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## Forward-Looking Statements

This document contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, with respect to our financial condition, liquidity, results of operations, future performance and business. These forward-looking statements are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are those that are not historical facts. Forward-looking statements include statements with respect to beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, anticipations, estimates and intentions that are subject to significant risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on various factors (some of which are beyond our control). Forward-looking statements often include words such as believes, expects, anticipates, estimates, intends, plans or similar expre or future conditional verbs such as may, will, should, would and could. Such known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause the actual results to differ materially from the statements include, but are not limited to: changes in general business, industry or economic conditions or competition; changes in any applicable law, rule, regulation, policy, guideline or practice governing or affecting financial holding companies and their subsidiaries or with respect to tax or accounting principles or otherwise; adverse changes or conditions in capital and financial markets; changes in interest rates; higher than expected costs or other difficulties related to integration of combined or merged businesses; the inability to realize expected cost savings or achieve other anticipated benefits in connection with business combinations and other acquisitions; changes in the quality or composition of our loan and investment portfolios; adequacy of loan loss reserves; increased competition; loss of certain key officers; continued relationships with major customers; deposit attrition; rapidly changing technology; unanticipated regulatory or judicial proceedings and liabilities and other costs; changes in the cost of funds, demand for loan products or demand for financial services; and other economic, competitive, governmental or technological factors affecting our operations, markets, products, services and prices. Some of these and other factors are discussed in our annual and quarterly reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements are based upon management s beliefs and assumptions and are made as of the date of the filing of this document. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements included in this document or to update the reasons why actual results could differ from those contained in such statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except to the extent required by law. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events discussed in this document might not occur and you should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements.
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## Part I Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Dollars in thousands, except share data

|  | (unaudited) <br> March 31, <br> 2012 |  | December 31,2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 26,295 | \$ | 36,032 |
| Interest bearing deposits with other banks |  | 3,908 |  | 3,671 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents |  | 30,203 |  | 39,703 |
| Interest bearing time deposits with other banks |  | 275 |  | 224 |
| Securities available for sale |  | 721,586 |  | 638,107 |
| Trading securities |  | 3,187 |  | 3,233 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 1,347 |  | 1,442 |
| Loans |  | 862,891 |  | 852,769 |
| Less: unearned discount |  | $(2,881)$ |  | $(2,886)$ |
| Less: allowance for loan losses |  | $(13,015)$ |  | $(12,615)$ |
| Net loans |  | 846,995 |  | 837,268 |
| FHLB and other equity interests |  | 6,461 |  | 6,537 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 24,041 |  | 24,004 |
| Bank owned life insurance |  | 25,933 |  | 25,672 |
| Mortgage servicing rights |  | 913 |  | 906 |
| Goodwill |  | 10,821 |  | 10,821 |
| Accrued interest receivable and other assets |  | 14,858 |  | 14,290 |
| TOTAL |  | 686,620 | \$ | 602,207 |

## LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

| Non-interest bearing deposits | $\$ 165,743$ | $\$ 152,732$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Interest bearing deposits | $1,271,245$ | $1,201,119$ |
|  |  |  |
| Total deposits | $1,436,988$ | $1,353,851$ |
| FHLB and other borrowings | 74,417 | 74,456 |
| Subordinated debentures | 20,620 | 20,620 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 21,412 | 21,391 |
|  |  |  |
| Total liabilities | $1,553,437$ | $1,470,318$ |
|  |  |  |
| Common stock, $\$ 0$ par value; authorized $50,000,000$ shares; issued $12,599,603$ shares | 44,016 | 44,350 |


| Retained earnings | 82,336 | 80,038 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Treasury stock, at cost (167,921 shares at March 31, 2012 and 222,285 shares at December 31, 2011) | $(2,424)$ | $(3,260)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 9,255 |  |
| Total shareholders equity | 10,761 |  |
| TOTAL | $\$ 1,683,183$ | 131,889 |

Table of Contents

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (unaudited)

Dollars in thousands, except per share data

|  | Three months ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 |
| INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME: |  |  |
| Loans including fees | \$ 12,255 | \$ 11,705 |
| Deposits with banks | 2 | 42 |
| Securities: |  |  |
| Taxable | 3,683 | 3,258 |
| Tax-exempt | 871 | 682 |
| Dividends | 13 | 7 |
| Total interest and dividend income | 16,824 | 15,694 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE: |  |  |
| Deposits | 3,149 | 3,435 |
| Borrowed funds | 797 | 769 |
| Subordinated debentures | 201 | 191 |
| Total interest expense | 4,147 | 4,395 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME | 12,677 | 11,299 |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | 1,104 | 777 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | 11,573 | 10,522 |
| NON-INTEREST INCOME: |  |  |
| Wealth and asset management fees | 387 | 415 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 975 | 963 |
| Other service charges and fees | 432 | 352 |
| Net realized and unrealized gains on securities for which fair value was elected | 320 | 113 |
| Mortgage banking | 265 | 179 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 261 | 249 |
| Other | 209 | 240 |
|  | 2,849 | 2,511 |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale securities | 0 | (398) |
| Less portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income | 0 | 0 |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings |  | (398) |
| Net realized gains on available-for-sale securities | 566 | 74 |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings and realized gains on available-for-sale securities | 566 | (324) |
| Total non-interest income | 3,415 | 2,187 |
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| NON-INTEREST EXPENSES: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries and benefits |  | 4,725 |  | 4,243 |
| Net occupancy expense of premises |  | 1,149 |  | 1,199 |
| FDIC insurance premiums |  | 259 |  | 449 |
| Other |  | 2,881 |  | 2,400 |
| Total non-interest expenses |  | 9,014 |  | 8,291 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES |  | 5,974 |  | 4,418 |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE |  | 1,627 |  | 1,141 |
| NET INCOME | \$ | 4,347 | \$ | 3,277 |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.35 | \$ | 0.27 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.35 | \$ | 0.27 |
| DIVIDENDS PER SHARE: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash dividends per share | \$ | 0.165 | \$ | 0.165 |
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## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (unaudited)

Dollars in thousands

|  | Three months ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 |
| NET INCOME | \$ 4,347 | \$ 3,277 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: |  |  |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreement designated as a cash flow hedge, net of tax of (\$33) and (\$36), respectively | 63 | 67 |
| Net change in unrealized gains on securities available for sale: |  |  |
| Unrealized gains on other-than-temporarily impaired securities available for sale: |  |  |
| Unrealized gains arising during the period, net of tax of (\$32) in 2011 |  | 60 |
| Reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income, net of tax of (\$139) in 2011 |  | 259 |
|  |  | 319 |
| Unrealized gains on other securities available for sale: |  |  |
| Unrealized (losses) gains arising during the period, net of tax of \$647 and (\$675), respectively | $(1,201)$ | 1,254 |
| Reclassification adjustment for accumulated gains included in net income, net of tax of \$198 and \$26, respectively | (368) | (48) |
|  | $(1,569)$ | 1,206 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | $(1,506)$ | 1,592 |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | \$ 2,841 | \$4,869 |
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## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

Dollars in thousands

|  | Three months ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 4,347 | \$ | 3,277 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations: |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 1,104 |  | 777 |
| Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment |  | 522 |  | 502 |
| Securities amortization and accretion and deferred loan fees and costs |  | 992 |  | 738 |
| Net impairment losses realized in earnings and gains on sales of available-for-sale securities |  | (566) |  | 324 |
| Net realized and unrealized gains on securities for which fair value was elected |  | (320) |  | (113) |
| Proceeds from sale of securities for which fair value was elected |  | 1,749 |  | 170 |
| Purchase of securities for which fair value was elected |  | $(1,457)$ |  | (143) |
| Gain on sale of loans |  | (246) |  | (151) |
| Net gains on dispositions of premises and equipment and foreclosed assets |  | (6) |  | (3) |
| Proceeds from sale of loans |  | 6,996 |  | 7,979 |
| Origination of loans held for sale |  | $(6,730)$ |  | $(9,486)$ |
| Income on bank owned life insurance |  | (261) |  | (249) |
| Stock-based compensation expense |  | 59 |  | 53 |
| Contribution of treasury stock |  | 30 |  | 30 |
| Changes in: |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued interest receivable and other assets |  | 5 |  | 388 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities |  | 117 |  | (68) |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  | 6,335 |  | 4,025 |

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:

| Net (increase) decrease in interest bearing time deposits with other banks | $(51)$ | 99 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Proceeds from maturities, prepayments and calls of securities | 23,709 | 22,299 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities | 42,149 | 23,610 |
| Purchase of securities | $(152,141)$ | $(106,790)$ |
| Loan origination and payments, net | $(10,808)$ | $(5,565$ |
| Purchase of bank owned life insurance | 76 | 270 |
| Redemption of FHLB and other equity interests | $(491)$ | $(805)$ |
| Purchase of premises and equipment | 260 | 31 |
| Proceeds from the sale of premises and equipment and foreclosed assets |  |  |

NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES
$(97,297)$
$(64,721)$

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

| Net change in: |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Checking, money market and savings accounts | 102,063 | 58,581 |
| Certificates of deposit | $(18,926)$ | 4,957 |
| Proceeds from sale of treasury stock | $(2,049)$ | $(2,025)$ |
| Cash dividends paid | $(39)$ | $(29)$ |
| Repayment of long-term borrowings | 4,061 |  |


| NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 81,462 |  |  | 65,843 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS |  | $(9,500)$ |  | 5,147 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, Beginning |  | 39,703 |  | 37,432 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, Ending | \$ | 30,203 | \$ | 42,579 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid during the period for: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest | \$ | 4,175 | \$ | 4,442 |
| Income taxes | \$ | 225 | \$ | 17 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL NONCASH DISCLOSURES: |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to other real estate owned | \$ | 15 | \$ | 69 |
| Grant of restricted stock awards from treasury stock | \$ | 419 | \$ | 266 |
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## CNB Financlal Corporation

Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

## BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) and in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ). Because this report is based on an interim period, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted.

In the opinion of management of the registrant, the accompanying consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2012 and for the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 include all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition and the results of operations for the periods presented. The financial performance reported for CNB Financial Corporation (the Corporation ) for the three month period ended March 31, 2012 is not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. This information should be read in conjunction with the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2011 (the 2011 Form 10-K ). All dollar amounts are stated in thousands, except share data.

## STOCK COMPENSATION

The Corporation has a stock incentive plan for key employees and independent directors. The stock incentive plan, which is administered by a committee of the Board of Directors, provides for aggregate grants of up to 500,000 shares of common stock in the form of nonqualified options or restricted stock. For key employees, the plan vesting is one-fourth of the granted options or restricted stock per year beginning one year after the grant date, with $100 \%$ vested on the fourth anniversary of the grant. For independent directors, the vesting schedule is one-third of the granted options per year beginning one year after the grant date, with $100 \%$ vested on the third anniversary of the grant.

