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Item 1 - Financial Statements

KENTUCKY BANCSHARES, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share data)

|  | 6/30/2013 |  | 12/31/2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 14,230 | \$ | 31,580 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 76 |  | 184 |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  | 14,306 |  | 31,764 |
| Securities available for sale |  | 196,436 |  | 192,780 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale |  | 419 |  | 486 |
| Loans |  | 452,245 |  | 429,975 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $(5,498)$ |  | $(6,047)$ |
| Net loans |  | 446,747 |  | 423,928 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock |  | 6,731 |  | 6,731 |
| Real estate owned, net |  | 3,788 |  | 4,168 |
| Bank premises and equipment, net |  | 16,986 |  | 16,768 |
| Interest receivable |  | 3,573 |  | 3,946 |
| Mortgage servicing rights |  | 1,191 |  | 1,152 |
| Goodwill |  | 13,117 |  | 13,117 |
| Other intangible assets |  | 418 |  | 532 |
| Other assets |  | 5,443 |  | 5,638 |
| Total assets | \$ | 709,155 | \$ | 701,010 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ | 147,212 | \$ | 144,575 |
| Time deposits, \$100,000 and over |  | 95,370 |  | 91,948 |
| Other interest bearing |  | 333,162 |  | 353,902 |
| Total deposits |  | 575,744 |  | 590,425 |
| Repurchase agreements and other borrowings |  | 12,732 |  | 4,315 |
| Federal Funds Purchased |  | 8,321 |  |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | 29,658 |  | 17,449 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 7,217 |  | 7,217 |
| Interest payable |  | 688 |  | 610 |
| Other liabilities |  | 4,615 |  | 6,986 |
| Total liabilities |  | 638,975 |  | 627,002 |
| Stockholders equity |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, 300,000 shares authorized and unissued |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock, no par value; $10,000,000$ shares authorized; $2,722,764$ and $2,719,694$ shares issued and outstanding on June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 |  | 12,559 |  | 12,529 |
| Retained earnings |  | 59,314 |  | 57,196 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) |  | $(1,693)$ |  | 4,283 |
| Total stockholders equity |  | 70,180 |  | 74,008 |
| Total liabilities \& stockholders equity | \$ | 709,155 | \$ | 701,010 |
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## KENTUCKY BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Loss) (unaudited)
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | Six Months En |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6/30/2013 |  | 6/30/2012 |  |
| INTEREST INCOME: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans, including fees | \$ | 11,279 | \$ | 11,859 |
| Securities |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 1,006 |  | 924 |
| Tax exempt |  | 1,349 |  | 1,536 |
| Other |  | 159 |  | 164 |
| Total interest income |  | 13,793 |  | 14,483 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 1,162 |  | 1,322 |
| Repurchase agreements and other borrowings |  | 31 |  | 25 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | 330 |  | 544 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 115 |  | 123 |
| Total interest expense |  | 1,638 |  | 2,014 |
| Net interest income |  | 12,155 |  | 12,469 |
| Loan loss provision |  | 600 |  | 1,000 |
| Net interest income after provision |  | 11,555 |  | 11,469 |
| NON-INTEREST INCOME: |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges |  | 2,108 |  | 2,283 |
| Loan service fee income, net |  | (36) |  | 83 |
| Trust department income |  | 360 |  | 344 |
| Securities available for sale gains |  | 774 |  | 271 |
| Gain on sale of mortgage loans |  | 1,140 |  | 848 |
| Brokerage income |  | 177 |  | 121 |
| Debit card interchange income |  | 953 |  | 927 |
| Other |  | (46) |  | 101 |
| Total other income |  | 5,430 |  | 4,978 |
| NON-INTEREST EXPENSE: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits |  | 6,778 |  | 6,153 |
| Occupancy expenses |  | 1,547 |  | 1,486 |
| Repossession expenses, net |  | 35 |  | 520 |
| FDIC insurance |  | 262 |  | 286 |
| Legal and professional fees |  | 390 |  | 372 |
| Data processing |  | 688 |  | 610 |
| Debit card expenses |  | 468 |  | 421 |
| Amortization |  | 114 |  | 117 |
| Advertising and marketing |  | 376 |  | 352 |
| Taxes other than payroll, property and income |  | 447 |  | 428 |
| Telephone |  | 110 |  | 158 |
| Postage |  | 147 |  | 148 |
| Loan fees |  | 246 |  | 211 |
| Other |  | 1,013 |  | 1,031 |
| Total other expenses |  | 12,621 |  | 12,293 |
| Income before taxes |  | 4,364 |  | 4,154 |
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| Income taxes | 870 |  |  | 758 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | \$ | 3,494 | \$ | 3,396 |
| Other Comprehensive Income (loss), net of tax: |  |  |  |  |
| Change in Unrealized Gains on Securities |  | $(5,976)$ |  | 1,277 |
| Comprehensive Income (Loss) | \$ | $(2,482)$ | \$ | 4,673 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings per share |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 1.29 | \$ | 1.25 |
| Diluted |  | 1.29 |  | 1.25 |
| Dividends per share |  | 0.48 |  | 0.46 |

See Accompanying Notes
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## KENTUCKY BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Loss) (unaudited)
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | Three Months E |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6/30/2013 |  | 6/30/2012 |  |
| INTEREST INCOME: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans, including fees | \$ | 5,553 | \$ | 5,997 |
| Securities |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 527 |  | 475 |
| Tax exempt |  | 681 |  | 768 |
| Other |  | 76 |  | 81 |
| Total interest income |  | 6,837 |  | 7,321 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 572 |  | 637 |
| Repurchase agreements and other borrowings |  | 24 |  | 12 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | 164 |  | 252 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 56 |  | 61 |
| Total interest expense |  | 816 |  | 962 |
| Net interest income |  | 6,021 |  | 6,359 |
| Loan loss provision |  | 150 |  | 550 |
| Net interest income after provision |  | 5,871 |  | 5,809 |
| NON-INTEREST INCOME: |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges |  | 1,088 |  | 1,213 |
| Loan service fee income, net |  | 16 |  | (4) |
| Trust department income |  | 183 |  | 188 |
| Securities available for sale gains |  | 485 |  | 111 |
| Gain on sale of mortgage loans |  | 514 |  | 370 |
| Brokerage income |  | 109 |  | 70 |
| Debit card interchange income |  | 505 |  | 482 |
| Other |  | (73) |  | 52 |
| Total other income |  | 2,827 |  | 2,482 |
| NON-INTEREST EXPENSE: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits |  | 3,488 |  | 3,072 |
| Occupancy expenses |  | 829 |  | 777 |
| Repossession expenses, net |  | (32) |  | 191 |
| FDIC Insurance |  | 130 |  | 145 |
| Legal and professional fees |  | 237 |  | 172 |
| Data processing |  | 340 |  | 295 |
| Debit card expenses |  | 245 |  | 221 |
| Amortization |  | 57 |  | 59 |
| Advertising and marketing |  | 192 |  | 176 |
| Taxes other than payroll, property and income |  | 222 |  | 214 |
| Telephone |  | 43 |  | 84 |
| Postage |  | 72 |  | 75 |
| Loan fees |  | 123 |  | 94 |
| Other |  | 499 |  | 510 |
| Total other expenses |  | 6,445 |  | 6,085 |
| Income before taxes |  | 2,253 |  | 2,206 |
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| Income taxes | 468 |  |  | 428 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | \$ | 1,785 | \$ | 1,778 |
| Other Comprehensive Income (loss), net of tax: |  |  |  |  |
| Change in Unrealized Gains on Securities |  | $(4,724)$ |  | 1,768 |
| Comprehensive Income (Loss) | \$ | $(2,939)$ | \$ | 3,546 |
| Earnings per share |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.66 | \$ | 0.65 |
| Diluted |  | 0.66 |  | 0.65 |
| Dividends per share |  | 0.24 |  | 0.23 |

See Accompanying Notes
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## KENTUCKY BANCSHARES, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (unaudited)

(in thousands, except share information)

|  | Common Stock(1) |  |  | Retained Earnings |  | Accumulated Other <br> Comprehensive Income/(Loss) |  | Total Stockholders Equity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balances, January 1, 2013 | 2,719,694 | \$ | 12,529 | \$ | 57,196 | \$ | 4,283 | \$ | 74,008 |
| Common stock issued, including tax benefit, net | 6,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock based compensation expense |  |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |
| Common stock purchased and retired | $(3,830)$ |  | (18) |  | (69) |  |  |  | (87) |
| Net change in unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale, net of tax and reclassifications |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(5,976)$ |  | $(5,976)$ |
| Net income |  |  |  |  | 3,494 |  |  |  | 3,494 |
| Dividends declared - $\$ 0.48$ per share |  |  |  |  | $(1,307)$ |  |  |  | $(1,307)$ |
| Balances, June 30, 2013 | 2,722,764 | \$ | 12,559 | \$ | 59,314 | \$ | $(1,693)$ | \$ | 70,180 |

(1)Common Stock has no par value; amount includes Additional Paid-in Capital

See Accompanying Notes

## KENTUCKY BANCSHARES, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

(in thousands)

|  | Six Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6/30/2013 |  | 6/30/2012 |  |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income | \$ | 3,494 | \$ | 3,396 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 945 |  | 827 |
| Securities amortization (accretion), net |  | 565 |  | 654 |
| Stock based compensation expense |  | 48 |  | 46 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 600 |  | 1,000 |
| Securities gains, net |  | (774) |  | (271) |
| Originations of loans held for sale |  | $(34,329)$ |  | $(28,707)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of loans |  | 35,536 |  | 30,087 |
| Losses (gains) on sale of fixed assets |  | 105 |  | 14 |
| Losses (gains) on other real estate |  | (30) |  | 69 |
| Gain on sale of mortgage loans |  | $(1,140)$ |  | (848) |
| Changes in: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest receivable |  | 373 |  | 364 |
| Write-downs of other real estate, net |  | (10) |  | 19 |
| Other assets |  | (185) |  | (268) |
| Interest payable |  | 78 |  | (231) |
| Other liabilities |  | 708 |  | 303 |
| Net cash from operating activities |  | 5,984 |  | 6,454 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases of securities |  | $(55,086)$ |  | $(44,891)$ |
| Proceeds from principal payments, sales, maturities and calls of securities |  | 42,583 |  | 41,900 |
| Net change in loans |  | $(23,668)$ |  | $(12,186)$ |
| Purchases of bank premises and equipment |  | (897) |  | (627) |
| Purchase of other real estate |  |  |  | 17 |
| Proceeds from the sale of other real estate |  | 754 |  | 1,349 |
| Net cash from investing activities |  | $(36,314)$ |  | $(14,438)$ |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net change in deposits |  | $(14,681)$ |  | 207 |
| Net change in repurchase agreements and other borrowings |  | 17,238 |  | 4,160 |
| Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank |  | 49,200 |  | 25,000 |
| Payments on Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | $(36,991)$ |  | $(22,019)$ |
| Payments on note payable |  | (500) |  | (400) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock |  |  |  | 1 |
| Purchase of common stock |  | (87) |  | (56) |
| Dividends paid |  | $(1,307)$ |  | $(1,252)$ |
| Net cash from financing activities |  | 12,872 |  | 5,641 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents |  | $(17,458)$ |  | $(2,343)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period |  | 31,764 |  | 17,657 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 14,306 | \$ | 15,314 |

