

Civitas Solutions, Inc.  
Form 10-K  
December 17, 2014  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, DC 20549**

**Form 10-K**

x **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014**

**or**

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.**

**COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 333-129179**

**CIVITAS SOLUTIONS, INC.**

**(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Delaware**  
**(State or other jurisdiction of**  
**incorporation or organization)**

**65-1309110**  
**(I.R.S. Employer**  
**Identification No.)**

**313 Congress Street, 6th Floor**

**Boston, Massachusetts 02210**  
**(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)**

**(617) 790-4800**  
**(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

**Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:**

<b>Title of each Class</b>	<b>Name of each exchange on which registered</b>
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share	New York Stock Exchange

**Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:**

**None.**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

## Edgar Filing: Civitas Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of March 31, 2014, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was zero.

As of December 17, 2014, there were 36,950,000 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, issued and outstanding.

### **DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:**

Portions of the registrant's proxy statement for use in connection with its 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed no later than 120 days after September 30, 2014 are incorporated by reference to Part III of this report.

**Table of Contents**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PART I**

Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	4
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	12
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	28
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	28
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	28
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	28

**PART II**

Item 5.	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	29
Item 6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	32
Item 7.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	33
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	52
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	52
Item 9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	52
Item 9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	52
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	53

**PART III**

Item 10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	54
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	54
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	54
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	54
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	54

**PART IV**

Item 15.	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	55
	<u>Signatures</u>	56

**Table of Contents**

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

Some of the matters discussed in this report may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act).

These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance, and include statements about our expectations for future periods with respect to demand for our services, the political climate and budgetary environment, our expansion efforts and the impact of our recent acquisitions, our plans for investments to further grow and develop our business, our margins and our liquidity. Terminology such as may, will, should, expect, plan, anticipate, believe, estimate, predict, potential or continue, or similar expressions are intended to identify the forward looking statements. These statements are only predictions. Actual events or results may differ materially.

The information in this report is not a complete description of our business or the risks associated with our business. There can be no assurance that other factors will not affect the accuracy of these forward-looking statements or that our actual results will not differ materially from the results anticipated in such forward-looking statements. While it is not possible to identify all such factors, factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those estimated by us include, but are not limited to, those factors or conditions described under Part I. Item 1A. Risk Factors in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as well as the following:

reductions or changes in Medicaid or other funding or changes in budgetary priorities by federal, state and local governments;

substantial claims, litigation and governmental proceedings;

reductions in reimbursement rates, policies or payment practices by our payors;

an increase in labor costs or labor-related liability;

matters involving employees that expose us to potential liability;

our substantial amount of debt, our ability to meet our debt service obligations and our ability to incur additional debt;

our history of losses;

our ability to comply with complicated billing and collection rules and regulations;

failure to comply with reimbursement procedures and collect accounts receivable;

changes in economic conditions;

an increase in our self-insured retentions and changes in the insurance market for professional and general liability, workers' compensation and automobile liability and our claims history and our ability to obtain coverage at reasonable rates;

an increase in workers' compensation related liability;

our ability to control labor costs, including healthcare costs imposed by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act;

our ability to attract and retain experienced personnel;

our ability to establish and maintain relationships with government agencies and advocacy groups;

negative publicity or changes in public perception of our services;

our ability to maintain our status as a licensed service provider in certain jurisdictions;

our ability to maintain, expand and renew existing services contracts and to obtain additional contracts or acquire new licenses;

our ability to successfully integrate acquired businesses;

**Table of Contents**

our inability to successfully expand into adjacent markets;

government regulations, changes in government regulations and our ability to comply with such regulations;

increased competition;

decrease in popularity of home- and community-based human services among our targeted client populations and/or state and local governments;

our susceptibility to any reduction in budget appropriations for our services in Minnesota or any other adverse developments in that state;

our ability to operate our business due to constraints imposed by covenants in our senior credit agreement;

our ability to retain the continued services of certain members of our management team;

our ability to manage and integrate key administrative functions;

failure of our information systems or failure to upgrade our information systems when required;

information technology failure, inadequacy, interruption or security failure;

write-offs of goodwill or other intangible assets;

natural disasters or public health catastrophes;

volatility of our stock price;

the potential for conflict between the interests of our majority equity holder and those of our debt holders;

our ability to comply with requirements to maintain effective internal controls;

our intention not to pay dividends in the foreseeable future, which may negatively impact your return on investment; and

our ability to meet our obligations is dependent on funds from our subsidiaries.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Moreover, we do not assume responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the forward-looking statements. All written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the Risk Factors and other cautionary statements included herein. We are under no duty to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this report to conform such statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.



---

**Table of Contents**

**PART I**

**Item 1. Business  
Company Overview**

*In this section Civitas , we , us , the Company and our refer to Civitas Solutions, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context requires otherwise. Throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K we use the term must serve to describe the people we serve. We consider must-serve individuals to be those that public policy has recognized a responsibility to care for because they are highly vulnerable by virtue of a condition acquired at birth or after birth, or their status as a minor, or as elders, and have special needs and/or disabilities such that they need to be supported or cared for in the daily activities of living.*

We are the leading national provider of home- and community-based health and human services to must-serve individuals with intellectual, developmental, physical or behavioral disabilities and other special needs. These populations are large, growing and increasingly being served in home- and community-based settings such as those we provide. Our clinicians and caregivers develop customized service plans, delivered in non-institutional settings, designed to address a broad range of often life-long conditions and to enable those we serve to thrive in less restrictive settings.

We believe we offer a powerful value proposition to government and non- public payors, referral sources and individuals and families by providing innovative, high-quality and cost- effective services that enable greater client independence, skill building and community involvement. Our core strength is providing a continuum of residential, day and vocational programs, and periodic services to support diverse populations with disabilities and special needs. We currently offer our services through a variety of models, including (i) neighborhood group homes, most of which are residences for six or fewer individuals, (ii) host homes, or the Mentor model, in which a client lives in the private home of a licensed caregiver, (iii) in-home settings, within which we support clients independent living or provide therapeutic services, (iv) specialized community facilities to support individuals with more complex medical, physical and behavioral challenges, and (v) non-residential care, consisting primarily of day and vocational programs and periodic services that are provided outside the client s home.

During our nearly 35-year history, we have evolved from a single residential program serving at-risk youth to a diversified national network providing an array of high-quality services and care in large, growing and highly-fragmented markets. As of September 30, 2014, we operated in 36 states, serving more than 12,600 clients in residential settings and more than 16,500 clients in non-residential settings. We have a diverse group of hundreds of public payors that fund our services with a combination of federal, state and local funding, as well as an increasing number of non-public payors for our services for acquired brain injury and other catastrophic injuries and illnesses. As of September 30, 2014, our services were provided by over 21,500 full-time equivalent employees, as well as approximately 5,600 independently-contracted host home caregivers.

**Corporate Structure**

The chart below sets forth our current corporate structure.

## **The Company**

Civitas Solutions, Inc. is a subsidiary of NMH Investment, LLC ( *NMH Investment* ), which was formed in connection with the acquisition of our business by affiliates of Vestar Capital Partners ( *Vestar* ) in 2006. See *Our Sponsor* below. The equity interests of NMH Investment are owned by Vestar and certain of our executive officers and directors and other members of management. On September 22, 2014, Civitas completed an initial public offering (the *IPO* ) of its common stock and became a reporting company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

## **Description of Services by Segment**

We have two reportable segments, Human Services and Post-Acute Specialty Rehabilitation Services ( *SRS* ).

We do not derive any revenues from countries outside the United States.

### ***Human Services***

Our Human Services segment provides home and community-based human services to individuals with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities ( *I/DD* ), youth with emotional, behavioral and/or medically complex challenges, at-risk youth ( *ARY* ), outpatient, center-based services for elders ( *Adult Day Services* ). Our Human Services segment represented approximately 82% of our net revenue in fiscal 2014.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Delivery of services to adults and children with I/DD is the largest portion of our Human Services segment. Our I/DD programs include residential support, day habilitation, vocational services, case management, crisis intervention and hourly support care. We provide services to these clients through small group homes, Intermediate Care Facilities for the Mentally Retarded ( ICFs-MR ), host homes, in-home settings and non-residential settings. We operate approximately 1,050 group homes and 150 ICFs-MR. As of September 30, 2014, we provided I/DD services to approximately 16,500 clients in 23 states. In fiscal 2014, our I/DD services generated net revenue of \$826.8 million, representing 66% of our net revenue. We receive substantially all our revenue for I/DD services from a diverse group of state and local governmental payors.

Our Human Services segment also includes the delivery of ARY services. Our ARY programs include therapeutic foster care, family preservation, adoption services, early intervention, school-based services and juvenile offender programs. Our individualized approach allows us to work with an ever-changing client population that is diverse demographically as well as in type and severity of condition. We provide services to these clients through host homes, group homes, educational settings, in their family homes and in other non-residential settings. As of September 30, 2014, we provided ARY services to approximately 10,300 children, adolescents and their families in 18 states. In fiscal 2014, our ARY services generated net revenue of \$197.8 million, representing 16% of our net revenue. We receive substantially all our revenue for ARY services from a diverse group of state and local governmental payors.

In September 2014, we acquired Mass Adult Day Health Alliance which operates adult day health facilities. Our adult day health facilities provide outpatient, center-based services that provide health, therapeutic and social support to approximately 1,000 adults in a group environment.

### ***Post-Acute Specialty Rehabilitation Services***

Our SRS segment delivers health care and community-based health and human services to individuals who have suffered acquired brain injury ( ABI ), spinal injuries and other catastrophic injuries and illnesses. Our SRS segment represented approximately 18% of our net revenue in fiscal 2014.

Within our SRS segment, our NeuroRestorative business unit is focused on rehabilitation and transitional living services and our CareMeridian business unit is focused on the more medically-intensive post-acute care services. Our SRS services range from sub-acute healthcare for individuals with intensive medical needs to day treatment programs, and include: neurorehabilitation; neurobehavioral rehabilitation; specialized nursing; physical, occupational and speech therapies; supported living; outpatient treatment; and pre-vocational services. Our goal is to provide a continuum of care that allows our clients to achieve the highest level of function possible while enhancing their quality of life. We provide services to these clients primarily through specialized community facilities, small group homes, in-home and non-residential settings. As of September 30, 2014, our SRS operations provided services in 26 states and served approximately 1,400 clients nationally. In fiscal 2014, we received 53% of our SRS revenue from non-public payors, such as commercial insurers, workers' compensation funds, managed care and other private payors and 47% from state, local and federal governmental payors.

For additional information on the Company's segments, please see note 18 to the consolidated financial statements.

### **Industry Overview**

We provide home- and community-based services to large populations of individuals with intellectual, developmental, physical or behavioral disabilities and other special needs. These populations are must serve due to the nature of their disabilities, which in many cases are life-long and irreversible, or their status as children, adolescents, or elders. Within the broader health and human services market, we currently serve four primary populations:

**I/DD.** Based on reports prepared by Dr. David Braddock, public spending on I/DD services was estimated to be \$56.6 billion in 2011, of which approximately 80% was spent to provide services in community settings of six or fewer beds, our target market, and for other non-institutional services, including supported living, supported employment and family assistance. In 2012, there were approximately 4.9 million individuals with an intellectual or developmental disability across the nation. Over the past two decades, the delivery of services to the I/DD population in supervised residential settings has grown significantly and, at the same time, there has been a shift from institutional settings to home- and community-based settings.

**ARY.** According to reports published by the organization Child Trends, an estimated \$29.4 billion was spent in 2010 on child welfare, including spending for residential and non-residential family support services such as those that we offer. Approximately 3.3 million referrals for abuse or neglect were investigated or assessed in the United States in 2010. Of that, approximately 663,000 were served by the foster care system. According to the Federal Department of Health and Human Services AFCARS data, there were nearly 400,000 children and adolescents in foster care as of September 30, 2012. Of those individuals, approximately 200,000 are living in non-relative foster family homes, which includes the therapeutic foster care market, the primary market for our residential ARY services. Furthermore, over the last decade state and local agencies have increasingly favored innovative solutions that provide at-risk youth and families with periodic support services to promote and preserve successful family environments.

---

## **Table of Contents**

**ABI.** The market for post-acute care and rehabilitation for individuals with ABI, the largest of these populations, is approximately \$10 billion annually, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (the CDC). According to the Brain Injury Association of America (BIAA), there are more than 2.6 million new brain injuries each year, many of which result in complex, life-long medical and/or behavioral issues that require specialized care. Approximately 5.3 million individuals in the United States are living with permanent disability as a result of an ABI. Many of these individuals are currently served in costly and often medically inappropriate care settings such as long-term acute care facilities and nursing homes. We expect that there will be a continuing shift in care delivery to more appropriate community-based settings such as those that we offer.

**Elder Services.** Based on data from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, an estimated \$75 billion was spent in 2010 on residential and personal healthcare services and home healthcare services for individuals 64 years of age or older. The adult day services portion of this market is an estimated \$6 billion based on IBISWorld estimates for spending in 2010. We believe that there will be a growing demand for adult day services for several reasons, including that the population of adults 65 and older is a growing demographic. Moreover, states are increasingly looking for alternatives to more expensive models of home-based, residential and institutional care. The adult day services market, like other markets in which we operate, is highly fragmented with opportunities for consolidation.

### **Our Business Strategy**

We believe the market opportunity for home- and community-based health and human services that increase client independence and participation in community life while reducing costs will continue to grow. We intend to continue leveraging our strengths to capitalize on this trend, both in existing markets and in new markets where we believe significant opportunities exist. The primary aspects of our strategy include the following:

***Leverage our Core Competencies to Drive Organic Growth.*** We expect to capture the embedded growth opportunities resulting from recent organic growth initiatives and leverage our core competencies to further expand our presence in markets we currently serve and to further expand our geographic footprint in our existing service lines. During our nearly 35-year history, we have developed and refined a core set of competencies through our experience developing customized service plans for complex cases and supporting our operations with expertise in areas such as risk management, compliance and quality assurance.

***Continue to Invest in our New Start Programs.*** A key driver of growth has been our new start programs that have historically generated attractive returns on our investments. Our demonstrated ability to quickly launch new start programs positions us well to meet new sources of market demand. New starts, which typically turn profitable within 18-24 months, require modest investments, consisting of operating losses and capital expenditures. Our investment of approximately \$8.1 million in new starts between fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2010 generated net revenues and operating income of approximately \$70.7 million and \$16.5 million, respectively, in fiscal 2014. We have made a number of recent investments that we believe will continue to drive near term growth as they reach maturity. In 2011, we dramatically increased our level of new start investment, expanding it from an average of \$3.1 million in fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010 to an average of more than \$7.5 million in fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2014. We intend to continue to aggressively pursue new start opportunities with attractive rates of return.

***Pursue Opportunistic Acquisitions.*** As a leading provider in our markets with national scale and a proven track record of quality care, we are well positioned as an acquirer of choice for small operators in a highly-fragmented industry. This dynamic leads to a number of attractive tuck-in acquisition opportunities that can drive returns and create stockholder value. We continue to maintain a robust acquisition pipeline and deploy capital in a disciplined and

opportunistic manner to pursue acquisitions.

We intend to continue to pursue acquisitions that are consistent with our mission and complement our existing operations. We have invested in a team dedicated to mergers and acquisitions, as well as the infrastructure and formalized processes to enable us to pursue acquisition opportunities and to integrate them into our business. We monitor the market nationally for businesses that we can acquire at attractive prices and efficiently integrate with our existing operations. From the beginning of fiscal 2010 through September 30, 2014, we have successfully acquired 36 companies, at an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$143.7 million.

***Expand our SRS Platform.*** We intend to leverage our unique scale and leadership position to continue to expand our SRS platform through continued organic growth in new and existing markets, as well as through opportunistic acquisitions. We are the only provider with a national platform dedicated to providing post-acute care for individuals with brain injuries or other catastrophic injuries and illnesses, and thus we believe we are the leader serving this market. We have more than doubled the size and contribution of our SRS segment since 2010, achieving a 14% compound annual growth rate in net revenue over that period. Furthermore, our SRS business is funded by a highly attractive payor mix, with 53% of net revenues in 2014 derived from commercial insurers and other private entities.

---

**Table of Contents**

***Pursue Opportunities in Adjacent Markets and Complementary Service Lines that Diversify our Service Offerings.***

We have a proven track record of developing new service areas, as evidenced by the growth of our SRS segment. We believe our reputation and credibility in existing markets and service lines will facilitate our entry into adjacent markets. We may also explore strategic acquisitions to enhance our ability to pursue adjacent markets. We intend to leverage our core competencies and relationships with state agencies to pursue opportunities in adjacent markets, including potentially those serving elders, youth with autism and individuals with mental health issues. We believe our periodic, day and residential services can be leveraged to address a portion of the estimated \$75 billion spent in 2010 on residential and personal healthcare services and home healthcare services for individuals 64 years of age or older, based on data from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. More specifically, we have decided to pursue the adult day services portion of this market, an estimated \$6 billion market based on IBISWorld estimates for spending on adult day care in 2010, and recently completed the acquisition of a company in this market, Mass Adult Day Health Alliance.

**Customers and Contracts**

Our customers, that pay us to provide services to our clients, are governmental agencies, non-public payors and not-for-profit organizations. Our I/DD and ARY services, as well as a significant portion of our SRS services, are delivered pursuant to contracts with various governmental agencies, such as state departments of developmental disabilities, juvenile justice, child welfare and the Federal Veterans Health Administration. Such contracts may be issued at the county or state level, depending upon the structure of the service system of the state in question. In addition, a majority of our SRS revenue is derived from contracts with commercial insurers, workers compensation carriers and other non-public payors.

In all of our service lines, the clients and/or the payors/referral sources (e.g., state agencies) select us as a provider and, although clients funded by Medicaid have the right to choose an alternative provider at any time, it has been our experience that our clients change providers infrequently. We believe that many of our clients develop close relationships with their direct care workers and our organization. Although a client may develop a close relationship with his or her direct care worker, it is our experience that if such direct care worker leaves our employment, clients rarely elect to switch providers based on such direct care worker's departure. The length of stay of our clients varies widely based on their individual needs. For instance, in our SRS segment, a client's care may be focused on rehabilitation, in which case we will provide services for several months, or, if a client suffered a catastrophic illness or accident, that client could remain in our care for the duration of that individual's life, which could span years or decades. In our I/DD business, the length of stay is generally years, with many of our clients having used our services for decades. For our ARY clients, the length of treatment can vary widely but most often is for several months.

Contracts may cover a range of individuals such as all children referred for host home services in a county or a particular set of individuals who will share group living arrangements. Contracts are sometimes issued for specific individuals, where rates are individually determined based on need. Although our contracts generally have a stated term of one year and generally may be terminated without cause on 60 days' notice, the contracts are typically renewed annually if we have complied with licensing, certification, program standards and other regulatory requirements. As a provider of record, we contractually obligate ourselves to adhere to the applicable federal and state regulations regarding the provision of services, the maintenance of records and submission of claims for reimbursement under Medicaid and other government programs. In addition, while we are not obligated to serve each individual that is referred to us, we make every effort to review referrals made and accept individuals who need our services.

During fiscal 2014 and 2013, revenue from our contracts with state and local governmental payors in the states of Minnesota, California, West Virginia, Florida and New Jersey, our five largest revenue-generating states, comprised 45% and 46% of our revenue, respectively. Revenue from our contracts with state and local governmental payors in

the State of Minnesota, our largest state, accounted for 14% of our revenue in each of fiscal 2014 and 2013.

### **Training and Supporting our Direct Service Professionals**

We provide pre-service and in-service education to all of our direct service professionals and clinical and administrative staff, and we encourage staff to avail themselves of outside training opportunities whenever possible. Employees participate in orientation programs designed to increase their understanding of our mission, philosophy of service, and our Code of Conduct and compliance program. Our employees benefit from our library of training materials and an intranet site that facilitates the identification and exchange of expertise across all of our operations. We work to increase individual job satisfaction and retention of motivated and qualified employees.

We use equally rigorous methods to identify and contract with independent contractor providers (host home providers), whether in an adult host home or foster care environment. In addition to pre-service and in-service orientation to familiarize the host home providers to the specifics of our model and expectations, the contracted host home providers in our ARY business receive a detailed briefing tailored to the individualized needs of the individual or child placed in their home. Prior to any placements being made, we



## **Table of Contents**

conduct a home study to evaluate the appropriateness of any placement and conduct interviews and criminal background checks on adult members residing in the host home provider household. The services provided by host home providers are evaluated for contractual compliance by our case manager or coordinator according to standards set by licensing and regulatory agencies as well as our own strict quality standards. While all host home providers can provide services independently and with minimal oversight, they have access to emergency telephone triage and on-site crisis intervention, when necessary. Host home providers also avail themselves of support groups, whether independent or offered at the program office.

## **Employees and Independent Contractors**

As of September 30, 2014, we had approximately 21,500 full-time equivalent employees and approximately 5,600 independent contractors. Although our employees are generally not unionized, we have one business in New Jersey with approximately 28 employees who are represented by a labor union and approximately 291 Connecticut direct care workers who are also represented by a labor union. We began negotiating a labor agreement with the Connecticut union in September 2012. Those negotiations, however, were suspended after our Connecticut-based business notified the State of Connecticut and the union of its intention to stop providing services under existing contracts due to rate cuts and a change in state policy. We are currently working with our public partners on a plan to effectively transition our programs to new providers, and we anticipate that this transition will be complete during the first quarter of fiscal 2015. We consider our employee relations to be good.

## **Sales/Business Development and Marketing**

We market our services nationally as The MENTOR Network, a national network of local service providers. We operate under several brands across the country, predominantly under the REM and MENTOR brands in our Human Services segment and the NeuroRestorative and CareMeridian brands in our SRS segment.

The majority of our human services clients come to us through third-party referrals, and frequently our I/DD referrals come through recommendations to family members from state or local agencies. Since our operations depend heavily on these referrals, we seek to ensure that we provide high-quality services in all states in which we operate, allowing us to enhance our name recognition and maintain a positive reputation with state and local agencies.

Relationships with referral sources are cultivated and maintained at the local level by key operations managers and supported by an array of corporate supports including marketing communications, government relations and business development services to promote both new and existing product lines.

Our SRS sales activities are independently organized from those of our Human Services businesses. We have dedicated, geographically assigned clinical marketing and sales staff cultivating relationships with public and private payors, referral sources and directly with potential participants and their families. These regional teams are also supported by corporate resources as outlined above.

To further distinguish ourselves in both segments, we have established a comprehensive presence at both the national and local level through a robust online presence, including social media. Additionally, through our government relations and business development activities, we have successfully positioned ourselves to anticipate and meet the needs of our public partners.

## **Competition**

### ***I/DD***

The I/DD market is highly fragmented, with both not-for-profit and for-profit providers ranging in size from small, local agencies to large, national organizations. We and the other leading national provider only account for less than 5% of services by revenue in the I/DD market. Although state and local governments continue to supply a small percentage of services, the majority of services are provided by the private sector. Not-for-profit organizations are also active in all states and range from small agencies serving a limited area with specific programs to multi-state organizations. Many of the not-for-profit companies are affiliated with advocacy groups such as community mental health and religious organizations.

***ARY***

The at-risk youth market is extremely fragmented, with several thousand providers in the United States. Competitors include both not-for-profit and for profit local providers serving one particular geographic area to a single state, and, to a limited extent, multi-state providers.

## **Table of Contents**

### **SRS**

We compete with local providers, both large and small, including hospitals, post-acute rehabilitation facilities, residential community-based facilities, day treatment centers and outpatient centers specializing in long-term catastrophic care and short-term rehabilitation. This market also includes several large national providers of general inpatient and outpatient rehabilitation services.

### **Regulatory Framework**

We must comply with comprehensive government regulation of our business, including federal, state and local statutes, regulations and policies governing the licensing of facilities, the quality of service, the revenues received for services, and reimbursement for the cost of services. State and federal regulatory agencies have broad discretionary powers over the administration and enforcement of laws and regulations that govern our operations.

The following regulatory considerations are critical to our operations:

*New federal regulation regarding waived services.* Individuals with disabilities or chronic illnesses who need certain levels of care may qualify for home- and community-based waived services ( HCBS Waiver ). The waiver program allows the states to furnish an array of home- and community-based services and avoid institutional care. On March 17, 2014, a newly promulgated federal regulation governing HCBS Waiver programs became effective. The rule establishes eligibility requirements for payment for Medicaid home and community-based services provided under the waiver program. Under the new rule, home- and community-based settings must be integrated in and support full access to the greater community, be selected by the individual from different setting options, ensure individual rights of privacy, and optimize autonomy and independence in making life choices. The rule includes additional requirements for provider-owned or controlled home and community-based residential settings, including that the individual has a lease or other legally enforceable agreement, and standards related to the individual's privacy, control over schedule and visitors, and physical accessibility of the setting. At this juncture it is unclear how individual states will seek to implement this newly adopted regulation. The rule may present some implementation costs and challenges. Some of the broad requirements may conflict with individual recipient needs and/or precautions that we must undertake to assure individual services and safety. It is unclear how each state will seek to address this potential conflict. The impact and costs of implementation and compliance with this regulation is currently unknown. States have the option to request a variation or delay of compliance with the federal standards for as long as five (5) years from the effective date.

*Funding.* Federal and state funding for our services is subject to statutory and regulatory changes, contracting and managed care initiatives, level of care assessments, court orders, rate setting and state budgetary considerations, all of which may materially increase or decrease reimbursement for our services. We actively participate in local and national legislative initiatives that seek to impact funding and regulation of our services. We derive revenues for our I/DD and ARY services and a significant portion of our SRS services from Medicaid programs.

*Licensure and qualification to deliver service.* We are required to comply with extensive licensing and regulatory requirements applicable to the services we deliver. These include requirements for participation in the Medicaid program, state and local contractual obligations, and requirements relating to individual rights, the credentialing of individual employees and contract Mentors (including background and Office of Inspector General checks), the quality of care delivered, the physical plant and facilitation of community participation. Compliance with state licensing requirements is a prerequisite for participation in government-sponsored public health care assistance programs, such as Medicaid. To qualify for reimbursement under Medicaid, facilities and programs are subject to various requirements imposed by federal and state authorities. We maintain a licensing database that tracks activity

impacting licenses governing the provision of services.

In addition to Medicaid participation requirements, our facilities and services are subject to annual or semi-annual licensing and other regulatory requirements of state and local authorities. These requirements relate to the condition of the facilities, the quality and adequacy of personnel staff and service ratios and the quality of services provided. State licensing and other regulatory requirements vary by jurisdiction and are subject to change and local interpretation.

From time to time we receive notices from regulatory inspectors that, in their opinion, there are deficiencies resulting from a failure to comply with various regulatory requirements. We review such notices and take corrective action as appropriate. In most cases we and the reviewing agency agree upon the steps to be taken to address the deficiency and, from time to time, we or one or more of our subsidiaries may enter into agreements with regulatory agencies requiring us to take certain corrective action in order to maintain our licenses or certification. Serious deficiencies, or failure to comply with any regulatory agreements, may result in the assessment of fines or penalties and/or decertification or de-licensure actions by various federal or state regulatory agencies.

We deliver services and support under a number of different funding and program provisions. Our most significant sources of funding for our I/DD services are HCBS Waiver programs, Medicaid programs for which eligibility is based on a set of criteria

## **Table of Contents**

(typically disability or age) established by the state and approved by the federal government. There is no uniformity among states and/or regulations governing our delivery of waived services to individuals. Each state where we deliver services operates under a plan submitted by the state to Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ( CMS ) which authorizes the state to use Medicaid funds in non-institutional settings using federal financial participation ( FPP ). Typically the state writes state specific regulations governing providers and services provided under the state waiver program. Consequently, there is no uniform method of describing or predicting the content or impact of regulations across states where we deliver HCBS Waiver services. In addition, our ICFs-MR are governed by federal regulations, and may also be subject to individual state rules that vary widely in application and content. Federal regulations require that in order to maintain Medicaid certification as an ICF-MR, the facility is subject to annual on-site survey (a federal rule and process implemented by state agencies), the results of which provide or deny the certification necessary to bill Medicaid for services in the facility. Failure to successfully pass this inspection and remedy all defects or conditions cited may result in a finding of immediate jeopardy or other serious sanction and, ultimately, may cause a loss of both certification and funding for that particular facility.

Similarly, child foster care and other children s services are largely governed by individual state regulations which vary both in terms and regulatory content. Failure to comply with any state s regulations requires remedial action on our part and a failure to adequately remedy the problem may result in provider or contract termination.

All states in which we operate have adopted laws or regulations which generally require that a state agency approve us as a provider, and many require a determination that a need exists prior to the admission of covered individuals or services. Provider licenses are not transferable. Consequently, should we intend to acquire, develop, expand or divest services in any state or to enter a new state, we may be required to undergo a rigorous licensing, transfer and approval process prior to conducting business or completing any transaction.

Similarly, some states have a formal Certificate of Need ( CON ) process, whereby the state health care authority must first determine that a service proposed is needed under the state health plan, prior to any service being licensed or applied for. The CON process varies by state and may be formal in design, encompassing any transfer, organizational change, capital improvements, divestitures or acquisitions. Formal processes may include public notice, opportunity for affected parties to request a hearing prior to the health care authority approving the project, as well as an opportunity for the state authority to deny the project. Other states have a less formal process for CON application and approval and may be limited to new or institutional projects. Very few states require CON approval for waived services. Failure to comply with a state CON process may result in a prohibition on Medicaid billing and may subject the provider to fines, penalties, other civil sanctions or criminal penalties for the operators or owners of an unapproved health service.

*Other regulatory matters.* The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act ( HITECH Act ), set national standards for the protection of health information created, maintained or transmitted by health providers. Under the law and regulations known collectively as the privacy and security rules, covered entities must implement standards to protect and guard against the misuse of individually identifiable health information, report breaches and undertake notification and remedial steps consistent with regulation.

Federal regulations issued pursuant to HIPAA and the HITECH ACT contain, among other measures, provisions that require organizations to implement significant and expensive computer systems, employee training programs and business procedures. Rules have been established to protect the integrity, security and distribution of electronic health and related financial information. Many states have also implemented extensive data privacy and security laws and regulations. Failure to timely implement or comply with HIPAA or other data privacy and security regulations may, under certain circumstances, trigger the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

The federal False Claims Act imposes civil liability on individuals and entities that submit or cause to be submitted false or fraudulent claims for payment to the government. Violations of the False Claims Act may include treble damages and penalties of up to \$11,000 per false or fraudulent claim. Similarly, retention of any overpayments may be regarded by the government as a false claim.

In addition to actions being brought by government officials under the False Claims Act, this statute and analogous state laws also allow a private individual with direct knowledge of fraud to bring a whistleblower claim on behalf of the government for violations. The whistleblower receives a statutory amount of up to 30% of the recovered amount from the government's litigation proceeds if the litigation is successful or if the case is successfully settled. Recently, the number of whistleblower suits brought against healthcare providers has increased dramatically, and has included suits based (among other things) upon alleged violations of the Federal Anti-Kickback Law.

## **Table of Contents**

The Anti-Kickback Law prohibits kickbacks, rebates and any other forms of remuneration in return for referrals. Any remuneration, direct or indirect, offered, paid, solicited or received, in return for referrals of patients or business for which payment may be made in whole or in part under Medicaid, could be considered a violation of law. The language of the Anti-Kickback law also prohibits payments made to anyone to induce them to recommend purchasing, leasing, or ordering any goods, facility, service or item for which payment may be made in whole or in part by Medicaid. Criminal penalties under the Anti-Kickback Law include fines up to \$25,000, imprisonment for up to 5 years, or both. In addition, acts constituting a violation of the Anti-Kickback Law may also lead to civil penalties, such as fines, assessments and exclusion from participation in the Medicaid program.

Additionally we must comply with local zoning and licensing ordinances and requirements. The Federal Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 protects the interests of the individuals we serve, prohibits local discriminatory ordinance practices and provides additional opportunities and accommodations for people with disabilities to live in their community of choice.

Federal regulations promulgated by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration ( OSHA ) require us to have safety plans for blood borne pathogens and other work place risks. At any point in time OSHA investigators may receive a complaint which requires on-site inspection and/or audit, the outcome of which may adversely affect our operations.

Periodically, new statutes and regulations are written and adopted that directly affect our business. It is often difficult to predict the impact a new regulation will have on our operations until we have taken steps to implement its requirements. For example, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 provided a mandate for more vigorous and widespread enforcement and directed state Medicaid agencies to establish Recovery Audit Contractor ( RAC ) programs. RACs are private entities which will perform audits on a contingency fee basis, giving them an incentive to identify discrepancies in payments, from which they may be permitted to extrapolate disproportionately large penalties and fines. States were required to be in compliance by January 1, 2012 unless granted an extension. We have experienced only modest RAC auditing activity to date; however this remains a fairly new federal initiative and the ultimate impact remains unclear. Only the passage of time and our experience with enforcement and compliance will permit our assessment of the exact impact the new statute and regulations have on our business.

Similarly the HIPAA and HITECH Regulations increased both the scope of liability and obligations of business associates with whom such covered entities contract for services, as well as increase disclosure obligations of providers in the event of a breach. The Federal enforcement agency has expressed an intent to increase investigations and potential penalties for noncompliance in part due to these new standards.

Managed care initiatives undertaken in a given state may impact our business by modifying the types of services eligible for payment, the qualifications required for payment and the rates that are paid for those services. Similarly, some states are pursuing waivers for dual-eligible populations (that is, persons eligible for both Medicare and Medicaid), and our ability to participate in such waived services may depend on our ability to become a Medicare provider.

We participate in Medicare in a very select number of areas of the country, as well as in managed care projects that allocate funds for recipients who are dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid. Medicare has a unique and different set of regulations, funding mechanisms and audit and compliance risks compared to Medicaid. In recent years, states have begun working toward maximizing Medicare funding for services for dual eligible populations due to the fiscal incentive to lower state contributions and shift the cost of service to Medicare. In some state markets equalization of rates is required, thereby mandating that the rates we charge to private payors may not exceed rates established and paid by Medicaid and/or Medicare. Public policy initiatives and cost-containment initiatives in the Medicare program

may continue and may affect our operating margins where we participate in Medicare.

Conviction of abusive or fraudulent behavior with respect to one facility or program may subject other facilities and programs under common control or ownership to disqualification from participation in the Medicaid program. Executive Order 12549 prohibits any corporation or facility from participating in federal contracts if it or its principals (included but not limited to officers, directors, owners and key employees) have been debarred, suspended or declared ineligible or have been voluntarily excluded from participating in federal contracts. In addition, some state regulators provide that all facilities licensed with a state under common ownership or control are subject to delicensure if any one or more of such facilities are delicensed.

