KINDER MORGAN, INC. Form 10-Q August 09, 2010

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

DEPARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

| For the transition period from _ | | | | | to | |
|----------------------------------|------|---------|-----------|---------|------|--|
| | | | - | | | |
| | Comi | nission | file numb | er: 1-0 | 6446 | |

KINDER MORGAN, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Kansas (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 48-0290000 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

500 Dallas Street, Suite 1000, Houston, Texas 77002 (Address of principal executive offices)(zip code) Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 713-369-9000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o

No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer þ Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes o No b

Number of outstanding shares of Common stock, \$0.01 par value, as of July 30, 2010 was 100 shares.

KINDER MORGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page Number |
|--------------------|---|----------------|
| | PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> Consolidated Statements of Income – Three and Six Months Ended June 30, | 3 |
| | 2010 and 2009 | 3 |
| | Consolidated Balance Sheets – June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 | 4 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Six Months Ended June 30, 201</u> 0 | |
| | and 2009 | 5 |
| | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 6 |
| τ. ο | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results | |
| Item 2. | of Operations General and Basis of Presentation | 44 44 |
| | Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates | 45 |
| | Results of Operations | 46 |
| | Financial Condition | 62 |
| | Recent Accounting Pronouncements | 67 |
| | Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements | 67 |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 69 |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 69 |
| | | |
| | PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | 70 |
| <u>Item</u> 1A. | Risk Factors | 70 |
| Item 2. | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 70 |
| Item 3. | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 70 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | (Removed and Reserved) | 70 |
| Item 5 | Other Information | 70 |

| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 71 |
|---------|-----------------|----|
| | Signature | 72 |
| | | |
| 2 | | |

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

KINDER MORGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (In Millions) (Unaudited)

| | Jı | ths Ended 30, | J | ns Ended 30, | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------------|---------|--------------|----------------------|---|---------------|---|--|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2009 | | |
| Revenues | 0.40.4 | | | | * * * * * * * | | 4.60 6 | | |
| Natural gas sales | \$848.1 | | \$716.9 | | \$1,865.6 | | \$1,605.6 | | |
| Services | 751.7 | | 652.1 | | 1,490.2 | | 1,313.5 | | |
| Product sales and other | 391.1 | | 324.3 | | 792.7 | | 603.1 | | |
| Total Revenues | 1,990.9 | | 1,693.3 | | 4,148.5 | | 3,522.2 | | |
| Operating Costs, Expenses and Other | | | | | | | | | |
| Gas purchases and other costs of sales | 847.9 | | 709.5 | | 1,864.5 | | 1,575.1 | | |
| Operations and maintenance | 319.2 | | 273.0 | | 773.7 | | 529.4 | | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 269.7 | | 256.8 | | 552.0 | | 521.6 | | |
| General and administrative | 104.8 | | 84.1 | | 220.5 | | 177.0 | | |
| Taxes, other than income taxes | 40.8 | | 23.4 | | 86.2 | | 62.4 | | |
| Other expense (income) | 3.1 | | (0.2) |) | 1.8 | | 0.1 | | |
| Total Operating Costs, Expenses and Other | 1,585.5 | | 1,346.6 | | 3,498.7 | | 2,865.6 | | |
| Operating Income | 405.4 | | 346.7 | | 649.8 | | 656.6 | | |
| Other Income (Expense) | | | | | | | | | |
| Earnings (loss) from equity investments | 60.9 | | 49.2 | | (313.3 |) | 97.8 | | |
| Amortization of excess cost of equity investments | (1.5 |) | (1.5 |) | (2.9 |) | (2.9 |) | |
| Interest, net | (156.4 |) | (139.3 |) | (307.0 |) | (281.3 |) | |
| Other, net | (2.3 |) | 20.3 | | 4.3 | • | 30.9 | | |
| Total Other Income (Expense) | (99.3 |) | (71.3 |) | (618.9 |) | (155.5 |) | |
| Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes | 306.1 | | 275.4 | | 30.9 | | 501.1 | | |
| Income Tax Benefit (Expense) | (45.8 |) | (67.0 |) | 49.7 | | (147.6 |) | |
| Income from Continuing Operations | 260.3 | | 208.4 | | 80.6 | | 353.5 | | |
| Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax | - | | 0.7 | | (0.2 |) | 0.5 | | |
| Net Income | 260.3 | | 209.1 | | 80.4 | | 354.0 | | |
| Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | (214.3 |) | (79.3 |) | (195.3 |) | (108.9 |) | |

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Kinder Morgan, Inc. \$46.0 \$129.8 \$(114.9) \$245.1

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

KINDER MORGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In Millions, Except Share and Per Share Amounts)

| | June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2009 |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$144.7 | \$165.6 |
| Restricted deposits | 61.8 | 52.5 |
| Accounts, notes and interest receivable, net | 859.8 | 921.6 |
| Inventories | 101.5 | 71.9 |
| Gas in underground storage | 50.8 | 43.5 |
| Fair value of derivative contracts | 44.5 | 20.8 |
| Other current assets | 78.9 | 109.7 |
| Total current assets | 1,342.0 | 1,385.6 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 16,858.6 | 16,803.5 |
| Investments | 4,271.0 | 3,695.6 |
| Notes receivable | 188.5 | 190.6 |
| Goodwill | 4,802.4 | 4,744.3 |
| Other intangibles, net | 354.5 | 259.8 |
| Fair value of derivative contracts | 588.0 | 293.3 |
| Deferred charges and other assets | 173.2 | 213.6 |
| Total Assets | \$28,578.2 | \$27,586.3 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Current portion of debt | \$2,466.3 | \$768.7 |
| Cash book overdrafts | 43.8 | 36.6 |
| Accounts payable | 583.0 | 620.8 |
| Accrued interest | 302.3 | 292.1 |
| Accrued taxes | 58.2 | 58.6 |
| Deferred revenues | 78.3 | 76.1 |
| Fair value of derivative contracts | 189.1 | 272.0 |
| Accrued other current liabilities | 189.1 | 194.6 |
| Total current liabilities | 3,910.1 | 2,319.5 |
| Long-term liabilities and deferred credits | | |
| Long-term debt | | |
| Outstanding | 12,305.7 | 12,779.7 |
| Preferred interest in general partner of Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Value of interest rate swaps | 793.8 | 361.0 |
| Total long-term debt | 13,199.5 | 13,240.7 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,893.2 | 2,039.9 |
| Fair value of derivative contracts | 150.3 | 469.6 |
| | | |

| Other long-term liabilities and deferred credits Total long-term liabilities and deferred credits | 598.0 15,841.0 | 670.5 16,420.7 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Total Liabilities | 19,751.1 | 18,740.2 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Notes 4 and 11) | | |
| Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Common stock – authorized and outstanding – 100 shares, par value \$0.01 per share | - | _ |
| Additional paid-in capital | 7,863.3 | 7,845.7 |
| Retained deficit | (3,946.3) | (3,506.3) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (104.6 | (167.9) |
| Total Kinder Morgan, Inc. stockholder's equity | 3,812.4 | 4,171.5 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 5,014.7 | 4,674.6 |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | 8,827.1 | 8,846.1 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$28,578.2 | \$27,586.3 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

KINDER MORGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In Millions) (Unaudited)

| | Six Months 2010 | En | Ended June 2009 | | |
|--|--------------------|----|-------------------|-----|--|
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities | | | | | |
| Net Income | \$80.4 | | \$354.0 | | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | | | | |
| (Income) loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.2 | | (0.6) |) | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 552.0 | | 521.6 | | |
| Deferred income taxes | (199.0 |) | 15.8 | | |
| Amortization of excess cost of equity investments | 2.9 | | 2.9 | | |
| Income from the allowance for equity funds used during construction | (0.6 |) | (20.3) |) | |
| Loss from the sale or casualty of property, plant and equipment and other net assets | 1.9 | | 0.1 | | |
| (Earnings) loss from equity investments | 313.3 | | (97.8 |) | |
| Distributions from equity investments | 101.9 | | 122.7 | • | |
| Proceeds from termination of interest rate swap agreements | - | | 144.4 | | |
| Pension contributions in excess of expense | - | | (13.7 |) | |
| Changes in components of working capital | | | • | • | |
| Accounts receivable | 67.0 | | 175.2 | | |
| Inventories | (29.7 |) | (11.2 |) | |
| Other current assets | (19.5 |) | - |) | |
| Accounts payable | (39.7 |) | - |) | |
| Accrued interest | 10.3 | | 21.2 | ĺ | |
| Accrued taxes | 21.5 | | (137.3 |) | |
| Accrued liabilities | (42.4 |) | (78.7 |) | |
| Rate reparations, refunds and other litigation reserve adjustments | (48.3 |) | - |) | |
| Other, net | (36.6 |) | |) | |
| Cash Flows Provided By Continuing Operations | 735.6 | | 580.5 | , | |
| Net Cash Flows (Used in) Provided by Discontinued Operations | (0.3 |) | | | |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 735.3 | | 580.8 | | |
| Cook Flores From Investing Activities | | | | | |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities | (020.7 | ` | | | |
| Acquisitions of investments | (929.7 | - | - (10 5 | ` | |
| Acquisitions of assets | (218.1 |) | - |) | |
| Repayments from customers | - (450.7 | ` | 109.6 | ` | |
| Capital expenditures | |) | (794.1 |) | |
| Deconsolidation of variable interest entity due to the implementation of ASU 2009-17 (Note 1 | |) | - | ` | |
| Sale or casualty of property, plant and equipment, and other net assets net of removal costs | 22.5 | , | (4.0 |) | |
| Investments in margin deposits | (3.9 |) | (24.9 |) | |
| Investments in restricted deposits | (5.3 |) | - | ` | |
| Contributions to investments | (181.4 |) | (803.6 |) | |
| Distributions from equity investments in excess of cumulative earnings | 109.9 | ٠. | 6.5 | 0. | |
| Net Cash Used in Investing Activities | (1,682. | 2) | (1,529. | .0) | |

Cash Flows From Financing Activities

| Issuance of debt Payment of debt Repayments from related party Debt issue costs Increase (decrease) in cash book overdrafts Cash dividends Contributions from noncontrolling interests Distributions to noncontrolling interests Other, net Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents | 5,280.5 (4,042.3) 1.3 (22.9) 7.3 (325.0) 433.2 (405.3) - 926.8 | 2.5 (7.4) (22.3) (150.0) 669.5 (358.6) (0.1) 994.1 |
|---|--|---|
| (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of period Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of period | (20.9) 165.6 \$144.7 | 48.4 118.6 \$167.0 |
| Noncash Investing and Financing Activities Assets acquired by the assumption or incurrence of liabilities Assets acquired by contributions from noncontrolling interests Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information Cash paid during the period for interest (net of capitalized interest) Cash paid during the period for income taxes (net of refunds) | \$8.1 \$81.7 \$302.0 \$137.8 | \$3.7 \$5.0 \$294.0 \$277.6 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

KINDER MORGAN, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. General

Organization

We are a large energy transportation and storage company, operating or owning an interest in approximately 37,000 miles of pipelines and approximately 180 terminals. We have both regulated and nonregulated operations. We also own all the common equity of the general partner of, and a significant limited partner interest in, Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P., a publicly traded pipeline limited partnership. We are a wholly owned subsidiary of Kinder Morgan Holdco LLC, a private company. Unless the context requires otherwise, references to "we," "us," "our," or the "Company" are intended to mean Kinder Morgan, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries. Unless the context requires otherwise, references to "KMP" are intended to mean Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Kinder Morgan Management, LLC, referred to in this report as "KMR," is a publicly traded Delaware limited liability company. Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc., the general partner of KMP and a wholly owned subsidiary of ours, owns all of KMR's voting shares. KMR, pursuant to a delegation of control agreement, has been delegated, to the fullest extent permitted under Delaware law, all of Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc.'s power and authority to manage and control the business and affairs of KMP, subject to Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc.'s right to approve certain transactions.

As further disclosed in Note 2 of our consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 ("2009 Form 10-K"), on May 30, 2007, Kinder Morgan, Inc. merged with a wholly owned subsidiary of Kinder Morgan Holdco LLC, with Kinder Morgan, Inc. continuing as the surviving legal entity. This transaction is referred to in this report as "the Going Private transaction." Effective with the closing of the Going Private transaction, all of our assets and liabilities were recorded at their estimated fair market values based on an allocation of the aggregate purchase price paid in the Going Private transaction.

Basis of Presentation

We have prepared our accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements under the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. These rules and regulations conform to the accounting principles contained in the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification, the single source of generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America and referred to in this report as the Codification. Under such rules and regulations, we have condensed or omitted certain information and notes normally included in financial statements prepared in conformity with the Codification. We believe, however, that our disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading.

In addition, our consolidated financial statements reflect normal adjustments, and also recurring adjustments that are, in the opinion of our management, necessary for a fair presentation of our financial results for the interim periods, and certain amounts from prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year; accordingly, you should read these consolidated financial statements in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our 2009 Form 10-K.

Our accounting records are maintained in United States dollars, and all references to dollars are United States dollars, except where stated otherwise. Canadian dollars are designated as C\$. Our consolidated financial statements include

the accounts of Kinder Morgan, Inc. and our majority-owned subsidiaries, as well as those of KMP and KMR, and prior to January 1, 2010 Triton Power Company LLC, see Note 8 "Reportable Segments" and Note 13 "Recent Accounting Pronouncements." Investments in jointly owned operations in which we hold a 50% or less interest (other than KMP and KMR, because we have the ability to exercise significant control over their operating and financial policies) are accounted for under the equity method. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Notwithstanding the consolidation of KMP and its subsidiaries into our financial statements, we are not liable for, and our assets are not available to satisfy, the obligations of KMP and/or its subsidiaries and vice versa, except as discussed in the following paragraph. Responsibility for payments of obligations reflected in our or KMP's financial statements is a legal determination based on the entity that incurs the liability.

In conjunction with KMP's acquisition of certain natural gas pipelines from us, we agreed to indemnify KMP with respect to approximately \$733.5 million of its debt. We would be obligated to perform under this indemnity only if KMP's assets were unable to satisfy its obligations.

2. Investments, Acquisitions, Joint Ventures and Divestitures

Investments

NGPL PipeCo LLC Investment Impairment Charge

On November 19, 2009, NGPL PipeCo LLC was notified by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") of a proceeding against it pursuant to section 5 of the Natural Gas Act (the "Order"). The proceeding instituted an investigation into the justness and reasonableness of Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America LLC's ("Natural") transportation and storage rates as well as its fuel and natural gas lost percentages. Natural reached a settlement in principal on the Order on April 22, 2010. On June 11, 2010, Natural filed an Offer of Settlement ("Settlement"), which was approved without modification by the FERC on July 29, 2010. Rehearing requests, if any, are due in the 30 days following the FERC's order approving the settlement. If no rehearing request is filed, the order approving the settlement will become final and nonappealable. The Settlement resolved all issues in the proceeding. The Settlement provides that Natural will reduce its fuel and gas lost and unaccounted for ("GL&U") retention factors as of July 1, 2010. The Settlement further provides a timeline for additional prospective fuel and GL&U reductions and prospective reductions in the maximum recourse reservation rates that it bills firm transportation and storage shippers.

The settlement in principle (discussed above), which was reached prior to the filing of our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2010, caused us to reconsider the carrying value of our investment in NGPL PipeCo LLC as of March 31, 2010. A current fair value of an investment that is less than its carrying amount may indicate a loss in value of the investment. The fair value represents the price that would be received to sell the investment in an orderly transaction between market participants. We determined the fair value of our investment in NGPL PipeCo LLC by taking the total fair value of NGPL PipeCo LLC (calculated as discussed below) deducting the fair value of the joint venture debt and multiplying by our 20% ownership interest. We calculated the total fair value of NGPL PipeCo LLC from the present value of the expected future after-tax cash flows of the reporting unit, inclusive of a terminal value, which implies a market multiple of approximately 9.5 times EBITDA (earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization) discounted at a rate of 7.4%. The expected future pre-interest, after-tax cash flows are lower than our previous expectations by approximately \$25.0 million to \$70.0 million per year. The result of our analysis showed that the fair value of our investment in NGPL PipeCo LLC was less than our carrying value. For the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we recognized a \$430.0 million, pre-tax, non-cash impairment charge included in the caption "Earnings (loss) from equity investments" in our accompanying consolidated statement of income.

Acquisitions

USD Terminal Acquisition

On January 15, 2010, KMP acquired three ethanol handling train terminals from US Development Group LLC for an aggregate consideration of \$200.8 million, consisting of \$115.7 million in cash, \$81.7 million in common units, and \$3.4 million in assumed liabilities. The three train terminals are located in Linden, New Jersey; Baltimore, Maryland and Dallas, Texas. As part of the transaction, KMP announced the formation of a venture with US Development Group LLC to optimize and coordinate customer access to the three acquired terminals, other ethanol terminal assets it already owns and operates, and other terminal projects currently under development by both parties. The acquisition

complemented and expanded the ethanol and rail terminal operations KMP previously owned, and all of the acquired assets are included in the Terminals–KMP business segment.

Based on the measurement of fair market values for all of the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the acquisition date, KMP assigned \$94.6 million of the combined purchase price to "Other intangibles, net," (representing customer relationships) \$43.1 million to "Property, Plant and Equipment, net" and a combined \$5.1 million to "Other current assets" and "Deferred charges and other assets." The remaining \$58.0 million of the purchase price represented the future economic benefits expected to be derived from the acquisition that was not assigned to other identifiable, separately recognizable assets acquired, and KMP recorded this amount as "Goodwill." KMP believes the primary items that generated the goodwill are the value of the synergies created between the acquired assets and its pre-existing ethanol handling assets, and its expected ability to grow the business by leveraging its pre-existing experience in ethanol handling operations. KMP expects that the

entire amount of goodwill will be deductible for tax purposes. Furthermore, in the third quarter of 2010, KMP will make a final settlement with the seller for acquired working capital balances.

Slay Industries Terminal Acquisition

On March 5, 2010, KMP acquired certain bulk and liquids terminal assets from Slay Industries for an aggregate consideration of \$101.6 million, consisting of \$97.0 million in cash, assumed liabilities of \$1.6 million, and an obligation to pay additional cash consideration of \$3.0 million in years 2013 through 2019, contingent upon the purchased assets providing KMP an agreed-upon amount of earnings during the three years following the acquisition. Including accrued interest, KMP expects to pay approximately \$2.0 million of this contingent consideration in the first half of 2013.

The acquired assets include (i) a marine terminal located in Sauget, Illinois, (ii) a transload liquid operation located in Muscatine, Iowa, (iii) a liquid bulk terminal located in St. Louis, Missouri and (iv) a warehousing distribution center located in St. Louis. All of the acquired terminals have long-term contracts with large creditworthy shippers. As part of the transaction, KMP and Slay Industries entered into joint venture agreements at both the Kellogg Dock coal bulk terminal, located in Modoc, Illinois, and at the newly created North Cahokia terminal, located in Sauget and which has approximately 175 acres of land ready for development. All of the assets located in Sauget have access to the Mississippi River and are served by five rail carriers. The acquisition complemented and expanded KMP's pre-existing Midwest terminal operations by adding a diverse mix of liquid and bulk capabilities, and all of the acquired assets are included in the Terminals–KMP business segment.

Based on the measurement of fair market values for all of the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed, KMP assigned \$67.9 million of the purchase price to "Property, Plant and Equipment, net," \$24.6 million to "Other intangibles, net" (representing customer contracts) and a combined \$8.2 million to "Investments." KMP recorded the remaining \$0.9 million of the combined purchase price as "Goodwill," representing certain advantageous factors that contributed to the acquisition price exceeding the fair value of acquired identifiable net assets—in the aggregate, these factors represented goodwill, and KMP expects that the entire amount of goodwill will be deductible for tax purposes.

Mission Valley Terminal Acquisition

On March 1, 2010, KMP acquired the refined products terminal assets at Mission Valley, California from Equilon Enterprises LLC (d/b/a Shell Oil Products US) for \$13.5 million in cash. The acquired assets include buildings, equipment, delivery facilities (including two truck loading racks), and storage tanks with a total capacity of approximately 170,000 barrels for gasoline, diesel fuel and jet fuel. The terminal operates under a long-term terminaling agreement with Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company. KMP assigned the entire purchase price to "Property, Plant and Equipment, net." The acquisition enhanced KMP's Pacific operations and complemented its existing West Coast terminal operations, and the acquired assets are included in the Products Pipelines–KMP business segment.

KinderHawk Field Services LLC Acquisition

On May 21, 2010, KMP completed its previously announced agreement to purchase a 50% ownership interest in Petrohawk Energy Corporation's natural gas gathering and treating business in the Haynesville shale gas formation located in northwest Louisiana. On that date, KMP paid an aggregate consideration of \$921.4 million in cash for its 50% equity ownership interest, and pursuant to the provisions of the joint venture formation and contribution agreement, KMP's payment included approximately \$46.4 million for both estimated capital expenditures and

estimated net cash outflows from operating activities for the period January 1, 2010 through May 21, 2010.

Petrohawk will continue to operate the business during a short transition period, and following the transition period, a newly formed company named KinderHawk Field Services LLC, owned 50% by KMP and 50% by Petrohawk, will assume the joint venture operations. The joint venture assets consist of more than 200 miles of pipeline currently in service, and it is expected that the pipeline mileage will increase to approximately 375 miles with projected throughput of over 800 million cubic feet per day of natural gas by the end of 2010. Additionally, it is expected that the system's natural gas amine treating plants will have capacity of approximately 2,635 gallons per minute by the end of 2010. The joint venture has also received a dedication to transport and treat all of Petrohawk's operated Haynesville and Bossier shale gas production in northwest Louisiana for the life of the leases at agreed upon rates, as well as minimum volume commitments from Petrohawk for the first five years of the joint venture agreement. It will also focus on providing transportation services to third-party producers. The joint venture ultimately is expected to have approximately two billion cubic feet per day of throughput capacity, which will make

it one of the largest gathering and treating systems in the United States.

The acquisition complemented and expanded KMP's existing natural gas gathering and treating businesses, and KMP assigned the entire purchase price to "Investments" on our accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010. KMP's investment and pro rata share of the joint venture's operating results are included as part of the Natural Gas Pipelines–KMP business segment.

Pro Forma Information

Pro forma consolidated income statement information that gives effect to all of the acquisitions we have made and all of the joint ventures we have entered into since January 1, 2009 as if they had occurred as of January 1, 2009 is not presented because it would not be materially different from the information presented in our accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Acquisitions Subsequent to June 30, 2010

On July 22, 2010, KMP acquired a terminal with ethanol tanks, a truck rack and additional acreage in Dallas, Texas, from Direct Fuels Partners, L.P. for an aggregate consideration of \$16 million, consisting of \$15.9 million in cash and an assumed property tax liability of \$0.1 million. The acquired terminal facility is connected to the Dallas, Texas unit train terminal KMP acquired from USD Development Group LLC in January 2010 (described above in "Acquisitions—USD Terminal Acquisition).

Joint Ventures

Joint Venture Formations and Ownership Changes

Eagle Ford Gathering LLC

On May 14, 2010, KMP and Copano Energy, L.L.C. entered into formal agreements for a joint venture to provide natural gas gathering, transportation and processing services to natural gas producers in the Eagle Ford Shale formation in south Texas. The joint venture is named Eagle Ford Gathering LLC, and as previously announced in November 2009, KMP will own 50% of the equity in the project (a 50% member interest in Eagle Ford Gathering LLC), and Copano will own the remaining 50% interest. Copano will serve as operator and managing member of Eagle Ford Gathering LLC. KMP and Copano have committed approximately 375 million cubic feet per day of natural gas capacity to the joint venture through 2024 for both transportation on KMP's natural gas pipeline that extends from Laredo to Katy, Texas, and for processing at Copano's natural gas processing plant located in Colorado County, Texas.

On July 6, 2010, Eagle Ford Gathering LLC announced the execution of a definitive long-term, fee-based gas services agreement with SM Energy Company. According to the provisions of the agreement (i) SM Energy will commit Eagle Ford production from its assets located in LaSalle, Dimmitt, and Webb Counties, Texas up to a maximum level of 200 million cubic feet per day over a ten year term; and (ii) Eagle Ford Gathering LLC will construct approximately 85-miles of 24-inch and 30-inch diameter pipeline to serve SM Energy's acreage in the western Eagle Ford Shale formation to KMP's Freer compressor station located in Duval County, Texas. The pipeline is expected to begin service during the summer of 2011.

