,826,540) |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 40,801,197 |
| | \$ 220 608,375 |
| | \$ 6 \$14,503,079 |
| | \$ - \$ (14,889,130) |
| | \$ (385,825) |

| February 2006 private placement (issued June 2006) | |
|--|----------------|
| | 900,000 5 |
| | 352,142 |
| Werrents granted from private placement (450,000) | 352,147 |
| Warrants granted from private placement (450,000) | 97,853 |
| | 97,853 |
| Issuance of common stock for Note payable conversion | 71,000 |
| 255 mario e de commente de la company mente de | 529,279 3 |
| | 158,780 |
| | 158,783 |
| Issuance of common stock for services: | |
| February/March 2006 services | |
| | 20,000 1 |
| | 10,499 |
| | 10,500 |
| March 2006 | 166,359 |
| | 1 (108,375) |
| | (1) 36,750 |
| | 36,750 |
| April 2006 | (1,200,000) |
| | (6) |
| | 6 |
| | - |
| May 2006 | 1,266,278 |
| | |

| 3 | · • |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| | 7 (70,000) |
| | (70,000) (1) |
| | 792,750 |
| | 792,756 |
| June 2006 | 27.056 |
| | 27,056 |
| | 1,200,000 6 |
| | 718,244 |
| | 718,250 |
| July 2006 | 1 200 000 |
| | 1,200,000 6 |
| | (1,200,000) (6) |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| August 2006 | |
| August 2006 | 100,000 |
| | 1 |
| | 64,999 |
| | 65,000 |
| September 2006 | 260.004 |
| | 369,984 2 |
| | (50,000) |
| | 209,998 |
| | 210,000 |
| November 2006 | 100.000 |
| | 100,000 1 |
| | 48,999 |
| | 49,000 |
| December 2006 | 72,000 |
| December 2006 | 7,000 |
| | 48 |
| | |

| | 3,010 |
|---|-------------------|
| W 1 (C. 700.000.1 .) C | 3,010 |
| Warrants issued (for 700,000 shares) for services | |
| | 58,658 |
| | 58,658 |
| Net loss for the period | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | (1,967,633) |
| | (1,967,633) |
| Balance, December 31, 2006 | |
| | 44,267,153 240 |
| | 400,000 |
| | 5 17,055,767 |
| | (16,856,763) |
| | 199,249 |
| Issuance of common stock for services: | |
| January 2007 | |
| | 218,834 1 |
| | |
| | 119,999 |
| | 120,000 |
| March 2007 | |
| | 104,652 1 |
| | 44,999 |
| | |
| | 45,000 |
| April 2007 | 187,500 |
| | 187,300 |
| | |

| | 74,999 |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| | 75,000 |
| | 73,000 |
| June 2007 | 110 500 |
| | 112,500 |
| | 45,000 |
| | 45,000 |
| | -, |
| Net loss for the period | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | \$ (546,586) |
| | (546,586) |
| | 44,890,639 |
| | 242 |
| | 400,000 |
| | 17 240 764 |
| | 17,340,764 |
| | (17,403,349) |
| | (62,337) |
| | |

See Notes to Financial Statements

PROTOKINETIX, INCORPORATED

(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and for the Period From December 23, 1999 (Date of Inception) to June 30, 2007

| | · · · · · · · / | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| (Unaudited) | | | | | | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 | Cumulative During the Development Stage | | | |
| Net loss for period | \$ (546,586) | \$ (1.804.359) | \$(17,403,349) | | | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash | (340,300) | Ψ (1,001,337) | ψ(17,103,317) | | | |
| provided by (used in) operating activities | | | | | | |
| Depreciation expense | 509 | \$ 509 | 2,453 | | | |
| Issuance of common stock for | 309 | y 309 | 2,433 | | | |
| services | | | | | | |
| and expenses | 285,000 | 1,593,716 | 13,785,815 | | | |
| Warrants issued for consulting services | _ | _ | 1,716,253 | | | |
| Stock options issued for consulting services | | | 212,734 | | | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | - | _ | 212,734 | | | |
| Accounts receivable | - | (27,610) | (6,391) | | | |
| Prepaid expenses | 135,200 | 6,000 | (304,800) | | | |
| Due to outside management consultants | (1) | _ | 306,891 | | | |
| Accounts payable | 29,381 | 64,366 | 136,356 | | | |
| Accrued interest payable | , | (36,294) | 36,294 | | | |
| Net cash flows used in | | | · | | | |
| operating activities Cash Flows from Investing Activities | (96,497) | (203,672) | (1,517,744) | | | |
| Purchase of computer equipment | - | - | (3,388) | | | |
| Net cash flows used in investing | | | | | | |
| activities | - | _ | (3,388) | | | |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | () / | | | |
| Warrants exercised | | - | 705,000 | | | |
| Stock options exercised | | - | 100,500 | | | |
| Issuance of common stock and | | | | | | |
| warrants for cash | | 450,000 | 470,250 | | | |
| Loan proceeds | | - | 315,000 | | | |

