

UROPLASTY INC  
Form 10KSB  
July 29, 2004

**Table of Contents**

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-KSB**

Annual Report Pursuant To Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

**For the Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2004**

**Commission File No. 000-20989**

**UROPLASTY, INC.**

(Name of Small Business Issuer in its Charter)

**Minnesota, U.S.A.**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**41-1719250**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**2718 Summer Street NE**  
**Minneapolis, Minnesota 55413-2820**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**(612) 378-1180**  
(Issuer's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: Common Stock, \$.01 par value (Title of class)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Company was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES

NO

Check if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of Company's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year: \$5,714,896

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the stock was sold or the average bid and asked prices of such stock as of July 1, 2004 was \$13,628,258.

The number of shares outstanding of the issuer's only class of common stock on July 1, 2004 was 4,602,033.

Edgar Filing: UROPLASTY INC - Form 10KSB

Documents Incorporated By Reference: Portions of the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the Proxy Statement), are incorporated by reference in Part III.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format:

YES [ ]                      NO [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES [ ]                      NO [X]

---

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PART I**

**ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS**

**ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY**

**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

**PART II**

**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

**ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION**

**ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

**PART III**

**ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS: COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT**

**ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

**ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

**ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS**

**ITEM 13. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K**

**ITEM 14. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.**

**SIGNATURES**

**Financial Statements**

**Code of Ethics**

**Letter re Change in Certifying Accountant**

**Subsidiaries of the Company**

**Consent of Independent Public Accounting Firm**

**Certifications by the CEO and Controller - 302**

**Certifications by the CEO and Controller - 906**

**EXHIBIT 99.1**

---

**Table of Contents**

PART I

Uroplasty, Inc. ( Uroplasty , or the Company ) may from time to time make written or oral **forward-looking statements** , including statements contained in this filing by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission and in its reports to stockholders, as well as elsewhere. Forward-looking statements are statements such as those contained in projections, plans, objectives, estimates, statements of future economic performance, and assumptions related to any of the foregoing, and may be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as may, expect, anticipate, estimate, goal, continue, or other comparable terminology. By their very nature, forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties relating to the Company s future performance that may cause the actual results, performance, or achievements of the Company, or industry results, to differ materially from those expressed or implied in any such forward-looking statements. Any such statement is qualified by reference to the following cautionary statements.

The Company s business operates in highly competitive markets and is subject to changes in general economic conditions, competition, customer and market preferences, government regulation, the impact of tax regulation, foreign exchange rate fluctuations, the degree of market acceptance of products, the uncertainties of potential litigation, as well as other risks and uncertainties detailed elsewhere herein and from time to time in the Company s Securities and Exchange Commission filings.

Forward-looking statements may be contained in the Management s Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation and other sections of this filing. Various factors and risks (not all of which are identifiable at this time) could cause the Company s results, performance or achievements to differ materially from that contained in the Company s forward-looking statements, and investors are cautioned that any forward-looking statement contained herein or elsewhere is qualified by and subject to the warnings and cautionary statements contained above and in the Factors Affecting the Business section of this filing.

The Company does not undertake and assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement that may be made from time to time by or on behalf of the Company.

**ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS**

**Overview**

Uroplasty is a medical device company that develops, manufactures, and markets a family of injectable implant products used for soft-tissue augmentation for specific indications in urology, urogynecology, colon and rectal, otolaryngology and plastic surgery markets. The Company s products offer physicians and their patients a minimally invasive treatment option for urinary incontinence, vesicoureteral reflux, fecal incontinence, vocal cord rehabilitation and dermal augmentation. Uroplasty s products are CE marked in Europe (similar to FDA approval in the United States), allowing the products to be sold throughout the European Union, and are also marketed in other major markets throughout the world, including Canada, Australia, and many Latin American and Pacific Rim countries. The Company s products are not sold in the United States because submissions have not yet been made to the Food and Drug Administration ( FDA ) for marketing in the United States. However, the Company is currently conducting a multi-center clinical trial with its urethral bulking agent, Macroplastique® Implants pursuant to a U.S. FDA Investigational Device Exemption ( IDE ), as a minimally invasive, office-based procedure for treating female stress urinary incontinence. This study is required as part of a Premarket Approval Submission to the FDA for marketing within the United States.

**Restatement Information**

During the fiscal 2004 year end close process, the Company determined that its pension plan, covering 18 employees in The Netherlands, had historically been reported as a defined contribution plan, but should have been reported as a defined benefit plan. The Company pays premiums to an insurance company to fund annuities for these employees, however, the Company is responsible for funding additional annuities based on continued service and future salary increases. Furthermore, the Company discovered an error in how the effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents was recorded in our Statement of Cash Flows. The Company has restated its March 31, 2003 consolidated balance sheet, the fiscal 2003 consolidated results of operations, the fiscal 2003 consolidated statement of cash flows and related footnote

## **Table of Contents**

disclosures for the impact of accounting for the pension plan as a defined benefit plan and for the correction of the effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents.

Additional information regarding the effects of the restatements is included in Note 2 to the Company's audited consolidated financial statements included under Exhibit 13 of this Form 10-KSB. All comparisons and references in this Form 10-KSB to the results for fiscal year 2003 are to the restated results.

### ***MACROPLASTIQUE®* Implants**

The Company's key product is Macroplastique, an injectable soft tissue-bulking agent used to treat stress urinary incontinence ( SUI ), the most common form of urinary incontinence. It is designed to restore the patient's urinary continence immediately following treatment. SUI refers to the involuntary loss of urine as a result of activities that increase intra-abdominal pressure, such as coughing, laughing, or exercising. Macroplastique is also used to treat vesicoureteral reflux ( VUR ), a condition, occurring mostly in children, in which urine flows backward from the bladder into the kidney. Additionally, men who experience incontinence as a result of prostate surgery are also candidates for Macroplastique treatment.

Macroplastique is a proprietary composition of heat vulcanized, highly textured, solid, soft, irregularly shaped polydimethylsiloxane (solid silicone) implants suspended in a biocompatible carrier gel. The Company markets Macroplastique through Uroplasty BV, The Netherlands, on the basis that its out-patient minimally invasive treatment can lead to lower surgical risk with shorter recovery time and that it is less expensive when compared to invasive alternatives. With its successful safety and clinical use in patients outside the United States since 1991, the Company believes Macroplastique has advantages of being a soft-textured, biocompatible material that is nonabsorbing, nondegrading, nonmigrating, and cost effective. Additionally, the product requires no skin testing prior to use and it does not require refrigeration for storage.

Although Macroplastique is traditionally implanted with the aid of an endoscope, the Company also markets a patented, non-endoscopic delivery kit called the Macroplastique Implantation System (MIS) for treatment of female stress urinary incontinence outside the United States. The advantages of this product are its ease of use and consistent product placement, therefore making the MIS delivery an attractive alternative to be used as a minimally invasive, office-based treatment for female stress urinary incontinence.

### **Urinary Incontinence and Vesicoureteral Reflux Markets**

Urinary incontinence is an involuntary loss of urine having emotional, social, and hygienic consequences. In varying degrees, urinary incontinence is a problem suffered by millions of people worldwide. The Agency for Health Care Policy and Research (AHCPR), a division of the Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, estimates that urinary incontinence affects about 13 million people in the United States of which, 85% or 11 million are women. The same agency estimates the total cost of treating incontinence (management and curative approaches) of all types in the United States as \$15 billion. The National Association for Incontinence ( NAFC ) estimates up to 15% of female stress urinary incontinence is a result of intrinsic sphincter deficiency ( ISD ). Urethral Bulking Agents ( UBA ) are currently recommended by AHCPR as first-line treatment for woman with ISD who do not have coexisting urethral hypermobility. Male patients can benefit from a urethral bulking agent procedure such as Macroplastique, and according to the AHCPR, UBA is recommended as first-line surgical treatment for men with ISD. According to the American College of Surgeons, there are approximately 400,000 prostate surgeries performed each year in the United States, and up to 20% of these men develop incontinence following the procedure. Urinary incontinence can result in a substantial decrease in a person's quality of life, and is often the main reason a family moves an elderly person to nursing home care. The Company expects the incidence of urinary incontinence will rise as the percentage of elderly people continues to increase.

VUR is primarily a pediatric concern, with a prevalence estimated to be up to 2% of the U.S. pediatric population according to research published in Pediatric Radiology. Approximately 15,000 surgical procedures are performed each year to address this VUR issue. Patients in this population with VUR grades 1 through 4 are candidates for Macroplastique treatments. Globally, the use of Macroplastique to correct VUR can save patient costs related to continued use of antibiotics for treating these patients for chronic urinary tract infections. Left untreated, VUR can lead to more serious related health complications.

**Types of Urinary Incontinence**



## **Table of Contents**

The mechanisms of urinary incontinence are complicated and involve the interaction between several anatomical structures. In females, urinary continence is controlled primarily by the sphincter muscle. This muscle surrounds the urethra and provides constrictive pressure to prevent urine from flowing out of the bladder. Urination occurs when the sphincter relaxes as the bladder contracts, allowing urine to flow through the urethra. The urinary sphincter is also responsible for maintaining continence during periods of physical stress. Incontinence may result when any part of the urinary tract fails to function as intended. A number of conditions and disorders can cause incontinence, including childbirth, pelvic surgery, injuries to the pelvic region or the spinal cord, neurological diseases (e.g. multiple sclerosis, poliomyelitis), birth defects (e.g., spina bifida), and degenerative changes associated with aging.

**Stress Urinary Incontinence:** SUI refers to the involuntary loss of urine due to an increase in intra-abdominal pressure from coughing, sneezing, laughing, straining or lifting. In women, the most common cause of SUI is hypermobility, a lack of anatomic stability primarily caused by weak surrounding tissue, resulting in the abnormal movement of the bladder neck and urethra. This anatomical problem is often the result of childbirth. SUI can also be caused by intrinsic sphincter deficiency (ISD), or the inability of the sphincter valve or muscle to function properly. ISD can be due to congenital sphincter weakness, deterioration of the muscular wall of the urethra after trauma, spinal cord lesion or radiation therapy. Macroplastique is used to treat incontinence in women suffering from SUI caused primarily by intrinsic sphincter deficiency.

**Urge Incontinence:** Urge incontinence refers to the involuntary loss of urine associated with an abrupt, strong desire to urinate. Urge incontinence often occurs with neurologic problems causing the bladder to contract and empty with little or no warning.

