

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.
Form 8-K
February 16, 2018

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 8-K

Current Report

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): February 16, 2018

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Maryland
(State or Other Jurisdiction

of Incorporation)

001-31817
(Commission

File Number)
44 South Bayles Avenue

42-1241468
(IRS Employer

Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 8-K

Port Washington, New York 11050

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(516) 767-6492

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Not Applicable

(Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an emerging growth company as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 (§230.405 of this chapter) or Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (§240.12b-2 of this chapter).

Emerging Growth Company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Item 7.01. Regulation FD Disclosure.

On February 16, 2018, the Company issued a press release announcing the signing of the Cooperation Agreement discussed below under Item 8.01 of this Current Report, a copy of which press release is furnished as Exhibit 99.1 to this Report on Form 8-K.

The information in this Item 7.01 and Exhibit 99.2 attached hereto is intended to be furnished and shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except as expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

Item 8.01. Other Events.

On February 16, 2018, Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. (the Company) entered into a cooperation agreement (the Cooperation Agreement) with Snow Park Capital Partners, LP and Jeffrey Pierce (collectively, Snow Park).

Under the Cooperation Agreement, the Nominating/Governance Committee (the Committee) of the Company's Board of Directors (the Board) has agreed to engage a nationally recognized director search firm to conduct a search to identify as promptly as practicable a new independent director (the New Director) to serve on the Board. Under the Cooperation Agreement, Snow Park will have the right to meet with and interview the candidate identified by the search firm and selected by the Committee. Snow Park will provide its input on such candidate to the Committee, but the Board has the exclusive power to choose and appoint the New Director. In addition, at the Company's 2018 annual meeting of stockholders, the Board will seek stockholder approval to amend its charter to provide stockholders with the ability to amend its bylaws and, if approved, will adopt a corresponding provision in the bylaws.

Under the Cooperation Agreement, at the 2018 annual meeting, Snow Park has agreed to vote all of the Company's common stock owned by Snow Park in favor of the directors nominated by the Board, the charter amendment and otherwise in accordance with the Board's recommendations, provided that in the event Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (ISS) recommends otherwise with respect to certain proposals, Snow Park shall be permitted to vote in accordance with ISS recommendation with respect to those proposals, and Snow Park shall be permitted to vote in its sole discretion with respect to an Extraordinary Transaction (as defined below).

Pursuant to the Cooperation Agreement, Snow Park is subject to certain standstill restrictions, which prohibit Snow Park from, among other things, (i) engaging in any solicitation of proxies or consents with respect to the election or removal of directors; (ii) forming or joining a group (within the meaning of Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) with respect to the voting securities of the Company; (iii) acquiring voting securities of the Company resulting in Snow Park's beneficial ownership of more than 3.9% of the Company's outstanding common stock; (iv) making or participating in any tender offer, exchange offer, merger, consolidation, acquisition, business combination, sale of a division, sale of substantially all assets, recapitalization, restructuring, liquidation, dissolution or extraordinary transaction involving the Company or any of its subsidiaries (an Extraordinary Transaction); (v) seeking, alone or in concert with others, representation on the Board or the removal of any member of the Board; or (vi) making any stockholder proposal, in each case, subject to certain limited exceptions. These standstill restrictions will expire 30 days prior to the first day of the Company's stockholder director nomination period for the 2019 annual meeting of stockholders, as established pursuant to the Company's bylaws.

The foregoing description of the Cooperation Agreement does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full Cooperation Agreement, which is attached as Exhibit 99.1 hereto and incorporated herein by reference. On February 16, 2018, the Company issued a press release announcing its entry into the Cooperation Agreement. A copy of the press release is attached to this current report on Form 8-K as Exhibit 99.1.

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits.

Exhibit No.	Description
99.1	<u>Cooperation Agreement, by and among Cedar Realty Trust, Inc., Snow Park Capital Partners, LP and Jeffrey Pierce, dated February 16, 2018.</u>
99.2	<u>Press release, issued by Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. on February 16, 2018.</u>

* * *

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Dated: February 16, 2018

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.

By: /s/ Bruce J. Schanzer
Bruce J. Schanzer
President and CEO

bottom"> **13.88¢**

Average number of
gallons sold per store*

870,195 868,790 853,725

*Includes only those stores in operation at least one full year on April 30 of the fiscal year indicated.

Retail prices of gasoline increased during the year ended April 30, 2012. The total number of gallons we sold during this period increased, primarily because of the higher number of stores in operation and our efforts to price our retail gasoline to compete in local market areas. For additional information concerning the Company's gasoline operations, see Item 7 herein.

Table of Contents

Distribution and Wholesale Arrangements

The Marketing Company supplies all stores with groceries, food, health and beauty aids, and general merchandise from our distribution center. The stores place orders for merchandise through a telecommunications link-up to the computer at our headquarters in Ankeny, and we fill the orders with weekly shipments in Company-owned delivery trucks. All of our existing and most of our proposed stores are within the Distribution Center's optimum efficiency range—a radius of approximately 500 miles.

In fiscal 2012, we purchased directly from manufacturers a majority of the food and nonfood items sold from our distribution center. It is our practice, with few exceptions, not to enter into long-term supply contracts with any of the suppliers of products sold by Casey's General Stores. We believe the practice enables us to respond flexibly to changing market conditions.

Personnel

On April 30, 2012, we had 10,116 full-time employees and 14,610 part-time employees. We have not experienced any work stoppages. There are no collective bargaining agreements between the Company and any of its employees.

Competition

Our business is highly competitive. Food, including prepared foods, and nonfood items similar or identical to those sold by the Company are generally available from various competitors in the communities served by Casey's General Stores. We believe our stores located in smaller towns compete principally with other local grocery and convenience stores, similar retail outlets, and, to a lesser extent, prepared food outlets, restaurants, and expanded gasoline stations offering a more limited selection of grocery and food items for sale. Stores located in more heavily populated communities may compete with local and national grocery and drug store chains, expanded gasoline stations, supermarkets, discount food stores, and traditional convenience stores. Convenience store chains competing in the larger towns served by Casey's General Stores include Quik Trip, Kwik Trip, and regional chains. Some of the Company's competitors have greater financial and other resources than we do. These competitive factors are discussed further in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

Service Marks

The name Casey's General Store and the service mark consisting of the Casey's design logo (with the words Casey's General Store) are our registered service marks under federal law. We believe these service marks are of material importance in promoting and advertising the Company's business.

Table of Contents

Government Regulation

The United States Environmental Protection Agency and several states, including Iowa, have established requirements for owners and operators of underground gasoline storage tanks (USTs) with regard to (i) maintenance of leak detection, corrosion protection, and overfill/spill protection systems; (ii) upgrade of existing tanks; (iii) actions required in the event of a detected leak; (iv) prevention of leakage through tank closings; and (v) required gasoline inventory recordkeeping. Since 1984, new stores have been equipped with noncorroding fiberglass USTs, including some with double-wall construction, overfill protection, and electronic tank monitoring. We currently have 3,995 USTs, 3,164 of which are fiberglass and 831 are steel, and we believe that substantially all capital expenditures for electronic monitoring, cathodic protection, and overfill/spill protection to comply with the existing UST regulations have been completed. Additional regulations or amendments to the existing UST regulations could result in future expenditures.

Several states in which we do business have trust fund programs with provisions for sharing or reimbursing corrective action or remediation costs incurred by UST owners. In the years ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, we spent approximately \$1,150,000 and \$648,000, respectively, for assessments and remediation. Substantially all of these expenditures were submitted for reimbursement from state-sponsored trust fund programs. As of April 30, 2012, approximately \$14,567,000 has been received from such programs since inception. The payments are typically subject to statutory provisions requiring repayment of the reimbursed funds for noncompliance with upgrade provisions or other applicable laws. No amounts are currently expected to be repaid. At April 30, 2012, we had an accrued liability of approximately \$380,000 for estimated expenses related to anticipated corrective actions or remediation efforts, including relevant legal and consulting costs. We believe we have no material joint and several environmental liability with other parties.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described in this report before making a decision to invest in our securities. If any of such risks actually occur, our business, financial condition, and/or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In that case, the trading price of our securities could decline and you might lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to Our Industry

The convenience store industry is highly competitive.

The convenience store and retail fuel industries in which we operate are highly competitive and characterized by ease of entry and constant change in the number and type of retailers offering the products and services found in our stores. We compete with many other convenience store chains, gasoline stations, supermarkets, drugstores, discount stores, club stores, fast food outlets, and mass merchants. In recent years, several nontraditional retailers such as supermarkets, club stores, and mass merchants have affected the convenience store industry by entering the gasoline retail business. These nontraditional gasoline retailers have obtained a significant share of the motor fuels market, and their market share is expected to grow. In some of our markets, our competitors have been in existence longer and have greater financial, marketing, and other resources than we do. As a result, our competitors may be able to respond better to changes in the economy and new opportunities within the industry. To remain competitive, we must constantly analyze consumer preferences and competitors offerings and prices to ensure we offer convenience products and services consumers demand at competitive prices. We must also maintain and upgrade our customer service levels, facilities, and locations to remain competitive and attract customer traffic. These competitive pressures could materially and adversely affect our gasoline and merchandise sales and gross profit margins, and therefore could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

The volatility of wholesale petroleum costs could adversely affect our operating results.

Over the past three fiscal years, on average our gasoline revenues accounted for approximately 71% of total revenue and our gasoline gross profit accounted for approximately 23% of total gross profit. Crude oil and domestic wholesale petroleum markets are marked by significant volatility. General political conditions, acts of war or terrorism, and instability in oil producing regions, particularly in the Middle East and South America, can significantly affect crude oil supplies and wholesale petroleum costs. In addition, the supply of gasoline and our wholesale purchase costs could be adversely affected in the event of a shortage, which could result from, among other things, lack of capacity at United States oil refineries or, in our case, the absence of gasoline contracts that guarantee an uninterrupted, unlimited supply of gasoline. Significant increases and volatility in wholesale petroleum costs have resulted and could in the future result in significant increases in the retail price of petroleum products and in lower gasoline average margin per gallon. Increases in the retail price of petroleum products have resulted and could in the future adversely affect consumer demand for gasoline. This volatility makes it difficult to predict the impact that future wholesale cost fluctuations will have on our operating results and financial condition. These factors could adversely affect our gasoline gallon volume, gasoline gross profit, and overall customer traffic, which in turn would affect our sales of grocery and general merchandise and prepared food products.

Increased credit card expenses could increase operating expenses.

A significant percentage of our gasoline sales are made with the use of credit cards. Since the interchange fees we pay when credit cards are used to make purchases are based on transaction amounts, higher fuel prices at the pump result in higher credit card expenses. These additional fees increase operating expenses. Higher operating expenses that result from higher credit card fees may decrease our overall profit and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Total credit card fees paid in fiscal 2012 were approximately \$80 million.

Wholesale cost and tax increases relating to tobacco products could affect our operating results.

Sales of tobacco products have averaged approximately 10% of our total revenue over the past three fiscal years, and our tobacco gross profit accounted for approximately 13% of total gross profit for the same period. Significant increases in wholesale cigarette costs or tax increases on tobacco products may have an adverse effect on unit demand for cigarettes domestically. Currently, major cigarette manufacturers offer significant rebates to retailers, although there can be no assurance that such rebate programs will continue. We include these rebates as a component of our gross margin from sales of cigarettes. In the event these rebates are no longer offered or decreased, our wholesale cigarette costs will increase accordingly. In general, we attempt to pass price increases on to our customers. Due to competitive pressures in our markets, however, we may not always be able to do so. These factors could adversely affect our retail price of cigarettes, cigarette unit volume and revenues, merchandise gross profit, and overall customer traffic, and in turn have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Governmental action and campaigns to discourage smoking may have a material adverse effect on our revenues and gross profit.