Combined, KMP and Copano will invest approximately \$137 million for the first phase of construction, which will significantly extend the natural gas gathering pipeline beyond the length previously announced in November

2009. As of June 30, 2010, KMP's capital contributions (and net equity investment) in Eagle Ford Gathering LLC totaled \$0.1 million.

Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC

On May 26, 2010, Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. transferred to Regency Energy Partners LP (i) a 49.9% ownership interest in Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC; and (ii) a one-time right to purchase its remaining 0.1% ownership interest in Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC on May 26, 2011. As a result of this transfer, Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. now owns a 0.1% ownership interest in Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC. KMP's subsidiary, Kinder Morgan Operating L.P. "A," owns the remaining 50% ownership interest in Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC, and KMP did not record any equity method adjustments as a result of the ownership change between Regency Energy Partners LP and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.

Joint Venture Contributions

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, KMP contributed \$45.3 million and \$180.9 million, respectively, to its equity investees. KMP combined contributions to equity investees during the first half of 2010 included contributions of \$130.5 million to Rockies Express Pipeline LLC and contributions of \$39.0 million to Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, KMP contributed \$629.3 million and \$802.8 million, respectively, to its equity investees. KMP's 2009 contributions were paid primarily to West2East Pipeline LLC, Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC, and Fayetteville Express Pipeline LLC to partially fund their respective Rockies Express, Midcontinent Express, and Fayetteville Express natural gas pipeline system construction and/or pre-construction costs. KMP owns a 50% equity interest in Fayetteville Express Pipeline LLC. Equity contributions are reported separately as "Contributions to equity investments" in our accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Divestitures

Cypress Pipeline

On July 14, 2009, KMP received notice from Westlake Petrochemicals LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Westlake Chemical Corporation, that it was exercising an option it held to purchase a 50% ownership interest in KMP's Cypress Pipeline. KMP expects the transaction to close by the end of the third quarter of 2010. As of June 30, 2010, the net assets of KMP's Cypress Pipeline totaled approximately \$20.6 million. At the time of the sale, KMP will (i) deconsolidate the net assets of the Cypress Pipeline, (ii) recognize a gain or loss on the sale of net assets equal to the difference between (a) the proceeds received from the sale and (b) 50% of the net assets' carrying value and (iii) recognize the remaining 50% noncontrolling investment retained at its fair value (which is expected to result in a gain).

3. Intangibles

Goodwill

We evaluate goodwill for impairment on May 31 of each year. For this purpose, we have six reporting units as follows: (i) Products Pipelines–KMP (excluding associated terminals), (ii) Products Pipelines Terminals–KMP (evaluated separately from Products Pipelines–KMP for goodwill purposes), (iii) Natural Gas Pipelines–KMP, (iv) CO2–KMP, (v) Terminals–KMP and (vi) Kinder Morgan Canada–KMP.

There were no impairment charges resulting from our May 31, 2010 impairment testing, and no event indicating an impairment has occurred subsequent to that date. The fair value of each reporting unit was determined from the present value of the expected future cash flows from the applicable reporting unit (inclusive of a terminal value calculated using market multiples between six and ten times cash flows) discounted at a rate of 9.0%. The value of each reporting unit was determined on a stand-alone basis from the perspective of a market participant and represented the price that would be received to sell the unit as a whole in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

Changes in the gross amounts of our goodwill and accumulated impairment losses for the six months ended June 30, 2010 are summarized as follows (in millions):

Edgar Filing: KINDER MORGAN, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | Kinder | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|---------|--------------|
| | Products | Natural Gas | | | Morgan | |
| | Pipelines- Pipelines- | | | Terminals- | Canada- | |
| | KMP | KMP | CO2–KMP | KMP | KMP | Total |
| Historical Goodwill | \$2,116.5 | \$3,488.0 | \$1,521.7 | \$1,415.4 | \$613.1 | \$9,154.7 |
| Accumulated impairment losses. | (1,266.5) | (2,090.2) | - | (676.6) | (377.1 |) (4,410.4) |
| Balance as of December 31, | | | | | | |
| 2009 | 850.0 | 1,397.8 | 1,521.7 | 738.8 | 236.0 | 4,744.3 |
| Acquisitions and adjustments | - | - | - | 61.2 | - | 61.2 |
| Currency translation adjustments | - | - | - | - | (3.1 |) (3.1) |
| Balance as of June 30, 2010 | \$850.0 | \$1,397.8 | \$1,521.7 | \$800.0 | \$232.9 | \$4,802.4 |

In addition, we identify any premium or excess cost we pay over our proportionate share of the underlying fair value of net assets acquired and accounted for as investments under the equity method of accounting. This premium or excess cost is referred to as equity method goodwill and is also not subject to amortization but rather to

impairment testing. For all investments we own containing equity method goodwill, no event or change in circumstances that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of our equity investments has occurred during the first six months of 2010, and as of both June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we reported \$138.2 million in equity method goodwill within the caption "Investments" in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Other Intangibles

Excluding goodwill, our other intangible assets include customer relationships, contracts and agreements, technology-based assets and lease value. These intangible assets have definite lives and are reported separately as "Other intangibles, net" in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Following is information related to our intangible assets subject to amortization (in millions):

| | June 30, 2010 | Б | December 3 2009 | 1, |
|--|-------------------------------|----|-------------------------|----|
| Customer relationships, contracts and agreements Gross carrying amount Accumulated amortization Net carrying amount | \$ 417.1 (75.1 342.0 | \$ | 297.9 (50.9 247.0 |) |
| Technology-based assets, lease value and other Gross carrying amount Accumulated amortization Net carrying amount | 14.1 (1.6 12.5 |) | 14.1 (1.3 12.8 |) |
| Total other intangibles, net | \$ 354.5 | \$ | 259.8 | |

The increase in the carrying amount of the customer relationships, contracts and agreements since December 31, 2009 was mainly due to the acquisition of intangibles included in KMP's purchase of terminal assets from US Development Group LLC and Slay Industries, discussed in Note 2.

We amortize the costs of our intangible assets to expense in a systematic and rational manner over their estimated useful lives. Among the factors we weigh, depending on the nature of the asset, are the effects of obsolescence, new technology, and competition. For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the amortization expense on our intangibles totaled \$12.1 million and \$4.8 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the amortization expense on our intangibles totaled \$24.5 million and \$9.5 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2010, the weighted average amortization period for our intangible assets was approximately 14 years, and our estimated amortization expense for these assets for each of the next five fiscal years (2011 – 2015) is approximately \$42.0 million, \$36.6 million, \$32.7 million, \$29.4 million and \$26.4 million, respectively.

4. Debt

We classify our debt based on the contractual maturity dates of the underlying debt instruments or as of the earliest put date available to the holders of the applicable debt. We defer costs associated with debt issuance over the applicable term or to the first put date, in the case of debt with a put feature. These costs are then amortized as interest expense in our accompanying consolidated statements of income.

The net carrying amount of our debt (including both short-term and long-term amounts and excluding the value of interest rate swap agreements and the preferred interest in the general partner of KMP) as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was \$14,772.0 million and \$13,548.4 million, respectively.

Our outstanding short-term debt as of June 30, 2010 was \$2,466.3 million. The balance consisted of (i) \$142.7 million in outstanding borrowings under Kinder Morgan, Inc.'s senior secured credit facility, (ii) \$750.0 million in principal amount of our 5.35% series senior notes due January 5, 2011 (including discount and purchase accounting adjustments, the notes had a carrying amount of \$747.9 million as of June 30, 2010), (iii) a \$1.1 million current portion of our 6.50% series debentures, due 2013, (iv) \$700.0 million in principal amount of KMP's 6.75% senior notes due March 15, 2011 (including discount and purchase accounting adjustments, the notes had a carrying amount of \$702.6 million as of June 30, 2010), (v) \$501.4 million of KMP's commercial paper borrowings, (vi) \$250.0 million in principal amount of KMP's 7.50% senior notes due November 1, 2010 (including discount and

purchase accounting adjustments, the notes had a carrying amount of \$250.7 million as of June 30, 2010), (vii) \$75.0 million in outstanding borrowings under KMP's unsecured revolving bank credit facility, (viii) \$23.7 million in principal amount of tax-exempt bonds that mature on April 1, 2024, but are due on demand pursuant to certain standby purchase agreement provisions contained in the bond indenture (KMP's subsidiary Kinder Morgan Operating L.P. "B" is the obligor on the bonds), (ix) a \$9.1 million portion of a 5.40% long-term note payable (KMP's subsidiaries Kinder Morgan Operating L.P. "A" and Kinder Morgan Canada Company are the obligors on the note), (x) a \$7.1 million portion of 5.23% long-term senior notes (KMP's subsidiary Kinder Morgan Texas Pipeline, L.P. is the obligor on the notes) and (xi) \$5.0 million in principal amount of 6.00% Development Revenue Bonds due January 1, 2011 and issued by the Louisiana Community Development Authority, a political subdivision of the state of Louisiana (KMP's subsidiary Kinder Morgan Louisiana Pipeline LLC is the obligor on the bonds).

Credit Facilities

| | June 30, 2010 | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Weighted- | | | | | |
| | Short-term | average | | | | | |
| | notes | interest | | | | | |
| | payable | rate | | | | | |
| | (In mil | lions) | | | | | |
| Kinder Morgan, | | | | | | | |
| Inc. – Secured | | | | | | | |
| debt(a) | \$ 142.7 | 1.71 % | | | | | |
| KMP - Unsecured | | | | | | | |
| debt(b) | | | | | | | |
| Credit facility | \$ 75.0 | 2.10 % | | | | | |
| Commercial paper | \$ 501.4 | 0.67 % | | | | | |

⁽a) The average short-term debt outstanding (and related weighted-average interest rate) was \$142.4 million (1.82%) and \$157.1 million (1.80%) during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

As of June 30, 2010, the amount available for borrowing under the Kinder Morgan, Inc. \$1.0 billion six-year senior secured credit facility was reduced by \$70.2 million of letters of credit consisting of: (i) a combined \$33.6 million in four letters of credit required under provisions of our property and casualty, workers' compensation and general liability insurance policies, (ii) a combined total of \$20.4 million in two letters of credit supporting the operation and lease payments of the Jackson, Michigan power generation facility and (iii) a \$16.2 million letter of credit to fund the debt service reserve account required under KMP's Express pipeline system's trust indenture.

As of December 31, 2009, there were \$171.0 million in borrowings under Kinder Morgan, Inc.'s credit facility and the average interest on these borrowings was 1.61%. Our credit facility matures on May 30, 2013 and includes a sublimit of \$300 million for the issuance of letters of credit and a sublimit of \$50 million for swingline loans. We do not have a commercial paper program.

As of March 31, 2010, KMP had a \$1.79 billion five-year unsecured revolving bank credit facility that was due August 18, 2010. On June 23, 2010, KMP successfully renegotiated this credit facility, replacing it with a new \$2.0

⁽b) The average short-term debt outstanding (and related weighted-average interest rate) was \$598.7 million (0.79%) and \$557.2 million (0.71%) during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

billion three-year, senior unsecured revolving credit facility that expires June 23, 2013. The covenants of this credit facility are substantially similar to the terms of its previous facility; however, the interest rates for borrowings under this facility have increased from KMP's previous facility.

Similar to its previous facility, KMP's \$2.0 billion credit facility is with a syndicate of financial institutions, and the facility permits KMP to obtain bids for fixed rate loans from members of the lending syndicate. Wells Fargo Bank, National Association is the administrative agent, and borrowings under the credit facility can be used for general partnership purposes and as a backup for KMP's commercial paper program. Interest on KMP's credit facility accrues at its option at a floating rate equal to either (i) the administrative agent's base rate (but not less than the Federal Funds Rate, plus 0.5%), or (ii) LIBOR, plus a margin, which varies depending upon the credit rating of its long-term senior unsecured debt. The credit facility can be amended to allow for borrowings of up to \$2.3 billion.

The outstanding balance under KMP's \$2.0 billion credit facility was \$75.0 million as of June 30, 2010, and the weighted average interest rate on these borrowings was 2.10%. As of December 31, 2009, the outstanding balance under KMP's previous \$1.79 billion credit facility was \$300 million, and the weighted average interest rate on these borrowings was 0.59%.

Kinder Morgan, Inc. Form 10-Q

Additionally, as of June 30, 2010, the amount available for borrowing under KMP's credit facility was reduced by a combined amount of \$723.6 million, consisting of \$501.4 million of commercial paper borrowings and \$222.2 million of letters of credit, consisting of: (i) a \$100.0 million letter of credit that supports certain proceedings with the California Public Utilities Commission involving refined products tariff charges on the intrastate common carrier operations of KMP's Pacific operations' pipelines in the state of California, (ii) a combined \$89.4 million in three letters of credit that support tax-exempt bonds, (iii) a \$16.1 million letter of credit that supports KMP's indemnification obligations on the Series D note borrowings of Cortez Capital Corporation and (iv) a combined \$16.7 million in other letters of credit supporting other obligations of KMP and its subsidiaries.

Commercial Paper Program

On October 13, 2008, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services lowered KMP's short-term credit rating to A-3 from A-2, and on May 6, 2009, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. downgraded KMP's commercial paper rating to Prime-3 from Prime-2 and assigned a negative outlook to KMP's long-term credit rating. As a result of these revisions and the commercial paper market conditions, KMP was unable to access commercial paper borrowings throughout 2009.

However, on February 25, 2010, Standard & Poor's revised its outlook on KMP's long-term credit rating to stable from negative, affirmed KMP's long-term credit rating at BBB, and raised KMP's short-term credit rating to A-2 from A-3. The rating agency's revisions reflected its expectations that KMP's financial profile will improve due to lower guaranteed debt obligations and higher expected cash flows associated with the completion and start-up of KMP's 50%-owned Rockies Express and Midcontinent Express natural gas pipeline systems and its fully-owned Kinder Morgan Louisiana natural gas pipeline system. Due to this favorable change in KMP's short-term credit rating it resumed issuing commercial paper in March 2010, and as of June 30, 2010, KMP had \$501.4 million of commercial paper outstanding with a weighted average interest rate of approximately 0.67%. In the near term, KMP expects that its short-term liquidity and financing needs will to be met through a combination of borrowings made under its bank credit facility and commercial paper program.

Long-term Debt

Senior Notes

On May 19, 2010, KMP completed a public offering of senior notes. KMP issued a total of \$1 billion in principal amount of senior notes in two separate series, consisting of \$600 million of 5.30% notes due September 15, 2020, and \$400 million of 6.55% notes due September 15, 2040. KMP received proceeds from the issuance of the notes, after underwriting discounts and commissions, of \$993.1 million, and it used the proceeds to reduce the borrowings under its commercial paper program and its bank credit facility.

K N Capital Trust I and K N Capital Trust III

As a result of the implementation of Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2009-17, effective January 1, 2010, we (i) include the transactions and balances of our business trusts, K N Capital Trust I and K N Capital Trust III, including \$27.1 million of long-term debt at June 30, 2010 in our accompanying consolidated financial statements and (ii) no longer include our Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures issued to the Capital Trusts, which balance was \$35.7 million reported under the heading "Long-term Debt Outstanding" in our accompanying consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2009. Also, see Note 13 "Recent Accounting Pronouncements—Accounting Standards Updates."

Interest Rate Swaps

Information on interest rate swaps is contained in Note 6, "Risk Management – Interest Rate Risk Management."

Contingent Debt

The following contingent debt disclosures pertain to certain types of guarantees or indemnifications KMP has made and cover certain types of guarantees included within debt agreements, even if the likelihood of requiring its performance under such guarantee is remote. The following is a description of KMP's contingent debt agreements as of June 30, 2010.

Cortez Pipeline Company Debt

Pursuant to a Throughput and Deficiency Agreement, the partners of Cortez Pipeline Company (KMP's subsidiary, Kinder Morgan CO2 Company, L.P. – 50% partner; a subsidiary of Exxon Mobil Corporation – 37%

Kinder Morgan, Inc. Form 10-Q

partner; and Cortez Vickers Pipeline Company – 13% partner) are required, on a several, proportional percentage ownership basis, to contribute capital to Cortez Pipeline Company in the event of a cash deficiency. Furthermore, due to KMP's indirect ownership of Cortez Pipeline Company through Kinder Morgan CO2 Company, L.P., it severally guarantees 50% of the debt of Cortez Capital Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cortez Pipeline Company.

As of June 30, 2010, the debt facilities of Cortez Capital Corporation consisted of (i) \$32.1 million of fixed rate Series D notes due May 15, 2013, (ii) \$100 million of variable rate Series E notes due on December 11, 2012 (interest on the Series E notes is paid quarterly and based on an interest rate of three-month LIBOR plus a spread) and (iii) a \$40 million committed revolving credit facility also due December 11, 2012. As of June 30, 2010, in addition to the outstanding Series D and Series E notes, Cortez Capital Corporation had outstanding borrowings of \$11.8 million under its credit facility. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2010, KMP's contingent share of Cortez Capital Corporation's debt was \$72.0 million (50% of total borrowings).

With respect to Cortez Capital Corporation's Series D notes, the average interest rate on the notes is 7.14%, and the outstanding \$32.1 million principal amount of the notes is due in three equal annual installments of \$10.7 million beginning May 2011. Shell Oil Company ("Shell") shares KMP's guaranty obligations jointly and severally; however, KMP is obligated to indemnify Shell for liabilities it incurs in connection with such guaranty. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2010, JP Morgan Chase has issued a letter of credit on KMP's behalf in the amount of \$16.1 million to secure its indemnification obligations to Shell for 50% of the \$32.1 million in principal amount of Series D notes outstanding as of that date.

Nassau County, Florida Ocean Highway and Port Authority Debt

KMP has posted a letter of credit as security for borrowings under Adjustable Demand Revenue Bonds issued by the Nassau County, Florida Ocean Highway and Port Authority. The bonds were issued for the purpose of constructing certain port improvements located in Fernandino Beach, Nassau County, Florida. KMP's subsidiary, Nassau Terminals LLC, is the operator of the marine port facilities. The bond indenture is for 30 years and allows the bonds to remain outstanding until December 1, 2020. Principal payments on the bonds are made on the first of December each year and corresponding reductions are made to the letter of credit. As of June 30, 2010, this letter of credit had a face amount of \$19.8 million.

Fayetteville Express Pipeline LLC Debt

Fayetteville Express Pipeline LLC is an equity method investee of KMP, and pursuant to certain guaranty agreements with Fayetteville Express Pipeline LLC, both of the member owners of Fayetteville Express Pipeline LLC have agreed to guarantee, severally in the same proportion as their percentage ownership of the member interests in Fayetteville Express, borrowings under its \$1.1 billion, unsecured revolving credit facility that is due May 11, 2012. The two member owners and their respective ownership interests consist of the following: KMP's subsidiary, Kinder Morgan Operating L.P. "A" – 50%; and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. – 50%.

The Fayetteville Express Pipeline LLC credit facility is with a syndicate of financial institutions with The Royal Bank of Scotland plc as the administrative agent. Borrowings under the credit facility are primarily used to finance the construction of the Fayetteville Express natural gas pipeline system and to pay related expenses. As of June 30, 2010, Fayetteville Express had outstanding borrowings of \$663.0 million under its bank credit facility. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2010, KMP's contingent share of Fayetteville Express' debt was \$331.5 million (50% of total borrowings).

Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC Debt

Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC is also an equity method investee of KMP, and the three member owners and their respective ownership interests consist of the following: KMP's subsidiary, Kinder Morgan Operating L.P. "A" – 50%; Regency Energy Partners, L.P. – 49.9%; and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. – 0.1%. Pursuant to certain guaranty agreements, each of the member owners of Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC have agreed to guarantee, severally in the same proportion as their percentage ownership of the member interests in Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC, borrowings under its three-year, unsecured revolving credit facility due February 28, 2011. The facility is with a syndicate of financial institutions with The Royal Bank of Scotland plc as the administrative agent. Borrowings under the credit facility can be used for general limited liability company purposes.

As of March 31, 2010, the credit facility allowed for borrowings up to \$255.4 million. On April 30, 2010, Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC amended its bank credit facility to allow for borrowings up to \$175.4 million (a reduction from \$255.4 million), and as of June 30, 2010, Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC had outstanding borrowings of \$33.1 million under its bank credit facility. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2010, KMP's contingent share of Midcontinent Express' debt was \$16.6 million (50% of total guaranteed borrowings). Furthermore, the credit facility can be used for the issuance of letters of credit to support the operation of the Midcontinent Express pipeline system, and as of June 30, 2010, a letter of credit having a face amount of \$33.3 million was issued under the credit facility by the Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2010, KMP's contingent responsibility with regard to this outstanding letter of credit was \$16.7 million (50% of total face amount).

Rockies Express Pipeline LLC Debt

Rockies Express Pipeline LLC is another equity method investee of KMP, and pursuant to certain guaranty agreements remaining in effect on March 31, 2010, all three member owners of Rockies Express Pipeline LLC had agreed to guarantee, severally in the same proportion as their percentage ownership of the member interests in Rockies Express Pipeline LLC, borrowings under its \$2.0 billion five-year, unsecured revolving bank credit facility due April 28, 2011. The three member owners and their respective ownership interests consist of the following: KMP's subsidiary Kinder Morgan W2E Pipeline LLC – 50%; a subsidiary of Sempra Energy – 25%; and a subsidiary of ConocoPhillips – 25%.

As of March 31, 2010, Rockies Express Pipeline LLC had no outstanding borrowings under its bank credit facility; therefore, KMP had no contingent debt obligation associated with KMP's guaranty agreement. On April 8, 2010, Rockies Express Pipeline LLC amended its bank credit facility to allow for borrowings up to \$200 million (a reduction from \$2.0 billion), and on this same date, each of its three member owners were released from their respective debt obligations under the previous guaranty agreements. Accordingly, KMP no longer has a contingent debt obligation with respect to Rockies Express Pipeline LLC.

For additional information regarding ours, and our subsidiary, KMP's, debt facilities and contingent debt agreements, see Note 8 "Debt" and Note 12 "Commitments and Contingent Liabilities" in our consolidated financial statements included in our 2009 Form 10-K.

Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc. Preferred Shares

On July 21, 2010, Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc.'s board of directors declared a quarterly cash distribution on its Series A Fixed-to-Floating Rate Term Cumulative Preferred Stock of \$20.825 per share payable on August 18, 2010 to shareholders of record as of July 30, 2010. On April 21, 2010, Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc.'s board of directors declared a quarterly cash distribution on its Series A Fixed-to-Floating Rate Term Cumulative Preferred Stock of \$20.825 per share paid on May 18, 2010 to shareholders of record as of April 30, 2010.

5. Stockholders' Equity

During the first six months of both 2010 and 2009, there were no material changes in our ownership interests in subsidiaries, in which we retained a controlling financial interest.

Our Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$175.0 million on July 21, 2010 that will be paid on August 16, 2010. On May 17, 2010 and February 16, 2010, we paid cash dividends on our common stock of \$175.0 million and \$150.0 million, respectively, to our sole stockholder, which then made dividends to Kinder Morgan Holdco LLC.