**Overflow Incontinence:** Overflow incontinence is associated with an over-distention of the bladder. This can be the result of an underactive bladder or an obstruction in the bladder or urethra.

**Mixed Incontinence:** Mixed incontinence is the combination of both urge and stress incontinence (and, in some cases, overflow). Since prostate enlargement often obstructs the urethra, older men often have urge incontinence coupled with overflow incontinence.

## **Management and Treatment of Urinary Incontinence**

There are two general approaches to dealing with urinary incontinence. One approach is to manage symptoms with items such as pads or diapers. The other approach is to undergo curative treatments in an attempt to restore continence, such as injection of urethral bulking agents or by invasive surgeries. The Company believes the treatment of urinary incontinence should start first with the least invasive therapy and then move to more invasive therapies only when needed.

### *Management of Urinary Incontinence*

**Absorbent Products:** Absorbent products are the most common form of management for urinary incontinence because men and women can use them without consulting a physician. The cost of adult diapers and pads can be substantial and create a continuous financial burden for patients. Additionally, this management technique may require frequent changing of diapers and pads to control patient embarrassment due to odor and/or soiling.

**Behavior Modification:** Techniques used in behavior modification include bladder training, scheduled voiding, and pelvic floor muscle exercises known as Kegels. Some of the tools used in conjunction with these training regimes are vaginal cones or weights, biofeedback devices, and electrical stimulation. These techniques are not effective in all patients, however, the Company believes biofeedback or one of the other behavior modification techniques should be used as a first line of treatment.

**Penile Compression Devices:** Penile clamps are reserved for temporary use with male incontinence. Complications such as penile and urethral erosion, penile edema, pain, and obstruction can be associated with extended and/or improper use.

**Pelvic Floor Support Devices:** A pessary is a doughnut-shaped device made of flexible materials designed to temporarily reduce pelvic prolapse and alleviate symptoms of pelvic relaxation in females with and without incontinence. When these devices are misused or neglected, complications such as ulceration of the vagina and rectovaginal and vesicovaginal fistula can occur. Persons using pessaries require frequent, regular monitoring.

**Occlusion Devices:** Urethral occlusion devices, or plugs, of various designs are temporarily applied to occlude the urethra and/or bladder. They are disposable products and either fit over the urethral opening or in the urethra and/or bladder to obstruct the involuntary leakage of urine. Problems with these devices include urinary tract infections, treatment compliance, and progressive urethral dilation that may require larger plugs over time.

## **Table of Contents**

Urinary Catheters and Collection Devices: There are four types of urinary catheters: 1) intermittent (inserted through the urethra into the bladder as needed for bladder drainage; may be appropriate for the management of acute or chronic urinary retention); 2) indwelling (a closed sterile system inserted through the urethra to allow bladder drainage; may be needed for short-term treatment and for terminally ill patients); 3) suprapubic (requires percutaneous or surgical introduction of a catheter into the bladder through the abdominal wall, for short-term use following gynecologic, urologic and other types of surgery or as an alternative to long-term urethral catheter use in men); and 4) external collection (devices made from latex rubber, polyvinyl or silicone resembling a condom, are secured on the shaft of the penis by a double-sided adhesive, latex or foam strap and are connected to urine collecting bags by a tube; may be useful for short-term maintenance). The type and severity of incontinence and the patient's physical and mental condition determine the best catheter option for the patient.

Drug Therapy: Drug treatment is used to manage multiple types of urinary incontinence. These drugs generally fall into one of two categories: those managing urge incontinence by affecting the contraction of the muscle tissue of the bladder, and those managing stress incontinence by either affecting contraction of the muscle tissue of the bladder neck or improving the quality of the mucosal lining of the bladder neck and urethra. Drugs seldom cure stress urinary incontinence and the potential side effects include urinary retention, nausea, dizziness, blurred vision, and the possibility of unwanted interactions with other drugs.

### *Curative Treatments for Urinary Incontinence*

Surgery: In women, SUI can be surgically corrected through various suspension and sling procedures. In these procedures, the physician elevates and stabilizes the urethra and bladder neck. Current surgical procedures require vaginal or abdominal incisions and are typically performed under general anesthesia. Surgery is expensive, traumatic, and can involve a hospital stay with several weeks required for full recovery. In men, the main surgical option is an implanted artificial urinary sphincter. It carries with it the inherent risks of device malfunction, tissue erosion and atrophy, and infection. In practice, the artificial urinary sphincter is rarely applicable to the management of uncomplicated stress incontinence.

Injectable Bulking Agents: Urethral Bulking Agents (UBA)s are inserted with a needle into the area around the urethra, thereby augmenting or bulking the sphincter. Hence, these materials are often called "bulking agents", or "injectables". Urethral bulking agents may be either synthetic or biologically derived and are an attractive alternative to surgery because they are considerably less invasive than the surgical procedures described above. Active women benefit from the use of urethral bulking agents since they will often return to normal activities in a matter of days instead of weeks for fully invasive surgical procedures. Bulking agents also represent a desirable treatment option for the elderly or infirm who may not otherwise be able to withstand the trauma and morbidity resulting from a fully invasive surgical procedure. Additionally, the use of a UBA does not preclude the use of more invasive treatments if the need arises.

The two major types of urethral bulking agents are biologically derived and synthetic agents. Biologically derived bulking agents include injections of a patient's own fat cells, polysaccharides (not commercially available in the U.S.) or bovine collagen. Fat injections involve complex, invasive harvesting of the patient's own fat cells and reinjecting them into the bladder neck. Some of these biological agents require pre-treatment allergy skin tests, and since the body resorbs these agents over time, subsequent reinjections may be necessary. Macroplastique (polydimethylsiloxane) is a synthetic silicone elastomer bulking agent. Although physicians and patients may question the silicone issue, we are confident we provide support and documentation to show the difference between Uroplasty's solid silicone elastomer product and the silicone gel products that were associated with the breast implants. Other synthetic bulking agents are composed of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE; not commercially available in the U.S.) and pyrolytic carbon-coated beads.

## **Marketing, Distribution, and Sales**

The Company markets and sells Macroplastique and related ancillary products only in countries outside the United States. The Company has a direct sales force in the United Kingdom and international sales managers in The Netherlands to manage and train a network of distributors selling the Company's products outside the United States in approximately 40 countries including Canada, Australia, and countries within Europe, Latin America and the Pacific Rim. Each of the Company's distributors has a territory-specific distribution agreement including requirements indicating they may not sell injectable products that compete directly with Macroplastique. Collectively, the Company's distributors accounted for approximately 66% and 62% of total net sales in fiscal years 2004 and 2003, respectively.

## **Other Products**

In addition to the urological applications, the Company's implantable tissue bulking material is also marketed outside the United States for reconstructive and cosmetic plastic surgery under the trade name Bioplastique Implants, otolaryngology vocal cord rehabilitation applications under the trade name VOX Implants, and fecal incontinence

## **Table of Contents**

applications under the trade name PTQ Implants. In The Netherlands and United Kingdom only, the Company distributes certain wound care products in accordance with a Distributor Agreement. Under the terms of the Distributor Agreement, the Company is not obligated to purchase any minimum level of wound care products. Collectively, these other products accounted for 19% and 15% respectively, of the Company's net sales in fiscal 2004 and fiscal 2003.

## **Government Regulations**

The Company's medical device products are subject to regulation by various governmental agencies depending upon where the products are manufactured and/or sold. In markets such as the United States and Europe, these regulations are substantial and can significantly affect a company's ability to obtain and/or maintain approval for manufacturing and distribution of medical device products.

In order to market its products within the European Union, the Company has obtained CE marking for its products (i.e., marketing approval). In addition, the Company maintains registration to the rigorous ISO13485 quality standard and is subject to periodic surveillance audits by its Notified Body to ensure adherence to this standard and the requirements of the European Medical Device Directives (MDD) and the Canadian Medical Device Requirements. Changes in existing requirements or adoption of new requirements or policies could adversely affect the ability of the Company to comply with regulatory requirements. Failure to comply with regulatory requirements could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operations. There can be no assurance the Company will not be required to incur significant costs to comply with laws and regulations in the future, or that laws or regulations will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company's business, financial condition, or results of operations.

The Company maintains facilities in the United States, United Kingdom, and The Netherlands, each of which has numerous federal, state, and local laws relating to such matters as safe working conditions, manufacturing practices, environmental protection, fire hazard control and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous substances. To the best of our knowledge, the Company complies with all applicable local, state and national laws. There can be no assurance the Company will not be required to incur significant costs to comply with such laws and regulations now or in the future or that such laws or regulations will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company's ability to do business, financial condition, or results of operations.

The Company is structured such that The Netherlands subsidiary conducts business as a manufacturer and international sales office and is responsible for the worldwide distribution of its products outside of the United States through our network of independent distributors. Our Clinical Research Department dealing with clinical research outside the US is based in our Geleen, The Netherlands facility. The United Kingdom subsidiary is responsible for direct sales and distribution of the Company's products to hospitals and physicians within the United Kingdom and Ireland.

Medical devices that can be shown to increase or improve cost and clinical effectiveness are likely to perform more favorably in both a changing reimbursement and regulatory climate. The need to provide data from Health Technology Assessments (HTA) and Evidence Based Medicine (EBM) and their expanding use in domestic healthcare policy is a trend which medical device manufacturers must anticipate and plan in the early stage of medical device development.

Uroplasty Clinical Research Department (CRD) activities outside the United States are streamlined to best support reimbursement decision making in line with HTA and EBM impact on the medical device market as healthcare reform develops in Europe (See Third-Party Reimbursement).

Clinical studies and scientific community awareness programs continue to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of Uroplasty products. The CRD based in the Netherlands actively encourages and implements clinical trials by designing single arm and randomized controlled trials for the purpose of HTA and EBM. This data is important to support distributors in securing product reimbursement in their territories.

Publications of clinical data in peer reviewed journals add to the scientific community awareness of our products in respect of patient indications, technique and expected outcomes. The CRD also provides a range of activities designed to support surgeons in their clinical evaluation study design, abstract preparation, manuscript creation and/or review and submission. The CRD works closely with our Sales and Marketing Department and Regulatory Departments in the area of technical support, submissions, literature review, and analysis and synopsis of presentations and publications on Uroplasty and competing products.

