

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Apollo Global Management LLC
Form 10-Q
November 07, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014 OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

Commission File Number: 001-35107

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)
9 West 57th Street, 43rd Floor
New York, New York 10019
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
(212) 515-3200
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

20-8880053
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer T Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of November 6, 2014 there were 163,043,604 Class A shares and 1 Class B share outstanding.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS		Page
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	<u>3</u>
ITEM 1.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (Unaudited) as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013	<u>6</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013	<u>7</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013	<u>8</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited) for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013	<u>9</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013	<u>10</u>
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>11</u>
ITEM 1A.	<u>UNAUDITED SUPPLEMENTAL PRESENTATION OF STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION</u>	<u>78</u>
ITEM 2.	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	<u>79</u>
ITEM 3.	<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	<u>156</u>
ITEM 4.	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	<u>158</u>
PART II	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>158</u>
ITEM 1.	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	<u>159</u>
ITEM 1A.	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	<u>163</u>
ITEM 2.	<u>UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	<u>163</u>
ITEM 3.	<u>DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u>	<u>163</u>
ITEM 4.	<u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>	<u>163</u>
ITEM 5.	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>164</u>

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

165

SIGNATURES

171

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

This quarterly report may contain forward-looking statements that are within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). These statements include, but are not limited to, discussions related to Apollo’s expectations regarding the performance of its business, liquidity and capital resources and the other non-historical statements in the discussion and analysis. These forward-looking statements are based on management’s beliefs, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, management. When used in this quarterly report, the words “believe,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although management believes that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that these expectations will prove to have been correct. These statements are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including risks relating to our dependence on certain key personnel, our ability to raise new private equity, credit or real estate funds, market conditions generally, our ability to manage our growth, fund performance, changes in our regulatory environment and tax status, the variability of our revenues, net income and cash flow, our use of leverage to finance our businesses and investments by our funds and litigation risks, among others. We believe these factors include but are not limited to those described under the section entitled “Risk Factors” in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) on March 3, 2014 (the “2013 Annual Report”); as such factors may be updated from time to time in our periodic filings with the SEC, which are accessible on the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov. These factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read in conjunction with the other cautionary statements that are included in this quarterly report and in our other filings. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or review any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise, except as required by applicable law.

Terms Used in This Report

In this quarterly report, references to “Apollo,” “we,” “us,” “our” and the “Company” refer collectively to Apollo Global Management, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and its subsidiaries, including the Apollo Operating Group and all of its subsidiaries, or as the context may otherwise require;

“AMH” refers to Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, that is an indirect subsidiary of Apollo Global Management, LLC;

“Apollo funds” and “our funds” refer to the funds, alternative asset companies and other entities that are managed by the Apollo Operating Group;

“Apollo Operating Group” refers to (i) the limited partnerships through which our Managing Partners currently operate our businesses and (ii) one or more limited partnerships formed for the purpose of, among other activities, holding certain of our gains or losses on our principal investments in the funds, which we refer to as our “principal investments”;

“Assets Under Management,” or “AUM,” refers to the assets we manage for the funds, partnerships and accounts to which we provide investment management services, including, without limitation capital that such funds, partnerships and accounts have the right to call from investors pursuant to capital commitments. Our AUM equals the sum of:

- (i) the fair value of the investments of the private equity funds, partnerships and accounts we manage plus the capital that such funds, partnerships and accounts are entitled to call from investors pursuant to capital commitments; the net asset value, or “NAV,” of the credit funds, partnerships and accounts for which we provide investment management services, other than certain collateralized loan obligations (“CLOs”) and collateralized debt obligations (“CDOs”), which have a fee generating basis other than the mark-to-market value of the underlying assets, plus used or available leverage and/or capital commitments;
- (ii) the gross asset value or net asset value of the real estate funds, partnerships and accounts we manage, and
- (iii) the structured portfolio company investments of the funds, partnerships and accounts we manage, which includes the leverage used by such structured portfolio company investments;
- (iv) the incremental value associated with the reinsurance investments of the portfolio company assets that we manage; and
- (v)

the fair value of any other assets that we manage for the funds, partnerships and accounts to which we provide investment management services, plus unused credit facilities, including capital commitments to such funds, partnerships and accounts for investments

- 3-

Table of Contents

that may require pre-qualification before investment plus any other capital commitments to such funds, partnerships and accounts available for investment that are not otherwise included in the clauses above.

Our AUM measure includes Assets Under Management for which we charge either no or nominal fees. Our definition of AUM is not based on any definition of Assets Under Management contained in our operating agreement or in any of our Apollo fund management agreements. We consider multiple factors for determining what should be included in our definition of AUM. Such factors include but are not limited to (1) our ability to influence the investment decisions for existing and available assets; (2) our ability to generate income from the underlying assets in our funds; and (3) the AUM measures that we use internally or believe are used by other investment managers. Given the differences in the investment strategies and structures among other alternative investment managers, our calculation of AUM may differ from the calculations employed by other investment managers and, as a result, this measure may not be directly comparable to similar measures presented by other investment managers;

Fee-generating AUM consists of assets we manage for the funds, partnerships and accounts to which we provide investment management services and on which we earn management fees or, monitoring fees pursuant to management or other fee agreements on a basis that varies among the Apollo funds, partnerships and accounts we manage.

Management fees are normally based on “net asset value,” “gross assets,” “adjusted par asset value,” “adjusted cost of all unrealized portfolio investments,” “capital commitments,” “adjusted assets,” “stockholders’ equity,” “invested capital” or “capital contributions,” each as defined in the applicable management agreement. Monitoring fees, also referred to as advisory fees, with respect to investments of the funds, partnerships and accounts we manage are generally based on the total value of such structured portfolio investments, which normally include leverage, less any portion of such total value that is already considered in fee-generating AUM.

Non-fee generating AUM consists of assets that do not produce management fees or monitoring fees. These assets generally consist of the following:

- (i) fair value above invested capital for those funds that earn management fees based on invested capital;
- (ii) net asset values related to general partner and co-investment ownership;
- (iii) unused credit facilities;
- (iv) available commitments on those funds that generate management fees on invested capital;
- (v) structured portfolio company investments that do not generate monitoring fees; and
- (vi) the difference between gross asset and net asset value for those funds that earn management fees based on net asset value.

Carry Eligible AUM refers to the AUM that may eventually produce carried interest income. All funds for which we are entitled to receive a carried interest income allocation are included in Carry Eligible AUM, which consists of the following:

(i) Carry Generating AUM refers to funds' invested capital that is currently above its hurdle rate or preferred return, and the funds' profit is allocated to the general partner in accordance with the applicable limited partnership agreements or other governing agreements;

(ii) AUM Not Currently Generating Carry refers to funds' invested capital that is currently below its hurdle rate or preferred return; and

(iii) Uninvested Carry Eligible AUM refers to available capital for investment or reinvestment subject to the provisions of applicable limited partnership agreements or other governing agreements that are not currently part of the NAV or fair value of investments that may eventually produce carried interest income, which would be allocated to the general partner.

AUM with Future Management Fee Potential refers to the committed uninvested capital portion of total AUM not currently earning management fees. The amount depends on the specific terms and conditions of each fund.

Table of Contents

We use non-fee generating AUM combined with fee-generating AUM as a performance measure of our investment activities, as well as to monitor fund size in relation to professional resource and infrastructure needs. Non-fee generating AUM includes assets on which we could earn carried interest income;

“carried interest,” “carried interest income,” and “incentive income” refer to interests granted to Apollo by an Apollo fund that entitle Apollo to receive allocations, distributions or fees which are based on the performance of such fund or its underlying investments;

“Contributing Partners” refer to those of our partners and their related parties (other than our Managing Partners) who indirectly beneficially own (through Holdings) Apollo Operating Group units;

“feeder funds” refer to funds that operate by placing substantially all of their assets in, and conducting substantially all of their investment and trading activities through, a master fund, which is designed to facilitate collective investment by the participating feeder funds. With respect to certain of our funds that are organized in a master-feeder structure, the feeder funds are permitted to make investments outside the master fund when deemed appropriate by the fund’s investment manager;

“gross IRR” of a private equity fund represents the cumulative investment-related cash flows for all of the investors in the fund on the basis of the actual timing of investment inflows and outflows (for unrealized investments assuming disposition on September 30, 2014 or other date specified) aggregated on a gross basis quarterly, and the return is annualized and compounded before management fees, carried interest and certain other fund expenses (including interest incurred by the fund itself) and measures the returns on the fund’s investments as a whole without regard to whether all of the returns would, if distributed, be payable to the fund’s investors;

“Holdings” means AP Professional Holdings, L.P., a Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership through which our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners indirectly beneficially own their interests in the Apollo Operating Group units;

“Managing Partners” refer to Messrs. Leon Black, Joshua Harris and Marc Rowan collectively and, when used in reference to holdings of interests in Apollo or Holdings, includes certain related parties of such individuals;

“net IRR” of a private equity fund means the gross IRR applicable to all investors, including related parties which may not pay fees, net of management fees, organizational expenses, transaction costs, and certain other fund expenses (including interest incurred by the fund itself). The realized and the estimated unrealized value is adjusted such that up to 20.0% of the unrealized gain is allocated to the general partner, thereby reducing the balance attributable to fund investors’ carried interest all offset to the extent of interest income, and measures returns based on amounts that, if distributed, would be paid to investors of the fund, to the extent that a private equity fund exceeds all requirements detailed within the applicable fund agreement;

“net return” represents the calculated return that is based on month-to-month changes in net assets and is calculated using the returns that have been geometrically linked based on capital contributions, distributions and dividend reinvestments, as applicable;

“our manager” means AGM Management, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that is controlled by our Managing Partners;

“permanent capital” means capital of publicly traded vehicles that do not have redemption provisions or a requirement to return capital to investors upon exiting the investments made with such capital, except as required by applicable law, such as AP Alternative Assets, L.P. (“AAA”), Apollo Investment Corporation (“AINV”), Apollo Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc. (“ARI”), Apollo Residential Mortgage, Inc. (“AMTG”), Apollo Tactical Income Fund Inc. (“AIF”), and Apollo Senior Floating Rate Fund Inc. (“AFT”); such publicly traded vehicles may be required, or elect, to return all or a portion of capital gains and investment income;

“private equity investments” refer to (i) direct or indirect investments in existing and future private equity funds managed or sponsored by Apollo, (ii) direct or indirect co-investments with existing and future private equity funds managed or sponsored by Apollo, (iii) direct or indirect investments in securities which are not immediately capable of resale in a public market that Apollo identifies but does not pursue through its private equity funds, and (iv) investments of the type described in (i) through (iii) above made by Apollo funds; and

“Strategic Investors” refer to the California Public Employees’ Retirement System, or “CalPERS,” and an affiliate of the Abu Dhabi Investment Authority, or “ADIA.”

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (UNAUDITED)
 SEPTEMBER 30, 2014 AND DECEMBER 31, 2013
 (dollars in thousands, except share data)

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,412,298	\$1,078,120
Cash and cash equivalents held at consolidated funds	685	1,417
Restricted cash	7,520	9,199
Investments	2,868,324	2,393,883
Assets of consolidated variable interest entities:		
Cash and cash equivalents	901,910	1,095,170
Investments, at fair value	15,757,043	14,126,362
Other assets	568,320	280,718
Carried interest receivable	1,432,274	2,287,075
Due from affiliates	246,031	317,247
Fixed assets, net	36,773	40,251
Deferred tax assets	658,872	660,199
Other assets	89,684	44,170
Goodwill	49,243	49,243
Intangible assets, net	68,628	94,927
Total Assets	\$24,097,605	\$22,477,981
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$76,080	\$38,159
Accrued compensation and benefits	119,349	41,711
Deferred revenue	316,177	279,479
Due to affiliates	583,317	595,371
Profit sharing payable	755,322	992,240
Debt	1,015,952	750,000
Liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities:		
Debt, at fair value	14,085,727	12,423,962
Other liabilities	938,682	605,063
Other liabilities	38,089	63,274
Total Liabilities	17,928,695	15,789,259
Commitments and Contingencies (see note 13)		
Shareholders' Equity:		
Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity:		
Class A shares, no par value, unlimited shares authorized, 159,956,660 and 146,280,784 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively	—	—
Class B shares, no par value, unlimited shares authorized, 1 share issued and outstanding at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013	—	—
Additional paid in capital	2,355,627	2,624,582
Accumulated deficit	(1,422,874)	(1,568,487)
Appropriated partners' capital	1,062,779	1,581,079
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(318)	95
Total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity	1,995,214	2,637,269

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	3,105,455	2,669,730
Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	1,068,241	1,381,723
Total Shareholders' Equity	6,168,910	6,688,722
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$24,097,605	\$22,477,981
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.		

- 6-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS
OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)
THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014 AND 2013
(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$71,071	\$28,961	\$247,922	\$141,465
Management fees from affiliates	207,297	151,127	643,508	456,644
Carried interest (loss) income from affiliates	(57,233) 952,001	393,257	2,340,314
Total Revenues	221,135	1,132,089	1,284,687	2,938,423
Expenses:				
Compensation and benefits:				
Equity-based compensation	13,987	20,832	101,676	109,619
Salary, bonus and benefits	90,402	81,266	260,764	223,944
Profit sharing expense	(5,804) 424,542	258,933	975,406
Total Compensation and Benefits	98,585	526,640	621,373	1,308,969
Interest expense	7,389	7,179	15,027	22,291
Professional fees	17,936	18,752	57,599	56,477
General, administrative and other	23,652	21,720	73,621	70,698
Placement fees	8,760	3,185	14,035	15,663
Occupancy	9,916	9,849	30,237	29,803
Depreciation and amortization	11,150	12,790	33,984	41,603
Total Expenses	177,388	600,115	845,876	1,545,504
Other (Loss) Income:				
Net gains from investment activities	12	74,045	213,886	127,294
Net (losses) gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	(98,848) 78,601	(7,688) 91,264
Income from equity method investments	4,445	32,236	58,056	80,116
Interest income	2,243	3,304	8,297	9,444
Other income, net	10,013	22,634	29,782	26,710
Total Other (Loss) Income	(82,135) 210,820	302,333	334,828
(Loss) income before income tax provision	(38,388) 742,794	741,144	1,727,747
Income tax provision	(29,376) (47,204) (96,962) (83,922
Net (Loss) Income	(67,764) 695,590	644,182	1,643,825
Net loss (income) attributable to Non-controlling Interests	69,974	(503,074) (498,135) (1,143,594
Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$2,210	\$192,516	\$146,047	\$500,231
Distributions Declared per Class A Share	\$0.46	\$1.32	\$2.38	\$2.94
Net (Loss) Income Per Class A Share:				
Net (Loss) Income Available to Class A Share – Basic	\$(0.05) \$1.13	\$0.58	\$3.11
Net (Loss) Income Available to Class A Share –Diluted	\$(0.05) \$1.13	\$0.58	\$3.08
Weighted Average Number of Class A Shares – Basic	158,466,602	142,829,913	153,071,007	137,165,119
Weighted Average Number of Class A Shares – Diluted	158,466,602	146,212,984	153,071,007	140,423,929
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.				

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF
 COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)
 THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014 AND 2013
 (dollars in thousands, except share data)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net (Loss) Income	\$(67,764)	\$695,590	\$644,182	\$1,643,825
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax:				
Net gain (loss) from change in fair value of cash flow hedge instruments	27	—	(1,016)	—
Net gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities (from equity method investment)	1	(4)	(3)	(9)
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax	28	(4)	(1,019)	(9)
Comprehensive (Loss) Income	(67,736)	695,586	643,163	1,643,816
Comprehensive Income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	(61,937)	(434,303)	(570,755)	(1,076,823)
Comprehensive (Loss) Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$(129,673)	\$261,283	\$72,408	\$566,993

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES
IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED)
NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014 AND 2013
(dollars in thousands, except share data)

Apollo Global Management, LLC Shareholders

	Class A Shares	Additional Class B Paid in Shares Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Appropriated Partners' Capital	Other Compre Income (Loss)	Total Apollo Management LLC Total Shareholders' Equity	Non- Controlling Interests in Consolidated Entities	Non- Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	To SH Ec	
Balance at January 1, 2013	130,053,993	1	\$3,043,334	\$(2,142,020)	\$1,765,360	\$144	\$2,666,818	\$1,893,212	\$1,143,353	\$5
Dilution impact of issuance of Class A shares	—	—	(766)	—	—	(766)	—	—	—	(7)
Capital increase related to equity-based compensation	—	—	88,394	—	—	88,394	—	19,163	—	10
Capital contributions	—	—	—	—	—	—	489,636	—	—	48
Distributions	—	—	(479,330)	—	(139,056)	(618,386)	(93,501)	(744,242)	—	(1)
Distributions related to deliveries of Class A shares for RSUs	4,876,877	—	26,162	(80,670)	—	(54,508)	—	—	—	(5)
Purchase of AAA units	—	—	—	—	—	—	(62,326)	—	—	(6)
Net transfers of AAA ownership interest to (from)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	—	—	(1,921)	—	—	(1,921)	1,921	—	—	—
Satisfaction of liability related to AAA RDUs	—	—	1,027	—	—	1,027	—	—	—	1,
Exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares	8,769,364	—	64,631	—	—	64,631	—	(50,819)	—	13
Net income	—	—	500,231	66,812	—	567,043	104,271	972,511	—	1,
Net (loss) gain on available-for-sale securities (from	—	—	—	—	(50)	(50)	—	41	—	(9)

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

equity method investment)										
Balance at September 30, 2013	143,700,234	1	\$2,741,531	\$(1,722,459)	\$1,693,116	\$94	\$2,712,282	\$2,333,213	\$1,340,007	\$6
Balance at January 1, 2014	146,280,784	1	\$2,624,582	\$(1,568,487)	\$1,581,079	\$95	\$2,637,269	\$2,669,730	\$1,381,723	\$6
Dilution impact of issuance of Class A shares	—		—4,016	—	—	—	4,016	—	—	4,
Capital increase related to equity-based compensation	—		—88,312	—	—	—	88,312	—	—	88
Capital contributions	—		—	—	135,356	—	135,356	701,802	—	83
Distributions	—		—(421,022)	—	(580,430)	—	(1,001,452)	(490,500)	(628,570)	(2
Distributions related to deliveries of Class A shares for RSUs	7,457,755		—16,806	(434)	—	—	16,372	—	—	16
Purchase of AAA units	—		—	—	—	—	—	(312)	—	(3
Net transfers of AAA ownership interest to (from) Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	—		—(3,423)	—	—	—	(3,423)	3,423	—	—
Satisfaction of liability related to AAA RDUs	—		—1,183	—	—	—	1,183	—	—	1,
Exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares	6,218,121		—45,173	—	—	—	45,173	—	(34,355)	10
Net income	—		—	146,047	(73,226)	—	72,821	221,312	350,049	64
Change in cash flow hedge instruments	—		—	—	—	(410)	(410)	—	(606)	(1
Net loss on available-for-sale securities (from equity method investment)	—		—	—	—	(3)	(3)	—	—	(3
Balance at September 30, 2014	159,956,660	1	\$2,355,627	\$(1,422,874)	\$1,062,779	\$(318)	\$1,995,214	\$3,105,455	\$1,068,241	\$6

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)
 NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014 AND 2013
 (dollars in thousands, except share data)

	2014	2013
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net income	\$644,182	\$1,643,825
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Equity-based compensation	101,676	109,619
Non-cash management fees	(12,839) —
Depreciation and amortization	7,685	8,409
Amortization of intangible assets	26,299	33,194
Amortization of debt issuance costs	3,054	448
Unrealized (gains) losses from investment in HFA and other investments	(21,726) 9,206
Gain on settlement of contingent obligation	(13,395) —
Non-cash interest income	(1,725) (2,526
Income from equity awards received for directors' fees	(468) (1,239
Cash distributions of earnings from equity method investments	50,432	77,563
Realized loss from investment in HFA and other investments	12,871	—
Income from equity method investments	(58,056) (80,116
Change in fair value on derivatives	(14,039) (3,202
Change in fair value of contingent obligations	5,526	47,523
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	(16,806) —
Deferred taxes, net	27,002	76,764
Net loss on disposal of assets	11	26
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Carried interest receivable	854,801	(453,805
Due from affiliates	(171,404) (58,934
Other assets	(28,729) (12,449
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	54,727	11,462
Accrued compensation and benefits	76,976	61,729
Deferred revenue	36,698	14,921
Due to affiliates	(70,392) (79,074
Profit sharing payable	(205,432) 172,753
Other liabilities	4,665	(2,646
Apollo Funds related:		
Net realized gains from investment activities	(38,214) (68,878
Net unrealized gains from investment activities	(42,706) (59,809
Net realized gains on debt	(101,745) (139,619
Net unrealized losses on debt	77,445	203,353
Distributions from investment activities	—	66,796
Change in cash held at consolidated variable interest entities	193,260	659,176
Purchases of investments	(8,734,742) (7,968,793
Proceeds from sale of investments and liquidating distributions	6,985,826	6,867,820
Change in other assets	(287,602) (297,017
Change in other liabilities	368,772	168,112
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Operating Activities	\$(288,112) \$1,004,592

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Purchases of fixed assets	(4,333) (6,775)
Proceeds from disposals of fixed assets	115	2,282
Proceeds from sale of investments	50,000	—
Cash contributions to equity method investments	(88,114) (64,217)
Cash distributions from equity method investments	48,008	79,177
Change in restricted cash	1,679	(734)
Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities	\$7,355	\$9,733
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Principal repayments of debt	(250,000) (9,545)
Issuance of debt	515,926	—
Issuance costs	(5,478) —
Net loss related to cash flow hedge instruments	(1,051) —
Satisfaction of tax receivable agreement	(32,032) —
Satisfaction of contingent obligations	(30,731) —
Distributions related to deliveries of Class A shares for RSUs	(434) (80,670)
Distributions to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	(12,257) (9,625)
Contributions from Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	2,074	289
Distributions paid	(380,497) (428,554)
Distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(628,570) (744,242)
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	16,806	—
Apollo Funds related:		
Issuance of debt	3,704,898	2,095,707
Principal repayment of debt	(2,035,653) (1,850,403)
Purchase of AAA units	(312) (62,326)
Distributions paid	(570,673) (139,056)
Distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated variable interest entities	(332,784) (83,876)
Contributions from Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated variable interest entities	654,971	489,347
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	\$614,203	\$(822,954)
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	333,446	191,371
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	1,079,537	947,451
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$1,412,983	\$1,138,822
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:		
Interest paid	\$9,539	\$30,484
Interest paid by consolidated variable interest entities	118,929	92,389
Income taxes paid	37,006	6,343
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Investing Activities:		
Non-cash contributions to equity method investments	\$—	\$935
Non-cash distributions from equity method investments	(7,243) (1,975)
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Financing Activities:		
Declared and unpaid distributions	(40,525) (50,776)
Non-cash contributions to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities from Appropriated Partners' Capital	9,757	—
Non-cash distributions from Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities to Appropriated Partners' Capital	(135,357) —
Non-cash contributions from Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group related to equity-based compensation	—	19,163
Satisfaction of liability related to AAA RDUs	1,183	1,027
Net transfers of AAA ownership interest to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	3,423	1,921

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Net transfer of AAA ownership interest from Apollo Global Management, LLC	(3,423) (1,921)
Unrealized loss on available for sale securities (from equity method investment)	(3) (50)
Capital increases related to equity-based compensation	88,312	88,394	
Dilution impact of issuance of Class A shares	4,016	(766)
Tax benefits related to deliveries of Class A shares for RSUs	—	(26,162)
Adjustments related to exchange of Apollo Operating Group units:			
Deferred tax assets	\$58,696	\$92,080	
Due to affiliates	(47,878) (78,268)
Additional paid in capital	(10,818) (13,812)
Non-Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group	34,355	50,819	
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.			

- 10-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Apollo Global Management, LLC (together with its consolidated subsidiaries, the “Company” or “Apollo”) is a global alternative investment manager whose predecessor was founded in 1990. Its primary business is to raise, invest and manage private equity, credit and real estate funds as well as strategic investment accounts (“SIAs”), on behalf of pension, endowment and sovereign wealth funds, as well as other institutional and individual investors. For these investment management services, Apollo receives management fees generally related to the amount of assets managed, transaction and advisory fees for the investments made and carried interest income related to the performance of the respective funds that it manages. Apollo has three primary business segments:

• Private equity—primarily invests in control equity and related debt instruments, convertible securities and distressed debt investments;

• Credit—primarily invests in non-control corporate and structured debt instruments; and

• Real estate—primarily invests in real estate equity for the acquisition and recapitalization of real estate assets, portfolios, platforms and operating companies, and real estate debt including first mortgage and mezzanine loans, preferred equity and commercial mortgage backed securities.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) for interim financial information and instructions to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The condensed consolidated financial statements and these notes are unaudited and exclude some of the disclosures required in annual financial statements. Management believes it has made all necessary adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring items) so that the condensed consolidated financial statements are presented fairly and that estimates made in preparing its condensed consolidated financial statements are reasonable and prudent. The operating results presented for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the entire year. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly-owned or majority-owned subsidiaries, the consolidated entities which are considered to be variable interest entities (“VIEs”) and for which the Company is considered the primary beneficiary, and certain entities which are not considered variable interest entities but which the Company controls through a majority voting interest. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated upon consolidation. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2013 included in the 2013 Annual Report.

Certain reclassifications, when applicable, have been made to the prior period's condensed consolidated financial statements and notes to conform to the current period's presentation and are disclosed accordingly.

Organization of the Company

The Company was formed as a Delaware limited liability company on July 3, 2007 and completed a reorganization of its predecessor businesses on July 13, 2007 (the “2007 Reorganization”). The Company is managed and operated by its manager, AGM Management, LLC, which in turn is indirectly wholly-owned and controlled by Leon Black, Joshua Harris and Marc Rowan (the “Managing Partners”).

As of September 30, 2014, the Company owned, through three intermediate holding companies that include APO Corp., a Delaware corporation that is a domestic corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, APO Asset Co., LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that is a disregarded entity for U.S. federal income tax purposes, and APO (FC), LLC, an Anguilla limited liability company that is treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes (collectively, the “Intermediate Holding Companies”), 41.8% of the economic interests of, and operated and controlled all of the businesses and affairs of, the Apollo Operating Group through its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

AP Professional Holdings, L.P., a Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership ("Holdings"), is the entity through which the Managing Partners and certain of the Company's other partners (the "Contributing Partners") indirectly beneficially own interests in each of the partnerships that comprise the Apollo Operating Group ("AOG Units"). As of September 30, 2014, Holdings owned the remaining 58.2% of the economic interests in the Apollo Operating Group. The Company consolidates the financial

- 11-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

results of the Apollo Operating Group and its consolidated subsidiaries. Holdings' ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group is reflected as a Non-Controlling Interest in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Apollo also entered into an exchange agreement with Holdings (as amended, the "Exchange Agreement") that allows the holders of the AOG Units (and certain permitted transferees thereof), subject to the applicable vesting and minimum retained ownership requirements and transfer restrictions to exchange, upon notice (subject to the terms of the Exchange Agreement), their AOG Units for the Company's Class A shares on a one-for-one basis a limited number of times each year, subject to customary conversion rate adjustments for splits, distributions and reclassifications. Under the Exchange Agreement, a holder of AOG Units must simultaneously exchange one partnership unit in each of the Apollo Operating Group partnerships to effectuate an exchange for one Class A share. As a holder exchanges its AOG Units, the Company's indirect interest in the Apollo Operating Group will be correspondingly increased.

In May 2013, the Company completed its public offering for resale of approximately 24.3 million Class A shares owned by the California Public Employees' Retirement System, or "CalPERS," and an affiliate of the Abu Dhabi Investment Authority (the "Strategic Investors") and certain of its Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and employees (collectively, the "Selling Shareholders") at a price to the public of \$25.00 per Class A share, which included approximately 3.2 million Class A shares sold by the Selling Shareholders upon the exercise in full of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares (the "Secondary Offering"). In connection with the Secondary Offering, certain holders of AOG Units exchanged their AOG Units for Class A shares and approximately 8.8 million Class A shares were issued by the Company in the exchange. No proceeds were received by the Company from the sale of Class A shares by the Selling Shareholders in the Secondary Offering. All underwriting costs were borne by the Selling Shareholders. The Company incurred approximately \$3.0 million of fees, consisting of legal and professional fees and filing costs, as a result of the Secondary Offering.

As a result of the exchange of AOG Units into Class A shares from the Secondary Offering, the Company's economic interest in the Apollo Operating Group increased and Holdings' economic interest in the Apollo Operating Group decreased, resulting in a transfer of \$50.8 million to Apollo Global Management, LLC's shareholders' equity from Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group.

In November 2013, certain holders of AOG Units exchanged their AOG Units for Class A shares and approximately 2.3 million Class A shares were issued by the Company in the exchange. The dilution of Holdings' economic interest in the Apollo Operating Group, as a result of the exchange, resulted in a transfer of \$12.2 million to Apollo Global Management, LLC's shareholders' equity from Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group.

In May 2014, certain holders of AOG Units exchanged their AOG Units for Class A Shares and approximately 6.2 million Class A shares were issued by the Company in the exchange. The dilution of Holdings' economic interest in the Apollo Operating Group, as a result of the exchange, resulted in a transfer of \$34.4 million to Apollo Global Management, LLC's shareholders' equity from Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation—The types of entities with which Apollo is involved generally include subsidiaries (i.e. general partners and management companies related to the funds the Company manages), entities that have all the attributes of an investment company (e.g., funds) and securitization vehicles (e.g., collateralized loan obligations). Each of these entities is assessed for consolidation on a case by case basis depending on the specific facts and circumstances surrounding that entity.

Pursuant to its consolidation policy, the Company first considers the appropriate consolidation guidance to apply including consideration of whether the entity qualifies for certain scope exceptions and whether the entity should be evaluated under either the previous rules on consolidation of variable interest entities ("VIEs") or the amended

consolidation rules depending on whether or not the entity qualifies for the deferral as further described below. The Company then performs an assessment to determine whether that entity qualifies as a VIE. An entity in which Apollo holds a variable interest is a VIE if any one of the following conditions exist: (a) the total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the legal entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, (b) the holders of equity investment at risk (as a group) lack either the direct or indirect ability through voting rights or similar rights to make decisions about a legal entity's activities that have a significant effect on the success of the legal entity or the obligation to absorb the expected losses or right to receive the expected residual returns, or (c) the voting rights of some investors are disproportionate to their obligation to absorb the expected losses of the legal entity,

- 12-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

their rights to receive the expected residual returns of the legal entity, or both and substantially all of the legal entity's activities either involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor with disproportionately few voting rights. Entities that do not qualify as VIEs are generally assessed for consolidation as voting interest entities ("VOEs") under the voting interest model.

Under the voting interest model, Apollo consolidates those entities it controls through a majority voting interest or through other means, including those VOEs in which the general partner is presumed to have control. Apollo does not consolidate those VOEs in which the presumption of control by the general partner has been overcome through either the granting of substantive rights to the unaffiliated investors to either dissolve the fund or remove the general partner ("kick-out rights") or the granting of substantive participating rights.

As previously indicated, the consolidation assessment, including the determination as to whether an entity qualifies as a VIE depends on the facts and circumstances surrounding each entity and therefore certain of Apollo's funds may qualify as VIEs whereas others may qualify as VOEs. The granting of substantive kick-out rights is a key consideration in determining whether an entity is a VIE and whether or not that entity should be consolidated. For example, when the unaffiliated holders of equity investment at risk of a fund with sufficient equity to permit the fund to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support are not granted substantive kick-out rights and the Company is not part of the group of holders of equity investment at risk, the fund is generally determined to be a VIE, as the holders of equity investment at risk as a group lack the direct or indirect ability through voting rights or similar rights to make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the legal entity. Alternatively, when the unaffiliated holders of equity investment at risk are granted substantive kick-out rights, the fund is generally determined to be a VOE. However, in certain cases where the Company holds a substantive equity investment at risk in the fund, the fund may be determined to be a VOE even though substantive kick-out rights were not granted to the unaffiliated holders of equity investment at risk. In these cases, the Company is part of the group of holders of equity investment at risk and therefore the holders of equity investment at risk as a group do not lack the direct or indirect ability through voting rights or similar rights to make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the legal entity.

If the entity is determined to be a VIE under the conditions above, the Company then assesses whether the entity should be consolidated by applying either the previous consolidation rules or the amended consolidation rules depending on whether the entity qualifies for the deferral of the amended consolidation rules as further described below.

VIEs that qualify for the deferral of the amended consolidation rules because certain conditions are met, including if the entities have all the attributes of an investment company and are not securitization or asset-backed financing entities, will continue to apply the previous consolidation rules. VIEs that are securitization or asset-backed financing entities will apply the amended consolidation rules. Under both sets of rules, VIEs for which Apollo is determined to be the primary beneficiary are consolidated.

With respect to VIEs such as Apollo's funds that qualify for the deferral of the amended consolidation rules and therefore apply the previous consolidation rules, Apollo is determined to be the primary beneficiary if its involvement, through holding interests directly or indirectly in the VIE or contractually through other variable interests (e.g., carried interest and management fees), would be expected to absorb a majority of the VIE's expected losses, receive a majority of the VIE's expected residual returns, or both. In cases where two or more Apollo related parties hold a variable interest in a VIE, and the aggregate variable interest held by those parties would, if held by a single party, identify that party as the primary beneficiary, then the Company is determined to be the primary beneficiary to the extent it is the party within the related party group that is most closely associated with the VIE.

For VIEs such as Apollo's CLOs that apply the amended consolidation rules, the Company is determined to be the primary beneficiary if it holds a controlling financial interest defined as possessing both (a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (b) the obligation to absorb

losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. CLOs are generally determined to be VIEs if they are formed solely to issue collateralized notes in the legal form of debt and therefore do not have sufficient total equity investment at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. With respect to such CLOs, Apollo generally possesses a controlling financial interest in, and therefore consolidates, such CLOs in accordance with the amended consolidation rules when Apollo's role as collateral manager provides the Company with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the CLO's economic performance and the Company has the right to receive certain benefits from the CLO (e.g., incentive fees) that could potentially be significant to the CLO.

Under the previous and the amended consolidation rules, Apollo determines whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE at the time it becomes initially involved with the VIE and reconsiders that conclusion continuously. Investments and

- 13-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

redemptions (either by Apollo, affiliates of Apollo or third parties) or amendments to the governing documents of the respective entity may affect an entity's status as a VIE or the determination of the primary beneficiary.

The assessment of whether an entity is a VIE and the determination of whether Apollo should consolidate such VIE requires judgments. Under both sets of rules, those judgments include, but are not limited to: (i) determining whether the total equity investment at risk is sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, (ii) evaluating whether the holders of equity investment at risk, as a group, can make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the entity, (iii) determining whether two or more parties' equity interests should be aggregated, (iv) determining whether the equity investors have proportionate voting rights to their obligations to absorb losses or rights to receive the expected residual returns from an entity, and (v) evaluating the nature of the relationship and activities of the parties involved in determining which party within a related-party group is most closely associated with the VIE. Where the VIEs have qualified for the deferral, judgments are also made in estimating cash flows to evaluate which member within the equity group absorbs a majority of the expected losses or residual returns of the VIE. Where the VIEs have not qualified for the deferral, judgments are also made in determining whether a member in the equity group has a controlling financial interest including power to direct activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and rights to receive benefits or obligations to absorb losses that could be potentially significant to the VIE.

Certain of the consolidated VIEs were formed to issue collateralized notes in the legal form of debt backed by financial assets. The difference between the fair value of the assets and liabilities of these VIEs is presented within appropriated partners' capital in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as these VIEs are funded solely with debt. Changes in the fair value of the assets and liabilities of these VIEs and the related interest and other income is presented within net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities and net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Such amounts are recorded within appropriated partners' capital as, in each case, the VIE's note holders, not Apollo, will ultimately receive the benefits or absorb the losses associated with the VIE's assets and liabilities.

Assets and liabilities of the consolidated VIEs are shown in separate sections within the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

For additional disclosures regarding VIEs, see note 4. Intercompany transactions and balances, if any, have been eliminated in consolidation.

Equity Method Investments—For investments in entities over which the Company exercises significant influence but which do not meet the requirements for consolidation, the Company uses the equity method of accounting, whereby the Company records its share of the underlying income or loss of such entities. Income (loss) from equity method investments is recognized as part of other income (loss) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The carrying amounts of equity method investments are reflected in investments in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As the underlying entities that the Company manages and invests in are, for U.S. GAAP purposes, primarily investment companies which reflect their investments at estimated fair value, the carrying value of the Company's equity method investments in such entities approximates fair value.

Non-Controlling Interests—For entities that are consolidated, but not 100% owned, a portion of the income or loss and corresponding equity is allocated to owners other than Apollo. The aggregate of the income or loss and corresponding equity that is not owned by the Company is included in Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated financial statements. As of September 30, 2014, the Non-Controlling Interests relating to Apollo Global Management, LLC primarily includes the ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group held by the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners through their limited partner interests in Holdings and other ownership interests in consolidated entities, which primarily consist of the ownership interest held by limited partners in AP Alternative Assets, L.P. ("AAA"). Non-Controlling Interests also include limited partner interests of Apollo managed funds in certain consolidated VIEs.

Non-Controlling Interests are presented as a separate component of shareholders' equity on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The primary components of Non-Controlling Interests are separately presented in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity to clearly distinguish the interest in the Apollo Operating Group and other ownership interests in the consolidated entities. Net income (loss) includes the net income (loss) attributable to the holders of Non-Controlling Interests on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Profits and losses are allocated to Non-Controlling Interests in proportion to their relative ownership interests regardless of their basis.

- 14-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Revenues—Revenues are reported in three separate categories that include (i) advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, which relate to the investments of the funds and may include individual monitoring agreements the Company has with the portfolio companies and debt investment vehicles of the private equity funds and credit funds; (ii) management fees from affiliates, which are based on committed capital, invested capital, net asset value, gross assets or as otherwise defined in the respective agreements; and (iii) carried interest income (loss) from affiliates, which is normally based on the performance of the funds subject to preferred return.

Advisory and Transaction Fees from Affiliates, Net—Advisory and transaction fees, including directors' fees, are recognized when the underlying services rendered are substantially completed in accordance with the terms of the transaction and advisory agreements. Additionally, during the normal course of business, the Company incurs certain costs related to certain transactions that are not consummated ("broken deal costs"). These costs (e.g., research costs, due diligence costs, professional fees, legal fees and other related items) are determined to be broken deal costs upon management's decision to no longer pursue the transaction. In accordance with the related fund agreement, in the event the deal is deemed broken, all of the costs are reimbursed by the funds and then included as a component of the calculation of the Management Fee Offset described below. If a deal is successfully completed, Apollo is reimbursed by the fund or fund's portfolio company for all costs incurred and no offset is generated. As the Company acts as an agent for the funds it manages, any transaction costs incurred and paid by the Company on behalf of the respective funds relating to successful or broken deals are presented net on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations, and any receivable from the respective funds is presented in Due from Affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, also includes underwriting fees. Underwriting fees include gains, losses and fees, net of syndicate expenses, arising from securities offerings in which one of the Company's subsidiaries participates in the underwriter syndicate. Underwriting fees are recognized at the time the underwriting is completed and the income is reasonably assured and are included in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Underwriting fees recognized but not received are included in other assets on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

As a result of providing advisory services to certain private equity and credit portfolio companies, Apollo is generally entitled to receive fees for transactions related to the acquisition, in certain cases, and disposition of portfolio companies as well as ongoing monitoring of portfolio company operations and directors' fees. The amounts due from portfolio companies are included in "Due from Affiliates," which is discussed further in note 12. Under the terms of the limited partnership agreements for certain funds, the management fee payable by the funds may be subject to a reduction based on a certain percentage of such advisory and transaction fees, net of applicable broken deal costs ("Management Fee Offset"). Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates are presented net of the Management Fee Offset in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Management Fees from Affiliates—Management fees for private equity, credit, and real estate funds are recognized in the period during which the related services are performed in accordance with the contractual terms of the related agreement, and are generally based upon (1) a percentage of the capital committed during the commitment period, and thereafter based on the remaining invested capital of unrealized investments, or (2) net asset value, gross assets or as otherwise defined in the respective agreements.

Carried Interest Income from Affiliates—Apollo is entitled to an incentive return that can normally amount to as much as 20% of the total returns on funds' capital, depending upon performance. Performance-based fees are assessed as a percentage of the investment performance of the funds. The carried interest income from affiliates for any period is based upon an assumed liquidation of the fund's net assets on the reporting date, and distribution of the net proceeds in accordance with the fund's income allocation provisions. Carried interest receivable is presented separately in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The carried interest income from affiliates may be subject to reversal to the extent that the carried interest income recorded exceeds the amount due to the general partner based

on a fund's cumulative investment returns. When applicable, the accrual for potential repayment of previously received carried interest income, which is a component of due to affiliates, represents all amounts previously distributed to the general partner that would need to be repaid to the Apollo funds if these funds were to be liquidated based on the current fair value of the underlying funds' investments as of the reporting date. The actual general partner obligation, however, would not become payable or realized until the end of a fund's life.

Deferred Revenue—Apollo earns management fees subject to the Management Fee Offset. When advisory and transaction fees are earned by the management company, the Management Fee Offset reduces the management fee obligation of the fund. When the management company receives cash for advisory and transaction fees, a certain percentage of such advisory and/or transaction fees, as applicable, is allocated as a credit to reduce future management fees, otherwise payable by such fund. Such credit is classified as deferred revenue in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. A portion of any

- 15-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

excess advisory and transaction fees may be required to be returned to the limited partners of certain funds upon such fund's liquidation. As the management fees earned by the management company are presented on a gross basis, any Management Fee Offsets calculated are presented as a reduction to Advisory and Transaction Fees from Affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Additionally, Apollo earns advisory fees pursuant to the terms of the advisory agreements with certain of the portfolio companies that are owned by the funds. When Apollo receives a payment from a portfolio company that exceeds the advisory fees earned at that point in time, the excess payment is classified as deferred revenue in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The advisory agreements with the portfolio companies vary in duration and the associated fees are received monthly, quarterly or annually. Deferred revenue is reversed and recognized as revenue over the period that the agreed upon services are performed.

Under the terms of the funds' partnership agreements, Apollo is normally required to bear organizational expenses over a set dollar amount and placement fees or costs in connection with the offering and sale of interests in the funds to investors. The placement fees are payable to placement agents, who are independent third parties that assist in identifying potential investors, securing commitments to invest from such potential investors, preparing or revising offering and marketing materials, developing strategies for attempting to secure investments by potential investors and/or providing feedback and insight regarding issues and concerns of potential investors, when a limited partner either commits or funds a commitment to a fund. In certain instances the placement fees are paid over a period of time. Based on the management agreements with the funds, Apollo considers placement fees and organizational costs paid in determining if cash has been received in excess of the management fees earned. Placement fees and organizational costs are normally the obligation of Apollo but can be paid for by the funds. When these costs are paid by the fund, the resulting obligations are included within deferred revenue. The deferred revenue balance will also be reduced during future periods when management fees are earned but not paid.

Investments, at Fair Value—The Company follows U.S. GAAP attributable to fair value measurements which, among other things, requires enhanced disclosures about investments that are measured and reported at fair value.

Investments, at fair value, represent investments of the consolidated funds, investments of the consolidated VIEs and certain financial instruments for which the fair value option was elected. The unrealized gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair value are reflected as net gains (losses) from investment activities and net gains (losses) from investment activities of the consolidated VIEs, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, investments measured and reported at fair value are classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

Level I—Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical investments as of the reporting date. The type of investments included in Level I include listed equities and listed derivatives. As required by U.S. GAAP, the Company does not adjust the quoted price for these investments, even in situations where the Company holds a large position and the sale of such position would likely deviate from the quoted price.

Level II—Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date, and fair value is determined through the use of models or other valuation methodologies.

Investments that are generally included in this category include corporate bonds and loans, less liquid and restricted equity securities and certain over-the-counter derivatives where the fair value is based on observable inputs. These investments exhibit higher levels of liquid market observability as compared to Level III investments. The Company subjects broker quotes to various criteria in making the determination as to whether a particular investment would qualify for treatment as a Level II investment. These criteria include, but are not limited to, the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes, and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

Level III—Pricing inputs are unobservable for the investment and includes situations where there is little observable market activity for the investment. The inputs into the determination of fair value may require significant management

judgment or estimation. Investments that are included in this category generally include general and limited partner interests in corporate private equity and real estate funds, opportunistic credit funds, distressed debt and non-investment grade residual interests in securitizations and CDOs and CLOs where the fair value is based on observable inputs as well as unobservable inputs. When a security is valued based on broker quotes, the Company subjects those quotes to various criteria in making the determination as to whether a particular investment would qualify for treatment as a

- 16-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Level II or Level III investment. Some of the factors the Company considers include the number of broker quotes obtained, the quality of the broker quotes, the standard deviations of the observed broker quotes and the corroboration of the broker quotes to independent pricing services.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the investment when the fair value is based on unobservable inputs.

In cases where an investment or financial instrument that is measured and reported at fair value is transferred between levels of the fair value hierarchy, the Company accounts for the transfer as of the end of the reporting period.

Private Equity Investments

The value of liquid investments, where the primary market is an exchange (whether foreign or domestic) is determined using period end market prices. Such prices are generally based on the close price on the date of determination.

Valuation approaches used to estimate the fair value of investments that are less liquid include the market approach and the income approach. The market approach provides an indication of fair value based on a comparison of the subject company to comparable publicly traded companies and transactions in the industry. The market approach is driven more by current market conditions, including actual trading levels of similar companies and, to the extent available, actual transaction data of similar companies. Judgment is required by management when assessing which companies are similar to the subject company being valued. Consideration may also be given to such factors as the Company's historical and projected financial data, valuations given to comparable companies, the size and scope of the Company's operations, the Company's strengths, weaknesses, expectations relating to the market's receptivity to an offering of the Company's securities, applicable restrictions on transfer, industry and market information and assumptions, general economic and market conditions and other factors deemed relevant. The income approach provides an indication of fair value based on the present value of cash flows that a business or security is expected to generate in the future. The most widely used methodology in the income approach is a discounted cash flow method. Inherent in the discounted cash flow method are assumptions of expected results and a calculated discount rate.

On a quarterly basis, Apollo utilizes a valuation committee, consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to its funds' private equity investments. The Company also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analyses. However, because of the inherent uncertainty of valuation, those estimated values may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market for the investments existed, and the differences could be material.

Credit Investments

The majority of the investments in Apollo's credit funds are valued based on quoted market prices and valuation models. Debt and equity securities that are not publicly traded or whose market prices are not readily available are valued at fair value utilizing recognized pricing services, market participants or other sources. When market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used to determine fair value. The credit funds also enter into foreign currency exchange contracts, total return swap contracts, credit default swap contracts, and other derivative contracts, which may include options, caps, collars and floors. Foreign currency exchange contracts are marked-to-market by recognizing the difference between the contract exchange rate and the current market rate as

unrealized appreciation or depreciation. If securities are held at the end of this period, the changes in value are recorded in income as unrealized. Realized gains or losses are recognized when contracts are settled. Total return swap contracts and credit default swap contracts are recorded at fair value as an asset or liability with changes in fair value recorded as unrealized appreciation or depreciation. Realized gains or losses are recognized at the termination of the contract based on the difference between the close-out price of the total return or credit default swap contract and the original contract price.

Forward contracts are valued based on market rates obtained from counterparties or prices obtained from recognized financial data service providers. When determining fair value pricing when no market value exists, the value attributed to an investment is based on the enterprise value at the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Valuation approaches used to estimate the fair value of

- 17-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

illiquid credit investments also may use the income approach or market approach. The valuation approaches used consider, as applicable, market risks, credit risks, counterparty risks and foreign currency risks.

On a quarterly basis, Apollo utilizes a valuation committee consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to its funds' credit investments. For certain publicly traded vehicles, a review is performed by an independent board of directors. The Company also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analyses.

Real Estate Investments

The estimated fair value of commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") in Apollo's funds is determined by reference to market prices provided by certain dealers who make a market in these financial instruments. Broker quotes are only indicative of fair value and may not necessarily represent what the funds would receive in an actual trade for the applicable instrument. Additionally, the loans held-for-investment are stated at the principal amount outstanding, net of deferred loan fees and costs for certain investments. The Company evaluates its loans for possible impairment on a quarterly basis. For Apollo's opportunistic and value added real estate funds, valuations of non-marketable underlying investments are determined using methods that include, but are not limited to (i) discounted cash flow estimates or comparable analysis prepared internally, (ii) third party appraisals or valuations by qualified real estate appraisers, and (iii) contractual sales value of investments/properties subject to bona fide purchase contracts. Methods (i) and (ii) also incorporate consideration of the use of the income, cost, or sales comparison approaches of estimating property values.

On a quarterly basis, Apollo utilizes a valuation committee, consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to its funds' real estate investments. For certain publicly traded vehicles, a review is performed by an independent board of directors. The Company also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analyses.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

Except for the Company's debt obligations related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities and 2024 Senior Notes (each as defined in note 9), Apollo's financial instruments are recorded at fair value or at amounts whose carrying values approximate fair value. See "Investments, at Fair Value" above. While Apollo's valuations of portfolio investments are based on assumptions that Apollo believes are reasonable under the circumstances, the actual realized gains or losses will depend on, among other factors, future operating results, the value of the assets and market conditions at the time of disposition, any related transaction costs and the timing and manner of sale, all of which may ultimately differ significantly from the assumptions on which the valuations were based. Financial instruments' carrying values generally approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of those instruments or variable interest rates related to the borrowings.

Fair Value Option—Apollo has elected the fair value option for the Company's investment in Athene Holding Ltd. ("Athene Holding" and together with its subsidiaries "Athene"), the convertible notes issued by HFA Holdings Limited ("HFA") and for the assets and liabilities of the consolidated VIEs. Such election is irrevocable and is applied to

financial instruments on an individual basis at initial recognition. Apollo has applied the fair value option for certain corporate loans, other investments and debt obligations held by the consolidated VIEs that otherwise would not have been carried at fair value. For the convertible notes issued by HFA, Apollo has elected to separately present interest income from other changes in the fair value of the convertible notes in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. See notes 3, 4, and 5 for further disclosure on the investments in Athene Holding, HFA and financial instruments of the consolidated VIEs for which the fair value option has been elected.

- 18-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Financial Instruments held by Consolidated VIEs

The consolidated VIEs hold investments that could be traded over-the-counter. Investments in securities that are traded on a securities exchange or comparable over-the-counter quotation systems are valued based on the last reported sale price at that date. If no sales of such investments are reported on such date, and in the case of over-the-counter securities or other investments for which the last sale date is not available, valuations are based on independent market quotations obtained from market participants, recognized pricing services or other sources deemed relevant, and the prices are based on the average of the “bid” and “ask” prices, or at ascertainable prices at the close of business on such day. Market quotations are generally based on valuation pricing models or market transactions of similar securities adjusted for security-specific factors such as relative capital structure priority and interest and yield risks, among other factors. When market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used to determine fair value.

The consolidated VIEs also have debt obligations that are recorded at fair value. The primary valuation methodology used to determine fair value for debt obligations is market quotation. Prices are based on the average of the “bid” and “ask” prices. In the event that market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used. The model based approach used to estimate the fair values of debt obligations for which market quotations are not available is the discounted cash flow method, which includes consideration of the cash flows of the debt obligation based on projected quarterly interest payments and quarterly amortization. Debt obligations are discounted based on the appropriate yield curve given the loan’s respective maturity and credit rating. Management uses its discretion and judgment in considering and appraising relevant factors for determining the valuations of its debt obligations.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill and indefinite-life intangible assets must be reviewed annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. Identifiable finite-life intangible assets, by contrast, are amortized over their estimated useful lives, which are periodically re-evaluated for impairment or when circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred. Apollo amortizes its identifiable finite-life intangible assets using a method of amortization reflecting the pattern in which the economic benefits of the finite-life intangible asset are consumed or otherwise used up. If that pattern cannot be reliably determined, Apollo uses the straight-line method of amortization. At June 30, 2014, the Company performed its annual impairment testing. As the fair value of each of the Company’s reporting units was in excess of its carrying value as of June 30, 2014, there was no impairment of goodwill and there was no impairment of indefinite-life intangible assets at such time.

Compensation and Benefits

Equity-Based Compensation—Equity-based awards granted to employees as compensation are measured based on the grant date fair value of the award. Equity-based awards that do not require future service (i.e., vested awards) are expensed immediately. Equity-based employee awards that require future service are expensed over the relevant service period. The Company estimates forfeitures for equity-based awards that are not expected to vest. Equity-based awards granted to non-employees for services provided to affiliates are remeasured to fair value at the end of each reporting period and expensed over the relevant service period.

Salaries, Bonus and Benefits—Salaries, bonus and benefits include base salaries, discretionary and non-discretionary bonuses, severance and employee benefits. Bonuses are generally accrued over the related service period.

Also included within salaries, bonus and benefits is the expense related to profits interests issued to certain employees whereby they are entitled to a share in earnings and any appreciation in the value of a subsidiary of the Company during their term of employment. The expense related to these profits interests is recognized ratably over the requisite service period and thereafter will be recognized at the time the distributions are determined.

The Company sponsors a 401(k) savings plan whereby U.S.-based employees are entitled to participate in the plan based upon satisfying certain eligibility requirements. The Company may provide discretionary contributions from time to time. No contributions relating to this plan were made by the Company for the three and nine months ended

September 30, 2014 and 2013.

Profit Sharing Expense—Profit sharing expense primarily consists of a portion of carried interest recognized in one or more funds allocated to employees and former employees. Profit sharing expense is recognized on an accrued basis as the related carried interest income is earned. Profit sharing expense can be reversed during periods when there is a decline in carried interest

- 19-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

income that was previously recognized. Additionally, profit sharing expenses previously distributed may be subject to clawback from employees, former employees and Contributing Partners.

Changes in the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations that were recognized in connection with certain Apollo acquisitions are reflected in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations as profit sharing expense.

The Company has a performance based incentive arrangement for certain Apollo partners and employees designed to more closely align compensation on an annual basis with the overall realized performance of the Company. This arrangement enables certain partners and employees to earn discretionary compensation based on carried interest realizations earned by the Company in a given year, which amounts are reflected in profit sharing expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Other Income (Loss)

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities—Net gains (losses) from investment activities include both realized gains and losses and the change in unrealized gains and losses in the Company's investment portfolio between the opening reporting date and the closing reporting date. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the net realized and unrealized gains (losses) of investments, at fair value. For the Company's investments held by AAA (see note 3), a portion of the net gains (losses) from investment activities are attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities of Consolidated Variable Interest Entities—Changes in the fair value of the consolidated VIEs' assets and liabilities and related interest, dividend and other income and expenses subsequent to consolidation are presented within net gains (losses) from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities and are attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Other Income (Loss), Net—Other income (loss), net includes the recognition of bargain purchase gains as a result of Apollo acquisitions, gains (losses) arising from the remeasurement of foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, reversal of a portion of the tax receivable agreement liability (see note 12), gains (losses) arising from the remeasurement of derivative instruments associated with fees from certain of the Company's affiliates, gains arising from extinguishment of contingent consideration obligations and other miscellaneous non-operating income and expenses.

Net Income (Loss) Per Class A Share—U.S. GAAP requires use of the two-class method of computing earnings per share for all periods presented for each class of common stock and participating security as if all earnings for the period had been distributed. Under the two-class method, during periods of net income, the net income is first reduced for distributions declared on all classes of securities to arrive at undistributed earnings. During periods of net losses, the net loss is reduced for distributions declared on participating securities only if the security has the right to participate in the earnings of the entity and an objectively determinable contractual obligation to share in net losses of the entity.

The remaining earnings are allocated to Class A shares and participating securities to the extent that each security shares in earnings as if all of the earnings for the period had been distributed. Earnings or losses allocated to each class of security are then divided by the applicable number of shares to arrive at basic earnings per share. For the diluted earnings, the denominator includes all outstanding Class A shares and includes the number of additional Class A shares that would have been outstanding if the dilutive potential Class A shares had been issued. The numerator is adjusted for any changes in income or loss that would result from the issuance of these potential Class A shares.