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information Cash paid during the year for:
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| Interest expense | $\$$ | 1,560 | $\$$ | 2,245 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Income taxes |  | 975 |  |  |
| Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing activities | $\$$ | 248 | $\$$ | 1,689 |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure |  |  |  |  |

See Accompanying Notes
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## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial information presented as of any date other than December 31 has been prepared from the Company s books and records without audit. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Certain financial information that is normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, but is not required for interim reporting purposes, has been condensed or omitted. There have been no significant changes to the Company saccounting and reporting policies as disclosed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of such financial statements, have been included. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Basis of Presentation: The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Kentucky Bancshares, Inc. (the Company , we , our or us ), its wholly-owned subsidiary, Kentucky Bank (the Bank ), and the Bank s wholly-owned subsidiary, KB Special Assets Unit, LLC. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Nature of Operations: The Bank operates under a state bank charter and provides full banking services, including trust services, to customers located in Bourbon, Clark, Elliott, Fayette, Harrison, Jessamine, Rowan, Scott, Woodford and adjoining counties in Kentucky. Management continues to consider opportunities for branch expansion and will also consider acquisition opportunities that help advance its strategic objectives. As a state bank, the Bank is subject to regulation by the Kentucky Department of Financial Institutions and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ). The Company, a bank holding company, is regulated by the Federal Reserve.

Estimates in the Financial Statements: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates and such differences could be material to the financial statements. The allowance for loan losses, loss contingencies, mortgage servicing rights, real estate owned, goodwill and fair value of financial instruments are particularly subject to change.
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Loss Contingencies: Loss contingencies, including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company terminated the Kentucky Bancshares, Inc. Retirement Plan and Trust (the Plan ) in a standard termination, with a termination date of December 31, 2008. Prior to such termination, the Pension Protection Act of 2006 ( PPA ) had amended Internal Revenue Code ( IRC ) Section 417(e)(3) in part by changing the definition of applicable interest rate in a manner that in most cases (when combined with other changes to IRC Section 417(e)(3)) would result in a decrease in the value of a participant sor beneficiary s plan benefits under pension plans such as the Company s Plan with the new definition applicable (for most plans, including the Plan) to lump sums with annuity starting dates in or after the 2008 plan year. The Plan had determined in mid-2008 to comply with IRC Section 417(e)(3), as amended by PPA, by using the assumptions governing minimum lump sums (the PPA Minimum Methodology ), rather than by using the pre-PPA minimum lump sum assumptions, and operated the Plan in compliance with that decision. The Plan paid two lump sum payments to participants in July and December of 2008, prior to the termination of the Plan, and determined the value of those participants benefits using the PPA Minimum Methodology. As permitted by the IRC, the Plan was amended on February 24, 2009 (after the termination of the Plan on December 31, 2008) to formalize that decision in accordance with Section 1107 of PPA.

Section 4041.8(a) of the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ( PBGC ) regulations generally provides that a participant sor beneficiary splan benefits are determined under the plan s provisions in effect on the plan stermination date. The regulations further provide that an amendment adopted after the plan stermination date is taken into account with respect to a participant s or beneficiary s plan benefits to the extent the amendment does not decrease the value of the participant $s$ or beneficiary $s$ plan benefits under the plan s provisions in effect on the termination date; however, the regulations, at Section $4041.8(\mathrm{c})(1)$, also provide that an amendment shall not be treated as decreasing the value of a participant s or beneficiary s plan benefits to the extent the decrease is necessary to meet a qualification requirement under Section 401 of the IRC.

The Internal Revenue Service issued a favorable determination as to the Plan termination in July 2010. Subsequent to Plan termination and distributions to Plan participants, the Plan was selected for audit by the PBGC. The PBGC has asserted that the February, 2009 amendment to the Plan violated PBGC Regulation Section 4041.8(a) because the amendment served to lower benefits to Plan beneficiaries, and the PBGC has filed a Complaint in United States District Court (Eastern District of Kentucky) (the Litigation ) to require the Company to make additional distributions to Plan beneficiaries. A preliminary estimate provided by the Plan actuary indicates the potential exposure related to this matter (were the PBGC to prevail) is $\$ 1.3$ million, plus another $\$ 200$ thousand in estimated interest. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to vigorously defend its position in the Litigation. As such, the Company does not believe a loss is probable and has not recorded a liability relating to the PBGC assertion in the Litigation.

Reclassifications: Some items in the prior year financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation. Reclassifications had no effect on prior period net income or stockholders equity.

## Adoption of New Accounting Standards

FASB ASC 220 In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued an update (ASU No. 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income) impacting FASB ASC 220, Comprehensive Income. This update requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. An entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about these amounts. This update became effective for the Company for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012 and did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.
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## 2. SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

## INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Period-end securities are as follows:


The amortized cost and fair value of securities at June 30, 2013 by contractual maturity are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.
Securities not due at a single maturity are shown separately.

| (in thousands) | Amortized <br> Cost |  | Fair <br> Value |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Due in one year or less | $\$$ | 500 | $\$$ | 500 |
| Due after one year through five years |  | 8,239 | 8,239 |  |
| Due after five years through ten years | 70,932 | 69,731 |  |  |
| Due after ten years | 68,577 | 68,481 |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed - residential | 148,248 | 146,951 |  |  |
| Equity | 50,484 | 49,191 |  |  |
| Total |  | 270 | 294 |  |

Proceeds from sales of securities during the first six months of 2013 and 2012 were $\$ 27.2$ million and $\$ 16.3$ million. Gross gains of $\$ 774$ thousand and $\$ 271$ thousand and no gross losses were realized on those sales, respectively. The tax provision related to these realized gains and losses was $\$ 263$ thousand and $\$ 92$ thousand, respectively.

Proceeds from sales of securities during the three months ending June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012 were $\$ 16.7$ million and $\$ 14.4$ million. Gross gains of $\$ 485$ thousand and $\$ 111$ thousand and no gross losses were realized on those sales, respectively. The tax provision related to these realized gains and losses was $\$ 165$ thousand and $\$ 38$ thousand, respectively.
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Securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2013 and at December 31, 2012 not recognized in income are as follows:

June 30, 2013

| Description of Securities | Less than 12 Months |  |  |  | 12 Months or More |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair <br> Value |  | Unrealized Loss |  | Fair Value |  | Unrealized Loss |  | Fair <br> Value |  | Unrealized Loss |  |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ | 63,402 | \$ | $(2,852)$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 63,402 | \$ | $(2,852)$ |
| States and municipals |  | 21,019 |  | $(1,182)$ |  |  |  |  |  | 21,019 |  | $(1,182)$ |
| Mortgage-backed - residential |  | 41,381 |  | $(1,502)$ |  |  |  |  |  | 41,381 |  | $(1,502)$ |
| Total temporarily impaired | \$ | 125,802 | \$ | $(5,536)$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 125,802 | \$ | $(5,536)$ |

December 31, 2012

| Description of Securities | Less than 12 Months |  |  |  | 12 Months or More |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair <br> Value |  | Unrealized Loss |  |  | Unrealized Loss | Fair <br> Value |  | Unrealized Loss |  |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ | 11,979 | \$ | (21) | \$ | \$ | \$ | 11,979 | \$ | (21) |
| States and municipals |  | 7,519 |  | (147) |  |  |  | 7,519 |  | (147) |
| Mortgage-backed - residential |  | 5,773 |  | (22) |  |  |  | 5,773 |  | (22) |
| Total temporarily impaired | \$ | 25,271 | \$ | (190) | \$ | \$ | \$ | 25,271 | \$ | (190) |

The Company evaluates securities for other than temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. In analyzing an issuer s financial condition, we may consider many factors including, (1) whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, (2) whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, (3) the results of reviews of the issuer s financial condition and near-term prospects, (4) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, and (5) whether we intend to sell the investment security or more likely than not will be required to sell the investment security before its anticipated recovery.

Unrealized losses on securities included in the tables above have not been recognized into income because (1) all rated securities are investment grade and are of high credit quality, (2) management does not intend to sell and it is more likely than not that management would not be required to sell the securities prior to their anticipated recovery, (3) management believes the decline in fair value is largely due to changes in interest rates and (4) management believes the declines in fair value are temporary. The Company believes the fair value is expected to recover as the securities approach maturity.

