

ACQUIRED SALES CORP  
Form 10-K  
April 01, 2014

---

---

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

File Number: 000-51230

ACQUIRED SALES CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada  
(State of jurisdiction of Incorporation)

87-0479286  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

31 N. Suffolk Lane, Lake Forest, Illinois  
(Address of principal executive offices)

60045  
(Zip Code)

(847) 915-2446  
(Registrants telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share

-----  
(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well known seasoned issuer, as defined by Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No



Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Item 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes [ ] No [x]

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Rule 405 of Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. Yes [ ] No [x]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No [x]

Aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the closing price at which the common stock sold on the over-the-counter market on March 27, 2014 was \$1,724,932. The voting stock held by non-affiliates on that date consisted of 981,081 shares of common stock.

Number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's class of common stock as of March 26, 2013:

Common Stock: 2,269,648

Preferred Stock: 0

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Description of Business of Acquired Sales

Acquired Sales Corp. (hereinafter sometimes referred to as “Acquired Sales”, “we”, “us”, “our”, etc.) was organized under the laws of the State of Nevada on January 2, 1986. In August 2001, we ceased all of our prior operations and remained dormant from then until May 27, 2004 when we began our current development stage activities. Prior to our acquisitions of our now former subsidiaries Cogility Software Corporation (“Cogility”) in 2011 and Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc. (“DSTG”) in 2012, we had no material operations for several years.

We propose to seek, investigate and, if warranted, acquire an interest in one or more businesses. As of the date hereof, we are actively negotiating potential acquisitions of companies that supply capital and consulting expertise to not-for-profit entities that are licensed to grow and dispense medical marijuana in certain states. No assurance or guaranty whatsoever can be provided that any of such potential acquisitions will close. Among many other uncertainties, closing such potential acquisitions will require us to raise millions of dollars of capital, and no party has committed to provide such capital to us. We propose to investigate potential opportunities, particularly focusing upon existing privately held businesses whose owners are willing to consider merging their businesses into our company in order to establish a public trading market for their common stock, and whose managements are willing to operate the acquired businesses as divisions or subsidiaries of our company. The businesses we acquire may or may not need an injection of cash to facilitate their future operations.

We are primarily interested in medical marijuana growers and dispensaries, but we currently do not intend to restrict our search for investment opportunities to any particular industry or geographical location and may, therefore, engage in essentially any business. Our executive officers will review material furnished to them by the proposed merger or acquisition candidates and will ultimately decide if a merger or acquisition is in our best interests and the interests of our shareholders. We intend to source business opportunities through our officers and directors and their contacts. Those contacts include professional advisors such as attorneys and accountants, securities broker dealers, venture capitalists, members of the financial community, other businesses and others who may present solicited and unsolicited proposals. Management believes that business opportunities and ventures may become available to it due to a number of factors, including, among others: (1) management’s willingness to consider a wide variety of businesses; (2) management’s contacts and acquaintances; and (3) our flexibility with respect to the manner in which we may be able to structure, finance, merge with or acquire any business opportunity.

The analysis of new business opportunities will be undertaken by or under the supervision of our executive officers and directors. Inasmuch as we will have limited funds available to search for business opportunities and ventures, we will not be able to expend significant funds on a complete and exhaustive investigation of such business or opportunity. We will, however, investigate, to the extent believed reasonable by our management, such potential business opportunities or ventures by conducting a so-called “due diligence investigation”.

In a so-called “due diligence investigation”, we intend to obtain and review materials regarding the business opportunity. Typically such materials will include information regarding a target business’ products, services, contracts, management, ownership, and financial information. In addition, we intend to cause our officers or agents to meet personally with management and key personnel of target businesses, ask questions regarding our prospects, tour facilities, and conduct other reasonable investigation of the target business to the extent of our limited financial resources and management and technical expertise.

There is no guarantee that we can obtain or maintain the funding needed for our operations, including the funds necessary to search for and investigate acquisition candidates, and to close an acquisition including paying the substantial costs of legal, accounting and other relevant professional services.

We presently have cash on hand of approximately \$427,904 as of the date of this filing, but our payables have typically been greater than our cash on hand over the past several years. Moreover, in December 2012, we received \$148,275 in working capital loans from several of our affiliates in order to meet expenses. We have inconsistent income generating ability and are therefore regularly reliant on raising money from loans or stock sales.

#### Business Acquisition

The structure of our participation in a business opportunity or venture will be situational. We may structure our acquisitions as an asset purchase, merger, or an acquisition of securities. It is likely that the anticipated value of the business and/or assets that we acquire relative to the current value of our securities will result in the issuance of a relatively large number of shares and, as a result, substantial additional dilution to the percentage ownership of our current stockholders. Moreover, our present management and shareholders may not have control of a majority of our voting shares following a business acquisition or other reorganization transaction. It is possible that the shareholders of the acquired entity will gain control of our voting stock and our directors may resign and new directors may be appointed without any vote by the shareholders. Those directors are entitled to replace our officers without stockholder vote.

#### Offices

Our corporate headquarters are located at 31 N. Suffolk Lane, Lake Forest, Illinois 60045. We do not have a dedicated corporate office for our parent company; however, in the past our subsidiaries have maintained offices. There are no agreements or understandings with respect to any office facility subsequent to the completion of an acquisition. We may relocate our corporate headquarters in connection with a change in the management of our company, or in connection with the completion of a merger or acquisition.

## Employees

Acquired Sales Corp. currently has no full-time salaried employees. However, we intend to begin paying other officer salaries when we are financially able to do so. We expect to address our need for employees in connection with money raising and acquisitions. We expect to continue to use attorneys and accountants as necessary.

## Reports to Security Holders

Acquired Sales Corp. is subject to reporting obligations under the Exchange Act. These obligations include an annual report under cover of Form 10-K, with audited financial statements, unaudited quarterly reports, information statements and proxy statements with regard to annual shareholder meetings. The public may read and copy any materials Acquired Sales files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information of the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0030. The SEC maintains an Internet site (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Our business is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. These risks and uncertainties may cause our operations to vary materially from those contemplated by our forward-looking statements. These risk factors include:

### RISK FACTORS RELATING TO OUR COMPANY AND OUR STOCK

Our balance sheet is weak and we lack liquidity

Our balance sheet is weak. There is no guarantee that we can obtain the funding needed for our operations and for acquisitions on acceptable terms, if at all, and neither our directors, officers, or any third party is obligated to provide any financing. A failure to pay our expenses when they become due and payable could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

We may not be profitable in the future

We have not been profitable during most of our years of operation. We face many risks that could prevent us from achieving profits in future years. We cannot assure you that we will be profitable in the future. There can be no assurance that any acquisition we make will be profitable. A failure to achieve profitability could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

Our Stock lacks a meaningful public market

At present no active market exists for our Stock and there is no assurance that a regular trading market will develop and if developed, that it will be sustained. An owner of our Stock may, therefore, be unable to sell our Stock should he or she desire to do so. Or, if an owner of our Stock decides to sell our Stock, such sales could drive the price of our Stock significantly lower. Furthermore, it is unlikely that a lending institution will accept our Stock as pledged collateral for loans. This lack of liquidity could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

Our Stock may never be listed on a national exchange

Our Stock may never meet the listing requirements of a national exchange. You should not assume that an effort to list our Stock would be successful, or if successful, that such listing requirements will be maintained, including but not limited to requirements associated with maintenance of a minimum net worth, minimum stock price, and ability to establish a sufficient number of market makers.

Our Stock may be considered a “penny stock” and may be difficult to trade

The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) has adopted regulations which generally define “penny stock” to be an equity security that has a market or exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to specific exemptions. The market price of our Stock may be less than \$5.00 per share and, therefore, may be designated as a “penny stock” according to SEC rules. This designation requires any broker or dealer selling these securities to disclose certain information concerning the transaction, to obtain a written agreement from the purchaser, and to determine that the purchaser is reasonably suitable to purchase the securities. These rules may restrict the ability of brokers or dealers to sell our Stock and may adversely affect the ability of investors to sell our Stock, and may materially adversely affect our business and the trading price of our Stock.

Our Stock lacks institutional or analyst support

Our company lacks institutional support. In addition, investment banks with research capabilities do not currently follow our Stock. This lack of institutional or analyst support lessens the trading volume and general market interest in our Stock, and may adversely affect an investor’s ability to trade a significant amount of our Stock. This lack of institutional or analyst support could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

The public float of our Stock is small

The public float of our Stock is small, which may limit the ability of some institutions to invest in our Stock. This lack of liquidity could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

The trading price of our Stock may be volatile and could drop quickly and unexpectedly

The stocks of micro-cap and small-cap companies have experienced substantial volatility in the past, often based on factors unrelated to the financial performance or prospects of the companies involved. These factors include macro-economic developments in North America and globally, and market perceptions of the attractiveness of particular industries. This volatility could materially adversely affect our company by making it more difficult to raise capital or complete acquisitions. In addition, securities class-action litigation often has been brought against companies following periods of volatility in the market price of their securities. Our company may in the future be the target of similar litigation. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and damages and divert our management's attention and resources away from our business. For these reasons and others, quick and unexpected drops in the trading price of our Stock are likely from time to time. Volatility in our Stock price could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

It may be difficult to predict our financial performance because our quarterly operating results may fluctuate

Our revenue and operating results may vary significantly from quarter to quarter due to a variety of factors, some of which are beyond our control. The factors that may affect our quarterly operating results include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) fluctuations in customer demand for our products and services; (2) the timing and nature of future sales transactions and the accounting treatment with respect to customer contracts; (3) the timing and nature of future capital raises and acquisitions; (4) the introduction of new products or services and the market responses to those introductions; (5) customer budgetary pressures and the timing of availability of funding for purchases, or delays in processing or making payments for products or services that have been delivered; (6) changes in pricing policies or service offerings; (7) changes in the level of administrative costs, sales, marketing and other operating expenses to support future growth; (8) fluctuations in the cost of marketing and advertising; (9) competitive factors; (10) fluctuations in our Stock price which may impact the amount of stock-based compensation expense we are required to record; (11) possible impairments of the recorded amounts of goodwill, intangible assets, or other long-lived assets; (12) the timing and amount of expenses associated with future litigation or restructuring activities; (13) new accounting pronouncements, or new interpretations of existing accounting pronouncements, that impact the manner in which we account for, measure or disclose our results of operations, financial position or other financial measures; (14) deterioration in the credit quality of our accounts receivable; (15) disputes or disagreements with our customers; (16) changes in our customers' strategies, budgets or priorities for developing, acquiring, deploying, or evaluating software or other technology; (17) new software or other technologies; (18) changes in laws, rules and regulations; (19) changes in our effective income tax rate; (20) costs related to the development or acquisition of software, other technology, or businesses; (21) increases in the costs of software licenses or other intellectual property-related costs; and (22) general economic conditions.

Consequently, period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations will not necessarily be meaningful, and you should not rely on period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations as an indication of our future performance. Our results of operations may fall below the expectations of acquisition candidates, of research analysts (if any), of investors, or of our own forecasts in some future periods, which may have a material adverse effect on our company and the trading price of our Stock.



We are adversely affected by the difficult economy and by turmoil in the financial markets

Businesses are materially adversely affected by periods of significant economic slowdown or recession, fears of inflation or deflation, rising interest rates, declining demand for our products or our clients' products, or a public perception that any of these events are occurring or may occur, which could adversely affect our revenues, results of operations, and cash flow. In addition, as to our acquisition strategies, the capital and credit markets have been experiencing, and continue to experience, volatility and disruption. Current national and global financial and business conditions have been very difficult, and numerous financial institutions and businesses either have gone into bankruptcy or have had to be rescued by governmental authorities. Access to financing has been negatively impacted by both sub-prime mortgages and the liquidity crisis affecting the asset-backed commercial paper market. Credit remains tight. In many cases, the markets have exerted downward pressure on stock prices and credit capacity for certain issuers. These factors could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

We may not be able to raise needed capital

We need to raise substantial amounts of additional capital both for organic growth and for acquisitions. In addition, our aggregate future capital requirements are uncertain. The amount of capital that we will need in the future will depend on many factors that we cannot predict with any certainty, including: the market acceptance of our products and services; the levels of promotion and advertising that will be required to launch our new products and services and achieve and maintain a competitive position in the marketplace; our business, product, capital expenditures and technology plans, and product and technology roadmaps; technological advances; our competitors' responses to our products and services; our pursuit of mergers and acquisitions; and our relationships with our customers.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to raise the needed capital on commercially acceptable terms, or at all. Delay, disruption, or failure to obtain sufficient financing may result in the delay or failure of our business plans. Our inability to raise sufficient capital on commercially acceptable terms, or at all, could have a material adverse effect on our company and the trading price of our Stock.

Our Stock may be subject to significant dilution

Our capital raising may include the sale of significant numbers of shares of our Stock or other securities convertible into our Stock. We also may issue significant numbers of shares of our Stock, or options, warrants, or other securities convertible into shares of our Stock, as a portion of the consideration for acquisitions. We are also likely to issue significant numbers of options and/or warrants to our officers, especially in connection with the closing of capital raises and acquisitions. Such transactions may significantly increase the number of outstanding shares of our Stock, and may be highly dilutive to our existing Stockholders. In addition, the securities that we issue may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of the holders of our outstanding Stock. This dilution could have a material adverse effect on our company and the trading price of our Stock. In addition, we have options and warrants outstanding to purchase several million shares of our Stock. If all of these millions of options and warrants were to be exercised, the number of outstanding shares of our Stock would increase significantly. Moreover, additional shares may be issued in connection with future acquisition and business operations. This dilution could have a material adverse effect on our company and the trading price of our Stock.

Raising capital by selling our Stock is difficult to accomplish

Selling equity is difficult to accomplish in the current market. This difficulty may make future acquisitions either unlikely, or too difficult and expensive. This could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

Raising capital by selling our Stock could be expensive

If we were to raise capital by selling common stock or securities convertible into common stock, it could be expensive. We may be required to pay fees equal to 7% or more of the gross sales proceeds raised, in addition to legal, accounting and other fees and expenses. In addition, when it becomes known within the investment community that an issuer is seeking to raise equity capital, it is common for the common stock of that issuer to be sold off in the market, lowering the trading price of the issuer's common stock in advance of the pricing of the issue. This could make our raising capital by selling equity securities significantly more expensive and materially adversely affect the trading price of our Stock.

Debt financing is difficult to obtain

Debt financing is difficult to obtain in the current credit markets. This difficulty may make future acquisitions either unlikely, or too difficult and expensive. This could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

Raising capital by borrowing could be risky

If we were to raise capital by borrowing to fund our operations or acquisitions, it could be risky. Borrowing typically results in less dilution than in connection with equity financings, but it also would increase our risk, in that cash is required to service the debt, ongoing covenants are typically employed which can restrict the way in which we operate our business, and if the debt comes due either upon maturity or an event of default, we may lack the resources at that time to either pay off or refinance the debt, or if we are able to refinance, the refinancing may be on terms that are less favorable than those originally in place, and may require additional equity or quasi equity accommodations. These risks could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

Our financing decisions may be made without Stockholder approval

Our financing decisions and related decisions regarding levels of debt, capitalization, distributions, acquisitions and other key operating parameters, are determined by our board of directors in its discretion, in many cases without any notice to or vote by our Stockholders. This could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

We lack investor relations, public relations and advertising resources

We lack the resources to properly support investor relations, public relations, and advertising efforts. This puts us at a disadvantage with potential acquisition candidates, investors, research analysts, customers, and job applicants. These disadvantages could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

Sales of our Stock could cause the trading price of our Stock to fall

Sellers of our Stock might include our existing stockholders who have held our Stock for years, former stockholders of Cogility or DSTG who now own our Stock, persons and entities who have acquired our stock as consideration for services they have provided to our company, or our directors, officers or employees who might exercise stock options and simultaneously sell our Stock. Since the trading volume of our Stock is very low and the amount of our Stock in the public float is very small, any sales or attempts to sell our Stock, or the perception that sales or attempts to sell our Stock could occur, could adversely affect the trading price of our Stock.

An increase in interest rates may have an adverse effect on the trading price of our Stock

An increase in market interest rates may tend to make our common stock less attractive relative to other investments, which could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

Increases in taxes and regulatory compliance costs may reduce our revenue

Costs resulting from changes in or new income taxes, value added taxes, service taxes, or other taxes, may not be able to be passed along to clients and consequently may adversely affect our margins. This could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

We are adversely affected by regulatory uncertainties

Regulatory uncertainties regarding potential adverse changes in federal and state laws and governmental regulations materially adversely affect our business, our clients' businesses, and the trading price of our Stock.

A small number of stockholders have significant influence over us

A small number of our stockholders and members of our board of directors and management acting together would be able to exert significant influence over us through their ability to influence the election of directors and all other matters that require action by our Stockholders. The voting power of these individuals could have the effect of preventing or delaying a change in control of our company which they oppose even if our other stockholders believe it is in their best interests. Gerard M. Jacobs beneficially owns a substantial majority of our shares of common stock. In addition, our shareholders have authorized Gerard M. Jacobs to seek shareholders agreements and/or proxies from other parties, including potential future capital sources and the owners of potential future acquisition candidates.