Edgar Filing: COMMITTED CAPITAL ACQUISITION Corp - Form POS AM

| Net cash flows provided by (used in) | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| financing | | | |
| activities | - | 450,000 | 1,590,750 |
| Net change in cash | (96,497) | 246,328 | 69,618 |
| Cash, beginning of period | 166,115 | 96,571 | |
| Cash, end of period | \$ 69,618 | \$ 342,899 | \$ 69,618 |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 12,703 | \$ - | \$ 12,703 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| | | | |
| Suppplementary information - | | | |
| Non-cash Transactions: | | | |
| Common stock issuable for | | | |
| acquisition of intangible assets | - | - | 934,000 |
| Stock subscriptions received | | - | 90,000 |
| Note payable and interest | | | |
| converted to common stock | 58,658 | | 350,460 |
| | | | |

See Notes to Financial Statements

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

ProtoKinetix, Incorporated (the "Company"), a development stage company, was incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada on December 23, 1999. The Company is a medical research company whose mission is the advancement of human health care.

In 2003, the Company entered into an assignment of license agreement (the "Agreement") with BioKinetix, Inc., an Alberta, Canada, corporation. The Agreement provided the Company with an exclusive assignment of all of the rights (the "Rights") that BioKinetix possessed relating to two proprietary technologies that are being developed for the creation and commercialization of "superantibodies," an enhancement of antibody technology that makes ordinary antibodies much more lethal. In consideration, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the Company to issue 16,000,000 shares of its common stock to the shareholders of BioKinetix.

The Company is also currently researching the benefits and feasibility of proprietary synthesized Antifreeze Glycoproteins ("AFGP"). In preliminary studies, AFGP has demonstrated an ability to protect and preserve human cells at temperatures below freezing.

Interim Period Financial Statements

The interim period financial statements have been prepared by the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Certain information and footnote disclosure normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such SEC rules and regulations. The interim period financial statements should be read together with the audited financial statements and accompanying notes included in the Company's audited financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. In the opinion of the Company, the unaudited financial statements contained herein contain all adjustments (consisting of a normal recurring nature) necessary to present a fair statement of the results of the interim periods presented.

Going Concern

As shown in the financial statements, the Company has not developed a commercially viable product, has not generated any revenues to date and has incurred losses since inception, resulting in a net accumulated deficit at June 30, 2007. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

The Company needs additional working capital to continue its medical research or to be successful in any future business activities and continue to pay its liabilities. Therefore, continuation of the Company as a going concern is dependent upon obtaining the additional working capital necessary to accomplish its objective. Management is presently engaged in seeking additional working capital.

The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments to the recorded assets or liabilities that might be necessary should the Company fail in any of the above objectives and is unable to operate for the coming year.

Earnings per Share

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding in the period. The Company's stock split 1:75 on August 24, 2001. In April 2002, the Board of Directors approved a 2.5 for 1 split of the Company's stock. The accompanying financial statements are presented on a post-split basis. The loss per share for the periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, have been adjusted accordingly. Diluted earnings per share takes into consideration common shares of outstanding (computed under basic earnings per share) and potentially dilutive securities. The effect of debt convertible into common shares was not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for all periods presented because it was anti-dilutive due to the Company's losses. Common stock issuable is considered outstanding as of the original approval date for purposes of earnings per share computations.

Stock Based Compensation

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based awards under the intrinsic value method, which followed the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", and related Interpretations. The intrinsic value method of accounting resulted in compensation expense for stock options to the extent that the exercise prices were set below the fair market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant.

