

XEROX CORP  
Form 10-K/A  
January 27, 2003

---

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-K/A**  
**(Amendment No. 5)**

(Mark One)

**Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

For the fiscal year ended: December 31, 2001

**Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

For the transition period from:            to

1-4471 (Commission File Number)

**XEROX CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**New York**  
(State of incorporation)

**16-0468020**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**P.O. Box 1600, Stamford, Connecticut**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**06904**  
(Zip Code)

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (203) 968-3000**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each Class</u>	<u>Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered</u>
Common Stock, \$1 par value	New York Stock Exchange Chicago Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes:  No:

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

The aggregate market value of the voting stock of the registrant held by non-affiliates as of December 31, 2002 was: \$5,943,094,994.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

<u>Class</u>	<u>Outstanding at December 31, 2002</u>
Common Stock, \$1 par value	738,272,670 Shares

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of the following documents are incorporated herein by reference:

<u>Document</u>	<u>Part of Form 10-K in Which Incorporated</u>
None.	

**PURPOSE OF AMENDMENT**

The principal purpose for this Amendment No. 5 to Xerox Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as announced on December 20, 2002, is to restate interest expense incurred during 2001 to correct an error in the calculation of interest expense related to a debt instrument and associated interest swap agreements. The reissuance of the 2001 financial statements, as restated, requires that we also reflect the adoption in early 2002 of two Statements of Financial Accounting Standards and adjustments to the presentation of operating segment financial information made in 2002.

Accordingly, this Amendment No. 5 relates solely to financial information and disclosures related to:

- (1) Such restatement of interest expense incurred during 2001\*;
- (2) Adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS No. 142) on January 1, 2002 (proforma presentation of net income and earnings per share for those years prior to adoption)\*\*;
- (3) Adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 145, Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections (SFAS No. 145) on April 1, 2002 (relating to reclassification of extraordinary gains from extinguishment of debt to operating income)\*\*; and
- (4) Adjustment to the presentation of operating segment financial information to reflect a change in measurement of operating segment structure that was made in 2002.

All other financial information and disclosures remain unchanged.

References to we, our or us refer to Xerox Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries.

\* In December 2002, we discovered an error in the calculation of our interest expense related to a debt instrument and associated interest rate swap agreements. The error related to our application of SFAS No. 133 and resulted in an understatement of interest expense of \$34 million and an overstatement of the gain on early extinguishment of debt of \$3 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. Accordingly, we have restated our consolidated financial statements for these items within this amendment.

\*\* The application of these accounting standards is required to be disclosed in financial statements that are reissued in periods after such financial accounting standards are adopted.

### Forward Looking Statements

From time to time we and our representatives may provide information, whether orally or in writing, including certain statements in this Form 10-K/A, which are forward-looking. These forward-looking statements and other information are based on our beliefs as well as assumptions made by us based on information currently available.

The words anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, intend, will and similar expressions, as they relate to us, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements reflect our current views with respect to future events and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described herein as anticipated, believed, estimated or expected. We do not intend to update these forward-looking statements.

We are making investors aware that such forward-looking statements, because they relate to future events, are by their very nature subject to many important factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

**Competition** We operate in an environment of significant competition, driven by rapid technological advances and the demands of customers to become more efficient. There are a number of companies worldwide with significant financial resources which compete with us to provide document processing products and services in each of the markets we serve, some of whom operate on a global basis. Our success in future performance is largely dependent upon our ability to compete successfully in the markets we currently serve and to expand into additional market segments.

**Transition to Digital** Presently, black and white light-lens copiers represent between 15%-20% of our revenues. This segment of the market is mature with anticipated declining industry revenues as the market transitions to digital technology. Some of our new digital products replace or compete with our current light-lens equipment. Changes in the mix of products from light-lens to digital, and the pace of that change as well as competitive developments could cause actual results to vary from those expected.

**Expansion of Color** Color printing and copying represents an important and growing segment of the market. Printing from computers has both facilitated and increased the demand for color. A significant part of our strategy and ultimate success in this changing market is our ability to develop and market technology that produces color prints and copies quickly, easily and at reduced cost. Our continuing success in this strategy depends on our ability to make the investments and commit the necessary resources in this highly competitive market as well as the pace of color adoption by our prospective customers.