## 3. LOANS

Loans at period-end are as follows:

| (in thousands) | $\mathbf{6 / 3 0 / 1 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 2 / 3 1 / 1 2}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | $\$$ | 36,615 | $\$$ | 33,137 |
| Real estate construction | 9,328 | 14,102 |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential | 184,135 | 170,199 |  |  |
| Multi-family residential | 15,147 | 11,512 |  |  |
| Non-farm \& non-residential | 120,201 | 113,440 |  |  |
| Agricultural | 68,859 | 69,806 |  |  |
| Consumer | 17,382 | 17,442 |  |  |
| Other | 578 | 337 |  |  |
| Total | $\$$ | 452,245 | $\$$ | 429,975 |
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Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the six and three month periods indicated was as follows:

|  | Six Months Ended June 30,2013 (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beginning Balance |  | Charge-offs |  | Recoveries |  | Provision |  | Ending Balance |  |
| Commercial | \$ | 150 | \$ | 11 | \$ | 28 | \$ | (16) | \$ | 151 |
| Real estate Construction |  | 918 |  | 578 |  | 21 |  | 12 |  | 373 |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 1,989 |  | 141 |  | 56 |  | 80 |  | 1,984 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 414 |  | 161 |  | 39 |  | 189 |  | 481 |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 628 |  |  |  | 18 |  | 31 |  | 677 |
| Agricultural |  | 845 |  | 86 |  | 3 |  | (101) |  | 661 |
| Consumer |  | 517 |  | 252 |  | 18 |  | 265 |  | 548 |
| Other |  | 54 |  | 309 |  | 206 |  | 141 |  | 92 |
| Unallocated |  | 532 |  |  |  |  |  | (1) |  | 531 |
|  | \$ | 6,047 | \$ | 1,538 | \$ | 389 | \$ | 600 | \$ | 5,498 |

Three Months Ended June 30,2013
(in thousands)

|  | Beginning Balance |  | Charge-offs |  | Recoveries |  | Provision |  | Ending Balance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | \$ | 135 | \$ | 5 | \$ |  | \$ | 21 | \$ | 151 |
| Real estate Construction |  | 497 |  |  |  | 16 |  | (140) |  | 373 |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 1,974 |  | 16 |  | 30 |  | (4) |  | 1,984 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 493 |  | 161 |  | 39 |  | 110 |  | 481 |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 611 |  |  |  | 10 |  | 56 |  | 677 |
| Agricultural |  | 747 |  |  |  | 1 |  | (87) |  | 661 |
| Consumer |  | 511 |  | 104 |  | 7 |  | 134 |  | 548 |
| Other |  | 99 |  | 172 |  | 80 |  | 85 |  | 92 |
| Unallocated |  | 556 |  |  |  |  |  | (25) |  | 531 |
|  | \$ | 5,623 | \$ | 458 | \$ | 183 | \$ | 150 | \$ | 5,498 |

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the six and three month periods indicated was as follows:

|  | Six Months Ended June 30,2012 (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beginning Balance |  | Charge-offs |  | Recoveries |  | Provision |  | Ending Balance |  |
| Commercial | \$ | 192 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 6 | \$ | 198 |
| Real estate Construction |  | 1,008 |  | 73 |  |  |  | (171) |  | 764 |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 2,257 |  | 612 |  | 8 |  | 561 |  | 2,214 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 336 |  | 52 |  | 1 |  | (11) |  | 274 |
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| Non-farm \& non-residential | 410 | 64 |  | 352 | 698 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Agricultural | 721 | 15 | 4 | 81 | 791 |  |
| Consumer | 524 | 213 | 25 | 199 | 535 |  |
| Other | 50 | 254 | 192 | 49 | 37 |  |
| Unallocated | 344 |  |  | $(66)$ | 278 |  |
|  | $\$$ | 5,842 | $\$$ | 1,283 | $\$$ | 230 |
|  |  |  | $\$$ | 1,000 | $\$$ | 5,789 |

Three Months Ended June 30,2012
(in thousands)

|  | Beginning Balance |  | Charge-offs |  | Recoveries |  | Provision |  | Ending Balance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | \$ | 206 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | (8) | \$ | 198 |
| Real estate Construction |  | 1,015 |  | 73 |  |  |  | (178) |  | 764 |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 2,380 |  | 468 |  | 4 |  | 298 |  | 2,214 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 318 |  | 52 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 274 |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 425 |  | 64 |  |  |  | 337 |  | 698 |
| Agricultural |  | 812 |  |  |  | 2 |  | (23) |  | 791 |
| Consumer |  | 526 |  | 85 |  | 19 |  | 75 |  | 535 |
| Other |  | 25 |  | 108 |  | 70 |  | 50 |  | 37 |
| Unallocated |  | 287 |  |  |  |  |  | (9) |  | 278 |
|  | \$ | 5,994 | \$ | 850 | \$ | 95 | \$ | 550 | \$ | 5,789 |
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The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment (excluding accrued interest receivable amounting to $\$ 2.4$ million as of June 30,2013 and $\$ 2.8$ million at December 31, 2012) in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of June 30, 2013 and December 31 2012:

| As of June 30,2013 (in thousands) | Individually Evaluated for Impairment |  | Collectively Evaluated for Impairment |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for Loan Losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ |  | \$ | 151 | \$ | 151 |
| Real estate construction |  |  |  | 373 |  | 373 |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 103 |  | 1,881 |  | 1,984 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 76 |  | 405 |  | 481 |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 280 |  | 397 |  | 677 |
| Agricultural |  | 388 |  | 273 |  | 661 |
| Consumer |  |  |  | 548 |  | 548 |
| Other |  |  |  | 92 |  | 92 |
| Unallocated |  |  |  | 531 |  | 531 |
|  | \$ | 847 | \$ | 4,651 | \$ | 5,498 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ |  | \$ | 36,615 | \$ | 36,615 |
| Real estate construction |  |  |  | 9,328 |  | 9,328 |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 2,047 |  | 182,088 |  | 184,135 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 511 |  | 14,636 |  | 15,147 |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 3,459 |  | 116,742 |  | 120,201 |
| Agricultural |  | 7,498 |  | 61,361 |  | 68,859 |
| Consumer |  |  |  | 17,382 |  | 17,382 |
| Other |  |  |  | 578 |  | 578 |
|  | \$ | 13,515 | \$ | 438,730 | \$ | 452,245 |


| As of December 31, 2012 (in thousands) | Individually Evaluated for Impairment |  | Collectively Evaluated for Impairment |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for Loan Losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ |  | \$ | 150 | \$ | 150 |
| Real estate construction |  | 503 |  | 415 |  | 918 |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 109 |  | 1,880 |  | 1,989 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 147 |  | 267 |  | 414 |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 150 |  | 478 |  | 628 |
| Agricultural |  | 549 |  | 296 |  | 845 |
| Consumer |  |  |  | 517 |  | 517 |
| Other |  |  |  | 54 |  | 54 |
| Unallocated |  |  |  | 532 |  | 532 |
|  | \$ | 1,458 | \$ | 4,589 | \$ | 6,047 |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ |  | \$ | 33,137 | \$ | 33,137 |
| Real estate construction |  | 3,035 |  | 11,067 |  | 14,102 |

Real estate mortgage:
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| $1-4$ family residential | 3,610 | 166,589 | 170,199 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Multi-family residential | 311 | 11,201 | 11,512 |
| Non-farm \& non-residential | 4,183 | 113,440 |  |
| Agricultural | 8,045 | 69,806 |  |
| Consumer |  | 61,761 | 17,442 |
| Other |  | 17,442 | 337 |
|  | $\$$ | 19,184 | $\$$ |
|  |  | 410,791 | $\$$ |
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The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of June 30, 2013

| (in thousands): |  | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance |  | Recorded <br> Investment |  | Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated |  | Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment |  | Year to Date Interest Income Recognized |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Real estate construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 1,253 |  | 1,173 |  |  |  | 1,411 |  | 21 |  | 21 |
| Multi-family residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 1,625 |  | 818 |  |  |  | 1,371 |  | 40 |  | 40 |
| Agricultural |  | 2,873 |  | 2,787 |  |  |  | 2,760 |  | 9 |  | 9 |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,012 |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 874 |  | 874 |  | 103 |  | 1,160 |  | 21 |  | 21 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 511 |  | 511 |  | 76 |  | 550 |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 2,641 |  | 2,641 |  | 280 |  | 2,347 |  | 40 |  | 40 |
| Agricultural |  | 4,711 |  | 4,711 |  | 388 |  | 4,987 |  | 57 |  | 57 |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 14,488 | \$ | 13,515 | \$ | \$ 847 | \$ | 15,598 | \$ | 191 | \$ | 191 |

The recorded investment in loans excludes accrued interest receivable and loan origination fees, net due to immateriality.
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The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of December 31, 2012

| (in thousands): |  | Unpaid Principal Balance |  | Recorded <br> Investment |  | Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated |  | Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment |  | Interest Income Recognized |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Real estate construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 640 |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 2,272 |  | 2,243 |  |  |  | 1,322 |  | 75 |  | 75 |
| Multi-family residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 2,775 |  | 2,008 |  |  |  | 1,756 |  | 158 |  | 158 |
| Agricultural |  | 2,657 |  | 2,657 |  |  |  | 1,432 |  | 275 |  | 275 |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate construction |  | 3,035 |  | 3,035 |  | 503 |  | 2,020 |  | 111 |  | 111 |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 1,367 |  | 1,367 |  | 109 |  | 976 |  | 57 |  | 57 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 311 |  | 311 |  | 147 |  | 225 |  | 12 |  | 12 |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 2,175 |  | 2,175 |  | 150 |  | 1,189 |  | 104 |  | 104 |
| Agricultural |  | 5,388 |  | 5,388 |  | 549 |  | 4,024 |  | 252 |  | 252 |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 19,980 | \$ | 19,184 | \$ | \$ 1,458 | \$ | 13,625 | \$ | 1,044 | \$ | 1,044 |

The recorded investment in loans excludes accrued interest receivable and loan origination fees, net due to immateriality.
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The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of June 30, 2012

| (in thousands): | Six Months Ending June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment |  | Interest <br> Income Recognized |  | Cash Basis Interest Recognized |  |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Real estate construction |  | 1,067 |  | 37 |  | 37 |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 1,447 |  | 57 |  | 57 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 69 |  |  |  |  |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 2,002 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Agricultural |  | 1,501 |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| Consumer |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate construction |  | 2,354 |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 1,181 |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-family residential |  | 271 |  |  |  |  |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 1,512 |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural |  | 4,910 |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 16,314 | \$ | 106 | \$ | 106 |

The recorded investment in loans excludes accrued interest receivable and loan origination fees, net due to immateriality.
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The following tables present loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans for the three months ending June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012
(in thousands):


The recorded investment in loans excludes accrued interest receivable and loan origination fees, net due to immateriality.



The recorded investment in loans excludes accrued interest receivable and loan origination fees, net due to immateriality.
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The following tables present the recorded investment in nonaccrual, loans past due over 90 days still on accrual and accruing troubled debt restructurings by class of loans as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:


| As of December 31, 2012 (in thousands) | Nonaccrual |  | Loans Past Due Over 90 Days Still Accruing |  | Accruing <br> Troubled Debt <br> Restructurings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | \$ | 45 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Real estate construction |  | 3,035 |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 1,065 |  | 373 |  | 505 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 311 |  |  |  |  |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 1,589 |  |  |  | 1,924 |
| Agricultural |  | 894 |  | 426 |  | 4,798 |
| Consumer |  | 85 |  | 42 |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 7,024 | \$ | 841 | \$ | 7,227 |

Nonaccrual loans secured by real estate make up $99.0 \%$ of the total nonaccruals at June 30, 2013.

Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days still on accrual include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.
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A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that a creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. All amounts due according to the contractual terms means that both the contractual interest payments and the contractual principal payments of a loan will be collected as scheduled in the loan agreement. Nonaccrual loans are loans for which payments in full of principal or interest is not expected or which principal or interest has been in default for a period of 90 days or more unless the asset is both well secured and in the process of collection. Other impaired loans may be loans showing signs of weakness or interruptions in cash flow, but ultimately are current or less than 90 days past due with respect to principal and interest and for which we anticipate full payment of principal and interest but not in accordance with contractual terms.

Additional factors considered by management in determining impairment and non-accrual status include payment status, collateral value, availability of current financial information, and the probability of collecting all contractual principal and interest payments.

During the first six months of 2013, $\$ 238$ thousand in impaired loans were transferred to other real estate properties owned. Additionally, $\$ 1.5$ million in loan balances were charged off during the first six months of 2013. One loan totaling $\$ 578$ thousand was primarily responsible for the increase in loan charge offs. At December 31, 2012, this loan was included in non-accrual loans and classified as real estate construction.

The following tables present the aging of the recorded investment in past due and non-accrual loans as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 by class of loans:

| As of June 30, 2013 (in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-59 } \\ \text { Days } \\ \text { Past Due } \end{gathered}$ |  | 60-89 <br> Days <br> Past Due |  | Loans Past Due Over 90 Days Still Accruing |  | Non-accrual |  | Total <br>  <br> Non-accrual |  | Loans Not <br> Past Due |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | \$ | 302 | \$ | 10 | \$ | 12 | \$ |  | \$ | 324 | \$ | 36,291 |
| Real estate construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,328 |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 1,629 |  | 454 |  | 581 |  | 831 |  | 3,495 |  | 180,640 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 136 |  |  |  |  |  | 511 |  | 647 |  | 14,500 |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  | 1,521 |  | 1,546 |  | 118,655 |
| Agricultural |  | 474 |  |  |  | 217 |  | 988 |  | 1,679 |  | 67,180 |
| Consumer |  | 211 |  | 15 |  | 21 |  | 32 |  | 279 |  | 17,103 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 578 |
| Total | \$ | 2,752 | \$ | 479 | \$ | 856 | \$ | 3,883 | \$ | 7,970 | \$ | 444,275 |


| As of December 31, 2012 (in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-59 } \\ \text { Days } \\ \text { Past Due } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{6 0 - 8 9} \\ \text { Days } \\ \text { Past Due } \end{gathered}$ |  | Loans Past Due Over 90 Days Still Accruing | Non-accrual |  | Total <br>  <br> Non-accrual |  | Loans Not Past Due |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | \$ | 16 | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | 45 | \$ | 61 | \$ | 33,076 |
| Real estate construction |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,035 |  | 3,035 |  | 11,067 |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 2,282 |  | 652 | 373 |  | 1,065 |  | 4,372 |  | 165,827 |
| Multi-family residential |  |  |  |  |  |  | 311 |  | 311 |  | 11,201 |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 90 |  |  |  |  | 1,589 |  | 1,679 |  | 111,761 |
| Agricultural |  | 655 |  |  | 426 |  | 894 |  | 1,975 |  | 67,831 |
| Consumer |  | 171 |  | 21 | 42 |  | 85 |  | 319 |  | 17,123 |
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Troubled Debt Restructurings:

The Company has allocated $\$ 577$ thousand in specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of June 30, 2013. The Company allocated $\$ 663$ thousand for specific reserves to customers whose loan terms had been modified in troubled debt restructuring as of December 31, 2012. The Company has not committed to lend additional amounts as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 to customers with outstanding loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings. There were no troubled debt restructuring for which there was a payment default within twelve months following the modification during the periods ending June 30, 2013 and 2012.

No loans were modified as troubled debt restructurings during the six months and three months ending June 30, 2013. Two loans were modified as troubled debt restructurings during the six months and three months ending June, 30, 2012.

Credit Quality Indicators:

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis is performed on a quarterly basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention. Loans classified as special mention have one or more potential weaknesses that deserve management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution scredit position at some future date.

Substandard. Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful. Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass rated loans.
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As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans is as follows:


For consumer loans, the Company evaluates the credit quality based on the aging of the recorded investment in loans, which was previously presented. Non-performing consumer loans are loans which are greater than 90 days past due or on non-accrual status, and total $\$ 54$ thousand at June 30, 2013 and $\$ 127$ thousand at December 31, 2012.
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4. REAL ESTATE OWNED

Activity in real estate owned, net was as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & & \text { Six Months Ended } \\ & & \mathbf{2 0 1 3} \\ \text { (in thousands) }\end{array}\right)$

Activity in the valuation allowance was as follows:

|  | Six Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (in thousands) |  |  |
| Beginning of year | \$ | 1,668 | \$ | 1,332 |
| Additions to valuation allowance, net |  | (10) |  | 19 |
| Recovery from sale |  | (199) |  | (96) |
| End of period | \$ | 1,459 | \$ | 1,255 |

Expenses related to foreclosed assets include:

|  | Six Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (in thousands) |  |  |
| Net loss (gain) on sales | \$ | (30) | \$ | 69 |
| Additions to valuation allowance, net |  | (10) |  | 19 |
| Operating expenses (receipts), net of rental income |  | 35 |  | 501 |
| End of period | \$ | (5) | \$ | 589 |
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5. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per common share is net income divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share includes the dilutive effect of additional potential common shares issuable under stock options.

The factors used in the earnings per share computation follow:

|  | Six Months EndedJune 30 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (in thousands) |  |  |
| Basic Earnings Per Share |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income | \$ | 3,494 | \$ | 3,396 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding |  | 2,705 |  | 2,706 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 1.29 | \$ | 1.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income | \$ | 3,494 | \$ | 3,396 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding |  | 2,705 |  | 2,706 |
| Add dilutive effects of assumed vesting of stock grants |  | 4 |  | 3 |
| Weighted average common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding |  | 2,709 |  | 2,709 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 1.29 | \$ | 1.25 |


|  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Three Months Ended } \\ \text { June 30 }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |  |
| (in thousands) |  |  |  |$)$

Stock options for 19,500 shares of common stock for six and three months ended June 30, 2013 and 29,160 shares of common stock for the six and three months ended June 30, 2012 were excluded from diluted earnings per share because their impact was antidilutive. Restricted stock grants of 16,602 shares of common stock for the six and three months ended June 30, 2013 and 14,354 shares of common stock for the six and three months ended June 30, 2012 were excluded from diluted earnings per share because their impact was antidilutive.
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6. STOCK COMPENSATION

We have four stock based compensation plans as described below.

## Two Stock Option Plans

Under our now expired 1999 Employee Stock Option Plan (the 1999 Plan ), we granted certain officers and key employees stock option awards which vest and become fully exercisable at the end of five years and provide for issuance of up to 100,000 options. Under the now expired 1993 Non-Employee Directors Stock Ownership Incentive Plan (together with the 1999 Plan, the Stock Option Plans ), we also granted certain directors stock option awards which vest and become fully exercisable immediately and provide for issuance of up to 20,000 options. For each Stock Option Plan, the exercise price of each option, which has a ten year life, was equal to the market price of our stock on the date of grant.

The combined summary of activity for 2013 in the expired Stock Option Plans follows:

|  | Shares |  | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term |  | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding, beginning of year | 28,460 | \$ | 29.86 |  |  |  |
| Granted |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forfeited or expired | $(8,960)$ |  | 26.31 |  |  |  |
| Exercised |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outstanding, end of period | 19,500 | \$ | 31.51 | 17.7 months | \$ |  |
| Vested and expected to vest | 19,500 | \$ | 31.51 | 17.7 months | \$ |  |
| Exercisable, end of period | 19,500 | \$ | 31.51 | 17.7 months | \$ |  |

As of June 30, 2013, there was $\$ 0$ of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options granted under either Stock Option Plan. Since both Stock Option Plans have expired, as of June 30, 2013, no additional options can be granted under either of these plans.
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On May 10, 2005, our stockholders approved a restricted stock grant plan. Total shares issuable under the plan are 50,000 . We issued 6,065 shares during 2013 and 5,615 shares during 2012. There were 65 shares forfeited during the first three months of 2013 and 30 shares forfeited during the first three months of 2012. As of June 30, 2013, the restricted stock grant plan allows for additional restricted stock share awards of up to 12,310 shares.
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A summary of changes in the Company s nonvested shares for the year follows:

|  | Shares | Weighted-Average <br> Grant-Date <br> Fair Value | Fair <br> Value <br> Per Share |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nonvested Shares | 14,739 | $\$$ | 267,658 | $\$$ |
| Nonvested at January 1, 2013 | 6,065 | 112,203 | 18.16 |  |
| Granted | $(4,137)$ | $(80,283)$ | 18.50 |  |
| Vested | $(65)$ | $(1,203)$ | 19.41 |  |
| Forfeited | 16,602 | $\$$ | 298,375 | $\$$ |
| Nonvested at June 30, 2013 |  |  | 18.50 |  |

As of June 30, 2013, there was $\$ 281,673$ of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested shares granted under the restricted stock grant plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 5 years.

## 2009 Stock Award Plan

On May 13, 2009, our stockholders approved a stock award plan that provides for the granting of both incentive and nonqualified stock options and other share based awards. Total shares issuable under the plan are 150,000 . We issued 900 stock grants during the first six months of 2013 and no shares during 2012. As of June 30, 2013, 149,100 shares are still available for issuance.

A summary of changes in the Company s nonvested shares for the year follows:

| Nonvested Shares | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \\ \text { Per Share } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonvested at January 1, 2013 |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Granted | 900 |  | 20,880 |  | 23.20 |
| Vested |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forfeited |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonvested at June 30, 2013 | 900 | \$ | 20,880 | \$ | 23.20 |

As of June 30,2013 , there was $\$ 19,488$ of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested shares granted under the restricted stock grant plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 5 years.

## 7. OTHER BORROWINGS

The promissory note payable the Company had outstanding at December 31, 2012 in the principal amount of $\$ 500,000$ was paid in full during the second quarter of 2013. The promissory note was scheduled to mature July 28, 2013. The Company has no outstanding balances at June 30, 2013.
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8. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and sets forth disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC Topic 825, Financial Instruments, allows entities to choose to measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The Company has not elected the fair value option for any financial assets or liabilities.

ASC Topic 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. It also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. This Topic describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2 Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The Company used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate the fair value:

Investment Securities: The fair values for available for sale investment securities are determined by quoted market prices, if available (Level 1). For securities where quoted prices are not available, fair values are calculated based on market prices of similar securities (Level 2). For securities where quoted prices or market prices of similar securities are not available, fair values are calculated using discounted cash flows or other market indicators (Level 3).