Accordingly, Gerard M. Jacobs has substantial influence over our policies and management. We may take actions supported by Gerard M. Jacobs that may not be viewed by some stockholders to be in our best interest, or Gerard M. Jacobs could prevent or delay a change in our control which he opposes even if our other stockholders believe it is in their best interests. This could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

State law and our articles of incorporation and bylaws help preserve insiders' control over us

Provisions of Nevada state law, our articles of incorporation and by-laws may discourage, delay or prevent a change in our management team that stockholders may consider favorable. These provisions may include: (1) authorizing the issuance of "blank check" preferred stock without any need for action by stockholders; (2) permitting stockholder action by written consent; and (3) establishing advance notice requirements for nominations for election to the board of directors, or for proposing matters that can be acted on by stockholders at stockholder meetings. These provisions, if included in our articles of incorporation or by-laws, could allow our board of directors to affect an investor's rights as a stockholder since our board of directors could make it more difficult for preferred stockholders or common stockholders to replace members of the board of directors. Because the board of directors is responsible for appointing the members of the management team, these provisions could in turn affect any attempt to replace the current or future management team. These factors could adversely affect our company or the trading price of our Stock.

Retaining and attracting directors and officers may be expensive

We cannot make any assurances regarding the future roles of our current directors and officers. Some of our current officers and directors are and will in the future be involved in other businesses, and are not required to, and do not, commit their full time to our affairs, thereby causing conflicts of interest in allocating their time between our operations and the operations of other businesses. We have no employment agreements with any of our existing directors or officers. Some or all of our current directors and officers may resign upon our raising money, upon our consummation of a business combination, or otherwise. Attracting and retaining our directors and officers may be expensive, and may require that we enter into long term employment agreements, issue stock options, and otherwise incentivize our directors and officers. The costs of these incentives could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

We indemnify our directors and officers, and certain other parties

Our bylaws specifically limit the liability of our officers and directors to the fullest extent permitted by law. As a result, aggrieved parties may have a more limited right to action than they would have had if such provisions were not present. The Bylaws also provide for indemnification of our officers and directors for any losses or liabilities they may incur as a result of the manner in which they operated our business or conducted internal affairs, provided that in connection with these activities they acted in good faith and in a manner which they reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, our best interest. In the ordinary course of business, we also may provide indemnifications of varying scope and terms to customers, vendors, lessors, business partners, and other parties with respect to certain matters, including, but not limited to, losses arising out of our breach of such agreements, services to be provided by us, or from intellectual property infringement claims made by third-parties. We may also agree to indemnify former officers, directors and employees of acquired companies in connection with the acquisition of such companies. Such indemnification agreements may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount of exposure in regard to these obligations to indemnify, due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular situation. Use of our capital or assets for such indemnification would reduce amounts available for the operations or for distribution to our investors, which could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

We do not expect to pay dividends

For the foreseeable future, it is anticipated that earnings, if any, which may be generated from our operations will be used to finance our growth and that dividends may not be paid to the holders of our Stock, which may have a material adverse effect on our company and the trading price of our Stock.

Our cost of being a publicly traded company will increase significantly as our business operations expand

During the time that we were a shell corporation, our costs of being a publicly traded company was relatively limited. However, after taking ownership of Cogility and DSTG, our management expenses, legal and accounting fees, and other costs associated with being a publicly traded company, increased significantly. We expect these additional costs to continue, especially if we acquire additional businesses. We will eventually need to hire a qualified full-time Chief Financial Officer, as well as additional employees and/or additional consultants and professionals, in order to have appropriate internal financial controls and accurate financial reporting, and otherwise to comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. For instance, our audit costs increased to \$126,914 in 2011 from \$88,882 in 2010 and \$20,439 in 2009. The 2012 fees are expected to be higher than 2011. While we cannot state with certainty what all of these costs will be, we believe that our management expenses, legal and accounting fees, and other costs associated with being a publicly traded company, will increase by at least \$250,000 per year.

## RISK FACTORS RELATING TO FUTURE ACQUISITIONS

We may not be able to identify, negotiate, finance or close future acquisitions

A significant component of our growth strategy focuses on acquiring additional companies or assets. We may not, however, be able to identify, audit, or acquire companies or assets on acceptable terms if at all. Additionally, we may need to finance all or a portion of the purchase price for an acquisition by incurring indebtedness. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain financing on terms that are favorable, if at all, which will limit our ability to acquire additional companies or assets in the future. Failure to acquire additional companies or assets on acceptable terms, if at all, would have a material adverse effect on our ability to increase assets, revenues and net income and on the trading price of our common Stock.

Potential acquisitions of one or more companies that supply capital and expertise to not-for-profit entities that are licensed medical marijuana growers and dispensaries may not occur and such acquisitions are likely to require substantial capital raises to monetize.

We are in discussions and/or negotiations to acquire a number of companies that supply capital and expertise to not-for-profit entities that are licensed medical marijuana growers and dispensaries in several jurisdictions where such activities are legal at the state level. No assurances or guarantees whatsoever can be made as to whether any of such acquisitions will be successfully consummated, nor on what terms.

In the event that we do acquire one or more companies that supply capital and expertise to not-for-profit entities that are licensed medical marijuana growers and/or dispensaries, then it is highly likely that we will be required to raise a substantial amount of equity capital and/or debt capital in connection with those acquisitions, which could result in substantial dilution for our existing shareholders. No assurances whatsoever can be made that such acquisitions would result in profitability, nor what the impacts would be on our balance sheet, income statement, or stock price.

The U.S. Federal Controlled Substances Act prohibits cultivation or possession of marijuana and the Company's direct or even indirect engagement with persons engaged in prohibited activities could result in a destruction of our management team and business.

The U.S. Federal Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 811), does not recognize the difference between medical and recreational use of marijuana. Marijuana is classified as a controlled substance by the U.S. federal government, and any entrance by the Company into the medical marijuana industry may trigger material legal and financial risks for the Company. Under certain scenarios, these material legal and financial risks could result in criminal liability to management and/or key employees, and a shutdown or bankruptcy of the Company.

If we do enter into the medical marijuana industry, then shareholders and potential shareholders are expressly cautioned that such participation will entail such numerous material risks and uncertainties. We cannot provide any assurances whatsoever in regard to the potential negative legal, regulatory, financial and operational risks to the Company associated with the potential entrance by the Company into the medical marijuana industry. You are urged to use caution in your evaluation of our stock, and to seek the advice of competent legal and financial advisors in regard to the medical marijuana industry, which is currently in a state of rapid change and involves many variables which are beyond our control.

We further caution you that as the recreational use of marijuana is permitted in certain states, that the medical marijuana industry is likely to be subjected to even more intense scrutiny and oversight by elected officials, legislative bodies, courts, law enforcement agencies, and community groups. We cannot provide any assurances whatsoever in regard to the future potential negative impacts of such intensified scrutiny and oversight.

We may not be able to properly manage multiple businesses

We may not be able to properly manage multiple businesses. Managing multiple businesses would be more complicated than managing a single line of business, and would require that we hire and manage executives with experience and expertise in different fields. We can provide no assurance that we will be able to do so successfully. A failure to properly manage multiple businesses could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

We may not be able to successfully integrate new acquisitions

Even if we are able to acquire additional companies or assets, we may not be able to successfully integrate those companies or assets. For example, we may need to integrate widely dispersed operations with different corporate cultures, operating margins, competitive environments, computer systems, compensation schemes, business plans and growth potential requiring significant management time and attention. In addition, the successful integration of any companies we acquire will depend in large part on the retention of personnel critical to our combined business operations due to, for example, unique technical skills or management expertise. We may be unable to retain existing management, finance, engineering, sales, customer support, and operations personnel that are critical to the success of the integrated company, resulting in disruption of operations, loss of key information, expertise or know-how, unanticipated additional recruitment and training costs, and otherwise diminishing anticipated benefits of these acquisitions, including loss of revenue and profitability. Failure to successfully integrate acquired businesses could have a material adverse effect on our company and the trading price of our Stock.

Our acquisitions of businesses may be extremely risky and we could lose all of our investments

We may invest in the medical marijuana industry, software companies, other technology businesses, mortgage lending companies, unsecured lending companies, defense industry companies, manufactured housing communities, oil & gas services and production companies, casino businesses and medical supply and diagnostic companies, or other risky industries. An investment in these companies may be extremely risky because, among other things, the companies we are likely to focus on: (1) typically have limited operating histories, narrower product lines and smaller market shares than larger businesses, which tend to render them more vulnerable to competitors' actions and market conditions, as well as general economic downturns; (2) tend to be privately-owned and generally have little publicly available information and, as a result, we may not learn all of the material information we need to know regarding these businesses; (3) are more likely to depend on the management talents and efforts of a small group of people; and, as a result, the death, disability, resignation or termination of one or more of these people could have an adverse impact on the operations of any business that we may acquire; (4) may have less predictable operating results; (5) may from time to time be parties to litigation; (6) may be engaged in rapidly changing businesses with products subject to a substantial risk of obsolescence; (7) may require substantial additional capital to support their operations, finance expansion or maintain their competitive position; and (8) may involve serious legal risks in light of the fact that the marijuana industry generally remains illegal under U.S. federal laws and regulations as well as the laws and regulations of the majority of U.S. states. Even in the approximately 20 states where medical marijuana is legal on some level, it remains highly restricted. Our failure to make acquisitions efficiently and profitably could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and the trading price of our Stock.





Future acquisitions may fail to perform as expected

Future acquisitions may fail to perform as expected. We may overestimate cash flow, underestimate costs, or fail to understand risks. This could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

Acquisitions may involve licensing or permitting risks

Some companies that we may acquire may lack all of the final licenses, permits, approvals and consents needed to complete construction of facilities and to commence operations. Any failure or inability to timely obtain all such final licenses, permits, approvals and consents could negatively impact our Company and Stock price.

Competition may result in overpaying for acquisitions

Other investors with significant capital may compete with us for attractive investment opportunities. These competitors may include publicly traded companies, private equity firms, privately held buyers, individual investors, and other types of investors. Such competition may increase the price of acquisitions, or otherwise adversely affect the terms and conditions of acquisitions. This could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

We may have insufficient resources to cover our operating expenses and the expenses of raising money and consummating acquisitions

We have limited cash to cover our operating expenses and to cover the expenses incurred in connection with money raising and a business combination. It is possible that we could incur substantial costs in connection with money raising or a business combination. If we do not have sufficient proceeds available to cover our expenses, we may be forced to obtain additional financing, either from our management or third parties. We may not be able to obtain additional financing on acceptable terms, if at all, and neither our management nor any third party is obligated to provide any financing. This could have a negative impact on our company and our Stock price.

The nature of our proposed future operations is speculative and will depend to a great extent on the businesses which we acquire

While management typically intends to seek a merger or acquisition of privately held entities with established operating histories, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in locating an acquisition candidate meeting such criteria. In the event we complete a merger or acquisition transaction, of which there can be no assurance, our success if any will be dependent upon the operations, financial condition and management of the acquired company, and upon numerous other factors beyond our control. If the operations, financial condition or management of the acquired company were to be disrupted or otherwise negatively impacted following an acquisition, our company and our Stock price would be negatively impacted.

We may make actions that will not require our stockholders' approval

The terms and conditions of any acquisition could require us to take actions that would not require your approval. In order to acquire certain companies or assets, we may issue additional shares of common or preferred stock, borrow money or issue debt instruments including debt convertible into capital stock. Not all of these actions would require your approval even if these actions dilute your economic or voting interest as a shareholder.

Our investigation of potential acquisitions will be limited

Our analysis of new business opportunities will be undertaken by or under the supervision of our executive officers and directors. Inasmuch as we will have limited funds available to search for business opportunities and ventures, we will not be able to expend significant funds on a complete and exhaustive investigation of such business or opportunity. We will, however, investigate, to the extent believed reasonable by our management, such potential business opportunities or ventures by conducting a so-called "due diligence investigation". In a so-called "due diligence investigation", we intend to obtain and review materials regarding the business opportunity. Typically such materials will include information regarding a target business' products, services, contracts, management, ownership, and financial information. In addition, we intend to cause our officers or agents to meet personally with management and key personnel of target businesses, ask questions regarding the company's prospects, tour facilities, and conduct other reasonable investigation of the target business to the extent of our limited financial resources and management and technical expertise. Any failure of our typical "due diligence investigation" to uncover issues and problems relating to potential acquisition candidates could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

We will have only a limited ability to evaluate the directors and management of potential acquisitions

We may make a determination that our current directors and officers should not remain, or should reduce their roles, following money raising or a business combination, based on an assessment of the experience and skill sets of new directors and officers and the management of target businesses. We cannot assure you that our assessment of these individuals will prove to be correct. This could have a negative impact on our company and our Stock price.

We will be dependent on outside advisors to assist us

In order to supplement the business experience of management, we may employ accountants, technical experts, appraisers, attorneys or other consultants or advisors. The selection of any such advisors will be made by management and without any control from shareholders. Additionally, it is anticipated that such persons may be engaged by us on an independent basis without a continuing fiduciary or other obligation to us.

We may be unable to protect or enforce the intellectual property rights of any target business that we acquire or the target business may become subject to claims of intellectual property infringement

After completing a business combination, the procurement and protection of trademarks, copyrights, patents, domain names, and trade secrets may be critical to our success. We will likely rely on a combination of copyright, trademark, trade secret laws and contractual restrictions to protect any proprietary technology and rights that we may acquire. Despite our efforts to protect those proprietary technology and rights, we may not be able to prevent misappropriation of those proprietary rights or deter independent development of technologies that compete with the business we acquire. Litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, or to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others. It is also possible that third parties may claim we have infringed their patent, trademark, copyright or other proprietary rights. Claims or litigation, with or without merit, could result in substantial costs and diversions of resources, either of which could have an adverse effect on our competitive position and business. Further, depending on the target business or businesses that we acquire, it is likely that we will have to protect trademarks, patents, and domain names in an increasing number of jurisdictions, a process that is expensive and may not be successful in every location. These factors could negatively impact our company and the trading price of our Stock.

Integrating acquired businesses may divert our management's attention away from our day-to-day operations and harm our business

Acquisitions generally involve significant risks, including the risk of overvaluation of potential acquisitions and risks in regard to the assimilation of personnel, operations, products, services, technologies, and corporate culture of acquired companies. Dealing with these risks may place a significant burden on our management and other internal resources. This could materially adversely affect our business and the trading price of our Stock.

We may fail to manage our growth effectively

Future growth through acquisitions and organic expansion would place a significant strain on our managerial, operational, technical, training, systems and financial resources. We can give you no assurance that we will be able to manage our expanding operations properly or cost effectively. A failure to properly and cost-effectively manage our expansion could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

The management of companies we acquire may lose their enthusiasm or entrepreneurship after the sale of their businesses

We can give no assurance that the management of future companies we acquire will have the same level of enthusiasm for the operation of their businesses following their acquisition by us, or if they cease performing services for the acquired businesses that we will be able to install replacement management with the same skill sets and determination. There also is always a risk that management will attempt to reenter the market and possibly seek to recruit some of the former employees of the business, who may continue to be key employees of ours. This could materially adversely affect our business and the trading price of our Stock.

If we are deemed to be an investment company, we may be required to institute burdensome compliance requirements and our activities may be restricted, which may make it difficult for us to complete a business combination

We believe we will not be subject to regulation under the Investment Company Act insofar as we will not be engaged in the business of investing or trading in securities. However, in the event that we engage in business combinations which result in us holding passive investment interests in a number of entities, we may become subject to regulation under the Investment Company Act. In such event, we may be required to register as an investment company and may incur significant registration and compliance costs. We have obtained no formal determination from the government as to our status under the Investment Company Act, and consequently, any violation of such Act might subject us to material adverse consequences.

#### RISK FACTORS RELATING TO ACCOUNTING AND INTERNAL FINANCIAL CONTROLS

We do not currently employ a qualified full time Chief Financial Officer

We do not currently employ a qualified full time Chief Financial Officer. There is no assurance that we will be able to promptly find and hire such a qualified full time Chief Financial Officer, nor at a compensation level acceptable to us. This could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

We may incur liabilities to tax authorities in excess of amounts that have been accrued

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements requires estimates of the amount of income tax that will become payable in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. We may be challenged by the taxing authorities in these jurisdictions and, in the event that we are not able to successfully defend our position, we may incur significant additional income tax liabilities which may have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition. Such tax liabilities could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

New accounting standards could adversely impact us

From time to time, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the SEC and other regulatory bodies may issue new and revised standards, interpretations and other guidance that change Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States (GAAP). The effects of such changes may include prescribing an accounting method where none had been previously specified, prescribing a single acceptable method of accounting from among several acceptable methods that currently exist, or revoking the acceptability of a current method and replacing it with an entirely different method, among others. Such changes to GAAP could adversely impact our results of operations, financial condition and other financial measures. Such changes could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal financial controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and to effectively prevent fraud. Any inability to provide reliable financial reports or to prevent fraud could harm our business. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires management to evaluate and assess the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. In order to continue to comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, we are required to continuously evaluate and, where appropriate, enhance our policies, procedures and internal controls. If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal controls, we could be subject to regulatory scrutiny and investors could lose confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports. We cannot assure you that in the future we will be able to fully comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act or that management will conclude that our internal controls over financial reporting are effective. A failure to fully comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could materially adversely affect our business and the trading price of our Stock.