At March 31, 2012, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options granted under this plan, and no stock options were granted during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Corporation had 107,375 stock options that were fully vested and exercisable.

Compensation expense for the restricted stock awards is recognized over the requisite service period noted above based on the fair value of the shares at the date of grant. Unearned restricted stock awards are recorded as a reduction of shareholders equity until earned. Compensation expense resulting from these restricted stock awards was $\$ 59$ and $\$ 53$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of March 31, 2012, there was $\$ 754$ of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock awards.

A summary of changes in unvested restricted stock awards for the three months ended March 31, 2012 follows:

|  | Shares | Weighted Average <br> Grant Date Fair Value |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Nonvested at beginning of period | 35,613 | $\$$ | 15.08 |
| Granted | 26,900 |  | 15.57 |
| Vested | $(9,684)$ | 14.77 |  |
| Forfeited |  |  |  |
| Nonvested at end of period | 52,829 | $\$$ | 15.39 |
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## FAIR VALUE

## Fair Value Option

Management elected to adopt the fair value option for its investment in certain equity securities in order to provide financial statement users with greater visibility into the Corporation s financial instruments that do not have a defined maturity date.

Fair value changes attributable to unrealized gains that were included in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were $\$ 188$ and $\$ 103$, respectively. Realized gains on the sale of securities for which the fair value option was elected were $\$ 132$ and $\$ 10$ during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Dividend income is recorded based on cash dividends and comprises the Dividends line item in the accompanying consolidated statement of income. Dividend income was \$13 and \$7 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

## Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. A fair value hierarchy has also been established which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The following three levels of inputs are used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The fair values of most trading securities and securities available for sale are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1 inputs) or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs). The fair value of one corporate bond has been determined by using Level 3 inputs. The Corporation has engaged a valuation expert to price this security using a proprietary model, which incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the securities, including bid/ask spreads and liquidity and credit premiums.

Trust preferred securities which are issued by financial institutions and insurance companies are priced using Level 3 inputs. The decline in the level of observable inputs and market activity in this class of investments by the measurement date has been significant and resulted in unreliable external pricing. Broker pricing and bid/ask spreads, when available, vary widely, and the once-active market has become comparatively inactive. The Corporation engaged a third party consultant who has developed a model for pricing these securities. Information such as historical and current performance of the underlying collateral, deferral and default rates, collateral coverage ratios, break in yield calculations, cash flow projections, liquidity and credit premiums required by a market participant, and financial trend analysis with respect to the individual issuing financial institutions and insurance companies are utilized in determining individual security valuations. Due to the current market conditions as well as the limited trading activity of these securities, the market value of the securities is highly sensitive to assumption changes and market volatility.

The Corporation s derivative instrument is an interest rate swap that is similar to those that trade in liquid markets. As such, significant fair value inputs can generally be verified and do not typically involve significant management judgments (Level 2 inputs).
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The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals prepared by third-parties. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are as follows at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2012
Using

|  | Total | Using |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quoted Prices in <br> Active Markets for |  | Significant Unobservable <br> Inputs <br> (Level 3) |
|  |  | Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Securities Available For Sale: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 8,097 | \$ | \$ 8,097 | \$ |
| U.S. Government sponsored entities | 113,645 | 26,034 | 87,611 |  |
| States and political subdivisions | 158,601 | 3,771 | 154,830 |  |
| Residential mortgage and asset backed | 374,682 | 40,473 | 334,209 |  |
| Commercial mortgage and asset backed | 2,136 |  | 2,136 |  |
| Corporate notes and bonds | 13,395 |  | 11,355 | 2,040 |
| Pooled trust preferred | 340 |  |  | 340 |
| Pooled SBA | 49,145 | 49,145 |  |  |
| Other securities | 1,545 | 1,545 |  |  |


| Total Securities Available For Sale | $\$ 721,586$ | $\$ 120,968$ | $\$$ | 598,238 | $\$$ | 2,380 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Trading Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity securities financial services | \$ | 550 | \$ | 550 | \$ | \$ |
| Equity securities industrials |  | 496 |  | 496 |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit |  | 357 |  | 357 |  |  |
| Equity securities health care |  | 323 |  | 323 |  |  |
| International mutual funds |  | 288 |  | 288 |  |  |
| Equity securities consumer discretionary |  | 238 |  | 238 |  |  |
| Large cap growth mutual funds |  | 174 |  | 174 |  |  |
| Equity securities energy |  | 164 |  | 164 |  |  |
| Large cap value mutual funds |  | 108 |  | 108 |  |  |
| Corporate notes and bonds |  | 101 |  |  |  | 101 |
| Money market mutual funds |  | 84 |  | 84 |  |  |
| Real estate investment trust mutual funds |  | 66 |  | 66 |  |  |
| U.S. Government sponsored entities |  | 55 |  |  |  | 55 |
| Equity securities consumer staples |  | 54 |  | 54 |  |  |
| Equity securities materials |  | 38 |  | 38 |  |  |
| Equity securities technology |  | 37 |  | 37 |  |  |
| Small cap mutual funds |  | 27 |  | 27 |  |  |
| Mid cap mutual funds |  | 27 |  | 27 |  |  |

Total Trading Securities
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\$ & 3,187 & \$ & 3,031 & \$ & 156 & \$\end{array}$

Liabilities:
Interest rate swaps $\quad \$ \quad(1,573) \quad \$ \quad \$$
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Liabilities:
Interest rate swaps $\quad \$(1,669) \$ \$(1,669) \$$

The table below presents a reconciliation and income statement classification of gains and losses for all securities available for sale measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three months ended March 31, 2012:

|  | Corporate <br> notes and <br> bonds | Pooled <br> trust <br> preferred |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, January 1, 2012 | $\$ 2,060$ | $\$ 340$ |

Total gains or losses:
Included in other comprehensive income (unrealized)

Balance, March 31, $2012 \quad \$ 2,040 \quad \$ 340$
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The table below presents a reconciliation and income statement classification of gains and losses for all securities available for sale measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three months ended March 31, 2011:

|  | Residential mortgage and asset backed |  | Corporate notes and bonds |  | U.S. Gov t Sponsored Entities |  | Pooled trust preferred |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, January 1, 2011 | \$ | 2,269 | \$ | 1,240 | \$ | 2,000 |  | 1,292 |
| Transfers out of Level 3 (a)(b) |  |  |  |  |  | $(2,000)$ |  |  |
| Total gains or losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings (realized) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (398) |
| Included in other comprehensive income (unrealized) |  |  |  | 655 |  |  |  | 508 |
| Purchases, issuances, sales, and settlements: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases |  | 1,917 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Settlements |  | (80) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, March 31, 2011 | \$ | 4,106 | \$ | 1,895 | \$ |  |  | 1,402 |

(a) Transferred from Level 3 to Level 2 since observable market data became available to value the security.
(b) The Corporation s policy is to recognize transfers in and transfers out as of the actual date of the event or change in circumstances that caused the transfer.
The unrealized losses reported in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2011 for Level 3 assets that are still held at the balance sheet date relate to pooled trust preferred securities deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

The following table presents quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements at March 31, 2012:


|  | 2012 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| U.S. Government sponsored entities | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 2,000$ |
| States and political subdivisions | 4,655 | 4,750 |
| Residential mortgage and asset backed | 8,577 | 20,405 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 15,232$ | $\$ 27,155$ |

These securities were transferred from the Level 1 category to the Level 2 category since there were no longer quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Corporation had the ability to access. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, two pooled SBA securities that were classified as Level 2 securities at December 31, 2010 were transferred to the Level 1 category. The fair value on the date of transfer was $\$ 3,437$. These securities were transferred since the Corporation was able to access a quoted price for identical assets in an active market. There were no transfers of securities from the Level 2 category to the Level 1 category during the three months ended March 31, 2012. The Corporation s policy for determining
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when a transfer between the Level 1 and Level 2 categories has occurred is to monitor and report such transfers as of each quarterly reporting period.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are as follows at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:



Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a principal balance of $\$ 11,634$ with a valuation allowance of $\$ 1,350$ as of March 31, 2012, resulting in an additional provision for loan losses of $\$ 401$ for the corresponding three month period. Impaired loans had a principal balance of $\$ 17,715$ with a valuation allowance of $\$ 950$ as of March 31, 2011, resulting in an additional provision for loan losses of $\$ 22$ for the corresponding three month period.

The following table presents quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements for financial instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at March 31, 2012:

|  |  | Range |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair value | Valuation <br> Technique | Inputs | (Weighted Average) |
| Impaired loans commercial | $\$ 7,388$ | Sales comparison <br> approach | Negative adjustment for <br> selling costs and changes in <br> market conditions since <br> appraisal | $1 \%-64 \%(12.0 \%)$ |
| Impaired loans commercial, <br> industrial, and agricultural | 2,715 | Income approach | Negative adjustment for <br> selling costs and changes in <br> net operating income <br> expectations since appraisal | $20 \%-64 \%(43.5 \%)$ |
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| Impaired loans | residential real | 181 | Sales comparison <br> approach | Negative adjustment for <br> selling costs and changes in <br> market conditions since |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following table presents the carrying amount and fair value of financial instruments at March 31, 2012:

|  | Carrying <br> Amount |  | Fair Value Measurement Using: |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Level 1 |  | Level 2 | Level 3 | Fair Value |  |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 30,203 | \$ | 30,203 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 30,203 |
| Interest bearing time deposits with other banks |  | 275 |  |  | 281 |  |  | 281 |
| Securities available for sale |  | 721,586 |  | 120,968 | 598,238 | 2,380 |  | 721,586 |
| Trading securities |  | 3,187 |  | 3,031 | 156 |  |  | 3,187 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 1,347 |  |  | 1,347 |  |  | 1,347 |
| Net loans |  | 846,995 |  |  |  | 868,086 |  | 868,086 |
| FHLB and other equity interests |  | 6,461 |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  | N/A |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 6,851 |  | 685 | 3,390 | 2,776 |  | 6,851 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | $(1,436,988)$ |  | (1,187,861) | $(252,387)$ |  |  | (1440,248) |
| FHLB and other borrowings |  | $(74,417)$ |  |  | $(82,681)$ |  |  | $(82,681)$ |
| Subordinated debentures |  | $(20,620)$ |  |  |  | $(10,828)$ |  | $(10,828)$ |
| Interest rate swaps |  | $(1,573)$ |  |  | $(1,573)$ |  |  | $(1,573)$ |
| Accrued interest payable |  | $(1,280)$ |  | (713) | (549) | (18) |  | $(1,280)$ |

The following table presents the carrying amount and fair value of financial instruments at December 31, 2011:

| ASSETS | Carrying <br> Amount | Fair <br> Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents | $\$ 39,703$ | $\$$ |
| Interest bearing time deposits with other banks | 39,703 |  |
| Securities available for sale | 638,107 | 638,107 |
| Trading securities | 3,233 | 3,233 |
| Loans held for sale | 1,442 | 1,470 |
| Net loans | 837,268 | 862,389 |
| FHLB and other equity interests | 6,537 | N/A |
| Accrued interest receivable | 6,567 | 6,567 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |
| Deposits | $\$(1,353,851)$ | $\$(1,357,415)$ |
| FHLB and other borrowings | $(74,456)$ | $(83,042)$ |
| Subordinated debentures | $(20,620)$ | $(10,906)$ |
| Interest rate swaps | $(1,669)$ | $(1,669)$ |
| Accrued interest payable | $(1,308)$ | $(1,308)$ |

The methods and assumptions, not otherwise presented, used to estimate fair values are described as follows:
Cash and cash equivalents: The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents approximate fair values and are classified as Level 1.

