UNITED STATES CELLULAR CORP Form DEF 14A April 20, 2001

QuickLinks -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

	the	Proxy Statement Pursuant to Secti Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Ar						
Filed by the Registr Filed by a Party oth	rant /x/ her than the Registrant //							
Check the appropriate box: // Preliminary Proxy Statement Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) Definitive Proxy Statement Definitive Additional Materials Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12 United States Cellular Corporation								
		(Name of Registrant as Specified In	n Its Charter)					
	(Name of	Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if of	other than the Registrant)					
Payment of Filing I /x/ / /	Fee (Check the appropriate No fee required. Fee computed or (1)	table below per Exchange Act Rules	s 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11. each class of securities to which transaction applies:					
	(2)	Aggreg	ate number of securities to which transaction applies:					
	(3)	pursuan	t price or other underlying value of transaction computed at to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which ag fee is calculated and state how it was determined):					
	(4)	Propose	ed maximum aggregate value of transaction:					
	(5)	Total fe	e paid:					
// //	Check box if any which the offset	ng fee was paid previously. Identify and the date of its filing.	by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for the previous filing by registration statement number, or the t Previously Paid:					

(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Date Filed:

UNITED STATES CELLULAR CORPORATION

8410 West Bryn Mawr Avenue Suite 700 Chicago, Illinois 60631

Phone: (773) 399-8900 Fax: (773) 399-8936

April 20, 2001

Dear Fellow Shareholders:

You are cordially invited to attend our 2001 annual meeting on Tuesday, May 15, 2001, at 10:00 a.m., Chicago time, at Northern Trust Bank, 50 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois in the Assembly Room on the 6th Floor. At the meeting, we will report on the plans and accomplishments of United States Cellular Corporation.

The formal notice of the meeting, our board of directors' proxy statement and our 2000 annual report to shareholders are enclosed. At the 2001 annual meeting, shareholders are being asked to take the following regular actions:

- elect one Class I and three Class II directors; and
- ratify the selection of independent public accountants for the current fiscal year.

Our board of directors recommends a vote "FOR" the nominees for election as directors and "FOR" the proposal to ratify the selection of independent public accountants.

Our board of directors and members of our management team will be at the annual meeting to meet with you and discuss our record of achievement and plans for the future. Whether or not you intend to attend the meeting, your vote is important. Therefore, please sign and return the enclosed proxy card, whether or not you plan to attend the meeting.

If you have any questions prior to the annual meeting, please call our external reporting department at (773) 399-8900.

We look forward to visiting with you at the annual meeting.

Very truly yours,

LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. Chairman

John E. Rooney President and Chief Executive Officer

Please help us avoid the expense of follow-up proxy mailings to shareholders by signing and returning the enclosed proxy card promptly

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS AND PROXY STATEMENT

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF

UNITED STATES CELLULAR CORPORATION

We will hold the 2001 annual meeting of the shareholders of United States Cellular Corporation (American Stock Exchange: "USM"), a Delaware corporation, at Northern Trust Bank, 50 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois in the Assembly Room on the 6th Floor, on Tuesday, May 15, 2001, at 10:00 a.m., Chicago time. At the meeting, we are asking shareholders to take the following actions:

- 1. to elect one Class I and three Class II directors;
- 2. to ratify the selection of Arthur Andersen LLP as our independent public accountants for the current fiscal year; and
- 3. to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

We are first sending this notice of annual meeting of shareholders and Proxy Statement to you on or about April 20, 2001.

VOTING INFORMATION

What is the record date for the meeting?

We have fixed the close of business on March 27, 2001 as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the annual meeting or any adjournments thereof.

A complete list of shareholders entitled to vote at the annual meeting, arranged in alphabetical order and by voting group, showing the address of and number of shares held by each shareholder, will be kept open at the offices of U.S. Cellular, 8410 West Bryn Mawr Avenue, Suite 700, Chicago, Illinois 60631, for examination by any shareholder during normal business hours, for a period of at least ten days prior to the annual meeting.

What shares of stock entitle holders to vote at the meeting?

We have the following classes or series of stock outstanding, each of which entitle holders to vote at the meeting:

Common Shares; and

Series A Common Shares.

The Common Shares are listed on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "USM."

No public market exists for the Series A Common Shares, but the Series A Common Shares are convertible on a share-for-share basis into Common Shares.

On March 27, 2001, U.S. Cellular had outstanding 53,093,462 Common Shares, par value \$1.00 per share (excluding 1,952,807 shares held by U.S. Cellular and 22,534 shares held by a subsidiary), and 33,005,877 Series A Common Shares, par value \$1.00 per share. As of March 27, 2001, no shares of Preferred Stock, par value \$1.00 per share, of U.S. Cellular were outstanding.

Telephone and Data Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation (American Stock Exchange Listing Symbol "TDS"), is the sole holder of Series A Common Shares and holds 37,782,826 Common Shares, representing approximately 71% of the Common Shares. By reason of such holdings, TDS has the voting power to elect all the directors of U.S. Cellular and has approximately 96% of the voting power with respect to matters other than the election of directors.

How do the classes or series of stock vote in the election of directors?

Our board of directors is divided into three classes. Every year, one of the classes is elected to serve for three years. The terms of the Class II directors will expire at the 2001 annual meeting. In addition, as discussed below, the board of directors added a new director to Class I on April 12, 2001. Pursuant to the U.S. Cellular Restated Certificate of Incorporation, this director's term will also expire at the 2001 annual meeting. Accordingly, one Class I director and three Class II directors are standing for election at the 2001 annual meeting. The

Class I director will be elected by the holders of Common Shares for a term expiring at the 2003 annual meeting or until his successor is elected and qualified. Two Class II directors will be elected by TDS as the sole holder of Series A Common Shares, and one Class II director will be elected by the holders of Common Shares, for terms expiring at the 2004 annual meeting or until their successors are elected and qualified. The following table shows certain information relating to the election of directors based on outstanding shares as of the record date:

Class or Series of Common Stock	Outstanding Shares	Number of Directors Elected by Class or Series	Number of Directors Standing for Election
Series A Common Shares	33,005,877	6	2
Common Shares	53,093,462	3	2
Total		9	4

How may shareholders vote in the election of directors?

Holders of Common Shares may, with respect to the election of the one Class I director and one Class II director to be elected by the holders of Common Shares, vote FOR the election of such director nominees or WITHHOLD authority to vote for such director nominees.

TDS, as the sole holder of Series A Common Shares may, with respect to the election of the two Class II directors to be elected by the holder of Series A Common Shares, vote FOR the election of such director nominees or WITHHOLD authority to vote for such director nominees. TDS has advised U.S. Cellular that it intends to vote FOR the board of directors' nominees for election as Class I and Class II directors.

How do the classes or series of stock vote with respect to the ratification of auditors?

The holders of Common Shares and the holder of Series A Common Shares will vote as a group with respect to the ratification of auditors. Each holder of outstanding Common Shares is entitled to one vote for each Common Share held in such holder's name. The holder of Series A Common Shares is entitled to ten votes for each Series A Common Share held in such holder's name. The following shows certain information relating to the outstanding shares and voting power of such shares as of the record date:

Class or Series of Common Stock	Outstanding Shares	Votes per Share	Total Voting Power	Percent
Series A Common Shares	33,005,877	10	330,058,770	86.1%
Common Shares	53,093,462	1 -	53,093,462	13.9%
			383,152,232	100.0%

How may shareholders vote with respect to the ratification of auditors?

With respect to the proposal to ratify the selection of Arthur Andersen as our independent public accountants for 2001, shareholders may:

vote FOR ratification,

vote AGAINST ratification, or

ABSTAIN from voting on the proposal.

TDS has advised U.S. Cellular that it intends to vote FOR the ratification of the selection of Arthur Andersen.

How do I vote?

Proxies are being requested from the holders of Common Shares in connection with the election of one Class I director, one Class II director and the ratification of the selection of Arthur Andersen. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please sign and mail your proxy in the enclosed self-addressed envelope to Computershare Investor Services, 2 North LaSalle Street, Third Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60602. You have the power to revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted, and the giving of a proxy will not affect your right to vote in person if you attend the annual meeting.

2

How will proxies be voted?

All properly executed and unrevoked proxies received in the accompanying form in time for the 2001 annual meeting will be voted in the manner directed on the proxies. If no direction is made, a proxy by any shareholder will be voted FOR the election of each of the named director nominees to serve as a Class I or Class II director and FOR the proposal to ratify the selection of Arthur Andersen as our independent public accountants for 2001.

If a proxy indicates that all or a portion of the votes represented by such proxy are not being voted with respect to a particular matter, such non-votes will not be considered present and entitled to vote on such matter. However, the shares represented by such proxies may be considered present and entitled to vote on other matters and will count for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

What constitutes a quorum for the meeting?

In the election of directors, where a separate vote by a class or voting group is required, the holders of a majority of the votes of the stock of such class or voting group, present in person or represented by proxy, will constitute a quorum entitled to take action with respect to that vote on that matter.

The holders of a majority of the votes of the stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote, present in person or represented by proxy, will constitute a quorum at the annual meeting in connection with the ratification of the selection of Arthur Andersen.

What vote is required for the election of directors?

The election of directors requires the affirmative vote of a plurality of the voting power of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on such matter at the annual meeting. Accordingly, if a quorum of such shares is present at the annual meeting, the person receiving the plurality of votes of the holders of shares entitled to vote with respect to the election of such directors will be elected to serve as a director. Because the election of each director requires only the affirmative vote of a plurality of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote with respect to such matter, withholding authority to vote for the nominee and non-votes with respect to the election of the directors will not affect the outcome of the election of the directors.

What vote is required for the ratification of auditors?

If a quorum is present at the annual meeting, the ratification of the selection of Arthur Andersen as our independent public accountants for 2001 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power of the Common Shares and Series A Common Shares voting together and present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on such matter at the annual meeting. A vote to abstain from voting on such

proposal will be treated as a vote against such proposal. Non-votes with respect to such proposal will not affect the determination of whether such proposal is approved.

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The nominees for election as Class I and Class II directors are identified in the table below. In the event any nominee, who has expressed an intention to serve if elected, fails to stand for election, the persons named in the proxy presently intend to vote for a substitute nominee designated by the board of directors.

Nominees

Class I Director Term Scheduled to Expire in 2003

The following person, if elected at the 2001 annual meeting of shareholders, will serve as a Class I director until the 2003 annual meeting of shareholders, or until his successor is elected and qualified:

To be Elected by Holders of Common Shares

Name	Age	Position with U.S. Cellular and Principal Occupation	Served as Director since
Barrett A. Toan		Director of U.S. Cellular and Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of Express Scripts, Inc.	April 2001

The board of directors recommends a vote "FOR" the above nominee by the holders of Common Shares.

Class II Directors Terms Scheduled to Expire in 2004

The following persons, if elected at the 2001 annual meeting of shareholders, will serve as Class II directors until the 2004 annual meeting of shareholders, or until their successors are elected and qualified:

To be Elected by Holders of Common Shares

	Name	Age	Position with U.S. Cellular and Principal Occupation	Served as Director since
Paul-Henri Denuit		66	Director of U.S. Cellular and Managing Director of Coditel S.A. and Chairman of its Board of Directors	1988

The board of directors recommends a vote "FOR" the above nominee by the holders of Common Shares.

To be Elected by Holder of Series A Common Shares

	Name	Age	Position with U.S. Cellular and Principal Occupation	Served as Director since
Sandra L. Helton		51	Director of U.S. Cellular and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of TDS	1998
Kenneth R. Meyers		47	Director of U.S. Cellular and Executive Vice President Finance (Chief Financial Officer) and Treasurer	1999

The board of directors recommends a vote "FOR" the above nominees by the holder of Series A Common Shares.

Background of Nominees

Barrett A. Toan. Mr. Toan was appointed to the U.S. Cellular board of directors in April 2001 to fill a newly created directorship in Class I. Mr. Toan was identified as an independent director by an executive search firm and appointed to the board of directors in order to comply with new rules of the American Stock Exchange, which require the board of directors of listed companies to have at least three directors who qualify as independent under such rules. Because Mr. Toan was appointed as a director by action of the board of directors, he is standing for election by shareholders at the next succeeding annual meeting, as required by the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of U.S. Cellular. Mr. Toan has been a director and the President and Chief Executive Officer of Express Scripts, Inc., a publicly-held pharmacy benefit manager, for more than five years. He was elected Chairman of the Board of Express Scripts, Inc. in November 2000.

Paul-Henri Denuit. Paul-Henri Denuit has served as Managing Director of Coditel S.A. for more than five years. He is also the Chairman of its Board of Directors. Mr. Denuit will be retiring from Coditel at the end of May 2001. Coditel is a beneficial holder of 2,279,583 Common Shares of U.S. Cellular. Mr. Denuit disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares. Mr. Denuit is a current Class II director and was previously elected by the holders of Common Shares.

Sandra L. Helton. Sandra L. Helton was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of TDS in October 2000.

Ms. Helton was hired as Executive Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer of TDS on August 10, 1998. Prior to that time,
Ms. Helton was the Vice President and Corporate Controller of Compaq Computer Corporation between 1997 and 1998. Prior to that time,
Ms. Helton was employed by Corning Incorporated for more than five years, most recently as Senior Vice President and Treasurer. Ms. Helton is also a member of the board of directors of TDS and TDS Telecommunications Corporation ("TDS Telecom"), a subsidiary of TDS which operates local telephone companies. Ms. Helton is a current Class II director and was previously elected by the holder of Series A Common Shares. Ms. Helton has been elected to the Board of Directors of The Principal Financial Group, a global financial institution, effective May 21, 2001.

4

Kenneth R. Meyers. Kenneth R. Meyers was appointed Executive Vice President Finance (Chief Financial Officer) and Treasurer of U.S. Cellular on March 18, 1999. Prior to that time, Mr. Meyers was Senior Vice President Finance (Chief Financial Officer) and Treasurer of U.S. Cellular from January 1997 to March 1999. Prior to that time, he was the Vice President Finance (Chief Financial Officer) and Treasurer of U.S. Cellular for more than five years. Mr. Meyers is a current Class II director and was previously elected by the holder of Series A Common Shares.

Other Directors

Class III Directors Terms Scheduled to Expire in 2002

The following persons are current Class III Directors whose terms expire at the 2002 annual meeting of shareholders:

Elected by Holders of Common Shares

Name		Position with U.S. Cellular and Principal Occupation	Served as Director since
J. Samuel Crowley	50	Director of U.S. Cellular and Private Investor	1998
Elected by Holder of Series A Common Shares			
Name	Age	Position with U.S. Cellular and Principal Occupation	Served as Director since
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.	54	Chairman and Director of U.S. Cellular and President and Chief Executive Officer of TDS	1984
Walter C. D. Carlson	47	Director of U.S. Cellular and Partner, Sidley & Austin, Chicago, Illinois	1989

Background of Class III Directors

J. Samuel Crowley. J. Samuel Crowley was previously employed by CompUSA, Inc., a national retailer and reseller of personal computers and related products and services, for more than five years, most recently as Executive Vice President of Operations between 1995 and 2000.

LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., has been the Chairman of U.S. Cellular, and the President and Chief Executive Officer of TDS, for more than five years. Mr. Carlson also serves on the board of directors of TDS. He is also a director and Chairman of TDS Telecom. He is the son of LeRoy T. Carlson and the brother of Walter C. D. Carlson.

Walter C. D. Carlson. Walter C. D. Carlson has been a partner of the law firm of Sidley & Austin for more than five years. The law firm of Sidley & Austin provides legal services to U.S. Cellular and TDS on a regular basis. Mr. Walter C. D. Carlson also serves on the board of directors of TDS. He is the son of LeRoy T. Carlson and the brother of LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.

Class I Directors Terms Scheduled to Expire in 2003

The following persons are current Class I directors whose terms expire at the 2003 annual meeting of shareholders:

Elected by Holder of Series A Common Shares

Name	Age	Position with U.S. Cellular and Principal Occupation	Served as Director since
LeRoy T. Carlson	84	Director of U.S. Cellular and Chairman of TDS	1987
John E. Rooney	58	President and Chief Executive Officer of U.S. Cellular	2000
Background of Class I Directors			

LeRoy T. Carlson. LeRoy T. Carlson has been the Chairman of TDS for more than five years and is a member of its board of directors. He is also a director of TDS Telecom. He is the father of LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. and Walter C. D. Carlson.

5

John E. Rooney. John E. Rooney has been the President and Chief Executive Officer of U.S. Cellular since April 10, 2000. Mr. Rooney was previously employed by Ameritech Corporation for more than five years, most recently as President of Ameritech Consumer Services and, prior to that, as President of Ameritech Cellular Services. Mr. Rooney was elected to the board of directors pursuant to his employment letter agreement with U.S. Cellular described below.

COMMITTEES AND MEETINGS

Meetings of Board of Directors

Our board of directors held seven meetings during 2000. All of the directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of our board of directors held in 2000.

Stock Option Compensation Committee

The stock option compensation committee of our board of directors currently consists of Mr. Paul-Henri Denuit and Mr. J. Samuel Crowley. The principal functions of the stock option compensation committee are to consider and approve long-term compensation for executive officers and to consider and recommend new long-term compensation plans or changes to long-term compensation plans to our board of directors. All actions of the stock option compensation committee in 2000 were approved by unanimous consent.

Audit Committee

The audit committee of the board of directors of U.S. Cellular, among other things, reviews external and internal audit reports and reviews recommendations made by the internal auditing staff and independent public accountants. The board of directors has adopted a written charter for the audit committee, which is included as an Appendix to this Proxy Statement.

New rules of the American Stock Exchange that become effective on June 14, 2001 require that the audit committee consist of at least three directors that qualify for membership under such rules. The new rules provide that each member must be able to read and understand fundamental financial statements, including a company's balance sheet, income statement, and cash flow statement or will become able to do so within a reasonable period of time after his or her appointment to the audit committee ("Financial Literacy"). Additionally, at least one member

of the audit committee must have past employment experience in finance or accounting, requisite professional certification in accounting, or any other comparable experience or background which results in the individual's financial sophistication, including being or having been a chief executive officer, chief financial officer or other senior officer with financial oversight responsibilities ("Financial Expertise").

The rules also provide that the audit committee must be composed solely of independent directors, with certain exceptions. Subject to certain limited exceptions, the rules provide that a director will not be considered independent if, among other relationships, that director is, or has been during the past three years, employed by the company or any affiliate, is a member of the immediate family of any such past or present employee that is or was an executive officer of the company, or accepts compensation from the company or any affiliate in excess of \$60,000 in the preceding fiscal year, other than compensation and benefits as a director.

The audit committee is currently comprised of Walter C.D. Carlson and two directors who are not past or present employees of U.S. Cellular or its affiliates or immediate family members of any past or present employees: Paul-Henri Denuit and J. Samuel Crowley. The Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs. Denuit and Crowley has Financial Literacy and Financial Expertise. The current chairperson of the audit committee is Walter C.D. Carlson. All current members except Mr. Carlson qualify as independent under newly adopted rules of the American Stock Exchange.

On April 12, 2001, the U.S. Cellular board of directors adopted a resolution pursuant to which Walter C. D. Carlson will cease to be a member of the audit committee and Barrett A. Toan will become a member of the audit committee effective at the commencement of the audit committee meeting to be held on May 15, 2001. Mr. Carlson will cease to be a member of the audit committee because, as a member of the immediate family of the Chairman of U.S. Cellular, Mr. Carlson does not qualify as an independent director and also does not qualify for any exception for membership on the audit committee under the new rules of the American Stock

6

Exchange. Mr. Toan qualifies as independent under the new rules of the American Stock Exchange and has Financial Literacy and Financial Expertise.

The audit committee held four meetings during 2000. Each person who was a member of the audit committee during 2000 attended at least 75% of the meetings.

REPORT OF AUDIT COMMITTEE

This report is submitted by the current members of the audit committee of the board of directors of U.S. Cellular identified below. Walter C.D. Carlson is a member of the audit committee and is its chairperson. On May 15, 2001, in order to comply with new rules of the American Stock Exchange applicable to audit committees, Mr. Carlson will step down from the audit committee. The U.S. Cellular board of directors has appointed Mr. Toan to the audit committee effective May 15, 2001. Also, effective May 15, 2001, Mr. J. Samuel Crowley will become the chairman of the audit committee. The audit committee operates under a written charter adopted by the U.S. Cellular board of directors, a copy of which is attached as an appendix to this proxy statement.

