

CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC  
Form 10-K  
March 16, 2010  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**  
**FORM 10-K**

- Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, or  
 Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

**Commission File Number**

**001-9645**

**CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Texas**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**74-1787539**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**200 East Basse Road**  
**San Antonio, Texas**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**78209**  
(Zip Code)

**(210) 822-2828**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES  NO

Pursuant to the terms of its bond indentures, the registrant is a voluntary filer of reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and has filed all such reports as required by its bond indentures during the preceding 12 months. The registrant

## Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

meets the conditions set forth in General Instructions I(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-K as, among other things, all of the registrant's equity securities are owned indirectly by CC Media Holdings, Inc., which is a reporting company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and which has filed with the SEC all materials required to be filed pursuant to Section 13, 14 or 15(d) thereof, and the registrant is therefore filing this Form 10-K with a reduced disclosure format.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). YES  NO

The registrant has no voting or nonvoting equity held by non-affiliates.

On March 10, 2010, there were 500,000,000 outstanding shares of Common Stock.

### **DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

None.

**Table of Contents**

**CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**INDEX TO FORM 10-K**

	Page Number
<b><u>PART I.</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	17
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	25
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	25
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	26
<b><u>PART II.</u></b>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	27
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	28
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	30
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	73
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	74
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	154
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	155
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	157
<b><u>PART III.</u></b>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance (intentionally omitted pursuant to General Instruction I(2)(c) of Form 10-K)</u>	158
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation (intentionally omitted pursuant to General Instruction I(2)(c) of Form 10-K)</u>	158
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters (intentionally omitted pursuant to General Instruction I(2)(c) of Form 10-K)</u>	158
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence (intentionally omitted pursuant to General Instruction I(2)(c) of Form 10-K)</u>	158
Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	158

**PART IV.**

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

159

---

**Table of Contents**

**PART I**

**ITEM 1. Business**

**Introduction**

As permitted by the rules and regulations of the SEC, the financial statements and related footnotes included in Item 6 and Item 8 of Part II of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are those of Clear Channel Capital I, LLC, the direct parent of Clear Channel Communications, Inc., a Texas corporation ( Clear Channel or the Subsidiary Issuer ), and contain certain footnote disclosures regarding the financial information of Clear Channel and Clear Channel's domestic wholly-owned subsidiaries that guarantee certain of Clear Channel's outstanding indebtedness. All other financial information and other data and information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is that of Clear Channel, unless otherwise indicated. Accordingly, all references in Part I, references in Item 5 of Part II through Item 7A of Part II and all references in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K to we, us, and our refer to Clear Channel and its consolidated subsidiaries.

**Clear Channel**

On November 16, 2006, Clear Channel entered into the merger agreement with Merger Sub, an entity formed by private equity funds sponsored by Bain Capital Partners, LLC ( Bain ) and Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. ( THL ) (together, the Sponsors ) to effect the acquisition of Clear Channel by CC Media Holdings, Inc. ( CCMH ). Clear Channel held a special meeting of its shareholders on July 24, 2008, at which time the proposed merger was approved. On July 30, 2008, upon the satisfaction of the conditions set forth in the merger agreement, CCMH acquired Clear Channel. The acquisition was effected by the merger of Merger Sub, then an indirect subsidiary of CCMH, with and into Clear Channel. As a result of the merger, Clear Channel became a wholly-owned subsidiary of CCMH, held indirectly through intermediate holding companies including Clear Channel Capital. Upon the consummation of the merger, CCMH became a public company and Clear Channel was no longer a public company.

**Recent Developments**

In 2008 and continuing into 2009, the global economic downturn adversely affected advertising revenues across our businesses. In the fourth quarter of 2008, CCMH initiated an ongoing, company-wide strategic review of our costs and organizational structure to identify opportunities to maximize efficiency and realign expenses with our current and long-term business outlook (the restructuring program ). As of December 31, 2009, we had incurred a total of \$260.3 million of costs in conjunction with this restructuring program. We estimate the benefit of the restructuring program was an approximate \$441.3 million aggregate reduction to fixed operating and corporate expenses in 2009 and that the benefit of these initiatives will be fully realized by 2011.

No assurance can be given that the restructuring program will achieve all of the anticipated cost savings in the timeframe expected or at all, or that the cost savings will be sustainable. In addition, we may modify or terminate the restructuring program in response to economic conditions or otherwise.

Also, as a result of the economic downturn and the corresponding reduction in our revenues, we recorded non-cash impairment charges primarily related to goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles at December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 of \$5.3 billion and \$4.0 billion, respectively.

You can find more information about us at our Internet website located at [www.clarchannel.com](http://www.clarchannel.com). Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, our Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to those reports are available free of charge through our Internet website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). The contents of our website are not deemed to be part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any of our other filings with the SEC.

Our principal executive offices are located at 200 East Basse Road, San Antonio, Texas 78209 (telephone: 210-822-2828).

**Our Business Segments**

We are a diversified media company incorporated in 1974 with three reportable business segments: Radio Broadcasting, or Radio; Americas Outdoor Advertising, or Americas outdoor; and International Outdoor Advertising, or International outdoor. Approximately half of our revenue is generated from our Radio Broadcasting segment. The remaining half is comprised of our Americas Outdoor Advertising business segment, our International Outdoor Advertising business segment, Katz Media, a full-service media representation firm, and other support services and initiatives. In addition to the information provided below, you can find more information about our segments in our consolidated financial

Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

statements located in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## **Table of Contents**

We believe we offer advertisers a diverse platform of media assets across geographies, radio programming formats and outdoor products. We intend to continue to execute upon our long-standing radio broadcasting and outdoor advertising strategies, while closely managing expenses and focusing on achieving operating efficiencies throughout our businesses. Within each of our operating segments, we share best practices across our markets in an attempt to replicate our successes throughout the markets in which we operate.

### **Radio Broadcasting**

As of December 31, 2009, we owned 894 domestic radio stations, with 149 stations operating in the 25 largest markets. For the year ended December 31, 2009, Radio Broadcasting represented 49% of our consolidated net revenue. Our portfolio of stations offers a broad assortment of programming formats, including adult contemporary, country, contemporary hit radio, rock, urban and oldies, among others, to a total weekly listening base of more than 113 million individuals based on Arbitron National Regional Database figures for the Spring 2009 ratings period. Our radio broadcasting business includes radio stations for which we are the licensee and for which we program and/or sell air time under local marketing agreements ( LMA s ) or joint sales agreements ( JSA s ).

In addition to our radio broadcasting business, we operate Premiere Radio Networks, a national radio network that produces, distributes or represents approximately 90 syndicated radio programs and services for approximately 5,000 radio station affiliates. We also own various sports, news and agriculture networks.

### ***Strategy***

Our radio broadcasting strategy centers on providing programming and services to the local communities in which we operate and being a contributing member of those communities. We believe that by serving the needs of local communities, we will be able to grow listenership and deliver target audiences to advertisers.

Our radio broadcasting strategy also focuses on driving revenue growth in our stations through effective programming, promotion, and marketing and sales. We seek to maximize revenue by closely managing on-air inventory of advertising time and adjusting prices to local market conditions. We operate price and yield optimization systems and information systems, which provide detailed inventory information. These systems enable our station managers and sales directors to adjust commercial inventory and pricing based on local market demand, as well as to manage and monitor different commercial durations (60 second, 30 second, 15 second and five second) in order to provide more effective advertising for our customers at what we believe are optimal prices given market conditions.

We focus on enhancing the radio listener experience by offering a wide variety of compelling content. We believe our investments in radio programming over time have created a collection of leading on-air talent. The distribution platform provided by Premiere Radio Networks allows us to attract talent and more effectively utilize quality content across many stations.

Our strategy also entails improving the ongoing operations of our stations through careful management of costs. In the fourth quarter of 2008, CCMH commenced a restructuring plan to reduce our cost base through workforce reductions, the elimination of overlapping functions and other cost savings initiatives. In order to achieve these cost savings, we incurred a total of \$121.5 million in costs in 2008 and 2009. We estimate the benefit of the restructuring program was an approximate \$267.3 million aggregate reduction to fixed operating expenses in 2009 and that the additional benefits of these initiatives will be realized in 2010.

No assurance can be given that the restructuring program will achieve all of the anticipated cost savings in the timeframe expected or at all, or that the cost savings will be sustainable. In addition, we may modify or terminate the restructuring program in response to economic conditions or otherwise.

We are also continually expanding content choices for our listeners, including utilization of HD radio, Internet and other distribution channels with complementary formats. HD radio enables crystal clear reception, interactive features, data services and new applications. Further, HD radio allows for many more stations, providing greater variety of content which may enable advertisers to target consumers more effectively. The interactive capabilities of HD radio will potentially permit us to participate in commercial download services. In addition, we provide streaming audio via the Internet, mobile and other digital platforms and, accordingly, have increased listener reach and developed new listener applications as well as new advertising capabilities. As a result, we rank among the top streaming networks in the US with regards to Average Active Sessions ( AAS ), Session Starts ( SS ) and Average Time Spent Listening ( ATSL ) according to Ando Media. AAS and SS measure the level of activity while ATSL measures the ability





## **Table of Contents**

of our programming to keep an audience engaged. Finally, we have pioneered mobile applications such as the iheartradio smart phone application, which allows listeners to use their smart phones to interact directly with stations, talent, including finding titles/artists, requesting songs and downloading station wallpapers.

### ***Sources of Revenue***

Our Radio Broadcasting segment generated 49%, 49% and 50% of our revenue in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The primary source of revenue in our Radio Broadcasting segment is the sale of commercial spots on our radio stations for local, regional and national advertising. Our local advertisers cover a wide range of categories, including consumer services, retailers, entertainment, health and beauty products, telecommunications, automotive and media. Our contracts with our advertisers generally provide for a term which extends for less than a one year period. We also generate additional revenues from network compensation, the Internet, air traffic, events, barter and other miscellaneous transactions. These other sources of revenue supplement our traditional advertising revenue without increasing on-air-commercial time.

Each radio station's local sales staff solicits advertising directly from local advertisers or indirectly through advertising agencies. Our ability to produce commercials that respond to the specific needs of our advertisers helps to build local direct advertising relationships. Regional advertising sales are also generally realized by our local sales staff. To generate national advertising sales, we engage one of our units, Katz Media Group, which specializes in soliciting radio advertising sales on a national level for Clear Channel Radio and other radio companies. National sales representatives such as Katz obtain advertising principally from advertising agencies located outside the station's market and receive commissions based on advertising sold (see Media Representation ).

Advertising rates are principally based on the length of the spot and how many people in a targeted audience listen to our stations, as measured by independent ratings services. A station's format can be important in determining the size and characteristics of its listening audience, and advertising rates are influenced by the station's ability to attract and target audiences that advertisers aim to reach. The size of the market influences rates as well, with larger markets typically receiving higher rates than smaller markets. Rates are generally highest during morning and evening commuting periods.

### ***Competition***

Our stations compete for listeners and advertising revenues directly with other radio stations within their respective markets, as well as with other advertising media, including satellite radio, broadcast and cable television, print media, outdoor advertising, direct mail, the Internet and other forms of advertisement. In addition, the radio broadcasting industry is subject to competition from services that use new media technologies that are being developed or have already been introduced, such as the Internet and satellite-based digital radio services. Such services reach national and regional audiences with multi-channel, multi-format, digital radio services.

Radio stations compete for listeners primarily on the basis of program content that appeals to a particular demographic group. By building a strong brand identity with a targeted listener base consisting of specific demographic groups in each of our markets, we are able to attract advertisers seeking to reach those listeners.

### ***Radio Stations***

As of December 31, 2009, we owned 260 AM and 634 FM domestic radio stations, of which 149 stations were in the 25 largest U.S. markets. Radio broadcasting is subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Communications Commission ( FCC ) under the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Communications Act ). The FCC grants us licenses in order to operate our radio stations.

**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth certain selected information with regard to our radio broadcasting stations:

Market	Market Rank*	Number of Stations	Market	Market Rank*	Number of Stations
New York, NY	1	5	New Orleans, LA	52	7
Los Angeles, CA	2	8	Louisville, KY	54	8
Chicago, IL	3	7	Richmond, VA	55	6
San Francisco, CA	4	7	Rochester, NY	56	7
Dallas-Ft. Worth, TX	5	6	Birmingham, AL	57	5
Houston-Galveston, TX	6	6	Greenville-Spartanburg, SC	58	6
Atlanta, GA	7	6	McAllen-Brownsville-Harlingen, TX	59	5
Philadelphia, PA	8	6	Tucson, AZ	60	7
Washington, DC	9	5	Dayton, OH	61	8
Boston, MA	10	4	Ft. Myers-Naples-Marco Island, FL	62	4
Detroit, MI	11	7	Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY	63	7
Miami-Ft. Lauderdale-Hollywood, FL	12	7	Honolulu, HI	64	7
Seattle-Tacoma, WA	13	7	Tulsa, OK	65	6
Phoenix, AZ	15	8	Fresno, CA	66	8
Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN	16	7	Grand Rapids, MI	67	7
San Diego, CA	17	7	Albuquerque, NM	68	7
Nassau-Suffolk (Long Island), NY	18	2	Allentown-Bethlehem, PA	69	4
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	19	8	Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA	72	5
Denver-Boulder, CO	20	8	Sarasota-Bradenton, FL	73	6
St. Louis, MO	21	6	El Paso, TX	74	5
Baltimore, MD	22	4	Bakersfield, CA	75	5
Portland, OR	23	7	Akron, OH	76	4
Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill, NC-SC	24	5	Wilmington, DE	77	5
Pittsburgh, PA	25	6	Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, PA	78	5
Riverside-San Bernardino, CA	26	6	Baton Rouge, LA	79	5
Sacramento, CA	27	6	Monterey-Salinas-Santa Cruz, CA	80	5
Cincinnati, OH	28	6	Stockton, CA	82	6
Cleveland, OH	29	6	Charleston, SC	83	4
Salt Lake City-Ogden-Provo, UT	30	6	Syracuse, NY	84	6
San Antonio, TX	31	7	Little Rock, AR	85	5
Las Vegas, NV	33	3	Springfield, MA	88	5
Orlando, FL	34	7	Columbia, SC	89	6
San Jose, CA	35	3	Des Moines, IA	90	5
Columbus, OH	36	7	Spokane, WA	91	6
Milwaukee-Racine, WI	37	6	Toledo, OH	92	5
Austin, TX	38	6	Colorado Springs, CO	93	3
Indianapolis, IN	39	3	Mobile, AL	95	4
Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI	41	4	Ft. Pierce-Stuart-Vero Beach, FL	96	6
Raleigh-Durham, NC	42	4	Melbourne-Titusville-Cocoa, FL	97	4
Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Newport News, VA	43	4	Wichita, KS	98	4
Nashville, TN	44	5	Madison, WI	99	6
Greensboro-Winston Salem-High Point, NC	45	5	Various U.S. Cities	100-150	99
Jacksonville, FL	46	6	Various U.S. Cities	151-200	98
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL	47	6	Various U.S. Cities	201-250	53
Oklahoma City, OK	48	6	Various U.S. Cities	251+	66
Memphis, TN	49	6	Various U.S. Cities	unranked	78
Hartford-New Britain-Middletown, CT	50	4	Total <sup>(1) (2)</sup>		894

\* Per Arbitron Rankings as of October 2009.

- (1) Excluded from the 894 radio stations owned by us is one radio station programmed pursuant to a local marketing agreement (FCC license not owned by us). Also excluded are radio stations in Australia and New Zealand. We own a 50% equity interest in the Australian Radio Network which has radio broadcasting operations in both of these markets.

## **Table of Contents**

- (2) Included in the total are stations that were placed in a trust in order to bring the merger into compliance with the FCC's media ownership rules. We have divested certain stations in the past and will continue to divest these stations as required.

### ***Radio Networks***

In addition to radio stations, our Radio Broadcasting segment includes Premiere Radio Networks, a national radio network that produces, distributes or represents more than 90 syndicated radio programs and services for more than 5,000 radio station affiliates. Our broad distribution platform enables us to attract and retain top programming talent. Some of our more popular radio personalities include Rush Limbaugh, Sean Hannity, Steve Harvey, Ryan Seacrest and Glenn Beck. We believe recruiting and retaining top talent is an important component of the success of our radio networks.

We also own various sports, news and agriculture networks serving Alabama, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Iowa, Kentucky, Missouri, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Tennessee and Virginia.

### ***International Radio Investments***

We own a 50% equity interest in the Australian Radio Network, which has broadcasting operations on Australia and New Zealand and which we account for under the equity method of accounting. We owned an equity interest in Grupo ACIR Comunicaciones ( Grupo ACIR ), the owner of radio stations in Mexico, which we sold in 2009.

### **Americas Outdoor Advertising**

Our Americas Outdoor Advertising segment includes our operations in the United States, Canada and Latin America, with approximately 91% of our 2009 revenue in this segment derived from the United States. We own or operate approximately 195,000 displays in our Americas segment and have operations in 49 of the 50 largest markets in the United States, including all of the 20 largest markets. For the year ended December 31, 2009, Americas Outdoor Advertising represented 22% of our consolidated net revenue.

Our outdoor assets consist of billboards, street furniture and transit displays, airport displays, mall displays, and wallscape and other spectacles, which we own or operate under lease management agreements. Our outdoor advertising business is focused on urban markets with dense populations.

### ***Strategy***

We believe outdoor advertising has attractive industry fundamentals, including a broad audience reach and a highly cost effective media for advertisers as measured by cost per thousand persons reached compared to other traditional media. Our Americas strategy focuses on our competitive strengths to position the Company through the following strategies:

*Promote Overall Outdoor Media Spending.* Outdoor advertising represented 3% of total dollars spent on advertising in the United States in 2008. Our strategy is to drive growth in outdoor advertising's share of total media spending and leverage such growth with our national scale and local reach. We are focusing on developing and implementing better and improved outdoor audience delivery measurement systems to provide advertisers with tools to determine how effectively their message is reaching the desired audience. As a result of the implementation of strategies above, we believe advertisers will shift their budgets towards the outdoor advertising medium.

*Significant Cost Reductions and Capital Discipline.* To address the softness in advertising demand resulting from the global economic downturn, we have taken steps to reduce our fixed costs. In the fourth quarter of 2008, CCMH commenced a restructuring plan to reduce our cost base through renegotiations of lease agreements, workforce reductions, elimination of overlapping functions and other cost savings initiatives. In order to achieve these cost savings, we incurred a total of \$17.4 million in costs in 2008 and 2009. We estimate the benefit of the restructuring program was an approximate \$50.5 million aggregate reduction to fixed operating expenses in 2009 and that the benefit of these initiatives will be fully realized in 2010.

## Table of Contents

No assurance can be given that the restructuring program will achieve all of the anticipated cost savings in the timeframe expected or at all, or that the cost savings will be sustainable. In addition, we may modify or terminate the restructuring program in response to economic conditions or otherwise.

We plan to continue controlling costs to achieve operating efficiencies, sharing best practices across our markets and focusing our capital expenditures on opportunities that we expect to yield higher returns, leveraging our flexibility to make capital outlays based on the environment.

*Continue to Deploy Digital Billboards.* Digital outdoor advertising provides significant advantages over traditional outdoor media. Our electronic displays may be linked through centralized computer systems to instantaneously and simultaneously change advertising copy on a large number of displays. The ability to change copy by time-of-day and quickly change messaging based on advertisers' needs creates additional flexibility for our customers. The advantages of digital allow us to penetrate new accounts and categories of advertisers as well as serve a broader set of needs for existing advertisers. We expect this to continue as we increase our quantity of digital inventory. We have deployed a total of approximately 457 digital displays in 33 markets as of December 31, 2009, of which approximately 292 are in the top 20 U.S. markets.

### *Sources of Revenue*

Americas Outdoor Advertising generated 22%, 21% and 21% of our revenue in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Americas Outdoor Advertising revenue is derived from the sale of advertising copy placed on our display inventory. Our display inventory consists primarily of billboards, street furniture displays and transit displays. The margins on our billboard contracts tend to be higher than those on contracts for other displays, due to their greater size, impact and location along major roadways that are highly trafficked. Billboards comprise approximately two-thirds of our display revenues. The following table shows the approximate percentage of revenue derived from each category for our Americas Outdoor Advertising inventory:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Billboards			
Bulletins <sup>(1)</sup>	52%	51%	52%
Posters	14%	15%	16%
Street furniture displays	5%	5%	4%
Transit displays	17%	17%	16%
Other displays <sup>(2)</sup>	12%	12%	12%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

(1) Includes digital displays.

(2) Includes spectaculars, mall displays and wallscapes.

Our Americas Outdoor Advertising segment generates revenues from local, regional and national sales. Our advertising rates are based on a number of different factors including location, competition, size of display, illumination, market and gross ratings points. Gross ratings points are the total number of impressions delivered, expressed as a percentage of a market population, of a display or group of displays. The number of impressions delivered by a display is measured by the number of people passing the site during a defined period of time. For all of our billboards in the United States, we use independent, third-party auditing companies to verify the number of impressions delivered by a display.

Reach is the percent of a target audience exposed to an advertising message at least once during a specified period of time, typically during a period of four weeks. Frequency is the average number of exposures an individual has to an advertising message during a specified period of time. Out-of-home frequency is typically measured over a four-week period.

While location, price and availability of displays are important competitive factors, we believe that providing quality customer service and establishing strong client relationships are also critical components of sales. In addition, we have long-standing relationships with a diversified group of advertising brands and agencies that allow us to diversify client accounts and establish continuing revenue streams.



## **Table of Contents**

### ***Billboards***

Our billboard inventory primarily includes bulletins and posters.

***Bulletins.*** Bulletins vary in size, with the most common size being 14 feet high by 48 feet wide. Almost all of the advertising copy displayed on bulletins is computer printed on vinyl and transported to the bulletin where it is secured to the display surface. Because of their greater size and impact, we typically receive our highest rates for bulletins. Bulletins generally are located along major expressways, primary commuting routes and main intersections that are highly visible and heavily trafficked. Our clients may contract for individual bulletins or a network of bulletins, meaning the clients' advertisements are rotated among bulletins to increase the reach of the campaign. Our client contracts for bulletins generally have terms ranging from four weeks to one year.

***Posters.*** Posters are available in two sizes, 30-sheet and 8-sheet displays. The 30-sheet posters are approximately 11 feet high by 23 feet wide, and the 8-sheet posters are approximately 5 feet high by 11 feet wide. Advertising copy for 30-sheet posters is digitally printed on a single piece of polyethylene material that is then transported and secured to the poster surfaces. Advertising copy for 8-sheet posters is printed using silk screen, lithographic or digital process to transfer the designs onto paper that is then transported and secured to the poster surfaces. Posters generally are located in commercial areas on primary and secondary routes near point-of-purchase locations, facilitating advertising campaigns with greater demographic targeting than those displayed on bulletins. Our poster rates typically are less than our bulletin rates, and our client contracts for posters generally have terms ranging from four weeks to one year. Premiere displays, which consist of premiere panels and squares, are innovative hybrids between bulletins and posters that we developed to provide our clients with an alternative for their targeted marketing campaigns. The premiere displays utilize one or more poster panels, but with vinyl advertising stretched over the panels similar to bulletins. Our intent is to combine the creative impact of bulletins with the additional reach and frequency of posters.

### ***Street Furniture Displays***

Our street furniture displays, marketed under our global Adshel™ brand, are advertising surfaces on bus shelters, information kiosks, public toilets, freestanding units and other public structures, and are primarily located in major metropolitan cities and along major commuting routes. Generally, we own the street furniture structures and are responsible for their construction and maintenance. Contracts for the right to place our street furniture displays in the public domain and sell advertising space on them are awarded by municipal and transit authorities in competitive bidding processes governed by local law. Generally, these contracts have terms ranging from 10 to 20 years. As compensation for the right to sell advertising space on our street furniture structures, we pay the municipality or transit authority a fee or revenue share that is either a fixed amount or a percentage of the revenue derived from the street furniture displays. Typically, these revenue sharing arrangements include payments by us of minimum guaranteed amounts. Client contracts for street furniture displays typically have terms ranging from four weeks to one year, and, are typically for network packages.

### ***Transit Displays***

Our transit displays are advertising surfaces on various types of vehicles or within transit systems, including on the interior and exterior sides of buses, trains, trams, and within the common areas of rail stations and airports. Similar to street furniture, contracts for the right to place our displays on such vehicles or within such transit systems and to sell advertising space on them generally are awarded by public transit authorities in competitive bidding processes or are negotiated with private transit operators. These contracts typically have terms of up to five years. Our client contracts for transit displays generally have terms ranging from four weeks to one year.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Other Inventory***

The balance of our display inventory consists of spectaculars, wallscape and mall displays. Spectaculars are customized display structures that often incorporate video, multidimensional lettering and figures, mechanical devices and moving parts and other embellishments to create special effects. The majority of our spectaculars are located in Times Square in New York City, Dundas Square in Toronto, Fashion Show in Las Vegas, Miracle Mile in Las Vegas, Westgate City Center in Glendale, Arizona, the Boardwalk in Atlantic City and across from the Target Center in Minneapolis. Client contracts for spectaculars typically have terms of one year or longer. A wallscape is a display that drapes over or is suspended from the sides of buildings or other structures. Generally, wallscape are located in high-profile areas where other types of outdoor advertising displays are limited or unavailable. Clients typically contract for individual wallscape for extended terms. We also own displays located within the common areas of malls on which our clients run advertising campaigns for periods ranging from four weeks to one year.

### ***Competition***

The outdoor advertising industry in the Americas is fragmented, consisting of several larger companies involved in outdoor advertising, such as CBS and Lamar Advertising Company, as well as numerous smaller and local companies operating a limited number of display faces in a single or a few local markets. We also compete with other advertising media in our respective markets, including broadcast and cable television, radio, print media, direct mail, the Internet and other forms of advertisement.

Outdoor companies compete primarily based on ability to reach consumers, which is driven by location of the display.

### ***Advertising Inventory and Markets***

As of December 31, 2009, we owned or operated approximately 195,000 displays in our Americas Outdoor Advertising segment. Our displays are located on owned land, leased land or land for which we have acquired permanent easements. The majority of the advertising structures on which our displays are mounted require permits. Our permits are effectively issued in perpetuity by state and local governments and are typically transferable or renewable at little or no cost. Permits typically specify the location which allows us the right to operate an advertising structure at the specified location.

The following table sets forth certain selected information with regard to our Americas outdoor advertising inventory, with our markets listed in order of their designated market area ( DMA® ) region ranking (DMA® is a registered trademark of Nielsen Media Research, Inc.):

DMA®	Region	Markets	Billboards					
			Bulletins	Posters	Street Furniture Displays	Transit Displays <sup>(1)</sup>	Other Displays <sup>(2)</sup>	Total Displays
		<i>United States</i>						
1		New York, NY						2,636
2		Los Angeles, CA						10,361
3		Chicago, IL						11,264
4		Philadelphia, PA						5,251
5		Dallas-Ft. Worth, TX						15,414
6		San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA						9,331
7		Boston, MA (Manchester, NH)						2,762
8		Atlanta, GA						2,354
9		Washington, DC (Hagerstown, MD)						2,907
10		Houston, TX						3,104
11		Detroit, MI						318
12		Phoenix, AZ						9,566
13		Seattle-Tacoma, WA						13,057
14		Tampa-St. Petersburg (Sarasota), FL						2,273
15		Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN						1,899
16		Denver, CO						1,001







## Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

Included in transit displays is our airport advertising business which offers products such as traditional static wall displays, visitor information centers, and other digital products including LCD screens and touch screen kiosks. Our digital products provide multiple display opportunities unlike our traditional static wall displays. Each of the digital display opportunities is counted as a unique display in the table.

---

**Table of Contents**

- (2) Includes wallscapes, spectaculars, mall and digital displays. Our inventory includes other small displays not in the table since their contribution to our revenue is not material.
  
- (3) Includes displays in Antigua, Aruba, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Grenada, Guam, Jamaica, Netherlands Antilles, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia and Virgin Islands.

**International Outdoor Advertising**

Our International Outdoor Advertising business segment includes our operations in Asia, Australia, the U.K. and Europe, with approximately 39% of our 2009 revenue in this segment derived from France and the United Kingdom. We own or operate approximately 639,000 displays in 32 countries. For the year ended December 31, 2009, International Outdoor Advertising represented 26% of our consolidated net revenue.

Our International outdoor assets consist of street furniture and transit displays, billboards, mall displays, Smartbike schemes, wallscapes and other spectaculars, which we own or operate under lease agreements. Our International business is focused on urban markets with dense populations.

***Strategy***

Similar to our Americas outdoor advertising, we believe international outdoor advertising has attractive industry fundamentals including a broad audience reach and a highly cost effective media for advertisers as measured by cost per thousand persons reached compared to other traditional media. Our International strategy focuses on our competitive strengths to position the Company through the following strategies:

*Promote Overall Outdoor Media Spending.* Our strategy is to drive growth in outdoor advertising's share of total media spending and leverage such growth with our international scale and local reach. We are focusing on developing and implementing better and improved outdoor audience delivery measurement systems to provide advertisers with tools to determine how effectively their message is reaching the desired audience. As a result of the implementation of strategies above, we believe advertisers will shift their budgets towards the outdoor advertising medium.

*Significant Cost Reductions and Capital Discipline.* To address the softness in advertising demand resulting from the global economic downturn, we have taken steps to reduce our fixed costs. In the fourth quarter of 2008, CCMH commenced a restructuring plan to reduce our cost base through renegotiations of lease agreements, workforce reductions, elimination of overlapping functions, takedown of unprofitable advertising structures and other cost savings initiatives. In order to achieve these cost savings, we incurred a total of \$65.0 million in costs in 2008 and 2009. We estimate the benefit of the restructuring program was an approximate \$120.1 million aggregate reduction to our 2008 fixed operating expense base in 2009 and that the benefit of these initiatives will be fully realized by 2011.

No assurance can be given that the restructuring program will achieve all of the anticipated cost savings in the timeframe expected or at all, or that the cost savings will be sustainable. In addition, we may modify or terminate the restructuring program in response to economic conditions or otherwise.

We plan to continue controlling costs to achieve operating efficiencies, sharing best practices across our markets and focusing our capital expenditures on opportunities that we expect to yield higher returns, leveraging our flexibility to make capital outlays based on the environment.

*Capitalize on Product and Geographic Opportunities.* We are also focused on growing our business internationally through new product offerings, optimization of our current display portfolio and selective investments targeting promising growth markets. We have continued to innovate and introduce new products, such as our Smartbike programs, in international markets based on local demands.

**Table of Contents****Sources of Revenue**

Our International Outdoor Advertising segment generated 26%, 27% and 25% of our revenue in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. International outdoor advertising revenue is derived from the sale of advertising copy placed on our display inventory. Our international outdoor display inventory consists primarily of billboards, street furniture displays, transit displays and other out-of-home advertising displays, such as neon displays. The following table shows the approximate percentage of revenue derived from each inventory category of our International Outdoor Advertising segment:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Billboards <sup>(1)</sup>	32%	35%	39%
Street furniture displays	40%	38%	37%
Transit displays <sup>(2)</sup>	8%	9%	8%
Other displays <sup>(3)</sup>	20%	18%	16%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

(1) Includes revenue from spectaculars and neon displays.

(2) Includes small displays.

(3) Includes advertising revenue from mall displays, other small displays, and non-advertising revenue from sales of street furniture equipment, cleaning and maintenance services, operation of Smartbike schemes and production revenue.

Our International Outdoor Advertising segment generates revenues worldwide from local, regional and national sales. Similar to the Americas, advertising rates generally are based on the gross ratings points of a display or group of displays. The number of impressions delivered by a display, in some countries, is weighted to account for such factors as illumination, proximity to other displays and the speed and viewing angle of approaching traffic.

While location, price and availability of displays are important competitive factors, we believe that providing quality customer service and establishing strong client relationships are also critical components of sales. Our entrepreneurial culture allows local management to operate their markets as separate profit centers, encouraging customer cultivation and service.

**Billboards**

The sizes of our international billboards are not standardized. The billboards vary in both format and size across our networks, with the majority of our international billboards being similar in size to our posters used in our Americas outdoor business (30-sheet and 8-sheet displays). Our international billboards are sold to clients as network packages with contract terms typically ranging from one to two weeks. Long-term client contracts are also available and typically have terms of up to one year. We lease the majority of our billboard sites from private landowners. Billboards include our spectacular and neon displays. DEFI, our international neon subsidiary, is a global provider of neon signs with approximately 361 displays in more than 16 countries worldwide. Client contracts for international neon displays typically have terms of approximately five years.

**Street Furniture Displays**

Our international street furniture displays are substantially similar to their Americas street furniture counterparts, and include bus shelters, freestanding units, public toilets, various types of kiosks and benches. Internationally, contracts with municipal and transit authorities for the right to place our street furniture in the public domain and sell advertising on such street furniture typically provide for terms ranging from 10 to 15 years. The major difference between our International and Americas street furniture businesses is in the nature of the municipal contracts. In

## Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

our international outdoor business, these contracts typically require us to provide the municipality with a broader range of urban amenities such as bus shelters with or without advertising panels, information kiosks and public wastebaskets, as well as space for the municipality to display maps or other public information. In exchange for providing such urban amenities and display space, we are authorized to sell advertising space on certain sections of the structures we erect in the public domain. Our international street furniture is typically sold to clients as network packages, with contract terms ranging from one to two weeks. Long-term client contracts are also available and typically have terms of up to one year.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Transit Displays***

Our international transit display contracts are substantially similar to their Americas transit display counterparts, and typically require us to make only a minimal initial investment and few ongoing maintenance expenditures. Contracts with public transit authorities or private transit operators typically have terms ranging from three to seven years. Our client contracts for transit displays generally have terms ranging from one week to one year, or longer.

### ***Other International Inventory and Services***

The balance of our revenue from our International Outdoor Advertising segment consists primarily of advertising revenue from mall displays, other small displays and non-advertising revenue from sales of street furniture equipment, cleaning and maintenance services and production revenue. Internationally, our contracts with mall operators generally have terms ranging from five to ten years and client contracts for mall displays generally have terms ranging from one to two weeks, but are available for up to six-month periods. Long-term client contracts for mall displays are also available and typically have terms of up to one year. Our international inventory includes other small displays that are counted as separate displays since they form a substantial part of our network and International Outdoor Advertising revenue. We also have a bike rental program which provides bicycles for rent to the general public in several municipalities. In exchange for providing the bike rental program, we generally derive revenue from advertising rights to the bikes, bike stations, additional street furniture displays or fees from the local municipalities. Several of our international markets sell equipment or provide cleaning and maintenance services as part of a billboard or street furniture contract with a municipality. Production revenue relates to the production of advertising posters, usually for small customers.

### ***Competition***

The international outdoor advertising industry is fragmented, consisting of several larger companies involved in outdoor advertising, such as CBS and JC Decaux, as well as numerous smaller and local companies operating a limited number of display faces in a single or a few local markets. We also compete with other advertising media in our respective markets, including broadcast and cable television, radio, print media, direct mail, the Internet and other forms of advertisement.

Outdoor companies compete primarily based on ability to reach consumers, which is driven by location of the display.

### ***Advertising Inventory and Markets***

As of December 31, 2009, we owned or operated approximately 639,000 displays in our International segment. The following table sets forth certain selected information with regard to our International advertising inventory, which are listed in descending order according to 2009 revenue contribution:

<b>International Markets</b>	<b>Billboards<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Street Furniture Displays</b>	<b>Transit Displays<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>Other Displays<sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>Total Displays</b>
France					122,930
United Kingdom					57,685
China					66,965
Italy					53,589
Spain					31,603
Australia/New Zealand					18,611
Belgium					24,079
Switzerland					17,962
Sweden					113,622
Denmark					40,309
Norway					21,548
Ireland					9,493
Turkey					13,248
Holland					5,289
Finland					14,236
Poland					7,561

Baltic States/Russia  
Greece

15,146  
1,121



**Table of Contents**

<b>International Markets</b>	<b>Billboards<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Street Furniture Displays</b>	<b>Transit Displays<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>Other Displays<sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>Total Displays</b>
Singapore					3,845
Romania					134
Hungary					34
India					166
Austria					15
Portugal					14
Germany					46
Czech Republic					11
United Arab Emirates					1
				<b>Total International Displays</b>	639,263

(1) Includes spectaculars and neon displays.

(2) Includes small displays.

(3) Includes mall displays and other small displays counted as separate displays in the table since they form a substantial part of our network and International revenue.

**Equity Investments**

In addition to the displays listed above, as of December 31, 2009, we had equity investments in various out-of-home advertising companies that operate in the following markets:

<b>Market</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Equity Investment</b>	<b>Billboards<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Street Furniture Displays</b>	<b>Transit Displays</b>
<b>Outdoor Advertising Companies</b>					
Italy	Alessi	36.75%			
Italy	AD Moving SpA	18.75%			
Hong Kong	Buspak	50.00%			
Spain	Clear Channel Cemusa	50.00%			
Thailand	Master & More	32.50%			
Belgium	MTB	49.00%			
<b>Other Media Companies</b>					
Norway	CAPA	50.00%			

(1) Includes spectaculars and neon displays.

**Other**

The other category includes our media representation firm as well as other general support services and initiatives which are ancillary to our other businesses.

***Media Representation***

We own Katz Media Group ( Katz Media ) a full-service media representation firm that sells national spot advertising time for clients in the radio and television industries throughout the United States. As of December 31, 2009, Katz Media represents approximately 3,900 radio stations, approximately one-fifth of which are owned by us, as well as approximately 700 digital properties. Katz Media also represents approximately 600 television and digital multicast stations.

Katz Media generates revenue primarily through contractual commissions realized from the sale of national spot and online advertising. National spot advertising is commercial airtime sold to advertisers on behalf of radio and television stations. Katz Media represents its media clients pursuant to media representation contracts, which typically have terms of up to ten years in length.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### **Employees**

As of March 10, 2010, we had approximately 14,980 domestic employees and 4,315 international employees, of which approximately 18,413 were in operations and approximately 882 were in corporate related activities. Approximately 398 of our United States employees and approximately 337 of our non-United States employees are subject to collective bargaining agreements in their respective countries. We are a party to numerous collective bargaining agreements, none of which represent a significant number of employees. We believe that our relationship with our employees is good.

### **Federal Regulation of Radio Broadcasting**

*General:* Radio broadcasting is subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Communications Commission ( FCC ) under the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Communications Act ). The Communications Act permits the operation of a radio broadcast station only under a license issued by the FCC upon a finding that grant of the license would serve the public interest, convenience and necessity. Among other things, the Communications Act empowers the FCC to: issue, renew, revoke and modify broadcasting licenses; assign frequency bands for broadcasting; determine stations' frequencies, locations, power and other technical parameters; impose penalties for violation of its regulations, including monetary forfeitures and, in extreme cases, license revocation; impose annual regulatory and application processing fees; and adopt and implement regulations and policies affecting the ownership, operation, program content and employment practices of broadcast stations.

*License Assignments:* The Communications Act prohibits the assignment of a license or the transfer of control of an FCC licensee without prior FCC approval. Applications for assignment or transfers that involve a substantial change in ownership or control are subject to a 30-day period for public comment, during which petitions to deny the application may be filed.

*License Renewals:* The FCC grants broadcast licenses for a term of up to 8 years. The FCC will renew a license for an additional 8 year term if, after consideration of the renewal application and any objections thereto, it finds that: the station has served the public interest, convenience and necessity; and that, with respect to the station up for renewal, there have been no serious violations of either the Communications Act or the FCC's rules and regulations by the licensee, and there have been no other such violations by the licensee which, taken together, constitute a pattern of abuse. The FCC may grant the license renewal application with or without conditions, including renewal for a term less than 8 years. The vast majority of radio licenses are renewed by the FCC. Historically, all of our stations' licenses have been renewed.

*Ownership Regulation:* The Communications Act and FCC rules limit the official positions and ownership interests, known as attributable interests, that individuals and entities may have in broadcast stations and other specified mass media entities. Under these rules, attributable interests generally include: officers and directors of a licensee or of its direct or indirect parent; general partners, limited partners and limited liability company members, unless properly insulated from management activities; a 5% or more direct or indirect voting stock interest in a licensee, except that, for a narrowly defined class of passive investors, the attribution threshold is a 20% or more voting stock interest; and combined equity and debt interests in excess of 33% of a licensee's total asset value, if the interest holder provides over 15% of the licensee station's total weekly programming, or has a an attributable broadcast, cable or newspaper interest in the same market (the EDP Rule ). An entity that owns one or more radio stations in a market and programs more than 15% of the broadcast time, or sells more than 15% per week of the advertising time, on a radio station in the same market is generally deemed to have an attributable interest in that station.

Debt instruments, non-voting stock, minority voting stock interests in corporations having a single majority stockholder, and properly insulated limited partnership and limited liability company interests generally are not subject to attribution unless such interests implicate the EDP Rule. To the best of our knowledge at present, none of our officers, directors, or 5% or greater shareholders holds an interest in another television station, radio station, cable television system, or daily newspaper that is inconsistent with the FCC's ownership rules.

The FCC is required to conduct periodic reviews of its media ownership rules. In its 2003 media ownership decision, the FCC, among other actions, modified the radio ownership rules and adopted new cross-media ownership limits. Numerous parties, including us, appealed the decision. The United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit initially stayed implementation of the new rules. Later, it partially lifted the stay as to the radio ownership rules, allowing the modified rules to go into effect. It retained the stay on the cross-media rules, and remanded them to the FCC for further justification. In December 2007, the FCC adopted a decision that revised the newspaper-broadcast cross-ownership rule, but made no changes to the radio ownership or radio-television cross-ownership rules. This decision, including the determination not to relax the radio ownership limits, is the subject of a request for reconsideration and various court appeals, including by us. We cannot predict the outcome of the FCC's media ownership proceedings or their effects on our business in the future. The FCC's next periodic review is scheduled to begin in 2010.



## **Table of Contents**

Irrespective of the FCC's radio ownership rules, the Antitrust Division of the DOJ and the FTC have the authority to determine that a particular transaction presents antitrust concerns. In particular, where the proposed purchaser already owns one or more radio stations in a particular market and seeks to acquire additional radio stations in that market the DOJ has, in some cases, obtained consent decrees requiring radio station divestitures.

The current FCC ownership rules relevant to our business are summarized below.

*Local Radio Ownership Rule:* The maximum allowable number of radio stations that may be commonly owned in a market ranges based on the size of the market. In the largest radio markets, defined as those with 45 or more stations, one entity may have an attributable interest in up to 8 stations, not more than 5 of which are in the same service (AM or FM). At the other end of the scale, in radio markets with 14 or fewer stations, one entity may have an attributable interest in up to 5 stations, of which no more than 3 are in the same service, so long as the entity does not have an interest in more than 50% of all stations in the market. To apply these ownership tiers, the FCC relies on Arbitron Metro Survey Areas, where they exist, and a signal contour-overlap methodology where they do not exist. An FCC rulemaking is pending to determine how to define radio markets for stations located outside Arbitron Metro Survey Areas.

*Newspaper-Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule:* FCC rules generally prohibit an individual or entity from having an attributable interest in a radio or television station and a daily newspaper located in the same market. In 2007, the FCC adopted a revised rule that would allow same-market newspaper/broadcast cross-ownership in certain limited circumstances. This rule is subject to a petition for reconsideration at the FCC and a pending judicial appeal.

*Radio-Television Cross-Ownership Rule:* FCC rules permit the common ownership of 1 television and up to 7 same-market radio stations, or up to 2 television and 6 same-market radio stations, depending on the number of independent media voices in the market and on whether the television and radio components of the combination comply with the television and radio ownership limits, respectively.

*Alien Ownership Restrictions:* The Communications Act restricts foreign entities or individuals from owning or voting more than 20% of the capital stock of a corporate licensee. Additionally, a broadcast license may not be held by any entity that is controlled, directly or indirectly, by a business entity more than one-fourth of whose capital stock is owned or voted by a foreign entity or individual. Since we serve as a holding company for FCC licensee subsidiaries, we are effectively restricted from having more than one-fourth of our stock owned or voted directly or indirectly by a foreign entity or individual.

*Indecency Regulation:* Federal law regulates the broadcast of obscene, indecent, or profane material. Legislation enacted by Congress provides the FCC with authority to impose fines of up to \$325,000 per utterance with a cap of \$3.0 million for any violation arising from a single act. Broadcasters risk violating the prohibition against airing indecent or profane material because of the FCC's broad and vague definition of such material; coupled with the spontaneity of live programming. Several judicial appeals of FCC indecency enforcement actions are currently pending, and their outcomes could affect future FCC policies in this area. Also, we have received, and may receive in the future, letters of inquiry and other notifications from the FCC concerning pending complaints alleging that programming aired on our stations contains indecent or profane language.

*Equal Employment Opportunity.* The FCC's rules require broadcasters to engage in broad recruitment efforts, keep a considerable amount of recruitment data, and report much of this data to the FCC and to the public via stations' public files and websites. Broadcasters are subject to random audits regarding rules compliance, and could be sanctioned for noncompliance.

*Digital Radio.* The FCC has established rules for the provision of digital radio broadcasting, and has allowed radio broadcasters to convert to a hybrid mode of digital/analog operation on their existing frequencies. Recently, the FCC approved an increase in the maximum allowable power for digital operations, which will improve the geographic coverage of digital signals. It is still considering whether to place limitations on subscription services offered by digital radio broadcasters or whether to apply new public interest requirements to this service. We have commenced digital broadcasts on 497 of our stations, and cannot predict the impact of this service on our business.

*Other.* Congress and the FCC may in the future adopt new laws, regulations and policies that could affect, directly or indirectly, the operation, profitability, and ownership of our broadcast stations. In addition to the regulations noted above, such matters include, for example: proposals to impose spectrum use or other fees on FCC licensees; legislation that would provide for the payment of performance royalties to artists and musicians whose music is played on our stations; changes to the political broadcasting rules, including the adoption of proposals to provide free air time to candidates; restrictions on the advertising of certain products



## **Table of Contents**

such as beer and wine; technical proposals including the expansion of low power FM licensing opportunities and increased protection of low power FM stations from interference by full-power stations; and the adoption of significant new programming and operational requirements designed to increase local community-responsive programming, and enhance public interest reporting requirements.

The foregoing is a brief summary of certain statutes, and FCC regulations, and policies and proposals thereunder. This does not comprehensively cover all current and proposed statutes, rules and policies affecting our business. Reference should be made to the Communications Act and other relevant statutes, and the FCC's rules and its proceedings for further information concerning the nature and extent of Federal regulation of broadcast stations. Finally, several of the foregoing matters are now, or may become, the subject of court litigation, and we cannot predict the outcome of any such litigation or its impact on our broadcasting business.

### **Regulation of our Americas and International Outdoor Advertising Businesses**

The outdoor advertising industry in the United States is subject to governmental regulation at the Federal, state and local levels. These regulations may include, among others, restrictions on the construction, repair, maintenance, lighting, upgrading, height, size, spacing and location of and, in some instances, content of advertising copy being displayed on outdoor advertising structures. In addition, the outdoor advertising industry outside of the United States is subject to certain foreign governmental regulation.

Domestically, in recent years, outdoor advertising has become the subject of targeted state and municipal taxes and fees. These laws may affect prevailing competitive conditions in our markets in a variety of ways. Such laws may reduce our expansion opportunities, or may increase or reduce competitive pressure from other members of the outdoor advertising industry. No assurance can be given that existing or future laws or regulations, and the enforcement thereof, will not materially and adversely affect the outdoor advertising industry. However, we contest laws and regulations that we believe unlawfully restrict our constitutional or other legal rights and may adversely impact the growth of our outdoor advertising business.

Federal law, principally the Highway Beautification Act, or HBA, regulates outdoor advertising on Federal-Aid Primary, Interstate and National Highway Systems roads within the United States ( controlled roads ). The HBA regulates the size and placement of billboards, requires the development of state standards, mandates a state's compliance program, promotes the expeditious removal of illegal signs and requires just compensation for takings.

To satisfy the HBA's requirements, all states have passed billboard control statutes and regulations which regulate, among other things, construction, repair, maintenance, lighting, height, size, spacing, and the placement and permitting of outdoor advertising structures. We are not aware of any state which has passed control statutes and regulations less restrictive than the prevailing Federal requirements, including the requirement that an owner remove any non-grandfathered non-compliant signs along the controlled roads, at the owner's expense and without compensation. Local governments generally also include billboard control as part of their zoning laws and building codes regulating those items described above and include similar provisions regarding the removal of non-grandfathered structures that do not comply with certain of the local requirements. Some local governments have initiated code enforcement and permit reviews of billboards within their jurisdiction challenging billboards located within their jurisdiction, and in some instances we have had to remove billboards as a result of such reviews.

As part of their billboard control laws, state and local governments regulate the construction of new signs. Some jurisdictions prohibit new construction, some jurisdictions allow new construction only to replace existing structures and some jurisdictions allow new construction subject to the various restrictions discussed above. In certain jurisdictions, restrictive regulations also limit our ability to relocate, rebuild, repair, maintain, upgrade, modify, or replace existing legal non-conforming billboards. While these regulations set certain limits on the construction of new outdoor advertising displays, they also benefit established companies, including us, by creating barriers to entry and by protecting the outdoor advertising industry against an oversupply of inventory.

Federal law neither requires nor prohibits the removal of existing lawful billboards, but it does mandate the payment of compensation if a state or political subdivision compels the removal of a lawful billboard along the controlled roads. In the past, state governments have purchased and removed existing lawful billboards for beautification purposes using Federal funding for transportation enhancement programs, and these jurisdictions may continue to do so in the future. From time to time, state and local government authorities use the power of eminent domain and amortization to remove billboards. Thus far, we have been able to obtain satisfactory compensation for our billboards purchased or removed as a result of these types of governmental action, although there is no assurance that this will continue to be the case in the future.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Other important outdoor advertising regulations include the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (currently known as SAFETEA-LU), the Bonus Act/Bonus Program, the 1995 Scenic Byways Amendment and various increases or implementations of property taxes, billboard taxes and permit fees. From time to time, legislation has been introduced in both the United States and foreign jurisdictions attempting to impose taxes on revenue from outdoor advertising. Several state and local jurisdictions have already imposed such taxes as a percentage of our outdoor advertising revenue in that jurisdiction. While these taxes have not had a material impact on our business and financial results to date, we expect state and local governments to continue to try to impose such taxes as a way of increasing revenue.

We have introduced and intend to expand the deployment of digital billboards that display static digital advertising copy from various advertisers that change up to several times per minute. We have encountered some existing regulations that restrict or prohibit these types of digital displays. However, since digital technology for changing static copy has only recently been developed and introduced into the market on a large scale, existing regulations that currently do not apply to digital technology by their terms could be revised to impose greater restrictions. These regulations may impose greater restrictions on digital billboards due to alleged concerns over aesthetics or driver safety.

International regulations have a significant impact on the outdoor advertising industry and our business. International regulation of the outdoor advertising industry can vary by municipality, region and country, but generally limits the size, placement, nature and density of out-of-home displays. Other regulations may limit the subject matter and language of out-of-home displays.

### **ITEM 1A. Risk Factors**

#### **Risks Related to Our Business**

*We may be adversely affected by a general deterioration in economic conditions*

The risks associated with our businesses become more acute in periods of a slowing economy or recession, which may be accompanied by a decrease in advertising. Expenditures by advertisers tend to be cyclical, reflecting overall economic conditions and budgeting and buying patterns. The global economic downturn resulted in a decline in advertising and marketing by our customers, resulting in a decline in advertising revenues across our businesses. This reduction in advertising revenues has had an adverse effect on our revenue, profit margins, cash flow and liquidity. The continuation of the global economic downturn may continue to adversely impact our revenue, profit margins, cash flow and liquidity.

Primarily as a result of the global economic downturn, our consolidated revenue decreased \$1.14 billion during 2009 compared to 2008. Revenue declined \$557.5 million during 2009 compared to 2008 from our radio business associated with decreases in both local and national advertising. Our Americas outdoor revenue declined \$192.1 million attributable to decreases in poster and bulletin revenues associated with cancellations and non-renewals from major national advertisers. Our International outdoor revenue also declined \$399.2 million primarily as a result of challenging advertising markets and the negative impact of foreign exchange.

Additionally, we performed an interim impairment test in the fourth quarter of 2008, and again in the second quarter of 2009, on our indefinite-lived assets and goodwill and recorded non-cash impairment charges of \$5.3 billion and \$4.0 billion, respectively. While we believe we have made reasonable estimates and utilized appropriate assumptions to calculate the fair value of our licenses, billboard permits and reporting units, it is possible a material change could occur. If future results are not consistent with our assumptions and estimates, we may be exposed to further impairment charges in the future.

*Our restructuring program may not be entirely successful*

In the fourth quarter of 2008, CCMH commenced a restructuring program targeting a reduction in fixed costs through renegotiations of lease agreements, workforce reductions, the elimination of overlapping functions and other cost savings initiatives. The program has resulted in restructuring and other expenses, and we may incur additional costs pursuant to the restructuring program in the future. No assurance can be given that the restructuring program will achieve the anticipated cost savings in the timeframe expected or at all, or for how long any cost savings will persist. In addition, the restructuring program may be modified or terminated in response to economic conditions or otherwise.



---

**Table of Contents**

*If we need additional cash to fund our working capital, debt service, capital expenditures or other funding requirements, we may not be able to access the credit markets*

Our primary source of liquidity is cash flow from operations, which has been adversely impacted by the decline in our advertising revenues resulting from the global economic downturn. Based on our current and anticipated levels of operations and conditions in our markets, we believe that cash on hand (including amounts drawn or available under our senior secured credit facilities) as well as cash flow from operations will enable us to meet our working capital, capital expenditure, debt service and other funding requirements for at least the next 12 months. However, our ability to fund our working capital needs, debt service and other obligations, and to comply with the financial covenant under our financing agreements depends on our future operating performance and cash flow, which are in turn subject to prevailing economic conditions and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. If our future operating performance does not meet our expectation or our plans materially change in an adverse manner or prove to be materially inaccurate, we may need additional financing. Adverse securities and credit market conditions could significantly affect the availability of equity or credit financing. Consequently, there can be no assurance that such financing, if permitted under the terms of our financing agreements, will be available on terms acceptable to us or at all. The inability to obtain additional financing in such circumstances could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and on our ability to meet our obligations.

*Downgrades in our credit ratings and/or macroeconomic conditions may adversely affect our borrowing costs, limit our financing options, reduce our flexibility under future financings and adversely affect our liquidity*

Our and CCMH's current corporate ratings are CCC+ and Caa2 by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services and Moody's Investors Service, respectively, which are speculative grade ratings. These ratings have been downgraded and then upgraded at various times during the two years ended December 31, 2009. These ratings and any additional reductions in our credit ratings could further increase our borrowing costs and reduce the availability of financing to us. In addition, deteriorating economic conditions, including market disruptions, tightened credit markets and significantly wider corporate borrowing spreads, may make it more difficult or costly for us to obtain financing in the future.