As of January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified prospective method, which requires measurement of compensation cost for all stock-based awards at fair value on the date of grant and recognition of compensation over the service period for awards expected to vest. The fair value of stock options is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model, which is consistent with the Company's valuation techniques previously utilized for options in footnote disclosures required under SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation", as amended by SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure".

Since the Company did not issue stock options to employees during the six months ended March 30, 2007 or 2006, there is no effect on net loss or earnings per share had the Company applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) to stock-based employee compensation. When the Company issues shares of common stock to employees and others, the shares of common stock are valued based on the market price at the date the shares of common stock are approved for issuance.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainties in Income Taxes*, ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 is effective for financial statements as of January 1, 2007. The Company has not yet determined the impact of applying FIN 48.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* ("FAS 157"). FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements but does not require any new fair value measurements. FAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company has not yet determined the impact of applying FAS 157.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*, ("FAS 158"). FAS 158 requires an employer to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income. FAS 158 is effective for financial statements as of

December 31, 2006. The Company does not expect any material impact from applying FAS 158.

Note 2. Convertible Note Payable

On February 1, 2004, the Company executed a subscription agreement under which the Company issued to a corporation an 8% secured convertible note in exchange for \$315,000. The note is due February 1, 2006, and is convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at the lower of \$0.30 per share or 70% of the average of the three lowest trading prices for the 30 days prior to the conversion date. No beneficial conversion feature was applicable to this convertible note.

In April 2005, 285,832 common shares, in May 2005, 353,090 common shares and in May 2006, 529,279 common shares were issued in lieu of payment on this note and interest. The May 2006 payment of common stock repaid the balance owing on the note and all related interest.

Note 3. Discontinued Operations

In 2003, the Company signed the licensing agreement described in Note 1. This agreement changed the Company's business plan to that of a medical research company. Accordingly, the operating results related to the Company's research prior to the licensing agreement have been presented as discontinued operations in these financial statements for all periods presented.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATIONS

The below discussion is furnished in accordance with Item 303 of Regulation S-B.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes. Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of any contingent liabilities at the financial statement date and reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. We review our estimates and assumptions on an on-going basis. Our estimates are based on our historical experience and other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results are likely to differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions, but we do not believe such differences will materially affect our financial position or results of operations. Our critical accounting policies, the policies we believe are most important to the presentation of our financial statements and require the most difficult, subjective and complex judgments, are outlined below in "Critical Accounting Policies," and have not changed significantly.

In addition, certain statements made in this report may constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements involve known or unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the actual results, performance, or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Specifically, but not limited to, 1) our ability to obtain necessary regulatory approvals for our products; and 2) our ability to increase revenues and operating income, is dependent upon our ability to develop and sell our products, general economic

conditions, and other factors. You can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expects," "intends," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," "continues" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. We base these forward-looking statements on our expectations and projections about future events, which we derive from the information currently available to us. Such forward-looking statements relate to future events or our future performance. Although we believe that the expectations reflected-in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Forward-looking statements are only predictions. The forward-looking events discussed in this Quarterly Report, the documents to which we refer you, and other statements made from time to time by us or our representatives, may not occur, and actual events and results may differ materially and are subject to risks, uncertainties, and assumptions about us. For these statements, we claim the protection of the "bespeaks caution" doctrine. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date hereof, and we expressly disclaim any obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this filing.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our critical and significant accounting policies, including the assumptions and judgments underlying them, are disclosed in the Notes to the Financial Statements. These policies have been consistently applied in all material respects and address such matters as revenue recognition and depreciation methods. The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by accounting principles, generally accepted in the United States of America, with no need for management's judgment in their application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any viable alternative would not produce a materially different result. See our audited financial statements and notes thereto which contain accounting policies and other disclosures required by accounting principles, generally accepted in the United States of America.

Overview

We are a biotechnical company headquartered in Vancouver, British Columbia that owns the world-wide rights to a family of synthetic anti-freeze glycoproteins (trademarked by us as AAGPTM). We are dedicated to the commercial development of AAGPTM for use in human and veterinary medicine, food additives and supplements, and the biotechnology and cosmetic industry. We are making rapid and meaningful progress in this domain by coordinating a team of world recognized intellectual talent in a networked environment. This team has been able to use previously published research on native antifreeze proteins and antifreeze glycoproteins as a guide to the expansion and development of markets for this valuable family of molecules.

