TENGASCO INC Form 424B1 February 18, 2004

PROSPECTUS
TENGASCO, INC.
12,100,000
SUBSCRIPTION RIGHTS
TO PURCHASE
36,300,000
SHARES OF COMMON STOCK

We are distributing to holders of our outstanding common stock, at no charge, nontransferable subscription rights to purchase up to an aggregate of 36,300,000 shares of our common stock at a cash subscription price of \$0.25 per share. You will receive for each share of our common stock you own a right to purchase three shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.25 for each share purchased. If you exercise your rights in full, you may over-subscribe for the purchase of additional shares that remain unsubscribed at the expiration of the rights offering, subject to availability and allocation of shares among persons exercising this over-subscription privilege. In no event, however, may any subscriber purchase shares of our common stock in the offering that, when aggregated with all of the shares of our common stock otherwise owned by the subscriber and his, her or its affiliates, would immediately following the closing represent more than 50% of our issued and outstanding shares. You will not be entitled to receive any rights unless you hold of record shares of our common stock as of the close of business on February 27, 2004.

This rights offering is being made in order to obtain funds to pay non-bank indebtedness, including to Dolphin Offshore Partners, L.P., which we refer to as Dolphin, in the aggregate amount of up to approximately six million dollars (including up to \$3,850,000 in principal amount plus accrued interest to Dolphin), with the balance of the net proceeds, if any, to be used to pay bank indebtedness and/or for working capital purposes. See "Certain Relationships and Related Transaction." Dolphin, of which Peter E. Salas, a member of our Board of Directors, is the general partner and the controlling person, is deemed to beneficially own approximately 19.8% of our outstanding common stock. Our Board of Directors has determined that this rights offering is advisable under our present financial, operational and other circumstances. Our Board of Directors formed a four-person special committee of its members charged with, among other things, recommending to the full Board of Directors the financial and other terms of this rights offering. No special committee member is an employee of ours nor has any personal interest in the rights offering. We have no agreements or understandings with any persons or entities, including Dolphin, members of our Board of Directors, our management and any broker dealers, with respect to their exercise of any rights offered hereby or their participation as an underwriter, broker or dealer in this offering. See "The Rights Offering -Background of the Rights Offering."

The rights will expire if they are not exercised by 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on March 18, 2004, the expected expiration date of the rights offering. We may extend the period for exercising the rights for up to an additional 30 days. Subscription amounts received will be held by the subscription agent until completion of the rights offering, during which period the right holders will not earn interest on those subscription amounts. Rights that are not exercised by the expiration date of the rights offering will expire and will have no value. Rights may not be sold or transferred except under the very limited circumstances described later in this prospectus. You should carefully consider whether to exercise your rights before the expiration date. See "The Rights Offering." Our Board of Directors makes no recommendation regarding your exercise of rights.

Shares of our common stock are traded on the American Stock Exchange under

the symbol "TGC." On February 9, 2004, the last reported sales price for our common stock was \$1.20 per share. Although application has been made to list the shares of common stock offered hereby on the American Stock Exchange, the

Company cannot be sure that such listing will be granted. See "Risk Factors. Delisting of our common stock from AMEX, which is possible, would adversely affect us and holders of those shares.

AN INVESTMENT IN OUR COMMON STOCK IS VERY RISKY. YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY CONSIDER THE RISK FACTORS BEGINNING ON PAGE 8 OF THIS PROSPECTUS BEFORE EXERCISING YOUR RIGHTS.

#### PROCEEDS OF OFFERING

	PER SHARE	TOTAL
Subscription Price	\$0.25	\$9,075,000
Estimated Expenses	\$0.004	\$150,000
Net Proceeds to Tengasco	\$0.246	\$8,925,000

NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THESE SECURITIES OR DETERMINED IF THIS PROSPECTUS IS TRUTHFUL OR COMPLETE. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

THE DATE OF THIS PROSPECTUS IS FEBRUARY 13, 2004.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary1	Management4
Risk Factors8	Certain Relationships And Related
Forward Looking Statements14	Transactions5
Use Of Proceeds	Principal Stockholders5
Price Range Of Common Stock16	The Rights Offering5
Capitalization17	Description Of Capital Stock6
Selected Consolidated Financial	United States Federal Income Tax
Data18	Consequences6
Management's Discussion And Analysis	Plan of Distribution7
Of Financial Condition And Results Of	Tennessee Anti-Takeover Law7
Operations19	Limitation Of Liability Of
Quantitative And Qualitative	Directors7
Disclosure About Market Risks27	Legal Matters7
Business28	Experts7
Production41	Where You Can Find More Information.7
Legal Proceedings43	Index to Financial StatementsF-

#### SUMMARY

THIS SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS INFORMATION CONTAINED ELSEWHERE IN THIS PROSPECTUS. THIS SUMMARY MAY NOT CONTAIN ALL OF THE INFORMATION THAT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU. THIS PROSPECTUS INCLUDES INFORMATION ABOUT OUR BUSINESS AND OUR FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA. BEFORE MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION, WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO READ THE ENTIRE PROSPECTUS CAREFULLY, INCLUDING THE RISKS DISCUSSED IN THE "RISK

FACTORS" SECTION. WE ALSO ENCOURAGE YOU TO REVIEW OUR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE OTHER INFORMATION US PROVIDE IN THE REPORTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT WE FILE WITH THE SEC, AS DESCRIBED UNDER "WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION."

#### OUR COMPANY

We are in the business of exploring for, producing and transporting oil and natural gas in Tennessee and Kansas. We lease producing and non-producing properties with a view toward exploration and development. Emphasis is also placed on pipeline and other infrastructure facilities to provide transportation services. We utilize seismic technology to improve the discovery and recovery of reserves.

To date, we have drilled primarily on a portion of our Tennessee leases known as the Swan Creek Field in Hancock County focused within what is known as the Knox formation, one of the geologic formations in that field. During the first nine months of 2003, we produced an average of approximately 1.2 million cubic feet of natural gas per day and approximately 2,171 barrels of oil per month from 23 producing gas wells and six producing oil wells in the Swan Creek Field. We also operate wells in the State of Kansas. During the first nine months of 2003, we produced an average of approximately .73 million cubic feet of natural gas per day and 10,531 barrels of oil per month from 59 producing gas wells and 149 producing oil wells in Kansas.

We were initially organized under the laws of the State of Utah on April 18, 1916, under the name "Gold Deposit Mining & Milling Company." We subsequently changed our name to Onasco Companies, Inc. We were formed originally for the purpose of mining, reducing and smelting mineral ores. On November 10, 1972, we conveyed to an unaffiliated entity substantially all of our assets and we ceased all business operations. From approximately 1983 to 1991, our operations were limited to seeking out the acquisition of assets, property or businesses. In 1995 we began acquiring oil and gas assets and have since focused our efforts on the operation of these assets as well as the acquisition of additional oil and gas assets.

We are a Tennessee corporation, the address of our principal executive office is 603 Main Avenue, Suite 500, Knoxville, TN 37902, and our telephone number at that address is (865)523-1124.

#### THE RIGHTS OFFERING

You should read "Risk Factors" before you exercise your rights.

WHAT IS THE RIGHTS OFFERING?

The rights offering is a distribution to holders of our common stock, at no charge, of nontransferable subscription rights at the rate of one right (to purchase three shares of our common stock) for each share of common stock owned as of February 27, 2004, the record date. Each right will be evidenced by a nontransferable rights certificate.

WHAT IS A SUBSCRIPTION RIGHT?

Each subscription right is a right to purchase three shares of our common stock and carries with it a basic subscription privilege and an over-subscription privilege.

WHAT IS THE BASIC SUBSCRIPTION PRIVILEGE?

The basic subscription privilege of each right entitles you to purchase three

shares of our common stock at the subscription price of \$0.75 in the aggregate, or \$0.25 per each share purchased. You must purchase all three shares relating to each outstanding share if you wish to exercise the subscription privilege relating thereto. Fractional rights will be eliminated by rounding up to the next higher whole right.

WHAT IS THE OVER-SUBSCRIPTION PRIVILEGE?

We do not expect that all of our stockholders will exercise all of their basic subscription rights. By extending over-subscription privileges to our stockholders, we are providing stockholders that exercise all of their basic subscription privileges with the opportunity to purchase those shares that are not purchased by other stockholders through the exercise of their basic subscription privileges. The over-subscription privilege of each right entitles you, if you fully exercise your basic subscription privilege, to subscribe for additional shares of our common stock unclaimed by other holders of rights in the rights offering, at the same subscription price per share. If an insufficient number of shares is available to fully satisfy all over-subscription privilege requests, the available shares will be distributed proportionately among rights holders who exercised their over-subscription privilege based on the number of shares each rights holder subscribed for under the basic subscription privilege. The subscription agent will return any excess payments by mail without interest or deduction promptly after the expiration of the rights offering.

HOW LONG WILL THE RIGHTS OFFERING LAST?

You will be able to exercise your subscription rights only during a limited period. If you do not exercise your subscription rights before 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on March 18, 2004, your subscription rights will expire. We may, in our discretion, extend the rights offering for up to an additional 30 days.

IS THERE ANY LIMITATION ON THE NUMBER OF MY RIGHTS THAT I MAY EXERCISE?

Yes. In no event may any subscriber purchase shares of our common stock in the offering that, when aggregated with all of the shares of our common stock otherwise owned by the subscriber and his, her or its affiliates, would immediately following the closing

represent more than 50% of our issued and outstanding shares.

WHY IS TENGASCO ENGAGING IN A RIGHTS OFFERING?

The net proceeds of the rights offering will be used initially to pay non-bank indebtedness in the aggregate amount of up to six million dollars (including up to \$3,850,000 in principal amount plus accrued interest to Dolphin), with the balance of the net proceeds, if any, to be used to repay bank indebtedness and/or for working capital purposes, including the drilling of additional wells. See "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions." The rights offering gives you the opportunity to participate in this fund-raising effort and to purchase additional shares of our common stock.

WHAT HAPPENS IF I CHOOSE NOT TO EXERCISE MY SUBSCRIPTION RIGHTS?

You will retain your current number of shares of common stock even if you do not exercise your subscription rights. If you choose not to exercise your subscription rights, then the percentage of our common stock that you own will decrease. Rights not exercised prior to the expiration of the rights offering will expire.

HOW DO I EXERCISE MY SUBSCRIPTION RIGHTS?

You may exercise your rights by properly completing and signing your rights certificate. You must deliver your rights certificate with full payment of the subscription price (including any amounts in respect of the over-subscription privilege) to the subscription agent on or prior to the expiration date. If you use the mail, we recommend that you use insured, registered mail, return receipt requested. If you cannot deliver your rights certificate to the subscription agent on time, you may follow the guaranteed delivery procedures described under "The Rights Offering--Guaranteed Delivery Procedures" beginning on page 55.

WHAT SHOULD I DO IF I WANT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE RIGHTS OFFERING BUT MY SHARES ARE HELD IN THE NAME OF MY BROKER, CUSTODIAN BANK OR OTHER NOMINEE?

If you hold shares of our common stock through a broker, custodian bank or other nominee, we will ask your broker, custodian bank or other nominee to notify you of the rights offering. If you wish to exercise your rights, you will need to have your broker, custodian bank or other nominee act for you.

To indicate your decision, you should complete and return to your broker, custodian bank or other nominee the form entitled "Beneficial Owner Election

Form." You should receive this form from your broker, custodian bank or other nominee with the other rights offering materials. You should contact your broker, custodian bank or other nominee if you believe you are entitled to participate in the rights offering but you have not received this form.

WHAT SHOULD I DO IF I WANT
TO PARTICIPATE IN THE RIGHTS OFFERING
AND I AM A STOCKHOLDER IN A FOREIGN
COUNTRY OR IN THE ARMED SERVICES?

The subscription agent will mail rights certificates to you if you are a rights holder whose address is outside the United States or if you have an Army Post Office or a Fleet Post Office address. To exercise your rights, you must notify the subscription agent on or prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on March 18, 2004, and take all other steps which are necessary to exercise your rights, on or prior to that time. If you do not follow these procedures prior to the expiration of the rights offering, your rights will expire.

WHAT IF THE MARKET PRICE PER SHARE
OF OUR COMMON STOCK IS LESS THAN
THE SUBSCRIPTION PRICE PER SHARE
WHEN I AM DECIDING TO EXERCISE MY
SUBSCRIPTION RIGHTS?

Consult your broker. Depending on the market price of our common stock, it most likely will be more cost effective for you to purchase shares of our common stock on the American Stock Exchange rather than exercise your subscription rights.

WILL I BE CHARGED A SALES COMMISSION
OR A FEE BY TENGASCO IF I EXERCISE
MY SUBSCRIPTION RIGHTS?

No. We will not charge a brokerage commission or a fee to rights holders for exercising their rights. However, if you exercise your rights through a broker or nominee, you will be responsible for any fees charged by your broker or nominee.

WHAT IS THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS' RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE RIGHTS OFFERING?

Our board of directors is not making any recommendation as to whether you should exercise your subscription rights. You are urged to make your decision based on your own assessment of the rights offering and Tengasco.

HOW MANY SHARES MAY I PURCHASE?

You will receive one nontransferable subscription right for each share of common stock that you owned on February 27, 2004, the record date. Each subscription right contains the basic subscription privilege and the over-subscription privilege. Each basic subscription privilege entitles you to purchase three shares of common stock for \$0.25 per each share purchased. Fractional rights will be eliminated by rounding up to the next higher whole right. See "The Rights Offering - Subscription Privileges - Basic Subscription Privilege."

The over-subscription privilege entitles you to subscribe for additional shares of our common stock at the same subscription price per share on a pro-rata basis to the number of shares you purchased under your basic subscription privilege, provided you fully exercise your basic subscription privilege. "Pro-rata" means in proportion to the number of shares of our common stock that you and the other rights holders electing to exercise their over-subscription privileges have purchased by exercising the basic subscription privileges on their holdings of common stock. See "The Rights Offering - Subscription Privilege -Over-Subscription Privilege."

HOW WAS THE SUBSCRIPTION PRICE ESTABLISHED?

The subscription price per share has been recommended to our Board of Directors by a special committee of our Board charged with, among other things, recommending to our Board the financial and other terms of this offering. The special committee considered a number of factors, including the historic and then current market price of the common stock, our business prospects, our recent and anticipated operating results, general conditions in the securities markets and the energy markets, our need for capital, alternatives available to us for raising capital, the amount of proceeds desired, the pricing of similar transactions, the liquidity of our common stock and the level of risk to our investors. The matters considered by the special committee in its determination also included negotiations with representative of Dolphin, of which Mr. Salas is the controlling person, as to a subscription price at which Dolphin might participate in the offering, although Dolphin has not entered into any agreement with the Company with respect to such participation. The special committee's recommendations regarding subscription price per share and other terms of the offering were finally presented to our Board of Directors (with Mr. Salas not participating with respect to these matters) on December 23, 2003. In its deliberations, the special committee was advised by a financial adviser and by counsel. See "The Rights Offering -Background of the Rights Offering."

IS EXERCISING MY SUBSCRIPTION RIGHTS RISKY?

Yes. The exercise of your rights involves risks. Exercising your rights means buying additional shares of our common stock and should be considered as carefully as you would consider any other equity investment. Among other things, you should carefully consider the risks described under the heading "Risk Factors," beginning on page 8.

MAY I TRANSFER MY RIGHTS IF I DO NOT WANT TO PURCHASE ANY SHARES?

No. Should you choose not to exercise your rights, you may not sell, give away or otherwise transfer your rights. However, rights will be transferable to certain affiliates of the recipient and by operation of law - for example, upon death of the recipient.

AM I REQUIRED TO SUBSCRIBE IN THE RIGHTS OFFERING?

No.

HOW MANY SHARES WILL BE OUTSTANDING AFTER THE RIGHTS OFFERING?

Assuming the rights offering is fully subscribed, the number of shares of common stock that will be outstanding immediately after the rights offering will be approximately 48,400,000 shares, subject to any increase(s) that may occur after the date of this prospectus as a result of the exercise, conversion or exchange of outstanding stock options, convertible securities or exchangeable securities.

WHAT HAPPENS IF THE RIGHTS OFFERING IS NOT FULLY SUBSCRIBED AFTER GIVING EFFECT TO THE OVER-SUBSCRIPTION PRIVILEGE?

Any rights not exercised after giving effect to the over-subscription privilege will expire.

HOW WILL THE RIGHTS OFFERING AFFECT OUR BOARD'S OWNERSHIP OF OUR COMMON STOCK?

The members of our board of directors and their affiliates are deemed to beneficially own 4,363,661 shares of our common stock, representing approximately 34.5% of our outstanding common stock. Dolphin is deemed to beneficially own 2,441,019 shares of our common stock, representing approximately 19.8% of our outstanding common stock. See "Principal Stockholders."

If no rights holders other than Dolphin exercise their rights in the rights offering, Dolphin will, as a result of its subscription for and purchase of the maximum number of unsubscribed shares, be limited by the terms of this offering to ownership of not more than 50% of our issued and outstanding shares, when such ownership is aggregated with the ownership of its affiliates. If no rights holders other than all of the

members of our board of directors exercise their respective rights in the rights offering, our board of directors collectively will, as a result of its subscription for and purchase of all unsubscribed shares, own 81.9% of our issued and outstanding shares and be deemed to beneficially own approximately 84.1% of our common stock. See "Principal Stockholders."

AFTER I EXERCISE MY RIGHTS, CAN I CHANGE MY MIND AND CANCEL MY PURCHASE?

No. Once you send in your subscription certificate and payment you cannot revoke the exercise of your rights, even if you later learn information about us that you consider to be unfavorable and even if the market price of our common stock is below the \$0.25 per share subscription price. You should not exercise your subscription rights unless you are certain that you wish to purchase additional shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.25 per share. See "The Rights Offering - No Revocation."

WHAT ARE THE FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF EXERCISING MY SUBSCRIPTION RIGHTS AS A HOLDER OF COMMON STOCK?

A holder of common stock will not recognize income or loss for federal income tax purposes in connection with the receipt or exercise of subscription rights in the rights offering. See "United States Federal Income Tax Consequences" on page 62.

WHEN WILL I RECEIVE MY NEW SHARES?

If you purchase shares of common stock through this rights offering, you will receive certificates representing those shares as soon as practicable after the expiration of the rights offering. Subject to state securities laws and regulations, we have the discretion to delay allocation and distribution of any shares you may elect to purchase by exercise of your basic or over-subscription privilege in order to comply with state securities laws.

WILL THE NEW SHARES BE INITIALLY LISTED ON THE AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE AND TREATED LIKE OTHER SHARES?

Yes. Our common stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "TGC." On February 9, 2004, the last reported sales price of our common stock on the AMEX was \$1.20 per share.

IF THE RIGHTS OFFERING IS NOT COMPLETED, WILL MY SUBSCRIPTION PAYMENT BE REFUNDED TO ME?

Yes. The subscription agent will hold all funds it receives in escrow until completion of the rights offering. If the right offering is not completed, the subscription agent will return promptly, without interest, all subscription payments.

WHAT SHOULD I DO IF I HAVE OTHER OUESTIONS?

If you have questions or need assistance, please contact Mellon Investor Services LLC, the subscription agent, at: (800) 932-6798.

For a more complete description of the rights offering, see "The Rights Offering" beginning on page 50.

AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE TRADING SYMBOL:

TGC

TO WHOM SHOULD I SEND FORMS AND PAYMENTS:

MELLON BANK, N.A.

BY MAIL:

BY HAND:

Mellon Bank, N.A. P.O. Box 3301 South Hackensack, NJ 07606

Mellon Bank, N.A. c/o Mellon Investor Services LLC  $\,$  c/o Mellon Investor Services LLC  $\,$ 120 Broadway, 13th Floor South Hackensack, NJ 07606 New York, New York 10271
Attention: Reorganization Dept. Attention: Reorganization Dept New York, New York 10271

BY OVERNIGHT COURIER:

Mellon Bank, N.A. c/o Mellon Investor Services LLC 85 Challenger Road Overpeck Centre Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660 Attention: Reorganization Dept.

For instructions on how your subscription payment should be sent to the subscription agent, see "The Rights Offering - Required Forms of Payment of Subscription Price" on page 55.

If you have questions, need additional copies of offering documents or otherwise need assistance, please contact the information agent for this offering:

Mellon Investor Services LLC 85 Challenger Road Overpeck Centre Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660 800-932-6798

To ask other questions or to receive copies of our recent SEC filings, you also can contact us by mail or telephone, or refer to the other sources described under "Where You Can Find More Information" on the inside back cover of this prospectus.

#### SUMMARY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following summary financial data should be read together with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of

Operations," the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, and other financial information included elsewhere in this prospectus. Our consolidated statement of loss data set forth below for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 and 2000 and the consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements which are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The consolidated statement of loss data set forth below for the years ended December 31, 1999 and 1998 and the consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements that are not included in this prospectus. The balance sheet data and the statement of loss data as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 have been derived from our unaudited financial statements, included elsewhere in this prospectus, which we believe have been prepared on the same basis as the audited financial statements and include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, which we consider necessary for a fair presentation of the summary financial data shown.

	Year Ended December 31, (1)				
	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
LOSS STATEMENT DATA.					
Oil and Gas Revenues	\$5,437,723	\$6,656,758	\$5,241,076	\$3,017,252	\$2,078,1
Production Costs and Taxes	\$3,094,731	\$2,951,746	\$2,614,414	\$2,564,932	\$1,943,9
Depreciation, Depletion					
and Amortization	\$2,413,597	\$1,849,963	\$371,249	\$283 <b>,</b> 907	\$290,0
General and Administrative	\$1,868,141		\$2,602,311	\$1,961,348	\$1,372,1
Interest Expense	\$578 <b>,</b> 039	\$850 <b>,</b> 965	\$415,376	\$417,497	\$574 <b>,</b> 9
Net Loss Before Cumulative Effect of a					
Change in Accounting					
Principle	\$(3,154,555)	\$(2,262,787)	\$(1,541,884)	\$(2,671,923)	\$(3,083,6
Cumulative Effect of a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Change in Accounting					
Principle	_	_	_	_	
Net Loss Attributable to					
Common Stockholders	\$(3,661,334)	\$(2,653,970)	\$(1,799,441)	\$(2,791,270)	\$(3,083,6
Earnings Per Share Data:					
Net Loss Before					
Cumulative Effect of a					
Change in Accounting					
Principle Per Share	\$(0.33)	\$(0.26)	\$(0.19)	\$(0.33)	\$(0.
Cumulative Effect of a					
Change in Accounting					
Principle Per Share	_	_	_		
Net Loss Attributable to					
Common Stockholders Per					
Share	\$(0.33)	\$(0.26)	\$(0.19)	\$(0.33)	\$(0.
	•	•	·		

	As	of December	31, (2) (3)	
2002	2001	2000	1999	199

BALANCE SHEET DATA.					
Working Capital Deficit	\$(7,998,835)	\$(6,326,204)	\$(708,317)	\$(1,406,263)	\$(1,929,
Oil and Gas Properties,	\$13,864,321	\$13,269,930	\$9,790,047	\$8,444,036	\$7,747,
Net					
Pipeline Facilities, Net	\$15,372,843	\$15,039,762	\$11,047,038	\$4,212,842	\$4,019,
Total Assets	\$32,584,391	\$32,128,245	\$25,224,724	\$15,182,712	\$13,525,
Debt	\$9,867,454	\$10,302,588	\$9,217,085	\$4,894,378	\$4,693,
Asset Retirement Obligatio	ns				
Mandatorily Redeemable	\$6,762,218	\$5,459,050	\$3,938,900	\$1,988,900	\$800,
Preferred Stock					
Stockholders Equity	\$14,210,623	\$14,991,847	\$10,864,202	\$7,453,930	\$7,245,

- (1) All references in this table to common stock and per share data have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the 5% stock dividend declared by the Company effective as of September 4, 2001.
- (2) With respect to the pipeline facilities, during the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999, and 1998, this information included portions which were under construction.
- (3) No cash dividends have been declared or paid by the Company for the periods presented.

#### RISK FACTORS

THIS OFFERING AND AN INVESTMENT IN THE SHARES OF OUR COMMON STOCK INVOLVE A HIGH DEGREE OF RISK. YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING FACTORS AND OTHER INFORMATION PRESENTED OR INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE IN THIS PROSPECTUS BEFORE DECIDING TO INVEST IN OUR COMMON STOCK. IF WE DO NOT SUCCESSFULLY ADDRESS ANY ONE OR MORE OF THE RISKS DESCRIBED BELOW, THERE COULD BE A MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON OUR FINANCIAL CONDITION, OPERATING RESULTS AND BUSINESS.

#### RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

OUR AUDITORS HAVE GIVEN US A GOING CONCERN QUALIFICATION, INDICATING SUBSTANTIAL DOUBT ABOUT OUR ABILITY TO CONTINUE AS A GOING CONCERN.

Our independent certified public accountants have indicated in their report on our Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2002, that these circumstances raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern, which depends upon our ability to obtain long-term debt or raise capital to satisfy our cash flow requirements. We must make substantial capital expenditures for the acquisition, exploration and development of oil and gas reserves. Historically, we have paid for these expenditures with cash from operating activities, proceeds from debt and equity financings and asset sales. Our ability to re-work existing wells and resume our drilling program in the Swan Creek Field is dependent upon our ability to fund these expenditures. Although we anticipated that by this time we would be able to fund the completion of our drilling program in the Swan Creek Field from revenues from the sales of gas, we are unable to do so. Further, the availability of additional borrowings under our credit facility with Bank One has been revoked by Bank One. As a result of Bank One's revocation of the credit facility and the corresponding demand for repayment, combined with the fact that we are still in the early stages of our oil and gas operating history, during which time we have a history of losses from operations and have an accumulated deficit of \$(30,147,538) and a working capital deficit of \$(9,296,959) as of September 30, 2003 our independent certified public accountants have indicated their going concern qualification as described above.

WE HAVE SIGNIFICANT CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS AND A NEED FOR ADDITIONAL FINANCING.

At the present time and if and until we are able to increase our production and sales of gas, we must obtain the necessary funds to proceed with our drilling program from other sources, such as this offering as well as other equity investments, bank loans or joint ventures with other companies. In addition, our revenues or cash flows could decline in the future because of a variety of reasons, including lower oil and gas prices or the inoperability of some or all of our existing wells. If our revenues or cash flows decrease and/or we are unable to procure additional financing, we would be required to reduce production over time or would otherwise be adversely affected, which would adversely impact our ability to continue in business. Where we are not the majority owner or operator of an oil and gas project, we may have no control over the timing or amount of capital expenditures required with the particular project. If we cannot fund our capital expenditures in such projects, our interests in such projects may be reduced or forfeited. In addition to our operational cash requirements, we have a significant amount of loans and other obligations either due or maturing January 4, 2004 and April 4, 2004. These loans, excluding our obligations to Bank One (in outstanding principal amount in excess of \$4.7 million), include interest-bearing loans in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$5.6 million (of which approximately \$3.9 million is owed to Dolphin) plus accrued interest and past due accounts payable in the aggregate amount of approximately \$600,000 (including preferred dividends in arrears in an aggregate amount in excess of \$600,000). See "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions." We cannot assure you that the proceeds of this offering will be sufficient to pay all of our loans and obligations currently due or maturing as described above or that we will be able to obtain any additional funding required as described above, in either or which events we may not be able to continue as a going concern.

DECLINES IN OIL AND GAS PRICES WILL MATERIALLY ADVERSELY AFFECT US.

Our future financial condition and results of operations will depend in part upon the prices obtainable for our oil and natural gas production and the costs of finding, acquiring, developing and producing reserves. Prices for oil and natural gas are subject to fluctuations in response to relatively minor changes in supply, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. These factors include worldwide political instability

(especially in the Middle East and other oil-producing regions), the foreign supply of oil and gas, the price of foreign imports, the level of drilling activity, the level of consumer product demand, government regulations and taxes, the price and availability of alternative fuels and the overall economic environment. A substantial or extended decline in oil and gas prices would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, quantities of oil and gas that may be economically produced, and access to capital. Oil and natural gas prices have historically been and are likely to continue to be volatile. This volatility makes it difficult to estimate with precision the value of producing properties in acquisitions and to budget and project the return on exploration and development projects involving our oil and gas properties. In addition, unusually volatile prices often disrupt the market for oil and gas properties, as buyers and sellers have more difficulty agreeing on the purchase price of properties.

THERE ARE RISKS IN RATES OF OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION; DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURES; AND CASH FLOWS.

Projecting the effects of commodity prices on production, and timing of development expenditures include many factors beyond our control. The future estimates of net cash flows from our proved reserves and their present value are based upon various assumptions about future production levels, prices, and costs that may prove to be incorrect over time. Any significant variance from

assumptions  $\;$  could result in the actual  $\;$  future net cash flows being  $\;$  materially different from the estimates.

OIL AND GAS OPERATIONS INVOLVE SUBSTANTIAL COSTS AND ARE SUBJECT TO VARIOUS ECONOMIC RISKS.

Our oil and gas operations are subject to the economic risks typically associated with exploration, development and production activities, including the necessity of significant expenditures to locate and acquire producing properties and to drill exploratory wells. In conducting exploration and development activities, the presence of unanticipated pressure or irregularities in formations, miscalculations or accidents may cause our exploration, development and production activities to be unsuccessful. This could result in a total loss of our investment. In addition, the cost and timing of drilling, completing and operating wells is often uncertain.

WE HAVE SIGNIFICANT COSTS TO CONFORM TO GOVERNMENT REGULATION OF THE OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY.

Our exploration, production and marketing operations are regulated extensively at the federal, state and local levels. We have made and will continue to make large expenditures in our efforts to comply with the requirements of environmental and other regulations. Further, the oil and gas regulatory environment could change in ways that might substantially increase these costs. Hydrocarbon-producing states regulate conservation practices and the protection of correlative rights. These regulations affect our operations and limit the quantity of hydrocarbons we may produce and sell. In addition, at the federal level, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission regulates interstate transportation of natural gas under the Natural Gas Act. Other regulated matters include marketing, pricing, transportation and valuation of royalty payments.

WE HAVE SIGNIFICANT COSTS RELATED TO ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS.

Our operations are subject to numerous and frequently changing laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to environmental protection. We own or lease, and have in the past owned or leased, properties that have been used for the exploration and production of oil and gas and these properties and the wastes disposed on these properties may be subject to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and analogous state laws. Under such laws, we could be required to remove or remediate previously released wastes or property contamination.

Laws and regulations protecting the environment have generally become more stringent and, may in some cases, impose "strict liability" for environmental damage. Strict liability means that we may be held liable for damage without regard to whether we were negligent or otherwise at fault. Environmental laws and regulations may expose us to liability for the conduct of or conditions caused by others or for acts that were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time they were performed. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the imposition of administrative, civil and criminal penalties.

Our ability to conduct continued operations is subject to satisfying applicable regulatory and permitting controls. Our current permits and authorizations and ability to get future permits and authorizations may be susceptible, on a going forward basis, to increased scrutiny, greater complexity resulting in increased costs or delays in receiving appropriate authorizations.

INSURANCE DOES NOT COVER ALL RISKS.

Exploration for and production of oil and natural gas can be hazardous, involving unforeseen occurrences such as blowouts, cratering, fires and loss of well control, which can result in damage to or destruction of wells or production facilities, injury to persons, loss of life, or damage to property or the environment. Insurance is not available to us against all operational risks.

WE ARE NOT COMPETITIVE WITH RESPECT TO ACQUISITIONS OR PERSONNEL.

The oil and gas business is highly competitive. In addition, we have a weak financial condition. In seeking any suitable oil and gas properties for acquisition, or drilling rig operators and related personnel and equipment, we are not able to compete with most other companies, including large oil and gas companies and other independent operators with greater financial and technical resources and longer history and experience in property acquisition and operation.

WE DEPEND ON KEY PERSONNEL, WHOM WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO RETAIN OR RECRUIT.

Members of present management and certain company employees have substantial expertise in the areas of endeavor presently conducted and to be engaged in by us. To the extent that their services become unavailable, we will be required to retain other qualified personnel. We do not know whether we would be able to recruit and hire qualified persons upon acceptable terms. We do not maintain "Key Person" insurance for any of our key employees.