Recent clinical trials have been designed to provide outcome evidence on new products recently developed by Uroplasty. These include randomized controlled clinical trials on PTQ Implants (formally PTP Implants ) and clinical studies on the Macroplastique® Sling Support Kit (MIS-SK). Evidence-based clinical research broadens the surgeons acceptance by providing detailed information related to product safety and efficacy when applied to patient selection and comparative surgical and non-surgical treatment regimens. Only by recognition of the complexity of our product,

## **Table of Contents**

indications, analysis of the contributing variables, presentation and publication of the clinical outcomes will Uroplasty provide the physicians, patients and reimbursement systems with the evidence they require to make informed decisions.

As a result of our corporate structure, the U.S. regulation regarding medical exports that are not FDA approved does not materially affect our business. In the United States, the Company must comply with the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as amended, which is enforced by the FDA. The FDA has determined urethral bulking agents such as Macroplastique are Class III devices subject to a Pre-Market Approval (PMA) application prior to marketing in the United States. A PMA is a rigorous submission for the U.S. FDA requiring the manufacturer to substantiate claims of safety and effectiveness with valid scientific evidence. The PMA process is lengthy and expensive with no guarantee of final approval at its completion. In some instances, the FDA may decide additional testing or clinical studies are necessary to support the PMA submission. Such a decision considerably lengthens the time and expense required for obtaining U.S. marketing approval. If the FDA approves the PMA submission, it may still place certain conditions on the manufacturer such as the initiation of a post-marketing study or restrictions to the product's intended use.

After PMA approval, the Company must comply with FDA regulations to maintain its U.S. marketing approval. The Company's manufacturing facilities will be subject to routine inspections by the FDA to ensure compliance with U.S. Quality System Requirements (QSR). Even though the Company is in compliance with ISO13485, the European Medical Device Directives and the Canadian Medical Device Requirements, there can be no assurance the FDA would find the Company's quality system to be in compliance with all relevant aspects of the U.S. requirements. The Company is also subject to a variety of state and local laws and regulations where its product will be manufactured and/or marketed. Any applicable state or local regulations may hinder the Company's ability to market its products in those states or localities.

## **Third-Party Reimbursement**

Reimbursement systems vary significantly by country. Third-party payors consist of government health programs, private health insurance plans, managed care organizations and other similar programs. Outside of the United States, government managed health care systems control reimbursement for devices and procedures such as Macroplastique. Reimbursement for Macroplastique has been successful in multiple international markets where hospitals and physicians have been able to get budgets approved by fund-holder trusts or global hospital budgets. Physicians or hospitals that apply, but do not receive satisfactory reimbursement from either third-party payors or the government, may choose not to use Macroplastique, and the sales of our products could be affected. Upon FDA approval to market Macroplastique in the United States, Uroplasty will need to apply for and gain acceptance from Medicare and other third-party payors. There is no uniform policy for reimbursement throughout the United States, and no guarantee Macroplastique will be reimbursed at the levels expected by the Company, if at all.

## **Product Liability**

The medical device industry is subject to substantial litigation. The Company is a manufacturer of a long-term implantable device and consequently faces an inherent business risk of exposure to product liability claims resulting from alleged adverse effects to the patient. The Company currently carries \$2 million of worldwide product liability insurance on a claims made form, plus another policy specific to the United Kingdom only. There can be no assurance, however, the Company's existing insurance coverage limits are adequate to protect the Company from any liabilities it might incur in connection with the clinical trials of Macroplastique or the initial commercialization of Macroplastique in the United States. There can be no assurance that liability claims will not exceed coverage limits. Such insurance is expensive and in the future may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all. Furthermore, the Company does not expect to be able to obtain insurance covering its costs and losses as a result of any recall of its products due to alleged defects, whether such a recall is instituted by the Company or required by a regulatory agency.

A product liability claim, recall, or other claim with respect to uninsured liabilities or in excess of insured liabilities could have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition, and results of operations of the Company.

### **Manufacturing**

The Company manufactures its tissue bulking products at its own facilities. Components are manufactured in the United States, and finished products are manufactured in The Netherlands from medical grade materials obtained from suppliers qualified by the Company's Quality Department. The Company's facilities utilize dedicated heating, ventilation, and high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filtration systems for the manufacturing areas to provide a controlled working environment. All manufacturing processes are performed by trained production technicians according to written procedures approved by the Company's Quality Department. All critical manufacturing processes are performed in a cleanroom environment. An outside vendor sterilizes the Company's products using validated methods and returns the products to the Company for final inspection and testing.



## **Table of Contents**

The Company's manufacturing facilities and systems are periodically audited by an independent registrar to ensure compliance with ISO13485, the European Medical Device Directives and the Canadian Medical Device Requirements. Prior to marketing the product in the United States, the Company will also be inspected by the U.S. FDA for U.S. Quality System Requirements ( QSR ) and will be subject to additional state, local, and federal government regulations applicable to the manufacture of the Company's products. See Description of Business Government Regulations .

## **Competition**

Competition in the urinary incontinence products market is intense. The Company faces competition from existing manufacturers of management and curative treatments, competing manufacturers of commercially available bulking agents, and from companies developing new or improved treatment methods. The Company believes the principal competitive factors among treatment methods include physician and patient acceptance of the method in managing or curing incontinence, cost and availability of third-party reimbursement, marketing and sales capability, and the existence of meaningful patent protection. The Company's ability to compete in this market also will depend on the consistency of its product quality as well as delivery and product pricing. Other factors within and outside the Company's control include its product development and innovation capabilities, clinical study results, ability to obtain required regulatory approvals, ability to protect its proprietary technology, manufacturing and marketing capabilities, and ability to attract and retain skilled employees.

Soft-tissue injectable bulking agents competing directly with Macroplastique outside the U.S. include Contigen® manufactured by C.R. Bard, Inc., Zuidex® and Deflux® manufactured by Q-Med AB, Durasphere® manufactured by Carbon Medical Technologies, and Coaptite® manufactured by BioForm, Inc. In contrast to the products currently approved for sale outside this country, Macroplastique, marketed outside the United States since 1991, is a synthetic material that will not degrade, become resorbed or migrate, and does not require the patient to have a skin test prior to the procedure. The silicone-elastomer material has been studied for over 50 years in medical use for such urological applications as penile implants, stents and catheters. The Uroplasty patented Macroplastique Implantation System (MIS) offers a unique, non-endoscopic, minimally invasive out-patient procedure that can be performed in the physician's office. The Company expects continued competition with Johnson & Johnson, American Medical Systems, C.R. Bard Inc., Mentor, Boston Scientific Corporation and others who manufacture urinary incontinence surgical products such as sling devices. These companies have the advantage to bundle their incontinence products or devices onto contracts that require a hospital to purchase the incontinence device in order to maintain discounts on other product lines.

Many of the Company's competitors and potential competitors have significantly greater financial, manufacturing, marketing and distribution resources, and experience than the Company. In addition, many of the Company's competitors offer broader product lines within the urology market, which may give such competitors the ability to negotiate exclusive, long-term supply contracts and to offer comprehensive pricing for their products. It is possible other large health care and consumer products companies may enter this industry in the future. Furthermore, smaller companies, academic institutions, governmental agencies, and other public and private research organizations will continue to conduct research, seek patent protection, and establish arrangements for commercializing products. Such products may compete directly with any products that may be offered by the Company in the future.

## **Dependence on One or a Few Major Customers**

During fiscal 2004, there were two large customers accounting for approximately 13% and 11% of the Company's net sales. During fiscal 2003, approximately 12% of the Company's net sales were to one customer.

## **Patents, Trademarks, and Licenses**

The Company's success depends in part on its ability to obtain and maintain patent protection for its products, preserve its trade secrets, and operate without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties. The Company seeks to protect its technology by filing patent applications for patentable technologies it considers important to the development of its business based on an analysis of the cost of obtaining a patent, the likely scope of protection, and the relative benefits of patent protection compared to trade secret protection, among other considerations. The Company also relies upon trade secrets, know-how, and continuing technological innovation to develop and maintain its competitive position.

Multiple patents covering the Macroplastique materials, processes, and applications have been issued to the Company by the Patent Offices in the United States, United Kingdom, Japan, Germany, The Netherlands, and Canada. Such patents will expire in the U.S. at various times between 2010 and 2013. Applications are also currently pending in various other European countries. There can be no assurance any of the Company's pending and/or future U.S. and/or foreign patent applications will result in issued patents, or that any issued patents will be of sufficient scope or strength to provide meaningful protection of the Company's products. The coverage sought in a patent application can be denied or significantly reduced before the patent is issued. In addition, there can be no assurance any current and/or future U.S. and/or foreign patents of the Company will not be challenged or circumvented by competitors or others, or that such

**Table of Contents**

patents will be found to be valid or sufficiently broad to protect the Company's technology or provide the Company with any competitive advantage. Should attempts be made to challenge, circumvent, or invalidate the Company's patents in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or courts of competent jurisdiction, including administrative boards or tribunals, the Company may have to participate in legal or quasi-legal proceedings therein to maintain, defend, and/or enforce its rights in these patents. Any legal proceedings to maintain, defend, and/or enforce the Company's patent rights could be lengthy and costly, with no guarantee of success.

The Company also relies heavily upon trade secrets and other proprietary information. The Company seeks to maintain the confidentiality of such information by requiring employees, consultants, and other parties to sign confidentiality agreements, and by limiting access by parties outside the Company to such information. There can be no assurance, however, these measures will prevent the unauthorized disclosure or use of this information or that others will not be able to independently develop such information. Additionally, there can be no assurance any agreements regarding confidentiality and non-disclosure will not be breached, or, in the event of any breach, that adequate remedies would be available to the Company.

In 1992, the Company agreed to settle alleged patent infringement claims by Collagen Corporation (now Inamed Corporation). Under the settlement agreement, the Company pays Collagen a royalty of 5% of net sales in the U.S. of Macroplastique products with a minimum of \$50,000 per year. The agreement is through May 1, 2006.

Claims by competitors, such as Inamed Corporation and other third parties, that the Company's products allegedly infringe the patent or other intellectual property rights of others, could have a material adverse effect on the Company. There has been substantial litigation regarding patent and other intellectual property rights in the medical device industry, and intellectual property litigation may be used against the Company as a means of gaining a competitive advantage. Intellectual property litigation is complex, time-consuming, and expensive, and the outcome of such litigation is difficult to predict. Any future litigation, regardless of outcome, could result in substantial expense to the Company and significant diversion of the efforts of the Company's technical and management personnel. An adverse outcome in any litigation could subject the Company to significant liabilities to third parties, require disputed rights to be licensed from others, if licenses to such rights could be obtained, or require the Company to cease making, using, or selling certain products. There can be no assurance that any licenses required under any patents or proprietary rights would be made available on terms acceptable to the Company, if at all. In addition to being costly, protracted litigation to defend or prosecute intellectual property could result in the Company being unable to commercialize Macroplastique on a timely basis or at all, and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Although the Company intends to apply for additional patents and vigorously defend issued patents, management believes its success as a business will depend primarily upon its development and marketing skills, and the quality and economic value of its products rather than on its ability to obtain and defend patents.