The ProtoKinetix business plan is based primarily on the furtherance of certain intellectual property rights obtained by way of "sub-licenses" of technology from other companies. At present, we have engaged the patent law firm of Cabinet-Moutard of Versaille, France, and have filed a number of international patent applications. These patent applications include:

WO 2004/014928 A2 (19 February 2004)

PCT Int. Appl. (2006), 87 pp. WO2006059227 A1 20060608 AN 2006:538719

Patent application: Fr 03 May 2006, 06 03952

Consistent with our agreements with the licensors of various technologies we license, we have no finished commercial product or products, and have received no final patents awards or FDA approvals for any product or diagnostic procedures. We are focused on the research and development of one primary compound known as AFGP, which we

have filed a trademark application for.

Employees

We currently have no full time employees.

Our Main Project

We are currently developing and testing synthetic antifreeze glycoproteins (AFGP). We have entered into agreements which give the exclusive right to develop products derived from patent pending technologies related to synthetic AFGPs. Our intellectual property rights were developed by Dr. Geraldine Castelot-Deliencourt.

Background on our AFGP Project

One of many accomplishments from pioneering research of the U.S. Antarctic Program was the discovery, in the early sixties, that fish living year-long in subzero temperature are extremely resistant to freezing. The substances that prevent these fish from freezing were isolated, characterized and designated as antifreeze glycoproteins or AFGP. Over the years, various kinds of AFGP were isolated from many species of fishes, and in some amphibians, plants and insects. All of the AFGPs share a common characteristic that prevents ice crystals from growing and connecting to each other. There has also been research done on the membrane stabilizing characteristics of native AFGP.

A review of the scientific literature will confirm that there has been a great deal of interest around the world in these natural antifreeze glycoproteins which are able to protect a great many creatures which are subjected to freezing temperatures. A further review will also confirm that the natural antifreeze is able to preserve mammalian cells tissue and organs. The metabolic rate in living cells is reduced as the temperature is lowered. Keeping cells and tissue at a low temperature enables their preservation for a longer time than cells can be preserved for at a higher temperature. Yet, when cells are exposed to sub zero temperatures, they are destroyed by the formation of ice crystals which disrupts the cell membrane.

Scientists have conducted many experiments in which they extracted naturally occurring AFGP from a variety of fish and then used these naturally occurring antifreeze glycoproteins to reduce the temperature at which ice crystals are formed. It has been determined in experiments by many scientists that mammalian cells in a solution containing natural AFGP could be successfully preserved at temperatures several degrees below zero Celsius. At this temperature the metabolic rate of the cells is very low, and these cells can be preserved for a longer period of time at sub zero temperatures as long as the cells are not destroyed by the formation of ice crystals. However, until today, applications of AFGP were limited since researchers were unable to produce sufficient quantities or stable enough copies of these antifreeze glycoproteins for commercial applications, and the use of naturally occurring compounds extracted from fish is too labor and cost-intensive to be practical.

Sugar based molecules have long been known to be biologically active. Yet, the oxygen-glycosidic link is readily cleaved by glycosidases, resulting in a low bio-availability of these glycoconjugate based molecules. Dr. Geraldine Castelot-Deliencourt, along with Dr. Jean-Charles Quirion at the Research Institute of Organic Chemistry in Rouen, France, has developed a patented process to stabilize the oxygen-glycosidic bond in these sugar based molecules. This patented process replaces the weaker oxygen bond with a C-F2 mimetic. The resultant molecules are biologically active, are stable over a pH range of 2 to 13, and are not broken down by glycosidases. It is by using this patented process that the active repeating segment of native antifreeze glycoproteins has been synthesized to produce the synthetic antifreeze glycoprotein molecules (AAGPTM). Protokinetix Inc. has produced and tested a variety of the molecules from the family of AAGPTM molecules. The experimental work which we have conducted confirms the following:

- The molecules are stable over a pH of 1.8 to 13
- There is no toxicity demonstrated in 2 separate trials
- There is excellent preservative effect upon cells, protecting them from harsh environmental stimuli. This was confirmed using Ultraviolet C radiation and 1 molar solution of Hydrogen Peroxide
 - There is no interference with cell growth rate
 - Cells appear morphologically normal in the presence of AAGPTM
 - Cells function normally in the presence of AAGPTM
- There is a reduced COX-2 induction following an inflammatory stimulus (Interleukin 1-B). The IL1-B/COX2 pathways is a well known pathway involved in many pathologies.
 - There is strong evidence to show that AAGPTM is involved in cellular repair at the molecular level
 - AAGPTM has been shown to enhance cell viability after cryopreservation
- Cells live significantly longer in the presence of AAGPTM over a temperature range of minus 3 degrees C to plus 37 degrees C
 - AAGP enables the preservation of Platelets at minus 3 degrees C.