Interest bearing time deposits with other banks: The fair value of interest bearing time deposits with other banks is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities, resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Loans held for sale: The fair value of loans held for sale is estimated based upon binding contracts and quotes from third party investors resulting in a Level 2 classification.
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Loans: For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values, resulting in a Level 3 classification. Fair values for other loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality, resulting in a Level 3 classification. Impaired loans are valued at the lower of cost or fair value as described previously. The methods utilized to estimate the fair value of loans do not necessarily represent an exit price.

FHLB and other equity interests: It is not practical to determine the fair value of Federal Home Loan Bank stock and other equity interests due to restrictions placed on the transferability of these instruments.

Accrued interest receivable: The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable approximates fair value resulting in a classification that is consistent with the asset with which it is associated.

Deposits: The fair values disclosed for demand deposits are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e. their carrying amount), resulting in a Level 1 classification. Fair values for time deposits are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits, resulting in a Level 2 classification.

FHLB and other borrowings: The fair values of the Corporation s FHLB and other borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements, resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Subordinated debentures: The fair value of the Corporation s subordinated debentures are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of arrangements, resulting in a Level 3 classification.

Accrued interest payable: The carrying amount of accrued interest payable approximates fair value resulting in a classification that is consistent with the liability with which it is associated.

While estimates of fair value are based on management $s$ judgment of the most appropriate factors as of the balance sheet date, there is no assurance that the estimated fair values would have been realized if the assets had been disposed of or the liabilities settled at that date, since market values may differ depending on various circumstances. The estimated fair values would also not apply to subsequent dates.

In addition, other assets and liabilities that are not financial instruments, such as premises and equipment, are not included in the disclosures. Also, non-financial assets such as, among other things, the estimated earnings power of core deposits, the earnings potential of trust accounts, the trained workforce, and customer goodwill, which typically are not recognized on the balance sheet may have value but are not included in the fair value disclosures.

## SECURITIES

Securities available for sale at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

|  | March 31, 2012 |  |  |  | Amortized | December 31, 2011 Unrealized |  | Fair <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost | Gains | Losses | Value | Cost | Gains | Losses |  |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 8,050 | \$ 47 | \$ | \$ 8,097 | \$ 8,064 | \$ 66 | \$ | \$ 8,130 |
| U.S. Gov t sponsored entities | 108,891 | 4,757 | (3) | 113,645 | 102,258 | 5,249 | (15) | 107,492 |
| State \& political subdivisions | 150,768 | 7,981 | (148) | 158,601 | 149,685 | 8,844 | (92) | 158,437 |
| Residential mortgage \& asset backed | 368,459 | 6,890 | (667) | 374,682 | 292,297 | 8,043 | (214) | 300,126 |
| Commercial mortgage \& asset backed | 2,074 | 62 |  | 2,136 | 2,077 | 45 |  | 2,122 |
| Corporate notes \& bonds | 16,360 | 30 | $(2,995)$ | 13,395 | 17,358 | 50 | $(3,548)$ | 13,860 |
| Pooled trust preferred | 800 |  | (460) | 340 | 800 |  | (460) | 340 |
| Pooled SBA | 47,882 | 1,328 | (65) | 49,145 | 44,851 | 1,282 | (77) | 46,056 |
| Other securities | 1,521 | 24 |  | 1,545 | 1,521 | 23 |  | 1,544 |
| Total | \$ 704,805 | \$ 21,119 | \$ (4,338) | \$ 721,586 | \$ 618,911 | \$ 23,602 | \$ $(4,406)$ | \$ 638,107 |
| Table of Contents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |

At March 31, 2012, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its agencies, in an amount greater than $10 \%$ of shareholders equity.
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Trading securities accounted for under the fair value option at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corporate equity securities | \$ | 1,900 | \$ | 1,959 |
| Certificates of deposit |  | 357 |  | 255 |
| International mutual funds |  | 288 |  | 257 |
| Large cap growth mutual funds |  | 174 |  | 145 |
| Large cap value mutual funds |  | 108 |  | 105 |
| Corporate notes and bonds |  | 101 |  | 100 |
| Money market mutual funds |  | 84 |  | 241 |
| Real estate investment trust mutual funds |  | 66 |  | 68 |
| U.S. Government sponsored entities |  | 55 |  | 55 |
| Small cap mutual funds |  | 27 |  | 25 |
| Mid cap mutual funds |  | 27 |  | 23 |
| Total | \$ | 3,187 | \$ | 3,233 |

Securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, are as follows (in thousands):


Commercial mortgage \& asset backed
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| Corporate notes \& bonds | 2,860 | $(139)$ | 8,841 | $(3,409)$ | 11,701 | $(3,548)$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pooled trust preferred |  |  | 340 | $(460)$ | 340 | $(460)$ |
| Pooled SBA | 8,139 | $(77)$ |  |  | 8,139 | $(77)$ |
| Other securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
\$ & 60,610 & \$ & (485) & \$ & 18,666 & \$ & (3,921) & \$ & 79,276 & \$
\end{array}(4,406)
$$

The Corporation evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis, or more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation.
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At March 31, 2012, management evaluated the structured pooled trust preferred securities for other-than-temporary impairment by estimating the cash flows expected to be received from each security within the collateral pool, taking into account future estimated levels of deferrals and defaults by the underlying issuers, and discounting those cash flows at the appropriate accounting yield. Management also assumed that all issuers in deferral will default prior to their next payment date. Trust preferred collateral is deeply subordinated within issuers capital structures, so large recoveries are unlikely. Accordingly, management assumed $10 \%$ recoveries on bank collateral and none on collateral issued by other companies. Due to the ongoing difficulties in the U.S. economy, management also added a baseline default rate of $2 \%$ annually for the next two years to default projections for specific issuers. This percentage represents the peak, post-war bank default rate that occurred at the height of the savings and loan crisis, which we believe is an accurate proxy for the current environment. Management expects that credit markets have begun to normalize and that banks with the financial strength to survive will default at a $.36 \%$ average annual rate, which represents Moody s idealized default probability for BBB corporate credits, and is in line with historical bank failure rates. In addition, management expects prepayments to occur at a rate of approximately $5 \%$ over a five year period, with the exception of certain large institutions that are expected to call their collateral in 2012 as a result of the elimination of the Tier I capital treatment of trust preferred securities for institutions with greater than $\$ 15$ billion in assets beginning in 2013.

Using this methodology, five of the Corporation s structured pooled trust preferred securities are deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired as disclosed in the table that follows. The Corporation separated the other-than-temporary impairment related to these structured pooled trust preferred securities into (a) the amount of the total impairment related to credit loss, which is recognized in the income statement, and (b) the amount of the total impairment related to all other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income. The Corporation measured the credit loss component of other-than-temporary impairment based on the difference between the cost basis and the present value of cash flows expected to be collected.

The following table provides detailed information related to the Corporation s structured pooled trust preferred securities as of March 31, 2012 and for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011:

|  | Adjusted Amortized Cost |  | Unrealized Gain (Loss) |  | Fair Value | Credit Losses Realized in Earnings Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | Credit Losses Realized <br> in Earnings <br> Three <br> Months Ended <br> March 31, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALESCO Preferred Funding V, Ltd. | \$ | 800 | \$ | (460) | \$ 340 | \$ | \$ |  |
| ALESCO Preferred Funding XII, Ltd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 280 |
| ALESCO Preferred Funding XVII, Ltd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred Term Securities XVI, Ltd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 118 |
| US Capital Funding VI, Ltd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 800 | \$ | (460) | \$ 340 | \$ | \$ | 398 |

A roll-forward of the other-than-temporary impairment amount related to credit losses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Balance of credit losses on debt securities for which a portion of } \\
& \text { other-than-temporary impairment was recognized in other comprehensive income, } \\
& \text { beginning of period }
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \text { Additional credit loss for which other-than-temporary impairment was not } \\
& \text { previously recognized }
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \text { Additional credit loss for which other-than-temporary impairment was previously } \\
& \text { recognized }
\end{aligned}
$$
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A roll-forward of the other-than-temporary impairment amount related to credit losses for the three months ended March 31, 2011 is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Balance of credit losses on debt securities for which a portion of } \\
& \text { other-than-temporary impairment was recognized in other comprehensive income, } \\
& \text { beginning of period }
\end{align*} \quad \$ 3,656
$$

At March 31, 2012, the Corporation held five structured pooled trust preferred securities, primarily from issuers in the financial services industry, which are not currently trading in an active, open market with readily observable prices. As a result, these securities were classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. The fair values of these securities have been calculated using a discounted cash flow model and market liquidity premium. With the current market conditions, the assumptions used to determine the fair value of Level 3 securities have greater subjectivity due to the lack of observable market transactions. The fair values of these securities have declined due to the fact that subsequent offerings of similar securities pay a higher market rate of return. This higher rate of return reflects the increased credit and liquidity risks in the marketplace. Except as described above, based on management s evaluation of the structured pooled trust preferred securities, the present value of the projected cash flows is sufficient for full repayment of the amortized cost of the securities and, therefore, it is believed that the decline in fair value is temporary due to current market conditions. However, without recovery of these securities, other-than-temporary impairments may occur in future periods.

For the securities that comprise corporate notes and bonds and the securities that are issued by state and political subdivisions, management monitors publicly available financial information, such as filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in order to evaluate the securities for other-than-temporary impairment. For financial institution issuers, management also monitors information from quarterly call report filings that are used to generate Uniform Bank Performance Reports. When reviewing this information, management considers the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer and whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred. Management also considers the length of time and extent to which fair value has been less than cost and the intent and ability of the Corporation to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, management concluded that the securities described in the previous paragraph were not other-than-temporarily impaired for the following reasons:

There is no indication of any significant deterioration of the creditworthiness of the institutions that issued the securities.