The Company has a Royalty Agreement with three individuals, two of whom are former Officers and Directors. Under such Agreement, the Company pays royalties, in the aggregate, of three to five percent of net sales of Macroplastique and Bioplastique, subject to a monthly minimum of \$4,500. The royalties payable under this Agreement will continue until the patent referenced in the Agreement expires in 2010.

In December 1995, the Company obtained a license from a British surgeon, which became superseded by a subsequent Agreement entered into by the parties in October 1998. Pursuant to this subsequent Agreement, the Company received an absolute assignment of a patent relating to the Macroplastique Implantation System in return for a royalty of 10 British pounds for each unit sold during the life of the patent. The Company began commercialization of the product outside the U.S. in March 2000.

### **Research and Development**

The Company has a Research and Development program to develop new products in the field of incontinence. The Company is also continually evaluating potential improvements as well as new methods and devices for the implantation of Macroplastique and on new applications for this material. R&D expenses also include the costs of clinical studies including the U.S. clinical trial currently underway pursuant to the IDE approved by the FDA. Expenditures for R&D totaled \$1,820,690 and \$2,061,754 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. None of these costs were borne directly by customers.

### **Compliance with Environmental Laws**

Compliance by the Company with applicable environmental requirements during its fiscal years ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 has not had a material effect upon its capital expenditures, earnings, or competitive position.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Employees**

As of March 31, 2004, the Company had 39 employees, of which 29 were full-time and 10 part-time. No employee has a collective bargaining agreement with the Company. The Company believes it maintains good relations with its employees.

### **Incorporation and Current Subsidiaries**

The Company was incorporated in January 1992 as a Minnesota Corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of its parent. In February 1995, the Company became a stand-alone, privately held company pursuant to a Plan of Reorganization confirmed by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court. The Company's shares became registered and the Company became a reporting company pursuant to a registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in July 1996.

The Company's wholly owned foreign subsidiaries and their respective principal functions are as follows:

Uroplasty BV	Incorporated in The Netherlands, is the manufacturer of Macroplastique, Bioplastique, VOX Implants, PTQ Implants and of all their accessories, and sells its products through distributors.
Uroplasty LTD	Incorporated in the United Kingdom and acts as the sole distributor of Macroplastique, Bioplastique, PTQ Implants, all of their accessories, and wound care products in the United Kingdom and Ireland.
Bioplasty BV	Incorporated in The Netherlands and is the distributor of Bioplastique to subdistributors, and distributes wound care products in The Netherlands.

### **Factors Affecting the Business**

The following factors are important and should be considered carefully in connection with any evaluation of the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Additionally, any one or combination of the following factors could cause the Company's actual results to materially differ from those reflected in any forward-looking statements of the Company.

**Government Regulation:** The Company's product, manufacturing processes, and product development activities are subject to extensive and rigorous regulation by governmental and regulatory authorities in foreign countries, similar to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). In Europe, where Macroplastique has been used since 1991, the Company's introduction of medical devices as well as the design, manufacturing, labeling, distribution, sale, marketing, advertising, promotion, and record keeping procedures for the Company's products are subject to laws and regulations governing medical devices contained in the European Medical Device Directives (MDD). The Company's products are not sold in the United States as of yet because submissions have not been made to the FDA for marketing in the United States.

In the United States, most of the Company's products are subject to Pre-Market Approval (PMA) application with the FDA prior to marketing. In 1999, Uroplasty received approval from the FDA of an Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) relating to a U.S. clinical trial for the treatment of female stress urinary incontinence ( SUI ) using Macroplastique. Upon completion of the clinical trial, the Company plans to submit a PMA application to the FDA requesting approval to commercialize Macroplastique in the U.S. There can be no assurance the U.S. clinical trials

will be successfully completed or that the requisite approvals will be granted for Macroplastique or any other product on a timely basis, or at all, or that such regulatory reviews will not involve delays that would conflict with the Company's ability to commercialize its products in the U.S.

If and when regulatory approval to market a product is obtained from the FDA, this approval may necessitate limitations on the indicated uses of the product. Marketing approval can also be withdrawn by the FDA in the United States (and by regulatory authorities in foreign countries) due to failure to comply with regulatory requirements or the occurrence of unforeseen problems following initial approval. The Company may be required to make further filings with the FDA and other regulatory authorities in foreign countries under certain circumstances, such as the addition of product claims or product reformulation. The FDA and other regulatory authorities in foreign countries could also limit or prevent the manufacture and/or distribution of the Company's products and have the power to demand the recall of such products. Medical device regulations depend strongly on administrative interpretation, and there can be no assurance future interpretation made by the FDA or other regulatory bodies, with possible retroactive effect, will not adversely affect the Company. The FDA and various other authorities either currently inspect or will inspect the Company's facilities from time to time to determine whether Uroplasty is in compliance with regulations relating to medical device manufacturing

**Table of Contents**

including regulations concerning design, manufacturing, testing, quality control, product labeling, distribution, promotion, and record keeping practices. A determination that the Company is in material violation of such regulations could lead to the imposition of civil penalties, including fines, product recalls, product seizures or, in extreme cases, criminal sanctions.

**Effects of Technological Developments:** The Company competes in a market characterized by technological innovation, extensive research efforts, and significant competition. Improvements in existing treatment options or developments of new treatment methods may have a material adverse effect on the Company's ability to increase sales of Macroplastique, successfully commercialize any future products, and may render such products noncompetitive or obsolete. Other companies are currently engaged in the development of products and innovative methods for treating SUI that are similar to or competing with Macroplastique and these companies may have greater financial resources and know how than the Company. Significant developments by any of these companies or advances by medical researchers could eliminate the market for Macroplastique or otherwise render Macroplastique obsolete. Although technological change has not had a direct material impact on the Company in recent years, the potential such a change might occur is a continuing risk for the Company.

**Macroplastique Market Acceptance:** The Company currently sells Macroplastique in Europe, Canada and other countries outside the United States. The Company's products are not sold in the United States as of yet because submissions have not been made to the FDA for marketing in the United States. Acceptance of Macroplastique by physicians in preference to other treatment options, including other bulking agents, will depend upon the demonstration of its safety and effectiveness, relative performance of Macroplastique compared to other market approved products, availability of other treatment options, and ease of use and relative cost compared to treatment options including other bulking agents. Physicians may elect not to use Macroplastique unless adequate reimbursement from health care payors is available. Health care payer acceptance of a treatment utilizing Macroplastique will require, among other things, evidence of the cost effectiveness of this treatment as compared to other treatment options. There can be no assurance the acceptance of Macroplastique by urological and gynecological health care providers will develop or continue to grow in countries where Macroplastique is already used.

**Single Product:** The Company currently derives over 85% of its net sales from Macroplastique and related products. Discontinuance or reduction of revenues from Macroplastique sold outside of the U.S. could therefore have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operations. The Company does not expect commercialization of other new products will be feasible without a substantial, continuing commitment to research and development for an extended period of time or acquisitions of new products, or both. Also, new medical products must typically undergo clinical trials and regulatory clearance or approval before commercialization. There can be no assurance as to whether or when commercialization of other products might begin, or as to the likelihood that any such initiative would be successful. The market for medically-related products changes constantly. If the market changes, new or strengthened competition emerges, customer preferences change, and/or new technology causes Macroplastique to be viewed as a less effective treatment, the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operation would be adversely affected.

**Patents and Proprietary Rights:** The Company's success depends in part on the ability to preserve trade secrets, obtain and maintain patent protection for Uroplasty's products under United States and international patent laws and other intellectual property laws, and operate without infringing upon the proprietary rights of third parties. Patents covering the materials, process, and applications have been issued to the Company by the Patent Offices in the United States, United Kingdom, Japan, Germany, The Netherlands, and Canada. Applications are also currently pending in various other European countries. No assurances can be given that the scope of any patent protection will prevent competitors (most of which have financial and other resources substantially greater than the Company) from introducing products competitive with the Company's products, the Company's patents will be held valid if subsequently challenged, others will not claim rights in or ownership of the patents and other proprietary rights held by the Company, or the

Company's product and processes will not infringe, or be alleged to infringe, the proprietary rights of others.

A number of patents have been issued to others in the area of injectable bulking agents. The validity and breadth of claims covered in medical device technology patents involve complex legal and factual questions and may therefore be highly uncertain. The Company also relies upon unpatented trade secrets to protect the Company's proprietary technology. No assurance can be given that others will not independently develop or otherwise acquire substantially equivalent techniques and/or gain access to and disclose the Company's proprietary technology. Further, no assurance can be given that the Company can ultimately protect meaningful rights to such unpatented proprietary technology. There has been substantial litigation regarding patent and other intellectual property rights in the medical device industry. Companies in the medical device industry have employed intellectual property litigation to gain a competitive advantage. Litigation may be necessary to enforce any patents issued to the Company, protect trade secrets or proprietary information owned by the Company against claimed infringement of the rights of others, or determine the scope and validity of the proprietary rights of others. The defense and prosecution of patent litigation or other legal and/or administrative proceedings related to patents is costly and time-consuming regardless of the outcome. An adverse



## **Table of Contents**

outcome in any litigation could subject the Company to significant liabilities to third parties, require disputed rights to be licensed from others, and/or require the Company to cease making, using, or selling any products. There can be no assurance that any licenses required under any patents or proprietary rights would be made available on terms acceptable to the Company, if at all.

**Public Reaction to Silicone Products:** Macroplastique is comprised of medical grade, heat-vulcanized polydimethylsiloxane, which results in a solid, flexible silicone elastomer. In the early 1990 s the United States breast implant industry became the subject of significant controversies surrounding the possible effects upon the human body of the use of silicone gel in breast implants resulting in product liability litigation, leading to the bankruptcy of several companies, including Uroplasty s former parent, Bioplasty, Inc. The Company uses only medical grade solid silicone material in its tissue bulking products and not semi-liquid silicone gel, as was used in breast implants. However, there can be no assurance that the use by the Company and others of solid silicone in medical devices implanted in the human body will not result in controversies, litigation, or negative publicity from news media, competitors or regulatory investigations. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that in the event such negative publicity occurred, that it would not have a significant adverse effect on the Company s future financial position or results of operations.

