

TIER TECHNOLOGIES INC
Form DEFC14A
February 10, 2009

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Tier Technologies, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Edgar Filing: TIER TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEFC14A

- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

TIER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Keith S. Omsberg
Corporate Secretary

10780 Parkridge Boulevard
Reston, Virginia 20191
February 10, 2009

Dear Stockholder:

You are invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Tier Technologies, Inc. on March 11, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time at Tier's headquarters located at 10780 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 400, Reston, Virginia 20191.

We consider the votes of all shareholders important, no matter how many or how few shares you may own. Regardless of whether you plan to attend the annual meeting, we encourage you to submit your proxy promptly, following the instructions on the enclosed **GOLD** proxy card. You may submit your proxy by telephone, by Internet, or by mail.

Discovery Equity Partners (Discovery) has provided notice that it intends to nominate and solicit proxies for an opposition slate of two nominees for election as directors at the Meeting. **THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS URGES YOU NOT TO SIGN OR RETURN ANY PROXY CARD SENT TO YOU BY DISCOVERY.**

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS NOMINEES ON THE ENCLOSED GOLD PROXY CARD.

At the annual meeting, stockholders will elect directors, vote on the ratification of the selection of Tier's independent registered public accounting firm, and consider a shareholder proposal described in the enclosed proxy materials. We will also report on Tier's business. Stockholders will have an opportunity to ask relevant questions.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on January 16, 2009 are entitled to notice of, to attend, and to vote at the annual meeting.

Your vote is extremely important. If you have questions or require any assistance with voting your shares, please call our proxy solicitor, Laurel Hill Advisory Group, toll-free at (888) 742-1305.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Keith S. Omsberg

Secretary

Reston, Virginia

February 10, 2009

TIER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
10780 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 400
Reston, Virginia 20191
NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
OF TIER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

TIME: 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, March 11, 2009.

PLACE: Tier Technologies, Inc. corporate headquarters, 10780 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 400, Reston, Virginia 20191.

ITEMS OF BUSINESS:

- (1) To elect nine directors;
- (2) To ratify the selection of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009;
- (3) & (4) To act on two shareholder proposals expected to come before the meeting; and
- (5) To transact other business properly coming before the meeting.

WHO CAN VOTE: You can vote if you were a stockholder of record at the close of business on January 16, 2009.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on March 11, 2009. The proxy statement and Tier's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2008, as amended on January 28, 2009, are available electronically at <http://materials.proxyvote.com/88650Q>.

Our Board of Directors has nominated for election as directors the seven persons named in Proposal One in the proxy statement accompanying this Notice, each of whom is currently serving as a director of the Company. We believe that these individuals have the independence, knowledge, and commitment to deliver value for Tier and its shareholders. Please note that Discovery Equity Partners (Discovery) has provided notice that it intends to nominate two nominees for election as directors at the annual meeting and solicit proxies for use at the annual meeting to vote in favor of its own nominees. In addition, Giant Investment, LLC, an affiliate of Parthenon Capital (collectively, Parthenon), has provided notice that it intends to nominate two nominees for election as directors at the annual meeting (although it does not intend to solicit proxies from any shareholder). We do not endorse the election of any of Discovery's or Parthenon's nominees as directors. You may receive proxy solicitation materials from Discovery or other persons or entities affiliated with them, including an opposition proxy statement and proxy card. **YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS URGES YOU NOT TO SIGN OR RETURN ANY PROXY CARD SENT TO YOU BY DISCOVERY OR ANY PERSON OTHER THAN TIER.**

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF THE BOARD'S NOMINEES ON THE ENCLOSED GOLD PROXY CARD.

Even if you have previously signed a proxy card sent by another party, you have the right to change your vote by using the enclosed **GOLD** proxy card to submit your proxy by telephone, by Internet, or by signing, dating, and returning the enclosed **GOLD** proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided. Only the latest dated proxy you submit will be counted. We urge you to disregard any proxy card sent to you by Discovery or any person other than Tier.

Keith S. Omsberg
Corporate Secretary
February 10, 2009

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|---|
| INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND OUR 2009 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS | 1 |
| GENERAL INFORMATION | 1 |
| 1. WHO IS MAKING THIS SOLICITATION? | 1 |
| 2. WHAT INFORMATION IS CONTAINED IN THESE MATERIALS? | 1 |
| 3. WHEN AND WHERE IS THE ANNUAL MEETING? | 2 |
| 4. WHAT PROPOSALS ARE BEING PRESENTED FOR STOCKHOLDER VOTE AT THE ANNUAL MEETING? | 2 |
| 5. WHAT OTHER MATTERS MAY ARISE AT THE ANNUAL MEETING? | 3 |
| 6. WHO WILL BEAR THE COST OF SOLICITING VOTES FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING? | 3 |
| 7. WHAT DO I NEED FOR ADMISSION TO THE ANNUAL MEETING? | 3 |
| 8. WHAT SHOULD I DO IF I RECEIVE A PROXY CARD FROM DISCOVERY? | 3 |
| 9. HOW CAN I FIND TIER S PROXY MATERIALS AND ANNUAL REPORT ON THE INTERNET? | 3 |
| 10. WHOM SHOULD I CALL IF I HAVE QUESTIONS OR NEED ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THE PROXY MATERIALS? | 4 |
| VOTING MECHANICS | 4 |
| 11. WHO IS ENTITLED TO VOTE AT THE ANNUAL MEETING? | 4 |
| 12. WHAT IS THE RECORD DATE AND WHAT DOES IT MEAN? | 4 |
| 13. HOW CAN I VOTE MY SHARES OF COMMON STOCK? | 4 |
| 14. HOW CAN I REVOKE A PROXY OR CHANGE MY VOTING INSTRUCTIONS? | 5 |
| 15. WILL MY SHARES BE VOTED IF I DO NOT PROVIDE INSTRUCTIONS TO MY BROKER? | 5 |
| 16. WHO WILL COUNT THE VOTES? | 5 |
| VOTING INFORMATION | 6 |
| 17. WHAT ARE THE VOTING CHOICES WHEN VOTING ON PROPOSAL ONE, THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS? | 6 |
| 18. WHAT VOTE IS NEEDED TO ELECT THE DIRECTORS? | 6 |
| 19. WHAT ARE THE VOTING CHOICES WHEN VOTING ON PROPOSAL TWO, THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF MCGLADREY & PULLEN, LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM? | 6 |
| 20. WHAT VOTE IS NEEDED TO RATIFY THE SELECTION OF MCGLADREY & PULLEN, LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM? | 7 |
| 21. WHAT ARE THE VOTING CHOICES WHEN VOTING ON PROPOSALS THREE AND FOUR, THE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS? | 7 |
| 22. WHAT VOTE IS NEEDED TO APPROVE PROPOSALS THREE AND FOUR, THE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS? | 7 |
| 23. AM I PERMITTED TO VOTE FOR ONE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL AND AGAINST THE OTHER? | 7 |
| 24. HOW MANY VOTES MUST BE PRESENT TO HOLD THE ANNUAL MEETING? | 7 |
| 25. WHAT IF A QUORUM IS NOT PRESENT AT THE MEETING? | 7 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 26. WHAT IF I RETURN MY GOLD PROXY CARD BUT DO NOT GIVE VOTING INSTRUCTIONS? | |
| 27. WHAT IF OTHER MATTERS ARE VOTED ON AT THE MEETING? | 8 |
| 28. WHAT DOES IT MEAN IF I RECEIVE MORE THAN ONE PROXY OR VOTING INSTRUCTION CARD? | 8 |
| 29. WHERE CAN I FIND THE VOTING RESULTS OF THE ANNUAL MEETING? | 8 |
| STOCK OWNERSHIP | 9 |
| CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS | 10 |
| MEETING AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS | 13 |
| PROPOSAL ONE: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS | 15 |
| REMOVAL OF DIRECTORS | 18 |

| | |
|---|----|
| COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT | 18 |
| COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION | 19 |
| COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS | 19 |
| EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | 29 |
| SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE | 29 |
| FISCAL 2008 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS | 32 |
| OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT 2008 FISCAL YEAR-END | 33 |
| FISCAL 2008 OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED | 35 |
| DIRECTOR COMPENSATION | 40 |
| REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS | 42 |
| PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES | 42 |
| PROPOSAL TWO: RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM | 43 |
| PROPOSALS THREE AND FOUR: SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS | 43 |
| OTHER MATTERS | 47 |
| ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | 47 |
| APPENDIX A | 48 |

TIER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
10780 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 400
Reston, Virginia 20191
PROXY STATEMENT
FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON MARCH 11, 2009

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of Tier Technologies, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Tier, the Company, we, us, or our), of proxies for use in voting at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at Tier s headquarters located at 10780 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 400, Reston, Virginia 20191, on March 11, 2009, at 10:00 a.m., local time, and any adjournment or postponement thereof. On or about February 11, 2009, we began mailing this proxy statement, the enclosed **GOLD** proxy card, and the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, as amended on January 28, 2009, to shareholders entitled to vote at the annual meeting.

We have received notice from Discovery Equity Partners (Discovery) of its intention to nominate two nominees (collectively, the Discovery Nominees) for election to the Company s Board of Directors at the annual meeting. The Discovery Nominees are not endorsed by our Board of Directors. We urge shareholders NOT to vote any proxy card that you may receive from Discovery.

The Company has also received notice from Giant Investment, LLC, an affiliate of Parthenon Capital (collectively, Parthenon), of its intention to nominate two nominees (collectively, the Parthenon Nominees) for election to the Company s Board of Directors at the annual meeting. The Parthenon Nominees are not endorsed by our Board of Directors. Parthenon has represented that it does not intend to solicit proxies for its nominees, but in the event that Parthenon does solicit proxies, we urge shareholders NOT to vote any proxy card that you may receive from Parthenon.

Our Board of Directors urges you to vote FOR ALL of our nominees for director.

We are not responsible for the accuracy of any information contained in any proxy solicitation materials filed or disseminated by, or on behalf of, any other party or any other statements that any other party may otherwise make.

**INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND OUR 2009 ANNUAL MEETING OF
STOCKHOLDERS**
GENERAL INFORMATION

1. WHO IS MAKING THIS SOLICITATION?

The Board of Directors, or Board, is soliciting your proxy for use at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Tier Technologies, Inc. or at any adjournment or postponement of the annual meeting. The Board is providing these proxy solicitation materials to give you information for use in determining how to vote in connection with the annual meeting.

2. WHAT INFORMATION IS CONTAINED IN THESE MATERIALS?

The information included in this proxy statement relates to the proposals to be voted on at the annual meeting, the voting process, the compensation of directors and our most highly paid executive

officers, and certain other required information. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2008, as amended, which includes the Company's audited consolidated financial statements, is also enclosed.

3. WHEN AND WHERE IS THE ANNUAL MEETING?

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Tier Technologies, Inc. will be held on March 11, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time, at Tier's headquarters located at 10780 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 400, Reston, Virginia 20191.

4. WHAT PROPOSALS ARE BEING PRESENTED FOR STOCKHOLDER VOTE AT THE ANNUAL MEETING?

Four proposals are scheduled for voting at the annual meeting:

PROPOSAL ONE. Election of Directors:

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR ITS NOMINEES: CHARLES W. BERGER, SAMUEL CABOT III, JOHN J. DELUCCA, MORGAN P. GUENTHER, PHILIP G. HEASLEY, DAVID A. POE, AND RONALD L. ROSSETTI, FOR SERVICE IN THE ENSUING YEAR AND UNTIL SUCCESSORS ARE ELECTED.

You can find information about the Board's nominees, as well as information about the Board, its committees, and other related matters, beginning on page 13. Information regarding director compensation can be found beginning on page 40.

PROPOSAL TWO. Ratification of Selection of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP:

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE TO RATIFY THE SELECTION OF MCGLADREY & PULLEN, LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2009.

You can find information about Tier's relationship with McGladrey & Pullen, LLP beginning on page 42.

PROPOSALS THREE AND FOUR. Shareholder Proposals:

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE AGAINST TWO SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS: PROPOSAL THREE, WHICH REQUESTS THAT THE BOARD ELIMINATE OUR SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS PLAN, AND PROPOSAL FOUR, WHICH REQUESTS THAT THE BOARD REVISE OUR BYLAWS TO PERMIT THE HOLDERS OF 10% OF OUR COMMON STOCK TO CALL SPECIAL MEETINGS OF SHAREHOLDERS.

You can find information about the shareholder proposals beginning on page 43. Because the shareholder proposals present non-binding resolutions, we will not be required to take the requested actions if the proposals are approved. However, if shareholders approve one or both of the proposals, we will reevaluate our shareholder rights plan and/or the bylaw regarding special meetings in light of the vote, as appropriate.

For each shareholder proposal to be properly presented at the annual meeting, the shareholder that submitted the proposal (or a qualified representative of that shareholder) must appear at the annual meeting to present the proposal. For these purposes, to be considered a qualified representative of a shareholder, a person must be a duly authorized officer, manager, or partner of that shareholder or must be authorized by a writing executed by the shareholder or an electronic transmission delivered by the shareholder to act for the shareholder as proxy at the annual meeting, and such person must produce the writing or electronic transmission, or a reliable reproduction of the writing or electronic transmission, at the annual meeting.

We will also consider any other business that properly comes before the annual meeting.

5. WHAT OTHER MATTERS MAY ARISE AT THE ANNUAL MEETING?

We do not know of any other matters that will come before the shareholders at the annual meeting. The Chairman of the annual meeting may refuse to allow presentation of a proposal or a nomination for the Board if the proposal or nomination was not properly submitted. The requirements for properly submitting proposals and nominations for this year's annual meeting were described in our proxy statement for the 2008 annual meeting and are similar to those described on page 47 for next year's meeting.

6. WHO WILL BEAR THE COST OF SOLICITING VOTES FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING?

Tier is making this solicitation of proxies and will bear all related costs. We will conduct the solicitation by mail, personally, telephonically, through the Internet, or by facsimile through our officers, directors, and employees identified on Appendix A, none of whom will receive additional compensation for assisting with the solicitation. We may also solicit shareholders through press releases issued by the Company, advertisements in periodicals, and postings on the Company's website. We have also retained Laurel Hill Advisory Group to assist in the solicitation of proxies, for a fee estimated to be approximately \$8,500 plus out-of-pocket expenses. In addition, we have agreed to indemnify Laurel Hill against certain liabilities arising out of or in connection with the engagement. Laurel Hill has advised us that approximately 20 of its employees will be involved in the proxy solicitation by Laurel Hill on behalf of Tier.

7. WHAT DO I NEED FOR ADMISSION TO THE ANNUAL MEETING?

You are entitled to attend the annual meeting only if you are a shareholder of record or a beneficial owner of Tier stock as of the close of business on January 16, 2009, or you hold a valid proxy for the annual meeting. If you are the shareholder of record, your name will be verified against the list of shareholders of record prior to your admittance to the annual meeting. You should be prepared to present photo identification for admission. If you hold your shares in street name, you should provide proof of beneficial ownership on the record date, such as a brokerage account statement showing that you owned Tier common stock as of the record date, a copy of the **GOLD** voting instruction card provided by your broker, bank, or other nominee, or other similar evidence of ownership as of the record date, as well as your photo identification, for admission. If you do not provide photo identification or comply with the other procedures outlined above upon request, you will not be admitted to the annual meeting.

8. WHAT SHOULD I DO IF I RECEIVE A PROXY CARD FROM DISCOVERY?

Discovery has provided notice that it intends to nominate two nominees for election as directors at the annual meeting and solicit proxies for use at the annual meeting to vote in favor of its nominees. You may receive proxy solicitation materials from Discovery, including an opposition proxy statement and proxy card. **OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS URGES YOU NOT TO SIGN OR RETURN ANY PROXY CARD SENT TO YOU BY DISCOVERY.** Even if you have previously signed a proxy card sent by Discovery, you have the right to change your vote by following the instructions on the **GOLD** proxy card to submit your proxy by telephone or by Internet or by signing, dating, and mailing the enclosed **GOLD** proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided. Only the latest dated proxy you submit will be counted. We urge you to disregard any proxy card sent to you by Discovery or any person other than Tier.

9. HOW CAN I FIND TIER'S PROXY MATERIALS AND ANNUAL REPORT ON THE INTERNET?

Our proxy statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2008, as amended on January 28, 2009, are available electronically at <http://materials.proxyvote.com/88650Q>.

10. WHOM SHOULD I CALL IF I HAVE QUESTIONS OR NEED ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THE PROXY MATERIALS?

If you have questions, require any assistance with voting your shares, or need additional copies of this proxy statement, please call our proxy solicitor, Laurel Hill Advisory Group, toll-free at (888) 742-1305.

VOTING MECHANICS

11. WHO IS ENTITLED TO VOTE AT THE ANNUAL MEETING?

Only holders of record of shares of our common stock at the close of business on January 16, 2009, or the record date, are entitled to vote at the annual meeting, or at adjournments or postponements of the annual meeting. As of the record date there were 19,734,863 shares of our common stock outstanding and entitled to vote.

Except in connection with Proposal One (the election of directors), each share of common stock is entitled to one vote for each matter to be voted on at the annual meeting. In connection with the election of directors, each share is entitled to nine votes, one vote for each board seat that is being elected. The holders of a majority of the shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the annual meeting will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the annual meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted towards a quorum, but will not be counted in determining whether a proposal is approved.

12. WHAT IS THE RECORD DATE AND WHAT DOES IT MEAN?

The record date is January 16, 2009. Holders of common stock at the close of business on the record date are entitled to receive notice of the meeting and to vote at the meeting and any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

13. HOW CAN I VOTE MY SHARES OF COMMON STOCK?

There are four ways to vote for the Board's nominees and on the other matters as set forth in this proxy statement:

Telephone: If your proxy card or voting instruction card provides instructions for proxy authorization by telephone, follow the instructions on your proxy card or voting instruction card; **OR**

Mail: Mark, sign, and date your proxy card and return it to: Tier Technologies, Inc., c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717; **OR**

In-Person: If you are a record holder or have obtained a valid proxy from the record holder, mark, sign, and submit a ballot during the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on March 11, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time; **OR**

Internet: Follow the instructions for Internet proxy authorization on your proxy card or voting instruction card.

