

HENRY JACK & ASSOCIATES INC  
Form DEF 14A  
October 03, 2014

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of  
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
(Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant   
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant   
Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-11(c) or §240.14a-12

JACK HENRY & ASSOCIATES, INC.  
(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
  - Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
    - 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
    - 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
    - 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
    - 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
    - 5) Total fee paid:
  - Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
  - Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
    - 1) Amount Previously Paid:
    - 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
    - 3) Filing Party:
    - 4) Date Filed:
-

JACK HENRY & ASSOCIATES, INC.  
663 Highway 60, P.O. Box 807  
Monett, Missouri 65708

NOTICE OF 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS OF JACK HENRY & ASSOCIATES, INC.:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Jack Henry & Associates, Inc., a Delaware corporation, will be held in the Company's Executive Conference Center, lower level (Building J-7) at the Company Headquarters, 663 Highway 60, Monett, Missouri, on Thursday, November 13, 2014, 11:00 a.m. local time, for the following purposes:

- (1) To elect seven (7) directors to serve until the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders;
- (2) To approve the compensation of our named executive officers;
- (3) To ratify the selection of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm; and
- (4) To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any adjournments thereof.

The close of business on September 19, 2014, has been fixed as the record date for the Annual Meeting. Only stockholders of record as of that date will be entitled to notice of and to vote at said meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The accompanying form of Proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors of the Company. The attached Proxy Statement contains further information with respect to the business to be transacted at the Annual Meeting.

**ALL STOCKHOLDERS ARE INVITED TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON. WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND, PLEASE DATE AND SIGN THE ENCLOSED PROXY. IF YOU DECIDE TO ATTEND THE MEETING, YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY AND VOTE YOUR SHARES IN PERSON.**

By Order of the Board of Directors

/s/ Robert T. Schendel  
Secretary

Monett, Missouri  
October 3, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Voting	1
Stock Ownership of Certain Stockholders	2
Election of Directors (Proposal 1)	4
Corporate Governance	8
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	12
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	13
Audit Committee Report	13
Executive Officers and Significant Employees	14
Compensation Committee Report	15
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	15
Compensation and Risk	24
Executive Compensation	25
Equity Compensation Plan Information	28
Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation (Proposal 2)	29
Ratification of the Selection of the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Proposal 3)	29
Stockholder Proposals	31
Cost of Solicitation and Proxies	31
Financial Statements	31
Other Matters	31

---

JACK HENRY & ASSOCIATES, INC.  
663 Highway 60, P.O. Box 807  
Monett, Missouri 65708

PROXY STATEMENT  
FOR THE 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS  
To Be Held Thursday, November 13, 2014

This Proxy Statement and the enclosed proxy card (the Proxy) are furnished to the stockholders of Jack Henry & Associates, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the Company), in connection with the solicitation of Proxies by the Company's Board of Directors for use at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and any adjournment or postponement thereof (the Annual Meeting), to be held in the Company's Executive Conference Center, lower level (Building J-7) at the Company headquarters, 663 Highway 60, Monett, Missouri, at 11:00 a.m. local time, on Thursday, November 13, 2014. The mailing of this Proxy Statement, the Proxy, the Notice of Annual Meeting and the accompanying 2014 Annual Report to Stockholders is expected to commence on or about October 3, 2014.

The Board of Directors does not intend to bring any matters before the Annual Meeting except those indicated in the Notice and does not know of any matter which anyone else proposes to present for action at the Annual Meeting. If any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, however, the persons named in the accompanying form of Proxy, or their duly constituted substitutes, acting at the Annual Meeting, will be deemed authorized to vote or otherwise to act thereon in accordance with their judgment on such matters.

If the enclosed Proxy is properly executed and returned prior to voting at the Annual Meeting, the shares represented thereby will be voted in accordance with the instructions marked thereon.

Any stockholder executing a Proxy retains the power to revoke it at any time prior to the voting of the Proxy. It may be revoked by a stockholder personally appearing at the Annual Meeting and casting a contrary vote, by filing an instrument of revocation with the Secretary of the Company, or by the presentation at the Annual Meeting of a duly executed later dated Proxy.

## VOTING

At the 2014 Annual Meeting, Stockholders will consider and vote upon:

- (1) The election of seven (7) directors;
- (2) Approval of the compensation of our named executive officers;
- (3) Ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015; and
- (4) Such other matters as may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on September 19, 2014, the record date for the Annual Meeting, are entitled to notice of and to vote at such meeting.

The Company's authorized capital stock currently consists of 250,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share (the Common Stock), and 500,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share (the Preferred Stock). As of September

1

---

12, 2014, there were 81,790,490 shares of Common Stock outstanding and no shares of Preferred Stock outstanding. At such date, our executive officers and directors were entitled to vote, or to direct the voting of, shares of Common Stock representing 1.3% of the shares entitled to vote at the 2014 Annual Meeting. Unless otherwise specified, all share numbers and other share data have been adjusted to reflect all prior stock splits.

All shares represented by Proxy and all Proxies solicited hereunder will be voted in accordance with the specifications made by the stockholders executing such Proxies. If a stockholder does not specify how a Proxy is to be voted, the shares represented thereby will be voted: (1) FOR the election as directors of the seven (7) persons nominated by the Board of Directors; (2) FOR approval of the compensation of our named executive officers; (3) FOR ratification of the selection of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm; and (4) upon other matters that may properly come before the Annual Meeting, in accordance with the discretion of the persons to whom the Proxy is granted.

Each share of our Common Stock outstanding on the record date will be entitled to one vote on each matter.

In an uncontested election, a Director nominee must be elected by a majority of the votes cast, in person or by proxy, regarding the election of that Director nominee. A "majority of the votes cast" for the purposes of Director elections means that the number of votes cast "For" a Director nominee's election exceeds the number of votes cast as "Withhold" for that particular Director nominee. If an incumbent Director is not re-elected in an uncontested election and no successor is elected at the same meeting, the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines require that such Director must offer to tender his or her resignation to the Board.

In a contested election, which occurs when the number of Director nominees exceeds the number of open seats on the Board, Director nominees will be elected by a plurality of the shares represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. A "plurality" means that the open seats on the Board will be filled by those Director nominees who received the most affirmative votes, regardless of whether those Director nominees received a majority of the votes cast with respect to their election.

At the Annual Meeting, the election of Directors is considered to be uncontested because we have not been notified of any other nominees as required by our Restated and Amended Bylaws. To be elected, each Director nominee must receive a majority of votes cast regarding that nominee.

The advisory votes with respect to the compensation of our named executive officers and the ratification of the selection of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Common Stock present at the Annual Meeting in person or by proxy and entitled to vote.

Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the election of Directors. For the purpose of determining whether the stockholders have approved matters other than the election of directors, abstentions are treated as shares present or represented and voting, so abstaining has the same effect as a negative vote. Shares held by brokers that do not have discretionary authority to vote on a particular matter and that have not received voting instructions from their customers are not counted or deemed to be present or represented for the purpose of determining whether stockholders have approved that matter, but they are counted as present for the purpose of determining the existence of a quorum at the annual meeting. Please note that banks and brokers that have not received voting instructions from their clients cannot vote on their clients' behalf on "non-routine" proposals.

## STOCK OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN STOCKHOLDERS

The following table sets forth information as of September 12, 2014, concerning the equity ownership of (a) those individuals who are known to be the beneficial owners, as defined in Rule 13d-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, of 5% or more

2

---

of the Company's Common Stock, (b) the directors, (c) the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table and (d) all of our directors and executive officers as a group:

Title of Class	Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned (1)	Percentage of Shares Outstanding (1)
\$.01 par value Common Stock	The Vanguard Group 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	6,368,205 (2)	7.4%
	BlackRock Inc. 40 East 52 <sup>nd</sup> St New York, NY 10022	5,110,269 (3)	6.0%
	JPMorgan Chase & Co. 270 Park Ave. New York, NY 10017	5,067,234 (4)	5.9%
	Tony L. Wormington	597,930 (5)	*
	John F. Prim	206,494 (6)	*
	Kevin D. Williams	86,430 (7)	*
	Marla K. Shepard	48,581 (8)	*
	Matthew C. Flanigan	45,981 (9)	*
	David B. Foss	32,229 (10)	*
	Mark S. Forbis	23,431 (11)	*
	Thomas A Wimsett	14,981 (12)	*
	Thomas H. Wilson, Jr.	11,081 (13)	*
	Jacque R. Fiegel	8,189 (14)	*
	Laura G. Kelly	3,820 (15)	*
	All directors and executive officers as a group (11 persons)	1,019,147 (16)	1.3%

\* Less than 1%

Information is set forth as of September 12, 2014. The persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of Common Stock shown as beneficially owned by them, except as noted below. (1) With respect to shares held in the Company's 401(k) Plan (the "Retirement Plan"), a participant has the right to direct the disposition of shares allocated to his account. With respect to restricted shares, the executive officers have sole voting power but have no investment or dispositive power until the restrictions lapse.



- (2) According to a Schedule 13G/A filed February 11, 2014, The Vanguard Group, Inc. has shared dispositive power with respect to 48,076 shares, and sole dispositive power with respect to 6,320,129 shares.
- (3) According to a Schedule 13G/A filed January 29, 2014, BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 5,110,269 shares.
- (4) According to a Schedule 13G/A filed January 17, 2014, JPMorgan Chase & Co has shared dispositive power with respect to 25,039 shares, and sole dispositive power with respect to 5,039,395 shares.
- (5) Includes 44,384 shares held in the Retirement Plan for Mr. Wormington's account. Mr. Wormington retired on June 30, 2014.
- (6) Includes 25,206 shares held in the Retirement Plan for Mr. Prim's account and 11,552 restricted shares.
- (7) Includes 10,272 shares held in the Retirement Plan for Mr. Williams's account and 8,581 restricted shares.
- (8) Includes 30,000 shares that are currently acquirable by exercise of outstanding stock options and 6,581 restricted shares.
- (9) Includes 30,000 shares that are currently acquirable by exercise of outstanding stock options and 6,581 restricted shares.
- (10) Includes 4,207 shares held in the Retirement Plan for Mr. Foss' account, and 5,000 restricted shares.
- (11) Includes 14,077 shares held in the Retirement Plan for Mr. Forbis's account.
- (12) Includes 5,648 restricted shares.
- (13) Includes 6,348 restricted shares.
- (14) Includes 5,648 restricted shares.
- (15) Includes 2,820 restricted shares.
- (16) Includes 60,000 shares that are currently acquirable under outstanding stock options, 98,146 shares held in the Retirement Plan for the accounts of the executive officers and 58,759 restricted shares held by executive officers and directors.

## PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

### Procedure

At the meeting, the stockholders will elect seven (7) directors to hold office for one-year terms ending at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until their successors are elected and qualified. The Board of Directors has nominated the Company's seven (7) current directors for reelection at the Annual Meeting.

The stockholders are entitled to one vote per share on each matter submitted to vote at any meeting of the Stockholders. Unless contrary instructions are given, the persons named in the enclosed Proxy or their substitutes will vote "FOR" the election of the nominees named below.

Each of the nominees has consented to serve as director. However, if any nominee at the time of election is unable to serve or is otherwise unavailable for election, and as a result other nominees are designated by the Board of Directors, the persons named in the enclosed Proxy or their substitutes intend to vote for the election of such designated nominees.

### Director Qualifications and Selection

Under the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Governance Committee is charged with the responsibility for determining the appropriate skills and characteristics required of Board members and are to consider such factors as experience, strength of character, maturity of judgment, technical skills, diversity, and age in assessing the needs of the Board. The Guidelines specify that a majority of the members shall qualify as independent under applicable NASDAQ listing standards. While the term "diversity" is not specifically defined in the Guidelines and there is no

formal policy regarding application of the term, it has been the practice of the Governance Committee to apply the term broadly, resulting in Board composition

4

---

over the years that has reflected diversity in race, sex and age, as well as diversity in business experience and in representation of the markets served by the Company.