**Third-Party Reimbursement:** Any success of the Company will depend, in part, upon satisfactory reimbursement for Macroplastique procedures from third-party health care payers. In the U.S. and many foreign countries, third-party reimbursement is currently generally available for surgical procedures for urinary incontinence, but there is no uniform policy for such reimbursements. The Company sells Macroplastique to physicians, hospitals and other users which bill various third-party payers, such as government health programs, private health insurance plans, managed care organizations, and other similar programs for the health care products and services provided to their patients. Payers may deny reimbursement if they determine a product used in a procedure was not used in accordance with established payer protocols regarding cost-efficient treatment methods, was used for an unapproved indication or was not otherwise covered. Third-party payers are increasingly challenging the prices charged for medical products and services and, in some instances, have pressured medical suppliers to lower their prices. The availability of third-party reimbursement for Macroplastique or competitors products and continuing efforts to reduce the costs of health care by decreasing reimbursement rates may reduce the price received by the Company for Macroplastique or increase the relative expense to the consumer. The Company believes a material amount of Macroplastique revenues are received from third-party payers; therefore failure to receive sufficient reimbursement from health care payers for procedures using Macroplastique or adverse changes in governmental and third-party payers policies toward reimbursement for such procedures would materially adversely affect the Company s business, financial condition, and results of operations.

**Raw Material / Component Suppliers:** The Company currently purchases certain materials from single, qualified and approved sources. Alternative suppliers for all these materials exist should the current suppliers discontinue production or distribution. However, the Company would need to complete additional testing to qualify the materials obtained from any new suppliers. Limited notice of the need to switch suppliers for any of these materials could result in production delays and inventory depletion, and alternative suppliers could charge prices significantly higher than current costs. The Company has not experienced any shortage of these materials to date; however, no assurance can be given that shortages of these materials will not be experienced in the future.

**Research and Development Expenses and Expected Losses:** The Company s future success will depend upon, among other factors, its ability to introduce and market Macroplastique on a timely basis in the U.S. The development and commercialization by the Company of Macroplastique and other products in the U.S. will require substantial clinical, regulatory, and other expenditures for the foreseeable future.

**Additional Capital Requirements:** Management believes that current resources and the funds generated from sale of the Company s products outside the U.S. will be adequate to meet the Company s cash flow needs, including R&D

activities associated with existing products and markets through fiscal 2005. In the event product sales and/or expenses differ from expected levels, the Company may require additional financing to complete the Pre-market Approval application for Macroplastique in the U.S. Further, the Company may seek additional funds for U.S. market introduction of Macroplastique for female SUI, as well as for U.S. approval for the Company's products in other indications.

**International Operations and Currency Fluctuations:** The Company currently sells its products only outside the U.S. through its wholly owned foreign subsidiaries. Sales and operations outside of the U.S. are subject to certain inherent risks, including, without limitation, fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies, tariffs, quotas, taxes and other market barriers, political and economic instability, restrictions on the import and export of technology, difficulties in staffing and managing international operations, difficulties in obtaining work permits for employees, difficulties in collecting receivables, potentially adverse tax consequences, potential language barriers, and difficulties in operating in a different culture and legal system. There can be no assurance that any of these factors will not have a materially adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations. In particular, because the Company's international sales are denominated primarily in euros, currency fluctuations in countries where the Company does business may render the Company's products less price competitive than those of competing companies

**Table of Contents**

whose sales are denominated in weaker currencies. The Company reports its financial results in U.S. dollars, and fluctuations in the value of either the dollar or the currencies in which the Company transacts business can have a negative impact on its financial condition or results of operations. Consequently, the Company has exposure to foreign currency exchange risks. The Company attempts to lessen that exposure by keeping to a minimum the amount of time trade payables and receivables are outstanding, and by denominating product sales in a currency which historically has been more stable than other foreign currencies. However, there can be no assurance that historical stability of any currency is any indication of its future stability. The currency gain in fiscal 2003 is primarily the result of a weakened U.S. dollar compared to the euro. In January 2003 the Company recapitalized one of the Dutch subsidiaries with an investment of 1.5 million euros (\$1.6 million). The proceeds from the investment were used to reduce the dollar denominated intercompany debt at the Dutch subsidiary. This resulted in less volatility for changes in currency exchange rates in the Company's statement of operations.

**Limited Public Market for Common Stock; Possible Stock Price Volatility:** There is only a limited trading market for the Company's common stock. There is no assurance that purchasers of the Company's securities will be able to resell their shares for a profit. Announcements of new products and services by the Company or its competitors, technological innovations by competitors, disputes regarding patents or other proprietary rights, regulatory developments and economic and other external factors, as well as period-to-period fluctuations in the Company's financial results, could cause the market price of the Company's Common Stock to fluctuate significantly. In addition, the stock market in general, and in particular the market prices for medical technology companies, has historically experienced significant volatility which has affected the market price of securities of many companies and which has sometimes been unrelated to the operating performance of such companies. Such volatility may adversely affect the market price of the Company's Common Stock.

**ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY**

The Company owns office and warehouse space at Hofkamp 2, 6161 DC Geleen, The Netherlands. In addition, the Company leases office, warehouse, laboratory and production space through February 2006 at 2718 Summer Street NE, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55413-2820, USA; office and warehouse space through September 2011 (subject to a right of the Company to terminate early starting in 2006) at Unit 3, Woodside Business Park, Whitley Wood Lane, Reading, Berkshire RG2 8LW, United Kingdom; and office, warehouse, laboratory and manufacturing space through June 2007 at Industrieweg 12, 5627 BS Eindhoven, The Netherlands. The Company considers its facilities adequate; however, additional office, production, and warehouse space may be necessary upon FDA approval and subsequent increases in production, marketing, and sales activities in the U.S.

**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

None.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

The Company did not submit any matter to a vote of its security holders during the fourth quarter of its recently completed fiscal year.

**PART II**

**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

As of the date hereof, there is only a limited public trading market for the Company's Common Stock.

The following table sets forth the high and low bid prices for the Company's Common Stock, as reported in the NASD's Bulletin Board system (market symbol UPST.OB ; formerly UROP.OB) on a quarterly basis, from April 2003 through March 2004. Such quotations represent interdealer prices, without retail markup, mark down or commission, and do not necessarily represent actual transactions.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Fiscal Quarters</b>	<b>Low Bid</b>	<b>High Bid</b>
First Quarter	\$2.07	\$3.40
Second Quarter	2.85	4.40
Third Quarter	3.30	5.35
Fourth Quarter	4.05	6.45

As of March 31, 2004, approximately 524 holders held the Company's Common Stock of record. Registered ownership includes nominees who may hold securities on behalf of multiple beneficial owners.

**ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION**

THIS DISCUSSION OF THE FINANCIAL CONDITION AND THE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF THE COMPANY SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH, AND IS QUALIFIED IN ITS ENTIRETY BY, THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND NOTES THERETO INCLUDED ELSEWHERE WITHIN THIS ANNUAL REPORT, THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THE RISK FACTORS AND BUSINESS SECTIONS OF THIS ANNUAL REPORT, AND THE CAUTIONARY DISCLOSURE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AT THE FRONT OF PART I OF THIS ANNUAL REPORT.

**Overview**

Uroplasty, Inc. develops, manufactures, and/or markets medical products in certain segments of the urology, gynecology, urogynecology, colon and rectal, wound care, otolaryngology and plastic surgery markets. Products sold by the Company are subject to regulation by the U.S. FDA and/or various regulating agencies in countries outside the U.S. Existing sales have been, and future sales growth is expected to be, derived from Macroplastique and related ancillary products designed for use by urologists, gynecologists, and uro-gynecologists for the primary treatment of stress urinary incontinence (SUI) and for the treatment of vesicoureteral reflux (VUR), a condition in which urine flows backward from the bladder to the kidney. Macroplastique is comprised of soft, textured, vulcanized, medical grade silicone elastomer implants suspended in a biocompatible carrier gel. Our minimally invasive procedure allows for Macroplastique to be placed in the soft tissue of the mid-urethra (in the case of SUI), and at the ureteral orifice (in the case of vesicoureteral reflux), the implants act as a bulking material to restore urinary continence or to eliminate reflux of urine from the bladder to the kidneys.

In addition to the urological applications, the Company's implantable tissue bulking material is also marketed by the Company outside the U.S. for reconstructive and cosmetic plastic surgery applications under the trade name Bioplastique Implants; fecal incontinence applications under the trade name PTQ Implants (formerly PTP Implants); and vocal cord rehabilitation under the trade name VOX Implants. In The Netherlands and the United Kingdom, the Company distributes certain wound care products on behalf of another company in accordance with an executed Distributor Agreement. Under the terms of the Distributor Agreement, the Company is not obligated to purchase any minimum level of wound care products.

The Company's products are sold by direct sales forces in the United Kingdom, and by a network of distributors in numerous countries outside the U.S., including Europe, Australia, Canada and South America. The Company is currently conducting a multi-center human clinical trial with its urethral bulking agent, Macroplastique, pursuant to an FDA IDE as a minimally invasive, office-based procedure for treating female SUI. This study is required as part of a Premarket Approval Submission to the FDA for marketing within the United States.

The Company's current objectives are to focus on sales and marketing activities designed to increase market penetration and sales of Macroplastique for SUI, VUR, and of PTQ Implants for fecal incontinence applications in

countries outside the U.S., and to efficiently and effectively execute the Macroplastique human clinical study for treatment of female SUI within the U.S.

The Company's net income during fiscal 2003 was the result of non-recurring items related to foreign currency exchange gains of \$530,083 and a settlement income of \$180,000.

