

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST INC

Form 8-K

July 31, 2012

Safe Harbor In keeping with the SEC's "Safe Harbor" guidelines, certain statements made during this presentation could be considered forward-looking and subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause results to differ materially from those projected. When we use the words "will likely result," "may," "anticipate," "estimate," "should," "expect," "believe," "intend," or similar expressions, we intend to identify forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, our business and investment strategy, our understanding of our competition, current market trends and opportunities, projected operating results, and projected capital expenditures. These forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated including, without limitation: general volatility of the capital markets and the market price of our common stock; changes in our business or investment strategy; availability, terms and deployment of capital; availability of qualified personnel; changes in our industry and the market in which we operate, interest rates or the general economy, and the degree and nature of our competition. These and other risk factors are more fully discussed in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. EBITDA is defined as net income before interest, taxes, depreciation and

Edgar Filing: ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST INC - Form 8-K

amortization. EBITDA yield is defined as trailing twelve month EBITDA divided by the purchase price. EBITDA, FFO, AFFO, CAD and other terms are non-GAAP measures, reconciliations of which have been provided in prior earnings releases and filings with the SEC. This overview is for informational purposes only and is not an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell, any securities of Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and may not be relied upon in connection with the purchase or sale of any such security. 2

0% 50% 100% 150% 200% 250% 300% 350% 400% 450% Home Mortgage Consumer Credit Other Household Debt Nonfinancial Business State & Local Government Federal Government Domestic Financial U.S. Starting to Deleverage Total U.S. Debt as a % of GDP 4 Source: U.S. Federal Reserve & Historical Statistics of the United States: - Cambridge

-20.0% -15.0% -10.0% -5.0% 0.0% 5.0% 10.0% 15.0% 20.0% 25.0% Real GDP Growth % 5 2011 Real GDP Growth: 1.7% Source: U.S. Dept. of Commerce: BEA&Historical Statistics of the United States: - Cambridge

-8.0 -6.0 -4.0 -2.0 0.0 2.0 4.0 6.0 8.0 10.0 Real GDP Growth Hotel Demand Growth Hotel Demand Driven by Economy Source: Smith Travel Research & U.S. Dept. of Commerce: BEA Hotel Demand Growth % vs. Real GDP Growth 6 R-squared = 0.61

-8.0 -6.0 -4.0 -2.0 0.0 2.0 4.0 6.0 8.0 Year-over-Year % Growth Supply Growth Demand Growth U.S. Demand Will Outpace Supply 7 PKF Forecast
Source: Smith Travel Research & PKF

Real RevPAR is Cyclical Source: Smith Travel Research & U.S. Dept. of Labor: BLS Seasonally-Adjusted Real RevPAR (2012\$, Trailing 3-Mo Average) 8 \$52
\$54 \$56 \$58 \$60 \$62 \$64 \$66 \$68 \$70 \$72 \$74

7.9% 6.1% -2.0% -16.7% 5.4% 8.2% 5.8% 6.6% 7.8% 5.9% 2.9% -20.0% -15.0% -10.0% -5.0% 0.0% 5.0% 10.0% 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012F 2013F
2014F 2015F 2016F Historical RevPAR Growth Forecasted RevPAR Growth RevPAR Forecast - PKF Source: Smith Travel Research & PKF 9 5-Year RevPAR
Growth CAGR: 5.8%

Potential Industry EBITDA Growth Rates 10 – With strong potential RevPAR gains, those companies with reasonable flow-throughs could experience significant EBITDA growth – PKF estimates 5-year cumulative EBITDA growth of about 55%* *Based on PKF RevPAR/ADR/Occupancy projections and EBITDA growth resulting from PKF EBITDA change regression equation COMPOUNDED 5-YEAR REVPAR GROWTH RATE 5.0% 5.5% 6.0% 6.5% 7.0% 20.0% 22.1% 24.6% 27.1% 29.6% 32.2% 25.0% 27.6% 30.7% 33.8% 37.0% 40.3% 30.0% 33.2% 36.8% 40.6% 44.4% 48.3% 35.0% 38.7% 43.0% 47.4% 51.8% 56.4% 40.0% 44.2% 49.1% 54.1% 59.2% 64.4% 45.0% 49.7% 55.3% 60.9% 66.6% 72.5% 50.0% 55.3% 61.4% 67.6% 74.0% 80.5% 55.0% 60.8% 67.5% 74.4% 81.4% 88.6% 60.0% 66.3% 73.7% 81.2% 88.8% 96.6% 65.0% 71.8% 79.8% 87.9% 96.2% 104.7% 70.0% 77.4% 85.9% 94.7% 103.6% 112.7% CUMULATIVE 5-YEAR EBITDA GROWTH 5- YE AR E BI TD A FL OW %