The unrealized losses are predominantly attributable to liquidity disruptions within the credit markets and the generally stressed condition of the financial services industry.

All contractual interest payments on the securities have been received as scheduled, and no information has come to management s attention through the processes previously described which would lead to a conclusion that future contractual payments will not be received timely.
The Corporation does not intend to sell and it is not more likely than not that it will be required to sell the securities in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis.

Information pertaining to security sales is as follows:

|  | Proceeds | Gross Gains | Gross Losses |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Three months ended March 31, 2012 | $\$ 42,149$ | $\$$ | 636 | $\$$ |
| Three months ended March 31, 2011 | 23,610 | 146 | $(72)$ |  |
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The following is a schedule of the contractual maturity of securities available for sale, excluding equity securities, at March 31, 2012:

|  | March 31, 2012 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Amortized <br> Cost | Fair <br> Value |
|  | $\$ 18,990$ | $\$ 19,132$ |
| 1 year or less | 81,542 | 83,710 |
| 1 year 5 years | 130,144 | 137,725 |
| 5 years 10 years | 102,075 | 102,656 |
| After 10 years |  |  |
|  | 332,751 | 343,223 |
|  | 368,459 | 374,682 |
| Residential mortgage \& asset backed securities | 2,074 | 2,136 |
| Commercial mortgage \& asset backed securities |  |  |
|  | $\$ 703,284$ | $\$ 720,041$ |

Mortgage and asset backed securities are not due at a single date; periodic payments are received based on the payment patterns of the underlying collateral.

On March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, securities carried at $\$ 237,081$ and $\$ 264,166$, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes as provided by law.

## LOANS

Total net loans at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are summarized as follows:

|  | March 31, <br> 2012 | December 31, <br> 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural | $\$ 258,628$ | $\$ 253,324$ |
| Commercial mortgages | 250,407 | 242,511 |
| Residential real estate | 295,510 | 298,628 |
| Consumer | 54,905 | 54,677 |
| Credit cards | 3,133 | 3,206 |
| Overdrafts | 308 | 423 |
| Less: unearned discount | $(2,881)$ | $(2,886)$ |
| allowance for loan losses | $(13,015)$ | $(12,615)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Loans, net | $\$ 846,995$ | $\$$ |

At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, net unamortized loan costs and fees of $\$ 27$ and (\$7), respectively, have been included in the carrying value of loans.

The Corporation s outstanding loans and related unfunded commitments are primarily concentrated within Central and Western Pennsylvania. The Bank attempts to limit concentrations within specific industries by utilizing dollar limitations to single industries or customers, and by entering into participation agreements with third parties. Collateral requirements are established based on management $s$ assessment of the customer.
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Transactions in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were as follows:

|  | Commercial, Industrial, and Agricultural |  | Commercial <br> Mortgages |  | Residential Real Estate |  | Consumer |  | Credit Cards |  | Overdrafts |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan losses, January 1, 2012 | \$ | 4,511 | \$ | 4,470 | \$ | 1,991 | \$ | 1,404 | \$ | 71 | \$ | 168 | \$ 12,615 |
| Charge-offs |  | (225) |  | (115) |  | (87) |  | (256) |  | (19) |  | (67) | (769) |
| Recoveries |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |  | 1 |  | 34 | 65 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 653 |  | 22 |  | 93 |  | 260 |  | 43 |  | 33 | 1,104 |
| Allowance for loan losses, March 31, 2012 | \$ | 4,942 | \$ | 4,377 | \$ | 1,997 | \$ | 1,435 | \$ | 96 | \$ | 168 | \$ 13,015 |

Transactions in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2011 were as follows:

|  | Commercial, Industrial, and Agricultural |  | Commercial <br> Mortgages |  | Residential Real Estate |  | Consumer |  | Credit Cards |  | Overdrafts |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan losses, January 1, 2011 | \$ | 3,517 | \$ | 3,511 | \$ | 1,916 | \$ | 1,561 | \$ | 96 | \$ | 219 | \$ 10,820 |
| Charge-offs |  | (42) |  | (47) |  | (14) |  | (260) |  | (18) |  | (53) | (434) |
| Recoveries |  | , |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  | 2 |  | 34 | 61 |
| Provision (benefit) for loan losses |  | 256 |  | 415 |  | (23) |  | 182 |  | 16 |  | (69) | 777 |

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and is based on the Corporation s impairment method as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| March 31, 2012 | Commercial, Industrial, and Agricultural |  | Commercial Mortgages |  | Residential <br> Real <br> Estate |  | Consumer |  | Credit Cards |  | Overdrafts |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending allowance balance attributable to loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 605 | \$ | 248 | \$ | 32 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 885 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 4,337 |  | 3,352 |  | 1,965 |  | 1,435 |  | 96 |  | 168 |  | 11,353 |
| Modified in a troubled debt restructuring |  |  |  | 777 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 777 |
| Total ending allowance balance | \$ | 4,942 | \$ | 4,377 | \$ | 1,997 | \$ | 1,435 | \$ | 96 | \$ | 168 | \$ | 13,015 |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 6,054 | \$ | 7,611 | \$ | 213 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 13,878 |
| Loans collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 252,574 |  | 232,595 |  | 95,297 |  | 54,905 |  | 133 |  | 308 |  | 838,812 |
| Loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring |  |  |  | 10,201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,201 |
| Total ending loans balance | \$ | 258,628 |  | 250,407 |  | 95,510 |  | 54,905 |  | 133 |  | 308 |  | 862,891 |


| December 31, 2011 | Commercial, Industrial, and Agricultural |  | Commercial Mortgages |  | Residential <br> Real <br> Estate |  | Consumer |  | Credit Cards |  | Overdrafts |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending allowance balance attributable to loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 462 | \$ | 784 | \$ | 19 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | 1,265 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 4,182 |  | 3,325 |  | 1,972 |  | 1,404 |  | 71 |  | 168 |  | 11,122 |
| Modified in a troubled debt restructuring |  |  |  | 228 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 228 |
| Total ending allowance balance | \$ | 4,644 | \$ | 4,337 | \$ | 1,991 | \$ | 1,404 |  | 71 | \$ | 168 |  | 12,615 |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 6,115 | \$ | 8,457 | \$ | 124 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | 14,696 |
| Loans collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 247,209 |  | 226,366 |  | 298,504 |  | 54,677 |  | 3,206 |  | 423 |  | 830,385 |
| Loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring |  |  |  | 7,688 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,688 |
| Total ending loans balance | \$ | 253,324 | S | 242,511 |  | 298,628 |  | 54,677 |  | 3,206 | \$ | 423 |  | 852,769 |
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The following tables present information related to loans individually evaluated for impairment by portfolio segment as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011:

| March 31, 2012 | Unpaid Principal <br> Balance | Recorded <br> Investment | Allowance for Loan <br> Losses <br> Allocated |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| With an allowance recorded: | $\$$ | 4,242 | $\$ 2,531$ | $\$$ |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural | 6,546 | 5,770 | 605 |  |
| Commercial mortgage | 316 | 213 | 1,025 |  |
| Residential real estate | 4,168 | 3,523 | 32 |  |
| With no related allowance recorded: | 13,802 | 12,042 |  |  |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial mortgage |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate | $\$$ | 29,074 | $\$ 24,079$ | $\$$ |
|  |  |  | 1,662 |  |


| December 31, 2011 | Unpaid Principal <br> Balance | Recorded <br> Investment | Allowance for Loan <br> Losses <br> Allocated |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| With an allowance recorded: | $\$$ | 4,329 | $\$ 2,815$ | $\$$ |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural | 4,724 | 4,065 | 462 |  |
| Commercial mortgage | 187 | 124 | 1,012 |  |
| Residential real estate | 3,892 | 3,300 | 19 |  |
| With no related allowance recorded: | 13,839 | 12,080 |  |  |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial mortgage |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate |  |  |  |  |


| Total | $\$$ | 26,971 | $\$ 22,384$ | $\$$ | 1,493 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | Three Months Ended <br> March 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  | Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> Recorded Investment | Interest <br> Income Recognized |  | Cash <br> Basis <br> Interest Recognized |  | Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment | Interest <br> Income <br> Recognized |  | Cash <br> Basis <br> Interest <br> Recognized |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural | \$ 2,673 | \$ | 4 | \$ | 4 | \$ 2,236 | \$ |  | \$ |
| Commercial mortgage | 4,918 |  |  |  |  | 10,404 |  | 2 | 2 |
| Residential real estate | 169 |  | 4 |  | 4 | 211 |  |  |  |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural | 3,412 |  |  |  |  | 1,949 |  |  |  |
| Commercial mortgage | 12,061 |  |  |  |  | 719 |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total | $\$ 23,233$ | $\$$ | 8 | $\$$ | 8 | $\$ 15,519$ | $\$$ | 2 | $\$$ | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans and loans past due over 90 days still accruing interest by class of loans as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

\left.|  | March 31, 2012 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Past Due |  |  |
| Over 90 Days |  |  |$\right) ~$| December 31, 2011 |
| :---: |
| Past Due |
| Over 90 Days |
| Still on Accrual |

Nonaccrual loans and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 by class of loans. The recorded investment in loans excludes accrued interest and loan origination fees, net due to their insignificance.

| March 31, 2012 | Greater Than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30-59 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60-89 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ |  | 90 Days <br> Past Due |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Past Due } \end{gathered}$ | Loans Not Past Due | Total |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural | \$ | 1,716 | \$ | 10 | \$ | 6,523 | \$ 8,249 | \$ 250,379 | \$ 258,628 |
| Commercial mortgages |  | 3,487 |  | 211 |  | 7,320 | 11,018 | 239,389 | 250,407 |
| Residential real estate |  | 1,198 |  | 276 |  | 1,672 | 3,146 | 292,364 | 295,510 |
| Consumer |  | 292 |  | 237 |  | 153 | 682 | 54,223 | 54,905 |
| Credit cards |  | 23 |  | 23 |  | 2 | 48 | 3,085 | 3,133 |
| Overdrafts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 308 | 308 |
| Total | \$ | 6,716 | \$ | 757 | \$ | 15,670 | \$ 23,143 | \$ 839,748 | \$ 862,891 |


| December 31, 2011 | Greater Than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30-59 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60-89 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ |  | 90 Days Past Due |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Past Due } \end{gathered}$ | Loans Not Past Due | Total |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural | \$ | 239 | \$ | 53 | \$ | 6,959 | \$ 7,251 | \$ 246,073 | \$ 253,324 |
| Commercial mortgages |  | 1,064 |  | 2,620 |  | 7,043 | 10,727 | 231,784 | 242,511 |
| Residential real estate |  | 1,816 |  | 682 |  | 1,411 | 3,909 | 294,719 | 298,628 |
| Consumer |  | 392 |  | 185 |  | 130 | 707 | 53,970 | 54,677 |
| Credit cards |  | 34 |  | 19 |  | 27 | 80 | 3,126 | 3,206 |
| Overdrafts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 423 | 423 |
| Total | \$ | 3,545 | \$ | 3,559 | \$ | 15,570 | \$ 22,674 | \$ 830,095 | \$ 852,769 |

## Troubled Debt Restructurings

In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without a loan modification. This evaluation is performed using the Corporation s internal underwriting policies.