We must also comply with the standards set forth by the Office of Inspector General ( OIG ) governing internal compliance and external reporting requirements. We regularly review and monitor OIG advisory opinions, although they are limited in their application to community-based Medicaid providers. Significant legislative, media and public attention has recently focused on health care. Because the law in this area is complex and continuously evolving, ongoing or future governmental investigations or litigation may result in interpretations that are inconsistent with our current practices. It is possible that outside entities could initiate investigations or future litigation impacting our services and that such matters could result in penalties and adverse publicity. It is also possible that our executive and other management personnel could be included in these investigations and litigation or be named defendants.



## **Table of Contents**

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, and the rules and regulations thereunder (together, the Affordable Care Act ) were signed into law in March 2010 and represent significant changes to the U.S. healthcare system. The legislation is far-reaching and is intended to expand access to health insurance coverage over time. The legislation includes requirements that most individuals obtain health insurance coverage beginning in 2014 and that most large employers offer coverage to their employees or they will be required to pay a financial penalty beginning in 2015. In addition, the new laws encompass certain new taxes and fees, including limitations on the amount of compensation that is tax deductible and new fees which may not be deductible for income tax purposes.

The legislation also imposes new requirements and restrictions, including, but not limited to, guaranteed coverage requirements, prohibitions on some annual and all lifetime limits on amounts paid on behalf of or to our employees, increased restrictions on rescinding coverage, establishment of minimum medical loss ratio requirements, the establishment of state insurance exchanges and essential benefit packages, and greater limitations on product pricing.

The Affordable Care Act has already had a significant impact on the structure of the health plans we offer our employees. We have redesigned our health benefits to only offer employees health coverage that meets the requirements of the Affordable Care Act.

Finally, we are also subject to a large number of employment related laws and regulations, including laws regarding discrimination, wrongful discharge, retaliation, and federal and state wage and hours laws.

A material violation of a law or regulation could subject us to fines and penalties and in some circumstances could disqualify some or all of the facilities and programs under our control from future participation in Medicaid or other government programs. Failure to comply with laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business.

A Compliance Officer (vice president level position) oversees our compliance program and reports to our Chief Legal Officer, a management compliance committee, the board's quality and risk management committee and the board's audit committee as applicable. The program activities are reported regularly to the management compliance committee which includes the CEO, CFO, as well as HR, legal and quality assurance leaders. In addition, the program activities are periodically reported at the board level.

## **Seasonality**

In general, our financial performance is not significantly impacted by fluctuations from seasonality.

## **Our Sponsor**

Vestar, members of our management and certain of our directors own all of the equity interests of NMH Investment, which owns a majority of the common stock of Civitas. Vestar is a leading U.S. middle market private equity firm specializing in management buyouts and growth capital investments. Vestar's investment in the Company was funded by Vestar Capital Partners V, L.P., a \$3.7 billion fund which closed in 2005, and affiliates.

Since the firm's founding in 1988, Vestar funds have completed more than 70 investments in companies with a total value of more than \$40 billion. These companies have varied in size and geography and span a broad range of industries including healthcare, an area in which Vestar's principals have had meaningful experience. Vestar currently manages funds with approximately \$5 billion of assets and has offices in New York, Denver and Boston.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

Our business faces a number of risks. The risks described below are items of most concern to us, however these are not all of the risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently consider immaterial may also impact our business operations.

*Reductions or changes in Medicaid funding or changes in budgetary priorities by the federal, state and local governments that pay for our services could have a material adverse effect on our revenue and profitability.*

We currently derive approximately 90% of our revenue from contracts with state and local governments. These governmental payors fund a significant portion of their payments to us through Medicaid, a joint federal and state health insurance program through which state expenditures are matched by federal funds typically ranging from 50% to approximately 75% of total costs, a number based largely on a state's per capita income. Our revenue, therefore, is largely determined by the level of federal, state and local governmental spending for the services we provide.

---

**Table of Contents**

Efforts at the federal level to reduce the federal budget deficit pose risk for reductions in federal Medicaid matching funds to state governments. Previously, the Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction's failure to meet the deadline imposed by the Budget Control Act of 2011 triggered automatic across-the-board cuts to discretionary funding, including a 2% reduction to Medicare, which went into effect April 1, 2013, but specifically exempted Medicaid payments to states. While this development did not reduce federal Medicaid funding, reductions in other federal payments to states will put additional stress on state budgets, with the potential to negatively impact the ability of states to provide the state Medicaid matching funds necessary to maintain or increase the federal financial contribution to the program. Negotiations in recent years regarding deficit reduction efforts have been contentious and resulted in a 16-day government shutdown in October 2013. While Medicaid payments were not affected during this period, the potential of longer shutdowns in the future if new negotiations regarding the federal budget and/or the federal debt ceiling fail to produce a resolution could cause disruptions in Medicaid support and payments to states. In addition, the federal government may choose to adopt alternative proposals to reduce the federal budget deficit. These alternative reductions could have a negative impact on state Medicaid budgets, including proposals to provide states with more flexibility to determine Medicaid benefits, eligibility or provider payments through the use of block grants or streamlined waiver approvals, as well as those that would reduce the amount of federal Medicaid matching funding available to states by curtailing the use of provider taxes or by adjusting the Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP). Furthermore, any new Medicaid-funded benefits and requirements established by the Congress, particularly those included in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, and the rules and regulations thereunder (together, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act), that mandate certain uses for Medicaid funds could have the effect of diverting those funds from the services we provide.

Budgetary pressures facing state governments, as well as other economic, industry, and political factors, could cause state governments to limit spending, which could significantly reduce our revenue, referrals, margins and profitability, and adversely affect our growth strategy. Governmental agencies generally condition their contracts with us upon a sufficient budgetary appropriation. If a government agency does not receive an appropriation sufficient to cover its contractual obligations with us, it may terminate a contract or defer or reduce our reimbursement. In addition, there is risk that previously appropriated funds could be reduced through subsequent legislation. Many states in which we operate experienced unprecedented budgetary deficits during and in the wake of the recession that began in 2008, and, as a result, implemented service reductions, rate freezes and/or rate reductions, including states such as Minnesota, California, Florida, Indiana and Arizona. Similarly, programmatic changes such as conversions to managed care with related contract demands regarding billing and services, unbundling of services, governmental efforts to increase consumer autonomy and reduce provider oversight, coverage and other changes under state Medicaid plans, may cause unanticipated costs and risks to our service delivery. The loss or reduction of or changes to reimbursement under our contracts could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

***The nature of our operations subjects us to substantial claims, litigation and governmental proceedings.***

We are in the health and human services business and, therefore, we have been and continue to be subject to substantial claims alleging that we, our employees or our Mentors failed to provide proper care for a client. We are also subject to claims by our clients, our employees, our Mentors or community members against us for negligence and intentional misconduct, or violation of applicable laws. Included in our recent claims are claims alleging personal injury, assault, abuse, wrongful death and other charges. Several years ago, we experienced a spike in claims filed against the Company, and we could face an increase in claims in the future. As a result of the prior increase in claims, we received less favorable insurance terms and have expensed greater amounts to fund potential claims. For more information, see Item 3, Legal Proceedings.

Professional and general liability expense totaled 0.9%, 1.0% and 1.0% of our net revenue for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We incurred professional and general liability expenses of \$10.9 million, \$12.1 million and \$10.7 million for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These expenses are incurred in connection with our claims reserve and insurance premiums. For more information, see

Our financial results could be adversely affected if claims against us are successful, to the extent we must make payments under our self- insured retentions, or if such claims are not covered by our applicable insurance or if the costs of our insurance coverage increase. Increased costs of insurance and claims have negatively impacted our results of operations and have resulted in a renewed emphasis on reducing the occurrence of claims. Although insurance premiums did not increase in fiscal 2013 and 2014, they have increased in prior years and may increase in the future.

We are subject to employee-related claims under state and federal law, including claims for discrimination, wrongful discharge or retaliation, as well as claims for violations under the Fair Labor Standards Act or state wage and hour laws.

## **Table of Contents**

Regulatory agencies may initiate administrative proceedings alleging that our programs, employees or agents violate statutes and regulations and seek to impose monetary penalties on us or ask for recoupment of amounts paid. We could be required to incur significant costs to respond to regulatory investigations or defend against lawsuits and, if we do not prevail, we could be required to pay substantial amounts of money in damages, settlement amounts or penalties arising from these legal proceedings.

A litigation award excluded by, or in excess of, our third-party insurance limits and self-insurance reserves could have a material adverse impact on our operations and cash flow and could adversely impact our ability to continue to purchase appropriate liability insurance. Even if we are successful in our defense, lawsuits or regulatory proceedings could also irreparably damage our reputation.

***Reductions in reimbursement rates, a failure to obtain increases in reimbursement rates or subsequent negative audit adjustments could adversely affect our revenue, cash flows and profitability.***

Our revenue and operating profitability depend on our ability to maintain our existing reimbursement levels and to obtain periodic increases in reimbursement rates to meet higher costs and demand for more services. Approximately 11.7% of our revenue is derived from contracts based on a retrospective cost reimbursement model, whereby we are required to maintain a certain cost structure in order to realize the specified rate. For such programs, if our costs are less than the required amount, we are required to return a portion of the revenue to the payor. Some of our programs are also subject to prospective rate adjustments based on current spending levels. For such programs, we could experience reduced rates in the future if our current spending is not sufficient. If we are not entitled to, do not receive or cannot negotiate increases in reimbursement rates, or are forced to accept a reduction in our reimbursement rates at approximately the same time as our costs of providing services increase, including labor costs and rent, our margins and profitability could be adversely affected.

Changes in how federal and state government agencies operate reimbursement programs can also affect our operating results and financial condition. Some states have, from time to time, revised their rate-setting methodologies in a manner that has resulted in rate decreases. In some instances, changes in rate-setting methodologies have resulted in third-party payors disallowing, in whole or in part, our requests for reimbursement. Any reduction in or the failure to maintain or increase our reimbursement rates could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Changes in the manner in which state agencies interpret program policies and procedures or review and audit billings and costs could also adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

As a result of cost reporting, we have from time to time experienced negative audit adjustments which are based on subjective judgments of reasonableness, necessity or allocation of costs in our services provided to clients. These adjustments are generally required to be negotiated as part of the overall audit resolution and may result in paybacks to payors and adjustments of our rates. We cannot assure you that our rates will be maintained or that we will be able to keep all payments made to us, until an audit of the relevant period is complete.

***Our variable cost structure is directly related to our labor costs, which may be adversely affected by labor shortages, a deterioration in labor relations or increased unionization activities.***

Our variable cost structure and operating profitability are directly related to our labor costs. Labor costs may be adversely affected by a variety of factors, including a limited supply of qualified personnel in any geographic area, local competitive forces, ineffective utilization of our labor force, increases in minimum wages or the need to increase wages to remain competitive, health care costs and other personnel costs, and adverse changes in client service models. We typically cannot recover our increased labor costs from payors and must absorb them ourselves. We have incurred higher labor costs in certain markets from time to time because of difficulty in hiring qualified direct care

staff. These higher labor costs have resulted from increased wages and overtime and the costs associated with recruitment and retention, training programs and use of temporary staffing personnel. In part to help with the challenge of recruiting and retaining direct care staff, we offer these employees a benefits package that includes paid time off, health insurance, dental insurance, vision coverage, life insurance and a 401(k) plan, and these costs can be significant.

Although our employees are generally not unionized, we have one business in New Jersey with approximately 28 employees who are represented by a labor union and 291 Connecticut direct care workers who are also represented by a labor union. We began negotiating a labor agreement with the Connecticut union in September 2012. Those negotiations, were suspended after our Connecticut-based business notified the State of Connecticut and the union of its intention to stop providing services under existing contracts due to rate cuts and a change in state policy. We are currently working with our public partners on a plan to effectively transition our programs to new providers, and we anticipate that this transition will be complete during the first quarter of fiscal 2015.

---

**Table of Contents**

From time to time, we experience attempts to unionize certain of our non-union employees. Recently, the National Labor Relations Board ( NLRB ) issued a final rule that takes effect April 14, 2015, that will significantly reduce the maximum number of days to hold a union election. This reduction in the open election period may limit the Company's ability to adequately inform employees regarding the risks associated with unionization. We are currently evaluating the NLRB's rule to determine if it has additional implications to our business. Future unionization activities could result in an increase of our labor and other costs. If employees covered by a collective bargaining agreement were to engage in a strike, work stoppage or other slowdown, we could experience a disruption of our operations and/or higher ongoing labor costs, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Matters involving employees may expose us to potential liability.***

We are subject to United States federal, state and local employment laws that expose us to potential liability if we are determined to have violated such employment laws. Failure to comply with federal and state labor laws pertaining to minimum wage, overtime pay, meal and rest breaks, unemployment tax rates, workers' compensation rates, citizenship or residency requirements, and other employment-related matters may have a material adverse effect on our business or operations. In addition, employee claims based on, among other things, discrimination, harassment or wrongful termination may divert financial and management resources and adversely affect operations. We are further subject to the Fair Labor Standards Act (which governs such matters as minimum wages, overtime and other working conditions) as well as state and local wage and hour laws.

We expect increases in payroll expenses as a result of recent state and federal policy initiatives to increase the minimum wage as well as potential new federal regulations increasing the scope of overtime eligibility. Although such increases are not expected to be material, we cannot assure you that there will not be material increases in the future.

The potential losses that may be incurred as a result of any violation of employment laws are difficult to quantify, but they could be material.

***Our level of indebtedness could adversely affect our liquidity and ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, and it could limit our ability to invest in our growth initiatives or react to changes in the economy or our industry.***

We have a significant amount of indebtedness and substantial leverage. As of September 30, 2014, we had total indebtedness of \$815.5 million and an ability to borrow up to an additional \$100.0 million under our senior secured revolving credit facility. This amount was reduced subsequent to September 30, 2014 as a result of our redemption of \$162.0 million of senior notes on October 17, 2014. A portion of our indebtedness, including borrowings under the senior secured credit facilities, bears interest at rates that fluctuate with changes in certain short-term prevailing interest rates. If interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on the variable rate indebtedness would increase even though the amount borrowed remained the same. We expect to continue to make new investments in our growth that may reduce liquidity, and we may need to increase our indebtedness in the future.

Our substantial degree of leverage could have important consequences, including the following:

it may significantly curtail our acquisitions program and may limit our ability to invest in our infrastructure and in growth opportunities;

it may diminish our ability to obtain additional debt or equity financing for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements and general corporate or other purposes;

a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations will be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness and will not be available for other purposes, including our operations, future business opportunities and acquisitions and capital expenditures;

the debt service requirements of our indebtedness could make it more difficult for us to satisfy our indebtedness and contractual and commercial commitments;

interest rates on any portion of our variable interest rate borrowings under the senior secured credit facilities that we have not hedged may increase;

it may limit our ability to adjust to changing market conditions and place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt and a lower degree of leverage; and

we may be vulnerable if the country falls into another recession, or if there is a downturn in our business, or we may be unable to carry out activities that are important to our growth.

Subject to restrictions in the indenture governing our senior notes and the senior credit agreement, we may be able to incur more debt in the future, which may intensify the risks described in this risk factor. All of the borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities are secured by substantially all of the assets of the National Mentor Holdings, Inc. ( NMHI ) and its subsidiaries.



---

**Table of Contents**

In addition to our significant amount of indebtedness, we have significant rental obligations under our operating leases for our group homes, other service facilities and administrative offices. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, our aggregate rental expense for these leases was \$57.7 million. We expect this number will increase during fiscal 2015 as a result of new leases entered into pursuant to acquisitions and new program starts. Our ongoing rental obligations could exacerbate the risks described above.

Our ability to generate sufficient cash flow to fund our debt service, rental payments and other obligations depends on many factors beyond our control. See Economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, liquidity and financial condition. In addition, possible acquisitions or investments in organic growth and other strategic initiatives could require additional debt financing. If our future cash flows do not meet our expectations and we are unable to service our debt, or if we are unable to obtain additional debt financing, we may be forced to take actions such as revising or delaying our strategic plans, reducing or delaying acquisitions, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our debt, or seeking additional equity capital. We may be unable to effect any of these transactions on satisfactory terms, or at all. Our inability to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our debt service obligations, or to obtain additional financing on satisfactory terms, or at all, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

***We have a history of losses, and we might not be profitable in the future.***

Due in large part to our high levels of indebtedness, we have had a history of losses. For the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we generated net losses of \$22.8 million, \$18.3 million, \$14.3 million, respectively. Although we have reduced our outstanding indebtedness using the proceeds of the Company's IPO and effectively decreased our interest payments, we could report losses in the future. Other factors may cause us to report losses in the future, including reductions in funding for our services, reductions in reimbursement rates, increases in our costs, increased competition and other factors described elsewhere under this Item 1A, Risk Factors .

***State and local government payors with which we have contracts have complicated billing and collection rules and regulations, and if we fail to meet such requirements, our business could be materially impacted.***

We derive approximately 90% of our revenue from contracts with state and local government agencies, and a substantial portion of this revenue is state-funded with federal Medicaid matching dollars. In billing for our services to third-party payors, we must follow complex documentation, coding and billing rules and there can be delays before we receive payment. These rules are based on federal and state laws, rules and regulations, various government pronouncements, and on industry practice. If we fail to comply with federal and state documentation, coding and billing rules, we could be subject to criminal and/or civil penalties, loss of licenses and exclusion from the Medicaid programs, which could materially harm us. Specifically, failure to follow these rules could result in potential criminal or civil liability under the False Claims Act and various federal and state criminal healthcare fraud statutes, under which extensive financial penalties and exclusion from participation in federal healthcare programs can be imposed.

Federal false claims laws prohibit any person from knowingly presenting or causing to be presented a false claim for payment to the federal government, or knowingly making or causing to be made a false statement to get a false claim paid. Penalties for a False Claims Act violation include three times the actual damages sustained by the government, plus mandatory civil penalties of between \$5,500 and \$11,000 for each separate false claim, the potential for exclusion from participation in federal healthcare programs and criminal liability. The majority of states also have statutes or regulations similar to the federal false claims laws, which apply to items and services reimbursed under Medicaid and other state programs, or, in several states, apply regardless of the payor. See We are subject to extensive governmental regulations, which require significant compliance expenditures, and a failure to comply with these regulations could adversely affect our business.

We annually submit a large volume of claims for Medicaid and other payments, and there can be no assurance that there have not been errors. The rules are frequently vague and confusing, and we cannot assure that governmental investigators, private insurers, private whistleblowers or Medicaid auditors will not challenge our practices. Such a challenge could result in a material adverse effect on our business.

We are routinely subject to governmental reviews, audits and investigations to verify our compliance with applicable laws and regulations. As a result of these reviews, audits and investigations, these governmental payors may be entitled to, at their discretion:

require us to refund amounts we have previously been paid;

terminate or modify our existing contracts;

suspend or prevent us from receiving new contracts or extending existing contracts;

impose referral holds on us;

impose fines, penalties or other sanctions on us; and

reduce the amount we are paid under our existing contracts.

## **Table of Contents**

As a result of past reviews and audits of our operations, we have been and are subject to some of these actions from time to time. While we do not currently believe that our existing governmental reviews and audit proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or significantly harm our reputation, we cannot assure you that such actions or similar actions in the future will not do so. In addition, such proceedings could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations in a future reporting period. Moreover, if we are required to restructure our billing and collection methods, these changes could be disruptive to our operations and costly to implement.

***Complicated billing and collection procedures can result in delays in collecting payment for our services, which may adversely affect our liquidity, cash flows and operating results.***

The reimbursement process is time consuming and complex, and there can be delays before we receive payment. Government reimbursement, facility credentialing, Medicaid recipient eligibility and service authorization procedures are often complicated and burdensome, and delays can result from, among other things, securing documentation and coordinating necessary eligibility paperwork between agencies. Similar issues arise in seeking payment from some of our private payors. These reimbursement and procedural issues occasionally cause us to have to resubmit claims several times and manage other administrative requests before payment is remitted. Missed filing deadlines can cause rejections of claims. If there is a billing error, the process to resolve the error may be time-consuming and costly. To the extent that complexity associated with billing for our services causes delays in our cash collections, we assume the financial risk of increased carrying costs associated with the aging of our accounts receivable as well as increased potential for write-offs. We can provide no assurance that we will be able to collect payment for claims at our current levels in future periods. The risks associated with third-party payors and the inability to monitor and manage accounts receivable successfully could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, cash flows and operating results.

***Economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, liquidity and financial condition.***

Our government payors rely on tax revenue to pay for our services. In the wake of the last economic recession that began in 2008, most states faced unprecedented declines in tax revenues and, as a result, record budget gaps. Furthermore, even after four years of economic improvement, at the end of 2013, inflation-adjusted tax revenues remained below peak levels in many states. If the economy were to contract into recession again, our government payors or other counterparties that owe us money could be delayed in obtaining, or may not be able to obtain, necessary funding and/or financing to meet their cash flow needs. In 2011, Standard & Poor's downgraded the Federal government's credit rating and additional downgrades are possible in the future. In October 2013, Fitch Ratings placed the Federal government's credit rating on negative watch. If the credit rating of the federal government is downgraded again, it is possible there will be related downgrades of state credit ratings as well. If this or unrelated state downgrades occur, this could make it more expensive for states to finance their cash flow needs and put additional pressure on state budgets. Delays in payment could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, liquidity and financial condition. In the event that our payors or other counterparties are financially unstable or delay payments to us, our financial condition could be further impaired if we are unable to borrow additional funds under our senior credit agreement to finance our operations.

***Our financial results could be adversely affected if claims against us are successful, to the extent we must make payments under our self-insured retentions, or if such claims are not covered by our applicable insurance or if the costs of our insurance coverage increase.***

We have been and continue to be subject to substantial claims against our professional and general liability and automobile liability insurance. Professional and general liability claims, if successful, could result in substantial damage awards which might require us to make significant payments under our self-insured retentions and increase future insurance costs. For claims made from October 1, 2011 to September 30, 2013, we were self-insured for the

first \$4.0 million of each and every claim with no aggregate limit. As of October 1, 2013, we are self-insured for \$4.0 million per claim and \$28.0 million in the aggregate. We may be subject to increased self-insurance retention limits in the future which could have a negative impact on our results. An award may exceed the limits of any applicable insurance coverage, and awards for punitive damages may be excluded from our insurance policies either contractually or by operation of state law. In addition, our insurance does not cover all potential liabilities including, for example, those arising from employment practice claims, wage and hour violations, and governmental fines and penalties. As a result, we may become responsible for substantial damage awards that are uninsured.

Insurance against professional and general liability and automobile liability can be expensive and our insurance premiums may increase in the future. Insurance rates vary from state to state, by type and by other factors. Rising costs of insurance premiums, as well as successful claims against us, could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

## **Table of Contents**

It is also possible that our liability and other insurance coverage will not continue to be available at acceptable costs or on favorable terms.

If payments for claims exceed actuarially determined estimates, if claims are not covered by insurance, or if our insurers fail to meet their obligations, our results of operations and financial position could be adversely affected.

***The nature of services that we provide could subject us to significant workers compensation related liability, some of which may not be fully reserved for.***

We use a combination of insurance and self-insurance plans to provide for potential liability for workers compensation claims. Because we have so many employees, and because of the inherent physical risk associated with the interaction of employees with our clients, many of whom have intensive care needs, the potential for incidents giving rise to workers compensation liability is high.

We estimate liabilities associated with workers compensation risk and establish reserves each quarter based on internal valuations, third-party actuarial advice, historical loss development factors and other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. In prior years, our results of operations have been adversely impacted by higher than anticipated claims, and they may be adversely impacted in the future if actual occurrences and claims exceed our assumptions and historical trends.

***The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act may materially increase our costs and/or make it harder for us to compete as an employer.***

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act imposed new mandates on employers and individuals. The mandate requiring all individuals to enroll in a health insurance plan deemed credible became effective on January 1, 2014, but the implementation of the requirement that all employers with 50 or more full-time employees provide to employees health insurance deemed credible or pay a penalty has been delayed until January 1, 2015. Despite the delayed implementation of the employer mandate, we redesigned our health benefits for calendar year 2014 to offer employees health coverage that meets the requirements of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. Depending upon claims experience or enrollment changes in our new plans, our cost for employee health insurance could materially increase. Moreover, if the coverage we are offering isn't competitive with the health insurance benefits our employees could receive at other employers, we may become less attractive as an employer and it may become more difficult for us to compete for qualified employees.

***We face substantial competition in attracting and retaining experienced personnel, and we may be unable to maintain or grow our business if we cannot attract and retain qualified employees.***

Our success depends to a significant degree on our ability to attract and retain qualified and experienced human service and other professionals, who possess the skills and experience necessary to deliver quality services to our clients and manage our operations. We face competition for certain categories of our employees, particularly direct service professionals and managers, based on wages, benefits and other working conditions. Contractual requirements and client needs determine the number, as well as the education and experience levels, of health and human service professionals we hire. We face substantial turnover among our direct service professionals. Also, due to the nature of the services we provide, our working conditions require additional sensitivities and skills relative to traditional medical care environments. Our ability to attract and retain employees with the requisite credentials, experience and skills depends on several factors, including, but not limited to, our ability to offer competitive wages, benefits and professional growth opportunities. The inability to attract and retain experienced personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business.

***If we fail to establish and maintain relationships with government agencies, we may not be able to successfully procure or retain government-sponsored contracts, which could negatively impact our revenue.***

To facilitate our ability to procure or retain government-sponsored contracts, we rely in part on establishing and maintaining relationships with officials of various government agencies, primarily at the state and local level but also including federal agencies. These relationships enable us to maintain and renew existing contracts and obtain new contracts and referrals. The effectiveness of our relationships may be reduced or eliminated with changes in the personnel holding various government offices or staff positions. We also may lose key personnel who have these relationships, and such personnel may not be subject to non-compete or non-solicitation covenants. Any failure to establish, maintain or manage relationships with government and agency personnel may hinder our ability to procure or retain government-sponsored contracts, and could negatively impact our revenue.

**Table of Contents**

***Negative publicity or changes in public perception of our services may adversely affect our ability to obtain new contracts and renew existing ones or obtain third-party referrals.***

Our success in obtaining new contracts and renewals of our existing contracts depends upon maintaining our reputation as a quality service provider among governmental authorities, advocacy groups, families of our clients, our clients and the public. Negative publicity, changes in public perception, quality lapses, legal proceedings and government investigations with respect to our operations could damage our reputation and hinder our ability to retain contracts and obtain new contracts, and could reduce referrals, increase government scrutiny and compliance or litigation costs, or generally discourage clients from using our services. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

Our reputation and prior experience with agency staff, care workers and others in positions to make referrals to us are important for building and maintaining our operations. Any event that harms our reputation or creates negative experiences with such third parties could impact our ability to receive referrals and maintain or grow our client base.

***A loss of our status as a licensed service provider in any jurisdiction could result in the termination of existing services and our inability to market our services in that jurisdiction.***

We operate in numerous jurisdictions and are required to maintain licenses and certifications in order to conduct our operations in each of them. Each state and local government has its own regulations, which can be complicated. Additionally, each of our service lines can be regulated differently within a particular jurisdiction. As a result, maintaining the necessary licenses and certifications to conduct our operations is cumbersome. Our licenses and certifications could be suspended, revoked or terminated for a number of reasons, including:

the failure by our direct care staff or host-home providers to properly care for clients;

the failure to submit proper documentation to the applicable government agency, including documentation supporting reimbursements for costs;

the failure by our programs to abide by the applicable laws and regulations relating to the provision of health and human services; and

the failure of our facilities to comply with the applicable building, health and safety codes and ordinances.

From time to time, some of our licenses or certifications, or those of our employees, are temporarily placed on probationary status or suspended. If we lost our status as a licensed provider of health and human services in any jurisdiction or any other required certification, we would be unable to market our services in that jurisdiction, and the contracts under which we provide services in that jurisdiction would be subject to termination. In providing services in certain jurisdictions, we subcontract to another provider. In those situations, the other provider may hold the license or certification. However, if the other provider's license or certification were revoked or suspended, we may no longer be permitted to provide services in that jurisdiction. Loss of a license as a direct provider or subcontractor of another provider of health and human services could constitute a violation of provisions of contracts in other jurisdictions, resulting in other contract, license or certification terminations. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our financial performance and operations.

***We have increased and will continue to make substantial expenditures to expand existing services, win new business and grow revenue, but we may not realize the anticipated benefits of such increased expenditures.***

In order to grow our business, we must capitalize on opportunities to expand existing services and win new business, some of which require spending in advance of revenue. For example, states such as California and New Jersey are in the process of closing state institutions and transitioning individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities into community-based settings such as ours. Responding to opportunities such as these typically requires significant investment of our resources in advance of revenue. In North Carolina, where we have made significant investments in an effort to expand periodic services for at-risk youth, the system continues to experience significant change that has required us to reorganize and restructure our operations and has resulted in a downsizing of our business there.

In fiscal 2012, fiscal 2013 and the beginning of fiscal 2014, we increased significantly the amount spent on growth initiatives, especially new starts. This elevated level of growth investments has had a negative effect on our operating margin, and we may not realize the anticipated benefits of the spending as soon as we expect to or at any point in the future. If we target the wrong areas, or fail to identify the evolving needs of our payors by responding with service offerings that meet their fiscal and programmatic requirements, we may not realize the anticipated benefits of our investments and the results of our operations may suffer.



**Table of Contents**

*We may not realize the anticipated benefits of any future acquisitions, and we may experience difficulties in integrating these acquisitions.*

As part of our growth strategy, we intend to make acquisitions. Growing our business through acquisitions involves risks because with any acquisition there is the possibility that:

the business we acquire may not continue to generate income at the same historical levels on which we based our acquisition decision;

we may be unable to maintain and renew the contracts of the acquired business;

unforeseen difficulties may arise in integrating the acquired operations, including employment practices, information systems and accounting controls;

we may not achieve operating efficiencies, synergies, economies of scale and cost reductions as expected;

we may be required to pay higher purchase prices for acquisitions than we have paid historically;

management may be distracted from overseeing existing operations by the need to integrate the acquired business;

we may acquire or assume unexpected liabilities or there may be other unanticipated costs;

we may encounter unanticipated regulatory risk;

we may experience problems entering new markets or service lines in which we have limited or no experience;

we may fail to retain and assimilate key employees of the acquired business;

we may finance the acquisition by incurring additional debt and further increase our leverage ratios; and

the culture of the acquired business may not match well with our culture.

As a result of these risks, there can be no assurance that any future acquisition will be successful or that it will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

***If we are not successful in expanding into adjacent markets, our growth strategy could suffer.***

Our growth strategy depends on pursuing opportunities in adjacent markets, and we may not be successful in adapting our service models to markets or service lines in which we have little or no prior experience. Expanding into adjacent markets, such as services to elders and individuals with autism, mental health and substance abuse issues, will expose us to additional operational, regulatory and legal risks. Serving these populations may require compliance with additional federal and state laws and regulations, such as Medicare, which may differ from the laws and regulations that apply to the populations we currently serve. Compliance with new laws and regulations may result in unanticipated expenses or liabilities. Programs we open in adjacent markets may also take longer to reach expected revenue and profit levels on a consistent basis and may have higher occupancy or operating costs than programs in our existing markets, which may affect our overall profitability. Adjacent markets may have different payors, referral sources, staffing requirements, client preferences and competitive conditions. We may find it more difficult to hire, motivate and keep qualified direct care workers and other employees in these adjacent markets. We may need to augment our staffing to meet regulatory requirements, and the overall cost of labor may be higher. As a result, we may not be successful in diversifying the populations we serve, and we may fail to capture market share in adjacent markets. If any steps taken to expand our existing business into adjacent markets are unsuccessful, we may not be able to achieve our growth strategy and our business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

***We are subject to extensive governmental regulations, which require significant compliance expenditures, and a failure to comply with these regulations could adversely affect our business.***

We are required to comply with comprehensive government regulation of our business, including statutes, regulations and policies governing the licensing of our facilities, the maintenance and management of our work place for our employees, the quality of our service, the revenue we receive for our services and reimbursement for the cost of our services. Compliance with these laws, regulations and policies is expensive, and if we fail to comply with these laws, regulations and policies, we could lose contracts and the related revenue, thereby harming our financial results. State and federal regulatory agencies have broad discretionary powers over the administration and enforcement of laws and regulations that govern our operations. A material violation of a law or regulation could subject us to fines and penalties and in some circumstances could disqualify some or all of the facilities and programs under our control from future participation in Medicaid or other government programs.

---

**Table of Contents**

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ( HIPAA ), as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act ( HITECH Act ) and other federal and state data privacy and security laws govern the collection, dissemination, security, use and confidentiality of patient- identifiable health information. HIPAA and the HITECH Act require us to comply with standards for the use and disclosure of health information within our company and with third parties, including, among other things, the adoption of administrative, physical and technical safeguards to protect such information. Additionally, certain states have adopted comparable privacy and security laws and regulations, some of which may be more stringent than HIPAA. While we have taken steps to comply with applicable health information privacy and security requirements to which we are aware that we are subject to, if we do not comply with existing or new federal or state laws and regulations related to patient health information, we could be subject to criminal or civil sanctions and any resulting liability could adversely affect our operations. The costs of complying with privacy and security related legal and regulatory requirements are burdensome and could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Expenses incurred under governmental agency contracts for any of our services, as well as management contracts with providers of record for such agencies, are subject to review by agencies administering the contracts and services. Representatives of those agencies visit our group homes to verify compliance with state and local regulations governing our home operations. A negative outcome from any of these examinations could increase government scrutiny, increase compliance costs or hinder our ability to obtain or retain contracts. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

The federal Anti-Kickback Law and similar state statutes, prohibit the provision of kickbacks, rebates and any other form of remuneration in return for referrals. Any remuneration, direct or indirect, offered, paid, solicited or received, in return for referrals of patients or business for which payment may be made in whole or in part under Medicaid could be considered a violation of law. The Anti-Kickback Law also prohibits payments made to anyone to induce them to recommend purchasing, leasing or ordering any goods, facility, service or item for which payment may be made in whole or in part by Medicaid. Criminal penalties under the Anti-Kickback Law include fines up to \$25,000, imprisonment for up to five years, or both. In addition, acts constituting a violation of the Anti-Kickback Law may also lead to civil penalties, such as fines, assessments, exclusion from participation in the Medicaid programs and liability under the False Claims Act.