RISKS RELATING TO THIS RIGHTS OFFERING

THE SUBSCRIPTION PRICE DETERMINED FOR THIS OFFERING IS NOT AN INDICATION OF OUR VALUE OR THE VALUE OF OUR COMMON STOCK.

The subscription price for this rights offering has been determined to be \$0.25 for each share purchased. The subscription price was determined by a special committee of our Board of Directors formed for that purpose, among others, and recommended to our full Board of Directors and does not necessarily bear any relationship to the book value of our assets, past operations, cash flows, losses, financial condition or any other established criteria for value. The matters considered by the special committee in its determination included negotiations with a representative of Dolphin as to a subscription price at which Dolphin might participate, although Dolphin has not entered into any agreement with us with respect to such participation. You should not consider the subscription price as an indication of our value. After the date of this prospectus, our common stock may trade at prices below the subscription price.

DELISTING OF OUR COMMON STOCK FROM AMEX, WHICH IS POSSIBLE, WOULD ADVERSELY AFFECT US AND HOLDERS OF THOSE SHARES.

Our common stock is listed on the American Stock Exchange, which we refer to as AMEX. To maintain listing of securities, AMEX requires satisfaction of certain maintenance criteria that we are not sure that we will continue to be able to satisfy. For example, AMEX may require prior shareholder approval of this offering, which has not been obtained. If we are unable to satisfy such maintenance criteria in the future, or if AMEX requires prior shareholder approval and we fail to comply, our common stock may be delisted from trading on AMEX. If our common stock is delisted from trading on AMEX, then trading, if any, would thereafter be conducted in the over-the-counter market in the so-called "pink sheets" or on the "Electronic Bulletin Board" of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. (the "NASD") and consequently an investor could find it more difficult to dispose of, or to obtain accurate quotations as to the price of, our common stock.

OUR COMMON STOCK MAY NOT BE EXCEPTED FROM "PENNY STOCK" RULES, WHICH MAY ADVERSELY EFFECT THE MARKET LIQUIDITY FOR OUR COMMON STOCK.

The Securities Enforcement and Penny Stock Reform Act of 1990 requires additional disclosure relating to the market for penny stocks in connection with trades in any stock defined as a penny stock. Commission regulations generally define a penny stock to be an equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exception. Such exceptions include any equity security listed on a national securities exchange and any equity security issued by an issuer that has (i) net tangible assets of at least \$2,000,000, if such issuer has been in continuous operation for three years, (ii) net tangible assets of at least \$5,000,000, if such issuer has been in continuous operation for less than three years, or (iii) average annual revenue of at least \$6,000,000, if such issuer has been in continuous operation for less than three years. Unless an exception is available, the regulations require the delivery, prior to any transaction involving a penny stock, of a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and the risks associated therewith.

In addition, if our common stock is not listed on AMEX, or if we do not meet the other exceptions to the penny stock regulations cited above, trading in our common stock, including exercising the rights offered hereby, would be covered by the Commission's Rule 15g-9 under the Exchange Act for non-national securities exchange listed securities. Under this rule, broker/dealers who recommend such securities to persons other than established customers and accredited investors must make a special written suitability determination for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to a transaction prior to sale. Securities also are exempt from this rule if the market price is at least \$5.00 per share.

If the our common stock becomes subject to the regulations applicable to penny stocks, the market liquidity for our common stock could be adversely affected. In such event, the regulations on penny stocks could limit the ability of broker/dealers to sell our common stock and thus the ability of purchasers of our common stock to sell their shares in the secondary market.

AS A RESULT OF THIS OFFERING, CERTAIN PERSONS MAY OBTAIN EFFECTIVE VOTING CONTROL OF US AND BE ABLE TO DIRECT OUR ACTIONS.

As a result of this offering, Dolphin would, in the event that it were to subscribe for and purchase the maximum number of unsubscribed shares, be able to acquire the ownership of up to 50% of our issued and outstanding shares. Similarly, if no rights holders other than all of the members of our board of directors exercise their respective rights in this offering, our board of directors collectively would, as a result of its subscription for and purchase of all unsubscribed shares, own up to 81.9% of our issued and outstanding shares and be deemed to beneficially own approximately 84.1% of our common stock. Consequently, such control would allow such persons to be able to elect all of our directors and otherwise control our operations, including being able to direct our actions. Further, such control might discourage potential acquirers from seeking to acquire control of us through the purchase of our common stock, which could have a limiting effect on the price of our common stock.

IF YOU EXERCISE YOUR RIGHTS, YOU MAY LOSE MONEY IF THERE IS A DECLINE IN THE TRADING PRICE OF OUR SHARES OF COMMON STOCK.

The trading price of our common stock in the future may decline below the subscription price. We cannot assure you that the subscription price will remain below any future trading price for the shares of our common stock. Future prices

of the shares of our common stock may adjust negatively depending on various factors including our future revenues and earnings, changes in earnings estimates by analysts, our ability to meet analysts' earnings estimates, speculation in the trade or business press about our operations, and overall conditions affecting our businesses, economic trends and the securities markets.

YOU MAY NOT REVOKE THE EXERCISE OF YOUR RIGHTS EVEN IF THERE IS A DECLINE IN OUR COMMON STOCK PRICE PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION DATE OF THE SUBSCRIPTION PERIOD.

Even if our common stock price declines below the subscription price for the common stock, resulting in a loss on your investment upon the exercise of rights to acquire shares of our common stock, you may not revoke or change your exercise of rights after you send in your subscription forms and payment.

YOU MAY NOT REVOKE THE EXERCISE OF YOUR RIGHTS EVEN IF WE DECIDE TO EXTEND THE EXPIRATION DATE OF THE SUBSCRIPTION PERIOD.

We may, in our discretion, extend the expiration date of the subscription period for up to an additional 30 days. During any potential extension of time, our common stock price may decline below the subscription price and result in a loss on your investment upon the exercise of rights to acquire shares of our common stock. If the expiration date is extended after you send in your subscription forms and payment, you still may not revoke or change your exercise of rights.

YOU WILL NOT RECEIVE INTEREST ON SUBSCRIPTION FUNDS RETURNED TO YOU.

If we cancel the rights offering, neither we nor the subscription agent will have any obligation with respect to the subscription rights except to return, without interest, any subscription payments to you.

WE MAY NOT RECEIVE SUFFICIENT PARTICIPATION TO GENERATE SUFFICIENT PROCEEDS FOR ALL INTENDED PURPOSES

We have no agreements or understandings with any persons or entities, including Dolphin, members of our Board of Directors, our management and any broker dealers, with respect to their exercise of any rights offered hereby or their participation as an underwriter, broker or dealer in this offering. As such, we do not know to what extent stockholders will participate in the offering and therefore what amount of proceeds will be raised in the offering. Assuming that stockholders exercise all of the rights we are offering, we will receive gross proceeds of approximately \$9,075,000. We intend to use the net proceeds initially to pay non-bank indebtedness of up to \$6 million, with the balance, if any, to pay bank indebtedness to some extent and/or for working capital purposes in our discretion. The net proceeds of this offering, even at a maximum participation level, may provide insufficient working capital to us for any minimum period of time. The net proceeds of this offering at less than a maximum participation level will be used in the priorities described above and will be available in the amounts at the levels described below:

Percentage		
Participation	Gross Proceeds	Net Proceeds
25%	\$2,268,750	\$2,118,750
50%	\$4,537,500	\$4,387,500
75%	\$6,806,250	\$6,656,250

100% \$9,075,000 \$8,925,000

It should be noted from the table above that, unless the offering is approximately 75% participated, there may not be sufficient proceeds remaining for other purposes following payment of non-bank indebtedness. See "--Significant Capital Requirements and a Need for Additional Financing" and "Use of Proceeds."

BECAUSE WE MAY TERMINATE THE OFFERING, YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THE OFFERING IS NOT ASSURED.

Once you exercise your subscription rights, you may not revoke the exercise for any reason unless we amend the offering. If we decide to terminate the offering, we will not have any obligation with respect to the subscription rights except to return any subscription payments, without interest.

OUR ABILITY TO USE OUR NET OPERATING LOSS CARRYFORWARDS MAY BE SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCED AS A RESULT OF THIS OFFERING.

Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 imposes a limitation on a corporation's use of net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards if the corporation has undergone an "ownership change." Depending on a number of circumstances, including the extent to which the rights offered hereby are exercised out of proportion to existing common stock ownership, this offering may create an ownership change in us for purposes of Section 382 and therefore substantially reduce the amount of NOL carryforwards that we may use in future years to offset our taxable income. At December 31, 2002, we had federal tax NOL carryforwards

of \$7,139,000. Because we previously have taken a full valuation reserve for our deferred tax assets on our financial statements, an ownership change would not have an immediate impact on our reported earnings for financial accounting purposes.

#### FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

The statements contained in this prospectus that are not purely historical are forward-looking statements within the meaning of applicable securities laws. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our "expectations," "anticipations," "intentions," "beliefs," or "strategies" regarding the future. Forward-looking statements also include statements regarding revenue, margins, expenses, and earnings analysis for 2003 and thereafter; our going concern qualification; oil and gas prices; exploration activities; development expenditures; costs of regulatory compliance; environmental matters; technological developments; future products or product development; our products and distribution development strategies; potential acquisitions or strategic alliances; liquidity and anticipated cash needs and availability; prospects for success of this offering; impact of this offering on our financial condition or prospects or the market for or price of our common stock; and control of our company. All forward-looking statements included in this prospectus are based on information available to us as of the date of this prospectus, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. Our actual results could differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Among the factors that could cause results to differ materially are the factors discussed in "Risk Factors."

Projecting the effects of commodity prices on production, and timing of

development expenditures include many factors beyond our control. The future estimates of net cash flows from our proved reserves and their present value are based upon various assumptions about future production levels, prices, and costs that may prove to be incorrect over time. Any significant variance from assumptions could result in the actual future net cash flows being materially different from the estimates.

This prospectus is part of a registration statement filed with the SEC. You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with different information. This prospectus may only be used where it is legal for us to sell these securities.

#### USE OF PROCEEDS

Assuming that stockholders exercise subscription rights for all of the common stock that we are offering, we will receive gross proceeds of approximately \$9,075,000. We will pay estimated expenses of approximately \$150,000 in connection with the rights offering. We intend to use the net proceeds from this offering initially to pay non-bank indebtedness in the aggregate amount of up to approximately \$6,000,000 (including up to \$3,850,000 in principal amount plus accrued interest to Dolphin), and to apply the balance of such proceeds, if any, to repay bank indebtedness to some extent and/or for working capital purposes, possibly including the drilling of additional wells. In the event that less than all \$9,075,000 of gross proceeds is received in the offering, we intend to apply the received gross proceeds, unless the offering is terminated by us by reason of the insufficiency of investor participation or any other reason in our discretion, first for the repayment of non-bank indebtedness, including to Dolphin, with secured non-bank indebtedness to be repaid before unsecured non-bank indebtedness, and next to pay bank indebtedness to some extent and/or for working capital purposes in our discretion. The non-bank indebtedness to be discharged with the proceeds hereof includes secured loans from Dolphin from time to time since December 2002 in the aggregate principal amount of \$3,350,000 bearing interest at 12% per annum and matured January 4, 2004, unsecured convertible loans from Dolphin and others in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,150,000 bearing interest at 8% per annum and matured January 4, 2004, all of which loans were made to us to provide working capital and to cover certain due and payable liabilities and accounts payable. See `Certain Relationships and Related Transactions." There can be no assurance that the net proceeds of this offering will provide sufficient working capital to us for any minimum period of time. See "Risk Factors - Going Concern Qualification" and "- Significant Capital Requirements; Need for Additional Financing."

We have no agreements or understandings with any persons or entities, including Dolphin, members of our Board of Directors, our management and any broker dealers, with respect to their exercise of any rights offered hereby or their participation as an underwriter, broker or dealer in this offering. As such, we do not know to what extent stockholders will participate in the offering and therefore what amount of proceeds will be raised in the offering. As noted above, assuming that stockholders exercise all of the rights we are offering, we will receive gross proceeds of approximately \$9,075,000, which we intend to use initially to pay non-bank indebtedness of up to \$6 million, with the balance, if any, to pay bank indebtedness to some extent and/or for working capital purposes in our discretion. Also as noted, the net proceeds of this offering, even at a maximum participation level, may provide insufficient working capital to us for any minimum period of time. The net proceeds of this offering at less than a maximum participation level will be used in the priorities described above and will be available in the amounts at the levels described below:

Percentage Participation	Gross Proceeds	Net Proceeds
25%	\$2,268,750	\$2,118,750
50%	\$4,537,500	\$4,387,500
75%	\$6,806,250	\$6,656,250
100%	\$9,075,000	\$8,925,000

It should be noted from the table above that, unless the offering is approximately 75% participated, there may not be sufficient proceeds remaining for other purposes following payment of non-bank indebtedness.

#### PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK

Our common stock is listed on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "TGC." The following table sets forth the high and low closing sales prices per share of our common stock for the periods indicated. The prices for the first three quarters of 2001 have been retroactively adjusted by a 5% reduction to take into account the 5% stock dividend declared by us payable on October 1, 2001 to all stockholders of record as of September 4, 2001.

	HIGH	LOW
Year Ending December 31, 2004		
First Quarter (through February 9, 2004)	\$1.21	\$0.71
Year Ending December 31, 2003		
First Quarter	2.00	1.00
Second Quarter	1.23	0.36
Third Quarter	1.28	0.65
Fourth Quarter	0.94	0.63
Year Ended December 31, 2002		
First Quarter	8.19	5.80
Second Quarter	6.49	2.71
Third Quarter	3.45	2.20
Fourth Quarter	2.90	1.05
Year Ended December 31, 2001		
First Quarter	14.20	9.69
Second Quarter	15.01	11.16
Third Quarter	13.69	7.60

#### CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our summary capitalization as of September 30, 2003, and our summary capitalization as of September 30, 2003 as adjusted to reflect the assumed sale of 36,300,000 shares of our common stock (the maximum number of shares offered) in this rights offering at an offering price of \$0.25 per share and the application of the estimated net proceeds therefrom, after deducting estimated offering expenses of \$150,000.

	September	30,	20
	Actual		Ad
Total Debt: Long-term debt, less current maturities	\$ 590,055	\$	
Mandatorily Redeemable Preferred Stock:  Cumulative convertible redeemable preferred; redemption value \$7,072,000; 70,720 shares outstanding	6,884,257		
Stockholders Equity:  Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized  Additional paid-in capital  Treasury stock, at cost	(145,887) (115,500)		5
Total stockholders' equity	12,458,833		2 
Total capitalization	\$19,933,145	\$	2

# SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read together with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, and other financial information included elsewhere in this prospectus. Our consolidated statement of loss data set forth below for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 and the consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements which are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The consolidated statement of loss data set forth below for the years ended December 31, 1999 and 1998 and the consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2000, 1999, and 1998 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements that are not included in this prospectus. The balance sheet data and the statement of loss data as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 have been derived from our unaudited financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus, which we believe have been prepared on the same basis as the audited financial statements and include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring

adjustments, which we consider necessary for a fair presentation of the selected financial data shown.

	Year Ended December 31, (1)				
	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
LOSS STATEMENT DATA.					
Oil and Gas Revenues	\$5,437,723	\$6,656,758	\$5,241,076	\$3,017,252	\$2,078,101
Production Costs and Taxes Depreciation, Depletion	\$3,094,731	\$2,951,746	\$2,614,414	\$2,564,932	\$1,943,944
and Amortization	\$2,413,597	\$1,849,963	\$371,249	\$283 <b>,</b> 907	\$290,030
General and Administrative	\$1,868,141	\$2,957,871	\$2,602,311	\$1,961,348	\$1,372,132
Interest Expense	\$578 <b>,</b> 039	\$850 <b>,</b> 965	\$415 <b>,</b> 376	\$417 <b>,</b> 497	\$574 <b>,</b> 906
Net Loss Before					
Cumulative Effect of a					
Change in Accounting	* (O 154 555)	* (0.060 505)	* (4 F 4 4 0 0 4 )	* (0 684 000)	* (0. 000
	⇒ (3,154,555)	\$(2,262,787)	۶(1,541,884)	\$(2,671,923)	۶(3 <b>,</b> 083 <b>,</b> 638)
Cumulative Effect of a					
Change in Accounting Principle	_	_	_	_	_
Net Loss Attributable to	•	·	·		
	\$(3,661,334)	\$(2,653,970)	\$(1,799,441)	\$(2,791,270)	\$(3,083,638)
Earnings Per Share Data:	( ( ,	1 (=, ==, =, =, =,	1 (= / 100 / 11= /	1 (=,, =, -,,	1 (0,000,000,
Net Loss Before					
Cumulative Effect of a					
Change in Accounting					
Principle					
Per Share	\$(0.33)	\$(0.26)	\$(0.19)	\$(0.33)	\$(0.42)
Cumulative Effect of a					
Change in Accounting					
Principle Per Share Net Loss Attributable to	_	_	_	_	_
Common Stockholders Per					
Share	\$(0.33)	\$(0.26)	\$(0.19)	\$(0.33)	\$(0.42)
Share	¥ (0.33)	¥ (0.20)	7 (0.13)	¥ (0:33)	¥ (0.12)
		As	of December 31	. (2) (3)	
	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
BALANCE SHEET DATA.					
Working Capital Deficit	\$(7,998,835)	\$(6,326,204	) \$(708 <b>,</b> 317	) \$(1,406,20	63) \$(1,929,
Oil and Gas Properties, Net	\$13,864,321	\$13,269,930			
Pipeline Facilities, Net	\$15,372,843	\$15,039,762	\$11,047,03	8 \$4,212,8	42 \$4,019,
Total Assets	\$32,584,391	\$32,128,245	\$25,224,72	4 \$15,182,73	
Debt	\$9,867,454	\$10,302,588	\$9,217,08	5 \$4,894,3	78 \$4,693,
Asset Retirement Obligations				-	

Preferred Stock \$6,762,218 \$5,459,050 \$3,938,900 \$1,988,900 \$800, Stockholders Equity \$14,210,623 \$14,991,847 \$10,864,202 \$7,453,930 \$7,245,

Mandatorily Redeemable

- (1) All references in this table to common stock and per share data have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the 5% stock dividend declared by the Company effective as of September 4, 2001.
- (2) With respect to the pipeline facilities, during the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999, and 1998, this included portions which were under construction.
- (3) No cash dividends have been declared or paid by the Company for the periods presented.

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This section should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. Comments on the results of operations and financial condition below refer to our continuing operations.

#### OVERVIEW

We are in the business of exploring for, producing and transporting oil and natural gas in Tennessee and Kansas. We lease producing and non-producing properties with a view toward exploration and development. Emphasis is also placed on pipeline and other infrastructure facilities to provide transportation services. We utilize seismic technology to maximize the recovery of reserves.

Our activities in the oil and gas business commenced in May 1995 with the acquisition of oil and gas leases in Hancock, Claiborne, Knox, Jefferson and Union counties in Tennessee. Our current lease position in these areas in Tennessee is approximately 41,088 acres. In addition, in 1997, we acquired approximately 32,000 acres of leases in the vicinity of Hays, Kansas.

To date, we have drilled primarily on a portion of our Tennessee leases known as the Swan Creek Field in Hancock County focused within what is known as the Knox formation, one of the geologic formations in that field. During the first nine months of 2003, we produced an average of approximately 1.2 million cubic feet of natural gas per day and approximately 2,171 barrels of oil per month from 23 producing gas wells and six producing oil wells in the Swan Creek Field. We also operate wells in the State of Kansas. During the first nine months of 2003, we produced an average of approximately .73 million cubic feet of natural gas per day and 10,531 barrels of oil per month from 59 producing gas wells and 149 producing oil wells in Kansas.

The availability of additional borrowings under our credit facility with Bank One has been revoked by Bank One. As a result of Bank One's revocation of the credit facility and the corresponding demand for payment, combined with the fact that we are still in the early stages of our oil and gas operating history, during which time we have a history of losses from operations and have an accumulated deficit of \$(30,147,538) and a working capital deficit of \$(9,296,959) as of September 30, 2003, our independent certified public accountants have indicated in their report on our Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2002, that these circumstances raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern, which depends upon our ability to obtain long-term debt or raise capital to satisfy our cash flow requirements. See "Risk Factors – Going Concern Qualification."

A reporting issue has arisen regarding the application of certain provisions of SFAS No. 141 and SFAS No. 142 to companies in the extractive industries, including oil and gas companies. The issue is whether SFAS No. 142 requires registrants to classify the costs of mineral rights held under lease or other contractual arrangement associated with extracting oil and gas as intangible assets in the balance sheet, apart from other capitalized oil and gas

property costs, and provide specific footnote disclosures. Historically, we have included the costs of such mineral rights associated with extracting oil and gas as a component of oil and gas properties. If it is ultimately determined that SFAS No. 142 requires oil and gas companies to classify costs of mineral rights held under lease or other contractual arrangement associated with extracting oil and gas as a separate intangible assets line item on the balance sheet, we would be required to reclassify approximately \$453,000 at September 30, 2003 and \$346,000 at December 31, 2002, respectively, out of oil and gas properties and into a separate intangible assets line item. Our cash flows and results of operations would not be affected since such intangible assets would continue to be depleted and assessed for impairment in accordance with full cost accounting rules. Further, we do not believe the classification of the costs of mineral rights associated with extracting oil and gas as intangible assets would have any impact on compliance with covenants under our debt agreements.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003 AND 2002

KANSAS During the first nine months of 2003, we produced and sold 94,782 barrels of oil and 196,373 Mcf of natural gas from our Kansas Properties, which are comprised of 149 producing oil wells and 59 producing gas wells. The first nine months production of 94,782 barrels of oil compares to 106,683 barrels produced in the first nine months of 2002. The first nine months production of 196,373 Mcf of gas compares to 214,859 Mcf produced in the first nine months of 2002. In summary, the first nine months production reflected expected continued relatively stable production levels from the Kansas Properties, which have been in production for many years. The decrease in production reflects a normal decline curve for the Kansas properties. The revenues from the Kansas properties were \$2,959,430 in the first nine months of 2003 as compared to \$2,438,136 for the first nine months of 2002. The increase in revenues is due to a significant increase in the price of oil and gas during the period.

TENNESSEE During the first nine months of 2003, we produced gas from 23 wells in the Swan Creek Field, which gas was sold to our two industrial customers in Kingsport, Tennessee, BAE SYSTEMS Ordnance Systems Inc. as operator of the Holston Army Ammunition Plant, or BAE, and Eastman Chemical Company. Natural gas production from the Swan Creek Field for the first nine months of 2003 was an average of 1.195 million cubic feet per day during that period as compared to 2.114 million cubic feet per day during the first nine months of 2002. The first nine months production reflected expected natural decline in production from the existing Swan Creek gas wells, which were first brought into production in mid-2001 upon completion of our pipeline. Although this decline is normal, the reduced production volume was not replaced as we had expected by additional drilling. In order for overall field production to remain steady or grow, new wells must be brought online. We expect that any of the new wells we drill would also experience the same harmonic (i.e. a relatively steep initial decline curve followed by longer periods of relatively flat or stable production) decline like other natural wells in formations similar to the Knox formation, so continuous drilling is vital to maintaining or increasing initial levels of production. We have not drilled any new wells to date in 2003. The decrease in our pipeline transportation revenues is directly related to the decrease in our gas production volumes.

We recognized \$5,053,454 in oil and gas revenues from our Kansas Properties and the Swan Creek Field during the first nine months of 2003 compared to \$4,059,165 in the first nine months of 2002. The increase in revenues was due to a significant increase in price from oil and gas sales. Oil prices averaged \$28.60 per barrel in 2003 as compared to \$22.98 during the comparable period in

2002. Gas prices averaged \$5.31 per Mcf in 2003 as compared to \$2.89 during the comparable period in 2002. The Swan Creek Field produced 322,739 Mcf and 570,883 Mcf in the first nine months of 2003 and 2002, respectively. This decrease was due to the declines in production, which could not be offset due to the lack of funds to continue drilling wells.

We realized a net loss attributable to common stockholders of \$2,248,772 ((\$0.19) per share of common stock) during the first nine months of 2003 compared to a net loss in the first nine months of 2002 attributable to common stockholders of \$2,820,539 ((\$0.26) per share of common stock). A non-cash charge of \$351,204 was recognized as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle during the first quarter of 2003 relating to the implementation of SFAS 143. See "--Recent Accounting Pronouncements" and the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this Prospectus.

Production costs and taxes in the first nine months of 2003 of \$2,571,898 were consistent with production costs and taxes of \$2,084,597 in the first nine months of 2002. The difference of \$487,301 was due to a reclassification of insurance cost relating to field activities of \$148,098 from general and administrative to production costs. Part of the increase in production costs in 2003 was due to the fact that our field personnel cost was capitalized as we were drilling new wells in 2002, as compared to 2003 when all employees were working to maintain production. Field salaries in Swan Creek was \$209,563 in the first nine months of 2003. The remaining increase was due to increased property taxes on the pipeline as a result of its higher assessed value after completion.

Depreciation, Depletion, and Amortization expense for the first nine months of 2003 was \$1,887,333 compared to \$1,731,182 in the first nine months of 2002. The December 31, 2002, Ryder Scott Company, L.P. reserve reports were used as a basis for the 2003 estimate. We review our depletion analysis and industry oil and gas prices on a quarterly basis to ensure that the depletion estimate is reasonable. The depletion taken in the first nine months of 2003 was \$1,072,926

as compared to \$1,019,138 in the first nine months of 2002. We also amortized \$153,633 of loan fees relating to the Bank One loan and convertible notes in the first nine months of 2003 as compared to \$129,540 in the same period of 2002.

During the first nine months of 2003, we reduced our general and administrative costs significantly by \$415,699 from those of the first nine months of 2002. Management has made an effort to control costs in every aspect of its operations. Some of these cost reductions included the closing of our New York office and a reduction in personnel from 2002 levels. Professional fees have remained at a high level, primarily due to costs incurred for legal and accounting services as a result of the Bank One lawsuit. Dividends on preferred stock have increased from \$372,595 during the first nine months of 2002 to \$402,583 during the first nine months of 2003 as a result of the increase in the amount of preferred stock outstanding from new private placements occurring during the second quarter of 2002. Our 2003 public relations costs were reduced by \$146,967 from those of 2002 as part of our efforts to cut costs.

FISCAL YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

We realized oil and gas revenues of \$5,437,723 in 2002 as compared to \$6,656,758 in 2001 and \$5,241,076 in 2000. The decrease in revenues in 2002 from 2001 was due to a decrease in volumes produced in 2002 from the volumes produced in 2001. Gas produced from the Swan Creek Field was 717,701 MCF in 2002 as compared to 966,967 MCF in 2001, resulting in approximately \$800,000 in reduced revenues. Oil production from the Swan Creek Field was 20,122 barrels in 2002, down from 30,323 barrels in 2001, resulting in approximately \$200,000 in reduced

revenues. Gas production from the Kansas Properties was 287,198 MCF in 2002 compared to 324,915 MCF in 2001, resulting in approximately \$100,000 in reduced revenues. Oil production from the Kansas Properties was 137,851 barrels in 2002 compared to 147,029 barrels in 2001, resulting in approximately \$200,000 in reduced revenues. The reason for the decrease in volumes produced in 2002 was our disputed credit reduction by Bank One, which significantly limited our ability to drill new wells to maintain or increase production volumes. The increased revenues in 2001 of \$6,656,758 compared to \$5,241,076 in 2000 was primarily due to gas sales from the Swan Creek field of \$2,563,935 being made for the first time during 2001. However, oil sales decreased by approximately \$951,000 in 2001 from 2000 levels due to price decreases, as the number of barrels produced remained constant.

Our subsidiary, TPC, had pipeline transportation revenues of \$259,677 in 2002, a decrease compared to \$296,331 in 2001, the first year of transportation revenues.

Our production costs and taxes have increased each year from 2000 to 2002 as additional costs have been incurred to maintain the Kansas Properties and to begin production from the Swan Creek Field in 2001 and to maintain it in 2002. The production costs and taxes increased from \$2,951,746 in 2001 to \$3,094,731 in 2002. An increase in 2001 of \$337,332 in production costs and taxes as compared to 2000 was due primarily to the commencement of production from the Swan Creek Field.

Depletion, depreciation, and amortization increased significantly in 2002 to \$2,413,597 over 2001 and 2000 levels of \$1,849,963 and \$371,249, respectively. The primary reason for the increase from 2002 over 2001 was due to depreciation being taken for the first time for a full year on our pipeline facilities in 2002, whereas only a half year of depreciation was taken in 2001 after the pipeline was placed in service in mid-year. Also, approximately \$186,000 of loan fees were amortized in 2002. The primary increase in 2001 from 2000 was due to significant increases in depletion expense during 2001 (\$1,142,000) as a result of the following: decreases in reserve estimates on oil and gas properties arising from declining commodity prices; certain of our gas wells had decreased production levels at year-end due to problems encountered with liquids in the wells. This decreased production level at year-end was factored into the estimated future proved reserves calculation performed as of December 31, 2001, resulting in a lower future proved reserves estimate. Additionally, in 2001 we depreciated the pipeline for the first time (\$220,371).

We significantly reduced our general administrative costs to \$1,868,141 in 2002 from \$2,957,871 in 2001. Management has made a significant effort to control costs in every aspect of its operations. Some of these cost reductions include the closing of the New York office and a reduction in personnel from 2001 levels. General and administrative expenses had increased to \$2,957,871 in 2001 from \$2,602,311 in 2000. The increases in 2001 from 2000 were attributable

to an increase in insurance of approximately \$400,000 in 2001 to expand coverage including blowout insurance and the addition of company provided medical insurance for employees.

Interest expense for 2002 decreased significantly over 2001 levels due to the reduced interest rate on the Bank One loan over the rate applicable under previous financing arrangements. Interest expense in 2002 was \$578,039 compared to \$850,965 in 2001. Interest expense for 2001 had in turn increased significantly from \$415,376 in 2000. This increase was due to additional interest cost associated with financing for the completion of Phase II of our 65-mile pipeline. The increase in 2001 was reduced by interest cost of approximately \$148,000 which was capitalized in the first three months of 2001

during construction of the pipeline. Interest of \$128,000\$ was capitalized in 2000.

Public relations costs were significantly reduced in 2002 to \$193,229 from \$293,448 in 2001 as we applied cost saving methods in the preparation of our annual report to stockholders and in publishing of press releases. Public relations costs increased to \$293,448 in 2001 as compared to 2000 costs of \$106,195 due to costs associated with producing the annual report, the proxy statement and press releases.

Professional fees increased to \$707,296 in 2002 from \$355,480 in 2001 due to legal and accounting services primarily related to the Bank One litigation and new accounting regulations. Professional fees had decreased substantially in 2001 from 2000 fees of \$719,320\$ which included a charge in 2000 of \$242,000 for stock options issued in 2000 to non-employees.

Dividends on preferred stock increased to \$506,789 in 2002 from \$391,183 in 2001 and from \$257,557, in 2000 as a result in the increase in the amount of preferred stock outstanding.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

In November 2001, Bank One extended to us a line of credit of up to \$35 million. The initial borrowing base under such credit agreement was \$10 million. In April 2002, we received a notice from Bank One stating that it had redetermined and reduced the borrowing base under the credit agreement to approximately \$3.1 million and requiring a \$6 million reduction of the outstanding loan. The schedule of reserve reports required by the Credit Agreement upon which such redeterminations were to be based specifically established a procedure involving an automatic monthly principal payment of \$200,000 commencing February 1, 2002. As of February 1, 2004, the outstanding principal balance under the credit agreement was approximately \$4.7 million.

As a result of Bank One's unexpected reduction of the borrowing base and the corresponding demand for payment of 6 million, combined with the fact that we are still in the early stages of our oil and gas operating history during which time we have had a history of losses from operations and have an accumulated deficit of (29,491,533) and a working capital deficit of (9,194,357) as of June 30, 2003, our independent auditors indicated in their report on the audit of our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2002 that our ability to continue as a going concern is uncertain. Our ability to continue as a going concern depends upon our ability to obtain long-term debt or raise capital and satisfy cash flow requirements.