Impaired Loans: The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent third party real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned: The fair value of certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned (OREO) are generally based on third party appraisals of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized.

Mortgage Servicing Rights: Fair value is based on market prices for comparable mortgage servicing contracts, when available, or alternatively based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income, resulting in a Level 3 classification.

## Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring Basis

Available for sale investment securities are the Company s only balance sheet item that meet the disclosure requirements for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Disclosures are as follows in the tables below.


Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2012 Using:
Quoted Prices
In Active

| Markets for | Significant Other | Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identical | Observable | Unobservable |
| Assets | Inputs | Inputs |
| (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |


| U. S. government agencies | \$ | 48,831 | \$ |  | \$ | 48,831 | \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States and municipals |  | 82,607 |  |  |  | 82,607 |  |
| Mortgage-backed - residential |  | 61,037 |  |  |  | 61,037 |  |
| Equity securities |  | 305 |  | 305 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 192,780 | \$ | 305 | \$ | 192,475 | \$ |

There were no transfers between level 1 and level 2 during 2013 or 2012.

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below:
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Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a carrying amount of $\$ 1.4$ million, which includes a valuation allowance of $\$ 270$ thousand at June 30, 2013. The allowance for specific impaired loans decreased $\$ 611$ thousand for the six months ending June 30, 2013 and $\$ 158$ thousand for the three months ending June 30, 2013. The loan loss provision for the six months ending June 30, 2013 is $\$ 600$ thousand and $\$ 150$ thousand for the three months ending June 30, 2013. The loan loss provision for the six months ending June 30, 2012 was $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 550$ thousand for the three months ending June 30, 2012. The specific allocations for impaired loans for the six months ending June 30, 2013 decreased $\$ 611$ thousand and $\$ 158$ thousand for the three months ending June 30, 2013. The specific allocations for impaired loans for the six months ending June 30, 2012 decreased $\$ 225$ thousand and $\$ 274$ thousand for the three months ending June 30, 2012. These decreases in the specific allocations for impaired loans are the result of declining impaired loan balances.

Other real estate owned which is measured at fair value less costs to sell, had a net carrying amount of $\$ 2.1$ million, which is made up of the outstanding balance of $\$ 3.6$ million, net of a valuation allowance of $\$ 1.5$ million at June 30, 2013. Net write-downs of other real estate owned properties were a recovery of $\$ 10$ thousand for the six and three months ending June 30, 2013. Net write-downs of other real estate owned properties totaled $\$ 19$ thousand for the six months ending June 30, 2012 and $\$ 23$ thousand for the three months ending June 30, 2012.

Loan servicing rights, which are carried at lower of cost or fair value, were carried at their fair value of $\$ 385$ thousand, which is made up of the outstanding balance of $\$ 664$ thousand, net of a valuation allowance of $\$ 279$ thousand at June 30, 2013, resulting in a net write-down of $\$ 65$ thousand for the six months ending June 30, 2013 and a recovery of prior write-downs of $\$ 8$ thousand for the three months ending June 30, 2013. The valuation adjustment to the mortgage loan servicing rights was a recovery of $\$ 40$ thousand for the six months ending June 30, 2012 and a write-down of $\$ 23$ thousand for the three months ending June 30, 2012. At December 31, 2012, loan servicing rights were carried at their fair value of $\$ 529$ thousand, which is made up of the outstanding balance of $\$ 743$ thousand, net of a valuation allowance of $\$ 214$ thousand at December 31, 2012.
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The following table presents quantitative information about level 3 fair value measurements for financial instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at June 30, 2013:


## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2013 Using:

| (in thousands) | Carrying <br> Value |  | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 14,306 | \$ | 14,306 | \$ |  | \$ | \$14,306 |  |
| Securities |  | 196,436 |  | 294 |  | 196,142 |  |  | 196,436 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale |  | 419 |  |  |  | 427 |  |  | 427 |
| Loans, net |  | 446,747 |  |  |  |  |  | 447,573 | 447,573 |
| FHLB Stock |  | 6,731 |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |
| Interest receivable |  | 3,573 |  |  |  | 1,143 |  | 2,430 | 3,573 |

Financial liabilities
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| Deposits | $\$$ | 575,744 | $\$$ | 385,629 | $\$$ | 191,879 | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Securities sold under agreements to |  |  |  | 577,508 |  |  |  |
| repurchase and other borrowings | 12,732 |  | 12,716 | $\$$ | 12,716 |  |  |
| Federal Funds Purchased | 8,321 | 8,321 |  | 8,321 |  |  |  |
| FHLB advances | 29,658 |  | 29,803 | 29,803 |  |  |  |
| Subordinated Debentures | 7,217 |  | 679 | 7,214 | 9,214 |  |  |
| Interest payable | 688 |  | 9 | 688 |  |  |  |
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Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2012 Using:

| (in thousands) | Carrying <br> Value |  | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 31,764 | \$ | 31,764 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 31,764 |
| Securities |  | 192,780 |  | 305 |  | 192,475 |  |  |  | 192,780 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale |  | 486 |  |  |  | 500 |  |  |  | 500 |
| Loans, net |  | 423,928 |  |  |  |  |  | 422,920 |  | 422,920 |
| FHLB Stock |  | 6,731 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |
| Interest receivable |  | 3,946 |  |  |  | 1,138 |  | 2,808 |  | 3,946 |
| Financial liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ | 590,425 | \$ | 401,164 | \$ | 191,732 | \$ |  | \$ | 592,896 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other borrowings |  | 4,315 |  |  |  | 4,314 |  |  |  | 4,314 |
| FHLB advances |  | 17,449 |  |  |  | 18,806 |  |  |  | 18,806 |
| Subordinated Debentures |  | 7,217 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,261 |  | 7,261 |
| Interest payable |  | 610 |  |  |  | 601 |  | 9 |  | 610 |

The methods and assumptions, not previously presented, used to estimate fair values are described as follows:

Cash and Cash Equivalents - The carrying amounts of cash and short-term instruments approximate fair values and are classified as Level 1.

FHLB Stock - It is not practical to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability.

Loans - Fair values of loans, excluding loans held for sale, are estimated as follows: For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values resulting in a Level 3 classification. Fair values for other loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality resulting in a Level 3 classification. Impaired loans are valued at the lower of cost or fair value as described previously.

The fair value of loans held for sale is estimated based upon binding contracts and quotes from third party investors resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Deposits - The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and non-interest checking, passbook savings, and certain types of money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amount) resulting in a Level 1 classification. The carrying amounts of variable rate, fixed-term money market accounts and certificates of deposit approximate their fair values at the reporting date resulting in a Level 1 classification. Fair values for fixed rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flows calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase and Other Borrowings - The carrying amounts of borrowings under repurchase agreements approximate their fair values resulting in a Level 2 classification.

The carrying amount of the Company s variable rate borrowings approximate their fair values resulting in a Level 2 classification.
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Federal Funds Purchased - The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased approximate fair values and are classified as Level 1.

FHLB Advances and Subordinated Debentures - The fair values of the Company s FHLB advances are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements resulting in a Level 2 classification.

The fair values of the Company s Subordinated Debentures are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements resulting in a Level 3 classification.

Accrued Interest Receivable/Payable - The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate fair value resulting in a Level 2 or Level 3 classification based on the level of the related asset/liability.

Off-balance Sheet Instruments - Fair values for off-balance sheet, credit-related financial instruments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties credit standing. The fair value of off-balance sheet instruments is not material.
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9. CHANGES IN ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME BY COMPONENT

Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income by Component (1) (unaudited) (in thousands)
$\left.\begin{array}{l|rrr} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Gains and Losses on } \\ \text { Available for Sale } \\ \text { Securities }\end{array} \\ \text { For the Six Months Ending June 30 } \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 3}\end{array}\right)$
(1) All amounts are net of tax.

|  | Unrealized <br> Gains and Losses on <br> Available for Sale <br> Securities |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | For the Three Months Ending June 30 <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| 2012 |  |

(2) All amounts are net of tax.

## Item 2-MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Forward-Looking Statements

The following discussion provides information about the financial condition and results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries as of the dates and periods indicated. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and Notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report and the Management s Discussion and Analysis in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

This discussion contains forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 that involve risks and uncertainties. These statements are not historical facts, but rather statements based on our current expectations regarding our business strategies and their intended results and our future performance. Forward-looking statements are preceded by terms such as expects, believes, anticipates, intends, estimates, potential, may and similar expressions.


#### Abstract

Although we believe that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate, and therefore, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements included herein will prove to be accurate. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: economic conditions (both generally and more specifically in the markets, including the tobacco market and the thoroughbred horse industry, in which we and our Bank operate); competition for our subsidiary s customers from other providers of financial and mortgage services; government legislation, regulation and monetary policy (which changes from time to time and over which we have no control); changes in interest rates (both generally and more specifically mortgage interest rates); material unforeseen changes in the liquidity, results of operations, or financial condition of our subsidiary s customers; adequacy of the allowance for losses on loans and the level of future provisions for losses on loans; and other risks detailed in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control.


As a result of the uncertainties and the assumptions on which this discussion and the forward-looking statements are based, actual future operations and results in the future may differ materially from those indicated herein.

You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf. We undertake no obligation to republish revised forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

## Summary

The Company recorded net income of $\$ 3.5$ million, or $\$ 1.29$ basic earnings and diluted earnings per share for the first six months ending June 30, 2013 compared to $\$ 3.4$ million or $\$ 1.25$ basic earnings and diluted earnings per share for the six month period ending June 30, 2012. The first six months earnings reflect an increase of $2.9 \%$ compared to the same time period in 2012. The increase in earnings is largely due to an
increase of $\$ 503$ thousand in the gain on sold securities, an increase of $\$ 292$ thousand in gains on sold mortgage loans, a decrease of $\$ 485$ thousand in net repossession expense and a decrease of $\$ 400$ thousand for the provision for loan losses. These positive changes to net income during 2013 were partially offset by a decrease of $\$ 175$ thousand in service charges, a decrease of $\$ 119$ thousand in loan service fee income and an increase of $\$ 625$ thousand in employee salaries and benefits. The earnings for the three months ending June 30, 2013 were $\$ 1.8$ million, or $\$ 0.66$ basic and diluted earnings per share compared to $\$ 1.8$ million or $\$ 0.65$ basic and diluted earnings per share for the three month period ending June 30, 2012. The earnings for the three month period in 2013 reflect a $0.4 \%$ increase compared to the same time period in 2012.
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Return on average assets was $0.98 \%$ for the six months ending June 30, 2013 and $1.00 \%$ for the six months ending June 30, 2012. Return on average assets was $1.00 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and $1.05 \%$ for the three months ending June 30, 2012. Return on average equity was $9.43 \%$ for the six month period ending June 30, 2013 and $9.63 \%$ for the six month period ending June 30, 2012. Return on average equity was $9.63 \%$ for the three months ending June 30, 2013 and $10.01 \%$ for the same time period in 2012.