*Our financial performance may be adversely affected by certain variables which are not in our control*

Certain variables that could adversely affect our financial performance by, among other things, leading to decreases in overall revenues, the numbers of advertising customers, advertising fees, or profit margins include:

- unfavorable economic conditions, both general and relative to the radio broadcasting, outdoor advertising and all related media industries, which may cause companies to reduce their expenditures on advertising;
- unfavorable shifts in population and other demographics which may cause us to lose advertising customers as people migrate to markets where we have a smaller presence, or which may cause advertisers to be willing to pay less in advertising fees if the general population shifts into a less desirable age or geographical demographic from an advertising perspective;
- an increased level of competition for advertising dollars, which may lead to lower advertising rates as we attempt to retain customers or which may cause us to lose customers to our competitors who offer lower rates that we are unable or unwilling to match;
- unfavorable fluctuations in operating costs which we may be unwilling or unable to pass through to our customers;
- technological changes and innovations that we are unable to adopt or are late in adopting that offer more attractive advertising or listening alternatives than what we currently offer, which may lead to a loss of advertising customers or to lower advertising rates;
- the impact of potential new royalties charged for terrestrial radio broadcasting which could materially increase our expenses;
- unfavorable changes in labor conditions which may require us to spend more to retain and attract key employees; and
- changes in governmental regulations and policies and actions of regulatory bodies which could restrict the advertising media which we employ or restrict some or all of our customers that operate in regulated areas from using certain advertising media, or from advertising at all.

*We face intense competition in the broadcasting and outdoor advertising industries*

We operate in a highly competitive industry, and we may not be able to maintain or increase our current audience ratings and advertising and sales revenues. Our radio stations and outdoor advertising properties compete for audiences and advertising



## **Table of Contents**

revenues with other radio stations and outdoor advertising companies, as well as with other media, such as newspapers, magazines, television, direct mail, satellite radio and Internet based media, within their respective markets. Audience ratings and market shares are subject to change, which could have the effect of reducing our revenues in that market. Our competitors may develop services or advertising media that are equal or superior to those we provide or that achieve greater market acceptance and brand recognition than we achieve. It is possible that new competitors may emerge and rapidly acquire significant market share in any of our business segments. An increased level of competition for advertising dollars may lead to lower advertising rates as we attempt to retain customers or may cause us to lose customers to our competitors who offer lower rates that we are unable or unwilling to match.

*Our business is dependent upon the performance of on-air talent and program hosts, as well as our management team and other key employees*

We employ or independently contract with several on-air personalities and hosts of syndicated radio programs with significant loyal audiences in their respective markets. Although we have entered into long-term agreements with some of our key on-air talent and program hosts to protect our interests in those relationships, we can give no assurance that all or any of these persons will remain with us or will retain their audiences. Competition for these individuals is intense and many of these individuals are under no legal obligation to remain with us. Our competitors may choose to extend offers to any of these individuals on terms which we may be unwilling to meet. Furthermore, the popularity and audience loyalty of our key on-air talent and program hosts is highly sensitive to rapidly changing public tastes. A loss of such popularity or audience loyalty is beyond our control and could limit our ability to generate revenues.

Our business is also dependent upon the performance of our management team and other key employees. Although we have entered into long-term agreements with some of these individuals, we can give no assurance that all or any of our executive officers or key employees will remain with us. Competition for these individuals is intense and many of our key employees are at-will employees who are under no legal obligation to remain with us. In addition, any or all of our executive officers or key employees may decide to leave for a variety of personal or other reasons beyond our control. Certain members of our senior management, including Randall T. Mays, our former President and Chief Financial Officer, Herbert W. Hill, Jr., our Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer, Paul J. Meyer, our former President and Chief Executive Officer of our Americas division, and Andrew Levin, our former Executive Vice President and General Counsel, have recently left the Company or changed their role within the Company. Although we have hired several new executive officers, if we are unable to hire new employees to replace our senior managers or are not successful in attracting, motivating and retaining other key employees, our business could be adversely affected.

*Capital requirements necessary to implement strategic initiatives could pose risks*

The purchase price of possible acquisitions, capital expenditures for deployment of digital billboards and/or other strategic initiatives could require additional indebtedness or equity financing on our part. Since the terms and availability of this financing depend to a large degree upon general economic conditions and third parties over which we have no control, we can give no assurance that we will obtain the needed financing or that we will obtain such financing on attractive terms. In addition, our ability to obtain financing depends on a number of other factors, many of which are also beyond our control, such as interest rates and national and local business conditions. If the cost of obtaining needed financing is too high or the terms of such financing are otherwise unacceptable in relation to the strategic opportunity we are presented with, we may decide to forego that opportunity. Additional indebtedness could increase our leverage and make us more vulnerable to economic downturns and may limit our ability to withstand competitive pressures.

*New technologies may affect our broadcasting operations*

Our broadcasting businesses face increasing competition from new broadcast technologies, such as broadband wireless and satellite radio, and new consumer products, such as portable digital audio players. These new technologies and alternative media platforms compete with our radio stations for audience share and advertising revenues. The FCC has also approved new technologies for use in the radio broadcasting industry, including the terrestrial delivery of digital audio broadcasting, which significantly enhances the sound quality of radio broadcasts. We are unable to predict the effect such technologies and related services and products will have on our broadcasting operations, but the capital expenditures necessary to implement such technologies could be substantial and other companies employing such technologies could compete with our businesses.

---

**Table of Contents**

*Extensive current government regulation, and future regulation, may limit our broadcasting operations or adversely affect our business and financial results*

The Federal government extensively regulates the domestic broadcasting industry, and any changes in the current regulatory scheme could significantly affect us. Provisions of Federal law regulate the broadcast of obscene, indecent or profane material. The FCC has substantially increased its monetary penalties for violations of these regulations. Congressional legislation enacted in 2006 provides the FCC with authority to impose fines of up to \$325,000 per violation for the broadcast of such material. We therefore face increased costs in the form of fines for indecency violations, and cannot predict whether Congress will consider or adopt further legislation in this area.

In addition, from time to time regulations or legislation is proposed or enacted which affects our broadcasting business. Recently, legislation has been introduced in the U.S. Congress which seeks to impose a royalty payment obligation upon all U.S. broadcasters to pay copyright owners for their sound recording rights (this would be in addition to payments already being made by broadcasters to owners of musical work rights). We cannot predict whether this or other legislation affecting our broadcasting business will be adopted. This or other legislation affecting our broadcasting could have a material impact on our operations and financial results.

*Environmental, health, safety and land use laws and regulations may limit or restrict some of our operations*

As the owner or operator of various real properties and facilities, especially in our outdoor advertising operations, we must comply with various foreign, Federal, state and local environmental, health, safety and land use laws and regulations. We and our properties are subject to such laws and regulations relating to the use, storage, disposal, emission and release of hazardous and non-hazardous substances and employee health and safety as well as zoning restrictions. Historically, we have not incurred significant expenditures to comply with these laws. However, additional laws which may be passed in the future, or a finding of a violation of or liability under existing laws, could require us to make significant expenditures and otherwise limit or restrict some of our operations.

*Government regulation of outdoor advertising may restrict our outdoor advertising operations*

United States Federal, state and local regulations have a significant impact on the outdoor advertising industry and our business. One of the seminal laws is the HBA, which regulates outdoor advertising on the 306,000 miles of Federal-Aid Primary, Interstate and National Highway Systems. The HBA regulates the size and location of billboards, mandates a state compliance program, requires the development of state standards, promotes the expeditious removal of illegal signs, and requires just compensation for takings. Construction, repair, maintenance, lighting, upgrading, height, size, spacing, the location and permitting of billboards and the use of new technologies for changing displays, such as digital displays, are regulated by Federal, state and local governments. From time to time, states and municipalities have prohibited or significantly limited the construction of new outdoor advertising structures, and also permitted non-conforming structures to be rebuilt by third parties. Changes in laws and regulations affecting outdoor advertising at any level of government, including laws of the foreign jurisdictions in which we operate, could have a significant financial impact on us by requiring us to make significant expenditures or otherwise limiting or restricting some of our operations.

From time to time, certain state and local governments and third parties have attempted to force the removal of our displays under various state and local laws, including zoning ordinances, permit enforcement, condemnation and amortization. Amortization is the attempted forced removal of legal but non-conforming billboards (billboards which conformed with applicable zoning regulations when built, but which do not conform to current zoning regulations) or the commercial advertising placed on such billboards after a period of years. Pursuant to this concept, the governmental body asserts that just compensation is earned by continued operation of the billboard over time. Amortization is prohibited along all controlled roads and generally prohibited along non-controlled roads. Amortization has, however, been upheld along non-controlled roads in limited instances where provided by state and local law. Other regulations limit our ability to rebuild, replace, repair, maintain and upgrade non-conforming displays. In addition, from time to time third parties or local governments assert that we own or operate displays that either are not properly permitted or otherwise are not in strict compliance with applicable law. For example, recent court rulings have upheld regulations in the City of New York that may impact the number of displays we have in certain areas within the city. Although we believe that the number of our billboards that may be subject to removal based on alleged noncompliance is immaterial, from time to time we have been required to remove billboards for alleged noncompliance. Such regulations and allegations have not had a material impact on our results of operations to date, but if we are increasingly unable to resolve such allegations or obtain acceptable arrangements in circumstances in which our displays are subject to removal, modification, or amortization, or if there occurs an increase in such regulations or their enforcement, our operating results could suffer.

---

## **Table of Contents**

A number of state and local governments have implemented or initiated legislative billboard controls, including taxes, fees and registration requirements in an effort to decrease or restrict the number of outdoor signs and/or to raise revenue. In addition, a number of jurisdictions, including the City of Los Angeles, have implemented legislation or interpreted existing legislation to restrict or prohibit the installation of new digital billboards. While these controls have not had a material impact on our business and financial results to date, we expect states and local governments to continue these efforts. The increased imposition of these controls and our inability to overcome any such regulations could reduce our operating income if those outcomes require removal or restrictions on the use of preexisting displays. In addition, if we are unable to pass on the cost of these items to our clients, our operating income could be adversely affected.

International regulation of the outdoor advertising industry varies by region and country, but generally limits the size, placement, nature and density of out-of-home displays. Other regulations limit the subject matter and language of out-of-home displays. For instance, the United States and most European Union countries, among other nations, have banned outdoor advertisements for tobacco products. Our failure to comply with these or any future international regulations could have an adverse impact on the effectiveness of our displays or their attractiveness to clients as an advertising medium and may require us to make significant expenditures to ensure compliance. As a result, we may experience a significant impact on our operations, revenue, International client base and overall financial condition.

*Additional restrictions on outdoor advertising of tobacco, alcohol and other products may further restrict the categories of clients that can advertise using our products*

Out-of-court settlements between the major United States tobacco companies and all 50 states, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and four other United States territories include a ban on the outdoor advertising of tobacco products. Other products and services may be targeted in the future, including alcohol products. Any significant reduction in alcohol-related advertising due to content-related restrictions could cause a reduction in our direct revenues from such advertisements and an increase in the available space on the existing inventory of billboards in the outdoor advertising industry.

*Doing business in foreign countries creates certain risks not found in doing business in the United States*

Doing business in foreign countries carries with it certain risks that are not found in doing business in the United States. The risks of doing business in foreign countries that could result in losses against which we are not insured include:

- exposure to local economic conditions;
- potential adverse changes in the diplomatic relations of foreign countries with the United States;
- hostility from local populations;
- the adverse effect of currency exchange controls;
- restrictions on the withdrawal of foreign investment and earnings;
- government policies against businesses owned by foreigners;
- investment restrictions or requirements;
- expropriations of property;
- the potential instability of foreign governments;
- the risk of insurrections;
- risks of renegotiation or modification of existing agreements with governmental authorities;
- foreign exchange restrictions;
- withholding and other taxes on remittances and other payments by subsidiaries;
- changes in taxation structure; and
- changes in laws or regulations or the interpretation or application of laws or regulations.

In addition, because we own assets in foreign countries and derive revenues from our international operations, we may incur currency translation losses due to changes in the values of foreign currencies and in the value of the United States dollar. We cannot predict the effect of exchange rate fluctuations upon future operating results.

*The success of our street furniture and transit products is dependent on our obtaining key municipal concessions, which we may not be able to obtain on favorable terms*

Our street furniture and transit products businesses require us to obtain and renew contracts with municipalities and other governmental entities. Many of these contracts, which require us to participate in competitive bidding processes at each renewal, typically have terms ranging from three to 20 years and have revenue share and/or fixed payment components. Our inability to



---

## Table of Contents

successfully negotiate, renew or complete these contracts due to governmental demands and delay and the highly competitive bidding processes for these contracts could affect our ability to offer these products to our clients, or to offer them to our clients at rates that are competitive to other forms of advertising, without adversely affecting our financial results.

### *The lack of availability of potential acquisitions at reasonable prices could harm our growth strategy*

Our strategy is to pursue strategic opportunities and to optimize our portfolio of assets. We face competition from other radio broadcasting companies and outdoor advertising companies for acquisition opportunities. The purchase price of possible acquisitions could require the incurrence of additional debt or equity financing on our part. Since the terms and availability of this financing depend to a large degree upon general economic conditions and third parties over which we have no control, we can give no assurance that we will obtain the needed financing at all, or that we will obtain such financing on attractive terms. In addition, our ability to obtain financing depends on a number of other factors, many of which are also beyond our control, such as interest rates and national and local business conditions. If the cost of obtaining needed financing is too high or the terms of such financing are otherwise unacceptable in relation to the acquisition opportunity we are presented with, we may decide to forgo that opportunity. Additional indebtedness could increase our leverage and make us more vulnerable in economic downturns, including in the current downturn, and may limit our ability to withstand competitive pressures.

### *Future transactions could pose risks*

We frequently evaluate strategic opportunities both within and outside our existing lines of business. We expect from time to time to pursue additional acquisitions and may decide to dispose of certain businesses. These acquisitions or dispositions could be material. Our acquisition strategy involves numerous risks, including:

- certain of our acquisitions may prove unprofitable and fail to generate anticipated cash flows;
- to successfully manage our large portfolio of broadcasting, outdoor advertising and other properties, we may need to:
  - recruit additional senior management as we cannot be assured that senior management of acquired companies will continue to work for us and we cannot be certain that any of our recruiting efforts will succeed, and
  - expand corporate infrastructure to facilitate the integration of our operations with those of acquired properties, because failure to do so may cause us to lose the benefits of any expansion that we decide to undertake by leading to disruptions in our ongoing businesses or by distracting our management;
- we may enter into markets and geographic areas where we have limited or no experience;
- we may encounter difficulties in the integration of operations and systems;
- our management's attention may be diverted from other business concerns; and
- we may lose key employees of acquired companies or stations.

Additional acquisitions by us of radio stations and outdoor advertising properties may require antitrust review by Federal antitrust agencies and may require review by foreign antitrust agencies under the antitrust laws of foreign jurisdictions. We can give no assurances that the United States Department of Justice ( DOJ ) or the Federal Trade Commission ( FTC ) or foreign antitrust agencies will not seek to bar us from acquiring additional radio stations or outdoor advertising properties in any market where we already have a significant position. The DOJ also actively reviews proposed acquisitions of outdoor advertising properties and radio broadcasting assets. In addition, the antitrust laws of foreign jurisdictions will apply if we acquire international outdoor properties or radio broadcasting properties.

### *We may be adversely affected by the occurrence of extraordinary events, such as terrorist attacks*

The occurrence of extraordinary events, such as terrorist attacks, intentional or unintentional mass casualty incidents, or similar events may substantially decrease the use of and demand for advertising, which may decrease our revenues or expose us to substantial liability. The September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, for example, caused a nationwide disruption of commercial activities. The occurrence of future terrorist attacks, military actions by the United States, contagious disease outbreaks, or similar events cannot be predicted, and their occurrence can be expected to further negatively affect the economies of the United States and other foreign countries where we do business generally, specifically the market for advertising.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### *Significant equity investors control us and may have conflicts of interest with us in the future*

Private equity funds sponsored by or co-investors with Bain and THL indirectly own a majority of our outstanding capital stock and will exercise control over matters requiring approval of our shareholder and Board of Directors. The directors elected by THL and Bain will have significant authority to effect decisions affecting our capital structure, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, and the implementation of stock repurchase programs.

Additionally, the Sponsors are in the business of making investments in companies and may acquire and hold interests in businesses that compete directly or indirectly with us. One or more of the entities advised by or affiliated with one or more of the Sponsors may also pursue acquisition opportunities that may be complementary to our business and, as a result, those acquisition opportunities may not be available to us. So long as entities advised by or affiliated with THL and Bain directly or indirectly own a significant amount of the voting power of our capital stock, even if such amount is less than 50%, THL and Bain will continue to be able to strongly influence or effectively control our decisions.

### **Risks Related to Our Indebtedness**

#### *We have a large amount of indebtedness*

We currently use a significant portion of our cash flow from operations for debt service. Our exposure to floating rate indebtedness could make us vulnerable to an increase in interest rates or a downturn in the operating performance of our businesses due to various factors including a decline in general economic conditions. Our debt obligations could increase substantially because of acquisitions and other transactions that may be approved by our Board as well as the indebtedness of companies that we may acquire in the future.

Such a large amount of indebtedness could have negative consequences for us, including, without limitation:

- dedicating a substantial portion of our cash flow to the payment of principal and interest on indebtedness, thereby reducing cash available for other purposes, including to fund operations and capital expenditures, invest in new technology and pursue other business opportunities;
- limiting our liquidity and operational flexibility and limiting our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, acquisitions and general corporate or other purposes;
- limiting our ability to adjust to changing economic, business and competitive conditions;
- requiring us to defer planned capital expenditures, reduce discretionary spending, sell assets, restructure existing indebtedness or defer acquisitions or other strategic opportunities;
- limiting our ability to refinance any of our indebtedness or increasing the cost of any such financing in any downturn in our operating performance or decline in general economic conditions;
- making us more vulnerable to an increase in interest rates, a downturn in our operating performance or a decline in general economic conditions; and
- making us more susceptible to changes in credit ratings which could impact our ability to obtain financing in the future and increase the cost of such financing.

If compliance with our debt obligations materially hinders our ability to operate our business and adapt to changing industry conditions, we may lose market share, our revenue may decline and our operating results may suffer. The terms of our credit facilities allow us, under certain conditions, to incur further indebtedness, which heightens the foregoing risks. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow from operations in the future, which together with cash on hand and availability under our senior secured credit facilities, is not sufficient to service our debt, we may have to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness or to obtain additional financing. There can be no assurance that any refinancing of this kind would be possible or that any additional financing could be obtained.

#### *The documents governing our indebtedness contain restrictions that limit our flexibility in operating our business*

Our material financing agreements, including our credit agreements, bond indentures and subsidiary senior notes, contain various covenants that limit our ability to engage in specified types of transactions. These covenants limit our ability to, among other things, incur or guarantee additional indebtedness, incur or permit liens, merge or consolidate with or into, another company, sell assets, pay dividends and other payments in respect to our capital stock, including to redeem or repurchase our capital stock, prepay or amend certain junior indebtedness, make certain acquisitions and investments and enter into transactions with affiliates.





---

## **Table of Contents**

*Our failure to comply with the covenants in our material financing agreements could be an event of default and could accelerate the payment obligations and, in some cases, could affect other obligations with cross-default and cross-acceleration provisions*

In addition to covenants contained in our material financing agreements, including the subsidiary senior notes, that impose restrictions on our business and operations, our senior secured credit facilities include a maximum consolidated senior secured net debt to adjusted EBITDA limitation. Our ability to comply with this limitation may be affected by events beyond our control, including prevailing economic, financial and industry conditions. The breach of any covenants set forth in our financing agreements, including the subsidiary senior notes, would result in a default thereunder. An event of default would permit the lenders under a defaulted financing agreement to declare all indebtedness thereunder to be due and payable prior to maturity. Moreover, the lenders under the revolving credit facility under our senior secured credit facilities would have the option to terminate their commitments to make further extensions of revolving credit thereunder. If we are unable to repay our obligations under any secured credit facility, the lenders could proceed against any assets that were pledged to secure such facility (including certain deposit accounts). In addition, a default or acceleration under any of our material financing agreements, including the subsidiary senior notes, could cause a default under other obligations that are subject to cross-default and cross-acceleration provisions. The threshold amount for a cross-default under the senior secured credit facilities is \$100 million dollars.

### **Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements**

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf. Except for the historical information, this report contains various forward-looking statements which represent our expectations or beliefs concerning future events, including without limitation, our future operating and financial performance and availability of capital resources and the terms thereof. Statements expressing expectations and projections with respect to future matters are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. We caution that these forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties and are subject to many variables which could impact our future performance. These statements are made on the basis of management's views and assumptions, as of the time the statements are made, regarding future events and performance. There can be no assurance, however, that management's expectations will necessarily come to pass. We do not intend, nor do we undertake any duty, to update any forward-looking statements.

A wide range of factors could materially affect future developments and performance, including:

- the impact of the substantial indebtedness incurred to finance the consummation of the merger;
- risks associated with the global economic crisis and its impact on capital markets and liquidity;
- the need to allocate significant amounts of our cash flow to make payments on our indebtedness, which in turn could reduce our financial flexibility and ability to fund other activities;
- the impact of the global economic downturn, which has adversely affected advertising revenues across our businesses and other general economic and political conditions in the United States and in other countries in which we currently do business, including those resulting from recessions, political events and acts or threats of terrorism or military conflicts;
- our restructuring program may not be entirely successful;
- the impact of the geopolitical environment;
- our ability to integrate the operations of recently acquired companies;
- shifts in population and other demographics;
- industry conditions, including competition;
- fluctuations in operating costs;
- technological changes and innovations;
- changes in labor conditions;
- fluctuations in exchange rates and currency values;
- capital expenditure requirements;
- the outcome of pending and future litigation settlements;
- legislative or regulatory requirements;
- changes in interest rates;
- the effect of leverage on our financial position and earnings;
- taxes;
- access to capital markets and borrowed indebtedness; and
- certain other factors set forth in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.



## **Table of Contents**

This list of factors that may affect future performance and the accuracy of forward-looking statements is illustrative and is not intended to be exhaustive. Accordingly, all forward-looking statements should be evaluated with the understanding of their inherent uncertainty.

### **ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments**

None.

### **ITEM 2. Properties**

#### ***Corporate***

Our corporate headquarters is in San Antonio, Texas, where we own an approximately 55,000 square foot executive office building and an approximately 123,000 square foot data and administrative service center.

#### ***Radio Broadcasting***

Our radio executive operations are located in our corporate headquarters in San Antonio, Texas. The types of properties required to support each of our radio stations include offices, studios, transmitter sites and antenna sites. We either own or lease our transmitter and antenna sites. These leases generally have expiration dates that range from five to 15 years. A radio station's studios are generally housed with its offices in downtown or business districts. A radio station's transmitter sites and antenna sites are generally located in a manner that provides maximum market coverage.

#### ***Americas and International Outdoor Advertising***

The headquarters of our Americas Outdoor Advertising operations is in Phoenix, Arizona, and the headquarters of our International Outdoor Advertising operations is in London, England. The types of properties required to support each of our outdoor advertising branches include offices, production facilities and structure sites. An outdoor branch and production facility is generally located in an industrial or warehouse district.

With respect to each of the Americas and International Outdoor Advertising segments, we primarily lease our outdoor display sites and own or have acquired permanent easements for relatively few parcels of real property that serve as the sites for our outdoor displays. Our leases generally range from month-to-month to year-to-year and can be for terms of 10 years or longer, and many provide for renewal options.

There is no significant concentration of displays under any one lease or subject to negotiation with any one landlord. We believe that an important part of our management activity is to negotiate suitable lease renewals and extensions.

#### ***Consolidated***

The studios and offices of our radio stations and outdoor advertising branches are located in leased or owned facilities. These leases generally have expiration dates that range from one to 40 years. We do not anticipate any difficulties in renewing those leases that expire within the next several years or in leasing other space, if required. We own substantially all of the equipment used in our radio broadcasting and outdoor advertising businesses.

As noted above, as of December 31, 2009, we owned 894 radio stations and owned or leased approximately 834,000 outdoor advertising display faces in various markets throughout the world. Therefore, no one property is material to our overall operations. We believe that our properties are in good condition and suitable for our operations.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings**

We are a co-defendant with Live Nation (which was spun off as an independent company in December 2005) in 22 putative class actions filed beginning in May 2006 by different named plaintiffs in various district courts throughout the country. These actions generally allege that the defendants monopolized or attempted to monopolize the market for live rock concerts in violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act. Plaintiffs claim that they paid higher ticket prices for defendants' rock concerts as a result of defendants' conduct. They seek damages in an undetermined amount. On April 17, 2006, the Judicial Panel for Multidistrict Litigation centralized these class action proceedings in the Central District of California. On March 2, 2007, plaintiffs filed motions for class certification in five template cases involving five regional markets, Los Angeles, Boston, New York, Chicago and Denver. Defendants opposed that motion and, on October 22, 2007, the district court issued its decision certifying the class for each regional market. On February 20, 2008, defendants filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the Class Certification Order, which is still pending. Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Approval of the Class Notice Plan on September 25, 2009, but the Court denied the Motion as premature and ordered the entire case stayed until the 9th Circuit issues its en banc opinion in *Dukes v. Wal-Mart*, 509 F.3d 1168 (9th Cir. 2007), a case that may change the standard for granting class certification in the 9th Circuit. In the Master Separation and Distribution Agreement between us and Live Nation that was entered into in connection with our spin-off of Live Nation in December 2005, Live Nation agreed, among other things, to assume responsibility for legal actions existing at the time of, or initiated after, the spin-off in which we are a defendant if such actions relate in any material respect to the business of Live Nation. Pursuant to the Agreement, Live Nation also agreed to indemnify us with respect to all liabilities assumed by Live Nation, including those pertaining to the claims discussed above.

**Table of Contents****PART II****ITEM 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market Information**

Clear Channel Capital I, LLC directly owns all of our issued and outstanding stock. All of Clear Channel Capital I, LLC's issued and outstanding equity interests are directly owned by Clear Channel Capital II, LLC, and all of the issued and outstanding equity interests of Clear Channel Capital II, LLC are owned by Clear Channel Media Holdings, Inc. (CCMH). All equity interests in CCMH are owned, directly or indirectly, by the Sponsors and their co-investors, the public and certain employees of CCMH and its subsidiaries, including certain named executive officers and directors.

**Dividend Policy**

We have not paid cash dividends on the shares of our common stock since the merger and our ability to pay dividends is subject to restrictions should we seek to do so in the future. Our debt financing arrangements include restrictions on our ability to pay dividends.

**Equity Compensation Plan**

We do not have any compensation plans under which we grant stock awards to employees. Our employees receive equity awards from CCMH's equity incentive plans. The following table summarizes information as of December 31, 2009, relating to CCMH's equity compensation plan pursuant to which grants of options, restricted stock or other rights to acquire shares may be granted from time to time.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	6,791,922	\$31.29	5,307,985
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders (1)			
Total (2)	6,791,922	\$31.29	5,307,985

(1) Represents the Clear Channel 2008 Executive Incentive Plan.

(2) Does not include option to purchase an aggregate of 745,621 shares, at a weighted average exercise price of \$5.42, granted under plans assumed in connection with acquisition transactions. No additional options may be granted under these assumed plans.

**Sales of Unregistered Securities**

We did not sell any equity securities during 2009 that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933.

**Purchases of Equity Securities**

We did not purchase any shares of our common stock during the fourth quarter of 2009.



**Table of Contents****ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following tables set forth our and Clear Channel Capital I, LLC's summary historical consolidated financial and other data as of the dates and for the periods indicated. The summary historical financial data are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for future periods. Acquisitions and dispositions impact the comparability of the historical consolidated financial data reflected in this schedule of Selected Financial Data.

We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of ARB No. 51*, codified in ASC 810-10-45 on January 1, 2009. Adoption of this standard requires retrospective application in the financial statements of earlier periods on January 1, 2009. In connection with our subsidiary's offering of \$500.0 million aggregate principal amount of Series A Senior Notes and \$2.0 billion aggregate principal amount of Series B Senior Notes, we filed a Form 8-K on December 11, 2009 to retrospectively recast the historical financial statements and certain disclosures included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 for the adoption of ASC 810-10-45.

The summary historical consolidated financial and other data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2008 is comprised of two periods: post-merger and pre-merger. We applied purchase accounting adjustments to the opening balance sheet on July 31, 2008 as the merger occurred at the close of business on July 30, 2008. The merger resulted in a new basis of accounting beginning on July 31, 2008. For additional discussion regarding the pre-merger and post-merger periods, please refer to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(In thousands)

	<u>For the Years Ended December 31,</u>				
	2009 Post-Merger	2008 Combined	2007 <sup>(1)</sup> Pre-Merger	2006 <sup>(2)</sup> Pre-Merger	2005 Pre-Merger
<b>Results of Operations Information:</b>					
Revenue	\$ 5,551,909	\$ 6,688,683	\$ 6,921,202	\$ 6,567,790	\$ 6,126,553
Operating expenses:					
Direct operating expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	2,583,263	2,904,444	2,733,004	2,532,444	2,351,614
Selling, general and administrative expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	1,466,593	1,829,246	1,761,939	1,708,957	1,651,195
Depreciation and amortization	765,474	696,830	566,627	600,294	593,477
Corporate expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	253,964	227,945	181,504	196,319	167,088
Merger expenses		155,769	6,762	7,633	
Impairment charges <sup>(3)</sup>	4,118,924	5,268,858			
Other operating income (expense) net	(50,837)	28,032	14,113	71,571	49,656
Operating income (loss)	(3,687,146)	(4,366,377)	1,685,479	1,593,714	1,412,835
Interest expense	1,500,866	928,978	451,870	484,063	443,442
Gain (loss) on marketable securities	(13,371)	(82,290)	6,742	2,306	(702)
Equity in earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates	(20,689)	100,019	35,176	37,845	38,338
Other income (expense) net	679,716	126,393	5,326	(8,593)	11,016
Income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations	(4,542,356)	(5,151,233)	1,280,853	1,141,209	1,018,045
Income tax benefit (expense)	493,320	524,040	(441,148)	(470,443)	(403,047)
Income (loss) before discontinued operations	(4,049,036)	(4,627,193)	839,705	670,766	614,998
Income from discontinued operations, net <sup>(4)</sup>		638,391	145,833	52,678	338,511
Consolidated net income (loss)	(4,049,036)	(3,988,802)	\$ 985,538	\$ 723,444	\$ 953,509
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest	(14,950)	16,671	47,031	31,927	17,847
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ (4,034,086)	\$ (4,005,473)	\$ 938,507	\$ 691,517	\$ 935,662





**Table of Contents**

	For the Seven Months Ended July 30, 2008	Pre-Merger		
		For the Years Ended December 31,		
		2007 <sup>(1)</sup>	2006 <sup>(2)</sup>	2005
Net income (loss) per common share:				
Basic:				
Income (loss) attributable to the Company before discontinued operations	\$ .80	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.09
Discontinued operations	1.29	.30	.11	.62
<b>Net income (loss) attributable to the Company</b>	<b>\$ 2.09</b>	<b>\$ 1.89</b>	<b>\$ 1.38</b>	<b>\$ 1.71</b>
Diluted:				
Income (loss) attributable to the Company before discontinued operations	\$ .80	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.09
Discontinued operations	1.29	.29	.11	.62
<b>Net income (loss) attributable to the Company</b>	<b>\$ 2.09</b>	<b>\$ 1.88</b>	<b>\$ 1.38</b>	<b>\$ 1.71</b>
Dividends declared per share	\$	\$ .75	\$ .75	\$ .69

*(In thousands)*

	As of December 31,				
	2009 Post-Merger	2008 Post-Merger	2007 <sup>(1)</sup> Pre-Merger	2006 <sup>(2)</sup> Pre-Merger	2005 Pre-Merger
<b>Balance Sheet Data:</b>					
Current assets	\$ 3,658,845	\$ 2,066,555	\$ 2,294,583	\$ 2,205,730	\$ 2,398,294
Property, plant and equipment net, including discontinued operations <sup>(5)</sup>	3,332,393	3,548,159	3,215,088	3,236,210	3,255,649
Total assets	18,047,101	21,125,463	18,805,528	18,886,455	18,718,571
Current liabilities	1,544,136	1,845,946	2,813,277	1,663,846	2,107,313
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	20,303,126	18,940,697	5,214,988	7,326,700	6,155,363
Member s interest (deficit)/ shareholders equity	(6,844,738)	(2,916,231)	9,233,851	8,391,733	9,116,824

(1) Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, codified in ASC 740-10. In accordance with the provisions of ASC 740-10, the effects of adoption were accounted for as a cumulative-effect adjustment recorded to the balance of retained earnings on the date of adoption. The adoption of ASC 740-10 resulted in a decrease of \$0.2 million to the January 1, 2007 balance of Retained deficit, an increase of \$101.7 million in Other long term-liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits and a decrease of \$123.0 million in Deferred income taxes.

(2) Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted FASB Statement No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, codified in ASC 718-10. In accordance with the provisions of ASC 718-10, the Company elected to adopt the standard using the modified prospective method.

---

**Table of Contents**

- (3) We recorded non-cash impairment charges of \$4.1 billion in 2009 and \$5.3 billion in 2008 as a result of the global economic downturn which adversely affected advertising revenues across our businesses, as discussed more fully in Item 7.
- (4) Includes the results of operations of our live entertainment and sports representation businesses, which we spun-off on December 21, 2005, our television business, which we sold on March 14, 2008, and certain of our non-core radio stations.
- (5) Excludes the property, plant and equipment net of our live entertainment and sports representation businesses, which we spun-off on December 21, 2005.

**ITEM 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations***

***Introduction***

As permitted by the rules and regulations of the SEC, the financial statements and related footnotes included in Item 6 and Item 8 of Part II of this report are those of Clear Channel Capital I, LLC ( Clear Channel Capital ), the direct parent of Clear Channel Communications, Inc., a Texas corporation ( Clear Channel or Subsidiary Issuer ), and contain certain footnote disclosures regarding the financial information of Clear Channel and Clear Channel's domestic wholly-owned subsidiaries that guarantee certain of Clear Channel's outstanding indebtedness. All other financial information and other data and information contained in this report are that of Clear Channel, unless otherwise indicated. Accordingly, all references in Item 6 and Item 7 of this report to we, us and our refer to Clear Channel and its consolidated subsidiaries.

***Consummation of Merger***

CC Media Holdings ( CCMH ) was formed in May 2007 by private equity funds sponsored by Bain Capital Partners, LLC and Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. (together, the Sponsors ) for the purpose of acquiring the business of Clear Channel. The acquisition was completed pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated November 16, 2006, as amended on April 18, 2007, May 17, 2007 and May 13, 2008. As a result of the merger, each issued and outstanding share of our common stock, other than shares held by certain of our principals that were rolled over and exchanged for shares of CCMH's Class A common stock, was either exchanged for (i) \$36.00 in cash consideration or (ii) one share of CCMH's Class A common stock.

CCMH accounted for its acquisition of Clear Channel as a purchase business combination in conformity with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, *Business Combinations*, and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 88-16, *Basis in Leveraged Buyout Transactions*. CCMH allocated a portion of the consideration paid to the assets and liabilities acquired at their respective fair values with the remaining portion recorded at the continuing shareholders' basis. Excess consideration after this allocation was recorded as goodwill.

During the first seven months of 2009, we decreased the initial fair value estimate of our permits, contracts, site leases and other assets and liabilities primarily in our Americas segment by \$116.1 million based on additional information received, which resulted in an increase to goodwill of \$71.7 million and a decrease to deferred taxes of \$44.4 million. During the third quarter of 2009, we adjusted deferred taxes by \$44.3 million to true-up our tax rates in certain jurisdictions that were estimated in the initial purchase price allocation. Also, during the third quarter of 2009, we recorded a \$45.0 million increase to goodwill in our International outdoor segment related to the fair value of certain noncontrolling interests which existed at the merger date, with no related tax effect. This noncontrolling interest was recorded pursuant to ASC 480-10-S99 which determines the classification of redeemable noncontrolling interests. We subsequently determined that the increase in goodwill related to these noncontrolling interests should have been included in the impairment charge resulting from the December 31, 2008 interim goodwill impairment test. As a result, during the fourth quarter of 2009, we impaired this entire goodwill amount, which after considering the effects of foreign exchange movements, was \$41.4 million.

The purchase price allocation was complete as of July 30, 2009 in accordance with ASC 805-10-25, which requires that the allocation period not exceed one year from the date of acquisition.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Format of Presentation***

Clear Channel Capital's consolidated statements of operations and statements of cash flows are presented for two periods: post-merger and pre-merger. The merger resulted in a new basis of accounting beginning on July 31, 2008 and the financial reporting periods are presented as follows:

The year ended December 31, 2009 and the period from July 31 through December 31, 2008 reflect our post-merger period. Subsequent to the acquisition, Clear Channel became an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of CCMH and Clear Channel Capital's business became that of Clear Channel and its subsidiaries.

The period from January 1 through July 30, 2008 and the year ended December 31, 2007 reflect our pre-merger period. The consolidated financial statements for all pre-merger periods were prepared using the historical basis of accounting for Clear Channel. As a result of the merger and the associated purchase accounting, the consolidated financial statements of the post-merger periods are not comparable to periods preceding the merger.

The discussion in this MD&A is presented on a combined basis of the pre-merger and post-merger periods for 2008. The 2008 post-merger and pre-merger results are presented but are not discussed separately. We believe that the discussion on a combined basis is more meaningful as it allows the results of operations to be analyzed to comparable periods in 2009 and 2007.

Management's discussion and analysis of our results of operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related footnotes. Our discussion is presented on both a consolidated and segment basis. Our reportable operating segments are radio broadcasting (radio or radio broadcasting), which includes our national syndication business, Americas Outdoor Advertising (Americas or Americas outdoor advertising), and International Outdoor Advertising (International or International outdoor advertising). Included in the other segment are our media representation business, Katz Media, as well as other general support services and initiatives.

We manage our operating segments primarily focusing on their operating income, while Corporate expenses, Merger expenses, Impairment charge, Other operating income (expense) - net, Interest expense, Gain (loss) on marketable securities, Equity in earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates, Other income (expense) - net, Income tax benefit (expense) and Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net are managed on a total company basis and are, therefore, included only in our discussion of consolidated results.

### ***Cash Flow and Liquidity***

Our primary source of liquidity is cash on hand as well as cash flow from operations. We have a large amount of indebtedness, and a substantial portion of our operating income and cash flow are used to service debt. At December 31, 2009, we had \$1.9 billion of cash on our balance sheet, with \$609.4 million held by our subsidiary, Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings, Inc., and its subsidiaries. We have debt maturities totaling \$403.2 million and \$873.0 million in 2010 and 2011, respectively. Based on our current operations and anticipated levels of operations and conditions in our markets, we believe that cash on hand as well as cash flow from operations will enable us to meet our working capital, capital expenditure, debt service and other funding requirements for at least the next 12 months.

Our ability to fund our working capital needs, debt service and other obligations depends on our future operating performance and cash flow. If our future operating performance does not meet our expectation or our plans materially change in an adverse manner or prove to be materially inaccurate, we may need additional financing. Continuing adverse securities and credit market conditions could significantly affect the availability of equity or credit financing. Consequently, there can be no assurance that such financing, if permitted under the terms of our financing agreements, will be available on terms acceptable to us or at all. The inability to obtain additional financing in such circumstances could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and on our ability to meet our obligations.

### ***Impairment Charges***

#### ***Impairments to Definite-lived Tangible and Intangible Assets***

We review our definite-lived tangible and intangible assets for impairment when events and circumstances indicate that amortizable long-lived assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated from those assets are less than the carrying amount of those assets. When specific assets are determined to be unrecoverable, the cost basis of the asset is reduced to reflect the current fair market value.



---

## **Table of Contents**

We use various assumptions in determining the current fair market value of these assets, including future expected cash flows, industry growth rates and discount rates. Impairment loss calculations require management to apply judgment in estimating future cash flows, including forecasting useful lives of the assets and selecting the discount rate that reflects the risk inherent in future cash flows.

During fourth quarter of 2009, we recorded impairments of \$28.8 million primarily related to contract intangible assets and street furniture tangible assets in our International segment and \$11.3 million related to corporate assets based on the provisions of ASC 360-10. ASC 360-10 states that long-lived assets should be tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The decline in our contract intangible assets was primarily driven by a decline in cash flow projections from these contracts. The remaining balance of the contract intangible assets, for the contracts that were impaired, and the remaining balance of the corporate assets after impairment was \$4.4 million and \$20.2 million, respectively.

During the second quarter of 2009, we recorded a \$21.3 million impairment to taxi contract intangible assets in our Americas segment and a \$26.2 million impairment primarily related to street furniture tangible assets and contract intangible assets in our International segment under ASC 360-10. We determined fair values using a discounted cash flow model. The decline in fair value of the contracts was primarily driven by a decline in the revenue projections since the date of the merger. The decline in revenue related to taxi contract intangible assets and street furniture and billboard contract intangible assets was in the range of 10% to 15%. The balance of these taxi contract intangible assets and street furniture and billboard contract intangible assets after the impairment charges, for the contracts that were impaired, was \$3.3 million and \$16.0 million, respectively. We subsequently sold our taxi advertising business in the fourth quarter of 2009 and recorded a loss of \$20.9 million.

### *Interim Impairments to FCC Licenses*

FCC broadcast licenses are granted to radio stations for up to eight years under the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). The Act requires the FCC to renew a broadcast license if the FCC finds that the station has served the public interest, convenience and necessity, there have been no serious violations of either the Communications Act of 1934 or the FCC's rules and regulations by the licensee, and there have been no other serious violations which taken together constitute a pattern of abuse. The licenses may be renewed indefinitely at little or no cost.

The United States and global economies have undergone an economic downturn, which caused, among other things, a general tightening in the credit markets, limited access to the credit markets, lower levels of liquidity and lower consumer and business spending. These disruptions in the credit and financial markets and the impact of adverse economic, financial and industry conditions on the demand for advertising negatively impacted the key assumptions in the discounted cash flow models used to value our FCC licenses since the merger. Therefore, we performed an interim impairment test on our FCC licenses as of December 31, 2008, which resulted in a non-cash impairment charge of \$936.2 million.

The industry cash flows forecast by BIA Financial Network, Inc. (BIA) during the first six months of 2009 were below the BIA forecast used in the discounted cash flow model used to calculate the impairment at December 31, 2008. As a result, we performed another interim impairment test as of June 30, 2009 on our FCC licenses resulting in an additional non-cash impairment charge of \$590.3 million.

Our impairment tests consisted of a comparison of the fair value of the FCC licenses at the market level with their carrying amount. If the carrying amount of the FCC license exceeded its fair value, an impairment loss was recognized equal to that excess. After an impairment loss is recognized, the adjusted carrying amount of the FCC license is its new accounting basis. The fair value of the FCC licenses was determined using the direct valuation method as prescribed in ASC 805-20-S99. Under the direct valuation method, the fair value of the FCC licenses was calculated at the market level as prescribed by ASC 350-30-35. We engaged Mesirow Financial Consulting LLC (Mesirow Financial), a third-party valuation firm, to assist us in the development of the assumptions and our determination of the fair value of our FCC licenses.

Our application of the direct valuation method attempts to isolate the income that is properly attributable to the license alone (that is, apart from tangible and identified intangible assets and goodwill). It is based upon modeling a hypothetical greenfield build up to a normalized enterprise that, by design, lacks inherent goodwill and whose only other assets have essentially been paid for (or added) as part of the build-up process. We forecasted revenue, expenses, and cash flows over a ten-year period for each of our markets in our application of the direct valuation method. We also calculated a normalized residual year which represents the perpetual cash flows of each market. The residual year cash flow was capitalized to arrive at the terminal value of the licenses in each market.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Under the direct valuation method, it is assumed that rather than acquiring indefinite-lived intangible assets as part of a going concern business, the buyer hypothetically develops indefinite-lived intangible assets and builds a new operation with similar attributes from scratch. Thus, the buyer incurs start-up costs during the build-up phase which are normally associated with going concern value. Initial capital costs are deducted from the discounted cash flow model which results in value that is directly attributable to the indefinite-lived intangible assets.

Our key assumptions using the direct valuation method are market revenue growth rates, market share, profit margin, duration and profile of the build-up period, estimated start-up capital costs and losses incurred during the build-up period, the risk-adjusted discount rate and terminal values. This data is populated using industry normalized information representing an average FCC license within a market.

Management uses publicly available information from BIA regarding the future revenue expectations for the radio broadcasting industry.

The build-up period represents the time it takes for the hypothetical start-up operation to reach normalized operations in terms of achieving a mature market share and profit margin. Management believes that a three-year build-up period is required for a start-up operation to obtain the necessary infrastructure and obtain advertisers. It is estimated that a start-up operation would gradually obtain a mature market revenue share in three years. BIA forecasted industry revenue growth of 1.9% and negative 1.8%, respectively, during the build-up period used in the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment tests. The cost structure is expected to reach the normalized level over three years due to the time required to establish operations and recognize the synergies and cost savings associated with the ownership of the FCC licenses within the market.

The estimated operating margin in the first year of operations was assumed to be 12.5% based on observable market data for an independent start-up radio station for both the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment tests. The estimated operating margin in the second year of operations was assumed to be the mid-point of the first-year operating margin and the normalized operating margin. The normalized operating margin in the third year was assumed to be the industry average margin of 30% and 29% based on an analysis of comparable companies for the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment tests, respectively. The first and second-year expenses include the non-operating start-up costs necessary to build the operation (i.e. development of customers, workforce, etc.).

In addition to cash flows during the projection period, a normalized residual cash flow was calculated based upon industry-average growth of 2% beyond the discrete build-up projection period for both the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment tests. The residual cash flow was then capitalized to arrive at the terminal value.

The present value of the cash flows is calculated using an estimated required rate of return based upon industry-average market conditions. In determining the estimated required rate of return, management calculated a discount rate using both current and historical trends in the industry.

We calculated the discount rate as of the valuation date and also one-year, two-year, and three-year historical quarterly averages. The discount rate was calculated by weighting the required returns on interest-bearing debt and common equity capital in proportion to their estimated percentages in an expected capital structure. The capital structure was estimated based on the quarterly average of data for publicly traded companies in the radio broadcasting industry.

The calculation of the discount rate required the rate of return on debt, which was based on a review of the credit ratings for comparable companies (i.e., market participants). We calculated the average yield on a Standard & Poor's B and CCC rated corporate bond which was used for the pre-tax rate of return on debt and tax-effected such yield based on applicable tax rates.

The rate of return on equity capital was estimated using a modified Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM). Inputs to this model included the yield on long-term U.S. Treasury Bonds, forecast betas for comparable companies, calculation of a market risk premium based on research and empirical evidence and calculation of a size premium derived from historical differences in returns between small companies and large companies using data published by Ibbotson Associates.

Our concluded discount rate used in the discounted cash flow models to determine the fair value of the licenses was 10% for our 13 largest markets and 10.5% for all of our other markets in both the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment models. Applying the discount rate, the present value of cash flows during the discrete projection period and terminal value were added to estimate the fair value of the hypothetical start-up operation. The initial capital investment was subtracted to arrive at the value of the licenses. The initial capital investment represents the fixed assets needed to operate the radio station.

**Table of Contents**

The discount rate used in the December 31, 2008 impairment model increased 150 basis points compared to the discount rate used in the preliminary purchase price allocation as of July 30, 2008 which resulted in a decline in the fair value of our licenses. As a result, we recognized a non-cash impairment charge in approximately one-quarter of our markets, which totaled \$936.2 million. The fair value of our FCC licenses was \$3.0 billion at December 31, 2008.

The BIA forecast for 2009 declined 8.7% and declined between 13.8% and 15.7% through 2013 compared to the BIA forecasts used in the 2008 impairment test. Additionally, the industry profit margin declined 100 basis points from the 2008 impairment test. These market driven changes were primarily responsible for the decline in fair value of the FCC licenses below their carrying value. As a result, we recognized a non-cash impairment charge in approximately one-quarter of our markets, which totaled \$590.3 million. The fair value of our FCC licenses was \$2.4 billion at June 30, 2009.

In calculating the fair value of our FCC licenses, we primarily relied on the discounted cash flow models. However, we relied on the stick method for those markets where the discounted cash flow model resulted in a value less than the stick method indicated.

To estimate the stick values for our markets, we obtained historical radio station transaction data from BIA which involved sales of individual radio stations whereby the station format was immediately abandoned after acquisition. These transactions are highly indicative of stick transactions in which the buyer does not assign value to any of the other acquired assets (i.e. tangible or intangible assets) and is only purchasing the FCC license.

In addition, we analyzed publicly available FCC license auction data involving radio broadcast licenses. Periodically, the FCC will hold an auction for certain FCC licenses in various markets and these auction prices reflect the purchase of only the FCC radio license.

Based on this analysis, the stick values were estimated to be the minimum value of a radio license within each market. This value was considered to be the fair value of the license for those markets where the present value of the cash flows and terminal value did not exceed the estimated stick value. Approximately 17% and 23% of the fair value of our FCC licenses at December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, respectively, was determined using the stick method.

The following table shows the increase to the FCC license impairment that would have occurred using hypothetical percentage reductions in fair value, had the hypothetical reductions in fair value existed at the time of our impairment testing:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Percent change in fair value	Change to impairment	Change to impairment
5%	\$ 118,877	\$ 151,008
10%	\$ 239,536	\$ 302,016
15%	\$ 360,279	\$ 453,025

**Annual Impairment Test to FCC Licenses**

We perform our annual impairment test on October 1 of each year. We engaged Mesirow Financial, a third-party valuation firm, to assist us in the development of the assumptions and our determination of the fair value of our FCC licenses. The aggregate fair value of our FCC licenses on October 1, 2009 increased approximately 11% from the fair value at June 30, 2009. The increase in fair value resulted primarily from an increase of \$120.4 million related to improved revenue forecasts and an increase of \$195.9 million related to a decline in the discount rate of 50 basis points. We calculated the discount rate as of the valuation date and also one-year, two-year, and three-year historical quarterly averages. The discount rate was calculated by weighting the required returns on interest-bearing debt and common equity capital in proportion to their estimated percentages in an expected capital structure. The capital structure was estimated based on the quarterly average of data for publicly traded companies in the radio broadcasting industry. These market driven changes were responsible for the decline in the calculated discount rate.

As a result of the increase in the fair value of our FCC licenses, no impairment was recorded at October 1, 2009. The fair value of our FCC licenses at October 1, 2009 was approximately \$2.7 billion.



**Table of Contents**

While we believe we have made reasonable estimates and utilized reasonable assumptions to calculate the fair value of our FCC licenses, it is possible a material change could occur. If our future actual results are not consistent with our estimates, we could be exposed to future impairment losses that could be material to our results of operations. The following table shows the decline in the fair value of our FCC licenses that would result from a 100 basis point decline in our discrete and terminal period revenue growth rate and profit margin assumptions and a 100 basis point increase in our discount rate assumption:

*(In thousands)*

Indefinite-lived intangible FCC licenses	Revenue growth rate	Profit margin	Discount rate
	\$ 275,410	\$ 117,410	\$ 378,300

**Interim Impairments to Billboard Permits**

Our billboard permits are effectively issued in perpetuity by state and local governments as they are transferable or renewable at little or no cost. Permits typically specify the locations at which we are allowed to operate an advertising structure. Due to significant differences in both business practices and regulations, billboards in our International segment are subject to long-term, finite contracts unlike our permits in the United States and Canada. Accordingly, there are no indefinite-lived assets in our International segment.

The United States and global economies have undergone a period of economic uncertainty, which caused, among other things, a general tightening in the credit markets, limited access to the credit markets, lower levels of liquidity and lower consumer and business spending. These disruptions in the credit and financial markets and the impact of adverse economic, financial and industry conditions on the demand for advertising negatively impacted the key assumptions in the discounted cash flow models used to value our billboard permits since the merger. Therefore, we performed an interim impairment test on our billboard permits as of December 31, 2008, which resulted in a non-cash impairment charge of \$722.6 million.

Our cash flows during the first six months of 2009 were below those in the discounted cash flow model used to calculate the impairment at December 31, 2008. As a result, we performed an interim impairment test as of June 30, 2009 on our billboard permits resulting in a non-cash impairment charge of \$345.4 million.

Our impairment tests consisted of a comparison of the fair value of the billboard permits at the market level with their carrying amount. If the carrying amount of the billboard permit exceeded its fair value, an impairment loss was recognized equal to that excess. After an impairment loss is recognized, the adjusted carrying amount of the billboard permit is its new accounting basis. The fair value of the billboard permits was determined using the direct valuation method as prescribed in ASC 805-20-S99. Under the direct valuation method, the fair value of the billboard permits was calculated at the market level as prescribed by ASC 350-30-35. We engaged Mesirov Financial to assist us in the development of the assumptions and our determination of the fair value of our billboard permits.

Our application of the direct valuation method utilized the greenfield approach as discussed above. Our key assumptions using the direct valuation method are market revenue growth rates, market share, profit margin, duration and profile of the build-up period, estimated start-up capital costs and losses incurred during the build-up period, the risk-adjusted discount rate and terminal values. This data is populated using industry normalized information representing an average billboard permit within a market.

Management uses its internal forecasts to estimate industry normalized information as it believes these forecasts are similar to what a market participant would expect to generate. This is due to the pricing structure and demand for outdoor signage in a market being relatively constant regardless of the owner of the operation. Management also relied on its internal forecasts because there is little public data available for each of its markets.

The build-up period represents the time it takes for the hypothetical start-up operation to reach normalized operations in terms of achieving a mature market revenue share and profit margin. Management believes that a one-year build-up period is required for a start-up operation to erect the necessary structures and obtain advertisers in order to achieve mature market revenue share. It is estimated that a start-up operation would be able to obtain 10% of the potential revenues in the first year of operations and 100% in the second year. Management assumed industry revenue growth of negative 9% and negative 16% during the build-up period for the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 interim impairment tests, respectively. However, the cost structure is expected to reach the normalized level over three years due to the time required to recognize the synergies and cost savings associated with the ownership of the permits within the market.



**Table of Contents**

For the normalized operating margin in the third year, management assumed a hypothetical business would operate at the lower of the operating margin for the specific market or the industry average margin of 46% and 45% based on an analysis of comparable companies in the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment models, respectively. For the first and second year of operations, the operating margin was assumed to be 50% of the normalized operating margin for both the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment models. The first and second-year expenses include the non-recurring start-up costs necessary to build the operation (i.e. development of customers, workforce, etc.).

In addition to cash flows during the projection period, a normalized residual cash flow was calculated based upon industry-average growth of 3% beyond the discrete build-up projection period in both the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment models. The residual cash flow was then capitalized to arrive at the terminal value.