**Pricing** Our success is dependent upon our ability to obtain adequate pricing for our products and services which provide a reasonable return to our shareholders. Depending on competitive market factors, future prices we obtain for our products and services may vary from historical levels. In addition, pricing actions to offset the effect of currency devaluations may not prove sufficient to offset further devaluations or may not hold in the face of customer resistance and/or competition.

**Customer Financing Activities** On average, we have historically financed approximately 80 percent of our equipment sales. To fund these arrangements, we have accessed the credit markets and used cash generated from operations. The long-term viability and profitability of our customer financing activities is dependent on our ability to borrow and the cost of borrowing in these markets. This ability and cost, in turn, is dependent on our credit ratings. We are currently funding our customer financing activity from cash generated from operations as well as from cash on hand, unregistered capital markets offerings and securitizations. There is no assurance that we will be able to continue to fund our customer financing activity at present levels. We continue to negotiate and implement third-party vendor financing programs and possible monetizations of portions of our existing finance receivable portfolios, and we continue to actively pursue alternative forms of financing including securitizations and secured borrowings. These initiatives are expected to significantly improve our liquidity going forward. Our ability to continue to offer customer financing and be successful in the placement of equipment with customers is largely dependent upon successful implementation of our third party financing initiatives.

**Productivity** Our ability to sustain and improve profit margins is largely dependent on our ability to maintain an efficient, cost-effective operation. Productivity improvements through process re-engineering, design efficiency and supplier and manufacturing cost improvements are required to offset labor cost inflation, potential materials cost increases and competitive price pressures.

**International Operations** We derive approximately 40 percent of our revenue from operations outside the United States. In addition, we manufacture or acquire many of our products and/or their components outside the United States. Our future revenue, cost and results from operations could be affected by a number of factors, including changes in foreign currency exchange rates, changes in economic conditions from country to country, changes in a country's political conditions, trade protection measures, licensing requirements and local tax issues. Our ability to enter into new foreign exchange contracts to manage foreign exchange risk is currently severely limited given our below investment grade credit ratings, and we anticipate increased volatility in our results of operations due to changes in foreign exchange rates.

**New Products/Research and Development** The process of developing new high technology products and solutions is inherently complex and uncertain. It requires accurate anticipation of customers' changing needs and emerging technological trends. We must then make long-term investments and commit significant resources before knowing whether these investments will eventually result in products that achieve customer acceptance and generate the revenues required to provide anticipated returns from these investments.

**Revenue Trends** Our ability to return to and maintain a consistent trend of revenue growth over the intermediate to longer term is largely dependent upon expansion of our worldwide equipment placements as well as sales of services and supplies occurring after the initial equipment placement (post sale revenue) in the key growth markets of color and multifunction devices. Revenue growth will be further enhanced through our consulting services in the areas of document content and knowledge management. The ability to achieve growth in our equipment placements is subject to the successful implementation of our initiatives to provide advanced systems, industry-oriented global solutions and services for major customers, improved direct sales productivity and expansion of our indirect distribution channels in the face of global competition and pricing pressures. The ability to grow our customers' usage of our products may continue to be adversely impacted by the movement towards distributed printing and electronic substitutes. Our inability to return to and maintain a consistent trend of revenue growth could have a material adverse affect on the trend of our operating results.

**Liquidity** The adequacy of our continuing liquidity depends on our ability to successfully generate positive cash flow from an appropriate combination of operating improvements, financing from third parties, access to capital markets and additional asset sales including sales or securitizations of our receivables portfolios. We believe our liquidity is sufficient to meet current and anticipated needs, including all scheduled debt maturities; however, our ability to maintain positive liquidity is highly dependent on achieving our expected operating results, including capturing the benefits from restructuring activities, and completing several vendor financing and other initiatives that are discussed below. There is no assurance that these initiatives will be successful. Failure to successfully complete these initiatives could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and our operations, and could require us to consider further measures, including deferring planned capital expenditures, modifying current restructuring plans, reducing discretionary spending and selling additional assets.