Use of Estimates—The preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Apollo's most significant estimates include goodwill, intangible assets, income taxes, carried interest income from

affiliates, contingent consideration obligations related to acquisitions, non-cash compensation, and fair value of investments and debt. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows— During the second quarter of 2014, the Company identified that return on capital related to cash distributions from equity method investments had been previously reported as cash flows provided by investing activities. Cash flows received from equity method investments should have been separately identified as either return of investment or return on investment. Cash flows from the return of investment should be presented in cash flows provided by investing activities and return on investment presented within cash flows provided by operating activities. The Company

- 20-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

restated the previously presented cash flows for these cash distributions from equity method investments and, in doing so, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows was restated to increase net cash flows provided by operating activities by \$77.6 million with a corresponding decrease in net cash flows provided by investing activities. The Company has evaluated the effect of the incorrect presentation both qualitatively and quantitatively, and concluded that it did not have a material impact on, nor require amendment of, any previously filed annual or quarterly consolidated financial statements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance that requires an entity to prepare its financial statements using the liquidation basis of accounting when liquidation is imminent. The financial statements prepared using the liquidation basis of accounting should present relevant information about the expected resources in liquidation by measuring and presenting assets at the amount of the expected cash proceeds from liquidation. The entity should include in its presentation of assets any items it had not previously recognized under U.S. GAAP but that it expects to either sell in liquidation or use in settling liabilities. Liabilities should be recognized and measured in accordance with U.S. GAAP that otherwise applies to those liabilities. The guidance requires an entity to accrue and separately present the costs that it expects to incur and the income that it expects to earn during the expected duration of the liquidation, including any costs associated with the sale or settlement of those assets and liabilities. Additionally, the amended guidance requires disclosures about an entity's plan for liquidation, the methods and significant assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities, the type and amount of costs and income accrued, and the expected duration of the liquidation process. The guidance is effective for entities that determine liquidation is imminent during annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013, and interim reporting periods therein. The guidance provides that entities should apply the requirements prospectively from the day that liquidation becomes imminent. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2013, the FASB issued guidance to change the assessment of whether an entity is an investment company by developing a new two-tiered approach that requires an entity to possess certain fundamental characteristics while allowing judgment in assessing certain typical characteristics. The fundamental characteristics that an investment company must have include the following: (1) it obtains funds from one or more investors and provides the investor(s) with investment management services; (2) it commits to its investor(s) that its business purpose and only substantive activities are investing the funds solely for returns from capital appreciation, investment income or both; and (3) it does not obtain returns or benefits from an investee or its affiliates that are not normally attributable to ownership interests. The typical characteristics of an investment company that an entity should consider before concluding whether it is an investment company include the following: (1) it has more than one investment; (2) it has more than one investor; (3) it has investors that are not related parties of the parent or the investment manager; (4) it has ownership interests in the form of equity or partnership interests; and (5) it manages substantially all of its investments on a fair value basis. The new approach requires an entity to assess all of the characteristics of an investment company and consider its purpose and design to determine whether it is an investment company. The guidance includes disclosure requirements about an entity's status as an investment company and financial support provided or contractually required to be provided by an investment company to its investees. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued guidance to eliminate the diversity in practice on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. Under the new guidance, an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be

presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carry forward, except as follows: to the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statement as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The assessment of whether a deferred tax asset is available is based on the unrecognized tax benefit and deferred tax asset that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date (e.g. an entity should not evaluate whether the deferred tax asset expires before the statute of limitations on the tax position or whether the deferred tax asset may be used prior to the unrecognized tax benefit being settled). The guidance does not require new recurring disclosures. The guidance applies to all entities that have unrecognized tax benefits when a net operating loss carryforward, similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists at the reporting

- 21-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

date. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. The guidance provides that the amendments should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date, although retrospective application is permitted. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In April 2014, the FASB issued guidance to improve the definition of discontinued operations and to enhance convergence between the FASB's and International Accounting Standard Board's ("IASB") reporting requirements for discontinued operations. The new definition of discontinued operations limits discontinued operations reporting to disposals of components of an entity that represent strategic shifts that have (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. The new guidance affects entities that have either of the following: (1) a component of an entity that either is disposed of or meets the criteria under current guidance to be classified as held for sale or (2) a business or nonprofit activity that, on acquisition, meets the criteria under current guidance to be classified as held for sale. The guidance is effective for all disposals (or classifications as held for sale) of components of an entity and all businesses or nonprofit activities that, on acquisition, are classified as held for sale that occur within annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014, and interim periods within those years. Early adoption is permitted, but only for disposals (or classifications as held for sale) that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issuance. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance to establish a comprehensive and converged standard on revenue recognition to enable financial statement users to better understand and consistently analyze an entity's revenue across industries, transactions, and geographies. The core principle of the new guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, an entity should apply the following steps: (1) identify the contract(s) with a customer, (2) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (3) determine the transaction price, (4) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract, and (5) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. The new guidance also specifies the accounting for certain costs to obtain or fulfill a contract with a customer. The new guidance requires improved disclosures to help users of financial statements better understand the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue that is recognized. Qualitative and quantitative information is required to be disclosed about: (1) contracts with customers, (2) significant judgments and changes in judgments, and (3) assets recognized from costs to obtain or fulfill a contract. The new guidance will apply to all entities. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016. Early application is not permitted. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements, including the timing of the recognition of carried interest income.

In June 2014, the FASB issued guidance to resolve diversity in practice in the accounting for share-based payments where the terms of an award provide that a performance target could be achieved after the requisite service period. The new guidance requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. Accordingly, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. If the performance target becomes probable of being achieved before the end of the requisite service period, the remaining unrecognized compensation cost should be recognized prospectively over the remaining requisite service period. The total amount

of compensation cost recognized during and after the requisite service period should reflect the number of awards that ultimately vest. The requisite service period ends when the employee can cease rendering service and still be eligible to vest in the award if the performance target is achieved. The new guidance applies to all reporting entities that grant their employees share-based payments in which the terms of the award provide that a performance target that affects vesting could be achieved after the requisite service period. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. Early application is permitted. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance to eliminate diversity in practice in the accounting for measurement differences in both the initial consolidation and subsequent measurement of the financial assets and the financial liabilities of a collateralized financing entity. A reporting entity that consolidates a collateralized financing entity within the scope of the new guidance may elect to measure the financial assets and the financial liabilities of that collateralized financing entity using either the measurement alternative included in the new guidance or the existing guidance on fair value measurement. When the

- 22-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

measurement alternative is not elected for a consolidated collateralized financing entity within the scope of the new guidance, the new guidance clarifies that (1) the fair value of the financial assets and the fair value of the financial liabilities of the consolidated collateralized financing entity should be measured using the requirements of the existing guidance on fair value measurement and (2) any differences in the fair value of the financial assets and the fair value of the financial liabilities of that consolidated collateralized financing entity should be reflected in earnings and attributed to the reporting entity in the consolidated statement of income (loss). When a reporting entity elects the measurement alternative included in the new guidance for a collateralized financing entity, the reporting entity should measure both the financial assets and the financial liabilities of that collateralized financing entity in its consolidated financial statements using the more observable of the fair value of the financial assets and the fair value of the financial liabilities. The new guidance clarifies that when the measurement alternative is elected, a reporting entity's consolidated net income (loss) should reflect the reporting entity's own economic interests in the collateralized financing entity, including (1) changes in the fair value of the beneficial interests retained by the reporting entity and (2) beneficial interests that represent compensation for services. Beneficial interests retained by the reporting entity that represent compensation for services (for example, management fees or servicing fees) and nonfinancial assets that are held temporarily by a collateralized financing entity should be measured in accordance with other applicable guidance. The guidance applies to a reporting entity that is required to consolidate a collateralized financing entity under the existing variable interest entity guidance when (1) the reporting entity measures all of the financial assets and the financial liabilities of that consolidated collateralized financing entity at fair value in the consolidated financial statements based on other guidance and (2) the changes in the fair values of those financial assets and financial liabilities are reflected in earnings. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of an annual period. The guidance is expected to impact the measurement of the financial assets or financial liabilities of the Company's consolidated collateralized loan obligation vehicles and have a material impact on the recognition of appropriated partners' capital, although the impact on net income attributable to the Company is not expected to be material.

In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance regarding management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. The new guidance requires that management evaluate each annual and interim reporting period whether conditions exist that give rise to substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year from the financial statement issuance date, and if so, provide related disclosures. Disclosures are only required if conditions give rise to substantial doubt, whether or not the substantial doubt is alleviated by management's plans. No disclosures are required specific to going concern uncertainties if an assessment of the conditions does not give rise to substantial doubt. Substantial doubt exists when conditions and events, considered in the aggregate, indicate that it is probable that a company will be unable to meet its obligations as they become due within one year after the financial statement issuance date. If substantial doubt is alleviated as a result of the consideration of management's plans, a company should disclose information that enables users of financial statements to understand all of the following: 1) principal conditions that initially give rise to substantial doubt, 2) management's evaluation of the significance of those conditions in relation to the company's ability to meet its obligations, and 3) management's plans that alleviated substantial doubt. If substantial doubt is not alleviated after considering management's plans, disclosures should enable investors to understand the underlying conditions, and include the following: 1) a statement indicating that there is substantial doubt about the company's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the issuance date, 2) the principal conditions that give rise to substantial doubt, 3) management's evaluation of the significance of those conditions in relation to the company's ability to meet its obligations, and 4) management's plans that are intended to mitigate the adverse conditions. The new guidance applies to all companies. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. This

guidance is not expected to have an impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company.

- 23-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

3. INVESTMENTS

The following table represents Apollo's investments:

	As of September 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013
Investments, at fair value	\$2,444,018	\$2,012,027
Equity method investments	424,306	381,856
Total Investments	\$2,868,324	\$2,393,883

Investments, at Fair Value

Investments, at fair value, consist of financial instruments held by AAA, the Company's investment in Athene Holding, investments held by the Apollo Credit Senior Loan Fund, L.P. ("Apollo Senior Loan Fund"), and other investments held by the Company at fair value. Other investments include the Company's investment in HFA. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the net assets of the consolidated funds (excluding VIEs) were \$2,175.1 million and \$1,971.1 million, respectively. The following investments, except the investment in Athene Holding and other investments, are presented as a percentage of net assets of the consolidated funds:

Investments, at Fair Value – Affiliates	As of September 30, 2014 Fair Value				% of Net Assets of Consolidated Funds	As of December 31, 2013 Fair Value				% of Net Assets of Consol Funds
	Private Equity	Credit	Total	Cost		Private Equity	Credit	Total	Cost	
AAA	\$2,147,104	\$—	\$2,147,104	\$1,494,358	98.7 %	\$1,942,051	\$—	\$1,942,051	\$1,494,358	98.5 %
Athene Holding	22,018	243,295	265,313	265,220	N/A	—	—	—	—	N/A
Apollo Senior Loan Fund	—	30,180	30,180	30,139	1.4	—	29,603	29,603	29,226	1.5
Other Investments	1,307	114	1,421	4,214	N/A	839	39,534	40,373	65,377	N/A
Total	\$2,170,429	\$273,589	\$2,444,018	\$1,793,931	100.1 %	\$1,942,890	\$69,137	\$2,012,027	\$1,588,961	100.0 %

Securities

As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the sole investment held by AAA was its investment in AAA Investments, L.P. ("AAA Investments"), which is measured based on AAA's share of net asset value of AAA Investments. The following table represents the sole investment of AAA Investments, which constitutes more than five percent of the net assets of the funds that the Company consolidates (excluding VIEs) as of the aforementioned dates:

Instrument Type	As of September 30, 2014			% of Net Assets of	As of December 31, 2013			% of Net Assets of
	Instrument Type	Fair Value	Cost		Instrument Type	Fair Value	Cost	

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

	Consolidated Funds				Consolidated Funds			
Athene Holding Equity	\$2,246,401	\$1,365,940	103.3	% Equity	\$1,950,010	\$1,331,942	98.9	%

As of September 30, 2014, AAA Investments portfolio consisted of a single investment in the equity of Athene Holding. Athene Holding is the ultimate parent of various insurance company operating subsidiaries. Through its subsidiaries, Athene Holding provides insurance products focused primarily on the retirement market and its business centers primarily on issuing or reinsuring fixed indexed annuities.

As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, AAA, through its investment in AAA Investments was the largest shareholder of Athene Holding with an economic ownership stake of approximately 48.3% (calculated as if the commitments in

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

the Athene Private Placement (as defined below) closed through September 30, 2014 were fully drawn down but without giving effect to (i) restricted common shares issued under Athene's management equity plan or (ii) common shares to be issued under the Amended AAA Services Agreement or the Amended Athene Services Agreement subsequent to September 30, 2014) and 72.5% (without giving effect to (i) restricted common shares issued under Athene's management equity plan, (ii) the common shares to be issued under the Amended AAA Services Agreement or the Amended Athene Services Agreement subsequent to December 31, 2013 and (iii) conversion of AAA Investments' note receivable), respectively, and effectively held 45% of the voting power of Athene. AAA Investments' ownership interest in Athene is held indirectly through its subsidiaries. During 2014, AAA Investments' ownership stake in Athene was reduced as a result of the Athene Private Placement (as defined below), the issuance of 3.7 million unrestricted common shares of Athene Holding under Athene's management equity plan) and issuances of shares under the Amended AAA Services Agreement and the Amended Athene Services Agreement, and increased by the conversion to common shares of AAA Investments' note receivable from Athene. See note 12 for further information regarding Athene.

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, Athene's fair value was determined using the embedded value method which was based on the present value of the future expected regulatory distributable income generated by the net assets of Athene plus the excess capital (i.e., the capital in excess of what is required to be held against Athene's liabilities). The net assets of Athene consist of the current and projected assets less the current and projected liabilities related to in force insurance contracts. For purposes of the excess capital calculation the assets are valued at fair value using our valuation methodology disclosed in note 2. The approach of using actuarially projected asset and liability income to value an insurance company is widely used by market participants in the insurance industry, particularly in private company acquisitions. The embedded value of the in force insurance contracts incorporates actuarial projections of expected income utilizing most recently available policyholder contract and experience data, industry information and assumptions, general economic and market conditions, and other factors deemed relevant, including the cost of capital. In addition, consideration is also given to comparable company multiples in the determination of fair value.

Athene Holding

As further described in note 12, in the second quarter of 2014, Athene Holding raised \$1.218 billion of net equity commitments (the "Athene Private Placement"), which was priced at \$26 per common share of Athene Holding. In connection with the Athene Private Placement, both the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivative (as defined in note 12) were settled on April 29, 2014 by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding, and as a result, such derivatives were terminated. Following settlement of these derivatives, future monitoring fees and management fees paid to Apollo pursuant to the Amended Athene Services Agreement and the Amended AAA Services Agreement, respectively, will be paid on a quarterly basis in arrears by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act).

The Company elected the fair value option for its investment in Athene Holding at the time of settlement of the Athene Services Derivative and AAA Services Derivative. The Company has classified this investment as a Level III asset in the fair value hierarchy, as the pricing inputs into the determination of fair value require significant judgment and estimation. The investment is valued based on the price of a common share of Athene Holding, which as of September 30, 2014 was determined using the embedded value method. See note 5 for further discussion regarding fair value leveling and note 12 for further information regarding Athene.

Apollo Senior Loan Fund

On December 31, 2011, the Company became the sole investor in the Apollo Senior Loan Fund and therefore consolidated the assets and liabilities of the fund. The fund invests in U.S. denominated senior secured loans, senior secured bonds and other income generating fixed-income investments. At least 90% of the Apollo Senior Loan Fund's

portfolio of investments must consist of senior secured, floating rate loans or cash or cash equivalents. Up to 10% of the Apollo Senior Loan Fund's portfolio may consist of non-first lien fixed income investments and other income generating fixed income investments, including but not limited to senior secured bonds. The Apollo Senior Loan Fund may not purchase assets rated (tranche rating) at B3 or lower by Moody's, or equivalent rating by another nationally recognized rating agency.

The Company has classified the instruments associated with the Apollo Senior Loan Fund investment within the respective level in the fair value hierarchy. See note 5 for further discussion regarding fair value leveling.

- 25-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

HFA

On March 7, 2011, the Company invested \$52.1 million (including expenses related to the purchase) in a convertible note with an aggregate principal amount of \$50.0 million and received 20,833,333 stock options issued by HFA, an Australian based specialist global funds management company.

Effective July 2, 2014, Apollo entered into a buy-back agreement with HFA, in which HFA agreed to repurchase the convertible note at its face value of \$50 million.

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities

Net gains from investment activities in the condensed consolidated statements of operations include net realized gains from sales of investments, and the change in net unrealized gains resulting from changes in fair value or reversal of realization of gains/losses of the consolidated funds' investments and realization of previously unrealized gains.

Additionally, net gains from investment activities include changes in the fair value of the investment in HFA and other investments held at fair value. The following tables present Apollo's net gains from investment activities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		
	Private Equity	Credit	Total
Realized losses on sales of investments	\$—	\$(12,802)	\$(12,802)
Change in net unrealized gains due to changes in fair values	125	12,689	12,814
Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities	\$125	\$(113)	\$12
	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Private Equity	Credit	Total
Realized losses on sales of investments	\$—	\$(59)	\$(59)
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) due to changes in fair values	81,039	(6,935)	74,104
Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities	\$81,039	\$(6,994)	\$74,045
	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014		
	Private Equity	Credit	Total
Realized losses on sales of investments	\$—	\$(12,649)	\$(12,649)
Change in net unrealized gains due to changes in fair values	205,052	21,483	226,535
Net Gains from Investment Activities	\$205,052	\$8,834	\$213,886
	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Private Equity	Credit	Total
Realized gains on sales of investments	\$—	\$349	\$349
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) due to changes in fair values	135,872	(8,927)	126,945
Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities	\$135,872	\$(8,578)	\$127,294

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Equity Method Investments

Apollo's equity method investments include its investments in Apollo private equity, credit and real estate funds, which are not consolidated, but in which the Company exerts significant influence. Apollo's share of operating income generated by these investments is recorded within income from equity method investments in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

- 27 -

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Equity method investments as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 consisted of the following:

	Equity Held as of				
	September 30, 2014	% of Ownership	December 31, 2013	% of Ownership	
Investments:					
Private Equity Funds:					
AAA Investments	\$1,294	0.057	% \$1,168	0.057	%
Apollo Investment Fund IV, L.P. ("Fund IV")	8	0.023	9	0.019	
Apollo Investment Fund V, L.P. ("Fund V")	75	0.026	94	0.020	
Apollo Investment Fund VI, L.P. ("Fund VI")	7,095	0.114	9,964	0.103	
Apollo Investment Fund VII, L.P. ("Fund VII")	121,042	1.240	137,960	1.258	
Apollo Investment Fund VIII, L.P. ("Fund VIII")	33,531	2.176	4,310	3.996	
Apollo Natural Resources Partners, L.P. ("ANRP")	5,887	0.777	3,735	0.831	
AION Capital Partners Limited ("AION")	17,811	5.997	6,425	9.970	
Apollo Asia Private Credit Fund, L.P. ("APC")	43	0.044	49	0.046	
VC Holdings, L.P. Series A ("Vantium A/B")	9	6.450	15	6.450	
VC Holdings, L.P. Series C ("Vantium C")	31	2.071	1,233	2.071	
VC Holdings, L.P. Series D ("Vantium D")	183	6.345	2,190	6.345	
Total Private Equity Funds ⁽⁵⁾	187,009		167,152		
Credit Funds:					
Apollo Special Opportunities Managed Account, L.P. ("SOMA")	7,489	0.837	6,833	0.853	
Apollo Value Strategic Fund, L.P. ("VIF")	152	0.073	151	0.124	
Apollo Strategic Value Fund, L.P. ("SVF")	10	0.034	17	0.079	
Apollo Credit Liquidity Fund, L.P. ("ACLF")	4,645	2.391	4,559	3.341	
Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund I, L.P. ("COF I")	2,753	1.856	10,077	1.850	
Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund II, L.P. ("COF II")	2,397	1.473	5,015	1.428	
Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund III, L.P. ("COF III")	10,673	1.068	6,720	2.450	
Apollo European Principal Finance Fund, L.P. ("EPF I")	10,860	1.427	19,332	1.363	
Apollo European Principal Finance Fund II, L.P. ("EPF II")	37,215	1.752	23,212	1.994	
Apollo Investment Europe II, L.P. ("AIE II")	3,485	2.974	4,500	2.772	
Apollo Europe Co-Investors III (D), LLC ("AIE III")	844	2.910	—	—	
Apollo Palmetto Strategic Partnership, L.P. ("Palmetto")	13,979	1.186	16,054	1.186	
Apollo Senior Floating Rate Fund Inc. ("AFT")	90	0.030	95	0.034	
Apollo Residential Mortgage, Inc. ("AMTG") ⁽³⁾	4,403	⁽¹⁾ 0.646	⁽¹⁾ 4,015	⁽²⁾ 0.632	⁽²⁾
Apollo European Credit, L.P. ("AEC")	2,579	1.081	2,482	1.230	
Apollo European Strategic Investments, L.P. ("AESI")	3,964	0.990	3,732	0.956	
Apollo Centre Street Partnership, L.P. ("ACSP")	11,769	2.440	7,690	2.465	
Apollo Investment Corporation ("AINV") ⁽⁴⁾	63,099	⁽¹⁾ 2.988	⁽¹⁾ 55,951	⁽²⁾ 2.933	⁽²⁾
Apollo SK Strategic Investments, L.P. ("SK")	1,803	1.017	1,714	0.997	
Apollo SPN Investments I, L.P.	4,657	0.670	4,457	0.828	

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

CION Investment Corporation ("CION")	1,000	0.261	1,000	0.716
Apollo Tactical Income Fund Inc. ("AIF")	91	0.032	94	0.036
Apollo Franklin Partnership, L.P. ("Franklin Fund")	10,387	9.091	10,178	9.107
Apollo Zeus Strategic Investments, L.P. ("Zeus")	5,962	3.380	1,678	3.383
Apollo Lincoln Fixed Income Fund, L.P.	1,209	0.993	—	—
Apollo Structured Credit Recovery Master Fund III, L.P.	4,859	2.439	—	—
Apollo Total Return Fund L.P.	163	0.060	—	—
Apollo Credit Short Opportunities Fund L.P.	19	0.041	—	—
Total Credit Funds ⁽⁵⁾	210,556		189,556	
Real Estate:				
ARI ⁽³⁾	13,849	⁽¹⁾ 1.495	⁽¹⁾ 11,550	⁽²⁾ 1.500 ⁽²⁾
AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P.	8,987	1.845	9,473	1.845
CPI Capital Partners North America, L.P.	153	0.409	272	0.416
CPI Capital Partners Europe, L.P.	5	0.001	5	0.001
CPI Capital Partners Asia Pacific, L.P.	97	0.039	106	0.042
Apollo GSS Holding (Cayman), L.P.	3,565	4.750	3,670	3.460
BEA/AGRE China Real Estate Fund, L.P.	85	1.031	72	1.031
Total Real Estate Funds ⁽⁵⁾	26,741		25,148	
Total	\$424,306		\$381,856	

- 28-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

(1) Amounts are as of June 30, 2014.

(2) Amounts are as of September 30, 2013.

Investment value includes the fair value of RSUs granted to the Company as of the grant date. These amounts are (3) not considered in the percentage of ownership until the RSUs are vested and issued to the Company, at which point the RSUs are converted to common stock and delivered to the Company.

(4) The value of the Company's investment in AINV was \$57,793 and \$57,249 based on the quoted market price as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

(5) Certain funds invest across multiple segments. Presentation in the table above is based on majority of fund investment classification.

As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, on an individual or aggregate basis, no equity method investment held by Apollo met the significance criteria as defined by the SEC. As such, Apollo is not required to present summarized income statement information for any of its equity method investments.

4. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

As described in note 2, the Company consolidates entities that are VIEs for which the Company has been designated as the primary beneficiary. The purpose of such VIEs is to provide strategy-specific investment opportunities for investors in exchange for management and performance based fees. The investment strategies of the entities that the Company manages may vary by entity; however, the fundamental risks of such entities have similar characteristics, including loss of invested capital and the return of carried interest income previously distributed to the Company by certain private equity, credit, and real estate entities. The nature of the Company's involvement with VIEs includes direct and indirect investments and fee arrangements. The Company does not provide performance guarantees and has no other financial obligations to provide funding to VIEs other than its own capital commitments. There is no recourse to the Company for the consolidated VIEs' liabilities.

The assets and liabilities of the consolidated VIEs are comprised primarily of investments and debt, at fair value, and are included within assets and liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

Apollo has consolidated VIEs in accordance with the policy described in note 2. The majority of the consolidated VIEs were formed for the sole purpose of issuing collateralized notes to investors. The assets of these VIEs are primarily comprised of senior secured loans and the liabilities are primarily comprised of debt. Through its role as collateral manager of these VIEs, it was determined that Apollo had the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these VIEs. Additionally, Apollo determined that the potential fees that it could receive directly and indirectly from these VIEs represent rights to returns that could potentially be significant to such VIEs. As a result, Apollo determined that it is the primary beneficiary and therefore should consolidate the VIEs.

The assets of these consolidated VIEs are not available to creditors of the Company. In addition, the investors in these consolidated VIEs have no recourse against the assets of the Company. The Company has elected the fair value option for financial instruments held by its consolidated VIEs, which includes investments in loans and corporate bonds, as well as debt obligations and contingent obligations held by such consolidated VIEs. Other assets include amounts due from brokers and interest receivables. Other liabilities include payables for securities purchased, which represent open trades within the consolidated VIEs and primarily relate to corporate loans that are expected to settle within the next 60 days. From time to time, Apollo makes investments into certain consolidated CLOs. As of September 30, 2014, the

Company had a \$24.2 million investment in a consolidated CLO, which eliminates in consolidation. Investment in Champ L.P.

On September 30, 2014, the Company, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, acquired a 25.6% ownership interest in Champ L.P. following which a wholly-owned subsidiary of Champ L.P. then acquired a 35% ownership interest in KBC Bank Deutschland AG ("KBC Bank"), the German subsidiary of Belgian KBC Group NV (the "KBC Transaction"). Following the closing of the transaction, KBC Bank was renamed Bremer Kreditbank AG and the bank will operate under the name BKB Bank. As of September 30, 2014, the Company had invested \$16.9 million in Champ L.P. The Company, together with other affiliated investors, in aggregate, own 100% of Champ L.P.

- 29-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The Company, through its aforementioned wholly-owned subsidiary, is the general partner and primary beneficiary of Champ, L.P., which meets the definition of a VIE. Accordingly, the Company has consolidated Champ, L.P. in accordance with the policy described in note 2. The Company's investment in Champ, L.P. is eliminated in consolidation.

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities of Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

The following table presents net gains (losses) from investment activities of the consolidated VIEs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively:

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net unrealized (losses) gains from investment activities	\$(171,640) \$37,097	\$(162,102) \$(75,964
Net realized gains (losses) from investment activities	21,170	(15,388) 37,992	68,529
Net (losses) gains from investment activities	(150,470) 21,709	(124,110) (7,435
Net unrealized losses from debt	(70,917) (47,104) (77,445) (203,352
Net realized gains from debt	101,388	56,222	101,745	139,619
Net gains (losses) from debt	30,471	9,118	24,300	(63,733
Interest and other income	163,747	159,111	481,883	488,737
Interest and other expenses	(142,596) (111,337) (389,761) (326,305
Net (Losses) Gains from Investment Activities of Consolidated Variable Interest Entities	\$(98,848) \$78,601	\$(7,688) \$91,264

Senior Secured Notes and Subordinated Notes—Included within debt are amounts due to third-party institutions by the consolidated VIEs. The following table summarizes the principal provisions of the debt of the consolidated VIEs as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	As of September 30, 2014			As of December 31, 2013		
	Principal Outstanding	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Weighted Average Remaining Maturity in Years	Principal Outstanding	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Weighted Average Remaining Maturity in Years
Senior Secured Notes ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	\$13,367,636	1.54	% 7.8	\$11,877,744	1.31	% 7.3
Subordinated Notes ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	1,132,466	N/A	⁽¹⁾ 9.0	963,099	N/A	⁽¹⁾ 8.1
Total	\$14,500,102			\$12,840,843		

(1) The subordinated notes do not have contractual interest rates but instead receive distributions from the excess cash flows of the VIEs.

(2) The fair value of Senior Secured Notes and Subordinated Notes as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was \$14,085.7 million and \$12,424.0 million, respectively.

(3)

The debt at fair value of the consolidated VIEs is collateralized by assets of the consolidated VIEs and assets of one vehicle may not be used to satisfy the liabilities of another vehicle. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the fair value of the consolidated VIE assets was \$17,227.3 million and \$15,502.3 million, respectively. This collateral consisted of cash and cash equivalents, investments, at fair value, and other assets. The consolidated VIEs' debt obligations contain various customary loan covenants as described above. As of September 30, 2014, the Company was not aware of any instances of non-compliance with any of these covenants.

- 30-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Variable Interest Entities Which are Not Consolidated

The Company holds variable interests in certain VIEs which are not consolidated, as it has been determined that Apollo is not the primary beneficiary.

The following tables present the carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities of the VIEs for which Apollo has concluded that it holds a significant variable interest, but that it is not the primary beneficiary as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. In addition, the tables present the maximum exposure to losses relating to those VIEs.

	As of September 30, 2014		
Total	Total Assets	Total Liabilities	Apollo Exposure
	\$12,977,028	(1) \$(755,260) (2) \$33,924 (3)

(1) Consists of \$710.5 million in cash, \$11,777.1 million in investments and \$489.4 million in receivables.

(2) Represents \$384.4 million in debt and other payables, \$369.8 million in securities sold, not purchased, and \$1.0 million in capital withdrawals payable.

(3) Represents Apollo's direct equity method investment in those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest. Additionally, cumulative carried interest income is subject to reversal in the event of future losses. The maximum amount of future reversal of carried interest income from all of Apollo's funds, including those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest, is \$3,602.6 million as of September 30, 2014 as discussed in note 13.

	As of December 31, 2013		
Total	Total Assets	Total Liabilities	Apollo Exposure
	\$12,866,498	(1) \$(1,311,279) (2) \$34,665 (3)

(1) Consists of \$354.7 million in cash, \$12,034.5 million in investments and \$477.3 million in receivables.

(2) Represents \$1,161.5 million in debt and other payables, \$106.5 million in securities sold, not purchased, and \$43.2 million in capital withdrawals payable.

(3) Represents Apollo's direct equity method investment in those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest. Additionally, cumulative carried interest income is subject to reversal in the event of future losses. The maximum amount of future reversal of carried interest income from all of Apollo's funds, including those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest, was \$4,858.0 million as of December 31, 2013.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following tables summarize the valuation of the Company's financial assets and liabilities by the fair value hierarchy as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively:

	As of September 30, 2014			Total
	Level I ⁽⁶⁾	Level II ⁽⁶⁾	Level III	
Assets				
Investment in AAA Investments ⁽¹⁾	\$—	\$—	\$2,147,104	\$2,147,104
Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund ⁽¹⁾	—	27,415	2,765	30,180
Investments in Other ⁽¹⁾	—	—	1,421	1,421
Investment in Athene Holding ⁽²⁾	—	—	265,313	265,313
AAA/Athene Receivable ⁽²⁾	—	—	55,847	55,847
Investments of VIEs, at fair value ⁽⁴⁾	155	13,561,304	2,195,584	15,757,043
Total Assets	\$ 155	\$ 13,588,719	\$ 4,668,034	\$ 18,256,908
Liabilities				
Liabilities of VIEs, at fair value ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	\$—	\$1,810,706	\$12,288,295	\$14,099,001
Contingent Consideration Obligations ⁽³⁾	—	—	96,911	96,911
Total Liabilities	\$—	\$ 1,810,706	\$ 12,385,206	\$ 14,195,912
	As of December 31, 2013			Total
	Level I ⁽⁶⁾	Level II ⁽⁶⁾	Level III	
Assets				
Investment in AAA Investments ⁽¹⁾	\$—	\$—	\$1,942,051	\$1,942,051
Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund ⁽¹⁾	—	28,711	892	29,603
Investments in Other ⁽¹⁾	—	—	40,373	40,373
Athene and AAA Services Derivatives ⁽²⁾	—	—	130,709	130,709
Investments of VIEs, at fair value ⁽⁴⁾	3,455	12,203,370	1,919,537	14,126,362
Total Assets	\$3,455	\$ 12,232,081	\$ 4,033,562	\$ 16,269,098
Liabilities				
Liabilities of VIEs, at fair value ⁽⁴⁾	\$—	\$2,429,815	\$9,994,147	\$12,423,962
Contingent Consideration Obligations ⁽³⁾	—	—	135,511	135,511
Total Liabilities	\$—	\$ 2,429,815	\$ 10,129,658	\$ 12,559,473

(1) See note 3 for further disclosure regarding the investment in AAA Investments, investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund, and investments in Other.

(2) See note 12 for further disclosure regarding the Athene Services Derivative, the AAA Services Derivative, the investment in Athene Holding and the AAA/Athene Receivable.

(3) See note 13 for further disclosure regarding Contingent Consideration Obligations.

(4) See note 4 for further disclosure regarding VIEs.

(5) As of September 30, 2014, liabilities of VIEs, at fair value includes debt and other liabilities of \$14,085.7 million and \$13.3 million, respectively. Other liabilities include contingent obligations classified as Level III.

(6) All Level I and Level II investments and liabilities were valued using third party pricing.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

There were no transfers of financial assets into Level I for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The following table summarizes the fair value transfers of financial assets between Level I, Level II and Level III for positions that existed as of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively:

	For the Three Months		For the Nine Months	
	Ended September 30,		Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Transfers from Level I into Level II ⁽¹⁾	\$—	\$—	\$4,084	\$—
Transfers from Level III into Level II ⁽¹⁾	393,413	282,385	865,020	1,065,141
Transfers from Level II into Level III ⁽¹⁾	316,229	133,210	898,475	600,255

Transfers between Level I, II and III were a result of subjecting the broker quotes on these investments to various (1) criteria which include the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

There were no transfers of financial liabilities into or out of Level I for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. In addition, there were no transfers of financial liabilities between Level I, Level II or Level III for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. The following table summarizes the fair value transfers of financial liabilities between Level II and Level III for positions that existed as of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively:

	For the Three Months		For the Nine Months	
	Ended September 30,		Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Transfers from Level III into Level II ⁽¹⁾	\$33,418	\$—	\$240,845	\$—
Transfers from Level II into Level III ⁽¹⁾	87,794	—	461,865	—

Transfers between Level II and III were a result of subjecting the broker quotes on these financial liabilities to (1) various criteria which include the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables summarize the changes in fair value in financial assets, which are measured at fair value and characterized as Level III investments, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014							Total
	Investment in AAA Investments	Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund	Investments in Other	Athene and AAA Services Derivatives	Investment in Athene Holding	AAA/Athene Receivable	Investments of Consolidated VIEs	
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$2,146,979	\$987	\$51,172	\$—	\$207,253	\$55,836	\$2,051,862	\$4,514,089
Elimination of investments attributable to consolidation of VIEs	—	—	—	—	—	—	17,762	17,762
Fees	—	—	—	—	—	57,979	—	57,979
Purchases	—	1,726	124	—	—	—	673,384	675,234
Sale of investments/Distributions	—	(15)	(50,000)	—	—	—	(490,105)	(540,120)
Net realized (losses) gains	—	—	(12,871)	—	—	—	12,707	(164)
Changes in net unrealized gains	125	11	12,996	—	92	—	7,214	20,438
Transfer into Level III	—	377	—	—	—	—	315,852	316,229
Transfer out of Level III	—	(321)	—	—	—	—	(393,092)	(393,413)
Settlement of derivatives/receivable ⁽¹⁾	—	—	—	—	57,968	(57,968)	—	—
Balance, End of Period	\$2,147,104	\$2,765	\$1,421	\$—	\$265,313	\$55,847	\$2,195,584	\$4,668,034
Change in net unrealized gains included in Net Gains from Investment Activities related to investments still held at reporting date	\$125	\$11	\$12,996	\$—	\$92	\$—	\$—	\$13,224
Change in net unrealized losses included in Net (Losses) Gains from Investment Activities of Consolidated VIEs related to investments still held at reporting date	—	—	—	—	—	—	18,277	18,277

(1) See note 12 for further disclosure regarding the settlement of the Athene Services Derivative, the AAA Services Derivative and the investment in Athene Holding.

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Investment in AAA	Investments held by	Investments in Other	Athene and AAA	Investments of	Total
-------------------	---------------------	----------------------	----------------	----------------	-------

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

	Investments	Apollo Senior Loan Fund		Services Derivatives	Consolidated VIEs	
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$ 1,659,093	\$437	\$50,392	\$48,116	\$ 1,757,857	\$3,515,895
Elimination of investments attributable to consolidation of VIEs	—	—	—	—	(44,907)	(44,907)
Fees	—	—	—	24,715	—	24,715
Purchases	—	—	1,686	—	175,339	177,025
Sale of investments/Distributions	(4,607)	—	(83)	—	(135,874)	(140,564)
Net realized losses	—	—	—	—	(21,439)	(21,439)
Changes in net unrealized gains (losses)	81,039	—	(7,125)	2,918	21,984	98,816
Transfer into Level III	—	—	—	—	133,210	133,210
Transfer out of Level III	—	(437)	—	—	(281,948)	(282,385)
Balance, End of Period	\$ 1,735,525	\$—	\$44,870	\$75,749	\$ 1,604,222	\$3,460,366
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) included in Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities related to investments still held at reporting date	\$81,039	\$—	\$(7,125)	\$—	\$—	\$73,914
Change in net unrealized losses included in Net Gains from Investment Activities of Consolidated VIEs related to investments still held at reporting date	—	—	—	—	(33)	(33)
Change in net unrealized gains included in Other Income, net related to assets still held at reporting date	—	—	—	2,918	—	2,918

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014							
	Investment in AAA Investments	Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund	Investments in Other	Athene and AAA Services Derivatives	Investment in Athene Holding	AAA/Athene Receivable	Investments Consolidated VIEs	Total
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$ 1,942,051	\$ 892	\$ 40,373	\$ 130,709	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,919,537	\$ 4,033,562
Elimination of investments attributable to consolidation of VIEs	—	—	—	—	—	—	16,666	16,666
Fees	—	—	—	60,422	—	113,815	—	174,237
Purchases	—	3,716	1,849	—	2,083	—	988,308	995,956
Sale of investments/Distributions	—	(1,524)	(50,142)	—	—	—	(788,089)	(839,755)
Net realized gains (losses)	—	10	(12,871)	24,242	—	—	(8,495)	2,886
Changes in net unrealized gains (losses)	205,053	47	22,212	(10,203)	92	—	33,826	251,027
Transfer into Level III	—	859	—	—	—	—	897,616	898,475
Transfer out of Level III	—	(1,235)	—	—	—	—	(863,785)	(865,020)
Settlement of derivatives/receivable ⁽¹⁾	—	—	—	(205,170)	263,138	(57,968)	—	—
Balance, End of Period	\$ 2,147,104	\$ 2,765	\$ 1,421	\$ —	\$ 265,313	\$ 55,847	\$ 2,195,584	\$ 4,668,034
Change in net unrealized gains included in Net Gains from Investment Activities related to investments still held at reporting date	\$ 205,053	\$ 47	\$ 22,212	\$ —	\$ 92	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 227,404
Change in net unrealized gains included in Net (Losses) Gains from Investment Activities of Consolidated VIEs related to investments still held at reporting date	—	—	—	—	—	—	34,543	34,543

(1) See note 12 for further disclosure regarding the settlement of the Athene Services Derivative, the AAA Services Derivative and the investment in Athene Holding.

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Investment in AAA Investments	Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan	Investments in Other	Athene and AAA Services Derivatives	Investments of Consolidated VIEs	Total
-------------------------------------	---	-------------------------	--	---	-------

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

		Fund				
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$ 1,666,448	\$590	\$50,311	\$2,126	\$ 1,643,465	\$3,362,940
Elimination of investments attributable to consolidation of VIEs	—	—	—	—	(29,507)	(29,507)
Fees	—	—	—	70,421	—	70,421
Purchases	—	22	3,954	—	1,098,007	1,101,983
Sale of investments/Distributions	(66,796)	—	(985)	—	(641,966)	(709,747)
Net realized losses	—	—	—	—	(28,447)	(28,447)
Changes in net unrealized gains (losses)	135,873	9	(8,410)	3,202	26,935	157,609
Transfer into Level III	—	437	—	—	599,818	600,255
Transfer out of Level III	—	(1,058)	—	—	(1,064,083)	(1,065,141)
Balance, End of Period	\$1,735,525	\$—	\$44,870	\$75,749	\$ 1,604,222	\$3,460,366
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) included in Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities related to investments still held at reporting date	\$ 135,873	\$9	\$(8,410)	\$—	\$—	\$127,472
Change in net unrealized losses included in Net Gains from Investment Activities of Consolidated VIEs related to investments still held at reporting date	—	—	—	—	(11,020)	(11,020)
Change in net unrealized gains included in Other Income, net related to assets still held at reporting date	—	—	—	3,202	—	3,202

- 35-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables summarize the changes in fair value in financial liabilities, which are measured at fair value and characterized as Level III liabilities:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014			2013		
	Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs	Contingent Consideration Obligations	Total	Debt of Consolidated VIEs	Contingent Consideration Obligations	Total
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$ 10,211,336	\$ 115,220	\$ 10,326,556	\$ 10,835,271	\$ 107,342	\$ 10,942,613
Elimination of debt attributable to consolidation of VIEs	(19,982)	—	(19,982)	(44,886)	—	(44,886)
Additions	2,573,593	—	2,573,593	1,763,456	—	1,763,456
Payments/Extinguishment ⁽¹⁾	(495,236)	(19,043)	(514,279)	(430,228)	(16,401)	(446,629)
Net realized gains	(101,388)	—	(101,388)	(56,222)	—	(56,222)
Changes in net unrealized losses	65,596	734	66,330	47,104	37,604	84,708
Transfers into Level III	87,794	—	87,794	—	—	—
Transfers out of Level III	(33,418)	—	(33,418)	—	—	—
Balance, End of Period	\$ 12,288,295	\$ 96,911	\$ 12,385,206	\$ 12,114,495	\$ 128,545	\$ 12,243,040
Change in net unrealized (gains) included in Net (Losses) Gains from Investment Activities of consolidated VIEs related to liabilities still held at reporting date	\$ (21,716)	\$ —	\$ (21,716)	\$ (5,223)	\$ —	\$ (5,223)
Change in net unrealized losses included in Profit Sharing Expense related to liabilities still held at reporting date	—	734	734	—	37,604	37,604

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, includes a \$13.4 million extinguishment of contingent (1) consideration obligations, which is recorded in other income on the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014			2013		
	Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs	Contingent Consideration Obligations	Total	Debt of Consolidated VIEs	Contingent Consideration Obligations	Total
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$ 9,994,147	\$ 135,511	\$ 10,129,658	\$ 11,834,955	\$ 142,219	\$ 11,977,174
Elimination of debt attributable to consolidation of VIEs	(13,258)	—	(13,258)	(29,498)	—	(29,498)
Additions	3,469,560	—	3,469,560	2,095,707	—	2,095,707
Payments/Extinguishment ⁽¹⁾	(1,336,015)	(44,126)	(1,380,141)	(1,850,403)	(61,197)	(1,911,600)
Net realized gains	(101,745)	—	(101,745)	(139,619)	—	(139,619)
	54,586	5,526	60,112	203,353	47,523	250,876

Changes in net unrealized losses						
Transfers into Level III	461,865	—	461,865	—	—	—
Transfers out of Level III	(240,845)	—	(240,845)	—	—	—
Balance, End of Period	\$ 12,288,295	\$ 96,911	\$ 12,385,206	\$ 12,114,495	\$ 128,545	\$ 12,243,040
Change in net unrealized (gains) losses included in Net (Losses) Gains from						
Investment Activities of consolidated VIEs related to liabilities still held at reporting date	\$(66,139)	\$ —	\$(66,139)	\$ 70,527	\$ —	\$ 70,527
Change in net unrealized losses included in Profit Sharing Expense related to liabilities still held at reporting date	—	5,526	5,526	—	47,523	47,523

(1) For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, includes \$13.4 million extinguishment of contingent consideration obligations, which is recorded in other income on the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables summarize the quantitative inputs and assumptions used for financial assets and liabilities categorized in Level III of the fair value hierarchy as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	As of September 30, 2014				
	Fair Value	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Ranges	Weighted Average
Financial Assets					
Investments of Consolidated Apollo Funds:					
AAA Investments ⁽¹⁾	\$2,147,104	Net Asset Value	N/A	N/A	N/A
Apollo Senior Loan Fund	2,765	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Investments in Other	1,421				
Investment in Athene Holding	265,313	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	15.0%	15.0%
AAA/Athene Receivable	55,847	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	15.0%	15.0%
Investments of Consolidated VIEs:					
	34,783	Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
	1,092,564	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bank Debt Term Loans	42,160	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	8.5%	8.5%
	7,957	Market Comparable Companies	Comparable Multiples	5.0x	5.0x
Corporate Loans/Bonds/CLO Notes ⁽⁵⁾	927,461	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6,717	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Stocks	24,403	Market Comparable Companies	Comparable Multiples	5.0x - 10.9x	6.0x
	59,539	Transaction	Purchase Price	N/A	N/A
Total Investments of Consolidated VIEs	2,195,584				
Total Financial Assets	\$4,668,034				
Financial Liabilities					
Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs:					
			Discount Rate	10.0%	10.0%
Subordinated Notes	\$749,764	Discounted Cash Flow	Default Rate	1.0% - 1.5%	1.3%
			Recovery Rate	75.0%	75.0%
Subordinated Notes	258,335	Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
Senior Secured Notes	9,222,288		N/A	N/A	N/A

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

		Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾			
Senior Secured and Subordinated Notes	2,044,634	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	1.6% - 1.8%	1.7%
			Default Rate	2.0%	2.0%
			Recovery Rate	20.0% - 75.0%	70.0%
Contingent Obligation	13,274	Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs	12,288,295				
Contingent Consideration Obligation	96,911	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	11.0% - 19.0%	15.8%
Total Financial Liabilities	\$12,385,206				

(1) The following table summarizes a look-through of the Company's Level III investments by valuation methodology of the underlying securities held by AAA Investments:

	As of September 30, 2014		
			% of Investment of AAA Investments
Approximate values based on net asset value of the underlying funds, which are based on the funds' underlying investments that are valued using the following:			
Discounted cash flow	\$2,246,401	(³)	100 %
Total Investments	2,246,401)	100 %
Other net liabilities ⁽⁴⁾	(99,297)	
Total Net Assets	\$2,147,104		

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

(2) These securities are valued primarily using broker quotes.

Represents the investment by AAA Investments in Athene, which is valued using the embedded value method which was based on the present value of the future expected regulatory distributable income generated by the net assets of Athene plus the excess capital (i.e., the capital in excess of what is required to be held against Athene's

(3) liabilities). The unobservable inputs and respective ranges used are the same as noted for the Investment in Athene Holding and the AAA/Athene Receivable in the table above. See note 12 for discussion of the investment in Athene Holding.

Balances include other assets, liabilities and general partner interests of AAA Investments. Balance at September 30, 2014 is primarily comprised of \$27.3 million in assets, less \$3.9 million and \$122.7 million in liabilities and net assets allocated to the general partner, respectively. Carrying values approximate fair value for other assets and liabilities.

(4) Balance includes investments in an affiliated fund, which primarily invests in corporate loans, bonds, and CLO (5) notes. Balance at September 30, 2014 included investments in an affiliated fund in the amount of \$840.8 million, which were valued based on NAV.

	As of December 31, 2013				
	Fair Value	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Ranges	Weighted Average
Financial Assets					
Investments of Consolidated Apollo Funds:					
AAA Investments ⁽¹⁾	\$1,942,051	Net Asset Value	N/A	N/A	N/A
Apollo Senior Loan Fund	892	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Investments in HFA and Other	40,373	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	
Athene and AAA Services Derivatives	130,709	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate Implied Multiple	15.0% 1.1x	15.0% 1.1x
Investments of Consolidated VIEs:					
Bank Debt Term Loans	18,467	Other Market	N/A	N/A	N/A
Stocks	7,938	Comparable Companies	Comparable Multiples	6.0x - 9.5x	7.9x
Corporate Loans/Bonds/CLO Notes ⁽⁵⁾	1,893,132	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Investments of Consolidated VIEs	1,919,537				
Total Financial Assets	\$4,033,562				
Financial Liabilities					
Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs:					
Subordinated Notes	\$835,149	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate Default Rate Recovery Rate	10.0% - 12.0% 1.0% - 1.5% 75.0%	10.8% 1.3% 75.0%

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Senior Secured Notes	2,132,576	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	1.9% - 2.2%	2.0%
			Default Rate	2.0%	2.0%
			Recovery Rate	30.0% - 70.0%	65.2%
Senior Secured and Subordinated Notes	7,026,422	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs	9,994,147				
Contingent Consideration Obligation	135,511	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	10.5% - 18.5%	15.3%
Total Financial Liabilities	\$10,129,658				

(1) The following table summarizes a look-through of the Company's Level III investments by valuation methodology of the underlying securities held by AAA Investments:

	As of December 31, 2013		
			% of Investment of AAA Investments
Approximate values based on net asset value of the underlying funds, which are based on the funds underlying investments that are valued using the following:			
Discounted Cash Flow	\$1,950,010	(³)	100 %
Total Investments	1,950,010)	100 %
Other net liabilities ⁽⁴⁾	(7,959)	
Total Net Assets	\$1,942,051		

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

(2) These securities are valued primarily using broker quotes.

Represents the investment by AAA Investments in Athene, which is valued using a discounted cash flow model.

(3) The unobservable inputs and respective ranges used in the discounted cash flow model are the same as noted for the Athene and AAA Services Derivatives in the table above.

Balances include other assets, liabilities and general partner interests of AAA Investments. Balance at December 31, 2013 is primarily comprised of \$110.8 million in assets, less \$16.7 million and \$102.1 million in liabilities and net assets allocated to the general partner, respectively. Carrying values approximate fair value for

(4) other assets and liabilities (except for the note receivable from an affiliate) and, accordingly, extended valuation procedures are not required. The note receivable from an affiliate is a Level III asset valued using a discounted cash flow model. The unobservable inputs and respective ranges used in the discounted cash flow model are the same as noted for the Athene and AAA Services Derivatives in the table above.

Balance includes investments in an affiliated fund, which primarily invests in corporate loans, bonds, and CLO

(5) notes. Balance at December 31, 2013 included investments in an affiliated fund in the amount of \$645.5 million, which were valued based on NAV.

Investment in Athene Holding and AAA/Athene Receivable

As of September 30, 2014, the significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the investment in Athene Holding is the discount rate applied in the valuation model. This input in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. An increase in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of an investment; conversely a decrease in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of an investment. The discount rate is determined based on the expected required rate of return based on the risk profile of similar cash flows.

Consolidated VIEs

Investments

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the bank debt term loans and stocks include the discount rate applied and the multiples applied in the valuation models. These unobservable inputs in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. Increases in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of an investment; conversely decreases in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of an investment. The discount rate is determined based on the market rates an investor would expect for a similar investment with similar risks. When a comparable multiple model is used to determine fair value, the comparable multiples are generally multiplied by the underlying companies' earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") to establish the total enterprise value of the company. The comparable multiple is determined based on the implied trading multiple of public industry peers.

Liabilities

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the subordinated and senior secured notes include the discount rate applied in the valuation models, default and recovery rates applied in the valuation models. These inputs in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. Increases in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of subordinated and senior secured notes; conversely a decrease in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of subordinated and senior secured notes. The discount rate is determined based on the market rates an investor would expect for similar subordinated and senior secured notes with similar risks.

Contingent Consideration Obligations

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the contingent consideration obligations is the discount rate applied in the valuation models. This input in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. Increases in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations; conversely a decrease in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations. The discount rate was based on the weighted average cost of capital for the Company. See note 13 for further discussion of the contingent consideration obligations.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

6. CARRIED INTEREST RECEIVABLE

Carried interest receivable from private equity, credit and real estate funds consisted of the following:

	As of September 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013
Private Equity	\$1,119,985	\$1,867,771
Credit	302,872	408,342
Real Estate	9,417	10,962
Total carried interest receivable	\$1,432,274	\$2,287,075

The table below provides a roll-forward of the carried interest receivable balance for the nine months ended September 30, 2014:

	Private Equity	Credit	Real Estate	Total
Carried interest receivable, January 1, 2014	\$1,867,771	\$408,342	\$10,962	\$2,287,075
Change in fair value of funds	211,423	179,403	2,431	393,257
Fund cash distributions to the Company	(959,209)	(284,873)	(3,976)	(1,248,058)
Carried interest receivable, September 30, 2014	\$1,119,985	\$302,872	\$9,417	\$1,432,274

The timing of the payment of carried interest due to the general partner or investment manager varies depending on the terms of the applicable fund agreements. Generally, carried interest with respect to the private equity funds and certain credit and real estate funds is payable and is distributed to the fund's general partner upon realization of an investment if the fund's cumulative returns are in excess of the preferred return. For most credit funds, carried interest is payable based on realizations after the end of the relevant fund's fiscal year or fiscal quarter, subject to high watermark provisions.

7. PROFIT SHARING PAYABLE

Profit sharing payable from private equity, credit and real estate funds consisted of the following:

	As of September 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013
Private Equity	\$511,416	\$751,192
Credit	239,521	234,504
Real Estate	4,385	6,544
Total profit sharing payable	\$755,322	\$992,240

The table below provides a roll-forward of the profit sharing payable balance for the nine months ended September 30, 2014:

	Private Equity	Credit	Real Estate	Total
Profit sharing payable, January 1, 2014	\$751,192	\$234,504	\$6,544	\$992,240
Profit sharing expense ⁽¹⁾	162,719	97,269	(1,055)	258,933
Payments/other	(402,495)	(92,252)	(1,104)	(495,851)
Profit sharing payable, September 30, 2014	\$511,416	\$239,521	\$4,385	\$755,322

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Includes both of the following: (i) changes in amounts payable to employees and former employees entitled to a (1) share of carried interest income in Apollo's funds and (ii) changes to the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations (see notes 5 and 13) recognized in connection with certain Apollo acquisitions.

8. INCOME TAXES

The Company is treated as a partnership for income tax purposes and is therefore not subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxes. APO Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, is subject to U.S. federal, state and local corporate income taxes. Certain other subsidiaries of the Company are subject to New York City Unincorporated Business Tax ("NYC UBT") attributable to the Company's operations apportioned to New York City. In addition, certain non-U.S. subsidiaries of the Company are subject to income taxes in their local jurisdictions.

The Company's provision for income taxes totaled \$29.4 million and \$47.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$97.0 million and \$83.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company's effective tax rate was approximately (76.5)% and 6.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and 13.1% and 4.9%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Under U.S. GAAP, a tax benefit from an uncertain tax position may be recognized when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. Based upon the Company's review of its federal, state, local and foreign income tax returns and tax filing positions, the Company determined that no unrecognized tax benefits for uncertain tax positions were required to be recorded. In addition, the Company does not believe that it has any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that it will be required to record significant amounts of unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve months.

The Company files its tax returns as prescribed by the tax laws of the jurisdictions in which it operates. In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to examination by federal and certain state, local and foreign tax authorities. With a few exceptions, as of September 30, 2014, Apollo and its predecessor entities' U.S. federal, state, local and foreign income tax returns for the years 2011 through 2014 are open under the general statute of limitations provisions and therefore subject to examination. Currently, the Internal Revenue Service is examining the tax returns of Apollo Global Management, LLC and various subsidiaries for tax years 2010 to 2012. The City of New York is examining certain subsidiaries' tax returns for tax years 2011 and 2012.

The Company has recorded a deferred tax asset for the future amortization of tax basis intangibles as a result of the 2007 Reorganization. In connection with the Secondary Offering, as disclosed in note 1, the Company recognized an additional step-up in tax basis of intangibles as a result of the exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares in May 2013. The Company recognized an additional step-up in tax basis of intangibles as a result of an exchange of AOG units for Class A shares in November 2013 and May 2014. As a result of the exchanges of AOG Units for Class A shares, there were increases in the deferred tax asset established from the 2007 Reorganization which was recorded in deferred tax assets in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition for the expected tax benefit associated with these increases. A related tax receivable agreement liability was recorded in due to affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition for the expected payments under the tax receivable agreement entered into by and among APO Corp., the Managing Partners, the Contributing Partners, and other parties thereto (as amended, the "tax receivable agreement") (see note 12). The increases in the deferred tax asset less the related liability resulted in increases to additional paid-in capital which was recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The amortization period for these tax basis intangibles is 15 years. Accordingly, the related deferred tax assets will reverse over the same period.

During the third quarter of 2013, Apollo adjusted its estimated rate of tax it expects to pay in the future and thereby reduced its net deferred tax assets, and increased its income tax provision, by \$16.9 million (see note 12 for details regarding the tax receivable agreement).

The tables below present the transactions during the year ended December 31, 2013 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 related to the exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares and the resulting impact to the deferred tax asset, tax receivable agreement liability and additional paid-in capital.

- 41-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2013		
Date of Exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares	Increase in Deferred Tax Asset	Increase in Tax Receivable Agreement Liability	Increase to Additional Paid In Capital
For the Year Ended December 31, 2013	\$ 149,327	\$ 126,928	\$ 22,399
	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014		
Date of Exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares	Increase in Deferred Tax Asset	Increase in Tax Receivable Agreement Liability	Increase to Additional Paid In Capital
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	\$ 58,696	\$ 47,878	\$ 10,818

9. DEBT

Debt consisted of the following:

	As of September 30, 2014			As of December 31, 2013		
	Outstanding Balance	Annualized Weighted Average Interest Rate		Outstanding Balance	Annualized Weighted Average Interest Rate	
2013 AMH Credit Facilities - Term Facility	\$ 500,000	1.36	%	\$ 750,000	1.37	%
2024 Senior Notes ⁽¹⁾	499,033	4.00	%	—	—	
2014 AMI Term Facility ⁽²⁾	16,919	2.34	%	—	—	
Total Debt	\$ 1,015,952	2.06	%	\$ 750,000	1.37	%

(1) Includes impact of any amortization of note discount and interest rate hedge.

(2) On July 3, 2014, Apollo Management International LLP ("AMI"), a subsidiary of the Company, entered into a €13.4 million five year credit agreement (the "2014 AMI Term Facility"). Proceeds from the borrowing were used to fund the Company's investment in a CLO.

2007 AMH Credit Agreement—On April 20, 2007, Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. ("AMH"), a subsidiary of the Company which is a Delaware limited partnership, entered into a \$1.0 billion seven year credit agreement (the "2007 AMH Credit Agreement"). Interest payable under the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement was based on Eurodollar LIBOR or Alternate Base Rate ("ABR") as determined by the borrower. On December 20, 2010, Apollo amended the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement to extend the maturity date of \$995.0 million (including the \$90.9 million of fair value debt repurchased by the Company) of the term loan from April 20, 2014 to January 3, 2017 and modified certain other terms of the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement. On December 20, 2010, an affiliate of AMH that was a guarantor under the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement repurchased approximately \$180.8 million of the term loan in connection with the extension of the maturity date of such loan and thus the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement (excluding the portions held by AMH affiliates) had a remaining balance of \$728.3 million.

