

FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC

Form 10-Q

August 10, 2012

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from            to

Commission file number 001-35522

**FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Maryland**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**04-3639825**

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**18500 Von Karman Ave, Suite 1100, Irvine, California**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**92612**

(Zip Code)

**(949) 236-5211**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its Corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

As of August 8, 2012 the registrant had outstanding 10,612,592 shares of voting common stock and 1,090,061 shares of Class B non-voting common stock.

**Table of Contents**

**FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP, INC.**

Form 10-Q Quarterly Report

Index

	<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I - Financial Information</b>	
Item 1 <u>Financial Statements</u>	4
Item 2 <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	39
Item 3 <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	51
Item 4 <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	52
<b><u>PART II - Other Information</u></b>	
Item 1 <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	54
Item 1A <u>Risk Factors</u>	54
Item 2 <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	55
Item 3 <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	55
Item 4 <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	55
Item 5 <u>Other Information</u>	55
Item 6 <u>Exhibits</u>	56
<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	59

---

**Table of Contents**

**Safe Harbor Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995**

When used in this report and in public shareholder communications, in other documents of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. (the Company, we, us and our ) filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ), or in oral statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer, the words or phrases believe, will, should, will likely result, are expected to, will continue, is anticipated, project, plans, guidance or similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. These statements may relate to our future financial performance, strategic plans or objectives, revenue, expense or earnings projections, or other financial items. By their nature, these statements are subject to numerous uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in the statements.

Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated or projected include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) the occurrence of any event, change or other circumstances that could give rise to the termination of the stock purchase agreement for the Company's pending acquisition of Gateway Bancorp; (ii) the inability to complete the Gateway Bancorp transaction due to the failure to satisfy such transaction's conditions to completion (iii) risks that the Gateway Bancorp transaction or the recently completed Beach Business Bank transaction disrupt current plans and operations, the potential difficulties in customer and employee retention as a result of the transactions and the amount of the costs, fees, expenses and charges related to the transactions; (iv) continuation or worsening of current recessionary conditions, as well as continued turmoil in the financial markets; (v) the credit risks of lending activities, which may be affected by further deterioration in the real estate markets, may lead to increased loan delinquencies, losses and nonperforming assets in our loan portfolio, and may result in our allowance for loan losses not being adequate to cover actual losses and require us to materially increase our loan loss reserves; (vi) the quality and composition of our securities portfolio; (vii) changes in general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas; (viii) changes in the levels of general interest rates, and the relative differences between short- and long-term interest rates, deposit interest rates, our net interest margin and funding sources; (ix) fluctuations in the demand for loans, the number of unsold homes and other properties and fluctuations in commercial and residential real estate values in our market area; (x) results of examinations of us by regulatory authorities and the possibility that any such regulatory authority may, among other things, require us to increase our allowance for loan losses, write-down asset values, increase our capital levels, or affect our ability to borrow funds or maintain or increase deposits, which could adversely affect our liquidity and earnings; (xi) legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect our business, including changes in the interpretation of regulatory capital or other rules; (xii) our ability to control operating costs and expenses; (xiii) staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect our work force and potential associated charges; (xiv) errors in our estimates in determining fair value of certain of our assets, which may result in significant declines in valuation; (xv) the network and computer systems on which we depend could fail or experience a security breach; (xvi) our ability to attract and retain key members of our senior management team; (xvii) costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments; (xviii) increased competitive pressures among financial services companies; (xix) changes in consumer spending, borrowing and saving habits; (xx) adverse changes in the securities markets; (xxi) earthquake, fire or other natural disasters affecting the condition of real estate collateral; (xxii) the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions; (xxiii) inability of key third-party providers to perform their obligations to us; (xxiv) changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the financial institution regulatory agencies or the Financial Accounting Standards Board or their application to our business or final audit adjustments, including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods; (xxv) war or terrorist activities; and (xxvi) other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and technological factors affecting our operations, pricing, products and services and the other risks described in this report and from time to time in other documents that we file with or furnish to the SEC. You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, and we undertake no obligation to update any such statements to reflect circumstances or events that occur after the date on which the forward-looking statement is made.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 1 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition****(In thousands of dollars except share and per share data)****(Unaudited)**

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 7,211	\$ 6,755
Interest-bearing deposits	81,616	37,720
Total cash and cash equivalents	88,827	44,475
Securities available for sale	117,008	101,616
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost	6,311	6,972
Loans, net of allowance of \$11,448 at June 30, 2012 and \$12,780 at December 31, 2011	829,137	775,609
Accrued interest receivable	3,715	3,569
Other real estate owned (OREO), net	9,239	14,692
Premises and equipment, net	13,152	10,585
Capital lease assets, net	169	
Bank owned life insurance investment	18,581	18,451
Prepaid FDIC assessment	1,752	2,405
Other assets	27,229	20,667
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,115,120</b>	<b>\$ 999,041</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
<b>Deposits</b>		
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 26,594	\$ 20,039
Interest-bearing demand	53,007	68,578
Money market accounts	225,808	188,658
Savings accounts	41,827	39,176
Certificates of deposit	505,095	469,883
<b>Total deposits</b>	<b>852,331</b>	<b>786,334</b>
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	35,000	20,000
Capital lease obligation	169	
Notes payable, net	31,714	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	13,611	8,212
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>932,825</b>	<b>814,546</b>
<b>Commitments and contingent liabilities</b>		
<b>SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share, \$1,000 per share liquidation preference for a total of \$32,000; 50,000,000 shares authorized, 32,000 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	31,925	31,934
Common stock, \$.01 par value per share, 196,863,844 shares authorized; 11,774,837 shares issued and 10,604,477 shares outstanding at June 30, 2012; 11,756,636 shares issued and 10,581,704 shares outstanding at December 31, 2011	118	117
	11	11

## Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Class B non-voting non-convertible Common stock, \$.01 par value per share, 3,136,156 shares authorized; 1,078,807 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and 1,054,991 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011		
Additional paid-in capital	151,612	150,786
Retained earnings	23,746	27,623
Treasury stock, at cost (June 30, 2012-1,170,360 shares, December 31, 2011-1,174,932 shares)	(25,007)	(25,037)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net	(110)	(939)
 Total shareholders' equity	 182,295	 184,495
 Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	 \$ 1,115,120	 \$ 999,041

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss) and Comprehensive Income/(Loss)****(In thousands of dollars except share and per share data)****(Unaudited)**

	<b>Three months ended</b>		<b>Six months ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>		<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Interest and dividend income				
Loans, including fees	\$ 9,604	\$ 7,513	\$ 19,132	\$ 15,179
Securities	694	1,002	1,431	2,246
Dividends and other interest-earning assets	80	67	140	106
<b>Total interest and dividend income</b>	<b>10,378</b>	<b>8,582</b>	<b>20,703</b>	<b>17,531</b>
Interest expense				
Savings	11	97	22	187
NOW	40	16	60	32
Money market	162	61	391	127
Certificates of deposit	1,145	1,049	2,234	2,154
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	92	351	192	868
Capital leases	2		2	
Notes payable	495		495	
<b>Total interest expense</b>	<b>1,947</b>	<b>1,574</b>	<b>3,396</b>	<b>3,368</b>
<b>Net interest income</b>	<b>8,431</b>	<b>7,008</b>	<b>17,307</b>	<b>14,163</b>
Provision for loan losses	279	451	970	451
<b>Net interest income after provision for loan losses</b>	<b>8,152</b>	<b>6,557</b>	<b>16,337</b>	<b>13,712</b>
Noninterest income				
Customer service fees	378	373	739	711
Mortgage loan prepayment penalties		26	16	26
Income from bank owned life insurance	60	80	129	144
Net gain/(loss) on sales of securities available for sale	(32)	1,118	(71)	1,437
Net gain/(loss) on sales of loans	145		145	
Other	88	38	184	84
<b>Total noninterest income</b>	<b>639</b>	<b>1,635</b>	<b>1,142</b>	<b>2,402</b>
Noninterest expense				
Salaries and employee benefits	5,177	2,856	10,044	6,237
Occupancy and equipment	1,321	532	2,320	1,196
Advertising	214	51	453	111
Professional fees	987	414	1,530	749
Stationery paper, supplies, and postage	183	116	296	231
Data processing	502	323	909	616
ATM costs	91	78	184	142
FDIC expense	362	392	680	775
Loan servicing and foreclosure expense	367	532	705	456
Operating loss on equity investment	77	78	153	156
OREO valuation allowance	155	137	169	558
Net (gain)/loss on sales of other real estate owned	(192)	51	(508)	819

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Other general and administrative	699	439	1,226	769
Total noninterest expense	9,943	5,999	18,161	12,815
<b>Income/(loss) before income taxes</b>	<b>(1,152)</b>	<b>2,193</b>	<b>(682)</b>	<b>3,299</b>
Income tax expense/(benefit)	(413)	644	(320)	1,057
<b>Net income/(loss)</b>	<b>\$ (739)</b>	<b>\$ 1,549</b>	<b>\$ (362)</b>	<b>\$ 2,242</b>
Preferred stock dividends	\$ 314	\$	\$ 714	\$
Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders	\$ (1,053)	\$ 1,549	\$ (1,076)	\$ 2,242
Basic earnings/(loss) per common share	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.16	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.23
Diluted earnings/(loss) per common share	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.16	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.23
Other comprehensive income/(loss), before tax:				
Change in net unrealized gains on securities:				
Net unrealized holding gains arising during the period	509	(2,369)	1,338	(1,476)
Less: reclassification adjustment for (gains)/losses included in net income	32	(1,118)	71	(1,437)
Net unrealized gains, net of reclassification adjustments	541	(3,487)	1,409	(2,913)
Income tax expense/(benefit) related to items of other comprehensive income	223	(1,430)	580	(1,201)
Total other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax	318	(2,057)	829	(1,712)
Comprehensive income/(loss)	\$ (421)	\$ (508)	\$ 467	\$ 530

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents****First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Shareholder s Equity****(In thousands of dollars, except share and per share data)****(Unaudited)**

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Unearned ESOP	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net	Total
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$	\$ 109	\$ 123,170	\$ 35,773	\$ (25,135)	\$ (507)	\$ 2,599	\$ 136,009
Net Loss				(2,728)				(2,728)
Other comprehensive (loss), net							(3,538)	(3,538)
Forfeiture and retirement of shares and common stock			13		(13)			
Stock option compensation expense			816					816
ESOP forfeitures used to reduce ESOP contribution			7					7
Stock awards earned			412					412
Issuance of stock awards			(611)		107			(504)
Purchase of 5,224 shares of treasury stock					(55)			(55)
Employee stock ownership plan shares earned			98			507		605
Tax benefit/(loss) of restricted share awards vesting			(4)					(4)
Dividends declared (\$.45 per common share)			516	(4,888)				(4,372)
Repurchase of warrants TARP			(1,003)					(1,003)
Tax effect of ESOP			256					256
Tax effect of options redeemed			147					147
Reissuance of ESOP shares			(59)		59			
Preferred stock dividends				(534)				(534)
Issuance of 32,000 shares of preferred stock, net of issuance costs of \$66	31,934							31,934
Net proceeds from stock issuance		19	27,028					27,047
Balance at December 31, 2011	31,934	128	150,786	27,623	(25,037)		(939)	184,495
Net Loss				(362)				(362)
Other comprehensive income, net							829	829
Forfeiture and retirement of 200 shares and common stock			3		(3)			
Stock option compensation expense			427					427
RRP option compensation expense			104					104
Issuance of 5,000 stock awards			(106)		106			
Purchase of 228 shares of treasury stock					(73)			(73)
Dividends declared (\$0.24 per common share)		1	421	(2,801)				(2,379)
Preferred stock issuance costs	(9)							(9)
Preferred stock dividends				(714)				(714)
			(17)					(17)

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Tax benefit/(loss) of RRP shares vesting									
Capital raising expenses				(6)					(6)
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 31,925	\$ 129	\$ 151,612	\$ 23,746	\$ (25,007)	\$	\$	(110)	\$ 182,295

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(In thousands of dollars)****(Unaudited)**

	<b>Six months ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>		
Net income/(loss)	\$ (362)	\$ 2,242
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities		
Provision for loan losses	970	451
Net amortization/(accretion) of securities	433	(400)
Net amortization/(accretion) of debt	34	
Depreciation and amortization	535	283
Employee stock ownership plan compensation expense		346
Stock option compensation expense	427	347
Stock award compensation expense	104	66
Bank owned life insurance income	(129)	(144)
Operating loss on equity investment	153	156
Net (gain)/loss on sales of securities available-for-sale	71	(1,437)
Net (gain)/loss on sales of other real estate owned	(508)	819
Deferred income tax (benefit)/expense	517	(1,293)
Increase in valuation allowances on other real estate owned	169	3,243
Net change in:		
Deferred loan costs	355	266
Premiums and discounts on purchased loans	(425)	
Accrued interest receivable	(146)	65
Other assets	8,074	(3,425)
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	(1,023)	1,997
Net cash from operating activities	9,249	3,582
<b>Cash flows from investing activities</b>		
Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale	5,682	18,192
Proceeds from maturities, calls and principal repayments of securities available-for-sale	21,932	13,966
Purchases of securities available-for-sale	(42,229)	(43,220)
Funding of equity investment	(375)	
Loan originations and principal collections, net	(65,714)	(10,452)
Purchase of loans	(22,385)	
Redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	661	673
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	7,015	3,286
Proceeds from sale of loans	22,947	
Additions to premises and equipment	(3,092)	(2,655)
Payments on capital lease obligations	(10)	
Net cash from investing activities	(75,568)	(20,210)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities</b>		
Repurchase of warrants, TARP		(1,003)
Net increase in deposits	65,997	39,626
Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(20,000)	(45,000)
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances	35,000	
SBLF expense		(7)

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Net proceeds from issuance of common stock		25,977
Net proceeds from issuance of debt	31,680	
Purchase of stock	(82)	(4)
Tax benefit/(loss) from RRP shares vesting	(17)	(1)
Tax effect of ESOP		148
Tax effect of options redeemed		147
Dividends paid on DRIP shares	5	
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(714)	
Dividends paid on common stock	(1,191)	(1,323)
Net cash from financing activities	110,671	18,567
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	44,352	1,939
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	44,475	59,100
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 88,827</b>	<b>\$ 61,039</b>
Supplemental cash flow information		
Interest paid on deposits and borrowed funds	\$ 3,380	\$ 3,412
Income taxes paid		950
Supplemental disclosure of noncash activities		
Transfer from loans to loans provided for sales of other real estate owned		
Transfer from loans to other real estate owned, net	3,614	12,719
Equipment acquired under capital leases	179	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

---

**Table of Contents**

FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2012

(Amounts in thousands of dollars, except share and per share data)

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. (the Company) and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Pacific Trust Bank (the Bank) and PTB Property Holdings, LLC, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations for reporting on Form 10-Q. Accordingly, certain disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles are not included herein. These interim statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The December 31, 2011 balance sheet presented herein has been derived from the audited financial statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, but does not include all of the disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Interim statements are subject to possible adjustment in connection with the annual audit of the Company for the year ending December 31, 2012. In the opinion of management of the Company, the accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements reflect all of the adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial position and consolidated results of operations for the periods presented. Certain reclassifications have been made in the prior period financial statements to conform to the current period presentation.

The results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

*Principles of Consolidation:* The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries, the Bank and PTB Property Holdings, LLC. All significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

*Nature of Operations:* The principal business of the Company is the ownership of the Bank. The Bank is a federally chartered stock savings bank and a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) system, which maintains insurance on deposit accounts with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

The Bank is engaged in the business of retail banking, with operations conducted through its main office, eleven full-service branch offices, three limited-service deposit gathering branches and one loan production office, primarily serving San Diego, Los Angeles, Orange and Riverside Counties, California. There are no significant concentrations of loans to any one industry or customer. However, the customers' ability to repay their loans is significantly dependent on the real estate market and general economic conditions in the area.

On April 5, 2012, the Company announced that the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the OCC) terminated the August 2009 Memorandum of Understanding between the Office of Thrift Supervision (the OTS) which was succeeded as the bank's primary regulator effective July 21, 2011 by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Bank, effective April 4, 2012. The Memorandum of Understanding subjected the Bank to additional oversight by its regulator and placed certain restrictions on its business.

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company are based upon U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and conform to predominant practices within the banking industry. Significant accounting policies followed by the Company are presented below.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

*Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements:* The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and disclosures provided, and actual results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, other real estate owned, realization of deferred tax assets, and the fair value of financial instruments are particularly subject to change and such change could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

*Affordable Housing Fund:* The Company has two equity investments in affordable housing funds originally totaling \$9.2 million for purposes of obtaining tax credits and for Community Reinvestment Act purposes. These investments are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method of accounting, the Company recognizes its ownership share of the profits and losses of the fund. The Company obtains tax credits from these investments which reduce income tax expense for a period of 10 years. These investments are regularly evaluated for impairment by comparing the carrying value to the remaining tax credits expected to be received. For the six month periods ending June 30, 2012 and 2011, our share of the funds' operating losses was \$153 thousand and \$156 thousand, respectively. The balance of the investments at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$6.4 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, and is included in other assets.