Management is responsible for U.S. Cellular's internal controls and the financial reporting process. U.S. Cellular has an internal audit staff, which performs testing of internal controls and the financial reporting process. U.S. Cellular's independent accountants, Arthur Andersen, are responsible for performing an independent audit of U.S. Cellular's consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and issuing a report thereon. The audit committee's responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes.

In this context, the audit committee held meetings with management, the internal audit staff and representatives of Arthur Andersen. In these meetings, the audit committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2000. Management represented to the audit committee that U.S. Cellular's consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and the audit committee has reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements with management and representatives of Arthur Andersen.

The discussions with Arthur Andersen also included the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, *Communication with Audit Committees*, as amended. The audit committee received from Arthur Andersen written disclosures and a letter regarding its independence as required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, *Independence Discussions with Audit Committees*, as amended, and this information was discussed with Arthur Andersen.

Based on and in reliance upon these discussions, the audit committee recommended to the board of directors that the audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2000 be included in U.S. Cellular's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000.

The audit committee also determined that the payment of certain fees for non-audit services does not conflict with maintaining Arthur Andersen's independence.

By the members of the audit committee of the board of directors of U.S. Cellular:

Walter C.D. Carlson Paul-Henri Denuit J. Samuel Crowley

FEES PAID TO PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANTS

The following sets forth the aggregate fees billed by U.S. Cellular's principal accountants, Arthur Andersen, for 2000:

Audit Fees (1)	\$ 326,200
Financial Information Systems Design and Implementation Fees (2)	
All Other Fees (3)	1,894,607
Total	\$ 2,220,807

- (1) Represents the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered for the audit of the annual financial statements for the year 2000 and the reviews of the financial statements included in U.S. Cellular's Forms 10-Q for 2000.
- (2)

 Represents the aggregate fees billed for financial information systems design and implementation (as described in Rule 2-01(c)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-X of the SEC), rendered by Arthur Andersen for the year 2000.
- (3)

 Represents the aggregate fees billed for services rendered by Arthur Andersen, other than services covered in (1) or (2) above, for the year 2000.

 Includes fees paid to Accenture (formerly Andersen Consulting) prior to its separation from Arthur Andersen.

PROPOSAL 2 APPROVAL OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

We anticipate continuing the services of Arthur Andersen as independent public accountants for the current fiscal year. Representatives of Arthur Andersen, who served as independent public accountants for the last fiscal year, are expected to be present at the annual meeting of shareholders and will have the opportunity to make a statement and respond to appropriate questions raised by shareholders at the annual meeting or submitted in writing prior thereto.

We are not required to obtain shareholder ratification of the selection of Arthur Andersen as our independent public accountants by our bylaws or otherwise. However, as a matter of good corporate practice, we have elected to seek such ratification by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power of all classes of capital stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote with respect to such matter at the annual meeting. Should shareholders fail to ratify the selection of Arthur Andersen as independent public accountants, our board of directors will consider whether to retain such firm for the year ending December 31, 2001, subject to the obligations of U.S. Cellular under an agreement with TDS to engage the same firm of independent public accountants selected by TDS. See "Executive Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation Intercompany Agreement Accountants and Legal Counsel."

The board of directors recommends a vote "FOR" ratification of the selection of Arthur Andersen as independent public accountants for the current fiscal year.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following is a table identifying our other executive officers who are not identified in the above tables regarding the election of directors.

Name	Age	Position with U.S. Cellular
Richard W. Goehring	51	Executive Vice President Chief Technology Officer
Jay M. Ellison	48	Executive Vice President Operations
Douglas S. Arnold	46	Vice President Human Resources
Linda L. Baker	40	Vice President Customer Service
Charles A. Bale	47	Vice President Sales Operations
Stephen D. Clark	43	Vice President Network Operations
David M. Friedman	54	Vice President Marketing
Leon J. Hensen	53	Vice President Central Operations
Conrad J. Hunter	43	Vice President East Operations
John T. Quille	50	Vice President and Controller (principal accounting officer)
Dale G. Reti	46	Vice President Southwest/West Operations
Thomas S. Weber	40	Vice President Financial Services
James D. West	48	Vice President Chief Information Officer
Gregory J. Wilkinson	50	Vice President and Corporate Secretary
Eva-Maria Wohn	46	Vice President External Affairs

Richard W. Goehring. Richard W. Goehring was appointed our Executive Vice President Chief Technology Officer on March 18, 1999. Prior to that time, Mr. Goehring was our Senior Vice President Engineering from January 1997 to March 1999. Prior to that time, he was our Vice President Engineering for more than five years.

56 General Counsel and Assistant Secretary

Jay M. Ellison. Jay M. Ellison joined our company and was appointed our Executive Vice President Operations on September 5, 2000. Prior to that time, he was vice president of sales at Ameritech Cellular Services since 1997. Between 1995 and 1997 Mr. Ellison was regional vice president/marketing manager for Ameritech in Chicago.

Douglas S. Arnold. Douglas S. Arnold has been our Vice President Human Resources for more than five years.

Michael G. Hron

Linda L. Baker. Linda L. Baker joined our company and was appointed Vice President Customer Service in 1997. Prior to that time, she was vice president of sales & service of Allegiance Healthcare, Inc. between 1995 and 1997.

Charles A. Bale. Charles A. Bale joined our company and was appointed our Vice President Sales Operations on November 9, 2000. Prior to that time, he was vice president of sales at Industar Digital PCS since 1998. From 1992 to 1997 Mr. Bale was a regional vice president for Ameritech Cellular Services.

Stephen D. Clark. Stephen D. Clark was appointed our Vice President Network Operations in March 1998. Prior to that time, he was Director of Network Services with responsibilities for our Network Operations Centers since 1995.

9

David M. Friedman. David M. Friedman joined our company in 1995 and was appointed Vice President Marketing in 1996. Prior to that time, he was vice president of product marketing and customer support for Covia Technologies, a start-up software company, between 1994 and 1995.

Leon J. Hensen. Leon J. Hensen was appointed our Vice President Central Operations in March 1999. Prior to that time, he was employed as General Manager for our Wisconsin/Northern Illinois cluster from 1997 to 1999. Prior to that, Mr. Hensen held the position of senior vice president and general manager of Palmer Wireless, Inc. for more than five years.

Conrad J. Hunter. Conrad J. Hunter was appointed Vice President East Operations, in March 2000. Prior to that time, Mr. Hunter was employed by PrimeCo L.P. between March 1999 and February 2000, most recently as vice president and general manager of the Virginia region of PrimeCo PCS. Prior to that time, he was employed by GTE Corp. for more than five years, most recently as area general manager of business sales and major accounts of GTE Wireless in Nashville, Tennessee.

John T. Quille. John T. Quille was appointed as a Vice President in May 1999 and has been our Controller and principal accounting officer since November 1998. Prior to that time, he was employed by us in various capacities, most recently as Director of Revenue Assurance, for more than five years.

Dale G. Reti. Dale G. Reti was appointed as a Vice President Southwest/West operations on December 13, 2000. Prior to that time, he was Area General Manager for east Wisconsin since August 2000. Prior to that time, he held various management positions with Ameritech Cellular and Paging Services from more than five years.

Thomas S. Weber. Thomas S. Weber was appointed our Vice President Financial Services in May 1999. Prior to that time, he was employed as our Director of Finance from October 1998 to May 1999. Prior to that, Mr. Weber held the position of Director of Financial Planning from January 1996 to September 1998.

James D. West. James D. West has been with U.S. Cellular for more than five years and has been our Vice President Chief Information Officer since 1996.

Gregory J. Wilkinson. Gregory J. Wilkinson was appointed our Vice President and Corporate Secretary in December 1999. He has been Vice President and Corporate Secretary of TDS since November 1999 and of TDS Telecom since December 1999. Prior to that, he was Vice President and Controller of TDS for more than five years.

Eva-Maria Wohn. Eva-Maria Wohn was appointed our Vice President External Affairs in November 1998. From 1994 to 1998, Ms. Wohn was employed by us as Director of Regulatory Affairs.

Michael G. Hron. Michael G. Hron was appointed our General Counsel and Assistant Secretary in December 1999. He is also the General Counsel and an Assistant Secretary of TDS. Mr. Hron has been a partner of the law firm of Sidley & Austin for more than five years. Sidley & Austin provides legal services to TDS and its subsidiaries, including U.S. Cellular and its subsidiaries.

All of our executive officers devote all their time to the affairs of U.S. Cellular, except for LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., Gregory J. Wilkinson and Michael G. Hron. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., who is employed by TDS as its President and Chief Executive Officer, and Gregory J. Wilkinson, who is employed by TDS as its Vice President and Corporate Secretary, devote a portion of their time to the affairs of U.S. Cellular. Michael G. Hron is a practicing attorney.

10

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth compensation information for each person who was President and Chief Executive Officer during 2000 and our four most highly compensated executive officers other than the President and Chief Executive Officer for services rendered during the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998.

Summary Compensation Table

			Annual Compensation(2)			Long-Term Compensation			
Name and Principal Positions(1)	Year	Salary(3)	1	Bonus(4)		ner Annual pensation(5)	Restricted Stock Awards(6)	Securities Underlying Options/SARs(7)	All Other Compensation(8)
John E. Rooney(9)	2000 \$	309,375	\$	190,000	\$	55,414		55,000	\$ 2,815
President (Chief	1999								
Executive									
Officer)	1998								
Kenneth R. Meyers	2000 \$	315,250	\$	138,905	\$	40,512 \$	298,200	4,762	\$ 28,902
Executive Vice	1999	296,587		169,366		10,585	616,477	9,600	32,508
President									
Finance (Chief	1998	236,970		144,434		9,027	361,381	9,600	22,597
Financial									
Officer) and Treasurer					_			. =	
Richard W.	2000 \$	315,250	\$	132,405	\$	38,616 \$	830,700	4,762	\$ 29,342
Goehring	1000	200.42=		1=0 100			217 (0)	0.600	22.007
Executive Vice	1999	300,127		172,436			245,696	9,600	32,995
President	1998	263,712		153,988		44,911	261 201	0.600	21.761
Chief Technology Officer	1998	203,712		133,966		44,911	361,381	9,600	21,761
Douglas S. Arnold	2000 \$	215,042	\$	74,919	\$	\$	164.933	2,435	\$ 19.896
Vice President	1999	204,042	Ψ	117,120	Ψ	2,489	140,096	6,200	22,287
Human Resources	1998	189,250		63,304		2,397	186,037	6,200	18,744
LeRoy T. Carlson,	2000 \$	481,241	\$	/		,		.,	-,-
Jr.									
Chairman See	1999	322,560		342,216					
Footnote(1)	1998	241,292		158,720					
H. Donald Nelson(10)	2000 \$	221,500	\$	97,100	\$	\$	657,460	8,900	\$ 19,597
Retired President (Chief	1999	414,209		275,000		80,204	530,156	17,000	35,748
Executive Officer)	1998	371,589		232,000			1,108,006	17,000	39,788

Includes each person who was the chief executive officer of U.S. Cellular at any time during 2000 and the four most highly compensated executive officers in 2000 other than the chief executive officer. Mr. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., Chairman of U.S. Cellular, receives no compensation directly from U.S. Cellular. Mr. Carlson is compensated by TDS in connection with his services for TDS and TDS subsidiaries, including U.S. Cellular. A portion of Mr. Carlson's salary and bonus paid by TDS is charged to U.S. Cellular by TDS pursuant to the Intercompany Agreement discussed below under "Intercompany Agreement." Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the SEC, such amounts charged to U.S. Cellular by TDS are reported in the above table in addition to the information presented for the other named executive officers. The amount of Mr. Carlson's 2000 bonus has not yet been determined. Mr. Carlson does not receive any long-term compensation awards or any other compensation from U.S. Cellular. Mr. Carlson receives long-term and other compensation from TDS, but this is not charged to U.S. Cellular.

Does not include the discount amount of any employee stock purchase plan since such plans are generally available to all eligible salaried employees. Does not include the value of any perquisites and other personal benefits, securities or property because the aggregate amount of such compensation is less than the lesser of either \$50,000 or 10% of the total of annual salary and bonus reported for the named executive officers above.

Represents the dollar value of base salary (cash and non-cash) earned by the named executive officer during the fiscal year identified.

(2)

(3)

(4)

Represents the dollar value of bonus (cash and non-cash) earned by the named executive officer during the fiscal year identified, except for 2000. The amount of Mr. Carlson's 2000 bonus has not yet been determined and Mr. Carlson has not received an advance payment of any part of the 2000 bonus.

(5)

Represents the fair market value as of the grant date of phantom stock units of our Common Shares credited to such officer with respect to deferred bonus compensation. See "Bonus Deferral and Company Match Awards." Mr. Carlson also receives a credit of phantom stock units with respect to TDS Common Shares but this is not charged to U.S. Cellular.

(6)

In 1998, our board of directors approved the 1998 Retention Restricted Stock Award Program (the "1998 Retention Program") and made awards of restricted stock to the persons named in the Summary Compensation Table, as detailed below.

Further,

in 1998, our board of directors approved a grant of performance share awards (the "Nelson Performance Share Awards") to H. Donald Nelson, as detailed below. The amount reported is a target award. The actual amount which vested was more than this amount. The adjustment to the target award is reported in subsequent years.

Also,

in 1998, 1999 and 2000, the Stock Option Compensation Committee approved a grant of performance share awards ("Performance Share Awards"), as detailed below. The amount reported is a target award. The actual amount which vests may be more or less than this amount. The adjustment to the target award is reported in the subsequent year.

11

Also,

in 1999, the Stock Option Compensation Committee approved a grant of retention shares ("1999 Retention Awards"), to the persons detailed below.

Lastly,

in 2000, the Stock Option Compensation Committee approved a grant of 1999 Retention Awards to Richard W. Goehring. All restricted shares awarded to H. Donald Nelson vested upon his retirement.

The

following table summarizes the restricted stock awards:

		John E. Rooney	enneth R. Meyers	Richard W. Goehring	Douglas S. Arnold	Donald Jelson
Granted in 1998:						
1998 Retention Program:						
Vested 12/15/99			2,683	2,683	1,306	3,966
Vested 12/15/00			2,683	2,683	1,306	3,967
Vests 12/15/01			2,684	2,684	1,308	3,967
	Total		8,050	8,050	3,920	11,900
	Grant Date Dollar Value		\$ 297,850	\$ 297,850	\$ 145,040	\$ 440,300
Nelson Performance Share Awar	ds:					
1998 Target Awar	d					7,500
1999 Target Awar	d					7,500
	Total (Vested upon retirement)					15,000
	Grant Date Dollar Value					\$ 555,000
Performance Share Awards:						
1998 Award Vest	s 3/31/01		1,872	1,872	1,208	3,321
	Grant Date Dollar Value		\$ 63,531	63,531	\$ 40,997	\$ 112,706

	John E. Rooney	Kenneth R. Meyers	Richard W. Goehring	Douglas S. Arnold	H. Donald Nelson
Granted in 1999:					
1999 Retention Awards:					
Vested 9/15/00		3,750			
Vests 1/31/01		3,750)		
Total Granted in 1999		7,500)		
Grant Date Dollar Value		\$ 370,78	1		
Nelson Performance Share Award Adjustment for 1998 Performance over Target Vested upon retirement Performance Share Awards:					2,925
1998 Retention Program Performance Award Vested 12/15/99		2,640	5 2,646	1,289	3,911
1999 Performance Award Vests 3/31/02		2,938	·	·	5,213
Total Granted in 1999		5,584	4 5,584	3,184	12,049
Grant Date Dollar Value		\$ 245,690	5 \$ 245,696	\$ 140,096	\$ 530,156
Total Grant Date Dollar Value for 1999		\$ 616,47	7 \$ 245,696	\$ 140,096	\$ 530,156
Granted in 2000:					
1999 Retention Awards:					
Vests 1/31/01			3,750		
Vests 1/31/02			3,750		
Total granted in 2000			7,500		
Grant Date Dollar Value			\$ 532,500		
	12				
Nelson Performance Share Award Adjustment for 1999 Performance over					
Target Vested upon retirement					2,535
1998 Retention Program Performance Share Award Vested 12/15/00 2000 Performance Award Vests 3/31/03		2,447 1,753			3,616 3,109
2000 I CHOImaice I Ward Vests 3/3/1/03		1,733	1,733	1,131	3,107
Total Granted in 2000		4,200	4,200	2,323	9,260
Grant Date Dollar Value		\$ 298,200	\$ 298,200	\$ 164,933	\$ 657,460
Total Grant Date Dollar Value for 2000		\$ 298,200	\$ 830,700	\$ 164,933	\$ 657,460
Summary of Restricted Stock Outstanding at 12/31/00					
Unvested shares of restricted stock as of 12/31/00		12,997	16,747	5,542	
Dollar Value as of 12/31/00		\$ 783,069	\$ 1,009,007	\$ 333,906	

The Grant Date Dollar Value of the above awards is calculated using the closing price of the Common Shares on the award date. The Dollar Value as of December 31, 2000 is calculated using the closing price of our Common Shares on December 31, 2000 of \$60.25.

(7)

Represents the number of shares of our common stock subject to stock options awarded during the fiscal year identified. No stock appreciation rights ("SARs") were awarded, either on a stand-alone basis or in tandem with options, during any of the identified fiscal years.

Includes contributions by us for the benefit of the named executive officer under the TDS Tax-Deferred Savings Plan ("TDSP"), the Wireless Companies' Pension Plan ("Pension Plan") and the TDS Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP"), and the dollar value of any insurance premiums paid during the covered fiscal year with respect to life insurance for the benefit of the named executive ("Life Insurance"), as indicated below for 2000:

		John E. Rooney	Kenneth R. Meyers	Richard W. Goehring	Douglas S. Arnold	H. Donald Nelson
TDSP	\$	1,525	\$ 5,100	\$ 5,100	\$ 5,100	\$ 3,060
Pension Plan			7,914	7,914	7,914	
SERP			15,409	15,593	6,585	13,560
Life Insurance		1,290	479	735	297	2,977
	_					
Total	\$	2,815	\$ 28,902	\$ 29,342	\$ 19,896	\$ 19,597

(9)
Mr. Rooney was hired as President and Chief Executive Officer on April 10, 2000. U.S. Cellular entered into an employment letter agreement with Mr. Rooney which is described below.

(10)

Mr. Nelson stepped down as President and Chief Executive Officer as of April 9, 2000. TDS and U.S. Cellular entered into a retirement agreement with Mr. Nelson which is described below. Pursuant to such agreement, Mr. Nelson received \$70,000 from U.S. Cellular in consulting fees following his retirement.

13

General Information Regarding Options and SARs

The following tables show, as to the executive officers who are named in the Summary Compensation Table, information regarding options and/or SARs.

Individual Option Grants in 2000

	Number of Securities Underlying	% of Total Options				Potential Re Assumed A Stock Price Option	nnual l	Rates of iation for
Name(1)	Options Granted(2)	Granted to Employees(3)	Exercise Price	Market Price(4)	Expiration Date	5%		10%
John E. Rooney								
2000 Initial Options (6)	55,000	39.8%	\$ 69.19	\$ 69.1	9 4/10/10	\$ 2,393,227	\$	6,064,907
Kenneth R. Meyers								
2000 Automatic Options (7)	4,762	3.4%	\$ 71.00	\$ 71.0	0 3/31/10	\$ 212,630	\$	538,848
Richard W. Goehring								
	4,762	3.4%	\$ 71.00	\$ 71.0	0 3/31/10	\$ 212,630	\$	538,848

	2000 Automatic Options (7)						Potential Real Assumed An Stock Price Ap Option T	nual Ra opreciat	ites of tion for
Douglas S	S. Arnold						Option 1	erms(5	,
	2000 Automatic Options (7)	2,435	1.8% \$	71.00 \$	71.00	3/31/10 \$	108,726	\$	275,534
H. Donal	d Nelson								
	2000 Automatic Options (7)(8)	8,900	6.4% \$	71.00 \$	71.00	3/31/05 \$	174,582	\$	385,781

- (1)
 Mr. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., does not receive options from U.S. Cellular. Mr. Carlson receives long-term compensation from TDS, but this is not charged to U.S. Cellular by TDS.
- (2) Represents the number of our shares underlying options awarded to the named executive during the fiscal year.
- (3)

 Represents the percent of total USM shares underlying options awarded to employees during the fiscal year.
- (4) Represents the fair market value of our Common Shares as of the award date.
- (5)

 Represents the potential realizable value of each grant of options, assuming that the market price of our Common Shares appreciates in value from the award date to the end of the option term at the indicated annualized rates.
- (6) Such options were granted as of April 10, 2000, and become exercisable with respect to 20% of the shares underlying the option on April 10 of each year beginning in 2001 and ending in 2005.
- (7) Such options were granted as of March 31, 2000, and become exercisable with respect to 20% of the shares underlying the options on March 31 of each year beginning in 2001 and ending in 2005.
- (8) All unvested options granted to Mr. Nelson vested upon his retirement from U.S. Cellular.

