

WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP
Form DEF 14A
March 20, 2008
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to (S) 240.14a-11(c) or (S) 240.14a-12

Wisconsin Energy Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

P-1

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	P-3
Annual Meeting Admission Ticket	
<u>Proxy Statement</u>	P-4
<u>General Information – Frequently Asked Questions</u>	P-4
<u>Proposal 1: Election of Directors – Terms Expiring in 2009</u>	P-6
<u>Proposal 2: Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Independent Auditors for 2008</u>	P-9
<u>Independent Auditors – Fees and Services</u>	P-10
<u>Corporate Governance – Frequently Asked Questions</u>	P-11
<u>Committees of the Board of Directors</u>	P-16
<u>Audit and Oversight Committee Report</u>	P-17
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	P-18
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	P-27
<u>Executive Officers – Compensation</u>	P-28
<u>Director Compensation</u>	P-46
<u>WEC Common Stock Ownership</u>	P-48
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	P-49
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	P-49
<u>Availability of Form 10-K</u>	P-49

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

March 20, 2008

To the Stockholders of Wisconsin Energy Corporation:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. **An admission ticket will be required to enter the meeting.** Your admission ticket, which also includes a map to the meeting, is attached to your proxy statement. Instructions on how to obtain an admission ticket if you received your proxy materials electronically are provided on page P-6 of the proxy statement. **Regardless of whether you plan to attend, please take a moment to vote your proxy.** The meeting will be held as follows:

WHEN: Thursday, May 1, 2008

10:00 a.m., Central time

WHERE: Concordia University Wisconsin

R. John Buuck Field House

12800 North Lake Shore Drive

Mequon, Wisconsin 53097

ITEMS OF BUSINESS: Election of nine directors for terms expiring in 2009.

Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent auditors for 2008.

Consideration of any other matters that may properly come before the meeting.

RECORD DATE: February 21, 2008

VOTING BY PROXY: Your vote is important. You may vote:

using the Internet;

by telephone; or

by returning the proxy card in the envelope provided.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on May 1, 2008 The Proxy Statement and 2007 Annual Report are available at:

<http://bnymellon.mobular.net/bnymellon/wec>

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Susan H. Martin

Vice President, Corporate Secretary and Associate General Counsel

P-3

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT

This proxy statement is being furnished to stockholders beginning on or about March 20, 2008, in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Wisconsin Energy Corporation (WEC or the Company) Board of Directors (the Board) to be used at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders on Thursday, May 1, 2008 (the Meeting) at 10:00 a.m., Central time, in the R. John Buuck Field House on the campus of Concordia University Wisconsin located at 12800 North Lake Shore Drive, Mequon, Wisconsin 53097, and at all adjournments or postponements of the Meeting, for the purposes listed in the preceding Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

GENERAL INFORMATION FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

What am I voting on?

Proposal 1: Election of nine directors for terms expiring in 2009.

Proposal 2: Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent auditors for 2008.

The Company is not aware of any other matters that will be voted on. If a matter does properly come before the Meeting, the persons named as the proxies in the accompanying form of proxy will vote the proxy at their discretion.

What are the Board's voting recommendations?

The Board of Directors recommends a vote:

FOR each of the nine nominated directors, and

FOR ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent auditors for 2008.

What is the vote required for each proposal?

Proposal 1: The nine individuals receiving the largest number of votes will be elected as directors.

Proposal 2: Ratification of the independent auditors requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Meeting.

Who can vote?

Common stockholders as of the close of business on the record date, February 21, 2008, can vote. Each outstanding share of WEC common stock is entitled to one vote upon each matter presented. A list of stockholders entitled to vote will be available for inspection by stockholders at WEC's principal business office, 231 West Michigan Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203, prior to the Meeting. The list also will be available at the Meeting.

How do I vote?

There are several ways to vote:

By Internet. The Company encourages you to vote this way.

By toll-free touch-tone telephone.

By completing and mailing the enclosed proxy card.

By written ballot at the Meeting.

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Instructions to vote through the Internet or by telephone are listed on your proxy card or the information forwarded to you by your bank or broker. The Internet and telephone voting facilities will close at 10:59 p.m., Central time, on Wednesday, April 30, 2008.