The present value of the cash flows is calculated using an estimated required rate of return based upon industry-average market conditions. In determining the estimated required rate of return, management calculated a discount rate using both current and historical trends in the industry.

We calculated the discount rate as of the valuation date and also one-year, two-year, and three-year historical quarterly averages. The discount rate was calculated by weighting the required returns on interest-bearing debt and common equity capital in proportion to their estimated percentages in an expected capital structure. The capital structure was estimated based on the quarterly average of data for publicly traded companies in the outdoor advertising industry.

The calculation of the discount rate required the rate of return on debt, which was based on a review of the credit ratings for comparable companies (i.e. market participants). We used the yield on a Standard & Poor's B rated corporate bond for the pre-tax rate of return on debt and tax-effected such yield based on applicable tax rates.

The rate of return on equity capital was estimated using a modified CAPM. Inputs to this model included the yield on long-term U.S. Treasury Bonds, forecast betas for comparable companies, calculation of a market risk premium based on research and empirical evidence and calculation of a size premium derived from historical differences in returns between small companies and large companies using data published by Ibbotson Associates.

Our concluded discount rate used in the discounted cash flow models to determine the fair value of the permits was 9.5% at December 31, 2008 and 10% at June 30, 2009. Applying the discount rate, the present value of cash flows during the discrete projection period and terminal value were added to estimate the fair value of the hypothetical start-up operation. The initial capital investment was subtracted to arrive at the value of the permits. The initial capital investment represents the expenditures required to erect the necessary advertising structures.

The discount rate used in the December 31, 2008 impairment model increased approximately 100 basis points over the discount rate used to value the permits in the preliminary purchase price allocation as of July 30, 2008. Industry revenue forecasts declined 10% through 2013 compared to the forecasts used in the preliminary purchase price allocation as of July 30, 2008. These market driven changes were primarily responsible for the decline in fair value of the billboard permits below their carrying value. As a result, we recognized a non-cash impairment charge which totaled \$722.6 million. The fair value of our permits was \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2008.

The discount rate used in the June 30, 2009 impairment model increased approximately 50 basis points over the discount rate used to value the permits at December 31, 2008. Industry revenue forecasts declined 8% through 2013 compared to the forecasts used in the 2008 impairment test. These market driven changes were primarily responsible for the decline in fair value of the billboard permits below their carrying value. As a result, we recognized a non-cash impairment charge in all but five of our markets in the United States and Canada, which totaled \$345.4 million. The fair value of our permits was \$1.1 billion at June 30, 2009.

The following table shows the increase to the billboard permit impairment that would have occurred using hypothetical percentage reductions in fair value, had the hypothetical reductions in fair value existed at the time of our impairment testing:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Percent change in fair value	Change to impairment	Change to impairment
5%	\$ 55,776	\$ 80,798
10%	\$ 111,782	\$ 156,785
15%	\$ 167,852	\$ 232,820



**Table of Contents**Annual Impairment Test to Billboard Permits

We perform our annual impairment test on October 1 of each year. We engaged Mesirow Financial to assist us in the development of the assumptions and our determination of the fair value of our billboard permits. The aggregate fair value of our permits on October 1, 2009 increased approximately 8% from the fair value at June 30, 2009. The increase in fair value resulted primarily from an increase of \$57.7 million related to improved industry revenue forecasts. The discount rate was unchanged from the June 30, 2009 interim impairment analysis. We calculated the discount rate as of the valuation date and also one-year, two-year, and three-year historical quarterly averages. The discount rate was calculated by weighting the required returns on interest-bearing debt and common equity capital in proportion to their estimated percentages in an expected capital structure. The capital structure was estimated based on the quarterly average of data for publicly traded companies in the outdoor advertising industry.

The fair value of our permits at October 1, 2009 was approximately \$1.2 billion.

While we believe we have made reasonable estimates and utilized reasonable assumptions to calculate the fair value of our permits, it is possible a material change could occur. If our future actual results are not consistent with our estimates, we could be exposed to future impairment losses that could be material to our results of operations. The following table shows the decline in the fair value of our billboard permits that would result from a 100 basis point decline in our discrete and terminal period revenue growth rate and profit margin assumptions and a 100 basis point increase in our discount rate assumption:

(In thousands)

Indefinite-lived intangible	Revenue growth rate	Profit margin	Discount rate
Billboard permits	\$ 405,900	\$ 102,500	\$ 428,100

Interim Impairments to Goodwill

We test goodwill at interim dates if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill might be impaired. The United States and global economies have undergone a period of economic uncertainty, which caused, among other things, a general tightening in the credit markets, limited access to the credit markets, lower levels of liquidity and lower consumer and business spending. These disruptions in the credit and financial markets and the impact of adverse economic, financial and industry conditions on the demand for advertising negatively impacted the key assumptions in the discounted cash flow model used to value our reporting units since the merger. Therefore, we performed an interim impairment test resulting in a non-cash impairment charge of \$3.6 billion as of December 31, 2008.

Our cash flows during the first six months of 2009 were below those used in the discounted cash flow model used to calculate the impairment at December 31, 2008. Additionally, the fair value of our debt and equity at June 30, 2009 was below the carrying amount of our reporting units at June 30, 2009. As a result of these indicators, we performed an interim goodwill impairment test as of June 30, 2009 resulting in a non-cash impairment charge of \$3.1 billion.

Our goodwill impairment test is a two-step process. The first step, used to screen for potential impairment, compares the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If applicable, the second step, used to measure the amount of the impairment loss, compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. We engaged Mesirow Financial to assist us in the development of the assumptions and our determination of the fair value of our reporting units.

Each of our U.S. radio markets and outdoor advertising markets are components. Our U.S. radio markets are aggregated into a single reporting unit and our U.S. outdoor advertising markets are aggregated into a single reporting unit for purposes of the goodwill impairment test using the guidance in ASC 350-20-55. We also determined that in our Americas segment, Canada, Mexico, Peru, and Brazil constitute separate reporting units and each country in our International segment constitutes a separate reporting unit.

The discounted cash flow model indicated that we failed the first step of the impairment test for substantially all reporting units as of December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, which required us to compare the implied fair value of each reporting unit's goodwill with its carrying value.

The discounted cash flow approach we use for valuing our reporting units involves estimating future cash flows expected to be generated from the related assets, discounted to their present value using a risk-adjusted discount rate. Terminal values are also estimated and discounted to their present value.



---

## **Table of Contents**

We forecasted revenue, expenses, and cash flows over a ten-year period for each of our reporting units. In projecting future cash flows, we consider a variety of factors including our historical growth rates, macroeconomic conditions, advertising sector and industry trends as well as company-specific information. Historically, revenues in our industries have been highly correlated to economic cycles. Based on these considerations, our assumed 2008 and 2009 revenue growth rates used in the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment models were negative followed by assumed revenue growth with an anticipated economic recovery in 2009 and 2010, respectively. To arrive at our projected cash flows and resulting growth rates, we evaluated our historical operating results, current management initiatives and both historical and anticipated industry results to assess the reasonableness of our operating margin assumptions. We also calculated a normalized residual year which represents the perpetual cash flows of each reporting unit. The residual year cash flow was capitalized to arrive at the terminal value of the reporting unit.

We calculated the weighted average cost of capital ( WACC ) as of December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 and also one-year, two-year, and three-year historical quarterly averages for each of our reporting units. WACC is an overall rate based upon the individual rates of return for invested capital (equity and interest-bearing debt). The WACC is calculated by weighting the required returns on interest-bearing debt and common equity capital in proportion to their estimated percentages in an expected capital structure. The capital structure was estimated based on the quarterly average data for publicly traded companies in the radio and outdoor advertising industry. Our calculation of the WACC considered both current industry WACCs and historical trends in the industry.

The calculation of the WACC requires the rate of return on debt, which was based on a review of the credit ratings for comparable companies (i.e. market participants) and the indicated yield on similarly rated bonds.

The rate of return on equity capital was estimated using a modified CAPM. Inputs to this model included the yield on long-term U.S. Treasury Bonds, forecast betas for comparable companies, calculation of a market risk premium based on research and empirical evidence and calculation of a size premium derived from historical differences in returns between small companies and large companies using data published by Ibbotson Associates.

In line with advertising industry trends, our operations and expected cash flow are subject to significant uncertainties about future developments, including timing and severity of the recessionary trends and customers' behaviors. To address these risks, we included company-specific risk premiums for each of our reporting units in the estimated WACC. Based on this analysis, as of December 31, 2008, company-specific risk premiums of 100 basis points, 300 basis points and 300 basis points were included for our Radio, Americas outdoor and International outdoor segments, respectively, resulting in WACCs of 11%, 12.5% and 12.5% for each of our reporting units in the Radio, Americas and International segments, respectively. As of June 30, 2009, company-specific risk premiums of 100 basis points, 250 basis points and 350 basis points were included for our Radio, Americas outdoor and International outdoor segments, respectively, resulting in WACCs of 11%, 12.5% and 13.5% for each of our reporting units in the Radio, Americas and International segments, respectively. Applying these WACCs, the present value of cash flows during the discrete projection period and terminal value were added to estimate the fair value of the reporting units.

The discount rate utilized in the valuation of the FCC licenses and outdoor permits as of December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 excludes the company-specific risk premiums that were added to the industry WACCs used in the valuation of the reporting units. Management believes the exclusion of this premium is appropriate given the difference between the nature of the licenses and billboard permits and reporting unit cash flow projections. The cash flow projections utilized under the direct valuation method for the licenses and permits are derived from utilizing industry normalized information for the existing portfolio of licenses and permits. Given that the underlying cash flow projections are based on industry normalized information, application of an industry average discount rate is appropriate. Conversely, our cash flow projections for the overall reporting unit are based on our internal forecasts for each business and incorporate future growth and initiatives unrelated to the existing license and permit portfolio. Additionally, the projections for the reporting unit include cash flows related to non-FCC license and non-permit based assets. In the valuation of the reporting unit, the company-specific risk premiums were added to the industry WACCs due to the risks inherent in achieving the projected cash flows of the reporting unit.

We also utilized the market approach to provide a test of reasonableness to the results of the discounted cash flow model. The market approach indicates the fair value of the invested capital of a business based on a company's market capitalization (if publicly traded) and a comparison of the business to comparable publicly traded companies and transactions in its industry. This approach can be estimated through the quoted market price method, the market comparable method, and the market transaction method.

**Table of Contents**

One indication of the fair value of a business is the quoted market price in active markets for the debt and equity of the business. The quoted market price of equity multiplied by the number of shares outstanding yields the fair value of the equity of a business on a marketable, noncontrolling basis. We then apply a premium for control and add the estimated fair value of interest-bearing debt to indicate the fair value of the invested capital of the business on a marketable, controlling basis.

The market comparable method provides an indication of the fair value of the invested capital of a business by comparing it to publicly traded companies in similar lines of business. The conditions and prospects of companies in similar lines of business depend on common factors such as overall demand for their products and services. An analysis of the market multiples of companies engaged in similar lines of business yields insight into investor perceptions and, therefore, the value of the subject business. These multiples are then applied to the operating results of the subject business to estimate the fair value of the invested capital on a marketable, noncontrolling basis. We then apply a premium for control to indicate the fair value of the business on a marketable, controlling basis.

The market transaction method estimates the fair value of the invested capital of a business based on exchange prices in actual transactions and on asking prices for controlling interests in similar companies recently offered for sale. This process involves comparison and correlation of the subject business with other similar companies that have recently been purchased. Considerations such as location, time of sale, physical characteristics, and conditions of sale are analyzed for comparable businesses.

The three variations of the market approach indicated that the fair value determined by our discounted cash flow model was within a reasonable range of outcomes as of December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009.

Our revenue forecasts for 2009 declined 18%, 21% and 29% for Radio, Americas outdoor and International outdoor, respectively, compared to the forecasts used in the July 30, 2008 preliminary purchase price allocation primarily as a result of our revenues realized for the year ended December 31, 2008. These market driven changes were primarily responsible for the decline in fair value of our reporting units below their carrying value. As a result, we recognized a non-cash impairment charge to reduce our goodwill of \$3.6 billion at December 31, 2008.

Our revenue forecasts for 2009 declined 8%, 7% and 9% for Radio, Americas outdoor and International outdoor, respectively, compared to the forecasts used in the 2008 impairment test primarily as a result of our revenues realized during the first six months of 2009. These market driven changes were primarily responsible for the decline in fair value of our reporting units below their carrying value. As a result, we recognized a non-cash impairment charge to reduce our goodwill of \$3.1 billion at June 30, 2009.

The following table shows the increase to the goodwill impairment that would have occurred using hypothetical percentage reductions in fair value, had the hypothetical reduction in fair value existed at the time of our impairment testing:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009			December 31, 2008		
	Change to impairment			Change to impairment		
Reportable segment	5%	10%	15%	5%	10%	15%
Radio Broadcasting	\$ 353,000	\$ 706,000	\$ 1,059,000	\$ 460,007	\$ 920,007	\$ 1,380,007
Americas Outdoor	\$ 164,950	\$ 329,465	\$ 493,915	\$ 166,303	\$ 341,303	\$ 516,303
International Outdoor	\$ 7,207	\$ 18,452	\$ 33,774	\$ 6,761	\$ 14,966	\$ 24,830

**Annual Impairment Test to Goodwill**

We perform our annual impairment test on October 1 of each year. We engaged Mesirow Financial to assist us in the development of the assumptions and our determination of the fair value of our reporting units. The fair value of our reporting units on October 1, 2009 increased from the fair value at June 30, 2009. The increase in fair value of our radio reporting unit was primarily the result of a 50 basis point decline in the WACC as well as a 130 basis point increase in the long-term operating margin. The increase in fair value of our Americas reporting unit was primarily the result of a 150 basis point decline in the WACC. Application of the market approach described above supported lowering the company-specific risk premium used in the discounted cash flow model to fair value the Americas reporting unit. The increase in the aggregate fair value of the reporting units in our International outdoor segment was primarily the result of an improvement in the long-term revenue forecasts. A certain reporting unit in our International outdoor segment recognized a \$41.4 million impairment to goodwill related to the fair value adjustments of certain noncontrolling interests recorded in the merger pursuant to ASC 480-10-S99.



**Table of Contents**

While we believe we have made reasonable estimates and utilized appropriate assumptions to calculate the fair value of our reporting units, it is possible a material change could occur. If future results are not consistent with our assumptions and estimates, we may be exposed to impairment charges in the future. The following table shows the decline in the fair value of each of our reportable segments that would result from a 100 basis point decline in our discrete and terminal period revenue growth rate and profit margin assumptions and a 100 basis point increase in our discount rate assumption:

(In thousands)

Reportable segment	Revenue growth rate	Profit margin	Discount rates
Radio Broadcasting	\$ 770,000	\$ 210,000	\$ 700,000
Americas Outdoor	\$ 480,000	\$ 110,000	\$ 430,000
International Outdoor	\$ 180,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 160,000

A rollforward of our goodwill balance from July 30, 2008 through December 31, 2009 by reporting unit is as follows:

(In thousands)

	Balances as of						Balances as of December 31, 2008
	July 30, 2008	Acquisitions	Dispositions	Foreign Currency	Impairment	Adjustments	
United States Radio Markets	\$ 6,691,260	\$ 3,486	\$	\$	\$ (1,115,033)	\$ (523)	\$ 5,579,190
United States Outdoor Markets	3,121,645				(2,296,915)		824,730
France	122,865			(14,747)	(23,620)		84,498
Switzerland	57,664			(977)		198	56,885
Australia	40,520			(11,813)		(529)	28,178
Belgium	37,982			(4,549)	(7,505)		25,928
Sweden	31,794			(8,118)			23,676
Norway	26,434			(7,626)			18,808
Ireland	16,224			(1,939)			14,285
United Kingdom	32,336			(10,162)	(22,174)		
Italy	23,649		(542)	(2,808)	(20,521)	222	
China	31,187			234	(31,421)		
Spain	21,139			(2,537)	(18,602)		
Turkey	17,896				(17,896)		
Finland	13,641			(1,637)	(12,004)		
Americas Outdoor Canada	35,390			(5,783)	(24,687)		4,920
All Others Americas	86,770			(23,822)			62,948
All Others International Outdoor	54,265			3,160	(19,692)	(2,448)	35,285
Other	331,290						331,290
	\$ 10,793,951	\$ 3,486	\$ (542)	\$ (93,124)	\$ (3,610,070)	\$ (3,080)	\$ 7,090,621

**Table of Contents***(In thousands)*

	Balances as of December 31, 2008	Acquisitions	Dispositions	Foreign Currency	Impairment	Adjustments	Balances as of December 31, 2009
United States Radio Markets	\$ 5,579,190	\$ 4,518	\$ (62,410)	\$	\$ (2,420,897)	\$ 46,468	\$ 3,146,869
United States Outdoor Markets	824,730	2,250			(324,892)	69,844	571,932
Switzerland	56,885			1,276	(7,827)		50,334
Ireland	14,285			223	(12,591)		1,917
Baltics	10,629				(10,629)		
Americas Outdoor Mexico	8,729			7,440	(10,085)	(442)	5,642
Americas Outdoor Chile	3,964			4,417	(8,381)		
Americas Outdoor Peru	45,284				(37,609)		7,675
Americas Outdoor Brazil	4,971			4,436	(9,407)		
Americas Outdoor Canada	4,920					(4,920)	
All Others International Outdoor	205,744	110		15,913	(42,717)	45,042	224,092
Other	331,290		(2,276)		(211,988)	(482)	116,544
	\$ 7,090,621	\$ 6,878	\$ (64,686)	\$ 33,705	\$ (3,097,023)	\$ 155,510	\$ 4,125,005

**Restructuring Program**

In 2008 and continuing into 2009, the global economic downturn adversely affected advertising revenues across our businesses. In the fourth quarter of 2008, CCMH initiated an ongoing, company-wide strategic review of our costs and organizational structure to identify opportunities to maximize efficiency and realign expenses with our current and long-term business outlook. As of December 31, 2009, we had incurred a total of \$260.3 million of costs in conjunction with this restructuring program. We estimate the benefit of the restructuring program was an approximate \$441.3 million aggregate reduction to fixed operating and corporate expenses in 2009 and that the benefit of these initiatives will be fully realized by 2011.

No assurance can be given that the restructuring program will achieve all of the anticipated cost savings in the timeframe expected or at all, or that the cost savings will be sustainable. In addition, we may modify or terminate the restructuring program in response to economic conditions or otherwise.

The following table shows the expenses related to our restructuring program recognized as components of direct operating expenses, selling, general and administrative ( SG&A ) expenses and corporate expenses for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively:

*(In thousands)*

	Post-Merger Year Ended December 31, 2009	Combined Year Ended December 31, 2008
Direct operating expenses	\$ 89,604	\$ 31,704
SG&A expenses	39,193	57,909
Corporate expenses	35,612	6,288
Total	\$ 164,409	\$ 95,901

**Sale of Non-core Radio Stations**

Our sale of non-core radio stations was substantially complete in the first half of 2008. We determined that each radio station market in our non-core radio station sales represents a disposal group consistent with the provisions of ASC 360-10. Consistent with the provisions of ASC 360-10, we classified these assets sales as discontinued operations. Additionally, net income and cash flow from these non-core radio station sales were classified as discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations and the consolidated statements of cash flows, respectively, in 2008 through the date of sale and for all of 2007.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Sale of the Television Business***

On March 14, 2008, we completed the sale of our television business to Newport Television, LLC for \$1.0 billion, adjusted for certain items including proration of expenses and adjustments for working capital. As a result, we recorded a gain of \$662.9 million as a component of Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net in our consolidated statement of operations during 2008. Additionally, net income and cash flows from the television business were classified as discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations and the consolidated statements of cash flows, respectively, in 2008 through the date of sale and for all of 2007.

### ***Radio Broadcasting***

Our radio business has been adversely impacted and may continue to be adversely impacted by the recession in the United States. The weak economy in the United States has, among other things, adversely affected our clients' need for advertising and marketing services thereby reducing demand for, and prices for, our advertising spots. Continued weak demand for these services could materially affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our revenue is derived from selling advertising time, or spots, on our radio stations, with advertising contracts typically less than one year in duration. The programming formats of our radio stations are designed to reach audiences with targeted demographic characteristics that appeal to our advertisers. Management monitors average advertising rates, which are principally based on the length of the spot and how many people in a targeted audience listen to our stations, as measured by an independent ratings service. The size of the market influences rates as well, with larger markets typically receiving higher rates than smaller markets. Also, our advertising rates are influenced by the time of day the advertisement airs, with morning and evening drive-time hours typically highest priced. Management monitors yield per available minute in addition to average rates because yield allows management to track revenue performance across our inventory. Yield is measured by management in a variety of ways, including revenue earned divided by minutes of advertising sold.

Management monitors macro level indicators to assess our radio operations' performance. Due to the geographic diversity and autonomy of our markets, we have a multitude of market specific advertising rates and audience demographics. Therefore, management reviews average unit rates across each of our stations.

Management looks at our radio operations' overall revenue as well as the revenue from each type of advertising, including local advertising, which is sold predominately in a station's local market, and national advertising, which is sold across multiple markets. Local advertising is sold by each radio station's sales staff while national advertising is sold, for the most part, through our national representation firm. Local advertising, which is our largest source of advertising revenue, and national advertising revenues are tracked separately, because these revenue streams have different sales forces and respond differently to changes in the economic environment. We periodically review and refine our selling structures in all markets in an effort to maximize the value of our offering to advertisers and, therefore, our revenue.

Management also looks at radio revenue by market size. Typically, larger markets can reach larger audiences with wider demographics than smaller markets. Additionally, management reviews our share of radio advertising revenues in markets where such information is available, as well as our share of target demographics listening to the radio in an average quarter hour. This metric gauges how well our formats are attracting and retaining listeners.

A portion of our radio segment's expenses vary in connection with changes in revenue. These variable expenses primarily relate to costs in our sales department, such as commissions and bad debt. Our programming and general and administrative departments incur most of our fixed costs, such as talent costs, rights fees, utilities and office salaries. Lastly, we incur discretionary costs in our marketing and promotions, which we primarily use in an effort to maintain and/or increase our audience share.

### ***Americas and International Outdoor Advertising***

Our outdoor advertising business has been, and may continue to be, adversely impacted by the difficult economic conditions currently present in the United States and other countries in which we operate. The recession has, among other things, adversely affected our clients' need for advertising and marketing services, resulted in increased cancellations and non-renewals by our clients, thereby reducing our occupancy levels, and could require us to lower our rates in order to remain competitive, thereby reducing our yield, or affect our clients' solvency. Any one or more of these effects could materially affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

## **Table of Contents**

Our revenue is derived from selling advertising space on the displays we own or operate in key markets worldwide, consisting primarily of billboards, street furniture and transit displays. We own the majority of our advertising displays, which typically are located on sites that we either lease or own or for which we have acquired permanent easements. Our advertising contracts with clients typically outline the number of displays reserved, the duration of the advertising campaign and the unit price per display.

Our advertising rates are based on a number of different factors including location, competition, size of display, illumination, market and gross ratings points. Gross ratings points are the total number of impressions delivered by a display or group of displays, expressed as a percentage of a market population. The number of impressions delivered by a display is measured by the number of people passing the site during a defined period of time and, in some international markets, is weighted to account for such factors as illumination, proximity to other displays and the speed and viewing angle of approaching traffic. Management typically monitors our business by reviewing the average rates, average revenue per display, or yield, occupancy, and inventory levels of each of our display types by market. In addition, because a significant portion of our advertising operations are conducted in foreign markets, primarily the Euro area, the United Kingdom and China, management reviews the operating results from our foreign operations on a constant dollar basis. A constant dollar basis allows for comparison of operations independent of foreign exchange movements.

The significant expenses associated with our operations include (i) direct production, maintenance and installation expenses, (ii) site lease expenses for land under our displays and (iii) revenue-sharing or minimum guaranteed amounts payable under our billboard, street furniture and transit display contracts. Our direct production, maintenance and installation expenses include costs for printing, transporting and changing the advertising copy on our displays, the related labor costs, the vinyl and paper costs and the costs for cleaning and maintaining our displays. Vinyl and paper costs vary according to the complexity of the advertising copy and the quantity of displays. Our site lease expenses include lease payments for use of the land under our displays, as well as any revenue-sharing arrangements or minimum guaranteed amounts payable that we may have with the landlords. The terms of our site leases and revenue-sharing or minimum guaranteed contracts generally range from one to 20 years.

In our International business, normal market practice is to sell billboards and street furniture as network packages with contract terms typically ranging from one to two weeks, compared to contract terms typically ranging from four weeks to one year in the U.S. In addition, competitive bidding for street furniture and transit display contracts, which constitute a larger portion of our International business, and a different regulatory environment for billboards, result in higher site lease cost in our International business compared to our Americas business. As a result, our margins are typically less in our International business than in the Americas.

Our street furniture and transit display contracts, the terms of which range from three to 20 years, generally require us to make upfront investments in property, plant and equipment. These contracts may also include upfront lease payments and/or minimum annual guaranteed lease payments. We can give no assurance that our cash flows from operations over the terms of these contracts will exceed the upfront and minimum required payments.

**Table of Contents****THE COMPARISON OF YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009 TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008 IS AS FOLLOWS:**

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Year ended December 31, 2009 Post-Merger	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008 Post-Merger	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008 Pre-Merger	Year ended December 31, 2008 Combined	%
					Change
Revenue	\$ 5,551,909	\$ 2,736,941	\$ 3,951,742	\$ 6,688,683	(17%)
Operating expenses:					
Direct operating expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	2,583,263	1,198,345	1,706,099	2,904,444	(11%)
Selling, general and administrative expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	1,466,593	806,787	1,022,459	1,829,246	(20%)
Depreciation and amortization	765,474	348,041	348,789	696,830	10%
Corporate expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	253,964	102,276	125,669	227,945	11%
Merger expenses		68,085	87,684	155,769	
Impairment charges	4,118,924	5,268,858		5,268,858	
Other operating income (expense) net	(50,837)	13,205	14,827	28,032	
Operating income (loss)	(3,687,146)	(5,042,246)	675,869	(4,366,377)	
Interest expense	1,500,866	715,768	213,210	928,978	
Gain (loss) on marketable securities	(13,371)	(116,552)	34,262	(82,290)	
Equity in earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates	(20,689)	5,804	94,215	100,019	
Other income (expense) net	679,716	131,505	(5,112)	126,393	
Income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations	(4,542,356)	(5,737,257)	586,024	(5,151,233)	
Income tax benefit (expense):					
Current	76,129	76,729	(27,280)	49,449	
Deferred	417,191	619,894	(145,303)	474,591	
Income tax benefit (expense)	493,320	696,623	(172,583)	524,040	
Income (loss) before discontinued operations	(4,049,036)	(5,040,634)	413,441	(4,627,193)	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net		(1,845)	640,236	638,391	
Consolidated net income (loss)	(4,049,036)	(5,042,479)	1,053,677	(3,988,802)	
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest	(14,950)	(481)	17,152	16,671	
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ (4,034,086)	\$ (5,041,998)	\$ 1,036,525	\$ (4,005,473)	

**Consolidated Results of Operations****Revenue**

Our consolidated revenue decreased \$1.14 billion during 2009 compared to 2008. Revenue declined \$557.5 million during 2009 compared to 2008 from our radio business associated with decreases in both local and national advertising. Our Americas outdoor revenue also declined approximately \$192.1 million attributable to decreases in bulletin, poster and airport revenues associated with cancellations and non-renewals from larger national advertisers. Our International revenue declined approximately \$399.2 million primarily as a result of challenging advertising climates in our markets and approximately \$118.5 million from movements in foreign exchange.

*Direct Operating Expenses*

Our consolidated direct operating expenses decreased approximately \$321.2 million during 2009 compared to 2008. Our international outdoor business contributed \$217.6 million of the overall decrease primarily from a decrease in site-lease expenses from lower revenue and cost savings from the restructuring program and \$85.6 million related to movements in foreign exchange.

## **Table of Contents**

Our Americas outdoor direct operating expenses decreased \$39.4 million driven by decreased site-lease expenses from lower revenue and cost savings from the restructuring program. Our radio broadcasting direct operating expenses decreased approximately \$77.5 million primarily related to decreased compensation expense associated with cost savings from the restructuring program.

### ***SG&A Expenses***

Our SG&A expenses decreased approximately \$362.7 million during 2009 compared to 2008. SG&A expenses in our radio business decreased approximately \$249.1 million primarily from decreases in commission and salary expenses and decreased marketing and promotional expenses. Our international outdoor SG&A expenses decreased approximately \$71.3 million primarily attributable to \$23.7 million from movements in foreign exchange and an overall decline in compensation and administrative expenses. Our Americas outdoor SG&A expenses decreased approximately \$50.7 million primarily related to a decline in commission expense.

### ***Depreciation and Amortization***

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$68.6 million in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to \$139.9 million associated with the fair value adjustments to the assets acquired in the merger. Partially offsetting the increase was a \$43.2 million decrease in depreciation expense associated with the impairment of assets in our International outdoor segment during the fourth quarter of 2008 and a \$20.6 million decrease from movements in foreign exchange.

### ***Corporate Expenses***

Corporate expenses increased \$26.0 million in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily as a result of a \$29.3 million increase related to the restructuring program and a \$23.5 million accrual related to an unfavorable outcome of litigation concerning a breach of contract regarding internet advertising and our radio stations. The increase was partially offset by \$33.3 million primarily related to reductions in the legal accrual as a result of litigation settled in the current year.

### ***Other Operating Income (Expense) - Net***

The \$50.8 million expense for 2009 is primarily related to a \$42.0 million loss on the sale and exchange of radio stations and a \$20.9 million loss on the sale of our taxi advertising business. The losses were partially offset by a \$10.1 million gain on the sale of Americas and International outdoor assets.

The \$28.0 million income in 2008 consists of a gain of \$3.3 million from the sale of sports broadcasting rights, a \$7.0 million gain on the disposition of a representation contract, a \$4.0 million gain on the sale of property, plant and equipment, a \$1.7 million gain on the sale of international street furniture and \$9.6 million from the favorable settlement of a lawsuit.

### ***Interest Expense***

Interest expense increased \$571.9 million in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily from an increase in outstanding indebtedness due to the merger. Additionally, we borrowed approximately \$1.6 billion under our \$2.0 billion credit facility during the first quarter of 2009 to improve our liquidity position in light of the uncertain economic environment.

### ***Gain (Loss) on Marketable Securities***

The loss on marketable securities of \$13.4 million in 2009 relates to the impairment of Independent News & Media PLC ( INM ). The fair value of INM was below cost for an extended period of time. As a result, we considered the guidance in ASC 320-10-S99 and reviewed the length of the time and the extent to which the market value was less than cost and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. After this assessment, we concluded that the impairment was other than temporary and recorded an \$11.3 million non-cash impairment charge to our investment in INM. In addition, we recognized a \$1.8 million loss on the third quarter sale of our remaining 8.6% interest in Grupo ACIR Comunicaciones ( Grupo ACIR ).

During the fourth quarter of 2008, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge to INM and Sirius XM Radio. The fair value of these available-for-sale securities was below their cost each month subsequent to the closing of the merger. After considering the guidance in ASC 320-10-S99, we concluded that the impairment was other than temporary and recorded a \$116.6 million impairment charge to our investments in INM and Sirius XM Radio. This loss was partially offset by a net gain of \$27.0 million recorded in the second quarter of 2008 on the unwinding

of our secured forward exchange contracts and the sale of our American Tower Corporation ( AMT ) shares.



**Table of Contents**

***Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Non-consolidated Affiliates***

Equity in loss of nonconsolidated affiliates of \$20.7 million in 2009 is primarily related to a \$22.9 million impairment of equity investments in our International outdoor segment in addition to a \$4.0 million loss on the sale of a portion of our investment in Grupo ACIR. Subsequent to the January 2009 sale of 57% of our remaining 20% interest in Grupo ACIR, we no longer accounted for our investment as an equity method investment and began accounting for it at cost in accordance with ASC 323.

Included in equity in earnings of nonconsolidated affiliates in 2008 is a \$75.6 million gain on the sale of our 50% interest in Clear Channel Independent, a South African outdoor advertising company.

***Other Income (Expense) Net***

Other income of \$679.7 million in 2009 relates to an aggregate gain of \$368.6 million on the repurchases of certain of our senior notes and an aggregate gain of \$373.7 million on the repurchases of certain of our senior toggle notes and senior cash pay notes. The gains on extinguishment of debt were partially offset by a \$29.3 million loss related to loan costs associated with the \$2.0 billion retirement of certain of our outstanding senior secured debt. Please refer to the *Sources and Uses* section within this MD&A for additional discussion of the repurchases and debt retirement.

Other income of \$126.4 million in 2008 relates to an aggregate net gain of \$94.7 million on the tender of certain of our outstanding notes, a \$29.3 million foreign exchange gain on translating short-term intercompany notes and an \$8.0 million dividend received from a cost investment, partially offset by a \$4.7 million impairment of our investment in a radio partnership.

***Income Taxes***

Current tax benefits for 2009 increased \$26.7 million compared to the full year for 2008 primarily due to our ability to carry back certain net operating losses to prior years. On November 6, 2009, the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009 (the Act) was enacted into law. The Act amended Section 172 of the Internal Revenue Code to allow net operating losses realized in a tax year ended after December 31, 2007 and beginning before January 1, 2010 to be carried back for up to five years (such losses were previously limited to a two-year carryback). This change will allow us to carryback fiscal 2009 taxable losses of approximately \$361 million, based on our projections of projected taxable losses eligible for carryback, to prior years and receive refunds of previously paid Federal income taxes of approximately \$126.4 million. The ultimate amount of such refunds realized from net operating loss carryback is dependent on our actual taxable losses for fiscal 2009, which may vary from our current expectations.

The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2009 was 10.9% as compared to 10.2% for the year ended December 31, 2008. The effective tax rate for 2009 was impacted by the goodwill impairment charges which are not deductible for tax purposes. In addition, as noted above, due to the law change on November 6, 2009 that allows us to carryback a portion of our 2009 net operating losses back five years and based on our expectations as to future taxable income from deferred tax liabilities that reverse in the relevant carryforward period for those net operating losses that cannot be carried back, we believe that the realization of the deferred tax assets associated with the remaining net operating loss carryforwards and other deferred tax assets is more likely than not and therefore no valuation allowance is needed for the majority of our deferred tax assets.

The 2008 effective tax rate was impacted by the impairment charge that resulted in a \$5.3 billion decrease in Income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations and tax benefits of approximately \$648.2 million. Partially offsetting this decrease to the effective rate were tax benefits recorded as a result of the release of valuation allowances on the capital loss carryforwards that were used to offset the taxable gain from the disposition of our investment in AMT and Grupo ACIR. Additionally, we sold our 50% interest in Clear Channel Independent in 2008, which was structured as a tax free disposition. The sale resulted in a gain of \$75.6 million with no current tax expense. Further, in 2008 valuation allowances were recorded on certain net operating losses generated during the period that were not able to be carried back to prior years.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, deferred tax benefits decreased \$57.4 million as compared to 2008 primarily due to larger impairment charges recorded in 2008 related to the tax deductible intangibles. This decrease was partially offset by increases in deferred tax expense in 2009 as a result of the deferral of certain discharge of indebtedness income, for income tax purposes, resulting from the reacquisition of business indebtedness, as provided by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 signed into law on February 17, 2009.



**Table of Contents****Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations**

Income from discontinued operations of \$638.4 million recorded during 2008 primarily relates to a gain of \$631.9 million, net of tax, related to the sale of our television business and the sale of radio stations.

**Radio Broadcasting Results of Operations**

Our radio broadcasting operating results were as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Years Ended December 31,		% Change
	2009 Post-Merger	2008 Combined	
Revenue	\$ 2,736,404	\$ 3,293,874	(17%)
Direct operating expenses	901,799	979,324	(8%)
SG&A expenses	933,505	1,182,607	(21%)
Depreciation and amortization	261,246	152,822	71%
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>\$ 639,854</b>	<b>\$ 979,121</b>	<b>(35%)</b>

Our radio broadcasting revenue declined approximately \$557.5 million in 2009 compared to 2008, driven by decreases in local and national revenues of \$388.5 million and \$115.1 million, respectively. Local and national revenue were down as a result of an overall weakness in advertising and the economy. The decline in advertising demand led to declines in total minutes sold and yield per minute in 2009 compared to 2008. Our radio revenue experienced declines across markets and advertising categories.

Direct operating expenses declined approximately \$77.5 million in 2009 compared to 2008. Compensation expense declined approximately \$55.0 million primarily as a result of cost savings from the restructuring program. We also reclassified \$34.2 million of direct operating expenses to amortization expense related to a purchase accounting adjustment to talent contracts. Non-renewals of sports contracts resulted in a decrease of \$9.1 million while non-cash compensation decreased \$13.5 million as a result of accelerated expense taken in 2008 related to options that vested in the merger. The declines were partially offset by an increase of approximately \$9.4 million in programming expenses primarily related to new contract talent payments in our national syndication business and an increase of \$34.1 million in expense primarily associated with severance accruals related to the restructuring program. SG&A expenses decreased approximately \$249.1 million in 2009 compared to 2008, primarily from a \$43.3 million decline in marketing and promotional expenses, a \$122.9 million decline in commission and compensation expenses related to the decline in revenue and cost savings from the restructuring program, and an \$18.3 million decline in bad debt expense. Non-cash compensation decreased \$16.0 million as a result of accelerated expense taken in 2008 on options that vested in the merger.

Depreciation and amortization increased approximately \$108.4 million in 2009 compared to 2008, primarily as a result of additional amortization associated with the purchase accounting adjustments to intangible assets acquired in the merger.

**Americas Outdoor Advertising Results of Operations**

Our Americas outdoor advertising operating results were as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Years Ended December 31,		% Change
	2009 Post-Merger	2008 Combined	
Revenue	\$ 1,238,171	\$ 1,430,258	(13%)
Direct operating expenses	608,078	647,526	(6%)
SG&A expenses	202,196	252,889	(20%)
Depreciation and amortization	210,280	207,633	1%
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>\$ 217,617</b>	<b>\$ 322,210</b>	<b>(32%)</b>

Our Americas revenue decreased approximately \$192.1 million in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily driven by declines in bulletin, poster and transit revenues due to cancellations and non-renewals from larger national advertisers resulting from the overall weakness in advertising and the economy. The decline in bulletin, poster and transit revenues was also impacted by a decline in rate compared to 2008.

**Table of Contents**

Our Americas direct operating expenses decreased \$39.4 million in 2009 compared to 2008, primarily from a \$25.3 million decrease in site-lease expenses associated with cost savings from the restructuring program and the decline in revenues. This decrease was partially offset by \$5.7 million related to the restructuring program. Our SG&A expenses decreased \$50.7 million in 2009 compared to 2008, primarily from a \$26.0 million decline in compensation expense associated with the decline in revenue and cost savings from the restructuring program and a \$16.2 million decline in bad debt expense as a result of accounts collected and an improvement in the agings of our accounts receivable during the current year.

**International Outdoor Advertising Results of Operations**

Our international operating results were as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Years Ended December 31,		% Change
	2009	2008	
	Post-Merger	Combined	
Revenue	\$ 1,459,853	\$ 1,859,029	(21%)
Direct operating expenses	1,017,005	1,234,610	(18%)
SG&A expenses	282,208	353,481	(20%)
Depreciation and amortization	229,367	264,717	(13%)
Operating income (loss)	\$ (68,727)	\$ 6,221	(1205%)

Our International revenue decreased approximately \$399.2 million in 2009 compared to 2008, with approximately \$118.5 million from movements in foreign exchange. The revenue decline occurred across most countries, with the most significant decline in France of \$75.5 million due to weak advertising demand. Other countries with significant declines include the U.K. and Italy, which declined \$30.4 million and \$28.3 million, respectively, due to weak advertising markets.

Direct operating expenses decreased \$217.6 million in 2009 compared to 2008, in part due to a decrease of \$85.6 million from movements in foreign exchange. The remaining decrease in direct operating expenses was primarily attributable to a \$146.4 million decline in site lease expenses partially attributable to cost savings from the restructuring program. The decrease in direct operating expenses was partially offset by \$12.8 million related to the restructuring program and the decline in revenue. SG&A expenses decreased \$71.3 million in 2009 compared to 2008, primarily from \$23.7 million related to movements in foreign exchange, \$34.3 million related to a decline in compensation expense and a \$25.8 million decrease in administrative expenses, both partially attributable to cost savings from the restructuring program and the decline in revenue.

Depreciation and amortization decreased \$35.4 million in 2009 compared to 2008, primarily related to a \$43.2 million decrease in depreciation expense associated with the impairment of assets during the fourth quarter of 2008 and a \$20.6 million decrease from movements in foreign exchange. The decrease was partially offset by \$31.9 million related to additional amortization associated with the purchase accounting adjustments to the acquired intangible assets.

**Reconciliation of Segment Operating Income (Loss)**

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Years Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
	Post-Merger	Combined
Radio Broadcasting	\$ 639,854	\$ 979,121
Americas Outdoor Advertising	217,617	322,210
International Outdoor Advertising	(68,727)	6,221
Other	(43,963)	(31,419)
Impairment charges	(4,118,924)	(5,268,858)
Other operating income (expense) - net	(50,837)	28,032
Merger expenses		(155,769)

Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

Corporate	(262,166)	(245,915)
Consolidated operating income (loss)	\$ (3,687,146)	\$ (4,366,377)

**Table of Contents****THE COMPARISON OF YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008 TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007 IS AS FOLLOWS:**

(In thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		% Change
	2008 Combined	2007 Pre-Merger	
Revenue	\$ 6,688,683	\$ 6,921,202	(3%)
Operating expenses:			
Direct operating expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	2,904,444	2,733,004	6%
Selling, general and administrative expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	1,829,246	1,761,939	4%
Depreciation and amortization	696,830	566,627	23%
Corporate expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	227,945	181,504	26%
Merger expenses	155,769	6,762	
Impairment charges	5,268,858		
Other operating income - net	28,032	14,113	
Operating income (loss)	(4,366,377)	1,685,479	
Interest expense	928,978	451,870	
Gain (loss) on marketable securities	(82,290)	6,742	
Equity in earnings of nonconsolidated affiliates	100,019	35,176	
Other income - net	126,393	5,326	
Income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations	(5,151,233)	1,280,853	
Income tax benefit (expense):			
Current	49,449	(252,910)	
Deferred	474,591	(188,238)	
Income tax benefit (expense)	524,040	(441,148)	
Income (loss) before discontinued operations	(4,627,193)	839,705	
Income from discontinued operations, net	638,391	145,833	
Consolidated net income (loss)	(3,988,802)	985,538	
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest	16,671	47,031	
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ (4,005,473)	\$ 938,507	

**Consolidated Results of Operations****Revenue**

Our consolidated revenue decreased \$232.5 million during 2008 compared to 2007. Revenue growth during the first nine months of 2008 was offset by a decline of \$254.0 million in the fourth quarter. Revenue declined \$264.7 million during 2008 compared to 2007 from our radio business associated with decreases in both local and national advertising. Our Americas outdoor revenue also declined approximately \$54.8 million attributable to decreases in poster and bulletin revenues associated with cancellations and non-renewals from major national advertisers. The declines were partially offset by an increase from our international outdoor revenue of approximately \$62.3 million, with roughly \$60.4 million from movements in foreign exchange.

**Direct Operating Expenses**

Our consolidated direct operating expenses increased approximately \$171.4 million during 2008 compared to 2007. Our international outdoor business contributed \$90.3 million to the increase primarily from an increase in site-lease expenses and \$39.5 million related to movements in foreign exchange. Our Americas outdoor business contributed \$57.0 million to the increase primarily from new contracts. These increases were

Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

partially offset by a decline in direct operating expenses in our radio segment of approximately \$3.6 million related to a decline in programming expenses.



## **Table of Contents**

### ***SG&A Expenses***

Our SG&A expenses increased approximately \$67.3 million during 2008 compared to 2007. Approximately \$48.3 million of this increase occurred during the fourth quarter primarily as a result of an increase in severance. Our international outdoor business contributed approximately \$41.9 million to the increase primarily from movements in foreign exchange of \$11.2 million and an increase in severance in 2008 associated with the restructuring program of approximately \$20.1 million. Our Americas outdoor SG&A expenses increased approximately \$26.4 million largely from increased bad debt expense of \$15.5 million and an increase in severance in 2008 associated with the restructuring program of \$4.5 million. SG&A expenses in our radio business decreased approximately \$7.5 million primarily from reduced marketing and promotional expenses and a decline in commissions associated with the decline in revenues, partially offset by increase in severance in 2008 associated with the restructuring program of approximately \$32.6 million.

### ***Depreciation and Amortization***

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$130.2 million in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to \$86.0 million in additional depreciation and amortization associated with the preliminary purchase accounting adjustments to the acquired assets, \$29.3 million of accelerated depreciation in our Americas and International outdoor segments from billboards that were removed and approximately \$11.3 million related to impaired advertising display contracts in our international segment.

### ***Corporate Expenses***

The increase in corporate expenses of \$46.4 million in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily relates to a \$16.7 million increase in non-cash compensation related to awards that vested at closing of the merger, a \$6.3 million management fee to the Sponsors in connection with the management and advisory services provided following the merger, and \$6.2 million related to outside professional services.

### ***Merger Expenses***

Merger expenses for 2008 were \$155.8 million and include accounting, investment banking, legal and other expenses.

### ***Impairment Charge***

The global economic downturn has adversely affected advertising revenues across our businesses in recent months. As discussed above, we performed an impairment test in the fourth quarter of 2008 and recognized a non-cash impairment charge to our indefinite-lived intangible assets and goodwill of \$5.3 billion.

### ***Other Operating Income - Net***

The \$28.0 million income for 2008 consists of a gain of \$3.3 million from the sale of sports broadcasting rights, a \$7.0 million gain on the disposition of a representation contract, a \$4.0 million gain on the sale of property, plant and equipment, a \$1.7 million gain on the sale of international street furniture and \$9.6 million from the favorable settlement of a lawsuit. The \$14.1 million income in 2007 related primarily to \$8.9 million gain from the sale of street furniture assets and land in our international outdoor segment as well as \$3.4 million from the disposition of assets in our radio segment.

### ***Interest Expense***

The increase in interest expense for 2008 over 2007 is the result of the increase in our average debt outstanding after the merger. Our outstanding debt was \$19.5 billion and \$6.6 billion at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

### ***Gain (Loss) on Marketable Securities***

During the fourth quarter of 2008, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge to certain available-for-sale securities. The fair value of these available-for-sale securities was below their cost each month subsequent to the closing of the merger. As a result, we considered the guidance in ASC 320-10-S99 and reviewed the length of the time and the extent to which the market value was less than cost and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. After this assessment, we concluded that the impairment was other than temporary and recorded a \$116.6 million impairment charge. This loss was partially offset by a net gain of \$27.0 million recorded in the second quarter of 2008 on the unwinding of our secured forward exchange contracts and the sale of our AMT shares.



---

## **Table of Contents**

The \$6.7 million gain on marketable securities for 2007 primarily related to changes in fair value of our shares of AMT and the related forward exchange contracts.

### ***Equity in Earnings of Non-consolidated Affiliates***

Equity in earnings of nonconsolidated affiliates increased \$64.8 million in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily from a \$75.6 million gain recognized in the first quarter 2008 on the sale of our 50% interest in Clear Channel Independent, a South African outdoor advertising company. We also recognized a gain of \$9.2 million on the disposition of 20% of Grupo ACIR. These gains were partially offset by a \$9.0 million impairment charge to one of our international outdoor equity method investments and declines in equity in income from our investments in certain international radio broadcasting companies as well as the loss of equity in earnings from the disposition of Clear Channel Independent.

### ***Other Income Net***

Other income of \$126.4 million in 2008 relates to an aggregate gain of \$124.5 million on the fourth quarter 2008 tender of certain of our outstanding notes, a \$29.3 million foreign exchange gain on translating short-term intercompany notes, an \$8.0 million dividend received, partially offset by a \$29.8 million loss on the third quarter 2008 tender of certain of our outstanding notes and a \$4.7 million impairment of our investment in a radio partnership and \$0.9 million of various other items.

Other income of \$5.3 million in 2007 primarily relates to a foreign exchange gain on translating short-term intercompany notes.

### ***Income Taxes***

Current tax expense for 2008 decreased \$302.4 million compared to 2007 primarily due to a decrease in income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations of \$1.2 billion which excludes the non-tax deductible impairment charge of \$5.3 billion recorded in 2008. In addition, current tax benefits of approximately \$74.6 million were recorded during 2008 related to the termination of our cross currency swap. Also, we recognized additional tax depreciation deductions as a result of the bonus depreciation provisions enacted as part of the Economic Stimulus Act of 2008. These current tax benefits were partially offset by additional current tax expense recorded in 2008 related to currently non deductible transaction costs as a result of the merger.

The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2008 decreased to 10.2% as compared to 34.4% for the year ended December 31, 2007, primarily due to the impairment charge that resulted in a \$5.3 billion decrease in income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations and tax benefits of approximately \$648.2 million. Partially offsetting this decrease to the effective rate were tax benefits recorded as a result of the release of valuation allowances on the capital loss carryforwards that were used to offset the taxable gain from the disposition of our investment in AMT and Grupo ACIR. Additionally, we sold our 50% interest in Clear Channel Independent in 2008, which was structured as a tax free disposition. The sale resulted in a gain of \$75.6 million with no current tax expense. Further, in 2008 valuation allowances were recorded on certain net operating losses generated during the period that were not able to be carried back to prior years. Due to the lack of earnings history as a merged company and limitations on net operating loss carryback claims allowed, we cannot rely on future earnings and carryback claims as a means to realize deferred tax assets which may arise as a result of future period net operating losses. Pursuant to the provision of ASC 740-10, deferred tax valuation allowances would be required on those deferred tax assets.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, deferred tax expense decreased \$662.8 million as compared to 2007 primarily due to the impairment charge recorded in 2008 related to the tax deductible intangibles. This decrease was partially offset by increases in deferred tax expense in 2008 related to recording of valuation allowances on certain net operating losses as well as the termination of the cross currency swap and the additional tax depreciation deductions as a result of the bonus depreciation provisions enacted as part of the Economic Stimulus Act of 2008 mentioned above.

### ***Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations***

Income from discontinued operations of \$638.4 million recorded during 2008 primarily relates to a gain of \$631.9 million, net of tax, related to the sale of our television business and the sale of radio stations.

**Table of Contents****Radio Broadcasting Results of Operations**

Our radio broadcasting operating results were as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Years Ended December 31,		
	2008 Combined	2007 Pre-Merger	% Change
Revenue	\$ 3,293,874	\$ 3,558,534	(7%)
Direct operating expenses	979,324	982,966	(0%)
SG&A expenses	1,182,607	1,190,083	(1%)
Depreciation and amortization	152,822	107,466	42%
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>\$ 979,121</b>	<b>\$ 1,278,019</b>	<b>(23%)</b>

Our radio broadcasting revenue declined approximately \$264.7 million during 2008 compared to 2007, with approximately 43% of the decline occurring during the fourth quarter. Our local revenues were down \$205.6 million in 2008 compared to 2007. National revenues declined as well. Both local and national revenues were down as a result of overall weakness in advertising. Our radio revenue experienced declines across advertising categories including automotive, retail and entertainment advertising categories. For the year ended December 31, 2008, our total minutes sold and average minute rate declined compared to 2007.

Direct operating expenses declined approximately \$3.6 million. Decreases in programming expenses of approximately \$21.2 million from our radio markets were partially offset by an increase in programming expenses of approximately \$16.3 million in our national syndication business. The increase in programming expenses in our national syndication business was mostly related to contract talent payments. SG&A expenses decreased approximately \$7.5 million primarily from reduced marketing and promotional expenses and a decline in commission expenses associated with the revenue decline. Partially offsetting the decline in SG&A expenses was an increase in severance in 2008 associated with the restructuring program of approximately \$32.6 million and an increase in bad debt expense of approximately \$17.3 million.

Depreciation and amortization increased approximately \$45.4 million mostly as a result of additional amortization associated with the preliminary purchase accounting adjustments to the acquired intangible assets.

**Americas Outdoor Advertising Results of Operations**

Our Americas outdoor advertising operating results were as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Years Ended December 31,		
	2008 Combined	2007 Pre-Merger	% Change
Revenue	\$ 1,430,258	\$ 1,485,058	(4%)
Direct operating expenses	647,526	590,563	10%
SG&A expenses	252,889	226,448	12%
Depreciation and amortization	207,633	189,853	9%
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>\$ 322,210</b>	<b>\$ 478,194</b>	<b>(33%)</b>

Revenue decreased approximately \$54.8 million during 2008 compared to 2007, with the entire decline occurring in the fourth quarter. Driving the decline was approximately \$87.4 million attributable to poster and bulletin revenues associated with cancellations and non-renewals from major national advertisers, partially offset by an increase of \$46.2 million in airport revenues, digital display revenues and street furniture revenues. Also impacting the decline in bulletin revenue was decreased occupancy while the decline in poster revenue was affected by a decrease in both occupancy and rate. The increase in airport and street furniture revenues was primarily driven by new contracts while digital display revenue growth was primarily the result of an increase in the number of digital displays. Other miscellaneous revenues also declined approximately \$13.6 million.

## Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

Our Americas direct operating expenses increased \$57.0 million primarily from higher site-lease expenses of \$45.2 million primarily attributable to new taxi, airport and street furniture contracts and an increase of \$2.4 million in severance. Our SG&A expenses increased \$26.4 million largely from increased bad debt expense of \$15.5 million and an increase of \$4.5 million in severance in 2008 associated with our restructuring program.

**Table of Contents**

Depreciation and amortization increased approximately \$17.8 million mostly as a result of \$6.6 million related to additional depreciation and amortization associated with preliminary purchase accounting adjustments to the acquired assets and \$11.3 million of accelerated depreciation from billboards that were removed.

**International Outdoor Advertising Results of Operations**

Our international operating results were as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Years Ended December 31,		% Change
	2008 Combined	2007 Pre-Merger	
Revenue	\$ 1,859,029	\$ 1,796,778	3%
Direct operating expenses	1,234,610	1,144,282	8%
SG&A expenses	353,481	311,546	13%
Depreciation and amortization	264,717	209,630	26%
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>\$ 6,221</b>	<b>\$ 131,320</b>	<b>(95%)</b>

Revenue increased approximately \$62.3 million, with roughly \$60.4 million from movements in foreign exchange. The remaining revenue growth was primarily attributable to growth in China, Turkey and Romania, partially offset by revenue declines in France and the United Kingdom. China and Turkey benefited from strong advertising environments. We acquired operations in Romania at the end of the second quarter of 2007, which also contributed to revenue growth in 2008. The decline in France was primarily driven by the loss of a contract to advertise on railways and the decline in the United Kingdom was primarily driven by weak advertising demand.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, revenue declined approximately \$88.6 million compared to the fourth quarter of 2007, of which approximately \$51.8 million was attributable to movements in foreign exchange and the remainder primarily the result of a decline in advertising demand.