No SARs were granted in 2000.

14

Aggregated Option/SAR Exercises in 2000 and Aggregated December 31, 2000 Option/SAR Value

		2000	As of December 31, 2000						
	Shares Acquired on	Value Realized (3)	Underlying	of Securities g Unexercised s/SARs (4)	Value of Unexercised In-the-Money Options/SARs (5)				
	Exercise (2)		Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable			
John E. Rooney									
2000 Initial Options(6)				55,000					
Total				55,000					

2000 As of December 31, 2000 Kenneth R. Meyers 2000 Automatic Options(7) 4,762 \$ \$ 1999 Automatic Options(8) 1,920 7,680 31,200 124,800 1998 Automatic Options(9) 1,920 5,760 50,515 151,546 1997 Automatic Options(10) 1,920 3,840 67,200 134,400 Total 5,760 22,042 \$ 148,915 \$ 410,746 Richard W. Goehring \$ 2000 Automatic Options(7) 4,762 1999 Automatic Options(8) 1,920 7,680 31,200 124,800 1998 Automatic Options(9) 1,920 5,760 50,515 151,546 1997 Automatic Options(10) 134,400 1,920 3,840 67,200 5,760 22,042 Total \$ 148,915 410,746 Douglas S. Arnold 2000 Automatic Options(7) 2,435 1,240 4,960 20,150 80,600 1999 Automatic Options(8) 1998 Automatic Options(9) 2,480 3,720 65,249 97,873 1997 Automatic Options(10) 3,720 2,480 130,200 86,800 1995 Automatic Options(11) 1,600 46,192 1995 Performance Options(12) 3,192 81,875 12,232 13,595 343,666 Total 265,273 H. Donald Nelson 8,900 40,113 2000 Automatic Options(7) 1999 Automatic Options(8) 17,000 409,704 1998 Automatic Options(9) 449,504 13,600 1997 Automatic Options(10) 10,200 433,077 SARs(13) 9,600 485,528 Total(14) 59,300 1,817,926

(4)

⁽¹⁾ Mr. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., does not receive options or SARs from U.S. Cellular. Mr. Carlson receives long-term compensation from TDS, but this is not charged to U.S. Cellular by TDS.

⁽²⁾ Represents the number of our Common Shares with respect to which Options or SARs were exercised.

⁽³⁾Represents the aggregate dollar value realized upon exercise, based on the difference between the fair market value of such shares on the date of exercise and the aggregate exercise price.

Represents number of shares subject to free-standing options and/or free-standing SARs, as indicated, as of December 31, 2000. All options listed above are transferable to permitted transferees.

- (5)

 Represents the aggregate dollar value of in-the-money, unexercised options and/or SARs held at December 31, 2000, based on the difference between the exercise price and \$60.25, the closing price of our Common Shares on December 31, 2000.
- (6)
 The 2000 Initial Options become exercisable with respect to 20% of the shares underlying the option on April 10 of each year beginning in 2001 and ending in 2005, and are exercisable until April 10, 2010 at an exercise price of \$69.19.
- (7)
 The 2000 Automatic Options become exercisable in annual increments of 20% on March 31 of each year beginning in 2001 and ending in 2005, and are exercisable until March 31, 2010 at an exercise price of \$71.00.

15

- (8) The 1999 Automatic Options become exercisable in annual increments of 20% on March 31 of each year beginning in 2000 and ending in 2004, and are exercisable until March 31, 2009 at an exercise price of \$44.00.
- (9)

 The 1998 Automatic Options become exercisable in annual increments of 20% on March 31 of each year beginning in 1999 and ending in 2003, and are exercisable until March 31, 2008 at an exercise price of \$33.94.
- (10)
 The 1997 Automatic Options become exercisable in annual increments of 20% on March 31 of each year beginning in 1998 and ending in 2002, and are exercisable until May 14, 2007 at the exercise price of \$25.25.
- (11)
 The 1995 Automatic Options became exercisable in annual increments of 25% on December 15 of each year beginning in 1995 and ending in 1998, and are exercisable until February 22, 2005 at an exercise price of \$31.38.
- (12) The 1995 Performance Options became exercisable on December 15, 1996, and are exercisable until May 1, 2006 at an exercise price of \$34.60.
- (13) The SARs were granted in 1988 and were exercisable at the exercise price of \$15.00 per share until May 4, 2004.
- (14)
 All unvested options held by Mr. Nelson vested upon his retirement from U.S. Cellular.

Pension Plan and Supplemental Benefit Agreement

We have adopted the TDS Wireless Companies' Pension Plan (the "Wireless Pension Plan"). The Wireless Pension Plan, a qualified noncontributory defined contribution pension plan, provides pension benefits for our employees. Under the Wireless Pension Plan, pension contributions are calculated separately for each participant, based on a fixed percentage of the participant's qualifying compensation, and are funded currently. The amounts of the annual contributions for the benefit of the named executive officers are included above in the Summary Compensation Table under "All Other Compensation."

Effective January 1, 2001, the TDS Employees' Pension Trust (the "TDS Target Pension Plan") was merged with and into the Wireless Pension Plan and the new merged plan has been titled the TDS Pension Plan. All of the plan assets which had been held for the TDS Target Pension Plan and the Wireless Pension Plan were combined to be held on a consolidated basis for the new TDS Pension Plan, which will pay all benefits which previously accrued under both the TDS Target Pension Plan and the Wireless Pension Plan and all future pension plan accruals. All eligible participants who have been receiving a pension benefit contribution based on a fixed percentage of their qualifying compensation under the Wireless Pension Plan will continue to be eligible for such benefit under the TDS Pension Plan.

We have also adopted a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP") to provide supplemental benefits under the Wireless Pension Plan and effective January 1, 2001, the new TDS Pension Plan. The SERP was established to offset the reduction of benefits caused by the limitation on annual employee compensation which can be considered for tax qualified pension plans under the Internal Revenue Code. The SERP is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan and is intended to be unfunded. The amounts of the accruals for the benefit of the named executive officers are included above in the Summary Compensation Table under "All Other Compensation."

Employment Letter Agreement with John E. Rooney

Pursuant to an offer letter which was accepted by John E. Rooney on March 28, 2000 relating to his employment as President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Rooney is entitled to a base salary at the annual rate of \$450,000 per year through December 31, 2000, with a performance review following year-end 2000. The letter agreement provides that Mr. Rooney would receive a minimum bonus prorated for nine months of 2000 of \$169,000. Starting in 2001, Mr. Rooney's target bonus opportunity will be 50% of his base salary for the year. Pursuant to the letter agreement, Mr. Rooney received a grant of stock options with respect to 55,000 Common Shares, as disclosed above. The letter agreement provides that Mr. Rooney is also entitled to an annual grant of restricted stock beginning March 31, 2001. Pursuant to the letter agreement, Mr. Rooney is also entitled to a seat on the U.S. Cellular Board of Directors.

Retirement of H. Donald Nelson

Mr. Nelson ceased to hold the office of President and Chief Executive Officer of U.S. Cellular effective at the end of the day on April 9, 2000. Effective May 31, 2000, Mr. Nelson commenced an unpaid leave of absence from U.S. Cellular until his retirement from employment with U.S. Cellular effective at the end of the day on June 15, 2000. U.S. Cellular agreed to pay to Mr. Nelson, on August 1, 2000, the gross amount of \$97,100 as his pro-rata bonus for 2000. From June 1, 2000 through June 15, 2000, TDS employed Mr. Nelson at a salary of \$10,000. Effective at the end of the day on June 15, 2000, Mr. Nelson retired from employment

16

with TDS. From June 16, 2000 through September 30, 2000, U.S. Cellular retained Mr. Nelson as an independent consultant. U.S. Cellular paid Mr. Nelson an aggregate of \$70,000 in consulting fees during that period. From October 1, 2000 through December 31, 2003, TDS has retained Mr. Nelson as an independent consultant for a payment of \$9,500 per month.

Prior to his retirement, U.S. Cellular entered into a non-qualified supplemental benefit agreement with H. Donald Nelson. Under the supplemental benefit agreement, we are obligated to pay Mr. Nelson a defined supplemental retirement benefit, less any amounts which he is entitled to receive under any other qualified pension plan (such as the Wireless Companies' Pension Plan). Because the nature of this agreement is a defined benefit arrangement, no amounts related thereto are included in the Summary Compensation Table.

Deferred Compensation Agreements

Mr. Richard W. Goehring is a party to an executive deferred compensation agreement pursuant to which such executive had a specified percentage of gross compensation deferred and credited to a deferred compensation account. Each deferred compensation account is credited with interest compounded monthly, computed at a rate equal to one-twelfth of the sum of the average thirty-year Treasury Bond rate plus 1.25 percentage points until the deferred compensation amount is paid to the executive. The amount of compensation deferred by such person is included in and reported with all other non-deferred compensation in the "Summary Compensation Table." No amount is included in the Summary Compensation Table for the interest earned on such deferred compensation because such interest rate is intended to approximate a market rate.

Retention Agreement

U.S. Cellular entered into a retention agreement with Kenneth R. Meyers as of September 13, 1999. This agreement provides that, in the event of a qualified termination of Mr. Meyers' employment with U.S. Cellular, U.S. Cellular will make certain payments to Mr. Meyers. A qualified termination is a termination by U.S. Cellular without cause, as defined in such agreement, or by Mr. Meyers for good reason, as defined in such agreement. The payment will consist of the sum of (1) the difference between the fair market value and the exercise price of options to acquire Common Shares held by Mr. Meyers which are cancelled and (2) the fair market value of shares of restricted stock held by Mr. Meyers which are forfeited, in each case as a result of the qualified termination of Mr. Meyers' employment with U.S. Cellular.

Bonus Deferral and Company Match Awards

The 1998 Long Term Incentive Program permits an employee selected by the stock option compensation committee to elect to defer all or a portion of his or her annual bonus to a deferred compensation account ("Deferral Program"). The executive officers who have deferred their bonuses are identified in the Summary Compensation Table above. See footnote 5 to such table. If a selected employee elects to defer all or a portion of his or her annual bonus under the Deferral Program, we will allocate a match award to the employee's deferred compensation account in an amount equal to the sum of (1) 25% of the deferred bonus amount which is not in excess of one-half of the employee's gross bonus for the year and (2) 33½% of the deferred bonus amount which is in excess of one-half of the employee's gross bonus for the year. The fair market value of the matched stock units are reported in the Summary Compensation Table under "Other Annual Compensation." An employee will be fully vested in the deferred bonus amounts credited to his or her deferred compensation account. One-third of the U.S. Cellular match award

credited to the employee's deferred compensation account will become vested on each of the first three anniversaries of the last day of the year for which the applicable bonus is payable, provided that such employee is an employee of U.S. Cellular or an affiliate on such date and the deferred bonus amount has not been withdrawn or distributed before such date. Amounts credited to an employee's deferred compensation account will be deemed to be invested in phantom Common Shares at the time the amounts are credited to the deferred compensation account. An employee will receive an amount equal to his or her vested deferred compensation account balance on the earlier of the date specified by the employee or when he or she terminates employment with U.S. Cellular and all its affiliates. Our board of directors may determine that all match awards will become fully vested upon certain changes of control of U.S. Cellular.

Compensation of Directors

Our board of directors has approved a compensation plan (the "Non-Employee Director Plan") for non-employee directors ("Non-Employee Directors"). A non-employee director is a director who is not an

17

employee of U.S. Cellular, TDS or TDS Telecom. The purpose of the Non-Employee Director Plan is to provide reasonable compensation to non-employee directors in connection with their services to U.S. Cellular in order to induce qualified persons to become and serve as non-employee members of our board of directors.

The Non-Employee Director Plan provides that each non-employee director will receive an annual director's fee of \$24,000 payable immediately prior to our annual meeting of shareholders. It also provides that each non-employee director will receive a fee of \$1,000, plus reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with travel, for attendance at each regularly scheduled or special meeting of our board of directors. The Non-Employee Director Plan also provides that each non-employee director will receive a fee of \$750, plus reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with travel, for attendance at each meeting of the audit committee, stock option compensation committee or other committee established by resolution of our board of directors.

The Non-Employee Director Plan further provides that each non-employee director will be entitled to elect to receive up to fifty percent (50%) of the annual fee by the delivery of our Common Shares having a fair market value as of the date of payment equal to such percentage of the annual fee. Under the Non-Employee Director Plan, each non-employee director will be entitled to elect to receive up to thirty-three percent (33%) of each committee meeting's fee by the delivery of our Common Shares having a fair market value as of the date of payment equal to such percentage of such fee.

Under the Non-Employee Director Plan, for purposes of determining the number of Common Shares deliverable in connection with any of the foregoing elections, the fair market value of a Common Share will be the average closing price of our Common Shares as reported in the American Stock Exchange Composite Transactions section of *The Wall Street Journal* for the twenty trading days ending on the third trading day before the annual meeting of shareholders or the date of the committee meeting, as applicable. Our board of directors has reserved 10,000 Common Shares for issuance pursuant to the Non-Employee Director Plan.

Executive Officer Compensation Report

This report is submitted by LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., Chairman of U.S. Cellular, who in effect functions as the compensation committee of our board of directors, except with respect to long-term compensation, and by the stock option compensation committee, which approves long-term compensation for the executive officers of U.S. Cellular.

The Chairman, who is also the President and Chief Executive Officer of TDS, is paid by TDS and receives no compensation directly from U.S. Cellular. (See Footnote (1) to the Summary Compensation Table.)

The stock option compensation committee currently consists of Mr. Paul-Henri Denuit and Mr. J. Samuel Crowley. The stock option compensation committee approves long-term compensation for executive officers of U.S. Cellular. The stock option compensation committee is composed of members of our board of directors who are not officers or employees of TDS or U.S. Cellular or their subsidiaries.

Our compensation policy for executive officers is intended to provide incentives for the achievement of corporate and individual performance goals and to provide compensation consistent with the financial performance of U.S. Cellular. Our policy is based on the belief that the incentive compensation performance goals for executive officers should be based on factors over which such officers have significant control and which are important to our long-term success. It is also believed that compensation paid should be appropriate in relation to our financial performance and should be sufficient to enable us to attract and retain individuals possessing the talents required for our long-term successful performance.

Executive compensation consists of both annual and long-term compensation. Annual compensation consists of a base salary and bonus. We evaluate the base salary and bonus of each executive officer on an annual basis. Annual compensation decisions are based partly on annual performance measures, as described below. Long-term compensation is intended to compensate executives primarily for their contributions to long-term increases in shareholder value. Long-term compensation is generally provided through the grant of stock options and stock appreciation rights under the 1998 Long Term Incentive Program.

The process of determining salary begins with establishing an appropriate salary for each officer. Each officer's salary is based on the particular duties and responsibilities of the officer, as well as salaries for comparable positions at other companies in the cellular telephone and similar industries. These other

18

companies may include the companies included in the peer group index described below under "Stock Performance Chart," as well as other companies in the telecommunications industry and other industries with similar characteristics, to the extent considered appropriate in the judgment of the Chairman, based on similarities of size, function, geography or otherwise. No written or formal list of specific companies is prepared. Instead, the Vice President of Human Resources of TDS and the President of U.S. Cellular provide the Chairman with various sources of information about executive compensation at other companies, such as compensation reported in proxy statements of comparable companies and salary surveys published by various organizations. The Chairman uses these sources and makes a personal determination of appropriate sources, companies and ranges for each executive officer, based on the recommendations of the President of U.S. Cellular with respect to all officers other than the President of U.S. Cellular. The base salary of each officer is set at a level considered to be appropriate in the judgment of the Chairman based on an assessment of the particular responsibilities and performance of such officer taking into account our performance, other comparable companies, the industry, and the overall economy during the immediately preceding year. No written or formal salary survey is prepared nor is there formal documentation of the ranges considered appropriate in the judgment of the Chairman. Instead, the Chairman makes the determination of the appropriate ranges based on the total mix of information available to him. The salaries of the President and the other executive officers are believed to be at or slightly higher than the level considered to be appropriate in the judgment of the Chairman. The levels considered to be appropriate by the Chairman are based on his informed judgment, using the information provided to him by the Vice President of Human Resources of TDS and the President of U.S. Cellular, as discussed above. The levels are not based on any formal analysis nor is there any documentation of the levels which the Chairman considers appropriate in making his compensation decisions.

Annually, the nature and extent of each executive officer's personal accomplishments and contributions for the year are evaluated by our President. With regard to all executive officers other than the Chairman and the President, the President evaluates the information in terms of the personal objectives given by the President or other direct supervisor to such executive officer for the performance appraisal period. The President also makes an assessment of how well we did as a whole during the year and the extent to which the executive officer contributed to the results. Except as discussed below for the bonus program, no specific measures of performance are considered determinative in the base salary compensation decisions of executive officers. Instead, the facts and circumstances are taken into consideration by the President and the Chairman in their executive compensation decisions. Ultimately, it is the judgment of the Chairman based on the recommendation of the President that determines an executive's base salary based on the total mix of information rather than on relationships to any specific measures of performance.

In addition, the executive officers participate in either the 2000 Bonus Program for Executive Vice Presidents or the 2000 Bonus Program for Vice Presidents (collectively the "2000 Bonus Program"). The objectives of the 2000 Bonus Program for senior corporate staff are:

to provide suitable incentives for our senior corporate management to extend their best efforts to achieve superior results in relation to key performance targets;

to reward suitably our senior corporate management team in relation to their success in meeting and exceeding these performance targets; and

to help us attract and retain talented management personnel in positions of critical importance to our success.

A team performance award and an individual performance award are available under the 2000 Bonus Plan. For target performance on the team and individual categories, the 2000 Bonus Plan was designed to generate a targeted 2000 bonus pool in an amount equal to 35-40% of the aggregate of the base salaries of our executive officers other than the President. Under the 2000 Bonus Plan, the size of the target bonus pool is increased or decreased depending on our 2000 achievements with respect to the performance categories. No bonus pool is paid under such plan if minimum performance levels are not achieved in these categories. The maximum bonus pool that could be generated, which would require exceptional performance in all areas, would be an amount equal to 70-80% of the aggregate base salaries of our executive officers other than the

President.

19

Financial personnel prepare for the President and Chairman calculations which define whether the objective performance categories discussed above have been met, exceeded or not met in any fiscal year. The Chairman also has presented to him, and has access to, numerous performance measures and financial statistics prepared by our financial personnel. This financial information includes the audited financial statements of U.S. Cellular, as well as internal financial statements such as budgets and their results, operating statistics and various analyses. The Chairman will not be limited in his analysis to such information, and may consider other factual or subjective factors as he deems appropriate in his compensation decisions.