A loan is considered to be in payment default once it is 90 days contractually past due under the modified terms. All loans modified in troubled debt restructurings are performing in accordance with their modified terms as of March 31, 2012 and no principal balances were forgiven in connection with the loan restructurings.
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The Corporation has allocated $\$ 212$ and $\$ 228$ of specific reserves to one commercial mortgage customer whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The interest rate on the original loan was $6.60 \%$. Due to financial difficulties experienced by the customer, the interest rate was reduced to $4.19 \%$ in the third quarter of 2010, and the interest rate on this loan was further reduced to $4.07 \%$ in the third quarter of 2011. In the first quarter of 2012, the customer was granted interest-only terms for six months, resulting in an additional provision for loan losses that was insignificant for the three months ended March 31, 2012. This loan had a total recorded investment of $\$ 1,657$ and $\$ 1,662$ as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Corporation has allocated $\$ 101$ of specific reserves to one commercial mortgage customer with two loans whose terms have been modified in a troubled debt restructuring as of March 31, 2012. The interest rates on the original loans were $6.45 \%$ and $6.47 \%$. Due to financial difficulties experienced by the customer, the interest rates on both loans were reduced to $5.75 \%$ in the first quarter of 2012 , and the maturity dates were extended to 2020 and 2024, resulting in an additional provision for loan losses of $\$ 101$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012. These loans had a total recorded investment of $\$ 1,840$ as of March 31,2012 . This commercial customer has two additional mortgage loans that were deemed to be impaired as of December 31, 2011 and whose terms were modified in a troubled debt restructuring in the first quarter of 2012. The loan payments were modified to reflect a twenty year amortization with a balloon payment due after five years. These loans had a total recorded investment of $\$ 716$ and $\$ 728$ and specific reserves of $\$ 465$ as of both March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. No additional provision for loan losses was required to be recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2012 in connection with the loan modifications.

The Corporation has a commercial mortgage customer whose loan relationships have interest-only terms that were extended during 2011. The original interest rates on the loans, which were also the market rates of interest at the time of the loan modification, were not reduced; therefore, no additional provision for loan losses was required to be recorded. These loans have a total recorded investment of $\$ 4,571$ and $\$ 4,588$ at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

In addition, the Corporation has a commercial mortgage customer whose loan relationship was restructured due to the forgiveness of accrued interest and late charges. The original interest rate on the loan, which was also the market rate of interest at the time of the loan modification, was not reduced; therefore, no additional provision for loan losses was required to be recorded. This loan has a recorded investment of $\$ 1,417$ and \$1,438 at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

The Corporation has a commercial customer with five loans whose terms were modified in a troubled debt restructuring in the first quarter of 2012 due to financial difficulties experienced by the customer. The outstanding balances on the five loans, which ranged in maturity from 2012 to 2014, were combined into one new loan with a five year term. The blended original interest rates on the loans, which were also the market rates of interest at the time of the loan modification, were not reduced; therefore no additional provision for loan losses was required to be recorded. This loan has a total recorded investment of \$310 at March 31, 2012.

The Corporation has no further loan commitments to customers whose loans are classified as a troubled debt restructuring, and there have been no payment defaults on loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring.

## Credit Quality Indicators

The Corporation classifies commercial, industrial, and agricultural loans and commercial mortgage loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt, such as current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Corporation analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. Loans with an outstanding balance greater than $\$ 1$ million are analyzed at least bi-annually and loans with an outstanding balance of less than $\$ 1$ million are analyzed at least annually.

The Corporation uses the following definitions for risk ratings:
Special Mention: Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the Corporation s credit position at some future date.
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Substandard: Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Corporation will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful: Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loans not rated as special mention, substandard, or doubtful are considered to be pass rated loans. All loans included in the following tables have been assigned a risk rating within 12 months of the balance sheet date.

| March 31, 2012 | Special <br> Mention |  |  |  |  | Substandard |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Doubtful | Total |
| :---: |


| December 31, 2011 | Special <br> Mention |  |  |  |  | Substandard |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Doubtful | Total |
| :---: |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural |
| Commercial mortgages |

The Corporation sportfolio of residential real estate and consumer loans maintained within Holiday Financial Services Corporation ( Holiday ), a subsidiary that offers small balance unsecured and secured loans, primarily collateralized by automobiles and equipment, to borrowers with higher risk characteristics than are typical in the Bank s consumer loan portfolio, are considered to be subprime loans. Holiday s loan portfolio is summarized as follows at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

|  | March 31, | December 31, <br> 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Consumer | 2012 | $\$ 17,896$ |
| Residential real estate | 1,005 | 18,176 |
| Less: unearned discount | $(2,881)$ | 1,056 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 16,886)$ |  |

The Corporation considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. For residential real estate, consumer, and credit card loan classes, the Corporation also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment in residential and consumer loans based on payment activity as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:
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|  | March 31, 2012 |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential <br> Real Estate | Consumer | Credit Cards | Residential <br> Real Estate | Consumer | Credit Cards |
| Performing | \$ 293,838 | \$ 54,752 | \$ 3,131 | \$ 297,217 | \$ 54,547 | \$ 3,179 |
| Non-performing | 1,672 | 153 | 2 | 1,411 | 130 | 27 |
| Total | \$ 295,510 | \$ 54,905 | \$ 3,133 | \$ 298,628 | \$ 54,677 | \$ 3,206 |
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## FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK (FHLB) STOCK

As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh ( FHLB ), the Corporation is required to purchase and hold stock in the FHLB to satisfy membership and borrowing requirements. This stock is restricted in that it can only be sold to the FHLB or to another member institution, and all sales of FHLB stock must be at par. As a result of these restrictions, FHLB stock is unlike other investment securities insofar as there is no trading market for FHLB stock and the transfer price is determined by FHLB membership rules and not by market participants.

As of March 31, 2012, the Corporation held $\$ 5,514$ of stock in FHLB. In December 2008, the FHLB voluntarily suspended dividend payments on its stock, as well as the repurchase of excess stock from members. The FHLB cited a significant reduction in the level of core earnings resulting from lower short-term interest rates, the increased cost of liquidity, and constrained access to the debt markets at attractive rates and maturities as the main reasons for the decision to suspend dividends and the repurchase of excess capital stock. In 2011, the FHLB began repurchasing a limited amount of excess stock owned by its member banks, and on February 22, 2012, the FHLB declared its first dividend since the third quarter of 2008.

FHLB stock is held as a long-term investment, is valued at its cost basis and is analyzed for impairment based on the ultimate recoverability of the par value. The Company evaluates impairment quarterly. The decision of whether impairment exists is a matter of judgment that reflects our view of the FHLB s long-term performance, which includes factors such as the following:
its operating performance;
the severity and duration of declines in the fair value of its net assets related to its capital stock amount;
its commitment to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to its operating performance;
the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on the FHLB, and accordingly, on the members of FHLB; and
its liquidity and funding position.
After evaluating all of these considerations, the Corporation concluded that the par value of its investment in FHLB stock will be recovered. Accordingly, no impairment charge was recorded on these securities. Our evaluation of the factors described above in future periods could result in the recognition of impairment charges on FHLB stock.

## DEPOSITS

Total deposits at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

|  | Percentage <br>  <br>  <br> Change | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Checking, non-interest bearing | $8.5 \%$ | $\$$ | 165,743 | $\$$ | 152,732 |
| Checking, interest bearing | $(1.4 \%)$ | 301,754 | 305,960 |  |  |
| Savings accounts | $14.9 \%$ |  | 720,364 | 627,106 |  |
| Certificates of deposit | $(7.1 \%)$ | 249,127 | 268,053 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the applicable period, excluding outstanding participating securities. Diluted earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares determined for the basic computation plus the dilutive effect of potential common shares issuable under certain stock compensation plans. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, 37,500 and 84,250 shares issuable under stock compensation plans, respectively, were excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculations since they were anti-dilutive.
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Unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and are included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The Corporation has determined that its outstanding non-vested stock awards are participating securities.

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per share is shown below (in thousands except per share data):

|  | Three months ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |
| Net income per consolidated statements of income | \$ | 4,347 |  | 3,277 |
| Net earnings allocated to participating securities |  | (16) |  | (11) |
| Net earnings allocated to common stock | \$ | 4,331 |  | 3,266 |
| Basic earnings per common share computation: |  |  |  |  |
| Distributed earnings allocated to common stock |  | 2,041 |  | 2,017 |
| Undistributed earnings allocated to common stock |  | 2,290 |  | 1,249 |
| Net earnings allocated to common stock | \$ | 4,331 |  | 3,266 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding, including shares considered participating securities |  | 12,402 |  | 12,263 |
| Less: Average participating securities |  | (40) |  | (38) |
| Weighted average shares |  | 12,362 |  | 12,225 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ | 0.35 |  | 0.27 |
| Diluted earnings per common share computation: |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings allocated to common stock | \$ | 4,331 |  | 3,266 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding for basic earnings per common share |  | 12,362 |  | 12,225 |
| Add: Dilutive effects of assumed exercises of stock options |  | 4 |  | 8 |
| Weighted average shares and dilutive potential common shares |  | 12,366 |  | 12,233 |
| Diluted earnings per common share |  | 0.35 |  | 0.27 |

## DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

The Corporation records all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges.

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in other comprehensive income (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings, and the
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ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognized directly in earnings. The Corporation assesses the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in cash flows of the designated hedged item or transaction.

On August 1, 2008, the Corporation executed an interest rate swap agreement with a 5 year term and an effective date of September 15, 2008 in order to hedge $\$ 10$ million of a subordinated note that was issued by the Corporation during 2007 and elected cash flow hedge accounting for the agreement. The Corporation s objective in using this derivative is to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate risk. The interest rate swap involves the receipt of variable-rate amounts in exchange for fixed-rate payments from August 1, 2008 to September 15, 2013 without exchange of the
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underlying notional amount. At March 31, 2012, the variable rate on the subordinated debt was $2.02 \%$ (LIBOR plus 155 basis points) and the Corporation was paying $5.84 \%$ ( $4.29 \%$ fixed rate plus 155 basis points).