---

**Table of Contents**

*Concentration of Credit Risk:* Most of the Company's business activity is with customers located within San Diego, Los Angeles, Orange and Riverside Counties, California. Therefore, the Company's exposure to credit risk is significantly affected by economic conditions in those areas.

*Allowance for Loan Losses:* The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate by management to provide for probable incurred credit losses. The allowance is increased by provisions charged against income, while loan losses are charged against the allowance when management deems a loan balance to be uncollectible. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. The Company performs an analysis of the adequacy of the allowance on a monthly basis. Management estimates the allowance balance required using past loan loss experience, the nature and volume of the portfolio, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions, and other factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged off. The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are individually classified as impaired. A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Company evaluates all impaired loans individually under the guidance of ASC 310, primarily through the evaluation of collateral values and cash flows. Loans for which the terms have been modified and where the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. If a loan is impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated so that the loan is reported, net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Troubled debt restructurings are also measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's effective rate at inception or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Troubled debt restructurings are separately identified for impairment disclosures and are measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's effective rate at inception. If a troubled debt restructuring is considered to be a collateral dependent loan, the loan is reported, net, at the fair value of the collateral. For troubled debt restructurings that subsequently default, the Company determines the amount of reserve in accordance with the accounting policy for the allowance for loan losses.

The general component of the allowance for loan losses covers loans that are not impaired and is determined by portfolio segment and is based on actual loss history experienced by the Company. This actual loss experience is supplemented with other economic factors based on the risks present for each portfolio segment. These economic factors include consideration of the following: levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of any changes in risk selection and underwriting standards; other changes in lending policies, procedures, and practices; experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; national and local economic trends and conditions; industry conditions; effects of changes in credit concentrations and other factors. The historical loss analysis is also combined with a comprehensive loan to value analysis to analyze the associated risks in the current loan portfolio. For 2011 and 2012, the Company used a three year loss look back for determining the level of its allowance for loan losses. Prior to this, the Company used a one year look back. This change was made to better reflect the improving state of the loan portfolio as delinquencies have declined and loan losses have leveled. An updated loan to value analysis is obtained from an independent firm semi-annually, most recently in May 2012. Management uses available information to recognize loan losses, however, future loan loss provisions may be necessary based on changes in the above mentioned factors. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the allowance for loan losses and may require the Bank to recognize additional provisions based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

The following portfolio segments have been identified: commercial and industrial, commercial real estate mortgage, multi-family, land, residential real estate one-to four- family first mortgage, residential real estate one-to four- family junior lien mortgage, and other revolving credit and installment. The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis includes all loans delinquent over 60 days and non-homogenous loans such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. Classification of problem one-to-four family-residential loans is performed on a monthly basis while analysis of non-homogenous loans is performed on a quarterly basis.

**Table of Contents**

Loans secured by multi-family and commercial real estate properties generally involve a greater degree of credit risk than one-to-four-family residential mortgage loans. Because payments on loan secured by multi-family and commercial real estate properties are often dependent on the successful operation or management of the properties, repayment of these loans may be subject to adverse conditions in the real estate market or the economy. Commercial business loans are also considered to have a greater degree of credit risk than one- to four-family residential mortgage loans due to the fact commercial business loans are typically made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from the cash flow of the borrower's business. As a result, the availability of funds for the repayment of commercial business loans may be substantially dependent on the success of the business itself (which, in turn, is often dependent in part upon general economic conditions). Consumer and other real estate loans may entail greater risk than do one- to four- family residential mortgage loans given that collection of these loans is dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability and, thus, are more likely to be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, illness, or personal bankruptcy. Negatively amortizing and interest only loans are also considered to carry a higher degree of credit risk due to their unique cash flows. The Bank's Green Account Mortgages tend to have lower levels of delinquencies as a result of the borrower's ability to meet their monthly payments obligations by increasing the level of their line of credit. Credit risk on this asset class is also managed through the completion of regular re-appraisals of the underlying collateral and monitoring of the borrower's usage of this account to determine if the borrower is making monthly payments from external sources or draw downs on their line. In cases where the property values have declined to levels less than the original loan-to-value, or other levels deemed prudent by the Bank, the Bank may freeze the line and/or require monthly payments or principal reductions to bring the loan in balance.

*Classified Assets:* Federal regulations provide for the classification of loans and other assets, such as debt and equity securities considered by the OCC to be of lesser quality, as substandard, doubtful or loss. An asset is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Substandard assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that the insured institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. The Bank includes in its classification of

Substandard Assets loans that are performing under terms of a TDR, but where the borrower has yet to make twelve or more payments under the TDR, and where the loan remains impaired, as well as loans where the borrower is current in his or her payments on the subject Classified Loan but may be a guarantor on another loan that is classified as a result of weakness in the credit or collateral ( Relationship ). TDR loans that have continued to make payments for twelve months or more, but where the collateral remains impaired, retain a Substandard classification. As of June 30, 2012, the Bank had \$3.9 million of TDR loans classified as substandard with less than twelve months of payment performance and \$5.5 million of TDR loans classified as substandard with payment performance for more than twelve months. Assets classified as doubtful have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. Assets classified as loss are those considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as assets without the establishment of a specific loss reserve is not warranted. When an insured institution classifies problem assets as either substandard or doubtful, it may establish general or specific allowances for loan losses in an amount deemed prudent by management and approved by the Board of Directors. General allowances represent loss allowances which have been established to recognize the inherent risk associated with lending activities, but, unlike specific allowances, have not been allocated to particular problem assets. When an insured institution classifies problem assets as loss, it is required either to establish a specific allowance for losses equal to 100% of that portion of the asset so classified or to charge off such amount. An institution's determination as to the classification of its assets and the amount of its valuation allowances is subject to review by the OCC, which may order the establishment of additional general or specific loss allowances.

In connection with the filing of the Bank's periodic reports with the OCC and in accordance with our classification of assets policy, the Bank regularly reviews the problem assets in its portfolio to determine whether any assets require classification in accordance with applicable regulations.

*Purchased Credit-impaired Loans:* The Company purchases loans with and without evidence of credit quality deterioration since origination. Evidence of credit quality deterioration as of the purchase date may include statistics such as prior loan modification history, updated borrower credit scores and updated loan-to-value (LTV) ratios, some of which are not immediately available as of the purchase date. Purchased loans with evidence of credit quality deterioration where the Company estimates that it will not receive all contractual payments are accounted for as purchased credit impaired loans ( PCI loans ). The excess of the cash flows expected to be collected on PCI loans, measured as of the acquisition date, over the estimated fair value is referred to as the accretible yield and is recognized in interest income over the remaining life of the loan using a level yield methodology. The difference between contractually required payments as of the acquisition date and the cash flows expected to be collected is referred to as the nonaccretible difference. PCI loans that have similar risk characteristics, primarily credit risk, collateral type and interest rate risk, are pooled and accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows.



**Table of Contents**

The Company estimates cash flows expected to be collected over the life of the loan using management's best estimate of current key assumptions such as default rates, loss severity and payment speeds. If, upon subsequent evaluation, the Company determines it is probable that the present value of the expected cash flows have decreased, the PCI loan is considered further impaired which will result in a charge to the provision for credit losses and a corresponding increase to a valuation allowance included in the allowance for loan losses. If, upon subsequent evaluation, it is probable that there is an increase in the present value of the expected cash flows, the Company will reduce any remaining valuation allowance. If there is no remaining valuation allowance, the Company will recalculate the amount of accretable yield as the excess of the revised expected cash flows over the current carrying value resulting in a reclassification from nonaccretable difference to accretable yield. The present value of the expected cash flows is determined using the PCI loans' effective interest rate, adjusted for changes in the PCI loans' interest rate indexes. Loan dispositions, which may include sales of loans, receipt of payments in full from the borrower or foreclosure, result in removal of the loan from the PCI loan pool. Write-downs are not recorded on the PCI loan pool until actual losses exceed the remaining nonaccretable difference. To date, no write-downs have been recorded for the PCI loan pools held by the Company, all of which were purchased by the Company during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

*Capital Lease Assets and Capital Lease Obligation:* A capital lease asset and capital lease obligation are recorded when the Company determines that the terms of a lease include at least one of the following: (i) transfer of ownership of the leased property at the end of the lease term; (ii) a bargain purchase option; (iii) lease term equal to 75% or more of the estimated economic life of the leased property; (iv) present value of minimum lease payments at the beginning of the lease term equal to or in excess of 90% of the fair value of the leased property. The capital lease asset and capital lease obligation are initially recorded at the present value of the minimum lease payments. The Company calculates the present value of the minimum lease payments using the lower of the interest rate implicit in the lease and the Company's own incremental borrowing rate. If the use of the lower rate results in the present value of minimum lease payments being greater than the fair value of the leased property, the Company records the capital lease asset and capital lease obligation at the fair value of the leased property. The capital lease asset is depreciated using the straight-line method over the appropriate term which is determined through the analysis of criteria (i) through (iv) discussed above. During the lease term, each minimum lease payment is allocated between a reduction of the capital lease obligation and interest expense to produce a constant periodic rate of interest on the remaining balance of the obligation.

*Income Taxes:* Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is more likely than not that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the more likely than not test, no tax benefit is recorded. The Company had a \$1.3 million valuation allowance for its net deferred tax asset at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. See further discussion in Note 12, Income Taxes, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of multiple state jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to examination by U.S. Federal taxing authorities for years before 2008 and for all state income taxes before 2007.

The Company recognizes interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. The Company had \$0 accrued for interest and penalties at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

*Comprehensive Income/(Loss):* Comprehensive income/(loss) consists of net income/(loss) and other comprehensive income or loss. Other comprehensive income or loss includes unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale, net of tax, which are also recognized as a separate component of shareholders' equity.

*Reclassifications:* Some items in the prior year financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation. Reclassifications had no effect on prior year net income or shareholders' equity.

*Adoption of New Accounting Standards:* In May, 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an amendment to achieve common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements between U.S. and International accounting principles. Overall, the guidance is consistent with existing U.S. accounting principles; however, there are some amendments that change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The effect of adopting this standard did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated operating results or financial condition, but the additional disclosures are included in Note 5.

In June 2011, the FASB amended existing guidance and eliminated the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of shareholder's equity. The amendment requires that comprehensive income be presented in either a single continuous statement or in two separate consecutive statements. The adoption of this amendment changed the presentation of



**Table of Contents**

the components of comprehensive income for the Company from being presented as part of the consolidated statement of shareholder's equity to being included in a single statement with the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income/(loss).

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08 Intangibles-Goodwill and Other. The amendments in ASU 2011-08 will allow an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. Under these amendments, an entity would not be required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. The amendments include a number of events and circumstances for an entity to consider in conducting the qualitative assessment. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of ASU 2011-08 did not impact the Company's consolidated financial statements or disclosures.

Effective January 2012, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-03, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements (ASU 2011-03). ASU 2011-03 is intended to improve financial reporting of repurchase agreements and refocus the assessment of effective control on a transferor's contractual rights and obligations rather than practical ability to perform those rights and obligations. The guidance in ASU 2011-03 was effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this update did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

*Newly Issued But Not Yet Effective Accounting Standards:* In December 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standards update to increase the disclosure requirements surrounding derivative instruments that are offset within the balance sheet pursuant to the provisions of current generally accepted accounting principles. The objective of the update is to provide greater comparability between issuers reporting under U.S. versus International accounting principles and provide users the ability to evaluate the effect of netting arrangements on a company's financial statements. The provisions of the update are effective for annual and interim periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and are not currently expected to add to the Company's current level of disclosures.

**NOTE 3 EMPLOYEE STOCK COMPENSATION**

The Company has multiple share based compensation plans as described below. Total compensation cost that has been charged against income for the Company's stock compensation plans was \$248 thousand and \$532 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. Total compensation cost that has been charged against income for the Company's stock compensation plans was \$217 thousand and \$413 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The total income tax expense was \$16 thousand and \$17 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

The total income tax expense was zero and \$1 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

**Table of Contents*****Restricted Share Awards*****2003 Recognition and Retention Plan**

The Company's 2003 Recognition and Retention Plan ( RRP ) provides for the issuance of up to 211,600 shares to directors, officers, and employees. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period of the shares based on the market value at date of grant. At June 30, 2012, all 211,600 shares were issued. These shares vest over a five-year period. Compensation expense for the RRP awards totaled approximately \$10 thousand and \$20 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and \$6 thousand and \$12 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, there was \$82 thousand of total unrecognized compensation cost related to 8,318 nonvested awards under the RRP. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of less than five years.

A summary of changes in the Company's nonvested shares awarded under the RRP for the three months ended June 30, 2012 follows:

Nonvested shares	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair-Value
Nonvested at March 31, 2012	8,318	\$ 11.78
Granted		
Vested		
Forfeited/expired		
Nonvested at June 30, 2012	8,318	\$ 11.78

A summary of changes in the Company's nonvested shares awarded under the RRP for the six months ended June 30, 2012 follows:

Nonvested shares	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair-Value
Nonvested at January 1, 2012	8,958	\$ 12.15
Granted		
Vested	(640)	17.00
Forfeited/expired		
Nonvested at June 30, 2012	8,318	\$ 11.78

A summary of changes in the Company's nonvested shares awarded under the RRP for the three months ended June 30, 2011 follows:

Nonvested shares	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair-Value
Nonvested at March 31, 2011	11,518	\$ 12.49
Granted		
Vested		
Forfeited/expired	(440)	22.23
Nonvested at June 30, 2011	11,078	\$ 12.10

A summary of changes in the Company's nonvested shares awarded under the RRP for the six months ended June 30, 2011 follows:

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Nonvested shares	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair-Value	
Nonvested at January 1, 2011	12,378	\$	13.17
Granted			
Vested			
Forfeited/expired	(1,300)		22.23
Nonvested at June 30, 2011	11,078	\$	12.10

**Table of Contents****Inducement Grants**

One-time inducement restricted shares were granted during 2010 and 2011 to newly hired executive officers. No inducement restricted shares were granted during the three or six months ended June 30, 2012. These one-time inducement grants were made outside of the RRP and the Omnibus Incentive Plan (described below). These shares vest over a three year period. Compensation expense for the inducement restricted share awards totaled approximately \$25 thousand and \$50 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and \$22 thousand and \$43 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, there was \$172 thousand of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the 17,667 nonvested inducement restricted share awards. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of less than three years.

A summary of changes related to the Company's nonvested inducement restricted share awards for the three months ended June 30, 2012 follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Nonvested at March 31, 2012	19,333	\$ 12.32
Granted		
Vested	(1,666)	14.48
Forfeited or expired		
Nonvested at June 30, 2012	17,667	\$ 12.12

A summary of changes related to the Company's nonvested inducement restricted share awards for the six months ended June 30, 2012 follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Nonvested at January 1, 2012	26,500	\$ 12.12
Granted		
Vested	(8,833)	12.12
Forfeited or expired		
Nonvested at June 30, 2012	17,667	\$ 12.12

A summary of changes related to the Company's nonvested inducement restricted share awards for the three months ended June 30, 2011 follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Nonvested at March 31, 2011	21,500	\$ 11.57
Granted	5,000	14.48
Vested		
Forfeited or expired		
Nonvested at June 30, 2011	26,500	\$ 12.12

A summary of changes related to the Company's nonvested inducement restricted share awards for the six months ended June 30, 2011 follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Nonvested at January 1, 2011	21,500	\$ 11.57
Granted	5,000	14.48
Vested		
Forfeited or expired		
Nonvested at June 30, 2011	26,500	\$ 12.12

**Table of Contents****2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan**

During June, 2011, the Company adopted its 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan under the terms of which participating employees and directors may be awarded stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units, other stock-based awards or cash awards. The total number of shares of common stock available for awards under the plan is 950,000, of which no more than 300,000 shares may be used for awards other than stock options and stock appreciation rights. There were 48,606 shares awarded as restricted shares from this plan as of June 30, 2012. These shares vest over a one year period. Compensation expense for these awards totaled approximately \$25 thousand and \$42 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. Compensation expense for these awards totaled approximately \$2 thousand for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. As of June 30, 2012, there was \$201 thousand of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the 24,918 nonvested shares awarded under the Omnibus Incentive Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of less than one year.