If you are a participant in WEC's Stock Plus Investment Plan (Stock Plus) or own shares through investments in the WEC Common Stock Fund or WEC Common Stock ESOP Fund in WEC's 401(k) plan, your proxy will serve as voting instructions for your shares held in those plans. The administrator for Stock Plus and the trustee for the 401(k) plan will vote your shares as you direct. If a proxy is not returned for shares held in Stock Plus, the administrator will not vote those shares. If a proxy is not returned for shares held in the 401(k) plan, the trustee will vote those shares in the same proportion that all shares in the WEC Common Stock Fund or WEC Common Stock ESOP Fund, as the case may be, for which voting instructions have been received, are voted.

If you are a beneficial owner and your broker holds your shares in its name, the broker is permitted to vote your shares in the election of directors and ratification of the independent auditors even if the broker does not receive voting instructions from you. If your shares are held in the name of a broker, bank or other holder of record, you are invited to attend the Meeting, but may not vote at the Meeting unless you have first obtained a proxy executed in your favor from the holder of record.

P-4

Table of Contents

What does it mean if I get more than one proxy?	It means your shares are held in more than one account. Please vote all proxies to ensure all of your shares are counted.
What constitutes a quorum?	As of the record date, there were 116,933,189 shares of WEC common stock outstanding. In order to conduct the Meeting, a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote must be represented in person or by proxy. This is known as a quorum. Abstentions and shares which are the subject of broker non-votes will count toward establishing a quorum.
Can I change my vote?	<p>You may change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time prior to the closing of the polls, by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">entering a new vote by Internet or phone;returning a later-dated proxy card;voting in person at the Meeting; ornotifying WEC's Corporate Secretary by written revocation letter. <p>The Corporate Secretary is Susan H. Martin. Any revocation should be filed with her at WEC's principal business office, 231 West Michigan Street, P. O. Box 1331, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201.</p> <p>Attendance at the Meeting will not, in itself, constitute revocation of a proxy. All shares entitled to vote and represented by properly completed proxies timely received and not revoked will be voted as you direct. If no direction is given in a properly completed proxy, the proxy will be voted as the Board recommends.</p>
Who conducts the proxy solicitation?	The WEC Board is soliciting these proxies. WEC will bear the cost of the solicitation of proxies. WEC contemplates that proxies will be solicited principally through the use of the mail, but employees of WEC or its subsidiaries may solicit proxies by telephone, personally or by other communications, without compensation apart from their normal salaries. It is not anticipated that any other persons will be engaged to solicit proxies or that compensation will be paid for that purpose. However, WEC may seek the services of an outside proxy solicitor in the event that such services become necessary.
Who will count the votes?	BNY Mellon Shareowner Services, which also will serve as Inspector of Election, will tabulate voted proxies.
How can I attend the Meeting?	The Meeting is open to all stockholders of WEC. You must bring an admission ticket or other evidence of your ownership to enter the Meeting. If you received proxy materials by mail, your admission ticket is attached to your proxy statement. The admission ticket admits the stockholder and one guest. If your shares are jointly owned or you participate in our householding program and you need an additional ticket, or you have questions regarding this process, contact Stockholder Services, 231 West Michigan Street, P.O. Box 1331, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201 or call 800-881-5882. Directions to the Meeting are included on the admission ticket.
What information is available via the Internet?	The following documents can be found at http://bnymellon.mobular.net/bnymellon/wec Notice of Annual Meeting; Proxy Statement; 2007 Annual Report; and

Form of Proxy.

How do I obtain an admission ticket if I received my proxy materials electronically?

If your shares are registered in your name, you can print an admission ticket by following the instructions provided in the e-mail which transmitted your proxy materials. If you hold your shares through a bank, brokerage firm, or other nominee, call 800-881-5882 or write to Stockholder Services at the above address to request an admission ticket. We will send you an admission ticket upon verification of your ownership. You may also bring a copy of your account statement or other evidence of your ownership as of the record date to the Meeting. This document will serve as your admission ticket.

P-5

Table of Contents

What steps has WEC taken to reduce the cost of proxy solicitation?