If you deliver a properly executed written proxy, or submit a properly completed proxy by telephone or by Internet, that proxy will be voted at the annual meeting in accordance with the directions given in the proxy, unless you revoke the proxy before the annual meeting. The proxies also may be voted at any adjournments or postponements of the annual meeting.

If you want to specify how your votes are cumulated you must do so in writing with a proxy card or, if you are a record holder of Tier stock or have obtained a valid proxy from the record holder, in person at the annual meeting.

14. HOW CAN I REVOKE A PROXY OR CHANGE MY VOTING INSTRUCTIONS?

You can revoke a proxy before the close of voting at the annual meeting by:

Giving written notice to Tier's Corporate Secretary located at 10780 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 400, Reston, Virginia 20191;

Submitting a new proxy card bearing a date later than your last proxy card;

Following the instructions for Internet proxy authorization that appear on the proxy card;

Following the instructions that appear on the proxy card for proxy authorization by telephone; or

If you are a record holder of Tier stock or have obtained a valid proxy from the record holder, attending the annual meeting and voting in person. Attendance at the annual meeting will not, by itself, revoke a proxy.

15. WILL MY SHARES BE VOTED IF I DO NOT PROVIDE INSTRUCTIONS TO MY BROKER?

If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name by a broker, the broker, as the record holder of the shares, is required to vote those shares in accordance with your instructions. If you do not give instructions to the broker, the broker will be entitled to vote the shares with respect to discretionary proposals but will not be permitted to vote the shares with respect to non-discretionary proposals (those shares are treated as broker non-votes). The shareholder proposals are non-discretionary proposals.

If Discovery solicits proxies to elect Discovery's nominees to the Board at the annual meeting, then the election of directors will also be a non-discretionary proposal for any brokerage accounts solicited by Discovery. As a result, if your shares are held in street name and Discovery provides you with proxy solicitation materials through your broker and you do not provide instructions as to how your shares are to be voted in the election of directors, your broker or other nominee will not be able to vote your shares in the election of directors, and your shares will not be voted for any of Tier's nominees. We urge you to provide instructions to your broker or nominee so that your votes may be counted on this important matter. We urge you to vote your shares by following the instructions provided on the enclosed **GOLD** proxy card and returning the **GOLD** proxy card to your bank, broker, or other nominee to ensure that your shares will be voted on your behalf.

16. WHO WILL COUNT THE VOTES?

A representative of IVS Associates, Inc., an independent voting services company, will tabulate the votes and act as Inspector of Elections.

VOTING INFORMATION

17. WHAT ARE THE VOTING CHOICES WHEN VOTING ON PROPOSAL ONE, THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS?

Shareholders may use the enclosed **GOLD** proxy card to:

Vote FOR (in favor of) all of the Board's nominees;

WITHHOLD votes from all nominees; or

WITHHOLD votes from specific Board nominees; or

Provide instructions for cumulating votes for one or more specific Board nominees.

18. WHAT VOTE IS NEEDED TO ELECT THE DIRECTORS?

Directors will be elected by the affirmative vote of a plurality of votes cast by shareholders entitled to vote on the matter, which means that the nine director nominees with the highest number of affirmative votes will be elected.

Tier's certificate of incorporation gives shareholders the right to cumulate their votes. This means that a shareholder has the right to give any one nominee a number of votes equal to the number of directors to be elected multiplied by the number of shares the shareholder would otherwise be entitled to vote, or to distribute such votes among as many nominees (up to the number of persons to be elected) as the shareholder may wish. There are no conditions precedent to cumulative voting by Tier shareholders. Pursuant to Delaware law, the proxies are agents for the shareholders; if you specify how your votes are to be cumulated among the Board's nominees, the proxies named on the proxy card will cast your votes as you specify. Shareholders may specify how their votes are to be cumulated with respect to the Board's nominees by giving instructions on the enclosed form of proxy as to how the votes are to be cumulated or, if the shareholder is a record holder or has obtained a valid proxy from the record holder, by voting in person at the annual meeting.

Unless you specify how your votes are to be cumulated among the Board's nominees, the proxy solicited by the Board authorizes the proxies named on the proxy card to cumulate votes that you are entitled to cast at the annual meeting in connection with the election of directors; provided that the proxies will not cumulate votes for any nominee from whom you have withheld authority to vote. To specify different directions with regard to cumulative voting, including to direct that the proxy holders cumulate votes with respect to a specific Board nominee or nominees, you must mark the appropriate box on the front of the proxy card and write your instructions on the reverse side.

19. WHAT ARE THE VOTING CHOICES WHEN VOTING ON PROPOSAL TWO, THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF MCGLADREY & PULLEN, LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM?

Shareholders may:

Vote FOR (in favor of) the ratification;

Vote AGAINST the ratification; or

ABSTAIN from voting on the ratification.

20. WHAT VOTE IS NEEDED TO RATIFY THE SELECTION OF MCGLADREY & PULLEN, LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM?

The selection of the independent registered public accounting firm will be ratified if it receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares voting on the matter.

21. WHAT ARE THE VOTING CHOICES WHEN VOTING ON PROPOSALS THREE AND FOUR, THE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS?

Proposal Three requests that the Board eliminate our shareholder rights plan, and Proposal Four requests that the Board revise our bylaws to permit the holders of 10% of our common stock to call special meetings of shareholders.

Shareholders may, with respect to each proposal:

Vote FOR (in favor of) the proposal;

Vote AGAINST the proposal; or

ABSTAIN from voting on the proposal.

22. WHAT VOTE IS NEEDED TO APPROVE PROPOSALS THREE AND FOUR, THE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS?

Each shareholder proposal will be approved if it receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares voting on the matter.

23. AM I PERMITTED TO VOTE FOR ONE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL AND AGAINST THE OTHER?

Yes. You may vote for both shareholder proposals, against both shareholder proposals, or for one shareholder proposal and against the other.

24. HOW MANY VOTES MUST BE PRESENT TO HOLD THE ANNUAL MEETING?

A majority of the shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the annual meeting that are either present in person or represented by proxy will constitute a quorum for the annual meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes are included in determining the number of votes present, but will not be counted in determining whether a proposal is approved.

25. WHAT IF A QUORUM IS NOT PRESENT AT THE MEETING?

If a quorum is not present at the scheduled time of the annual meeting, we may adjourn the meeting, either with or without the vote of the shareholders. If we propose to have the shareholders vote whether to adjourn the meeting, the proxy holders will exercise their discretion to vote all shares for which they have authority in favor of the adjournment.

26. WHAT IF I RETURN MY GOLD PROXY CARD BUT DO NOT GIVE VOTING INSTRUCTIONS?

If you sign your GOLD proxy card but do not give voting instructions, the shares represented by that proxy will be voted as recommended by the Board. The Board recommends a vote **FOR** the election of the seven director nominees named in this Proxy Statement, **FOR** Proposal Two, the ratification of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2009, and **AGAINST** the shareholder proposals under Proposal Three and Proposal Four. Unless you specify how your votes are to be cumulated among the Board's nominees, the GOLD proxy card authorizes

the proxies named on the card to cumulate votes that you are entitled to cast at the annual meeting at their discretion among the Board's nominees in connection with the election of directors.

27. WHAT IF OTHER MATTERS ARE VOTED ON AT THE MEETING?

If any other matters are properly presented at the Meeting for consideration, the persons named as proxies in the enclosed **GOLD** proxy card will have discretion to vote on those matters for you. On the date we filed this Proxy Statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Board did not know of any other matter to be raised at the Meeting.

28. WHAT DOES IT MEAN IF I RECEIVE MORE THAN ONE PROXY OR VOTING INSTRUCTION CARD?

If your shares are registered differently or are held in more than one account, you will receive a **GOLD** proxy card or **GOLD** voting instruction card for each account. To ensure that all of your shares are voted, please use all the **GOLD** proxy cards and **GOLD** voting instruction cards you receive to submit your proxy for your shares by telephone or by Internet or complete, sign, date, and return a **GOLD** proxy card or **GOLD** voting instruction card for each account.

As previously noted, Discovery has provided notice that it intends to nominate two nominees for election as directors at the annual meeting and solicit proxies for use at the annual meeting to vote in favor of its nominees. As a result, you may receive proxy cards from both Discovery and the Company. To ensure shareholders have the Company's latest proxy information and materials to vote, the Board of Directors expects to conduct multiple mailings prior to the date of the annual meeting, each of which will include a **GOLD** proxy card regardless of whether or not you have previously submitted your proxy. Only the latest dated proxy you submit will be counted.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS URGES YOU NOT TO SIGN OR RETURN ANY PROXY CARD SENT TO YOU BY DISCOVERY. Even if you have previously signed a proxy card sent by Discovery, you have the right to change your vote by re-submitting your proxy by telephone or by Internet or by signing, dating, and returning the enclosed **GOLD** proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided. Only the latest dated proxy you submit will be counted. We urge you to disregard any proxy card sent to you by Discovery.

29. WHERE CAN I FIND THE VOTING RESULTS OF THE ANNUAL MEETING?

Tier will publish final results of the voting in our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the second quarter of fiscal 2009, if we have not published a current report on Form 8-K containing such information at an earlier date. You will be able to read and print a copy of the Form 10-Q or Form 8-K on our website, <http://www.tier.com>, by choosing Investor Relations, Financial Information, and SEC Filings. You will also be able find the report by searching the SEC EDGAR filings at <http://www.sec.gov>.

STOCK OWNERSHIP**Directors and Executive Officers**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the ownership of our common stock as of January 31, 2009 by: (i) each director and director nominee; (ii) each of the named executive officers (as set forth in the Summary Compensation Table); and (iii) all executive officers and directors of Tier as a group. Unless otherwise indicated, beneficial ownership is direct and the person indicated has sole voting and investment power.

| Name of beneficial owner ⁽¹⁾ | Common stock beneficially owned | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Total number of shares | Percent of class ⁽²⁾ |
| Charles W. Berger | 140,000 ⁽³⁾ | * |
| Samuel Cabot III | 204,810 ⁽⁴⁾ | 1.0% |
| John J. Delucca | 40,000 ⁽⁵⁾ | * |
| Morgan P. Guenther | 151,000 ⁽⁶⁾ | * |
| Philip G. Heasley | 10,002 ⁽⁷⁾ | * |
| David A. Poe | 6,668 ⁽⁸⁾ | * |
| James R. Stone | 38,337 ⁽⁹⁾ | * |
| Steven M. Beckerman | | * |
| Kevin C. Connell | 77,400 ⁽¹⁰⁾ | * |
| David E. Fountain | | * |
| Ronald W. Johnston | | * |
| Michael A. Lawler | 131,704 | * |
| Keith S. Omsberg | 21,900 ⁽¹¹⁾ | * |
| Ronald L. Rossetti | 432,365 ⁽¹²⁾ | 2.1% |
| Deanne M. Tully | | * |
| All directors and executive officers as a group (13 persons) | 1,122,482 ⁽¹³⁾ | 5.4% |

* Less than 1%

(1) Address: 10780 Parkridge Blvd, Suite 400, Reston, Virginia 20191.

(2) The percentages shown are based on 19,734,863 shares of common stock outstanding as of January 31, 2009.

(3) Consists entirely of shares issuable upon the exercise of options

exercisable on
or before
April 1, 2009.

- (4) Includes
185,000 shares
issuable upon
the exercise of
options
exercisable on
or before
April 1, 2009.
- (5) Consists entirely
of shares
issuable upon
the exercise of
options
exercisable on
or before
April 1, 2009.
- (6) Includes
150,000 shares
issuable upon
the exercise of
options
exercisable on
or before
April 1, 2009.
- (7) Consists entirely
of shares
issuable upon
the exercise of
options
exercisable on
or before
April 1, 2009.
- (8) Consists entirely
of shares
issuable upon
the exercise of
options
exercisable on
or before
April 1, 2009.
- (9) Consists entirely
of shares

issuable upon
the exercise of
options
exercisable on
or before
April 1, 2009.

(10) Consists entirely
of shares
issuable upon
the exercise of
options
exercisable on
or before
April 1, 2009.

(11) Consists entirely
of shares
issuable upon
the exercise of
options
exercisable on
or before
April 1, 2009.

(12) Includes
405,000 shares
issuable upon
the exercise of
options
exercisable on
or before
April 1, 2009.

(13) Includes
1,094,307
shares issuable
upon the
exercise of
options
exercisable on
or before
April 1, 2009.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information about the securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plan as of September 30, 2008:

| Number of securities to be issued upon | Weighted-average | Number of securities |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|
|---|------------------|-------------------------|

| Plan category | exercise of outstanding options, warrant and rights (in thousands) | exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (\$) | remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (in thousands) |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Equity compensation plans | | | |
| Approved by security holders | 2,702 | \$ 9.07 | 1,038 |
| Not approved by security holders | | | |
| Total | 2,702 | \$ 9.07 | 1,038 |

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act, requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of our common stock, to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, initial reports of beneficial ownership and reports of changes in beneficial ownership of our common stock. Officers, directors and holders of greater than ten percent of our common stock are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. To our knowledge, based solely on a review of copies of such reports furnished to us and written representations that no other reports were required, during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, our officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements, with the exception of Ronald L. Rossetti and Philip G. Heasley. Mr. Rossetti filed one late Form 4, which related to one transaction. Mr. Heasley filed one late Form 3 and one late Form 4, which related to one transaction.

Significant Stockholders

The following table lists certain persons known by Tier to own beneficially more than five percent of Tier's outstanding shares of common stock as of January 31, 2009.

| Name of beneficial owner | Amount and nature of beneficial ownership | Percent of class |
|--|--|-----------------------------|
| Wells Fargo & Company ⁽¹⁾ | 2,689,293 | 13.6% |
| Discovery Group I, LLC ⁽²⁾ | 1,957,563 | 9.9% |
| Heartland Advisors, Inc. ⁽³⁾ | 1,891,430 | 9.6% |
| Giant Investment, LLC ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,799,322 | 9.1% |
| Dimensional Fund Advisors ⁽⁵⁾ | 1,764,020 | 8.9% |

(1) Based solely on information contained in a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 23, 2009 by Wells Fargo & Company and its subsidiary, Wells Capital Management Incorporated. The address for Wells Fargo & Company is 420 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, California 94104. The address for Wells Capital Management

Incorporated is
525 Market
Street, San
Francisco,
California
94105. This table
reflects the
shares of
common stock
owned by Wells
Fargo &
Company and
Wells Capital
Management
Incorporated as
of December 31,
2008.

- (2) Address: 191
North Wacker
Drive,
Suite 1685,
Chicago, Illinois
60606. Based
solely on
information
contained in a
Schedule 13D/A
filed with the
SEC by
Discovery Group
I, LLC on
December 4,
2008. Discovery
Group I, LLC is
the general
partner of
Discovery
Equity Partners,
L.P. Discovery
Equity Partners,
L.P. beneficially
owns 1,684,608
shares of
common stock
and Discovery
Group I, LLC
beneficially
owns 1,957,563
shares of
common stock.

In addition,
Daniel J.
Donoghue and
Michael R.
Murphy are the
managing
members of
Discovery Group
I, LLC and may
be deemed to
beneficially own
1,957,563 shares
of common
stock.

(3) Address: 789
North Water
Street,
Milwaukee,
Wisconsin
53202. Based
solely on
information
contained in a
Schedule 13G/A
filed with the
SEC by
Heartland
Advisors, Inc. on
February 8,
2008. This table
reflects the
shares of
common stock
that may be
deemed
beneficially
owned by
Heartland
Advisors, Inc. as
of December 31,
2007.

(4) Address: 265
Franklin Street,
18th Floor,
Boston,
Massachusetts
02110. Based
solely on
information

contained in a Schedule 13D/A filed with the SEC by Giant Investments, LLC on December 30, 2008. Parthenon Investors II, LP, is a managing member of Giant Investment, LLC, PCap Partners II, LLC is a general partner of Parthenon Investors II, LP, and PCap II, LLC is a managing member of PCap Partners II, LLC. As parents of Giant Investment, LLC, Parthenon Investors II, LP, PCap Partners II, LLC, and PCap II, LLC may be deemed to beneficially own their proportional interest in the shares of common stock directly and beneficially owned by Giant Investment, LLC, comprising 1,748,401 shares of common stock. In addition, John C. Rutherford and Ernest K. Jacquet are control persons

of various entities indirectly investing in Giant Investment, LLC and may be deemed to beneficially own a proportional interest in the shares of common stock owned by Giant Investment, LLC, comprising 1,799,322 shares of common stock.

- (5) Address: 1299 Ocean Avenue, 11th Floor, Santa Monica, California 90401. Based solely on information contained in a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC by Dimensional Fund Advisors Inc. on February 6, 2008. This table reflects the shares of common stock owned by Dimensional Fund Advisors Inc. on December 31, 2007.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS

Corporate Governance Documents

In November 2003, the Board adopted a Code of Ethics for our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and persons performing similar functions. Effective May 3, 2004, we also adopted a Business Code of Conduct for all employees. Our Code of Ethics and our Business Code of Conduct are posted on our website at: <http://www.tier.com>.

Director Independence

Under NASDAQ rules, a director will only qualify as an independent director if, in the opinion of our Board, the person does not have a relationship that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. Our Board determined that each of its current directors other than Mr. Rossetti that is, each of Charles W. Berger, Samuel Cabot III, John J. Delucca, Morgan P. Guenther, Philip G. Heasley, David A. Poe, and James R. Stone - does not have a relationship which would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying the responsibilities of a director and that each of these directors is an independent director as defined under Rule 4200(a)(15) of the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc. Marketplace Rules. T. Michael Scott and Bruce Spector served on our Board of Directors during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 but did not stand for re-election at our 2008 annual meeting. Our board previously determined that each of Messrs. Scott and Spector did not have a relationship which would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying the responsibilities of a director and that each of these directors was an independent director as defined under Rule 4200(a)(15) of the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc. Marketplace Rules.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Board determined that at least one member of the Audit Committee, Charles W. Berger, is an audit committee financial expert as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K, promulgated by the SEC.

Executive Sessions of Non-Management Directors

At each regularly scheduled meeting of the Board, time is set aside for the non-management directors to meet in an executive session without management present.