Direct operating expenses increased \$90.3 million. Included in the increase is approximately \$39.5 million related to movements in foreign exchange. The remaining increase in direct operating expenses was driven by an increase in site-lease expenses. SG&A expenses increased \$41.9 million in 2008 over 2007 with approximately \$11.2 million related to movements in foreign exchange and \$20.1 million related to severance in 2008 associated with the restructuring program.

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased \$55.1 million with \$18.8 million related to additional depreciation and amortization associated with the preliminary purchase accounting adjustments to the acquired assets, approximately \$18.0 million related to an increase in accelerated depreciation from billboards to be removed, approximately \$11.3 million related to impaired advertising display contracts and \$4.9 million related to an increase from movements in foreign exchange.

**Reconciliation of Segment Operating Income (Loss)**

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Years Ended December 31,	
	2008 Combined	2007 Pre-Merger
Radio Broadcasting	\$ 979,121	\$ 1,278,019
Americas Outdoor Advertising	322,210	478,194
International Outdoor Advertising	6,221	131,320
Other	(31,419)	(11,659)
Impairment charges	(5,268,858)	
Other operating income - net	28,032	14,113
Merger expenses	(155,769)	(6,762)
Corporate	(245,915)	(197,746)

Consolidated operating income (loss)	\$ (4,366,377)	\$ 1,685,479
--------------------------------------	----------------	--------------

**Table of Contents****Share-Based Payments**

We do not have any compensation plans under which we grant stock awards to employees. Our employees receive equity awards from CCMH's equity incentive plans. Prior to the merger, we granted options to purchase our common stock to our employees and directors and our affiliates under our various equity incentive plans typically at no less than the fair value of the underlying stock on the date of the grant.

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$83.9 million of unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures, related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements that will vest based on service conditions. This cost is expected to be recognized over three years. In addition, as of December 31, 2009, there was \$80.2 million of unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures, related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements that will vest based on market, performance and service conditions. This cost will be recognized when it becomes probable that the performance condition will be satisfied.

Vesting of certain Clear Channel stock options and restricted stock awards was accelerated upon the closing of the merger. As a result, holders of stock options, other than certain executive officers and holders of certain options that could not, by their terms, be cancelled prior to their stated expiration date, received cash or, if elected, an amount of CCMH's stock, in each case equal to the intrinsic value of the awards based on a market price of \$36.00 per share while holders of restricted stock awards received, with respect to each share of restricted stock, \$36.00 per share in cash or, if elected, a share of CCMH stock. Approximately \$39.2 million of share-based compensation was recognized in the 2008 pre-merger period as a result of the accelerated vesting of stock options and restricted stock awards and is included in the table below.

The following table details compensation costs related to share-based payments for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

<i>(In millions)</i>	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009 Post-Merger	2008 Combined	2007 Pre-Merger
<b>Radio Broadcasting</b>			
Direct operating expenses	\$ 3.8	\$ 17.2	\$ 10.0
SG&A expenses	4.5	20.6	12.2
<b>Americas Outdoor Advertising</b>			
Direct operating expenses	\$ 5.7	\$ 6.3	\$ 5.7
SG&A expenses	2.2	2.1	2.2
<b>International Outdoor Advertising</b>			
Direct operating expenses	\$ 1.9	\$ 1.7	\$ 1.2
SG&A expenses	0.6	0.4	0.5
Corporate and other expenses	\$ 21.1	\$ 30.3	\$ 12.2
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 39.8</b>	<b>\$ 78.6</b>	<b>\$ 44.0</b>



**Table of Contents****Liquidity and Capital Resources****Cash Flows**

(In thousands)

	Year ended December 31, 2009 Post-Merger	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008 Post-Merger	Period from January 1 to July 30, 2008 Pre-Merger	2008 Combined	Year ended December 31, 2007 Pre-Merger
Cash provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	\$ 181,175	\$ 246,026	\$ 1,035,258	\$ 1,281,284	\$ 1,576,428
Investing activities	\$ (141,749)	\$ (17,711,703)	\$ (416,251)	\$ (18,127,954)	\$ (482,677)
Financing activities	\$ 1,604,722	\$ 17,554,739	\$ (1,646,941)	\$ 15,907,798	\$ (1,431,014)
Discontinued operations	\$	\$ 2,429	\$ 1,031,141	\$ 1,033,570	\$ 366,411

**Operating Activities****2009**

The decline in cash flow from operations in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily driven by a 17% decline in consolidated revenues associated with the weak economy and challenging advertising markets and a 62% increase in interest expense to service our debt obligations. Other factors contributing to our operating cash flow include a consolidated net loss of \$4.0 billion adjusted for non-cash impairment charges of \$4.1 billion related to goodwill and intangible assets, depreciation and amortization of \$765.5 million and \$229.5 million related to the amortization of debt issuance costs and accretion of fair value adjustments related to existing senior notes in the purchase accounting for the merger. In addition, we recorded a \$713.0 million gain on the extinguishment of debt discussed further in the *Debt Repurchases, Tender Offers, Maturities and Other* section within this MD&A and deferred taxes of \$417.2 million. We also recorded a \$20.7 million loss in equity of nonconsolidated affiliates primarily due to a \$22.9 million non-cash impairment of equity investments in our International segment.

**2008**

Cash provided by operating activities for 2008 primarily reflects a net loss before discontinued operations of \$4.6 billion adjusted for non-cash impairment charges of \$5.3 billion related to goodwill and intangible assets, depreciation and amortization of \$696.8 million and \$106.4 million related to the amortization of debt issuance costs and accretion of fair value adjustments made to existing senior notes in the purchase accounting for the merger. In addition, we recorded a deferred tax benefit of \$474.6 million that was partially offset by share-based compensation of \$78.6 million. In addition, we recorded \$100.0 million in equity in earnings primarily related to a \$75.6 million gain in equity in earnings of nonconsolidated affiliates related to the sale of its 50% interest in Clear Channel Independent, a South African outdoor company, based on the fair value of the equity securities received. We also recorded a net gain of \$27.0 million on the termination of our secured forward sales contracts and sale of our AMT shares.

**2007**

Net cash flow from operating activities during 2007 primarily reflected income before discontinued operations of \$839.7 million plus depreciation and amortization of \$566.6 million and deferred taxes of \$188.2 million.

**Investing Activities****2009**

In 2009, we spent \$41.9 million for non-revenue producing capital expenditures in our Radio segment. We spent \$84.4 million in our Americas segment for the purchase of property, plant and equipment mostly related to the construction of new billboards and \$91.5 million in our International segment for the purchase of property, plant and equipment related to new billboard and street furniture contracts and renewals of existing contracts. We received proceeds of \$41.6 million primarily related to the sale of our remaining investment in Grupo ACIR. In addition, we received proceeds of \$48.8 million primarily related to the disposition of radio stations and corporate assets.

2008

Cash used in investing activities during 2008 principally reflects cash used in the acquisition of \$17.5 billion. In 2008, we spent \$61.5 million for non-revenue producing capital expenditures in our Radio segment. We spent \$175.8 million in our Americas segment for the purchase of property, plant and equipment mostly related to the construction of new billboards and \$182.5 million in

---

## **Table of Contents**

our International segment for the purchase of property, plant and equipment related to new billboard and street furniture contracts and renewals of existing contracts. We spent \$177.1 million primarily for the purchase of outdoor display faces and additional equity interest in international outdoor companies, representation contracts and two FCC licenses. In addition, we received proceeds of \$38.6 million primarily from the sale of radio stations, \$41.5 million related to the sale of Americas and International assets and \$9.6 million related to a litigation settlement.

2007

Net cash used in investing activities during 2007 principally reflects the purchase of property, plant and equipment of \$363.3 million. We spent \$79.7 million for non-revenue producing capital expenditures in our Radio segment. We spent \$142.8 million in our Americas segment for the purchase of property, plant and equipment mostly related to the construction of new billboards and \$132.9 million in our International segment for the purchase of property, plant and equipment related to new billboard and street furniture contracts and renewals of existing contracts. During 2007, we acquired domestic outdoor display faces and additional equity interests in international outdoor companies for \$69.1 million. In addition, our national representation business acquired representation contracts for \$53.0 million.

## **Financing Activities**

2009

Cash provided by financing activities during 2009 primarily reflects a draw of remaining availability of \$1.6 billion under our \$2.0 billion revolving credit facility and \$2.5 billion of proceeds from issuance of subsidiary senior notes, offset by the \$2.0 billion paydown of our senior secured credit facilities. We also redeemed the remaining principal amount of our 4.25% senior notes at maturity with a draw under the \$500.0 million delayed draw term loan facility that is specifically designated for this purpose as discussed in the *Debt Repurchases, Tender Offers, Maturities and Other* section within this MD&A. Our wholly-owned subsidiaries, CC Finco and CC Finco II, LLC, together repurchased certain of our outstanding senior notes for \$343.5 million as discussed in the *Debt Repurchases, Tender Offers, Maturities and Other* section within this MD&A. In addition, during 2009, our Americas Outdoor segment purchased the remaining 15% interest in our fully consolidated subsidiary, Paneles Napsa S.A., for \$13.0 million and our International Outdoor segment acquired an additional 5% interest in our fully consolidated subsidiary, Clear Channel Jolly Pubblicita SPA, for \$12.1 million.

2008

Cash used in financing activities during 2008 primarily reflects \$15.4 billion in debt proceeds used to finance the acquisition and an equity contribution of \$2.1 billion to finance the merger. Also included in financing activities is \$1.9 billion related to the redemption of our 4.625% senior notes due 2008 and 6.625% senior notes due 2008 at their maturity, the redemption of and cash tender offer for AMFM Operating Inc.'s 8% senior notes due 2008, and the cash tender offer and consent solicitation for our 7.65% senior notes due 2010. In addition, \$93.4 million relates to dividends paid.

2007

Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2007 principally reflects \$372.4 million in dividend payments and a net reduction in debt of approximately \$1.1 billion. Cash used in financing was partially offset by the proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$80.0 million.

## **Discontinued Operations**

During 2008, we completed the sale of our television business to Newport Television, LLC for \$1.0 billion and completed the sales of certain radio stations for \$110.5 million. The cash received from these sales was recorded as a component of cash flows from discontinued operations during 2008.

The proceeds from the sale of 160 stations in 2007 are classified as cash flows from discontinued operations in 2007.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Anticipated Cash Requirements**

Our primary source of liquidity is cash flow from operations, which has been adversely affected by the global economic downturn. The risks associated with our businesses become more acute in periods of a slowing economy or recession, which may be accompanied by a decrease in advertising. Expenditures by advertisers tend to be cyclical, reflecting overall economic conditions and budgeting and buying patterns. The current global economic downturn has resulted in a decline in advertising and marketing services among our customers, resulting in a decline in advertising revenues across our businesses. This reduction in advertising revenues has had an adverse effect on our revenue, profit margins, cash flow and liquidity. A continuation of the global economic downturn may continue to adversely impact our revenue, profit margins, cash flow and liquidity.

Our ability to fund our working capital needs, debt service and other obligations, and to comply with the financial covenant under our financing agreements depends on our future operating performance and cash flow, which are in turn subject to prevailing economic conditions and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. If our future operating performance does not meet our expectation or our plans materially change in an adverse manner or prove to be materially inaccurate, we may need additional financing. Consequently, there can be no assurance that such financing, if permitted under the terms of our financing agreements, will be available on terms acceptable to us or at all. The inability to obtain additional financing in such circumstances could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and on our ability to meet our obligations.

Based on our current and anticipated levels of operations and conditions in our markets, we believe that cash on hand (including amounts drawn or available under our senior secured credit facilities) as well as cash flow from operations will enable us to meet our working capital, capital expenditure, debt service and other funding requirements for at least the next 12 months.

We expect to be in compliance with the covenants contained in our material financing agreements, including the subsidiary senior notes, in 2010, including the maximum consolidated senior secured net debt to adjusted EBITDA limitation contained in our senior secured credit facilities. However, our anticipated results are subject to significant uncertainty and our ability to comply with this limitation may be affected by events beyond our control, including prevailing economic, financial and industry conditions. The breach of any covenants set forth in our financing agreements would result in a default thereunder. An event of default would permit the lenders under a defaulted financing agreement to declare all indebtedness thereunder to be due and payable prior to maturity. Moreover, the lenders under the revolving credit facility under our senior secured credit facilities would have the option to terminate their commitments to make further extensions of revolving credit thereunder. If we are unable to repay our obligations under any secured credit facility, the lenders could proceed against any assets that were pledged to secure such facility. In addition, a default or acceleration under any of our material financing agreements, including the subsidiary senior notes, could cause a default under other of our obligations that are subject to cross-default and cross-acceleration provisions. The threshold amount for a cross-default under the senior secured credit facilities is \$100 million dollars.

Our and CCMH's current corporate ratings are CCC+ and Caa2 by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services and Moody's Investors Service, respectively, which are speculative grade ratings. These ratings have been downgraded and then upgraded at various times during the two years ended December 31, 2009. These adjustments had no impact on our borrowing costs under the credit agreements.

**Table of Contents****Sources of Capital**

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had the following indebtedness outstanding:

<i>(In millions)</i>	Post-Merger December 31, 2009	Post-Merger December 31, 2008
<b>Senior Secured Credit Facilities:</b>		
Term Loan A Facility	\$ 1,127.7	\$ 1,331.5
Term Loan B Facility	9,061.9	10,700.0
Term Loan C Asset Sale Facility	695.9	695.9
Delayed Draw Term Loan Facilities	874.4	532.5
Receivables Based Facility	355.7	445.6
Revolving Credit Facility <sup>(1)</sup>	1,812.5	220.0
Secured Subsidiary Debt	5.2	6.6
<b>Total Secured Debt</b>	<b>13,933.3</b>	<b>13,932.1</b>
Senior Cash Pay Notes	796.3	980.0
Senior Toggle Notes	915.2	1,330.0
Clear Channel Senior Notes <sup>(2)</sup>	2,479.5	3,192.3
Subsidiary Senior Notes	2,500.0	
Clear Channel Subsidiary Debt	77.7	69.3
<b>Total Debt</b>	<b>20,702.0</b>	<b>19,503.7</b>
Less: Cash and cash equivalents	1,884.0	239.8
	<b>\$ 18,818.0</b>	<b>\$ 19,263.9</b>

(1) In February 2009, we borrowed the approximately \$1.6 billion of remaining availability under this facility.

(2) Includes \$788.1 million and \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, in unamortized fair value purchase accounting discounts related to the merger.

We and our subsidiaries have from time to time repurchased certain of our debt obligations and may in the future, as part of various financing and investment strategies we may elect to pursue, purchase additional outstanding indebtedness of ours or our subsidiaries or outstanding equity securities of Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings, Inc., in tender offers, open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. We may also sell certain assets or properties and use the proceeds to reduce our indebtedness or the indebtedness of our subsidiaries. These purchases or sales, if any, could have a material positive or negative impact on our liquidity available to repay outstanding debt obligations or on our consolidated results of operations. These transactions could also require or result in amendments to the agreements governing outstanding debt obligations or changes in our leverage or other financial ratios, which could have a material positive or negative impact on our ability to comply with the covenants contained in our debt agreements. These transactions, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

*Senior Secured Credit Facilities*

Borrowings under the senior secured credit facilities bear interest at a rate equal to an applicable margin plus, at our option, either (i) a base rate determined by reference to the higher of (A) the prime lending rate publicly announced by the administrative agent and (B) the Federal funds effective rate from time to time plus 0.50%, or (ii) a Eurocurrency rate determined by reference to the costs of funds for deposits for the interest period relevant to such borrowing adjusted for certain additional costs.

The margin percentages applicable to the term loan facilities and revolving credit facility are the following percentages per annum:

with respect to loans under the term loan A facility and the revolving credit facility, (i) 2.40% in the case of base rate loans and (ii) 3.40% in the case of Eurocurrency rate loans subject to downward adjustments if our leverage ratio of total debt to EBITDA (as calculated in accordance with the senior secured credit facilities) decreases below 7 to 1; and with respect to loans under the term loan B facility, term loan C - asset sale facility and delayed draw term loan facilities, (i) 2.65%, in the case of base rate loans and (ii) 3.65%, in the case of Eurocurrency rate loans subject to downward adjustments if our leverage ratio of total debt to EBITDA decreases below 7 to 1.

---

**Table of Contents**

We are required to pay each revolving credit lender a commitment fee in respect of any unused commitments under the revolving credit facility, which is 0.50% per annum, subject to downward adjustments if our leverage ratio of total debt to EBITDA decreases below 4 to 1. We are required to pay each delayed draw term facility lender a commitment fee in respect of any undrawn commitments under the delayed draw term facilities, which initially is 1.825% per annum until the delayed draw term facilities are fully drawn or commitments thereunder terminated.

The senior secured credit facilities include two delayed draw term loan facilities. The first is a \$589.8 million facility which may be drawn to purchase or redeem our outstanding 7.65% senior notes due 2010, of which \$451.0 million was drawn as of December 31, 2009, and a \$423.4 million facility which was drawn to redeem our outstanding 4.25% senior notes in May 2009.

The senior secured credit facilities require us to prepay outstanding term loans, subject to certain exceptions, with:

50% (which percentage will be reduced to 25% and to 0% based upon our leverage ratio) of our annual excess cash flow (as calculated in accordance with the senior secured credit facilities), less any voluntary prepayments of term loans and revolving credit loans (to the extent accompanied by a permanent reduction of the commitment) and subject to customary credits;

100% (which percentage will be reduced to 75% and 50% based upon our leverage ratio) of the net cash proceeds of sales or other dispositions by us or our wholly-owned restricted subsidiaries (including casualty and condemnation events) of assets other than specified assets subject to reinvestment rights and certain other exceptions; and

100% of the net cash proceeds of any incurrence of certain debt, other than debt permitted under the senior secured credit facilities.

The foregoing prepayments with the net cash proceeds of certain incurrences of debt and annual excess cash flow will be applied (i) first to the term loans other than the term loan C - asset sale facility loans (on a pro rata basis) and (ii) second to the term loan C - asset sale facility loans, in each case to the remaining installments thereof in direct order of maturity. The foregoing prepayments with the net cash proceeds of the sale of assets (including casualty and condemnation events) will be applied (i) first to the term loan C - asset sale facility loans and (ii) second to the other term loans (on a pro rata basis), in each case to the remaining installments thereof in direct order of maturity.

We may voluntarily repay outstanding loans under our senior secured credit facilities at any time without premium or penalty, other than customary breakage costs with respect to Eurocurrency rate loans.

We are required to repay the loans under our term loan facilities, after giving effect to the December 2009 prepayment of \$2.0 billion of term loans with proceeds from the issuance of subsidiary senior notes discussed elsewhere in this MD&A, as follows:

the term loan A facility will amortize in quarterly installments commencing on the third interest payment date after the fourth anniversary of the closing date of the merger, in annual amounts equal to 4.7% of the original funded principal amount of such facility in year four, 10% thereafter, with the balance being payable on the final maturity date (July 2014) of such term loans; and

the term loan B facility and the delayed draw facilities will be payable in full on the final maturity date (January 2016) of such term loans; and

the term loan C facility will amortize in quarterly installments on the first interest payment date after the third anniversary of the closing date of the merger, in annual amounts equal to 2.5% of the original funded principal amount of such facilities in years four and five and 1% thereafter, with the balance being payable on the final maturity date (January 2016) of such term loans.

We are required to repay all borrowings under the receivables based facility and the revolving credit facility at their final maturity in July 2014.

The senior secured credit facilities are guaranteed by each of our existing and future material wholly-owned domestic restricted subsidiaries, subject to certain exceptions.

---

**Table of Contents**

All obligations under the senior secured credit facilities, and the guarantees of those obligations, are secured, subject to permitted liens and other exceptions, by:

- a first-priority lien on the our capital stock;
- 100% of the capital stock of any future material wholly-owned domestic license subsidiary that is not a Restricted Subsidiary under the indenture governing our senior notes;
- certain assets that do not constitute principal property (as defined in the indenture governing our senior notes);
- certain assets that constitute principal property (as defined in the indenture governing our senior notes) securing obligations under the senior secured credit facilities up to the maximum amount permitted to be secured by such assets without requiring equal and ratable security under the indenture governing our senior notes; and
- a second-priority lien on the accounts receivable and related assets securing our receivables based credit facility.

The obligations of any foreign subsidiaries that are borrowers under the revolving credit facility will also be guaranteed by certain of their material wholly-owned restricted subsidiaries, and secured by substantially all assets of all such borrowers and guarantors, subject to permitted liens and other exceptions.

The senior secured credit facilities require us to comply on a quarterly basis with a maximum consolidated senior secured net debt to adjusted EBITDA ratio (maximum of 9.5:1). This financial covenant becomes more restrictive over time beginning in the second quarter of 2013. Our secured debt consists of the senior secured credit facilities, the receivables based credit facility and certain other secured subsidiary debt. Secured leverage, defined as secured debt, net of cash, divided by the trailing 12-month consolidated EBITDA was 7.4:1 at December 31, 2009. Our consolidated adjusted EBITDA of \$1.6 billion is calculated as the trailing twelve months operating income before depreciation, amortization, impairment charge, other operating income (expense) net, all as shown on the consolidated statement of operations plus non-cash compensation, and is further adjusted for certain items, including: (i) an increase for expected cost savings (limited to \$100.0 million in any twelve month period) of \$100.0 million; (ii) an increase of \$20.9 million for cash received from nonconsolidated affiliates; (iii) an increase of \$24.6 million for non-cash items; (iv) an increase of \$164.4 million related to expenses incurred associated with our cost savings program; and (v) an increase of \$38.8 million for various other items.

In addition, the senior secured credit facilities include negative covenants that, subject to significant exceptions, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to, among other things:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- create liens on assets;
- engage in mergers, consolidations, liquidations and dissolutions;
- sell assets;
- pay dividends and distributions or repurchase its capital stock;
- make investments, loans, or advances;
- prepay certain junior indebtedness;
- engage in certain transactions with affiliates;
- amend material agreements governing certain junior indebtedness; and
- change our lines of business.

The senior secured credit facilities include certain customary representations and warranties, affirmative covenants and events of default, including payment defaults, breach of representations and warranties, covenant defaults, cross-defaults to certain indebtedness, certain events of bankruptcy, certain events under ERISA, material judgments, the invalidity of material provisions of the senior secured credit facilities documentation, the failure of collateral under the security documents for the senior secured credit facilities, the failure of the senior secured credit facilities to be senior debt under the subordination provisions of certain of our subordinated debt and a change of control. If an event of default occurs, the lenders under the senior secured credit facilities will be entitled to take various actions, including the acceleration of all amounts due under the senior secured credit facilities and all actions permitted to be taken by a secured creditor.

*Receivables Based Credit Facility*

The receivables based credit facility of \$783.5 million provides revolving credit commitments in an amount equal to the initial borrowing of \$533.5 million on the closing date plus \$250 million, subject to a borrowing base. The borrowing base at any time equals 85% of our and certain of our subsidiaries' eligible accounts receivable. The receivables based credit facility includes a letter of credit sub-facility and a swingline loan



sub-facility.

---

**Table of Contents**

Borrowings, excluding the initial borrowing, under the receivables based credit facility are subject to compliance with a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.0:1.0 if at any time excess availability under the receivables based credit facility is less than \$50 million, or if aggregate excess availability under the receivables based credit facility and revolving credit facility is less than 10% of the borrowing base.

Borrowings under the receivables based credit facility bear interest at a rate equal to an applicable margin plus, at our option, either (i) a base rate determined by reference to the higher of (A) the prime lending rate publicly announced by the administrative agent and (B) the Federal funds effective rate from time to time plus 0.50%, or (ii) a Eurocurrency rate determined by reference to the costs of funds for deposits for the interest period relevant to such borrowing adjusted for certain additional costs.

The margin percentage applicable to the receivables based credit facility which is (i) 1.40%, in the case of base rate loans and (ii) 2.40% in the case of Eurocurrency rate loans subject to downward adjustments if our leverage ratio of total debt to EBITDA decreases below 7 to 1.

We are required to pay each lender a commitment fee in respect of any unused commitments under the receivables based credit facility, which is 0.375% per annum, subject to downward adjustments if our leverage ratio of total debt to EBITDA decreases below 6 to 1.

If at any time the sum of the outstanding amounts under the receivables based credit facility (including the letter of credit outstanding amounts and swingline loans thereunder) exceeds the lesser of (i) the borrowing base and (ii) the aggregate commitments under the receivables based credit facility, we will be required to repay outstanding loans and cash collateralize letters of credit in an aggregate amount equal to such excess.

We may voluntarily repay outstanding loans under the receivables based credit facility at any time without premium or penalty, other than customary breakage costs with respect to Eurocurrency rate loans.

The receivables based credit facility is guaranteed by, subject to certain exceptions, the guarantors of the senior secured credit facilities. All obligations under the receivables based credit facility, and the guarantees of those obligations, are secured by a perfected first priority security interest in all of our and all of the guarantors' accounts receivable and related assets and proceeds thereof, subject to permitted liens and certain exceptions.

The receivables based credit facility includes negative covenants, representations, warranties, events of default, conditions precedent and termination provisions substantially similar to those governing our senior secured credit facilities.

*Senior Cash Pay Notes and Senior Toggle Notes*

We have outstanding \$796.3 million aggregate principal amount of 10.75% senior cash pay notes due 2016 and \$915.2 million aggregate principal amount of 11.00%/11.75% senior toggle notes due 2016.

The senior toggle notes mature on August 1, 2016 and may require a special redemption of up to \$30.0 million on August 1, 2015. We may elect on each interest election date to pay all or 50% of such interest on the senior toggle notes in cash or by increasing the principal amount of the senior toggle notes or by issuing new senior toggle notes (such increase or issuance, PIK Interest). Interest on the senior toggle notes payable in cash will accrue at a rate of 11.00% per annum and PIK Interest will accrue at a rate of 11.75% per annum.

On January 15, 2009, we made a permitted election under the indenture governing the senior toggle notes to pay PIK Interest under the senior toggle notes for the semi-annual interest period commencing February 1, 2009. For subsequent interest periods, we must make an election regarding whether the applicable interest payment on the senior toggle notes will be made entirely in cash, entirely through PIK Interest or 50% in cash and 50% in PIK Interest. In the absence of such an election for any interest period, interest on the senior toggle notes will be payable according to the election for the immediately preceding interest period. As a result, we are deemed to have made the PIK Interest election for future interest periods unless and until we elect otherwise.

A contractual payment to bondholders will be required on August 1, 2013. The amount included in Interest payments on long-term debt in the *Contractual Obligations* table of this MD&A assumes that we continue to make the PIK election.

---

**Table of Contents**

*Subsidiary Senior Notes*

In December 2009 Clear Channel Worldwide Holdings, Inc. ( CCWH ), an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of our publicly traded subsidiary, Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings, Inc. ( CCOH ), issued \$500.0 million aggregate principal amount of Series A Senior Notes due 2017 and \$2.0 billion aggregate principal amount of Series B Senior Notes due 2017 (collectively, the Notes ). The Notes are guaranteed by CCOH, Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. ( CCOI ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of CCOH, and certain other existing and future domestic subsidiaries of CCOH (collectively, the Guarantors ).

The Notes are senior obligations that rank pari passu in right of payment to all unsubordinated indebtedness of CCWH and the guarantees of the Notes will rank pari passu in right of payment to all unsubordinated indebtedness of the Guarantors.

The indentures governing the Notes require us to maintain at least \$100 million in cash or other liquid assets or have cash available to be borrowed under committed credit facilities consisting of (i) \$50.0 million at the issuer and guarantor entities (principally the Americas outdoor segment) and (ii) \$50.0 million at the non-guarantor subsidiaries (principally the International outdoor segment) (together the Liquidity Amount ), in each case under the sole control of the relevant entity. In the event of a bankruptcy, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization, or similar proceeding of Clear Channel Communications, Inc., for the period thereafter that is the shorter of such proceeding and 60 days, the Liquidity Amount shall be reduced to \$50.0 million, with a \$25.0 million requirement at the issuer and guarantor entities and a \$25.0 million requirement at the non-guarantor subsidiaries.

In addition, interest on the Notes accrues daily and is payable into an account established by the trustee for the benefit of the bondholders (the Trustee Account ). Failure to make daily payment on any day does not constitute an event of default so long as (a) no payment or other transfer by CCOH or any of its Subsidiaries shall have been made on such day under the cash management sweep with Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and (b) on each semiannual interest payment date the aggregate amount of funds in the Trustee Account is equal to at least the aggregate amount of accrued and unpaid interest on the Notes.

The indenture governing the Series A Notes contains covenants that limit CCOH and its restricted subsidiaries ability to, among other things:

- incur or guarantee additional debt to persons other than Clear Channel Communications and its subsidiaries (other than CCOH) or issue certain preferred stock;
- create liens on its restricted subsidiaries assets to secure such debt;
- create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to CCOH from its restricted subsidiaries that are not guarantors of the notes;
- enter into certain transactions with affiliates;
- merge or consolidate with another person, or sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of its assets;
- sell certain assets, including capital stock of its subsidiaries, to persons other than Clear Channel Communications and its subsidiaries (other than CCOH).

The indenture governing the Series A Notes does not include limitations on dividends, distributions, investments or asset sales.

The indenture governing the Series B Notes contains covenants that limit CCOH and its restricted subsidiaries ability to, among other things:

- incur or guarantee additional debt or issue certain preferred stock;
- redeem, repurchase or retire CCOH s subordinated debt;
- make certain investments;
- create liens on its or its restricted subsidiaries assets to secure debt;
- create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to it from its restricted subsidiaries that are not guarantors of the Notes;
- enter into certain transactions with affiliates;
- merge or consolidate with another person, or sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of its assets;
- sell certain assets, including capital stock of its subsidiaries;
- designate its subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries;
- pay dividends, redeem or repurchase capital stock or make other restricted payments; and



---

## **Table of Contents**

purchase or otherwise effectively cancel or retire any of the Series B Notes if after doing so the ratio of (a) the outstanding aggregate principal amount of the Series A Notes to (b) the outstanding aggregate principal amount of the Series B Notes shall be greater than 0.250. This stipulation ensures, among other things, that as long as the Series A Notes are outstanding, the Series B Notes are outstanding.

The Series B Notes indenture restricts CCOH's ability to incur additional indebtedness and pay dividends based on an incurrence test. In order to incur additional indebtedness, CCOH's debt to adjusted EBITDA ratios (as defined by the indenture) must be lower than 6.5:1 and 3.25:1 for total debt and senior debt, respectively. Similarly in order for CCOH to pay dividends from the proceeds of indebtedness or the proceeds from asset sales, its debt to adjusted EBITDA ratios (as defined by the indenture) must be lower than 6.0:1 and 3.0:1 for total debt and senior debt, respectively. If these ratios are not met, CCOH has certain exceptions that allow it to incur additional indebtedness and pay dividends, such as a \$500.0 million exception for the payment of dividends. CCOH was in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2009.

A portion of the proceeds of the Notes were used to (i) pay the fees and expenses of the Notes offering, (ii) fund \$50.0 million of the Liquidity Amount (the \$50.0 million liquidity amount of the non-guarantor subsidiaries was satisfied) and (iii) apply \$2.0 billion of the cash proceeds (which amount is equal to the aggregate principal amount of the Series B Notes) to repay an equal amount of indebtedness under our senior secured credit facilities. In accordance with the senior secured credit facilities, the \$2.0 billion cash proceeds were applied ratably to the Term Loan A, Term Loan B, and both delayed draw term loan facilities, and within each such class, such prepayment was applied to remaining scheduled installments of principal.

The balance of the proceeds is available to CCOI for general corporate purposes. In this regard, all of the remaining proceeds could be used to pay dividends from CCOI to CCOH. In turn, CCOH could declare a dividend to its shareholders of which we would receive our proportionate share. Payment of such dividends would not be prohibited by the terms of the Notes or any of the loan agreements or credit facilities of CCOI or CCOH.

## **Dispositions and Other**

During 2009, we sold six radio stations for approximately \$12.0 million and recorded a loss of \$12.8 million in Other operating income (expense) net. In addition, we exchanged radio stations in our radio markets for assets located in a different market and recognized a loss of \$28.0 million in Other operating income (expense) net.

During 2009, we sold international assets for \$11.3 million resulting in a gain of \$4.4 million in Other operating income (expense) net. In addition, we sold assets for \$6.8 million in our Americas outdoor segment and recorded a gain of \$4.9 million in Other operating income (expense) net. We sold our taxi advertising business and recorded a loss of \$20.9 million in our Americas outdoor segment included in Other operating income (expense) net. We also received proceeds of \$18.3 million from the sale of corporate assets during 2009 and recorded a loss of \$0.7 million in Other operating income (expense) net.

In addition, we sold our remaining interest in Grupo ACIR for approximately \$40.5 million and recorded a loss of approximately \$5.8 million during 2009.

During 2008, we received proceeds of \$110.5 million related to the sale of radio stations recorded as investing cash flows from discontinued operations and recorded a gain of \$28.8 million as a component of Income from discontinued operations, net during 2008. We received proceeds of \$1.0 billion related to the sale of our television business recorded as investing cash flows from discontinued operations and recorded a gain of \$662.9 million as a component of Income from discontinued operations, net.

In addition, we sold our 50% interest in Clear Channel Independent during 2008 and recognized a gain of \$75.6 million in Equity in earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates based on the fair value of the equity securities received in the pre-merger period.

We sold a portion of our investment in Grupo ACIR for approximately \$47.0 million on July 1, 2008 and recorded a gain of \$9.2 million in Equity in earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates.

**Table of Contents****Uses of Capital****Debt Repurchases, Tender Offers, Maturities and Other**

During 2009 and 2008, our wholly-owned subsidiaries, CC Finco, LLC, and CC Finco II, LLC, repurchased certain of our outstanding senior notes through open market repurchases, privately negotiated transactions and tenders as shown in the table below. Notes repurchased and held by CC Finco, LLC and CC Finco II, LLC, are eliminated in consolidation.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
CC Finco, LLC	Post-Merger	Post-Merger
Principal amount of debt repurchased	\$ 801,302	\$ 102,241
Purchase accounting adjustments <sup>(1)</sup>	(146,314)	(24,367)
Deferred loan costs and other	(1,468)	
Gain recorded in Other income (expense) net <sup>(2)</sup>	(368,591)	(53,449)
Cash paid for repurchases of long-term debt	\$ 284,929	\$ 24,425
CC Finco II, LLC		
Principal amount of debt repurchased <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 433,125	\$
Deferred loan costs and other	(813)	
Gain recorded in Other income (expense) net <sup>(2)</sup>	(373,775)	
Cash paid for repurchases of long-term debt	\$ 58,537	\$

(1) Represents unamortized fair value purchase accounting discounts recorded as a result of the merger.

(2) CC Finco, LLC, and CC Finco II, LLC, repurchased certain of our legacy notes, senior cash pay notes and senior toggle notes at a discount, resulting in a gain on the extinguishment of debt.

(3) CC Finco II, LLC immediately cancelled these notes subsequent to the purchase.

On January 15, 2008, we redeemed our 4.625% senior notes at their maturity for \$500.0 million with proceeds from our bank credit facility. On June 15, 2008, we redeemed our 6.625% senior notes at their maturity for \$125.0 million with available cash on hand.

We terminated our cross currency swaps on July 30, 2008 by paying the counterparty \$196.2 million from available cash on hand.

On August 7, 2008, we announced that we commenced a cash tender offer and consent solicitation for the outstanding \$750.0 million principal amount of 7.65% senior notes due 2010. The tender offer and consent payment expired on September 9, 2008. The aggregate principal amount of 7.65% senior notes validly tendered and accepted for payment was \$363.9 million. We recorded a \$21.8 million loss in Other income (expense) net during the pre-merger period as a result of the tender.

We repurchased \$639.2 million aggregate principal amount of the AMFM Operating Inc. 8% senior notes pursuant to a tender offer and consent solicitation in connection with the merger. The remaining 8% senior notes were redeemed at maturity on November 1, 2008. The aggregate loss on the extinguishment of debt recorded in 2008 as a result of the tender offer for the AMFM Operating Inc. 8% notes was \$8.0 million.

On November 24, 2008, we announced that we commenced another cash tender offer to purchase our outstanding 7.65% Senior Notes due 2010. The tender offer and consent payment expired on December 23, 2008. The aggregate principal amount of 7.65% senior notes validly tendered and accepted for payment was \$252.4 million. The aggregate gain on the extinguishment of debt recorded during the post-merger period as a result of the tender offer for the 7.65% senior notes due 2010 was \$74.7 million.

## Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

During the second quarter of 2009, we redeemed the remaining principal amount of our 4.25% senior notes at maturity with a draw under the \$500.0 million delayed draw term loan facility that is specifically designated for this purpose.

**Table of Contents****Dividends**

We have not paid cash dividends on our common stock since the merger and our ability to pay dividends is subject to restrictions should we seek to do so in the future. Our debt financing arrangements include restrictions on our ability to pay dividends, which in turn affects our ability to pay dividends.

Prior to the merger, we declared a \$93.4 million dividend on December 3, 2007 payable to shareholders of record on December 31, 2007 and paid on January 15, 2008.

**Capital Expenditures**

Capital expenditures were \$223.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2009. Capital expenditures on a combined basis for the year ended December 31, 2008 were \$430.5 million.

(In millions)

	Year Ended December 31, 2009				Total
	Radio	Americas Outdoor Advertising	International Outdoor Advertising	Corporate and Other	
Non-revenue producing	\$ 41.9	\$ 23.3	\$ 23.8	\$ 6.0	\$ 95.0
Revenue producing		61.1	67.7		128.8
	\$ 41.9	\$ 84.4	\$ 91.5	\$ 6.0	\$ 223.8

**Acquisitions**

During 2009, our Americas outdoor segment paid \$5.0 million primarily for the acquisition of land and buildings.

We acquired FCC licenses in our radio segment for \$11.7 million in cash during 2008. We acquired outdoor display faces and additional equity interests in international outdoor companies for \$96.5 million in cash during 2008. Our national representation business acquired representation contracts valued at \$68.9 million during 2008.

**Purchases of Additional Equity Interests**

During 2009, our Americas outdoor segment purchased the remaining 15% interest in our consolidated subsidiary, Paneles Napsa S.A., for \$13.0 million and our International outdoor segment acquired an additional 5% interest in our consolidated subsidiary, Clear Channel Jolly Publicita SPA, for \$12.1 million.

**Certain Relationships with the Sponsors**

We are party to a management agreement with certain affiliates of the Sponsors and certain other parties pursuant to which such affiliates of the Sponsors will provide management and financial advisory services until 2018. These arrangements require management fees to be paid to such affiliates of the Sponsors for such services at a rate not greater than \$15.0 million per year plus expenses. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized management fees of \$15.0 million. For the post-merger period of 2008, we recognized Sponsors' management fees of \$6.3 million.

In addition, we reimbursed the Sponsors for additional expenses in the amount of \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

In connection with the merger, CCMH paid certain affiliates of the Sponsors \$87.5 million in fees and expenses for financial and structural advice and analysis, assistance with due diligence investigations and debt financing negotiations and \$15.9 million for reimbursement of escrow and other out-of-pocket expenses. This amount was allocated between merger expenses, deferred loan costs or included in the overall purchase price of the merger.



**Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees**

We are currently involved in certain legal proceedings. Based on current assumptions, we have accrued an estimate of the probable costs for the resolution of these claims. Future results of operations could be materially affected by changes in these assumptions.

**Table of Contents**

Certain agreements relating to acquisitions provide for purchase price adjustments and other future contingent payments based on the financial performance of the acquired companies generally over a one to five-year period. The aggregate of these contingent payments, if performance targets are met, would not significantly impact our financial position or results of operations.

In addition to our scheduled maturities on our debt, we have future cash obligations under various types of contracts. We lease office space, certain broadcast facilities, equipment and the majority of the land occupied by our outdoor advertising structures under long-term operating leases. Some of our lease agreements contain renewal options and annual rental escalation clauses (generally tied to the consumer price index), as well as provisions for our payment of utilities and maintenance.

We have minimum franchise payments associated with non-cancelable contracts that enable us to display advertising on such media as buses, taxis, trains, bus shelters and terminals. The majority of these contracts contain rent provisions that are calculated as the greater of a percentage of the relevant advertising revenue or a specified guaranteed minimum annual payment. Also, we have non-cancelable contracts in our radio broadcasting operations related to program rights and music license fees.

In the normal course of business, our broadcasting operations have minimum future payments associated with employee and talent contracts. These contracts typically contain cancellation provisions that allow us to cancel the contract with good cause.

The scheduled maturities of our senior secured credit facilities, receivables based facility, senior cash pay and senior toggle notes, other long-term debt outstanding, future minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable lease agreements, minimum payments under other non-cancelable contracts, payments under employment/talent contracts, capital expenditure commitments, and other long-term obligations as of December 31, 2009 are as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Contractual Obligations	Total	Payments due by Period			
			2010	2011-2012	2013-2014	Thereafter
<b>Long-term Debt</b>						
Senior Secured Debt		\$ 13,928,111	\$	\$ 26,095	\$ 3,315,026	\$ 10,586,990
Senior Cash Pay and Senior Toggle Notes <sup>(1)</sup>		1,711,450				1,711,450
Clear Channel Senior Notes		3,267,549	356,156	1,082,829	853,564	975,000
Subsidiary Senior Notes		2,500,000				2,500,000
Other Long-term Debt		82,882	47,077	31,769	4,036	
Interest payments on long-term debt <sup>(2)</sup>		7,270,202	1,152,658	2,033,704	2,334,780	1,749,060
<b>Non-Cancelable Operating Leases</b>						
Non-Cancelable Contracts		2,649,573	367,524	588,254	468,144	1,225,651
Employment/Talent Contracts		2,294,611	541,683	748,929	423,184	580,815
Capital Expenditures		458,903	168,505	179,442	55,689	55,267
Other long-term obligations <sup>(3)</sup>		136,262	67,372	45,638	19,837	3,415
		152,499	1,224	13,077	3,448	134,750
<b>Total <sup>(4)</sup></b>		<b>\$ 34,452,042</b>	<b>\$ 2,702,199</b>	<b>\$ 4,749,737</b>	<b>\$ 7,477,708</b>	<b>\$ 19,522,398</b>

- (1) On January 15, 2009, we made a permitted election under the indenture governing the senior toggle notes to pay PIK Interest with respect to 100% of the senior toggle notes for the semi-annual interest period commencing February 1, 2009. For subsequent interest periods, we must make an election regarding whether the applicable interest payment on the senior toggle notes will be made entirely in cash, entirely through PIK Interest or 50% in cash and 50% in PIK Interest. In the absence of such an election for any interest period, interest on the senior toggle notes will be payable according to the election for the immediately preceding interest period. As a result, we are deemed to have made the PIK Interest election for future interest periods unless and until we elect otherwise. Therefore, the interest payments on the senior toggle notes assume that the PIK Interest election remains the default election over the term of the notes. Assuming the PIK Interest election remains in effect over the term of the Notes, we are contractually obligated to make a payment of \$486.1 million on August 1, 2013 which is included in Interest payments on long-term debt in the table above.

- (2) Interest payments on the senior secured credit facilities, other than the revolving credit facility, assume the obligations are repaid in accordance with the amortization schedule included in the credit agreement and the interest rate is held constant over the remaining term based on the weighted average interest rate at December 31, 2009 on the senior secured credit facilities.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Interest payments related to the revolving credit facility assume the balance and interest rate as of December 31, 2009 is held constant over the remaining term.

Interest payments on \$6.0 billion of the Term Loan B facility are effectively fixed at interest rates between 2.6% and 4.4%, plus applicable margins, per annum, as a result of an aggregate \$6.0 billion notional amount of interest rate swap agreements. \$3.5 billion notional amount of interest rate swap agreements mature in October of 2010 with the remaining \$2.5 billion maturing in September 2013. Interest expense assumes the rate is fixed through maturity of the swaps, at which point the rate reverts back to the floating rate in effect at December 31, 2009.

- (3) Other long-term obligations consist of \$51.3 million related to asset retirement obligations recorded pursuant to ASC 410-20, which assumes the underlying assets will be removed at some period over the next 50 years. Also included are \$36.1 million of contract payments in our syndicated radio and media representation businesses and \$65.1 million of various other long-term obligations.
- (4) Excluded from the table is \$672.1 million related to various obligations with no specific contractual commitment or maturity, \$308.3 million of which relates to unrecognized tax benefits and accrued interest and penalties recorded pursuant to ASC 740-10 and \$237.2 million of which relates to the fair value of our interest rate swap agreements.

## **Market Risk**

### **Interest Rate Risk**

After the merger a significant amount of our long-term debt bears interest at variable rates. Accordingly, our earnings will be affected by changes in interest rates. At December 31, 2009 we had interest rate swap agreements with a \$6.0 billion notional amount that effectively fixes interest at rates between 2.6% and 4.4%, plus applicable margins, per annum. The fair value of these agreements at December 31, 2009 was a liability of \$237.2 million. At December 31, 2009, approximately 36% of our aggregate principal amount of long-term debt, including taking into consideration debt on which we have entered into pay-fixed rate receive floating rate swap agreements, bears interest at floating rates.

Assuming the current level of borrowings and interest rate swap contracts and assuming a 30% change in LIBOR, it is estimated that our interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2009 would have changed by approximately \$5.6 million.

In the event of an adverse change in interest rates, management may take actions to further mitigate its exposure. However, due to the uncertainty of the actions that would be taken and their possible effects, this interest rate analysis assumes no such actions. Further, the analysis does not consider the effects of the change in the level of overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment.

### **Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk**

We have operations in countries throughout the world. Foreign operations are measured in their local currencies except in hyper-inflationary countries in which we operate. As a result, our financial results could be affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economic conditions in the foreign markets in which we have operations. We believe we mitigate a small portion of our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations with a natural hedge through borrowings in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Our foreign operations reported a net loss of approximately \$285.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. We estimate a 10% change in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies would have changed our net loss for the year ended December 31, 2009 by approximately \$28.6 million.

Our earnings are also affected by fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar as compared to foreign currencies as a result of our equity method investments in various countries. It is estimated that the result of a 10% fluctuation in the value of the dollar relative to these foreign currencies at December 31, 2009 would change our equity in loss of nonconsolidated affiliates by \$2.1 million and would change our net loss by approximately \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

This analysis does not consider the implications that such fluctuations could have on the overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment in the U.S. or the foreign countries or on the results of operations of these foreign entities.

---

**Table of Contents**

**New Accounting Pronouncements**

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2010-02, *Accounting and Reporting for Decreases in Ownership of a Subsidiary a Scope Clarification*. The update is to ASC Topic 810, *Consolidation*. The ASU clarifies that the decrease-in-ownership provisions of ASC 810-10 and related guidance apply to (1) a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business or nonprofit activity, (2) a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business or nonprofit activity that is transferred to an equity method investee or joint venture, and (3) an exchange of a group of assets that constitutes a business or nonprofit activity for a noncontrolling interest in an entity (including an equity method investee or joint venture). In addition, the ASU expands the information an entity is required to disclose upon deconsolidation of a subsidiary. This standard is effective for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2009 with retrospective application required for the first period in which the entity adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160. We adopted the amendment upon issuance with no material impact to our financial position or results of operations.

In December 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-17, *Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*. The update is to ASC Topic 810, *Consolidation*. This standard amends ASC 810-10-25 by requiring consolidation of certain special purpose entities that were previously exempted from consolidation. The revised criteria will define a controlling financial interest for requiring consolidation as: the power to direct the activities that most significantly affect the entity's performance, and (1) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (2) the right to receive benefits from the entity. This standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. We adopted the amendment on January 1, 2010 with no material impact to our financial position or results of operations.

In August 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-05, *Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value*. The update is to ASC Subtopic 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures-Overall*, for the fair value measurement of liabilities. The purpose of this update is to reduce ambiguity in financial reporting when measuring the fair value of liabilities. The guidance provided in this update is effective for the first reporting period beginning after the date of issuance. We adopted the amendment on October 1, 2009 with no material impact to our financial position or results of operations.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification<sup>TM</sup> and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, codified in ASC 105-10, was issued in June 2009. ASC 105-10 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with GAAP in the United States. ASC 105-10 establishes the ASC as the source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Following this statement, the FASB will issue new standards in the form of ASUs. ASC 105-10 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. We adopted the provisions of ASC 105-10 on July 1, 2009.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* ( Statement No. 167 ), which is not yet codified, was issued in June 2009. Statement No. 167 shall be effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period, and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Earlier application is prohibited. Statement No. 167 amends Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46(R), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, codified in ASC 810-10-25, to replace the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and (1) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (2) the right to receive benefits from the entity. An approach that is expected to be primarily qualitative will be more effective for identifying which enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. Statement No. 167 requires an additional reconsideration event when determining whether an entity is a variable interest entity when any changes in facts and circumstances occur such that the holders of the equity investment at risk, as a group, lose the power from voting rights or similar rights of those investments to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. It also requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. These requirements will provide more relevant and timely information to users of financial statements. Statement No. 167 amends ASC 810-10-25 to require additional disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities, which will enhance the information provided to users of financial statements. We adopted Statement No. 167 on January 1, 2010 with no material impact to our financial position or results of operations.

**Table of Contents**

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 165, *Subsequent Events*, codified in ASC 855-10, was issued in May 2009. The provisions of ASC 855-10 are effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009 and are intended to establish general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. This disclosure should alert all users of financial statements that an entity has not evaluated subsequent events after that date in the set of financial statements being presented. In accordance with the provisions of ASC 855-10, we currently evaluate subsequent events through the date the financial statements are issued.

FASB Staff Position Emerging Issues Task Force 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities*, codified in ASC 260-10-45, was issued in June 2008. ASC 260-10-45 clarifies that unvested share-based payment awards with a right to receive nonforfeitable dividends are participating securities. Guidance is also provided on how to allocate earnings to participating securities and compute basic earnings per share using the two-class method. All prior-period earnings per share data presented shall be adjusted retrospectively (including interim financial statements, summaries of earnings, and selected financial data) to conform with the provisions of ASC 260-10-45. We retrospectively adopted the provisions of ASC 260-10-45 on January 1, 2009. The impact of adopting ASC 260-10-45 decreased previously reported basic earnings per share by \$.01 for the pre-merger year ended December 31, 2007.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of ARB No. 51*, codified in ASC 810-10-45, was issued in December 2007. ASC 810-10-45 clarifies the classification of noncontrolling interests in consolidated statements of financial position and the accounting for and reporting of transactions between the reporting entity and holders of such noncontrolling interests. Under this guidance, noncontrolling interests are considered equity and should be reported as an element of consolidated equity, net income will encompass the total income of all consolidated subsidiaries and there will be separate disclosure on the face of the income statement of the attribution of that income between the controlling and noncontrolling interests, and increases and decreases in the noncontrolling ownership interest amount will be accounted for as equity transactions. The provisions of ASC 810-10-45 are effective for the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008, and earlier application is prohibited. Guidance is required to be adopted prospectively, except for reclassifying noncontrolling interests to equity, separate from the parent's shareholders' equity, in the consolidated statement of financial position and recasting consolidated net income (loss) to include net income (loss) attributable to both the controlling and noncontrolling interests, both of which are required to be adopted retrospectively. We adopted the provisions of ASC 810-10-45 on January 1, 2009, which resulted in a reclassification of approximately \$426.2 million of noncontrolling interests to member's deficit.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, codified in ASC 815-10-50, was issued in March 2008. ASC 815-10-50 requires additional disclosures about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for and how derivative instruments and related hedged items effect an entity's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. We adopted the provisions of ASC 815-10-50 on January 1, 2009. Please refer to Note H in Item 8 of Part II of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for disclosure required by ASC 815-10-50.

FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, codified in ASC 820-10, was issued in February 2008. ASC 820-10 delays the effective date of FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, for nonfinancial assets and liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. We adopted the provisions of ASC 820-10 on January 1, 2009 with no material impact to our financial position or results of operations.

FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*, codified in ASC 820-10, was issued in April 2009. ASC 820-10-35 provides additional guidance for estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. ASC 820-10 also includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. Early adoption is permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. Earlier adoption for periods ending before March 15, 2009 is not permitted. We adopted the provisions of ASC 820-10 on April 1, 2009 with no material impact to our financial position or results of operations.

---

## **Table of Contents**

FASB Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*, codified in ASC 320-10-35, was issued in April 2009. It amends the other-than-temporary impairment guidance in U.S. GAAP for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. ASC 320-10-35 does not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. Earlier adoption for periods ending before March 15, 2009 is not permitted. We adopted the provisions of ASC 320-10-35 on April 1, 2009 with no material impact to our financial position or results of operations.

FASB Staff Position No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, codified in ASC 825-10-50, was issued in April 2009. ASC 825-10-50 amends prior authoritative guidance to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. The provisions of ASC 825-10-50 are effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. We adopted the disclosure requirements of ASC 825-10-50 on April 1, 2009.

## **Inflation**

Inflation is a factor in the economies in which we do business and we continue to seek ways to mitigate its effect. Inflation has affected our performance in terms of higher costs for wages, salaries and equipment. Although the exact impact of inflation is indeterminable, we believe we have offset these higher costs by increasing the effective advertising rates of most of our broadcasting stations and outdoor display faces.

## **Critical Accounting Estimates**

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of expenses during the reporting period. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates that are based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. The result of these evaluations forms the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the reported amount of expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. Because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, actual results could differ from our assumptions and estimates, and such difference could be material. Our significant accounting policies are discussed in the notes to our consolidated financial statements, included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Management believes that the following accounting estimates are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results, and they require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, resulting from the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. The following narrative describes these critical accounting estimates, the judgments and assumptions and the effect if actual results differ from these assumptions.

## **Allowance for Doubtful Accounts**

We evaluate the collectability of our accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. In circumstances where we are aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations, we record a specific reserve to reduce the amounts recorded to what we believe will be collected. For all other customers, we recognize reserves for bad debt based on historical experience of bad debts as a percent of revenue for each business unit, adjusted for relative improvements or deteriorations in the agings and changes in current economic conditions.

If our agings were to improve or deteriorate resulting in a 10% change in our allowance, we estimated that our bad debt expense for the year ended December 31, 2009, would have changed by approximately \$7.2 million and our net loss for the same period would have changed by approximately \$4.4 million.

## **Long-Lived Assets**

Long-lived assets, such as property, plant and equipment and definite-lived intangibles are reviewed for impairment when events and circumstances indicate that depreciable and amortizable long-lived assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the carrying amount of those assets. When specific assets are determined to be unrecoverable, the cost basis of the asset is reduced to reflect the current fair market value.