We are subject to many different and varied audit mechanisms for post-payment review of claims submitted under the Medicaid program. These include Recovery Audit Contractor ( RAC ) auditors, State Medicaid auditors, surveillance integrity review audits and Payment Error Rate Measurement ( PERM ) audits, among others. Any one of these audit activities may identify claims that the auditors deem problematic and, following such determination, auditors may require recoupment of claims by Medicaid to us.

On March 17, 2014, a newly promulgated federal regulation governing home- and community-based services became effective. The rule establishes eligibility requirements for Medicaid home and community-based services provided under the waiver program. The waiver program allows the states to furnish an array of home- and community-based services and avoid institutional care. Under the new rule, home- and community-based settings must be integrated in and support full access to the greater community, be selected by the individual from different setting options, ensure individual rights of privacy, and optimize autonomy and independence in making life choices. The rule includes additional requirements for provider-owned or controlled home and community-based residential settings, including that the individual has a lease or other legally enforceable agreement, and standards related to the individual's privacy, control over schedule and visitors, and physical accessibility of the setting. At this juncture it is unclear how individual states will seek to implement this newly adopted regulation. The rule presents some implementation challenges, as some of the broad requirements may conflict with the needs and/or precautions that we must take for some of the individuals that we serve. It is unclear how each state will seek to address this potential conflict, and the

impact and costs of implementation and compliance with this regulation are currently unknown. States have the option to request a variation or delay of compliance with the federal standards for as long as five years from the effective date. Moreover, each state Medicaid agency may interpret and submit different requests and extension timelines.

Any change in interpretations or enforcement of existing or new laws and regulations could subject our current business practices to allegations of impropriety or illegality, or could require us to make changes in our homes, equipment, personnel, services, pricing or capital expenditure programs, which could increase our operating expenses and have a material adverse effect on our operations or reduce the demand for or profitability of our services.

Should we be found out of compliance with these statutes, regulations and policies, depending on the nature of the findings, our business, our financial position and our results of operations could be materially adversely impacted.

**Table of Contents**

***The high level of competition in our industry could adversely affect our contract and revenue base.***

We compete in a highly fragmented industry with a wide variety of competitors, ranging from small, local agencies to a few large, national organizations. Competitive factors may favor other providers and reduce our ability to obtain contracts, which would hinder our growth. Not-for-profit organizations are active in all states and range from small agencies, serving a limited area with specific programs to multi-state organizations. Smaller local organizations may have a better understanding of the local conditions and may be better able to gain political and public acceptance. Not-for-profit providers may be affiliated with advocacy groups, health organizations or religious organizations that have substantial influence with legislators and government agencies. Increased competition may result in pricing pressures, loss of or failure to gain market share or loss of clients or payors, any of which could harm our business.

***Home and community-based human services may become less popular among our targeted client populations and/or state and local governments, which would adversely affect our results of operations.***

Our growth depends on the continuation of trends in our industry toward providing services to individuals in smaller, community-based settings and increasing the percentage of individuals served by non-governmental providers. For example, during the course of much of the last decade, state governments increasingly adopted policies that emphasized greater family preservation and family reunification for at-risk youth, which reduced the demand for foster care services and required that we adapt our service offerings. The ARY market in several states continues to be volatile and challenging, which could result in additional restructuring of our operations and/or contracts. Shifts in public policy and, therefore, our future success, are subject to a variety of political, economic, social and legal pressures, all of which are beyond our control. A reversal in the downsizing and privatization trends could reduce the demand for our services, which could adversely affect our revenue and profitability.

***We conduct a significant percentage of our operations in Minnesota and, as a result, we are particularly susceptible to any reduction in budget appropriations for our services or any other adverse developments in that state.***

For each of the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, 14% of our net revenue was derived from contracts with government agencies in the State of Minnesota. Accordingly, any reduction in Minnesota's budgetary appropriations for our services, whether as a result of fiscal constraints due to recession, changes in policy or otherwise, could result in a reduction in our fees and possibly the loss of contracts. For example, our I/DD services in Minnesota were negatively impacted in 2009 and 2011 by rate cuts of 2.6% and 1.5%, respectively. We cannot assure you that we will not receive additional rate reductions this year or in the future. The concentration of our operations in Minnesota also makes us particularly susceptible to many of the other risks described above occurring in this state, including:

the failure to maintain and renew our licenses;

the failure to maintain important relationships with officials of government agencies; and

any negative publicity regarding our operations.

Any of these adverse developments occurring in Minnesota could result in a reduction in revenue or a loss of contracts, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

***Covenants in our debt agreements impose several restrictions on our business.***

The senior credit agreement and the indenture governing the senior notes contain various covenants that limit our ability to, among other things:

incur additional debt or issue certain preferred shares;

pay dividends on or make distributions in respect of capital stock or make other restricted payments;

make certain investments;

sell certain assets;

create liens on certain assets to secure debt;

enter into agreements that restrict dividends from subsidiaries;

consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets; and

enter into certain transactions with our affiliates.

## **Table of Contents**

The senior credit agreement governing the senior secured credit facilities also requires us to maintain a specified financial ratio, which began in the quarter ended June 30, 2014, in the event that we draw greater than 30% of the revolving commitment under our senior revolver. Our ability to meet this financial ratio could be affected by events beyond our control. The breach of any of these covenants or financial ratio could result in a default under the senior secured credit facilities and our lenders could elect to declare all amounts borrowed thereunder, together with accrued interest, to be due and payable and could proceed against the collateral securing that indebtedness.

***We depend upon the continued services of certain members of our senior management team, without whom our business operations could be significantly disrupted.***

Our success depends, in part, on the continued contributions of our senior officers and other key employees. Our management team has significant industry experience and a long history with us, and would be difficult to replace. If we lose or suffer an extended interruption in the service of one or more of our key employees, our financial condition and operating results could be adversely affected. The market for qualified individuals is highly competitive and we may not be able to attract and retain qualified personnel to replace or succeed members of our senior management or other key employees, should the need arise.

***Our success depends on our ability to manage and integrate key administrative functions.***

Our operations and administrative functions are largely decentralized and subject to disparate accounting and billing requirements established and often modified by our local payors and referral sources. Although in recent years we have undertaken an effort to consolidate accounting, billing, cash collections and other financial and administrative functions which may have mitigated this risk to some degree, there remains a substantial portion of the business that has not yet been centralized and some risk in the centralization process itself. If we encounter difficulties in integrating our operations further or fail to effectively manage these functions to ensure compliance with disparate and evolving requirements imposed by our payors and referral sources, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

***Our information systems are critical to our business and a failure of those systems, or a failure to upgrade them when required, could materially harm us.***

We depend on our ability to store, retrieve, process and manage a significant amount of information, and to provide our operations with efficient and effective accounting, census, incident reporting and other quality assurance systems. Our information systems require maintenance and upgrading to meet our needs, which could significantly increase our administrative expenses.

Any system failure that causes an interruption in service or availability of our critical systems could adversely affect operations or delay the collection of revenues. Even though we have implemented network security measures, our servers are vulnerable to computer viruses, hacking and similar disruptions from unauthorized tampering. The occurrence of any of these events could result in interruptions, delays, the loss or corruption of data, or cessations in the availability of systems, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations and harm our business reputation. Furthermore, a loss of health care information could result in potential penalties in certain of our businesses if we fail to comply with privacy and security standards in violation of HIPAA, as amended by the HITECH Act.

The performance of our information technology and systems is critical to our business operations. Our information systems are essential to a number of critical areas of our operations, including:

accounting and financial reporting;

billing and collecting accounts;

coding and compliance;

clinical systems, including census and incident reporting;

records and document storage; and

monitoring quality of care and collecting data on quality and compliance measures.

In addition, as we continue to upgrade our systems, we run the risk of ongoing disruptions while we transition from legacy, and often paper-based, systems. Disruptions in our systems could result in delays and difficulties in billing, which could negatively affect our results from operations and cash flows. We may choose systems that ultimately fail to meet our needs, or that cost more to implement and maintain than we had anticipated. Such systems may become obsolete sooner than expected, our payors may require us to invest in other systems, and state and/or federal regulations may impose electronic records standards that we cannot easily address from our existing platform. If we fail to upgrade successfully and cost-effectively, or if we are forced to invest in new or incompatible technology, our financial condition, cash flows and results of operations may suffer.



**Table of Contents**

***We rely on information technology in our operations, and any material failure, inadequacy, interruption or security failure of that technology could harm our business.***

We rely on information technology networks and systems to process, transmit and store electronic information in order to manage or support a variety of our business processes, including consumer records, financial transactions and maintenance of records. These processes may include sensitive financial information, personally identifiable information of clients and employees, and personal health information protected by HIPPA. Our business and operations may be harmed if we do not securely maintain our business processes and information systems or maintain the integrity of our confidential information. Although we have developed systems and processes that are designed to protect information against security breaches, it is impossible to prevent all security breaches. Failure to protect such information or mitigate any such breaches may adversely affect our operating results. Security breaches, including physical or electronic break-ins, computer viruses, attacks by hackers and similar breaches, can create system disruptions, shutdowns and unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. Any failure to maintain proper function, security and availability of our information systems could interrupt our operations, damage our reputation, subject us to liability claims or regulatory penalties, increase administrative expenses or lead to other adverse consequences.

***Our financial results may suffer if we have to write off goodwill or other intangible assets.***

A large portion of our total assets consists of goodwill and other intangible assets. Goodwill and other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization, accounted for 48.5% and 56.0% of the total assets on our consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. We may not realize the value of our goodwill or other intangible assets and we expect to engage in additional transactions that will result in our recognition of additional goodwill or other intangible assets.

We evaluate on a regular basis whether events and circumstances have occurred that indicate that all or a portion of the carrying amount of goodwill or other intangible assets may no longer be recoverable, and is therefore impaired. Under current accounting rules, any determination that impairment has occurred would require us to write-off the impaired portion of our goodwill or the unamortized portion of our intangible assets, resulting in a charge to our earnings.

***We may be more susceptible to the effects of a natural disaster or public health catastrophe, compared with other businesses due to the vulnerable nature of our client population.***

Our primary clients are individuals with developmental disabilities, brain injuries, or emotionally, behaviorally and/or medically complex challenges, many of whom would be more vulnerable than the general public in a natural disaster or public health catastrophe. In a natural disaster, we could be forced to relocate some of our clients on short notice under dangerous conditions and our new program starts and acquisitions could experience delays. Accordingly, natural disasters and certain public health catastrophes could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

***Our stock price may be volatile or may decline regardless of our operating performance, and you may not be able to resell your shares at or above your purchase price.***

The market price for our common stock is likely to be volatile, in part because our shares had not been traded publicly prior to the completion of our IPO. In addition, the market price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly in response to a number of factors, many of which we cannot control, including the following:

changes in estimates and views by any securities analysts who follow our common stock, our failure to meet estimates or failure of those analysts to maintain coverage of our common stock;

downgrades by any securities analysts who follow our common stock;

future sales of our common stock by our officers, directors and significant stockholders;

market conditions or trends in our industry or the economy as a whole and, in particular, in the healthcare environment;

investors' perceptions of our prospects;

announcements by us of significant contracts, acquisitions, joint ventures or capital commitments; and

changes in key personnel.

---

**Table of Contents**

In addition, the stock markets have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected and continue to affect the market prices of equity securities of many companies, including companies in the healthcare industry. In the past, stockholders have instituted securities class action litigation following periods of market volatility. If we were involved in securities litigation, we could incur substantial costs, and our resources and the attention of management could be diverted from our business.

***Our equity sponsor has the ability to control significant corporate activities and our majority stockholders' interests may not coincide with yours.***

NMH Investment owns approximately 68% of our common stock. Vestar controls the decisions of NMH Investment with respect to the voting and disposition of our shares held by NMH Investment. As a result, so long as NMH Investment holds a majority of our outstanding shares, Vestar will have the ability to control the outcome of matters submitted to a vote of stockholders and, through our Board of Directors, the ability to control decision-making with respect to our business direction and policies. In addition, under the director nominating agreement, NMH Investment has the right to nominate directors for election to our Board of Directors, and we will agree to support those nominees. Under certain circumstances, those nominees could constitute a majority of our Board of Directors even though NMH Investment at the time owns less than a majority of our common stock, giving Vestar decision-making control over us. Matters over which Vestar may, directly or indirectly, exercise control include:

the election of our Board of Directors and the appointment and removal of our officers;

mergers and other business combination transactions, including proposed transactions that would result in our stockholders receiving a premium price for their shares;

other material acquisitions or dispositions of businesses or assets;

incurrence of indebtedness and the issuance of equity securities;

repurchase of stock and payment of dividends; and

the issuance of shares to management under our equity incentive plans.

Even if Vestar's ownership of our shares falls below a majority, Vestar may continue to be able to influence or effectively control our decisions. Under our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, Vestar and its affiliates will not have any obligation to present to us, and Vestar may separately pursue, corporate opportunities of which they become aware, even if those opportunities are ones that we would have pursued if granted the opportunity.

***Future sales of our common stock, or the perception in the public markets that these sales may occur, may depress our stock price.***

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that these sales could occur, could adversely affect the price of our common stock and could impair our ability to raise capital through the sale of

additional shares. We have 36,950,000 shares of common stock outstanding. The shares of common stock are freely tradable without restriction under the Securities Act, except for any shares of our common stock that may be held or acquired by our directors, executive officers and other affiliates, as that term is defined in the Securities Act, which are restricted securities under the Securities Act. Restricted securities may not be sold in the public market unless the sale is registered under the Securities Act or an exemption from registration is available.

In the future, we may also issue our securities in connection with acquisitions or investments. The amount of shares of our common stock issued in connection with an acquisition or investment could constitute a material portion of our then-outstanding shares of our common stock.

***As a company with publicly traded equity, we are subject to financial and other reporting and corporate governance requirements that will impose obligations and costs on us and may divert management's attention from our business.***

As a result of our initial public offering, we became subject to a number of reporting and corporate governance requirements to which our subsidiary, NMHI was not previously subject, including the New York Stock Exchange listing standards and certain additional provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder, which have imposed and will continue to impose significant compliance obligations and costs upon us. Specifically, we are now required to:

prepare and distribute periodic reports and other stockholder communications in compliance with our obligations under the federal securities laws and New York Stock Exchange rules;

comply with rules relating to the composition, roles and duties of our Board of Directors and committees of our Board of Directors;

**Table of Contents**

provide an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm on our internal control over financial reporting when we become an accelerated filer, in compliance with the requirements of Section 404 and the related rules and regulations of the SEC and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board;

maintain an investor relations function; and

involve outside legal counsel and accountants in connection these and other related activities.

As a company with publicly traded equity, we are required to commit significant resources and management time and attention to these requirements, which has caused us to incur significant costs and which may place a strain on our systems and resources. As a result, our management's attention might be diverted from other business concerns. Compliance with these requirements has placed significant demands on our legal, accounting and finance staff and on our accounting, financial and information systems and increased our legal and accounting compliance costs, and we may be required to hire additional accounting, tax, finance and legal staff to supplement our existing resources.

As a result of our initial public offering, we have incurred and expect to incur certain additional annual expenses related to, among other things, additional directors' and officers' liability insurance, director fees, reporting requirements, transfer agent fees, investor relations advisory fees, hiring additional accounting, legal and administrative personnel, increased auditing and legal fees and similar expenses.

***Failure to comply with requirements to design, implement and maintain effective internal controls could have a material adverse effect on our business.***

We are required, pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, to furnish a report by management on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. We will be required, pursuant to Section 404, to furnish an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting beginning in the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015.

No evaluation can provide complete assurance that our internal controls will operate as intended. Management's report is required to include disclosure of any material weaknesses identified by our management in our internal control over financial reporting. Testing and maintaining internal controls may divert our management's attention from other matters that are important to our business. We may not be able to conclude on an ongoing basis that we have effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 or our independent registered public accounting firm may not issue an unqualified opinion when they are required to do so beginning in the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015. The generally decentralized nature of our operations and manual nature of many of our controls increases our risk of control deficiencies. In addition, future acquisitions may present challenges in implementing appropriate internal controls. Any future material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting could result in material misstatements in our financial statements. Moreover, any future disclosures of additional material weaknesses, or errors as a result of those weaknesses, could result in a negative reaction in the financial markets if there is a loss of confidence in the reliability of our financial reporting.

**Table of Contents**

***Because we do not intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future, you may not receive any return on investment unless you are able to sell your common stock for a price greater than your purchase price.***

We do not anticipate that we will pay any cash dividends on shares of our common stock for the foreseeable future. Any determination to pay dividends in the future will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon results of operations, financial condition, contractual restrictions, including those under our senior secured credit facilities, any potential indebtedness we may incur, restrictions imposed by applicable law and other factors our Board of Directors deem relevant. Accordingly, if you purchase shares, realization of a gain on your investment will depend on the appreciation of the price of our common stock, which may never occur. Investors seeking cash dividends in the foreseeable future should not purchase our common stock.

***We are a holding company and rely on dividends, distributions and other payments, advances and transfers of funds from our subsidiaries to meet our obligations.***

We are a holding company that does not conduct any business operations of our own. As a result, we are largely dependent upon cash dividends and distributions and other transfers from our subsidiaries to meet our obligations. The deterioration of income from, or other available assets of, our subsidiaries for any reason could limit or impair their ability to pay dividends or other distributions to us.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments***

Not applicable.

**Item 2. *Properties***

Our principal executive office is located at 313 Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02210. We operate a number of facilities and administrative offices throughout the United States. As of September 30, 2014, we provided services in 379 owned facilities and approximately 1,374 leased facilities, as well as in homes owned by our Mentors. We also own three offices and lease approximately 260 offices. We believe that our properties are adequate for our business as presently conducted and we believe we can meet requirements for additional space by exercising options on leases or by finding alternative space.

**Item 3. *Legal Proceedings***

We are in the health and human services business and, therefore, we have been and continue to be subject to substantial claims alleging that we, our employees or our Mentors failed to provide proper care for a client. We are also subject to claims by our clients, our employees, our Mentors or community members against us for negligence, intentional misconduct or violation of applicable laws. Included in our recent claims are claims alleging personal injury, assault, abuse, wrongful death and other charges. Regulatory agencies may initiate administrative proceedings alleging that our programs, employees or agents violate statutes and regulations and seek to impose monetary penalties on us. We could be required to incur significant costs to respond to regulatory investigations or defend against civil lawsuits and, if we do not prevail, we could be required to pay substantial amounts of money in damages, settlement amounts or penalties arising from these legal proceedings.

We also are subject to potential lawsuits under the False Claims Act and other federal and state whistleblower statutes designed to combat fraud and abuse in the health care industry. These lawsuits can involve significant monetary awards that may incentivize private plaintiffs to bring these suits. If we are found to have violated the False Claims Act, we could be excluded from participation in Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act provides a mandate for more vigorous and widespread enforcement activity to combat fraud and abuse in the health care industry.

Finally, we are also subject to employee-related claims under state and federal law, including claims for discrimination, wrongful discharge or retaliation and claims for wage and hour violations under the Fair Labor Standards Act or state wage and hour laws.

We reserve for costs related to contingencies when a loss is probable and the amount is reasonably estimable. While we believe our provision for legal contingencies is adequate, the outcome of our legal proceedings is difficult to predict, and we may settle legal claims or be subject to judgments for amounts that differ from our estimates. In addition, legal contingencies could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations in any given future reporting period.

See Part I. Item 1A. Risk Factors and Part II. Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for additional information.

**Item 4. *Mine Safety Disclosures***

Not applicable.



**Table of Contents****PART II****Item 5. *Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*****Market Information**

On September 22, 2014, the Company completed its initial public offering of 11,700,000 shares of common stock for total proceeds, before expenses, of \$185,971,500. The common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CIVL. Sixty-eight percent of the Company is owned by NMH Investment, LLC.

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the high and low sale prices for our common stock as reported by NYSE.

<b>2014</b>	<b>High</b>	<b>Low</b>
Fourth Quarter (from September 17, 2014)	\$ 17.19	\$ 15.11

**Stockholders**

There were two owners of record of our common stock as of September 30, 2014. The number of beneficial owners is substantially greater than the number of owners of record because a significant portion of our common stock is held through brokerage firms.

**Dividends**

During fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, we paid \$110 thousand, \$39 thousand and \$75 thousand, respectively, to NMH Investment to fund repurchases of equity units from employees upon or after their departure. We accounted for these repurchases as dividends of \$110 thousand, \$39 thousand and \$75 thousand, respectively, to our parent, NMH Investment.

We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is limited by restrictions on the ability of our subsidiaries and us to pay dividends or make distributions under the terms of NMHI's current and any future agreements governing our indebtedness. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors, subject to compliance with covenants in NMHI's current and any future agreements governing our indebtedness, and will depend upon our results of operations, financial condition, capital requirements and other factors that our Board of Directors deems relevant.

In addition, since we are a holding company, substantially all of the assets shown on our consolidated balance sheet are held by our subsidiaries. Accordingly, our earnings, cash flow and ability to pay dividends are largely dependent upon the earnings and cash flows of our subsidiaries and the distribution or other payment of such earnings to us in the form of dividends. Currently, those agreements restrict us from paying dividends to NMH Investment in excess of \$15 million, except for dividends used for the repurchase of equity from former officers and employees and for the payment of management fees, taxes and certain other exceptions.



**Table of Contents****Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table lists the number of securities of NMH Investment available for issuance as of September 30, 2014 under the NMH Investment, LLC Amended and Restated 2006 Unit Plan, as amended and the 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan. For a description of the plans, see note 19 to the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options(a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options(b)	Number of Securities
			Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding Securities Reflected in Column(a))(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (1)			Preferred Units: 21,619.50 A Units: 223,795.03 B Units: 64,725.08 C Units: 67,916.28 D Units: 138,402.47 E Units: F Units: 998,697.29 G Units: 5,000.00 H Units: 100,000.00
	1,109,808(2)	\$ 17.00(3)	2,215,692
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
<b>Total</b>			Preferred Units: 21,619.50 A Units: 223,795.03 B Units: 64,725.08 C Units: 67,916.28 D Units: 138,402.47 E Units: F Units: 998,697.29 G Units: 5,000.00 H Units: 100,000.00
	1,109,808	\$ 17.00	2,215,692

- (1) Consists of the NMH Investment, LLC Amended and Restated 2006 Unit Plan and the 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan.
- (2) Consists of 550,481 restricted stock units ( RSUs ) and stock options covering 559,307 shares of common stock.
- (3) The weighted average exercise price includes all outstanding stock options, but does not include RSUs, which do not have an exercise price.

**Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities**

No unregistered equity securities of the Company were sold during fiscal 2014; however, the Company's parent, NMH Investment, did issue equity securities during this period.

The following table sets forth the number of units of common equity of NMH Investment issued during fiscal 2014 pursuant to the NMH Investment, LLC Amended and Restated 2006 Unit Plan, as amended. The units were issued under Rule 701 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.

<b>Date</b>	<b>Title of Securities</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Purchaser</b>	<b>Consideration</b>
January 27, 2014	Class F Common Units	103,710.33	Certain employees	\$
January 27, 2014	Class F Common Units	100,000.00	Chief Executive Officer	\$
January 27, 2014	Class H Common Units	100,000.00	Chief Executive Officer	\$

**Table of Contents**

**Repurchases of Equity Securities**

No equity securities or common equity units of the Company or NMH Investment were repurchased during the three months ended September 30, 2014.

**Use of Proceeds**

On September 22, 2014, we completed an initial public offering of our common stock, pursuant to a Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-196281), which became effective on September 16, 2014. The Company sold 11,700,000 shares of common stock in the offering. Barclays Capital Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and UBS Securities LLC served as representatives of the underwriters and joint book-running managers. Raymond James & Associates, Inc., SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc., BMO Capital Markets Corp. and Avondale Partners, LLC acted as co-managers for the offering. The shares of common stock were sold by the Company at a price to the public of \$17.00 per share, for aggregate gross proceeds before expenses of approximately \$198.9 million. We paid the underwriting discounts and commissions, which were \$1.105 per share, for a total of approximately \$12.9 million paid to the underwriters in underwriting fees and commissions and we incurred other offering costs of approximately \$3.8 million. The Company used the net proceeds of the offering of approximately \$182.2 million to (i) redeem \$162.0 million in aggregate principal amount of the senior notes issued by NMHI at a redemption price of 106.25% plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to the date of redemption and (ii) \$8.0 million to pay a transaction advisory fee to our private equity sponsor under a management agreement that terminated upon completion of the offering.

**Table of Contents****Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

We derived the selected historical consolidated financial data as of and for the years ended September 30, 2012, 2013 and 2014 from the historical consolidated financial statements of the Company and the related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We have derived the selected historical consolidated financial data as of and for the years ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 from the historical consolidated financial statements of the Company and the related notes not included or incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as adjusted for discontinued operations.

The selected information below should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the historical consolidated financial statements and notes included elsewhere in this report.

	<b>Year Ended September 30,(1)</b>				
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Statements of Operations Data:</b>					
Net revenue	\$ 1,255,838	\$ 1,182,509	\$ 1,107,351	\$ 1,048,949	\$ 991,877
Cost of revenue (exclusive of depreciation expense shown separately below)	983,043	921,618	861,691	811,765	761,112
General and administrative expenses	145,041	145,184	139,630	143,516	132,467
Depreciation and amortization	67,488	63,573	59,987	60,804	55,496
Income from operations	60,266	52,134	46,043	32,864	42,802
Management fee of related party	(9,488)	(1,359)	(1,325)	(1,271)	(1,208)
Other income (expense), net	191	911	2	(119)	(332)
Extinguishment of debt	(14,699)			(23,684)	
Interest income	183	135	328	22	42
Interest expense	(69,349)	(78,075)	(79,445)	(67,511)	(62,233)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(32,896)	(26,254)	(34,397)	(59,699)	(20,929)
Benefit for income taxes	(11,463)	(9,942)	(19,883)	(19,884)	(8,016)
Loss from continuing operations	(21,433)	(16,312)	(14,514)	(39,815)	(12,913)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(1,382)	(1,984)	245	(3,686)	(4,362)
Net loss	\$ (22,815)	\$ (18,296)	\$ (14,269)	\$ (43,501)	\$ (17,275)
<b>Loss per common share, basic and diluted</b>					
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.84)	\$ (0.65)	\$ (0.57)	\$ (1.58)	\$ (0.51)
(Loss) gain from discontinued operations	(0.05)	(0.07)		(0.15)	(0.17)

Net Loss	\$	(0.89)	\$	(0.72)	\$	(0.57)	\$	(1.73)	\$	(0.68)
----------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------

Weighted average number of common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	25,538,493	25,250,000	25,250,000	25,250,000	25,250,000
---	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

**Balance Sheet Data (at end of period):**

Cash and cash equivalents(2)	\$	196,147	\$	19,440	\$	125	\$	387	\$	26,635
Working capital(3)		49,555		59,262		26,192		12,634		44,848
Total assets		1,027,954		1,021,269		1,045,880		1,011,360		1,006,998
Total debt(4)		815,509		803,464		799,895		784,124		713,242
Shareholders equity (deficit)		115,538		(46,515)		(29,391)		(16,917)		28,377

- (1) During fiscal 2010, 2011 and 2013, we sold our home health business, closed certain Human Services operations in the states of Maryland, Colorado, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New York and Virginia, sold our Rhode Island ARY business and closed our Rhode Island I/DD business. During fiscal 2014, the Company gave notice of its intention to close all Human Services operations in the state of Connecticut. All fiscal years presented reflect the classification of these businesses as discontinued operations. In April 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-08 which is effective for the Company on October 1, 2014. Under the new standard, which is applied prospectively, similar closures will no longer be classified as discontinued operations.
- (2) The cash and cash equivalent balance as of September 30, 2014 includes \$172.1 million of cash that was used to redeem \$162.0 million of NMHI s outstanding senior notes and pay the associated call premium on October 17, 2014.
- (3) Calculated as current assets minus current liabilities.
- (4) Includes obligations under capital leases.

---

**Table of Contents****Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations***

*The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this report. This discussion may contain forward-looking statements about our markets, the demand for our services and our future results. We based these statements on assumptions that we consider reasonable. Actual results may differ materially from those suggested by our forward-looking statements for various reasons, including those discussed in the Risk factors and Forward-looking statements sections of this report.*

**Overview**

We are the leading national provider of home- and community-based health and human services to must-serve individuals with intellectual, developmental, physical or behavioral disabilities and other special needs. Since our founding in 1980, we have been a pioneer in the movement to provide home- and community-based services for people who would otherwise be institutionalized. During our nearly 35-year history, we have evolved from a single residential program serving at-risk youth to a diversified national network providing an array of high-quality services and care in large, growing and highly-fragmented markets. While we have the capabilities to serve individuals with a wide variety of special needs and disabilities, we currently provide our services to individuals with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities (I/DD), youth with emotional, behavioral and/or medically complex challenges, or at-risk youth (ARY), individuals with catastrophic injuries and illnesses, particularly acquired brain injury (ABI), and elders. As of September 30, 2014, we operated in 36 states, serving approximately 12,600 clients in residential settings and approximately 16,500 clients in non-residential settings. We have a diverse group of hundreds of public payors which fund our services with a combination of federal, state and local funding, as well as an increasing number of non-public payors related to our services for ABI and other catastrophic injuries and illnesses.

We have two reportable business segments: the Human Services Segment and the Post-Acute Specialty Rehabilitation Services ( SRS ) Segment. Through the Human Services Segment, we provide home and community-based human services to adults and children with intellectual and development disabilities (I/DD), and to youth with emotional, behavioral and/or medically complex challenges ( ARY ) and, beginning in the quarter ended September 30, 2014, to elders. The operations of the Human Services Segment have been organized by management based upon geography. The geographical regions, which comprise three operating segments, have been aggregated based on the criteria set forth in ASC Topic 280, *Segment Reporting*. Through the SRS Segment, we deliver services to individuals who have suffered acquired brain injury, spinal injuries and other catastrophic injuries and illnesses. The operations of the SRS Segment have been organized by management into two business units, NeuroRestorative and CareMeridian, based upon service type. These business units, which comprise two operating segments, have been aggregated based on the criteria set forth in the accounting standards. Each operating segment is aligned with the Company's reporting structure and has a segment manager that is directly accountable for its operations and regularly reports results to the chief operating decision maker for the purpose of evaluating these results and making decisions regarding resource allocations.

Delivery of services to adults and children with I/DD is the largest portion of our Human Services segment. Our I/DD programs include residential support, day habilitation, vocational services, case management, crisis intervention and hourly support care. Our Human Services segment also includes the delivery of ARY services. Our ARY programs include therapeutic foster care, family preservation, adoption services, early intervention, school-based services and juvenile offender programs. Within our SRS segment, our NeuroRestorative business unit is focused on rehabilitation and transitional living services and our CareMeridian business unit is focused on the more medically-intensive post-acute care services. Our SRS services range from sub-acute healthcare for individuals with intensive medical needs to day treatment programs, and include: neurorehabilitation; neurobehavioral rehabilitation; specialized nursing; physical, occupational and speech therapies; supported living; outpatient treatment; and pre-vocational services.



## **Factors Affecting our Operating Results**

### ***Demand for Home and Community-Based Health and Human Services***

Our growth in revenue has historically been related to increases in the number of individuals served as well as increases in the rates we receive for our services. This growth has depended largely upon development-driven activities, including the maintenance and expansion of existing contracts and the award of new contracts, our new start program and acquisitions. We also attribute the long-term growth in our client base to certain trends that are increasing demand in our industry, including demographic, health-care and political developments.

Demographic trends have a particular impact on our I/DD business. Increases in the life expectancy of individuals with I/DD, we believe, have resulted in steady increases in the demand for I/DD services. In addition, caregivers currently caring for their relatives at home are aging and many may soon be unable to continue with these responsibilities. Many states continue to downsize or close large, publicly-run facilities for individuals with I/DD and refer those individuals to private providers of community-based services. Each of these factors affects the size of the I/DD population in need of services. And while our residential ARY services were negatively impacted by a substantial decline in the number of children and adolescents in foster care placements during the last decade, this trend has contributed to increased demand for periodic, non-residential services to support at-risk youth and their families. However, during the past 18 months payor demand in the state of North Carolina for periodic ARY services has significantly contracted as a result of a statewide redesign of these programs. It is also noteworthy that in recent years the general foster care population across the country has stabilized, with data for federal fiscal year 2013 showing the first increase in more than a decade in the number of children in foster care at year end. Demand for our SRS services has also grown as emergency response and improved medical techniques have resulted in more people surviving a catastrophic injury. SRS services are increasingly sought out as a clinically-appropriate and less-expensive alternative to institutional care and as a step-down for individuals who no longer require care in acute settings.

## **Table of Contents**

Political and economic trends can also affect our operations. Budgetary pressures facing state governments, especially within Medicaid programs, as well as other economic, industry and political factors could cause state governments to limit spending, which could significantly reduce our revenue, referrals, margins and profitability, and adversely affect our growth strategy. Government agencies generally condition their contracts with us upon a sufficient budgetary appropriation. If the government agency does not receive an appropriation sufficient to cover its obligations with us, it may terminate a contract or defer or reduce our reimbursements. For example, during the economic downturn that began in 2008, our government payors in several states responded to deteriorating revenue collections by implementing service reductions, rate freezes and/or rate reductions. Beginning in fiscal 2012, the rate environment improved and, as a result, for fiscal years 2012, 2013 and 2014, pricing increases contributed to revenue growth. With new state fiscal 2015 budgets effective on July 1, 2014 in most jurisdictions, this positive trend is continuing as we begin our fiscal year 2015.

Historically, our business has benefited from the efforts of groups that advocate for the populations we serve. These groups lobby governments to fund residential services that use our small group home or host home models, rather than large, institutional models. In addition, our ARY services have historically been positively affected by the trend toward privatization of these services. Furthermore, we believe that successful lobbying by advocacy groups has preserved I/DD and ARY services and, therefore, our revenue base for these services, from significant reductions as compared with certain other human services, although we did suffer rate reductions during and after the recession that began in 2008. In addition, a number of states have developed community-based waiver programs to support long-term care services for survivors of a traumatic brain injury. However, the majority of our specialty rehabilitation services revenue is derived from non-public payors, such as commercial insurers, managed care and other private payors.

### ***Expansion of Services***

We have grown our business through expansion of existing markets and programs, entry into new geographical markets as well as through acquisitions.