Communication with Directors

Stockholders may communicate directly with the Board members by writing to: Tier Technologies, Inc., Board of Directors, c/o Corporate Secretary, 10780 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 400, Reston, Virginia 20191. Each communication should specify the individual or group to be contacted. We will receive and review the communications before distributing them to the specified individual or group. Generally, we will not forward stockholder communications to directors that relate to an improper or irrelevant topic, or which request general information about Tier. All other stockholder communications will be forwarded to the director or directors to whom they are addressed.

Nomination of Director Candidates

The Governance and Nominating Committee will consider director nominees proposed by stockholders by following the same process, and applying the same criteria, as it follows for candidates submitted by others. Stockholders can recommend an individual for directorship consideration by submitting the name of the individual for consideration together with appropriate biographical information and background materials and a statement as to whether the stockholder or group of stockholders making the recommendation has beneficially owned more than 5% of our common stock for at least a year as of the date such recommendation is made. The information should be submitted to the Governance and Nominating Committee, c/o Corporate Secretary, Tier Technologies, Inc., 10780 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 400, Reston, Virginia 20191.

Pursuant to our bylaws, stockholders of record on the date of the notice described in this section and on the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting have the right to nominate director candidates, without any action or recommendation on the part of the Governance and Nominating Committee or the Board, only if timely written notice in proper form of the intent to make a nomination at a meeting of stockholders is received by our corporate secretary at: Tier Technologies, Inc., c/o Corporate Secretary, 10780 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 400, Reston, Virginia 20191. To be

timely under our bylaws, the notice must be delivered not less than 60 nor more than 90 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting; provided, however, that in the event that less than 70 days notice or prior public disclosure of the date of the annual meeting is given or made to stockholders, notice by the stockholder in order to be timely must be so received not later than the close of business on the 10th day following the day on which such notice of the date of the annual meeting was mailed or such public disclosure of the date of the annual meeting was made, whichever first occurs. To be in proper form, the notice must contain prescribed information about the proponent and each nominee, including such information about each nominee and proponent as would be required to be included in a proxy statement made in connection with a solicitation of proxies for elections of directors pursuant to Section 14 of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

In evaluating director candidates, including current members of the Board eligible for re-election, the Governance and Nominating Committee considers many factors, including the current size and composition of the Board and its committees; a candidate's understanding of marketing, finance, sales, and technology, and of our business and technology; and such other factors as the Governance and Nominating Committee may deem appropriate. The Governance and Nominating Committee requires that any director candidate satisfy the following minimum qualifications:

financial literacy, demonstrated reputation for integrity, and the ability to exercise sound business judgment;

high personal and professional ethics;

understanding of the fiduciary responsibilities required as a member of the Board and the commitment, time, and ability to meet these responsibilities; and

an appropriate professional background providing an understanding of our technology, technology development, finance, sales, and marketing.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Related Person Transaction Policy

The Board has adopted a written policy and procedures for review, approval, and ratification of transactions involving Tier and related persons. Related persons include Tier's executive officers, directors, 5% or more beneficial owners of our common stock, immediate family members of these persons, and entities in which one of these persons has a direct or indirect material interest. The policy covers any related person transaction exceeding \$50,000 in which a related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Policies and Procedures for Review, Approval, or Ratification of Related Person Transactions

Any related person transaction proposed to be entered into by Tier must be reported to our General Counsel.

The Governance and Nominating Committee shall review and approve all related person transactions, prior to effectiveness or consummation of the transaction, whenever practicable.

If the General Counsel determines that advance approval of a related person transaction is not practicable under the circumstances, the Governance and Nominating Committee shall review and, in its discretion, may ratify the related person transaction at the next Governance and Nominating Committee meeting, or at the next meeting following the date that the related person transaction comes to the attention of the General Counsel; provided, however, that the General Counsel may present a related person transaction arising in the time period between meetings of the Governance and Nominating Committee to the Chair of the Governance and Nominating

Committee, who shall review and may approve the related person transaction, subject to ratification by the Governance and Nominating Committee at the next meeting.

Previously approved transactions of an ongoing nature shall be reviewed by the Governance and Nominating Committee annually to ensure that such transactions have been conducted in accordance with the previous approval granted by the Governance and Nominating Committee, if any, and that all required disclosures regarding the related person transaction are made.

Standards for Review, Approval, or Ratification of Related Person Transaction

The Committee reviews, approves, or ratifies a related party transaction primarily based on the following standards: the related person's interest in the transaction, the dollar value of the amount involved, and the dollar value of the amount of the related person's interest, without regard to profit or loss;

whether the transaction was undertaken in the ordinary course of business;

whether the transaction with the related person is proposed to be, or was, entered into on terms no less favorable to us than terms that could have been reached with an unrelated third party; and

the purpose of, and potential benefits to us of, the transaction.

During fiscal year 2008, we purchased \$627,000 of telecom services from ITC Deltacom, Inc., a company for which our director John J. Delucca serves as a member of the board of directors. We also paid \$185,000 for consultancy services to Edgar, Dunn & Company, for which our director David A. Poe serves as consultant and director.

MEETING AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

During fiscal year 2008, the Board held 14 meetings. Each director attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and any committees on which he served, held during the period in which he was a director or committee member. Directors may attend the annual meeting, but are not obligated to do so. Mr. Rossetti was the only director who attended last year's annual meeting. Committee functions and members are as follows:

Audit Committee

Number of Members: 3

Functions:

Members:

Charles W. Berger (*Chair*)
Samuel Cabot III (thru 9/30/08)
Morgan P. Guenther
James R. Stone (effective 10/01/08)

Selects the independent registered public accounting firm to audit Tier's books and records, subject to stockholder ratification, and determines the compensation of the independent registered public accounting firm.

Number of Meetings in Fiscal 2008: 10

At least annually, reviews a report by the independent registered public accounting firm describing: internal quality control procedures, any issues raised by an internal or peer quality control review and any investigations by regulatory authorities.

Consults with the independent registered public accounting firm, reviews and approves the scope of their audit, and reviews independence and performance. Also reviews any proposed engagement between Tier and the independent registered public accounting firm and approves in advance

any such engagement, if appropriate.

Reviews internal controls, accounting practices and financial reporting, including the results of the annual audit and the review of the interim financial statements, with management and the independent registered public accounting firm.

Discusses earnings releases and guidance provided to the public.

As appropriate, obtains advice and assistance from outside legal, accounting or other advisors.

Prepares a report of the Audit Committee to be included in our proxy statement. Assesses annually the adequacy of the Audit Committee Charter.

Reports to the Board about these matters.

Compensation Committee

Number of Members: 3

Members:

Samuel Cabot III (*Chair*)
Charles W. Berger (thru 9/30/08)
Morgan P. Guenther
Philip G. Heasley (effective 10/01/08)

Number of Meetings in Fiscal 2008: 11

Functions:

Reviews and approves the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers.

Reviews executive bonus plan allocations.

Oversees and advises the Board on the adoption of policies that govern our compensation programs.

Oversees the administration of our equity-based compensation and other benefit plans.

Approves grants of stock options and stock awards to our officers and employees.

Governance and Nominating Committee

Number of Members: 3

Members:

Morgan P. Guenther (*Chair*)
John J. Delucca (effective 4/23/08)
Samuel Cabot (effective 10/1/08)
James R. Stone (7/22/08 9/30/08)
T. Michael Scott (thru 2/28/08)
Bruce R. Spector (thru 2/28/08)

Number of Meetings in Fiscal 2008: 5

Functions:

Interviews, evaluates and recommends individuals for membership on the Board and its committees.

Evaluates and recommends, where appropriate, whether a member of the Board qualifies as independent within the meaning of the applicable NASDAQ rules.

Recommends guidelines and responsibilities relating to corporate governance for adoption by the Board.

Reviews, approves or ratifies related person transactions.

Evaluates and recommends director compensation.

The charters for the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Governance and Nominating Committee are available for review on our website at <http://www.tier.com>.

PROPOSAL ONE: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors has nominated the seven individuals named below for election to the Board at the annual meeting. Each of the Board's nominees is currently serving as a director; each was recommended for election by the Governance and Nominating Committee; and each was approved by the Board. Each nominee has consented to serve if elected and our Board has no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable to serve, if elected. Subject to the discussion of cumulative voting and discretionary voting above, shares represented by proxies will be voted, if authority to do so is not withheld, for the election of the nominees named below. In the event that any nominee should be unavailable for election as a result of an unexpected occurrence, such shares will be voted for the election of such substitute nominee as the Governance and Nominating Committee may propose. Proxies cannot be voted for a greater number of persons than the number of nominees named.

Background

Shareholders have communicated with the Board about strategic matters on several occasions over the past ten months. As described below, the Company's Board and management have endeavored to respond appropriately and constructively in each instance.

Between April 17 and April 21, 2008, Discovery, Parthenon, and two other shareholders sent separate letters to the Board. Among other things, Discovery and Parthenon recommended that the Board engage an investment bank to explore all strategic alternatives available to the Company, including the potential sale of the Company. On April 25, 2008, the Board responded to Discovery, Parthenon, and the other shareholders, thanking them for sharing their perspective and stating that the Board was carefully considering the strategic issues they had raised. In May 2008, Discovery requested a meeting with independent members of the Board, and in June 2008 two independent directors met by conference call with Discovery. At that meeting, Discovery urged, among other things, that the Board engage an investment banking firm to explore strategic alternatives, including the sale of the Company, and that the Board authorize the Company to repurchase outstanding shares of its common stock. On September 4, 2008, Discovery notified Tier that it intended to propose the shareholder proposals described in Proposals Three and Four: Shareholder Proposals on page 43.

In October 2008, Discovery and the independent director who chairs our Board's Governance and Nominating Committee exchanged e-mail messages about the potential sale of the Company and the Company's management. On October 22, 2008, Parthenon sent a letter to the Board, urging the Board to initiate a stock repurchase program and pursue the sale of the Company, and requesting a meeting with the Board. In December 2008, two independent directors met by conference call with Parthenon.

On December 4, 2008, Discovery notified the Company that it intended to nominate two individuals for election to the Board at the annual meeting and that it intended to solicit proxies in support of its nominees. On December 30, 2008, Parthenon notified the Company that it intended to nominate two individuals for election to the Board at the annual meeting and that it did not intend to solicit proxies in support of its nominees. Parthenon's notice also stated that Parthenon was not part of any group that intended to solicit proxies. In January 2009, representatives of the Company spoke with representatives of Discovery and Parthenon, but those conversations did not result in any settlement of the proxy contest.

As part of its continuing oversight and management of the Company and its business, the Board considered strategic matters both prior to and after receipt of the communications from shareholders described above. For example, commencing in the fall of 2006, the Board oversaw a strategic review of the Company's business. In December 2006, the Board received presentations from management and an investment banking firm regarding a plan to focus the Company's business on the electronic payment processing business, or EPP, and various strategic alternatives to that plan, including the prospects for a sale of the Company. The Board directed management to focus on EPP and sell or wind-down the Company's business units other than EPP, believing that strategy to be the one most likely to enhance the Company's profitability and maximize long-term shareholder value. Thereafter, the Board was

updated by the investment banking firm on the restructuring and on the various strategic alternatives, and the Board discussed those alternatives. By December 31, 2008, the Company's restructuring was substantially complete, and on January 18, 2009, the Board adopted a stock repurchase program, as reported in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company on January 21, 2009.

In addition, on January 18, 2009, the Board of Directors increased the size of the Board to nine (with such increase effective at the 2009 annual meeting) and determined to nominate the seven candidates named below. The Board's action followed our receipt of separate notices from both Parthenon and Discovery that each intended to nominate two individuals for election to the Board. The Board had several reasons for its decision. Among other things, the Board was aware that some shareholders believed that the Board did not sufficiently appreciate these shareholders' perspective, and the Board's decision to increase the size of the Board to nine and nominate only seven candidates ensures that two candidates proposed by the shareholders will be added to the Board at the 2009 annual meeting (assuming these candidates are properly nominated, in compliance with our bylaws, and votes are validly cast for these candidates).

The Board has determined that each of the following nominees for director is independent under the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc.: Charles W. Berger, Samuel Cabot III, John J. Delucca, Morgan P. Guenther, Philip G. Heasley, and David A. Poe. Each director elected will serve until the next annual meeting and until his successor is elected and qualified, or until his earlier death, resignation, or removal.

Nominees

The names and certain biographical information of each director nominee are set forth below.

Charles W. Berger

Age: 56 Director since: January 2002

Recent Business Experience: In April 2006, Mr. Berger became Chief Executive Officer of DVDPlay, Inc., a manufacturer and operator of DVD rental kiosks. He has been Chairman of the Board of DVDPlay, Inc. since December 2001. From March 2003 through September 2005, Mr. Berger served as President, Chief Executive Officer, and a director of Nuance Communications, Inc., a publicly traded company that developed and marketed speech recognition software. In September 2005, Nuance Communications merged with Scansoft, Inc. Mr. Berger is a director of the surviving company of this merger, Nuance Communications, Inc., a publicly traded company that develops and markets speech recognition and imaging software. Mr. Berger has also served as the managing director of Volatilis, LLC, a private investment and aviation services firm, since its founding in June 2001. Since December 2004, Mr. Berger has been a director of SonicWALL, Inc., a publicly traded company that manufactures computer network security applications.

Samuel Cabot III

Age: 68 Director since: January 1997

Recent Business Experience: Mr. Cabot served as Chief Executive Officer of Samuel Cabot, Inc., a manufacturing and marketer of premium quality exterior stains and architectural coatings, from 1969 until December 2005. He also served as Chairman of its board of directors from February 2000 until January 2006. Mr. Cabot also serves on the board of BC/BS of Massachusetts, a non-profit health insurance provider, Plasticolors, Inc., an employee-owned company providing custom color and chemical dispersion, Fiduciary Trust Co., a financial services firm, and Reed & Barton, a flatware manufacturer.

John J. Delucca

Age: 65 Director since: February 2007

Recent Business Experience: Since April 2003 Mr. Delucca has served as President of Atlantic & Gulf, Limited, LLC, an investment and consulting group. He was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of REL Consultancy Group, a provider of financial consulting services to businesses, from April 2003 until March 2004. From 1999 until February 2002, he was Executive Vice President, Finance and Administration, and Chief Financial Officer of Coty, Inc., a manufacturer and marketer of personal fragrances. Mr. Delucca is a director of British Energy, PLC, a publicly traded electric utility company; Endo Pharmaceuticals Holding, Inc., a publicly traded developer and reseller of prescription pharmaceuticals; and ITC Deltacom, Inc., a publicly traded provider of integrated communication services.

Morgan P. Guenther

Age: 55 Director since: August 1999

Recent Business Experience: Mr. Guenther has been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Airplay Network, Inc., a wireless entertainment services company, since May 2005. From February 2003 to April 2005, he served as a private consultant to technology companies. From October 2001 through January 2003, Mr. Guenther served as President of TiVo, Inc., a creator of digital video recording services. From June 1999 through October 2001, Mr. Guenther served as Vice President of Business Development and Senior Vice President of Business Development and Revenue Operations at TiVo. Mr. Guenther also serves as a board member for Integral Development Corp., a provider of electronic capital markets trading solutions.

Philip G. Heasley

Age: 59 Director since: August 2008

Recent Business Experience: Since March 2005, Mr. Heasley has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of ACI Worldwide, Inc., a developer of electronic payment software products. From October 2003 to March 2005, Mr. Heasley served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of PayPower LLC, an acquisition and consulting firm specializing in financial services and payment services. From October 2000 to November 2003, Mr. Heasley served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of First USA Bank. From 1996 until November 2003, Mr. Heasley served as Chairman of the Board of Visa and a member of the board of Visa International. Mr. Heasley also serves on the boards of directors of ACI Worldwide, Inc., a publicly traded company that develops electronic payment software products, Fidelity National Financial, Inc., a publicly traded company providing property inspections, preservation services and title insurance services, and Public Radio International, a media company.

David A. Poe

Age: 60 Director since: October 2008

Recent Business Experience: From March 1980, Mr. Poe has served as a consultant and director of Edgar, Dunn & Company, or EDC, an independent global financial services and payments consultancy. From March 1998 to May 2008, Mr. Poe served as Chief Executive Officer of EDC. Mr. Poe also serves as a board member for Bank of San Francisco and the University of Idaho.

Ronald L. Rossetti

Age: 65 Director since: November 1995

Recent Business Experience: Mr. Rossetti has served as our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since May 2006 and has served as a director of Tier since November 1995. Mr. Rossetti has served as President of Riverside Capital Partners, Inc., a venture capital investment firm, and as general partner in several real estate general partnerships, all commonly controlled by Riverside Capital Holdings, since 1997.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR
EACH NOMINEE NAMED ABOVE.**

REMOVAL OF DIRECTORS

Under Delaware law, shareholders have the right to remove any director, or the entire board of directors. Delaware law also provides that, where a corporation has cumulative voting, if less than the entire board is to be removed, no director may be removed without cause if the votes cast against such director's removal would be sufficient to elect such director if then cumulatively voted at an election of the entire board of directors. Tier has cumulative voting, and in January 2009, Tier amended Section 2.7 of bylaws, which relates to the removal of directors, so that Tier's bylaws are consistent with this provision of Delaware law.

The following are two examples of how the statute and bylaw would be applied, if shareholders sought to remove one director from Tier's nine-member Board, if Tier had 20,000,000 shares of stock outstanding and entitled to vote in the election of directors at the time of the vote on the removal proposal, and if the holders of all 20,000,000 shares cast votes on the removal proposal:

If the holders of 17,999,999 shares voted in favor of the director's removal, and the holders of 2,000,001 shares voted against removal, then the director would not be removed. The director would not be removed because the total number of possible votes that could be cast in the election of a nine member Board would be 180,000,000, with the top nine vote recipients being elected to the Board, meaning that 18,000,001 would be the threshold number of votes necessary to secure a seat on the Board in a contested election (and, therefore, to defeat a proposal to remove a director). Since the holders of 2,000,001 shares would be entitled to cast 18,000,009 votes, the director would remain on the Board.

If the holders of 18,000,001 shares voted in favor of the director's removal, and the holders of 1,999,999 shares voted against removal, then the director would be removed. The director would be removed because the holders of 1,999,999 shares would be entitled to cast 17,999,991 votes, falling 10 votes short of the number of votes that would be required to secure a seat on the Board in a contested election (and, therefore, to defeat a proposal to remove a director).