## **Table of Contents**

We use various assumptions in determining the current fair market value of these assets, including future expected cash flows, industry growth rates and discount rates, as well as future salvage values. Our impairment loss calculations require management to apply judgment in estimating future cash flows, including forecasting useful lives of the assets and selecting the discount rate that reflects the risk inherent in future cash flows.

Using the impairment review described above, we recorded aggregate impairment charges of approximately \$87.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. For additional information, please refer to the *Impairment Charges* section included in the beginning of this MD&A.

If actual results are not consistent with our assumptions and judgments used in estimating future cash flows and asset fair values, we may be exposed to future impairment losses that could be material to our results of operations. For additional information, please refer to the *Impairment Charges* section included in the beginning of this MD&A.

## **Indefinite-lived Assets**

Indefinite-lived assets are reviewed annually for possible impairment using the direct valuation method as prescribed in ASC 805-20-S99. Under the direct valuation method, the fair value of the indefinite-lived assets was calculated at the market level as prescribed by ASC 350-30-35. Under the direct valuation method, it is assumed that rather than acquiring indefinite-lived intangible assets as a part of a going concern business, the buyer hypothetically obtains indefinite-lived intangible assets and builds a new operation with similar attributes from scratch. Thus, the buyer incurs start-up costs during the build-up phase which are normally associated with going concern value. Initial capital costs are deducted from the discounted cash flows model which results in value that is directly attributable to the indefinite-lived intangible assets.

Our key assumptions using the direct valuation method are market revenue growth rates, market share, profit margin, duration and profile of the build-up period, estimated start-up capital costs and losses incurred during the build-up period, the risk-adjusted discount rate and terminal values. This data is populated using industry normalized information representing an average asset within a market.

In accordance with ASC 350-30, we performed an interim impairment test as of December 31, 2008 and again as of June 30, 2009. The estimated fair value of our FCC licenses and permits was below their carrying values at the date of each interim impairment test. As a result, we recognized non-cash impairment charges of \$1.7 billion and \$935.6 million at December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, respectively, related to our indefinite-lived FCC licenses and permits. For additional information, please refer to the *Impairment Charges* section included in the beginning of this MD&A.

If our future results are not consistent with our estimates, we could be exposed to future impairment losses that could be material to our results of operations.

## **Goodwill**

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of identifiable net assets acquired in business combinations. We test goodwill at interim dates if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill might be impaired. The fair value of our reporting units is used to apply value to the net assets of each reporting unit. To the extent that the carrying amount of net assets would exceed the fair value, an impairment charge may be required to be recorded.

The discounted cash flow approach we use for valuing goodwill involves estimating future cash flows expected to be generated from the related assets, discounted to their present value using a risk-adjusted discount rate. Terminal values are also estimated and discounted to their present value. In accordance with ASC 350-20, we performed an interim impairment test on goodwill as of December 31, 2008 and again as of June 30, 2009.

The estimated fair value of our reporting units was below their carrying values at the date of each interim impairment test, which required us to compare the implied fair value of each reporting unit's goodwill with its carrying value. As a result, we recognized non-cash impairment charges of \$3.6 billion and \$3.1 billion at December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, respectively, to reduce our goodwill. For additional information, please refer to the *Impairment Charges* section included in the beginning of this MD&A.

If our future results are not consistent with our estimates, we could be exposed to future impairment losses that could be material to our results of operations.





## **Table of Contents**

### **Tax Accruals**

The IRS and other taxing authorities routinely examine our tax returns we file as part of the consolidated tax returns filed by CCMH. From time to time, the IRS challenges certain of our tax positions. We believe our tax positions comply with applicable tax law and we would vigorously defend these positions if challenged. The final disposition of any positions challenged by the IRS could require us to make additional tax payments. We believe that we have adequately accrued for any foreseeable payments resulting from tax examinations and consequently do not anticipate any material impact upon their ultimate resolution.

Our estimates of income taxes and the significant items giving rise to the deferred assets and liabilities are shown in the notes to our consolidated financial statements and reflect our assessment of actual future taxes to be paid on items reflected in the financial statements, giving consideration to both timing and probability of these estimates. Actual income taxes could vary from these estimates due to future changes in income tax law or results from the final review of our tax returns by Federal, state or foreign tax authorities.

We have considered these potential changes in accordance with ASC 740-10, which requires us to record reserves for estimates of probable settlements of Federal and state tax audits.

### **Litigation Accruals**

We are currently involved in certain legal proceedings and, as required, have accrued our estimate of the probable costs for the resolution of these claims.

Management's estimates used have been developed in consultation with counsel and are based upon an analysis of potential results, assuming a combination of litigation and settlement strategies.

It is possible, however, that future results of operations for any particular period could be materially affected by changes in our assumptions or the effectiveness of our strategies related to these proceedings. During 2009, we recorded a \$23.5 million accrual related to an unfavorable outcome of litigation concerning a breach of contract regarding internet advertising and our radio stations.

### **Insurance Accruals**

We are currently self-insured beyond certain retention amounts for various insurance coverages, including general liability and property and casualty. Accruals are recorded based on estimates of actual claims filed, historical payouts, existing insurance coverage and projected future development of costs related to existing claims.

Our self-insured liabilities contain uncertainties because management must make assumptions and apply judgment to estimate the ultimate cost to settle reported claims and claims incurred but not reported as of December 31, 2009.

If actual results are not consistent with our assumptions and judgments, we may be exposed to gains or losses that could be material. A 10% change in our self-insurance liabilities at December 31, 2009, would have affected our net loss by approximately \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

### **Asset Retirement Obligations**

ASC 410-20 requires us to estimate our obligation upon the termination or nonrenewal of a lease, to dismantle and remove our billboard structures from the leased land and to reclaim the site to its original condition. We record the present value of obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets in the period in which they are incurred. When the liability is recorded, the cost is capitalized as part of the related long-lived asset's carrying amount. Over time, accretion of the liability is recognized as an operating expense and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the expected useful life of the related asset.

Due to the high rate of lease renewals over a long period of time, our calculation assumes all related assets will be removed at some period over the next 50 years. An estimate of third-party cost information is used with respect to the dismantling of the structures and the reclamation of the site. The interest rate used to calculate the present value of such costs over the retirement period is based on an estimated risk-adjusted credit rate for the same period. If our assumption of the risk-adjusted credit rate used to discount current year additions to the asset retirement obligation decreased approximately 1%, our liability as of December 31, 2009 would increase approximately \$0.2 million. Similarly, if our assumption of the risk-adjusted credit rate increased approximately 1%, our liability would decrease approximately \$0.1 million.



**Table of Contents**

**Shared-based Payments**

Under the fair value recognition provisions of ASC 718-10, stock based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award. For awards that vest based on service conditions, this cost is recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. For awards that will vest based on market, performance and service conditions, this cost will be recognized when it becomes probable that the performance conditions will be satisfied. Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date requires assumptions and judgments about expected volatility and forfeiture rates, among other factors. If actual results differ significantly from these estimates, our results of operations could be materially impacted.

We do not have any equity incentive plans under which we grant stock awards to employees. Our employees receive equity awards from CCMH's equity incentive plans. Prior to the merger, we granted equity awards to our employees under our own equity incentive plan.

**ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

Required information is within Item 7.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**

**MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The consolidated financial statements and notes related thereto were prepared by and are the responsibility of management. The financial statements and related notes were prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and include amounts based upon management's best estimates and judgments.

It is management's objective to ensure the integrity and objectivity of its financial data through systems of internal controls designed to provide reasonable assurance that all transactions are properly recorded in our books and records, that assets are safeguarded from unauthorized use and that financial records are reliable to serve as a basis for preparation of financial statements.

The financial statements have been audited by our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, to the extent required by auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and, accordingly, they have expressed their professional opinion on the financial statements in their report included herein.

The Board of Directors meets with the independent registered public accounting firm and management periodically to satisfy itself that they are properly discharging their responsibilities. The independent registered public accounting firm has unrestricted access to the Board, without management present, to discuss the results of their audit and the quality of financial reporting and internal accounting controls.

/s/ Mark P. Mays

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Thomas W. Casey

Chief Financial Officer

/s/ Herbert W. Hill, Jr.

Senior Vice President/Chief Accounting Officer

**Table of Contents**

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Clear Channel Capital I, LLC

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Clear Channel Capital I, LLC (Clear Channel Capital) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the related consolidated statements of operations, members' interest (deficit)/shareholders' equity, and cash flows of Clear Channel Capital for the year ended December 31, 2009 and for the period from July 31, 2008 through December 31, 2008, the related consolidated statement of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows of Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (Clear Channel) for the period from January 1, 2008 through July 30, 2008, and for the year ended December 31, 2007. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the index as Item 15(a)2. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of Clear Channel Capital's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Clear Channel Capital at December 31, 2009 and 2008, the consolidated results of Clear Channel Capital's operations and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2009 and for the period from July 31, 2008 through December 31, 2008, the consolidated results of Clear Channel's operations and cash flows for the period from January 1, 2008 through July 30, 2008 and the year ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Clear Channel Capital's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 16, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

San Antonio, Texas

March 16, 2010

**Table of Contents****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS OF CLEAR CHANNEL CAPITAL I, LLC****ASSETS***(In thousands)*

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,883,994	\$ 239,846
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$71,650 in 2009 and \$97,364 in 2008	1,301,700	1,431,304
Income taxes receivable	136,207	46,615
Prepaid expenses	81,669	133,217
Other current assets	255,275	215,573
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>3,658,845</b>	<b>2,066,555</b>
<b>PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT</b>		
Land, buildings and improvements	633,222	614,811
Structures	2,514,602	2,355,776
Towers, transmitters and studio equipment	381,046	353,108
Furniture and other equipment	234,101	242,287
Construction in progress	88,391	128,739
	3,851,362	3,694,721
Less accumulated depreciation	518,969	146,562
	3,332,393	3,548,159
<b>INTANGIBLE ASSETS</b>		
Definite-lived intangibles, net	2,599,244	2,881,720
Indefinite-lived intangibles licenses	2,429,839	3,019,803
Indefinite-lived intangibles permits	1,132,218	1,529,068
Goodwill	4,125,005	7,090,621
<b>OTHER ASSETS</b>		
Notes receivable	1,465	11,633
Investments in, and advances to, nonconsolidated affiliates	345,349	384,137
Other assets	378,058	560,260
Other investments	44,685	33,507
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 18,047,101</b>	<b>\$ 21,125,463</b>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

**Table of Contents****LIABILITIES AND MEMBER S DEFICIT***(In thousands, except share data)*

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 132,193	\$ 155,240
Accrued expenses	726,311	793,366
Accrued interest	137,236	181,264
Current portion of long-term debt	398,779	562,923
Deferred income	149,617	153,153
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>1,544,136</b>	<b>1,845,946</b>
Long-term debt	20,303,126	18,940,697
Deferred income taxes	2,220,023	2,679,312
Other long-term liabilities	824,554	575,739
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note J)		
<b>MEMBER S DEFICIT</b>		
Noncontrolling interest	455,648	426,220
Member s interest	2,109,007	2,101,076
Retained deficit	(9,076,084)	(5,041,998)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(333,309)	(401,529)
<b>Total Member s Deficit</b>	<b>(6,844,738)</b>	<b>(2,916,231)</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Member s Deficit</b>	<b>\$ 18,047,101</b>	<b>\$ 21,125,463</b>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements



**Table of Contents****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS OF CLEAR CHANNEL****CAPITAL I, LLC**

	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
	Post-Merger	Post-Merger	Pre-Merger	Pre-Merger
<i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i>				
Revenue	\$ 5,551,909	\$ 2,736,941	\$ 3,951,742	\$ 6,921,202
Operating expenses:				
Direct operating expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	2,583,263	1,198,345	1,706,099	2,733,004
Selling, general and administrative expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	1,466,593	806,787	1,022,459	1,761,939
Depreciation and amortization	765,474	348,041	348,789	566,627
Corporate expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	253,964	102,276	125,669	181,504
Merger expenses		68,085	87,684	6,762
Impairment charges	4,118,924	5,268,858		
Other operating income (loss) net	(50,837)	13,205	14,827	14,113
Operating income (loss)	(3,687,146)	(5,042,246)	675,869	1,685,479
Interest expense	1,500,866	715,768	213,210	451,870
Gain (loss) on marketable securities	(13,371)	(116,552)	34,262	6,742
Equity in earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates	(20,689)	5,804	94,215	35,176
Other income (expense) net	679,716	131,505	(5,112)	5,326
Income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations	(4,542,356)	(5,737,257)	586,024	1,280,853
Income tax benefit (expense):				
Current	76,129	76,729	(27,280)	(252,910)
Deferred	417,191	619,894	(145,303)	(188,238)
Income tax benefit (expense)	493,320	696,623	(172,583)	(441,148)
Income (loss) before discontinued operations	(4,049,036)	(5,040,634)	413,441	839,705
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net		(1,845)	640,236	145,833
Consolidated net income (loss)	(4,049,036)	(5,042,479)	1,053,677	985,538
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest	(14,950)	(481)	17,152	47,031
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ (4,034,086)	\$ (5,041,998)	\$ 1,036,525	\$ 938,507
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	151,422	(382,760)	46,679	105,574
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities and derivatives:				
Unrealized holding gain (loss) on marketable securities	1,678	(95,669)	(52,460)	(8,412)
Unrealized holding loss on cash flow derivatives	(74,100)	(75,079)		(1,688)
Reclassification adjustment for realized (gain) loss on securities and derivatives included in net income	10,008	102,766	(29,791)	

Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

Comprehensive income (loss)	(3,945,078)	(5,492,740)	1,000,953	1,033,981
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest	20,788	(49,212)	19,210	30,369
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ (3,965,866)	\$ (5,443,528)	\$ 981,743	\$ 1,003,612
Net income (loss) per common share:				
Income (loss) attributable to the Company before discontinued operations			\$ .80	\$ 1.59
Discontinued operations			1.29	.30
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company			\$ 2.09	\$ 1.89
Weighted average common shares			495,044	494,347
Income (loss) attributable to the Company before discontinued operations			\$ .80	\$ 1.59
Discontinued operations			1.29	.29
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company			\$ 2.09	\$ 1.88
Weighted average common shares			496,519	495,784
Dividends declared per share			\$	\$ .75

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN MEMBER S  
DEFICIT/SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY**

*(In thousands, except share data)*

	Common		Additional		Controlling Interest		Treasury Stock	Total		
	Shares Issued	Noncontrolling Interest	Common Stock	Paid-in Capital/ Member s Interest	Retained (Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income				
<b>Pre-merger Balances at December 31, 2006</b>	<b>493,982,851</b>	<b>\$ 363,966</b>	<b>\$ 49,399</b>	<b>\$ 26,745,687</b>	<b>\$ (19,054,365)</b>	<b>\$ 290,401</b>	<b>\$ (3,355)</b>	<b>\$ 8,391,733</b>		
Cumulative effect of FIN 48 adoption					(152)			(152)		
Net income		47,031			938,507			985,538		
Dividends declared					(373,133)			(373,133)		
Subsidiary common stock issued for a business acquisition		5,084						5,084		
Exercise of stock options and other	4,092,566	10,780	409	74,827			(1,596)	84,420		
Amortization and adjustment of deferred compensation		9,370		37,565				46,935		
Other		(2,049)				1		(2,048)		
Comprehensive income:										
Currency translation adjustment		30,369				75,205		105,574		
Unrealized (loss) on cash flow derivatives						(1,688)		(1,688)		
Unrealized (loss) on investments						(8,412)		(8,412)		
<b>Pre-merger Balances at December 31, 2007</b>	<b>498,075,417</b>	<b>464,551</b>	<b>49,808</b>	<b>26,858,079</b>	<b>(18,489,143)</b>	<b>355,507</b>	<b>(4,951)</b>	<b>9,233,851</b>		
Net income		17,152			1,036,525			1,053,677		
Exercise of stock options and other	82,645		30	4,963			(2,024)	2,969		
Amortization and adjustment of deferred compensation		10,767		57,855				68,622		
Other		(39,813)				33,383		(6,430)		
Comprehensive income:										
Currency translation adjustment		22,367				24,312		46,679		
Unrealized (loss) on investments		(3,125)				(49,335)		(52,460)		
Reclassification adjustments for realized gain included in net income		(32)				(29,759)		(29,791)		
<b>Pre-merger Balances at July 30, 2008</b>	<b>498,158,062</b>	<b>471,867</b>	<b>49,838</b>	<b>26,920,897</b>	<b>(17,452,618)</b>	<b>334,108</b>	<b>(6,975)</b>	<b>10,317,117</b>		
Elimination of pre-merger equity	(498,158,062)	(471,867)	(49,838)	(26,920,897)	17,452,618	(334,108)	6,975	(10,317,117)		
<b>Post-merger Balances at July 31, 2008</b>		<b>471,867</b>		<b>2,089,347</b>				<b>2,561,214</b>		
Net (loss)		(481)			(5,041,998)			(5,042,479)		
Issuance of restricted stock awards and other										
Amortization and adjustment of deferred compensation		4,182		11,729				15,911		
Other		(136)				1		(135)		
Comprehensive income:										
Currency translation adjustment		(50,010)				(332,750)		(382,760)		
Unrealized (loss) on cash flow derivatives						(75,079)		(75,079)		
Unrealized (loss) on investments		(6,856)				(88,813)		(95,669)		

Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

Reclassification adjustment for realized loss included in net income	7,654			95,112	102,766
<b>Post-merger Balances at December 31, 2008</b>	<b>426,220</b>	<b>2,101,076</b>	<b>(5,041,998)</b>	<b>(401,529)</b>	<b>(2,916,231)</b>

**Table of Contents***(In thousands, except share data)*

			Additional		Controlling Interest			<u>Total</u>
	Common	Noncontrolling	Common	Paid-in	Retained	Accumulated	Treasury	
	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Stock</u>	<u>Capital</u>	<u>(Deficit)</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>Comprehensive</u> <u>Income</u>	<u>Stock</u>	
<b>Post-merger Balances at December 31, 2008</b>		\$ 426,220	\$ 2,101,076		\$ (5,041,998)	\$ (401,529)	\$	\$ (2,916,231)
Net (loss)		(14,950)			(4,034,086)			(4,049,036)
Issuance (forfeiture) of restricted stock awards and other				(180)				(180)
Amortization and adjustment of deferred compensation		12,104		27,682				39,786
Other		11,486		(19,571)				(8,085)
Comprehensive income:								
Currency translation adjustment		21,201				130,221		151,422
Unrealized (loss) on cash flow derivatives						(74,100)		(74,100)
Reclassification adjustments for realized loss included in net income		727				9,281		10,008
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments		(1,140)				2,818		1,678
<b>Post-merger Balances at December 31, 2009</b>		\$ 455,648	\$ 2,109,007		\$ (9,076,084)	\$ (333,309)	\$	\$ (6,844,738)

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

**Table of Contents**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS OF CLEAR CHANNEL  
CAPITAL I, LLC**

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31, 2009 Post-Merger	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008 Post-Merger	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008 Pre-Merger	Year Ended December 31, 2007 Pre-Merger
<b>CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN)</b>				
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>				
Consolidated net income (loss)	\$ (4,049,036)	\$ (5,042,479)	\$ 1,053,677	\$ 985,538
Less: Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net		(1,845)	640,236	145,833
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	(4,049,036)	(5,040,634)	413,441	839,705
<b>Reconciling Items:</b>				
Depreciation	423,835	197,702	290,454	461,598
Amortization of intangibles	341,639	150,339	58,335	105,029
Impairment charges	4,118,924	5,268,858		
Deferred taxes	(417,191)	(619,894)	145,303	188,238
Provision for doubtful accounts	52,498	54,603	23,216	38,615
Amortization of deferred financing charges, bond premiums and accretion of note discounts, net	229,464	102,859	3,530	7,739
Share-based compensation	39,786	15,911	62,723	44,051
(Gain) loss on sale of operating and fixed assets	50,837	(13,205)	(14,827)	(14,113)
Loss on forward exchange contract			2,496	3,953
(Gain) loss on securities	13,371	116,552	(36,758)	(10,696)
Equity in loss (earnings) of nonconsolidated affiliates	20,689	(5,804)	(94,215)	(35,176)
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	(713,034)	(116,677)	13,484	
(Gain) loss on other investments and assets	9,595			
Increase (decrease) in other, net	36,571	12,089	9,133	(91)
<b>Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and dispositions:</b>				
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable	99,225	158,142	24,529	(111,152)
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses	9,105	6,538	(21,459)	5,098
Decrease (increase) in other current assets	(21,604)	156,869	(29,329)	694
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	(27,934)	(130,172)	190,834	27,027
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest	33,047	98,909	(16,572)	(13,429)
Increase (decrease) in deferred income	2,168	(54,938)	51,200	26,013
Increase (decrease) in accrued income taxes	(70,780)	(112,021)	(40,260)	13,325
Net cash provided by operating activities	181,175	246,026	1,035,258	1,576,428
	See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements			

**Table of Contents**

	Year Ended December 31, 2009 Post-Merger	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008 Post-Merger	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008 Pre-Merger	Years Ended December 31, 2007 Pre-Merger
<b>CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN)</b>				
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>				
Decrease (increase) in notes receivable, net	823	741	336	(6,069)
Decrease (increase) in investments in, and advances to nonconsolidated affiliates net	(3,811)	3,909	25,098	20,868
Cross currency settlement of interest			(198,615)	(1,214)
Purchases of investments	(3,372)	(26)	(98)	(726)
Proceeds from sale of other investments	41,627		173,467	2,409
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(223,792)	(190,253)	(240,202)	(363,309)
Proceeds from disposal of assets	48,818	16,955	72,806	26,177
Acquisition of operating assets	(8,300)	(23,228)	(153,836)	(122,110)
Decrease (increase) in other - net	6,258	(47,342)	(95,207)	(38,703)
Cash used to purchase equity		(17,472,459)		
Net cash used in investing activities	(141,749)	(17,711,703)	(416,251)	(482,677)
<b>CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN)</b>				
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>				
Draws on credit facilities	1,708,625	180,000	692,614	886,910
Payments on credit facilities	(202,241)	(128,551)	(872,901)	(1,705,014)
Proceeds from long-term debt	500,000	557,520	5,476	22,483
Proceeds from issuance of subsidiary senior notes	2,500,000			
Payments on long-term debt	(472,419)	(554,664)	(1,282,348)	(343,041)
Payments on senior secured credit facilities	(2,000,000)			
Repurchases of long-term debt	(343,466)	(24,425)		
Deferred financing charges	(60,330)			
Debt proceeds used to finance the merger		15,382,076		
Equity contribution used to finance the merger		2,142,830		
Payments on forward exchange contract			(110,410)	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and other			17,776	80,017
Dividends paid			(93,367)	(372,369)
Payments for purchase of noncontrolling interest	(25,263)			
Payments for purchase of common shares	(184)	(47)	(3,781)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	1,604,722	17,554,739	(1,646,941)	(1,431,014)
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements				

**Table of Contents**

	Year Ended December 31, 2009 Post-Merger	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008 Post-Merger	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008 Pre-Merger	Years Ended December 31, 2007 Pre-Merger
<b>CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:</b>				
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		2,429	(67,751)	33,832
Net cash provided by investing activities			1,098,892	332,579
Net cash provided by financing activities				
Net cash provided by discontinued operations		2,429	1,031,141	366,411
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,644,148	91,491	3,207	29,148
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	239,846	148,355	145,148	116,000
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,883,994	\$ 239,846	\$ 148,355	\$ 145,148
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE:</b>				
Cash paid during the year for:				
Interest	\$ 1,240,322	\$ 527,083	\$ 231,163	\$ 462,181
Income taxes		37,029	138,187	299,415

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements



---

**Table of Contents**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF CLEAR  
CHANNEL CAPITAL I, LLC**

**NOTE A - SUMMARY OF**

**SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

As permitted by the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), the financial statements and related footnotes included in Item 6 and Item 7 of Part II of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are those of Clear Channel Capital I, LLC (the Company or the Parent Company), the direct parent of Clear Channel Communications, Inc., a Texas corporation (Clear Channel or Subsidiary Issuer), and contain certain footnote disclosures regarding the financial information of Clear Channel and Clear Channel's domestic wholly-owned subsidiaries that guarantee certain of Clear Channel's outstanding indebtedness.

**Nature of Business**

The Company is a limited liability Company organized under Delaware law, with all of its interests being held by Clear Channel Capital II, LLC, a direct, wholly owned subsidiary of CC Media Holdings, Inc. (CCMH). CCMH was formed in May 2007 by private equity funds sponsored by Bain Capital Partners, LLC and Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. (together, the Sponsors) for the purpose of acquiring the business of Clear Channel Communications, Inc., a Texas company (Clear Channel). The acquisition was completed on July 30, 2008 pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated November 16, 2006, as amended on April 18, 2007, May 17, 2007 and May 13, 2008 (the Merger Agreement).

Clear Channel is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. Upon the consummation of the merger, CCMH became a public company and Clear Channel was no longer a public company. Prior to the acquisition, the Company had not conducted any activities, other than activities incident to its formation and in connection with the acquisition, and did not have any assets or liabilities, other than as related to the acquisition. Subsequent to the acquisition, Clear Channel became a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company and the business of the Company became that of Clear Channel and its subsidiaries. As a result, all of the operations of the Company are conducted by Clear Channel.

As a result of the merger, each issued and outstanding share of Clear Channel, other than shares held by certain principals of CCMH that were rolled over and exchanged for Class A common stock of CCMH, was either exchanged for (i) \$36.00 in cash consideration or (ii) one share of CCMH's Class A common stock.

The purchase price was approximately \$23 billion including \$94 million in capitalized transaction costs. The merger was funded primarily through a \$3 billion equity contribution, including the rollover of Clear Channel shares, and \$20.8 billion in debt financing, including the assumption of \$5.1 billion aggregate principal amount of Clear Channel debt.

CCMH accounted for its acquisition of Clear Channel as a purchase business combination in conformity with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, *Business Combinations*, and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 88-16, *Basis in Leveraged Buyout Transactions*. CCMH allocated a portion of the consideration paid to the assets and liabilities acquired at their respective fair values with the remaining portion recorded at the continuing shareholders' basis. Excess consideration after this allocation was recorded as goodwill.

The purchase price allocation was complete as of July 30, 2009 in accordance with ASC 805-10-25, which requires that the allocation period not exceed one year from the date of acquisition.

The merger is discussed more fully in Note B.

**CCMH Purchase Accounting Adjustments**

Purchase accounting adjustments, including goodwill, are reflected in the financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries.



---

## **Table of Contents**

### **Omission of Per Share Information for the Post-Merger Period**

Net loss per share information is not presented for the post-merger period as such information is not meaningful. During the post-merger periods ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, Clear Channel Capital II, LLC is the sole member of the Company and owns 100% of the limited liability company interests. Clear Channel Capital does not have any publicly traded common stock or potential common stock.

### **Liquidity**

The Company's primary source of liquidity is cash flow from operations, which has been adversely affected by the global economic downturn. The risks associated with the Company's businesses become more acute in periods of a slowing economy or recession, which may be accompanied by a decrease in advertising. Expenditures by advertisers tend to be cyclical, reflecting overall economic conditions and budgeting and buying patterns. The global economic downturn has resulted in a decline in advertising and marketing services among the Company's customers, resulting in a decline in advertising revenues across the Company's businesses. This reduction in advertising revenues has had an adverse effect on the Company's revenue, profit margins, cash flow and liquidity. The continuation of the global economic downturn may continue to adversely impact the Company's revenue, profit margins, cash flow and liquidity.

CCMH commenced a restructuring program in the fourth quarter of 2008 targeting a reduction of fixed costs. The Company recognized approximately \$164.4 million and \$95.9 million of costs related to its restructuring program during the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

On February 6, 2009 Clear Channel borrowed the approximately \$1.6 billion of remaining availability under its \$2.0 billion revolving credit facility. In December of 2009, Clear Channel applied \$2.0 billion of the cash proceeds it received from Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. from the issuance and sale of the Clear Channel Worldwide Holdings Senior Notes to repay an equal amount of indebtedness under its senior secured credit facilities, thereby strengthening the Company's capital structure meaningfully in the short and long term.

Based on the Company's current and anticipated levels of operations and conditions in its markets, it believes that cash on hand (including amounts drawn or available under Clear Channel's senior secured credit facilities) as well as cash flow from operations will enable the Company to meet its working capital, capital expenditure, debt service and other funding requirements for at least the next 12 months.

The Company expects to be in compliance with the covenants contained in Clear Channel's material financing agreements, including the subsidiary senior notes, in 2010, including the maximum consolidated senior secured net debt to adjusted EBITDA limitation contained in Clear Channel's senior secured credit facilities. However, the Company's anticipated results are subject to significant uncertainty and the Company's ability to comply with this limitation may be affected by events beyond its control, including prevailing economic, financial and industry conditions. The breach of any covenants set forth in the financing agreements would result in a default thereunder. An event of default would permit the lenders under a defaulted financing agreement to declare all indebtedness thereunder to be due and payable prior to maturity. Moreover, the lenders under the revolving credit facility under the senior secured credit facilities would have the option to terminate their commitments to make further extensions of revolving credit thereunder. If the Company is unable to repay Clear Channel's obligations under any senior secured credit facilities or the receivables based credit facility, the lenders could proceed against any assets that were pledged to secure such facility. In addition, a default or acceleration under any of Clear Channel's material financing agreements, including the subsidiary senior notes, could cause a default under other obligations that are subject to cross-default and cross-acceleration provisions. The threshold amount for a cross-default under the senior secured credit facilities is \$100 million dollars.

CCMH's and Clear Channel's current corporate ratings are CCC+ and Caa2 by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services and Moody's Investors Service, respectively, which are speculative grade ratings. These ratings have been downgraded and then upgraded at various times during the two years ended December 31, 2009. The adjustments had no impact on Clear Channel's borrowing costs under the credit agreements.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Format of Presentation**

The accompanying consolidated statements of operations, statements of cash flows and shareholders' equity are presented for two periods: post-merger and pre-merger. The merger resulted in a new basis of accounting beginning on July 31, 2008 and the financial reporting periods are presented as follows:

The year ended December 31, 2009 and the period from July 31 through December 31, 2008 reflect the post-merger period of the Company, including the merger of a wholly-owned subsidiary of CCMH with and into Clear Channel. Subsequent to the acquisition, Clear Channel became a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company and the business of the Company became that of Clear Channel and its subsidiaries.

The periods from January 1 through July 30, 2008 and the year ended December 31, 2007 reflect the pre-merger period of Clear Channel. The consolidated financial statements for all pre-merger periods were prepared using the historical basis of accounting for Clear Channel. As a result of the merger and the associated purchase accounting, the consolidated financial statements of the post-merger periods are not comparable to periods preceding the merger.

### **Principles of Consolidation**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts have been eliminated in consolidation. Investments in companies in which the Company owns 20 percent to 50 percent of the voting common stock or otherwise exercises significant influence over operating and financial policies of the company are accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

The Company holds nontransferable, noncompliant station combinations pursuant to certain FCC rules or, in a few cases, pursuant to temporary waivers. These noncompliant station combinations were placed in a trust in order to bring the merger into compliance with the FCC's media ownership rules. The Company will have to divest of certain stations in these noncompliant station combinations. The trust will be terminated, with respect to each noncompliant station combination, if at any time the stations may be owned by the Company under the then-current FCC media ownership rules. The trust agreement stipulates that the Company must fund any operating shortfalls of the trust activities, and any excess cash flow generated by the trust is distributed to the Company. The Company is also the beneficiary of proceeds from the sale of stations held in the trust. The Company consolidates the trust in accordance with ASC 810-10, which requires an enterprise involved with variable interest entities to perform an analysis to determine whether the enterprise's variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in the variable interest entity, as the trust was determined to be a variable interest entity and the Company is its primary beneficiary.

### **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

Cash and cash equivalents include all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less.

### **Allowance for Doubtful Accounts**

The Company evaluates the collectability of its accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. In circumstances where it is aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations, it records a specific reserve to reduce the amounts recorded to what it believes will be collected. For all other customers, it recognizes reserves for bad debt based on historical experience of bad debts as a percent of revenue for each business unit, adjusted for relative improvements or deteriorations in the agings and changes in current economic conditions. The Company believes its concentration of credit risk is limited due to the large number and the geographic diversification of its customers.

### **Land Leases and Other Structure Licenses**

Most of the Company's outdoor advertising structures are located on leased land. America's outdoor land rents are typically paid in advance for periods ranging from one to twelve months. International outdoor land rents are paid both in advance and in arrears, for periods ranging from one to twelve months. Most international street furniture display faces are operated through contracts with the municipalities for up to 20 years. The street furniture contracts often include a percent of revenue to be paid along with a base rent payment. Prepaid land leases are recorded as an asset and expensed ratably over the related rental term and license and rent payments in arrears are recorded as an accrued liability.



## **Table of Contents**

### **Purchase Accounting**

The Company accounts for its business combinations under the acquisition method of accounting. The total cost of an acquisition is allocated to the underlying identifiable net assets, based on their respective estimated fair values. The excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair values of the net assets acquired is recorded as goodwill. Determining the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed requires management's judgment and often involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions, including assumptions with respect to future cash inflows and outflows, discount rates, asset lives and market multiples, among other items. Various acquisition agreements may include contingent purchase consideration based on performance requirements of the investee. The Company accounts for these payments in conformity with the provisions of ASC 805-20-30, which establish the requirements related to recognition of certain assets and liabilities arising from contingencies.

### **Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method at rates that, in the opinion of management, are adequate to allocate the cost of such assets over their estimated useful lives, which are as follows:

Buildings and improvements - 10 to 39 years

Structures - 5 to 40 years

Towers, transmitters and studio equipment - 7 to 20 years

Furniture and other equipment - 3 to 20 years

Leasehold improvements - shorter of economic life or lease term assuming renewal periods, if appropriate

For assets associated with a lease or contract, the assets are depreciated at the shorter of the economic life or the lease or contract term, assuming renewal periods, if appropriate. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred, whereas expenditures for renewal and betterments are capitalized.

The Company tests for possible impairment of property, plant, and equipment whenever events or changes in circumstances, such as a reduction in operating cash flow or a dramatic change in the manner for which the asset is intended to be used indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. If indicators exist, the Company compares the estimated undiscounted future cash flows related to the asset to the carrying value of the asset. If the carrying value is greater than the estimated undiscounted future cash flow amount, an impairment charge is recorded in depreciation and amortization expense in the statement of operations for amounts necessary to reduce the carrying value of the asset to fair value. The impairment loss calculations require management to apply judgment in estimating future cash flows and the discount rates that reflect the risk inherent in future cash flows.

In the second quarter of 2009, the Company recorded an \$8.7 million impairment to street furniture tangible assets in its International segment. Additionally, during the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company recorded a \$12.3 million impairment primarily related to street furniture tangible assets in its International segment and an \$11.3 million impairment of corporate assets.

### **Intangible Assets**

The Company classifies intangible assets as definite-lived, indefinite-lived or goodwill. Definite-lived intangibles include primarily transit and street furniture contracts, talent and representation contracts, customer and advertiser relationships, and site-leases, all of which are amortized over the respective lives of the agreements, or over the period of time the assets are expected to contribute directly or indirectly to the Company's future cash flows. The Company periodically reviews the appropriateness of the amortization periods related to its definite-lived assets. These assets are recorded at cost.

---

**Table of Contents**

The Company impaired definite-lived intangible assets related to certain street furniture and billboard contract intangible assets in its Americas outdoor and International outdoor segments by \$38.8 million as of June 30, 2009. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company recorded a \$16.5 million impairment related to billboard contract intangible assets in its International segment.

The Company's indefinite-lived intangibles include broadcast FCC licenses in its radio broadcasting segment and billboard permits in its Americas outdoor advertising segment. The excess cost over fair value of net assets acquired is classified as goodwill. The Company's indefinite-lived intangibles and goodwill are not subject to amortization, but are tested for impairment at least annually. The Company tests for possible impairment of definite-lived intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances, such as a reduction in operating cash flow or a dramatic change in the manner for which the asset is intended to be used indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. If indicators exist, the Company compares the undiscounted cash flows related to the asset to the carrying value of the asset. If the carrying value is greater than the undiscounted cash flow amount, an impairment charge is recorded in amortization expense in the statement of operations for amounts necessary to reduce the carrying value of the asset to fair value.

The Company performs its annual impairment test for its FCC licenses and permits using a direct valuation technique as prescribed in ASC 805-20-S99. The key assumptions used in the direct valuation method include market revenue growth rates, market share, profit margin, duration and profile of the build-up period, estimated start-up cost and losses incurred during the build-up period, the risk adjusted discount rate and terminal values. The Company engages Mesirow Financial Consulting LLC ( Mesirow Financial ), a third party valuation firm, to assist the Company in the development of these assumptions and the Company's determination of the fair value of its FCC licenses and permits.

The Company performed an interim impairment test as of December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, which resulted in non-cash impairment charges of \$1.7 billion and \$935.6 million, respectively, on its indefinite-lived FCC licenses and permits. See Note D for further discussion.

At least annually, the Company performs its impairment test for each reporting unit's goodwill using a discounted cash flow model to determine if the carrying value of the reporting unit, including goodwill, is less than the fair value of the reporting unit. The Company identified its reporting units in accordance with ASC 350-20-55. The U.S. radio markets are aggregated into a single reporting unit and the U.S. outdoor advertising markets are aggregated into a single reporting unit for purposes of the goodwill impairment test. The Company also determined that within its Americas outdoor segment, Canada, Mexico, Peru, and Brazil constitute separate reporting units and each country in its International outdoor segment constitutes a separate reporting unit.

Each of the Company's reporting units is valued using a discounted cash flow model which requires estimating future cash flows expected to be generated from the reporting unit, discounted to their present value using a risk-adjusted discount rate. Terminal values were also estimated and discounted to their present value. Assessing the recoverability of goodwill requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions about sales, operating margins, growth rates and discount rates based on its budgets, business plans, economic projections, anticipated future cash flows and marketplace data. There are inherent uncertainties related to these factors and management's judgment in applying these factors. The Company engages Mesirow Financial to assist the Company in the development of these assumptions and the Company's determination of the fair value of its reporting units.

The Company performed an interim impairment test as of December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, and recognized non-cash impairment charges of \$3.6 billion and \$3.1 billion, respectively, to reduce its goodwill. See Note D for further discussion.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Nonconsolidated Affiliates**

In general, investments in which the Company owns 20 percent to 50 percent of the common stock or otherwise exercises significant influence over the investee are accounted for under the equity method. The Company does not recognize gains or losses upon the issuance of securities by any of its equity method investees. The Company reviews the value of equity method investments and records impairment charges in the statement of operations as a component of equity in earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates for any decline in value that is determined to be other-than-temporary.

### **Other Investments**

Other investments are composed primarily of equity securities. These securities are classified as available-for-sale or trading and are carried at fair value based on quoted market prices. Securities are carried at historical value when quoted market prices are unavailable. The net unrealized gains or losses on the available-for-sale securities, net of tax, are reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss as a component of shareholders' equity. The net unrealized gains or losses on the trading securities are reported in the statement of operations. In addition, the Company holds investments that do not have quoted market prices. The Company periodically assesses the value of available-for-sale and non-marketable securities and records impairment charges in the statement of operations for any decline in value that is determined to be other-than-temporary. The average cost method is used to compute the realized gains and losses on sales of equity securities.

The Company periodically assesses the value of its available-for-sale securities. Based on these assessments, the Company concluded that an other-than-temporary impairment existed at December 31, 2008 and September 30, 2009, and recorded non-cash impairment charges of \$116.6 million and \$11.3 million, respectively, on the statement of operations in Gain (loss) on marketable securities. The Company assessed the value of these available-for-sale securities through December 31, 2009 and concluded that no other-than-temporary impairment existed.

### **Financial Instruments**

Due to their short maturity, the carrying amounts of accounts and notes receivable, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, and short-term borrowings approximated their fair values at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

### **Income Taxes**

The Company accounts for income taxes using the liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting bases and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the periods in which the deferred tax asset or liability is expected to be realized or settled. Deferred tax assets are reduced by valuation allowances if the Company believes it is more likely than not that some portion or the entire asset will not be realized. As all earnings from the Company's foreign operations are permanently reinvested and not distributed, the Company's income tax provision does not include additional U.S. taxes on foreign operations. It is not practical to determine the amount of Federal income taxes, if any, that might become due in the event that the earnings were distributed.

### **Revenue Recognition**

Radio broadcasting revenue is recognized as advertisements or programs are broadcast and is generally billed monthly. Outdoor advertising contracts typically cover periods of up to three years and are generally billed monthly. Revenue for outdoor advertising space rental is recognized ratably over the term of the contract. Advertising revenue is reported net of agency commissions. Agency commissions are calculated based on a stated percentage applied to gross billing revenue for the Company's broadcasting and outdoor operations. Payments received in advance of being earned are recorded as deferred income.



**Table of Contents**

Barter transactions represent the exchange of advertising spots or display space for merchandise or services. These transactions are generally recorded at the fair market value of the advertising spots or display space or the fair value of the merchandise or services received. Revenue is recognized on barter and trade transactions when the advertisements are broadcasted or displayed. Expenses are recorded ratably over a period that estimates when the merchandise or service received is utilized or the event occurs. Barter and trade revenues and expenses from continuing operations are included in consolidated revenue and selling, general and administrative expenses, respectively. Barter and trade revenues and expenses from continuing operations were:

(In millions)

	Year ended December 31, 2009 Post-Merger	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008 Post-Merger	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008 Pre-Merger	Year ended December 31, 2007 Pre-Merger
--	---------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------

Barter and trade revenues	\$ 71.9	\$ 33.7	\$ 40.2	\$ 70.7
Barter and trade expenses	86.7	35.0	38.9	70.4

Barter and trade expenses for 2009 include \$14.9 million of trade receivables written off as it was determined they no longer had value to the Company.

**Share-Based Payments**

Under the fair value recognition provisions of ASC 718-10, stock based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award. For awards that vest based on service conditions, this cost is recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. For awards that will vest based on market, performance and service conditions, this cost will be recognized when it becomes probable that the performance conditions will be satisfied. Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date requires assumptions and judgments about expected volatility and forfeiture rates, among other factors. If actual results differ significantly from these estimates, the Company's results of operations could be materially impacted.

The Company does not have any equity incentive plans under which it grants stock awards to employees. Employees of subsidiaries of the Company receive equity awards from CCMH's equity incentive plans. Prior to the merger, Clear Channel granted equity awards to its employees under its own equity incentive plans.

**Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities**

The provisions of ASC 815-10 require the Company to recognize all of its derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship, and further, on the type of hedging relationship. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments, the Company must designate the hedging instrument, based upon the exposure being hedged, as a fair value hedge, cash flow hedge or a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation. The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking various hedge transactions. The Company formally assesses, both at inception and at least quarterly thereafter, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in either the fair value or cash flows of the hedged item. If a derivative ceases to be a highly effective hedge, the Company discontinues hedge accounting. The Company accounts for its derivative instruments that are not designated as hedges at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded in earnings. The Company does not enter into derivative instruments for speculation or trading purposes.

**Table of Contents****Foreign Currency**

Results of operations for foreign subsidiaries and foreign equity investees are translated into U.S. dollars using the average exchange rates during the year. The assets and liabilities of those subsidiaries and investees, other than those of operations in highly inflationary countries, are translated into U.S. dollars using the exchange rates at the balance sheet date. The related translation adjustments are recorded in a separate component of shareholders' equity, Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Foreign currency transaction gains and losses, as well as gains and losses from translation of financial statements of subsidiaries and investees in highly inflationary countries, are included in operations.

**Advertising Expense**

The Company records advertising expense as it is incurred. Advertising expenses from continuing operations were:

<i>(In millions)</i>	Year ended December 31, 2009 Post-Merger	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008 Post-Merger	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008 Pre-Merger	Year ended December 31, 2007 Pre-Merger
Advertising expenses	\$ 67.3	\$ 51.8	\$ 56.1	\$ 138.5

**Use of Estimates**

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) requires management to make estimates, judgments, and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes including, but not limited to, legal, tax and insurance accruals. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**New Accounting Pronouncements**

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2010-02, *Accounting and Reporting for Decreases in Ownership of a Subsidiary - a Scope Clarification*. The update is to ASC Topic 810, *Consolidation*. The ASU clarifies that the decrease-in-ownership provisions of ASC 810-10 and related guidance apply to (1) a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business or nonprofit activity, (2) a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business or nonprofit activity that is transferred to an equity method investee or joint venture, and (3) an exchange of a group of assets that constitutes a business or nonprofit activity for a noncontrolling interest in an entity (including an equity method investee or joint venture). In addition, the ASU expands the information an entity is required to disclose upon deconsolidation of a subsidiary. This standard is effective for fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2009 with retrospective application required for the first period in which the entity adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160. The Company adopted the amendment upon issuance with no material impact to its financial position or results of operations.

In December 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-17, *Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*. The update is to ASC Topic 810, *Consolidation*. This standard amends ASC 810-10-25 by requiring consolidation of certain special purpose entities that were previously exempted from consolidation. The revised criteria will define a controlling financial interest for requiring consolidation as: the power to direct the activities that most significantly affect the entity's performance, and (1) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (2) the right to receive benefits from the entity. This standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The Company adopted the amendment on January 1, 2010 with no material impact to its financial position or results of operations.

---

**Table of Contents**

In August 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-05, *Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value*. The update is to ASC Subtopic 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures-Overall*, for the fair value measurement of liabilities. The purpose of this update is to reduce ambiguity in financial reporting when measuring the fair value of liabilities. The guidance provided in this update is effective for the first reporting period beginning after the date of issuance. The Company adopted the amendment on October 1, 2009 with no material impact to its financial position or results of operations.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification<sup>TM</sup> and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, codified in ASC 105-10, was issued in June 2009. ASC 105-10 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with GAAP in the United States. ASC 105-10 establishes the ASC as the source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Following this statement, the FASB will issue new standards in the form of ASUs. ASC 105-10 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company adopted the provisions of ASC 105-10 on July 1, 2009.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* ( Statement No. 167 ), which is not yet codified, was issued in June 2009. Statement No. 167 shall be effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period, and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Earlier application is prohibited. Statement No. 167 amends Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46(R), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, codified in ASC 810-10-25, to replace the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and (1) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (2) the right to receive benefits from the entity. An approach that is expected to be primarily qualitative will be more effective for identifying which enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. Statement No. 167 requires an additional reconsideration event when determining whether an entity is a variable interest entity when any changes in facts and circumstances occur such that the holders of the equity investment at risk, as a group, lose the power from voting rights or similar rights of those investments to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. It also requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. These requirements will provide more relevant and timely information to users of financial statements. Statement No. 167 amends ASC 810-10-25 to require additional disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities, which will enhance the information provided to users of financial statements. The Company adopted Statement No. 167 on January 1, 2010 with no material impact to its financial position or results of operations.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 165, *Subsequent Events*, codified in ASC 855-10, was issued in May 2009. The provisions of ASC 855-10 are effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009 and are intended to establish general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. ASC 855-10 requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date—that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. This disclosure should alert all users of financial statements that an entity has not evaluated subsequent events after that date in the set of financial statements being presented. In accordance with the provisions of ASC 855-10, the Company currently evaluates subsequent events through the date the financial statements are issued.

**Table of Contents**

FASB Staff Position Emerging Issues Task Force 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities*, codified in ASC 260-10-45, was issued in June 2008. ASC 260-10-45 clarifies that unvested share-based payment awards with a right to receive nonforfeitable dividends are participating securities. Guidance is also provided on how to allocate earnings to participating securities and compute basic earnings per share using the two-class method. All prior-period earnings per share data presented shall be adjusted retrospectively (including interim financial statements, summaries of earnings, and selected financial data) to conform with the provisions of ASC 260-10-45. The Company retrospectively adopted the provisions of ASC 260-10-45 on January 1, 2009. The impact of adopting ASC 260-10-45 decreased previously reported basic earnings per share by \$.01 for the pre-merger year ended December 31, 2007.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of ARB No. 51*, codified in ASC 810-10-45, was issued in December 2007. ASC 810-10-45 clarifies the classification of noncontrolling interests in consolidated statements of financial position and the accounting for and reporting of transactions between the reporting entity and holders of such noncontrolling interests. Under this guidance, noncontrolling interests are considered equity and should be reported as an element of consolidated equity, net income will encompass the total income of all consolidated subsidiaries and there will be separate disclosure on the face of the income statement of the attribution of that income between the controlling and noncontrolling interests, and increases and decreases in the noncontrolling ownership interest amount will be accounted for as equity transactions. The provisions of ASC 810-10-45 are effective for the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008, and earlier application is prohibited. Guidance is required to be adopted prospectively, except for reclassifying noncontrolling interests to equity, separate from the parent's shareholders' equity, in the consolidated statement of financial position and recasting consolidated net income (loss) to include net income (loss) attributable to both the controlling and noncontrolling interests, both of which are required to be adopted retrospectively. The Company adopted the provisions of ASC 810-10-45 on January 1, 2009, which resulted in a reclassification of approximately \$426.2 million of noncontrolling interests to member's deficit. Adoption of this standard requires retrospective application in the financial statements of earlier periods on January 1, 2009. In connection with the offering of \$500.0 million aggregate principal amount of Series A Senior Notes and \$2.0 billion aggregate principal amount of Series B Senior Notes by the Company's subsidiary, the Company filed a Form 8-K filed on December 11, 2009 to retrospectively recast the historical financial statements and certain disclosures included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 for the adoption of ASC 810-10-45.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, codified in ASC 815-10-50, was issued in March 2008. ASC 815-10-50 requires additional disclosures about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for and how derivative instruments and related hedged items effect an entity's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. The Company adopted the provisions of ASC 815-10-50 on January 1, 2009. Please refer to Note H for disclosure required by ASC 815-10-50.

FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, codified in ASC 820-10, was issued in February 2008. ASC 820-10 delays the effective date of FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, for nonfinancial assets and liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company adopted the provisions of ASC 820-10 on January 1, 2009 with no material impact to its financial position or results of operations.

FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*, codified in ASC 820-10-35, was issued in April 2009. ASC 820-10 provides additional guidance for estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. ASC 820-10 also includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. Early adoption is permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. Earlier adoption for periods ending before March 15, 2009 is not permitted. The Company adopted the provisions of ASC 820-10 on April 1, 2009 with no material impact to its financial position or results of operations.

**Table of Contents**

FASB Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*, codified in ASC 320-10-35, was issued in April 2009. It amends the other-than-temporary impairment guidance in U.S. GAAP for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. ASC 320-10-35 does not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. Earlier adoption for periods ending before March 15, 2009 is not permitted. The Company adopted the provisions of ASC 320-10-35 on April 1, 2009 with no material impact to its financial position or results of operations.

FASB Staff Position No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, codified in ASC 825-10-50, was issued in April 2009. ASC 825-10-50 amends prior authoritative guidance to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. The provisions of ASC 825-10-50 are effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. The Company adopted the disclosure requirements of ASC 825-10-50 on April 1, 2009.

**NOTE B - BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS****2009 Purchases of Additional Equity Interests**

During 2009, the Company's Americas outdoor segment purchased the remaining 15% interest in its consolidated subsidiary, Paneles Napsa S.A., for \$13.0 million and the Company's International outdoor segment acquired an additional 5% interest in its consolidated subsidiary, Clear Channel Jolly Publicita SPA, for \$12.1 million.

**2008 Acquisitions**

CCMH completed its acquisition of Clear Channel on July 30, 2008. The transaction was accounted for as a purchase in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, *Business Combinations*, and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 88-16, *Basis in Leveraged Buyout Transactions*. CCMH allocated a portion of the consideration paid to the assets and liabilities acquired at their respective fair values with the remaining portion recorded at the continuing shareholders' basis. Excess consideration after this allocation was recorded as goodwill. The purchase price allocation was complete as of July 30, 2009 in accordance with ASC 805-10-25, which requires that the allocation period not exceed one year from the date of acquisition.

Following is a summary of the purchase price allocations:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Preliminary Allocation	2008 Adjustments	2009 Adjustments	Final Allocation
Consideration paid	\$ 18,082,938			\$ 18,082,938
Debt assumed	5,136,929			5,136,929
Historical carryover basis	(825,647)			(825,647)
	\$ 22,394,220			\$ 22,394,220
Total current assets	2,311,777	5,041	1,234	2,318,052
PP&E - net	3,745,422	125,357	(2,664)	3,868,115
Intangible assets - net	20,634,499	(764,472)	51,293	19,921,320
Long-term assets	1,079,704	44,787		1,124,491
Current liabilities	(1,219,033)	(13,204)	26,555	(1,205,682)
Long-term liabilities	(4,158,149)	602,491	(43,036)	(3,598,694)
	22,394,220		33,382	22,427,602
Other comprehensive income			(33,382)	(33,382)
	\$ 22,394,220	\$	\$	\$ 22,394,220



**Table of Contents***2008 Adjustments*

The adjustments to PP&E net primarily relate to fair value appraisals received for land and buildings. The adjustments to intangible assets net primarily relate to an aggregate \$3.6 billion adjustment to lower the estimated fair value of the Company's FCC licenses and permits based on appraised values, partially offset by a \$1.5 billion fair value adjustment to recognize advertiser relationships and trade names in the Company's radio segment based on appraised values, a \$240.6 million fair value adjustment to advertising contracts in the Company's Americas and International outdoor segments based on appraised values and an increase of \$1.0 billion to goodwill. The adjustment to long-term liabilities primarily relates to the deferred tax effects of the fair value adjustments.

The purchase price allocation adjustments related to the Company's FCC licenses, permits and goodwill were recorded prior to the Company's interim impairment test.

*2009 Adjustments*

During the first seven months of 2009, the Company decreased the initial fair value estimate of its permits, contracts, site leases and other assets and liabilities primarily in its Americas outdoor segment by \$116.1 million based on additional information received, which resulted in an increase to goodwill of \$71.7 million and a decrease to deferred taxes of \$44.4 million. During the third quarter of 2009, the Company increased its deferred tax liability by \$44.3 million to true-up its tax rates in certain jurisdictions that were estimated in the initial purchase price allocation. Additionally, the Company increased other comprehensive income by \$33.4 million and decreased accrued income taxes by \$18.9 million. Other miscellaneous adjustments resulted in an additional increase of \$15.0 million to goodwill and a decrease of \$8.6 million to other intangible assets. Also, during the third quarter of 2009, the Company recorded a \$45.0 million increase to goodwill in its International outdoor segment related to the fair value of certain noncontrolling interests which existed at the merger date, with no related tax effect. This noncontrolling interest was recorded pursuant to ASC 480-10-S99 which determines the classification of redeemable noncontrolling interests. The Company subsequently determined that the increase in goodwill related to these noncontrolling interests should have been included in the impairment charge resulting from the December 31, 2008 interim goodwill impairment test. As a result, during the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company impaired this entire goodwill amount, which after considering the effects of foreign exchange movements, was \$41.4 million.