WEC has implemented several practices that reduce the printing and postage costs and are friendly to the environment. WEC encourages you to choose MLinkSM for fast and secure 24/7 online access to proxy materials, investment plan statements, tax documents and more. You can simply log on to Investor ServiceDirect[®] at www.bnymellon.com/shareowner/isd where step-by-step instructions will prompt you on how to enroll in MLinkSM. The Company also has:

encouraged Internet and telephone voting of your proxies; and

implemented householding whereby stockholders sharing a single address receive a single annual report and proxy statement, unless the Company received instructions to the contrary from one or more of the stockholders.

If you received multiple copies of the annual report and proxy statement, you may wish to contact the Company's transfer agent, BNY Mellon Shareowner Services, at 800-558-9663 to request householding, or you may provide written instructions to Wisconsin Energy Corporation, c/o BNY Mellon Shareowner Services, P.O. Box 358015, Pittsburgh, PA 15252-8015. If you wish to receive separate copies of the annual report and proxy statement now or in the future, or to discontinue householding entirely, you may contact the Company's transfer agent using the contact information provided above. Upon request, the Company will promptly send a separate copy of either document. Whether or not a stockholder is householding, each stockholder will continue to receive a proxy card. If your shares are held through a bank, broker or other holder of record, you may request householding by contacting the holder of record.

Who do I contact if I have questions about the Meeting or my account?

If you need more information about the Meeting, write to Stockholder Services, 231 West Michigan Street, P.O. Box 1331, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201, or call us at 800-881-5882. For information about shares registered in your name or your Stock Plus account, call our transfer agent, BNY Mellon Shareowner Services, at 800-558-9663, or access your account via the Internet at www.bnymellon.com/shareowner/isd.

PROPOSALS TO BE VOTED UPON

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS TERMS EXPIRING IN 2009

WEC's Bylaws require each director to be elected annually to hold office for a one-year term. Directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast by the shares entitled to vote, as long as a quorum is present. Plurality means that the individuals who receive the largest number of votes are elected as directors up to the maximum number of directors to be chosen. Therefore, presuming a quorum is present, shares not voted, whether by withheld authority or otherwise, have no effect in the election of directors.

The Board's nominees for election are:

John F. Bergstrom

Barbara L. Bowles

Patricia W. Chadwick

Robert A. Cornog

Curt S. Culver

Thomas J. Fischer

Gale E. Klappa

Ulice Payne, Jr.

Frederick P. Stratton, Jr.

Because John F. Ahearne's age exceeds the Company's age guideline for non-employee directors and his nuclear expertise is no longer required as a result of our sale of Point Beach Nuclear Plant in September 2007, he is not standing for re-election at the Meeting. The Board has determined to reduce the number of directors constituting the whole Board from ten to nine. Proxies may not be voted for more than nine persons in the election of directors.

Each nominee has consented to being nominated and to serve if elected. In the unlikely event that any nominee becomes unable to serve for any reason, the proxies will be voted for a substitute nominee selected by the Board upon the recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee of the Board. Biographical information regarding each nominee is included on the next pages.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR all of the director nominees.

Information about Nominees for Election to the Board of Directors. Wisconsin Electric Power Company (WE) and Wisconsin Gas LLC (WG) do business as We Energies and are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Wisconsin Energy Corporation. Effective July 28, 2004, Wisconsin Gas Company converted to a Wisconsin limited liability company and changed its name to Wisconsin Gas LLC. References to service as a director of Wisconsin Gas LLC below include the time each director sat as a director of Wisconsin Gas Company. Ages and biographical information are as of March 1, 2008.

Table of Contents

John F. Bergstrom. Age 61.

Bergstrom Corporation Chairman since 1982 and Chief Executive Officer since 1974. Bergstrom Corporation owns and operates numerous automobile sales and leasing companies.

Director of Kimberly-Clark Corporation.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation since 1987, Wisconsin Electric Power Company since 1985 and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2000.

Barbara L. Bowles. Age 60.

Profit Investment Management Retired Vice Chair. Served as Vice Chair from January 2006 until retirement in December 2007. Profit Investment Management is an investment advisory firm.

The Kenwood Group, Inc. Retired Chairman. Served as Chairman from 2000 until retirement in December 2007. Chief Executive Officer from 1989 to December 2005. The Kenwood Group was merged into Profit Investment Management in 2006.

Director of Black & Decker Corporation.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation and Wisconsin Electric Power Company since 1998 and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2000.

Patricia W. Chadwick. Age 59.