While the Company has a nomination policy by which stockholders may recommend to the Governance Committee certain prospective directors for consideration (See “Corporate Governance - Nomination Policy,” below), to date no such recommendation has ever been received. If such a recommendation is received in the future, it will be evaluated in the same manner as any other recommendation to the Governance Committee. Prior to 2013, the Governance Committee nomination process was informal and consisted of members of the Committee soliciting suggestions of possible candidates from other Board members and management, contacting candidates to determine interest level, and in-person interviews to determine “fit.” In 2013, the Governance Committee retained Korn/Ferry International to aid the Committee in identifying and recruiting appropriate Board candidates and implemented a more formal process of screening of recommendations, followed by telephone and in-person interviews, background checks and Governance Committee evaluation and nomination. Laura Kelly, appointed to the Board in September, 2013, was first identified as a director candidate by Korn/Ferry. The Governance Committee will in the future continue to use a mix of formal and informal processes to identify appropriate candidates for the Board.

#### Nominees for Election

The nominees for re-election as directors of the Company, as well as certain information about them, are as follows:

Name	Position with Company	Director Since
John F. Prim	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2007
Matthew C. Flanigan	Vice Chairman and Lead Director	2007
Marla K. Shepard	Director	2007
Thomas H. Wilson, Jr.	Director	2012
Jacque R. Fiegel	Director	2012
Thomas A. Wimsett	Director	2012
Laura G. Kelly	Director	2013

We believe that all of the Company’s directors possess required common attributes such as good judgment, intelligence, strategic perspective, financial literacy and business experience. They each exhibit a strong commitment of time and attention to their roles as directors. We also have sought certain specific skills and backgrounds in our directors to provide an array of expertise in the Board. The chart below summarizes certain specific qualifications, attributes and skills for each director. A check mark indicates a specific area of focus or expertise of a director on which the Board relies, but a lack of a check mark does not mean that director does not possess that skill.

## Board Skills Matrix

Expertise	Board of Directors						
	Prim	Flanigan	Shepard	Wilson	Fiegel	Wimsett	Kelly
Leadership	ü	ü		ü			
Finance	ü	ü		ü			
Banking Business					ü	ü	
Credit Union Business			ü				
Payments						ü	ü
Compliance					ü		ü
Governance		ü	ü				
Regulatory			ü		ü	ü	ü
Technology	ü			ü			

## Nominee Information

The following information relating to the Company's directors and nominees for director, all of whom are United States citizens, details their principal occupations, business experience and positions during the past five years, as well as the specific experiences, qualifications, attributes and skills that led to the conclusion that they should serve as directors of the Company:

John F. Prim, age 59, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Prim was appointed Chairman of the Board on August 24, 2012 and has served as Chief Executive Officer since 2004. He served as President from January 2003 to July 2004 and as Chief Operating Officer from July 2001 to January 2003. Mr. Prim joined the Company in 1995 as part of the acquisition of the Liberty division of Broadway & Seymour, Inc. He previously served as General Manager of the Company's E-Services and OutLink Services Divisions. Mr. Prim has been a director since 2007. Mr. Prim has spent his whole career in our industry, starting as a sales representative for Burroughs Corporation selling products and services to banks and thrifts before joining Broadway & Seymour's community banking unit in 1985, where he served in a number of positions including National Sales Manager. His broad experience in the industry in both operations and sales, as well as his extensive successful experience in various management roles at Jack Henry & Associates, led to his appointment as CEO in 2004, and these same factors informed the decision to appoint him to the Board in 2007 and name him Chairman in 2012. Mr. Prim earned a Master's in Business Administration degree in 1985 from Queens University in Charlotte, N.C.

Matthew C. Flanigan, age 52, Vice Chairman and Lead Director. Mr. Flanigan, a director of the Company since his appointment in 2007, is Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and a director of Leggett & Platt, Incorporated. Headquartered in Carthage, Missouri, Leggett & Platt is a leading manufacturer of engineered components and products found in many homes, offices, retail stores, automobiles and airplanes. Mr. Flanigan was appointed Senior Vice President in 2005 and became Chief Financial Officer in 2003. From 1999 until 2003, he served as President of the Office Furniture and Plastics Components Groups of Leggett & Platt. Prior to joining Leggett & Platt in 1997, Mr. Flanigan was employed in the banking industry for 13 years, the last 10 of which as executive manager for Societe Generale S.A. in Dallas, the largest non-U.S. lending institution in the Southwestern United States at that time. Mr. Flanigan brings to our Board expertise in banking and in finance, risk and compliance functions as well as a unique perspective coming from his wide experience at a large, global S&P 500 manufacturer. Mr. Flanigan was appointed "Lead Director" by the independent directors in 2012.

Marla K. Shepard, age 65, Director. Ms. Shepard, a director of the Company since her appointment in 2007, is the Chief Executive Officer of California Coast Credit Union of San Diego, California. California Coast is the surviving entity from a merger in 2008 with First Future Credit Union, also of San Diego, California, where Ms. Shepard served as Chief Executive Officer for over 25 years. Ms. Shepard brings to the Board a thorough understanding of the credit union markets we serve, having started in 1972 as a teller at a small credit union. As CEO she led her institution

through six merger transactions to become one of the largest credit unions in California, with \$1.9 billion in assets and 22 branches. She has received numerous service and other awards from the California Credit Union League, currently serves on its board of directors, and previously chaired its Governmental Relations Committee. She also served on the Credit Union National Administration's Risk Oversight Committee. Ms. Shepard brings to our Board the perspective of a major credit union customer, as her institution has used the Company's Symitar core software system for over 25 years and utilizes many of our complementary software products and data processing services.

6

---

Thomas H. Wilson, Jr., age 53, Director. Mr. Wilson, a director of the Company since his appointment in 2012, is a Managing Partner at DecisionPoint Advisors, LLC in Charlotte, N.C., a specialized merger and acquisition advisory firm for mid-market technology companies. Prior to joining DecisionPoint, from 2004 to 2008 he served as Chairman and CEO of NuTech Solutions, a business intelligence software company that was acquired by Netezza. From 1997 to 2004, Mr. Wilson was President of Osprey, a consulting and systems integration firm. Prior to his work at Osprey, Mr. Wilson was employed by IBM for 14 years in a variety of management and sales positions. Mr. Wilson earned a Master's in Business Administration from Duke University and has served on the Boards of various non-profit and community organizations, including North Carolina Innovative Development for Economic Advancement (NC IDEA), Junior Achievement and the Charlotte United Way. Mr. Wilson brings to the Board extensive management and sales experience in technology companies, as well as expertise in technology-oriented investment banking and mergers and acquisitions.

Jacque R. Fiegel, age 60, Director. Ms. Fiegel, a director of the Company since her appointment in 2012, is Chairman, Central Oklahoma Area of Prosperity Bank in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Prior to its acquisition by Prosperity Bank, she served at Coppermark Bank as Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and director, as well as director and treasurer of affiliates Coppermark Bancshares, Inc. and Coppermark Card Services, Inc. She began her career at the bank in 1976 as a teller. Ms. Fiegel is a former member of the Oklahoma City Branch Board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, a former director of the Oklahoma Bankers Association, and is a current director of the Economic Club of Oklahoma, as well as a number of civic organizations in Oklahoma City. Ms. Fiegel was named in 2008 one of the US Banker "25 Most Powerful Women in Banking" and to the "25 Women to Watch" lists in both 2009 and 2010. Ms. Fiegel brings to the Board a broad experience with and understanding of bank technology, banking operations, financial management and the overall banking business.

Thomas A. Wimsett, age 50, Director. Mr. Wimsett, a director of the Company since his appointment in 2012, is the Chairman and Managing Partner of Wimsett & Company, a payments consulting firm formed in 2012. He is a 30 year veteran of the payments industry, most recently as a founder in 2003 and the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Iron Triangle Payment Systems (renamed NPC in 2006), a leading merchant payment processor, which was acquired by Fifth Third Processing Solutions (now Vantiv) in late 2010. Prior managerial and executive positions in the payments industry include President and CEO of National Processing Company (NYSE: NAP) from 1999 to 2002. He also currently serves as Chairman of Town & Country Bank and Trust Company in Bardstown, Kentucky. Mr. Wimsett brings deep knowledge and experience in the payments industry to the Board, including service for more than 10 years as a director or advisory board member of the Electronic Transaction Association, an international trade association, and prior roles as a director of MasterCard's US Board and on advisory boards for both Discover Card and Visa.

Laura G. Kelly, age 57, Director. Ms. Kelly, a director of the Company since her appointment in September of 2013, is Senior Vice President and Chief Product Officer at The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, where she oversees product strategy, development and management for the company's wide variety of trade credit, supply chain and sales and marketing products. Prior to joining Dun & Bradstreet in early 2013, Ms. Kelly served American Express Company, where she was Senior Vice President and General Manager for the Americas from 2012 to 2013 and Senior Vice President, Global Product & Marketing, Global Payment Options from 2011 to 2012. From 2005 to 2011, Ms. Kelly was employed by MasterCard Worldwide, Inc. as Executive Vice President, Global Prepaid Product Solutions from 2007 to 2011 and previously served as Group Head, Global Debit Strategy and Business Administration. Prior to MasterCard, Laura held various positions with Southwest Business Corporation, The Concours Group and USAA. Ms. Kelly brings to the Board extensive management experience in payments and financial services technology. Her background includes a focus on risk management and experience developing international payments products and services. Ms. Kelly is a certified public accountant, a certified property and casualty underwriter associate in risk management and earned a master's in business administration from Auburn University.

Director Independence

Six of the seven nominated directors are independent. Non-employee directors Flanigan, Shepard, Wilson, Fiegel, Wimsett and Kelly qualify as “independent” in accordance with the published listing requirements of NASDAQ. Mr. Prim does not qualify as independent because he is an employee of the Company. The NASDAQ rules have both objective and subjective tests for determining who is an “independent director.” The objective tests state, for example, that a director is not considered independent if he or she is an employee of the company, has been an employee within the prior three years, or is a partner in or executive officer of an entity to which the company made, or from which the company received, payments in the current or any of the past three fiscal years that exceed 5% of the recipient’s consolidated gross revenue for that year. The subjective

test states that an independent director must be a person who lacks a relationship that, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director.

The Board of Directors relies upon evaluation of director independence by the Board's Governance Committee. In assessing independence under the subjective test, the Governance Committee took into account the standards in the objective tests and reviewed additional information provided by the directors with regard to each director's business and personal activities as they may relate to the Company and its management. Based on all of the foregoing, as required by NASDAQ rules, the Governance Committee made a subjective determination as to each of Ms. Shepard, Fiegel and Kelly and Messrs. Flanigan, Wilson, and Wimsett that no relationship exists, which, in the opinion of the Committee, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. The Governance Committee has not established categorical standards or guidelines to make these subjective determinations, but considers all relevant facts and circumstances.

In making its independence determinations, the Governance Committee considered transactions occurring since the beginning of its 2011 fiscal year between the Company and entities associated with the independent directors or members of their immediate family. While a customer relationship does exist between the Company and the credit union associated with Ms. Shepard, the Governance Committee has determined that, because of the amounts involved in relation to the total revenues of the Company and such institution, the relationship does not impair the independence of this director. The Governance Committee has also determined that the transactions with the credit union were on terms no less favorable to the Company than arrangements with other unaffiliated customers. In all cases and in all years reviewed, the amount received by the Company from this institution was far less than 1% of the Company's total revenue for the year. See "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions", below.

In addition to the Board-level standards for director independence, the directors who serve on the Audit Committee each satisfy standards established by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") providing that to qualify as "independent" for the purposes of membership, members of audit committees may not accept directly or indirectly any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee from the Company other than their director compensation.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE NOMINEES FOR ELECTION TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS. PROXIES RECEIVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS WILL BE VOTED FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH NOMINEE UNLESS STOCKHOLDERS SPECIFY IN THEIR PROXY A VOTE OF "WITHHOLD" WITH RESPECT TO A NOMINEE.

## CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Company and its businesses are managed under the direction of the Board of Directors. The Board generally meets a minimum of four times during the year, but has complete access to management throughout the year.

### Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines which address the following subjects:

- The majority of the Board should be independent under relevant NASDAQ standards.
- Independent directors should not be compensated by the Company other than in the form of Director's fees (including any equity awards).
- Membership on the Audit, Compensation and Governance Committees should be limited to independent directors.
- The Board should conduct an annual self-evaluation to determine whether it and its committees are functioning properly.
-



Non-management directors may meet in executive session from time to time with or without members of management.

•The Chief Executive Officer shall provide an annual report to the Governance Committee on succession planning.

•The Governance Committee is responsible for determining skills and characteristics of Board candidates, and should consider factors such as independence, experience, strength of character, judgment, technical skills, diversity and age.

The Board and its committees shall have the right at any time to retain independent counsel.

Board members should not sit on more than 3 other boards of public companies.

- The Board should have at least 4 regularly scheduled meetings a year and members are invited to attend an annual review of business strategy conducted with senior management.

Board members are expected to attend all Annual Meetings of the Stockholders.

Stockholders may communicate with the Board by submitting written comments to the Secretary for the Company, who will screen out inappropriate communications and forward same to the directors.

Directors, executive officers and general managers of the Company should own minimum amounts of Company stock in relation to their base compensation, and should retain and hold 75% of all shares granted, net of taxes, until the ownership requirements are met.

- When the Chairman is a member of management, the independent directors shall appoint a Lead Director to coordinate the activities of the independent directors, help to set the agenda and schedule for Board meetings, and chair Board and stockholder meetings in the absence of the Chairman.

All directors, executives and employees are prohibited from engaging in hedging transactions in the Company's stock.

Directors may not stand for re-election after age 70.

Executives are subject to a Recoupment Policy providing for clawback of incentive compensation in the event of a restatement of financial statements due to material non-compliance with reporting requirements.

#### Nomination Policy

The Board of Directors has also adopted a Nomination Policy with respect to the consideration of director candidates recommended by stockholders. A candidate submission from a stockholder will be considered at any time if the following information is submitted to the Secretary of the Company:

The recommending stockholder's name and address, together with the number of shares, length of period held and proof of ownership

Name, age and address of candidate

Detailed resume of candidate, including education, occupation, employment and commitments

Description of arrangements or understandings between the recommending stockholder and the candidate

Statement describing the candidate's reasons for seeking election to the Board and documenting candidate's satisfaction of qualifications described in the Corporate Governance Guidelines

A signed statement from the candidate, confirming willingness to serve

If the recommending stockholder has been a beneficial holder of more than 5% of the Company's stock for more than a year, then it must consent to additional public disclosures by the Company with regard to the nomination

The Secretary of the Company will promptly forward complying nominee recommendation submissions to the Chairman of the Governance Committee. The Governance Committee may consider nominees submitted from a variety of sources including but not limited to stockholder recommendations. If a vacancy arises or the Board decides to expand its membership, the Governance Committee will evaluate potential candidates from all sources and will rank them by order of preference if more than one is identified as properly qualified. A recommendation will be made to the Board by the Governance Committee based upon qualifications, interviews, background checks and the Company's needs.

#### Majority Election Policy

In 2013, the Board of Directors approved changes to the Company's By-Laws and Corporate Governance Guidelines to require that a director nominee only be elected if he or she receives a majority vote of the votes cast with respect to his or her election in an uncontested election. Thus, for a nominee to be elected, the number of votes cast "For" must exceed the number of votes cast "Against" the nominee. If a nominee who is currently serving as a director is not re-elected with a majority of the votes cast, then under the Corporate Governance Guidelines, he or she is required to submit a resignation to the Board. In this event, the Governance Committee will consider the tendered resignation and

will make a recommendation to the Board as to whether to accept or reject the resignation. The Board must act on the tendered resignation within 90 days from the date of certification of the election results, and must also promptly disclose its decision and explain its rationale.

Board Leadership Structure

9

---

The Board of Directors does not have a fixed policy regarding the separation of the offices of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. These offices have been held by different persons from 2004-2012, but were combined in one person (Mr. Prim) in August of 2012. The members of the Board believe that the Company has been well served in the past by both combined Chairman/CEOs and by separate persons in these offices, and believes that the Board should maintain the flexibility to combine or separate these offices in the future if deemed to be in the best interests of the Company.

In 2012, the Board adopted a governance guideline providing for a "Lead Director." Under the guideline, when the Chairman is a member of Company management, the independent directors will annually appoint from among themselves a Lead Director. The Lead Director will coordinate the activities of the independent directors, coordinate with the Chairman to set the agenda and schedule for Board meetings, advise on materials distributed to directors, Chair meetings of the Board and stockholders in the absence of the Chairman, call and chair executive sessions of the independent directors, and perform other duties assigned from time to time by the Board.

The Board is committed to strong, independent Board leadership and believes that objective oversight is critical to effective governance. Six of our seven directors are independent, as are all members of the Audit, Compensation and Governance Committees of the Board. Four of the five members of the Risk and Compliance Committee are independent. The independent directors regularly meet in executive session without management directors.

#### Risk Oversight

Pursuant to the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board performs its risk oversight function primarily through its Risk and Compliance, Audit and Compensation Committees. The Risk and Compliance Committee has primary responsibility for overseeing, monitoring and addressing the Company's enterprise and operational risks. The Risk and Compliance Committee is charged with overseeing the Company's risk management program that measures, prioritizes, monitors and responds to risks. The Audit Committee oversees risks relating to financial statements and reporting, credit, and liquidity risks. The Compensation Committee is charged with oversight of risks in compensation policies and practices. The Board receives regular reports from these committees as well as management, assesses major risks, and reviews with management options for risk mitigation.

#### Code of Conduct

The members of the Board, as well as the executive officers and all other employees, are subject to and responsible for compliance with the Jack Henry Code of Conduct. The Code of Conduct contains policies and practices for the ethical and lawful conduct of our business, as well as procedures for confidential investigation of complaints and discipline of wrongdoers.

#### Governance Materials Available

The Company has posted its significant corporate governance documents on its website at <http://jkhy.client.shareholder.com/governance.cfm>. There you will find copies of the current Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Jack Henry Code of Conduct, the Compensation Committee Charter, the Governance Committee Charter (with attached Nomination Policy), Audit Committee Charter, and the Risk and Compliance Committee Charter, as well as the Company's Certificate of Incorporation and By-Laws. Other investor relations materials are also posted at <http://jkhy.client.shareholder.com/>, including SEC reports, financial statements and news releases.

#### The Board of Directors and Its Committees

The Board of Directors held four regular meetings and four special meetings during the last fiscal year. Each director attended at least 75% of all meetings of the Board and all committees on which they served. The independent directors met in three executive sessions without management present during the last fiscal year. In accordance with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, all of the directors attended the Annual Meeting of the Stockholders held on November 13, 2013.

The Governance Committee of the Board has determined that six of the Board's seven members, Flanigan, Shepard, Wilson, Fiegel, Wimsett and Kelly, are independent directors under applicable NASDAQ standards.

The Board has adopted Stock Ownership Guidelines establishing stock ownership goals applicable to directors as well as senior management of the Company. Each non-employee director of the Company is expected to own Company shares having a value of at least four times the annual director base compensation. Under the terms of the guidelines, new directors should be in compliance within five years after joining the Board. For this purpose, in addition to shares held outright,

directors may include shares held in trust for immediate family members as well as the “in-the-money” value of any vested stock options and all restricted stock. As measured on June 30, 2014, all directors on such date were in compliance with these guidelines.

The Board of Directors has the following four standing committees, each of which operates under a written charter adopted by the Board:

Audit Committee

Chair: Wilson

Members: Flanigan, Wimsett

Meetings in FY 2014: 16

The Audit Committee selects and oversees the independent auditor, reviews the scope and results of the annual audit, reviews critical accounting policies, reviews internal controls over financial reporting, pre-approves retention of the independent registered public accounting firm for any services, oversees our internal audit function, reviews and approves all material related party transactions, reviews regulatory examination results and addresses financial reporting risks. All members of the Audit Committee are independent. The Board has determined that Matthew Flanigan is an “audit committee financial expert” as defined by the SEC because of his extensive accounting and financial experience. Please see the Audit Committee Report in this proxy statement for information about our 2014 fiscal year audit.

#### Compensation Committee

Chair: Flanigan

Members: Shepard, Wilson

Meetings in FY2014: 9

The Compensation Committee establishes and reviews the compensation, perquisites and benefits of the Executive Officers, evaluates the performance of senior executive officers, makes recommendations to the Board on director compensation, considers incentive compensation plans for our employees and carries out duties assigned to the Compensation Committee under our equity compensation plans and employee stock purchase plan. Under its charter, the Compensation Committee has the authority to delegate certain responsibilities to subcommittees, but it may not delegate any matter relating to senior executive compensation. To date, the Compensation Committee has not delegated any of its responsibilities. All members of the Compensation Committee are independent. Please see the Compensation Committee Report and the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in this proxy statement for further information about the Compensation Committee's process and decisions in fiscal 2014.

#### Governance Committee

Chair: Shepard

Members: Fiegel, Kelly

Meetings in FY2014: 4

The Governance Committee identifies, evaluates and recruits qualified individuals to stand for election to the Board, recommends corporate governance policy changes, reviews executive succession planning and evaluates Board performance. The Governance Committee will consider candidates recommended by stockholders, provided such recommendations are made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the "Governance Committee Nomination Policy" attached to its charter, discussed in greater detail in "Nomination Policy," above. All members of the Governance Committee are independent.

#### Risk and Compliance Committee

Chair: Fiegel

Members: Flanigan, Wimsett, Prim, Kelly

Meetings in FY2014: 14

The Risk and Compliance Committee reviews the Company's compliance practices, reviews enterprise risks, oversees the Company's risk assessment and management programs, reviews risk preparedness and mitigation, monitors regulatory compliance and oversees response to regulatory requirements. The Risk and Compliance Committee was originally formed in January 2013 as the ad-hoc Compliance Committee of the Board. In September 2013 a charter for the Committee was adopted making it a standing committee of the Board and it was renamed the "Risk and Compliance Committee." The Risk and Compliance Committee has four members who are independent and one (Mr. Prim) non-independent executive member. Please see "Risk Oversight" above for further information about the Committee's risk management responsibilities.

#### Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During our 2014 fiscal year, Messrs. Flanigan, Wilson and Ms. Shepard served on the Compensation Committee. None of the members of the Compensation Committee is currently or was formerly an officer or employee of the Company. Ms. Shepard is President and CEO of California Coast Credit Union, which is a customer of the Company as described below in "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions." There are no other Compensation Committee interlocks and no insider participation in compensation decisions that are required to be reported under the SEC's rules and regulations.

#### Director Compensation

The following table sets forth compensation paid to our directors in fiscal year 2014. The compensation paid to Mr. Prim as an employee is detailed below at "Executive Compensation." Former director Wesley Brown resigned from the

Board on June 24, 2014, just before the end of the fiscal year.

12

---



Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	All Other Compensation	Total
	(\$)	\$(1)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Wesley A. Brown	102,800	133,052	—	—	—	235,852
Matthew C. Flanigan	126,800	133,052	—	—	—	259,852
Marla K. Shepard	81,800	133,052	—	—	—	214,852
Thomas H. Wilson	91,800	133,052	—	—	—	224,852
Thomas A. Wimsett	94,800	133,052	—	—	—	227,852
Jacque R. Fiegel	87,050	133,052	—	—	—	220,102
Laura G. Kelly	70,833	155,108	—	—	—	225,941

These amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of shares of restricted stock granted in the fiscal year (1) ended June 30, 2014, in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For assumptions used in determining the fair value of stock options awards granted, see Note 9 to the Company's 2014 Consolidated Financial Statements.