The purchase price allocation was complete as of July 30, 2009 in accordance with ASC 805-10-25, which requires that the allocation period not exceed one year from the date of acquisition.

The following unaudited supplemental pro forma information reflects the consolidated results of operations of the Company as if the merger had occurred on January 1, 2007. The historical financial information was adjusted to give effect to items that are (i) directly attributed to the merger, (ii) factually supportable, and (iii) expected to have a continuing impact on the consolidated results. Such items include depreciation and amortization expense associated with preliminary valuations of property, plant and equipment and definite-lived intangible assets, corporate expenses associated with new equity based awards granted to certain members of management, expenses associated with the accelerated vesting of employee share based awards upon closing of the merger, interest expense related to debt issued in conjunction with the merger and the fair value adjustment to Clear Channel's existing debt and the related tax effects of these items. This unaudited pro forma information should not be relied upon as necessarily being indicative of the historical results that would have been obtained if the merger had actually occurred on that date, nor of the results that may be obtained in the future.

*(In thousands)*

	Unaudited Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008 Pre-merger	Unaudited Year ended December 31, 2007 Pre-merger
Revenue	\$ 3,951,742	\$ 6,921,202
Income (loss) before discontinued operations	\$ (64,952)	\$ 4,179
Net income (loss)	\$ 575,284	\$ 150,012

**Table of Contents**

The Company also acquired assets in its operating segments in addition to the merger described above. The Company acquired FCC licenses in its radio segment for \$11.7 million in cash during 2008. The Company acquired outdoor display faces and additional equity interests in international outdoor companies for \$96.5 million in cash during 2008. The Company's national representation business acquired representation contracts valued at \$68.9 million during 2008.

**2007 Acquisitions**

Clear Channel acquired domestic outdoor display faces and additional equity interests in international outdoor companies for \$69.1 million in cash during 2007. Clear Channel's national representation business acquired representation contracts for \$53.0 million in cash during 2007.

The following is a summary of the assets and liabilities acquired and the consideration given for acquisitions made during 2007:

*(In thousands)*

	<u>2007</u>
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 28,002
Accounts receivable	
Definite lived intangibles	55,017
Indefinite-lived intangible assets	15,023
Goodwill	41,696
Other assets	3,453
	143,191
Other liabilities	(13,081)
Noncontrolling interest	
Deferred tax	
Subsidiary common stock issued, net of noncontrolling interest	
	(13,081)
Less: fair value of net assets exchanged in swap	(8,000)
Cash paid for acquisitions	\$ 122,110

The Company has entered into certain agreements relating to acquisitions that provide for purchase price adjustments and other future contingent payments based on the financial performance of the acquired company. The Company will continue to accrue additional amounts related to such contingent payments if and when it is determinable that the applicable financial performance targets will be met. The aggregate of these contingent payments, if performance targets were met, would not significantly impact the Company's financial position or results of operations.

**NOTE C DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS***Sale of non-core radio stations*

The Company determined that each radio station market in Clear Channel's previously announced non-core radio station sales represents a disposal group consistent with the provisions of ASC 360-10. Consistent with the provisions of ASC 360-10, the Company classified these assets that are subject to transfer under the definitive asset purchase agreements as discontinued operations for all periods presented. Accordingly, depreciation and amortization associated with these assets was discontinued. Additionally, the Company determined that these assets comprised operations and cash flows that can be clearly distinguished, operationally and for financial reporting purposes, from the rest of the Company.



**Table of Contents***Sale of the television business*

On March 14, 2008, Clear Channel completed the sale of its television business to Newport Television, LLC for \$1.0 billion, adjusted for certain items including proration of expenses and adjustments for working capital. As a result, Clear Channel recorded a gain of \$662.9 million as a component of Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net in its consolidated statement of operations during the first quarter of 2008. Additionally, net income and cash flows from the television business were classified as discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations and the consolidated statements of cash flows, respectively, in 2008 through the date of sale and for the year ended December 31, 2007. The net assets related to the television business were classified as discontinued operations as of December 31, 2007.

*Summarized Financial Information of Discontinued Operations*

Summarized operating results for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 from these businesses are as follows:

*(In thousands)*

	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008	Year ended December 31, 2007
	<u>Post-Merger</u>	<u>Pre-Merger</u>	<u>Pre-Merger</u>
Revenue	\$ 1,364	\$ 74,783	\$ 442,263
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ (3,160)	\$ 702,698	\$ 209,882

Included in income (loss) from discontinued operations, net is an income tax benefit of \$1.3 million for the period July 31 through December 31, 2008. Included for the period from January 1 through July 30, 2008 is income tax expense of \$62.4 million and a gain of \$695.8 million related to the sale of Clear Channel's television business and certain radio stations. The Company estimates utilization of approximately \$585.3 million of capital loss carryforwards to offset a portion of the taxes associated with these gains. The Company had approximately \$699.6 million, before valuation allowance, in capital loss carryforwards remaining as of December 31, 2008.

Included in income (loss) from discontinued operations, net is income tax expense of \$64.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Also included in income (loss) from discontinued operations, net for the year ended December 31, 2007 are gains on the sale of certain radio stations of \$144.6 million.

**NOTE D - INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND GOODWILL***Definite-lived Intangible Assets*

The Company has definite-lived intangible assets which consist primarily of transit and street furniture contracts, permanent easements that provide the Company access to certain of its outdoor displays, and other contractual rights in its Americas and International outdoor segments. The Company has talent and program right contracts in its radio segment and contracts for non-affiliated radio and television stations in its media representation operations. These definite-lived intangible assets are amortized over the shorter of either the respective lives of the agreements or over the period of time the assets are expected to contribute directly or indirectly to the Company's future cash flows.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization for each major class of definite-lived intangible assets at December 31, 2009 and 2008:

(In thousands)

	Post-Merger December 31, 2009		Post-Merger December 31, 2008	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Transit, street furniture, and other outdoor contractual rights	\$ 803,297	\$ 166,803	\$ 883,130	\$ 49,818
Customer / advertiser relationships	1,210,205	169,897	1,210,205	49,970
Talent contracts	320,854	57,825	161,644	7,479
Representation contracts	218,584	54,755	216,955	21,537
Other	550,041	54,457	548,180	9,590
Total	\$ 3,102,981	\$ 503,737	\$ 3,020,114	\$ 138,394

Total amortization expense from continuing operations related to definite-lived intangible assets was:

(In millions)

	Year ended December 31, 2009 Post-Merger	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008 Post-Merger	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008 Pre-Merger	Year ended December 31, 2007 Pre-Merger
Amortization expense	\$ 341.6	\$ 150.3	\$ 58.3	\$ 105.0

Included in amortization expense in 2009 is \$32.4 million for amounts since the date of the merger related to a purchase accounting adjustment of \$157.7 million to increase the balance of the Company's talent contracts.

During the first seven months of 2009, the Company decreased the initial fair value estimate of its permits, contracts, site leases, and other assets and liabilities primarily in its Americas segment by \$116.1 million based on additional information received.

As acquisitions and dispositions occur in the future and as purchase price allocations are finalized, amortization expense may vary. The following table presents the Company's estimate of amortization expense for each of the five succeeding fiscal years for definite-lived intangible assets:

(In thousands)

2010	\$ 319,967
2011	298,927
2012	289,449
2013	275,033
2014	253,626

*Indefinite-lived Intangible Assets*

The Company's indefinite-lived intangible assets consist of FCC broadcast licenses and billboard permits. FCC broadcast licenses are granted to radio stations for up to eight years under the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act"). The Act requires the FCC to renew a broadcast license if the FCC finds that the station has served the public interest, convenience and necessity, there have been no serious violations of either the Communications Act of 1934 or the FCC's rules and regulations by the licensee, and there have been no other serious violations which taken together constitute a pattern of abuse. The licenses may be renewed indefinitely at little or no cost. The Company does not believe that the technology of wireless broadcasting will be replaced in the foreseeable future.



---

## **Table of Contents**

The Company's billboard permits are effectively issued in perpetuity by state and local governments and are transferable or renewable at little or no cost. Permits typically specify the location which allows the Company the right to operate an advertising structure at the specified location. The Company's permits are located on owned land, leased land or land for which we have acquired permanent easements. In cases where the Company's permits are located on leased land, the leases typically have initial terms of between 10 and 20 years and renew indefinitely, with rental payments generally escalating at an inflation-based index. If the Company loses its lease, the Company will typically obtain permission to relocate the permit or bank it with the municipality for future use.

The indefinite-lived intangibles and goodwill are not subject to amortization, but are tested for impairment at least annually. The Company tests for possible impairment of indefinite-lived intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances, such as a reduction in operating cash flow or a dramatic change in the manner for which the asset is intended to be used, indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. If indicators exist, the Company compares the undiscounted cash flows related to the asset to the carrying value of the asset. If the carrying value is greater than the undiscounted cash flow amount, an impairment charge is recorded in amortization expense in the statement of operations for amounts necessary to reduce the carrying value of the asset to fair value.

### *Interim Impairments to FCC Licenses*

The United States and global economies have undergone an economic downturn, which caused, among other things, a general tightening in the credit markets, limited access to the credit markets, lower levels of liquidity and lower consumer and business spending. These disruptions in the credit and financial markets and the impact of adverse economic, financial and industry conditions on the demand for advertising negatively impacted the key assumptions used in the discounted cash flow models used to value the Company's FCC licenses since the merger. Therefore, the Company performed an interim impairment test on its FCC licenses as of December 31, 2008, which resulted in a non-cash impairment charge of \$936.2 million.

The industry cash flows forecast by BIA Financial Network, Inc. ( "BIA" ) during the first six months of 2009 were below the BIA forecast used in the discounted cash flow model used to calculate the impairment at December 31, 2008. As a result, the Company performed another interim impairment test as of June 30, 2009 on its FCC licenses resulting in an additional non-cash impairment charge of \$590.3 million.

The impairment test consisted of a comparison of the fair value of the FCC licenses at the market level with their carrying amount. If the carrying amount of the FCC license exceeded its fair value, an impairment loss was recognized equal to that excess. After an impairment loss is recognized, the adjusted carrying amount of the FCC license is its new accounting basis. The fair value of the FCC licenses was determined using the direct valuation method as prescribed in ASC 805-20-S99. Under the direct valuation method, the fair value of the FCC licenses was calculated at the market level as prescribed by ASC 350-30-35. The Company engaged Mesirow Financial, a third-party valuation firm, to assist it in the development of the assumptions and the Company's determination of the fair value of its FCC licenses.

The application of the direct valuation method attempts to isolate the income that is properly attributable to the license alone (that is, apart from tangible and identified intangible assets and goodwill). It is based upon modeling a hypothetical greenfield build up to a normalized enterprise that, by design, lacks inherent goodwill and whose only other assets have essentially been paid for (or added) as part of the build-up process. The Company forecasted revenue, expenses, and cash flows over a ten-year period for each of its markets in its application of the direct valuation method. The Company also calculated a normalized residual year which represents the perpetual cash flows of each market. The residual year cash flow was capitalized to arrive at the terminal value of the licenses in each market.

Under the direct valuation method, it is assumed that rather than acquiring indefinite-lived intangible assets as part of a going concern business, the buyer hypothetically develops indefinite-lived intangible assets and builds a new operation with similar attributes from scratch. Thus, the buyer incurs start-up costs during the build-up phase which are normally associated with going concern value. Initial capital costs are deducted from the discounted cash flow model which results in value that is directly attributable to the indefinite-lived intangible assets.

## **Table of Contents**

The key assumptions using the direct valuation method are market revenue growth rates, market share, profit margin, duration and profile of the build-up period, estimated start-up capital costs and losses incurred during the build-up period, the risk-adjusted discount rate and terminal values. This data is populated using industry normalized information representing an average FCC license within a market.

Management uses publicly available information from BIA regarding the future revenue expectations for the radio broadcasting industry.

The build-up period represents the time it takes for the hypothetical start-up operation to reach normalized operations in terms of achieving a mature market share and profit margin. Management believes that a three-year build-up period is required for a start-up operation to obtain the necessary infrastructure and obtain advertisers. It is estimated that a start-up operation would gradually obtain a mature market revenue share in three years. BIA forecasted industry revenue growth of 1.9% and negative 1.8%, respectively, during the build-up period used in the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment tests. The cost structure is expected to reach the normalized level over three years due to the time required to establish operations and recognize the synergies and cost savings associated with the ownership of the FCC licenses within the market.

The estimated operating margin in the first year of operations was assumed to be 12.5% based on observable market data for an independent start-up radio station for both the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment tests. The estimated operating margin in the second year of operations was assumed to be the mid-point of the first-year operating margin and the normalized operating margin. The normalized operating margin in the third year was assumed to be the industry average margin of 30% and 29%, respectively, based on an analysis of comparable companies for the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment tests. The first and second-year expenses include the non-operating start-up costs necessary to build the operation (i.e. development of customers, workforce, etc.).

In addition to cash flows during the projection period, a normalized residual cash flow was calculated based upon industry-average growth of 2% beyond the discrete build-up projection period for both the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment tests. The residual cash flow was then capitalized to arrive at the terminal value.

The present value of the cash flows is calculated using an estimated required rate of return based upon industry-average market conditions. In determining the estimated required rate of return, management calculated a discount rate using both current and historical trends in the industry.

The Company calculated the discount rate as of the valuation date and also one-year, two-year, and three-year historical quarterly averages. The discount rate was calculated by weighting the required returns on interest-bearing debt and common equity capital in proportion to their estimated percentages in an expected capital structure. The capital structure was estimated based on the quarterly average of data for publicly traded companies in the radio broadcasting industry.

The calculation of the discount rate required the rate of return on debt, which was based on a review of the credit ratings for comparable companies (i.e. market participants). The Company calculated the average yield on a Standard & Poor's B and CCC rated corporate bond which was used for the pre-tax rate of return on debt and tax-effected such yield based on applicable tax rates.

The rate of return on equity capital was estimated using a modified Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM). Inputs to this model included the yield on long-term U.S. Treasury Bonds, forecast betas for comparable companies, calculation of a market risk premium based on research and empirical evidence and calculation of a size premium derived from historical differences in returns between small companies and large companies using data published by Ibbotson Associates.

## **Table of Contents**

The concluded discount rate used in the discounted cash flow models to determine the fair value of the licenses was 10% for the 13 largest markets and 10.5% for all other markets in both the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment models. Applying the discount rate, the present value of cash flows during the discrete projection period and terminal value were added to estimate the fair value of the hypothetical start-up operation. The initial capital investment was subtracted to arrive at the value of the licenses. The initial capital investment represents the fixed assets needed to operate the radio station.

The discount rate used in the December 31, 2008 impairment model increased 150 basis points compared to the discount rate used in the preliminary purchase price allocation as of July 30, 2008 which resulted in a decline in the fair value of the Company's licenses. As a result, the Company recognized a non-cash impairment charge in approximately one-quarter of its markets, which totaled \$936.2 million. The fair value of the Company's FCC licenses was \$3.0 billion at December 31, 2008.

The BIA forecast for 2009 declined 8.7% and declined between 13.8% and 15.7% through 2013 compared to the BIA forecasts used in the 2008 impairment test. Additionally, the industry profit margin declined 100 basis points from the 2008 impairment test. These market driven changes were primarily responsible for the decline in fair value of the FCC licenses below their carrying value. As a result, the Company recognized a non-cash impairment charge in approximately one-quarter of its markets, which totaled \$590.3 million. The fair value of the Company's FCC licenses was \$2.4 billion at June 30, 2009.

In calculating the fair value of its FCC licenses, the Company primarily relied on the discounted cash flow models. However, the Company relied on the stick method for those markets where the discounted cash flow model resulted in a value less than the stick method indicated.

To estimate the stick values for its markets, the Company obtained historical radio station transaction data from BIA which involved sales of individual radio stations whereby the station format was immediately abandoned after acquisition. These transactions are highly indicative of stick transactions in which the buyer does not assign value to any of the other acquired assets (i.e. tangible or intangible assets) and is only purchasing the FCC license.

In addition, the Company analyzed publicly available FCC license auction data involving radio broadcast licenses. Periodically, the FCC will hold an auction for certain FCC licenses in various markets and these auction prices reflect the purchase of only the FCC radio license.

Based on this analysis, the stick values were estimated to be the minimum value of a radio license within each market. This value was considered to be the fair value of the license for those markets where the present value of the cash flows and terminal value did not exceed the estimated stick value. Approximately 17% and 23% of the fair value of the Company's FCC licenses at December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, respectively, was determined using the stick method.

### *Annual Impairment Test to FCC Licenses*

The Company performs its annual impairment test on October 1 of each year. The Company engaged Mesirow Financial, a third-party valuation firm, to assist it in the development of the assumptions and the Company's determination of the fair value of its FCC licenses. The aggregate fair value of the Company's FCC licenses on October 1, 2009 increased approximately 11% from the fair value at June 30, 2009. The increase in fair value resulted primarily from an increase of \$120.4 million related to improved revenue forecasts and an increase of \$195.9 million related to a decline in the discount rate of 50 basis points. The Company calculated the discount rate as of the valuation date and also one-year, two-year, and three-year historical quarterly averages. The discount rate was calculated by weighting the required returns on interest-bearing debt and common equity capital in proportion to their estimated percentages in an expected capital structure. The capital structure was estimated based on the quarterly average of data for publicly traded companies in the radio broadcasting industry. These market driven changes were responsible for the decline in the calculated discount rate.

As a result of the increase in the fair value of the Company's FCC licenses, no impairment was recorded at October 1, 2009. The fair value of the Company's FCC licenses at October 1, 2009 was approximately \$2.7 billion.

## **Table of Contents**

### *Interim Impairments to Billboard Permits*

The Company's billboard permits are effectively issued in perpetuity by state and local governments as they are transferable or renewable at little or no cost. Permits typically include the location which permits the Company to operate an advertising structure. Due to significant differences in both business practices and regulations, billboards in the International segment are subject to long-term, finite contracts unlike the Company's permits in the United States and Canada. Accordingly, there are no indefinite-lived assets in the International segment.

The United States and global economies have undergone a period of economic uncertainty, which caused, among other things, a general tightening in the credit markets, limited access to the credit markets, lower levels of liquidity and lower consumer and business spending. These disruptions in the credit and financial markets and the impact of adverse economic, financial and industry conditions on the demand for advertising negatively impacted the key assumptions used in the discounted cash flow models used to value the Company's billboard permits since the merger. Therefore, the Company performed an interim impairment test on its billboard permits as of December 31, 2008, which resulted in a non-cash impairment charge of \$722.6 million.

The Company's cash flows during the first six months of 2009 were below those in the discounted cash flow model used to calculate the impairment at December 31, 2008. As a result, the Company performed an interim impairment test as of June 30, 2009 on its billboard permits resulting in a non-cash impairment charge of \$345.4 million.

The impairment test consisted of a comparison of the fair value of the billboard permits at the market level with their carrying amount. If the carrying amount of the billboard permits exceeded their fair value, an impairment loss was recognized equal to that excess. After an impairment loss is recognized, the adjusted carrying amount of the billboard permit is its new accounting basis. The fair value of the billboard permits was determined using the direct valuation method as prescribed in ASC 805-20-S99. Under the direct valuation method, the fair value of the billboard permits was calculated at the market level as prescribed by ASC 350-30-35. The Company engaged Mesirow Financial to assist it in the development of the assumptions and the Company's determination of the fair value of the billboard permits.

The Company's application of the direct valuation method utilized the "greenfield" approach as discussed above. The key assumptions using the direct valuation method are market revenue growth rates, market share, profit margin, duration and profile of the build-up period, estimated start-up capital costs and losses incurred during the build-up period, the risk-adjusted discount rate and terminal values. This data is populated using industry normalized information representing an average billboard permit within a market.

Management uses its internal forecasts to estimate industry normalized information as it believes these forecasts are similar to what a market participant would expect to generate. This is due to the pricing structure and demand for outdoor signage in a market being relatively constant regardless of the owner of the operation. Management also relied on its internal forecasts because there is little public data available for each of its markets.

The build-up period represents the time it takes for the hypothetical start-up operation to reach normalized operations in terms of achieving a mature market revenue share and profit margin. Management believes that a one-year build-up period is required for a start-up operation to erect the necessary structures and obtain advertisers in order to achieve mature market revenue share. It is estimated that a start-up operation would be able to obtain 10% of the potential revenues in the first year of operations and 100% in the second year. Management assumed industry revenue growth of negative 9% and negative 16%, respectively, during the build-up period used in the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 interim impairment tests. However, the cost structure is expected to reach the normalized level over three years due to the time required to recognize the synergies and cost savings associated with the ownership of the permits within the market.

---

**Table of Contents**

For the normalized operating margin in the third year, management assumed a hypothetical business would operate at the lower of the operating margin for the specific market or the industry average margin of approximately 46% and 45% based on an analysis of comparable companies in the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment models, respectively. For the first and second-year of operations, the operating margin was assumed to be 50% of the normalized operating margin for both the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment models. The first and second-year expenses include the non-recurring start-up costs necessary to build the operation (i.e. development of customers, workforce, etc.).

In addition to cash flows during the projection period, a normalized residual cash flow was calculated based upon industry-average growth of 3% beyond the discrete build-up projection period in both the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment models. The residual cash flow was then capitalized to arrive at the terminal value.

The present value of the cash flows is calculated using an estimated required rate of return based upon industry-average market conditions. In determining the estimated required rate of return, management calculated a discount rate using both current and historical trends in the industry.

The Company calculated the discount rate as of the valuation date and also one-year, two-year, and three-year historical quarterly averages. The discount rate was calculated by weighting the required returns on interest-bearing debt and common equity capital in proportion to their estimated percentages in an expected capital structure. The capital structure was estimated based on the quarterly average of data for publicly traded companies in the outdoor advertising industry.

The calculation of the discount rate required the rate of return on debt, which was based on a review of the credit ratings for comparable companies (i.e. market participants). Management used the yield on a Standard & Poor's B<sup>+</sup> rated corporate bond for the pre-tax rate of return on debt and tax-effected such yield based on applicable tax rates.

The rate of return on equity capital was estimated using a modified CAPM. Inputs to this model included the yield on long-term U.S. Treasury Bonds, forecast betas for comparable companies, calculation of a market risk premium based on research and empirical evidence and calculation of a size premium derived from historical differences in returns between small companies and large companies using data published by Ibbotson Associates.

The concluded discount rate used in the discounted cash flow models to determine the fair value of the permits was 9.5% at December 31, 2008 and 10% at June 30, 2009. Applying the discount rate, the present value of cash flows during the discrete projection period and terminal value were added to estimate the fair value of the hypothetical start-up operation. The initial capital investment was subtracted to arrive at the value of the permits. The initial capital investment represents the expenditures required to erect the necessary advertising structures.

The discount rate used in the December 31, 2008 impairment model increased approximately 100 basis points over the discount rate used to value the permits in the preliminary purchase price allocation as of July 30, 2008. Industry revenue forecasts declined 10% through 2013 compared to the forecasts used in the preliminary purchase price allocation as of July 30, 2008. These market driven changes were primarily responsible for the decline in fair value of the billboard permits below their carrying value. As a result, the Company recognized a non-cash impairment charge which totaled \$722.6 million. The fair value of the permits was \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2008.

The discount rate used in the June 30, 2009 impairment model increased approximately 50 basis points over the discount rate used to value the permits at December 31, 2008. Industry revenue forecasts declined 8% through 2013 compared to the forecasts used in the 2008 impairment test. These market driven changes were primarily responsible for the decline in fair value of the billboard permits below their carrying value. As a result, the Company recognized a non-cash impairment charge in all but five of its markets in the United States and Canada, which totaled \$345.4 million. The fair value of the permits was \$1.1 billion at June 30, 2009.



## **Table of Contents**

### *Annual Impairment Test to Billboard Permits*

The Company performs its annual impairment test on October 1 of each year. The Company engaged Mesirow Financial to assist it in the development of the assumptions and the Company's determination of the fair value of the billboard permits. The aggregate fair value of the Company's permits on October 1, 2009 increased approximately 8% from the fair value at June 30, 2009. The increase in fair value resulted primarily from an increase of \$57.7 million related to improved industry revenue forecasts. The discount rate was unchanged from the June 30, 2009 interim impairment analysis. The Company calculated the discount rate as of the valuation date and also one-year, two-year, and three-year historical quarterly averages. The discount rate was calculated by weighting the required returns on interest-bearing debt and common equity capital in proportion to their estimated percentages in an expected capital structure. The capital structure was estimated based on the quarterly average of data for publicly traded companies in the outdoor advertising industry.

The fair value of the Company's permits at October 1, 2009 was approximately \$1.2 billion.

### *Interim Impairments to Goodwill*

The Company tests goodwill at interim dates if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill might be impaired. The United States and global economies have undergone a period of economic uncertainty, which caused, among other things, a general tightening in the credit markets, limited access to the credit markets, lower levels of liquidity and lower consumer and business spending. These disruptions in the credit and financial markets and the impact of adverse economic, financial and industry conditions on the demand for advertising negatively impacted the key assumptions used in the discounted cash flow model used to value the Company's reporting units since the merger. Therefore, the Company performed an interim impairment test resulting in a non-cash impairment charge of \$3.6 billion as of December 31, 2008.

The Company's cash flows during the first six months of 2009 were below those used in the discounted cash flow model used to calculate the impairment at December 31, 2008. Additionally, the fair value of the Company's debt and equity at June 30, 2009 was below the carrying amount of its reporting units at June 30, 2009. As a result of these indicators, the Company performed an interim goodwill impairment test as of June 30, 2009 resulting in a non-cash impairment charge of \$3.1 billion.

The goodwill impairment test is a two-step process. The first step, used to screen for potential impairment, compares the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If applicable, the second step, used to measure the amount of the impairment loss, compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill.

Each of the Company's reporting units is valued using a discounted cash flow model which requires estimating future cash flows expected to be generated from the reporting unit, discounted to their present value using a risk-adjusted discount rate. Terminal values were also estimated and discounted to their present value. Assessing the recoverability of goodwill requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions about sales, operating margins, growth rates and discount rates based on its budgets, business plans, economic projections, anticipated future cash flows and marketplace data. There are inherent uncertainties related to these factors and management's judgment in applying these factors. The Company engaged Mesirow Financial to assist the Company in the development of these assumptions and the Company's determination of the fair value of its reporting units.

The following table presents the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill in each of the Company's reportable segments. The provisions of ASC 350-20-50-1 require the disclosure of cumulative impairment. As a result of the merger, a new basis in goodwill was recorded in accordance with ASC 805-10. All impairments shown in the table below have been recorded subsequent to the merger and, therefore, do not include any pre-merger impairment.

**Table of Contents***(In thousands)*

	<u>Radio</u>	<u>Americas Outdoor</u>	<u>International Outdoor</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>Pre-Merger</b>					
Balance as of December 31, 2007	\$ 6,045,527	\$ 688,336	\$ 474,253	\$ 2,000	\$ 7,210,116
Acquisitions	7,051		12,341		19,392
Dispositions	(20,931)				(20,931)
Foreign currency		(293)	28,596		28,303
Adjustments	(423)	(970)			(1,393)
Balance as of July 30, 2008	\$ 6,031,224	\$ 687,073	\$ 515,190	\$ 2,000	\$ 7,235,487

*(In thousands)*

	<u>Radio</u>	<u>Americas Outdoor</u>	<u>International Outdoor</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>Post-Merger</b>					
Balance as of July 31, 2008	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Preliminary purchase price allocation	6,335,220	2,805,780	603,712	60,115	9,804,827
Purchase price adjustments - net	356,040	438,025	(76,116)	271,175	989,124
Impairment	(1,115,033)	(2,321,602)	(173,435)		(3,610,070)
Acquisitions	3,486				3,486
Foreign exchange		(29,605)	(63,519)		(93,124)
Other	(523)		(3,099)		(3,622)
Balance as of December 31, 2008	5,579,190	892,598	287,543	331,290	7,090,621
Impairment	(2,420,897)	(390,374)	(73,764)	(211,988)	(3,097,023)
Acquisitions	4,518	2,250	110		6,878
Dispositions	(62,410)			(2,276)	(64,686)
Foreign currency		16,293	17,412		33,705
Purchase price adjustments - net	47,086	68,896	45,042	(482)	160,542
Other	(618)	(4,414)			(5,032)
Balance as of December 31, 2009	\$ 3,146,869	\$ 585,249	\$ 276,343	\$ 116,544	\$ 4,125,005

Each of the Company's U.S. radio markets and outdoor advertising markets are components. The U.S. radio markets are aggregated into a single reporting unit and the U.S. outdoor advertising markets are aggregated into a single reporting unit for purposes of the goodwill impairment test using the guidance in ASC 350-20-55. The Company also determined that within its Americas outdoor segment, Canada, Mexico, Peru, and Brazil constitute separate reporting units and each country in its International outdoor segment constitutes a separate reporting unit.

The discounted cash flow model indicated that the Company failed the first step of the impairment test for certain of its reporting units as of December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, which required it to compare the implied fair value of each reporting unit's goodwill with its carrying value.

The discounted cash flow approach the Company uses for valuing its reporting units involves estimating future cash flows expected to be generated from the related assets, discounted to their present value using a risk-adjusted discount rate. Terminal values are also estimated and discounted to their present value.

The Company forecasted revenue, expenses, and cash flows over a ten-year period for each of its reporting units. In projecting future cash flows, the Company considers a variety of factors including its historical growth rates, macroeconomic conditions, advertising sector and industry trends as well as Company-specific information. Historically, revenues in its industries have been highly correlated to economic cycles. Based on these considerations, the assumed 2008 and 2009 revenue growth rates used in the December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 impairment models were negative followed by assumed revenue growth with an anticipated economic recovery in 2009 and 2010, respectively. To arrive at the projected cash flows and resulting growth rates, the Company evaluated its historical operating results, current management initiatives and both historical and anticipated industry results to assess the reasonableness of the operating margin assumptions. The Company also calculated a normalized residual year which represents the perpetual cash flows of each reporting unit. The residual year cash flow was capitalized to arrive at the terminal value of the reporting unit.



---

**Table of Contents**

The Company calculated the weighted average cost of capital ( WACC ) as of December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 and also one-year, two-year, and three-year historical quarterly averages for each of its reporting units. WACC is an overall rate based upon the individual rates of return for invested capital (equity and interest-bearing debt). The WACC is calculated by weighting the required returns on interest-bearing debt and common equity capital in proportion to their estimated percentages in an expected capital structure. The capital structure was estimated based on the quarterly average data for publicly traded companies in the radio and outdoor advertising industry. The calculation of the WACC considered both current industry WACCs and historical trends in the industry.

The calculation of the WACC requires the rate of return on debt, which was based on a review of the credit ratings for comparable companies (i.e. market participants) and the indicated yield on similarly rated bonds.

The rate of return on equity capital was estimated using a modified CAPM. Inputs to this model included the yield on long-term U.S. Treasury Bonds, forecast betas for comparable companies, calculation of a market risk premium based on research and empirical evidence and calculation of a size premium derived from historical differences in returns between small companies and large companies using data published by Ibbotson Associates.

In line with advertising industry trends, the Company's operations and expected cash flow are subject to significant uncertainties about future developments, including timing and severity of the recessionary trends and customers' behaviors. To address these risks, the Company included company-specific risk premiums for each of the reporting units in the estimated WACC. Based on this analysis, as of December 31, 2008, company-specific risk premiums of 100 basis points, 300 basis points and 300 basis points were included for the Radio, Americas outdoor and International outdoor segments, respectively, resulting in WACCs of 11%, 12.5% and 12.5% for each of the reporting units in the Radio, Americas outdoor and International outdoor segments, respectively. As of June 30, 2009, company-specific risk premiums of 100 basis points, 250 basis points and 350 basis points were included for the Radio, Americas outdoor and International outdoor segments, respectively, resulting in WACCs of 11%, 12.5% and 13.5% for each of the reporting units in the Radio, Americas outdoor and International outdoor segments, respectively. Applying these WACCs, the present value of cash flows during the discrete projection period and terminal value were added to estimate the fair value of the reporting units.

The discount rate utilized in the valuation of the FCC licenses and outdoor permits as of December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009 excludes the company-specific risk premiums that were added to the industry WACCs used in the valuation of the reporting units. Management believes the exclusion of this premium is appropriate given the difference between the nature of the licenses and billboard permits and reporting unit cash flow projections. The cash flow projections utilized under the direct valuation method for the licenses and permits are derived from utilizing industry normalized information for the existing portfolio of licenses and permits. Given that the underlying cash flow projections are based on industry normalized information, application of an industry average discount rate is appropriate. Conversely, the cash flow projections for the overall reporting unit are based on internal forecasts for each business and incorporate future growth and initiatives unrelated to the existing license and permit portfolio. Additionally, the projections for the reporting unit include cash flows related to non-FCC license and non-permit based assets. In the valuation of the reporting unit, the company-specific risk premiums were added to the industry WACCs due to the risks inherent in achieving the projected cash flows of the reporting unit.

The Company also utilized the market approach to provide a test of reasonableness to the results of the discounted cash flow model. The market approach indicates the fair value of the invested capital of a business based on a company's market capitalization (if publicly traded) and a comparison of the business to comparable publicly traded companies and transactions in its industry. This approach can be estimated through the quoted market price method, the market comparable method, and the market transaction method.

One indication of the fair value of a business is the quoted market price in active markets for the debt and equity of the business. The quoted market price of equity multiplied by the number of shares outstanding yields the fair value of the equity of a business on a marketable, noncontrolling basis. A premium for control is then applied and added to the estimated fair value of interest-bearing debt to indicate the fair value of the invested capital of the business on a marketable, controlling basis.

## **Table of Contents**

The market comparable method provides an indication of the fair value of the invested capital of a business by comparing it to publicly traded companies in similar lines of business. The conditions and prospects of companies in similar lines of business depend on common factors such as overall demand for their products and services. An analysis of the market multiples of companies engaged in similar lines of business yields insight into investor perceptions and, therefore, the value of the subject business. These multiples are then applied to the operating results of the subject business to estimate the fair value of the invested capital on a marketable, noncontrolling basis. The Company then applies a premium for control to indicate the fair value of the business on a marketable, controlling basis.

The market transaction method estimates the fair value of the invested capital of a business based on exchange prices in actual transactions and on asking prices for controlling interests in similar companies recently offered for sale. This process involves comparison and correlation of the subject business with other similar companies that have recently been purchased. Considerations such as location, time of sale, physical characteristics, and conditions of sale are analyzed for comparable businesses.

The three variations of the market approach indicated that the fair value determined by the Company's discounted cash flow model was within a reasonable range of outcomes as of December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009.

The revenue forecasts for 2009 declined 18%, 21% and 29% for Radio, Americas outdoor and International outdoor, respectively, compared to the forecasts used in the July 30, 2008 preliminary purchase price allocation primarily as a result of the revenues realized for the year ended December 31, 2008. These market driven changes were primarily responsible for the decline in fair value of the reporting units below their carrying value. As a result, the Company recognized a non-cash impairment charge to reduce its goodwill of \$3.6 billion at December 31, 2008.

The revenue forecasts for 2009 declined 8%, 7% and 9% for Radio, Americas outdoor and International outdoor, respectively, compared to the forecasts used in the 2008 impairment test primarily as a result of the revenues realized during the first six months of 2009. These market driven changes were primarily responsible for the decline in fair value of the reporting units below their carrying value. As a result, the Company recognized a non-cash impairment charge to reduce its goodwill of \$3.1 billion at June 30, 2009.

### *Annual Impairment Test to Goodwill*

The Company performs its annual impairment test on October 1 of each year. The Company engaged Mesirov Financial to assist the Company in the development of these assumptions and the Company's determination of the fair value of its reporting units. The fair value of the Company's reporting units on October 1, 2009 increased from the fair value at June 30, 2009. The increase in fair value of the radio reporting unit was primarily the result of a 50 basis point decline in the WACC as well as a 130 basis point increase in the long-term operating margin. The increase in fair value of the Americas reporting unit was primarily the result of a 150 basis point decline in the WACC. Application of the market approach described above supported lowering the company-specific risk premium used in the discounted cash flow model to fair value the Americas reporting unit. The increase in the aggregate fair value of the reporting units in the Company's International outdoor segment was primarily the result of an improvement in the long-term revenue forecasts. As discussed in Note B, a certain reporting unit in the International outdoor segment recognized a \$41.4 million impairment to goodwill related to the fair value adjustments of certain noncontrolling interests recorded in the merger pursuant to ASC 480-10-S99.

## **NOTE E INVESTMENTS**

The Company's most significant investments in nonconsolidated affiliates are listed below:

### **Australian Radio Network**

The Company owns a fifty-percent (50%) interest in Australian Radio Network (ARN), an Australian company that owns and operates radio stations in Australia and New Zealand.

**Table of Contents****Grupo ACIR Comunicaciones**

Clear Channel sold a portion of its investment in Grupo ACIR for approximately \$47.0 million on July 1, 2008 and recorded a gain of \$9.2 million in equity in earnings of nonconsolidated affiliates during the pre-merger period ended July 30, 2008. Effective January 30, 2009 the Company sold 57% of its remaining 20% interest in Grupo ACIR. The Company sold the remainder of its interest on July 28, 2009.

**Summarized Financial Information**

The following table summarizes the Company's investments in nonconsolidated affiliates:

(In thousands)

	All			
	ARN	Grupo ACIR	Others	Total
At December 31, 2008	\$ 290,808	\$ 41,518	\$ 51,811	\$ 384,137
Reclass to cost method investments and other		(17,469)	1,283	(16,186)
Acquisition (disposition) of investments, net		(19,153)	(19)	(19,172)
Cash advances (repayments)	(17,263)	3	4,402	(12,858)
Equity in net earnings (loss)	15,191	(4,372)	(31,508)	(20,689)
Foreign currency transaction adjustment	(10,354)			(10,354)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	42,396	(527)	819	42,688
Fair value adjustments			(2,217)	(2,217)
At December 31, 2009	\$ 320,778	\$	\$ 24,571	\$ 345,349

The investments in the table above are not consolidated, but are accounted for under the equity method of accounting, whereby the Company records its investments in these entities in the balance sheet as Investments in, and advances to, nonconsolidated affiliates. The Company's interests in their operations are recorded in the statement of operations as Equity in earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates. There were no undistributed earnings for the year ended December 31, 2009. Accumulated undistributed earnings included in retained deficit for these investments were \$3.6 million and \$133.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

**Other Investments**

Other investments of \$44.7 million and \$33.5 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, include marketable equity securities and other investments classified as follows:

(In thousands)

<u>Investments</u>	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Gross Unrealized Gains	Cost
<b>2009</b>				
Available-for sale	\$ 38,902	\$ (12,237)	\$ 32,035	\$ 19,104
Other cost investments	5,783			5,783
Total	\$ 44,685	\$ (12,237)	\$ 32,035	\$ 24,887
<b>2008</b>				
Available-for sale	\$ 27,110	\$	\$	\$ 27,110
Other cost investments	6,397			6,397
Total	\$ 33,507	\$	\$	\$ 33,507



**Table of Contents**

The Company's available-for-sale security, Independent News & Media PLC ( INM ), was in an unrealized loss position for an extended period of time in 2008 and 2009. As a result, the Company considered the guidance in ASC 320-10-S99 and reviewed the length of the time and the extent to which the market value was less than cost and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. After this assessment, the Company concluded that the impairment was other than temporary and recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$11.3 million and \$59.8 million in Gain (loss) on marketable securities for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In addition, the fair value of the Company's available-for-sale security, Sirius XM Radio, Inc., was below its cost for an extended period of time in 2008. After considering ASC 320-10-S99 guidance, the Company concluded that the impairment was other than temporary and recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$56.7 million in Gain (loss) on marketable securities for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Clear Channel sold its American Tower Corporation securities in the second quarter of 2008 and recorded a gain of \$30.4 million on the statement of operations in Gain (loss) on marketable securities .

Other cost investments include various investments in companies for which there is no readily determinable market value.

**NOTE F - ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION**

The Company's asset retirement obligation is reported in Other long-term liabilities and relates to its obligation to dismantle and remove outdoor advertising displays from leased land and to reclaim the site to its original condition upon the termination or non-renewal of a lease. When the liability is recorded, the cost is capitalized as part of the related long-lived assets carrying value. Due to the high rate of lease renewals over a long period of time, the calculation assumes that all related assets will be removed at some period over the next 50 years. An estimate of third-party cost information is used with respect to the dismantling of the structures and the reclamation of the site. The interest rate used to calculate the present value of such costs over the retirement period is based on an estimated risk adjusted credit rate for the same period.

The following table presents the activity related to the Company's asset retirement obligation:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Post-Merger Year ended December 31, 2009	Post-Merger Period ended December 31, 2008	Pre-Merger Period ended July 30, 2008
Beginning balance	\$ 55,592	\$ 59,278	\$ 70,497
Adjustment due to change in estimate of related costs	(6,721)	(3,123)	1,853
Accretion of liability	5,209	2,233	3,084
Liabilities settled	(2,779)	(2,796)	(2,558)
Ending balance	\$ 51,301	\$ 55,592	\$ 72,876



**Table of Contents****NOTE G - LONG-TERM DEBT**

Long-term debt at December 31, 2009 and 2008 consisted of the following:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	December 31, 2009 Post-Merger	December 31, 2008 Post-Merger
<b>Senior Secured Credit Facilities:</b>		
Term loan A Facility Due 2014 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,127,657	\$ 1,331,500
Term loan B Facility Due 2016	9,061,911	10,700,000
Term loan C - Asset Sale Facility Due 2016 <sup>(1)</sup>	695,879	695,879
Revolving Credit Facility Due 2014	1,812,500	220,000
Delayed Draw Facilities Due 2016	874,432	532,500
Receivables Based Facility Due 2014	355,732	445,609
Other Secured Long-term Debt	5,225	6,604
<b>Total Consolidated Secured Debt</b>	<b>13,933,336</b>	<b>13,932,092</b>
Senior Cash Pay Notes	796,250	980,000
Senior Toggle Notes	915,200	1,330,000
<b>Clear Channel Senior Notes:</b>		
4.25% Senior Notes Due 2009		500,000
7.65% Senior Notes Due 2010	116,181	133,681
4.5% Senior Notes Due 2010	239,975	250,000
6.25% Senior Notes Due 2011	692,737	722,941
4.4% Senior Notes Due 2011	140,241	223,279
5.0% Senior Notes Due 2012	249,851	275,800
5.75% Senior Notes Due 2013	312,109	475,739
5.5% Senior Notes Due 2014	541,455	750,000
4.9% Senior Notes Due 2015	250,000	250,000
5.5% Senior Notes Due 2016	250,000	250,000
6.875% Senior Debentures Due 2018	175,000	175,000
7.25% Senior Debentures Due 2027	300,000	300,000
<b>Subsidiary Senior Notes:</b>		
9.25% Series A Senior Notes Due 2017	500,000	
9.25% Series B Senior Notes Due 2017	2,000,000	
Other long-term debt	77,657	69,260
Purchase accounting adjustments and original issue discount	(788,087)	(1,114,172)
	20,701,905	19,503,620
<b>Less: current portion</b>	<b>398,779</b>	<b>562,923</b>
<b>Total long-term debt</b>	<b>\$ 20,303,126</b>	<b>\$ 18,940,697</b>

(1) These facilities are subject to an amortization schedule with the final payment on the Term Loan A and Term Loan C due 2014 and 2016, respectively.

Clear Channel's weighted average interest rate at December 31, 2009 was 6.3%. The aggregate market value of Clear Channel's debt based on quoted market prices for which quotes were available was approximately \$17.7 billion and \$17.2 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.



**Table of Contents**

The Company and its subsidiaries have from time to time repurchased certain debt obligations of Clear Channel and may in the future, as part of various financing and investment strategies it may elect to pursue, purchase additional outstanding indebtedness of Clear Channel or its subsidiaries or outstanding equity securities of Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings, Inc., in tender offers, open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. The Company may also sell certain assets or properties and use the proceeds to reduce its indebtedness or the indebtedness of its subsidiaries. These purchases or sales, if any, could have a material positive or negative impact on the Company's liquidity available to repay outstanding debt obligations or on the Company's consolidated results of operations. These transactions could also require or result in amendments to the agreements governing outstanding debt obligations or changes in the Company's leverage or other financial ratios, which could have a material positive or negative impact on the Company's ability to comply with the covenants contained in its debt agreements. These transactions, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, the Company's liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

*Senior Secured Credit Facilities*

Borrowings under the senior secured credit facilities bear interest at a rate equal to an applicable margin plus, at Clear Channel's option, either (i) a base rate determined by reference to the higher of (A) the prime lending rate publicly announced by the administrative agent and (B) the Federal funds effective rate from time to time plus 0.50%, or (ii) a Eurocurrency rate determined by reference to the costs of funds for deposits for the interest period relevant to such borrowing adjusted for certain additional costs.

The margin percentages applicable to the term loan facilities and revolving credit facility are the following percentages per annum:

with respect to loans under the term loan A facility and the revolving credit facility, (i) 2.40% in the case of base rate loans and (ii) 3.40% in the case of Eurocurrency rate loans, subject to downward adjustments if Clear Channel's leverage ratio of total debt to EBITDA (as calculated in accordance with the senior secured credit facilities) decreases below 7 to 1; and  
with respect to loans under the term loan B facility, term loan C - asset sale facility and delayed draw term loan facilities, (i) 2.65% in the case of base rate loans and (ii) 3.65% in the case of Eurocurrency rate loans subject to downward adjustments if the Company's leverage ratio of total debt to EBITDA decreases below 7 to 1.

Clear Channel is required to pay each revolving credit lender a commitment fee in respect of any unused commitments under the revolving credit facility, which is 0.50% per annum, subject to downward adjustments if Clear Channel's leverage ratio of total debt to EBITDA decreases below 4 to 1. Clear Channel is required to pay each delayed draw term facility lender a commitment fee in respect of any undrawn commitments under the delayed draw term facilities, which initially is 1.825% per annum until the delayed draw term facilities are fully drawn or commitments thereunder terminated.

The senior secured credit facilities include two delayed draw term loan facilities. The first is a \$589.8 million facility which may be drawn to purchase or redeem Clear Channel's outstanding 7.65% senior notes due 2010, of which \$451.0 million was drawn as of December 31, 2009, and a \$423.4 million facility which was drawn to redeem Clear Channel's outstanding 4.25% senior notes in May 2009.

The senior secured credit facilities require the Company to prepay outstanding term loans, subject to certain exceptions, with:

50% (which percentage will be reduced to 25% and to 0% based upon the Company's leverage ratio) of the Company's annual excess cash flow (as calculated in accordance with the senior secured credit facilities), less any voluntary prepayments of term loans and revolving credit loans (to the extent accompanied by a permanent reduction of the commitment) and subject to customary credits;  
100% (which percentage will be reduced to 75% and 50% based upon the Company's leverage ratio) of the net cash proceeds of sales or other dispositions by the Company or its wholly-owned restricted subsidiaries (including casualty and condemnation events) of assets other than specified assets subject to reinvestment rights and certain other exceptions; and

---

**Table of Contents**

100% of the net cash proceeds of any incurrence of certain debt, other than debt permitted under the senior secured credit facilities.

The foregoing prepayments with the net cash proceeds of certain incurrences of debt and annual excess cash flow will be applied (i) first to the term loans other than the term loan C - asset sale facility loans (on a pro rata basis) and (ii) second to the term loan C - asset sale facility loans, in each case to the remaining installments thereof in direct order of maturity. The foregoing prepayments with the net cash proceeds of the sale of assets (including casualty and condemnation events) will be applied (i) first to the term loan C - asset sale facility loans and (ii) second to the other term loans (on a pro rata basis), in each case to the remaining installments thereof in direct order of maturity.

The Company may voluntarily repay outstanding loans under its senior secured credit facilities at any time without premium or penalty, other than customary breakage costs with respect to Eurocurrency rate loans.

The Company is required to repay the loans under its term loan facilities, after giving effect to the December 2009 prepayment of \$2.0 billion of term loans with proceeds from the issuance of subsidiary senior notes discussed elsewhere in Note G, as follows:

the term loan A facility will amortize in quarterly installments commencing on the third interest payment date after the fourth anniversary of the closing date of the merger in annual amounts equal to 4.7% of the original funded principal amount of such facility in year four, 10% thereafter, with the balance being payable on the final maturity date (July 2014) of such term loans; and

the term loan B facility and delayed draw facilities will be payable in full on the final maturity date (January 2016) of such term loans; and

the term loan C facility will amortize in quarterly installments on the first interest payment date after the third anniversary of the closing date of the merger, in annual amounts equal to 2.5% of the original funded principal amount of such facilities in years four and five and 1% thereafter, with the balance being payable on the final maturity date (January 2016) of such term loans.

The Company is required to repay all borrowings under the receivables based facility and the revolving credit facility at their final maturity in July 2014.

The senior secured credit facilities are guaranteed by each of the Company's existing and future material wholly-owned domestic restricted subsidiaries, subject to certain exceptions.

All obligations under the senior secured credit facilities, and the guarantees of those obligations, are secured, subject to permitted liens and other exceptions, by:

a first-priority lien on the capital stock of Clear Channel;

100% of the capital stock of any future material wholly-owned domestic license subsidiary that is not a Restricted Subsidiary under the indenture governing the Clear Channel senior notes;

certain assets that do not constitute principal property (as defined in the indenture governing the Clear Channel senior notes);

certain assets that constitute principal property (as defined in the indenture governing the Clear Channel senior notes)

securing obligations under the senior secured credit facilities up to the maximum amount permitted to be secured by such assets without requiring equal and ratable security under the indenture governing the Clear Channel senior notes; and

a second-priority lien on the accounts receivable and related assets securing our receivables based credit facility.

The obligations of any foreign subsidiaries that are borrowers under the revolving credit facility will also be guaranteed by certain of their material wholly-owned restricted subsidiaries, and secured by substantially all assets of all such borrowers and guarantors, subject to permitted liens and other exceptions.

---

**Table of Contents**

The senior secured credit facilities contain a financial covenant that requires Clear Channel to comply on a quarterly basis with a maximum consolidated senior secured net debt to adjusted EBITDA ratio (maximum of 9.5:1). This financial covenant becomes more restrictive over time. Clear Channel's senior secured debt consists of the senior secured facilities, the receivables based credit facility and certain other secured subsidiary debt. The Company was in compliance with this covenant as of December 31, 2009.

In addition, the senior secured credit facilities include negative covenants that, subject to significant exceptions, limit the Company's ability and the ability of its restricted subsidiaries to, among other things:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- create liens on assets;
- engage in mergers, consolidations, liquidations and dissolutions;
- sell assets;
- pay dividends and distributions or repurchase its capital stock;
- make investments, loans, or advances;
- prepay certain junior indebtedness;
- engage in certain transactions with affiliates;
- amend material agreements governing certain junior indebtedness; and
- change its lines of business.

The senior secured credit facilities include certain customary representations and warranties, affirmative covenants and events of default, including payment defaults, breach of representations and warranties, covenant defaults, cross-defaults to certain indebtedness, certain events of bankruptcy, certain events under ERISA, material judgments, the invalidity of material provisions of the senior secured credit facilities documentation, the failure of collateral under the security documents for the senior secured credit facilities, the failure of the senior secured credit facilities to be senior debt under the subordination provisions of certain of the Company's subordinated debt and a change of control. If an event of default occurs, the lenders under the senior secured credit facilities will be entitled to take various actions, including the acceleration of all amounts due under the senior secured credit facilities and all actions permitted to be taken by a secured creditor.

*Receivables Based Credit Facility*

The receivables based credit facility of \$783.5 million provides revolving credit commitments in an amount equal to the initial borrowing of \$533.5 million on the closing date plus \$250 million, subject to a borrowing base. The borrowing base at any time equals 85% of the eligible accounts receivable for certain subsidiaries of the Company. The receivables based credit facility includes a letter of credit sub-facility and a swingline loan sub-facility.

Borrowings, excluding the initial borrowing, under the receivables based credit facility are subject to compliance with a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.0:1.0 if at any time excess availability under the receivables based credit facility is less than \$50 million, or if aggregate excess availability under the receivables based credit facility and revolving credit facility is less than 10% of the borrowing base.

Borrowings under the receivables based credit facility bear interest at a rate equal to an applicable margin plus, at Clear Channel's option, either (i) a base rate determined by reference to the higher of (A) the prime lending rate publicly announced by the administrative agent and (B) the Federal funds effective rate from time to time plus 0.50%, or (ii) a Eurocurrency rate determined by reference to the costs of funds for deposits for the interest period relevant to such borrowing adjusted for certain additional costs.

The margin percentage applicable to the receivables based credit facility which is (i) 1.40% in the case of base rate loans and (ii) 2.40% in the case of Eurocurrency rate loans subject to downward adjustments if the Company's leverage ratio of total debt to EBITDA decreases below 7 to 1.

Clear Channel is required to pay each lender a commitment fee in respect of any unused commitments under the receivables based credit facility, which is 0.375% per annum, subject to downward adjustments if Clear Channel's leverage ratio of total debt to EBITDA decreases below 6 to 1.

---

**Table of Contents**

If at any time the sum of the outstanding amounts under the receivables based credit facility (including the letter of credit outstanding amounts and swingline loans thereunder) exceeds the lesser of (i) the borrowing base and (ii) the aggregate commitments under the receivables based credit facility, the Company will be required to repay outstanding loans and cash collateralize letters of credit in an aggregate amount equal to such excess.

The Company may voluntarily repay outstanding loans under the receivables based credit facility at any time without premium or penalty, other than customary breakage costs with respect to Eurocurrency rate loans.

The receivables based credit facility is guaranteed by, subject to certain exceptions, the guarantors of the senior secured credit facilities. All obligations under the receivables based credit facility, and the guarantees of those obligations, are secured by a perfected first priority security interest in all of the Company's and all of the guarantors' accounts receivable and related assets and proceeds thereof, subject to permitted liens and certain exceptions.

The receivables based credit facility includes negative covenants, representations, warranties, events of default, conditions precedent and termination provisions substantially similar to those governing our senior secured credit facilities.

*Senior Cash Pay Notes and Senior Toggle Notes*

Clear Channel has outstanding \$796.3 million aggregate principal amount of 10.75% senior cash pay notes due 2016 and \$915.2 million aggregate principal amount of 11.00%/11.75% senior toggle notes due 2016.

The senior toggle notes mature on August 1, 2016 and may require a special redemption of up to \$30.0 million on August 1, 2015. The Company may elect on each interest election date to pay all or 50% of such interest on the senior toggle notes in cash or by increasing the principal amount of the senior toggle notes or by issuing new senior toggle notes (such increase or issuance, PIK Interest). Interest on the senior toggle notes payable in cash will accrue at a rate of 11.00% per annum and PIK Interest will accrue at a rate of 11.75% per annum.