Attractive Shareholder Returns 11 – From current real RevPAR levels to cycle peak stock prices, previous cycles averaged 19% total return CAGRs 14% 25% 19% 0% 5% 10% 15% 20% 25% 30% Sep '95 - May '01 Feb '05 - May '07 Average Source: Smith Travel Research, Bloomberg & U.S. Dept. of Labor: BLS Equal-weighted total return index includes: AHT, BEE, DRH, FCH, HST, HT, LHO, SHO, MAR, HOT & HLT

Projected Hotel Room Value Growth 12 \$100,000 \$95,000 \$81,000 \$56,000 \$65,000 \$78,000 \$92,000 \$108,000 \$116,000 \$124,000 \$0 \$20,000 \$40,000 \$60,000
\$80,000 \$100,000 \$120,000 \$140,000 Private Value of US Hotel Room Source: HVS

Advantages of Lodging REITs Benefits Dividend paying Tax benefits Inflation hedge High- quality real estate retains value Hard asset Not a financial asset Non-
recourse debt Liquidity 13

Best-in-Class Asset Management 15 Best-in-class asset management Strategic relationship with Remington Analytical rigor – Aligned with owners – Rapid response – Check against brands – Constant focus on performance – Question everything – Avoid traditional thinking – Decisions are quantitative – Optimize return on capital

Remington Outperformance 16 400 bps 700 bps 1,900 bps - 200 400 600 800 1,000 1,200 1,400 1,600 1,800 2,000 Total Revenue Improvement RevPAR Index
Improvement GOP Flow 2007 – 2011 Cumulative Outperformance Remington vs. Non-Remington AHT Managers (bps)

Best-in-Class Asset Management 17 – Ashford consistently beats peers in hotel EBITDA flow throughs 39% 8% 49% 41% 50% 51% 37% 53% 104% 63% 0%
20% 40% 60% 80% 100% 120% 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 Peer Avg AHT Peers include: BEE, CHSP, DRH, FCH, HST, HT, LHO, PEB, SHO Source:
Company Filings

Best-in-Class Asset Management 18 – Ashford consistently beats peers in hotel EBITDA margin change 78 (106) (487) 53 132 114 (58) (406) 86 184 (600) (500) (400) (300) (200) (100) - 100 200 300 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 Peer Avg AHT In basis points Peers include: BEE, CHSP, CLDT, DRH, FCH, HST, HT, LHO, PEB, SHO Source: Company Filings

Attractive Dividend Yield & Coverage 19 – Ashford has both a high dividend yield and strong dividend coverage 6.0% 5.6% 5.5% 5.1% 3.5% 3.2% 2.7% 2.2%
2.0% - - - 4.3x 1.4x 1.4x 1.6x 2.0x 3.7x 2.5x 2.1x 5.1x - 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 0.0% 1.0% 2.0% 3.0% 4.0% 5.0% 6.0% 7.0% AHT CLDT CHSP HT DRH LHO
Peer Avg PEB HST BEE FCH SHO A F F O C o v e r a g e D i v i d e n d Y i e l d Dividend Yield (as of 7/25/12) TTM Q1 2012 AFFO Per Share Dividend
Coverage Source: Company filings, Bloomberg & First Call

