

GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC.

Form 10-Q

May 16, 2011

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

or

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 001-16501

Global Power Equipment Group Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

73-1541378
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

5199 N. Mingo Road, Tulsa, OK 74117
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(918) 488-0828
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes No

As of May 6, 2011, there were 15,958,170 shares of common stock of Global Power Equipment Group Inc. outstanding.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Form 10-Q

For the quarter ended March 31, 2011

Table of Contents

Part I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <u>Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u> | 3 |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)</u> | 5 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)</u> | 6 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | 7 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 18 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 25 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 25 |
| <u>Part II - OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 26 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 26 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 26 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 27 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 28 |

Table of Contents

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains or incorporates by reference various forward-looking statements that express a belief, expectation or intention or are otherwise not statements of historical fact. Forward-looking statements generally use forward-looking words, such as may, will, could, project, believe, anticipate, expect, estimate, continue, potential, plan, forecast and other words that convey the uncertainty of future events. Forward-looking statements include information concerning possible or assumed future results of our operations, including the following:

business strategies;

operating and growth initiatives and opportunities;

competitive position;

market outlook and trends in our industry;

expected financial condition;

future cash flows;

financing plans;

expected results of operations;

future capital and other expenditures;

availability of raw materials and inventories;

plans and objectives of management;

future compliance with orders and agreements with regulatory agencies;

expected outcomes of legal or regulatory proceedings and their expected effects on our results of operations; and

any other statements regarding future growth, future cash needs, future operations, business plans and future financial results.

These forward-looking statements represent our intentions, plans, expectations, assumptions and beliefs about future events and are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, including unpredictable or unanticipated factors that we have not discussed in this Form 10-Q. Many of those factors are outside of our control and could cause actual results to differ materially from the results expressed or implied by the

forward-looking statements.

In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the events described in the forward-looking statements might not occur or might occur to a different extent or at a different time than we have described. You should consider the areas of risk and uncertainty described above, as well as those discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on March 22, 2011, titled *Risk Factors*. Except as may be required by applicable law, we undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise and we caution you not to rely upon them unduly.

Table of Contents**Part I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements.****GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 43,989 | \$ 55,474 |
| Restricted cash | 1,019 | 1,019 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$2,517 and \$2,508 | 59,985 | 58,892 |
| Inventories | 5,378 | 5,077 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings | 47,544 | 33,076 |
| Other current assets | 8,766 | 4,901 |
| Total current assets | 166,681 | 158,439 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 12,716 | 12,234 |
| Goodwill | 80,400 | 80,400 |
| Intangible assets, net | 12,549 | 12,989 |
| Other assets | 1,536 | 1,663 |
| Total assets | \$ 273,882 | \$ 265,725 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 17,357 | \$ 16,351 |
| Accrued compensation and employee benefits | 24,049 | 20,977 |
| Accrued warranties | 6,261 | 6,049 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings | 13,228 | 11,335 |
| Other current liabilities | 8,492 | 9,843 |
| Total current liabilities | 69,387 | 64,555 |
| Long-term deferred tax liability | 17,748 | 17,748 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 4,210 | 4,159 |
| Liabilities subject to compromise | 7 | 207 |
| Total liabilities | 91,352 | 86,669 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 5) | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 170,000,000 shares authorized, and 15,921,916 and 15,586,237 shares issued, respectively 15,718,827 and 15,469,287 shares outstanding, respectively | 1,407 | 1,403 |
| Paid-in capital | 65,733 | 64,653 |
| Accumulated comprehensive income | 2,883 | 1,382 |
| Retained earnings | 112,515 | 111,629 |
| Treasury stock, at cost (203,089 and 116,950 shares, respectively) | (8) | (11) |

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total stockholders' equity | 182,530 | 179,056 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 273,882 | \$ 265,725 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents**GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| Products revenue | \$ 27,761 | \$ 35,054 |
| Services revenue | 83,822 | 122,096 |
| Total revenues | 111,583 | 157,150 |
| Cost of products revenue | 22,941 | 26,324 |
| Cost of services revenue | 73,988 | 105,569 |
| Cost of revenues | 96,929 | 131,893 |
| Gross profit | 14,654 | 25,257 |
| Selling and administrative expenses | 13,425 | 10,859 |
| Operating income | 1,229 | 14,398 |
| Interest expense | 260 | 2,157 |
| Income from continuing operations before reorganization items and income taxes | 969 | 12,241 |
| Reorganization expense | 45 | 506 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 924 | 11,735 |
| Income tax expense | 38 | 1,629 |
| Income from continuing operations | 886 | 10,106 |
| Discontinued operations: | | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | 1,059 |
| Net income | \$ 886 | \$ 11,165 |
| Basic earnings per weighted average common share: | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.67 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 0.07 |
| Income per common share - basic | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.74 |
| Weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding - basic | 15,562,759 | 15,075,596 |
| Diluted earnings per weighted average common share: | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.63 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 0.07 |
| Income per common share - diluted | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.70 |
| Weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding - diluted | 16,534,643 | 16,043,335 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents**GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)**

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| Operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 886 | \$ 11,165 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,094 | 1,628 |
| Loss (gain) on disposal of equipment | 3 | (2) |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,237 | 452 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Receivables | (1,093) | 10,366 |
| Inventories | (301) | (377) |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings | (14,468) | (16,378) |
| Other current assets | (3,865) | 1,640 |
| Other assets | (4) | 162 |
| Accounts payable | 1,006 | (2,592) |
| Accrued and other liabilities | 1,834 | 1,773 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings | 1,893 | (5,450) |
| Deferred revenue | | (888) |
| Liabilities subject to compromise | (200) | (81) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (11,978) | 1,418 |
| Investing activities: | | |
| Net transfers of restricted cash | | 1,000 |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment | 6 | 4 |
| Purchase of property, plant and equipment | (915) | (511) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (909) | 493 |
| Financing activities: | | |
| Payments of long-term debt | | (40,692) |
| Payments of debt financing costs | | (304) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | | (40,996) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 1,402 | (1,508) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (11,485) | (40,593) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 55,474 | 103,220 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 43,989 | \$ 62,627 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1 BUSINESS AND ORGANIZATION

Global Power Equipment Group Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries (the Company, we, us or our) designs, engineers and manufactures heat recovery and auxiliary power equipment and provides routine and specialty maintenance services to customers in the utility and industrial sectors. The Company's corporate headquarters are located in Tulsa, Oklahoma, with facilities in Plymouth, Minnesota; Tulsa, Oklahoma; Auburn, Massachusetts; Tucker, Georgia; Monterrey, Mexico; Shanghai, China; and Heerlen, The Netherlands.

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The information in the condensed consolidated financial statements, in the opinion of management, includes normal recurring adjustments and reflects all adjustments that are necessary for a fair statement of such financial statements. The Company believes that the disclosures presented are adequate to represent materially correct interim financial statements. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and related notes for the year ended December 31, 2010 included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 22, 2011. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the actual results that may occur for the entire fiscal year.

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation: The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Global Power Equipment Group Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Revenue Recognition: The Company is organized in two major segments: the Products Division and the Services Division. Within these segments, the Company has three primary revenue streams, Heat Recovery Equipment (comprised of the Specialty Boiler and Heat Recovery Steam Generator (HRSG) product lines), Auxiliary Power Equipment (comprised of the Gas Turbine-related equipment and SCR & CO Catalyst Systems (SCR) product lines) and Industrial Services.