The base salary and bonus ranges and actual compensation of our President (chief executive officer) are determined in a manner similar to the foregoing, but with some differences. In addition to the factors described above for all executive officers in general, the Chairman considers compensation paid to chief executive officers of other comparable companies, including those which are divisions or subsidiaries of parent companies. No written or formal list of specific companies is prepared. Instead, the Chairman is provided with various sources of information about executive compensation at other companies by the Vice President of Human Resources of TDS. These sources include compensation reported in proxy statements of comparable companies and salary surveys published by various organizations. The Chairman uses these sources and makes a personal determination of appropriate sources, companies and ranges for the President. The base salary of the President is set within a range considered to be appropriate in the judgment of the Chairman based on an assessment of the particular responsibilities and performance of such officer, taking into account our performance (as discussed above), other comparable companies, the industry and the overall economy during the period. No written or formal salary survey is prepared nor is the range considered to be appropriate in the judgment of the Chairman formally documented. The base salary of John E. Rooney as President for 2000 was \$450,000 per year, of which he earned \$309,375 for 2000 since his employment date of April 10, 2000. The base salary of Mr. Rooney for 2001 was increased to \$487,000, representing an increase of approximately 8.2%. Such salary is believed to be at or slightly higher than the median of the range considered to be appropriate in the judgment of the Chairman. The range considered to be appropriate by the Chairman is based on his informed judgment, using the information provided to him by the Vice President of Human Resources of TDS, as discussed above. The range is not based on any formal analysis nor is there any documentation of the range which the Chairman considers appropriate in making his compensation decisions for the President. Mr. Rooney's final 2000 bonus was \$190,000. Pursuant to the employment letter agreement described above, Mr. Rooney's minimum required prorated bonus for 2000 was \$169,000. Beginning in 2001, Mr. Rooney's target bonus opportunity will be 50% of his base salary for the year and be based on the Chairman's assessment of U.S. Cellular's results for the year compared to those targeted in the bonus program for senior corporate management for such year.

As with the other executive officers, the base salary and compensation decisions for the President are based on all facts and circumstances rather than related to any specific measures of performance. No specific measures of performance are considered determinative in the compensation of the President. Instead, all facts and circumstances are taken into consideration by the Chairman in his executive compensation decisions for the President. Ultimately, it is the informed judgment of the Chairman that determines the salary and bonus for the President, this being based on the total mix of information rather than on any specific measures of performance. With respect to the President's bonus, the Chairman does consider the results of the 2000 Bonus Program and bases the amount of the bonus to a large degree upon the results of U.S. Cellular as measured by the performance objectives set by the 2000 Bonus Program. However, with respect to the President, the relationship of the bonus to such performance measures is not applied mechanically and involves a substantial amount of judgment on the part of the Chairman based on the total mix of information.

Our performance is a determinant of the number of stock options which will become awarded and exercisable with respect to the executive officers. As indicated under the table "Individual Option Grants in 2000," the named executive officers (excluding the Chairman) received automatic option grants from U.S. Cellular in 2000 based on the achievement of certain levels of corporate and individual performance for 1999.

Section 162(m) of the Code. Subject to certain exceptions, section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally provides a \$1 million annual limit on the amount that a publicly held corporation is allowed to deduct as compensation paid to each of the corporation's chief executive officer and the corporation's four most highly compensated officers other than the chief executive officer. We do not believe that the \$1 million deduction

20

limitation should have a material effect on us in the near future. If the \$1 million deduction limitation is expected to have a material effect on us in the future, we will consider ways to maximize the deductibility of executive compensation, while retaining the discretion we deem necessary to compensate executive officers in a manner commensurate with their performance and the competitive environment for executive talent.

The above Executive Officer Compensation Report is submitted by the Chairman of U.S. Cellular, Mr. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., and by Mr. Paul-Henri Denuit and Mr. J. Samuel Crowley, the members of the stock option compensation committee.

Stock Performance Chart

The following chart graphs the performance of the cumulative total return to shareholders (stock price appreciation plus dividends) during the previous five years in comparison to returns of the Standard & Poor's 500 Composite Stock Price Index and a peer group index. The peer group index was constructed specifically for us and includes the following cellular telephone companies: AllTel Corp., Centennial Communications Corp. (formerly known as Centennial Cellular Corp.) (Class A), Rural Cellular Corp. (Class A), U.S. Cellular and Western Wireless Corp. (Class A). In calculating the peer group index, the returns of each company in the group have been weighted according to such company's market capitalization at the beginning of the period.

COMPARATIVE FIVE-YEAR TOTAL RETURNS* U.S. Cellular, S&P 500, Peer Group (Performance results through 12/31/00)

		1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
U.S. Cellular	¢	100.00 \$	82.59 \$	91.85	\$ 112.59	\$ 299.08	\$ 178.52
S&P 500	\$	100.00 \$	122.96 \$				
Peer Group	\$	100.00 \$	99.35 \$	128.41	\$ 182.86	\$ 392.33	\$ 274.71

Cumulative total return assumes reinvestment of dividends.

Assumes \$100 invested at the close of trading on the last trading day preceding the first day of the fifth preceding fiscal year in our common stock, S&P 500, and Peer Group.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., President and Chief Executive Officer of TDS, makes annual executive compensation decisions for TDS other than for himself. The stock option compensation committee of TDS makes annual executive compensation decisions for the President of TDS and approves long-term compensation awards for the executive officers of TDS. The TDS stock option compensation committee is comprised of members of the TDS board of directors who are not officers or employees of TDS or any of its subsidiaries and who are not directors of any TDS subsidiaries. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., is a member of the board of directors of TDS and U.S. Cellular. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. is also the Chairman of U.S. Cellular and, as such, approves annual compensation for executive officers of U.S. Cellular. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., is compensated by TDS for his services to TDS and all of its subsidiaries. However, TDS is reimbursed by us for a portion of Mr. Carlson's salary and bonus paid by TDS pursuant to the intercompany agreement described below. See Footnote (1) to the Summary Compensation Table above. John E. Rooney, a director and President of U.S. Cellular, participated in executive compensation decisions for U.S. Cellular, other than for himself. H. Donald Nelson, a former director and former President of U.S. Cellular, prior to his retirement in 2000, participated in executive compensation decisions for U.S. Cellular, other than for himself. Long-term compensation for our executive officers is approved by our stock option compensation committee, which currently consists of Paul-Henri Denuit and J. Samuel Crowley. Our stock option compensation committee is comprised of members of our board of directors who are not officers or employees of TDS or U.S. Cellular or their subsidiaries.

LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. and Walter C. D. Carlson, directors of U.S. Cellular, are trustees and beneficiaries of the voting trust which controls TDS, which controls U.S. Cellular, and LeRoy T. Carlson, a director of U.S. Cellular, is a beneficiary of such voting trust. See "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management." LeRoy T. Carlson, LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., Walter C. D. Carlson and Sandra L. Helton, directors of U.S. Cellular, are also directors of TDS. See "Election of Directors." We have entered into a number of arrangements and transactions with TDS. Some of these arrangements were established at a time prior to our initial public offering when TDS owned more than 90% of our outstanding capital stock and were not the result of arm's-length negotiations. There can be no assurance that such arrangements will continue or that the terms of such arrangements will not be modified in the future. If additional transactions occur in the future, there can be no assurance that the terms of such future transactions will be favorable to us or will continue to provide us with the same level of support for our financing and other needs as TDS has provided in the past. The principal arrangements that exist between U.S. Cellular and TDS are summarized below.

Exchange Agreement

U.S. Cellular and TDS are parties to an exchange agreement dated July 1, 1987, as amended as of April 7, 1988.

Common Share Purchase Rights; Potential Dilution. The exchange agreement granted TDS the right to purchase additional Common Shares of U.S. Cellular sold after our initial public offering, to the extent necessary for TDS to maintain its proportionate interest in our Common Shares. For purposes of calculating TDS's proportionate interest in our Common Shares, the Series A Common Shares are treated as if converted into Common Shares. Upon notice to U.S. Cellular, TDS is entitled to subscribe to each issuance in full or in part at its discretion. If TDS decides to waive, in whole or in part, one or more of its purchase opportunities, the number of Common Shares subject to purchase as a result of subsequent issuances will be further reduced.

If TDS elects to exercise its purchase rights, it is required to pay cash for all Common Shares issued to it by us, unless otherwise agreed. In the case of sales by us of Common Shares for cash, TDS is required to pay the same price per Common Share as the other buyers. In the case of sales for consideration other than cash, TDS is required to pay cash equal to the fair market value of such other consideration as determined by our board of directors. Depending on the price per Common Share paid by TDS upon exercise of these rights, the issuance of Common Shares by us pursuant thereto could have a dilutive effect on our other shareholders. The purchase rights described above are in addition to the preemptive rights granted to TDS as a holder of Series A Common Shares under our restated certificate of incorporation.

Funding of License Costs. Through the date of our initial public offering, TDS had funded or made provisions to fund all the legal, engineering and consulting expenses incurred in connection with the wireline application and settlement process and that portion of the price of cellular interests acquired by purchase that represented the cost of cellular licenses. Pursuant to the exchange agreement, as amended, TDS has agreed

22

to fund as an additional capital contribution, without the issuance of additional stock or the payment of any other consideration to TDS, additional costs associated with the acquisition of the additional cellular interests that we had a right to acquire at the time of the initial public offering. Through December 31, 2000, TDS had funded costs totaling approximately \$67.2 million. TDS is obligated under the exchange agreement to make additional capital contributions to us under certain circumstances. Currently TDS has no obligations with respect to

additional capital contributions.

RSA Rights. Under the exchange agreement: (a) TDS retained all its rights to file applications for and obtain the wireline licenses to operate cellular systems in Rural Service Areas ("RSAs"); (b) TDS retained the right to exchange these RSA rights for additional interests in cellular systems in which we have an interest or interests in cellular systems within the same or other Metropolitan Statistical Areas ("MSAs") or in RSAs; (c) TDS retained the right to acquire telephone, paging or other non-cellular companies with interests in cellular systems; (d) TDS retained the right to acquire interests in RSAs in which we indicated we did not desire to participate; and (e) the rights referred to in (a), (b), (c) and (d) above were to remain the property of TDS unless transferred to us for appropriate consideration.

Right of Negotiation. For certain interests, if TDS desires to sell its interest in any RSA, TDS is required to give us the opportunity to negotiate for such interest, subject to TDS being legally able to transfer the interest free of any restrictions on its sale or transfer. If we desire to purchase any interest so offered, TDS is required to negotiate with us concerning the terms and conditions of the transaction, including the price and the method of payment. If we are unable to agree with TDS on the terms and conditions of the transaction during a 60-day negotiation period, TDS would thereafter be under no obligation to offer the interest to us, except if TDS proposed to sell the interest within a year after the end of the negotiation period at a price equal to or lower than our highest written offer during the negotiation period. In such case, we would have the right to purchase the interest at that price.

Corporate Opportunity Arrangements. Our restated certificate of incorporation, as amended, provides that, so long as at least 500,000 Series A Common Shares are outstanding, we may not, without the written consent of TDS, engage in any non-cellular activities. We have been informed that TDS intends to give its consent to the acquisition of any non-cellular interest that is incidental to the acquisition of a cellular interest. However, TDS could impose conditions on any such consent, including a requirement that we resell any non-cellular interest to TDS or that we give TDS the right of first refusal with respect to such sale.

Our restated certificate of incorporation, as amended, also restricts the circumstances under which we are entitled to claim that an opportunity, transaction, agreement or other arrangement to which TDS, or any person in which TDS has or acquires a financial interest, is or should be our property. In general, so long as at least 500,000 Series A Common Shares are outstanding, we will not be entitled to any such "corporate opportunity" unless it relates solely to the construction of, the ownership of interests in, and/or the management of, cellular telephone systems, and then only if such corporate opportunity did not arise in any way as a result of the rights otherwise retained by TDS. Our restated certificate of incorporation allows us to pursue future opportunities to provide cellular service and design, consulting, engineering and construction management services for cellular telecommunications systems located outside the United States.

Tax Allocation Agreement

We have entered into a tax allocation agreement with TDS under which we will continue to join in filing consolidated Federal income tax returns with the TDS affiliated group unless TDS requests otherwise. For tax years and periods ended prior to July 1, 1987, TDS reimbursed us for the reduction in the provision for Federal income taxes reflected in TDS's consolidated statements of income resulting from the inclusion of U.S. Cellular and its subsidiaries in the TDS affiliated group. For tax years and periods beginning after June 30, 1987, TDS no longer reimburses us on a current basis for losses or credits used by the TDS affiliated group. Instead, we will be compensated (by an offset to amounts we would otherwise be required to reimburse TDS for Federal income taxes) for TDS's use of tax benefits at such time as we could utilize such benefits as a stand-alone entity. After all loss and credit carryforwards have been utilized or their statutory periods have expired, we will be required to reimburse TDS for Federal income taxes paid by the TDS affiliated group in an amount equal to the greater of our Federal income tax liability, calculated as if we were a separate affiliated group, or the tax calculated using the average tax rate (before taking into account tax credits) of the TDS affiliated group. Any deficiency in tax thereafter proposed by the IRS for any consolidated return year that

23

involves income, deductions or credits of U.S. Cellular or its subsidiaries, and any claim for refund of tax for any consolidated return year that involves such items, will be contested or prosecuted at the sole discretion of TDS and at our expense. To the extent that any deficiency in tax or refund of tax is finally determined to be attributable to the income, deductions or credits of U.S. Cellular, such deficiency or refund will be payable by or to us.

If we cease to be a member of the TDS affiliated group, and for a subsequent year U.S. Cellular or its subsidiaries are required to pay a greater amount of Federal income tax than they would have paid if they had not been members of the TDS group after June 30, 1987, TDS will reimburse us for the excess amount of tax, without interest. In determining the amount of reimbursement, any profits or losses from new business activities acquired by us or our subsidiaries after we leave the TDS group will be disregarded. No reimbursement will be required if at any time in the future U.S. Cellular becomes a member of another affiliated group in which U.S. Cellular is not the common parent or fewer than 500,000 Series A Common Shares are outstanding. In addition, reimbursement will not be required on account of the income of any subsidiary of U.S. Cellular if more than 50% of the voting power of such subsidiary is held by a person or group other than a person or group owning more than 50% of the voting power of TDS. Rules similar to those described above will be applied to any state or local franchise or income tax

liabilities to which TDS and U.S. Cellular and its subsidiaries are subject and which are required to be determined on a unitary, combined or consolidated basis.

Cash Management Agreement

From time to time we deposit our excess cash with TDS for investment under TDS's cash management program pursuant to the terms of a cash management agreement. Such deposits are available to us on demand and bear interest each month at the 30-day commercial paper rate reported in *The Wall Street Journal* on the last business day of the preceding month plus ¹/₄%, or such higher rate as TDS may in its discretion offer on such demand deposits. We may elect to place funds for a longer period than on demand in which event, if such funds are placed with TDS, they will bear interest at the commercial paper rate for investments of similar maturity plus ¹/₄%, or at such higher rate as TDS may in its discretion offer on such investments.

Intercompany Agreement

In order to provide for certain transactions and relationships between the parties, U.S. Cellular and TDS have agreed under an intercompany agreement, among other things, as follows:

Services. U.S. Cellular and TDS make available to each other from time to time services relating to operations, marketing, human resources, accounting, customer services, customer billing, finance, and general administration, among others. Unless otherwise provided by written agreement, services provided by TDS or any of its subsidiaries are charged and paid for in conformity with the customary practices of TDS for charging TDS's non-telephone company subsidiaries. Payments by us to TDS for such services totaled \$44.6 million in 2000. For services provided to TDS, we receive payment for the salaries of our employees and agents assigned to render such services (plus 40% of the cost of such salaries in respect of overhead) for the time spent rendering such services, plus out-of-pocket expenses. Payments by TDS to us for such services were nominal in 2000.

Equipment and Materials. We purchase materials and equipment from TDS and its subsidiaries on the same basis as materials and equipment are purchased by any TDS affiliate from another TDS affiliate. Purchases by us from TDS affiliates totaled \$4.6 million in 2000.

Accountants and Legal Counsel. We have agreed to engage the firm of independent public accountants selected by TDS for purposes of auditing our financial statements, including the financial statements of our direct and indirect subsidiaries, and providing tax, data processing and all other accounting services and advice. We have also agreed that, in any case where legal counsel is to be engaged to represent the parties for any purpose, TDS has the right to select the counsel to be engaged, which may be the same counsel selected to represent TDS unless such counsel deems there to be a conflict. If we use the same counsel as TDS, each of us and TDS is responsible for the portion of the fees and expenses of such counsel determined by such counsel to be allocable to each.

Indemnification. We have agreed to indemnify TDS against certain losses, claims, damages or liabilities, including those arising out of: (1) the conduct of our business (except where the loss, claim, damage or

24

liability arises principally from TDS's gross negligence or willful misconduct); and (2) any inaccurate representation or breach of warranty under the Intercompany Agreement. TDS will similarly indemnify us with respect to: (1) the conduct by TDS of its non-cellular businesses before July 1, 1987 (except where the loss, claim, damage or liability arises principally from U.S. Cellular's gross negligence or willful misconduct); and (2) any inaccurate representation or breach of warranty under the intercompany agreement.

Disposal of Company Securities. TDS will not dispose of any of our securities held by it if such disposition would result in the loss of any license or other authorization held by us and such loss would have a material adverse effect on us.

Transfer of Assets. Without the prior written consent of TDS, we may not transfer (by sale, merger or otherwise) more than 15% of our consolidated assets unless the transferee agrees to become subject to the Intercompany Agreement.

Registration Rights Agreement; Other Sales of Common Shares

Under a registration rights agreement, we have agreed, upon the request of TDS, to file one or more registration statements under the Securities Act of 1933 or take other appropriate action under the laws of foreign jurisdictions in order to permit TDS to offer and sell, domestically or abroad, any of our debt or equity securities that TDS may hold at any time. TDS will pay all costs relating thereto and any underwriting discounts and commissions relating to any such offering, except that we will pay the fees of any counsel, accountants, trustees,

transfer agents or other agents retained by U.S. Cellular in connection therewith. TDS has the right to select the counsel we retain to assist it to fulfill any of its obligations under the registration rights agreement.

There is no limitation on the number or frequency of the occasions on which TDS may exercise its registration rights, except that we will not be required to comply with any registration request unless, in the case of a class of equity securities, the request involves at least the lesser of 1,000,000 shares or 1% of the total number of shares of such class then outstanding, or, in the case of a class of debt securities, the principal amount of debt securities covered by the request is at least \$5,000,000. We have also granted TDS the right to include its securities in certain registration statements covering offerings by us and will pay all costs of such offerings other than incremental costs attributable to the inclusion of our securities owned by TDS in such registration statements.

We will indemnify TDS and its officers, directors and controlling persons against certain liabilities arising under the laws of any country in respect of any registration or other offering covered by the registration rights agreement. We have the right to require TDS to delay any exercise by TDS of its rights to require registration and other actions for a period of up to 90 days if, in our judgment, any offering by us then being conducted or about to be conducted would be materially adversely affected. TDS has further agreed that it will not include any of our securities in any registration statement filed by us which, in the judgment of the managing underwriters, would materially adversely affect any offering by us. The rights of TDS under the registration rights agreement are transferable to non-affiliates of TDS.

Insurance Cost Sharing Agreement

Pursuant to an insurance cost sharing agreement, we and our officers, directors and employees are afforded coverage under certain insurance policies purchased by TDS. A portion of the premiums payable under each such policy is allocated by TDS to us on the same basis as premiums were allocated before the insurance cost sharing agreement was entered into, if the policies are the same as or similar to the policies in effect before the insurance cost sharing agreement was entered into, or on such other reasonable basis as TDS may select from time to time. If TDS decides to change the allocation of premiums at any time, TDS will consult with us before the change is made, but the decision as to whether to make the change will be in the reasonable discretion of TDS. We believe that the amounts payable by us under the insurance cost sharing agreement are generally more favorable than the premiums we would pay if we were to obtain coverage under separate policies.

Employee Benefit Plans Agreement

Under an employee benefit plans agreement, our employees participate in the TDS tax-deferred savings plan. We reimburse TDS for the costs associated with such participation. In addition, we have agreed to reimburse TDS for certain costs incurred by TDS in connection with the issuance of stock under the TDS employee stock purchase plans to our employees.