Gross Loans increased $\$ 22.2$ million from $\$ 430.0$ million on December 31, 2012 to $\$ 452.2$ million on June 30, 2013. The overall increase is mostly attributed to an increase of $\$ 13.9$ million in 1-4 family residential properties, an increase of $\$ 6.8$ million in non-farm and non-residential properties, an increase of $\$ 3.6$ million in multi-family residential properties and an increase of $\$ 3.5$ million in commercial loans. Real estate construction loan balances decreased $\$ 4.8$ million and agricultural loan balances decreased $\$ 947$ thousand. Included in the decrease in agricultural loans is a decrease of $\$ 2.2$ million in loans associated with the tobacco buyout program. The decrease in these loans is attributed to contractual payments received on these loans during the first quarter of 2013. Further, the decrease in real estate construction loans is mostly attributed to one loan customer whose loan had an outstanding balance of approximately $\$ 3.0$ million at December 31, 2012 and a $\$ 0$ balance at June 30, 2013. The decrease in this loan balance is attributed to receiving payments of approximately $\$ 2.5$ million and charging off $\$ 578$ thousand which was reserved for at December 31, 2012.

Total deposits decreased from $\$ 590.4$ million on December 31, 2012 to $\$ 575.7$ million on June 30 2013, a decrease of $\$ 14.7$ million. Non-interest bearing demand deposit accounts increased $\$ 2.6$ million from December 31, 2012 to June 30, 2013. Time deposits $\$ 100$ thousand and over increased $\$ 3.4$ million and other interest bearing deposit accounts decreased $\$ 20.7$ million.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets and the interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities.

Net interest income was $\$ 12.2$ million for the six months ending June 30,2013 compared to $\$ 12.5$ million for the six months ending June 30 , 2012, a decrease of $2.5 \%$. The interest spread of $3.67 \%$ for the first six months of 2013 is down from $4.0 \%$ reported for the same period in 2012, a decrease of 33 basis points. Rates have remained fairly low in the past year. For the first six months ending June 30, 2013, the cost of total deposits was $0.39 \%$ compared to $0.47 \%$ for the same time period in 2012. Increasing non-interest bearing deposit accounts and lower rates on certificates of deposit accounts have helped to lower the cost of deposits. Net interest income was $\$ 6.0$ million for the three months ending June 30, 2013 compared to $\$ 6.4$ million for the three months ending June 30, 2012, a decrease of $5.3 \%$. The interest spread was $3.67 \%$ for the three month period ending June 30, 2013 compared to $4.06 \%$ for the three month period in 2012, a decrease of 39 basis points.
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For the first six months, the yield on assets decreased from $4.68 \%$ in 2012 to $4.19 \%$ in 2013. The yield on loans decreased 43 basis points in the first six months of 2013 compared to 2012 from $5.74 \%$ to $5.31 \%$. The yield on securities decreased 48 basis points in the first six months of 2013 compared to 2012 from $2.79 \%$ in 2012 to $2.31 \%$ in 2013. The cost of liabilities decreased from $0.67 \%$ in 2012 to $0.52 \%$ in 2013. Year to date average loans, excluding overdrafts, increased $\$ 14.1$ million, or $3.4 \%$ from June 30, 2012 to June 30, 2013. Loan interest income decreased $\$ 580$ thousand for the first six months of 2013 compared to the first six months of 2012. Year to date average total deposits increased from June 30, 2012 to June 30, 2013, up $\$ 40.0$ million or $7.1 \%$. Year to date average interest bearing deposits increased $\$ 29$ million, or $7.0 \%$, from June 30, 2012 to June 30, 2013. Deposit interest expense decreased $\$ 159$ thousand for the first six months of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Year to date average borrowings decreased $\$ 6.8$ million, or $16.1 \%$ from June 30, 2012 to June 30, 2013. The decrease is mostly attributed to paying off FHLB advances as they mature. Interest expense on borrowed funds decreased $\$ 216$ thousand for the first six months of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

The volume rate analysis for the six months ending June 30, 2013 which follows indicates that $\$ 2.4$ million of the decrease in interest income is attributable to a decrease in interest rates, while the change in volume contributed to an increase of $\$ 1.7$ million in interest income. The average rate of the Company s total outstanding deposits and borrowing liabilities decreased from $0.67 \%$ in 2012 to $0.52 \%$ in 2013. Based on the volume rate analysis that follows, the lower level of interest rates contributed to a decrease of $\$ 308$ thousand in interest expense, while the change in volume was responsible for a $\$ 68$ thousand decrease in interest expense. As a result, the decrease in net interest income for the first six months in 2013 is mostly attributed to lower rates earned in the Company s loan and security portfolios.

The accompanying analysis of changes in net interest income in the following table shows the relationships of the volume and rate portions of these changes in 2013. Changes in interest income and expenses due to both rate and volume are allocated on a pro rata basis.

## Changes in Interest Income and Expense

| (in thousands) | Volume |  | Six Months Ending $2013 \text { vs. } 2012$ <br> (Decrease) Due to Change in |  |  | Net Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$ | 964 | \$ | $(1,544)$ | \$ | (580) |
| Investment Securities |  | 784 |  | (890) |  | (106) |
| Other |  | (6) |  | 2 |  | (4) |
| Total Interest Income |  | 1,742 |  | $(2,432)$ |  | (690) |
| INTEREST EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand |  | 66 |  | (72) |  | (6) |
| Savings |  | 14 |  | (8) |  | 6 |
| Negotiable Certificates of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposit and Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Deposits |  | (40) |  | (120) |  | (160) |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other borrowings |  | 98 |  | (100) |  | (2) |
| Federal Home Loan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank advances |  | (206) |  | (8) |  | (214) |
| Total Interest Expense |  | (68) |  | (308) |  | (376) |
| Net Interest Income | \$ | 1,810 | \$ | $(2,124)$ | \$ | (314) |
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## Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income increased $\$ 452$ thousand for the six months ending June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012, to $\$ 5.4$ million. For the three month period ending June 30, 2013 compared to the three months ending June 30, 2012, total non-interest income increased $\$ 345$ thousand. The increase for the six month period ending June 30, 2013 was primarily due to an increase of $\$ 503$ thousand in gains recognized on sold securities and an increase of $\$ 292$ thousand in gains recognized on sold mortgage loans. Decreases to non-interest income for the first six months of 2013 compared to the first six months of 2012 included a decrease of $\$ 175$ thousand in service charges, a decrease of $\$ 119$ thousand in loan service fee income and a decrease of $\$ 147$ thousand in other income. The decrease in service charges is attributed to a decrease of $\$ 178$ thousand in overdraft fee income. The decrease in loan service fee income is related to the change in the valuation allowance for mortgage servicing rights and the decrease in other income is due to the Company accruing a loss of $\$ 105$ thousand for sale of a former branch building.

The gain on the sale of mortgage loans increased from $\$ 848$ thousand in the first six months of 2012 to $\$ 1.1$ million during the first six months of 2013, an increase of $\$ 292$ thousand. For the three months ending June 30, 2013 compared to the same time period in 2012, the gain on the sale of mortgage loans increased $\$ 144$ thousand. The volume of loans originated to sell during the first six months of 2013 increased $\$ 5.6$ million compared to the same time period in 2012. The volume of mortgage loan originations and sales is generally inverse to rate changes. A change in the mortgage loan rate environment can have a significant impact on the related gain on sale of mortgage loans. Loan service fee income, net of amortization expense, was $\$(36)$ thousand for the six months ending June 30, 2013 compared to $\$ 83$ thousand for the six months ending June 30, 2012 a decrease of $\$ 119$ thousand. For the three month period ending June 30, 2013, loan service fee income, net of amortization and impairment expense, was $\$ 16$ thousand compared to a loss of $\$ 4$ thousand for the same time period one year ago. During the first six months of 2013, the carrying value of the mortgage servicing right was written down a net amount of $\$ 65$ thousand, as the fair value of this asset decreased. Of this, a negative valuation adjustment of $\$ 75$ thousand was recorded in the first quarter of 2013 and a positive valuation adjustment of $\$ 8$ thousand was recorded during the second quarter of 2013. For the six months ending June 30, 2012, the carrying value of the mortgage servicing right had a positive valuation adjustment in the amount of $\$ 40$ thousand with a $\$ 63$ thousand positive valuation adjustment recorded during the first quarter of 2012 and a negative valuation adjustment recorded during the second quarter of 2012.

## Non-Interest Expense

Total non-interest expenses increased $\$ 328$ thousand for the six month period ending June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. For the three month period ending June 30, 2013 compared to the three months ending June 30, 2012, total non-interest expense increased $\$ 360$ thousand.

For the comparable six month periods, salaries and benefits increased $\$ 625$ thousand, an increase of $10.2 \%$. The increase is attributed largely to additional employees being hired throughout 2012 and 2013 and normal pay increases at the beginning of 2013. The number of full time equivalent employees at June 30, 2013 was 215 compared to 197 one year ago. Salaries and employee benefits increased $\$ 416$ thousand for the three month period ending June 30, 2013 compared to the same time period in 2012.
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Occupancy expenses increased $\$ 61$ thousand to $\$ 1.5$ million for the first six months of 2013 compared to the same time period in 2012. Occupancy expenses increased $\$ 52$ thousand for the three month period ending June 30, 2013 compared to the same time period in 2012.

Legal and professional fees increased \$18 thousand for the first six months ending June 30, 2013 compared to the same time period in 2012. Legal and professional fees increased $\$ 65$ thousand for the three month period ending June 30, 2013 compared to the same time period in 2012. Repossession expenses decreased $\$ 485$ thousand for the first six months ending June 30, 2013 compared to the same time period in 2012 and decreased $\$ 223$ thousand for the three months ending June 30, 2013 compared to the same time period one year ago. Repossession expenses are reported net of rental income earned on the repossessed properties. Repossession expenses were lower in the first six months of 2013 when compared to the same time period in 2012 due to the Company selling many of the properties included in other real estate owned at June 30 , 2012 throughout the remainder of 2012. FDIC insurance expense decreased $\$ 24$ thousand for the six months ending June 30, 2013 compared to the same time period in 2012.

## Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30,2013 was $19.9 \%$ compared to $18.2 \%$ in 2012 . The effective tax rate for the three months ending June 30, 2013 was $20.8 \%$ compared to $19.4 \%$ for the three months ending June 30, 2012. These rates are less than the statutory rate as a result of the tax-free securities and loans and tax credits generated by certain investments held by the Company. The rates for 2013 are higher due to the higher level of income generated in 2013 and a decrease in tax-exempt income. Tax-exempt interest income decreased $\$ 131$ thousand for the first six months of 2013 compared to the first six months of 2012.

As part of normal business, the Bank typically makes tax free loans to select municipalities in our market and invests in selected tax free securities, primarily in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. In making these investments, the Company considers the overall impact to managing our net interest margin, credit worthiness of the underlying issuer and the favorable impact on our tax position. For the six months ending June 30, 2013, the Company averaged $\$ 77.9$ million in tax free securities and $\$ 21.1$ million in tax free loans. As of June 30, 2013, the weighted average remaining maturity for the tax free securities is 136 months, while the weighted average remaining maturity for the tax free loans is 155 months.

## Liquidity and Funding

Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future financial obligations. The Company s primary sources of funds consist of deposit inflows, loan repayments, maturities and sales of investment securities and FHLB borrowings.

Liquidity risk is the possibility that we may not be able to meet our cash requirements in an orderly manner. Management of liquidity risk includes maintenance of adequate cash and sources of cash to fund operations and to meet the needs of borrowers, depositors and creditors. Excess liquidity has a negative impact on earnings as a result of the lower yields on short-term assets.

# Edgar Filing: KENTUCKY BANCSHARES INC /KY/ - Form 10-Q 

Table of Contents

Cash and cash equivalents were $\$ 14.3$ million as of June 30, 2013 compared to $\$ 31.8$ million at December 31, 2012. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents is attributed to a decrease of $\$ 17.4$ million in cash and due from banks. In addition to cash and cash equivalents, the securities portfolio provides an important source of liquidity. Securities available for sale totaled $\$ 196.4$ million at June 30,2013 compared to $\$ 192.8$ million at December 31, 2012. The available for sale securities are available to meet liquidity needs on a continuing basis. However, we expect our customers deposits to be adequate to meet our funding demands.

Generally, we rely upon net cash inflows from financing activities, supplemented by net cash inflows from operating activities, to provide cash used in our investing activities. As is typical of many financial institutions, significant financing activities include deposit gathering and the use of short-term borrowings, such as federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements along with long-term debt. Our primary investing activities include purchasing investment securities and loan originations.

For the first six months of 2013, deposits decreased $\$ 14.7$ million. The Company s investment portfolio increased $\$ 3.7$ million and the Company s loan portfolio increased $\$ 22.3$ million. The borrowed funds the Company have with the Federal Home Loan Bank increased $\$ 12.2$ million. Federal Funds purchased increased $\$ 8.3$ million from $\$ 0$ at December 31, 2012 to $\$ 8.3$ million at June 30, 2013.

Management is aware of the challenge of funding sustained loan growth. Therefore, in addition to deposits, other sources of funds, such as Federal Home Loan Bank advances, may be used. We rely on Federal Home Loan Bank advances for both liquidity and asset/liability management purposes. These advances are used primarily to fund long-term fixed rate residential mortgage loans. As of June 30, 2013, we have sufficient collateral to borrow an additional $\$ 90$ million from the Federal Home Loan Bank. In addition, as of June 30, 2013, $\$ 11$ million is available in overnight borrowing through various correspondent banks and the Company has access to $\$ 244$ million in brokered deposits. In light of this, management believes there is sufficient liquidity to meet all reasonable borrower, depositor and creditor needs in the present economic environment.

## Capital Requirements

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company s and the Bank s assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company and Bank capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of Total and Tier I capital (as defined in the applicable banking regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier I capital to average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, that the Company and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.
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The most recent notification from the FDIC categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum Total risk-based, Tier I risk-based and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the following table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the institution $s$ category.

In July 2013, the FDIC and the other federal bank regulatory agencies issued a final rule that will revise their leverage and risk-based capital requirements and the method of calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with agreements that were reached by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. Among other things, the rule establishes a new common equity Tier 1 minimum capital requirement ( $4.5 \%$ of risk-weighted assets), increases the minimum Tier 1 capital to risk-based assets requirement (from $4 \%$ to $6 \%$ of risk-weighted assets) and assigns a higher risk weight $(150 \%)$ to exposures that are more than 90 days past due or are on nonaccrual status and to certain commercial real estate facilities that finance the acquisition, development or construction of real property. The final rule also requires unrealized gains and losses on certain available-for-sale securities holdings to be included for purposes of calculating regulatory capital requirement unless a one-time opt-in or opt-out is exercised. The rule limits a banking organization s capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments if the banking organization does not hold a capital conservation buffer consisting of $2.5 \%$ of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets in addition to the amount necessary to meet its minimum risk-based capital requirements. The final rule becomes effective for the Bank on January 1, 2015. The capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning January 1, 2016 and ending January 1, 2019, when the full capital conservation buffer requirement will be effective.

The Company s and the Bank s actual amounts and ratios are presented in the table below:
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllll} & & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { To Be Well } \\ \text { Capitalized } \\ \text { Under Prompt } \\ \text { Corrective }\end{array} \\ \text { Action Provisions } \\ \text { Ratio }\end{array}\right]$
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## Non-Performing Assets

As of June 30, 2013, our non-performing assets totaled $\$ 15.6$ million or $2.21 \%$ of assets compared to $\$ 19.3$ million or $2.75 \%$ of assets at December 31, 2012 (See table below.) The Company experienced a decrease of $\$ 3.1$ million in non-accrual loans from December 31, 2012 to June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2013, non-accrual loans include $\$ 1.5$ million in loans secured by non-farm and non-residential properties, $\$ 831$ thousand in loans secured by 1-4 family properties, $\$ 988$ thousand in loans secured by agricultural properties, $\$ 511$ thousand in loans secured by multi-family residential properties and $\$ 32$ thousand in consumer loans. Real estate loans composed $99.0 \%$ of the non-performing loans as of June 30, 2013 and $97.7 \%$ as of December 31, 2012. Forgone interest income on non-accrual loans totaled $\$ 214$ thousand for the first six months of 2013 compared to forgone interest of $\$ 180$ thousand for the same time period in 2012. Accruing loans that are contractually 90 days or more past due as of June 30, 2013 totaled $\$ 856$ thousand compared to $\$ 841$ thousand at December 31, 2012, an increase of $\$ 15$ thousand. The total nonperforming and restructured loans decreased $\$ 3.2$ million from December 31, 2012 to June 30, 2013, resulting in a decrease in the ratio of nonperforming and restructured loans to loans of 89 basis points to $2.62 \%$. In addition, the amount the Company has booked as Other Real Estate decreased $\$ 380$ thousand from December 31, 2012 to June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2013, the amount recorded as Other Real Estate totaled $\$ 3.8$ million compared to $\$ 4.2$ million at December 31, 2012. During the first six months of 2013, $\$ 334$ thousand was added to Other Real Estate properties while $\$ 725$ thousand in other real estate properties were sold. The allowance as a percentage of non-performing and restructured loans and Other Real Estate Owned increased from 31\% at December 31, 2012 to 35\% at June 30, 2013.

Nonperforming and Restructured Assets

|  | 6/30/13 |  | 12/31/12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Non-accrual Loans | \$ | 3,883 | \$ | 7,024 |
| Accruing Loans which are Contractually past due 90 days or more |  | 856 |  | 841 |
| Accruing Troubled Debt Restructurings |  | 7,112 |  | 7,227 |
| Total Nonperforming and Restructured Loans |  | 11,851 |  | 15,092 |
| Other Real Estate |  | 3,788 |  | 4,168 |
| Total Nonperforming and Restructured Loans and Other Real Estate | \$ | 15,639 | \$ | 19,260 |
| Nonperforming and Restructured Loans as a Percentage of Loans |  | 2.62\% |  | 3.51\% |
| Nonperforming and Restructured Loans and Other Real Estate as a Percentage of Total Assets |  | 2.21\% |  | 2.75\% |
| Allowance as a Percentage of Period-end Loans |  | 1.22\% |  | 1.41\% |
| Allowance as a Percentage of Non-performing and Restructured Loans and Other |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate |  | 35\% |  | $31 \%$ |

We maintain a watch list of agricultural, commercial, real estate mortgage, and real estate construction loans and review those loans on a regular basis. Generally, assets are designated as watch list loans to ensure more frequent monitoring. If we determine that there is serious doubt as to performance in accordance with original terms of the contract, then the loan is generally downgraded and often placed on non-accrual status.
We review and evaluate nonaccrual loans, past due loans, and loans graded substandard or worse on a regular basis to determine if the loan should be evaluated for impairment and whether specific allocations are needed.

## Provision for Loan Losses

The loan loss provision for the first six months of 2013 was $\$ 600$ thousand compared to $\$ 1.0$ million for the first six months of 2012. The loan loss provision was $\$ 150$ thousand for the three months ending June 30, 2013 compared to $\$ 550$ thousand for the three months ending June 30, 2012. Management evaluates the loan portfolio by reviewing the historical loss rate for each respective loan type and assigns risk multiples to
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certain categories to account for qualitative factors including current economic conditions. The average loss rates are reviewed for trends in the analysis, as well as comparisons to peer group loss rates. Management makes allocations within the allowance for loan losses for specifically classified loans regardless of loan amount, collateral or loan type. Loan categories are evaluated utilizing subjective factors in addition to the historical loss calculations to determine a loss allocation for each of those types. As this analysis, or any similar analysis, is an imprecise measure of loss, the allowance is subject to ongoing adjustments. Therefore, management will often take into account other significant factors that may be necessary or prudent in order to reflect probable incurred losses in the total loan portfolio.
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Nonperforming loans and restructured loans decreased $\$ 3.2$ million since December 31, 2012 to $\$ 11.9$ million as of June 30, 2013. Other real estate properties owned decreased $\$ 380$ thousand over this same time period. Additions to Other real estate properties totaled $\$ 334$ thousand while sales totaled $\$ 725$ thousand.

The June 30, 2013 unallocated allowance of $\$ 531$ thousand was essentially unchanged from the December 31, 2012 balance of $\$ 532$ thousand.

