UNIFIRST CORP Form 10-K November 13, 2008

**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549** 

### **FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

- Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
  - For the Fiscal Year Ended August 30, 2008
- O Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission file number 0-8504

## **UNIFIRST CORPORATION**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Massachusetts (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) **04-2103460** (IRS Employer Identification No.)

68 Jonspin Road

Wilmington, Massachusetts 01887

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)(Zip Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Name of Each Exchange on

**Title of Class** Common Stock, \$0.10 par value per share Which Registered New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
None
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No þ
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.
Yes No þ
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
Yes þ No
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):
Large accelerated filer _ Accelerated filer þ Smaller Reporting Company _ Non-accelerated filer _
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No &#254

The number of outstanding shares of the Registrant s Common Stock and Class B Common Stock at November 7, 2008 were 14,391,629 and 4,935,369, respectively. The aggregate market value of the voting stock of the Registrant held by non-affiliates as of February 29, 2008 (the last business day of the Registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter), computed by reference to the closing sale price of such shares on such date, was approximately \$549,013,848.

Documents Incorporated By Reference

The Registrant intends to file a Definitive Proxy Statement pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, for its 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year ended August 30, 2008. Portions of such Proxy Statement are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### **UniFirst Corporation**

#### **Annual Report on Form 10-K**

#### For the Fiscal Year Ended August 30, 2008

#### **Table of Contents**

#### PART I

Item 1. Business

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Item 2. Properties

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

#### PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Consolidated statements of income for each of the three years in the period ended August 30, 2008

Consolidated balance sheets as of August 30, 2008 and August 25, 2007

Consolidated statements of shareholders' equity for each of the three years in the period ended August 30, 2008

Consolidated statements of cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended August 30, 2008

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Report of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Report of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Item 9B. Other Information

### PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

### PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

Ex-21 List of Subsidiaries

Ex-23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Ex-31.1 Section 302 Certification of CEO

Ex-31.2 Section 302 Certification of CFO

Ex-32.1 Section 906 Certification of CEO

Ex-32.2 Section 906 Certification of CFO

#### PART I

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Our actual results could differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. Certain factors that might cause such a difference are discussed in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### **ITEM 1. BUSINESS**

#### **GENERAL**

UniFirst Corporation, together with its subsidiaries, hereunder referred to as we, our, the Company, or UniFirst, is one of the largest providers of workplace uniforms and protective work wear clothing in the United States. We design, manufacture, personalize, rent, clean, deliver, and sell a wide range of uniforms and protective clothing, including shirts, pants, jackets, coveralls, lab coats, smocks, aprons and specialized protective wear, such as flame resistant and high visibility garments. We also rent industrial wiping products, floor mats, facility service products, restroom supplies, and other non-garment items, and provide first aid cabinet services and other safety supplies, to a variety of manufacturers, retailers and service companies. We serve businesses of all sizes in numerous industry categories. At certain specialized facilities, we also decontaminate and clean work clothes that may have been exposed to radioactive materials and service special clean room protective wear.

Our principal services include providing customers with uniforms and other non-garment items, picking up soiled uniforms or other items on a periodic basis (usually weekly), and delivering, at the same time, cleaned and processed items. We offer uniforms in a wide variety of styles, colors, sizes and fabrics and with personalized emblems selected by the customer. Our centralized services, specialized equipment and economies of scale generally allow us to be more cost effective in providing garment services than customers could be themselves, particularly those customers with high employee turnover rates. During fiscal 2008, we manufactured approximately 60% of the garments we placed in service. Because we design and manufacture a majority of our own uniforms and protective clothes, we can produce custom garment programs for our larger customers, offer a diverse range of such designs within our standard line of garments and better control the quality, price and speed at which we produce such garments. In addition, among our competitors, we believe we have the largest in-house digital image processing capability, allowing us to convert an image provided by a customer into customized, mass producible embroidered emblems, typically within two days.

We have six operating segments: US Rental and Cleaning, Canadian Rental and Cleaning, Manufacturing (MFG), Specialty Garments Rental and Cleaning (Specialty Garments), First Aid and Corporate. The US Rental and Cleaning and Canadian Rental and Cleaning operating segments have been combined to form the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment. The US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment purchases, rents, cleans, delivers and sells, uniforms and protective clothing and non-garment items in the United States and Canada. The Corporate operating segment consists of costs associated with our distribution center, sales and marketing, information systems, engineering, materials management, manufacturing planning, finance, budgeting, human resources, other general and administrative costs and interest expense. The revenues generated from the Corporate operating segment represent certain direct sales we made directly from our distribution center. The products sold by this operating segment are the same products rented and sold by the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segments. The MFG operating segment designs and manufactures uniforms and non-garment items solely for the purpose of providing these goods to the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment. The Specialty Garments operating segment purchases, rents, cleans, delivers and sells, specialty garments and non-garment items primarily for nuclear and clean room applications. The First Aid operating segment sells first aid cabinet services and other safety supplies.

In fiscal 2008, we generated \$1.023 billion in revenue, of which approximately 90% was derived from the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning and Corporate segments. Specialty Garments and First Aid accounted for approximately 7% and 3% of our 2008 revenues,

respectively.

#### PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

We provide our customers with personalized workplace uniforms and protective work clothing in a broad range of styles, colors, sizes and fabrics. Our uniform products include shirts, pants, jackets, coveralls, lab coats, smocks, aprons and specialized protective wear, such as flame resistant and high visibility garments. At certain specialized facilities, we also decontaminate and clean clothes which may have been exposed to radioactive materials and service special cleanroom protective wear. We also offer non-garment items and services, such as industrial wiping products, floor mats, dry and wet mops, restroom supplies and other textile products.

We offer our customers a range of garment service options, including full-service rental programs in which garments are cleaned and serviced by us, lease programs in which garments are cleaned and maintained by individual employees and purchase programs to buy garments and related items directly. As part of our rental business, we pick up a customer sociled uniforms and/or other items on a periodic basis (usually weekly) and deliver back cleaned and processed replacement items. We believe our centralized services, specialized equipment and economies of scale generally allow us to be more cost effective in providing garment and related services than customers would be by themselves, particularly those customers with high employee turnover rates. Our uniform program is intended not only to help our customers foster greater company identity, but to enhance their corporate image and improve employee safety, productivity and morale. We primarily serve our customers pursuant to written service contracts that range in duration from three to five years.

#### **CUSTOMERS**

We serve businesses of all sizes in numerous industry categories. During each of the past five years, no single uniform rental customer accounted for more than 1% of our revenues. Our typical customers include automobile service centers and dealers, delivery services, food and general merchandise retailers, food processors and service operations, light manufacturers, maintenance facilities, restaurants, service companies, soft and durable goods wholesalers, transportation companies, and others who require employee clothing for image, identification, protection or utility purposes. Among our largest customers of our conventional uniform rental business are divisions, units, regional operations or franchised agencies of major, nationally recognized organizations. With respect to our Specialty Garment segment, typical customers include government agencies, research and development laboratories, high technology companies and utilities operating nuclear reactors. We currently service over 200,000 customer locations in the United States, Canada and Europe from 201 customer service, distribution and manufacturing facilities.

#### MARKETING, SALES, AND CUSTOMER SERVICE

We market our products and services to a diverse customer base and to prospects that range across virtually all industry segments. Marketing contact is made through print advertising, direct mail, publicity, trade shows, catalogs, telemarketing, multiple web sites and direct field sales representation. We have built and maintain an extensive, proprietary database of prescreened and qualified business prospects that have been sourced from our various promotional initiatives, including mailers, web site contacts, advertising responses, sales calls and lists purchased from third-party providers. These prospect records serve as a primary targeting resource for our professional sales organization and are constantly updated, expanded and maintained by an in-house team of specialist database qualifiers and managers. To aid in the effective marketing of products and services, we supply sales representatives with an extensive selection of sales aids, brochures, presentation materials and vertical market communications tools. We also provide representatives with detailed on-line profiles of high opportunity markets to educate them to the typical issues, needs and concerns of those markets. This helps establish credibility and aids their ability to deliver value-based solutions.

We employ a large team of trained professional sales representatives whose sole function is to market our services to potential customers and develop new accounts. While most of our sales representatives are capable of presenting a full range of service solutions, some are dedicated to developing business for a limited range of products and services or have a specific market focus.

For example, in select geographic markets we employ teams of dedicated facility services sales representatives who focus exclusively on developing business for our floor care, restroom and related service programs. We also employ protective garment specialists who have special knowledge and skill in the more technically-based selling required for success in the flame resistant, high-visibility and related protective garment areas. Additionally, we employ specialist executive-level salespeople in our National Account Organization some who specialize in rental programs and some who specialize in direct sale programs to target the very largest national companies with known uniform and/or facility services program needs. We believe that effective customer service is the most important element in developing and maintaining our market position. Our commitment to service excellence is reflected throughout our organization. Our route sales representatives are the first line of continuing customer contact, but they are supported by local customer service representatives, local service management staff and local operations management leaders, all of whom are focused on addressing the ongoing needs of customers, constantly delivering high-value service and pursuing total customer satisfaction. Our proprietary CRM information system enables us to respond to customer inquiries or issues within 24 hours and our service personnel are specially trained to handle the daily contact work necessary to effectively manage customer relations.

We measure the speed and accuracy of our customer service efforts on a weekly basis and, through our Customers for Life program, we continuously survey, record and report satisfaction levels as a means of auditing current performance and highlighting areas for improvement.

#### **COMPETITION**

The uniform rental and sales industry is highly competitive. The principal methods of competition in the industry are the quality of products, the quality of service and price. We believe that the top four companies in the uniform rental segment of the industry, including UniFirst, currently generate over 40% of the industry s volume. Our leading competitors include Aramark Corporation, Cintas Corporation and G&K Services, Inc. The remainder of the market, however, is divided among more than 400 smaller businesses, many of which serve one or a limited number of markets or geographic service areas. In addition to our traditional rental competitors, we may increasingly compete in the future with businesses that focus on selling uniforms and other related items. We also compete with industry competitors for acquisitions.

#### MANUFACTURING AND SOURCING

We manufactured approximately 60% of all garments which we placed in service during fiscal 2008. These were primarily work pants and shirts manufactured at our plants in Cardenas, Ebano and Valles, which are located in San Luis Potosi, Mexico. The balance of the garments used in our programs are purchased from a variety of industry suppliers. While we currently acquire the raw materials with which we produce our garments from a limited number of suppliers, we believe that such materials are readily available from other sources. To date, we have experienced no significant difficulty in obtaining any of our raw materials or supplies. Currently, we also manufacture approximately 75% of the mats we place in service at our plant in Cave City, Arkansas.

#### **EMPLOYEES**

At August 30, 2008, we employed approximately 11,000 persons. Approximately 2% of our United States employees are represented by a union pursuant to a collective bargaining agreement. We consider our employee relations to be good.

#### **EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

Our executive officers are as follows:

NAME	AGE	POSITION
Ronald D. Croatti	65	Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief
		Executive Officer
John B. Bartlett	67	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Cynthia Croatti	53	Executive Vice President and Treasurer
Bruce P. Boynton	60	Senior Vice President, Operations
David A. DiFillippo	51	Senior Vice President, Operations

The principal occupation and positions for the past five years of our executive officers named above are as follows:

Ronald D. Croatti joined our Company in 1965. Mr. Croatti became Director of our Company in 1982, Vice Chairman of the Board in 1986 and has served as Chief Executive Officer since 1991. He has also served as President since 1995 and Chairman of the Board since 2002. Mr. Croatti has overall responsibility for the management of our Company.

John B. Bartlett joined our Company in 1977. Mr. Bartlett has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since 1986 and has primary responsibility for overseeing the financial functions of our Company, as well as its information systems department.

Cynthia Croatti joined our Company in 1980. Ms. Croatti has served as Director since 1995, Treasurer since 1982 and Executive Vice President since 2001. In addition, she has primary responsibility for overseeing the human resources and purchasing functions of our Company.

Bruce P. Boynton joined our Company in 1976. Mr. Boynton has served as Senior Vice President, Operations since January 2001, is the chief operating officer for our Company s Canadian operations and has primary responsibility for overseeing the operations of certain regions in the United States. From 1986 through 2000, Mr. Boynton served as Vice President, Operations.

David A. DiFillippo joined our Company in 1979. Mr. DiFillippo has served as Senior Vice President, Operations since 2002 and has primary responsibility for overseeing the operations of certain regions in the United States. Since 2000, Mr. DiFillippo has served as Vice President, Central Rental Group and, prior to 2000, he served as a Regional General Manager.

Ronald D. Croatti and Cynthia Croatti are siblings. Anthony F. DiFillippo, a member of our Board of Directors, is Cynthia Croatti s uncle and father of David A. DiFillippo.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS**

We, like our competitors, are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations governing, among other things, the generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of hazardous wastes and other substances. In particular, industrial laundries currently use and must dispose of detergent waste water and other residues, and, in the past, used perchloroethylene and other dry cleaning solvents. We are attentive to the environmental concerns surrounding the disposal of these materials and have through the years taken measures to avoid their improper disposal. Over the years, we have settled, or contributed to the settlement of, actions or claims brought against us relating to the disposal of hazardous materials and there can be no assurance that we will not have to expend material amounts to remediate the consequences of any such disposal in the future. Further, under environmental laws, an owner or lessee of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances located on or in or emanating from such property, as well as related costs of investigation and property damage. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or lessee knew of or was responsible for the presence of such hazardous or toxic substances. There can be no assurance that acquired or leased locations have been operated in compliance with environmental laws and regulations or that future uses or conditions will not result in the imposition of liability upon us under such laws or expose us to third-party actions such as tort suits. We continue to address environmental conditions under terms of consent orders negotiated with the applicable environmental authorities or otherwise with respect to sites located in, or related to, Woburn, Massachusetts, Somerville, Massachusetts, Springfield, Massachusetts, Uvalde, Texas, Stockton, California, Williamstown, Vermont, as well as a number of additional locations that we acquired as part of our acquisition of Textilease Corporation in September 2003. For additional discussion refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the risk factor set forth on page 6 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our nuclear garment decontamination facilities are licensed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, or in certain cases, by the applicable state agency, and are subject to regulation by federal, state and local authorities. In the past, scrutiny and regulation of nuclear facilities and related services have resulted in the suspension of operations at certain nuclear facilities served by us or disruptions in our ability to service such facilities. There can be no assurance that such scrutiny and regulation will not lead to the shut-down of such facilities or otherwise cause material disruptions in our garment decontamination business.

#### AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We make available free of charge our Proxy Statement, Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, including exhibits and any amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. These reports are available on our website at <a href="https://www.unifirst.com">www.unifirst.com</a>. In addition, you may request a copy of our filings, excluding exhibits, by contacting our Investor Relations group at (978) 658-8888 or at UniFirst Corporation, 68 Jonspin Road, Wilmington, MA 01887. Information included on our website is not deemed to be incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or the documents incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The statements in this section, as well as statements described elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, or in other SEC filings, describe risks that could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and the trading price of our securities. These risks are not the only risks that we face. Our business, financial condition and results of operations could also be materially affected by additional factors that are not presently known to us or that we currently consider to be immaterial to our operations.

### SAFE HARBOR FOR FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Forward looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and any documents incorporated by reference are subject to the safe harbor created by

the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward looking statements may be identified by words such as estimates, anticipates, projects, plans, expects, intends, believes, seeks, could, should, may, will, or the negative versions thereof, and similar expression context in which they are used. Such forward looking statements are based upon our current expectations and speak only as of the date made. Such statements are highly dependent upon a variety of risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected in such forward looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to, uncertainties regarding our ability to consummate and successfully integrate acquired businesses, uncertainties regarding any existing or newly-discovered expenses and liabilities related to environmental compliance and remediation, our ability to compete successfully without any significant degradation in our margin rates, seasonal fluctuations in business levels, uncertainties regarding the price levels of natural gas, electricity, fuel and labor, the impact of negative economic conditions on our customers and such customers workforce, the continuing increase in domestic healthcare costs, demand and prices for our products and services, additional professional and internal costs necessary for compliance with recent and proposed future changes in Securities and Exchange Commission (including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002), New York Stock Exchange and accounting rules, strikes and unemployment levels, our efforts to evaluate and potentially reduce internal costs, economic and other developments associated with the war on terrorism and its impact on the economy and general economic conditions. We undertake no obligation to update any forward looking statements to reflect events or circumstances arising after the date on which they are made.

#### RISKS RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY

We face intense competition within our industry, which may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

The uniform rental and sales industry is highly competitive. The principal methods of competition in the industry are quality of products, quality of service and price. We believe that the top four companies in the uniform rental segment of the industry, including us, currently generate over 40% of the industry s volume. Our leading competitors include Aramark Corporation, Cintas Corporation and G&K Services, Inc. The remainder of the market, however, is divided among more than 400 smaller businesses, many of which serve one or a limited number of markets or geographic service areas. In addition to our traditional rental competitors, we may increasingly compete in the future with businesses that focus on selling uniforms and other related items. Increased competition may result in price reductions, reduced gross margins and loss of market share, any of which could have a material effect on our results of operations and financial condition. We also compete with industry competitors for acquisitions, which has the effect of increasing the price for acquisitions and reducing the number of acquisition candidates available to us. If we pay higher prices for businesses we acquire, our returns on investment and profitability may be reduced.

Implementation of our growth strategy may not be successful, which could adversely affect our ability to increase our revenues or our profitability.

As part of our growth strategy, we intend to continue to actively pursue additional acquisition opportunities. However, as discussed above, we compete with others within our industry for suitable acquisition candidates. This competition may increase the price for acquisitions and reduce the number of acquisition candidates available to us. As a result, acquisition candidates may not be available to us in the future on favorable terms. Even if we are able to acquire businesses on favorable terms, managing growth through acquisition is a difficult process that includes integration and training of personnel, combining plant and operating procedures and additional matters related to the integration of acquired businesses within our existing organization. Unanticipated issues related to integration may result in additional expense or in disruption to our operations, either of which could negatively impact our ability to achieve anticipated benefits. While we believe we will be able to fully integrate acquired businesses, we can give no assurance that we will be successful in this regard.

The successful implementation of our growth strategy will require us to increase our work force, the scope of our operating and financial systems and the geographic area of our operations. We believe this growth will increase our operating complexity and the level of responsibility for both existing and new management personnel. Managing and sustaining our growth and expansion may require substantial enhancements to our operational and financial systems and controls, as well as additional administrative, operational and financial resources. There can be no assurance that we will be able to manage our expanding operations successfully or that we will be able to maintain or accelerate our growth, and any failure to do so could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

In order to finance such acquisitions, we may need to obtain additional funds either through public or private financings, including bank and other secured and unsecured borrowings and the issuance of debt or equity securities. There can be no assurance that future issuances of securities in connection with acquisitions will not be dilutive to our shareholders.

The expenses we incur to comply with environmental regulations, including costs associated with potential environmental remediation, may prove to be significant and could have a material adverse affect on our results of operations and financial condition.