A summary of changes related to the Company's nonvested restricted share awards under the Omnibus Incentive Plan for the three months ended June 30, 2012 follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Nonvested at March 31, 2012	23,158	\$ 12.42
Granted	5,000	12.12
Vested	(3,240)	12.07
Forfeited or expired		
Nonvested at June 30, 2012	24,918	\$ 12.41

A summary of changes related to the Company's nonvested restricted share awards under the Omnibus Incentive Plan for the six months ended June 30, 2012 follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Nonvested at January 1, 2012	23,158	\$ 12.42
Granted	5,000	12.12
Vested	(3,240)	12.07
Forfeited or expired		
Nonvested at June 30, 2012	24,918	\$ 12.41

A summary of changes related to the Company's nonvested restricted share awards under the Omnibus Incentive Plan for the three months ended June 30, 2011 follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Nonvested at March 31, 2011		\$
Granted	5,000	15.81
Vested		
Forfeited or expired		
Nonvested at June 30, 2011	5,000	\$ 15.81

***Stock Options*****2003 Stock Option Plan**



## Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

In addition to the Omnibus Incentive Plan discussed above, the Company has a 2003 Stock Option Plan ( SOP ) which provides for the issuance of options to directors, officers, and employees. The Company recorded stock compensation expense of \$214 thousand and \$427 thousand as salary and employee benefits expense during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and \$164 thousand and \$328 thousand as salary and employee benefits expense during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

The Company adopted the SOP during 2003 under the terms of which 529,000 shares of the Company's common stock may be awarded. At June 30, 2012, the number of shares available for future awards was 16,500. The options become exercisable in equal installments over a five-year period from the date of grant. The options expire ten years from the date of grant. The fair value of options granted are computed using option pricing models, using weighted-average assumptions as of grant date. The fair value of each option is estimated on the date of grant using a closed form option valuation (Black-Scholes) model. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatilities of the Company's common stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and post-vesting termination behavior. The expected term of options granted is based on historical data and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding, which takes into account that the options are not transferable. The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. There were no options granted in 2012 or 2011 under the SOP. There were no options granted, exercised or forfeited during the three or six months ended June 30, 2012 or 2011 and no options are outstanding under this plan at June 30, 2012.

**Inducement Grants**

During 2010 and 2011, 850,000 inducement options were granted to newly hired executive officers. No inducement options were granted during the six months ended June 30, 2012 or 2011. These one-time inducement options were granted outside of the SOP and the Omnibus Incentive Plan. None of these options were exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2012 or 2011. These options have a three year vesting period. As of June 30, 2012, there was \$1.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested inducement options. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of less than three years.

The following table represents inducement option activity during the three months ended June 30, 2012:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)
Outstanding at March 31, 2012	850,000	\$ 11.71	1.66
Granted			
Exercised			
Forfeited or expired			
Outstanding at June 30, 2012	850,000	\$ 11.71	1.41
Fully vested and expected to vest	807,500	\$ 11.12	1.41
Options exercisable at June 30, 2012	283,328	\$ 11.71	1.41

The following table represents inducement option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2012:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)
Outstanding at January 1, 2012	850,000	\$ 11.71	1.91
Granted			
Exercised			
Forfeited or expired			
Outstanding at June 30, 2012	850,000	\$ 11.71	1.41
Fully vested and expected to vest	807,500	\$ 11.12	1.41
Options exercisable at June 30, 2012	283,328	\$ 11.71	1.41



**Table of Contents**

The following table represents inducement option activity during the three months ended June 30, 2011:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)
Outstanding at March 31, 2011	770,000	\$ 11.42	2.00
Granted	80,000	14.48	
Exercised			
Forfeited or expired			
Outstanding at June 30, 2011	850,000	\$ 11.71	2.00
Fully vested and expected to vest	807,500	\$ 11.12	2.00
Options exercisable at June 30, 2011		\$	

The following table represents inducement option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	770,000	\$ 11.42	2.00
Granted	80,000	14.48	
Exercised			
Forfeited or expired			
Outstanding at June 30, 2011	850,000	\$ 11.71	2.00
Fully vested and expected to vest	807,500	\$ 11.12	2.00
Options exercisable at June 30, 2011		\$	

**Omnibus Incentive Plan**

During 2011, 68,569 shares were awarded as stock options under the Omnibus Incentive Plan. These options were awarded to Company and Bank directors in lieu of, or in combination with cash compensation for director services. No options were issued during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The options become exercisable one year from the date of grant. The options expire ten years from the date of grant. The fair value of options granted are computed using option pricing models, using weighted-average assumptions as of grant date. The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a closed form option valuation (Black Scholes) model. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatilities of the Company's common stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and post-vesting termination behavior. The expected term of options granted is based on historical data and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding, which takes into account that the options are not transferable. The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

As of June 30, 2012, all of the compensation cost related to vested stock options awarded under the Omnibus Incentive Plan had been recognized as the options had completely vested at June 30, 2012.

The following table represents option activity under the Omnibus Incentive Plan during the three months ended June 30, 2012:

Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining
--------	---------------------------------------	----------------------------------

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

				<b>Contractual Term (Years)</b>
Outstanding at March 31, 2012	68,569	\$	15.81	0.22
Granted				
Exercised				
Forfeited or expired				
Outstanding at June 30, 2012	68,569	\$	15.81	
Fully vested and expected to vest	68,569	\$	15.81	
Options exercisable at June 30, 2012	68,569		15.81	

**Table of Contents**

The following table represents option activity under the Omnibus Incentive Plan during the six months ended June 30, 2012:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)
Outstanding at January 1, 2012	68,569	\$ 15.81	0.47
Granted			
Exercised			
Forfeited or expired			
Outstanding at June 30, 2012	68,569	\$ 15.81	
Fully vested and expected to vest	68,569	\$ 15.81	
Options exercisable at June 30, 2012	68,569	15.81	

The intrinsic value for stock options is calculated based on the exercise price of the underlying awards and the market price of our common stock as of the reporting date. ASC 718 and 505 require the recognition of stock based compensation for the number of awards that are ultimately expected to vest. As a result, recognized stock compensation expense was reduced for estimated forfeitures prior to vesting primarily based on historical annual forfeiture rates of approximately 5% for senior management and the board of directors and 45% for all other employees. Estimated forfeitures will be reassessed in subsequent periods and may change based on new facts and circumstances.

**NOTE 4 EARNINGS/(LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE**

Basic earnings/(loss) per common share were computed by dividing net income/(loss) available to common shareholders after subtracting preferred stock dividends by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings/(loss) per common share were computed by dividing net income/(loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding, adjusted for the dilutive effect, if any, of the outstanding stock options, restricted stock awards and warrants to purchase common stock. Computations for basic and diluted earnings/(loss) per common share are provided below.

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Basic</b>				
Net income/(loss)	\$ (739)	\$ 1,549	\$ (362)	\$ 2,242
Less: Dividends on preferred stock	(314)		(714)	
Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders	\$ (1,053)	\$ 1,549	\$ (1,076)	\$ 2,242
Weighted average common shares outstanding	11,675,487	9,753,153	11,664,679	9,707,554
Basic earnings/(loss) per common share	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.16	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.23
<b>Diluted</b>				
Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders	\$ (1,053)	\$ 1,549	\$ (1,076)	\$ 2,242
Weighted average common shares outstanding for basic earnings per common share	11,675,487	9,753,153	11,664,679	9,707,554
Add: Dilutive effects of stock options		3,974		3,895
Add: Dilutive effects of stock awards		28,076		10,711
Add: Dilutive effects of warrants				

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Average shares and dilutive potential common shares	11,675,487	9,785,203	11,664,679	9,722,160
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.16	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.23

---

**Table of Contents**

All outstanding options, stock awards, and warrants were not considered in computing diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 because they were anti-dilutive. 156,580 outstanding options and 236,850 stock awards were not considered in computing diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, because they were anti-dilutive. They were anti-dilutive since there was a net loss available to common shareholders and/or the exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common stock.

**NOTE 5 FAIR VALUES OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

**Fair Value Hierarchy.** ASC 820-10 establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The topic describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

**Securities Available for Sale.** The fair values of securities available for sale are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs). For securities where quoted prices or market prices of similar securities are not available, fair values are calculated using discounted cash flows or other market indicators (Level 3). The fair values of the Company's Level 3 securities are determined by the Company and an independent third-party provider using a discounted cash flow methodology. The methodology uses discount rates that are based upon observed market yields for similar securities. Prepayment speeds are estimated based upon the prepayment history of each bond and a detailed analysis of the underlying collateral. Gross weighted average coupon, geographic concentrations, loan to value, FICO and seasoning are among the different loan attributes that are factored into our prepayment curve. Default rates and severity are estimated based upon geography of the collateral, delinquency, modifications, loan to value ratios, FICO scores, and past performance.

**Impaired Loans.** The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses based on collateral values is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company charged off \$0.0 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, of specific valuation allowance allocations related to changes in reporting requirements for OCC regulated thrifts which no longer permit the use of these specific valuation allowances.

**Other Real Estate Owned Assets.** Other real estate owned assets (OREO) are recorded at the fair value less estimated costs to sell at the time of foreclosure. The fair value of other real estate owned assets is generally based on recent real estate appraisals adjusted for estimated selling costs. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. Only OREO with a valuation allowance are considered to be carried at fair value. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company experienced \$155 thousand and \$169 thousand in valuation allowance expense for those assets, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company experienced \$137 thousand and \$558 thousand in valuation allowance expense for those assets, respectively.

**Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring and Non Recurring Basis**

Available for sale securities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, impaired loans and other real estate owned are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis.





**Table of Contents**

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2012 Using			
	Carrying Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level Two)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
<b>Assets</b>				
Available-for-sale securities:				
Municipal securities (recurring)	\$ 6,864	\$	\$ 6,864	\$
Private label residential mortgage-backed securities (recurring)	57,318			57,318
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities (recurring)	52,826		2	52,824
Other real estate owned assets (non recurring)				
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	211			211
Multi-family	2,480			2,480
Land	2,427			2,427

The table below presents a reconciliation of all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012:

	Three months ended June 30, 2012	Six months ended June 30, 2012
	Investment Securities Available-for-sale	Investment Securities Available-for-sale
Balance of recurring Level 3 assets at beginning of period	\$ 91,688	\$ 91,862
Total gains or (losses) (realized/unrealized):		
Included in earnings realized	(32)	(71)
Included in earnings unrealized		
Included in other comprehensive income	695	1,373
Amortization of premium/discount	(438)	(438)
Purchases	30,288	41,031
Sales, issuances and settlements	(12,059)	(23,615)
Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3		
Balance of recurring Level 3 assets at June 30, 2012	\$ 110,142	\$ 110,142

There were no significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the three or six months ended June 30, 2012.

Other real estate owned which is measured at fair value less costs to sell having a valuation allowance, had a net carrying amount of \$5.1 million, which is made up of the outstanding balance of \$8.3 million, net of a valuation allowance of \$3.2 million at June 30, 2012.

Carrying Value	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2011 Using		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets	Significant Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

for (Level Two)  
**Identical**  
**Assets**  
**(Level One)**

Assets				
Available-for-sale securities:				
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agency securities (recurring)	\$ 4,038	\$	\$	4,038
Municipal securities (recurring)	5,713			5,713
Private label residential mortgage-backed securities (recurring)	76,203			76,203
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities (recurring)	15,662		3	15,659

**Table of Contents**

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2011 Using		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level Two)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
Impaired loans: (non recurring)			
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	6,893		6,893
Multi-family	1,638		1,638
Land	1,164		1,164
Other real estate owned assets: (non recurring)			
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	8,224		8,224
Multi-family	2,480		2,480
Land	3,988		3,988

The table below presents a reconciliation of all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011:

	Three months ended June 30, 2011 Investment Securities Available-for-sale	Six months ended June 30, 2011 Investment Securities Available-for-sale
Balance of recurring Level 3 assets at beginning of period	\$ 52,097	\$ 54,246
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):		
Included in earnings realized	1,102	1,421
Included in earnings unrealized		
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	(710)	(2,992)
Purchases	10,861	31,203
Sales, issuances and settlements	(6,308)	(26,836)
Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3		
Balance of recurring Level 3 assets at June 30, 2011	\$ 57,042	\$ 57,042

There were no significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the three six months ended June 30, 2011.

Impaired loans measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis with specific allowances allocations are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans. These loans totaled \$12.6 million and had a carrying amount of \$9.7 million, net of specific allowance allocations of \$2.9 million at December 31, 2011.

Other real estate owned which is measured at fair value less costs to sell having a valuation allowance, had a net carrying amount of \$14.7 million, which is made up of the outstanding balance of \$18.8 million, net of a valuation allowance of \$4.1 million at December 31, 2011.

The following table presents quantitative information about level 3 fair value measurements at June 30, 2012:

	Fair value	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Input(s)	Range (Weighted Average)
Private label residential mortgage backed securities	\$ 57,318	Discounted cash flow	Voluntary prepayment rate	0.9 to 35.1 (12.8)

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

(recurring)			Collateral default rate	1.0 to 9.5 (3.4)
			Loss severity at default	2.4 to 66.6 (33.7)
Agency residential mortgage based securities (recurring)	52,824	Discounted cash flow	Voluntary prepayment rate	0.9 to 35.1 (12.8)
			Collateral default rate	1.0 to 9.5 (3.4)
			Loss severity at default	2.4 to 66.6 (33.7)
Other real estate owned assets 1-4 family first mortgage (non-recurring)	211	Sales comparison approach	Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales	-10.845% to 11.496% (-1.387%)
Other real estate owned assets Multi-family (non-recurring)	2,480	Sales comparison approach	Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales	-51.2% to 50.6% (-18.42%)
Other real estate owned assets Land (non-recurring)	2,427	Sales comparison approach	Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales	-37.7% to -3.6% (-15.26%)

**Table of Contents**

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's private label and agency residential mortgage backed securities are prepayment rates, collateral default rates, and loss severity in the event of default. Significant increases/(decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower/(higher) fair value measurement. Generally, a change in the assumption used for the collateral default rates is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows:

	Carrying Value	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2012 Using			Total
		Level One	Level Two	Level Three	
<b>Financial assets</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 88,827	\$ 88,827	\$	\$	\$ 88,827
Securities available-for-sale	117,008		6,866	110,142	117,008
FHLB stock	6,311	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Loans receivable, net	829,137			834,706	834,706
Accrued interest receivable	3,715	9		3,706	3,715
<b>Financial liabilities</b>					
Deposits	852,331	347,236	501,390		848,626
Advances from FHLB	35,000		35,081		35,081
Notes payable	31,714	33,001			33,001
Accrued interest payable	233	6	227		233

	Carrying Value	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2011 Using			Total
		Level One	Level Two	Level Three	
<b>Financial assets</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 44,475	\$ 44,475	\$	\$	\$ 44,475
Securities available-for-sale	101,616		9,754	91,862	101,616
FHLB stock	6,972	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Loans receivable, net	775,609			777,053	777,053
Accrued interest receivable	3,569	3	8	3,558	3,569
<b>Financial liabilities</b>					
Deposits	786,334	316,451	472,509		788,960
Advances from FHLB	20,000		20,095		20,095
Accrued interest payable	217	1	216		217

**Table of Contents**

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows:

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term debt, and variable rate loans or deposits that re-price frequently and fully. The methods for determining the fair values for securities available for sale were described previously. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent re-pricing or re-pricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk. Fair value of long-term debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair value of off-balance-sheet items is not considered material (or is based on the current fees or costs that would be charged to enter into or terminate such arrangements) and is not presented.

**NOTE 6 SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE**

The following tables summarize the amortized cost and fair value of the available-for-sale investment securities portfolio at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and the corresponding amounts of gross unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
<b>2012</b>				
Available-for-sale				
Municipal securities	\$ 6,718	\$ 172	\$ (26)	\$ 6,864
Private label residential mortgage-backed securities	57,847	114	(643)	57,318
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	52,629	301	(104)	52,826
<b>Total securities available for sale</b>	<b>\$ 117,194</b>	<b>\$ 587</b>	<b>\$ (773)</b>	<b>\$ 117,008</b>

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
<b>2011</b>				
Available-for-sale				
U.S. government-sponsored entities and agency securities	\$ 4,000	\$ 38	\$	\$ 4,038
Municipal securities	5,641	88	(16)	5,713
Private label residential mortgage-backed securities	78,029	27	(1,853)	76,203
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	15,541	121		15,662
<b>Total securities available for sale</b>	<b>\$ 103,211</b>	<b>\$ 274</b>	<b>\$ (1,869)</b>	<b>\$ 101,616</b>

The amortized cost and fair value of the available-for-sale securities portfolio are shown by expected maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities if borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	June 30, 2012	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
<b>Maturity</b>		
Available-for-sale		
Within one year	\$	\$
One to five years	2,521	2,552
Five to ten years		
Greater than ten years	4,197	4,312
	110,476	110,144

## Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Private label residential mortgage backed and agency residential  
mortgage-backed securities

Total	\$ 117,194	\$ 117,008
-------	------------	------------

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of shareholders' equity.