Ravengate Partners, LLC President since 1999. Ravengate Partners, LLC provides businesses and not-for-profit institutions with advice about the financial markets.

Director of AMICA Mutual Insurance Company and ING Mutual Funds.

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation, Wisconsin Electric Power Company and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2006.

Robert A. Cornog. Age 67.

Snap-on Incorporated Retired Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer. Served as President and Chief Executive Officer from 1991 until 2001. Retired as Chairman in 2002. Snap-on Incorporated is a developer, manufacturer and distributor of professional hand and power tools, diagnostic and shop equipment, and tool storage products.

Director of Johnson Controls, Inc. and Oshkosh Truck Corporation.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation since 1993, Wisconsin Electric Power Company since 1994 and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2000.

Curt S. Culver. Age 55.

MGIC Investment Corporation Chairman since 2005, Chief Executive Officer since 2000 and President from 1999 to January 2006. MGIC Investment Corporation is the parent of Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation.

Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation Chairman since 2005, Chief Executive Officer since 1999 and President from 1996 to January 2006. Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation is a private mortgage insurance company.

Director of MGIC Investment Corporation.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation, Wisconsin Electric Power Company and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2004.

Table of Contents

Thomas J. Fischer. Age 60.

Fischer Financial Consulting LLC Principal since 2002. Fischer Financial Consulting LLC provides consulting on corporate financial, accounting and governance matters.

Arthur Andersen LLP Retired as Managing Partner of the Milwaukee office in 2002. Served as Managing Partner from 1993 and as Partner from 1980. Arthur Andersen LLP was an independent public accounting firm.

Director of Actuant Corporation, Badger Meter, Inc. and Regal-Beloit Corporation.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation, Wisconsin Electric Power Company and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2005.

Gale E. Klappa. Age 57.

Wisconsin Energy Corporation Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since May 2004. President since April 2003.

Wisconsin Electric Power Company Chairman of the Board since May 2004. President and Chief Executive Officer since August 2003.

Wisconsin Gas LLC Chairman of the Board since May 2004. President and Chief Executive Officer since August 2003.

The Southern Company Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer from March 2001 to April 2003. Chief Strategic Officer from October 1999 to March 2001. The Southern Company is a public utility holding company serving the southeastern United States.

Director of Joy Global Inc.

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation, Wisconsin Electric Power Company and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2003.

Ulice Payne, Jr. Age 52.

Addison-Clifton, LLC Managing Member since 2004. Addison-Clifton, LLC provides advisory services on global trade compliance.

Milwaukee Brewers Baseball Club, Inc. President and Chief Executive Officer from 2002 to 2003.

Foley & Lardner Managing Partner of the law firm's Milwaukee office from May 2002 to September 2002. A partner from 1998 to 2002.

Director of Badger Meter, Inc. and Manpower Inc., and Trustee of The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation, Wisconsin Electric Power Company and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2003.

Frederick P. Stratton, Jr. Age 68.

Briggs & Stratton Corporation Chairman Emeritus since 2003. Chairman of the Board from 2001 to 2003. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer until 2001. Briggs & Stratton Corporation is a manufacturer of small gasoline engines.

Director of Baird Funds, Inc. and Weyco Group, Inc.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation since 1987, Wisconsin Electric Power Company since 1986 and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2000.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS INDEPENDENT AUDITORS FOR 2008

The Audit and Oversight Committee of the Board of Directors has sole authority to select, evaluate and, where appropriate, terminate and replace the independent auditors. The Audit and Oversight Committee has appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008. The Committee believes that stockholder ratification of this matter is important considering the critical role the independent auditors play in maintaining the integrity of the Company's financial statements. If stockholders do not ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP, the Audit and Oversight Committee will reconsider the selection.

Deloitte & Touche LLP also served as the independent auditors for the Company for the last six fiscal years beginning with the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP are expected to be present at the Meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and are expected to respond to appropriate questions that may be directed to them.

The appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent auditors for 2008 will be ratified if the number of votes cast in favor of the proposal exceeds the number of votes cast against the proposal. Accordingly, presuming a quorum is present, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR

the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent auditors for 2008.