In May 2002, we filed suit against Bank One in federal court in the Eastern District of Tennessee, Northeastern Division at Greeneville, Tennessee to restrain Bank One from taking any steps pursuant to the credit agreement to enforce its demand that we reduce our loan obligation or else be deemed in default and for actual and punitive damages resulting from the demand. See "Legal Proceedings" for a discussion of this action. Although the parties continue to discuss settlement of all outstanding issues, no settlement has been reached. The Court has adjusted the procedural schedule to lead to trial on December 7, 2004 in the event settlement is not concluded. Even if we conclude a settlement with Bank One, we do not anticipate that we will be able to either increase the borrowing base under the Bank One credit agreement or borrow any additional sums from Bank One. To fund additional drilling and to provide additional working capital, we are required to pursue other options. Although we intend to apply the net proceeds from this offering initially to repay outstanding non-bank indebtedness and to apply the balance of such proceeds, if any, to repay bank indebtedness to some extent and/or to fund the drilling of additional wells, there can be no assurances that such net proceeds will be sufficient for such purposes or that we will be able to resolve the difficulties

currently preventing us from drilling additional wells and increasing production volumes of natural gas from the Swan Creek Field. See "Use of Proceeds."

If funding for drilling becomes sufficiently available, as to which there can be no assurance, we plan to drill wells in the Swan Creek Field and in new locations in Ellis and Rush Counties, Kansas on existing leases in response to drilling activity in the area establishing new areas of oil production. Although we drilled a well in Kansas in 2001 and completed the well as an oil well, we were not able to drill any new wells in Kansas in or since 2002 due to lack of funds.

As of September 30, 2003, we had total stockholders' equity of \$12,458,833 on total assets of \$31,662,903. We had a net working capital deficiency at September 30, 2003 of \$(9,296,959) as compared to a net deficiency of \$(7,998,835) at December 31, 2002.

Net cash used in operating activities increased from \$221,176 in 2001 to \$566,017 in 2002. Net cash used in operating activities was (724,084) during the first nine months of 2002 as compared to net cash provided by operating activities during the first nine months of 2003 of \$543,258. Our net loss in 2002 increased to (3,154,555) from (2,262,787) in 2001 and decreased from (2,447,944) during the first nine months of 2002 to (1,494,985) during the first nine months of 2003.

Net cash used in investing activities amounted to \$2,889,937 for 2002 compared to net cash used in the amount of \$9,408,684 for 2001 and \$386,681 for the first nine months of 2003 as compared to \$2,549,984 for the first nine months of 2002. The decrease in net cash used for investing activities during 2002 is primarily attributable to the construction of Phase II of the pipeline of \$4,213,095 in 2001 as compared to \$841,750 in 2002 and additions to oil and gas properties of \$4,821,883 in 2001 as compared to \$1,982,529 in 2002.

Net cash provided by financing activities decreased to \$3,246,633 in 2002 from \$8,419,336 in 2001. Net cash used in financing activities amounted to \$8,522 during the first nine months of 2003 as compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$3,203,156 during the first nine months of 2002. The decrease over the full years was due, in part, to our inability to enter into new financing arrangements in 2002 as a result of our dispute with Bank One as discussed above. The decrease over the nine-month periods was a result, in part, of the private placements of common stock and preferred stock during the earlier period in the aggregate amount of \$3,980,168 as compared to only \$250,000 during the recent period. In 2001 the primary sources of financing included proceeds from borrowings of \$10,442,068 as compared to \$2,063,139 in 2002 and net proceeds of issuances of common stock of \$3,900,000 in 2001 as compared to \$2,677,000 in 2002. In addition, proceeds from exercise of options were \$2,341,000 in 2001 as compared to zero in 2002 as the market price of our stock fell below the exercise price of the earlier granted options. The primary use of cash in financing activities in 2001 was the use of the funds received from Bank One to repay our prior borrowings of \$8,833,325 as compared to 2002 when \$2,378,273 was used primarily to make payments to Bank One.

We must make substantial capital expenditures for the acquisition, exploration and development of oil and gas reserves. We are presently unable to fund the resumption of our drilling program in the Swan Creek Field. At the present time and until we are able to increase our production and sales of gas and to resolve our dispute with Bank One, we must obtain the necessary funds to proceed with our drilling program from other sources, such as this offering as well as equity investment, bank loan or a joint venture with other companies, as to which there can be no assurances. Although we intend to apply the net

proceeds from this offering initially to repay non-bank indebtedness and to apply the balance of such proceeds, if any, to repay in part bank indebtedness and/or other working capital purposes, including the drilling of additional wells, there can be no assurances that such net proceeds will be sufficient for such purposes or that we will be able to resolve the financial difficulties currently preventing us from drilling wells and increasing production volumes of natural gas from the Swan Creek Field. In addition, our revenues or cash flows could be reduced because of a variety of reasons, including lower oil and gas prices or the inoperability of some or all of our existing wells, as to which there can be no assurances. We do not know that we will be able to obtain additional funding. In addition to our operational cash requirements, we have a significant amount of loans and other obligations either due or maturing January 4, 2004 and April 4, 2004, including \$1,150,000 principal amount of unsecured convertible notes and \$3,850,000 principal amount of secured promissory notes, plus interest to Dolphin. See "Risk Factors - Significant Capital Requirements; Need for Additional Financing" and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions."

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our accounting policies are described in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this prospectus. We prepare our Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the year. Actual results could differ fro those estimates. We consider the following policies to be the most critical in understanding the judgments that are involved in preparing our financial statements and the uncertainties that could impact our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

#### FULL COST METHOD OF ACCOUNTING

We follow the full cost method of accounting for oil and gas property acquisition, exploration and development activities. Under this method, all productive and non-productive costs incurred in connection with the acquisition of, exploration for and development of oil and gas reserves for each cost center are capitalized. Capitalized costs include lease acquisitions, geological and geophysical work, daily rentals and the costs of drilling, completing and equipping oil and gas wells. We capitalized \$45,312, \$1,982,529, \$4,821,883 and \$1,456,996 of these costs for the first nine months of 2003 and for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Costs, however, associated with production and general corporate activities are expensed in the period incurred. Interest costs related to unproved properties and properties under development are also capitalized to oil and gas properties. Gains or losses are recognized only upon sales or dispositions of significant amounts of oil and gas reserves representing an entire cost center. Proceeds from all other sales or dispositions are treated as reductions to capitalized costs.

OIL AND GAS RESERVES/DEPLETION DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION OF OIL AND GAS PROPERTIES

The capitalized costs of oil and gas properties, plus estimated future development costs relating to proved reserves and estimated costs of plugging and abandonment, net of estimated salvage value, are amortized on the unit-of-production method based on total proved reserves. The costs of unproved properties are excluded from amortization until the properties are evaluated, subject to an annual assessment of whether impairment has occurred.

Our proved oil and gas reserves as at December 31, 2002 were estimated by Ryder Scott Company, L.P., oil and gas consultants. Projecting the effects of commodity prices on production, and timing of development expenditures include many factors beyond our control. The future estimates of net cash flows from our proved reserves and their present value are based upon various assumptions about future production levels, prices, and costs that may prove to be incorrect over time. Any significant variance from assumptions could result in the actual future net cash flows being materially different from the estimates.

#### CONTINGENCIES

We account for contingencies in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 5, "Accounting Contingencies." SFAS No. 5 requires that we record an estimated loss from a loss contingency when information available prior to the issuance of our financial statements indicate that it is probable an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Accounting for contingencies such as environmental, legal and income tax matters requires our management to use its judgment. While our management believes that our accrual for these matters are adequate, if the actual loss from a loss contingency is significantly different from the estimated loss, our results of operations may be over or understated. The primary area in which we have to estimate contingent liabilities is with respect to legal actions brought against us. See "Legal Proceedings."

#### RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

A reporting issue has arisen regarding the application of certain provisions of SAFS No. 141 and SFAS No. 142 to companies in the extracting industries including oil and gas companies. The issue is whether SFAS No. 142 regulates registrants to classify the costs of mineral rights held under lease or other contractual arrangement associated with extracting oil and gas as intangible assets in the balance sheet, apart from other capitalized oil and gas property owned and provide specific footnote disclosures. Historically, we had included the costs of such mineral rights associated with extracting oil and gas as a component of oil and gas properties. If it is ultimately determined that SFAS No. 142 requires oil and gas companies to classify cost of mineral rights held under lease or other contractual arrangement associated with extracting oil and gas as a separate intangible asset line item on the balance sheet, we would be required to reclassify approximately \$453,000 at September 30, 2003 and \$346,000 at December 31, 2002, respectively, out of oil and gas properties and into a separate intangible asset line item. Our cash flows and results of operations would not be affected since such intangible assets would continue to be depleted and amortized for impairment in accordance with full cost accounting rules. Further, we do not believe the classification of the cost of mineral rights associated with extracting oil and gas as intangible assets would have any impact on compliance with covenants under our debt agreements.

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations "SFAS No. 143 addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement cost. This statement requires companies to record the present value of obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets in the periods in which it is incurred. The liability is capitalized as part of the related long-lived assets carrying amount. Over time, accretion of the liability is recognized as an operating

expense and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the expected useful life of the related asset. Our asset retirement obligations relate primarily to the plugging, dismantlement, removal site reclamation and similar activities of our oil and gas properties. Prior to adoption of this statement, such obligations were accrued ratably over the productive lives of the assets through our depreciation, depletion and amortization for oil and gas properties without recording a separate liability for such amounts. The impact of applying this statement as of January 1, 2003 and September 30, 2003 is discussed in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this Prospectus.

In April 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 145, "Rescission of SFAS No. 4, 44, 64, Amendment of SFAS No. 13, and Technical Corrections" ("SFAS 145"). SFAS 4, which was amended by SFAS 64, required all gains and losses from the extinguishment of debt to be aggregated and, if material, classified as an extraordinary item, net of related income tax effect. As a result of SFAS 145, the criteria in Accounting Principles Board opinion 30 will now be used to classify those gains and losses. SFAS 13 was amended to eliminate an inconsistency between the required accounting for sale-leaseback transactions and the required accounting for certain lease modifications that have economic effects that are similar to sale-leaseback transactions. The adoption of SFAS 145 will not have a current impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2002, FASB issued SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Cost Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities. The standard requires companies to recognize cost associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date of commitment to an exit or disposal plan. Examples of cost covered by the standard include lease termination costs and certain employee severance costs that are associated with restructuring, discontinued operation, plant closing, or other exit or disposal activity. Previous accounting guidance was provided by EITF Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." Statement 146 replaces Issue 94-3. Statement 146 is to be applied prospectively to exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. We do not currently have any plans for exit or disposal activities, and therefore do not expect this standard to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements upon adoption.

In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation ("FIN") No. 45. "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others", which clarifies disclosure and recognition/measurement requirements related to certain guarantees. The disclosure requirements are effective for financial statements issued after December 15, 2002 and here cognition/measurement requirements are effective on a

prospective basis for guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The application of the requirements of FIN 45 did not have an impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based compensation -- Transition and Disclosure -- an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123 ("Statement 148"). This amendment provides two additional methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. Additionally, more prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements are required for stock-based employee compensation. The transition guidance and annual disclosure provisions of Statement 148 are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002. The interim disclosure provisions are effective for financial reports containing financial statements for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002. The adoption of Statement 148 did not have a material impact on our consolidated

financial statements.

In January 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. (FIN) 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities." This interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 "Consolidated Financial Statements" consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities, which possess certain characteristics. The Interpretation requires that if a business enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity, the assets, liabilities, and results of the activities of the variable interest entity must be included in the consolidated financial statements with those of the business enterprise. This Interpretation applies immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003 and to variable interest entities in which an enterprise obtains an interest after that date. We do not have any ownership in any variable interest entities.

In May 2003, the FASB issued Statement No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity". SFAS No. 150 requires three types of freestanding financial instruments to be classified as liabilities in statements of financial position. One type is mandatory redeemable shares, which the issuing company is obligated to buy back in exchange for cash or other assets. A second type, which includes put options and forward purchase contracts, involves instruments that do or may require the issuer to buy back some of its shares in exchange for cash or other assets. The third type of instrument is obligations that can be settled with shares, the monetary value of which is fixed, tied solely or predominately to a variable such as a market index, or varies inversely with the value of the issuer's shares. The majority of the guidance in SFAS No. 150 is effective for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. In accordance with SFAS No. 150, we adopted this standard on July 1, 2003. Adoption of SFAS No. 150 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

# QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISKS

#### COMMODITY RISK

Our major market risk exposure is in the pricing applicable to our oil and gas production. Realized pricing is primarily driven by the prevailing worldwide price for crude oil and spot prices applicable to natural gas production. Historically, prices received for oil and gas production have been volatile and unpredictable and price volatility is expected to continue. Monthly oil price realizations ranged from a low of \$18.56 per barrel to a high of \$27.49 per barrel during 2002. Gas price realizations ranged from a monthly low of \$1.91 per Mcf to a monthly high of \$4.01 per Mcf during 2002.

As required by our credit agreement with Bank One, we entered into hedge agreements on December 28, 2001 on notional volumes of oil and natural gas production for the first six months of 2002 in order to manage some exposure to oil and gas price fluctuations. Realized gains or losses from our price risk management activities were recognized in oil and gas production revenues when the associated production occurred. Notional volumes associated with our derivative contracts were 27,000 barrels and 630,000 MMBTU's for oil and natural gas, respectively. We do not generally hold or issue derivative instruments for trading purposes. These hedge agreements expired in June 2002 and have not been renewed. Hedging activities resulted in a loss to the Company of approximately \$118,000 for the year ended December 31, 2002 and had no impact on operations for the first nine months of 2003. We currently have no hedging arrangements.

#### INTEREST RATE RISK

At December 31, 2002, we had debt outstanding of approximately \$9.9 million. The interest rate on the revolving credit facility of \$7.5 million at December 31, 2002 is variable based on the financial institution's prime rate plus 0.25%. The remaining debt of \$2.4 million has fixed interest rates ranging from 6% to 11.95%. As a result, our annual interest costs in 2002 fluctuated based on short-term interest rates. The impact of interest expense and our cash flows of a ten percent increase in the financial institution's prime rate (approximately 0.5 basis points) would be approximately \$32,000, assuming borrowed amounts under the credit facility remain at \$7.5 million. We did not have any open derivative contracts relating to interest rates at September 30, 2003.

#### BUSINESS

#### OVERVIEW

We are in the business of exploring for, producing and transporting oil and natural gas in Tennessee and Kansas. We lease producing and non-producing properties with a view toward exploration and development. Emphasis is also placed on pipeline and other infrastructure facilities to provide transportation services. We utilize seismic technology to improve the recovery of reserves.

Our activities in the oil and gas business commenced in May 1995 with the acquisition of oil and gas leases in Hancock, Claiborne, Knox, Jefferson and Union counties in Tennessee. Our current lease position in these areas in Tennessee is approximately 41,088 acres.

To date, we have drilled primarily on a portion of our Tennessee leases known as the Swan Creek Field in Hancock County focused within what is known as the Knox formation, one of the geologic formations in that field. During the first nine months of 2003, we produced an average of approximately 1.2 million cubic feet of natural gas per day and 2,171 barrels of oil per month from 23 producing gas wells and six producing oil wells in the Swan Creek Field.

In 2001, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Tengasco Pipeline Corporation, or TPC, completed a 65-mile intrastate pipeline from the Swan Creek Field to Kingsport, Tennessee. Until our pipeline was completed, the gas wells that had been drilled in the Swan Creek Field could not be placed into actual production and the gas transported and sold to our industrial customers in Kingsport. We initially believed that the production of natural gas from the Swan Creek Field would be significantly higher than the actually experienced production. The reasons for the lower production volumes include initial production problems caused by naturally occurring fluids entering the well bore, slower than anticipated production of the wells due to underground reservoir characteristics that became apparent only when the wells were placed into actual production, and our inability to drill additional wells due to shortage of available capital. We have taken steps to minimize fluid problems in existing wells by mechanical means and to avoid them in future wells by drilling and completion techniques. Management believes, however, that the only way to increase production volumes of gas from this field is to drill additional wells to drain the underground reservoirs of the full reserves of gas, and our ability to do so is dependent upon raising additional capital for drilling. Although we intend to apply the net proceeds from this offering initially to repay outstanding non-bank indebtedness and to apply the balance of such proceeds, if any, to repay in part bank indebtedness and/or to fund in part the drilling of additional wells, there can be no assurances that such net proceeds will be sufficient for such purposes

or that we will be able to resolve the difficulties currently preventing us from drilling additional wells and increasing production volumes of natural gas from the Swan Creek Field.

In 1998, we acquired from AFG Energy, Inc., or AFG, a private company, approximately 32,000 acres of leases in the vicinity of Hays, Kansas. Included in that acquisition were 273 wells, including 208 working wells, of which 149 were producing oil wells and 59 were producing gas wells, a related 50-mile pipeline and gathering system, three compressors and 11 vehicles. The total purchase price of these assets was approximately \$5.5 million. During the first six months of 2003, our Kansas properties produced an average of approximately ..13 MMcf of natural gas per day and 10,800 barrels of oil per month. Net revenues from our Kansas properties were an average of approximately \$342,000 per month during the first six months of 2003.

#### HISTORY OF THE COMPANY

We were initially organized under the laws of the State of Utah in 1916, under the name "Gold Deposit Mining & Milling Company." We were formed for the purpose of mining, reducing and smelting mineral ores. In 1972, we conveyed to an unaffiliated entity substantially all of our assets and we ceased all business operations. From approximately 1983 to 1991, our operations were limited to seeking out the acquisition of assets, property or businesses.

In 1995, we acquired certain oil and gas leases, equipment, securities and vehicles owned by Industrial Resources Corporation, a Kentucky corporation, changed our name from "Onasco Companies, Inc." to "Tengasco, Inc.", and changed our domicile from the State of Utah to the State of Tennessee by merging into Tengasco, Inc., a Tennessee corporation, formed by us solely for this purpose.

During 1996, we formed TPC to manage the construction and operation of our pipeline, as well as other pipelines planned for the future.

GENERAL

#### THE SWAN CREEK FIELD

Amoco Production Company, during the late 1970's and early 1980's acquired approximately 50,500 acres of oil and gas leases in the Eastern Overthrust in the Appalachian Basin, including the area now referred to as the Swan Creek Field. In 1982, Amoco successfully drilled two natural gas discovery wells in the Swan Creek Field to the Knox Formation at approximately 5,000 feet of total depth. These wells, once completed, had a high pressure and apparent volume of deliverability of natural gas. In the mid-1980's, however, a substantial decline in worldwide oil and gas prices occurred and further exacerbated the high cost of constructing a necessary 23-mile pipeline across three rugged mountain ranges and crossing the environmentally protected Clinch River from Sneedville to the closest market in Rogersville, Tennessee. In 1987, Amoco farmed out our leases to Eastern American Energy Company which held the leases until July 1995. In July 1995 we commenced a legal action, under laws passed by the Tennessee legislature, as to our right to lease Amoco's prior acreage. In July 1995 pursuant to such action, we acquired the Swan Creek leases. These leases provide for a landowner royalty of 12.5%.

SWAN CREEK PIPELINE FACILITIES. In July 1998, we completed Phase I of our pipeline from the Swan Creek Field, a 30-mile pipeline made of six- and eight-inch steel pipe running from the Swan Creek Field into the main city gate of Rogersville, Tennessee. With the assistance of the Tennessee Valley Authority, or TVA, we were successful in utilizing TVA's right-of-way along its

main power line grid from the Swan Creek Field to the Hawkins County Gas Utility District located in Rogersville. The cost of constructing Phase I of the pipeline was approximately \$4,200,000.

In April 2000, construction commenced on Phase II of our pipeline. This was an additional 35 miles of eight- and 12-inch pipe laid at a cost of approximately \$11.1 million extending our pipeline from a point near the terminus of Phase I and connecting to an existing pipeline and meter station at Eastman Chemical Company's chemical plant. The pipeline system was completed in March 2001 at an overall cost of approximately \$15.3 million and extends 65 miles from our Swan Creek Field to Kingsport, Tennessee.

SWAN CREEK CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS. In November 1999, we entered into an agreement with Eastman Chemical Company that provides that Eastman would purchase daily from the Swan Creek Field at Eastman's plant in Kingsport a minimum of the lesser of (i) 5,000 MMBtu's (MMBtu means one million British thermal units, which is the equivalent of approximately one thousand cubic feet of gas) or (ii) forty percent (40%) of the natural gas requirements of Eastman's plant and a maximum of 15,000 MMBtu's per day. Under the terms of the agreement, we had the option to install facilities to treat the delivered gas so that the total non-hydrocarbon content of the delivered gas is not greater than two percent (2%). This would have allowed the gas to be used in certain processes in the Eastman plant requiring low levels of non-hydrocarbons. If we elected to perform this option by installing additional facilities, the minimum daily amount of gas to be purchased by Eastman from us would increase to the lesser of (i)10,000 MMBtu's or (ii) eighty percent (80%) of the natural gas requirements of Eastman's chemical plant.

In March 2000, we signed an amendment to the agreement with Eastman permitting us a further option with respect to the allowable level of non-hydrocarbons in the delivered gas from the Swan Creek Field. This amendment gives us the further option to tender gas without treatment, at a minimum volume of 10,000 MMBtu's per day, in consideration of which we agreed to accept a price reduction of five cents per MMBtu for the volumes per day between 5,000 and 10,000 MMBtu's per day under the pricing structure in place under the original agreement. To date, to our knowledge, none of the gas sold by us to Eastman exceeds the allowable level of non-hydrocarbons permitted under the agreement and no such gas requires treatment.

Under the agreement as amended in March 2000, Eastman agreed to pay us the index price plus \$0.10 for all natural gas quantities up to 5,000 MMBtu's delivered per day, the index price plus \$0.05 for all quantities in excess of 5,000 MMBtu's per day and the index price for all quantities in excess of 15,000 MMBtu's per day. The index price means the price per MMBtu published in McGraw-Hill's INSIDE F.E.R.C Gas Market Report equal to the Henry Hub price index as shown in the table labeled Market Center Spot Gas Prices. The agreement with Eastman is for an initial term of twenty years and will be automatically extended, if the parties agree, for successive terms of one year. The initial term of the agreement commenced in March 2001.

In January 2000, TPC, signed a franchise agreement to install and operate new natural gas utility services for residential, commercial and industrial users in Hancock County, Tennessee for the Powell Valley Utility District, which we refer to as the District. The District had no existing natural gas facilities and the system to be installed by TPC was initially intended to extend to schools and small customers and gradually be expanded over time to serve as many of the 6,900 residents of the County as is economically feasible. TPC purchases gas from us on behalf of the District, which gas is to be resold at an average retail price of about \$8.00 Mcf. Under the franchise agreement, which has an

initial term of ten years and may be renewed by us for an additional ten years, TPC will receive 95% of the gross proceeds of the sale of gas for our services under the agreement. In June 2000, TPC began installation of the necessary facilities to begin to serve residential and industrial consumers in the City of Sneedville, county seat of Hancock County. Our existing eight-inch main line from our Swan Creek Field passes through the city limit of Sneedville. A one-half mile of interconnecting pipeline from our existing pipeline was installed, as well as an additional four miles of pipeline as the initial phase of the distribution system. The construction was completed and delivery of initial volumes of gas into the system from the Swan Creek field occurred in December 2000. The cost of construction of these facilities was approximately \$300,000. Upon enactment of initial rate schedules by the District, initial sales began in January 2001 to a small number of residential and small commercial customers.

In March 2002, we began delivering gas to our first commercial customer, Kiefer Built, Inc, an Iowa-based manufacturer of livestock and industrial trailers, in a new industrial park in Sneedville. Although there can be no assurance, we hope to be able to supply gas to other District customers who may move into that industrial park. At this time, however, no gas sales agreements for large volume or base load sales have been signed and there can be no assurances that such agreements will be signed and if signed, we are not able to predict when such sales may begin, if at all, or what the overall volumes of gas sold may be. Due to the small number of existing customers and relatively high operating costs, we experienced a loss of approximately \$35,000 attributable to the operation of this system in 2002. Although there can be no assurance, we intend to either expand the operation of this system so as to increase revenues or to sell these assets to neighboring utilities or the City of Sneedville. In the event of such a sale, we could still sell gas to the District.

In March 2001, we signed a contract to supply natural gas to BAE Systems Ordnance Systems Inc., or BAE, operator of the Holston Army Ammunition Plant in Kingsport, Tennessee for a period of twenty years. Natural gas is used at the Holston Army ammunition facility to fire boilers and furnaces for steam production and process operations utilized in the manufacture of explosives by BAE for the United States military. Under the agreement, BAE's daily purchases of natural gas may be between 1.8 million and five million cubic feet, and volume could, although there can be no assurance, increase over the life of the agreement as BAE conducts additional operations at the Holston facility. The contract calls for a price based on the monthly published index price for spot sales of gas at the Henry Hub plus five cents per MMBtu in the same manner as the price is calculated in the contract between us and Eastman.

We have the only gas pipeline located on the grounds of the 6,000-acre Holston facility. A portion of the Holston facility is being developed by BAE as the new Holston Business and Technology Park, which is expected to serve as a location for additional commercial and industrial customers. Although there can be no assurance, our presence at the Holston Business and Technology Park is expected to position us to provide gas service to those customers and we understand that our presence is considered by BAE to be a favorable factor in the development of the Park.

SWAN CREEK PRODUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT. We began delivering gas through our pipeline to BAE in April 2001 and to Eastman in May 2001. Daily production in June 2001 averaged 4,936.2 Mcf and in July 2001 daily production averages increased to 5,497 Mcf per day. Although our gas production in mid-2001 was at

anticipated levels, we were unable to maintain those production levels for the remainder of 2001 and since then. This was due primarily to three problems:

- o initial fluid problems in some wells;
- o natural and expected production declines from the type of reservoir that exists in the Swan Creek field; and
- o our inability to offset expected natural declines in production by drilling new wells because of inadequate capital.

As to the first of these problems, we experienced the in-flow of substantially more fluids in the existing wells than had been expected when they were first brought into continuous production in 2001. These fluids entered the wells from the boreholes. The fluids obstructed and significantly reduced the flow of gas from the existing wells in the Swan Creek Field and required substantial additional work and repairs to increase the production from existing wells. First, we installed a drip tank system to eliminate the fluids in the pipeline. Next, we installed mechanical devices in many of the existing wells to reduce the fluid problems. Many of the existing wells had to be shut down while the repairs were made. Gas lifts have been installed in 15 of our existing wells and act as mechanisms to remove the fluids and stabilize erratic behavior, such as large swings in individual well production. These measures have had only limited success in increasing production from existing wells. We expect that techniques used in addressing these fluid problems will be applied in our future wells in the Swan Creek Field and we anticipate, although there can be no assurance, that this will minimize or prevent these problems.

As to the second problem, we experienced an expected and we believe normal decline in initial production from existing wells in the newly-producing Swan Creek Field. We believe that all types of gas wells experience some type of decline as in the course of initial production. These declines were expected and do not diminish either the shut-in pressure or our actual reserves in the Swan Creek Field. The declines, however, suggest the production rates from some of our smaller wells will continue to be slower, which may result in such wells lasting longer than we originally expected.

As to the third problem, the declines in production have not been addressed and replaced by additional drilling as we had planned. We believe that in order for overall field production to remain steady or grow in a field such as the Swan Creek Field, new wells must be brought online to offset the normal production declines in wells as described above. We anticipate, although there can be no assurances, that any new wells drilled by us would experience a similar harmonic (i.e. a relatively steep initial decline curve followed by longer periods of relatively flat or stable production) decline as a normal function. Consequently, continuous drilling is important to maintaining or increasing initial levels of production. Only two gas wells were added by us in 2002. We anticipate that the natural decline of production from existing wells is now predictable in the Swan Creek Field, that the total volume of our reserves remains largely intact, and that these reserves can be extracted through both existing wells and by additional well drilled by us, subject to the availability of requisite funding. Although we intend to apply the net proceeds from this offering initially to repay outstanding non-bank indebtedness and to apply the balance of such proceeds, if any, to repay in part bank indebtedness and/or to fund such net proceeds will be sufficient for such purposes or that the drilling of additional wells, there can be no assurance that such net proceeds will be sufficient for such purposes or that we will have or be able to further raise sufficient capital to fund our proposed drilling program to successfully increase production from the Swan Creek Field.

Due to natural and expected declines that continue to occur in ongoing production from any oil and gas well, some additional declines are expected to occur in production from our existing wells in the Swan Creek Field. Although there can be no assurance, we expect these natural declines to be less than the decline experienced to date, and that ongoing production from existing wells

will tend to level off. This expectation is based on two factors:

- o first, repairs have been performed on many of the existing wells, and
- o second, the natural production decline from any well is normally greatest during the initial producing periods, which initial periods have largely elapsed.

Natural gas production from the Swan Creek Field during 2002 averaged 2.567 million cubic feet per day in the first quarter; 2.553 million cubic feet per day in the second quarter; 2.224 million cubic feet per day in the third quarter; and, 1.467 million cubic feet per day in the fourth quarter. Natural gas production from the Swan Creek Field for the first nine months of 2003 averaged 1.195 million cubic feet per day. This production history reflects a combination of natural and expected decline from initial production from existing wells, partially offset in the second and third quarters by the addition of production from two new gas wells. During the fourth quarter of 2002 and the first nine months of 2003, no wells were added to offset the natural and expected declines in production from existing wells.

We also experienced reductions or declines in our sales volumes during certain times in 2002 for reasons unrelated to the production capability of our wells or fields. These declines were caused by reductions in our customers' usage requirements and/or by delivery restrictions. During a period in 2002, Eastman temporarily ceased purchases from us because we were delivering most of our then available volumes to supply BAE's newly-increased requirements. During that period, we were unable to sell to Eastman all volumes of gas exceeding BAE's increased requirements, although we were able to produce these volumes, because Eastman requires a minimum volume for its meters that available volumes did not meet and a uniform rate of delivery that taking short-term volumes would interrupt. During the time Eastman was not purchasing gas from us, BAE purchased additional volumes until BAE experienced a partial equipment outage in July 2002 and reduced our purchased volumes. As a result of these occurrences, which were not within our control, our sales volume to BAE and Eastman in July 2002 declined to 42,382 Mcf or an average of 1,367 Mcf per day. In order to increase the volumes of gas for delivery from the Swan Creek Field, we must drill additional wells. However, even if additional wells are drilled, we anticipate based on all information acquired to date, although there can be no assurance, that deliverability from the Swan Creek Field, once stabilized, may not exceed approximately three million cubic feet per day, and there can be no assurances as to any minimum productivity.

During 2002, we had 30 producing gas wells and seven producing oil wells in the Swan Creek Field. Miller Petroleum, Inc. and others had a participating interest in twelve of these wells. In total, we have completed 45 wells in the Swan Creek Field. The majority of these gas wells were drilled prior to the completion of the pipeline system so only test data was available prior to full production. Of the completed wells, twelve are shut-in or currently not producing because these wells are either not presently producing commercial quantities of hydrocarbons, or are awaiting workover or tie-in to our pipeline. However, certain of these wells may not be tied in to our pipeline since the expense of connection over rough terrain may not be justified in view of the expected volumes to be produced. During the first nine months of 2003, we produced gas from 23 gas wells in the Swan Creek Field.

We were not able to drill a substantial number of additional gas or oil wells at Swan Creek in 2002 because we did not have sufficient funds to do so. Although we had expected to commence and continue our drilling program in 2002,

we were forced to postpone any further drilling until additional funds are available and our dispute with Bank One is resolved, as to which there can be no assurance. Because the Knox formation has been defined by the accumulation of data from previously drilled wells and seismic data, new locations and new wells when drilled are expected, although there can be no assurances, to contribute to achieving increases in production totals. We believe, although there can be no assurance, that new wells can be strategically based on information we have developed from our existing wells as to the shape and key producing horizons of the Knox formation. We have obtained approval from the Tennessee regulatory authorities with jurisdiction over spacing of wells to drill additional wells on smaller spacing in the field, effectively allowing more wells to be drilled and the reservoir to produce more quickly but with no decrease in the long term efficiency of production of the maximum amount of reserves from the reservoir. We are hopeful that production from these new wells will be in line with our more productive existing wells in the Swan Creek Field and will have a noticeable effect on increasing the total production from the Field. Although there can be no assurance, our strategy is that once this work is completed and the new wells are drilled production from the Swan Creek Field will increase.