**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the investment securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2012 by aggregated major security type and length of time in a continuous unrealized loss position:

	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Available-for-sale						
Municipal securities	\$ 1,044	\$ (26)	\$	\$	\$ 1,044	\$ (26)
Private label residential mortgage-backed securities	27,328	(510)	16,442	(133)	43,770	(643)
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	13,470	(104)			13,470	(104)
<b>Total available-for-sale</b>	<b>\$ 41,842</b>	<b>\$ (640)</b>	<b>\$ 16,442</b>	<b>\$ (133)</b>	<b>\$ 58,284</b>	<b>\$ (773)</b>

The following table summarizes the investment securities with unrealized losses at December 31, 2011 by aggregated major security type and length of time in a continuous unrealized loss position:

	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Available-for-sale						
Municipal securities	\$ 1,072	\$ (16)	\$	\$	\$ 1,072	\$ (16)
Private label residential mortgage-backed securities	64,911	(1,763)	8,145	(90)	73,056	(1,853)
<b>Total available-for-sale</b>	<b>\$ 65,983</b>	<b>\$ (1,779)</b>	<b>\$ 8,145</b>	<b>\$ (90)</b>	<b>\$ 74,128</b>	<b>\$ (1,869)</b>

As of June 30, 2012, the Company's securities available for sale portfolio consisted of sixty-seven securities, thirty-two of which were in an unrealized loss position. The unrealized losses are related to the Company's municipal securities and private label and agency residential mortgage-backed securities as discussed below.

The Company's private label residential mortgage-backed securities that are in an unrealized loss position had a fair value of \$43.8 million with unrealized losses of \$643 thousand million at June 30, 2012. The Company's agency residential mortgage-backed securities that are in an unrealized loss position had a fair value of \$13.5 million with unrealized losses of \$104 thousand at June 30, 2012. The Company's residential mortgage-backed securities were rated AA or above at purchase and are not within the scope of ASC 325. The Company monitors to insure it has adequate credit support and as of June 30, 2012, the Company believes there is no other than temporary impairment (OTTI) and it does not have the intent to sell these securities and it is not likely that it will be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery. Of the Company's \$117.0 million securities portfolio at June 30, 2012, \$107.8 million were rated AAA, AA or A, \$7.1 million were rated BBB, and \$2.1 million consisting of one security, was rated BB based on the most recent credit rating as of June 30, 2012. The Company considers the lowest credit rating for identification of OTTI. During the first quarter of 2012, the Company sold one downgraded security for a net loss of \$39 thousand and during the second quarter of 2012, the Company sold two downgraded securities for a net loss of \$32 thousand. Subsequent to June 30, 2012, the Company sold the security rated BB and recognized a loss of \$(15) thousand.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company determined that no securities were other-than-temporarily impaired.

**Table of Contents**

## NOTE 7 LOANS

Loans receivable consist of the following:

	Loans Receivable Outstanding (1)		Purchased Credit-Impaired Loan Portfolio	
	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Commercial:</b>				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 8,929	\$ 9,019	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage	185,407	124,013		
Multi-family	81,673	87,290		
Land	1,059	2,375		
<b>Consumer:</b>				
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	523,111	546,760	22,728	
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	8,945	9,219		
Other revolving credit and installment	7,979	8,604		
<b>Total</b>	<b>817,103</b>	<b>787,280</b>	<b>22,728</b>	
Less: Net deferred loan costs	754	1,109		
Allowance for loan losses	(11,448)	(12,780)		
<b>Loans receivable, net</b>	<b>\$ 806,409</b>	<b>\$ 775,609</b>	<b>\$ 22,728</b>	<b>\$</b>

(1) Does not include purchased credit-impaired loans.

At June 30, 2012, the Company had a total of \$380.6 million in interest only mortgage loans (including Green Account loans) and \$21.5 million in loans with potential for negative amortization. At December 31, 2011, the Company had a total of \$382.0 million in interest only mortgage loans (including Green Account loans) and \$23.4 million in loans with potential for negative amortization. These loans pose a potentially higher credit risk because of the lack of principal amortization and potential for negative amortization, however, management believes the risk is mitigated through the Company's loan terms and underwriting standards, including its policies on loan-to-value ratios.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses is summarized as follows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	2012	2011
Balance at March 31	\$ 11,173	\$ 11,905
Loans charged off	(22)	(4,000)
Recoveries of loans previously charged off	18	75
Provision for loan losses	279	451
<b>Balance at June 30</b>	<b>\$ 11,448</b>	<b>\$ 8,431</b>

	2012	2011
Balance at January 1	\$ 12,780	\$ 14,637
Loans charged off	(2,321)	(6,735)
Recoveries of loans previously charged off	19	78
Provision for loan losses	970	451
<b>Balance at June 30</b>	<b>\$ 11,448</b>	<b>\$ 8,431</b>

**Table of Contents**

The following tables present the activity in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans, excluding accrued interest receivable and net deferred loan costs as they are not considered to be material, in loans by portfolio segment and is based on the impairment method for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. Total accrued interest receivable and net deferred loan costs were \$3.3 million and \$754 thousand, respectively at June 30, 2012. Total accrued interest receivable and net deferred loan costs totaled \$3.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively at December 31, 2011. Total accrued interest receivable and net deferred loan costs totaled \$3.0 million and \$1.6 million, respectively at June 30, 2011.

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate Mortgage	Multi- family	Land	Real Estate 1-4 family first mortgage	Real Estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	Other Revolving Credit and Installment	TOTAL
Allowance for loan losses:								
Balance as of March 31, 2012	\$ 129	\$ 2,920	\$ 1,601	\$ 8	\$ 6,317	\$ 66	\$ 132	\$ 11,173
Charge-offs					(18)		(4)	(22)
Recoveries					17		1	18
Provision	(1)	382	(309)	3	212	(2)	(6)	279
Balance as of June 30, 2012	\$ 128	\$ 3,302	\$ 1,292	\$ 11	\$ 6,528	\$ 64	\$ 123	\$ 11,448
Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$ 128	\$ 1,998	\$ 1,541	\$ 236	\$ 8,635	\$ 110	\$ 132	\$ 12,780
Charge-offs				(236)	(2,078)		(7)	(2,321)
Recoveries					17		2	19
Provision		1,304	(249)	11	(46)	(46)	(4)	970
Balance as of June 30, 2012	\$ 128	\$ 3,302	\$ 1,292	\$ 11	\$ 6,528	\$ 64	\$ 123	\$ 11,448
Balance as of March 31, 2011	\$ 52	\$ 345	\$ 2,357	\$ 260	\$ 8,470	\$ 188	\$ 233	\$ 11,905
Charge-offs			(2,136)	(169)	(1,693)		(2)	(4,000)
Recoveries				23	49		3	75
Provision	32	294	471	164	(665)	172	(17)	451
Balance as of June 30, 2011	\$ 84	\$ 639	\$ 692	\$ 278	\$ 6,161	\$ 360	\$ 217	\$ 8,431
Balance as of December 31, 2010	\$ 50	\$ 332	\$ 2,389	\$ 1,067	\$ 10,191	\$ 258	\$ 350	\$ 14,637
Charge-offs			(2,136)	(1,843)	(2,746)		(10)	(6,735)
Recoveries				23	49		6	78
Provision	34	307	439	1,031	(1,333)	102	(129)	451
Balance as of June 30, 2011	\$ 84	\$ 639	\$ 692	\$ 278	\$ 6,161	\$ 360	\$ 217	\$ 8,431

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate Mortgage	Multi- family	Land	Real Estate 1-4 family first mortgage	Real Estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	Other Revolving Credit	TOTAL
Balance as of June 30, 2012								

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$	359	\$	658	\$	3	\$	537	\$	\$	\$	1,557
Collectively evaluated for impairment		128	2,943		634		8		5,991		64	123	9,891
Acquired with deteriorated credit quality													

Total ending allowance balance	\$	128	\$	3,302	\$	1,292	\$	11	\$	6,528	\$	64	\$	123	\$	11,448
--------------------------------	----	-----	----	-------	----	-------	----	----	----	-------	----	----	----	-----	----	--------

Loans:

Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$	3,014	\$	5,443	\$	473	\$	14,349	\$	\$	41	\$	23,320
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment		8,929	182,393		76,230		586		508,762		8,945	7,938		793,783
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality									22,728					22,728

Total ending loans balance	\$	8,929	\$	185,407	\$	81,673	\$	1,059	\$	545,839	\$	8,945	\$	7,979	\$	839,831
----------------------------	----	-------	----	---------	----	--------	----	-------	----	---------	----	-------	----	-------	----	---------

**Table of Contents**

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate Mortgage	Multi- family	Land	Real Estate 1-4 family first mortgage	Real Estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	Other Revolving Credit	TOTAL
Balance as of December 31, 2011:								
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$	\$ 663	\$ 236	\$ 2,815	\$	\$	\$ 3,714
Collectively evaluated for impairment	128	1,998	878		5,820	110	132	9,066
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 128	\$ 1,998	\$ 1,541	\$ 236	\$ 8,635	\$ 110	\$ 132	\$ 12,780
Loans:								
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$	\$ 5,001	\$ 1,887	\$ 20,650	\$	\$	\$ 27,538
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	9,019	124,013	82,289	488	526,110	9,219	8,604	759,742
Total ending loans balance	\$ 9,019	\$ 124,013	\$ 87,290	\$ 2,375	\$ 546,760	\$ 9,219	\$ 8,604	\$ 787,280

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of June 30, 2012. The recorded investment included represents customer balances net of any partial charge-offs recognized on the loans, net of any deferred fees and costs and accrued interest receivable.

	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated	Average Recorded Investment YTD	Interest Income Recognized YTD	Cash Basis Interest Recognized YTD
With no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage	1,401	1,407		1,425	27	27
Multi-family						
Land	148	149		153	5	5
Consumer:						
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	5,264	5,256		5,433	133	132
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage						
Other revolving credit and installment	41	41		42	1	
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial						
Real estate mortgage	1,613	1,618	359	1,633	16	16
Multi-family	5,443	5,452	658	5,487	158	140
Land	325	326	3	333	9	9
Consumer:						
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	9,085	9,096	537	9,133	194	48
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage						
Other revolving credit and installment						
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 23,320</b>	<b>\$ 23,345</b>	<b>\$ 1,557</b>	<b>\$ 23,639</b>	<b>\$ 543</b>	<b>\$ 377</b>

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Average of individually impaired loans during the period	\$ 25,198	\$ 42,999
Interest income recognized during impairment	543	418
Cash-basis interest income recognized	377	212

	Three months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Average of individually impaired loans during the period	\$ 24,544	\$ 38,111
Interest income recognized during impairment	288	306
Cash-basis interest income recognized	213	122

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of December 31, 2011. The recorded investment included represents customer balances net of any partial charge-offs recognized on the loans, net of any deferred fees and costs and accrued interest.

	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated	Average Recorded Investment YTD	Interest Income Recognized YTD	Cash Basis Interest Recognized YTD
With no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage						
Multi-family						
Land	487	488		493	28	28
Consumer:						
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	6,849	6,915		6,872	92	39
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage						
Other revolving credit and installment		2		74	19	
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial						
Real estate mortgage						
Multi-family	5,001	5,013	663	5,030	134	43
Land	1,400	1,686	236	1,608		
Consumer:						
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	13,801	13,964	2,815	13,831	402	218
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage						
Other revolving credit and installment						
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 27,538</b>	<b>\$ 28,068</b>	<b>\$ 3,714</b>	<b>\$ 27,908</b>	<b>\$ 675</b>	<b>\$ 328</b>

Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days still on accrual were as follows as of the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Loans past due over 90 days still on accrual	\$	\$
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 16,878	\$ 19,254

Nonaccrual loans consisted of the following as of the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial		
Real estate mortgage	3,014	
Multi-family	5,443	3,090
Land	473	1,887
Consumer:		
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	7,946	14,272
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage		
Other revolving credit and installment	2	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 16,878</b>	<b>\$ 19,254</b>

Nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 of \$15.4 million and \$16.3 million were net of specific allowance allocations of \$1.5 million and \$2.9 million, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the aging of the principal balances in past due loans as of June 30, 2012 by class of loans:

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater than 89 Days Past Due	Total Past Due	Current	Total Gross Financing Receivables	Considered Current That Have been Modified in Previous Year
June 30, 2012							
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 8,929	\$ 8,929	\$
Real estate mortgage					185,407	185,407	
Multi-family					81,673	81,673	
Land					1,059	1,059	
Consumer:							
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	3,489	802	1,984	6,275	516,836	523,111	
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage					8,945	8,945	
Other revolving credit and installment	37	28	2	67	7,912	7,979	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,526</b>	<b>\$ 830</b>	<b>\$ 1,986</b>	<b>\$ 6,342</b>	<b>\$ 810,761</b>	<b>\$ 817,103</b>	<b>\$</b>

The following table presents the aging of principal balances in past due loans for the Company's portfolio of purchased credit-impaired loans as of June 30, 2012, by class of loans:

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater than 89 Days Past Due	Total Past Due	Current	Total Gross Financing Receivables	Considered Current That Have been Modified in Previous Year
June 30, 2012							
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage							
Multi-family							
Land							
Consumer:							
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	1,505	322	667	2,494	20,234	22,728	
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage							
Other revolving credit and installment							
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,505</b>	<b>\$ 322</b>	<b>\$ 667</b>	<b>\$ 2,494</b>	<b>\$ 20,234</b>	<b>\$ 22,728</b>	<b>\$</b>



**Table of Contents**

The following table displays the Company's non-performing and performing substandard loan portfolio (using unpaid principal balance).

	<b>June 30, 2012</b>	
	<b># of Loans</b>	<b>Balance</b>
<b>Substandard Loans:</b>		
Non-Performing	7	\$ 1,985
<b>Performing:</b>		
TDR	10	9,449
Rated substandard due to borrower relationship to distressed loans	6	5,717
Rated substandard due to other credit factors	14	5,774
<b>Total Performing:</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>\$ 20,940</b>
<b>Total Substandard Loans</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>\$ 22,925</b>

The following table presents the aging of the principal balances in past due loans as of December 31, 2011 by class of loans:

	<b>30-59 Days Past Due</b>	<b>60-89 Days Past Due</b>	<b>Greater than 89 Days Past Due</b>	<b>Total Past Due</b>	<b>Current</b>	<b>Total Financing Receivables</b>	<b>Considered Current That Have been Modified in Previous Year</b>
<b>December 31, 2011</b>							
<b>Commercial:</b>							
Commercial and industrial	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 9,019	\$ 9,019	\$
Real estate mortgage	291			291	123,722	124,013	
Multi-family					87,290	87,290	
Land			1,400	1,400	975	2,375	487
<b>Consumer:</b>							
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	8,133	2,536	6,385	17,054	529,706	546,760	3,760
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage					9,219	9,219	
Other revolving credit and installment	4		5	9	8,595	8,604	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 8,428</b>	<b>\$ 2,536</b>	<b>\$ 7,790</b>	<b>\$ 18,754</b>	<b>\$ 768,526</b>	<b>\$ 787,280</b>	<b>\$ 4,247</b>

The following table displays the Company's non-performing and performing substandard loan portfolio (using unpaid principal balance) as of December 31, 2011.

	<b>December 31, 2011</b>	
	<b># of Loans</b>	<b>Balance</b>
<b>Substandard Loans:</b>		
Non-Performing	16	\$ 7,788
<b>Performing:</b>		
TDR	22	13,271
Rated substandard due to borrower relationship to distressed loans	13	7,811
Rated substandard due to other credit factors	7	3,660
<b>Total Performing:</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>\$ 24,742</b>
<b>Total Substandard Loans</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>\$ 32,530</b>

**Table of Contents**

Troubled Debt Restructurings:

The Company has allocated \$819 thousand and \$2.1 million of specific allowance allocations to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The Company did not have any commitments to lend to customers with outstanding loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the terms of one loan were modified as troubled debt restructurings. The modification of the terms of such loan included one or a combination of the following: a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan; an extension of the maturity date at a stated rate of interest lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk; or a permanent reduction of the recorded investment in the loan.

The Company did not make any loan modifications through arrangements that would not have qualified as troubled debt restructurings during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. There were no such loans with defaults during the three or six months ended June 30, 2012.

The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructurings for which there was a payment default within twelve months following the modification during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	
	Pre-Modification Outstanding Number of Loans	Post-Modification Recorded Investment	Pre-Modification Outstanding Number of Loans	Post-Modification Recorded Investment
<b>Troubled Debt Restructurings That Subsequently Defaulted:</b>				
<b>Commercial:</b>				
Commercial and industrial	\$	\$	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage				
Multi-family				
Land				
<b>Consumer:</b>				
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage				
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage				
Other revolving credit and installment				
<b>Total</b>	\$	\$	\$	\$

A loan is considered to be in payment default once it is 30 days contractually past due under the modified terms.

In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Company's internal underwriting policy.