Table of Contents**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS FEES AND SERVICES**

Pre-Approval Policy. The Audit and Oversight Committee has a formal policy delineating its responsibilities for reviewing and approving, in advance, all audit, audit-related, tax and other services of the independent auditors. The Committee is committed to ensuring the independence of the auditors, both in appearance as well as in fact.

Under the pre-approval policy, before engagement of the independent auditors for the next year's audit, the independent auditors will submit a description of services anticipated to be rendered for the Committee to approve. Annual pre-approval will be deemed effective for a period of twelve months from the date of pre-approval, unless the Committee specifically provides for a different period. A fee level will be established for all permissible non-audit services. Any proposed non-audit services exceeding this level will require additional approval by the Committee.

The Audit and Oversight Committee delegated pre-approval authority to the Committee's Chair. The Committee Chair shall report any pre-approval decisions at the next scheduled Committee meeting. Under the pre-approval policy, the Committee shall not delegate to management its responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the independent auditors.

Under the pre-approval policy, prohibited non-audit services are services prohibited by the Securities and Exchange Commission or by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board to be performed by the Company's independent auditors. These services include bookkeeping or other services related to the accounting records or financial statements of the Company, financial information systems design and implementation, appraisal or valuation services, fairness opinions or contribution-in-kind reports, actuarial services, internal audit outsourcing services, management functions or human resources, broker-dealer, investment advisor or investment banking services, legal services and expert services unrelated to the audit, services provided for a contingent fee or commission and services related to planning, marketing or opining in favor of the tax treatment of a confidential transaction or an aggressive tax position transaction that was initially recommended, directly or indirectly, by the independent auditors. In addition, the Committee has determined that the independent auditors may not provide any services, including personal financial counseling and tax services, to any officer of the Company or member of the Audit and Oversight Committee or an immediate family member of these individuals, including spouses, spousal equivalents and dependents.

Fee Table. The following table shows the fees for professional audit services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP for the audit of the annual financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries for fiscal years 2007 and 2006 and fees for other services rendered during those periods. No fees were paid to Deloitte & Touche LLP pursuant to the *de minimus* exception to the pre-approval policy permitted under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

	2007	2006
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,528,432	\$ 1,415,067
Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾	44,400	
Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	634,739	
All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾	4,325	2,800
Total	\$ 2,211,896	\$ 1,417,867

(1) *Audit Fees* consist of fees for professional services rendered in connection with the audits of (1) annual financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries, and (2) the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. This category also includes reviews of financial statements included in Form 10-Q filings of the Company and its subsidiaries and services normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

(2) *Audit-Related Fees* consist of fees for professional services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and are not reported under *Audit Fees*. These services primarily include consultations regarding implementation of accounting standards.

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (3) *Tax Fees* consist of fees for professional services rendered with respect to federal and state tax compliance and tax advice. During 2007, this included tax strategy consulting for the utilities. Deloitte & Touche LLP did not provide any tax strategy consulting in 2006.
- (4) *All Other Fees* consist of costs for certain employees to attend accounting/tax seminars hosted by Deloitte & Touche LLP in 2007 and 2006.

P-10

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

Does WEC have Corporate Governance Guidelines?	Yes, since 1996 the Board has maintained Corporate Governance Guidelines that provide a framework under which it conducts business. The Corporate Governance Committee reviews the Guidelines annually to ensure that the Board is providing effective governance over the affairs of the Company. The Guidelines are available in the Governance section of the Company's website at www.wisconsinenergy.com and are available in print to any stockholder who requests them in writing from the Corporate Secretary.
How are directors determined to be independent?	No director qualifies as independent unless the Board affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with the Company. The Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Board should consist of at least a two-thirds majority of independent directors.
What are the Board's standards of independence?	<p>The guidelines the Board uses in determining director independence are located in Appendix A of the Corporate Governance Guidelines. These standards of independence, which are summarized below, include those established by the New York Stock Exchange as well as a series of standards that are more comprehensive than New York Stock Exchange requirements. A director will be considered independent by the Board if the director:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> has not been an employee of the Company for the last five years; has not received, in the past three years, more than \$100,000 per year in direct compensation from the Company, other than director fees or deferred compensation for prior service; has not been affiliated with or employed by a present or former internal or external auditor of the Company in the past three years; has not been an executive officer, in the past three years, of another company where any of the Company's present executives at the same time serves or served on that other company's compensation committee; in the past three years, has not been an employee of a company that makes payments to, or receives payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which in any single fiscal year is the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such other company's consolidated gross revenues; has not received, in the past three years, remuneration, other than <i>de minimus</i> remuneration, as a result of services as, or being affiliated with an entity that serves as, an advisor, consultant, or legal counsel to the Company or to a member of the Company's senior management, or a significant supplier of the Company; has no personal service contract(s) with the Company or any member of the Company's senior management; is not an employee or officer with a not-for-profit entity that receives 5% or more of its total annual charitable awards from the Company; has not had any business relationship with the Company, in the past three years, for which the Company has been required to make disclosure under certain rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission; is not employed by a public company at which an executive officer of the Company serves as a director; and does not have any beneficial ownership interest of 5% or more in an entity that has received remuneration, other than <i>de minimus</i> remuneration, from the Company, its subsidiaries or affiliates. <p>The Board also considers whether a director's immediate family members meet the above criteria, as well as whether a director has any relationships with WEC's affiliates for certain of the above criteria, when determining the director's independence. Any relationship between a director and the Company not meeting the above criteria is considered an immaterial relationship with the Company for purposes of determining independence.</p>