We anticipate that even if new wells were drilled in the Swan Creek field, the deliverability of natural gas from the Swan Creek field will not be sufficient to satisfy the volumes deliverable under our contracts with Eastman Chemical and BAE in Kingsport, Tennessee. The Eastman Contract provides that Eastman Chemical will buy a minimum of the lesser of eighty percent of that customer's daily usage or 10,000 MMBtu per day, and the BAE contract provides

that BAE will buy a minimum of all of that customer's usage or 5,000 MMbtu per day after Eastman's volumes have been provided. Our current production from the Swan Creek field is approximately 1,000 MMBtu per day. Our contracts with these customers are only for gas produced from the Swan Creek field. So long as that field is not capable of supplying these volumes, we are not in breach or violation of these contracts. No penalty is associated with the inability of the field to produce the volumes that we could deliver and buyers would be obligated to buy under these industrial contracts if the volumes were physically available from the field. However, in the event that we were found to be in breach of our obligations for failure to deliver any volumes of gass that is produced from the Swan Creek field to either of these customers, the agreements limit potential exposure to damages. Damages are limited to no more than \$.40 per MMBtu for any replacement volumes that are proved in a court proceeding as having been obtained to replace volumes required to be furnished but not furnished by us.

Our strategy also includes commencing drilling in other formations in our Swan Creek Field. To date, drilling in the Swan Creek Field has focused on production of gas primarily from the Knox formation. Immediately adjacent to this formation, however, and shallower over these formations, are other formations that we believe, although there can be no assurance, have a potential for gas production. These other formations hold the possibility for yielding both oil and gas and have produced some gas to date and have not been a primary target for gas production. The shallower depths needed for drilling in these other formations and the moderate gas production from them may make the production of additional gas feasible. As noted above, we can not proceed with such drilling until such time as funding is available, as to which there can be no assurance.

#### THE KANSAS PROPERTIES

In 1997, we acquired the Kansas Properties, which presently include 134 producing oil wells and 51 producing gas wells in the vicinity of Hays, Kansas and a gathering system including 50 miles of pipeline. We also acquired 37 other wells, which now serve as saltwater disposal wells in the vicinity of Hays,

Kansas. Saltwater wells are used to store saltwater encountered in the drilling process that would otherwise have to be transported out of the area. These saltwater disposal wells reduce operating costs by eliminating the need for transport. The aggregate production for the Kansas Properties at present is approximately 800 Mcf and 336 barrels of oil per day. Revenue for the Kansas Properties was approximately \$275,000 per month in 2002.

We employ a full time geologist in Kansas to oversee operations of the Kansas Properties. We have identified five new locations for drilling wells in Ellis and Rush Counties, Kansas on our existing leases in response to drilling activity in the area indicating new areas of production. In 2001 we successfully drilled the Dick No. 7 well in Kansas and completed the well as an oil well. We did not drill any new wells in Kansas in 2002 or the first nine months of 2003 due to lack of funds available for such drilling. We are also engaged in gathering for a fee the gas produced from wells owned by others located in Kansas adjacent to our wells and near our gathering lines. Our plans for our kansas properties include maintaining the current productive capacity of our existing wells through normal workovers and maintenance of the wells, performing gathering or sales services for adjacent producers, and expanding our own production through drilling these additional wells. Such plans are subject to the availability of funds, in addition to the funds raised by this offering, to finance the work.

In addition, there are several capital development projects that we have considered with respect to the Kansas Properties, including recompletion of wells and major workovers to increase current production. Although there can be no assurances, these projects when completed might increase production in Kansas. Management, however, has made the decision not to undertake any of these projects, as we do not presently have the necessary funds. We will, however, reconsider our decision if such funds become available.

### OTHER AREAS OF DEVELOPMENT

We are presently exploring other geological structures in the East Tennessee area that are similar to the Swan Creek Field and which we believe, although there can be no assurance, have a high probability of producing hydrocarbons. We have either acquired seismic data on these structures from third-party sources or are conducting our own seismic studies with our own trucks and equipment. The seismic analysis is continuing and related leasing activities have begun based on initial analysis of seismic results. We plan to conduct exploration activities in these areas. The first of these locations was in Cocke County, Tennessee which is approximately 40 miles southeast of the Swan Creek Field. In 2002, we, in conjunction with Southeast Gas & Oil Corp. of

Newport, Tennessee, drilled an approximately 6,000-foot exploratory well to the Knox formation. This well did not result in any commercial quantities of hydrocarbons. Although these and other exploratory efforts have commenced or are under consideration, there can be no assurances that any such efforts will be completed or will be commercially successful.

### GOVERNMENTAL REGULATIONS

We are subject to numerous state and federal regulations, environmental and otherwise, that may have a substantial negative effect on our ability to operate at a profit. For a discussion of the risks involved as a result of such regulations, see, "Effect of Existing or Probable Governmental Regulations on Business" below.

PRINCIPAL PRODUCTS OR SERVICES AND MARKETS

The principal markets for our crude oil are local refining companies, local utilities and private industry end- users. The principal markets for our natural gas are local utilities, private industry end-users and natural gas marketing companies.

Gas production from the Swan Creek Field can presently be delivered through our completed pipeline to the Powell Valley Utility District in Hancock County, Eastman and BAE in Sullivan County, as well as other industrial customers in the Kingsport area. We believe, although there can be no assurance, that we have acquired all necessary regulatory approvals and necessary property rights for the pipeline system. Our pipeline would not only provide transportation service for gas produced from our wells, but would provide transportation of gas for small independent producers in the local area as well. We also could, although there can be no assurance, sell our products to certain local towns, industries and utility districts.

Natural gas from the Kansas Properties is delivered to Kansas-Nebraska Energy, Inc. in Bushton, Kansas. At present, crude oil is sold to the National Cooperative Refining Association in McPherson, Kansas, 120 miles from Hays. National Cooperative is solely responsible for transportation of the oil it purchases whether by truck or pipeline.

### DRILLING EQUIPMENT

On November 1, 2000, we purchased an Ingersoll Rand RD20 drilling rig and related equipment from Ratliff Farms, Inc., an affiliate of Malcolm E. Ratliff, who at that time was our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors. We also receive contract drilling services from Miller Petroleum, Inc. and Union Drilling in the Swan Creek Field. The purchase price for the drilling rig and related equipment was \$995,000, which was paid by delivery of a convertible note to Ratliff Farms, Inc. The note was paid in full from the proceeds of the loan to us from Bank One in November 2001. In 2001, the drilling rig was used to drill and complete four wells in the Swan Creek field. In 2002, the drilling rig was used to drill two of the four wells we drilled that year. The drilling rig has not been used on a contract drilling basis for any other operators since it was purchased and was not used in 2003 due to lack of funds to cover drilling costs, including casing, logging, bits and cementing and due to the insufficiency of the number of our remaining employees to conduct drilling operations. We estimate that the drilling rig was used for approximately one-third of our drilling activities since the rig was purchased.

## DISTRIBUTION METHODS OF PRODUCTS OR SERVICES

Crude oil is normally delivered to refineries in Tennessee and Kansas by tank truck and natural gas is distributed and transported via pipeline.

COMPETITIVE BUSINESS CONDITIONS, COMPETITIVE POSITION IN THE INDUSTRY AND METHODS OF COMPETITION

Our contemplated oil and gas exploration activities in the States of Tennessee and Kansas will be undertaken in a highly competitive and speculative business atmosphere. In seeking any other suitable oil and gas properties for acquisition, we will be competing with a number of other companies, including large oil and gas companies and other independent operators with greater financial resources. Management does not believe that our initial competitive position in the oil and gas industry will be significant.

Our principal competitors in the State of Tennessee are Nami Resources, LLC, Miller Petroleum, Inc., Knox Energy Development and Penn Virginia Corporation. We believe that we are in a favorable position in the area in which our pipeline is located. Within that area, we own leases on approximately 41,088 acres.

There are numerous producers in the area of the Kansas Properties. Some are larger with greater technological and financial resources.

Although we do not now foresee any difficulties in procuring drilling rigs or the manpower to run them in the area of our operation, several factors, including increased competition in the area, may limit the availability of drilling rigs, rig operators and related personnel and/or equipment in the future. Such limitations would have a natural adverse effect on our operations.

The prices of our products are controlled by the world oil market and the United States natural gas market. Thus, competitive pricing behaviors are considered unlikely; however, competition in the oil and gas exploration industry exists in the form of competition to acquire the most promising acreage blocks and obtaining the most favorable prices for transporting the product. We believe that we are well-positioned in these areas because of the transmission lines that run through and adjacent to the properties leased by us and because we hold relatively large acreage blocks in our areas of current operation.

### SOURCES AND AVAILABILITY OF RAW MATERIALS

Excluding the development of oil and gas reserves and the production of oil and gas, our operations are not dependent on the acquisition of any raw materials .

#### DEPENDENCE ON ONE OR A FEW MAJOR CUSTOMERS

We presently are dependent upon a small number of customers for the sale of gas from the Swan Creek Field, principally Eastman and BAE, and other industrial customers in the Kingsport area with which we may enter into gas sales contracts.

Natural gas from the Kansas Properties is delivered to Kansas-Nebraska Energy, Inc. in Bushton, Kansas. At present, crude oil from the Kansas Properties is being trucked and transported through pipelines to the National Cooperative Refining Association in McPherson, Kansas, 120 miles from Hays, Kansas. National Cooperative is solely responsible for transportation of products whether by truck or pipeline.

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, LICENSES, FRANCHISES, CONCESSIONS, ROYALTY AGREEMENTS OR LABOR CONTRACTS, INCLUDING DURATION

Royalty agreements relating to oil and gas production are standard in the industry. The amounts of our royalty payments vary from lease to lease.

## NEED FOR GOVERNMENTAL APPROVAL OF PRINCIPAL PRODUCTS OR SERVICES

Although none of the principal products offered by us require governmental approval, permits are required for drilling oil or gas wells.

The transportation service offered by TPC is subject to regulation by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority to the extent of certain construction, safety, tariff rates and charges, and nondiscrimination requirements under state law. These requirements are typical of those imposed on regulated utilities. TPC has been granted a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate as a pipeline utility in Hancock, Hawkins, and Claiborne counties, Tennessee. In addition, TPC was authorized to construct and operate the portion of Phase II of the pipeline to Eastman by resolution of the City of Kingsport in May, 2000. This resolution was approved by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority as required by state law. All approvals for our pipeline have been granted.

The City of Kingsport, Tennessee has also enacted an ordinance granting to TPC a franchise for twenty years to construct, maintain and operate a gas system to import, transport, and sell natural gas to the City of Kingsport and inhabitants, institutions and businesses for domestic, commercial, industrial and institutional uses. This ordinance and the franchise agreement it authorizes also require approval of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority under state law. We will not initiate the required approval process for the ordinance and franchise agreement until such time that we can supply gas to the City of Kingsport.

Although we anticipate that regulatory approval will be granted, there can be no assurance that it will be granted, or that such approval may be granted in a timely manner, or that such approval may not be limited in some manner by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority.

### EFFECT OF EXISTING OR PROBABLE GOVERNMENTAL REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS

Exploration and production activities relating to oil and gas leases are subject to numerous environmental laws, rules and regulations. The Federal Clean Water Act requires us to construct a fresh water containment barrier between the surface of each drilling site and the underlying water table. This involves the insertion of a seven-inch diameter steel casing into each well, with cement on the outside of the casing. We have complied with this environmental regulation, the cost of which is approximately \$10,000 per well.

The State of Tennessee also requires the posting of a bond to ensure that our wells are properly plugged when abandoned. A separate \$2,000 bond is required for each well drilled. We currently have the requisite amount of bonds on deposit with the State of Tennessee.

As part of our purchase of the Kansas Properties we acquired a statewide permit to drill in Kansas. Applications under such permit are applied for and issued within one to two weeks prior to drilling. At the present time, the State of Kansas does not require the posting of a bond either for permitting or to insure that our wells are properly plugged when abandoned. All of the wells in the Kansas Properties have all permits required and we believe that we are in substantial compliance with the laws of the State of Kansas.

Our exploration, production and marketing operations are regulated extensively at the federal, state and local levels. We have made and will continue to make expenditures in our efforts to comply with the requirements of environmental and other regulations. Further, the oil and gas regulatory environment could change in ways that might substantially increase these costs. Hydrocarbon-producing states regulate conservation practices and the protection of correlative rights. These regulations affect our operations and limit the quantity of hydrocarbons we may produce and sell. In addition, at the federal level, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission regulates interstate transportation of natural gas under the Natural Gas Act. Other regulated matters include marketing, pricing, transportation and valuation of royalty payments.

Our operations are subject to numerous and frequently changing laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to environmental protection. We own or lease, and have in the past owned or leased, properties that have been used for the exploration and production of oil and gas and these properties and the wastes disposed on these properties may be subject to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and analogous state laws. Under such laws, we could be required to remove or remediate previously released wastes or property contamination.

Laws and regulations protecting the environment have generally become more stringent and, may in some cases, impose "strict liability" for environmental damage. Strict liability means that we may be held liable for damage without regard to whether we were negligent or otherwise at fault. Environmental laws and regulations may expose us to liability for the conduct of or conditions caused by others or for acts that were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time they were performed. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the imposition of administrative, civil and criminal penalties.

While we believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with existing requirements of governmental bodies, our ability to conduct continued operations is subject to satisfying applicable regulatory and permitting controls. Our current permits and authorizations and ability to get future permits and authorizations may be susceptible, on a going forward basis, to increased scrutiny, greater complexity resulting in increased costs or delays in receiving appropriate authorizations.

The foregoing is only a brief summary of some of the existing environmental laws, rules and regulations to which our business operations are subject, and there are many others, the effects of which could have an adverse impact on us. Future legislation in this area will no doubt be enacted and revisions will be

made in current laws. No assurance can be given as to what effect these present and future laws, rules and regulations will have on our current and future operations.

### RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

We have not expended any material amount in research and development activities during the last two fiscal years. Research done in conjunction with our exploration activities would consist primarily of conducting seismic surveys on the lease blocks. This work would be performed by our geology and engineering personnel and other employees and would not be expected to have a material cost of above their standard salaries.

NUMBER OF TOTAL EMPLOYEES AND NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES

We presently have 25 full time employees and no part-time employees.

PROPERTY LOCATION, FACILITIES, SIZE AND NATURE OF OWNERSHIP

SWAN CREEK FIELD. Our Swan Creek leases are on approximately 41,088 acres in Hancock, Claiborne, Knox, Jefferson, Morgan and Union Counties in Tennessee. The initial terms of these leases vary from one to five years. Some of them will terminate unless we have commenced drilling. In 2002, we reduced the acreage comprising the Swan Creek Field from approximately 50,500 acres to the present 41,088 acres. This reduction in acreage was a result of our improved understanding of the geological and geophysical makeup of the Swan Creek Field. We believe that the acreage eliminated from the field does not have the potential to produce commercial quantities of oil or gas and that the reduction of this acreage does not affect the reserves of the Swan Creek Field. Further, the elimination of the leases for this acreage is expected to result in cost savings to us.

Morita Properties, Inc., an affiliate of Shigemi Morita, a former Director, currently has a 25% overriding royalty in nine of our existing wells, and a 50% overriding royalty and 6% overriding royalty, respectively, in two of our other existing wells. All of these wells are located in the Swan Creek Field and all but two are presently producing wells. In addition, to those interests, Morita

Properties, Inc. previously owned a 25% working interest in three of our other existing wells and 12.5% working interest in another of our wells all of which subsequently have been sold.

An individual who is not an affiliate of us purchased 25% working interests in two other wells located in the Swan Creek Field that are presently producing wells.

Another individual has a 29% revenue interest in a producing well located in the Swan Creek Field by virtue of having contributed her unleased acreage to the drilling unit and paying her proportionate share of the drilling costs of the well. We were obligated to allow that individual to participate on that basis in accordance with both customary industry practice and the requirements of the procedures of the Tennessee Oil and Gas Board in a forced pooling action brought by us to require the acreage to be included in the unit so that the well could be drilled. The forced pooling procedure was concluded by her contribution of acreage and agreement to pay proportionate share of drilling costs.

We also entered into a farmout agreement with Miller Petroleum, Inc. for ten wells to be drilled in the Swan Creek Field and we have an option to award up to an additional ten future wells. All locations were to be mutually agreed upon. Net revenues, as defined, are to be 81.25% to Miller. Our subsidiary TPC will transport Miller's gas. We reserved all offset locations to wells drilled under the farmout agreement. All ten wells have been drilled under the farmout agreement. We acquired back from Miller a 50% working interest in nine of those ten wells in addition to our rights under the farmout agreement. In addition, we along with Miller have drilled two additional wells on a 50-50 basis, although we declined to exercise our option for a ten-well extension of the farmout agreement. Of the wells in which Miller owns an interest, six are presently producing.

Other than the working interests described or referred to above, we retain all other working interests in wells drilled or to be drilled in the Swan Creek Field.

Other working interest owners in oil and gas wells in which we have working interests are entitled to market their respective shares of production to purchasers other than purchasers with whom we have contracted. Absent such contractual arrangements being made by the working interest owners, we are authorized but are not required to provide a market for oil or gas attributable to working interest owners' production. At this time, we have not agreed to market gas for any working interest owner to customers other than our customers. If we agree to market gas for working interest owners to our customers, we will have to agree, at that time, to the terms of such marketing arrangements and it is possible that as a result of such arrangements, our revenues from such customers may be correspondingly reduced. If the working interest owners make their own arrangements to market their natural gas to other end users along the pipeline which have been served by East Tennessee Natural Gas, an interstate pipeline, such gas would be transported by TPC at published tariff rates. The current published tariff rate is for firm transportation at a demand charge of five cents per MMBtu per day plus a commodity charge of \$0.80 per MMBtu. If the working interest owners do not market their production, either independently or through us, then their interest will be treated as not yet produced and will be balanced either when marketing arrangements are made by such working interest owners or when the well ceases to produce in accordance with customary industry practice.

KANSAS PROPERTIES. The Kansas Properties contain 138 leases totaling 32,158 acres in the vicinity of Hays, Kansas. The original terms on these leases were from one to ten years and in most cases have expired. Most of these leases,

however, are still in effect because they are being held by production. We maintain a 100% working interest in most wells. The leases provide for a landowner royalty of 12.5%. Some wells are subject to an overriding royalty interest from 0.5% to 9%.

Although we do not pay any taxes on our Swan Creek Leases, we pay ad valorem taxes on our Kansas Properties. We have general liability insurance for the Kansas Properties and the Swan Creek Field.

We lease our principal executive offices, consisting of approximately 5,647 square feet located at 603 Main Avenue, Suite 500, Knoxville, Tennessee at a rental of \$4,705.83 per month and an office in Hays, Kansas at a rental of \$500 per month. During 2002 and the first nine months of 2003, we closed a field office in Sneedville, Tennessee and an office in New York City we had previously leased at an aggregate rental of \$3,100 per month.

### RESERVE ANALYSES

Ryder Scott Company, L.P. of Houston, Texas has performed reserve analyses of all our productive leases. Ryder Scott Company, L.P. and its employees and its registered petroleum engineers have no interest in the Company and performed these services at their standard rates. The net reserve values used hereafter were obtained from a reserve report dated February 10, 2004, which we refer to as the Report, prepared by Ryder Scott Company, L.P. as of December 31, 2003.

The Report indicates our "TOTAL PROVEN ALL CATEGORIES" reserves for Tengasco Inc. to be as follows: net production volumes of 1,371,512 bbls of oil and 14,363.21 MMCF of Gas. The present value discounted at 10% (PV10) is stated to be \$26,330,111.00. The Report indicates the "proven developed producing" reserves for Tengasco Inc. to be as follows: net production volumes of 1,059,038 bbls of oil and 5,205.709 MMCF of Gas. The present value discounted at 10% (PV10) is stated to be \$12,224,620.

In substance, the Report used estimates of oil and gas reserves based upon standard petroleum engineering methods which include production data, decline curve analysis, volumetric calculations, pressure history, analogy, various correlations and technical factors. Information for this purpose was obtained from owners of interests in the areas involved, state regulatory agencies, commercial services, outside operators and files of Ryder Scott Company, L.P. The net reserve values in the Report were adjusted to take into account the working interests that have been sold by us in various wells in the Swan Creek Field.

We believe that the reserve analysis reports prepared by Ryder Scott Company, L.P. for us for the Swan Creek Field and Kansas Properties provide an essential basis for review and consideration of our producing properties by all potential industry partners and all financial institutions across the country. We believe that it standard in the industry for reserve analyses of only proved developed producing reserves to be used as a basis for financing of drilling costs.

We have not filed the reserve analysis reports prepared by Ryder Scott Company, L.P. or any other reserve reports with any Federal authority or agency other than the earlier reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We, however, have filed the information in the Report of our reserves with the Energy Information Service of the Department of Energy in compliance with that agency's statutory function of surveying oil and gas reserves nationwide.

The term "Proved Oil and Gas Reserves" is defined in Rule 4-10(a)(2) of

Regulation S-X as follows:

- 2. Proved oil and gas reserves. Proved oil and gas reserves are the estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions, i.e., prices and costs as of the date the estimate is made. Prices include consideration of changes in existing prices provided only by contractual arrangements, but not on escalations based upon future conditions.
  - i. Reservoirs are considered proved if economic producibility is supported by either actual production or conclusive formation test. The area of a reservoir considered proved includes (A) that portion delineated by drilling and defined by gas-oil and/or oil-water contacts, if any, and (B) the immediately adjoining portions not yet drilled, but which can be reasonably judged as economically productive on the basis of available geological and engineering data. In the absence of information on fluid contacts, the lowest known structural occurrence of hydrocarbons controls the lower proved limit of the reservoir.
  - ii. Reserves which can be produced economically through application of improved recovery techniques (such as fluid injection) are included in the proved classification when successful testing by a pilot project, or the operation of an installed program in the reservoir, provides support for the engineering analysis on which the project or program was based.
  - iii. Estimates of proved reserves do not include the following: (A) Oil that may become available from known reservoirs but is classified separately as indicated additional reserves; (B) crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids, the recovery of which is subject to reasonable doubt because of uncertainty as to geology, reservoir characteristics, or economic factors; (C) crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids, that may occur in undrilled prospects; and (D) crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids, that may be recovered from oil shales, coal, gilsonite and other such sources.

## PRODUCTION

The following tables summarize for the past three fiscal years the volumes of oil and gas produced to our interests, our operating costs and our average sales prices for our oil and gas. The information does not include volumes produced to royalty interests or other working interests.

### Tennessee

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31	PRODUCTION		COST OF PRODUCTION (PER BOE) (2)	AVERAGE SALES PRICE	
	OIL (BBL)	GAS (MCF)		OIL (BBL)	GAS (PER MCF)
2002	15,111.54	521,834.35	\$ 4.10(2)	\$21.85	\$3.22

2001	22,776.21	703,073.56	\$ 0.31	\$16.05	\$2.55
2000	37,210.67	2,411.00	\$ 0.69	\$20.32	\$2.86

Gas volumes and prices for 2000 reflect only the nominal purchases made by Hawkins County Gas Utility District upon completion of Phase I of Tengasco Pipeline Company's pipeline system.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### Kansas

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31	PRODUCTION		COST OF PRODUCTION (PER BOE) (2)		ERAGE S PRICE
	OIL (BBL)	GAS (MCF)		OIL (BBL)	GAS (PER MCF)
2002	105,473.54	246,510.98	\$ 8.71	\$23.89	\$2.96
2001	112,495.88	278,884.66	\$10.72	\$23.50	\$4.12
2000	111,734.81	291,096.22	\$ 9.68	\$28.06	\$3.75

## OIL AND GAS DRILLING ACTIVITIES

Our oil and gas developmental drilling for the past three fiscal years are as set forth in the following tables. During the fiscal years ending December 31, 2000 and 2001 we did not drill any exploratory wells. In 2002, we drilled one exploratory well in Cocke County, Tennessee which did not result in finding commercial quantities of hydrocarbons. The information should not be considered indicative of future performance, nor should it be assumed that there is necessarily any correlation between the number of wells drilled, quantities of reserves found or economic value.

## GROSS AND NET WELLS

The following tables set forth for the fiscal years ending December 31,

<sup>(1)</sup> A "BOE" is a barrel of oil equivalent. A barrel of oil contains approximately 6 Mcf of natural gas by heating content. The volumes of gas produced have been converted into "barrels of oil equivalent" for the purposes of calculating costs of production.

<sup>(2)</sup> The increase in cost of production in 2002 was a result of this being the first full year of production in the Swan Creek Field.

2000, 2001, and 2002 the number of gross and net development wells drilled by us. The dry hole set forth in the table below is the Cocke County well referred to above. The term gross wells means the total number of wells in which we own an interest, while the term net wells means the sum of the fractional working interests we own in gross wells.

#### Year ended December 31,

	2002		200	2001		2000	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	
TENNESSEE Productive Wells Dry Holes		2.625 .50	19	11.42	9	4.0515	
KANSAS Productive Wells		0	3	2.594	0	0	
Dry Holes	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### PRODUCTIVE WELLS

The following table sets information regarding the number of productive wells in which we held a working interest as of December 31, 2002. Productive wells are either producing wells or wells capable of commercial production although currently shut-in. One or more completions in the same bore hole are counted as one well.

	Gas	5	Oil		
_	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	
Tennessee	31	18.9	12	6.18	
Kansas	52	43.45	128	110.5	

### DEVELOPED AND UNDEVELOPED OIL AND GAS ACREAGE

As of December 31, 2002, we owned working interests in the following developed and undeveloped oil and gas acreage. Net acres refer to our interest less the interest of royalty and other working interest owners.

	Devel	Loped	Undeveloped		
	Gross Acres	Net Acres	Gross Acres	Net Acres	
Tennessee	1,840.00	1,065.38	41,088	35 <b>,</b> 952	
Kansas	9,666.00	8,080.44	22,711	18,995.48	

### LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In November 2001, we signed a credit facility with Bank One, N.A. in Houston, Texas whereby Bank One extended to us a revolving line of credit of up

to \$35 million. The initial borrowing base under the facility was \$10 million. In April 2002, we received a notice from Bank One stating that it had redetermined and reduced the then-existing borrowing base under the credit agreement by \$6 million to approximately \$3.1 million. Bank One demanded that we pay the \$6 million within thirty days. In May 2002, we filed suit in federal court in the Eastern District of Tennessee, Northeastern Division at Greeneville to restrain Bank One from taking any steps to enforce its demand that we reduce our loan obligation or else be deemed in default and for damages resulting from the demand. We sought a jury trial and actual damages sustained by it as a result of the wrongful demand in the amount of \$51 million plus punitive damages in the amount of \$100 million.

In July 2002, Bank One filed its answer and counterclaim, alleging that its actions were proper under the terms of the credit agreement and seeking to recover all amounts it alleges to be owed under the credit agreement, including principal, accrued interest, expenses and attorney's fees in the approximate amount of \$9 million. Discovery has begun and a hearing have been scheduled on certain disputed discovery issues. We have continued to pay \$200,000 per month of principal due under the original terms of the credit agreement, plus interest, and have reduced the principal now outstanding to approximately \$4.7 million. Although the parties continue to discuss settlement of all outstanding issues, no settlement has been concluded. The Court has adjusted the procedural schedule to lead to a trial date on December 7, 2004 in the event settlement is not reached.

In November 2002, we and our then Chief Executive Officer, Malcolm E. Ratliff, were served with a complaint filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee, Knoxville, entitled PAUL MILLER V. M. E. RATLIFF AND TENGASCO, INC., DOCKET NUMBER 3:02-CV-644. The complaint seeks certification of a class action to recover on behalf of the class of all persons who purchased shares of our common stock between August 1, 2001 and April 23, 2002, damages in an amount not specified that were allegedly caused by violations of the federal securities laws, specifically Rule 10b-5 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as to us and Mr. Ratliff, and Section 20(a) of that Act as to Mr. Ratliff. The complaint alleges that documents and statements made to the investing public by us and Mr. Ratliff misrepresented material facts regarding our business and finances. We believe that the allegations in the complaint are without merit. We intend to vigorously defend against all of the allegations. A full settlement of this case has been reached, subject to court approval. As of January 30, 2004, a written stipulation of settlement documenting the settlement terms has been signed by counsel for all parties. The stipulation of settlement will be presented to the court on February 27, 2004 for a determination of initial fairness and initiation of other procedures leading to a final hearing following a settlement administration period of approximately 120 days. Any settlement would be subject to court approval before becoming effective. Under the proposed settlement, we would pay into a settlement fund the amount of \$37,500 including all costs of administration, and would contribute 150,000 shares of stock of Miller Petroleum, Inc. that is currently owned by us and that had been accepted in payment of an obligation owed to the us by Miller Petroleum. We would also contribute to the settlement fund our agreement to issue 300,000 warrants to purchase company stock for a period of three years from date of issue at \$1 per share. The number or price of the warrants is to be adjusted to account for the additional shares sold pursuant to a rights offering or other stated events. All expenses including attorneys' fees as are awarded by the court on final hearing are to be paid out of the settlement funds. The parties stipulate the existence of a class for settlement purposes only and the existing lawsuit would be dismissed when the settlement becomes final, and the class members fully release their claims when the settlement becomes final.

We, our former Chief Executive Officer, Malcolm E. Ratliff, and one of our attorneys, Morton S. Robson, were named as defendants in an action commenced on

June 18, 1998 in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County entitled MAUREEN COLEMAN, JOHN O. KOHLER, CHARLES MASSOUD, JONATHAN SARLIN, VON GRAFFENRIED A.G. AND VPM VERWATUNGS A.G., PLAINTIFFS V. TENGASCO, INC., MORTON S. ROBSON AND MALCOLM E. RATLIFF, DEFENDANTS, INDEX NO. 603009/98. In that action, the plaintiffs, stockholders of the Company, allege that they were entitled to sell their shares of our common stock in the open market pursuant to Rule 144 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, but they were precluded from doing so by the defendants' purported wrongful refusal to remove the restrictive legends from their shares. The plaintiffs own in the aggregate 35,000 shares of our common stock. The plaintiffs are seeking damages in an amount equal to the difference between the amount for which they would have been

able to sell their shares if the defendants had acted to remove the restrictive legends when requested and the amount they will receive on the sale of their shares. The plaintiffs are also seeking punitive damages in an amount they claim to be in excess of \$500,000, together with interest, costs and disbursements of bringing the action, including reasonable attorneys fees. We do not believe that we wrongfully withheld our approval of the removal of the restrictive legends at the times such removal was requested by the stockholders. The plaintiffs have not taken any action in this matter for several years.

#### MANAGEMENT

#### EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our executive officers and directors.

NAME	AGE	POSITION
Richard T. Williams(3)(4)	52	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive
		Officer
Jeffrey R. Bailey(3)(4)	46	President and Director
Mark A. Ruth	45	Chief Financial Officer
Robert M. Carter	66	President - Tengasco Pipeline Corporation
Cary V. Sorensen	55	General Counsel, Vice President and Secretary
Stephen W. Akos(1)(2)	49	Director
Joseph Earl Armstrong(3)	46	Director
John A. Clendening(1)(2)(4)	70	Director
Robert L. Devereux(1) (2)	43	Director
Bill W. Harbert	80	Director
Peter E. Salas	49	Director
Charles M. Stivers(1)	41	Director

- (1) Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Special Committee Member
- (2) Stock Option Committee Member
- (3) Field Safety Committee Member
- (4) Frontier Exploration Committee Member

DR. RICHARD T. WILLIAMS has been a member of the faculty of the Department of Geological Sciences at The University of Tennessee in Knoxville, Tennessee, since 1987, after holding faculty positions at West Virginia University and the University of South Carolina since 1979. He has been engaged in reflection seismology and geophysical studies in the Appalachian Overthrust since 1980. He earned his Ph.D. in Geophysics from Virginia Tech in 1979. Dr. Williams was elected as a director effective June 28, 2002. He was appointed Chief Operating

Officer on January 10, 2003,  $\,$  and on February 3, 2003,  $\,$  he was elected our Chief Executive Officer.