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the following Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on its review and discussions with management, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board, and the Board approved, that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

The foregoing report is given by the members of the Compensation Committee: Samuel Cabot, III (Chair), Morgan P. Guenther, and Philip G. Heasley.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

During fiscal 2008, the members of the Compensation Committee were Messrs. Cabot, Berger, Guenther, and Heasley, none of whom was a current or former officer or employee of Tier and none of whom had any related person transaction involving Tier. No interlocking relationships exist between the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee and the board of directors or the compensation committee of any other entity.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Compensation Philosophy, Objectives, and Design

Compensation Philosophy

Our compensation philosophy for all our employees is to create an overall compensation package that provides fair and competitive cash compensation and aligns performance-based incentives with the interests of our shareholders. This compensation philosophy is particularly true for our named executive officers, as we rely on their leadership, management skills, and experience for Tier's continued growth and development.

Compensation Objectives

Our Compensation Committee establishes and reviews our overall executive compensation philosophy and objectives and oversees our executive compensation programs. The primary goals of our compensation program are to:

attract, retain, and motivate talented employees;

support business strategies that promote sustained growth and development;

reward the achievement of business results through the delivery of competitive pay and performance-based incentive programs; and

link executives' goals with the interests of shareholders by tying a portion of compensation to our stock.

We design our compensation strategy and packages for our executive officers to further these goals.

Performance

Our goal is to encourage and sustain high-quality performance by our executives. To achieve this goal, we compensate our executives for their individual skills, talents, leadership qualities, and responsibilities, primarily through base salary. To encourage our executives to meet and exceed current performance levels, enhance their skill levels, and maximize their contributions to our company, we also provide performance-based cash incentive compensation, framed around both consolidated company and individual targets for the executive's area of responsibility. The combination of guaranteed cash compensation in the form of base salary and the potential for additional performance-based compensation through our incentive compensation programs allow us to reward our executives for the value they add to our company.

Alignment

To align the interests of our executives with those of our company and our shareholders, we provide performance-based cash incentive and long-term incentive compensation. As discussed above, cash incentive compensation is based in part on Tier achieving specific goals or targets for the fiscal year. By

linking individual incentive compensation to Tier's goals, we align the interests of our executives with those of our shareholders and our clients. In addition, we provide long-term incentives to our executives through stock options and restricted stock units (RSUs). This further aligns the interests of our executives with our shareholders as contributors to Tier's growth and value based upon stock performance. Through our long-term incentive program, executives only receive a benefit through a sustained increase in our stock price.

Retention

We operate in a competitive work environment in which executives are presented with many opportunities outside of Tier. It is important to retain and grow our current leadership to provide stability within our organization and allow for sustained focus and effort to grow and develop the company for continued success. We believe that a combination of market-based competitive salaries and cash bonuses combined with performance-based short-and long-term incentives awarded to our executives through cash incentives and stock options promotes long-term tenure within our organization and sustainable shareholder value.

Implementing Our Objectives

Determining Compensation

The Compensation Committee relies heavily on its professional judgment and prior experience and on recommendations by our Chief Executive Officer when making compensation decisions. The Compensation Committee does not have a formulaic approach to determining executive compensation. The Compensation Committee uses broad compensation bands (i.e., salary bands that have a minimum, mid-point, and maximum salary level by function and career level), which are reviewed and updated regularly, as a tool for determining competitive compensation. In determining the appropriate compensation level and structure, the Compensation Committee focuses on Tier's goals, as well as each executive's roles and responsibilities; level and type of skills, training, experience and leadership qualities; current compensation; and contributions to the achievement of Tier's goals. To establish fair and equitable compensation packages for our executives, the Compensation Committee also considers current market employment conditions and trends.

Role of the Compensation Committee and Chief Executive Officer

The Compensation Committee's primary responsibility is to discharge the Board's responsibilities relating to compensation of our executives. It carries out these responsibilities by:

- reviewing and approving the compensation for our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers;

- reviewing executive bonus plan allocations;

- overseeing and advising the Board on the adoption of policies that govern our compensation programs; and

- approving grants of stock options and stock awards to our executive officers.

Our Chief Executive Officer assists the Compensation Committee by recommending and reviewing compensation packages for all other executive officers. The Chief Executive Officer discusses company and individual performance objectives and results with the Compensation Committee in connection with establishing cash incentive and long-term incentive compensation metrics and determining amounts to be awarded. The Chief Executive Officer is also involved in recommending and negotiating the terms of his own compensation package.

The other named executive officers do not have a role in determining their own compensation or the compensation of other executives, other than discussing with the Chief Executive Officer their annual individual performance objectives and results, which are utilized in establishing performance metrics used in cash incentive compensation calculations and determining amounts to be awarded.

Peer Groups

To align our executives' compensation with the market, our Compensation Committee typically uses outside consulting services when hiring a new executive, entering into an employment agreement with a key executive, and reviewing and determining compensation levels and practices in accordance with market best practices. The consultant primarily provides market data from comparable companies. The Compensation Committee uses this data to determine whether the compensation packages for our executives are reasonable and competitive with those of similar companies in the marketplace. We do not target specific medians or measurements from the peer groups to determine compensation packages for our executives.

During fiscal 2008, the Compensation Committee used market peer group studies from John F. Reda & Associates to provide market-based compensation information for the position of Chief Executive Officer; Chief Financial Officer; Chief Operating Officer; Senior Vice President, Strategic Marketing; Senior Vice President Sales and Marketing; Senior Vice President EPP Operations; Chief Technical Officer; General Counsel; Controller; and Vice President Human Resources. Peer groups were selected based upon industries of similar nature, capital investment, revenues, and headcount (full time employees). Studies of peer group companies included a review of base salary, cash incentive compensation, and long-term equity incentive compensation.

For fiscal 2008, the Compensation Committee used the following peer group for determining our executive level compensation packages:

| | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| ACI Worldwide Inc. | Intersections Inc. | S1 Corp |
| Alliance Data Systems Corp | Inx Inc. | Techteam Global Inc. |
| ASTA Funding Inc. | Metvante Technologies Inc. | TNS Inc. |
| Bottomline Technologies Inc. | NIC Inc. | Total System Services Inc. |
| CSG Systems International Inc. | Online Resources Inc. | TRX |
| CyberSource Corp. | Quality Systems Inc. | Tyler Technologies Inc. |
| Fiserv Inc. | Radiant Systems Inc. | Wright Express Corp |
| Global Payments Inc. | | |

Elements Used to Achieve Compensation Objectives

Our compensation packages are composed of five main elements: base salary; cash incentive compensation; long-term incentives; perquisites and benefits; and change of control provisions. We do not have a specific method of allocating these elements when determining overall compensation.

Base Salary

The purpose of the base salary is to attract and retain talented employees, as well as compensate individuals for services rendered. Base salary is a material component of an executive's compensation package.

Base salary is intended to reflect each executive's role and responsibility within the company, as well as the skills, experience, and leadership qualities the individual brings to the respective position. The Compensation Committee compares our executives' base salaries with the results of the peer group study to ensure competitiveness; however, the Compensation Committee does not target specific quartiles or medians in the comparison. The Compensation Committee does not assign relative weights or rankings to the factors used to determine base salary; rather, a qualitative determination is made based upon all the factors under consideration.

Each year we conduct salary reviews for all employees, including our named executive officers, in November and apply base compensation increases in December. At that time, base salary increases, if applicable, for our executive officers are determined and approved by the Compensation Committee and put into effect for the upcoming year. Base salary increases for our named executive officers are determined by evaluating base salary currently in place; the achievements of the individual for the review period; individual specific and overall contributions to Tier; and the current market environment. The Compensation Committee considers the following factors when evaluating base salary increases for executives: their individual performance and achievements throughout the year; the performance of their strategic business area, if applicable; and cost of living adjustments.

On April 30, 2008, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved a new employment agreement with Mr. Ronald L. Rossetti as Chief Executive Officer. Under the new agreement Mr. Rossetti's base salary was set at \$400,000 per annum. Mr. Rossetti was also awarded 550,000 RSUs pursuant to his employment agreement. As such, the Compensation Committee reduced Mr. Rossetti's salary from \$600,000 to \$400,000 to provide Mr. Rossetti with a total compensation package that was in line with our compensation strategy.

Pursuant to an employment agreement executed on January 9, 2008, Mr. Omsberg's base salary was increased to \$190,000 per annum.

On July 1, 2008 we entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Johnston pursuant to which he receives a base salary of \$275,000 per annum.

The following table sets forth the rates of base salaries of our named executive officers active as of October 1, 2008 and included in this proxy statement, for the fiscal years 2007, 2008, and 2009:

| | Base salary rate by fiscal year | | | % change 2007 to 2008 | % change 2008 to 2009 |
|---|---------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | | |
| Ronald L. Rossetti Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board | \$600,000 | (1) | \$400,000 | (1) | (1) |
| Ronald W. Johnston ⁽²⁾ Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer | N/A | 275,000 | 272,000 | N/A | -1% |
| Kevin C. Connell Senior Vice President, Sales & Marketing | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 0% | 0% |
| Keith Omsberg Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary | N/A | 190,000 | 190,000 | N/A | 0% |

(1) Pursuant to Mr. Rossetti's employment agreement signed April 30, 2008, Mr. Rossetti's base salary was reduced from \$600,000 to \$400,000 per annum, a reduction of

33%, effective
May 1, 2008.

- (2) Mr. Johnston voluntarily reduced his base salary from \$275,000 to \$272,000 for fiscal 2009 effective January 2009.

Fiscal year 2008 base salary rates for Messrs. Fountain, Lawler, and Beckerman and Ms. Tully remained consistent with fiscal year 2007 base rates.

Cash Incentive Compensation

Our cash incentive compensation plans are designed to:

align the management team's financial interests with those of our shareholders;

support a performance-oriented environment that rewards business unit and Tier's overall results;

attract, motivate, and retain key management critical to Tier's long-term success; and

align compensation with Tier's business strategy, values, and management initiatives.

A combination of base salary, cash incentive compensation, and long-term incentives are used to attract, motivate, and retain our executive officers and other key contributors. Cash incentives are used in particular to drive performance against defined financial and other performance metrics established as part of Tier's annual budgeting and strategic planning process, such that our executive officers and other key contributors are recognized for the achievement of specific and measurable company, business unit, and individual performance metrics on an annualized basis.

Our cash incentive compensation plans and individual performance goals under these plans are linked to Tier's financial performance goals established annually within our business plan, which is reviewed and approved by our Board. This link allows us to combine payment for individual performance with at-risk payment for achieving threshold, target, and maximum company and business unit performance targets. Throughout the year, the Compensation Committee reviews the cash incentive plans for executives for reasonableness and potential for meeting company or business unit defined performance metrics. If performance targets for the fiscal year are not met, the Compensation Committee may still elect to pay bonus incentive compensation on a discretionary basis. The Compensation Committee may also cancel or amend a cash incentive plan based on the outcome of its periodic reviews.

In addition to our formal incentive plans, we may, at the discretion of the Chief Executive Officer or at the discretion of the Compensation Committee, award a cash payment to our executive officers, in recognition of achievements outside of performance metrics established under formal cash incentive plans or award cash incentives under other agreements we enter into with an executive.

Bonus Incentive

On May 26, 2006, we entered into a one-year employment agreement with Mr. Rossetti, our Chief Executive Officer. Under the terms of this agreement, Mr. Rossetti received a guaranteed bonus of \$50,000 per month, as negotiated and agreed upon by the Compensation Committee and Mr. Rossetti. This contract expired on May 25, 2007. On December 21, 2007, the Compensation Committee passed a resolution, which provided Mr. Rossetti with a bonus equal to that which he would have received had his original employment agreement been extended through December 14, 2007. On April 30, 2008, the Compensation Committee entered into a new three year agreement with Mr. Rossetti that provides for a bonus incentive payment of up to 100% of base compensation. In May 2008, the Compensation Committee approved and provided Mr. Rossetti with a bonus of \$223,846, which is equal to that which he would have received, had his original employment agreement been extended through April 29, 2008. In addition, in December 2008, the Compensation Committee approved and paid a bonus to Mr. Rossetti in the amount of \$166,667, which is 100% of his pro-rated base salary for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008. The Compensation Committee believes these bonuses to Mr. Rossetti will help provide stability to the critical position of Chief Executive Officer.

Consistent with the employment agreement entered into July 1, 2008, Mr. Johnston, our Chief Financial Officer, received a guaranteed bonus of 50% of base compensation for the service period from April 1, 2008 through September 30, 2008 which was paid in the amount of \$68,750 in December 2008. The Compensation Committee believes these bonuses to Mr. Johnston will help provide stability to the critical position of Chief Financial Officer.

Management Incentive Plan

On December 10, 2007, our Compensation Committee adopted the Fiscal Year 2008 Management Incentive Plan, or MIP. Participants in the MIP included David E. Fountain, who was then our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as well as Michael A. Lawler, Senior Vice President, Electronic Payment Processing; Kevin C. Connell, Senior Vice President, Sales & Marketing; and Keith S. Omsberg, Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary. Steven M. Beckerman, Senior Vice President, Government Business Process Outsourcing, and Deanne S. Tully, who served for a portion of fiscal year

2008 as our Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, did not participate in the MIP. The MIP was designed to reward eligible employees for the achievement of electronic payment processing (EPP) business unit performance targets on a fiscal year basis. The EPP targets, including threshold, target, and stretch performance targets with associated levels of payout, were determined by executive management at the beginning of the plan year based upon Tier's strategic plan and budget process and the formulation of specific EPP performance targets. The following tables illustrate the performance metrics and related potential threshold, target, and maximum payouts for fiscal 2008 under the MIP for Messrs. Fountain, Lawler, Connell, and Omsberg. For each officer, the performance metric was Company earnings before interest, tax, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) of \$11.8 million. On July 22, 2008, the Compensation Committee determined that the Company would not achieve the performance targets, and no awards were made under the MIP. Any bonuses awarded to the officers listed (as disclosed in other tables) were awarded from a pool of \$0.2 million at the discretion of the Chief Executive Officer and the Compensation Committee, based on individual performance and contributions throughout the year.

Estimated Payout Levels

| Name | Threshold: 92% of Performance metric | Target: 100% of Performance metric | Maximum: 107% of Performance metric |
|-------------------|---|---|--|
| David E. Fountain | \$ 47,923 | \$ 79,872 | \$ 159,744 |
| Michael A. Lawler | 32,451 | 54,085 | 108,170 |
| Kevin C. Connell | 34,321 | 57,051 | 114,103 |
| Keith S. Omsberg | 9,870 | 16,450 | 32,900 |

The following table provides a summary of the actual cash incentive or bonus payments made to our named executive officers for fiscal year 2008:

| Named executive officer | 2008 payment |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Ronald L. Rossetti | \$390,513 |
| Ronald W. Johnston | 68,750 |
| Kevin Connell | 50,000 |
| Keith Kendrick | |
| Keith S. Omsberg | 7,500 |
| David E. Fountain | |
| Michael A. Lawler | |
| Deanne M. Tully | |
| Steven M. Beckerman | |
| Total incentive payout | \$516,763 |

Long-term Incentives

To further align our executives' financial interests with those of our shareholders, we provide long-term incentives through our *Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan*, or the Plan. These incentives are designed to motivate employees through equity ownership and provide a pay-at-risk element to our compensation package. Under the Plan, the Compensation Committee has the authority to issue stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, or other stock-based awards to all employees, officers, directors, consultants, and advisors at its discretion. Currently, stock options and RSUs are our preferred method for providing long-term equity incentives to our executives. Since the options are granted with an exercise price equal to the close price of our common stock on the day preceding the grant date, and RSUs are earned based upon share value performance over a defined measurement period, executives receive a benefit only if the stock price appreciates over the term of the option or RSU. We believe these long-term

incentives motivate all eligible employees to meet and/or exceed performance goals and contribute to the overall growth and value of Tier. We do not have agreements with any of our executive officers that entitle them to stock option grants or restricted stock awards.

The Compensation Committee meets at least four times per year. At these meetings the Compensation Committee reviews, among other things, new hire status, promotions, and achievements of current executives, in determining whether to make stock option or RSU grants. Options and RSUs are considered granted on the date the Compensation Committee approves the granting of the options and/or the RSUs, with the closing price on the business day preceding the option grant date as the exercise price of the award. RSUs, while awarded at the time of grant by the Compensation Committee, are earned upon the achievement of defined and sustained share value performance targets. The Compensation Committee awards options and RSUs at its discretion and in accordance with the Plan requirements as to the amount of options that may be awarded to executives throughout a fiscal year, taking into account an executive's performance, level of responsibility and future contributions to Tier. The maximum amount of options that may be awarded to an executive is 300,000 shares per fiscal year under the terms of the Plan. The maximum number of RSUs that could be awarded under the terms of the Plan is 500,000 units. We reached this maximum number during fiscal 2008. As such, all future RSU awards will be made outside of the Plan and settled in cash. Typically, options vest as to 20% of the underlying shares on the anniversary of the grant date and have a maximum ten year term, while restricted stock units vest upon a three-year cliff schedule. Options and RSUs that are unvested upon an executive's termination are generally forfeited, unless otherwise provided in an option agreement or employment agreement. We believe this encourages executive performance, tenure and the promotion of sustained growth with Tier. However, our named executive officers may be entitled to accelerated vesting of their options and RSUs under certain circumstances, including a change of control. See *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control* section on page 35 for additional information.

In fiscal year 2008, Mr. Rossetti was granted 550,000 RSUs on April 30, 2008 in connection with the enterprise value award under his employment agreement as approved by the Compensation Committee. Pursuant to the Plan, 500,000 units can be payable in shares of our common stock. The remaining 50,000 units may be payable in cash.

The Compensation Committee granted Mr. Omsberg options to purchase 30,000 shares of our common stock on October 1, 2007 and options to purchase 20,000 shares of our common stock on December 10, 2007.

The Compensation Committee granted Mr. Johnston options to purchase 200,000 shares of our common stock on July 1, 2008.

The Compensation Committee did not award any options to Messrs. Fountain, Lawler, Connell, or Beckerman or Ms. Tully in fiscal year 2008.