The interest rate on the \$723.3 million, net (\$995.0 million portion less amount repurchased by the Company) of the loan at September 30, 2013 was 4.02% and the interest rate on the remaining \$5.0 million portion of the loan at September 30, 2013 was 1.27%. Interest expense incurred by the Company related to the 2007 AMH Credit

Agreement was \$7.4 million and \$22.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. Amortization expense related to the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement was \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

The outstanding loans under the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement were refinanced on December 18, 2013 with the net proceeds from the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities (as defined below). Additionally, the net proceeds were used to pay fees and expenses associated with the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities. The 2007 AMH Credit Agreement and all related loan documents and security with respect thereto were terminated in connection with the refinancing.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

2013 AMH Credit Facilities—On December 18, 2013, AMH and its subsidiaries and certain other subsidiaries of the Company (collectively, the "Borrowers") entered into new credit facilities (the "2013 AMH Credit Facilities") with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities provide for (i) a term loan facility to AMH (the "Term Facility") that includes \$750 million of the term loan from third-party lenders and \$271.7 million of the term loan held by a subsidiary of the Company and (ii) a \$500 million revolving credit facility (the "Revolver Facility"), in each case, with a final maturity date of January 18, 2019.

Interest on the borrowings is based on an adjusted LIBOR rate or alternate base rate, in each case plus an applicable margin, and undrawn revolving commitments bear a commitment fee. Under the terms of the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities, the applicable margin ranges from 1.125% to 1.75% for LIBOR loans and 0.125% to 0.75% for alternate base rate loans, and the undrawn revolving commitment fee ranges from 0.125% to 0.25%, in each case depending on the Company's corporate rating assigned by Standard & Poor's Ratings Group, Inc. The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities do not require any scheduled amortization payments or other mandatory prepayments (except with respect to overadvances on the Revolver Facility) prior to the final maturity date, and the Borrowers may prepay the loans and/or terminate or reduce the revolving commitments under the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities at any time without penalty. In connection with the issuance of the 2024 Senior Notes (as defined below), \$250 million of the proceeds were used to repay a portion of the Term Facility outstanding with third party lenders at par. The interest rate on the \$500 million Term Facility as of September 30, 2014 was 1.36% and the commitment fee as of September 30, 2014 on the \$500 million undrawn Revolver Facility was 0.125%. Interest expense incurred by the Company related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities was \$1.9 million and \$7.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$500 million and \$750 million of the Term Facility was outstanding with third-party lenders, respectively, and there was approximately \$271.7 million of the Term Facility that was held by a subsidiary of the Company. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Revolver Facility was undrawn. The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt obligation related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities is approximately \$501.3 million based on obtained broker quotes as of September 30, 2014. The \$500.0 million carrying value of debt that is recorded on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition at September 30, 2014 is the amount for which the Company expects to settle the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities. The Company has determined that the long-term debt obligation related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities would be categorized as a Level III liability in the fair value hierarchy based on the Company's number of broker quotes obtained, the quality of the broker quotes, the standard deviations of the observed broker quotes and the corroboration of the broker quotes to independent pricing services.

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the Company determined that the refinancing of the outstanding loans under the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement resulted in a debt extinguishment. The Company capitalized debt issuance costs of \$6.6 million incurred in relation to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities, which was recorded in other assets in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as of December 31, 2013 to be amortized over the life of the term loan and line of credit. In connection with the repayment of the Term Facility, \$1.9 million of unamortized debt issuance costs were recognized by the Company as loss on extinguishment recorded in other income, net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. Debt issuance cost amortization expense related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities was \$0.2 million and \$0.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities are guaranteed and collateralized by AMH and its subsidiaries, Apollo Management, L.P., Apollo Capital Management, L.P., Apollo International Management, L.P., AAA Holdings, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IX L.P., ST Holdings GP, LLC and ST

Management Holdings, LLC. The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities contain affirmative and negative covenants which limit the ability of the Borrowers, the guarantors and certain of their subsidiaries to, among other things, incur indebtedness and create liens. Additionally, the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities contain financial covenants which require the Borrowers and their subsidiaries to maintain (1) at least \$40 billion of fee-generating Assets Under Management and (2) a maximum total net leverage ratio of not more than 4.00 to 1.00 (subject to customary equity cure rights). The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities also contain customary events of default, including events of default arising from non-payment, material misrepresentations, breaches of covenants, cross default to material indebtedness, bankruptcy and changes in control of the Company.

Borrowings under the Revolver Facility may be used for working capital and general corporate purposes, including, without limitation, permitted acquisitions. In addition, the Borrowers may incur incremental facilities in respect of the Revolver Facility and the Term Facility in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$500 million plus additional amounts so long as the Borrowers are in compliance with a net leverage ratio not to exceed 3.75 to 1.00.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

2024 Senior Notes—On May 30, 2014, AMH issued \$500 million in aggregate principal amount of its 4.000% Senior Notes due 2024 (the "2024 Senior Notes"), at an issue price of 99.722% of par. Interest on the 2024 Senior Notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on May 30 and November 30 of each year. The 2024 Senior Notes will mature on May 30, 2024. The discount will be amortized into interest expense on the condensed consolidated statements of operations over the term of the 2024 Senior Notes. Interest expense incurred by the Company related to the 2024 Senior Notes was \$5.0 million and \$6.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

The Company capitalized debt issuance costs of \$5.5 million incurred in connection with the issuance of the 2024 Senior Notes, which was recorded in other assets in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as of September 30, 2014 to be amortized over the term of the notes. Debt issuance cost amortization expense related to the issuance of the 2024 Senior Notes was \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

The 2024 Senior Notes are guaranteed by Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P., AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P. and any other entity that is required to become a guarantor of the notes under the terms of the indenture governing the 2024 Senior Notes (the "2024 Senior Notes Indenture"). The 2024 Senior Notes Indenture includes covenants that restrict the ability of AMH and, as applicable, the guarantors to incur indebtedness secured by liens on voting stock or profit participating equity interests of their respective subsidiaries or merge, consolidate or sell, transfer or lease assets. The 2024 Senior Notes Indenture also provides for customary events of default.

The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt obligation related to the 2024 Senior Notes is approximately \$499.5 million based on obtained broker quotes as of September 30, 2014. The face amount of \$500.0 million related to the 2024 Senior Notes is the amount the Company is obligated to settle the 2024 Senior Notes. The Company has determined that the long-term debt obligation related to the 2024 Senior Notes would be categorized as a Level II liability in the fair value hierarchy based on the number of broker quotes obtained, the quality of the broker quotes, the standard deviations of the observed broker quotes and the corroboration of the broker quotes to independent pricing services.

The net (deficit) assets of each Borrower are summarized as follows:

Borrower	Net (Deficit) Assets as of September 30, 2014	Net (Deficit) Assets as of December 31, 2013
AMH and subsidiaries ⁽¹⁾	\$(471,377)	\$(689,958)
Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P.	1,275,448	1,570,336
Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P. ⁽²⁾	117,142	167,844
Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P.	365,124	661,106
Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P.	157,835	163,329
Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P.	55,547	53,116
Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P.	283,349	239,876
Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P.	109,230	99,250
Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P.	34,092	16,784
Apollo Principal Holdings IX L.P.	73,827	152,010

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

- Includes Apollo Management, L.P., Apollo Capital Management, L.P., Apollo International Management, L.P.,
(1) AAA Holdings, L.P. and ST Management Holdings, LLC, which are subsidiaries of AMH. AMH is wholly owned
by AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P.
(2) Includes ST Holdings GP, LLC, which is a subsidiary of Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

10. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER CLASS A SHARE

U.S. GAAP requires use of the two-class method of computing earnings per share for all periods presented for each class of common stock and participating security as if all earnings for the period had been distributed. Under the two-class method, during periods of net income, the net income is first reduced for distributions declared on all classes of securities to arrive at undistributed earnings. During periods of net losses, the net loss is reduced for distributions declared on participating securities only if the security has the right to participate in the earnings of the entity and an objectively determinable contractual obligation to share in net losses of the entity.

The remaining earnings are allocated to Class A shares and participating securities to the extent that each security shares in earnings as if all of the earnings for the period had been distributed. Earnings or losses allocated to each class of security are then divided by the applicable number of shares to arrive at basic earnings per share. For the diluted earnings, the denominator includes all outstanding Class A shares and includes the number of additional Class A shares that would have been outstanding if the dilutive potential Class A shares had been issued. The numerator is adjusted for any changes in income or loss that would result from the issuance of these potential Class A shares. The table below presents basic and diluted net income (loss) per Class A share using the two-class method for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Basic and Diluted			
	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Numerator:				
Net income attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$2,210	\$192,516	\$146,047	\$500,231
Distributions declared on Class A shares	(73,580) ⁽¹⁾	(189,681) ⁽²⁾	(364,434) ⁽¹⁾	(409,210) ⁽²⁾
Distributions on participating securities	(10,161)	(30,828)	(56,588)	(70,120)
Earnings allocable to participating securities	— ⁽³⁾	— ⁽³⁾	— ⁽³⁾	(3,229)
Undistributed (loss) income attributable to Class A shareholders: Basic	(81,531)	(27,993)	(274,975)	17,672
Dilution effect on undistributed income attributable to Class A shareholders	—	2,884	—	7,589
Dilution effect on distributable income attributable to participating securities	—	—	—	(1,213)
Undistributed (loss) income attributable to Class A shareholders: Diluted	\$(81,531)	\$(25,109)	\$(274,975)	\$24,048
Denominator:				
Weighted average number of Class A shares outstanding: Basic	158,466,602	142,829,913	153,071,007	137,165,119
Dilution effect of share options and unvested RSUs	—	3,383,071	—	3,258,810
Weighted average number of Class A shares outstanding: Diluted	158,466,602	146,212,984	153,071,007	140,423,929
Net (Loss) Income per Class A share: Basic				
Distributed Income	\$0.46	\$1.33	\$2.38	\$2.98
Undistributed (Loss) Income	(0.51)	(0.20)	(1.80)	0.13
Net (Loss) Income per Class A Share: Basic	\$(0.05)	\$1.13	\$0.58	\$3.11
Net (Loss) Income per Class A Share: Diluted ⁽⁴⁾				

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Distributed Income	\$0.46	\$1.30	\$2.38	\$2.91
Undistributed (Loss) Income	(0.51)	(0.17)	(1.80)	0.17
Net (Loss) Income per Class A Share: Diluted	\$(0.05)	\$1.13	\$0.58	\$3.08

- (1) The Company declared a \$1.08, \$0.84 and \$0.46 distribution on Class A shares on February 7, 2014, May 8, 2014 and August 6, 2014, respectively.
- (2) The Company declared a \$1.05, \$0.57 and \$1.32 distribution on Class A shares on February 8, 2013, May 6, 2013 and August 8, 2013, respectively.
- (3) No allocation of losses was made to the participating securities as the holders do not have a contractual obligation to share in the losses of the Company with Class A shareholders.
- (4) For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and three months ended September 30, 2013, the Company had an undistributed loss attributable to Class A shareholders and none of the classes of securities resulted in dilution. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 , AOG Units, restricted share units ("RSUs"), share options and participating securities were

- 45-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

anti-dilutive and were accordingly excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, share options and unvested RSUs were determined to be dilutive and were accordingly included in the diluted earnings per share calculation. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the AOG Units and participating securities were determined to be anti-dilutive and were accordingly excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation.

On October 24, 2007, the Company commenced the granting of RSUs that provide the right to receive, subject to vesting, Class A shares of Apollo Global Management, LLC, pursuant to the Company's 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. Certain RSU grants to employees provide the right to receive distribution equivalents on vested RSUs on an equal basis any time a distribution is declared. The Company refers to these RSU grants as "Plan Grants." For certain Plan Grants, distribution equivalents are paid in January of the calendar year next following the calendar year in which a distribution on Class A shares was declared. In addition, certain RSU grants to employees provide that both vested and unvested RSUs participate in distribution equivalents on an equal basis with the Class A shareholders any time a distribution is declared. The Company refers to these as "Bonus Grants." As of September 30, 2014, approximately 18.4 million vested RSUs and 4.2 million unvested RSUs were eligible for participation in distribution equivalents.

Any distribution equivalent paid to an employee will not be returned to the Company upon forfeiture of the award by the employee. Vested and unvested RSUs that are entitled to non-forfeitable distribution equivalents qualify as participating securities and are included in the Company's basic and diluted earnings per share computations using the two-class method. The holder of an RSU participating security would have a contractual obligation to share in the losses of the entity if the holder is obligated to fund the losses of the issuing entity or if the contractual principal or mandatory redemption amount of the participating security is reduced as a result of losses incurred by the issuing entity. Because the RSU participating securities do not have a mandatory redemption amount and the holders of the participating securities are not obligated to fund losses, neither the vested RSUs nor the unvested RSUs are subject to any contractual obligation to share in losses of the Company.

Holders of AOG Units are subject to the vesting requirements and transfer restrictions set forth in the agreements with the respective holders, and may a limited number of times each year, upon notice (subject to the terms of the Exchange Agreement), exchange their AOG Units for Class A shares on a one-for-one basis. A limited partner must exchange one partnership unit in each of the Apollo Operating Group partnerships to effectuate an exchange for one Class A share. As disclosed in note 1, in connection with the Secondary Offering, certain holders of AOG Units exchanged their AOG Units for Class A shares and approximately 8.8 million Class A shares were issued by the Company in the exchange. In November 2013, certain holders of AOG Units exchanged their AOG Units for Class A shares and approximately 2.3 million Class A shares were issued by the Company in the exchange. In May 2014, certain holders of AOG Units exchanged their AOG Units for Class A Shares and approximately 6.2 million Class A shares were issued by the Company in the exchange.

If all of the outstanding AOG Units were exchanged for Class A shares, the result would be an additional 222,736,477 Class A shares added to the basic earnings per share calculation.

Apollo Global Management, LLC has one Class B share outstanding, which is held by BRH Holdings GP, Ltd. ("BRH"). The voting power of the Class B share is reduced on a one vote per one AOG Unit basis in the event of an exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares, as discussed above. The Class B share has no net income (loss) per share as it does not participate in Apollo's earnings (losses) or distributions. The Class B share has no distribution or liquidation rights. The Class B share has voting rights on a pari passu basis with the Class A shares. The Class B share had a super voting power of 222,736,477 votes as of September 30, 2014.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The table below presents transactions in Class A shares during each quarter during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, each quarter during the year ended December 31, 2013 and the resulting impact on the Company's and Holdings' ownership interests in the Apollo Operating Group:

Date	Type of Class A Shares Transaction	Number of Shares Issued in Class A Shares Transaction (in thousands)	Apollo Global Management, LLC ownership% in Apollo Operating Group before Class A Shares Transaction	Apollo Global Management, LLC ownership% in Apollo Operating Group after Class A Shares Transaction	Holdings ownership% in Apollo Operating Group before Class A Shares Transaction	Holdings ownership% in Apollo Operating Group after Class A Shares Transaction
Quarter Ended March 31, 2013	Issuance	2,091	35.1%	35.5%	64.9%	64.5%
Quarter Ended June 30, 2013	Issuance/Offering	9,577	35.5%	38.0%	64.5%	62.0%
Quarter Ended September 30, 2013	Issuance	1,977	38.0%	38.3%	62.0%	61.7%
Quarter Ended December 31, 2013	Issuance/Exchange	2,581	38.3%	39.0%	61.7%	61.0%
Quarter Ended March 31, 2014	Issuance	2,672	39.0%	39.4%	61.0%	60.6%
Quarter Ended June 30, 2014	Issuance/Exchange	7,344	39.4%	41.2%	60.6%	58.8%
Quarter Ended September 30, 2014	Issuance	3,660	41.2%	41.8%	58.8%	58.2%

11. EQUITY-BASED COMPENSATION

AOG Units

The fair value of the AOG Units of approximately \$5.6 billion was charged to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the five or six year service period, as applicable. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013,

\$0.0 million and \$30.0 million of compensation expense was recognized, respectively. The AOG Units were fully vested and amortized as of June 30, 2013.

RSUs

On October 24, 2007, the Company commenced the granting of RSUs under the Company's 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. These grants are accounted for as a grant of equity awards in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The fair value of all grants after March 29, 2011 is based on the grant date fair value, which considers the public share price of the Company. For Plan Grants, the fair value is based on grant date fair value, and is discounted primarily for transfer restrictions and lack of distributions until vested. For Bonus Grants, the fair value is discounted primarily for transfer restrictions and in certain cases timing of distributions. For Plan Grants that are not eligible for distributions on unvested shares, the discount for the lack of distributions until vested based on the present value of a growing annuity calculation had a weighted average of 29.2% and 34.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and 37.3% and 27.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Additionally, for Plan Grants, the marketability discount for transfer restrictions based on the Finnerty Model calculation, after considering the discount for lack of pre-vesting distributions, had a weighted average of 5.1% and 5.0% for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and 5.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. For Bonus Grants, the marketability discount for transfer restrictions based on the Finnerty Model calculation had a weighted average of 3.0% and 5.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The estimated total fair value is charged to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, which is generally up to six years, with the first installment vesting one year after grant and quarterly vesting thereafter (for Plan Grants) or annual vesting over three years (for Bonus Grants). The actual forfeiture rate was 1.1% and 1.3% for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and 7.5% and 5.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. For the three months

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, \$15.7 million and \$18.8 million of compensation expense was recognized, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, \$60.2 million and \$72.9 million of compensation expense was recognized, respectively.

In addition, during 2014, the Company granted RSUs with certain performance conditions. Equity-based compensation expense is recognized only when the performance conditions are met or deemed probable. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, no equity-based compensation expense was recognized relating to performance-based RSUs.

The following table summarizes RSU activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2014:

	Unvested	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Vested	Total Number of RSUs Outstanding
Balance at January 1, 2014	8,777,286	\$ 14.32	22,793,751	31,571,037
Granted	4,087,457	20.99	—	4,087,457
Forfeited ⁽²⁾	(964,697) 11.15	—	(964,697
Delivered	—	13.40	(6,459,067) (6,459,067
Vested ⁽²⁾	(2,039,918) 16.11	2,039,918	—
Balance at September 30, 2014	9,860,128	\$ 17.02	18,374,602	28,234,730 ⁽¹⁾

(1) Amount excludes RSUs which have vested and have been issued in the form of Class A shares.

In connection with the departure of an employee from the Company, 625,000 RSUs previously granted to such employee vested immediately and 625,000 RSUs previously granted to such employee were forfeited as of March 26, 2014. As a result, the Company recorded an incremental compensation expense of \$17.5 million related to the relevant RSU award for the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Units Expected to Vest—As of September 30, 2014, approximately 9,300,000 RSUs were expected to vest over the next 3.4 years.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Share Options

The following options have been granted under the Company's 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan:

Date of Grant	Options Granted	Vesting Terms
December 2, 2010 ⁽¹⁾	5,000,000	Vested and became exercisable with respect to 4/24 of the option shares on December 31, 2011 and the remainder vest in equal installments over each of the remaining 20 quarters with full vesting on December 31, 2016; 1,250,000 of these options were forfeited during the quarter ended March 31, 2014.
January 22, 2011	555,556	Half of such options that vested and became exercisable on December 31, 2011 were exercised on March 5, 2012 and the other half that were due to become exercisable on December 31, 2012 were forfeited during the quarter ended March 31, 2012.
April 9, 2011	25,000	Vested and became exercisable with respect to half of the option shares on December 31, 2011 and the other half vested in four equal quarterly installments starting on March 31, 2012 and ending on December 31, 2012 and are fully vested as of the date of this report.
July 9, 2012	50,000	Will vest and become exercisable with respect to 4/24 of the option shares on June 30, 2013 and the remainder will vest in equal installments over each of the remaining 20 quarters with full vesting on June 30, 2018.
December 28, 2012	200,000	Will vest and become exercisable with respect to 4/24 of the option shares on June 30, 2013 and the remainder will vest in equal installments over each of the remaining 20 quarters with full vesting on June 30, 2018.

In connection with the departure of an employee from the Company, 1,250,000 share options that were previously granted to such employee vested immediately and 1,250,000 share options that were previously granted to such (1) employee were forfeited as of March 26, 2014. As a result, the Company recorded an incremental compensation expense of \$28.1 million related to the relevant option award agreement for the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, \$0.0 million and \$1.2 million of compensation expense was recognized as a result of these grants, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, \$28.1 million and \$3.6 million of compensation expense was recognized as a result of these grants, respectively. There were no share options granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Apollo measures the fair value of each option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions used for options granted during 2012 and 2011:

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Assumptions:	2012	2011	
Risk-free interest rate	1.11	% 2.79	%
Weighted average expected dividend yield	8.13	% 2.25	%
Expected volatility factor ⁽¹⁾	45.00	% 40.22	%
Expected life in years	6.66	5.72	
Fair value of options per share	\$3.01	\$8.44	

(1) The Company determined the expected volatility based on comparable companies using daily stock prices and the volatility of the Company's share price.

The following table summarizes the share option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2014:

	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Fair Value	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term
Balance at January 1, 2014	2,950,003	\$8.69	\$16,124	7.08
Exercised	(1,462,500)) 8.01	(8,204)) —
Forfeited	(1,250,000)) 8.00	(7,025)) —
Balance at September 30, 2014	237,503	16.49	\$895	8.17
Exercisable at September 30, 2014	81,250	\$16.86	\$257	8.21

Options Expected to Vest—As of September 30, 2014, approximately 147,000 options were expected to vest.

The expected life of the options granted represents the period of time that options are expected to be outstanding and is based on the contractual term of the option. Unamortized compensation cost related to unvested share options at September 30, 2014 was \$0.4 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.8 years.

Delivery of Class A Shares - RSUs and Share Options

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company delivered Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options. The delivery of Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options does not cause a transfer of amounts in the condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity to the Class A shareholders. The delivery of Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options causes the income allocated to the Non-Controlling Interests to shift to the Class A shareholders from the date of delivery forward. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company delivered 7,457,755 Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options, which caused the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group to increase to 40.2% from 39.0%. The gross value of the settlement of these shares was \$219.5 million, based on Apollo's share price at the time of the delivery.

Restricted Share Awards—Athene Holding

Athene Holding granted restricted share awards ("AHL Awards") to certain employees of Apollo. The AHL Awards granted to employees of Athene Asset Management, L.P. are accounted for as a prepaid compensation asset within other assets and deferred revenue in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As the awards vest, the deferred revenue is recognized as management fees and the prepaid compensation asset is recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period. The fair value of the awards to employees is based on the grant date fair value, which utilizes the equity value of Athene Holding, less discounts for transfer restrictions. The awards granted are recognized as liability awards remeasured each period to reflect the fair value of the prepaid compensation asset and deferred revenue and any changes in these values are recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of

operations.

- 50-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, \$12.8 million of management fees and equity-based compensation expense was recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Equity-Based Compensation Allocation

Equity-based compensation is allocated based on ownership interests. Therefore, the amortization of the AOG Units is allocated to shareholders' equity attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC and the Non-Controlling Interests, which results in a difference in the amounts charged to equity-based compensation expense and the amounts credited to shareholders' equity attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

Below is a reconciliation of the equity-based compensation allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC for the three months ended September 30, 2014:

	Total Amount	Non- Controlling Interest % in Apollo Operating Group	Allocated to Non- Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group ⁽¹⁾	Allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC
RSUs and Share Options	\$15,368	—	% —	\$15,368
AHL Awards	(2,107)) 58.2	(1,231)) (876)
Other equity-based compensation awards	726	58.2	425	301
Total Equity-Based Compensation	\$13,987		(806)) 14,793
Less other equity-based compensation awards ⁽²⁾			806	929
Capital Increase Related to Equity-Based Compensation			\$—	\$15,722

Below is a reconciliation of the equity-based compensation allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC for the nine months ended September 30, 2014:

	Total Amount	Non- Controlling Interest % in Apollo Operating Group	Allocated to Non- Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group ⁽¹⁾	Allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC
RSUs and Share Options	\$86,992	—	% —	\$86,992
AHL Awards	12,839	58.2	7,680	5,159
Other equity-based compensation awards	1,845	58.2	1,100	745
Total Equity-Based Compensation	\$101,676		8,780	92,896
Less other equity-based compensation awards ⁽²⁾			(8,780)) (4,584)
Capital Increase Related to Equity-Based Compensation			\$—	\$88,312

(1) Calculated based on average ownership percentage for the period considering Class A share issuances during the period.

(2) Includes equity-based compensation reimbursable by certain funds.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Below is a reconciliation of the equity-based compensation allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC for the three months ended September 30, 2013:

	Total Amount	Non- Controlling Interest % in Apollo Operating Group	Allocated to Non- Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group ⁽¹⁾	Allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC
AOG Units	\$—	61.7 %	\$—	\$—
RSUs and Share Options	19,946	—	—	19,946
Other equity-based compensation awards	886	61.7	549	337
Total Equity-Based Compensation	\$20,832		549	20,283
Less other equity-based compensation awards ⁽²⁾			(549)) 53
Capital Increase Related to Equity-Based Compensation			\$—	\$20,336

Below is a reconciliation of the equity-based compensation allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

	Total Amount	Non- Controlling Interest % in Apollo Operating Group	Allocated to Non- Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group ⁽¹⁾	Allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC
AOG Units	\$30,007	61.7 %	\$19,163	\$10,844
RSUs and Share Options	76,450	—	—	76,450
Other equity-based compensation awards	3,162	61.7	1,958	1,204
Total Equity-Based Compensation	\$109,619		21,121	88,498
Less other equity-based compensation awards ⁽²⁾			(1,958)) (104)
Capital Increase Related to Equity-Based Compensation			\$19,163	\$88,394

(1) Calculated based on average ownership percentage for the period considering Class A share issuances during the period.

(2) Includes equity-based compensation reimbursable by certain funds.

12. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS AND INTERESTS IN CONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

The Company typically facilitates the initial payment of certain operating costs incurred by the funds that it manages as well as their affiliates. These costs are normally reimbursed by such funds and are included in due from affiliates.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Due from affiliates and due to affiliates are comprised of the following:

	As of September 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013
Due from Affiliates:		
Due from private equity funds	\$47,819	\$57,582
Due from portfolio companies	18,981	23,484
Due from credit funds ⁽¹⁾	159,022	216,750
Due from Contributing Partners, employees and former employees	1,521	2,659
Due from real estate funds	18,656	12,119
Other	32	4,653
Total Due from Affiliates	\$246,031	\$317,247
Due to Affiliates:		
Due to Managing Partners and Contributing Partners in connection with the tax receivable agreement	\$539,171	\$525,483
Due to private equity funds	2,467	825
Due to credit funds	1,062	1,773
Distributions payable to employees	40,617	67,290
Total Due to Affiliates	\$583,317	\$595,371

(1) As of September 30, 2014 includes unsettled AAA and Athene management fee receivable as discussed in (1) "Athene" below. As of December 31, 2013, includes Athene Services Derivative as discussed in "Athene" below.

Tax Receivable Agreement and Other

Subject to certain restrictions, each of the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners has the right to exchange their vested AOG Units for the Company's Class A shares. Certain Apollo Operating Group entities have made an election under Section 754 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Internal Revenue Code"), which will result in an adjustment to the tax basis of the assets owned by the Apollo Operating Group at the time of the exchange. These exchanges will result in increases in tax deductions that will reduce the amount of tax that APO Corp. will otherwise be required to pay in the future.

The tax receivable agreement provides for the payment to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners of 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U.S. federal, state, local and foreign income taxes that APO Corp. would realize as a result of the increases in tax basis of assets that resulted from the 2007 Reorganization and the Secondary Offering. If the Company does not make the required annual payment on a timely basis as outlined in the tax receivable agreement, interest is accrued on the balance until the payment date. These payments are expected to occur approximately over the next 20 years. In connection with the amendment of the AMH partnership agreement in April 2010, the tax receivable agreement was revised to reflect the Managing Partners' agreement to defer 25%, or \$12.1 million, of the required payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement that are attributable to the 2010 fiscal year for a period of four years until 2015.

In April 2013, Apollo made a \$30.4 million cash payment pursuant to the tax receivable agreement resulting from the realized tax benefit for the 2012 tax year. Included in the payment was approximately \$7.6 million and approximately \$0.3 million of interest paid to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners, respectively.

In September 2013, the Company reduced the tax receivable agreement liability and recorded \$13.0 million in other income, net for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 in the condensed consolidated statement of operations due to a change in estimated tax rates (see note 8).

In April 2014, Apollo made a \$32.0 million cash payment pursuant to the tax receivable agreement resulting from the realized tax benefit for the 2013 tax year. Included in the payment was approximately \$8.3 million and approximately

\$0.5 million of interest paid to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners, respectively.

As disclosed in note 1, in May 2013, the Intermediate Holding Companies acquired approximately 8.8 million Class

- 53-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

A shares of Apollo Global Management, LLC, which were used to acquire an equal number of AOG Units from certain Managing Partners and Contributing Partners in connection with the Secondary Offering. This exchange was taxable for U.S. federal income tax purposes, and resulted in APO Corp. recording a U.S. federal income tax basis adjustment of approximately \$145.7 million in the intangible assets of certain Apollo Operating Group entities. As disclosed in note 1, during November 2013 and May 2014, the Intermediate Holding Companies acquired approximately 2.3 million and 6.2 million Class A shares of Apollo Global Management, LLC, respectively, which were used to acquire an equal number of AOG Units from certain Managing Partners and Contributing Partners in connection with an exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares. These exchanges were taxable for U.S. federal income tax purposes, and resulted in APO Corp. recording a U.S. federal income tax basis adjustment of approximately \$56.4 million and \$97.6 million during November 2013 and May 2014, respectively, in the intangible assets of certain Apollo Operating Group entities.

Pursuant to the tax receivable agreement, the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners who exchanged AOG Units for Class A shares will receive payment from APO Corp. of 85% of the amount of the actual cash tax savings, if any, in U.S. Federal, state, local and foreign income tax that APO Corp. realizes as a result of these increases in tax deductions and tax basis, and certain other tax benefits, including imputed interest expense. APO Corp. retains the benefit from the remaining 15% of actual cash tax savings. As a result of the May 2013, November 2013, and May 2014 exchanges, a \$174.8 million liability was recorded to estimate the amount of these future expected payments to be made by APO Corp. to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners pursuant to the tax receivable agreement.

Due from Contributing Partners, Employees and Former Employees

As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, due from Contributing Partners, Employees and Former Employee balances include various amounts due to the Company including director fee receivables.

Distributions

In addition to other distributions such as payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement, the table below presents information regarding the quarterly distributions which were made at the sole discretion of the manager of the Company during 2013 and 2014 (in millions, except per share data):

Distribution Declaration Date	Distribution per Class A Share Amount	Distribution Payment Date	Distribution to Class A Shareholders	Distribution to Non-Controlling Interest Holders in the Apollo Operating Group	Total Distributions from Apollo Operating Group	Distribution Equivalents on Participating Securities
February 8, 2013	\$ 1.05	February 28, 2013	\$ 138.7	\$ 252.0	\$ 390.7	\$ 25.0
April 12, 2013	—	April 12, 2013	—	55.2	(1) 55.2	—
May 6, 2013	0.57	May 30, 2013	80.8	131.8	212.6	14.3
August 8, 2013	1.32	August 30, 2013	189.7	305.2	494.9	30.8
November 7, 2013	1.01	November 29, 2013	147.7	231.2	378.9	24.1
For the year ended December 31, 2013	\$ 3.95		\$ 556.9	\$ 975.4	\$ 1,532.3	\$ 94.2
February 7, 2014	\$ 1.08	February 26, 2014	\$ 160.9	\$ 247.3	\$ 408.2	\$ 25.5
April 3, 2014	—	April 3, 2014	—	49.5	(1) 49.5	—
May 8, 2014	0.84	May 30, 2014	130.0	188.4	318.4	20.9
June 16, 2014	—	June 16, 2014	—	28.5	(1) 28.5	—

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

August 6, 2014	0.46	August 29, 2014	73.6	102.5	\$176.1	10.2
September 11, 2014	\$—	September 11, 2014	\$—	\$12.4	(1) \$12.4	\$—
For the nine months ended September 30, 2014	\$2.38		\$364.5	\$628.6	\$993.1	\$56.6

On April 12, 2013, April 3, 2014, June 16, 2014 and September 11, 2014, the Company made a \$0.23, \$0.22, \$0.13 (1) and \$0.06 distribution, respectively, to the non-controlling interest holders in the Apollo Operating Group, respectively.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Indemnity

Carried interest income from certain funds that the Company manages can be distributed to us on a current basis, but is subject to repayment by the subsidiary of the Apollo Operating Group that acts as general partner of the fund in the event that certain specified return thresholds are not ultimately achieved. The Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain other investment professionals have personally guaranteed, subject to certain limitations, the obligation of these subsidiaries in respect of this general partner obligation. Such guarantees are several and not joint and are limited to a particular Managing Partner's or Contributing Partner's distributions. An existing shareholders agreement includes clauses that indemnify each of the Company's Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners against all amounts that they pay pursuant to any of these personal guarantees in favor of certain funds that the Company manages (including costs and expenses related to investigating the basis for or objecting to any claims made in respect of the guarantees) for all interests that the Company's Managing Partners and Contributing Partners have contributed or sold to the Apollo Operating Group.

Accordingly, in the event that the Company's Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain investment professionals are required to pay amounts in connection with a general partner obligation for the return of previously made distributions, we will be obligated to reimburse the Company's Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners for the indemnifiable percentage of amounts that they are required to pay even though the Company did not receive the certain distribution to which that general partner obligation related. There was no indemnification liability recorded as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Athene

Athene Holding is the ultimate parent of various insurance company operating subsidiaries. Through its subsidiaries, Athene Holding provides insurance products focused primarily on the retirement market and its business centers primarily on issuing or reinsuring fixed indexed annuities.

Athene Asset Management receives a management fee equal to 0.40% per annum on all assets under management in accounts owned by or related to Athene (the "Athene Accounts"), with certain limited exceptions. In addition, the Company receives sub-advisory management fees and carried interest income with respect to a portion of the assets in the Athene Accounts. With respect to capital invested in an Apollo fund, Apollo receives management fees directly from the relevant funds under the investment management agreements with such funds. Athene Asset Management and other Apollo subsidiaries incur all expenses associated with their provision of services to Athene, including but not limited to, asset allocation services, direct asset management services, risk management, asset and liability matching management, mergers and acquisitions asset diligence, hedging and other services.

Under a transaction advisory services agreement with Athene (the "Athene Services Agreement"), effective February 5, 2013, Apollo earns a quarterly monitoring fee of 0.50% of Athene's capital and surplus as of the end of the applicable quarter multiplied by 2.5, excluding the shares of Athene Holding that were newly acquired (and not in satisfaction of prior commitments to buy such shares) by AAA Investments in the contribution of certain assets by AAA to Athene in October 2012, at the end of each quarter through December 31, 2014, the termination date. This quarterly monitoring fee is not applicable to the amount of invested capital attributable to the Excluded Athene Shares. The Athene Services Agreement was amended in connection with the Athene Private Placement described below (the "Amended Athene Services Agreement"). The Amended Athene Services Agreement adjusts the calculation of Athene Holding's capital and surplus downward by an amount equal to (x) the equity capital raised in the Athene Private Placement and (y) certain disproportionate increases to the statutory capital and surplus of Athene, as compared to the stockholders' equity of Athene calculated on a U.S. GAAP basis, as a result of certain future acquisitions by Athene. Prior to the consummation of the Athene Private Placement, all such monitoring fees were paid pursuant to a derivative contract between Athene and Apollo (the "Athene Services Derivative"). In connection with the Athene Private Placement, the Athene Services Derivative was settled on April 29, 2014 by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding, and as a result, such derivative was terminated. Following settlement of

the Athene Services Derivative, future monitoring fees paid to Apollo pursuant to the Amended Athene Services Agreement, will be paid on a quarterly basis in arrears by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Unsettled monitoring fees pursuant to the Amended Athene Services Agreement are recorded as due from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, Apollo earned \$57.7 million and \$167.8 million, respectively, related to this monitoring fee. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, Apollo earned \$22.2 million and \$62.9 million, respectively, related to this monitoring fee. The monitoring fee is recorded in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of September 30, 2014, Apollo had a \$55.6 million receivable recorded in due from affiliates on the condensed

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

consolidated statements of financial condition. As of December 31, 2013, Apollo had a \$116.4 million receivable, which was accounted for as a derivative recorded in due from affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

In accordance with the services agreement among AAA, AAA Investments and the other service recipients party thereto and Apollo (the "AAA Services Agreement"), Apollo receives a management fee for managing the assets of AAA Investments. In connection with each of the contribution of certain assets by AAA to Athene in October 2012, and the initial closing of the Athene Private Placement on April 4, 2014, the AAA Services Agreement was amended (the "Amended AAA Services Agreement"). Pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement, the parties agreed that there will be no management fees payable by AAA Investments with respect to the excluded Athene Shares. AAA Investments will continue to pay Apollo the same management fee on its investment in Athene (other than with respect to the excluded Athene Shares), except that Apollo agreed that the obligation to pay the existing management fee shall terminate on December 31, 2014 (although services will continue through December 31, 2020). Prior to the consummation of the Athene Private Placement, all such management fees were accrued pursuant to a derivative contract between AAA Investments and Apollo (the "AAA Services Derivative"). In connection with the Athene Private Placement, the AAA Services Derivative was settled on April 29, 2014 by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding, and as a result, such derivative was terminated. Following settlement of the AAA Services Derivative, future management fees paid to Apollo pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement will be paid on a quarterly basis in arrears by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act). Unsettled management fees pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement will be recorded as due from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As of September 30, 2014, Apollo had a \$0.3 million receivable recorded in due from affiliates related to this management fee on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As of December 31, 2013, Apollo had a \$14.3 million receivable related to this management fee, which was accounted for as a derivative recorded in due from affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The total management fees earned by Apollo related to the Amended AAA Services Agreement for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 were \$0.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, which is recorded in management fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The total management fees earned by Apollo related to the Amended AAA Services Agreement for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 were \$0.5 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, which is recorded in management fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Prior to the settlement of the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivatives, the Amended Athene Services Agreement and the Amended AAA Services Agreement together with the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivative, met the definition of derivatives under U.S. GAAP. The Company had classified these derivatives as Level III assets in the fair value hierarchy, as the pricing inputs into the determination of fair value require significant judgment and estimation. After the settlement of the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivatives the unsettled shares receivable recorded in due from affiliates related to the Amended Athene Services Agreement and the Amended AAA Services Agreement are valued at fair value based on the price of a common share of Athene Holding. The Company had classified the derivative and the shares receivable as Level III assets in the fair value hierarchy, as the pricing inputs into the determination of fair value require significant judgment and estimation. See note 5 for further discussion regarding fair value measurements.

Prior to the settlement of the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivative, the change in unrealized market value of the derivatives was reflected in other income, net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, there were \$0.0 million and \$14.0 million of changes in market value recognized related to these derivatives, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, there were \$2.9 million and \$3.2 million of changes in market value recognized related to these

derivatives, respectively.

In addition, Apollo, as general partner of AAA Investments, is generally entitled to a carried interest that allocates to it 20% of the realized returns (net of related expenses, including borrowing costs) on the investments of AAA Investments, except that Apollo will not be entitled to receive any carried interest in respect of the Excluded Athene Shares. Carried interest receivable from AAA Investments will be paid in common shares of Athene Holding (valued at the then fair market value) if there is a distribution in kind of shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act) or paid in cash if AAA sells the shares of Athene Holding. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recorded carried interest income less the related profit sharing expense of \$(0.1) million and \$14.5 million, from AAA Investments, which is recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded carried interest income less the related profit sharing expense of \$5.4 million and \$12.7 million, respectively, from AAA Investments, which is recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had a \$121.4 million and a \$100.9 million carried interest receivable, respectively, related to AAA Investments. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had a related profit sharing payable

- 56-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

of \$34.8 million and \$28.8 million, respectively, recorded in profit sharing payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, Apollo earned revenues in the aggregate totaling \$140.7 million and \$408.1 million, respectively, consisting of management fees, sub-advisory and monitoring fees and carried interest income from Athene after considering the related profit sharing expense and changes in the market value of the Athene shares owned directly by Apollo, which is recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, Apollo earned revenues in the aggregate totaling \$131.6 million and \$250.2 million, respectively, consisting of management fees, sub-advisory and monitoring fees and carried interest income from Athene after considering the related profit sharing expense and changes in the market value of the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivative discussed above, which is recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

On April 4, 2014, Athene Holding completed an initial closing of a private placement offering of common equity in which it raised \$1.048 billion of primary commitments from third-party institutional and certain existing investors in Athene Holding (the "Athene Private Placement"). Shares in the Athene Private Placement were offered at a price per common share of Athene Holding of \$26. In connection with the Athene Private Placement, Athene raised an additional \$80 million of third party capital at \$26 per share, all of which was used to buy back a portion of the shares of one of its existing investors at a price of \$26 per share in a transaction that was consummated on April 29, 2014. As announced by AAA on June 24, 2014, a second closing of the Athene Private Placement occurred in which Athene Holding raised \$170 million of commitments primarily from employees of Athene and its affiliates at a price per common share of Athene Holding of \$26. The Athene Private Placement offering was concluded during the second quarter with the exception of the final closing on approximately \$60 million of additional commitments from affiliates of Athene which are expected to close during 2014.

As of September 30, 2014, the Company, through its consolidation of AAA, had an approximate 48.3% economic ownership interest in Athene through its investment in AAA Investments (calculated as if the commitments on the Athene Private Placement closed through September 30, 2014 were fully drawn down but without giving effect to (i) restricted common shares issued under Athene's management equity plan, or (ii) common shares to be issued under the Amended Athene Services Agreement or the Amended AAA Services Agreement subsequent to September 30, 2014). The Company had an approximate 6.9% economic ownership interest in Athene Holding as of September 30, 2014, which comprises Apollo's direct ownership of 5.7% of the economic equity of Athene Holding plus an additional 1.2% economic ownership interest, which is calculated as the Company's approximate 2.5% economic ownership interest in AAA plus the Company's approximate 0.06% economic ownership interest in AAA Investments multiplied by AAA Investments' approximate 48.3% economic ownership interest in Athene as of September 30, 2014. The remaining ownership interest in AAA is recognized in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations as non-controlling interest in consolidated entities.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company through its consolidation of AAA, had an approximate 68% fully diluted interest in Athene (after giving effect to restricted common shares issued under Athene's management equity plan and conversion of AAA Investments' note receivable but without giving effect to common shares to be issued under the Amended Athene Services Agreement or the Amended AAA Services Agreement subsequent to December 31, 2013) through its investment in AAA Investments.

The Company had an approximate 1.9% economic ownership interest in Athene Holding as of December 31, 2013, which is calculated as the Company's approximate 2.6% economic ownership interest in AAA plus the Company's approximate 0.06% economic ownership interest in AAA Investments multiplied by AAA Investments' approximate 68% fully diluted interest in Athene as of December 31, 2013. The remaining ownership interest in AAA is recognized in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations as non-controlling interest in consolidated entities.

Regulated Entities

Apollo Global Securities, LLC (“AGS”) is a registered broker dealer with the SEC and is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, subject to the minimum net capital requirements of the SEC. AGS was in compliance with these requirements at September 30, 2014. From time to time, this entity is involved in transactions with affiliates of Apollo, including portfolio companies of the funds Apollo manages, whereby AGS earns underwriting and transaction fees for its services.

- 57-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Apollo Management International LLP, is authorized and regulated by the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority and as such is subject to the capital requirements of the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority. This entity has continuously operated in excess of these regulatory capital requirements.

Certain other of the Company's U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries are subject to various regulations, including a number of U.S. entities that are registered as investment advisors with the SEC. To the extent applicable, these entities have continuously operated in excess of any minimum regulatory capital requirements.

Interests in Consolidated Entities

The table below presents equity interests in Apollo's consolidated, but not wholly-owned, subsidiaries and funds. Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests consisted of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
AAA ⁽¹⁾	\$429	\$(78,523)	\$(197,908)	\$(130,736)
Interest in management companies and a co-investment vehicle ⁽²⁾	(2,797)	(6,448)	(11,291)	(14,593)
Other consolidated entities	(17,220)	11,491	(12,113)	41,058
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	(19,588)	(73,480)	(221,312)	(104,271)
Net loss (income) attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽³⁾	132,517	(68,812)	73,226	(66,812)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group	(42,955)	(360,782)	(350,049)	(972,511)
Net Loss (Income) attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$69,974	\$(503,074)	\$(498,135)	\$(1,143,594)
Net (loss) income attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽⁴⁾	(132,517)	68,812	(73,226)	66,812
Other Comprehensive loss (income) attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	606	(41)	606	(41)
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$(61,937)	\$(434,303)	\$(570,755)	\$(1,076,823)

Reflects the Non-Controlling Interests in the net (income) loss of AAA and is calculated based on the Non-Controlling Interests ownership percentage in AAA, which was approximately 97.5% and 97.3% as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. As of September 30, 2014 and 2013, Apollo owned approximately 2.5% and 2.7% of AAA, respectively.

(2) Reflects the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit funds.

(3) Reflects net income of the consolidated CLOs classified as VIEs.

Appropriated Partners' Capital is included in total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity and is therefore not a component of comprehensive income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests on the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Financial Guarantees—Apollo has provided financial guarantees on behalf of certain employees for the benefit of unrelated third-party lenders in connection with their capital commitments to certain funds managed by the Company. As of September 30, 2014, the maximum exposure relating to these financial guarantees approximated \$0.3 million. Apollo has historically not incurred any liabilities as a result of these agreements and does not expect to in the future. Accordingly, no liability has been recorded in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Investment Commitments—As a limited partner, general partner and manager of the Apollo private equity, credit and real estate funds, Apollo has unfunded capital commitments as of September 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013 of \$660.5 million and \$843.7 million, respectively.

Apollo has an ongoing obligation to acquire additional common units of AAA in an amount equal to 25% of the aggregate after-tax cash distributions, if any, that are made by AAA to Apollo's affiliates pursuant to the carried interest distribution rights that are applicable to investments made through AAA Investments.

- 58-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Debt Covenants—Apollo’s debt obligations contain various customary loan covenants. As of September 30, 2014, the Company was not aware of any instances of non-compliance with the financial covenants contained in the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities and 2024 Senior Notes.

Litigation and Contingencies—Apollo is, from time to time, party to various legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business including claims and lawsuits, reviews, investigations or proceedings by governmental and self regulatory agencies regarding its business.

In March 2012, plaintiffs filed two putative class actions, captioned *Kelm v. Chase Bank* (No. 12-cv-332) and *Miller v. 1-800-Flowers.com, Inc.* (No. 12-cv-396), in the District of Connecticut on behalf of a class of consumers alleging online fraud. The defendants included, among others, Trilegiant Corporation, Inc. (“Trilegiant”), its parent company, Affinion Group, LLC (“Affinion”), and Apollo Global Management, LLC (“AGM”), which is affiliated with funds that are the beneficial owners of 68% of Affinion’s common stock. In both cases, plaintiffs allege that Trilegiant, aided by its business partners, who include e-merchants and credit card companies, developed a set of business practices intended to create consumer confusion and ultimately defraud consumers into unknowingly paying fees to clubs for unwanted services. Plaintiffs allege that AGM is a proper defendant because of its indirect stock ownership and ability to appoint the majority of Affinion’s board. The complaints assert claims under the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act; the Electronic Communications Privacy Act; the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act; and the California Business and Professional Code, and seek, among other things, restitution or disgorgement, injunctive relief, compensatory, treble and punitive damages, and attorneys’ fees. The allegations in *Kelm* and *Miller* are substantially similar to those in *Schnabel v. Trilegiant Corp.* (No. 3:10-cv-957), a putative class action filed in the District of Connecticut in 2010 that names only Trilegiant and Affinion as defendants. The court has consolidated the *Kelm*, *Miller*, and *Schnabel* cases under the caption *In re: Trilegiant Corporation, Inc.* and ordered that they proceed on the same schedule. On June 18, 2012, the court appointed lead plaintiffs’ counsel, and on September 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed their consolidated amended complaint (“CAC”), which alleges the same causes of action against AGM as did the complaints in the *Kelm* and *Miller* cases. Defendants filed motions to dismiss on December 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed opposition papers on February 7, 2013, and defendants filed replies on April 5, 2013. On December 5, 2012, plaintiffs filed another putative class action, captioned *Frank v. Trilegiant Corp.* (No. 12-cv-1721), in the District of Connecticut, naming the same defendants and containing allegations substantially similar to those in the CAC. On January 23, 2013, plaintiffs moved to transfer and consolidate *Frank* into *In re: Trilegiant*. On July 24, 2013 the *Frank* court transferred the case to Judge Bryant, who is presiding over *In re: Trilegiant*, and on March 28, 2014, Judge Bryant granted the motion to consolidate. On September 25, 2013, the court held oral argument on defendants’ motions to dismiss. On March 28, 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part motions to dismiss filed by Affinion and Trilegiant on behalf of all defendants, and also granted separate motions to dismiss filed by certain defendants, including AGM. On that same day, the court directed the clerk to terminate AGM as a defendant in the consolidated action. On April 28, 2014, plaintiffs moved for interlocutory review of certain of the court’s motion-to-dismiss rulings, not including its order granting AGM’s separate dismissal motion. Defendants filed a response on May 23, 2014, and plaintiffs replied on June 5, 2014.

Various state attorneys general and federal and state agencies have initiated industry-wide investigations into the use of placement agents in connection with the solicitation of investments, particularly with respect to investments by public pension funds. Certain affiliates of Apollo have received subpoenas and other requests for information from various government regulatory agencies and investors in Apollo’s funds, seeking information regarding the use of placement agents. California Public Employees’ Retirement System (“CalPERS”), one of our Strategic Investors, announced on October 14, 2009, that it had initiated a special review of placement agents and related issues. The report of the CalPERS Special Review was issued on March 14, 2011. That report does not allege any wrongdoing on

the part of Apollo or its affiliates. Apollo is continuing to cooperate with all such investigations and other reviews. In addition, on May 6, 2010, the California Attorney General filed a civil complaint against Alfred Villalobos and his company, Arvco Capital Research, LLC (“Arvco”) (a placement agent that Apollo has used) and Federico Buenrostro Jr., the former CEO of CalPERS, alleging conduct in violation of certain California laws in connection with CalPERS’s purchase of securities in various funds managed by Apollo and another asset manager. Apollo is not a party to the civil lawsuit and the lawsuit does not allege any misconduct on the part of Apollo. Likewise, on April 23, 2012, the SEC filed a lawsuit alleging securities fraud on the part of Arvco, as well as Messrs. Buenrostro and Villalobos, in connection with their activities concerning certain CalPERS investments in funds managed by Apollo. This lawsuit also does not allege wrongdoing on the part of Apollo, and alleges that Apollo was defrauded by Arvco, Villalobos, and Buenrostro. On March 14, 2013, the United States Department of Justice unsealed an indictment against Messrs. Villalobos and Buenrostro alleging, among other crimes, fraud in connection with those same activities; again, Apollo is not accused of any wrongdoing and in fact is alleged to have been defrauded by the defendants. The criminal action was set for trial in a San Francisco federal court in July 2014, but was put on hold after Mr. Buenrostro pleaded guilty on July 11, 2014. As part of Mr. Buenrostro’s plea agreement, he admitted to taking cash and other bribes from Mr. Villalobos in exchange for several improprieties, including attempting to influence CalPERS’ investing decisions

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

and improperly preparing disclosure letters to satisfy Apollo's requirements. There is no suggestion that Apollo was aware that Mr. Buenrostro had signed the letters with a corrupt motive. The government has indicated that they will file new charges against Mr. Villalobos incorporating Mr. Buenrostro's admissions. On August 7, 2014, the government filed a superseding indictment against Mr. Villalobos asserting additional charges. Trial is now scheduled for February 23, 2015. Additionally, on April 15, 2013, Mr. Villalobos, Arvco and related entities (the "Arvco Debtors") brought a civil action in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada (the "Bankruptcy Court") against Apollo. The action is related to the ongoing bankruptcy proceedings of the Arvco Debtors. This action alleges that Arvco served as a placement agent for Apollo in connection with several funds associated with Apollo, and seeks to recover purported fees the Arvco Debtors claim Apollo has not paid them for a portion of Arvco's placement agent services. In addition, the Arvco Debtors allege that Apollo has interfered with the Arvco Debtors' commercial relationships with third parties, purportedly causing the Arvco Debtors to lose business and to incur fees and expenses in the defense of various investigations and litigations. The Arvco Debtors also seek compensation from Apollo for these alleged lost profits and fees and expenses. The Arvco Debtors' complaint asserts various theories of recovery under the Bankruptcy Code and common law. Apollo denies the merit of all of the Arvco Debtors' claims and will vigorously contest them. The Bankruptcy Court has stayed this action pending the result in the criminal case against Mr. Villalobos. For these reasons, no estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

On July 9, 2012, Apollo was served with a subpoena by the New York Attorney General's Office regarding Apollo's fee waiver program. The subpoena is part of what we understand to be an industry-wide investigation by the New York Attorney General into the tax implications of the fee waiver program implemented by numerous private equity and hedge funds. Under the fee waiver program, individual fund managers for certain Apollo-managed funds prospectively elected to waive their management fees. Program participants received an interest in the future profits, if any, that would be earned on the invested amounts representing waived fees. They receive such profits from time to time in the ordinary course when distributions are made generally, as provided for in the applicable fund governing documents and waiver agreements. Four Apollo funds implemented the program, but the investment period for all funds was terminated as of December 31, 2012. Apollo believes its fee waiver program complies with all applicable laws, and is cooperating with the investigation.

On June 18, 2014, BOKF N.A. (the "First Lien Trustee"), the successor indenture trustee under the indenture governing the First Lien Notes issued by Momentive Performance Materials, Inc. ("Momentive"), commenced a lawsuit in the Supreme Court for the State of New York, New York County against Apollo Global Management, LLC ("AGM") and members of an ad hoc group of Second Lien Noteholders (including, but not limited to, Euro VI (BC) S.a.r.l.). The First Lien Trustee amended its complaint on July 2, 2014 (the "First Lien Intercreditor Action"). In the First Lien Intercreditor Action, the First Lien Trustee seeks, among other things, a declaration that the defendants violated an intercreditor agreement entered into between holders of the first lien notes and holders of the second lien notes. On July 16, 2014, the successor indenture trustee under the indenture governing the 1.5 Lien Notes (the "1.5 Lien Trustee," and, together with the First Lien Trustee, the "Indenture Trustees") filed an action in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County that is substantially similar to the First Lien Intercreditor Action (the "1.5 Lien Intercreditor Action," and, together with the First Lien Intercreditor Action, the "Intercreditor Actions"). AGM subsequently removed the Intercreditor Actions to federal district court, and the Intercreditor Actions were automatically referred to the Bankruptcy Court adjudicating the Momentive chapter 11 bankruptcy cases. The Indenture Trustees then filed motions with the Bankruptcy Court to remand the Intercreditor Actions back to the state court (the "Remand Motions"). On September 9, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court denied the Remand Motions. On August 15, 2014, the defendants in the Intercreditor Actions (including AGM) filed a motion to dismiss the 1.5 Lien Intercreditor Action and a motion for judgment on the pleadings in the First Lien Intercreditor Action (the "Dismissal Motions"). On September 30, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court granted the Dismissal Motions. In its order granting the

Dismissal Motions, the Bankruptcy Court gave the Indenture Trustees until mid-November 2014 to move to amend some, but not all, of the claims alleged in their respective complaints. The Indenture Trustees have not yet indicated whether they intend to move to amend their complaints. Accordingly, we are unable at this time to assess a potential risk of loss. In addition, we do not believe that Apollo is a proper defendant in these actions.

On July 29, 2014, Brian Tucker and his wife Sherri Tucker (“Plaintiffs”) filed a Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”) against 32 defendants, including Apollo Global Management, LLC (“Apollo”), in the action captioned Tucker v. Momentive Performance Materials USA Inc. (2:13-cv-04480), pending in the Southern District of West Virginia, alleging that Mr. Tucker suffered respiratory damage as a result of exposure to hazardous chemicals during his employment at Momentive Performance Materials, Inc.’s West Virginia facility. In their two prior complaints, Plaintiffs had named only Momentive Performance Materials USA Inc. and 99 “John Doe Defendants.” Plaintiffs in the SAC added Apollo and 30 other defendants (collectively, the “Additional Defendants”) in place of the John Doe Defendants, and asserted claims against Apollo for: (i) deliberate intent under West Virginia Code § 23-4-2, (ii) strict liability under three theories (product liability, failure to instruct, and failure to warn), (iii) negligence and negligent misrepresentation, and (iv) fraudulent misrepresentation and fraudulent concealment. Plaintiffs seek, among other

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

things, unspecified damages, including punitive damages and damages for alleged loss of consortium, as well as costs and prejudgment interest. Under an October 8, 2014 stipulation, the Additional Defendants' deadline to respond to the SAC is November 21, 2014. Apollo believes that plaintiffs' claims against Apollo are without merit. For this reason, and because the claims against Apollo are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

On June 13, 2014, plaintiffs Stark Master Fund Ltd and Stark Global Opportunities Master Fund Ltd filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin against Apollo Global Management, LLC and Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. (the "Apollo Defendants"), as well as Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and Deutsche Bank Securities (USA) LLC. The complaint alleges that Apollo Global Management, LLC and the other defendants entered into an undisclosed and improper agreement concerning the financing of a potential acquisition of Hexion Specialty Chemicals Inc., and on this basis alleges a variety of common law misrepresentation claims, both intentional and negligent. The Apollo Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint on October 15, 2014, which shall be fully-briefed by year-end 2014. For this reason, and because the claims against Apollo are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

There are several pending actions concerning transactions related to Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc.'s ("CEOC") restructuring efforts. Apollo is not a defendant in these matters.

Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB v. Caesars Entertainment Corp. et al., No. 10004-CVG (Del. Ch.) (the "Trustee Action"). On August 4, 2014, Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB ("WSFS"), as trustee for certain CEOC second-lien notes, sued Caesars Entertainment Corporation ("CEC"), CEC's subsidiary CEOC, other CEC-affiliated entities, and certain of CEC's directors, including Marc Rowan, Eric Press, David Sambur (each an Apollo Partner) and Jeff Benjamin (an Apollo consultant), in the Delaware Chancery Court. WSFS (i) asserts claims (against some or all of the defendants) for fraudulent conveyance, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of contract, corporate waste and aiding and abetting related to certain transactions between CEOC and other CEC affiliates, and (ii) requests (among other things) that the court unwind the challenged transactions and award damages. The defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint was fully briefed on October 15, 2014.