We have successfully completed the renegotiation of our \$7 billion Revolving Credit Agreement (the "Old Revolver"). Of the original \$7 billion in loans outstanding under the Old Revolver, \$2.8 billion has been repaid and the remaining \$4.2 billion has been refinanced under the terms of a new Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "New Credit Facility"), which is more fully discussed elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The New Credit Facility requires certain principal amortizations as well as prepayments in the case of certain events. A full discussion of all of these terms and the final maturity dates of the various loans is included in the Capital Resources and Liquidity section of this Annual Report on Form 10K. The New Credit Facility contains affirmative and negative covenants including limitations on issuance of debt and preferred stock; certain fundamental changes; investments and acquisitions; mergers; certain transactions with affiliates; creation of liens; asset transfers; hedging transactions; payment of dividends; inter-company loans and certain restricted payments; and a requirement to transfer excess foreign cash, as defined, and excess cash of Xerox Credit Corporation to Xerox Corporation in certain circumstances. It also contains additional financial

covenants, including minimum EBITDA, maximum leverage (total adjusted debt divided by EBIDTA, as defined) and, maximum capital expenditures limits.

Any failure to be in compliance with any material provision of the New Credit Facility could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and operations.

**PART II**

**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

**INDEX TO FINANCIAL SECTION**

**FINANCIAL REVIEW**

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition

Introduction

Restatement and Reclassification of 2001 Financial Statements

Restatement of 2000 and 1999 Financial Statements

Settlement with the Securities and Exchange Commission

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

Financial Overview

Summary of Total Company Results

Revenues by Type

Gross Margin

Research and Development

Selling, Administrative and General Expenses

Restructuring Programs

Other Expenses, Net

Gain on Affiliate's Sale of Stock

Income Taxes and Equity in Net Income of Unconsolidated Affiliates

Manufacturing Outsourcing

Divestitures

Acquisition of the Color Printing and Imaging Division of Tektronix, Inc.

Business Performance by Segment

New Accounting Standards

Capital Resources and Liquidity

Liquidity, Financial Flexibility and Funding Plans

Actions Taken to Address Liquidity Issues

Cash and Debt Positions

Plans to Strengthen Liquidity and Financial Flexibility Beyond 2002

Contractual Cash Obligations and Other Commercial Commitments and Contingencies

Other Funding Arrangements

Cash Management

## Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition

**Introduction.** In this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition (MD&A) we begin by describing the matters considered by management to be important to an understanding of the results of our operations and our capital resources and liquidity as of and for the three years ended December 31, 2001. This section begins with a discussion of our recent settlement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regarding accounting issues that had been under investigation since June 2000. The discussion includes the financial effects of the related restatement. Immediately following, is a new disclosure for most companies this year. It is an analysis of the critical accounting policies which affect the recognition and measurement of our transactions and the balances in our consolidated financial statements. In this section, we review the critical accounting judgments and estimates which we believe are most important to an understanding of the MD&A and the Consolidated Financial Statements. We then analyze the results of our operations for the last three years including the trends in the overall business and our operating segments including our Turnaround Program and important transactions and events such as asset sales. This section concludes with a summary of recent accounting pronouncements which will have an impact on our financial accounting practices. Thereafter, we discuss our cash flows and liquidity, capital markets events and transactions, debt ratings, our new credit facility, derivatives, our transition to vendor financing, special purpose entities, contractual commitments and related issues.

**Restatement and Reclassification of 2001 Financial Statements.** As more fully discussed in Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, we discovered an error during 2002 in the calculation of our interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2001, related to a debt instrument and associated interest rate swap agreements. The error had occurred in connection with the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133 in January 2001 and resulted in an understatement of interest expense of \$34 million and an overstatement of the gain on early extinguishment of debt of \$3 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. To adjust for these items, we have restated our 2001 financial statements.

In addition, as more fully discussed in Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, during 2002, in connection with the adoption of the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 145, Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections (SFAS No. 145), the gains on early extinguishment of debt previously reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as an extraordinary item were reclassified to Other expenses, net. After the effects of the restatement discussed in Note 21, the effect of this reclassification in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations was a decrease to Other expenses, net of \$63 and an increase to Income taxes of \$25, from amounts previously reported, for the year ended December 31, 2001.