In anticipation of the expiration of the 5 year interest rate swap agreement discussed immediately above, on May 3, 2011, the Corporation executed an interest rate swap agreement with a 5 year term and an effective date of September 15, 2013 which as of that effective date, will hedge $\$ 10$ million of the subordinated note discussed immediately above. As with the prior interest rate swap agreement, the Corporation s objective in using this derivative is to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate risk. The interest rate swap involves the receipt of variable-rate amounts in exchange for fixed-rate payments from September 15, 2013 to September 15, 2018 without exchange of the underlying notional amount. On the effective date, the variable rate on the subordinated debt will be LIBOR plus 155 basis points and the Corporation will be paying $5.57 \%$ ( $4.02 \%$ fixed rate plus 155 basis points).

As of March 31, 2012, no derivatives were designated as fair value hedges or hedges of net investments in foreign operations. Additionally, the Corporation does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and currently does not have any derivatives that are not designated as hedges.

The following tables provide information about the amounts and locations of activity related to the interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges within the Corporation s consolidated balance sheet and statement of income as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

|  | Liability Derivative |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Balance Sheet Location | Fair value |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 31, \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ber } 31 \text {, } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| Interest rate contract | Accrued interest and other liabilities | (\$ 1,573) | (\$ | 1,669) |

$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\text { For the Three Months Ended March 31, } 2012 & \text { (a) } & & \text { (b) } & \text { (c) } & \text { (d) } \\ \begin{array}{llll}\text { Interest rate contract }\end{array} & \$ 63 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Interest expense } \\ \text { debentures }\end{array} & \text { subordinated }\end{array}\right)$
(a) Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Loss on Derivative (Effective Portion), net of tax
(b) Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss into Income (Effective Portion)
(c) Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss into Income (Effective Portion)
(d) Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)
(e) Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the interest rate swap will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the subordinated debentures. Such amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense in the next twelve months are expected to be $\$ 372$.
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## RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-4, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820), Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. Some amendments in this update clarify the FASB s intent about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements. Other amendments change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments in this update are effective during interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15,2011. The effect of adopting this new guidance did not have a material effect on the Corporation s financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-5, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220), Presentation of Comprehensive Income. This update amends the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) to allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. This update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders equity. The amendments to the Codification in this update do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, and retrospective application is required. The effect of adopting this new guidance did not have a material effect on the Corporation s financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-08, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350), Testing Goodwill for Impairment. The amendments in the update permit an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. The more-likely-than-not threshold is defined as having a likelihood of more than fifty percent. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The effect of adopting this new guidance did not have a material effect on the Corporation s financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-12, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassification of Items out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05. This update defers the specific requirement to present items that are reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to net income separately within their respective components of net income and other comprehensive income. As such, the amendments in this update supersede only those paragraphs in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 that pertain to how and where reclassification adjustments are presented. The amendments were effective at the same time as the amendments in Accounting Standards Update 2011-05. The effect of adopting this new guidance did not have a material effect on the Corporation s financial statements.
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## Item 2

## Management s Discussionnd Analysis of Financial Condition

## And Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of the consolidated financial statements of CNB Financial Corporation (the Corporation ) is presented to provide insight into management $s$ assessment of financial results. The Corporation sprincipal subsidiary, CNB Bank (the Bank ), provides financial services to individuals and businesses primarily within the Pennsylvania counties of Cambria, Cameron, Clearfield, Elk, Indiana, and McKean. It also includes a portion of western Centre County including Philipsburg Borough, Rush Township and the western portions of Snow Shoe and Burnside Townships and a portion of Jefferson County, consisting of the boroughs of Brockway, Falls Creek, Punxsutawney, Reynoldsville and Sykesville, and the townships of Washington, Winslow and Henderson. ERIEBANK, a division of CNB Bank, provides financial services to individuals and businesses in the northwestern Pennsylvania counties of Erie, Crawford, and Warren.

The Bank is subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Pennsylvania State Department of Banking as well as the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The financial condition and results of operations of the Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries are not necessarily indicative of future performance. CNB Securities Corporation is incorporated in Delaware and currently maintains investments in debt and equity securities. County Reinsurance Company is an Arizona Corporation, and provides credit life and disability insurance for customers of CNB Bank. CNB Insurance Agency, incorporated in Pennsylvania, provides for the sale of nonproprietary annuities and other insurance products. Holiday Financial Services Corporation, incorporated in Pennsylvania, offers small balance unsecured loans and secured loans, primarily collateralized by automobiles and equipment, to borrowers with higher risk characteristics. When we use the terms we , us and our , we mean CNB Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries. Management s discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Corporation s consolidated financial statements and related notes.

## GENERAL OVERVIEW

The Bank expanded its ERIEBANK division by opening a full service office in Meadville, Pennsylvania in the second quarter of 2010, and the Corporation has obtained regulatory approval for second Meadville location that is expected to open in the third quarter of 2012. In addition, a CNB Bank loan production office was opened in Indiana, Pennsylvania in the third quarter of 2011. A CNB Bank loan production office in Johnstown, Pennsylvania was closed in the third quarter of 2011. Management believes that the Corporation s ERIEBANK division, along with the traditional CNB Bank market areas, should provide the Bank with moderate loan growth during the remainder of 2012. Deposit growth was $\$ 191$ million during the year ended December 31, 2011 and $\$ 83$ million during the quarter ended March 31, 2012.

Management concentrates on return on average equity and earnings per share metrics, plus other methods, to measure the performance of the Corporation. The interest rate environment will continue to play an important role in the future earnings of the Corporation. Some compression of the net interest margin was experienced in 2011 and some additional compression is expected in 2012 as a result of the current interest rate environment. During the past several years, measures have been taken such as instituting rate floors on our commercial lines of credit and home equity lines as a result of the historic lows on various key interest rates such as the Prime Rate and 3-month LIBOR. In addition, interest rates were decreased on certain deposit products during 2011 and the first quarter of 2012. Non-interest costs are expected to increase with the growth of the Corporation; however, management s growth strategies are expected to result in an increase in earning assets as well as enhanced non-interest income which is expected to more than offset increases in non-interest expenses in 2012 and beyond. While past results are not an indication of future earnings, management believes the Corporation is well-positioned to sustain core earnings during 2012.

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act ) was enacted and this law could impact the performance of the Corporation in future periods. The Dodd-Frank Act includes numerous provisions designed to strengthen the financial industry, enhance consumer protection, expand disclosures and provide for transparency. Some of these provisions included changes to FDIC insurance coverage, which has now been increased to $\$ 250,000$. Additional provisions created a Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, which is authorized to write rules on all consumer financial products, and a Financial Services Oversight Council, which is empowered to determine which entities are systematically significant and require tougher regulations and is charged with reviewing, and when appropriate, submitting
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comments to the Securities and Exchange Commission and Financial Accounting Standards Board with respect to existing or proposed accounting principles, standards or procedures. Although the aforementioned provisions are only a few of the numerous ones included in the Dodd-Frank Act, the full impact of the entire Dodd-Frank Act will not be known until the full implementation is completed.

## CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents totaled $\$ 30.2$ million at March 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 39.7$ million at December 31, 2011. Cash and cash equivalents fluctuate based on the timing and amount of liquidity events that occur in the normal course of business.

Management believes the liquidity needs of the Corporation are satisfied by the current balance of cash and cash equivalents, readily available access to traditional funding sources, and the portion of the investment and loan portfolios that mature within one year. These sources of funds will enable the Corporation to meet cash obligations and off-balance sheet commitments as they come due.

## SECURITIES

Securities available for sale and trading securities have combined to increase $\$ 83.4$ million or $13.0 \%$ since December 31, 2011. The increase is primarily due to the purchases of residential mortgage and asset backed securities issued by government sponsored entities and resulted from deposit growth not reinvested in loans. See the notes to the consolidated financial statements for additional detail concerning the composition of the Corporation s securities portfolio, the process for evaluating securities for other-than-temporary impairment, and for valuation of structured pooled trust preferred securities.

The Corporation generally buys into the market over time and does not attempt to time its transactions. In doing this, the highs and lows of the market are averaged into the portfolio and minimize the overall effect of different rate environments. We monitor the earnings performance and the effectiveness of the liquidity of the securities portfolio on a regular basis through meetings of the Asset/Liability Committee of the Corporation s Board of Directors ( ALCO ). The ALCO also reviews and manages interest rate risk for the Corporation. Through active balance sheet management and analysis of the securities portfolio, a sufficient level of liquidity is maintained to satisfy depositor requirements and various credit needs of our customers.

## LOANS

The Corporation experienced an increase in loans, net of unearned discount, of $\$ 10.1$ million, or $1.2 \%$, during the first quarter of 2012. Lending efforts are focused in the west, central and northwest Pennsylvania markets and consists principally of commercial and retail lending, which includes single family residential mortgages and other consumer loans. The Corporation views commercial lending as its competitive advantage and continues to focus on this area by hiring and retaining experienced loan officers and supporting them with quality credit analysis. The Corporation expects moderate loan demand throughout the remainder of 2012.
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## ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The allowance for loan losses is established by provisions for losses in the loan portfolio as well as overdrafts in deposit accounts. These provisions are charged against current income. Loans and overdrafts deemed not collectible are charged off against the allowance while any subsequent collections are recorded as recoveries and increase the allowance. The table below shows activity within the allowance account for the specified periods (in thousands):
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lcccr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three months ending } \\
\text { March 31, 2012 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Year ending } \\
\text { December 31, 2011 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three months ending } \\
\text { March 31, 2011 }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Balance at beginning of period \& \$ \& 12,615 \& \$ \& 10,820\end{array}\right) \$\)| 10,820 |
| :--- |
| Charge-offs: |


| Recoveries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial, industrial, and agricultural |  | 3 |  | 9 |  | 1 |
| Commercial mortgages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 27 |  | 88 |  | 24 |
| Credit cards |  | 1 |  | 10 |  | 2 |
| Overdraft deposit accounts |  | 34 |  | 94 |  | 34 |
|  |  | 65 |  | 214 |  | 61 |
| Net charge-offs |  | (704) |  | $(3,142)$ |  | (373) |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 1,104 |  | 4,937 |  | 777 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 13,015 | \$ | 12,615 | \$ | 11,224 |
| Loans, net of unearned | \$ | 860,010 | \$ | 849,883 | \$ | 792,568 |
| Allowance to net loans |  | 1.51\% |  | 1.48\% |  | 1.42\% |
| Net charge-offs to average loans (annualized) |  | 0.33\% |  | 0.38\% |  | 0.19\% |
| Nonperforming assets | \$ | 17,408 | \$ | 17,513 | \$ | 16,130 |
| Nonperforming \% of total assets |  | 1.03\% |  | 1.09\% |  | 1.09\% |