We, like our competitors, are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations governing, among other things, the generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of hazardous wastes and other substances. In particular, industrial laundries currently use and must dispose of detergent waste water and other residues, and, in the past, used perchloroethylene and other dry cleaning solvents. Over the years, we have settled, or contributed to the settlement of, actions or claims brought against us relating to the disposal of hazardous materials and there can be no assurance that we will not have to expend material amounts to remediate the consequences of any such disposal in the future. Further, under environmental laws, an owner or lessee of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances located on or in or emanating from such property, as well as related costs of investigation and property damage. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or lessee knew of or was responsible for the presence of such hazardous or toxic substances. There can be no assurance that acquired or leased locations have been operated in compliance with environmental laws and regulations or that future uses or conditions will not result in the imposition of liability upon us under such laws or expose us to third-party actions such as tort suits.

On a quarterly basis, we assess each of our environmental sites to determine whether the costs of investigation and remediation of environmental conditions are probable and can be reasonably estimated as well as the adequacy of our accruals with respect to such costs. There can be no assurance that our accruals with respect to our environmental sites will be sufficient or that the costs of remediation and investigation will not substantially exceed our accruals as new facts, circumstances or estimates arise.

Our nuclear garment decontamination facilities are licensed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, or in certain cases, by the applicable state agency, and are subject to regulation by federal, state and local authorities. In the past, scrutiny and regulation of nuclear facilities and related services have resulted in the suspension of operations at certain nuclear facilities served by us or disruptions in our ability to service such facilities. There can be no assurance that such scrutiny and regulation will not lead to the shut-down of such facilities or otherwise cause material disruptions in our garment decontamination business.

If we are unable to preserve positive labor relationships or become the target of corporate labor unionization campaigns, the resulting labor unrest could disrupt our business by impairing our ability to produce and deliver our products.

We employ approximately 11,000 persons. Approximately 2% of our United States employees are represented by a union pursuant to a collective bargaining agreement. Competitors within our industry have been the target of corporate unionization campaigns by multiple labor unions. While our management believes that our employee relations are good, we cannot assure you that we will not experience pressure from labor unions or become the target of campaigns similar to those faced by our competitors. The potential for unionization could increase if the United States Congress passes federal card check legislation. If we do encounter pressure from labor unions, any resulting labor unrest could disrupt our business by impairing our ability to produce and deliver our products. In addition, significant union representation would require us to negotiate wages, salaries, benefits and other terms with many of our employees collectively and could adversely affect our results of operations by increasing our labor costs or otherwise restricting our ability to maximize the efficiency of our operations.

Economic downturns and declines in consumption in our markets may affect the levels of both our sales and profitability. As widely reported, the domestic and global financial markets have been experiencing extreme disruption in recent months, including severely diminished liquidity and credit availability. Concurrently, economic weakness has begun to accelerate. We believe these conditions have not materially impacted our financial position as of August 30, 2008 or our liquidity for the year ended August 30, 2008. However, we could be negatively impacted if either of these conditions exists for a sustained period of time, or if there is further deterioration in financial markets and major economies. The current tightening of credit in financial markets may adversely affect the ability of our customers and suppliers to obtain financing, which could result in a decrease in, or cancellation of, our services. In addition, weakening economic conditions and outlook may result in a further decline in the level of our customers spending that could adversely affect our results of operations and liquidity. We are unable to predict the likely duration and severity of the current disruption in the domestic and global financial markets and the related adverse economic conditions.

Our business may be adversely affected by global, national, regional or industry specific economic slowdowns.

Global, national, regional or industry specific economic slowdowns, as well as events or conditions in a particular area, such as adverse weather and other factors, may adversely affect our operating results. In addition, increases in interest rates that may lead to a decline in economic activity, while simultaneously resulting in higher interest expense to us under our credit facility and floating rate notes, may adversely affect our operating results.

Economic and business conditions affecting our customer base could negatively impact our sales and operating results.

We supply uniform services to many industries that have been subject to one or more of shifting employment levels, changes in worker productivity, uncertainty regarding the impacts of rehiring and a shift to offshore manufacturing. Economic hardship among our customer base could cause some of our customers to reduce work forces, restrict expenditures or even cease to conduct business, all of which could reduce the number of employees utilizing our uniform services, which would adversely affect our sales and results of operations.

Failure to comply with the other state and federal regulations to which we are subject may result in penalties or costs that could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our business is subject to various other state and federal regulations, including employment laws and regulations, minimum wage requirements, overtime requirements, working condition requirements, citizenship requirements and other laws and regulations. Any appreciable increase in the statutory minimum wage rate, income or overtime pay, adoption of mandated health benefits, or changes to immigration laws and citizenship requirements would likely result in an increase in our labor costs and/or contribute to a shortage of available labor and such cost increase or labor shortage, or the penalties for failing to comply with such statutory minimums or regulations, could have an adverse effect on our business, liquidity and results of operations.

Our business may be subject to seasonal and quarterly fluctuations.

Historically, our revenues and operating results have varied from quarter to quarter and are expected to continue to fluctuate in the future. In addition, our operating results historically have been seasonally lower during the second and fourth fiscal quarters than during the other quarters of the fiscal year. We incur various costs in integrating or establishing newly acquired businesses or start-up operations, and the profitability of a new location is generally expected to be lower in the initial period of its operation than in subsequent periods. Start-up operations in particular

lack the support of an existing customer base and require a significantly longer period to develop sales opportunities and meet targeted operating results.

These factors, among others, make it likely that in some future quarters our results of operations may be below the expectations of securities analysts and investors, which could have an adverse effect on the market price of our Common Stock.

Loss of our key management or other personnel could adversely impact our business.

Our success is largely dependent on the skills, experience and efforts of our senior management and certain other key personnel. If, for any reason, one or more senior executives or key personnel were not to remain active in our Company, our results of operations could be adversely affected. Our future success also depends upon our ability to attract and retain qualified managers and technical and marketing personnel, as well as sufficient numbers of hourly workers. There is competition in the market for the services of such qualified personnel and hourly workers and our failure to attract and retain such personnel or workers could adversely affect our results of operations.

We depend on third parties to supply us with raw materials and ship a large portion of our products, and our results of operations could be adversely affected if we are unable to obtain adequate raw materials and ship our products in a timely manner.

We manufactured approximately 60% of all garments which we placed in service during fiscal 2008. These were primarily work pants and shirts manufactured at our plants in Cardenas, Ebano and Valles, which are located in San Luis Potosi, Mexico. The balance of the garments used in our programs are purchased from a variety of industry suppliers. While we currently acquire the raw materials with which we produce our garments from a limited number of suppliers, we believe that such materials are readily available from other sources. To date, we have experienced no significant difficulty in obtaining any of our raw materials or supplies. However, if we were to experience difficulty obtaining any of our raw materials from such suppliers and were unable to obtain new materials or supplies from other industry suppliers, it could adversely affect our results of operations.

We utilize United Parcel Service and other common carriers to ship a large portion of our products. Strikes or other service interruptions affecting such carriers could impair our ability to deliver products on a timely and cost-effective basis. In addition, because we typically bear the cost of shipment to our customers, any increase in shipping rates could adversely affect our operating results.

Our failure to retain our current customers and renew our existing customer contracts could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Our success depends on our ability to retain our current customers and renew our existing customer contracts. Our ability to do so generally depends on a variety of factors, including the quality, price and responsiveness of our services, as well as our ability to market these services effectively and to differentiate ourselves from our competitors. We cannot assure you that we will be able to renew existing customer contracts at the same or higher rates or that our current customers will not turn to competitors, cease operations, elect to self-operate or terminate contracts with us. The failure to renew a significant number of our existing contracts would have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Increases in fuel and energy costs could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

The price of fuel and energy needed to run our vehicles and equipment is unpredictable and fluctuates based on events outside our control, including geopolitical developments, supply and demand for oil and gas, actions by OPEC and other oil and gas producers, war and unrest in oil producing countries, regional production patterns, limits on refining capacities, natural disasters and environmental concerns. Any increase in fuel and energy costs could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Quarterly fluctuations in our nuclear specialty garment business could disproportionately impact our revenue and net income and create volatility in the price of our Common Stock.

Our nuclear decontamination business is affected by shut-downs, outages and clean-ups of the nuclear facilities we service. We are not able to control or predict with certainty when such shut-downs, outages and clean-ups will occur. Our nuclear decontamination business tends to generate more revenue in the first and third fiscal quarters, which is when nuclear power plants typically schedule their plant outages and refuelings and thereby increase nuclear garment utilization. Quarterly fluctuations in our nuclear decontamination business could have a disproportionate impact on revenue and net income and create volatility in the price of our Common Stock.

Our international business results are influenced by currency fluctuations and other risks that could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

A portion of our sales is derived from international markets. Revenue denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar represented approximately 10% of total consolidated revenues for fiscal 2008, 9% for fiscal 2007 and 8% for fiscal 2006. The operating results of our international subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars and such results are affected by movements in foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar. Our international operations are also subject to other risks, including the requirement to comply with changing and conflicting national and local regulatory requirements, potential difficulties in staffing and labor disputes; managing and obtaining support and distribution for local operations, credit risk or financial condition of local customers, potential imposition of restrictions on investments, potentially adverse tax consequences, including imposition or increase of withholding and other taxes on remittances and other payments by subsidiaries; foreign exchange controls, and local political and social conditions. There can be no assurance that the foregoing factors will not have an adverse effect on our international operations or on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations. We own and operate manufacturing facilities in Mexico. Operations in developing nations present several additional risks, including greater fluctuation in currencies relative to the U.S. dollar, economic and governmental instability, civil disturbances, volatility in gross domestic production, Foreign Corrupt Practice Act compliance issues and nationalization and expropriation of private assets.

Changes in or new interpretations of the governmental regulatory framework may affect our contract terms and may reduce our sales or profits.

A portion of our total consolidated revenues is derived from business with U.S. federal, state and local governments and agencies. Changes or new interpretations in, or changes in the enforcement of, the statutory or regulatory framework applicable to services provided under governmental contracts or bidding procedures could result in fewer new contracts or contract renewals, modifications to the methods we apply to price government contracts or in contract terms of shorter duration than we have historically experienced, any of which could result in lower sales or profits than we have historically achieved, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

The price of our Common Stock may be highly volatile, which could result in significant price declines.

The price of our Common Stock may experience significant volatility. Such volatility may be caused by fluctuations in our operating results, changes in earnings estimated by investment analysts, the number of shares of our Common Stock traded each day, the degree of success we achieve in implementing our business and growth strategies, changes in business or regulatory conditions affecting us, our customers or our competitors and other factors. In addition, the New York Stock Exchange historically has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that often have been unrelated to, or disproportionate to, the operating performance of its listed companies. These fluctuations, as well as general economic, political and market conditions, may adversely affect the market price of our Common Stock.

We are controlled by our principal shareholders, and our other shareholders may be unable to affect the outcome of shareholder voting.

As of October 8, 2008, the members of the Croatti family owned in the aggregate approximately 301,092 shares of our Common Stock and approximately 4,935,369 shares of our Class B Common Stock, which represents approximately 27.1% of the aggregate number of outstanding shares of our Common Stock and Class B Common Stock, but approximately 77.9% of the combined voting power of the outstanding shares of our Common Stock and Class B Common Stock. As a result, the members of the Croatti family, acting with other family members, could effectively control most matters requiring approval by our shareholders, including the election of a majority of the directors. While historically the members of the Croatti family have individually voted their respective shares of Class B Common Stock in the same manner, there is no contractual understanding requiring this and there is no assurance that the family members will continue to individually vote their shares of Class B Common Stock in the same manner. This voting control by the members of the Croatti family, together with certain provisions of our by-laws and articles of organization, could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of our Company that would otherwise be beneficial to our public shareholders.

ITEM 1B.	UNRESOL	VED	STAFF	COMMENTS

None.

#### **ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

As of August 30, 2008, we owned or occupied 201 facilities containing an aggregate of approximately 5.3 million square feet located in the United States, Canada, Mexico and Europe. We owned 103 of these facilities, containing approximately 3.9 million square feet. These facilities include our 320,000 square foot Owensboro, Kentucky distribution center and all of our industrial laundry processing plants. We believe our industrial laundry facilities are among the most modern in the industry.

We own substantially all of the machinery and equipment used in our operations. We believe that our facilities and our production, cleaning and decontamination equipment have been well maintained and are adequate for our present needs. We also own a fleet of approximately 2,700 delivery vans, trucks and other vehicles.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we are subject to legal proceedings and claims arising from the current conduct of our business operations, including personal injury, customer contract, and employment claims. We maintain insurance coverage providing indemnification against the majority of such claims, and we do not expect that we will sustain any material loss as a result thereof.

In addition, we, like our competitors, are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations governing, among other things, the generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of hazardous wastes and other substances. In particular, industrial laundries currently use and must dispose of detergent waste water and other residues, and, in the past, used perchloroethylene and other dry cleaning solvents. Over the years, we have settled, or contributed to the settlement of, actions or claims brought against us relating to the disposal of hazardous materials and there can be no assurance that we will not have to expend material amounts to remediate the consequences of any such disposal in the future. Further, under environmental laws, an owner or lessee of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances located on or in or emanating from such property, as well as related costs of investigation and property damage. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or lessee knew of or was responsible for the presence of such hazardous or toxic substances. There can be no assurance that acquired or leased locations have been operated in compliance with environmental laws and regulations or that future uses or conditions will not result in the imposition of liability upon us under such laws or expose us to third-party actions such as tort suits. Refer to Note 10 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

#### PART II

# ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

### COMMON STOCK INFORMATION

Our Common Stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol UNF, while our Class B Common Stock is not publicly traded. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low closing prices of our Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange, and the dividends per share paid on our Common Stock and Class B Common Stock.

	Price Per Share		Dividends Per Share Class B				
	High	Low	Common Stock	Common Stock			
Year ended August 30, 2008							
First Quarter	\$ 42.30	\$ 36.29	\$ 0.0375	\$ 0.0300			
Second Quarter	41.53	35.97	0.0375	0.0300			
Third Quarter	49.56	35.86	0.0375	0.0300			
Fourth Quarter	48.36	43.03	0.0375	0.0300			
	Price Per Share		Dividends Per S	hare			
				Class B			
	High	Low	Common Stock	Common Stock			
Year ended August 25, 2007							
First Quarter	\$ 40.00	\$ 30.80	\$ 0.0375	\$ 0.0300			
Second Quarter	44.59	38.15	0.0375	0.0300			
Third Quarter	44.59	38.37	0.0375	0.0300			
Fourth Quarter	47.05	37.40	0.0375	0.0300			

The approximate number of shareholders of record of our Common Stock and Class B Common Stock as of November 7, 2008 were 95 and 20, respectively. We believe that the number of beneficial owners of our Common Stock is substantially greater than the number of record holders because a large portion of our Common Stock is held of record in broker street names.

We have paid regular quarterly dividends since 1983 and intend to continue such policy subject to, among other factors, our earnings, financial condition and capital requirements. No dividends will be payable unless declared by our Board of Directors and then only to the extent funds are legally available for the payment of such dividends. In the event that our Board of Directors votes to pay a dividend, our Common Stock must receive a dividend equal to no less than 125% of any dividend paid on the Class B Common Stock. On July 8, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.0375 and \$0.0300 per share on our Common Stock and Class B Common Stock, respectively, that was paid on October 3, 2008 to shareholders of record on September 12, 2008.

The following table sets forth information concerning our equity compensation plans as of August 30, 2008.

#### **Equity Compensation Plan Information**

		Number of securities
		remaining available for
Number of securities to be	Weighted average	future issuance under
issued upon exercise of	exercise price of	equity compensation plan
outstanding options,	outstanding options,	(excluding securities
warrants and rights (a)	warrants and rights (b)	referenced in column (a)) (c)
336,225	\$ 31.90	306,050
336,225	N/A \$ 31.90	306,050

Plan category

Equity compensation plans approved by security holders
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders
Total

#### **Stock Performance Graph**

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the yearly percentage change in the cumulative total shareholder return on our Common Stock, based on the market price of our Common Stock, with the cumulative total shareholder return of a peer group and of companies within the Standard & Poor s 500 Stock Index, in each case assuming reinvestment of dividends. The peer group is composed of Cintas Corporation, G & K Services, Inc. and Angelica Corporation. The calculation of cumulative total shareholder return assumes a \$100 investment in our Common Stock, the peer group and the S&P 500 Stock Index on August 31, 2003.

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8.

The selected consolidated balance sheet data set forth below as of August 30, 2008 and August 25, 2007 and the selected consolidated income statement data for the three years in the period ended August 30, 2008 are derived from our audited Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. All other selected consolidated financial data set forth below are derived from our audited financial statements not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The per share data listed below is accounted for in accordance with the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 03-6, *Participating Securities and the Two-ClaMethod under FAS 128*. EITF Issue No. 03-6 provides guidance in determining when the two-class method, as defined in Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 128, *Earnings per Share*, should be utilized in calculating earnings per share. Our Common Stock has a 25% dividend preference to our Class B Common Stock. The Class B Common Stock, which has ten votes per share as opposed to one vote per share for the Common Stock, is not freely transferable but may be converted at any time on a one-for-one basis into Common Stock at the option of the holder of the Class B Common Stock. EITF Issue No. 03-6 requires the income per share for each class of common stock to be calculated assuming 100% of our earnings are distributed as dividends to each class of common stock based on their respective dividend rights.

#### Five Year Financial Summary

#### **UniFirst Corporation and Subsidiaries**

#### Fiscal Year Ended August

(In thousands, except per share data) Selected Balance Sheet Data:	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Total assets	\$981,667	\$874,464	\$829,702	\$748,305	\$702,366
Long-term obligations	\$235,539	\$206,049	\$210,535	\$176,671	\$178,841
Shareholders' equity	\$556,770	\$497,327	\$452,545	\$412,342	\$368,707
Selected Income Statement Data:					
Revenues	\$1,023,175	\$902,102	\$820,972	\$763,842	\$719,356
Depreciation and amortization	\$53,784	\$48,512	\$45,310	\$43,927	\$44,889
Income from operations	\$108,638	\$84,152	\$73,403	\$76,172	\$64,004
Other expense, net	\$9,939	\$10,690	\$9,146	\$7,001	\$9,406
Provision for income taxes	\$37,721	\$28,267	\$25,049	\$25,823	\$21,020
Net income	\$60,978	\$45,195	\$39,208	\$43,348	\$33,578
Income per share:					
Basic - Common stock	\$3.33	\$2.47	\$2.25	\$2.51	\$1.95
Basic - Class B Common Stock	\$2.66	\$1.98	\$1.80	\$2.01	\$1.56
Diluted - Common stock	\$3.15	\$2.34	\$2.03	\$2.24	\$1.74
Dividends per share:					
Common stock	\$0.15	\$0.15	\$0.15	\$0.15	\$0.15
Class B Common Stock	\$0.12	\$0.12	\$0.12	\$0.12	\$0.12

#### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### **Business Overview**

UniFirst Corporation, together with its subsidiaries, hereunder referred to as we, our, the Company or UniFirst is one of the largest providers of workplace uniforms and protective clothing in the United States. We design, manufacture, personalize, rent, clean, deliver, and sell a wide range of uniforms and protective clothing, including shirts, pants, jackets, coveralls, lab coats, smocks, aprons and specialized protective wear, such as flame resistant and high visibility garments. We also rent industrial wiping products, floor mats, facility service products and other non-garment items, and provide first aid cabinet services and other safety supplies, to a variety of manufacturers, retailers and service companies.