Decreased effectiveness of stock options could adversely affect our ability to attract and retain employees

We expect to use stock options as a key component of our employee compensation program in order to align employees' interests with the interests of our Stockholders, encourage employee retention, and provide competitive compensation packages. Volatility or lack of positive performance in our Stock price may adversely affect our ability to retain key employees or to attract additional highly-qualified personnel. At any given time, a portion of our outstanding employee stock options may have exercise prices in excess of our then-current stock price, or may have expired worthless. To the extent these circumstances occur, our ability to retain employees may be adversely affected. As a result, we may have to incur increased compensation costs, change our equity compensation strategy, or find it difficult to attract, retain and motivate employees. Any of these situations could materially adversely affect our company and the trading price of our Stock.

#### ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Acquired Sales is currently provided rent-free office space by our Chief Executive Officer at 31 N. Suffolk Lane, Lake Forest, Illinois 60045. Acquired Sales pays the phone, facsimile, internet, travel and other business expenses of our Chief Executive Officer.

Acquired Sales owns no property.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we may become involved in various lawsuits and legal proceedings which arise in the ordinary course of business. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties, and an adverse result in these or other matters may arise from time to time that may harm our business. We are currently not aware of any such legal proceedings or claims that we believe will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or operating results.

#### ITEM 4. (THIS ITEM HAS BEEN REMOVED AND RESERVED BY THE SEC)

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

## Market Information

Our common stock has been quoted under the symbol AQSP on the OTC market. Our shares generally do not trade and the trading price of our shares is not necessarily indicative of the existence of a trading market for our securities or indicative of our value. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low closing prices of our common stock. These prices reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

	Closing Bid Prices(1)	
	High	Low
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2013</b>		
4th Quarter	\$0.50	\$0.39
3rd Quarter	\$3.75	\$0.08
2nd Quarter	\$3.75	\$3.75
1st Quarter	\$3.75	\$3.75
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2012</b>		
4th Quarter	\$3.75	\$3.26
3rd Quarter	\$3.75	\$2.00
2nd Quarter	\$2.00	\$2.00
1st Quarter	\$2.00	\$2.00
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2011</b>		
4th Quarter	\$2.00	\$2.00
3rd Quarter	\$2.00	\$2.00
2nd Quarter	\$2.00	\$2.00
1st Quarter	\$2.00	\$2.00

(1) The above table sets forth the range of high and low closing bid prices per share of our common stock as reported by Google Finance for the periods indicated.

## Approximate Number of Holders of Our Common Stock

As of March 27, 2014, a total of 2,269,648 shares of Acquired Sales' common stock were outstanding and there were 251 holders of record of Acquired Sales' common stock. In addition to our outstanding common stock, we have issued options and warrants to purchase 3,086,774 shares of common stock at \$0.001 to \$8.00 per share. For a detailed description of this issuance, please refer to "Item 9B Other Information" herein. None of these options or warrants has been exercised into shares of common stock, but may be exercised at any time in the sole discretion of the holder.





## Dividends

We have never declared or paid a cash dividend and do not foresee paying one in the near future. Any future decisions regarding dividends will be made by our board of directors. We currently intend to retain and use any future earnings for the development and expansion of our business and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our board of directors has complete discretion on whether to pay dividends, subject to the approval of our stockholders. Even if our board of directors decides to pay dividends, the form, frequency and amount will depend upon our future operations and earnings, capital requirements and surplus, general financial condition, contractual restrictions and other factors that the board of directors may deem relevant.

## Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities.

Unless otherwise noted, the use of proceeds for the following sales of equity securities was to sustain our business operations.

### Issuance of warrants and Options to Directors

In 2012, we issued warrants and options to seven of our officers and directors for services. Please refer to the section entitled "Compensation of Directors" for further details of these transactions.

### Option Exercise

During the three month period ended June 30, 2012, Kathy Carter, a holder of options to purchase shares in the Company, exercised options to purchase 25,000 of our common stock shares at a per-share exercise price of \$0.001. The options were issued pursuant to a stock option agreement dated September 29, 2011.

### Consulting Payment

In June 2012, we issued 150,000 shares of restricted common stock to an affiliate of Wakabayashi Fund in connection with institutional stock awareness efforts to be performed subsequent to the share issuance.

### Plan of Merger Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc.

In connection with our acquisition of DSTG on February 13, 2012, the stockholders of DSTG received 100,000 shares of our common stock, 300,000 vested options to purchase our common stock at an exercise price of \$3.18 per share exercisable until the fifth anniversary of the Effective Date, and 100,000 vested options to purchase our common stock at an exercise price of \$8.00 per share exercisable until the last day of the 21st full calendar quarter following the Effective Date.

### Plan of Merger Cogility Software Corporation

In connection with our acquisition of Cogility Software, on or about September 29, 2011, the stockholders of Cogility received 2,175,564 shares of our common stock in exchange for the retirement of their 11,530,493 shares of Cogility common stock. In addition, the holders of Cogility options received options to purchase an aggregate of 1,080,126 shares of our common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$0.001 to \$5.00 per share. Finally, as part of the acquisition of Cogility, its directors, officers, employees and consultants may in the future be granted options to purchase an aggregate of 1,500,000 shares of Acquired Sales' common stock at an exercise price of \$5.00 per share.

### Private Placement of 3% Notes and Warrants

Commencing on January 31, 2011 through March 15, 2011, we issued warrants to purchase 9,200,000 pre-split shares of common stock at \$0.10 to seven parties, two of which, Roger S. Greene and Vincent J. Mesolella, serve on our board of directors and one of which, the Roberti Jacobs Family Trust, is an affiliate of our Company's CEO Gerard M. Jacobs. No placement agent compensation has been paid in this financing.

The warrants are exercisable through March 31, 2016. The warrants were an equity "kicker" in connection with \$700,000 in loans to us at an interest rate of 3%, the exchange and settlement of a \$200,000 note payable to the Roberti Jacobs Family Trust, which note payable had previously been assumed from Cogility Software Corporation (Cogility), and the transfer and assignment from an unrelated third party of a \$20,000 note receivable from Cortez Systems.

The promissory notes accrue interest at the rate of 3% per annum payable quarterly on the last day of each calendar quarter beginning March 31, 2011, mature on December 31, 2014 and are secured by all of the assets of the Company.

In addition to the 3% promissory notes and warrants described above, at any time during the first 90 days following the date of the completion of the proposed merger with Cogility, each investor in the private placement offering had the right to make a second loan to the Company in the same amount and on the same terms as the 3% promissory notes and warrants described above. Under current accounting guidance, none of the consideration received was allocated to the investors' rights to make additional loans.

The foregoing is a summary only of the 3% Note and Warrant, a copy of the forms of which, along with the form of subscription agreement entered into with investors in this offering, are each annexed as exhibits to that Form 10-Q for the three month period ended June 30, 2011, the provisions of which are incorporated herein.

We have also issued a series of promissory notes to affiliates and entities associated with our affiliates wherein stock purchase warrants were also issued. Please refer to "Item 13. Certain Relationships And Related Transactions" for a description of these issuances of unregistered securities.

All of the issuances of securities described above were restricted share issuances and deemed to be exempt from registration in reliance on Rule 506 of Regulation D and/or Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as transactions by an issuer not involving a public offering. Each investor represented that they were accredited investors, as defined in Rule 501 of Regulation D and, there was no general solicitation or general advertising used to market the securities.

We made available to each investor with disclosure of all aspects of our business, including providing the investor with press releases, access to our auditors, and other financial, business, and corporate information. All securities issued were restricted with an appropriate restrictive legend on certificates for notes and warrants issued stating that the securities (and underlying shares) have not been registered under the Securities Act and cannot be sold or otherwise transferred without an effective registration or an exemption therefrom.

#### Purchases of Equity Securities

During 2013, we purchased 690,796 shares of our common stock from an affiliate of our former director and chief technology officer Matthew Ghourdjian, for a total of \$50,000, as part of a settlement. In addition, 25,000 options held by our former director Roger Greene were terminated without any payment.

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We had a public float of less than \$75 million for the past several years (including as of the last business day of our most recently completed second fiscal quarter as set out by Rule). As a result, we qualify as a smaller reporting company, as defined by Rule 229.10(f)(1). As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

#### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

##### Forward Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains statements that are considered forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements give the Company's current expectations and forecasts of future events. All statements other than statements of current or historical fact contained in this annual report, including statements regarding the Company's future financial position, business strategy, budgets, projected costs and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. The words "anticipate," "believe," "continue," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "may," "plan," and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements are based on the Company's current plans, and the Company's actual future activities and results of operations may be materially different from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the statements made. Any or all of the forward-looking statements in this annual report may turn out to be inaccurate. The Company has based these forward-looking statements largely on its current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that it believes may affect its financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. The forward-looking statements can be affected by inaccurate assumptions or by known or unknown risks, uncertainties and assumptions. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly revise these forward-looking statements to reflect events occurring after the date hereof. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to the Company or persons acting on its behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained in this annual report.



The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes that appear elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition to historical consolidated financial information, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include those discussed below and elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

## INTRODUCTION

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is provided as a supplement to the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes to help provide an understanding of our financial condition, the changes in our financial condition and the results of operations. Our discussion is organized as follows:

### Basis of Presentation

On February 13, 2012 (the "Acquisition Date"), pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of January 12, 2012 ("the "Merger Agreement") among Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc. ("DSTG"), a Virginia corporation and Acquired Sales Corp. ("Acquired Sales), a Nevada corporation and a newly-formed, wholly-owned subsidiary of Acquired Sales, Acquired Sales Corp. Merger Sub, Inc., a Virginia corporation ("Merger Sub"), Acquired Sales completed its acquisition of DSTG, which held no material assets other than its pipeline of future work and the expertise of its sole shareholder, through the merger of Merger Sub with and into DSTG, with DSTG as the surviving corporation (the "Merger"). Upon completion of the Merger, the separate corporate existence of Merger Sub ceased and DSTG became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Acquired Sales.

As part of the Merger Agreement the 100 shares of DSTG stock were converted into 100,000 shares of Acquired Sales shares at a price of \$3.18 per share. Acquired Sales issued options to purchase 300,000 shares of newly issued Acquired Sales stock vesting immediately and exercisable at any time on or before the fifth anniversary of the Closing Date at an exercise price of \$3.18 per share. Acquired Sales also issued additional options to purchase 100,000 shares of newly issued Acquired Sales stock vesting immediately and exercisable at any time on or before the 21st full calendar quarter following the Closing Date at an exercise price of \$8.00 per share. The total consideration paid by Acquired Sales in connection with the Merger, totaled \$679,302. On September 30, 2013 Acquired Sales sold 100% of the common stock of DSTG back to the previous shareholder for \$1. The Company recognized a loss on sale of \$104,946.

On January 12, 2013, Acquired Sales entered into an agreement with Drumright Group, LLC (“Drumright”) that was closed on February 11, 2013, wherein Acquired Sales sold 100% of the capital stock of its subsidiary, Cogility Software Corporation (“Cogility”) to Drumright in exchange for \$3,975,000 in cash and a \$1,000,000 receivable, as discussed in more detail below.

The Company has a history of recurring losses, which has resulted in an accumulated deficit of \$7,026,157 as of December 31, 2013. In addition, the Company suffered losses from continuing operations during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and used cash in its operating activities from continuing operations during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. Additionally, as discussed in Notes 2 and 9, the Company sold 100% of the capital stock of its subsidiaries, Cogility Software Corporation and Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc., which were its primary source of revenue. These matters raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern.

This Management’s Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operations (“MD&A”) section discusses our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition, contractual relationships and certain factors that may affect our future results. You should read this MD&A in conjunction with our financial statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere in this report.

#### Overview

Acquired Sales Corp. is incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada. On January 12, 2013, Acquired Sales entered into an agreement with Drumright Group, LLC (“Drumright”) that was closed on February 11, 2013, wherein Acquired Sales sold 100% of the capital stock of its subsidiary, Cogility Software Corporation (“Cogility”) to Drumright in exchange for \$3,975,000 in cash and a \$3,000,000 receivable. The \$3,000,000 was originally receivable as follows: \$1,500,000 on August 11, 2013, less an estimated \$32,258 in connection with a certain military contract delay, and \$1,500,000 on February 11, 2014. In addition, Acquired Sales was required to hold \$300,000 in an escrow account for potential subsequent claims. Acquired Sales was responsible for all costs and expenses and retained all accounts receivable relating to work performed by Cogility on revenue contracts through January 31, 2013, with those costs, expenses and revenue transitioning to Drumright thereafter. Acquired Sales retained a contract to create “legal analytics” software. The carrying value of Cogility’s net liabilities, excluding accounts receivable, was \$32,899. Under the terms of the agreement, Acquired Sales was required to transfer Cogility to Drumright without any liabilities. To accomplish this requirement, the \$3,975,000 down payment was placed into an escrow account and to the extent necessary was used to pay Cogility’s liabilities, including liabilities that were secured by Cogility’s assets or its capital stock.

The Company agreed to indemnify Drumright for losses caused by breach of the Company's representations and warranties. In March 2013, Drumright notified the Company of the existence of a second amendment to a license agreement between Cogility and one of its customers that was effective April 2007. On July 16, 2013 the parties entered into a Compromise and Release agreement whereby the parties agreed to reduce the purchase price by \$2,000,000 by reducing the \$3,000,000 receivable to \$1,000,000 due on February 11, 2014. As a result of the Compromise and Release agreement, the Company recognized a gain on disposal of discontinued operations relating to the sale of Cogility of \$5,077,899 after the relief of Cogility's net liabilities.

On February 13, 2012, Acquired Sales purchased 100% of the equity interests of Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc. ("DSTG"). The results of DSTG's operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since February 13, 2012. On September 30, 2013 Acquired Sales sold 100% of the common stock of DSTG back to the previous shareholder for \$1. The Company recognized a loss on sale of \$104,946.

The Company is in discussions and/or negotiations to acquire a number of companies that supply capital and expertise to not-for-profit entities that are licensed medical marijuana growers and dispensaries in several jurisdictions where such activities are legal at the state level. No assurances or guarantees whatsoever can be made as to whether any of such acquisitions will be successfully consummated, nor on what terms.

If the Company does acquire one or more companies that supply capital and expertise to not-for-profit entities that are licensed medical marijuana growers and/or dispensaries, then it is highly likely that the Company will be required to raise a substantial amount of equity capital and/or debt capital in connection with those acquisitions, which could result in substantial dilution for existing shareholders of the Company. No assurances whatsoever can be made that such acquisitions would result in profitability of the Company, nor what the impacts would be on the Company's balance sheet, income statement, or stock price.

In addition, marijuana is classified as a controlled substance by the U.S. federal government, and any entrance by the Company into the medical marijuana industry may trigger material legal and financial risks for the Company. Under certain scenarios, these material legal and financial risks could result in a shutdown or bankruptcy of the Company.

This Form 10-K does not attempt to describe all of the numerous material risks and uncertainties associated with any possible entrance by the Company into the medical marijuana industry. If the Company does enter into the medical marijuana industry, then shareholders and potential shareholders are expressly cautioned that such participation will entail such numerous material risks and uncertainties, and associated "Risk Factors" will need to be set forth in subsequent filings by the Company with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company cannot provide any assurances whatsoever in regard to the potential negative legal, regulatory, financial and operational risks to the Company associated with the potential entrance by the Company into the medical marijuana industry. You are urged to use caution in your evaluation of the Company's stock, and to seek the advice of competent legal and financial advisors in regard to the medical marijuana industry, which is currently in a state of rapid change and involves many variables which are beyond the Company's control.



The Company further cautions you that as the recreational use of marijuana is permitted in certain states, that the medical marijuana industry is likely to be subjected to even more intense scrutiny and oversight by elected officials, legislative bodies, courts, law enforcement agencies, and community groups. The Company cannot provide any assurances whatsoever in regard to the future potential negative impacts of such intensified scrutiny and oversight.

If for any reason the Company does not enter into the medical marijuana industry, then the Company will continue to seek acquisitions in various industries including but not limited to manufactured housing communities, self-storage facilities, apartment complexes, innovative patented devices, or other industries.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table summarizes the Company's cash and cash equivalents, working capital deficit and long-term liabilities as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, as well as its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Cash and cash equivalents	\$427,294	\$186,914
Working capital	1,386,408	(2,852,954)
Long-term debt	-	825,081

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Cash used in operating activities from continuing operations	\$(295,599 )	\$(102,485 )
Cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations	(1,066,600)	(657,461 )
Cash provided by investing activities from discontinued operations	3,884,425	17,877
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities from continuing operations	(2,281,846)	863,300

At December 31, 2013, the Company had cash of \$427,294 and \$1,000,000 due from the sale of its subsidiary, Cogility. These current assets are adequate to fund current operations and fulfill corporate obligations, but not enough to fund growth and potential acquisitions. Current liabilities at December 31, 2013 included \$20,886 of accounts payable and \$20,000 obligation under a stock repurchase agreement.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company used nearly all of the cash proceeds from the sale of Cogility in the amount of \$3,975,000 to pay off its corporate debt and obligations, and to fund its operating activities, leaving the Company with \$427,294 in cash at December 31, 2013.