The Company may redeem some or all of the notes at any time prior to August 1, 2012, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of such notes plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to the redemption date and a make-whole premium, as described in the notes. The Company may redeem some or all of the notes at any time on or after August 1, 2012 at the redemption prices set forth in notes. In addition, the Company may redeem up to 40% of any series of the outstanding notes at any time on or prior to August 1, 2011 with the net cash proceeds raised in one or more equity offerings. If the Company undergoes a change of control, sells certain of its assets, or issues certain debt offerings, it may be required to offer to purchase notes from holders.

The notes are senior unsecured debt and rank equal in right of payment with all of the Company's existing and future senior debt. Guarantors of obligations under the senior secured credit facilities and the receivables based credit facility guarantee the notes with unconditional guarantees that are unsecured and equal in right of payment to all existing and future senior debt of such guarantors, except that the guarantees are subordinated in right of payment only to the guarantees of obligations under the senior secured credit facilities and the receivables based credit facility. In addition, the notes and the guarantees are structurally senior to Clear Channel's senior notes and existing and future debt to the extent that such debt is not guaranteed by the guarantors of the notes. The notes and the guarantees are effectively subordinated to the existing and future secured debt and that of the guarantors to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness and are structurally subordinated to all obligations of subsidiaries that do not guarantee the notes.

On January 15, 2009, Clear Channel made a permitted election under the indenture governing the senior toggle notes to pay PIK Interest with respect to 100% of the senior toggle notes for the semi-annual interest period commencing February 1, 2009. For subsequent interest periods, Clear Channel must make an election regarding whether the applicable interest payment on the senior toggle notes will be made entirely in cash, entirely through PIK Interest or 50% in cash and 50% in PIK Interest. In the absence of such an election for any interest period, interest on the senior toggle notes will be payable according to the election for the immediately preceding interest period. As a result, Clear Channel is deemed to have made the PIK Interest election for future interest periods unless and until it elects otherwise.

---

**Table of Contents**

*Subsidiary Senior Notes*

In December 2009, Clear Channel Worldwide Holdings, Inc. ( CCWH ), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company's publicly traded subsidiary, Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings, Inc. ( CCOH ), issued \$500.0 million aggregate principal amount of Series A Senior Notes due 2017 and \$2.0 billion aggregate principal amount of Series B Senior Notes due 2017 (collectively, the Notes ). The Notes are guaranteed by CCOH, Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. ( CCOI ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of CCOH, and certain other existing and future domestic subsidiaries of CCOH (collectively, the Guarantors ).

The Notes are senior obligations that rank pari passu in right of payment to all unsubordinated indebtedness of CCWH and the guarantees of the Notes will rank pari passu in right of payment to all unsubordinated indebtedness of the Guarantors.

The indentures governing the Notes require the Company to maintain at least \$100 million in cash or other liquid assets or have cash available to be borrowed under committed credit facilities consisting of (i) \$50.0 million at the issuer and guarantor entities (principally the Americas outdoor segment) and (ii) \$50.0 million at the non-guarantor subsidiaries (principally the International outdoor segment) (together the Liquidity Amount ), in each case under the sole control of the relevant entity. In the event of a bankruptcy, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization, or similar proceeding of Clear Channel Communications, Inc., for the period thereafter that is the shorter of such proceeding and 60 days, the Liquidity Amount shall be reduced to \$50.0 million, with a \$25.0 million requirement at the issuer and guarantor entities and a \$25.0 million requirement at the non-guarantor subsidiaries.

In addition, interest on the Notes accrues daily and is payable into an account established by the trustee for the benefit of the bondholders (the Trustee Account ). Failure to make daily payment on any day does not constitute an event of default so long as (a) no payment or other transfer by CCOH or any of its Subsidiaries shall have been made on such day under the cash management sweep with Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and (b) on each semiannual interest payment date the aggregate amount of funds in the Trustee Account is equal to at least the aggregate amount of accrued and unpaid interest on the Notes.

The indenture governing the Series A Notes contains covenants that limit CCOH and its restricted subsidiaries ability to, among other things:

- incur or guarantee additional debt to persons other than Clear Channel Communications and its subsidiaries (other than CCOH) or issue certain preferred stock;
- create liens on its restricted subsidiaries assets to secure such debt;
- create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to CCOH from its restricted subsidiaries that are not guarantors of the notes;
- enter into certain transactions with affiliates;
- merge or consolidate with another person, or sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of its assets;
- sell certain assets, including capital stock of its subsidiaries, to persons other than Clear Channel Communications and its subsidiaries (other than CCOH).

The indenture governing the Series A Notes does not include limitations on dividends, distributions, investments or asset sales.

The indenture governing the Series B Notes contains covenants that limit CCOH and its restricted subsidiaries ability to, among other things:

- incur or guarantee additional debt or issue certain preferred stock;
- redeem, repurchase or retire CCOH's subordinated debt;
- make certain investments;
- create liens on its or its restricted subsidiaries assets to secure debt;
- create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to it from its restricted subsidiaries that are not guarantors of the Notes;

**Table of Contents**

enter into certain transactions with affiliates;  
 merge or consolidate with another person, or sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of its assets;  
 sell certain assets, including capital stock of its subsidiaries;  
 designate its subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries;  
 pay dividends, redeem or repurchase capital stock or make other restricted payments; and  
 purchase or otherwise effectively cancel or retire any of the Series B Notes if after doing so the ratio of (a) the outstanding aggregate principal amount of the Series A Notes to (b) the outstanding aggregate principal amount of the Series B Notes shall be greater than 0.250. This stipulation ensures, among other things, that as long as the Series A Notes are outstanding, the Series B Notes are outstanding.

The Series B Notes indenture restricts CCOH's ability to incur additional indebtedness and pay dividends based on an incurrence test. In order to incur additional indebtedness, CCOH's debt to adjusted EBITDA ratios (as defined by the indenture) must be lower than 6.5:1 and 3.25:1 for total debt and senior debt, respectively. Similarly in order for CCOH to pay dividends from the proceeds of indebtedness or the proceeds from asset sales, its debt to adjusted EBITDA ratios (as defined by the indenture) must be lower than 6.0:1 and 3.0:1 for total debt and senior debt, respectively. If these ratios are not met, CCOH has certain exceptions that allow it to incur additional indebtedness and pay dividends, such as a \$500.0 million exception for the payment of dividends. CCOH was in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2009.

A portion of the proceeds of the Notes were used to (i) pay the fees and expenses of the Notes offering, (ii) fund \$50.0 million of the Liquidity Amount (the \$50.0 million liquidity amount of the non-guarantor subsidiaries was satisfied) and (iii) applied \$2.0 billion of the cash proceeds (which amount is equal to the aggregate principal amount of the Series B Notes) to repay an equal amount of indebtedness under Clear Channel's senior secured credit facilities. In accordance with the senior secured credit facilities, the \$2.0 billion cash proceeds were applied ratably to the Term Loan A, Term Loan B, both delayed draw term loan facilities, and within each such class, such prepayment was applied to remaining scheduled installments of principal. The Company recorded a loss of \$29.3 million in Other income (expense) net related to deferred loan costs associated with the retired senior secured debt.

The balance of the proceeds is available to CCOI for general corporate purposes. In this regard, all of the remaining proceeds could be used to pay dividends from CCOI to CCOH. In turn, CCOH could declare a dividend to its shareholders, of which Clear Channel would receive its proportionate share. Payment of such dividends would not be prohibited by the terms of the Notes or any of the loan agreements or credit facilities of CCOI or CCOH.

*Debt Repurchases, Tender Offers, Maturities and Other*

During 2009 and 2008, CC Finco, LLC, and CC Finco II, LLC, both wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company, repurchased certain of Clear Channel's outstanding senior notes through open market repurchases, privately negotiated transactions and tenders as shown in the table below. Notes repurchased and held by CC Finco, LLC and CC Finco II, LLC are eliminated in consolidation.

*(In thousands)*

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2009 Post-Merger	2008 Post-Merger
<b>CC Finco, LLC</b>		
Principal amount of debt repurchased	\$ 801,302	\$ 102,241
Purchase accounting adjustments <sup>(1)</sup>	(146,314)	(24,367)
Deferred loan costs and other	(1,468)	
Gain recorded in Other income (expense) net <sup>(2)</sup>	(368,591)	(53,449)
Cash paid for repurchases of long-term debt	\$ 284,929	\$ 24,425
<b>CC Finco II, LLC</b>		
Principal amount of debt repurchased <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 433,125	\$
Deferred loan costs and other	(813)	
Gain recorded in Other income (expense) net <sup>(2)</sup>	(373,775)	
Cash paid for repurchases of long-term debt	\$ 58,537	\$





**Table of Contents**

- (1) Represents unamortized fair value purchase accounting discounts recorded as a result of the merger.
- (2) CC Finco, LLC, and CC Finco II, LLC, repurchased certain of Clear Channel's legacy notes, senior cash pay notes and senior toggle notes at a discount, resulting in a gain on the extinguishment of debt.
- (3) CC Finco II, LLC immediately cancelled these notes subsequent to the purchase.

On January 15, 2008, Clear Channel redeemed its 4.625% senior notes at their maturity for \$500.0 million with proceeds from its bank credit facility. On June 15, 2008, Clear Channel redeemed its 6.625% Senior Notes at their maturity for \$125.0 million with available cash on hand.

Clear Channel terminated its cross currency swaps on July 30, 2008 by paying the counterparty \$196.2 million from available cash on hand.

On August 7, 2008, Clear Channel announced that it commenced a cash tender offer and consent solicitation for its outstanding \$750.0 million principal amount of 7.65% senior notes due 2010. The tender offer and consent payment expired on September 9, 2008. The aggregate principal amount of 7.65% senior notes validly tendered and accepted for payment was \$363.9 million. Clear Channel recorded a \$21.8 million loss in Other income (expense) net during the pre-merger period as a result of the tender.

Clear Channel repurchased \$639.2 million aggregate principal amount of the AMFM Operating Inc. 8% senior notes pursuant to a tender offer and consent solicitation in connection with the merger. The remaining 8% senior notes were redeemed at maturity on November 1, 2008. The aggregate loss on the extinguishment of debt recorded in Other income (expense) net in 2008 as a result of the tender offer for the AMFM Operating Inc. 8% notes was \$8.0 million.

On November 24, 2008, Clear Channel announced that it commenced another cash tender offer to purchase its outstanding 7.65% Senior Notes due 2010. The tender offer and consent payment expired on December 23, 2008. The aggregate principal amount of 7.65% senior notes validly tendered and accepted for payment was \$252.4 million. The Company recorded an aggregate gain on the extinguishment of debt of \$74.7 million in Other income (expense) net during the post-merger period as a result of the tender offer for the 7.65% senior notes due 2010.

During the second quarter of 2009, the Company redeemed the remaining principal amount of Clear Channel's 4.25% senior notes at maturity with a draw under the \$500.0 million delayed draw term loan facility that is specifically designated for this purpose.

Future maturities of long-term debt at December 31, 2009 are as follows:

*(In thousands)*

2010	\$ 403,233
2011	873,035
2012	267,658
2013	457,355
2014	3,715,271
Thereafter	15,773,439
<b>Total <sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 21,489,991</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes a negative purchase accounting fair value adjustment of \$788.1 million, which is amortized through interest expense over the life of the underlying debt obligations.

**Table of Contents**

**NOTE H - FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

**Interest Rate Swaps**

The Company's aggregate \$6.0 billion notional amount interest rate swap agreements are designated as a cash flow hedge and the effective portion of the gain or loss on the swap is reported as a component of other comprehensive income. Ineffective portions of a cash flow hedging derivative's change in fair value are recognized currently in earnings. No ineffectiveness was recorded in earnings related to these interest rate swaps.

The Company entered into the swaps to effectively convert a portion of its floating-rate debt to a fixed basis, thus reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future interest expense. The Company assesses at inception, and on an ongoing basis, whether its interest rate swap agreements are highly effective in offsetting changes in the interest expense of its floating rate debt. A derivative that is not a highly effective hedge does not qualify for hedge accounting.

The Company continually monitors its positions with, and credit quality of, the financial institutions which are counterparties to its interest rate swaps. The Company may be exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties to the interest rate swaps. However, the Company considers this risk to be low. If a derivative instrument no longer qualifies as a cash flow hedge, hedge accounting is discontinued and the gain or loss that was recorded in other comprehensive income is recognized currently in income.

**Secured Forward Exchange Contracts**

Clear Channel terminated its secured forward exchange contracts effective June 13, 2008, receiving net proceeds of \$15.2 million. A net gain of \$27.0 million was recorded in the pre-merger period in Gain (loss) on marketable securities related to terminating the contracts and selling the underlying AMT shares.

**Foreign Currency Rate Management**

Clear Channel terminated its cross currency swap contracts on July 30, 2008 by paying the counterparty \$196.2 million from available cash on hand. The contracts were recorded on the balance sheet at fair value, which was equivalent to the cash paid to terminate them. The related fair value adjustments in other comprehensive income were deleted when the merger took place.

**NOTE I FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

The Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, codified in ASC 820-10, on January 1, 2008 and began to apply its recognition and disclosure provisions to its financial assets and financial liabilities that are remeasured at fair value at least annually. ASC 820-10-35 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

The Company's marketable equity securities and interest rate swaps are measured at fair value on each reporting date.

The marketable equity securities are measured at fair value using quoted prices in active markets. Due to the fact that the inputs used to measure the marketable equity securities at fair value are observable, the Company has categorized the fair value measurements of the securities as Level 1. The fair value of these securities at December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$38.9 million and \$27.1 million, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

The Company's aggregate \$6.0 billion notional amount of interest rate swap agreements are designated as a cash flow hedge and the effective portion of the gain or loss on the swap is reported as a component of other comprehensive income. The Company entered into the swaps to effectively convert a portion of its floating-rate debt to a fixed basis, thus reducing the impact of interest-rate changes on future interest expense. Due to the fact that the inputs to the model used to estimate fair value are either directly or indirectly observable, the Company classified the fair value measurements of these agreements as Level 2. No ineffectiveness was recorded in earnings related to these interest rate swaps.

Due to the fact that the inputs are either directly or indirectly observable, the Company classified the fair value measurements of these agreements as Level 2.

The table below shows the balance sheet classification and fair value of the Company's interest rate swaps designated as hedging instruments:

*(In thousands)*

Classification as of December 31, 2009	Fair Value	Classification as of December 31, 2008	Fair Value
Other long-term liabilities	\$237,235	Other long-term liabilities	\$ 118,785

The following table details the beginning and ending accumulated other comprehensive loss and the current period activity related to the interest rate swap agreements:

*(In thousands)*

	Accumulated other comprehensive loss
Balance at January 1, 2009	\$ 75,079
Other comprehensive loss	74,100
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 149,179

**NOTE J - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

The Company accounts for its rentals that include renewal options, annual rent escalation clauses, minimum franchise payments and maintenance related to displays under the guidance in ASC Topic 840, *Leases*.

The Company considers its non-cancelable contracts that enable it to display advertising on buses, taxis, trains, bus shelters, etc. to be leases in accordance with the guidance in ASC 840-10. These contracts may contain minimum annual franchise payments which generally escalate each year. The Company accounts for these minimum franchise payments on a straight-line basis. If the rental increases are not scheduled in the lease, for example an increase based on the CPI, those rents are considered contingent rentals and are recorded as expense when accruable. Other contracts may contain a variable rent component based on revenue. The Company accounts for these variable components as contingent rentals and records these payments as expense when accruable.

The Company accounts for annual rent escalation clauses included in the lease term on a straight-line basis under the guidance in ASC 840-10. The Company considers renewal periods in determining its lease terms if at inception of the lease there is reasonable assurance the lease will be renewed. Expenditures for maintenance are charged to operations as incurred, whereas expenditures for renewal and betterments are capitalized.

The Company leases office space, certain broadcasting facilities, equipment and the majority of the land occupied by its outdoor advertising structures under long-term operating leases. The Company accounts for these leases in accordance with the policies described above.

The Company's contracts with municipal bodies or private companies relating to street furniture, billboard, transit and malls generally require the Company to build bus stops, kiosks and other public amenities or advertising structures during the term of the contract. The Company owns these structures and is generally allowed to advertise on them for the remaining term of the contract. Once the Company has built the structure, the cost is capitalized and expensed over the shorter of the economic life of the asset or the remaining life of the contract.



**Table of Contents**

Certain of the Company's contracts contain penalties for not fulfilling its commitments related to its obligations to build bus stops, kiosks and other public amenities or advertising structures. Historically, any such penalties have not materially impacted the Company's financial position or results of operations.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company's future minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating lease agreements with terms in excess of one year, minimum payments under non-cancelable contracts in excess of one year, and capital expenditure commitments consist of the following:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Non-Cancelable Operating Leases	Non-Cancelable Contracts	Capital Expenditures
2010	\$ 367,524	\$ 541,683	\$ 67,372
2011	311,768	447,708	32,274
2012	276,486	301,221	13,364
2013	250,836	232,136	9,970
2014	217,308	191,048	9,867
Thereafter	1,225,651	580,815	3,415
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,649,573</b>	<b>\$ 2,294,611</b>	<b>\$ 136,262</b>

Rent expense charged to continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$1.13 billion. Rent expense charged to continuing operations for the post-merger period from July 31, 2008 to December 31, 2008 and the pre-merger period from January 1, 2008 to July 30, 2008 was \$526.6 million and \$755.4 million, respectively. Rent expense charged to continuing operations for the pre-merger year ended December 31, 2007 was \$1.2 billion.

The Company is currently involved in certain legal proceedings and, as required, has accrued its estimate of the probable costs for the resolution of these claims. These estimates have been developed in consultation with counsel and are based upon an analysis of potential results, assuming a combination of litigation and settlement strategies. It is possible, however, that future results of operations for any particular period could be materially affected by changes in the Company's assumptions or the effectiveness of its strategies related to these proceedings.

In various areas in which the Company operates, outdoor advertising is the object of restrictive and, in some cases, prohibitive zoning and other regulatory provisions, either enacted or proposed. The impact to the Company of loss of displays due to governmental action has been somewhat mitigated by Federal and state laws mandating compensation for such loss and constitutional restraints.

Certain acquisition agreements include deferred consideration payments based on performance requirements by the seller typically involving the completion of a development or obtaining appropriate permits that enable the Company to construct additional advertising displays. At December 31, 2009, the Company believes its maximum aggregate contingency, which is subject to performance requirements by the seller, is approximately \$35.0 million. As the contingencies have not been met or resolved as of December 31, 2009, these amounts are not recorded. If future payments are made, amounts will be recorded as additional purchase price.

**NOTE K - GUARANTEES**

At December 31, 2009, the Company guaranteed \$39.9 million of credit lines provided to certain of its international subsidiaries by a major international bank. Most of these credit lines related to intraday overdraft facilities covering participants in the Company's European cash management pool. As of December 31, 2009, no amounts were outstanding under these agreements.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding commercial standby letters of credit and surety bonds of \$175.7 million and \$95.2 million, respectively. Letters of credit in the amount of \$67.5 million are collateral in support of surety bonds and these amounts would only be drawn under the letters of credit in the event the associated surety bonds were funded and the Company did not honor its reimbursement obligation to the issuers.

These letters of credit and surety bonds relate to various operational matters including insurance, bid, and performance bonds as well as other items.



**Table of Contents****NOTE L - INCOME TAXES**

The operations of the Company are included in a consolidated federal income tax return filed by CCMH. However, for financial reporting purposes, the Company's provision for income taxes has been computed on the basis that the Company files separate consolidated federal income tax returns with its subsidiaries.

Significant components of the provision for income tax expense (benefit) are as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Year ended December 31, 2009 Post-Merger	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008 Post-Merger	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008 Pre-Merger	Year ended December 31, 2007 Pre-Merger
Current - Federal	\$ (104,539)	\$ (100,578)	\$ (6,535)	\$ 187,700
Current - foreign	15,301	15,755	24,870	43,776
Current - state	13,109	8,094	8,945	21,434
Total current (benefit) expense	(76,129)	(76,729)	27,280	252,910
Deferred - Federal	(366,024)	(555,679)	145,149	175,524
Deferred - foreign	(30,399)	(17,762)	(12,662)	(1,400)
Deferred - state	(20,768)	(46,453)	12,816	14,114
Total deferred (benefit) expense	(417,191)	(619,894)	145,303	188,238
Income tax (benefit) expense	\$ (493,320)	\$ (696,623)	\$ 172,583	\$ 441,148

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax liabilities and assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Post-Merger	
	2009	2008
<b>Deferred tax liabilities:</b>		
Intangibles and fixed assets	\$ 2,074,925	\$ 2,332,924
Long-term debt	530,519	352,057
Foreign	62,661	87,654
Equity in earnings	36,955	27,872
Investments	18,067	15,268
Other	17,310	25,836
Total deferred tax liabilities	2,740,437	2,841,611
<b>Deferred tax assets:</b>		
Accrued expenses	117,041	129,684
Unrealized gain in marketable securities	22,126	29,438
Net operating loss/Capital loss carryforwards	365,208	319,530
Bad debt reserves	11,055	28,248
Deferred Income	717	976
Other	27,701	17,857
Total gross deferred tax assets	543,848	525,733
Less: Valuation allowance	3,854	319,530



## Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

Total deferred tax assets	539,994	206,203
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$ 2,200,443	\$ 2,635,408

Included in the Company's net deferred tax liabilities are \$19.6 million and \$43.9 million of current net deferred tax assets for 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company presents these assets in Other current assets on its consolidated balance sheets. The remaining \$2.2 billion and \$2.7 billion of net deferred tax liabilities for 2009 and 2008, respectively, are presented in Deferred tax liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

**Table of Contents**

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recorded certain impairment charges that are not deductible for tax purposes and resulted in a reduction of deferred tax liabilities of approximately \$379.6 million. Additional decreases in net deferred tax liabilities are as a result of increases in deferred tax assets associated with current period net operating losses. The Company is able to utilize those losses through either carrybacks to prior years as a result of the November 6, 2009, tax law change and expanded loss carryback provisions provided by the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009 (the Act) or based on our expectations as to future taxable income from deferred tax liabilities that reverse in the relevant carryforward period for those net operating losses that cannot be carried back. Increases in 2009 deferred tax liabilities of approximately \$338.9 million are as a result of the deferral of certain discharge of indebtedness income, for income tax purposes, resulting from the reacquisition of business indebtedness (see Note G). These gains are allowed to be deferred for tax purposes and recognized in future periods beginning in 2014 through 2019, as provided by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 signed into law on February 17, 2009.

At December 31, 2009, net deferred tax liabilities include a deferred tax asset of \$23.2 million relating to stock-based compensation expense under ASC 718-10, *Compensation Stock Compensation*. Full realization of this deferred tax asset requires stock options to be exercised at a price equaling or exceeding the sum of the grant price plus the fair value of the option at the grant date and restricted stock to vest at a price equaling or exceeding the fair market value at the grant date. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the stock price of the Company's common stock will rise to levels sufficient to realize the entire tax benefit currently reflected in its balance sheet.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recorded approximately \$2.5 billion in additional deferred tax liabilities associated with the applied purchase accounting adjustments resulting from the acquisition of Clear Channel. The additional deferred tax liabilities primarily relate to differences between the purchase accounting adjusted book basis and the historical tax basis of the Company's intangible assets. During the post-merger period ended December 31, 2008, the Company recorded an impairment charge to its FCC licenses, permits and tax deductible goodwill resulting in a decrease of approximately \$648.2 million in recorded deferred tax liabilities.

The deferred tax liability related to intangibles and fixed assets primarily relates to the difference in book and tax basis of acquired FCC licenses, permits and tax deductible goodwill created from the Company's various stock acquisitions. In accordance with ASC 350-10, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other*, the Company no longer amortizes FCC licenses and permits. As a result, this deferred tax liability will not reverse over time unless the Company recognizes future impairment charges related to its FCC licenses, permits and tax deductible goodwill or sells its FCC licenses or permits. As the Company continues to amortize its tax basis in its FCC licenses, permits and tax deductible goodwill, the deferred tax liability will increase over time.

The reconciliation of income tax computed at the U.S. Federal statutory tax rates to income tax expense (benefit) is:

(In thousands)	Post-merger year ended December 31, 2009		Post-merger period ended December 31, 2008		Pre-merger period ended July 30, 2008		Pre-merger year ended December 31, 2007	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Income tax expense (benefit) at statutory rates	\$ (1,589,825)	35%	\$ (2,008,040)	35%	\$ 205,108	35%	\$ 448,298	35%
State income taxes, net of Federal tax benefit	(7,660)	0%	(38,359)	1%	21,760	4%	35,548	3%
Foreign taxes	92,648	(2%)	95,478	(2%)	(29,606)	(5%)	(8,857)	(1%)
Nondeductible items	3,317	(0%)	1,591	(0%)	2,464	0%	6,228	0%
Changes in valuation allowance and other estimates	(54,579)	1%	53,877	(1%)	(32,256)	(6%)	(34,005)	(3%)
Impairment charge	1,050,535	(23%)	1,194,182	(21%)				
Other, net	12,244	(0%)	4,648	(0%)	5,113	1%	(6,064)	(0%)
	\$ (493,320)	11%	\$ (696,623)	12%	\$ 172,583	29%	\$ 441,148	34%

**Table of Contents**

A tax benefit was recorded for the post-merger period ended December 31, 2009 of 11%. The effective tax rate for the post-merger period was primarily impacted by the goodwill impairment charges which are not deductible for tax purposes (see Note D). In addition, the Company was unable to benefit tax losses in certain foreign jurisdictions due to the uncertainty of the ability to utilize those losses in future years. These impacts were partially offset by the reversal of valuation allowances on certain net operating losses as a result of the Company's ability to utilize those losses through either carrybacks to prior years or based on our expectations as to future taxable income from deferred tax liabilities that reverse in the relevant carryforward period for those net operating losses that cannot be carried back.

A tax benefit was recorded for the post-merger period ended December 31, 2008 of 12% and reflects the Company's ability to recover a limited amount of the Company's prior period tax liabilities through certain net operating loss carrybacks. The effective tax rate for the 2008 post-merger period was primarily impacted by the goodwill impairment charges which are not deductible for tax purposes (see Note D). In addition, the Company recorded a valuation allowance on certain net operating losses generated during the post-merger period that are not able to be carried back to prior years. The effective tax rate for the 2008 pre-merger period was primarily impacted by the tax effect of the disposition of certain radio broadcasting assets and investments.

During 2007, Clear Channel utilized approximately \$2.2 million of net operating loss carryforwards, the majority of which were generated by certain acquired companies prior to their acquisition by Clear Channel. The utilization of the net operating loss carryforwards reduced current taxes payable and current tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2007. Clear Channel's effective income tax rate for 2007 was 34.4% as compared to 41.2% for 2006. For 2007, the effective tax rate was primarily affected by the recording of current tax benefits of approximately \$45.7 million related to the settlement of several tax positions with the Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) for the 1999 through 2004 tax years and deferred tax benefits of approximately \$14.6 million related to the release of valuation allowances for the use of certain capital loss carryforwards. These tax benefits were partially offset by additional current tax expense being recorded in 2007 due to an increase in Income (loss) before income taxes of \$139.6 million.

The remaining Federal net operating loss carryforwards of \$996.7 million expires in various amounts from 2020 to 2029.

The Company continues to record interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in current income tax expense. The total amount of interest accrued at December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$70.7 million and \$53.5 million, respectively. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits and accrued interest and penalties at December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$308.3 million and \$267.8 million, respectively, and is recorded in Other long-term liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Of this total, \$308.3 million at December 31, 2009 represents the amount of unrecognized tax benefits and accrued interest and penalties that, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective income tax rate in future periods.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits <i>(In thousands)</i>	Post-merger year ended December 31, 2009	Post-merger period ended December 31, 2008	Pre-merger period ended July 30, 2008
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 214,309	\$ 207,884	\$ 194,060
Increases for tax position taken in the current year	3,347	35,942	8,845
Increases for tax positions taken in previous years	33,892	3,316	7,019
Decreases for tax position taken in previous years	(4,629)	(20,564)	(1,764)
Decreases due to settlements with tax authorities	(203)	(9,975)	(276)
Decreases due to lapse of statute of limitations	(9,199)	(2,294)	
Balance at end of period	\$ 237,517	\$ 214,309	\$ 207,884

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the United States Federal jurisdiction and various state and foreign jurisdictions. During 2009, the Company increased its unrecognized tax benefits for issues in prior years as a result of certain ongoing examinations in both the United States and certain foreign jurisdictions. In addition, the Company released certain unrecognized tax benefits in certain foreign jurisdictions as a result of the lapse of the statute of limitations for certain tax years. During 2008, the

---

**Table of Contents**

Company favorably settled certain issues in foreign jurisdictions that resulted in the decrease in unrecognized tax benefits. In addition, as a result of the currency fluctuations during 2008, the balance of unrecognized tax benefits decreased approximately \$12.0 million. The Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) is currently auditing the Company s 2007 and 2008 pre and post merger periods. The company is currently in appeals with the IRS for the 2005 and 2006 tax years. The Company expects to settle certain state examinations during the next twelve months. The Company has reclassified the estimated amount of such settlements to Accrued expenses on the Company s consolidated balance sheets. Substantially all material state, local, and foreign income tax matters have been concluded for years through 2000.

**NOTE M MEMBER S INTEREST/SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY**

In connection with the merger, the CCMH issued approximately 23.6 million shares of its Class A common stock, approximately 0.6 million shares of its Class B common stock and approximately 59.0 million shares of its Class C common stock. Every holder of shares of Class A common stock is entitled to one vote for each share of Class A common stock. Every holder of shares of Class B common stock is entitled to a number of votes per share equal to the number obtained by dividing (a) the sum of the total number of shares of Class B common stock outstanding as of the record date for such vote and the number of shares of Class C common stock outstanding as of the record date for such vote by (b) the number of shares of Class B common stock outstanding as of the record date for such vote. Except as otherwise required by law, the holders of outstanding shares of Class C common stock are not entitled to any votes upon any matters presented to our stockholders.

Except with respect to voting as described above, and as otherwise required by law, all shares of Class A common stock, Class B common stock and Class C common stock have the same powers, privileges, preferences and relative participating, optional or other special rights, and the qualifications, limitations or restrictions thereof, and will be identical to each other in all respects.

Vesting of certain Clear Channel stock options and restricted stock awards was accelerated upon closing of the merger. As a result, except for certain executive officers and holders of certain options that could not, by their terms, be cancelled prior to their stated expiration date, holders of stock options received cash or, if elected, an amount of Company stock, in each case equal to the intrinsic value of the awards based on a market price of \$36.00 per share. Holders of restricted stock awards received \$36.00 per share in cash or a share of Company stock per share of Clear Channel restricted stock. Approximately \$39.2 million of share-based compensation was recognized in the pre-merger period as a result of the accelerated vesting of the stock options and restricted stock awards.

**Dividends**

The Company has not paid cash dividends since its incorporation and its ability to pay dividends is subject to restrictions should it seek to do so in the future. Clear Channel s debt financing arrangements include restrictions on its ability to pay dividends thereby limiting the Company s ability to pay dividends.

Prior to the merger, Clear Channel s Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$93.4 million on December 3, 2007 and paid on January 15, 2008.

**Share-Based Payments**

***Stock Options***

The Company does not have any compensation plans under which it grants stock awards to employees. Prior to the merger, Clear Channel granted options to purchase its common stock to its employees and directors and its affiliates under its various equity incentive plans typically at no less than the fair value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. These options were granted for a term not exceeding ten years and were forfeited, except in certain circumstances, in the event the employee or director terminated his or her employment or relationship with Clear Channel or one of its affiliates. Prior to acceleration, if any, in connection with the merger, these options vested over a period of up to five years. All equity incentive plans contained anti-dilutive provisions that permitted an adjustment of the number of shares of Clear Channel s common stock represented by each option for any change in capitalization.

**Table of Contents**

CCMH has granted options to purchase its Class A common stock to certain key executives under its equity incentive plan at no less than the fair value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. These options are granted for a term not to exceed ten years and are forfeited, except in certain circumstances, in the event the executive terminates his or her employment or relationship with the Company or one of its affiliates. Approximately one-third of the options granted vest based solely on continued service over a period of up to five years with the remainder becoming eligible to vest over five years if certain predetermined performance targets are met. The equity incentive plan contains antidilutive provisions that permit an adjustment of the number of shares of CCMH's common stock represented by each option for any change in capitalization.

The Company accounts for share-based payments using the fair value recognition provisions of ASC 718-10. The fair value of the portion of options that vest based on continued service is estimated on the grant date using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model and the fair value of the remaining options which contain vesting provisions subject to service, market and performance conditions is estimated on the grant date using a Monte Carlo model. Expected volatilities were based on implied volatilities from traded options on peer companies, historical volatility on peer companies' stock, and other factors. The expected life of the options granted represents the period of time that the options granted are expected to be outstanding. CCMH used historical data to estimate option exercises and employee terminations within the valuation model. The risk free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for periods equal to the expected life of the option. The following assumptions were used to calculate the fair value of these options:

	2009		2008	
Expected volatility	58%		58%	
Expected life in years	5.5	7.5	5.5	7.5
Risk-free interest rate	2.30%	3.26%	3.46%	3.83%
Dividend yield	0%		0%	

The following table presents a summary of CCMH's stock options outstanding at and stock option activity during the year ended December 31, 2009 (Price reflects the weighted average exercise price per share):

*(In thousands, except per share data)*

	Options	Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding, January 1, 2009	7,751	\$35.70		
Granted <sup>(1)</sup>	491	36.00		
Exercised		n/a		
Forfeited	(1,797)	36.00		
Expired	(285)	46.01		
Outstanding, December 31, 2009 <sup>(2)</sup>	6,160	35.15	8.5 years	\$ 0
Exercisable	808	29.55	7.3 years	0
Expect to Vest	2,191	36.00	8.7 years	0

(1) The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$0.12 per share.

(2) Non-cash compensation expense has not been recorded with respect to 3.4 million shares as the vesting of these options is subject to performance conditions that have not yet been determined probable to meet.

**Table of Contents**

A summary of CCMH's unvested options and changes during the year ended December 31, 2009 is presented below:

*(In thousands, except per share data)*

	Options	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested, January 1, 2009	7,354	\$ 21.20
Granted	491	0.12
Vested	(696)	6.38
Forfeited	(1,797)	13.72
Unvested, December 31, 2009	5,352	19.29

**Restricted Stock Awards**

Prior to the merger, Clear Channel granted restricted stock awards to its employees and directors and its affiliates under its various equity incentive plans. These common shares held a legend which restricted their transferability for a term of up to five years and were forfeited, except in certain circumstances, in the event the employee or director terminated his or her employment or relationship with Clear Channel prior to the lapse of the restriction. Recipients of the restricted stock awards were entitled to all cash dividends as of the date the award was granted.

At July 30, 2008, there were 2,692,904 outstanding Clear Channel restricted stock awards held by Clear Channel's employees and directors under Clear Channel's equity incentive plans. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, 1,876,315 of the Clear Channel restricted stock awards became fully vested and converted into the right to receive, with respect to each share of such restricted stock, a cash payment or equity in the Company equal to the value of \$36.00 per share. The remaining 816,589 shares of Clear Channel restricted stock were converted on a one-for-one basis into restricted stock of the Company. These converted shares continue to vest in accordance with their original terms. Following the merger, Clear Channel restricted stock automatically ceased to exist and is no longer outstanding, and, following the receipt of the cash payment or equity, if any, described above, the holders thereof no longer have any rights with respect to Clear Channel restricted stock.

On July 30, 2008, CCMH granted 555,556 shares of restricted stock to each its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer under its 2008 Incentive Plan. The aggregate fair value of these awards was \$40.0 million, based on the market value of a share of CCMH's Class A common stock on the grant date, or \$36.00 per share. These Class A common shares are subject to restrictions on their transferability, which lapse ratably over a term of five years and will be forfeited, except in certain circumstances, in the event the employee terminates his employment or relationship with the Company prior to the lapse of the restriction. The following table presents a summary of CCMH's restricted stock outstanding at and restricted stock activity during the year ended December 31, 2009 (Price reflects the weighted average share price at the date of grant):

*(In thousands, except per share data)*

	Awards	Price
Outstanding January 1, 2009	1,887	\$ 36.00
Granted		n/a
Vested (restriction lapsed)	(474)	36.00
Forfeited	(36)	36.00
Outstanding, December 31, 2009	1,377	36.00

**Subsidiary Share-Based Awards****Subsidiary Stock Options**

The Company's subsidiary, Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings, Inc. (CCO), grants options to purchase shares of its Class A common stock to its employees and directors and its affiliates under its equity incentive plan typically at no less than the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. These options are granted for a term not exceeding ten years and are forfeited, except in certain circumstances, in the event the employee or director terminates his or her employment or relationship with CCO or one of its affiliates. These options vest over a period of up to

five years. The incentive stock plan contains anti-dilutive provisions that permit an adjustment of the number of shares of CCO's common stock represented by each option for any change in capitalization.

**Table of Contents**

Prior to CCO's IPO, CCO did not have any compensation plans under which it granted stock awards to employees. However, Clear Channel had granted certain of CCO's officers and other key employees, stock options to purchase shares of Clear Channel's common stock under its own equity incentive plans. Concurrent with the closing of CCO's IPO, all such outstanding options to purchase shares of Clear Channel's common stock held by CCO employees were converted using an intrinsic value method into options to purchase shares of CCO Class A common stock.

The fair value of each option awarded on CCO common stock is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Expected volatilities are based on implied volatilities from traded options on CCO's stock, historical volatility on CCO's stock, and other factors. The expected life of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. CCO uses historical data to estimate option exercises and employee terminations within the valuation model. CCO includes estimated forfeitures in its compensation cost and updates the estimated forfeiture rate through the final vesting date of awards. The risk free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for periods equal to the expected life of the option. The following assumptions were used to calculate the fair value of CCO's options on the date of grant:

	Post-Merger		Pre-Merger			
	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008		Year Ended December 31, 2007	
Expected volatility	58%	n/a	27%		27%	
Expected life in years	5.5 7.0	n/a	5.5 7.0		5.0 7.0	
Risk-free interest rate	2.31% 3.25%	n/a	3.24% 3.38%		4.76% 4.89%	
Dividend yield	0%	n/a	0%		0%	

The following table presents a summary of CCO's stock options outstanding at and stock option activity during the year ended December 31, 2009 (Price reflects the weighted average exercise price per share):

*(In thousands, except per share data)*

	Options	Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
<b>Post-Merger</b>				
Outstanding, January 1, 2009	7,713	\$ 22.03		
Granted <sup>(1)</sup>	2,388	5.92		
Exercised <sup>(2)</sup>		n/a		
Forfeited	(167)	17.37		
Expired	(894)	24.90		
Outstanding, December 31, 2009	9,040	17.58	6.0 years	\$ 10,502
Exercisable	3,417	22.82	3.7 years	0
Expect to vest	5,061	14.66	7.4 years	9,095

(1) The weighted average grant date fair value of CCO options granted during the post-merger year ended December 31, 2009 was \$3.38 per share. The weighted average grant date fair value of CCO options granted during the pre-merger prior from January 1, 2008 through July 30, 2008 was \$7.10 per share. The weighted average grant date fair value of CCO options granted during the pre-merger year ended December 31, 2007 was \$11.05 per share.



**Table of Contents**

- (2) No CCO options exercised during the post-merger year ended December 31, 2009. Cash received from CCO option exercises during the pre-merger period from January 1, 2008 through July 30, 2008, was \$4.3 million. Cash received from CCO option exercises during the pre-merger year ended December 31, 2007, was \$10.8 million. The total intrinsic value of CCO options exercised during the pre-merger period from January 1, 2008 through July 30, 2008, was \$0.7 million. The total intrinsic value of CCO options exercised during the pre-merger year ended December 31, 2007 was \$2.0 million.

A summary of CCO s nonvested options at and changes during the year ended December 31, 2009, is presented below:

*(In thousands, except per share data)*

	Options	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested, January 1, 2009	4,734	\$ 7.40
Granted	2,388	3.38
Vested <sup>(1)</sup>	(1,332)	7.43
Forfeited	(167)	6.43
Nonvested, December 31, 2009	5,623	5.71

- (1) The total fair value of CCO options vested during the post-merger year ended December 31, 2009 was \$9.9 million. The total fair value of CCO options vested during the pre-merger period from January 1, 2008 through July 30, 2008 was \$5.7 million. The total fair value of CCO options vested during the post-merger period from July 31 through December 31, 2008 was \$2.3 million. The total fair value of CCO options vested during the pre-merger year ended December 31, 2007 was \$2.0 million.

**Restricted Stock Awards**

CCO also grants restricted stock awards to employees and directors of CCO and its affiliates. These common shares hold a legend which restricts their transferability for a term of up to five years and are forfeited, except in certain circumstances, in the event the employee terminates his or her employment or relationship with CCO prior to the lapse of the restriction. Restricted stock awards are granted under the CCO equity incentive plan.

The following table presents a summary of CCO s restricted stock outstanding at and restricted stock activity during the year ended December 31, 2009 ( Price reflects the weighted average share price at the date of grant):

*(In thousands, except per share data)*

	Awards	Price
<b>Post-Merger</b>		
Outstanding, January 1, 2009	351	\$ 24.54
Granted	150	9.03
Vested (restriction lapsed)	(122)	24.90
Forfeited	(14)	22.11
Outstanding, December 31, 2009	365	18.14

**Table of Contents****Share-Based Compensation Cost**

Share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The following table presents the amount of share-based compensation recorded during the year ended December 31, 2009, five months ended December 31, 2008, the seven months ended July 30, 2008 and the year ended December 31, 2007:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Post-Merger		Pre-Merger	
	Year Ended December 31, 2009	July 31 December 31, 2008	January 1 July 30, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Direct operating expenses	\$ 11,361	\$ 4,631	\$ 21,162	\$ 16,975
Selling, general & administrative expenses	7,304	2,687	21,213	14,884
Corporate expenses	21,121	8,593	20,348	12,192
Total share based compensation expense	\$ 39,786	\$ 15,911	\$ 62,723	\$ 44,051

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$83.9 million of unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures, related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements that will vest based on service conditions. This cost is expected to be recognized over three years. In addition, as of December 31, 2009, there was \$80.2 million of unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures, related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements that will vest based on market, performance and service conditions. This cost will be recognized when it becomes probable that the performance condition will be satisfied.

**Table of Contents****Reconciliation of Earnings (Loss) per Share***(In thousands, except per share data)*

	Pre-Merger	
	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008	Year ended December 31, 2007
<b>NUMERATOR:</b>		
Income (loss) before discontinued operations attributable to the Company common shares	\$ 1,036,525	\$ 938,507
Less: Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net	640,236	145,833
Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to the Company	396,289	792,674
Less: Income (loss) before discontinued operations attributable to the Company unvested shares	2,333	4,786
Net income (loss) before discontinued operations attributable to the Company per common share basic and diluted	\$ 393,956	\$ 787,888
<b>DENOMINATOR:</b>		
Weighted average common shares - basic	495,044	494,347
<b>Effect of dilutive securities:</b>		
Stock options and common stock warrants (1)	1,475	1,437
Denominator for net income (loss) per common share - diluted	496,519	495,784
<b>Net income (loss) per common share:</b>		
Income (loss) attributable to the Company before discontinued operations - basic	\$ .80	\$ 1.59
Discontinued operations - basic	1.29	.30
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company - basic	\$ 2.09	\$ 1.89
Income (loss) attributable to the Company before discontinued operations - diluted	\$ .80	\$ 1.59
Discontinued operations - diluted	1.29	.29
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company - diluted	\$ 2.09	\$ 1.88

- (1) 7.6 million and 22.2 million stock options were outstanding at July 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because to do so would have been anti-dilutive as the respective options strike price was greater than the current market price of the shares.

---

**Table of Contents**

**NOTE N - EMPLOYEE STOCK AND SAVINGS PLANS**

The Company has various 401(k) savings and other plans for the purpose of providing retirement benefits for substantially all employees. Under these plans, an employee can make pre-tax contributions and Clear Channel will match a portion of such an employee's contribution. Employees vest in these Clear Channel matching contributions based upon their years of service to the Company. Contributions from continuing operations to these plans of \$23.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, \$12.4 million for the post-merger period ended December 31, 2008 and \$17.9 million for the pre-merger period ended July 30, 2008, were charged to expense. Contributions from continuing operations to these plans of \$39.1 million were charged to expense for the year ended December 31, 2007. As of April 30, 2009, Clear Channel suspended the matching contribution.

Clear Channel sponsored a non-qualified employee stock purchase plan for all eligible employees. Under the plan, employees were provided with the opportunity to purchase shares of the Clear Channel's common stock at 95% of the market value on the day of purchase. During each calendar year, employees were able to purchase shares having a value not exceeding 10% of their annual gross compensation or \$25,000, whichever was lower. The Company stopped accepting contributions to this plan, effective January 1, 2007, as a condition of its Merger Agreement. Clear Channel terminated this plan upon the closing of the merger and each share held under the plan was converted into the right to receive a cash payment equal to the value of \$36.00 per share.

Clear Channel offered a non-qualified deferred compensation plan for its highly compensated executives, under which such executives were able to make an annual election to defer up to 50% of their annual salary and up to 80% of their bonus before taxes. Clear Channel accounted for the plan in accordance with the provisions of ASC 710-10, *Compensation - General*. Clear Channel terminated this plan upon the closing of the merger and the related asset and liability of approximately \$38.4 million were settled.

Clear Channel offers a non-qualified deferred compensation plan for its highly compensated executives, under which such executives are able to make an annual election to defer up to 50% of their annual salary and up to 80% of their bonus before taxes. The Company accounts for the plan in accordance with the provisions of ASC 710-10. Matching credits on amounts deferred may be made in Clear Channel's sole discretion and Clear Channel retains ownership of all assets until distributed. Participants in the plan have the opportunity to allocate their deferrals and any Clear Channel matching credits among different investment options, the performance of which is used to determine the amounts to be paid to participants under the plan. In accordance with the provisions of ASC 710-10, the assets and liabilities of the non-qualified deferred compensation plan are presented in *Other assets* and *Other long-term liabilities* in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, respectively. The asset and liability under the deferred compensation plan at December 31, 2009 was approximately \$9.9 million recorded in *Other assets* and \$9.9 million recorded in *Other long-term liabilities*, respectively. The asset and liability under the deferred compensation plan at December 31, 2008 were approximately \$2.5 million recorded in *Other assets* and \$2.5 million recorded in *Other long-term liabilities*, respectively.

**Table of Contents****NOTE O OTHER INFORMATION***(In thousands)*

	Post-Merger		Pre-Merger	
	Year ended December 31, 2009	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008	Year ended December 31, 2007
The following details the components of Other income (expense) net :				
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	\$ (15,298)	\$ 21,323	\$ 7,960	\$ 6,743
Gain (loss) on early redemption of debt, net	713,034	108,174	(13,484)	
Other	(18,020)	2,008	412	(1,417)
<b>Total other income (expense) net</b>	<b>\$ 679,716</b>	<b>\$ 131,505</b>	<b>\$ (5,112)</b>	<b>\$ 5,326</b>

The following details the deferred income tax (asset) liability on items of other comprehensive income (loss):

Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$ 16,569	\$ (20,946)	\$ (24,894)	\$ (16,233)
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities and derivatives:				
Unrealized holding gain (loss)	\$ 6,743	\$	\$ (27,047)	\$ (5,155)
Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow derivatives	\$ (44,350)	\$ (43,706)	\$	\$ (1,035)

*(In thousands)*

	Post-Merger As of December 31,	
	2009	2008
The following details the components of Other current assets :		
Inventory	\$ 25,838	\$ 28,012
Deferred tax asset	19,581	43,903
Deposits	20,064	7,162
Other prepayments	51,700	53,280
Deferred loan costs	55,479	29,877
Other	82,613	53,339
<b>Total other current assets</b>	<b>\$ 255,275</b>	<b>\$ 215,573</b>

*(In thousands)*

	Post-Merger As of December 31,	
	2009	2008
The following details the components of Other assets :		
Prepaid expenses	\$ 988	\$ 125,768
Deferred loan costs	251,938	295,143
Deposits	11,225	27,943
Prepaid rent	87,960	92,171
Other prepayments	16,028	16,685
Non-qualified plan assets	9,919	2,550
<b>Total other assets</b>	<b>\$ 378,058</b>	<b>\$ 560,260</b>



**Table of Contents***(In thousands)*

	Post-Merger As of December 31,	
	2009	2008
The following details the components of Other long-term liabilities :		
Unrecognized tax benefits	\$ 301,496	\$ 266,852
Asset retirement obligation	51,301	55,592
Non-qualified plan liabilities	9,919	2,550
Interest rate swap	237,235	118,785
Deferred income	17,105	9,346
Other	207,498	122,614
Total other long-term liabilities	\$ 824,554	\$ 575,739

*(In thousands)*

	Post-Merger As of December 31,	
	2009	2008
The following details the components of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) :		
Cumulative currency translation adjustment	\$ (202,529)	\$ (332,750)
Cumulative unrealized gain (losses) on securities	(85,995)	(88,813)
Reclassification adjustments	104,394	95,113
Cumulative unrealized gain (losses) on cash flow derivatives	(149,179)	(75,079)
Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (333,309)	\$ (401,529)

**NOTE P - SEGMENT DATA**

The Company's reportable operating segments, which it believes best reflects how the Company is currently managed, are radio broadcasting, Americas outdoor advertising and international outdoor advertising. Revenue and expenses earned and charged between segments are recorded at fair value and eliminated in consolidation. The radio broadcasting segment also operates various radio networks. The Americas outdoor advertising segment consists of our operations primarily in the United States, Canada and Latin America, with approximately 91% of its 2009 revenue in this segment derived from the United States. The international outdoor segment includes operations in Europe, the U.K., Asia and Australia. The Americas and international display inventory consists primarily of billboards, street furniture displays and transit displays. The other category includes our media representation firm as well as other general support services and initiatives which are ancillary to our other businesses. Share-based payments are recorded by each segment in direct operating and selling, general and administrative expenses.

**Table of Contents***(In thousands)*

	Radio Broadcasting	Americas Outdoor Advertising	International Outdoor Advertising	Other	Corporate and other reconciling items	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>Post-Merger Year Ended December 31, 2009</b>							
Revenue	\$ 2,736,404	\$ 1,238,171	\$ 1,459,853	\$ 200,467	\$	\$ (82,986)	\$ 5,551,909
Direct operating expenses	901,799	608,078	1,017,005	98,829		(42,448)	2,583,263
Selling, general and administrative expenses	933,505	202,196	282,208	89,222		(40,538)	1,466,593
Depreciation and amortization	261,246	210,280	229,367	56,379	8,202		765,474
Corporate expenses					253,964		253,964
Impairment charges					4,118,924		4,118,924
Other operating expense net					(50,837)		(50,837)
Operating income (loss)	\$ 639,854	\$ 217,617	\$ (68,727)	\$ (43,963)	\$ (4,431,927)	\$	\$ (3,687,146)
Intersegment revenues	\$ 31,974	\$ 2,767	\$	\$ 48,245	\$	\$	\$ 82,986
Identifiable assets	\$ 8,601,490	\$ 4,722,975	\$ 2,216,691	\$ 771,346	\$ 1,734,599	\$	\$ 18,047,101
Capital expenditures	\$ 41,880	\$ 84,440	\$ 91,513	\$ 322	\$ 5,637	\$	\$ 223,792
Share-based payments	\$ 8,276	\$ 7,977	\$ 2,412	\$	\$ 21,121	\$	\$ 39,786
<b>Post-Merger Period from July 31, 2008 through December 31, 2008</b>							
Revenue	\$ 1,355,894	\$ 587,427	\$ 739,797	\$ 97,975	\$	\$ (44,152)	\$ 2,736,941
Direct operating expenses	409,090	276,602	486,102	46,193		(19,642)	1,198,345
Selling, general and administrative expenses	530,445	114,260	147,264	39,328		(24,510)	806,787
Depreciation and amortization	90,166	90,624	134,089	24,722	8,440		348,041
Corporate expenses					102,276		102,276
Merger expenses					68,085		68,085
Impairment charges					5,268,858		5,268,858
Other operating income net					13,205		13,205
Operating income (loss)	\$ 326,193	\$ 105,941	\$ (27,658)	\$ (12,268)	\$ (5,434,454)	\$	\$ (5,042,246)
Intersegment revenues	\$ 15,926	\$ 3,985	\$	\$ 24,241	\$	\$	\$ 44,152
Identifiable assets	\$ 11,905,689	\$ 5,187,838	\$ 2,409,652	\$ 1,016,073	\$ 606,211	\$	\$ 21,125,463
Capital expenditures	\$ 24,462	\$ 93,146	\$ 66,067	\$ 2,567	\$ 4,011	\$	\$ 190,253
Share-based payments	\$ 3,399	\$ 3,012	\$ 797	\$ 110	\$ 8,593	\$	\$ 15,911



**Table of Contents**

	Radio Broadcasting	Americas Outdoor Advertising	International Outdoor Advertising	Other	Corporate and other reconciling items	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>Pre-Merger Period from January 1, 2008 through July 30, 2008</b>							
Revenue	\$ 1,937,980	\$ 842,831	\$ 1,119,232	\$ 111,990	\$	\$ (60,291)	\$ 3,951,742
Direct operating expenses	570,234	370,924	748,508	46,490		(30,057)	1,706,099
Selling, general and administrative expenses	652,162	138,629	206,217	55,685		(30,234)	1,022,459
Depreciation and amortization	62,656	117,009	130,628	28,966	9,530		348,789
Corporate expenses					125,669		125,669
Merger expenses					87,684		87,684
Other operating income net					14,827		14,827
Operating income (loss)	\$ 652,928	\$ 216,269	\$ 33,879	\$ (19,151)	\$ (208,056)	\$	\$ 675,869
Intersegment revenues	\$ 23,551	\$ 4,561	\$	\$ 32,179	\$	\$	\$ 60,291
Identifiable assets	\$ 11,667,570	\$ 2,876,051	\$ 2,704,889	\$ 558,638	\$ 656,616	\$	\$ 18,463,764
Capital expenditures	\$ 37,004	\$ 82,672	\$ 116,450	\$ 1,609	\$ 2,467	\$	\$ 240,202
Share-based payments	\$ 34,386	\$ 5,453	\$ 1,370	\$ 1,166	\$ 20,348	\$	\$ 62,723
<b>Pre-Merger Year Ended December 31, 2007</b>							
Revenue	\$ 3,558,534	\$ 1,485,058	\$ 1,796,778	\$ 207,704	\$	\$ (126,872)	\$ 6,921,202
Direct operating expenses	982,966	590,563	1,144,282	78,513		(63,320)	2,733,004
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,190,083	226,448	311,546	97,414		(63,552)	1,761,939
Depreciation and amortization	107,466	189,853	209,630	43,436	16,242		566,627
Corporate expenses					181,504		181,504
Merger expenses					6,762		6,762
Other operating income net					14,113		14,113
Operating income (loss)	\$ 1,278,019	\$ 478,194	\$ 131,320	\$ (11,659)	\$ (190,395)	\$	\$ 1,685,479
Intersegment revenues	\$ 44,666	\$ 13,733	\$	\$ 68,473	\$	\$	\$ 126,872
Identifiable assets	\$ 11,732,311	\$ 2,878,753	\$ 2,606,130	\$ 736,037	\$ 345,404	\$	\$ 18,298,635
Capital expenditures	\$ 78,523	\$ 142,826	\$ 132,864	\$ 2,418	\$ 6,678	\$	\$ 363,309
Share-based payments	\$ 22,226	\$ 7,932	\$ 1,701	\$	\$ 12,192	\$	\$ 44,051

Revenue of \$1.6 billion, \$799.8 million, \$1.2 billion, and \$1.9 billion derived from the Company's foreign operations are included in the data above for the year ended December 31, 2009, the post-merger period from July 31, 2008 through December 31, 2008, the pre-merger period January 1, 2008 through July 30, 2008, and the pre-merger year ended December 31, 2007, respectively. Identifiable assets of \$2.5 billion, \$2.6 billion, \$2.9 billion, and \$2.9 billion derived from foreign operations are included in the data above for the year ended December 31, 2009, the post-merger five months ended December 31, 2008, the pre-merger seven months ended July 30, 2008, and the pre-merger year ended December 31, 2007, respectively.