We serve businesses of all sizes in numerous industry categories. Our typical customers include automobile service centers and dealers, delivery services, food and general merchandise retailers, food processors and service operations, light manufacturers, maintenance facilities, restaurants, service companies, soft and durable goods wholesalers, transportation companies, and others who require employee clothing for image, identification, protection or utility purposes. We also provide our customers with restroom supplies, including air fresheners, paper products and hand soaps.

At certain specialized facilities, we also decontaminate and clean work clothes that may have been exposed to radioactive materials and service special clean room protective wear. Our typical customers for these specialized services include government agencies, research and development laboratories, high technology companies and utilities operating nuclear reactors.

We continue to expand into additional geographic markets through acquisitions and organic growth. We currently service over 200,000 customer locations in the United States, Canada and Europe from 201 customer service, distribution and manufacturing facilities.

SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, establishes standards for reporting information regarding operating segments in annual financial statements and requires selected information of those segments to be presented in interim financial reports issued to shareholders. Operating segments are identified as components of an enterprise for which separate discrete financial information is available for evaluation by the chief operating decision-maker, or decision-making group, in making decisions on how to allocate resources and assess performance. Our chief operating decision maker, as defined under SFAS No. 131, is our chief executive officer. We have six operating segments based on the information reviewed by our chief executive officer: US Rental and Cleaning, Canadian Rental and Cleaning, Manufacturing (MFG), Specialty Garments Rental and Cleaning (Specialty Garments), First Aid and Corporate. The US Rental and Cleaning and Canadian Rental and Cleaning operating segments have been combined to form the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment. Refer to Note 14 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for our disclosure of segment information.

The US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment purchases, rents, cleans, delivers and sells, uniforms and protective clothing and non-garment items in the United States and Canada. The operations of the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment are referred to by us as our industrial laundry operations and we refer to the locations related to this reporting segment as our industrial laundries.

The MFG operating segment designs and manufactures uniforms and non-garment items primarily for the purpose of providing these goods to the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment. The amounts reflected as revenues of MFG are generated when goods are shipped from our manufacturing facilities to our other locations. These revenues are recorded at a transfer price which is typically in excess of the actual manufacturing cost. The transfer price is determined by our management and may not necessarily represent the fair value of the products manufactured. Products are carried in inventory and subsequently placed in service and amortized at this transfer price. On a consolidated basis, intercompany MFG revenues and MFG income are eliminated and the carrying value of inventories and rental merchandise in service is reduced to the manufacturing cost. Income before income taxes from MFG, net of the intercompany MFG elimination, was \$29.1 million, \$25.1 million, and \$23.8 million for the years ended August 30, 2008, August 25, 2007 and August 26, 2006, respectively. This income offsets the merchandise amortization costs incurred by the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment as the merchandise costs of this reporting segment are amortized and recognized based on inventories purchased from MFG at the transfer price which is above our manufacturing cost.

The Corporate operating segment consists of costs associated with our distribution center, sales and marketing, information systems, engineering, materials management, manufacturing planning, finance, budgeting, human resources, other general and administrative costs and interest expense. The revenues generated from the Corporate operating segment represent certain direct sales made directly from our distribution center. The products sold by this operating segment are the same products rented and sold by the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment. In the segment disclosures in Note 14 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, no assets or capital expenditures are presented for the Corporate operating segment as no assets are allocated to this operating segment in the information reviewed by our chief executive officer. However, depreciation and amortization expense related to certain assets are reflected in income from operations and income before income taxes for the Corporate operating segment. The assets that give rise to this depreciation and amortization are included in the total assets of the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment as this is how they are tracked and reviewed by us.

We refer to our US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning, MFG, and Corporate segments combined as our core laundry operations.

The Specialty Garments operating segment purchases, rents, cleans, delivers and sells, specialty garments and non-garment items primarily for nuclear and clean room applications. The First Aid operating segment sells first aid cabinet services and other safety supplies.

Approximately 90% of our revenues in fiscal 2008 were derived from US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning, and Corporate. A key driver of this business is the number of workers employed by our customers. Our revenues are directly impacted by fluctuations in these employment

levels. Revenues from Specialty Garments, which accounted for 7% of our 2008 revenues, increase during outages and refueling by nuclear power plants, as garment usage increases at these times. First Aid represented 3% of our total revenue in fiscal 2008.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

We believe the following critical accounting policies reflect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements is in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates are based on historical information, current trends, and information available from other sources. The actual results could differ from our estimates.

Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency of our foreign operations is the local country s currency. Transaction gains and losses, including gains and losses on our intercompany transactions, are included in Other expense (income), in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. Assets and liabilities of operations outside the United States are translated into U.S. dollars using period-end exchange rates. Revenues and expenses are translated at the average exchange rates in effect during each month of the fiscal year. The effects of foreign currency translation adjustments are included in shareholders equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Revenue Recognition and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We recognize revenue from rental operations in the period in which the services are provided. Direct sale revenue is recognized in the period in which the services are performed or when the product is shipped. Our judgment and estimates are used in determining the collectability of accounts receivable and evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. We consider specific accounts receivable and historical bad debt experience, customer credit worthiness, current economic trends and the age of outstanding balances as part of our evaluation. Changes in our estimates are reflected in the period they become known. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. Material changes in our estimates may result in significant differences in the amount and timing of bad debt expense recognition for any given period.

Inventories and Rental Merchandise in Service

Our inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market value, net of any reserve for excess and obsolete inventory. Judgments and estimates are used in determining the likelihood that new goods on hand can be sold to our customers or used in our rental operations. Historical inventory usage and current revenue trends are considered in estimating both excess and obsolete inventories. If actual product demand and market

conditions are less favorable than the amount we projected, additional inventory write-downs may be required. We use the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method to value our inventories, which primarily consist of finished goods.

Rental merchandise in service is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated service lives of the merchandise, which range from 6 to 36 months. In establishing estimated lives for merchandise in service, our management considers historical experience and the intended use of the merchandise. Material differences may result in the amount and timing of operating profit for any period if we make significant changes to our estimates.

Goodwill, Intangibles and Other Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, goodwill is not amortized. SFAS No. 142 requires that companies test goodwill for impairment on an annual basis. In addition, SFAS No. 142 also requires that companies test goodwill if events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit to which goodwill is assigned below its carrying amount. Our evaluation considers changes in the operating environment, competitive information, market trends, operating performance and cash flow modeling. We complete our annual impairment test in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year and there have been no impairments of goodwill or other intangible assets in fiscal 2008, 2007 or 2006 or any events or circumstances that would indicate that there may have been any impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets during such periods. Future events could cause us to conclude that impairment indicators exist and that goodwill or other intangibles associated with previously acquired businesses are impaired. Any resulting impairment loss could have a material impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Property and equipment and definite-lived intangible assets are depreciated or amortized over their useful lives. Useful lives are based on our estimates of the period that the assets will generate revenue. Long-lived assets are evaluated for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate an asset may be impaired. There were no material impairments of property and equipment or definite-lived intangible assets in fiscal 2008, 2007 or 2006.

Insurance

We self-insure for certain obligations related to health, workers—compensation, vehicles and general liability programs. We also purchase stop-loss insurance policies to protect ourselves from catastrophic losses. Judgments and estimates are used in determining the potential value associated with reported claims and for events that have occurred, but have not been reported. Our estimates consider historical claim experience and other factors. Our liabilities are based on our estimates, and, while we believe that our accruals are adequate, the ultimate liability may be significantly different from the amounts recorded. Changes in our claim experience, our ability to settle claims or other estimates and judgments we use could have a material impact on the amount and timing of expense for any given period.

Environmental and Other Contingencies

We are subject to legal proceedings and claims arising from the conduct of our business operations, including environmental matters, personal injury, customer contract matters and employment claims. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States require that a liability for contingencies be recorded when it is probable that a liability has occurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. Significant judgment is required to determine the existence of a liability, as well as the amount to be recorded. We regularly consult with our attorneys and outside consultants to ensure that all of the relevant facts and circumstances are being considered, before a contingent liability is recorded. We record accruals for environmental and other contingencies based on enacted laws, regulatory orders or decrees, our estimates of costs, insurance proceeds, participation by other parties, the timing of payments, and the input of our attorneys and outside consultants.

The estimated liability for environmental contingencies has been discounted using risk-free interest rates ranging from 4% to 4.5% over periods ranging from ten to thirty years. The estimated current costs, net of legal settlements with insurance carriers, have been adjusted for the estimated impact of inflation at 3% per year. Changes in enacted laws, regulatory orders or decrees, our estimates of costs, insurance proceeds, participation by other parties, the timing of payments and the input of our attorneys and outside consultants based on changing legal or factual circumstances could have a material impact on the amounts recorded for our environmental and other contingent liabilities. Refer to Note 10 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion and analysis.

Asset Retirement Obligations

We follow the provisions of SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*, which generally applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and/or the normal operation of a long-lived asset. Under this accounting method, we recognize asset retirement obligations in the period in which they are incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset.

We have recognized as a liability the present value of the estimated future costs to decommission our nuclear laundry facilities in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 143. We depreciate, on a straight-line basis, the amount added to property and equipment and recognize accretion expense in connection with the discounted liability over the various remaining lives which range from approximately one to twenty-three years.

Our estimated liability has been based on historical experience in decommissioning nuclear laundry facilities, estimated useful lives of the underlying assets, external vendor estimates as to the cost to decommission these assets in the future, and federal and state regulatory requirements. The estimated current costs have been adjusted for the estimated impact of inflation at 3% per year. The liability has been discounted using credit-adjusted risk-free rates that range from approximately 3% to 7%. Revisions to the liability could occur due to changes in the estimated useful lives of the underlying assets, estimated dates of decommissioning, changes in decommissioning costs, changes in federal or state regulatory guidance on the decommissioning of such facilities, or other changes in estimates. Changes due to revisions in our estimates will be recognized by adjusting the carrying amount of the liability and the related long-lived asset if the assets are still in service, or charged to expense in the period if the assets are no longer in service.

Derivative Financial Instruments

We account for our derivative instruments in accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and related authoritative guidance. All derivative instruments are recorded as other assets or other liabilities at fair value, which is calculated as an estimate of the future cash flows, and subsequent changes in a derivative s fair value are recognized in income, unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. Cash flows associated with derivatives are classified in the same category as the cash flows hedged in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Derivative instruments that qualify for hedge accounting are classified as a hedge of the variability of cash flows to be paid related to a recognized liability or a forecasted transaction. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective and designated as a cash flow hedge are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income until expense from the cash flows of the hedged items are recognized. We perform an assessment at the inception of the hedge and on a quarterly basis thereafter, to determine whether our derivatives are highly effective in offsetting changes in the value of the hedged items. Any changes in the fair value resulting from hedge ineffectiveness, is immediately recognized as income or expense.

Our hedging activities are transacted only with highly rated institutions, reducing our exposure to credit risk in the event of nonperformance.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan and other Pension Plans

We account for our Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan and other pension plans in accordance with SFAS No. 87, *Employer s Accounting for Pension*, as amended by SFAS No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*. Under SFAS No. 87, pension expense is recognized on an accrual basis over employees estimated service periods. Pension expense calculated under SFAS No. 87 is generally independent of funding decisions or requirements.

The calculation of pension expense and the corresponding liability requires us to use of a number of critical assumptions, including the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and the assumed discount rate. Changes in our assumptions can result in different expense and liability amounts, and future actual experience can differ from these assumptions. Pension expense increases as the expected rate of return on pension plan assets decreases. Future changes in plan asset returns, assumed discount rates and various other factors related to the participants in our pension plans will impact our future pension expense and liabilities. We cannot predict with certainty what these factors will be in the future.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. Deferred income taxes are provided for temporary differences between the amounts recognized for income tax and financial reporting purposes at currently enacted tax rates. We compute income tax expense by jurisdiction based on our operations in each jurisdiction.

We are periodically reviewed by domestic and foreign tax authorities regarding the amount of taxes due. These reviews include questions regarding the timing and amount of deductions and the allocation of income among various tax jurisdictions. In evaluating the exposure associated with various filing positions, we recorded estimated reserves for probable exposures, in accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, through August 25, 2007. On August 26, 2007, we adopted FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48*ccounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, which fundamentally changed the way we are required to treat our uncertain tax positions for financial accounting purposes. Refer to Recent Accounting Pronouncements, in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 3, Income Taxes, for further discussion regarding our adoption of FIN No. 48.

#### **Results of Operations**

The following table presents (in thousands, except for percentages), as a percent of total revenue, certain selected financial data for our three fiscal years ended August 30, 2008, August 25, 2007 and August 26, 2006. Operating costs presented below include merchandise costs related to the amortization of rental merchandise in service and direct sales as well as labor and other production, service and delivery costs associated with operating our industrial laundries, Specialty Garments facilities, First Aid locations and our distribution center. Selling and administrative costs include costs related to our sales and marketing functions as well as general and administrative costs associated with our corporate offices and operating locations including information systems, engineering, materials management, manufacturing planning, finance, budgeting, and human resources.

% Change FY 2008 FY 2007

		% of	% of	% of	vs. v	vs.
Revenues	<b>FY 2008</b> \$ 1,023,175	<b>Revenues FY 2007</b> 100.0% \$902,100	<b>Revenues FY 2006</b> 2 100.0% \$ 820,972	Revenues		F <b>Y 2006</b> 9.9 %
Costs and expenses: Operating costs (1) Selling and administrative expenses (1) Depreciation and amortization	643,886 216,867 53,784 914,537	62.9 572,22 21.2 197,21 5.3 48,512 89.4 817,950	7 21.9 177,565 5.4 45,310	21.6 5.5	12.5 10.0 10.9 11.8	9.1 11.1 7.1 9.4
Income from operations Other expense (income)  Income before income taxes Provision for income taxes	108,638 9,939 98,699 37,721	10.6 84,152 1.0 10,690 9.6 73,462 3.7 28,267	9.3 73,403 1.2 9,146 8.1 64,257 3.1 25,049	8.9 1.1 7.8 3.0	29.1 (7.0 ) 34.4 33.4	14.6 16.9 14.3 12.8
Net income	\$ 60,978	6.0 % \$45,195	5.0 % \$ 39,208	4.8 %	34.9 %	15.3 %

<sup>(1)</sup> Exclusive of depreciation on our property and equipment and amortization of our intangible assets.

Revenues and income (loss) from operations by reporting segment for the three fiscal years ended August 30, 2008, August 25, 2007, and August 26, 2006, are presented in the following table. Refer to Note 14 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of our reporting segments.

	Fiscal year ended A		
(in thousands)	2008	2007	2006
Segment Information			
Revenues			
US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning	\$ 911,044	\$ 799,515	\$ 733,294
MFG	91,834	89,209	72,239
Net intercompany MFG elimination	(91,834)	(89,209)	(72,239)
Corporate	8,942	7,945	5,861
Subtotal: Core Laundry Operations	919,986	807,460	739,155
Specialty Garments	71,637	62,868	51,553
First Aid	31,552	31,774	30,264
	\$ 1,023,175	\$ 902,102	\$820,972
Income (loss) from operations			
US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning	\$ 137,341	\$ 111,801	\$ 103,237
MFG	32,067	30,718	24,493
Net intercompany MFG elimination	(2,632 )	(5,366)	(637)
Corporate	(63,229 )	(58,925)	(56,199 )
Subtotal: Core Laundry Operations	103,547	78,228	70,894
Specialty Garments	4,204	4,498	147
First Aid	887	1,426	2,362
	\$ 108,638	\$ 84,152	\$73,403

General

We derive our revenues through the design, manufacture, personalization, rental, cleaning, delivering, and selling of a wide range of uniforms and protective clothing, including shirts, pants, jackets, coveralls, lab coats, smocks and aprons and specialized protective wear, such as flame resistant and high visibility garments. We also rent industrial wiping products, floor mats, facility service products, other non-garment items, and provide first aid cabinet services and other safety supplies, to a variety of manufacturers, retailers and service companies. We have five reporting segments, US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning, Manufacturing (MFG), Corporate, Specialty Garments Rental and Cleaning (Specialty Garments), and First Aid. We refer to the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning, MFG, and Corporate reporting segments combined as our core laundry operations.

Operating costs include merchandise costs related to the amortization of rental merchandise in service and direct sales as well as labor and other production, service and delivery costs, and distribution costs associated with operating our core laundry operations, Specialty Garments facilities, and First Aid locations. Selling and administrative costs include costs related to our sales and marketing functions as well as general and administrative costs associated with our corporate offices and operating locations including information systems, engineering, materials management, manufacturing planning, finance, budgeting, and human resources.

Fiscal Year Ended August 30, 2008 Compared with Fiscal Year Ended August 25, 2007

Revenues

	Augu 2008	ıst 30,	August 25, 2007	Dollar Change	Percei Chang	
	(In the	ousands, ex	cept percentage	s)		
Core Laundry Operations	\$ 9	19,986	\$ 807,460	\$ 112,526	13.9	%
Specialty Garments	7	1,637	62,868	8,769	13.9	
First Aid	3	1,552	31,774	(222	0.7	)
Total consolidated revenues	\$ 1	,023,175	\$ 902,102	\$ 121,073	13.4	%

In fiscal 2008, our consolidated revenues increased by \$121.1 million from the comparable period in 2007, or 13.4%. This increase was primarily due to organic growth, which accounted for a 7.2% increase in revenue. The remaining increase was attributable to incremental acquisition-related revenues, which accounted for a 2.9% increase in revenue, the effect of an extra week in fiscal 2008 compared to 2007, which accounted for a 2.2% increase in revenue, and the effect of foreign exchange fluctuations, which accounted for a 1.1% increase in revenue. On a segment reporting basis, the core laundry operation s revenues increased in fiscal 2008 by 13.9% compared to fiscal 2007. This increase was due to organic growth of 7.5%, acquisition-related growth of 3.2%, the effect of the extra week in fiscal 2008 of 2.2%, and the effect of foreign exchange fluctuations of 1.0%. Specialty Garments revenues increased in fiscal 2008 by 13.9% compared to fiscal 2007, which was driven by strong growth in the segment s Canadian and European business, the benefit of the extra week as well as the effect of favorable foreign exchange fluctuations. First Aid revenues decreased by 0.7% in fiscal 2008 compared to the prior year due to a difficult selling environment as well as the discontinuance of a product line in fiscal 2008.

Operating costs

Operating costs increased to \$643.9 million, or 62.9% of revenues, for fiscal 2008 as compared to \$572.2 million, or 63.4% of revenues, for fiscal 2007. The decrease in costs as a percent of revenue was primarily attributable to lower merchandise costs in our core laundry business as a percentage of revenues compared to fiscal 2007. In addition, the strong revenue growth within our core laundry operations resulted in a decrease in production payroll as a percentage of revenues. These benefits were partially offset by higher energy costs associated with operating our fleet of delivery trucks, as well as by higher overall operating costs as a percentage of revenues in our Specialty Garments segment.

Selling and administrative expense

Selling and administrative expenses increased to \$216.9 million, or 21.2% of revenues, for fiscal 2008 from \$197.2 million, or 21.9% of revenues, for fiscal 2007. The decrease in selling and administrative expenses as a percent of revenues was primarily due to the strong revenue growth within our core laundry operations, which resulted in a decrease in certain administrative payroll costs as a percentage of revenues. In addition, we made adjustments to our environmental reserves as well as severance expense related to the departure of one of our senior executives in fiscal 2007, both affecting the core laundry operations. Overall selling and administrative costs associated with our Specialty Garments segment increased as a percentage of segment revenues in fiscal 2008.