We are continuing our research to determine additional characteristics of AAGPTM as well as the mechanism of action of this very interesting and valuable family of molecules. The work is being conducted not only through our contracted researchers but also through a number of universities. The results of our work to date suggest that AAGPTM may have a very large market in the following areas:

- 1. Skin Care
- a. Anti-aging
- b. Reparative
- c. Protective
- d. Solar Block
- 2. Cell culture protection
- a. Short term preservation
 - b. Cryopreservation
- 3. Organ Preservation for Transplantation
 - a. Cells Islet cell transplantation
 - b. Solid organ
 - 4. Tissue preservation
 - a. Cardioplegic solution additive
- b. Tissue damage reduction following CVA and MI
- c. Tissue protection following trauma and ischemia secondary to edema
 - 5. Blood and blood product preservation
 - a. Platelet storage
 - b. Long term storage of packed red cells

Intellectual Property

As of the date of this Report, our development agents, including the parties we have licensed AFGP technologies from, have applied to receive patents for technologies we have licensed and continue to primarily base our research efforts on. At present, we have engaged the patent law firm of Cabinet-Moutard of Versaille, France, and have filed a number of international patent applications. These patent applications include:

WO 2004/014928 A2 (19 February 2004)

PCT Int. Appl. (2006), 87 pp. WO2006059227 A1 20060608 AN 2006:538719

Patent application: Fr 03 May 2006, 06 03952

Consistent with our agreements with the licensors of various technologies we license, we have no finished commercial product or products, and have received no final patents awards or FDA approvals for any product or diagnostic procedures. We are focused on the research and development of one primary compound known as AFGP, which we have filed a trademark application for.

Subject to our available financial resources, our intellectual property strategy is: (1) to pursue licenses, trade secrets, and know-how within our primary research areas, and (2) to develop and acquire proprietary positions to reagents and new platforms for the development of products related to these technologies.

Trade Secrets and Know-How

We believe that even if our intellectual property position is ultimately diminished as a result of our development agents and licensors to receive patent protection for the licenses ProtoKinetix has contracted to access, we have developed a substantial body of trade secrets and know-how relating to the development of AAGPTM, including but not limited to the optimization of materials for efforts, and how to maximize sensitivity, speed-to-result, specificity, stability and reproducibility.

Competition

The markets that we are attempting to enter are multi-billion dollar international industries. They are intensely competitive. Many of our competitors (from every perspective) are substantially larger and have greater financial, research, manufacturing, and marketing resources.

Industry competition in general is based on the following:

- Scientific and technological capability;
 - Proprietary know-how;
- The ability to develop and market products and processes;
- The ability to obtain FDA or other required regulatory approvals;
- The ability to manufacture products that meet applicable FDA requirements, (i.e. FDA's Quality System Regulations) see Governmental Regulation section;
 - Access to adequate capital;
 - The ability to attract and retain qualified personnel; and
 - The availability of patent protection.

We believe our scientific and technological capabilities are significant. Some of the results of our research are available at our website located at www.protokinetix.com.

Our ability to develop our research is in large measure dependent on our having additional resources and/or collaborative relationships, particularly where we can have our product development efforts funded on a project or milestone basis. We believe that our know-how with our AFGP project, in spite of not yet receiving any patent protected rights, has been instrumental in our obtaining the collaborations we have developed.

Although there is no such immediate need to make any regulatory filing in the United States or abroad, you should be aware that we have limited experience with regard to obtaining FDA or other required regulatory approvals, and no experience with obtaining pre-marketing approval of a biologic product. For this reason, should our research efforts continue to show promise, we will likely need to hire consultants to assist us with such governmental regulations.

Our access to capital is more challenging, relative to most of our competitors. This is a competitive disadvantage. We believe, however, that our access to capital may increase as we get closer to the development of a commercially viable product.

To date, we believe our research has enabled us to attract and retain qualified consultants. Because of the greater financial resources of many of our competitors, we may not be able to complete effectively for the same individuals to the extent that a competitor uses its substantial resources to attract any such individuals.