Executive Performance Stock Unit Plan

In an effort to further align our executives' financial interests with those of our shareholders and promote stability in key executive positions, the Compensation Committee adopted the Executive Performance Stock Unit Plan, or PSU Plan, on December 4, 2008, or the effective date. Under the PSU Plan a maximum of 800,000 units may be issued for award to eligible executives. The units will be awarded only upon the achievement and maintenance for a period of 60 days of specific share performance targets, or Share Price Performance Targets, of \$8.00, \$9.50, \$11.00, and \$13.00 per share for approved participants as the effective date. For participants hired after the effective date, the Committee will establish Share Price Performance Targets based on 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% increases in the share price. The PSUs will be awarded in four equal tranches at those Share Price Performance Targets; any PSUs awarded will vest on December 4, 2011, the third anniversary of the effective date, unless they vest earlier upon a change in control event as described below.

We intend to pay PSUs in cash in the pay period in which the grant becomes fully vested. However, if we have shares available for such issuance under, if required, a shareholder approved plan, we may instead issue shares of our common or restricted stock in an amount equivalent to the value of the PSUs. An executive will be entitled to receive a payment equal to (x) the price of a share of our common stock as of

the close of market on the date of vesting, but not more than \$15.00, multiplied by (y) the number of PSUs that have been awarded to the executive.

Under the Plan's change in control provision, if we experience a change in control event, the units that have been awarded or would be awarded based upon the per share value realized by our stockholders in the change in control event will be immediately awarded, and the payment due to the executive will be based on such per share value realized by our stockholders in the change in control event, not to exceed a \$15.00 per share. If the executive continues to be employed by the surviving entity following the change in control event, the award will be paid at the earlier of two years after the change in control event or three years after the effective date of the Plan. Payment of the award may be accelerated following a change in control event for termination without cause; death or disability, or resignation for good reason. The Plan defines a change of control event as:

any person, entity, or affiliated group becoming the beneficial owner or owners of more than 50% of the outstanding equity securities of Tier, or otherwise becoming entitled to vote shares representing more than 50% of the undiluted total voting power of our then-outstanding securities eligible to vote to elect members of the Board;

a consolidation or merger (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of Tier pursuant to which the holders of our equity securities immediately prior to such transaction or series of transactions would not be the holders immediately after such transaction or series of related transactions of more than 50% of the securities eligible to vote to elect members of the Board of the entity surviving such transaction or series of related transactions; or

the sale, lease, exchange, or other transfer (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of all or substantially all of the assets of Tier.

Perquisites and Benefits

All of our full-time employees, including our named executive officers, are eligible to participate in our benefits programs. Our benefits programs include: paid time off; medical, dental, and vision insurance; 401(k) safe harbor contribution; group term life insurance; short term disability; long term disability; and a range of voluntary or elective benefits. Other than our 401(k) program, in which all eligible employees may participate, we do not have any retirement, pension, or deferred compensation plans in effect for our named executive officers.

We do not have an established executive benefits program or an executive perquisite program. Typically, we do not provide perquisites to our named executive officers at the senior vice president level.

We provide limited perquisites to our Chief Executive Officer as discussed below. We believe these perquisites benefit us and our shareholders by ensuring that our Chief Executive Officer is able to maintain a regular presence at our headquarters to meet his duties and responsibilities in full.

Chief Executive Officer Perquisites

Pursuant to his April 30, 2008 employment agreement, we provide Mr. Rossetti with a fully-furnished corporate apartment located near our corporate headquarters in Reston, Virginia. We also provide Mr. Rossetti with local transportation for travel while he is located in Reston, Virginia. In addition, we reimburse Mr. Rossetti for travel to and from his current residence to our corporate headquarters. Travel reimbursement includes airfare, ground transportation, parking, and meals. Mr. Rossetti is provided home office equipment and a cellular phone to assist him in executing his responsibilities, while he is absent from our headquarters.

In addition, if Mr. Rossetti recognizes income for income tax purposes as a result of our payment of certain expenses, we are obligated to make a tax gross-up payment to Mr. Rossetti based upon the additional tax liability.

Change of Control

Messrs. Rossetti, Johnston, Connell, and Omsberg have change of control arrangements through their employment agreements. Messrs. Fountain, Lawler, and Beckerman and Ms. Tully had change of control arrangements through their employment agreements or a separate change of control agreement. We provide change of control arrangements to our executives to promote stability and continuity at a time when the departure of executive officers would be detrimental to our growth and development and shareholder value. Executives are entitled to change of control payments upon termination within one year of a change of control event. Payments are due to the executive within thirty days of such termination. For a change of control provision to be triggered, the change of control event, as defined below, must occur and the executive's employment must terminate.

A change of control is defined in our employment agreements as:

any person, entity or affiliated group becoming the beneficial owner or owners of more than 50% of the outstanding equity securities of Tier, or otherwise becoming entitled to vote shares representing more than 50% of the undiluted total voting power of our then-outstanding securities eligible to vote to elect members of the Board;

a consolidation or merger (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of Tier pursuant to which the holders of our equity securities immediately prior to such transaction or series of transactions would not be the holders immediately after such transaction or series of related transactions of more than 50% of the securities eligible to vote to elect members of the Board of the entity surviving such transaction or series of related transactions;

the sale, lease, exchange or other transfer (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of all or substantially all of the assets of Tier;

the dissolution or liquidation of Tier; or

the date on which (i) we consummate a going private transaction pursuant to Section 13 and Rule 13e-3 of the Exchange Act, or (ii) no longer have a class of equity securities registered under the Exchange Act.

Under Mr. Beckerman's change of control agreement, the following also constituted a change of control:

any person, entity or affiliated group becoming the beneficial owner or owners of more than 50% of the outstanding equity securities of Tier, or of a subsidiary that holds substantial assets or is the primary location of the strategic business unit or practice unit in which Mr. Beckerman was engaged or otherwise becoming entitled to vote shares representing more than 50% of the undiluted total voting power of the then-outstanding securities eligible to vote to elect members of the board of directors or of the business unit or practice unit's board of directors.

Under Mr. Rossetti's change of control agreement, each of the following would constitute a change of control :

any person, entity or affiliated group becoming the beneficial owner or owners of more than 35% of the outstanding equity securities of Tier, or otherwise becoming entitled to vote

shares representing more than 35% of the undiluted total voting power of our then-outstanding securities eligible to vote to elect members of the Board;

a consolidation or merger (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of Tier pursuant to which the holders of our equity securities immediately prior to such transaction or series of related transactions would not be the holders immediately after such transaction or series of related transactions of at least 65% of the securities eligible to elect members of the board of directors of the entity surviving such transaction or series of related transactions; or

the sale, lease, exchange or other transfer (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of all or substantially all of the assets of Tier.

In fiscal year 2008, specific pay-outs under change of control provisions were determined through negotiations of each individual's employment agreement. For actual and potential payments upon a change of control arrangements for our named executive officers, see *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control* section of Executive Compensation on page 35.

Payouts to Named Executive Officers in Connection with Termination of Employment

On December 12, 2007, we entered into a transition agreement with Ms. Tully for employment separation effective March 31, 2008 for the payment of one year's base salary in a single lump sum payment at \$220,000, the provision of COBRA benefit reimbursement for a period of 12 months, and the reimbursement of out-placement services not to exceed \$7,500. In addition, we entered into a consulting agreement with Ms. Tully for a period of April 2008 through September 2008, pursuant to which we compensated her \$18,333 per month.

Mr. Lawler's employment with Tier terminated on September 26, 2008. Pursuant to his employment agreement dated October 29, 2007, Mr. Lawler was entitled to a lump sum payment equal to one times his annual base salary of \$237,000 and the reimbursement of COBRA benefits for a period of up to 12 months.

Mr. Beckerman's employment with Tier terminated on September 30, 2008. Pursuant to his employment agreement dated October 29, 2007, Mr. Beckerman was entitled to a lump sum payment of two times his annual base compensation of \$220,000, and reimbursement of COBRA benefits for a period of 18 months. In addition, we entered into a consulting agreement with Mr. Beckerman for a period of October and November 2008, pursuant to which we compensated him \$19,866 per month.

Tax and Accounting Implications

Deductibility of Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, generally disallows federal tax deductions for compensation in excess of \$1.0 million paid to the Chief Executive Officer and the next four highly paid officers. Compensation that is performance-based within the meaning of the Code does not count toward the \$1.0 million limit. We believe it is in our best interest, to the extent practicable, to have executive compensation be fully deductible under the Code. However, the Compensation Committee has full discretion to provide compensation that potentially may not be fully deductible.

Accounting for Share-Based Compensation

Effective October 1, 2005, we began accounting for share-based payments in accordance with the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123(R) *Share-Based Payment*. As such, we value the options granted based on the grant date fair value using the Black-Scholes model.

We value RSUs using a Monte Carlo simulation option pricing model. We recognize compensation expense over the vesting period of the option or RSU grants, which ranges from three to five years. Additional information about the valuation of our options and RSUs can be found in Note 13 Share-Based Payment of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2008.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

This section provides certain tabular and narrative information regarding the compensation of our principal executive and financial officers and our other most highly compensated executive officers for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. Messrs. Johnston and Omsberg became named executive officers during fiscal 2008; therefore only fiscal 2008 information is reported for these individuals. For additional information regarding compensation of the named executive officers, see *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* beginning on page 19.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information regarding compensation of our named executive officers during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007:

| Name and principal position | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) ⁽¹⁾ | Stock awards (\$) ⁽²⁾ | Non-equity incentive compensation | | | Total (\$) |
|---|------|-------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | Option awards (\$) ⁽²⁾ | plan (\$) ⁽³⁾ | All other (\$) ⁽⁴⁾ | |
| Ronald L. Rossetti Chief Executive Officer, Chairman of the Board | 2008 | \$589,231 | \$390,513 | \$264,583 | \$ | \$ | \$278,363 | \$1,522,690 |
| | 2007 | 600,000 | 600,000 | | 119,375 | | 230,710 | 1,550,085 |
| Ronald W. Johnston ⁽⁵⁾ Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer | 2008 | 172,158 | 68,750 | | 58,326 | | 4,943 | 304,177 |
| Kevin C. Connell Senior Vice President Sales and Marketing | 2008 | 251,923 | 50,000 | | 62,270 | | 6,685 | 370,878 |
| | 2007 | 245,796 | | | 121,177 | 167,808 | 19,482 | 554,263 |
| Keith S. Omsberg Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary | 2008 | 188,000 | 92,500 | | 50,706 | | 5,585 | 336,791 |
| David E. Fountain ⁽⁶⁾ Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer | 2008 | 181,731 | | | 52,969 | | 147,987 | 382,687 |
| | 2007 | 338,942 | 50,000 | | 67,313 | 175,000 | 137,174 | 768,429 |
| Michael A. Lawler ⁽⁷⁾ Senior Vice President Electronic Payment Processing | 2008 | 232,442 | | | 71,313 | | 272,008 | 575,763 |
| | 2007 | 234,402 | | | 67,845 | 21,000 | 5,606 | 328,853 |
| Deanne M. Tully ⁽⁸⁾ Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate | 2008 | 110,846 | | | 21,507 | | 255,696 | 388,049 |
| | 2007 | 219,117 | | | 48,805 | | 5,204 | 273,126 |

Secretary

| | | | | | |
|---|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Steven M. Beckerman ⁽⁹⁾ Senior Vice President, Government Business Process Outsourcing | 2008 | 221,692 | 106,356 | 464,947 | 792,995 |
| | 2007 | 220,000 | 61,071 | 5,204 | 286,275 |

[Footnotes begin on next page]

- (1) Reflects bonus payouts for fiscal years 2008 and 2007:

| Name | Year | Employment | | Total bonus payout |
|---|------|------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | | agreement | Discretionary | |
| Ronald L. Rossetti | 2008 | \$ 166,667 | \$ 223,846 | \$ 390,513 |
| | 2007 | 600,000 | | 600,000 |
| Ronald W. Johnston | 2008 | 68,750 | | 68,750 |
| Kevin C. Connell | 2008 | | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Keith S. Omsberg | 2008 | | 92,500 | 92,500 |
| David E. Fountain | 2007 | | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| See page 23 for additional information on bonus payments. | | | | |

- (2) The amounts included in these columns reflect the value of stock awards and stock option awards that were recognized as an expense for financial statement reporting purposes in fiscal 2008 and 2007, calculated pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123R *Share-Based Payment*, excluding any

estimate of forfeitures. Accordingly, the columns include amounts relating to awards granted during and prior to the year indicated. The following table summarizes the amounts shown in the Stock Awards and Option Awards columns and the amount included for each such award for fiscal 2008. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts and the amounts for fiscal 2007 are included in footnote 13 to the audited consolidated financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008.

| Name | Date of award | Stock Awards | | Date of award | Option Awards | |
|------|---------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | | Total number of shares underlying shares awarded (#) | Amount included in fiscal 2008 (\$) | | Total number of shares underlying options awarded (#) | Amount included in fiscal 2008 (\$) |
| | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: TIER TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEFC14A

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| Ronald L. Rossetti | 4/30/08 | 550,000 | \$ 264,583 | \$ |
| Ronald W. Johnston | | | | |
| | 7/1/08 | 200,000 | | 58,326 |
| Kevin C. Connell | | | | |
| | 10/4/02 | 25,000 | | 608 |
| | 7/3/03 | 25,000 | | 19,265 |
| | 12/1/03 | 5,000 | | 5,122 |
| | 11/1/04 | 3,000 | | 2,891 |
| | 9/13/06 | 10,000 | | 6,851 |
| | 11/28/06 | 40,000 | | 27,533 |
| | | | | 62,270 |
| Keith S. Omsberg | | | | |
| | 12/1/03 | 3,000 | | 3,073 |
| | 11/1/04 | 3,000 | | 2,891 |
| | 9/13/06 | 10,000 | | 6,852 |
| | 10/1/07 | 30,000 | | 25,453 |
| | 12/10/07 | 20,000 | | 12,437 |
| | | | | 50,706 |
| David E. Fountain | | | | |
| | 8/12/05 | 75,000 | | 35,655 |
| | 8/24/06 | 40,000 | | 17,314 |
| | | | | 52,969 |
| Michael A. Lawler | | | | |
| | 11/1/04 | 50,000 | | 48,179 |
| | 8/24/06 | 40,000 | | 23,134 |
| | | | | 71,313 |
| Deanne M. Tully | | | | |
| | 12/1/03 | 10,000 | | 5,124 |
| | 11/1/04 | 10,000 | | 4,819 |
| | 8/24/06 | 40,000 | | 11,564 |
| | | | | 21,507 |
| Steven M. Beckerman | | | | |
| | 4/7/06 | 50,000 | | 62,439 |
| | 8/24/06 | 40,000 | | 43,917 |
| | | | | 106,356 |

(3) Reflects cash incentive payouts for fiscal year 2007 under various non-equity incentive plans.

| Name | Year | Incentive plan | Total non-equity incentive payout |
|-------------------|------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Kevin C. Connell | 2007 | 167,808 | 167,808 |
| Michael A. Lawler | 2007 | 21,000 | 21,000 |

See page 23 for additional information on 2008 performance metrics and payouts.

(4) Consists of:

the aggregate incremental cost to Tier of providing perquisites and other personal benefits;

company matching contributions under 401(k) plans;

tax reimbursement payments relating to certain business and non-business travel; and

severance expenses.

The following table summarizes the amounts shown in the All Other Compensation column:

| Name | Year | Perquisites ^(a) | 401(k) | Tax reimbursement | Severance ^(b) | Total all other compensation |
|--------------------|------|----------------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Ronald L. Rossetti | 2008 | \$183,338 | \$6,900 | \$88,125 | \$ | \$278,363 |
| Ronald L. Rossetti | 2007 | 191,435 | 6,750 | 32,525 | | 230,710 |
| Ronald W. Johnston | 2008 | | 4,943 | | | 4,943 |
| Kevin C. Connell | 2008 | | 6,685 | | | 6,685 |
| Kevin C. Connell | 2007 | 13,648 | 5,834 | | | 19,482 |
| Keith S. Omsberg | 2008 | | 5,585 | | | 5,585 |
| David E. Fountain | 2008 | 40,371 | 5,574 | 23,921 | 78,121 | 147,987 |
| David E. Fountain | 2007 | 88,310 | 6,750 | 42,114 | | 137,174 |
| Michael A. Lawler | 2008 | | 6,750 | | 265,258 | 272,008 |
| Michael A. Lawler | 2007 | | 5,606 | | | 5,606 |
| Deanne M. Tully | 2008 | | 4,173 | | 251,523 | 255,696 |
| Deanne M. Tully | 2007 | | 5,204 | | | 5,204 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------|------|-------|---------|---------|
| Steven M. Beckerman | 2008 | 6,600 | 458,347 | 464,947 |
| Steven M. Beckerman | 2007 | 5,204 | | 5,204 |

(a) See *Perquisites and Benefits* in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis on page 26 for a discussion on perquisites provided to executives. Perquisites include:
 expenses for corporate apartments, including utilities;

air and ground transportation, meals and lodging for personal travel; and

legal consultation fees relating to negotiation and review of employment agreement. The following table summarizes the amounts shown in the Perquisites column:

| Name | Year | Corporate apartment | Travel | Legal | |
|----------------------|------|------------------------|------------|--------------|-------|
| | | | | consultation | Other |
| Ronald L. Rossetti | 2008 | \$ 39,096 | \$ 113,431 | \$ 30,811 | \$ |
| Ronald L. Rossetti * | 2007 | 41,232 | 130,375 | 19,828 | |
| Kevin C. Connell * | 2008 | | | | |
| | 2007 | | 13,648 | | |
| David E. Fountain | 2008 | 20,420 | 19,951 | | |
| David E. Fountain | 2007 | 34,166 | 32,144 | 22,000 | |

* Includes travel by chartered private jet for business meeting which Mr. Connell and Mr. Rossetti attended. Total cost was \$27,295 and is split equally between Mr. Connell and Mr. Rossetti.

(b) The amount in the severance column consists of severance payments and reimbursement for personal time off accrued but not used as of termination date.

(5) Mr. Johnston served as interim Chief Financial Officer from April 2008 to June 2008.

- (6) Mr. Fountain's employment with us terminated April 4, 2008.
- (7) Mr. Lawler's employment with us terminated September 26, 2008.
- (8) Ms. Tully's employment with us terminated March 31, 2008. From April 2008 to September 2008, Ms. Tully served as an independent consultant to Tier and was compensated \$18,333 per month.
- (9) Mr. Beckerman's employment with us terminated September 30, 2008.