Revenues and cost of revenues for the Heat Recovery Equipment product line in the Products Division are recognized on the percentage-of-completion method based on the percentage of actual hours incurred to date in relation to total estimated hours for each contract. This method is used because management considers expended labor hours to be the best available measure of progress on these contracts. Revenues and cost of revenues for the SCR product line in the Products Division and the fixed-price contracts in the Services Division are recognized on the percentage-of-completion method based on the percentage of actual costs incurred to date in relation to total estimated cost for each contract. The Company expenses pre-contract costs as incurred. Costs related to change orders are recognized when they are incurred. Change orders are included in total estimated contract revenues when they can be reliably estimated and it is probable that the adjustment will be approved by the customer or realized.

The percentage-of-completion method generally results in the recognition of reasonably consistent profit margins over the life of a contract since management has the ability to produce reasonably dependable estimates of contract billings and contract costs. The Company uses the level of profit margin that is most likely to occur on a contract. If the most likely profit margin cannot be precisely determined, the lowest probable level of profit in the range of estimates is used until the results can be estimated more precisely. The Company's estimate of the total hours or total contract costs to be incurred at any particular time has a significant impact on the revenue recognized for the respective period. The percentage-of-completion method is only allowed under certain circumstances in which the revenue process is long-term in nature (often in excess of one year), the products, or services, sold are highly customized and a process is in place whereby revenues, costs and margins can be reasonably estimated. Changes in job performance, job conditions, estimated profitability, final contract settlements and resolution of claims may result in revisions to costs and income, and the effects of such revisions are recognized in the period that the revisions are determined. Estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are recognized in the period in which they first become apparent. Under percentage-of-completion accounting, management must also make key judgments in areas such as the percentage-of-completion, estimates of project revenues, costs and margin, estimates of total and remaining project hours and liquidated damages assessments. Any deviations from estimates could have a significant positive or negative impact on the Company's results of operations.

Table of Contents

Revenues for the Auxiliary Power Equipment product lines, except SCR, are recognized under the completed-contract method. Generally, these contracts specify separate phases of work that are frequently contracted separately. However, when such contracts are not separated, revenue is recognized as each phase is complete and the customer assumes the risk of loss for that phase. Under this method, no revenue can be recognized until the contract phase is substantially complete, at which time revenue is recognized and costs previously deferred are charged to expense. Also, for revenue to be recognized, the customer assumes risk of loss and title, and the installation is operating according to specifications or has been accepted by the customer. As with the Heat Recovery Equipment and SCR product lines, changes in job performance, job conditions, estimated profitability, final contract settlements and resolution of claims may result in revisions to job costs and income amounts that are different than amounts originally estimated.

Revenues for the Industrial Services business that are not recognized on the percentage-of-completion method are primarily for routine service contracts. Under these arrangements, the Company recognizes revenue when services are performed and the customer assumes risk of loss, collection of the relevant receivable is probable, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists and the sales price is fixed or determinable. Specifically, the revenues under these contracts are recognized as the services are performed based upon an agreed-upon price for the completed services or based upon the hours incurred and agreed upon hourly rates. On cost reimbursable contracts, revenue is recognized as costs are incurred and includes applicable mark up earned through the date services are provided.

In the fourth quarter of 2006, upon approval by the Bankruptcy Court, the Company initiated a wind down of the Deltak (a subsidiary of the Company, based in Plymouth, Minnesota) large-scale HRSG product line and Deltak entered into completion agreements with certain HRSG customers to complete executory contracts for delivery of HRSG units. Certain of the HRSG contracts under completion agreements were in a positive cash position as of the Chapter 11 filing date due to aggregate collections of billings exceeding aggregate project costs. The recognition of this excess was deferred until such time as the earnings process is considered completed through satisfaction of the performance milestones under the completion agreements thereby avoiding the liquidated damage claims. This amount is included in income from discontinued operations in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations, net of estimates of liquidated damage claims accrued for these contracts. Deferred amounts were reported in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets as deferred revenue and there were no remaining balances at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company recognized such excess as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Deferred revenue recognized | \$ | \$ 899 |

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying footnotes. Actual results could vary materially from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and on deposit with initial maturities of three months or less. The Company maintains cash in depository accounts at various FDIC insured banks and financial institutions and, at times, balances may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has never experienced any losses related to these balances. The Company's non-interest bearing cash balances were fully insured at March 31, 2011 due to a temporary federal program in effect from December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2012. Under the program, there is no limit to the amount of insurance for eligible accounts. Beginning 2013, insurance coverage will revert to \$250,000 per depositor at each financial institution, and the Company's non-interest bearing cash balances may again exceed federally insured limits. Interest-bearing amounts on deposit in excess of federally insured limits at March 31, 2011 approximated \$44 million. Although the Company maintains cash balances in interest bearing accounts in excess of the FDIC insured limits, management believes this risk is mitigated by using financial institutions that are rated investment grade according to credit rating agencies.

Accounts Receivable: Accounts receivable are reported net of allowance for doubtful accounts and discounts. The allowance is based on current market conditions, review of specific customer economics and other estimates based on the judgment of management. Account balances are charged off against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. The Company does not generally charge interest on outstanding amounts.

Table of Contents

The Company has certain customers that represent more than 10 percent of consolidated accounts receivable. The balance for these customers as a percentage of the consolidated accounts receivable is as follows:

| Customer | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Entergy Services, Inc. | 21% | 25% |
| Southern Company | 14% | 13% |
| Siemens Energy, Inc. | * | 11% |

* Less than 10%

Inventories: Inventories consist primarily of raw materials and are stated at the lower of first-in, first-out cost or market, net of applicable reserves.

Goodwill: The Company has made acquisitions in the past that included the recognition of goodwill, which was determined based upon previous accounting principles. Pursuant to Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 350, *Intangibles-Goodwill and Other*, beginning January 1, 2009, the Company records as goodwill the excess of the consideration transferred plus the fair value of any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date over the fair values of the identifiable net assets acquired. The Company evaluates goodwill for impairment at least annually, or more frequently if triggering events occur or other impairment indicators arise that might impair recoverability. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, no triggering events occurred that would require interim impairment testing.

Major Customers: The Company has certain customers that represent more than 10 percent of consolidated revenues. The revenue for these customers as a percentage of the consolidated revenues is as follows:

| Customer | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Southern Nuclear Company | 28% | 29% |
| FPL Group | 13% | * |
| Entergy Services Inc. | 12% | 30% |
| All others | 47% | 41% |
| Total | 100% | 100% |

* Less than 10%

Customers for the Products Division include original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), engineering and construction firms, operators of power generation facilities and firms engaged across several process related industries. Customers for the Services Division are varied, but do include some major utility companies within the United States. The Company's major customers vary over time due to the relative size and duration of the Company's projects.

Cost of Revenues: Cost of revenues for both Products and Services primarily include charges for materials, direct labor and related benefits, freight (inbound and outbound), direct supplies and tools, warehousing costs and utilities related to production facilities, purchasing and receiving costs, inspection costs, internal transfer costs and, where appropriate, an allocation of overhead.