#### Comparison of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012

The Company recognized net income of \$4,473,906, mainly due to a one-time gain on the sale of its subsidiary, Cogility Software Corporation. The Company incurred a loss from continuing operations of \$326,132, mainly due to general and administrative expense. Income from discontinued operations of \$4,800,038 includes a gain on the sale of Cogility of \$5,077,899 and a loss on the sale of DSTG of \$104,946. The Company has greatly reduced its overhead costs with the sale of Cogility and DSTG. The Company's current operating expenses consist mainly of professional fees and the phone, facsimile, internet, travel and other business expenses of our Chief Executive Officer.

The Company incurred a net loss of \$ 1,027,512 from continuing operations and incurred a loss from discontinued operations of \$1,336,514 for the year ended December 31, 2012. The loss from continuing operations was mainly due to the lack of revenues generated for the period as well as the recognition of share-based compensation and interest expense.

The Company used cash in its operating activities from continuing operations of \$295,599 and used \$1,066,600 in its operating activities from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2013. These amounts were primarily due to the repayment of nearly all accounts payable and accrued expenses in accordance with the Cogility purchase agreement. Cash flows from operating activities used cash in continuing operations of \$102,485 and used cash of \$657,461 from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2012. Cash used in continuing operations during the year ended December 31, 2012 was the result of losses from operations due to lack of revenues. Cash used in discontinued operations was the result of increases in billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts as well as reductions in accounts payable and an increase in accounts receivable.

The Company had net cash provided by investing activities from continuing operations of \$3,888,445 for the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to cash received from the sale of Cogility. This is compared to no cash used or provided by investing activities from continuing operations and \$17,877 of cash provided by investing activities from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The Company used \$2,281,846 of cash in its financing activities to pay down all the corporate debt in accordance with the Cogility purchase agreement during the year ended December 31, 2013. This compared to \$863,300 of cash provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2012.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, cash increased by \$240,380 leaving the Company with \$427,294 in unrestricted cash at December 31, 2013. This is compared to a \$121,231 increase in cash during the year ended December 31, 2012.

The sale of Cogility and DSTG eliminated the Company's sources of revenue. The Company is currently negotiating regarding certain potential investment opportunities, but there can be no assurance at this time that any investments will come to fruition and that the Company will have future operating income. The Company has a history of losses as evidenced by the accumulated deficit at December 31, 2013 of \$7,026,157.

Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2013 to the year ended December 31, 2012

The Company did not generate revenue from continuing operations during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. General and administrative expenses primarily consist of professional fees, including accounting, administration, finance and legal personnel. General and administrative expense from continuing operations was \$242,544 for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$832,505 for the year ended December 31, 2012, representing a decrease of \$589,961. The decrease in our general and administrative expense related to a decrease in share-based compensation.

Net Income ( Loss) – The Company realized net income of \$4,473,906 for the year ended December 20, 2013, mainly due to the gain on the sale of its subsidiary Cogility Software Corporation. The Company incurred a loss from continuing operations of \$326,132 for the year ended December 31, 2013 due to selling in general and administrative expenses such as professional fees.

The Company recognized a loss of \$2,364,026 for the year ended December 31, 2012 consisting of \$1,027,512 from continuing operations and \$1,336,514 from discontinued operations. Losses from continuing operations were mainly a result of interest and stock option expense. Losses from discontinued operations were a result of losses incurred from operations with selling and general and administrative expenses exceeding revenues recognized.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses. Significant estimates include share-based compensation forfeiture rates and the potential outcome of future tax consequences of events that have been recognized for financial reporting purposes. Actual results and outcomes may differ from management's estimates and assumptions.

Income Taxes – Provisions for income taxes are based on taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred income taxes. Deferred income taxes are provided on differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements and on tax carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are included in the financial statements at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets and liabilities are expected to be realized or settled. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes. A valuation allowance is provided against deferred income tax assets when it is not more likely than not that the deferred income tax assets will be realized.

Basic and Diluted Loss Per Share - The computation of basic earnings (loss) per share amounts are determined by dividing loss from continuing operations, income (loss) from discontinued operations and net income (loss) by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share amounts are calculated by dividing these same items by the weighted-average number of common shares and dilutive common share equivalents outstanding during the period. When dilutive, the incremental potential common shares issuable upon exercise of stock options and warrants are determined by the treasury stock method. There were 2,173,774 stock options and 938,000 warrants outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2013 that were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings (loss) per share because their effects would have been anti-dilutive. There were 2,336,981 stock options and 868,000 warrants outstanding during year ended December 31, 2012 that were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings (loss) because their effects would have been anti-dilutive.

Share-Based Compensation Plan – Stock-based compensation to employees and consultants is recognized as a cost of the services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments and is measured based on the grant date fair value of the award or the fair value of the consideration received, whichever is more reliably measureable. Compensation expense is recognized over the period during which service is required to be provided in exchange for the award (the vesting period).

#### Contractual Cash Obligations and Commercial Commitments

One of Cogility's employees claimed that he has filed a wage claim against Cogility for \$302,000 with the California Labor Board. On October 28, 2013 the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the employee whereby the employee signed a release of claim in exchange for \$50,000. The \$50,000 was paid in full during the year ended December 31, 2013.

The Company agreed to indemnify Drumright for losses caused by breach of the Company's representations and warranties. In March 2013, Drumright notified the Company of the existence of a second amendment to a license agreement between Cogility and one of its customers that was effective April 2007. On July 16, 2013 the parties entered into a Compromise and Release agreement whereby the parties agreed to reduce the purchase price by \$2,000,000 by reducing the \$3,000,000 receivable to \$1,000,000 due on February 11, 2014. As a result of the Compromise and Release agreement, the Company recognized a gain on disposal of discontinued operations relating to the sale of Cogility of \$5,077,899 after the relief of Cogility's net liabilities.

The Company is subject to other legal proceedings, claims, and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company defends itself vigorously against any such claims. Although the outcome of these other matters is currently not determinable, management does not expect that the ultimate costs to resolve these matters will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

On October 17, 2013 the Company entered into a settlement agreement with Matthew Ghourdjian and the Deborah Sue Ghourdjian Separate Property Trust, whereby Mr. Ghourdjian and the Trust sold to the Company 690,796 shares of common stock for \$30,000 cash plus an obligation to pay an additional \$20,000 in February 2014, or approximately \$0.07 per share. Mr. Ghourdjian resigned from the Company as an employee, director and officer. Mr. Ghourdjian and the Trust, and the Company entered into mutual releases of all claims against one another. The obligation was paid in full in February 2014.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements – We have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

#### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

#### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The full text of our audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 begins on page F-1 of this Annual Report.

#### ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

#### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

##### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, Mr. Gerard M. Jacobs, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. The term “disclosure controls and procedures,” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports, such as this report, that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company’s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on that evaluation, Mr. Jacobs concluded that because of the material weakness in internal control over financial reporting described below, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2013.

(b) Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company. "Internal Control Over Financial Reporting" is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a -15(f) and 15d - 5(f) as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, an issuer's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by an issuer's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. It includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and disposition of an issuer;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the issuer are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the issuer; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the issuer's assets that could have a material adverse effect on the financial statements.

During December 2013, management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 based on the framework set forth in the report entitled Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 was not effective. Management identified the following material weaknesses as of December 31, 2013:

- There existed a lack of segregation of duties in regard to the Company's financial reporting, procedures for depositing of funds, procedures for cash disbursements, procedures for checkbook entries, period close procedures, and procedures for financial statement preparation.

Management has determined that the Company should seek to enhance its internal controls over financial reporting by maintaining the following steps first commenced in 2010:

- During November 2010, the Company increased its Board of Directors to seven members, and added as an additional independent member Mr. Vincent J. Mesolella. Mr. Mesolella is the Chairman of the Narragansett Bay Commission, Providence, Rhode Island. Mr. Mesolella is also the Chief Executive Officer of REI, Inc., a diversified real estate development company. Mr. Mesolella has previously served as the Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of a publicly traded company.
- Beginning in March 2010, the Company had begun emailing or mailing to Mr. Mesolella a copy of each monthly statement from its bank summarizing all activity in the Company's checking account, for review and questioning as appropriate. The purpose of Mr. Mesolella's involvement is to provide monitoring, oversight and assistance to Mr. Jacobs, our principal financial and executive officer in the preparation and reporting of the Company's financial statements.

Our management is not aware that the material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting causes them to believe that any material inaccuracies or errors existed in our financial statement as of December 31, 2013. The reportable conditions and other areas of our internal control over financial reporting identified by us as needing improvement have not resulted in a material restatement of our financial statements. Nor are we aware of any instance where such reportable conditions or other identified areas of weakness have resulted in a material misstatement of omission in any report we have filed with or submitted to the Commission.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting.

Management's report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the SEC that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

(c) Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

## PART III

## ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

## The Board of Directors And Committees of the Board

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our current Directors and Executive Officers as of December 31, 2013. Each director holds office from election until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors is duly elected and qualified.

Name	Age	Position
Gerard M. Jacobs	58	Chairman of the Board, chief executive officer, chief development officer, secretary, and treasurer
James S. Jacobs, MD	60	Director
Michael D. McCaffrey	68	Director
Richard E. Morrissy	59	Director
Vincent J. Meselella	63	Director
Joshua A. Bloom, M.D.	58	Director

Our Directors serve in such capacity until the next annual meeting of our shareholders and until their successors have been elected and qualified. Our officers serve at the discretion of our Board of Directors, until their death, or until they resign or have been removed from office.

Gerard M. Jacobs, age 58, is co-chairman of our board of directors, chief executive officer, chief development officer, secretary, and treasurer. Mr. Jacobs has been a private investor since 2006. In 2001, Gerard M. Jacobs took control of CGI Holding Corporation, and served as its CEO and member of its board of directors until 2006. Under Gerard M. Jacobs' guidance, CGI Holding Corporation changed its name to Think Partnership Inc., made 15 acquisitions primarily of businesses involved in online marketing and advertising, and succeeded in having its common stock listed on the American Stock Exchange. The company is now known as Inuvo Inc. (NYSE:MKT: INUV). Previously, in 1995, Mr. Jacobs took control of General Parametrics Corporation, and served as its CEO and member of its board of directors until 1999. Under Mr. Jacobs' guidance, General Parametrics changed its name to Metal Management Inc., made 37 acquisitions primarily of businesses involved in scrap metal recycling, and succeeded in building one of the largest scrap metal recycling companies in the world. The company is now part of Sims Metal Management Ltd. (trading symbol SMSMY). We believe that Gerard M. Jacobs' experience serving as the CEO of three publicly traded companies and as a director of two other publicly traded companies, his work as an investment banker and as an attorney, and his intelligence and educational background, qualifies him to serve as a director of the Corporation.



Gerard M. Jacobs received a law degree from the University of Chicago Law School, which he attended as a Weymouth Kirkland Law Scholar, in 1978; and an A.B from Harvard College, in 1976, where he was elected to Phi Beta Kappa. Gerard M. Jacobs' brother James S. Jacobs, M.D. is also a member of our board of directors.

Joshua A. Bloom, M.D., age 58, has been a member of our board of directors since July 2007. He has been a practicing physician in Kenosha Wisconsin since completion of his training in 1988. He is board Certified in Internal Medicine, Pulmonary Diseases and in Critical Care Medicine. He has been employed by United Hospital System (formerly known as Kenosha Hospital and Medical Center) in the Clinical Practice Division from 1995 to present. He had been in private practice at the same address from 1988 to 1995. Dr. Bloom has served on the board of directors of Kenosha Health Services Corporation since 1993 and the board of Hospice Alliance, Inc since 1994 and Medical Director there since 1998. He has also served on the board of the Beth Israel Sinai Congregation since 1998 where he served as the President from 2004 until 2012. We believe that Joshua A. Bloom, M.D.'s experience serving as a director of the Corporation since 2007, his intelligence and educational background, and his familiarity with the medical field which has in the past and is currently providing candidates for potential acquisitions by the Corporation, qualifies him to serve as a director of the Corporation.

Dr. Bloom received a medical degree from the University of Illinois in 1982 and completed his residency in internal medicine in 1985 and fellowship in Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine in 1988; both at the University of Illinois. He received an MS in Organic Chemistry from the University of Chicago in 1978 and a BS in Chemistry from Yale College in 1977.

James S. Jacobs, M.D., age 60, has been a member of our board of directors since July 2007. He is a Physician in the Department of Radiation Oncology, at St. Joseph Hospital in Denver, Colorado. He was previously the Resident Physician in Radiation Oncology at Rush Medical Center in Chicago, Illinois. We believe that James S. Jacobs, M.D.'s experience serving as a director of the Corporation since 2007, his intelligence and educational background, and his familiarity with the medical field which has in the past and is currently providing candidates for potential acquisitions by the Corporation, qualifies him to serve as a director of the Corporation.

Dr. Jacobs did a residency in Radiation Oncology at Rush Medical Center in Chicago, Illinois and an internal medicine internship and residency at the University of Colorado Medical Center in Denver, Colorado. Dr. Jacobs received a BA in Neuroscience from Amherst College in Amherst, Massachusetts in 1976.

Michael D. McCaffrey, age 68, has been a member of our board of directors since July 2007. He is an attorney practicing in Irvine, California and specializing in commercial and business litigation. Mr. McCaffrey has tried more than 100 jury and non-jury trials, representing numerous large companies, institutional lenders, real estate developers, contractors and various public and private corporations, partnerships and sole proprietorships. He has had sole or primary responsibility for defense and prosecution of significant matters including real property secured transactions; real estate syndication/fraud; partnership disputes/accounting/dissolution actions; corporate control; insurance (policyholders' interests and insurers' interests); employment litigation; prosecution, defense and expert witness on professional liability claims involving attorneys and accountants; construction, including prosecution and defense of major defect cases; and various business tort cases. We believe that Michael D. McCaffrey's experience serving as a litigator and advisor to corporations, and his intelligence and educational background, qualifies him to serve as a director of the Corporation.

Mr. McCaffrey received his Juris Doctor in 1974 from the University of Denver College of Law where he was a member of the University of Denver Law Review (qualified by class rank, top 5%) and received a B.S. in Engineering from UCLA in 1968.

Richard E. Morrissy, age 59, has been a member of our board of directors since July 2007. He is the Senior Research Specialist and project coordinator in the Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, University of Illinois at Chicago. Mr. Morrissy is a project coordinator for the School of Pharmacy. His duties include serving as project coordinator on four clinical trial research projects funded by the National Institutes of Health's National Cancer Institute. The School of Pharmacy projects have involved multiple research projects utilizing Lycopene in restoring DNA damage in men's prostates. The project at UIC's internationally acclaimed Occupational Therapy School involved the setup and running of focus groups with impaired individuals to create a movement and activity computer survey for the World Health Organization. During his tenure, Mr. Morrissy has managed clinical research trials including the submission of institutional review board documents and grant proposals, recruitment of subjects and data management and storage. He has also designed and led focus groups, designed and critiqued research surveys, edited manuscripts and scientific journals. We believe that Richard E. Morrissy's experience serving as a director of the Corporation since 2007, his intelligence and educational background, and his familiarity with the medical field which has in the past and is currently providing candidates for potential acquisitions by the Corporation, qualifies him to serve as a director of the Corporation. He received a B.A. in History from Western Illinois University in 1976.

Vincent J. Mesolella, age 63, has been a member of our board of directors since October 2010. He has served for many years as the Chairman of the Narragansett Bay Commission, Providence, Rhode Island, one of the largest wastewater treatment utilities in the U.S. Mr. Mesolella also served for over twenty years as a member of the Rhode Island House of Representatives, including serving as the Majority Whip. Mr. Mesolella is the founder and Chief Executive Officer of REI, Inc., a diversified real estate investment firm. Mr. Mesolella has served on the board of directors of Think Partnership Inc., an American Stock Exchange company. Mr. Mesolella has raised a great deal of money for charities including the Make-A-Wish Foundation. Mr. Mesolella resides in Rhode Island. We believe that Vincent J. Mesolella's experience serving as a director of two publicly traded companies including service as Chairman of the Audit Committee of both, his work as a developer and business owner, his experience as an elected public official, his Chairmanship of a major wastewater treatment organization that has been nationally recognized for its excellence, his intelligence and educational background, and his familiarity with the real estate industry which has in the past and is currently providing candidates for potential acquisitions by the Corporation, qualifies him to serve as a director of the Corporation.

There are no agreements or understandings for any of our executive officers or director to resign at the request of another person and no officer or director is acting on behalf of nor will any of them act at the direction of any other person. Directors are elected until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

#### Family Relationships

Gerard M. Jacobs and James S. Jacobs, MD are brothers. There is no other family relationship among any of our officers or directors.

#### Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

To the best of our knowledge, none of our directors or executive officers has been convicted in a criminal proceeding, excluding traffic violations or similar misdemeanors, or has been a party to any judicial or administrative proceeding during the past ten years that resulted in a judgment, decree or final order enjoining the person from future violations of, or prohibiting activities subject to, federal or state securities laws, or a finding of any violation of federal or state securities laws, except for matters that were dismissed without sanction or settlement. Except as set forth in our discussion below in Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence," none of our directors, director nominees or executive officers has been involved in any transactions with us or any of our directors, executive officers, affiliates or associates which are required to be disclosed pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC.