Troubled debt restructured loans consist of the following (in thousands):

	At June 30, 2012	At December 31, 2011
<b>Commercial:</b>		
Commercial and industrial	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage		288
Multi-family		3,090
Land		473
<b>Consumer:</b>		
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage		12,349
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage		14,613
Other revolving credit and installment		2

Total	\$	16,202	\$	18,192
-------	----	--------	----	--------

**Table of Contents**

Troubled debt restructured loans at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 totaling \$15.4 million and \$16.1 million were net of specific allowance allocations of \$819 thousand and \$2.1 million, respectively.

**Credit Quality Indicators:**

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company performs an historical loss analysis that is combined with a comprehensive loan to value analysis to analyze the associated risks in the current loan portfolio. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis includes all loans delinquent over 60 days and non-homogenous loans such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. Classification of problem single family residential loans is performed on a monthly basis while analysis of non-homogenous loans is performed on a quarterly basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

**Special Mention.** Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the Company's credit position at some future date.

**Substandard.** Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

**Doubtful.** Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass rated loans. Consumer loans are evaluated based on payment history.

The following table displays the Company's risk categories as of June 30, 2012.

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Not Rated	TOTAL
<b>Commercial:</b>							
Commercial and industrial	\$ 8,929	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 8,929
Real estate mortgage	180,674	811	3,922				185,407
Multi-family	76,230		5,443				81,673
Land	586		473				1,059
<b>Consumer:</b>							
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	498,527	11,692	12,892			22,728	545,839
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	7,905	863	177				8,945
Other revolving credit and installment	7,924	37	18				7,979
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 780,775</b>	<b>\$ 13,403</b>	<b>\$ 22,925</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 22,728</b>	<b>\$ 839,831</b>

**Table of Contents**

The loans not rated totaled \$22.7 million at June 30, 2012 and represented credit impaired loans that were purchased during the six months ended June 30, 2012. See further discussion below under Purchased Credit Impaired Loans.

The following table displays the Company's risk categories as of December 31, 2011.

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Not Rated	TOTAL
<b>Commercial:</b>							
Commercial and industrial	\$ 9,019	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 9,019
Real estate mortgage	108,841	11,463	3,709				124,013
Multi-family	81,792	497	5,001				87,290
Land	488		1,887				2,375
<b>Consumer:</b>							
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	498,794	26,381	21,585				546,760
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	8,177	698	344				9,219
Other revolving credit and installment	8,385	215	4				8,604
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 715,496</b>	<b>\$ 39,254</b>	<b>\$ 32,530</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 787,280</b>

**Purchased Credit Impaired Loans:**

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company purchased loans for which there was, at acquisition, evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and it was probable, at acquisition, that all contractually required payments would not be collected. The carrying amount of those loans at June 30, 2012 is as follows. There were no such loans in 2011.

	<b>June 30, 2012</b>
Commercial	\$
Consumer - Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	22,728
<b>Outstanding balance</b>	<b>\$ 22,728</b>
Carrying amount, net of allowance of \$0	\$ 22,728

The loans identified as purchased with credit impairments were approximately \$39.0 million as of the acquisition date, net of accrued interest and escrow fees of \$141 thousand. A credit discount of approximately \$9.1 million was recorded and an additional \$7.0 million of yield discount was also recorded. The yield discount is being recognized on a method that approximates a level yield over the expected life of the loan. The Company does not accrete the credit discount into income until such time as the loan is paid off. The only exception would be on a case-by-case basis when a material event that significantly improves the quality of the loans and reduces the risk to the Bank such that management believes it would be prudent to start recognizing some of the credit discount in interest income. The credit discount represents approximately 23.3% of the transaction date value of the credit impaired loans.

Accretable yield, or income expected to be collected, is as follows:

	<b>Yield Discount</b>	<b>Credit Discount</b>
Balance at March 31, 2012	\$ 6,270	\$ 7,664
New loans purchased	593	1,440
Accretion of income	(255)	
Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference		
Disposals		(424)
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 6,608	\$ 8,680

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

	Yield Discount	Credit Discount
Balance at January 1, 2012	\$	\$
New loans purchased	7,040	9,104
Accretion of income	(432)	
Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference		
Disposals		(424)
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 6,608	\$ 8,680

**Table of Contents**

For those purchased loans disclosed above, no allowance for loan losses was recorded during the three or six months ended June 30, 2012. No allowances for loan losses were reversed during the three or six months ended June 30, 2012.

Loans purchased during the six months ended June 30, 2012 for which it was probable at acquisition that all contractually required payments would not be collected are as follows:

	<b>June 30, 2012</b>
Contractually required payments receivable of loans purchased during the period:	
Commercial	\$
Consumer - Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	38,017
	\$ 38,017
Cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition	\$ 29,899
Fair value of acquired loans at acquisition	\$ 22,860

Income is not recognized on certain purchased loans if the Company cannot reasonably estimate cash flows expected to be collected. The Company held no such loans during the three or six months ended June 30, 2012.

**NOTE 8 OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED**

Activity in other real estate owned was as follows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Beginning of period	\$ 14,692	\$ 6,562
Additions	3,614	12,719
Sales and net direct write-downs	(9,954)	(7,504)
Net change in valuation allowance	887	3,242
Balance at end of period	\$ 9,239	\$ 15,019

Activity in the other real estate owned valuation allowance was as follows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Beginning of period	\$ 4,081	\$ 3,379
Additions charged to expense	169	558
Net direct write-downs or removal upon sale	(1,056)	(3,800)
Balance at end of period	\$ 3,194	\$ 137

Expenses related to foreclosed assets included in loan servicing and foreclosure expenses on the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income were as follows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Net (gain)/loss on sales of other real estate owned	\$ (508)	\$ 819
Operating expenses, net of rental income	477	656
	\$ (31)	\$ 1,475

**Table of Contents**

Loans provided for sales of other real estate owned, included in other assets on the consolidated statements of financial condition, and deferred gain on real estate sold on contract, included in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the consolidated statements of financial condition were as follows at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	<b>June 30, 2012</b>	<b>December 31, 2011</b>
Loans provided for sales of other real estate owned on contract	\$ 918	\$ 1,145
Deferred gain on other real estate owned sold on contract	\$ 10	\$ 50



**Table of Contents**

## NOTE 9 LOAN COMMITMENTS AND OTHER RELATED ACTIVITIES

Some financial instruments such as loan commitments, credit lines, letters of credit, and overdraft protection are issued to meet customer financing needs. These are agreements to provide credit or to support the credit of others, as long as conditions established in the contract are met, and usually have expiration dates. Commitments may expire without being used. Risk of credit loss exists up to the face amount of these instruments. The same credit policies are used to make such commitments as are used for loans, including obtaining collateral at exercise of the commitment.

The contractual amount of financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk was as follows as of the dates indicated:

	Contract Amount			
	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Fixed Rate	Variable Rate	Fixed Rate	Variable Rate
Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk				
Commitments to extend credit	\$	\$ 1,436	\$ 1,000	\$ 579
Unused lines of credit	5,179	48,309	6,249	36,472
Standby letters of credit	10	10	10	10

Commitments to make loans are generally made for periods of 30 days or less.

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Bank to concentrations of credit risk include interest-bearing deposit accounts in other financial institutions, and loans. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Bank had interest-bearing deposit accounts with balances totaling approximately \$81.6 million and \$37.7 million, respectively, in other financial institutions.

## NOTE 10 PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Premises and equipment at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are summarized as follows:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Land and improvements	\$ 1,638	\$ 1,638
Buildings	8,392	8,363
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	6,442	4,753
Leasehold improvements	3,433	1,714
Construction in process	272	618
Total	20,177	17,086
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(7,025)	(6,501)
Premises and equipment, net	\$ 13,152	\$ 10,585

Depreciation expense was \$524 thousand and \$283 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively.

**Operating Leases:** The Company leases certain branch properties under operating leases. Total rent expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 amounted to \$545 thousand and \$877 thousand, respectively. Total rent expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 amounted to \$106 thousand and \$210 thousand, respectively.

**Capital Leases:** The Company leases certain equipment under capital leases. The lease arrangements require monthly payments through 2017.

The Company has included these leases in capital lease assets as follows:

	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	\$ 179	\$
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(10)	

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Capital lease assets, net	\$ 169	\$
---------------------------	--------	----

Depreciation expense was \$10 thousand and \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively.

---

**Table of Contents****NOTE 11 LONG TERM DEBT**

On April 23, 2012, the Company completed the issuance and sale of \$33,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its 7.50% Senior Notes due April 15, 2020 (the Notes) at a price to the public of \$25.00 per Note (the Notes Offering). Net proceeds after discounts, commissions and expenses were approximately \$31.7 million. The Notes Offering was completed pursuant to the Prospectus, filed as a part of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 with the SEC, dated November 23, 2010, as supplemented by a prospectus supplement in preliminary form dated April 10, 2012 and in final form dated April 18, 2012 and two free writing prospectuses dated April 10, 2012 and April 18, 2012. In connection with the Notes Offering, the Company entered into a Purchase Agreement, dated April 18, 2012, with Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P., as representative of the several underwriters named therein (the Purchase Agreement). The Notes were sold to the underwriters at a price of \$24.00 per Note. The Notes were issued under the Senior Debt Securities Indenture, dated as of April 23, 2012 (the Base Indenture), as supplemented by the First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 23, 2012 (the Supplemental Indenture, and together with the Base Indenture, the Indenture), between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (the Trustee).

The Notes are the Company's senior unsecured debt obligations and rank equally with all of the Company's other present and future unsecured unsubordinated obligations. The Notes bear interest at a per-annum rate of 7.50%. The Company will make interest payments on the Notes quarterly in arrears on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning on July 15, 2012. Interest on the Notes will be computed on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months.

The Notes will mature on April 15, 2020. However, the Company may, at the Company's option, on April 15, 2015, or on any scheduled interest payment date thereafter, redeem the Notes in whole or in part on not less than 30 nor more than 60 days' prior notice. The Notes will be redeemable at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption.

The Indenture contains several covenants which, among other things, restrict the Company's ability and the ability of the Company's subsidiaries to dispose of or incur liens on the voting stock of certain subsidiaries and also contains customary events of default.

**NOTE 12 INCOME TAXES**

The Company accounts for income taxes by recognizing deferred tax assets and liabilities based upon temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of its assets and liabilities. A valuation allowance is established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more-likely-than-not that a portion or all of the net deferred tax assets will not be realized. Each quarter, the Company reviews its analysis of whether a valuation allowance should be recorded against its net deferred tax assets. Accounting literature states that a deferred tax asset should be reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of all available evidence, it is more likely than not (a likelihood of more than 50%) that some portion or the entire net deferred tax asset will not be realized. The determination of whether a net deferred tax asset is realizable is based on weighting all available evidence, including both positive and negative evidence. In making such judgments, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. Although realization is not assured, the Company believes that the realization of all but approximately 15% of the recognized net deferred tax asset (DTA) at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is more likely than not based upon available tax planning strategies and expectations as to future taxable income. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had a net deferred tax asset of \$7.1 million and \$7.6 million, net of a \$1.3 million valuation allowance at each date. There have been no material changes to the DTA assessment from December 31, 2011 to June 30, 2012. This note should be read in conjunction with Note 15 Income Taxes, from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

**NOTE 13 MERGER AGREEMENTS AND OTHER EVENTS**

On June 3, 2011, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to acquire all of the outstanding stock of Gateway Bancorp, the holding company for Gateway Business Bank for an aggregate purchase price of up to \$17 million in cash, subject to adjustment for failure to deliver a certain minimum amount of regulatory Tier I Capital. The purchase price was subsequently reduced to \$15.5 million as a result of an amended purchase agreement dated July 31, 2012. On August 2, 2012, the Company received approval for the transaction from the FDIC and the OCC. The success of the Company's pending acquisition of Gateway Bancorp will depend on, among other things, our ability to realize anticipated cost savings and to combine the businesses of the Bank and Gateway Business Bank in a manner that does not materially disrupt the existing customer relationships of either institution or result in decreased revenues from our respective customers. If we are not able to successfully achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits of the acquisition may not be realized fully or at all or may take longer to realize than expected. The



**Table of Contents**

acquisition will be accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting and is expected to close in August 2012. The Company has incurred approximately \$1.3 million of costs related to this acquisition that would be expensed if the transaction does not close.

On March 5, 2012, the Company moved its corporate headquarters to Irvine, California. The Company also opened new branches in Santa Monica and Tustin, California during March 2012. The Company expects to open its newest branch in Newport Beach, California during the third quarter of 2012.

**NOTE 14 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

On July 1, 2012, the Company completed its acquisition of Beach Business Bank (the Merger) pursuant to the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 30, 2011, as amended October 31, 2011, by and between the Company and Beach Business Bank (the Merger Agreement). At the effective time of the Merger, a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (Merger Sub) merged with and into Beach Business Bank, with Beach Business Bank continuing as the surviving entity in the Merger and a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. Pursuant and subject to the terms of the Merger Agreement, each outstanding share of common stock, no par value, of Beach Business Bank (other than specified shares owned by the Company, Merger Sub or Beach Business Bank, and other than in the case of shares in respect of, or underlying, certain Beach Business Bank options and other equity awards, which will be treated as set forth in the Merger Agreement) was converted into the right to receive \$9.21415 in cash and a one-year Warrant. Each Warrant entitles the holder to purchase 0.33 of a share of Company Common Stock at an exercise price of \$14.00 per share of Company Common Stock. The aggregate cash consideration paid to Beach Business Bank shareholders in the Merger was approximately \$39.1 million and the aggregate number of shares underlying the warrants issued to Beach Business Bank shareholders in the Merger is approximately 1.4 million. The acquisition will be accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. The Company has incurred \$1.3 million of costs related to the acquisition which were recognized in the third quarter of 2012. The transaction is in keeping with the Company's growth strategy of acquiring healthy, complementary banks that add to its services, strengthen its management team and expand its geographic footprint into attractive contiguous markets like Manhattan Beach, Long Beach and Costa Mesa. Based upon data as of June 30, 2012, Beach Business Bank had total assets of \$311.9 million and total deposits of \$271.8 million.

**ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion compares the consolidated financial condition of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. (the Company or Bancorp), at June 30, 2012, to its financial condition at December 31, 2011, and the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 to the same periods in 2011. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements and footnotes included herein.

The Company is a community-oriented financial institution deriving substantially all of its revenue from providing banking services through its wholly owned subsidiary, Pacific Trust Bank (the Bank), to individuals within its market area, primarily San Diego, Riverside, Orange, and Los Angeles Counties, CA. As of June 30, 2012 the Company has 15 banking offices, including 11 full-service deposit taking branches, three limited-service deposit gathering branches and one loan production office. The Company's assets consist primarily of loans and investment securities, which are funded by deposits, borrowings and capital. The primary source of revenue is net interest income, the difference between interest income on loans and investments, and interest expense on deposits and borrowed funds. The Company's basic strategy is to maintain and grow net interest income by the retention of its existing customer base and the expansion of its core businesses and branch offices within its current market and plans to expand further into southern California. The Company's primary market risk exposure is interest rate risk and credit risk.

**Comparison of Financial Condition at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011**

**Assets.** The Company's total assets increased by \$116.1 million, or 11.6%, to \$1.1 billion at June 30, 2012 from \$999.0 million at December 31, 2011 primarily due to an increase in the balance in loans receivables, net of allowance, in the amount of \$53.5 million, an increase in total cash and cash equivalents of \$44.4 million, an increase of \$15.4 million in securities available for sale, and an increase of \$6.6 million in the balance of other assets. These increases in total assets were partially reduced by a \$5.5 million decline in balance of OREO.

**Cash and cash equivalents.** Cash and cash equivalents increased \$44.4 million, or 99.7%, to \$88.8 million at June 30, 2012 from \$44.5 million at December 31, 2011. Cash and cash equivalents were increased by cash generated through operations of \$9.2 million, an increase in time and core deposits of \$66.0 million, proceeds from the sale of loans of \$22.9 million, \$31.7 million from borrowings from the issuance of notes payable, and net FHLB advances of \$15.0 million (net of repayments of maturing advances). Cash and cash equivalents were reduced by increased loan receivable balances of \$65.7 million, net of allowance, (also net of loans transferring to OREO status), the purchase of \$22.4 million of credit impaired loans, and net purchases of securities available-for-sale of \$14.6 million (net of proceed from maturities, calls and

## Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

principal repayments and sales). The remaining net increase in cash and cash equivalents was the result of small fluctuations in various accounts.

**Loans.** Loans receivable, net of allowance, increased by \$53.5 million, or 6.9%, to \$829.1 million at June 30, 2012 from \$775.6 million at December 31, 2011. This increase was the result of loan originations and loan acquisitions exceeding loan sales, net loan principal repayments, charge-offs and foreclosures during the six months ended June 30, 2012, as well as the purchase of \$22.7 million of credit impaired loans. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company transferred a total of \$3.6 million of loans to other real estate owned.