25

Other Arrangements

Walter C. D. Carlson, a director of U.S. Cellular and TDS, Michael G. Hron, the General Counsel and an Assistant Secretary of U.S. Cellular and TDS and the Secretary or an Assistant Secretary of certain subsidiaries of TDS, William S. DeCarlo, an Assistant Secretary of TDS and certain subsidiaries of TDS, and Stephen P. Fitzell an Assistant Secretary of U.S. Cellular and certain other subsidiaries of TDS, are partners of Sidley & Austin, the principal law firm of U.S. Cellular, TDS and their subsidiaries. Walter C. D. Carlson is also a trustee and beneficiary of the voting trust which controls TDS.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

On February 28, 2001, there were outstanding 53,088,285 Common Shares, par value \$1.00 per share (excluding 1,957,983 shares held by U.S. Cellular and 22,534 shares held by a subsidiary), and 33,005,877 Series A Common Shares, par value \$1.00 per share, representing a total of 86,094,162 shares of common stock. As of February 28, 2001, no shares of our Preferred Stock, par value \$1.00 per share, were outstanding. Each holder of outstanding Common Shares is entitled to elect 25% of the directors (rounded up to the nearest whole number) and is entitled to one vote for each Common Share held in such holder's name with respect to all matters on which the holders of Common Shares are entitled to vote at the annual meeting. The holder of Series A Common Shares is entitled to elect 75% of the directors (rounded down to the nearest whole number) and is entitled to ten votes for each Series A Common Share held in such holder's name with respect to all other matters on which the holder of Series A Common Shares is entitled to vote. Accordingly, the voting power of the Series A Common Shares with respect to matters other than the election of directors was 330,058,770 votes, and the total voting power of all outstanding shares of capital stock was 383,147,055 as of February 28, 2001.

Security Ownership of U.S. Cellular by Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table sets forth, as of February 28, 2001, or the latest practicable date, information regarding the person(s) who beneficially own more than 5% of any class of our voting securities.

Shareholder's Name and Address	Title of Class or Series	Shares of Class or Series Owned(1)	Percent of Class or Series	Percent of Shares of Common Stock	Percent of Voting Power(2)
Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.	Common Shares	37,782,826	71.2%	43.9%	9.9%
30 North LaSalle Street	Series A Common Shares(3)	33,005,877	100.0%	38.3%	86.1%
Chicago Illinois 60603					

- (1) The nature of beneficial ownership is sole voting and investment power unless otherwise specified.
- (2) Represents voting power in maters other than the election of directors.
- (3)

 The Series A Common Shares are convertible on a share-for-share basis into Common Shares.

26

Security Ownership of U.S. Cellular by Management

Several of our officers and directors indirectly hold substantial ownership interests in U.S. Cellular by virtue of their ownership of the capital stock of TDS. See "Beneficial Ownership of TDS by Directors and Executive Officers of U.S. Cellular" below. In addition, the following executive officers and directors and all officers and directors as a group beneficially owned the following number of our Common Shares as of February 28, 2001 or the latest practicable date:

Name	Title of Class or Series	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(1)	Percent Class or Series	Percent of Shares of Common Stock	Percent of Voting Power(2)
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.,					
Sandra L. Helton,					
C. Theodore Herbert,					
Peter L. Sereda					
and Michael G. Hron(3)	Common Shares	164,964	*	*	*
LeRoy T. Carlson	Common Shares	1,243	*	*	*
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.					
John E. Rooney(4)(10)	Common Shares	13,009	*	*	*
Walter C. D. Carlson	Common Shares	1,171	*	*	*
Sandra L. Helton					
Kenneth R. Meyers(5)(10)	Common Shares	38,716	*	*	*
Paul-Henri Denuit(6)					
J. Samuel Crowley					
Barrett A. Toan					
Richard W. Goehring(7)	Common Shares	48,267	*	*	*
Douglas S. Arnold(8)	Common Shares	18,454	*	*	*
All directors and executive officers as a group					
(26 persons)(9)(10)	Common Shares	419,867	*	*	*

Less than 1%.

(1)

The nature of beneficial ownership is sole voting and investment power unless otherwise specified.

- (2) Represents voting power in matters other than the election of directors.
- Represents Common Shares acquired through company-match contributions by the persons named as members of the investment management committee of the TDS tax deferred savings trust. Does not include 180,560 Common Shares acquired by such trust with employee contributions for which voting and investment power is passed through to plan participants. Such members of the investment management committee disclaim beneficial ownership of all such shares except for shares held for their individual benefit in such trust.
- (4)
 Includes 11,000 Common Shares subject to options or SARs which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days. Also includes shares of restricted stock which are subject to future vesting.
- Includes 12,472 Common Shares subject to options or SARs which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days. Also includes shares of restricted stock which are subject to future vesting. Also includes 1,000 Common Shares which are held by a trust for which Mr. Meyers is a trustee. Mr. Meyers disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares.
- (6)

 Does not include 2,279,583 Common Shares beneficially owned by Coditel S.A. and its affiliates. Paul-Henri Denuit is managing director of Coditel and Chairman of its Board of Directors, but disclaims beneficial ownership with respect to such shares.
- (7)
 Includes 12,472 Common Shares subject to options or SARs which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days. Also includes shares of restricted stock which are subject to future vesting.
- (8)

 Includes 7,927 Common Shares subject to options or SARs which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days. Also includes shares of restricted stock which are subject to future vesting.
- (9)
 Includes 117,704 Common Shares subject to options or SARs which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days. Also includes shares of restricted stock which are subject to future vesting.
- Includes shares as to which voting and/or investment power is shared.

27

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder require our directors and officers, and persons who are deemed to own more than ten percent of our Common Shares, to file certain reports with the SEC with respect to their beneficial ownership of our Common Shares. The reporting persons are also required to furnish us with copies of all such reports they file.

Based on a review of copies of such reports furnished to us by such reporting persons and written representations by our directors and officers, we believe that all filing requirements under Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act applicable to such reporting persons during and with respect to 2000 were complied with on a timely basis.

Description of TDS Securities

(10)

The authorized capital stock of TDS includes Common Shares, \$.01 par value (the "TDS Common Shares"), Series A Common Shares, \$.01 par value, (the "TDS Series A Shares") and Preferred Shares, \$.01 par value (the "TDS Preferred Shares"). As of February 28, 2001, 51,862,641 TDS Common Shares (excluding 4,154,448 Common Shares held by TDS and a subsidiary of TDS), 6,875,567 TDS Series A Shares and 76,965 TDS Preferred Shares were outstanding.

The TDS Series A Shares have ten votes per share, and TDS Common Shares and TDS Preferred Shares have one vote per share. The holders of TDS Series A Shares, TDS Common Shares and TDS Preferred Shares vote as a single group, except with respect to matters as to which the Delaware General Corporate Law grants class voting rights and with respect to the election of directors. With respect to the election of directors, the holders of TDS Common Shares are entitled to elect 25% of the directors of TDS, rounded up to the nearest whole number, plus one director, and the holders of TDS Series A Shares and TDS Preferred Shares, voting as a group, are entitled to elect the remaining members of the board of

Beneficial Ownership of TDS by Directors and Executive Officers of U.S. Cellular

The following table sets forth the number of TDS Common Shares and TDS Series A Shares beneficially owned by each director of U.S. Cellular, by each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table and by all directors and executive officers of U.S. Cellular as a group as of February 28, 2001 or the latest practicable date.

Name of Individual or Number of Persons in Group	Title of TDS Class or Series	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(1)	Percent of TDS Class or Series	Percent of Shares of TDS Common Stock	Percent of TDS Voting Power(2)
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.,					
Walter C. D. Carlson,					
Letitia G. C. Carlson and		< 0.00 0.10	0.0.4.24	40.00	
Prudence E. Carlson(3)	TDS Series A Shares	6,355,215	92.4%	10.8%	52.7%
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., Sandra L. Helton,					
C. Theodore Herbert.					
Peter L. Sereda and					
Michael G. Hron(4)	TDS Common Shares	30,429	*	*	*
Wichael G. Hioli(+)	TDS Series A Shares	98,576	1.4%	*	*
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., Sandra L. Helton, C. Theodore Herbert, Peter L. Sereda and	T DO SOLICO AT GIAMES	70,570	1.170		
Michael G. Hron(5)	TDS Common Shares	118,507	*	*	*
LeRoy T. Carlson(6)	TDS Common Shares	166,062	*	*	*
•	TDS Series A Shares	52,009	*	*	*
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.(7)	TDS Common Shares	236,856	*	*	*
	TDS Series A Shares	17,075	*	*	*
Walter C. D. Carlson(8)	TDS Common Shares	235	*	*	*
	TDS Series A Shares	838	*	*	*
Sandra L. Helton(9)	TDS Common Shares	57,053	*	*	*
John E. Rooney	TDS Common Shares	70			
Kenneth R. Meyers(10)	TDS Common Shares	1,342	*	*	*
Paul-Henri Denuit					
J. Samuel Crowley					
Barrett A. Toan	TDS Common Shares				
Richard W. Goehring(10)	TDS Common Shares	3,039	*	*	*
Douglas S. Arnold	TDS Common Shares	220	*	*	*
All directors and executive officers as a group	TDC C	615.005	1.00	1.00	*
(26 persons)(10)(11)	TDS Common Shares	615,007	1.2%	1.0%	
	TDS Series A Shares	6,523,704	94.9%	11.1%	54.1%

^{*} Less than 1%

⁽¹⁾ The nature of beneficial ownership is sole voting and investment power, unless otherwise specified.

⁽²⁾ Represents voting power in matters other than the election of directors.

⁽³⁾The shares of TDS listed are held by the persons named as trustees under a voting trust which expires June 30, 2009, created to facilitate long-standing relationships among the trust certificate holders. Effective February 28, 2001, Donald C. Nebergall resigned as a trustee of the voting trust and was

replaced by Prudence E. Carlson. Under the terms of the voting trust, the trustees hold and vote the TDS Series A Shares of TDS held in the trust. If the voting trust were terminated, the following persons would each be deemed to own beneficially over 5% of the outstanding TDS Series A Shares: Margaret D. Carlson (wife of LeRoy T. Carlson), LeRoy T.

29

Carlson, Jr., Walter C. D. Carlson, Prudence E. Carlson, Letitia G. C. Carlson (children of LeRoy T. Carlson and Margaret D. Carlson), and Donald C. Nebergall, as trustee under certain trusts for the benefit of the heirs of LeRoy T. and Margaret D. Carlson and an educational institution.

- (4)

 Voting and investment control is shared by the persons named as members of the investment management committee of the TDS Pension Plan. Such members disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares, which are held for the benefit of plan participants.
- Includes only shares acquired with Company contributions for the benefit of plan participants, for which voting and investment control is shared by the persons named as members of the investment management committee of the TDS tax-deferred savings trust. Does not include 178,825 shares acquired by such plan with employee contributions for which voting and investment control is passed through to plan participants. The members of the investment management committee disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares, except for shares held in such plan for their benefit.
- Includes 156,208 TDS Common Shares that Mr. LeRoy T. Carlson may purchase pursuant to stock options which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days, and 52,009 TDS Series A Shares held by Mr. Carlson's wife. Beneficial ownership is disclaimed as to the shares held by Mr. Carlson's wife. Does not include 219,496 TDS Series A Shares held for the benefit of Mr. LeRoy T. Carlson, 635,800 TDS Series A Shares held for the benefit of Mr. Carlson's wife or 51,172 TDS Series A Shares held for the benefit of certain grandchildren of Mr. Carlson (an aggregate of 906,468 shares, or 13.2% of class) in the voting trust described in footnote (3) above. Beneficial ownership is disclaimed as to TDS Series A Shares held for the benefit of his wife and grandchildren in such voting trust.
- Includes 1,102 TDS Common Shares and 5,029 TDS Series A Shares held by Mr. Carlson's wife outside the voting trust. Mr. Carlson disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares. Includes 226,967 TDS Common Shares that Mr. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. may purchase pursuant to stock options which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days. Does not include 1,078,008 TDS Series A Shares (15.7% of class) held in the voting trust referred to in footnote (3) above, of which 1,035,940 shares are held for the benefit of Mr. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. Beneficial ownership is disclaimed with respect to an aggregate of 42,068 TDS Series A Shares held for the benefit of his wife, his children and others in such voting trust.
- (8)

 Does not include 1,105,645 TDS Series A Shares (16.1% of class) held in the voting trust referred to in footnote (3) above, of which 1,071,005 shares are held for the benefit of Mr. Walter C. D. Carlson. Beneficial ownership is disclaimed with respect to an aggregate of 34,640 TDS Series A Shares held for the benefit of his wife and children in such voting trust.
- (9)
 Includes 54,000 TDS Common Shares that Ms. Helton may purchase pursuant to stock options which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days, plus 1,000 shares of restricted stock subject to future vesting.
- (10)
 Includes shares held as to which voting and/or investment power is shared through joint ownership or otherwise.
- (11) Includes 437,175 shares subject to stock options exercisable on February 28, 2001 or within 60 days thereof.

30

Security Ownership of TDS by Certain Beneficial Owners

In addition to the persons listed under "Beneficial Ownership of TDS by Directors and Executive Officers of U.S. Cellular," the following table sets forth, as of February 28, 2001, or the latest practicable date, information regarding the persons who own beneficially more than 5% of any class of the voting securities of TDS. The nature of beneficial ownership in this table is sole voting and investment power, except as otherwise set forth in the footnotes.

Shares of

		5.00.05	_		_
		Class or	Percent	Shares of	Percent of
		Series	of Class	Common	Voting
Shareholder's Name and Address	Title of Class or Series	Owned (1)	or Series	Stock	Power (2)

Percent of

Shareholder's Name and Address	Title of Class or Series	Shares of Class or Series Owned (1)	Percent of Class or Series	Percent of Shares of Common Stock	Percent of Voting Power (2)
Gabelli Funds, Inc.(3)	TDS Common Shares				
One Corporate Center					
Rye, New York 10580		6,343,525	12.2%	10.8%	5.3%
Franklin Mutual Advisers LLC(4) 51 John F. Kennedy Parkway	TDS Common Shares				
Short Hills, New Jersey 07078		4,170,575	8.0%	7.1%	3.5%
Bennet Miller	TDS Preferred Shares				
Lafayette, Indiana 47905		30,000	39.0%	N/A	*
Adelene M. Lewis	TDS Preferred Shares				
London, Kentucky 40741		12,000	15.6%	N/A	*
Marlene Click	TDS Preferred Shares				
Dayton, Ohio 45458		11,417	14.8%	N/A	*
Edward A. Mattingly	TDS Preferred Shares				
London, Kentucky 40744		7,000	9.1%	N/A	*
E. L. Davenport	TDS Preferred Shares				
Apple Valley, California 92307		5,804	7.5%	N/A	*
Farber Telephone Company	TDS Preferred Shares				
Farber, Missouri 63345		4,200	5.5%	N/A	*

*

Less than 1%

(1)

The nature of beneficial ownership for shares is sole voting and investment power unless otherwise specified.

(2)

Represents voting power in matters other than the election of directors.

(3)

Based upon a Schedule 13D (Amendment No. 8) filed with the SEC. Includes shares held by the following affiliates: Gabelli Funds, LLC 2,232,300 shares; ALCE Partners, L.P. 1,000 shares; GAMCO Investors, Inc. 4,079,425 shares; Gemini Capital Management Ltd. 16,000 shares; Gabelli Global Partners L.P. 3,245 shares; Gabelli Global Partners Ltd. 1,755 shares; Mario J. Gabelli 2,500 shares; and other 7,300 shares. In such Schedule 13D filing, such group has reported sole voting power with respect to 6,213,725 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 6,343,525 shares.

(4)

Based on the most recent Schedule 13G filed with the SEC (Amendment No. 3). Such Schedule 13G reports that Franklin Mutual Advisers, LLC exercised sole voting and investment power with respect to all such shares.

31

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

See "Executive Compensation Commensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation."

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2001 ANNUAL MEETING

Proposals of shareholders intended for inclusion in our proxy statement and form of proxy relating to the 2002 annual meeting of shareholders must be received by us at our principal executive offices not later than December 21, 2001.

Proposals by shareholders intended to be presented at the 2002 annual meeting of shareholders must be received by us at our principal executive offices not earlier than December 21, 2001 and not later than January 20, 2002 for consideration at the 2002 annual meeting of shareholders. Since this period will expire at least 45 days prior to the date of the proxy statement for the 2002 annual meeting (assuming the normal mailing and meeting schedule), the proxy solicited by the Board of Directors will confer discretionary authority to vote on any matter that may properly come before such meeting or any adjournment thereof. However, if the date of the 2002 annual meeting of shareholders is changed by more than 30 calendar days from the date of the 2001 annual meeting of shareholders, a shareholder proposal must be received by us not later than the close of business on the tenth day following the date of public notice of the date of the 2002 annual meeting of shareholders.

GENERAL

Your proxy is being solicited by our board of directors and its agents and the cost of solicitation will be paid by U.S. Cellular. Our officers, directors and regular employees, acting on our behalf, may also solicit proxies by telephone, facsimile transmission or personal interview. We will, at our expense, request brokers and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward proxy soliciting material to the beneficial owners of shares of record by such persons. We retained Georgeson Shareholder Communications Inc. to aid in solicitation of proxies for a fee of \$3,000, plus out-of-pocket expenses.

We will furnish you without charge a copy of our report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, including the financial statements and the schedules thereto, upon written request, and will provide copies of the exhibits to the report upon payment of a reasonable fee that will not exceed our reasonable expenses incurred in connection therewith. Requests for such materials should be directed to United States Cellular Corporation, 8410 West Bryn Mawr Avenue, Suite 700, Chicago, Illinois 60631, Attention: External Reporting Department, Telephone: (773) 399-8900.

OTHER BUSINESS

It is not anticipated that any action will be asked of the shareholders other than that set forth above, but if other matters are properly brought before the annual meeting, the persons named in the proxy will vote in accordance with their best judgment.

By order of the board of directors

GREGORY J. WILKINSON Vice President and Corporate Secretary

You are urged to sign, date and mail your proxy promptly.

32

Appendix A

Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of United States Cellular Corporation

CHARTER

I. PURPOSE:

The purpose of the Audit Committee shall be to assist the Board of Directors of the Company in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the quality, integrity and annual independent audit of the Company's financial statements. II. **COMPOSITION/ELIGIBILITY:**

The Audit Committee shall be comprised of members who shall satisfy the requirements of the American Stock Exchange.

III. FUNCTIONS:

The Audit Committee shall:

- 1.
 ensure receipt of an annual formal written statement from the independent public accountants delineating all relationships between the independent public accountants and the Company and discuss with the independent public accountants any such relationships that may impact the objectivity and independence of the independent public accountants; and take or recommend to the full Board appropriate action to oversee the independence of the independent public accountants;
- 2. recommend to the Board of Directors of the Company the retention or replacement of the independent public accountants as auditors of the financial statements of the Company, and review the procedures used to choose auditors of the financial statements, records and accounts of the Company's subsidiaries, it being understood that the independent public accountants are ultimately selected by and accountable to the Audit Committee and to the Board of Directors;
- 3.

 review, in consultation with the independent public accountants, the internal auditing staff of the Company, and such other advisors as the Committee may deem necessary, the scope, purpose and procedures of the overall audit plans of the internal auditing staff and the independent public accountants, review the results thereof and make specific recommendations to the Board of Directors in connection therewith;
- 4. review external and internal audit reports of the Company;
- 5. consult with the independent public accountants and the internal auditing staff of the Company regarding their evaluation of the adequacy of the internal controls of the Company (including computerized information system controls and security), and make specific recommendations to the Board of Directors in connection therewith;
- 6.

 review recommendations made by the internal auditing staff and the independent public accountants of the Company, report to the Board of Directors with respect thereto and with respect to external and internal audit reports of the Company, and make specific recommendations to the Board of Directors in connection therewith;
- 7. review legal and regulatory matters that may have a material impact on the financial statements;
- review periodically the Company's Code of Conduct and the Company's program to monitor compliance with that Code of Conduct;
- 9.
 meet with the internal auditing staff, the independent public accountants, and management in separate executive sessions to discuss any matters that the Audit Committee or these groups believe should be discussed privately with the Audit Committee;
- 10.
 review and discuss with management the Company's annual audited financial statements and recommend to the Board of Directors the inclusion of the Company's audited financial statements into its Form 10-K;
- 11.

 prior to the filing of each Form 10-Q and the Form 10-K, be available to discuss with the independent public accountants the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 and other matters that should be communicated to the Audit Committee under the professional standards of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants;
- 12. prepare a report to shareholders as required by the Securities and Exchange Commission;
- 13. review and reassess the adequacy of this Charter on an annual basis; and
- 14. perform such other duties as the Board of Directors shall from time to time assign to it.