#### Board Composition and Committees

Our board of directors is currently composed of 6 members: Messrs. G. Jacobs, Bloom, J. Jacobs, McCaffrey, Morrissy and Mesolessa. Our board of directors has determined that Messrs. Bloom, McCaffrey, Morrissy and Mesolessa are independent directors at this time, under the rules of the American Stock Exchange Company Guide, or the AMEX Company Guide, because they do not currently own a significant percentage our shares, are not currently employed by the Company, have not been actively involved in the management of the Company and do not fall into any of the enumerated categories of people who cannot be considered independent directors under the AMEX Company Guide.

#### Audit Committee and Audit Committee Financial Expert

We have an audit committee consisting of Joshua A. Bloom, Michael D. McCaffrey, Vincent J. Mesolessa and Richard E. Morrissy as members. We have not adopted an Audit Committee charter. Vincent J. Mesolessa serves as our audit committee chairman and financial expert. Our audit committee performs the following functions including: (1) selection and oversight of our independent accountant; (2) establishing procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal controls and auditing matters; and (3) engaging outside advisors. Our Board of Directors has determined that each of its members is able to read and understand fundamental financial statements and has substantial business experience that results in that member's financial sophistication. Accordingly, the Board of Directors believes that each of its members has the sufficient knowledge and experience necessary to fulfill the duties and obligations that an audit committee member should have for a business such as the Company.

#### Board Meetings; Nominating Committee

Due to the current size and scope of our operations and size and geographic diversity of our Board of Directors, much of the Board's decision making is made through telephone calls and intermittent informal meetings; when formalization is necessary, the Board conducts formal meetings or acts by written consent. In the year ended December 31, 2013, we held only telephonic Board Meetings and there were no on ground Board Meetings attended by all directors.

We have a nominating committee consisting of Joshua A. Bloom, Michael D. McCaffrey, Vincent J. Mesolella and Richard E. Morrissy as members. Mr. McCaffrey is the nominating committee Chairman.

#### Code of Ethics

We currently have not adopted a code of ethics due to our limited size and operations. We have considered adopting a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the "Code") in the past. We expect to adopt the Code or something similar in the future. The purpose of the Code is to assist the Company and its employees, officers and directors with the Company's goals of conducting its business and affairs in accordance with applicable laws, rules and regulations and to promote honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships. The Company expects that any consultants or other service providers it retains will adhere to the Code.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Compliance.

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our executive officers and directors and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial statements of beneficial ownership, reports of changes in ownership and annual reports concerning their ownership of our common stock and other equity securities, on Forms 3, 4 and 5 respectively. Executive officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders are required by the Securities and Exchange Commission regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file. Such persons are further required by SEC regulation to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms (including Forms 3, 4 and 5) that they file. Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us with respect to fiscal year 2011, or written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe all of our directors, executive officers and 10% holders have met all applicable filing requirements, except as described in this paragraph:

The following persons are holders of 10% of our common stock and have not filed a Form 3: Daniel F. Terry, Jr. and Minh N. Le. The following persons are officers and directors of the Company who are not known to have filed Form 3s or Form 4s: Vincent Mesoella. The following persons are officers and directors of the Company and hold warrants to purchase shares of our common stock and have not filed Form 3s or Form 4s, as applicable: Vincent Mesoella.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

As of December 31, 2013, we did not experience any cash flow event as a result of any payment to an executive. We have not provided retirement benefits or severance or change of control benefits to our named executive officer, Gerard M. Jacobs. Unexercised options or warrants issued as compensation held by our executive officers at the year ended 2013 are set out in the following table. Other than the options and warrants issuance described herein, no equity awards were made during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	NonEquity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Gerard M. Jacobs, CEO(1)	2013	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	2012	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

- (1) Mr. Jacobs holds options to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$0.001 per share expiring November 4, 2020, plus options to purchase 471,698 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$2.00 per share expiring November 4, 2020, plus options to purchase 605,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$2.00 per share expiring on September 29, 2021.

## Compensation of Directors

The table below sets forth the compensation of our directors for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Name	Year	Fees earned or paid in cash (\$)	Stock awards (\$)	Option awards (\$)(1)(2)	Non-equity incentive plan compensation (\$)	Nonqualified deferred compensation earnings (\$)	All other compensation (\$)	Total (\$)(3)
Gerard M. Jacobs	2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Joshua A. Bloom	2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2012	-	-	25,000	-	-	-	\$ 38,785
James S. Jacobs	2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2012	-	-	30,000	-	-	-	\$ 46,542
Michael McCaffrey	2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2012	-	-	25,000	-	-	-	\$ 38,785
Vincent J. Mesolella	2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2012	-	-	160,000	-	-	-	\$ 248,224
Richard E. Morrissy	2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2012	-	-	25,000	-	-	-	\$ 38,785

- (1) The 2012 options entitle the holder to purchase shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$2.00 per share.
- (2) The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the three months ended December 31, 2012 was \$1.5514 per share. The Company recognizes compensation expense for stock-based awards expected to vest on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award based on their grant date fair value. The Company estimates the fair value of stock options using a Black-Scholes option pricing model which requires management to make estimates for certain assumptions regarding risk-free interest rate, expected life of options, expected volatility of stock and expected dividend yield of stock.
- (3) The current market price of the underlying common stock shares as of the date of this filing is approximately \$0.76 per common share. These total values in dollars are calculated on option awards times \$1.5514 per share grant-date fair value set out in footnote No.2 above.

## Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The Company does not have any paid employees and has not yet entered into long term executive or non-executive employment agreements, so as to limit the Company's exposure and liability. As indicated elsewhere in this Report, the Company regularly engages outside consultants, accountants and other professional service providers for purposes of providing services to the Company. The Company endeavors, where able, to issue options in lieu of cash compensation, so as to preserve capital where needed and limit cash risk exposure.

Historically, funding for the Company was sourced from management affiliates and their contacts, who collectively loaned approximately \$1,500,000 in the past several years. The Corporation limits cash compensation to outside or

internal directors and does not have a cash compensation policy. The Corporation believes that, given the extensive experience of Mr. Jacobs and the rest of the board of directors, and the current opportunity cost factor for each of them, as combined with the fact that each of them has continued to provide services without cash compensation, that the amount of historical compensation provided in the form of options is fair and reasonable for the Corporation.

## Compensation Committee

Our directors and officers do not receive remuneration from us unless approved by the Board of Directors, but we may enter into employment agreements with officers in the future. No such payment shall preclude any director from serving us in any other capacity and receiving compensation in connection with that service. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the year ended December 31, 2013, no remuneration was paid any of our directors for services as director. We have a compensation committee consisting of Joshua A. Bloom, Michael D. McCaffrey, Vincent J. Mesolella and Richard E. Morrissy as members. Joshua Bloom serves as the committee's chairman.

## Aggregate Option Exercise of Last Fiscal year and Fiscal Year-End Option Values

The table below sets forth unexercised options, stock that has not yet vested and equity incentive plan awards for each named executive officer outstanding as of December 31, 2013. The options are exercisable at the respective prices listed below.

Outstanding Equity Awards At Fiscal Year End  
(see description of columns (a) through (j) below)

Option Awards Stock Awards

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Gerard M. Jacobs	605,000	-	-	\$2.00	9/29/21				
CEO	100,000			\$0.001	11/4/20				
	471,698			\$2.00	11/4/20				

## Description of Columns (a) through (j):

- (a) The name of the named executive officer (column (a));
- (b) On an award-by-award basis, the number of securities underlying unexercised options, including awards that have been transferred other than for value, that are exercisable and that are not reported in column (d) (column (b));
- (c) On an award-by-award basis, the number of securities underlying unexercised options, including awards that have been transferred other than for value, that are unexercisable and that are not reported in column (d) (column (c));
- (d) On an award-by-award basis, the total number of shares underlying unexercised options awarded under any equity incentive plan that have not been earned (column (d));
- (e) For each instrument reported in columns (b), (c) and (d), as applicable, the exercise or base price (column (e));
- (f) For each instrument reported in columns (b), (c) and (d), as applicable, the expiration date (column (f));
- (g) The total number of shares of stock that have not vested and that are not reported in column (i) (column (g));
- (h) The aggregate market value of shares of stock that have not vested and that are not reported in column (j) (column (h));
- (i) The total number of shares of stock, units or other rights awarded under any equity incentive plan that have not vested and that have not been earned, and, if applicable the number of shares underlying any such unit or right (column (i)); and
- (j) The aggregate market or payout value of shares of stock, units or other rights awarded under any equity incentive plan that have not vested and that have not been earned (column (j)).





## ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of common stock of the Company by (i) each person who, to the Company's knowledge, owns more than 5% of its Common Stock, (ii) each of the Company's named executive officers and directors, and (iii) all of the Company's named executive officers and directors as a group. Shares of the Company's Common Stock subject to options, warrants, or other rights currently exercisable, or exercisable within 60 days of the date hereof, are deemed to be beneficially owned and outstanding for computing the share ownership and percentage of the person holding such options, warrants or other rights, but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person. As of the date hereof, the Company has 2,269,648 shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding.

Name and Address	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Voting Securities
Gerard M. Jacobs (1)	1,813,321	79.9%
Lincolnshire Associates II Ltd (2)	142,453	6.3%
Joshua A. Bloom, M.D. (3)	30,000	0.1%
Roberti Jacobs Family Trust (4)	466,623	20.6%
Roger S. Greene (5)	155,708	6.9%
Michael D. McCaffrey (6)	30,000	0.1%
Richard E. Morrissy (7)	30,000	0.1%
Vincent J. Mesolella (8)	247,862	10.9%
Joseph S. Keller (9)	150,000	6.6%
James S. Jacobs (10)	140,000	6.2%
Daniel F. Terry, Jr. (11)	734,500	32.4%
Minh N. Le (12)	704,986	31.1%
Total Officers and Directors as group (6 persons)	2,291,183(13)	101.0%

(1) The address for Mr. Jacobs is 31 N. Suffolk Lane, Lake Forest, Illinois 60045. Mr. Jacobs, our co-chairman, chief executive officer, chief development officer, secretary, and treasurer has voting control over 1,813,321 shares, consisting of: (a) 181,623 Company shares owned by the Roberti Jacobs Family Trust, over which Mr. Jacobs has voting control via a 2007 shareholders agreement; (b) 170,000 Company shares owned by unrelated shareholders of the Company, over which Mr. Jacobs has voting control via a 2007 shareholders agreement; (c) 605,000 options at \$2.00 per share, the vesting of which occurred upon the closing of the merger with Cogility; (d) 471,698 options at \$2.00 per share and 100,000 options exercisable at \$0.001 per share (originating from Cogility); and (e) 285,000 warrants at between \$2.00 and \$3.50 per share, owned by the Roberti Jacobs Family Trust, over which Mr. Jacobs has voting control via a 2007 shareholders agreement.

(2) The address for Lincolnshire Associates II Ltd is 555 Skokie Blvd. #555, Northbrook, IL 60062.

(3) The address for Dr. Bloom is 31 N. Suffolk Lane, Lake Forest, Illinois 60045. Dr. Bloom does not own any shares of stock. However, he holds options to purchase 30,000 shares of our common stock at \$2.00 per share.

(4) The address for the Roberti Jacobs Family Trust is 31 N. Suffolk Lane, Lake Forest, Illinois 60045. The Roberti Jacobs Family Trust irrevocably conveyed all of its voting power to Gerard M. Jacobs pursuant to the 2007 shareholder agreement described above. Mr. Jacobs is one of the grantors of the trust corpus, Mr. Jacobs' mother in law Joan B. Roberti is the trustee, and Mr. Jacobs' children are the beneficiaries. The trust is irrevocable. The Trust's 466,623 shares consist of (a) 181,623 shares owned, and (b) 285,000 warrants owned at between \$2.00 and \$3.50 per share.

(5)

The address for Mr. Greene is 6 Joliet Drive, Coto de Caza, California 92679. Mr. Greene owns 113,208 shares of stock. In addition, he holds options and warrants to purchase a total of 42,500 shares of our common stock, consisting of (a) 30,000 options at \$2.00 per share, and (b) 12,500 warrants at \$2.00 per share.

- (6) The address for Mr. McCaffrey is 31 N. Suffolk Lane, Lake Forest, Illinois 60045. Mr. McCaffrey does not own any shares of stock. However, he holds options to purchase 30,000 shares of our common stock at \$2.00 per share.
- (7) The address for Mr. Morrissy is 31 N. Suffolk Lane, Lake Forest, Illinois 60045. Mr. Morrissy does not own any shares of stock. However, he holds options to purchase 30,000 shares of our common stock at \$2.00 per share.
- (8) The address for Mr. Mesolella is 27 Paddock Drive, Lincoln, Road Island 02865. Mr. Mesolella owns 7,862 shares of our common stock. He holds options and warrants to purchase a total of 240,000 shares of our common stock, consisting of (a) 165,000 options at \$2.00 per share (b) 25,000 options exercisable at \$0.001 per share and (c) 50,000 warrants at between \$2.00 and \$3.50 per share..
- (9) The address for Mr. Keller is 25991 W. Herman Ave., Antioch, IL 60002. Mr. Keller does not own any shares of stock. However, he holds warrants to purchase a total of 150,000 common stock shares, consisting of (a) 125,000 warrants at \$2.00 per share, and (b) one of his affiliates, Glendenning Capital, Inc., has 25,000 warrants at \$2.00 per share.
- (10) The address for Dr. Jacobs is 31 N. Suffolk Lane, Lake Forest, Illinois 60045. Dr. Jacobs own 10,000 shares of stock. He holds 100,000 warrants and 30,000 options at a \$2.00 per share exercise price.
- (11) The address for Daniel F. Terry, Jr., is 31 N. Suffolk Lane, Lake Forest, Illinois 60045. Mr. Terry owns 597,000 shares of our stock. He holds 137,500 warrants exercisable at prices ranging between \$2.00 and \$3.50.
- (12) The address for Minh N. Le is 31 N. Suffolk Lane, Lake Forest, Illinois 60045. Mr. Le owns 211,986 shares of our stock, 100,000 of which he received in the acquisition of DSTG and 111,986 of which he purchased from Acquired Sales for \$3.18 per share. He holds 400,000 options to purchase Acquired Sales common stock at exercise prices ranging between \$3.18 and \$8.00 per share. He holds warrants to purchase 93,000 shares of Acquired Sales common stock at \$3.25 per share.
- (13) Due to the combination of proxies and a shareholder agreement, all of the shares of the Roberti Jacobs Family Trust and Gerard M. Jacobs, collectively total 1,813,321 shares (which total includes unexercised options and warrants which may be exercised at any time in the discretion of the holder) which may be voted together (without any double counting). The other directors and officers hold a total of 477,862 shares which includes unexercised options and warrants which may be exercised at any time in the discretion of the holder.

## COMPENSATION PLANS

### Equity Compensation Plans

None.

### Option Plans

None.

## ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The following describes all transactions executed or performed in 2012 and 2013 and currently proposed transactions in which we are a participant and the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, as well as loans that we deem material, and in which any related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

At December 31, 2012 the Company had recorded accrued compensation that includes \$570,979 in deferred payroll and vacation pay, and payroll taxes payable, \$10,777 in employee reimbursements payable, and commissions payable to one current and one former employee in the aggregate amount of \$198,967.

On September 13, 2011, a key executive resigned his position and entered into a severance agreement with the Company. On September 16, 2010, the Company had signed a letter agreeing to pay the former executive officer \$47,000 in one-time commissions, with payment deferred until 30 days after the closing of a private placement of common stock or debt convertible into common stock in the total amount of at least \$2,000,000. Under the severance agreement the former executive officer is to receive a one-time bonus of \$35,000 and deferred compensation of \$18,432 payable upon the completion of a private placement of common stock or debt convertible into common stock in the total amount of at least \$2,000,000.

### Operating Loans 2011 and 2012

On September 13, 2011, the Company issued a demand promissory note to Miss Mimi Corporation, an affiliate of our chief executive officer, in the amount of \$4,000. This note bears interest at 10% per annum and is unsecured.

On January 30, 2012 a Daniel Terry, our COO, loaned the Company \$75,000 for working capital needs. The loan is non-interest bearing, without collateral and due upon demand.

On February 14, 2012 James S. Jacobs, MD, a member of our board of directors, loaned the Company \$200,000 for working capital needs. The loan bears interest at 6% per year payable quarterly. The loan is payable on demand by lender, with a mandatory payment in full upon the closing of the first capital raise (e.g., bank loans or sales of common stock or preferred stock) by Company. In addition, the loan terms grant the lender 100,000 warrants to purchase shares of common stock of Acquired Sales Corp., exercisable at \$2.00 per share at any time through the fifth anniversary of the loan.