The following tables set forth for the respective periods (i) changes in the carrying amounts of our Stockholders' Equity attributable to both us and our noncontrolling interests, including our comprehensive income (loss) and (ii) associated tax amounts included in the respective components of other comprehensive income (loss) (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----|--------------------|-----|-----------|---|-----------|---|-------------|----|-----------|---|
| | 2010 | | | | 2009 | | | | | | | |
| | Kinder | N | Joncontroll | ing | g | | Kinder | N | Joncontroll | in | g | |
| | Morgan, I | nc. | interests | | Total | 1 | | | interests | • | Total | |
| Beginning Balance | \$3,901.6 | | \$ 4,546.5 | | \$8,448.1 | | \$4,451.3 | | \$ 4,187.4 | | \$8,638.7 | |
| Impact from equity transactions of KMP | 11.9 | | (18.6 |) | (6.7 |) | 9.3 | | (14.5 |) | (5.2 |) |
| A-1 and B unit amortization | 1.6 | | - | | 1.6 | | 1.9 | | - | | 1.9 | |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | - | | (204.4 |) | (204.4 |) | - | | (183.1 |) | (183.1 |) |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests | s - | | 433.3 | | 433.3 | | - | | 386.6 | | 386.6 | • |
| Cash dividends | (175.0 |) | - | | (175.0 |) | (100.0 |) | - | | (100.0 |) |
| Other | - | | - | | _ | | _ | | (0.4 |) | (0.4 |) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | 46.0 | | 214.3 | | 260.3 | | 129.8 | | 79.3 | | 209.1 | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| net of tax | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Change in fair value of derivatives utilized | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| for hedging purposes | 43.0 | | 65.1 | | 108.1 | | (111.3 |) | (153.8 |) | (265.1 |) |
| Reclassification of change in fair value of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| derivatives to net income | 3.9 | | 17.9 | | 21.8 | | (14.4 |) | 14.4 | | - | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (20.6 |) | (39.4 |) | (60.0 |) | 31.4 | | 59.7 | | 91.1 | |
| Adjustments to pension and other | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| postretirement benefit plan liabilities | - | | - | | - | | - | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | 26.3 | | 43.6 | | 69.9 | | (94.3 |) | (79.6 |) | (173.9 |) |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | 72.3 | | 257.9 | | 330.2 | | 35.5 | | (0.3 |) | 35.2 | |
| Ending Balance | \$3,812.4 | | \$ 5,014.7 | | \$8,827.1 | | \$4,398.0 |) | \$ 4,375.7 | | \$8,773.7 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Tax Expense) Tax Benefit Included in | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss): | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Change in fair value of derivatives utilized | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| for hedging purposes | \$(34.0 |) | \$ (7.1 |) | \$(41.1 |) | \$65.8 | | \$ 17.0 | | \$82.8 | |
| Reclassification of change in fair value of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| derivatives to net income | (3.7 |) | (2.0 |) | (5.7 |) | 5.8 | | (1.4 |) | 4.4 | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 15.6 | | 4.2 | | 19.8 | | (26.9 |) | (6.1 |) | (33.0 |) |
| Adjustments to pension and other | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| postretirement benefit plan liabilities | - | | - | | - | | (0.1 |) | (0.1 |) | (0.2 |) |

Tax included in total other comprehensive

income (loss) \$(22.1) \$(4.9) \$(27.0) \$44.6 \$9.4 \$54.0

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------|---|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|---|-------------|---|-----------|---|
| | 2010 | | | | | 2009 | | | | | | |
| | | Kinder Noncontrolling | | | | Kinder Noncontrolling | | | | | | |
| | Morgan, In | | | | Total | | • | | . interests | | Total | |
| Beginning Balance | \$4,171.5 | | \$ 4,674.6 | | \$8,846.1 | | \$4,404.3 | | \$ 4,072.6 | | \$8,476.9 | |
| Impact from equity transactions of KMP | 14.0 | | (22.0) |) | (8.0) |) | 15.8 | | (24.6 |) | |) |
| A-1 and B unit amortization | 3.5 | | - | | 3.5 | | 3.8 | | - | | 3.8 | |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | - | | (405.2 |) | • |) | - | | (359.4 |) | (359.4 |) |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interest | s - | | 515.0 | | 515.0 | | - | | 674.5 | | 674.5 | |
| Implementation of Accounting Standards | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Update 2009-17(a) | - | | (45.9 |) | (45.9 |) | - | | - | | - | |
| Cash dividends | (325.0 |) | - | | (325.0 |) | (150.0 |) | - | | (150.0 |) |
| Other | - | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | - | | 2.3 | | 2.3 | |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | (114.9 |) | 195.3 | | 80.4 | | 245.1 | | 108.9 | | 354.0 | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| net of tax | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Change in fair value of derivatives utilized | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| for hedging purposes | 58.6 | | 76.4 | | 135.0 | | (95.4 |) | (136.3 |) | (231.7 |) |
| Reclassification of change in fair value of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| derivatives to net income | 8.0 | | 39.6 | | 47.6 | | (34.9 |) | 6.0 | | (28.9 |) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (2.5 |) | (12.1 |) | (14.6 |) | 10.2 | | 33.0 | | 43.2 | |
| Adjustments to pension and other | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| postretirement benefit plan liabilities | (0.8 |) | (1.1 |) | (1.9 |) | (0.9) |) | (1.3 |) | (2.2 |) |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | 63.3 | | 102.8 | | 166.1 | | (121.0 |) | (98.6 |) | (219.6 |) |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | (51.6 |) | 298.1 | | 246.5 | | 124.1 | | 10.3 | | 134.4 | |
| Ending Balance | \$3,812.4 | | \$ 5,014.7 | | \$8,827.1 | | \$4,398.0 |) | \$ 4,375.7 | | \$8,773.7 | |
| | | | , | | , | | | | , | | , | |
| (Tax Expense) Tax Benefit Included in | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss): | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Change in fair value of derivatives utilized | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| for hedging purposes | \$(44.3 |) | \$ (8.3 |) | \$(52.6 |) | \$55.6 | | \$ 15.4 | | \$71.0 | |
| Reclassification of change in fair value of | , , , , , | | (| , | , (| | , | | , | | , | |
| derivatives to net income | (6.5 |) | (4.3 |) | (10.8 |) | 19.0 | | (0.7 |) | 18.3 | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 3.0 | | 1.3 | , | 4.3 | | (14.3 |) | |) | (18.0 |) |
| Adjustments to pension and other | 2.0 | | 1.0 | | | | (1 | , | (0., | , | (10.0 | , |
| postretirement benefit plan liabilities | 0.6 | | 0.1 | | 0.7 | | 0.6 | | 0.1 | | 0.7 | |
| Tax included in total other comprehensive | 0 | | ~ | | | | | | ~· * | | ~ | |
| income (loss) | \$(47.2 |) | \$ (11.2 |) | \$(58.4 |) | \$60.9 | | \$ 11.1 | | \$72.0 | |
| - (| | , | | , | | , | , | | | | | |

⁽a) Upon the adoption of Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-17, which amended the codification's "Consolidation" topic, on January 1, 2010, Triton Power Company LLC is no longer consolidated into our financial statements, but is treated as an equity investment (see Note 13).

Noncontrolling Interests

The caption "Noncontrolling interests" in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets consists of interests in the following subsidiaries (in millions):

| | | December |
|---------|------------|------------|
| | June 30, | 31, |
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| KMP | \$ 3,073.8 | \$ 2,746.4 |
| KMR | 1,929.5 | 1,870.7 |
| Triton | | |
| Power | | |
| Company | | |
| LLC(a) | - | 45.9 |
| Other | 11.4 | 11.6 |
| | \$ 5,014.7 | \$ 4,674.6 |

⁽a) Upon the adoption of Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-17, which amended the codification's "Consolidation" topic, on January 1, 2010, Triton Power Company LLC is no longer consolidated into our financial statements, but is treated as an equity investment (see Note 13).

KMP's Common Units

On January 15, 2010, KMP issued 1,287,287 common units as part of its purchase price for the ethanol handling terminal assets it acquired from US Development Group LLC. KMP valued the common units at \$81.7 million, determining the units' value based on the \$63.45 closing market price of the common units on the New York Stock

Kinder Morgan, Inc. Form 10-Q

Exchange on the January 15, 2010 acquisition date. For more information on this acquisition, see Note 2 "Investments, Acquisitions, Joint Ventures and Divestitures—Acquisitions—USD Terminal Acquisition."

On May 7, 2010, KMP issued, in a public offering, 6,500,000 of its common units at a price of \$66.25 per unit, less commissions and underwriting expenses. After commissions and underwriting expenses, KMP received net proceeds of \$417.4 million for the issuance of these 6,500,000 common units, and KMP used the proceeds to reduce the borrowings under its commercial paper program and its bank credit facility.

In June 2010, KMP issued 243,042 of its common units pursuant to its equity distribution agreement with UBS Securities LLC (UBS). After commissions of \$0.1 million, KMP received net proceeds from the issuance of these common units of \$15.8 million, and used the proceeds to reduce the borrowings under its commercial paper program and bank credit facility. KMP's equity distribution agreement provides it the right, but not the obligation, to sell common units in the future, at prices it deems appropriate. KMP retains at all times complete control over the amount and the timing of each sale, and it will designate the maximum number of common units to be sold through UBS, on a daily basis or otherwise as it and UBS agree. Either KMP or UBS may suspend the offering of common units pursuant to the agreement by notifying the other party. For additional information regarding KMP's equity distribution agreement, see Note 10 "Stockholders' Equity—Noncontrolling Interests—Kinder Morgan Energy Partners' Common Units" to our consolidated financial statements included in our 2009 Form 10-K.

The above issuances during the six months ended June 30, 2010 had the associated effects of increasing our (i) noncontrolling interests associated with KMP by \$492.9 million (ii) accumulated deferred income taxes by \$8.0 million and (iii) additional paid-in capital by \$14.0 million.

Equity Issuances Subsequent to June 30, 2010

On July 1, 2010, KMP issued 47,800 of its common units for the settlement of sales made before June 30, 2010 pursuant to its equity distribution agreement. After commissions of \$0.1 million, KMP received net proceeds of \$3.1 million for the issuance of these 47,800 common units, and used the proceeds to reduce the borrowings under its commercial paper program and bank credit facility.

Also, on July 2, 2010, KMP completed an offering of 1,167,315 of its common units at a price of \$64.25 per unit in a privately negotiated transaction. KMP received net proceeds of \$75.0 million for the issuance of these 1,167,315 common units, and used the proceeds to reduce the borrowings under its commercial paper program and its bank credit facility.

KMR's Share Distributions

On February 12, 2010, KMR made a share distribution of 0.018430 shares per outstanding share (1,576,470 total shares) to shareholders of record as of January 29, 2010, based on the \$1.05 per common unit distribution declared by KMP. On May 14, 2010, KMR made a share distribution of 0.017863 shares per outstanding share (1,556,130 total shares) to shareholders of record as of April 30, 2010, based on the \$1.07 per common unit distribution declared by KMP. On August 13, 2010, KMR will make a share distribution of 0.018336 shares per outstanding share (1,625,869 total shares) to shareholders of record as of July 30, 2010, based on the \$1.09 per common unit distribution declared by KMP. KMR's distributions are paid in the form of additional shares or fractions thereof calculated by dividing the KMP cash distribution per common unit by the average of the market closing prices of a KMR share determined for a ten-trading day period ending on the trading day immediately prior to the ex-dividend date for the shares.

6. Risk Management

Certain of our business activities expose us to risks associated with unfavorable changes in the market price of natural gas, natural gas liquids and crude oil. We also have exposure to interest rate risk as a result of the issuance of our debt obligations. Pursuant to our management's approved risk management policy, we use derivative contracts to hedge or reduce our exposure to certain of these risks.

Energy Commodity Price Risk Management

We are exposed to risks associated with changes in the market price of natural gas, natural gas liquids and crude oil as a result of the forecasted purchase or sale of these products. Specifically, these risks are primarily associated with price volatility related to (i) pre-existing or anticipated physical natural gas, natural gas liquids and crude oil sales, (ii) natural gas purchases and (iii) natural gas system use and storage. Price changes are often caused by shifts

in the supply and demand for these commodities, as well as their locations.

Our principal use of energy commodity derivative contracts is to mitigate the risk associated with unfavorable market movements in the price of energy commodities. Our energy commodity derivative contracts act as a hedging (offset) mechanism against the volatility of energy commodity prices by allowing us to transfer this price risk to counterparties who are able and willing to bear it.

For derivative contracts that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles, the portion of the gain or loss on the derivative contract that is effective in offsetting the variable cash flows associated with the hedged forecasted transaction is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same line item associated with the forecasted transaction and in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings (e.g., in "revenues" when the hedged transactions are commodity sales). The remaining gain or loss on the derivative contract in excess of the cumulative change in the present value of future cash flows of the hedged item, if any (i.e., the ineffective portion), is recognized in earnings during the current period. The effectiveness of hedges using an option contract may be assessed based on changes in the option's intrinsic value with the change in the time value of the contract being excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness. Changes in the excluded component of the change in an option's time value are included currently in earnings. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, we recognized net gains of \$7.8 million and \$14.1 million, respectively, related to crude oil and natural gas hedges and resulting from hedge ineffectiveness and amounts excluded from effectiveness testing. We recognized no gains or losses resulting from hedge ineffectiveness during the first six months of 2009.

Additionally, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, we reclassified losses of \$3.9 million and \$8.0 million, respectively, from "Accumulated other comprehensive loss" into earnings, and for the same comparable periods last year, we reclassified gains of \$14.4 million and \$34.9 million, respectively, of "Accumulated other comprehensive loss" into earnings. No material amounts were reclassified into earnings as a result of the discontinuance of cash flow hedges because it was probable that the original forecasted transactions would no longer occur by the end of the originally specified time period or within an additional two-month period of time thereafter, but rather, were reclassified as a result of the hedged forecasted transactions actually affecting earnings (i.e. when the forecasted sales and purchase actually occurred). The proceeds or payments resulting from the settlement of cash flow hedges are reflected in the operating section of our accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows as changes to net income and working capital.

The "Accumulated other comprehensive loss" balance included in our Stockholders' Equity was \$104.6 million as of June 30, 2010, and \$167.9 million as of December 31, 2009. These totals included "Accumulated other comprehensive loss" amounts of \$29.1 million and \$95.7 million of losses as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, associated with energy commodity price risk management activities. Approximately \$19.5 million of the total amount associated with energy commodity price risk management activities and included in our Shareholder's Equity as of June 30, 2010 is expected to be reclassified into earnings during the next twelve months (when the associated forecasted sales and purchases are also expected to occur), and as of June 30, 2010, the maximum length of time over which we have hedged our exposure to the variability in future cash flows associated with energy commodity price risk is through December 2014.

As of June 30, 2010, KMP had entered into the following outstanding commodity forward contracts to hedge its forecasted energy commodity purchases and sales:

Net open position

long/(short)

Derivatives designated as

hedging contracts

(22.2)

million

Crude oil barrels

(34.3)

billion

Natural gas fixed price cubic feet

(28.1)

billion

Natural gas basis cubic feet

Derivatives not designated

as hedging contracts

(0.2) billion

Natural gas fixed price cubic feet

0.8 billion

Natural gas basis cubic feet

For derivative contracts that are not designated as a hedge for accounting purposes, all realized and unrealized gains and losses are recognized in the statement of income during the current period. These types of transactions include basis spreads, basis-only positions and gas daily swap positions. KMP primarily enters into these positions to economically hedge an exposure through a relationship that does not qualify for hedge accounting. This will result in non-cash gains or losses being reported in our operating results.

Interest Rate Risk Management

In order to maintain a cost effective capital structure, it is our policy to borrow funds using a mix of fixed rate debt and variable rate debt. We use interest rate swap agreements to manage the interest rate risk associated with the fair value of our fixed rate borrowings and to effectively convert a portion of the underlying cash flows related to our long-term fixed rate debt securities into variable rate cash flows in order to achieve our desired mix of fixed and variable rate debt.

Since the fair value of fixed rate debt varies inversely with changes in the market rate of interest, we enter into swap agreements to receive a fixed and pay a variable rate of interest in order to convert the interest expense associated with certain of our senior notes from fixed rates to variable rates, resulting in future cash flows that vary with the market rate of interest. These swaps, therefore, hedge against changes in the fair value of our fixed rate debt that result from market interest rate changes. For derivative contracts that are designated and qualify as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the derivative as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current earnings.

As of December 31, 2009, we were a party to interest rate swap agreements with a total notional principal amount of \$725.0 million, and our subsidiary, KMP, had a combined notional principal amount of \$5.2 billion of fixed-to-variable interest rate swap agreements effectively converting the interest expense associated with certain series of its senior notes from fixed rates to variable rates based on an interest rate of LIBOR plus a spread. In the second quarter of 2010, KMP entered into three additional fixed-to-variable interest rate swap agreements having a combined notional principal amount of \$400 million. Each agreement effectively converts a portion of the interest expense associated with KMP's 5.30% senior notes due September 15, 2020 from a fixed rate to a variable rate based on an interest rate of LIBOR plus a spread.

As of June 30, 2010, we were a party to interest rate swap agreements with a total notional principal amount of \$725.0 million and our subsidiary, KMP, had a combined notional principal amount of \$5.6 billion of fixed-to-variable interest rate swap agreements. All of our and KMP's swap agreements have termination dates that correspond to the maturity dates of the related series of senior notes and, as of June 30, 2010, the maximum length of time over which we or KMP have hedged a portion of our exposure to the variability in the value of this debt due to interest rate risk is through January 15, 2038.

Fair Value of Derivative Contracts

The fair values of our current and non-current asset and liability derivative contracts are each reported separately as "Fair value of derivative contracts" in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The following table summarizes the fair values of our derivative contracts included in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in millions):

Fair Value of Derivative Contracts

| | | Asset de | rivatives | | Liability derivatives | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| | June 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | | June 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | | | |
| | Balance sheet location | Fair value | | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging contracts | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Current | \$ 36.0 | Current | \$ 19.1 | Current | \$(180.7) | Current | \$(270.8) | | |

| Energy commodity derivative contracts | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Subtotal | Non-current | 84.8 120.8 | Non-current | 57.3 76.4 | Non-current | (108.9) (289.6) | Non-current | (241.5) (512.3) |
| Interest rate swap | Non-current | 503.2 | Non-current | 236.0 | Non-current | (41.4) | Non-current | (218.5) |
| Cross currency | Non-current | 303.2 | Non-current | 230.0 | Non-current | (41.4) | Non-current | (218.3) |
| swap agreements Total | Non-current | 624.0 | Non-current | 312.4 | Non-current | (331.0) | Non-current | (9.6) (740.4) |
| Derivatives Energy commodity derivative | not designated a | s hedging | contracts | | | | | |
| contracts | Current Non-current | 8.5 - 8.5 | Current Non-current | 1.7 - 1.7 | Current Non-current | (8.4) - (8.4) | Current Non-current | (1.2) - (1.2) |
| Total | | \$632.5 | | \$314.1 | | \$(339.4) | | \$(741.6) |
| 20 | | | | | | | | |

The offsetting entry to adjust the carrying value of the debt securities whose fair value was being hedged is included within "Value of interest rate swaps" on our accompanying consolidated balance sheets, which also includes any unamortized portion of proceeds received from the early termination of interest rate swap agreements. As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, this unamortized premium totaled \$326.6 million and \$337.5 million, respectively. Effect of Derivative Contracts on the Statements of Income

The following four tables summarize the impact of our derivative contracts on our accompanying consolidated statements of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in millions):

| | Location of | | | | Location of | | |
|--------------------|------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Derivatives in | gain/(loss) | | | Hedged items in | gain/(loss) | | |
| fair value | recognized in | Amount of | gain/(loss) | fair value | recognized in | Amount of g | gain/(loss) |
| hedging | income on | recognized | l in income | hedging | income on related | ecognized in | income on |
| relationships | derivative | on deriv | vative(a) | relationships | hedged item 1 | related hedge | ed items(a) |
| _ | | | | _ | - | Three M | Ionths |
| | | Three Mon | nths Ended | | | End | ed |
| | | June | e 30, | | | June | 30, |
| | | 2010 | 2009 | | | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest rate swap | Interest, net – | | | Fixed rate debt | Interest, net – | | |
| agreements | income/(expense) | \$377.3 | \$(336.9) | | income/(expense) | \$(377.3) | \$336.9 |
| Total | | \$377.3 | \$(336.9) | Total | | \$(377.3) | \$336.9 |
| | | Six Mon | ths Ended | | | Six Month | s Ended |
| | | June | e 30, | | | June | 30, |
| | | 2010 | 2009 | | | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest rate swap | Interest, net – | | | Fixed rate debt | Interest, net – | | |
| agreements | income/(expense) | \$444.2 | \$(467.3) | | income/(expense) | \$(444.2) | \$467.3 |
| Total | | \$444.2 | \$(467.3) | Total | | \$(444.2) | \$467.3 |

⁽a) Amounts reflect the change in the fair value of interest rate swap agreements and the change in the fair value of the associated fixed rate debt which exactly offset each other as a result of no hedge ineffectiveness. Amounts do not reflect the impact on interest expense from the interest rate swap agreements under which we pay variable rate interest and receive fixed rate interest.

| | | | | Location of | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | gain/(loss) | |
| | | | | recognized in | |
| | | | | income on | Amount of gain/(loss) |
| | | Location of | | derivative | recognized in income |
| | | gain/(loss) | Amount of gain/(loss) | ineffective porti | on on derivative |
| | Amount of gain/(loss) | reclassified from | reclassified from | and amount | (ineffective portion |
| Derivatives in | recognized in OCI on | Accumulated OCI | Accumulated OCI | excluded from | and amount |
| cash flow hedging | derivative (effective | into income | into income | effectiveness | excluded from |
| relationships | portion) | (effective portion) | (effective portion) | testing) | effectiveness testing |
| | Three Months | | Three Months | | Three Months |
| | Ended | | Ended | | Ended |
| | June 30, | | June 30, | | June 30, |

| | 2010 | 2009 | | 2010 | 2009 | | 2010 | 2009 |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|----------------|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Energy commodity | * . * . | ***** | Revenues-natural | * 0 . | ** 0 | Revenues-product | += 0 | |
| derivative contracts | \$43.0 | \$(111.3) | gas sales Revenues-product | \$0.1 | \$3.9 | sales and other | \$7.9 | \$- |
| | | | sales and other | (4.6) | 9.6 | | | _ |
| | | | Gas purchases and | , | | Gas purchases and | | |
| | | | other costs of sales | 0.6 | 0.9 | other costs of sales | (0.1) | - |
| Total | \$43.0 | \$(111.3) | Total | \$(3.9) | \$14.4 | Total | \$7.8 | \$- |
| | | | | Six M | onths | | Six Mo | onths |
| | ths Ended | | End | led | | End | ed | |
| | Jun | e 30, | | June | 30, | | June | 30, |
| | 2010 | 2009 | | 2010 | 2009 | | 2010 | 2009 |
| Energy commodity | | | | | | | | |
| Energy commodity | | | Revenues-natural | | | Revenues-product | | |
| derivative contracts | \$58.6 | \$(95.4) | Revenues-natural gas sales | \$0.1 | \$4.4 | Revenues-product sales and other | \$13.3 | \$- |
| • | \$58.6 | \$(95.4) | | | \$4.4 | | \$13.3 | \$- |
| • | \$58.6 | \$(95.4) | gas sales | | \$4.4 29.7 | | \$13.3 | \$- |
| • | \$58.6 | \$(95.4) | gas sales Revenues-product | \$0.1 | | | \$13.3 | \$- |
| • | \$58.6 | \$(95.4) | gas sales Revenues-product sales and other | \$0.1 | | sales and other | \$13.3 0.8 | \$- - |
| • | \$58.6 \$58.6 | \$(95.4) \$(95.4) | gas sales Revenues-product sales and other Gas purchases and | \$0.1 (8.8) | 29.7 | sales and other Gas purchases and | | \$- - \$- |

| | | | | | | | | | | Location | of | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-----|-----------------|------------|----------|--------|--------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | gain/(loss | s) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | recognized | in | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | income o | n A | moun | t of g | gain/(| (loss) |
| | | | | | Location of | | | | | derivativ | e re | ecogni | zed | in inc | come |
| | | | | | gain/(loss) | Amour | nt of | gain/(l | los | sineffective po | ortion | on | deriv | vative | e |
| Derivatives in | Amo | ount of | gain/ | (loss) | reclassified from | n recla | assifi | ed fro | m | and amou | nt | (ineffe | ctiv | e por | tion |
| net investment | reco | gnized | in O | CI on | Accumulated O | CI Accu | mula | ited O | CI | excluded fr | om | an | d am | nount | |
| hedging | der | ivative | (effe | ctive | into income | in | to in | come | | effectivene | ess | exc] | ude | d froi | n |
| relationships | | porti | on) | | (effective portio | n) (effe | ctive | portic | n) | testing) | ϵ | effecti | vene | ss tes | sting |
| _ | Thre | ee Mon | ths E | Ended | | Three | Mon | ths En | ıde | ed | Γ | hree I | Mon | ths E | nded |
| | | June | 30, | | | | June | 30, | | | | J | une | 30, | |
| | 20 | 010 | 20 | 009 | | 201 | 0 | 2009 |) | | | 201 | 0 | 200 | 9 |
| Cross currency swap | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| agreements | \$ | 15.1 | \$ (| (20.1) | Other, net | \$ | - | \$ | - | Revenues | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Total | \$ | 15.1 | \$ (| (20.1) | Total | \$ | - | \$ | - | Total | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Six Months Ended Six Months End | | | | | | | ns End | led | l | | Six M | onth | ıs En | ded | |
| June 30, | | | | | | June | 30, | | | | J | une | 30, | | |
| | 20 | 010 | 20 | 009 | | 201 | 0 | 2009 |) | | | 201 | 0 | 200 | 9 |
| Cross currency swap | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| agreements | \$ | 9.6 | \$ (| (26.1) | Other, net | \$ \$ | - | \$ | - | Revenues | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Total | \$ | 9.6 | \$ (| (26.1) | Total | \$ | - | \$ | - | Total | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Derivatives not | t des | signated | | I | ocation of gain/ | (loss) re | cogn | ized | | Amount of ga | ain/(1c | oss) red | cogn | ized | |
| as hedging o | | _ | | | in income or | | | | | in incom | | | _ | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Three I | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | une 3 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 2010 | | - | 2009 | 9 | |
| Energy commodity de | eriva | ative co | ntrac | ts Ga | s purchases and | other co | sts o | f sales | 3 | \$ 0. | .1 | (| \$ | (1.9 |)) |
| Total | | | | | 1 | | | | | \$ 0. | | | \$ | (1.9 | * |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Six M | lonths | Ende | d | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | J | une 3 | 0, | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 2010 | | | 2009 |) | |
| Energy commodity de | eriva | ative co | ntrac | ts Ga | s purchases and | other co | sts o | f sales | 3 | \$ 0. | .8 | 9 | \$ | (2.3) | 5) |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | \$ 0. | .8 | | \$ | (2.3 | () |

Net Investment Hedges

We are exposed to foreign currency risk from our investments in businesses owned and operated outside the United States. To hedge the value of our investment in Canadian operations, we have entered into various cross-currency interest rate swap transactions that have been designated as net investment hedges. The effective portion of the changes in fair value of these swap transactions is reported as a cumulative translation adjustment included in the caption "Accumulated other comprehensive loss" in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The combined notional value of our remaining cross currency interest rate swaps at June 30, 2010 was approximately C\$96.3 million.