**Restatement of 2000 and 1999 Financial Statements.** We have determined that certain of our accounting practices misapplied U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Accordingly, we have restated our financial statements for the four years ended December 31, 2000 and revised our previously announced 2001 results included in our earnings release dated January 28, 2002. Throughout this MD&A, the term "previously reported" will be used to refer to our previously filed 1997-2000 Financial Statements as well as our 2001 results. The restatement adjustments relate almost exclusively to the timing of revenue and expense recognition. We reversed cumulative net revenue of \$1.9 billion that was recognized in prior years, of which \$1.3 billion is reflected in the years 1997 to 2001. This revenue adjustment is comprised of a reduction in equipment sales revenue, previously recognized from 1997 through 2001, of \$6.4 billion offset by \$5.1 billion of service, rental, document outsourcing and financing revenue now recognized from 1997 through 2001. The remaining net amount of revenue reversed, of \$600 million, represents the cumulative net revenue impacts of reversing equipment sales transactions that were previously recorded in periods prior to 1997. Based on the cumulative impacts of the revenue adjustments for all periods prior to December 31, 2001, including pre-1997 impacts, we anticipate the recognition of \$1.9 billion in revenues over the next several years through 2006. This represents sales-type lease revenue that had previously been recorded, that is expected to be earned over time as a component of our rental, service and finance revenue. In addition to the aforementioned revenue timing adjustments, and as more fully discussed below, we permanently reduced reported revenue by \$269 million, for the five-year period ended December 31, 2001, as a result of the deconsolidation of our South African affiliate. Revenues from 1997 through 2001 as originally reported were \$92.6 billion compared to \$91.0 billion after the restatement. Substantially all non-revenue items included in the restatement have reversed within the five-year period ended December 31, 2001; our liquidity is not impacted since the restatement items reflect timing differences. As of December 31, 2001 our restated

---

Common Shareholders Equity is \$1.8 billion versus \$3.1 billion as originally included in our January 28, 2002 earnings release.

**Settlement with the Securities and Exchange Commission.** On April 11, 2002, we reached a settlement with the SEC relating to matters that had been under investigation by the SEC since June 2000. We believe the settlement is in the best interests of our shareholders, customers, employees and other stakeholders because it resolves these matters eliminating the distraction and risk associated with potential SEC litigation thereby enabling us to focus on continuing to improve our operations and restore the Company's financial health. In addition, as a result of the settlement with the SEC, we are undertaking a review of our material internal accounting controls and accounting policies to determine whether any additional changes are required in order to provide additional reasonable assurance that the types of accounting errors that occurred are not likely to reoccur.

The restatement reflects adjustments which are corrections of errors made in the application of GAAP and includes (i) adjustments related to the application of the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 13 Accounting for Leases (SFAS No. 13) and (ii) adjustments that arose as a result of other errors in the application of GAAP. In making these restatements we have conducted an extensive review of all significant transactions, accounting policies and procedures and disclosures for the period 1997 through 2001. The principal adjustments are discussed below.

*Application of SFAS No. 13:*

**Revenue allocations in bundled arrangements:** We sell most of our products and services under bundled lease arrangements which contain multiple deliverable elements. These multiple element arrangements typically include separate equipment, service, supplies and financing components for which a customer pays a single fixed negotiated price on a monthly basis, as well as a variable amount for page volumes in excess of stated minimums. The restatement primarily reflects adjustments related to the allocation of revenue and the resultant timing of revenue recognition for sales-type leases under these bundled lease arrangements.

The methodology we used in prior years for allocating revenue to our sales-type leases involved first, estimating the fair market value of the service and financing components of the leases. Specifically, with respect to the financing component, we estimated the overall interest rate to be applied to transactions to be the rate we targeted to achieve a fair return on equity for our financing operations. This is effectively a discounted cash flow valuation methodology. In estimating this interest rate we considered a number of factors including our cost of funds, debt levels, return on equity, debt to equity ratios, income generated subsequent to the initial lease term, tax rates, and the financing business overhead costs. We made service revenue allocations based, primarily, on an analysis of our service gross margins. After deducting service and finance values from the minimum payments due under the lease, the equipment value was derived. These allocation procedures resulted in adjustments to values initially reflected in our accounting systems, such that values attributed to the service and financing components were generally decreased and the values assigned to the equipment components were generally increased.

The SEC staff advised us of its view that our previous methodology, as described above, did not comply with the requirements of SFAS No. 13. SFAS No. 13 requires us to use the discount rate which causes the aggregate present value of the minimum lease payments, excluding executory and service income, and any unguaranteed residual value, to equal the fair value of the equipment. However, our revenue allocation processes with respect to the principal (i.e., equipment) and interest components of our leases did not begin with the estimated fair value of the equipment, and did not treat unearned finance income as the derived value.

We have determined that the previous allocation methodology was not in accordance with SFAS No. 13, therefore, we have utilized a different methodology to account for our sales-type leases involving multiple element arrangements. This methodology begins by determining the fair value of the service component, as well as other executory costs and any profit thereon, and second, by determining the fair value of the equipment based on a comparison of the equipment values in our accounting systems to a range of cash selling prices. The resultant implicit interest rate is then compared to fair market value rates to assess the reasonableness of the overall allocations to the multiple elements.