A director who is employed by the Company does not receive any separate compensation for service on the Board of Directors. In the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, each non-employee director received annual retainer compensation of \$40,000 per year plus \$3,500 for attending each in-person Board meeting and \$1,500 for each telephone Board meeting. The annual retainer is paid following the Annual Meeting of the Stockholders with respect to the period running from the Annual Meeting in November to the next Annual Meeting. As a newly appointed director, Ms. Kelly received a pro-rated portion of the annual retainer for the portion of the year prior to the 2013 Annual Meeting. Each non-employee director was also reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending all Board and committee meetings.

The Lead Director (currently Mr. Flanigan) is compensated with an additional annual retainer amount of \$15,000.

Equity compensation is paid annually to the non-employee directors in the form of restricted shares. These restricted shares are issued under the Company's Restricted Stock Plan. For fiscal 2014, the annual grant amount paid to each non-employee director was 2,381 restricted shares granted on the third business day following the date of the 2013 Annual Meeting, and the restrictions will lapse on November 12, 2014. Ms. Kelly also received a pro-rata grant of restricted shares pertaining to her service from the date of her appointment to the Board in September 2013 to the date of the 2013 Annual Meeting.

Prior to the Annual Meeting on November 13, 2013, Audit Committee members received \$2,000 for each in-person Audit Committee meeting and \$1,000 for each telephone committee meeting attended. Governance and Compensation Committee members received \$1,000 and Risk and Compliance Committee members received \$500 for each committee meeting attended. To compensate for additional time spent on committee matters, the chairpersons of the committees received a premium of 50% of the standard attendance fee for each committee meeting that they chaired.

Following the 2013 Annual Meeting, committee chair and attendance fees were revised by the Board. The chair of the Audit Committee now receives an annual retainer of \$12,000 and the chairpersons of the Compensation, Governance and Risk and Compliance committees each receive an annual retainer of \$6,000. In-person meeting fees of the committees, paid to all attending committee members, are \$2,000 per meeting for the Audit Committee and \$1,500 per meeting for all other Board committees. The telephone meeting fee paid to all attending committee members for all committees is \$1,000 per meeting. In addition, Board members may be paid a "Board Service Fee" of \$1,000 per day for service to the Company in support of Board or committee functions on days when there is no scheduled meeting.

In Fiscal 2014, the directors listed above were not eligible to participate in any non-equity incentive plan compensation from the Company or any pension or deferred compensation plan of the Company.

#### CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Director and Compensation Committee member Marla K. Shepard is Chief Executive Officer of California Coast Credit Union of San Diego, California. California Coast Credit Union is a customer of the Company and during the year ended June 30, 2014, it paid \$503,604 to the Company primarily for software maintenance and implementation services. The Audit

Committee has reviewed the transactions with the credit union and has concluded that they were on terms no less favorable to the Company than arrangements with other unaffiliated customers. The related party transactions were approved by the Audit Committee. The Governance Committee also considered the transactions and concluded that Ms. Shepard is an independent director despite such customer relationship.

The Board of Directors has adopted a written policy that requires all related party transactions to be reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee of the Board. The Audit Committee is charged with determining whether a related party transaction is in the best interests of, or not inconsistent with the interests of, the Company and its stockholders. In making this determination, the Audit Committee will take into account such factors as whether the related party transaction is on terms no less favorable to the Company than terms generally available to unaffiliated third parties and the extent of the related party's interest in the transaction. No director may participate in any discussion, approval or ratification of any transaction in which he or she has an interest, except for the purpose of providing information concerning the transaction. For transactions in which the aggregate amount is less than \$200,000, the Chairman of the Audit Committee has been delegated the authority to pre-approve related party transactions, subject to later review by the full committee. At least annually, ongoing related party transactions will be reviewed to assess continued compliance with the policy.

For purposes of the Related Party Transaction Policy, a related party transaction is a transaction or relationship in which the aggregate amount involved will be or may exceed \$100,000 in any calendar year, involves the Company as a participant, and in which any related party has or will have a direct or indirect interest (other than solely as a result of being a director or less than 10% beneficial owner of the other entity). A related party is any executive officer, director, or more than 5% beneficial owner of the Company or any immediate family member of such persons.

The policy also contains standing pre-approvals of certain transactions that are not believed to pose any material risk to the Company even if the aggregate amount exceeds \$100,000 in a calendar year, including: employment arrangements with executive officers, director compensation, transactions involving competitive bids, certain banking-related services, and certain Company charitable contributions. Standing approval is also provided for transactions with another company where the related party's only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer), director or beneficial owner of less than 10% of that entity's shares, if the aggregate amount does not exceed \$1,000,000 or 2% of that entity's annual revenues.

#### SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

The Company is required to identify any director, officer or greater than ten percent beneficial owner who failed to timely file with the SEC a report required under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 relating to ownership and changes in ownership of the Company's Common Stock. The required reports consist of initial statements on Form 3, statements of changes on Form 4 and annual statements on Form 5.

To the Company's knowledge, based solely on its review of the copies of such forms received by it, the Company believes that during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 all required Section 16(a) filings were filed timely.

#### AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors is currently composed of three independent directors. The Board has determined that Audit Committee member Matthew C. Flanigan is an "audit committee financial expert" under relevant SEC standards because of his extensive accounting and financial experience. The Board of Directors and the Audit Committee believe that the Audit Committee's current members satisfy all NASDAQ and Securities and Exchange Commission rules that govern audit committee composition.

The Audit Committee operates under a written Charter adopted by the Board of Directors. The Charter requires the Audit Committee to oversee and retain the independent registered public accounting firm, pre-approve the services and fees of the independent registered public accounting firm, regularly consider critical accounting policies of the Company, review and approve material related party transactions, receive reports from the Company's Compliance Officer, and establish procedures for receipt and handling of complaints and anonymous submissions regarding accounting or auditing matters. The charter

14

---

also contains the commitment of the Board of Directors to provide funding and support for the operation of the Audit Committee, including funding for independent counsel for the Committee if the need arises.

The role of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board of Directors in its oversight of the Company's financial reporting process. Management has the primary duty for the financial statements and the reporting process, including the systems of internal controls. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for auditing the Company's financial statements and expressing an opinion as to their conformity to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

In the performance of its oversight function, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the Company's audited financial statements. The Audit Committee also has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard 16 of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB"). In addition, the Audit Committee has received from the independent registered public accounting firm the written disclosures and letter required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the independent registered accounting firm its independence.

The Audit Committee discussed with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. The Audit Committee meets with the internal auditors and the independent registered public accounting firm, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Company's internal controls and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting. These meetings without management present are held at least once each year, and two such meetings were held in the fiscal year just ended.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board has approved, that the Company's audited financial statements be included in the Company's 2014 Annual Report to Stockholders and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2014 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Audit Committee  
 Thomas H. Wilson, Jr., Chair  
 Matthew C. Flanigan  
 Thomas A. Wimsett

#### EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND SIGNIFICANT EMPLOYEES

The executive officers and significant employees of the Company, as well as certain biographical information about them, are as follows:

Name	Position with Company	Officer/Significant Employee Since
John F. Prim	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2001
David B. Foss	President	2014
Kevin D. Williams	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	2001
Mark S. Forbis	Vice President and Chief Technology Officer	2006

The following information is provided regarding the executive officers and significant employees not already described herein, all of whom are United States citizens:

David B. Foss, age 52, President. Mr. Foss was named President of the Company on July 1, 2014, having previously served as President of the Company's ProfitStars Division since 2009 and as General Manager of ProfitStars from 2006 to 2009. He led the Company's Acquisition and Business Integration unit from 2004 to 2006, during which time the Company completed 10 acquisitions. Mr. Foss's prior positions with the Company include General Manager of the Complementary Solutions Group from 2000 to 2004 and President of the Open Systems Group from 1999 to 2004. Before joining the Company in

15

---

1999, Mr. Foss held a variety of positions in the financial services industry including senior operations management, sales management, and supervisory roles at BancTec, Advanced Computer Systems and NCR. His long tenure in the industry and variety of leadership roles provide significant experience as to the Company and its products, employees and customers.

Kevin D. Williams, age 55, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. In 2001, Mr. Williams was appointed by the Board to serve as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Company, having previously served as Controller of the Company since joining the Company in 1998. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Williams was a practicing CPA as a Senior Manager for the Baird Kurtz & Dobson public accounting firm. Mr. William's executive management responsibilities extend beyond finance and accounting to include investor relations, travel, corporate services, facilities and other office services functions that encompass approximately 745 of the Company's employees.

Mark S. Forbis, age 51, Vice President and Chief Technology Officer. Mr. Forbis has served as Vice President and Chief Technology Officer since 2006 and as General Manager of Technology Services since 2002. Mr. Forbis joined the Company in 1988 and has served in a number of positions, including Manager of Imaging from 1994 to his appointment as a General Manager in 2002. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Forbis had several jobs in bank data processing, including supervisory and management positions with BankTech, Inc. and Systematics, Inc. As Chief Technology Officer and General Manager of Technology Services, Mr. Forbis leads a team of over 300 researchers, designers, programmers, developers and quality analysts charged with maintaining and upgrading current products and services, developing new products, establishing standards and practices and planning "roadmaps" for future technology development.

#### COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of the Company has reviewed and discussed the following Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the following Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

Compensation Committee

Matthew C. Flanigan, Chair

Marla K. Shepard

Thomas H. Wilson, Jr.

#### COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

You will have the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on Jack Henry's executive compensation at this year's Annual Meeting (our "say on pay" vote), included as Proposal 2 in this proxy statement (page 29). We encourage you to review this section prior to casting your "say on pay" advisory vote.

At the Company's Annual Meeting of Stockholders held in November 2013, over 99% of the votes cast on the say-on-pay proposal at that meeting were voted in favor of the proposal. The Compensation Committee believes this vote strongly affirms the stockholders' support of the Company's approach to executive compensation, and the Committee did not change its basic approach to compensation of the Named Executives in fiscal 2014. The Compensation Committee believes that stockholder input on executive compensation is crucial and will continue to consider the outcome of the Company's say-on-pay votes when making future compensation decisions for the Named Executives.

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis is designed to provide information regarding the philosophy and objectives underlying our compensation policies, the processes we follow in setting compensation, the components we

utilize in compensating our top executives, and the resulting compensation outcomes. This discussion is focused on our Chief Executive Officer Jack Prim, President Tony Wormington, Chief Financial Officer Kevin Williams, and Chief Technology Officer Mark Forbis, who are collectively referred to as the “Named Executives.” Specific information about the compensation of the Named Executives is set forth in the Summary Compensation Table and other compensation tables beginning on page 25, which should be read in conjunction with this discussion. Mr. Wormington retired at the end of fiscal year 2014 and was succeeded as President by David Foss on July 1, 2014.



## Executive Summary

Our 2014 executive compensation should be viewed in the context of strong performance in fiscal year 2014 on all measures used to administer the compensation program. At Jack Henry & Associates, the principle of pay for performance is at the center of our decisions regarding executive compensation.