**Table of Contents**

**Investments.** Securities classified as available-for-sale of \$117.0 million at June 30, 2012 increased \$15.4 million from December 31, 2011. Agency residential mortgage-backed securities and municipal securities totaling \$42.2 million were purchased during the six months ended June 30, 2012. In addition, the Company sold securities for \$5.7 million and recognized a net loss on sale of \$71 thousand during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

**Other Assets.** Other assets increased \$6.6 million, or 31.8% to \$27.2 million at June 30, 2012 from \$20.7 million at December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily the result of increased receivables due to a \$5.0 million investment in an affordable housing fund, a \$727 thousand increase in taxes receivable, \$536 thousand from debt issuing costs, and \$816 thousand from various operational activities. These increases were offset mainly by a \$517 thousand reduction of net deferred taxes.

**Premises and Equipment.** Premises and equipment increased \$2.6 million, or 24.3%, to \$13.2 million at June 30, 2012 from \$10.6 million at December 31, 2011 primarily due to additions to premises and equipment due to the relocation of the corporate headquarters to Irvine, California and the opening of two new branches in Santa Monica and Tustin, California during the first quarter.

**Allowance for Loan Losses.** The Company maintains an allowance for loan losses to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance is based on ongoing assessment of the estimated probable losses presently inherent in the loan portfolio. In evaluating the level of the allowance for loan losses, management considers the types of loans and the amount of loans in the loan portfolio, peer group information, historical loss experience, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral, and prevailing economic conditions. This methodology takes into account many factors, including the Company's own historical and peer loss trends, loan-level credit quality ratings, loan specific attributes along with a review of various credit metrics and trends. The process involves subjective as well as complex judgments. The Company uses a rolling three year loss experience in analyzing an appropriate reserve factor for all loans. Management tested this enhancement and determined that it did not have a material effect on the prior year's allowance calculation. In addition, the Company uses adjustments for numerous factors including those found in the Interagency Guidance on Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, which include current economic conditions, loan seasoning, underwriting experience, and collateral value changes among others. The Company evaluates all impaired loans individually using guidance from ASC 310 primarily through the evaluation of cash flows or collateral values.

The allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2012 was \$11.4 million, which represented 1.4% of the gross loans outstanding at June 30, 2012, as compared to \$12.8 million, or 1.6%, of the gross loans outstanding at December 31, 2011. Of the \$11.4 million allowance, \$1.6 million was specifically allocated to impaired loans, including loans which are subject to troubled debt restructurings, with \$9.9 million serving as a general allocation of allowance for loan losses. The reduction in the allowance partially resulted from the Company charging off \$2.3 million of previously established specific allowance allocations, primarily as a result of changes in reporting requirements for thrifts regulated by the OCC. Of the \$2.3 million total specific valuation allowances charged off during the six months ended June 30, 2012, \$2.1 million related to one-to-four-family first mortgage loans and \$236 thousand related to land loans. The remaining charges-offs related to other revolving credit and installment loans. The Company's non-performing loans, including non-performing and restructured loans, also reflected the improved credit quality in the portfolio with a \$2.4 million decline in gross non-performing loans from \$19.3 million at December 31, 2011 to \$16.9 million at June 30, 2012. The Company provided \$970 thousand to its provision for loan losses during the six months ended June 30, 2012 related primarily to new commercial real estate production.

**Nonaccrual Loans.** The following table summarizes our nonaccrual loans, at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. This table includes troubled debt restructured loans on nonaccrual. There were no loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 totaling \$15.4 million and \$16.3 million were net of specific allowance allocations of \$1.5 million and \$2.9 million, respectively.

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage	3,014	
Multi-family	5,443	3,090
Land	473	1,887

**Table of Contents**

	<b>June 30, 2012</b>	<b>December 31, 2011</b>
Consumer:		
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	7,946	14,272
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage		
Other revolving credit and installment	2	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 16,878</b>	<b>\$ 19,254</b>



**Table of Contents**

**Non-Performing Assets.** The following table is a summary of our non-performing assets at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, consisting of non-performing loans and other real estate owned as of such dates. Non-performing loans include all nonaccrual loans that are past due 90 days or more, including troubled debt restructured loans on nonaccrual, and loans past due 90 days and still accruing interest, of which there were none at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Non-performing loans at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 totaling \$15.4 million and \$16.3 million were net of specific allowance allocations of \$1.5 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. Other real estate owned at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 totaling \$9.2 million and \$14.7 million were net of valuation allowances of \$3.2 million and \$4.1 million, respectively.

	At June 30, 2012	At December 31, 2011
Nonperforming loans		
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage	3,014	
Multi-family	5,443	3,090
Land	473	1,887
Consumer:		
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	7,946	14,272
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage		
Other revolving credit and installment	2	5
<b>Total nonperforming loans</b>	<b>\$ 16,878</b>	<b>\$ 19,254</b>
Other real estate owned	\$ 9,239	\$ 14,692
<b>Total nonperforming assets</b>	<b>\$ 26,117</b>	<b>\$ 33,946</b>
 Ratios		
Non-performing loans to total gross loans	2.01%	2.45%
Non-performing assets to total assets	2.34%	3.40%

**Troubled Debt Restructured Loans (TDRs).** As of June 30, 2012, the Company had 22 loans with an aggregate balance of \$16.2 million classified as TDRs compared to loans with an aggregate balance of \$18.2 million at December 31, 2011 classified as TDRs. Specific allowance allocations totaling \$819 thousand have been established for these loans as of June 30, 2012 compared to \$2.1 million at December 31, 2011. The difference in specific allowance allocations was largely due to a charge offs during the six months ended June 30, 2012, and were largely related to changes in reporting requirements for thrifts regulated by the OCC. When a loan becomes a TDR the Company ceases accruing interest, and classifies it as non-accrual until the borrower demonstrates that the loan is again performing.

As of June 30, 2012, of the 22 loans classified as TDRs, 21 loans totaling \$15.9 million are making payments according to their modified terms and are less than 90 days delinquent. Of the performing TDRs, \$12.0 million in loans are secured by single family residences, \$473 thousand are secured by land, \$3.1 million are secured by multi-family residences, \$288 thousand are secured by commercial real estate, and the remaining is comprised of an unsecured \$2 thousand consumer loan. One TDR loan with a balance of \$350 thousand is over 90 days delinquent and is secured by a single family residence. This loan will either return to a performing TDR status or move through the Bank's normal collection and foreclosure process for non-performing loans.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the seasoning of the Bank's performing restructured loans, their effective balance (principal balance less specific allowance allocations charged-off), and their weighted average interest rates (dollars in thousands):

Payments	Performing Restructured Loans As of June 30, 2012			Weighted Average Interest Rate
	# of loans	Effective Balance	Average Loan Size (Dollars in Thousands)	
1 Payment	1	\$ 288	\$ 288	5.50%
2 Payments				
3 Payments				
4 Payments				
5 Payments				
6 Payments				
7 Payments	1	148	148	6.25%
8 Payments	1	3,510	3,510	5.00%
9 Payments				
10 Payments				
11 Payments				
12+ Payments	18	11,906	661	5.11%
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>\$ 15,852</b>	<b>\$ 755</b>	<b>5.10%</b>

**Other Real Estate Owned Assets ( OREO ).** During the first half of 2012, the Company foreclosed on 9 single family residential properties totaling \$3.6 million. Additionally, the Company sold 17 single family residential properties at a net gain of \$508 thousand. The Company also recorded a \$169 thousand write-down of its OREO properties during the first half of 2012.

**Deposits.** Total deposits increased by \$66.0 million, or 8.4%, to \$852.3 million at June 30, 2012 from \$786.3 million at December 31, 2011. Certificates of deposit increased \$35.2 million, savings accounts increased \$2.7 million and money market accounts increased \$37.2 million. Growth was achieved primarily from the opening of two new branches during the period as well as the formation of new customer relationships and the attraction of additional funds from existing customers. Demand accounts declined \$9.0 million. Total core deposits (total deposits less CDs) increased by 9.7% to \$347.2 million at June 30, 2012, compared to \$316.5 million at December 31, 2011. The Bank completed the opening of two new branches in Santa Monica and Tustin, California during the first quarter of 2012, which the Company anticipates will contribute to future growth. The Bank is actively seeking additional production offices in core Southern California banking markets including Orange County and the Los Angeles area.

**Federal Home Loan Bank ( FHLB ) Advances.** During the six months ended June 30, 2012, \$20 million of long-term FHLB advances matured and \$35 million of FHLB advances were obtained, resulting in a 75.0% increase to \$35.0 million at June 30, 2012, from \$20.0 million at December 31, 2011. The \$35.0 million of advances have an average current yield of 1.05% and mature between 2012 and 2015.

**Notes Payable.** During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company completed the issuance and sale of \$33 million aggregate principal amount of its 7.50% Senior Notes due April 15, 2020. At June 30, 2012, the balance of the notes payable, net of discount was \$31.7 million.

**Shareholders Equity.** Shareholders' equity decreased \$2.2 million, or 1.2% to \$182.3 million at June 30, 2012 from \$184.5 million at December 31, 2011. Shareholders' equity decreased due to a net loss of \$362 thousand, the payment of common stock cash dividends of \$2.4 million and preferred stock cash dividends of \$714 thousand. Shareholders' equity increased due to an \$829 thousand increase in the fair value of securities available-for-sale, net of tax, and stock option and stock awards compensation expense of \$531 thousand among other items.

**Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011**

**General.** The Company incurred a net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2012 of \$739 thousand compared to net income of \$1.5 million in the same period of the prior year as a result of the factors discussed below.

**Interest and Dividend Income.** Interest and dividend income increased by \$1.8 million, or 20.9% to \$10.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$8.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 as described below.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Interest income on loans increased \$2.1 million, or 27.8% to \$9.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from \$7.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The primary factor for the increase was a \$164.1 million increase in the average balance of loans receivable from \$665.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 to \$829.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 due to growth in loan originations and loans purchased during the period. The increase in interest income on loans receivable was further increased by an 11 basis point increase in the average yield on loans receivable to 4.63% due primarily to the increase in commercial real estate loan production yielding higher rates.

**Table of Contents**

Interest income on securities decreased \$308 thousand to \$694 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Although there was a \$33.3 million increase in the average balance of securities to \$107.9 million, there was a 280 basis point decline in the average yield on the portfolio from 5.37% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 to 2.57% for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The decline in the average yield on the portfolio was due to the sale of higher yielding securities in the prior year as the Company sought the opportunity to improve the quality of its securities portfolio and to divest several of its private label residential mortgage-backed securities.

**Average Balances, Net Interest Income, Yields Earned and Rates Paid**

The following table presents for the periods indicated the total dollar amount of interest income from average interest-earning assets and the resultant yields, as well as the interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed both in dollars and rates. Also presented is the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets, rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities and the resultant net interest spread for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011. No tax equivalent adjustments were made. All average balances are daily average balances. Non-accruing loans have been included in the table as loans carrying a zero yield.

	Three Months Ended June 30, (dollars in thousands)					
	2012			2011		
	Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Average Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Average Yield/ Cost
<b><u>INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS</u></b>						
Loans receivable(1)	\$ 829,592	\$ 9,604	4.63%	\$ 665,516	\$ 7,513	4.52%
Securities(2)	107,910	694	2.57%	74,585	1,002	5.37%
Other interest-earning assets(3)	92,758	80	0.35%	46,859	67	0.57%
Total interest-earning assets	1,030,260	10,378	4.04%	786,960	8,582	4.36%
Non-interest earning assets(4)	77,860			64,078		
Total assets	\$ 1,108,120			\$ 851,038		
<b><u>INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES</u></b>						
NOW	\$ 119,033	40	0.13%	\$ 64,306	16	0.10%
Money market	175,548	162	0.37%	88,442	61	0.28%
Savings	42,422	11	0.11%	134,927	97	0.29%
Certificates of deposit	516,795	1,145	0.89%	372,970	1,049	1.13%
FHLB advances	35,000	92	1.04%	48,737	351	2.88%
Long-term debt	25,022	495	7.91%			
Capital lease	141	2	4.51%			
Total interest-bearing liabilities	913,961	1,947	0.84%	709,382	1,574	0.88%
Non-interest-bearing liabilities	11,898			4,507		
Total liabilities	925,859			713,889		
Total shareholders equity	182,261			137,149		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 1,108,120			\$ 851,038		
Net interest income/spread		\$ 8,431	3.20%		\$ 7,008	3.48%
Net interest margin(5)			3.27%			3.56%
	112.72%			110.94%		

Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities

- (1) Average balances of nonperforming loans are included in the above amounts. Calculated net of deferred fees, premiums/discounts, and loss reserves.
- (2) Calculated based on average amortized cost.
- (3) Includes average FHLB stock at cost and average term deposits with other financial institutions.
- (4) Includes average balance of bank-owned life insurance (BOLI) investments of \$18.5 million in 2012 and \$18.2 million in 2011.
- (5) Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

**Table of Contents****Rate/Volume Analysis**

The following table presents the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for major components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (1) changes in volume, which are changes in volume multiplied by the old rate, and (2) changes in rate, which are changes in rate multiplied by the old volume. Changes attributable to both rate and volume which cannot be segregated have been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate.

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 compared to June 30, 2011</b>		
	<b>Total Change</b>	<b>Change Due To Volume (In thousands)</b>	<b>Change Due To Rate</b>
<b><u>Income from interest earning assets:</u></b>			
Loans, gross	\$ 2,091	\$ 1,896	\$ 195
Securities	(308)	340	(648)
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	13	47	(34)
<b>Total interest income from interest earning assets</b>	<b>1,796</b>	<b>2,283</b>	<b>(487)</b>
<b><u>Expense on interest bearing liabilities:</u></b>			
NOW	24	17	7
Money market	101	76	25
Savings	(86)	(45)	(41)
Certificates of Deposit	96	349	(253)
FHLB Advances	(259)	(80)	(179)
Long-term debt	495	495	
Capital lease	2	2	
<b>Total interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities</b>	<b>373</b>	<b>814</b>	<b>(441)</b>
<b>Net interest income</b>	<b>\$ 1,423</b>	<b>\$ 1,469</b>	<b>\$ (46)</b>

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense increased \$373 thousand or 23.7% to \$1.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from \$1.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Interest expense on deposits increased \$135 thousand, or 11.0% to \$1.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from \$1.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The primary factor for the increase was a \$193.2 million increase in the average balance of deposits to \$853.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from \$660.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, and a 11 basis point decline in the Company's overall average cost of deposits to 0.66% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from 0.74% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, due to lower market interest rates.

Interest expense on FHLB advances decreased \$259 thousand, or 73.8% to \$92 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from \$351 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decline in interest expense was primarily due to the average balance of FHLB advances decreasing \$13.7 million from \$48.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 to \$35.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and a 184 basis point reduction in the average cost of advances to 1.04% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from 2.88% for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

**Net Interest Income.** As a result of the combined effect of the factors mentioned above, net interest income before the provision for loan losses increased \$1.4 million, or 20.3%, to \$8.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from \$7.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The Company's net interest margin decreased 29 basis points from 3.56% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 to 3.27% for the three months ended June 30, 2012. Continued improvement in the Bank's liability mix and average cost of deposits resulted in an 11 basis point decrease in the average cost of deposits from 0.74% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 to 0.66% for the three months ended June 30, 2012. These benefits were enhanced by an 11 basis point increase in the average yield on the Bank's loan portfolio from 4.52% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 to 4.63% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 due to the repricing of adjustable rate loans and reversal of accrued interest on a number of loans delinquent more than 90 days during the prior year period. The Company also experienced declines in the average yield on securities from 5.37% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 to 2.57% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 as the

## Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Company diluted the high yields on its core portfolio by selling some of the portfolio and investing excess liquidity into shorter term lower yielding securities.

**Table of Contents**

**Provision for Loan Losses.** The Company maintains an allowance for loan losses to absorb probable incurred losses presently inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is based on ongoing assessments of the estimated probable losses presently inherent in the loan portfolio. In evaluating the level of the allowance for loan losses, management considers the types of loans and the amount of loans in the loan portfolio, peer group information, historical loss experience, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral, and prevailing economic conditions. The Company currently takes into account many factors, including the Company's own historical and peer loss trends, loan-level credit quality ratings, loan specific attributes along with a review of various credit metrics and trends. In addition, the Company uses adjustments for numerous factors including those found in the Interagency Guidance on Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, which include current economic conditions, loan seasoning, underwriting experience, and collateral value changes among others. The Company evaluates all impaired loans individually, primarily through the evaluation of cash flows or collateral values. Management uses available information to recognize loan losses, however, future loan loss provisions may be necessary based on changes in the above mentioned factors. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the allowance for loan losses and may require the Bank to recognize additional provisions based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination. The allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2012 was maintained at a level that represented management's best estimate of incurred losses in the loan portfolio to the extent they were both probable and reasonably estimable as of the balance sheet date. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available or as future events change.