IV. LIMITATIONS:

While the Audit Committee has the functions set forth in this Charter, it is not the duty of the Audit Committee to plan or conduct audits or to determine that the Company's financial statements are complete and accurate or are in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's management is principally responsible for Company accounting policies, the preparation of the financial statements and insuring that the financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's independent accountants are responsible for auditing and attesting to the Company's financial statements and understanding the Company's system of internal control sufficient to plan and to determine the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures to be performed. The responsibility to plan and conduct audits is that of the Company's independent accountants.

In its oversight capacity, the Audit Committee is neither intended nor equipped to guarantee with certainty to the full Board and stockholders the accuracy and quality of the Company's financial statements and accounting practices. Nor is it the duty of the Audit Committee to assure the Company's compliance with laws and regulations or compliance with the Company's Code of Conduct. The primary responsibility for these matters also rests with the Company's management. The Audit Committee can do no more than rely upon information it receives, questions and assesses in fulfilling its functions.

* * * * * *

A-2

PROXY

Proxy for Common Shares Solicited on Behalf of the Board of Directors for the Annual Meeting of the Shareholders of UNITED STATES CELLULAR CORPORATION To Be Held on May 15, 2001

The undersigned hereby appoints LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. and John E. Rooney, or either of them acting in the absence of the other, with power of substitution, attorneys and proxies for and in the name and place of the undersigned, to vote the number of Common Shares that the undersigned would be entitled to vote if then personally present at the 2001 Annual Meeting of the Shareholders of United States Cellular Corporation, to be held on Tuesday, May 15, 2001, or at any adjournment thereof, as set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, as designated on the reverse side hereof.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" the nominees in Proposal 1 and "FOR" Proposal 2.

AGAINST

This proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed on the reverse side hereof. If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted "FOR" the nominees in Proposal 1 and "FOR" Proposal 2. If a nominee is unable to serve or for good cause will not serve, the persons named in this proxy shall have discretionary authority to vote for a substitute nominee designated by the Board of Directors (unless authority to vote for nominees has been withheld).

(Continued and to be signed on Reverse Side)

/*\ FOLD AND DETACH HERE /*\

Whether or not you are able to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, it is important that your shares be represented. Accordingly, please complete and sign the proxy card printed above, tear at the perforation, and mail the card in the enclosed postage paid envelope addressed to United States Cellular Corporation, c/o Computershare Investor Services.

UNITED STATES CELLULAR CORPORATION PLEASE MARK VOTE IN OVAL IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER USING DARK INK ONLY //

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" the nominees in Proposal 1 and "FOR" Proposal 2.

FOR ALL

ABSTAIN

2.	Ratify Accountants for 2001	//	//	//	
				Dated:	, 2001
				Please Sign Here	
				Note: Please date this proxy and sign it exactly as of shares should sign. State full title when signing etc. Please return signed proxy in the enclosed env	as executor, administrator, trustee, guardian,

/*\ FOLD AND DETACH HERE /*\

Whether or not you are able to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, it is important that your shares be represented. Accordingly, please complete and sign the proxy card printed above, tear at the perforation, and mail the card in the enclosed postage paid envelope addressed to the United States Cellular Corporation, c/o Computershare Investor Services.

QuickLinks

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS AND PROXY STATEMENT

FOR AGAINST

VOTING INFORMATION

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Class I Director Term Scheduled to Expire in 2003

Class II Directors Terms Scheduled to Expire in 2004

Class III Directors Terms Scheduled to Expire in 2002

Class I Directors Terms Scheduled to Expire in 2003

COMMITTEES AND MEETINGS

REPORT OF AUDIT COMMITTEE

FEES PAID TO PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANTS

PROPOSAL 2 APPROVAL OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

Individual Option Grants in 2000

Aggregated Option/SAR Exercises in 2000 and Aggregated December 31, 2000 Option/SAR Value

Stock Performance Chart

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2001 ANNUAL MEETING

GENERAL

OTHER BUSINESS

Appendix A—Audit Committee Charter

nt L.P., an investment advisor registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisors Act of 1940 and The Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States, an insurance company and an investment advisor registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisors Act of 1940. The 2,163,210 shares beneficially owned by Mutuelles AXA and AXA reflect 451,410 shares owned by AXA Rosenberg Investment Management LLC, 1,572,900 shares acquired by Alliance Capital Management L.P. on behalf of client discretionary investment advisory accounts, and 138,900 shares

Life Assurance Society of the United States. The address for AXA Financial, Inc. is 1290 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10104.

Based on a Schedule 13G, dated February 14, 2004, filed by Janus Capital Management LLC ("Janus") with the SEC, which indicates that Janus has an indirect 100% ownership stake in Bay Isle Financial LLC ("Bay Isle") and an indirect 50.1% ownership stake in Enhanced Investment Technologies LLC ("INTECH"). Janus, Bay Isle and INTECH are registered investment advisers, each furnishing investment advice to various investment companies registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 and to individual and institutional clients (collectively referred to herein as "Managed Portfolios"). As a result of its role as investment adviser or sub-adviser to the Managed Portfolios, Janus may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of shares of our common stock held by such Managed Portfolios. The address for Janus is 100 Fillmore Street, Denver, Colorado 80206-4923.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth information with respect to our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of stock options under our stock option plans as of December 31, 2003.

Plan Category	(a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants, and Rights		(b) Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants, and Rights	(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans(Excluding Securities Reflected in Column(a))		
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Stockholders	3,516,260	\$	8.37	3,566,724		
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Stockholders						
T. 4.1	2.516.260	¢.	9.27	2.5((.724		
Total	3,516,260)	8.37	3,566,724		

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

We are party to a management agreement with entities directly controlled by Thayer Capital Partners and Brockway Moran & Partners. We paid these entities \$258,000 in financial advisory fees in connection with our February 2002 public offering, and a \$500,000 financial advisory fee and \$40,000 for certain out-of-pocket expenses in connection with our December 2002 acquisition of Advanced Circuits. The management agreement requires us to pay these entities a financial advisory fee of 1.5% of the first \$50,000,000 of the proceeds or value of any transaction with respect to which the three entities render financial advisory services to the Company, and 1% of any amount of proceeds or value in excess of \$50,000,000 until such time as these entities and their affiliates, on a combined basis, own less than 25% of our total outstanding voting stock. Following our public offering in September 2003, Thayer Capital Partners and Brockway Moran & Partners and their affiliates no longer own 25% of our total outstanding voting stock, and accordingly we are no longer obligated to pay them financial advisory fees.

We had a consulting and management services agreement with Pyxis Partnership, an entity controlled by Kenneth L. Shirley, a member of our board of directors through November 2002. Pyxis provided certain management and consulting services for which we paid Pyxis approximately \$168,000 for these services in 2002.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

KPMG LLP served as our independent auditors for the year ended December 31, 2003 and will serve in that capacity for the 2004 fiscal year.

Fees Charged by Independent Auditors

The following is a summary of fees, all of which were approved by the audit committee, billed by KPMG LLP for audit and other professional services during the period from May 14, 2002 through December 31, 2003:

		2002		2003
	_			
Audit fees	\$	219,000	\$	500,000
Audit-related fees		110,000		
Tax fees		17,000		63,000
All other fees				
	_			
Total	\$	346,000	\$	563,000

[&]quot;Audit fees" for 2003 include fees related to our secondary offering completed in September 2003. "Audit-related fees" include employee benefit plan audit fees and due diligence assistance and accounting consultation on proposed transactions. "Tax fees" include tax return preparation and consultation on various tax issues.

The following is a summary of fees, all of which were approved by the audit committee, billed by Arthur Andersen LLP for audit and other professional services during the period from January 1, 2002 through May 14, 2002:

	2002
Audit fees	\$ 212,000
Audit-related fees	1,000
Tax fees	6,000
All other fees	
Total	\$ 219,000

[&]quot;Audit fees" for 2002 include fees related to our secondary offering completed in February 2002. "Audit-related fees" include fees for employee benefit plan audit and consultation on various accounting issues. "Tax fees" include tax return preparation and consulting fees.

Pre-Approval Policy for Independent Auditor's Fees

In 2003, our Audit Committee adopted a formal policy concerning pre-approval of audit and non-audit services to be provided by our independent auditors. The policy requires that all proposed services to be provided by KPMG LLP must be pre-approved by the audit committee before any services are performed. This policy includes all audit, tax and consulting services that KPMG LLP may provide to the Company. In evaluating whether to engage KPMG LLP for non-audit services, our Audit Committee considers whether the performance of services other than audit services is compatible with maintaining the independence of KPMG LLP.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedule

- (1) Financial Statements are listed in the Index to Financial Statements on page F-1 of this Report.
- (2) Financial Statement Schedule:

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts are set forth on page S-3 of this Report.

Other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, not required, or because required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

On October 21, 2003, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K with the text of its press release announcing third quarter results.

(c) Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Exhibits
2.1	Form of Plan of Reorganization(1).
2.2	Recapitalization and Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of December 15, 1998 by and among Circuit Holdings, LLC, the Registrant and Lewis O.Coley, III, the Colleen Beckdolt Trust No. 2 and the Ian Lewis Coley Trust No. 2.(1)
2.3	Stock Purchase Agreement between Honeywell Electronic Materials, Inc. and TTM Technologies, Inc. dated as of December 24, 2002(4)
3.1	Registrant's Amended Articles of Incorporation.(1)
3.2	Registrant's Amended Bylaws.(1)
4.1	Form of Registrant's common stock certificate.(1)
4.2	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of December 15, 1998 among the Registrant, Lewis O. Coley, III and Circuit Holdings, LLC.(1)
4.3	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of July 13, 1999 among the Registrant and certain Purchasers listed on Schedule I thereto.(1)
4.4	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of July 13, 1999 among the Registrant and certain Purchasers of Warrants listed on Schedule I thereto.(1)
4.5	Subscription Agreement dated as of July 13, 1999 among the Registrant and Purchasers of Company Common Stock listed on Schedule I thereto.(1)
10.1	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of September 29, 2000 among the Company, the Domestic Subsidiaries of the Company from time to time parties thereto, the Lender Parties thereto, First Union National Bank, as Administrative Agent, Fleet National Bank, as Syndication Agent, SunTrust Bank, as Documentation Agent, and First Union Capital Markets Corp., as Lead Arranger.(2)

10.2	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of October 13, 2000 among the Company, the Domestic Subsidiaries of the Company identified as a "Guarantor" on the signature pages thereto, the Lender Parties thereto and First Union National Bank, as Administrative Agent.(2)
10.3	Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of December 21, 2001 among the Company, the Domestic Subsidiaries of the Company identified as a "Guarantor" on the signature pages thereto, the Lender Parties thereto and First Union National Bank, as Administrative Agent.(3)
10.4	Consent dated December 17, 2002 among the Company, the Domestic Subsidiaries of the Company identified as a "Guarantor" on the signature pages thereto, the Lender Parties thereto and First Union National Bank, as Administrative Agent(6)
10.5	Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated April 30, 2003 among the Company, the Domestic Subsidiaries of the Company identified as a "Guarantor" on the signature pages thereto, and the Lender Parties thereto and Wachovia Bank, as Administrative Agent.(5)
10.6	Amended, Restated and Consolidated Management and Consulting Agreement among the Registrant, T.C. Management L.L.C., T.C. Management IV, L.L.C. and Brockway Moran & Partners Management, L.P.(1)
10.7	Employment Agreement dated as of August 3, 2000 between the Registrant and Kenton K. Alder.(1)
10.8	Offer Letter dated as of February 25, 2000 between the Registrant and Stacey M. Peterson.(1)
10.9	Amended and Restated Management Stock Option Plan.(1)
10.10	Form of Management Stock Option Agreement.(1)
10.11	Form of 2000 Equity Compensation Plan.(1)
10.12	Form of Indemnification Agreement with directors, officers and key employees.(1)
10.13	Lease Agreement dated as of July 19, 1995 between the Port of Skagit County and the Registrant.(1)
10.14	Statutory Warranty Deeds for Redmond Facility.(1)
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1	Consent of KPMG LLP.
23.2	Consent of Arthur Andersen LLP.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-39906) as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") and declared effective on September 20, 2000.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as filed with the Commission on November 16, 2000.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-75796) as filed with the Commission and declared effective on February 22, 2002.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on December 27, 2002.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Form S-3 as filed with the Commission and declared effective on September 17, 2003.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Form 10-K as filed with the Commission on March 31, 2003.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Date: April 29, 2004	By:	/s/ KENTON K. ALDER
----------------------	-----	---------------------

Kenton K. Alder

President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Title	Date
/s/ KENTON K. ALDER	President, Chief Executive Officer (Principal	Amril 20, 2004
Kenton K. Alder	Executive Officer), and Director	April 29, 2004
/s/ STACEY M. PETERSON	Chief Financial Officer, Secretary, (Principal	April 29, 2004
Stacey M. Peterson	Financial and Accounting Officer)	April 29, 2004
/s/ JEFFREY W. GOETTMAN	Chairman of the Board	April 29, 2004
Jeffrey W. Goettman	Chairman of the Board	Арт 29, 2004
/s/ MICHAEL E. MORAN	Director	April 29, 2004
Michael E. Moran	Director	Арт 29, 2004
/s/ DOUGLAS P. MCCORMICK	Director	April 29, 2004
Douglas P. McCormick	Z. Color	1.6.11 22, 200
/s/ JAMES K. BASS	Director	April 29, 2004
James K. Bass		r
/s/ RICHARD P. BECK	Director	April 29, 2004
Richard P. Beck		r
/s/ JOHN G. MAYER	Director	April 29, 2004
John G. Mayer	51	. /

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedule

Independent Auditors' Report	F-2
Report of Independent Public Accountants	F-3
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2002 and 2003	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8
Independent Auditors' Report on Consolidated Financial Statement Schedule	S-1
Report of Independent Public Accountants on Consolidated Financial Statement Schedule	S-2
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	S-3
F-1	20

Independent Auditors' Report

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of TTM Technologies, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of TTM Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. The accompanying consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2001, were audited by other auditors who have ceased operations. Those auditors expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements, before the revision described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, in their report dated January 24, 2002.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of TTM Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed above, the 2001 consolidated financial statements of TTM Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries were audited by other auditors who have ceased operations. As discussed in Note 2, these consolidated financial statements have been revised to include the transitional disclosures required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, which was adopted by the Company as of January 1, 2002. In our opinion, the transitional disclosures for 2001 in Note 2 are appropriate. However, we were not engaged to audit, review, or apply any procedures to the 2001 consolidated financial statements of TTM Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries other than with respect to such disclosures and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on the 2001 consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

/s/ KPMG LLP	
Salt Lake City, Utah January 28, 2004	
	F-2

This is a copy of the audit report previously issued by Arthur Andersen LLP in connection with the Company's consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2001. This audit report has not been reissued by Arthur Andersen LLP since Arthur Andersen LLP has ceased operations. The 2001 consolidated financial statements have been revised to include the transitional disclosures required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, which was adopted by the Company as of January 1, 2002.

Report of Independent Public Accountants

To TTM Technologies, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of TTM Technologies, Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2000 and 2001, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of TTM Technologies, Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2000 and 2001, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

/s/ ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP

Salt Lake City, Utah
January 24, 2002

F-3

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

As of December 31, 2002 and 2003

(In thousands)

	 2002	2003
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 18,879	\$ 24,237
Short-term investments		7,508
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$4,008 and \$3,734, respectively	17,913	28,519
Inventories, net	10,485	8,617
Prepaid expenses and other	3,083	1,129
Assets held for sale	2,797	2,308
Income taxes receivable	5,230	830
Deferred income taxes	1,867	1,739
Total current assets	60,254	74,887
Property, plant and equipment, at cost:		
Land	4,932	4,932
Machinery and equipment	53,075	55,960
Buildings and improvements	13,881	14,310
Furniture and fixtures	390	409
Automobiles	124	102
Construction-in-process	546	89:
	72,948	76,614
Less accumulated depreciation	 (27,379)	(33,078
Property, plant and equipment, net	45,569	43,536
Other assets:		
Debt issuance costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$157 and \$254, respectively	49	187
Deferred income taxes	14,442	10,34
Goodwill, net	63,153	63,15
Definite-lived intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$4,164 and \$5,424, respectively	13,865	12,955
Deposits and other	174	79
Total other assets	91,683	87,434
	\$ 197,506	\$ 205,857
Ciabilities and Shareholders' Equity Current liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 2,222	\$ 4,44
Accounts payable	12,540	7,862
Accrued salaries, wages and benefits	3,773	7,964

	2002	2003
Other accrued expenses	752	1,188
Current portion other long-term liabilities	562	1,077
Total current liabilities	19,849	22,535
Long-term debt, less current maturities	7,778	3,333
Other long-term liabilities, less current portion	2,453	1,662
Total long-term liabilities	10,231	4,995
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8) Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, no par value; 100,000 shares authorized, 39,724 and 40,475 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	149,936	153,256
Retained earnings	17,664	25,106
Deferred stock-based compensation	(174)	(35)
Total shareholders' equity	167,426	178,327
	\$ 197,506	\$ 205,857

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003

(In thousands, except per share data)

	2001	2002		2003	
Net sales	\$ 128,989	\$ 88,989	\$	180,317	
Cost of goods sold	 92,235	78,456		145,694	
Gross profit	 36,754	10,533		34,623	
Operating expenses:					
Selling and marketing	7,272	6,447		10,858	
General and administrative	5,435	5,519		11,696	
Amortization of intangibles	4,808	1,202		1,202	
Restructuring charges		3,859		649	
Total operating expenses	17,515	17,027		24,405	
Operating income (loss)	19,239	(6,494)		10,218	
Other income (expense):					
Interest expense	(2,644)	(1,084)		(583)	
Amortization of debt issuance costs	(41)	(105)		(97)	
Interest income and other, net	 629	694		352	
Total other expense, net	(2,056)	(495)		(328)	
Income (loss) before income taxes and extraordinary item	17,183	(6,989)		9,890	
Income tax (provision) benefit	(6,189)	2,278		(3,901)	
Income (loss) before extraordinary item	 10,994	(4,711)		5,989	
Extraordinary gain		6,296		1,453	
Net income	\$ 10,994	\$ 1,585	\$	7,442	
Basic earnings per share:					
Income (loss) before extraordinary item	\$ 0.29	\$ (0.12)	\$	0.15	
Extraordinary gain		0.16	·	0.04	
Net income	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.04	\$	0.19	
Diluted earnings per share:	 				
Income (loss) before extraordinary item	\$ 0.28	\$ (0.12)	\$	0.15	
Extraordinary gain		0.16		0.03	

	2001	2001 2002			2003		
Net income	\$	0.28	\$	0.04	\$	0.18	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-5

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

For the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003

(In thousands)

Common Stock Deferred Retained Stock-Based Shares Amount **Earnings** Compensation **Total** Balance, December 31, 2000 37,349 \$ 132,937 \$ 5,085 \$ (280)\$ 137,742 Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation 52 52 Exercise of common stock options 293 814 814 Income tax benefit from options exercised 477 477 Net income 10,994 10,994 150,079 Balance, December 31, 2001 37,642 134,228 16,079 (228)Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation 54 54 Shares sold in secondary public offering, net of offering 2,025 15,283 15,283 costs Shares repurchased in stock buyback program (122)(234)(234)Exercise of common stock options 179 471 471 Income tax benefit from options exercised 188 188 Net income 1,585 1,585 39,724 149,936 167,426 Balance, December 31, 2002 17,664 (174)Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation 139 139 Shares sold in secondary public offering, net of offering 1,724 costs 200 1,724 Exercise of common stock options 551 1,596 1,596 Net income 7,442 7,442 40,475 \$ 153,256 \$ 25,106 \$ 178,327 Balance, December 31, 2003 (35)\$

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-6

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003

(In thousands)