### ***Organic Growth***

Various economic, fiscal, public policy and legal factors are contributing to an environment with an increased number of organic growth opportunities, particularly within the Human Services segment, and, as a result, we have a renewed emphasis on growing our business organically and making investments to support the effort. Our future growth will depend heavily on our ability to expand our current programs and identify and execute upon new opportunities. Our organic expansion activities consist of both new program starts in existing markets and expansion into new geographical markets. Our new programs in new and existing geographic markets typically require us to incur and fund operating losses for a period of approximately 18 to 24 months (we refer to these new programs as *new starts*). Net operating loss or income of a new start is defined as its revenue for the period less direct expenses but not including allocated overhead costs. The aggregation of all programs with net operating losses that are less than 18 months old comprises the new start operating loss for such period. During fiscal years 2014 and 2013, new starts generated operating losses of \$6.1 million and \$8.8 million and operating income of \$1.9 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. As indicated above, during fiscal 2012 and 2013 demand for new programs increased. These new start investment opportunities increased our organic growth but also had the effect of reducing our operating margin. In fiscal 2014, our investment level was slightly lower than recent years, but still at a rate higher than fiscal 2011 and earlier periods.

### ***Acquisitions***

From the beginning of fiscal 2010 through September 30, 2014, we have completed 36 acquisitions, including several acquisitions of rights to government contracts and fixed assets from small providers, which we have integrated with our existing operations. We have pursued larger strategic acquisitions in the past and may opportunistically continue to do so in the future. Acquisitions could have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

During the year ended September 30, 2014, we acquired ten companies complementary to our business in the Human Services segment and one company in the SRS segment for total fair value consideration of \$56.1 million, including \$2.4 million of contingent consideration.

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, we acquired two companies complementary to our business in the Human Services segment and one company in the SRS segment, for a total cash consideration of \$9.3 million.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Divestitures***

We regularly review and consider the divestiture of underperforming or non-strategic businesses to improve our operating results and better utilize our capital. We have made divestitures from time to time and expect that we may make additional divestitures in the future. Divestitures could have a material impact on our financial performance and results.

In August 2014, our Connecticut-based business notified the State of Connecticut of its intention to stop providing services under existing contracts due to rate cuts and a change in state policy. We are currently working with our public partners to effectively transition our programs to new providers, and we anticipate that this transition will be complete during the first quarter of fiscal 2015. In connection with the decision to discontinue services in Connecticut, we recorded termination benefits for impacted employees of \$0.1 million and impairment charges of \$1.6 million and \$0.7 million for intangible assets and properties owned by the business unit, respectively. The operating results for Connecticut are included as discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

### ***Revenue***

Revenue is reported net of allowances for unauthorized sales and estimated sales adjustments, and net of any state provider taxes or gross receipts taxes levied on services we provide. We derive revenue from contracts with state, local and other government payors and non-public payors. During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, we derived 90% of our net revenue from contracts with state, local and other government payors and 10% of our net revenue from non-public payors. Substantially all of our non-public revenue is generated by our SRS business through contracts with commercial insurers, workers compensation carriers and other private payors. The payment terms and rates of our contracts vary widely by jurisdiction and service type. We have four types of contractual arrangements with payors which include negotiated contracts, fixed fee contracts, retrospective reimbursement contracts and prospective payments contracts. See **Critical Accounting Policies Revenue Recognition** for further information. Our revenue may be affected by adjustments to our billed rates as well as adjustments to previously billed amounts. Revenue in the future may be affected by changes in rates, rate-setting structures, methodologies or interpretations that may be proposed in states where we operate or by the federal government which provides matching funds. We cannot determine the impact of such changes or the effect of any possible governmental actions. In general, we take prices set by our payors and do not compete based on pricing.

We bill the majority of our residential services on a per person per-diem basis. We believe the key factors affecting our revenues in residential service business include gross revenue, average residential census and average daily rates. We bill the majority of our non-residential service on a per service unit basis. These service units, which vary in length, are converted to billable units which are the hourly equivalent for the service provided. We believe the key factors affecting our revenues in our non-residential service business include gross revenue, non-residential billable units and average billable unit rates. We define these factors as follows:

*Gross Revenue:* Revenues before adjusting for sales adjustments and state provider and gross receipts taxes.

*Average Residential Census:* The average daily residential census over the respective period.

Edgar Filing: Civitas Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-K

*Average Daily Rate:* A mathematical calculation derived by dividing the gross residential revenue by the residential census and the resulting quotient by the number of days during the respective period.

*Non-Residential Billable Units:* The hourly equivalent of non-residential services provided.

*Average Billable Unit Rate:* Gross non-residential revenue divided by the billable units provided during the period.

**Table of Contents**

A comparative summary of gross revenues by service line and our key metrics is as follows (dollars in thousands, except for daily and billable unit rates):

	<b>Year Ended September 30,</b>		
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013 <sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>2012 <sup>(1)</sup></b>
<b><u>I/DD Services</u></b>			
Gross Revenues	\$ 839,127	\$ 768,362	\$ 719,180
Average Residential Census	7,435	6,931	6,521
Average Daily Rate	\$ 229.02	\$ 222.53	\$ 217.18
Non-Residential Billable Units	11,608,274	11,131,686	8,810,339
Average Non-Residential Billable Unit Rate	\$ 18.75	\$ 18.46	\$ 22.80
Gross Revenue Growth %	9.2%	6.8%	
<i>Gross Revenue growth due to:</i>			
Volume Growth	6.5%	11.9%	
Average Rate Growth	2.7%	(5.0)%	
<b><u>At-Risk Youth Services</u></b>			
Gross Revenues	\$ 203,353	\$ 223,037	\$ 214,405
Average Residential Census	3,840	4,094	3,856
Average Daily Rate	\$ 101.11	\$ 96.78	\$ 97.96
Non-residential Billable Units	712,497	931,646	947,318
Average Non-Residential Billable Unit Rate	\$ 86.51	\$ 84.19	\$ 80.39
Gross Revenue Growth %	(8.8)%	4.0%	
<i>Gross Revenue growth due to:</i>			
Volume Growth	(12.3)%	3.4%	
Average Rate Growth	3.5%	0.6%	
<b><u>Specialty Rehabilitation Services</u></b>			
Gross Revenues	\$ 234,435	\$ 213,465	\$ 189,561
Average Residential Census	1,065	996	916
Average Daily Rate	\$ 603.22	\$ 587.14	\$ 565.50
Gross Revenue Growth %	9.8%	12.6%	
<i>Gross Revenue growth due to:</i>			
Volume Growth	6.9%	8.7%	
Average Rate Growth	2.9%	3.9%	

(1) During fiscal 2014, the Company gave notice of its intention to close all Human Services operations in the state of Connecticut. All fiscal years presented reflect the classification of these businesses as discontinued operations.

**Expenses**

Expenses directly related to providing services are classified as cost of revenue. These expenses consist of direct labor costs which principally include salaries and benefits for service provider employees and per diem payments to our Mentors; client program costs such as food, medicine and professional and general liability and employment practices liability expenses; residential occupancy expenses which are primarily comprised of rent and utilities related to

facilities providing direct care; travel and transportation costs for clients requiring services; and other ancillary direct costs associated with the provision of services to clients including workers' compensation expense.

**Table of Contents**

General and administrative expenses primarily include salaries and benefits for administrative employees, or employees that are not directly providing services, administrative occupancy costs as well as professional expenses such as accounting, consulting and legal services. Depreciation and amortization includes depreciation for fixed assets utilized in both facilities providing direct care and administrative offices, and amortization related to intangible assets.

Wages and benefits to our employees and per diem payments to our Mentors constitute the most significant operating cost in each of our segments. Most of our employee caregivers are paid on an hourly basis, with hours of work generally tied to client need. Our Mentors are paid on a per diem basis, but only if the Mentor is currently caring for a client. Our labor costs are generally influenced by levels of service, and these costs can vary significantly across regions.

Occupancy costs represent a significant portion of our operating costs. As of September 30, 2014, we owned 379 facilities and three offices, and we leased 1,374 facilities and 260 offices. We expect occupancy costs to increase during fiscal 2015 as a result of new leases entered into pursuant to acquisitions and new starts. We incur no facility costs for services provided in the home of a Mentor.

Professional and general liability expense totaled 0.9% of our net revenue for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, as compared to 1.0% for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. We incurred professional and general liability expenses of \$10.9 million, \$12.1 million and \$10.7 million for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These expenses are incurred in connection with our claims reserve and insurance premiums. For claims made between From October 1, 2011 to September 30, 2013, we were self-insured for the first \$4.0 million of each and every claim without an aggregate limit. Beginning October 1, 2013, we are self-insured for \$4.0 million per claim and \$28.0 million in the aggregate. Increased costs of insurance and claims have negatively impacted our results of operations and have resulted in a renewed emphasis on reducing the occurrence of claims. Although insurance premiums did not increase in fiscal 2013 and 2014, they have increased in prior years and may increase in the future.

**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth our Consolidated Statements of Operations as a percentage of total net revenues for the periods indicated.

	<b>Year ended September 30,</b>		
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Revenues:</b>			
Net revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of revenue	78.3%	77.9%	77.8%
<b>Operating expenses:</b>			
General and administrative	11.5%	12.3%	12.6%
Depreciation and amortization	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%
Total operating expense	16.9%	17.7%	18.0%
Income from operations	4.8%	4.4%	4.2%
<b>Other income (expense):</b>			
Management fee of related party	(0.8)%	(0.1)%	(0.1)%
Other income, net	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Extinguishment of debt	(1.2)%	0.0%	0.0%



Edgar Filing: Civitas Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-K

Interest income	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interest expense	(5.5)%	(6.6)%	(7.2)%
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(2.6)%	(2.2)%	(3.1)%
Benefit for income taxes	(0.9)%	(0.8)%	(1.8)%
Loss from continuing operations	(1.7)%	(1.4)%	(1.3)%
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(0.1)%	(0.2)%	0.0%
Net Loss	(1.8)%	(1.5)%	(1.3)%

**Table of Contents****Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2014 compared to Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2013****Consolidated overview**

	Year ended September 30,		Increase (Decrease)
	2014	2013	
Gross revenue	\$ 1,277,957	\$ 1,204,864	\$ 73,093
Sales adjustments	(22,119)	(22,355)	\$ 236
Net revenue	\$ 1,255,838	\$ 1,182,509	\$ 73,329
Income from operations	\$ 60,266	\$ 52,134	\$ 8,132
Operating margin	4.8%	4.4%	

Consolidated gross revenue for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 ( fiscal 2014 ) increased by \$ 73.1 million, or 6.1%, compared to gross revenue for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 ( fiscal 2013 ). Sales adjustments as a percentage of gross revenue decreased from 1.9% to 1.7% during fiscal 2014. Gross revenue increased \$42.5 million from organic growth, including growth related to new programs, and \$30.6 million from acquisitions that closed during and after fiscal 2013. Our Human Services segment contributed 66% of the organic revenue growth with the remaining 34% contributed by our SRS segment.

Consolidated income from operations increased from \$52.1 million, or 4.4% of net revenue, in fiscal 2013 to \$60.3 million, or 4.8% of net revenue, in fiscal 2014. The increase in our operating margin was primarily due to the increase in revenue, as well as, expense leveraging and cost containment efforts in our direct labor costs and general administrative expenses. The improvement in operating margin was partially offset by the increase in client occupancy costs due to new programs with higher levels of open occupancy and increases in rent, utilities and repair and maintenance costs related to our business.

**Revenues by segment**

The following table sets forth net revenue for the Human Services segment for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Year ended September 30,			Percentage Increase (Decrease)
	2014	2013	Increase (Decrease)	
I/DD gross revenue	\$ 839,127	\$ 768,362	\$ 70,765	9.2%
ARY gross revenue	203,353	223,037	(19,684)	(8.8)%
Adult Day Services gross revenue	1,042		1,042	NM
Total Human Services gross revenue	1,043,522	991,399	52,123	5.3%
Sales adjustments	(17,850)	(17,311)		
Sales adjustments as a percentage of gross revenue	(1.7)%	(1.7)%		

Total Human Services net revenue	\$ 1,025,672	\$ 974,088	\$ 51,584	5.3%
----------------------------------	--------------	------------	-----------	------

Human Services gross revenue for fiscal 2014 increased by \$52.1 million, or 5.3%, compared to fiscal 2013. The \$52.1 million increase in gross revenue was driven by a \$70.8 million increase in I/DD gross revenue while ARY gross revenue decreased by \$19.7 million. The increase in I/DD gross revenue included \$47.6 million from organic growth and \$23.2 million from acquisitions that closed during and after fiscal 2013. The organic growth was the result of a 4.4% increase in volume coupled with a 1.8% increase in average billing rates for fiscal 2014 compared to fiscal 2013. The \$19.7 million decrease in ARY gross revenue was due to a 12.3% decrease in volume partially offset by a 3.5% increase in the average billing rate during fiscal 2014 compared to fiscal 2013. The majority of the decrease in volume was caused by a reduction in services in North Carolina due to a state wide redesign of these programs and the voluntary termination of our contracts to provide services with a single managed care organization. The impact of this reduction accounted for approximately \$17.6 million of the decrease in gross revenue.

Sales adjustments as a percentage of gross revenue were consistent at 1.7% during fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013.

**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth net revenue for the SRS segment for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Year ended September 30,		Increase (Decrease)	Percentage Increase (Decrease)
	2014	2013		
SRS gross revenue	\$ 234,435	\$ 213,465	\$ 20,970	9.8%
Sales adjustments	(4,269)	(5,044)		
Sales adjustments as a percentage of gross revenue	(1.8)%	(2.4)%		
SRS net revenue	\$ 230,166	\$ 208,421	\$ 21,745	10.4%

The SRS segment's gross revenue for fiscal 2014 increased by \$21.0 million, or 9.8%, compared to fiscal 2013. The increase included \$14.6 million from organic growth and \$6.3 million from acquisitions that closed during and after fiscal 2013. The organic growth was driven by an increase in the average billing rate of 4.5% and an increase in volume of 2.4%.

**Cost of revenues by segment**

The following table sets forth cost of revenues for the Human Services segment for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Year ended September 30,		Increase	Change in %
	2014	2013		
	Amount	% of net revenue	(Decrease)	of net revenue
Direct labor costs	\$ 664,032	64.7%	\$ 31,576	(0.2)%
Client program costs	42,133	4.1%	2,411	0.0%
Client occupancy costs	55,025	5.4%	4,614	0.2%
Travel & transportation costs	27,935	2.7%	1,647	0.0%
Other direct costs	23,375	2.3%	5,022	0.4%
Total cost of revenues	\$ 812,500	79.2%	\$ 45,270	0.4%

Human Services cost of revenue for fiscal 2014 increased by \$45.3 million, or 5.9%, as compared to fiscal 2013 primarily due to an increase in direct labor costs of \$31.6 million, an increase in client occupancy costs of \$4.6 million, and an increase in other direct costs of \$5.0 million. The increases in direct labor costs and client occupancy costs were primarily attributable to additional costs associated with new programs and acquisitions that closed during and after fiscal 2013, as well as, a new compensation program for our direct care workers. The increase in other direct costs during fiscal 2014 was primarily due to an increase in workers' compensation claims compared to fiscal 2013.

The decrease of direct labor costs as a percentage of net revenue was primarily due to expense leveraging. The increase in client occupancy costs as a percentage of net revenue was the result of new programs with higher levels of

open occupancy and an increase in rent, utilities and repairs and maintenance expense related to our business and the increase in other direct costs of revenue as a percentage of net revenue was primarily due to an increase in workers compensation claims compared to fiscal 2013.

The following table sets forth cost of revenues for the SRS segment for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Year ended September 30, 2014		2013		Increase (Decrease)	Change in % of net revenue
	Amount	% of net revenue	Amount	% of net revenue		
Direct labor costs	\$ 119,397	51.9%	\$ 110,508	53.0%	\$ 8,889	(1.1)%
Client program costs	17,483	7.6%	14,486	7.0%	2,997	0.6%
Client occupancy costs	25,987	11.3%	22,662	10.9%	3,325	0.4%
Travel & transportation costs	3,134	1.4%	2,635	1.3%	499	0.1%
Other direct costs	4,480	1.9%	3,144	1.5%	1,336	0.4%
Total cost of revenues	\$ 170,481	74.1%	\$ 153,435	73.7%	\$ 17,046	0.4%

**Table of Contents**

The SRS segment's cost of revenue for fiscal 2014 increased by \$17.0 million, or 11.1%, as compared to fiscal 2013, primarily due to an increase in direct labor costs of \$8.9 million, an increase in client program costs of \$3.0 million and an increase in client occupancy costs of \$3.3 million. These increases were primarily attributable to additional costs associated with new programs and acquisitions that closed during and after fiscal 2013.

The decrease of direct labor costs as a percentage of revenue was primarily due to expense leveraging. The increase in client program costs as a percentage of net revenue during fiscal 2014 was due to an increase in medical supply and pharmacy costs relating to the SRS segment's client mix. The increase in client occupancy costs as a percentage of net revenue during fiscal 2014 was due to new programs with higher levels of open occupancy and an increase in rent, utilities and repairs and maintenance expense related to our business.

**Consolidated operating expenses**

General and administrative and depreciation and amortization expense were as follows (in thousands):

	Year ended September 30, 2014		2013		Increase (Decrease)	Change in % of net revenue
	Amount	% of net revenue	Amount	% of net revenue		
General and administrative	\$ 145,041	11.5%	\$ 145,184	12.3%	\$ (143)	(0.8)%
Depreciation and amortization	67,488	5.4%	63,573	5.4%	3,915	0.0%
<b>Total operating expense</b>	<b>\$ 212,529</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>\$ 208,757</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>\$ 3,772</b>	<b>(0.8)%</b>

General and administrative expenses for fiscal 2014 decreased by \$0.1 million, or 0.1%, as compared to fiscal 2013. As a percentage of net revenue, general and administrative expenses decreased by 0.8% as compared to fiscal 2013. This decrease was attributable to cost containment efforts in administrative staffing, business and office related costs.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$3.9 million during fiscal 2014 from the prior year period primarily due to an increase in leasehold improvements to our properties and the acquisition of amortizable assets. Depreciation and amortization expense as a percentage of net revenue remained consistent.

**Other (income) expense**

*Management fee of related party:* Management fee increased \$8.1 million during fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013. In connection with the Company's IPO, the Company terminated the management agreement with Vestar and paid a one-time transaction advisory fee of \$8.0 million to Vestar.

*Other income, net:* Other income, net, which primarily consists of mark to market adjustments of the cash surrender value of Company owned life insurance policies, decreased from \$0.9 million in fiscal 2013 to \$0.2 million in fiscal 2014.

*Loss on extinguishment of debt:* Extinguishment of debt was \$14.7 million in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014. The prior senior secured credit facilities were repaid and replaced with the senior secured credit facilities on January 31, 2014, and \$38.0 million of the senior notes were redeemed on February 26, 2014, resulting in the write-off of deferred financing fees, original issue discount, redemption premium and initial purchase discount related

to the prior senior secured credit facilities and the partial redemption of the senior notes totaling \$14.7 million.

*Interest Expense:* Interest expense decreased by \$8.7 million during fiscal 2014 compared to fiscal 2013 due to lower interest expense on the senior secured credit facilities as a result of the refinancing on January 31, 2014 and the redemption of \$38.0 million of the senior notes on February 26, 2014.

***Benefit for income taxes***

For fiscal 2014, our effective income tax rate was 34.8% compared to an effective tax rate of 37.9% for fiscal 2013. These rates differ from the federal statutory income tax rate primarily due to nondeductible permanent differences such as meals and nondeductible compensation, and net operating losses not benefited.

**Table of Contents*****Loss from discontinued operations***

Loss from discontinued operations net of taxes for fiscal 2014 was \$1.4 million as compared to \$2.0 million for fiscal 2013. During fiscal 2014, the Company gave notice of its intention to close all Human Services operations in the state of Connecticut. The Company recorded impairment charges of \$1.6 million and \$0.7 million for intangible assets and properties owned by the business unit, respectively, and \$0.1 million of severance expense. The impairment charge and operations of these businesses, including the expenses to close these operations, are included in discontinued operations.

**Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2013 compared to Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2012*****Consolidated overview***

	<b>Year ended September 30,</b>		<b>Increase</b>
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>(Decrease)</b>
Gross revenue	\$ 1,204,864	\$ 1,123,146	\$ 81,718
Sales adjustments	(22,355)	(15,795)	\$ (6,560)
Net revenue	\$ 1,182,509	\$ 1,107,351	\$ 75,158
Income from operations	\$ 52,134	\$ 46,043	\$ 6,091
Operating margin	4.4%	4.2%	

Consolidated gross revenue for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 increased by \$81.7 million, or 7.3%, compared to gross revenue for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 ( fiscal 2012 ). Sales adjustments as a percentage of gross revenue increased by 0.5% from 1.4% during fiscal 2012 to 1.9% during fiscal 2013. The increase in sales adjustments was primarily in our Human Services segment. Gross revenue increased \$57.2 million from organic growth, including growth related to new programs, and \$24.5 million from acquisitions that closed during and after fiscal 2012. The organic growth was partially offset by a reduction in revenue of \$3.6 million from businesses we divested during fiscal 2013.

Consolidated income from operations increased from \$46.0 million, or 4.2% of net revenue, in fiscal 2012 to \$52.1 million, or 4.4% of net revenue, in fiscal 2013. The increase in our operating margin was primarily due to expense leveraging and cost containment efforts in our direct labor costs and general and administrative expenses. The improvement in operating margin was partially offset by an increase in other costs of revenues. Client occupancy expense increased by \$10.4 million due to new programs and acquisitions that closed during and after fiscal 2012. Additionally, our health insurance expense and professional and general liability expense increased in fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012. Our health insurance expense increased approximately \$3.0 million in fiscal 2013 compared to fiscal 2012, \$2.4 million of which was included in cost of revenue. Professional and general liability expense increased by approximately \$1.4 million in fiscal 2013 compared to fiscal 2012 due to an increase in our tail reserve for professional and general liability claims.

***Revenues by segment***

The following table sets forth net revenue for the Human Services segment for the periods indicated (in thousands):



## Year ended September 30,

	2013	2012	Increase (Decrease)	Percentage Increase (Decrease)
I/DD gross revenue	\$ 768,362	\$ 719,180	\$ 49,182	6.8%
ARY gross revenue	223,037	214,405	8,632	4.0%
Total Human Services gross revenue	991,399	933,585	57,814	6.2%
Sales adjustments	(17,311)	(11,700)		
Sales adjustments as a percentage of gross revenue	(1.7)%	(1.3)%		
Total Human Services net revenue	\$ 974,088	\$ 921,885	\$ 52,203	5.7%

Human Services gross revenue for fiscal 2013 increased by \$57.8 million, or 6.2%, compared to fiscal 2012. The increase was driven by a 6.8% increase in I/DD gross revenue and 4.0% increase in ARY gross revenue. The increase in I/DD gross revenue included \$37.3 million from organic growth and \$11.9 million from acquisitions that closed during and after fiscal 2012. The increase from organic growth was driven by an increase in volume of 10.7% offset by a decrease in average billing rate of 5.5% during fiscal 2013 compared to fiscal 2012. The organic growth was partially offset by a reduction in revenue of \$3.6 million from businesses we divested during fiscal 2013. The increase of \$8.6 million in ARY gross revenue was derived from organic growth which was driven by a 4.0% increase in volume during fiscal 2013 compared to fiscal 2012.

**Table of Contents**

Sales adjustments increased by \$5.6 million in fiscal 2013 compared to fiscal 2012. Sales adjustments as a percentage of gross revenue increased by 0.4% to 1.7% during fiscal 2013. The increase was primarily due to increases in sales adjustment allowances in our Florida, North Carolina and Pennsylvania business units for the potential write-off of outstanding aged receivables that are in dispute with certain payors.

The following table sets forth net revenue for the SRS segment for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Year ended September 30,		Increase (Decrease)	Percentage Increase (Decrease)
	2013	2012		
SRS gross revenues	\$ 213,465	\$ 189,561	\$ 23,904	12.6%
Sales adjustments	(5,044)	(4,095)		
Sales adjustments as a percentage of revenue	(2.4)%	(2.2)%		
Total SRS net revenues	\$ 208,421	\$ 185,466	\$ 22,955	12.4%

The SRS segment's gross revenue for fiscal 2013 increased by \$23.9 million, or 12.6%, compared to fiscal 2012. The increase in gross revenue included \$11.0 million from organic growth and \$12.9 million from acquisitions that closed during and after fiscal 2012. The organic growth was driven by an increase in volume of 2.1% and an increase in average billing rate of 3.7% during fiscal 2013 compared to fiscal 2012.

**Cost of revenues by segment**

The following table sets forth cost of revenues for the Human Services segment for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Year ended September 30,		Amount	% of net revenue	Increase (Decrease)	Change in % of net revenue
	2013	2012				
Direct labor costs	\$ 632,456	64.9%	\$ 601,867	65.3%	\$ 30,589	(0.4)%
Client program costs	39,722	4.1%	38,658	4.2%	1,064	(0.1)%
Client occupancy costs	50,411	5.2%	44,365	4.8%	6,046	0.4%
Travel & transportation costs	26,288	2.7%	25,841	2.8%	447	(0.1)%
Other direct costs	18,353	1.9%	20,390	2.2%	(2,037)	(0.3)%
Total cost of revenues	\$ 767,230	78.8%	\$ 731,121	79.3%	\$ 36,109	(0.5)%

Human Services cost of revenue for fiscal 2013 increased by \$36.1 million, or 4.9%, compared to fiscal 2012. This increase was driven by \$30.6 million increase in direct labor costs and a \$6.0 million increase in client occupancy costs and was offset by a \$2.0 million decrease in other direct costs.

The increase in direct labor costs was primarily due to increased staffing in connection with new programs and acquisitions that closed during and after fiscal 2012. The decrease in direct labor costs as a percentage of net revenue during fiscal 2013 compared to fiscal 2012 was due to expense leveraging. The increases in client occupancy costs and in client occupancy costs as a percentage of net revenue were attributable to acquisitions and new programs with higher levels of open occupancy and increases in rent, utilities and repairs and maintenance expense related to our businesses. The decrease in other direct costs was primarily due to a reduction of \$1.5 million in the cash bonus provided to our direct care workers in fiscal 2013 compared to fiscal 2012.

The following table sets forth cost of revenues for the SRS segment for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Year ended September 30, 2013		2012		Increase (Decrease)	Change in % of net revenue
	Amount	% of net revenue	Amount	% of net revenue		
Direct labor cost	\$ 110,508	53.0%	\$ 93,412	50.4%	\$ 17,096	2.6%
Client program costs	14,486	7.0%	13,634	7.4%	852	(0.4)%
Client occupancy costs	22,662	10.9%	18,291	9.9%	4,371	1.0%
Travel & transportation costs	2,635	1.3%	2,383	1.3%	252	0.0%
Other direct costs	3,144	1.5%	2,935	1.6%	209	(0.1)%
Total cost of revenues	\$ 153,435	73.7%	\$ 130,655	70.6%	\$ 22,780	3.1%

**Table of Contents**

The SRS segment's cost of revenue for fiscal 2013 increased by \$22.8 million, or 17.4%, compared to fiscal 2012. This increase was driven by \$17.1 million increase in direct labor costs, and a \$4.4 million increase in client occupancy costs.

The increase in direct labor costs period to period and as a percentage of revenue was primarily due to increased staffing in connection with new programs and acquisitions and due to additional staff to facilitate higher quality and service. The increase in client occupancy expense was primarily attributable to acquisitions that have closed during and after fiscal 2012, and new starts. Client occupancy costs have also been affected by increases in rent, utilities and repairs and maintenance expense related to our existing businesses.

**Consolidated operating expenses**

General and administrative and depreciation and amortization expense were as follows (in thousands):

	Year ended September 30, 2013		2012		Increase (Decrease)	Change in % of net revenue
	Amount	% of net revenue	Amount	% of net revenue		
General and administrative	\$ 145,184	12.3%	\$ 139,630	12.6%	\$ 5,554	(0.3)%
Depreciation and amortization	63,573	5.4%	59,987	5.4%	3,586	0.0%
<b>Total operating expense</b>	<b>\$ 208,757</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>\$ 199,617</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>\$ 9,140</b>	<b>(0.3)%</b>

General and administrative expenses for fiscal 2013 increased by \$5.6 million, or 4.0%, as compared to fiscal 2012 due to increases in professional service fees and administrative staffing costs. Additionally, we wrote off goodwill related underperforming programs within the Human Services segment which were closed in fiscal 2013. The total impairment charge for goodwill that was included in general and administrative expense was \$1.3 million.

Depreciation and amortization expense for fiscal 2013 increased \$3.6 million, or 6.0%, as compared to fiscal 2012 but remained consistent as a percent of net revenue at 5.4%. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense was primarily due to an increase in leasehold improvements to our properties and the acquisition of amortizable assets. Partially offsetting this increase was a decrease in depreciation and amortization expense as certain assets became fully depreciated. Additionally, we wrote off \$1.0 million of intangible assets related to underperforming programs within the Human Services segment which were closed during fiscal 2013.

**Non-operating (income) expense**

*Management fee of related party:* Management fee has remained consistent during fiscal 2013 compared to fiscal 2012.

*Other income, net:* Other income, net which primarily consists of mark to market adjustments of the cash surrender value of Company owned life insurance policies, increased from zero in fiscal 2012 to \$0.9 million in fiscal 2013.

*Interest Income:* Interest income decreased to \$0.1 million in fiscal 2013 from \$0.3 million in fiscal 2012. Interest income is derived from interest earned on interest bearing bank accounts.

*Interest Expense:* Interest expense for fiscal 2013 decreased \$1.4 million to \$78.1 million as compared to fiscal 2012. The decrease is due to the lower interest rate we pay on our borrowings under the prior senior secured credit facilities as a result of the amendment to our prior senior credit agreement during fiscal 2013.

***Benefit for income taxes***

For fiscal 2013, our effective income tax rate was 37.9% compared to an effective tax rate of 57.8% for fiscal 2012. These rates differ from the federal statutory income tax rate primarily due to nondeductible permanent differences such as meals and nondeductible compensation, and net operating losses not benefited. In addition, our effective tax rate for fiscal 2012 was impacted by a \$5.6 million reduction in our reserve for uncertain income tax positions, including interest and penalties, as a result of favorable settlement of an audit.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Loss from discontinued operations***

Loss from discontinued operations net of taxes for fiscal 2013 was \$2.0 million as compared to a gain of \$0.2 million for fiscal 2012. During the second quarter of fiscal 2013, we adopted a plan to sell certain Human Services operations in the State of Rhode Island and completed the sale in the third quarter of fiscal 2013. Additionally, we closed certain Human Services operations in the Commonwealth of Virginia during the second quarter of fiscal 2013. We recorded a total impairment charge of \$4.1 million to write off the related intangible assets. The impairment charge and operations of these businesses including the expenses to close these operations are included in discontinued operations.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our principal uses of cash are to meet working capital requirements, fund debt obligations and finance capital expenditures and acquisitions. Cash flows from operations have historically been sufficient to meet these cash requirements. Our principal sources of funds are cash flows from operating activities, cash on hand and available borrowings under our senior revolver (as defined below), and sale of equity securities.

### ***Operating activities***

Cash flows provided by operating activities were \$83.9 million, \$55.7 million and \$29.3 million for fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in cash provided by operating activities in 2014 is primarily attributable to the management of working capital items. The increase in cash provided by operating activities in 2013 and 2014 is also attributable to the decrease in our days sales outstanding. Our days sales outstanding decreased from 47 days to 43 days at September 30, 2014 as compared to September 30, 2013 and from 52 days to 47 days at September 30, 2013 as compared to September 30, 2012. This is primarily due to efficiencies resulting from the centralization of certain billing and accounts receivable functions as well as the process improvement of our billing and collections process and review of aged receivables.

### ***Investing activities***

Net cash used in investing activities was \$88.9 million, \$39.4 million and \$42.7 million for fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Cash paid for acquisitions was \$53.7 million, \$9.3 million and \$16.5 million for fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We acquired eleven, three and seven companies in each of fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Cash paid for property and equipment for fiscal 2014 was \$35.3 million, or 2.8% of net revenue, compared to \$31.9 million, or 2.7% of net revenue for fiscal 2013, and \$30.0 million or 2.7% of net revenue for fiscal 2012. During fiscal 2012, we sold certain real estate assets for total cash proceeds of \$2.8 million, which we subsequently leased back.

### ***Financing activities***

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$181.7 million, \$3.0 million and \$13.1 million for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in cash provided by financing activities in fiscal 2014 is primarily due to the \$182.2 million in proceeds as a result of the September 2014 IPO. On October 17, 2014, \$172.1 million of these proceeds was used to repay principal and the associated call premium on the senior notes.

Our principal sources of funds are cash flows from operating activities, cash on hand and available borrowings under our senior revolver, and sale of equity securities. During fiscal 2013, we borrowed an aggregate of \$469.4 million under our senior revolver and repaid \$488.4 million during the same period. During fiscal 2014, we borrowed an aggregate of \$9.3 million under our senior revolver and repaid \$9.3 million during the same period. At September 30, 2014, we had no outstanding borrowings and \$100.0 million of availability under the senior revolver. Letters of credit can be issued under our institutional letter of credit facility up to the \$50.0 million limit and letters of credit in excess of that amount reduce availability under our senior revolver. Subject to the debt incurrence limitations imposed by the indenture governing the senior notes, we may draw on the revolver during fiscal 2015 and we believe that available funds will provide sufficient liquidity and capital resources to meet our financial obligations for the next twelve months, including scheduled principal and interest payments, as well as to provide funds for working capital, acquisitions, capital expenditures and other needs. No assurance can be given, however, that this will be the case.

**Table of Contents**

On January 31, 2014, we replaced the prior senior secured credit facilities with new senior secured credit facilities consisting of a term loan facility and a senior revolver. The new term loan facility has a seven-year maturity and the new senior revolver has a five-year maturity; provided that if the senior notes are not refinanced in full on or prior to the date that is three months prior to February 15, 2018, such maturity will spring forward to November 15, 2017. We also redeemed \$38.0 million aggregate principal amount of the senior notes on February 26, 2014. If these refinancing transactions had occurred at the beginning of fiscal 2013, they would have reduced our interest expense by approximately \$11.6 million, based on (i) a reduction in the applicable margin and LIBOR floor used to calculate interest rates under the senior secured credit facilities, partially offset by the increase in the principal amount outstanding under the term loan, and (ii) the elimination of interest expense on the \$38.0 million principal amount of our senior notes that were redeemed. Assuming an effective tax rate of 40%, this reduction in interest expense would have reduced our fiscal 2013 net loss by approximately \$7.0 million. See Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein for further information about our senior secured credit facilities.

On September 22, 2014, the Company completed an initial public offering resulting in net proceeds of \$182.2 million after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions, and offering expenses. The net proceeds received from our initial public offering were used to pay a one-time transaction advisory fee to of \$8.0 million and to redeem \$162.0 million in aggregate principle amount of senior notes issued by NMHI at a redemption price of 106.25%. The redemption of the senior notes occurred on October 17, 2014, and as of that date, \$50.0 million in principal amount of senior notes remain.

During fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, NMH Investment repurchased equity units from employees upon or after their departures from the Company for \$110 thousand, \$39 thousand and \$75 thousand, respectively. We accounted for these repurchases as dividends of \$110 thousand, \$39 thousand and \$75 thousand, respectively, to NMH Investment which used the proceeds to fund the repurchases.

**Debt and Financing Arrangements*****Senior Secured Credit Facilities***

On January 31, 2014, NMHI and NMH Holdings, LLC entered into a new senior credit agreement (the senior credit agreement) with Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent, and the other agents and lenders named therein, for the new senior secured credit facilities (the senior secured credit facilities), consisting of a \$600.0 million term loan facility (the term loan facility), of which \$50.0 million was deposited in a cash collateral account in support of the issuance of letters of credit under an institutional letter of credit facility (the institutional letter of credit facility), and a \$100.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the senior revolver). On October 21, 2014, NMHI increased the revolving commitment under the senior revolver by \$20.0 million, on terms identical to those applicable to the existing senior revolver. The aggregate amount of the revolving commitment under the senior revolver is now \$120.0 million. The term loan facility has a seven-year maturity and the senior revolver has a five-year maturity; provided, that if the senior notes are not refinanced in full on or prior to the date that is three months prior to February 15, 2018, such maturity dates shall spring forward to November 15, 2017. The senior credit agreement provides that we may make one or more offers to the lenders, and consummate transactions with individual lenders that accept the terms contained in such offers, to extend the maturity date of the lender's term loans and/or revolving commitments, subject to certain conditions, and any extended term loans or revolving commitments will constitute a separate class of term loans or revolving commitments.

All of the obligations under the senior secured credit facilities are guaranteed by NMH Holdings, LLC and the subsidiary guarantors named therein (the Subsidiary Guarantors). Pursuant to the Guarantee and Security Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2014 (the guarantee and security agreement), among NMH Holdings, LLC, as parent



guarantor, NMHI, certain of its subsidiaries, as subsidiary guarantors, and Barclays Bank, PLC, as administrative agent, subject to certain exceptions, the obligations under the senior secured credit facilities are secured by a pledge of 100% of NMHI's capital stock and the capital stock of domestic subsidiaries owned by NMHI and any other domestic Subsidiary Guarantor and 65% of the capital stock of any first tier foreign subsidiaries and a security interest in substantially all of NMHI's tangible and intangible assets and the tangible and intangible assets of NMH Holdings, LLC and each Subsidiary Guarantor.

The senior revolver includes borrowing capacity available for letters of credit and for borrowings on same-day notice, referred to as the swingline loans. Any issuance of letters of credit or borrowing on a swingline loan will reduce the amount available under the senior revolver. As of September 30, 2014, we had no borrowings under the senior revolver and \$44.3 million of letters of credit issued under the institutional letter of credit facility.

At our option, we may add one or more new term loan facilities or increase the commitments under the senior revolver (collectively, the incremental borrowings) in an aggregate amount of up to \$125.0 million plus any additional amounts so long as certain conditions, including a consolidated first lien leverage ratio (as defined in the senior credit agreement) of not more than 4.50 to 1.00 on a pro forma basis, are satisfied. In addition, the covenants in the indenture governing the senior notes effectively limit the amount of incremental borrowings that we may incur based on a consolidated leverage ratio (as defined in the indenture) of not more than 6.00 to 1.00 on a pro forma basis.

---

**Table of Contents**

Borrowings under the senior secured credit facilities bear interest, at our option, at: (i) an ABR rate equal to the greater of (a) the prime rate of Barclays Bank PLC, (b) the federal funds rate plus 1/2 of 1.0%, and (c) the Eurodollar rate for an interest period of one-month beginning on such day plus 100 basis points, plus 2.75% (provided that the ABR rate applicable to the term loan facility will not be less than 2.00% per annum); or (ii) the Eurodollar rate (provided that the Eurodollar rate applicable to the term loan facility will not be less than 1.00% per annum), plus 3.75%. The applicable margin will decrease by 0.50% per annum if our consolidated leverage ratio is less than or equal to 5.00 to 1.00. This decrease will become effective as of the first business day immediately following the first date on which NMHI delivers a quarterly compliance certificate setting forth such calculation. NMHI is also required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the senior revolver at an initial rate of 0.50% of the average daily unutilized commitments thereunder. NMHI must also pay customary letter of credit fees.

The senior credit agreement requires us to make mandatory prepayments, subject to certain exceptions, with: (i) beginning in fiscal year 2015, 50% (which percentage will be reduced upon its achievement of certain first lien leverage ratios) of our annual excess cash flow; (ii) 100% of net cash proceeds of all non-ordinary course assets sales or other dispositions of property, subject to certain exceptions and thresholds; and (iii) 100% of the net cash proceeds of any debt incurrence, other than debt permitted under the senior credit agreement. Excess cash flow is defined in our senior credit agreement as (A) the sum of (i) consolidated net income (as defined in the senior credit agreement), plus (ii) the net decrease in working capital, plus (iii) noncash charges previously deducted from consolidated net income, plus (iv) non-cash losses from assets sales, minus (B) the sum of (i) certain amortization and other mandatory prepayment of indebtedness, plus (ii) unfinanced capital expenditures plus (iii) the cash portion of permitted investments plus (iv) noncash gains previously including in consolidated net income, plus (v) the net increase in working capital, plus (vi) certain cash payments of long-term liabilities, plus (vii) cash restricted payments, plus (viii) cash expenditures not expensed during such period, plus (ix) penalties paid in connection with the repayment of indebtedness, plus (x) certain cash distributions from the SRS business, plus (xi) aggregate unfinanced portion of contract consideration for acquisition or capital expenditures to be consummated, plus (xii) aggregate amount of cash amounts received in such period but excluded from consolidated net income, plus (xiii) certain cash payments in respect of earnout obligations, plus (xiv) certain voluntary prepayments of indebtedness, plus (xv) certain cash payments of non-cash charges added back in a prior period, plus (xvi) all charges or expenses incurred in such period but excluded from consolidated net income. NMHI is required to repay the term loan facility portion of the senior secured credit facilities in quarterly principal installments of 0.25% of the principal amount commencing on June 30, 2014, with the balance payable at maturity. The senior credit agreement permits NMHI to offer to its lenders newly issued notes in exchange for their term loans in one or more permitted debt exchange offers, subject to the conditions set forth in the senior credit agreement.

**Senior Notes**

On February 9, 2011, NMHI issued \$250.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior notes at a price equal to 97.7% of their face value. The senior notes mature on February 15, 2018 and bear interest at a rate of 12.50% per annum, payable semi-annually on February 15 and August 15 of each year, beginning on August 15, 2011. The senior notes are unsecured obligations of NMHI and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by certain of its existing subsidiaries.

On February 26, 2014, NMHI redeemed \$38.0 million aggregate principal amount of the outstanding principal amount of the senior notes, in accordance with the provisions of the indenture governing them. The redemption price of the senior notes was 106.250% of the principal amount redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but not including, the redemption date.

On October 17, 2014, NMHI redeemed an additional \$162.0 million in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding senior notes using proceeds of the IPO of Civitas Solutions Inc. at a redemption price of 106.25% plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to, but not including, the date of redemption. After giving effect to that redemption, \$50.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior notes remains outstanding.

### **Covenants**

The senior credit agreement and the indenture governing the senior notes contain negative financial and non-financial covenants, including, among other things, limitations on the ability of NMHI and its restricted subsidiaries to incur additional debt, create liens on assets, transfer or sell assets, pay dividends, redeem stock or make other distributions or investments, and engage in certain transactions with affiliates. NMHI is in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2014.

In addition, the senior credit agreement contains a springing financial covenant. If, at the end of any fiscal quarter, our usage of the senior revolver exceeds 30% of the commitments thereunder, we are required to maintain at the end of each such fiscal quarter a consolidated first lien leverage ratio of not more than 5.50 to 1.00. This consolidated first lien leverage ratio will step down to 5.00 to 1.00 commencing with the fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2017. The springing financial covenant was not in effect as of September 30, 2014 as our usage of the senior revolver did not exceed the threshold for that quarter.

**Table of Contents**

The senior credit agreement also contains a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, NMHI's ability and the ability of its subsidiaries to: (i) incur additional indebtedness; (ii) create liens on assets; (iii) engage in mergers or consolidations; (iv) sell assets; (v) pay dividends and distributions or repurchase our capital stock; (vi) enter into swap transactions; (vii) make investments, loans or advances; (viii) repay certain junior indebtedness; (ix) engage in certain transactions with affiliates; (x) enter into sale and leaseback transactions; (xi) amend material agreements governing certain of our junior indebtedness; (xii) change our lines of business; (xiii) make certain acquisitions; and (xiv) limitations on the letter of credit cash collateral account. If NMHI withdraws any of the \$50.0 million from the cash collateral account supporting the issuance of letters of credit, NMHI must use the cash to either prepay the term loan facility or to secure any other obligations under the senior secured credit facilities in a manner reasonably satisfactory to the administrative agent. The senior credit agreement contains customary affirmative covenants and events of default.

**Contractual Commitments Summary**

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations and commitments as of September 30, 2014:

	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
	(In thousands)				
Long-term debt obligations (1)	\$ 1,011,136	\$ 206,380	\$ 80,997	\$ 120,388	\$ 603,371
Operating lease obligations (2)	208,411	52,748	78,397	43,757	33,509
Capital lease obligations	6,509	451	1,046	1,282	3,730
Purchase obligations (3)	11,230	4,699	6,100	431	
Standby letters of credit	44,287	44,287			
<b>Total obligations and commitments</b>	<b>\$ 1,281,573</b>	<b>\$ 308,565</b>	<b>\$ 166,540</b>	<b>\$ 165,858</b>	<b>\$ 640,610</b>

- (1) Represents the principal amount of our long-term debt and the expected cash payments for interest on our long-term debt based on the interest rates in place and amounts outstanding at September 30, 2014. The maturity of the term loan principal and interest, which is due in quarterly installments through January 31, 2021, is subject to acceleration to November 15, 2017. See Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein for further information about our senior secured credit facilities.
- (2) Includes the fixed rent payable under the leases and does not include additional amounts, such as taxes, that may be payable under the leases.
- (3) Represents purchase obligations related to information technology services and maintenance contracts.

**Inflation**

We do not believe that general inflation in the U.S. economy has had a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We do not have any off-balance sheet transactions or interests.

## **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

We believe our application of accounting policies, and the estimates inherently required therein, are reasonable. These accounting policies and estimates are constantly reevaluated, and adjustments are made when facts and circumstances dictate a change.

As of September 30, 2014, there has been no material change in our accounting policies or the underlying assumptions or methodology used to fairly present our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods covered by this report. In addition, no triggering events have come to our attention pursuant to our review of goodwill that would indicate impairment as of September 30, 2014.

---

**Table of Contents**

The following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements.

***Revenue Recognition***

Revenue is reported net of allowances (discussed below) and state provider taxes. Revenue is recognized when evidence of an arrangement exists, the service has been provided, the price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

Generally, we recognize revenue for services provided to our clients when earned. Our services fall into two general categories: residential and non-residential. In residential services, we are providing a living environment, usually a community residence, to a client and providing care on a 24-hour basis. Non-residential services are provided to a client on an hourly (or other unit of time) basis for therapy, community support or in our day program centers. Revenues for residential services are recognized for the number of days in the accounting period that the client is in our service. Periodic service revenue is recognized when the related services are performed.

In addition, we operate under four distinct types of contracting arrangements with our payors:

**Negotiated Contracts.** For these contracts, services are priced pursuant to a plan of care for the client which encompasses habilitation and therapies. Such contracts are not subject to retroactive adjustment or cost reimbursement requirements. However, we may petition for a change in rate based upon a change in circumstances with a particular client or in situations where additional services are needed. For these contracts, we recognize revenue at the negotiated rate when earned. Subsequent adjustments to rates, if any, are recognized when approved by the payor. For fiscal years ended 2012, 2013 and 2014, 40.8%, 40.4% and 32.7%, respectively, of our revenues were earned from contracts that fall into this category.

**Fixed Fee Contracts.** For these contracts, payors set a standard rate or set of rates for a particular service usually dependent on the acuity of the client. These rates are the same for all agencies providing the service. For these contract types, there is generally no cost report required or if a cost report is required it is used for informational purposes only. For these contracts, we recognize revenue at the standard rate as earned. For fiscal years ended 2012, 2013 and 2014, 39.6%, 40.1% and 46.5%, respectively, of our revenues were earned from contracts that fall into this category.

**Retrospective reimbursement contracts.** For these contracts, a provisional rate is set for the year pending the filing of an annual cost report that may further adjust that rate. Cost reimbursement rules differ by jurisdiction and program type but generally include direct costs, indirect costs, depreciation, interest, overhead allocations with interest, overhead and profit usually subject to limitation. Should the cost report indicate that allowable rate is lower than what has been billed, we record a liability and these funds are adjusted back or refunded to the state payor at some time in the future. For these contracts we prepare an analysis quarterly to determine if any of the revenue that has been billed and recognized should be deferred and if so record that portion as a current liability. In a subsequent quarter, allowable costs may increase which would result in a reversal of the liability but if this condition persists through the end of the statutory annual period, we would refund the unallowed portion of the revenue to the state and offset the liability. For fiscal years ended 2012, 2013 and 2014, 10.1%, 10.7% and 11.7%, respectively, of our revenues were earned

from contracts that fall into this category.

Prospective payment contracts. These contracts are cost reported in the same way as retrospective contracts, except the cost report for the annual period is used to set the rates in a future period. For these contracts, changes in rates are recognized in revenue prospectively. For fiscal years ended 2012, 2013 and 2014, 9.5%, 8.8% and 9.1%, respectively, of our revenues were earned from contracts that fall into this category.

All four types of contracting arrangements are subject to audit by the payor and may be subject to recoupments of revenue if in performing our services we have not adhered to the terms of the contract, or not documented our services as specified by the payor. For fiscal years ended 2012, 2013 and 2014 liabilities to payors for cost based contracts were \$5.4 million, \$3.6 million and \$3.7 million, respectively.

### ***Accounts Receivable and Reserves***

Accounts receivable primarily consists of amounts due from state payors, not-for-profit providers and commercial insurance companies. Generally there is no bad debt risk with government payors or insurance companies. However, allowances are still required for disallowances of revenue that have not been billed timely or if authorizations to deliver services have been exceeded. In addition, there are numerous retroactive adjustments to revenue in cases where rates are adjusted by payors, often after an assessment of our client that takes effect retroactively. We record the following reserves against revenue:

Sales Adjustment Allowance. The types of sales adjustments that we record typically involves rate adjustments due to billing errors or an agreed upon settlement of rate or level of services disputes with the payors. Sales adjustments expense is recorded against

---

**Table of Contents**

revenue in accordance with industry practice. We estimate the sales adjustment allowance based on historical sales adjustments experience. In addition, we also regularly evaluate our accounts receivable, especially receivables that are past due, and reassess our sales adjustment allowance based on specific payor collection issues and may record a specific addition to our sales adjustment allowance to reduce the net recognized receivable to the amount we reasonably expect to collect. As of September 30, 2012, 2013 and 2014, our sales adjustment allowance was \$9.3 million, \$12.5 million and \$11.5 million, respectively.

**Non Authorized Sales Allowance.** Our clients are generally long-term recipients of our services and require continuous care. The majority of the services are paid for through state Medicaid programs. When we enter into an arrangement to provide services to a client, we obtain an authorization to provide services for a specified period of time such as a six months or one year. When an authorization expires we generally do not discontinue providing service to our clients and in some cases are prevented from doing so legally. Therefore, it is not uncommon for us to serve a client without a current authorization. In this situation we determine whether a non-authorized sales allowance is required by whether the lapse in authorization is within the documented customary business practice period that we have established for that particular payor. Once the lapse in authorization extends beyond the normal period for that payor, we adjust the non-authorized sales allowance to reserve the revenue. As of September 30, 2012, 2013 and 2014, our non-authorized sales allowance was \$1.7 million, \$1.8 million and \$2.3 million, respectively.

***Goodwill and Indefinite-lived Intangible Assets***

We review goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually, as of July 1st, or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of these assets may not be recoverable to determine whether any impairment exists, and, if so, the extent of such impairment. Our goodwill balance represents the difference between the purchase price of acquired businesses and the fair value of the identifiable tangible and intangible net assets when accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. We test goodwill at the reporting unit level, which is the same level as our operating segments. We have the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether further impairment testing is necessary. We have elected to bypass the qualitative assessments and proceed directly to the two-step impairment test. The first step is to compare the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying value. We estimated the fair value of each of our reporting units using the income approach. The income approach is based on a discounted cash flow analysis and calculates the fair value of a reporting unit by estimating the after tax cash flows attributable to a reporting unit and then discounting them to a present value using a risk-adjusted discount rate. In our discounted cash flow analysis, we forecasted cash flows by reporting unit for each of the next seven years and applied a long term growth rate to the final years of the forecasted cash flows to estimate terminal value. The cash flows were then discounted to a present value using a risk-adjusted discount rate. The discount rates, which are intended to reflect the risks inherent in future cash flow projections used in the discounted cash flow analysis are based on estimates of the weighted average costs of capital of market participants relative to each respective reporting unit. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value, we are required to perform the second step, or Step 2, of the goodwill impairment test to measure the amount of impairment loss. Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying value of that goodwill in order to determine the amount of impairment to be recognized. The implied fair value of goodwill is calculated as the difference between the fair value of the reporting unit and the estimated fair value of its assets and liabilities.

Our indefinite lived intangible assets primarily consist of trade names acquired in business combinations. For these assets, we have the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether further impairment testing is necessary. The Company has elected to bypass the qualitative assessments and proceed directly to the quantitative impairment test. The impairment test for indefinite-lived intangible assets requires the determination of the fair value of the intangible asset. If the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying value, an



impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to the difference. Fair values are established using the relief from royalty method.

The estimated fair values of our reporting units and indefinite lived intangibles are based on discounted estimated future cash flows. The discounted cash flow analysis requires significant judgment, including judgments about the appropriate discount rates and terminal values, future growth, capital expenditures and market conditions over the estimated remaining operating period. As such, actual results may differ from these estimates and lead to a revaluation of our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets. If updated estimates indicate that the fair value of goodwill or any indefinite-lived intangibles is less than the carrying value of the asset, an impairment charge is recorded in the consolidated statements of operations in the period of the change in estimate.

We completed our annual impairment tests in the fourth quarter of 2014, 2013 and 2012 and determined in each of those periods that the carrying value of goodwill and indefinite-lived assets was not impaired. In fiscal 2014, the fair value of each of our reporting units was in excess of its carrying value and passed with a substantial margin, except for one reporting unit, whose fair value exceeded the carrying value by approximately \$13.2 million. For this reporting unit, we performed a sensitivity analysis on our significant assumptions and determined that none of (i) a 10% reduction in projected free cash flows, (ii) a 50 basis point increase in the weighted average cost of capital or (iii) a 50 basis point reduction in terminal growth rate, individually, which we determined to be reasonable, would impact our conclusions.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Impairment of Long-Lived Assets***

Intangible assets with finite lives consist of agency contracts, acquired licenses and permits and non-competition agreements and are valued according to the future cash flows they are estimated to produce. Tangible assets with finite lives consist of property and equipment, which are depreciated over their estimate useful lives. We review these long-lived assets for impairment by continually evaluating whether circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable based on the undiscounted future cash flows of the asset. If the carrying amount of the asset is determined not to be recoverable, a write-down to fair value is recorded.

### ***Income Taxes***

We account for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined by multiplying the differences between the financial reporting and tax reporting bases for assets and liabilities by the enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when such differences are recovered or settled. These deferred tax assets and liabilities are separated into current and long-term amounts based on the classification of the related assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and netted by jurisdiction. Valuation allowances on deferred tax assets are estimated based on our assessment of the realizability of such amounts.

The ultimate recovery of certain of our deferred tax assets is dependent on the amount and timing of taxable income we will ultimately generate in the future, as well as other factors. A high degree of judgment is required to determine the extent a valuation allowance should be provided against deferred tax assets. On a quarterly basis, we assess the likelihood of realization of our deferred tax assets considering all available evidence, both positive and negative. Our operating performance in recent years, the scheduled reversal of temporary differences, our forecast of taxable income in future periods in each applicable tax jurisdiction, our ability to sustain a core level of earnings, and the availability of prudent tax planning strategies are important considerations in our assessment. Our forecast of future earnings includes assumptions about service volumes, payor reimbursement, labor costs, operating expenses, and interest expense. Based on the weight of available evidence, we determine if it is more likely than not our deferred tax assets will be realized in the future.

We also recognize the benefits of tax positions when certain criteria are satisfied. Companies may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. We recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of income tax expense which is consistent with the recognition of these items in prior reporting periods.

### ***Stock-Based Compensation***

NMH Investment, adopted an equity-based compensation plan, and issued units of limited liability company interests consisting of Class B Common Units, Class C Common Units, Class D Common Units, Class E Common Units, Class F Common Units, Class G Common Units, and Class H Common Units pursuant to such plan. The units are limited liability company interests and are available for issuance to our employees and members of the Board of Directors for incentive purposes. Compensation expense is recorded for these awards based on the estimated fair value on the grant date. Compensation expense reflects an estimate of the number of awards expected to vest and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period or at the time it is probable that certain performance conditions will be met.

For purposes of determining the estimated fair value of these grants, management values the business enterprise using a variety of widely accepted valuation techniques which considered a number of factors such as our financial performance, the values of comparable companies and the lack of marketability of our equity. We then use the option pricing method to determine the fair value of these units at the time of grant. Significant assumptions include the expected term in which the units will be realized; a risk-free interest rate equal to the U.S. federal treasury bond rate consistent with the term assumption; expected dividend yield, for which there is none; and expected volatility based on the historical data of equity instruments of comparable companies. For Class B Common Units, Class C Common Units, Class D Common Units, Class E Common Units and Class F Common Units, the estimated fair value of the units, less an assumed forfeiture rate, is recognized in expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards. We use the historical forfeiture patterns to determine the forfeiture rate. The Class G Common Units and Class H Common Units vest upon a liquidity event and/or upon the occurrence of certain investment return conditions. We regularly assess the probability of these events occurring. At the time these events are determined to be probable, compensation expense will then be recognized in its entirety. During fiscal 2014, the Class G Units fully vested as a result of the completion of the IPO on September 22, 2014. As a result, the company recognized \$0.6 million of expense in fiscal 2014.

---

**Table of Contents**

In connection with the IPO, we amended the terms of the Class H Common Units so that they vest upon the earlier to occur of a sale of the Company and the achievement of a multiple of investment return threshold by Vestar and its affiliates. However, the employment agreements for the executive officers and two other individuals provide that (i) in the event that such individual's employment is terminated due to such individual's death or disability or (ii) the individual's employment is terminated six months prior to or within 24 months after a sale of the Company, such individual's unvested Class F Common Units and Class H Common Units will vest. Once vested, the holders of Class H Units are entitled to receive between 0.0% and 5.0% of the common equity value distributed by NMH Investment to its unit holders depending upon the multiple of investment achieved by Vestar and its affiliates. At the time when the vesting of these awards becomes probable the fair value of the awards as determined on the modification date, or \$7.5 million will be expensed. Although this non-cash expense would impact our financial results including per share results in the event vesting becomes probable, it would not impact the number of shares outstanding as it would only reflect a reallocation of economic value among Vestar and the management investors in NMH Investment. As of September 30, 2014, no expense has been recognized for these units.

In fiscal 2014, the Company, adopted an equity-based compensation plan and issued stock-based awards including non-qualified stock options and restricted stock units. The Company recognizes the fair value of stock-based compensation expense over the requisite service period of the individual grantee, which equals the vesting period. The Company is required to estimate future forfeitures of stock-based awards for recognition of compensation expense. The Company will record additional expense if the actual forfeitures are lower than estimated and will record a recovery of prior recognized expense if the actual forfeitures are higher than estimated. The actual expense recognized over the vesting period will only be for those awards that vest.

The fair value of each award granted was estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Significant assumptions include the expected term in which the awards will be realized; a risk-free interest rate equal to the U.S. federal treasury bond rate consistent with the term assumption; expected dividend yield, for which there is none; and expected volatility. The Company has estimated volatility for the awards granted based on the historical volatility for a group of companies believed to be a representative peer group, selected based on industry and market capitalization, due to lack of sufficient historical publicly traded prices of our own common stock. The fair value of the stock options and restricted stock awards on the date of grant, less an assumed forfeiture rate of 9.3% for employee grants, will be recognized as expense in the Company's consolidated financial statements on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards. The Company does not have a history with these types of awards, and as such, the historical forfeiture rate of the Unit Plan was used as the basis for determining the assumed forfeiture rate. The Company has not applied a forfeiture rate to the restricted awards granted to the Board of Directors as the awards vest 100% on the first anniversary of the grant date.

***Derivative Financial Instruments***

We report derivative financial instruments on the balance sheet at fair value and establish criteria for designation and effectiveness of hedging relationships. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded each period in current operations or in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss) depending upon whether the derivative is designated as part of a hedge transaction and, if it is, the type of hedge transaction.

We, from time to time, enter into interest rate swap agreements to hedge against variability in cash flows resulting from fluctuations in the benchmark interest rate, which is LIBOR, on our debt. These agreements involve the exchange of variable interest rates for fixed interest rates over the life of the swap agreement without an exchange of the notional amount upon which the payments are based. On a quarterly basis, the differential to be received or paid as interest rates change is accrued and recognized as an adjustment to interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. In addition, on a quarterly basis the mark to market valuation is recorded as an adjustment to

gain (loss) on derivative within the consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss). The related amount receivable from or payable to counterparties is included as an asset or liability, respectively, in our consolidated balance sheets.

The fair value of the swap arrangements are determined based on pricing models and independent formulas using current assumptions that include swap terms, interest rates and forward LIBOR curves and our credit risk. As of September 30, 2014, the swap arrangements were expired.

***Accruals for Self-Insurance***

We maintain employment practices liability, professional and general liability, workers' compensation, automobile liability and health insurance with policies that include self-insured retentions. Employment practices liability is fully self-insured. We record expenses related to claims on an incurred basis, which includes estimates of fully developed losses for both reported and unreported claims. The accruals for the health, workers' compensation, automobile, employment practices liability and professional and general liability programs are based on analyses performed internally by management and for certain balances, take into account reports by independent third party actuaries.

---

**Table of Contents**

We regularly analyze our reserves for incurred but not reported claims and for reported but not paid claims related to our self-insured retentions and fully self-insured programs. We believe our reserves are adequate. However, significant judgment is involved in assessing these reserves, such as assessing historical paid claims, average lags between the claims incurred date, reported dates and paid dates and the frequency and severity of claims. There may be differences between actual settlement amounts and recorded reserves and any resulting adjustments are included in expense once a probable amount is known. Any significant increase in the number of claims or costs associated with claims made under these programs above our reserves could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

***Legal Contingencies***

We are regularly involved in litigation and regulatory proceedings in the operation of our business. We reserve for costs related to contingencies when a loss is probable and the amount is reasonably estimable. While we believe our provision for legal contingencies is adequate, the outcome of our legal proceedings is difficult to predict, and we may settle legal claims or be subject to judgments for amounts that differ from our estimates. In addition, legal contingencies could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations in any given future reporting period. See Risk Factors and Business Legal Proceedings for additional information.

**Subsequent Events**

The Company redeemed \$162.0 million aggregate principal amount of the senior notes on October 17, 2014, and as of that date, \$50.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior notes remains outstanding.

On October 21, 2014, the Company increased the revolving commitment under the senior revolver by \$20.0 million, on terms identical to those applicable to the existing senior revolver. The aggregate amount of the revolving commitment under the senior revolver is now \$120.0 million.

On October 31, 2014, the Company acquired a company complementary to its Human Services business for aggregate consideration of \$4.5 million.

**Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk***

We are exposed to changes in interest rates as a result of our outstanding variable rate debt. The variable rate debt outstanding relates to the term loan and the senior revolver, which bears interest at: (i) a rate equal to the greater of (a) the prime rate, (b) the federal funds rate plus 1/2 of 1% and (c) the Eurodollar rate for an interest period of one-month beginning on such day plus 100 basis points plus 2.75%; or (ii) the Eurodollar rate (subject to a LIBOR floor of 1.00%), plus 3.75%, at our option. A 1% increase in the interest rate on our floating rate debt as of September 30, 2014 would have increased cash interest expense on the floating rate debt by approximately \$6.1 million per annum, without giving effect to the interest rate swap agreement discussed below.

To reduce the interest rate exposure related to our variable debt, we entered into an interest rate swap in a notional amount of \$400.0 million, effective on March 31, 2011. Under the terms of the swap, as amended on October 15, 2012, we receive from the counterparty a quarterly payment based on a rate equal to the greater of 3-month LIBOR and 1.25% per annum, and we make payments to the counterparty based on a fixed rate of 2.08% per annum, in each case on the notional amount of \$400.0 million, settled on a net payment basis. The swap expired on September 30, 2014. We intend to enter into a new interest rate swap or other hedging agreement, but the timing and type of instrument, notional amount and duration of any such instrument or arrangement have not yet been determined.

**Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data***

Our consolidated financial statements required by this Item are on pages F-1 through F-35 of this report.

**Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure***

Not applicable.

**Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures***

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

---

**Table of Contents**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the President (principal executive officer) and the Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer), to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. As of September 30, 2014, the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2014.

**(b) Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2014.

Management's evaluation did not include assessing the internal controls of 11 businesses that were acquired by us in purchase business combination transactions during fiscal 2014. The combined financial statements of these companies are included in our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, and represented 1.5% of our total assets as of September 30, 2014 and 1.6% of our net revenue for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014.

**(c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, we continued to evaluate the transition of certain field billing and accounts receivable functions into a centralized shared services center. This centralization is expected to continue in a phased approach over the next several years. As part of this centralization, we continue to refine and optimize our billing and accounts receivable process and related system in a majority of our operations. This has affected, and will continue to affect, the processes that impact our internal control over financial reporting. We will continue to review the related controls and may take additional steps to ensure that they remain effective.

Except for the continuing centralization and optimization of our billing and accounts receivable process and related system, as well as the centralization of certain general ledger functions, there were no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to an exemption for issuers that are not large accelerated filers nor accelerated filers set forth in



Section 989G of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

**Item 9B. *Other Information***

None.

**Table of Contents****PART III****Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

The response to this item will be included under the sections entitled *Proposal 1 - Election of Directors*, *Corporate Governance*, and *Stock Ownership - Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance* contained in the proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders to be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation**

The response to this item will be included under the sections entitled *Executive Compensation and Related Information* and *Corporate Governance* contained in the proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders to be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

The response to this item will be included under the sections entitled *Stock Ownership* and *Equity Compensation Plan Information* contained in the proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders to be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence**

The response to this item will be included under the sections entitled *Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions* and *Corporate Governance* contained in the proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders to be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services**

Aggregate fees for professional services rendered for us by Deloitte & Touche LLP and affiliates for the years ended September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, were:

	2014	2013
	(In thousands)	
Audit fees (1)	\$ 2,131	\$ 1,190
Tax fees (2)	34	33
<b>Total fees</b>	<b>\$ 2,165</b>	<b>\$ 1,223</b>

- (1) Audit fees consist of fees paid for professional services necessary to perform an audit of the financial statements, a review of the quarterly and annual reports and statutory audits, initial public offering-related services and other services required to be performed by our independent auditors.
- (2) Tax fees primarily include professional services rendered for tax services during the fiscal year indicated.

**Preapproval Policies and Procedures**

The Audit Committee has preapproved all related fees and services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP and affiliates.

**Table of Contents**

**PART IV**

**Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules**

**(a)(1) Financial Statements**

The following consolidated financial statements on pages F-1 through F-35 are filed as part of this report.

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2014 and 2013;

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012;

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012;

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012; and

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

(2) *Financial Statement Schedules*: The following schedule is filed herewith pursuant to the requirements of Regulation S-X.

**Schedule Number**

I

**Description**

Condensed Parent Company Financial Information

All other schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or not required, or because the required information is provided in our consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) *Exhibits*: The Exhibit Index is incorporated by reference herein.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Civitas Solutions, Inc.