Depreciation and amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$5.3 million, from \$48.5 million in fiscal 2007 to \$53.8 million in fiscal 2008. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense was due to capital expenditure and acquisition activity. As a percent of revenues, however, depreciation and amortization expense decreased to 5.3% in fiscal 2008 compared to 5.4% in fiscal 2007.

Income from operations

For the year ended August 30, 2008, the revenue growth in our core laundry operations and Specialty Garments segments, as well as the changes in our costs discussed above, resulted in the following changes in our income from operations:

	20	ugust 30, 1008 n thousands	, exc	August 25, 2007 ept percentag	ges)	Dollar Change		Percer Chang	
Core Laundry Operations	\$	103,547		\$ 78,228		\$ 25,319		32.4	%
Specialty Garments		4,204		4,498		(294	)	(6.5	)
First Aid		887		1,426		(539	)	(37.8	)
Total consolidated income from operations	\$	108,638		\$ 84,152		\$ 24,486		29.1	%
Percentage of total revenues		10.6	%	9.3	%				

Other expense (income)

Other expense (income), which includes interest expense, interest income and foreign currency exchange (gain) loss, decreased by \$0.8 million to \$9.9 million in fiscal 2008 compared to \$10.7 million in 2007. Net interest expense decreased due to lower interest rates affecting our variable interest borrowings under our Floating Rate Notes. The effect of the lower average interest rates more than offset an increase in our average debt outstanding during the period. Our average debt outstanding increased from \$208.3 million during fiscal 2007 to \$220.8 million during fiscal 2008. In fiscal 2008, we experienced a foreign exchange loss of \$0.2 million compared to a gain of \$0.1 million in fiscal 2007.

Provision for income taxes

Our effective income tax rate was 38.2% for fiscal 2008 and 38.5% for fiscal 2007. The decrease in our effective income tax rate in fiscal 2008 was primarily due to the reduction of tax reserves the Company determined were no longer required and a lower Canadian tax rate.

#### Fiscal Year Ended August 25, 2007 Compared with Fiscal Year Ended August 26, 2006

Revenues

	August 2007	25, August 26, 2006	Dollar Change	Percent Change
	(In thous	sands, except percentage	ges)	
Core Laundry Operations	\$ 807	,460 \$ 739,155	\$ 68,305	9.2 %
Specialty Garments	62,	368 51,553	11,315	21.9
First Aid	31,	774 30,264	1,510	5.0
Total consolidated revenues	\$ 902	,102 \$ 820,972	\$ 81,130	9.9 %

In 2007, our consolidated revenues increased by \$81.1 million from the comparable period in 2006, or 9.9%. This increase was primarily due to organic growth, which accounted for a 6.9% increase in revenue. The remaining increase was attributable to incremental acquisition related revenues, which accounted for 2.4% of the increase, and the effect of foreign exchange fluctuations, which accounted for the remaining 0.5% of the increase. On a segment reporting basis, the core laundry operation s revenues increased in 2007 by 9.2% compared to fiscal 2006. This increase was due to organic growth of 6.4%, acquisition-related growth of 2.6% and the effect of foreign exchange fluctuations of 0.2%. Specialty Garments revenue increased in 2007 by 21.9% compared to fiscal 2006, and was primarily driven by strong growth in the segment s Canadian and European business. First Aid revenues increased by 5.0% in fiscal 2007 compared to the prior fiscal year.

Operating costs

Operating costs increased to \$572.2 million, or 63.4% of revenues, for 2007 as compared to \$524.7 million, or 63.9% of revenues, for 2006. The decrease in costs as a percent of revenue was primarily attributable to lower energy costs associated with operation of our industrial laundries and our fleet of delivery vehicles. In addition, our core laundry operations saw modest reductions in its production payroll costs as a percentage of revenues. Overall, operating costs from Specialty Garments also decreased as a percent of segment revenues due to its increase in revenues as well as an incremental \$0.8 million in expense incurred in fiscal 2006 related to the decommissioning of two of its facilities. During fiscal 2007, First Aid operating costs increased as a percent of segment revenues due to transition costs it experienced while consolidating its distribution facilities.

Selling and administrative expense

Selling and administrative expenses increased to \$197.2 million, or 21.9% of revenues, for 2007 from \$177.6 million, or 21.6% of revenues, for 2006. The increase in selling and administrative expenses as a percent of revenues was primarily due to adjustments made in fiscal 2007 to our environmental reserves as well as severance expense related to the departure of one of our senior executives, both affecting the core laundry operations. In addition, we recorded a \$0.6 million gain from the sale of one of our industrial laundry facilities in fiscal 2006. Overall, selling and administrative costs associated with our Specialty Garments segment decreased as a percentage of segment revenues due to the increase in revenues discussed above.

Depreciation and amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$3.2 million, from \$45.3 million in fiscal 2006 to \$48.5 million in fiscal 2007, but decreased as a percent of revenues from 5.5% to 5.4%. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense was due to capital expenditure and acquisition activity.

Income from operations

For the year ended August 25, 2007, the revenue growth in our core laundry operations, Specialty Garments and First Aid Segments, as well as the change in our costs, discussed above, resulted in the following changes in our income from operations:

	August 25, 2007		August 26, 2006	Dollar Change	Percent Change
	(Iı	n thousands	s, except percenta	ges)	
Core Laundry Operations	\$	78,228	\$ 70,894	\$ 7,333	10.3 %
Specialty Garments		4,498	147	4,351	2,959.9
First Aid		1,426	2,362	(935	) (39.6 )
Total consolidated income from operations	\$	84,152	\$ 73,403	\$ 10,749	14.6 %
Percentage of total revenues		9.3	% 8.9	%	

Other expense (income)

Other expense, which includes interest expense, interest income and foreign currency gain (loss), increased by \$1.5 million to \$10.7 million in 2007, compared to \$9.2 million in 2006. Net interest expense increased due to an increase in our average debt outstanding, which increased from \$193.6 million during fiscal 2006 to \$208.3 million during 2007, as well as higher interest rates affecting our variable interest borrowings under our Floating Rate Notes. In fiscal 2007, we had a foreign exchange gain of \$0.1 million compared to a gain of \$0.4 million in fiscal 2006.

Provision for income taxes

Our effective income tax rate was 38.5% for 2007 and 39.0% for 2006. The decrease in our effective income tax rate in fiscal 2007 was primarily due to lower state income tax expenses and other permanent items as a percentage of pretax income as compared to 2006.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

*General.* For the fiscal year ended August 30, 2008, we had a net increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$13.0 million. As of August 30, 2008, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$25.7 million and working capital of \$133.2 million. We believe that current cash and cash equivalent balances, cash generated from operations and amounts available under our Amended Credit Agreement (defined below) will be sufficient to meet our currently anticipated working capital and capital expenditure requirements.

Sources and uses of cash. During the fiscal year ended August 30, 2008, we generated cash from operating activities of \$119.5 million resulting primarily from net income of \$61.0 million, amounts charged for depreciation and amortization of \$53.8 million, increases in accounts payable and accruals of \$13.4 million, increases in accrued and deferred income taxes of \$1.8 million, and amounts charged to share-based compensation of \$1.1 million. These inflows were partially offset by an increase in accounts receivable of \$8.5 million, an increase in rental merchandise in service of \$2.0 million, and an increase in inventories of \$1.8 million. We used cash to, among other things, fund \$73.8 million in capital expenditures and fund the acquisition of businesses of approximately \$61.0 million. Our long-term debt increased by approximately \$29.8 million as a result of \$175.4 million of borrowings offset by \$145.6 million of payments during the year ended August 30, 2008

Long-term debt and borrowing capacity. As of September 26, 2006, we had a \$125.0 million unsecured revolving credit agreement ( Credit Agreement ) with a syndicate of banks, which would have matured on September 2, 2007. However, on September 13, 2006, we amended our Credit Agreement. This new agreement ( Amended Credit Agreement ) matures on September 13, 2011 and allows for maximum outstanding borrowings of \$225.0 million. Under the Amended Credit Agreement, we can borrow funds at variable interest rates based on the Eurodollar rate or the bank s prime rate, as selected by us. Availability of credit requires our compliance with certain amended financial and other covenants, including a maximum funded debt ratio and minimum interest coverage as defined in the Amended Credit Agreement. We generally test our compliance with these financial covenants on a fiscal quarterly basis. At August 30, 2008, the interest rates applicable to our borrowings under the Amended Credit Agreement were calculated as LIBOR plus 50 basis points at the time of the respective borrowing and ranged from 2.96% to 5.00%. As of August 30, 2008, we had outstanding borrowings of approximately \$54.9 million and outstanding letters of credit of \$32.3 million, which leaves \$137.8 million available for borrowing.

On June 14, 2004, we issued \$165.0 million of fixed and floating rate notes pursuant to a Note Purchase Agreement (Note Agreement). Under the Note Agreement, we issued \$75.0 million of notes with a seven year term (June 2011) bearing interest at 5.27% (Fixed Rate Notes). We also issued \$90.0 million of floating rate notes due in ten years (June 2014) (Floating Rate Notes). Of the Floating Rate Notes, \$15.0 million bore interest at LIBOR plus 75 basis points and were repaid in September 2005. The remaining \$75.0 million bore interest at LIBOR plus 70 basis points and were repaid at face value on September 14, 2006.

On September 14, 2006, we issued \$100.0 million of floating rates notes ( 2006 Floating Rate Notes ) pursuant to a Note Purchase Agreement ( 2006 Note Agreement ). The 2006 Floating Rate Notes mature on September 14, 2013, bear interest at LIBOR plus 50 basis points and may be repaid at face value two years from the date of issuance. The proceeds from the issuance of the 2006 Floating Rate Notes were used to first repay the \$75.0 million of outstanding Floating Rate Notes and then to pay down outstanding amounts under the Amended Credit Agreement.

As of August 30, 2008, we were in compliance with all the covenants under the Amended Credit Agreement, the Note Agreement and the 2006 Note Agreement.

In January 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement to manage our exposure to interest rate movements and the related effect on our variable rate debt. The swap agreement, with a notional amount of \$100.0 million, matures on March 14, 2011. We pay a fixed rate of 3.51% and receive a variable rate tied to the three month LIBOR rate. We have accounted for this instrument as a cash flow hedge under SFAS No. 133 and, as a result, have recorded all changes in the fair value of the swap agreement in accumulated other comprehensive income, a component of shareholders equity. For additional information on the interest rate swap, see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Environmental and Legal Contingencies

We are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations governing, among other things, the generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of hazardous wastes and other substances. In particular, industrial laundries currently use and must dispose of detergent waste water and other residues, and, in the past, used perchloroethylene and other dry cleaning solvents. We are attentive to the environmental concerns surrounding the disposal of these materials and have, through the years, taken measures to avoid their improper disposal. Over the years, we have settled, or contributed to the settlement of, actions or claims brought against us relating to the disposal of hazardous materials and there can be no assurance that we will not have to expend material amounts to remediate the consequences of any such disposal in the future.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States require that a liability for contingencies be recorded when it is probable that a liability has occurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. Significant judgment is required to determine the existence of a liability, as well as the amount to be recorded. We regularly consult with attorneys and outside consultants to ensure that all of the relevant facts and circumstances are considered, before a contingent liability is recorded. Changes in enacted laws, regulatory orders or decrees, management s estimates of costs, insurance proceeds, participation by other parties, the timing of payments and the input of outside consultants and attorneys based on changing legal or factual circumstances could have a material impact on the amounts recorded for environmental and other contingent liabilities.

Under environmental laws, an owner or lessee of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances located on, or in, or emanating from such property, as well as related costs of investigation and property damage. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or lessee knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such hazardous or toxic substances. There can be no assurances that acquired or leased locations have been operated in compliance with environmental laws and regulations or that future uses or conditions will not result in the imposition of liability upon our Company under such laws or expose our Company to third party actions such as tort suits. We continue to address environmental conditions under terms of consent orders negotiated with the applicable environmental authorities or otherwise with respect to sites located in or related to Woburn, Massachusetts, Somerville, Massachusetts, Springfield, Massachusetts, Uvalde, Texas, Stockton, California, three sites in Williamstown, Vermont, as well as a number of additional locations that we acquired as part of our acquisition of Textilease Corporation in September 2003.

We have accrued certain costs related to the sites described above as it has been determined that the costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated. We continue to investigate environmental conditions at the Somerville, Massachusetts site. The full nature and extent of those conditions, and of the remedial solutions that may be employed to address them, have not yet been finally determined. In the interim, as the investigation proceeds, we are implementing measures to mitigate potential impacts in the vicinity of the site. We also have potential exposure related to an additional parcel of land (the Central Area ) related to the Woburn, Massachusetts site discussed above. Currently, the consent order for the Woburn, Massachusetts site discussed above does not define or require any remediation work in the Central Area. We have not accrued for this contingency as we believe, at this time, the liability is not probable and the amount of such contingent liability cannot be reasonably estimated.

We routinely review and evaluate sites that may require remediation and monitoring and determine our estimated costs based on various estimates and assumptions. These estimates are developed using our internal sources or by third-party environmental engineers or other service providers. Internally developed estimates are based on:

Management s judgment and experience in remediating and monitoring the Company s sites;

Information available from regulatory agencies as to costs of remediation and monitoring;

The number, financial resources and relative degree of responsibility of other potentially responsible parties (PRPs) who may be liable for remediation and monitoring of a specific site, and;

The typical allocation of costs among PRPs.

There is usually a range of reasonable estimates of the costs associated with each site. We generally use the amount within the range that constitutes our best estimate. When we believe that both the amount of a particular liability and the timing of the payments are reliably determinable, we adjust the cost in current dollars using a rate of 3% for inflation until the time of expected payment and discount the cost to present value using risk-free interest rates ranging from 4% to 4.5%.

For environmental liabilities that have been discounted, we include interest accretion, based on the effective interest method, in operating costs on the consolidated statements of income. The changes to our environmental liabilities for the years ended August 30, 2008 and August 25, 2007 are as follows (in thousands):

	August 30	, August 25,
Year ended	2008	2007
Beginning balance	\$15,683	\$ 10,858
Costs incurred for which reserves have been provided	(4,069	) (2,500 )
Insurance proceeds received	167	121
Interest accretion	783	577
Revision in estimates	2,533	6,627
Ending balance	\$15,097	\$ 15,683

In fiscal 2008 and 2007, we made adjustments to increase our environmental related reserves by \$2.5 million and \$6.6 million, respectively. These increases were primarily due to an ongoing investigation at one of our environmental exposure sites.

Anticipated payments and insurance proceeds of currently identified environmental remediation liabilities as of August 30, 2008 for the next five years and thereafter as measured in current dollars are reflected below.

(In Thousands) Estimated costs current dollars	<b>2009</b> \$4,366	<b>2010</b> \$1,497	<b>2011</b> \$1,040	<b>2012</b> \$947	<b>2013</b> \$799	Thereafter \$12,559	<b>Total</b> \$21,208	
Estimated insurance proceeds	(180	) (180	) (188	) (180	) (180	) (2,434 )	(3,342	)
Net anticipated costs	\$4,186	\$1,317	\$852	\$767	\$619	\$10,125	\$17,866	
Effect of Inflation Effect of Discounting							6,532 (9,301	)
Balance as of August 30, 2008							\$15,097	

Estimated insurance proceeds are primarily received from an annuity received as part of our legal settlement with an insurance company. Annual proceeds of approximately \$0.3 million are deposited into an escrow account which funds remediation and monitoring costs for three sites related to our former operations in Williamstown, Vermont. Annual proceeds received but not expended in the current year accumulate in this account and may be used in future years for costs related to this site through the year 2027. As of August 30, 2008 the balance in this escrow account, which is held in a trust and is not recorded on our consolidated balance sheet, was approximately \$2.5 million. Also included in estimated insurance proceeds are amounts we are entitled to receive pursuant to legal settlements as reimbursements from three insurance companies for estimated costs at the site in Uvalde, Texas.

Our nuclear garment decontamination facilities are licensed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission ( NRC ), or, in certain cases, by the applicable state agency, and are subject to regulation by federal, state and local authorities. There can be no assurance that such regulation will not lead to material disruptions in our garment decontamination business.

From time to time, we are also subject to legal proceedings and claims arising from the conduct of our business operations, including litigation related to charges for certain ancillary services on invoices, personal injury claims, customer contract matters, employment claims and environmental matters as described above.

While it is impossible for us to ascertain the ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to contingent liabilities, including lawsuits and environmental contingencies, we believe that the aggregate amount of such liabilities, if any, in excess of amounts we have accrued or are covered by insurance, will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations. It is possible, however, that our future financial position and/or results of operations for any particular period could be materially affected by changes in our assumptions or strategies related to these contingencies or changes out of our control.

#### Acquisitions

As part of our business, we regularly evaluate opportunities to acquire other garment service companies. In recent years, we have typically paid for acquisitions with cash and may continue to do so in the future. To pay for an acquisition, we may use cash on hand, cash generated from operations or borrowings under our Amended Credit Agreement, or we may pursue other forms of debt financing. Our ability to secure short-term and long-term debt financing in the future will depend on several factors, including our future profitability, our levels of debt and equity, and the overall credit and equity market environments.

Contractual Obligations and Other Commercial Commitments

The following information is presented as of August 30, 2008 (in thousands).