Warranty Costs: Estimated costs related to warranty are accrued as the related revenue is recognized and included in cost of revenues. Estimated costs are based upon past warranty claims and sales history. Warranty terms vary by contract but generally provide for a term of three years or less. The Company manages its exposure to warranty claims by having its field service and quality assurance personnel regularly monitor projects and maintain ongoing and regular communications with the customers.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC. - Form 10-Q

Shipping and Handling Costs: The Company accounts for shipping and handling costs in accordance with ASC 605-45, *Principal Agent Considerations*. Amounts billed to customers in sale transactions related to shipping and handling costs are recorded as revenue. Shipping and handling costs incurred by us are included in cost of revenues in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Advertising Costs: The Company accounts for advertising costs in accordance with ASC 720-35, *Advertising Costs*. Generally, advertising costs are immaterial and are expensed as incurred in Selling and General Administrative Expense.

Table of Contents

Selling and Administrative Expenses: Selling and administrative expenses are primarily comprised of indirect labor and related benefits, legal and professional fees, indirect utilities, office rent, bad debt expense and indirect travel and related expenses.

Reorganization Items: The Company successfully exited Chapter 11 on January 22, 2008. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been presented in conformity with the provisions of ASC 852, *Reorganizations*. Accordingly, all pre-petition liabilities of the debtor that are subject to compromise are segregated in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as liabilities subject to compromise. These liabilities are recorded at amounts or claims allowed by the Bankruptcy Court. ASC 852 also requires that reorganization items (direct and incremental costs, such as professional fees incurred in Chapter 11 cases) be segregated as a separate line item in the consolidated statements of operations.

The Company's reorganization items are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Professional fees | \$ 45 | \$ 286 |
| Change in estimate of liabilities subject to compromise | | 220 |
| Total reorganization expense | \$ 45 | \$ 506 |

Income from Discontinued Operations: During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company did not recognize any income from discontinued operations; however, during the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company earned income from discontinued operations due to the winding down of the large scale HRSG operations (see Note 2 – Revenue Recognition). The following table summarizes income from discontinued operations (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ | \$ 1,086 |
| Related income tax expense | | (27) |
| Net income from discontinued operations | \$ | \$ 1,059 |

Income Taxes: The current provision for income taxes is based on current federal, foreign and state statutory rates which are adjusted based on changes in tax laws and significant fluctuations in taxable income. The overall effective income tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Effective income tax rate | 4.1% | 13.9% |

The effective income tax rate differs from the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily because of state and foreign income taxes, deferred taxes on indefinite life intangibles, changes in the valuation allowance and utilization of net operating loss carryforwards.

The Company follows the provisions of ASC 740-10, *Income Taxes*, that relate to recognition of uncertain tax positions. The FASB requires companies to assess whether valuation allowances should be established against their deferred tax assets based on the consideration of all available evidence, using a more likely than not standard. In making such assessments, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. A company's current or previous losses are given more weight than its future outlook, although we consider future taxable income projections, ongoing tax planning strategies and the limitation on the use of carryforward losses in determining valuation allowance needs. We establish valuation allowances for our deferred tax assets if, based on the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC. - Form 10-Q

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company provided for a liability of \$4.1 million and \$4.0 million, respectively, for unrecognized tax benefits related to various federal, foreign and state income tax matters, which amount is included in other long-term liabilities. If recognized, the entire amount of the liability would affect the effective tax rate.

Table of Contents

Derivative Financial Instruments: ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*, requires companies to recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value in the statement of financial position. For derivatives designated as hedges, changes in the fair value are either offset against the change in fair value, for the risk being hedged, of the assets and liabilities through earnings, or recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings.

The Company uses financial instruments in the management of its foreign currency exchange exposures. These financial instruments are considered derivatives under ASC 815, but do not meet hedge accounting requirements. Therefore, the Company recognizes changes in fair values of the forward agreements through selling and administrative expenses. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were no forward contracts outstanding.

The following table shows the impact of derivatives not designated as hedging instruments on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations (in thousands):

| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments under ASC 815-10 | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized on Derivatives | Amount of Gain Recognized on Derivatives for the Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---|---|--------|
| | | 2011 | 2010 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | Selling and administrative expenses | \$ | \$ 335 |
| Total | | \$ | \$ 335 |

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: The Company adopted certain of the provisions of ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, on January 1, 2008. Although the adoption of ASC 820 did not materially impact its financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows, the Company is required to provide additional disclosures as part of its financial statements. ASC 820 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which categorizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in the active markets for identical assets and liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. At March 31, 2011, the Company did not hold any financial instruments requiring fair value measurements to be performed.

The Company's financial instruments consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, payables and debt instruments. The carrying values of these financial instruments approximate their respective fair values as they are either short-term in nature or carry interest rates which are periodically adjusted to market rates.

ASC 820 requires that companies provide a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value. Since the Company has no Level 3 assets or liabilities, no reconciliation is necessary.

Table of Contents**NOTE 3 EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Basic and diluted earnings per common share are calculated as follows (in thousands, except for share and per share data):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Basic Earnings Per Common Share: | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 886 | \$ 10,106 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 1,059 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 886 | \$ 11,165 |
| Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding | 15,562,759 | 15,075,596 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.67 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 0.07 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.74 |
| Diluted Earnings Per Common Share: | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 886 | \$ 10,106 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 1,059 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 886 | \$ 11,165 |
| Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding | 15,562,759 | 15,075,596 |
| Dilutive effect of unvested Restricted Stock Units | 318,397 | 300,946 |
| Dilutive effect of contingently returnable shares | 53,743 | 154,513 |
| Dilutive effect of warrants | 599,744 | 512,280 |
| Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding Assuming Dilution | 16,534,643 | 16,043,335 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.63 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 0.07 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.70 |

Restricted Stock Awards (RSAs): All common shares granted under the Company's 2008 Director's Equity Incentive Plan are issued when granted and vest, based on certain service conditions, over a four year period. Only vested shares are included in the Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding for each period. Unvested RSAs remain subject to forfeiture until satisfaction of certain service conditions and are included, under the treasury method, in the calculation for dilutive effect of contingently returnable shares. If the award is forfeited before the vesting period has expired, the forfeited shares are included as treasury shares.

Restricted Stock Units (RSUs): Common shares granted under the Company's 2008 Management Incentive Plan are issued when the shares vest. Therefore, only the vested/issued shares are included in the Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding for each period. Unvested RSUs are contingently issuable, subject to satisfaction of certain service conditions, and included, under the treasury method, in the calculation of dilutive effect of unvested RSUs to purchase common shares.

Management Co-Investment Plan: Common shares issued under the Company's 2008 Management Co-Investment Plan were issued on January 22, 2008 and vested, based on certain service conditions, on January 22, 2011. Because none of the shares were vested at March 31, 2010, none were included in the Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding for that period. Unvested shares remained subject to forfeiture until satisfaction of certain service conditions, which occurred on January 22, 2011, and were included, under the treasury method, in the calculation of dilutive effect of contingently returnable shares. At March 31, 2011, all shares issued under this plan were fully vested and

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC. - Form 10-Q

included in the Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding.

Warrants: Diluted earnings per share include the potentially dilutive effect of outstanding warrants that are exercisable for common stock.

For the periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, no outstanding stock equivalents were anti-dilutive and excluded from the computations of diluted earnings per share.