Congress has given the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) broad authority to regulate tobacco products, and the FDA has enacted numerous regulations restricting the sale of such products. These governmental actions, as well as national, state and local campaigns to discourage smoking and other factors, have resulted in reduced industry volume and consumption levels, and could materially affect the retail price of cigarettes, unit volume and revenues, gross profit, and overall customer traffic, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Future consumer or other litigation could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our retail operations are characterized by a high volume of customer traffic and by transactions involving a wide array of product selections, including prepared food. These operations carry a higher exposure to consumer litigation risk when compared to the operations of companies operating in many other industries. Consequently, we may become a party to individual personal injury, bad fuel, products liability and other legal actions in the ordinary course of our business. While these actions are generally routine in nature, incidental to the operation of our business and immaterial in scope, if our assessment of any action or actions should prove inaccurate, our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. Additionally, we are occasionally exposed to industry-wide or class-action claims arising from the products we carry or industry-specific business practices. For example, various petroleum marketing retailers, distributors and refiners are currently defending class-action claims alleging that the sale of unadjusted volumes of fuel at temperatures in excess of 60 degrees Fahrenheit violates various state consumer protection laws due to the expansion of the fuel with the increase of fuel temperatures. Certain claims asserted in these lawsuits, if resolved against us, could give rise to substantial damages. Our defense costs and any resulting damage awards or settlement amounts may not be fully covered by our insurance policies. Thus, an unfavorable outcome or settlement of one or more of these lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity and results of operations in a particular period or periods.

General economic conditions that are largely out of the Company's control may adversely affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Recessionary economic conditions, higher interest rates, higher fuel and other energy costs, inflation, increases in commodity prices, higher levels of unemployment, higher consumer debt levels, higher tax rates and other changes in tax laws or other economic factors may affect consumer spending or buying habits, and could adversely affect the demand for products the Company sells in its stores. The current economic conditions, higher fuel prices, and unemployment levels have affected consumer confidence, spending patterns, and miles driven, with many customers trading down to lower priced products in certain categories. These factors can lead to sales declines in both gasoline and general merchandise, and in turn have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Business

Unfavorable weather conditions can adversely affect our business.

All of our stores are located in the Midwest region of the United States, which is susceptible to tornadoes, thunderstorms, extended periods of rain, flooding, ice storms, and heavy snow. Inclement weather conditions could damage our facilities or could have a significant impact on consumer behavior, travel, and convenience store traffic patterns as well as our ability to operate our locations. In addition, we typically generate higher revenues and gross margins during warmer weather months, which fall within our first and second fiscal quarters. When weather conditions are not favorable during a particular period, our operating results and cash flow from operations could be adversely affected.

We may not be able to identify, acquire, and integrate new stores, which could adversely affect our ability to grow our business.

An important part of our recent growth strategy has been to acquire other convenience stores that complement our existing stores or broaden our geographic presence. From May 1, 2011 through April 30, 2012 we acquired 35 convenience stores. We expect to continue pursuing acquisition opportunities.

Acquisitions involve risks that could cause our actual growth or operating results to differ materially from our expectations or the expectations of securities analysts. These risks include:

- The inability to identify and acquire suitable sites at advantageous prices;
- Competition in targeted market areas;

Table of Contents

Difficulties during the acquisition process in discovering some of the liabilities of the businesses that we acquire;
Difficulties associated with our existing financial controls, information systems, management resources and human resources needed to support our future growth;
Difficulties with hiring, training and retaining skilled personnel, including store managers;
Difficulties in adapting distribution and other operational and management systems to an expanded network of stores;
Difficulties in obtaining governmental and other third-party consents, permits and licenses needed to operate additional stores;
Difficulties in obtaining the cost savings and financial improvements we anticipate from future acquired stores;
The potential diversion of our senior management's attention from focusing on our core business due to an increased focus on acquisitions;
and
Challenges associated with the consummation and integration of any future acquisition.

We are subject to federal and state environmental and other regulations.

Our business is subject to extensive governmental laws and regulations that include but are not limited to environmental and employment laws and regulations; health care; legal restrictions on the sale of alcohol, tobacco, and lottery products; requirements related to minimum wage, working conditions, public accessibility, and citizenship. A violation of or change in such laws and/or regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Under various federal, state, and local laws, regulations, and ordinances, we may, as the owner/operator of our locations, be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of contamination at these or our former locations, whether or not we knew of, or were responsible for, the presence of such contamination. Failure to remediate such contamination properly may make us liable to third parties and adversely affect our ability to sell or lease such property.

Compliance with existing and future environmental laws regulating underground storage tanks may require significant capital expenditures and increased operating and maintenance costs. The remediation costs and other costs required to clean up or treat contaminated sites could be substantial. We pay tank registration fees and other taxes to state trust funds established in our operating areas in support of future remediation obligations.

These state trust funds are expected to pay or reimburse us for remediation expenses less a deductible. To the extent third parties do not pay for remediation as we anticipate, we will be obligated to make these payments, which could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. Reimbursements from state trust funds will be dependent on the maintenance and continued solvency of the various funds.

In the future, we may incur substantial expenditures for remediation of contamination that has yet to be discovered at existing locations or at locations we may acquire. We cannot assure you that we have identified all environmental liabilities at all of our current and former locations; that material environmental conditions not known to us do not exist; that future laws, ordinances, or regulations will not impose material environmental liability on us; or that a material environmental condition does not otherwise exist at any one or more of our locations. In addition, failure to comply with any environmental laws, regulations, or ordinances or an increase in regulations could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

State laws regulate the sale of alcohol, tobacco, and lottery products. A violation or change of these laws could adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations because state and local regulatory agencies have the power to approve, revoke, suspend, or deny applications for and renewals of permits and licenses relating to the sale of these products or to seek other remedies.

Any appreciable increase in income, overtime pay, or the statutory minimum wage rate or adoption of mandated healthcare benefits would result in an increase in our labor costs. Such cost increase or the penalties for failing to comply with such statutory minimum could adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations. State or federal lawmakers or regulators may also enact new laws or regulations applicable to us that may have a material adverse and potentially disparate impact on our business.

Table of Contents

The dangers inherent in the storage and transport of motor fuel could cause disruptions and could expose to us potentially significant losses, costs or liabilities.

We store motor fuel in storage tanks at our retail locations. Additionally, we transport a significant portion of our motor fuel in our own trucks, instead of by third-party carriers. Our operations are subject to significant hazards and risks inherent in transporting and storing motor fuel. These hazards and risks include, but are not limited to, fires, explosions, traffic accidents, spills, discharges and other releases, any of which could result in distribution difficulties and disruptions, environmental pollution, governmentally-imposed fines or clean-up obligations, personal injury or wrongful death claims and other damage to our properties and the properties of others. As a result, any such event could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may incur costs or liabilities as a result of litigation or adverse publicity resulting from concerns over food quality, health or other issues that could cause customers to avoid our convenience stores.

We may be the subject of complaints or litigation arising from food-related illness or injury in general which could have a negative impact on our business. Additionally, negative publicity, regardless of whether the allegations are valid, concerning food quality, food safety or other health concerns, employee relations or other matters related to our operations may materially adversely affect demand for our food and could result in a decrease in customer traffic to our convenience stores.

It is critical to our reputation that we maintain a consistent level of high quality prepared food offerings at our convenience stores. Health concerns, poor food quality or operating issues stemming from one store or a limited number of stores could materially adversely affect the operating results of some or all of our stores.

Because we depend on our senior management's experience and knowledge of our industry, we could be adversely affected were we to lose key members of our senior management team.

We are dependent on the continued efforts of our senior management team. If, for any reason, our senior executives do not continue to be active in management, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected. We also rely on our ability to recruit qualified store managers, supervisors, district managers, regional managers and other store personnel. Failure to continue to attract these individuals at reasonable compensation levels could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We rely on our information technology systems to manage numerous aspects of our business, and a disruption of these systems could adversely affect our business.

We depend on our information technology (IT) systems to manage numerous aspects of our business transactions and provide analytical information to management. Our IT systems are an essential component of our business and growth strategies, and a serious disruption to our IT systems could significantly limit our ability to manage and operate our business efficiently. These systems are vulnerable to, among other things, damage and interruption from power loss or natural disasters, computer system and network failures, loss of telecommunications services, physical and electronic loss of data, security breaches and computer viruses. Any disruption could cause our business and competitive position to suffer and cause our operation results to be reduced. Also, our business continuity plan could fail.

Table of Contents

Other Risks

Any issuance of shares of our common stock in the future could have a dilutive effect on your investment.

We could issue additional shares for investment, acquisition, or other business purposes. Even if there is not an immediate need for capital, we may choose to issue securities to sell in public or private equity markets if and when conditions are favorable. Raising funds by issuing securities would dilute the ownership interests of our existing shareholders. Additionally, certain types of equity securities we may issue in the future could have rights, preferences, or privileges senior to the rights of existing holders of our common stock.

Iowa law and provisions in our charter documents may have the effect of preventing or hindering a change in control and adversely affecting the market price of our common stock.

Our articles of incorporation give the Company's board of directors the authority to issue up to one million shares of preferred stock and to determine the rights and preferences of the preferred stock without obtaining shareholder approval. The existence of this preferred stock could make it more difficult or discourage an attempt to obtain control of the Company by means of a tender offer, merger, proxy contest, or otherwise. Furthermore, this preferred stock could be issued with other rights, including economic rights, senior to our common stock, thereby having a potentially adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

Our articles of incorporation recently were amended to stagger the terms of the Company's board of directors, as a result of amendments to the Iowa Business Corporation Act. Our staggered board, along with other provisions of our articles of incorporation and bylaws and Iowa corporate law, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us or remove our directors by means of a proxy contest, even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders. For example, Section 409.1110 of the Iowa Business Corporation Act prohibits publicly held Iowa corporations to which it applies from engaging in a *business combination* with an *interested shareholder* for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an interested shareholder unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner. Further, Section 490.1108A of the Iowa Business Corporation Act permits a board of directors, in the context of a takeover proposal, to consider not only the effect of a proposed transaction on shareholders, but also on a corporation's employees, suppliers, customers, creditors, and on the communities in which the corporation operates. These provisions could discourage others from bidding for our shares and could, as a result, reduce the likelihood of an increase in our stock price that would otherwise occur if a bidder sought to buy our stock.

We may, in the future, adopt other measures (such as a shareholder rights plan or *poison pill*) that could have the effect of delaying, deferring, or preventing an unsolicited takeover, even if such a change in control were at a premium price or favored by a majority of unaffiliated shareholders. These measures may be adopted without any further vote or action by our shareholders.

The market price for our common stock has been and may in the future be volatile, which could cause the value of your investment to decline.

Securities markets worldwide experience significant price and volume fluctuations. This market volatility could significantly affect the market price of our common stock without regard to our operating performance. In addition, the price of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to these and other factors:

- A deviation in our results from the expectations of public market analysts and investors;
- Statements by research analysts about our common stock, company, or industry;
- Changes in market valuations of companies in our industry and market evaluations of our industry generally;
- Additions or departures of key personnel;
- Actions taken by our competitors;
- Sales of common stock by the Company, senior officers, or other affiliates; and
- Other general economic, political, or market conditions, many of which are beyond our control.

Table of Contents

The market price of our common stock will also be affected by our quarterly operating results and monthly same store sales results, which may be expected to fluctuate. The following are factors that may affect our quarterly results and same store sales: general, regional, and national economic conditions; competition; unexpected costs; changes in retail pricing, consumer trends, and the number of stores we open and/or close during any given period; costs of compliance with corporate governance and Sarbanes-Oxley requirements. Other factors are discussed throughout Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. You may not be able to resell your shares of our common stock at or above the price you pay.

Our inability to anticipate and respond to market trends and changes in consumer preferences could adversely affect our financial results.

Our continued success depends on our ability to anticipate, gauge and react in a timely and cost-effective manner to changes in consumer tastes, their attitudes toward our industry and brands, as well as to where and how consumers shop for those products. We must continually work to develop, produce and market new products, maintain and enhance the recognition of our brands, achieve a favorable mix of products, and refine our approach as to how and where we market and sell our products. While we devote considerable effort and resources to shape, analyze and respond to consumer preferences, we recognize that consumer tastes cannot be predicted with certainty and can change rapidly. The issue is compounded by the increasing use of social and digital media by consumers and the speed by which information and opinions are shared. If we are unable to anticipate and respond to sudden challenges that we may face in the marketplace, trends in the market for our products and changing consumer demands and sentiment, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We own our corporate headquarters and distribution center. Located on an approximately 45-acre site in Ankeny, Iowa, these adjacent facilities and our vehicle service and maintenance center occupy a total of approximately 375,000 square feet. The original complex was completed in February 1990 and placed in full service at that time. In fiscal 2007, we added 98,000 square feet to the distribution center, 20,000 square feet of office space, additional paving for truck parking, and necessary drainage and landscaping improvements.

On April 30, 2012, we also owned the land at 1,678 store locations and the buildings at 1,685 locations and leased the land at 21 locations and the buildings at 14 locations. Most of the leases provide for the payment of a fixed rent plus property taxes and insurance and maintenance costs. Generally, the leases are for terms of ten to twenty years with options to renew for additional periods or options to purchase the leased premises at the end of the lease period.