We conducted an extensive analysis of available verifiable objective evidence of fair value (VOE) based on cash sales prices and compared these prices to the range of equipment values recorded in our lease accounting systems. With the exception of Latin America, where operating lease accounting is applied as discussed below, the range of cash selling



prices supports the reasonableness of the range of equipment lease prices as originally recorded, at inception of the lease, in our accounting systems. In applying our new methodology described above, we have therefore concluded that the revenue amounts allocated by our accounting systems to the equipment component of a multiple element arrangement represents a reasonable estimate of the fair value of the equipment. As a consequence, \$2.4 billion of previously recorded equipment sale revenue during the five years ended December 31, 2001 has been reversed and we have recognized additional service revenue and finance income of \$1.7 billion, which represents the impact of reversing amounts previously recorded as equipment sales-type leases and recognizing such amounts over the lease term. The net cumulative reduction in revenue, as a result of this change, was \$641 million for the five-year period ended December 31, 2001. In total approximately \$840 million of revenue previously recognized has been reversed and will be recognized in future years, estimated as follows: \$410 million 2002, \$260 million 2003 and \$170 million thereafter.

Transactions not qualifying as sales-type leases: We re-evaluated the application of SFAS No. 13 for leases originally accounted for as sales-type leases in our Latin American operations, and we determined that these leases should have been recorded as operating leases. This determination was made after we conducted an in-depth review of the historical effective lease terms compared to the contractual terms of our lease agreements. Since, historically, and during all periods presented, a majority of leases were terminated significantly prior to the expiration of the contractual lease term, we concluded that such leases did not qualify as sales-type leases under certain provisions of SFAS No. 13. Specifically, because we generally do not collect the receivable from the initial transaction upon termination of the contract or during the subsequent lease term, the recoverability of the lease investment was not predictable at the inception of the original lease term. The accounting for these transactions as sales-type leases is further complicated due to our very high market shares in many of these countries, which makes it difficult to establish a reasonable basis for estimating the fair value of the equipment component of our leases due to a lack of available VOE. In addition historical and continuing economic and political instability in many of these countries also raises concerns about reasonable assurance of collectibility. As a consequence, \$2.8 billion of previously recorded equipment sale revenue during the five years ended December 31, 2001 has been reversed and we have recognized additional rental revenue of \$2.2 billion, which represents the impact of changing the classification of previously recorded sales-type leases to operating leases. The net cumulative reduction in revenue, as a result of this change, was \$633 million for the five-year period ended December 31, 2001. In total, approximately \$800 million of revenue previously recognized has been reversed and will be recognized in future years, estimated as follows: \$240 million 2002, \$240 million 2003 and \$320 million thereafter.

During the course of the restatement process, we concluded that the estimated economic life used for classifying leases for the majority of our products should have been five years versus the three to four years we previously utilized. This resulted from an in-depth review of our lease portfolios, for all periods presented, which indicated that the most frequent term of our lease contracts was 60 months. We believe that this has been and is representative of the period during which the equipment is expected to be economically usable, with normal repairs and maintenance, for the purpose for which it was intended at the inception of the lease. As a consequence, many shorter duration leases did not meet the criteria of SFAS No. 13 to be accounted for as sales-type leases. Additionally, other lease arrangements were found to not meet other requirements of SFAS No. 13 for treatment as sales-type leases. As a consequence, \$588 million of equipment revenue recorded during the five years ended December 31, 2001 has been reversed and we have recognized additional rental revenue of \$387 million, which represents the impact of changing the classification of previously recorded sales-type leases to operating leases. The net cumulative reduction in revenue, as a result of this change, was \$201 million for the five-year period ended December 31, 2001. In total approximately \$140 million of revenue previously recognized has been reversed and will be recognized in future years, estimated as follows: \$70 million 2002, \$40 million 2003 and \$30 million thereafter.