#### Payments Due by Fiscal Period

		Less			
		than 1	1-3	3 - 5	More than
Contractual Obligations	Total	year	years	years	5 years
Private placement	\$175,000	\$	\$75,000	\$	\$100,000
Revolving credit agreement	54,850			54,850	
Other debt	5,689	4,222	427	142	898
Total debt	235,539	4,222	75,427	54,992	100,898
Operating leases	16,106	4,733	7,333	3,240	800
Total Contractual Cash Obligations	\$251,645	\$8,955	\$82,760	\$58,232	\$101,698

Less

As discussed above under Long-Term Debt and Borrowing Capacity , as of August 30, 2008, we had borrowing capacity of \$225.0 million under our Amended Credit Agreement, of which approximately \$137.8 million was available for borrowing. Also, as of such date, we had outstanding borrowings of \$54.9 million included in bank debt in the above schedule and letters of credit of \$32.3 million. All letters of credit expire in less

Edgar Filing: UNIFIRST CORP - Form 10-K
than one year.
Seasonality
Historically, our revenues and operating results have varied from quarter to quarter and are expected to continue to fluctuate in the future. These fluctuations have been due to a number of factors, including: general economic conditions in our markets; the timing of acquisitions and of commencing start-up operations and related costs; our effectiveness in integrating acquired businesses and start-up operations; the timing of nuclear plant outages; capital expenditures; seasonal rental and purchasing patterns of our customers; and price changes in response to competitive factors. In addition, our operating results historically have been lower during the second and fourth fiscal quarters than during the other quarters of the fiscal year. The operating results for any historical quarter are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for an entire fiscal year or any other interim periods.
Effects of Inflation
In general, we believe that our results of operations are not dependent on moderate changes in the inflation rate. Historically, we have been able to manage the impacts of more significant changes in inflation rates through our customer relationships, customer agreements that generally provide for price increases consistent with the rate of inflation, and continued focus on improvements of operational productivity.
Energy Costs
Energy costs associated with operating our fleet of delivery trucks increased during fiscal year 2008. Significant increases in energy costs, specifically natural gas and gasoline, can materially affect our results of operations and financial condition. Our energy costs, which include fuel natural gas, and electricity, represent approximately 5% of our total revenue.
Recent Accounting Pronouncements
In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, <i>Fair Value Measurements</i> . SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement does not require any new fair value measurements; rather, it applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and all interim periods within those fiscal years and must be applied prospectively as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which this statement is initially applied, with any transition adjustment recognized as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. However, on February 12, 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position 157-2 which delays the effective date of Statement 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. For items within its scope, this Staff Position defers the effective date of Statement 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact SFAS No. 157 will have on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, which permits an entity to measure certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. Under SFAS No. 159, entities that elect the fair value option will

report unrealized gains and losses in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. The fair value option may be elected on an

instrument-by-instrument basis, with a few exceptions, as long as it is applied to the instrument in its entirety. The fair value option election is irrevocable, unless a new election date occurs. SFAS No. 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements to help financial statement users understand the effect of the entity's election on its earnings. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and we do not expect that its adoption will have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. SFAS No. 161 amends SFAS No. 133 requiring enhanced disclosures about an entity s derivative and hedging activities thereby improving the transparency of financial reporting. SFAS No. 161 s disclosures provide additional information on how and why derivative instruments are being used. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. We do not anticipate that the adoption of this pronouncement will have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements. Adoption of SFAS No. 161 will result in enhanced disclosure regarding our derivatives should we then have any outstanding.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations*, (SFAS No. 141R). SFAS No. 141R will change how business acquisitions are accounted for and will impact financial statements both on the acquisition date and in subsequent periods. SFAS No. 141R is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, this statement will have on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued a Staff Position on Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities*. EITF Issue No. 03-6-1 addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share (EPS). This consensus is effective for our fiscal year beginning September 1, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact EITF Issue No. 03-6-1 will have on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

On August 26, 2007, we adopted FIN No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN No. 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. It also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. There were no adjustments to our Consolidated Financial Statements as a result of the implementation of FIN No. 48. Our adoption of FIN No. 48 is more fully described in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

On September 29, 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of SFAS No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(r)*, which requires a company to: (1) recognize in its statement of financial position the over-funded or under-funded status of its defined benefit postretirement plan measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation, (2) recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits that arise during the period but pursuant to SFAS No. 87 and SFAS No. 106 are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost, (3) measure defined benefit plan assets and defined benefit plan obligations as of the date of its statement of financial position, (4) disclose additional information in the notes to financial statements about certain effects on net periodic benefit cost in the upcoming fiscal year that arise from delayed recognition of the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits, and (5) recognize as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings, net of tax, any transition asset or transition obligation remaining from the initial application of SFAS No. 87 or SFAS No. 106. We adopted SFAS No. 158 on August 25, 2007, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our financial statements. Refer to Note 6, Employee Benefit Plans, of the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion regarding our adoption of SFAS No. 158.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

We have determined that all of our foreign subsidiaries operate primarily in local currencies that represent the functional currencies of such subsidiaries. All assets and liabilities of our foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars using the exchange rate prevailing at the balance sheet date,. The effect of exchange rate fluctuations on translation of assets and liabilities are recorded as a component of shareholders—equity. Income and expense accounts are translated at average exchange rates during the year. As such, our financial condition and operating results are affected by fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar as compared to currencies in foreign countries. Revenue denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar represented approximately 10%, 9% and 8% of our total consolidated revenues for the fiscal years ended August 30, 2008, August 25, 2007 and August 26, 2006, respectively. Total assets denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar represented approximately 10%, 9%, and 7% of our total consolidated assets at August 30, 2008, August 25, 2007 and August 26, 2006, respectively. If exchange rates had increased or decreased by 10% from the actual rates in effect during the year ended August 30, 2008, our revenues and assets for the year ended and as of August 30, 2008 would have increased or decreased by approximately \$9.9 million and \$9.3 million, respectively.

We do not operate a hedging program to mitigate the effect of a significant change in the value of our foreign subsidiaries functional currencies, which include the Canadian Dollar, Euro, British Pound, and Mexican Peso, as compared to the U.S. dollar. Any gains or losses resulting from foreign currency transactions, including exchange rate fluctuations on intercompany accounts are reported as transaction (gains) losses in our other expense (income). The intercompany payables and receivables are denominated in Canadian Dollars, Euros, British Pounds and Mexican Pesos. During the year ended August 30, 2008, transaction losses included in Other expense (income) were \$0.2 million. If the exchange rates had increased or decreased by 10% during the year ended August 30, 2008, we would have recognized an exchange gain or loss, as applicable, of approximately \$0.3 million.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates which may adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. In seeking to minimize the risks from interest rate fluctuations, we manage these exposures through our regular operating and financing activities. We are exposed to interest rate risk primarily through our borrowings under our \$225.0 million Amended Credit Agreement with a syndicate of banks and our 2006 Floating Rate Notes which were purchased by a group of insurance companies pursuant to the 2006 Note Agreement. Under both agreements, we borrow funds at variable interest rates based on the Eurodollar rate or LIBOR rates. If the LIBOR and Eurodollar rates fluctuated by 10% from the actual rates in effect during the year ended August 30, 2008, our interest expense would have fluctuated by approximately \$0.7 million from the interest expense recognized for the year ended August 30, 2008.

In January 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement to manage our exposure to interest rate movements and the related effect on our variable rate debt. The swap agreement, with a notional amount of \$100.0 million, matures on March 14, 2011. We pay a fixed rate of 3.51% and receive a variable rate tied to the three month LIBOR rate. We have accounted for this instrument as a cash flow hedge under SFAS No. 133 and, as a result, have recorded all changes in the fair value of the swap agreement in accumulated other comprehensive income, a component of shareholders equity.

### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

### **Consolidated Statements of Income**

### **UniFirst Corporation and Subsidiaries**

Year ended	August 30,	August 25,	August 26,
(In thousands, except per share data)	<b>2008</b> \$1,023,175	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
Revenues		\$902,102	\$820,972
Cost and expenses: Operating costs (1) Selling and administrative expenses (1) Depreciation and amortization	643,886	572,221	524,694
	216,867	197,217	177,565
	53,784	48,512	45,310
	914,537	817,950	747,569
Income from operations	108,638	84,152	73,403
Other expense (income): Interest expense Interest income Foreign exchange loss (gain)	11,999	12,675	11,119
	(2,224 )	(1,916 )	(1,575 )
	164	(69 )	(398 )
	9,939	10,690	9,146
Income before income taxes Provision for income taxes	98,699	73,462	64,257
	37,721	28,267	25,049
Net income	\$60,978	\$45,195	\$39,208
Income per share Basic: Common Stock Class B Common Stock	\$3.33	\$2.47	\$2.25
	\$2.66	\$1.98	\$1.80
Income per share Diluted: Common Stock	\$3.15	\$2.34	\$2.03
Weighted average number of shares outstanding Basic: Common Stock Class B Common Stock	14,363	14,325	10,146
	4,937	4,939	9,096
	19,300	19,264	19,242
Weighted average number of shares outstanding Diluted: Common Stock	19,353	19,342	19,313
Dividends per share: Common Stock Class B Common Stock	\$0.15	\$0.15	\$0.15
	\$0.12	\$0.12	\$0.12

<sup>(1)</sup> Exclusive of depreciation on the Company s property and equipment and amortization of its intangible assets.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these

# **Consolidated Balance Sheets**

### **UniFirst Corporation and Subsidiaries**

	August 30,	August 25,
(In thousands, except share data) Assets	2008	2007
Cash and cash equivalents	\$25,655	\$12,698
Receivables, less reserves of \$4,164 and \$4,144 respectively	102,830	91,906
Inventories	46,154	44,282
Rental merchandise in service	92,315	86,129
Prepaid and deferred income taxes	15,431	13,399
Prepaid expenses	1,720	1,807
Total current assets	284,105	250,221
Property and equipment:		
Land, buildings and leasehold improvements	314,370	286,255
Machinery and equipment	327,705	299,831
Motor vehicles	102,805	95,214
	744,880	681,300
Less accumulated depreciation	376,319	347,233
	368,561	334,067
Goodwill	258,836	224,366
Customer contracts, net	62,573	56,558
Other intangible assets, net	4,877	5,506
Other assets	2,715	3,746
	\$981,667	\$874,464
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term obligations	\$4,222	\$539
Accounts payable	54,822	45,297
Accrued liabilities	91,837	86,283
Total current liabilities	150,881	132,119
Long-term obligations, net of current maturities	231,317	205,510
Deferred income taxes	42,699	39,508
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 10)		
Shareholders' equity: Preferred stock, \$1.00 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.10 par value; 30,000,000 shares authorized; 14,388,679 and 14,351,849 issued and		
outstanding in 2008 and 2007, respectively	1,438	1,435
Class B Common Stock, \$0.10 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 4,935,369 and 4,937,449 issued	1,436	1,433
and outstanding in 2008 and 2007, respectively	494	494
Capital surplus	18,240	16,332
Retained earnings	532,164	473,934
Accumulated other comprehensive income	4,434	5,132
	,	
Total shareholders' equity	556,770	497,327
	\$981,667	\$874,464

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these

# Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity

### **UniFirst Corporation and Subsidiaries**

Accumulated

Other

		Class B		Class B			Compre	nensiv	e	
	Common	Common	Commo	n Common	Capital	Retained	Income		Total	
(In thousands)	Shares	Shares	Stock	Stock	Surplus	Earnings	(Loss)		Equity	
Balance, August 27, 2005 Net income Minimum pension liability, net (1) Foreign currency translation Comprehensive income	9,600	9,637	\$960	\$964	\$13,462	\$394,910 39,208	\$2,046 (94 2,690	)	\$412,342 39,208 (94 2,690 41,804	)
Cash dividends Shares converted Share-based compensation	4,696	(4,696	) 470	(470	) 602	(2,637	)		(2,637 602	)
Stock options exercised, net (1)	11		1		433				434	
Balance, August 26, 2006 Net income Pension benefit liabilities, net (1) Foreign currency translation Comprehensive income	14,307	4,941	\$1,431	\$494	\$14,497	\$431,481 45,195	\$4,642 187 2,964		\$452,545 45,195 187 2,964 48,346	
Cash dividends	2	(2	`			(2,742	)		(2,742	)
Shares converted FAS No. 158 adoption (1) Share-based compensation Stock options exercised, net (1)	3 42	(3	) 4		783 1,052		(2,661	)	(2,661 783 1,056	)
Balance, August 25, 2007 Net Income	14,352	4,938	\$1,435	\$494	\$16,332	\$473,934 60,978	\$5,132		\$497,327 60,978	
Pension benefit liabilities, net (1) Foreign currency translation Change in interest rate swap, net (1) Comprehensive income							(458 (52 (188	)	(458 (52 (188 60,280	)
Cash dividends Shares converted	3	(3	)			(2,748	)		(2,748	)
Share-based compensation Stock options exercised, net (1)	6 28	(3	3		1,118 790				1,118 793	
Balance, August 30, 2008	14,389	4,935	\$1,438	\$494	\$18,240	\$532,164	\$4,434		\$556,770	

<sup>(1)</sup> These amounts are shown net of the recorded income tax benefit.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these

### **Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

### **UniFirst Corporation and Subsidiaries**

Year ended	August 30,		August 25,		August 26,		
(In thousands)	2008		2007	2007		2006	
Cash flows from operating activities:							
Net income	\$60,978		\$45,195		\$39,208		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:							
Depreciation	45,432		41,405		39,021		
Amortization of intangible assets	8,352		7,107		6,289		
Amortization of deferred financing costs	267		1,162		694		
Share-based compensation	1,118		783		602		
Accretion on asset retirement obligations	486		439		403		
Deferred income taxes	1,206		(1,780	)	(3,267	)	
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:							
Receivables	(8,542	)	(4,909	)	(6,977	)	
Inventories	(1,816	)	(7,813	)	(5,448	)	
Rental merchandise in service	(2,011	)	1,503		(13,466	)	
Prepaid expenses	9		(622	)	(180	)	
Accounts payable	9,606		2,294		5,913		
Accrued liabilities	3,775		3,776		1,348		
Accrued income taxes	605		(3,041	)	(908	)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	119,465		85,499		63,232	ĺ	
Cash flows from investing activities:							
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired	(60,952	)	(22,757	)	(41,807	)	
Capital expenditures	(73,795	)	(54,661	)	(52,104	)	
Other	1,116		1,050		206		
Net cash used in investing activities	(133,631	)	(76,368	)	(93,705	)	
Cash flows from financing activities:							
Proceeds from long-term obligations	175,455		111,759		50,063		
Payments on long-term obligations	(145,620	)	(116,245	)	(16,199	)	
Payment of deferred financing costs			(1,179	)			
Proceeds from exercise of Common Stock options	518		708		154		
Payment of cash dividends	(2,748	)	(2,742	)	(2,637	)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	27,605		(7,699	)	31,381		
Effect of exchange rate changes	(482	)	2,964		2,690		
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	12,957		4,396		3,598		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	12,698		8,302		4,704		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$25,655		\$12,698		\$8,302		
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:							
Interest paid	\$12,071		\$11,387		\$10,146		
Income taxes paid, net of refunds received	\$36,771		\$33,027		\$30,415		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
UniFirst Corporation and Subsidiaries
1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies
Business Description
UniFirst Corporation (the Company ) is one of the largest providers of workplace uniforms and protective clothing in the United States. The Company designs, manufactures, personalizes, rents, cleans, delivers, and sells a wide range of uniforms and protective clothing, including shirts, pants, jackets, coveralls, lab coats, smocks, aprons and specialized protective wear, such as flame resistant and high visibility garments. The Company also rents industrial wiping products, floor mats, facility service products and other non-garment items, and provides first aid cabinet services and other safety supplies, to a variety of manufacturers, retailers and service companies.
The Company serves businesses of all sizes in numerous industry categories. Typical customers include automobile service centers and dealers, delivery services, food and general merchandise retailers, food processors and service operations, light manufacturers, maintenance facilities, restaurants, service companies, soft and durable goods wholesalers, transportation companies, and others who require employee clothing for image, identification, protection or utility purposes. The Company also provides its customers with restroom supplies, including air fresheners, paper products and hand soaps.
At certain specialized facilities, the Company also decontaminates and cleans work clothes that may have been exposed to radioactive materials and services special clean room protective wear. Typical customers for these specialized services include government agencies, research and development laboratories, high technology companies and utilities operating nuclear reactors.
As discussed and described in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company has five reporting segments, US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning, Manufacturing (MFG), Specialty Garments Rental and Cleaning (Specialty Garments), First Aid and Corporate. The operations of the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment are referred to by the Company as its industrial laundry operations and the locations related to this reporting segment are referred to as industrial laundries. The Company refers to its US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning, MFG, and Corporate segments combined as its core laundry operations.
Principles of Consolidation
The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries, all of which are wholly-owned. Intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.
Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to

make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates are based on historical information, current trends, and information available from other sources. Actual results could differ from these estimates.
Fiscal Year
The Company s fiscal year ends on the last Saturday in August. For financial reporting purposes, fiscal 2008 consisted of 53 weeks, and fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2006 both consisted of 52 weeks.
Cash and Cash Equivalents
Cash and cash equivalents include cash in banks and bank short-term investments with maturities of less than ninety days.
Financial Instruments
The Company s financial instruments, which may expose the Company to concentrations of credit risk, include cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable, notes payable and long-term obligations. Each of these financial instruments is recorded at cost, which approximates its fair value.
Revenue Recognition and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts
The Company recognizes revenue from rental operations in the period in which the services are provided. Direct sales revenue is recognized in the period in which the services are performed or the product is shipped. Management judgments and estimates are used in determining the collectability of accounts receivable and evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company considers specific accounts receivable and historical bad debt experience, customer credit worthiness, current economic trends and the age of outstanding balances as part of its evaluation. Changes in estimates are reflected in the period they become known. If the financial condition of the Company s customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. Material changes in its estimates may result in significant differences in the amount and timing of bad debt expense recognition for any given period.
Inventories and Rental Merchandise in Service
Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market value, net of any reserve for excess and obsolete inventory. Judgments and estimates are used in determining the likelihood that new goods on hand can be sold to customers or used in rental operations. Historical inventory usage and current revenue trends are considered in estimating both excess and obsolete inventories. If actual product demand and market conditions are

less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required. The Company uses the first-in, first-out

(FIFO) method to value its inventories, which primarily consist of finished goods.

Rental merchandise in service is amortized, primarily on a straight-line basis, over the estimated service lives of the merchandise, which range from 6 to 36 months. In establishing estimated lives for merchandise in service, management considers historical experience and the intended use of the merchandise. Material differences may result in the amount and timing of operating profit for any period if management makes significant changes to these estimates.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred, while expenditures for renewals and betterments are capitalized. The Company provides for depreciation on the straight-line method based on the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings 30-40 years

Leasehold improvements Shorter of useful life

or term of lease

Machinery and equipment 3-10 years Motor vehicles 3-5 years

In accordance with Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144counting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, long-lived assets, including property and equipment, are evaluated for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate an asset may be impaired. There have been no material impairments of property and equipment in fiscal 2008, 2007 or 2006.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, goodwill is not amortized. SFAS No. 142 requires that companies test goodwill for impairment on an annual basis. Management completes its annual impairment test in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year. In addition, SFAS No. 142 also requires that companies test goodwill if events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit to which goodwill is assigned below its carrying amount. The Company s evaluation considers changes in the operating environment, competitive information, market trends, operating performance and cash flow modeling. There have been no impairments of goodwill or other intangible assets in fiscal 2008, 2007 or 2006 and there have been no events or circumstances that would indicate that there may have been any impairment of goodwill or other intangible assetsduring such periods. Future events could cause management to conclude that impairment indicators exist and that goodwill or other intangibles associated with previously acquired businesses are impaired. Any resulting impairment loss could have a material impact on the Company s financial condition and results of operations.

Definite-lived intangible assets are amortized over their useful lives, which are based on management s estimates of the period that the assets will generate revenue. Definite lived intangible assets are evaluated for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 144. There were no material impairments of definite-lived intangible assets in fiscal 2008, 2007, or 2006.

All definite-lived intangible assets have a weighted average useful life of approximately 10.1 years. Customer contracts are amortized over their estimated useful lives, and have a weighted average useful life of approximately 13.6 years. Other intangible assets, net, primarily include restrictive covenants, deferred financing costs and trademarks, and have weighted average useful lives of approximately 6.5 years.

Environmental and Other Contingencies

The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims arising from the conduct of its business operations, including environmental matters, personal injury, customer contract matters and employment claims. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States require that a liability for contingencies be recorded when it is probable that a liability has occurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. Significant judgment is required to determine the existence of a liability, as well as the amount to be recorded. The Company regularly consults with attorneys and outside consultants to ensure that all of the relevant facts and circumstances are considered before a contingent liability is recorded. The Company records accruals for environmental and other contingencies based on enacted laws, regulatory orders or decrees, the Company s estimates of costs, insurance proceeds, participation by other parties, the timing of payments, and the input of outside consultants and attorneys.