Table of Contents**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The information required to be set forth under this heading is incorporated by reference from Note 10, Contingencies, to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8.

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****Common Stock**

Casey's common stock trades on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol CASY. The 38,140,309 shares of common stock outstanding at April 30, 2012 had a market value of approximately \$2.1 billion. On that date there were 1,923 shareholders of record.

Common Stock Market Prices

Calendar

2010	High	Low	Calendar 2011	High	Low	Calendar 2012	High	Low
Q1	\$ 32.38	\$ 29.03	Q1	\$ 43.62	\$ 35.39	Q1	\$ 56.44	\$ 49.52
Q2	\$ 39.56	30.24	Q2	\$ 45.75	\$ 38.01			
Q3	\$ 44.68	34.85	Q3	\$ 47.91	\$ 39.50			
Q4	\$ 43.21	38.25	Q4	\$ 54.22	\$ 42.41			

Dividends

We began paying cash dividends during fiscal 1991. The dividends paid in fiscal 2012 totaled \$0.60 per share. The dividends paid in fiscal 2011 totaled \$0.505 per share. On June 8, 2012, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.165 payable August 15, 2012 to shareholders of record on August 1, 2012. The Board expects to review the dividend every year at its June meeting.

Table of Contents

The cash dividends declared during the calendar years 2010-12 were as follows:

Calendar	Cash dividend	Calendar	Cash dividend	Calendar	Cash dividend
2010	declared	2011	declared	2012	declared
Q1	\$ 0.085	Q1	\$ 0.135	Q1	\$ 0.15
Q2	0.10	Q2	0.15	Q2	0.165
Q3	0.135	Q3	0.15		
Q4	0.135	Q4	0.15		
	0.455		0.585		

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

Statement of Earnings Data

	Years ended April 30,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Total revenue	\$ 6,987,804	\$ 5,635,240	\$ 4,637,087	\$ 4,690,525	\$ 4,843,259
Cost of goods sold	5,984,114	4,754,173	3,844,735	3,966,919	4,155,493
Gross profit	1,003,690	881,067	792,352	723,606	687,766
Operating expenses	688,431	607,628	526,291	504,449	476,211
Depreciation and amortization	96,552	82,355	73,546	69,451	67,893
Interest, net	35,192	28,497	10,933	10,626	9,792
Loss on early retirement of debt	-----	11,350	-----	-----	-----
Earnings before income taxes	183,515	151,237	181,582	139,080	133,870
Federal and state income taxes	66,724	56,614	64,620	53,390	48,979
Net earnings	\$ 116,791	\$ 94,623	\$ 116,962	\$ 85,690	\$ 84,891
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 3.07	\$ 2.24	\$ 2.30	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.68
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 3.04	\$ 2.22	\$ 2.29	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.67
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic	38,068	42,285	50,899	50,787	50,681
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding diluted	38,392	42,567	51,053	50,917	50,859
Dividends paid per common share	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.505	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.26

Balance Sheet Data

	As of April 30,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Current assets	\$ 279,278	\$ 293,887	\$ 310,085	\$ 284,727	\$ 313,256
Total assets	1,774,815	1,610,955	1,388,775	1,262,695	1,219,200
Current liabilities	306,641	294,500	240,886	221,243	259,099
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	667,930	678,680	154,754	167,887	181,443
Shareholders' equity	506,041	403,896	824,319	721,030	647,472

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars and gallons in thousands, except per share amounts)

Please read the following discussion of the Company's financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with the selected historical consolidated financial data and consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes presented elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Overview

The Company operates convenience stores under the name Casey's General Store in eleven Midwestern states, primarily Iowa, Missouri and Illinois. On April 30, 2012, there were a total of 1,699 stores in operation. All stores offer gasoline for sale on a self-serve basis and carry a broad selection of food (including freshly prepared foods such as pizza, donuts and sandwiches), beverages, tobacco products, health and beauty aids, automotive products and other non-food items. We derive our revenue from the retail sale of gasoline and the products offered in our stores.

Approximately 59% of all Casey's General Stores are located in areas with populations of fewer than 5,000 persons, while approximately 16% of all stores are located in communities with populations exceeding 20,000 persons. We operate a central warehouse, the Casey's Distribution Center, adjacent to our Corporate Headquarters facility in Ankeny, Iowa, through which we supply grocery and general merchandise items to our stores. At April 30, 2012, the Company owned the land at 1,678 store locations and the buildings at 1,685 locations, and leased the land at 21 locations and the buildings at 14 locations.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company earned \$0.61 in basic earnings per share compared to \$0.60 per share for the same quarter a year ago. Fiscal 2012 basic earnings per share were \$3.07 versus \$2.24 for the prior year. The fiscal 2011 year-end results include approximately \$27.4 million in costs pertaining to the Company's recapitalization plan completed in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 as well as the unsolicited hostile offer and related actions by Alimentation Couche-Tard Inc. The post tax impact on earnings was \$17,591 using the 35.8% income tax rate which was the effective rate on those expenses after assessing their deductibility for income tax purposes within the subsidiary that the activity occurred in. Without those costs, year-to-date earnings would have been \$2.65 per share last year using a weighted average share count of 42,284,664. We made this non-GAAP calculation to assist investors in comparing the financial performance of the Company without the non-operational costs associated with the unsolicited hostile offer. The Company's business is seasonal, and generally the Company experiences higher sales and profitability during the first and second fiscal quarters (May-October), when customers tend to purchase greater quantities of gasoline and certain convenience items such as beer and soft drinks.

During the 2012 fiscal year, we acquired 35 convenience stores from other parties and completed 30 new store constructions. In addition to this activity, the Company also replaced 10 stores and closed 3 stores during the year.

The fourth quarter results reflected a 2.5% increase in same-store gasoline gallons sold, with an average margin of approximately 13.7 cents per gallon. For the fiscal year, same-store gallons decreased 1.5% with an average margin of 15.3 cents per gallon. The Company's policy is to price to the competition, so the timing of retail price changes is driven by local competitive conditions.

Same store sales of grocery and other merchandise increased 8.5% and prepared foods and fountain increased 16.8% during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012.

The Company has several energy initiatives designed to reduce operating expenses associated with energy consumption. The Company believes that reducing energy consumption where feasible is a sound long-term business strategy. While individually and in aggregate the financial impact of these initiatives may not be material, implementing them throughout our operations is a part of our overall expense management. Below is a list of some of the energy initiatives the Company is currently undertaking:

We are pursuing LEED certification for the current new store design, and we expect we will obtain this in fiscal 2013. All newly constructed stores use 100 percent high efficiency LED lighting. Also, when we perform a major remodel of an existing store, the fluorescent lighting is replaced with LED lighting. Furthermore, new canopies over the fuel pumps are installed with time systems and photo eyes to help control the canopy lighting.

Table of Contents

Multiple paperless initiatives are going on throughout the Company, including going to paperless paystubs and W-2 s where state law allows.

Electric fuel tank heaters have been installed in our fleet of trucks, significantly reducing idle time. Futhermore, timers have been installed that automatically turn off the engine if it is idling for more than ten minutes.

All of our store managers receive a portion of their pay in the form of incentive compensation. This encourages store managers to efficiently manage operating expenses, including utility expenses,. All levels of supervision including executive officers and supervisory personnel within the store operations department receive some form of incentive compensation, and operating expenses have a direct impact on the amount of annual incentive compensation payments made to these employees.

For further information concerning the Company s operating environment and certain conditions that may affect future performance, see the Forward-looking Statements at the end of this Item 7.

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2012 Compared with Fiscal 2011

Total revenue for fiscal 2012 increased 24% to \$6,987,804, primarily due to a 20.3% increase in average gas prices, an increase in the number of gallons sold, and an increase in same-store inside sales (grocery & other merchandise and prepared food & fountain). Retail gasoline sales for the fiscal year were \$5,092,311, an increase of 27.3%, and gallons sold increased 5.9% to 1,476,154. Inside sales increased 15.8% to \$1,864,707, primarily due to the major remodels, the 24-hour conversions, the expansion of our pizza delivery program, and a greater number of stores in operation.

Total gross profit margin was 14.4% for fiscal 2012 compared with 15.6% for the prior year. The gas margin decreased to 4.4% in fiscal 2012 from 5.3% in fiscal 2011 primarily due to the increase in the retail price per gallon of gasoline sold. The grocery & other merchandise margin increased slightly to 32.5% in fiscal 2012 from 32.2% in fiscal 2011. The prepared food & fountain margin decreased to 60.7% from 62.2% primarily due to the higher commodity costs during fiscal 2012.

Operating expenses increased 13.3% in fiscal 2012. After adjusting for the \$16 million in expenses associated with the unsolicited hostile offer by Couche-Tard in the prior year, expenses increased 16.4% primarily due to the major remodels, the 24-hour conversions, the expansion of our pizza delivery program and a greater number of stores in operation. Higher retail gasoline prices resulted in higher sales, which decreased the operating expense ratio to 9.9% of total revenue in fiscal 2012 from 10.8% in the prior year. Higher retail gasoline prices also increased our transportation costs and credit card fees during the year.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased 17.2% to \$96,552 in fiscal 2012 from \$82,355 in fiscal 2011. The increase was due to capital expenditures made in fiscal 2012.

The effective tax rate decreased 100 basis points to 36.4% in fiscal 2012 from 37.4% in fiscal 2011. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily due to higher federal tax credits for the current year. However, this result was partially offset by a higher taxable income.

Net earnings increased to \$116,791 in fiscal 2012 from \$94,623 in fiscal 2011. The increase was due primarily to the \$17,591 post-tax impact on fiscal 2011 net earnings pertaining to the Company's recapitalization plan as well as the unsolicited hostile offer and related actions by Alimentation Couche-Tard, Inc., an increase in same store inside sales, the increase in the number of gasoline gallons sold, the 24-hour conversions, the major remodels, the expansion of our pizza delivery program, and a greater number of stores in operation from the prior year. However, this was partially offset by an increase in the operating expenses and a decrease in the average margin on prepared food & fountain sales.

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2011 Compared with Fiscal 2010

Total revenue for fiscal 2011 increased 21.5% to \$5,635,240, primarily due to a 15.8% increase in average gas prices, an increase in the number of gallons sold, and an increase in same-store inside sales (grocery & other merchandise and prepared food & fountain). Retail gasoline sales for the fiscal year were \$3,998,702, an increase of 25.8%, and gallons sold increased 8.6% to 1,394,457. Inside sales increased 11.9% to \$1,610,853, primarily due to increases in the cigarette and fountain sub-categories and a greater number of stores in operation.

Total gross profit margin was 15.6% for fiscal 2011 compared with 17.1% for the prior year. The gas margin decreased to 5.3% in fiscal 2011 from 5.6% in fiscal 2010 primarily due to the increase in the retail price per gallon of gasoline sold. The grocery & other merchandise margin decreased to 32.2% in fiscal 2011 from 33.6% in fiscal 2010 primarily due to a more competitive cigarette pricing environment. The prepared food & fountain margin decreased to 62.2% from 63.8% primarily due to the higher commodity costs during fiscal 2011.

Operating expenses increased 15.5% in fiscal 2011 primarily due to an increase of \$8,957 in pre-tax charges related to the evaluation of and responses to the unsolicited offer and related actions by Alimentation Couche-Tard and the evaluation of the proposal from 7-Eleven. In fiscal 2010, the Company received a \$1,543 rebate of contractual amounts of credit card transaction fees which should have been recorded in prior periods. When the impact of those two items are excluded, operating expenses would have increased 13.4% for the year. Higher retail gasoline prices resulted in higher sales, which decreased the operating expense ratio to 10.8% of total revenue in fiscal 2011 from 11.3% in the prior year. Higher retail gasoline prices also increased our transportation costs and credit card fees during the year.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased 12% to \$82,355 in fiscal 2011 from \$73,546 in fiscal 2010. The increase was due to capital expenditures made in fiscal 2011.

The effective tax rate increased 180 basis points to 37.4% in fiscal 2011 from 35.6% in fiscal 2010. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to an upward adjustment to net deferred tax liabilities resulting from a significant income tax rate increase enacted for one state that normally contributes a substantial proportion of state income tax expense and the absence, as present in the prior year, of a tax benefit resulting from a change in an uncertain tax position relating to a refund of tax credits.