Accounting for the sale of equipment subject to operating leases: We have historically sold pools of equipment subject to operating leases to third party finance companies (the counterparties) or through structured financings with third parties and recorded the transaction as a sale at the time the equipment is accepted by the counterparties. These transactions increased equipment sale revenue, primarily in Latin America, in 2000 and 1999 by \$148 million and \$400 million, respectively. Upon additional review of the terms and conditions of these contracts, it was determined that the form of the transactions at inception included retained ownership risk provisions or other contingencies that precluded these transactions from meeting the criteria for sale treatment under the provisions of SFAS No. 13. The form of the transaction notwithstanding, these risk of loss or contingency provisions have resulted in only minor impacts on our operating results during the five years ended December 31, 2001. These transactions have however been restated and

recorded as operating leases in our consolidated financial statements. As a consequence \$569 million of equipment revenue recorded during the five years ended December 31, 2001 has been reversed and we have recognized additional rental revenue of \$670 million, which represents the impact of changing the previously recorded transactions to operating leases. The net cumulative increase in revenue as a result of this change was \$101 million for the five-year period ended December 31, 2001. In total approximately \$110 million of revenue previously recognized has been reversed and will be recognized in future years, estimated as follows: \$80 million 2002 and \$30 million 2003. Additionally, for transactions in which cash proceeds were received up-front we have recorded these proceeds as secured borrowings. The remaining balance of these borrowings aggregated \$55 million at December 31, 2001.

In summary and in connection with the restatement of reported results of operations regarding accounting for leases, our policy is to now measure the reasonableness of estimates of fair values of leased equipment by comparison to VOE from cash sales of the same or similar equipment or on the basis of other objective evidence of fair value. Going forward, due to a change in business model, we expect equipment sales in Latin America will either be for cash or will be financed by third party financial institutions. In connection with negotiations underway with third parties, we anticipate substantially exiting our financing business. Our business processes and the terms of our third party financing contracts may result in our customer transactions being initially recorded as leases in our financial statements prior to being sold to the financing companies. The accounting effect may require us to account for transactions with third party finance companies as sales of the underlying leases, and to recognize gains or losses on the sales of such leases as they are sold.

*Other adjustments:*

In addition to the aforementioned revenue related adjustments, other errors in the application of GAAP were identified. These include the following:

**Sales of receivables transactions:** During 2001 and 1999, we sold approximately \$2.0 billion of U.S. finance receivables originating from sales-type leases (\$1.4 billion in 1999 and \$600 million in 2001). These transactions were originally accounted for as sales of receivables. These sales were made to special purpose entities (SPEs), which qualified for non-consolidation in accordance with then existing accounting requirements. As a result of the changes in the estimated economic life of our equipment to five years, certain leases transferred in these transactions did not meet the sales-type lease requirements and were accounted for as operating leases. This change in lease classification affected a number of the leases that were sold into the aforementioned SPEs resulting in these entities now holding operating leases as assets. This change disqualified the SPEs from non-consolidation and effectively required us to record the proceeds received on these sales as secured borrowings. This increased our debt by \$490 million, \$418 million and \$950 million as of December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. These transactions are also discussed in Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. This change has no effect on our liquidity or amounts due to the SPEs from the Company.

During 1999, we sold \$288 million of accounts receivables to financial institutions. Upon additional review of the terms and conditions of these transactions, we determined that \$57 million (including \$14 million which was restated in connection with the prior restatement of our financial statements) did not qualify for sale treatment as a result of our agreeing to reacquire the receivables in 2000. Accordingly, we have restated our previously reported results for these transactions and they are now reported in our Consolidated Financial Statements as short-term borrowings. This change increased Accounts receivable, net and debt by \$57 million as of December 31, 1999; the transactions were settled in early 2000. No similar transactions have occurred since 1999.

**South Africa deconsolidation:** We determined that we inappropriately consolidated our South African affiliate since 1998 as the minority joint venture partner has substantive participating rights. Accordingly, we have deconsolidated all assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. We now account for this investment on the equity method of accounting. The cumulative reduction in revenues through December 31, 2001 was \$269 million and there was no impact on net income or Common Shareholder's Equity.

**Purchase accounting reserves:** In connection with the 1998 acquisition of XL Connect Solutions, Inc. (XLConnect), we recorded liabilities aggregating \$65 million for contingencies identified at the date of the acquisition. During 2000 and 1999, we determined that certain of these contingent liabilities were no longer required, and \$29 million of the liabilities were either reversed into income or we charged certain costs related to ongoing activities of the acquired business against these liabilities. Upon additional review we determined that approximately \$51 million of these contingent

liabilities did not meet the criteria to initially be recorded as acquisition liabilities. Accordingly, we have adjusted the goodwill and liabilities at the date of acquisition and corrected the 2000 and 1999 income statement impacts.