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Who are the independent directors?

The Board has affirmatively determined that Directors Ahearne, Bergstrom, Bowles, Chadwick, Cornog, Culver, Fischer, Payne and Stratton have no relationships within the Board's standards of independence noted above and otherwise have no material relationships with WEC and are independent. This represents 90% of the Board. Director Klappa is not independent due to his present employment with WEC.

P-11

Table of Contents

<p>What are the committees of the Board?</p>	<p>The Board of Directors has the following committees: Audit and Oversight, Compensation, Corporate Governance, Finance and Executive.</p> <p>All committees, except the Executive Committee, operate under a charter approved by the Board. A copy of each committee charter is posted in the Governance section of the Company's website at www.wisconsinenergy.com and is available in print to any stockholder who requests it in writing from the Corporate Secretary. The members and the responsibilities of each committee are listed later in this proxy statement under the heading Committees of the Board of Directors.</p>
<p>Are the Audit and Oversight, Corporate Governance and Compensation Committees comprised solely of independent directors?</p>	<p>Yes, these committees are comprised solely of independent directors, as determined under New York Stock Exchange rules and the Board's Corporate Governance Guidelines.</p> <p>In addition, the Board has determined that each member of the Audit and Oversight Committee is independent under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange applicable to audit committee members. The Audit and Oversight Committee is a separately designated committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.</p>
<p>Do the non-management directors meet separately from management?</p>	<p>Yes, at every regularly scheduled Board meeting non-management (non-employee) directors have an opportunity to meet in executive session without any management present. All non-management directors are independent. The chair of the Corporate Governance Committee, currently Director Bowles, presides at these sessions.</p>
<p>How can interested parties contact the members of the Board?</p>	<p>Correspondence may be sent to the directors, including the non-management directors, in care of the Corporate Secretary, Susan H. Martin, at the Company's principal business office, 231 West Michigan Street, P.O. Box 1331, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201.</p> <p>All communication received as set forth above will be opened by the Corporate Secretary for the sole purpose of confirming the contents represent a message to the Company's directors. All communication, other than advertising, promotion of a product or service, or patently offensive material, will be forwarded promptly to the addressee.</p>
<p>Does the Company have a written code of ethics?</p>	<p>Yes, all WEC directors, executive officers and employees, including the principal executive, financial and accounting officers, have a responsibility to comply with WEC's Code of Business Conduct, to seek advice in doubtful situations and to report suspected violations.</p> <p>WEC's Code of Business Conduct addresses, among other things: conflicts of interest; confidentiality; fair dealing; protection and proper use of Company assets; and compliance with laws, rules and regulations (including insider trading laws). The Company has not provided any waiver to the Code for any director, executive officer or other employee.</p> <p>The Code of Business Conduct is posted in the Governance section of the Company's website at www.wisconsinenergy.com. It is also available in print to any stockholder upon request in writing to the Corporate Secretary.</p> <p>The Company has contracted with an independent call center for employees to confidentially report suspected violations of the Code or other concerns regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters.</p>

Does the Company have policies and procedures in place to review and approve related party transactions?