	2001	2001 2002		 2003
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income	\$ 10,	994 \$	1,585	\$ 7,442
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Extraordinary gain			(6,296)	(1,453)
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment	8,	294	8,761	7,774
Net loss (gain) on sale of property, plant and equipment		158	30	(92)
Amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets	4,	808	1,202	1,260
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation		52	54	139
Amortization of debt issuance costs		41	105	97
Non-cash interest imputed on other long-term liabilities				149
Non-cash restructuring charge for impairment of building and equipment			1,838	446
Deferred income taxes	2,	513	3,004	4,227
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition:				
Accounts receivable, net	22,	482	3,422	(10,050)
Inventories, net	3,	767	1,796	1,939
Prepaid expenses and other		154	(1,817)	2,523
Income taxes receivable	(4,	311)	(254)	4,400
Accounts payable	(4,	,123)	(3,171)	(4,073)
Income taxes payable	(3,	256)		
Accrued salaries, wages and benefits and other accrued expenses	(3,	.328)	(248)	3,854
Net cash provided by operating activities	38,	245	10,011	18,582
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Purchase of property, plant and equipment and equipment deposits	(13,	281)	(7,674)	(6,564)
Purchase of intangibles				(350)
Purchases of short-term investments				(8,508)
Proceeds from sales of short-term investments				1,000
Acquisition of Advanced Circuits			(860)	
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment		105	17	335
Net cash used in investing activities	(13,	176)	(8,517)	(14,087)
Cash flows from financing activities:	(10	(OF)	(22.625)	(0.000)
Principal payments on long-term debt	(10,	(687)	(22,625)	(2,222)
Sale of common stock for cash, net of offering costs		0.4.4	15,283	1,724
Proceeds from exercise of common stock options		814	471	1,596
Shares repurchased in stock buyback plan			(234)	(222)
Payment of debt issuance costs				(235)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(9,	873)	(7,105)	863

		2001		2002		2003
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		15,196		(5,611)		5,358
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		9,294		24,490		18,879
					_	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	24,490	\$	18,879	\$	24,237
Supplemental cash flow information:						
Cash paid for interest	\$	2,663	\$	1,060	\$	439
Cash paid (refunded) for income taxes		11,103		(5,104)		(5,705)
See accompanying notes to consolida	ted financial sta	atements.				
F-7						
1-7						

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data)

(1) Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation

TTM Technologies, Inc. (the "Company"), formerly Pacific Circuits, Inc., was incorporated under the laws of the State of Washington on March 20, 1978. In July 1999, Power Circuits, Inc. was acquired, and on December 26, 2002, Honeywell Advanced Circuits, Inc., renamed to TTM Advanced Circuits, Inc., ("Advanced Circuits") was acquired, and both became wholly-owned subsidiaries of TTM Technologies, Inc. TTM Technologies, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries are collectively referred to as "the Company." The Company is a manufacturer of complex printed circuit boards used in sophisticated electronic equipment. The Company sells to a variety of customers located both within and outside of the United States of America.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of TTM Technologies, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Power Circuits, Inc. and TTM Advanced Circuits, Inc. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Revenue Recognition

The Company derives its revenue primarily from the sale of printed circuit boards using customer supplied engineering and design plans and recognizes revenues when persuasive evidence of a sales arrangement exists, the sales terms are fixed and determinable, title and risk of loss has transferred, and collectibility is reasonably assured generally when products are shipped to the customer, except in situations in which title passes upon receipt of the products by the customer. In this case, revenues are recognized upon notification that customer receipt has occured. The Company does not have customer acceptance provisions, but it does provide its customers a limited right of return for defective printed circuit boards. The Company accrues an estimated amount for sales returns and allowances related to defective printed circuit boards at the time of sale based on its ability to estimate sales returns and allowances using historical information. As of December 31, 2002 and 2003, the reserve for sales returns and allowances was \$3,081 and \$2,994, respectively, which is included as a reduction to accounts receivable, net. For the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, the provision for sales returns and allowances, which is recorded as a reduction to net sales, was 1.0%, 1.4% and 1.6% of gross sales, respectively.

Shipping and handling fees are included as part of net sales. The related freight costs and supplies associated with shipping products to customers are included as a component of cost of goods sold.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers highly liquid investments with original maturities to the Company of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents consist primarily of interest-bearing bank accounts and short-term debt securities. As of December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Company had \$16,258 and \$22,458, respectively, in short-term interest-bearing securities.

Short-term Investments

The Company considers highly liquid investments with a maturity of more than three months and less than one year to be short-term investments. Debt securities that the Company has the ability and intent to hold until maturity are accounted for as held-to-maturity securities and are carried at amortized cost. Investments in held-to-maturity debt securities were carried at amortized cost which approximated fair market value and consist of corporate notes totaling \$3,995, federal agency securities totaling \$2,010, and municipal notes totaling \$1,503 at December 31, 2003.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (determined on a first-in, first-out basis) or market. Provision is made to reduce excess and obsolete inventories to their estimated net realizable value. Inventories as of December 31, 2002 and 2003 consist of the following:

		2002	2003		
	-				
Raw materials	9	\$ 2,956	\$	2,076	
Work-in-process		5,459		5,412	
Finished goods		2,070		1,129	
	•		_		
	9	\$ 10,485	\$	8,617	

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation expense is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The Company uses the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings and improvements	10 - 40 years
Machinery and equipment	3 - 10 years
Furniture and fixtures	5 - 7 years
Automobiles	5 years

Upon retirement or other disposition of property, plant and equipment, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts. The resulting gain or loss is included in the determination of income. Major renewals and betterments are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives while minor expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

Debt Issuance Costs

Debt issuance costs are amortized to expense over the period of the underlying indebtedness using the effective interest rate method, adjusted to give effect to any early repayments. During 2002, the Company repaid indebtedness in connection with the acquisition of Advanced Circuits and wrote off \$63 of unamortized debt issuance costs.

Goodwill

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," which requires that the Company allocate its goodwill to its various reporting units and determine the carrying value of those businesses. The Company's goodwill resulted from its acquisition of Power Circuits in July 1999. The Company has three reporting units consistent with the nature of its operations, however, all of its goodwill is allocated to one reporting unit. Goodwill is no longer amortized but is tested for impairment annually or more often if events or circumstances indicate a potential impairment exists. Goodwill is tested for impairment using a two-step process. The first step of the goodwill impairment test, used to identify potential impairment, compares the estimated fair value of the reporting unit containing goodwill with the related carrying amount. If the estimated fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, the reporting unit's goodwill is not considered to be impaired and the second step of the impairment test is unnecessary. If the reporting unit's carrying amount exceeds its estimated fair value, the second step test must be performed to measure the amount of the goodwill impairment loss, if any. The second step of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill, determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill recognized in a business combination, with the carrying amount of such goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess.

The fair value of the Company's Power Circuits reporting unit was determined using a combination of the income approach and the market approach. Under the income approach, the fair value of a reporting unit is calculated based on the present value of estimated future net cash flows. Under the market approach, fair value is estimated based on market multiples of earnings or similar measures for comparable companies.

Based on the results of its first step impairment tests, the Company determined that no impairment of goodwill existed as of January 1, 2002, the date of adoption of SFAS No. 142 and December 31, 2002 and 2003. However, future goodwill impairment tests could result in a charge to earnings. The Company will continue to evaluate goodwill on an annual basis as of the end of the fourth quarter, and whenever events and changes in circumstances indicate that there may be a potential impairment.

As required by SFAS No. 142, the following transitional disclosures are provided to demonstrate the result of operations as if the provisions of SFAS No. 142 would have been in effect at beginning of fiscal 2001:

	2001		2002			2003
Reported income (loss) before income taxes and extraordinary item Add back: goodwill amortization	\$	17,183 3,606	\$	(6,989)	\$	9,890
Adjusted income (loss) before income taxes and extraordinary item Adjusted income tax (provision) benefit		20,789 (7,487)		(6,989) 2,278		9,890 (3,901)
Adjusted income (loss) before extraordinary item Extraordinary gain		13,302		(4,711) 6,296		5,989 1,453
Adjusted net income	\$	13,302	\$	1,585	\$	7,442
Basic Earnings Per Share: Reported income (loss) before extraordinary item	\$	0.29	\$	(0.12)	\$	0.15
Goodwill amortization, net of income tax	Ψ	0.06	Ψ	(0.12)	Ψ	0.13
Adjusted income (loss) before extraordinary item		0.35		(0.12)		0.15
Extraordinary gain				0.16		0.04
Adjusted net income	\$	0.35	\$	0.04	\$	0.19
Diluted Earnings Per Share:						
Reported income (loss) before extraordinary item Goodwill amortization, net of income tax	\$	0.28 0.06	\$	(0.12)	\$	0.15
Goodwin amortization, net of income tax		0.00	_		_	
Adjusted income (loss) before extraordinary item		0.34		(0.12)		0.15
Extraordinary gain				0.16		0.03
Adjusted net income	\$	0.34	\$	0.04	\$	0.18

Definite-lived intangibles

Definite-lived intangible as of December 31, 2002 and 2003 consist of the following:

		2002		2003
	ф	10.000	ф	10.020
Strategic customer relationships	\$	18,029	\$	18,029
Licensing agreement	_		_	350
		18,029		18,379
Less accumulated amortization		(4,164)		(5,424)
Definite-lived intangibles, net	\$	13,865	\$	12,955

Strategic customer relationships are being amortized using the straight-line method over 15 years, and the licensing agreement for a manufacturing process is being amortized using the straight-line method over 3 years. Amortization expense was \$1,202, \$1,202 and \$1,260 in

2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

Long-lived tangible assets and definite lived intangible assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the book value of the asset or asset groups may not be recoverable. The Company evaluates, at each balance sheet date, whether events and circumstances have occurred that indicate possible impairment. The Company uses an estimate of the

F-11

future undiscounted net cash flows of the related asset or asset group over the remaining life in measuring whether the assets are recoverable. Measurement of the amount of impairment, if any, is based upon the difference between the asset's carrying value and estimated fair value.

For assets held for sale, the carrying value of these assets is compared to the estimated fair value, less the cost to sell, to determine if recognition of an impairment is required. Until the assets are disposed of, the estimated fair value is reevaluated when related events or circumstances change (see Note 4).

Judgments and assumptions are inherent in the Company's estimate of undiscounted future cash flows used to determine recoverability of an asset and the estimate of an asset's fair value used to calculate the amount of impairment to recognize. The use of alternate judgments and assumptions could result in the recognition of different levels of impairment charges in the financial statements.

Self Insurance

The Company is primarily self insured for group health insurance benefits provided to employees and purchases insurance to protect against annual claims per individual in excess of \$100 and at the aggregate level which varies with the number of employees and the health plans they select. Self insurance liabilities are estimated for claims incurred but not paid using historical information provided by our insurance carrier and other professionals. The Company accrued \$719 and \$2,084 for self insurance liabilities at December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, and these amounts are reflected within accrued salaries, wages and benefits in the accompanying December 31, 2002 and 2003 consolidated balance sheets. During 2003, the Company expanded its self insurance coverage to include employees of Advanced Circuits. Actual claims experience may differ from our estimates.

Income Taxes

The Company recognizes deferred tax assets or liabilities for expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax assets or liabilities are determined based upon the difference between the financial statements and income tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates expected to apply when differences are expected to be settled or realized. Deferred tax assets are reviewed for recoverability and the Company records a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share ("Basic EPS") excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share ("Diluted EPS") reflect the potential dilution that could occur if stock options or other common stock equivalents were exercised or converted into common stock.

The following is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used to calculate Basic EPS and Diluted EPS for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003:

			2001					2002	2003						
	Net	Income	Shares	Pe Sha		Net I	ncome	Shares		Per Share	Net	Income	Shares		Per nare
Basic EPS Effect of options and warrants	\$	10,994	37,482 1,417	\$	0.29	\$	1,585	39,511	\$	0.04	\$	7,442	39,993 1,130	\$	0.19
Diluted EPS	\$	10,994	38,899	\$	0.28	\$	1,585	39,511 F-12	\$	0.04	\$	7,442	41,123	\$	0.18

Stock options to purchase 451, 2,881 and 381 shares of common stock at December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively, were not considered in calculating Diluted EPS because the effect would be anti-dilutive.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock options issued to employees, officers and directors under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and the related interpretations and provides pro forma disclosures as required by SFAS No. 123. Had compensation cost been determined in accordance with SFAS No. 123, the Company's net income and earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, would have been changed to the following pro forma amounts:

		2001	2002			2003
	_		_		_	
Net income:						
As reported	\$	10,994	\$	1,585	\$	7,442
Add: Amortization of deferred compensation		63		54		139
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects		(1,742)		(2,107)		(2,482)
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$	9,315	\$	(468)	\$	5,099
Basic earnings per share:						
As reported	\$	0.29	\$	0.04	\$	0.19
Pro forma		0.25		(0.01)		0.13
Diluted earnings per share:						
As reported		0.28		0.04		0.18
Pro forma		0.24		(0.01)		0.12

For pro forma disclosure purposes, the estimated fair value of each option is amortized over the vesting term of the respective option and is determined on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The following weighted average assumptions were used for the grants during 2001, 2002 and 2003:

	2001	2002	2003
Expected dividend yield	%	%	%
Risk-free rate	4.7%	3.9%	3.1%
Expected life in years	5	5	5
Expected volatility	138%	119%	109%

The weighted average per share fair value of options granted was \$7.26, \$4.64 and \$9.24 for options granted in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

Significant Customers

The Company's customers include both original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs") and electronic manufacturing services companies ("EMS companies"). The Company's OEM customers often direct a significant portion of their purchases through EMS companies.

For the year ended December 31, 2001, one customer accounted for 11% of net sales. For the year ended December 31, 2002, no customer accounted for more than 10% of the net sales. For the year ended December 31, 2003, two customers accounted for 22% and 14% of the Company's net sales. Sales to our 10 largest customers were 42% and 64% of net sales for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively. The loss of one or more major customers or a decline in sales to the

Company's major customers would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations,

Concentration of Credit Risk

In the normal course of business, the Company extends credit to its customers, which are concentrated in the computer and electronics instrumentation industries, and some of which are located outside the United States. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of customers and does not require collateral. The Company makes judgments as to its ability to collect outstanding trade receivables when collection becomes doubtful. Provisions are made based upon a specific review of significant outstanding invoices, historical collection experience and current economic trends,

For the purposes of evaluating collection risk, the Company considers the credit risk profile of the entity from which the receivable is due. As of December 31, 2002, three customers in the aggregate accounted for 24% of total accounts receivable. As of December 31, 2003, five customers in the aggregate account for 56% of total accounts receivable. If one or more of the Company's significant customers were to become insolvent or were otherwise unable to pay for the manufacturing services provided, it would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and result of operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." In general, SFAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 149 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity". This Statement establishes standards for how a company classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. This Statement is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003 and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company adopted SFAS No. 150 in the third quarter 2003 and it did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In November 2002, the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force issued its consensus concerning Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables ("EITF 00-21"). EITF 00-21 addresses how to determine whether a revenue arrangement involving multiple deliverables should be divided into separate units of accounting, and, if separation is appropriate, how the arrangement consideration should be measured and allocated to the identified accounting units. The guidance in EITF 00-21 is effective for revenue arrangements entered into in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2003. The adoption of EITF 00-21 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Financial Interpretation No. 46 ("FIN No. 46"), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51," which addresses the consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities as defined therein and applies immediately to variable interests in variable interest entities created or obtained after January 31, 2003. With respect to variable interest entities created before January 31, 2003, in December 2003 the FASB issued FIN No. 46R which, among other things, revised the implementation date to first fiscal years or interim periods ending March 15, 2004, with the exception of Special Purpose Entities ("SPEs"). The consolidation requirements apply to all SPEs in the first fiscal year or interim period ending after December 15,

2003. We have determined that we do not have any variable interest entities or SPEs and accordingly, the adoption of FIN No. 46 did not impact us and the adoption of FIN No. 46R in the first quarter of 2004 will not impact us.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of assets and liabilities as reported on the balance sheets at December 31, 2002 and 2003, which qualify as financial instruments, approximate fair value.

Reclassifications

Expenditures for information technology salaries and expenses of approximately \$1,033 in 2002 have been reclassified from cost of goods sold to general and administrative expenses to conform to the 2003 presentation.

(3) Acquisition of Advanced Circuits

On December 26, 2002, the Company acquired the stock of Advanced Circuits from Honeywell International, Inc. ("Honeywell"). The purchase price was one dollar. The total cost of the acquisition was approximately \$860 which included \$540 paid to related parties (see Note 11) and \$320 of due diligence and other direct acquisition costs. The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Accordingly, results of operations of Advanced Circuits are included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition. The fair value of the net assets acquired exceeded the cost to purchase Advanced Circuits, resulting in negative goodwill. In accordance with SFAS No. 141, the amount of negative goodwill was allocated proportionately to reduce the assigned values of acquired assets except current assets and deferred income taxes. The remaining unallocated negative goodwill was recorded as an extraordinary gain.

The following sets forth the preliminary allocation made in 2002, after reducing the applicable assets to zero for the effect of negative goodwill, as of December 26, 2002:

Accounts receivable, net	\$ 10,126
Inventories, net	9,156
Other current assets	1,001
Property, plant and equipment	
Deferred income tax assets, net	
Intangible assets	
Accounts payable	(9,850)
Accrued expenses	(1,122)
Customer rebate obligation	(3,015)
Extraordinary gain recorded in 2002	\$ 6,296

In connection with the acquisition, the Company was entitled to a reimbursement from Honeywell if Advanced Circuit's working capital, as defined in the agreement, was less than \$13,900. At the closing date, the working capital was less than \$13,900, and the Company made a claim against Honeywell which is reflected as part of other current assets in the preceding table. Additionally, between December 26 and December 31, 2002, Honeywell collected approximately \$689 of the accounts receivable purchased by the Company. Honeywell remitted these collections to the Company subsequent to December 31, 2002. Both the claim against Honeywell and Honeywell's collections of accounts receivable purchased by the Company are reflected as prepaid expenses and other in the accompanying December 31, 2002 consolidated balance sheet. In the quarter ended March 31, 2003, the Company settled its claim against Honeywell in the amount of \$1,400 for the working capital shortfall, which amount was \$570 in excess of the estimated settlement as of December 31, 2002.

During 2003, the Company resolved several uncertainties concerning certain indemnifications which the Company and Honeywell agreed to resolve subsequent to the closing. The Company also finalized certain preliminary estimates of fair value related to assets acquired and liabilities assumed that were made as of December 31, 2002. In accordance with SFAS No. 141, the Company recorded an additional extraordinary gain of \$1,453 in 2003, of which \$570 related to the working capital shortfall as discussed above.

In connection with the acquisition, the Company became contractually responsible for a rebate obligation to one of Advanced Circuit's customers. Honeywell is responsible to reimburse the Company quarterly for a portion of the rebate obligation when invoiced by the Company. The rebate is based on a percent of net sales to this customer. The Company estimated the amount and timing of future net sales to this customer and applied a discount rate to reflect the net present value of the estimated rebates over the period of time in which the rebates are expected to be paid. The long-term and current portions of the rebate are carried as other long-term liabilities, less current portion and current portion other long-term liabilities, respectively in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

In connection with the acquisition, the Company entered into a transition services agreement with Honeywell, whereby Honeywell continued to provide some of the infrastructure services such as various information systems assistance, payroll processing and employee benefits programs for approximately 90 days that had been previously provided to Advanced Circuits. The Company paid approximately \$103 in 2003 for services under the transition services agreement.

The unaudited pro forma information below presents the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002 as if the Advanced Circuits acquisition occurred at the beginning of 2001, after giving effect to certain adjustments (to remove expenses related to assets not acquired and liabilities not assumed and interest expense and amortization of deferred financing costs related to the incremental pay down of debt and the related income tax effects). The pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and do not purport to be indicative of what would have occurred had the acquisition been made at the beginning of 2001 or of the results which may occur in the future.