The estimated liability for environmental contingencies has been discounted using risk-free interest rates ranging from 4% to 4.5% over periods ranging from ten to thirty years. The estimated current costs, net of legal settlements with insurance carriers, have been adjusted for the estimated impact of inflation at 3% per year. Changes in enacted laws, regulatory orders or decrees, management s estimates of costs, insurance proceeds, participation by other parties, the timing of payments and the input of outside consultants and attorneys based on changing legal or factual circumstances could have a material impact on the amounts recorded for environmental and other contingent liabilities. Refer to Note 10 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion and analysis.

Asset Retirement Obligations

The Company follows the provisions of SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*, which generally applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and/or the normal operation of a long-lived asset. Under this accounting method, the Company recognizes asset retirement obligations in the period in which they are incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset.

The Company has recognized as a liability the present value of the estimated future costs to decommission its nuclear laundry facilities in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 143. The Company depreciates, on a straight-line basis, the amount added to property and equipment and recognizes accretion expense in connection with the discounted liability over the various remaining lives which range from approximately one to twenty-three years.

The estimated liability has been based on historical experience in decommissioning nuclear laundry facilities, estimated useful lives of the underlying assets, external vendor estimates as to the cost to decommission these assets in the future, and federal and state regulatory requirements. The estimated current costs have been adjusted for the estimated impact of inflation at 3% per year. The liability has been discounted using credit-adjusted risk-free rates that range from approximately 3% to 7%. Revisions to the liability could occur due to changes in the Company s estimated useful lives of the underlying assets, estimated dates of decommissioning, changes in decommissioning costs, changes in federal or state regulatory guidance on the decommissioning of such facilities, or other changes in estimates. Changes due to revised estimates will be recognized by adjusting the carrying amount of the liability and the related long-lived asset if the assets are still in service, or charged to expense in the period if the assets are no longer in service.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company accounts for its derivative instruments in accordance with SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and related authoritative guidance. All derivative instruments are recorded as other assets or other liabilities at fair value, which is calculated as an estimate of the future cash flows, and subsequent changes in a derivative s fair value are recognized in income, unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. Cash flows associated with derivatives are classified in the same category as the cash flows hedged in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Derivative instruments that qualify for hedge accounting are classified as a hedge of the variability of cash flows to be paid related to a recognized liability or a forecasted transaction. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective and designated as a cash flow hedge are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income until expense from the cash flows of the hedged items are recognized. The Company performs an assessment at the inception of the hedge and on a quarterly basis thereafter, to determine whether its derivatives are highly effective in offsetting changes in the value of the hedged items. Any changes in the fair value resulting from hedge ineffectiveness, is immediately recognized as income or expense.

The Company s hedging activities are transacted only with highly rated institutions, reducing the exposure to credit risk in the event of nonperformance.

Insurance

The Company is self-insured for certain obligations related to health, workers—compensation, vehicles and general liability programs. The Company also purchases stop-loss insurance policies to protect itself from catastrophic losses. Judgments and estimates are used in determining the potential value associated with reported claims and for events that have occurred, but have not been reported. The Company—s estimates consider historical claims experience and other factors. The Company—s liabilities are based on estimates, and, while the Company believes that its accruals are adequate, the ultimate liability may be significantly different from the amounts recorded. Changes in claims experience, the Company—s ability to settle claims or other estimates and judgments used by management could have a material impact on the amount and timing of expense for any period.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan and other Pension Plans

The Company accounts for its Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan and other pension plans in accordance with SFAS No. 87, *Employer s Accounting for Pension*, as amended by SFAS No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*. Under SFAS No. 87, pension expense is recognized on an accrual basis over employees estimated service periods. Pension expense calculated under SFAS No. 87 is generally independent of funding decisions or requirements.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 158 on August 25, 2007, which required the Company to (1) recognize in its statement of financial position the over-funded or under-funded status of its defined benefit postretirement plan measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation, (2) recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits that arise during the period but pursuant to SFAS No. 87 and SFAS No. 106 are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost, (3) measure defined benefit plan assets and defined benefit plan obligations as of the date of its statement of financial position, (4) disclose additional information in the notes to financial statements about certain effects on net periodic benefit cost in the upcoming fiscal year that arise from delayed recognition of the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits, and (5) recognize as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings, net of tax, any transition asset or transition obligation remaining from the initial application of FAS 87 or 106. Refer to Note 6, Employee Benefit Plans, of these Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion

regarding the Company s adoption of SFAS No. 158.

The calculation of pension expense and the corresponding liability requires the use of a number of critical assumptions, including the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and the assumed discount rate. Changes in these assumptions can result in different expense and liability amounts, and future actual experience can differ from these assumptions. Pension expense increases as the expected rate of return on pension plan assets decreases. Future changes in plan asset returns, assumed discount rates and various other factors related to the participants in the Company s pension plans will impact the Company s future pension expense and liabilities. The Company cannot predict with certainty what these factors will be in the future.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. Deferred income taxes are provided for temporary differences between the amounts recognized for income tax and financial reporting purposes at currently enacted tax rates. The Company computes income tax expense by jurisdiction based on its operations in each jurisdiction.

The Company is periodically reviewed by domestic and foreign tax authorities regarding the amount of taxes due. These reviews include questions regarding the timing and amount of deductions and the allocation of income among various tax jurisdictions. In evaluating the exposure associated with various filing positions, the Company records estimated reserves for probable exposures.

On August 26, 2007, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48 counting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, which fundamentally changed the way the Company is required to treat its uncertain tax positions for financial accounting purposes. Refer to Recent Accounting Pronouncements, in this Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 3, Income Taxes, for further discussion regarding the Company is adoption of FIN No. 48.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred and are classified as selling and administrative expenses. The Company incurred advertising costs of \$1.7 million, \$1.9 million and \$1.9 million for the fiscal years ended August 30, 2008, August 25, 2007 and August 26, 2006, respectively.

Net Income Per Share

The Company computes net income per share under the provisions of SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share, and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 03-Barticipating Securities and Two Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128, Earnings per Share. EITF Issue No. 03-6 requires that income per share for each class of common stock to be calculated assuming 100% of the Company is earnings are distributed as dividends to each class of common stock based on their respective dividend rights, even though the Company does not anticipate distributing 100% of its earnings as dividends. The Common Stock of the Company has a 25% dividend preference to the Class B Common Stock. The effective result is that the basic earnings per share for the Common Stock will be 25% greater than the basic earnings per share of the Class B Common Stock.

The Class B Common Stock may be converted at any time on a one-for-one basis into Common Stock at the option of the holder of the Class B Common Stock. Diluted earnings per share for the Company s Common Stock assumes the conversion of all the Company s Class B Common Stock into Common Stock and the exercise of outstanding stock options under the Company s share-based employee compensation plans.

The following table shows how net income is allocated using this method (in thousands):

	August 30,	August 25,	August 26,
Year ended	2008	2007	2006
Net income available to shareholders	\$60,978	\$ 45,195	\$ 39,208
Allocation of net income for Basic:			
Common Stock	\$47,827	\$ 35,425	\$ 22,832
Class B Common Stock	13,151	9,770	16,376
	\$60,978	\$ 45,195	\$ 39,208

The diluted earnings per share calculation assumes the conversion of all the Company s Class B Common Stock into Common Stock, so no allocation of earnings to Class B Common Stock is required.

The following table illustrates the weighted average number of Common and Class B Common shares outstanding during the year and is utilized in the calculation of earnings per share (in thousands):

	August 30,	August 25,	August 26,
Year ended	2008	2007	2006
Weighted average number of Common shares basic	14,363	14,325	10,146
Add: effect of dilutive potential common shares employee	53	78	71
Common Stock options Add: assumed conversion of Class B Common shares into	33	78	/1
Common Stock	4,937	4,939	9,096
Weighted average number of Common shares diluted	19,353	19,342	19,313
Weighted average number of Class B Common shares basic	4,937	4,939	9,096

Stock options to purchase 144,000 and 69,400 shares of Common Stock were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the years ended August 30, 2008 and August 26, 2006 because they were anti-dilutive. However, for the year August 25, 2007 there were no shares of Common Stock excluded in the calculation of diluted earnings per share as there were none that were anti-dilutive.

Share-Based Compensation

The Company adopted a stock incentive plan (the Plan ) in November 1996 and has reserved 800,000 shares of Common Stock for issuance under the Plan. All options issued to management under the Plan are recommended to the Board of Directors by the Compensation Committee and approved by the Board of Directors. Such options are generally granted on the date of approval. All awards issued to the Company s non-employee members of the Board of Directors under the Plan are recommended to the Board of Directors by the Compensation Committee and approved by the Board of Directors. Stock options grated to the non-employee directors are granted on the third business day following the annual shareholders' meeting. All options are exercisable at a price equal to the fair market value of the Company s Common Stock on the date of grant.

Options granted prior to fiscal 2003 were subject to a proportional four-year vesting schedule and expire eight years from the grant date. Beginning in fiscal 2003, option grants are subject to a five-year cliff-vesting schedule under which options become vested or exercisable after five years from the date of grant and expire ten years after the grant date. Options granted to the Company s non-employee directors are fully vested as of the date of grant.

The Company accounts for its share-based compensation under the provisions of SFAS No. 123(r), *Share-Based Payment*. The fair value recognition provisions of this statement require that the share-based compensation cost be measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and be recognized as expense over the requisite service period, which is generally the vesting period. Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating expected dividends, share price volatility and the amount of share-based awards that are expected to be forfeited. The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

Compensation expense for all option grants is recognized ratably over the related vesting period. Certain options were granted during fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006 to non-employee members of the Board of Directors of the Company, which were fully vested upon grant and expire eight years after the grant date. Accordingly, compensation expense related to these option grants in fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006 were recognized on the date of grant. In fiscal 2008, 6,000 shares of restricted stock were granted to our non-employee directors which become fully vested one year from the date of grant. Share-based compensation, which includes stock option grants and restricted stock, is accounted for under SFAS 123(r), has been recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income in Operating costs and Selling and administrative expenses.

Compensation expense for all option grants for the five fiscal years subsequent to August 30, 2008 is as follows (in thousands):

2009	\$588
2010	469
2011	348
2012	196
2013	32
Total	\$1,633

Refer to Note 11, Common Stock Options, for further discussion regarding the Company s share-based compensation plans.

As prescribed by SFAS No. 123, the fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions used:

	2008	2007	2006	
Risk-free interest rate	4.06	%4.59	% 4.47	%

Expected dividend yield	0.70	%0.77	%0.77	%
Expected life in years	7.5	7.5	7.5	
Expected volatility	38.5	% 37.9	% 38.5	%

The weighted average fair values of options granted during fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$16.99, \$16.66 and \$15.81, respectively.

Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency of our foreign operations is the local country s currency. Transaction gains and losses, including gains and losses on our intercompany transactions, are included in other expense (income), in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Income. Assets and liabilities of operations outside the United States are translated into U.S. dollars using period-end exchange rates. Revenues and expenses are translated at the average exchange rates in effect during each month of the fiscal year. The effects of foreign currency translation adjustments are included in shareholders equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with current year presentation. These reclassifications did not impact current or historical net income or shareholders equity.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement does not require any new fair value measurements; rather, it applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and all interim periods within those fiscal years and must be applied prospectively as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which this statement is initially applied, with any transition adjustment recognized as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. However, on February 12, 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position 157-2 which delays the effective date of Statement 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. For items within its scope, this Staff Position defers the effective date of Statement 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact SFAS No. 157 will have on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, which permits an entity to measure certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. Under SFAS No. 159, entities that elect the fair value option will report unrealized gains and losses in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. The fair value option may be elected on an instrument-by-instrument basis, with a few exceptions, as long as it is applied to the instrument in its entirety. The fair value option election is irrevocable, unless a new election date occurs. SFAS No. 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements to help financial statement users understand the effect of the entity's election on its earnings. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and the Company does not expect that its adoption will have a material effect on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. SFAS No. 161 amends SFAS No. 133 requiring enhanced disclosures about an entity s derivative and hedging activities thereby improving the transparency of financial reporting. SFAS No. 161 s disclosures provide additional information on how and why derivative instruments are being used. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. The Company does not anticipate that the adoption of this pronouncement will have a material effect on its Consolidated Financial Statements. Adoption of SFAS No. 161 will result in enhanced disclosure regarding the Company s derivatives should it then have any outstanding.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations*, (SFAS No. 141R). SFAS No. 141R will change how business acquisitions are accounted for and will impact financial statements both on the acquisition date and in subsequent periods. SFAS No. 141R is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, this statement will have on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued a Staff Position on Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities*. EITF Issue No. 03-6-1 addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share. This consensus is effective for the Company s fiscal year beginning September 1, 2009. The Company currently evaluating the impact EITF Issue No. 03-6-1 will have on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

On August 26, 2007, the Company adopted FIN No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. FIN No. 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. It also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. There were no significant adjustments to the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements as a result of the adoption of FIN No. 48. The Company s adoption of FIN No. 48 is more fully described in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

On September 29, 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of SFAS No.* 87, 88, 106 and 132(r), which requires a company to: (1) recognize in its statement of financial position the over-funded or under-funded status of its defined benefit postretirement plan measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation, (2) recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits that arise during the period but pursuant to SFAS No. 87 and SFAS No. 106 are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost, (3) measure defined benefit plan assets and defined benefit plan obligations as of the date of its statement of financial position, (4) disclose additional information in the notes to financial statements about certain effects on net periodic benefit cost in the upcoming fiscal year that arise from delayed recognition of the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits, and (5) recognize as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings, net of tax, any transition asset or transition obligation remaining from the initial application of SFAS No. 87 or SFAS No. 106. The Company adopted SFAS No. 158 on August 25, 2007, and the adoption did not have a material impact on its financial statements. Refer to Note 6, Employee Benefit Plans for further discussion regarding our adoption of SFAS No. 158.

### 2. Acquisitions

During the fiscal year ended August 30, 2008, the Company completed 14 acquisitions with an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$61.0 million. The results of operations of these acquisitions have been included in the Company s consolidated financial results since their respective acquisition dates. None of these acquisitions was significant in relation to the Company s consolidated financial results and, therefore, pro forma financial information has not been presented.

Aggregate information relating to the acquisition of businesses which were accounted for as purchases is as follows (in thousands):

	August 30,	August 25,	August 26,	
Year ended	2008	2007	2006	
Number of businesses acquired	14	17	19	
Tangible assets acquired	\$12,679	\$ 4,378	\$ 4,607	
Intangible assets and goodwill acquired	48,588	18,445	37,570	
Liabilities assumed	(315	) (66	) (370	)
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired	\$60,952	\$ 22,757	\$ 41,807	

Tangible assets acquired primarily relate to cash, accounts receivable, inventory and property and equipment. Liabilities assumed primarily relate to accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

The following details the changes in intangible assets and goodwill, which includes changes related to additional payments associated with prior year acquisitions as well as changes to purchase allocations that had not been finalized as of the end of the prior fiscal year, for the years ended August 30, 2008 and August 25, 2007 as well as the respective periods over which the assets will be amortized on a straight-line basis (in thousands):

	August 30,	August 25,	Life in
Year ended	2008	2007	Years
Goodwill	\$34,504	\$ 13,162	N/A
Customer contracts	12,935	5,394	10-15
Other intangible assets	1,149	(111	) 3-8
Total intangible assets and goodwill acquired	\$48,588	\$ 18,445	

The amount assigned to intangible assets acquired was based on their respective fair values determined as of the acquisition date. The excess of the purchase price over the tangible and intangible assets was recorded as goodwill, of which 100% was allocated to the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning segment in 2008 and 2007. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, the goodwill is not being amortized and is tested for impairment as required, at least annually.

### 3. Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes consists of the following (in thousands):

	August 30,	August 25,	August 26,
Year ended	2008	2007	2006
Current: Federal	\$26,915	\$23,380	\$22,268

Foreign State	4,708 4,895 \$36,518	4,002 2,700 \$30,082	3,304 2,761 \$28,333	
Deferred:				
Federal	\$1,148	\$(1,411	) \$(2,585	)
Foreign	(133	) (198	) (242	)
State	188	(206	) (457	)
	\$1,203	\$(1,815	) \$(3,284	)
	\$37,721	\$28,267	\$25,049	

The following table reconciles the provision for income taxes using the statutory federal income tax rate to the actual provision for income taxes (in thousands):

	August 30,	August 25,	August 26,
	2008	2007	2006
Income taxes at the statutory federal income tax rate	\$34,545	\$25,711	\$22,490
State income taxes	3,303	1,621	1,497
Adjustments to tax reserves	(598	) 604	600
Permanent and other	471	331	462
	\$37,721	\$28,267	\$25,049

The tax effect of items giving rise to the Company s deferred tax (assets) liabilities is as follows (in thousands):

	August 30,	August 25,	August 26,
Year ended	2008	2007	2006
Rental merchandise in service	\$13,498	\$ 13,080	\$ 14,770
Tax in excess of book depreciation	27,393	26,010	27,231
Purchased intangible assets	18,467	16,416	15,217
Accruals and other	(31,844	) (28,539	) (27,266 )
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$27,514	\$ 26,967	\$ 29,952

The Company has evaluated its deferred tax assets and believes that they will be fully recovered. As a result, the Company has not established a valuation allowance.

As noted in Note 1, Recent Accounting Pronouncements , the Company adopted FIN No. 48 in fiscal 2008. FIN No. 48 addresses the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. Under FIN No. 48, companies may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties on income taxes, accounting in interim periods and requires increased disclosures. There were no significant adjustments to the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements as a result of the implementation of FIN No. 48.

As of August 26, 2007, there was \$3.2 million in total unrecognized tax benefits, which if recognized, would favorably impact the Company s effective tax rate. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of income tax expense which is consistent with the recognition of these items in prior reporting periods. As of August 30, 2008, the Company had accrued a total of \$1.0 million in interest and penalties in its current accrued liabilities.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

Balance at adoption on August 26, 2007	\$3,172	
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	672	
Additions for tax positions of prior years	809	
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(703	)
Statute expirations	(843	)
Balance at August 30, 2008	\$3,107	

The Company has a significant portion of its operations in the United States and Canada. It is required to file federal income tax returns as well as state income tax returns in a majority of the U.S. states and also in the Canadian provinces of Alberta, British Columbia, Ontario, Saskatchewan and Quebec. At times, the Company is subject to audits in these jurisdictions which typically are inherently complex and can require several years to resolve. The final resolution of any such tax audit could result in either a reduction in the Company s accruals or an increase in its income tax provision, both of which could have a material impact on the consolidated results of operations in any given period.

All U.S. and Canadian federal income tax examinations have substantially concluded through fiscal years 2004 and 2001, respectively. With a few exceptions, we are no longer subject to state and local income tax examinations for periods prior to fiscal 2003. The Company is not aware of any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will change significantly in the next 12 months.

The Company has permanently reinvested the earnings of its international subsidiaries and therefore has not provided for U.S. income taxes that could result from the distribution of such earnings to the U.S. parent. If these earnings were ultimately distributed to the U.S. in the form of dividends or otherwise, or if the shares of its international subsidiaries were sold or transferred, the Company would likely be subject to additional U.S. income taxes, net of the impact of any available foreign tax credits. It is not practicable to estimate the amount of unrecognized deferred U.S. taxes on these undistributed earnings.