Fiscal 2008 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth information regarding grants of plan-based awards made to the named executive officers during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008:

| Name | Grant date | Estimated future payouts under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾ | | | All other stock awards: Number of shares of | All other option awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options(#) | Exercise or base price of option awards (\$) ⁽⁶⁾ | Grant date fair value of stock and option awards (\$) ⁽⁷⁾ |
|---------------------|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | Threshold (\$) ⁽²⁾ | Target (\$) ⁽³⁾ | Maximum (\$) ⁽⁴⁾ | stock(#) ⁽⁵⁾ | Options(#) | | |
| Ronald L. Rossetti | 04/30/08 ⁽⁸⁾ | \$ | \$ | \$ | 550,000 | | \$ | \$ |
| Ronald W. Johnston | 07/01/08 ⁽⁹⁾ | | 68,750 | 103,125 | | 200,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾ | 8.01 | 701,840 |
| Kevin C. Connell | 12/10/07 ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 34,321 | 57,051 | 114,103 | | | | |
| Keith S. Omsberg | 12/10/07 ⁽¹¹⁾ 10/01/07 ⁽¹²⁾ 12/10/07 ⁽¹²⁾ | 9,870 | 16,450 | 32,900 | | 30,000 ⁽¹⁶⁾ 20,000 ⁽¹⁶⁾ | 10.20 9.25 | 127,614 77,152 |
| David E. Fountain | 12/10/07 ⁽¹³⁾ | 47,923 | 79,872 | 159,744 | | | | |
| Michael A. Lawler | 12/10/07 ⁽¹⁴⁾ | 32,451 | 54,085 | 108,170 | | | | |
| Deanne M. Tully | | | | | | | | |
| Steven M. Beckerman | | | | | | | | |

(1) For additional information concerning performance metrics and payouts of non-equity incentive plan awards see page

22.

- (2) The threshold amount represents the amounts payable to the executive if we met a specific percentage of our corporate performance goal and practice unit performance goal, if applicable, for fiscal 2008 under the applicable plans.
- (3) The target amount represents the amounts payable to the executive if we met our corporate performance goal and, if applicable, practice unit performance goal for fiscal 2008 under the applicable plans.
- (4) The maximum estimated future payout for Mr. Johnston was 75% of his base salary from April 2008 September 2008. The maximum estimated future payout for Messrs. Connell, Fountain and Lawler represent the amounts

payable to the executive if we met 107% of our corporate performance goal, and , if applicable, practice unit performance goal.

- (5) The shares vest April 30, 2011 provided the following share price performance targets are met and maintained for 60 consecutive days: 180,000 shares at share target price of \$11, 185,000 shares at share target price of \$13, and 185,000 shares at target price of \$15. Of the 185,000 shares at target price of \$15, 50,000 shares are intended to be settled in cash.
- (6) The exercise price of the options granted to the individuals shown above was the closing price of Tier 's common stock on the day prior to the grant date.
- (7) Represents the full grant date fair value of each equity-based

award, computed
in accordance
with SFAS 123R.

- (8) Awarded under the terms of Mr. Rossetti's employment agreement.
- (9) Awarded under the terms of Mr. Johnston's employment agreement.
- (10) Awarded under the MIP, adopted by the Compensation Committee on December 10, 2007. On July 22, 2008, the Committee determined the performance metrics would not be met, and no awards were made under the MIP.
- (11) Awarded under the MIP, adopted by the Compensation Committee on December 10, 2007. On July 22, 2008, the Committee determined the performance metrics would not be met, and no awards were made under the MIP.

(12)

Award under the Company's Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.

- (13) Awarded under the MIP, adopted by the Compensation Committee on December 10, 2007. On July 22, 2008, the Committee determined the performance metrics would not be met, and no awards were made under the MIP.
- (14) Awarded under the MIP, adopted by the Compensation Committee on December 10, 2007. On July 22, 2008, the Committee determined the performance metrics would not be met, and no awards were made under the MIP.
- (15) These options were awarded to Mr. Johnston upon his hire. These options vest as to 34% of the underlying shares the first year and 33% each subsequent

year on the anniversary of the date granted and expire in ten years.

- (16) Of the 50,000 total options awarded to Mr. Omsberg, 20,000 were merit based and 30,000 were awarded in connection with his promotion to Corporate Secretary. These options vest as to 20% on the anniversary of the date granted and expire in ten years.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2008 Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth for each named executive officer certain information about stock options and unvested and unearned equity incentive plan awards held at the end of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008:

| Name | Option Awards | | | | Stock Awards | | Equity incentive plan awards: Market or payout value of |
|--------------------|---|--|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|---|
| | Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) | Number of underlying unexercised options (#) | Option exercise price (\$) | Option expiration date | Market value of shares or units of stock that have not vested (#) | Market value of shares or units of stock that have not vested (\$) | Equity incentive plan awards: Number of unearned shares, units, or other rights that have not vested (#) ^(b) |
| Ronald L. Rossetti | 10,000 | | \$ 17.75 | 01/27/09 | | | |
| | 20,000 | | 6.81 | 07/25/09 | | | |
| | 25,000 | | 6.94 | 01/21/11 | | | |
| | 10,000 | | 19.56 | 01/22/12 | | | |
| | 10,000 | | 13.75 | 01/30/13 | | | |
| | 15,000 | | 8.62 | 01/27/14 | | | |
| | 5,000 | | 9.77 | 10/07/14 | | | |
| | 20,000 | | 8.30 | 06/29/15 | | | |
| | 300,000 | | 5.50 | 07/25/16 | | | |
| | | | | | | | 180,000 |
| | | | | | | 185,000 | 1,361,600 |
| | | | | 50,000 ^(d) | \$ 368,000 | 135,000 ^(d) | 993,600 |
| | 415,000 | | | 50,000 | | 500,000 | |
| Ronald W. Johnston | | 200,000 ⁽¹⁾ | 8.01 | 06/30/18 | | | |
| Kevin C. Connell | 25,000 | | 16.90 | 10/03/12 | | | |
| | 25,000 | | 7.86 | 07/02/13 | | | |
| | 4,000 | 1,000 ⁽²⁾ | 7.81 | 11/30/13 | | | |

Edgar Filing: TIER TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEFC14A

| | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|------|----------|
| 1,800 | 1,200 ⁽³⁾ | 8.60 | 10/31/14 |
| 4,000 | 6,000 ⁽⁴⁾ | 7.05 | 09/12/16 |
| 8,000 | 32,000 ⁽⁵⁾ | 7.10 | 11/27/16 |

| | | | |
|--------|--------|--|--|
| 67,800 | 40,200 | | |
|--------|--------|--|--|

| | | | | |
|---------------|-------|------------------------|-------|----------|
| Keith Omsberg | 2,500 | | 16.04 | 07/04/12 |
| | 2,400 | 600 ⁽⁶⁾ | 7.81 | 11/30/13 |
| | 1,800 | 1,200 ⁽⁷⁾ | 8.60 | 10/31/14 |
| | 4,000 | 6,000 ⁽⁸⁾ | 7.05 | 09/12/16 |
| | | 30,000 ⁽⁹⁾ | 10.20 | 09/30/17 |
| | | 20,000 ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 9.25 | 12/09/17 |

| | | | |
|--------|--------|--|--|
| 10,700 | 57,800 | | |
|--------|--------|--|--|

David E. Fountain

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|--|------|----------|
| Michael A. Lawler ^(e) | 30,000 | | 8.60 | 10/31/14 |
| | 16,000 | | 5.95 | 08/23/16 |

| | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|
| 46,000 | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|

Deanne M. Tully

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------|--|------|----------|
| Steven M. Beckerman ^(f) | 30,000 | | 8.70 | 04/06/16 |
| | 24,000 | | 5.95 | 08/23/16 |

| | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|
| 54,000 | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|

(a) Vesting of the unexercisable option awards are set forth below:

| Name | Footnote reference | Vesting date | Number |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Ronald W. Johnston | (1) | 07/01/09 | 66,666 |
| | | 07/01/10 | 66,667 |
| | | 07/01/11 | 66,667 |
| Kevin C. Connell | (2) | 12/01/08 | 1,000 |
| | | | |
| | (3) | 11/01/08 | 600 |
| | | 11/01/09 | 600 |
| | (4) | 09/13/09 | 2,000 |
| | | 09/13/10 | 2,000 |
| | | 09/13/11 | 2,000 |
| | (5) | 11/28/08 | 8,000 |
| | | 11/28/09 | 8,000 |
| | | 11/28/10 | 8,000 |
| 11/28/11 | | 8,000 | |
| Keith S. Omsberg | (6) | 12/01/08 | 600 |
| | | | |
| | (7) | 11/01/08 | 600 |
| | | 11/01/09 | 600 |
| | (8) | 09/13/09 | 2,000 |
| | | 09/13/10 | 2,000 |
| | | 09/13/11 | 2,000 |
| | (9) | 10/01/08 | 6,000 |
| | | 10/01/09 | 6,000 |
| | | 10/01/10 | 6,000 |
| | | 10/01/11 | 6,000 |
| | | 10/01/12 | 6,000 |
| | (10) | 12/10/08 | 4,000 |
| | | 12/10/09 | 4,000 |
| 12/10/10 | | 4,000 | |
| 12/10/11 | | 4,000 | |
| 12/10/12 | | 4,000 | |

(b)

The shares vest April 30, 2011 provided the following share price performance targets are met and maintained for 60 consecutive days.

| Share price performance target | Number of units |
|--|------------------------|
| \$ 11 | 180,000 |
| 13 | 185,000 |
| 15 | 185,000 ^(d) |
| (c) The market value was determined by multiplying \$7.36 (the closing price of Tier's stock at September 30, 2008) by the number of shares. | |
| (d) Of the 185,000 shares at the \$15 share price performance target, 50,000 shares are payable in cash. | |
| (e) Mr. Lawler's employment with us terminated September 26, 2008; as such, all options ceased vesting on that date. | |

(f)

Mr. Beckerman's
employment
with us
terminated
September 30,
2008; as such,
all options
ceased vesting
on that date.

Fiscal 2008 Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table sets forth for each named executive officer certain information about stock options that were exercised during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008:

| Name | Option awards | |
|--------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| | Number of shares acquired on exercise (#) | Value realized on exercise (\$) |
| Ronald L. Rossetti | | \$ |
| Ronald W. Johnston | | |
| Kevin C. Connell | | |
| Keith S. Omsberg | | |
| David E. Fountain | 150 | 323 |
| | 200 | 462 |
| | 1,349 | 2,765 |
| | 4,900 | 10,633 |
| | 1,400 | 3,220 |
| | 7,999 | 17,403 |
| Michael A. Lawler | | |
| Deanne M. Tully | 8,000 | 15,600 |

Steven M. Beckerman

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

This section provides information regarding payments and benefits to the named executive officers that were or would be triggered by termination of the officer's employment (including resignation, voluntary termination, or involuntary termination) or a change of control of Tier. A change of control has been defined in the *Change of Control* section of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis on page 27.

Other key terms within our employment agreements with our named executive officers are cause and good reason. They are defined as follows:

Cause shall mean a finding by Tier of:

a conviction of the named executive officer of, or a plea of guilty or *nolo contendere* by the named executive officer to, any felony;

an intentional violation by the named executive officer of federal or state securities laws;

willful misconduct or gross negligence by the named executive officer that has or is reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on Tier;

a failure of the named executive officer to perform his or her reasonably assigned duties for Tier that has or is reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on Tier;

a material violation by the named executive officer of any material provision of our Business Code of Conduct (or successor policies on similar topics) or any other applicable policies in place;

a violation by the named executive officer of any provision of our Proprietary and Confidential Information, Developments, Noncompetition and Nonsolicitation Agreement with the named executive officers; or

fraud, embezzlement, theft or dishonesty by the named executive officer against Tier.

Good reason shall mean, without the named executive officer's prior written consent, the occurrence of any of the following:

any reduction in the named executive officer's base salary;

any material diminution of the named executive officer's duties, responsibilities, powers or authorities;

any relocation of his or her principal place of employment by more than 50 miles or requirement that the executive relocate his or her principal place of residence by more than 50 miles; or

a material breach by Tier of any material provision of the employment agreement.

Under our corporate policy, all employees, including our named executive officers, are entitled to payments for base salary and payout of any accrued personal time off, or PTO, accrued through the termination date, but not yet paid.

Employment Agreement Chief Executive Officer

On April 30, 2008, we entered into an employment agreement with our Chief Executive Officer, Ronald L. Rossetti. Pursuant to the terms of this agreement, Mr. Rossetti is entitled to certain compensation and benefits, payable in a lump sum (with the exception of health benefits, which would be reimbursed monthly) within 30 days of the applicable event and provided Mr. Rossetti signs a separation release. The following table describes the maximum potential payments that would have been due to Mr. Rossetti as of September 30, 2008, upon designated situations outlined in his employment agreement.

| Benefits and payments upon termination | Involuntary | | | Voluntary | | Change of control ⁽⁴⁾ |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Voluntary termination ⁽¹⁾ | for cause termination ⁽¹⁾ | Involuntary not for cause termination ⁽²⁾ | termination with good reason ⁽²⁾ | Death or disability ⁽³⁾ | |
| Salary | \$ 10,769 | \$ 10,769 | \$ 410,769 | \$ 410,769 | \$ 410,769 | \$ 810,769 |
| Bonus | 166,667 | 166,667 | 949,743 | 949,743 | 558,205 | 783,076 |
| Stock options ⁽⁵⁾ | 579,500 | 579,500 | 579,500 | 579,500 | 579,500 | 579,500 |
| Restricted stock units ⁽⁶⁾ | | | | | | |
| Health benefits | | | 12,000 | 12,000 | | 12,000 |
| Perquisites | | | | | | |
| Accrued PTO | (13,729) | (13,729) | (13,729) | (13,729) | (13,729) | (13,729) |
| Total | \$743,207 | \$743,207 | \$1,938,283 | \$1,938,283 | \$1,534,745 | \$2,171,616 |

(1) Amounts reflect maximum salary earned and prior year bonus accrued but not paid prior to date of termination and personal time

off accrued
through date of
occurrence.

- (2) Amounts reflect maximum salary earned and prior year bonus accrued but not yet paid prior to date of termination, one year base salary, bonus equal to average historic bonus prorated for number of months worked prior to occurrence, bonus equal to average historic bonus, immediate vesting of all stock options, restricted stock grants and restricted stock units already issued under Mr. Rossetti's Enterprise Value Award, or the EVA plan, twelve months continuation of health benefits and personal time off accrued through September 30, 2008.
- (3) Amounts reflect maximum salary earned and prior year bonus accrued but not paid prior to date of

termination, one year base salary and bonus equal to average annual bonus paid for the previous three years, or average historic bonus and immediate vesting of all stock options, restricted stock grants, restricted stock units already issued under the EVA plan and personal time off accrued through September 30, 2008.

- (4) Amounts reflect two times
- (a) the base salary plus
 - (b) bonus equal to average historic bonus, immediate vesting of any stock options, restricted stock grants and restricted stock units already issued under the EVA plan, twelve months continuation of health benefits and personal time off accrued through September 30, 2008.

- (5)

The amount represents the value of vested options as of September 30, 2008 at a closing price of \$7.36.

- (6) As of September 30, 2008, the target price for the vesting of the restricted stock units had not been met, therefore all units were considered unvested.

Employment Agreement Chief Financial Officer

On July 1, 2008, we entered into an employment agreement with our Chief Financial Officer, Ronald W. Johnston. Pursuant to the terms of this agreement, Mr. Johnston is entitled to certain compensation and benefits, payable in a lump sum (with the exception of health benefits, which would be reimbursed monthly) within 30 days of the applicable event and provided Mr. Johnston signs a separation release. The following table describes the maximum potential payments that would have been due to Mr. Johnston as of September 30, 2008, upon designated situations outlined in his employment agreement.

| Benefits and payments upon termination | Voluntary termination⁽¹⁾ | Involuntary for cause termination⁽¹⁾ | Involuntary not for cause termination⁽²⁾ | Voluntary termination with good reason⁽²⁾ | Death or disability⁽²⁾ | Change of control⁽³⁾ |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Salary | \$ 7,404 | \$ 7,404 | \$ 282,404 | \$ 282,404 | \$ 282,404 | \$ 557,404 |
| Bonus | 68,750 | 68,750 | 68,750 | 68,750 | 68,750 | 68,750 |
| Stock options ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | | | |
| Health benefits | | | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 18,000 |
| Perquisites | | | | | | |
| Accrued PTO | 12,684 | 12,684 | 12,684 | 12,684 | 12,684 | 12,684 |
| Total | \$ 88,838 | \$ 88,838 | \$ 375,838 | \$ 375,838 | \$ 375,838 | \$ 656,838 |

(1) Amounts reflect maximum salary earned but not paid prior to date of termination, accrued prior year bonus not paid prior to date of termination date and personal time off accrued through date of occurrence.

(2) Amounts reflect maximum salary earned but not paid prior to date of termination, accrued prior year bonus not paid prior to date of

termination, one year base salary, twelve months continuation of health benefits and personal time off accrued through September 30, 2008.

- (3) Amounts reflect maximum salary earned but not paid prior to date of termination, accrued prior year bonus not paid prior to date of termination, two times (a) base salary and (b) bonus equal to average historic bonus, bonus equal to average historic bonus prorated for the number of months worked, immediate vesting of any stock options, eighteen months continuation of health benefits and personal time off accrued through September 30, 2008.
- (4) The amount represents the value of vested options as of September 30, 2008 at a

closing price of
\$7.36.