Table of Contents

NOTE 4 DEBT

Credit Facility: The Company has a \$150 million Credit Facility (Credit Facility) consisting of a \$60 million revolving letter of credit facility, including a \$25 million cash advance sub-facility, and a \$90 million term loan facility. On November 18, 2010, the Company repaid the outstanding balance of the term loan facility and all related interest in full. At March 31, 2011, the Company had \$25 million of unused capacity on the cash advance sub-facility. The Credit Facility will terminate on January 22, 2014 and any amounts outstanding at that time will be due and payable in full.

The interest rate on letters of credit issued under the revolving letter of credit was 3.82% per annum at March 31, 2011. The Company also pays an unused line fee of 0.50%. Should the Company need to borrow against the revolver facility, at any time during the agreement, it would pay interest at 3.82% per annum.

The Credit Facility includes customary affirmative and negative covenants, such as limitations on the creation of new indebtedness and on certain liens, restrictions on certain transactions and payments and requires maintenance of a maximum consolidated leverage ratio, minimum consolidated fixed charge ratio and minimum liquidity. A default under the Credit Facility may be triggered by events such as a failure to comply with financial covenants or other covenants under the Credit Facility, a failure to make payments when due under the Credit Facility, a change of control of the Company or certain insolvency proceedings. A default under the Credit Facility would permit the participating banks to restrict the Company's ability to further access the Credit Facility for loans, require the immediate repayment of any outstanding loans with interest and require the cash collateralization of outstanding letter of credit obligations. The Credit Facility is secured by a first priority lien on substantially all assets of the Company.

NOTE 5 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Employment Agreements: The Company entered into employment agreements with terms of two or three years with certain members of management with automatic one-year renewal periods at expiration dates. The agreements provide for, among other things, compensation, benefits and severance payments.

Litigation: The Company is involved from time to time in legal actions that arise in the ordinary course of our business. The Company does not believe that the resolution of any currently pending actions, either individually or in the aggregate, is reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations. However, the outcomes of any legal actions cannot be predicted, and therefore, there can be no assurance that this will be the case.

Deltak Fund for Unsecured Claims in Bankruptcy: On September 28, 2006, Global Power Equipment Group Inc. and all of its U.S. subsidiaries, including Deltak, filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. Pursuant to an approved Plan, an administrator was appointed to administer a fund of approximately \$34 million in cash that was intended to be distributed to the holders of allowed unsecured claims against Deltak. Under the Plan of Reorganization, the administrator has the right and duty to administer the fund and to make, file and settle or otherwise resolve objections to unsecured claims against Deltak.

Since January 22, 2008, the administrator has adjudicated and/or settled various unsecured claims and engaged in efforts to resolve the remaining disputed claims. As of March 31, 2011, approximately \$0.08 million of cash remains in the fund subject to the control of the administrator. Certain disputed unsecured claims remain unresolved. The administrator continues to contest and otherwise seek to resolve these and all other remaining disputed claims.

Asbestos Cases: The Company has been named as a defendant in a limited number of asbestos personal injury lawsuits. Neither the Company nor its predecessors ever mined, manufactured, produced or distributed asbestos fiber, the material that allegedly caused the injury underlying these actions. The bankruptcy court's discharge order issued upon emergence from bankruptcy extinguished the claims made by all plaintiffs who had filed asbestos claims against the Company before that time. The Company also believes the bankruptcy court's discharge order should serve as a bar against any later claim filed against it, including any of its subsidiaries, based on alleged injury from asbestos at any time before emergence from bankruptcy. In any event, in all of the asbestos cases finalized post-bankruptcy, the Company has been successful in having such cases dismissed without liability. The Company intends to vigorously defend all currently active actions, just as it defended the other actions that have since been dismissed, all without liability, and it does not anticipate that any of these actions are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or liquidity. However, the outcomes of any legal action cannot be predicted and, therefore, there can be no assurance that this will be the case.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC. - Form 10-Q

Contingencies: At March 31, 2011, the Company had a contingent liability for issued and outstanding stand-by letters of credit, generally issued to secure performance on customer contracts. The balance of stand-by letters of credit totaled approximately \$14.7 million for the domestic entities and \$14.6 million (US dollars) for foreign entities at March 31, 2011. Currently, there are no amounts drawn upon these letters of credit. In addition, at March 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding surety bonds on projects of approximately \$13.0 million.

Our subsidiaries provide financial guarantees for certain contractual obligations in the ordinary course of business. As of March 31, 2011, the balance of these financial guarantees was no greater than \$5.1 million.

Table of Contents

The Company evaluated its banking relationships with regard to cash and available credit. The Company maintains cash in depository accounts at various FDIC insured banks and financial institutions and, at times, these balances may exceed federally insured limits. We have never experienced any losses related to these balances. All of the Company's non-interest bearing cash balances were fully insured at March 31, 2011 due to a temporary federal program in effect from December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2012. Under the program, there is no limit to the amount of insurance for eligible accounts. Beginning 2013, insurance coverage will revert to \$250,000 per depositor at each financial institution, and the Company's non-interest bearing cash balances may again exceed federally insured limits. Although the Company maintains cash balances in interest bearing accounts in excess of the FDIC insured limits, management believes this risk is mitigated by using financial institutions that are rated investment grade according to credit rating agencies. In the event another credit crisis affects the counterparties in the Credit Facility, the Company may have difficulty accessing all the available credit under this facility.

NOTE 6 STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

| | Common Shares | | Accumulated | | | | Treasury Shares | Total |
|--|-------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|
| | \$0.01 Per Share Shares | Amount | Paid-in Capital | Comprehensive Income | Retained Earnings | Amount | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | 15,586,237 | \$ 1,403 | \$ 64,653 | \$ 1,382 | \$ 111,629 | (116,950) | \$ (11) | 179,056 |
| Reverse treasury shares for stock based compensation | (42,408) | | (4) | | | 42,408 | 4 | |
| Restricted stock awards | 16,825 | | 54 | | | | | 54 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 1,183 | | | | | 1,183 |
| Stock-based compensation -withheld | (403) | | (150) | | | (6,306) | | (150) |
| Warrants exercised | 361,665 | 4 | 2,864 | | | | | 2,868 |
| Warrants withheld | | | (2,867) | | | (122,241) | (1) | (2,868) |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | | 886 | | | 886 |
| Foreign currency translation | | | | 1,501 | | | | 1,501 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | 2,387 |
| Balance, March 31, 2011 | 15,921,916 | \$ 1,407 | \$ 65,733 | \$ 2,883 | \$ 112,515 | (203,089) | \$ (8) | \$ 182,530 |

Reversal of Treasury Shares: In March 2010 the Company issued 226,617 shares of common stock pursuant to the vesting schedules under the 2008 Management Incentive Plan. At that time, some participants elected to have a portion of their shares withheld to satisfy tax withholding obligations. As a result 42,408 shares (split-adjusted) were transferred to the Company's treasury shares account. However, according to the 2008 Management Incentive Plan, these shares should have been transferred to the reserve account for future RSU stock grants.