The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is subject to a formal analysis by the credit administrator of the Corporation. As part of the formal analysis, delinquencies and losses are monitored monthly. The loan portfolio is divided into several categories in order to better analyze the entire pool. First is a selection of classified loans that is given a specific reserve. The remaining loans are pooled, by category, into these segments:

Reviewed

Commercial, industrial, and agricultural

Commercial mortgages

## Homogeneous

Residential real estate

Consumer

Credit cards

Overdrafts
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The reviewed loan pools are further segregated into four categories: special mention, substandard, doubtful, and unclassified. Historical loss factors are calculated for each pool excluding overdrafts based on the previous eight quarters of experience. The homogeneous pools are evaluated by analyzing the historical loss factors from the most previous quarter end and the two most recent year ends. The historical loss factors for both the reviewed and homogeneous pools are adjusted based on these six qualitative factors:
levels of and trends in delinquencies, non-accrual loans, and classified loans;
trends in volume and terms of loans;
effects of any changes in lending policies and procedures;
experience, ability and depth of management;
national and local economic trends and conditions; and
concentrations of credit.
The methodology described above was created using the experience of the Corporation s credit administrator, guidance from the regulatory agencies, expertise of a third-party loan review provider, and discussions with peers. The resulting factors are applied to the pool balances in order to estimate the probable risk of loss within each pool. Prudent business practices dictate that the level of the allowance, as well as corresponding charges to the provision for loan losses, should be commensurate with identified areas of risk within the loan portfolio and the attendant risks inherent therein. The quality of the credit risk management function and the overall administration of this vital segment of the Corporation s assets are critical to the ongoing success of the Corporation.

The previously mentioned analysis considered numerous historical and other factors to analyze the adequacy of the allowance and current period charges against the provision for loan losses. Management paid special attention to a section of the analysis that compared and plotted the actual level of the allowance against the aggregate amount of loans adversely classified in order to compute the estimated probable losses associated with those loans. By noting the spread at the present time, as well as prior periods, management determines the current adequacy of the allowance as well as evaluate trends that may be developing. The volume and composition of the Corporation s loan portfolio continue to reflect growth in commercial credits including commercial real estate loans.

As mentioned in the Loans section of this analysis, management considers commercial lending to be a competitive advantage and continues to focus on this area as part of its strategic growth initiatives. However, management must also consider the fact that the inherent risk is more pronounced in these types of credits and is also driven by the economic environment within its market areas.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Corporation recorded a provision for loan losses of $\$ 1.1$ million, as compared to a provision for loan losses of $\$ 777$ thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The increase was a result of increases in loss reserves, primarily in the commercial loan portfolio. One relationship comprising two commercial loans which became impaired in 2011 necessitated an additional loss reserve of $\$ 360$ thousand in the first quarter of 2012 as a result of the revision in the valuation estimate of the loan collateral. Charge-offs attributable to this loan relationship totaled $\$ 200$ thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2012, which was the primary factor in the increase in net loan charge-offs from $\$ 373$ thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2011 to $\$ 705$ thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is reasonable and adequate to absorb probable incurred losses in its portfolio at March 31, 2012.

## FUNDING SOURCES

## Edgar Filing: CNB FINANCIAL CORP/PA - Form 10-Q

The Corporation considers deposits, short-term borrowings, and term debt when evaluating funding sources. Traditional deposits continue to be the main source of funds in the Corporation, increasing $\$ 83.1$ million from $\$ 1,353.9$ million at December 31,2011 to $\$ 1,437.0$ million at March 31, 2012. The growth in deposits was primarily due to increases in savings accounts of $\$ 93.3$ million over this period as a result of the Corporation s marketing of a savings product which carries an annual percentage yield which is highly competitive in the current interest rate environment. This increase in savings accounts was offset by an expected decrease in time deposits of $\$ 18.9$ million as customers who previously held certificates of deposit migrated to the savings product.

## Table of Contents

Periodically, the Corporation utilizes term borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank ( FHLB ) and other lenders to meet funding needs. Management plans to maintain access to short-term and long-term borrowings as an available funding source when deemed appropriate.

## SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY AND CAPITAL RATIOS AND METRICS

The Corporation s capital continued to provide a base for profitable growth through March 31, 2012. Total shareholders equity was $\$ 133.2$ million at March 31, 2012 and $\$ 131.9$ million at December 31, 2011. In the first three months of 2012, the Corporation earned $\$ 4.3$ million and declared dividends of $\$ 2.0$ million, a dividend payout ratio of $47.1 \%$ of net income. The Corporation has also complied with the standards of capital adequacy mandated by the banking regulators. Bank regulators have established risk-based capital requirements designed to measure capital adequacy. Risk-based capital ratios reflect the relative risks of various assets banks hold in their portfolios. A weight category of $0 \%$ (lowest risk assets), $20 \%, 50 \%$, or $100 \%$ (highest risk assets) is assigned to each asset on the balance sheet.

The Corporation s capital ratios, book value per share and tangible book value per share as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

|  | March 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | $15.14 \%$ | $15.14 \%$ |
| Tier 1 capital ratio | $13.89 \%$ | $13.89 \%$ |
| Leverage ratio | $8.09 \%$ | $8.22 \%$ |
| Tangible common equity/tangible assets (1) | $7.30 \%$ | $7.61 \%$ |
| Book value per share | $\$$ | 10.71 |
| Tangible book value per share (1) | 9.84 | $\$$ |

(1) Tangible common equity, tangible assets and tangible book value per share are non-GAAP financial measures calculated using GAAP amounts. Tangible common equity is calculated by excluding the balance of goodwill from the calculation of shareholders equity. Tangible assets is calculated by excluding the balance of goodwill from the calculation of total assets. Tangible book value per share is calculated by dividing tangible common equity by the number of shares outstanding. The Corporation believes that these non-GAAP financial measures provide information to investors that is useful in understanding its financial condition because they are additional measures used to assess capital adequacy. Because not all companies use the same calculation of tangible common equity and tangible assets, this presentation may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures calculated by other companies. A reconciliation of these non-GAAP financial measures is provided below (dollars in thousands, except per share data).

|  | March 31, 2012 |  | December 31, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shareholders equity | \$ | 133,183 | \$ | 131,889 |
| Less goodwill |  | 10,821 |  | 10,821 |
| Tangible common equity | \$ | 122,362 | \$ | 121,068 |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,686,620 | \$ | 1,602,207 |
| Less goodwill |  | 10,821 |  | 10,821 |
| Tangible assets | \$ | 1,675,799 | \$ | 1,591,386 |
| Ending shares outstanding |  | 12,431,682 |  | 12,377,318 |
| Tangible book value per share | \$ | 9.84 | \$ | 9.78 |
| Tangible common equity/tangible assets |  | 7.30\% |  | 7.61\% |

## LIQUIDITY

Liquidity measures an organization s ability to meet cash obligations as they come due. The consolidated statement of cash flows provides analysis of the Corporation s cash and cash equivalents. Additionally, management considers that portion of the loan and investment portfolio that matures within one year to be part of the Corporation s liquid assets. The
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Corporation s liquidity is monitored by both management and the ALCO, which establishes and monitors ranges of acceptable liquidity. Management believes the Corporation s current liquidity position is acceptable.

## OFF BALANCE SHEET ACTIVITIES

Some financial instruments, such as loan commitments, credit lines, letters of credit and overdraft protection, are issued to meet customer financing needs. The contractual amount of financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk was as follows at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| Commitments to extend credit | $\$ 233,064$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Standby letters of credit | 22,672 |
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## CONSOLIDATED YIELD COMPARISONS

AVERAGE BALANCES AND NET INTEREST MARGIN FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED

Dollars in thousands

|  | March 31, 2012 |  |  |  | March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Balance | Annual Rate | Interest Inc./Exp. |  | Average Balance | Annual Rate | Interest Inc./Exp. |
| ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing deposits with other banks | \$ | 4,251 | 0.19\% | \$ 2 | \$ | 15,820 | 1.06\% | \$ 42 |
| Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable (1) |  | 582,354 | 2.59\% | 3,683 |  | 445,373 | 2.91\% | 3,258 |
| Tax-Exempt (1,2) |  | 104,136 | 5.26\% | 1,292 |  | 76,467 | 5.20\% | 1,006 |
| Equity Securities (1,2) |  | 2,285 | 2.98\% | 17 |  | 1,641 | 2.22\% | 9 |
| Total securities |  | 688,775 | 2.98\% | 4,992 |  | 523,481 | 3.24\% | 4,273 |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial (2) |  | 287,433 | 5.01\% | 3,597 |  | 274,809 | 5.19\% | 3,568 |
| Mortgage (2) |  | 518,893 | 5.45\% | 7,069 |  | 469,886 | 5.71\% | 6,704 |
| Consumer |  | 49,877 | 13.84\% | 1,726 |  | 47,204 | 13.55\% | 1,599 |
| Total loans (3) |  | 856,203 | 5.79\% | 12,392 |  | 791,899 | 6.00\% | 11,871 |
| Total earning assets |  | 1,549,229 | 4.55\% | \$ 17,386 |  | 1,331,200 | 4.85\% | \$ 16,186 |
| Non interest-bearing assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks |  | 32,740 |  |  |  | 37,702 |  |  |
| Premises and equipment |  | 24,043 |  |  |  | 24,268 |  |  |
| Other assets |  | 54,767 |  |  |  | 60,053 |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $(12,828)$ |  |  |  | $(11,105)$ |  |  |
| Total non interest-bearing assets |  | 98,722 |  |  |  | 110,918 |  |  |

TOTAL ASSETS \$ 1,647,951 \$ 1,442,118

| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS | EQUITY: | $\$$ | 301,281 | $0.57 \%$ | 428 | $\$$ | 286,168 | $0.81 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Demand interest-bearing | 681,672 | $0.97 \%$ | 1,649 | 401,413 | $1.14 \%$ | 1,148 |  |  |
| Savings | 254,922 | $1.68 \%$ | 1,072 | 375,510 | $1.82 \%$ | 1,704 |  |  |
| Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1,237,875$ | $1.02 \%$ | 3,149 | $1,063,091$ | $1.29 \%$ | 3,435 |  |  |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 2,183 | $0.18 \%$ | 1 | 17,009 | $0.21 \%$ | 9 |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings | 74,430 | $4.28 \%$ | 796 | 73,869 | $4.12 \%$ | 760 |  |  |
| Long-term borrowings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Subordinated debentures | 20,620 | 3.90\% | 201 | 20,620 | 3.71\% | 191 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,335,108 | 1.24\% | \$ 4,147 | 1,174,589 | 1.50\% | \$ 4,395 |
| Demand non interest-bearing | 157,429 |  |  | 142,172 |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 21,268 |  |  | 14,392 |  |  |
| Total liabilities | 1,513,805 |  |  | 1,331,153 |  |  |
| Shareholders equity | 134,146 |  |  | 110,965 |  |  |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | \$ 1,647,951 |  |  | \$ 1,442,118 |  |  |
| Interest income/Earning assets |  | 4.55\% | \$ 17,386 |  | 4.85\% | \$ 16,186 |
| Interest expense/Interest-bearing liabilities |  | 1.24\% | 4,147 |  | 1.50\% | 4,395 |
| Net interest spread |  | 3.30\% | \$ 13,239 |  | 3.35\% | \$ 11,791 |
| Interest income/Earning assets |  | 4.55\% | 17,386 |  | 4.85\% | 16,186 |
| Interest expense/Earning assets |  | 1.07\% | 4,147 |  | 1.32\% | 4,395 |
| Net interest margin |  | 3.47\% | \$ 13,239 |  | 3.53\% | \$ 11,791 |