### **Restatements**

During the fiscal 2004 year end close process, the Company determined that its pension plan, covering 18 employees in The Netherlands, had historically been reported as a defined contribution plan, but should have been reported as a defined benefit plan. The Company pays premiums to an insurance company to fund annuities for these employees, however, the Company is responsible for funding additional annuities based on continued service and future salary increases. Furthermore, the Company discovered an error in how the effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents was

**Table of Contents**

recorded in our Statement of Cash Flows. The Company has restated its March 31, 2003 consolidated balance sheet, the fiscal 2003 consolidated results of operations, the fiscal 2003 consolidated statement of cash flows and related footnote disclosures for the impact of accounting for the pension plan as a defined benefit plan and for the correction of the effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents as follows:

	<b>March 31, 2003</b>	
	<b>As previously reported</b>	<b>As restated</b>
<b>BALANCE SHEET DATA:</b>		
Non-current deferred tax assets	\$	94,159
Total current liabilities	587,112	618,828
Accrued pension liability		241,208
Accumulated deficit	(3,163,156)	(3,245,329)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(407,243)	(503,835)
Total shareholders equity	4,820,392	4,641,627
<b>Year Ended March 31, 2003</b>		
	<b>As previously reported</b>	<b>As restated</b>
<b>STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA:</b>		
Cost of goods sold	1,599,978	1,594,008
Operating expenses		
General and administrative	1,220,271	1,214,300
Research and development	2,067,725	2,061,754
Selling and marketing	1,142,834	1,136,863
Operating loss	(687,152)	(663,269)
Income before income taxes	41,214	65,097
Income tax benefit		(68,121)
Net income	41,214	133,218
Net income per common share		
Basic	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.04
Diluted	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.03
<b>CASH FLOW DATA:</b>		
Net cash provided by operating activities	292,647	645,619
Net cash used in investing activities	(72,401)	(71,093)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,227,474	2,222,067
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(117,860)	(466,733)

**Critical Accounting Policies**

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., which require the Company to make estimates and assumptions in certain circumstances that affect amounts reported. In preparing these financial statements, management has made its best estimates and judgments of certain amounts, giving due consideration to materiality. The Company believes that of its significant accounting policies

(more fully described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements), the following are particularly important to the



**Table of Contents**

portrayal of the Company's results of operations and financial position and may require the application of a higher level of judgment by the Company's management, and as a result are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty.

Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable. The Securities and Exchange Commission's Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, Revenue Recognition provides guidance on the application of generally accepted accounting principles to selected revenue recognition issues, and the Company's revenue recognition policies are in compliance with SAB 104. The Company markets and distributes its products through a network of distributors and through direct sales to end-users in the United Kingdom and The Netherlands. The Company recognizes revenue upon shipment of product to its distributors and direct customers. There are no customer acceptance provisions or Company installation obligations. The Company's sales terms to its distributors and customers provide no right of return outside of the Company's standard warranty policy (see Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements), and payment terms consistent with industry standards apply. Sales terms and pricing to the Company's distributors are governed by the respective distribution agreements. The Company's distribution partners purchase the Company's products to meet sales demand of their end-user customers as well as to fulfill their internal requirements associated with the sales process and, if applicable, contractual purchase requirements under the respective distribution agreements. Internal and other requirements include purchases of products for training, demonstration and evaluation purposes, clinical evaluations, product support, establishing inventories, meeting minimum purchase commitments. As a result, the level of the Company's revenue during any period is not necessarily indicative of its distributors' sales to end-user customers during that period, which are estimated not to be substantially different than the Company's sales to those distributors in each of the last two years. The Company's future revenue growth may be impacted by its distributors' level of inventories of the Company's products, their sales to end-user customers and their internal product requirements.

Inventories. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market using the first-in, first-out method. Reserves for slow moving and obsolete inventories are provided based upon current and expected future product sales and the expected impact of product transitions or modifications. While the Company expects its sales to grow, a reduction in its sales could reduce the demand for the Company's products, and additional inventory reserves may be required.

Foreign Currency Translation/Transactions. The financial statements of the Company's foreign subsidiaries were translated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 52 Foreign Currency Translation. Under this Statement, all assets and liabilities are translated using period-end exchange rates and statements of operations items are translated using average exchange rates for the period. The resulting translation adjustment is recorded within accumulated other comprehensive loss, a separate component of shareholders' equity. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are recognized currently in the statement of operations, including unrealized gains and losses on short-term inter-company obligations using period-end exchange rates, resulting in an increase in the volatility of the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations. Unrealized gains and losses on long-term inter-company obligations are recognized within accumulated other comprehensive loss, a separate component of shareholders' equity.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. Long-lived assets at March 31, 2004 consist of property, plant and equipment. The Company reviews its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

Set forth below is management's discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2004 and 2003. See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for business segment information.

## Results of Operations

Net Sales: In fiscal year ended March 31, 2004, net sales of all products were \$5,714,896, representing a \$371,240 or 7% increase when compared to net sales of \$5,343,656 for fiscal 2003. The sales increase is the result of favorable fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates between the U.S. dollar (the functional reporting currency) and the euro and the British pound (currencies of the Company's subsidiaries). Excluding the fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, sales decreased approximately 8%. Management believes the decrease in sales is related to increased competition and healthcare reform in many European countries with procedures being limited or rationed through reimbursement systems designed to decrease overall costs to the various national healthcare systems. Management believes sales will increase going forward with targeted marketing programs. The Macroplastique product line accounts for 81% to 85% of total net sales during the periods presented.

Gross Profit: Gross profit was \$4,262,565 and \$3,749,648 for the years ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, or 75% and 70% of net sales. Gross profit in any one period is highly variable depending on unit sales and utilization of

## **Table of Contents**

manufacturing capacity. Historically, the gross margin percentage has moved between approximately 70-80% of net sales.

**General and Administrative Expense:** General and administrative (G&A) expenses increased 70% from \$1,214,300 during fiscal 2003 to \$2,069,568 during fiscal 2004. Increased foreign retirement costs, consulting, legal, insurance and shareholder expenses, combined with general price increases and fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates caused the increase in G&A expenses. The consulting fees and shareholder expenses relate to the consulting agreements with the Executive Advisory Group (EAG) to perform services for and on behalf of the Company and the consulting agreement with CCRI Corporation to provide investor relations and development services. The two agreements accounted for \$601,013 of the increased expense.

**Research and Development Expense:** Research and Development (R&D) expenses decreased \$241,064, or 12%, from \$2,061,754 during fiscal 2003 to \$1,820,690 during fiscal 2004. The decrease in R&D expense resulted from a decrease in clinical costs primarily due to the study completion of many patients enrolled in the Company's IDE clinical trial.

**Selling and Marketing Expenses:** Selling and marketing (S&M) costs increased \$577,612, or 51% from \$1,136,863 during fiscal 2003 to \$1,714,475 during fiscal 2004. The increase resulted from recruitment fees, staffing, and dismissal payments, travel costs and costs relating to trade-shows, conventions and congresses, marketing materials, general price increases, and fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

**Other Income (Expense):** Other income (expense) includes interest income, interest expense, foreign currency exchange gains and losses, settlement income and other non-operating costs when incurred. The Company's financial results are subject to material fluctuations based on changes in currency exchange rates. Other income was \$60,060 and \$728,366 for the years ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The majority of the differences between periods were due to foreign currency exchange gains and losses and the settlement proceeds from the litigation. Exchange gains and losses are recognized primarily as a result of fluctuations in currency rates between the U.S. Dollar (the functional reporting currency) and the Euro and British Pound (currencies of the Company's subsidiaries), as well as their effect on the dollar denominated intercompany obligations between the Company and its foreign subsidiaries. The Company recognized foreign currency gains of \$45,882 and \$530,083 for the years ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The currency gains are primarily the result of a weakened U.S. Dollar compared to the Euro. In January 2003, the Company recapitalized one of the Dutch subsidiaries with an investment of 1.5 million Euros (\$1.6 million). The Company used proceeds from the investment to reduce the dollar denominated intercompany debt at the Dutch subsidiary, resulting in an adjusted balance at December 31, 2002 of \$0.9 million of intercompany debt at the Dutch subsidiary subject for foreign currency transaction accounting. This resulted in less volatility for changes in currency exchange rates in the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

The Company's income tax expense (benefit) was \$229,185 for fiscal 2004 and (\$68,121) for 2003. Prior to fiscal 2003, the Company placed a full valuation allowance on its deferred tax assets. During 2003, the Company used substantially all its net operating loss carryforwards at its Dutch subsidiaries and determined that the remaining deferred tax assets were recoverable. For all periods, the Company has a full valuation allowance for its deferred tax assets in the UK and the US. At March 31, 2003, the Company recognized a deferred tax asset of \$68,121 related to the Company's Dutch subsidiaries pension accounting. Income tax expense for 2004 related to income taxes at the Company's Dutch subsidiaries. The U.S. net operating loss carryforwards cannot be used to offset taxable income in foreign jurisdictions. Management expects continued profits for its Dutch subsidiaries and therefore continued income tax expenses. The Dutch income tax rate is 29% for euro 22,689 of profit and 34.5% for the amount above euro 22,689.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

As of March 31, 2004, the Company's cash and cash equivalent balances totaled \$2,697,670.

At March 31, 2004, the Company had working capital of approximately \$3.7 million. During the year ended March 31, 2004, \$582,181 of cash was used in operating activities, compared to \$645,619 of cash provided by operating activities in the prior-year. The usage of cash was primarily attributable to the net loss incurred of \$1,511,293, compared to a profit of \$133,218 in the prior year. Accounts receivable, other current assets, accounts payable and accrued expenses fluctuated due to the timing of payments and fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The Company recorded \$473,013 of non-cash stock-based compensation expense during the year ended March 31, 2004, compared to \$1,508 for the prior-year period. This increase was due to the stock-based investor relations and other consulting agreements the Company consummated on April 1, 2003 with C.C.R.I. Corporation and Executive Advisory Group.

The Company currently has no financing arrangements in place with any bank for general working capital needs, and no material unused sources of liquidity other than the cash, equipment leasing arrangements, and its accounts receivable and

**Table of Contents**

inventory balances at March 31, 2004 of \$1,065,176 and \$519,130, respectively. For fiscal 2005, management does not anticipate any material capital expenditures.

The Company's financial condition and results of operations could be materially affected by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and weak economic conditions in foreign markets where the Company's products are distributed. The effects of these conditions could include reduced unit sales and reduced sales in dollars when converted from foreign currency amounts and material gains and losses on transactions denominated in foreign currencies. Furthermore, because the Company's U.S. operations are funded by sales denominated in foreign currency, strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the Euro, and/or the British Pound could have an adverse effect on the Company's cash flow and results of operations.

Management expects continued high costs associated with the conduct of the U.S. human clinical study for Macroplastique pursuant to the FDA approved IDE, the subsequent U.S. Premarket Approval process, and pre-commercialization and market launch costs in the U.S. relating to Macroplastique for female SUI.