Restricted Stock Awards: Pursuant to the 2008 Director's Equity Incentive Plan, the Company is permitted to award restricted stock subject to specified restrictions on transfer, forfeiture and/or such other restrictions on incidents of ownership determined by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. The Company has made the following issuances of restricted stock to non-executive members of its Board of Directors under the 2008 Director Equity Incentive Plan, each with a grant date fair value that approximated the quoted market price of the common stock on the date of grant. The four year vesting of each grant is contingent upon continued service as a director.

| Date of Grant | Number of Shares | Grant Date Fair Value |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| January 22, 2009 | 26,144 | \$ 5.76 |
| February 9, 2009 | 34,722 | 4.95 |
| February 9, 2010 | 17,361 | 15.75 |
| January 21, 2011 | 16,825 | 23.78 |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the expense related to these restricted stock awards (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Future |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Vesting periods |
| Restricted stock awards granted: | | | |
| January 22, 2009 | \$ 9 | \$ 9 | \$ 31 |
| February 9, 2009 | 11 | 11 | 79 |
| February 9, 2010 | 17 | 11 | 194 |
| January 21, 2011 | 17 | | 383 |
| Total | \$ 54 | \$ 31 | \$ 687 |

Stock-based Compensation: On June 23, 2008, the Company granted 581,546 RSUs with a grant date fair value of \$10.80 per unit under the 2008 Management Incentive Plan pursuant to RSU Award Agreements executed by each beneficiary of the grant. Grants of RSUs under the Company's 2008 Management Incentive Plan (the "MIP") are valued in terms of the quoted market price of the Company's common stock at date of grant; however, common stock is not issued at the time of the grant. Vesting of RSUs is based on certain performance and service conditions over a four year period. The Company recognizes compensation cost for awards with performance conditions if and when the Company concludes that it is probable that the performance conditions will be achieved, net of an estimate of pre-vesting forfeitures. The Company recognizes compensation cost for awards with service condition throughout the vesting term, net of an estimate of pre-vesting forfeitures. Prior to filing a Registration Statement on Form S-8 (the "S-8") to register issuances of shares under the MIP, restricted shares were issued to plan participants as vesting requirements are satisfied. The Company filed the Form S-8 on September 8, 2010 and, since that date, has issued unrestricted shares under the MIP. In addition, on March 2, 2010, the Company issued 7,935 shares of restricted stock to the recipients of RSU awards according to specific separation agreements.

On February 9, 2009, the Company granted 540,008 RSUs with a grant date fair value of \$4.95 per unit under the MIP. In addition, on September 14, 2009, the Company granted 83,333 RSUs with a grant date fair value of \$10.80 per unit under the 2008 Management Incentive Plan. Additionally, on March 2, 2010, the Company issued 11,842 shares of restricted stock to certain recipients of RSU awards pursuant to individual separation agreements.

On March 23, 2010, the Company granted 458,888 RSUs with a grant date fair value of \$15.75 per unit under the MIP.

On March 14, 2011, the Company granted 24,059 RSUs with a grant date fair value of \$23.90 per unit under the MIP.

The following table summarizes the expense related to these RSUs (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Restricted stock units granted: | | |
| June 23, 2008 | \$ 304 | \$ 141 |
| February 9, 2009 | 378 | 243 |
| March 23, 2010 | 487 | |
| March 14, 2011 | | |
| Total | \$ 1,169 | \$ 384 |

Stock-based Compensation - Withheld: In January 2011, some participants in the 2008 Management Co-Investment Plan elected to return a portion of the shares issued in January 2008 under the Co-Investment Plan, totaling 6,306 shares, to satisfy tax withholding obligations resulting in a \$0.2 million adjustment to Paid-in Capital. These shares are held as treasury shares.

Management Co-Investment Plan: On January 22, 2008, members of management were offered the opportunity to purchase shares of the new common stock (up to an aggregate amount of \$1.5 million) at the share price of \$7.65 per share. With each purchase of two shares of new common stock, an additional share of restricted stock (each an Incentive Share) was issued. At March 31, 2010, 2,136 shares of common stock issued under the Management Co-Investment Plan were forfeited by members of management who terminated their employment with the Company prior to meeting the vesting requirements. These shares are held as treasury shares.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the expense related to the MIP (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Future |
|------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Vesting periods |
| MIP | | | |
| January 22, 2008 | \$ 14 | \$ 33 | \$ |
| Total | \$ 14 | \$ 33 | \$ |

Warrants: On January 22, 2008, the Company issued warrants to purchase 1,807,222 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$7.9254. The warrants vested immediately upon issuance and expire on January 22, 2013. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, warrants were exercised to purchase 361,665 shares of common stock. The stock was sold in a cashless transaction whereby the Company withheld 122,241 shares of common stock, treasury shares, as payment of the exercised purchase warrants.

Foreign Currency Translation: Foreign assets and liabilities are translated using the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date, and results of operations are translated using an average rate for the period. Translation adjustments are accumulated and reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. The amount of accumulated comprehensive income related to foreign currency translation was \$1.5 million for the period ended March 31, 2011.

NOTE 7 SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Supplemental cash flow disclosures are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$ 210 | \$ 1,257 |
| Income taxes | 274 | 132 |

NOTE 8 SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Management Approach called for by ASC 280, *Segment Reporting*, is used by Company management to present the following segment information. The Company considered the way its management team makes operating decisions and assesses performance and considered which components of its enterprise have discrete financial information available. Management makes decisions using a products and services group focus and its analysis resulted in two operating segments, our Products Division and our Services Division. The Company evaluates performance based on net income or loss not including certain items as noted below. Intersegment revenues and transactions were not significant. Interest expense is allocated based on the amount of capital employed for each division. Corporate assets consist primarily of cash and deferred tax assets.

The following table presents information about segment income (in thousands):

| | Products Division Three Months Ended March 31, | | Services Division Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|----------|--|-----------|--|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenues | \$ 27,761 | \$ 35,054 | \$ 83,822 | \$ 122,096 |

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Interest expense | 127 | 1,103 | 133 | 1,054 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 376 | 504 | 587 | 536 |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | (38) | 391 | 76 | 1,238 |
| Segment income (loss) | (2,708) | 1,731 | 3,639 | 8,881 |
| Total Assets | \$ 137,128 | \$ 132,087 | \$ 104,719 | \$ 122,324 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents information, which reconciles segment information to consolidated totals (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Total segment assets | \$ 241,847 | \$ 224,848 |
| Non allocated corporate assets | 32,035 | 40,877 |
| Total consolidated assets | \$ 273,882 | \$ 265,725 |

The following table presents information, which reconciles segment information to consolidated totals (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Net income: | | |
| Total segment income | \$ 931 | \$ 10,612 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 1,059 |
| Reorganization expense | (45) | (506) |
| Consolidated net income | \$ 886 | \$ 11,165 |

The following presents consolidated revenues by geographical region based on the Company's operating locations. Products are often shipped to other geographical areas but revenues are listed in the region in which the revenue is recognized (in thousands):

| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Revenue Recognized In | Revenue Rendered | Revenue Recognized In | Revenue Rendered |
| United States | \$ 103,646 | \$ 97,854 | \$ 144,669 | \$ 129,786 |
| Canada | | 410 | | 2,096 |
| Europe | 4,470 | 1,236 | 9,228 | 3,502 |
| Mexico | 3,163 | 1,389 | 1,592 | |
| Asia | 304 | 794 | 1,661 | 6,062 |
| Middle East | | 5,988 | | 10,323 |
| Other | | 3,912 | | 5,381 |
| Total | \$ 111,583 | \$ 111,583 | \$ 157,150 | \$ 157,150 |

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion provides an analysis of the results of operations for each of our business segments, an overview of our liquidity and capital resources and other items related to our business. It contains forward-looking statements about our future revenues, operating results and expectations. See Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements above and in Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 22, 2011 for a discussion of the risks, assumptions and uncertainties affecting these statements. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in this Quarterly Report Form 10-Q and our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on March 22, 2011.