By: /s/ Bruce F. Nardella  
Bruce F. Nardella

Its: Chief Executive Officer

Date: December 17, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below as of December 17, 2014 by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

<b>Signature</b>	<b>Title</b>
/s/ Bruce F. Nardella	Chief Executive Officer
Bruce F. Nardella	(principal executive officer) and Director
/s/ Denis M. Holler	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
Denis M. Holler	(principal financial officer and principal accounting officer)
/s/ Edward M. Murphy	Executive Chair and Director
Edward M. Murphy	
/s/ Chris A. Durbin	Director
Chris A. Durbin	
/s/ James L. Elrod, Jr.	Director
James L. Elrod, Jr.	
/s/ Patrick M. Gray	Director
Patrick M. Gray	
/s/ Pamela F. Lenehan	Director

Pamela F. Lenehan

/s/ Kevin A. Mundt

Director

Kevin A. Mundt

/s/ Guy Sansone

Director

Guy Sansone

/s/ Gregory T. Torres

Director

Gregory T. Torres

**Table of Contents****EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	
2.1 <del>¥</del>	Merger Agreement between National MENTOR Holdings, Inc., NMH Holdings, LLC, and NMH MergerSub Inc., dated as of March 22, 2006.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Form S-4 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-138362) filed on November 1, 2006
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Civitas Solutions, Inc.	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 3 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on August 27, 2014
3.2	Amended and Restated By-Laws of Civitas Solutions, Inc.	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 3 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on August 27, 2014
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 3 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on August 27, 2014
4.2	Indenture, dated as of February 9, 2011, among National Mentor Holdings, Inc., the subsidiary guarantors named therein, and Wells Fargo, National Association, as trustee.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2011 (the February 10, 2011 8-K )
4.3	Form of 12.50% Senior Note due 2018 (attached as exhibit to Exhibit 4.1).	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2
4.4	Supplemental Indenture of REM East, LLC dated August 14, 2012.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012
4.5	Supplemental Indenture of Illinois Mentor Community Services, LLC dated December 27, 2012	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of National Mentor

		Holdings, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2012
4.6	Supplemental Indenture of REM Occazio, LLC dated February 18, 2014.	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on May 27, 2014.
4.7	Supplemental Indenture of Adult Day Health, Inc. dated August 24, 2014	Filed herewith



**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	
10.1#	Credit Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2014, among NMH Holdings, LLC, as parent guarantor, National Mentor Holdings, Inc., as borrower, the several lenders from time to time party thereto, Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent, Goldman Sachs Bank USA, as syndication agent, Jefferies Finance LLC and UBS Securities LLC, as co documentation agents, and Barclays Bank PLC, Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Jefferies Finance LLC and UBS Securities LLC, as joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunners.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2014 (the March 2014 10-Q )
10.2	Guarantee and Security Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2014, among NMH Holdings, LLC, as parent guarantor, National Mentor Holdings, Inc., as borrower, certain subsidiaries of National Mentor Holdings, Inc., as subsidiary guarantors, and Barclays Bank, PLC, as administrative agent.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the March 2014 10-Q
10.3	Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Agreement dated September 8, 2014 among NHM Holdings, LLC, as parent guarantor, National Mentor Holdings, Inc. as borrower, certain subsidiaries of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. party thereto, as guarantors, the lender party thereto and Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent, swingline lender and issuing bank.	Filed herewith
10.4	Amendment No. 2 to the to the Credit Agreement dated as of October 21, 2014, among NHM Holdings, LLC, as parent guarantor, National Mentor Holdings, Inc. as borrower, certain subsidiaries of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. party thereto, as guarantors, the lender party thereto and Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent, swingline lender and issuing bank.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Form 8-K filed October 23, 2014
10.5	Management Agreement, dated as of February 9, 2011, among National Mentor Holdings, Inc., National Mentor Holdings, LLC, NMH Investment, LLC, Civitas Solutions, Inc., NMH Holdings, LLC and Vestar Capital Partners	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the February 10, 2011 8-K
10.6*	Form of Amended and Restated Severance and Noncompetition Agreement.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2008
10.7*	National Mentor Holdings, LLC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, Third Amendment and Restatement Adopted Effective as of December 4, 2009	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009 (the 2009 10- K )



**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	
10.8*	National Mentor Holdings, LLC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, Fourth Amendment and Restatement Adopted December 27, 2011, Effective as of January 1, 2011.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8.1 of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011
10.9*	National Mentor Holdings, LLC Executive Deferral Plan, Second Amendment and Restatement Adopted June 17, 2009 and Effective as of January 1, 2009.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of the 2009 10-K
10.10	NMH Investment, LLC Amended and Restated 2006 Unit Plan.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Form S-4/A Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-138362) filed on January 12, 2007 (the S-4/A )
10.11	Amendment to NMH Investment, LLC Amended and Restated 2006 Unit Plan.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008
10.12	Second Amendment to NMH Investment, LLC Amended and Restated 2006 Unit Plan.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011 (the March 2011 10-K )
10.13	Third Amendment to NMH Investment, LLC Amended and Restated 2006 Unit Plan.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012 (the June 2012 10-Q )
10.14	Form of Management Unit Subscription Agreement.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the S- 4/A
10.15	Form of Amendment to Management Unit Subscription Agreement	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the 2009 10-K
10.16	Form of Management Unit Subscription Agreement (Series 1 Class F Common Units).	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the March 2011 10-Q
10.17	Form of Management Unit Subscription Agreement (Class G Common Units).	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the June 2012 10-Q

Edgar Filing: Civitas Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-K

10.18	Form of Management Unit Subscription Agreement (Class H Common Units).	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the June 2012 10-Q
10.19	Form of Director Unit Subscription Agreement.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	
10.20	Form of Amendment to Director Unit Subscription Agreement.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of the 2009 10-K
10.21	Form of Amended and Restated Indemnification Agreement.*	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 3 to Form S-1 Registration (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on August 27, 2014
10.22	Termination of Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2014, by and between Gregory Torres and National Mentor Holdings, Inc.*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. Form 10-K filed December 18, 2013 (the 2013 10-K )
10.23	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2014 by and between Edward M. Murphy and National Mentor Holdings, Inc.*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 of the 2013 10-K
10.24	Employment Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2014, by and between Bruce F. Nardella and National Holdings, Inc.*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 of the 2013 10-K
10.25	The MENTOR Network Human Services and Corporate Management Incentive Compensation Plan, Fourth Amendment and Restatement dated December 16, 2013 and effective October 1, 2013.*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 of the 2013 10-K
10.26	Civitas Solutions, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan.*	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 3 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on August 27, 2014
10.27	Form of Management Unit Subscription Agreement (Series 2 Class F Common Units).	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on July 16, 2014.
10.28	Exhibit A to National Mentor Holdings, LLC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan effective as of January 1, 2014.*	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on July 16, 2014.
10.29	Exhibit A to National Mentor Holdings, LLC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan effective as of July 1, 2014.	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc.

Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1  
Registration Statement  
(Registration No. 333-196281)  
filed on July 16, 2014.

10.30 Form of Registration Rights Agreement by and between Civitas  
Solutions, Inc. and NMH Investment, LLC

Incorporated by reference to  
Civitas Solutions, Inc.  
Amendment No. 3 to Form S-1  
Registration (Registration No.  
333-196281) filed on  
August 27, 2014

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	
10.31	Amendment to Form of Management Unit Subscription Agreement (Class H Common Units)	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 4 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on September 3, 2014
10.32	Form of Seventh Amended and Restated Limited Liability Agreement by and among NMH Investment, LLC, Vestar Capital Partners V, L.P., Vestar/NMH Investors, LLC and the management and director investors party thereto	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 4 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on September 3, 2014
10.33	Form of Amended and Restated Securityholders Agreement by and among NMH Investment, LLC, Vestar Capital Partners V, L.P., Vestar/NMH Investors, LLC and the management and director investor party thereto	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 4 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on September 3, 2014
10.34	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement Under the Civitas Solutions, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 4 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on September 3, 2014
10.35	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement Under the Civitas Solutions, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 4 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on September 3, 2014
10.36	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement Under the Civitas Solutions, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 4 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on September 3, 2014
10.37	Form of Director Nominating Agreement by and between Civitas Solutions, Inc. and NMH Investment, LLC	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No.3 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on August 27, 2014
10.38		

Edgar Filing: Civitas Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-K

	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement by and between Bruce F. Nardella and Civitas Solutions, Inc.*	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 4 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on September 3, 2014
10.39	Third Amended and Restated Employment by and between Edward M. Murphy and Civitas Solutions, Inc.*	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 4 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on September 3, 2014
10.40	Form of Employment Agreement for executive officers other than Mr. Nardella and Mr. Murphy*	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 4 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on September 3, 2014
10.41	Form of NMH Investment, LLC Second Amended and Restated 2006 Unit Plan	Incorporated by reference to Civitas Solutions, Inc. Amendment No. 4 to Form S-1 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-196281) filed on September 3, 2014
10.42*	The MENTOR Network Human Services and Corporate Management Incentive Compensation Plan, Fifth Amendment and Restatement dated December 16, 2014 and effective as of October 1, 2014.	Filed herewith



**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	
21.1	Subsidiaries	Filed herewith
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	Filed herewith
31.1	Certification of principal executive officer	Filed herewith
31.2	Certification of principal financial officer	Filed herewith
32	Certifications furnished pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.	Filed herewith

\* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

¥ Schedules have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. The Company hereby undertakes to furnish supplemental copies of any of the omitted schedules upon request by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

# Indicates confidential portions have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment filed separately with SEC, which has been granted.

**Table of Contents**

**Civitas Solutions, Inc.**

**Consolidated Financial Statements**

**Contents**

<b>Consolidated Financial Statements for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012</b>	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	F-7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-8

**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Civitas Solutions, Inc.

Boston, Massachusetts

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Civitas Solutions, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company ) as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2014. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and the financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and the financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Civitas Solutions, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

*/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP*

Boston, Massachusetts

December 17, 2014

**Table of Contents****Civitas Solutions, Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 196,147	\$ 19,440
Restricted cash	1,944	807
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$11,491 and \$12,494 at September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively	141,378	144,954
Deferred tax assets, net	18,176	18,424
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	16,207	18,641
Total current assets	373,852	202,266
Property and equipment, net	159,486	153,635
Intangible assets, net	327,726	336,191
Goodwill	257,632	235,525
Restricted cash	50,000	50,000
Other assets	39,258	43,652
Total assets	\$ 1,207,954	\$ 1,021,269
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	22,350	26,568
Accrued payroll and related costs	84,176	65,340
Other accrued liabilities	49,320	45,066
Obligations under capital lease, current	451	430
Current portion of long-term debt	168,000	5,600
Total current liabilities	324,297	143,004
Other long-term liabilities	69,314	68,936
Deferred tax liabilities, net	57,552	69,816
Obligations under capital lease, less current portion	6,058	6,509
Long-term debt, less current portion	635,195	779,519
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 14, 15 and 16)		
Stockholders Equity (Deficit)		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 350,000,000 shares authorized; and 36,950,000 and 25,250,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively	370	253
Additional paid-in capital	272,943	90,072
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(1,880)

Edgar Filing: Civitas Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-K

Accumulated deficit	(157,775)	(134,960)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	115,538	(46,515)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 1,207,954	\$ 1,021,269

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

**Table of Contents****Civitas Solutions, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Operations**

(Amounts in thousands except share and per share amounts)

	Year Ended September 30,		
	2014	2013	2012
Net revenue	\$ 1,255,838	\$ 1,182,509	\$ 1,107,351
Cost of revenue (exclusive of depreciation expense shown separately below)	983,043	921,618	861,691
Operating expenses:			
General and administrative	145,041	145,184	139,630
Depreciation and amortization	67,488	63,573	59,987
Total operating expenses	212,529	208,757	199,617
Income from operations	60,266	52,134	46,043
Other income (expense):			
Management fee of related party	(9,488)	(1,359)	(1,325)
Other income, net	191	911	2
Extinguishment of debt	(14,699)		
Interest income	183	135	328
Interest expense	(69,349)	(78,075)	(79,445)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(32,896)	(26,254)	(34,397)
Benefit for income taxes	(11,463)	(9,942)	(19,883)
Loss from continuing operations	(21,433)	(16,312)	(14,514)
(Loss) gain from discontinued operations, net of tax expense (benefit) for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012 of (\$877), (\$1,260) and \$155	(1,382)	(1,984)	245
Net loss	\$ (22,815)	\$ (18,296)	\$ (14,269)
Loss per common share, basic and diluted			
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.84)	\$ (0.65)	\$ (0.57)
(Loss) gain from discontinued operations	(0.05)	(0.07)	
Net loss	\$ (0.89)	\$ (0.72)	\$ (0.57)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	25,538,493	25,250,000	25,250,000

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents****Civitas Solutions, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss****(Amounts in thousands)**

	<b>Year Ended September 30,</b>		
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Net loss	\$ (22,815)	\$ (18,296)	\$ (14,269)
Other comprehensive gain, net of tax:			
Unrealized gains on derivative instrument classified as cash flow hedge net of tax for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012 of \$310, \$1,027, and \$447	466	1,478	659
Reclassification adjustments for gains on derivative instruments included in net income, net of tax for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 of \$942.	1,414		
<b>Comprehensive loss</b>	<b>\$ (20,935)</b>	<b>\$ (16,818)</b>	<b>\$ (13,610)</b>

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents****Civitas Solutions, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity (Deficit)**

(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Common Stock		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income			Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
	Shares	Amount	Paid-in Capital	(Loss) Income	Accumulated Deficit	
Balance at September 30, 2011	25,250,000	\$ 253	\$ 89,241	\$ (4,017)	\$ (102,395)	\$ (16,918)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax				659		659
Stock-based compensation			672			672
Dividend to NMH Investment			(75)			(75)
Net loss					(14,269)	(14,269)
Balance at September 30, 2012	25,250,000	253	89,838	(3,358)	(116,664)	(29,931)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax				1,478		1,478
Stock-based compensation			273			273
Dividend to NMH Investment			(39)			(39)
Net loss					(18,296)	(18,296)
Balance at September 30, 2013	25,250,000	253	90,072	(1,880)	(134,960)	(46,515)
Issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs	11,700,000	117	182,086			182,203
Other comprehensive income, net of tax				1,880		1,880
Stock-based compensation			895			895
Dividend to NMH Investment			(110)			(110)
Net loss					(22,815)	(22,815)
Balance at September 30, 2014	36,950,000	\$ 370	\$ 272,943	\$	\$ (157,775)	\$ 115,538

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****Civitas Solutions, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(Amounts in thousands)

	<b>Year Ended September 30,</b>		
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Cash Flows from Operating activities:</b>			
Net loss	\$ (22,815)	\$ (18,296)	\$ (14,269)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Accounts receivable allowances	20,392	18,286	12,902
Depreciation and amortization	28,742	25,753	24,118
Amortization of intangible assets	37,953	37,600	36,885
Amortization and write-off of original issue discount and initial purchasers discount	7,101	2,946	2,956
Amortization and write-off of financing costs	10,523	2,851	2,395
Stock-based compensation	895	273	672
Deferred income taxes	(13,266)	(12,212)	(16,103)
Gain on changes in derivative fair value	(33)		
Loss on disposal of assets	385	165	283
Non-cash impairment charge	3,605	6,344	955
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions			
Accounts receivable	(16,817)	(9,249)	(33,092)
Other assets	4,369	553	(2,087)
Accounts payable	(4,279)	(5,930)	5,961
Accrued payroll and related costs	18,836	5,330	3,324
Other accrued liabilities	9,079	(2,719)	6,562
Other long-term liabilities	(754)	4,043	(2,211)
Net cash provided by operating activities	83,916	55,738	29,251
<b>Cash Flows from Investing activities:</b>			
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received	(53,699)	(9,275)	(16,544)
Purchases of property and equipment	(35,295)	(31,901)	(29,995)
Changes in restricted cash	(1,137)	327	(198)
Proceeds from sale of assets	1,207	1,472	4,075
Net cash used in investing activities	(88,924)	(39,377)	(42,662)
<b>Cash Flows from Financing activities:</b>			
Issuance of long term-debt, net of original issue discount	598,500	30,000	
Repayments of long-term debt	(587,525)	(5,525)	(5,300)
Proceeds from borrowings under senior revolver	9,300	469,400	679,200
Repayments of borrowings under senior revolver	(9,300)	(488,400)	(660,200)
Repayments of capital lease obligations	(430)	(434)	(399)
Dividend to NMH Investment	(110)	(39)	(75)

Edgar Filing: Civitas Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-K

Payments of financing costs	(10,923)	(2,048)	(78)
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock, net of offering costs	182,203		
Net cash provided by financing activities	181,715	2,954	13,148
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	176,707	19,315	(263)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	19,440	125	388
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 196,147	\$ 19,440	\$ 125
<b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information</b>			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 64,155	\$ 71,670	\$ 73,110
Cash paid for income taxes, net	\$ 632	\$ 1,665	\$ 441
<b>Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing activities:</b>			
Accrued contingent consideration	\$ 2,400	\$	\$
Accrued property, plant and equipment	\$ 966	\$ 919	\$ 2,093
<b>Supplemental disclosure of non-cash financing activities:</b>			
Capital lease obligation incurred to acquire assets	\$	\$	\$ 2,434

See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.

F-7

---

**Table of Contents**

**Civitas Solutions, Inc.**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**September 30, 2014**

**1. Basis of Presentation**

Civitas Solutions, Inc. is a subsidiary of NMH Investment, LLC ( NMH Investment ), which was formed in connection with the acquisition of our business by affiliates of Vestar Capital Partners ( Vestar ) in 2006. The equity interests of NMH Investment are owned by Vestar and certain of our executive officers and directors and other members of management. On September 22, 2014, Civitas completed an initial public offering (the IPO ) of its common stock and became a reporting company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. NMH Holdings, LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary, of Civitas and National Mentor Holdings, Inc. ( NMHI ) is a wholly owned subsidiary of NMH Holdings, LLC.

Civitas Solutions, Inc., through its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, the Company ), is a leading provider of home- and community-based health and human services to adults and children with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities, acquired brain injury and other catastrophic injuries and illnesses; and to youth with emotional, behavioral and/or medically complex challenges. Since the Company s founding in 1980, the Company s operations have grown to 36 states. The Company provides residential services to over 12,600 clients, some of whom also receive periodic services, and more than 16,500 clients receive periodic services from the Company in non-residential settings.

The Company designs customized service plans to meet the unique needs of its clients, which it delivers in home- and community-based settings. Most of the Company s service plans involve residential support, typically in small group homes, host home settings, or specialized community facilities, designed to improve the clients quality of life and to promote their independence and participation in community life. Other services offered include supported living, day and transitional programs, vocational services, case management, family-based and outpatient therapeutic services, post-acute treatment and neurorehabilitation, neurobehavioral rehabilitation and physical, occupational and speech therapies, among others. The Company s customized service plans offer its clients as well as the payors of these services, an attractive, cost-effective alternative to health and human services provided in large, institutional settings.

**2. Significant Accounting Policies**

***Principles of Consolidation***

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. Intercompany accounts and transactions between the Company and its subsidiaries have been eliminated in consolidation.

***Use of Estimates***

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments and methodologies. Actual results could differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

These accounting policies and estimates are periodically reevaluated, and adjustments are made when facts and circumstances dictate a change.

***Fair Value Measurements***

The accounting standard for fair value measurements defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with GAAP, and requires detailed disclosures about fair value measurements. Under this standard, fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The valuation techniques are based on observable and unobservable inputs. Observable inputs reflect readily obtainable data from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect certain market assumptions. This standard classifies these inputs into the following hierarchy:

*Level 1 Inputs* - Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

*Level 2 Inputs* - Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.

*Level 3 Inputs* - Instruments with primarily unobservable value drivers.

The fair value hierarchy level is determined by asset class based on the lowest level of significant input. In periods of market inactivity, the observability of prices and inputs may be reduced for certain instruments. This condition could cause an instrument to be reclassified between levels. During the year ended September 30, 2014, there were no transfers between levels.

The carrying amounts of the Company's cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, net, accounts payable, accrued expenses, self-insurance assets and liabilities and variable rate debt approximate their fair value.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Cash Equivalents***

The Company considers short-term investments with maturity dates of 90 days or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents primarily consist of money market funds and the carrying value of cash equivalents approximates fair value.

### ***Restricted Cash***

Restricted cash consists of a cash collateral account set up to support the issuance of letters of credit under the Company's institutional letter of credit facility and funds provided from government payors restricted for client use.

### ***Concentrations of Credit and Other Risks***

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to credit risk primarily consist of cash and cash equivalents, self-insurance receivables and accounts receivable. Cash and cash equivalents are deposited with federally insured commercial banks in the United States, which, at times may exceed federally insured limits. The unlimited coverage by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ) expired on December 31, 2012. Accounts are currently guaranteed by the FDIC up to \$250 thousand. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts. The Company derives approximately 90% of its revenue from state and local government payors. These entities fund a significant portion of their payments to the Company through federal matching funds, which pass through various state and local government agencies.

### ***Revenue Recognition***

Revenue is reported net of allowances for unauthorized sales and estimated sales adjustments. Revenue is also reported net of any state provider taxes or gross receipts taxes levied on services the Company provides. Sales adjustments are estimated based on an analysis of historical sales adjustments and recent developments in payment trends. Revenue is recognized when evidence of an arrangement exists, the service has been provided, the price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

The Company recognizes revenue for services performed pursuant to contracts with various state and local government agencies and private health care agencies as follows: cost-reimbursement contract revenue is recognized at the time the service costs are incurred and units-of-service contract revenue is recognized at the time the service is provided. For the Company's cost-reimbursement contracts, the rate provided by the payor is based on a certain level of service and types of costs incurred in delivering the service. From time to time, the Company receives payments under cost-reimbursement contracts in excess of the allowable costs required to support those payments. In such instances, the Company estimates and records a liability for such excess payments. At the end of the contract period, any balance of excess payments is maintained as a liability until it is reimbursed to the payor. Revenue in the future may be affected by changes in rate-setting structures, methodologies or interpretations that may be enacted in states where the Company operates or by the federal government.

### ***Cost of Revenue***

The Company classifies expenses directly related to providing services as cost of revenue, except for depreciation and amortization related to cost of revenue, which are shown separately in the consolidated statements of operations. Direct costs and expenses principally include salaries and benefits for service provider employees, per diem payments to independently contracted host-home caregivers ( Mentors ), residential occupancy expenses, which are primarily comprised of rent and utilities related to facilities providing direct care, certain client expenses such as food and

medicine and transportation costs for clients requiring services, professional and general liability expense, employment practices liability expense and workers compensation expense.

***Property and Equipment***

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and are depreciated when placed into service using a straight-line method, based on their estimated useful lives as follows:

<b>Asset Description</b>	<b>Estimated Useful Life (in years)</b>
Land	Indefinite
Building	30
Leasehold Improvements	Not to exceed 7 years or length of lease
Vehicles	5
Computer hardware ad software	3
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	3-5

F-9

## **Table of Contents**

Capital lease assets are depreciated over the lesser of the lease term or the useful life of the asset. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to operating expenses as incurred. When assets are sold or retired, the corresponding cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the related accounts and any gain or loss is recorded in the period of the sale or retirement.

### ***Internal Use Software Development Costs***

The Company capitalizes certain costs associated with its internally developed software that are incurred subsequent to the preliminary project stage. Specifically, the Company capitalizes the payroll and payroll-related costs of employees who are directly involved with and who devote time to the Company's software development project and other applicable third-party costs, and amortizes these costs on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the software of three years. Amortization begins when the internal-use software is ready for its intended use.

Internal use software development costs of \$1.5 million have been capitalized for the year ended September 30, 2014. The capitalized amounts were included as part of construction in progress on the consolidated balance sheets in property and equipment. Because the Company believes that the project is not substantially complete and ready for its intended use, no amortization expense has been recorded to date.

### ***Accounts Receivable***

Accounts receivable primarily consist of amounts due from government agencies, not-for-profit providers and commercial insurance companies. An estimated allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded to the extent it is probable that a portion or all of a particular account will not be collected. In evaluating the collectability of accounts receivable, the Company considers a number of factors, including payment trends in individual states, age of the accounts and the status of ongoing disputes with third party payors. Complex rules and regulations regarding billing and timely filing requirements in various states are also a factor in our assessment of the collectability of accounts receivable. Actual collections of accounts receivable in subsequent periods may require changes in the estimated allowance for doubtful accounts. Changes in these estimates are charged or credited to revenue as a contractual allowance in the consolidated statements of operations in the period of the change in estimate.

### ***Goodwill and Indefinite-lived Intangible Assets***

The Company reviews costs of purchased businesses in excess of the fair value of net assets acquired (goodwill), and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment at least annually, unless significant changes in circumstances indicate a potential impairment may have occurred sooner. The Company conducts its annual impairment test for both goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets on July 1<sup>st</sup> of each year.

The Company is required to test goodwill on a reporting unit basis, of which there are two for each of the Company's reporting segments. The Company has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether further impairment testing is necessary. The Company has elected to bypass the qualitative assessments and proceed directly to the two-step impairment test. The first step is to compare the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying value. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value then the second step of the goodwill impairment test is performed. The second step of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill in order to determine the amount of impairment to be recognized. The excess of the carrying value of goodwill above the implied goodwill, if any, would be recognized as an impairment charge. Fair values are established using the discounted cash flow method.



For its indefinite-lived intangible assets, the Company has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether further impairment testing is necessary. The Company has elected to bypass the qualitative assessments and proceed directly to the quantitative impairment test. The impairment test for indefinite-lived intangible assets requires the determination of the fair value of the intangible asset. If the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to the difference. Fair values are established using the relief from royalty method.

The fair value of a reporting unit is based on discounted estimated future cash flows. The assumptions used to estimate fair value include management's best estimates of future growth, capital expenditures, discount rates and market conditions over an estimate of the remaining operating period. As such, actual results may differ from these estimates and lead to a revaluation of the Company's goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets.

#### ***Impairment of Long-Lived Assets***

The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment when circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable based on the undiscounted future cash flows of the asset. If the carrying amount of the asset is determined not to be recoverable, a write-down to fair value is recorded.

---

**Table of Contents*****Income Taxes***

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined by multiplying the differences between the financial reporting and tax reporting bases for assets and liabilities by the enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when such differences are recovered or settled. These deferred tax assets and liabilities are separated into current and long-term amounts based on the classification of the related assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and netted by jurisdiction. Valuation allowances on deferred tax assets are estimated based on the Company's assessment of the realizability of such amounts.

The Company also recognizes the benefits of tax positions when certain criteria are satisfied. The Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of income tax expense which is consistent with the recognition of these items in prior reporting periods.

***Derivative Financial Instruments***

The Company reports derivative financial instruments on the balance sheet at fair value and establishes criteria for designation and effectiveness of hedging relationships. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded each period in current operations or in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss) depending upon whether the derivative is designated as part of a hedge transaction and, if it is, the type of hedge transaction.

The Company, from time to time, enters into interest rate swap agreements to hedge against variability in cash flows resulting from fluctuations in the benchmark interest rate, which is LIBOR, on the Company's debt. These agreements involve the exchange of variable interest rates for fixed interest rates over the life of the swap agreement without an exchange of the notional amount upon which the payments are based. On a quarterly basis, the differential to be received or paid as interest rates change is accrued and recognized as an adjustment to interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. In addition, on a quarterly basis, the mark to market valuation is recorded as an adjustment to gain (loss) on derivative within the consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss). The related amount receivable from or payable to counterparties is included as an asset or liability, respectively, in the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

***Stock-Based Compensation***

NMH Investment adopted an equity-based compensation plan in 2006, and from time to time it has issued units of limited liability company interests pursuant to such plan, consisting of Class B Units, Class C Units, Class D Units, Class E Units, Class F Units, Class G Units and Class H Units. The units are limited liability company interests and are available for issuance to the Company's employees and members of the Board of Directors for incentive purposes. For purposes of determining the compensation expense associated with these grants, management values the business enterprise using a variety of widely accepted valuation techniques which considered a number of factors such as the Company's financial performance, the values of comparable companies and the lack of marketability of the Company's equity. The Company then uses the option pricing method to determine the fair value of these units at the time of grant using valuation assumptions consisting of the expected term in which the units will be realized; a risk-free interest rate equal to the U.S. federal treasury bond rate consistent with the term assumption; expected dividend yield, for which there is none; and expected volatility based on the historical data of equity instruments of comparable companies. For

Edgar Filing: Civitas Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-K

Class B Units, Class C Units, Class D Units, Class E Units and Class F Units, the estimated fair value of the units, less an assumed forfeiture rate, is recognized in expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards. The Class G Units and Class H Units vest upon a liquidity event and/or upon the occurrence of certain investment return conditions, for which the compensation expense will then be recognized in its entirety when probable.

F-11

## **Table of Contents**

In fiscal 2014, the Company adopted an equity-based compensation plan and issued stock-based awards including non-qualified stock options and restricted stock units. The Company recognizes the fair value of stock-based compensation expense over the requisite service period of the individual grantee, which equals the vesting period. The Company is required to estimate future forfeitures of stock-based awards for recognition of compensation expense. The Company will record additional expense if the actual forfeitures are lower than estimated and will record a recovery of prior recognized expense if the actual forfeitures are higher than estimated. The actual expense recognized over the vesting period will only be for those awards that vest.

### ***Accruals for Self-Insurance***

The Company maintains employment practices liability, professional and general liability, workers' compensation, automobile liability and health insurance with policies that include self-insured retentions. Employment practices liability is fully self-insured. The Company records expenses related to claims on an incurred basis, which includes estimates of fully developed losses for both reported and unreported claims. The accruals for the health, workers' compensation, automobile, employment practices liability and professional and general liability programs are based on analyses performed internally by management and for certain balances, take into account reports by independent third party actuaries. Accruals relating to prior periods are periodically re-evaluated and increased or decreased based on new information.

### ***Self-Insurance Gross versus Net Presentation***

The Company reports its insurance liabilities on a gross basis without giving effect to insurance recoveries. Anticipated insurance recoveries are presented in prepaid expenses and other current assets and other assets on the consolidated balance sheets. Self-insured liabilities are presented in accrued payroll and related costs, other accrued liabilities and other long-term liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

### ***Legal Contingencies***

The Company reserves for costs related to contingencies when a loss is probable and the amount is reasonably estimable or a range of loss can be determined. These accruals represent management's best estimate of probable loss. Disclosure is also provided when it is reasonably possible that a loss will be incurred or when it is reasonably possible that the amount of loss will exceed the recorded provision. Significant judgment is required in both the determination of probability and the determination as to whether an exposure is reasonably estimable. Because of uncertainties related to these matters, accruals are based only on the best information available at the time. As additional information becomes available, the Company reassesses the potential liability related to pending claims and litigation and may revise its estimates. These revisions in the estimates of the potential liabilities could have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations and financial position.

### ***Discontinued Operations***

The Company analyzes its operations that have been divested or classified as held-for-sale to determine if they qualify for discontinued operations accounting. Only operations that qualify as a component of an entity, as defined by the Accounting Standards Codification (ASC), can be classified as a discontinued operation. In addition, only components where the cash flows of the component have been or will be eliminated from ongoing operations by the end of the assessment period and where the Company does not have significant continuing involvement with the divested operations would qualify for discontinued operations accounting.

### ***Subsequent Events***

The Company considers events or transactions that have occurred after the balance sheet date of September 30, 2014, but prior to the filing of the financial statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, to provide additional evidence relative to certain estimates or to identify matters that require additional recognition or disclosure. Subsequent events have been evaluated through the filing of the financial statements accompanying this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### **3. Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

*Technical Corrections and Improvements* In October 2012, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-04, *Technical Corrections and Improvements ( ASU 2012-04 )*. The amendments in this update cover a wide range of Topics in the Accounting Standards Codification. These amendments include technical corrections and improvements to the Accounting Standards Codification and conforming amendments related to fair value measurements. The amendments in this update were effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014. This adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

*Technical Corrections and Improvements Related to Glossary Terms* In March 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-06, *Technical Corrections and Improvements Related to Glossary Terms* ( ASU 2014-06 ). The amendments in this update relate to glossary terms and cover a wide range of Topics in the Codification. The amendments in this update were effective for the Company beginning in the second quarter of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014. This adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

*Income Taxes* In July 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-11, *Income Taxes (Topic 740): - Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists* ( ASU 2013-11 ). ASU 2013-11 requires an entity to present the reserve for uncertain tax positions when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. This guidance requires the reserve for uncertain tax positions to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to the deferred tax asset for a tax loss or other tax carryforward that would be applied in the settlement of the uncertain tax position. This guidance, which was effective for the Company beginning in the second quarter of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

*Reporting Discontinued Operations* In April 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-08, *Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity* ( ASU 2014-08 ). ASU 2014-08 changes the definition of a discontinued operation to include only those disposals of components of an entity that represent a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results, and changes the criteria and enhances disclosures for reporting discontinued operations. The pronouncement is to be applied prospectively, and is effective for the first quarter of our fiscal year ending September 30, 2015. It is expected that the adoption will significantly limit the classification of future disposals of components as discontinued operations.

*Revenue from Contracts with Customers* In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (ASU 2014-09), which supersedes nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance under U.S. GAAP. The core principle of ASU 2014-09 is to recognize revenues when promised goods or services are transferred to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which an entity expects to be entitled for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 defines a five step process to achieve this core principle and, in doing so, more judgment and estimates may be required within the revenue recognition process than are required under existing U.S. GAAP. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods therein, using either of the following transition methods: (i) a full retrospective approach reflecting the application of the standard in each prior reporting period with the option to elect certain practical expedients, or (ii) a retrospective approach with the cumulative effect of initially adopting ASU 2014-09 recognized at the date of adoption (which includes additional footnote disclosures). The Company is evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. The Company has not yet selected a transition method.

**4. Business Combinations**

The operating results of the businesses acquired are included in the consolidated statements of operations from the date of acquisition. The Company accounted for the acquisitions under the purchase method of accounting and, as a result, the purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their respective fair values. The excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of net tangible assets was allocated to specifically identified intangible assets, with the residual being allocated to goodwill.

***Fiscal 2014 Acquisitions***

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, the Company acquired eleven companies complementary to its business for a total fair value consideration of \$56.1 million.

*Show-Me Health Care, Inc.* ( *Show-Me Health Care* ). On November 29, 2013, the Company acquired the assets of Show-Me Health Care for \$1.2 million. Show-Me Health Care is located in Missouri and provides community-based supportive living services to individuals with developmental disabilities. As a result of this acquisition, the Company recorded \$0.3 million of goodwill in the Human Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$0.9 million of intangible assets which included \$0.7 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of 12 years, \$0.2 million of licenses and permits with a weighted average useful life of 10 years, and \$14 thousand of non-compete/non-solicit agreement with a useful life of 5 years.

*Occazio, Inc.* ( *Occazio* ). On January 2, 2014, the Company acquired the assets of Occazio for \$5.5 million. Occazio is located in Indiana and provides residential, home care and home health care services to consumers with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities. As a result of this acquisition, the Company recorded \$1.4 million of goodwill in the Human Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$3.9 million of intangible assets which included \$2.9 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of 12 years, \$0.7 million of licenses and permits with a weighted average useful life of 10 years, \$0.2 million trade name with a useful life of 5 years, and \$24 thousand of non-compete/non-solicit agreement with a useful life of 5 years. In addition, the Company acquired total tangible assets of \$0.2 million.

---

**Table of Contents**

*Momentum Rehabilitation Services, Inc., D/B/A Ann Arbor Rehabilitation Centers ( Ann Arbor )*. On February 7, 2014, the Company acquired the assets of Ann Arbor for \$4.8 million. Ann Arbor is located in Michigan and provides comprehensive on and off-campus residential housing and personalized daily services to adults with traumatic brain injury. As a result of this acquisition, the Company recorded \$1.0 million of goodwill in the Post-Acute Specialty Rehabilitation Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$3.8 million of intangible assets which included \$3.7 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of 12 years, \$0.1 million trade name with a useful life of 5 years, and \$33 thousand of non-compete/non-solicit agreement with a useful life of 5 years.

*Tender Loving Care Metro, LLC ( Tender Loving Care )*. On April 7, 2014, the Company acquired the assets of Tender Loving Care for \$3.0 million. Tender Loving Care is located in Minnesota and provides residential and related services to adults with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities. As a result of this acquisition, the Company recorded \$0.5 million of goodwill in the Human Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$2.4 million of intangible assets which included \$2.0 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of 12 years, \$0.3 million of licenses and permits with a weighted average useful life of 10 years, and \$0.1 million of non-compete/non-solicit agreement with a useful life of 5 years.