Employment Agreement Senior Vice President, Sales and Marketing

We have an employment agreement with Mr. Connell. Pursuant to the terms of this agreement, if his employment is terminated for reasons other than for cause, Mr. Connell is entitled to certain compensation and benefits, payable in a lump sum (with the exception of health benefits, which would be reimbursed monthly) within 30 days of the applicable event provided he signs a separation release. The following table describes the maximum potential payments that would have been due to Mr. Connell as of September 30, 2008, upon designated situations outlined in his employment agreement.

| Benefits and payments upon termination | Voluntary termination ⁽⁴⁾ | Involuntary | Involuntary | Voluntary | Death or disability ⁽²⁾ | Change of control ⁽³⁾ |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | for cause termination ⁽¹⁾ | not for cause termination ⁽²⁾ | termination with good reason ⁽²⁾ | | |
| Salary | \$ 6,731 | \$ 6,731 | \$ 256,731 | \$ 256,731 | \$ 256,731 | \$ 506,731 |
| Bonus | | | | | | 335,616 |
| Stock options ⁽⁴⁾ | 3,320 | 3,320 | 3,320 | 3,320 | 3,320 | 8,100 |
| Health benefits | | | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 18,000 |
| Perquisites | | | | | | |
| Accrued PTO | 42,067 | 42,067 | 42,067 | 42,067 | 42,067 | 42,067 |
| Total | \$ 52,118 | \$ 52,118 | \$ 314,118 | \$ 314,118 | \$ 314,118 | \$ 910,514 |

- (1) Amounts reflect maximum salary earned but not yet paid prior to date of termination, accrued prior year bonus not yet paid prior to date of termination and personal time off accrued through September 30, 2008.
- (2) Amounts reflect maximum salary earned but not yet paid prior to date of termination, accrued prior year bonus not yet paid prior to date of termination, one year base salary, twelve months continued health benefits and personal time off accrued through September 30, 2008.
- (3) Mr. Connell's change of control amounts reflect maximum salary earned but not yet paid prior to date of termination, accrued prior year bonus not

yet paid prior to date of termination, two times (a) base salary and (b) bonus equal to the average bonus paid over the last three years, immediate vesting of options that would have vested within eighteen months of September 30, 2008, eighteen months continued health benefits and personal time off accrued through September 30, 2008.

- (4) The amount represents the value of vested options as of September 30, 2008 at a closing price of \$7.36.

Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

We have an employment agreement with Mr. Omsberg, whereby, if his employment is terminated for reasons other than for cause, he is entitled to certain compensation and benefits, payable in a lump sum (with the exception of health benefits, which would be reimbursed monthly) within 30 days of the applicable event provided he signs a separation release. The following table describes the maximum potential payments that would have been due to Mr. Omsberg as of September 30, 2008, upon designated situations outlined in his employment agreement.

| Benefits and payments upon termination | Involuntary | | | Voluntary | Death or disability ⁽²⁾ | Change of control ⁽³⁾ |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Voluntary termination ⁽¹⁾ | for cause termination ⁽¹⁾ | Involuntary not for cause termination ⁽²⁾ | termination with good reason ⁽²⁾ | | |
| Salary | \$ 5,115 | \$ 5,115 | \$ 195,115 | \$ 195,115 | \$ 195,115 | \$ 385,115 |
| Bonus | | | | | | 67,466 |

Edgar Filing: TIER TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form DEFC14A

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Stock options ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,240 | 1,240 | 1,240 | 1,240 | 1,240 | 1,860 |
| Health benefits | | | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 18,000 |
| Perquisites | | | | | | |
| Accrued PTO | 17,717 | 17,717 | 17,717 | 17,717 | 17,717 | 17,717 |
| Total | \$24,072 | \$24,072 | \$226,072 | \$226,072 | \$226,072 | \$490,158 |

(1) Amounts reflect maximum salary earned but not yet paid prior to date of termination, accrued prior year bonus not yet paid prior to date of termination and personal time off accrued through September 30, 2008.

(2) Amounts reflect maximum salary earned but not yet paid prior to date of termination, accrued prior year bonus not yet paid prior to date of termination, one year base salary, twelve months continued health benefits and personal time off accrued through September 30, 2008.

(3) Amounts reflect maximum salary earned but not yet paid prior to date of termination,

accrued prior year bonus not yet paid prior to date of termination, two times (a) base salary and (b) bonus equal to the average bonus paid over the preceding three years, immediate vesting of options that would have vested within eighteen months of September 30, 2008, eighteen months continued health benefits and personal time off accrued through September 30, 2008.

- (4) The amount represents the value of vested options as of September 30, 2008 at a closing price of \$7.36.

Payouts to Named Executive Officers in Connection with Termination of Employment

On December 12, 2007, we entered into a transition agreement with Ms. Tully for employment separation effective March 31, 2008 for the payment of one year's base salary in a single lump sum payment at \$220,000, COBRA benefit reimbursement for a period of 12 months, and the reimbursement of out-placement services not to exceed \$7,500. In addition, we entered into a consulting agreement with Ms. Tully for a period of April 2008 through September 2008, pursuant to which we compensated her \$18,333 per month.

Mr. Lawler's employment with Tier terminated on September 26, 2008. Pursuant to his employment agreement dated October 29, 2007, Mr. Lawler was entitled to a lump sum payment equal to one times his annual base salary of \$237,000 and the reimbursement of COBRA benefits for a period of up to 12 months.

Mr. Beckerman's employment with Tier terminated on September 30, 2008. Pursuant to his employment agreement dated October 29, 2007, Mr. Beckerman was entitled to a lump sum payment of two times his annual base compensation of \$220,000, and reimbursement of COBRA benefits for the period of 18 months. In addition, we entered into a consulting agreement with Mr. Beckerman for a period of October and November 2008, pursuant to which we compensated him \$19,866 per month.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The Governance and Nominating Committee of the Board determines the compensation of our non-employee Board members. Compensation is reviewed annually and when the Governance and Nominating Committee deems necessary, and is compared with companies of similar nature, capital investment, revenues, and headcount. In addition to the results of a peer study, prior annual retainers and per-meeting fees are taken into account to determine overall compensation.

The following table describes the compensation program for our non-employee directors:

| Pay component | Fiscal 2008 | Effective October 1, 2008 |
|---|------------------------|--|
| Board retainer (payable quarterly in arrears) | \$ 15,000 | \$ 20,000 |
| Board member fee (per meeting) | | |
| In-person meeting | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Telephonic meeting | 1,000 | 500 |
| Committee chair retainer (payable quarterly in arrears) | | |
| Audit committee | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| All other committees | | 2,500 |
| Committee meeting fee (per meeting) | | |
| In-person meeting | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Telephonic meeting | 1,000 | 500 |
| Lead director retainer (payable quarterly in arrears) | 5,000 | 5,000 |

Effective as of March 6, 2007, a Special Committee for Divestments was created. The Special Committee for Divestments advised management in the divestment process and assisted in evaluating offers for certain business units. The Special Committee for Divestments consisted of Morgan P. Guenther (Chair), Bruce R. Spector, John J. Delucca, and Ronald L. Rossetti. The chair of the Special Committee for Divestments was paid an annual retainer of \$20,000, payable quarterly in arrears. The Board dissolved the Special Committee for Divestments effective October 1, 2008, because we had substantially completed our divestment program.

In addition, we reimburse our Board members for reasonable expenses, including travel related expenses, incurred to attend Board and/or committee meetings.

Effective October 1, 2008, the Governance and Nominating Committee has authorized an annual equity award, granted on the date of the annual stockholder meeting, of 9,000 restricted stock units payable in cash and vesting in full three years from the date of grant. The vesting and payout provisions of the restricted stock units are as follows:

Death and disability Pro rata vesting; immediate payout

Voluntary resignation Pro rata vesting; payable at end of 3-year vesting period

Termination for cause Forfeit entire award

Change-in-control 100% vesting, payable on date of change-in-control

Prior to October 1, 2008, each non-employee director was granted options to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock upon the election to the Board by the stockholders at each Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which options were fully vested upon grant. Prior to October 1, 2008, each non-employee director elected by the Board, and not by the stockholders in conjunction with an annual meeting, was

granted an option on the date of his or her election to purchase a number of shares of common stock calculated by multiplying 1,677 by the number of full calendar months remaining from the date of his or her initial election to the Board until the first anniversary of the prior year's annual meeting. The closing sale price of a share of our common stock on the date of the option grant was the exercise price of the option, consistent with the way we determine exercise prices on other option grants.

Mr. Rossetti, the only director who is also a Tier employee, receives no compensation for serving as a director.

Fiscal 2008 Director Compensation

For our fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, our directors were compensated in the manner described above. The following table sets forth information regarding the compensation of our non-employee directors for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. David A. Poe joined our Board of Directors on October 1, 2008, and therefore is not included in the following table.

| Name | Fees earned or paid in cash (\$) | Option awards (\$)^{(1) (2)} | Total (\$) |
|--|---|---|-------------------|
| Charles W. Berger (<i>Chair Audit Committee</i>) | \$ 54,000 | \$ 153,497 | \$ 207,497 |
| Samuel Cabot III (<i>Chair Compensation Committee and Lead Director</i>) | 56,000 | 153,497 | 209,497 |
| John J. Delucca | 35,750 | 63,326 | 99,076 |
| Morgan P. Guenther (<i>Chair Special Committee for Divestitures and Chair Governance and Nominating Committee</i>) | 67,000 | 153,497 | 220,497 |
| Philip G. Heasley | 3,750 | 35,422 | 39,172 |
| T. Michael Scott ⁽³⁾ | 21,250 | 90,171 | 111,421 |
| Bruce R. Spector ⁽³⁾ | 21,250 | 90,171 | 111,421 |
| James R. Stone ⁽⁴⁾ | 31,750 | 63,326 | 95,076 |

(1) The amounts included in this column reflect the value of option awards that were recognized as an expense for financial statement reporting purposes in fiscal 2008, calculated pursuant to SFAS 123R. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts

are included in footnote 13 to the audited consolidated financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. The following table sets forth each option award represented in the column and the amount included for each such award:

| Name | Date of award | Number of shares underlying options (#) | Amount included in fiscal 2008 (\$) |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Charles W. Berger | 08/24/06 | 40,000* | \$ 90,171 |
| | 02/28/08 | 20,000 | 63,326 |
| Samuel Cabot III | 08/24/06 | 40,000* | 90,171 |
| | 02/28/08 | 20,000 | 63,326 |
| John J. Delucca | 02/28/08 | 20,000 | 63,326 |
| Morgan P. Guenther | 08/24/06 | 40,000* | 90,171 |
| | 02/28/08 | 20,000 | 63,326 |
| Philip G. Heasley | 08/01/08 | 10,002 | 35,422 |
| T. Michael Scott | 08/24/06 | 40,000* | 90,171 |
| Bruce R. Spector | 08/24/06 | 40,000* | 90,171 |
| James R. Stone | 02/28/08 | 20,000 | 63,326 |

* On August 24, 2006, our non-employee Board members

were granted options to purchase 40,000 shares of common stock, which vest as to 20% of the underlying shares granted on the anniversary of the grant date.

On December 10, 2007, the Compensation Committee passed a resolution to accelerate the vesting of these options effective December 7, 2007.

- (2) The following table sets forth the outstanding options held by each of our non-employee directors as of September 30, 2008:

| Name | Options outstanding (#) |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Charles W. Berger | 140,000 |
| Samuel Cabot III | 200,000 |
| John J. Delucca | 40,000 |
| Morgan P. Guenther | 150,000 |
| Philip G. Heasley | 10,002 |
| James R. Stone | 38,337 |

- (3) Mr. Scott and Mr. Spector did not stand for re-election at our 2008 Annual Meeting.

- (4) Mr. Stone was a director during fiscal year 2008 but has not been nominated for re-election at our 2009 Annual Meeting.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 with management and McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, Tier Technologies, Inc.'s registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2008. The Audit Committee also reviewed and discussed with the registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Statement of Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended.

The Audit Committee discussed with the registered public accounting firm its independence from Tier. The Audit Committee also received from and discussed with the registered public accounting firm its written disclosures and the letter required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1.

Based upon the reviews and discussions noted above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the audited financial statements be included in Tier's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008.

The foregoing report is given by the members of the Audit Committee: Charles W. Berger (Chair), and Morgan P. Guenther, and James R. Stone.

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The aggregate fees billed by McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, or McGladrey, to us for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 are as follows (in thousands):

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾ | \$251 | \$346 |
| Audit Related Fees ⁽²⁾ | 272 | 230 |
| Tax Fees | | |
| All Other Fees | | |
| Total | \$523 | \$576 |

- (1) Represents fees for the audit of our financial statements, review of our quarterly financial statements, and advice on accounting matters directly related to the audit and audit services provided in connection with other statutory and regulatory filings.
- (2) Represents fees associated with the audit of our internal controls over financial reporting to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The Audit Committee has a policy requiring that it approve the scope, extent, and associated fees of any audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm and that it pre-approve all non-audit related services performed by the independent registered public accounting firm. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, the Audit Committee pre-approved 100% of the services performed by McGladrey and did not rely on the *de minimis* exception under Rule 2-01(c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X under the Exchange Act.

PROPOSAL TWO: RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Our Audit Committee selected McGladrey as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2009, subject to ratification by our stockholders at the annual meeting. Representatives of McGladrey are expected to be present at the annual meeting, will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Shareholder ratification of the selection of McGladrey as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our Bylaws or otherwise. However, the Audit Committee is submitting the selection of McGladrey to the shareholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the shareholders fail to ratify the selection of McGladrey, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether to retain that firm. Even if the selection of McGladrey is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may select a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of Tier and our shareholders.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR PROPOSAL TWO.

PROPOSALS THREE AND FOUR: SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

We have been advised that the following non-binding shareholder proposals will be presented at the Annual Meeting. Although presented below as one resolution, the two parts of the resolution are separate proposals and will be voted on separately at the Annual Meeting. For purposes of this proxy statement and the proxy card, we refer to the proposal regarding our shareholder rights plan as Proposal Three and the proposal regarding the calling of special meetings as

Proposal Four. Each proposal will be voted on at the Annual Meeting if the proponent, or a qualified representative, is present at the meeting and submits the proposal for a vote. The text of the shareholder proposals and supporting statement appear below as received by us, and we assume no responsibility for their content or accuracy. Following the shareholder proposals is our statement in opposition.

FOR THE REASONS SET FORTH BELOW IN THE BOARD'S STATEMENT IN OPPOSITION TO THE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS, YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST PROPOSALS THREE AND FOUR.

Beginning of Shareholder Proposals

Stockholder Proposal

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Tier Technologies, Inc. (Tier) request that Tier's Board of Directors restore to stockholders their rights to directly influence the strategic direction and possible sale of Tier by (i) terminating Tier's poison pill rights plan and (ii) reinstating the ability of stockholders owning at least 10% of the voting power to call special meetings of stockholders.

Supporting Statement

In January 2006, the Board of Tier stripped its stockholders, without their consent, of their ability to directly receive offers for Tier by implementing a poison pill that effectively prevents any person from acquiring 15% or more of the outstanding common stock without the Board's consent. Further, the Board eliminated the ability of stockholders to pursue value-creation proposals between annual meetings by denying stockholders the right to call special meetings. These actions appear to have been taken in response to Tier's widely reported operating and accounting problems.

Nearly three years have passed since these events and Tier is no longer the poorly integrated collection of weak performing businesses with inadequate financial controls that it then was. The Board should now have the confidence to reverse the defensive mechanisms then adopted, since they no longer serve the best interests of Tier and its stockholders and represent a de facto transfer of voting rights away from stockholders to management.

Poison pills reduce accountability and entrench management, prevent investors from making financially meaningful investments in small capitalization companies like Tier, and allow a Board unilaterally to block offers for a company that are in the best interests of stockholders. If a company's Board and management have no meaningful equity stake (as is the case with Tier), this creates a significant conflict of interest between the Board and stockholders. Recently, in response to stockholder complaints, numerous companies have terminated or not renewed their poison pills. Tier's Board should remove its obsolete poison pill that currently acts as an impediment to realizing shareholder value.

The elimination of the right of stockholders to call special meetings limits the direct voice of stockholders in Tier's strategic direction by allowing management to unilaterally decide if a proposal may be presented to stockholders between annual meetings. Tier is rapidly changing its Board composition, its management team, its operations, and its overall strategic direction. Annual meetings do not provide the access necessary for shareholders to bring forward and vote on critical and timely matters while the company is undergoing such transformations.

By supporting this proposal, stockholders can advise the Board of their concerns regarding Tier's continued maintenance of defenses against offers and proposals that may maximize shareholder value, and express their desire that the Board be open to all strategic alternatives for Tier, including its sale. While the adoption of this proposal will not legally bind the Board, we trust that given its fiduciary responsibilities, the Board will honor the stockholders wishes as reflected in the vote on the proposal.

Discovery, one of Tier's largest shareholders, strongly urges you to vote FOR this proposal.

End of Shareholder Proposals

Board's Statement in Opposition

Proposal Three requests that we eliminate our shareholder rights plan, and Proposal Four requests that we amend our bylaws to permit any holder (or group of holders) of ten percent or more of our common stock to call a special meeting of shareholders for any reason, at any time, and as frequently as it (or they) desire. Your Board recommends that you vote against both proposals, because neither proposal is advisable or in the best interest of the company or its shareholders. Among other things:

The rights plan is intended to enhance shareholder value and protect all the company's shareholders from an unfair or coercive offer to acquire the company.

The bylaw amendment that has been requested would permit the holders of a small minority of Tier stock to impose their own agenda, and the costs and disruptions of a special meeting, on all shareholders.

Proposal Three: Shareholder Rights Plan

Your Board of Directors adopted Tier's shareholder rights plan in January 2006. (A rights plan is sometimes called a poison pill.) We amended the plan in July 2007 to increase the number of shares that could be acquired without triggering the plan. Your directors are fiduciaries for all shareholders and must consider the interests of all shareholders when acting. Tier's rights plan was designed to strengthen the Board's ability to maximize value for all shareholders and to protect shareholders from abusive or opportunistic takeover tactics by encouraging negotiations with the Board.

Tier's shareholder rights plan allows the Board to protect Tier and all shareholders from unfair and coercive takeover tactics, such as a partial offer, a creeping acquisition, or other tactics that the Board believes would be unfair to the company's shareholders. For example, in a partial offer or creeping acquisition, a bidder seeks to acquire a majority or controlling stake in a target company but does not seek ownership of 100% of the target company's shares. In this type of a transaction, the bidder would pay a control premium only to the holders of the shares it purchased; the premium would not be available to all shareholders. Tier's Board believes that Tier's shareholder rights plan may deter offers of this type. Under Tier's shareholder rights plan, each share of Tier's common stock has associated with it one preferred share purchase right, and if a person acquires more than 15% of Tier's common stock before Tier's Board redeems the rights, the rights permit each Tier shareholder (other than the acquiring person and its affiliates and associates) to acquire additional shares of Tier's common stock at a substantial discount. The result would be substantial dilution of the acquiring person's stake in the Company. The Board believes that the prospect of this dilution, coupled with the Board's authority to redeem the rights and therefore prevent this dilution, will encourage prospective bidders for the Company to negotiate with the Board. The Board intends to use its power under the plan consistent with its fiduciary duties. The Board believes that Tier's shareholder rights plan should not affect any prospective offeror willing to make an offer at a fair price and otherwise in the best interests of the company and its shareholders, as determined by the Board. Similar plans are in effect at approximately 1,200 public companies.