Overview:

We are a comprehensive provider of power generation equipment and maintenance services for customers in the domestic and international energy, power, infrastructure and service industries. We operate through two business segments, which we refer to as our Products Division and our Services Division.

Through our Products Division, we design, engineer and manufacture a comprehensive range of auxiliary power and heat recovery equipment primarily used to enhance the efficiency and facilitate the operation of gas turbine power plants as well as for other industrial, energy and power-related applications.

Through our Services Division, we provide on-site specialty, maintenance and outage management services for commercial nuclear reactors and specialty, maintenance and other industrial services to fossil-fuel and hydroelectric power plants and other industrial operations in the United States. These services include a comprehensive range of industrial maintenance, modification, construction and specialty services.

For information about our segments, see Note 8 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

In both our segments, our operations are based on discrete projects subject to contract awards of varying scopes and values. Business volume fluctuates due to many factors, including the mix of work and project schedules, which are dependent on the level and timing of customer releases of new business. Significant fluctuations may occur from period to period in revenues, gross profits and operating results and are discussed below.

Products:

Year-to-date operating results for our Products Division reflect lower shipment volumes compared to the prior year period primarily due to timing of project shipments. Approximately \$20 million of projects scheduled to ship in the first quarter of 2011 were delayed until subsequent quarters. Gross margins realized in the first quarter of 2011 were weaker than in 2010, primarily due to lower as sold margins booked in the depressed OEM cycle being recognized upon project shipments and a non-recurring favorable reserve adjustment in the first quarter 2010. Current bookings to backlog within this segment reflect pricing commensurate with the competitive environment in which we are currently operating.

Services:

Volumes in our Services Division depend in significant part upon our clients' scheduling of refueling outages and timing of capital project work, which historically has varied from year to year and within each calendar year. As a result, the volume of outage work in any calendar year may vary during the course of the year as projects are commenced and completed. Furthermore, we experienced a significant decline in capital project work during the first quarter of 2011 largely tied to one project that was nearing completion. Our year-to-date 2011 gross margins reflect historical levels but were down compared to the first quarter of 2010 due to project mix and abnormally high margins realized in prior period as a result of job close-outs.

Backlog:

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC. - Form 10-Q

Our backlog consists of firm orders or blanket authorizations from our customers. Backlog may vary significantly from reporting period to reporting period due to the timing of customer commitments. The time between receipt of an order and actual completion, or delivery, of our products varies from a few weeks, in the case of inventoried precision parts, to a year or more, in the case of custom designed auxiliary power equipment and other major plant components. We add a booking to our backlog for Products Division orders when we receive a purchase order or other written contractual commitment from a customer. The maintenance services we provide through our Services Division are typically carried out under long-term contracts spanning several years. Upon signing a multi-year maintenance contract with a customer for services, we add to our backlog only the first twelve months of work that we expect to perform under the contract. Additional work that is not identified under the original contract is added to our backlog when we reach an

Table of Contents

agreement with the customer as to the scope and pricing of that additional work. Other service-based project awards are typically defined in terms of scope and pricing at the time of contractual commitment from the customer. Upon receipt of a customer commitment, these project bookings are added to our backlog at full contract value regardless of the time frame anticipated to complete the project.

Backlog is not a measure defined by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, and our methodology for determining backlog may vary from the methodology used by other companies in determining their backlog amounts. Backlog may not be indicative of future operating results and projects in our backlog may be cancelled, modified or otherwise altered by our customers.

The following table shows our backlog, by division, as of the end of each of the last five quarters (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2010 | June 30, 2010 | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2011 |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Products | \$ 98,784 | \$ 98,598 | \$ 115,301 | \$ 119,420 | \$ 178,939 |
| Services | 168,936 | 199,443 | 230,233 | 229,913 | 206,050 |
| Total Backlog | \$ 267,720 | \$ 298,041 | \$ 345,534 | \$ 349,333 | \$ 384,989 |

The increase in Products backlog in the first quarter 2011 is primarily comprised of OEM-related bookings for projects with concentration in the Middle East and the United States. Management believes first quarter bookings reflects a continued stabilization of the OEM market and anticipates that most of these bookings will convert to revenue beyond 2011.

The decrease in Services backlog in the first quarter 2011 reflects the decline in large capital project work that was booked in 2009 with the majority of the work having been completed during the quarter, partially offset by additional bookings under our multi-year maintenance contracts as each month an additional month of bookings is recorded to backlog in order to maintain a 12-month backlog and other smaller projects.

Results of Operations:

Our summary financial results for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Variance | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % |
| Products revenue | \$ 27,761 | \$ 35,054 | \$ (7,293) | 20.8% |
| Services revenue | 83,822 | 122,096 | (38,274) | 31.3% |
| Total revenues | 111,583 | 157,150 | (45,567) | 29.0% |
| Cost of products revenue | 22,941 | 26,324 | (3,383) | 12.9% |
| Cost of services revenue | 73,988 | 105,569 | (31,581) | 29.9% |
| Cost of revenues | 96,929 | 131,893 | (34,964) | 26.5% |
| Gross profit | 14,654 | 25,257 | (10,603) | 42.0% |
| <i>Gross margin %</i> | <i>13.1%</i> | <i>16.1%</i> | | |
| Selling and administrative expenses | 13,425 | 10,859 | 2,566 | 23.6% |
| Interest expense | 260 | 2,157 | (1,897) | 87.9% |
| Reorganization expense | 45 | 506 | (461) | 91.1% |
| Income tax expense | 38 | 1,629 | (1,591) | 97.7% |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 1,059 | (1,059) | 100.0% |
| Net income | \$ 886 | \$ 11,165 | \$ (10,279) | 92.1% |

Table of Contents**Revenues**

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Variance | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % |
| Products revenue | \$ 27,761 | \$ 35,054 | \$ (7,293) | 20.8% |
| Services revenue | 83,822 | 122,096 | (38,274) | 31.3% |
| Total revenues | \$ 111,583 | \$ 157,150 | \$ (45,567) | 29.0% |

Products Revenue.

The composition of our Products revenue varies from period to period based on our product mix, the strength of various geographic markets we serve and our ability to address those markets. The geographic dispersion of where products were shipped for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Variance | |
|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % |
| United States | \$ 14,032 | \$ 7,690 | \$ 6,342 | 82.5% |
| Canada | 410 | 2,096 | (1,686) | 80.4% |
| Europe | 1,236 | 3,502 | (2,266) | 64.7% |
| Mexico | 1,389 | | 1,389 | |
| Asia | 794 | 6,062 | (5,268) | 86.9% |
| Middle East | 5,988 | 10,323 | (4,335) | 42.0% |
| Other | 3,912 | 5,381 | (1,469) | 27.3% |
| Total | \$ 27,761 | \$ 35,054 | \$ (7,293) | 20.8% |

The decrease in Products Revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010, is primarily attributable to timing of project shipments during the first quarter of 2011 compounded by pricing constraints on orders booked during the trough of the OEM cycle. Approximately \$20 million of projects scheduled to ship in the first quarter of 2011 were delayed until subsequent quarters. Geographic declines were most significant in Asia and the Middle East partially offset by an increase in domestic revenues.