Net earnings decreased to \$94,623 in fiscal 2011 from \$116,962 in fiscal 2010. The decrease was due primarily to an increase in operating expenses from the prior year, an increase in interest expense due to the additional \$569,000 principal amount outstanding on the 5.22% senior notes, the loss on the early retirement of the 7.38% senior notes and the 6.18% to 7.23% senior notes, a decrease in the average margin on prepared food & fountain sales and a decrease in the average margin on grocery & other merchandise sales. However, this was partially offset by an increase in the gross profit margin per gallon of gasoline sold.

Table of Contents

COMPANY TOTAL REVENUE AND GROSS PROFIT BY CATEGORY

	1,003,690	1,003,690	1,003,690	1,003,690	1,003,690	1,003,690	
	2012			Years ended April 30,			
	2011			2010			
Total revenue by category							
Gasoline	\$	5,092,311		\$	3,998,702	\$	3,177,490
Grocery & other merchandise		1,364,995			1,195,613		1,073,508
Prepared food & fountain		499,712			415,240		365,793
Other		30,786			25,685		20,296
	\$	6,987,804		\$	5,635,240	\$	4,637,087
Gross profit by category (1)							
Gasoline	\$	226,559		\$	212,038	\$	178,176
Grocery & other merchandise		443,245			385,250		360,432
Prepared food & fountain		303,159			258,151		233,507
Other		30,727			25,628		20,237
	\$	1,003,690		\$	881,067	\$	792,352

INDIVIDUAL STORE COMPARISONS (2)

	1,003,690	1,003,690	1,003,690	1,003,690	1,003,690	1,003,690	
	2012			Years ended April 30,			
	2011			2010			
Average retail sales	\$	4,117		\$	3,497	\$	3,070
Average retail inside sales		1,117			1,015		958
Average gross profit on inside items		448			404		389
Average retail sales of gasoline		3,000			2,482		2,112
Average gross profit on gasoline (3)		134			132		119
Average operating income (4)		191			180		164
Average number of gallons sold		870			869		854

- (1) Gross profits represent total revenue less cost of goods sold. Gross profit is given before charge for depreciation and amortization and credit card fees.
- (2) Individual store comparisons include only those stores that had been in operation for at least one full year on April 30 of the fiscal year indicated.
- (3) Retail gasoline profit margins have a substantial impact on our net income. Profit margins on gasoline sales can be adversely affected by factors beyond our control, including oversupply in the retail gasoline market, uncertainty or volatility in the wholesale gasoline market, and price competition from other gasoline marketers. Any substantial decrease in profit margins on retail gasoline sales or the number of gallons sold could have a material adverse effect on our earnings.

(4)

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 8-K

Average operating income represents retail sales less cost of goods sold and operating expenses attributable to a particular store; it excludes federal and state income taxes, Company operating expenses not attributable to a particular store, and our matching contribution paid to the 401(k) Plan.

Table of Contents

SAME STORE SALES GROWTH BY CATEGORY

		Years ended April 30,	
	201	2011	2010
Gasoline gallons	-1.5%	1.6%	-0.1%
Grocery & other merchandise	6.7	4.6	3.3
Prepared food & fountain	14.3%	7.7	4.2

The same store sales comparison includes aggregated individual store results for all stores open throughout both periods presented. When comparing quarterly data the store must be open for each entire quarter. When comparing annual data, the store must be open for each entire fiscal year being compared.

Remodeled stores that remained open or were closed for just a very brief period of time (less than a week) during the period being compared remain in the same store sales comparison. If a store is replaced, either at the same location (razed and rebuilt) or relocated to a new location, it is removed from the comparison until the new store has been open for each entire period being compared. Newly constructed and acquired stores do not enter the calculation until they are open for each entire period being compared as well.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those accounting policies that we believe are important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require management's most difficult, subjective judgments, often because of the need to estimate the effects of inherently uncertain factors.

Inventory

Inventories, which consist of merchandise and gasoline, are stated at the lower of cost or market. For gasoline, cost is determined through the use of the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. For merchandise inventories, cost is determined through the use of the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method for financial and income tax reporting applied to inventory values determined primarily by our FIFO accounting system for warehouse inventories and the retail inventory method (RIM) for store inventories, except for cigarettes, beer, pop, and prepared foods, which are valued at cost. RIM is an averaging method widely used in the retail industry because of its practicality.

Under RIM, inventory valuations are at cost and the resulting gross margins are calculated by applying a cost-to-retail ratio to sales. Inherent in the RIM calculations are certain management judgments and estimates that could affect the ending inventory valuation at cost and the resulting gross margins.

Vendor allowances include rebates and other funds received from vendors to promote their products. We often receive such allowances on the basis of quantitative contract terms that vary by product and vendor or directly on the basis of purchases made. Rebates are recognized as reductions of inventory costs when purchases are made; reimbursements of an operating expense (e.g., advertising) are recorded as reductions of the related expense.

Long-lived Assets

The Company periodically monitors closed and underperforming stores for an indication that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable. If the sum of the expected future undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the assets, an impairment loss is recognized to the extent the carrying value of the assets exceeds their estimated fair value. Fair value is based on management's estimate of the future cash flows to be generated and the amount that could be realized from the sale of assets in a current transaction between willing parties, which are considered Level 3 inputs. The estimate is derived from offers, actual sale or disposition of assets subsequent to year-end, and other indications of fair value. In determining whether an asset is impaired, assets are grouped at the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of the cash flows of other groups of assets, which for us is generally on a store-by-store basis. We recorded impairment charges of \$226 in fiscal 2012, \$348 in fiscal 2011, and \$100 in fiscal 2010.

Self-insurance

We are primarily self-insured for workers' compensation, general liability, and automobile claims. The self-insurance claim liability is determined actuarially based on claims filed and an estimate of claims incurred but not yet reported. Actuarial projections of the losses are employed due to the high degree of variability in the liability estimates. Some factors affecting the uncertainty of claims include the development time frame, settlement patterns, litigation and adjudication direction, and medical treatment and cost trends. The liability is not discounted. The balance of our self-insurance reserves were \$23,701 and \$22,129 for the years ended April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Goodwill

Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are tested for impairment at least annually. The Company assesses impairment annually at year-end using a market based approach to establish fair value. All of the goodwill assigned to the individual stores is aggregated into a single reporting unit due to the similar economic characteristics of the stores. As of April 30, 2012, there was \$104,385 of goodwill and management's analysis of recoverability completed as of the fiscal year-end yielded no evidence of impairment and no events have occurred since the annual test indicating a potential impairment.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Due to the nature of our business, cash provided by operations is our primary source of liquidity. We finance our inventory purchases primarily from normal trade credit aided by relatively rapid inventory turnover. This turnover allows us to conduct operations without large amounts of cash and working capital. As of April 30, 2012, the Company's ratio of current assets to current liabilities was .91 to 1. The ratio at April 30,

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 8-K

2011 and at April 30, 2010 was 1 to 1. We believe our current \$100,000 bank line of credit together with cash flow from operations will be sufficient to satisfy the working capital needs of our business.

Table of Contents

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$33,436 (12.8%) in the year ended April 30, 2012, primarily because of a large increase in net earnings and a large decrease in income taxes receivable. This result was partially offset by decreases in accounts payable and the loss on early retirement of debt. Cash used in investing activities in the year ended April 30, 2012 decreased \$47,391 (14.6%) primarily due to the decrease in the store acquisitions from the prior year. Cash used in financing activities decreased \$7,624 (27.2%), primarily due to the decrease in the repayments of long-term debt.

Capital expenditures represent the single largest use of Company funds. We believe that by reinvesting in stores, we will be better able to respond to competitive challenges and increase operating efficiencies. During fiscal 2012, we expended \$280,318 for property and equipment, primarily for construction, acquisition, and remodeling of stores compared with \$328,140 in the prior year. In fiscal 2013, we anticipate expending between \$276,000 and \$338,000, primarily from existing cash and funds generated by operations, for construction, acquisition, and remodeling of stores.

As of April 30, 2012, we had long-term debt, net of current maturities, of \$667,930 consisting of \$569,000 in principal amount of 5.22% senior notes, \$90,000 in principal amount of 5.72% senior notes, series A and B; and \$8,930 of capital lease obligations.

Interest on the 5.22% senior notes is payable on the 9th day of each February and August. Principal on the 5.22% senior notes is payable in full on August 9, 2020. We may prepay the 5.22% notes in whole or in part at any time in an amount of not less than \$2,000 at a redemption price calculated in accordance with the Note Agreement dated August 9, 2010 between the Company and the purchasers of the 5.22% senior notes.

Interest on the 5.72% senior notes series A and series B is payable on the 30th day of each March and September. Principal on the senior notes series A and series B is payable in various installments beginning September 30, 2012. We may prepay the 5.72% senior notes series A and series B in whole or in part at any time in an amount of not less than \$2,000 at a redemption price calculated in accordance with the Note Agreement dated September 29, 2006 between the Company and the purchasers of the 5.72% senior notes series A and series B.

To date, we have funded capital expenditures primarily through funds generated from operations, the proceeds of the sale of common stock, issuance of 6.25% convertible subordinated debentures (converted into shares of common stock in 1994), the previously described senior notes, and a mortgage note. Future capital required to finance operations, improvements, and the anticipated growth in the number of stores is expected to come from cash generated by operations, the bank line of credit, and additional long-term debt or other securities as circumstances may dictate. We do not expect such capital needs to adversely affect liquidity.

The table below presents our significant contractual obligations, including interest, at April 30, 2012:

Contractual obligations	Total	Payments due by period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Senior notes	\$ 941,356	45,279	83,627	96,696	715,754
Mortgage notes	23	23	-----	-----	-----
Capital lease obligations	17,287	1,266	2,160	1,399	12,462
Operating lease obligations	2,863	928	1,124	330	481
Unrecognized tax benefits	7,538	-----	-----	-----	-----
Deferred compensation	14,698	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	\$ 983,765	47,496	86,911	98,425	728,697

Unrecognized tax benefits relate to uncertain tax positions and since we are not able to reasonably estimate the timing of the payments or the amount by which the liability will increase or decrease over time, the related balances have not been reflected in the Payments due by period section of the table.

At April 30, 2012, the Company had a total of \$7,538 in gross unrecognized tax benefits. Of this amount, \$4,900 represents the amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact our effective tax rate. These unrecognized tax benefits relate to the state income tax filing positions and federal tax credits claimed for the Company's corporate subsidiaries. The total amount of accrued interest and penalties for such unrecognized tax benefits was \$249 as of April 30, 2012. Interest and penalties related to income taxes are classified as income tax expense in our consolidated financial statements. The federal statute of limitations remains open for the years 2009 and forward. Tax years 2008 and forward are subject to audit by state tax authorities depending on open statute of limitations waivers and the tax code of each state.

Table of Contents

A number of years may elapse before an uncertain tax position is audited and ultimately settled. It is difficult to predict the ultimate outcome or the timing of resolution for uncertain tax positions. It is reasonably possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits could significantly increase or decrease within the next twelve months. These changes could result from the expiration of the statute of limitations, examinations or other unforeseen circumstances. As of April 30, 2012, the Company has no ongoing federal income tax examination. One state has an examination in progress. The Company did not have any outstanding litigation related to tax matters. At this time, management believes it is reasonably possible the aggregate amount of unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by \$1,370 within the next 12 months. This expected decrease is due to the expiration of statute of limitations related to certain federal and state income tax filing positions.

Included in long-term liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet at April 30, 2012, was a \$14,698 obligation for deferred compensation. As the specific payment dates for the deferred compensation are unknown due to the unknown retirement dates of many of the participants, the related balances have not been reflected in the Payments due by period section of the table. However, certain payments will be due during the next 5 years.

At April 30, 2012, we were partially self-insured for workers compensation claims in all eleven states of our marketing territory; we also were partially self-insured for general liability and auto liability under an agreement that provides for annual stop-loss limits equal to or exceeding approximately \$1,000. To facilitate this agreement, letters of credit approximating \$15,000 and \$12,000, respectively, were issued and outstanding at April 30, 2012 and 2011, on the insurance company's behalf. We renew the letters of credit on an annual basis.

Forward-looking Statements

This Form 10-K contains various forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended. Forward-looking statements represent our expectations or beliefs concerning future events, including (i) any statements regarding future sales and gross profit percentages, (ii) any statements regarding the continuation of historical trends, and (iii) any statements regarding the sufficiency of the Company's cash balances and cash generated from operations and financing activities for the Company's future liquidity and capital resource needs. The words *believe*, *expect*, *anticipate*, *intend*, *estimate*, *project* and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. We caution you that these statements are further qualified by important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements, including without limitations the factors described in this Form 10-K.