#### 4. Long-Term Obligations

Long-term obligations outstanding on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets are as follows (in thousands):

	August 30,	August 25,
	2008	2007
Series A, fixed rate notes due June 2011 bearing interest at 5.27%	\$75,000	\$75,000
Series D, floating rate notes due September 2013 bearing interest at LIBOR		
plus 50 basis points bearing interest at 3.28% and 5.86% as of August 30,		
2008 and August 25, 2007, respectively.	100,000	100,000
	54,850	30,000

Unsecured revolving credit agreement with a syndicate of banks, weighted-average interest rates of 3.10% and 5.96% at August 30, 2008 and August 25, 2007, respectively.

Other	5,689	1,049
Less current maturities	235,539 4,222	206,049 539
	\$231,317	\$205,510

Aggregate current maturities of long-term obligations for the five fiscal years subsequent to August 30, 2008 and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

2009	\$4,222
2010	213
2011	75,214
2012	54,968
2013	24
Thereafter	100,898
Total	\$235,539

On June 14, 2004, the Company issued \$165.0 million of fixed and floating rate notes pursuant to a Note Purchase Agreement ( Note Agreement ). Under the Note Agreement, the Company issued \$75.0 million of notes with a seven year term (June 2011) bearing interest at 5.27% ( Fixed Rate Notes ). The Company also issued \$90.0 million of floating rate notes due in ten years (June 2014) ( Floating Rate Notes ). Of the Floating Rate Notes, \$15.0 million bore interest at LIBOR plus 75 basis points and were repaid in September 2005. The remaining \$75.0 million bore interest at LIBOR plus 70 basis points and were repaid at face value on September 14, 2006.

On September 14, 2006, the Company issued \$100.0 million of floating rates notes ( 2006 Floating Rate Notes ) pursuant to a Note Purchase Agreement ( 2006 Note Agreement ). The 2006 Floating Rate Notes mature on September 14, 2013, bear interest at LIBOR plus 50 basis points and may be repaid at face value two years from the date of issuance. The proceeds from the issuance of the 2006 Floating Rate Notes were used to first repay the \$75.0 million of outstanding Floating Rate Notes and then to pay down outstanding amounts under the Amended Credit Agreement (as defined below).

As of August 26, 2006, the Company had a \$125.0 million unsecured revolving credit agreement ( Credit Agreement ), with a syndicate of banks, which matured on September 2, 2007. However, on September 13, 2006, the Company amended its Credit Agreement. This new agreement ( Amended Credit Agreement ) matures on September 13, 2011 and allows for maximum outstanding borrowings of \$225.0 million. Under the Amended Credit Agreement, the Company is able to borrow funds at variable interest rates based on the Eurodollar rate or the bank s prime rate, as selected by the Company. Availability of credit requires compliance with certain amended financial and other covenants, including a maximum funded debt ratio and minimum interest coverage as defined in the Amended Credit Agreement. The Company generally tests its compliance with these financial covenants on a fiscal quarterly basis. At August 30, 2008, the interest rates applicable to the Company s borrowings under the Amended Credit Agreement were calculated as LIBOR plus 50 basis points at the time of the respective borrowing and ranged from 2.96% to 5.00%. As of August 30, 2008, the Company had outstanding borrowings of approximately \$54.9 million, letters of credit of \$32.3 million, and \$137.8 million available for borrowing.

As of August 30, 2008, the Company was in compliance with all covenants under the Amended Credit Agreement, the Note Agreement and the 2006 Note Agreement.

### 5. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company accounts for its derivative instruments in accordance with SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. In January 2008, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement to manage its exposure to interest rate movements and the related effect on its variable rate debt. The Company concluded that the interest rate swap met the criteria to qualify as a cash flow hedge under SFAS No. 133. Accordingly, the Company has reflected all changes in the fair value of the swap agreement in accumulated other comprehensive income, a component of shareholders—equity. The swap agreement, with a notional amount of \$100.0 million, matures on March 14, 2011. The Company pays a fixed rate of 3.51% and receives a variable rate tied to the three month LIBOR rate. As of August 30, 2008, the Company had recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income a loss of \$0.2 million related to the fair value of the interest rate swap, net of a \$0.1 million recorded income tax benefit.

#### 6. Employee Benefit Plans

Defined Contribution Retirement Savings Plan

The Company has a defined contribution retirement savings plan with a 401(k) feature for all eligible employees not under collective bargaining agreements. The Company matches a portion of the employee s contribution and can make an additional contribution at its discretion. Contributions charged to expense under the plan for the years ended August 30, 2008, August 25, 2007 and August 26, 2006 were \$10.9 million, \$9.5 million and \$8.6 million, respectively.

Pension Plans and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans

The Company accounts for its pension plans and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan in accordance with SFAS No. 87, *Employers Accounting for Pension*, as amended by SFAS No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*. Under SFAS No. 87, pension expense is recognized on an accrual basis over employees estimated service periods. Pension expense calculated under SFAS No. 87 is generally independent of funding decisions or requirements.

On August 25, 2007, the Company adopted SFAS No. 158, which required the Company to (1) recognize in its statement of financial position the over-funded or under-funded status of its defined benefit postretirement plans measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation, (2) recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits that arise during the period but pursuant to SFAS No. 87 and SFAS No. 106 are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost, (3) measure defined benefit plan assets and defined benefit plan obligations as of the date of its statement of financial position, (4) disclose additional information in the notes to financial statements about certain effects on net periodic benefit cost in the upcoming fiscal year that arise from delayed recognition of the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits, and (5) recognize as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings, net of tax, any transition asset or transition obligation remaining from the initial application of SFAS No. 87 or SFAS No. 106.

The Company maintains an unfunded Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) for certain eligible employees of the Company. The benefits are based on the employees compensation upon retirement. The amount charged to expense related to this plan amounted to approximately \$1.2 million, \$1.2 million and \$0.9 million in the 2008, 2007 and 2006 fiscal years, respectively.

The Company maintains a non-contributory defined pension plan ( UniFirst Plan ) covering union employees at one of its locations. The benefits are based on years of service and the employees compensation. The plan assets primarily consist of fixed income and equity securities. The amount charged to expense related to this plan amounted to approximately \$0.2 million, \$0.2 million, and \$0.1 million for the fiscal year ended

2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

In connection with the acquisition of Textilease in fiscal 2004, the Company assumed liabilities related to a frozen pension plan covering many former Textilease employees ( Textilease Plan ). The pension benefits are based on years of service and the employee s compensation. The plan assets primarily consist of fixed income and equity securities. The amounts charged to expense related to this plan amounted to approximately \$0.1 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.0 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the year ended August 30, 2008 were as follows (in thousands):

Service cost	Textilease Plan \$	UniFirst Plan \$115	<b>SERP</b> \$334	<b>Total</b> \$449	
Service cost	Ф	\$113	\$33 <del>4</del>		
Interest cost	137	174	539	850	
Expected return on assets	(90	) (154	)	(244	)
Amortization of prior service cost		21	368	389	
Amortization of unrecognized loss	2	2		4	
Other events	36			36	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$85	\$158	\$1,241	\$1,484	

The calculation of pension expense and the corresponding liability requires the use of a number of critical assumptions, including the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and the assumed discount rate. Changes in these assumptions can result in different expense and liability amounts, and future actual experience can differ from these assumptions. Pension expense increases as the expected rate of return on pension plan assets decreases. Future changes in plan asset returns, assumed discount rates and various other factors related to the participants in the Company s pension plans will impact its future pension expense and liabilities. The Company cannot predict with certainty what these factors will be in the future.

The Company s obligations and funded status related to its pension and SERP retirement plans as of August 30, 2008 were as follows (in thousands):

Textilease	UniFirst			
Plan	Plan	SERP	Total	
\$2,472	\$2,925	\$9,238	\$14,635	
	115	334	449	
137	174	539	850	
	578		578	
37	(25	) 528	540	
(38	) (177	) (197	) (412	)
(285	)		(285	)
\$2,323	\$3,590	\$10,442	\$16,355	
\$1,841	\$3,007	\$	\$4,848	
64	49		113	
221	313		534	
(38	) (177	)	(215	)
(285	)		(285	)
	Plan \$2,472 137 37 (38 (285 \$2,323 \$1,841 64 221 (38	Plan         Plan           \$2,472         \$2,925           115         115           137         174           578         37         (25           (38         ) (177           (285         )           \$2,323         \$3,590           \$1,841         \$3,007           64         49           221         313           (38         ) (177	Plan         Plan         SERP           \$2,472         \$2,925         \$9,238           115         334           137         174         539           578         37         (25         ) 528           (38         ) (177         ) (197           (285         )         \$2,323         \$3,590         \$10,442           \$1,841         \$3,007         \$64         49           221         313         (38         ) (177         )	Plan         Plan         SERP         Total           \$2,472         \$2,925         \$9,238         \$14,635           115         334         449           137         174         539         850           578         578           37         (25         ) 528         540           (38         ) (177         ) (197         ) (412           (285         )         (285           \$2,323         \$3,590         \$10,442         \$16,355           \$1,841         \$3,007         \$4,848           64         49         113           221         313         534           (38         ) (177         )         (215

Fair value of plan assets, end of year	\$1,803	\$3,192	\$	\$4,995	
Funded status (net amount recognized):	\$(520	)\$(398	)\$(10,442	)\$(11,360	)

As of August 30, 2008, the accumulated benefit obligation for the Textilease Plan, UniFirst Plan and SERP was \$2.3 million, \$3.6 million and \$7.8 million, respectively.

The amounts recorded on the consolidated balance sheet as of August 30, 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

	Textilease Plan	UniFirst Plan	SERP	Total	
Deferred tax assets	\$111	\$438	\$1,669	\$2,218	
Accrued liabilities	\$520	\$398	\$10,442	\$11,360	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$(173	)\$(684	)\$(2.610	)\$(3,467	)

As of August 30, 2008, the amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income for the Textilease Plan, UniFirst Plan and SERP consist of (in thousands):

	Textilease Plan	UniFirst Plan	SERP	Total	
Net actuarial gain or (loss)	\$(173	)\$(240	)\$(782	)\$(1,195	)
Unrecognized prior service cost		(444	) (1,828	) (2,272	)
	\$(173	)\$(684	)\$(2,610	)\$(3,467	)

The assumptions used in calculating the Company s projected benefit obligation and net periodic service cost as of, and for the year ended, August 30, 2008, were as follows:

#### Textilease UniFirst

	Plan	Plan	SERP	
Discount rate	6.0	%  6.0	%  6.0	%
Expected return on plan assets	5.0	%5.0	% N/A	
Rate of compensation increase	N/A	N/A	5.0	%

#### 7. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Under SFAS No. 142, goodwill is no longer amortized, but reviewed annually or more frequently if certain indicators arise, for impairment. There were no impairment losses related to goodwill or intangible assets during the years ended August 30, 2008, August 25, 2007 or August 26, 2006.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill are as follows (in thousands):

Balance as of August 26, 2006	\$211,489
Goodwill acquired during the period	13,162
Goodwill retired on disposition of business	(552)
Effect of foreign currency translation	267
Balance as of August 25, 2007	\$224,366
Goodwill acquired during the period	34,504
Other	(34)
Balance as of August 30, 2008	\$258,836

As of August 30, 2008, the Company has allocated \$254.6 million and \$4.2 million of goodwill to its US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning and Specialty Garments segments, respectively.

Intangible assets, net in the Company s accompanying consolidated balance sheets are as follows (in thousands):

	Gross Carryi	Net Carrying	
August 30, 2008	Amount	Amortization	Amount
Customer contracts	\$123,794	\$61,221	\$62,573
Other intangible assets	26,307	21,430	4,877
	\$150,101	\$82,651	\$67,450
August 25, 2007			
Customer contracts	\$110,904	\$54,346	\$56,558
Other intangible assets	25,339	19,833	5,506
	\$136,243	\$74,179	\$62,064

Estimated amortization expense for the five fiscal years subsequent to August 30, 2008 and thereafter, based on intangible assets, net as of August 30, 2008 is as follows (in thousands):

2009	\$8,858
2010	8,488
2011	7,859
2012	7,074
2013	6,484
Thereafter	28,687
	\$67,450

### 8. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets consists of the following (in thousands):

August 30,	August 25,
2008	2007

Payroll related	\$39,286	\$35,826
Insurance related	23,027	20,759
Environmental related	15,097	15,683
Asset retirement obligations	7,844	7,437
Other	6,583	6,578
	\$91,837	\$86,283

#### 9. Asset Retirement Obligations

The Company accounts for its asset retirement obligations under the provisions of SFAS No. 143, which generally applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and/or the normal operation of a long-lived asset. Accordingly, the Company recognizes asset retirement obligations in the period in which they are incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. The Company continues to depreciate, on a straight-line basis, the amount added to property and equipment and recognizes accretion expense in connection with the discounted liability over the various remaining lives which range from approximately one to twenty-three years.

The Company recognized as a liability the present value of the estimated future costs to decommission its nuclear laundry facilities in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 143. The estimated liability is based on historical experience in decommissioning nuclear laundry facilities, estimated useful lives of the underlying assets, external vendor estimates as to the cost to decommission these assets in the future, and federal and state regulatory requirements. The estimated current costs have been adjusted for the estimated impact of inflation at 3% per year. The liability has been discounted using credit-adjusted risk-free rates that range from approximately 3% to 7% over one to thirty years. Revisions to the liability could occur due to changes in the Company s estimated useful lives of the underlying assets, estimated dates of decommissioning, changes in decommissioning costs, changes in federal or state regulatory guidance on the decommissioning of such facilities, or other changes in estimates. Changes due to revised estimates will be recognized by adjusting the carrying amount of the liability and the related long-lived asset if the assets are still in service, or charged to expense in the period if the assets are no longer in service.

A reconciliation of the Company s asset retirement liabilities is as follows (in thousands):

	August 30,	August 25	
	2008	2007	
Beginning balance	\$7,437	\$6,998	
Accretion expense	486	439	
Asset retirement costs incurred	(79)		
Ending balance	\$7,844	\$7,437	

As of August 30, 2008, the \$7.8 million asset retirement obligation is included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

#### 10. Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Commitments

The Company leases certain buildings and equipment from independent parties. Total rent expense on all leases was \$5.7 million, \$5.3 million and \$6.0 million in fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Annual minimum lease commitments for the five years subsequent to August 30, 2008 and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

2009	\$4,733
2010	4,062
2011	3,271
2012	1,968
2013	1,272
Thereafter	800
	\$16,106

Environmental and Legal Contingencies

The Company and its operations are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations governing, among other things, the generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of hazardous waste and other substances. In particular, industrial laundries use and must dispose of detergent waste water and other residues, and, in the past used perchloroethylene and other dry cleaning solvents. The Company is attentive to the environmental concerns surrounding the disposal of these materials and has, through the years, taken measures to avoid their improper disposal. In the past, the Company has settled, or contributed to the settlement of, actions or claims brought against the Company relating to the disposal of hazardous materials and there can be no assurance that the Company will not have to expend material amounts to remediate the consequences of any such disposal in the future.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States require that a liability for contingencies be recorded when it is probable that a liability has occurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. Significant judgment is required to determine the existence of a liability, as well as the amount to be recorded. The Company regularly consults with attorneys and outside consultants to ensure that all of the relevant facts and circumstances are considered, before a contingent liability is recorded. Changes in enacted laws, regulatory orders or decrees, management s estimates of costs, insurance proceeds, participation by other parties, the timing of payments and the input of outside consultants and attorneys based on changing legal or factual circumstances could have a material impact on the amounts recorded for environmental and other contingent liabilities.

Under environmental laws, an owner or lessee of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances located on, or in, or emanating from, such property, as well as related costs of investigation and property damage. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or lessee knew of, or was responsible for the presence of such hazardous or toxic substances. There can be no assurances that acquired or leased locations have been operated in compliance with environmental laws and regulations or that future uses or conditions will not result in the imposition of liability upon the Company under such laws or expose the Company to third-party actions such as tort suits. The Company continues to address environmental conditions under terms of consent orders negotiated with the applicable environmental authorities or otherwise with respect to sites located in or related to Woburn, Massachusetts, Somerville, Massachusetts, Springfield, Massachusetts, Uvalde, Texas, Stockton, California, three sites related to former operations in Williamstown, Vermont, as well as a number of additional locations that it acquired as part of its acquisition of Textilease Corporation in September 2003.

The Company has accrued certain costs related to the sites described above as it has been determined that the costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated. The Company continues to investigate environmental conditions at the Somerville, Massachusetts site. The full nature and extent of those conditions, and of the remedial solutions that may be employed to address them, have not yet been finally determined. In the interim, as the investigation proceeds, the Company is implementing measures to mitigate potential impacts in the vicinity of the site. The Company also has potential exposure related to an additional parcel of land (the Central Area ) related to the Woburn, Massachusetts site discussed above. Currently, the consent order for the Woburn, Massachusetts site discussed above does not define or require any remediation work in the Central Area. The Company has not accrued for this contingency as the Company believes, at this time, the liability is not probable and the amount of such contingent liability cannot be reasonably estimated.

The Company routinely reviews and evaluates sites that may require remediation and monitoring and determines its estimated costs based on various estimates and assumptions. These estimates are developed using its internal sources or by third party environmental engineers or other service providers. Internally developed estimates are based on:

Management's judgment and experience in remediation and monitoring the Company's sites;

Information available from regulatory agencies as to costs of remediation and monitoring;

The number, financial resources and relative degree of responsibility of other potentially responsible parties (PRPs) who may be liable for remediation and monitoring of a specific site; and

The typical allocation of costs among PRPs.

There is usually a range of reasonable estimates of the costs associated with each site. The Company s accruals reflect the amount within the range that constitutes its best estimate. Where it believes that both the amount of a particular liability and the timing of the payments are reliably determinable, the Company adjusts the cost in current dollars using a rate of 3% for inflation until the time of expected payment and discounts the cost to present value using risk-free rates of interest ranging from 4% to 4.5%.

For environmental liabilities that have been discounted, the Company includes interest accretion, based on the effective interest method, in operating costs on the consolidated statements of income. The changes to the Company s environmental liabilities for the years ended August 30, 2008 and August 25, 2007 are as follows (in thousands):

August 20 August 25

	August 30,	, August 25,	
Year ended	2008	2007	
Beginning balance	\$15,683	\$ 10,858	
Costs incurred for which reserves have been provided	(4,069	) (2,500	)
Insurance proceeds received	167	121	
Interest accretion	783	577	
Revision in estimates	2,533	6,627	
Ending balance	\$15,097	\$ 15,683	

In fiscal 2008 and 2007, the Company made adjustments to increase its environmental related reserves by \$2.5 million and \$6.6 million, respectively. These increases were primarily due to an ongoing investigation at one of its environmental exposure sites.

Anticipated payments and insurance proceeds of currently identified environmental remediation liabilities as of August 30, 2008, for the next five years and thereafter as measured in current dollars, are reflected below.