Services Revenue.

The composition of our Services revenue varies from period to period based on contract mix (lump-sum versus fixed price and capital versus maintenance) and the number and scope of outages under our evergreen maintenance contracts.

The decrease in Services revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the same period in 2010, resulted from approximately \$33.9 million reduction in work associated with a large capital project that began in the second half of 2009 and continued into the first quarter of 2011. The balance of the decline was attributable to the timing and number of outages occurring in the first quarter 2010 versus the same period in 2011.

Table of Contents**Gross Profit / Margin %**

| (\$ In thousands) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Variance | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % |
| Gross Profit - Products | \$ 4,820 | \$ 8,730 | \$ (3,910) | 44.8% |
| Gross Margin % | 17.4% | 24.9% | | |
| Gross Profit - Services | 9,834 | 16,527 | (6,693) | 40.5% |
| Gross Margin % | 11.7% | 13.5% | | |
| Total Gross Profit | \$ 14,654 | \$ 25,257 | \$ (10,603) | 42.0% |
| Gross Margin % | 13.1% | 16.1% | | |

Products.

The gross profit for Products decreased by \$3.9 million compared to the corresponding period in 2010. The 7.5% degradation in gross margin resulted primarily from a decline in as sold margins on projects booked in a more competitive OEM market as compared to bookings that generated revenues in the first quarter of 2010 with higher margins. The remaining decline in gross margin was largely attributable to product mix and \$0.5 million of reserve adjustments that benefited first quarter 2010 margins and did not recur in 2011.

Services.

The gross profit for Services decreased by \$6.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the corresponding periods in 2010. Approximately \$5.2 million of the decline in gross profit was primarily attributable to the revenue decline. Additionally, margins were unfavorably impacted by the reduction in capital work in 2011, as noted above, and an increase in maintenances outages. First quarter 2011 gross margin percentages were in line with historical norms but down from the prior year due to project mix.

Selling and Administrative Expenses

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Variance | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % |
| Selling and administrative | \$ 13,425 | \$ 10,859 | \$ 2,566 | 23.6% |

Selling and administrative expenses include the costs associated with conducting our business, including general management, compensation and benefits of employees that are not direct costs of active projects, officers and directors, legal and professional fees and other general expenses.

The increase in selling and administrative expenses resulted from an increase in non-cash stock compensation expense of \$0.8 million due to the RSU and RSA grants and the resetting of the performance condition portion of prior years RSU grants. An approximate \$0.5 million favorable mark-to-market adjustment benefit realized on forward contracts in the first quarter of 2010 did not recur in 2011. Additionally, we incurred one-time expenses of approximately \$1.0 million during the quarter for professional fees in support of an acquisition strategy and certain reserve adjustments. Personnel costs were \$0.2 million higher due to an increase in headcount at corporate and operating divisions.

Interest Expense

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Variance | |
|------------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % |
| Interest expense | \$ 260 | \$ 2,157 | \$ (1,897) | 87.9% |

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC. - Form 10-Q

Interest expense consists of term loan interest, amortization of debt issuance costs and letter of credit fees offset by interest income earned on cash balances.

Table of Contents

Interest expense decreased \$1.9 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the corresponding period in 2010. The decline was attributable to repayment of the term loan facility in November 2010, resulting in a \$1.3 million reduction in interest expense and a \$0.5 million reduction in amortization of debt issuance costs. In addition, letter of credit fees decreased \$0.1 million for the first quarter in 2011, compared to the corresponding period in 2010.

Reorganization Expense (Income)

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Variance | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % |
| Reorganization expense | \$ 45 | \$ 506 | \$ (461) | 91.1% |

Reorganization expenses consist of professional fees and changes in liabilities subject to compromise incurred in connection with our 2008 bankruptcy proceedings.

Total reorganization expenses decreased \$0.5 million in the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the corresponding period in 2010. The decline was attributable to a \$0.3 million decrease in the estimate of liabilities subject to compromise and a \$0.2 million reduction in professional fees incurred in an effort to resolve claims that remained outstanding from our 2008 bankruptcy proceedings.

Income Tax Expense

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Variance | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % |
| Income tax expense | \$ 38 | \$ 1,629 | \$ (1,591) | 97.7% |

Income tax expense for interim periods is based on estimates of the effective tax rate for the entire fiscal year. The effective income tax rate is based upon the estimated income for the year, the estimated composition of the income in different jurisdictions and discrete adjustments, if any, in the applicable quarterly periods for settlements of tax audits or assessments and the resolution or identification of tax position uncertainties.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, our income tax provision was \$0.04 million, or 4.1% of pretax income, compared to \$1.6 million, or 13.9% of pretax income, in the same period for 2010. The decrease in our effective tax rate was primarily due to a more favorable mix of earnings in tax jurisdictions with tax rates lower than the U.S. statutory rate, fluctuation in the valuation allowance we use in connection with our assessment of the recoverability of our deferred tax assets, including net operating loss carryforwards, and permanent differences between the book and tax treatment of certain items.

Income from Discontinued Operations

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Variance | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ | \$ 1,059 | \$ (1,059) | 100.0% |

Discontinued operations are primarily comprised of income recognized from Deltak's large-scale HRSG product line contracts. Some of the HRSG contracts under completion agreements were in a positive cash position as of the September 28, 2006, Chapter 11 filing date, because aggregate collections of billings exceeded aggregated project costs incurred. Our recognition of this excess was deferred until the earnings process was considered completed upon satisfaction of performance milestones set forth in the completion agreements.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we did not recognize any income from discontinued operations because the balance of deferred revenues, which related to completion agreements from Deltak's legacy large-scale HRSG contracts, was fully recognized in the second quarter of 2010. As milestones contained within the completion agreements were met in the first quarter 2010, deferred revenues were removed from the balance sheet and recognized as income from discontinued operations. These were non-cash events (see Note 2 Revenue Recognition).

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We believe a strong balance sheet is a necessary pre-requisite for creating sustainable growth in stockholder value. Our liquidity position as of March 31, 2011 was strong; we had \$44.0 million of cash on our balance sheet and access to \$25 million on the cash advance sub-facility.

Sources and Uses of Cash. Our primary sources of cash are net cash flow from operations and borrowings under our Credit Facility. Our primary uses of cash are working capital requirements for active projects, capital expenditures, interest payments on our indebtedness and letters of credit, and general corporate purposes.

Credit Facility. Our Credit Facility consists of a \$90 million term loan facility and a \$60 million revolving letter of credit facility, including a \$25 million cash advance sub-facility. At March 31, 2011, we had no debt outstanding and \$25.0 million of unused capacity on the cash advance sub-facility.