As is discussed above, with respect to the availability of patent protection, we do not have our own portfolio of patents or the financial resources to develop and/or acquire a portfolio of patents similar to those of our larger competitors. We have been able to obtain access to patent-pending technology by entering into licensing arrangements. However, there can be no certainty that any of the patent-pending technologies we have licensed will ever receive final approval by any patent office.

Plan of Operation

Our current operations are centered around our relationships with various research and development consultants who are conducting research on our behalf at discrete and established laboratories in various parts of the world. We intend to continue these efforts for the next 12 months and believe, that due to our relatively minimal cash obligations, that we can satisfy our cash requirements during this period. We intend to help meet our corporate obligations by selling our common stock. However our common stock is at a low price and is not actively traded.

Recent Developments

On May 28, 2007, Dr. John Todd resigned from his positions as President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Director of the Corporation. Dr. Todd's resignation was not because of any disagreements with the Corporation on matters relating to its operations, policies or practices. Immediately subsequent to his resignation, Dr. Todd was appointed to the non-executive position of Director of Scientific Affairs.

On May 28, 2007, Mark L. Baum was appointed to the positions of interim President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of the Corporation.

Sales and Marketing

We are not currently selling or marketing any products.

Expenses

Expenses for the three month period ending June 30, 2007 arose primarily from professional and consulting fees. We incurred professional fees relating to costs associated with our being a reporting company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We also incurred consulting fees which contributed to a net loss of \$260,220 during the three month period ended June 30, 2007.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2007, we had \$69,618 in cash and \$380,744 in total current assets. As of the date of this report, we do not believe that we require additional capital investments or borrowed funds to meet cash flow projections and carry forward our business objectives. In the event that we need to raise additional capital, there can be no assurance that we will be able to raise capital from outside sources in sufficient amounts to fund our new business.

The failure to secure adequate outside funding would have an adverse affect on our plan of operation and results therefrom and a corresponding negative impact on shareholder liquidity.

Inflation

Although management expects that our operations will be influenced by general economic conditions, we do not believe that inflation had a material effect on our results of operations for the period ending June 30, 2007.

Going Concern

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, which contemplate our continuation as a going concern. The history of losses and our inability to make a profit from selling a good or service has raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Results of Operations for the Period Ending June 30, 2007

We had \$0 in net revenues for the period ending June 30, 2007.

We sustained a \$260,220 loss from continuing operations for the three month period ending June 30, 2007.

Operating expenses were \$260,220 for the three month period ending June 30, 2007. These expenses were primarily incurred for professional fees, consulting services related to the operations of the Company's business, specifically, research and development related expenses, and other general and administrative expenses.

ITEM 3. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2007, being the date of our most recently completed fiscal quarter. This evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to them to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

During our most recently completed quarter ended June 30, 2007, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not party to any legal proceedings and to our knowledge, no such proceedings are threatened or contemplated against us.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On April 16, 2007, we issued 93,750 shares of our common stock registered on Form S-8 to consultants in connection with a consultant agreement.

On April 16, 2007, we issued 93,750 restricted shares of our common stock to consultants in connection with a consultant agreement.

On June 11, 2007, we issued 112,500 shares of our common stock registered on Form S-8 to consultants in connection with a consultant agreement.

Pursuant to Item 3.02 of Form 8-K, because the Company is a small business issuer and all of the above issuances, in the aggregate, equal less than 5% of the number of common shares issued and outstanding (based on the number of issued and outstanding shares identified in the Company's last periodic report), these sales were not reported in a Form 8-K.

ITEM 3. DEFAULT UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to our security holders for a vote during our first quarter ended June 30, 2007.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- Ex. # Description
- 3(i).1 Certificate of Incorporation filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form 10SB/A filed on July 24, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3(ii).1 By-Laws filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form 10SB/A filed on July 24, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 14.1 ProtoKinetix, Inc. Code of Ethics filed as an exhibit to our annual report on Form 10-KSB filed on April 13, 2006.
- 31.1 Rule 13a-12(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Signatures

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Protokinetix, Inc.

/s/ Ross L. Senior

By: Ross L. Senior Its: President and CEO

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Title **Signatures Date** /s/ Ross L. Senior Chief Executive Officer and August 20, 2007

Ross L. Senior President