JEFFREY R. BAILEY graduated in 1980 from New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology with a B.S. degree in Geological Engineering. Upon graduation he joined Gearhart Industries as a field engineer working in Texas, New Mexico, Kansas, Oklahoma and Arkansas. Gearhart Industries later merged with Halliburton Company. In 1993 after 13 years working in various field operations and management roles primarily focused on reservoir evaluation, log analysis and log data acquisition he assumed a global role with Halliburton as a Petrophysics instructor in Fort Worth, Texas. His duties were to teach Halliburton personnel and customers around the world log analysis and competition technology and to review analytical reservoir problems. In this role Mr. Bailey had the opportunity to review reservoirs in Europe, Latin America, Asia Pacific and the Middle East developing a special expertise in carbonate reservoirs. In 1997 he became technical manager for Halliburton in Mexico focusing on finding engineering solutions to the production challenges of large carbonate reservoirs in Mexico. Mr. Bailey left Halliburton and joined us as our Chief Geological Engineer on March 1, 2002. He was elected our President on July 17, 2002 and as a director on February 28, 2003.

MARK A. RUTH is a certified public accountant with 22 years accounting experience. He received a B.S. degree in accounting with honors from the University of Tennessee at Knoxville. He has served as a project controls engineer for Bechtel Jacobs Company, LLC; business manager and finance officer for Lockheed Martin Energy Systems; settlement department head and senior accountant for the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; senior financial analyst/internal auditor for Phillips Consumer Electronics Corporation; and, as an auditor for Arthur Andersen and Company. From December 14, 1998 to August 31, 1999 he served as the Company's Chief Financial Officer. On August 31, 1999 he was elected as a Vice-President of the Company and on November 8, 1999 he was again appointed as the Company's Chief Financial Office, which office he has occupied since then.

ROBERT M. CARTER attended Tennessee Wesleyan College and Middle Tennessee State College between 1954 and 1957. For 35 years he was an owner of Carter Lumber & Building Supply Company and Carter Warehouse in Loudon County, Tennessee. He has been with us since 1995 and during that time has been involved in many phases of our business, including pipeline construction, leasing, financing and the negotiation of acquisitions. Mr. Carter was elected Vice-President in March, 1996, Executive Vice-President in April 1997 and President on March 13, 1998 until he resigned from that position on October 19, 1999. On August 8, 2000 he again was elected as President and served in that capacity until July 31, 2001. He has served as President of Tengasco Pipeline Corporation, our wholly-owned subsidiary, from June 1, 1998 to the present.

CARY V. SORENSEN is a 1976 graduate of the University of Texas School of Law and has undergraduate and graduate degrees from North Texas State University and Catholic University in Washington, D.C. Prior to joining us in July 1999, he had been continuously engaged in the practice of law in Houston, Texas relating to the energy industry since 1977, both in private law firms and a corporate law department, most recently serving for seven years as senior counsel with the litigation department of a major Houston energy corporation before entering private practice in June 1996 and continuing a general civil law practice until June 1999. He has represented many of the major oil companies headquartered in Houston, as well as local distribution companies and electric utilities in a variety of litigation and administrative cases before state and federal courts and agencies in numerous states. These matters involved gas contracts, gas marketing, exploration and production disputes involving royalties or operating

interests, land titles, oil pipelines and gas pipeline tariff matters at the state and federal levels, and general operation and regulation of interstate and intrastate gas pipelines. He has served as General Counsel of the Company since July 9, 1999.

STEPHEN W. AKOS has over twenty years experience in the financial services industry with an expertise in fixed income securities. Since August of 2000, he has been First Vice President, Institutional Fixed Income Sales, Robert W. Baird & Co., St. Louis, Missouri. Between February 2000 and June 2000, Mr. Akos was employed at J.C. Bradford & Co., an investment bank, as a Vice President and Investment Limited Partner. Prior thereto commencing in 1993, he was a Vice President with Mercantile Bank of St. Louis and its predecessor, Mark Twain Bank. Before 1993 he was a broker, among other things, at brokerage firms Dean Witter, Shearson Lehman Hutton, Drexel Burnham Lambert, and Kidder Peabody. He received an MBA in Finance from Washington University in 1979, and a B.S. in Business Administration, Accounting, from Washington University in 1976. He was elected as a director on February 28, 2003.

JOSEPH EARL ARMSTRONG is a resident of Knoxville, Tennessee. He is a graduate of the University of Tennessee and Morristown College where he received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration. From 1988 to the present, he has been an elected State Representative for Legislative District 15 in Tennessee. He has served as director since 1997.

DR. JOHN A. CLENDENING received B.S (1958), M.S. (1960) and Ph. D. (1970) degrees in geology from West Virginia University. He was employed as a Palynologist-Coal Geologist at the West Virginia Geological Survey from 1960 until 1968. He joined Amoco in 1968 and remained with Amoco as a senior geological associate until 1992. Dr. Clendening has served as President and other offices of the American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists and the Society of Organic Petrologists. From 1992 - 1998 he was engaged in association with Laird Exploration Co., Inc. of Houston, Texas, directing exploration and production in south central Kentucky. In 1999 he purchased all the assets of Laird Exploration in south central Kentucky and operates independently. While with Amoco Dr. Clendening was instrumental in Amoco's acquisition in the early 1970's of large land acreage holdings in Northeast Tennessee, based upon his geological studies and recommendations. His work led directly to the discovery of what is now our Paul Reed # 1 well. He further recognized the area to have significant oil and gas potential and is credited with discovery of the field which is now known as our Swan Creek Field. Dr. Clendening previously served as a director from September 1998 to August 2000. He was again elected as a director on February 28, 2003.

ROBERT L. DEVEREUX graduated in 1982 from St. Louis University with a Bachelor's Degree in Business Administration with a major in finance. He received his law degree from St. Louis University in 1985. For the past eighteen years, Mr. Devereux has been actively engaged in the practice of law, specializing in commercial litigation. Since 1994, he has been a principal in the law firm of Devereux Murphy LLC located in St. Louis, Missouri. For the past

eight years Mr. Devereux has also been a principal of and has served as the Chief Executive Officer of Gateway Title Company, Inc. He was elected as a director on February 28, 2003.

BILL L. HARBERT earned a B.S. degree in civil engineering from Auburn University in 1948. In 1949 he was one of the founders of Harbert Construction Company. He managed that company's construction operations, both domestic and foreign, and served as our Executive Vice-President until 1979. From 1979 until July, 1990 he served as President and Chief Operating Officer and from July 1990 through December 1991 he served as Vice Chairman of the Board of Harbert

International, Inc. He then purchased a majority of the international operations of Harbert International, Inc. and formed Bill Harbert International Construction, Inc. He served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of that corporation until retiring from us in 2000. Mr. Harbert's companies built pipeline projects in the United States and throughout the world. They also built many other projects including bridges, commercial buildings, waste water treatment plants, airports, including an air base in Negev, Israel and embassies for the United States government in, among other places, Tel Aviv, Hong Kong, and Baku. Mr. Harbert has also served as president (1979) and Director (1980) of the Pipe Line Contractors Association, USA and for seven years as Director, Second Vice-President and First Vice-President (2001-2002) of the International Pipe Line Contractors Association. Mr. Harbert has been active in service to a variety of business associations, charities and the arts in the Birmingham area for many years. He was elected as a director on April 2, 2002.

PETER E. SALAS has been President of Dolphin Asset Management Corp. and associated companies since 1988. Prior to establishing Dolphin, he was with J.P. Morgan Investment Management, Inc. for ten years, becoming Co-manager, Small Company Fund and Director-Small Cap Research. He received an A.B. degree in Economics from Harvard in 1978. Mr. Salas was elected as a director on October 8, 2002.

CHARLES M. STIVERS is a Certified Public Accountant with 18 years accounting experience. In 1984 he received a B.S. degree in accounting from Eastern Kentucky University. From 1983 through July 1986 he served as Treasurer and CEO for Clay Resource Company. From August 1986 through August 1989 he served as a senior tax and audit specialist for Gallaher and Company. From September 1989 to date he has owned and operated Charles M. Stivers, C.P.A., a regional accounting firm. Mr. Stiver's firm specializes in the oil and gas industry and has clients in eight states. The oil and gas work performed by his firm includes all forms of SEC audit work, SEC quarterly financial statement filings, oil and gas consulting work and income tax services. Mr. Stiver's firm has also represented oil and gas companies with respect to Federal and State income tax disputes in 15 states over the past 12 years. In September 2001, he was elected as a director and is the chairman of our audit committee.

# Executive Compensation

The following sets forth certain information regarding compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to, and options granted to, repriced or exercised by, the Company's Chief Executive Officers during fiscal years ended December 31, 2002, December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000. During that period, none of the Company's other executive officers earned compensation in excess of \$100,000 per annum for services rendered to us in any capacity.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position(1)	Year	Salary	Bonus	Other Annual Compensation	Restricted Stock Awards	Securities Underlying Options/ SARS(#)	Payo
Malcolm E. Ratliff Chief Executive Officer	2002 2001 2000	\$80,000 \$80,000 \$70,000	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,000 \$500	-0- -0- -0-	59,062 52,500 52,500	- C - C

<sup>(1)</sup> Malcolm E. Ratliff served as our Chief Executive Officer throughout 2002. Richard T. Williams, our current Chief Executive Officer replaced Mr.

Ratliff on February 3, 2003.

#### OPTION GRANTS FOR FISCAL 2002

The following table sets forth information concerning options to purchase shares of our common stock granted to the named executive officer in 2002.

# INDIVIDUAL GRANTS

		Number of	Percent of Total			Potential	Realiz
		Securities	Options/SARs			Value At	Assumed
		Underlying	Granted to	Exercise or		Annual Ra	tes of
		Options/SARs	Employees in	Base Price	Expiration	PRICE APP	RECIATI
NAME		Granted (#)	Fiscal 2002	(\$/Sh)	Date	5%(\$)	10%(\$
Malcolm E.	Ratliff	6 <b>,</b> 562	4%	\$2.86	8/4/05	\$2 <b>,</b> 952	\$6 <b>,</b> 2

<sup>(1)</sup> These options expired by their terms  $90~{\rm days}$  following the resignation on March 10, 2003 of Mr. Ratliff from our Board of Directors.

### AGGREGATE OPTION EXERCISES FOR FISCAL 2002 AND YEAR END OPTION VALUES

The following table sets forth the number of shares received upon exercise of stock options by the names executive officer during the last completed fiscal year and the aggregate options to purchase shares of our common stock held by the named executive officer at December 31, 2002.

		Number of Securities (1)	
		Underlying Unexercised	Value (2) of U
		Options/SARs at	In-the-Money O
Shares		December 31, 2002	at December
Acquired	Value (\$)		
On Exercise	Realized (3)	Exercisable/unexercisable	Exercisable/unex
-0-	-0-	59,062/-0-	\$-0-/-
	Acquired On Exercise	Acquired Value (\$) On Exercise Realized (3)	Underlying Unexercised Options/SARs at Shares December 31, 2002 Acquired Value (\$) On Exercise Realized (3) Exercisable/unexercisable

<sup>(1)</sup> Number of shares underlying the unexercised options has been retroactively adjusted for a 5% stock dividend declared by us as of September 4, 2001.

<sup>(2)</sup> Unexercised options are in-the-money if the fair market value of the underlying securities exceeds the exercise price of the option. The fair market value of the Common Stock was \$1.10 per share on December 31, 2002, as reported by The American Stock Exchange. The exercise price of the unexercised options granted to Malcolm E. Ratliff, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, were \$8.69 and \$2.86 per share. As a result, the unexercised options are for purposes of this table deemed to have no value.

<sup>(3)</sup> Value realized in dollars is based upon the difference between the fair market value of the underlying securities on the date of exercise, and the

exercise price of the option.

(4) These options expired by their terms 90 days following the resignation on March 10, 2003 of Mr. Ratliff from our Board of Directors.

#### LONG TERM INCENTIVE PLANS

We do not have any long-term incentive programs or plans. We adopted an employee health insurance plan in August 2001. We do not presently have a pension or similar plan for our directors, executive officers or employees. Management is considering adopting a 401(k) plan and full liability insurance for directors and executive officers. However, there are no immediate plans to do so at this time.

#### COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors has resolved to compensate members of the Board of Directors for attendance at meetings at the rate of \$250 per day, together with direct out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attendance at the meetings, including travel. The Directors, however, have waived such fees due to them as of this date for prior meetings.

Members of the Board of Directors may also be requested to perform consulting or other professional services for us from time to time. The Board of Directors has reserved to itself the right to review all directors' entitlement to compensation on an ad hoc basis.

Directors who are on our Audit, Compensation and Stock Option Committees are independent and therefore, do not receive any consulting, advisory or compensatory fees from us. However, such board members may receive fees from us for their services on those committees. The Company intends to implement a plan for the payment of those committee members for their services on an annual basis.

## EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS

We have entered into an employment contract with our Chief Executive Officer, Richard T. Williams, for a period of two years through December 31, 2004 at an annual salary of \$80,000. There are presently no other employment contracts relating to any member of management. However, depending upon our operations and requirements, we may offer long term contracts to directors, executive officers or key employees in the future.

## CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

From time to time, we have entered into transactions with related parties. Certain of those transactions are described below. Our Board of Directors has not adopted any general policy with respect to these transactions, many of which were effected on our behalf by senior management prior to consideration by our Board of Directors in light of senior management's perceived urgency of the funding requirements, the availability of alternative sources and the terms of such transactions, which senior management viewed as at least as favorable to us as could have been obtained through arms-length negotiations with unaffiliated third parties. In each of the loans to us by Dolphin as described below, Peter Salas, a member of our Board, negotiated with our senior management as to the terms thereof and did not participate in any Board action with respect thereto.

In 2002, Dolphin Offshore Partners, L.P., which we refer to as Dolphin and which owns more than 10% of our outstanding common stock and whose general partner, Peter E. Salas, is a director, loaned \$750,000 to us to fund operating cash flow needs and to finance continued development of the Swan Creek field. Also in 2002, Michael E. Ratliff, then a director, chief executive officer and more than 10% stockholder of us, loaned us \$110,000 on a non-interest bearing basis, which loan was repaid in July 2002. In 2000-2002, Mr. Ratliff, Nick Nishiwaki, then a stockholder of us, and Ed Gray, then a director of us, loaned the Company various amounts, which amounts were repaid by us using the proceeds from our line of credit with Bank One. In 2000, we paid \$270,000 of consulting fees and commissions to Morita Properties, Inc., a principal of which was Shigemi Morita, who then was a director of us.

On each of January 21, 2002 and April 9, 2002, Bill L. Harbert, who owns more than ten percent of our outstanding common stock and is now, but was not at those dates, a director, purchased from us in a private placement, 100,000 shares of our common stock, at prices of \$6.32 and \$4.80 per share, respectively. The proceeds from those private placements were used as working capital. The market prices of our common stock as measured by the closing prices on the American Stock Exchange on January 21 and April 9, 2002 were \$7.74 and \$5.80 per share, respectively. We believe that these sales were made on terms at least as favorable to us as could have been obtained through arm's length negotiations with unaffiliated third parties.

On July 5, 2002 and July 23, 2002, Dolphin purchased from us in a private placement, 400,000 and 250,000 shares of our common stock, respectively, at a price of \$2.50 per share. The proceeds from those private placements were used as working capital. The market prices of our common stock as measured by the closing prices on the American Stock Exchange on July 5 and 23, 2002 were \$2.80 and \$2.50 per share, respectively. We believe that these sales were made on terms at least as favorable to us as could have been obtained through arm's length negotiations with unaffiliated third parties.

On August 8, 2002, Dolphin purchased 718,820 shares of our common stock in an open market transaction. In connection with that purchase, Dolphin entered into an agreement, which was later amended in October 2002, with Industrial Resources Corporation, which we refer to as IRC, which owns more than ten percent of our outstanding common stock and whose sole stockholder and president, Malcolm E. Ratliff, was at the time of this transaction our Chief Executive Officer and a director. Pursuant to that agreement, Dolphin granted IRC an option commencing on April 11, 2003 and expiring on May 12, 2003 to purchase up to 373,900 shares of our common stock that had been purchased by Dolphin at a price of \$2.386 per share. The agreement further provided that if the option were not exercised during the option period, then IRC could then be required by Dolphin to purchase from Dolphin at price of \$2.495 per share the same number of shares that had been the subject of the option. We were not a party to this agreement. As a result of IRC's default on this obligation, in June 2003, Dolphin received 400,000 shares of our common stock from an escrow account established by IRC with IRC's shares of our common stock as security for IRC's obligations to Dolphin.

In October 2002, Dolphin in consideration of a loan to us was issued an unsecured convertible promissory note from us in the principal amount of \$500,000 bearing 8% interest, with interest only payable quarterly and principal due on January 4, 2004. The principal amount of the note is convertible into our common stock at the rate of \$2.88 per share. The proceeds from this loan were used to provide working capital for our operations. The market price of our common stock as measured by the closing prices on the American Stock Exchange

during October 2002 ranged from \$2.20 to \$2.90 per share. We believe that this sale was made on terms at least as favorable to us as could have been obtained through arm's length negotiations with unaffiliated third parties.

In December 2002, Dolphin loaned us the sum of \$250,000, which funds were used to pay the principal and interest due that month from us to Bank One and to provide working capital. We issued a promissory note to Dolphin bearing interest at the rate of 12% per annum, with interest only payable quarterly and the principal balance due on January 4, 2004. The obligations under the loan are secured by an undivided 10% interest in our Tennessee and Kansas pipelines.

In January 2003, Bill L. Harbert, a director, purchased 227,275 shares of our common stock from us in a private placement at a price of \$1.10 per share. The proceeds from this sale were used by us to pay the principal and interest due that month from us to Bank One and to provide working capital. The market price of our common stock as measured by the closing price on the American Stock Exchange on January 7, 2003, the date of the transaction, was \$1.20 per share. We believe that this sale was made on terms at least as favorable to us as could have been obtained through arm's length negotiations with unaffiliated third parties.

On each of February 3, 2003 and February 28, 2003, Dolphin loaned us the sum of \$250,000, which we used to pay the principal and interest due from us to Bank One for February and March 2003, respectively, and for working capital. Each of these loans is evidenced by a separate promissory note bearing interest at the rate of 12% per annum, with payments of interest only payable quarterly and the principal balance due on January 4, 2004. The obligations under the loans are secured by an undivided 10% interest in our Tennessee and Kansas pipelines.

On May 20, 2003, Dolphin loaned us the sum of \$750,000 and Jeffrey R. Bailey, our President and a director, loaned us \$84,000, which aggregate amount of \$834,000 we used to pay the principal and interest due from us to Bank One for June 2003 and for working capital. These loans are evidenced by separate promissory notes bearing interest at the rate of 12% per annum, with payments of interest only payable quarterly and the principal balance due on January 4, 2004. The obligations under the loans are secured by an undivided 30% and 3.36% interest, respectively, in our Tennessee and Kansas pipelines.

On August 6, 2003, Dolphin loaned us the sum of \$150,000, which we used for working capital. This loan is evidenced by a separate promissory note bearing interest at the rate of 12% per annum, with payments of interest only payable quarterly and the principal balance due on January 4, 2004. The obligations under the loan are secured by an undivided 6% interest in our Tennessee and Kansas pipelines

From December 2002 through December 9, 2003, Dolphin acquired a total of 85% undivided interest in our Tennessee and Kansas pipelines as collateral for a series of seven loans. In the first five of these transactions, Peter E. Salas, a member of our board and the general partner and controlling person of Dolphin, negotiated the terms of the loans directly with our management, which terms were approved by our management in view of our immediate needs, financial condition and prospective alternatives and under circumstances in which Dolphin was not generally engaged in the business of lending money. These loans were made on terms that we believe were at least as favorable to us as we could have obtained through arm's length negotiations with unaffiliated third parties. Our board approved the sixth and seventh loans on December 3 and 9, 2003, in the amounts of \$225,000 and \$250,000, respectively, with no participation by Mr. Salas in the meeting or the vote, which was unanimous by the seven other directors present at the meeting. In addition, we have entered into a continuing security agreement, which was approved by our board with no participation by Mr. Salas in the meeting or vote, which was unanimous by the seven other directors present at

the meeting, providing the terms of Dolphin's security interest collateralizing all of its loans.

On December 24, 2003, Dolphin loaned us the sum of \$1,000,000 which we used for working capital and to pay all interest and principal in full of the 1998 convertible loan to the Company refereed to as the Lutheran note then being held by several persons. This loan is evidenced by a separate promissory note bearing interest at the rate of 12% per annum, with payments of interest only payable quarterly and the principal balance payable on April 4, 2004. The obligations under the loan are secured by an undivided interest in our Tennessee and Kansas pipelines and the security agreement referred to above.

On February 2, 2004, Dolphin loaned us the sum of \$225,000 which we used for making payment of principal and interest to Bank One for February, 2004. This loan is evidenced by a separate promissory note bearing interest at the rate of 12% per annum, with payments of interest only payable quarterly and the principal balance payable on April 4, 2004. The obligations under the loan are secured by an undivided interest in our Tennessee and Kansas pipelines and the security agreement referred to above.

From April 1 through June 30, 2003, we issued 10,363 shares of our common stock to holders of our Series A 8% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock in lieu of cash quarterly interest payments due to those holders, with such shares valued at the market price thereof. Also during that period, certain members of our board of directors exercised options granted to them pursuant to the Tengasco, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan and purchased the following number of shares of our common stock at the exercise price of \$0.50 per share. Richard T. Williams - 10,000 shares, Bill L. Harbert - 24,000 shares and John A. Clendening - 24,000 shares.

In this offering Dolphin, as well as our directors (certain of whom are also officers), will have the right to purchase additional shares of common stock at the offering. Dolphin and these officers and directors will receive the same terms as the other stockholders in this rights offering. See "The Rights Offering - Effects of Rights Offering on Dolphin's Securities and Ownership."

## PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS

The following table sets forth information, as of February 9, 2004, concerning the beneficial ownership of our common stock by (a) each director, (b) each executive officer named in our summary compensation table above, (c) all directors and executive officers as a group, and (d) each person known by us to beneficially own more than five percent of our common stock. Unless otherwise indicated, each of the persons named below has sole voting power and sole investment power with respect to the shares set forth opposite his or her name and has an address at c/o Tengasco, Inc. 603 Main Avenue, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902.

Name of BENEFICIAL OWNER	Amount Beneficially OWNED(1)(2)	Percent of CLASS (%)
Stephen W. Akos	. 47,439(1)	*
Joseph Earl Armstrong	. 39,450(2)	*

Jeffrey R. Bailey	83,125(3)	*
John A. Clendening	24,000	*
Robert L. Devereux	80,882(4)	*
Dolphin Offshore Partners, L.P	2,441,019(5)	19.8
Bill L. Harbert	1,513,496(6)	12.5
Malcolm E. Ratliff	2,250,487(7)	18.7
Peter E. Salas	2,465,019(8)	19.9
Charles M. Stivers	13,125(9)	*
Richard T. Williams	73,125(10)	*
All Officers and Directors as a group	4,339,661	34.5

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates holdings of less than 1%.

- (1) Consists of 14,081 shares held directly (certain of which are jointly owned with spouse); options to purchase 24,000 shares and 9,358 shares underlying convertible promissory notes owned jointly with his spouse and by a limited partnership. The shares underlying the note held by the limited partnership have been adjusted to reflect Mr. Akos' ownership interest in the limited partnership.
- (2) Consists of 4,950 shares held directly and options to purchase 34,500 shares.
- (3) Consists of 10,000 shares held directly and options to purchase 73,125 shares.
- (4) Consists of 34,562 shares held directly and jointly with his spouse; options to purchase 24,000 shares; 12,448 shares underlying a convertible note held jointly with his spouse; 6,753 shares owned by a limited liability company; and 3,119 shares underlying a convertible promissory note held by a limited liability company. The shares owned by the limited liability company and underlying the note held by the limited liability company have been adjusted to reflect Mr. Devereux's ownership interest in the limited liability company.
- (5) Mr. Salas, a director, is the general partner and controlling person of Dolphin. The share amount indicated consists of 2,139,720 shares held by Dolphin; 10,500 shares underlying a warrant held by Dolphin; 173,611 shares underlying a convertible promissory note held by Dolphin; and 117,188 shares underlying 9,000 shares of our series B 8% cumulative convertible preferred stock held directly by Dolphin that are convertible into our common stock. The indicated amount includes 400,000 shares transferred in

May 2003 to Dolphin from Industrial Resources Corporation, a corporation that we believe is affiliated with M.E. Ratliff, pursuant to the terms of an agreement between that corporation and Dolphin. The Company was not a party to that agreement.

(6) Consists of 1,428,942 shares held directly, 71,429 shares underlying 5,000

shares of our series A 8% cumulative convertible preferred stock held directly, which shares are convertible into our common stock, and an option to purchase 13,125 shares.

- (7) Includes 80,171 shares owned directly by Mr. Ratliff and 849,744 shares owned by Industrial Resources Corporation, which is controlled by Mr. Ratliff, 1,289,072 shares owned by Ratliff Farms, Inc., which is controlled by Mr. Ratliff, and 31,500 shares owned directly by a trust of which Mr. Ratliff's wife is a trustee and the children of Mr. Ratliff are the beneficiaries. The information regarding these shares was previously provided to us by Mr. Ratliff when he was our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. We are not aware of any changes in the information, except for the transfer of 400,000 shares from Industrial Resources Corporation to Dolphin described in footnote 5 above.
- (8) Mr. Salas, a director, is the general partner and controlling person of Dolphin. Consists of 2,139,720 shares held by Dolphin; 24,000 shares held by Mr. Salas; a warrant held by Dolphin to purchase 10,500 shares at \$7.68 per share; 173,611 shares underlying a convertible promissory note held by Dolphin; and 117,188 shares underlying 9,000 shares of our series B 8% cumulative convertible preferred stock held by Dolphin, which shares are convertible into our common stock at the rate of \$7.68 per share.
- (9) Consists of options to purchase 13,125 shares.
- (10) Consists of 10,000 shares and options to purchase 63,125 shares.

### THE RIGHTS OFFERING

#### BACKGROUND OF THE RIGHTS OFFERING

Our Board of Directors proposed that we attempt to raise equity capital through a rights offering to all of our stockholders. The primary reason for the rights offering is to pay non-bank indebtedness in the approximate amount of up to six million dollars (including up to \$3,850,000 in principal amount plus accrued interest to Dolphin with the balance of the net proceeds, if any, to be used to pay bank indebtedness to some extent and/or for working capital purposes, possibly including the drilling of wells. See "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions." The board's proposal was based upon our financial, operating and other circumstances and the limited prospects of capital-raising alternatives.

In originally proposing and on December 23, 2003 approving the terms of the rights offering, our Board of Directors considered a number of factors, including the following:

- o the need to pay our outstanding indebtedness, including to Dolphin, and repaying certain other outstanding indebtedness, including perhaps a portion of our credit facility with Bank One and/or providing certain, albeit not necessarily sufficient, capital usable toward resuming our drilling program;
- o the historic and then-current price of our common stock;
- o the difficulty of refinancing our outstanding indebtedness;
- o our recent and anticipated operating results;
- o general conditions in the securities markets;

- o alternatives available to us for raising capital;
- o the amount of proceeds desired;
- o the pricing of similar transactions;
- o the liquidity of our stock;
- o our business prospects;
- o the possible need to refinance all or a portion of the credit facility in light of the impediment to other capital-raising created by the dispute with Bank One;
- o the commercial and other risks and uncertainties associated with a restructuring or recapitalization and the impact of those alternatives on our shareholders and our creditors; and
- o the belief that the transaction was the best alternative reasonably available to us from the perspective of our public shareholders.

The preceding discussion of the information and factors considered and given weight by our Board of Directors includes all the material factors considered by the Board of Directors in its determination to propose, and then in its determination to approve, the rights offering. In reaching its decision to propose the rights offering and its subsequent decision to approve the rights offering, our Board of Directors did not assign any relative or specific weights to the factors they considered. Individual directors may have given different weights to different factors.

Following its proposal of a rights offering, our Board of Directors formed a special committee consisting of Stephen W. Akos, John A. Clendening, Robert L. Devereux and Charles M. Stivers. None of these directors is an employee of us nor has any personal interest in the rights offering. The special committee was charged by the Board with determining the financial and other terms and feasibility of the rights offering and making recommendations regarding such matters to the Board of Directors. Although Mr. Salas participated in our Board's preliminary discussions regarding the proposed rights offering, he did not participate in any Board discussions in connection with the receipt of the special committee's determinations and recommendations regarding the terms hereof and did not participate in any Board discussions in connection with the receipt on December 23, 2003 of the special committee's final determinations and recommendations regarding the terms hereof.

The subscription price per share was recommended to our Board by the special committee. The special committee considered all of the factors enumerated above in making its determination. In addition, the special committee also considered its negotiations with a representative of Dolphin, of which Mr. Salas is the controlling person, regarding a subscription price at which Dolphin might participate in the offering, although Dolphin has not proposed or entered into any agreement or understanding with the special committee or us with respect to such participation.

In connection with all of the foregoing considerations by the special committee, it received the advice of a financial advisor and counsel, each of which was engaged specifically by the special committee for these purposes. The financial advisor has not yet rendered a final written report to the special committee but did participate in the pricing negotiations or discussions between

the special committee and Dolphin. However, following notification to the financial advisor of all such negotiations and discussions and the special committee's proposed terms of the offering, the financial advisor advised the special committee that those terms were fair to our stockholders from a financial point of view. The special committee's recommendations regarding subscription price per share and the other terms of the offering were finally presented to, and approved by, our Board of Directors (with Mr. Salas not participating with respect to these matters) on December 23, 2003.

An investment in our common stock must be made according to your own evaluation of your best interests. Accordingly, our Board of Directors does not make any recommendation to you about whether you should exercise your rights. In addition, we have not retained a financial advisor to make any recommendation to you about whether you should exercise your rights.

### FINANCIAL ADVISOR

The special committee retained Mercer Capital Management, Inc. ("Mercer") to serve as its independent financial advisor in connection with the proposed rights offering. Mercer is a business valuation and financial advisory firm located in Memphis, Tennessee. Mercer is regularly engaged to provide valuation services in connection with mergers and acquisitions, corporate transaction, share repurchases, tax compliance, ESOPs and employee benefit plans and related purposes. As part of its advisory business, Mercer has experience reviewing the fairness of transactions to shareholders from a financial point of view. The special committee was introduced to Mercer by way of recommendations solicited in the Tennessee investment banking community and selected Mercer following an interview by committee members and their consideration of other prospective candidates. Factors considered by the special committee in its selection included experience (particularly with similar transactions), reputation and cost.

In connection with this engagement, the special committee requested that Mercer evaluate the fairness of the rights offering from the financial perspective of our stockholders. Following the negotiation by the special committee of the terms of the offering, Mercer gave an oral report to the special committee, which report was supported by delivery of the preliminary written report to the effect that, based on and subject to the considerations described in its report, the rights offering is fair to our stockholders from a financial point of view. Mercer was not engaged to and did not participate in the negotiations and determinations as to the terms of the rights offering, including pricing, except that Mercer was present during one teleconference during which special committee members negotiated certain terms of the offering. Otherwise, the special committee itself conducted such negotiations and made such determinations as to terms as described above, which terms were submitted to Mercer for their review and report.

In arriving at its conclusion, Mercer:

- o reviewed our capital structure
- o performed market analysis of our common stock
- o reviewed our key business issues
- o performed a liquidation analysis, noting that our equity is not sufficient to redeem is common stock shareholders; and
- o reviewed our financial data.

In addition, Mercer noted the following considerations:

- o A successful offering moves Tengasco forward with a significant restructuring that improves potential for future success. The proceeds from a successful rights offering will be used to retire non-bank debt, to reduce indebtedness to Bank One, and for working capital purposes.
- o Tengasco does not have another plan to obtain long-term debt or to raise capital. Without other options, the rights offering provides the only plan for Tengasco to obtain the necessary funds to strengthen its ability to continue to operate as a going concern.
- o Each shareholder has the right to fully participate in the rights offering and avoid dilution.
- o Based on Tengasco's estimated liquidation analysis, proceeds from liquidation are not sufficient to redeem the common or preferred shareholders. The rights offering improves the potential to provide value to the shareholders.
- o By requiring approval, the Board is given certain authority to turn down oversubscription requests which could result in a change of control.
- o The fairness of the price from a financial point of view.
- o Shareholders either accept the rights offering which requires injecting additional money into Tengasco or their position becomes diluted in ownership and in market capitalization.
- o The price per share under the rights offering is lower than the current trading price of the shares.
- o The rights will have no value.