	2001	2002		
Net sales	\$ 361,169	\$ 220,567		
Loss before extraordinary item	(22,377)	(59,909)		
Basic and diluted loss per share before extraordinary item	\$ (0.60)	\$ (1.52)		

(4) Restructuring Charges

During the second quarter of 2002, the Company reduced its labor force at its Burlington, Washington, facility. During the fourth quarter of 2002, the Company consolidated manufacturing capabilities by closing its Burlington, Washington facility to better manage and control its business. As a result, the Company recorded \$3,859 in total restructuring charges in 2002. These charges included the impairment of the building, property and equipment of \$1,838, employee termination and severance of \$1,459 and other exit costs of \$562 associated with the facility closure. The Company terminated a total of 193 employees which were primarily involved in the manufacturing process. During the first quarter of 2003, a \$203 restructuring charge was taken for severance and other exit charges primarily in connection with the lay off of 45 employees at the Company's Redmond, Washington facility.

During 2002, the Company designated building and equipment with a remaining net book value of \$2,797 as assets held for sale. During 2003, the Company reviewed the fair value of the remaining assets held for sale for possible impairment and recorded an additional impairment charge of \$446. At December 31, 2003, the carrying value of the remaining assets held for sale was \$2,308. These assets have been stated at their estimated fair value less selling costs and the Company is actively marketing

the assets for sale. Due to the inherent uncertainty of the estimates involved, the value of the assets held for sale could change in the near term which could result in an additional impairment charge. The chart below shows the additions to and utilization of the accrued restructuring charges during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003.

	Severance			Other Exit Charges	Impairment Charge			Total
2002 Charge	\$	1,459	\$	562	\$	1,838	\$	3,859
Utilization		(1,134)		(358)		(1,838)		(3,330)
			-		_		-	
Accrued at December 31, 2002		325		204				529
2003 Charge		200		3		446		649
Utilization		(525)		(117)		(446)		(1,088)
			_				_	
Accrued at December 31, 2003	\$		\$	90	\$		\$	90

(5) Long-Term Debt

The Company's senior credit facility ("Credit Facility") consists of a term loan and a \$25,000 revolving loan commitment. As of December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Company had \$10,000 and \$7,777, respectively, outstanding on the term loan. As of December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Company did not have any balance outstanding on the revolving loan.

The term loan is payable in equal quarterly installments with the final maturity on September 30, 2005. On December 30, 2002, a prepayment was made on the term loan of \$14,469 in connection with the closing of the acquisition of Advanced Circuits. The revolving loan commitment expires on September 29, 2005. Interest on the revolving loan and the term loan ranges from LIBOR plus 1.5% to 2.5%, or the Alternate Base Rate (as defined in the Credit Facility) plus 0.5% to 1.0%. The amount added to the LIBOR rate or the Alternate Base Rate varies depending upon the Company's leverage ratios as defined in the agreement. At December 31, 2003, the term loan had a weighted average interest rate of 2.71%. The Company pays a quarterly commitment fee ranging from 0.30% to 0.45% on the unused revolving commitment amount. The term loan and the revolving loan are secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company.

The Credit Facility, as amended, contains certain financial and other covenants. These covenants include capital expenditure limits, leverage and fixed charge coverage ratios, consolidated EBITDA and various other covenants. At December 31, 2003, the Company was in compliance with these covenants. In connection with the 2002 acquisition of Advanced Circuits, the Company and its lenders amended the Credit Facility in April 2003 to subject the revolving loan commitment to a borrowing base. At December 31, 2003, the Company's available borrowing capacity under the revolving loan was \$16,905.

The aggregate amount of principal maturities of long-term debt at December 31, 2003 are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,		
2004	\$	4,444
2004 2005		3,333
	_	
	\$	7,777

(6) Common Stock Transactions

Secondary Offering

The Company completed a secondary offering in February 2002 and sold a total of 7,245 shares, including the overallotment option of 945 shares, of common stock (2,025 sold by the Company and

5,220 shares sold by the selling shareholders) at a price of \$8.50 per share. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$15,283, after the underwriting discounts of \$0.446 per share and other secondary offering expenses of approximately \$1,027, which includes a \$258 financial advisory fee paid to TC Management, L.L.C., TC Management IV, L.L.C., and Brockway Moran & Partners Management, L.P. (see Note 11).

The Company completed a secondary offering in September 2003 and sold a total of 12,650 shares, including the overallotment option of 1,650 shares, of common stock (200 sold by the Company and 12,450 shares sold by the selling shareholders) at a price of \$12.00 per share. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$1,724, after the underwriting discounts of \$0.63 per share and other secondary offering expenses of approximately \$550.

Stock Repurchase Plan

In October of 2002, the Board of Directors authorized a repurchase of the Company's common stock up to \$10,000. During the year ended December 31, 2002, the Company repurchased and retired 122 shares for a total cost of approximately \$200.

(7) Income Taxes

The components of the benefit (provision) for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 are:

	2001		2002		2003
Current benefit (provision):					
Federal	\$	(3,674)	\$	5,284	\$ 467
State		(2)		(2)	(141)
Total current		(3,676)		5,282	326
Deferred benefit (provision):					
Federal		(2,457)		(2,937)	(3,733)
State		(56)		(67)	(494)
Total deferred		(2,513)	_	(3,004)	(4,227)
Total benefit (provision)	\$	(6,189)	\$	2,278	\$ (3,901)

The following is a reconciliation between the statutory federal income tax rate and the Company's effective income tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 which are derived by dividing the benefit (provision) for income taxes by (loss) income before income taxes and extraordinary item:

2001	2002	2003
(34.0)%	34.0%	(34.0)%
		(4.5)
(2.0)	(1.4)	(0.9)
(36.0)%	32.6%	(39.4)%
	(34.0)%	(34.0)% 34.0% (2.0) (1.4)

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax

purposes. The significant components of the net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2002 and 2003 are as follows:

		2002		2003
Deferred tax assets:				
Tax goodwill related to recapitalization	\$	20,203	\$	18,102
Property, plant and equipment basis differences		7,357		3,711
Reserves and accruals		3,196		4,189
Net operating loss carryforwards				5,767
State tax credits carryforwards				1,713
Alternative minimum tax credit carryover				144
	_			
		30,756		33,626
Less valuation allowance		(11,578)		(17,006)
		19,178		16,620
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Goodwill and intangible asset amortization from Power Circuits, Inc. acquisition		(2,869)		(4,538)
			_	
		(2,869)		(4,538)
			_	
Net deferred income tax assets	\$	16,309	\$	12,082
			_	
Current portion	\$	1,867	\$	1,739
Long-term portion		14,442		10,343

The primary deferred tax asset, tax goodwill related to recapitalization, is being amortized over a 15-year period in accordance with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code ("Code"). As a result of the Company's recapitalization in 1998, the Company became a C Corporation and the tax effect of all differences between the tax reporting and financial reporting bases of the Company's net assets was recorded as a net deferred tax asset. The most significant basis difference resulted from a Code section 338(h)(10) tax election made at the time of the recapitalization. This election had the effect of characterizing the recapitalization and stock purchase as an asset purchase for income tax purposes. Therefore, the consideration paid to the former owner in excess of tax basis of the net assets was recorded as tax-deductible goodwill, even though no goodwill was reported for financial reporting purposes.

At December 31, 2003, the Company's federal and state net operating loss carryforwards for income tax purposes were approximately \$15,063 and \$19,322, respectively. If not utilized, the federal net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2023, and the state net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2012. Approximately \$119 of the state credit carryovers will begin to expire in 2007, with no expirations on the remaining \$1,594.

Approximately \$3,812 of the federal net operating loss carryforwards is a result of the tax benefit from the 2003 exercise of employee stock options. This resulted in additional deferred tax assets of approximately \$1,296 for which a full valuation allowance has been provided as of December 31, 2003. Accordingly, there was no net impact on shareholders' equity for the year ended December 31, 2003 related to the tax benefit for the exercise of employee stock options. Upon realization of this tax benefit, the reduction in the provided valuation allowance will be reflected as an increase to shareholders' equity.

A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The increase in the valuation allowance during the year ended December 31, 2003 is due primarily to purchase accounting adjustments to the preliminary estimates of deferred income taxes for the basis differences of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in

connection with the acquisition of Advanced Circuits in December 2002 and the valuation allowance provided for the tax benefit from the exercise of employee stock options in 2003.

The Company has determined that a valuation allowance is necessary for the deferred tax assets that are not more likely than not to be realized based on estimates of sources of taxable income. It is possible that the Company's estimates could change in the near term and it may become necessary to record either a full or partial decrease or increase to the valuation allowance in future periods, which would either positively or negatively effect the Company's results of operations, respectively.

(8) Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Leases

The Company leases land, a sales office and equipment under noncancellable operating leases. The land leased by the Company relates to the building in Burlington, Washington that has been classified as held for sale. As of December 31, 2003, future minimum lease payments totaled \$699 and were due as follows: 2004-\$189; 2005-\$136; 2006-\$56; 2007-\$17; 2008-\$17; and thereafter \$284.

Total rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 was approximately \$106, \$24 and \$281 respectively.

Legal Matters

During 2001, the Company was advised that it has been added as a defendant in a patent infringement lawsuit filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona by Lemelson Medical, Education and Research Foundation, Limited Partnership. The suit alleges that the Company has infringed certain "machine vision" and other patents owned by the plaintiff and seeks injunctive relief, unspecified damages for the alleged infringements and payment of the plaintiff's attorneys' fees. Although the ultimate outcome of this matter is not currently determinable, management believes the Company has meritorious defenses to these allegations and, based in part on the licensing terms offered by the Lemelson Partnership, does not expect this litigation to materially impact the Company's results of operations, financial condition or liquidity. Accordingly, the Company has not established a reserve. However, there can be no assurance that the ultimate resolution of this matter will not have a material adverse effect. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that the Company will prevail in any such litigation.

The Company is subject to various other legal matters, which it considers normal for its business activities. Management believes, after consultation with legal counsel, that these matters will not have a material impact on the financial condition, liquidity or results of operations of the Company.

Environmental Matters

The process to manufacture printed circuit boards requires adherence to city, county, state and federal environmental regulations regarding the storage, use, handling and disposal of chemicals, solid wastes and other hazardous materials as well as air quality standards. Management believes that its facilities comply in all material respects with environmental laws and regulations. The Company has in the past received certain notices of violations and has been required to engage in certain minor corrective activities. There can be no assurance that violations will not occur in the future.

(9) Stock-Based Compensation Plans

The Company has adopted the Management Stock Option Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan, as amended in 2000, provides for issuance of a maximum of 5,600 shares of the Company's common stock. Stock options may be granted as "Incentive Stock Options" (as defined by the Internal Revenue Code and awards) or nonqualified options. The exercise price is determined by the compensation

committee of the Board of Directors and may not be less than the fair market value at the date of the grant. Each option and award shall vest and expire as determined by the compensation committee. Options expire no later than ten years from the grant date. The Plan expires on December 1, 2008. A summary of stock option activity is as follows:

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2000	2,924 \$	4.63
Granted	172	8.15
Exercised	(293)	2.77
Forfeited	(214)	2.63
Outstanding at December 31, 2001	2,589	5.24
Granted	666	5.59
Exercised	(179)	2.63
Forfeited	(195)	5.08
Outstanding at December 31, 2002	2,881	5.49
Granted	1,399	11.73
Exercised	(551)	2.90
Forfeited	(213)	5.76
Outstanding at December 31, 2003	3,516 \$	8.37
Exercisable at December 31, 2003	1,262 \$	5.49

As of December 31, 2003, 2,876 of the 3,516 options outstanding vest equally over five years from the grant date. Options to purchase 32 shares vest on the fifth anniversary of the date of grant. Options to purchase 608 shares vest on the eighth anniversary of the date of grant ("Cliff Vest Options"); however, upon the occurrence of certain events including a sale of shares by the majority shareholder or a merger, a portion of these options will vest earlier based upon the annual rate of return of the common stock, as defined in the agreement.

As a result of sales of the Company's common stock in 2003 by the Company's majority shareholder, 500 of the Cliff Vest Options vested.

A summary of options outstanding and options exercisable as of December 31, 2003 is as follows:

Options Outstanding						Options Exercisable					
Range of Exercise Prices		Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)		Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable		Weighted Average Exercise Price			
\$	0.00 - \$4.99	1,695	6.9	\$	2.98	955	\$	2.64			
\$	5.00 - \$9.99	107	7.4		7.07	31		7.44			
\$	10.00 - \$14.99	1,333	9.6		13.13	48		10.95			
\$	15.00 and over	381	6.7		16.00	228		16.00			
		3,516	7.9	\$	8.37	1,262	\$	5.49			

During the year ended December 31, 2000, the Company granted 268 options with exercise prices of \$2.63 per share, which was less than the fair value of the common stock at the date of the grant. These grants resulted in deferred stock-based compensation of \$322, which is being amortized over the vesting terms of the options. During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, the amortization was \$52, \$54 and \$139, respectively.

(10) Employee Benefit Plan

The Company has a 401(k) savings plan ("Plan") under which all eligible full-time employees may participate and contribute a percentage of compensation subject to the maximum allowed by the Code. The Plan provides for a discretionary matching contribution of a uniform percent of each participant's annual contribution. However, in applying the uniform percent, only contributions up to 4% of each participant's compensation shall be considered. The Company accrued contributions under the Plan and predecessor plans of \$141, \$207 and \$293 during the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

(11) Related-Party Transactions

The Company has an agreement with TC Management, L.L.C., TC Management IV, L.L.C. and Brockway Moran & Partners Management, L.P. (collectively, "the Equity Sponsors"), entities owned by certain of the Company's shareholders, which obligates the Company to pay these entities a financial advisory fee of 1.5% of the first \$50,000 of the proceeds or value of any transaction with respect to which the three entities render financial advisory services to the Company, and 1% of any amount of proceeds or value in excess of \$50,000 until such time as these entities and their affiliates, on a combined basis, own less than 25% of the total outstanding voting capital stock of the Company.

In connection with the secondary offering in February 2002, the Company paid the Equity Sponsors a \$258 financial advisory fee.

In connection with the purchase of Advanced Circuits, the Company paid the Equity Sponsors a \$500 financial advisory fee and \$40 for certain out-of-pocket expenses which were accounted for as direct acquisition costs.

In connection with the secondary offering in September 2003 (Note 6), the Equity Sponsors and their affiliates no longer own 25% of the total outstanding voting capital stock of the Company, and the Company is no longer obligated to pay the financial advisory fee previously discussed.

The Company had a consulting and management services agreement with Pyxis Partnership, an entity controlled by Kenneth L. Shirley, one of the Company's directors through November 2002. Pyxis provided certain management and consulting services typical of those provided by a Chief Operating Officer. These services were rendered on behalf of Pyxis primarily by Mr. Shirley. During 2002, the Company paid Pyxis approximately \$168 for these services.

The Company leased facilities from Harbor Building, LLC, a business owned by the former owners of Power Circuits, Inc. and existing shareholders and employees of the Company. In December 2000, the Company exercised an option to purchase the facilities for approximately \$3,413. During the year ended December 31, 2001 an additional \$106 was paid per prior rental agreements.

(12) Preferred Stock

The board of directors has the authority, without action to stockholders, to designate and issue preferred stock in one or more series. The board of directors may also designate the rights, preferences and privileges of each series of preferred stock; any or all of which may be superior to the rights of the common stock. As of December 31, 2003, no shares of preferred stock are outstanding.

(13) Foreign Sales

Sales representing more than 1% of the Company's net sales by country for the years ended 2001, 2002 and 2003, are as follows:

		2001		2002		2003
	_		_		_	
United States	\$	120,486	\$	83,541	\$	129,638
Malaysia				1,661		19,985
Italy						13,530
Canada		1,696		1,095		9,214
China		4,019				2,939
Other		2,788		2,692		5,011
	_		_		_	
Total	\$	128,989	\$	88,989	\$	180,317

(14) Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

The Company uses a 13-week fiscal quarter accounting period with the first quarter ending on the Monday closest to April 1 and the fourth quarter always ending on December 31. The first and fourth quarters of 2002 and 2003 contained 91 and 92 days, and 90 and 93 days, respectively. Certain 2002 amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2003 presentation.

	First Quarter			Second Quarter		Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
			_		_		
Year Ended December 31, 2002:							
Net sales	\$	23,734	\$	23,287	\$	20,557	\$ 21,411
Gross profit		2,595		2,192		3,101	2,645
Loss before extraordinary item		(278)		(1,284)		(369)	(2,780)
Net income (loss)		(278)		(1,284)		(369)	3,516
Loss per share before extraordinary item:							
Basic and diluted	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.03)	\$	(0.01)	\$ (0.07)
Net income (loss) per share:		, ,		, ,		`	, í
Basic and diluted	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.03)	\$	(0.01)	\$ 0.09
Year Ended December 31, 2003:							
Net sales	\$	39,634	\$	41,047	\$	45,327	\$ 54,309
Gross profit		4,526		6,446		9,456	14,195
Income (loss) before extraordinary item		(974)		432		2,287	4,244
Net income (loss)		(150)		432		2,505	4,655
Income (loss) per share before extraordinary							
item:							
Basic	\$	(0.02)	\$	0.01	\$	0.06	\$ 0.11
Diluted	\$	(0.02)	\$	0.01	\$	0.06	\$ 0.10
Net income (loss) per share:							
Basic	\$	(0.00)	\$	0.01	\$	0.06	\$ 0.12
Diluted	\$	(0.00)	\$	0.01	\$	0.06	\$ 0.11
				F-23			

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

The Board of Directors and Shareholders TTM Technologies, Inc.:

Under date of January 28, 2004, we reported on the consolidated balance sheets of TTM Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended, which are included in the TTM Technologies, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K. In connection with our audits of the aforementioned consolidated financial statements, we also audited the related consolidated financial schedule as it relates to the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003 included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of TTM Technologies, Inc. This consolidated financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this consolidated financial statement schedule based on our audits. The consolidated financial statement schedule for the year ended December 31, 2001 was audited by other auditors who have ceased operations. Those auditors expressed an unqualified opinion on the 2001 consolidated financial statement schedule in their report dated January 24, 2002.

In our opinion, the 2002 and 2003 consolidated financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, as of January 1, 2002.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Salt Lake City, Utah
January 28, 2004

S-1

The following is a copy of the audit report previously issued by Arthur Andersen LLP in connection with the Company's consolidated financial statement schedule as of December 31, 2001 and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2001. Arthur Andersen LLP has not reissued this audit report since Arthur Andersen LLP has ceased operations.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To TTM Technologies, Inc.:

We have audited in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States, the consolidated financial statements included in TTM Technologies, Inc.'s Form 10-K, and have issued our report thereon dated January 24, 2002. Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The schedule listed in Item 14(a)(2) is the responsibility of the Company's management and is presented for purposes of complying with the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and is not part of the basic financial statements. This schedule has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, fairly states in all material respects the financial data required to be set forth therein in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

/s/ ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP	
Salt Lake City, Utah January 24, 2002	S-2

SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

(In Thousands)

Description	Balance at Beginning of Year	Additions for Acquisition of Advanced Circuits, Inc.	Additions Charged to Costs and Expenses		Balance at End of Year
Year ended December 31, 2003					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 927	\$	\$ 262	\$ (449)	740
Allowance for sales credits	3,081		2,930	(3,017)	2,994
Allowance for excess and obsolete inventories	3,293		649	(2,409)(a)	1,533
Year ended December 31, 2002					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 1,379	\$ 332	\$ 85	\$ (869)(b) S	927
Allowance for sales credits	1,433	1,937	1,238	(1,527)	3,081
Allowance for excess and obsolete inventories	98	3,243	191	(239)	3,293
Year ended December 31, 2001					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 2,026	\$	\$ 30	\$ (677)(c) S	1,379
Allowance for sales credits	1,674		1,301	(1,542)	1,433

- (a) Includes reversal of \$692 of reserve established in prior year.
- (b) Includes reversal of \$166 of reserve established in prior years.
- (c) Includes reversal of \$374 of reserve established in prior years.

S-3

QuickLinks

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Risk Factors That May Affect Future Results

Risks Related to Our Company

PART II

PART III

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Option Grants In Last Fiscal Year

PART IV

SIGNATURES

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedule

Independent Auditors' Report

Report of Independent Public Accountants

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Consolidated Balance Sheets As of December 31, 2002 and 2003 (In thousands)

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Consolidated Statements of Operations For the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 (In thousands, except per share data)

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity For the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 (In thousands)

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 (In thousands)

TTM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data)

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS For the Years Ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 (In Thousands)