Credit Risks

We and our subsidiary, KMP, have counterparty credit risk as a result of our use of financial derivative contracts. Our counterparties consist primarily of financial institutions, major energy companies and local distribution companies. This concentration of counterparties may impact our overall exposure to credit risk, either positively or negatively, in that the counterparties may be similarly affected by changes in economic, regulatory or other conditions.

We maintain credit policies with regard to our counterparties that we believe minimize our overall credit risk. These policies include (i) an evaluation of potential counterparties' financial condition (including credit ratings), (ii) collateral requirements under certain circumstances and (iii) the use of standardized agreements which allow for netting of positive and negative exposure associated with a single counterparty. Based on our policies, exposure, credit and other reserves, our management does not anticipate a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as a result of counterparty performance.

Our over-the-counter swaps and options are entered into with counterparties outside central trading organizations such as futures, options or stock exchanges. These contracts are with a number of parties, all of which have investment grade credit ratings. While we enter into derivative transactions principally with investment grade counterparties and actively monitor their ratings, it is nevertheless possible that from time to time losses will result from counterparty credit risk in the future. The maximum potential exposure to credit losses on derivative contracts as of June 30, 2010 was (in millions):

| | Asset |
|---------------|----------|
| | position |
| Interest rate | |
| swap | |
| agreements | \$ 503.2 |
| Energy | |
| commodity | |
| derivative | |
| contracts | 129.3 |
| Gross | |
| exposure | 632.5 |
| Netting | |
| agreement | |
| impact | (93.6) |
| Net exposure | \$ 538.9 |

In conjunction with the purchase of exchange-traded derivative contracts or when the market value of our derivative contracts with specific counterparties exceeds established limits, we are required to provide collateral to our counterparties, which may include posting letters of credit or placing cash in margin accounts. As of December 31, 2009, KMP had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$55.0 million in support of its hedging of energy commodity price risks associated with the sale of natural gas, natural gas liquids and crude oil. As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, KMP had cash margin deposits associated with its energy commodity contract positions and over-the-counter swap partners totaling \$19.3 million and \$15.2 million, respectively, and we reported these amounts as "Restricted deposits" in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

KMP also has agreements with certain counterparties to its derivative contracts that contain provisions requiring it to post additional collateral upon a decrease in its credit rating. Based on contractual provisions as of June 30, 2010, we estimate that if KMP's credit rating was downgraded, KMP would have the following additional collateral obligations (in millions):

| | Incremental | Cumulative |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Credit ratings downgraded(a) | obligations | obligations(b) |
| One notch to BBB-/Baa3 | \$3.7 | \$23.0 |
| | | |
| Two notches to below BBB-/Baa3 (below | | |
| investment grade) | \$90.8 | \$113.8 |

⁽a) If there are split ratings among the independent credit rating agencies, most counterparties use the higher credit rating to determine our incremental collateral obligations, while the remaining use the lower credit rating. Therefore, a one notch downgrade to BBB-/Baa3 by one agency would not trigger the entire \$3.7 million incremental obligation.

(b) Includes current posting at current rating.

7. Fair Value

The Codification emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions (inputs) that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. Inputs may be observable or unobservable, and valuation techniques used to measure fair value should maximize the use of relevant observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the Codification establishes a hierarchal disclosure framework that ranks the quality and reliability of information used to determine fair values. The hierarchy is associated with the level of pricing observability utilized in measuring fair value and defines three levels of inputs to the fair value measurement process—quoted prices are the most reliable valuation inputs, whereas model values that include inputs based on unobservable data are the least reliable. Each fair value measurement must be assigned to a level corresponding to the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

The three broad levels of inputs defined by the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 Inputs—quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date;

Level 2 Inputs—inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, a Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability; and

Level 3 Inputs—unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. These unobservable inputs reflect the entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, and are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (which might include the reporting entity's own data).

Fair Value of Derivative Contracts

The following two tables summarize the fair value measurements of our (i) energy commodity derivative contracts, (ii) interest rate swap agreements and (iii) cross currency interest rate swap agreements as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, based on the three levels established by the Codification (in millions):

Asset fair value measurements using

| | | Quot | ed p | rices in | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| | | for | ider | arkets itical | obs | Significant other observable | | Significant nobservable |
| | Total | asset | s (L | evel 1) | inputs | s (Level 2 | 2) inp | outs (Level 3) |
| As of June 30, 2010 | | | | | | | | |
| Energy commodity derivative | | | | | | | | |
| contracts(a) | \$129.3 | | \$ \$ | - | : | \$ 55.1 | | \$ 74.2 |
| Interest rate swap agreements | \$503.2 | | \$ | - | : | \$ 503.2 | | \$ - |
| As of December 31, 2009 | | | | | | | | |
| Energy commodity derivative | | | | | | | | |
| contracts(a) | \$ 78.1 | | \$ | - | | \$ 14.4 | | \$ 63.7 |
| Interest rate swap agreements | \$236.0 | | \$ \$ | - | ; | \$ 236.0 | | \$ - |
| | | | Li | abilitv fai | ir value n | neasurem | ents using | <u>r</u> |
| | | | | Quoted pr | | | | 7 |
| | | | | active ma | | | | |
| | | | | for iden | | Significa | ant other | Significant |
| | | | | liabilit | | obser | | unobservable |
| | | Total | | (Level | | inputs (1 | | inputs (Level 3) |
| As of June 30, 2010 | | 10001 | | (20,0) |) | p ### (2 | | mputs (20,010) |
| Energy commodity derivative contracts | s(b) | \$(298.0) | | \$ - | _ | \$(2 | 70.4) | \$ (27.6) |
| Interest rate swap agreements | -(-) | \$ (41.4) | | \$. | _ | • | 41.4) | \$ - |
| Cross currency interest rate swap agree | ements | \$ - | | \$ · \$ · \$ · | _ | \$ | _ | \$ - |
| The state of the s | | T | | т | | , | | 7 |
| As of December 31, 2009 | | | | | | | | |
| Energy commodity derivative contracts | s(b) | \$(513.5) | | \$. | - | \$(4 | 62.8) | \$ (50.7) |
| Interest rate swap agreements | | \$(218.5) | | \$ · \$ · | - | \$(2 | 18.5) | \$ - |
| Cross currency interest rate swap agree | ements | \$ (9.6) | | \$ - | - | \$ | (9.6) | \$ - |

⁽a) Level 2 consists primarily of OTC West Texas Intermediate hedges and OTC natural gas hedges that are settled on NYMEX. Level 3 consists primarily of natural gas basis swaps,

West Texas Sour hedges, natural gas options and West Texas Intermediate options.

(b) Level 2 consists primarily of OTC West Texas Intermediate hedges and OTC natural gas hedges that are settled on NYMEX. Level 3 consists primarily of natural gas basis swaps, West Texas Sour hedges and West Texas Intermediate options.

The fair value measurements in the table above do not include cash margin deposits, which would be reported separately as "Restricted deposits" or included within "Accrued other liabilities" in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The table below provides a summary of changes in the fair value of our Level 3 energy commodity derivative contracts for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in millions):

Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Mo | Six Months Ended | | |
|---|--------------------|---------|----------|------------------|---|--|
| | Ju | ne 30, | Ju | ne 30, | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| Derivatives-net asset (liability) | | | | | | |
| Beginning of Period | \$22.6 | \$53.4 | \$13.0 | \$44.1 | | |
| Realized and unrealized net losses | 18.1 | (28.1 |) 26.7 | (21.8 |) | |
| Purchases and settlements | 5.9 | (1.3 |) 6.9 | 1.7 | | |
| Transfers in (out) of Level 3 | - | - | - | - | | |
| End of Period | \$46.6 | \$24.0 | \$46.6 | \$24.0 | | |
| Change in unrealized net losses relating to contracts still | | | | | | |
| held at end of period | \$19.2 | \$(29.7 |) \$24.1 | \$(39.5 |) | |

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value as used in the disclosure of financial instruments represents the amount at which an instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties. As of each reporting date, the estimated fair value of our outstanding publicly-traded debt is based upon quoted market prices, if available, and for all other debt, fair value is based upon prevailing interest rates currently available to us. In addition, we adjust (discount) the fair value measurement of our long-term debt for the effect of credit risk.

The estimated fair value of our outstanding debt balance as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (both short-term and long-term, but excluding the value of interest rate swaps), is disclosed below (in millions):

| | June 30 | 0, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | | | | |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | Carrying | Estimated | Carrying | Estimated | | | |
| | Value | fair value | value | fair value | | | |
| Total debt | \$ 14.872.0 | \$ 15,551.3 | \$ 13.648.4 | \$ 14,158.2 | | | |

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

The following table summarizes the fair value measurements of assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2010 that are initially measured at fair value or on another measurement basis (e.g. historical cost) and are re-measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis based on the three levels established by the Codification (in millions):

| | | Asset fair value measurements using | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | | Quot | ed prices in | | | | | | | |
| | | activ | ve markets | Signi | ficant other | S | ignificant | | | |
| | | for | identical | unobservable | | | | | | |
| | Total | asset | s (Level 1) | inputs (Level 2) | | inputs (Level 3 | | | | |
| Investment in NGPL | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| PipeCo LLC | \$ 273.7 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 273.7 | | | |

We re-measured the fair value of our investment in NGPL PipeCo LLC as of March 31, 2010, upon recognition of an impairment of this investment. See Note 2 "Investments, Acquisitions, Joint Ventures and Divestitures" for more information on this impairment and the valuation techniques used to measure fair value.

8. Reportable Segments

We divide our operations into seven reportable business segments. These segments and their principal source of revenues are as follows:

Products Pipelines–KMP— the transportation and terminaling of refined petroleum products, including gasoline, diesel fuel, jet fuel and natural gas liquids;

Natural Gas Pipelines-KMP—the sale, transport, processing, treating, storage and gathering of natural gas;

CO2–KMP—the production and sale of crude oil from fields in the Permian Basin of West Texas and the transportation and marketing of carbon dioxide used as a flooding medium for recovering crude oil from mature oil fields;

Terminals–KMP—the transloading and storing of refined petroleum products and dry and liquid bulk products, including coal, petroleum coke, cement, alumina, salt and other bulk chemicals;

Kinder Morgan Canada–KMP—the transportation of crude oil and refined products from Alberta, Canada to marketing terminals and refineries in British Columbia, the state of Washington and the Rocky Mountains and Central regions of the United States;

NGPL PipeCo LLC— consists of our 20% interest in NGPL PipeCo LLC, the owner of Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America and certain affiliates, collectively referred to as Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America or NGPL, a major interstate natural gas pipeline and storage system, which we operate; and

Power—consists of our operation of a natural gas-fired electric generation facility.

The accounting policies we apply in the generation of reportable segment earnings are generally the same as those applied to our consolidated operations, except that (i) certain items below the "Operating Income (Loss)" line (such as interest expense) are either not allocated to reportable segments or are not considered by management in its evaluation of reportable segment performance, (ii) equity in earnings of equity method investees are included in segment earnings (these equity method earnings are included in "Other Income (Expense)" in our accompanying consolidated statements of income, (iii) certain items included in operating income (such as general and administrative expenses and depreciation, depletion and amortization ("DD&A")) are not considered by management in its evaluation of reportable segment performance and, thus, are not included in reported performance measures, (iv) gains and losses from incidental sales of assets are included in segment earnings and (v) our reportable segments that are also segments of KMP include certain other income and expenses and income taxes in its segment earnings. With adjustment for these items, we currently evaluate reportable segment performance primarily based on segment earnings before DD&A expenses (including amortization of excess cost of equity investments) in relation to the level of capital employed.

Selected financial information by segment follows (in millions):

| | | onths Ended ne 30, | | nths Ended ne 30, |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|----------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Products Pipelines–KMP | | | | |
| Revenues from external customers | \$226.3 | \$206.7 | \$433.8 | \$394.9 |
| Natural Gas Pipelines–KMP | | | | |
| Revenues from external customers | 1,029.7 | 860.7 | 2,266.4 | 1,912.4 |
| CO2–KMP | | | | |
| Revenues from external customers | 327.9 | 282.4 | 663.1 | 535.6 |
| Terminals-KMP | | | | |
| Revenues from external customers | 320.3 | 263.7 | 624.1 | 531.4 |
| Intersegment revenues | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Kinder Morgan Canada–KMP | | | | |
| Revenues from external customers | 70.6 | 56.0 | 130.4 | 106.0 |
| Power(a) | | | | |

| Revenues from external customers | 2.8 | 12.4 | 5.6 | 19.0 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Other | | | | |
| NGPL PipeCo LLC fixed fee revenue | 11.8 | 11.4 | 23.6 | 22.9 |
| Other revenue | 1.5 | - | 1.5 | - |
| Total segment revenues | 1,991.1 | 1,693.6 | 4,149.0 | 3,522.7 |
| Less: Total intersegment revenues | (0.2) | (0.3) | (0.5) | (0.5) |
| Total consolidated revenues | \$1,990.9 | \$1,693.3 | \$4,148.5 | \$3,522.2 |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------|------|------------------------------|---|---------|---|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | 2010 |) | 2009 | |
| Segment earnings (loss) before depreciation, depletion, amortization and amortization of excess cost of equity investments(b) | | | | | | | |
| Products Pipelines–KMP(c) | \$158.0 | \$15 | 4.7 | \$164.4 | | \$300.1 | |
| Natural Gas Pipelines–KMP | 184.6 | 16 | 2.0 | 405.2 | | 362.0 | |
| CO2–KMP | 262.7 | 22 | 6.9 | 529.3 | | 418.6 | |
| Terminals-KMP | 164.8 | 14 | 1.0 | 315.3 | | 275.3 | |
| Kinder Morgan Canada–KMP | 43.9 | 46 | .7 | 88.9 | | 66.2 | |
| NGPL PipeCo LLC(d) | 8.4 | 10 | .1 | (411.2 |) | 22.4 | |
| Power(a) | 1.2 | 1 | 3 | 2.4 | | 2.4 | |
| Total segment earnings before DD&A | 823.6 | 74 | 2.7 | 1,094. | 3 | 1,447.0 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | (269.7 |) (2 | 56.8 |) (552.0 |) | (521.6 |) |
| Amortization of excess cost of equity investments | (1.5 |) (1 | .5 |) (2.9 |) | (2.9 |) |
| NGPL PipeCo LLC fixed fee revenue | 11.8 | 11 | .4 | 23.6 | | 22.9 | |
| Other revenue | 1.5 | - | | 1.5 | | - | |
| General and administrative expense | (104.8 |) (8- | 4.1 |) (220.5 |) | (177.0 |) |
| Unallocable interest and other, net(e) | (163.1 |) (1 | 39.1 |) (318.9 |) | (289.4 |) |
| Unallocable income tax benefit (expense) | (37.5 |) (6 | 4.2 | 55.5 | , | (125.5 |) |
| Income from continuing operations | \$260.3 | \$20 | 8.4 | \$80.6 | | \$353.5 | • |

| | J | June 30, | | cember 31, |
|---------------------------|------|----------|----|------------|
| | 2010 | | | 2009 |
| Assets | | | | |
| Products Pipelines-KMP | \$ | 5,609.5 | \$ | 5,614.7 |
| Natural Gas Pipelines-KMP | | 10,960.1 | | 9,956.7 |
| CO2–KMP | | 4,142.0 | | 4,230.5 |
| Terminals-KMP | | 4,873.5 | | 4,537.3 |
| Kinder Morgan Canada-KMP | | 1,761.0 | | 1,797.7 |
| NGPL PipeCo LLC(d) | | 273.7 | | 698.5 |
| Power(a) | | 5.6 | | 67.6 |
| Total segment assets | | 27,625.4 | | 26,903.0 |
| Corporate assets(f) | | 952.8 | | 683.3 |
| Total consolidated assets | \$ | 28,578.2 | \$ | 27,586.3 |

⁽a) Upon the adoption of Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-17, which amended the codification's "Consolidation" topic, on January 1, 2010, Triton Power Company LLC is no longer consolidated into our financial statements, but is treated as an equity investment (see Note 13). This resulted in decreases to 2010 revenues, operating expenses and noncontrolling interests with no impact to segment earnings before DD&A as compared to 2009.

(c)

⁽b) Includes revenues, earnings from equity investments, allocable interest income, and other, net, less operating expenses, allocable income taxes, and other expense (income).

Six month 2010 amount includes a \$158.0 million increase in expense associated with rate case liability adjustments. Following the Federal Regulatory Energy Commission's approval of a settlement agreement we reached with certain shippers, KMP made settlement payments totaling \$206.3 million in June 2010. For more information on the rate case proceedings, see Note 11.

- (d) Six month 2010 amount includes a \$430.0 million non-cash investment impairment charge (see Note 2).
- (e) Includes (i) interest expense and (ii) miscellaneous other income and expenses not allocated to reportable segments.
- (f) Includes cash and cash equivalents, margin and restricted deposits, unallocable interest receivable, prepaid assets and deferred charges, risk management assets related to the fair value of interest rate swaps and miscellaneous corporate assets (such as information technology and telecommunications equipment) not allocated to individual segments.

9. Related Party Transactions

Notes Receivable

Plantation Pipe Line Company

KMP has a long-term note receivable bearing interest at the rate of 4.72% per annum from Plantation Pipe Line Company, its 51.17%-owned equity investee. The note provides for semiannual payments of principal and interest on June 30 and December 31 each year, with a final principal payment due July 20, 2011. KMP received a principal repayment amount of \$1.3 million in June 2010. The outstanding note receivable balance was \$83.5 million and \$84.8 million as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Of this amount, \$2.7 million and \$2.6 million was included within "Accounts, notes and interest receivable, net," on our accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, and the remainder was included within "Notes receivable" at each reporting date.

Express US Holdings LP

KMP has a long-term investment in a C\$113.6 million debt security issued by Express US Holdings LP (the obligor), the partnership that maintains ownership of the U.S. portion of the Express pipeline system. The debenture is denominated in Canadian dollars, due in full on January 9, 2023, bears interest at the rate of 12.0% per annum and provides for quarterly payments of interest in Canadian dollars on March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31 each year. As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the outstanding note receivable balance, representing the translated amount included in our consolidated financial statements in U.S. dollars, was \$106.7 million and \$108.1 million, respectively, and we included these amounts within "Accounts, notes and interest receivable, net" in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Other Receivables and Payables

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, our related party receivables (other than the note receivables discussed above) totaled \$19.0 million and \$19.9 million, respectively. The June 30, 2010 amount consisted of (i) \$18.9 million included within "Accounts, notes and interest receivable, net" and primarily related to receivables due from Kinder Morgan Midco LLC, NGPL, the Express pipeline system and from Kinder Morgan, Inc.'s receivable from Plantation Pipe Line Company and (ii) \$0.1 million of natural gas imbalance receivables, included within "Other current assets." Our related party imbalance receivables are primarily due from NGPL. The December 31, 2009 amount consisted of (i) \$16.8 million included within "Accounts, notes and interest receivable, net" and primarily related to receivables due from Kinder Morgan Midco LLC, the Express pipeline system and NGPL and (ii) \$3.1 million of natural gas imbalance receivables, primarily due from NGPL and included within "Other current assets."

As of June 30, 2010, our related party payables consisted of (i) \$4.7 million included within "Accounts payable" and primarily related to payables due to Plantation Pipe Line Company by KMP and (ii) \$2.9 million of natural gas imbalance payables, included within "Accrued other current liabilities" and related to amounts due by KMP to NGPL. The December 31, 2009 related party payable amounts are included within "Accounts payable" on our accompanying consolidated balance sheet, and primarily consisted of amounts owed to RGZ, Inc.

NGPL PipeCo LLC Fixed Fee Revenue and Other Transactions

On February 15, 2008, we entered into an Operations and Reimbursement Agreement ("Agreement") with Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of NGPL PipeCo LLC. The Agreement provides for us to be reimbursed, at cost, for pre-approved operations and maintenance costs, plus a \$43.2 million annual general and administration fixed fee charge ("Fixed Fee"), for services provided under the Agreement. This Fixed Fee escalates at 3% each year until 2011 and is billed monthly. These Fixed Fees, included within the caption, "Product sales and other" in our accompanying consolidated statements of income, totaled \$11.8 million and \$23.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, and \$11.4 million and \$22.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively.

Derivative Counterparties

As a result of our Going Private transaction, a number of individuals and entities became significant investors in us, and by virtue of the size of its ownership interest in us, one of those investors—Goldman Sachs Capital Partners and certain of its affiliates—remains a "related party" (as that term is defined in authoritative accounting literature)

to us as of June 30, 2010. Goldman Sachs has also acted in the past, and may act in the future, as an underwriter for equity and/or debt issuances for us, and Goldman Sachs effectively owned 49% of the terminal assets KMP acquired from US Development Group LLC.

In addition, we conduct energy commodity risk management activities in the ordinary course of implementing our risk management strategies in which the counterparty to certain of our derivative transactions is an affiliate of Goldman Sachs, and in conjunction with these activities, we are a party (through one of KMP's subsidiaries engaged in the production of crude oil) to a hedging facility with J. Aron & Company/Goldman Sachs.

The hedging facility requires us to provide certain periodic information but does not require the posting of margin. As a result of changes in the market value of our derivative positions, we have created both amounts receivable from and payable to Goldman Sachs affiliates.

The following table summarizes the fair values of our energy commodity derivative contracts that are (i) associated with commodity price risk management activities with J. Aron & Company/Goldman Sachs and (ii) included within "Fair value of derivative contracts" in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in millions):

| | June 30, | | December 3 | | , |
|---------------------------------|----------|-------|------------|--------|---|
| | | 2010 | | | |
| Derivatives - asset (liability) | | | | | |
| Current assets: Fair value of | | | | | |
| derivative contracts | \$ | 0.4 | \$ | 4.3 | |
| Assets: Fair value of | | | | | |
| derivative contracts | \$ | 22.4 | \$ | 18.4 | |
| Current liabilities: Fair value | | | | | |
| of derivative contracts | \$ | (84.2 |) \$ | (96.8 |) |
| Long-term liabilities and | | | | | |
| deferred credits: Fair value of | | | | | |
| derivative contracts | \$ | (82.5 |) \$ | (190.8 |) |

For more information on our risk management activities see Note 6.