The following are valuation analyses performed by Mercer in connection with preparing its preliminary report. The Asset-Based Approach and Discounted Cash Flows Analysis were each considered by Mercer and found inappropriate for Tengasco's case.

## MARKET APPROACH - TRANSACTIONS IN TENGASCO'S SHARES

From the perspective that the rights offering occurs at \$0.25 per share (\$0.50 less than the then-current market price of \$0.75), Mercer considered that the market price of Tengasco's shares may decline. Mercer further felt that the market is currently pricing the stock at a premium and that the current market price is not supported by the earnings expectations of Tengasco.

## MARKET APPROACH - GUIDELINE COMPANY METHOD

The guideline company method derives common stock valuation multiples from publicly traded companies in the same general line of business as Tengasco. Mercer's initial search for comparable companies yielded a group of 124 companies (including Tengasco). These companies were divided into two categories: the 25 selected guideline companies which are comparable to Tengasco and 99 less similar companies noted as "also considered."

The 25 selected companies were then subdivided into two groups. Group A, which was made up of seven comparable companies which have similar earnings

patterns to Tengasco (i.e., they are losing money at the valuation date), and Group B, three companies which Tengasco's management indicated were directly comparable to Tengasco.

For the group of 25 and subgroups A and B, Mercer derived indications of value for Tengasco based on the ratios of total capital/revenues and total capital/EBITDA (only subgroups A and B). The base guideline capitalization factor for each of the multiples is the average of the median multiples for each of the subgroups. Based upon its analysis, Mercer found that a minimum fundamental adjustment to the public multiples in the range of 10% - 20% is appropriate for Tengasco.

### TOTAL CAPITAL / REVENUE

Multiplying the Tengasco's most recent revenues by the guideline company capitalization factor and then subtracting debt provides an indicated value of total equity Such method taking into account fundamental adjustments of up to 20% implies a total common equity value of \$0.10 per share to \$0.50 per share.

### TOTAL CAPITAL / EBITDA

Mercer applied the same methodology in determining the total capital/EBITDA indication of value as the total capital/revenue method. EBITDA is defined as earnings before interest expenses, taxes, depreciation and amortization. Mercer found that the total capital/EBITDA indication of value does not indicate a positive equity value. In the derivation of the value, the reported EBITDA is from the trailing twelve month period ended September 30, 2003, which was the highest reported EBITDA over the period analyzed. The current EBITDA measure is due to the favorable pricing environment for oil and gas sales. Based on the capitalized EBITDA indication, the available equity is sufficient to pay down the debt but not to redeem all of the preferred stock. Thus, this method does not provide value to all of preferred shareholders or to the common shareholders.

Under the terms of its engagement, the special committee agreed to pay Mercer an estimated professional fee of \$25,000. In addition, the special committee agreed to reimburse certain out-of-pocket expenses, including the report preparation, research, travel and communication. Aside from the current engagement, no material relationship has ever existed between us (including the special committee) and either Mercer or any of its affiliates, nor has any such relationship understood to be contemplated.

## THE RIGHTS

We will distribute to each holder of record of our common stock on February 27, 2004, at no charge, one nontransferable subscription right for each share of our common stock they own. The rights will be evidenced by rights certificates. Each right will allow such holder to purchase three additional shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.25 per such purchased share.

## LIMITATION ON EXERCISE OF THE RIGHTS

In no event may any subscriber purchase shares of our common stock in the offering that, when aggregated with all of the shares of our common stock otherwise owned by the subscriber and his, her or its affiliates, would immediately following the closing represent more than 50% of our issued and outstanding shares.

You may exercise your subscription privilege at any time before 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on March 18, 2004, the expiration date for the rights offering. If you do not exercise your rights before the expiration date, your unexercised rights will be null and void. We will not be obligated to honor your exercise of rights if the subscription agent receives the documents relating to your exercise after the rights offering expires, regardless of when you transmitted the documents, except when you have timely transmitted the documents under the guaranteed delivery procedures described below. We may extend the expiration date by up to 30 days by giving oral or written notice to the subscription agent on or before the scheduled expiration date. If we elect to extend the expiration of the rights offering, we will issue a press release announcing the extension no later than 9:00 a.m., New York City time, on the next business day after the most recently announced expiration date.

### NO INTEREST ON SUBSCRIPTION AMOUNTS

Once you send in your subscription certificate and payment, you cannot revoke the exercise of your rights, even if you later learn information about us that you consider to be unfavorable and even if the market price of our common stock is below the \$0.25 per share subscription price, unless we amend the offering. During this period of no revocation, subscription amounts received will be held by the subscription agent until completion, expiration or termination of the rights offering, during which period the rights holders will not earn interest on those subscription amounts. Further, we may terminate the offering at any time, for any reason at our sole discretion. Circumstances under which we may terminate the rights offering include without limitation insufficient subscription levels.

#### SUBSCRIPTION PRIVILEGES

BASIC SUBSCRIPTION PRIVILEGE. With your basic subscription privilege, you may purchase three shares of our common stock per right, upon delivery of the required documents and payment of the subscription price of \$0.75 in the aggregate, or \$0.25 per share. You must purchase all three shares underlying a right if you want to exercise that right. Fractional rights will be rounded up to the next higher whole right. The number of rights subject to the rights offering has been arbitrarily increased to 12,100,000, which number exceeds the number of outstanding shares of our common stock on the record date of 12,049,977, to cover increases resulting from rounding up. You are not required to exercise all of your rights. We will deliver to you certificates representing the shares that you purchased with your basic subscription privilege as soon as practicable after the rights offering has expired.

OVER-SUBSCRIPTION PRIVILEGE. If you exercise your basic subscription privilege in full, you may also subscribe for additional shares that other shareholders have not purchased under their basic subscription privilege. You may purchase a percentage of the unsubscribed shares equal to the percentage of shares purchased by you under the basic subscription privilege, as compared to the total number of shares purchased by all shareholders, including you, who are exercising their oversubscription privilege. If there are not enough shares available to fill all subscriptions for additional shares, then the available shares will be allocated pro rata, in successive rounds, based on the number of shares each subscriber for additional shares has purchased under his, her or its basic subscription privilege.

For example, if there are 900,000 available shares under the oversubscription privilege and the only oversubscribing shareholders are a 10% shareholder subscribing for 500,000 additional shares and a 5% shareholder subscribing for 500,000 additional shares, then the 10% shareholder would receive 500,000 shares and the 5% shareholder would receive the remaining 400,000 shares, as follows: the subscription agent will initially allocate

500,000 shares to the 10% shareholder and 250,000 to the 5% shareholder according to their relative 2:1 ownership percentages and, thereafter, will allocate the remaining shares to the 5% shareholder since he, she or it was the only shareholder to subscribe for these shares. We will not allocate to you more than the number of shares you have actually subscribed and paid for. As soon as practicable after the expiration date, Mellon Bank, N.A., acting as our subscription agent, will determine the number of shares that you may purchase pursuant to the oversubscription privilege.

You are not entitled to exercise the oversubscription privilege unless you have fully exercised your basic subscription privilege. For this purpose, you would only count the shares you own in your own name, and not other shares that

might, for example, be jointly held by you with a spouse, held as a custodian for someone else, or held in an individual retirement account.

You can elect to exercise the oversubscription privilege only at the same time you exercise your basic subscription privilege in full.

In exercising the oversubscription privilege, you must pay the full subscription price for all of the shares you are electing to purchase. If we do not allocate to you all of the shares you have subscribed for under the oversubscription privilege, we will refund to you, by mail, any payment you have made for shares which are not being made available to you, promptly after completion of this offering. Interest will not be payable on amounts refunded.

Banks, brokers and other nominees who exercise the oversubscription privilege on behalf of beneficial owners of shares must report certain information to us and the subscription agent, Mellon Bank, N.A., and report certain other information received from each beneficial owner exercising shares. Generally, banks, brokers and other nominees must report:

- o the number of shares held on the record date on behalf of each beneficial owner;
- o the number of shares as to which the basic subscription privilege has been exercised on behalf of each beneficial owner;
- o that each beneficial owner's basic subscription privilege, held in the same capacity, has been exercised in full; and
- o the number of shares subscribed for, pursuant to the oversubscription privilege, by each beneficial owner, if any.

If you complete the portion of the subscription certificate required for you to exercise the oversubscription privilege, you will be representing and certifying that you have fully exercised your basic subscription privilege as described above. You must exercise your oversubscription privilege at the same time you exercise your basic subscription privilege.

In some circumstances, in order to comply with applicable state securities laws, we may not be able to honor your basic and/or oversubscription privileges, even if we have shares available and the above conditions are met.

#### NON-TRANSFERABILITY OF THE RIGHTS

Except in the limited circumstances described below, only you may exercise the basic subscription privilege and the over-subscription privilege. You may not sell, give away or otherwise transfer the basic subscription privilege or the over-subscription privilege.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, you may transfer your rights to any affiliate of yours and your rights also may be transferred by operation of law; for example a transfer of rights to the estate of the recipient upon the death of the recipient would be permitted. If the rights are transferred as permitted, evidence satisfactory to us that the transfer was proper must be received by us prior to the expiration date of the rights offering.

#### METHOD OF SUBSCRIPTION--EXERCISE OF RIGHTS

You may exercise your rights by delivering the following to the subscription agent, at or prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on March 18, 2004, the date on which the rights expire:

- o your properly completed and executed rights certificate with any required signature guarantees or other supplemental documentation; and
- o your full subscription price payment for each share subscribed for under your basic subscription privilege and your over-subscription privilege.

#### MAILING OF SUBSCRIPTION CERTIFICATES AND RECORD HOLDERS

We are sending a subscription certificate to each record holder, together with this prospectus and related instructions to exercise the rights. In order to exercise rights, you must fill out and sign the subscription certificate and timely deliver it to the subscription agent, together with full payment for the shares to be purchased. Only the holders of record of our common stock as of the close of business as of the record date may exercise rights.

A depository bank, trust company or securities broker or dealer which is a record holder for more than one beneficial owner of shares may divide or consolidate subscription certificates to represent shares held as of the record date by their beneficial owners, upon providing the subscription agent with certain required information.

If you own shares held in a brokerage, bank or other custodial or nominee account, in order to exercise your rights you must promptly send the proper instruction form to the person holding your shares. Your broker, dealer, depository or custodian bank or other person holding your shares is the record holder of your shares and will have to act on your behalf in order for you to exercise your rights. We have asked your broker, dealer or other nominee holder of our common stock to contact the beneficial owner(s) thereof and provide them with instructions concerning the rights the beneficial owner(s) it represents are entitled to exercise.

### PROCEDURES TO EXERCISE RIGHTS

Please do not send subscription certificates or related forms to us. Please send the properly completed and executed form of subscription certificate with full payment to the subscription agent for this offering, Mellon Bank, N.A., or to the record holder of your shares (such as your broker, nominee or other custodial holder, if applicable).

You should read carefully the subscription certificate and related instructions and forms which accompany this prospectus. You should contact Mellon Investor Services LLC, the information agent for this offering, at the address and telephone number listed below under the caption "The Rights Offering - Questions and Assistance Concerning the Rights," promptly with any questions

you may have.

You may exercise your rights by delivering to the subscription agent (or to the record holder of your shares, if applicable), at the address specified below and in the instructions accompanying this prospectus, on or prior to the expiration date, the following:

- o Properly completed and executed subscription certificate(s) which evidence your rights. See "The Rights Offering - Delivery of Subscription Certificates" below, for instructions on where to send these;
- o Any required signature guarantees; and
- o Payment in full of the subscription price for each share you wish to purchase under your basic subscription privilege and your oversubscription privilege. See "The Rights Offering - Required Forms of Payment of Subscription Price" below, for payment instructions.

### REQUIRED FORMS OF PAYMENT OF SUBSCRIPTION PRICE

The subscription price is \$0.25 per share subscribed for, payable in cash. All payments must be cleared on or before the expiration date.

If you exercise any rights, you must deliver to the subscription agent (or the record holder of your shares, if applicable) full payment in the form of a personal check, certified or cashier's check or bank draft drawn upon a U.S. bank, or a U.S. postal money order, payable to Mellon Investor Services LLC (acting on behalf of Mellon Bank, N.A. as subscription agent).

In order for you to timely exercise your rights, the subscription agent must actually receive good funds, in payment of the subscription price, before the expiration date.

Funds paid by uncertified personal check may take at least five business days to clear. Accordingly, if you pay the subscription price by means of uncertified personal check, you should make payment sufficiently in advance of the expiration date to ensure that your check actually clears and the payment is received before such date. We are not responsible for any delay in payment by you and suggest that you consider payment by means of certified or cashier's check or bank draft drawn upon a U.S. bank, or a U.S. postal money order.

## DELIVERY OF SUBSCRIPTION CERTIFICATES

All subscription certificates, payments of the subscription price and nominee holder certifications and Depository Trust Company participant oversubscription exercise forms, to the extent applicable to your exercise of rights, must be delivered to the subscription agent, Mellon Bank, N.A., as follows:

BY MAIL:

Mellon Bank, N.A. c/o Mellon Investor Services LLC P.O. Box 3301 South Hackensack, NJ 07606

New York, New York 10271

Attention: Reorganization Dept.

Attention: Reorganization Dept

BY HAND:

Mellon Bank, N.A. c/o Mellon Investor Services LLC 120 Broadway, 13th Floor

BY OVERNIGHT COURIER:
Mellon Bank, N.A.
c/o Mellon Investor Services LLC
85 Challenger Road
Overpeck Centre
Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660
Attention: Reorganization Dept.

### PROHIBITION ON FRACTIONAL SHARES

Each right entitles you to purchase three shares at the subscription price. We will accept any inadvertent subscription indicating a purchase of fractional shares, by rounding down to the nearest whole share and promptly refunding, without interest, any payment received for a fractional share.

### INSTRUCTIONS TO NOMINEE HOLDERS

If you are a broker, trustee, depository for securities or other nominee holder for beneficial owners of our common stock, we are requesting that you contact such beneficial owners as soon as possible to obtain instructions and related certifications concerning their rights. Our request to you is further explained in the suggested form of letter of instructions from nominee holders to beneficial owners accompanying this prospectus.

To the extent so instructed, nominee holders should complete appropriate subscription certificates on behalf of beneficial owners and, in the case of any exercise of the oversubscription privilege, the related form of "Nominee Holder Certification", and submit them on a timely basis to the subscription agent, Mellon Bank, N.A., with the proper payment.

### RISK OF LOSS ON DELIVERY OF SUBSCRIPTION CERTIFICATE FORMS AND PAYMENTS

Each holder of rights bears all risks of the method of delivery, to the subscription agent, of subscription certificates and payments of the subscription price. If subscription certificates and payments are sent by mail, you are urged to send these by registered mail, properly insured, with return receipt requested, and to allow a sufficient number of days to ensure delivery, to the subscription agent, and clearance of payment prior to the expiration date.

Because uncertified personal checks may take at least five business days to clear, you are strongly urged to pay, or arrange for payment, by means of certified or cashier's check or bank draft drawn upon a U.S. bank, or a U.S. postal money order.

### HOW PROCEDURAL AND OTHER QUESTIONS ARE RESOLVED

We are entitled to resolve all questions concerning the timeliness, validity, form and eligibility of any exercise of rights. Our determination of such questions will be final and binding. We, in our reasonable discretion, may waive any defect or irregularity, or permit a defect or irregularity to be corrected within such time as we may determine, or reject the purported exercise of any right because of any defect or irregularity.

Subscription certificates will not be considered received or accepted until all irregularities have been waived or cured within such time as we determine, in our reasonable discretion. Neither we nor the subscription agent have any duty to give you notification of any state required pre-clearance or approval, nor any defect or irregularity in connection with the submission of subscription

certificates or any other required document or payment, although we may elect to do so. Neither we nor the subscription agent will incur any liability for failure to give such notification.

We reserve the right to reject any exercise of rights if the exercise does not comply with the terms of this offering, is not in proper form, or if the exercise of rights would be unlawful or materially burdensome to us.

#### ISSUANCE OF SHARES OF OUR COMMON STOCK

Shares of our common stock purchased in this offering will be issued as soon as practicable after the expiration date. The subscription agent will deliver subscription payments to us only after consummation of this offering and the issuance of certificates to our shareholders that exercised rights.

### FEES AND EXPENSES

We will pay all fees charged by the subscription agent and information agent. You are responsible for paying any other commissions, fees, taxes or other expenses incurred in connection with the exercise of your subscription rights. None of the subscription agent, the information agent or us will pay these expenses.

#### SUBSCRIPTION AGENT

We have appointed Mellon Bank, N.A. as subscription agent for this offering. The subscription agent's addresses for packages sent by hand, mail or overnight courier are:

### BY MAIL:

Mellon Bank, N.A. c/o Mellon Investor Services LLC P.O. Box 3301 South Hackensack, NJ 07606 Attention: Reorganization Dept.

## BY OVERNIGHT COURIER:

Mellon Bank, N.A. c/o Mellon Investor Services LLC 85 Challenger Road Overpeck Centre Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660 Attention: Reorganization Dept.

#### BY HAND:

Mellon Bank, N.A. c/o Mellon Investor Services LLC 120 Broadway, 13th Floor New York, New York 10271 Attention: Reorganization Dept.

The subscription agent's telephone number is 800-932-6798. You should deliver your subscription certificate and payment of the subscription price only to the subscription agent, except if your shares are held on record by a broker, dealer, nominee or other custodial. We will pay the fees and expenses of the subscription agent, information agent and printer, which we estimate will total \$15,000. We have also agreed to indemnify the subscription agent from any liability which it may incur in connection with the offering.

### IMPORTANT

Please carefully read the instructions accompanying the subscription

certificate and follow those instructions in detail. Do not send subscription certificates directly to us. You are responsible for choosing the payment and delivery method for your subscription certificate, and you bear the risks associated with such delivery. If you choose to deliver your subscription certificate and payment by mail, we recommend that you use registered mail, properly insured, with return receipt requested. We also recommend that you mail your subscription certificate and payment a sufficient number of days prior to the record date. Because uncertified personal checks may take at least five business days to clear, we strongly urge you to pay, or arrange for payment, by means of certified or cashier's check or bank draft drawn upon a U.S. bank, or a U.S. postal money order.

### QUESTIONS AND ASSISTANCE CONCERNING THE RIGHTS

If you have any questions or need assistance concerning the procedures for exercising your subscription rights, or if you would like additional copies of this prospectus or the instructions, you should contact us or the information agent, as follows:

865-523-1124

 TENGASCO, INC. | MELLON INVESTOR SERVICES LLC
603 Main Avenue | 85 Challenger Road
Suite 500 | Overpeck Centre
Knoxville, TN 37902 | Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660
865-523-1124 | 800-932-6798 800-932-6798

- 1

### Calculation Of Rights Exercised

If you do not indicate the number of rights being exercised, or do not forward full payment of the total subscription price for the number of rights that you indicate are being exercised, then you will be deemed to have exercised your basic subscription privilege with respect to the maximum number of rights that may be exercised with the aggregate subscription price payment you delivered to the subscription agent. If we do not apply your full subscription price payment to your purchase of shares of our common stock, we will return the excess amount to you by mail without interest or deduction as soon as practicable after the expiration date of the rights offering.

## DETERMINATIONS REGARDING THE EXERCISE OF YOUR RIGHTS

We will decide all questions concerning the timeliness, validity, form and eligibility of your exercise of your rights and our determinations will be final and binding. We, in our sole discretion, may waive any defect or irregularity, or permit a defect or irregularity to be corrected within such time as we may determine. We may reject the exercise of any of your rights because of any defect or irregularity. We will not receive or accept any subscription until all irregularities have been waived by us or cured by you within such time as we decide, in our sole discretion.

Neither we nor the subscription agent will be under any duty to notify you of any defect or irregularity in connection with your submission of rights certificates and we will not be liable for failure to notify you of any defect or irregularity. We reserve the right to reject your exercise of rights if your exercise is not in accordance with the terms of the rights offering or in proper form. We will also not accept your exercise of rights if our issuance of shares of our common stock to you could be deemed unlawful under applicable law or is materially burdensome to us.

#### REGULATORY LIMITATION

We will not be required to issue to you shares of common stock pursuant to the rights offering if, in our opinion, you would be required to obtain prior clearance or approval from any state or federal regulatory authority to own or control such shares if, at the time the subscription rights expire, you have not obtained such clearance or approval.

#### EXPIRATION DATE, EXTENSIONS AND TERMINATION

We may extend the rights offering and the period for exercising your rights for up to 30 days, in our sole discretion. The rights will expire at 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on March 18, 2004, unless we decide to extend the rights offering. If the commencement of the rights offering is delayed, the expiration date will be similarly extended. If you do not exercise your basic subscription privilege prior to that time, your rights will be null and void. We will not be required to issue shares of common stock to you if the subscription agent receives your subscription certificate or your payment after that time, regardless of when you sent the subscription certificate and payment, unless you send the documents in compliance with the guaranteed delivery procedures described above.

#### SHARES OF COMMON STOCK OUTSTANDING AFTER THE RIGHTS OFFERING

Approximately 48.2 million shares of our common stock will be issued and outstanding after the rights offering, assuming exercise in full of all rights. Approximately 12.1 million shares of our common stock are issued and outstanding as of the date hereof.

### EFFECTS OF RIGHTS OFFERING ON OUR STOCK OPTION PLANS AND OTHER PLANS

As of February 1, 2004, there were outstanding options to purchase approximately 453,000 shares of our common stock issued or committed to be issued pursuant to stock options granted by the Company and its predecessors. None of the outstanding options has antidilution or other provisions for adjustment to exercise price or number of shares which will be automatically triggered by the rights offering. Each outstanding and unexercised option will remain unchanged and will be exercisable for the same number of shares of common stock and at the same exercise price as before the rights offering.

#### EFFECTS OF RIGHTS OFFERING ON OUR PREFERRED STOCK

As of February 1, 2004, there were issued and outstanding an aggregate of 70,720 shares of our preferred stock as follows:

- o 28,679 shares of our Series A Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, with each share having a liquidation preference of \$100 and convertible into shares of our common stock at an initial conversion rate of \$7.00 of liquidation preference per one share of common stock. As a result of adjustments made to date pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of such preferred stock, the current conversion rate is \$5.13 of liquidation preference per one share of common stock. Assuming that the rights offering is exercised in full, as a result of such anti-dilution provisions the conversion rate will be further reduced to \$1.49 of liquidation preference per one share of common stock.
- o 27,550 shares of our Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred

Stock, with each share having a liquidation preference of \$100 and convertible into shares of our common stock at an initial conversion rate of \$9.00 of liquidation preference per one share of common stock. As a result of adjustments made to date pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of such preferred stock, the current conversion rate is \$7.68 or \$11.04 of liquidation preference per one share of common stock, depending upon when such preferred stock was issued. Assuming that the rights offering is exercised in full, as a result of such anti-dilution provisions the conversion rate will be further reduced to \$3.01 or \$2.11 of liquidation preference per one share of common stock, depending on when such preferred stock was issued.

o 14,491 shares of our Series C Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, with each share having a liquidation preference of \$100 and convertible into shares of our common stock at an initial conversion rate of \$5.00 of liquidation preference per one share of common stock. As a result of adjustments made to date pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of such preferred stock, the current conversion rate is \$4.69 of liquidation preference per one share of common stock. Assuming that the rights offering is exercised in full, as a result of such anti-dilution provisions the conversion rate will be further reduced to \$1.38 of liquidation preference per one share of common stock.

See "Description of Capital Stock."

EFFECTS OF RIGHTS OFFERING ON DOLPHIN'S AND/OR OUR BOARD'S SECURITIES AND OWNERSHIP.

Discussed below, for illustrative purposes only, are scenarios which indicate the effect the rights offering and related share issuance could have on Dolphin's and/or our entire Board's relative voting and economic interest. Dolphin, which is controlled by Peter E. Salas, is discussed here in light of his the status as one of our Board members and the controlling person of

Dolphin, which is our largest stockholder and a creditor to which we owe loans in an aggregate principal amount of \$3,850,000, plus accrued interest. As of the date hereof, Dolphin owns approximately 17.8% of our outstanding common stock and is deemed to beneficially own approximately 19.8% of our common stock. As of the date hereof, our entire Board of Directors as a group, including Mr. Salas, controls approximately 30.6% of our outstanding common stock and is deemed to beneficially own approximately 34.5% of our common stock.

In the event that all shares of common stock offered in the rights offering are fully subscribed, then Dolphin would purchase 6,419,160 shares in the offering and would, immediately following closing, continue to own approximately 17.8% of our outstanding common stock and continue to be deemed to beneficially own 19.8% of our common stock. In the event that Dolphin were the only rights holder to acquire shares in the offering, then its ownership of outstanding shares would be limited by the terms of this offering to not more than 50% of all outstanding shares immediately following the closing. In the absence of this limitation, Dolphin might have been able to obtain ownership of up to approximately 79% of our outstanding shares in the event that it were the only rights holder to exercise its rights.

In the event that all shares of common stock offered in the rights offering are fully subscribed, then our entire board of directors collectively would purchase 17,468,184 shares in the offering and would, immediately following closing, continue to own approximately 30.6% of our outstanding common stock and

continue to be deemed to beneficially own approximately 34.5% of our common stock. In the event that the entire board were the only rights holders to acquire shares in the offering, then its aggregate ownership of outstanding shares would be approximately 81.9%.

#### OTHER MATTERS

We are not making this rights offering in any state or other jurisdiction in which it is unlawful to do so, nor are we selling or accepting any offers to purchase any shares of our common stock from rights holders who are residents of those states or other jurisdictions. We may delay the commencement of the rights offering in those states or other jurisdictions, or change the terms of the rights offering, in order to comply with the securities law requirements of those states or other jurisdictions. We may decline to make modifications to the terms of the rights offering requested by those states or other jurisdictions, in which case, if you are a resident in those states or jurisdictions, you will not be eligible to participate in the rights offering.

#### DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

As of February 1, 2004, our authorized capital stock consisted of 50,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, and 25,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share. As of that date, we had 12,049,977 shares of common stock outstanding and an aggregate of 70,720 shares of preferred stock outstanding. The following is a summary of the material terms of our capital stock. This summary does not purport to be complete or to contain all the information that may be important to you, and is qualified in our entirety by reference to our articles of incorporation, as amended, and bylaws, as amended. We encourage you to read the provisions of these documents to the extent they relate to your individual investment strategy.

#### PREFERRED STOCK

Our articles of incorporation authorize us to issue preferred stock in one or more series having designations, rights, and preferences determined from time to time by our Board of Directors. Accordingly, subject to applicable stock exchange rules and the terms of existing preferred stock, our Board of Directors is empowered, without the approval of the holders of common stock, to issue shares of preferred stock with dividend, liquidation, conversion, voting, or other rights that could adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the holders of common stock. In some cases, the issuance of preferred stock could delay a change of control of us or make it harder to remove incumbent management. Preferred stock could also restrict dividend payments to holders of our common stock. To date, we have issued shares of preferred stock as described below.

SERIES A 8% CUMULATIVE CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK. We have outstanding 28,679 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock, with each share having a liquidation preference of \$100. The Series A Preferred Stock has no voting rights prior to the conversion of such shares into shares of our common stock. Each \$100 liquidation preference of Series A Preferred Stock is convertible at the election of the holder into shares of our common stock at an initial rate of \$7.00 of liquidation preference of the Series A Preferred Stock per one share of our common stock. The conversion price will be adjusted downwards in the event of the issuance of any new shares of our common stock, or options or securities exercisable, convertible or exchangeable into new shares of our common stock, at a price per share of common stock less than \$7.00, subject to further adjustment. As a result of adjustments already made to date to the initial conversion rate, the current conversion rate is \$5.13 of liquidation preference

of the Series A Preferred Stock per one share of our common stock. Assuming that the rights offering is exercised in full, as a result thereof the conversion rate of the Series A Preferred Stock will be further reduced to \$1.49 of liquidation preference per one share of our common stock.

The holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to a cumulative dividend at a rate of 8% of the liquidation preference per share per annum, payable quarterly on each March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, but only when, as and if declared by the Board of Directors out of funds legally available therefor. All accrued but unpaid dividends accrue interest after the respective payment date at a rate of 8% per annum. In the event that we fail to make any two of six consecutive quarterly dividend payments on the Series A Preferred Stock, the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock have the right to appoint directors that will constitute a majority of our board of directors. That appointed majority of our board of directors would remain until all accrued and unpaid dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock have been paid. During 2002, we failed to pay the third and fourth quarterly dividend payments on the Series A Preferred Stock designated four members of the board of directors, who were elected to vacancies on the board and who currently serve.

We may redeem all, but not less than all, of the outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock upon the payment of the per share liquidation preference, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, subject to certain circumstances, including that our common stock has a closing sale price greater than 150% of the then conversion rate for the Series A Preferred Stock for sixty consecutive trading days prior to the date of redemption. In addition, we are required to redeem one-twentieth of the maximum number of shares of Series A Preferred Stock outstanding commencing on October 1, 2003 and each quarterly date thereafter that such shares are outstanding.

If we adopt a plan of liquidation or of dissolution, or commence a voluntary case under the federal bankruptcy laws or similar laws or upon the occurrence of specified similar events, then the holders of Series A Preferred Stock shall have a liquidation preference over all other outstanding shares of our preferred stock.

SERIES B 8% CUMULATIVE CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK. We have outstanding 27,550 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock, with each share having a liquidation preference of \$100. The Series B Preferred Stock has no voting rights prior to the conversion of such shares into shares of our common stock. Each \$100 liquidation preference of Series B Preferred Stock is convertible at the election of the holder into shares of our common stock at an initial rate of \$9.00 of liquidation preference of the Series B Preferred Stock per one share of our common stock. In addition, such conversion may be required by us as to all, but not less than all, of the outstanding Series B Preferred Stock in the event that our common stock has a closing sale price greater than 150% of the then conversion rate for the Series B Preferred Stock for twenty consecutive trading days prior to such forced conversion. The conversion price will be adjusted downwards in the event of the issuance of any new shares of common stock, or options or securities exercisable, convertible or exchangeable into new shares of our common stock, at a price per share of common stock less than \$9.00, subject to further adjustment. As a result of adjustments already made to date to the initial conversion price, the current conversion rate is either \$7.68 or \$11.04 per one share of our common stock, depending upon when the Series B Preferred Stock was issued. Assuming that the rights offering is exercised in full, as a result thereof the conversion rate of the Series B Preferred Stock will be further reduced to either \$3.01 or \$2.11 of liquidation preference per one share of our common stock, depending on when the Series B Preferred Stock

was issued.

The holders of the Series B Preferred Stock are entitled to a cumulative dividend at a rate of 8% of the liquidation preference per share per annum, payable quarterly on each March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, but only when, as and if declared by the Board of Directors out of funds legally available therefor. All accrued but unpaid dividends accrue interest after the respective payment date at a rate of 8% per annum.

We may redeem all, but not less than all, of the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock upon the payment of the per share liquidation preference, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, subject to certain circumstances, including that our common stock has a closing sale price greater than 150% of the then conversion rate for the Series B Preferred Stock for sixty consecutive trading days prior to the date of redemption. In addition, we are required to redeem all of the outstanding Series B Preferred Stock at a price per share equal to the liquidation preference, plus any and all accrued and unpaid dividends, on the fifth anniversary of the first issuance of the Series B Preferred Stock, which anniversary will be in March 2005.

If we adopt a plan of liquidation or of dissolution, or commence a voluntary case under the federal bankruptcy laws or similar laws or upon the occurrence of specified similar events, then the holders of Series B Preferred Stock shall have a liquidation preference equal to the liquidation preference of all other outstanding shares of our preferred stock, other than the Series A Preferred Stock, which is senior to the Series B Preferred Stock in this respect.

SERIES C 6% CUMULATIVE CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK. We have outstanding 14,491 shares of our Series C Preferred Stock, with each share having a liquidation preference of \$100. The Series C Preferred Stock has no voting rights prior to the conversion of such shares into shares of our common stock. Each \$100 liquidation preference of Series C Preferred Stock is convertible at the election of the holder into shares of our common stock at an initial rate of \$5.00 of liquidation preference of the Series C Preferred Stock per one share of our common stock. In addition, such conversion may be required by us as to all, but not less than all, of the outstanding Series C Preferred Stock in the event that our common stock has a closing sale price greater than 150% of the then conversion rate for the Series C Preferred Stock for twenty consecutive trading days prior to such forced conversion. The conversion price will be adjusted downwards in the event of the issuance of any new shares of common stock, or options or securities exercisable, convertible or exchangeable into new shares of our common stock, at a price per share of common stock less than \$5.00, subject to further adjustment. As a result of adjustments already made to date to the initial conversion price, the current conversion rate is \$4.69 per one share of our common stock. Assuming that the rights offering is exercised in full, as a result thereof the conversion rate of the Series C Preferred Stock will be further reduced to \$1.38 of liquidation preference per one share of our common stock.