(1) Includes unamortized discounts and premiums. Average balance is computed using the carrying value of securities. The average yield has been computed using the historical amortized cost average balance for available for sale securities.
(2) Average yields are stated on a fully taxable equivalent basis.
(3) Average outstanding includes the average balance outstanding of all non-accrual loans. Loans consist of the average of total loans less average unearned income. The amount of loan fees included in the interest income on loans is not material.
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## Results of Operations

## OVERVIEW OF THE INCOME STATEMENT

The Corporation had net income of $\$ 4.3$ million in the first quarter of 2012 compared to $\$ 3.3$ million for the same period of 2011. The earnings per diluted share were $\$ 0.35$ in the first quarter of 2012 and $\$ 0.27$ in the first quarter of 2011. The return on assets and return on equity for the first quarter of 2012 are $1.06 \%$ and $12.96 \%$ compared to $0.91 \%$ and $11.81 \%$ for the first quarter of 2011 .

## INTEREST INCOME AND EXPENSE

Net interest income totaled $\$ 12.7$ million, an increase of $\$ 1.4$ million, or $12.2 \%$, over the first quarter of 2011. Total interest and dividend income increased by $\$ 1.1$ million, or $7.2 \%$, as compared to the first quarter of 2011 . Net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis was $3.47 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to $3.53 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Although the Corporation s earning assets continue to grow, these increases have been offset by decreases in the yield on earning assets, primarily because the composition of earning assets has shifted to a greater percentage of investment securities as deposit growth has exceeded loan growth. Total interest expense decreased $\$ 248$ thousand, or $5.6 \%$, as compared to the first quarter of 2011 due to decreases in the cost of core deposits.

## PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES

The Corporation recorded a provision for loan losses of $\$ 1.1$ million in the first quarter of 2012 compared to $\$ 777$ thousand in the first quarter of 2011. As disclosed in the Allowance for Loan Losses section of Management s Discussion and Analysis, the Corporation increased its loan loss reserves in the commercial loan portfolio during the first quarter of 2012. In addition, total net loan chargeoffs were $\$ 704$ thousand in the first quarter of 2012 compared to $\$ 373$ thousand in the first quarter of 2011.

Management believes the provision for loan losses was appropriate and the allowance for loan losses is adequate to absorb probable incurred losses in our portfolio as of March 31, 2012.

## NON-INTEREST INCOME

Excluding the effects of the securities transactions described below, non-interest income was $\$ 2.5$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 2.4$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Net realized gains on available-for-sale securities were $\$ 566$ thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 74$ thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2011. Net realized and unrealized gains on securities for which fair value accounting was elected were $\$ 320$ thousand and $\$ 113$ thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. An other-than-temporary impairment charge of $\$ 398$ thousand was recorded in earnings on structured pooled trust preferred securities during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

## NON-INTEREST EXPENSES

Total non-interest expenses increased $\$ 723$ thousand, or $8.7 \%$, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. Salaries and benefits expenses increased $\$ 482$ thousand, or $11.4 \%$, during the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011, in part due to routine merit increases, an increase in average full-time equivalent employees, and increases in certain employee benefit expenses, such as health insurance premiums, which continue to increase in line with market conditions. In addition, other non-interest expenses increased from $\$ 2.4$ million for the three months ended March 31,2011 to $\$ 2.9$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 as a result of CNB s continued growth.

Total non-interest expenses on an annualized basis in relation to CNB s average asset size declined from $2.30 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2011 to $2.19 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012.
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## INCOME TAX EXPENSE

Income tax expense was $\$ 1.6$ million in the first quarter of 2012 as compared to $\$ 1.1$ million in the first quarter of 2011, resulting in effective tax rates of $27.2 \%$ and $25.8 \%$ for the periods, respectively. The effective rates for the periods differed from the federal statutory rate of $35.0 \%$ principally as a result of tax exempt income from securities and loans as well as earnings from bank owned life insurance. The increase in the effective tax rate from 2011 to 2012 is attributable to a lower percentage of tax-exempt income in 2012 as compared to pre-tax income.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Corporation s accounting and reporting policies are in accordance with GAAP and conform to general practices within the financial services industry. Accounting and reporting practices for the allowance for loan losses and fair value of securities are deemed critical since they involve the use of estimates and require significant management judgments. Application of assumptions different than those used by management could result in material changes in the Corporation s financial position or results of operations. Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies), Note 3 (Securities), and Note 4 (Loans), of the Corporation s 2011 Form 10-K, provide detail with regard to the Corporation s accounting for the allowance for loan losses and fair value of securities. There have been no significant changes in the application of accounting policies since December 31, 2011.

## Item 3

## QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments due to changes in interest rates, exchange rates, and equity prices. As a financial holding company, the Corporation is primarily sensitive to the interest rate risk component. Changes in interest rates will affect the levels of income and expense recorded on a large portion of the Bank s assets and liabilities. Additionally, such fluctuations in interest rates will impact the market value of all interest sensitive assets. The ALCO is responsible for reviewing the Corporation $s$ interest rate sensitivity position and establishing policies to control exposure to interest rate fluctuations. The primary goal established by these policies is to increase total income within acceptable risk limits.

The Corporation monitors interest rate risk through the use of two models: static gap and earnings simulation. Each model standing alone has limitations; however, taken together they represent, in management $s$ opinion, a reasonable view of the Corporation sinterest rate risk position. The following discussion provides a summary of our analysis at December 31, 2011 based on the most recent data available.

STATIC GAP: Static gap analysis is intended to provide an approximation of projected repricing of assets and liabilities at a point in time on the basis of stated maturities, prepayments, and scheduled interest rate adjustments within selected time intervals. A gap is defined as the difference between the principal amount of assets and liabilities which reprice within those time intervals. The cumulative one year gap at December 31, 2011 was $11.83 \%$ of total earning assets compared to policy guidelines of plus or minus $15.0 \%$.

Fixed rate securities, loans and CDs are included in the gap repricing based on time remaining until maturity. Mortgage prepayments are included in the time frame in which they are expected to be received.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis presented in Static Gap. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods to repricing, they may not react correspondingly to changes in market interest rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate with changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types of assets may lag behind changes in market rates. Additionally, certain assets, such as adjustable-rate loans, have features, like annual and lifetime rate caps, which restrict changes in interest rates both on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. Further, in the event of a change in interest rates, prepayment and early withdrawal levels would likely deviate from those assumed in the table. Finally, the ability of certain borrowers to make scheduled payments on their adjustable-rate loans may decrease in the event of an interest rate increase.
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EARNINGS SIMULATION: This model forecasts the projected change in net interest income resulting from increases or decreases in the interest rate curve, assuming a one time shock of plus or minus 200 basis points or $2 \%$.

The model makes various assumptions about cash flows and reinvestments of these cash flows in different rate environments. Generally, repayments, maturities and calls are assumed to be reinvested in like instruments and no significant change in the balance sheet mix is assumed. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates which would produce significant differences in the calculated projected change in income. The limits stated above do not necessarily represent measures that would be taken by management in order to stabilize income results. The instruments on the balance sheet react at different speeds to various changes in interest rates as discussed under Static Gap. In addition, there are strategies available to management that may help mitigate a decline in income caused by a rapid change in interest rates.

The following table below summarizes the information from the interest rate risk measures reflecting rate sensitive assets to rate sensitive liabilities at December 31, 2011:

| Static 1-Year Cumulative Gap | $11.83 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Earnings Simulation: |  |
| -200 bps vs. Stable Rate | N/A |
| +200 bps vs. Stable Rate | $16.93 \%$ |

The static 1-year cumulative gap at December 31, 2011 was asset sensitive. As the federal funds rate was at $0.25 \%$ on December 31, 2011, the -200 bps scenario has been excluded. Management measures the potential impact of significant changes in interest rates on both earnings and equity. By the use of computer generated models, management has determined the potential impact of these changes to be acceptable with modest effects on net income and equity given an interest rate shock of an increase in interest rates of $2.0 \%$. Management continues to monitor the interest rate sensitivity through the ALCO and uses the data to make strategic decisions.
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## Item 4

## CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Corporation s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) ( Exchange Act ). Based on their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer have concluded that the Corporation s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Corporation in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. There were no changes in the Corporation sinternal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this quarterly report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting.

## Part II Other Information

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS None

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. of the 2011 Form 10-K.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

## Exhibit

## No. <br> Description

3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Corporation, filed as Appendix B to the 2005 Proxy Statement, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference.
3.2 By-Laws of the Corporation, as amended and restated, filed as Appendix C to the 2005 Proxy Statement, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference.
31.1 Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a) Certification of the Principal Executive Officer
31.2 Rule 13a 14 (a)/15d $\quad$ 14(a) Certification of the Principal Financial Officer
32.1 Section 1350 Certification
32.2 Section 1350 Certification

101 The following financial information from the Corporation s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, furnished electronically herewith, and formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.*

* In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.


## Table of Contents

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DATE: May 4, 2012

DATE: May 4, 2012

CNB FINANCIAL CORPORATION
(Registrant)
/s/ Joseph B. Bower, Jr.
Joseph B. Bower, Jr.
President and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ Brian W. Wingard
Brian W. Wingard
Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer)
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## EXHIBIT INDEX

## Exhibit

 No.3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Corporation, filed as Appendix B to the 2005 Proxy Statement, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference.
3.2 By-Laws of the Corporation, as amended and restated, filed as Appendix C to the 2005 Proxy Statement, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference.
31.1 Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a) Certification of the Principal Executive Officer
31.2 Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a) Certification of the Principal Financial Officer
32.1 Section 1350 Certification
32.2 Section 1350 Certification

101 The following financial information from the Corporation s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, furnished electronically herewith, and formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.*

* In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