Caesars Entertainment Operating Co., et al. v. Appaloosa Investment Ltd. P'ship et al., No. 652392/2014 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.) (the "Caesars Action"). On August 5, 2014, CEC and CEOC sued certain institutional CEOC second-lien noteholders and CEOC first-lien noteholder Elliott Management Corporation. On September 15, 2014, an amended complaint was filed adding WSFS as a defendant. The amended complaint asserts claims for (among other things) tortious interference with prospective economic advantage, a declaratory judgment that certain transactions related to CEOC's restructuring are valid and appropriate and that there has not been a default under the indentures governing the notes. On October 15, 2014, defendants moved to dismiss the complaint. Parties in both the Trustee Action and the Caesars Action have agreed to stay discovery pending decision on the respective motions to dismiss.

Meehancombs Global Credit Opportunities Master Fund, L.P., et al. v. Caesars Entertainment Corp., et al., No. 14-cv-7091 (S.D.N.Y.) (the "Meehancombs Action"). On September 3, 2014, institutional investors allegedly holding approximately \$137 million in CEOC unsecured senior notes sued CEOC and CEC for breach of contract and the implied covenant of good faith, Trust Indenture Act violations and a declaratory judgment challenging the August 2014 private financing transaction in which a portion of outstanding senior unsecured notes were purchased by CEC, and a majority of the noteholders agreed to amend the indenture to terminate CEC's guarantee of the notes and modify certain restrictions on CEOC's ability to sell assets. On October 2, 2014, a related putative class action complaint was filed on behalf of the holders of these notes captioned Barton v. Caesars Entertainment Corp., et al., No. 14-cv-7973

(S.D.N.Y.) (the “Barton Action”), against Caesars alleging similar claims to the Meehancombs Action. Motions to dismiss in both actions are due by November 12, 2014.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Apollo believes that the claims in the Trustee Action, the Meehancombs Action and the Barton Action are without merit. For this reason, and because the claims are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

On October 17, 2014, Wiley Wright filed a putative class action against C.E.C. Entertainment (“CEC”) and Apollo Global Management, LLC (“Apollo”) in the Eastern District of New York, captioned Wright v. C.E.C. Entertainment, Inc. d/b/a Chuck E. Cheese’s, and Apollo Global Management, LLC (14-cv-6110), on behalf of Chuck E. Cheese assistant managers and senior assistant managers (collectively, the “Managers”) in the United States. Plaintiff alleges that CEC and Apollo jointly employed the Managers and unlawfully classified them as exempt from the overtime protections of the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law, even though the Managers regularly performed non-managerial customer service duties. Plaintiff seeks, among other things, certification of a class, unpaid overtime and liquidated damages, injunctive relief, attorneys’ fees and costs, and interest. CEC’s and Apollo’s deadlines to respond to the complaint are December 29, 2014 and January 2, 2015, respectively. Apollo believes that Plaintiff’s claims against it are without merit. For this reason, and because the claims against Apollo are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

Following the January 16, 2014 announcement that CEC Entertainment, Inc. (“CEC”) had entered into a merger agreement with certain entities affiliated with Apollo (the “Merger Agreement”), four putative shareholder class actions were filed in the District Court of Shawnee County, Kansas on behalf of purported stockholders of CEC against, among others, CEC, its directors and Apollo and certain of its affiliates, which include Queso Holdings Inc., Q Merger Sub Inc., Apollo Management VIII, L.P., and AP VIII Queso Holdings, L.P. The first purported class action, which is captioned Hilary Coyne v. Richard M. Frank et al., Case No. 14C57, was filed on January 21, 2014 (the “Coyne Action”). The second purported class action, which was captioned John Solak v. CEC Entertainment, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 14C55, was filed on January 22, 2014 (the “Solak Action”). The Solak Action was dismissed for lack of prosecution on October 14, 2014. The third purported class action, which is captioned Irene Dixon v. CEC Entertainment, Inc. et al., Case No. 14C81, was filed on January 24, 2014 and additionally names as defendants Apollo Management VIII, L.P. and AP VIII Queso Holdings, L.P. (the “Dixon Action”). The fourth purported class action, which is captioned Louisiana Municipal Public Employees’ Retirement System v. Frank, et al., Case No. 14C97, was filed on January 31, 2014 (the “LMPERS Action”) (together with the Coyne and Dixon Actions, the “Shareholder Actions”). A fifth purported class action, which was captioned McCullough v. Frank, et al., Case No. CC-14-00622-B, was filed in the County Court of Dallas County, Texas on February 7, 2014. This action was dismissed for want of prosecution on May 21, 2014. Each of the Shareholder Actions alleges, among other things, that CEC’s directors breached their fiduciary duties to CEC’s stockholders in connection with their consideration and approval of the Merger Agreement and that Apollo and certain of its affiliates aided and abetted those alleged breaches. As filed, the Shareholder Actions seek, among other things, rescission of the various transactions associated with the merger, damages and attorneys’ and experts’ fees and costs. On February 7, 2014 and February 11, 2014, the plaintiffs in the Shareholder Actions pursued a consolidated action for damages after the tender offer closed. Thereafter, the Shareholder Actions were consolidated under the caption In re CEC Entertainment, Inc. Stockholder Litigation, Case No. 14C57, and the parties have engaged in limited discovery. No defendant has any obligation to answer or otherwise respond to any of the complaints in the consolidated action until the plaintiffs file or designate an operative complaint. Although Apollo cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the above actions, it believes that such actions are without merit.

On June 10, 2014, Magnetar Global Event Driven Fund Ltd., Spectrum Opportunities Master Fund, Ltd., Magnetar Capital Master Fund, Ltd., and Blackwell Partners LLC, as the purported beneficial owners of shares held as of record

by the nominal petitioner Cede & Co., (the “Appraisal Petitioners”), filed an action for statutory appraisal under Kansas state law against the CEC in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas, captioned Magnetar Global Event Driven Master Fund Ltd, et al. v. CEC Entertainment, Inc., 2:14-cv-02279-RDR-KGS. The Appraisal Petitioners seek appraisal of 750,000 shares of common stock. CEC has answered the complaint and filed a verified list of stockholders, as required under Kansas law. On September 3, 2014, the court entered a scheduling order that contemplates that discovery will commence in the fall of 2014 and will be substantially completed by May 2015. Following discovery, the scheduling order contemplates dispositive motion practice, potentially followed by a trial on the merits of the Appraisal Petitioners’ claims. Although Apollo cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the above actions, Apollo believes that such actions are without merit.

On September 29, 2014, Athlon Energy Inc. (“Athlon”) and Encana Corporation (“Encana”) jointly announced that they had entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 27, 2014 (the “Merger Agreement”), pursuant to which a wholly-owned subsidiary of Encana (“Merger Sub”) would commence a tender offer (the “Offer”) to acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of Athlon common stock. Following completion of the Offer, Merger Sub would be merged with and into Athlon (the “Proposed Transaction”). On October 23, 2014, The City of Cambridge Retirement System filed a putative

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

class action complaint captioned *The City of Cambridge Retirement System v. Reeves, et al.*, C.A. No. 10277-VCG (the “Cambridge Action”) in the Delaware Court of Chancery naming Merger Sub, Apollo Global Management, LLC (“AGM”) and members of Athlon’s board of directors as defendants. The Cambridge Action alleges, among other things, that members of Athlon’s board of directors breached their fiduciary duties in connection with their consideration and approval of the proposed transaction, and that Encana, Merger Sub and AGM aided and abetted those breaches of fiduciary duty. On November 3, 2014, the parties to the Cambridge Action and several other similar actions filed in Delaware and Texas state court before the Cambridge Action (none of which named AGM as a defendant (collectively, the “Actions”)), entered into a Memorandum of Understanding to settle the Actions. Under the terms of the proposed settlement, AGM will not be required to contribute any cash and will be granted full and customary releases. The parties to the Actions expect that they will enter into a formal settlement agreement in late November 2014. The forthcoming settlement agreement will be subject to court approval. For this reason, and because the claims against Apollo are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

Although the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be ascertained at this time, Apollo is of the opinion, after consultation with counsel, that the resolution of any such matters to which it is a party at this time will not have a material adverse effect on the condensed consolidated financial statements. Legal actions material to Apollo could, however, arise in the future.

Commitments—Apollo leases office space and certain office equipment under various lease and sublease arrangements, which expire on various dates through 2024. As these leases expire, it can be expected that in the normal course of business, they will be renewed or replaced. Certain lease agreements contain renewal options, rent escalation provisions based on certain costs incurred by the landlord or other inducements provided by the landlord. Rent expense is accrued to recognize lease escalation provisions and inducements provided by the landlord, if any, on a straight-line basis over the lease term and renewal periods where applicable. Apollo has entered into various operating lease service agreements in respect of certain assets.

As of September 30, 2014, the approximate aggregate minimum future payments required for operating leases were as follows:

	Remaining 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	Total
Aggregate minimum future payments	\$9,708	\$38,811	\$38,173	\$36,075	\$31,776	\$54,918	\$209,461

Expenses related to non-cancellable contractual obligations for premises, equipment, auto and other assets were \$10.4 million and \$10.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$31.9 million and \$32.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Other Long-term Obligations—These obligations relate to payments with respect to certain consulting agreements entered into by Apollo Investment Consulting LLC, a subsidiary of Apollo. A significant portion of these costs are reimbursable by funds or portfolio companies. As of September 30, 2014, fixed and determinable payments due in connection with these obligations were as follows:

	Remaining 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	Total
Other long-term obligations	\$3,944	\$8,368	\$4,575	\$4,470	\$4,470	\$2,235	\$28,062

Contingent Obligations—Carried interest income with respect to private equity funds and certain credit and real estate funds is subject to reversal in the event of future losses to the extent of the cumulative carried interest recognized in

income to date. If all of the existing investments became worthless, the amount of cumulative revenues that have been recognized by Apollo through September 30, 2014 and that would be reversed approximates \$3.6 billion.

Management views the possibility of all of the investments becoming worthless as remote. Carried interest income is affected by changes in the fair values of the underlying investments in the funds that Apollo manages. Valuations, on an unrealized basis, can be significantly affected by a variety of external factors including, but not limited to, bond yields and industry trading multiples. Movements in these items can affect valuations quarter to quarter even if the underlying business fundamentals remain stable.

Additionally, at the end of the life of certain funds that the Company manages, there could be a payment due to a fund by the Company if the Company, as general partner, has received more carried interest income than was ultimately earned.

- 63-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The general partner obligation amount, if any, will depend on final realized values of investments at the end of the life of each fund.

Certain funds may not generate carried interest income as a result of unrealized and realized losses that are recognized in the current and prior reporting period. In certain cases, carried interest income will not be generated until additional unrealized and realized gains occur. Any appreciation would first cover the deductions for invested capital, unreturned organizational expenses, operating expenses, management fees and priority returns based on the terms of the respective fund agreements.

One of the Company's subsidiaries, AGS, provides underwriting commitments in connection with securities offerings to the portfolio companies of the funds Apollo manages. As of September 30, 2014, there were no underwriting commitments outstanding related to such offerings.

Contingent Consideration

In connection with the acquisition of Stone Tower in April 2012, the Company agreed to pay the former owners of Stone Tower a specified percentage of any future carried interest income earned from certain of the Stone Tower funds, CLOs, and strategic investment accounts. This contingent consideration liability had an acquisition date fair value of \$117.7 million, which was determined based on the present value of estimated future carried interest payments, and is recorded in profit sharing payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. On July 31, 2014, the Company extinguished a portion of the contingent consideration obligation and recognized a gain in the amount of \$13.4 million, which was recorded in other income, net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. In exchange for the extinguishment, the Company granted a former owner and current employee 350,000 RSUs with rights to receive, subject to a three- year vesting period, distribution equivalents. (see note 11 for further information regarding the accounting for RSUs). The fair value of the remaining contingent obligation was \$84.7 million and \$121.4 million as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

In connection with the Gulf Stream acquisition, the Company agreed to make payments to the former owners of Gulf Stream under a contingent consideration obligation which required the Company to transfer cash to the former owners of Gulf Stream based on a specified percentage of carried interest income. The contingent liability had a fair value of \$12.2 million and \$14.1 million as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, which was recorded in profit sharing payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

The contingent consideration obligations will be remeasured to fair value at each reporting period until the obligations are satisfied. The changes in the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations will be reflected in profit sharing expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The contingent consideration obligations are measured at fair value and are characterized as Level III liabilities. See note 5 for further information regarding fair value measurements.

14. MARKET AND CREDIT RISK

In the normal course of business, Apollo encounters market and credit risk concentrations. Market risk reflects changes in the value of investments due to changes in interest rates, credit spreads or other market factors. Credit risk includes the risk of default on Apollo's investments, where the counterparty is unable or unwilling to make required or expected payments.

The Company is subject to a concentration risk related to the investors in its funds. As of September 30, 2014, there were more than 1,000 investors in Apollo's active private equity, credit and real estate funds, and no individual investor accounted for more than 10% of the total committed capital to Apollo's active funds.

Apollo's derivative financial instruments contain credit risk to the extent that its counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of the agreements. Apollo seeks to minimize this risk by limiting its counterparties to highly rated major financial institutions with good credit ratings. Management does not expect any material losses as a result of default

by other parties.

Substantially all amounts on deposit with major financial institutions that exceed insured limits are invested in interest-bearing accounts with U.S. money center banks.

Apollo is exposed to economic risk concentrations insofar as Apollo is dependent on the ability of the funds that it manages to compensate it for the services it provides to these funds. Further, the incentive income component of this compensation is based on the ability of such funds to generate returns above certain specified thresholds.

Additionally, Apollo is exposed to interest rate risk. Apollo has debt obligations that have variable rates. Interest rate changes may therefore affect the amount of interest payments, future earnings and cash flows. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$516.9 million and \$750.0 million of Apollo's debt balance (excluding debt of the consolidated VIEs) had a variable interest rate, respectively.

15. SEGMENT REPORTING

Apollo conducts its management and incentive businesses primarily in the United States and substantially all of its revenues are generated domestically. These businesses are conducted through the following three reportable segments:

• **Private Equity**—primarily invests in control equity and related debt instruments, convertible securities and distressed debt investments;

• **Credit**—primarily invests in non-control corporate and structured debt instruments; and

• **Real Estate**—primarily invests in real estate equity for the acquisition and recapitalization of real estate assets, portfolios, platforms and operating companies, and real estate debt including first mortgage and mezzanine loans, preferred equity and commercial mortgage backed securities.

These business segments are differentiated based on the varying investment strategies. The performance is measured by management on an unconsolidated basis because management makes operating decisions and assesses the performance of each of Apollo's business segments based on financial and operating metrics and data that exclude the effects of consolidation of any of the affiliated funds.

The Company's financial results vary since carried interest, which generally constitutes a large portion of the income from the funds that Apollo manages, as well as the transaction and advisory fees that the Company receives, can vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. As a result, the Company emphasizes long-term financial growth and profitability to manage its business.

The tables below present the financial data for Apollo's reportable segments further separated between the management business and incentive business as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, which management believes is useful to the reader. The Company's management business has fairly stable revenues and expenses except for transaction fees, while its incentive business is more volatile and can have significant fluctuations as it is affected by changes in the fair value of investments due to market performance. The financial results of the management entities, as reflected in the "management" business section of the segment tables that follow, generally include management fee revenues, advisory and transaction fees and expenses exclusive of profit sharing expense. The financial results of the advisory

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

entities, as reflected in the “incentive” business sections of the segment tables that follow, generally include carried interest income, investment income and profit sharing expense.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, certain reclassifications were made to prior period financial data within salary, bonus and benefits and profit sharing expense to conform to the current presentation. The impact of these reclassifications on management business ENI and incentive business ENI is reflected in the table below for Apollo’s three reportable segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

	Impact of Reclassification on Management Business Economic Net Income (Loss)		
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	\$4,798	\$(4,301)	\$(497)
	Impact of Reclassification on Management Business Economic Net Income (Loss)		
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	\$14,697	\$(13,252)	\$(1,445)
	Impact of Reclassification on Incentive Business Economic Net (Loss) Income		
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	\$(5,058)	\$4,566	\$492
	Impact of Reclassification on Incentive Business Economic Net (Loss) Income		
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	\$(14,598)	\$13,178	\$1,420

As it relates to the reclassifications described above, the impact to the combined segments Economic Net Income (Loss) for all periods presented was zero.

Economic Net Income (Loss)

ENI is a key performance measure used by management in evaluating the performance of Apollo’s private equity, credit and real estate segments. Management believes the components of ENI, such as the amount of management fees, advisory and transaction fees and carried interest income, are indicative of the Company’s performance.

Management also uses ENI in making key operating decisions such as the following:

- Decisions related to the allocation of resources such as staffing decisions including hiring and locations for deployment of the new hires;

- Decisions related to capital deployment such as providing capital to facilitate growth for the business and/or to facilitate expansion into new businesses; and

- Decisions relating to expenses, such as determining annual discretionary bonuses and equity-based compensation awards to its employees. With respect to compensation, management seeks to align the interests of certain professionals and selected other individuals with those of the investors in such funds and those of the Company’s shareholders by providing such individuals a profit sharing interest

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

in the carried interest income earned in relation to the funds. To achieve that objective, a certain amount of compensation is based on the Company's performance and growth for the year.

ENI is a measure of profitability and has certain limitations in that it does not take into account certain items included under U.S. GAAP. ENI represents segment income (loss) attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC, which excludes the impact of (i) non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and amortization of AOG Units, (ii) income tax expense, (iii) amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions (iv) Non-Controlling Interests excluding the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit management companies and (v) non-cash revenue and expense related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the funds and VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements as such carried interest income, management fees and other revenues from these consolidated entities are reflected on an unconsolidated basis.

The following table presents the financial data for Apollo's reportable segments as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2014:

	As of and for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014			
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment	Total Reportable Segments
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$11,925	\$58,593	\$803	\$71,321
Management fees from affiliates	76,848	139,645	11,200	227,693
Carried interest (loss) income from affiliates	(79,538) 25,270	(3,606) (57,874
Total Revenues	9,235	223,508	8,397	241,140
Expenses	31,937	127,983	11,623	171,543
Other (loss) Income	(370) 16,875	318	16,823
Non-Controlling Interests	—	(3,174) —	(3,174
Economic Net (loss) Income	\$(23,072) \$109,226	\$(2,908) \$83,246
Total Assets	\$2,462,241	\$2,250,020	\$203,889	\$4,916,150

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following table reconciles the total segments to Apollo Global Management, LLC's condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2014:

	As of and for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		
	Total Reportable Segments	Consolidation Adjustments and Other	Consolidated
Revenues	\$241,140	\$(20,005)) ⁽¹⁾ \$221,135
Expenses	171,543	5,845) ⁽²⁾ 177,388
Other income (loss)	16,823	(98,958)) ⁽³⁾ (82,135)
Non-Controlling Interests	(3,174)) 73,148) 69,974
Economic Net Income	\$83,246) ⁽⁵⁾ N/A) N/A
Total Assets	\$4,916,150) \$19,181,455) ⁽⁶⁾ \$24,097,605

Represents advisory fees, management fees and carried interest income earned from consolidated VIEs which are (1) eliminated in consolidation. Includes non-cash revenues related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

Represents the addition of expenses of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs and expenses related to RSUs (2) granted in connection with the 2007 private placement. Includes non-cash expenses related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

(3) Results from the following:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014
Net loss from investment activities	\$(103)
Net losses from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	(98,848)
Loss from equity method investments ⁽⁴⁾	(383)
Other Income, net	376
Total Consolidation Adjustments	\$(98,958)

(4) Includes \$(376) reflecting the remaining interest of certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from a private equity co-investment vehicle.

(5) The reconciliation of Economic Net Income to Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC reported in the condensed consolidated statements of operations consists of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014
Economic Net Income	\$83,246
Income tax provision	(29,376)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(42,955)
Non-cash charges related to equity-based compensation ⁽⁷⁾	(112)
Amortization of intangible assets	(8,593)
Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$2,210

(6) Represents the addition of assets of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs.

(7)

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Includes the impact of non-cash charges related to amortization of RSU Plan Grants made in connection with the 2007 private placement as discussed in note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. Additionally, includes non-cash revenues related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

- 67-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables present additional financial data for Apollo's reportable segments for the three months ended September 30, 2014:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014			Credit		
	Private Equity Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$11,925	\$—	\$11,925	\$58,593	\$—	\$58,593
Management fees from affiliates	76,848	—	76,848	139,645	—	139,645
Carried interest (loss) income from affiliates:						
Unrealized losses	—	(449,506)	(449,506)	—	(107,159)	(107,159)
Realized gains	—	369,968	369,968	12,106	120,323	132,429
Total Revenues	88,773	(79,538)	9,235	210,344	13,164	223,508
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	31,820	(19,270)	12,550	66,754	16,736	83,490
Other expenses ⁽²⁾	19,387	—	19,387	44,493	—	44,493
Total Expenses	51,207	(19,270)	31,937	111,247	16,736	127,983
Other (Loss) Income	(1,439)	1,069	(370)	1,728	15,147	16,875
Non-Controlling Interests	—	—	—	(3,174)	—	(3,174)
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$36,127	\$(59,199)	\$(23,072)	\$97,651	\$11,575	\$109,226

(1) Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options.

(2) Other expenses exclude amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		
	Real Estate		
	Management	Incentive	Total
Revenues:			
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$803	\$—	\$803
Management fees from affiliates	11,200	—	11,200
Carried interest (loss) income from affiliates:			
Unrealized losses	—	(3,606)	(3,606)
Realized gains	—	—	—
Total Revenues	12,003	(3,606)	8,397
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	8,617	(3,270)	5,347
Other expenses ⁽²⁾	6,276	—	6,276
Total Expenses	14,893	(3,270)	11,623
Other Income	(323)	641	318
Economic Net (Loss) Income	\$(3,213)	\$305	\$(2,908)

(1) Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options.

(2) Other expenses exclude amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

- 68-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following table presents the financial data for Apollo's reportable segments as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2013:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			Total Reportable Segments
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment	
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$5,646	\$23,280	\$36	\$28,962
Management fees from affiliates	64,801	87,023	13,378	165,202
Carried interest income from affiliates	852,305	103,619	3,307	959,231
Total Revenues	922,752	213,922	16,721	1,153,395
Expenses	414,474	149,050	21,461	584,985
Other Income	30,223	17,353	1,642	49,218
Non-Controlling Interests	—	(2,744) —	(2,744
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$538,501	\$79,481	\$(3,098) \$614,884
Total Assets	\$3,096,145	\$1,928,808	\$159,292	\$5,184,245

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following table reconciles the total reportable segments to Apollo Global Management, LLC's financial statements as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2013:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Total Reportable Segments	Consolidation Adjustments and Other	Consolidated
Revenues	\$1,153,395	\$(21,306)	⁽¹⁾ \$1,132,089
Expenses	584,985	15,130	⁽²⁾ 600,115
Other income	49,218	161,602	⁽³⁾ 210,820
Non-Controlling Interests	(2,744)	(500,330)	(503,074)
Economic Net Income	\$614,884	⁽⁵⁾ N/A	N/A
Total Assets	\$5,184,245	\$16,964,129	⁽⁶⁾ \$22,148,374

Represents advisory fees, management fees and carried interest income earned from consolidated VIEs which are (1) eliminated in consolidation. Includes non-cash revenues related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

Represents the addition of expenses of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs and expenses related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and equity-based compensation expense comprising (2) amortization of AOG Units and amortization of intangible assets. Includes non-cash expenses related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

(3) Results from the following:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013
Net gains from investment activities	\$81,158
Net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	78,601
Gain from equity method investments ⁽⁴⁾	1,062
Interest income	781
Total Consolidation Adjustments	\$161,602

(4) Includes \$(3,704) reflecting the remaining interest of certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from a private equity co-investment vehicle.

(5) The reconciliation of Economic Net Income to Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC reported in the condensed consolidated statements of operations consists of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013
Economic Net Income	\$614,884
Income tax provision	(47,204)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(360,782)
Non-cash charges related to equity-based compensation ⁽⁷⁾	(4,235)
Amortization of intangible assets	(10,147)
Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$192,516

(6) Represents the addition of assets of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs.

(7) Includes the impact of non-cash charges related to amortization of AOG Units and RSU Plan Grants made in connection with the 2007 private placement as discussed in note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. Additionally, includes non-cash revenues related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

- 70-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables present additional financial data for Apollo's reportable segments for the three months ended September 30, 2013:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013					
	Private Equity Management	Incentive	Total	Credit Management	Incentive	Total
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$5,646	\$—	\$5,646	\$23,280	\$—	\$23,280
Management fees from affiliates	64,801	—	64,801	87,023	—	87,023
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized gains (losses)	—	318,237	318,237	—	(10,325)	(10,325)
Realized gains	—	534,068	534,068	9,117	104,827	113,944
Total Revenues	70,447	852,305	922,752	119,420	94,502	213,922
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	35,424	358,025	393,449	49,914	64,696	114,610
Other expenses ⁽²⁾	21,025	—	21,025	34,440	—	34,440
Total Expenses	56,449	358,025	414,474	84,354	64,696	149,050
Other Income	6,649	23,574	30,223	15,232	2,121	17,353
Non-Controlling Interests	—	—	—	(2,744)	—	(2,744)
Economic Net Income	\$20,647	\$517,854	\$538,501	\$47,554	\$31,927	\$79,481

(1) Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options.

(2) Other expenses excludes amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Real Estate Management	Incentive	Total
Revenues:			
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$36	\$—	\$36
Management fees from affiliates	13,378	—	13,378
Carried interest income from affiliates:			
Unrealized gains	—	3,306	3,306
Realized gains	—	1	1
Total Revenues	13,414	3,307	16,721
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	12,505	1,821	14,326
Other expenses ⁽²⁾	7,135	—	7,135
Total Expenses	19,640	1,821	21,461
Other Income	795	847	1,642
Economic Net (Loss) Income	\$(5,431)	\$2,333	\$(3,098)

- (1) Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options.
- (2) Other expenses exclude amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

- 71-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following table presents the financial data for Apollo's reportable segments as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2014:

	As of and for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014			
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment	Total Reportable Segments
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$54,739	\$191,682	\$1,751	\$248,172
Management fees from affiliates	238,314	405,879	36,188	680,381
Carried interest income from affiliates	211,423	188,531	1,036	400,990
Total Revenues	504,476	786,092	38,975	1,329,543
Expenses	333,528	424,914	46,516	804,958
Other Income	36,070	63,766	4,692	104,528
Non-Controlling Interests	—	(9,554) —	(9,554
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$207,018	\$415,390	\$(2,849) \$619,559
Total Assets	\$2,462,241	\$2,250,020	\$203,889	\$4,916,150

The following table reconciles the total segments to Apollo Global Management, LLC's condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2014:

	As of and for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014		
	Total Reportable Segments	Consolidation Adjustments and Other	Consolidated
Revenues	\$1,329,543	\$(44,856) ⁽¹⁾ \$1,284,687
Expenses	804,958	40,918	⁽²⁾ 845,876
Other income	104,528	197,805	⁽³⁾ 302,333
Non-Controlling Interests	(9,554) (488,581) (498,135
Economic Net Income	\$619,559	⁽⁵⁾ N/A	N/A
Total Assets	\$4,916,150	\$19,181,455	⁽⁶⁾ \$24,097,605

Represents advisory fees, management fees and carried interest income earned from consolidated VIEs which are (1) eliminated in consolidation. Includes non-cash revenues related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

Represents the addition of expenses of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs and expenses related to RSUs (2) granted in connection with the 2007 private placement. Includes non-cash expenses related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

(3) Results from the following:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014
Net gains from investment activities	\$204,938
Net losses from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	(7,688
Loss from equity method investments ⁽⁴⁾	(933

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Other Income, net	1,488
Total Consolidation Adjustments	\$197,805

- 72-

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

(4) Includes \$1,737 reflecting the remaining interest of certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from a private equity co-investment vehicle.

(5) The reconciliation of Economic Net Income to Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC reported in the condensed consolidated statements of operations consists of the following:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	
Economic Net Income	\$619,559	
Income tax provision	(96,962)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(350,049)
Non-cash charges related to equity-based compensation ⁽⁷⁾	(202)
Amortization of intangible assets	(26,299)
Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$146,047	

(6) Represents the addition of assets of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs.

(7) Includes the impact of non-cash charges related to amortization of RSU Plan Grants made in connection with the 2007 private placement as discussed in note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. Additionally, includes non-cash revenues related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

The following tables present additional financial data for Apollo's reportable segments for the nine months ended September 30, 2014:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014					
	Private Equity			Credit		
	Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$54,739	\$—	\$54,739	\$191,682	\$—	\$191,682
Management fees from affiliates	238,314	—	238,314	405,879	—	405,879
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized losses	—	(753,488) (753,488) —	(67,883) (67,883
Realized gains	—	964,911	964,911	30,579	225,835	256,414
Total Revenues	293,053	211,423	504,476	628,140	157,952	786,092
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	112,209	162,719	274,928	207,053	97,269	304,322
Other expenses ⁽²⁾	58,600	—	58,600	120,592	—	120,592
Total Expenses	170,809	162,719	333,528	327,645	97,269	424,914
Other Income	1,182	34,888	36,070	9,227	54,539	63,766
Non-Controlling Interests	—	—	—	(9,554) —	(9,554
Economic Net Income	\$123,426	\$83,592	\$207,018	\$300,168	\$115,222	\$415,390

(1) Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options.

(2) Other expenses exclude amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014		
	Real Estate		
	Management	Incentive	Total
Revenues:			
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$1,751	\$—	\$1,751
Management fees from affiliates	36,188	—	36,188
Carried interest income from affiliates:			
Unrealized losses	—	(2,962) (2,962
Realized gains	—	3,998	3,998
Total Revenues	37,939	1,036	38,975
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	30,013	(1,055) 28,958
Other expenses ⁽²⁾	17,558	—	17,558
Total Expenses	47,571	(1,055) 46,516
Other Income	228	4,464	4,692
Economic Net (Loss) Income	\$ (9,404) \$ 6,555	\$ (2,849

(1) Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options.

(2) Other expenses exclude amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

The following table presents the financial data for Apollo's reportable segments as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

	For the Nine Months Ended			
	September 30, 2013			
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment	Total Reportable Segments
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$71,926	\$67,105	\$2,434	\$141,465
Management fees from affiliates	196,757	261,774	40,175	498,706
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates	2,071,888	290,708	(2,022) 2,360,574
Total Revenues	2,340,571	619,587	40,587	3,000,745
Expenses	1,033,319	368,136	49,902	1,451,357
Other Income	67,448	37,655	3,730	108,833
Non-Controlling Interests	—	(9,462) —	(9,462
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$1,374,700	\$279,644	\$(5,585) \$1,648,759
Total Assets	\$3,096,145	\$1,928,808	\$159,292	\$5,184,245

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following table reconciles the total reportable segments to Apollo Global Management, LLC's financial statements as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Total Reportable Segments	Consolidation Adjustments and Other	Consolidated
Revenues	\$3,000,745	\$(62,322)	⁽¹⁾ \$2,938,423
Expenses	1,451,357	94,147	⁽²⁾ 1,545,504
Other income	108,833	225,995	⁽³⁾ 334,828
Non-Controlling Interests	(9,462)	(1,134,132)	(1,143,594)
Economic Net Income	\$1,648,759	⁽⁵⁾ N/A	N/A
Total Assets	\$5,184,245	\$16,964,129	⁽⁶⁾ \$22,148,374

Represents advisory fees, management fees and carried interest income earned from consolidated VIEs which are (1) eliminated in consolidation. Includes non-cash revenues related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

Represents the addition of expenses of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs and expenses related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and equity-based compensation expense comprising (2) amortization of AOG Units and amortization of intangible assets. Includes non-cash expenses related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

(3) Results from the following:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013
Net gains from investment activities	\$136,130
Net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	91,264
Gain from equity method investments ⁽⁴⁾	234
Interest income	1,641
Other losses, net	(3,274)
Total Consolidation Adjustments	\$225,995

(4) Includes \$(5,131) reflecting the remaining interest of certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from a private equity co-investment vehicle.

(5) The reconciliation of Economic Net Income to Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC reported in the condensed consolidated statements of operations consists of the following:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013
Economic Net Income	\$1,648,759
Income tax provision	(83,922)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(972,511)
Non-cash charges related to equity-based compensation ⁽⁷⁾	(58,901)
Amortization of intangible assets	(33,194)
Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$500,231

(6) Represents the addition of assets of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs.

(7) Includes the impact of non-cash charges related to amortization of AOG Units and RSU Plan Grants made in connection with the 2007 private placement as discussed in note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. Additionally, includes non-cash revenues related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

- 75 -

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables present additional financial data for Apollo's reportable segments for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			Credit		
	Private Equity Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$71,926	\$—	\$71,926	\$67,105	\$—	\$67,105
Management fees from affiliates	196,757	—	196,757	261,774	—	261,774
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized gains ⁽¹⁾	—	506,184	506,184	—	4,079	4,079
Realized gains	—	1,565,704	1,565,704	28,197	258,432	286,629
Total Revenues	268,683	2,071,888	2,340,571	357,076	262,511	619,587
Compensation and benefits ⁽²⁾	104,387	859,167	963,554	138,695	118,425	257,120
Other expenses ⁽³⁾	69,765	—	69,765	111,016	—	111,016
Total Expenses	174,152	859,167	1,033,319	249,711	118,425	368,136
Other Income	9,153	58,295	67,448	23,759	13,896	37,655
Non-Controlling Interests	—	—	—	(9,462)) —	(9,462)
Economic Net Income	\$103,684	\$1,271,016	\$1,374,700	\$121,662	\$157,982	\$279,644

Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was a reversal of \$19.3 million of the entire general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income to SOMA. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' net assets as of the reporting date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.

(1) net assets as of the reporting date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.

(2) Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options.

(3) Other expenses excludes amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Real Estate Management	Incentive	Total
Revenues:			
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$2,434	\$—	\$2,434
Management fees from affiliates	40,175	—	40,175
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates:			
Unrealized losses	—	(2,535) (2,535)
Realized gains	—	513	513
Total Revenues	42,609	(2,022) 40,587
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	31,548	(2,187) 29,361
Other expenses ⁽²⁾	20,541	—	20,541
Total Expenses	52,089	(2,187) 49,902
Other Income	2,188	1,542	3,730
Economic Net (Loss) Income	\$(7,292) \$1,707	\$(5,585)

(1) Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options.

(2) Other expenses excludes amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On October 9, 2014 and November 4, 2014, the Company issued 711,805 and 2,319,139 Class A shares, respectively, in settlement of vested RSUs. These issuances caused the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group to increase from 41.8% to 42.3%.

On October 30, 2014 the Company declared a cash distribution of \$0.73 per Class A share, which will be paid on November 21, 2014 to holders of record on November 14, 2014.

Table of ContentsITEM 1A. UNAUDITED SUPPLEMENTAL PRESENTATION OF STATEMENTS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (Unaudited)
(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	September 30, 2014			
	Apollo Global Management, LLC and Consolidated Subsidiaries	Consolidated Funds and VIE's	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,412,298	\$—	\$—	\$1,412,298
Cash and cash equivalents held at consolidated funds	—	685	—	685
Restricted cash	7,520	—	—	7,520
Investments	822,342	2,177,282	(131,300)	2,868,324
Assets of consolidated variable interest entities				
Cash and cash equivalents	—	901,910	—	901,910
Investments, at fair value	—	15,758,591	(1,548)	15,757,043
Other assets	—	569,012	(692)	568,320
Carried interest receivable	1,481,897	—	(49,623)	1,432,274
Due from affiliates	255,596	—	(9,565)	246,031
Fixed assets, net	36,773	—	—	36,773
Deferred tax assets	658,872	—	—	658,872
Other assets	83,372	6,312	—	89,684
Goodwill	88,852	—	(39,609)	49,243
Intangible assets, net	68,628	—	—	68,628
Total Assets	\$4,916,150	\$19,413,792	\$ (232,337)	\$24,097,605
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$75,557	\$523	\$—	\$76,080
Accrued compensation and benefits	119,349	—	—	119,349
Deferred revenue	316,177	—	—	316,177
Due to affiliates	580,817	2,500	—	583,317
Profit sharing payable	755,322	—	—	755,322
Debt	1,015,952	—	—	1,015,952
Liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities:				
Debt, at fair value	—	14,110,993	(25,266)	14,085,727
Other liabilities	—	938,644	38	938,682
Due to affiliates	—	59,918	(59,918)	—
Other liabilities	31,922	6,167	—	38,089
Total Liabilities	2,895,096	15,118,745	(85,146)	17,928,695
Shareholders' Equity:				
Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity:				
Additional paid in capital	2,357,398	—	(1,771)	2,355,627

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated deficit	(1,455,995) 2,175,756	(2,142,635)	(1,422,874)
Appropriated partners' capital	—	1,102,495	(39,716)	1,062,779
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	36,835	—	(37,153)	(318)
Total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity	938,238	3,278,251	(2,221,275)	1,995,214
Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	14,575	1,016,796	2,074,084	3,105,455
Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	1,068,241	—	—	1,068,241
Total Shareholders' Equity	2,021,054	4,295,047	(147,191)	6,168,910
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$4,916,150	\$19,413,792	\$ (232,337)	\$24,097,605

- 78-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (Unaudited)
(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	December 31, 2013			
	Apollo Global Management, LLC and Consolidated Subsidiaries	Consolidated Funds and VIE's	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,078,120	\$—	\$—	\$1,078,120
Cash and cash equivalents held at consolidated funds	—	1,417	—	1,417
Restricted cash	9,199	—	—	9,199
Investments	509,712	1,971,654	(87,483)	2,393,883
Assets of consolidated variable interest entities				
Cash and cash equivalents	—	1,095,170	—	1,095,170
Investments, at fair value	—	14,127,480	(1,118)	14,126,362
Other assets	—	280,718	—	280,718
Carried interest receivable	2,366,766	—	(79,691)	2,287,075
Due from affiliates	323,177	—	(5,930)	317,247
Fixed assets, net	40,251	—	—	40,251
Deferred tax assets	660,199	—	—	660,199
Other assets	42,333	1,837	—	44,170
Goodwill	88,852	—	(39,609)	49,243
Intangible assets, net	94,927	—	—	94,927
Total Assets	\$5,213,536	\$17,478,276	\$(213,831)	\$22,477,981
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$37,880	\$279	\$—	\$38,159
Accrued compensation and benefits	41,711	—	—	41,711
Deferred revenue	279,479	—	—	279,479
Due to affiliates	594,518	853	—	595,371
Profit sharing payable	992,240	—	—	992,240
Debt	750,000	—	—	750,000
Liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities:				
Debt, at fair value	—	12,424,839	(877)	12,423,962
Other liabilities	—	609,413	(4,350)	605,063
Due to affiliates	—	81,272	(81,272)	—
Other liabilities	60,647	2,627	—	63,274
Total Liabilities	2,756,475	13,119,283	(86,499)	15,789,259
Shareholders' Equity:				
Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity:				
Additional paid in capital	2,624,113	—	469	2,624,582
Accumulated deficit	(1,587,536)) 1,971,682	(1,952,633)	(1,568,487)
Appropriated partners' capital	—	1,620,928	(39,849)	1,581,079
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	33,774	—	(33,679)	95
Total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity	1,070,351	3,592,610	(2,025,692)	2,637,269

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	4,987	766,383	1,898,360	2,669,730
Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	1,381,723	—	—	1,381,723
Total Shareholders' Equity	2,457,061	4,358,993	(127,332)	6,688,722
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$5,213,536	\$17,478,276	\$ (213,831)	\$22,477,981

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL
CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Apollo Global Management, LLC's condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes included within this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Actual results and the timing of events may differ significantly from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including those included in the section entitled "Risk Factors" in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 filed with the SEC on March 3, 2014 (the "2013 Annual Report"). The highlights listed below have had significant effects on many items within our condensed consolidated financial statements and affect the comparison of the current period's activity with those of prior periods.

General

Our Businesses

Founded in 1990, Apollo is a leading global alternative investment manager. We are contrarian, value-oriented investors in private equity, credit and real estate with significant distressed expertise and a flexible mandate in the majority of our funds that enables our funds to invest opportunistically across a company's capital structure. We raise, invest and manage funds on behalf of some of the world's most prominent pension, endowment and sovereign wealth funds as well as other institutional and individual investors. Apollo is led by our Managing Partners, Leon Black, Joshua Harris and Marc Rowan, who have worked together for more than 24 years and lead a team of 837 employees, including 319 investment professionals, as of September 30, 2014.

Apollo conducts its management and incentive businesses primarily in the United States and substantially all of its revenues are generated domestically. These businesses are conducted through the following three reportable segments:

- (i) Private equity—primarily invests in control equity and related debt instruments, convertible securities and distressed debt instruments;
- (ii) Credit—primarily invests in non-control corporate and structured debt instruments; and
- (iii) Real estate—primarily invests in real estate equity for the acquisition and recapitalization of real estate assets, portfolios, platforms and operating companies, and real estate debt including first mortgage and mezzanine loans, preferred equity and commercial mortgage backed securities.

These business segments are differentiated based on the varying investment strategies. The performance is measured by management on an unconsolidated basis because management makes operating decisions and assesses the performance of each of Apollo's business segments based on financial and operating metrics and data that exclude the effects of consolidation of any of the affiliated funds.

Our financial results vary since carried interest, which generally constitutes a large portion of the income we receive from the funds that we manage, as well as the transaction and advisory fees that we receive, can vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. As a result, we emphasize long-term financial growth and profitability to manage our business.

In addition, the growth in our fee-generating AUM during the last year has primarily been in our credit segment. The average management fee rate for these new credit products is at market rates for such products and in certain cases is below our historical rates. Also, due to the complexity of these new product offerings, the Company has incurred and will continue to incur additional costs associated with managing these products. To date, these additional costs have been offset by realized economies of scale and ongoing cost management.

As of September 30, 2014, approximately 96% of our total AUM was in funds with a contractual life at inception of seven years or more, and 7% of our total AUM was in permanent capital vehicles with unlimited duration.

As of September 30, 2014, we had total AUM of \$163.9 billion across all of our businesses. On December 31, 2013, Apollo Investment Fund VIII, L.P. ("Fund VIII") held a final closing raising a total of \$17.5 billion in third-party capital and approximately \$880 million of additional capital from Apollo and affiliated investors, and as of September 30, 2014, Fund VIII had \$16.7 billion of uncalled commitments remaining. Additionally, Apollo Investment Fund VII, L.P. ("Fund VII") held a final closing in December 2008, raising a total of \$14.7 billion, and as of September 30, 2014, Fund VII had \$3.2 billion of uncalled commitments remaining. We have consistently

produced attractive long-term investment returns in our traditional private equity funds, generating a 39% gross IRR and a 26% net IRR on a compound annual basis from inception through September 30, 2014. For further detail related to fund performance metrics across all of our businesses, see “—The Historical Investment Performance of Our Funds.”

Holding Company Structure

The diagram below depicts our current organizational structure:

Note: The organizational structure chart above depicts a simplified version of the Apollo structure. It does not include all legal entities in the structure. Ownership percentages are as of the date of the filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The Strategic Investors hold 27.60% of the Class A shares outstanding and 11.67% of the economic interests in the Apollo Operating Group. The Class A shares held by investors other than the Strategic Investors represent 34.64% of the total voting power of our shares entitled to vote and 30.60% of the economic interests in the Apollo

(1) Operating Group. Class A shares held by the Strategic Investors do not have voting rights. However, such Class A shares will become entitled to vote upon transfers by a Strategic Investor in accordance with the agreements entered into in connection with the investments made by the Strategic Investors.

Our Managing Partners own BRH Holdings GP, Ltd., which in turn holds our only outstanding Class B share. The Class B share represents 65.36% of the total voting power of our shares entitled to vote but no economic interest in

(2) Apollo Global Management, LLC. Our Managing Partners' economic interests are instead represented by their indirect beneficial ownership, through Holdings, of 51.14% of the limited partner interests in the Apollo Operating Group.

Through BRH Holdings, L.P., our Managing Partners indirectly beneficially own through estate planning vehicles,

(3) limited partner interests in Holdings.

Holdings owns 57.73% of the limited partner interests in each Apollo Operating Group entity ("AOG Units"). The AOG Units held by Holdings are exchangeable for Class A shares. Our Managing Partners, through their interests

(4) in BRH and Holdings, beneficially own 51.14% of the AOG Units. Our Contributing Partners, through their ownership interests in Holdings, beneficially own 6.59% of the AOG Units.

Table of Contents

(5) BRH Holdings GP, Ltd. is the sole member of AGM Management, LLC, our manager. The management of Apollo Global Management, LLC is vested in our manager as provided in our operating agreement.

Represents 42.27% of the limited partner interests in each Apollo Operating Group entity, held through (6) intermediate holding companies. Apollo Global Management, LLC, also indirectly owns 100% of the general partner interests in each Apollo Operating Group entity.

Each of the Apollo Operating Group partnerships holds interests in different businesses or entities organized in different jurisdictions.

Our structure is designed to accomplish a number of objectives, the most important of which are as follows:

• We are a holding company that is qualified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Our intermediate holding companies enable us to maintain our partnership status and to meet the qualifying income exception.

• We have historically used multiple management companies to segregate operations for business, financial and other reasons. Going forward, we may increase or decrease the number of our management companies or partnerships within the Apollo Operating Group based on our views regarding the appropriate balance between (a) administrative convenience and (b) continued business, financial, tax and other optimization.

Business Environment

As a global investment manager, we are affected by numerous factors, including the condition of financial markets and the economy. Fluctuations in equity prices, which may be volatile and mixed across geographies, can significantly impact the valuation of our funds' portfolio companies and related income we may recognize. In the U.S., the S&P 500 Index rose 0.6% in the third quarter of 2014, following a 4.7% rise in the second quarter of 2014. Outside the U.S., global equity markets depreciated in the third quarter of 2014. The MSCI All Country World ex USA Index was down 5.8% in the third quarter of 2014 following a 4.0% rise in the second quarter of 2014. Importantly, we believe that the generally positive momentum in the U.S. equity markets in the first nine months of 2014 has been conducive for continued equity capital markets activity, including IPOs and secondary offerings of the portfolio companies within our funds.

Conditions in the credit markets also have a significant impact on our business. Credit indices declined in the third quarter of 2014, with the BofAML HY Master II Index down 1.9% and the S&P/LSTA Leveraged Loan Index down 0.5%. Benchmark interest rates ascended for more than two thirds of the quarter before pulling back in September on news from the Federal Reserve that tapering asset purchases would only begin until signs of economic growth are evident. The U.S. 10-year Treasury yield finished the quarter down less than 10 basis points from its starting point to 2.5%.

In terms of economic conditions in the U.S., the Bureau of Economic Analysis reported that real GDP increased at an annual rate of 3.5% in the third quarter of 2014, driven by military spending and a decline in imports, despite mounting worries of a global slowdown. As of October 2014, The International Monetary Fund estimated that the U.S. economy will expand by 2.2% in 2014, slightly greater than the 1.9% growth in 2013, despite the GDP contraction seen in the first quarter of the year. Additionally, the U.S. unemployment rate continued to decline and stood at 5.9% as of September 30, 2014, compared to 6.1% as of June 30, 2014, making it the lowest level since July 2008. The decline versus the prior quarter end was partially attributable to a further drop in the labor force participation rate.

Amid the generally favorable backdrop of elevated asset prices and positive equity market momentum, Apollo continued to generate realizations for fund investors. Apollo returned \$4.6 billion and \$16.5 billion of capital and realized gains to the limited partners of the funds it manages during the third quarter of 2014 and for the past 12 months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. In general, institutional investors continue to allocate capital towards alternative investment managers for more attractive risk-adjusted returns in a low interest rate environment. Apollo reported \$2.8 billion and \$18.9 billion of new capital raised during the third quarter of 2014 and over past 12 months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. Capital raising activity in the third quarter of 2014 was primarily driven by the \$2.0 billion final closing of Credit Opportunity Fund III, L.P.

Regardless of the market or economic environment at any given time, Apollo relies on its contrarian, value-oriented approach to consistently invest capital on behalf of its investors by focusing on opportunities that management believes are often overlooked by other investors. We believe Apollo's expertise in credit and its focus on nine core

industry sectors, combined with more than 20 years of investment experience, has allowed Apollo to respond quickly to changing environments. Apollo's core industry sectors cover chemicals, natural resources, consumer and retail, distribution and transportation, financial and business services, manufacturing and industrial, media and cable and leisure, packaging and materials and the satellite and wireless industries. Apollo believes that these attributes have contributed to the success of its private equity funds investing in buyouts and credit opportunities during both expansionary and recessionary economic periods.

Table of Contents

Managing Business Performance

We believe that the presentation of Economic Net Income (Loss) supplements a reader's understanding of the economic operating performance of each of our segments.

Economic Net Income (Loss)

Economic Net Income, or ENI, is a key performance measure used by management in evaluating the performance of Apollo's private equity, credit and real estate segments. Management also believes the components of ENI such as the amount of management fees, advisory and transaction fees and carried interest income are indicative of Apollo's performance. Management uses these performance measures in making key operating decisions such as the following:

- Decisions related to the allocation of resources such as staffing decisions including hiring and locations for deployment of the new hires;
- Decisions related to capital deployment such as providing capital to facilitate growth for the business and/or to facilitate expansion into new businesses; and
- Decisions related to expenses, such as determining annual discretionary bonuses and equity-based compensation awards to our employees. With respect to compensation, management seeks to align the interests of certain professionals and selected other individuals with those of the investors in the funds and those of Apollo's shareholders by providing such individuals a profit sharing interest in the carried interest income earned in relation to the funds. To achieve that objective, a certain amount of compensation is based on Apollo's performance and growth for the year.

ENI has certain limitations in that it does not take into account certain items included under U.S. GAAP. ENI represents segment income (loss) attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC, which excludes the impact of (i) non-cash charges related to restricted share units ("RSUs") granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and amortization of AOG Units, (ii) income tax expense, (iii) amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions, (iv) Non-Controlling Interests (excluding the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit management companies) and (v) non-cash revenue and expense related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the funds and VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements as such carried interest income, management fees and other revenues from these consolidated entities are reflected on an unconsolidated basis. Adjustments relating to income tax expense, intangible asset amortization and Non-Controlling Interests are common in the calculation of supplemental measures of performance in our industry. We believe the exclusion of the non-cash charges related to the 2007 Reorganization for equity-based compensation provides investors with a meaningful indication of our performance because these charges relate to the equity portion of our capital structure and not our core operating performance.

We believe that ENI is helpful for an understanding of our business and that investors should review the same supplemental financial measure that management uses to analyze our segment performance. This measure supplements and should be considered in addition to and not in lieu of the results of operations discussed below in "—Overview of Results of Operations" that have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

ENI may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies and is not a measure of performance calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP. We use ENI as a measure of operating performance, not as a measure of liquidity. ENI should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for operating income, net income, operating cash flows, investing and financing activities, or other income or cash flow statement data prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The use of ENI without consideration of related U.S. GAAP measures is not adequate due to the adjustments described above. Management compensates for these limitations by using ENI as a supplemental measure to U.S. GAAP results, to provide a more complete understanding of our performance as management measures it. A reconciliation of ENI to our U.S. GAAP net income (loss) attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC can be found in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, certain reclassifications were made to prior period financial data within salary, bonus and benefits and profit sharing expense to conform to the current presentation. The impact of these reclassifications on management business ENI and incentive business ENI is reflected in the table below for Apollo's

three reportable segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

- 82-

Table of Contents

	Impact of Reclassification on Management Business Economic Net Income (Loss)		
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	\$4,798	\$(4,301)	\$(497)
	Impact of Reclassification on Management Business Economic Net Income (Loss)		
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	\$14,697	\$(13,252)	\$(1,445)
	Impact of Reclassification on Incentive Business Economic Net (Loss) Income		
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	\$(5,058)	\$4,566	\$492
	Impact of Reclassification on Incentive Business Economic Net (Loss) Income		
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	\$(14,598)	\$13,178	\$1,420

As it relates to the reclassifications described above, the impact to the combined segments' ENI for all periods presented was zero.

Operating Metrics

We monitor certain operating metrics that are common to the alternative investment management industry. These operating metrics include Assets Under Management, private equity dollars invested and uncalled private equity commitments.

Assets Under Management

Assets Under Management refers to the assets we manage for the funds, partnerships and accounts to which we provide investment management services, including, without limitation, capital that such funds, partnerships and accounts have the right to call from investors pursuant to capital commitments. Our AUM equals the sum of:

- (i) the fair value of the investments of the private equity funds, partnerships and accounts we manage plus the capital that such funds, partnerships and accounts are entitled to call from investors pursuant to capital commitments;
- (ii) the net asset value ("NAV") of the credit funds, partnerships and accounts for which we provide investment management services, other than certain CLOs and CDOs, which have a fee generating basis other than the mark-to-market value of the underlying assets, plus used or available leverage and/or capital commitments;
- (iii) the gross asset value or net asset value of the real estate funds, partnerships and accounts we manage, and the structured portfolio company investments of the funds, partnerships and accounts we manage, which includes the leverage used by such structured portfolio company investments;
- (iv) the incremental value associated with the reinsurance investments of the portfolio company assets we manage; and

Table of Contents

the fair value of any other assets that we manage for the funds, partnerships and accounts to which we provide investment management services, plus unused credit facilities, including capital commitments to such funds, (v) partnerships and accounts for investments that may require pre-qualification before investment plus any other capital commitments to such funds, partnerships and accounts available for investment that are not otherwise included in the clauses above.

Our AUM measure includes Assets Under Management for which we charge either no or nominal fees. Our definition of AUM is not based on any definition of Assets Under Management contained in our operating agreement or in any of our Apollo fund management agreements. We consider multiple factors for determining what should be included in our definition of AUM. Such factors include but are not limited to (1) our ability to influence the investment decisions for existing and available assets; (2) our ability to generate income from the underlying assets in our funds; and (3) the AUM measures that we believe are used by other investment managers. Given the differences in the investment strategies and structures among other alternative investment managers, our calculation of AUM may differ from the calculations employed by other investment managers and, as a result, this measure may not be directly comparable to similar measures presented by other investment managers.

We use AUM as a performance measure of our investment activities, as well as to monitor fund size in relation to professional resource and infrastructure needs.

Assets Under Management—Fee-Generating/Non-Fee Generating

Fee-generating AUM consists of assets we manage for the funds, partnerships and accounts to which we provide investment management services and on which we earn management fees or monitoring fees pursuant to management or other fee agreements on a basis that varies among the Apollo funds, partnerships and accounts we manage.

Management fees are normally based on “net asset value,” “gross assets,” “adjusted par asset value,” “adjusted cost of all unrealized portfolio investments,” “capital commitments,” “adjusted assets,” “stockholders’ equity,” “invested capital” or “capital contributions,” each as defined in the applicable management agreement. Monitoring fees, also referred to as advisory fees, with respect to the investments of the funds, partnerships and accounts we manage, are generally based on the total value of such structured portfolio company investments, which normally includes leverage, less any portion of such total value that is already considered in fee-generating AUM.

Non-fee generating AUM consists of assets that do not produce management fees or monitoring fees. These assets generally consist of the following: (a) fair value above invested capital for those funds that earn management fees based on invested capital, (b) net asset values related to general partner and co-investment ownership, (c) unused credit facilities, (d) available commitments on those funds that generate management fees on invested capital, (e) structured portfolio company investments that do not generate monitoring fees and (f) the difference between gross asset and net asset value for those funds that earn management fees based on net asset value.

Carry Eligible AUM refers to the AUM that may eventually produce carried interest income. All funds for which we are entitled to receive a carried interest income allocation are included in Carry Eligible AUM, which consists of the following:

Carry Generating AUM refers to funds' invested capital that is currently above its hurdle rate or preferred return, (i) and the funds' profit is allocated to the general partner in accordance with the applicable limited partnership agreements or other governing agreements.

(ii) AUM Not Currently Generating Carry refers to funds' invested capital that is currently below its hurdle rate or preferred return.

(iii) Uninvested Carry Eligible AUM refers to available capital for investment or reinvestment subject to the provisions of applicable limited partnership agreements or other governing agreements that are not currently part of the NAV or fair value of investments that may eventually produce carried interest income, which would be allocated to the general partner.

AUM with Future Management Fee Potential refers to the committed uninvested capital portion of total AUM not currently earning management fees. The amount depends on the specific terms and conditions of each fund.

Table of Contents

We use non-fee generating AUM combined with fee-generating AUM as a performance measure of our investment activities, as well as to monitor fund size in relation to professional resource and infrastructure needs. Non-fee generating AUM includes assets on which we could earn carried interest income.

The table below presents fee-generating and non-fee generating AUM by segment as of September 30, 2014 and 2013 and December 31, 2013. Changes in market conditions and additional funds raised have had significant impacts to Apollo's AUM:

	As of September 30, 2014		As of September 30, 2013		As of December 31, 2013	
	(in millions)					
Total Assets Under Management	\$163,900	(1)	\$112,687	(1)	\$161,177	(1)
Fee-generating	129,577		79,343		128,368	
Non-fee generating	34,323	(1)	33,344	(1)	32,809	(1)
Private Equity	46,173		42,767		49,908	
Fee-generating	32,104		27,059		34,173	
Non-fee generating	14,069		15,708		15,735	
Credit	107,675		59,359		100,886	
Fee-generating	91,614		46,625		88,249	
Non-fee generating	16,061		12,734		12,637	
Real Estate	9,045		9,339		9,289	
Fee-generating	5,859		5,659		5,946	
Non-fee generating	3,186		3,680		3,343	

(1) As of September 30, 2014 and 2013 and December 31, 2013, includes \$1.0 billion, \$1.2 billion and \$1.1 billion of commitments, respectively, that have yet to be deployed to an Apollo fund within Apollo's three segments.

The table below sets forth AUM with the potential to earn management fees in the future, which is a component of Non-Fee Generating AUM, as of September 30, 2014 and 2013 and December 31, 2013.