On March 15, 2012, Vincent J. Mesolella, a member of our board of directors, loaned the Company \$25,000 for working capital needs. The loan bears interest at 6% per year payable quarterly. The loan is payable on demand by lender, with a mandatory payment in full upon the closing of the first capital raise (e.g., bank loans or sales of common stock or preferred stock) by Company. In addition, the loan terms grant the lender 12,500 warrants to purchase shares of common stock of Acquired Sales Corp., exercisable at \$2.00 per share at any time through the fifth anniversary of the loan.

On March 28, 2012, The Roberti Jacobs Family Trust, an entity affiliated with our chief executive officer, loaned the Company \$100,000 for working capital needs. The loan bears interest at 6% per year payable quarterly. The loan is payable on demand by lender, with a mandatory payment in full upon the closing of the first capital raise (e.g., bank loans or sales of common stock or preferred stock) by Company. In addition, the loan terms grant the lender 50,000 warrants to purchase shares of common stock of Acquired Sales Corp., exercisable at \$2.00 per share at any time through the fifth anniversary of the loan.

In the quarter ending June 30, 2012, we borrowed \$100,000 from Dan Terry, one of our officers. In connection with this note, we issued warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock to Mr. Terry. The related note payable, bears interest at 6% per annum, payable quarterly, and is due upon demand. In addition, during this period, we issued warrants to purchase 37,500 shares of our common stock in connection with a \$37,500 loan to the company made by Mr. Terry in the first quarter of 2012. All of the foregoing warrants have an exercise price of \$2.00 per share and expire 5 years from their respective issuance dates.

On July 16, 2012 and July 25, 2012 we borrowed \$50,000 and \$50,000, respectively, from Minh Le, one of our officers. Attached to the notes payable were a total of 50,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.25 per share. On July 9, 2012, we borrowed another \$30,000 from Vincent J. Mesolella, one of our directors. Attached with this note payable were 15,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.25 per share. On July 13, 2012, we borrowed \$100,000 from Roberti Jacobs Family Trust, an entity related to Gerard M. Jacobs, an officer of the Company. Attached with this note payable were 50,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.25 per share. All of the related notes payable bear interest at 6% per annum, payable quarterly, and are due upon demand. All of the warrants expire 5 years from their respective issuance dates.

On July 25, 2012, our wholly owned DSTG subsidiary issued a note for \$86,000 payable to Minh Le, one of our officers. Attached to the note payable were a total of 43,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.25 per share.

On December 13, 2012 we borrowed \$20,000, from Roberti Jacobs Family Trust. Attached to the notes payable were a total of 10,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.50 per share. On December 13, 2012, we borrowed another \$20,000 from Vincent J. Mesolella, one of our directors. Attached with this note payable were 10,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.50 per share. On December 14, 2012, we borrowed \$100,000 from Daniel Terry, Jr., our President and COO. Attached with this note payable were 50,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.50 per share. All of the related notes payable bear interest at 6% per annum, payable quarterly, and are due upon demand. All of the warrants expire 5 years from their respective issuance dates.

On December 18, 2012 Minh Le, one of our officers, advanced us \$8,275 for short-term working capital needs. The loan is without interest, unsecured and due upon demand.

#### 2011 Note Offering

In 2011, the Company issued promissory notes totaling \$920,000 accruing interest at a rate of 3% per year in a private placement, \$400,000 of which notes were issued to related parties. The Company's private placement offering documents said that investors would have to put up their investment money in the form of cash, excepting only that (a) Michael Ottele would be permitted to exchange the \$20,000 loan which he made to Cortez Systems on December 1, 2010 for a \$20,000 investment in the private placement, and (b) The Roberti Jacobs Family Trust, an affiliate of Gerard M. Jacobs, our chief executive officer and a director, would be permitted to exchange its \$200,000 note from Cogility dated December 13, 2010 for a \$200,000 investment in the private placement.

Here is a summary of the Company's private placement, subsequent to December 31, 2010, and the re-loaning of a portion of the proceeds of the Company's private placement to Cogility:

(1) On January 31, 2011:

(a) the Roberti Jacobs Family Trust invested \$225,000 in the private placement: \$25,000 in the form of cash, plus \$200,000 in the form of an assignment of its \$200,000 note from Cogility dated December 13, 2010; this \$225,000 investment was evidenced by a Company 3% Secured Promissory Note dated January 31, 2011, plus the Company Warrant No. 1 to purchase 2,250,000 shares of the Company common stock at \$0.10 per share (subject to the 1-for-20 reverse split which decreases the number of shares to 112,500 and increases the exercise price to \$2.00);

(b) Roger S. Greene, one of our directors, invested \$25,000 in the private placement: \$25,000 in the form of cash; this \$25,000 investment was evidenced by a Company 3% Secured Promissory Note dated January 31, 2011, plus the Company Warrant No. 2 to purchase 250,000 shares of the Company common stock at \$0.10 per share (subject to the 1-for-20 reverse split which decreases the number of shares to 12,500 and increases the exercise price to \$2.00); and

(c) the Company loaned Cogility \$50,000 in cash, and received from Cogility its Secured Promissory Note No. 1 payable to the Company in the principal amount of \$250,000, covering the \$50,000 loaned in cash plus the \$200,000 note from Cogility dated December 13, 2010 that had been assigned to the Company by the Roberti Jacobs Family Trust;

(2) On February 11, 2011:

(a) Vincent J. Mesolella, one of our directors, invested \$25,000 in the private placement: \$25,000 in the form of cash; this \$25,000 investment was evidenced by a Company 3% Secured Promissory Note dated February 11, 2011, plus the Company Warrant No. 3 to purchase 250,000 shares of the Company common stock at \$0.10 per share (subject to the 1-for-20 reverse split which decreases the number of shares to 12,500 and increases the exercise price to \$2.00); and

(b) the Company loaned Cogility \$25,000 in cash, and received from Cogility its Secured Promissory Note No. 2 payable to the Company in the principal amount of \$25,000, covering the \$25,000 loaned in cash;

(3) On February 15, 2011:

(a) the Roberti Jacobs Family Trust invested \$50,000 in the private placement: \$50,000 in the form of cash; this \$50,000 investment was evidenced by a Company 3% Secured Promissory Note dated February 11, 2011, plus the Company Warrant No. 4 to purchase 500,000 shares of the Company common stock at \$0.10 per share (subject to the 1-for-20 reverse split which decreases the number of shares to 25,000 and increases the exercise price to \$2.00); and

(b) the Company loaned Cogility \$50,000 in cash, and received from Cogility its Secured Promissory Note No. 3 payable to the Company in the principal amount of \$50,000, covering the \$50,000 loaned in cash;

(4) On February 28, 2011:

(a) the Roberti Jacobs Family Trust invested \$75,000 in the private placement: \$75,000 in the form of cash; this \$75,000 investment was evidenced by a Company 3% Secured Promissory Note dated February 28, 2011, plus the Company Warrant No. 5 to purchase 750,000 shares of the Company common stock at \$0.10 per share (subject to the 1-for-20 reverse split which decreases the number of shares to 37,500 and increases the exercise price to \$2.00); and

(b) the Company loaned Cogility \$75,000 in cash, and received from Cogility its Secured Promissory Note No. 4 payable to the Company in the principal amount of \$75,000, covering the \$75,000 loaned in cash;

(5) On March 1, 2011:

(a) Nicholas M. Keller III invested \$50,000 in the private placement: \$50,000 in the form of cash; this \$50,000 investment was evidenced by a Company 3% Secured Promissory Note dated March 1, 2011, plus the Company Warrant No. 6 to purchase 500,000 shares of the Company common stock at \$0.10 per share (subject to the 1-for-20 reverse split which decreases the number of shares to 25,000 and increases the exercise price to \$2.00); and

(b) the Company loaned Cogility \$50,000 in cash, and received from Cogility its Secured Promissory Note No. 5 payable to the Company in the principal amount of \$50,000, covering the \$50,000 loaned in cash;

(6) On March 11, 2011:

(a) Joseph S. Keller invested \$250,000 in the private placement: \$250,000 in the form of cash; this \$250,000 investment was evidenced by a Company 3% Secured Promissory Note dated March 11, 2011, plus the Company Warrant No. 7 to purchase 2,500,000 shares of the Company common stock at \$0.10 per share (subject to the 1-for-20 reverse split which decreases the number of shares to 125,000 and increases the exercise price to \$2.00);

(b) Michael Ottele invested \$20,000 in the private placement: \$20,000 in the form of an assignment of the \$20,000 loan which he made to Cortez Systems on December 1, 2010; this \$20,000 investment was evidenced by a Company 3% Secured Promissory Note dated March 11, 2011, plus the Company Warrant No. 8 to purchase 200,000 shares of the Company common stock at \$0.10 per share (subject to the 1-for-20 reverse split which decreases the number of shares to 10,000 and increases the exercise price to \$2.00); and

(c) the Company loaned Cogility \$200,000 in cash, and received from Cogility its Secured Promissory Note No. 7 payable to the Company in the principal amount of \$220,000, covering the \$200,000 loaned in cash plus the \$20,000 loan to Cortez Systems that had been assigned to the Company by Michael Ottele (to balance the transaction, Cogility received \$20,000 in notes from Cortez Systems); and

(7) On March 15, 2011:



(a) John and Susan Heider invested \$150,000 in the private placement: \$150,000 in the form of cash; this \$150,000 investment was evidenced by a Company 3% Secured Promissory Note dated March 15, 2011, plus the Company Warrant No. 9 to purchase 1,500,000 shares of the Company common stock at \$0.10 per share (subject to the 1-for-20 reverse split which decreases the number of shares to 75,000 and increases the exercise price to \$2.00);

(b) Glendenning Capital, Inc. invested \$50,000 in the private placement: \$50,000 in the form of cash; this \$50,000 investment was evidenced by a Company 3% Secured Promissory Note dated March 15, 2011, plus the Company Warrant No. 10 to purchase 500,000 shares of the Company common stock at \$0.10 per share (subject to the 1-for-20 reverse split which decreases the number of shares to 25,000 and increases the exercise price to \$2.00); and

(c) the Company loaned Cogility \$150,000 in cash, and received from Cogility its Secured Promissory Note No. 7 payable to the Company in the principal amount of \$150,000, covering the \$150,000 loaned in cash.

#### PART IV

##### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

On September 1, 2013, Hansen, Barnett & Maxwell, P.C. (“HBM”) resigned as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm. HBM served as our auditors since from 2007. HBM recently entered into an agreement with Eide Bailly LLP (“Eide Bailly”), pursuant to which Eide Bailly acquired the operations of HBM. Concurrent with the resignation of HBM, the Company, through and with the approval of its Audit Committee, engaged Eide Bailly as its independent registered public accounting firm. Representatives of Eide Bailly are expected to be present at our next Annual Meeting of Shareholders with the opportunity to make a statement, if they so desire, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from shareholders.

The following presents fees for all professional services provided by HBM and Eide Bailly for the audit, audit related services, and tax services for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

**Audit Fees.** Fees for audit services provided by Eide Bailly totaled \$46,388 for 2013, including fees associated with the annual audit and the review of our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q. Fees for audit services provided by HBM totaled \$27,189 for 2013 and \$139,820 for 2012, and included fees associated with the annual audit and the review of our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

**Audit Related Fees.** There were no audit related fees charged for 2013 or 2012.

**Tax Fees.** Fees for tax services provided by Eide Bailly, including tax compliance, were \$4,472 for 2013 and \$2,241 for 2012. Fees for tax services provided by HBM were \$3,950 for 2012.

ITEM 15.  
EXHIBITS,  
FINANCIAL  
STATEMENT  
SCHEDULES.

Financial Statements and Schedules

The financial statements are set forth under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Financial statement schedules have been omitted since they are either not required, not applicable, or the information is otherwise included.

Exhibit List

The following Exhibits have been previously filed in the below referenced filings or have been attached hereto, and in any case, as is stated on the cover of this Report, all of the below Exhibits are incorporated herein by reference.

Form March 23, 2007

10-SB

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation dated December 12, 1985
- 3.2 Amended Articles of Incorporation Dated July 1992
- 3.3 Amended Articles of Incorporation Dated November 1996
- 3.4 Amended Articles of Incorporation Dated June 1999
- 3.5 Amended Articles of Incorporation Dated January 25, 2006
- 3.6 Amended Bylaws

Form 8-K August 2, 2007

5.01 Shareholder Agreement

Form 10Q May 18, 2009

- 10.1 Private Merchant Banking Agreement-Anniston Capital, Inc.
- 10.2 Warrant Agreement #1-Anniston Capital, Inc.
- 10.3 Warrant Agreement #2-Anniston Capital, Inc.
- 10.4 \$100,000 Promissory Note – December 1, 2007
- 10.5 \$10,000 Promissory Note – January 30, 2008
- 10.6 \$10,000 Promissory Note – November 9, 2008

Form 10-K August 20, 2010

10.7 \$4,000 Promissory Note – April 19, 2010

Form 8-K November 5, 2010

- 10.1 Letter of Intent Agreement Cogility Software dated November 4, 2010
- 99.1 Press Release

Form 10-K December 17, 2010

10.8 \$20,000 Promissory Note – October 12, 2010

Form 10-Q June 30, 2011

4.1 Form of Note 3%

4.2	Form of Warrant
10.10	Subscription Agreement

Schedule August 9, 2011

DEF 14-C

Information

Statement

- 10.11 The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory Firm Fixed Price-Time And Material Contract No. 961420, dated October 20, 2009 (filed as Exhibit (E)(i) thereto)
- 10.12 The Analysis Corporation Task Order Subcontract Agreement, dated January 4, 2010 (filed as Exhibit (E)(ii) thereto)
- 10.13 Defense & Security Technology Group, LLC, Program Budget & Asset Management Tool Proof of Concept Pilot, dated June 27, 2011 (filed as Exhibit (E)(iii) thereto)
- 10.14 Defense & Security Technology Group, LLC, Command Information Center – Data Integration Proof of Concept, dated June 27, 2011 (filed as Exhibit (E)(iv) thereto)

Form 8-K September 29, 2011

10.15 Agreement and Plan of Merger

10.16 NAVAIR PMA 265 contract, in regard to a Program Budget & Asset Management Tool Proof of Concept Pilot, dated July 15, 2011

10.17 NAVAIR 4.2 Cost Performance contract, in regard to Command Information Center - Data Integration (CIC-DI) Proof of Concept, dated July 15, 2011

10.18 Sotera Defense Solutions, Inc. subcontract number SOTERA-SA-FY11-040, dated June 20, 2011

10.19 \$4,000 Promissory Note – September 13, 2011

10.20 CACI Prime Contract No.: W15P7T-06-D-E402 Prime Delivery Order No.: 0060, dated August 24, 2011

10.21 \$4,000 Promissory Note – September 13, 2011

14.1 [Proposed] Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Form 10-Q May 21, 2012

10.22 Agreement dated as of October 17, 2011, by and among Deborah Sue Ghourdjian Separate Property Trust, Matthew Ghourdjian, Daniel F. Terry, Jr., Roberti Jacobs Family Trust, Acquired Sales Corp., Vincent J. Mesolella, and Minh Le

Form 10-Q November 12, 2012

10.23 Firm Fixed Price subcontract; Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc. subsidiary and CAS, Inc., dated September 19, 2012

10.24 Firm-Fixed-Price, Level-of-Effort, IDIQ Subcontract; Cogility subsidiary and Booz Allen Hamilton, dated November 1, 2012

## Edgar Filing: ACQUIRED SALES CORP - Form 10-K

Form 8-K January 16, 2013

10.25 Stock Purchase Agreement dated January 11, 2013 regarding sale of our subsidiary Cogility Software Corporation to Drumright Group, LLC.

Form 8-K February 12, 2013

10.26 Amendment No. 1 to Stock Purchase Agreement

Form 8-K August 1, 2013

10.27 Amendment No. 2 Stock Purchase Agreement

10.28 Release Agreement

Form 8-K October 4, 2013

10.29 Stock Purchase Agreement dated September 30, 2013 selling the Company's subsidiary Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc.

This Form March 29, 2013

10-K

31.1 Certification of principal executive officer and principal financial officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 executed by Gerard M. Jacobs

32.1 Certification of principal executive officer and principal financial officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 executed by Gerard M. Jacobs

101.INS XBRL Instance Document\*

101.PRE. XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase\*

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase\*

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase\*

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase\*

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema\*

\*Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed "furnished" and not "filed" or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, or deemed "furnished" and not "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.

### Reports on Form 8-K

### Changes in Registrant's Certifying Accountant

On September 9, 2013 we filed an 8-K pursuant to Item 4.01, Changes in Registrant's Certifying Accountant wherein we announced that Hansen, Barnett & Maxell, P.C. ("HBM") resigned as our independent registered public accounting firm. HBM recently entered into an agreement with Eide Bailly LLP ("Eide Bailly"), pursuant to which Eide Bailly acquired the operations of HBM and certain of the professional staff and shareholders of HBM joined Eide Bailly either as employees or partners of Eide Bailly and will continue to practice as members of Eide Bailly. Concurrent with the resignation of HBM, the Company, through and with the approval of its Audit Committee, engaged Eide Bailly as its independent registered public accounting firm.

The reports of HBM on the Company's financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion, and was not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles.