10. Income Taxes

Income taxes from continuing operations included in our accompanying consolidated statements of income were as follows (in millions, except percentages):

| | | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | ıded | |
|--------------------|----|-----------------------------|------|-------|---------------------------|----------|----|-------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2010 | 2009 | | 2010 | | | 2009 | |
| Income tax expense | | | | | | | | | |
| (benefit) | \$ | 45.8 | \$ | 67.0 | \$ | (49.7) | \$ | 147.6 | |
| Effective tax rate | | 15.0% | | 24.3% | | (160.8)% | | 29.5% | |

The effective tax rate is lower than the statutory federal rate of 35% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to the net effect of consolidating KMP's income tax provision and an adjustment to the deferred tax

liability related to our investment in NGPL PipeCo LLC, partially offset by state income taxes. The effective tax rate is lower than the statutory federal rate of 35% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 primarily due to (i) the net effect of consolidating KMP's income tax provision, (ii) a dividends received deduction from our 20% ownership interest in NGPL PipeCo LLC, (iii) state tax refunds received during the quarter, and (iv) an adjustment to the deferred tax liability related to our investment in NGPL PipeCo LLC.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, our effective tax rate was lower than the statutory federal tax rate due to (i) the net effect of consolidating KMP's income tax provision, (ii) tax benefits resulting from increases in non-deductible goodwill and (iii) a dividends received deduction from our 20% ownership interest in NGPL PipeCo LLC. The above decreases to income tax expense were partially offset by state income tax expense. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, our effective tax rate was lower than the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% for the three items described above and a non-cash income tax reserve (ASC 740-10) adjustment. This decrease to income tax expense was partially offset by additional tax expense resulting from non-cash deferred tax liability adjustments in KMP's Kinder Morgan Canada segment and state income taxes.

11. Litigation, Environmental and Other Contingencies

Below is a brief description of our ongoing material legal proceedings, including any material developments that occurred in such proceedings during the six months ended June 30, 2010. Additional information with respect to these proceedings can be found in Note 16 to our consolidated financial statements included in our 2009 Form 10-K. This note also contains a description of any material legal proceedings that were initiated against us during the six months ended June 30, 2010, and a description of any material events occurring subsequent to June 30, 2010 but before the filing of this report.

In this note, we refer to KMP's subsidiary SFPP, L.P. as SFPP; KMP's subsidiary Calnev Pipe Line LLC as Calnev; Chevron Products Company as Chevron; Navajo Refining Company, L.P. as Navajo; BP West Coast Products, LLC as BP; ConocoPhillips Company as ConocoPhillips; Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company as Tesoro; Texaco Refining and Marketing Inc. as Texaco; Western Refining Company, L.P. as Western Refining; ExxonMobil Oil Corporation as ExxonMobil; Valero Energy Corporation as Valero; Valero Marketing and Supply Company as Valero Marketing; Continental Airlines, Inc., Northwest Airlines, Inc., Southwest Airlines Co. and US Airways, Inc., collectively, as the Airlines; KMP's subsidiary Kinder Morgan CO2 Company, L.P. (the successor to Shell CO2 Company, Ltd.) as Kinder Morgan CO2; the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit as the D.C. Circuit; the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission as the FERC; the California Public Utilities Commission as the CPUC; the United States Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service as the MMS; the Union Pacific Railroad Company (the successor to Southern Pacific Transportation Company) as UPRR; the Texas Commission of Environmental Quality as the TCEO; the United States Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration as the PHMSA; the Florida Department of Environmental Protection as the Florida DEP; KMP's subsidiary Kinder Morgan Bulk Terminals, Inc. as KMBT; KMP's subsidiary Kinder Morgan Port Manatee Terminal LLC as KM PMT; Rockies Express Pipeline LLC as Rockies Express; and Plantation Pipe Line Company as Plantation. "OR" dockets designate complaint proceedings, and "IS" dockets designate protest proceedings.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Proceedings

The tariffs and rates charged by SFPP and Calnev are subject to numerous ongoing proceedings at the FERC, including the shippers' complaints and protests regarding interstate rates on the pipeline systems listed below. These complaints and protests have been filed over numerous years beginning in 1992 through and including 2009. In general, these complaints and protests allege the rates and tariffs charged by SFPP are not just and reasonable. If the shippers are successful in proving their claims, they are entitled to seek reparations (which may reach up to two years prior to the filing of their complaints) or refunds of any excess rates paid, and SFPP may be required to reduce its rates going forward. These proceedings tend to be protracted, with decisions of the FERC often appealed to the federal courts.

As to SFPP, the issues involved in these proceedings include, among others: (i) whether certain of KMP's Pacific operations' rates are "grandfathered" under the Energy Policy Act of 1992, and therefore deemed to be just and reasonable, (ii) whether "substantially changed circumstances" have occurred with respect to any grandfathered rates such that those rates could be challenged, (iii) whether indexed rate increases are justified; and (iv) the appropriate level of return and income tax allowance KMP may include in its rates. The issues involving Calnev are similar.

SFPP

During 2009, SFPP made settlement payments to various shippers totaling approximately \$15.5 million in connection with OR07-8, OR07-11, and IS08-28 and related dockets. The IS08-28 settlement (East Line rates) was approved by the FERC in March 2009, and SFPP implemented reduced settlement rates effective May 1, 2009, along with refunds and settlement payments. Due to reduced East Line volumes, SFPP terminated the IS08-28 settlement pursuant to its terms and filed for increased East Line rates (IS09-437), which were accepted and became effective January 1, 2010, subject to refund and investigation.

On May 28, 2010 the FERC approved a settlement agreement with eleven of twelve shippers regarding various rate challenges previously filed with the FERC dating back to 1992 (Historical Cases Settlement). The Historical Cases Settlement resolves all but two of the cases outstanding between SFPP and the eleven shippers and leaves unaffected settlements entered into previously. SFPP does not expect any material adverse impacts from the remaining two unsettled cases with the eleven shippers. Following the FERC's approval of the agreement, KMP made settlement payments totaling \$206.3 million to the eleven shippers in June 2010. The eleven shippers in the

Historical Cases Settlement are: Valero; ConocoPhillips; BP; ExxonMobil; Western Refining; Navajo; Tesoro; and the Airlines (four collectively). Chevron is the only shipper who is not a party to the Historical Cases Settlement. Chevron's dockets remain open and pending before the FERC.

This settlement agreement and other legal reserves related to SFPP rate litigation resulted in a \$158.0 million charge to earnings in the first quarter of 2010. From a cash perspective, a portion of KMP's partnership distributions for the second quarter of 2010 (which it will pay in the third quarter of 2010) will be a distribution of cash from an interim capital transaction ("ICT Distribution,") rather than a distribution of cash from operations. As a result, our second quarter distribution from KMP (which will be paid in the third quarter) will be reduced by \$170.0 million and our second quarter of 2010 pre-tax earnings were reduced by \$166.6 million. As provided in the partnership agreement, we receive no incentive distribution on ICT Distributions; therefore, there will be no practical impact to KMP's limited partners from this ICT Distribution because (i) the expected cash distribution to the limited partners will not change, (ii) fewer dollars in the aggregate will be distributed, because there will be no incentive distribution paid to us related to the portion of the quarterly distribution that is an ICT Distribution and (iii) we, in this instance, have agreed to waive any resetting of the incentive distribution target levels, as would otherwise occur according to KMP's partnership agreement.

KMP's second quarter ICT Distribution is expected to allow it to resolve the remaining FERC rate cases (discussed above) and CPUC rate cases (discussed below) without impacting future distributions. Due to our support, KMP still expects to distribute \$4.40 in distributions per unit to its limited partners for 2010.

The Historical Cases Settlement resolved the following dockets in their entirety: OR94-3, OR95-5, OR95-34, OR96-10, OR96-15, OR96-17, OR97-2, OR98-2, OR98-13, OR00-7, OR00-8, OR00-9, OR00-10, OR04-3, OR05-4, OR05-5, OR07-1, OR07-2, OR07-6, OR07-20, OR08-13, OR08-15, OR09-12, OR09-18, OR09-21, OR09-22, IS99-144, IS00-379, IS04-323, IS07-229.

The following dockets are settled by the Historical Cases Settlement as to the eleven shippers but are pending as to Chevron:

FERC Docket Nos. OR92-8, et al. (West and East Line Rates)—Chevron protests of compliance filings pending with FERC and appeals pending at the D.C. Circuit;

FERC Docket Nos. OR96-2, et al. (All SFPP Rates)—Chevron (as a successor-in-interest to Texaco) protests of compliance filings pending with FERC;

FERC Docket No. OR02-4 (All SFPP Rates)—Chevron appeal of complaint dismissal pending at the D.C. Circuit;

FERC Docket No. OR03-5 (West, East, North, and Oregon Line Rates)—Chevron exceptions to initial decision pending at FERC;

FERC Docket No. OR07-4 (All SFPP Rates)—Chevron complaint held in abeyance;

FERC Docket No. OR09-8 (consolidated) (2008 Index Increases)—Hearing regarding Chevron complaint held in abeyance pending settlement discussions;

FERC Docket No. IS98-1 (Sepulveda Line Rates)—Chevron protests to compliance filing pending at FERC;

FERC Docket No. IS05-230 (North Line Rates)—Chevron exceptions to initial decision pending at FERC;

FERC Docket No. IS07-116 (Sepulveda Line Rates)—Chevron protest subject to resolution of IS98-1 proceeding;

FERC Docket No. IS08-137 (West and East Line Rates)—Chevron protest subject to resolution of the OR92-8/OR96-2 proceeding;

FERC Docket No. IS08-302 (2008 Index Rate Increases)—Chevron protest subject to the resolution of proceedings regarding the West, North and Sepulveda Lines;

FERC Docket No. IS09-375 (2009 Index Rate Increases)—Chevron protest subject to resolution of proceedings regarding the North, West and Sepulveda Lines;

Kinder Morgan, Inc. Form 10-Q

The following dockets are unaffected by the Historical Cases Settlement:

FERC Docket No. IS08-390 (West Line Rates)—Protestants: BP, ExxonMobil, ConocoPhillips, Valero Marketing, Chevron, the Airlines—Status: Exceptions to initial decision pending at FERC;

FERC Docket No. IS09-437 (East Line Rates)—Protestants: BP, ExxonMobil, ConocoPhillips, Valero, Chevron, Western Refining, and Southwest Airlines—Status: Hearing stage.

The following dockets terminated independent of the Historical Cases Settlement:

FERC Docket No. OR07-3 (2005-2006 North Line Index Rate Increases)—Protestants: BP, ExxonMobil, Tesoro, Valero Marketing, Chevron—Defendant: SFPP—Status: Petition for review at D.C. Circuit denied, mandate issued.

> FERC Docket No. OR09-16 (not consolidated) (2007 and 2008 Page 700 Audit Request)—Complainants: Tesoro—Defendant: SFPP—Status: Dismissed at FERC; no appeal taken; and

FERC Docket No. OR09-17 (Most SFPP Rates) (not consolidated)—Complainants: Tesoro—Defendant: SFPP—Status: Dismissed at FERC; no appeal taken.

Calnev

FERC Docket Nos. OR07-7, OR07-18, OR07-19 & OR07-22 (not consolidated) (Calnev Rates)—Complainants: Tesoro, Airlines, BP, Chevron, ConocoPhillips and Valero Marketing—Status: Complaint amendments pending before FERC:

FERC Docket No. IS09-377 (2009 Index Rate Increases)—Protestants: BP, Chevron, and Tesoro—Status: Requests for rehearing of FERC dismissal pending before FERC;

FERC Docket Nos. OR09-11/OR09-14 (not consolidated) (2007 and 2008 Page 700 Audit Request)—Complainants: BP/Tesoro—Status: BP petition for review at D.C. Circuit dismissed, mandate issued;

FERC Docket Nos. OR09-15/OR09-20 (not consolidated) (Calnev Rates)—Complainants:

Tesoro/BP—Status: Complaints pending at FERC;

FERC Docket Nos. OR09-18/OR09-22 (not consolidated) (2009 Index Increases)—Complainants:

Tesoro/BP—Status: BP petition for review at D.C. Circuit dismissed, mandate issued.

Trailblazer Pipeline Company LLC

On July 7, 2010, KMP's subsidiary Trailblazer Pipeline Company LLC ("Trailblazer") refunded a total of approximately \$0.7 million to natural gas shippers covering the period January 1, 2010 through May 31, 2010 as part of a settlement reached with shippers to eliminate the December 1, 2009 rate filing obligation contained in its Docket No. RP03-162 rate case settlement. As part of the agreement with shippers, Trailblazer commenced billing reduced tariff rates as of June 1, 2010 with an additional reduction in tariff rates to take effect January 1, 2011.

California Public Utilities Commission Proceedings

SFPP has previously reported ratemaking and complaint proceedings pending with the CPUC. The ratemaking and complaint cases generally involve challenges to rates charged by SFPP for intrastate transportation of refined petroleum products through its pipeline system in the state of California and request prospective rate adjustments and refunds with respect to tariffed and previously untariffed charges for certain pipeline transportation and related services. These matters have been consolidated and assigned to two administrative law judges.

On April 6, 2010, a CPUC administrative law judge issued a proposed decision in several intrastate rate cases involving SFPP and a number of its shippers. The proposed decision includes determinations on issues, such as SFPP's entitlement to an income tax allowance and allocation of environmental expenses that are contrary both to CPUC policy and precedent and to established federal regulatory policies for pipelines. Moreover, contrary to California law, the proposed decision orders refunds relating to these issues where the underlying rates were previously deemed reasonable by the CPUC. Based on KMP's review of these CPUC proceedings, KMP estimates that its maximum exposure is approximately \$220 million in reparation and refund payments and if the

determinations made in the proposed decision were applied prospectively in two pending cases this could result in approximately \$30 million in annual rate reductions.

The proposed decision is advisory in nature and can be rejected, accepted or modified by the CPUC. SFPP filed comments on May 3, 2010 outlining the errors in law and fact within the proposed decision and on May 5, 2010, SFPP made oral arguments before the full CPUC. Further procedural steps, including motions for rehearing and writ of review to California's Court of Appeals, will be taken if warranted. KMP does not expect the final resolution of this matter to have an impact on the expected distributions to its limited partners for 2010.

Carbon Dioxide Litigation

Gerald O. Bailey et al. v. Shell Oil Co. et al, Southern District of Texas Lawsuit

Kinder Morgan CO2, KMP and Cortez Pipeline Company are among the defendants in a proceeding in the federal courts for the Southern District of Texas. Gerald O. Bailey et al. v. Shell Oil Company et al. (Civil Action Nos. 05-1029 and 05-1829 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas—consolidated by Order dated July 18, 2005). The plaintiffs assert claims for the underpayment of royalties on carbon dioxide produced from the McElmo Dome unit, located in southwestern Colorado. The plaintiffs assert claims for fraud/fraudulent inducement, real estate fraud, negligent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary and agency duties, breach of contract and covenants, violation of the Colorado Unfair Practices Act, civil theft under Colorado law, conspiracy, unjust enrichment, and open account. Plaintiffs Gerald O. Bailey, Harry Ptasynski, and W.L. Gray & Co. also assert claims as private relators under the False Claims Act, claims on behalf of the State of Colorado and Montezuma County, Colorado, and claims for violation of federal and Colorado antitrust laws. The plaintiffs seek actual damages, treble damages, punitive damages, a constructive trust and accounting, and declaratory relief. The defendants filed motions for summary judgment on all claims.

On April 22, 2008, the federal district court granted defendants' motions for summary judgment and ruled that plaintiffs Bailey and Ptasynski take nothing on their claims and that the claims of Gray be dismissed with prejudice. The court entered final judgment in favor of the defendants on April 30, 2008. The plaintiffs appealed to the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. On June 16, 2010, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the trial court's summary judgment decision.

CO₂ Claims Arbitration

Kinder Morgan CO2 and Cortez Pipeline Company were among the named defendants in CO2 Committee, Inc. v. Shell Oil Co., et al., an arbitration initiated on November 28, 2005. The arbitration arose from a dispute over a class action settlement agreement which became final on July 7, 2003 and disposed of five lawsuits formerly pending in the U.S. District Court, District of Colorado. The plaintiffs in such lawsuits primarily included overriding royalty interest owners, royalty interest owners, and small share working interest owners who alleged underpayment of royalties and other payments on carbon dioxide produced from the McElmo Dome unit.

The settlement imposed certain future obligations on the defendants in the underlying litigation. The plaintiffs in the arbitration alleged that, in calculating royalty and other payments, defendants used a transportation expense in excess of what is allowed by the settlement agreement, thereby causing alleged underpayments of approximately \$12 million. The plaintiffs also alleged that Cortez Pipeline Company should have used certain funds to further reduce its debt, which, in turn, would have allegedly increased the value of royalty and other payments by approximately \$0.5 million. On August 7, 2006, the arbitration panel issued its opinion finding that defendants did not breach the settlement agreement. On June 21, 2007, the New Mexico federal district court entered final judgment confirming the

August 7, 2006 arbitration decision.

On October 2, 2007, the plaintiffs initiated a second arbitration (CO2 Committee, Inc. v. Shell CO2 Company, Ltd., aka Kinder Morgan CO2 Company, L.P., et al.) against Cortez Pipeline Company, Kinder Morgan CO2 and an ExxonMobil entity. The second arbitration asserts claims similar to those asserted in the first arbitration. On June 3, 2008, the plaintiffs filed a request with the American Arbitration Association seeking administration of the arbitration. In October 2008, the New Mexico federal district court entered an order declaring that the panel in the first arbitration should decide whether the claims in the second arbitration are barred by res judicata (an adjudicated issue that cannot be relitigated). The plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration of that order, which was denied by the New Mexico federal district court in January 2009. Plaintiffs appealed to the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals. On December 21, 2009, the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the District Court and ruled that a new arbitration panel should be convened to decide the claims and defenses asserted by the parties. A new arbitration panel has convened and a final hearing on the parties' claims and defenses is expected to occur in 2011.

MMS Notice of Noncompliance and Civil Penalty

On December 20, 2006, Kinder Morgan CO2 received from the MMS a "Notice of Noncompliance and Civil Penalty: Knowing or Willful Submission of False, Inaccurate, or Misleading Information—Kinder Morgan CO2 Company, L.P., case no. CP07-001." This Notice, and the MMS's position that Kinder Morgan CO2 has violated certain reporting obligations, relates to a disagreement between the MMS and Kinder Morgan CO2 concerning the approved transportation allowance to be used in valuing McElmo Dome carbon dioxide for purposes of calculating federal royalties.

The Notice of Noncompliance and Civil Penalty assesses a civil penalty of approximately \$2.2 million as of December 15, 2006 (based on a penalty of \$500.00 per day for each of 17 alleged violations) for Kinder Morgan CO2's alleged submission of false, inaccurate, or misleading information relating to the transportation allowance, and federal royalties for CO2 produced at McElmo Dome, during the period from June 2005 through October 2006. The MMS stated that civil penalties will continue to accrue at the same rate until the alleged violations are corrected.

On January 3, 2007, Kinder Morgan CO2 appealed the Notice of Noncompliance and Civil Penalty to the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of the Interior. In February 2007, Kinder Morgan CO2 filed a motion seeking to stay the accrual of civil penalties during the appeal, which was denied.

In July 2008, the parties reached a settlement of the Notice of Noncompliance and Civil Penalty, subject to final approval by the MMS and the Department of the Interior. On January 28, 2010, a representative of the MMS notified Kinder Morgan CO2 that the Department of the Interior will not approve the settlement on its existing terms. The parties are engaged in renewed settlement discussions.

MMS Orders to Report and Pay

On March 20, 2007, Kinder Morgan CO2 received an Order to Report and Pay from the MMS. The MMS contends that Kinder Morgan CO2 over-reported transportation allowances and underpaid royalties in the amount of approximately \$4.6 million for the period from January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006 as a result of its use of the Cortez Pipeline tariff as the transportation allowance in calculating federal royalties. The MMS claims that the Cortez Pipeline tariff is not the proper transportation allowance and that Kinder Morgan CO2 must use its "reasonable actual costs" calculated in accordance with certain federal product valuation regulations. The MMS set a due date of April 13, 2007 for Kinder Morgan CO2's payment of the \$4.6 million in claimed additional royalties, with possible late payment charges and civil penalties for failure to pay the assessed amount.

Kinder Morgan CO2 has not paid the \$4.6 million, and on April 19, 2007, it submitted a notice of appeal and statement of reasons in response to the Order to Report and Pay, challenging the Order and appealing it to the Director of the MMS in accordance with 30 C.F.R. sec. 290.100, et seq.

In addition to the March 2007 Order to Report and Pay, the MMS issued a second Order to Report and Pay in August 2007, in which the MMS claims that Kinder Morgan CO2 over-reported transportation allowances and underpaid royalties (due to the use of the Cortez Pipeline tariff as the transportation allowance for purposes of federal royalties) in the amount of approximately \$8.5 million for the period from April 2000 through December 2004. Kinder Morgan CO2 filed its notice of appeal and statement of reasons in response to the second Order in September 2007, challenging the Order and appealing it to the Director of the MMS.

In July 2008, the parties reached a settlement of the March 2007 and August 2007 Orders to Report and Pay, subject to final approval by the MMS and the Department of the Interior. On January 28, 2010, a representative of the MMS

notified Kinder Morgan CO2 that the Department of the Interior will not approve the settlement on its existing terms. The parties are engaged in renewed settlement discussions.

Colorado Severance Tax Assessment

On September 16, 2009, the Colorado Department of Revenue issued three Notices of Deficiency to Kinder Morgan CO2. The Notices of Deficiency assessed additional state severance tax against Kinder Morgan CO2 with respect to carbon dioxide produced from the McElmo Dome unit for tax years 2005, 2006, and 2007. The total amount of tax assessed was \$5.7 million, plus interest of \$1.0 million, plus penalties of \$1.7 million. Kinder Morgan CO2 protested the Notices of Deficiency and paid the tax and interest under protest. Kinder Morgan CO2 is now awaiting the Colorado Department of Revenue's response to the protest.

Kinder Morgan, Inc. Form 10-Q

Montezuma County, Colorado Property Tax Assessment

In November of 2009, the County Treasurer of Montezuma County, Colorado, issued to Kinder Morgan CO2, as operator of the McElmo Dome unit, retroactive tax bills for tax year 2008, in the amount of \$2 million. Of this amount, 37.2% is attributable to Kinder Morgan CO2's interest. The retroactive tax bills were based on the assertion that a portion of the actual value of the carbon dioxide produced from the McElmo Dome unit was omitted from the 2008 tax roll due to an alleged over statement of transportation and other expenses used to calculate the net taxable value. Kinder Morgan CO2 paid the retroactive tax bills under protest and will file petitions for refunds of the taxes paid under protest and will vigorously contest Montezuma County's position.

Other

In addition to the matters listed above, audits and administrative inquiries concerning Kinder Morgan CO2's payments on carbon dioxide produced from the McElmo Dome and Bravo Dome units are currently ongoing. These audits and inquiries involve federal agencies, the states of Colorado and New Mexico, and county taxing authorities in the state of Colorado.

Commercial Litigation Matters

Union Pacific Railroad Company Easements

SFPP and UPRR are engaged in a proceeding to determine the extent, if any, to which the rent payable by SFPP for the use of pipeline easements on rights-of-way held by UPRR should be adjusted pursuant to existing contractual arrangements for the ten year period beginning January 1, 2004 (Union Pacific Railroad Company vs. Santa Fe Pacific Pipelines, Inc., SFPP, L.P., Kinder Morgan Operating L.P. "D", Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc., et al., Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, filed July 28, 2004). In February 2007, a trial began to determine the amount payable for easements on UPRR rights-of-way. The trial is ongoing and is expected to conclude by the end of the third quarter of 2010, with a decision from the judge expected by the end of 2010.

SFPP and UPRR are also engaged in multiple disputes over the circumstances under which SFPP must pay for a relocation of its pipeline within the UPRR right-of-way and the safety standards that govern relocations. In July 2006, a trial before a judge regarding the circumstances under which SFPP must pay for relocations concluded, and the judge determined that SFPP must pay for any relocations resulting from any legitimate business purpose of the UPRR. SFPP appealed this decision, and in December 2008, the appellate court affirmed the decision. In addition, UPRR contends that SFPP must comply with the more expensive American Railway Engineering and Maintenance-of-Way standards in determining when relocations are necessary and in completing relocations. Each party is seeking declaratory relief with respect to its positions regarding the application of these standards with respect to relocations.

Since SFPP does not know UPRR's plans for projects or other activities that would cause pipeline relocations, it is difficult to quantify the effects of the outcome of these cases on SFPP. Even if SFPP is successful in advancing its positions, significant relocations for which SFPP must nonetheless bear the expense (i.e., for railroad purposes, with the standards in the federal Pipeline Safety Act applying) would have an adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations. These effects would be even greater in the event SFPP is unsuccessful in one or more of these litigations.