-5% 8% 131% -5% -49% -43% -29% -12% -35% -9% 167% 119% -17% -13% -8% 34% -100% -50% 0% 50% 100% 150% 200% 1-Yr 2-Yr 3-Yr 4-Yr 5-Yr 6-Yr
7-Yr 8-Yr Peer Avg. AHT Total Shareholder Return 20 Peer average includes: BEE, CHSP, CLDT, DRH, FCH, HST, HT, LHO, PEB, SHO Trailing Total
Shareholder Returns as of 6/7/12 Source: Bloomberg

Most Highly-Aligned Management 21 21% 15% 5% 3% 3% 2% 2% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% 5% 10% 15% 20% 25% AHT HT CLDT FCH HST CHSP SHO DRH
BEE LHO PEB Insider ownership % Source: Company Filings

Upcoming Maturities & Debt Yields 22 – Upcoming maturities through 2014 include: – TTM March 2012 weighted average debt yields on these maturities are 13.1% (excluding Hilton El Conquistador, which has negative NOI) Aareal Torrey Pines / Capital Hilton \$144.7M Matures Aug 2013 13.2% TTM Debt Yield Highland Cigna Loans \$101.9M Matures Jan-Apr 2013 16.1% TTM Debt Yield UBS Pool 1 \$106.3M Matures Dec 2014 10.2% TTM Debt Yield GEMSA Manchester Courtyard \$5.4M Matures May 2014 9.0% TTM Debt Yield MetLife Hilton El Conquistador \$19.7M Matures Dec 2014 N/A TTM Debt Yield

Available Liquidity 23 Available Liquidity Undrawn \$145m credit facility \$150m of unrestricted cash at end of Q1 '12 Preferred equity ATM facility Common equity ATM facility Potential refinancing proceeds Excess cash flow

Safety & Optionality 24 • Approximately 100-120% of equity value • ~\$2.0 billion of debt • Positive cash flow • Weighted average maturity of 3.6 years • All debt non-recourse Portfolio A: Safety • Approximately 0-(20)% of equity value • \$1.0 to \$1.2 billion of debt • Positive cash flow • Weighted average maturity of 4.5 years • All debt non-recourse Portfolio B: Optionality & Upside

Highland Opportunities 26 Franchise properties • Looking for opportunities to create long-term value and operational efficiency through Remington management • Hilton Back Bay, Hyatt Windwatch and two more in process Operating flow throughs • Right-sizing the cost structure • Bringing best practices to operations Revenues • Rebuilding base business on the books • Stabilizing and growing RevPAR share Strategic Capex • Several of the properties had been neglected from a capital perspective • Revenues should benefit from capex

Highland Year One GOP Flows 27 25.20% 102.70% 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% 120% One Year Pre-Takeover One Year Post-Takeover

Highland NOI Exceeds Underwriting 28 \$79 \$82 \$86 \$88 \$91 \$87 \$72 \$74 \$76 \$78 \$80 \$82 \$84 \$86 \$88 \$90 \$92 Mar 2011 TTM Jun 2011 TTM Sep 2011 TTM
Dec 2011 TTM Mar 2012 TTM U/W for Mar 2012 NOI \$ (in million s)

Significant Operational Upside 29 60% 65% 70% 75% 80% 85% 90% 95% 100% 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 TTM Mar 2012 Legacy Highland 700 bps
Opportunity % of Peak EBITDA – If Highland EBITDA was same % of peak level as Legacy, its EBITDA would be nearly \$10 million higher (\$1.40 of equity value at 12x multiple)

• Focus on growing Highland revenues • Growing EBITDA is best way to create shareholder value Intense operational focus to grow EBITDA • Build cash balance in preparation for next downturn Grow cash balance • Must be long-term accretive to stock price • Franchised, full-service preferred • Domestic & global opportunities Scour market for acquisition opportunities • Proactive refinancings • Push out maturities • Selectively access the capital markets Diligently work the capital markets • Highland & MIP portfolio cash flow • \$30 million+ of annual debt amortization Allow portfolio to naturally delever • Investigate & execute risk management strategies • Expertise in capital allocation • Focus on creating long-term shareholder value Thorough research & quantitative analysis Next Steps for Ashford 31