The objective of the Board in adopting the shareholder rights plan was, and continues to be, the preservation and maximization of Tier's value for all shareholders. The rights plan is not designed or intended to prevent an unsolicited, non-abusive offer to acquire the company at a fair price. Rather, it is designed to enable the Board to protect shareholders against inadequate offers and abusive or opportunistic takeover tactics. Such plans have been used to increase the bargaining power of targeted companies and their shareholders by encouraging negotiations between the potential acquirer and the board of directors of the targeted company, resulting in higher value for shareholders. While we believe rights plans generally provide such benefits, there can be no assurance that the Company's rights plan will produce similar results in connection with any particular transaction.

The following studies of merger and acquisition activity over the last 15 years support the Board's belief that plans similar to Tier's shareholder rights plan neither prevent unsolicited offers from occurring nor prevent companies from being acquired at prices that are fair and adequate to shareholders:

An August 2005 study, based upon data from transactions in the period from January 1, 2002 to June 30, 2005, concluded that companies with rights plans on average commanded higher takeover premiums than companies without such plans.

A 2004 report by the Investor Responsibility Research Center (IRRC) concluded that evidence is increasingly strong that, in general, companies with poison pills receive higher premiums in takeover situations than do those that do not.

A 1997 study published by Georgeson & Company of takeover premiums during the period from 1992 to 1996 also concluded that premiums paid to acquire target companies with rights plans were higher than premiums paid for target companies that did not have such plans.

Thus, we believe that rights plans serve their principal objectives: protection against inadequate offers and abusive tactics and increased bargaining power.

Proposal Four: Special Shareholder Meetings

Tier's shareholders currently have significant rights under our charter and bylaws:

Each of your directors is elected annually.

Shareholders may propose business to be conducted at the annual meeting.

Shareholders may act by written consent at any time.

We also communicate regularly with all shareholders:

We hold conference calls, which are open to all shareholders, after we file our quarterly and annual reports with the SEC.

We receive letters from shareholders, and letters raising issues of general concern are promptly forwarded to all of the directors.

Where appropriate, we take other actions to ensure that shareholders are able to communicate directly with the Board.

There is no shortage of opportunities for communication between shareholders and Tier. Because shareholders may act by written consent at any time, there is no shortage of opportunities for shareholders to act.

By contrast, special meetings can be costly and disruptive. Proxy materials must be prepared and sent to each shareholder for each special meeting called. This imposes legal, printing, and distribution costs on your company. We have never held a special meeting, but the printing and distribution cost for our annual meetings is approximately \$20,000. Legal costs vary from meeting to meeting depending on the matters to be voted on at the meeting. Preparing for special meetings also requires the attention of your Board and senior management, potentially diverting them from managing our operations and executing on our business strategy. If holders of ten percent of our stock had an unlimited ability to call special meetings at any time and for any purpose, they could use the mechanism of a special meeting to serve their narrow interests and impose their own agenda on the majority. Frequent shareholder solicitation would require us to incur significant expense without a corresponding benefit to Tier and our shareholders as a whole. Our current bylaws permit the Board, the Chairman of the Board, the Chief Executive Officer, or the President to call a special meeting. The current bylaw provision is appropriate because it requires the directors and senior management, rather than a single minority shareholder, to determine, after thoughtful and complete analysis and evaluation of the issue consistent with their fiduciary duties, when it is in the best interests of our shareholders to convene a special meeting. For example, Delaware law provides that matters such as entering into merger agreements or charter amendments (the primary means of effecting acquisitions and restructurings) must first be approved by the Board and then submitted to shareholders for approval. NASDAQ rules require the company's shareholders to approve certain issuances of stock equaling 20 percent or more of the number of outstanding shares of stock, a change of control of the company, and certain equity compensation plans or material amendments to existing plans. In addition to these specific actions that require shareholder approval, the Board has a fiduciary duty to the shareholders. Pursuant to this duty, the Board manages the affairs of the company in accordance with its sound business judgment, a responsibility that includes determining when a matter is appropriate for shareholder consideration.

Our Board believes our current system minimizes the costs associated with holding special meetings and ensures that such meetings are called only when they are in the best interests of Tier and our shareholders as a whole.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, your Board opposes both shareholder proposals.

**ACCORDINGLY, YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS
THAT YOU VOTE AGAINST PROPOSALS THREE AND FOUR.**

OTHER MATTERS

The Board knows of no other matters that will be presented for consideration at the annual meeting. If any other matters are properly brought before the annual meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Stockholder Proposals for our next Annual Meeting

If a stockholder intends to present a proposal for inclusion in the proxy statement for our next annual meeting, the stockholder must follow the procedures outlined in Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act. Such proposals must be addressed to Tier Technologies, Inc., Attention: Corporate Secretary, 10780 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 400, Reston, Virginia, 20191, and received no later than October 13, 2009.

Proposals not intended to be included in next year's proxy statement, but that are instead sought to be presented directly at the 2010 annual meeting, including nominations of director candidates, must be received by us at the above-mentioned address no later than 60 days nor more than 90 days prior to the first anniversary of the date of this year's meeting (but if we give less than 70 days advance notice or prior public disclosure of the date of such meeting, we must receive such proposals and director nominations by the close of business on the tenth day following the mailing of notice of the date of such annual meeting or public disclosure of the date of such annual meeting, whichever comes first) and must otherwise comply with the requirements of our bylaws.

If you and other residents at your mailing address own shares of our common stock in street name, your broker, bank or other nominee record holder may have notified you that your household will receive only one notice of Internet availability of proxy materials, annual report and proxy statement for each company in which you hold stock through that broker or bank. Each stockholder will continue to receive a separate proxy card or voting instruction card. If you would like to receive additional copies of the notice of Internet availability of proxy materials, annual report, and proxy statement, or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy for your household, you should contact your broker, bank, or other nominee holder, or you may contact us by mail or phone at Tier Technologies, Inc., 10780 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 400, Reston, Virginia 20191, attention Corporate Secretary, (571) 382-1000.

A copy of the our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 is available without charge upon written request to Corporate Secretary, Tier Technologies, Inc., 10780 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 400, Reston, Virginia 20191.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Keith S. Omsberg
Secretary

February 10, 2009

APPENDIX A**INFORMATION CONCERNING PARTICIPANTS IN THE COMPANY'S SOLICITATION OF PROXIES**

The following tables (Directors and Nominees and Officers and Employees) set forth the name, principal business address, and the present principal occupation or employment, and the name, principal business, and address of any corporation or other organization in which their employment is carried on, of our directors, nominees, officers, and employees who, under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, are considered to be participants in our solicitation of proxies from our stockholders in connection with our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Directors and Nominees

The principal occupations of our directors and nominees who are considered participants in our solicitation are set forth under the section above titled Proposal One: Election of Directors of this proxy statement. The name and business addresses of the organization of employment of our directors and nominees are as follows:

| Name | Business Address |
|--------------------|---|
| Charles W. Berger | c/o Tier Technologies, Inc., 10780 Parkridge Blvd., Suite 400, Reston, VA 20191 |
| Samuel Cabot III | c/o Tier Technologies, Inc., 10780 Parkridge Blvd., Suite 400, Reston, VA 20191 |
| John J. Delucca | c/o Tier Technologies, Inc., 10780 Parkridge Blvd., Suite 400, Reston, VA 20191 |
| Morgan P. Guenther | c/o Tier Technologies, Inc., 10780 Parkridge Blvd., Suite 400, Reston, VA 20191 |
| Philip G. Heasley | c/o Tier Technologies, Inc., 10780 Parkridge Blvd., Suite 400, Reston, VA 20191 |
| David A. Poe | c/o Tier Technologies, Inc., 10780 Parkridge Blvd., Suite 400, Reston, VA 20191 |
| Ronald L. Rossetti | c/o Tier Technologies, Inc., 10780 Parkridge Blvd., Suite 400, Reston, VA 20191 |
| James R. Stone | c/o Tier Technologies, Inc., 10780 Parkridge Blvd., Suite 400, Reston, VA 20191 |

Officers and Employees

The principal occupations of our executive officers and employees who are considered participants in our solicitation of proxies are set forth below. The principal occupation refers to such person's position with our company, and the business address for each person is Tier Technologies, Inc., 10780 Parkridge Blvd., Suite 400, Reston, VA 20191.

| Name | Principal Occupation |
|--------------------|--|
| Ronald L. Rossetti | Chairman and Chief Executive Officer |
| Ronald W. Johnston | Chief Financial Officer |
| Nina K. Vellayan | Chief Operating Officer |
| Kevin C. Connell | Senior Vice President, Sales and Marketing |
| Keith S. Kendrick | Senior Vice President, Strategic Marketing |
| Keith S. Omsberg | Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary |

Information Regarding Ownership of the Company's Securities by Participants

The shares of our common stock beneficially owned or held as of January 16, 2009 by the persons listed above under Directors and Nominees and Officers and Employees, other than Nina K. Vellayan and Keith S. Kendrick, are set forth in the section entitled Stock Ownership Directors and Executive Officers of this proxy statement. As of January 31, 2009, neither Nina K. Vellayan nor Keith Kendrick is a beneficial owner of our common stock.

Information Regarding Transactions in the Company's Securities by Participants

The following table sets forth all transactions that may be deemed purchases and sales of shares of our common stock by the individuals who are considered participants between January 31, 2007 and January 31, 2009. Except as described in this proxy statement, shares of our common stock owned of record by each participant are also beneficially owned by such participant. Unless otherwise indicated, all transactions were in the public market or pursuant to our equity compensation plans, and none of the purchase price or market value of those shares is represented by funds borrowed or otherwise obtained for the purpose of acquiring or holding such securities.

| Name | Date | Number of Shares | Transaction Type |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Charles W. Berger | | | |
| Samuel Cabot III | 02/27/07 | 5,000 | (1) |
| | 07/31/07 | 10,000 | (1) |
| John J. Delucca | | | |
| Morgan P. Guenther | | | |
| Philip G. Heasley | | | |
| David A. Poe | | | |
| Ronald L. Rossetti | 02/27/07 | 5,000 | (1) |
| | 07/31/07 | 10,000 | (1) |
| | 09/05/08 | 2,900 | (2) |
| | 09/08/08 | 50 | (2) |
| | 09/09/08 | 1,115 | (2) |
| | 09/10/08 | 3,000 | (2) |
| | 09/11/08 | 2,600 | (2) |
| | 09/12/08 | 2,200 | (2) |
| | 09/15/08 | 500 | (2) |
| James R. Stone | | | |
| Ronald W. Johnston | | | |
| Nina K. Vellayan | | | |
| Kevin C. Connell | | | |
| Keith S. Kendrick | | | |
| Keith S. Omsberg | | | |

(1) Acquired
Option exercise

(2)

Acquired Open
market purchase

Termination or Change of Control Arrangements with Participants

In addition to the termination or change of control arrangements described in the proxy statement, the following participants are party to termination or change of control arrangements with us: Nina K. Vellayan and Keith S. Kendrick. A brief description of the principal terms of the arrangements is as follows:

upon termination of employment by Tier for disability or termination of employment by death, each of Ms. Vellayan and Mr. Kendrick will be entitled to one times the base salary in effect on the date of termination, payment of any accrued prior year bonus and twelve months continuation of health benefits;

upon termination of employment by Tier without cause or by the individual with good reason, each of Ms. Vellayan and Mr. Kendrick will be entitled to one times the base salary in effect on the date of termination, payment of any accrued prior year bonus and twelve months continuation of health benefits; and

upon termination of employment by Tier after a change in control, each of Ms. Vellayan and Mr. Kendrick will be entitled to two times the base salary in effect on the date of termination, two times the average annual bonus paid to the individual, payment of any accrued prior year bonus, immediate vesting of all options or stock awards and eighteen months continuation of health benefits.

Change of control is defined as set forth in the *Change of Control* section of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of the proxy statement.

Miscellaneous Information Regarding Participants

In addition to the employment arrangements described in the proxy statement, the following participants are party to employment agreements with us:

Nina K. Vellayan, our Chief Operating Officer, is employed pursuant to an employment agreement, which was filed as Exhibit 10.34 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on December 10, 2008; and

Keith S. Kendrick, our Senior Vice President Strategic Marketing, is employed pursuant to an employment agreement, which was filed as Exhibit 10.2 to a Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 7, 2008.

Except as described in this Appendix A or the proxy statement, none of the participants (i) beneficially owns (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, any shares or other securities of our company or any of our subsidiaries, (ii) has purchased or sold any of such securities within the past two years, or (iii) is, or within the past year was, a party to any contract, arrangement or understanding with any person with respect to any such securities. Except as disclosed in this Appendix A or the proxy statement, none of the participants associates beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, any of our securities. Other than as disclosed in this Appendix A or the proxy statement, neither we nor any of the participants has any substantial interests, direct or indirect, by security holding or otherwise, in any matter to be acted upon at the annual meeting or is or has been within the past year a party to any contract, arrangement, or understanding with any person with respect to any of our securities, including, but not limited to, joint ventures, loan or option agreements, puts or calls, guarantees against loss or guarantees of profit, division of losses or profits, or the giving or withholding of proxies. Except as disclosed in this Appendix A or the proxy statement, none of us, the participants, or any of their associates has had or will have a direct or indirect material interest in any transaction or series of similar transactions since the beginning of our last fiscal year or any currently proposed transactions, or series of

similar transactions, to which we or any of our subsidiaries was or is to be a party in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000.

Other than as set forth in this Appendix A or the proxy statement, none of the Company, any of the participants or any of their associates has any arrangements or understandings with any person with respect to any future employment by us or our affiliates or with respect to any future transactions to which we or any of our affiliates will or may be a party.

TO SUBMIT YOUR PROXY BY MAIL, PLEASE DETACH PROXY CARD HERE **TIER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. PROXY ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MARCH 11, 2009 THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS** The undersigned stockholder of Tier Technologies, Inc. hereby constitutes and appoints Ronald W. Johnston and Keith S. Omsberg and each of them, with full power of substitution, as proxy or proxies of the undersigned to vote **P** the number of shares of common stock which the undersigned would be entitled to vote if personally present at Tier's Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be held at Tier's headquarters located at 10780 Parkridge Boulevard, **R** Suite 400, Reston, Virginia, at 10:00 a.m. local time on March 11, 2009, and at any adjournments or postponements thereof, with respect to the proposals described in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders **O** and proxy statement, in the manner specified on the reverse side. The proxies are further authorized to vote, in their discretion, upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any postponements or **X** adjournments thereof. **Y THE SHARES REPRESENTED BY THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED AMONG THE BOARD'S NOMINEES AS DIRECTED BY THE STOCKHOLDER. WHERE NO CONTRARY DIRECTION IS GIVEN WHEN THE DULY EXECUTED PROXY IS RETURNED, SUCH SHARES WILL BE VOTED CUMULATIVELY IN THE DISCRETION OF THE PROXY HOLDERS AMONG THE BOARD'S NOMINEES NAMED IN PROPOSAL NO. 1 (EXCEPT FOR ANY NOMINEES FOR WHOM THE UNDERSIGNED HAS WITHHELD AUTHORITY TO VOTE), FOR PROPOSAL NO. 2, AGAINST PROPOSAL NO. 3, AND AGAINST PROPOSAL NO. 4. Cumulative Voting Instructions (Mark the corresponding box on the reverse side)**

Annual Meeting of Stockholders March 11, 2009 10:00 a.m. Local Time Tier Technologies, Inc. Headquarters 10780 Parkridge Blvd., Suite 400 Reston, VA 20191 ___**INTERNET** ___**TELEPHONE** Go To **1-866-586-3113** www.proxypush.com/tier **OR** Use any touch-tone telephone. Submit your proxy online. **Have your proxy card ready.** Follow the simple recorded instructions. ___**MAIL** Mark, sign and date your proxy card. **OR** Detach your proxy card. Return your proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided. **All proxies submitted by mail, internet, or telephone must be received by 11:59 p.m., local time on March 10, 2009.** TO SUBMIT YOUR PROXY BY MAIL, PLEASE DETACH PROXY CARD HERE X ^{Please mark} **votes as in this example. Unless otherwise specified on the reverse side, this proxy THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR PROPOSALS 1 AND 2. authorizes the proxies named on the reverse side to cumulate 1.**

PROPOSAL NO. 1: votes that the undersigned is entitled to cast at the annual meeting in connection with the election of directors; provided Nominees for Directors for election by the holders of common **FOR** all nominees listed **WITHHOLD that the proxies will not cumulate votes for any nominee from stock:** (except as indicated to authority to vote the contrary) for all nominees **whom the undersigned has withheld authority to vote. To** 01. Charles W. Berger 04. Morgan P. Guenther **specify different directions with regard to cumulative voting,** 02. Samuel Cabot III 05. Philip G. Heasley **including to direct that the proxy holders cumulate votes with respect to a specific Board nominee or nominees as** 03. John J. Delucca 06. David A. Poe **explained in the proxy statement, mark the box below and** 07. Ronald L. Rossetti **write your instructions on the reverse side. (If you wish to direct that the proxy holders cumulate votes with respect to a** INSTRUCTIONS: To withhold authority to vote for any individual **specific Board nominee or nominees, please indicate the** nominee(s), write the name(s) of each nominee(s) in the space **name(s) and the number of votes to be given to such Board** provided below: **nominee(s).) FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN 2. PROPOSAL NO. 2:** To ratify the selection of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting If the undersigned hold(s) any of the shares of common firm for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009. stock in a fiduciary, custodial, or joint capacity or capacities, this proxy is signed by the undersigned in every such capacity as well as individually. **THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST PROPOSALS 3 AND 4.** Please sign as name appears on this proxy. Joint 3. **PROPOSAL NO. 3:** To approve a shareholder proposal regarding **FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN** owners should each sign. When signing as attorney, as our shareholder rights plan. executor, administrator, trustee, or guardian, please give full title as such. If a corporation, please sign in full 4. **PROPOSAL NO. 4:** To approve a shareholder proposal regarding **FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN** corporate name by President or other authorized officer. If a partnership, please sign in partnership name by special meetings. authorized person. Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX] Date Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX] Date