Restructuring reserves: During 2000 and 1998, we recorded restructuring charges associated with our decisions to exit certain activities of the business. Upon additional review we determined that certain adjustments made to the original charges were not in accordance with GAAP. The adjustments to increase pre-tax loss in 2001 of \$87 million and decrease pre-tax loss in 2000 of \$65 million consist primarily of corrections to the timing of the release of reserves originally recorded under the March 2000 restructuring program. We should have reversed the applicable reserves in late 2000 when the information was available that our original plan had changed indicating that such reserves were no longer necessary. Previously, the reversal was recorded in early 2001. Similarly, the adjustment of \$12 million to decrease 1999 pre-tax income relates primarily to the inappropriate release of restructuring reserves which should have been recorded in 1998 based on information available at the time. The adjustments to reduce the 1998 restructuring provisions of \$138 million related to charges which did not meet the criteria to be recorded as part of the initial restructuring reserves. Such charges did not qualify as exit costs or appropriate separation costs in accordance with the accounting guidance governing restructuring actions. In total, these adjustments increased pre-tax income by \$104 million for the five year period ended December 31, 2001.

Tax refunds: In 1995, we received a final favorable court decision that entitled us to refunds of certain tax amounts paid in the U.S., plus accrued interest on the tax. The court established the legal precedent upon which the refunds were to be based. We recorded the income associated with the tax refunds and the related interest from 1995 through 1999. We determined that the benefit should have been recorded in periods prior to 1997. These adjustments decreased pre-tax income by \$153 million for the five year period ended December 31, 2001.

Other adjustments: In addition to the above items and in connection with our review of prior year's financial records we determined that other accounting errors were made with respect to the accounting for certain non-recurring transactions, the timing of recording and reversing certain liabilities and the timing of recording certain asset write-offs. We have restated our 2000 and 1999 Consolidated Financial Statements, and revised our previously announced 2001 results for such items. These adjustments decreased pre-tax income by \$290 million for the five year period ended December 31, 2001.

The following table presents the effects of the aforementioned adjustments on total revenue:

**Increase (decrease) to total revenue:**

(in millions)

	Year ended December 31,				
	2001	2000	1999	1998	1997
Revenue, previously reported	\$ 16,502	\$ 18,701	\$ 19,567	\$ 19,593	\$ 18,225
Application of SFAS No. 13:					
Revenue allocations in bundled arrangements	65	(78)	(257)	(284)	(87)
Latin America operating lease accounting	187	(58)	57	(358)	(461)
Other transactions not qualifying as sales-type leases	73	57	(60)	(119)	(152)
Sales of equipment subject to operating leases	197	124	(243)	67	(44)
Subtotal	522	45	(503)	(694)	(744)
Other revenue restatement adjustments:					
Sales of receivables transactions	42	61	(6)		
South Africa deconsolidation	(66)	(72)	(71)	(60)	
Other revenue items, net	8	16	8	(62)	(24)
Subtotal	(16)	5	(69)	(122)	(24)
Increase (decrease) in total revenue	506	50	(572)	(816)	(768)
Revenues, restated	\$ 17,008	\$ 18,751	\$ 18,995	\$ 18,777	\$ 17,457

The following table presents the effects of the aforementioned adjustments on sales revenue:

**Increase (decrease) to sales revenue:**

(in millions)

	Year ended December 31,				
	2001	2000	1999	1998	1997
Revenue allocations in bundled arrangements	\$ (440)	\$ (541)	\$ (650)	\$ (508)	\$ (233)
Latin America operating lease accounting	(125)	(459)	(300)	(902)	(1,007)
Other transactions not qualifying as sales type leases	(31)	(74)	(160)	(162)	(161)
Sales of equipment subject to operating leases	33	(111)	(342)	(20)	(129)
South Africa deconsolidation	(27)	(31)	(30)	(25)	
Other revenue items, net	5	(4)	8	(55)	(22)
Decrease in sales revenue	\$ (585)	\$ (1,220)	\$ (1,474)	\$ (1,672)	\$ (1,552)

In total, approximately \$1.9 billion of revenue recognized in years 2001 and prior has been reversed and is estimated to be recognized as follows: \$800 million 2002, \$570 million 2003 and \$530 million thereafter.

The following table presents the effects of the aforementioned adjustments on pre-tax income (loss):

Increase (decrease) to pre-tax income (loss):  
(in millions)

Year ended December 31,	
<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
	&nbs