Provisions for loan losses are charged to operations at a level required to reflect probable incurred credit losses in the loan portfolio. In this regard, a majority of the Company's loans are to individuals and businesses in Southern California. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, the Company provided \$279 thousand to its provision for loan losses related primarily to increased general reserve allocations on new loan originations compared to providing \$451 thousand during the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Non-performing loans, including all loans on nonaccrual or loans past due over 90 days that are on accrual, decreased by \$1.5 million and totaled \$16.9 million as of June 30, 2012, compared to \$18.3 million at March 31, 2012. Net charge-offs totaled \$4 thousand for the second quarter of 2012. These levels compare to \$3.9 million in net charge-offs in the prior year's second quarter.

**Noninterest Income.** Noninterest income decreased \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 to \$639 thousand compared to \$1.6 million for the same period of the prior year primarily due to a \$1.1 million gain on the sale of securities in the prior year's second quarter.

**Noninterest Expense.** Noninterest expense increased \$3.9 million, or 65.7% to \$9.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$6.0 million for the same period of the prior year. This net increase was primarily the result of a \$2.3 million increase in salaries and employee benefits, a \$573 thousand increase in professional fees, and a \$789 thousand increase in occupancy and equipment expense. These increases were partially offset a \$243 thousand increase in the net gain on sales of other real estate owned, a \$165 thousand decrease in loan servicing and foreclosure expense, and a \$30 thousand decrease in FDIC expense due to a decline in the factor used for FDIC assessments.

Salaries and employee benefits represented 52.1% and 47.6% of total noninterest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively. Total salaries and employee benefits increased \$2.3 million, or 81.3%, to \$5.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from \$2.9 million for the same period in 2011, due to additional compensation expense related to the hiring of new officers, production and branch personnel and support staff needed to execute the Company's growth strategy.

The valuation allowance for other real estate owned increased \$18 thousand to \$155 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$137 thousand for the same period in the prior year.

Total professional fees increased \$573 thousand, or 138.5% to \$987 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from \$414 thousand for the same period in 2011, due to increased consulting and legal fees primarily related to growth activities.

Net gain/loss on sales of other real estate owned increased \$243 thousand due to a net gain of \$192 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to a net loss of \$51 thousand for the same period of the prior year. During the second quarter of 2012, the Company disposed of \$3.7 million in OREO at a net gain of \$192 thousand, while charging off additional valuation allowances totaling \$271 thousand on new or existing OREO. As of June 30, 2012, the Company's OREO balances totaled \$9.2 million (0.8% of total assets), compared to \$14.7 million (1.5% of total assets) as of December 31, 2011.



**Table of Contents**

Occupancy and equipment expense increased \$789 thousand, or 148.4% to \$1.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from \$532 thousand in the same period in 2011 primarily due to increased building and equipment maintenance, primarily related to the relocation of the Company's headquarters to Irvine and the newly acquired branch locations during the period.

**Income Tax Expense (Benefit).** An income tax benefit of \$413 thousand was recorded for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to income tax expense of \$644 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The effective tax rate for the three month period ending June 30, 2012 of (35.9)% was in accordance with the guidelines of ASC 740 and compares to an effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2011 of 29.4%.

**Comparison of Operating Results for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011**

**General.** The Company incurred a net loss of \$362 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to net income of \$2.2 million in the same period of the prior year as a result of the factors discussed below.

**Interest and Dividend Income.** Interest and dividend income increased by \$3.2 million, or 18.1% to \$20.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$17.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 as described below.

Interest income on loans increased \$4.0 million, or 26.0% to \$19.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from \$15.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The primary factor for the increase was a \$149.6 million increase in the average balance of loans receivable from \$668.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to \$818.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in interest income on loans receivable was further increased by a 14 basis point increase in the average yield on loans receivable to 4.68% due primarily to the increase in commercial real estate loan production yielding higher rates.

Interest income on securities decreased \$815 thousand to \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from \$2.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Although there was a \$33.5 million increase in the average balance of investments to \$106.6 million, there was a 345 basis point decline in the average yield on the portfolio from 6.14% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to 2.69% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decline in the average yield on the portfolio was due to the sale of higher yielding securities in the prior year as the Company sought the opportunity to improve the quality of its securities portfolio and to divest several of its private label residential mortgage-backed securities.

**Average Balances, Net Interest Income, Yields Earned and Rates Paid**

The following table presents for the periods indicated the total dollar amount of interest income from average interest-earning assets and the resultant yields, as well as the interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed both in dollars and rates. Also presented is the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets, rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities and the resultant net interest spread for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011. No tax equivalent adjustments were made. All average balances are daily average balances. Non-accruing loans have been included in the table as loans carrying a zero yield.

	Six Months Ended June 30, (dollars in thousands)					
	2012		2011		Annualized	
	Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Average Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Average Yield/ Cost
<b>INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS</b>						
Loans receivable(1)	\$ 818,120	\$ 19,132	4.68%	\$ 668,524	\$ 15,179	4.54%
Securities(2)	106,583	1,431	2.69%	73,121	2,246	6.14%
Other interest-earning assets(3)	77,128	140	0.36%	46,901	106	0.45%
Total interest-earning assets	1,001,831	20,703	4.14%	788,546	17,531	4.44%
Non-interest earning assets(4)	76,246			63,257		
Total assets	\$ 1,078,077			\$ 851,803		

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES

NOW	\$ 110,852	60	0.11%	\$ 62,742	32	0.10%
Money market	176,893	391	0.44%	88,777	127	0.29%
Savings	41,433	22	0.11%	131,713	187	0.28%
Certificates of deposit	504,779	2,234	0.89%	365,620	2,154	1.18%
FHLB advances	36,401	192	1.05%	60,000	868	2.89%
Long-term debt	12,511	495	7.91%			
Capital lease	71	2	5.85%			
Total interest-bearing liabilities	882,940	3,396	0.76%	708,852	3,368	0.96%

**Table of Contents**

	Six Months Ended June 30, (dollars in thousands)				
	2012		2011		
	Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Average Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest
Non-interest-bearing liabilities	10,986			5,865	
Total liabilities	893,926			714,717	
Total shareholders equity	184,151			137,086	
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 1,078,077			\$ 851,803	
Net interest income/spread		\$ 17,307	3.38%	\$ 14,163	3.48%
Net interest margin(5)			3.46%		3.59%
Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	113.47%			111.24%	

- (1) Average balances of nonperforming loans are included in the above amounts. Calculated net of deferred fees, premiums/discounts, and loss reserves.
- (2) Calculated based on average amortized cost.
- (3) Includes average FHLB stock at cost and average term deposits with other financial institutions.
- (4) Includes average balance of bank-owned life insurance (BOLI) investments of \$18.5 million in 2012 and \$18.2 million in 2011.
- (5) Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

**Rate/Volume Analysis**

The following table presents the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for major components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (1) changes in volume, which are changes in volume multiplied by the old rate, and (2) changes in rate, which are changes in rate multiplied by the old volume. Changes attributable to both rate and volume which cannot be segregated have been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 compared to June 30, 2011		
	Total Change	Change Due To Volume (In thousands)	Change Due To Rate
<b>Income from interest earning assets:</b>			
Loans, gross	\$ 3,953	\$ 3,486	\$ 467
Securities	(815)	768	(1,583)
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	34	58	(24)
Total interest income from interest earning assets	3,172	4,312	(1,140)
<b>Expense on interest bearing liabilities:</b>			
NOW	28	26	2
Money market	264	170	94
Savings	(165)	(87)	(78)
Certificates of Deposit	80	696	(616)
FHLB Advances	(676)	(258)	(418)
Long term debt	495	495	
Capital lease	2	2	

Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Total interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities	28	1,044	(1,016)
Net interest income	\$ 3,144	\$ 3,268	\$ (124)

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense increased \$28 thousand or 0.8% to \$3.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from \$3.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Interest expense on deposits increased \$207 thousand, or 8.3% to \$2.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from \$2.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The primary factor for the increase was a \$185.1 million increase in the average balance of deposits to \$834.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from \$648.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, partially offset by a 9 basis point decrease in the Company's overall average cost of deposits to 0.68% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from 0.77% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, due to lower market interest rates.

**Table of Contents**

Interest expense on FHLB advances decreased \$676 thousand, or 77.9% to \$192 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from \$868 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decline in interest expense was primarily due to the average balance of FHLB advances decreasing \$23.6 million from \$60.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to \$36.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and a 184 basis point reduction in the average cost of advances to 1.05% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from 2.89% for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

**Net Interest Income.** As a result of the combined effect of the factors mentioned above, net interest income before the provision for loan losses increased \$3.1 million, or 22.2%, to \$17.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from \$14.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The Company's net interest margin decreased 13 basis points from 3.59% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to 3.46% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Continued improvement in the Bank's deposit mix and average cost of deposits resulted in a 9 basis point decrease in the average cost of deposits from 0.77% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to 0.68% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. These benefits were enhanced by a 14 basis point increase in the average yield on the Bank's loan portfolio from 4.54% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to 4.68% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 due to the repricing of adjustable rate loans and reversal of accrued interest on a number of loans delinquent more than 90 days during the prior year period. The Company also experienced declines in the average yield on securities from 6.14% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to 2.69% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as the Company diluted the high yields on its core portfolio by selling some of the portfolio and investing excess liquidity into shorter term lower yielding securities.

**Provision for Loan Losses.** The Company maintains an allowance for loan losses to absorb probable incurred losses presently inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is based on ongoing assessments of the estimated probable losses presently inherent in the loan portfolio. In evaluating the level of the allowance for loan losses, management considers the types of loans and the amount of loans in the loan portfolio, peer group information, historical loss experience, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral, and prevailing economic conditions. The Company currently takes into account many factors, including the Company's own historical and peer loss trends, loan-level credit quality ratings, loan specific attributes along with a review of various credit metrics and trends. In addition, the Company uses adjustments for numerous factors including those found in the Interagency Guidance on Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, which include current economic conditions, loan seasoning, underwriting experience, and collateral value changes among others. The Company evaluates all impaired loans individually, primarily through the evaluation of cash flows or collateral values. Management uses available information to recognize loan losses, however, future loan loss provisions may be necessary based on changes in the above mentioned factors. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the allowance for loan losses and may require the Bank to recognize additional provisions based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination. The allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2012 was maintained at a level that represented management's best estimate of incurred losses in the loan portfolio to the extent they were both probable and reasonably estimable as of the balance sheet date. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available or as future events change.

Provisions for loan losses are charged to operations at a level required to reflect probable incurred credit losses in the loan portfolio. In this regard, a majority of the Company's loans are to individuals and businesses in Southern California. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company provided \$970 thousand to its provision for loan losses related primarily to increased general reserves on new loan originations, compared to providing \$451 thousand during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Non-performing loans, including all loans on nonaccrual or loans past due over 90 days that are on accrual, decreased by \$2.4 million and totaled \$16.9 million as of June 30, 2012, compared to \$19.3 million at December 31, 2011. Net charge-offs totaled \$2.3 million for the first half of 2012 which primarily resulted from the charge-off of specific allowance allocations for various one-to four- family properties. These levels compare to \$6.7 million in net charge-offs in the prior year's first half.

**Noninterest Income.** Noninterest income decreased \$1.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 to \$1.1 million compared to \$2.4 million for the same period of the prior year primarily due to a \$1.4 million gain on the sale of securities in the prior year's first half.

**Noninterest Expense.** Noninterest expense increased \$5.3 million, or 41.7% to \$18.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$12.8 million for the same period of the prior year. This net increase was primarily the result of a \$3.8 million increase in salaries and employee benefits, a \$249 thousand increase in loan servicing and foreclosure expenses, a \$781 thousand increase in professional fees, and a \$1.1 million increase in occupancy and equipment expense. These increases were partially offset by a \$389 thousand decrease in valuation allowance expense for other real estate owned, a \$1.3 million decrease in loss on sale of other real estate owned, and a \$95 thousand decrease in FDIC expense due to a decline in the factor used for FDIC assessments.

---

## Table of Contents

Salaries and employee benefits represented 55.3% and 48.7% of total noninterest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively. Total salaries and employee benefits increased \$3.8 million, or 61.0%, to \$10.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from \$6.2 million for the same period in 2011, due to additional compensation expense related to the hiring of new officers, production and branch personnel and support staff needed to execute the Company's growth strategy.

The valuation allowance for other real estate owned decreased \$389 thousand to \$169 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$558 thousand for the same period in the prior year. The decline was due to the reduction of OREO properties held. Total professional fees increased \$781 thousand, or 104.3% to \$1.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from \$749 thousand for the same period in 2011, due to increased consulting and legal fees primarily related to growth activities.

Net gain/loss on sales of other real estate owned decreased \$1.3 million due to a net gain of \$508 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to a net loss of \$819 thousand for the same period of the prior year. During the first half of 2012, the Company disposed of \$10.0 million in OREO at a net gain of \$508 thousand, while charging off additional valuation allowances totaling \$1.1 million on new or existing OREO. As of June 30, 2012, the Company's OREO balances totaled \$9.2 million (0.8% of total assets), compared to \$14.7 million (1.5% of total assets) as of December 31, 2011.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased \$1.1 million, or 93.9% to \$2.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from \$1.2 million in the same period in 2011 primarily due to increased building and equipment maintenance, primarily related to the newly acquired branch locations during the period.

**Income Tax Expense (Benefit).** An income tax benefit of \$320 thousand was recorded for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to income tax expense of \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The effective tax rate for the six month period ending June 30, 2012 of (46.9)% was in accordance with the guidelines of ASC 740 and compares to an effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2011 of 32.0%.

## **Liquidity and Commitments**

The Bank is required to have enough liquid assets in order to maintain sufficient liquidity to ensure a safe and sound operation. Liquidity may increase or decrease depending upon availability of funds and comparative yields on investments in relation to the return on loans. Historically, the Bank has maintained liquid assets above levels believed to be adequate to meet the requirements of normal operations, including potential deposit outflows. Cash flow projections are regularly reviewed and updated to ensure that adequate liquidity is maintained.

The Bank's liquidity, represented by cash and cash equivalents and securities available for sale, is a product of its operating, investing, and financing activities. The Bank's primary sources of funds are deposits, payments and maturities of outstanding loans and investment securities; and other short-term investments and funds provided from operations. While scheduled payments from the amortization of loans and mortgage-backed securities and maturing securities and short-term investments are relatively predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and loan prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions, and competition. In addition, the Bank invests excess funds in short-term interest-earning assets, which provide liquidity to meet lending requirements. The Bank also generates cash through borrowings. The Bank utilizes Federal Home Loan Bank advances to leverage its capital base, to provide funds for its lending activities, as a source of liquidity, and to enhance its interest rate risk management. The Bank also has the ability to obtain brokered certificates of deposit, however, historically the Bank has not issued significant amounts.

Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of business management. Any excess liquidity would be invested in federal funds or authorized investments such as mortgage-backed or U.S. Agency securities. On a longer-term basis, the Bank maintains a strategy of investing in various lending products. The Bank uses its sources of funds primarily to meet its ongoing commitments, to pay maturing certificates of deposit and savings withdrawals, to fund loan commitments, and to maintain its portfolio of mortgage-backed securities and investment securities. At June 30, 2012, there were \$1.4 million of approved loan origination commitments. At the same date, unused lines of credit were \$53.4 million and outstanding letters of credit totaled \$20 thousand. Certificates of deposit scheduled to mature in one year at June 30, 2012, totaled \$323.2 million. Based on the competitive rates offered and on historical experience, management believes that a significant portion of maturing deposits will remain with the Bank, although no assurance can be given in this regard. In addition, the Bank had the ability at June 30, 2012 to borrow an additional \$123.8 million from the FHLB and \$71.8 million from the Federal Reserve Bank to meet commitments and for liquidity purposes. The Bank has FHLB advances of \$10.0 million maturing within the next 12 months. The Bank intends to replace these advances with new borrowings from the FHLB, Federal Reserve Bank or deposits, as and to the extent needed and depending on market conditions.



**Table of Contents****Capital**

Consistent with its goals to operate a sound and profitable financial organization, Pacific Trust Bank actively seeks to maintain a well capitalized institution in accordance with regulatory standards. The Bank's total equity was \$130.0 million at June 30, 2012, or 11.8% of the Bank's total assets on that date. As of June 30, 2012, Pacific Trust Bank exceeded all capital requirements of the OCC. Pacific Trust Bank's regulatory capital ratios at June 30, 2012 were as follows: core capital 11.44%; Tier I risk-based capital, 16.63%; and total risk-based capital 17.88%. The regulatory capital requirements to be considered well capitalized are 5.0%, 6.0% and 10.0%, respectively.