	As of September 30, 2014		As of September 30, 2013		As of December 31, 2013	
	(in millions)					
Private Equity	\$1,884		\$4,867		\$4,225	
Credit	4,875		2,800		3,312	
Real Estate	520		705		640	
Total AUM with Future Management Fee Potential	\$8,286	(1)	\$9,595	(1)	\$9,246	(1)

(1) As of September 30, 2014 and 2013 and December 31, 2013, includes \$1.0 billion, \$1.2 billion and \$1.1 billion of commitments, respectively, that have yet to be deployed to an Apollo fund within Apollo's three segments.

Table of Contents

The following table presents Carry Eligible AUM and Carry Generating AUM for each of Apollo's three segments as of September 30, 2014 and 2013 and December 31, 2013:

	Carry Eligible AUM		Carry Generating AUM			
	As of September 30, 2014 (in millions)	As of September 30, 2013	As of December 31, 2013	As of September 30, 2014	As of September 30, 2013	As of December 31, 2013
Private equity	\$40,527	\$39,157	\$45,050	\$18,651	\$11,635	\$24,791
Credit	38,676	29,541	34,580	24,439	20,476	23,539
Real estate	2,525	3,043	3,041	850	540	941
Total ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$82,734	\$72,972	\$83,729	\$43,940	\$32,651	\$49,271

As of September 30, 2014 and 2013 and December 31, 2013, Carry Eligible AUM includes \$1.0 billion, \$1.2 (1)billion and \$1.1 billion of commitments, respectively, that have yet to be deployed to an Apollo fund within Apollo's three segments.

As of September 30, 2014 and 2013 and December 31, 2013, Carry Eligible AUM includes \$29.5 billion, \$21.6 (2)billion and \$28.7 billion of Uninvested Carry Eligible AUM, respectively, and \$9.3 billion, \$18.7 billion and \$5.8 billion of AUM Not Currently Generating Carry, respectively.

The Company's entire fee-generating AUM is subject to management or monitoring fees. The components of fee-generating AUM by segment as of September 30, 2014 and 2013 and December 31, 2013 are presented below:

	As of September 30, 2014			
	Private Equity (in millions)	Credit	Real Estate	Total
Fee-generating AUM based on capital commitments	\$20,077	\$5,968	\$114	\$26,159
Fee-generating AUM based on invested capital	11,115	2,748	3,694	17,557
Fee-generating AUM based on gross/adjusted assets	582	74,607	1,908	77,097
Fee-generating AUM based on leverage	330	1,063	—	1,393
Fee-generating AUM based on NAV	—	7,228	143	7,371
Total Fee-Generating AUM	\$32,104	⁽¹⁾ \$91,614	\$5,859	\$129,577

⁽¹⁾ The weighted average remaining life of the private equity funds excluding permanent capital vehicles at September 30, 2014 was 72 months.

	As of September 30, 2013			
	Private Equity (in millions)	Credit	Real Estate	Total
Fee-generating AUM based on capital commitments	\$11,350	\$5,612	\$158	\$17,120
Fee-generating AUM based on invested capital	12,741	2,139	3,471	18,351
Fee-generating AUM based on gross/adjusted assets	887	30,368	1,761	33,016
Fee-generating AUM based on leverage	2,081	1,659	—	3,740
Fee-generating AUM based on NAV	—	6,847	269	7,116

Total Fee-Generating AUM	\$27,059	(1) \$46,625	\$5,659	\$79,343
--------------------------	----------	--------------	---------	----------

(1) The weighted average remaining life of the private equity funds excluding permanent capital vehicles at September 30, 2013 was 71 months.

- 86-

Table of Contents

	As of December 31, 2013			Total
	Private Equity (in millions)	Credit	Real Estate	
Fee-generating AUM based on capital commitments	\$19,630	\$5,834	\$156	\$25,620
Fee-generating AUM based on invested capital	11,923	1,649	3,753	17,325
Fee-generating AUM based on gross/adjusted assets	925	72,202	1,769	74,896
Fee-generating AUM based on leverage	1,695	1,587	—	3,282
Fee-generating AUM based on NAV	—	6,977	268	7,245
Total Fee-Generating AUM	\$34,173	(1) \$88,249	\$5,946	\$128,368

(1) The weighted average remaining life of the private equity funds excluding permanent capital vehicles at December 31, 2013 was 75 months.

The following table presents total AUM and fee-generating AUM amounts for our private equity segment by strategy:

	Total AUM		As of December 31, 2013	Fee-Generating AUM		As of December 31, 2013
	As of September 30, 2014	2013		As of September 30, 2014	2013	
	(in millions)					
Traditional Private Equity Funds	\$39,636	\$39,833	(1) \$45,872	\$28,935	\$24,376	(1) \$31,094
Natural Resources	1,453	1,336	1,367	1,295	1,295	1,295
Other ⁽²⁾	5,084	1,598	(1) 2,669	1,874	1,388	(1) 1,784
Total	\$46,173	\$42,767	\$49,908	\$32,104	\$27,059	\$34,173

(1) Reclassified to conform with current presentation.

Includes co-investments contributed to Athene Holding Ltd. ("Athene Holding") and its subsidiaries (together with (2) Athene Holding, "Athene") by AAA, through its investment in AAA Investments as discussed in note 12 of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

The following table presents total AUM and fee-generating AUM amounts for our credit segment by strategy:

	Total AUM		As of December 31, 2013	Fee-Generating AUM		As of December 31, 2013
	As of September 30, 2014	2013		As of September 30, 2014	2013	
	(in millions)					
Athene ⁽¹⁾	\$48,258	\$9,292	\$50,345	\$48,258	\$9,292	\$50,345
U.S. Performing Credit	24,965	23,470	22,177	19,987	18,195	17,510
Structured Credit	14,638	11,294	12,779	10,619	8,180	9,362
Opportunistic Credit	10,829	6,569	7,068	6,196	4,493	4,763
Non-Performing Loans	5,245	6,251	5,688	3,979	4,555	4,330
European Credit	3,740	2,483	2,829	2,575	1,910	1,939
Total	\$107,675	\$59,359	\$100,886	\$91,614	\$46,625	\$88,249

(1)

Excludes AUM that is either sub-advised by Apollo or invested in Apollo funds and investment vehicles across its private equity, credit and real estate funds.

- 87-

Table of Contents

The following table presents total AUM and fee-generating AUM amounts for our real estate segment by strategy:

	Total AUM		As of December 31, 2013	Fee-Generating AUM		As of December 31, 2013
	As of September 30, 2014	2013		As of September 30, 2014	2013	
	(in millions)					
Debt	\$6,049	\$5,677	\$5,731	\$4,237	\$3,411	\$3,701
Equity	2,996	3,662	3,558	1,622	2,248	2,245
Total	\$9,045	\$9,339	\$9,289	\$5,859	\$5,659	\$5,946

- 88-

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize changes in total AUM for each of Apollo's three segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in millions)			
Change in Total AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$167,496 ⁽¹⁾	\$113,116 ⁽¹⁾	\$161,177 ⁽¹⁾	\$113,379 ⁽¹⁾
(Loss) Income	(559)	5,327	2,836	11,661
Subscriptions/Capital raised	2,845	4,022	8,905 ⁽²⁾	12,148
Distributions	(4,573)	(6,259)	(10,664)	(16,841)
Redemptions	(293)	(104)	(613)	(1,122)
Leverage/Other ⁽⁴⁾	(1,016)	(3,415)	2,259	(6,538)
End of Period	\$163,900 ⁽¹⁾	\$112,687 ⁽¹⁾	\$163,900 ⁽¹⁾	\$112,687 ⁽¹⁾
Change in Private Equity AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$51,585	\$40,213	\$49,908	\$37,832
(Loss) Income	(727)	4,131	861	8,646
Subscriptions/Capital raised	221	3,332	3,041 ⁽²⁾	9,170
Distributions	(2,834)	(4,210)	(6,591)	(11,781)
Redemptions	—	—	—	(19)
Net segment transfers	(1,205)	56	(1,216)	1,118
Leverage	(867)	(755)	170	(2,199)
End of Period	\$46,173	\$42,767	\$46,173	\$42,767
Change in Credit AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$105,725	\$62,212	\$100,886	\$64,406
Income	436	991	1,920	2,887
Subscriptions/Capital raised	2,443	690	5,242 ⁽²⁾	1,990
Distributions	(1,347)	(1,568)	(2,805)	(4,209)
Redemptions	(293)	(104)	(479)	(813)
Net segment transfers	1,060	(184)	562	(679)
Leverage/Other ⁽³⁾	(349)	(2,678)	2,349	(4,223)
End of Period	\$107,675	\$59,359	\$107,675	\$59,359
Change in Real Estate AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$9,056	\$9,473	\$9,289	\$8,800
(Loss) Income	(146)	197	142	116
Subscriptions/Capital raised	181	—	622	988
Distributions	(392)	(477)	(1,268)	(847)
Redemptions ⁽⁴⁾	—	—	(134)	(290)
Net segment transfers	145	128	654	688
Leverage	201	18	(260)	(116)
End of Period	\$9,045	\$9,339	\$9,045	\$9,339

As of September 30, 2014 and 2013, June 30, 2014 and 2013, and December 31, 2013 and 2012 includes \$1.0 (1)billion, \$1.2 billion, \$1.1 billion, \$1.2 billion, \$1.1 billion and \$2.3 billion of commitments, respectively, that have yet to be deployed to an Apollo fund within Apollo's three segments.

(2) For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, includes \$2.5 billion of AUM from co-investment vehicles that was raised in prior periods.

- (3) Represents changes in used and available leverage, and includes the changes in NAV on AUM managed by Athene Asset Management, L.P. ("Athene Asset Management") that is not sub-advised by Apollo.
- (4) Represents release of unfunded commitments primarily related to two legacy Citi Property Investors ("CPI") real estate funds that were past their investment periods.

Table of Contents

Private Equity

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, total AUM in our private equity segment decreased by \$5.4 billion, or 10.5%. This decrease was a result of distributions of \$2.8 billion that were primarily attributable to Apollo Investment Fund VI, L.P. ("Fund VI") and Fund VII, unrealized losses of \$0.7 billion that were primarily attributable to Fund VI, net transfers out of \$1.2 billion and a \$0.9 billion decrease in leverage from Fund VII. Offsetting this decrease were subscriptions of \$0.2 billion that were primarily attributable to co-investment vehicles.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, total AUM in our private equity segment increased by \$2.6 billion, or 6.4%. This increase was a result of subscriptions of \$3.3 billion in Fund VIII and \$4.1 billion of income from unrealized gains, including \$2.1 billion from Fund VI and \$1.8 billion from Fund VII. Offsetting this increase were \$4.2 billion of distributions, including \$2.2 billion from Fund VII and \$1.8 billion from Fund VI, and \$0.8 billion of decreased leverage.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, total AUM in our private equity segment decreased by \$3.7 billion, or 7.5%. This decrease was a result of distributions of \$6.6 billion primarily attributable to Fund VII and Fund VI of \$3.3 billion and \$2.5 billion, respectively. In addition there were transfers out of \$1.2 billion. These decreases were offset by \$0.9 billion of income that was primarily attributable to unrealized gains in Fund VII partially offset by unrealized losses in Fund VI, and an increase in subscriptions of \$3.0 billion primarily attributable to co-investment vehicles that were raised in prior periods.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, total AUM in our private equity segment increased by \$4.9 billion, or 13.0%. This increase was a result of subscriptions of \$9.2 billion which includes \$9.1 billion in Fund VIII, \$8.6 billion of income that was primarily attributable to improved unrealized gains in our private equity funds, including \$3.8 billion from Fund VI and \$4.5 billion from Fund VII and \$1.1 billion of transfers in. Offsetting this increase were distributions of \$11.8 billion, including \$6.1 billion from Fund VII and \$4.7 billion from Fund VI, and \$2.2 billion of decreased leverage, including \$1.7 billion in Fund VII and \$0.4 billion in Fund VI. See "-Segment Analysis," which includes a detailed discussion of the impact that significant changes in our AUM within our private equity, credit and real estate segments had on our revenues by segment.

Credit

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, total AUM in our credit segment increased by \$2.0 billion, or 1.8%. There were increases in subscriptions of \$2.4 billion, net transfers of \$1.1 billion, and \$0.4 billion of income. Included in subscriptions was \$2.0 billion in Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund III, L.P. ("COF III"). These increases were offset by distributions of \$1.3 billion primarily from Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund I, L.P. ("COF I") and EPF I as well as decreases of \$0.3 billion in leverage and \$0.3 billion due to redemptions.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, total AUM in our credit segment decreased by \$2.9 billion, or 4.6%. This decrease consisted of \$2.7 billion of leverage, including \$1.1 billion from AMTG and \$0.4 billion from COF II, \$1.6 billion of distributions, including \$0.6 billion from COF I and \$0.3 billion from EPF I, and net segment transfers out of \$0.2 billion. This decrease in total AUM was offset by \$1.0 billion of income that was primarily attributable to improved unrealized gains across our credit funds, and additional subscriptions of \$0.7 billion, primarily attributable to Financial Credit Investment II, L.P. ("FCI II").

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, total AUM in our credit segment increased by \$6.8 billion, or 6.7%. This increase was a result of subscriptions of \$5.2 billion, \$2.3 billion of leverage, \$1.9 billion of income and \$0.6 billion in net segment transfers. Included in subscriptions was \$2.5 billion from Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund III, L.P. ("COF III"), \$0.5 billion from Financial Credit Investment II, L.P. ("FCI II") and \$0.4 billion from Apollo Investment Europe III, L.P. ("AIE III"). These increases were offset by \$2.8 billion of distributions including \$0.8 billion from EPF I and \$0.5 billion in redemptions.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, total AUM in our credit segment decreased by \$5.0 billion, or 7.8%. This decrease was a result of a decrease in leverage of \$4.2 billion, including \$1.1 billion from COF II. Additionally, there were \$4.2 billion of distributions, including \$1.3 billion from COF I, \$0.8 billion of redemptions and \$0.7 billion of net transfers out. Offsetting this decrease was \$2.9 billion of increased income and \$2.0 billion of subscriptions, including \$0.5 billion from FCI II and \$0.3 from Apollo Credit Master Fund Ltd. ("ACF").

Real Estate

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, total AUM in our real estate segment decreased by less than \$0.1 billion, or 0.1%. This decrease was the result of distributions of \$0.4 billion of which \$0.1 billion was attributable to CPI Capital Partners Europe and \$0.1 billion attributable to accounts owned by or related to Athene and unrealized losses of \$0.1 billion. These decreases were offset by \$0.2 billion of subscriptions and \$0.2 billion of increased leverage of which \$0.3 billion was attributable to Apollo Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc ("ARI").

- 90-

Table of Contents

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, total AUM in our real estate segment decreased by \$0.1 billion, or 1.4%, which was primarily the result of \$0.5 billion of distributions of which \$0.2 billion was attributable to accounts owned by or related to Athene. These increases were partially offset by income of \$0.2 billion and transfers in of \$0.1 billion.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, total AUM in our real estate segment decreased by \$0.2 billion, or 2.6%, which was primarily the result of \$1.3 billion of distributions of which \$0.3 billion was attributable to CPI Capital Partners Europe, \$0.3 billion to accounts owned or related to Athene and \$0.2 billion attributable to AGRE 2011 A-4 Fund, LP ("CMBS II") and a \$0.3 billion decrease in leverage. These decreases were partially offset by \$0.6 billion of additional subscriptions of which \$0.2 billion was attributable to Apollo Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc. ("ARI"), \$0.1 billion of income and \$0.7 billion of net segment transfers into accounts owned by or related to Athene.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, total AUM in our real estate segment increased by \$0.5 billion, or 6.1%, which was primarily the result of \$1.0 billion of additional subscriptions, including \$0.6 billion in AGRE Debt Fund I L.P. and \$0.3 billion attributable to ARI and net segment transfers of \$0.7 billion of which \$0.6 billion is attributable to accounts owned by or related to Athene. These increases were partially offset by \$0.8 billion of distributions of which \$0.4 billion was attributable to accounts owned by or related to Athene and \$0.3 billion in redemptions.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize changes in total fee-generating AUM for each of Apollo's three segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in millions)			
Change in Total Fee-Generating AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$ 130,329	\$ 79,290	\$ 128,368	\$ 81,934
(Loss) Income	(140) 956	646	1,989
Subscriptions/Capital raised	282	10,240	2,465	12,403
Distributions	(1,041) (1,865) (3,614) (5,017
Redemptions	(286) (27) (449) (737
Net movements between Fee-Generating and Non-Fee Generating	547	(7,229) 66	(6,808
Leverage/Other ⁽¹⁾	(114) (2,022) 2,095	(4,421
End of Period	\$ 129,577	\$ 79,343	\$ 129,577	\$ 79,343
Change in Private Equity Fee-Generating AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$ 33,554	\$ 26,014	\$ 34,173	\$ 27,932
(Loss) Income	(8) 274	(54) 348
Subscriptions/Capital raised	—	9,586	455	9,629
Distributions	(111) (788) (591) (2,026
Redemptions	—	—	—	(19
Net segment transfers	(1,266) 50	(1,277) 246
Net movements between Fee-Generating and Non-Fee Generating	(49) (7,333) (514) (7,523
Leverage	(16) (744) (88) (1,528
End of Period	\$ 32,104	\$ 27,059	\$ 32,104	\$ 27,059
Change in Credit Fee-Generating AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$ 90,780	\$ 47,507	\$ 88,249	\$ 49,518
(Loss) Income	(43) 618	703	1,603
Subscriptions/Capital raised	214	654	1,606	1,858
Distributions	(633) (749) (1,861) (2,378
Redemptions	(286) (27) (449) (718
Net segment transfers	1,073	(178) 575	(884
Net movements between Fee-Generating and Non-Fee Generating	607	78	608	519
Leverage/Other ⁽¹⁾	(98) (1,278) 2,183	(2,893
End of Period	\$ 91,614	\$ 46,625	\$ 91,614	\$ 46,625
Change in Real Estate Fee-Generating AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$ 5,995	\$ 5,769	\$ 5,946	\$ 4,484
(Loss) Income	(90) 64	(4) 38
Subscriptions/Capital raised	68	—	404	916
Distributions	(296) (328) (1,161) (613
Net segment transfers	193	128	702	638
Net movements between Fee-Generating and Non-Fee Generating	(11) 26	(28) 196
End of Period	\$ 5,859	\$ 5,659	\$ 5,859	\$ 5,659

(1) Represents changes in used and available leverage, and includes the changes in NAV on AUM managed by Athene Asset Management that is not sub-advised by Apollo.

Table of Contents

Private Equity

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, fee-generating AUM in our private equity segment decreased by \$1.4 billion, or 4.3%. This decrease was a result of distributions of \$0.1 billion, and net segment transfers out of \$1.3 billion attributable to Fund VI and Fund VII of \$0.2 billion and \$1.0 billion, respectively.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, fee-generating AUM in our private equity segment increased by \$1.0 billion, or 4.0%. This increase was a result of subscriptions of \$9.6 billion in Fund VIII and \$0.3 billion in unrealized gains, offsetting this increase was \$7.3 billion of transfers from fee-generating AUM to non-fee generating AUM in Fund VII, \$0.8 billion of distributions primarily from Fund VI and \$0.7 billion decrease in leverage that was primarily attributable to Fund VII.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, fee-generating AUM in our private equity segment decreased by \$2.1 billion, or 6.1%. This decrease was a result of net segment transfers out of \$1.3 billion attributable to Fund VI and Fund VII, and \$0.5 billion of net transfers out to non-fee generating AUM from Fund V and Fund VII. In addition there were distributions of \$0.6 billion from Fund VI and co-investment vehicles of \$0.3 billion, respectively. Offsetting these decreases were subscriptions of \$0.5 billion.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, fee-generating AUM in our private equity segment decreased by \$0.9 billion, or 3.1%. This decrease was a result of \$7.5 billion of net transfers from fee-generating AUM to non-fee generating AUM primarily attributable to Fund VII, \$2.0 billion of distributions primarily attributable to Fund VI and \$1.3 billion decrease in leverage attributable to Fund VII. Offsetting this decrease was \$9.6 billion of subscriptions in Fund VIII and \$0.3 billion of unrealized gains.

Credit

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, fee-generating AUM in our credit segment increased by \$0.8 billion, or 0.9%. There were net segment transfers in of \$1.1 billion, \$0.6 billion of net transfers in from non-fee generating and increased subscriptions of \$0.2 billion. These increases were offset by distributions of \$0.6 billion and \$0.3 billion in redemptions.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, fee-generating AUM in our credit segment decreased by \$0.9 billion, or 1.9%. This decrease consisted of \$0.7 billion of distributions, \$1.3 billion of decreased leverage, and net segment transfers of \$0.2 billion. This decrease in fee-generating AUM was offset by \$0.6 billion of income that was primarily attributable to unrealized gains across our credit funds, and subscriptions of \$0.7 billion, primarily attributable to FCI II.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, fee-generating AUM in our credit segment increased by \$3.4 billion, or 3.8%. This increase was a result of \$2.2 billion of leverage, subscriptions of \$1.6 billion attributable to FCI II of \$0.5 billion and COF III of \$0.4 billion, as well as \$0.6 billion of net segment transfers, \$0.6 billion of net transfers in from non-fee generating AUM and \$0.7 billion of income. These increases were offset by \$1.9 billion of distributions, including \$0.3 billion from EPF I and \$0.4 billion in redemptions, primarily from Stone Tower Credit Master Fund Ltd. of \$0.3 billion.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, fee-generating AUM in our credit segment decreased by \$2.9 billion, or 5.8%. This decrease was a result of \$2.4 billion of distributions, a decrease in leverage of \$2.9 billion, \$0.7 billion of redemptions and \$0.9 billion of net segment transfers out. Offsetting this decrease was \$1.6 billion of income and \$1.9 billion of subscriptions and \$0.5 billion of transfers in from non-fee generating AUM.

Real Estate

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, fee-generating AUM in our real estate segment decreased by \$0.1 billion, or 2.3%. This decrease was the result of distributions of \$0.3 billion of which \$0.1 billion was attributable to accounts owned by or related to Athene. This decrease was partially offset by \$0.2 billion in net segment transfers primarily attributable to accounts owned by or related to Athene.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, fee-generating AUM in our real estate segment decreased by \$0.1 billion, or 1.9%, which was primarily the result of \$0.3 billion of distributions of which \$0.2 billion was attributable to accounts owned by or related to Athene. This decrease was partially offset by unrealized gains of \$0.1 billion and transfers in of \$0.1 billion.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, fee-generating AUM in our real estate segment decreased by \$0.1 billion, or 1.5%, which was primarily the result of \$1.2 billion of distributions, of which \$0.3 billion was attributable to CPI Capital Partners Europe, \$0.3 billion attributable to accounts owned by or related to Athene and \$0.2 billion attributable to CPI Capital Partners Asia. This decrease was partially offset by \$0.4 billion of subscriptions, \$0.2 billion attributable to Apollo Commercial

- 93-

Table of Contents

Real Estate Finance, Inc. ("ARI") and \$0.1 billion in AGRE Debt Fund I, L.P., as well as \$0.7 billion of net segment transfers into accounts owned by or related to Athene.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, fee-generating AUM in our real estate segment increased by \$1.2 billion, or 26.2%, which was primarily the result of \$0.6 billion of capital invested by AGRE Debt Fund I, \$0.3 billion of capital raised and invested by ARI, net segment transfers of \$0.6 billion attributable to accounts owned by or related to Athene and \$0.2 billion of transfers in from non-fee generating AUM. These increases were partially offset by \$0.6 billion of distributions.

Dollars Invested and Uncalled Commitments

Dollars invested is the aggregate amount of capital that have been invested by our multi-year drawdown, commitment-based funds and strategic investment accounts ("SIAs") that have a defined maturity date and for funds and SIAs in our real estate debt strategy. Uncalled commitments, by contrast, represents unfunded capital commitments that certain of Apollo's funds and SIAs have received from limited partners to fund future or current investments and expenses.

Dollars invested and uncalled commitments are indicative of the pace and magnitude of fund capital that is deployed or will be deployed, and which therefore could result in future revenues that include transaction fees and incentive income to the extent fee generating. Dollars invested and uncalled commitments can also give rise to future costs that are related to the hiring of additional resources to manage and account for the additional capital that is deployed or will be deployed. Management uses dollars invested and uncalled commitments as key operating metrics since we believe the results measure our investment activities.

Dollars Invested

The following table summarizes by segment the dollars invested for funds and SIAs with a defined maturity date and certain funds and SIAs in Apollo's real estate debt strategy during the specified reporting periods:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in millions)			
Private equity	\$901	\$120	\$1,871	\$1,488
Credit	917	481	3,655	2,090
Real Estate ⁽¹⁾	369	231	1,745	1,907
Total dollars invested	\$2,187	\$832	\$7,271	\$5,485

Included in dollars invested is \$285.6 million and \$1,321.5 million for the three and nine months ended September (1) 30, 2014, respectively, and \$220.7 million and \$1,567.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, for funds in Apollo's real estate debt strategy.

Uncalled Commitments

The following table summarizes the uncalled commitments by segment during the specified reporting periods:

	As of September 30, 2014	As of September 30, 2013	As of December 31, 2013
	(in millions)		
Private equity	\$22,434	\$16,125	\$23,689
Credit	9,230	6,443	7,113
Real Estate	898	1,019	971
Total Uncalled Commitments ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$33,568	\$24,808	\$32,852

(1) As of September 30, 2014 and 2013 and December 31, 2013, includes \$1.0 billion, \$1.2 billion and \$1.1 billion of commitments, respectively, that have yet to be deployed to an Apollo fund within Apollo's three segments.

(2)

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

As of September 30, 2014 and 2013 and December 31, 2013, \$29.8 billion, \$21.5 billion, and \$29.5 billion, respectively, represents the amount of capital available for investment or reinvestment subject to the provisions of the applicable limited partnership agreements or other governing agreements.

Table of Contents

The Historical Investment Performance of Our Funds

Below we present information relating to the historical performance of our funds, including certain legacy Apollo funds that do not have a meaningful amount of unrealized investments, and in respect of which the general partner interest has not been contributed to us.

When considering the data presented below, you should note that the historical results of our funds are not indicative of the future results that you should expect from such funds, from any future funds we may raise or from your investment in our Class A shares.

An investment in our Class A shares is not an investment in any of the Apollo funds, and the assets and revenues of our funds are not directly available to us. The historical and potential future returns of the funds we manage are not directly linked to returns on our Class A shares. Therefore, you should not conclude that continued positive performance of the funds we manage will necessarily result in positive returns on an investment in our Class A shares. However, poor performance of the funds that we manage would cause a decline in our revenue from such funds, and would therefore have a negative effect on our performance and in all likelihood the value of our Class A shares. There can be no assurance that any Apollo fund will continue to achieve the same results in the future.

Moreover, the historical returns of our funds should not be considered indicative of the future results you should expect from such funds or from any future funds we may raise, in part because:

market conditions during previous periods were significantly more favorable for generating positive performance, particularly in our private equity business, than the market conditions we have experienced for the last few years and may experience in the future;

our funds' returns have benefited from investment opportunities and general market conditions that may not exist and may not repeat themselves, and there can be no assurance that our current or future funds will be able to avail themselves of profitable investment opportunities;

our private equity funds' rates of return, which are calculated on the basis of net asset value of the funds' investments, reflect unrealized gains, which may never be realized;

our funds' returns have benefited from investment opportunities and general market conditions that may not repeat themselves, including the availability of debt capital on attractive terms and the availability of distressed debt opportunities, and we may not be able to achieve the same returns or profitable investment opportunities or deploy capital as quickly;

the historical returns that we present are derived largely from the performance of our earlier private equity funds, whereas future fund returns will depend increasingly on the performance of our newer funds, which may have little or no realized investment track record;

Fund VIII, Fund VII and Fund VI are several times larger than our previous private equity funds, and this additional capital may not be deployed as profitably as our prior funds;

the attractive returns of certain of our funds have been driven by the rapid return of invested capital, which has not occurred with respect to all of our funds and we believe is less likely to occur in the future;

our track record with respect to our credit and real estate funds is relatively short as compared to our private equity funds;

in recent years, there has been increased competition for private equity investment opportunities resulting from the increased amount of capital invested in private equity funds and periods of high liquidity in debt markets, which may result in lower returns for the funds; and

Table of Contents

our newly established funds may generate lower returns during the period that they take to deploy their capital; consequently, we do not provide return information for any funds which have not been actively investing capital for at least 24 months prior to the valuation date as we believe this information is not meaningful.

Finally, our private equity IRRs have historically varied greatly from fund to fund. For example, Apollo Investment Fund IV, L.P. ("Fund IV") has generated a 12% gross IRR and a 9% net IRR since its inception through September 30, 2014, while Apollo Investment Fund V, L.P. ("Fund V") has generated a 61% gross IRR and a 44% net IRR since its inception through September 30, 2014. Accordingly, the IRR going forward for any current or future fund may vary considerably from the historical IRR generated by any particular fund, or for our private equity funds as a whole. Future returns will also be affected by the applicable risks, including risks of the industries and businesses in which a particular fund invests. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Businesses—The historical returns attributable to our funds should not be considered as indicative of the future results of our funds or of our future results or of any returns expected on an investment in our Class A shares" in the 2013 Annual Report.

- 96-

Table of Contents

Investment Record

The following table summarizes the investment record by segment of Apollo's multi-year drawdown, commitment based funds and strategic investment accounts that have a defined maturity date in which investors make a commitment to provide capital at the formation of such funds and deliver capital when called as investment opportunities become available. All amounts are as of September 30, 2014, unless otherwise noted:

								As of September 30, 2014			
	Strategy	Vintage Year	Committed Capital (in millions)	Total Invested Capital	Realized	Unrealized ⁽¹⁾	Total Value	Gross IRR	Net IRR		
Private Equity: ⁽²⁾											
Fund VIII	Traditional Private Equity Funds	2013	\$ 18,377	\$ 1,154	\$ —	\$ 1,274	\$ 1,274	NM ⁽³⁾	NM ⁽³⁾	NM	NM
Fund VII	Traditional Private Equity Funds	2008	14,677	15,161	22,964	9,585	32,549	38 %	29 %	39	39
Fund VI	Traditional Private Equity Funds	2006	10,136	12,457	14,975	6,154	21,129	13	11	15	15
Fund V	Traditional Private Equity Funds	2001	3,742	5,192	12,655	285	12,940	61	44	61	61
Fund IV	Traditional Private Equity Funds	1998	3,600	3,481	6,776	27	6,803	12	9	12	12
Fund III	Traditional Private Equity Funds	1995	1,500	1,499	2,695	—	2,695	18	11	18	18
Fund I, II & MIA ⁽⁴⁾	Traditional Private Equity Funds	1990/ 1992	2,220	3,773	7,924	—	7,924	47	37	47	47
Subtotal			\$ 54,252	\$ 42,717	\$ 67,989	\$ 17,325	\$ 85,314	39 % ⁽⁵⁾	26 % ⁽⁵⁾	39	39
AION	Other	2013	825	134	—	169	169	NM ⁽³⁾	NM ⁽³⁾	NM	NM
ANRP	Natural Resources	2012	1,323	588	25	748	773	22 %	11 %	18	18
Total Private Equity Credit: ⁽⁶⁾			\$ 56,400	\$ 43,439	\$ 68,014	\$ 18,242	\$ 86,256				
ACRF III ⁽⁷⁾	Structured Credit	—	\$ 205	\$ 123	\$ 3	\$ 118	\$ 121	NM ⁽³⁾	NM ⁽³⁾	NM	NM
COF III ⁽⁷⁾	Opportunistic Credit	—	3,426	975	105	910	1,015	NM ⁽³⁾	NM ⁽³⁾	NM	NM
FCI II	Structured Credit	2013	1,555	653	5	756	761	NM ⁽³⁾	NM ⁽³⁾	NM	NM
EPF II ⁽⁸⁾	Non-Performing Loans	2012	3,566	1,965	366	1,974	2,340	28 %	13 %	NM	NM
FCI	Structured Credit	2012	559	443	188	518	706	14	10	NM	NM
AEC	European Credit	2012	292	605	499	180	679	15	10	19	19
AIE II ⁽⁸⁾	European Credit	2008	261	840	1,243	96	1,339	20	17	20	20
COF I	U.S. Performing Credit	2008	1,485	1,611	4,273	148	4,421	30	27	30	30
COF II	U.S. Performing Credit	2008	1,583	2,176	2,985	159	3,144	14	11	14	14
EPF I ⁽⁸⁾	Non-Performing Loans	2007	1,636	2,150	2,703	804	3,507	23	17	21	21
ACLF	U.S. Performing Credit	2007	984	1,449	2,446	155	2,601	13	11	13	13
Total Credit			\$ 15,552	\$ 12,990	\$ 14,816	\$ 5,818	\$ 20,634				

Real Estate: ⁽⁶⁾ AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. ⁽⁹⁾	Equity	2012	\$865	\$582	\$299	\$441	\$740	17 %	13 %	17 %
AGRE Debt Fund I, LP	Debt	2011	1,127	954	276	812	1,088	11	9	13
CPI Capital Partners North America ⁽¹⁰⁾	Equity	2006	600	453	350	30	380	16	11	17
CPI Capital Partners Asia Pacific ⁽¹⁰⁾	Equity	2006	1,292	1,179	1,470	211	1,681	34	30	37
CPI Capital Partners Europe ⁽⁸⁾⁽¹⁰⁾	Equity	2006	1,468	969	403	315	718	4	3	2
CPI Other ⁽¹¹⁾	Equity	Various	2,101	N/A	N/A	⁽¹¹⁾ N/A	⁽¹¹⁾ N/A	⁽¹¹⁾ NM	⁽¹¹⁾ NM	⁽¹¹⁾ NM
Total Real Estate			\$7,453	\$4,137	\$2,798	\$1,809	\$4,607			

(1) Figures include the market values, estimated fair value of certain unrealized investments and capital committed to investments.

(2) Amounts presented are computed based on actual timing of the funds' cash inflows and outflows.

(3) Returns have not been presented as the fund commenced investing capital less than 24 months prior to the period indicated and therefore such return information was deemed not meaningful.

Fund I and Fund II were structured such that investments were made from either fund depending on which fund had available capital. Apollo does not differentiate between Fund I and Fund II investments for purposes of performance figures because they are not meaningful on a separate basis and do not demonstrate the progression of returns over time. The general partners and managers of Funds I, II and MIA, as well as the general partner of Fund III were excluded assets in connection with the 2007 reorganization. As a result, Apollo Global Management, LLC did not receive the economics associated with these entities. The investment performance of these funds is presented to illustrate fund performance associated with Apollo's managing partners and other investment professionals.

(5) Total IRR is calculated based on total cash flows for all funds presented.

Table of Contents

- (6) The investment record table for the credit and real estate funds and SIAs presented is computed based on the actual dates of capital contributions, distributions and ending limited partners' capital as of the specified date.
- (7) COF III and Apollo Structured Credit Recovery Fund III, L.P. ("ACRF III") were launched during 2013 and 2014, respectively and have not established their vintage year.
- (8) Funds are denominated in Euros and historical figures are translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of €1.00 to \$1.26 as of September 30, 2014.
 AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P., a closed-end private investment fund has \$152 million of co-invest commitments raised, which are included in the figures in the table above. A co-invest entity within AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund is denominated in GBP and translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of £1.00 to \$1.62 as of September 30, 2014.
 As part of the CPI acquisition, Apollo acquired general partner interests in fully invested funds. The gross and net IRRs are presented in the investment record table above since acquisition on November 12, 2010. The net IRRs from the inception of the respective fund to September 30, 2014 were (7)%, 7% and (8)% for the CPI Capital Partners North America, Asia Pacific and Europe funds, respectively. These net IRRs were primarily achieved during a period in which Apollo did not make the initial investment decisions and Apollo only became the general partner or manager of these funds upon completing the acquisition on November 12, 2010.
- (10) CPI Other consists of funds or individual investments of which Apollo is not the general partner or manager and only receives fees pursuant to either a sub-advisory agreement or an investment management and administrative agreement. CPI Other fund performance is a result of invested capital prior to Apollo's management of these funds. Return and certain other performance data are therefore not considered meaningful as Apollo performs primarily an administrative role.
- (11)

The following table summarizes the investment record for distressed investments made in our traditional private equity fund portfolios, since the Company's inception. All amounts are as of September 30, 2014:

	Total Invested Capital (in millions)	Total Value	Gross IRR ⁽¹⁾	
Distressed for Control	\$5,611	\$16,687	29	%
Non-Control Distressed	6,184	9,300	71	
Total	11,795	25,987	49	
Buyout Equity, Portfolio Company Debt and Other Credit ⁽²⁾	30,922	59,327	22	
Total	\$42,717	\$85,314	39	%

(1) IRR information is presented gross and does not give effect to management fees, incentive compensation, certain other expenses and taxes.

(2) Other Credit is defined as investments in debt securities of issuers other than portfolio companies that are not considered to be distressed.

The following tables provide additional detail on the composition of our Fund VIII, Fund VII, Fund VI and Fund V private equity portfolios based on investment strategy. All amounts are as of September 30, 2014:

Fund VIII⁽¹⁾

	Total Invested Capital (in millions)	Total Value
Buyout Equity and Portfolio Company Debt	\$1,154	\$1,274
Total	\$1,154	\$1,274

Fund VII⁽¹⁾

	Total Invested Capital	Total Value
--	---------------------------	-------------

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

	(in millions)	
Buyout Equity and Portfolio Company Debt	\$10,377	\$24,635
Other Credit and Classic Distressed ⁽²⁾	4,784	7,914
Total	\$15,161	\$32,549

- 98-

Table of Contents

Fund VI

	Total Invested Capital (in millions)	Total Value
Buyout Equity and Portfolio Company Debt	\$10,312	\$17,420
Other Credit and Classic Distressed ⁽²⁾	2,145	3,709
Total	\$12,457	\$21,129

Fund V

	Total Invested Capital (in millions)	Total Value
Buyout Equity	\$4,412	\$11,966
Classic Distressed ⁽²⁾	780	974
Total	\$5,192	\$12,940

Committed capital less unfunded capital commitments for Fund VIII and Fund VII is \$1.7 billion and \$13.0 billion, respectively, which represents capital commitments from limited partners to invest in a particular fund less capital that is available for investment or reinvestment subject to the provisions of the applicable limited partnership agreement or other governing agreements.

(1) Classic Distressed is defined as investments in debt securities of issuers other than portfolio companies that are considered to be distressed.

During the recovery and expansionary periods of 1994 through 2000 and late 2003 through the first half of 2007, our private equity funds invested or committed to invest approximately \$13.6 billion primarily in traditional and corporate partner buyouts. During the recessionary periods of 1990 through 1993, 2001 through late 2003 and the current recessionary and post recessionary periods (second half of 2007 through September 30, 2014), our private equity funds have invested \$31.1 billion, of which \$16.6 billion was in distressed buyouts and debt investments when the debt securities of quality companies traded at deep discounts to par value. Our average entry multiple for Fund VII, VI and V was 6.1x, 7.7x and 6.6x, respectively, as of September 30, 2014. The average entry multiple for a private equity fund is the average of the total enterprise value over an applicable earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") which we believe captures the true economics of our funds' purchases of portfolio companies.

Credit

The following table summarizes the investment record for certain funds and SIAs within Apollo's credit segment with no maturity date. All amounts are as of September 30, 2014, unless otherwise noted:

Strategy	Vintage Year	Net Asset Value as of September 30, 2014 (in millions)	Net Return				Since Inception to December 31, 2013	For the Year Ended December 31, 2013	
			Since Inception to September 30, 2014	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	For the Year Ended December 31, 2013			
TRF ⁽¹⁾	U.S. Performing Credit	2014	\$293	NM	(1) NM	(1) NM	(1) NM	(1) NM	(1)

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

ACSF ⁽²⁾	Opportunistic Credit	2011	449	26	% ⁽²⁾ 4	% ⁽²⁾ NM	⁽²⁾ NM	⁽²⁾ NM	⁽²⁾
SOMA ⁽³⁾	Opportunistic Credit	2007	801	69	7	7	% 58	% 9	%
ACF ⁽²⁾	U.S. Performing Credit	2005	1,995	34	⁽²⁾ 6	⁽²⁾ NM	⁽²⁾ NM	⁽²⁾ NM	⁽²⁾
Value Funds ⁽⁴⁾	Opportunistic Credit	2003/2006	241	70	(2)	5	74	5	
Totals			\$3,779						

(1) Apollo Total Return Fund (“TRF”) returns have not been presented as the fund commenced investing capital less than 24 months prior to period indicated and therefore such return information was deemed not meaningful.

(2) As part of the Stone Tower acquisition, Apollo acquired the manager of Apollo Credit Strategies Master Fund Ltd. (“ACSF”) and ACF. The net returns are presented in the investment record table above since acquisition on April 2, 2012. As of September 30, 2014, the net returns from inception for ACSF and ACF were 43% and 9%, respectively.

Table of Contents

These returns were primarily achieved during a period in which Apollo did not make the initial investment decisions. Apollo became the manager of these funds upon completing the acquisition on April 2, 2012.

(3) Net asset value and returns are for the primary mandate and excludes Apollo Special Opportunities Managed Account, L.P.'s ("SOMA") investments in other Apollo funds.

(4) Value Funds consist of Apollo Strategic Value Master Fund, L.P., together with its feeder funds, and Apollo Value Investment Master Fund, L.P., together with its feeder funds.

The following table summarizes the investment record for the publicly traded vehicles that Apollo manages by segment as of September 30, 2014:

Strategy	IPO Year (2)	Raised Capital (3)	Gross Assets	Current NAV	Total Returns ⁽¹⁾				
					Since Inception to September 30, 2014	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	Since Inception to December 31, 2013	
(in millions)									
Private Equity:									
AAA ⁽⁴⁾ Other	2006	\$1,823	\$2,148	\$2,145	56%		10%	93%	41%
Credit:									
AIF ⁽⁵⁾ U.S. Performing Credit	2013	276	419	281	NM	(6) NM	(6) NM	(6) NM	(6) NM
AFT ⁽⁵⁾ U.S. Performing Credit	2011	295	443	294	9	(1)	—		NM
AMTG Structured Credit	2011	791	3,969	790	22	13	(20)	8	
AINV Opportunistic Credit	2004	3,080	3,833	2,063	61	3	5	55	
Real Estate:									
ARI Debt	2009	886	1,744	856	25	4	1	20	
Totals		\$7,151	\$12,556	\$6,429					

(1) Total returns are based on the change in closing trading prices during the respective periods presented taking into account dividends and distributions, if any, as if they were reinvested without regard to commissions.

An initial public offering ("IPO") year represents the year in which the vehicle commenced trading on a national securities exchange. Apollo Tactical Income Fund Inc. ("AIF"), Apollo Senior Floating Rate Fund Inc. ("AFT"), Apollo Residential Mortgage, Inc. ("AMTG") and ARI are publicly traded vehicles traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"). Apollo Investment Corporation ("AINV") is a public company traded on the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation. AAA is a publicly traded vehicle traded on Euronext Amsterdam.

(3) Amounts represent raised capital net of offering and issuance costs.

(4) AAA is the sole limited partner in AAA Investments, L.P. ("AAA Investments"). Athene was AAA Investments' only investment as of September 30, 2014. During the second quarter of 2014, Athene Holding Ltd. raised \$1.218 billion of net equity commitments primarily from third-party institutional investors, certain existing investors in Athene, and employees of Athene and its affiliates (the "Athene Private Placement"). For the period December 31, 2013 through September 30, 2014, AAA Investments' ownership stake in Athene was reduced as a result of the Athene Private Placement, the issuance of shares under the Amended AAA Services Agreement and the issuance of 3.7 million unrestricted common shares of Athene Holding Ltd. under Athene's management equity plan and was increased by the conversion to common shares of AAA Investments' note receivable from Athene, resulting in an approximate 48.3% economic ownership stake (calculated as if the commitments in the Athene Private Placement closed through September 30, 2014 were fully drawn down but without giving effect to (i) restricted common shares issued under Athene's management equity plan or (ii) common shares to be issued after September 30, 2014 under the Amended AAA Services Agreement or the Amended Athene Services Agreement) and effectively 45%

of the voting power of Athene.

- (5) Gross Assets presented for AFT and AIF represents total managed assets of these closed-end funds.
- (6) Returns have not been presented as the publicly traded vehicle commenced investing capital less than 24 months prior to the period indicated and therefore such return information was deemed not meaningful.

Athene and SIAs

As of September 30, 2014, Athene Asset Management had \$60.1 billion of total AUM in accounts owned by or related to Athene, of which approximately \$11.8 billion, was either sub-advised by Apollo or invested in Apollo funds and investment vehicles. Of the approximately \$11.8 billion of assets, the vast majority were in sub-advisory managed accounts that manage high grade credit asset classes, such as collateralized loan obligation ("CLO") debt, commercial mortgage backed securities, and insurance-linked securities.

Apollo also manages CLOs within Apollo's credit segment, with such CLOs representing a total AUM of approximately \$13.3 billion as of September 30, 2014. Such CLO performance information is not included in the above investment record tables.

As of September 30, 2014, Apollo managed approximately \$15 billion of total AUM in SIAs, which include certain SIAs in the investment record tables above and capital deployed from certain SIAs across Apollo's private equity, credit and real estate funds. The above investment record tables exclude certain funds with an aggregate AUM of approximately \$6.0 billion as of September 30, 2014 because management deemed them to be immaterial.

- 100-

Table of Contents

Overview of Results of Operations

Revenues

Advisory and Transaction Fees from Affiliates, Net. As a result of providing advisory services with respect to actual and potential private equity, credit, and real estate investments, we are entitled to receive fees for transactions related to the acquisition and, in certain instances, disposition of portfolio companies as well as fees for ongoing monitoring of portfolio company operations and directors' fees. We also receive an advisory fee for advisory services provided to certain credit funds. In addition, monitoring fees are generated on certain structured portfolio company investments. Under the terms of the limited partnership agreements for certain funds, the management fee payable by the funds may be subject to a reduction based on a certain percentage of such advisory and transaction fees, net of applicable broken deal costs ("Management Fee Offset"). Such amounts are presented as a reduction to advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. See note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail.

The Management Fee Offsets are calculated for each fund as follows:

65%-100% for private equity funds, gross advisory, transaction and other special fees;

65%-100% for certain credit funds, gross advisory, transaction and other special fees; and

100% for certain real estate funds, gross advisory, transaction and other special fees.

Additionally, during the normal course of business, the Company incurs certain costs related to certain transactions that are not consummated ("broken deal costs"). These costs (e.g. research costs, due diligence costs, professional fees, legal fees and other related items) are determined to be broken deal costs upon management's decision to no longer pursue the transaction. In accordance with the related fund agreement, in the event the deal is deemed broken, all of the costs are reimbursed by the funds and then included as a component of the calculation of the Management Fee Offset. If a deal is successfully completed, Apollo is reimbursed by the fund or fund's portfolio company for all costs incurred and no offset is generated.

As the Company acts as an agent for the funds it manages, any transaction costs incurred and paid by the Company on behalf of the respective funds relating to successful or broken deals are presented net on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations, and any receivable from the respective funds is presented in Due from Affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Management Fees from Affiliates. The significant growth of the assets we manage has had a positive effect on our revenues. Management fees are typically calculated based upon any of "net asset value," "gross assets," "adjusted par asset value," "adjusted costs of all unrealized portfolio investments," "capital commitments," "invested capital," "adjusted assets," "capital contributions," or "stockholders' equity," each as defined in the applicable limited partnership agreement and/or management agreement of the unconsolidated funds.

Carried Interest Income from Affiliates. The general partners of our funds, in general, are entitled to an incentive return that can normally amount to as much as 20% of the total returns on fund capital, depending upon performance of the underlying funds and subject to preferred returns and high water marks, as applicable. The carried interest income from affiliates is recognized in accordance with U.S. GAAP guidance applicable to accounting for arrangement fees based on a formula. In applying the U.S. GAAP guidance, the carried interest from affiliates for any period is based upon an assumed liquidation of the funds' assets at the reporting date, and distribution of the net proceeds in accordance with the funds' allocation provisions.

As of September 30, 2014, approximately 69% of the value of our funds' investments on a gross basis were determined using market-based valuation methods (i.e., reliance on broker or listed exchange quotes) and the remaining 31% were determined primarily by comparable company and industry multiples or discounted cash flow models. For our private equity, credit and real estate segments, the percentage determined using market-based valuation methods as of September 30, 2014 were 47%, 81% and 52%, respectively. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Businesses—Our private equity funds' performance, and our performance, may be adversely affected by the financial performance of our portfolio companies and the industries in which our funds invest" in the 2013 Annual Report for a discussion regarding certain industry-specific risks that could affect the fair value of our private equity funds' portfolio company investments.

Carried interest income fee rates can be as much as 20% for our private equity funds. In our private equity funds, the Company does not earn carried interest income until the investors in the fund have achieved cumulative investment returns on invested capital (including management fees and expenses) in excess of an 8% hurdle rate. Additionally, certain of our credit and real estate funds have various carried interest rates and hurdle rates. Certain credit funds allocate carried interest to the general

- 101-

Table of Contents

partner in a similar manner as the private equity funds. In our private equity, certain credit and real estate funds, so long as the investors achieve their priority returns, there is a catch-up formula whereby the Company earns a priority return for a portion of the return until the Company's carried interest income equates to its incentive fee rate for that fund; thereafter, the Company participates in returns from the fund at the carried interest income rate. Carried interest income is subject to reversal to the extent that the carried interest income distributed exceeds the amount due to the general partner based on a fund's cumulative investment returns. The Company recognizes potential repayment of previously received carried interest income as a general partner obligation representing all amounts previously distributed to the general partner that would need to be repaid to the Apollo funds if these funds were to be liquidated based on the current fair value of the underlying funds' investments as of the reporting date. This actual general partner obligation, however, would not become payable or realized until the end of a fund's life.

The table below presents an analysis of Apollo's (i) carried interest receivable on an unconsolidated basis and (ii) realized and unrealized carried interest income (loss) for Apollo's combined segments' Incentive Business as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014:

	As of September 30, 2014	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014			
		Unrealized Carried Interest Receivable on an Unconsolidated Basis (in millions)	Realized Carried Interest Income (Loss)	Total Carried Interest Income (Loss)	Unrealized Carried Interest Income (Loss)	Realized Carried Interest Income	Total Carried Interest Income (Loss)
Private Equity Funds:							
Fund VII	\$797.6	\$(197.8)	\$178.6	\$(19.2)	\$(98.9)	\$468.2	\$369.3
Fund VI	101.5	(236.2)	153.7	(82.5)	(596.0)	401.5	(194.5)
Fund V	17.1	(24.2)	18.9	(5.3)	(25.9)	42.7	16.8
Fund IV	5.5	0.3	—	0.3	(2.2)	—	(2.2)
AAA/Other ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	198.2	8.4	18.8	27.2	(30.5)	52.5	22.0
Total Private Equity Funds	1,119.9	(449.5)	370.0	(79.5)	(753.5)	964.9	211.4
Credit Funds:							
U.S. Performing Credit	77.0	(72.0)	59.2	(12.8)	(80.5)	101.7	21.2
Opportunistic Credit	42.6	(13.6)	1.5	(12.1)	7.2	6.1	13.3
Structured Credit	59.3	0.1	0.7	0.8	3.8	4.7	8.5
European Credit	14.7	0.3	1.5	1.8	(0.2)	10.2	10.0
Non-Performing Loans	156.2	(22.0)	57.4	35.4	1.8	103.1	104.9
Total Credit Funds	349.8	(107.2)	120.3	13.1	(67.9)	225.8	157.9
Real Estate Funds:							
CPI Funds	2.2	(4.5)	—	(4.5)	(3.2)	0.6	(2.6)
AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P.	7.2	1.3	—	1.3	1.6	2.7	4.3
Other	2.8	(0.4)	—	(0.4)	(1.4)	0.7	(0.7)
Total Real Estate Funds	12.2	(3.6)	—	(3.6)	(3.0)	4.0	1.0
Total	\$1,481.9	⁽³⁾ \$(560.3)	\$490.3	\$(70.0)	\$(824.4)	\$1,194.7	\$370.3

(1)Includes certain SIAs.

(2)Includes \$121.4 million of carried interest receivable from AAA Investments which will be paid in common shares of Athene Holding (valued at the then fair market value) if there is a distribution in kind of shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

as amended), or paid in cash if AAA sells the shares of Athene Holding.

There was a corresponding profit sharing payable of \$755.3 million as of September 30, 2014 that resulted in a net (3) carried interest receivable on an unconsolidated basis of \$726.6 million as of September 30, 2014. Included within profit sharing payable are contingent consideration obligations of \$96.9 million.

- 102-

Table of Contents

The general partners of the private equity, credit and real estate funds listed in the table above were accruing carried interest income as of September 30, 2014. The investment manager of AINV accrues carried interest in the management company business as it is earned. The general partners of certain of our credit funds accrue carried interest when the fair value of investments exceeds the cost basis of the individual investors' investments in the fund, including any allocable share of expenses incurred in connection with such investments. These high water marks are applied on an individual investor basis. Certain of our credit funds have investors with various high water marks and are subject to market conditions and investment performance.

Carried interest income from our private equity funds and certain credit and real estate funds is subject to contingent repayment by the general partner in the event of future losses to the extent that the cumulative carried interest distributed from inception to date exceeds the amount computed as due to the general partner at the final distribution. These general partner obligations, if applicable, are included in due to affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As of September 30, 2014, there were no such general partner obligations related to our funds. Carried interest receivable is reported on a separate line item within the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our carried interest income since inception for our combined segments through September 30, 2014:

	Carried Interest Income Since Inception ⁽¹⁾			General Partner Obligation as of September 30, 2014 ⁽³⁾	Maximum Carried Interest Income Subject to Potential Reversal ⁽⁴⁾
	Undistributed by Fund and Recognized	Distributed by Fund and Recognized (2)	Total Undistributed and Distributed by Fund and Recognized ⁽³⁾		
	(in millions)				
Private Equity Funds:					
Fund VII	\$797.6	\$2,422.1	\$3,219.7	\$—	\$1,518.5
Fund VI	101.5	1,580.1	1,681.6	—	1,164.6
Fund V	17.1	1,452.8	1,469.9	—	47.0
Fund IV	5.5	597.2	602.7	—	5.5
AAA/Other	198.2	119.1	317.3	—	191.6
Total Private Equity Funds	1,119.9	6,171.3	7,291.2	—	2,927.2
Credit Funds:					
U.S. Performing Credit	77.0	742.1	819.1	—	177.2
Opportunistic Credit ⁽⁵⁾	30.5	182.5	213.0	—	63.5
Structured Credit	59.3	26.1	85.4	—	60.5
European Credit	14.7	69.0	83.7	—	77.1
Non-Performing Loans	156.2	129.8	286.0	—	283.7
Total Credit Funds	337.7	1,149.5	1,487.2	—	662.0
Real Estate Funds:					
CPI Funds	2.2	5.8	8.0	—	2.9
AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund	7.2	2.7	9.9	—	7.0
Other	2.8	0.7	3.5	—	3.5
Total Real Estate Funds	12.2	9.2	21.4	—	13.4
Total	\$1,469.8	\$7,330.0	\$8,799.8	\$—	\$3,602.6

(1) Certain funds are denominated in Euros and historical figures are translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of €1.00 to \$1.26 as of September 30, 2014.

(2) Amounts in “Distributed by Fund and Recognized” for the CPI, Gulf Stream and Stone Tower funds and SIAs are presented for activity subsequent to the respective acquisition dates.

(3) Amounts were computed based on the fair value of fund investments on September 30, 2014. Carried interest income has been allocated to and recognized by the general partner. Based on the amount of carried interest income allocated, a portion is subject to potential reversal or, to the extent applicable, has been reduced by the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income or fees at September 30, 2014. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of the fund’s investments based on contractual termination of the fund.

(4) Represents the amount of carried interest income that would be reversed if remaining fund investments became worthless on September 30, 2014. Amounts subject to potential reversal of carried interest income include amounts undistributed by a fund (i.e., the carried interest receivable), as well as a portion of the amounts that have been distributed by a fund, net of taxes not subject to a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income, except for those funds that are gross of taxes as defined in the respective funds' management agreement.

(5)

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Amounts exclude AINV, as carried interest income from this entity is not subject to contingent repayment.

Expenses

Compensation and Benefits. Our most significant expense is compensation and benefits expense. This consists of fixed salary, discretionary and non-discretionary bonuses, profit sharing expense associated with the carried interest income earned from private equity, credit and real estate funds and compensation expense associated with the vesting of non-cash equity-based awards.

- 104-

Table of Contents

Our compensation arrangements with certain partners and employees contain a significant performance-based incentive component. Therefore, as our net revenues increase, our compensation costs also rise or can be lower when net revenues decrease. In addition, our compensation costs reflect the increased investment in people as we expand geographically and create new funds. All payments for services rendered by our Managing Partners prior to the 2007 Reorganization have been accounted for as partnership distributions rather than compensation and benefits expense. See note 1 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the 2007 Reorganization. Subsequent to the 2007 Reorganization, our Managing Partners are considered employees of Apollo. As such, payments for services made to these individuals, including the expense associated with the AOG Units described below, have been recorded as compensation expense. The AOG Units were granted to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners at the time of the 2007 Reorganization, as discussed in note 1 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In addition, certain professionals and selected other individuals have a profit sharing interest in the carried interest income earned in relation to our private equity, certain credit and real estate funds in order to better align their interests with our own and with those of the investors in these funds. Profit sharing expense is part of our compensation and benefits expense and is generally based upon a fixed percentage of private equity, credit and real estate carried interest income on a pre-tax and a pre-consolidated basis. Profit sharing expense can reverse during periods when there is a decline in carried interest income that was previously recognized. Profit sharing amounts are normally distributed to employees after the corresponding investment gains have been realized and generally before preferred returns are achieved for the investors. Therefore, changes in our unrealized gains (losses) for investments have the same effect on our profit sharing expense. Profit sharing expense increases when unrealized gains increase. Realizations only impact profit sharing expense to the extent that the effects on investments have not been recognized previously. If losses on other investments within a fund are subsequently realized, the profit sharing amounts previously distributed are normally subject to a general partner obligation to return carried interest income previously distributed back to the funds. This general partner obligation due to the funds would be realized only when the fund is liquidated, which generally occurs at the end of the fund's term. However, indemnification obligations also exist for pre-reorganization realized gains, which, although our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners would remain personally liable, may indemnify our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners for 17.5% to 100% of the previously distributed profits regardless of the fund's future performance. See note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of indemnification.