We ask you not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements because they speak only of our views as of the statement dates. Although we have attempted to list the important factors that presently affect the Company's business and operating results, we further caution you that other factors may in the future prove to be important in affecting the Company's results of operations. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

In addition to any assumptions and other factors referred to specifically in connection with such forward-looking statements, factors that could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in any forward-looking statements include, among others, the following:

Competition

Our business is highly competitive and marked by ease of entry and constant change in terms of the numbers and type of retailers offering the products and services found in stores. Many of the food (including prepared foods) and nonfood items similar or identical to those we sell are generally available from a variety of competitors in the communities served by our stores, and we compete with other convenience store chains, gasoline stations, supermarkets, drug stores, discount stores, club stores, mass merchants, and fast-food outlets (with respect to the sale of prepared foods). Sales of nongasoline items (particularly prepared food items) have contributed substantially to our gross profit on retail sales in recent years. Gasoline sales are intensely competitive. We compete for gasoline sales with both independent and national brand gasoline stations, other convenience store chains, and several nontraditional gasoline retailers such as supermarkets in specific markets. Some of these other gasoline retailers may have access to more favorable arrangements for gasoline supply than do we or the firms that supply our stores. Some of our competitors have greater financial, marketing, and other resources than we have and therefore may be able to respond better to changes in the economy and new opportunities within the industry.

Table of Contents

Gasoline Operations

Gasoline sales are an important part of our revenue and earnings, and retail gasoline profit margins have a substantial impact on our net income. Profit margins on gasoline sales can be affected adversely by factors beyond our control, including the supply of gasoline available in the retail gasoline market, uncertainty or volatility in the wholesale gasoline market, increases in wholesale gasoline costs generally during a period, and price competition from other gasoline marketers. The market for crude oil and domestic wholesale petroleum products is volatile and is affected by general political conditions and instability in oil producing regions such as the Middle East and South America. The volatility of the wholesale gasoline market makes it extremely difficult to predict the impact of future wholesale cost fluctuation on our operating results and financial conditions. These factors could materially affect gasoline gallon volume, gasoline gross profit, and overall customer traffic levels at stores. Any substantial decrease in profit margins on gasoline sales or in the number of gallons sold by stores could have a material adverse effect on our earnings.

The Company purchases its gasoline from a variety of independent national and regional petroleum distributors. Although in recent years suppliers have not experienced any difficulties in obtaining sufficient amounts of gasoline to meet our needs, unanticipated national and international events could result in a reduction of gasoline supplies available for distribution. Any substantial curtailment in our gasoline supply would reduce gasoline sales. Further, we believe a significant amount of our business results from the patronage of customers primarily desiring to purchase gasoline; accordingly, reduced gasoline supplies could adversely affect the sale of nongasoline items. Such factors could have a material adverse impact on our earnings and operations.

Tobacco Products

Sales of tobacco products represent a significant portion of our revenues. Significant increases in wholesale cigarette costs and tax increases on tobacco products as well as national and local campaigns to discourage smoking in the United States could have an adverse effect on the demand for cigarettes sold by stores. We attempt to pass price increases on to our customers, but competitive pressures in specific markets may prevent us from doing so. These factors could materially affect the retail price of cigarettes, the volume of cigarettes sold by stores, and overall customer traffic.

Environmental Compliance Costs

The United States Environmental Protection Agency and several of the states in which we do business have adopted laws and regulations relating to underground storage tanks used for petroleum products. In the past, we have incurred substantial costs to comply with such regulations, and additional substantial costs may be necessary in the future. Several states in which we do business have trust fund programs with provisions for sharing or reimbursing corrective action or remediation costs. Any reimbursements received in respect to such costs typically are subject to statutory provisions requiring repayment of the reimbursed funds for any future noncompliance with upgrade provisions or other applicable laws. Although we regularly accrue expenses for the estimated costs related to future corrective action or remediation efforts, there can be no assurance that the accrued amounts will be sufficient to pay such costs or that we have identified all environmental liabilities at all of our current store locations. In addition, there can be no assurance that we will not incur substantial expenditures in the future for remediation of contamination or related claims that have not been discovered or asserted with respect to existing store locations or locations that we may acquire in the future, that we will not be subject to any claims for reimbursement of funds disbursed to us under the various state programs, and/or that additional regulations or amendments to existing regulations will not require additional expenditures beyond those presently anticipated.

Seasonality of Sales

Company sales generally are strongest during its first two fiscal quarters (May – October) and weakest during the third and fourth fiscal quarters (November – April). In the warmer months, customers tend to purchase greater quantities of gasoline and certain convenience items such as beer, soft drinks, and ice. Difficult weather conditions (such as flooding, prolonged rain, or snowstorms) in any quarter, however, may adversely reduce sales at affected stores and may have an adverse impact on our earnings for that period.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company's exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our investment portfolio and long-term debt obligations. We place our investments with high-quality credit issuers and, by policy, limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. Our first priority is to reduce the risk of principal loss. Consequently, we seek to preserve our invested funds by limiting default risk, market risk, and reinvestment risk. We mitigate default risk by investing in only high-quality credit securities that we believe to be low risk and by positioning our portfolio to respond appropriately to a significant reduction in a credit rating of any investment issuer or guarantor. The portfolio includes only marketable securities with active secondary or resale markets to ensure portfolio liquidity. We believe an immediate 100-basis-point move in interest rates affecting our floating and fixed rate financial instruments as of April 30, 2012 would have no material effect on pretax earnings.

In the past, we have used derivative instruments such as options and futures to hedge against the volatility of gasoline cost and were at risk for possible changes in the market value of those derivative instruments. No such derivative instruments were used during fiscal year 2012, 2011, or 2010. However, we do from time to time, participate in a forward buy of certain commodities, primarily cheese and coffee.

Table of Contents

**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Casey's General Stores, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Casey's General Stores, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of April 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended April 30, 2012. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Item 9A (Controls and Procedures). Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Casey's General Stores, Inc. and subsidiaries as of April 30, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended April 30, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/KPMG LLP

Des Moines, Iowa

June 26, 2012

Table of Contents**CASEY S GENERAL STORES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(In thousands, except share data)

	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXX
			April 30,	
		2012		2011
Assets				
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	55,919	\$	59,572
Receivables		21,700		20,154
Inventories		170,794		159,200
Prepaid expenses		1,298		1,180
Deferred income taxes		13,143		10,405
Income taxes receivable		16,424		43,376
Total current assets		279,278		293,887
Property and equipment, at cost				
Land		381,756		348,456
Buildings and leasehold improvements		807,795		724,170
Machinery and equipment		1,035,651		907,483
Leasehold interest in property and equipment		14,545		14,538
		2,239,747		1,994,647
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		860,998		777,342
Net property and equipment		1,378,749		1,217,305
Other assets, net of amortization		12,403		11,721
Goodwill		104,385		88,042
Total assets	\$	1,774,815	\$	1,610,955
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity				
Current liabilities				
Notes payable to bank	\$	-	\$	600
Current maturities of long-term debt		10,737		1,167
Accounts payable		211,165		215,675
Accrued expenses				
Wages and related taxes		15,010		13,014
Property taxes		19,111		17,283
Insurance		23,701		22,129
Other		26,917		24,632
Total current liabilities		306,641		294,500

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 8-K

Long-term debt, net of current maturities	667,930	678,680
Deferred income taxes	260,405	203,078
Deferred compensation	14,698	13,858
Other long-term liabilities	19,100	16,943
Total liabilities	1,268,774	1,207,059
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity		
Preferred stock, no par value, none issued	-	-
Common stock, no par value, 38,140,309 and 37,966,709 shares issued and outstanding at April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively	12,199	3,996
Retained earnings	493,842	399,900
Total shareholders' equity	506,041	403,896
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,774,815	\$ 1,610,955

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	x x	x x	x x
	2012	Years ended April 30,	
		2011	2010
Total revenue	\$ 6,987,804	\$ 5,635,240	\$ 4,637,087
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation, shown separately below)	5,984,114	4,754,173	3,844,735
Gross profit	1,003,690	881,067	792,352
Operating expenses	688,431	607,628	526,291
Depreciation and amortization	96,552	82,355	73,546
Interest, net	35,192	28,497	10,933
Loss on early retirement of debt	-	11,350	-
Earnings before income taxes	183,515	151,237	181,582
Federal and state income taxes	66,724	56,614	64,620
Net earnings	\$ 116,791	\$ 94,623	\$ 116,962
Earnings per common share			
Basic	\$ 3.07	\$ 2.24	\$ 2.30
Diluted	\$ 3.04	\$ 2.22	\$ 2.29

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands, except per share and per share amounts)

	XXXXXX	XXXXXX	XXXXXX	XXXXXX	XXXXXX	XXXXXX
		Common stock		Retained earnings		Total
Balance at April 30, 2009	\$	60,804		\$ 660,226		\$ 721,030
Net earnings		-		116,962		116,962
Payment of dividends (34 cents per share)		-		(17,308)		(17,308)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options (83,450 shares)		1,239		-		1,239
Tax benefits related to nonqualified stock options		365		-		365
Stock based compensation		2,031		-		2,031
Balance at April 30, 2010	\$	64,439		\$ 759,880		\$ 824,319
Net earnings		-		94,623		94,623
Payment of dividends (50.5 cents per share)		-		(20,467)		(20,467)
Repurchase of common stock (13,157,894 shares)		(66,890)		(434,136)		(501,026)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options (184,441 shares)		3,733		-		3,733
Tax benefits related to nonqualified stock options		648		-		648
Stock based compensation		2,066		-		2,066
Balance at April 30, 2011	\$	3,996		\$ 399,900		\$ 403,896
Net earnings		-		116,791		116,791
Payment of dividends (60 cents per share)		-		(22,849)		(22,849)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options (159,600 shares)		3,249		-		3,249
Tax benefits related to nonqualified stock options		1,162		-		1,162
Stock based compensation		3,792		-		3,792

Balance at April 30, 2012	\$ 12,199	\$ 493,842	\$ 506,041
----------------------------------	------------------	-------------------	-------------------

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands)

	116,791	116,791	116,791	116,791	116,791	116,791
	Years ended April 30,					
	2012		2011		2010	
Cash flows from operating activities						
Net earnings	\$	116,791	\$	94,623	\$	116,962
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operations						
Depreciation and amortization		96,552		82,355		73,546
Other amortization		347		988		203
Stock-based compensation		3,792		2,066		2,031
Loss (gain) on sale of property and equipment		1,428		(80)		456
Deferred income taxes		54,589		60,861		18,171
Excess tax benefits related to stock option exercises		(1,162)		(648)		(365)
Loss on early retirement of debt		-		11,350		-
Changes in assets and liabilities						
Receivables		(1,546)		(8,043)		(1,223)
Inventories		(8,647)		(26,527)		(15,886)
Prepaid expenses		(118)		(51)		87
Accounts payable		(4,510)		70,341		29,898
Accrued expenses		7,399		5,516		(6,567)
Income taxes receivable		29,508		(31,266)		(3,649)
Other, net		456		(42)		404
Net cash provided by operating activities		294,879		261,443		214,068
Cash flows from investing activities						
Purchase of property and equipment		(240,874)		(214,573)		(129,233)
Payments for acquisition of businesses		(39,444)		(113,567)		(45,688)
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment		2,196		2,627		1,769
Net cash used in investing activities		(278,122)		(325,513)		(173,152)
Cash flows from financing activities						
Proceeds from long-term debt		-		569,000		-
Payments of long-term debt		(1,372)		(69,172)		(19,231)
Net borrowing of short-term debt		(600)		600		-
Proceeds from exercise of stock options		3,249		3,733		1,239
Payments of cash dividends		(22,849)		(20,467)		(17,308)
Repurchase of common stock		-		(501,026)		-
Payments of prepayment penalties		-		(11,350)		-
Excess tax benefits related to stock option exercises		1,162		648		365
Net cash used in financing activities		(20,410)		(28,034)		(34,935)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents		(3,653)		(92,104)		5,981
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		59,572		151,676		145,695
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	55,919	\$	59,572	\$	151,676

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOWS INFORMATION

Cash paid (received) during the year for			
Interest, net of amount capitalized	\$ 35,403	\$ 34,691	\$ 11,677
Income taxes	(17,973)	26,113	48,825
Noncash investing and financing activities			
Property and equipment acquired through notes payable and capitalized lease obligations	191	689	2,234
See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.			