#### Disposition of Cogility Subsidiary

On October 4, 2013 we filed an 8-K, pursuant to Item 1.01: Entry into a Material Definitive Agreement, Item 2.01: Completion of Acquisition or Disposition of Assets, wherein we announced the sale of our subsidiary Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc. ("DSTG") to Minh Le. The principal terms of the Agreement set out in the 8-K included that: (i) the Company would sell all of its rights, title, and ownership interest in all outstanding common and preferred (if any) stock of DSTG at a closing to be held on September 30, 2013 ("Closing Date") for a purchase price equal to (a) One Dollar (U.S. \$1), plus (b) potential percentage royalties from the sales, licensing or other transfers during the next two years of the software developed by DSTG pursuant to the Professional Services Agreement between DSTG (previously transferred to DSTG by the Company's former subsidiary Cogility Software Corporation) and Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, LLP, plus (c) potential referral fees equal to 50% of any referral fees paid to DSTG by Catalyst Secure ("Catalyst") in regard to any work performance by Catalyst for Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, LLP or its clients.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on March 28, 2014.

ACQUIRED SALES CORP.

By: /s/ Gerard M. Jacobs  
Gerard M. Jacobs, Chief Executive Officer and Director  
(Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated on March 28, 2014.

By: /s/ Gerard M. Jacobs  
Gerard M. Jacobs, Chief Executive Officer and Director  
(Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer)

/s/ Joshua A. Bloom, M.D.  
Joshua A. Bloom, M.D.  
Director

/s/ James S. Jacobs, MD  
James S. Jacobs, MD  
Director

/s/ Michael D. McCaffrey  
Michael D. McCaffrey  
Director

/s/ Richard E. Morrissy  
Richard E. Morrissy  
Director

/s/ Vincent J. Mesolella  
Vincent J. Mesolella  
Director

ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM  
AND  
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
December 31, 2013 and 2012

---



ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Report of Eide Bailly LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Report of Hansen, Barnett & Maxwell, P.C., Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-3
Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2013 and 2012	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2013	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and the Shareholders  
Acquired Sales Corp. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Acquired Sales Corp. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and the related statements of operations, shareholders' deficit, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Acquired Sales Corp. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, at December 31, 2013, the Company had an accumulated deficit of \$7,026,157. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company suffered losses from continuing operations and used cash in its operating activities from continuing operations. Additionally, as discussed in Notes 2 and 9, the Company sold 100% of the capital stock of its subsidiaries, Cogility Software Corporation and Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc., which were its primary sources of revenue. These matters raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regards to these matters are also described in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Eide Bailly LLP

Salt Lake City, Utah  
March 31, 2014

[www.eidebailly.com](http://www.eidebailly.com)



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and the Shareholders  
Acquired Sales Corp. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Acquired Sales Corp. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' deficit, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Acquired Sales Corp. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, at December 31, 2012, the Company had negative working capital, a shareholders' deficit and had an accumulated deficit. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company suffered losses from continuing operations and used cash in its operating activities from continuing operations. Additionally, as discussed in Notes 2 and 9, the Company sold 100% of the capital stock of its subsidiary, Cogility Software Corporation, which is its primary source of revenue. These matters raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regards to these matters are also described in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL, P.C.  
Salt Lake City, Utah  
March 29, 2013

ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$427,294	\$186,914
Accounts receivable	-	292,171
Receivables from employees	-	609
Due from sale of subsidiary	1,000,000	-
Prepaid expenses	-	14,301
Total Current Assets	1,427,294	493,995
Intangible Assets		
Deposits	-	4,900
Property and Equipment Held-For-Sale	-	25,438
Total Assets	\$1,427,294	\$862,691
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>		
Current Liabilities		
Trade accounts payable	\$20,886	\$346,153
Accrued liabilities	-	124,078
Billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts	-	376,650
Obligation under stock repurchase	20,000	-
Accrued compensation	-	880,723
Notes payable, current portion	-	130,070
Notes payable - related parties, current portion	-	1,489,275
Total Current Liabilities	40,886	3,346,949
Long-Term Liabilities		
Notes Payable, net of current portion	-	480,480
Notes payable - related parties, net of current portion	-	344,601
Total Long-Term Liabilities	-	825,081
Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; none outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 2,269,648 and 2,877,896 shares outstanding, respectively	2,270	2,878
Additional paid-in capital	8,410,295	8,187,846
Accumulated deficit	(7,026,157)	(11,500,063)
Total Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)	1,386,408	(3,309,339)
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)	\$1,427,294	\$862,691

ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Selling, General and Administrative Expense	\$(242,544 )	\$(832,505 )
Loss from Extinguishment of Debt	(79,463 )	(41,646 )
Interest Expense	(4,125 )	(153,361 )
Loss from Continuing Operations	(326,132 )	(1,027,512)
Net Gain on Disposal of Discontinued Operations	4,902,953	-
Loss from Discontinued Operations	(102,915 )	(1,336,514)
Net Income (Loss)	\$4,473,906	\$(2,364,026)
Basic and Diluted Earnings (Loss) per Share		
Continuing Operations	\$(0.12 )	\$(0.37 )
Discontinued Operations	1.73	(0.49 )
Net Income (Loss)	\$1.61	\$(0.86 )

ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND 2012

	Common Stock		Additional	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-in Capital	Deficit	Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)
Balance, December 31, 2011	2,602,896	\$2,603	\$6,236,634	\$(9,136,037 )	\$ (2,896,800 )
Services contributed by shareholder, no additional shares issued	-	-	250,000	-	250,000
Exercise of stock options	25,000	25	-	-	25
Issuance of common stock for services	150,000	150	149,850	-	150,000
Issuance of warrants to purchase common stock	-	-	322,435	-	322,435
Share-based compensation	-	-	449,905	-	449,905
Warrants issued in debt extinguishment	-	-	99,820	-	99,820
Acquisition of the Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc. net assets	100,000	100	679,202	-	679,302
Net loss	-	-	-	(2,364,026 )	(2,364,026 )
Balance, December 31, 2012	2,877,896	2,878	8,187,846	(11,500,063)	(3,309,339 )
Stock issued in debt extinguishment	82,548	83	271,758	-	271,841
Redemption of common stock	(690,796 )	(691 )	(49,309 )	-	(50,000 )
Net income	-	-	-	4,473,906	4,473,906
Balance, December 31, 2013	2,269,648	\$2,270	\$8,410,295	\$(7,026,157 )	\$ 1,386,408

ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Years Ended	
	December 31,	
	2013	2012
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$4,473,906	\$(2,364,026)
Adjustments to reconcile income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities:		
(Income ) loss from discontinued operations	(4,800,038)	1,336,514
Services contributed by Shareholder, no additional shares issued	-	250,000
Share based compensation	-	449,905
Amortization of prepaid expenses	-	150,000
Amortization of discount on notes payable	-	33,476
Loss from extinguishment of debt	79,463	41,646
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts payable	101,070	-
Accrued compensation	(150,000 )	-
Net cash used in operating activities of continuing operations	(295,599 )	(102,485 )
Net cash used in operating activities of discontinued operations	(1,066,600)	(657,461 )
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,362,199)	(759,946 )
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities</b>		
Net cash provided by investing activities of discontinued operations	3,884,425	17,877
Net cash provided by investing activities	3,884,425	17,877
<b>Cash Flow from Financing Activities</b>		
Payments on notes payable	(650,070 )	
Payments on notes payable - related parties	(1,601,776)	(22,000 )
Proceeds from borrowings under notes payable to related parties and issuance of warrants	-	885,275
Repurchase of common stock	(30,000 )	-
Issuance of common stock	-	25
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities of continuing operations	(2,281,846)	863,300
Net Increase in Cash	240,380	121,231
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	186,914	65,683
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$427,294	\$186,914



ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
<b>Supplemental Cash Flow Information</b>		
Cash paid for interest	\$3,683	\$56,077
Cash paid for income taxes	\$-	\$800
<b>Supplemental Disclosure of Noncash Investing and Financing Activities</b>		
Stock issued in extinguishment of debt to related party	\$271,842	\$-
Shares issued in exchange for services	\$-	\$150,000
Acquisition of Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc.:		
Fair value of assets acquired	\$-	\$794,503
Liabilities assumed	-	(147,850)
Compensation recognized	-	32,649
Fair value of common stock issued and stock options granted	\$-	\$679,302

ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

**NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**  
Basis of Presentation – On February 13, 2012, Acquired Sales Corp (“Acquired Sales” or the “Company”) purchased 100% of the equity interests of Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc. (“DSTG”). On September 30, 2013 Acquired Sales sold 100% of the capital stock of DSTG to Minh Le, the previous owner of DSTG prior to its acquisition. DSTG’s results of operations have been included in the Company’s operations from February 14, 2012 through September 30, 2013 and have been reclassified as discontinued operations.

On January 12, 2013, Acquired Sales entered into an agreement with Drumright Group, LLC (“Drumright”) that was closed on February 11, 2013, wherein Acquired Sales sold 100% of the capital stock of Cogility Software Corporation (“Cogility”) to Drumright. The historical results of Cogility’s results of operations have been reclassified as discontinued operations.

Principles of Consolidation – The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts and operations of Acquired Sales for all periods presented, the accounts and discontinued operations of Cogility Software Corporation through February 11, 2013 and the accounts and discontinued operations of Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc. from February 14, 2012 through September 30, 2013. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated on consolidation.

Use of Estimates – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses. Significant estimates include share-based compensation, forfeiture rates and the potential outcome of future tax consequences of events that have been recognized for financial reporting purposes. Actual results and outcomes may differ from management’s estimates and assumptions.

Income Taxes – Provisions for income taxes are based on taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred income taxes. Deferred income taxes are provided on differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements and on tax carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are included in the financial statements at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets and liabilities are expected to be realized or settled. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes. A valuation allowance is provided against deferred income tax assets when it is not more likely than not that the deferred income tax assets will be realized.

Basic and Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share – The computation of basic earnings (loss) per share amounts are determined by dividing loss from continuing operations, income (loss) from discontinued operations and net income (loss) by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share amounts are calculated by dividing these same items by the weighted-average number of common shares and dilutive common share equivalents outstanding during the period. When dilutive, the incremental potential common shares issuable upon exercise of stock options and warrants are determined by the treasury stock method. The following table summarizes the calculations of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share for the three and year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Loss from continuing operations	\$(326,132 )	\$(1,027,512)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	4,800,038	(1,336,514)
Net income (loss)	\$4,473,906	\$(2,364,026)
Basic and Diluted Weighted-Average		
Shares Outstanding	2,780,866	2,753,729
Basic and Diluted Earnings (Loss) per Share		
Continuing Operations	\$(0.12 )	\$(0.37 )
Discontinued Operations	1.73	(0.49 )
Net income (loss)	\$1.61	\$(0.86 )

There were 2,148,774 stock options and 938,000 warrants outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2013 that were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings (loss) per share because their effects would have been anti-dilutive. There were 2,336,981 stock options and 868,000 warrants outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2012 that were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings (loss) because their effects would have been anti-dilutive.

Reclassifications – Certain reclassifications have been made to the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012 to conform to the December 31, 2013 presentation. The reclassifications had no effect on net loss.

#### NOTE 2 - RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

The Company has a history of recurring losses, which have resulted in an accumulated deficit of \$7,026,157 as of December 31, 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recognized a loss of \$326,132 from continuing operations. The Company used \$295,599 of cash in its operating activities from continuing operations. The sale of Cogility and DSTG eliminated the Company's source of revenue. As a result, there can be no assurance that the Company will not need additional financing, that the Company will be profitable in the future or that the Company will be able to continue as a going concern. The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

#### NOTE 3 – COSTS AND BILLINGS ON UNCOMPLETED CONTRACTS

With the sales of both Cogility and DSTG the Company did not have any contracts in process at December 31, 2013. Revenue and costs on the uncompleted contract were deferred and recognized upon completion of the contract. Contract billings in excess of contract costs on uncompleted contracts at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	2013	2012
Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts	\$-	\$437,455
Billings to date on uncompleted contract	-	814,105
	\$-	\$(376,650 )



ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 4 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

At December 31, 2012 the Company had recorded accrued compensation that included \$570,979 in deferred payroll and vacation pay, and payroll taxes payable, \$110,777 in employee reimbursements payable, and commissions payable to one current and one former employee in the aggregate amount of \$198,967. Under the terms of the sale of Cogility, all but \$100,000 of the accrued compensation was paid on the date of acquisition. The Company determined that the additional accrued compensation of \$100,000 was no longer necessary and reversed the accrual at December 31, 2013.

On September 13, 2011, a key executive resigned his position and entered into a severance agreement with the Company. On September 16, 2010, the Company had signed a letter agreeing to pay the former executive officer \$47,000 in one-time commissions. Under the severance agreement the Company was also obligated to pay the former executive officer a one-time bonus of \$35,000 and deferred compensation of \$18,432. The liabilities were paid in full during the year ended December 31, 2013.

NOTE 5 – NOTES PAYABLE

Notes Payable to Related Parties – At December 31, 2012, the Company had notes payable to a significant shareholder, affiliated with an officer of the Company for \$525,000. The notes were unsecured, non-interest bearing and due upon demand. The Company had entered into an agreement with the significant shareholder that, at such time as the Company was financially able to do so and at the reasonable discretion of the chief executive officer of the Company, the notes payable held by the significant shareholder would be extinguished in full by the payment of \$262,500 in cash and the issuance of 82,548 shares common stock. Based on the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of the agreement of \$3.18 per share, the significant shareholder received a contingent beneficial conversion feature in connection with the agreement. The liability was settled with the payment of \$262,500 and the issuance of 82,548 shares of common stock during the year ended December 31, 2013. The Company recognized a loss from extinguishment of debt of \$10,980 during the year ended December 31, 2013.

At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$375,000 of notes payable to related parties that were secured by all the assets of the Company, bore interest at 3% per annum and were due December 31, 2014. The notes were issued with warrants to purchase common stock that resulted in the notes payable being carried at a discount to their face value. At February 11, 2013, the carrying amount of the notes payable was \$344,601, net of \$30,399 of unamortized discount. The liability was paid in full during the year ended December 31, 2013. The Company recognized a loss of \$30,399 on early extinguishment of debt relating to unamortized discount.

On January 30, 2012 an officer advanced the Company \$75,000 for short-term working capital needs. The loan was without interest, unsecured and due upon demand. On April 1, 2012, the terms of the loan were renegotiated such that the loan bore interest at 6% per annum, payable quarterly, and was due upon demand. In addition the officer was awarded 37,500 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$2.00 per share. All of the warrants expire 5 years from their respective issuance dates. The fair value of the 37,500 warrants issued was estimated to be \$58,174 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following weighted-average assumptions: estimated future volatility of 52.61%; risk-free interest rate of 0.33%; dividend yield of 0% and an estimated term of 2.5 years. The renegotiation was treated as an extinguishment of debt. The Company recognized a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$58,174. The liability was paid in full during the year ended December 31, 2013.

In connection with the acquisition of DSTG on February 13, 2012, the Company assumed an \$86,000 distribution payable to the former DSTG shareholder. The liability was without interest, due upon demand and unsecured. On July 25, 2012, the terms of the loan were renegotiated such that the loan bore interest at 6% per annum, payable quarterly, and was due upon demand. In addition the officer was awarded 43,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.25 per share. All of the warrants expire 5 years from their respective issuance dates. The fair value of the 43,000 warrants issued was estimated to be \$41,646 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following weighted-average assumptions: estimated future volatility of 47.80%; risk-free interest rate of 0.25%; dividend yield of 0% and an estimated term of 2.5 years. The renegotiation was treated as an extinguishment of debt. The Company recognized a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$41,646. The liability was paid in full during the year ended December 31, 2013.

ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On February 14, 2012, the Company borrowed \$200,000 from a director of the Company. Attached with the note payable were 100,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$2.00 per share. On March 13, 2012, the Company borrowed another \$25,000 from a director of the Company. Attached with this note payable were 12,500 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$2.00 per share. On March 29, 2012, the Company borrowed \$100,000 from an entity related to an officer of the Company. Attached with this note payable were 50,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$2.00 per share. All of the related notes payable bear interest at 6% per annum, payable quarterly, and were due upon demand. All of the warrants expire 5 years from their respective issuance dates. The notes were paid in full during the year ended December 31, 2013.

In association with the aggregate notes payable of \$325,000, the fair value of the 162,500 warrants issued was estimated to be \$252,102 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following weighted-average assumptions: estimated future volatility of 52.62%; risk-free interest rate of 0.33%; dividend yield of 0% and an estimated term of 2.5 years. The warrants qualify to be recognized as stockholders' equity; therefore, the consideration received was allocated to the notes payable and the warrants based on their relative fair values and resulted in \$183,027 being allocated to the notes payable and \$141,973 allocated to the warrants. Because the notes were due on demand, the \$141,973 discount to the notes payable was immediately recognized as interest expense.

On March 31, 2012 a significant shareholder advanced the Company \$60,000 for short-term working capital needs. The loan was without interest, unsecured and due upon demand. The note payable was paid in full on April 13, 2012.

On June 4, 2012 the Company borrowed an additional \$100,000 from an officer of the Company. Attached with this note payable were 50,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$2.00 per share. The related note payable bore interest at 6% per annum, payable quarterly, and was due upon demand. All of the warrants expire 5 years from their issuance dates. The liability was paid in full during the year ended December 31, 2013.