(In Thousands) 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 Thereafter Total

Estimated costs current dollars	\$4,366	\$1,497	\$1,040	\$947	\$799	\$12,559	\$21,208	
Estimated insurance proceeds	(180	) (180	) (188	) (180	) (180	) (2,434 )	(3,342	)
Net anticipated costs	\$4,186	\$1,317	\$852	\$767	\$619	\$10,125	\$17,866	
Effect of Inflation Effect of Discounting							6,532 (9,301	)
Balance as of August 30, 2008							\$15,097	

Estimated insurance proceeds are primarily received from an annuity received as part of a legal settlement with an insurance company. Annual proceeds of approximately \$0.3 million are deposited into an escrow account which funds remediation and monitoring costs for three sites related to former operations in Williamstown, Vermont. Annual proceeds received but not expended in the current year accumulate in this account and may be used in future years for costs related to this site through the year 2027. As of August 30, 2008, the balance in this escrow account, which is held in a trust and is not recorded on the Company s consolidated balance sheet, was approximately \$2.5 million. Also included in estimated insurance proceeds are amounts the Company is entitled to receive pursuant to legal settlements as reimbursements from three insurance companies for estimated costs at the site in Uvalde, Texas.

The Company s nuclear garment decontamination facilities are licensed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), or, in certain cases, by the applicable state agency, and are subject to regulation by federal, state and local authorities. There can be no assurance that such regulation will not lead to material disruptions in the Company s garment decontamination business.

From time to time, the Company is also subject to legal proceedings and claims arising from the conduct of its business operations, including litigation related to charges for certain ancillary services on invoices, personal injury claims, customer contract matters, employment claims and environmental matters as described above.

While it is impossible to ascertain the ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to contingent liabilities, including lawsuits and environmental contingencies, the Company believes that the aggregate amount of such liabilities, if any, in excess of amounts accrued or covered by insurance, will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operation of the Company. It is possible, however, that future financial position or results of operations for any particular future period could be materially affected by changes in the Company s assumptions or strategies related to these contingencies or changes out of the Company s control.

Other Contingent Liabilities

As security for certain agreements with the NRC and various state agencies related to the nuclear operations (see Note 9) and certain insurance programs, the Company had standby irrevocable bank commercial letters of credit of \$32.3 million and \$33.1 million outstanding as of August 30, 2008 and August 25, 2007 respectively.

#### 11. Common Stock Options

The Company accounts for its share-based compensation under the provisions of SFAS No. 123(r), *Share-Based Payment*, as discussed in Note 1, Share-Based Compensation . The Company adopted a stock incentive plan (the Plan ) in November 1996 and reserved 150,000 shares of Common Stock for issue under the Plan. In January 2002, the Company increased the number of shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance

under the Plan to 450,000. Such increase was approved by the Company's shareholders at the Company's 2002 Annual meeting of Shareholders held on January 8, 2002. At the Company's 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on January 9, 2007, the Company's shareholders approved the Company's increase in the number of shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance under the Plan to 800,000. Options granted under the Plan, through August 30, 2008, are at a price equal to the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant. Options granted prior to fiscal 2003 are subject to a proportional four-year vesting schedule and expire eight years from the grant date. Options granted beginning in fiscal 2003 and thereafter are subject to a five-year cliff-vesting schedule under which options become vested or exercisable after five years from date of grant and expire ten years after the grant date. Compensation expense for all option grants, whether proportional four-year vesting or five-year cliff-vesting, is recognized ratably over the related vesting period starting in fiscal 2006. Certain options were granted during fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006 to non-employee directors of the Company, which were fully vested upon grant and expire eight years after the grant date. Accordingly, compensation expense related to the fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006 non-employee director grants was recognized on the date of grant. In fiscal 2008, 6,000 shares of restricted stock were granted to our non-employee directors which become fully vested one year from the date of grant.

The following table summarizes the Common Stock option activity for the fiscal years ended August 30, 2008, August 25, 2007 and August 26, 2006:

			Weighted
	Number of		Average
Outstanding, August 27, 2005	<b>Shares</b> 238,375		Exercise Price \$21.82
Granted Exercised Forfeited	71,400 (9,700 (15,125	)	34.69 15.99 24.78
Outstanding, August 26, 2006	284,950		\$25.08
Granted Exercised Forfeited	72,400 (41,650 (21,725	)	36.69 17.01 28.04
Outstanding, August 25, 2007	293,975		\$28.87
Granted Exercised Forfeited	75,000 (28,750 (4,000	)	38.04 18.12 23.26
Outstanding, August 30, 2008	336,225		\$31.90
Exercisable, August 26, 2006	69,950		\$17.66
Exercisable, August 25, 2007	42,675		\$23.93
Exercisable, August 30, 2008	63,125		\$27.18

The following table summarizes information relating to currently outstanding and exercisable stock options as of August 30, 2008:

	Outstanding O	ptions	<b>Exercisable Options</b>		
Range of	Number	Average	Weighted	Number	Weighted
Exercise Prices	Outstanding	Remaining	Average	Exercisable	Average
Exercise Frices	Outstanding	Kemaming	Average	Exercisable	Average

		Option	Exercise		Exercise
		Life	Price		Price
\$ 10.06 - 17.55	11,875	0.92	\$ 15.69	11,875	\$ 15.69
19.93 - 24.35	64,050	4.91	23.06	21,550	20.51
27.44 - 27.98	53,800	6.14	27.97	5,300	27.88
33.13 - 34.83	62,500	7.18	34.72	5,800	33.66
36.05 - 42.21	144,000	8.73	37.41	18,600	40.02
\$ 10.06 - 42.21	336,225	7.02	\$ 31.90	63,125	\$ 27.18

The following table summarizes the status of the Company s nonvested shares at August 30, 2008:

	Nonvested Op		
	Number of Shares		Weighted Average Exercise Price
Nonvested at August 25, 2007	251,300		\$29.70
Granted	66,000		37.92
Vested	(41,700	)	21.26
Forfeited	(2,500	)	28.67
Nonvested at August 30, 2008	273,100		\$32.99

#### 12. Shareholders Equity

The Company has two classes of common stock: Common Stock and Class B Common Stock. Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote, is freely transferable, and is entitled to a cash dividend equal to 125% of any cash dividend paid on each share of Class B Common Stock. Each share of Class B Common Stock is entitled to ten votes and can be converted to Common Stock on a share-for-share basis. However, until converted to Common Stock, Class B Common shares are not freely transferable.

On July 25, 2006, certain members of the Croatti family converted 4,474,219 million shares of their Class B Common Stock to Common Stock and sold those shares and an additional 125,781 shares of Common Stock in a follow-on offering. The Company did not sell any shares in the offering and did not receive any proceeds from the sale of the shares. For the year ended August 30 2008, a total of 2,080 shares of Class B Common Stock were converted to Common Stock.

### 13. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows (in thousands):

				Total
				Accumulated
	Foreign			Other
	Currency	Pension-	Interest	Comprehensive
	Translation	related	Rate Swap	Income (Loss)
Balance, August 27, 2005	\$2.487	\$(441	) \$	\$2.046

Change during the year	2,690	(94	)	2,596	
Balance, August 26, 2006	5,177	(535	)	4,642	
Change during the year	2,964	187		3,151	
Adoption of FAS No. 158		(2,661	)	(2,661	)
Balance, August 25, 2007	8,141	(3,009	)	5,132	
Change during the year	(52	) (458	) (188	) (698	)
Balance, August 30, 2008	\$8,089	\$(3,467	) \$(188	) \$4,434	

On September 29, 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of SFAS No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(r)*, which required, among other things, that the Company recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the actuarial gains and losses and the prior service costs and credits that arise during the period but pursuant to SFAS No. 87 and SFAS No. 106 were not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost. The Company adopted SFAS No. 158 on August 25, 2007 which resulted in a \$2.7 million adjustment to other comprehensive income. Refer to Note 6, Employee Benefit Plans, of these Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion regarding the adoption of SFAS No. 158 and its related effects on the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### 14. Segment Reporting

SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, establishes standards for reporting information regarding operating segments in annual financial statements and requires selected information of those segments to be presented in interim financial reports issued to shareholders. Operating segments are identified as components of an enterprise for which separate discrete financial information is available for evaluation by the chief operating decision-maker, or decision-making group, in making decisions on how to allocate resources and assess performance. The Company s chief operating decision maker, as defined under SFAS No. 131, is the Company s chief executive officer. The Company has six operating segments based on the information reviewed by its chief executive officer; US Rental and Cleaning, Canadian Rental and Cleaning, Manufacturing (MFG), Corporate, Specialty Garments Rental and Cleaning (Specialty Garments) and First Aid. The US Rental and Cleaning and Canadian Rental and Cleaning segment, and as a result, the Company has five reporting segments.

The US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment purchases, rents, cleans, delivers and sells, uniforms and protective clothing and non-garment items in the United States and Canada. The laundry locations of the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment are referred to by the Company as industrial laundries or industrial laundry locations.

The MFG operating segment designs and manufactures uniforms and non-garment items primarily for the purpose of providing these goods to the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment. MFG revenues are generated when goods are shipped from the Company s manufacturing facilities to other Company locations. These revenues are recorded at a transfer price which is typically in excess of the actual manufacturing cost. The transfer price is determined by management and may not necessarily represent the fair value of the products manufactured. Products are carried in inventory and subsequently placed in service and amortized at this transfer price. On a consolidated basis, intercompany revenues and income are eliminated and the carrying value of inventories and rental merchandise in service is reduced to the manufacturing cost. Income before income taxes from MFG net of the intercompany MFG elimination offsets the merchandise amortization costs incurred by the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment as the merchandise costs of this reporting segment are amortized and recognized based on inventories purchased from MFG at the transfer price which is above the Company s manufacturing cost.

The Corporate operating segment consists of costs associated with the Company s distribution center, sales and marketing, information systems, engineering, materials management, manufacturing planning, finance, budgeting, human resources, other general and administrative costs and interest expense. The revenues generated from the Corporate operating segment represent certain direct sales made by the Company directly from its distribution center. The products sold by this operating segment are the same products rented and sold by the US and Canadian Rental

and Cleaning reporting segment. In the table below, no assets or capital expenditures are presented for the Corporate operating segment as no assets are allocated to this operating segment in the information reviewed by the chief executive officer. However, depreciation and amortization expense related to certain assets are reflected in income from operations and income before income taxes for the Corporate operating segment. The assets that give rise to this depreciation and amortization are included in the total assets of the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning reporting segment as this is how they are tracked and reviewed by the Company. The majority of expenses accounted for within the Corporate segment relate to costs of the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning segment, with the remainder of the costs relating to the Specialty Garment and First Aid segments.

The Specialty Garments operating segment purchases, rents, cleans, delivers and sells, specialty garments and non-garment items primarily for nuclear and clean room applications. The First Aid operating segment sells first aid cabinet services and other safety supplies.

The Company refers to the US and Canadian Rental and Cleaning, MFG, and Corporate reporting segments combined as its core laundry operations, which is included as a subtotal in the following tables (in thousands).

	US and Canadian		Subtotal			
As of and for the year ended August 30, 2008	Rental and Cleaning MFG	Net Interco MFG Elim Corporate	Core Laundry Operations	Specialty Garments	First Aid	Total
Revenues	\$911,044 \$ 91,834	\$ (91,834)\$ 8,942	\$ 919,986	\$ 71,637	\$ 31,552	\$ 1,023,175
Income (loss) from operations	\$137,341 \$ 32,067	\$ (2,632 ) \$ (63,229	\$ 103,547	\$ 4,204	\$ 887	\$ 108,638
Interest (income) expense, net	\$(2,201)\$	\$ \$ 11,976	\$ 9,775	\$	\$	\$ 9,775
Income (loss) before taxes	\$139,316 \$ 31,717	\$ (2,632 ) \$ (75,000	\$ 93,401	\$ 4,411	\$ 887	\$ 98,699
Depreciation and amortization	\$34,720 \$ 1,488	\$ \$ 12,423	\$ 48,631	\$ 3,719	\$ 1,433	\$ 53,784
Capital expenditures	\$59,892 \$ 572	\$ \$ 4,154	\$ 64,618	\$ 8,678	\$ 499	\$ 73,795
Total assets	\$881,712 \$ 11,691	\$ \$	\$ 893,403	\$ 68,282	\$ 19,982	\$ 981,667
	US and Canadian		Subtotal			
As of and for the year ended August 25, 2007		Net Interco MFG Elim Corporate	Subtotal Core Laundry Operations	Specialty Garments	First Aid	Total
·	Canadian  Rental and		Core Laundry	Garments	<b>First Aid</b> \$ 31,774	<b>Total</b> \$ 902,102
August 25, 2007	Canadian  Rental and Cleaning MFG	MFG Elim Corporate	Core Laundry Operations \$ 807,460	Garments		
August 25, 2007 Revenues	Canadian  Rental and Cleaning MFG  \$799,515 \$ 89,209	MFG Elim Corporate \$ (89,209 ) \$ 7,945	Core Laundry Operations \$ 807,460	Garments ) \$ 62,868	\$ 31,774	\$ 902,102
August 25, 2007  Revenues  Income (loss) from operations (1)	Canadian         Rental and Cleaning       MFG         \$799,515       \$ 89,209         \$111,800       \$ 30,718	MFG Elim Corporate \$ (89,209 ) \$ 7,945 \$ (5,366 ) \$ (58,925)	Core Laundry Operations \$ 807,460 () \$ 78,228 (\$ 10,759 ()	Garments  ) \$ 62,868  \$ 4,498	\$ 31,774 \$ 1,426	\$ 902,102 \$ 84,152
August 25, 2007  Revenues  Income (loss) from operations (1)  Interest (income) expense, net	Canadian  Rental and Cleaning MFG  \$799,515 \$ 89,209  \$111,800 \$ 30,718  \$(1,868 ) \$	MFG Elim Corporate \$ (89,209 ) \$ 7,945 \$ (5,366 ) \$ (58,925) \$ \$ 12,627	Core Laundry Operations \$ 807,460 () \$ 78,228 (\$ 10,759 ()	Garments  0 \$ 62,868  \$ 4,498  \$	\$ 31,774 \$ 1,426 \$	\$ 902,102 \$ 84,152 \$ 10,759
August 25, 2007  Revenues  Income (loss) from operations (1)  Interest (income) expense, net  Income (loss) before taxes	Canadian  Rental and Cleaning MFG  \$799,515 \$ 89,209  \$111,800 \$ 30,718  \$(1,868 ) \$  \$113,565 \$ 30,511	MFG Elim       Corporate         \$ (89,209 ) \$ 7,945         \$ (5,366 ) \$ (58,925)         \$ 12,627         \$ (5,366 ) \$ (71,487)	Core Laundry Operations \$ 807,460 ) \$ 78,228 \$ 10,759 ) \$ 67,223	Garments  0 \$ 62,868  \$ 4,498  \$ 4,813	\$ 31,774 \$ 1,426 \$ \$ 1,426	\$ 902,102 \$ 84,152 \$ 10,759 \$ 73,462

	US and Canadian		Subtotal Core			
As of and for the year ended August 26, 2006	Rental and Cleaning MFG	Net Interco MFG Elim Corporate	Laundry Operations	Specialty Garments	First Aid	Total
Revenues	\$733,294 \$ 72,239	\$ (72,239)\$ 5,861	\$ 739,155	\$ 51,553	\$ 30,264	\$ 820,972
Income (loss) from operations (1)	\$103,237 \$ 24,493	\$ (637 ) \$ (56,199	) \$ 70,894	\$ 147	\$ 2,362	\$ 73,403
Interest (income) expense, net	\$(1,589)\$	\$ \$ 11,133	\$ 9,544	\$	\$	\$ 9,544
Income (loss) before taxes	\$104,859 \$ 24,487	\$ (637 ) \$ (67,170	) \$ 61,539	\$ 356	\$ 2,362	\$ 64,257
Depreciation and amortization	\$29,067 \$ 1,467	\$ 10,619	\$ 41,153	\$ 2,959	\$ 1,198	\$ 45,310
Capital expenditures	\$45,506 \$	\$ \$	\$ 45,506	\$ 5,671	\$ 927	\$ 52,104
Total assets	\$744,074 \$ 12,525	\$ \$	\$ 756,599	\$ 51,654	\$ 21,449	\$ 829,702

<sup>(1)</sup> Prior year Income (loss) from operations has been restated to reflect the Company s reclassification of foreign exchange (gain) loss from an operating expense to Other expense (income).

The Company s long-lived assets as of August 30, 2008 and August 25, 2007 and revenues for the years ended August 30, 2008, August 25, 2007 and August 26, 2006 were attributed to the following countries (in thousands):

Long-lived assets as of: United States Europe, Canada, and Mexico (1) Total		August 30, 2008 \$653,979 43,583 \$697,562	August 25, 2007 \$585,149 39,094 \$624,243
Revenues for the year ended: United States Europe and Canada (1)	August 30, 2008 \$924,143 99,032 \$1,023,175	August 25, 2007 \$820,898 81,204 \$902,102	August 26, 2006 \$751,985 68,987 \$820,972
Income before income taxes for the year ended: United States Europe, Canada, and Mexico (1)	August 30, 2008 \$84,214 14,485 \$98,699	August 25, 2007 \$62,136 11,326 \$73,462	August 26, 2006 \$54,572 9,685 \$64,257

<sup>(1)</sup> No country accounts for greater than 10% of total long-lived assets or revenues.

#### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

#### The Board of Directors and Shareholders

#### **UniFirst Corporation**

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of UniFirst Corporation and subsidiaries as of August 30, 2008 and August 25, 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended August 30, 2008. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of UniFirst Corporation and subsidiaries at August 30, 2008 and August 25, 2007, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended August 30, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Notes 1 and 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, and effective August 25, 2007, as discussed in Notes 1 and 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), UniFirst Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of August 30, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated November 11, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

#### /s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

November 11, 2008

### **Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)**

The following is a summary of the results of operations for each of the quarters within the years ended August 30, 2008 and August 25, 2007. This summary should be read in conjunction with these Consolidated Financial Statements and notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(In thousands, except per share data)	First	Second	Third	Fourth
For the year ended August 30, 2008 Revenues Income before income taxes Provision for income taxes	Quarter \$247,260 26,787 10,313	<b>Quarter</b> \$270,288 24,847 9,566	<b>Quarter</b> \$254,617 27,798 10,858	<b>Quarter</b> \$251,010 19,267 6,984
Net income	\$16,474	\$15,281	\$16,940	\$12,283
Net income per Common share basic	\$0.90	\$0.83	\$0.92	\$0.67
Net income per Class B Common share basic	\$0.72	\$0.67	\$0.74	\$0.54
Net income per Common share diluted	\$0.85	\$0.79	\$0.87	\$0.63
Weighted average number of shares outstanding basic Common Stock	14,352	14,359	14,366	14,379
Class B Common Stock	4,937 19,289	4,937 19,296	4,937 19,303	4,936 19,315
Weighted average number of Common Stock shares outstanding diluted	19,366	19,366	19,363	19,387
(In thousands, except per share data)	First	Second	Third	Fourth
For the year ended August 25, 2007 Revenues Income before income taxes Provision for income taxes	<b>Quarter</b> \$222,377 22,627 8,881	<b>Quarter</b> \$222,367 11,457 4,497	<b>Quarter</b> \$229,849 21,836 8,153	<b>Quarter</b> \$227,509 17,542 6,736
Net income	\$13,746	\$6,960	\$13,683	\$10,806