Management believes that current resources and the funds generated from sale of the Company's products outside the U.S. will be adequate to meet the Company's cash flow needs, including R&D activities associated with existing products and markets through fiscal 2005. Ultimately, the Company will need to achieve profitability and positive cash flows from operations or obtain additional debt or equity financing to fund its operations.

Repayments on the Company's contractual obligations, consisting of notes payable, capital leases and operating leases, are summarized below:

**Payments due by period**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Less than 1 year</b>	<b>1-3 years</b>	<b>4-5 years</b>	<b>After 5 years</b>
Minimum royalty payments	\$ 414,667	104,000	216,167	94,500	
Notes payable	522,021	42,301	126,903	84,602	268,215
Operating lease commitments	660,529	332,147	323,987	4,395	
<b>Total contractual obligations</b>	<b>\$ 1,597,217</b>	<b>478,448</b>	<b>667,057</b>	<b>183,497</b>	<b>268,215</b>

The Company has a pension plan covering 18 employees in The Netherlands, reported as a defined benefit plan. The Company pays premiums to an insurance company to fund annuities for these employees, however, the Company is responsible for funding additional annuities based on continued service and future salary increases.

The Company is obligated to pay royalties of 5% of net sales in the U.S. of Macroplastique products with a minimum of \$50,000 per year. The duration of this royalty agreement is through May 1, 2006. Under another royalty agreement the Company pays royalties, in the aggregate, of three to five percent of net sales of Macroplastique, Bioplastique, and PTQ Implants subject to a monthly minimum of \$4,500. The royalties payable under this Agreement will continue until the patent referenced in the Agreement expires in 2010. Under a license agreement for the Macroplastique Implantation System the Company pays a royalty of 10 British pounds for each unit sold during the life of the patent.

Royalties are more fully described under Patents, Trademarks, and Licenses.

## **ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The information contained under the headings Consolidated Statements of Operations , Consolidated Balance Sheets , Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) , Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows , Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm is incorporated herein by reference.

## **ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

KPMG LLP ( KPMG ) has been serving as our principal accountants. On June 4, 2004, KPMG declined to stand for reelection and informed us that the client-auditor relationship between KPMG and us will cease upon completion of KPMG s audit of our consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended March 31, 2004 and the issuance of

**Table of Contents**

KPMG's report thereon. In connection with the audits of the two fiscal years ended March 31, 2004, and the subsequent interim period through July 28, 2004 (the date that KPMG completed its audit), there were no disagreements with KPMG on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedures, which disagreements if not resolved to KPMG's satisfaction would have caused KPMG to make reference in connection with its opinion to the subject matter of the disagreement.

KPMG cited two reportable conditions in its communications to our audit committee on July 29, 2004 related to the restatement of our consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended March 31, 2003: (i) the correcting of an error in how we account for our Dutch pension plan and (ii) the correcting of an error in how we record the effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents on our statement of cash flows.

The audit reports of KPMG on our consolidated financial statements as of and for the years ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 did not contain any adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were they qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles; except that KPMG's report on our consolidated financial statements as of and for the years ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, contained a separate paragraph stating "As discussed in note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has restated its consolidated financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2003." We have requested KPMG to furnish us with a letter, addressed to the Commission, stating whether KPMG agrees or disagrees with the statements made by us under this item. KPMG's letter is filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-KSB in accordance with paragraph (a)(3) of item 304 of Regulation S-B.

## PART III

**ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT**

The Company's Directors and Executive Officers are as follows:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Director Since</b>	<b>Term expires</b>
Daniel G. Holman	58	Chairman, President, CEO, CFO	1994	2006
Joel R. Pitlor	65	Director	1994	2004
R. Patrick Maxwell	59	Director	1994	2005
Thomas E. Jamison	44	Director	2000	2004
Sam B. Humphries	62	Director	2003	2006
Susan Hartjes Holman	50	COO, Secretary		
Larry Heinemann	51	Vice President Sales & Marketing		
Christopher Harris	45	Vice President Research & Development Managing Director UK subsidiary		

Arie J. Koole	40	Controller Managing Director Dutch subsidiaries
---------------	----	--

Marc M. Herregraven	39	Vice President of Manufacturing
---------------------	----	---------------------------------

All Directors are members of the Nominating Committee; all Directors except Mr. Holman are members of the Compensation Committee; Mr. Maxwell and Mr. Jamison are members of the Audit Committee.

The Company has entered into Employment Agreements, which are terminable at will by either party upon thirty day written notice, with Mr. Holman, Ms. Holman, Mr. Heinemann, Mr. Harris and Mr. Herregraven, the terms of which,



**Table of Contents**

among other things, specify a base salary subject to annual adjustment by mutual agreement of the Company and the Employee, and a severance payment to the employee upon employment termination without cause. Any severance amounts payable under the Agreement shall be limited to the Employee's base salary for not less than four months and not longer than twelve months after employment termination, depending on the Employee's years of service. Contemporaneously with the execution of the Employment Agreement, each of the Officers executed an Employee Confidentiality, Inventions, Non-Solicitation, and Non-Compete Agreement, certain terms of which specify the Employee shall not disclose confidential information, shall assign to the Company without charge all intellectual property relating to the Company's business which is created or conceived during the term of employment, shall not encourage Employees to leave the employment of the Company for any reason and shall not compete with the Company during the term of employment and for a period of eighteen months thereafter. Also in connection with the execution of these Agreements, each of these Officers, other than Mr. Herregraven, were granted varying amounts of stock options to purchase the Company's Common Stock at the fair market value at date of grant of \$7.50 per share. In all cases, the options are exercisable for five years or until one year after employment termination (subject to certain termination provisions), whichever date is earlier, and vest in three equal amounts on each one-year anniversary date subsequent to the option grant date.

The following paragraphs describe the business experience of each of the Company's Directors and Officers.

**Daniel G. Holman:** Mr. Holman has served as Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of Uroplasty, Inc. since February 1994, as Chief Financial Officer from June 1996 to November 1999 and as Chief Financial Officer as of February 2001. He was Executive Vice President of Bioplasty, Inc. from 1973 to 1985, its President from 1985 to 1987, and Secretary from 1986 to March 1992. Mr. Holman was Chairman of the Board of Bioplasty, Inc. from March 1992, and President and CEO from February 22, 1993 to December 31, 2001. He served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Bio-Vascular, Inc. from June 1988 to September 1991, served as a Director of Genetic Laboratories Wound Care, Inc. from February 1988 until July 1993, and as Vice President from February 1988 through November 1992. Mr. Holman holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in Biology from St. Cloud State University.

**Joel R. Pitlor:** Mr. Pitlor has been a director since February 1994. He served as a Director of Bioplasty, Inc. from January 1989 until May 1996. For over sixteen years, he has been the owner and manager of a management consulting firm. Mr. Pitlor is presently a Director of Precision Optics Corporation, which is publicly held. Mr. Pitlor holds a Bachelor of Science degree from MIT and serves as Personal Advisor to several CEOs.

**R. Patrick Maxwell:** Mr. Maxwell was appointed a Director of Uroplasty, Inc. in April 1994 and elected by the shareholders in August 1997. Mr. Maxwell has over 30 years of experience as a turn around management specialist, an entrepreneur and executive in both the business and non-profit sectors. Mr. Maxwell is Cofounder and a Director of Telnet Services Limited of Auckland, New Zealand since September 1995, Cofounder and Chief Financial Officer of Tele Resources, Inc. since October 1996 and Chief Financial Officer of American Specialty Confections since April 2000. Mr. Maxwell has served on numerous Boards of Directors of both business and charitable organizations. He has a B.A. in philosophy from St. John's University and a Juris Doctor from Northwestern University School of Law.

**Thomas E. Jamison:** Mr. Jamison was elected a Director of Uroplasty, Inc. in August 2000. Mr. Jamison is a shareholder of the business litigation law firm of Fruth, Jamison & Elsass, P.A. in Minneapolis, Minnesota. From 1996 to 1999, Mr. Jamison served as an investment banker in the Corporate Finance Department of R.J. Steichen & Co. From 1991 to 1996, Mr. Jamison practiced law at Fruth & Anthony, P.A. in Minneapolis. Mr. Jamison graduated magna cum laude from William Mitchell College of Law in 1991.

Sam B. Humphries: Mr. Humphries was elected a Director of Uroplasty, Inc. in April 2003. Mr. Humphries also serves as a consultant to the CEO. Mr. Humphries is currently the Managing Director of the Ascent Medical Technology Fund, L.P. and has more than 25 years of healthcare and medical device industry experience. Previously, Mr. Humphries was the President and CEO of American Medical Systems and earlier served as its Vice President of World Wide Sales and Marketing during its formative years. Mr. Humphries also served as President and CEO of Optical Sensors, Inc., a medical start-up company, where he guided the company from start-up through its initial public offering. Mr. Humphries has served on numerous private and public Boards of Directors, including the Board of Directors of the Health Industry Manufacturers Association (HIMA, now AdvaMed). Currently he serves on the Board of Directors of LifeSpex Medical, Inc., UroMetrics Medical, Inc., Micropure Medical, Inc., Inlet Medical, Inc., Universal Hospital Services, Inc., Ascent Private Equity, L.L.C., and Ascent Medical Technology Fund, L.P.

Susan Hartjes Holman: Ms. Holman joined Bioplasty, Inc. in September 1991 as Director of Operations and served as Vice President of Operations and Regulatory Affairs from April 1993 until May 1996. In November 1994, she was appointed Vice-President of Operations and Regulatory Affairs for Uroplasty, Inc. and was elected Secretary in September 1996. In November 2002, she was appointed Chief Operating Officer. Prior to 1991, Ms. Holman was Director of Operations at Bio-Vascular, Inc. in St. Paul, Minnesota from November 1989 to September 1991. Prior to

**Table of Contents**

that time, she served at various other pharmaceutical and medical device companies in management positions in manufacturing, quality assurance, and research. Ms. Holman has Bachelor of Arts degrees in Biology-Microbiology and Biomedical Science from St. Cloud State University, and has done graduate work in the biological sciences. Ms. Holman is a Senior Member and a Certified Quality Auditor of the American Society for Quality (ASQ), has served several years on its Executive Committee and subcommittees, and is a member of the Regulatory Affairs Professionals Society (RAPS) and its Ethics Task Force, and the Henrici Society for Microbiologists. She has served on several national and international scientific and regulatory committees, and is a cofounder for the Biomedical Focus Conference and the Biomedical Consortium, Minneapolis, MN.