*AmeriServe International of Arizona, Inc. ( AmeriServe )*. On June 30, 2014, the Company acquired the assets of AmeriServe for \$0.4 million. AmeriServe is located in Arizona and provides group home services, day program services and related services to individuals with developmental disabilities. As a result of this acquisition, the Company recorded \$0.1 million of goodwill in the Human Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$0.3 million of intangible assets which included \$0.2 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of 12 years, \$39 thousand of licenses and permits with a weighted average useful life of 10 years, and \$12 thousand of non-compete/non-solicit agreement with a useful life of 5 years.

*G&D Alternative Living, Inc. ( G&D )*. On June 30, 2014, the Company acquired the assets of G&D for \$1.5 million. G&D is located in Ohio and provides group home services, day program services and related services to individuals with developmental disabilities. As a result of this acquisition, the Company recorded \$0.3 million of goodwill in the Human Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$1.1 million of intangible assets which included \$0.9 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of 12 years, \$0.2 million of licenses and permits with a weighted average useful life of 10 years, and \$6 thousand of non-compete/non-solicit agreement with a useful life of 5 years.

*Life by Design, Inc. ( Life by Design )*. On July 23, 2014, the Company acquired the assets of Life by Design for \$2.1 million. Life by Design is located in Minnesota and provides supported living and related services to individuals with developmental disabilities. Based on the estimated fair values of the assets acquired at the date of acquisition, the Company recorded \$0.4 million of goodwill in the Human Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$1.7 million of intangible assets which included \$1.3 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of 12 years, \$0.3 million of licenses and permits with a weighted average useful life of 10 years, and \$33 thousand of non-compete/non-solicit agreement with a useful life of 5 years.

*Mass Adult Day Health Alliance ( Adult Day Health )*. On September 8, 2014, the Company acquired Adult Day Health for consideration of \$37.1 million, including \$2.4 million of contingent consideration. Adult Day Health is located in Massachusetts and operates eight adult day health facilities in the Boston area and provides outpatient, center-based services that provide health, therapeutic and social support to elders in a group environment. Based on the estimated fair values of the net assets acquired at the date of acquisition, the Company recorded \$18.0 million of goodwill in the Human Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$18.1 million of intangible assets which included \$12.4 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful



life of 12 years, \$0.7 million of licenses and permits with a weighted average useful life of 10 years, \$3.4 million trade name with an indefinite useful life, and \$1.6 million of non-compete/non-solicit agreements with a useful life of 5 years. In addition, the Company acquired total tangible assets of \$1.4 million.

The purchase price allocation for Adult Day Health has been prepared on a preliminary basis and is subject to change as additional information becomes available concerning the fair value of the intangible assets acquired. Any adjustments to the purchase price allocation will be made as soon as practicable but no later than one year from September 8, 2014, the acquisition date.

*Other Acquisitions.* During fiscal 2014, the Company acquired the assets of Rose View Group Home, LLC, Multi-Dimensional Services and Supports, Inc. and Residential CRF, Inc. All three of these acquisitions are in the business of providing group home and related services to individuals with developmental disabilities and are included in our Human Services segment. Total cash consideration for these companies was \$0.4 million of which \$0.1 million was recorded to goodwill and \$0.3 million was recorded to intangible assets.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired assumed at the date of the acquisition:

(in thousands)	Identifiable intangible assets	Property and equipment	Total identifiable net assets	Goodwill
Show-Me Health Care	895	9	904	336
Occazio	3,863	216	4,079	1,421
Ann Arbor	3,801	50	3,851	972
Tender Loving Care	2,396	16	2,412	538
AmeriServe	288	43	331	69
G&D	1,086	102	1,188	312
Life by Design	1,651	16	1,667	433
Adult Day Health	18,100	1,081	19,181	17,969
Other Acquisitions	272	106	378	57
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 32,352</b>	<b>\$ 1,639</b>	<b>\$ 33,991</b>	<b>\$ 22,107</b>

*Pro forma Results of Operations*

The unaudited pro forma results of operations provided below for fiscal 2014 and 2013 are presented as though acquisitions made during fiscal 2014 had occurred at the beginning of the periods presented. The pro forma information presented below does not intend to indicate what the Company's results of operations would have been if the acquisitions had in fact occurred at the beginning of the earliest period presented nor does it intend to be a projection of the impact on future results or trends. The Company has determined that the presentation of the results of operations for each of these acquisitions, from the date of acquisition, is impracticable due to the integration of the operations upon acquisition.

(in thousands)	Year Ended September 30, 2014	Year Ended September 30, 2013
Net revenue	\$ 1,286,173	\$ 1,232,279
Income from operations	65,958	61,077

*Fiscal 2013 Acquisitions*

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, the Company acquired three companies complementary to its business for total fair value consideration of \$9.3 million.

*Beyond Abilities.* On September 20, 2013, the Company acquired the assets of Beyond Abilities for \$4.4 million. Beyond Abilities is located in Wisconsin and provides residential and support services to individuals with cognitive disabilities and challenging behaviors. As a result of this acquisition, the Company recorded \$1.3 million of goodwill in the Human Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$0.1 million of tangible assets and \$3.0 million of intangible assets, which included \$1.5 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of 12 years, \$0.9 million of non-compete/non-solicit agreement with a useful life of 5 years, and \$0.6 million of licenses and permits with a weighted average useful life of 10 years.

*Community Links.* On August 30, 2013, the Company acquired the assets of Community Links for \$4.4 million. Community Links is located in Michigan and provides comprehensive supportive services to adults with traumatic brain injury. As a result of this acquisition, the Company recorded \$1.3 million of goodwill in the Post-Acute Specialty Rehabilitation Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$3.1 million of intangible assets which primarily included \$3.0 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of 12 years. The remaining purchase price was allocated to tangible assets.

*Carolina Autism.* On November 1, 2012, the Company acquired the assets of Carolina Autism for \$0.5 million. Carolina Autism is located in South Carolina and provides group home services, behavioral services and related services primarily to individuals diagnosed with autism and pervasive development disorders. As a result of this acquisition, the Company recorded \$14 thousand of goodwill in the Human Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$0.4 million of intangible assets which included \$0.2 million of licenses and permits with a weighted average useful life of 10 years, \$0.1 million of non-complete/non-solicit agreement with a useful life of 5 years and \$0.1 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of 12 years. The remaining purchase price was allocated to tangible assets.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of the acquisition:

(in thousands)	Other Assets,					Goodwill
	Identifiable intangible assets	current and long term	Property and equipment	Total identifiable net assets		
Beyond Abilities	\$ 2,984	\$	\$ 136	\$ 3,120	\$ 1,280	
Community Links	3,078	16	46	3,140	1,260	
Carolina Autism	420	2	39	461	14	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 6,482</b>	<b>\$ 18</b>	<b>\$ 221</b>	<b>\$ 6,721</b>	<b>\$ 2,554</b>	

**Fiscal 2012 Acquisitions**

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, the Company acquired seven companies complementary to its business for total fair value consideration of \$16.5 million.

*Families Together.* On November 30, 2011, the Company acquired the assets of Families Together, Inc. ( Families Together ) for \$3.0 million. Families Together is located in North Carolina and provides intensive in-home services, day treatment, case management, outpatient therapy and similar periodic services to children and their families. As a result of this acquisition, the Company recorded \$0.9 million of goodwill in the Human Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$2.1 million of intangible assets which included \$1.0 million of non-compete agreement with a useful life of five years, \$0.8 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of eleven years, and \$0.3 million of licenses and permits with a weighted average useful life of ten years.

*SCVP.* On March 26, 2012, the Company acquired the assets of SCVP, Inc. ( SCVP ) for \$0.4 million. SCVP is located in Oregon and provides day program services and related services to individuals with developmental disabilities. The Company acquired \$0.3 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of ten years and \$0.1 million of goodwill in the Human Services segment. The goodwill is expected to be deductible for tax purposes.

*Copper Family Community Care.* On April 5, 2012, the Company acquired the assets of Copper Family Community Care, Inc. ( Copper Family ) for \$2.6 million. Copper Family is located in Wisconsin and provides group home services and related services to individuals with developmental disabilities. As a result of the acquisition, the Company recorded \$0.7 million of goodwill in the Human Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$0.1 million of tangible assets and \$1.8 million of intangible assets, which included \$1.4 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of eleven years and \$0.4 million of licenses and permits with a weighted average useful life of ten years.

*Alpha Group Administrators.* On August 31, 2012, the Company acquired the assets of Alpha Group Administrators, Inc. ( Alpha Group ) for \$2.3 million. Alpha Group is located in Arizona and provides specialized care through group home services and day treatment services for clients with complex behavioral challenges, as well as intellectual and developmental disabilities. As a result of the acquisition, the Company recorded \$0.1 million of goodwill in the Human Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$0.3 million of tangible assets and \$1.9 million of intangible assets, which included \$1.6 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of twelve years and \$0.3 million of licenses and permits with a weighted average useful life of ten years.

*Radical Rehab Solutions.* On August 31, 2012, the Company acquired the assets of Radical Rehab Solutions, LLC ( Radical Rehab ) for \$8.0 million. Radical Rehab is located in Kentucky and provides community-based, post-acute rehabilitation programs for clients with acquired brain injury. As a result of the acquisition, the Company recorded \$1.6 million of goodwill in the Post-Acute Specialty Rehabilitation Services segment, which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The Company acquired \$0.1 million of tangible assets and \$6.3 million of intangible assets which included \$5.4 million of agency contracts with a weighted average useful life of twelve years, \$0.7 million of licenses and permits with a weighted average useful life of ten years, and \$0.2 million of non-compete/non-solicit with a weighted average useful life of five years.

*Other Acquisitions.* Additionally, during the first quarter of 2012, the Company acquired selected assets of Zumbro House, Inc., which provides group home services to individuals with developmental disabilities in the Mankato, Minnesota area and Georgia Rehabilitation Institute d/b/a Walton Rehabilitation Health System, a provider of acquired brain injury services in Georgia, for total cash of \$0.2 million, \$0.1 million of which was allocated to intangible assets.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of the acquisition:

(in thousands)	Other Assets,			Property and net assets	Total identifiable net assets	Goodwill
	Identifiable intangible assets	current and long term	equipment			
Families Together	\$ 2,102	\$	\$ 6	\$ 2,108	\$ 892	
SCVP	291		5	296	154	
Copper Family	1,836		116	1,952	687	
Alpha Group	1,927		288	2,215	85	
Radical Rehab	6,340	41	62	6,443	1,557	
Other Acquisitions	89		20	109	46	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 12,585</b>	<b>\$ 41</b>	<b>\$ 497</b>	<b>\$ 13,123</b>	<b>\$ 3,421</b>	

*Pro forma Results of Operations*

The unaudited pro forma results of operations provided below for fiscal 2013 and 2012 are presented as though acquisitions made during fiscal 2013 and 2012 had occurred at the beginning of the periods presented. The pro forma information presented below does not intend to indicate what the Company's results of operations would have been if the acquisitions had in fact occurred at the beginning of the earliest period presented nor does it intend to be a projection of the impact on future results or trends. The Company has determined that the presentation of the results of operations for each of these acquisitions, from the date of acquisition, is impracticable due to the integration of the operations upon acquisition.

(in thousands)	Year Ended September 30, 2013	Year Ended September 30, 2012
Net revenue	\$ 1,192,664	\$ 1,128,972
Income from operations	54,401	49,564

**5. Discontinued Operations***REM Connecticut*

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2014, the Company notified the State of Connecticut of its intention to stop providing services under existing contracts due to rate cuts and a change in state policy. The effective transition of the Company's programs is expected to be completed in the first quarter of fiscal 2015. REM Connecticut was included in the Human Services segment and the results of the operations are presented as discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations and the prior periods have been reclassified. Loss from discontinued operations for REM Connecticut for fiscal 2014 included impairment charges of \$1.6 million and \$0.7 million for intangible assets and owned buildings, respectively, and \$0.1 million of expense for severance.

*FAS Virginia*

During fiscal 2013, the Company closed certain Human Services operations in the state of Virginia, Family Advocacy Services, LLC ( FAS Virginia ) and recorded a pre-tax loss of \$3.6 million for fiscal 2013. FAS Virginia was included in the Human Services segment and the results of the operations are presented as discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations and the prior periods have been reclassified. Loss from discontinued operations for FAS Virginia for fiscal 2013 included a \$3.4 million write-off of intangible assets.

***Mentor Rhode Island***

During fiscal 2013, the Company adopted a plan to sell its Human Services operations in the state of Rhode Island ( Mentor Rhode Island ). The Company completed the sale in the third quarter of fiscal 2013 and recorded a pre-tax loss of \$0.8 million for fiscal 2013. The operations of Mentor Rhode Island are presented as discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations and the prior periods have been reclassified. Loss from discontinued operations for fiscal 2013 included a \$0.7 million impairment charge related to the write-off of intangible assets.

**Table of Contents**

The net revenue and loss before income taxes for the Company's discontinued operations for the periods presented is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Year ended September 30,</b>		
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Net revenue	\$ 13,425	\$ 18,483	\$ 22,222
Income (loss) before income taxes	(2,259)	(3,244)	400

**6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets***Goodwill*

The changes in goodwill for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Human Services</b>	<b>Post-Acute Specialty Rehabilitation Services</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance as of September 30, 2012	169,564	64,699	234,263
Goodwill acquired through acquisitions	1,294	1,260	2,554
Goodwill written off related to disposal of businesses	(1,334)		(1,334)
Adjustments to goodwill, net		42	42
Balance as of September 30, 2013	\$ 169,524	\$ 66,001	\$ 235,525
Goodwill acquired through acquisitions	21,134	973	22,107
Balance as of September 30, 2014	\$ 190,658	\$ 66,974	\$ 257,632

During fiscal 2013, the Company wrote off goodwill of underperforming programs within the Human Services segment which were closed as of September 30, 2013. The total charge was \$1.3 million and is included in general and administrative expense in the consolidated statements of operations. Additionally, the adjustments to goodwill reflect the final purchase price for acquisitions, as determined during the measurement period.

*Annual Goodwill Impairment Testing*

The Company tests goodwill at least annually for possible impairment. Accordingly, the Company completes the annual testing of impairment for goodwill on July 1 of each fiscal year. In addition to its annual test, the Company regularly evaluates whether events or circumstances have occurred that may indicate a potential impairment of these assets.

The Company has elected to bypass the qualitative assessments and proceed directly to the two-step impairment test. The process of testing goodwill for impairment involves the determination of the fair value of the applicable reporting units. The test consists of a two-step process. The first step is the comparison of the fair value to the carrying value of the reporting unit to determine if the carrying value exceeds the fair value. The second step measures the amount of an



impairment loss, and is only performed if the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit. The Company performed its annual impairment testing for its reporting units as of July 1, 2014, 2013, and 2012, its annual impairment dates, and concluded based on the first step of the process that there were no goodwill impairments.

The Company has consistently employed the income approach, specifically the discounted cash flow method, to estimate the current fair value when testing for impairment of goodwill. A number of significant assumptions and estimates are involved in the application of the income approach to forecast operating cash flows, including revenue growth, tax rates, capital spending, discount rate and working capital changes.

**Table of Contents**

Cash flow forecasts are based on business unit operating plans and historical relationships. The income approach is sensitive to changes in long-term terminal growth rates and the discount rate. The long-term terminal growth rates are consistent with the Company's historical long-term terminal growth rates, as the current economic trends are not expected to affect the long-term terminal growth rates of the Company. The discount rate was selected based on the estimated rate of return as well as time value of money.

**Intangible Assets**

Intangible assets consist of the following as of September 30, 2014 (in thousands):

<b>Description</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Life</b>	<b>Gross Carrying Value</b>	<b>Accumulated Amortization</b>	<b>Intangible Assets, Net</b>
Agency contracts	8 years	\$ 484,994	\$ 224,566	\$ 260,428
Non-compete/non-solicit	3 years	5,716	2,448	\$ 3,268
Relationship with contracted caregivers	2 years	10,963	9,013	\$ 1,950
Trade names	4 years	7,467	2,907	\$ 4,560
Trade names (indefinite life)		42,400		\$ 42,400
Licenses and permits	3 years	47,629	32,724	\$ 14,905
Intellectual property	2 years	904	689	\$ 215
		\$ 600,073	\$ 272,347	\$ 327,726

Intangible assets consist of the following as of September 30, 2013 (in thousands):

<b>Description</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Life</b>	<b>Gross Carrying Value</b>	<b>Accumulated Amortization</b>	<b>Intangible Assets, Net</b>
Agency contracts	9 years	\$ 464,480	\$ 195,737	\$ 268,743
Non-compete/non-solicit	3 years	4,929	2,058	2,871
Relationship with contracted caregivers	3 years	10,963	7,905	3,058
Trade names	4 years	3,787	2,431	1,356
Trade names (indefinite life)		42,400		42,400
Licenses and permits	4 years	45,760	28,343	17,417
Intellectual property	3 years	904	558	346
		\$ 573,223	\$ 237,032	\$ 336,191

For fiscal years ended 2014, 2013 and 2012, the amortization expense for continuing operations was \$37.7 million, \$38.2 million and \$36.2 million, respectively. The amortization expense for discontinued operations was \$0.2 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million for fiscal years ended 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

**Annual Indefinite Life Impairment Testing**

The Company tests indefinite-lived intangible assets at least annually for possible impairment. Accordingly, the Company completes the annual testing of impairment for indefinite-lived intangible assets on July 1 of each fiscal year. In addition to its annual test, the Company regularly evaluates whether events or circumstances have occurred that may indicate a potential impairment of these assets.

The impairment test consists of a comparison of the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset with its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of an indefinite-lived intangible asset exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss in an amount equal to that excess is recognized. The Company has consistently employed the relief from royalty model to estimate the current fair value when testing for impairment of indefinite-lived intangible assets.

In addition, the Company evaluates the remaining useful life of its indefinite-lived intangible assets at least annually to determine whether events or circumstances continue to support an indefinite useful life. If events or circumstances indicate that the useful lives of indefinite-lived intangible assets are no longer indefinite, the assets will be tested for impairment.

The Company has elected to bypass the qualitative assessments and proceeded directly to the quantitative impairment test. The Company performed its annual impairment testing as of July 1, 2014, 2013, and 2012, its annual impairment dates, and concluded that there were no impairments to its indefinite lived trade names.

**Table of Contents***Long Lived Impairment Testing*

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, the Company determined that certain intangible assets associated with programs that it voluntarily withdrew from within the Human Services segment were impaired. As a result, the Company wrote off \$0.5 million of non-compete agreements, \$0.6 of agency contracts and \$0.2 million of licenses and permits. The total impairment charge associated with these programs of \$1.3 million is included in depreciation and amortization expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

Additionally, during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, the Company notified the state of Connecticut of its intention to stop providing services under existing contracts due to rate cuts and a change in state policy. As a result, the Company wrote off \$1.5 million of agency contracts and \$0.1 million of license and permits. The total impairment charge of \$1.6 million is included in loss from discontinued operations.

During the assessment of long-lived assets that was performed during fiscal 2013, the Company determined that certain of its intangible assets were impaired related to underperforming programs within the Human Services segment, which consisted primarily of \$0.9 million of agency contracts and \$0.1 million of licenses and permits. As result, the Company recorded \$1.0 million of amortization expense related to the write-off of these intangible assets for the year ended September 30, 2013. This charge was included in depreciation and amortization expense in the accompanying statements of operations.

The estimated remaining amortization expense related to intangible assets with finite lives for each of the five succeeding years and thereafter is as follows:

<b>Year Ending September 30,</b>	<b>(In thousands)</b>
2015	\$ 38,251
2016	36,409
2017	32,358
2018	31,466
2019	31,070
Thereafter	115,772
	<b>\$ 285,326</b>

**7. Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment consists of the following as of September 30 (in thousands):

	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
Buildings and land	\$ 123,899	\$ 123,046
Vehicles	50,454	45,846
Computer hardware	32,276	29,661
Leasehold improvements	53,198	38,755
Furniture and fixtures	14,749	12,931
Office and telecommunication equipment	6,772	8,115

Edgar Filing: Civitas Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-K

Software for internal use	1,488	
Construction in progress	1,005	2,246
	283,841	260,600
Less accumulated depreciation	(124,355)	(106,965)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 159,486	\$ 153,635

For fiscal years ended 2014, 2013 and 2012, depreciation expense for continuing operations was \$28.4 million, \$25.4 million and \$23.8 million, respectively, and depreciation expense for discontinued operations was \$293 thousand, \$370 thousand and \$371 thousand, respectively.

F-20

**Table of Contents****8. Certain Balance Sheet Accounts*****Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets***

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consist of the following as of September 30 (in thousands):

	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
Prepaid business expense	2,514	\$ 2,906
Prepaid insurance	1,185	1,309
Anticipated insurance recoveries	6,637	9,966
Other	5,871	4,460
<b>Prepaid expenses and other current assets</b>	<b>\$ 16,207</b>	<b>\$ 18,641</b>

***Other Accrued Liabilities***

Other accrued liabilities consist of the following as of September 30 (in thousands):

	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
Accrued insurance	\$ 15,464	\$ 18,756
Accrued swap valuation liability		3,165
Overpayments	6,746	7,678
Due to third party payors	3,727	3,569
Accrued interest	3,465	4,100
Other	19,918	7,798
<b>Other accrued liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 49,320</b>	<b>\$ 45,066</b>

***Other Long-Term Liabilities***

Other long-term liabilities consist of the following as of September 30 (in thousands):

	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
Accrued self-insurance reserves	\$ 54,037	\$ 54,781
Other	15,277	14,155
<b>Other long-term liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 69,314</b>	<b>\$ 68,936</b>

**9. Long-term Debt**

The Company's long-term debt consists of the following as of September 30 (in thousands):

	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
Term loan principal and interest due in quarterly installments through January 31, 2021, subject to acceleration to November 15, 2017	\$ 597,000	\$
Prior term loan, principal and interest repaid on January 31, 2014		546,525
Original issue discount on term loan, net of accumulated amortization	(1,235)	(4,403)
Senior notes, due February 15, 2018; semi-annual cash interest payments due each February 15th and August 15th (interest rate of 12.50%)	212,000	250,000
Original issue discount and initial purchase discount on senior notes, net of accumulated amortization	(4,570)	(7,003)
	803,195	785,119
Less current portion	168,000	5,600
Long-term debt	\$ 635,195	\$ 779,519

On January 31, 2014, National Mentor Holdings, Inc. ( NMHI ) and NMH Holdings, LLC completed a refinancing transaction by entering into the senior secured credit facilities consisting of a term loan facility and a senior revolver. In connection with the refinancing transaction, the prior senior secured credit facilities were repaid and replaced with the senior secured credit facilities. The Company incurred \$11.1 million of expenses related to the refinancing transaction including \$7.2 million related to the write-off of deferred financing costs and \$3.9 million related to the write-off of original issue discount related to the prior indebtedness. These expenses are recorded on the Company's consolidated statement of operations as extinguishment of debt.

---

**Table of Contents**

On February 26, 2014, NMHI redeemed \$38.0 million aggregate principal amount of the outstanding senior notes, in accordance with the provisions of the indenture governing the senior notes. In connection with the partial redemption of the senior notes, the Company incurred \$3.6 million of expenses including \$2.4 million related to redemption premium, \$1.0 million related to the write-off of original issue discount and initial purchase discount and \$0.2 million related to the write-off of deferred financing costs. These expenses are recorded on the Company's consolidated statement of operations as extinguishment of debt.

As of September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company did not have any borrowings under the senior revolver.

**Senior Secured Credit Facilities**

On January 31, 2014, NMHI and NMH Holdings, LLC entered into a new senior credit agreement (the "senior credit agreement") with Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent, and the other agents and lenders named therein, for the new senior secured credit facilities (the "senior secured credit facilities"), consisting of a \$600.0 million term loan facility (the "term loan facility"), of which \$50.0 million was deposited in a cash collateral account in support of the issuance of letters of credit under an institutional letter of credit facility (the "institutional letter of credit facility"), and a \$100.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the "senior revolver"). On October 21, 2014, NMHI increased the revolving commitment under the senior secured revolving credit facility (the "Senior Revolver") by \$20.0 million, on terms identical to those applicable to the existing Senior Revolver. The aggregate amount of the revolving commitment under the Senior Revolver is now \$120.0 million. As of October 21, 2014, NMHI had no borrowings under the Senior Revolver. The term loan facility has a seven-year maturity and the senior revolver has a five-year maturity; provided, that if the senior notes are not refinanced in full on or prior to the date that is three months prior to February 15, 2018, such maturity dates shall spring forward to November 15, 2017. The senior credit agreement provides that NMHI may make one or more offers to the lenders, and consummate transactions with individual lenders that accept the terms contained in such offers, to extend the maturity date of the lender's term loans and/or revolving commitments, subject to certain conditions, and any extended term loans or revolving commitments will constitute a separate class of term loans or revolving commitments.

All of the obligations under the senior secured credit facilities are guaranteed by NMH Holdings, LLC and the subsidiary guarantors named therein (the "Subsidiary Guarantors"). Pursuant to the Guarantee and Security Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2014 (the "guarantee and security agreement"), among NMH Holdings, LLC, as parent guarantor, NMHI, certain of its subsidiaries, as subsidiary guarantors, and Barclays Bank, PLC, as administrative agent, subject to certain exceptions, the obligations under the senior secured credit facilities are secured by a pledge of 100% of NMHI's capital stock and the capital stock of domestic subsidiaries owned by NMHI and any other domestic Subsidiary Guarantor and 65% of the capital stock of any first tier foreign subsidiaries and a security interest in substantially all of NMHI's tangible and intangible assets and the tangible and intangible assets of NMH Holdings, LLC and each Subsidiary Guarantor.

The senior revolver includes borrowing capacity available for letters of credit and for borrowings on same-day notice, referred to as the "swingline loans." Any issuance of letters of credit or borrowing on a swingline loan will reduce the amount available under the senior revolver. As of September 30, 2014, NMHI had no borrowings under the senior revolver and \$44.3 million of letters of credit issued under the institutional letter of credit facility.

At its option, NMHI may add one or more new term loan facilities or increase the commitments under the senior revolver (collectively, the "incremental borrowings") in an aggregate amount of up to \$125.0 million plus any additional amounts so long as certain conditions, including a consolidated first lien leverage ratio (as defined in the senior credit agreement) of not more than 4.50 to 1.00 on a pro forma basis, are satisfied. In addition, the covenants in the indenture governing the senior notes effectively limit the amount of incremental borrowings that it may incur based on a



consolidated leverage ratio (as defined in the indenture) of not more than 6.00 to 1.00 on a pro forma basis.

Borrowings under the senior secured credit facilities bear interest, at our option, at: (i) an ABR rate equal to the greater of (a) the prime rate of Barclays Bank PLC, (b) the federal funds rate plus 1/2 of 1.0%, and (c) the Eurodollar rate for an interest period of one-month beginning on such day plus 100 basis points, plus 2.75% (provided that the ABR rate applicable to the term loan facility will not be less than 2.00% per annum); or (ii) the Eurodollar rate (provided that the Eurodollar rate applicable to the term loan facility will not be less than 1.00% per annum), plus 3.75%. The applicable margin will decrease by 0.50% per annum if our consolidated leverage ratio is less than or equal to 5.00 to 1.00. This decrease will become effective as of the first business day immediately following the first date on which NMHI delivers a quarterly compliance certificate setting forth such calculation. NMHI is also required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the senior revolver at an initial rate of 0.50% of the average daily unutilized commitments thereunder. NMHI must also pay customary letter of credit fees.

The senior credit agreement requires NMHI to make mandatory prepayments, subject to certain exceptions, with: (i) beginning in fiscal year 2015, 50% (which percentage will be reduced upon its achievement of certain first lien leverage ratios) of NMHI's

---

**Table of Contents**

annual excess cash flow; (ii) 100% of net cash proceeds of all non-ordinary course assets sales or other dispositions of property, subject to certain exceptions and thresholds; and (iii) 100% of the net cash proceeds of any debt incurrence, other than debt permitted under the senior credit agreement. Excess cash flow is defined in NMHI's senior credit agreement as (A) the sum of (i) consolidated net income (as defined in the senior credit agreement), plus (ii) the net decrease in working capital, plus (iii) noncash charges previously deducted from consolidated net income, plus (iv) non-cash losses from assets sales, minus (B) the sum of (i) certain amortization and other mandatory prepayment of indebtedness, plus (ii) unfinanced capital expenditures plus (iii) the cash portion of permitted investments plus (iv) noncash gains previously including in consolidated net income, plus (v) the net increase in working capital, plus (vi) certain cash payments of long-term liabilities, plus (vii) cash restricted payments, plus (viii) cash expenditures not expensed during such period, plus (ix) penalties paid in connection with the repayment of indebtedness, plus (x) certain cash distributions from the SRS business, plus (xi) aggregate unfinanced portion of contract consideration for acquisition or capital expenditures to be consummated, plus (xii) aggregate amount of cash amounts received in such period but excluded from consolidated net income, plus (xiii) certain cash payments in respect of earnout obligations, plus (xiv) certain voluntary prepayments of indebtedness, plus (xv) certain cash payments of non-cash charges added back in a prior period, plus (xvi) all charges or expenses incurred in such period but excluded from consolidated net income. NMHI is required to repay the term loan facility portion of the senior secured credit facilities in quarterly principal installments of 0.25% of the principal amount, with the balance payable at maturity. The senior credit agreement permits NMHI to offer to its lenders newly issued notes in exchange for their term loans in one or more permitted debt exchange offers, subject to the conditions set forth in the senior credit agreement.

**Senior Notes**

On February 9, 2011, NMHI issued \$250.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior notes at a price equal to 97.7% of their face value. The senior notes mature on February 15, 2018 and bear interest at a rate of 12.50% per annum, payable semi-annually on February 15 and August 15 of each year, beginning on August 15, 2011. The senior notes are unsecured obligations of NMHI and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by certain of its existing subsidiaries.

On February 26, 2014, NMHI redeemed \$38.0 million aggregate principal amount of the outstanding principal amount of senior notes, in accordance with the provisions of the indenture governing them. The redemption price of the senior notes was 106.250% of the principal amount redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but not including, the redemption date.

On October 17, 2014, NMHI paid \$175.6 million to redeem \$162.0 million aggregate principal of senior notes using proceeds from the initial public offering of Civitas. In accordance with the provisions of the indenture governing the senior notes, the amount paid included an associated call premium of \$10.1 million and accrued and unpaid interest of \$3.5 million. After giving effect to that redemption, \$50.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior notes remain.

**Covenants**

The senior credit agreement and the indenture governing the senior notes contain negative financial and non-financial covenants, including, among other things, limitations on the ability of NMHI and its subsidiaries to incur additional debt, create liens on assets, transfer or sell assets, pay dividends, redeem stock or make other distributions or investments, and engage in certain transactions with affiliates.

In addition, the senior credit agreement contains a springing financial covenant. If, at the end of any fiscal quarter, the Company's usage of the senior revolver exceeds 30% of the commitments thereunder, it is required to maintain at the

end of each such fiscal quarter a consolidated first lien leverage ratio of not more than 5.50 to 1.00. This consolidated first lien leverage ratio will step down to 5.00 to 1.00 commencing with the fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2017. The springing financial covenant was not in effect as of September 30, 2014 as NMHI's usage of the senior revolver did not exceed the threshold for that quarter.

The senior credit agreement also contains a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, NMHI's ability and that of its subsidiaries to: (i) incur additional indebtedness; (ii) create liens on assets; (iii) engage in mergers or consolidations; (iv) sell assets; (v) pay dividends and distributions or repurchase our capital stock; (vi) enter into swap transactions; (vii) make investments, loans or advances; (viii) repay certain junior indebtedness; (ix) engage in certain transactions with affiliates; (x) enter into sale and leaseback transactions; (xi) amend material agreements governing certain of its junior indebtedness; (xii) change its lines of business; (xiii) make certain acquisitions; and (xiv) limitations on the letter of credit cash collateral account. If NMHI withdraws any of the \$50.0 million from the cash collateral account supporting the issuance of letters of credit, it must use the cash to either prepay the term loan facility or to secure any other obligations under the senior secured credit facilities in a manner reasonably satisfactory to the administrative agent. The senior credit agreement contains customary affirmative covenants and events of default.

**Table of Contents****Derivatives**

NMHI entered into an interest rate swap in a notional amount of \$400.0 million effective March 31, 2011. NMHI entered into this interest rate swap to hedge the risk of changes in the floating rate of interest on borrowings under the term loan. Under the terms of the swap, NMHI received from the counterparty a quarterly payment based on a rate equal to the greater of 3-month LIBOR and 1.75% per annum, and NMHI made payments to the counterparty based on a fixed rate of 2.55% per annum, in each case on the notional amount of \$400.0 million, settled on a net payment basis. The swap expired on September 30, 2014. NMHI may enter into a new interest rate swap or other hedging agreement, but the timing and type of instrument, notional amount and duration of any such instrument or arrangement have not yet been determined.

Prior to the January 31, 2014 refinancing transaction, the Company accounted for the interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge and the effectiveness of the hedge relationship was assessed on a quarterly basis. The fair value of the swap agreement, representing the price that would be paid to transfer the liability in an orderly transaction between market participants, was recorded in current liabilities and was determined based on pricing models and independent formulas using current assumptions. The change in fair market value was recorded in the consolidated statements of comprehensive loss.

In conjunction with the January 31, 2014 refinancing transaction, the Company de-designated the interest rate swap agreement as a cash flow hedge. Subsequent to the January 31, 2014 refinancing transaction, prospective mark to market adjustments were recognized in earnings and accumulated mark to market adjustments were amortized and recognized in earnings over the term of the interest rate swap agreement which expired on September 30, 2014.

**Annual maturities**

Annual maturities of the Company's debt for the fiscal year ended September 30 are as follows:

	<b>(In thousands)</b>
2015 (includes \$162,000 of senior notes redeemed on October 17, 2014)	\$ 168,000
2016	6,000
2017	6,000
2018	56,000
2019	6,000
Thereafter	567,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 809,000</b>

Amounts due at any year end may increase as a result of the provision in the senior credit agreement that requires a prepayment of a portion of the outstanding term loan amounts if NMHI generates certain levels of cash flow.

**10. Stockholders' Equity*****Common Stock***

The holders of the Company's common stock are entitled to receive dividends when and as declared by the Company's Board of Directors. In addition, the holders of common stock are entitled to one vote per share.

*Dividends to National Mentor Holdings, LLC*

During fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company paid dividends of \$110 thousand, \$39 thousand and \$75 thousand, respectively, to NMH Investment to fund the repurchases of equity units from employees upon or after their departures from the Company.