Each Managing Partner receives \$100,000 per year in base salary for services rendered to us. Additionally, our Managing Partners can receive other forms of compensation. In connection with the 2007 Reorganization, the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners received AOG Units with a vesting period of five to six years (all of which have fully vested) and certain employees were granted RSUs with a vesting period of typically six years (all of which have also fully vested). Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain employees have also been granted AAA restricted depositary units ("RDUs"), or incentive units that provide the right to receive AAA RDUs, which both represent common units of AAA and generally vest over three years for employees and are fully-vested for Managing Partners and Contributing Partners on the grant date. In addition, AHL awards and other equity-based compensation awards have been granted to the Company and certain employees, which amortize over the respective vesting periods. In addition, the Company grants equity awards to certain employees, including RSUs and options, that generally vest and become exercisable in quarterly installments or annual installments depending on the contract terms over a period of three to six years. See note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of AOG Units and other equity-based compensation.

Other Expenses. The balance of our other expenses includes interest, professional fees, placement fees, occupancy, depreciation and amortization and other general operating expenses. Interest expense consists primarily of interest related to the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement, the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities and the 2024 Senior Notes as discussed in note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. Placement fees are incurred in connection with our capital raising activities. Occupancy expense represents charges related to office leases and associated expenses, such as utilities and maintenance fees. Depreciation and amortization of fixed assets is normally calculated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives, ranging from two to sixteen years, taking into consideration any

residual value. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the useful life of the asset or the expected term of the lease. Intangible assets are amortized based on the future cash flows over the expected useful lives of the assets. Other general operating expenses normally include costs related to travel, information technology and administration.

Other Income (Loss)

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities. The performance of the consolidated Apollo funds has impacted our net gains (losses) from investment activities. Net gains (losses) from investment activities include both realized gains and losses and the change in unrealized gains and losses in our investment portfolio between the opening reporting date and the closing reporting date. Net unrealized gains (losses) are a result of changes in the fair value of unrealized investments and reversal of unrealized gains (losses) due to dispositions of investments during the reporting period. For results of AAA, a portion of the net gains (losses) from investment activities are attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements

- 105-

Table of Contents

of operations. Significant judgment and estimation goes into the assumptions that drive these models and the actual values realized with respect to investments could be materially different from values obtained based on the use of those models. The valuation methodologies applied impact the reported value of investment company holdings and their underlying portfolios in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities of Consolidated Variable Interest Entities. Changes in the fair value of the consolidated VIEs' assets and liabilities and related interest, dividend and other income and expenses subsequent to consolidation are presented within net gains (losses) from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities and are attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Interest Income. The Company recognizes security transactions on the trade date. Interest income is recognized as earned on an accrual basis. Discounts and premiums on securities purchased are accreted or amortized over the life of the respective securities using the effective interest method. Interest income also includes payment-in-kind interest (or "PIK" interest) on a convertible note and from one of our credit funds.

Other Income (Losses), Net. Other income (losses), net includes the recognition of bargain purchase gains as a result of Apollo acquisitions, gains (losses) arising from the remeasurement of foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, reversal of a portion of the tax receivable agreement liability (see note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements), gains (losses) arising from the remeasurement of derivative instruments associated with fees from certain of the Company's affiliates and other miscellaneous non-operating income and expenses.

Income Taxes. The Apollo Operating Group and its subsidiaries generally operate as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, except as described below, the Apollo Operating Group has not been subject to U.S. income taxes. However, these entities in some cases are subject to NYC UBT, and non-U.S. entities, in some cases, are subject to non-U.S. corporate income taxes. In addition, APO Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, is subject to U.S. federal, state and local corporate income tax, and the Company's provision for income taxes is accounted for in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

As significant judgment is required in determining tax expense and in evaluating tax positions, including evaluating uncertainties, we recognize the tax benefits of uncertain tax positions only where the position is "more likely than not" to be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefit is measured as the largest amount of benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. If a tax position is not considered more likely than not to be sustained, then no benefits of the position are recognized. The Company's tax positions are reviewed and evaluated quarterly to determine whether or not we have uncertain tax positions that require financial statement recognition.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis using currently enacted tax rates. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period when the change is enacted. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Non-Controlling Interests

For entities that are consolidated, but not 100% owned, a portion of the income or loss and corresponding equity is allocated to owners other than Apollo. The aggregate of the income or loss and corresponding equity that is not owned by the Company is included in Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated financial statements. The Non-Controlling Interests relating to Apollo Global Management, LLC primarily include the 58.2% and 61.7% ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group held by the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners through their limited partner interests in Holdings as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and other ownership interests in consolidated entities, which primarily consist of the approximate 97.5% and 97.3% ownership interests held by limited partners in AAA as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Non-Controlling Interests also include limited partner interests of Apollo managed funds in certain consolidated VIEs.

The authoritative guidance for Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated financial statements requires reporting entities to present Non-Controlling Interest as equity and provides guidance on the accounting for transactions between an entity and Non-Controlling Interests. According to the guidance, (1) Non-Controlling

Interests are presented as a separate component of shareholders' equity on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of financial condition, (2) net income (loss) includes the net income (loss) attributable to the Non-Controlling Interest holders on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations, (3) the primary components of Non-Controlling Interest are separately presented in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity to clearly distinguish the interests in the Apollo Operating

- 106-

Table of Contents

Group and other ownership interests in the consolidated entities and (4) profits and losses are allocated to Non-Controlling Interests in proportion to their ownership interests regardless of their basis.

On January 1, 2010, the Company adopted amended consolidation guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") on issues related to VIEs. The amended guidance significantly affects the overall consolidation analysis, changing the approach taken by companies in identifying which entities are VIEs and in determining which party is the primary beneficiary. The amended guidance requires continuous assessment of the reporting entity's involvement with such VIEs. The amended guidance also enhances the disclosure requirements for a reporting entity's involvement with VIEs, including presentation on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition of assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs that meet the separate presentation criteria and disclosure of assets and liabilities recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition and the maximum exposure to loss for those VIEs in which a reporting entity is determined to not be the primary beneficiary but in which it has a variable interest. The guidance provides a limited scope deferral for a reporting entity's interest in an entity that meets all of the following conditions: (a) the entity has all the attributes of an investment company as defined under the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA") Audit and Accounting Guide, Investment Companies, or does not have all the attributes of an investment company but is an entity for which it is acceptable based on industry practice to apply measurement principles that are consistent with the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide, Investment Companies, (b) the reporting entity does not have explicit or implicit obligations to fund any losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the entity and (c) the entity is not a securitization entity, asset-backed financing entity or an entity that was formerly considered a qualifying special-purpose entity. The reporting entity is required to perform a consolidation analysis for entities that qualify for the deferral in accordance with previously issued guidance on variable interest entities. Apollo's involvement with the funds it manages is such that all three of the above conditions are met with the exception of certain vehicles which fail condition (c) above. As previously discussed, the incremental impact of adopting the amended consolidation guidance has resulted in the consolidation of certain VIEs managed by the Company. Additional disclosures related to Apollo's involvement with VIEs are presented in note 4 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Below is a discussion of our condensed consolidated results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. For additional analysis of the factors that affected our results at the segment level, see “—Segment Analysis” below:

	Three Months Ended		Amount Change	Percentage Change	Nine Months Ended		Amount Change	Percentage Change		
	September 30, 2014	2013			September 30, 2014	2013				
	(dollars in thousands)									
Revenues:										
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$71,071	\$28,961	\$42,110	145.4	%	\$247,922	\$141,465	\$106,457	75.3	%
Management fees from affiliates	207,297	151,127	56,170	37.2		643,508	456,644	186,864	40.9	
Carried interest (loss) income from affiliates	(57,233)	952,001	(1,009,234)	NM		393,257	2,340,314	(1,947,057)	(83.2)
Total Revenues	221,135	1,132,089	(910,954)	(80.5)	1,284,687	2,938,423	(1,653,736)	(56.3)
Expenses:										
Compensation and benefits:										
Equity-based compensation	13,987	20,832	(6,845)	(32.9)	101,676	109,619	(7,943)	(7.2)
Salary, bonus and benefits	90,402	81,266	9,136	11.2		260,764	223,944	36,820	16.4	
Profit sharing expense	(5,804)	424,542	(430,346)	NM		258,933	975,406	(716,473)	(73.5)
Total Compensation and Benefits	98,585	526,640	(428,055)	(81.3)	621,373	1,308,969	(687,596)	(52.5)
Interest expense	7,389	7,179	210	2.9		15,027	22,291	(7,264)	(32.6)
Professional fees	17,936	18,752	(816)	(4.4)	57,599	56,477	1,122	2.0	
General, administrative and other	23,652	21,720	1,932	8.9		73,621	70,698	2,923	4.1	
Placement fees	8,760	3,185	5,575	175.0		14,035	15,663	(1,628)	(10.4)
Occupancy	9,916	9,849	67	0.7		30,237	29,803	434	1.5	
Depreciation and amortization	11,150	12,790	(1,640)	(12.8)	33,984	41,603	(7,619)	(18.3)
Total Expenses	177,388	600,115	(422,727)	(70.4)	845,876	1,545,504	(699,628)	(45.3)
Other (Loss) Income:										
Net gains from investment activities	12	74,045	(74,033)	(100.0)	213,886	127,294	86,592	68.0	
Net (losses) gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	(98,848)	78,601	(177,449)	NM		(7,688)	91,264	(98,952)	NM	
Income from equity method investments	4,445	32,236	(27,791)	(86.2)	58,056	80,116	(22,060)	(27.5)
Interest income	2,243	3,304	(1,061)	(32.1)	8,297	9,444	(1,147)	(12.1)
Other income, net	10,013	22,634	(12,621)	(55.8)	29,782	26,710	3,072	11.5	
	(82,135)	210,820	(292,955)	NM		302,333	334,828	(32,495)	(9.7)

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Total Other (Loss)								
Income								
(Loss) Income before income tax provision	(38,388)	742,794	(781,182)	NM	741,144	1,727,747	(986,603)	(57.1)
Income tax provision	(29,376)	(47,204)	17,828	(37.8)	(96,962)	(83,922)	(13,040)	15.5
Net (Loss) Income	(67,764)	695,590	(763,354)	NM	644,182	1,643,825	(999,643)	(60.8)
Net loss (income) attributable to Non-controlling Interests	69,974	(503,074)	573,048	NM	(498,135)	(1,143,594)	645,459	(56.4)
Net Income								
Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$2,210	\$192,516	\$(190,306)	(98.9)%	\$146,047	\$500,231	\$(354,184)	(70.8)%

Note: "NM" denotes not meaningful. Changes from negative to positive amounts and positive to negative amounts are not considered meaningful. Increases or decreases from zero and changes greater than 500% are also not considered meaningful.

Revenues

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Our revenues and other income include fixed components that result from measures of capital and asset valuations and variable components that result from realized and unrealized investment performance, as well as the value of successfully completed transactions.

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, increased by \$42.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was attributable to an increase in advisory and transaction fees, net in the credit segment of \$35.3 million, and an increase in the private equity segment of \$6.3 million. The increase in the credit segment was primarily attributable to an increase in monitoring fees from Athene of \$35.7 million. The increase in the private equity segment was primarily attributable to net transaction fees in connection with the acquisitions of

Table of Contents

Jupiter Resources and KBC Bank Deutschland AG ("KBC Bank") in the aggregate amount of \$7.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014.

Management fees from affiliates increased by \$56.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to an increase in management fees earned by our credit and private equity segments of \$52.6 million and \$12.0 million, respectively, as a result of an increase in fee-generating AUM with respect to these segments during the period. The primary driver of the increase in management fees earned from the credit funds was an increase in management fees earned from Athene of \$45.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. This increase was also attributable to increased management fees earned from Fund VIII in the amount of \$37.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014. This increase was partially offset by decreased management fees earned from Fund VII of \$23.2 million as a result of a change in the management fee rate and basis upon which management fees are earned from capital commitments to invested capital, due to the fund coming to the end of the fund's investment period. The change in management fees from affiliates was partially attributable to a decrease in management fees of \$2.9 million earned from consolidated VIEs which are included in the credit segment results but were eliminated in consolidation during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013.

Carried interest income from affiliates decreased by \$1,009.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to decreased carried interest income from Fund VI, Fund VII, AAA Investments (Co-Invest VI) and EPF I of \$510.9 million, \$356.7 million, \$70.1 million and \$20.6 million, respectively.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, increased by \$106.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was attributable to an increase in advisory and transaction fees, net in the credit segment of \$124.6 million offset by a decrease in the private equity segment of \$17.2 million. The increase in the credit segment was primarily driven by an increase in monitoring fees from Athene of \$104.9 million. The decrease in the private equity segment was primarily attributable to lower net advisory fees of \$14.8 million driven by Debt Investment Vehicles, EP Energy LLC, Taminco, Realogy and Caesars Entertainment related to the realization of underlying investments, termination and waived fees that occurred in 2013. Management fees from affiliates increased by \$186.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to an increase in management fees earned by our credit and private equity segments of \$144.1 million and \$41.6 million, respectively, as a result of an increase in fee-generating AUM with respect to these segments during the period. The primary driver of the increase in management fees earned from the credit funds was an increase in management fees earned from Athene and FCI II of \$132.5 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, partially offset by a \$6.1 million decrease in management fees from COF II during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. The increase was also due to Fund VIII which generated an additional \$134.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. This increase was partially offset by decreased management fees earned from Fund VII of \$90.2 million as a result of a change in the management fee rate and basis upon which management fees are earned from capital commitments to invested capital, due to the fund coming to the end of the fund's investment period. The change in management fees from affiliates was partially attributable to a decrease in management fees of \$4.0 million earned from consolidated VIEs which are included in the credit segment results but were eliminated in consolidation during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013.

Carried interest income from affiliates decreased by \$1,947.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to decreased carried interest income from Fund VI, Fund VII, AAA Investments (Co-Invest VI), COF I and SOMA of \$1,280.3 million, \$503.7 million, \$114.5 million, \$37.7 million and \$28.0 million, respectively.

Expenses

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Compensation and benefits decreased by \$428.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease in profit sharing expense of \$430.3 million, due to a lower carried interest income during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. In any quarter the blended profit sharing percentage is impacted by the respective profit share ratios of the funds generating carry in the period. During the three months ended September 30, 2014, the fair value of Fund VI and Fund VIII's underlying fund investments depreciated resulting in a net reversal of total profit sharing expense during the

- 109-

Table of Contents

quarter which was offset by \$15.7 million related to the Incentive Pool (as defined below). Included within profit sharing expense was \$17.0 million related to the Incentive Pool for the three months ended September 30, 2013. The Incentive Pool is separate from the fund related profit sharing expense and seeks to more directly tie compensation of its professionals to realized performance of the Company's business, which may result in greater variability in compensation and can have a variable impact on the blended profit share percentage during a particular quarter. Additionally, salary, bonus and benefits increased by \$9.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as a result of an increase in headcount during the period as compared to the same period in 2013.

The Company intends to, over time, seek to more directly tie compensation of its professionals to realized performance of the Company's business, which may result in greater variability in compensation. In June 2011, the Company adopted a performance based incentive arrangement (the "Incentive Pool") whereby certain partners and employees earned discretionary compensation based on carried interest realizations earned by the Company during the year, which amounts are reflected as profit sharing expense in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company adopted the Incentive Pool to attract and retain, and provide incentive to, partners and employees of the Company and to more closely align the overall compensation of partners and employees with the overall realized performance of the Company. Allocations to the Incentive Pool and to its participants contain both a fixed and a discretionary component and may vary year-to-year depending on the overall realized performance of the Company and the contributions and performance of each participant. There is no assurance that the Company will continue to compensate individuals through performance-based incentive arrangements in the future and there may be periods when the Executive Committee of the Company's manager determines that allocations of realized carried interest income are not sufficient to compensate individuals, which may result in an increase in salary, bonus and benefits.

General, administrative and other expenses increased by \$1.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to an increase in information technology expenses offset by lower travel, recruiting and organization expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013.

Placement fees increased by \$5.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was attributable to an increase in placement fees in COF III of \$6.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013.

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased by \$1.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to lower amortization of intangible assets during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013 as certain intangible assets were fully amortized.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Compensation and benefits decreased by \$687.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily due to a decrease in profit sharing expense of \$716.5 million, primarily attributable to a corresponding decrease in carried interest income. During this period, the blended profit sharing percentage was impacted by the respective profit share ratios of the funds generating carry in the period. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the fair value of Fund VII's underlying fund investment appreciated while Fund VI's underlying investments depreciated during the period, which contributed to the elevated profit sharing percentage. Included within profit sharing expense was a \$34.6 million and \$36.2 million expense related to the Incentive Pool for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Incentive Pool is separate from the fund related profit sharing expense and seeks to more directly tie compensation of its professionals to realized performance of the Company's business, which may result in greater variability in compensation and can have a variable impact on the blended profit share percentage during a particular quarter. The decrease in profit sharing expense was offset by an increase in salary, bonus and benefits of \$36.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as a result of an increase in headcount.

Additionally, equity-based compensation decreased by \$7.9 million due to lower amortization of AOG Units due to the end of their vesting period as of June 30, 2013 and lower amortization of RSU awards granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and other equity-based awards which decreased in aggregate of \$53.5 million. This decrease in equity-based compensation was offset primarily by a non-cash expense of \$45.6 million related to equity-based compensation in connection with the departure of an executive officer during the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Interest expense decreased by \$7.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to a lower margin rate incurred from the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities as compared to the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013 (see note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements).

- 110-

Table of Contents

General, administrative and other expenses increased by \$2.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to an increase in costs associated with increase in information technology which was partially offset by a decrease in costs associated with the launch of new funds, recruiting and other expenses incurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Placement fees decreased by \$1.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was driven by a decrease in placement fees in AIF and EPF II during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and was partially offset by an increase in placement fees in COF III during the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased by \$7.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to lower amortization of intangible assets during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, as certain intangible assets were fully amortized.

Other Income (Loss)

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Net gains from investment activities decreased by \$74.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to a \$80.9 million decrease in net unrealized gains related to changes in the fair value of investments held by AAA, offset by a decrease in losses on the investment in HFA of \$7.1 million (see note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.)

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recorded \$98.8 million in net losses from investment activities of consolidated VIEs, compared to \$78.6 million in net gains from investment activities of consolidated VIEs during the three months ended September 30, 2013. The \$177.4 million decrease was primarily attributable to \$172.2 million in net losses from investment activities and a \$31.3 million increase in interest and other expenses. These changes were offset by a \$21.4 million increase in net gains from debt and a \$4.6 million increase in interest and other income during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013.

Income from equity method investments decreased by \$27.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily driven by decreases in the fair values of investments held by certain Apollo funds and other entities in which the Company has a direct interest. Fund VI and Fund VII had the most significant impact and together had a reduction of \$25.7 million of income from equity method investments during the three months ended September 30, 2014.

Other income, net decreased by \$12.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily driven by losses resulting from fluctuations in exchange rates of foreign denominated assets and liabilities of subsidiaries during the three months ended September 30, 2014 in addition to a gain from the reduction of the tax receivable agreement liability during the three months ended September 30, 2013 resulting from a change in estimated tax rates that did not recur in the current period (see note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements). These decreases were offset by a gain on extinguishment of a portion of the contingent consideration obligation related to the acquisition of Stone Tower (see note 13 to our condensed consolidated financial statements) during the period.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Net gains from investment activities increased by \$86.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to a \$69.2 million increase in net unrealized gains related to changes in the fair value of investments held by AAA and a \$17.7 million increase in gains related to the sale of the Company's investment in HFA, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recorded \$7.7 million in net losses from investment activities of consolidated VIEs, compared to \$91.3 million in net gains from investment activities of consolidated VIEs during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The \$99.0 million decrease was primarily attributable to an increase in net losses from investment activities of \$116.7 million, an increase in interest and other expenses of \$63.5

million and a decrease in interest and other income of \$6.9 million. These changes were offset by an increase in net gains from debt of \$88.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013.

- 111-

Table of Contents

Other income, net increased by \$3.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to a gain on extinguishment of a portion of the contingent consideration obligation related to the acquisition of Stone Tower (see note 13 to our condensed consolidated financial statements) during 2014 and gains on Athene related derivative contracts for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. These increases were partially offset by losses resulting from fluctuations in exchange rates of foreign denominated assets and liabilities of subsidiaries during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 in addition to a gain from the reduction of the tax receivable agreement liability during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 resulting from a change in estimated tax rates that did not recur in the current period (see note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements).

Income Tax Provision

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Income tax expense decreased by \$17.8 million primarily due to a decrease in incentive company income subject to corporate level taxation. The Apollo Operating Group and its subsidiaries generally operate as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Due to our legal structure, only a portion of the income we earn is subject to corporate-level tax rates in the United States and foreign jurisdictions. The provision for income taxes includes federal, state and local income taxes in the United States and foreign income taxes at an effective tax rate of (76.5%) and 6.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The negative effective tax rate in the third quarter is due to losses in the incentive company related to the portion of losses not taxed for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The reconciling items between our statutory tax rate and our effective tax rate were due to the following: (i) income passed through to Non-Controlling Interests; (ii) income passed through to Class A shareholders; (iii) amortization of AOG Units that are non-deductible for income tax purposes which were fully amortized as of June 30, 2013; and (iv) state and local income taxes including NYC UBT.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Income tax expense increased by \$13.0 million primarily due to an increase in management business income as well as an increase in incentive company income subject to corporate level taxation. The Apollo Operating Group and its subsidiaries generally operate as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Due to our legal structure, only a portion of the income we earn is subject to corporate-level tax rates in the United States and foreign jurisdictions. The provision for income taxes includes federal, state and local income taxes in the United States and foreign income taxes at an effective tax rate of 13.1% and 4.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The reconciling items between our statutory tax rate and our effective tax rate were due to the following: (i) income passed through to Non-Controlling Interests; (ii) income passed through to Class A shareholders; (iii) amortization of AOG Units that are non-deductible for income tax purposes which were fully amortized as of June 30, 2013; and (iv) state and local income taxes including NYC UBT.

Table of Contents

Non-Controlling Interests

The table below presents equity interests in Apollo's consolidated, but not wholly-owned, subsidiaries and funds. Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests consisted of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in thousands)			
AAA ⁽¹⁾	\$429	\$(78,523)	\$(197,908)	\$(130,736)
Interest in management companies and a co-investment vehicle ⁽²⁾	(2,797)	(6,448)	(11,291)	(14,593)
Other consolidated entities	(17,220)	11,491	(12,113)	41,058
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	(19,588)	(73,480)	(221,312)	(104,271)
Net loss (income) attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽³⁾	132,517	(68,812)	73,226	(66,812)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group	(42,955)	(360,782)	(350,049)	(972,511)
Net Loss (Income) attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$69,974	\$(503,074)	\$(498,135)	\$(1,143,594)
Net (loss) income attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽⁴⁾	(132,517)	68,812	(73,226)	66,812
Other Comprehensive loss (income) attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	606	(41)	606	(41)
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$(61,937)	\$(434,303)	\$(570,755)	\$(1,076,823)

Reflects the Non-Controlling Interests in the net (income) loss of AAA and is calculated based on the Non-Controlling Interests' ownership percentage in AAA, which was approximately 97.5% and 97.3% as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. As of September 30, 2014 and 2013, Apollo owned approximately 2.5% and 2.7% of AAA, respectively.

(2) Reflects the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit funds.

(3) Reflects net (income) loss of the consolidated CLOs classified as VIEs.

Appropriated Partners' Capital is included in total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity and is therefore not a component of comprehensive income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests on the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group consisted of the following:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in thousands)			
Net (loss) income	\$(67,764)	\$695,590	\$644,182	\$1,643,825
Net loss (income) attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	112,929	(142,292)	(148,086)	(171,083)
Net income after Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	45,165	553,298	496,096	1,472,742
Adjustments:				
Income tax provision ⁽¹⁾	29,376	47,204	96,962	83,922

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

NYC UBT and foreign tax provision ⁽²⁾	(1,286)	(4,182)	(8,079)	(7,981)
Net loss (income) in non-Apollo Operating Group entities	258		(13,314)	(1,367)	(12,687)
Total adjustments	28,348		29,708		87,516		63,254	
Net income after adjustments	73,513		583,006		583,612		1,535,996	
Approximate weighted average ownership percentage of Apollo Operating Group	58.2	%	61.8	%	58.2	%	63.2	%
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	\$42,955		\$360,782		\$350,049		\$972,511	

- 113-

Table of Contents

Reflects all taxes recorded in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. Of this amount, U.S. federal, state, and local corporate income taxes attributable to APO Corp. are added back to income of the Apollo Operating Group before calculating Non-Controlling Interests as the income allocable to the Apollo Operating Group is not subject to such taxes.

Reflects NYC UBT and foreign taxes that are attributable to the Apollo Operating Group and its subsidiaries related to its operations in the U.S. as partnerships and in non-U.S. jurisdictions as corporations. As such, these amounts are considered in the income attributable to the Apollo Operating Group.

Segment Analysis

Discussed below are our results of operations for each of our reportable segments. They represent the segment information available and utilized by our executive management, which consists of our Managing Partners, who operate collectively as our chief operating decision maker, to assess performance and to allocate resources. Management divides its operations into three reportable segments: private equity, credit and real estate. These segments were established based on the nature of investment activities in each underlying fund, including the specific type of investment made, the frequency of trading, and the level of control over the investment. Segment results do not consider consolidation of funds, equity-based compensation expense comprised of AOG Units, income taxes, amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization and acquisitions, and Non-Controlling Interests with the exception of allocations of income to certain individuals.

In addition to providing the financial results of our three reportable business segments, we further evaluate our individual reportable segments based on what we refer to as our management and incentive businesses. Our management business is generally characterized by the predictability of its financial metrics, including revenues and expenses. The management business includes management fee revenues, advisory and transaction fee revenues, carried interest income from one of our opportunistic credit funds and expenses, each of which we believe are more stable in nature. The financial performance of our incentive business is partially dependent upon quarterly mark-to-market unrealized valuations in accordance with U.S. GAAP guidance applicable to fair value measurements. The incentive business includes carried interest income, income from equity method investments and profit sharing expense that are associated with our general partner interests in the Apollo funds, which are generally less predictable and more volatile in nature.

Our financial results vary, since carried interest, which generally constitutes a large portion of the income from the funds that we manage, as well as the transaction and advisory fees that we receive, can vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. As a result, we emphasize long-term financial growth and profitability to manage our business.

Private Equity

The following tables set forth our segment statement of operations information and our supplemental performance measure, ENI, for our private equity segment, further broken out by our "management" and "incentive" businesses, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. ENI represents segment income, excluding the impact of non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement, equity-based compensation expense comprised of amortization of AOG Units, income taxes, amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization and acquisitions and Non-Controlling Interests with the exception of allocations of income to certain individuals. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the Apollo funds and consolidated VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. ENI is not a U.S. GAAP measure.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014			For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Management (in thousands)	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
Private Equity ⁽¹⁾ :						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$ 11,925	\$—	\$ 11,925	\$ 5,646	\$—	\$ 5,646
Management fees from affiliates	76,848	—	76,848	64,801	—	64,801
Carried interest income (loss) gains from affiliates:						
Unrealized (losses) gains	—	(449,506)	(449,506)	—	318,237	318,237
Realized gains	—	369,968	369,968	—	534,068	534,068
Total Revenues	88,773	(79,538)	9,235	70,447	852,305	922,752
Expenses:						
Compensation and Benefits:						
Equity-based compensation	8,028	—	8,028	7,505	—	7,505
Salary, bonus and benefits	23,792	—	23,792	27,919	—	27,919
Profit sharing expense	—	(19,270)	(19,270)	—	358,025	358,025
Total compensation and benefits	31,820	(19,270)	12,550	35,424	358,025	393,449
Other expenses	19,387	—	19,387	21,025	—	21,025
Total Expenses	51,207	(19,270)	31,937	56,449	358,025	414,474
Other Income:						
Income from equity method investments	—	1,069	1,069	—	23,574	23,574
Other (loss) income, net	(1,439)	—	(1,439)	6,649	—	6,649
Total Other (Loss) Income	(1,439)	1,069	(370)	6,649	23,574	30,223
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$ 36,127	\$ (59,199)	\$ (23,072)	\$ 20,647	\$ 517,854	\$ 538,501

(1) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014			For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Management Incentive (in thousands)	Incentive	Total	Management Incentive	Incentive	Total
Private Equity ⁽¹⁾ :						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$54,739	\$—	\$54,739	\$71,926	\$—	\$71,926
Management fees from affiliates	238,314	—	238,314	196,757	—	196,757
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized (losses) gains	—	(753,488)	(753,488)	—	506,184	506,184
Realized gains	—	964,911	964,911	—	1,565,704	1,565,704
Total Revenues	293,053	211,423	504,476	268,683	2,071,888	2,340,571
Expenses:						
Compensation and Benefits:						
Equity-based compensation	39,435	—	39,435	23,363	—	23,363
Salary, bonus and benefits	72,774	—	72,774	81,024	—	81,024
Profit sharing expense	—	162,719	162,719	—	859,167	859,167
Total compensation and benefits	112,209	162,719	274,928	104,387	859,167	963,554
Other expenses	58,600	—	58,600	69,765	—	69,765
Total Expenses	170,809	162,719	333,528	174,152	859,167	1,033,319
Other Income:						
Income from equity method investments	—	33,289	33,289	—	58,295	58,295
Other income, net	1,182	1,599	2,781	9,153	—	9,153
Total Other Income	1,182	34,888	36,070	9,153	58,295	67,448
Economic Net Income	\$123,426	\$83,592	\$207,018	\$103,684	\$1,271,016	\$1,374,700

(1) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,				For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2014	2013	Amount Change	Percentage Change	2014	2013	Amount Change	Percentage Change
	(dollars in thousands)				(dollars in thousands)			
Private Equity ⁽¹⁾ :								
Revenues:								
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$11,925	\$5,646	\$6,279	111.2 %	\$54,739	\$71,926	\$(17,187)	(23.9)%
Management fees from affiliates	76,848	64,801	12,047	18.6	238,314	196,757	41,557	21.1
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates:								
Unrealized (losses) gains	(449,506)	318,237	(767,743)	NM	(753,488)	506,184	(1,259,672)	NM
Realized gains	369,968	534,068	(164,100)	(30.7)	964,911	1,565,704	(600,793)	(38.4)
Total carried interest (loss) income from affiliates	(79,538)	852,305	(931,843)	NM	211,423	2,071,888	(1,860,465)	(89.8)
Total Revenues	9,235	922,752	(913,517)	(99.0)	504,476	2,340,571	(1,836,095)	(78.4)
Expenses:								
Compensation and benefits:								
Equity-based compensation	8,028	7,505	523	7.0	39,435	23,363	16,072	68.8
Salary, bonus and benefits	23,792	27,919	(4,127)	(14.8)	72,774	81,024	(8,250)	(10.2)
Profit sharing expense	(19,270)	358,025	(377,295)	NM	162,719	859,167	(696,448)	(81.1)
Total compensation and benefits expense	12,550	393,449	(380,899)	(96.8)	274,928	963,554	(688,626)	(71.5)
Other expenses	19,387	21,025	(1,638)	(7.8)	58,600	69,765	(11,165)	(16.0)
Total Expenses	31,937	414,474	(382,537)	(92.3)	333,528	1,033,319	(699,791)	(67.7)
Other Income (Loss):								
Income from equity method investments	1,069	23,574	(22,505)	(95.5)	33,289	58,295	(25,006)	(42.9)
Other (loss) income, net	(1,439)	6,649	(8,088)	NM	2,781	9,153	(6,372)	(69.6)
Total Other (Loss) Income	(370)	30,223	(30,593)	NM	36,070	67,448	(31,378)	(46.5)
Economic Net (Loss) Income	\$(23,072)	\$538,501	\$(561,573)	NM	\$207,018	\$1,374,700	\$(1,167,682)	(84.9)%

(1) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

Revenues

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, increased by \$6.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to net transaction fees in connection with the acquisitions of Jupiter Resources and KBC Bank in the aggregate amount of \$7.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014.

Management fees from affiliates increased by \$12.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This increase was primarily attributable to increased management fees earned from Fund VIII in the amount of \$37.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014. This increase was partially offset by decreased management fees earned from Fund VII of \$23.2 million as a result of a change in the management fee rate and basis upon which management fees are earned from capital commitments to invested capital, due to the fund coming to the end of the fund's investment period.

Carried interest income from affiliates decreased by \$931.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to decreases in carried interest income earned from Fund VI and Fund VII of \$510.9 million and \$356.7 million, respectively.

Realized carried interest income decreased \$164.1 million, driven by decreased dispositions in Fund VI and Fund VII of \$77.4 million and \$107.9 million,

- 117-

respectively, primarily due to decreased dispositions of underlying portfolio investments held during the period as compared to the same period in the prior year. Unrealized carried interest income decreased by \$767.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014, also driven by Fund VI and Fund VII, which experienced decreases in unrealized carried interest income in the amounts of \$433.5 million and \$248.9 million, respectively. These decreases were a result of decreases in the fair value of portfolio investments and reversals of unrealized carried interest income to realized carried interest income.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net decreased by \$17.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to lower net advisory fees of \$14.8 million driven by Debt Investment Vehicles, EP Energy LLC, Taminco, Realogy and Caesars Entertainment related to the realization of underlying investments, termination and waived fees that occurred in 2013. Management fees from affiliates increased by \$41.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This increase was primarily attributable to increased management fees earned from Fund VIII in the amount of \$134.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. This increase was partially offset by decreased management fees earned from Fund VII of \$90.2 million as a result of a change in the management fee rate and basis upon which management fees are earned from capital commitments to invested capital, due to the fund coming to the end of the fund's investment period.

Carried interest income from affiliates decreased by \$1.9 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to decreases in carried interest income earned with respect to Fund VI and Fund VII of \$1.3 billion and \$503.7 million, respectively. Realized carried interest income decreased \$600.8 million, driven by decreases in Fund VI and Fund VII of \$196.6 million and 403.5 million, respectively, primarily due to decreased dispositions of underlying portfolio investments held during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in the prior year. Unrealized carried interest income decreased by \$1.3 billion during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, also driven by Fund VI and Fund VII, which experienced decreases in unrealized carried interest income in the amounts of \$1.1 billion and \$100.2 million, respectively. These decreases were a result of decreases in the fair value of portfolio investments and reversals of unrealized carried interest income to realized carried interest income.

Expenses

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Compensation and benefits expense decreased by \$380.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease in profit sharing expense of \$377.3 million, due to a lower carried interest income during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. In any quarter the blended profit sharing percentage is impacted by the respective profit share ratios of the funds generating carry in the period. During the three months ended September 30, 2014, the fair value of Fund VI and Fund VIII's underlying fund investments depreciated resulting in a net reversal of total profit sharing expense during the quarter which was offset by \$13.2 million of profit sharing expense related to the Incentive Pool. Included in profit sharing expense is \$12.5 million related to the Incentive Pool for the three months ended September 30, 2013. The Incentive Pool is separate from the fund level related sharing expense and seeks to more directly tie compensation of its professionals to realized performance of the Company's business, which may result in greater variability in compensation and can have a variable impact on the blended profit share percentage during a particular quarter.

Other expenses decreased by \$1.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to decreased general and administrative expenses as a result of decreased organization costs and a reduction in placement fees relating to Fund VIII.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Compensation and benefits expense decreased by \$688.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease in profit sharing expense of \$696.4 million, due to a lower carried interest income during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. In any quarter the blended profit sharing percentage

is impacted by the respective profit share ratios of the funds generating carry in the period. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the fair value of Fund VII's underlying fund investment appreciated while Fund VI's underlying investments depreciated during the quarter, which contributed to the elevated profit sharing percentage. Included in profit sharing expense is \$34.6 million and \$36.2 million

- 118-

related to the Incentive Pool for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Incentive Pool is separate from the fund related profit sharing expense and seeks to more directly tie compensation of its professionals to realized performance of the Company's business, which may result in greater variability in compensation and can have a variable impact on the blended profit share percentage during a particular quarter. These decreases were offset by an increase in equity-based compensation of \$16.1 million, primarily driven by non-cash expense of \$17.9 million related to equity-based compensation in connection with the departure of an executive officer during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Other expenses decreased by \$11.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to decreased general and administrative expenses as a result of lower organization costs in connection with the launch of Fund VIII in 2013 and decreased interest expense due to a lower margin rate incurred from the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities as compared to the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement (see note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements).

Other Income

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Income from equity method investments decreased by \$22.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was driven by decreases in the fair values of our private equity investments held, primarily from Apollo's ownership interests in Fund VI and Fund VII, in the amounts of \$2.7 million and \$18.9 million, respectively.

Other income, net decreased by \$8.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to losses resulting from fluctuations in exchange rates of foreign denominated assets and liabilities of subsidiaries during the three months ended September 30, 2014 in addition to a gain from the reduction of the tax receivable agreement liability during the three months ended September 30, 2013 resulting from a change in estimated tax rates that did not recur in the current period. See note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more information on the tax receivable agreement.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Income from equity method investments decreased by \$25.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was driven by decreases in the fair values of our private equity investments held, primarily from Apollo's ownership interest in Fund VI and Fund VII, which resulted in decreased income from equity method investments of \$4.6 million and \$27.7 million, respectively, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. These decreases were partially offset by increases of \$3.2 million and \$3.8 million from the equity method investments held by AAA and AION, respectively. Other income, net increased by \$6.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to losses resulting from fluctuations in exchange rates of foreign denominated assets and liabilities of subsidiaries in addition to a gain from the reduction of the tax receivable agreement liability due to a change in estimated tax rates during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. See note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more information on the tax receivable agreement.

Credit

The following tables set forth segment statement of operations information and ENI for our credit segment, further broken out by our "management" and "incentive" businesses, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. ENI represents segment income (loss), excluding the impact of non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and equity-based compensation expense comprising amortization of AOG Units, income taxes, amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization and acquisitions, Non-Controlling Interests with the exception of allocations of income to certain individuals, and non-cash revenue and expense related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the Apollo funds and consolidated VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. ENI is not a U.S. GAAP measure.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014			For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
	(in thousands)					
Credit: ⁽¹⁾						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$58,593	\$—	\$58,593	\$23,280	\$—	\$23,280
Management fees from affiliates	139,645	—	139,645	87,023	—	87,023
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized losses	—	(107,159)	(107,159)	—	(10,325)	(10,325)
Realized gains	12,106	120,323	132,429	9,117	104,827	113,944
Total Revenues	210,344	13,164	223,508	119,420	94,502	213,922
Expenses:						
Compensation and Benefits:						
Equity-based compensation	6,332	—	6,332	5,922	—	5,922
Salary, bonus and benefits	60,422	—	60,422	43,992	—	43,992
Profit sharing expense	—	16,736	16,736	—	64,696	64,696
Total compensation and benefits	66,754	16,736	83,490	49,914	64,696	114,610
Other expenses	44,493	—	44,493	34,440	—	34,440
Total Expenses	111,247	16,736	127,983	84,354	64,696	149,050
Other Income:						
Net gains (losses) from investment activities	—	116	116	—	(7,114)	(7,114)
Income from equity method investments	—	3,115	3,115	—	6,755	6,755
Other income, net	1,728	11,916	13,644	15,232	2,480	17,712
Total Other Income	1,728	15,147	16,875	15,232	2,121	17,353
Non-Controlling Interests	(3,174)	—	(3,174)	(2,744)	—	(2,744)
Economic Net Income	\$97,651	\$11,575	\$109,226	\$47,554	\$31,927	\$79,481

⁽¹⁾ Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014			For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
	(in thousands)					
Credit: ⁽¹⁾						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$ 191,682	\$—	\$ 191,682	\$ 67,105	\$—	\$ 67,105
Management fees from affiliates	405,879	—	405,879	261,774	—	261,774
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized (losses) gains ⁽²⁾	—	(67,883)	(67,883)	—	4,079	4,079
Realized gains	30,579	225,835	256,414	28,197	258,432	286,629
Total Revenues	628,140	157,952	786,092	357,076	262,511	619,587
Expenses:						
Compensation and Benefits:						
Equity-based compensation	40,117	—	40,117	19,472	—	19,472
Salary, bonus and benefits	166,936	—	166,936	119,223	—	119,223
Profit sharing expense	—	97,269	97,269	—	118,425	118,425
Total compensation and benefits	207,053	97,269	304,322	138,695	118,425	257,120
Other expenses	120,592	—	120,592	111,016	—	111,016
Total Expenses	327,645	97,269	424,914	249,711	118,425	368,136
Other Income:						
Net gains (losses) from investment activities	—	8,948	8,948	—	(8,836)	(8,836)
Income from equity method investments	—	21,234	21,234	—	20,045	20,045
Other income, net	9,227	24,357	33,584	23,759	2,687	26,446
Total Other Income	9,227	54,539	63,766	23,759	13,896	37,655
Non-Controlling Interests	(9,554)	—	(9,554)	(9,462)	—	(9,462)
Economic Net Income	\$ 300,168	\$ 115,222	\$ 415,390	\$ 121,662	\$ 157,982	\$ 279,644

(1) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

(2) Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was a reversal of \$19.3 million of the entire general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income to SOMA. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' net assets as of the reporting date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,				For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2014	2013	Amount Change	Percentage Change	2014	2013	Amount Change	Percentage Change
	(dollars in thousands)				(dollars in thousands)			
Credit ⁽¹⁾ :								
Revenues:								
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$58,593	\$23,280	\$35,313	151.7 %	\$191,682	\$67,105	\$124,577	185.6 %
Management fees from affiliates	139,645	87,023	52,622	60.5	405,879	261,774	144,105	55.0
Carried interest income from affiliates:								
Unrealized (losses) gains ⁽²⁾	(107,159)	(10,325)	(96,834)	NM	(67,883)	4,079	(71,962)	NM
Realized gains	132,429	113,944	18,485	16.2	256,414	286,629	(30,215)	(10.5)
Total carried interest income from affiliates	25,270	103,619	(78,349)	(75.6)	188,531	290,708	(102,177)	(35.1)
Total Revenues	223,508	213,922	9,586	4.5	786,092	619,587	166,505	26.9
Expenses:								
Compensation and benefits								
Equity-based compensation	6,332	5,922	410	6.9	40,117	19,472	20,645	106.0
Salary, bonus and benefits	60,422	43,992	16,430	37.3	166,936	119,223	47,713	40.0
Profit sharing expense	16,736	64,696	(47,960)	(74.1)	97,269	118,425	(21,156)	(17.9)
Total compensation and benefits	83,490	114,610	(31,120)	(27.2)	304,322	257,120	47,202	18.4
Other expenses	44,493	34,440	10,053	29.2	120,592	111,016	9,576	8.6
Total Expenses	127,983	149,050	(21,067)	(14.1)	424,914	368,136	56,778	15.4
Other Income:								
Net gains (losses) from investment activities	116	(7,114)	7,230	NM	8,948	(8,836)	17,784	NM
Income from equity method investments	3,115	6,755	(3,640)	(53.9)	21,234	20,045	1,189	5.9
Other income, net	13,644	17,712	(4,068)	(23.0)	33,584	26,446	7,138	27.0
Total Other Income	16,875	17,353	(478)	(2.8)	63,766	37,655	26,111	69.3
Non-Controlling Interests	(3,174)	(2,744)	(430)	15.7	(9,554)	(9,462)	(92)	1.0
Economic Net Income	\$109,226	\$79,481	\$29,745	37.4 %	\$415,390	\$279,644	\$135,746	48.5 %

(1) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

(2) Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was a reversal of \$19.3 million of the entire general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income to SOMA. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' net assets as of the reporting date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.

Revenues

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, increased by \$35.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. The increase was primarily driven by an increase in monitoring fees from Athene of \$35.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013.

Management fees from affiliates increased by \$52.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to increases in management fees earned from Athene of \$45.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013.

Carried interest income from affiliates decreased by \$78.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to decreased carried interest income related to EPF I of \$20.6 million, Apollo Offshore Credit Fund of \$15.2 million, COF I of \$13.5 million, certain sub-advisory arrangements of \$11.0 million, COF III of \$10.3 million and COF II of \$4.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. Unrealized carried interest income decreased \$96.8 million during the

- 122-

three months ended September 30, 2014 as opposed to the same period in 2013, mainly driven by decreases in EPF I of \$76.7 million, Apollo Offshore Credit Fund of \$16.3 million, COF III of \$10.3 million and certain sub-advisory arrangements of \$8.4 million, partially offset by an increase in EPF II of \$18.1 million. The decrease in unrealized carried interest income was offset by an increase of \$18.5 million in realized carried interest income primarily resulting from higher realizations from EPF I of \$56.1 million, offset by decreased realized carried interest income primarily from COF I of \$19.0 million, ACLF of \$18.8 million and certain managed accounts of \$4.0 million.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates increased by \$124.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase was primarily driven by an increase in monitoring fees from Athene of \$104.9 million and an increase in net transaction fees with respect to EPF II and FCI II of \$16.9 million and \$6.5 million, respectively, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013.

Management fees from affiliates increased by \$144.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to increases in management fees earned from Athene and FCI II of \$132.5 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, partially offset by a \$6.1 million decrease in management fees from COF II.

Carried interest income from affiliates decreased by \$102.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to decreased carried interest income related to COF I of \$37.7 million, SOMA of \$28.0 million, certain sub-advisory arrangements of \$18.0 million and Apollo Offshore Credit Fund of \$14.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. Included in carried interest income from affiliates was unrealized carried interest income which decreased by \$72.0 million, driven by decreases in EPF I, SOMA, CLOs managed by the Company, certain sub-advisory arrangements and Apollo Offshore Credit Fund of \$82.2 million, \$29.5 million, \$33.2 million, \$15.4 million and \$14.4 million, respectively. These decreases were partially offset by increases in unrealized carried interest income from EPF II and COF I of \$52.9 million and \$44.7 million, respectively, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. Realized carried interest income also decreased by \$30.2 million, driven by lower realizations from COF I and COF II of \$82.4 million and \$22.8 million, respectively, offset by increases in realizations from EPF I of \$68.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013.

Expenses

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Compensation and benefits expense decreased by \$31.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. The change was primarily due to a decrease in profit sharing expense of \$48.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013, primarily attributable to a corresponding decrease in carried interest income. The decrease in profit sharing expense was partially offset by an increase in salary, bonus, and benefits of \$16.4 million during the period, due to increased headcount. Included within profit sharing expense is the Incentive Pool, which resulted in additional profit sharing expense of \$2.5 million and \$4.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Other expenses increased by \$10.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. The change was primarily driven by an increase in placement fees with respect to COF III of \$6.3 million and a \$3.6 million increase in general and administrative expenses as a result of higher technology expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Compensation and benefits expense increased by \$47.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The change was primarily due to an increase in salary, bonus and benefits of \$47.7 million during the period, due to increased headcount, and an increase in equity-based compensation of \$20.6 million driven by non-cash expense of \$23.2 million related to equity-based compensation in connection with the departure of an executive officer during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. These increases were offset by a decrease in profit sharing expense of \$21.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. Within our credit

segment, the Company is aligning total compensation for investment professionals with the profitability of the credit business as a whole rather than on a fund-by-fund basis. As a result, the Company incurred approximately \$22.0 million of additional profit sharing expense which was recorded for the nine months ended September 30,

- 123-

2014. Additionally, included within profit sharing expense is the Incentive Pool, which resulted in additional profit sharing expense of \$4.5 million and \$12.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Other expenses increased by \$9.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The change was primarily driven by a \$11.4 million increase in general and administrative expenses as a result of higher technology expenses, partially offset by a decrease in interest expense of \$3.3 million due to a lower margin rate incurred from the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities as compared to the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement (see note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements).

Other Income

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Net gains from investment activities of \$0.1 million increased by \$7.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily related to non-recurrence of losses on the investment in HFA during the three months ended September 30, 2014 (see note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.)

Income from equity method investments decreased by \$3.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was driven by decreases in the fair values of investments held by certain of our credit funds, primarily COF I, COF III, EPF I, Apollo Palmetto Strategic Partnership, L.P. and AIE II which resulted in decreases in income from equity method investments of \$1.8 million, \$1.1 million, \$1.0 million, \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. These decreases were offset by an increase in income from equity method investments in EPF II of \$1.5 million, during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013.

Other income decreased by \$4.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013, mainly due to losses resulting from fluctuations in exchange rates of foreign denominated assets and liabilities of subsidiaries during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013, the settlement of Athene-related derivative contracts during 2014 and a gain from the reduction of the tax receivable agreement liability during the three months ended September 30, 2013 resulting from a change in estimated tax rates that did not recur in the current period (see note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements). Prior to the settlement of the Athene-related derivative contracts, changes in fair value of the derivatives were recorded in other income in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. During 2014, the Company elected the fair value option for its investment in Athene Holding at the time of settlement of the Athene-related derivative contracts and changes in fair value of its investment in Athene Holding are recorded in net gains from investment activities in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. These changes were partially offset by a gain on extinguishment of a portion of the contingent consideration obligation related to the acquisition of Stone Tower (see note 13 to our condensed consolidated financial statements) during 2014.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Net gains (losses) from investment activities increased by \$17.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as a result of appreciation in the Company's investment in HFA during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, prior to the sale of the investment in HFA (see Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

Other income increased by \$7.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, mainly due to gain on extinguishment of a portion of the contingent consideration obligation related to the acquisition of Stone Tower (see note 13 to our condensed consolidated financial statements) during 2014. This was partially offset by a gain from the reduction of the tax receivable agreement liability during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 resulting from a change in estimated tax rates that did not recur in the current period (see note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements).

Real Estate

The following tables set forth our segment statement of operations information and our supplemental performance measure, ENI, for our real estate segment, further broken out by our "management" and "incentive" businesses, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. ENI represents segment income (loss), excluding the impact of non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and equity-based compensation expense comprising amortization of AOG Units, income taxes, amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization and acquisitions, Non-Controlling Interests with the exception of allocations of income to certain individuals and non-cash revenue and expense related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the Apollo funds and consolidated VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. ENI is not a U.S. GAAP measure.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014			For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
Real Estate: ⁽¹⁾						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$803	\$—	\$803	\$36	\$—	\$36
Management fees from affiliates	11,200	—	11,200	13,378	—	13,378
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates:						
Unrealized (losses) gains	—	(3,606)	(3,606)	—	3,306	3,306
Realized gains	—	—	—	—	1	1
Total Revenues	12,003	(3,606)	8,397	13,414	3,307	16,721
Expenses:						
Compensation and Benefits:						
Equity-based compensation	1,007	—	1,007	3,150	—	3,150
Salary, bonus and benefits	7,610	—	7,610	9,355	—	9,355
Profit sharing expense	—	(3,270)	(3,270)	—	1,821	1,821
Total compensation and benefits	8,617	(3,270)	5,347	12,505	1,821	14,326
Other expenses	6,276	—	6,276	7,135	—	7,135
Total Expenses	14,893	(3,270)	11,623	19,640	1,821	21,461
Other Income:						
Income from equity method investments	—	641	641	—	847	847
Other (loss) income, net	(323)	—	(323)	795	—	795
Total Other (Loss) Income	(323)	641	318	795	847	1,642
Economic Net (Loss) Income	\$(3,213)	\$305	\$(2,908)	\$(5,431)	\$2,333	\$(3,098)

⁽¹⁾ Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014			For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Management Incentive (in thousands)		Total	Management Incentive	Incentive	Total
Real Estate: ⁽¹⁾						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$1,751	\$—	\$1,751	\$2,434	\$—	\$2,434
Management fees from affiliates	36,188	—	36,188	40,175	—	40,175
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates:						
Unrealized (losses)	—	(2,962)	(2,962)	—	(2,535)	(2,535)
Realized gains	—	3,998	3,998	—	513	513
Total Revenues	37,939	1,036	38,975	42,609	(2,022)	40,587
Expenses:						
Compensation and Benefits:						
Equity-based compensation	7,537	—	7,537	7,850	—	7,850
Salary, bonus and benefits	22,476	—	22,476	23,698	—	23,698
Profit sharing expense	—	(1,055)	(1,055)	—	(2,187)	(2,187)
Total compensation and benefits	30,013	(1,055)	28,958	31,548	(2,187)	29,361
Other expenses	17,558	—	17,558	20,541	—	20,541
Total Expenses	47,571	(1,055)	46,516	52,089	(2,187)	49,902
Other Income:						
Income from equity method investments	—	4,464	4,464	—	1,542	1,542
Other income, net	228	—	228	2,188	—	2,188
Total Other Income	228	4,464	4,692	2,188	1,542	3,730
Economic Net (Loss) Income	\$(9,404)	\$6,555	\$(2,849)	\$(7,292)	\$1,707	\$(5,585)

(1) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,				For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2014	2013	Amount Change	Percentage Change	2014	2013	Amount Change	Percentage Change
	(dollars in thousands)				(dollars in thousands)			
Real Estate: ⁽¹⁾								
Revenues:								
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$803	\$36	\$767	2,129.4 %	\$1,751	\$2,434	\$(683)	(28.1)%
Management fees from affiliates	11,200	13,378	(2,178)	(16.3)	36,188	40,175	(3,987)	(9.9)
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates:								
Unrealized (loses) gains	(3,606)	3,306	(6,912)	NM	(2,962)	(2,535)	(427)	16.8
Realized gains	—	1	(1)	(100.0)	3,998	513	3,485	679.3
Total carried interest (loss) income from affiliates	(3,606)	3,307	(6,913)	NM	1,036	(2,022)	3,058	NM
Total Revenues	8,397	16,721	(8,324)	(49.8)	38,975	40,587	(1,612)	(4.0)
Expenses:								
Compensation and Benefits:								
Equity-based compensation	1,007	3,150	(2,143)	(68.0)	7,537	7,850	(313)	(4.0)
Salary, bonus and benefits	7,610	9,355	(1,745)	(18.7)	22,476	23,698	(1,222)	(5.2)
Profit sharing expense	(3,270)	1,821	(5,091)	NM	(1,055)	(2,187)	1,132	(51.8)
Total compensation and benefits	5,347	14,326	(8,979)	(62.7)	28,958	29,361	(403)	(1.4)
Other expenses	6,276	7,135	(859)	(12.0)	17,558	20,541	(2,983)	(14.5)
Total Expenses	11,623	21,461	(9,838)	(45.8)	46,516	49,902	(3,386)	(6.8)
Other (Loss) Income:								
Income from equity method investments	641	847	(206)	(24.3)	4,464	1,542	2,922	189.5
Other (loss) income, net	(323)	795	(1,118)	NM	228	2,188	(1,960)	(89.6)
Total Other Income	318	1,642	(1,324)	(80.6)	4,692	3,730	962	25.8
Economic Net Loss	\$(2,908)	\$(3,098)	\$190	(6.1)%	\$(2,849)	\$(5,585)	\$2,736	(49.0)%

(1) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

Revenues

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, increased by \$0.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was attributable to net transaction fees earned in connection with the acquisition of KBC Bank during the three months ended September 30, 2014. See note 4 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more information on the KBC Transaction.

Management fees decreased by \$2.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease in management fees was primarily due to decreased management fees from CPI Capital Partners North America L.P., CPI Capital Partners Europe L.P., CPI Capital Partners Asia Pacific, L.P. (collectively, the "CPI Funds") of \$2.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Carried interest income from affiliates decreased by \$6.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease in carried interest income relating to the CPI Funds of \$6.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as

compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013.

- 127-

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, decreased by \$0.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was attributable to a decrease in capital raised and invested and the realization of underlying investments for which transaction fees and termination fees, respectively, were earned during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Management fees decreased by \$4.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease in management fees was primarily due to decreased management fees from the CPI funds of \$5.2 million offset by increased management fees of \$1.7 million primarily due to ARI for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013.

Carried interest income from affiliates increased by \$3.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to a \$2.7 million increase in realized carried interest income relating to AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Expenses

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Compensation and benefits decreased by \$9.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease of \$5.1 million in profit sharing expense, driven by the decrease in carried interest income earned from our real estate funds, and a decrease in equity-based compensation of \$2.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Other expenses decreased by \$0.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily attributable to decreased legal fees and miscellaneous expenses.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Other expenses decreased by \$3.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily attributable to decreased organizational expenses and decreased interest expense due to a lower margin rate incurred from the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities as compared to the 2007 AMH Credit Agreement (see note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements).

Other Income

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Other income, net decreased by \$1.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to losses resulting from fluctuations in exchange rates of foreign denominated assets and liabilities of subsidiaries during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Income from equity method investments increased by \$2.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013 driven by an increase in income from equity method investments held by ARI.

Other income, net decreased by \$2.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This change was primarily attributable to losses resulting from fluctuations in exchange rates of foreign denominated assets and liabilities of subsidiaries during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 in addition to gains resulting from the settlement of a contingent consideration obligation during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 relating to the 2010 acquisition of CPI.

Summary Combined Segment Results for Management Business and Incentive Business

The following tables combine our reportable segments' statements of operations information and supplemental performance measure, ENI, for our management and incentive businesses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. ENI represents segment income (loss), excluding the impact of (i) non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and amortization of AOG Units, (ii) income tax expense, (iii) amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions (iv) Non-Controlling Interests excluding the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit management companies and (v) non-cash revenue and expense related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the funds and VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the Apollo funds and consolidated VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. ENI is not a U.S. GAAP measure.