The following table sets forth the Bank's capital components (dollars in thousands):

	Actual		Minimum Capital Requirements		Minimum Required to Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
<b>June 30, 2012</b>						
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$ 135,069	17.88%	\$ 60,433	8.00%	\$ 75,541	10.00%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	125,598	16.63	30,217	4.00	45,325	6.00
Tier 1 (core) capital (to adjusted tangible assets)	125,598	11.44	43,915	4.00	54,894	5.00
<b>December 31, 2011</b>						
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$ 137,913	18.56%	\$ 59,447	8.00%	\$ 74,309	10.00%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	128,847	17.34	29,724	4.00	44,585	6.00
Tier 1 (core) capital (to adjusted tangible assets)	128,847	13.08	39,409	4.00	49,261	5.00

**Impact of Inflation**

The unaudited consolidated financial statements presented herein have been prepared in accordance with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles. These principles require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars, without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation.

The Company's primary assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on the Company's performance than the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates, however, do not necessarily move in the same direction or with the same magnitude as the price of goods and services, since such prices are affected by inflation. In a period of rapidly rising interest rates, the liquidity and maturities structures of the Company's assets and liabilities are critical to the maintenance of acceptable performance levels.

The principal effect of inflation, as distinct from levels of interest rates, on earnings is in the area of noninterest expense. Such expense items as employee compensation, employee benefits, and occupancy and equipment costs may be subject to increases as a result of inflation. An additional effect of inflation is the possible increase in the dollar value of the collateral securing loans that the Company has made. The Company is unable to determine the extent, if any, to which properties securing our loans have appreciated in dollar value due to inflation.

**ITEM 3 - QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

The rates of interest we earn on assets and pay on liabilities generally are established contractually for a period of time. Market interest rates change over time. Accordingly, our results of operations, like those of other financial institutions, are impacted by changes in interest rates and the interest rate sensitivity of our assets and liabilities. The risk associated with changes in interest rates and our ability to adapt to these changes is known as interest rate risk and is our most significant market risk.

As part of our attempt to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates and comply with applicable regulations, we monitor our interest rate risk. In monitoring interest rate risk we continually analyze and manage assets and liabilities based on their payment streams and interest rates, the timing of their maturities, and their sensitivity to actual or potential changes in market interest rates.

In order to manage the potential for adverse effects of material and prolonged increases in interest rates on our results of operations, we adopted asset and liability management policies to better align the maturities and repricing terms of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. These policies are implemented by the asset and liability management committee. The asset and liability management committee is



## Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

chaired by the treasurer and is comprised of members of our senior management. The asset and liability management committee establishes guidelines for and monitors the volume and mix of assets and funding sources taking into account relative costs and spreads, interest rate sensitivity and liquidity needs. The objectives are to manage assets and funding sources to produce results that are consistent with liquidity, capital adequacy, growth, risk and profitability goals. The asset and liability management committee meets periodically to review, among other things, economic conditions and interest rate outlook,

**Table of Contents**

current and projected liquidity needs and capital position, anticipated changes in the volume and mix of assets and liabilities and interest rate risk exposure limits versus current projections pursuant to our net present value of portfolio equity analysis. At each meeting, the asset and liability management committee recommends appropriate strategy changes based on this review. The treasurer or his designee is responsible for reviewing and reporting on the effects of the policy implementations and strategies to the board of directors on a monthly basis.

In order to manage our assets and liabilities and achieve the desired liquidity, credit quality, interest rate risk, profitability and capital targets, we have focused our strategies on:

originating and purchasing adjustable-rate mortgage loans,

originating shorter-term consumer loans,

managing our deposits to establish stable deposit relationships,

using FHLB advances to align maturities and repricing terms, and

attempting to limit the percentage of fixed-rate loans in our portfolio.

At times, depending on the level of general interest rates, the relationship between long- and short-term interest rates, market conditions and competitive factors, the asset and liability management committee may determine to increase the Company's interest rate risk position somewhat in order to maintain its net interest margin.

As part of its procedures, the asset and liability management committee regularly reviews interest rate risk by forecasting the impact of alternative interest rate environments on net interest income and market value of portfolio equity, which is defined as the net present value of an institution's existing assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments, and evaluating such impacts against the maximum potential changes in net interest income and market value of portfolio equity that are authorized by the Board of Directors of the Company.

The following table presents the projected change in the Bank's net portfolio value at June 30, 2012 (the most recent date for which data is available) that would occur upon an immediate change in interest rates based on independent analysis, but without giving effect to any steps that management might take to counteract that change. The net portfolio value analysis was unable to produce results for the minus 200 basis point scenario.

**Interest Rate Sensitivity of Net Portfolio Value (NPV)**

Change in Rates	Net Portfolio Value			NPV as a % of PV of Assets	
	\$ Amount	\$ Change	% Change	NPV Ratio	Change
+ 300 bp	\$ 163,631	\$ (9,741)	(5.6)%	15.58%	(21)bp
+ 200 bp	166,922	(6,450)	(3.7)%	15.66%	(13)bp
+ 100 bp	170,216	(3,156)	(1.8)%	15.73%	(6)bp
0 bp	173,372				
- 100 bp	174,322	950	0.5%	15.73%	(6)bp

The Bank does not maintain any securities for trading purposes. The Bank does not currently engage in trading activities or use derivative instruments in a material amount to control interest rate risk. In addition, interest rate risk is the most significant market risk affecting the Bank. Other types of market risk, such as foreign currency exchange risk and commodity price risk, do not arise in the normal course of the Bank's business activities and operations.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

## Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures: An evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Section 13(a)-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")) as of June 30, 2012 was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and other members of the Company's senior management. The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2012, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Act is (i) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management (including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

**Table of Contents**

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13(a)-15(f) under the Act) that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

---

## **Table of Contents**

The Company does not expect that its disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and fraud. A control procedure, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control procedure are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control procedures, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any control procedure also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control procedure, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

## **PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

### **ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

From time to time we are involved as plaintiff or defendant in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. We do not anticipate incurring any material liability as a result of such currently pending litigation.

As previously reported in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, on December 14, 2011, CMG Financial Services, Inc. (CMG) initiated a patent lawsuit against the Bank in the United States District Court for the Central District of California (styled *CMG Financial Services, Inc. v. Pacific Trust Bank, F.S.B., et al.*, Case No. 2:11-cv-10344-PSG-MRW) (the Action) alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,627,509 (the 509 Patent) of limited number of financial products previously offered by the Company. The 509 Patent relates to the origination and servicing of loans with characteristics similar to the Bank's Green Accounts. The Company and its counsel believe the asserted claim is without merit and the resolution of the matter is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations, though no assurance can be given in this regard.

### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

Except as set forth below, there have been no material changes to the risk factors that appeared in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, which completely updated and restated the risk factors that appeared in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

#### **We may fail to realize all of the anticipated benefits of our recently completed acquisition of Beach Business Bank.**

On July 1, 2012, we completed our acquisition of Beach Business Bank. The success of our acquisition of Beach Business Bank will depend on, among other things, our ability to realize anticipated cost savings and to combine the businesses of the Bank and Beach Business Bank in a manner that does not materially disrupt the existing customer relationships of either institution or result in decreased revenues from our respective customers. If we are not able to successfully achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits of the acquisition may not be realized fully or at all or may take longer to realize than expected.

Prior to completion of the merger, the Bank and Beach Business Bank operated independently. It is possible that the integration process could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of each institution's businesses or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect our ability to maintain relationships with customers, depositors and employees or to achieve the anticipated benefits of the acquisition. Integration efforts will also divert management attention and resources. These integration matters could have an adverse effect on us.

**Table of Contents**

**Our income property loans, consisting of commercial and multi-family real estate loans, involve higher principal amounts than other loans and repayment of these loans may be dependent on factors outside our control or the control of our borrowers.**

We originate commercial and multi-family real estate loans for individuals and businesses for various purposes, which are secured by commercial properties. These loans typically involve higher principal amounts than other types of loans, and repayment is dependent upon income generated, or expected to be generated, by the property securing the loan in amounts sufficient to cover operating expenses and debt service, which may be adversely affected by changes in the economy or local market conditions. For example, if the cash flow from the borrower's project is reduced as a result of leases not being obtained or renewed, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Commercial and multifamily real estate loans also expose us to greater credit risk than loans secured by residential real estate because the collateral securing these loans typically cannot be sold as easily as residential real estate. In addition, many of our commercial and multifamily real estate loans are not fully amortizing and contain large balloon payments upon maturity. Such balloon payments may require the borrower to either sell or refinance the underlying property in order to make the payment, which may increase the risk of default or non-payment.

If we foreclose on a commercial and multi-family real estate loan, our holding period for the collateral typically is longer than for residential mortgage loans because there are fewer potential purchasers of the collateral. Additionally, commercial and multi-family real estate loans generally have relatively large balances to single borrowers or related groups of borrowers. Accordingly, if we make any errors in judgment in the collectability of our commercial and multi-family real estate loans, any resulting charge-offs may be larger on a per loan basis than those incurred with our residential or consumer loan portfolios. As of June 30, 2012, our commercial and multi-family real estate loans totaled \$277.1 million, or 33.0% of our total gross loan portfolio, as compared to \$220.3 million, or 28.0%, of our total gross loan portfolio as of December 31, 2011.

**Proposed regulatory rules could affect the Company.**

The federal bank regulatory agencies recently issued joint proposed rules that would increase minimum capital ratios, add a new minimum common equity ratio, add a new capital conservation buffer, and would change the risk-weightings of certain assets. The proposed changes, if implemented, would be phased in from 2013 through 2019. Management is currently assessing the effect of the proposed rules on the Company and the Bank's capital position. Community bank associations are currently discussing their concerns with the regulatory agencies regarding the additional regulatory burdens the proposals would place on community banks.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

- (c) The following table sets forth information for the three months ended June 30, 2012 with respect to the repurchase of outstanding common stock.

**ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Period	Total # of shares Purchased	Average price paid per share	Total # of shares purchased as part of a publicly announced program	Maximum # of shares that may yet be purchased
04/1/12-04/30/12				0
05/1/12-05/31/12				0
06/1/12-06/30/12				1,000,000

The Company currently has a stock buyback plan, however, purchases made by the Company during the quarter were tax liability sales related to employee stock benefit plans and are consistent with past practices.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.**

None

**ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.**

Not applicable

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.**

None

**Table of Contents****ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

## Exhibits

<b>Regulation S-K</b>		<b>Reference to Prior Filing or Exhibit Number Attached Hereto</b>
<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Document</b>	
2.1	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2011, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. (sometimes referred to below as the Registrant or the Company), Gateway Bancorp, Inc. ( Gateway ), each of the stockholders of Gateway and the D & E Tarbell Trust, u/d/t dated February 19, 2002 (in its capacity as the Sellers Representative)	(a)
2.1A	Amendment No. 1, dated as of November 28, 2011, to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2011, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc., Gateway Bancorp, the Sellers named therein and the D & E Tarbell Trust, u/d/t dated February 19, 2002 (in its capacity as the Sellers Representative)	(a)(1)
2.2B	Amendment No. 2, dated as of February 24, 2012, to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2011, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc., Gateway Bancorp, the Sellers named therein and the D & E Tarbell Trust, u/d/t dated February 19, 2002 (in its capacity as the Sellers Representative)	(a)(2)
2.2C	Amendment No. 3, dated as of June 30, 2012, to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2011, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc., Gateway Bancorp, the Sellers named therein and the D & E Tarbell Trust, u/d/t dated February 19, 2002 (in its capacity as the Sellers Representative)	(a)(2)
2.2D	Amendment No. 4, dated as of July 31, 2012, to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2011, by and among First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc., Gateway Bancorp, the Sellers named therein and the D & E Tarbell Trust, u/d/t dated February 19, 2002 (in its capacity as the Sellers Representative)	(a)(3)
2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 30, 2011, by and between First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Beach Business Bank, as amended by Amendment No. 1 thereto dated as of October 31, 2011	(b)
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.	(c)
3.2	Articles of Amendment to the Charter of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.	(d)
3.3	Articles supplementary to the Charter of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. containing the terms of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.'s Senior Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A	(e)
3.4	Articles supplementary to the Charter of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. containing the terms of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.'s Class B Non-Voting Common Stock	(f)
3.5	Articles of Amendment to Articles Supplementary to the Charter of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. containing the terms of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.'s Class B Non-Voting Common Stock	(g)
3.6	Bylaws of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc.	(h)
4.1	Warrant to purchase up to 240,000 shares of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. common stock originally issued on November 1, 2010	(f)
4.2	Warrant to purchase up to 1,395,000 shares of First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. common stock originally issued on November 1, 2010	(f)
4.3	Senior Debt Securities Indenture, dated as of April 23, 2012, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee	(o)
4.4	Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 23, 2012, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, relating to the Registrant's 7.50% Senior Notes due April 15, 2020 and form of 7.50% Senior Notes due April 15, 2020	(o)
4.5A	Warrant Agreement, dated as of June 29, 2012 (the Warrant Agreement), by and between First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and Registrar and Transfer Company, as Warrant Agent	(h)
4.5B	Form of Warrant Certificate (included as Exhibit A to the Warrant Agreement filed as Exhibit 4.5A)	(h)



Edgar Filing: FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

10.1	Severance Agreement with Hans R. Ganz	(c)
10.2	Reserved	
10.3	Severance Agreement with James P. Sheehy	(c)
10.4	Severance Agreement with Regan J. Lauer (substantially identical to form of Severance Agreement with James P. Sheehy)	(c)
10.5	Form of Agreement to Modify Severance Benefits with each of Hans R. Ganz, James P. Sheehy, and Regan Lauer	(i)

**Table of Contents**

<b>Regulation S-K</b>		<b>Reference to Prior Filing or Exhibit Number Attached Hereto</b>
<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Document</b>	
10.6	Employment Agreement with Gregory A. Mitchell (including as exhibits thereto the forms of agreements for the restricted stock inducement grant and stock option inducement grant made to Mr. Mitchell pursuant to his Employment Agreement)	(f)
10.7	Employment Agreement with Richard Herrin (including as exhibits thereto the forms of agreements for the restricted stock inducement grant and stock option inducement grant made to Mr. Herrin pursuant to his Employment Agreement)	(j)
10.8	Employment Agreement with Matthew Bonaccorso (including as exhibits thereto the forms of agreements for the restricted stock inducement grant and stock option inducement grant made to Mr. Bonaccorso pursuant to his Employment Agreement)	(k)
10.9	Employment Agreement with Gaylin Anderson (including as exhibits thereto the forms of agreements for the restricted stock inducement grant and stock option inducement grant made to Mr. Anderson pursuant to his Employment Agreement)	(k)
10.10	Employment Agreement with Chang Liu (including as exhibits thereto the forms of agreements for the restricted stock inducement grant and stock option inducement grant made to Mr. Liu pursuant to his Employment Agreement)	(k)
10.11	Employment Agreement with Marito Domingo (including as exhibits thereto the forms of agreements for the restricted stock inducement grant and stock option inducement grant made to Mr. Domingo pursuant to his Employment Agreement)	(l)
10.12	Registrant's 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan	(m)
10.12A	Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan	(p)
10.12B	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan	(p)
10.12C	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement Under 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan	(p)
10.13	Registrant's 2003 Stock Option and Incentive Plan	(n)
10.14	Registrant's 2003 Recognition and Retention Plan	(n)
10.15	Small Business Lending Fund-Securities Purchase Agreement, dated August 30, 2011, between First PacTrust Bancorp, Inc. and the Secretary of the United States Treasury	(e)
11.0	Statement regarding computation of per share earnings	None
15.0	Letter re unaudited interim financial information	None
18.0	Letter regarding change in accounting principles	None
19.0	Report furnished to security holders	None
22.0	Published Report regarding matters submitted to vote of security holders	None
24.0	Power of Attorney	None
31.1	Rule 13(a)-14(a) Certification (Chief Executive Officer)	31.1
31.2	Rule 13(a)-14(a) Certification (Chief Financial Officer)	31.2
31.3	Rule 13(a)-14(a) Certification (Principal Accounting Officer)	31.3
32.0	Rule 13(a)-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. 1350 Certification	32.0
101.0	The following financial statements and footnotes from the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) and Comprehensive Income (Loss); (iii) Consolidated Statements of Shareholder's Equity; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of	101.0

text.

**Table of Contents**

- \* (a) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 9, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (a)(1) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 1, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (a)(2) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 28, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (a)(3) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 2, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (a)(4) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 2, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (b) Filed as Appendix A to the proxy statement/prospectus included in the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on November 1, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (c) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on March 28, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (d) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 4, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (e) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 30, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (f) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on November 16, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (g) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (h) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 5, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (i) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on December 20, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (j) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 19, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (k) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (l) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 10, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (m) Filed as an appendix to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed on April 25, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (n) Filed as an appendix to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed on March 21, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (o) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 23, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (p) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST PACTRUST BANCORP, INC.

Date: August 9, 2012

/s/ Gregory A. Mitchell  
Gregory A. Mitchell  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 9, 2012

/s/ Marangal I. Domingo  
Marangal I. Domingo  
Executive Vice President/ Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 9, 2012

/s/ Regan J. Lauer  
Regan J. Lauer  
Senior Vice President/ Controller