Severstal Sparrows Point Crane Collapse

On June 4, 2008, a bridge crane owned by Severstal Sparrows Point, LLC and located in Sparrows Point, Maryland collapsed while being operated by KMBT. According to KMP's investigation, the collapse was caused by unexpected, sudden and extreme winds. On June 24, 2009, Severstal filed suit against KMBT in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, cause no. WMN 09CV1668. Severstal alleges that KMBT was contractually obligated to replace the collapsed crane and that its employees were negligent in failing to properly secure the crane prior to the collapse. Severstal seeks unspecified damages for value of the crane and lost profits. KMBT denies each of Severstal's allegations.

JR Nicholls Tug Incident

On February 10, 2010, the JR Nicholls, a tugboat operated by one of KMP's subsidiaries overturned and sank in the Houston Ship Channel. Five employees were on board and four were rescued, treated and released from a local hospital. The fifth employee died in the incident. The U.S. Coast Guard shut down a section of the ship channel for approximately 60 hours. Approximately 2,200 gallons of diesel fuel was released from the tugboat. Emergency

response crews deployed booms and contained the product, which is substantially cleaned up. Salvage operations were commenced and the tugboat has been recovered. A full investigation of the incident is underway.

Employee Matters

James Lugliani vs. Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc. et al. in the Superior Court of California, Orange County

James Lugliani, a former Kinder Morgan employee, filed suit in January 2010 against various Kinder Morgan affiliates. On behalf of himself and other similarly situated current and former employees, Mr. Lugliani claims that the Kinder Morgan defendants have violated the wage and hour provisions of the California Labor Code and Business & Professions Code by failing to provide meal and rest periods; failing to pay meal and rest period premiums; failing to pay all overtime wages due; failing to timely pay wages; failing to pay wages for vacation, holidays and other paid time off; and failing to keep proper payroll records. Defendants intend to vigorously defend the case.

Pipeline Integrity and Releases

From time to time, despite our best efforts, our pipelines experience leaks and ruptures. These leaks and ruptures may cause explosions, fire and damage to the environment, damage to property and/or personal injury or death. In connection with these incidents, we may be sued for damages caused by an alleged failure to properly mark the locations of our pipelines and/or to properly maintain our pipelines. Depending upon the facts and circumstances of a particular incident, state and federal regulatory authorities may seek civil and/or criminal fines and penalties.

Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC ("Midcontinent Express") Construction Incident

On July 15, 2009, a Midcontinent Express contractor and subcontractor were conducting a nitrogen pressure test on facilities at a Midcontinent Express pipeline delivery meter station that was under construction in Smith County, Mississippi. An unexpected release of nitrogen occurred during testing, resulting in one fatality and injuries to four other employees of the contractor or subcontractor. The United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") completed its investigation. Neither Midcontinent Express nor KMP were cited for any violations by OSHA.

In July 2010, Kinder Morgan, Inc. and Midcontinent Express were named in two lawsuits arising out of the accident. One case was filed by one of the injured workers and the other case was filed by the decedent's heirs. Both cases are pending in Louisiana State District Court, Vermilion Parish. Plaintiffs allege that Kinder Morgan, Inc. and Midcontinent Express were negligent and grossly negligent in failing to maintain a safe worksite. Kinder Morgan, Inc. and Midcontinent Express have tendered the cases to the responsible insurance carriers, and they have agreed to accept the defense and indemnity. Discovery is proceeding in the cases.

Pasadena Terminal Fire

On September 23, 2008, a fire occurred in the pit 3 manifold area of our Pasadena, Texas liquids terminal facility.

On January 8, 2010, a civil lawsuit was filed on behalf of the People of Texas and the TCEQ for alleged violations of the Texas Clean Air Act. The lawsuit was filed in the 53rd Judicial District Court, Travis County, Texas and is entitled State of Texas v. Kinder Morgan Liquids Terminals, case no. D1GV10000017. Specifically, the TCEQ alleges that KMP's subsidiary, Kinder Morgan Liquids Terminals LLC, had an unauthorized emission event relating to the pit 3 fire at the Pasadena terminal in September 2008. KMP is currently in discussions with the TCEQ legal representatives and the Texas Attorney General's office regarding resolution of this matter. KMP does not expect any fines or penalties related to this matter to be material.

Charlotte, North Carolina

On January 17, 2010, KMP's subsidiary Kinder Morgan Southeast Terminal LLC's Charlotte #2 Terminal experienced an issue with a pollution control device known as the Vapor Recovery Unit, which led to a fire and release of gasoline from the facility to adjacent property and a small creek. There were no injuries. KMP is cooperating fully with state and federal agencies on the response and remediation.

Barstow, California

The United States Department of the Navy has alleged that historic releases of methyl tertiary-butyl ether, or MTBE from Calnev Pipe Line Company's Barstow terminal (i) have migrated underneath the Navy's Marine Corps Logistics Base in Barstow, (ii) have impacted the Navy's existing groundwater treatment system for unrelated groundwater contamination not alleged to have been caused by Calnev and (iii) could affect the Barstow, California Marine Corps Logistic Base's water supply system. Although Calnev believes that it has meritorious defenses to the Navy's claims, it is working with the Navy to agree upon an Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent for federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (referred to as CERCLA) Removal Action to reimburse the Navy for \$0.5 million in past response actions.

Westridge Terminal, Burnaby, British Columbia

On July 24, 2007, a third-party contractor installing a sewer line for the City of Burnaby struck a crude oil pipeline segment included within KMP's Trans Mountain pipeline system near its Westridge terminal in Burnaby, British Columbia, resulting in a release of approximately 1,400 barrels of crude oil. The release impacted the surrounding neighborhood, several homes and nearby Burrard Inlet. No injuries were reported. To address the release, KMP initiated a comprehensive emergency response in collaboration with, among others, the City of Burnaby, the British Columbia Ministry of Environment, the National Energy Board (Canada), and the National Transportation Safety Board (U.S.). Cleanup and environmental remediation is complete and KMP has received a British Columbia Ministry of Environment Certificate of Compliance confirming complete remediation.

The National Transportation Safety Board released its investigation report on the incident on March 18, 2009. The report confirmed that an absence of pipeline location marking in advance of excavation and inadequate communication between the contractor and KMP's subsidiary Kinder Morgan Canada Inc., the operator of the line, were the primary causes of the accident. No directives, penalties or actions of Kinder Morgan Canada Inc. were required as a result of the report.

On July, 22, 2009, the British Columbia Ministry of Environment issued regulatory charges against the third-party contractor, the engineering consultant to the sewer line project, Kinder Morgan Canada Inc., and KMP subsidiary Trans Mountain L.P. The British Columbia Ministry of Environment claims that the parties charged caused the release of crude oil, and in doing so were in violation of various sections of the Environmental, Fisheries and Migratory Bird Act. KMP is of the view that the charges have been improperly laid against it, and it intends to vigorously defend against them.

Litigation Relating to the "Going Private" Transaction

Beginning on May 29, 2006, the day after the proposal for the Going Private transaction was announced, and in the days following, eight putative Class Action lawsuits were filed in Harris County (Houston), Texas and seven putative Class Action lawsuits were filed in Shawnee County (Topeka), Kansas against, among others, Kinder Morgan, Inc., its Board of Directors, the Special Committee of the Board of Directors, and several corporate officers.

The eight Harris County cases were consolidated into the Crescente v. Kinder Morgan, Inc. et al case, Cause No. 2006-33011, in the 164th Judicial District Court, Harris County, Texas. The seven Kansas cases were consolidated into the Consol. Case No. 06 C 801; In Re Kinder Morgan, Inc. Shareholder Litigation; in the District Court of Shawnee County, Kansas, Division 12. The Consolidated Petitions filed by the plaintiffs challenged the proposed transaction as inadequate and unfair to Kinder Morgan, Inc.'s public stockholders. They alleged that Kinder Morgan, Inc.'s Board of Directors and certain members of senior management breached their fiduciary duties and the Sponsor

Investors aided and abetted the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty in entering into the merger agreement. They sought, among other things, to enjoin the merger, rescission of the merger agreement, disgorgement of any improper profits received by the defendants, and attorneys' fees. Defendants answered the Consolidated Petitions, denying the plaintiffs' substantive allegations and denying that the plaintiffs are entitled to relief.

In August, September and October 2008, the Plaintiffs in both consolidated cases voluntarily dismissed without prejudice the claims against those Kinder Morgan, Inc. directors who did not participate in the buyout (including the dismissal of the members of the special committee of the board of directors), Kinder Morgan, Inc. and Knight Acquisition, Inc. In addition, on November 19, 2008, by agreement of the parties, the Texas trial court issued an order staying all proceedings in the Texas actions until such time as a final judgment shall be issued in the Kansas actions. The effect of this stay is that the consolidated matters will proceed only in the Kansas trial court.

In February 2009, the parties submitted an agreed upon order which has been entered by the Kansas trial court certifying a class consisting of "All holders of Kinder Morgan, Inc. common stock, during the period of August 28, 2006, through May 30, 2007, and their transferees, successors and assigns. Excluded from the class are defendants, members of their immediate families or trusts for the benefit of defendants or their immediate family members, and any majority-owned affiliates of any defendant." The parties agreed that the certification and definition of the above class was subject to revision and without prejudice to defendants' right to seek decertification of the class or modification of the class definition.

The parties have concluded fact and expert discovery. In July, 2010 all remaining defendants filed Motions for Summary Judgment in their favor on all remaining claims in the consolidated cases. Plaintiffs are scheduled to respond to such motions in August, 2010, and oral argument on such motions is scheduled for October, 2010.

General

Although no assurance can be given, we believe that we have meritorious defenses to the actions set forth in this note and, to the extent an assessment of the matter is possible, if it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, we believe that we have established an adequate reserve to cover potential liability.

Additionally, although it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcomes, we also believe, based on our experiences to date and the reserves we have established, that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse impact on our business, financial position, results of operations or distributions to limited partners. As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we have recorded a total reserve for legal fees, transportation rate cases and other litigation liabilities in the amount of \$162.8 million and \$220.9 million, respectively. The reserve is primarily related to various claims from regulatory proceedings arising from KMP's West Coast products pipeline transportation rates, and the contingent amount is based on both the circumstances of probability and reasonability of dollar estimates. The overall change in the reserve from year-end 2009 includes both a \$158.0 million increase in expense in the first quarter of 2010 associated with various rate case liability adjustments that increased our overall rate case liability, and a \$206.3 million payment in the second quarter of 2010 that reduced the liability. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these claims in order to determine the adequacy of our liability provision.

Environmental Matters

The City of Los Angeles v. Kinder Morgan Liquids Terminals, LLC, Shell Oil Company, Equilon Enterprises LLC; California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Case No. NC041463.

KMP's subsidiary, Kinder Morgan Liquids Terminals LLC, is a defendant in a lawsuit filed in 2005 alleging claims for environmental cleanup costs at the former Los Angeles Marine Terminal in the Port of Los Angeles. The lawsuit was stayed beginning in 2009 and remains stayed through the first half of 2010. The court may lift the stay in the second half of 2010. During the stay, the parties deemed responsible by the local regulatory agency have worked with that agency concerning the scope of the required cleanup. The local regulatory agency issued specific cleanup goals in early 2010, and two of those parties, including Kinder Morgan Liquids Terminals, LLC, have appealed those cleanup goals to the state agency.

Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint alleges that future environmental cleanup costs at the former terminal will exceed \$10 million, and that the plaintiff's past damages exceed \$2 million. No trial date has yet been set.

Exxon Mobil Corporation v. GATX Corporation, Kinder Morgan Liquids Terminals, LLC and ST Services, Inc.

On April 23, 2003, Exxon Mobil Corporation filed a complaint in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Gloucester County. The lawsuit relates to environmental remediation obligations at a Paulsboro, New Jersey liquids terminal owned by ExxonMobil from the mid-1950s through November 1989, by GATX Terminals Corp. from 1989 through September 2000, later owned by Support Terminals. The terminal is now owned by Pacific Atlantic Terminals, LLC, and it too is a party to the lawsuit.

The complaint seeks any and all damages related to remediating all environmental contamination at the terminal, and, according to the New Jersey Spill Compensation and Control Act, treble damages may be available for actual dollars incorrectly spent by the successful party in the lawsuit. The parties engaged in court ordered mediation in 2008 through 2009, which did not result in settlement. The trial judge has issued a Case Management Order and the parties are actively engaged in discovery.

On June 25, 2007, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, the Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and the Administrator of the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund, referred to collectively as the plaintiffs, filed a complaint against ExxonMobil Corporation and KMP's subsidiary Kinder Morgan Liquids Terminals LLC, formerly known as GATX Terminals Corporation. The complaint was filed in Gloucester County, New Jersey. Both ExxonMobil and Kinder Morgan Liquids Terminals, LLC filed third party complaints against Support Terminals seeking to bring Support Terminals into the case. Support Terminals filed motions to dismiss the third party complaints, which were denied. Support Terminals is now joined in the case and it filed an Answer denying all claims. The court has consolidated the two cases.

The plaintiffs seek the costs and damages that the plaintiffs allegedly have incurred or will incur as a result of the discharge of pollutants and hazardous substances at the Paulsboro, New Jersey facility. The costs and damages that the plaintiffs seek include cleanup costs and damages to natural resources. In addition, the plaintiffs seek an order compelling the defendants to perform or fund the assessment and restoration of those natural resource damages that are the result of the defendants' actions. At the time of this report, the plaintiffs have filed a report asserting that the cost of natural resource restoration is \$81 million. Defendants vigorously dispute that estimate. In addition, KMP believes that any damages, including restoration damages, would be the responsibility of the other co-defendants under applicable law and indemnity agreements between the parties.

Mission Valley Terminal Lawsuit

In August 2007, the City of San Diego, on its own behalf and purporting to act on behalf of the People of the State of California, filed a lawsuit against KMP and several affiliates seeking injunctive relief and unspecified damages allegedly resulting from hydrocarbon and MTBE impacted soils and groundwater beneath the city's stadium property in San Diego arising from historic operations at the Mission Valley terminal facility. The case was filed in the Superior Court of California, San Diego County, case number 37-2007-00073033-CU-OR-CTL. On September 26, 2007, KMP removed the case to the United States District Court, Southern District of California, case number 07CV1883WCAB. Discovery has commenced and the court has set a trial date of January 24, 2012. The City disclosed in discovery that it is seeking approximately \$170 million in damages for alleged lost value/lost profit from the redevelopment of the City's property and alleged lost use of the water resources underlying the property. On January 19, 2010, the City filed a notice of intent to file an additional claim under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. KMP has been and will continue to aggressively defend this action. This site has been, and currently is, under the regulatory oversight and order of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board.

Kinder Morgan Port Manatee Terminal, Palmetto, Florida

On June 18, 2009, KM PMT received a Revised Warning Letter from the Florida DEP, advising it of possible regulatory and air permit violations regarding operations at its Port Manatee, Florida terminal. KMP previously conducted a voluntary internal audit at this facility in March 2008 and identified various environmental compliance and permitting issues primarily related to air quality compliance. KMP self-reported its findings from this audit in a self-disclosure letter to the Florida DEP in March, 2008. Following the submittal of its self-disclosure letter, the agency conducted numerous inspections of the air pollution control devices at the terminal and issued this Revised Warning Letter. In addition, KM PMT has received a subpoena from the U.S. Department of Justice for production of documents related to the service and operation of the air pollution control devices at the terminal.

In February 2010, KM PMT entered into a plea agreement with the U.S. Attorney's office for the Middle District of Florida to resolve the air permit violations at KMP's Port Manatee terminal that occurred between 2001 and 2008. During this period of time, former local terminal management failed to disclose and address the operational condition of control equipment at the facility, as required by the Clean Air Act. To resolve the matter, KM PMT has entered

into a plea agreement concerning criminal violations of the Clean Air Act and has agreed to pay a fine of \$750,000 and a community service payment of \$250,000 to the National Fish & Wildlife Foundation. The plea agreement was accepted by the Court on June 22, 2010. In addition, in order to resolve the matter with the Florida DEP, KM PMT has entered into a civil Consent Order with the Florida DEP under which it has agreed to implement an Environmental Compliance Plan and to pay \$336,000 in civil penalties and costs. KMP has fully cooperated with the government's investigation, and has taken appropriate measures at the terminal, including replacing and repairing control equipment, adding new equipment, terminating certain employees, and retraining current employees on proper environmental procedures.

Kinder Morgan, EPA Section 114 Information Request

On January 8, 2010, Kinder Morgan, Inc., on behalf of Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America LLC, Horizon Pipeline Company and Rockies Express Pipeline LLC, received a Clean Air Act Section 114 information request from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region V. This information request requires that the three affiliated companies provide the EPA with air permit and various other information related to their natural gas pipeline compressor station operations in Illinois, Indiana, and Ohio. The affiliated companies have responded to the request and believe the relevant natural gas compressor station operations are in substantial compliance with applicable air quality laws and regulations.

Other Environmental

We are subject to environmental cleanup and enforcement actions from time to time. In particular, the CERCLA generally imposes joint and several liability for cleanup and enforcement costs on current and predecessor owners and operators of a site, among others, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct. Our operations are also subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to protection of the environment. Although we believe our operations are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental law and regulations, risks of additional costs and liabilities are inherent in pipeline, terminal and carbon dioxide field and oil field operations, and there can be no assurance that we will not incur significant costs and liabilities. Moreover, it is possible that other developments, such as increasingly stringent environmental laws, regulations and enforcement policies under the terms of authority of those laws, and claims for damages to property or persons resulting from our operations, could result in substantial costs and liabilities to us.

We are currently involved in several governmental proceedings involving alleged violations of environmental and safety regulations. As we receive notices of non-compliance, we negotiate and settle these matters. We do not believe that these alleged violations will have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are also currently involved in several governmental proceedings involving groundwater and soil remediation efforts under administrative orders or related state remediation programs. We have established a reserve to address the costs associated with the cleanup.

In addition, we are involved with and have been identified as a potentially responsible party in several federal and state superfund sites. Environmental reserves have been established for those sites where our contribution is probable and reasonably estimable. In addition, we are from time to time involved in civil proceedings relating to damages alleged to have occurred as a result of accidental leaks or spills of refined petroleum products, natural gas liquids, natural gas and carbon dioxide. See "—Pipeline Integrity and Releases" above for additional information with respect to ruptures and leaks from our pipelines.

General

Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcomes, we believe that the resolution of the environmental matters set forth in this note will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, we are not able to reasonably estimate when the eventual settlements of these claims will occur and changing circumstances could cause these matters to have a material adverse impact. As of June 30, 2010, we have accrued an environmental reserve of \$81.6 million, and we believe the establishment of this environmental reserve is adequate such that the resolution of pending environmental matters will not have a material adverse impact on our business, cash flows, financial position or results of operations. In addition, as of June 30, 2010, we have recorded a receivable of \$8.6 million for expected cost recoveries that have been deemed probable. As

of December 31, 2009, our environmental reserve totaled \$86.3 million and our estimated receivable for environmental cost recoveries totaled \$4.3 million. Additionally, many factors may change in the future affecting our reserve estimates, such as (i) regulatory changes, (ii) groundwater and land use near our sites and (iii) changes in cleanup technology.

Other

We are a defendant in various lawsuits arising from the day-to-day operations of our businesses. Although no assurance can be given, we believe, based on our experiences to date and taking into account established reserves, that the ultimate resolution of such items will not have a material adverse impact on our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

12. Regulatory Matters

Below is a brief description of our ongoing regulatory matters, including any material developments that occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2010. This note also contains a description of any material regulatory matters initiated during the six months ended June 30, 2010 in which we are involved. In this note, we refer to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission as the FERC.

NGPL PipeCo LLC Section 5 Proceeding

On November 19, 2009, NGPL PipeCo LLC was notified by the FERC of a proceeding against it pursuant to section 5 of the Natural Gas Act (the "Order"). The proceeding instituted an investigation into the justness and reasonableness of Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America LLC's ("Natural") transportation and storage rates as well as its fuel and natural gas lost percentages. Natural reached a settlement in principal on the Order on April 22, 2010. On June 11, 2010, Natural filed an Offer of Settlement ("Settlement"), which was approved without modification by the FERC on July 29, 2010. Rehearing requests, if any, are due in the 30 days following the FERC's order approving the settlement. If no rehearing request is filed, the order approving the settlement will become final and nonappealable. The Settlement resolved all issues in the proceeding. The Settlement provides that Natural will reduce its fuel and gas lost and unaccounted for ("GL&U") retention factors as of July 1, 2010. The Settlement further provides a timeline for additional prospective fuel and GL&U reductions and prospective reductions in the maximum recourse reservation rates that it bills firm transportation and storage shippers. Also, see Note 2 "Investments, Acquisitions, Joint Ventures and Divestitures—NGPL PipeCo LLC Investment Impairment Charge."

Natural Gas Pipeline Expansion Filings

Rockies Express Pipeline LLC Meeker to Cheyenne Expansion Project

Pursuant to certain rights exercised by EnCana Gas Marketing USA as a result of its foundation shipper status on the former Entrega Gas Pipeline LLC facilities (now part of the Rockies Express Pipeline), Rockies Express Pipeline LLC requested authorization to construct and operate certain facilities that will comprise its Meeker, Colorado to Cheyenne Hub expansion project. The proposed expansion will add natural gas compression at its Big Hole compressor station located in Moffat County, Colorado, and its Arlington compressor station located in Carbon County, Wyoming. Upon completion, the additional compression will permit the transportation of an additional 200 million cubic feet per day of natural gas from (i) the Meeker Hub located in Rio Blanco County, Colorado northward to the Wamsutter Hub located in Sweetwater County, Wyoming; and (ii) the Wamsutter Hub eastward to the Cheyenne Hub located in Weld County, Colorado.

By FERC order issued July 16, 2009, Rockies Express Pipeline LLC was granted authorization to construct and operate this project, and it commenced construction on August 4, 2009. The expansion is fully contracted. The additional compression at the Big Hole compressor station was made available as of December 9, 2009 and the additional compression at the Arlington compressor station is expected to be operational in September 2010. The total FERC authorized cost for the proposed project is approximately \$78 million; however, Rockies Express Pipeline LLC is currently projecting that the final actual cost will be approximately \$25 million less.

Kinder Morgan Interstate Gas Transmission Pipeline ("KMIGT") – Huntsman 2009 Expansion Project

KMIGT has filed an application with the FERC for authorization to construct and operate certain storage facilities necessary to increase the storage capability of the existing Huntsman Storage Facility, located near Sidney,

Nebraska. KMIGT also requested approval of new incremental rates for the project facilities under its currently effective Cheyenne Market Center Service Rate Schedule CMC-2. When fully constructed, the proposed facilities will create incremental firm storage capacity for up to one million dekatherms of natural gas, with an associated injection capability of approximately 6,400 dekatherms per day and an associated deliverability of approximately 10,400 dekatherms per day. As a result of an open season, KMIGT and one shipper executed a firm precedent agreement for 100% of the capacity to be created by the project facilities for a five-year term. By FERC order issued September 30, 2009, KMIGT was granted authorization to construct and operate the project, and construction of the project commenced on October 12, 2009. KMIGT received FERC approval to commence service on the expanded storage project effective February 1, 2010. Full service from the expanded facilities is expected during the third quarter of 2010.

Kinder Morgan Interstate Gas Transmission Pipeline – Franklin to Hastings Expansion Project

KMIGT has filed a prior notice request to replace, construct and operate certain mainline pipeline facilities primarily to provide approximately 10,000 dekatherms per day of service to a new ethanol plant located near Aurora, Nebraska. The estimated cost of constructing the proposed facilities is \$23.5 million. Provided no protests are filed, KMIGT can commence construction of this project on September 25, 2010.

Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC - Docket Nos. CP08-6-000 and CP09-56-000

On April 10, 2009, Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC placed Zone 1 of the Midcontinent Express natural gas pipeline system into interim service. Zone 1 extends from Bennington, Oklahoma to the interconnect with Columbia Gulf Transmission Company in Madison Parish, Louisiana. It has a design capacity of approximately 1.5 billion cubic feet per day. On August 1, 2009, construction of the pipeline was completed, and Zone 2 was placed into service. Zone 2 extends from the Columbia Gulf interconnect to the terminus of the system in Choctaw County, Alabama. It has a design capacity of approximately 1.2 billion cubic feet per day. In an order issued September 17, 2009, the FERC approved Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC's (i) amendment to move one compressor station in Mississippi and modify the facilities at another station in Texas (both stations were among the facilities certificated in the July 2008 Order authorizing the system's original construction); and (ii) request to expand the capacity in Zone 1 by 0.3 billion cubic feet per day. On June 1, 2010 Midcontinent Express' Zone 1 expansion was placed into service bringing the design capacity of Midcontinent Express to approximately 1.8 billion cubic feet per day in Zone 1 and to 1.2 billion cubic feet per day in Zone 2.