The holders of the Series C Preferred Stock are entitled to a cumulative dividend at a rate of 6% of the liquidation preference per share per annum, payable quarterly on each March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, but

only when, as and if declared by the Board of Directors out of funds legally available therefor. All accrued but unpaid dividends accrue interest after the respective payment date at a rate of 6% per annum.

We may redeem all, but not less than all, of the outstanding shares of Series C Preferred Stock upon the payment of the per share liquidation

preference, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, subject to certain circumstances, including that our common stock has a closing sale price greater than 150% of the then conversion rate for the Series C Preferred Stock for sixty consecutive trading days prior to the date of redemption. In addition, we are required to redeem all of the outstanding Series C Preferred Stock at a price per share equal to the liquidation preference, plus any and all accrued and unpaid dividends, on the fifth anniversary of the first issuance of the Series C Preferred Stock, which anniversary will be in July 2006.

If we adopt a plan of liquidation or of dissolution, or commence a voluntary case under the federal bankruptcy laws or similar laws or upon the occurrence of specified similar events, then the holders of Series B Preferred Stock shall have a liquidation preference equal to the liquidation preference of all other outstanding shares of our preferred stock, other than the Series A Preferred Stock, which is senior to the Series C Preferred Stock in this respect.

#### COMMON STOCK

VOTING RIGHTS. Each share of our common stock is entitled to one vote in the election of Directors and other matters. A majority of shares of our voting stock constitute a quorum at any meeting of stockholders. Common stockholders are not entitled to cumulative voting rights.

DIVIDENDS. Subject to the preferential rights of any outstanding shares of preferred stock and the restrictive terms of our credit agreement, which prohibit the payment of dividends, dividends may be paid to holders of common stock as may be declared by our Board of Directors out of funds legally available for that purpose. We do not intend to pay dividends at the present time or in the foreseeable future.

LIQUIDATION. If we liquidate, dissolve or wind-up our business, either voluntarily or not, common stockholders will receive pro rata all assets remaining after we pay our creditors and the holders of our preferred stock as described above.

#### UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES

The following discussion is a summary of the material federal income tax consequences of the rights offering to holders of common stock that hold such stock as a capital asset for federal income tax purposes. This discussion is based on laws, regulations, rulings and decisions in effect on the date hereof, all of which are subject to change (possibly with retroactive effect) and to differing interpretations. This discussion applies only to holders that are U.S. persons, which is defined as a citizen or resident of the United States, a domestic partnership, a domestic corporation, any estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source, and any trust so long as a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust.

This discussion does not address all aspects of federal income taxation that may be relevant to holders in light of their particular circumstances or to holders who may be subject to special tax treatment under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, including holders who are dealers in securities or foreign currency, foreign persons (defined as all persons other than U.S. persons), insurance companies, tax-exempt organizations, banks, financial institutions, broker-dealers, holders who hold common stock as part of a hedge, straddle, conversion or other risk reduction transaction, or holders that

acquired common stock pursuant to the exercise of compensatory  $\,$  stock options or warrants or otherwise as compensation.

We have not sought, and will not seek, an opinion of counsel or a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service regarding the federal income tax consequences of the rights offering or the related share issuance. The following summary does not address the tax consequences of the rights offering or the related share issuance under foreign, state, or local tax laws. ACCORDINGLY, EACH HOLDER OF COMMON STOCK SHOULD CONSULT ITS TAX ADVISOR WITH RESPECT TO THE PARTICULAR TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE RIGHTS OFFERING OR THE RELATED SHARE ISSUANCE TO SUCH HOLDER.

The federal income tax consequences for a holder of common stock on a receipt of subscription rights under the rights offering are as follows:

A holder will not recognize taxable income for federal income tax purposes in connection with the receipt of subscription rights in the rights offering.

Except as provided in the following sentence, the tax basis of the subscription rights received by a holder in the rights offering will be zero. If either (i) the fair market value of the subscription rights on the date such subscription rights are distributed is equal to at least 15% of the fair market value on such date of the common stock with respect to which the subscription rights are received or (ii) the holder elects, by attaching a statement to its federal income tax return for the taxable year in which the subscription rights are received, to allocate part of the tax basis of such common stock to the subscription rights, then upon exercise or transfer of the subscription rights, the holder's tax basis in the common stock will be allocated between the common stock and the subscription rights in proportion to their respective fair market values on the date the subscription rights are distributed. A holder's holding period for the subscription rights received in the rights offering will include the holder's holding period for the common stock with respect to which the subscription rights were received. We do not expect that the value of the rights will exceed 15% of the fair market value of the common stock with respect to which the subscription rights are received.

A holder that allows the subscription rights received in the rights offering to expire will not recognize any gain or loss, and the tax basis of the common stock owned by such holder with respect to which such subscription rights were distributed will be equal to the tax basis of such common stock immediately before the receipt of the subscription rights in the rights offering.

A holder will not recognize any gain or loss upon the exercise of the subscription rights received in the rights offering.

The tax basis of the common stock acquired through exercise of the subscription rights will equal the sum of the subscription price for the common stock and the holder's tax basis, if any, in the rights as described above.

The holding period for the common stock acquired through exercise of the subscription rights will begin on the date the subscription rights are exercised.

#### PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

On or about March 1, 2004, we will distribute the subscription rights, subscription certificates, and copies of this prospectus to persons that owned shares of common stock on February 27, 2004. If you wish to exercise your subscription rights and purchase shares of common stock, you should complete the

subscription certificate and return it with payment for the shares, to the subscription agent, Mellon Investor Services LLC, at the address on page 63. If you have any questions, you should contact.

We have agreed to pay the subscription agent a fee plus certain expenses, which we estimate will total approximately \$15,000. We estimate that our total expenses in connection with the rights offering will be approximately \$150,000.

#### TENNESSEE ANTI-TAKEOVER LAW

The Tennessee Control Share Acquisition Act strips a purchaser's shares of voting rights any time an acquisition of shares in a Tennessee corporation brings the purchaser's voting power to one-fifth, one-third or a majority of all voting power. The purchaser's voting rights can be reinstated only after a majority vote of the other stockholders. The purchaser may demand a special meeting of stockholders to conduct such a vote. A corporation may or may not redeem the purchaser's shares if the purchaser's shares are not granted voting rights. The Tennessee Control Share Acquisition Act applies only to a Tennessee corporation that has adopted a provision in its charter or bylaws expressly declaring that the Tennessee Control Share Acquisition Act applies to it. The Tennessee Control Share Acquisition Act apply to the Company ...

The Tennessee Investor Protection Act applies to tender offers directed at corporations that have substantial assets in Tennessee and that are either incorporated in or have a principal office in Tennessee. The Act requires an offeror making a tender offer for such a corporation to file a registration statement with the Commissioner of Commerce and Insurance. If the offeror intends to gain control of the corporation, the registration statement must indicate any plans the offeror has for the corporation. The Commissioner may require additional information material to the takeover offer and may call for hearings. The Act does not apply to an offeror if the target corporation's board of directors recommends the offer to its stockholders. We do not believe that the Act applies to us and that in any event this offering is exempt. The Tennessee Investor Protection Act also requires the offeror and the corporation to deliver to the Commissioner all solicitation materials used in connection with the tender offer. This act also prohibits fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices by the offeror or the target corporation. The Tennessee Business Combination Act requires a five-year moratorium on transactions between certain Tennessee corporations and an "interested stockholder" (generally, a 10% or greater stockholder) unless the transaction or the stockholder's becoming an "interested stockholder" is approved by the directors before the stockholder attains the status of "interested stockholder." A corporation that would otherwise be covered by this Act may exempt itself from the Act by adopting a charter provision specifically stating the corporation's option to be exempt.

## LIMITATION OF LIABILITY OF DIRECTORS

[All directors are indemnified by us, both by operation of Tennessee Code Annotated Sections 48-18-501 through 509 and since 1995 by resolution of our board of directors, against liability and expenses including attorney's fees incurred by them as a result of serving on our board of directors. The statutory provisions require a finding that the conduct of the director was in good faith and in the best interest of the company and does not extend to cases where a director is found to be liable to the company itself. Such a finding may be made by uninvolved directors, a committee of the board or independent counsel.

Tennessee Code Annotated Section 48-15-503 provides for the indemnification of directors and of corporate officers where the director or officer is successful in defense of any proceeding he or she became involved in as a result

of being or having been in such position, unless the corporate charter forbids such indemnification. Our corporate charter contains no such bar or prohibition of indemnification of our directors or officers.

Tennessee statutes further provide that the rights to indemnification of a director do not preclude other bases of indemnification, whether such rights arise by charter, bylaws, shareholder resolution, agreement or board resolution, provided there is no breach of duty of loyalty to the company, bad faith, intentional misconduct or knowing violation of law. Accordingly, our board of directors on August 17, 1995, unanimously resolved to indemnify directors and executive officers on a mandatory basis to the fullest extent of the laws referenced above for the entire period a party is subject to any possible legal action or claim by reason of having so served.

Tennessee law permits, but does not require, insurance to be obtained to fund indemnity obligations. We do not have any such insurance.

Holders of common stock have no preemptive, subscription, redemption, or conversion rights.

The transfer  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right$ 

#### LEGAL MATTERS

Certain legal matters with respect to the validity of the issuance of the shares of common stock offered by this Prospectus will be passed upon for us by Cary V. Sorensen, Esq.

#### EXPERTS

Our consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2002 included in this Prospectus and in the Registration Statement on Form S-1 have been so included in reliance upon the reports of BDO Seidman LLP, independent certified public accountants to the extent and for the periods set forth in their reports (which contain an explanatory paragraph regarding the Company's ability to continue as a going concern), given upon the authority of said firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

Reserve analyses and information as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, included in this Prospectus and the Registration Statement on Form S-1 have been so included in reliance on the reserve reports dated February 10, 2003, March 28, 2002, February 10, 2003 and February 10, 2004, respectively, prepared by Ryder Scott Company, L.P. of Houston, Texas.

Information regarding Mercer Capital of Memphis, Tennessee, and said firm's engagement by and service as financial advisor to the Special Committee of our Board of Directors has been included in this Prospectus and in the Registration Statement on Form S-1 in reliance upon the information provided to us by said firm.

## WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Accordingly, we file reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy any materials that we file with

the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549 upon payment of the prescribed fees. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy information statements and other materials that are filed through the SEC's Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis, and Retrieval, or EDGAR, system. You can access this web site at http://www.sec.gov.

We have filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with the SEC with respect to this rights offering. This prospectus is a part of the registration statement, but does not contain all of the information included in the registration statement. You may wish to inspect the registration statement and the exhibit to that registration statement for further information with respect to us and the securities offered in this prospectus. Copies of the registration statement and the exhibit to such registration statement are on file at the offices of the SEC and may be obtained upon payment of the prescribed fee or may be examined without charge at the public reference facilities of the SEC described above. Statements contained in this prospectus concerning the provisions of documents are necessarily summaries of the material provisions of such documents, and each statement is qualified in our entirety by reference to the copy of the applicable document filed with the SEC.

#### INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Independent Auditors' Report	F-2
Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-3 and $F-4$
Consolidated Statements of Loss	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	F-6 and F-7
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-8 through F-10
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-11 through F-34

Independent Auditors' Report

Board of Directors Tengasco, Inc. and Subsidiaries Knoxville, Tennessee

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Tengasco, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the related consolidated statements of loss, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2002. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted

in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Tengasco, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2002 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses from operations and has an accumulated deficit of \$27,776,726. Additionally, during 2002, the Company's primary lender has classified the remaining amount of \$7,501,777 as immediately due and payable, resulting in a significant working capital deficiency. Such matters raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ BDO Seidman, LLP

Atlanta, Georgia February 27, 2003

#### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

DECEMBER 31,		2002	2001	SE	PTEMBER
			 		(unau
Assets (Note 1)					
Current					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	184,130	\$ 393,451	\$	332,
Investments		34,500	150,000		34,
Accounts receivable		730,667	661,475		795 <b>,</b>
Participant receivables		70,605	84 <b>,</b> 097		63,
Inventory		262,748	159,364		262,
Current portion of loan fees, net		323,856	-		210,
Other		_ 	 _ 		67 <b>,</b>
Total current assets		1,606,506	1,448,387		1,766,
Oil and gas properties, net (on the basis					
Of full cost accounting) (Note 4)	1	3,864,321	13,269,930		13,096,

	\$32 <b>,</b> 584 <b>,</b> 391	\$ 32,128,245	\$ 31,662,
Other assets	14,613	72,613	5,
Loan fees, net of accumulated amortization of \$13,384 and \$21,590, respectively	40,158	496,577	
Restricted cash	0	120,872	
Other property and equipment, net (Note 6)	1,685,950	1,680,104	1,482,
Completed pipeline facilities, net (Note 5)	15,372,843	15,039,762	15,312,

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STAT

DECEMBER 31,	2002	2001	SEPTEMBER 3
			unaudi)
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity			
Current liabilities			
Current maturities of long-term debt (Note 1)	\$ 7,861,245	¢ 6 200 021	¢ 6 72/
Accounts payable - trade	1,396,761	1,208,164	•
Accrued interest payable	61,141	54,138	
Accrued dividends payable (Note 9)	254,389	112,458	470
Current maturities of long term debt to related	•	,	
parties	_	_	2,234
Other accrued liabilities	31,805	_	627
Total current liabilities	9,605,341	7,774,591	11,063
Long term debt to related parties (Note 7)	750,000	-	
Asset retirement obligations (Note 16)	_	-	666
Long term debt, less current maturities (Note 7)		3,902,757	590
Total liabilities		11,677,348	12,319
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 1 and 8)			
Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 25,000,000 shares (Note 9):  Series A 8% cumulative, convertible,  mandatorily redeemable; 28,679 and shares			
outstanding; redemption value \$2,867,900 Series B 8% cumulative, convertible, mandatorily redeemable; 27,550 shares	2,867,900	2,867,900	2,867
outstanding; redemption value \$2,755,000, net of related commissions Series C 6% cumulative, convertible, mandatorily redeemable; 14,491 shares	2,591,150	2,591,150	2,591

outstanding; redemption value \$1,449,100, net of related commissions	1,303,168	-	1,425
Total mandatorily redeemable preferred stock	6,762,218	5,459,050	6,884
Stockholders' equity (Notes 10 and 11)			
Common stock, \$.001 par value; authorized			
50,000,000 shares; 11,459,279, 10,560,605			
And 12,018,477 shares issued, respectively	11,460	10,561	12
Additional paid-in capital	42,237,276	39,242,555	42 <b>,</b> 855
Accumulated deficit	(27,776,726)	(24,115,382)	(30,147
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(115,500)	_	(115
Treasury Stock, at cost, 14,500 shares	(145,887)	(145,887)	(145
Total stockholders' equity	14,210,623	14,991,847	12,458
	\$ 32,584,391	\$ 32,128,245	\$31 <b>,</b> 662

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL ST

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF LOSS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,	2002	2001	2000	NINE MONTHS 2003
				(unau
Revenues and other income		AC 656 550	t	t 4 00 0 0 1 6
Oil and gas revenues Pipeline transportation	\$5,437,723	\$6,656,758	\$5,241,076	\$4,907,216
revenues	259,677	296,331	_	145,472
Interest Income	3 <b>,</b> 078	43 <b>,</b> 597	45 <b>,</b> 905	766 
Total revenues and other				
income	5,700,478	6,996,686	5,286,981	5,053,454
Costs and expenses				
Production costs and				
taxes	3,094,731	2,951,746	2,614,414	2,571,898
Depreciation, depletion and amortization				
(Notes 4, 5 and 6) General and	2,413,597	1,849,963	371,249	1,887,333
administrative costs	1,868,141	2,957,871	2,602,311	1,112,289
Interest expense	578,039	850 <b>,</b> 965	415,376	462,518
Public relations	193,229	293,448	106,195	29,131
Professional fees	707,296	355,480	719,320	485,270
Total costs and expenses	8,855,033	9,259,473	6,828,865	6,548,439
Net loss before cumulative				
effect of a change in	(2 154 555)	(2 262 707)	(1 5/1 00/1)	(1 404 005)
accounting principle Cumulative effect of a	(3,134,333)	(2,202,101)	(1,341,884)	(1,494,985)
change in accounting				
principle (Note 16)	-	_	-	(351,204)

Net loss Dividends on preferred	(3,154,555) (2,262,787)		(1,541,884)	(1,846,189)	
Stock	(506,789)	(391,183)	(257,557)	402,583	
Net loss attributable to common stockholders		\$ (2,653,970)	\$(1,799,441)	\$(2,248,772)	
Earnings per share data: Net loss before cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle		\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.13)	
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	-	-	-	\$ (0.03)	
Net loss	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.16)	
Dividends on preferred stock	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.03)	
Net loss attributable to common stockholder	\$ (0.33)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.19)	
Weighted average shares Outstanding	11,062,436	10,235,253	9,253,622	11,919,477	

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINA

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	COMMON STOCK	
	SHARE	AMOUNT
Balance, January 1, 2000 Net loss	8,532,882	\$ 8 <b>,</b> 533
Common stock issued on conversion of debt Common stock issued for exercised options Common stock issued on conversion of preferred stock	73,669 20,715 8,818	74 21 9
Stock option awards for professional services Common stock issued in private placements, net of related expense Stock issued for services Dividends on convertible redeemable preferred stock	654,098 5,376	- 654 5 -
Balance, December 31, 2000  Net loss	9,295,558	9 <b>,</b> 296
Common stock issued with 5% stock dividend (Note 10) Common stock issued on conversion of debt Common stock issued for exercised options Common stock issued on conversion of preferred stock Common stock issued for services Common stock issued in private placements, net of related expense	498,016 93,069 274,932 12,347 10,000	93 275 13 10
Common stock issued as a charitable donation Treasury stock purchased Dividends on convertible redeemable preferred stock	1,950 - -	2 - -
Balance, December 31, 2001	10,560,605	10,561

Net loss	_	_
Comprehensive loss		
Net loss		-
Other comprehensive loss		-
Comprehensive loss	_	_
Common stock issued in private placements, net of		
related expenses	850,000	850
Common stock issued on conversion of debt	20,592	20
Common stock issued in purchase of equipment	19 <b>,</b> 582	20
Common stock issued for services	8 <b>,</b> 500	9
Dividends on convertible redeemable preferred stock	_	-
Balance, December 31, 2002	11,459,279	\$11,460
Net loss (unaudited)	, . –	
Common stock issued in private placement net of		
related expenses (unaudited)	227,275	227
Common stock issued for exercised options (unaudited)	94,000	94
Common stock issued in conversion of debt (unaudited)	60,528	61
Common stock issued for preferred dividend in arrears (unaudited)	•	154
Common stock issued for charity (unaudited)	3,571	4
Accretion of issue cost on preferred stock (unaudited)	_	
Common stock issued for services (unaudited)	55,000	55
Common stock issued for litigation settlement (unaudited)	10,000	10
Dividends on convertible redeemable preferred stock (unaudited)	, _	_
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle (unaudited)	_	_
Balance, September 30, 2003 (unaudited)	12,064,477	12,065

ACCUMU OTH COMPREH	HER	ACCUMULATED	COMPREHENSIVE	TREAS	SURY STOCK	_
INCOME		DEFICIT	LOSS	SHARES	AMOUNT	TOTA
						-
\$	-	\$(13,287,362)		-	\$	\$ 7,453,
					-	
	_	(1,541,884)		_	_	(1,541,
	_	_		_	_	449,
	_	_		_	_	180,
	_	-		-	-	50 <b>,</b>
	_	-		-	-	242,
		-		-	-	4,245,
	_	_		_	_	41,
	_	(257,557)		-	_	(257,
		(15,086,803)				10,864,
		(2,262,787)		-	-	(2,262
		(6,374,609)		-	-	
	_	-		_	_	523
	_	_		_	_	2,341
	_	_		_	_	71
		-		-		70
	_	_		-	-	3,899
	_	_		_	_	22
	_	_		14,500	(145,887)	(145

_	(391,183)		_	-	(391,
-	(24,115,382)		14,500	(145,887)	14,991,
_	(3,154,555)		_	_	(3,154,
_	_	(3,154,555)	_	_	
(115,500)	-	(115,500)	_	_	(115,
-	-	(3,270,055)	-	-	
	_		_	_	2,677,
	_		_	_	120,
	_		_	_	150,
	_		_	-	48,
-	(506,789)		_	_	(506 <b>,</b>
(115,500)	\$ (27,776,726)		14 <b>,</b> 500	\$ (145,887)	\$14,210,
_	(1,494,985)		_	-	(1,494,
_	_		_	_	250,
_	_		_	-	47,
_	_		_	-	69,
_	-		_	-	170,
_	-		-	_	5,
_	(122,040)		_	_	(122,
_	_		_	-	70,
_	_		_	-	6,
_	(402,583)		_	-	(402,
_	(351,204)		_	_	(351,

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATE

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,	2002	2001	2000	NINE MON SEPTEM 2003
				(unau
Operating activities:				
Net loss	\$(3,154,555)	\$(2,262,787)	\$(1,541,884)	\$(1,494,985)
Adjustments to reconcile net				
loss to net cash used in				
operating activities:				
Cumulative effect of				
change in accounting				
principle	_	_	_	_
Depreciation, depletion	0 410 507	1 040 062	271 240	1 007 222
and amortization	2,413,597	1,849,963	371 <b>,</b> 249	1,887,333
Charitable donation and				
services paid in stock or				100 714
stock options	_	<del>-</del>	_	122,714
Compensation and services paid in stock				
services para in Stock				

options, stock warrants				
and common stock	48,620	92,253	284,000	-
Gain on sale of equipment	_	(132,943)	_	-
Changes in assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable	(69 <b>,</b> 192)	3,814	(301,421)	(65,249)
Participant receivables	13,492	_	_	7,308
Inventory	(103,384)	91,981	8,408	-
Other assets	58,000	_	_	-
Accounts payable -				
Trade	188,597	191,702	364,553	(557,208)
Accrued interest				
Payable	7,003	(2,519)	135,435	106,086
Other accrued liabilities	31,805	(52,640)	(140,955)	595,211
Other	_	_	_	(57,952)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(566,017)	(221,176)	(820,615)	543,258
Investing activities:				
Additions to other property				
and equipment	(214,897)	(285,722)	(1,276,783)	_
Net additions to oil and gas				
Properties	(1,982,529)	(4,821,883)	(1,456,996)	(45,312)
Additions to pipeline	(841,750)	(4,213,095)	(6,834,196)	(341,369)
facilities				
Decrease (increase) in				
restricted cash	120,872	(120,872)	625,000	_
Other	28,367	32,888	6,112	_
Net cash used in investing				
activities	(2,889,937)	(9,408,684)	(8,936,863)	(386,681)

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,	2002	2001	2000	NINE MO SEPTE 2003
				(un
Financing activities:				
Proceeds from exercise of				
Options	\$ -	\$ 2,341,000	\$ 180,013	-
Proceeds from borrowings	2,063,139	10,442,068	6,493,563	1,484,000
Repayments of borrowings	(2,378,273)	(8,833,325)	(1,720,856)	(1,742,522
Net proceeds from issuance of				
common stock	2,677,000	3,900,000	4,245,700	250,000
Proceeds from private				
placements of convertible				
redeemable preferred stock,				
net	1,303,168	1,591,150	2,000,000	_
Dividends on convertible				
redeemable preferred stock	(364,858)	(357,503)	(257,557)	-
Purchase of treasury stock	_	(145,887)	_	-
Payment of loan fees	(53,543)	(518,167)	_	_

Net cash provided by financing activities	3	3,246,633		8,419,336	10,940,863		(8 <b>,</b> 522
Net change in cash and cash equivalents		(209, 321)	(	1,210,524)	 1,183,385		148 <b>,</b> 055
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year				1,603,975	420,590		184,130
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period			\$	393 <b>,</b> 451	\$ 1,603,975	:	\$332 <b>,</b> 185
Supplemental disclosure of non- cash investing and financing activities: During 2001, the Company issued a 5% stock dividend of 498,016 shares During 2001 and 2000, the Company converted preferred stock to common stock During 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, the Company issued common stock on conversion of debt During 2002, 2001 and	\$ \$	120,000	\$	6,374,609 71,000 523,250	\$	\$ \$	69,59
2000, respectively, the Company issued common stock and stock options for services received and charitable contributions made	\$	48,620	\$	92,253	\$ 284,000	\$	122,71
During 2001, the Company sold equipment		.,		·	,	·	_,
for equity investments During 2002, the Company purchased equipment	\$	_	\$	150,000	\$ -	\$	
by issuing common stock	\$	150,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$	150,00

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,	20	002	20	001	2	000	NINE MO SEPTE 2003
							(un
During 2003, the Company issued stock for preferred dividends in arrears During 2003, the Company incurred accretion of issue	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 170 <b>,</b> 30
cost on preferred stock During 2003, the Company declared dividends on	\$	_	Ş	-	\$	-	\$ (122,04

preferred stock \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ (402,58

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FI

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

1. GOING CONCERN UNCERTAINTY

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern and assume realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company continues to be in the early stages of its oil and gas related operating history as it endeavors to expand its operations through the continuation of its drilling program in the Tennessee Swan Creek Field. Accordingly, the Company has incurred continuous losses through these operating stages and had an accumulated deficit of \$27,776,726 and a working capital deficit of \$7,998,835, as of December 31, 2002, and an accumulated deficit of \$30,147,538 and a working capital deficit of \$9,296,959, as of September 30, 2003. During 2002, the Company was informed by its primary lender that the entire amount of its outstanding credit facility was immediately due and payable, as provided for in the Credit Agreement (see Note 7). These circumstances raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

The Company has disputed its obligation to make this payment and is attempting to resolve the dispute or to obtain alternative refinancing arrangements to repay this current obligation. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in its plans to obtain the financing necessary to satisfy their current obligations.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### ORGANIZATION

Tengasco, Inc. (the "Company"), a publicly held corporation, was organized under the laws of the State of Utah on April 18, 1916, as Gold Deposit Mining and Milling Company. The Company subsequently changed its name to Onasco Companies, Inc.

Effective May 2, 1995, Industrial Resources Corporation, a Kentucky corporation ("IRC"), acquired voting control of the Company in exchange for approximately 60% of the assets of IRC. Accordingly, the assets acquired, which included certain oil and gas leases, equipment, marketable securities and vehicles, were recorded at IRC's

historical cost. The transaction was accomplished through the Company's issuance of 4,000,000 shares of its common stock and a \$450,000, 8% promissory note payable to IRC. The promissory note was converted into 83,799 shares of Tengasco, Inc. common stock in December 1995.

The Company changed its domicile from the State of Utah to the State of Tennessee on May 5, 1995 and

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

its name was changed from "Onasco Companies, Inc." to "Tengasco, Inc."

The Company's principal business consists of oil and gas exploration, production and related property management in the Appalachian region of eastern Tennessee and in the state of Kansas. The Company's corporate offices are in Knoxville, Tennessee. The Company operates as one reportable business segment, based on the similarity of activities.

During 1996, the Company formed Tengasco Pipeline Corporation ("TPC"), a wholly-owned subsidiary, to manage the construction and operation of a 65-mile gas pipeline as well as other pipelines planned for the future. During 2001, TPC began transmission of natural gas through its pipeline to customers of Tengasco.

### BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, Tengasco Pipeline Corporation and Tennessee Land and Mineral, Inc. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

## USE OF ESTIMATES

The accompanying financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America which require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company recognizes revenues at the time of exchange of goods and services.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

The Company considers all investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

#### INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Investment securities available for sale are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, when material, reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity, net of the related tax effect. Other comprehensive losses of

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

\$115,500 were recorded during the year ended December 31, 2002 resulting from a decrease in the fair value of the securities.

#### INVENTORY

Inventory consists primarily of crude oil in tanks and is carried at market value.

#### OIL AND GAS PROPERTIES

The Company follows the full cost method of accounting for oil and gas property acquisition, exploration and development activities. Under this method, all productive and nonproductive costs incurred in connection with the acquisition of, exploration for and development of oil and gas reserves for each cost center are capitalized. Capitalized costs include lease acquisitions, geological and geophysical work, delay rentals and the costs of drilling, completing and equipping oil and gas wells. Gains or losses are recognized only upon sales or dispositions of significant amounts of oil and gas reserves representing an entire cost center. Proceeds from all other sales or dispositions are treated as reductions to capitalized costs.

The capitalized costs of oil and gas properties, plus estimated future development costs relating to proved reserves and estimated costs of plugging and abandonment, net of estimated salvage value, are amortized on the unit-of-production method based on total proved reserves. The costs of unproved properties are excluded from amortization until the properties are evaluated, subject to an annual assessment of whether impairment has occurred. These reserves were estimated by Ryder Scott Company, Petroleum Consultants in 2000, 2001 and 2002.

The capitalized oil and gas property, less

accumulated depreciation, depletion amortization and related deferred income taxes, if any, are generally limited to an amount (the ceiling limitation) equal to the sum of: (a) the present value of estimated future net revenues computed by applying current prices in effect as of the balance sheet date (with consideration of price changes only to the extent provided by contractual arrangements) to estimated future production of proved oil and gas reserves, less estimated future expenditures (based on current costs) to be incurred in developing and producing the reserves using a discount factor of 10% and assuming continuation of existing economic conditions; and (b) the cost of investments in unevaluated properties excluded from the costs being amortized. No ceiling writedown was recorded in 2002, 2001 or 2000.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

#### PIPELINE FACILITIES

Phase I of the pipeline was completed during 1999. Phase II of the pipeline was completed on March 8, 2001. Both phases of the pipeline were placed into service upon completion of Phase II. The pipeline is being depreciated over its estimated useful life of 30 years, beginning at the time it was placed in service.

#### OTHER PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Other property and equipment are carried at cost. The Company provides for depreciation of other property and equipment using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets which range from five to ten years.

IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS AND LONG-LIVED ASSETS TO BE DISPOSED OF

Management believes that carrying amounts of all of the Company's long-lived assets will be fully recovered over the course of the Company's normal future operations. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statements reflect no charges or allowances for impairment.

#### STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, ("SFAS 123"), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" was implemented in January 1996. As permitted by SFAS 123, the Company has continued to account for stock compensation to employees by applying the provisions of Accounting Principles

Board Opinion No. 25. If the accounting provisions of SFAS 123 had been adopted, net loss and loss per share would have been as follows:

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

	2002	2001	2000	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003	Nin Sep
				(unaudited)	
Net loss attributable to common shareholders					
as reported	\$(3,661,344)	\$(2,653,970)	\$(1,799,441)	\$(2,248,772)	\$ (
Stock based					
compensation	(77,821)	(257,328)	2,253,011	(47,209)	
Pro forma	\$(3,739,165)	(2,911,298)	(4,052,452)	\$(2,295,981)	\$ (
Basic and diluted loss per share					
As reported	\$ (0.33)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.19)	\$(0.19)	
Pro forma	(0.34)	(0.28)	(0.44)	\$(0.19)	

#### ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Senior management reviews accounts receivable on a monthly basis to determine if any receivables will potentially be uncollectible. We include any accounts receivable balances that are determined to be uncollectible, along with a general reserve, in our overall allowance for doubtful accounts. After all attempts to collect a receivable have failed, the receivable is written off against the allowance. Based on the information available to us, we believe no allowance for doubtful accounts as of December 31, 2002 is necessary. However, actual write-offs may occur.

#### INCOME TAXES

The Company accounts for income taxes using the "asset and liability method." Accordingly, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Deferred tax assets arise primarily from net operating loss carryforwards. Management evaluates the likelihood of realization of such assets at year end reserving any such amounts not likely to be recovered in future periods.

CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of cash and accounts receivable. At times, such cash in banks is in excess of the FDIC insurance limit.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

The Company's primary business activities include oil and gas sales to several customers in the states of Tennessee and Kansas. The related trade receivables subject the Company to a concentration of credit risk within the oil and gas industry.