**Table of Contents****NOTE Q - QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)***(In thousands, except per share data)*

	March 31,		June 30,		September 30,		December 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
Revenue	\$ 1,207,987	\$ 1,564,207	\$ 1,437,865	\$ 1,831,078	\$ 1,393,973	\$ 1,684,593	\$ 1,512,084	\$ 1,608,805
Operating expenses:								
Direct operating expenses	618,349	705,947	637,076	743,485	632,778	730,405	695,060	724,607
Selling, general and administrative expenses	377,536	426,381	360,558	445,734	337,055	441,813	391,444	515,318
Depreciation and amortization	175,559	152,278	208,246	142,188	190,189	162,463	191,480	239,901
Corporate expenses	47,635	46,303	50,087	47,974	79,723	64,787	76,519	68,881
Merger expenses		389		7,456		79,839		68,085
Impairment charges <sup>(1)</sup>			4,041,252				77,672	5,268,858
Other operating income (expense) net	(2,894)	2,097	(31,516)	17,354	1,403	(3,782)	(17,830)	12,363
Operating income (loss)	(13,986)	235,006	(3,890,870)	461,595	155,631	201,504	62,079	(5,264,482)
Interest expense	387,053	100,003	384,625	82,175	369,314	312,511	359,874	434,289
Gain (loss) on marketable securities		6,526		27,736	(13,378)		7	(116,552)
Equity in earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates	(4,188)	83,045	(17,719)	8,990	1,226	4,277	(8)	3,707
Other income (expense) net	(3,180)	11,787	430,629	(6,086)	222,282	(21,727)	29,985	142,419
Income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations	(408,407)	236,361	(3,862,585)	410,060	(3,553)	(128,457)	(267,811)	(5,669,197)
Income tax (expense) benefit <sup>(2)</sup>	(19,592)	(66,581)	184,552	(125,137)	(89,118)	52,344	417,478	663,414
Income (loss) before discontinued operations	(427,999)	169,780	(3,678,033)	284,923	(92,671)	(76,113)	149,667	(5,005,783)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net		638,262		5,032		(4,071)		(832)
Consolidated net income (loss)	(427,999)	808,042	(3,678,033)	289,955	(92,671)	(80,184)	149,667	(5,006,615)
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest	(9,782)	8,389	(4,629)	7,628	(2,816)	10,003	2,277	(9,349)
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ (418,217)	\$ 799,653	\$ (3,673,404)	\$ 282,327	\$ (89,855)	\$ (90,187)	\$ 147,390	\$ (4,997,266)

**Table of Contents**

	March 31,		June 30,		September 30,		December 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
	Post-Merger	Pre-Merger	Post-Merger	Pre-Merger	Post-Merger	Combined <sup>(3)</sup>	Post-Merger	Post-Merger
<b>Net income per common share:</b>								
<b>Basic:</b>								
Income (loss) attributable to the Company before discontinued operations		\$ .33		\$ .56		N.A.		
Discontinued operations		1.29		.01		N.A.		
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company		\$ 1.62		\$ .57		N.A.		
<b>Diluted:</b>								
Income (loss) before discontinued operations		\$ .32		\$ .56		N.A.		
Discontinued operations		1.29		.01		N.A.		
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company		\$ 1.61		\$ .57		N.A.		
Dividends declared per share		\$		\$		\$		

- (1) As discussed in Note B, the fourth quarter of 2009 includes a \$41.4 million adjustment related to previously recorded impairment charges.
- (2) See Note L for further discussion of the tax benefits recorded in the fourth quarters of 2009 and 2008.
- (3) The third quarter results of operations contain two months of post-merger and one month of pre-merger results, which relate to the period succeeding the merger and the periods preceding the merger, respectively. The Company believes that the presentation on a combined basis is more meaningful as it allows the results of operations to be analyzed to comparable periods in 2009. The following table separates the combined results into the post-merger and pre-merger periods:

**Table of Contents**

(In thousands)

	Period from July 31 through September 30, 2008 Post-Merger	Period from July 1 through July 30, 2008 Pre-Merger	Three Months ended September 30, 2008 Combined
Revenue	\$ 1,128,136	\$ 556,457	\$ 1,684,593
Operating expenses:			
Direct operating expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	473,738	256,667	730,405
Selling, general and administrative expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	291,469	150,344	441,813
Depreciation and amortization	108,140	54,323	162,463
Corporate expenses (excludes depreciation and amortization)	33,395	31,392	64,787
Merger expenses		79,839	79,839
Gain (loss) on disposition of assets net	842	(4,624)	(3,782)
Operating income (loss)	222,236	(20,732)	201,504
Interest expense	281,479	31,032	312,511
Equity in earnings of nonconsolidated affiliates	2,097	2,180	4,277
Other income (expense) net	(10,914)	(10,813)	(21,727)
Income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations	(68,060)	(60,397)	(128,457)
Income tax benefit	33,209	19,135	52,344
Income (loss) before discontinued operations	(34,851)	(41,262)	(76,113)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net	(1,013)	(3,058)	(4,071)
Consolidated net income (loss)	(35,864)	(44,320)	(80,184)
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest	8,868	1,135	10,003
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ (44,732)	\$ (45,455)	\$ (90,187)
Net income (loss) per common share:			
Income (loss) attributable to the Company before discontinued operations Basic		\$ (.09)	
Discontinued operations Basic			
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company Basic		\$ (.09)	
Weighted average common shares - Basic		495,465	
Income (loss) attributable to the Company before discontinued operations Diluted		\$ (.09)	
Discontinued operations Diluted			
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company Diluted		\$ (.09)	
Weighted average common shares - Diluted		495,465	
Dividends declared per share		\$	

**Table of Contents**

**NOTE R CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

In connection with the merger, the Company paid certain affiliates of the Sponsors \$87.5 million in fees and expenses for financial and structural advice and analysis, assistance with due diligence investigations and debt financing negotiations and \$15.9 million for reimbursement of escrow and other out-of-pocket expenses. This amount was preliminarily allocated between merger expenses, debt issuance costs or included in the overall purchase price of the merger.

The Company is party to a management agreement with certain affiliates of the Sponsors and certain other parties pursuant to which such affiliates of the Sponsors will provide management and financial advisory services until 2018. These agreements require management fees to be paid to such affiliates of the Sponsors for such services at a rate not greater than \$15.0 million per year. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recognized management fees of \$15.0. For the post-merger period ended December 31, 2008, the Company recognized management fees of \$6.3 million.

In addition, the Company reimbursed the Sponsors for additional expenses in the amount of \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

**Table of Contents****NOTE S GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES**

The Company and certain of Clear Channel's direct and indirect wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries (the Guarantor Subsidiaries) fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis certain of Clear Channel's outstanding indebtedness. The following consolidating schedules present financial information on a combined basis in conformity with the SEC's Regulation S-X Rule 3-10(d):

Post-merger (In thousands)	December 31, 2009					
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$	\$ 1,258,993	\$ 625,001	\$	\$ 1,883,994
Accounts receivable, net of allowance			569,300	732,400		1,301,700
Intercompany receivables	9,601	7,132,727	9,624	47,690	(7,199,642)	
Income taxes receivable	4,310	393,279	(313,057)	51,675		136,207
Prepaid expenses	2,098		12,018	67,553		81,669
Other current assets		47,942	39,407	190,406	(22,480)	255,275
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>16,009</b>	<b>7,573,948</b>	<b>1,576,285</b>	<b>1,714,725</b>	<b>(7,222,122)</b>	<b>3,658,845</b>
Property, plant and equipment, net			890,068	2,442,325		3,332,393
Definite-lived intangibles, net			1,789,195	810,049		2,599,244
Indefinite-lived intangibles licenses			2,429,839			2,429,839
Indefinite-lived intangibles permits				1,132,218		1,132,218
Goodwill			3,259,659	865,346		4,125,005
Notes receivable			869	596		1,465
Intercompany notes receivable <sup>(a)</sup>		212,000			(212,000)	
Long-term intercompany receivable				123,308	(123,308)	
Investments in, and advances to, nonconsolidated affiliates			1,217	344,132		345,349
Investment in subsidiaries	(7,724,529)	4,042,305	2,903,194		779,030	
Other assets		214,687	12,658	473,620	(322,907)	378,058
Other investments		1	27,686	16,998		44,685
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ (7,708,520)</b>	<b>\$ 12,042,941</b>	<b>\$ 12,890,670</b>	<b>\$ 7,923,317</b>	<b>\$ (7,101,307)</b>	<b>\$ 18,047,101</b>
Accounts payable	\$	\$	\$ 22,667	\$ 109,526	\$	\$ 132,193
Accrued expenses		25	218,852	507,434		726,311
Accrued interest		158,792		924	(22,480)	137,236
Intercompany payable			7,313,326	9,624	(7,322,950)	
Current portion of long-term debt <sup>(b)</sup>		351,702	4	47,073		398,779
Deferred income			37,189	112,428		149,617
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>		<b>510,519</b>	<b>7,592,038</b>	<b>787,009</b>	<b>(7,345,430)</b>	<b>1,544,136</b>
Long-term debt <sup>(b)</sup>		18,457,142	4,000	2,561,805	(719,821)	20,303,126
Intercompany long-term debt			212,000		(212,000)	
Deferred income taxes	(11,220)	511,142	846,062	874,039		2,220,023
Other long-term liabilities		288,667	279,477	256,410		824,554
Total member's interest (deficit)	(7,697,300)	(7,724,529)	3,957,093	3,444,054	1,175,944	(6,844,738)
<b>Total Liabilities and Member's Interest (Deficit)</b>	<b>\$ (7,708,520)</b>	<b>\$ 12,042,941</b>	<b>\$ 12,890,670</b>	<b>\$ 7,923,317</b>	<b>\$ (7,101,307)</b>	<b>\$ 18,047,101</b>

(a) Clear Channel had a note receivable in the original principal amount of \$2.5 billion from Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. which was prepaid in full and retired in December 2009 in connection with the offering of subsidiary level senior notes discussed in Note G.

(b) Clear Channel is the issuer of most of the Company's indebtedness. In December 2009, Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. (a non-guarantor subsidiary), issued \$2.5 billion in notes discussed more fully in Note G.



**Table of Contents**

Post-merger (In thousands)	December 31, 2008					
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$	\$ 139,433	\$ 100,413	\$	\$ 239,846
Accounts receivable, net of allowance			622,255	809,049		1,431,304
Intercompany receivables		6,609,523		431,641	(7,041,164)	
Prepaid expenses	1,472	14,677	46,603	70,465		133,217
Other current assets	1,960	178,985	(62,689)	145,565	(1,633)	262,188
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	3,432	6,803,185	745,602	1,557,133	(7,042,797)	2,066,555
Property, plant and equipment, net			959,555	2,588,604		3,548,159
Definite-lived intangibles, net			1,869,528	1,012,192		2,881,720
Indefinite-lived intangibles licenses			3,019,803			3,019,803
Indefinite-lived intangibles permits				1,529,068		1,529,068
Goodwill			5,809,000	1,281,621		7,090,621
Notes receivable			8,493	3,140		11,633
Intercompany notes receivable <sup>(a)</sup>		2,712,000			(2,712,000)	
Investments in, and advances to, nonconsolidated affiliates				384,137		384,137
Investment in subsidiaries	(3,443,136)	7,333,787	3,730,759		(7,621,410)	
Other assets		297,694	141,215	145,806	(24,455)	560,260
Other investments			10,089	23,418		33,507
<b>Total Assets</b>	\$ (3,439,704)	\$ 17,146,666	\$ 16,294,044	\$ 8,525,119	\$ (17,400,662)	\$ 21,125,463
Accounts payable	\$	\$	\$ 36,732	\$ 118,508	\$	\$ 155,240
Accrued expenses			295,402	497,964		793,366
Accrued interest		182,605		292	(1,633)	181,264
Intercompany payable	6,616	431,641	6,589,023	13,884	(7,041,164)	
Current portion of long-term debt <sup>(b)</sup>		493,395	6	69,522		562,923
Deferred income			40,268	112,885		153,153
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	6,616	1,107,641	6,961,431	813,055	(7,042,797)	1,845,946
Long-term debt <sup>(b)</sup>		18,982,760	4,004	32,332	(78,399)	18,940,697
Intercompany long-term debt			212,000	2,500,000	(2,712,000)	
Deferred income taxes	(12,229)	339,189	1,320,322	1,032,030		2,679,312
Other long-term liabilities		160,213	236,467	179,059		575,739
Total member s interest (deficit)	(3,434,091)	(3,443,137)	7,559,820	3,968,643	(7,567,466)	(2,916,231)
<b>Total Liabilities and Member s Interest (Deficit)</b>	\$ (3,439,704)	\$ 17,146,666	\$ 16,294,044	\$ 8,525,119	\$ (17,400,662)	\$ 21,125,463

(a) Clear Channel had a note receivable in the original principal amount of \$2.5 billion from Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. at December 31, 2008.

(b) Clear Channel was the issuer of substantially all of the Company s indebtedness as of December 31, 2008.



**Table of Contents**

Post-merger (In thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2009					
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenue	\$	\$	\$ 2,831,773	\$ 2,723,840	\$ (3,704)	\$ 5,551,909
Operating expenses:						
Direct operating expenses			953,870	1,630,330	(937)	2,583,263
Selling, general and administrative expenses			967,683	501,677	(2,767)	1,466,593
Depreciation and amortization			324,204	441,270		765,474
Corporate expenses	14,690	15	174,012	65,247		253,964
Merger expenses						
Impairment charges			3,223,941	894,983		4,118,924
Other operating income (expense) net			(42,606)	(8,231)		(50,837)
Operating income (loss)	(14,690)	(15)	(2,854,543)	(817,898)		(3,687,146)
Interest expense, net	20	1,371,161	20,218	83,846	25,621	1,500,866
Loss on marketable securities			(273)	(13,098)		(13,371)
Equity in earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates	(4,367,740)	(3,770,825)	(872,212)	(20,622)	9,010,710	(20,689)
Other income (expense) net		407,748	(10,642)	(85,981)	368,591	679,716
Income before income taxes and discontinued operations	(4,382,450)	(4,734,253)	(3,757,888)	(1,021,445)	9,353,680	(4,542,356)
Income tax benefit (expense)	5,394	366,513	(25,702)	147,115		493,320
Income (loss) before discontinued operations	(4,377,056)	(4,367,740)	(3,783,590)	(874,330)	9,353,680	(4,049,036)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net						
Consolidated net income (loss)	(4,377,056)	(4,367,740)	(3,783,590)	(874,330)	9,353,680	(4,049,036)
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest			(10,604)	(4,346)		(14,950)
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ (4,377,056)	\$ (4,367,740)	\$ (3,772,986)	\$ (869,984)	\$ 9,353,680	\$ (4,034,086)

**Table of Contents**

Post-merger (In thousands)	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008					
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenue	\$	\$	\$ 1,403,440	\$ 1,338,014	\$ (4,513)	\$ 2,736,941
Operating expenses:						
Direct operating expenses			438,698	760,175	(528)	1,198,345
Selling, general and administrative expenses			536,440	274,332	(3,985)	806,787
Depreciation and amortization			122,807	225,234		348,041
Impairment charges			2,051,209	3,217,649		5,268,858
Corporate expenses	4,236	391	65,968	31,681		102,276
Merger expenses		68,085				68,085
Other operating income net			8,335	4,870		13,205
Operating income (loss)	(4,236)	(68,476)	(1,803,347)	(3,166,187)		(5,042,246)
Interest (income) expense, net	9	635,731	7,756	72,767	(495)	715,768
Loss on marketable securities			(56,710)	(59,842)		(116,552)
Equity in earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates	(5,093,258)	(4,675,297)	(3,007,885)	5,804	12,776,440	5,804
Other income (expense) net	(2)	52,243	3,496	22,319	53,449	131,505
Income before income taxes and discontinued operations	(5,097,505)	(5,327,261)	(4,872,202)	(3,270,673)	12,830,384	(5,737,257)
Income tax benefit (expense)	1,563	234,003	196,586	264,471		696,623
Income (loss) before discontinued operations	(5,095,942)	(5,093,258)	(4,675,616)	(3,006,202)	12,830,384	(5,040,634)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net			(1,845)			(1,845)
Consolidated net income (loss)	(5,095,942)	(5,093,258)	(4,677,461)	(3,006,202)	12,830,384	(5,042,479)
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest			(2,136)	1,655		(481)
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ (5,095,942)	\$ (5,093,258)	\$ (4,675,325)	\$ (3,007,857)	\$ 12,830,384	\$ (5,041,998)

**Table of Contents**

Pre-merger (In thousands)	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008					
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenue	\$	\$	\$ 1,978,039	\$ 1,978,264	\$ (4,561)	\$ 3,951,742
Operating expenses:						
Direct operating expenses			579,094	1,127,005		1,706,099
Selling, general and administrative expenses			675,333	351,687	(4,561)	1,022,459
Depreciation and amortization			100,675	248,114		348,789
Corporate expenses		275	86,030	39,364		125,669
Merger expenses		87,684				87,684
Other operating income net			3,849	10,978		14,827
Operating income (loss)		(87,959)	540,756	223,072		675,869
Interest (income) expense, net		(132,888)	257,445	88,653		213,210
Gain on marketable securities			34,262			34,262
Equity in earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated affiliates		744,920	185,444	94,215	(930,364)	94,215
Other income (expense) net		(28)	(17,576)	12,492		(5,112)
Income before income taxes and discontinued operations		789,821	485,441	241,126	(930,364)	586,024
Income tax benefit (expense)		246,704	(358,541)	(60,746)		(172,583)
Income (loss) before discontinued operations		1,036,525	126,900	180,380	(930,364)	413,441
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net			637,120	3,116		640,236
Consolidated net income (loss)		1,036,525	764,020	183,496	(930,364)	1,053,677
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest			19,100	(1,948)		17,152
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$	\$ 1,036,525	\$ 744,920	\$ 185,444	\$ (930,364)	\$ 1,036,525

**Table of Contents**

Pre-merger (In thousands)	Year ended December 31, 2007					
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenue	\$	\$	\$ 3,627,890	\$ 3,307,105	\$ (13,793)	\$ 6,921,202
Operating expenses:						
Direct operating expenses			993,464	1,739,540		2,733,004
Selling, general and administrative expenses			1,220,013	555,719	(13,793)	1,761,939
Depreciation and amortization			166,328	400,299		566,627
Corporate expenses		1,452	113,972	66,080		181,504
Merger expenses		6,762				6,762
Other operating income net			2,289	11,824		14,113
Operating income (loss)		(8,214)	1,136,402	557,291		1,685,479
Interest (income) expense		(159,516)	453,686	157,700		451,870
Gain on marketable securities			6,742			6,742
Equity in earnings of nonconsolidated affiliates		776,688	266,428	35,176	(1,043,116)	35,176
Other income (expense) net		80	(3,302)	8,548		5,326
Income before income taxes and discontinued operations		928,070	952,584	443,315	(1,043,116)	1,280,853
Income tax benefit (expense)		10,437	(293,143)	(158,442)		(441,148)
Income (loss) before discontinued operations		938,507	659,441	284,873	(1,043,116)	839,705
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net			145,017	816		145,833
Consolidated net income (loss)		938,507	804,458	285,689	(1,043,116)	985,538
Amount attributable to noncontrolling interest			27,770	19,261		47,031
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$	\$ 938,507	\$ 776,688	\$ 266,428	\$ (1,043,116)	\$ 938,507

**Table of Contents**

Post-merger (In thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2009					
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>						
Consolidated net income (loss)	\$ (4,377,056)	\$ (4,367,740)	\$ (3,783,590)	\$ (874,330)	\$ 9,353,680	\$ (4,049,036)
<b>Reconciling items:</b>						
Depreciation and amortization			324,204	441,270		765,474
Impairment charges			3,223,941	894,983		4,118,924
Deferred taxes	1,008	216,303	(489,556)	(144,946)		(417,191)
Provision for doubtful accounts			34,815	17,683		52,498
Amortization of deferred financing charges, bond premiums, and accretion of note discounts		249,295		(45,452)	25,621	229,464
Share-based compensation			27,682	12,104		39,786
(Gain) loss on sale of operating assets			42,606	8,231		50,837
(Gain) loss on securities			273	13,098		13,371
Equity in (earnings) loss of nonconsolidated affiliates	4,367,740	3,770,825	872,212	20,622	(9,010,710)	20,689
(Gain) loss on debt extinguishment		(411,267)		66,824	(368,591)	(713,034)
(Gain) loss on other investments and assets			7,903	1,692		9,595
Other reconciling items - net			735	35,836		36,571
<b>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</b>						
Changes in other operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and dispositions	(2,975)	(183,408)	200,442	27,113	(17,945)	23,227
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(11,283)	(725,992)	461,667	474,728	(17,945)	181,175
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>						
Decrease (increase) in notes receivable net		2,500,000	452	371	(2,500,000)	823
Decrease (increase) in investments in and advances to nonconsolidated affiliates net				(3,811)		(3,811)
Purchase of other investments				(3,372)		(3,372)
Investment in subsidiaries		(318,898)			318,898	
Investment in Clear Channel notes				(361,411)	361,411	
Proceeds from maturity of Clear Channel notes				33,500	(33,500)	
Proceeds from sales of other investments			810	40,817		41,627
Purchases of property, plant and equipment			(47,377)	(176,415)		(223,792)
Proceeds from disposal of assets			30,674	18,144		48,818
Acquisition of operating assets			(3,367)	(4,933)		(8,300)
Decrease (increase) in other net		(2,914)	3,124	6,048		6,258
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		2,178,188	(15,684)	(451,062)	(1,853,191)	(141,749)

**Table of Contents**

Post-merger (In thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2009					Consolidated
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>						
Draws on credit facilities		1,701,500		7,125		1,708,625
Payments on credit facilities		(698,877)		(3,364)	500,000	(202,241)
Proceeds from issuance of subsidiary senior notes				2,500,000		2,500,000
Proceeds from delayed draw term loan facility		500,000				500,000
Payments on long-term debt		(500,000)	(6)	(5,913)	33,500	(472,419)
Payments on senior secured credit facilities		(2,000,000)				(2,000,000)
Repayment of CCU Intercompany Note				(2,500,000)	2,500,000	
Repurchases of long-term debt					(343,466)	(343,466)
Deferred financing charges				(60,330)		(60,330)
Intercompany funding	11,467	(454,819)	673,583	269,769	(500,000)	
Proceeds from parent investment in subsidiaries				318,898	(318,898)	
Payments for purchase of noncontrolling interest				(25,263)		(25,263)
Payments for purchase of common shares	(184)					(184)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	11,283	(1,452,196)	673,577	500,922	1,871,136	1,604,722
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents			1,119,560	524,588		1,644,148
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period			139,433	100,413		239,846
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	\$	\$ 1,258,993	\$ 625,001	\$	\$ 1,883,994

**Table of Contents**

Post-merger (In thousands)	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008					Consolidated
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>						
Consolidated net income (loss)	\$ (5,095,942)	\$ (5,093,258)	\$ (4,677,461)	\$ (3,006,202)	\$ 12,830,384	\$ (5,042,479)
Less: Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net			(1,845)			(1,845)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	(5,095,942)	(5,093,258)	(4,675,616)	(3,006,202)	12,830,384	(5,040,634)
<b>Reconciling items:</b>						
Depreciation and amortization			122,807	225,234		348,041
Impairment charges			2,051,209	3,217,649		5,268,858
Deferred taxes	397	(71,627)	(278,330)	(270,334)		(619,894)
Provision for doubtful accounts			30,363	24,240		54,603
Amortization of deferred financing charges, bond premiums, and accretion of note discounts		104,687	(1,288)		(540)	102,859
Share-based compensation			11,728	4,183		15,911
(Gain) loss on sale of operating assets			(8,335)	(4,870)		(13,205)
(Gain) loss on forward exchange contract						
(Gain) loss on securities			56,710	59,842		116,552
Equity in (earnings) loss of nonconsolidated affiliates	5,093,258	4,675,297	3,007,885	(5,804)	(12,776,440)	(5,804)
(Gain) loss on debt extinguishment		(60,690)	(2,538)		(53,449)	(116,677)
Other reconciling items - net			1,590	10,499		12,089
<b>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</b>						
Changes in other operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and dispositions	(3,433)	122,909	(13,917)	19,311	(1,543)	123,327
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(5,720)	(322,682)	302,268	273,748	(1,588)	246,026
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>						
Decrease (increase) in notes receivable - net			572	169		741
Decrease (increase) in investments in and advances to nonconsolidated affiliates - net				3,909		3,909
Purchase of other investments				(26,068)	26,042	(26)
Purchases of property, plant and equipment			(30,536)	(159,717)		(190,253)
Proceeds from disposal of assets			14,038	2,917		16,955
Acquisition of operating assets		(26,042)	(11,551)	(11,677)	26,042	(23,228)
Decrease (increase) in other - net		39,891	(69,382)	(17,851)		(47,342)
Cash used to purchase equity	(2,142,830)	(15,329,629)				(17,472,459)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(2,142,830)	(15,315,780)	(96,859)	(208,318)	52,084	(17,711,703)

**Table of Contents**

Post-merger (In thousands)	Period from July 31 through December 31, 2008					
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>						
Draws on credit facilities		150,000		30,000		180,000
Payments on credit facilities		(127,891)		(660)		(128,551)
Proceeds from long-term debt		532,500		25,020		557,520
Payments on long-term debt		(513,392)	(4,098)	(37,145)	(29)	(554,664)
Repurchases of long-term debt					(24,425)	(24,425)
Intercompany funding	5,720	215,169	(134,895)	(85,994)		
Debt proceeds used to finance the merger		15,382,076				15,382,076
Equity proceeds used to finance the merger	2,142,830					2,142,830
Payments for purchase of common shares				25,995	(26,042)	(47)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	2,148,550	15,638,462	(138,993)	(42,784)	(50,496)	17,554,739
<b>Cash flows from discontinued operations:</b>						
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities			2,429			2,429
Net cash provided by investing activities						
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities						
Net cash provided by discontinued operations			2,429			2,429
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents			68,845	22,646		91,491
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period			70,588	77,767		148,355
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	\$	\$ 139,433	\$ 100,413	\$	\$ 239,846



**Table of Contents**

Pre-merger (In thousands)	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008					
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>						
Consolidated net income (loss)	\$	\$ 1,036,525	\$ 764,020	\$ 183,496	\$ (930,364)	\$ 1,053,677
Less: Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net			637,120	3,116		640,236
Net income (loss) from continuing operations		1,036,525	126,900	180,380	(930,364)	413,441
<b>Reconciling items:</b>						
Depreciation and amortization			100,675	248,114		348,789
Deferred taxes		54,276	67,172	23,855		145,303
Provision for doubtful accounts			14,601	8,615		23,216
Amortization of deferred financing charges, bond premiums, and accretion of note discounts		4,499	(969)			3,530
Share-based compensation			56,218	6,505		62,723
(Gain) loss on disposal of assets			(3,849)	(10,978)		(14,827)
(Gain) loss forward exchange contract			2,496			2,496
(Gain) loss on trading securities			(36,758)			(36,758)
Equity in (earnings) loss of nonconsolidated affiliates		(744,920)	(185,444)	(94,215)	930,364	(94,215)
(Gain) loss on debt extinguishment			13,484			13,484
Other reconciling items - net		72	4,628	4,433		9,133
<b>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</b>						
Changes in other operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and dispositions		(243,368)	437,791	(35,480)		158,943
Net cash provided by operating activities		107,084	596,945	331,229		1,035,258
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>						
Decrease (increase) in notes receivable - net			97	239		336
Decrease (increase) in investments in and advances to nonconsolidated affiliates - net				25,098		25,098
Cross currency settlement of interest		(198,615)				(198,615)
Purchase of other investments				(98)		(98)
Proceeds from sales of other investments			125,700	47,767		173,467
Purchases of property, plant and equipment			(40,642)	(199,560)		(240,202)
Proceeds from disposal of assets			34,176	38,630		72,806
Acquisition of operating assets			(69,015)	(84,821)		(153,836)
Decrease (increase) in other - net		(41,118)	(56,411)	2,322		(95,207)
Net cash used in investing activities		(239,733)	(6,095)	(170,423)		(416,251)

**Table of Contents**

Pre-merger (In thousands)	Period from January 1 through July 30, 2008					Consolidated
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>						
Draws on credit facilities		620,464		72,150		692,614
Payments on credit facilities		(715,127)		(157,774)		(872,901)
Proceeds from long term debt				5,476		5,476
Payments on long-term debt		(625,000)	(652,686)	(4,662)		(1,282,348)
Intercompany funding		935,681	(789,261)	(146,420)		
Payments on forward exchange contract			(110,410)			(110,410)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and other		13,515		4,261		17,776
Dividends paid		(93,367)				(93,367)
Payments for purchase of common shares		(3,517)		(264)		(3,781)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		132,649	(1,552,357)	(227,233)		(1,646,941)
<b>Cash flows from discontinued operations:</b>						
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities			(68,770)	1,019		(67,751)
Net cash provided by investing activities			1,095,892	3,000		1,098,892
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities						
Net cash provided by discontinued operations			1,027,122	4,019		1,031,141
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents			65,615	(62,408)		3,207
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period			4,973	140,175		145,148
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	\$	\$ 70,588	\$ 77,767	\$	\$ 148,355

**Table of Contents**

Pre-merger (In thousands)	Year ended December 31, 2007					
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>						
Consolidated net income	\$	\$ 938,507	\$ 804,458	\$ 285,689	\$ (1,043,116)	\$ 985,538
Less: Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net			145,017	816		145,833
Net income (loss) from continuing operations		938,507	659,441	284,873	(1,043,116)	839,705
<b>Reconciling items:</b>						
Depreciation and amortization			166,328	400,299		566,627
Deferred taxes		(15,426)	163,576	40,088		188,238
Provision for doubtful accounts			28,017	10,598		38,615
Amortization of deferred financing charges, bond premiums, and accretion of note discounts		6,335	1,404			7,739
Share-based compensation			34,681	9,370		44,051
(Gain) loss on disposal of assets			(2,289)	(11,824)		(14,113)
(Gain) loss forward exchange contract			3,954			3,954
(Gain) loss on trading securities			(10,696)			(10,696)
Equity in (earnings) loss of nonconsolidated affiliates		(776,688)	(266,428)	(35,176)	1,043,116	(35,176)
Other reconciling items - net		(200)	586	(478)		(92)
<b>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</b>						
Changes in other operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and dispositions		(64,521)	18,788	(6,691)		(52,424)
Net cash provided by operating activities		88,007	797,362	691,059		1,576,428
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>						
Decrease (increase) in notes receivable - net			(5,835)	(234)		(6,069)
Decrease (increase) in investments in and advances to nonconsolidated affiliates - net			(2,353)	23,221		20,868
Cross currency settlement of interest		(1,214)				(1,214)
Purchase of other investments			(67)	(659)		(726)
Proceeds from sales of other investments			2,409			2,409
Purchases of property, plant and equipment			(86,683)	(276,626)		(363,309)
Proceeds from disposal of assets			8,856	17,321		26,177
Acquisition of operating assets			(53,051)	(69,059)		(122,110)
Decrease (increase) in other - net		(24,813)	15,041	(28,931)		(38,703)
Net cash used in investing activities		(26,027)	(121,683)	(334,967)		(482,677)

**Table of Contents**

Pre-merger (In thousands)	Year ended December 31, 2007					Consolidated
	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>						
Draws on credit facilities		780,138		106,772		886,910
Payments on credit facilities		(1,628,400)		(76,614)		(1,705,014)
Proceeds from long-term debt				22,483		22,483
Payments on long-term debt		(250,000)	(26,751)	(66,290)		(343,041)
Intercompany funding		1,339,415	(1,009,695)	(329,720)		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and other		69,236	1	10,780		80,017
Dividends paid		(372,369)				(372,369)
Net cash used in financing activities		(61,980)	(1,036,445)	(332,589)		(1,431,014)
<b>Cash flows from discontinued operations:</b>						
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities			33,332	500		33,832
Net cash provided by investing activities			332,579			332,579
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities						
Net cash provided by discontinued operations			365,911	500		366,411
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents			5,145	24,003		29,148
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period			(172)	116,172		116,000
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	\$	\$ 4,973	\$ 140,175	\$	\$ 145,148

**Table of Contents**

**NOTE T SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

On January 15, 2010, Clear Channel redeemed its 4.50% senior notes at their maturity for \$250.0 million with available cash on hand.

**ITEM 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure**

Not Applicable

## **Table of Contents**

### **ITEM 9A. Controls and Procedures**

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, who joined us effective January 4, 2010, we have carried out an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2009 to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that are filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

#### **Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and preparation of the Company's financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

As of December 31, 2009, management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the assessment, management determined that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on those criteria.

Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements of the Company included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. The report, which expresses an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, is included in this Item under the heading "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Clear Channel Capital I, LLC

We have audited Clear Channel Capital I, LLC's (Clear Channel Capital) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Clear Channel Capital's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Clear Channel Capital's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Clear Channel Capital maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Clear Channel Capital as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the related consolidated statements of operations, members' interest (deficit)/shareholders' equity, and cash flows of Clear Channel Capital for the year ended December 31, 2009 and for the period from July 31, 2008 through December 31, 2008, the related consolidated statement of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows of Clear Channel Communications, Inc. for the period from January 1, 2008 through July 30, 2008, and for the year ended December 31, 2007, and our report dated March 16, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

San Antonio, Texas

March 16, 2010

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 9B. Other Information**

Not Applicable



**Table of Contents****PART III****ITEM 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

Intentionally omitted in accordance with General Instruction I(2)(c) of Form 10-K.

**ITEM 11. Executive Compensation**

Intentionally omitted in accordance with General Instruction I(2)(c) of Form 10-K.

**ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

Intentionally omitted in accordance with General Instruction I(2)(c) of Form 10-K.

**ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence**

Intentionally omitted in accordance with General Instruction I(2)(c) of Form 10-K.

**ITEM 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services**

The following fees for services provided by Ernst & Young LLP were billed during the year ended December 31, 2009:

*(In thousands)*

Annual audit fees (1)	\$	6,627
Audit-related fees (2)		
Tax fees (3)		938
All other fees (4)		75
<b>Total fees for services</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>7,640</b>

- (1) Annual audit fees are for professional services rendered for the audit of annual financial statements and reviews of quarterly financial statements. This category also includes fees for statutory audits required domestically and internationally, comfort letters, consents, assistance with and review of documents filed with the SEC, attest services, work done by tax professionals in connection with the audit or quarterly reviews, and accounting consultations and research work necessary to comply with generally accepted auditing standards.
- (2) Audit-related fees are for due diligence related to mergers and acquisitions, internal control reviews and attest services not required by statute or regulations.
- (3) Tax fees are for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning, except those provided in connection with the audit or quarterly reviews. Of the \$937,733 in tax fees, \$348,444 was related to tax compliance services.
- (4) All other fees are the fees for products and services other than those in the above three categories. This category includes, among other things, permitted corporate finance assistance, and certain advisory services such as internal audit assistance and legal services permitted by SEC rules during the applicable period.

The Audit Committee has considered whether Ernst & Young LLP's provision of non-audit services is compatible with maintaining Ernst & Young LLP's independence.

## Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit and permitted non-audit services (including the fees and terms thereof) to be performed by its independent auditor. The chairperson of the Audit Committee may represent the entire Audit Committee for the purposes of pre-approving permissible non-audit services, provided that the decision to pre-approve any service is disclosed to the Audit Committee no later than its next scheduled meeting.

**Table of Contents**

**PART IV**

**ITEM 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules**

(a)1. Financial Statements.

The following consolidated financial statements are included in Item 8:

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Member s Deficit/Shareholders Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(a)2. Financial Statement Schedule.

The following financial statement schedule for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 and related report of independent auditors is filed as part of this report and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements.

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable, and therefore have been omitted.

**Table of Contents**

## SCHEDULE II

## VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

## Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

*(In thousands)*

Description	Balance at Beginning of period	Charges to Costs, Expenses and other	Write-off of Accounts Receivable	Other	Balance at end of Period
Year ended					
December 31,					
2007	\$ 56,068	\$ 38,615	\$ 38,711	\$ 3,197 (1)	\$ 59,169
Period from January 1,					
through July 30,					
2008	\$ 59,169	\$ 23,216	\$ 19,679	\$ 2,157 (1)	\$ 64,863
Period from July 31,					
through December 31,					
2008	\$ 64,863	\$ 54,603	\$ 18,703	\$ (3,399) (1)	\$ 97,364
Year ended					
December 31,					
2009	\$ 97,364	\$ 52,498	\$ 77,850	\$ (362) (1)	\$ 71,650

(1) Primarily foreign currency adjustments.

**Table of Contents**

## SCHEDULE II

## VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

## Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance

*(In thousands)*

Description	Balance at Beginning of period	Charges to Costs, Expenses and other (1)	Utilization (2)	Adjustments (3)	Balance at end of Period
Year ended					
December 31,					
2007	\$ 553,398	\$	\$ (77,738)	\$ 41,262	\$ 516,922
Period from January 1,					
through July 30,					
2008	\$ 516,922	\$	\$ (264,243)	\$	\$ 252,679
Period from July 31,					
through December 31,					
2008	\$ 252,679	\$ 62,114	\$ 3,341	\$ 1,396	\$ 319,530
Year ended					
December 31,					
2009	\$ 319,530	\$	\$ (7,369)	\$ (308,307)	\$ 3,854

- (1) During 2008 the Company recorded a valuation allowance on certain net operating losses that are not able to be carried back to prior years.
- (2) During 2007, 2008 and 2009 the Company utilized capital loss carryforwards to offset the capital gains generated in both continuing and discontinued operations from the disposition of primarily broadcast assets and certain investments. The related valuation allowance was released as a result of the capital loss carryforward utilization.
- (3) Related to a valuation allowance for the capital loss carryforward recognized during 2005 as a result of the spin-off of Live Nation and certain net operating loss carryforwards. During 2007 the amount of capital loss carryforward and the related valuation

## Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

allowance were adjusted due to the impact of settlements of various matters with the Internal Revenue Service for the 1999-2004 tax years. During 2008 the amount of capital loss carryforward and the related valuation allowance were adjusted due to the true up of the amount utilized on the 2007 tax return and the impact certain IRS audit adjustments that were agreed to during the year. During 2009 the Company released all valuation allowances related to its capital loss carryforwards due to the fact the all capital loss carryforwards were utilized or expired as of December 31, 2009. In addition, the Company released valuation allowances related to certain net operating loss carryforwards due to the fact that the Company can now carryback certain losses to prior years as a result of the enactment of the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009 (the Act ) on November 6, 2009 that allowed carryback of certain net operating losses five years. The Company's expectations as to future taxable income from deferred tax liabilities that reverse in the relevant carryforward period for those net operating losses that cannot be carried back will be sufficient for the realization of the deferred tax assets associated with the remaining net operating loss carryforwards.

**Table of Contents**

(a)3. Exhibits.

<b>Exhibit</b>	
<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger among BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc., B Triple Crown Finco, LLC, T Triple Crown Finco, LLC and Clear Channel Communications, Inc., dated as of November 16, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 16, 2006).
2.2	Amendment No. 1, dated April 18, 2007, to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 16, 2006, by and among BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc., B Triple Crown Finco, LLC, T Triple Crown Finco, LLC and Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 18, 2007).
2.3	Amendment No. 2, dated May 17, 2007, to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 16, 2006, by and among BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc., B Triple Crown Finco, LLC, T Triple Crown Finco, LLC, BT Triple Crown Holdings III, Inc. and Clear Channel Communications, Inc., as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 18, 2007).
2.4	Amendment No. 3, dated May 13, 2008, to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 16, 2006, by and among BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc., B Triple Crown Finco, LLC, T Triple Crown Finco, LLC, CC Media Holdings, Inc. and Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 14, 2008).
2.5	Asset Purchase Agreement dated April 20, 2007, between Clear Channel Broadcasting, Inc., ABO Broadcasting Operations, LLC, Ackerley Broadcasting Fresno, LLC, AK Mobile Television, Inc., Bel Meade Broadcasting, Inc., Capstar Radio Operating Company, Capstar TX Limited Partnership, CCB Texas Licenses, L.P., Central NY News, Inc., Citicasters Co., Clear Channel Broadcasting Licenses, Inc., Clear Channel Investments, Inc. and TV Acquisition LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2007).
3.1	Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-151345) declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 17, 2008).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-151345) declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 17, 2008).
4.1	Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Clear Channel's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1997).
4.2	Second Supplemental Indenture dated June 16, 1998 to Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and the Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 27, 1998).
4.3	Third Supplemental Indenture dated June 16, 1998 to Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 27, 1998).
4.4	Ninth Supplemental Indenture dated September 12, 2000, to Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.11 to Clear Channel's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000).

**Table of Contents**

**Exhibit**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.5	Eleventh Supplemental Indenture dated January 9, 2003, to Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.17 to Clear Channel's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002).
4.6	Twelfth Supplemental Indenture dated March 17, 2003, to Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 18, 2003).
4.7	Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture dated May 1, 2003, to Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 2, 2003).
4.8	Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture dated May 21, 2003, to Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 22, 2003).
4.9	Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture dated December 9, 2003, to Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2003).
4.10	Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture dated September 15, 2004, to Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 15, 2004).
4.11	Eighteenth Supplemental Indenture dated November 22, 2004, to Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 17, 2004).
4.12	Nineteenth Supplemental Indenture dated December 13, 2004, to Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 13, 2004).
4.13	Twentieth Supplemental Indenture dated March 21, 2006, to Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 21, 2006).
4.14	Twenty-first Supplemental Indenture dated August 15, 2006, to Senior Indenture dated October 1, 1997, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 16, 2006).
4.15	Twenty-Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 2, 2008, by and between Clear Channel and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 4, 2008).
4.16	Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 2, 2008, by and among AMFM, The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., and the guarantors party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 4, 2008).



**Table of Contents**

**Exhibit**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.17*	Indenture with respect to 9.25% Series A Senior Notes due 2017, dated as of December 23, 2009, by and among Clear Channel Worldwide Holdings, Inc., Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings, Inc., Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc., U.S. Bank National Association and the guarantors party thereto.
4.18*	Indenture with respect to 9.25% Series B Senior Notes due 2017, dated as of December 23, 2009, by and among Clear Channel Worldwide Holdings, Inc., Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings, Inc., Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc., U.S. Bank National Association and the guarantors party thereto.
10.1	First Amended and Restated Management Agreement, dated as of July 28, 2008, by and among CC Media Holdings, Inc., BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc., B Triple Crown Finco, LLC, T Triple Crown Finco, LLC, THL Managers VI, LLC and Bain Capital Partners, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.2**	Stockholders Agreement, dated as of July 29, 2008, by and among CC Media Holdings, Inc., Clear Channel Capital IV, LLC, Clear Channel Capital V, L.P., L. Lowry Mays, Randall T. Mays, Mark P. Mays, LLM Partners, Ltd., MPM Partners, Ltd. and RTM Partners, Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Company's Form 8-A Registration Statement filed July 30, 2008).
10.3**	Side Letter Agreement, dated as of July 29, 2008, among CC Media Holdings, Inc., Clear Channel Capital IV, LLC, Clear Channel Capital V, L.P., L. Lowry Mays, Mark P. Mays, Randall T. Mays, LLM Partners, Ltd., MPM Partners Ltd. and RTM Partners, Ltd. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 5 to the Company's Form 8-A Registration Statement filed July 30, 2008).
10.4	Affiliate Transactions Agreement, dated as of July 30, 2008, by and among CC Media Holdings, Inc., Bain Capital Fund IX, L.P., Thomas H. Lee Equity Fund VI, L.P. and BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 6 to the Company's Form 8-A Registration Statement filed July 30, 2008).
10.5§	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of April 24, 2007, by and between L. Lowry Mays and Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 1, 2007).
10.6§	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of April 24, 2007, by and between Mark P. Mays and Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 1, 2007).
10.7§	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of April 24, 2007, by and between Randall T. Mays and Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 1, 2007).
10.8§	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of July 28, 2008, by and among Randall T. Mays, CC Media Holdings, Inc. and BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.9	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of July 28, 2008, by and among Mark P. Mays, CC Media Holdings, Inc. and BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.10§	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of July 28, 2008, by and among L. Lowry Mays, CC Media Holdings, Inc. and BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit</b>	
<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.11**§	Employment Agreement, dated as of June 29, 2008, by and between John E. Hogan and Clear Channel Broadcasting, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.12§	Amendment, dated as of January 20, 2009, to the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement of Mark P. Mays, dated as of July 28, 2008, by and among Mark P. Mays, CC Media Holdings, Inc. and Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 21, 2009).
10.13§	Amendment, dated as of January 20, 2009, to the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement of Randall T. Mays, dated as of July 28, 2008, by and among Randall T. Mays, CC Media Holdings, Inc. and Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 21, 2009).
10.14§	Employment Agreement, dated as of August 5, 2005, by and between Paul Meyer and Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Clear Channel's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 10, 2005).
10.15**+	Credit Agreement, dated as of May 13, 2008, by and among Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (as the successor-in-interest to BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc. following the effectiveness of the Merger), the subsidiary co-borrowers of the Company party thereto, Clear Channel Capital I, LLC, the lenders party thereto, Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the other agents party thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-151345) declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 17, 2008).
10.16	Amendment No. 1, dated as of July 9, 2008, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of May 13, 2008, by and among Clear Channel Communications, Inc., the subsidiary co-borrowers of the Company party thereto, Clear Channel Capital I, LLC, the lenders party thereto, Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the other agents party thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.17	Amendment No. 2, dated as of July 28, 2008, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of May 13, 2008, by and among Clear Channel Communications, Inc., the subsidiary co-borrowers of the Company party thereto, Clear Channel Capital I, LLC, the lenders party thereto, Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the other agents party thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.18**+	Credit Agreement, dated as of May 13, 2008, by and among Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (as the successor-in-interest to BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc. following the effectiveness of the Merger), the subsidiary borrowers of the Company party thereto, Clear Channel Capital I, LLC, the lenders party thereto, Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the other agents party thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-151345) declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 17, 2008).
10.19	Amendment No. 1, dated as of July 9, 2008, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of May 13, 2008, by and among Clear Channel Communications, Inc., the subsidiary borrowers of the Company party thereto, Clear Channel Capital I, LLC, the lenders party thereto, Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the other agents party thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.20	Amendment No. 2, dated as of July 28, 2008, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of May 13, 2008, by and among Clear Channel Communications, Inc., the subsidiary borrowers of the Company party thereto, Clear Channel Capital I, LLC, the lenders party thereto, Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the other agents party thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit</b>	
<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.21**	Purchase Agreement, dated May 13, 2008, by and among BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Greenwich Capital Markets, Inc. and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-151345) declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 17, 2008).
10.22**	Indenture, dated July 30, 2008, by and among BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc., Law Debenture Trust Company of New York, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas and Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (as the successor-in-interest to BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc. following the effectiveness of the Merger) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.23	Supplemental Indenture, dated July 30, 2008, by and among Clear Channel Capital I, LLC, certain subsidiaries of Clear Channel party thereto and Law Debenture Trust Company of New York (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 30, 2008).
10.24*	Supplemental Indenture, dated December 9, 2008, by and among CC Finco Holdings, LLC, a subsidiary of Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and Law Debenture Trust Company of New York.
10.25**	Registration Rights Agreement, dated July 30, 2008, by and among Clear Channel Communications, Inc., certain subsidiaries of Clear Channel Communications, Inc. party thereto, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Greenwich Capital Markets, Inc. and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.26***§	Clear Channel 2008 Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.27§	Form of Senior Executive Option Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.28§	Form of Senior Executive Restricted Stock Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.29§	Form of Senior Management Option Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.30§	Form of Executive Option Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.31§	Clear Channel 2008 Investment Program (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.32***§	Clear Channel 2008 Annual Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.33	Form of Indemnification Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2008).
10.34	Amended and Restated Voting Agreement dated as of May 13, 2008 by and among BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc., B Triple Crown Finco, LLC, T Triple Crown Finco, LLC, CC Media Holdings, Inc., Highfields Capital I LP, Highfields Capital II LP, Highfields Capital III LP and Highfields Capital Management LP (Incorporated by reference to Annex E to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-151345) declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 17, 2008).

**Table of Contents****Exhibit**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.35	Voting Agreement dated as of May 13, 2008 by and among BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc., B Triple Crown Finco, LLC, T Triple Crown Finco, LLC, CC Media Holdings, Inc., Abrams Capital Partners I, LP, Abrams Capital Partners II, LP, Whitecrest Partners, LP, Abrams Capital International, Ltd. and Riva Capital Partners, LP (Incorporated by reference to Annex F to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-151345) declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 17, 2008).
10.36*	Purchase Agreement, dated December 18, 2009, by and among Clear Channel Worldwide Holdings, Inc., Goldman, Sachs & Co., Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Moelis & Company LLC, Banc of America Securities LLC and Barclays Capital Inc.
10.37*	Registration Rights Agreement with respect to 9.25% Series A Senior Notes due 2017, dated December 23, 2009, by and among Clear Channel Worldwide Holdings, Inc., certain subsidiaries of Clear Channel Worldwide Holdings, Inc. party thereto, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Moelis & Company LLC, Banc of America Securities LLC and Barclays Capital Inc.
10.38*	Registration Rights Agreement with respect to 9.25% Series B Senior Notes due 2017, dated December 23, 2009, by and among Clear Channel Worldwide Holdings, Inc., certain subsidiaries of Clear Channel Worldwide Holdings, Inc. party thereto, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Moelis & Company LLC, Banc of America Securities LLC and Barclays Capital Inc.
10.39**§	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of December 22, 2009, by and among Randall T. Mays, Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and CC Media Holdings, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 29, 2009).
10.40*	First Amendment, dated as of December 23, 2009, to the Revolving Promissory Note, dated as of November 10, 2005, by Clear Channel Communications, Inc., as Maker, to Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings, Inc.
10.41*	First Amendment, dated as of December 23, 2009, to the Revolving Promissory Note, dated as of November 10, 2005, by Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings, Inc., as Maker, to Clear Channel Communications, Inc.
10.42*	Series A Senior Notes Proceeds Loan Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2009, by and between Clear Channel Worldwide Holdings, Inc. and Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc.
10.43*	Series B Senior Notes Proceeds Loan Agreement, dated as of December 23, 2009, by and between Clear Channel Worldwide Holdings, Inc. and Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc.
10.44§	Employment Separation Agreement, dated as of October 19, 2009, by and between Clear Channel Communications, Inc. and Herbert W. Hill (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Amendment to Form 10-Q filed November 13, 2009).
10.45*§	Amendment, dated as of January 20, 2009, to the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of July 28, 2008, by and among Mark P. Mays, CC Media Holdings, Inc. and Clear Channel Communications, Inc., as successor to BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc.
10.46§	Letter Agreement, dated as of December 22, 2009, by and among Randall T. Mays, CC Media Holdings, Inc., BT Triple Crown Merger Co., Inc., Clear Channel Capital IV, LLC, Clear Channel Capital V, L.P., Lowry Mays, Mark P. Mays and other parties thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 29, 2009).
11*	Statement re: Computation of Per Share Earnings.
21	Subsidiaries (Intentionally omitted in accordance with General Instruction I(2)(c) of Form 10-K).
23*	Consent of Ernst and Young LLP.
24*	Power of Attorney (included on signature page).
31.1*	Certification Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

## Edgar Filing: CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC - Form 10-K

- 31.2\* Certification Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1\*\*\* Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2\*\*\* Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

\* Filed herewith.

\*\* Previously filed and being re-filed herewith solely for the purpose of including certain exhibits and schedules previously omitted.

\*\*\* This exhibit is furnished herewith and shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

§ A management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

+ This Exhibit was filed separately with the Commission pursuant to an application for confidential treatment. The confidential portions of the Exhibit have been omitted and have been marked by the following symbol: [\*\*].

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on March 16, 2010.

CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By: /s/ Mark P. Mays  
 Mark P. Mays  
 President and Chief Executive Officer

**Power of Attorney**

Each person whose signature appears below authorizes Mark P. Mays, Thomas W. Casey and Herbert W. Hill, Jr., or any one of them, each of whom may act without joinder of the others, to execute in the name of each such person who is then an officer or director of the Registrant and to file any amendments to this annual report on Form 10-K necessary or advisable to enable the Registrant to comply with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and any rules, regulations and requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission in respect thereof, which amendments may make such changes in such report as such attorney-in-fact may deem appropriate.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
/s/ Mark P. Mays Mark P. Mays	Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 16, 2010
/s/ Randall T. Mays Randall T. Mays	Vice Chairman and Director	March 16, 2010
/s/ Thomas W. Casey Thomas W. Casey	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	March 16, 2010
/s/ Herbert W. Hill, Jr. Herbert W. Hill, Jr.	Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)	March 16, 2010
/s/ David Abrams David Abrams	Director	March 16, 2010
/s/ Steve Barnes Steve Barnes	Director	March 16, 2010



**Table of Contents**

Name	Title	Date
/s/ Richard J. Bressler	Director	March 16, 2010
Richard J. Bressler		
/s/ Charles A. Brizius	Director	March 16, 2010
Charles A. Brizius		
/s/ John Connaughton	Director	March 16, 2010
John Connaughton		
/s/ Blair Hendrix	Director	March 16, 2010
Blair Hendrix		
/s/ Jonathan S. Jacobson	Director	March 16, 2010
Jonathan S. Jacobson		
/s/ Ian K. Loring	Director	March 16, 2010
Ian K. Loring		
/s/ Scott M. Sperling	Director	March 16, 2010
Scott M. Sperling		
/s/ Kent R. Weldon	Director	March 16, 2010
Kent R. Weldon		