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Operations Casey's General Stores, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company/Casey's) operate 1,699 convenience stores in 11 Midwest states. The stores are located primarily in smaller communities, many with populations of less than 5,000. Retail sales in 2012 were distributed as follows: 73% gasoline, 20% grocery & other merchandise, and 7% prepared food & fountain. The Company's materials are readily available, and the Company is not dependent on a single supplier or only a few suppliers.

Principles of consolidation The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of Casey's General Stores, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect 1) the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and 2) the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash equivalents Cash equivalents consist of money market funds. We consider all highly liquid investments with a maturity at purchase of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Inventories Inventories, which consist of merchandise and gasoline, are stated at the lower of cost or market; in-store inventory (excluding cigarettes, beer, beverages, and prepared foods, which are stated at cost) is determined by the retail inventory method (RIM). Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method for gasoline and the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method for merchandise. The excess of current cost over the stated LIFO value was \$41,805 and \$36,698 at April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. There were no material LIFO liquidations during the periods presented. Below is a summary of the inventory values at April 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Fiscal 2012	Fiscal 2011
Gasoline	83,063	81,964
Merchandise	87,731	77,236
Total inventory	170,794	159,200

Vendor allowances include rebates and other funds received from vendors to promote their products. The Company often receives such allowances on the basis of quantitative contract terms that vary by product and vendor or directly on the basis of purchases made. Vendor rebates in the form of rack display allowances are treated as a reduction in cost of sales and are recognized incrementally over the period covered by the applicable rebate agreement. The rack display allowances are funds that we receive from various vendors for allocating certain shelf space to carry their specific products or to introduce new products in our stores for a particular period of time. These funds do not represent reimbursements of specific, incremental, identifiable costs incurred by us in selling the vendor's products. Vendor rebates in the form of billbacks are treated as a reduction in cost of sales and are recognized at the time the product is sold. Reimbursements of an operating expense (e.g., advertising) are recorded as reductions of the related expense.

Goodwill Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are tested for impairment at least annually. The Company assesses impairment annually at year-end using a market based approach to establish fair value. All of the goodwill assigned to the individual stores is aggregated into a single reporting unit due to the similar economic characteristics of the stores. As of April 30, 2012, there was \$104,385 of goodwill, and management's analysis of recoverability completed as of the fiscal year-end yielded no evidence of impairment.

Table of Contents

Store closings and asset impairment The Company writes down property and equipment of stores it is closing to estimated net realizable value at the time management commits to a plan to close such stores and begins active marketing of the stores. The Company bases the estimated net realizable value of property and equipment on its experience in utilizing and/or disposing of similar assets and on estimates provided by its own and/or third-party real estate experts.

The Company monitors closed and underperforming stores for an indication that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable. If the sum of the expected future undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the assets, an impairment loss is recognized to the extent carrying value of the assets exceeds their estimated fair value. Fair value is based on management's estimate of the price that would be received to sell an asset in an orderly transaction between market participants. The estimate is derived from offers, actual sale or disposition of assets subsequent to year-end, and other indications of fair value, which are considered Level 3 inputs. In determining whether an asset is impaired, assets are grouped at the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of the cash flows of other groups of assets, which for the Company is generally on a store-by-store basis. The Company incurred impairment charges of \$226 in fiscal 2012, \$348 in fiscal 2011, and \$100 in fiscal 2010. Impairment charges are a component of operating expenses.

Depreciation and amortization Depreciation of property and equipment and amortization of capital lease assets are computed principally by the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings	25-40 years
Machinery and equipment	5-30 years
Leasehold interest in property and equipment	Lesser of term of lease or life of asset
Leasehold improvements	Lesser of term of lease or life of asset

Excise taxes Excise taxes approximating \$527,000, \$495,000, and \$454,000 on retail gasoline sales are included in total revenue and cost of goods sold for fiscal 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Income taxes The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. The Company calculates its current and deferred tax provision based on estimates and assumptions that could differ from actual results reflected in income tax returns filed in subsequent years. Adjustments based on filed returns are recorded when identified.

Revenue recognition The Company recognizes retail sales of gasoline, grocery & other merchandise, prepared food & fountain, and commissions on lottery, prepaid phone cards, and video rentals at the time of the sale to the customer. Sales taxes collected from customers and remitted to the government are recorded on a net basis in the consolidated financial statements.

Earnings per common share Basic earnings per share have been computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted average shares outstanding during each of the years. The calculation of diluted earnings per share treats stock options and restricted stock units outstanding as potential common shares to the extent they are dilutive.

Table of Contents

Asset retirement obligations The Company recognizes the estimated future cost to remove underground storage tanks over the estimated useful life of the storage tank. The Company records a discounted liability for the fair value of an asset retirement obligation with a corresponding increase to the carrying value of the related long-lived asset at the time an underground storage tank is installed. The Company amortizes the amount added to other assets and recognizes accretion expense in connection with the discounted liability over the remaining life of the tank. The estimates of the anticipated future costs for removal of an underground storage tank are based on our prior experience with removal. The cost estimates are compared to the actual removal cost experienced on an annual basis, and when the actual costs exceed our original estimates, an additional liability for estimated future costs to remove the underground storage tanks will be recognized. Because these estimates are subjective and are currently based on historical costs with adjustments for estimated future changes in the associated costs, we expect the dollar amount of these obligations to change as more information is obtained.

There were no material changes in our asset retirement obligation estimates during fiscal 2012. The recorded asset for asset retirement obligations was \$7,343 and \$6,926 at April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and is recorded in other assets, net of amortization. The discounted liability was \$11,313 and \$10,549 at April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and is recorded in other long-term liabilities.

Environmental remediation liabilities The Company accrues for environmental remediation liabilities when it is probable a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.

Derivative instruments The Company occasionally has used derivative instruments such as options and futures to hedge against the volatility of gasoline cost, under which the Company was at risk for possible changes in the market value for these derivative instruments. There were no such options or futures contracts as of or during the years ended April 30, 2012, 2011, or 2010.

Stock-based compensation Stock-based compensation is recorded based upon the fair value of the award on the grant date. The cost of the award is recognized in the statement of earnings over the vesting period of the award.

Segment reporting As of April 30, 2012 we operated 1,699 stores in 11 states. Our stores offer a broad selection of merchandise, fuel and other products and services designed to appeal to the convenience needs of our customers. We manage the business on the basis of one operating segment and therefore, have only one reportable segment. Our stores sell similar products and services, use similar processes to sell those products and services, and sell their products and services to similar classes of customers. We make specific disclosures concerning the three broad merchandise categories of gasoline, grocery & other merchandise, and prepared food and fountain because it makes it easier for us to discuss trends and operational initiatives within our business and industry. Although we can separate gross margins within these categories (and further sub-categories), the operating expenses associated with operating a store that sells these products are not separable by these three categories.

Recent accounting pronouncements Effective May 1, 2012, the Company will adopt new accounting guidance which revises the manner in which we present comprehensive income in our financial statements. The new guidance removes the presentation options previously allowed and requires us to report components of comprehensive income as part of the consolidated statement of income or as a separate consolidated statement of comprehensive income. The revised guidance will not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income. Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) refers to revenues, expenses, gains and losses that are not included in net income, but rather are recorded directly in stockholders' equity. This guidance, which is to be applied retrospectively, is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. This guidance only affects presentation and disclosure and will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Effective May 1, 2012, we will adopt new guidance that is intended to simplify goodwill impairment testing by adding a qualitative review step to assess whether the required quantitative impairment analysis that exists today is necessary. The fair value calculation for goodwill will not be required unless we conclude, based on the qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less

Table of Contents

than its book value. If such a decline in fair value is deemed more likely than not to have occurred, then the quantitative goodwill impairment test that exists under current GAAP must be completed; otherwise, goodwill is deemed to be not impaired and no further testing is required until the next annual test date (or sooner if conditions or events before that date raise concerns of potential impairment in the business). The amended goodwill impairment guidance does not affect the manner in which a company estimates fair value. It is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, but early adoption is permitted. We do not expect it to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**2. ACQUISITIONS**

During the year ended April 30, 2012, the Company acquired 35 stores through a variety of single store and multi-store transactions with several unrelated third parties. The acquisitions were recorded by allocating the cost of the assets acquired, including intangible assets and liabilities assumed, based on their estimated fair values at the acquisition date. The excess of the cost of the acquisition over the net amounts assigned to the fair value of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed is recorded as goodwill. All of the goodwill associated with these transactions will be deductible for income tax purposes over 15 years.

Allocation of the purchase price for the transactions in aggregate is as follows (in thousands):

Assets acquired:	
Inventories	\$ 2,947
Property and equipment	20,415
Total assets	23,362
Liabilities assumed:	
Accrued expenses	282
Total liabilities	282
Net tangible assets acquired	23,080
Goodwill	16,364
Total consideration paid	\$ 39,444

The following unaudited pro forma information presents a summary of our consolidated results of operations as if the transactions referenced above occurred at the beginning of the fiscal year for each of the periods presented (amounts in thousands, except per share data):

	Years Ended April 30,	
	2012	2011
Total revenue	\$ 7,021,256	5,790,215
Net earnings	\$ 117,727	99,138
Earnings per common share		
Basic	\$ 3.09	2.34
Diluted	\$ 3.07	2.33

Table of Contents**3. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND LONG-TERM DEBT**

A summary of the fair value of the Company's financial instruments follows.

Cash and cash equivalents, receivables, and accounts payable The carrying amount approximates fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments or the recent purchase of the instruments at current rates of interest.

Long-term debt The fair value of the Company's long-term debt and capital lease obligations is estimated based on the current rates offered to the Company for debt of the same or similar issues. The fair value of the Company's long-term debt and capital lease obligations was approximately \$691,000 and \$647,000, respectively, at April 30, 2012 and 2011.

The next table delineates the Company's long-term debt at carrying amount.

	As of April 30,	
	2012	2011
Capitalized lease obligations discounted at 5.22% to 7.09% due in various monthly installments through 2048 (Note 7)	\$ 9,645	10,344
Mortgage notes payable due in various installments through January 2013 with interest at 6%	22	503
5.72% senior notes due in 14 installments beginning September 30, 2012 and ending March 30, 2020	100,000	100,000
5.22% senior notes due August 9, 2020	569,000	569,000
	678,667	679,847
Less current maturities	10,737	1,167
	\$ 667,930	678,680

The Company has a current line of credit arrangement with two Promissory Notes, each in the principal amount of \$50,000 (together, the Notes). The Notes evidence a revolving line of credit in the aggregate principal amount of \$100,000 and bear interest at variable rates subject to change from time to time based on changes in an independent index referred to in the Notes as the Federal Funds Offered Rate (the Index). The interest rate to be applied to the unpaid principal balance of the first Note will be at a rate of 0.750% over the Index, resulting in an initial rate of 0.850% per annum. The interest rate applicable to the second note is 1.000% over the Index, resulting in an initial rate of 1.100% per annum. There was no balance owed at April 30, 2012 and \$600 owed at April 30, 2011 with a weighted average interest rate of 0.85%.

Interest expense is net of interest income of \$208, \$360, and \$300 for the years ended April 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Interest expense in the amount of \$674, \$406, and \$431 was capitalized during the years ended April 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

Various debt agreements contain certain operating and financial covenants. At April 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all covenants. Listed below are the aggregate maturities of long-term debt, including capitalized lease obligations, for the 5 years commencing May 1, 2012 and thereafter:

Years ended April 30,	
2013	\$ 10,737
2014	15,759
2015	439
2016	15,278
2017	15,250
Thereafter	621,204
	\$ 678,667

4. PREFERRED AND COMMON STOCK

Preferred stock The Company has 1,000,000 authorized shares of preferred stock of which 250,000 shares have been designated as Series A Serial Preferred Stock. No shares have been issued.

Common stock The Company currently has 120,000,000 authorized shares of common stock.

Preferred share purchase rights On April 16, 2010, the Board of Directors adopted a Rights Plan, providing for the distribution of one right for each share of common stock outstanding. Each right entitled the holder to purchase one one-thousandth (1/1000th) of a share of Series A Serial Preferred Stock, no par value per share, of the Company at a price of \$95.00. Each right also entitled the holder to purchase common shares in the surviving entity at 50% of the market price. The rights generally became exercisable at the discretion of the Board of Directors following a public announcement that 15% or more of the Company's common stock has been acquired or an intent to acquire has become apparent. The rights expired on April 15, 2011.