In broad terms, the fiscal year 2014 executive compensation program implemented this pay for performance principle with the following elements of compensation:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>Base Pay</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Base pay is set at market competitive levels to attract and retain highly qualified and effective executives</li> <li>- Fiscal 2014 base pay was increased from 0% to 3.5% in response to competitive market data</li> </ul>  | <p><b>Fixed and recurring cash compensation</b></p>   |
| <p><b>Annual Incentive Cash Bonus</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Fiscal 2014 operating income was 102.9% of budget, representing a 17% increase from prior year</li> <li>- Fiscal 2014 bonus payments were 8% to 16% above targets, resulting from strong operating income and individual performances</li> </ul>   | <p><b>Variable cash compensation tied to annual operating income versus budget and individual performance</b></p>                 |
| <p><b>Long-Term Incentive Compensation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- One, three and five-year TSRs were strong on an absolute basis at 28%, 103%, and 196% respectively.</li> <li>- For the fiscal 2012 grant with three year performance period ending on June 30, 2014, Jack Henry's relative TSR outcome was at the 69<sup>th</sup> percentile (better than two-thirds of the peer companies), resulting in 74% of shares vesting</li> <li>- For the fiscal 2010 grant with five year performance period ending on June 30, 2014, Jack Henry's relative TSR outcome was at the 57<sup>th</sup> percentile compared to peer companies, resulting in no accelerated vesting of shares</li> <li>- Annual grants are set at market competitive levels, and the grant values for the fiscal 2014 performance shares (three-year measurement period ending with fiscal 2016) were set at:<br/>-4.4 times base pay for Mr. Prim<br/>-2.0 times for Mr. Wormington<br/>-1.7 times for Mr. Williams<br/>-1.1 times for Mr. Forbis</li> </ul> | <p><b>Performance shares that vest based on Jack Henry relative total shareholder return ("TSR") performance versus peers</b></p> |

(1)  $TSR = (\text{Change in Stock Price} + \text{Dividends}) \div \text{Beginning Stock Price}$ ; assumes reinvestment of dividends.

In the aggregate, the relative portions of these three primary elements that made up the pay mix for the four Named Executives in fiscal year 2014 are represented graphically in the following chart:

The fiscal 2014 pay mix established by the Compensation Committee clearly focused on performance-based pay, and particularly emphasized long-term performance by the Company. The pay mix was intended to ensure that the Named Executives remained highly focused on the long-term success of the Company.

### Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Jack Henry's compensation philosophy is to offer compensation programs to our executives that:

- Focus executives on achieving consistent earnings growth;
- Encourage continuation of the Company's entrepreneurial spirit;
- Attract and retain highly qualified and motivated executives;
- Reward the creation of stockholder value; and
- Encourage esprit de corps and reward outstanding performance.

In meeting these objectives, the Compensation Committee strives for the interests of management and stockholders to be the same - the maximization of stockholder value. To this end, the financial performance measures are operating income and total shareholder return. Both measures emphasize a focus on revenue growth, operating efficiencies to yield strong margins and returns to shareholders in excess of our peers.

The compensation programs specific to our Named Executives are administered by the Company's Compensation Committee. The current members of the Compensation Committee are all non-employee directors who are independent under the NASDAQ rules. The Compensation Committee operates under a written charter, and has the specific charter responsibility to approve the compensation of the Named Executives and the Company's Chief Operating Officer, Controller, Senior Vice Presidents and other officers who perform policy-making functions for the Company. At this time, the Company does not have any officer with the title of Chief Operating Officer or Senior Vice President.

The Compensation Committee designs and maintains compensation programs consistent with our executive compensation philosophy to achieve the following objectives:

- To attract, retain and motivate highly qualified executives by offering compensation programs that are competitive with programs offered by companies in our Compensation Peer Group.
- To link performance and executive pay by tying bonus amounts to achievement of key objectives under the Company's annual business plans, as well as specific individual performance goals.
- To reward competitive performance in comparison with peers in our industry.
- To reward the creation of long-term stockholder value through long-term incentive compensation awards and encourage significant stock ownership by top management to further align executive interests to those of our stockholders.

In pursuit of these objectives, the Compensation Committee believes that the compensation packages provided to the Named Executives should include both cash and equity-based compensation, with an emphasis on performance-based pay:

Compensation Element	Purpose
Base salary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Represent competitive practices at approximately the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile</li> <li>- Attract and retain highly qualified executives</li> <li>- Support pay-for-performance orientation</li> </ul>
Annual cash incentive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Focus executives on executing the annual operating plan and key financial and nonfinancial measures of success</li> <li>- Align interests of executives and stockholders</li> </ul>
Long-term incentive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Support a stock ownership culture</li> <li>- Drive long-term value creation</li> </ul>
Broad-based benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Attract and retain highly qualified executives</li> <li>- Reflect the broad practices at Jack Henry</li> </ul>
Termination provisions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Align management and shareholders to review all possible business alternatives</li> </ul>

### Process for Establishing Compensation

The Compensation Committee has overall responsibility for making decisions regarding the compensation of the Named Executives. In conducting an annual performance review and determining appropriate compensation levels for the Named Executives the Compensation Committee meets and deliberates outside the presence of the Named Executives and other members of the executive management team. With respect to the compensation levels for other Named Executives, the Compensation Committee considers input and recommendations from the Chief Executive Officer. Performance reviews of our Chief Executive Officer and each other Named Executive are based on objective and subjective evaluations of individual performance as well as their performance in the preceding fiscal year in achieving Company performance objectives. While our Chief Executive Officer makes recommendations concerning salary adjustments, cash bonus programs and award amounts for the other Named Executives, the Compensation Committee exercises its discretion and sole authority to set the compensation of the Named Executives.

In designing compensation programs and determining compensation levels for the Named Executives for fiscal year 2014 (ending June 30, 2014), as well as for fiscal year 2015, the Compensation Committee was assisted by an independent compensation consultant firm. The Compensation Committee engaged Towers Watson, a global human resources consulting firm, to serve as its independent advisor and compensation consultant with respect to fiscal 2014. The Chairman of the Compensation Committee worked directly with Towers Watson to determine the scope of the work needed to assist the Committee in its decision-making processes. The engagement of the consulting firm included provision of benchmark comparative data for the Named Executives with respect to base salaries, annual cash bonuses, long term incentives, and comparative data regarding severance. Towers Watson does not provide any other consultation or services to the Company or management. The Compensation Committee has assessed the independence of Towers and determined that no conflict of interest exists under the rules established by the SEC. The Compensation Committee reviews the independence of its advisors annually.

In making compensation decisions, the Compensation Committee compared each element of total direct compensation against a peer group of publicly traded companies in the software, payments and data processing industries against which the Compensation Committee believes we compete in the market for executive talent. We collectively refer to this group as the “Compensation Peer Group.” In selecting companies for the Compensation Peer Group, the Compensation Committee has considered multiple criteria, including industry, annual revenue and market capitalization. The current Compensation Peer Group is comprised of the following 20 companies:

ACI Worldwide, Inc.	Bottomline Technologies, Inc.	Broadridge Financial Solutions
Cardtronics	Convergys	Corelogic
DST Systems, Inc.	Euronet Worldwide, Inc.	Fair Isaac Corporation
Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.	Fiserv, Inc.	Global Payments, Inc.
Heartland Payment Systems, Inc.	Micros Systems, Inc.	Moneygram International
SS&C Technologies Holdings	Total Systems Services, Inc.	Tyler Technologies, Inc.
Verifone Systems	WEX	

The Compensation Peer Group is reviewed annually and, as appropriate, is updated by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Peer Group was fully re-evaluated and revised by the Compensation Committee with Towers Watson in 2013. Again in June 2014 the Compensation Committee reviewed the Compensation Peer Group to make sure that members of the group are consistent with the Company’s industry and financial scope and comparable in terms of size and labor pool. In addition, the Committee’s decisions regarding peer group members were mindful of investor advisory group considerations such as the peers used by Institutional Shareholder Services to review the Company’s executive compensation. For comparison purposes, Jack Henry’s annual revenues are near the median revenues of the members of the Compensation Peer Group. The Committee decided to make no changes to the Company’s Compensation Peer Group in 2014.

To benchmark each element of total compensation for our Named Executives, Towers Watson provided data from two key sources: (1) an executive compensation survey reflective of our industry and the general industry and proxy statements and (2) other public filings for the companies in our Compensation Peer Group. In reviewing compensation survey data, the Compensation Committee considered data for software companies with annual revenues similar to the Company. Sources of data for compensation surveys and analysis include surveys for our Compensation Peer Group and the software industry, in addition to proxy statements and other public filings by companies in our Compensation

Peer Group.

19

---

In setting fiscal 2014 compensation, the Compensation Committee considered benchmarks for total cash compensation (i.e., base salary and annual cash incentives) and approved target bonus levels for the Named Executives which combined with the salaries approach the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of the Compensation Peer Group. In targeting total cash compensation and long-term incentive compensation at or near the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile, the Compensation Committee recognized that there are certain limitations in the market data available for the Compensation Peer Group. Thus, in addition to considering levels of compensation suggested by market data, the Compensation Committee also considered other relevant factors including performance against pre-identified objectives under business plans for the preceding fiscal year, individual performance reviews, and internal equity for compensation levels among our executives.

The allocation between cash, non-cash, short-term and long-term incentive compensation is measured against the practices of our Compensation Peer Group and reflects the Compensation Committee's determination of the appropriate compensation mix among base pay, annual cash incentives and long-term equity incentives to encourage retention and performance. Actual cash and equity incentive awards are determined by the performance of the Company and the individual, depending on the type of award, compared to established goals. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014, the elements of the compensation mix included:

• Base salary, designed to attract and retain executives;

• Annual cash incentive bonus compensation, designed to focus on business, financial and individual objectives established by the Board for the year;

• Long-term incentive compensation consisting of performance shares which are earned by achieving levels of total shareholder return compared to our Compensation Peer Group, designed to focus executives on the long-term success of the Company as reflected in the market price of the Company's stock; and

• Broad-based employee benefits programs.

#### Base Salary

In fiscal 2014 the Compensation Committee considered competitive data provided by Towers Watson. Based on this data as well as individual and corporate performance, the Committee increased the base salary of the Chief Executive Officer by 3%, the Chief Financial Officer by 3%, and the Chief Technology Officer by 3.5%. The President's base salary was not increased.

The entire base salary structure for our executives remained relatively flat in fiscal 2014, with the CEO salary less than 1.3 times the second highest paid executive officer (President) and less than 1.4 times the third highest (CFO). Although the Compensation Committee believes that competitive base salaries are necessary to attract and retain a highly qualified and effective executive team, it also believes that a significant portion of executive compensation should be based on pay-for-performance.

#### Annual Incentive Cash Bonuses

It is our practice to provide Named Executives with the opportunity to earn annual incentive cash bonus compensation under programs that reward attainment of key objectives under corporate annual business plans. The objectives that underlie our annual incentive compensation programs may vary between fiscal years and between the Named Executives, but generally include objectives that reward attainment of targeted earnings as well as individual performance goals. In setting the bonus amounts a Named Executive is eligible to earn for achieving specified objectives, in fiscal 2014 the Compensation Committee targeted bonus and total cash compensation levels at or near the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of the Compensation Peer Group and published survey data. Bonus opportunities for achieving objectives are generally established as a percentage of an executive's base salary and the percentages increase with job scope and complexity. Executives have the opportunity to earn reduced bonus amounts if a minimum level (threshold) of performance against an objective is achieved and can also earn increased bonus amounts for performance in excess of the level of targeted performance.

The decision as to whether to offer an annual incentive cash bonus program to Named Executives for any fiscal year, the type and funding of any program offered, and the objectives that underlie any program, are subject to the discretion of the Compensation Committee and its assessment of general and industry specific conditions existing during the applicable period. In determining the amount of bonus that a Named Executive is eligible to earn under a

bonus program, the Compensation Committee may also exercise negative discretion to reduce an award based on its assessment of the executive's contribution

20

---

and accountability for the objectives that are the subject of the bonus, the internal equity of the executive's bonus opportunity as compared to bonus opportunities for our other executives, and any other factors the Compensation Committee considers relevant.

To provide an appropriate structure for cash bonus incentives, the Compensation Committee previously proposed the 2012 Annual Incentive Plan, which was approved by the Board of Directors and was ratified by vote of the stockholders at the 2012 Annual Meeting. Cash bonus incentives for fiscal year 2014 were structured under the 2012 Annual Incentive Plan.

The fiscal 2014 incentive cash bonus plan established for the Named Executives was similar to the 2013 plan in that it was based 75% upon achievement of the Company's annual budget operating income target. The fiscal 2014 annual incentive plan provided that no bonus was payable unless the Company's performance on the operating income measurement was at or above the threshold for achievement. The operating income target was established from the annual budget of the Company as approved by the Board, and excludes from consideration operating income contributions from operating units that might be acquired during the year. The annual budget is developed by management with input from the Board of Directors in a thorough process that builds upon departmental forecasts and considers historical performance, industry dynamics, and macro-economic trends.