The Credit Facility includes affirmative and negative covenants, including customary limitations on securing additional debt and liens and restrictions on transactions as well as financial covenants relating to our consolidated leverage ratio, consolidated fixed charge ratio and liquidity. If we fail to comply with the restrictions in the Credit Facility, we will be in default and the participating banks may restrict our ability to borrow additional funds under the Credit Facility, may require that we immediately repay all outstanding loans with interest and may require the cash collateralization of outstanding letter of credit obligations. We have given a first priority lien on substantially all of our assets as security for the Credit Facility. At March 31, 2011, we were in compliance with all financial and other covenants under the Credit Facility.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$11.5 million, or 20.7%, to \$44.0 million at March 31, 2011 from \$55.5 million at December 31, 2010, primarily as a result of using cash from operations during the three months ended March 31, 2011, to fund working capital requirements. During the same period in 2010, cash and cash equivalents decreased \$40.6 million, or 39.3%, from \$103.2 million to \$62.6 million, primarily attributable to partial repayment of our term loan. Changes in cash and cash equivalents for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Statement of cash flow data: | | |
| Net cash flows provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | \$ (11,978) | \$ 1,418 |
| Investing activities | (909) | 493 |
| Financing activities | | (40,996) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 1,402 | (1,508) |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | \$ (11,485) | \$ (40,593) |

Operating Activities

We believe that cash generated from our operations and available to us under our Credit Facility will be adequate to meet our working capital requirements for the foreseeable future. We expect our net operating cash flow will be positive in 2011, although our Products and Services Divisions could require increased levels of working capital if the OEM markets continue to strengthen.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, net cash used in operating activities was \$12.0 million. The primary uses of cash included:

a \$15.0 million decrease in cash associated with changes in our working capital accounts (discussed below) due to the timing of cash receipts and payments in these accounts. The most significant increase in working capital stemmed from an increase in costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings within the Products Division, which is indicative of our investment in active projects;

a \$0.2 million decrease in cash resulting from a decrease in liabilities subject to compromise; and

net income of \$0.9 million, adjusted for non-cash charges of \$1.1 million in depreciation and amortization and \$1.2 million in stock based compensation.

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, net cash provided by operating activities was \$1.4 million. The principal sources of cash were:

net income of \$11.2 million, adjusted for non-cash charges of \$1.6 million in depreciation and amortization and \$0.5 million in stock based compensation, partially offset by \$0.9 million in deferred revenue recognized on completion agreements;

a \$10.8 million decrease in cash associated with changes in our working capital accounts (discussed below) due to the timing of cash receipts and payments in these accounts which was indicative of our investment in current projects in process at March 31, 2010; and

Table of Contents

a \$0.1 million decrease in cash resulting from a decrease in liabilities subject to compromise.

The year-over-year \$13.4 million decrease in cash provided by operating activities resulted primarily from lower shipment volumes during the first quarter of 2011, which negatively affected net income.

We continually monitor our accounts receivable, and manage the operating cash flows of the Company by managing the working capital accounts in total, rather than by the individual elements. The primary elements of the Company's working capital accounts are accounts receivable, costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings, other assets, accounts payable, billings in excess of cost and estimated earnings and other accrued liabilities. Accounts receivable consist of billings to our clients—a substantial portion of which is for project-related costs. Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings consist of costs incurred on projects that have not been billed to our clients. Accounts payable consists of obligations to third parties relating primarily to costs incurred for projects that are generally billable to clients. Billings in excess of cost and estimated earnings consist of billings to and payments from our clients for costs yet to be incurred. This comprehensive view of working capital, taking into account each of the six primary elements listed, is both common and useful in our project-based industry, as it facilitates reviews of cash flow information at the total working capital level.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$0.9 million and primarily comprised of fixed asset purchases.

Net cash provided by our investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$0.5 million, consisting primarily of increases in restricted cash partially offset by purchases of fixed assets.

Financing Activities

No cash was used in financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The outstanding balance of the term loan and related interest on our Credit Facility was paid in full on November 18, 2010.

Net cash used in financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$41.0 million, resulting from principal payments made on our Credit Facility which included \$35.7 million in payments made pursuant to the excess cash flow provision calculated as of December 31, 2009, \$5.0 million in amortization payments and \$0.3 million in debt issuance costs.

Off-Balance Sheet Transactions

Our liquidity is currently not dependent on the use of off-balance sheet transactions but, in line with industry practice, we are often required to provide performance and surety bonds to customers and may be required to provide letters of credit. If performance assurances are extended to clients, generally our maximum potential exposure is limited in the contract with our customers. We frequently obtain similar performance assurances from third-party vendors and subcontractors for work performed in the ordinary course of contract execution. However, the total costs of a project could exceed our original cost estimates and we could experience reduced gross profit or possibly a loss for a given project. In some cases, where we fail to meet certain performance standards, we may be subject to contractual liquidated damages.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our condensed consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Preparation of these statements requires management to make judgments and estimates. Item 7 of Part II of our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 22, 2011, addressed the accounting policies and related estimates that we believed were the most critical to understanding our consolidated financial statements, financial condition and results of operations and those that require management judgment and assumptions, or involve uncertainties. We did not have a significant change to the application of our critical accounting policies and estimates during the first three months of 2011.

Contractual Obligations

There have been no material changes to the table of contractual obligations presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on March 22, 2011.

Table of Contents

Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.*

Interest Rate Risk: Our primary market risk exposure is volatility of interest rates, primarily in the United States. We manage interest rates through the use of a combination of fixed and floating rate debt and interest rate swap agreements. We are subject to interest rate changes on our LIBOR-based variable interest \$150 million Credit Facility. As of March 31, 2011, we had no outstanding borrowings on our Credit Facility.

Interest Rate Sensitivity: Based on the absence of any borrowings at March 31, 2011, a 50 basis point fluctuation in short-term interest rates would have no impact on our expected pre-tax income on an annual basis.

Item 4. *Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based on the definition of disclosure controls and procedures in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2011. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in the Company's periodic reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We are not yet required to comply with the internal control reporting requirements mandated by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Section 404) due to a transition period established by rules of the SEC for newly public companies. We will be required to comply with the internal control over financial reporting requirements for the first time, and will be required to provide a management report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and an attestation report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting from our independent registered public accounting firm, in connection with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2011. Although we are not yet required to comply with these requirements, we are preparing for future compliance by assessing and strengthening our system of internal control. We are in the process of performing the information system and process documentation, and evaluation and testing required for management to make this assessment and for our independent registered public accounting firm to provide their attestation report. In the course of evaluation and testing, management may identify deficiencies that will be addressed and remediated. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. *Legal Proceedings.*

The information included in Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is incorporated by reference into this Item.

Item 1A. *Risk Factors.*

There were no material changes to our risk factors from those reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on March 22, 2011.

Item 2. *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.*

Sales of Unregistered Securities During the Quarter

Upon emergence from bankruptcy on January 22, 2008, we issued warrants to acquire 1,807,223 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$7.9254 per share to the group of then-existing stockholders that backstopped a rights offering. The warrants vested immediately upon issuance and expire on January 22, 2013. On February 16, 2011 and March 2, 2011, warrants were exercised to purchase 326,665 and 35,000, respectively, shares of common stock. The stock was sold in cashless transactions whereby the Company withheld an aggregate 122,241 shares of common stock, treasury shares, as payment of the exercised purchase warrants. The shares were issued pursuant to private placements in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

| Exhibit | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 10.1 | Amended and Restated Global Power Equipment Group Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan. |
| 31.1 | Certification by the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification by the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification by the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification by the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GLOBAL POWER EQUIPMENT GROUP INC.

Date: May 16, 2011

By: */s/* DAVID L. WILLIS
David L. Willis,
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

**As a duly authorized officer of the Registrant and as principal
financial officer.**