Stock option plans The 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (the "Plan"), was approved by the Board of Directors in June 2009 and approved by the shareholders in September 2009. The Plan replaced the 2000 Option Plan and the Non-employee Director Stock Plan (together, the "Prior Plans"). There are 4,428,604 shares available for grant at April 30, 2012 under the Plan. Awards made under the Plan may take the form of stock options, restricted stock or restricted stock units. Each share issued pursuant to a stock option will be counted as one share, and each share issued pursuant to an award of restricted stock or restricted stock units will reduce the shares available for grant by two. Additional information regarding the Plan is provided in the Company's 2011 Proxy Statement. Under the Company's Prior Plans, options could have been granted to non-employee directors, certain officers, and key employees to purchase an aggregate of 5,260,000 shares of common stock. At April 30, 2012, options for 1,053,509 shares (which expire between 2013 and 2021) were outstanding. All stock option shares issued are previously unissued authorized shares.

On May 1, 2009, stock options totaling 16,000 shares were granted to the non-employee members of the Board. These awards vested immediately and compensation expense was recognized at that time.

On June 23, 2009, stock options totaling 361,000 shares were granted to certain officers and key employees. These awards will vest on June 23, 2012, and compensation expense is being recognized ratably over the vesting period.

Table of Contents

On June 23, 2010, restricted stock units with respect to a total of 14,000 shares were granted to the non-employee members of the Board. This award was also granted at no cost to the non-employee members of the Board. This award vested immediately and compensation expense was recognized at that time.

On March 22, 2011, restricted stock units with respect to a total of 14,000 shares were granted to the non-employee members of the Board. This award was also granted at no cost to the non-employee members of the Board. This award vested on May 1, 2011, and compensation expense was recognized ratably over the vesting period.

On June 10, 2011, restricted stock units with respect to a total of 9,198 shares were granted to certain employees under the annual incentive compensation program. These awards will vest on June 10, 2014 and compensation expense is being recognized ratably over the vesting period.

On June 23, 2011, stock options totaling 441,000 shares were granted to certain officers and key employees. These awards will vest on June 23, 2014, and compensation expense is being recognized ratably over the vesting period.

On June 23, 2011, restricted stock units totaling 15,000 shares were granted to the CEO. This award was also granted at no cost to the employee. This award will vest on June 23, 2014 and compensation expense is currently being recognized ratably over the vesting period.

On September 16, 2011, restricted stock units with respect to a total of 14,000 shares were granted to the non-employee members of the Board. This award was also granted at no cost to the non-employee members of the Board. This award will vest on May 1, 2012 and compensation expense is currently being recognized ratably over the vesting period.

The 2000 Stock Option Plan allowed the grant of options with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the Company's stock on the date of grant that expired ten years after the date of grant. Vesting was generally over a three to five-year service period. The Non-employee Directors Stock Option Plan allowed the grant of options with an exercise price equal to the average of the last reported sale prices of shares of common stock on the last trading day of each of the twelve months preceding the award of the option. The term of such options was ten years from the date of grant, and each option is exercisable immediately upon grant. The aggregate number of shares of Common Stock that could have been granted pursuant to the Director Stock Plan was 200,000 shares, subject to adjustment to reflect any future stock dividends, stock splits, or other relevant capitalization changes.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the stock option and restricted stock unit activity during the periods indicated:

	Number of restricted stock units	Number of option shares	Weighted average exercise
Balance at April 30, 2009	-	678,000	\$ 20.45
Granted	-	377,000	25.27
Exercised	-	(83,450)	14.85
Forfeited	-	(12,000)	24.41
Balance at April 30, 2010	-	959,550	\$ 22.78
Granted	28,000	-	0.00
Exercised	-	(184,441)	20.24
Forfeited	-	-	0.00
Balance at April 30, 2011	28,000	775,109	\$ 23.38
Granted	38,198	441,000	44.39
Exercised	-	(159,600)	20.36
Forfeited	-	(3,000)	36.19
Balance at April 30, 2012	66,198	1,053,509	\$ 32.59

At April 30, 2012, all outstanding options had an aggregate intrinsic value of \$25,029 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 7.2 years. The vested options totaled 276,009 shares with a weighted average exercise price of \$22.82 per share and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 5.8 years. The aggregate intrinsic value for the vested options as of April 30, 2012 was \$9,255. The aggregate intrinsic value for the total of all options exercised during the year ended April 30, 2012 was \$4,565, and the total fair value of shares granted during the year ended April 30, 2012 was \$6,461.

Total compensation costs recorded for the years ended April 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$3,792, \$2,066, and \$2,031, respectively, for the stock option and restricted stock awards. As of April 30, 2012, there was \$4,894 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to the Plan and Prior Plans for stock options that are expected to be recognized ratably through 2014.

At April 30, 2012, the range of exercise prices for options was \$11.86 - \$44.39 and the weighted average remaining contractual life of outstanding options was 7.2 years. The number of shares and weighted average remaining contractual life of the options by range of applicable exercise prices at April 30, 2012 were as follows:

Range of exercise prices	Number of shares	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted average remaining contractual life (years)
\$ 11.86 - 17.64	45,500	\$ 14.68	1.5
20.68 - 26.92	569,009	24.92	6.1
44.39	439,000	44.39	9.2
	1,053,509		

Table of Contents**5. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE**

Computations for basic and diluted earnings per common share are presented below:

	Years ended April 30,		
	2012	2011	2010
Basic			
Net earnings	\$ 116,791	\$ 94,623	\$ 116,962
Weighted average shares outstanding-basic	38,068,001	42,284,664	50,899,370
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 3.07	\$ 2.24	\$ 2.30
Diluted			
Net earnings	\$ 116,791	\$ 94,623	\$ 116,962
Weighted-average shares outstanding-basic	38,068,001	42,284,664	50,899,370
Plus effect of stock options and restricted stock units	323,703	281,913	153,803
Weighted-average shares outstanding-diluted	38,391,704	42,566,577	51,053,173
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 3.04	\$ 2.22	\$ 2.29

Options to purchase shares of common stock that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share, because their inclusion would have been antidilutive, were 439,000 for fiscal 2012 and 356,000 for fiscal 2010. All options were included for fiscal 2011.

Table of Contents**6. INCOME TAXES**

Income tax expense attributable to earnings consisted of the following components:

	(247,262)	(247,262)	(247,262)	(247,262)	(247,262)	(247,262)
	Years ended April 30,					
	2012		2011		2010	
Current tax expense						
Federal	\$	9,937	\$	(6,171)	\$	41,632
State		2,345		1,871		4,794
		12,282		(4,300)		46,426
Deferred tax expense		54,442		60,914		18,194
Total income tax provision	\$	66,724	\$	56,614	\$	64,620

The tax effects of temporary differences that gave rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities were as follows:

	(247,262)	(247,262)	(247,262)	(247,262)	(247,262)	(247,262)
	As of April 30,					
	2012		2011		2010	
Deferred tax assets						
Accrued liabilities	\$	13,143	\$	10,405	\$	9,417
Deferred compensation		5,663		5,325		4,941
Other		7,644		5,562		3,759
Total gross deferred tax assets		26,450		21,292		18,117
Deferred tax liabilities						
Excess of tax over book depreciation		(264,757)		(211,415)		(145,433)
Other		(8,955)		(2,550)		(4,496)
Total gross deferred tax liabilities		(273,712)		(213,965)		(149,929)
Net deferred tax liability	\$	(247,262)	\$	(192,673)	\$	(131,812)

At April 30, 2012, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards for state income tax purposes of approximately \$80,756, which are available to offset future taxable income. These net operating losses expire during the years 2017 through 2032.

There was no valuation allowance for deferred tax assets as of April 30, 2012 and 2011. There was no net change in the valuation allowance for the years ended April 30, 2012 and 2011. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment.

Table of Contents

Total reported tax expense applicable to the Company's continuing operations varies from the tax that would have resulted from applying the statutory U.S. federal income tax rates to income before income taxes.

	Years ended April 30,		
	2012	2011	2010
Income taxes at the statutory rates	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Federal tax credits	-2.1	-1.2	-0.8
State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit	2.9	2.9	2.1
Other	0.6	0.7	-0.7
	36.4%	37.4%	35.6%

The Company recognizes the effect of income tax positions only if those positions are more likely than not of being sustained. Recognized income tax positions are measured at the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely of being realized. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. The Company had a total of \$7,538 and \$6,148 in gross unrecognized tax benefits at April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Of this amount, \$4,900 represents the amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact our effective tax rate. Unrecognized tax benefits were a net increase of \$1,390 during the twelve months ended April 30, 2012 due primarily to the expiration of certain statute of limitations offset by a greater increase associated with state income tax filing positions. This had the effect of increasing the effective state tax rate during the fiscal year ending April 30, 2012. These unrecognized tax benefits predominately relate to risks associated with state income tax filing positions and federal tax credits claimed for the Company's subsidiaries.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

	2012		2011	
Beginning balance	\$	6,148	\$	5,482
Additions based on tax positions related to current year		2,706		1,982
Additions for tax positions of prior years		142		48
Reductions for tax positions of prior years		(47)		(192)
Reductions due to lapse of applicable statute of limitations		(1,411)		(1,172)
Settlements		-		-
Ending balance	\$	7,538	\$	6,148

The total net amount of accrued interest and penalties for such unrecognized tax benefits was \$249 and \$245 at April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and is included in other long-term liabilities. Net interest and penalties included in income tax expense for the twelve month period ended April 30, 2012 was an increase in tax expense of \$4 and a decrease of \$5 for the year ended April 30, 2011. At this time, the Company's best estimate of the reasonably possible change in the amount of the gross unrecognized tax benefits is a decrease of \$1,370 during the next twelve months mainly due to the expiration of certain statute of limitations. The federal statute of limitations remains open for the years 2009 and forward. Tax years 2008 and forward are subject to audit by state tax authorities depending on open statute of limitations waivers and the tax code of each state.

Table of Contents**7. LEASES**

The Company leases certain property and equipment used in its operations. Generally, the leases are for primary terms of from five to twenty years with options either to renew for additional periods or to purchase the premises and call for payment of property taxes, insurance, and maintenance by the lessee.

The following is an analysis of the leased property under capital leases by major classes:

	Asset balances at April 30,	
	2012	2011
Real estate	\$ 11,933	\$ 11,933
Equipment	2,612	2,605
	14,545	14,538
Less accumulated amortization	4,378	3,918
	\$ 10,167	\$ 10,620

Future minimum payments under the capital leases and noncancelable operating leases with initial or remaining terms of one year or more consisted of the following at April 30, 2012:

Years ended April 30,	Capital leases	Operating leases
2013	\$ 1,266	\$ 928
2014	1,262	739
2015	898	385
2016	721	199
2017	678	131
Thereafter	12,462	481
Total minimum lease payments	17,287	\$ 2,863
Less amount representing interest	7,642	
Present value of net minimum lease payments	\$ 9,645	

The total rent expense under operating leases was \$1,269 in 2012, \$674 in 2011, and \$438 in 2010.

8. BENEFIT PLANS

401(k) plan The Company provides employees with a defined contribution 401(k) plan. The 401(k) plan covers all employees who meet minimum age and service requirements. The Company contributions consist of matching amounts and are allocated based on employee contributions. Contributions to the 401(k) plan were \$3,681, \$3,049, and \$2,964 for the years ended April 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

On April 30, 2012, 1,520,985 shares of common stock were held by the trustee of the 401(k) plan in trust for distribution to eligible participants upon death, disability, retirement, or termination of employment. Shares held by the 401(k) plan are treated as outstanding in the computation of earnings per common share.

Table of Contents

Supplemental executive retirement plan The Company has a nonqualified supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP) for 2 of its executive officers, 1 of whom retired April 30, 2003 and the other on April 30, 2008. The SERP provides for the Company to pay annual retirement benefits, depending on retirement dates, up to 50% of base compensation until death of the officer. If death occurs within twenty years of retirement, the benefits become payable to the officer's spouse until the spouse's death or twenty years from the date of the officer's retirement, whichever comes first. The Company has accrued the deferred compensation over the term of employment. The amounts accrued at April 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were \$6,611 and \$6,574. The discount rates used were 4.6% and 5.5%, respectively, at April 30, 2012 and 2011. The amount expensed in fiscal 2012 was \$662. The Company expects to pay \$625 per year for each of the next five years. There was no expense incurred in fiscal 2011 or 2010.