The other 25% of each Named Executive's incentive cash bonus in fiscal 2014 was determined by achievement of individual performance goals set for the officers by the Compensation Committee. These goals varied from individual to individual and included both objective and subjective measures of performance. The individual performance goals were intended to align the individual officers with the Company's business strategies and objectives in each officer's sphere of duties and control. Examples include achievement of specified customer and employee satisfaction ratings, implementation of systems, completion of development projects, meeting specified financial goals and achievement of sales goals. These individual goals are keys to financial and business success for Jack Henry and thus contribute to producing income and shareholder returns over the long-term. Grading of performance on the individual performance goals was in some cases "achieved" or "not achieved" and in other cases on a sliding scale from fail to below target, at target and above target, and thus some individual performance bonus amounts varied from zero to target and above target.

The 2014 plan called for bonuses of 100% of base compensation for the Chief Executive Officer, 75% of base compensation for the President, 70% of base compensation for the Chief Financial Officer, and 30% of base compensation for the Chief Technology Officer at pre-determined performance targets. The operating income component of the annual bonus for the Named Executives ranged from a threshold of 85% of budgeted operating income to a target at 100% and to a maximum at 115%. Bonus payouts for operating income achievement ranged from 50% of target at threshold performance to as much as 200% of targeted bonus at maximum performance. Bonus payouts for achieving individual performance goals varied from 0 to 100% and in a few instances could range to a maximum of 125% as to specific scalable goals. The overall bonus percentages and ranges were determined primarily by reference to comparative compensation data provided to the Compensation Committee by its independent advisor. The maximum bonus was intended to be payable only upon truly superior performance. The Compensation Committee intended for this bonus plan to provide a strong incentive for management to meet and exceed budgetary income and individual performance goals in fiscal 2014.

The Company performed well in fiscal 2014, producing operating income of \$313.8 million, or approximately 102.9% of budgeted operating income of \$303.2 million. The resulting payout was 119% of target of the portion of the Named Executives' bonus determined by operating income. The full fiscal 2014 incentive bonus granted, including amounts granted for achievement of individual performance goals, was as follows:

Named Executive	Target Annual Incentive (as % of	Performance on Incentive Measures	Annual Incentive Payout - FY2014
-----------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Edgar Filing: HENRY JACK & ASSOCIATES INC - Form DEF 14A

	base)	Operating Income Performance (75% of Bonus)	Individual Performance Goals Performance (25% of Bonus)	% of Target	Amount (\$)
Jack Prim, CEO	100%	119%	103%	115%	652,187
Tony Wormington, President	75%	119%	103%	115%	380,811
Kevin Williams, CFO	70%	119%	107%	116%	331,970
Mark Forbis, CTO	30%	119%	75%	108%	89,813

21

---



Although bonuses have been earned in each of the last five fiscal years, the Compensation Committee notes that the plan is not structured to require the payment of bonus in every year and performance targets are not set at levels which are easy to achieve. The Compensation Committee continues to believe that annual cash bonus opportunities are highly effective motivators for management employees and are instrumental in obtaining excellent performance in comparison with the Company's competitors in a difficult market and economy.

The Committee believes that the costs to the Company of potentially large incentive bonuses are fully justified by the potential benefits and return to our stockholders. The Compensation Committee will in future years continue to thoroughly review the effects of the bonus plan on results achieved and will make any changes to the bonus plan deemed necessary.

In addition to the cash bonus opportunities under our formal pay-for-performance cash bonus programs, the Compensation Committee may choose to reward extraordinary performance and achievements by awarding discretionary bonuses to the Named Executives and other employees from time to time that are not part of the annual incentive plan or any other plan. With respect to the Named Executives, no discretionary bonuses were awarded based on Company performance or the executives' performance during fiscal 2014.

#### Long-Term Incentive Compensation

We believe that equity awards have been instrumental in building Jack Henry & Associates, in retaining talent, and in encouraging management to take the long-term view with regard to strategic decisions they face. Equity awards also help focus executive and employee attention on managing the Company from the perspective of an owner with an equity stake in the business. Since the adoption of the current Restricted Stock Plan in 2005, the Compensation Committee has had the authority to grant restricted stock awards of various types and to determine the terms of the restrictions on granted shares. With respect to fiscal years 2008 - 2010, the Company granted long-term incentive compensation in the form of shares of restricted stock to our Named Executives. In fiscal years 2011 and 2012, long-term incentive compensation granted to these officers was primarily in the form of restricted stock units with performance-based vesting and in fiscal years 2013 and 2014, long-term incentive compensation was granted in the form of performance shares.

Grants may be made annually in the future, but future grants will continue to be discretionary and amounts may fluctuate based upon the Compensation Committee's evaluation of performance from year to year. In determining the level of award for a Named Executive, the Compensation Committee considers relevant factors such as achievement of previously identified objectives, the executive's performance, comparative data from the Compensation Peer Group and other sources, the current equity ownership and stock options held by the individual executive and the internal equity of the level of award granted to the executive compared to awards granted to other executives. In reviewing the award levels for our Named Executives, the Compensation Committee believes it is appropriate to consider the Company's performance against key objectives under its corporate business plan for the preceding fiscal year, including objectives related to revenue and earnings targets, and whether the Company's performance during the preceding fiscal year benefited stockholders through appreciation in the market price of the Company's Common Stock. In administering the equity compensation programs, the Compensation Committee monitors the level of dilution that can result from equity awards to executives and other employees and considers the dilutive effect of the Company's aggregate equity awards during any fiscal year.

The specific grants to the Named Executives for fiscal 2014 were structured as performance shares that vest only on achievement of performance goals and thus strongly reflect the principle of pay-for-performance. A grant of performance shares is a contractual right to receive stock and/or cash in the future when vesting conditions are met. The specific grants of performance shares in fiscal 2014 to the Named Executives vest at the end of three years based on total shareholder return in comparison to the Compensation Peer Group over the three year period. For this purpose, total shareholder return ("TSR") was defined as ending stock price minus beginning stock price (adjusted for splits and similar changes) plus dividends per share paid over the performance period, all divided by the beginning stock price. A target amount of stock was set for each Named Executive that may be earned if TSR at the end of the three-year period is at the 63rd percentile in comparison to the Compensation Peer Group. Vesting ranges from 35%

of the performance shares at the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile to the maximum amount of the grant (175% of target) at or above the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile relative to the Compensation Peer Group. No shares will vest if performance is below the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile threshold. By setting the target amount at the 63<sup>rd</sup> percentile, the Committee continued to convey that long-term superior performance is expected and remains the goal of this incentive program.

The 2014 performance share grants to the Named Executives were approved in target dollar amounts with grant date accounting values roughly set at 4.4 times base salary for Mr. Prim, 2 times base salary of Mr. Wormington, 1.7 times base salary of Mr. Williams, and 1.1 times base salary of Mr. Forbis. The fiscal 2014 grant amounts were determined with reference to

comparable grants of long-term incentive compensation by other members of the Compensation Peer Group and published survey data, and were roughly targeted at the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of the Compensation Peer Group. The fiscal 2014 grant amounts were generally consistent with FY2013 target levels. The 2014 awards were structured to provide incentives for long-term performance and retention and to meet goals for specific accounting treatment. Retention is encouraged by grant terms which immediately forfeit all awards that have not vested or are still restricted in the event that the grantee's employment with the Company is terminated for any reason other than in the event of death, incapacity, retirement or in connection with any change in control.

The use of performance shares allows for flexibility in addressing the orderly retirement of grantees. The performance shares contain terms which allow for the pro-rata vesting of awards upon retirement based on full months of service following the date grant. For this purpose, retirement is defined as termination with the stated purpose of retirement after 30 years of service to the Company, after the age of 57 and 15 years of service, or after the age of 62 and 5 years of service. With respect to a retirement during the term, at the end of the three year term of the grant, the award will be calculated and a pro-rata portion will be settled to the grantee based on completed full months of service. For example, if an eligible grantee retires 18 months after the grant date, he would be credited with 18 months of service and would be entitled to one-half of any amount that vests on performance measured at the end of the three-year grant. Death or incapacity of a grantee is addressed in the same manner, with pro-rata vesting based on completed months of service. Upon a change in control of the Company, the target number of performance shares vest and will be settled, regardless of the performance measures achieved.

President Tony Wormington did retire at the end of fiscal year 2014 following a 34 year career with the Company. His outstanding restricted stock units and performance shares from grants in fiscal years 2012, 2013 and 2014 will be eligible for pro-rata vesting of these awards upon completion of the successive performance periods.

The Committee notes that as to restricted stock units issued to the Named Executives in fiscal 2012 that vested based on three year TSR performance, the Company performed at the superior level of the 69<sup>th</sup> percentile, resulting in issuance of 74% of the restricted stock units granted in fiscal 2012. The Committee also notes that as to the fiscal 2010 awards of restricted stock to Messrs. Prim, Wormington and Williams that contained provisions for accelerated vesting based on five-year TSR performance, the five-year measurement of TSR performance as of June 30, 2014 showed that the Company was at the 57<sup>th</sup> percentile, and thus did not meet the contractual requirement of superior 65<sup>th</sup> percentile performance. As a result, this two-ninths portion of the fiscal 2010 award did not vest in 2014 and will instead time vest in 2016.

#### Termination Benefits Agreements

Each of the Named Executives has entered into a Termination Benefits Agreement with the Company that is discussed in this Proxy Statement under the caption "Agreements with Executive Officers." These agreements reflect the concern of the Board of Directors that any future threatened or actual change in control such as an acquisition or merger could cause disruption and harm to the Company in the event of the resulting loss of any of its key executives. The Termination Benefits Agreements are intended to provide a measure of incentive and security to the executives through the resolution of the threat or through a change in control.

The Compensation Committee believes that such agreements should not include provisions that would obligate an acquirer of the Company to make large cash payouts to our Named Executives simply because a change of control has occurred. Because of this concern, the occurrence of a change of control event alone will not trigger any cash payment obligations to our Named Executives under their respective agreements. Payment obligations only arise in the event the Named Executive's employment is terminated or is deemed to be terminated without "Cause" (as defined in the agreements) within the period commencing 90 days prior to and for two years following a change in control for the Named Executives. The Company does not provide, nor has it ever provided, excise tax gross-up payments to any employee in the event of a change in control and termination.

Payment obligations under the Termination Benefits Agreements with the Named Executives are two times the current annual base salary plus target bonus, payable 50% in twelve equal monthly installments and 50% in a lump sum at the end of the monthly installments. Health and other benefits are also continued for 18 months for the Named Executives, and all stock options and restricted stock awards become fully vested. The benefits provided were

determined primarily by reference to comparative data provided to the Compensation Committee by its independent advisor and, at least in relation to base salary, are consistent with the prior agreements which they replaced. The benefits are believed by the Compensation Committee to be sufficient to provide the desired incentive and security to retain crucial personnel in a time of disruption.

The Termination Benefits Agreements have no set term and will continue until terminated by agreement of both the parties. The agreements specify that they do not confer on the executives any right to continued employment and shall not interfere with the right of the Company to terminate the executives at any time.

The awards of long-term incentive compensation to the Named Executives in fiscal 2014 in the form of performance shares vest by their terms solely on a change in control and do not also require the actual or deemed termination of the executive for vesting.

#### Broad-Based Benefits Programs

The Company offers certain broad-based benefits programs including benefits such as health, dental, disability and life insurance, health care savings accounts, employee stock purchase plan, paid vacation time and company contributions to a 401(k) Employee Savings Plan. Benefits are provided to all employees in accordance with practices within the marketplace and are a necessary element of compensation in attracting and retaining employees. There are no additional benefits programs for our Named Executives.

#### Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Board of Directors has established stock ownership guidelines for the Named Executives, other members of management and the non-employee directors of the Company. These guidelines provide for each covered individual to hold a number of shares of the Company's Common Stock with an aggregate market value that equates to a specified multiple of the employee's base salary or, in the case of directors, of their annual cash retainer. The guidelines are four times base salary for the Chief Executive Officer, three times base salary for President and Chief Financial Officer, one time base salary for the Vice Presidents and General Managers, and four times the annual base retainer for directors. The value of each person's share holdings for purposes of the guidelines includes all unrestricted and restricted shares held, all Company shares held in the person's retirement accounts, all shares held in trust for the person's immediate family members, and the in-the-money value of all Company stock options held. Unvested restricted stock units and performance shares are not counted for purposes of measuring compliance with the stock ownership guidelines. Even though all members of management now hold a number of shares at or above the number of shares specified under the guidelines, the Compensation Committee recognizes that executive officers or employees who were recently promoted to executive officer positions and newly elected directors may require some period of time to achieve the guideline amounts. The guidelines, therefore, contemplate a five-year transition period for acquiring a number of shares with the specified market value. The guidelines also require that until the applicable ownership level is achieved, the individual should retain and hold 75% of all shares received from vesting of shares or exercise of options, net of shares sold to pay taxes. The Compensation Committee will continue to monitor each executive's and director's progress towards and continuing compliance with the guidelines.

#### Executive Compensation Recoupment Policy

In 2014, the Board of Directors adopted a formal policy for the recoupment of incentive compensation paid to executive officers in the event the Company is required to restate its financial statements due to material non-compliance with financial reporting requirements. The recoupment policy is administered by the Compensation Committee.

#### Tax Deductibility and Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally limits the corporate deduction for compensation paid to the Chief Executive Officer and other Named Executives to \$1 million per individual, unless certain requirements are met which establish that compensation as performance-based. The Compensation Committee has considered the impact of this tax code provision and attempts, to the extent practical, to implement compensation policies and practices that maximize the potential income tax deductions available to the Company by qualifying such policies and practices as performance-based compensation exempt from the deduction limits of Section 162(m).

The Compensation Committee will continue to review and modify our compensation practices and programs as necessary to ensure our ability to attract and retain key executives while taking into account the deductibility of compensation payments.



## COMPENSATION AND RISK

Under its charter, the Compensation Committee is charged with review of risks related to the Company's compensation policies and practices. In 2014, the Compensation Committee directed the Human Resources General Manager of the Company to conduct a compensation risk assessment and to report to the Committee. The assessment reviewed design features, characteristics and performance metrics used in compensating all employees of the Company, including salaries, sales incentives, incentive bonus plans and long-term equity incentive compensation awards. The Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed the report and concluded that the Company's compensation programs, policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. This conclusion was based on a number of factors, including:

- The compensation levels and practices are generally judged to be uncomplicated and fair.
- Benefits are offered to all eligible employees on non-discriminatory bases and no material perquisites are offered solely to executives or management.
- Incentive bonuses are determined either wholly or largely on total Company financial performance, and are capped at reasonable levels.
- Compensation of executive and senior managers is balanced between salary, benefits, annual cash incentive bonuses and long-term equity incentive awards, resulting in appropriate balancing of short and long-term interests and goals.
- Executives and senior managers are subject to stock ownership guidelines which align their interests with those of the stockholders.
- The Company has adopted a recoupment policy providing for the clawback of executive compensation in the event of financial restatements.

## EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

## Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth certain information with regard to the compensation paid to our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and the Company's other two most highly compensated executive officers (collectively, our "Named Executives") during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	All Other Compensation	Total
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$) (1)	(\$)	(\$) (2)	(\$) (3)	(\$)
John F. Prim	2014	567,736	—	2,499,808	—	652,187	5,000	3,724,731
Chief Executive Officer	2013	551,200	—	2,335,053	—	596,674	5,000	3,487,927
	2012	520,000	—	2,584,313	—	611,520	5,000	3,720,833
Tony L. Wormington	2014	441,400	—	874,938	—	380,811	5,000	1,702,149
President	2013	441,400	—	817,279	—	357,534	5,000	1,621,213
	2012	428,480	—	861,438	—	327,530	5,000	1,622,448
Kevin D. Williams	2014	409,200	—	669,960	—	331,970	5,000	1,416,130
Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer	2013	397,900	—	653,823	—	300,812	5,000	1,357,535
	2012	386,250	—	689,150	—	295,250	5,000	1,375,650
Mark S. Forbis	2014	277,200	—	295,694	—	89,813	5,000	667,707
Vice President and Chief Technology Officer	2013	267,800	—	276,213	—	78,332	5,000	627,345
	2012	250,000	—	284,284	—	84,541	5,000	623,825

Reflects grants to the Named Executives of restricted stock units on September 10, 2011 and grants of performance shares on September 10, 2012 and September 10, 2013 under the Company's Restricted Stock Plan. Information about the assumptions used to determine the fair value of equity awards is set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K in Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2014.

Reflects amounts paid to the Named Executives following the end of the fiscal year based upon achievement of performance goals under the Company's Annual Incentive Plans. These amounts were earned in the fiscal year listed and paid in the following fiscal year.

Reflects matching contributions to the individual's accounts pursuant to the Company's 401(k) retirement plan.

## Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

The following table presents information on awards granted to the Named Executives during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 under our 2012 Annual Incentive Plan with respect to performance targets set for fiscal 2014 and grants of performance shares made during fiscal year 2014.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(2)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)			
Jack Prim	9/10/2013	283,868	567,736	1,135,472	18,156	51,874	90,780	—	—	2,499,808
Tony Wormington	9/10/2013	165,525	331,050	662,100	6,355	18,156	31,773	—	—	874,938
Kevin Williams	9/10/2013	143,220	286,440	572,880	5,084	14,525	25,418	—	—	699,960
Mark Forbis	9/10/2013	41,580	83,160	166,320	2,148	6,136	10,738	—	—	295,694

(1) Performance shares granted on September 10, 2013 under the Company's Restricted Stock Plan.

(2)



The amounts in the table represent the grant date fair value of the Awards. Information about the assumptions used to determine the grant date fair value of the awards is set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K in Note 9 to our consolidated financial Statements for the year ended June 30, 2014.

## Additional Information Regarding Summary Compensation and Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The annual base salaries of the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Technology Officer were modestly increased in fiscal 2014. The annual base salary of Mr. Prim was increased 3% to \$567,736, the base salary of Mr. Williams was increased 3% to \$409,200, and the base salary of Mr. Forbis was increased 3.5% to \$277,200. The base salary of President Wormington was not increased in fiscal 2014.

For the year ended June 30, 2014, the Named Executives had the opportunity to earn cash incentive bonuses under the Company's annual incentive cash bonus plan. As set forth in greater detail in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis - Annual Incentive Cash Bonuses" above, the performance goals for the Named Executives were based on achieving operating income targets established in the Company's annual budget and the achievement of individual performance goals ("IPGs"). The incentive plan set performance targets, thresholds for minimum performance, maximums for superior performance and required that for any bonus to be paid, the minimum threshold of operating income had to be achieved. For the year ended June 30, 2014, actual operating income was 102.9% of budgeted operating income, and with calculated IPG performances, resulted in payouts of 115% of target for Messrs. Prim and Wormington, 116% of target for Mr. Williams and 108% for Mr. Forbis.

On September 10, 2013, the Company entered into performance share agreements with each of the Named Executives, in the following threshold, target and maximum share amounts:

Name	2014 Performance Share Threshold Grant	2014 Performance Share Target Grant	2014 Performance Share Maximum Grant
Jack Prim	18,156	51,874	90,780
Tony Wormington	6,355	18,156	31,773
Kevin Williams	5,084	14,525	25,419
Mark Forbis	2,148	6,136	10,738

The performance share agreements entered into with each of the above Named Executives in fiscal year 2014 are identical except for the number of shares. All agreements settle three years following the grant date based upon the performance of the Company in comparison to the Compensation Peer Group in producing total shareholder return over the three year period. Amounts may be settled in Common Stock of the Company or cash or any combination thereof. Comparative performance in total shareholder return at less than the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile will result in no settlement. The target award is earned with total shareholder return at approximately the 63<sup>rd</sup> percentile in comparison to the Compensation Peer Group and the maximum amount is earned with performance at the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile or higher.

## Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End Table

The following table provides information regarding outstanding stock options, shares of restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance shares held by the Named Executives as of June 30, 2014.

Name	Option Awards			Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(1)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested

Edgar Filing: HENRY JACK & ASSOCIATES INC - Form DEF 14A

			(#)			(#)(3)	\$(4)
Jack Prim	—	—	—	11,552	686,535	318,428	18,924,191
Tony Wormington	—	—	—	9,518	565,655	109,263	6,493,500
Kevin Williams	—	—	—	8,581	509,969	87,411	5,194,821
Mark Forbis	—	—	—	2,082	123,733	36,579	2,173,890

27

---

With respect to restricted stock grants made to Messrs. Prim, Wormington and Williams in fiscal 2010, the (1)restrictions on the remaining shares lapse at the end of seven years from the grant date. The restrictions on Mr. Forbis's restricted shares from the fiscal 2010 grant lapsed at the end of year five in September 2014.

(2) Amounts calculated by multiplying the closing market price of our common stock on June 30, 2014 (\$59.43 per share) by the number of unvested shares of restricted stock.

The restricted stock units and performance shares vest three years from the date of grant based on achievement of total shareholder returns in comparison with other members of the Compensation Peer Group. No restricted stock (3)units or performance shares vest if total shareholder return over the three year period is below the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile and the full amount vests with performance at or above the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile. Share amounts disclosed reflect the maximum number of shares that could vest upon performance at the highest level of 75<sup>th</sup> percentile or above.

Amounts calculated by multiplying the closing market price of our common stock on June 30, 2014 (\$59.43 per (4)share) by the maximum number of shares issuable under the restricted stock unit and performance share agreements.

#### Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table

The following table provides information on stock option exercises by the Named Executives and stock awards (restricted stock and restricted stock units) that vested during fiscal year 2014.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(1)
Jack Prim	—	—	88,215	4,522,783
Tony Wormington	—	—	22,453	1,151,165
Kevin Williams	—	—	20,240	1,037,705
Mark Forbis	—	—	12,724	652,359

(1) Value of the shares acquired on September 10, 2013, at the closing market price of such shares on September 9, 2013.

#### Agreements with Executive Officers and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

The Company has no employment contracts with any of its executive officers.

The Company has entered into Termination Benefits Agreements with each of Messrs. Prim, Wormington, Williams and Forbis. Under these agreements, change in control is defined as an acquisition of 20% or more of the stock of the Company, termination of service of a majority of the members of the Board during any two year period for reasons other than death, disability or retirement, approval by the stockholders of liquidation of the Company or sale of 50% or more of its assets, or approval by the stockholders of a merger or consolidation if the Company stockholders own less than 50% of the combined voting power of the resulting corporation. The Termination Benefits Agreements provide a cash payment severance benefit equal to 200% of the executive's annual salary plus target bonus then in effect, with half payable in 12 monthly installments and half in a lump sum at the end of such 12 months. In addition, all outstanding stock options will fully vest, all restrictions on restricted stock will lapse and the terminated executive will receive a welfare benefit consisting of payments equal to COBRA health insurance premiums and continuation of coverage under the Company's life insurance, disability, and dental plans for 18 months or until the executive becomes eligible for comparable benefits under a subsequent employer's arrangements. The termination benefits will be paid upon any termination of the executive during the 90 days prior to and the two years following any change in control unless the termination occurs by reason of the executive's death, disability, or if the termination is for cause. The termination benefits will also be paid if the executive terminates his employment after a change in control for good reason, such as a material diminution in authority, duties or responsibilities, a forced move, or a material diminution in annual salary. The Termination Benefits Agreements have no set term and will continue until terminated by agreement of both the parties.