In addition to providing the financial results of our three reportable business segments, we evaluate our reportable segments based on what we refer to as our management and incentive businesses. Our management business is generally characterized by the predictability of its financial metrics, including revenues and expenses. This business includes management fee revenues, advisory and transaction fee revenues, carried interest income from one of our opportunistic credit funds and expenses, each of which we believe are more stable in nature.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in thousands)			
Management Business				
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$71,321	\$28,962	\$248,172	\$141,465
Management fees from affiliates	227,693	165,202	680,381	498,706
Carried interest income from affiliates	12,106	9,117	30,579	28,197
Total Revenues	311,120	203,281	959,132	668,368
Expenses:				
Equity-based compensation	15,368	16,577	87,088	50,685
Salary, bonus and benefits	91,823	81,266	262,187	223,944
Interest expense	7,389	7,179	15,027	22,291
Professional fees ⁽¹⁾	17,675	18,430	56,598	55,383
General, administrative and other ⁽²⁾	23,797	21,316	73,105	69,775
Placement fees	8,760	3,184	14,035	15,662
Occupancy	9,979	9,849	30,300	29,803
Depreciation and amortization	2,556	2,642	7,685	8,408
Total Expenses	177,347	160,443	546,025	475,951
Other Income:				
Interest income	1,869	2,960	7,202	8,317
Other (loss) income, net	(1,903)) 19,716	3,435	26,783
Total Other (Loss) Income	(34)) 22,676	10,637	35,100
Non-Controlling Interests	(3,174)) (2,744)) (9,554)) (9,462)
Economic Net Income	\$130,565	\$62,770	\$414,190	\$218,055

(1)Excludes professional fees related to the consolidated funds.

(2)Excludes general and administrative expenses and interest income related to the consolidated funds.

Table of Contents

The financial performance of our incentive business, which is dependent upon quarterly mark-to-market unrealized valuations in accordance with U.S. GAAP guidance applicable to fair value measurements, includes carried interest income, income from equity method investments, other income, net and profit sharing expenses that are associated with our general partner interests in the Apollo funds, which are generally less predictable and more volatile in nature.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	
	2013	2014	2013	2014
(in thousands)				
Incentive Business				
Revenues:				
Carried interest (loss) income from affiliates:				
Unrealized (losses) gains ⁽¹⁾	\$ (560,271)	\$ 311,218	\$ (824,333)	\$ 507,728
Realized gains	490,291	638,896	1,194,744	1,824,649
Total Revenues	(69,980)	950,114	370,411	2,332,377
Expenses:				
Compensation and Benefits:				
Profit sharing expense:				
Unrealized profit sharing expense	(220,788)	165,247	(253,966)	218,453
Realized profit sharing expense	214,984	259,295	512,899	756,953
Total Profit Sharing Expense	(5,804)	424,542	258,933	975,406
Other Income:				
Other income, net	11,916	2,403	25,955	2,687
Net gains (losses) from investment activities ⁽²⁾	116	(7,114)	8,949	(8,836)
Income from equity method investments	4,825	31,253	58,987	79,882
Total Other Income	16,857	26,542	93,891	73,733
Economic Net (Loss) Income	\$(47,319)	\$552,114	\$205,369	\$1,430,704

Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was a reversal of \$19.3 million of the entire general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to SOMA. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' net assets as of the reporting date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.

(1) Excludes investment income and net gains from investment activities related to consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs.

Table of Contents

Summary

Below is the summary of our total reportable segments, including management and incentive businesses, and a reconciliation of ENI to Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC reported in our condensed consolidated statements of operations:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in thousands)			
Revenues	\$241,140	\$1,153,395	\$1,329,543	\$3,000,745
Expenses	171,543	584,985	804,958	1,451,357
Other income	16,823	49,218	104,528	108,833
Non-Controlling Interests	(3,174)	(2,744)	(9,554)	(9,462)
Economic Net Income	83,246	614,884	619,559	1,648,759
Non-cash charges related to equity-based compensation	(112)	(4,235)	(202)	(58,901)
Income tax provision	(29,376)	(47,204)	(96,962)	(83,922)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(42,955)	(360,782)	(350,049)	(972,511)
Amortization of intangible assets	(8,593)	(10,147)	(26,299)	(33,194)
Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$2,210	\$192,516	\$146,047	\$500,231

Table of Contents

Summary of Distributable Earnings and Economic Net Income

Distributable Earnings ("DE"), as well as DE After Taxes and Related Payables are derived from our segment reported results, and are supplemental non-GAAP measures to assess performance and amounts available for distribution to Class A shareholders, holders of RSUs that participate in distributions and holders of AOG units. DE represents the amount of net realized earnings without the effects of the consolidation of any of the affiliated funds. DE, which is a component of ENI, is the sum across all segments of (i) total management fees and advisory and transaction fees, excluding monitoring fees received from Athene based on its capital and surplus (as defined in Apollo's transaction advisory services agreement with Athene), (ii) realized carried interest income, and (iii) realized investment income, less (i) compensation expense, excluding the expense related to equity-based awards, (ii) realized profit sharing expense, and (iii) non-compensation expenses, excluding depreciation and amortization expense. DE after taxes and related payables represents DE less estimated current corporate, local and non-U.S. taxes as well as the payable under Apollo's tax receivable agreement.

The following table is a summary of DE for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in thousands)			
Management Business Economic Net Income	\$ 130,565	\$ 62,770	\$ 414,190	\$ 218,055
Net realized carried interest income	275,308	379,601	681,845	1,067,696
Realized investment income ⁽¹⁾	6,405	27,538	36,919	76,324
Athene capital and surplus fees ⁽²⁾	(57,979) (22,681) (168,864) (64,580
Equity-based compensation	15,368	16,577	87,088	50,685
Depreciation and amortization	2,556	2,642	7,685	8,408
Distributable Earnings	372,223	466,447	1,058,863	1,356,588
Taxes and related payables ⁽³⁾	(29,429) (10,856) (76,773) (21,750
Distributable Earnings After Taxes and Related Payables	342,794	\$ 455,591	\$ 982,090	\$ 1,334,838
Net unrealized carried interest (loss) income	(339,483) 145,971	(570,367) 289,275
Unrealized investment and other income (loss)	10,451	(996) 56,972	(2,591
Add back: Athene capital and surplus fees ⁽²⁾	57,979	22,681	168,864	64,580
Add back: Taxes and related payables ⁽³⁾	29,429	10,856	76,773	21,750
Less: Equity-based compensation	(15,368) (16,577) (87,088) (50,685
Less: Depreciation and amortization	(2,556) (2,642) (7,685) (8,408
Total Economic Net Income	83,246	614,884	619,559	1,648,759

(1) Represents realized gains from our general partner investments in our funds and other balance sheet investments.

(2) Represents monitoring fees paid by Athene to Apollo by delivery of common shares of Athene Holding, calculated based on Athene's capital and surplus, as defined in our transaction and advisory services agreement with Athene.

(3) Represents the estimated current corporate, local and Non-U.S. taxes as well as the payable under Apollo's tax receivable agreement.

Table of Contents

The following table is a reconciliation of distributable earnings per share of common and equivalents⁽¹⁾ to net distribution per share of common and equivalents for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		
	September 30, 2014	2013	September 30, 2014	2013	
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
Distributable Earnings After Taxes and Related Payables	\$342,794	\$455,591	\$982,090	\$1,334,838	
Add back: Tax related payables attributable to common and equivalents	26,908	8,616	68,177	15,030	
Distributable earnings before certain payables ⁽²⁾	369,702	464,207	1,050,267	1,349,868	
Percent to common and equivalents	45	% 42	% 44	% 42	%
Distributable earnings before other payables attributable to common and equivalents	167,867	195,543	465,758	564,364	
Less: Tax related payables attributable to common and equivalents	(26,908)	(8,616)	(68,177)	(15,030)	
Distributable earnings attributable to common and equivalents	140,959	186,927	397,581	549,334	
Distributable earnings per share of common and equivalent ⁽³⁾	\$0.77	\$1.11	\$2.22	\$3.31	
Retained capital per share of common and equivalent ⁽³⁾	(0.04)	(0.10)	(0.19)	(0.41)	
Net distribution per share of common and equivalent ⁽³⁾	\$0.73	\$1.01	\$2.03	\$2.90	

(1) Common and equivalents refers to Class A shares and RSUs that participate in distributions.

(2) Distributable earnings before certain payables represents distributable earnings before the deduction for the estimated current corporate taxes and the payable under Apollo's tax receivable agreement.

(3) Per share calculations are based on total Class A shares outstanding and RSUs that participate in distributions.

Summary of Fee-Related EBITDA and Fee-Related EBITDA + 100% of Net Realized Carried Interest

Fee-related EBITDA is a non-GAAP performance measure used to understand the performance of our operations and represents management business ENI (Pre-tax), with amounts for equity-based compensation, interest expense and depreciation and amortization added to management business ENI. Fee-related EBITDA plus realized carried interest less realized profit sharing (referred to as “fee-related EBITDA +100% of net realized carried interest”) is a non-GAAP performance measure that combines operating results of the management business and incentive business. These performance measures are used to compare our current and potential debt service. See note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on our outstanding debt.

The table below sets forth fee-related EBITDA and fee-related EBITDA + 100% of net realized carried interest for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and a reconciliation of net income attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC to ENI, fee-related EBITDA and fee-related EBITDA + 100% of net realized carried interest.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Management Business Economic Net Income	\$ 130,565	\$ 62,770	\$ 414,190	\$ 218,055
Equity-based compensation ⁽¹⁾	15,368	16,577	87,088	50,685
Interest expense	7,389	7,179	15,027	22,291
Depreciation and amortization ⁽²⁾	2,556	2,642	7,685	8,408
Fee-Related EBITDA	155,878	89,168	523,990	299,439
Total realized carried interest	490,291	638,896	1,194,744	1,824,649
Total realized profit sharing expense	(214,984)	(259,295)	(512,899)	(756,953)
Net realized carried interest	275,307	379,601	681,845	1,067,696
Fee-Related EBITDA + 100% of Net Realized Carried Interest	431,185	468,769	1,205,835	1,367,135
Net unrealized carried interest (loss) income	(339,483)	145,971	(570,367)	289,275
Net investment income	16,857	26,542	93,891	73,733
Net interest expense	(7,389)	(7,179)	(15,027)	(22,291)
Depreciation and amortization ⁽²⁾	(2,556)	(2,642)	(7,685)	(8,408)
Equity-based compensation ⁽¹⁾	(15,368)	(16,577)	(87,088)	(50,685)
Economic Net Income	83,246	614,884	619,559	1,648,759
Income tax provision	(29,376)	(47,204)	(96,962)	(83,922)
Net (income) attributable to non-controlling interests in Apollo Operating Group	(42,955)	(360,782)	(350,049)	(972,511)
Charges related to equity-based compensation ⁽⁴⁾	(112)	(4,235)	(202)	(58,901)
Amortization of intangible assets	(8,593)	(10,147)	(26,299)	(33,194)
Net income attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$ 2,210	\$ 192,516	\$ 146,047	\$ 500,231

(1) Includes RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options.

(2) Excludes equity-based compensation expense comprising amortization of AOG Units.

(3) Includes amortization of leasehold improvements.

(4) Represents income tax provision on ENI that has been calculated assuming that all income is allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC, which would occur following an exchange of all Apollo Operating Group units for shares of Apollo Global Management, LLC. The assumptions and methodology impact the implied income tax provision for our condensed consolidated statements of operations under U.S. GAAP, with the exception of including the benefit of tax deductions in excess of U.S. GAAP deductions from share-based arrangements. We believe this measure is more consistent with how it assesses the performance of its segments which is described in

our definition of ENI.

(4) Includes amortization amounts related to AOG Units.

- 134-

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historical

Although we have managed our historical liquidity needs by looking at deconsolidated cash flows, our historical condensed consolidated statements of cash flows reflects the cash flows of Apollo, as well as those of the consolidated Apollo funds.

The primary cash flow activities of Apollo are:

- Generating cash flow from operations;
- Making investments in Apollo funds;
- Meeting financing needs through credit agreements; and
- Distributing cash flow to equity holders and Non-Controlling Interests.

Primary cash flow activities of the consolidated Apollo funds are:

- Raising capital from their investors, which have been reflected historically as Non-Controlling Interests of the consolidated subsidiaries in our financial statements;
- Using capital to make investments;
- Generating cash flow from operations through distributions, interest and the realization of investments; and
- Distributing cash flow to investors.

While primarily met by cash flows generated through fee income and carried interest income received, working capital needs have also been met (to a limited extent) through borrowings as follows:

	As of September 30, 2014			As of December 31, 2013		
	Outstanding Balance	Annualized Weighted Average Interest Rate		Outstanding Balance	Annualized Weighted Average Interest Rate	
2013 AMH Credit Facilities - Term Facility	\$ 500,000	1.36	%	\$ 750,000	1.37	%
2024 Senior Notes ⁽¹⁾	499,033	4.00		—	—	
2014 AMI Term Facility ⁽²⁾	16,919	2.34		—	—	
Total Debt	\$ 1,015,952	2.06	%	\$ 750,000	1.37	%

(1) Includes impact of any amortization of note discount and interest rate hedge.

(2) On July 3, 2014, Apollo Management International LLP (“AMI”), a subsidiary of the Company, entered into a €13.4 million five year credit agreement (the “2014 AMI Term Facility”). Proceeds from the borrowing were used to fund the Company's investment in a CLO.

Additionally the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities provide for a \$500 million revolving credit facility, which was undrawn as of September 30, 2014. See note 9 of our condensed consolidated financial statements for information regarding the Company's debt arrangements.

We determine whether to make capital commitments to our funds in excess of our minimum required amounts based on a variety of factors, including estimates regarding our liquidity resources over the estimated time period during which commitments will have to be funded, estimates regarding the amounts of capital that may be appropriate for other funds that we are in the process of raising or are considering raising, and our general working capital requirements.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows

Significant amounts from our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 are summarized and discussed within the table and corresponding commentary below:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
	(in thousands)	
Operating Activities	\$ (288,112) \$ 1,004,592
Investing Activities	7,355	9,733
Financing Activities	614,203	(822,954
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 333,446) \$ 191,371

Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities was \$288.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. During this period, there was \$644.2 million in net income, to which \$101.7 million of equity-based compensation and \$50.4 million cash distributions of earnings from equity method investments were added to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities. Additional adjustments to reconcile cash provided by operating activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 included \$6,985.8 million in proceeds from sales of investments held by consolidated VIEs, a \$854.8 million decrease in carried interest receivable, a \$368.8 million increase in other liabilities of Apollo funds, a \$193.3 million decrease in cash held at consolidated VIEs and a \$54.7 million increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses. These favorable cash adjustments were offset by \$8,734.7 million of purchases of investments held by the consolidated VIEs, a \$28.7 million increase in other assets, a \$171.4 million increase in due from affiliates, a \$287.6 million increase in other assets of Apollo funds, \$42.7 million in net unrealized gains from investments held by the consolidated funds and VIEs, a \$205.4 million decrease in profit sharing payable, and \$58.1 million of income from equity method investments.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$1,004.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. During this period, there was \$1,643.8 million in net income. Additional adjustments to reconcile cash provided by operating activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 included \$109.6 million of equity-based compensation, a \$172.8 million increase in profit sharing payable, \$77.6 million relating to cash distributions of earnings from equity method investments, \$203.4 million of unrealized losses on debt of the consolidated VIEs and \$6,867.8 million in proceeds from sales of investments primarily held by the consolidated VIEs. These favorable cash adjustments were offset by a \$453.8 million increase in carried interest receivable, \$7,968.8 million of net purchases of investments held by the consolidated VIEs, a \$297.0 million increase in other assets of the consolidated VIEs and \$139.6 net realized gain on debt.

Investing Activities

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$7.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, which was primarily comprised of \$48.0 million of cash distributions received from equity method investments, \$50.0 million proceeds from sale of investments, primarily offset by \$88.1 million of cash contributions to equity method investments. Additional adjustments to reconcile cash provided by investing activities were \$4.3 million of purchases of fixed assets. Cash contributions to equity method investments were primarily related to Fund VII, Fund VIII, COF III, EPF II, AESI, ACSP and AION. Cash distributions from equity method investments were primarily related to Fund VII, AESI, COF I, COF II, EPFI, EPF II and AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$9.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, which was primarily comprised of \$79.2 million relating cash distributions received from equity method investments offset by \$64.2 million of cash contributions to equity method investments. Cash contributions to equity method investments were primarily related to Fund VII, COF III, Franklin Fund, EPF I, AESI, ACSP, AION and EPF II. Cash distributions from equity method investments were primarily related to Fund VII, Fund VI, COF I, COF II, Vantium C, AESI and EPF II.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$614.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, which was primarily comprised of \$3,704.9 million related to issuance of debt by consolidated VIEs, \$515.9 million of issuance of debt by AMH, and \$655.0 million in contributions from Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated VIEs. This amount was offset by \$2,035.7 million in repayment of debt held by consolidated VIEs, \$32.0 million related to satisfaction of tax receivable agreements,

- 136-

Table of Contents

\$250 million principal repayments of debt, \$628.6 million of distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group, \$380.5 million in distributions, \$30.7 million in satisfaction of contingent obligations, \$570.7 million in distributions paid to consolidated VIEs and \$332.8 million of distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated VIEs.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$823.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, which was primarily comprised of \$2,095.7 million of debt issued by consolidated VIEs and \$489.3 million of contributions from Non-Controlling Interest in consolidated VIEs offset by \$139.1 million of distributions paid by consolidated VIEs, \$1,850.4 million in repayment of debt held by consolidated VIEs, \$744.2 million of distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group, \$83.9 million of distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated VIEs, \$428.6 million in distributions paid, \$62.3 million related to the purchase of AAA units, and \$80.7 million related to employee tax withholding payments in connection with deliveries of Class A shares in settlement of RSUs.

Distributions

In addition to other distributions such as payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement, the table below presents information regarding the quarterly distributions which were made at the sole discretion of the Company's manager during 2013 and 2014 (in millions, except per share amounts):

Distribution Declaration Date	Distribution per Class A Share Amount	Distribution Payment Date	Distribution to Class A Shareholders	Distribution to Non-Controlling Interest Holders in the Apollo Operating Group	Total Distributions from Apollo Operating Group	Distribution Equivalents on Participating Securities
February 8, 2013	\$ 1.05	February 28, 2013	\$ 138.7	\$ 252.0	\$ 390.7	\$ 25.0
April 12, 2013	—	April 12, 2013	—	55.2	55.2	—
May 6, 2013	0.57	May 30, 2013	80.8	131.8	212.6	14.3
August 8, 2013	1.32	August 30, 2013	189.7	305.2	494.9	30.8
November 7, 2013	1.01	November 29, 2013	147.7	231.2	378.9	24.1
For the year ended December 31, 2013	\$ 3.95		\$ 556.9	\$ 975.4	\$ 1,532.3	\$ 94.2
February 7, 2014	\$ 1.08	February 26, 2014	\$ 160.9	\$ 247.3	\$ 408.2	\$ 25.5
April 3, 2014	—	April 3, 2014	—	49.5	49.5	—
May 8, 2014	0.84	May 30, 2014	130.0	188.4	318.4	20.9
June 16, 2014	—	June 16, 2014	—	28.5	28.5	—
August 6, 2014	0.46	August 29, 2014	73.6	102.5	176.1	10.2
September 11, 2014	\$—	September 11, 2014	\$—	\$ 12.4	\$ 12.4	\$—
For the nine months ended September 30, 2014	\$ 2.38		\$ 364.5	\$ 628.6	\$ 993.1	\$ 56.6

(1) On April 12, 2013, April 3, 2014, June 16, 2014 and September 11, 2014, the Company made a \$0.23, \$0.22, \$0.13 and \$0.06 distribution, respectively, to the non-controlling interest holders in the Apollo Operating Group.

Future Cash Flows

Our ability to execute our business strategy, particularly our ability to increase our AUM, depends on our ability to establish new funds and to raise additional investor capital within such funds. Our liquidity will depend on a number of factors, such as our ability to project our financial performance, which is highly dependent on our funds and our

ability to manage our projected costs, fund performance, having access to credit facilities, being in compliance with existing credit agreements, as well as industry and market trends. Also during economic downturns the funds we manage might experience cash flow issues or liquidate entirely. In these situations we might be asked to reduce or eliminate the management fee and incentive fees we charge, which could adversely impact our cash flow in the future. An increase in the fair value of our funds' investments, by contrast, could favorably impact our liquidity through higher management fees where the management fees are calculated based on the net asset value, gross assets and adjusted assets.

- 137-

Table of Contents

Additionally, higher carried interest income not yet realized would generally result when investments appreciate over their cost basis which would not have an impact on the Company's cash flow.

As of September 30, 2014, Fund VI's remaining investments were valued at 108% of their remaining cost, which was below a specified return ratio of 115%. As a result, Fund VI is required to place in escrow all future carried interest income distributions to the general partner until the specified return ratio of 115% is met (at time of a future distribution) or upon liquidation of Fund VI.

On April 20, 2010, the Company announced that it entered into a strategic relationship agreement with CalPERS. The strategic relationship agreement provides that Apollo will reduce fees charged to CalPERS on funds it manages, or in the future will manage, solely for CalPERS by \$125 million over a five-year period or as close a period as required to provide CalPERS with that benefit. The agreement further provides that Apollo will not use a placement agent in connection with securing any future capital commitments from CalPERS. As of September 30, 2014, the Company had reduced fees charged to CalPERS on the funds it manages by approximately \$94.5 million.

The Company granted approximately 4.1 million RSUs during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The average estimated fair value per share on the grant date was \$20.99, per RSU with a total fair value of the grants of \$85.8 million at September 30, 2014. This will impact the Company's compensation expense as these grants are amortized over their vesting term of three to six years. The Company expects to incur annual compensation expenses on all grants, net of forfeitures, of approximately \$16.2 million, \$46.2 million, \$34.0 million, \$14.5 million, \$12.6 million and \$12.3 million during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019 and thereafter, respectively.

Although we expect to pay distributions according to our distribution policy, we may not pay distributions according to our policy, or at all, if, among other things, we do not have the cash necessary to pay the intended distributions. To the extent we do not have cash on hand sufficient to pay distributions, we may have to borrow funds to pay distributions, or we may determine not to pay distributions. The declaration, payment and determination of the amount of our quarterly distributions are at the sole discretion of our manager.

Carried interest income from our funds can be distributed to us on a current basis, but is subject to repayment by the subsidiaries of the Apollo Operating Group that act as general partner of such funds in the event that certain specified return thresholds are not ultimately achieved. The Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain other investment professionals have personally guaranteed, to the extent of their ownership interest, subject to certain limitations, the obligations of these subsidiaries in respect of this general partner obligation. Such guarantees are several and not joint and are limited to a particular Managing Partner's or Contributing Partner's distributions. Pursuant to the Shareholders Agreement dated July 13, 2007 (the "Shareholders Agreement"), we agreed to indemnify each of our Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners against all amounts that they pay pursuant to any of these personal guarantees in favor of Fund IV, Fund V and Fund VI (including costs and expenses related to investigating the basis for or objecting to any claims made in respect of the guarantees) for all interests that our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners have contributed or sold to the Apollo Operating Group.

Accordingly, in the event that our Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain investment professionals are required to pay amounts in connection with a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to Fund IV, Fund V and Fund VI, we will be obligated to reimburse our Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners for the indemnifiable percentage of amounts that they are required to pay even though we did not receive the distribution to which that general partner obligation related.

On October 9, 2014, the Company issued 711,805 Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs. This issuance caused the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group to increase from 41.8% to 42.3%.

On October 30, 2014 the Company declared a cash distribution of \$0.73 per Class A share, which will be paid on November 21, 2014 to holders of record on November 14, 2014.

Athene

Athene Holding is the ultimate parent of various insurance company operating subsidiaries. Through its subsidiaries, Athene Holding provides insurance products focused primarily on the retirement market and its business centers primarily on issuing or reinsuring fixed indexed annuities.

Apollo, through its consolidated subsidiary, Athene Asset Management, provides asset management services to Athene, including asset allocation and portfolio management strategies, and receives fees from Athene for providing such services. As of September 30, 2014, all of Athene's assets were managed by Athene Asset Management. Athene Asset Management had \$60.1

- 138-

Table of Contents

billion of total AUM as of September 30, 2014 in accounts owned by or related to Athene (the "Athene Accounts"), of which approximately \$11.8 billion, or approximately 19.6%, was either sub-advised by Apollo or invested in Apollo funds and investment vehicles. The vast majority of such assets are in sub-advisory managed accounts that manage high grade credit asset classes, such as CLO debt, commercial mortgage backed securities and insurance-linked securities. We expect this percentage to increase over time provided that Athene Asset Management continues to perform successfully in providing asset management services to Athene.

Athene Asset Management receives a management fee equal to 0.40% per annum on all assets under management in the Athene Accounts, with certain limited exceptions. In addition, the Company receives sub-advisory management fees and carried interest income with respect to a portion of the assets in the Athene Accounts. With respect to capital invested in an Apollo fund, Apollo receives management fees directly from the relevant funds under the investment management agreements with such funds. Athene Asset Management and other Apollo subsidiaries incur all expenses associated with their provision of services to Athene, including but not limited to, asset allocation services, direct asset management services, risk management, asset and liability matching management, mergers and acquisitions asset diligence, hedging and other services.

Under a transaction advisory services agreement with Athene (the "Athene Services Agreement"), effective February 5, 2013, Apollo earns a quarterly monitoring fee of 0.50% of Athene's capital and surplus as of the end of the applicable quarter multiplied by 2.5, excluding the shares of Athene Holding that were newly acquired (and not in satisfaction of prior commitments to buy such shares) by AAA Investments in the contribution of certain assets by AAA to Athene in October 2012, at the end of each quarter through December 31, 2014, the termination date. This quarterly monitoring fee is not applicable to the amount of invested capital attributable to the Excluded Athene Shares. The Athene Services Agreement was amended in connection with the Athene Private Placement described below (the "Amended Athene Services Agreement"). The Amended Athene Services Agreement adjusts the calculation of Athene Holding's capital and surplus downward by an amount equal to (x) the equity capital raised in the Athene Private Placement and (y) certain disproportionate increases to the statutory capital and surplus of Athene, as compared to the stockholders' equity of Athene calculated on a U.S. GAAP basis, as a result of certain future acquisitions by Athene. Prior to the consummation of the Athene Private Placement, all such monitoring fees were paid pursuant to a derivative contract between Athene and Apollo (the "Athene Services Derivative"). In connection with the Athene Private Placement, the Athene Services Derivative was settled on April 29, 2014 by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding, and as a result, such derivative was terminated. Following settlement of the Athene Services Derivative, future monitoring fees paid to Apollo pursuant to the Amended Athene Services Agreement, will be paid on a quarterly basis in arrears by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Unsettled monitoring fees pursuant to the Amended Athene Services Agreement are recorded as due from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, Apollo earned \$57.7 million and \$167.8 million, respectively, related to this monitoring fee. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, Apollo earned \$22.2 million and \$62.9 million, respectively, related to this monitoring fee. The monitoring fee is recorded in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of September 30, 2014, Apollo had a \$55.6 million receivable recorded in due from affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As of December 31, 2013, Apollo had a \$116.4 million receivable, which was accounted for as a derivative recorded in due from affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

In accordance with the services agreement among AAA, AAA Investments and the other service recipients party thereto and Apollo (the "AAA Services Agreement"), Apollo receives a management fee for managing the assets of AAA Investments. In connection with each of the contribution of certain assets by AAA to Athene in October 2012, and the initial closing of the Athene Private Placement on April 4, 2014, the AAA Services Agreement was amended (the "Amended AAA Services Agreement"). Pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement, the parties agreed that there will be no management fees payable by AAA Investments with respect to the Excluded Athene Shares. AAA Investments will continue to pay Apollo the same management fee on its investment in Athene (other than with respect to the Excluded Athene Shares), except that Apollo agreed that the obligation to pay the existing management

fee shall terminate on December 31, 2014 (although services will continue through December 31, 2020). Prior to the consummation of the Athene Private Placement, all such management fees were accrued pursuant to a derivative contract between AAA Investments and Apollo (the "AAA Services Derivative"). In connection with the Athene Private Placement, the AAA Services Derivative was settled on April 29, 2014 by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding, and as a result, such derivative was terminated. Following settlement of the AAA Services Derivative, future management fees paid to Apollo pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement will be paid on a quarterly basis in arrears by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act). Unsettled management fees pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement will be recorded as due from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As of September 30, 2014, Apollo had a \$0.3 million receivable recorded in due from affiliates related to this management fee on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As of December 31, 2013, Apollo had a \$14.3 million receivable related to this management fee, which was accounted for as a derivative recorded in due from affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The total management fees earned by Apollo related to the Amended AAA Services Agreement for the three and nine months ended

- 139-

Table of Contents

September 30, 2014 were \$0.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, which are recorded in management fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The total management fees earned by Apollo related to the Amended AAA Services Agreement for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 were \$0.5 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, which is recorded in management fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement, in the event that AAA (1) makes a tender offer to all of its qualified unitholders in which AAA offers to purchase all of their equity interests in AAA, pay the consideration for such purchase with equivalent equity interests in a new vehicle, of which Apollo will serve as general partner, and transfer to such new investment vehicle a pro rata portion of the common shares of Athene Holding held by AAA Investments, unburdened by the unwind fee, and (2) thereafter distributes all or any portion of the common shares of Athene Holding held by AAA (or disposes of such shares and distributes the proceeds thereof) to its unitholders, then AAA shall pay Apollo an unwind fee. The unwind fee is payable in pro rata increments to Apollo only when, as and if AAA distributes common shares of Athene Holding (or the proceeds thereof) to its unitholders and shall be equal to \$20 million multiplied by the percentage of "net common shares" of Athene Holding held by AAA which are so distributed (or disposed of with the proceeds distributed) by AAA in 2015.

Prior to the settlement of the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivatives, the Amended Athene Services Agreement and the Amended AAA Services Agreement together with the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivative, met the definition of derivatives under U.S. GAAP. The Company had classified these derivatives as Level III assets in the fair value hierarchy, as the pricing inputs into the determination of fair value require significant judgment and estimation. After the settlement of the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivatives the unsettled shares receivable recorded in due from affiliates related to the Amended Athene Services Agreement and the Amended AAA Services Agreement are valued at fair value based on the price of a common share of Athene Holding. The Company had classified the derivative and the shares receivable as Level III assets in the fair value hierarchy, as the pricing inputs into the determination of fair value require significant judgment and estimation. See note 5 for further discussion regarding fair value measurements.

Prior to the settlement of the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivative, the change in unrealized market value of the derivatives was reflected in other income, net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, there were \$0.0 million and \$14.0 million of changes in market value recognized related to these derivatives, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, there were \$2.9 million and \$3.2 million of changes in market value recognized related to these derivatives, respectively.

In addition, Apollo, as general partner of AAA Investments, is generally entitled to a carried interest that allocates to it 20% of the realized returns (net of related expenses, including borrowing costs) on the investments of AAA Investments, except that Apollo will not be entitled to receive any carried interest in respect of the Excluded Athene Shares. Carried interest receivable from AAA Investments will be paid in common shares of Athene Holding (valued at the then fair market value) if there is a distribution in kind of shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act) or paid in cash if AAA sells the shares of Athene Holding. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recorded carried interest income less the related profit sharing expense of \$(0.1) million and \$14.5 million, from AAA Investments, which is recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded carried interest income less the related profit sharing expense of \$5.4 million and \$12.7 million, respectively, from AAA Investments, which is recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had a \$121.4 million and a \$100.9 million carried interest receivable, respectively, related to AAA Investments. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had a related profit sharing payable of \$34.8 million and \$28.8 million, respectively, recorded in profit sharing payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, Apollo earned revenues in the aggregate totaling \$140.7 million and \$408.1 million, respectively, consisting of management fees, sub-advisory and monitoring fees and carried interest income from Athene after considering the related profit sharing expense and changes in the market

value of the Athene shares owned directly by Apollo, which is recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, Apollo earned revenues in the aggregate totaling \$131.6 million and \$250.2 million, respectively, consisting of management fees, sub-advisory and monitoring fees and carried interest income from Athene after considering the related profit sharing expense and changes in the market value of the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivative discussed above, which is recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

On April 4, 2014, Athene Holding completed an initial closing of a private placement offering of common equity in which it raised \$1.048 billion of primary commitments from third-party institutional and certain existing investors in Athene Holding (the "Athene Private Placement"). Shares in the Athene Private Placement were offered at a price per common share of Athene Holding of \$26. In connection with the Athene Private Placement, Athene raised an additional \$80 million of third party capital at \$26 per share, all of which was used to buy back a portion of the shares of one of its existing investors at a price of \$26

- 140-

Table of Contents

per share in a transaction that was consummated on April 29, 2014. As announced by AAA on June 24, 2014, a second closing of the Athene Private Placement occurred in which Athene Holding raised \$170 million of commitments primarily from employees of Athene and its affiliates at a price per common share of Athene Holding of \$26. The Athene Private Placement offering was concluded during the second quarter with the exception of the final closing on approximately \$60 million of additional commitments from affiliates of Athene which are expected to close during 2014.

In connection with the Athene Private Placement, Athene Holding amended its registration rights agreement to provide (i) investors who are party to such agreement, including AAA Investments, the potential opportunity for liquidity on their shares of Athene Holding through sales in registered public offerings over a 15 month period beginning on the date of Athene Holding's initial public offering (the "Athene IPO") and (ii) Athene Holding the right to cause certain investors who are party to the registration rights agreement to include in such offerings a certain percentage of their common shares of Athene Holding subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the agreement. However, pursuant to the registration rights agreement, any shares of Athene Holding held by Apollo will not be subject to such arrangements and instead will be subject to a lock-up period of two years following the effective date of the registration statement relating to the Athene IPO, but Athene Holding will not have the right to cause any shares owned by Apollo to be included in the Athene IPO or any follow-on offering.

As part of its ongoing financial integration of Aviva USA, Athene identified material weaknesses in its internal controls over financial reporting for its GAAP and statutory financials as of December 31, 2013. A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. If Athene fails to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting, it may not be able to accurately report its financial results. Athene has informed its shareholders, including the Company, that as part of its ongoing financial integration of Aviva USA and transition towards public company standards for financial controls, it anticipates that delivery of its GAAP financial statements for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 will continue to be delayed and September 30, 2014 will be delayed. This delay will most likely also cause Athene's year end 2014 and first quarter 2015 GAAP financial statements to be delayed. As such, the Audit Committee of Athene has approved the extension of the delivery of these GAAP financial statements to June 30, 2015. Athene has continued to meet all regulatory filing deadlines with regard to financial statements prepared in accordance with Statutory Accounting principles and expects to do so for the quarter ended September 30, 2014. For the quarter ended September 30, 2014, the Company determined the value of its investment in Athene using an embedded value methodology. In doing so, the Company has given appropriate consideration to the control deficiencies and potential adjustments related to Athene and any potential impacts to the Company's financial statements. As the embedded value methodology is based on the projected future cash flows of the business rather than GAAP financials, the delay in the delivery of Athene's GAAP financial statement will not have an impact on the Company's ability to prepare its financial statements. Based on the facts and circumstances as of the date of this report, the Company is not aware of any revisions to the financial statements as presented, or previously issued financial statements, and there is no impact to our ability to produce future financial statements.

See notes 3 and 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for discussion regarding the Company's ownership interest in AAA, AAA Investments and Athene.

Distributions to Managing Partners and Contributing Partners

The three Managing Partners who became employees of Apollo on July 13, 2007 are each entitled to a \$100,000 base salary. Additionally, our Managing Partners can receive other forms of compensation. Any additional consideration will be paid to them in their proportional ownership interest in Holdings. Additionally, 85% of any tax savings APO Corp. recognizes as a result of the tax receivable agreement will be paid to the Managing Partners.

Subsequent to the 2007 Reorganization, the Contributing Partners retained ownership interests in subsidiaries of the Apollo Operating Group. Therefore, any distributions that flow up to management or general partner entities in which the Contributing Partners retained ownership interests are shared pro rata with the Contributing Partners who have a direct interest in such entities prior to flowing up to the Apollo Operating Group. These distributions are considered compensation expense after the 2007 Reorganization.

The Contributing Partners are entitled to receive the following:

Profit Sharing related to private equity carried interest income, from direct ownership of advisory entities. Any changes in fair value of the underlying fund investments would result in changes to Apollo Global Management, LLC's profit sharing payable;

Additional consideration based on their proportional ownership interest in Holdings; and

- 141-

Table of Contents

Additionally, 85% of any tax savings APO Corp. recognizes as a result of the tax receivable agreement will be paid to the Contributing Partners.

Potential Future Costs

We may make grants of RSUs or other equity-based awards to employees and independent directors that we appoint in the future.

Critical Accounting Policies

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is based upon the condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires the use of estimates and assumptions that could affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from these estimates. A summary of our significant accounting policies is presented in note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. The following is a summary of our accounting policies that are affected most by judgments, estimates and assumptions.

Consolidation

The types of entities with which Apollo is involved generally include subsidiaries (i.e., general partners and management companies related to the funds we manage), entities that have all the attributes of an investment company (e.g., funds) and securitization vehicles (e.g., collateralized loan obligations). Each of these entities is assessed for consolidation on a case by case basis depending on the specific facts and circumstances surrounding that entity. Pursuant to our consolidation policy, we first consider the appropriate consolidation guidance to apply including consideration of whether the entity qualifies for certain scope exceptions and whether the entity should be evaluated under either the previous rules on consolidation of variable interest entities ("VIEs") or the amended consolidation rules depending on whether or not the entity qualifies for the deferral as further described below. We then perform an assessment to determine whether that entity qualifies as a VIE. An entity in which Apollo holds a variable interest is a VIE if any one of the following conditions exist: (a) the total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the legal entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, (b) the holders of equity investment at risk (as a group) lack either the direct or indirect ability through voting rights or similar rights to make decisions about a legal entity's activities that have a significant effect on the success of the legal entity or the obligation to absorb the expected losses or right to receive the expected residual returns, or (c) the voting rights of some investors are disproportionate to their obligation to absorb the expected losses of the legal entity, their rights to receive the expected residual returns of the legal entity, or both and substantially all of the legal entity's activities either involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor with disproportionately few voting rights. Entities that do not qualify as VIEs are generally assessed for consolidation as voting interest entities ("VOEs") under the voting interest model.

Under the voting interest model, Apollo consolidates those entities it controls through a majority voting interest or through other means, including those VOEs in which the general partner is presumed to have control. Apollo does not consolidate those VOEs in which the presumption of control by the general partner has been overcome through either the granting of substantive rights to the unaffiliated investors to either dissolve the fund or remove the general partner ("kick-out rights") or the granting of substantive participating rights.

As previously indicated, the consolidation assessment, including the determination as to whether an entity qualifies as a VIE depends on the facts and circumstances surrounding each entity and therefore certain of our funds may qualify as VIEs whereas others may qualify as VOEs. The granting of substantive kick-out rights is a key consideration in determining whether an entity is a VIE and whether or not that entity should be consolidated. For example, when the unaffiliated holders of equity investment at risk of a fund with sufficient equity to permit the fund to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support are not granted substantive kick-out rights and the Company is not part of the group of holders of equity investment at risk, the fund is generally determined to be a VIE, as the holders of equity investment at risk as a group lack the direct or indirect ability through voting rights or similar rights to make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the legal entity. Alternatively, when the unaffiliated holders of equity investment at risk are granted substantive kick-out rights, the fund is generally

determined to be a VOE. However, in certain cases where the Company holds a substantive equity investment at risk in the fund, the fund may be determined to be a VOE even though substantive kick-out rights were not granted to the unaffiliated holders of equity investment at risk. In these cases, the Company is part of the group of holders of equity investment at risk and therefore the holders of equity investment at risk as a group do not lack the direct or indirect ability through voting rights or similar rights to make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the legal entity.

- 142-

Table of Contents

If the entity is determined to be a VIE under the conditions above, we then assess whether the entity should be consolidated by applying either the previous consolidation rules or the amended consolidation rules depending on whether the entity qualifies for the deferral of the amended consolidation rules as further described below.

VIEs that qualify for the deferral of the amended consolidation rules because certain conditions are met, including if the entities have all the attributes of an investment company and are not securitization or asset-backed financing entities, will continue to apply the previous consolidation rules. VIEs that are securitization or asset-backed financing entities will apply the amended consolidation rules. Under both sets of rules, VIEs for which Apollo is determined to be the primary beneficiary are consolidated.

With respect to VIEs such as our funds that qualify for the deferral of the amended consolidation rules and therefore apply the previous consolidation rules, Apollo is determined to be the primary beneficiary if its involvement, through holding interests directly or indirectly in the VIE or contractually through other variable interests (e.g., carried interest and management fees), would be expected to absorb a majority of the VIE's expected losses, receive a majority of the VIE's expected residual returns, or both. In cases where two or more Apollo related parties hold a variable interest in a VIE, and the aggregate variable interest held by those parties would, if held by a single party, identify that party as the primary beneficiary, then the Company is determined to be the primary beneficiary to the extent it is the party within the related party group that is most closely associated with the VIE.

For VIEs such as our CLOs that apply the amended consolidation rules, Apollo is determined to be the primary beneficiary if it holds a controlling financial interest defined as possessing both (a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (b) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. CLOs are generally determined to be VIEs if they are formed solely to issue collateralized notes in the legal form of debt and therefore do not have sufficient total equity investment at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. With respect to such CLOs, we generally possess a controlling financial interest in, and therefore consolidate, such CLOs in accordance with the amended consolidation rules when our role as collateral manager provides us with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the CLO's economic performance and we have the right to receive certain benefits from the CLO (e.g., incentive fees) that could potentially be significant to the CLO.

Under the previous and the amended consolidation rules, Apollo determines whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE at the time it becomes initially involved with the VIE and reconsiders that conclusion continuously. Investments and redemptions (either by Apollo, affiliates of Apollo or third parties) or amendments to the governing documents of the respective entity may affect an entity's status as a VIE or the determination of the primary beneficiary.

The assessment of whether an entity is a VIE and the determination of whether Apollo should consolidate such VIE requires judgments. Under both sets of rules, those judgments include, but are not limited to: (i) determining whether the total equity investment at risk is sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, (ii) evaluating whether the holders of equity investment at risk, as a group, can make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the entity, (iii) determining whether two or more parties' equity interests should be aggregated, (iv) determining whether the equity investors have proportionate voting rights to their obligations to absorb losses or rights to receive the expected residual returns of an entity, and (v) evaluating the nature of the relationship and activities of the parties involved in determining which party within a related-party group is most closely associated with the VIE. Where the VIEs have qualified for the deferral, judgments are also made in estimating cash flows to evaluate which member within the equity group absorbs a majority of the expected losses or residual returns of the VIE. Where the VIEs have not qualified for the deferral, judgments are also made in determining whether a member in the equity group has a controlling financial interest including power to direct activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and rights to receive benefits or obligations to absorb losses that could be potentially significant to the VIE.

Certain of the consolidated VIEs were formed to issue collateralized notes in the legal form of debt backed by financial assets. The difference between the fair value of the assets and liabilities of these VIEs is presented within appropriated partners' capital in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as these VIEs are funded solely with debt. Changes in the fair value of the assets and liabilities of these VIEs and the related interest and other

income is presented within net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities and net (income) loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Such amounts are recorded within appropriated partners' capital as, in each case, the VIE's note holders, not Apollo, will ultimately receive the benefits or absorb the losses associated with the VIE's assets and liabilities. Assets and liability amounts of the consolidated VIEs are shown in separate sections within the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

- 143-

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

Carried Interest Income from Affiliates. We earn carried interest income from our funds as a result of such funds achieving specified performance criteria. Such carried interest income generally is earned based upon a fixed percentage of realized and unrealized gains of various funds after meeting any applicable hurdle rate or threshold minimum. Carried interest income from certain of the funds that we manage is subject to contingent repayment and is generally paid to us as particular investments made by the funds are realized. If, however, upon liquidation of a fund, the aggregate amount paid to us as carried interest exceeds the amount actually due to us based upon the aggregate performance of the fund, the excess (in certain cases net of taxes) is required to be returned by us to that fund. For a majority of our credit funds, once the annual carried interest income has been determined, there generally is no look-back to prior periods for a potential contingent repayment, however, carried interest income on certain other credit funds can be subject to contingent repayment at the end of the life of the fund. We have elected to adopt Method 2 from U.S. GAAP guidance applicable to accounting for management fees based on a formula, and under this method, we accrue carried interest income quarterly based on fair value of the underlying investments and separately assess if contingent repayment is necessary. The determination of carried interest income and contingent repayment considers both the terms of the respective partnership agreements and the current fair value of the underlying investments within the funds. Estimates and assumptions are made when determining the fair value of the underlying investments within the funds and could vary depending on the valuation methodology that is used. See “Investments, at Fair Value” below for further discussion related to significant estimates and assumptions used for determining fair value of the underlying investments in our private equity, credit and real estate funds.

Management Fees from Affiliates. The management fees related to our private equity funds are generally based on a fixed percentage of the committed capital or invested capital. The corresponding fee calculations that consider committed capital or invested capital are both objective in nature and therefore do not require the use of significant estimates or assumptions. Management fees related to our credit funds, by contrast, can be based on net asset value, gross assets, adjusted cost of all unrealized portfolio investments, capital commitments, adjusted assets, capital contributions, or stockholders' equity all as defined in the respective partnership agreements. The credit management fee calculations that consider net asset value, gross assets, adjusted cost of all unrealized portfolio investments and adjusted assets, are normally based on the terms of the respective partnership agreements and the current fair value of the underlying investments within the funds. Estimates and assumptions are made when determining the fair value of the underlying investments within the funds and could vary depending on the valuation methodology that is used. The management fees related to our real estate funds are generally based on a specific percentage of the funds' stockholders' equity or committed or net invested capital or the capital accounts of the limited partners. See “Investments, at Fair Value” below for further discussion related to significant estimates and assumptions used for determining fair value of the underlying investments in our private equity, credit and real estate funds.

Investments, at Fair Value

The Company follows U.S. GAAP attributable to fair value measurements, which among other things, requires enhanced disclosures about investments that are measured and reported at fair value. Investments at fair value represent investments of the consolidated funds, investments of the consolidated VIEs and certain financial instruments for which the fair value option was elected. The unrealized gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair value are reflected as net gains (losses) from investment activities and net gains (losses) from investment activities of the consolidated variable interest entities, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, investments measured and reported at fair value are classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

Level I—Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical investments as of the reporting date. The type of investments included in Level I include listed equities and listed derivatives. As required by U.S. GAAP, the Company does not adjust the quoted price for these investments, even in situations where the Company holds a large position and the sale of such position would likely deviate from the quoted price.

Level II—Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date, and fair value is determined through the use of models or other valuation methodologies.

Investments that are generally included in this category include corporate bonds and loans, less liquid and restricted

equity securities and certain over-the-counter derivatives where the fair value is based on observable inputs. These investments exhibit higher levels of liquid market observability as compared to Level III investments. The Company subjects broker quotes to various criteria in making the determination as to whether a particular investment would qualify for treatment as a Level II investment. These criteria include, but are not limited to, the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes, and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

- 144-

Table of Contents

Level III—Pricing inputs are unobservable for the investment and includes situations where there is little observable market activity for the investment. The inputs into the determination of fair value may require significant management judgment or estimation. Investments that are included in this category generally include general and limited partner interests in corporate private equity and real estate funds, opportunistic credit funds, distressed debt and non-investment grade residual interests in securitizations and CDOs and CLOs where the fair value is based on observable inputs as well as unobservable inputs. When a security is valued based on broker quotes, the Company subjects those quotes to various criteria in making the determination as to whether a particular investment would qualify for treatment as a Level II or Level III investment. Some of the factors we consider include the number of broker quotes we obtain, the quality of the broker quotes, the standard deviations of the observed broker quotes and the corroboration of the broker quotes to independent pricing services.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the investment where the fair value is based on unobservable inputs.

In cases where an investment or financial instrument measured and reported at fair value is transferred into or out of Level III of the fair value hierarchy, the Company accounts for the transfer as of the end of the reporting period.

Equity Method Investments. For investments in entities over which the Company exercises significant influence but which do not meet the requirements for consolidation, the Company uses the equity method of accounting, whereby the Company records its share of the underlying income or loss of such entities. Income (loss) from equity method investments is recognized as part of other income (loss) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and income (loss) on available-for-sale securities (from equity method investments) is recognized as part of other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss).

The carrying amounts of equity method investments are reflected in investments in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As the underlying entities that the Company manages and invests in are, for U.S. GAAP purposes, primarily investment companies which reflect their investments at estimated fair value, the carrying value of the Company's equity method investments in such entities approximates fair value.

Private Equity Investments. The majority of the illiquid investments within our private equity funds are valued using the market approach, which provides an indication of fair value based on a comparison of the subject company to comparable publicly traded companies and transactions in the industry.

Market Approach. The market approach is driven by current market conditions, including actual trading levels of similar companies and, to the extent available, actual transaction data of similar companies. Judgment is required by management when assessing which companies are similar to the subject company being valued. Consideration may also be given to any of the following factors: (1) the subject company's historical and projected financial data; (2) valuations given to comparable companies; (3) the size and scope of the subject company's operations; (4) the subject company's individual strengths and weaknesses; (5) expectations relating to the market's receptivity to an offering of the subject company's securities; (6) applicable restrictions on transfer; (7) industry and market information; (8) general economic and market conditions; and (9) other factors deemed relevant. Market approach valuation models typically employ a multiple that is based on one or more of the factors described above. Sources for gaining additional knowledge related to comparable companies include public filings, annual reports, analyst research reports, and press releases. Once a comparable company set is determined, we review certain aspects of the subject company's performance and determine how its performance compares to the group and to certain individuals in the group. We compare certain measurements such as EBITDA margins, revenue growth over certain time periods, leverage ratios, and growth opportunities. In addition, we compare our entry multiple and its relation to the comparable set at the time of acquisition to understand its relation to the comparable set on each measurement date.

Income Approach. For investments where the market approach does not provide adequate fair value information, we rely on the income approach. The income approach is also used to value investments or validate the market approach within our private equity funds. The income approach provides an indication of fair value based on the present value of cash flows that a business or security is expected to generate in the future. The most widely used methodology for

the income approach is a discounted cash flow method. Inherent in the discounted cash flow method are significant assumptions related to the subject company's expected results and a calculated discount rate, which is normally based on the subject company's weighted average cost of capital, or "WACC." The WACC represents the required rate of return on total capitalization, which is comprised of a required rate of return on equity, plus the current tax-effected rate of return on debt, weighted by the relative percentages of equity and debt that are typical in the industry. The most critical step in determining the appropriate WACC for each subject company is to select companies that are comparable in nature to the subject company and the credit quality of the subject company. Sources for gaining additional knowledge about the comparable companies include public filings, annual reports, analyst research reports, and press releases. The general formula then used for calculating the WACC considers the after-tax rate of return on debt capital and the

- 145-

Table of Contents

rate of return on common equity capital, which further considers the risk-free rate of return, market beta, market risk premium and small stock premium, if applicable. The variables used in the WACC formula are inferred from the comparable market data obtained. The Company evaluates the comparable companies selected and concludes on WACC inputs based on the most comparable company or analyzes the range of data for the investment.

The value of liquid investments, where the primary market is an exchange (whether foreign or domestic) is determined using period end market prices. Such prices are generally based on the close price on the date of determination.

On a quarterly basis, Apollo utilizes a valuation committee consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to our funds' private equity investments. Management also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analysis. However, because of the inherent uncertainty of valuation, those estimated values may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market for the investments existed, and the differences could be material.

Credit Investments. The majority of investments in Apollo's credit funds are valued based on quoted market prices and valuation models. Debt and equity securities that are not publicly traded or whose market prices are not readily available are valued at fair value utilizing recognized pricing services, market participants or other sources. When market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used to determine fair value. The credit funds also enter into foreign currency exchange contracts, total return swap contracts, credit default swap contracts, and other derivative contracts, which may include options, caps, collars and floors. Foreign currency exchange contracts are marked-to-market by recognizing the difference between the contract exchange rate and the current market rate as unrealized appreciation or depreciation. If securities are held at the end of this period, the changes in value are recorded in income as unrealized. Realized gains or losses are recognized when contracts are settled. Total return swap and credit default swap contracts are recorded at fair value as an asset or liability with changes in fair value recorded as unrealized appreciation or depreciation. Realized gains or losses are recognized at the termination of the contract based on the difference between the close-out price of the total return or credit default swap contract and the original contract price.

Forward contracts are valued based on market rates obtained from counterparties or prices obtained from recognized financial data service providers. When determining fair value pricing when no observable market value exists, the value attributed to an investment is based on the enterprise value at the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Valuation approaches used to estimate the fair value of illiquid credit investments also may include the market approach and the income approach, as previously described above. The valuation approaches used consider, as applicable, market risks, credit risks, counterparty risks and foreign currency risks.

On a quarterly basis, Apollo also utilizes a valuation committee, consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to our credit investments. For certain publicly traded vehicles, a review is performed by an independent board of directors. The Company also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analysis.

Real Estate Investments. For the CMBS portfolio of Apollo's funds, the estimated fair value of the CMBS portfolio is determined by reference to market prices provided by certain dealers who make a market in these financial instruments. Broker quotes are only indicative of fair value and may not necessarily represent what the funds would receive in an actual trade for the applicable instrument. Additionally, the loans held-for-investment are stated at the principal amount outstanding, net of deferred loan fees and costs. The Company evaluates its loans for possible

impairment on a quarterly basis. For Apollo's opportunistic and value added real estate funds, valuations of non-marketable underlying investments are determined using methods that include, but are not limited to (i) discounted cash flow estimates or comparable analysis prepared internally, (ii) third party appraisals or valuations by qualified real estate appraisers, and (iii) contractual sales value of investments/properties subject to bona fide purchase contracts. Methods (i) and (ii) also incorporate consideration of the use of the income, cost, or sales comparison approaches of estimating property values.

On a quarterly basis, Apollo also utilizes a valuation committee, consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to our real estate investments. For certain publicly traded vehicles, a review is performed by an independent board of directors. The Company also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them

- 146-

Table of Contents

to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analysis.

The fair values of the investments in our private equity, credit and real estate funds can be impacted by changes to the assumptions used in the underlying valuation models. For further discussion on the impact of changes to valuation assumptions see “Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk—Sensitivity” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 filed with the SEC on March 3, 2014. There have been no material changes to the underlying valuation models during the periods that our financial results are presented.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

U.S. GAAP guidance requires the disclosure of the estimated fair value of financial instruments. The fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

Except for the Company’s debt obligations related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities and 2024 Senior Notes (each as defined in note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements), Apollo’s financial instruments are recorded at fair value or at amounts whose carrying values approximate fair value. See “Investments, at Fair Value” above. While Apollo’s valuations of portfolio investments are based on assumptions that Apollo believes are reasonable under the circumstances, the actual realized gains or losses will depend on, among other factors, future operating results, the value of the assets and market conditions at the time of disposition, any related transaction costs and the timing and manner of sale, all of which may ultimately differ significantly from the assumptions on which the valuations were based. Financial instruments’ carrying values generally approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of those instruments or variable interest rates related to the borrowings.

Valuation of Financial Instruments Held by Consolidated VIEs

The consolidated VIEs hold investments that are traded over-the-counter. Investments in securities that are traded on a securities exchange or comparable over-the-counter quotation systems are valued based on the last reported sale price at that date. If no sales of such investments are reported on such date, and in the case of over-the-counter securities or other investments for which the last sale date is not available, valuations are based on independent market quotations obtained from market participants, recognized pricing services or other sources deemed relevant, and the prices are based on the average of the “bid” and “ask” prices, or at ascertainable prices at the close of business on such day. Market quotations are generally based on valuation pricing models or market transactions for similar securities adjusted for security-specific factors such as relative capital structure priority and interest and yield risks, among other factors.

When market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used to determine fair value.

The consolidated VIEs also have debt obligations that are recorded at fair value. The primary valuation methodology used to determine fair value for debt obligation is market quotation. Prices are based on the average of the “bid” and “ask” prices. In the event that market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used. The valuation approach used to estimate the fair values of debt obligations for which market quotations are not available is the discounted cash flow method, which includes consideration of the cash flows of the debt obligation based on projected quarterly interest payments and quarterly amortization. Debt obligations are discounted based on the appropriate yield curve given the loan’s respective maturity and credit rating. Management uses its discretion and judgment in considering and appraising relevant factors for determining the valuations of its debt obligations.

Fair Value Option. Apollo elected the fair value option for the Company’s investment in Athene Holding, the convertible notes issued by HFA Holdings Limited (“HFA”) and for the assets and liabilities of the consolidated VIEs. Such election is irrevocable and is applied to financial instruments on an individual basis at initial recognition. Apollo applied the fair value option for certain corporate loans, other investments and debt obligations held by these entities that otherwise would not have been carried at fair value. For the convertible notes issued by HFA, Apollo elected to separately present interest income from other changes in the fair value of the convertible notes in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. See notes 3, 4 and 5 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further disclosure on the investments in Athene Holding, HFA and financial instruments of the consolidated VIEs for which the fair value option has been elected.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets—Goodwill and indefinite-life intangible assets must be reviewed annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. Identifiable finite-life intangible assets, by contrast, are amortized over their estimated useful lives, which are periodically re-evaluated for impairment or when circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred. Apollo amortizes its identifiable finite-life intangible assets using a method of amortization reflecting the pattern in which the economic benefits of the finite-life intangible asset are consumed or

- 147-

Table of Contents

otherwise used up. If that pattern cannot be reliably determined, Apollo uses the straight-line method of amortization. At June 30, 2014, the Company performed its annual impairment testing and determined there was no impairment of goodwill or indefinite life intangible assets at such time.

Compensation and Benefits

Compensation and benefits include salaries, bonuses and benefits, profit sharing expense and equity-based compensation.

Salaries, Bonus and Benefits. Salaries, bonus and benefits include base salaries, discretionary and non-discretionary bonuses, severance and employee benefits. Bonuses are accrued over the related service period.