The Midcontinent Express Pipeline is owned by Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC, a 50/49.9/0.1% joint venture between KMP, Regency Energy Partners LP, and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P., respectively. The pipeline originates near Bennington, Oklahoma and extends from southeast Oklahoma, across northeast Texas, northern Louisiana and central Mississippi, and terminates at an interconnection with the Transco Pipeline near Butler, Alabama. The approximate 500-mile natural gas pipeline system connects the Barnett Shale, Bossier Sands and other natural gas producing regions to markets in the eastern United States, and substantially all of the pipeline's capacity—including all incremental pipeline capacity that is expected to be phased in during the second and third quarters of 2010—is fully subscribed with long-term binding commitments from creditworthy shippers.

Fayetteville Express Pipeline LLC – Docket No.CP09-433-000

Construction is now underway on all phases of the previously announced Fayetteville Express Pipeline project. The Fayetteville Express Pipeline is owned by Fayetteville Express Pipeline LLC, a 50/50 joint venture between us and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. The Fayetteville Express Pipeline is a 187-mile, 42-inch diameter natural gas pipeline that will begin in Conway County, Arkansas, continue eastward through White County, Arkansas, and will terminate at an interconnection with Trunkline Gas Company's pipeline in Panola County, Mississippi. The pipeline will have an initial capacity of two billion cubic feet per day, and has currently secured binding commitments for at least ten years totaling 1.85 billion cubic feet per day of capacity.

On December 17, 2009, the FERC approved and issued the pipeline's certificate application authorizing pipeline construction, and initial construction on the project began in January 2010. Pending regulatory approvals, the pipeline is expected to begin interim service in the fourth quarter of 2010 and be fully in service by the end of 2010. The total costs of this pipeline project are estimated to be below \$1.2 billion (consistent with KMP's July 21, 2010 second quarter earnings press release and below the original budget of \$1.3 billion).

13. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Standards Updates

In December 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU No. 2009-16, "Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets" and ASU No. 2009-17, "Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities." ASU No. 2009-16 amended the Codification's "Transfers and Servicing" Topic to include the provisions included within the FASB's previous Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 166, "Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets—an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140," issued June 12, 2009. ASU No. 2009-17 amended the Codification's "Consolidations" Topic to include the provisions included within the FASB's previous SFAS No. 167, "Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)," also issued June 12, 2009. These two Updates change the way entities must account for securitizations and special-purpose entities. ASU No. 2009-16 requires more information about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred

Kinder Morgan, Inc. Form 10-Q

financial assets. ASU No. 2009-17 changes how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated.

For us, both ASUs were effective January 1, 2010; however, the adoption of these ASUs did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. The principal impact of ASU No. 2009-17 is that, effective January 1, 2010 we no longer consolidate Triton Power Company LLC in our consolidated financial statements. There is no impact to "Net Income Attributable to Kinder Morgan, Inc." relating to the exclusion of Triton Power Company LLC, however, we have shown the excluded Triton Power Company LLC's \$17.5 million cash and cash equivalent balance at December 31, 2009 as a "Deconsolidation of variable interest entity due to the implementation of ASU 2009-17" in our accompanying consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010. In addition, as a result of the implementation of ASU 2009-17, effective January 1, 2010, we (i) include the transactions and balances of our business trusts, K N Capital Trust I and K N Capital Trust III, in our consolidated financial statements and (ii) no longer include our Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures issued to the Capital Trusts.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, "Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements." This ASU requires both the gross presentation of activity within the Level 3 fair value measurement roll forward and the details of transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 fair value measurements. It also clarifies certain disclosure requirements on the level of disaggregation of fair value measurements and disclosures on inputs and valuation techniques. For us, this ASU was effective January 1, 2010 (except for the Level 3 roll forward which is effective for us January 1, 2011); however, because this ASU pertains to disclosure requirements only, the adoption of this ASU did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

General and Basis of Presentation

The following information should be read in conjunction with (i) our accompanying interim consolidated financial statements and related notes (included elsewhere in this report) and (ii) our consolidated financial statements, related notes and management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 ("2009 Form 10-K").

As an energy infrastructure owner and operator in multiple facets of the United States' and Canada's various energy businesses and markets, we examine a number of variables and factors on a routine basis to evaluate our current performance and our prospects for the future. Many of our operations are regulated by various U.S. and Canadian regulatory bodies. The profitability of our products pipeline transportation business is generally driven by the volume of petroleum products that we transport and the prices we receive for our services. Transportation volume levels are primarily driven by the demand for the petroleum products being shipped or stored. Demand for petroleum products tends to track in large measure demographic and economic growth and with the exception of periods of time with very high product prices or recessionary conditions, demand tends to be relatively stable. Because of that, we seek to own refined products pipelines located in, or that transport to, stable or growing markets and population centers. The prices for shipping are generally based on regulated tariffs that are adjusted annually based on changes in the U.S. Producer Price Index.

With respect to our interstate natural gas pipelines and related storage facilities, the revenues from these assets tend to be received under contracts with terms that are fixed for various and extended periods of time. To the extent practicable and economically feasible in light of our strategic plans and other factors, we generally attempt to mitigate risk of reduced volumes and prices by negotiating contracts with longer terms, with higher per-unit pricing and for a greater percentage of our available capacity. These long-term contracts are typically structured with a fixed-fee reserving the right to transport natural gas, which specify that we receive the majority of our fee for making the capacity available, whether or not the customer actually chooses to utilize the capacity. Therefore, where we have long-term contracts we are not exposed to short-term changes in commodity supply or demand. However, as contracts expire, we do have exposure to the longer term trends in supply and demand for natural gas. Currently, the remaining average contract life of our natural gas transportation contracts is in excess of eight years.

The CO2 sales and transportation business, like the natural gas pipelines business, generally has fixed-fee contracts with minimum volume requirements. In the long-term, our success in this business is driven by the demand for carbon dioxide. However, short-term changes in the demand for carbon dioxide typically do not have a significant impact on us due to the required minimum transport volumes under many of our contracts. In the oil and gas producing activities within the CO2–KMP business segment, we monitor the amount of capital we expend in relation to the amount of production that we expect to add. In that regard, our production during any period is an important measure. In addition, the revenues we receive from our crude oil, natural gas liquids and carbon dioxide sales are affected by the prices we realize from the sale of these products. Over the long-term, we will tend to receive prices that are dictated by the demand and overall market price for these products. In the shorter term, however, market prices are likely not indicative of the revenues we will receive due to our risk management, or hedging, program, in which the prices to be realized for certain of our future sales quantities are fixed, capped or bracketed through the use of financial derivative contracts, particularly for crude oil.

The factors impacting our terminals business generally differ depending on whether the terminal is a liquid or bulk terminal, and in the case of a bulk terminal, the type of product being handled or stored. As with our products pipeline

transportation business, the revenues from our bulk terminals business are generally driven by the volumes we handle and/or store, as well as the prices we receive for our services, which in turn are driven by the demand for the products being shipped or stored. While we handle and store a large variety of products in our bulk terminals, the primary products are coal, petroleum coke, and steel. For the most part, we have contracts on this business that have minimum volume guarantees and are volume based above the minimums. Because these contracts are volume based above the minimums, our profitability from the bulk business can be sensitive to economic conditions. Our liquids terminals business generally is backed by longer-term contracts which require the customer to pay regardless of whether they use the capacity. Thus, similar to our natural gas pipeline business, our liquids terminals business is less sensitive to short-term changes in supply and demand. Therefore, the extent to which changes in these variables affect our terminals business in the near term is a function of the length of the underlying service contracts, the extent to which revenues under the contracts are a function of the amount of product stored or transported, and the extent to which such contracts expire during any given period of time. To the extent practicable and economically feasible in light of our strategic plans and other factors, we generally attempt to mitigate the risk of reduced volumes

and pricing by negotiating contracts with longer terms, with higher per-unit pricing and for a greater percentage of our available capacity. In addition, weather-related factors such as hurricanes, floods and droughts may impact our facilities and access to them and, thus, the profitability of certain terminals for limited periods of time or, in relatively rare cases of severe damage to facilities, for longer periods.

In our discussions of the operating results of individual businesses that follow, we generally identify the important fluctuations between periods that are attributable to acquisitions and dispositions separately from those that are attributable to businesses owned in both periods. Principally through KMP, we believe that we have a history of making accretive acquisitions and economically advantageous expansions of existing businesses—since 1998, KMP has invested over \$20 billion of capital for both strategic business acquisitions and expansions of existing assets. KMP's capital investments have helped it to achieve compound annual growth rates in cash distributions to its limited partners of 4.5%, 8.8%, and 7.9%, respectively, for the one-year, three-year, and five-year periods ending December 31, 2009.

Thus, the amount that KMP is able to increase distributions to us and other investors will, to some extent, be a function of KMP's ability to complete successful acquisitions and expansions. We believe KMP will continue to have opportunities for expansion of its facilities in many markets, and it has budgeted approximately \$1.5 billion for its 2010 capital expansion program, including for small acquisitions. Based on our historical record and because there is continued demand for energy infrastructure in the areas we serve, we expect to continue to have such opportunities in the future, although the level of such opportunities is difficult to predict.

KMP's ability to make accretive acquisitions is a function of the availability of suitable acquisition candidates at the right cost, and includes factors over which we have limited or no control. Thus, we have no way to determine the number or size of accretive acquisition candidates in the future, or whether we will complete the acquisition of any such candidates.

In addition, KMP's ability to make accretive acquisitions or expand its assets is impacted by its ability to maintain adequate liquidity and to raise the necessary capital needed to fund such acquisitions. As a master limited partnership, KMP distributes all of its available cash and it accesses capital markets to fund acquisitions and asset expansions. Historically, KMP has succeeded in raising necessary capital in order to fund its acquisitions and expansions, often doing so during periods of notably tight financial conditions. For example, in December 2008, KMP raised a combined \$675 million in cash from public debt and equity offerings. Although we cannot predict future changes in the overall equity and debt capital markets (in terms of tightening or loosening of credit), we believe that KMP's stable cash flows, its investment grade credit rating, and its historical record of successfully accessing both equity and debt funding sources should allow it to continue to execute its current investment, distribution and acquisition strategies, as well as refinance maturing debt when required. For a further discussion of our liquidity, please see "—Financial Condition" below.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Accounting standards require information in financial statements about the risks and uncertainties inherent in significant estimates, and the application of generally accepted accounting principles involves the exercise of varying degrees of judgment. Certain amounts included in or affecting our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures must be estimated, requiring us to make certain assumptions with respect to values or conditions that cannot be known with certainty at the time our financial statements are prepared. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts we report for our assets and liabilities, our revenues and expenses during the reporting period, and our disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements. We routinely evaluate these estimates, utilizing historical experience, consultation with experts and other methods we consider reasonable in the

particular circumstances. Nevertheless, actual results may differ significantly from our estimates.

With regard to goodwill impairment testing, we review our goodwill for impairment annually, and we evaluated our goodwill for impairment on May 31, 2010. Our goodwill impairment analysis performed on that date did not result in an impairment charge, and no event indicating an impairment has occurred subsequent to that date. For more information on our goodwill impairment analysis, see Note 3 "Intangibles—Goodwill" to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Further information about us and information regarding our accounting policies and estimates that we consider to be "critical" can be found in our 2009 Form 10-K. There have not been any significant changes in these policies and estimates during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Impact of the Purchase Method of Accounting on Segment Earnings

As further disclosed in Note 2 of our consolidated financial statements in our 2009 Form 10-K, on May 30, 2007, Kinder Morgan, Inc. merged with a wholly owned subsidiary of Kinder Morgan Holdco LLC, with Kinder Morgan, Inc. continuing as the surviving legal entity. This transaction is referred to in this report as "the Going Private transaction." Effective with the closing of the Going Private transaction, all of our assets and liabilities were recorded at their estimated fair market values based on an allocation of the aggregate purchase price paid in the Going Private transaction.

The impacts of the purchase method of accounting on segment earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization ("DD&A") relate primarily to the revaluation of the accumulated other comprehensive income related to derivatives accounted for as hedges in the CO2–KMP segment. The impact of this revaluation on the CO2–KMP segment is described in its segment discussion, which follows. The effects on DD&A expense result from changes in the carrying values of certain tangible and intangible assets to their estimated fair values as of May 30, 2007. This revaluation results in changes to DD&A expense in periods subsequent to May 30, 2007. The purchase accounting effects on "Interest, net" result principally from the revaluation of certain debt instruments to their estimated fair values as of May 30, 2007, resulting in changes to interest expense in subsequent periods.

Results of Operations

Consolidated

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------|-----|-------------|----------|----------|--------|---------|-----|--|--|
| | | | | | Earnings | | | | | | |
| | | 2010 | | 2009 | | increas | e/(ded | crease) | | | |
| | | (In | mil | lions, exce | pt j | percenta | ages) | | | | |
| Segment earnings before depreciation, depletion and | | | | | | | | | | | |
| amortization expense and amortization of excess cost of equity | | | | | | | | | | | |
| investments(a) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Products Pipelines–KMP(b) | \$ | 158.0 | \$ | 154.7 | \$ | 3.3 | | 2 | % | | |
| Natural Gas Pipelines–KMP(c) | | 184.6 | | 162.0 | | 22.6 | | 14 | % | | |
| CO2–KMP(d) | | 262.7 | | 226.9 | | 35.8 | | 16 | % | | |
| Terminals–KMP(e) | | 164.8 | | 141.0 | | 23.8 | | 17 | % | | |
| Kinder Morgan Canada–KMP(f) | | 43.9 | | 46.7 | | (2.8) |) | (6 |) % | | |
| NGPL PipeCo LLC | | 8.4 | | 10.1 | | (1.7 |) | (17 |) % | | |
| Power | | 1.2 | | 1.3 | | (0.1) |) | (8 |) % | | |
| Segment | | | | | | | | | | | |
| earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization | | | | | | | | | | | |
| expense and amortization of excess cost of equity investments | | 823.6 | | 742.7 | | 80.9 | | 11 | % | | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense | | (269.7) | | (256.8) | | (12.9 |) | (5 |) % | | |
| Amortization of excess cost of equity investments | | (1.5) | | (1.5) | | - | | - | | | |
| NGPL PipeCo LLC fixed fee revenue(g) | | 11.8 | | 11.4 | | 0.4 | | 4 | % | | |
| Other operating revenues | | 1.5 | | - | | 1.5 | | n/a | | | |
| General and administrative expense(h) | | (104.8) | | (84.1) | | (20.7 |) | (25 |) % | | |
| Unallocable interest and other, net(i) | | (163.1) | | (139.1) | | (24.0 |) | (17 |) % | | |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | | 297.8 | | 272.6 | | 25.2 | | 9 | % | | |

| Unallocable income tax expense(a) | (37.5) | (64.2) | 26.7 | 42 % |
|---|---------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Income from continuing operations | 260.3 | 208.4 | 51.9 | 25 % |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | - | 0.7 | (0.7) | (100)% |
| Net income | 260.3 | 209.1 | 51.2 | 24 % |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (214.3) | (79.3) | (135.0) | (170)% |
| Net income attributable to Kinder Morgan, Inc.(j) | \$ 46.0 | \$ 129.8 \$ | (83.8) | (65)% |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|------|-------------|-----|------------|------|-----------|-----|--|
| | | | ŕ | | Earnings | | | | |
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | increas | e/(d | lecrease) | | |
| | (| In r | nillions, e | xce | pt percent | age | s) | | |
| Segment earnings (loss) before depreciation, depletion and | | | | | | | | | |
| amortization expense and amortization of excess cost of equity | | | | | | | | | |
| investments(a) | | | | | | | | | |
| Products Pipelines–KMP(k) | \$164.4 | | \$300.1 | | \$(135.7 |) | (45 |) % | |
| Natural Gas Pipelines–KMP(l) | 405.2 | | 362.0 | | 43.2 | | 12 | % | |
| CO2–KMP(m) | 529.3 | | 418.6 | | 110.7 | | 26 | % | |
| Terminals–KMP(n) | 315.3 | | 275.3 | | 40.0 | | 15 | % | |
| Kinder Morgan Canada–KMP(o) | 88.9 | | 66.2 | | 22.7 | | 34 | % | |
| NGPL PipeCo LLC(p) | (411.2 |) | 22.4 | | (433.6 |) | (1,936 |) % | |
| Power | 2.4 | | 2.4 | | - | | - | | |
| Segment | | | | | | | | | |
| earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization | | | | | | | | | |
| expense and amortization of excess cost of equity investments | 1,094.3 | | 1,447.0 | | (352.7 |) | (24 |) % | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense | (552.0 |) | (521.6 |) | (30.4 |) | (6 |) % | |
| Amortization of excess cost of equity investments | (2.9 |) | (2.9 |) | - | | - | | |
| NGPL PipeCo LLC fixed fee revenue(g) | 23.6 | | 22.9 | | 0.7 | | 3 | % | |
| Other operating revenues | 1.5 | | - | | 1.5 | | n/a | | |
| General and administrative expense(q) | (220.5 |) | (177.0) |) | (43.5 |) | (25 |) % | |
| Unallocable interest and other, net(r) | (318.9 |) | (289.4 |) | (29.5 |) | (10 |) % | |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 25.1 | | 479.0 | | (453.9 |) | (95 |) % | |
| Unallocable income tax benefit (expense)(a) | 55.5 | | (125.5 |) | 181.0 | | 144 | % | |
| Income from continuing operations | 80.6 | | 353.5 | | (272.9 |) | (77 |) % | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (0.2 |) | 0.5 | | (0.7 |) | (140 |) % | |
| Net income | 80.4 | | 354.0 | | (273.6 |) | (77 |) % | |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (195.3 |) | (108.9 |) | (86.4 |) | (79 |) % | |

\$(114.9)

) \$245.1

\$(360.0) (147

Net income (loss) attributable to Kinder Morgan, Inc.(j)

) %

⁽a) Includes revenues, earnings from equity investments, allocable interest income and other, net, less operating expenses, allocable income taxes, and other expense (income). Operating expenses include natural gas purchases and other costs of sales, operations and maintenance expenses, and taxes, other than income taxes. Segment earnings include KMP's allocable income taxes expense of \$8.3 million and \$2.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$5.8 million and \$22.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

⁽b) 2010 and 2009 amounts include a decrease in income of \$0.4 million and an increase in income of \$1.0 million, respectively, resulting from unrealized foreign currency gains and losses on long-term debt transactions. 2010 amount also includes a \$15.5 million decrease in income associated with combined property environmental expenses and disposal losses related to the retirement of the Gaffey Street, California products terminal facility. 2009 amount also includes a \$3.8 million increase in expense associated with environmental liability adjustments. Also, 2010 and 2009 amounts include \$7.2 million

- and \$0.3 million, respectively, decrease in segment earnings related to property disposal losses, which had been revalued as part of the Going Private transaction and recorded in the application of the purchase method of accounting.
- (c) 2010 amount includes a \$0.1 million unrealized loss on derivative contracts used to hedge forecasted natural gas sales. 2009 amount includes a \$2.5 million decrease in income resulting from unrealized mark to market gains and losses due to the discontinuance of hedge accounting at Casper Douglas. Also, 2010 and 2009 amounts include increases in segment earnings of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, resulting from valuation adjustments related to derivative contracts in place at the time of the Going Private transaction and recorded in the application of the purchase method of accounting. 2010 and 2009 amounts include \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, decrease in segment earnings related to property disposal losses, which had been revalued as part of the Going Private transaction and recorded in the application of the purchase method of accounting.
- (d) 2010 amount includes a \$7.9 million unrealized gain on derivative contracts used to hedge forecasted crude oil sales. Also, 2010 and 2009 amounts include increases in segment earnings resulting from valuation adjustments of \$13.3 million and \$24.2 million, respectively, primarily related to derivative contracts in place at the time of the Going Private transaction and recorded in the application of the purchase method of accounting.
- (e) 2010 amount includes a \$6.7 million casualty indemnification gain related to a 2008 fire at the Pasadena, Texas liquids terminal, and a \$0.2 million increase in expense related to storm and flood clean-up and repair activities. 2009 amount includes a \$0.5 million decrease in expense associated with legal liability adjustments related to a litigation matter involving the Staten Island liquids terminal, and a \$0.1 million increase in expense associated with environmental liability adjustments. Also, 2010 and 2009 amounts include \$0.7 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, decrease in segment earnings, related to assets sold, which had been revalued as part of the Going Private transaction and recorded in the application of the purchase method of accounting.
- (f) 2009 amount includes a \$3.7 million decrease in expense due to a certain non-cash accounting change related to book tax accruals made by the Express pipeline system.

- (g) See Note 9 of our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.
- (h) Includes unallocated litigation and environmental expenses. 2010 and 2009 amounts include an increase in expense of \$0.1 million and a decrease in expense of \$0.9 million, respectively, related to capitalized overhead costs associated with the 2008 hurricane season. 2010 amount also includes a \$1.0 million increase in expense for certain asset and business acquisition costs.
- (i) 2010 and 2009 amounts include increases in imputed interest expense of \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, related to the January 1, 2007 Cochin Pipeline acquisition.
- (j) 2010 amounts include a reduction of approximately \$107 million (after-tax) due to a KMP interim capital transaction. See Note 11 of our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.
- (k) 2010 and 2009 amounts include increases in income of \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, resulting from unrealized foreign currency gains and losses on long-term debt transactions. 2010 amount also includes a \$158.0 million expense associated with rate case liability adjustments, and a \$15.5 million decrease in income associated with combined property environmental expenses and disposal losses related to the retirement of the Gaffey Street, California products terminal facility. 2009 amount also includes a \$3.8 million increase in expense associated with environmental liability adjustments. Also 2010 and 2009 amounts include \$7.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, decrease in segment earnings related to property disposal losses, which had been revalued as part of the Going Private transaction and recorded in the application of the purchase method of accounting. With respect to the 2010 rate case liability adjustments, following the Federal Regulatory Energy Commission's approval of a settlement agreement KMP reached with certain shippers, it made settlement payments totaling \$206.3 million in June 2010. For more information on the rate case proceedings, see Note 11 of our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report included elsewhere in this report.
- (1) 2010 amount includes a \$0.8 million unrealized gain on derivative contracts used to hedge forecasted natural gas sales, and a \$0.4 million increase in income from certain measurement period adjustments related to the October 1, 2009 natural gas treating business acquisition. 2009 amount includes a \$3.8 million decrease in income resulting from unrealized mark to market gains and losses due to the discontinuance of hedge accounting at Casper Douglas. Also, 2010 and 2009 amounts include increases in segment earnings of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, resulting from valuation adjustments related to derivative contracts in place at the time of the Going Private transaction and recorded in the application of the purchase method of accounting; and 2010 and 2009 amounts include \$0.5 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, decrease in segment earnings related to property disposal losses, which had been revalued as part of the Going Private transaction and recorded in the application of the purchase method of accounting.
- (m) 2010 amount includes a \$13.3 million unrealized gain on derivative contracts used to hedge forecasted crude oil sales. Also, 2010 and 2009 amounts include increases in segment earnings resulting from valuation adjustments of \$26.7 million and \$48.5 million, respectively, primarily related to derivative contracts in place at the time of the Going Private transaction and recorded in the application of the purchase method of accounting.

(n)

2010 amount includes a \$6.7 million casualty indemnification gain related to a 2008 fire at the Pasadena, Texas liquids terminal and a \$0.6 million increase in expense related to storm and flood clean-up and repair activities. 2009 amount includes a \$0.5 million decrease in expense associated with legal liability adjustments related to a litigation matter involving the Staten Island liquids terminal and a \$0.1 million increase in expense associated with environmental liability adjustments. Also, 2010 and 2009 amounts include \$0.7 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, decrease in segment earnings; related to assets sold, which had been revalued as part of the Going Private transaction and recorded in the application of the purchase method of accounting.