**11. Employee Savings and Retirement Plans**

The Company has a multi-company plan (the Plan) which covers all of its wholly-owned subsidiaries. Under the Plan, employees may contribute a portion of their earnings, which are invested in mutual funds of their choice. After January 1, the Company makes a matching contribution for the previous calendar year on behalf of all participants employed on the last day of the year. This matching contribution vests immediately. In addition, there is a profit sharing feature of the Plan, whereby, at the discretion of management, an allocation may be made to all of the eligible employees in one or more of its business units. Profit sharing contributions vest ratably over three years with forfeitures available to cover plan costs and employer matches in future years. The Company made contributions of \$5.5 million, \$4.0 million and \$4.2 million, for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

---

**Table of Contents**

The Company has the following two deferred compensation plans:

***The National Mentor Holdings, LLC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan***

The National Mentor Holdings, LLC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan is an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation arrangement for senior management, in which the Company contributes to the executive's account a percentage of the executive's base compensation. This contribution is made at the end of the year for service rendered during the year. The Company contributed \$0.5 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million for fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The unfunded accrued liability was \$2.8 million and \$2.6 million as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and was included in other long-term liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

***The National Mentor Holdings, LLC Executive Deferral Plan***

The National Mentor Holdings, LLC Executive Deferral Plan, available to highly compensated employees, is a plan in which participants contribute a percentage of salary and/or bonus earned during the year. Employees contributed \$0.9 million, \$0.9 million and \$0.7 million for fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 respectively. The accrued liability related to this plan was \$7.5 million and \$6.7 million as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and was included in other long-term liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

In connection with the National Mentor Holdings, LLC Executive Deferral Plan, the Company has purchased company owned life insurance (COLI) policies on certain plan participants. The cash surrender value of the COLI policies is designed to provide a source for funding the accrued liability. The cash surrender value of the COLI policies was \$6.2 million and \$5.5 million as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and was included in other assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

**12. Related Party Transactions**

***Management Agreements***

On February 9, 2011, the Company entered into an amended and restated management agreement with Vestar Capital Partners V, L.P. (Vestar) relating to certain advisory and consulting services for an annual management fee equal to the greater of (i) \$850 thousand or (ii) an amount equal to 1.0% of the Company's consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and management fee for each fiscal year determined as set forth in the Company's senior credit agreement.

On September 22, 2014 the management agreement with Vestar was terminated as a result of the completion of the initial public offering by Civitas Solutions, Inc. The Company paid a one-time transaction advisory fee of \$8.0 million to Vestar which was expensed in fiscal 2014 and paid prior to year end.

The Company recorded \$9.5 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.3 million of management fees and expenses for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012 respectively. The accrued liability related to the management agreement was \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million at September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, respectively.

***Lease Agreements***

The Company leases several offices, homes and other facilities from its employees, or from relatives of employees, primarily in the states of Minnesota, Florida, and California. These leases have various expiration dates extending out as far as December 2019. Related party lease expense was \$1.1 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.6 million for the fiscal

years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

F-25

**Table of Contents****13. Fair Value Measurements**

The following table set forth the Company's assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2014.

(in thousands)	Total	Quoted Market Prices (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>Assets</b>				
Money Market Funds	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$	\$
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Contingent consideration	\$ (2,400)	\$	\$	\$ (2,400)

The following table set forth the Company's assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2013.

(in thousands)	Total	Quoted Market Prices (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ (3,165)	\$	\$ (3,165)	\$

*Money Market Funds.* The Company's money market funds are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted prices in active markets for identical instruments.

*Contingent Consideration.* In connection with the acquisition of Mass Adult Day Health ( Adult Day Health ), the Company recorded contingent consideration pertaining to the amounts potentially payable to the former owners of Adult Day Health. Such contingent consideration is measured at fair value and is based on significant inputs not observable in the market, which represent Level 3 inputs within the fair value hierarchy. The valuation of contingent consideration uses assumptions the Company believes would be made by a market participant. The Company assesses these estimates on an ongoing basis as additional data impacting the assumptions is obtained. Changes in the fair value of contingent consideration related to updated assumptions and estimates are recognized within the consolidated statements of operations.

*Interest rate-swap agreements.* The fair value of the swap agreements was recorded in current liabilities (under other accrued liabilities) in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The fair value of these agreements was determined based on pricing models and independent formulas using current assumptions that included swap terms, interest rates and forward LIBOR curves and the Company's credit risk.

The following table presents a summary of changes in fair value of the Company's Level 3 liabilities measured on a recurring basis for fiscal years 2014 and 2013.



	<b>Level 3 Inputs Liabilities</b>
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$
Change in fair value of contingent consideration liability	
Balance at September 30, 2013	
Change in fair value of contingent consideration liability	2,400
Balance at September 30, 2014	\$ 2,400

At September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, the carrying values of cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and variable rate debt approximated fair value. The carrying value and fair value of the Company's fixed rate debt instruments are set forth below:

<b>(in thousands)</b>	<b>September 30, 2014</b>		<b>September 30, 2013</b>	
	<b>Carrying Amount</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>	<b>Carrying Amount</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
Senior notes (issued February 9, 2011)	\$ 207,430	\$ 225,780	\$ 242,997	\$ 268,750

The fair values were estimated using calculations based on quoted market prices when available and company specific credit risk. If the Company's long-term debt was measured at fair value, it would have been categorized as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

*Items Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis.* The Company's long-lived assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis using Level 3 inputs. During the year ended September 30, 2014, certain long-lived assets held and used with a carrying value of \$2.9 million were written off because the Company determined the assets had no net realizable value. The asset impairment charge of \$2.9 million was recorded in amortization in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. During the year ended September 30, 2013, certain long-lived assets held and used with a carrying value of \$1.0 million were written off as a result because the Company determined the assets had no net realizable value. The asset impairment charge of \$1.0 million was recorded in amortization in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. These adjustments were determined by comparing the estimated proceeds from the sale of assets or the projected future discounted cash flows to be provided from the long-lived assets to the asset's carrying value. There were no other items measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the year ended September 30, 2014.

**Table of Contents****14. Leases*****Operating leases***

The Company leases office and client residential facilities, vehicles and certain office equipment in several locations under operating lease arrangements, which expire at various dates through 2027. In addition to base rents presented below, the majority of the leases require payments for additional expenses such as taxes, maintenance and utilities. Certain of the leases contain renewal options at the Company's option and some have escalation clauses which are recognized as rent expense on a straight line basis. Total rent expense from continuing operations for fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$57.7 million, \$53.8 million and \$48.6 million, respectively.

During fiscal 2012, the Company completed two sale-leaseback transactions under which it sold two properties to unrelated third parties. Net proceeds from these sales were \$2.8 million. Concurrent with these sales, the Company entered into agreements to lease the properties back from the purchasers over an initial lease term of seven and ten years, respectively, each with two, five-year renewal options. The Company classified these leases as operating leases, actively uses or plans to actively use the leased properties and considers the lease as normal leaseback for accounting purposes. The Company deferred a \$0.1 million gain on these transactions which includes both a current and non-current portion, with the current portion based on the amount that is expected to amortize over the next 12 months. The current and non-current portions are included in Accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

In fiscal 1995, the Company entered into an initial five year operating lease agreement for its corporate office with a total expected minimum lease commitment of \$2.4 million. The lease has been extended and amended through eleven amendments, and as of September 30, 2014, the Company had total expected minimum lease commitments of \$4.8 million over the lease term. The lease expires in 2017 and the Company has the option to extend the lease term. Total rent expense related to this lease was \$1.5 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.5 million for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Future minimum lease payments for non-cancellable operating leases for the fiscal years ending September 30 are as follows (in thousands):

2015	\$ 52,748
2016	42,739
2017	35,658
2018	25,820
2019	17,936
Thereafter	33,509
	\$ 208,410

***Capital leases***

The Company leases certain facilities under various non-cancellable capital leases that expire at various dates through fiscal 2025. Assets acquired under capital leases with an original cost of \$7.8 million and \$7.8 million and related accumulated amortization of \$2.2 million and \$1.6 million are included in property and equipment, net for fiscal 2014 and 2013, respectively. Amortization expense for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$0.6 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

Edgar Filing: Civitas Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-K

The following is a schedule of the future minimum lease payments under the capital leases for the fiscal years ending September 30 (in thousands):

2015	\$ 451
2016	497
2017	549
2018	608
2019	675
Thereafter	3,730
<b>Total minimum lease payments</b>	<b>\$ 6,510</b>

Interest expense on capital leases during fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$0.7 million, \$0.8 million and \$0.8 million, respectively.

F-27

---

**Table of Contents**

**15. Accruals for Self-Insurance and Other Commitments and Contingencies**

The Company maintains insurance for professional and general liability, workers' compensation liability, automobile liability and health insurance liabilities that includes self-insured retentions. The Company intends to maintain such coverage in the future and is of the opinion that its insurance coverage is adequate to cover potential losses on asserted claims. Employment practices liability is fully self-insured.

The Company records expenses related to claims on an incurred basis, which includes estimates of fully developed losses for both reported and unreported claims. The accruals for the health, workers' compensation, automobile, and professional and general liability programs are based on analyses performed by management and take into account reports by independent third parties. Accruals are periodically reevaluated and increased or decreased based on new information.

For professional and general liability, from October 1, 2011 to September 30, 2013, the Company was self-insured for the first \$4.0 million of each and every claim with no aggregate limit. Commencing October 1, 2013, the Company is self-insured for \$4.0 million per claim and \$28.0 million in the aggregate.

For workers' compensation, the Company has a \$350 thousand per claim retention with statutory limits. Automobile liability has a \$100 thousand per claim retention, with additional insurance coverage above the retention. The Company purchases specific stop loss insurance as protection against extraordinary claims liability for health insurance claims. Stop loss insurance covers claims that exceed \$300 thousand on a per member basis.

The Company reports its self-insurance liabilities on a gross basis without giving effect to insurance recoveries. Anticipated insurance recoveries are presented in Prepaid expenses and other current assets and Other assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Self-insured liabilities are presented in Accrued payroll and related costs, Other accrued liabilities and Other long-term liabilities on its consolidated balance sheets.

**16. Other Commitments and Contingencies**

The Company is in the health and human services business and, therefore, has been and continues to be subject to substantial claims alleging that the Company, its employees or its independently contracted host-home caregivers ( Mentors ) failed to provide proper care for a client. The Company is also subject to claims by its clients, its employees, its Mentors or community members against the Company for negligence, intentional misconduct or violation of applicable laws. Included in the Company's recent claims are claims alleging personal injury, assault, abuse, wrongful death and other charges. Regulatory agencies may initiate administrative proceedings alleging that the Company's programs, employees or agents violate statutes and regulations and seek to impose monetary penalties on the Company. The Company could be required to incur significant costs to respond to regulatory investigations or defend against civil lawsuits and, if the Company does not prevail, the Company could be required to pay substantial amounts of money in damages, settlement amounts or penalties arising from these legal proceedings.

The Company is also subject to potential lawsuits under the False Claims Act and other federal and state whistleblower statutes designed to combat fraud and abuse in the health care industry. These lawsuits can involve significant monetary awards that may incentivize private plaintiffs to bring these suits. If the Company is found to have violated the False Claims Act, it could be excluded from participation in Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act provides a mandate for more vigorous and widespread enforcement activity to combat fraud and abuse in the health care industry.

The Company is also subject to employee-related claims under state and federal law, including claims for discrimination, wrongful discharge or retaliation, claims for wage and hour violations under the Fair Labor Standards Act or state wage and hour laws.

**Table of Contents****17. Income Taxes**

The benefit for income taxes consists of the following as of September 30:

	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands)		
<b>Current:</b>			
Federal	\$	\$	\$ (4,892)
State	1,385	1,009	47
Total current taxes payable	1,385	1,009	(4,845)
<b>Deferred:</b>			
Federal	(10,338)	(8,452)	(12,039)
State	(2,510)	(2,499)	(2,999)
Net deferred tax benefit	(12,848)	(10,951)	(15,038)
Income tax benefit	\$ (11,463)	\$ (9,942)	\$ (19,883)

The Company paid income taxes, net of any refunds, during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 of \$0.6 million, \$1.7 million, and \$0.4 million, respectively.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amount of the assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at September 30 are as follows:

	2014	2013
	(In thousands)	
<b>Gross deferred tax assets:</b>		
Deferred compensation	\$ 1,229	\$ 1,155
Interest rate swap agreements		1,253
Accrued workers' compensation	12,528	11,195
Net operating loss carryforwards	30,597	28,082
Allowance for bad debts	4,078	4,484
Tax credits	4,733	5,188
Depreciation	681	
Other	2,933	2,768
	56,779	54,125
Valuation allowance	(10,033)	(10,193)
Deferred tax assets	46,746	43,932
<b>Deferred tax liabilities:</b>		
Depreciation		(2,200)

Edgar Filing: Civitas Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-K

Amortization of goodwill and intangible assets	(81,999)	(87,953)
Other accrued liabilities	(4,123)	(5,171)
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$ (39,376)	\$ (51,392)

The Company is required to record a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax assets if, based on the weight of the evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. After consideration of all the evidence, both positive and negative, management determined that valuation allowances at September 30, 2014 and 2013 of \$10.0 million and \$10.2 million, respectively, were necessary to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amount that will more likely than not be realized. The valuation allowances primarily related to certain state net operating loss carryforwards.

For federal purposes, the Company had \$55.1 million of net operating loss carryforwards as of September 30, 2014, which expire in 2034, and \$47.8 million of net operating loss carryforwards as of September 30, 2013, which expire in 2033. For state purposes, the Company had \$233.0 million of net operating loss carryforwards for fiscal 2014, which expire from 2015 through 2034, and \$231.5 million of net operating loss carryforwards for fiscal 2013, which expire from 2014 through 2033.

**Table of Contents**

The following is reconciliation between the statutory and effective income tax rates at September 30:

	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Federal income tax at statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit	2.0%	3.6%	5.6%
Nondeductible comp	(0.8)%	(0.3)%	(0.7)%
Other nondeductible expenses	(1.1)%	(0.2)%	(1.1)%
Unrecognized tax benefit	0.0%	0.0%	15.2%
Other	(0.3)%	(0.2)%	3.8%
<b>Effective tax rate</b>	<b>34.8%</b>	<b>37.9%</b>	<b>57.8%</b>

Companies may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement.

No unrecognized tax benefit was recognized for the years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. For 2012, the Company had a \$5.6 million favorable impact to its effective tax rate related to unrecognized tax benefit, including interest and penalties. The Company does not expect any significant changes to unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve months.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of income tax expense which is consistent with the recognition of these items in prior reporting periods. No interest and penalties were accrued as of September 30, 2014 and 2013.

The Company files a federal consolidated return with NMH Holdings, Inc. and files various state income tax returns and, generally, the Company is no longer subject to income tax examinations by the taxing authorities for years prior to September 30, 2011. The Company believes that it has appropriate support for the income tax positions taken and to be taken on the Company's income tax returns. In addition, the Company believes its accruals for income tax liabilities are adequate for all open years based on an assessment of many factors including past experience and interpretations of the tax laws as applied to the facts of each matter.

**18. Segment Information**

The Company conducts its business through two reportable business segments: the Human Services Segment and the Post-Acute Specialty Rehabilitation Services ( SRS ) Segment.

Through the Human Services Segment, the Company primarily provides home and community-based human services to adults and children with intellectual and development disabilities ( I/DD ), and to youth with emotional, behavioral and/or medically complex challenges ( ARY ). The operations of the Human Services Segment have been organized by management based upon geography. The geographical regions, which comprise three operating segments, have been aggregated based on the criteria set forth in ASC Topic 280, *Segment Reporting*. Through the SRS Segment, the Company delivers services to individuals who have suffered acquired brain injury, spinal injuries and other catastrophic injuries and illnesses. The operations of the SRS Segment have been organized by management into two business units, NeuroRestorative and CareMeridian, based upon service type. The NeuroRestorative operating group



provides behavioral therapies to brain injured clients in post-acute community settings and the CareMeridian operating group provides a higher level of medical support to traumatically injured clients. These business units, which comprise two operating segments, have been aggregated based on the criteria set forth in ASC Topic 280, *Segment Reporting*. Each operating segment is aligned with the Company's reporting structure and has a segment manager that is directly accountable for its operations and regularly reports results to the chief operating decision maker for the purpose of evaluating these results and making decisions regarding resource allocations.

The Company evaluates performance based on income from operations. Income from operations is revenue less operating expenses and is not affected by other income (expense) or by income taxes.

Activities classified as Corporate in the table below relate primarily to unallocated home office expenses.

The following table is a financial summary by reportable segments for the periods indicated (in thousands):

F-30

**Table of Contents**

The following is a financial summary by reportable operating segment for the periods indicated (in thousands):

<b>For the Year Ended September 30,</b>	<b>Human</b>	<b>Post-Acute Specialty Rehabilitation</b>	<b>Corporate</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>
<b>2014</b>	<b>Services</b>	<b>Services</b>		
Net revenue	\$ 1,025,672	\$ 230,166	\$	1,255,838
Income (loss) from operations	97,916	17,561	(55,211)	60,266
Total assets	634,989	222,475	350,490	1,207,954
Depreciation and amortization	45,576	19,177	2,735	67,488
Purchases of property and equipment	15,907	16,250	3,138	35,295
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	23,024	1,231	(57,151)	(32,896)
<b>2013</b>				
Net revenue	\$ 974,088	\$ 208,421	\$	1,182,509
Income (loss) from operations	90,477	17,293	(55,636)	52,134
Total assets	655,140	207,475	158,654	1,021,269
Depreciation and amortization	45,239	15,948	2,386	63,573
Purchases of property and equipment	17,791	10,491	3,619	31,901
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	25,369	3,893	(55,516)	(26,254)
<b>2012</b>				
Net revenue	\$ 921,885	\$ 185,466	\$	1,107,351
Income (loss) from operations	78,738	20,376	(53,071)	46,043
Total assets	692,015	216,663	137,202	1,045,880
Depreciation and amortization	42,821	14,389	2,777	59,987
Purchases of property and equipment	17,659	10,218	2,118	29,995
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	13,637	7,798	(55,832)	(34,397)

Revenue derived from contracts with state and local government payors in the state of Minnesota, the Company's largest state, which is included in the Human Services segment, accounted for approximately 14% of the Company's revenue for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 and 15% of revenue for fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

**19. Stock-Based Compensation****Summary of Stock-Based Compensation Plans***2006 Unit Plan*

NMH Investment maintains the Amended and Restated 2006 Unit Plan (the "Unit Plan"), and from time to time it has issued units of limited liability company interests pursuant to such plan, consisting of Class B Common Units, Class C Common Units, Class D Common Units, Class E Common Units, Class F Common Units, Class G Common Units and Class H Common Units. The units are limited liability company interests and are available for issuance to the Company's employees and members of the Board of Directors for incentive purposes. These units derive their value

from the value of the Company. Under the Amended and Restated 2006 Unit Plan there are 192,500 Class B Common Units, 202,000 Class C Common Units, 388,881 Class D Common Units, 6,375 Class E Common Units, 5,396,388 Class F Common Units, 130,000 Class G Common Units and 1,200,000 Class H Common Units authorized for issuance under the plan.

#### *2014 Plan*

The Company adopted the 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan ( 2014 Plan ) in September 2014. The 2014 Plan authorizes the issuance of stock-based awards, including incentive stock options ( ISOs ), non-qualified stock options ( NSOs ) and restricted stock units ( RSUs ) to purchase up to 3,325,500 shares authorized in the 2014 Plan. Under the terms of the 2014 Plan, stock options may not be granted at less than the fair market value on the date of grant. Options vest annually over three years and expire after 10 years and RSUs vest annually over three years, in each case the awards are subject to a requisite service period equal to the vesting term. Options and RSUs granted under the 2014 Plan immediately vest upon certain events, as described in the 2014 Plan. As of September 30, 2014, approximately 2.2 million shares were available for future grant of awards under the 2014 Plan.

#### *Units of NMH Investment LLC Interests*

Under its equity-based compensation plan adopted in 2006, NMH Investment previously issued units of limited liability company interests consisting of Class B Common Units, Class C Common Units, Class D Common Units, and Class E Common Units to the Company's employees and members of the Board of Directors as incentive compensation. In June 2011 the Class B Common Units, Class C Common Units, and Class D Common Units were amended to accelerate vesting of any outstanding unvested units so that they became 100% vested. There have been no issuances of these awards since the amendment to accelerate vesting.

**Table of Contents**

On June 15, 2011, NMH Investment issued Class F Common Units, a new class of non-voting common equity units of NMH Investment, as incentive compensation. Up to 5,396,388 Class F Common Units may be issued under the 2006 Unit Plan to management of the Company as equity-based compensation.

The vesting terms of the Class F Common Units are as follows: For participants who have been continuously employed by the Company since December 31, 2008, 75% of the Class F Common Units vested upon grant and 25% of the Class F Common Units vested on December 15, 2012 (or 18 months following the date of grant) assuming continuous employment with the Company on that date. For participants who had not been continuously employed by the Company since December 31, 2008, 50% of the Class F Common Units vested upon grant, 25% of the Class F Common Units vested on December 15, 2012 and 25% of the Class F Common Units vested on June 15, 2014 (or 36 months following the date of grant), in each case, if the participant continues to be employed by the Company on that date. Class F Common Units awarded after the initial issuances in June 2011 vest in three equal tranches on each of the first three anniversaries of their respective issuance date.

On August 13, 2012, an amendment was made to the Amended and Restated 2006 Unit Plan that authorized the issuance of two new classes of non-voting equity units of NMH Investment of up to 130,000 Class G Common Units and up to 1,200,000 Class H Common Units. The Class G Common Units vest upon the consummation of a sale of the Company or an initial public offering of the Company. The Class H Common Units, which were amended in September 2014, vest upon the earlier to occur of a sale of the Company and the achievement of a certain multiple of investment return threshold by Vestar and its affiliates. On September 22, 2014, the Company completed an initial public offering which triggered the vesting condition for the Class G common units. As a result, the Company recognized \$0.6 million of stock-based compensation expense associated with these units. There were no triggering events of the Class H Common Units that were determined to be probable, and therefore, the Company did not recognize any stock-based compensation expense for the Class H Common Units.

For purposes of determining the fair value of the units granted, management valued the business enterprise using a variety of widely accepted valuation techniques which considered a number of factors such as the financial performance of the Company, the values of comparable companies and the lack of marketability of the Company's equity. The Company then used the option pricing method to determine the fair value of the units granted.

The fair value of the units issued during fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012 was calculated using the following assumptions:

	<b>FY2014</b>	<b>FY2013</b>	<b>FY2012</b>
Risk-free interest rate	0.13%	0.21-0.27%	0.21-0.27%
Expected term	1 year	1.7-2.4 years	1.7-2.4 years
Expected volatility	30.00%	40.00%	40.00%

The risk-free interest rate is equal to the U.S. federal treasury bond rate consistent with the term assumption. The expected term is the number of years in which the Company estimates that units will be realized. The Company has estimated volatility for the units granted based on the historical volatility for a group of companies believed to be a representative peer group, selected based on industry and market capitalization, due to lack of sufficient historical publicly traded prices of the Company's own common stock.

The estimated fair value of the units, less an assumed forfeiture rate of 9.3%, was recognized as expense in the Company's consolidated financial statements on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards with the exception of the Class G Common Units and Class H Common Units. The assumed forfeiture rate is based on

an average of the Company's historical forfeiture rates, which the Company estimates is indicative of future forfeitures.

The summary of activity under the plan is presented below:

	<b>Units Outstanding</b>	<b>Weighted Average Grant- Date Fair Value</b>	
Nonvested balance at September 30, 2013	1,395,984	\$	0.57
Granted	303,710		1.12
Forfeited	25,894		2.13
Vested	249,504		2.68
Nonvested balance at September 30, 2014	1,424,296	\$	0.29

As of September 30, 2014, there was \$0.3 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to the non-performance based units. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.0 years. The unrecognized compensation costs for unvested performance based Class H Common Units of \$7.5 million will be recognized when the management determines that it is probable the performance condition will be met.

**Table of Contents****Stock Options**

For the year ended September 30, 2014, the Company issued 559,327 stock options which will vest over three years (one-third each year). The fair value of each option granted was estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes valuation model with the following assumptions:

	<b>FY2014</b>
Risk-free interest rate	1.88%
Expected term	6 years
Expected volatility	45.00%
Expected dividend yield	

*Risk-free interest rate* - The risk-free interest rate is equal to the U.S. federal treasury bond rate consistent with the expected term assumption.

*Expected term* - Expected term represents the period that the Company's option grants are expected to be outstanding. As the Company had been operating as a private company, there is not sufficient historical data to calculate the expected term of the options. Therefore, the Company elected to utilize the simplified method to determine the expected term assumption. Under this approach, the weighted average expected life is presumed to be the average of the vesting term and the contractual term of the option.

*Expected volatility* - The Company has estimated volatility for the units granted based on the historical volatility for a group of companies believed to be a representative peer group, selected based on industry and market capitalization, due to lack of sufficient historical publicly traded prices of our own common stock.

*Expected dividend yield* - The expected dividend yield is zero as dividends are not expected to be paid in the foreseeable future.

The Company estimates forfeitures based on historical unit plan data. An assumed forfeiture rate of 9.3% was used during the year ended September 30, 2014.

The table below summarizes our stock option activity during fiscal year 2014:

	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted- Average Remaining Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at September 30, 2013		\$		\$
Granted	559,327	17.00	10.00	
Forfeited				
Exercised				
Expired				
Outstanding at September 30, 2014	559,327	\$ 17.00	10.00	\$

Vested or expected to vest as of September 30, 2014	507,310	\$ 17.00	10.00	\$
Exercisable at September 30, 2014		\$		\$

As of September 30, 2014, there was \$3.9 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.0 years.

***Restricted Stock Awards***

For the year ended September 30, 2014, the Company issued 550,481 restricted stock awards to employees and members of the Board of Directors. These awards will vest over three years (one-third each year) for employee grants and one year (100% on the first anniversary of the grant date) for grants to members of the Board of Directors.

**Table of Contents**

A summary of our issued restricted stock awards is as follows:

	Number of Restricted Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Nonvested shares at September 30, 2013		\$
Granted	550,481	17.00
Forfeited		
Vested		
Nonvested shares at September 30, 2014	550,481	\$ 17.00

The fair value of the restricted stock awards on the date of grant, less an assumed forfeiture rate of 9.3% for employee grants, will be recognized as expense in the Company's consolidated financial statements on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods (vesting term) of the awards. The Company does not have a history with these types of awards, and as such, the historical forfeiture rate of the Unit Plan was used as the basis for determining the assumed forfeiture rate. The Company has not applied a forfeiture rate to the restricted awards granted to the Board of Directors as the awards vest 100% on the first anniversary of the grant date.

As of September 30, 2014, there was \$8.4 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested restricted stock. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.9 years.

The Company recorded \$0.9 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million of stock-based compensation expense for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Stock-based compensation expense is included in general and administrative expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

The computation of diluted earnings per share excludes the options and restricted stock awards issued by Civitas, because the effect would be anti-dilutive.

**20. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts**

The following table summarizes information about the allowances for doubtful accounts and sales allowances for the years ended September 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Provision	Write-Offs	Balance at end of Period
Fiscal year ended September 30, 2014	\$ 12,494	\$ 20,392	\$ (21,395)	\$ 11,491
Fiscal year ended September 30, 2013	\$ 9,250	\$ 18,286	\$ (15,042)	\$ 12,494
Fiscal year ended September 30, 2012	\$ 7,957	\$ 12,902	\$ (11,609)	\$ 9,250

As of September 30, 2014, the Company also had \$6.7 million of accounts receivable collateralized by liens, net of allowances for those liens of \$1.7 million, recorded as part of other assets within the accompanying consolidated



balance sheets.

**21. Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)**

The following tables present consolidated statement of operations data for each of the eight quarters in the period which began December 31, 2012 and ended September 30, 2014. This information is derived from the Company's unaudited financial statements, which in the opinion of management contain all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of such financial data. Operating results for these periods are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for a full year. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in future periods.

	<b>For The Quarters Ended (1)</b> <b>(in thousands)</b>			
	<b>September 30,</b> <b>2014</b>	<b>June 30,</b> <b>2014</b>	<b>March 31,</b> <b>2014</b>	<b>December 31,</b> <b>2013</b>
Net revenue	\$ 327,291	\$ 318,189	\$ 306,366	\$ 303,992
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax	(4,491)	(24)	(12,236)	(4,683)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(1,449)	29	46	(7)
Net income (loss)	\$ (5,940)	\$ 5	\$ (12,190)	\$ (4,690)
<b>Loss per common share, basic and diluted</b>				
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ (0.17)	\$	\$ (0.48)	\$ (0.19)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(0.05)			
Net income (loss)	\$ (0.23)	\$	\$ (0.48)	\$ (0.19)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	26,394,565	25,250,000	25,250,000	25,250,000

	<b>For The Quarters Ended (1)</b> <b>(in thousands)</b>			
	<b>September 30,</b> <b>2013</b>	<b>June 30,</b> <b>2013</b>	<b>March 31,</b> <b>2013</b>	<b>December 31,</b> <b>2012</b>
Net revenue	\$ 301,057	\$ 300,266	\$ 291,642	\$ 289,545
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax	127	(2,567)	(5,353)	(8,519)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	257	96	(2,482)	145
Net income (loss)	\$ 384	\$ (2,471)	\$ (7,835)	\$ (8,374)
<b>Loss per common share, basic and diluted</b>				
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.34)
	0.01		(0.10)	0.01

Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax								
Net income (loss)	\$	0.02	\$	(0.10)	\$	(0.31)	\$	(0.33)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding, basic and diluted					25,250,000	25,250,000	25,250,000	25,250,000

(1) During fiscal 2014, the Company gave notice of its intention to close all Human Services operations in the state of Connecticut. All fiscal years presented reflect the classification of these businesses as discontinued operations.

**Table of Contents**

**22. Subsequent Events**

On October 17, 2014, the Company paid \$175.6 million to redeem \$162.0 million aggregate principal amount of its senior notes. In accordance with the provisions of the indenture governing the senior notes, the amount paid included an associated call premium of \$10.1 million and accrued and unpaid interest of \$3.5 million. Following this payment, the aggregate principal balance outstanding of the senior notes decreased to \$50.0 million.

On October 21, 2014, the Company increased the revolving commitment under the senior revolver by \$20.0 million, on terms identical to those applicable to the existing senior revolver. The aggregate amount of the revolving commitment under the senior revolver is now \$120.0 million.

On October 31, 2014, the Company acquired a company complementary to its Human Services business for aggregate consideration of \$4.5 million.

**Table of Contents****Financial Statement Schedules****Schedule I Condensed Parent Company Financial Information****Civitas Solutions, Inc. Parent-Only Condensed Balance Sheets**

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash	125	125
Other assets	3,482	771
Deferred income taxes	13,206	13,420
Investment in subsidiaries	101,636	
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>118,449</b>	<b>14,316</b>
<b>Liabilities &amp; Stockholders Equity</b>		
Other Liabilities	2,911	
Equity in losses of subsidiary in excess of investment		60,831
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>2,911</b>	<b>60,831</b>
<b>Stockholders equity</b>		
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 350,000,000 shares authorized; and 36,950,000 and 25,250,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively	370	
Additional paid-in-capital	272,943	90,325
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(1,880)
Accumulated deficit	(157,775)	(134,960)
<b>Total stockholders equity</b>	<b>115,538</b>	<b>(46,515)</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders equity</b>	<b>118,449</b>	<b>14,316</b>

**Civitas Solutions, Inc. Parent-Only Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss**

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>For the Year Ended September 30,</b>		
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
General and administrative expenses	(738)		
Equity in net loss of subsidiary	(22,402)	(18,296)	(14,380)
<b>Loss from operations</b>	<b>(23,140)</b>	<b>(18,296)</b>	<b>(14,380)</b>
Loss before income taxes	(23,140)	(18,296)	(14,380)
Benefit for income taxes	(325)		(111)

Edgar Filing: Civitas Solutions, Inc. - Form 10-K

Net loss	(22,815)	(18,296)	(14,269)
Other comprehensive gain (loss)	1,880	1,478	659
Comprehensive loss	(20,935)	(16,818)	(13,610)

II-1

**Table of Contents****Civitas Solutions, Inc. Parent-Only Condensed Statements of Cash Flows**

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>For the Year Ended September 30,</b>		
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities:</b>			
Net loss	(22,815)	(18,296)	(14,269)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Equity in net loss of subsidiary	22,402	18,296	14,380
Deferred income taxes	131		(1,542)
Other assets	(2,711)		(388)
Other accrued liabilities	2,994		
Other long-term liabilities			1,819
Net cash provided by operating activities			
<b>Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities:</b>			
Investment in NMHI	(182,203)		
Dividend from NMHI	110	39	75
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities			
	(182,093)	39	75
<b>Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities:</b>			
Net proceeds from IPO	182,203		
Dividend to NMH Investment, LLC	(110)	(39)	(75)
Net cash used in financing activities			
	182,093	(39)	(75)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents			
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	125	125	125
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period			
	125	125	125

**Notes to Condensed Civitas Solutions, Inc. Parent-Only Financial Statements****Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Nature of Operations**

Civitas Solutions, Inc., formerly known as NMH Holdings, Inc. ( Civitas ), was incorporated in Delaware on June 15, 2007. Civitas has no other operations beyond its ownership of National Mentor Holdings, Inc. ( NMHI ).

The condensed Civitas financial information includes the activity of Civitas and its investment in NMHI using the equity method only. The consolidated activity of Civitas and its subsidiaries are not included and are meant to be read in conjunction with the Civitas consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this registration statement.

**Note 2 - Initial Public Offering and Investment in NMHI**

On September 22, 2014, Civitas completed an initial public offering resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$182.2 million after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions, and offering expenses.

In Connection with the offering, Civitas made a capital contribution to NMHI in the amount of \$182.2 million. NMHI used the net proceeds to pay a one-time transaction advisory fee to Vestar of \$8.0 million and to redeem \$162.0 million of aggregate principal amount of senior notes issued by NMHI at a redemption price of 106.25% plus accrued interest.

**Note 3 - Dividend from Subsidiaries**

Civitas received dividends of \$110 thousand, \$39 thousand and \$75 thousand for the years ended 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. This cash was used to fund the repurchases of equity units from employees upon or after their departures.

NMHI is restricted from paying dividends to Civitas, in excess of \$15.0 million, except for dividends used for the repurchase of equity from former officers and employees and for the payment of management fees and taxes, and certain other exceptions, including the declaration and payment of dividends of up to 6% per annum of the net cash proceeds contributed to NMHI in a public offering of common stock.