The table below reflects the cash severance benefit payments and estimated welfare benefit payments that would be paid under the Termination Benefits agreements as if the triggering events occurred on June 30, 2014, the last day of the last completed fiscal year. The table also shows the value as of June 30, 2014 of all issued shares of restricted stock with respect to which restrictions would lapse upon a change in control and termination, and all restricted stock units and performance shares with respect to which vesting would occur upon a change in control:

28

---

Name	Cash Payment Severance Benefit (\$)	Welfare Benefit (\$)	LTIP Restricted Stock Vesting (\$)
Jack Prim	2,270,944	18,883	14,843,296
Tony Wormington	1,544,900	29,060	5,390,539
Kevin Williams	1,391,280	28,631	3,775,588
Mark Forbis	720,720	38,870	1,733,692

Pursuant to the terms of the Restricted Stock Agreements previously entered into with the Named Executives, all restrictions on restricted shares immediately lapse in the event of a change in control of the Company. Similarly, the Restricted Stock Unit Agreements and Performance Share agreements with the Named Executives provide that all restricted stock units and performance shares shall be settled as soon as practicable following a change in control. For purposes of these Restricted Stock, Restricted Stock Unit and Performance Share Agreements, the definition of “change in control” as set forth in the Company’s Restricted Stock Plan is virtually the same as set forth the Termination Benefits Agreements discussed above.

#### EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table sets forth information as of June 30, 2014 with respect to the Company’s equity compensation plans under which our Common Stock is authorized for issuance:

Equity Compensation Plans approved by security holders:	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities in the first column of this table)
1995 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan (Non-employee Directors)	10,000	\$18.47	0
1996 Stock Option Plan (Employees)	14,750	\$19.64	0
2005 Restricted Stock Plan	0	\$0.00	1,530,253
2005 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan (Non-employee Directors)	100,000	\$23.06	520,000

**PROPOSAL 2**  
**ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

As required by Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act, we include in this proxy statement this proposal for a non-binding stockholder vote on compensation of the officers named in the Summary Compensation Table on page 25. We currently conduct annual advisory votes on executive compensation, and we expect to conduct the next advisory vote at our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. With this year's "say on pay" proposal you can elect to endorse or not endorse our executive compensation programs and policies and the compensation we paid our Named Executives in fiscal 2014.

The say on pay vote is advisory and not binding on the Company, the Compensation Committee or the Board of Directors. However, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors value the opinions of our stockholders and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future decisions regarding executive compensation.

As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Compensation Committee has designed the executive compensation program to focus the executives on achieving consistent earnings growth, encourage continuation of the Company's entrepreneurial spirit, attract and retain highly qualified and motivated executives, reward the creation of stockholder value, encourage esprit de corps and reward outstanding performance. In designing the overall executive compensation program, the Company's Compensation Committee strives for the interests of management and stockholders to be the same - the maximization of stockholder value.

Our executive compensation package for Named Executives includes both cash and equity-based compensation, with an emphasis on performance-based pay. The Compensation Committee each year reviews and updates our executive compensation program to ensure they achieve the desired goals.

The Board of Directors believes that the compensation of the Named Executives is appropriate and effective in achieving the Company's objectives. Accordingly, the Board of Directors recommends that you vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the following resolution:

“RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Named Executives, as disclosed in the Company’s Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and related narrative disclosure, is hereby approved.”

Approval of the advisory vote on executive compensation requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present at the meeting in person or by proxy and entitled to vote. For purposes of determining the vote regarding this proposal, abstentions will have the same impact as a no vote. Unless you specify otherwise in your proxy, the persons you have appointed will vote your shares “For” approval of the above-described resolution.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVES. PROXIES RECEIVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS WILL BE VOTED FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVES UNLESS STOCKHOLDERS SPECIFY IN THEIR PROXY A VOTE OF "AGAINST" OR "ABSTAIN".**

**PROPOSAL 3**  
**RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF**  
**INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, performed an audit of our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 and the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2014. The Audit Committee has selected Deloitte & Touche, LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year, and the committee is presenting this selection to stockholders for ratification. Representatives of Deloitte & Touche are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting with the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and to be available to respond to appropriate

questions.

30

---



If prior to the Annual Meeting Deloitte & Touche LLP declines to act as our independent registered public accountant or the Audit Committee decides not to use Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accountant, the Audit Committee will appoint another independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee will present any new independent registered public accounting firm for the stockholders to ratify at the Annual Meeting. If the stockholders do not ratify the engagement of Deloitte & Touche LLP at the Annual Meeting, then the Audit Committee will reconsider its selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

To ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015, a majority of the shares present and entitled to vote must vote to approve. For purposes of determining the vote regarding this proposal, abstentions will have the same impact as a no vote. Unless you specify otherwise in your proxy, the persons you have appointed will vote your shares “For” ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015.

#### Audit and Non-Audit Fees

The following table presents fees for professional audit services rendered by Deloitte & Touche LLP for the audit of the Company’s annual consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 and reviews of the financial statements included in the Company’s Forms 10-Q for those fiscal years, the audit of the Company’s assessment and effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and fees for other services rendered during those periods.

	2014	2013
Audit Fees	\$576,087	\$612,567
Audit-Related Fees (1)	785,474	728,667
Tax Fees (2)	86,570	61,157
All Other Fees	—	—
Total Fees	\$1,448,131	\$1,402,391

(1) Audit-related fees for 2014 and 2013 included the audit of one employee benefit plan, audits performed in accordance with SSAE 16 and review of other SEC filings. SSAE 16 reviews are conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of operational controls in various regulated business operations of the Company, including our data processing service bureaus.

(2) Tax fees for 2014 and 2013 relate to U.S. federal, state and local tax planning and compliance.

In making its decision to continue to retain Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the next fiscal year, the Audit Committee has considered the above information to ensure that the provision of non-audit services will not negatively impact the maintenance of the firm’s independence.

The Audit Committee has in its Charter expressed its policy governing the engagement of the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for audit and non-audit services. Under the terms of the Charter, the Audit Committee is required to pre-approve all audit, audit related and non-audit services performed by the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm. All non-audit services for fiscal 2014 were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

At the beginning of each fiscal year, the Audit Committee reviews with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the types of services that are likely to be required throughout the year. Those services are comprised of four categories: audit services, audit-related services, tax services and all other permissible services. The independent registered public accounting firm provides documentation for each proposed specific service to be provided. At that time, the Audit Committee pre-approves a list of specific services that may be provided within each of these categories, and sets fee limits for each specific service or project. Management is then authorized to engage the independent registered public accounting firm to perform the pre-approved services as needed throughout the year, subject to providing the Audit Committee with regular updates. The Audit Committee reviews all billings submitted

by the independent registered public accounting firm on a regular basis to ensure that their services do not exceed pre-defined limits. The Audit Committee or its Chairman reviews and approves in advance, on a case-by-case basis, all other projects, services and fees to be performed by or paid to the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee also approves in advance any fees for pre-approved services that exceed the pre-established limits, as described above.

31

---

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED ACCOUNTING FIRM. PROXIES RECEIVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS WILL BE VOTED FOR THE RATIFICATION UNLESS STOCKHOLDERS SPECIFY IN THEIR PROXY A VOTE OF "AGAINST" OR "ABSTAIN".

#### STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

Stockholders who intend to present proposals for inclusion in the proxy statement and form of proxy for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must submit their proposals to the Company's Secretary on or before June 5, 2015. A stockholder who wishes to nominate a person to serve as a director or wishes to present a proposal at the 2015 Annual Meeting, but who does not request inclusion in the proxy statement, must submit the nomination or proposal to the Company's Secretary by August 15, 2015. The Company's bylaws specify requirements for the content of the notice that stockholders must provide.

#### COST OF SOLICITATION AND PROXIES

Proxy solicitation is being made by mail, although it may also be made by telephone or in person by officers, directors and employees of the Company not specifically engaged or compensated for that purpose. The Company will bear the entire cost of the Annual Meeting, including the cost of preparing, assembling, printing, and mailing the Proxy Statement, the Proxy and any additional materials furnished to stockholders. Copies of the solicitation materials will be furnished to brokerage houses, fiduciaries and custodians for forwarding to the beneficial owners of shares held of record by them and, upon their request, such persons will be reimbursed for their reasonable expenses incurred in completing the mailing to such beneficial owners.

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Consolidated financial statements of the Company are contained in the 2014 Annual Report which accompanies this Proxy Statement.

#### OTHER MATTERS

The Board of Directors knows of no matters that are expected to be presented for consideration at the 2014 Annual Meeting which are not described herein. However, if other matters properly come before the meeting, it is intended that the persons named in the accompanying Proxy will vote thereon in accordance with their best judgment.

By Order of the Board of Directors

/s/ John F. Prim

John F. Prim  
Chairman of the Board

Monett, Missouri  
October 3, 2014

32

---

A copy of the Company's Annual Report is included herewith. The Company will furnish without charge a copy of its Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission upon written request directed to Kevin D. Williams, Chief Financial Officer, Jack Henry & Associates, Inc., 663 Highway 60, Post Office Box 807, Monett, Missouri, 65708. The Form 10-K is also available at our investor relations website, [www.jackhenry.com/ir/](http://www.jackhenry.com/ir/).

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held on November 13, 2014:

The Proxy Statement and the 2014 Annual Report are available at [www.edocumentview.com/JKHY](http://www.edocumentview.com/JKHY).

[PROXY CARD]

Jack Henry & Associates, Inc.

IMPORTANT ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION

Electronic Voting Instructions

Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week!

Instead of mailing your proxy, you may choose one of the voting methods outlined below to vote your proxy.

VALIDATION DETAILS ARE LOCATED BELOW IN THE TITLE BAR.

Proxies submitted by the Internet or telephone must be received by 1:00 a.m., Central Time, on November 13, 2014.

Vote by Internet

- Go to [www.envisionreports.com/JKHY](http://www.envisionreports.com/JKHY)
- Or scan the QR code with your smartphone
- Follow the steps outlined on the secure website

Vote by telephone

- Call toll free 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) within the USA, US territories & Canada on a touch tone telephone
- Follow the instructions provided by the recorded message

Using a black ink pen, mark your votes with an X as shown in this example. Please do not write outside the designated areas. x

Annual Meeting Proxy Card

IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

A Proposals - The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR Proposals 1, 2, and 3.

1. Election of Directors	For	Withhold		For	Withhold		For	Withhold
01 - M. Flanigan			02 - M. Shepard			03 - J. Prim		

04 - T. Wilson			05 - J. Fiegel			06 - T. Wimsett		
----------------	--	--	----------------	--	--	-----------------	--	--

07 - L. Kelly			For Against	Abstain		For Against	Abstain
---------------	--	--	-------------	---------	--	-------------	---------

2. To approve the compensation of our named executive officers.		3. To ratify the selection of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.
---	--	--

Note: such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

B Non-Voting Items

Change of Address - Please print new address below.

C Authorized Signatures - This section must be completed for your vote to be counted. - Date and Sign Below Please sign exactly as name appears below. When shares are held by joint tenants, both should sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If a corporation, please sign in full corporate name by President or other authorized officer. If a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) - Please print date below.	Signature 1 - Please keep signature within the box.	Signature 2 - Please keep signature within the box.
--	---	---

//



IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

Proxy - Jack Henry & Associates, Inc.

This proxy is Solicited on Behalf of the Board of Directors

The undersigned hereby appoints John F. Prim and Kevin D. Williams as Proxies, each with the power to appoint his or her substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and to vote, as designated below, all the shares of common stock of Jack Henry & Associates, Inc. held of record by the undersigned on September 19, 2014, at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held on November 13, 2014 or any adjournment thereof.

This proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed herein by the undersigned stockholder. If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted FOR Proposals 1, 2, and 3. In their discretion, the Proxies are authorized to vote upon such other matters as may properly come before the meeting.

PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE PROXY CARD PROMPTLY USING THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.