In association with the notes payable of \$100,000, the fair value of the 50,000 warrants issued was estimated to be \$75,010 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following weighted-average assumptions: estimated future volatility of 50.62%; risk-free interest rate of 0.25%; dividend yield of 0% and an estimated term of 2.5 years. The warrants qualify to be recognized as stockholders' equity; therefore, the consideration received was allocated to the notes payable and the warrants based on their relative fair values and resulted in \$57,134 being allocated to the notes payable and \$42,866 allocated to the warrants. Because the notes were due on demand, the \$42,866 discount to the notes payable was immediately recognized as interest expense.

On July 16 and 25, 2012 the Company borrowed \$50,000 and \$50,000, respectively, from an officer of the Company. Attached to the notes payable were a total of 50,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.25 per share. On July 9, 2012, the Company borrowed another \$30,000 from a director of the Company. Attached with this note payable were 15,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.25 per share. On July 13, 2012, the Company borrowed \$100,000 from an entity related to an officer of the Company. Attached with this note payable were 50,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.25 per share. All of the related notes payable bore interest at 6% per annum, payable quarterly, and were due upon demand. All of the warrants expire 5 years from their respective issuance dates. The liability was paid in full during the year ended December 31, 2013.





ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In association with the aggregate notes payable of \$230,000, the fair value of the 115,000 warrants issued was estimated to be \$110,417 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following weighted-average assumptions: estimated future volatility of 47.58%; risk-free interest rate of 0.25%; dividend yield of 0% and an estimated term of 2.5 years. The warrants qualify to be recognized as stockholders' equity; therefore, the consideration received was allocated to the notes payable and the warrants based on their relative fair values and resulted in \$155,397 being allocated to the notes payable and \$74,603 allocated to the warrants. Because the notes were due on demand, the \$74,603 discount to the notes payable was immediately recognized as interest expense.

On December 13, 2012 the Company borrowed \$20,000, from an entity related to an officer of the Company. Attached to the notes payable were a total of 10,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.50 per share. On December 13, 2012, the Company borrowed another \$20,000 from a director of the Company. Attached with this note payable were 10,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.50 per share. On December 14, 2012, the Company borrowed \$100,000 from an officer of the Company. Attached with this note payable were 50,000 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3.50 per share. All of the related notes payable bore interest at 6% per annum, payable quarterly, and were due upon demand. All of the warrants expire 5 years from their respective issuance dates. The notes payable were paid in full during the year ended December 31, 2013.

In association with the aggregate notes payable of \$140,000, the fair value of the 70,000 warrants issued was estimated to be \$74,122 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following weighted-average assumptions: estimated future volatility of 56.49%; risk-free interest rate of 0.26%; dividend yield of 0% and an estimated term of 2.5 years. The warrants qualify to be recognized as stockholders' equity; therefore, the consideration received was allocated to the notes payable and the warrants based on their relative fair values and resulted in \$77,067 being allocated to the notes payable and \$62,933 allocated to the warrants. Because the notes were due on demand, the \$62,933 discount to the notes payable was immediately recognized as interest expense.

At March 31, 2013 an officer of the Company had advanced the Company a total of \$32,500 for short-term working capital needs. The loan was without interest, unsecured and due upon demand. The advance was paid in full during the year ended December 31, 2013. Interest paid to related parties during the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$4,126 and \$121,856 respectively. The details of the terms of the notes payable to related parties and their carrying amounts were as follows at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Non-interest bearing notes payable to an entity related to an officer of the Company; unsecured; settled in February 2013	\$-	\$525,000
3% Notes payable to related parties; secured by all of the assets of the Company; settled in February 2013	-	344,601
6% Notes payable to related parties; settled in February 2013	-	870,000
Non-interest bearing notes payable to a shareholder and officer of the Company; unsecured; settled in February 2013	-	8,275
Distribution payable to the former DSTG shareholder settled in February 2013	-	86,000
<b>Total Notes Payable - Related Parties</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,833,876</b>
Less: Current portion	-	(1,489,275)

Long-Term Notes Payable - Related Parties	\$-	\$344,601
---	-----	-----------

F-13

---

ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Notes Payable – At December 31, 2012, notes payable to a lending company totaled \$130,070, were unsecured, non-interest bearing and due on demand. The liability was paid in full during the year ended December 31, 2013.

At December 31, 2012, The Company had \$520,000 of notes payable to third parties that were secured by all the assets of the Company, bore interest at 3% per annum and were due December 31, 2014. The notes were issued with warrants to purchase common stock that resulted in the notes payable being carried at a discount to their face value. At December 31, 2012, the carrying amount of the notes was \$480,480, net of \$39,520 of unamortized discount. The liability was paid in full during the year ended December 31, 2013. The Company recognized a loss of \$39,520 on early extinguishment of debt relating to the unamortized discount.

The details of the terms of the notes payable and their carrying amounts were as follows at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Non-interest bearing notes payable to a lending company; unsecured; settled in February 2013	\$-	\$ 130,070
3% \$520,000 Notes payable; secured by all of the assets of the Company; settled in February 2013	-	480,480
<b>Total Notes Payable</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>610,550</b>
Less: Current portion	-	(130,070)
<b>Long-Term Notes Payable</b>	<b>\$-</b>	<b>\$480,480</b>

#### NOTE 6 – SHAREHOLDERS’ EQUITY (DEFICIT)

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the chief executive officer and shareholder of the Company provided services to the Company, which services were determined by the board of directors to have had a fair value of \$250,000. The Company has recognized a capital contribution of \$250,000 during the year ended December 31, 2012 for the services provided by the executive officer.

On October 17, 2013 the Company entered into a settlement agreement with Matthew Ghourdjian and the Deborah Sue Ghourdjian Separate Property Trust, whereby Mr. Ghourdjian and the Trust sold to the Company 690,796 shares of common stock for \$30,000 cash plus an obligation to pay an additional \$20,000 in February 2014, or approximately \$0.07 per share. Mr. Ghourdjian resigned from the Company as an employee, director and officer. Mr. Ghourdjian and the Trust, and the Company entered into mutual releases of all claims against one another. The obligation was paid in February 2014.

Also on October 17, 2013 Mr. Roger Greene entered into a settlement agreement with the Company whereby Mr. Greene forfeited his options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock of the Company at \$0.001 per share. In addition, Mr. Greene and the Company signed mutual releases of any and all claims against one another. Mr. Greene resigned as a director of the Company on that date.

On March 31, 2012, the Company granted stock options to directors for the purchase of 290,000 shares of common stock at \$2.00 per share. The options vested on the date granted. The grant-date fair value of these options was

\$449,905, or a weighted-average fair value of \$1.55 per share, determined by the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following weighted-average assumptions: expected future volatility of 53%; risk-free interest rate of 0.33%; dividend yield of 0% and an expected term of 2.5 years. The expected volatility was based on a peer company's volatility and the volatility of indexes of the stock prices of companies in the same industry. The risk-free interest rate was based on the U.S. Federal treasury rate for instruments due over the expected term of the options. The expected term of the options was determined based on one half of the contractual term.

ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Following is a summary of stock option activity as of December 31, 2013 and changes during the year then ended:

	Shares	Weighted - Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding, December 31, 2012	2,336,981	\$2.29		
Forfeited	(188,207 )	1.59		
Outstanding, December 31, 2013	2,148,774	\$2.35	6.71	\$326,066
Exercisable, Decemberr 31, 2013	2,148,774	\$2.35	6.71	\$326,066

The Company had 938,000 warrants outstanding at December 31, 2013 at a weighted average exercise price of \$2.29 per share, a weighted-average remaining contractual term of 3.36 years and an aggregate intrinsic value of \$1,339,000.

Share-based compensation expense charged against operations during the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$0 and \$449,905, respectively, and was included in general and administrative expense. There was no income tax benefit recognized. As of December 31, 2013, all compensation expense related to stock options had been recognized.

#### NOTE 7 – INCOME TAXES

During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company did not incur any current tax on its continuing operations and there was no deferred tax provision or benefit from continuing operations. At December 31, 2013, the Company has U.S. Federal net operating loss carry forwards of \$319,036 that will expire in 2030 through 2032 if not used by those dates.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the Company's effective income tax rate over the next 12 months. The Company currently believes that all significant filing positions are highly certain and that all of its significant income tax filing positions and deductions would be sustained upon audit. Therefore, the Company has no significant reserves for uncertain tax positions and no adjustments to such reserves were required by generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's policy is to recognize accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes. The Company's tax returns are subject to examination for the years ended December 31, 2009 through 2013. A reconciliation of the amount of tax benefit computed using the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate to the provision for income taxes on continuing operations is as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Tax expenses (benefit) at statutory rate (35%)	\$(114,146 )	\$(359,629 )
State tax benefit, net of federal benefit	(14,717 )	(43,296 )
Non-deductible expenses	940	26,583
Change in valuation allowance	127,923	376,342

Provision for Income Taxes	\$-	\$-
----------------------------	-----	-----

F-15

---

ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The tax effects of temporary differences and carry forwards that gave rise to the net deferred income tax asset as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Deferred tax liability - Intangible assets	\$-	\$(133,821 )
Deferred tax assets		
Operating loss carry forwards	126,179	2,764,138
Stock-based compensation	870,307	910,808
Accrued liabilities and other items	-	274,834
Less: Valuation allowance	(996,486 )	(3,815,959)
Net Deferred Income Tax Asset	\$-	\$-

The deferred tax asset valuation allowance decreased by \$2,819,473 and increased by \$137,336 during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### NOTE 8 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

One of Cogility's employees claimed that he has filed a wage claim against Cogility for \$302,000 with the California Labor Board. On October 28, 2013 the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the employee whereby the employee signed a release of claim in exchange for \$50,000. The \$50,000 was paid in full during the year ended December 31, 2013.

The Company is subject to other legal proceedings, claims, and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company defends itself vigorously against any such claims. Although the outcome of these other matters is currently not determinable, management does not expect that the ultimate costs to resolve these matters will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

#### NOTE 9 – SALE OF SUBSIDIARIES AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Cogility Software Corporation – On January 12, 2013, Acquired Sales entered into an agreement with Drumright Group, LLC (“Drumright”) that was closed on February 11, 2013, wherein Acquired Sales sold 100% of the capital stock of its subsidiary, Cogility Software Corporation (“Cogility”) to Drumright in exchange for \$3,975,000 in cash and a \$3,000,000 receivable. The \$3,000,000 was originally receivable as follows: \$1,500,000 on August 11, 2013, less an estimated \$32,258 in connection with a certain military contract delay, and \$1,500,000 on February 11, 2014. In addition, Acquired Sales was required to hold \$300,000 in an escrow account for potential subsequent claims. Acquired Sales was responsible for all costs and expenses and retained all accounts receivable relating to work performed by Cogility on revenue contracts through January 31, 2013, with those costs, expenses and revenue transitioning to Drumright thereafter. Acquired Sales retained a contract to create “legal analytics” software. The carrying value of Cogility’s net liabilities, excluding accounts receivable, was \$32,899.

Under the terms of the agreement, Acquired Sales was required to transfer Cogility to Drumright without any liabilities. To accomplish this requirement, the \$3,975,000 down payment was placed into an escrow account and to the extent necessary was used to pay Cogility’s liabilities, including liabilities that were secured by Cogility’s assets or its capital stock.

The Company agreed to indemnify Drumright for losses caused by breach of the Company's representations and warranties. In March 2013, Drumright notified the Company of the existence of a second amendment to a license agreement between Cogility and one of its customers that was effective

F-16

---



ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

April 2007. On July 16, 2013 the parties entered into a Compromise and Release agreement whereby the parties agreed to reduce the purchase price by \$2,000,000 by reducing the \$3,000,000 receivable to \$1,000,000 due on February 11, 2014. As a result of the Compromise and Release agreement, the Company recognized a gain on disposal of discontinued operations relating to the sale of Cogility of \$5,077,899 after the relief of Cogility's net liabilities.

The historical results of Cogility's operations have been reclassified to discontinued operations. Operating results of Cogility included in discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Revenues	\$845,220	\$2,033,558
Cost of services	335,605	992,448
Gross profit	509,615	1,041,110
Selling and general and administrative expenses	191,913	1,775,240
Income (loss) from operations	317,702	(734,130 )
Gain from extinguishment of debt	202,573	-
Interest expense	(4,971 )	(260,128 )
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	515,304	(994,259 )
Income taxes	800	800
Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations	\$514,504	\$(995,059 )

Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc. – Acquired Sales purchased 100% of the equity interests of Defense & Security Technology Group, Inc. (“DSTG”) on February 13, 2012. The results of DSTG's operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements from February 14, 2012 through September 30, 2013.

DSTG was acquired in exchange for 100,000 shares of common stock, stock options to purchase 300,000 common shares at \$3.18 per share through February 13, 2017, and stock options to purchase 100,000 common shares at \$8.00 per share through May 13, 2017. The fair value of the consideration issued to acquire DSTG was \$679,302. The common shares issued were valued at \$3.18 per share based on management's estimate of their fair value, or \$318,000 in total. The fair value of the stock options granted was \$361,302 determined by the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions: expected future volatility of 56%; risk-free interest rate of 0.29%; dividend yield of 0% and an expected term of 2.5 years. The expected volatility was based on a peer company's volatility and the volatility of indexes of the stock prices of companies in the same industry. The risk-free interest rate was based on the U.S. Federal treasury rate for instruments due over the expected term of the options. The expected term of the options was determined based on one-half of the contractual term.

The purchase of DSTG was a business combination recognized by the acquisition method of accounting. Goodwill was not recognized on the transaction; however, Acquired Sales recognized \$32,649 of compensation to the owner of DSTG separately from the recognition of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the business combination. The compensation expense and \$40,461 of acquisition-related costs were included in selling, general and administrative expense during the year ended December 31, 2012. The fair value of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed were measured based on significant inputs that are not observable in the market and are considered Level 3 fair value inputs. The fair value of the assets acquired, liabilities assumed and compensation recognized was as follows:



ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Cash	\$23,611
Accounts receivable, net	161,900
Deposits	4,900
Property and equipment	2,567
Intangible assets	601,525
Total assets acquired	794,503
Accounts payable	(18,393 )
Distributions payable to selling shareholder	(86,000 )
Estimated future costs in excess of future billings on uncompleted contracts	(43,457 )
Total liabilities assumed	(147,850 )
Fair value of net assets acquired	646,653
Compensaton expense recognized	32,649
Fair Value of Consideration Issued	\$679,302

All of the \$601,525 of acquired intangible assets relate to non-contractual customer relationships with U.S. government procurement departments. The customer relationships had an estimated useful life of approximately 2 years. The Company recognized amortization expense for the customer relationships of \$338,358 and \$187,977 for the nine months ended September 2013 and 2012 respectively.

On September 30, 2013 Acquired Sales sold 100% of the common stock of DSTG back to the previous shareholder for \$1. The Company recognized a loss on sale of \$104,946. The historical results of DSTG's operations have been reclassified to discontinued operations. Operating results of DSTG included in discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	For the Years	
	Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Revenues	\$550,920	\$250,400
Cost of services	783,628	277,144
Gross profit (loss)	(232,708 )	(26,744 )
Selling and general and administrative expenses	384,711	314,711
Loss from Discontinued Operations	\$(617,419 )	\$(341,455 )

Revenue Recognition – While held by the Company, Cogility and DSTG entered into contractual arrangements with end-users of its products to sell software licenses, hardware, consulting services and maintenance services, either separately or in various combinations thereof. For each arrangement, revenue was recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement existed, the fees to be paid by the customer were fixed or determinable, collection of the fees was probable, and delivery of the product or services had occurred. When Cogility or DSTG was the primary obligor or bore the risk of loss, revenue and costs were recorded on a gross basis and when they received fixed transactional fees, revenue was recorded under the net method based on the net amount retained.

In contractual arrangements where services were essential to the functionality of the software or hardware, or payment of the license fees were dependent upon the performance of the related services, revenue for the software license, hardware and consulting fees were recognized on the completed-contract method when the contract was substantially

completed and all related deliverables had been provided to and accepted by the customer. This method was used because management of Cogility and DSTG were unable to accurately estimate total cost of individual contracts until the contracts were substantially completed. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts were made in the period in which such losses were determined. Claims for additional compensation were recognized during the period such claims were resolved and collected.

F-18

---

ACQUIRED SALES CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Costs of software, hardware and costs incurred in performing contract services were deferred until the related revenue was recognized. Contract costs included all purchased software and hardware, subcontract and labor costs and those indirect costs related to contract performance, such as indirect labor, supplies, equipment, and travel costs as well as depreciation on equipment used in performance of the contractual arrangements.

Costs in excess of amounts billed were classified as current assets under the caption Costs in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts. Billings in excess of costs were classified as current liabilities under the caption Billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts. Contract retentions were included in accounts receivables.

Consulting Services: Consulting services were comprised of consulting, implementation, software installation, data conversion, building interfaces to allow the software to operate in integrated environments, training and applications. Consulting services were sold on a fixed-fee and a time-and-materials basis, with payment normally due upon achievement of specific milestones. Consulting services revenue was recognized under the completed-contract method as described above.