The Company has entered into contracts to supply two manufacturers with natural gas from the Swan Creek field through the Company's pipeline. These customers are the Company's primary customers of natural gas sales. Additionally, the Company sells a majority of its crude oil primarily to two customers, one each in Tennessee and Kansas. Although management believes that customers could be replaced in the ordinary course of business, if the present customers were to discontinue business with the Company, it could have a significant adverse effect on the Company's projected results of operations.

#### LOSS PER COMMON SHARE

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each year. Shares issued during the year are weighted for the portion of the year that they were outstanding. Diluted loss per share does not differ from basic loss per share since the effect of all common stock equivalents is anti-dilutive. Basic and diluted loss per share are based upon 11,062,436 shares for the year ended December 31, 2002, 10,235,253 shares for the year ended December 31, 2001, and 9,253,622 shares for the year ended December 31, 2000.

Basic and diluted loss per share are based upon 11,919,477 and 10,933,588 weighted average shares outstanding for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Diluted loss per share does not consider approximately 1,473,000, 1,473,000, 943,000 and 1,001,000 potential weighted average common shares for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, related primarily to common stock options and

convertible preferred stock and debt. These shares were not included in the computation of the diluted loss per share amount because the Company was in a net loss position and, thus, any potential common shares were anti-dilutive. All share and per share amounts have been adjusted to reflect the 5% stock dividend.

#### FAIR VALUES OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair values of cash and cash equivalents, investments and short-term debt approximate their carrying values due to the short period of time to maturity. Fair values of long-term debt are based

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

on quoted market prices or pricing models using current market rates, which approximate carrying values.

#### RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

A reporting issue has arisen regarding the application of certain provisions of SAFS No. 141 and SFAS No. 142 to companies in the extracting industries including oil and gas companies. The issue is whether SFAS No. 142 regulates registrants to classify the costs of mineral rights held under lease or other contractual arrangement associated with extracting oil and gas as intangible assets in the balance sheet, apart from other capitalized oil and gas property owned and provide specific footnote disclosures. Historically, the Company had included the costs of such mineral rights associated with extracting oil and gas as a component of oil and gas properties. If it is ultimately determined that SFAS No. 142 requires oil and gas companies to classify cost of mineral rights held under lease or other contractual arrangement associated with extracting oil and gas as a separate intangible asset line item on the balance sheet, the Company would be required to reclassify approximately \$453,000 at September 30, 2003 and \$346,000 at December 31, 2002, respectively, out of oil and gas properties and into a separate intangible asset line item. The Company's cash flows and results of operations would not be affected since such intangible assets would continue to be depleted and amortized for impairment in accordance with full cost accounting rules. Further, the Company does not believe the classification of the cost of mineral rights associated with extracting oil and gas as intangible assets would have any impact on compliance with covenants under its debt agreements.

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations "SFAS No. 143 addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement cost. This statement requires companies to record the present value of obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets in the periods in which it is incurred. The liability is capitalized as part of the related long-lived assets carrying amount. Over time, accretion of the liability is recognized as an operating expense and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the expected useful life of the related asset. The Company's asset retirement obligations relate primarily to the plugging, dismantlement, removal site reclamation and similar activities of its oil and gas properties. Prior to adoption of this statement, such obligations were accrued ratably over the productive lives of the assets through its depreciation, depletion and amortization for oil and gas properties without recording a separate liability for such amounts. The impact of

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

applying this statement as of January 1, 2003 and September 30, 2003 is discussed in footnote 10.

In April 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 145, "Rescission of SFAS No. 4, 44, 64, Amendment of SFAS No. 13, and Technical Corrections" ("SFAS 145"). SFAS 4, which was amended by SFAS 64, required all gains and losses from the extinguishment of debt to be aggregated and, if material, classified as an extraordinary item, net of related income tax effect. As a result of SFAS 145, the criteria in Accounting Principles Board opinion 30 will now be used to classify those gains and losses. SFAS 13 was amended to eliminate an inconsistency between the required accounting for sale-leaseback transactions and the required accounting for certain lease modifications that have economic effects that are similar to sale-leaseback transactions. The adoption of SFAS 145 will not have a current impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2002, FASB issued SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Cost Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities. The standard requires companies to recognize cost associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at

the date of commitment to an exit or disposal plan. Examples of cost covered by the standard include lease termination costs and certain employee severance costs that are associated with restructuring, discontinued operation, plant closing, or other exit or disposal activity. Previous accounting guidance was provided by EITF Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." Statement 146 replaces Issue 94-3. Statement 146 is to be applied prospectively to exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. The Company does not currently have any plans for exit or disposal activities, and therefore does not expect this standard to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements upon adoption.

In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation ("FIN") No. 45. "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others", which clarifies disclosure and recognition/measurement requirements related to certain guarantees. The disclosure requirements are effective for financial statements issued after December 15, 2002 and here cognition/measurement requirements are effective on a prospective basis for guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The application of the requirements of FIN 45 did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based compensation --Transition and Disclosure -- an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123 ("Statement 148"). This amendment provides two additional methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. Additionally, more prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements are required for stock-based employee compensation. The transition guidance and annual disclosure provisions of Statement 148 are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002. The interim disclosure provisions are effective for financial reports containing financial statements for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002. The adoption of Statement 148 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

January 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. (FIN) 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities." This interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 "Consolidated Financial Statements" consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities, which possess certain characteristics. The Interpretation requires that if a business enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity, the assets, liabilities, and results of the activities of the variable interest entity must be included in the consolidated financial statements with those of the business enterprise. This Interpretation applies immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003 and to variable interest entities in which an enterprise obtains an interest after that date. The Company does not have any ownership in any variable interest entities.

In May 2003, the FASB issued Statement No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity". SFAS No. 150 requires three types of freestanding financial instruments to be classified as liabilities in statements of financial position. One type is mandatory redeemable shares, which the issuing company is obligated to buy back in exchange for cash or other assets. A second type, which includes put options and forward purchase contracts, involves instruments that do or may require the issuer to buy back some of its shares in exchange for cash or other assets. The third type of instrument is obligations that can be settled with shares, the monetary value of which is fixed, tied solely or predominately to a variable such as a market index, or varies inversely with the value of the issuer's shares. The majority of the guidance in SFAS No. 150 is effective for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. In accordance with SFAS No. 150, the Company adopted this standard on July 1, 2003. Adoption of SFAS

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

No. 150 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

#### RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with current year presentation.

3. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During 2002 the Company received debt financing from a director totaling \$750,000 to fund operating cash flow needs and to finance continued development of the Swan Creek field. Interest incurred on this debt was approximately \$15,000 for the year ended December 31, 2002. See Note 7.

During 2002, the Company borrowed \$110,000 from a former director. The advance was non-interest bearing and was repaid in July 2002.

During 2001, the Company repaid all principal and interest due to related parties, using the proceeds from the line of credit with Bank One. Interest incurred to related parties was approximately \$15,000, \$546,000 and \$135,000 for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

During 2001, the Company converted debt of \$200,000 payable to a director into 42,017 shares of common stock.

During 2000, the Company paid approximately \$270,000 in consulting fees and commissions on equity transactions to a member of the Board of Directors.

On February 3, 2003 and February 28, 2003, Dolphin Offshore Partners, LP which owns more than 10% of the Company's outstanding common stock and whose general partner, Peter E. Salas, is a Director of the Company, loaned the Company the sum of \$250,000 on each such date (cumulatively, \$500,000) which the Company used to pay the principal and interest due to Bank One for February and March 2003 and for working capital needs. On May 20, 2003 an additional loan of \$834,000 was loaned by a combination of Dolphin (\$750,000) and Jeffrey R. Bailey who is a Director and President (\$84,000) of the Company. On August 6, 2003 an additional loan of \$150,000 was loaned by Dolphin. Each of these loans is evidenced by a separate promissory note each bearing interest at the rate of 12% per annum, with payments of interest only payable quarterly and the principal balance payable on January 4, 2004. Each of the February and March 2003 promissory notes is secured by an undivided 10% interest in the Company's pipelines. The May 20, 2003 loans provides Dolphin with a 30% interest and Bailey with a 3.36% interest in the Company's pipelines. The August note provides Dolphin with a 6%

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

interest in the Company's pipelines.

4. OIL AND GAS PROPERTIES

The following table sets forth information concerning the Company's oil and gas properties:

DECEMBER 31,	2002	2001
Oil and gas properties, at cost Accumulation depreciation,	\$17 <b>,</b> 099 <b>,</b> 753	\$15,117,224
depletion and amortization	(3,235,432)	(1,847,294)
Oil and gas properties, net	\$13,864,321	\$13,269,930

During the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, the Company recorded depletion expense of approximately \$1,388,000, \$1,342,000\$ and \$197,000, respectively.

5. PIPELINE FACILITIES

In 1996, the Company began construction of a 65-mile gas pipeline (1) connecting the Swan Creek development project to a gas purchaser and (2) enabling the Company to develop gas distribution business opportunities in the future. Phase I, a 30-mile portion of the pipeline, was completed in 1998. Phase II of the pipeline, the remaining 35 miles, was completed in March 2001. The estimated useful life of the pipeline for depreciation purposes is 30 years. The Company recorded approximately \$220,000 and \$509,000, respectively in depreciation expense related to the pipeline for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. No depreciation expense was recorded in 2000 as the pipeline was not yet complete.

In January 1997, the Company entered into an agreement with the Tennessee Valley Authority ("TVA") whereby the TVA allows the Company to bury the pipeline within the TVA's transmission line rights-of-way. In return for this right, the Company paid \$35,000 and agreed to annual payments of approximately \$6,200 for 20 years. This agreement expires in 2017 at which time the parties may renew the agreement for another 20 year term in consideration of similar inflation-adjusted payment terms.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

6. OTHER PROPERTY
AND EQUIPMENT

Other property and equipment consisted of the following:

DECEMBER 31,	2002	2001
Machinery and equipment Vehicles Other	\$1,887,190 675,411 63,734	\$1,737,189 610,510 63,739

3		2,626,335	2,411,438
Less accumulated depreciatio		(940,385)	(731,334)
Other property and equipment	- net		\$1,680,104
7. LONG TERM DEBT Long-term debt the following:	to unrelate	ed entities	consisted of
DECEMBER 31,	2002	2001	September 30, 2003
Revolving line of credit with a bank, due November 2004. The loan agreement provides for increases or decreases to the borrowing base as changes in proved oil and gas reserves or other production levels arise. Borrowings bear interest at the bank's prime rate plus 0.25% (4.5% at December 31, 2002). Collateralized by the oil and gas properties and the related operations and revenues.  Unsecured note payable to an institution, with \$65,000 principal payments due quarterly beginning January 1, 2000; remaining balance due October 2004; with interest payable monthly at 8% per annum. Note is convertible into common stock of the	\$7,501,777	\$9,101,777	(unaudited)
Company at a rate of \$6.25 per share of common stock.  Convertible notes payable to five individuals; due January 2004, with interest payable quarterly at 8% per annum. Notes are convertible into common stock of the Company at a rate of \$3.00 per share of	480,000	720,000	300,000
Unsecured note payable to an institution due May 1, 2004, with interest	650,000	-	650,000
payable annually at 4.75% per annum.	-	-	297,171

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

Note payable to a financial institution, with \$1,773 principal payments due monthly beginning January 7, 2002 through December 7, 2006. Interest is payable monthly commencing on January 7, 2002 at 7.5% per annum. Note is guaranteed by a major shareholder and is collateralized by certain assets of the Company.	73,335	87 <b>,</b> 500	61,202
Installment notes bearing interest at the rate of 3.9% to 11.95% per annum collateralized by vehicles and equipment with monthly payments including interest of approximately \$10,000 due various periods through 2006.		393,311	304,904
Total long term debt	9,117,454	10,302,588	7,315,054
Less current maturities	(7,861,245)	(6,399,831)	(6,724,999)
Long term debt, less current maturities	\$ 1,256,209	\$3,902,757	590,055

The Company is subject to certain financial (ratio) covenants and restrictions on indebtedness, dividend payments, financial guarantees, business combinations, reporting requirements and other related items on the revolving line of credit with a bank. As of December 31, 2002, the Company is not in compliance with all covenants. During 2002, as a result of ongoing negotiations to refinance or repay the debt, the bank declared all amounts immediately due and payable. The Company is presently paying \$200,000 per month. As a result of ongoing negotiations with Bank One, management has reclassified the loan fees associated with this note to a current asset as it is likely that these fees will be fully amortized in 2003.

Long-term debt to related parties consisted of the following:

September

DECEMBER 31,	2002	2001	30, 2003
			(unaudited)
Unsecured note payable to			(
a director due January			
2004, with interest			
payable quarterly at 8%			
per annum. Note is			
convertible into common			
stock of the Company at a			
rate of \$2.88 per share of			
common stock.	\$500,000	\$ -	\$ 500,000

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

Notes payable to a director due January 2004,			
with interest payable quarterly at 12% per			
annum. Note is secured by			
10% of the pipeline.	250,000 	 	1,734,000
Total long term debt to			
related Parties	750,000	-	2,234,000
Less current maturities	_	 _	(2,234,000)
Long term debt to related parties, less current			
maturities	\$750,000	\$ _	\$ -

The aggregate maturities of long term debt due to related parties and others as of December 31, 2002, are as follow:

r
3
4
5
6
reafter
4 5 6

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is a party to lawsuits in the ordinary course of its business. While the damages sought in some of these actions are material, the Company does not believe that it is probable that the outcome of any individual action will have a material adverse effect, or that it is likely that adverse outcomes of individually insignificant actions will be significant enough, in number or

magnitude, to have a material adverse effect in the aggregate on its financial statements.

In the ordinary course of business the Company has entered into various equipment and office leases which have remaining terms ranging from one to four years. Approximate future minimum lease payments to be made under noncancellable operating leases are as follows:

Year	Amount		
2003 2004 2005 2006	\$ 60,158 59,210 56,970 500		
	\$176,838		

Office rent expense was approximately \$84,000,

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

\$91,000 and \$86,000 for each of the three years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

9. CUMULATIVE CONVERTIBLE
REDEEMABLE PREFERRED
STOCK

Shares of both Series A and B Preferred Stock are or will be immediately convertible into shares of Common Stock. Each \$100 liquidation preference share of preferred stock is convertible at a rate of \$7.00 for the Series A per share of common stock. For the Series B, the conversion rate is the average market price of the Company's common stock for 30 days before the sale of the Series B preferred stock with a minimum conversion price of \$9.00 per share. The conversion rate is subject to downward adjustment if the Company subsequently issues shares of common stock for consideration less than \$7.00 and \$9.00 for the Series A and Preferred Stock, respectively, per share. The conversion prices will be adjusted prospectively for stock dividends and splits.

The holders of both the Series A and Series B Preferred Stock are entitled to a cumulative dividend of 8% per quarter. However, the payment of the dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock is subordinate to that of the Series A Preferred Stock. In the event that the Company does not make any two of six consecutive quarterly dividend payments, the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock may appoint those directors which would constitute of majority of the Board of Directors. In such a scenario, the holders of the Preferred

Shares would be entitled to elect a majority of the Board of Directors until all accrued and unpaid dividends have been paid.

The Company failed to pay the 3rd and 4th quarterly dividend payments of the Series A preferred stock during 2002. As a result, in February 2003, the Series A shareholders exercised their rights to place four new members on the Board of Directors. As of September 30, 2003, cumulative accrued and unpaid dividends on the Series A and B Preferred Stock amounted to \$405,334.

The Company may redeem both of the Series A and B Preferred Stock upon payment of \$100 per share plus any accrued and unpaid dividends. Further, with respect to the Series A Preferred Stock, commencing on October 1, 2003 and at each quarterly date thereafter while the Series A Preferred Stock is outstanding, the Company is required to redeem one-twentieth of the maximum number of Series A Preferred Stock outstanding. With respect to the Series B Preferred Stock, on the fifth anniversary after issuance (March 2005), the Company is required to redeem all outstanding Series B Preferred Stock.

During 2002, the Board of Directors authorized the sale of up to 50,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock at \$100 per share. The Company issued 14,491 shares, resulting in net proceeds after commissions of \$1,303,168. The Series C Preferred

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

Stock accrues a 6% cumulative dividend on the outstanding balance, payable quarterly. As of September 30, 2003, cumulative accrued and unpaid dividends on the Series C Preferred Stock amounted to \$65,209. These dividends are subordinate to the dividends payable to the Series A and Series B Preferred Stock holders. This stock is convertible into the Company's common stock at the average stock trading price 30 days prior to the closing of the sales of all the Series C Preferred Stock being offered or \$5.00 per share, whichever is greater. The Company is required to redeem any remaining Series C Preferred Stock and any accrued and unpaid dividends in July 2006.

10. STOCK DIVIDEND

On August 1, 2001, the Company paid a 5% stock dividend distributable on October 1, 2001 to shareholders of record of the Company's common stock on September 4, 2001. Based on the number of common shares outstanding on the record date, the Company issued 498,016 new shares. All references

in the accompanying financial statements to the number of common shares and per share amounts are based on the increased number of shares giving retroactive effect to the stock dividend.

#### 11. STOCK OPTIONS

Exercised

In October 2000, the Company approved a Stock Incentive Plan. The Plan is effective for a ten-year period commencing on October 25, 2000 and ending on October 24, 2010. The aggregate number of shares of Common Stock as to which options and Stock Appreciation Rights may be granted to Employees under the plan shall not exceed 1,000,000. Options are not transferable, fully vest after two years of employment with the Company, are exercisable for three months after voluntary resignation from the Company, and terminate immediately upon involuntary termination from the Company. The purchase price of shares subject to this Nonqualified Stock Option Plan shall be determined at the time the options are granted, but are not permitted to be less than 85%of the Fair Market Value of such shares on the date of grant. Furthermore, an employee in the plan may not, immediately prior to the grant of an Incentive Stock Option hereunder, own stock in the Company representing more than ten percent of the total voting power of all classes of stock of the Company unless the per share option price specified by the Board for the Incentive Stock Options granted such and Employee is at least 110% of the Fair Market Value of the Company's stock on the date of grant and such option, by its terms, is not exercisable after the expiration of five years from the date such stock option is granted.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

Stock option activity in 2002, 2001 and 2000 is summarized below:

(256,772) 8.69

	200	2	20	001	2000
		WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE		WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE	W A E
	SHARES	PRICE	SHARES	PRICE	SHARES
Outstanding,					
beginning of year	516,028	\$9.23	1,017,450	\$ 8.54	530,250
Granted	160,742	2.86	78 <b>,</b> 750	12.39	855 <b>,</b> 451

(21,751)

Expired/canceled	_	-	(323,400)	7.85	(346,500)
Outstanding, end of year	676,770	7.71	516,028	9.23	1,017,450
Exercisable, end of year	676 <b>,</b> 770	\$7.71	474,889	\$ 9.21	930,258

The share information disclosed above has been adjusted to reflect the 5% stock dividend declared during 2001. See note 10 above.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2002:

		OPTIONS OUTSTANDING		OPTIONS EXERCISABLE
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE	QUANTIO	WEIGHTED AVERAGE REMAINING CONTRACTUAL	QUADEG
	RCISE PRICE 	SHARES	LIFE (YEARS)	SHARES
\$	2.86	160,742	2.67	160,742
\$ \$ \$	8.69 14.44 11.05	437,278 21,000 47,250	0.85 1.13 1.30	437,278 21,000 47,250
\$	12.70	10,500	1.71	10,500
\$	7.71	676,770	-	676,770

The weighted average fair value per share of options granted during 2002, 2001 and 2000 is \$1.45, \$3.62, and \$3.41 respectively, calculated using the Black-Scholes Option-Pricing model.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

No compensation expense related to stock options were incurred in 2002, 2001 or 2000. The Company issued 70,715 options to non-employees and non-directors in 2000. The expense of \$242,000 for these options has been included in professional fees expense because the options were issued to providers of such services. The expense was calculated using a fair market value of the options based on the Black-Scholes option-pricing model assumptions discussed below.

For employees, the fair value of stock options used to compute pro forma net loss and loss per

share disclosures is the estimated present value at grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for 2002, 2001 and 2000; Expected volatility of 74.2% for 2002, 50% for 2001 and 50% for 2000; a risk free interest rate of 3.67% in 2002, 3.67% in 2001 and 5.86% in 2000; and an expected option life of 3 years for 2002, 2001 and 2000.

#### 12. INCOME TAXES

The Company had no taxable income during the three year period ended December 31, 2002.

A reconciliation of the statutory U.S. Federal income tax and the income tax provision included in the accompanying consolidated statements of loss is as follows:

DECEMBER 31,	2002	2001	2000
Statutory rate Tax benefit at statutory rate State income tax benefit Other Increase in deferred tax asset	34% \$(1,073,000) (189,000)	34% \$ (769,000) (136,000)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Valuation allowance	1,262,000	905,000	504,000
Total income tax provision	\$ -	\$ –	\$ -
DECEMBER 31,	2002	2001	2000
Net operating loss carryforward Capital loss carryforward	\$ 7,139,000 263,000	\$ 5,877,000 263,000	\$ 4,972,000 263,000
	7,402,000	6,140,000	5,235,000
Valuation allowance	(7,402,000)	(6,140,000)	(5,235,000)
Net deferred taxes	\$ -	\$ –	\$ -

The Company recorded a valuation allowance at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 equal to the excess of deferred tax assets over deferred tax liabilities as management is unable to determine

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

that these tax benefits are more likely than not to be realized. Potential future reversal of the portion of the valuation allowance relative to deferred tax asset resulting from the exercise of stock options will be recorded as additional paid in capital realized As of December 31, 2002, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$18,217,000, which will expire

between 2010 and 2022, if not utilized.

13. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH
FLOW INFORMATION
The Company paid approximately \$571,000, \$853,500
and \$544,000 for interest in 2002, 2001 and 2000,
respectively. The Company capitalized
approximately \$148,000 and \$128,000 of this amount
in 2001 and 2000, respectively. No interest was
capitalized during 2002. The Company paid no
income taxes in 2002, 2001 and 2000.

14. QUARTERLY DATA AND SHARE INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The following table sets forth, for the fiscal periods indicated, selected consolidated financial data.

				FISCA	L YEAR ENDING	G DECEMBER
		irst arter	 econd warter		Third Quarter	Four Quar
Revenues Net Income (loss) Net Income (loss) attributable to		971,603 659,350)	 ,482,390 (678,592)	\$	1,599,461 (508,247)	-
common Stockholders Earnings (loss) per common share	( '	793,545)	(812,786)		(642,441)	-
Basic and diluted	\$	(0.07)	\$ (0.07)	\$	(0.05)	-

			F	ISCAL YE	AR ENDED	DECEMBER
		 First uarter	 Second Ouarter		hird arter	Fou Oua
			 		11.001	
Revenues	\$ 1	<b>,</b> 176 <b>,</b> 482	\$ 1,297,668	\$1,	507,308	\$1 <b>,</b> 7
Net loss Net loss attributable to common		(818,341)	(858, 197)		721,879)	(7
Stockholders		(930 <b>,</b> 799)	 (984,139)	( ′	856,074)	(8
Loss per common share  Basic and diluted	\$	(0.09)	\$ (0.09)	\$	(0.08)	\$

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

			FISCAL YEAR ENDED D	ECEMBER
	First	Second	Third	Fou
	Quarter 	Quarter 	Quarter 	Qua 
Devenues	č1 440 210	¢1 062 060	62 502 750	\$1,1
Revenues Net loss	\$1,448,318 (368,768)	\$1,863,068 (336,034)	\$2,583,758 (378,597)	\$1,1 (1,1
Net loss attributable to common	(300, 700)	(330,031)	(3/3/33/)	\ - / -
Stockholders	(447,546)	(423,523)	(491,055)	(1,2
Loss per common share				
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.05)	\$

Third quarter 2001 results reflect the effect on depletion expense that resulted from a decrease in reserve estimates provided in a study performed by Ryder Scott Company, L.P. and issued August 10, 2001. The amount recorded during this quarter was \$562,000 higher than the quarterly estimates made by management during the first three quarters as a result of a change in estimate arising from new information provided in the Ryder Scott Company, L.P. Report. Amounts disclosed above differ from those filed with the SEC during the third quarter of 2001 as a result of an error in recording this change in estimate to depletion at the time of the filing. Management amended the September 30, 2001 SEC Form 10-Q filing during 2002.

# 15. SUPPLEMENTAL OIL AND GAS INFORMATION

Information with respect to the Company's oil and gas producing activities is presented in the following tables. Estimates of reserve quantities, as well as future production and discounted cash flows before income taxes, were determined by Ryder Scott Company, L.P. as of December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000.

## OIL AND GAS RELATED COSTS

The following table sets forth information concerning costs related to the Company's oil and gas property acquisition, exploration and development activities in the United States during the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000:

	2	002		2001		2000
Property acquisition						
Proved	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Unproved		_		_		5,702
Less - proceeds from						
sales of properties	(10	0,000)	(7	50,000)	(1,	176,411)
Development costs	2,08	2,529	5 <b>,</b> 5	71,883	2,	627 <b>,</b> 705
	\$ 1 <b>,</b> 98	 2 <b>,</b> 529	\$ 4,8	21,883	\$ 1 <b>,</b>	456 <b>,</b> 996

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FROM OIL AND GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES

The following table sets forth the Company's results of operations from oil and gas producing activities for the years ended:

December 31,	2002	2001	2000
Revenues	\$ 5,437,723	\$ 6,656,758	\$ 5,241,076
Production costs and taxes Depreciation, depletion	(3,094,731)	(2,951,746)	(2,614,414)
and amortization	(1,388,138)	(1,342,000)	(197,000)
Income from oil and gas producing activities	\$ 954,854	\$ 2,363,012	\$ 2,429,662

In the presentation above, no deduction has been made for indirect costs such as corporate overhead or interest expense. No income taxes are reflected above due to the Company's tax loss carryforwards.

## OIL AND GAS RESERVES (UNAUDITED)

The following table sets forth the Company's net proved oil and gas reserves at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 and the changes in net proved oil and gas reserves for the years then ended. Proved reserves represent the estimated quantities of crude oil and natural gas which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in the future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. The reserve information indicated below requires substantial judgment on the part of the reserve engineers, resulting in estimates which are not subject to precise determination. Accordingly, it is expected that the estimates of reserves will change as future production and development information becomes available and that revisions in these estimates could be significant. Reserves are measured in barrels (bbls) in the case of oil, and units of one thousand cubic feet (Mcf) in the case of gas.

	OIL (BBLS)	GAS (MCF)
Proved reserves:		
Balance, January 1, 2000	3,227,203	74,795,287
Discoveries and extensions	56,103	1,059,147
Revisions of previous estimates	(1,309,366)	(27,998,986)

Production	(159,035)	(315,577)
Balance, December 31, 2000 Discoveries and extensions Revisions of previous estimates Production	1,814,905 62,254 (672,443) (148,041)	47,539,871 4,915,431 (25,263,634) (1,311,466)
Balance, December 31, 2001 Discoveries and extensions Revisions of previous estimates Production	1,056,675 34,968 542,229 (157,973)	25,880,202 937,000 786,430 (1,004,899)
Proved reserves at, December 31, 2002	1,475,899	26,598,733

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

Proved developed producing reserves at, December 31,	2002	1,108,293	6,592,711
Proved developed producing reserves at, December 31,	2001	767 <b>,</b> 126	7,157,183
Proved developed producing reserves at, December 31,	2000	1,553,759	2,888,769

Of the Company's total proved reserves as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 and 2000, approximately 37%, 36% and 21%, respectively, were classified as proved developed producing, 19%, 26% and 34%, respectively, were classified as proved developed non-producing and 44%, 37% and 45%, respectively, were classified as proved undeveloped. All of the Company's reserves are located in the continental United States.

STANDARDIZED MEASURE OF DISCOUNTED FUTURE NET CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

The standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows from the Company's proved oil and gas reserves is presented in the following table:

NDS

December 31,	2002	2001	2000
Future cash inflows Future production	\$152,180	\$ 78 <b>,</b> 296	\$ 505,733
costs and taxes	(41,870)	(26,083)	(41,689)
Future development costs	(11,348)	(6,384)	(8,225)
Future income tax expenses	_	_	(122,881)
Net future cash flows	98 <b>,</b> 962	45 <b>,</b> 829	332 <b>,</b> 938

Discount at 10% for timing of cash flows	 (52,314)	 (24,095)	(97,195)
Discounted future net cash flows from proved reserves	\$ 46,648	\$ 21,734	\$ 235,743

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

The following unaudited table sets forth the changes in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows from proved reserves during 2002, 2001 and 2000:

	AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS					
		2002		2001		2000
Balance, beginning of year	\$	21,734	\$	235,743	\$	100,882
Sales, net of production costs						
and taxes		(2,343)		(3,705)		(2,627)
Discoveries and extensions		1,686		4,167		1,778
Changes in prices and						
production costs		20,586		(299,527)		360,082
Revisions of quantity		6,120		(33,449)	(	186,289)
estimates						
Development costs incurred				_		1,236
Interest factor - accretion						
of discount		2,173		32,198		13,355
Net change in income taxes		_		86,237		(53,572)
Changes in future						
development costs		(4,860)		2,666		(3,237)
Changes in production rates						
and other		1,552		(2,596)		4,135
Balance, end of year	\$	46,648	\$	21,734	\$ 	235,743

Estimated future net cash flows represent an estimate of future net revenues from the production of proved reserves using current sales prices, along with estimates of the operating costs, production taxes and future development and abandonment costs (less salvage value) necessary to produce such reserves. The average prices used at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 were \$27.25, \$17.03 and \$25.62 per barrel of oil and \$4.01, \$2.33 and \$9.66 per MCF of gas, respectively. No deduction has been made for depreciation, depletion or any indirect costs such as general corporate overhead or interest expense.

Operating costs and production taxes are estimated

based on current costs with respect to producing gas properties. Future development costs are based on the best estimate of such costs assuming current economic and operating conditions. The estimates of reserve values include estimated future development costs that the company does not currently have the ability to fund. If the company is unable to obtain additional funds, it may not be able to develop its oil and natural gas properties as estimated in its December 31, 2002 reserve report.

Income tax expense is computed based on applying the appropriate statutory tax rate to the excess of future cash inflows less future production and development costs over the current tax basis of the properties involved, less applicable carryforwards, for both regular and alternative minimum tax.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (Amounts presented relating to September 30, 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are unaudited)

> The future net revenue information assumes no escalation of costs or prices, except for gas sales made under terms of contracts which include fixed and determinable escalation. Future costs and prices could significantly vary from current amounts and, accordingly, revisions in the future could be significant.

CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE

16. CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF A Effective January 1, 2003, the Company implemented the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143 (SFAS 143), "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations". Among other things, SFAS 143 requires entities to record a liability and corresponding increase in long-lived assets for the present value of material obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets. Over the passage of time, accretion of the liability is recognized as an operating expense and the capitalized cost is depleted over the estimated useful life of the related asset. Additionally, SFAS 143 requires that upon initial application of these standards, the Company must recognize a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle corresponding to the accumulated accretion and depletion expense that would have been recognized had this standard been applied at the time the long-lived assets were acquired or constructed. The Company's asset retirement obligations relate primarily to the plugging, dismantling and removal of wells drilled to date.

> Using a credit-adjusted risk free rate of 12%, an estimated useful life of wells ranging from 30-40

years, and estimated plugging and abandonment costs ranging from \$5,000 per well to \$10,000 per well, the Company has recorded a non-cash charge related to the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle of \$351,204 in its statement of loss. Oil and gas properties were increased by \$260,191, which represents the present value of all future obligations to retire the wells at January 1, 2003, net of accumulated depletion on this cost through that date. A corresponding obligation totaling \$611,395 has also been recorded as of January 1, 2003.

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2003, the Company recorded accretion and depletion expenses of \$77,952 associated with this liability and its corresponding asset. These expenses are included in depletion, depreciation, and amortization in the consolidated statements of loss. Had the provisions of this statement been reflected in the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2002, an asset retirement obligation of \$476,536 would have been recorded as of January 1, 2002.

The following is a roll-forward of activity impacting the asset retirement obligation for the nine months ended September 30, 2003:

Balance, January 1, 2003:	\$611 <b>,</b> 395
Accretion expense through	
September 30, 2003	55 <b>,</b> 026
Balance, September 30, 2003	\$666,421