Also included within salaries, bonus and benefits is the expense related to profits interests issued to certain employees whereby they are entitled to a share in earnings of and any appreciation of the value in a subsidiary of the Company during their term of employment. The expense related to these profits interests is recognized ratably over the requisite service period and thereafter will be recognized at the time the distributions are determined.

The Company sponsors a 401(k) Savings Plan whereby U.S.-based employees are entitled to participate in the plan based upon satisfying certain eligibility requirements. The Company may provide discretionary contributions from time to time. No contributions relating to this plan were made by the Company for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

Profit Sharing Expense. Profit sharing expense is primarily a result of agreements with our Contributing Partners and employees to compensate them based on the ownership interest they have in the general partners of the Apollo funds. Therefore, changes in the fair value of the underlying investments in the funds we manage and advise affect profit sharing expense. The Contributing Partners and employees are allocated approximately 30% to 50% of the total carried interest income which is driven primarily by changes in fair value of the underlying fund's investments and is treated as compensation expense. Additionally, profit sharing expenses paid may be subject to clawback from employees, former employees and Contributing Partners to the extent not indemnified.

Changes in the fair value of the contingent obligations that were recognized in connection with certain Apollo acquisitions are reflected in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations as profit sharing expense. In June 2011, the Company adopted a performance based incentive arrangement for certain Apollo partners and employees designed to more closely align compensation on an annual basis with the overall realized performance of the Company. This arrangement, which we refer to herein as the Incentive Pool, enables certain partners and employees to earn discretionary compensation based on carried interest realizations earned by the Company in a given year, which amounts are reflected in profit sharing expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company adopted the Incentive Pool to attract and retain, and provide incentive to, partners and employees of the Company and to more closely align the overall compensation of partners and employees with the overall realized performance of the Company. Allocations to the Incentive Pool and to its participants contain both a fixed and a discretionary component and may vary year-to-year depending on the overall realized performance of the Company and the contributions and performance of each participant. There is no assurance that the Company will continue to compensate individuals through performance-based incentive arrangements in the future and there may be periods when the Executive Committee of the Company's manager determines that allocations of realized carried interest income are not sufficient to compensate individuals, which may result in an increase in salary, bonus and benefits.

Equity-Based Compensation. Equity-based compensation is accounted for in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which requires that the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award is generally measured based on the grant date fair value of the award. Equity-based awards that do not require future service (i.e., vested awards) are expensed immediately. Equity-based employee awards that require future service are recognized over the relevant service period. Further, as required under U.S. GAAP, the Company estimates forfeitures using industry comparables or historical trends for equity-based awards that are not expected to vest. Apollo's equity-based awards consist of, or provide rights with respect to AOG Units, RSUs, share options, AHL awards and other equity-based compensation awards. For more information regarding Apollo's equity-based compensation awards, see note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company's assumptions made to determine the fair value on grant date and the estimated forfeiture rate are embodied in the calculations of compensation expense.

Additionally, the value of the AOG Units have been reduced to reflect the transfer restrictions imposed on units issued to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners as well as the lack of rights to participate in future Apollo Global Management, LLC equity offerings. These awards have the following characteristics:

Awards granted to the Managing Partners (i) are not permitted to be sold to any parties outside of the Apollo Global Management, LLC control group and transfer restrictions

- 148-

Table of Contents

lapse pro rata during the forfeiture period over 60 or 72 months, and (ii) allow the Managing Partners to initiate a change in control; and

Awards granted to the Contributing Partners (i) are not permitted to be sold or transferred to any parties except to the Apollo Global Management, LLC control group and (ii) the transfer restriction period lapses over six years (which is longer than the forfeiture period which lapses ratably over 60 months).

As noted above, the AOG Units issued to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners have different restrictions which affect the liquidity of and the discounts applied to each grant.

We utilized the Finnerty Model to calculate a discount on the AOG Units granted to the Contributing Partners. The Finnerty Model provides for a valuation discount reflecting the holding period restriction embedded in a restricted security preventing its sale over a certain period of time. Along with the Finnerty Model we applied adjustments to account for the existence of liquidity clauses specific to the AOG Units granted to the Contributing Partners and a minority interest consideration as compared to the units sold in the Strategic Investors Transaction in 2007. The combination of these adjustments yielded a fair value estimate of the AOG Units granted to the Contributing Partners. The Finnerty Model proposes to estimate a discount for lack of marketability such as transfer restrictions by using an option pricing theory. This model has gained recognition through its ability to address the magnitude of the discount by considering the volatility of a company's stock price and the length of restriction. The concept underpinning the Finnerty Model is that a restricted security cannot be sold over a certain period of time. Further simplified, a restricted share of equity in a company can be viewed as having forfeited a put on the average price of the marketable equity over the restriction period (also known as an "Asian Put Option"). If we price an Asian Put Option and compare this value to that of the assumed fully marketable underlying security, we can effectively estimate the marketability discount.

The assumptions utilized in the model were (i) length of holding period, (ii) volatility, (iii) dividend yield and (iv) risk free rate. Our assumptions were as follows:

(i) We assumed a maximum two year holding period.

(ii) We concluded based on industry peers, that our volatility annualized would be approximately 40%.

(iii) We assumed no distributions.

(iv) We assumed a 4.88% risk free rate based on U.S. Treasuries with a two year maturity.

For the Contributing Partners' grants, the Finnerty Model calculation, as detailed above, yielded a marketability discount of 25%. This marketability discount, along with adjustments to account for the existence of liquidity clauses and consideration of non-controlling interests as compared to units sold in the Strategic Investors Transaction in 2007, resulted in an overall discount for these grants of 29%.

We determined a 14% discount for the grants to the Managing Partners based on the equity value per share of \$24. We determined that the value of the grants to the Managing Partners was supported by the 2007 sale of an identical security to Credit Suisse Management, LLC at \$24 per share. Based on an equity value per share of \$24, the implied discount for the grants to the Managing Partners was 14%. The Contributing Partners yielded a larger overall discount of 29%, as they are unable to cause a change in control of Apollo. This results in a lower fair value estimate, as their units have fewer beneficial features than those of the Managing Partners.

Another significant part of our compensation expense is derived from amortization of RSUs. The fair value of all RSU grants after March 29, 2011 is based on the grant date fair value, which considers the public share price of the Company. RSUs are comprised of Plan Grants, which generally do not pay distributions until vested and, for grants made after 2011, the underlying shares are generally issued by March 15th after the year in which they vest, and Bonus Grants, which pay distributions on both vested and unvested grants and are generally issued after vesting on an approximate two-month lag. For Plan Grants, the grant date fair value is based on the public share price of the Company, and is discounted for transfer restrictions and lack of distributions until vested. For Bonus Grants, the grant date fair value is based on the public share price of the Company, and is discounted for transfer restrictions.

We utilized the present value of a growing annuity formula to calculate a discount for the lack of pre-vesting distributions on Plan Grant RSUs. The weighted average for the inputs utilized for the shares granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 are presented in the table below for Plan Grants:

Table of Contents

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Distribution Yield ⁽¹⁾	12.6%	11.8%	14.8%	9.5%
Cost of Equity Capital Rate ⁽²⁾	12.3%	17.0%	12.4%	17.6%

(1) Calculated based on the last twelve months historical distributions paid and the Company's share price as of the measurement date of the grant on a weighted average basis.

We assumed a discount rate that was equivalent to a cost of equity capital rate as of the valuation date, based on the

(2) Capital Asset Pricing Model ("CAPM"). CAPM is a commonly used mathematical model for developing expected returns.

For Plan Grants that are not eligible for distributions on unvested shares, the discount for the lack of distributions until vested based on the present value of a growing annuity calculation had a weighted average of 29.2% and 34.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and 37.3% and 27.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

We utilized the Finnerty Model to calculate a marketability discount on the Plan Grant and Bonus Grant RSUs to account for the lag between vesting and issuance. The Finnerty Model provides for a valuation discount reflecting the holding period restriction embedded in a restricted security preventing its sale over a certain period of time.

The inputs utilized in the Finnerty Model were (i) length of holding period, (ii) volatility, (iii) risk-free rate and (iv) dividend yield. The weighted average for the inputs utilized for the shares granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 are presented in the table below for Plan Grants and Bonus Grants:

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Plan Grants				
Holding Period Restriction (in years)	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Volatility ⁽¹⁾	30.6%	30.0%	31.5%	30.4%
Distribution Yield ⁽²⁾	12.6%	11.8%	14.8%	9.5%
Bonus Grants				
Holding Period Restriction (in years)	N/A	⁽³⁾ N/A	⁽³⁾ 0.2	0.2
Volatility ⁽¹⁾	N/A	⁽³⁾ N/A	⁽³⁾ 31.0%	26.4%
Distribution Yield ⁽²⁾	N/A	⁽³⁾ N/A	⁽³⁾ 15.1%	11.0%

(1) The Company determined the expected volatility based on the volatility of the Company's share price as of the grant date with consideration to comparable companies.

(2) Calculated based on the last twelve months historical distributions paid and the Company's share price as of the measurement date of the grant on a weighted average basis.

(3) There were no Bonus Grants during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

For Plan Grants, the marketability discount for transfer restrictions based on the Finnerty Model calculation, after considering the discount for lack of pre-vesting distributions, had a weighted average of 5.1% and 5.0% for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and 5.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. For Bonus Grants, the marketability discount for transfer restrictions based on the Finnerty Model calculation had a weighted average of 3.0% and 5.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

After the grant date fair value is determined, an estimated forfeiture rate is applied. The estimated fair value was determined and recognized over the vesting period on a straight-line basis. A 6.0% forfeiture rate is estimated for RSUs, based on the Company's historical attrition rate as well as industry comparable rates. If employees are no longer associated with Apollo or if there is no turnover, we will revise our estimated compensation expense to the actual amount of expense based on the units vested at the reporting date in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

The Apollo Operating Group and its subsidiaries generally operate as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, except as described below, the Apollo Operating Group has not been subject to U.S. income taxes. However, these entities in some cases are subject to NYC UBT and non-U.S. entities, in some cases, are subject to non-U.S. corporate income taxes. In addition, APO Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, is subject to U.S. federal, state and local corporate income tax, and the Company's provision for income taxes is accounted for in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

As significant judgment is required in determining tax expense and in evaluating tax positions, including evaluating uncertainties, we recognize the tax benefits of uncertain tax positions only where the position is "more likely than not" to be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefit is measured as the largest amount of benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. If a tax position is not considered more likely than not to be sustained, then no benefits of the position are recognized. The Company's tax positions are reviewed and evaluated quarterly to determine whether or not we have uncertain tax positions that require financial statement recognition. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis using currently enacted tax rates. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period when the change is enacted. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Fair Value Measurements

See note 5 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the Company's fair value measurements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

A list of recent accounting pronouncements that are relevant to Apollo and its industry is included in note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the normal course of business, we engage in off-balance sheet arrangements, including transactions in derivatives, guarantees, commitments, indemnifications and potential contingent repayment obligations. See note 13 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of guarantees and contingent obligations.

Contractual Obligations, Commitments and Contingencies

As of September 30, 2014, the Company's material contractual obligations consisted of lease obligations, contractual commitments as part of the ongoing operations of the funds and debt obligations. Fixed and determinable payments due in connection with these obligations are as follows:

	Remaining 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	Total
	(in thousands)						
Operating lease obligations ⁽¹⁾	\$9,708	\$38,811	\$38,173	\$36,075	\$31,776	\$54,918	\$209,461
Other long-term obligations ⁽²⁾	3,944	8,368	4,575	4,470	4,470	2,235	28,062
2013 AMH Credit Facilities - Term Facility ⁽³⁾	1,699	6,797	6,797	6,797	6,797	500,340	529,227
2013 AMH Credit Facilities - Revolver Facility ⁽⁴⁾	156	625	625	625	625	8	2,664

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

2024 Senior Notes ⁽⁵⁾	5,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	608,333	693,333
2014 AMI Term Facility	99	396	396	396	396	17,117	18,800
Obligations as of September 30, 2014	\$20,606	\$74,997	\$70,566	\$68,363	\$64,064	\$1,182,951	\$1,481,547

(1) The Company has entered into sublease agreements and is expected to contractually receive approximately \$7.6 million over the remaining periods of 2014 and thereafter.

- 151-

Table of Contents

Includes (i) payments on management service agreements related to certain assets and (ii) payments with respect to (2) certain consulting agreements entered into by the Company. Note that a significant portion of these costs are reimbursable by funds.

\$500 million of the outstanding Term Facility matures in January 2019. The interest rate on the \$500 million Term (3) Facility as of September 30, 2014 was 1.36%. See note 9 of the condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities.

The commitment fee as of September 30, 2014 on the \$500 million undrawn Revolver Facility was 0.125%. See (4) note 9 of the condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities.

\$500 million of the 2024 Senior Notes matures in May 2024. The interest rate on the 2024 Senior Notes as of (5) September 30, 2014 was 4.00%. See note 9 of the condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the 2024 Senior Notes.

Note: Due to the fact that the timing of certain amounts to be paid cannot be determined or for other reasons discussed below, the following contractual commitments have not been presented in the table above.

As noted previously, we have entered into a tax receivable agreement with our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners which requires us to pay to our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners 85% of any tax savings (i) received by APO Corp. from our step-up in tax basis. The tax savings achieved may not ensure that we have sufficient cash available to pay this liability and we might be required to incur additional debt to satisfy this liability.

(ii) Debt amounts related to the consolidated VIEs are not presented in the table above as the Company is not a guarantor of these non-recourse liabilities.

Commitments

Certain of our management companies and general partners are committed to contribute to the funds and affiliates. While a small percentage of these amounts are funded by us, the majority of these amounts have historically been funded by our affiliates, including certain of our employees and certain Apollo funds. The table below presents the commitment and remaining commitment amounts of Apollo and its affiliates, the percentage of total fund commitments of Apollo and its affiliates, the commitment and remaining commitment amounts of Apollo only (excluding affiliates), and the percentage of total fund commitments of Apollo only (excluding affiliates) for each private equity, credit and real estate fund as of September 30, 2014 as follows (\$ in millions):

Table of Contents

Fund	Apollo and Affiliates Commitments	% of Total Fund Commitments	Apollo Only (Excluding Affiliates) Commitments	Apollo Only (Excluding Affiliates) % of Total Fund Commitments	Apollo and Affiliates Remaining Commitments	Apollo Only (Excluding Affiliates) Remaining Commitments
Private Equity:						
Fund VIII	\$ 1,543.5	8.40	\$ 406.9	2.21	\$ 1,411.5	\$ 375.1
Fund VII	467.2	3.18	177.8	1.21	106.1	39.0
Fund VI	246.2	2.43	6.1	0.06	9.7	0.2
Fund V	100.0	2.67	0.5	0.01	6.3	—
Fund IV	100.0	2.78	0.2	0.01	0.5	—
ANRP	426.1	32.21	10.1	0.76	219.6	5.3
AION	150.0	18.19	50.0	6.06	120.2	39.7
APC	158.4	69.02	0.1	0.04	102.8	0.1
Apollo Rose, L.P.	215.7	100.00	—	—	85.8	—
A.A Mortgage Opportunities, L.P.	200.0	98.43	—	—	145.0	—
Champ, L.P.	81.9	100.00	20.9	25.56	16.7	4.5
Apollo Royalties Management, LLC	100.0	100.00	—	—	70.0	—
Credit:						
EPF I ⁽²⁾	339.3	20.74	22.4	1.37	57.7	5.3
EPF II ⁽²⁾	414.1	11.61	63.1	1.77	205.1	32.9
COF I	451.1	30.38	29.7	2.00	237.4	4.2
COF II	30.5	1.93	23.4	1.48	0.8	0.6
COF III	358.1	10.45	83.1	2.43	256.7	59.7
ACLF	23.9	2.43	23.9	2.43	19.5	19.5
Palmetto	18.0	1.19	18.0	1.19	10.9	10.9
AIE II ⁽²⁾	8.2	3.15	5.1	1.94	0.8	0.5
ESDF	50.0	100.00	—	—	—	—
FCI	193.5	34.62	—	—	97.9	—
FCI II	244.6	15.72	—	—	165.5	—
Franklin Fund	9.9	9.09	9.9	9.09	—	—
Apollo Lincoln Fixed Income Fund	2.5	0.99	2.5	0.99	1.3	1.3
Apollo/Palmetto Loan Portfolio, L.P.	300.0	100.00	—	—	85.0	—
Apollo/Palmetto Short-Maturity Loan Portfolio, L.P.	200.0	100.00	—	—	—	—
AESI ⁽²⁾	4.4	0.99	4.4	0.99	1.9	1.9
AEC	7.3	2.50	3.2	1.08	2.5	1.1
ACSP	18.8	2.44	18.8	2.44	9.2	9.2
Apollo SK Strategic Investments, L.P.	2.0	0.99	2.0	0.99	0.5	0.5
Stone Tower Structured Credit Recovery Master Fund II, Ltd.	7.9	7.61	—	—	—	—

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Apollo Structured Credit Recovery Master Fund III, Ltd.	65.0	31.72	5.0	2.44	1.6	0.1
Apollo Zeus Strategic Investments, L.P.	14.0	3.38	14.0	3.38	8.2	8.2
Apollo Lincoln Private Credit Fund, L.P.	2.5	0.99	2.5	0.99	2.5	2.5
AIE III ⁽²⁾	11.4	2.91	11.4	2.91	10.5	10.5
Real Estate:						
AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P.	631.9	⁽¹⁾ 73.04	16.4	1.90	402.9	⁽¹⁾ 5.9
BEA/AGRE China Real Estate Fund, L.P.	0.1	1.03	0.1	1.03	—	—
AGRE Asia Co-Invest I Limited	50.0	100.00	—	—	35.7	—
CAI Strategic European Real Estate Ltd.	19.8	100.00	—	—	3.8	—
CPI Capital Partners North America	7.6	1.27	2.1	0.35	0.6	0.2
CPI Capital Partners Europe ⁽²⁾	6.9	0.47	—	—	0.5	—
CPI Capital Partners Asia Pacific	6.9	0.53	0.5	0.04	0.4	—
London Prime Apartments Guernsey Holdings Limited (Guernsey) ⁽³⁾	28.7	7.80	0.9	0.23	8.1	0.2
2012 CMBS I Fund, L.P.	88.2	100.00	—	—	—	—
2012 CMBS II Fund, L.P.	93.5	100.00	—	—	—	—
Apollo US Real Estate Fund II - Co-Invest	22.1	86.41	0.1	0.39	1.5	—
AGRE CMBS Fund, L.P.	418.8	100.00	—	—	—	—
Other:						
Apollo SPN Investments I, L.P.	25.4	0.84	25.4	0.84	21.4	21.4
Total	\$ 7,965.9		\$ 1,060.5		\$ 3,944.6	\$ 660.5

Figures for AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. include base, additional, and co-investment commitments. A (1) co-investment vehicle within AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. is denominated in pound sterling and translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of £1.00 to \$1.62 as of September 30, 2014.

(2) Apollo's commitment in these funds is denominated in Euros and translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of €1.00 to \$1.26 as of September 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

- (3) Apollo's commitment in these investments is denominated in pound sterling and translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of £1.00 to \$1.62 as of September 30, 2014.

As a limited partner, the general partner and manager of the Apollo private equity, credit and real estate funds, Apollo has unfunded capital commitments at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 of \$660.5 million and \$843.7 million, respectively.

Apollo has an ongoing obligation to acquire additional common units of AAA in an amount equal to 25% of the aggregate after-tax cash distributions, if any, that are made by AAA to Apollo's affiliates pursuant to the carried interest distribution rights that are applicable to investments made through AAA Investments.

The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities and 2024 Senior Notes (as defined below) will have future impacts on our cash uses. See note 9 of our condensed consolidated financial statements for information regarding the Company's debt arrangements.

In accordance with the Shareholders Agreement, we have indemnified the Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners (at varying percentages) for any carried interest income distributed from Fund IV, Fund V and Fund VI that is subject to contingent repayment by the general partner. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had not recorded an obligation for any previously made distributions.

Contingent Obligations—Carried interest income in private equity and certain credit and real estate funds is subject to reversal in the event of future losses to the extent of the cumulative carried interest recognized in income to date. If all of the existing investments became worthless, the amount of cumulative revenues that has been recognized by Apollo through September 30, 2014 and that would be reversed approximates \$3.6 billion. Management views the possibility of all of the investments becoming worthless as remote. Carried interest income is affected by changes in the fair values of the underlying investments in the funds that Apollo manages. Valuations, on an unrealized basis, can be significantly affected by a variety of external factors including, but not limited to, bond yields and industry trading multiples. Movements in these items can affect valuations quarter to quarter even if the underlying business fundamentals remain stable.

Additionally, at the end of the life of certain funds that the Company manages, there could be a payment due to a fund by the Company if the Company as general partner has received more carried interest income than was ultimately earned. This general partner obligation amount, if any, will depend on final realized values of investments at the end of the life of each fund.

Certain funds may not generate carried interest income as a result of unrealized and realized losses that are recognized in the current and prior reporting period. In certain cases, carried interest income will not be generated until additional unrealized and realized gains occur. Any appreciation would first cover the deductions for invested capital, unreturned organizational expenses, operating expenses, management fees and priority returns based on the terms of the respective fund agreements.

AGS, one of the Company's subsidiaries, provides underwriting commitments in connection with security offerings to the portfolio companies of the funds we manage. As of September 30, 2014, there were no underwriting commitments outstanding related to such offerings.

Contingent Consideration

In connection with the acquisition of Stone Tower in April 2012, the Company agreed to pay the former owners of Stone Tower a specified percentage of any future carried interest income earned from certain of the Stone Tower funds, CLOs, and strategic investment accounts. This contingent consideration liability had an acquisition date fair value of \$117.7 million, which was determined based on the present value of estimated future carried interest payments, and is recorded in profit sharing payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. On July 31, 2014, the Company extinguished a portion of the contingent consideration obligation and recognized a gain in the amount of \$13.4 million, which was recorded in other income, net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. In exchange for the extinguishment, the Company granted a former owner and current employee 350,000 RSUs with rights to receive, subject to a three-year vesting period, distribution equivalents. These grants are accounted for as a grant of equity awards in accordance with U.S. GAAP (see note 11 for further information regarding the accounting for RSUs). The

fair value of the contingent obligation was \$84.7 million and \$121.4 million as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

In connection with the Gulf Stream acquisition, the Company agreed to make payments to the former owners of Gulf Stream under a contingent consideration obligation which required the Company to transfer cash to the former owners of Gulf Stream based on a specified percentage of carried interest income. The contingent liability had a fair value of \$12.2 million and \$14.1 million as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, which was recorded in profit sharing payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

- 154-

Table of Contents

The contingent consideration obligations will be remeasured to fair value at each reporting period until the obligations are satisfied. The changes in the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations will be reflected in profit sharing expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The Company has determined that the contingent consideration obligations are categorized as a Level III liability in the fair value hierarchy as the pricing inputs used to determine fair value require significant management judgment and estimation. See note 5 of the condensed consolidated financial statements for further disclosure regarding fair value of the contingent consideration obligation.

- 155-

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our predominant exposure to market risk is related to our role as investment manager and general partner for our funds and the sensitivity to movements in the fair value of their investments and resulting impact on carried interest income and management fee revenues. Our direct investments in the funds also expose us to market risk whereby movements in the fair values of the underlying investments will increase or decrease both net gains (losses) from investment activities and income (loss) from equity method investments. For a discussion of the impact of market risk factors on our financial instruments see “Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Critical Accounting Policies—Investments, at Fair Value.”

The fair value of our financial assets and liabilities of our funds may fluctuate in response to changes in the value of investments, foreign exchange, commodities and interest rates. The net effect of these fair value changes impacts the gains and losses from investments in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. However, the majority of these fair value changes are absorbed by the Non-Controlling Interests.

The Company is subject to a concentration risk related to the investors in its funds. Although there are more than approximately 1,000 limited partner investors in Apollo’s active private equity, credit and real estate funds, no individual investor accounts for more than 10% of the total committed capital to Apollo’s active funds.

Risks are analyzed across funds from the “bottom up” and from the “top down” with a particular focus on asymmetric risk. We gather and analyze data, monitor investments and markets in detail, and constantly strive to better quantify, qualify and circumscribe relevant risks.

Each risk management process is subject to our overall risk tolerance and philosophy and our enterprise-wide risk management framework. This framework includes identifying, measuring and managing market, credit and operational risks at each segment, as well as at the fund and Company level.

Each segment runs its own investment and risk management process subject to our overall risk tolerance and philosophy:

The investment process of our private equity funds involves a detailed analysis of potential acquisitions, and investment management teams assigned to monitor the strategic development, financing and capital deployment decisions of each portfolio investment.

Our credit funds continuously monitor a variety of markets for attractive trading opportunities, applying a number of traditional and customized risk management metrics to analyze risk related to specific assets or portfolios, as well as, fund-wide risks.

At the direction of the Company’s manager, the Company has established a risk committee comprised of various members of senior management including the Company’s Chief Financial Officer, Chief Legal Officer, and the Company’s Chief Risk Officer. The risk committee is tasked with assisting the Company’s manager in monitoring and managing enterprise-wide risk. The risk committee generally meets on a bi-weekly basis and reports to the executive committee of the Company’s manager at such times as the committee deems appropriate and at least on an annual basis.

On at least a monthly basis, the Company’s risk department provides a summary analysis of fund level market and credit risk to the portfolio managers of the Company’s funds and the heads of the various business segments. On a periodic basis, the Company’s risk department presents a consolidated summary analysis of fund level market and credit risk to the Company’s risk committee. In addition, the Company’s Chief Risk Officer reviews specific investments from the perspective of risk mitigation and discusses such analysis with the Company’s risk committee and/or the executive committee of the Company’s manager at such times as the Company’s Chief Risk Officer determines such discussions are warranted. On an annual basis, the Company’s Chief Risk Officer provides the executive committee of the Company’s manager with a comprehensive overview of risk management along with an update on current and future risk initiatives.

Impact on Management Fees—Our management fees are based on one of the following:

- capital commitments to an Apollo fund;
- capital invested in an Apollo fund;
- the gross, net or adjusted asset value of an Apollo fund, as defined; or

as otherwise defined in the respective agreements.

- 156-

Table of Contents

Management fees could be impacted by changes in market risk factors and management could consider an investment permanently impaired as a result of (i) such market risk factors causing changes in invested capital or in market values to below cost, in the case of our private equity funds and certain credit funds, or (ii) such market risk factors causing changes in gross or net asset value, for the credit funds. The proportion of our management fees that are based on NAV is dependent on the number and types of our funds in existence and the current stage of each fund's life cycle.

Impact on Advisory and Transaction Fees—We earn transaction fees relating to the negotiation of private equity, credit and real estate transactions and may obtain reimbursement for certain out-of-pocket expenses incurred. Subsequently, on a quarterly or annual basis, ongoing advisory fees, and additional transaction fees in connection with additional purchases, dispositions, or follow-on transactions, may be earned. Management Fee Offsets and any broken deal costs are reflected as a reduction to advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net. Advisory and transaction fees will be impacted by changes in market risk factors to the extent that they limit our opportunities to engage in private equity, credit and real estate transactions or impair our ability to consummate such transactions. The impact of changes in market risk factors on advisory and transaction fees is not readily predicted or estimated.

Impact on Carried Interest Income—We earn carried interest income from our funds as a result of such funds achieving specified performance criteria. Our carried interest income will be impacted by changes in market risk factors.

However, several major factors will influence the degree of impact:

- the performance criteria for each individual fund in relation to how that fund's results of operations are impacted by changes in market risk factors;

- whether such performance criteria are annual or over the life of the fund;

- to the extent applicable, the previous performance of each fund in relation to its performance criteria; and

- whether each funds' carried interest income is subject to contingent repayment.

As a result, the impact of changes in market risk factors on carried interest income will vary widely from fund to fund. The impact is heavily dependent on the prior and future performance of each fund, and therefore is not readily predicted or estimated.

Market Risk—We are directly and indirectly affected by changes in market conditions. Market risk generally represents the risk that values of assets and liabilities or revenues and expenses will be adversely affected by changes in market conditions. Market risk is inherent in each of our investments and activities, including equity investments, loans, short-term borrowings, long-term debt, hedging instruments, credit default swaps, and derivatives. Just a few of the market conditions that may shift from time to time, thereby exposing us to market risk, include fluctuations in interest and currency exchange rates, equity prices, changes in the implied volatility of interest rates and price deterioration. For example, subsequent to the second quarter of 2007, debt capital markets around the world began to experience significant dislocation, severely limiting the availability of new credit to facilitate new traditional buyouts, and the markets remain volatile. Volatility in debt and equity markets can impact our pace of capital deployment, the timing of receipt of transaction fee revenues, and the timing of realizations. These market conditions could have an impact on the value of investments and our rates of return. Accordingly, depending on the instruments or activities impacted, market risks can have wide ranging, complex adverse effects on our results from operations and our overall financial condition. We monitor our market risk using certain strategies and methodologies which management evaluates periodically for appropriateness. We intend to continue to monitor this risk going forward and continue to monitor our exposure to all market factors.

Interest Rate Risk—Interest rate risk represents exposure we have to instruments whose values vary with the change in interest rates. These instruments include, but are not limited to, loans, borrowings and derivative instruments. We may seek to mitigate risks associated with the exposures by taking offsetting positions in derivative contracts. Hedging instruments allow us to seek to mitigate risks by reducing the effect of movements in the level of interest rates, changes in the shape of the yield curve, as well as, changes in interest rate volatility. Hedging instruments used to mitigate these risks may include related derivatives such as options, futures and swaps.

Credit Risk—Certain of our funds are subject to certain inherent risks through their investments.

Certain of our entities invest substantially all of their excess cash in open-end money market funds and money market demand accounts, which are included in cash and cash equivalents. The money market funds invest primarily in government securities and other short-term, highly liquid instruments with a low risk of loss. We continually monitor

the funds' performance in order to manage any risk associated with these investments.

- 157-

Table of Contents

Certain of our entities hold derivative instruments that contain an element of risk in the event that the counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of such agreements. We seek to minimize our risk exposure by limiting the counterparties with which we enter into contracts to banks and investment banks who meet established credit and capital guidelines. We do not expect any counterparty to default on its obligations and therefore do not expect to incur any loss due to counterparty default.

Foreign Exchange Risk—Foreign exchange risk represents exposures we have to changes in the values of current holdings and future cash flows denominated in other currencies and investments in non-U.S. companies. The types of investments exposed to this risk include investments in foreign subsidiaries, foreign currency-denominated loans, foreign currency-denominated transactions, and various foreign exchange derivative instruments whose values fluctuate with changes in currency exchange rates or foreign interest rates. Instruments used to mitigate this risk are foreign exchange options, currency swaps, futures and forwards. These instruments may be used to help insulate us against losses that may arise due to volatile movements in foreign exchange rates and/or interest rates.

Non-U.S. Operations—We conduct business throughout the world and are continuing to expand into foreign markets. We currently have offices outside the U.S. in Toronto, London, Frankfurt, Luxembourg, Mumbai, Hong Kong and Singapore, and have been strategically growing our international presence. Our fund investments and our revenues are primarily derived from our U.S. operations. With respect to our non-U.S. operations, we are subject to risk of loss from currency fluctuations, social instability, changes in governmental policies or policies of central banks, expropriation, nationalization, unfavorable political and diplomatic developments and changes in legislation relating to non-U.S. ownership. Our funds also invest in the securities of companies which are located in non-U.S. jurisdictions. As we continue to expand globally, we will continue to focus on monitoring and managing these risk factors as they relate to specific non-U.S. investments.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain “disclosure controls and procedures”, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired objectives.

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) are effective at the reasonable assurance level to accomplish their objectives of ensuring that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

No changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act) occurred during our most recent quarter, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Litigation and Contingencies—Apollo is, from time to time, party to various legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business including claims and lawsuits, reviews, investigations or proceedings by governmental and self regulatory agencies regarding our business.

In March 2012, plaintiffs filed two putative class actions, captioned Kelm v. Chase Bank (No. 12-cv-332) and Miller v. 1-800-Flowers.com, Inc. (No. 12-cv-396), in the District of Connecticut on behalf of a class of consumers alleging online fraud. The defendants included, among others, Trilegiant Corporation, Inc. (“Trilegiant”), its parent company, Affinion Group, LLC (“Affinion”), and Apollo Global Management, LLC (“AGM”), which is affiliated with funds that are the beneficial owners of 68% of Affinion’s common stock. In both cases, plaintiffs allege that Trilegiant, aided by its business partners, who include e-merchants and credit card companies, developed a set of business practices intended to create consumer confusion and ultimately defraud consumers into unknowingly paying fees to clubs for unwanted services. Plaintiffs allege that AGM is a proper defendant because of its indirect stock ownership and ability to appoint the majority of Affinion’s board. The complaints assert claims under the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act; the Electronic Communications Privacy Act; the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act; and the California Business and Professional Code, and seek, among other things, restitution or disgorgement, injunctive relief, compensatory, treble and punitive damages, and attorneys’ fees. The allegations in Kelm and Miller are substantially similar to those in Schnabel v. Trilegiant Corp. (No. 3:10-cv-957), a putative class action filed in the District of Connecticut in 2010 that names only Trilegiant and Affinion as defendants. The court has consolidated the Kelm, Miller, and Schnabel cases under the caption In re: Trilegiant Corporation, Inc. and ordered that they proceed on the same schedule. On June 18, 2012, the court appointed lead plaintiffs’ counsel, and on September 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed their consolidated amended complaint (“CAC”), which alleges the same causes of action against AGM as did the complaints in the Kelm and Miller cases. Defendants filed motions to dismiss on December 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed opposition papers on February 7, 2013, and defendants filed replies on April 5, 2013. On December 5, 2012, plaintiffs filed another putative class action, captioned Frank v. Trilegiant Corp. (No. 12-cv-1721), in the District of Connecticut, naming the same defendants and containing allegations substantially similar to those in the CAC. On January 23, 2013, plaintiffs moved to transfer and consolidate Frank into In re: Trilegiant. On July 24, 2013 the Frank court transferred the case to Judge Bryant, who is presiding over In re: Trilegiant, and on March 28, 2014, Judge Bryant granted the motion to consolidate. On September 25, 2013, the court held oral argument on defendants’ motions to dismiss. On March 28, 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part motions to dismiss filed by Affinion and Trilegiant on behalf of all defendants, and also granted separate motions to dismiss filed by certain defendants, including AGM. On that same day, the court directed the clerk to terminate AGM as a defendant in the consolidated action. On April 28, 2014, plaintiffs moved for interlocutory review of certain of the court’s motion-to-dismiss rulings, not including its order granting AGM’s separate dismissal motion. Defendants filed a response on May 23, 2014, and plaintiffs replied on June 5, 2014.

Various state attorneys general and federal and state agencies have initiated industry-wide investigations into the use of placement agents in connection with the solicitation of investments, particularly with respect to investments by public pension funds. Certain affiliates of Apollo have received subpoenas and other requests for information from various government regulatory agencies and investors in Apollo’s funds, seeking information regarding the use of placement agents. California Public Employees’ Retirement System (“CalPERS”), one of our Strategic Investors, announced on October 14, 2009, that it had initiated a special review of placement agents and related issues. The report of the CalPERS Special Review was issued on March 14, 2011. That report does not allege any wrongdoing on the part of Apollo or its affiliates. Apollo is continuing to cooperate with all such investigations and other reviews. In addition, on May 6, 2010, the California Attorney General filed a civil complaint against Alfred Villalobos and his company, Arvco Capital Research, LLC (“Arvco”) (a placement agent that Apollo has used) and Federico Buenrostro Jr., the former CEO of CalPERS, alleging conduct in violation of certain California laws in connection with CalPERS’s purchase of securities in various funds managed by Apollo and another asset manager. Apollo is not a party

to the civil lawsuit and the lawsuit does not allege any misconduct on the part of Apollo. Likewise, on April 23, 2012, the SEC filed a lawsuit alleging securities fraud on the part of Arvco, as well as Messrs. Buenrostro and Villalobos, in connection with their activities concerning certain CalPERS investments in funds managed by Apollo. This lawsuit also does not allege wrongdoing on the part of Apollo, and alleges that Apollo was defrauded by Arvco, Villalobos, and Buenrostro. On March 14, 2013, the United States Department of Justice unsealed an indictment against Messrs. Villalobos and Buenrostro alleging, among other crimes, fraud in connection with those same activities; again, Apollo is not accused of any wrongdoing and in fact is alleged to have been defrauded by the defendants. The criminal action was set for trial in a San Francisco federal court in July 2014, but was put on hold after Mr. Buenrostro pleaded guilty on July 11, 2014. As part of Mr. Buenrostro's plea agreement, he admitted to taking cash and other bribes from Mr. Villalobos in exchange for several improprieties, including attempting to influence CalPERS' investing decisions and improperly preparing disclosure letters to satisfy Apollo's requirements. There is no suggestion that Apollo was aware that Mr. Buenrostro had signed the letters with a corrupt motive. The government has indicated that they will file new charges against Mr. Villalobos incorporating Mr. Buenrostro's admissions. On August 7, 2014, the government filed a superseding indictment

- 159-

Table of Contents

against Mr. Villalobos asserting additional charges. Trial is now scheduled for February 23, 2015. Additionally, on April 15, 2013, Mr. Villalobos, Arvco and related entities (the “Arvco Debtors”) brought a civil action in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada (the “Bankruptcy Court”) against Apollo. The action is related to the ongoing bankruptcy proceedings of the Arvco Debtors. This action alleges that Arvco served as a placement agent for Apollo in connection with several funds associated with Apollo, and seeks to recover purported fees the Arvco Debtors claim Apollo has not paid them for a portion of Arvco’s placement agent services. In addition, the Arvco Debtors allege that Apollo has interfered with the Arvco Debtors’ commercial relationships with third parties, purportedly causing the Arvco Debtors to lose business and to incur fees and expenses in the defense of various investigations and litigations. The Arvco Debtors also seek compensation from Apollo for these alleged lost profits and fees and expenses. The Arvco Debtors’ complaint asserts various theories of recovery under the Bankruptcy Code and common law. Apollo denies the merit of all of the Arvco Debtors’ claims and will vigorously contest them. The Bankruptcy Court has stayed this action pending the result in the criminal case against Mr. Villalobos. For these reasons, no estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

On July 9, 2012, Apollo was served with a subpoena by the New York Attorney General’s Office regarding Apollo’s fee waiver program. The subpoena is part of what we understand to be an industry-wide investigation by the New York Attorney General into the tax implications of the fee waiver program implemented by numerous private equity and hedge funds. Under the fee waiver program, individual fund managers for certain Apollo-managed funds prospectively elected to waive their management fees. Program participants received an interest in the future profits, if any, that would be earned on the invested amounts representing waived fees. They receive such profits from time to time in the ordinary course when distributions are made generally, as provided for in the applicable fund governing documents and waiver agreements. Four Apollo funds implemented the program, but the investment period for all funds was terminated as of December 31, 2012. Apollo believes its fee waiver program complies with all applicable laws, and is cooperating with the investigation.

On June 18, 2014, BOKF N.A. (the “First Lien Trustee”), the successor indenture trustee under the indenture governing the First Lien Notes issued by Momentive Performance Materials, Inc. (“Momentive”), commenced a lawsuit in the Supreme Court for the State of New York, New York County against Apollo Global Management, LLC (“AGM”) and members of an ad hoc group of Second Lien Noteholders (including, but not limited to, Euro VI (BC) S.a.r.l.). The First Lien Trustee amended its complaint on July 2, 2014 (the “First Lien Intercreditor Action”). In the First Lien Intercreditor Action, the First Lien Trustee seeks, among other things, a declaration that the defendants violated an intercreditor agreement entered into between holders of the first lien notes and holders of the second lien notes. On July 16, 2014, the successor indenture trustee under the indenture governing the 1.5 Lien Notes (the “1.5 Lien Trustee,” and, together with the First Lien Trustee, the “Indenture Trustees”) filed an action in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County that is substantially similar to the First Lien Intercreditor Action (the “1.5 Lien Intercreditor Action,” and, together with the First Lien Intercreditor Action, the “Intercreditor Actions”). AGM subsequently removed the Intercreditor Actions to federal district court, and the Intercreditor Actions were automatically referred to the Bankruptcy Court adjudicating the Momentive chapter 11 bankruptcy cases. The Indenture Trustees then filed motions with the Bankruptcy Court to remand the Intercreditor Actions back to the state court (the “Remand Motions”). On September 9, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court denied the Remand Motions. On August 15, 2014, the defendants in the Intercreditor Actions (including AGM) filed a motion to dismiss the 1.5 Lien Intercreditor Action and a motion for judgment on the pleadings in the First Lien Intercreditor Action (the “Dismissal Motions”). On September 30, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court granted the Dismissal Motions. In its order granting the Dismissal Motions, the Bankruptcy Court gave the Indenture Trustees until mid-November 2014 to move to amend some, but not all, of the claims alleged in their respective complaints. The Indenture Trustees have not yet indicated whether they intend to move to amend their complaints. Accordingly, we are unable at this time to assess a potential risk of loss. In addition, we do not believe that Apollo is a proper defendant in these actions.

On July 29, 2014, Brian Tucker and his wife Sherri Tucker (“Plaintiffs”) filed a Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”) against 32 defendants, including Apollo Global Management, LLC (“Apollo”), in the action captioned Tucker v.

Momentive Performance Materials USA Inc. (2:13-cv-04480), pending in the Southern District of West Virginia, alleging that Mr. Tucker suffered respiratory damage as a result of exposure to hazardous chemicals during his employment at Momentive Performance Materials, Inc.'s West Virginia facility. In their two prior complaints, Plaintiffs had named only Momentive Performance Materials USA Inc. and 99 "John Doe Defendants." Plaintiffs in the SAC added Apollo and 30 other defendants (collectively, the "Additional Defendants") in place of the John Doe Defendants, and asserted claims against Apollo for: (i) deliberate intent under West Virginia Code § 23-4-2, (ii) strict liability under three theories (product liability, failure to instruct, and failure to warn), (iii) negligence and negligent misrepresentation, and (iv) fraudulent misrepresentation and fraudulent concealment. Plaintiffs seek, among other things, unspecified damages, including punitive damages and damages for alleged loss of consortium, as well as costs and prejudgment interest. Under an October 8, 2014 stipulation, the Additional Defendants' deadline to respond to the SAC is November 21, 2014. Apollo believes that plaintiffs' claims against Apollo are without merit. For this reason, and because the claims against Apollo are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

Table of Contents

On June 13, 2014, plaintiffs Stark Master Fund Ltd and Stark Global Opportunities Master Fund Ltd filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin against Apollo Global Management, LLC and Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. (the “Apollo Defendants”), as well as Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and Deutsche Bank Securities (USA) LLC. The complaint alleges that Apollo Global Management, LLC and the other defendants entered into an undisclosed and improper agreement concerning the financing of a potential acquisition of Hexion Specialty Chemicals Inc., and on this basis alleges a variety of common law misrepresentation claims, both intentional and negligent. The Apollo Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint on October 15, 2014, which shall be fully-briefed by year-end 2014. For this reason, and because the claims against Apollo are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

There are several pending actions concerning transactions related to Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc.’s (“CEOC”) restructuring efforts. Apollo is not a defendant in these matters.

Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB v. Caesars Entertainment Corp. et al., No. 10004-CVG (Del. Ch.) (the “Trustee Action”). On August 4, 2014, Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB (“WSFS”), as trustee for certain CEOC second-lien notes, sued Caesars Entertainment Corporation (“CEC”), CEC’s subsidiary CEOC, other CEC-affiliated entities, and certain of CEC’s directors, including Marc Rowan, Eric Press, David Sambur (each an Apollo Partner) and Jeff Benjamin (an Apollo consultant), in the Delaware Chancery Court. WSFS (i) asserts claims (against some or all of the defendants) for fraudulent conveyance, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of contract, corporate waste and aiding and abetting related to certain transactions between CEOC and other CEC affiliates, and (ii) requests (among other things) that the court unwind the challenged transactions and award damages. The defendants’ motion to dismiss the complaint was fully briefed on October 15, 2014.

Caesars Entertainment Operating Co., et al. v. Appaloosa Investment Ltd. P’ship et al., No. 652392/2014 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.) (the “Caesars Action”). On August 5, 2014, CEC and CEOC sued certain institutional CEOC second-lien noteholders and CEOC first-lien noteholder Elliott Management Corporation. On September 15, 2014, an amended complaint was filed adding WSFS as a defendant. The amended complaint asserts claims for (among other things) tortious interference with prospective economic advantage, a declaratory judgment that certain transactions related to CEOC’s restructuring are valid and appropriate and that there has not been a default under the indentures governing the notes. On October 15, 2014, defendants moved to dismiss the complaint. Parties in both the Trustee Action and the Caesars Action have agreed to stay discovery pending decision on the respective motions to dismiss.

Meehancombs Global Credit Opportunities Master Fund, L.P., et al. v. Caesars Entertainment Corp., et al., No. 14-cv-7091 (S.D.N.Y.) (the “Meehancombs Action”). On September 3, 2014, institutional investors allegedly holding approximately \$137 million in CEOC unsecured senior notes sued CEOC and CEC for breach of contract and the implied covenant of good faith, Trust Indenture Act violations and a declaratory judgment challenging the August 2014 private financing transaction in which a portion of outstanding senior unsecured notes were purchased by CEC, and a majority of the noteholders agreed to amend the indenture to terminate CEC’s guarantee of the notes and modify certain restrictions on CEOC’s ability to sell assets. On October 2, 2014, a related putative class action complaint was filed on behalf of the holders of these notes captioned Barton v. Caesars Entertainment Corp., et al., No. 14-cv-7973 (S.D.N.Y.) (the “Barton Action”), against Caesars alleging similar claims to the Meehancombs Action. Motions to dismiss in both actions are due by November 12, 2014.

Apollo believes that the claims in the Trustee Action, the Meehancombs Action and the Barton Action are without merit. For this reason, and because the claims are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

On October 17, 2014, Wiley Wright filed a putative class action against C.E.C. Entertainment (“CEC”) and Apollo Global Management, LLC (“Apollo”) in the Eastern District of New York, captioned Wright v. C.E.C. Entertainment, Inc. d/b/a Chuck E. Cheese’s, and Apollo Global Management, LLC (14-cv-6110), on behalf of Chuck E. Cheese

assistant managers and senior assistant managers (collectively, the “Managers”) in the United States. Plaintiff alleges that CEC and Apollo jointly employed the Managers and unlawfully classified them as exempt from the overtime protections of the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law, even though the Managers regularly performed non-managerial customer service duties. Plaintiff seeks, among other things, certification of a class, unpaid overtime and liquidated damages, injunctive relief, attorneys’ fees and costs, and interest. CEC’s and Apollo’s deadlines to respond to the complaint are December 29, 2014 and January 2, 2015, respectively.

- 161-

Table of Contents

Apollo believes that Plaintiff's claims against it are without merit. For this reason, and because the claims against Apollo are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

Following the January 16, 2014 announcement that CEC Entertainment, Inc. ("CEC") had entered into a merger agreement with certain entities affiliated with Apollo (the "Merger Agreement"), four putative shareholder class actions were filed in the District Court of Shawnee County, Kansas on behalf of purported stockholders of CEC against, among others, CEC, its directors and Apollo and certain of its affiliates, which include Queso Holdings Inc., Q Merger Sub Inc., Apollo Management VIII, L.P., and AP VIII Queso Holdings, L.P. The first purported class action, which is captioned Hilary Coyne v. Richard M. Frank et al., Case No. 14C57, was filed on January 21, 2014 (the "Coyne Action"). The second purported class action, which was captioned John Solak v. CEC Entertainment, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 14C55, was filed on January 22, 2014 (the "Solak Action"). The Solak Action was dismissed for lack of prosecution on October 14, 2014. The third purported class action, which is captioned Irene Dixon v. CEC Entertainment, Inc. et al., Case No. 14C81, was filed on January 24, 2014 and additionally names as defendants Apollo Management VIII, L.P. and AP VIII Queso Holdings, L.P. (the "Dixon Action"). The fourth purported class action, which is captioned Louisiana Municipal Public Employees' Retirement System v. Frank, et al., Case No. 14C97, was filed on January 31, 2014 (the "LMPERS Action") (together with the Coyne and Dixon Actions, the "Shareholder Actions"). A fifth purported class action, which was captioned McCullough v. Frank, et al., Case No. CC-14-00622-B, was filed in the County Court of Dallas County, Texas on February 7, 2014. This action was dismissed for want of prosecution on May 21, 2014. Each of the Shareholder Actions alleges, among other things, that CEC's directors breached their fiduciary duties to CEC's stockholders in connection with their consideration and approval of the Merger Agreement and that Apollo and certain of its affiliates aided and abetted those alleged breaches. As filed, the Shareholder Actions seek, among other things, rescission of the various transactions associated with the merger, damages and attorneys' and experts' fees and costs. On February 7, 2014 and February 11, 2014, the plaintiffs in the Shareholder Actions pursued a consolidated action for damages after the tender offer closed. Thereafter, the Shareholder Actions were consolidated under the caption In re CEC Entertainment, Inc. Stockholder Litigation, Case No. 14C57, and the parties have engaged in limited discovery. No defendant has any obligation to answer or otherwise respond to any of the complaints in the consolidated action until the plaintiffs file or designate an operative complaint. Although Apollo cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the above actions, it believes that such actions are without merit.

On June 10, 2014, Magnetar Global Event Driven Fund Ltd., Spectrum Opportunities Master Fund, Ltd., Magnetar Capital Master Fund, Ltd., and Blackwell Partners LLC, as the purported beneficial owners of shares held as of record by the nominal petitioner Cede & Co., (the "Appraisal Petitioners"), filed an action for statutory appraisal under Kansas state law against the CEC in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas, captioned Magnetar Global Event Driven Master Fund Ltd, et al. v. CEC Entertainment, Inc., 2:14-cv-02279-RDR-KGS. The Appraisal Petitioners seek appraisal of 750,000 shares of common stock. CEC has answered the complaint and filed a verified list of stockholders, as required under Kansas law. On September 3, 2014, the court entered a scheduling order that contemplates that discovery will commence in the fall of 2014 and will be substantially completed by May 2015. Following discovery, the scheduling order contemplates dispositive motion practice, potentially followed by a trial on the merits of the Appraisal Petitioners' claims. Although Apollo cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the above actions, Apollo believes that such actions are without merit.

On September 29, 2014, Athlon Energy Inc. ("Athlon") and Encana Corporation ("Encana") jointly announced that they had entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 27, 2014 (the "Merger Agreement"), pursuant to which a wholly-owned subsidiary of Encana ("Merger Sub") would commence a tender offer (the "Offer") to acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of Athlon common stock. Following completion of the Offer, Merger Sub would be merged with and into Athlon (the "Proposed Transaction"). On October 23, 2014, The City of Cambridge Retirement System filed a putative class action complaint captioned The City of Cambridge Retirement System v. Reeves, et al., C.A. No. 10277-VCG (the "Cambridge Action") in the Delaware Court of Chancery naming Merger Sub, Apollo Global

Management, LLC (“AGM”) and members of Athlon’s board of directors as defendants. The Cambridge Action alleges, among other things, that members of Athlon’s board of directors breached their fiduciary duties in connection with their consideration and approval of the proposed transaction, and that Encana, Merger Sub and AGM aided and abetted those breaches of fiduciary duty. On November 3, 2014, the parties to the Cambridge Action and several other similar actions filed in Delaware and Texas state court before the Cambridge Action (none of which named AGM as a defendant (collectively, the “Actions”)), entered into a Memorandum of Understanding to settle the Actions. Under the terms of the proposed settlement, AGM will not be required to contribute any cash and will be granted full and customary releases. The parties to the Actions expect that they will enter into a formal settlement agreement in late November 2014. The forthcoming settlement agreement will be subject to court approval. For this reason, and because the claims against Apollo are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

Although the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be ascertained at this time, Apollo is of the opinion, after consultation with counsel, that the resolution of any such matters to which it is a party at this time will not have a material adverse effect on the condensed consolidated financial statements. Legal actions material to Apollo could, however, arise in the future.

- 162-

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

For a discussion of our potential risks and uncertainties, see the information under the heading "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 filed with the SEC on March 3, 2014, which is accessible on the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at www.sec.gov. There have been no material changes to the risk factors for the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

The risks described in our Form 10-K are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/ or operating results.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALE OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities

On July 9, 2014 and August 12, 2014, we issued 475,170 and 3,184,742 Class A shares, net of taxes, to Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., respectively, for an aggregate purchase price of \$13,067,175 and \$78,089,874, respectively. The issuances were exempt from registration under the Securities Act in accordance with Section 4(a)(2) and Rule 506(b) thereof, as transactions by the issuer not involving a public offering. We determined that the purchaser of Class A shares in the transactions, Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., was an accredited investor.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

- 164-

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
3.1	Certificate of Formation of Apollo Global Management, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant’s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
3.2	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Apollo Global Management, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant’s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
4.1	Specimen Certificate evidencing the Registrant’s Class A shares (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant’s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
4.2	Indenture dated as of May 30, 2014, among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., the Guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant’s Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
4.3	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of May 30, 2014, among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., the Guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant’s Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
4.4	Form of 4.000% Senior Note due 2024 (included in Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant’s Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107), which is incorporated by reference).
10.1	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of AGM Management, LLC dated as of July 10, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant’s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.2	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant’s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.3	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant’s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.4	Third Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

10.5 Third Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

+10.6 Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

- 165-

Table of Contents

10.7	Agreement Among Principals, dated as of July 13, 2007, by and among Leon D. Black, Marc J. Rowan, Joshua J. Harris, Black Family Partners, L.P., MJR Foundation LLC, AP Professional Holdings, L.P. and BRH Holdings, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.8	Shareholders Agreement, dated as of July 13, 2007, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, AP Professional Holdings, L.P., BRH Holdings, L.P., Black Family Partners, L.P., MJR Foundation LLC, Leon D. Black, Marc J. Rowan and Joshua J. Harris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.9	Second Amended and Restated Exchange Agreement, dated as of March 5, 2014, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P., AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P. and the Apollo Principal Holders (as defined therein) from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.10	Amended and Restated Tax Receivable Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2013, by and among APO Corp., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P., AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P. and each Holder defined therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 7, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.11	Employment Agreement with Leon D. Black (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.12	Employment Agreement with Marc J. Rowan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.13	Employment Agreement with Joshua J. Harris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.14	Employment Agreement with Barry Giarraputo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.15	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Joseph F. Azrack (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.16	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.17	

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

10.18

Second Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

- 166-

Table of Contents

10.19	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.20	Fourth Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. dated as of October 30, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.21	Settlement Agreement, dated December 14, 2008, by and among Huntsman Corporation, Jon M. Huntsman, Peter R. Huntsman, Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc., Hexion LLC, Nimbus Merger Sub, Inc., Craig O. Morrison, Leon Black, Joshua J. Harris and Apollo Global Management, LLC and certain of its affiliates (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.22	First Amendment and Joinder, dated as of August 18, 2009, to the Shareholders Agreement, dated as of July 13, 2007, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, AP Professional Holdings, L.P., BRH Holdings, L.P., Black Family Partners, L.P., MJR Foundation LLC, Leon D. Black, Marc J. Rowan and Joshua J. Harris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.23	Form of Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.24	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with James Zelter dated as of June 20, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.25	Roll-Up Agreement with James Zelter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.26	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for Plan Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.27	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for Bonus Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.28	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for new independent directors) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.29	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for continuing independent directors) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30,

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

2014 (File No. 001-35107)).

+10.30 Form of Restricted Share Award Grant Notice and Restricted Share Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).

+10.31 Form of Share Award Grant Notice and Share Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for Retired Partners) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).

- 167-

Table of Contents

10.32	Form of Lock-up Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.33	Apollo Management Companies AAA Unit Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.34	Employment Agreement with Marc Spilker (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.35	First Amendment and Joinder, dated as of April 14, 2010, to the Tax Receivable Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.36	Non-Qualified Share Option Agreement pursuant to the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan with Marc Spilker dated December 2, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.37	Amended Form of Independent Director Engagement Letter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.38	Employment Agreement with Martin Kelly, dated July 2, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.39	Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of AMH Holdings, L.P., dated October 30, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.46 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.40	Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Advisors VI, L.P., dated as of April 14, 2005 and amended as of August 26, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.41	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Advisors VII, L.P., dated as of July 1, 2008 and effective as of August 30, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.42	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Opportunity Advisors I, L.P., dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.43	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Opportunity Advisors II, L.P., dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).

+10.44

Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Liquidity Advisors, L.P., dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).

- 168-

Table of Contents

+10.45	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Liquidity CM Executive Carry, L.P., dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.46 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.46	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement Apollo Credit Opportunity CM Executive Carry I, L.P. dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.47	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Opportunity CM Executive Carry II, L.P. dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.48	Second Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of AGM Incentive Pool, L.P., dated June 29, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.49	Credit Agreement, dated as of December 18, 2013, by and among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. as the Term Facility Borrower and a Revolving Facility Borrower, the other Revolving Facility Borrowers party thereto, the other guarantors party thereto from time to time, the lenders party thereto from time to time, the issuing banks party thereto from time to time and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.50 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.50	Transition Agreement, dated as of March 19, 2014, by and among Marc A. Spilker, Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. and Apollo Global Management, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.51 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.51	Form of Letter Agreement under the Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Advisors VIII, L.P. effective as of January 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.52	Form of Award Letter under the Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Advisors VIII, L.P. effective as of January 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
*31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
*31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
*32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

- *32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
- *101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- *101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document

- 169-

Table of Contents

*101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
*101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
*101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
*101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

- * Filed herewith.
- + Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

The agreements and other documents filed as exhibits to this report are not intended to provide factual information or other disclosure other than with respect to the terms of the agreements or other documents themselves, and you should not rely on them for that purpose. In particular, any representations and warranties made by us in these agreements or other documents were made solely within the specific context of the relevant agreement or document and may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Apollo Global Management, LLC
(Registrant)

Date: November 7, 2014

By: /s/ Martin Kelly
Name: Martin Kelly
Chief Financial Officer
Title: (principal financial officer and
authorized signatory)

- 171-