- (o) 2009 amount includes a \$14.9 million increase in expense primarily due to certain non-cash regulatory accounting adjustments to the carrying amount of Trans Mountain pipeline system's previously established deferred tax liability and a \$3.7 million decrease in expense due to a certain non-cash accounting change related to book tax accruals made by the Express pipeline system.
- (p) Includes a non-cash investment impairment charge, which we recorded in the amount of \$430.0 million (pre-tax); see Note 2 of our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.
- (q) Includes unallocated litigation and environmental expenses. 2010 and 2009 amounts include (i) increases in expense of \$2.4 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, for certain asset and business acquisition costs and (ii) decreases in expense of \$0.2 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, related to capitalized overhead costs associated with the 2008 hurricane season. 2010 amount also includes a \$1.6 million increase in legal expense associated with certain items such as legal settlements and pipeline failures.
- (r) 2010 and 2009 amounts include increases in imputed interest expense of \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, related to the January 1, 2007 Cochin Pipeline acquisition.

Net income attributable to Kinder Morgan, Inc.'s stockholder totaled \$46.0 million in the second quarter of 2010 as compared to \$129.8 million in the second quarter of 2009. Our total revenues for the comparative periods were \$1,990.9 million and \$1,693.3 million, respectively. Net income attributable to Kinder Morgan, Inc.'s stockholder for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was negatively impacted by approximately \$107 million (after-tax) from a reduction to the incentive distribution we will receive from KMP due to a KMP interim capital transaction. For the first half of 2010 our net loss attributable to Kinder Morgan, Inc.'s stockholder totaled \$114.9 million as compared to our net income attributable to Kinder Morgan, Inc.'s stockholder of \$245.1 million in the first half of 2009. Our total revenues for the comparative periods were \$4,148.5 million and \$3,522.2 million, respectively. Net income attributable to Kinder Morgan, Inc.'s stockholder for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was negatively impacted by the KMP interim capital transaction discussed preceding and by approximately \$275 million (after-tax) from an investment impairment charge recorded in the first quarter of 2010.

Total segment earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization increased \$80.9 million (11%) in the second quarter of 2010, when compared to last year's second quarter. The overall increase included a \$17.3 million decrease from the effect of the certain items described in the footnotes to the tables above (which combined to increase total segment EBDA by \$3.4 million and \$20.7 million in the second quarters of 2010 and 2009, respectively). The remaining \$98.2 million (14%) increase in quarterly segment earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization included higher earnings in 2010 from five of the KMP business segments, with the strongest growth coming from the CO2–KMP, Products Pipelines–KMP and Natural Gas Pipelines–KMP business segments.

For the comparable six month periods, total segment earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization decreased \$352.7 million (24%) in 2010; however, the overall decrease included a decrease in earnings of \$591.4 million from the combined effect of the certain items described in the footnotes to the tables above (combining to affect total segment EBDA by \$564.4 million of decrease and \$27.0 million of increase in the first six months of 2010 and 2009, respectively). The remaining \$238.7 million (17%) increase in total segment earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization in the first half of 2010 versus the first half of 2009 resulted from better performance from five of the KMP reportable business segments, with the most significant increase attributable to the CO2–KMP business segment.

Products Pipelines-KMP

| | | Months Ended une 30, | | onths Ended une 30, |
|--|----------|----------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (In | millions, exce | ept operating | statistics) |
| Revenues | \$226.3 | \$206.7 | \$433.8 | \$394.9 |
| Operating expenses(a) | (65.0 |) (60.0 |) (273.9 |) (109.0) |
| Other expense(b) | (11.1 |) (0.3 |) (11.1 |) (0.3 |
| Earnings from equity investments | 6.1 | 5.1 | 10.6 | 8.6 |
| Interest income and Other, net-income(c) | 1.3 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 6.3 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | 0.4 | (0.3 |) 1.1 | (0.4) |
| Earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization expense | ; | | | |
| and amortization of excess cost of equity investments | \$158.0 | \$154.7 | \$164.4 | \$300.1 |
| Gasoline (MMBbl)(d) | 103.4 | 104.2 | 197.2 | 199.8 |
| Diesel fuel (MMBbl) | 38.3 | 36.5 | 71.1 | 72.0 |
| Jet fuel (MMBbl) | 26.2 | 28.1 | 51.0 | 54.9 |
| Total refined product volumes | | | | |
| (MMBbl) | 167.9 | 168.8 | 319.3 | 326.7 |
| Natural gas liquids (MMBbl) | 5.7 | 7.3 | 11.6 | 12.2 |
| Total delivery volumes | | | | |
| (MMBbl)(e) | 173.6 | 176.1 | 330.9 | 338.9 |
| Ethanol (MMBbl)(f) | 7.6 | 5.5 | 14.8 | 10.6 |

⁽a) Three and six month 2010 amounts include an \$11.6 million increase in property environmental expenses associated with the retirement of the Gaffey Street, California products terminal facility. Six month 2010 amount also includes a \$158.0 million increase in expense associated with rate case liability adjustments. Three and six month 2009 amounts include a \$3.8 million increase in expense associated with environmental liability adjustments.

- (b) Three and six month 2010 amounts include \$3.9 million related to property disposal losses for the retirement of the Gaffey Street, California products terminal facility. Also three and six month 2010 and 2009 amounts include \$7.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, decrease in segment earnings related to property disposal losses, which had been revalued as part of the Going Private transaction and recorded in the application of the purchase method of accounting.
- (c) Three and six month 2010 amounts include a \$0.4 million decrease in income and a \$0.1 million increase in income, respectively, resulting from unrealized foreign currency gains and losses on long-term debt transactions. Three and six month 2009 amounts include increases in income of \$1.0 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, resulting from unrealized foreign currency gains and losses on long-term debt transactions.
- (d) Volumes include ethanol pipeline volumes.
- (e) Includes Pacific, Plantation, Calnev, Central Florida, Cochin and Cypress pipeline volumes.
- (f) Represents total ethanol volumes, including ethanol pipeline volumes.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the certain items described in the footnotes to the table above decreased earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization expenses by \$20.0 million and \$176.9 million, respectively, when compared to the same periods of 2009. Following is information for each of the comparable three and six month periods of 2010 and 2009, related to the segment's (i) remaining \$23.3 million (15%) and \$41.2 million (14%) increases in earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization; and (ii) \$19.6 million (9%) and \$38.9 million (10%) increases in operating revenues:

Three months ended June 30, 2010 versus Three months ended June 30, 2009

| | EBDA | | | | Revenues | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------------------|--------|-----|-----|--|
| | increas | se/(dec | rease |) | increase/(decrease) | | | | |
| | | (In mi | llions | , excep | pt percen | tages) | | | |
| Pacific operations S | 3 13.4 | | 20 | % | \$ 14.9 | | 15 | % | |
| Southeast Terminals | 7.6 | | 56 | % | 6.0 | | 30 | % | |
| Transmix operations | 4.2 | | 60 | % | 1.3 | | 13 | % | |
| West Coast Terminals | 3.5 | | 22 | % | 2.7 | | 12 | % | |
| Central Florida Pipeline | 2.9 | | 21 | % | 0.5 | | 3 | % | |
| Cochin Pipeline | (9.5 |) | (68 |) % | (7.7 |) | (45 |) % | |
| All others (including | | | | | | | | | |
| intrasegment eliminations) | 1.2 | | 5 | % | 1.9 | | 8 | % | |
| Total Products Pipelines-KMP S | 3 23.3 | | 15 | % | \$ 19.6 | | 9 | % | |

Six months ended June 30, 2010 versus Six months ended June 30, 2009

| | EBDA | | | Revenues | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------|-------|-----------------|---------|-----|--|--|--|
| | increase/(dec | rease) |) | increase/(ded | crease) |) | | | |
| | (In mi | lions, | excep | pt percentages) | | | | | |
| Pacific operations \$ | 23.1 | 18 | % | \$ 25.6 | 14 | % | | | |
| Southeast Terminals | 12.2 | 49 | % | 10.8 | 29 | % | | | |
| West Coast Terminals | 4.7 | 14 | % | 3.8 | 8 | % | | | |
| Transmix operations | 4.4 | 32 | % | 1.5 | 8 | % | | | |
| Central Florida Pipeline | 4.1 | 16 | % | 1.5 | 5 | % | | | |
| Cochin Pipeline | (12.1) | (48 |) % | (7.9) | (29 |) % | | | |
| All others (including | | | | | | | | | |
| intrasegment eliminations) | 4.8 | 10 | % | 3.6 | 8 | % | | | |
| Total Products Pipelines-KMP \$ | 41.2 | 14 | % | \$ 38.9 | 10 | % | | | |

Overall, the Products Pipelines–KMP business segment reported strong operating results in the second quarter of 2010 as earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization expenses increased \$23.3 million (15%), when compared to the second quarter of 2009. With the exception of the Cochin pipeline system, which was impacted by lower transportation volumes in the second quarter of 2010 and by certain favorable liability adjustments recorded in the first quarter of 2009, all of the assets and operations included in the Products Pipelines–KMP business segment reported higher earnings in both the second quarter and first six months of 2010, when compared to the same periods a year ago.

For all assets combined, the segment benefitted from increases in ethanol volumes handled of 38% in the second quarter of 2010 and 40% in the first half of 2010, when compared to the same periods in 2009, respectively. Although the growing use of ethanol as part of the domestic fuel supply tends to reduce other refined products pipeline volumes, KMP believes the capital investments KMP has made for ethanol storage and blending infrastructure have enabled KMP to recover the decreases in revenues and cash flows resulting from lower pipeline transport volumes.

The overall increases and decreases in segment earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization for the comparable three and six month periods of 2010 and 2009 were attributable to the following:

increases of \$13.4 million (20%) and \$23.1 million (18%), respectively, from the Pacific operations—driven by (i) increased mainline delivery revenues, due to higher average tariffs partly offset by decreases in mainline delivery volumes of 1.5% and 2%, respectively; and (ii) increased terminal revenues, due to incremental ethanol handling services that were due in part to mandated increases in ethanol blending rates in California since the end of the second quarter of 2009;

increases of \$7.6 million (56%) and \$12.2 million (49%), respectively, from the Southeast terminal operations—related largely to higher revenues attributable to both increased ethanol throughput and higher product inventory sales at higher prices;

increases of \$4.2 million (60%) and \$4.4 million (32%), respectively, from the Transmix processing operations—due largely to incremental liquids product inventory gains of \$5.1 million, recognized pursuant to a periodic physical inventory completed in the second quarter of 2010;

increases of \$3.5 million (22%) and \$4.7 million (14%), respectively, from the West Coast terminal operations—driven by higher warehousing revenues at the combined Carson/Los Angeles Harbor terminal system, incremental biodiesel revenues from the liquids facilities located in Portland, Oregon, and

incremental earnings contributions from the terminals' Portland, Oregon Airport pipeline, which was acquired on July 31, 2009;

increases of \$2.9 million (21%) and \$4.1 million (16%), respectively, from the Central Florida Pipeline—driven by incremental product inventory gains, and for the comparable six month periods, by higher ethanol revenues and higher refined products delivery revenues;

decreases of \$9.5 million (68%) and \$12.1 million (48%), respectively, from the Cochin pipeline system—attributable to a 51% decrease in total pipeline throughput volumes quarter-to-quarter, and for the comparable six month periods, attributable to both higher operating expenses and lower other non-operating income. The decreases in earnings from higher operating expenses and lower non-operating income were primarily related to favorable settlements reached in the first quarter of 2009 with the seller of the remaining approximate 50.2% interest in the Cochin pipeline system that KMP purchased on January 1, 2007.

Natural Gas Pipelines-KMP

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months Ended | | | |
|--|--------------------|------|------------|-----|-------------------------|---|----------|---|
| | June 30, | | | | June 30, | | | |
| | 2010 2009 | | | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| | (In r | nill | ions, exce | ept | t operating statistics) | | | |
| Revenues(a) | \$1,029.7 | | 860.7 | | 2,266.4 | | 1,912.4 | |
| Operating expenses(b) | (884.7 |) | (739.1 |) | (1,936.2 |) | (1,629.6 |) |
| Other expense(c) | (0.5 |) | (0.3 |) | (0.5 |) | (1.1 |) |
| Earnings from equity investments | 40.1 | | 29.4 | | 73.9 | | 56.0 | |
| Interest income and Other, net-income | 0.1 | | 12.6 | | 2.3 | | 27.3 | |
| Income tax expense | (0.1 |) | (1.3 |) | (0.7 |) | (3.0 |) |
| Earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization expense | | | | | | | | |
| and amortization of excess cost of equity investments | \$184.6 | | 162.0 | | 405.2 | | 362.0 | |
| Natural gas transport volumes (Bcf)(d) | 634.6 | | 541.8 | | 1,268.3 | | 1,050.2 | |
| Natural gas sales volumes (Bcf)(e) | 199.0 | | 198.1 | | 388.0 | | 401.8 | |

⁽a) Six month 2010 amount includes a \$0.4 million increase in revenues from certain measurement period adjustments related to the October 1, 2009 natural gas treating business acquisition.

⁽b) Three and six month 2010 amounts include a \$0.1 million unrealized loss (from an increase in natural gas purchase costs) and a \$0.8 million unrealized gain (from a decrease in natural gas purchase costs), respectively, on derivative contracts used to hedge forecasted natural gas sales. Three and six month 2009 amounts include decreases in income (from net increases in natural gas purchase costs) of \$2.5 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, resulting from unrealized mark to market gains and losses due to the discontinuance of hedge accounting at Casper Douglas. Beginning in the second quarter of 2008, the Casper and Douglas gas processing operations discontinued hedge accounting, and the last of the related derivative contracts expired in December 2009. Also, 2010 and 2009 amounts include increases in segment earnings of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, resulting from valuation adjustments related to derivative contracts in place at the time of the Going Private transaction and recorded in

- the application of the purchase method of accounting.
- (c) 2010 amounts include \$ 0.5 million decrease in segment earnings related to property disposal losses, which had been revalued as part of the Going Private transaction and recorded in the application of the purchase method of accounting. Three- and six-month 2009 amounts include \$ 0.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, decrease in segment earnings related to assets sold, which had been revalued as part of the Going Private transaction and recorded in the application of the purchase method of accounting.
- (d) Includes Kinder Morgan Interstate Gas Transmission LLC, Trailblazer Pipeline Company LLC, TransColorado Gas Transmission Company LLC, Rockies Express Pipeline LLC, Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC, Kinder Morgan Louisiana Pipeline LLC and Texas intrastate natural gas pipeline group pipeline volumes.
- (e) Represents Texas intrastate natural gas pipeline group volumes.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the certain items described in the footnotes to the table above increased earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization expenses by \$2.1 million and \$5.5 million, respectivly, and increased revenues in the first six months of 2010 by \$0.4 million, when compared to the same periods of 2009. Following is information for each of the comparable three and six month periods of 2010 and 2009, related to the segment's (i) remaining \$20.5 million (12%) and \$37.7 million (10%) increases in earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization; and (ii) \$169.0 million (20%) and remaining \$353.6 million (18%) increases in operating revenues:

Three months ended June 30, 2010 versus Three months ended June 30, 2009

| | EBDA | | | | Revenues | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|--------|---------|---------|---------------|-----|-----|--|--|
| | increase | e/(dec | rease) |) | increase/(d | | | | |
| | (| In mil | llions, | , excep | ot percentage | s) | | | |
| Kinder Morgan Natural Gas | | | | | | | | | |
| Treating | \$ 10.6 | | n/a | | \$ 15.3 | n/a | | | |
| Midcontinent Express | | | | | | | | | |
| Pipeline | 6.7 | | 957 | % | - | - | | | |
| Texas Intrastate Natural Gas | | | | | | | | | |
| Pipeline Group | 2.9 | | 4 | % | 132.9 | 17 | % | | |
| Kinder Morgan Louisiana | | | | | | | | | |
| Pipeline | 2.8 | | 28 | % | 17.0 | n/a | | | |
| KinderHawk Field Services | 1.7 | | n/a | | - | - | | | |
| Rockies Express Pipeline | 1.6 | | 7 | % | - | - | | | |
| Kinder Morgan Interstate Gas | | | | | | | | | |
| Transmission | (7.5 |) | (22 |) % | (4.2) | (9 |) % | | |
| All others | 1.7 | | 5 | % | 8.0 | 18 | % | | |
| Total Natural Gas | | | | | | | | | |
| Pipelines-KMP | \$ 20.5 | | 12 | % | \$ 169.0 | 20 | % | | |

Six months ended June 30, 2010 versus Six months ended June 30, 2009

| | EBDA | | | | | Revenues | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------|-----|---------------------|----------|--------|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| | increase/(decrease) | | | | increase/(decrease) | | | | | | | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kinder Morgan Natural Gas | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Treating | \$ 21.1 | | n/a | | \$ 30 |).4 | | n/a | | | | |
| Midcontinent Express | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pipeline | 12.1 | | 1,736 | % | - | | | - | | | | |
| Kinder Morgan Louisiana | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pipeline | 8.1 | | 43 | % | 34 | 0.4 | | n/a | | | | |
| Rockies Express Pipeline | 2.2 | | 5 | % | - | | | - | | | | |
| KinderHawk Field Services | 1.7 | | n/a | | - | | | - | | | | |
| Kinder Morgan Interstate Gas | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transmission | (6.9 |) | (11 |) % | (5 | .8 |) | (7 |) % | | | |
| Texas Intrastate Natural Gas | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pipeline Group | (6.1 |) | (4 |) % | 27 | 4.2 | , | 16 | % | | | |
| All others | 5.5 | | 8 | % | 20 |).9 | | 23 | % | | | |
| Intrasegment eliminations | - | | - | | (0 | .1 |) | (18 |) % | | | |
| Total Natural Gas | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pipelines-KMP | \$ 37.7 | | 10 | % | \$ 35 | 3.6 | ·) | 18 | % | | | |

The overall increases in the Natural Gas Pipelines–KMP segment's earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization expenses in the second quarter and first six months of 2010 versus the same periods of 2009 were driven primarily by incremental contributions from the Kinder Morgan Natural Gas Treating operations and the 50%-owned Midcontinent Express natural gas pipeline system.

KMP acquired the Kinder Morgan Natural Gas Treating operations on October 1, 2009, and the acquired assets contributed incremental earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization of \$10.6 million, revenues of \$15.3 million and operating expenses of \$4.7 million in the second quarter of 2010, and incremental earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization of \$21.1 million, revenues of \$30.4 million and operating expenses of \$9.3 million in the first six months of 2010.

The incremental earnings from KMP's equity investment in the Midcontinent Express pipeline system were driven by the commencement and/or expansion of natural gas transportation service since the second quarter of 2009. The Midcontinent Express system initiated interim natural gas transportation service for its Zone 1 on April 10, 2009, achieved full Zone 1 service on May 21, 2009, and achieved full Zone 2 service on August 1, 2009. In June 2010, Midcontinent Express completed two natural gas compression projects that increased Zone 1 capacity from 1.5 to 1.8 billion cubic feet per day, and Zone 2 capacity from 1.0 to 1.2 billion cubic feet per day. The incremental capacity is fully subscribed with ten-year binding agreements.

Other period-to-period increases and decreases in segment earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization in the comparable three and six month periods of 2010 and 2009 included the following:

an increase of \$2.9 million (4%) and a decrease of \$6.1 million (4%), respectively, from the Texas intrastate natural gas pipeline group. For the comparable three month periods, the increase in earnings was driven primarily by higher margins from proprietary storage activities and natural gas processing activities, and partly offset by lower margins from natural gas sales activities. For the comparable six month periods, the overall decrease in earnings was primarily impacted by lower natural gas sales volumes and margins, lower margins from proprietary storage activities, and lower interest income due to a one-time natural gas loan to a single customer in 2009. The decrease in earnings compared to the first half of 2009 was partially offset by higher natural gas processing margins;

Kinder Morgan, Inc. Form 10-Q

increases of \$2.8 million (28%) and \$8.1 million (43%), respectively, from KMP's fully-owned Kinder Morgan Louisiana natural gas pipeline system. The Kinder Morgan Louisiana pipeline system commenced limited natural gas transportation service in April 2009, and construction was fully completed and transportation service on the system's remaining portions began in full on June 21, 2009. The overall increases in earnings included increases of \$13.2 million and \$26.4 million, respectively, in system operating income (revenues less operating expenses), due mainly to incremental transportation service, and decreases of \$10.4 million and \$18.3 million, respectively, in non-operating other income (primarily consisting of higher non-cash allowances for capital funds used during construction in the 2009 time periods;

increases of \$1.7 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, due to incremental second quarter 2010 equity earnings from KMP's 50%-owned KinderHawk Field Services LLC. KMP acquired its 50% ownership interest on May 21, 2010, and the joint venture's operations include natural gas gathering and treating in the Haynesville shale gas formation located in northwest Louisiana;

increases of \$1.6 million (7%) and \$2.2 million (5%), respectively, from KMP's 50%-owned Rockies Express pipeline system—largely attributable to the completion and start-up of the Rockies Express-East pipeline segment, the third and final phase of the Rockies Express system. It began initial pipeline service on June 29, 2009, and began full operations on November 12, 2009.

The operating results for the first half of 2010 were negatively impacted by a portion of the Rockies Express-East pipeline segment being shutdown due to a pipeline girth weld failure that occurred on November 14, 2009. Partial service was restored on January 27, 2010, with full service being restored on February 6, 2010. The shutdown cost KMP approximately \$15 million in demand charge credits in the first quarter of 2010; and

decreases of \$7.5 million (22%) and \$6.9 million (11%), respectively, from the Kinder Morgan Interstate Gas Transmission pipeline system—due largely to lower earnings from short-term natural gas balancing services (those services that offer shippers the option to store or withdraw natural gas as needed in order to manage overall gas supply), and lower volumes and prices for net fuel recoveries.

The overall changes in both segment revenues and segment operating expenses (which include natural gas costs of sales) in the comparable three and six month periods of 2010 and 2009 primarily relate to the natural gas purchase and sale activities of the Texas intrastate group, with the variances from period-to-period in both revenues and operating expenses mainly due to corresponding changes in the intrastate group's average prices and volumes for natural gas purchased and sold. The intrastate group both purchases and sells significant volumes of natural gas, which is often stored and/or transported on its pipelines, and because the group generally sells natural gas in the same price environment in which it is purchased, the increases and decreases in its gas sales revenues are largely offset by corresponding increases and decreases in its gas purchase costs. The Texas intrastate group accounted for 88% and 90%, respectively, of the segment's total operating expenses in the second quarters of 2010 and 2009, respectively. For the comparable six month periods of both years, the intrastate group accounted for 89% and 91%, respectively, of total revenues, and 95% and 97%, respectively, of total segment operating expenses.

CO2-KMP

| | Three I | Months Ended | Six M | onths Ended | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|----------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | J | June 30, | J | une 30, | | | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | | | | |
| | (In millions, except operating statistics) | | | | | | | |
| Revenues(a) | \$327.9 | \$282.4 | \$663.1 | \$535.6 | | | | |
| Operating expenses | (72.6 |) (59.3 |) (151.7 |) (125.9) | | | | |
| Earnings from equity investments | 6.5 | 5.1 | 13.0 | 10.9 | | | | |
| Interest income and other, net-income | 1.9 | - | 1.9 | - | | | | |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | (1.0 |) (1.3 |) 3.0 | (2.0) | | | | |
| Earnings before depreciation, depletion and amortization | | | | | | | | |
| expense and amortization of excess cost of equity | | | | | | | | |
| investments | \$262.7 | \$226.9 | \$529.3 | \$418.6 | | | | |
| Carbon dioxide delivery volumes (Bcf)(b) | 191.6 | 188.7 | 382.6 | 401.4 | | | | |
| SACROC oil production (gross)(MBbl/d)(c) | 29.1 | 31.1 | 29.5 | 30.6 | | | | |
| SACROC oil production (net)(MBbl/d)(d) | 24.2 | 25.9 | 24.6 | 25.5 | | | | |
| Yates oil production (gross)(MBbl/d)(c) | 24.3 | 26.8 | 24.9 | 26.6 | | | | |
| Yates oil production (net)(MBbl/d)(d) | 10.8 | 11.9 | 11.1 | 11.8 | | | | |
| Natural gas liquids sales volumes (net)(MBbl/d)(d) | 10.1 | 9.6 | 9.9 | 9.2 | | | | |
| Realized weighted average oil price per Bbl(e)(f) | \$59.58 | \$49.47 | \$60.05 | \$46.71 | | | | |