Larry Heinemann: Mr. Heinemann joined Uroplasty, Inc. in September 1998 as Director of Sales for North and South America. In July 1999 he was promoted to Vice President of Sales and Marketing and in August 2001 was appointed as Vice President Marketing & Corporate Development for Uroplasty, Inc. In August 2003 he was again appointed to his current position as Vice President of Sales and Marketing. Prior to joining Uroplasty, Inc., Mr. Heinemann worked for two divisions of C. R. Bard, Inc. From January 1996 to September 1998, he was employed by the Bard Medical Division in the positions of Territory Manager and Sales Training. From May 1987 to January 1996, Mr. Heinemann was employed by the Bard Urological Division in various positions of Sales Consulting and Training Management. Prior to that time, Mr. Heinemann was employed by surgical device divisions of Squibb and Sterling Drug in various sales management positions. Mr. Heinemann holds a Bachelor of Science Degree from the School of Business of Eastern Illinois University and majored in Marketing and Personnel Management. He is a member of SUNA (Society of Urological Nursing Association), and served on the Board as an Industry Liaison for the Upper Midwest Chapter.

Christopher Harris: Mr. Harris joined Bioplasty Ltd. in October 1989 as Area Sales Manager in the United Kingdom. Since September 1994, he has been the Managing Director of the Company's subsidiary, Uroplasty LTD., in the United Kingdom. In February 1996, Mr. Harris was appointed as Director of Corporate Development, January 1997 as Vice President of Corporate Development, August 2001 as Vice President International Sales, and in August 2003 he was appointed to his current position as Vice President Research & Development. Mr. Harris, a certified nurse in the United Kingdom, practiced general surgery nursing for two years and operating room nursing for nine years prior to 1989 and was an active member of the National Association of Theatre Nurses (NATN) and the Royal Collage of Nursing (RCN). Mr. Harris is an elected member of the Institute of Directors (MinstD) in London the United Kingdom, and is a member of the Association of Clinical Research Professionals (ACRP) and the International Incontinence Society (ICS).

Arie J. Koole: Mr. Koole joined Bioplasty B.V. in May 1993 as Financial Manager in The Netherlands. Since January 2000 he has been the Managing Director of the Company's subsidiaries in The Netherlands. In June 1996, Mr. Koole was appointed as Director of Finance and in January 2000, Mr. Koole was appointed as Controller. From 1987 to 1993, Mr. Koole was a financial auditor with the international accounting firm Deloitte & Touche in The Netherlands. Mr. Koole has a bachelor degree in Business Economics.

Marc M. Herregraven: Mr. Herregraven joined Bioplasty, Inc. in April 1992 as Plant Manager, became Director of Manufacturing in 1994, and Director of Operations in 1999. In November 2002, Mr. Herregraven was appointed as Vice President of Manufacturing. Previously he served with Advanced Bio-Surfaces, Inc., a Minnesota-based medical device developer as Director of Manufacturing, and with Bio-Vascular, Inc., a Minnesota-based medical device manufacturer in an engineering function. Mr. Herregraven has a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering and has been a member of the American Society for Quality (ASQ) since 1996.

Mr. Holman and Ms. Hartjes Holman became husband and wife in 1999.

The Board of Directors determined that neither member of the current Audit Committee qualifies as an Audit Committee Financial Expert. The Board does not believe that, given the Company's current size, it is necessary or

feasible to actively search for an outside person to serve on the Board who would qualify as an Audit Committee Financial Expert. The Committee has authority to engage legal counsel, accountants, actuaries or other experts or consultants, as it deems appropriate to carry out its responsibilities.

On November 3, 2003 the Board of Directors approved a Code of Ethics for the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Controller and all other Officers of the Company. The Code is designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote honest and ethical behavior by the Company's Officers, as well as to ensure compliance with applicable rules and regulations. The Code of Ethics is filed as an exhibit to this Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2004.

#### **ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The information contained under the heading "Executive Compensation" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The information contained under the heading "Principal Shareholders" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

**ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS**

The information contained under the heading "Certain Transactions" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

**ITEM 13. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K**

(a) Exhibits. incorporated by reference.

<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
2.1	First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization (Modified) of the Company dated January 31, 1994 (Filed as Exhibit 8.1 to Form 10SB)
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of Uroplasty, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Form 10SB)
3.2	Bylaws of Uroplasty, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 2.2 to Form 10SB)
4.1	Form of Stock Certificate of the Company representing shares of the Company's Common Stock (Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10SB)
10.1	Settlement Agreement and Release dated November 30, 1993 by and between Bioplasty, Inc., Bio-Manufacturing, Inc., Uroplasty, Inc., Arthur A. Beisang, Arthur A. Beisang III, MD and Robert A. Ersek, MD (Filed as Exhibit 6.1 to Form 10SB)
10.2	Purchase and Sale Agreement dated December 1, 1995 by and among Bio-Vascular, Inc., Bioplasty, Inc., and Uroplasty, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 6.2 to Form 10SB)
10.3	License Agreement dated December 1, 1995 by and between Bio-Vascular, Inc. and Uroplasty, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 6.3 to Form 10SB)
10.4	Lease Agreement dated January 10, 1995 between Summer Business Center Partnership and Uroplasty, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 6.4 to Form 10SB)
10.5	Unsecured \$640,000 Promissory Note dated March 30, 1994 by and between Bioplasty, Inc., Uroplasty, Inc. and Bioplasty Product Claimants' Trust (Filed as Exhibit 6.5 to Form 10SB)
10.6	Agreement and Satisfaction dated January 30, 1995 by and between Bioplasty Product Claimants' Trust and Bioplasty, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 6.6 to Form 10SB)
10.7	Asset Sale and Satisfaction of Debt Agreement dated June 23, 1995 by and between Bioplasty, Inc. and Uroplasty, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 6.7 to Form 10SB)

Edgar Filing: UROPLASTY INC - Form 10KSB

- 10.8 Executory Contract Assumption Stipulation dated December 28, 1993 by and between Bioplasty, Inc., Uroplasty, Inc., and Collagen Corporation (Filed as Exhibit 6.8 to Form 10SB)
- 10.9 Settlement and License Agreement dated July 23, 1992 by and between Collagen Corporation, Bioplasty, Inc., and Uroplasty, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 6.9 to Form 10SB)
- 10.10 Employment Agreement between Uroplasty, Inc. and Daniel G. Holman dated December 7, 1999. (Filed as Exhibit 10.10 to Form 10-KSB/03-31-2000.)
- 10.11 Employment Agreement between Uroplasty, Inc. and Christopher Harris dated December 7, 1999. (Filed as Exhibit 10.11 to Form 10-KSB/03-31-2000.)
- 10.12 Employment Agreement between Uroplasty, Inc. and Susan Holman dated December 7, 1999. (Filed as Exhibit 10.13 to Form 10-KSB/03-31-2000.)
- 10.13 Employment Agreement between Uroplasty, Inc. and Larry Heinemann dated

**Table of Contents**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
	December 7, 1999. (Filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-KSB/03-31-2000.)
10.14	Agreement, dated October 14, 1998, by and between Uroplasty, Inc. and Samir M. Henalla (pertaining to Macroplastique Implantation System). (Filed as Exhibit 10.15 to Form 10-KSB/A /03-31-2001)
10.15	Employment Agreement between Uroplasty, Inc. and Mr. Marc Herregraven dated November 15, 2002. (Filed as Exhibit 10.15 to Form 10-KSB/03-31-2003)
10.16	Consulting Agreement between Uroplasty, Inc. and Executive Advisory Group dated April 1, 2003. (Filed as Exhibit 10.16 to Form 10-KSB/03-31-2003)
10.17	Stock Option Agreement between Uroplasty, Inc. and Executive Advisory Group dated April 1, 2003. (Filed as Exhibit 10.17 to Form 10-KSB/03-31-2003)
10.18	Consulting Agreement between Uroplasty, Inc. and C.C.R.I Corporation dated April 1, 2003. (Filed as Exhibit 10.18 to Form 10-KSB/03-31-2003)

(b) The following exhibits are filed as part of this report:

<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
13	Financial Statements
14	Code of Ethics
16	Letter re Change in Certifying Accountant
21	Subsidiaries of the Company
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31	Certifications by the CEO and Controller pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certifications by the CEO and Controller pursuant to 18 USC Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
99.1	Press release dated July 29, 2004

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 14. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.**

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As of the end of the period covered by this report, we conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13(a)-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ( the Exchange Act ). Based on this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. In particular, we note that our disclosure controls and procedures have alerted us to make the below disclosures with respect to our internal controls and procedures.

Internal Controls and Procedures. There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting, except as follows:

During the fiscal 2004 year end close process, we determined that our pension plan covering 18 employees in The Netherlands had historically been reported as a defined contribution plan, but should have been reported as a defined benefit plan. As more fully described in Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included as Exhibit 13 in this Form 10-KSB, we have restated our March 31, 2003 consolidated balance sheet and the fiscal 2003 consolidated statement of operations for the impact of accounting for this pension plan as a defined benefit plan.

In connection with preparing the above restatement of our financial statements, we discovered an error in how we have recorded the effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents on our statement of cash flows. As more fully described in Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included as Exhibit 13 in this Form 10-KSB, we have restated our fiscal 2003 consolidated statement of cash flows for the impact of this accounting.

On July 29, 2004, KPMG cited these restatements of our consolidated financial statements as reportable conditions in its communication to our audit committee, and we agreed with this characterization. To remediate the situation, our accounting personnel will more carefully review our contracts and agreements in the future and we have adopted a new internal control procedure regarding how we record the effect of exchange rates on our statement of cash flows.



**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: July 29, 2004

UROPLASTY, INC.  
 By: /s/ DANIEL G. HOLMAN  
 Daniel G. Holman  
 President, Chief Executive Officer,  
 Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer),  
 Director (Principal Executive Officer)

In accordance with the Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Company and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title / Capacity</b>	<b>Date</b>
/s/ DANIEL G. HOLMAN Daniel G. Holman	President, Chief Executive Officer Chief Financial Officer, Director (Principal Executive and Financial Officer)	July 29, 2004
/s/ ARIE J. KOOLE Arie J. Koole	Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)	July 29, 2004
/s/ JOEL R. PITLOR Joel R. Pitlor	Director	July 29, 2004
/s/ R. PATRICK MAXWELL R. Patrick Maxwell	Director	July 29, 2004
/s/ THOMAS E. JAMISON Thomas E. Jamison	Director	July 29, 2004
/s/ SAM B. HUMPHRIES Sam B. Humphries	Director	July 29, 2004