Net charge-offs for the six month periods ending June 30, 2013 and June 30,2012 were $\$ 1.1$ million. Net charge-offs were $\$ 275$ thousand for the three months ending June 30, 2013 and $\$ 755$ thousand for the three months ending June 30, 2012. Future levels of charge-offs will be determined by the particular facts and circumstances surrounding individual loans. Based on the above information, management believes the current loan loss allowance is sufficient to meet probable incurred loan losses.

| Loan Losses | Six Months Ended June 30 (in thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Balance at Beginning of Period | \$ | 6,047 | \$ | 5,842 |
| Amounts Charged-off: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 11 |  |  |
| Real Estate Construction |  | 578 |  | 73 |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 141 |  | 612 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 161 |  | 52 |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  |  |  | 64 |
| Agricultural |  | 86 |  | 15 |
| Consumer and other |  | 561 |  | 467 |
| Total Charged-off Loans |  | 1,538 |  | 1,283 |
| Recoveries on Amounts |  |  |  |  |
| Previously Charged-off: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 28 |  |  |
| Real Estate Construction |  | 21 |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential |  | 56 |  | 8 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 39 |  | 1 |
| Non-farm \& non-residential |  | 18 |  |  |
| Agricultural |  | 3 |  | 4 |
| Consumer and other |  | 224 |  | 217 |
| Total Recoveries |  | 389 |  | 230 |
| Net Charge-offs |  | 1,149 |  | 1,053 |
| Provision for Loan Losses |  | 600 |  | 1,000 |
| Balance at End of Period |  | 5,498 |  | 5,789 |
| Loans |  |  |  |  |
| Average |  | 429,164 |  | 414,085 |
| At June 30 |  | 452,245 |  | 421,399 |
| As a Percentage of Average Loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Net Charge-offs for the period |  | 0.27\% |  | 0.25\% |
| Provision for Loan Losses for the period |  | 0.14\% |  | 0.24\% |
| Allowance as a Multiple of |  |  |  |  |
| Net Charge-offs (annualized) |  | 2.4 |  | 2.7 |
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## Item 3 - QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Asset/Liability management control is designed to ensure safety and soundness, maintain liquidity and regulatory capital standards, and achieve acceptable net interest income. Management considers interest rate risk to be the most significant market risk since a bank s net income is largely dependent on net interest income. Our exposure to market risk is reviewed on a regular basis by the Asset/Liability Committee. Interest rate risk is the potential of economic losses due to future interest rate changes. These economic losses can be reflected as a loss of future net interest income and/or a loss of current fair market values. The objective is to measure the effect on net interest income and to adjust the balance sheet to minimize the inherent risk, while at the same time, maximize income.

Management realizes certain risks are inherent and that the goal is to identify and minimize the risks. The primary tools used by management are interest rate shock and economic value of equity (EVE) simulations. We have no market risk sensitive instruments held for trading purposes.

Using interest rate shock simulations, the following table depicts the change in net interest income resulting from 100 and 300 basis point changes in rates on the Company s interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities. The projections are based on balance sheet growth assumptions and repricing opportunities for new, maturing and adjustable rate amounts. As of June 30, 2013, the projected percentage changes are within limits approved by our Board of Directors ( Board ). Although management does analyze and monitor the projected percentage change in a declining interest rate environment, due to the current rate environment many of the current deposit rates cannot decline an additional 100 basis points. Therefore, management places more emphasis in the rising rate environment scenarios. This period s volatility is slightly higher in each rate shock simulation when compared to the same period a year ago. The projected net interest income report summarizing our interest rate sensitivity as of June 30, 2013 is as follows:

PROJECTED NET INTEREST INCOME
(dollars in thousands)

| Change in basis points: | - 300 |  | -100 |  | Level <br> Rates |  | +100 |  | +300 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year One (7/13-6/14) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$ | 22,808 | \$ | 23,528 | \$ | 24,507 | \$ | 24,899 | \$ | 24,989 |
| Net interest income dollar change |  | $(1,698)$ |  | (979) |  | N/A |  | 392 |  | 483 |
| Net interest income percentage change |  | -6.9\% |  | -3.9\% |  | N/A |  | 1.6\% |  | 2.0\% |
| Board approved limit |  | >-10.0\% |  | >-4.0\% |  | N/A |  | >-4.0\% |  | >-10.0\% |

The projected net interest income report summarizing the Company s interest rate sensitivity as of June 30, 2012 is as follows:

PROJECTED NET INTEREST INCOME
(dollars in thousands)

| Change in basis points: | -300 |  | -100 |  | Level Rates |  | +100 |  | + 300 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year One (7/12-6/13) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$ | 23,411 | \$ | 24,124 | \$ | 24,694 | , | 24,718 | \$ | 24,731 |
| Net interest income dollar change |  | $(1,283)$ |  | (571) |  | N/A |  | 24 |  | 36 |
| Net interest income percentage change |  | -5.2\% |  | -2.3\% |  | N/A |  | 0.10\% |  | 0.15\% |
| Board approved limit |  | >-10.0\% |  | >-4.0\% |  | N/A |  | >-4.0\% |  | >-10.0\% |
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Projections from June 30, 2013, year one reflected a decline in net interest income of $3.9 \%$ with a 100 basis point decline compared to the $2.3 \%$ decline in 2012. The 100 basis point increase in rates reflected a $1.6 \%$ increase in net interest income in 2013 compared to a decrease of $0.1 \%$ in 2012.

EVE applies discounting techniques to future cash flows to determine the present value of assets, liabilities, and therefore equity. Based upon applying these techniques to the June 30, 2013 balance sheet, a 100 basis point increase in rates results in a $4.3 \%$ decrease in EVE. A 100 basis point decrease in rates results in a $5.7 \%$ decrease in EVE. These are within the Board approved limits.

## Item 4 - CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this report, our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective, in all material respects, to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files and submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required.

We also conducted an evaluation of internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the quarter covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Based on this evaluation, there has been no such change during the quarter covered by this report.

Our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

## Part II - Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company terminated its Defined Benefit Plan (the Plan) effective December 31, 2008. The Company terminated the Kentucky Bancshares, Inc. Retirement Plan and Trust (the Plan ) in a standard termination, with a termination date of December 31, 2008. Prior to such termination, the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (PPA ) had amended Internal Revenue Code (IRC ) Section 417(e)(3) in part by changing the definition of applicable interest rate in a manner that in most cases (when combined with other changes to IRC Section 417(e)(3)) would result in a decrease in the value of a participant sor beneficiary splan benefits under pension plans such as the Company s Plan with the new definition applicable (for most plans, including the Plan) to lump sums with annuity starting dates in or after the 2008 plan year. The Plan had determined in mid-2008 to comply with IRC Section 417(e)(3), as amended by PPA, by using the assumptions governing minimum lump sums (the PPA Minimum Methodology ), rather than by using the pre-PPA minimum lump sum assumptions, and operated the Plan in compliance with that decision. The Plan paid two lump sum payments to participants in July and December of 2008, prior to the termination of the Plan, and determined the value of those participants benefits using the PPA Minimum Methodology. As permitted by the IRC, the Plan was amended on
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February 24, 2009 (after the termination of the Plan on December 31, 2008) to formalize that decision in accordance with Section 1107 of PPA.

Section 4041.8(a) of the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ( PBGC ) regulations generally provides that a participant sor beneficiary splan benefits are determined under the plan s provisions in effect on the plan stermination date. The regulations further provide that an amendment adopted after the plan $s$ termination date is taken into account with respect to a participant $s$ or beneficiary $s$ plan benefits to the extent the amendment does not decrease the value of the participant sor beneficiary splan benefits under the plan s provisions in effect on the termination date; however, the regulations, at Section 4041.8(c)(1), also provide that an amendment shall not be treated as decreasing the value of a participant s or beneficiary s plan benefits to the extent the decrease is necessary to meet a qualification requirement under Section 401 of the IRC.

The Internal Revenue Service issued a favorable determination as to the Plan termination in July 2010. Subsequent to Plan termination and distributions to Plan participants, the Plan was selected for audit by the PBGC. The PBGC has asserted that the February, 2009 amendment to the Plan violated PBGC Regulation Section 4041.8(a) because the amendment served to lower benefits to Plan beneficiaries, and the PBGC has filed a Complaint in United States District Court (Eastern District of Kentucky) (the Litigation ) to require the Company to make additional distributions to Plan beneficiaries. A preliminary estimate provided by the Plan actuary indicates the potential exposure related to this matter (were the PBGC to prevail) is $\$ 1.3$ million, plus another $\$ 200$ thousand in estimated interest. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to vigorously defend its position in the Litigation.

## ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

| Period | (a) <br> Total <br> Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased | (b) <br> Average Price Paid Per Share (or Unit) |  | (c) Total Number <br> of Shares (or Units) <br> Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans Or Programs | (d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/1/13-4/30/13 | \$ |  |  |  | 94,912 shares |
| 5/1/13-5/31/13 |  |  |  |  | 94,912 shares |
| 6/1/13-6/30/13 | 2,000 |  | 24.05 | 2,000 | 92,912 shares |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 92,912 shares |

On October 25, 2000, we announced that our Board approved a stock repurchase program and authorized the Company to purchase up to 100,000 shares of its outstanding common stock. On November 11, 2002, the Board approved and authorized the Company s repurchase of an additional 100,000 shares. On May 20, 2008, the Board of Directors approved and authorized the Company to purchase an additional 100,000 shares. On May 17, 2011, the Board approved and authorized the Company s repurchase of an additional 100,000 shares. Shares will be purchased from time to time in the open market depending on market prices and other considerations. Through June 30, 2013, 307,088 shares have been purchased.
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Item 6. Exhibits
2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger with Peoples Bancorp of Sandy Hook is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Registrant s Current Report of Form 8-K dated and filed February 24, 2006.
3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant are incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ending March 31, 2000 and filed May 15, 2000.
3.2 Bylaws of the Registrant are incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant s Quarterly Report of Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ending June 30, 2000 and filed August 14, 2000.
3.3 Articles of Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant are incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of the Registrant s Annual Report of Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2005 and filed March 29, 2006.
31.1 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101* The following financial information from Kentucky Bancshares, Inc. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2013, filed with the SEC on August 14, 2013, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the six and three months ended June 30,2013 and June 30, 2012, (iii) Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2013, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012 and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
*Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Exchange Act of 1934, or otherwise subject to the liability of those sections, and shall not be deemed part of a registration statement, prospectus or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act of 1934, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filings.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

|  |  | KENTUCKY BANCSHARES, INC. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date | $8 / 14 / 13$ | /s/Louis Prichard |
| Date |  | Louis Prichard, President and C.E.O. |
|  | $8 / 14 / 13$ | /s/Gregory J. Dawson |
|  |  | Gregory J. Dawson, Chief Financial Officer |