9. COMMITMENTS

The Company has entered into an employment agreement with its chief executive officer. The agreement provides that the officer will receive aggregate base compensation of not less than \$660 per year exclusive of bonuses. The agreement also provides for certain payments in the case of death or disability of the officer. The Company also has entered into employment agreements with eleven other key employees, providing for certain payments in the event of termination following a change of control of the Company.

10. CONTINGENCIES

Environmental compliance The United States Environmental Protection Agency and several states have adopted laws and regulations relating to underground storage tanks used for petroleum products. Several states in which the Company does business have trust fund programs with provisions for sharing or reimbursing corrective action or remediation costs.

Management currently believes that substantially all capital expenditures for electronic monitoring, cathodic protection, and overfill/spill protection to comply with existing regulations have been completed. The Company has an accrued liability at April 30, 2012 and 2011 of approximately \$380 and \$231, respectively, for estimated expenses related to anticipated corrective actions or remediation efforts, including relevant legal and consulting costs. Management believes the Company has no material joint and several environmental liability with other parties. Additional regulations or amendments to the existing regulations could result in future revisions to such estimated expenditures.

Legal matters The Company was named as a defendant in four lawsuits (hot fuel cases) brought in the federal courts in Kansas and Missouri against a variety of gasoline retailers. The complaints generally alleged that the Company, along with numerous other retailers, has misrepresented gasoline volumes dispensed at its pumps by failing to compensate for expansion that occurs when fuel is sold at temperatures above 60°F. Fuel is measured at 60°F in wholesale purchase transactions and computation of motor fuel taxes in Kansas and Missouri. The complaints all sought certification as class actions on behalf of gasoline consumers within those two states, and one of the complaints also sought certification for a class consisting of gasoline consumers in all states. The actions generally sought recovery for alleged violations of state consumer protection or unfair merchandising practices statutes, negligent and fraudulent misrepresentation, unjust enrichment, civil conspiracy, and violation of the duty of good faith and fair dealing; several seek injunctive relief and punitive damages.

Table of Contents

These actions were among a total of 45 similar lawsuits that have been filed since November 2006 in 27 jurisdictions, including 25 states, the District of Columbia, and Guam against a wide range of defendants that produce, refine, distribute and/or market gasoline products in the United States. On June 18, 2007, the Federal Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ordered that all of the pending hot fuel cases (officially, the Motor Fuel Temperature Sales Practices Litigation) be transferred to the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas in Kansas City, Kansas, for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings, including rulings on discovery matters, various pretrial motions, and class certification. Discovery efforts by both sides were substantially completed during the ensuing months, and the plaintiffs filed motions for class certification in each of the pending lawsuits.

In a Memorandum and Order entered on May 28, 2010, the Court ruled on the Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification in two cases originally filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas, American Fiber & Cabling, LLC v. BP West Coast Products, LLC, et. al., Case No. 07-2053, and Wilson v. Ampride, Inc., et. al., Case No. 06-2582, in which the Company is a named Defendant. The Court determined that it could not certify a class as to claims against the Company in the American Fiber & Cabling case, having decided that the named Plaintiff had no standing to assert such claims. However, in the Wilson case the Court certified a class as to the liability and injunctive aspects of the Plaintiff's claims for unjust enrichment and violation of the Kansas Consumer Protection Act (KCPA) against the Company and several other Defendants. With respect to claims for unjust enrichment, the class certified consists of all individuals and entities (except employees or affiliates of the Defendants) that, at any time between January 1, 2001 and the present, purchased motor fuel at retail at a temperature greater than 60°F, in the state of Kansas, from a gas station owned, operated, or controlled by one or more of the Defendants. As to claims for violation of the KCPA, the class certified is limited to all individuals, sole proprietors and family partnerships (excluding employees or affiliates of Defendants) that made such purchases.

The Court also ordered the parties to show cause in writing why the Wilson case and the American Fiber & Cabling case should not be consolidated for all purposes. The matter is now under consideration by the Court. On April 6, 2012, counsel for plaintiffs and counsel for Casey's General Stores, Inc. informed the Court that they reached an enforceable settlement agreement which, if approved by the Court, will result in the settlement and dismissal of all claims against Casey's in the multidistrict litigation, including the Kansas cases. Based on this representation, the Court severed plaintiffs' claims against Casey's General Stores, Inc. from the claims against the remaining defendants, which are set for an anticipated trial date in August of 2012. The settlement amount for the Company was determined not to be material.

From time to time we may be involved in other legal and administrative proceedings or investigations arising from the conduct of our business operations, including contractual disputes; employment or personnel matters; personal injury and property damage claims; and claims by federal, state, and local regulatory authorities relating to the sale of products pursuant to licenses and permits issued by those authorities. Claims for compensatory or exemplary damages in those actions may be substantial. While the outcome of such litigation, proceedings, investigations, or claims is never certain, it is our opinion, after taking into consideration legal counsel's assessment and the availability of insurance proceeds and other collateral sources to cover potential losses, that the ultimate disposition of such matters currently pending or threatened, individually or cumulatively, will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position and results of operation.

Other At April 30, 2012, the Company was partially self-insured for workers' compensation claims in all eleven states of its marketing territory and was also partially self-insured for general liability and auto liability under an agreement that provides for annual stop-loss limits equal to or exceeding approximately \$1,000. To facilitate this agreement, letters of credit approximating \$15,000 and \$12,000, respectively, were issued and outstanding at April 30, 2012 and 2011, on the insurance company's behalf. The Company also has investments of approximately \$223 in escrow as required by one state for partial self-insurance of workers' compensation claims. Additionally, the Company is self-insured for its portion of employee medical expenses. At April 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company had \$23,701 and \$22,129, respectively, in accrued expenses for estimated claims relating to self-insurance, the majority of which has been actuarially determined.

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 8-K

Diluted	\$	0.73	0.51	0.34	0.60	2.22
---------	----	------	------	------	------	------

*Gross profit is given before charge for depreciation and amortization and credit card fees.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. On the basis of that evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company's current disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. The CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are also effective for the purpose of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of April 30, 2012. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*. On the basis of the prescribed criteria, management believes the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of April 30, 2012.

KPMG LLP, as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, has issued a report on its assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page 29.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Those portions of the Company's definitive Proxy Statement appearing under the captions Election of Directors, Governance of the Company, Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, and Executive Officers and Their Compensation to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after April 30, 2012 and to be used in connection with the Company's 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are hereby incorporated by reference.

The Company has adopted a Financial Code of Ethics applicable to its Chief Executive Officer and other senior financial officers. In addition, the Company has adopted a general code of business conduct (known as the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics) for its directors, officers, and all employees. The Financial Code of Ethics, the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and other Company governance materials are available on the Investor Relations link of the Company Web site at www.caseys.com. The documents are on the right hand portion of the page under Corporate Governance. The Company intends to disclose on this Web site any amendments to or waivers from the Financial Code of Ethics or the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that are required to be disclosed pursuant to SEC rules. To date, there have been no waivers of the Financial Code of Ethics or the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. Shareholders may obtain copies of any of these corporate governance documents free of charge by downloading from the Web site or by writing to the Corporate Secretary at the address on the cover of this Form 10-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

That portion of the Company's definitive Proxy Statement appearing under the caption Executive Officers and Their Compensation to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after April 30, 2012 and to be used in connection with the Company's 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders is hereby incorporated by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Those portions of the Company's definitive Proxy Statement appearing under the captions Shares Outstanding, Voting Procedures, and Beneficial Ownership of Shares of Common Stock by Directors and Executive Officers to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after April 30, 2012 and to be used in connection with the Company's 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are hereby incorporated by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

That portion of the Company's definitive Proxy Statement appearing under the captions Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Governance of the Company to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after April 30, 2012 and to be used in connection with the Company's 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders is hereby incorporated by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

That portion of the Company's definitive Proxy Statement appearing under the caption Independent Auditor Fees to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after April 30, 2012 and to be used in connection with the Company's 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders is hereby incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Documents filed as a part of this report on Form 10-K

(1) The following financial statements are included herewith:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
 Consolidated Balance Sheets, April 30, 2012 and 2011
 Consolidated Statements of Earnings, Three Years Ended April 30, 2012
 Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity, Three Years Ended April 30, 2012
 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Three Years Ended April 30, 2012
 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) No schedules are included because the required information is inapplicable or is presented in the consolidated financial statements or related notes thereto.

(3) The following exhibits are filed as a part of this report:

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibits
3.1	Restatement of the Restated and Amended Articles of Incorporation (<i>incorporated by reference from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended October 31, 1996</i>) and Articles of Amendment thereto (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 16, 2010, as amended by the Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed April 19, 2010 and the Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 20, 2011</i>)
3.2(a)	Second Amended and Restated By-laws (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 16, 2009</i>) and Amendments thereto (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 20, 2011 and the Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 22, 2012</i>)
4.2	Rights Agreement between Casey's General Stores, Inc. and Computershare Trust Company, N.A., relating to Series A Serial Preferred Stock Purchase Rights (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 16, 2010</i>)
4.8	Note Purchase Agreement dated as of September 29, 2006 among the Company and the purchasers of \$100,000,000 in principal amount of 5.72% Senior Notes, Series A and Series B (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 29, 2006</i>)
4.9	Note Purchase Agreement dated as of August 9, 2010 among the Company and the purchasers of the 5.22% Senior Notes (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 10, 2010</i>)
10.21(a)*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Donald F. Lamberti (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 10, 1997</i>) and First Amendment thereto (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 2, 1998</i>)
10.22(a)*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Ronald M. Lamb (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 10, 1997</i>), First Amendment thereto (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 2, 1998</i>) and Second Amendment thereto (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 17, 2006</i>)
10.27*	Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan (<i>incorporated by reference from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended July 31, 1994</i>) and related form of Grant Agreement (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report</i>

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 8-K

on Form 8-K filed May 3, 2005)

Table of Contents

10.28(b)	Promissory Notes delivered to UMB Bank, n.a. and related Negative Pledge Agreement dated May 23, 2011 (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 23, 2011</i>)
10.29(a)*	Form of change of control Employment Agreement (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 2, 2010</i>)
10.30*	Non-Qualified Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 10, 1997</i>) and Amendment thereto (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 17, 2006</i>)
10.31*	Non-Qualified Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Trust Agreement with UMB Bank, n.a. (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 10, 1997</i>)
10.32*	Severance Agreement with Douglas K. Shull (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 28, 1998</i>)
10.33*	Casey's General Stores, Inc. 2000 Stock Option Plan (<i>incorporated by reference from the Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2001</i>) and related form of Grant Agreement (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 6, 2005</i>)
10.34*	Casey's General Stores 401(k) Plan (<i>incorporated by reference from the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2003</i>)
10.35*	Trustar Directed Trust Agreement (<i>incorporated by reference from the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2003</i>)
10.38*	Executive Nonqualified Excess Plan Document and related Adoption Agreement dated July 12, 2006 (<i>incorporated by reference from the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2007</i>)
10.39*	Employment Agreement with Robert J. Myers (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 21, 2010</i>)
10.40*	Severance Agreement with John G. Harmon (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 17, 2008</i>)
10.41*	Casey's General Stores, Inc. 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 23, 2009</i>) and related forms of Restricted Stock Units Agreement (Non-employee Directors) (<i>incorporated by reference from the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2010</i>) and Restricted Stock Units Agreement (Officers and Other Employees), Restricted Stock Units Agreement (Chief Executive Officer) and Stock Option Grant (<i>incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 27, 2011</i>)
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1	Certificate of Robert J. Myers under Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certificate of William J. Walljasper under Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certificate of Robert J. Myers under Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certificate of William J. Walljasper under Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

*Indicates management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

**Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulations S-T, the Interactive Data Files in these exhibits are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CASEY S GENERAL STORES, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: June 26, 2012

By /s/ Robert J. Myers
Robert J. Myers, President and
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer and Director)

Date: June 26, 2012

By /s/ William J. Walljasper
William J. Walljasper
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Authorized Officer and Principal Financial and
Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: June 26, 2012

By /s/ Robert J. Myers
Robert J. Myers
President and Chief Executive Officer, Director

Date: June 26, 2012

By /s/ Kenneth H. Haynie
Kenneth H. Haynie
Director

Date: June 26, 2012

By /s/ Johnny Danos
Johnny Danos
Director

Date: June 26, 2012

By /s/ Diane C. Bridgewater
Diane C. Bridgewater
Director

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

The following exhibits are filed herewith:

Exhibit No.	Description
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1	Certification of Robert J. Myers under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of William J. Walljasper under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certificate of Robert J. Myers under Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certificate of William J. Walljasper under Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

*Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulations S-T, the Interactive Data Files in these exhibits are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.