All employees of WEC, including executive officers and members of the Board, are required to comply with WEC's Code of Business Conduct. The Code addresses, among other things, what actions are required when potential conflicts of interest may arise, including those from related party transactions. Specifically, executive officers and members of the Board are required to obtain approval of the Audit and Oversight Committee chair (1) before obtaining any financial interest in or participating in any business relationship with any company, individual or concern doing business with WEC or any of its subsidiaries, (2) before participating in any joint venture, partnership or other business relationship with WEC or any of its subsidiaries, (3) before serving as an officer or member of the board of any substantial outside for-profit organization (except the Chief Executive Officer must obtain the approval of the full Board before doing so), and (4) before accepting a position with a substantial non-profit organization. In addition, WEC's Code of Business Conduct requires employees to notify the Compliance Officer of situations where family members are a supplier or significant customer of WEC or employed by one. To the extent the Compliance Officer deems it appropriate, she will consult with the Audit and Oversight Committee

P-12

Table of Contents

chair in situations involving executive officers and members of the Board.

The relationship with FMR LLC, reported later in this proxy statement under Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, did not require approval under the Code of Business Conduct, which applies only to WEC employees and directors and not to stockholders.

Does the Board evaluate CEO performance?

Yes, the Compensation Committee, on behalf of the Board, annually evaluates the performance of the CEO and reports the results to the Board. As part of this practice, the Compensation Committee requests that all non-employee directors provide their opinions to the Compensation Committee chair on the CEO's performance.

The CEO is evaluated in a number of areas including leadership, vision, financial stewardship, strategy development, management development, effective communication with constituencies, demonstrated integrity and effective representation of the Company in community and industry affairs. The chair of the Compensation Committee shares the responses with the CEO. The process is also used by the Committee to determine appropriate compensation for the CEO. This procedure allows the Board to evaluate the CEO and to communicate the Board's expectations.

Does the Board evaluate its own performance?

Yes, the Board annually evaluates its own collective performance. Each director is asked to consider the performance of the Board on such things as: the establishment of appropriate corporate governance practices; providing appropriate oversight for key affairs of the Company (including its strategic plans, long-range goals, financial and operating performance and customer satisfaction initiatives); communicating the Board's expectations and concerns to the CEO; identifying threats and opportunities critical to the Company; and operating in a manner that ensures open communication, candid and constructive dialogue as well as critical questioning. The Corporate Governance Committee uses the results of this process as part of its annual review of the Corporate Governance Guidelines and to foster continuous improvement of the Board's activities.

Is Board committee performance evaluated?

Yes, each committee, except the Executive Committee, conducts an annual performance evaluation of its own activities and reports the results to the Board. The evaluation compares the performance of each committee with the requirements of its charter. The results of the annual evaluations are used by each committee to identify both its strengths and areas where its governance practices can be improved. Each committee may adjust its charter, with Board approval, based on the evaluation results.

Are all the members of the audit committee financially literate and does the committee have an audit committee financial expert?

Yes, the Board has determined that all of the members of the Audit and Oversight Committee are financially literate as required by New York Stock Exchange rules and qualify as audit committee financial experts within the meaning of Securities and Exchange Commission rules. Director Fischer serves on the audit committee of three other public companies. The Board determined that his service on these other audit committees will not impair Director Fischer's ability to effectively serve on the Audit and Oversight Committee. No other member of the Audit and Oversight Committee serves as an audit committee member of more than three public companies. For this purpose, the Company considers service on the audit committees of Wisconsin Energy Corporation and Wisconsin Electric Power Company to be service on the audit committee of one public company because of the commonality of the issues considered by those committees.

What are the principal processes and procedures used by the Compensation Committee to determine executive and director compensation?

One of the principal responsibilities of the Compensation Committee is to provide a competitive, performance-based executive and director compensation program. This includes: (1) determining and periodically reviewing the Committee's compensation philosophy; (2) determining and reviewing the compensation paid to executive officers (including base salaries, incentive compensation and benefits); (3) oversight of the compensation and benefits to be paid to other officers and key employees; and (4) establishing and administering the Chief Executive Officer compensation package. The Compensation Committee is also charged with administering the compensation package of WEC's non-employee directors.

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Although it has not chosen to do so, the Committee may delegate all or a portion of its duties and responsibilities to a subcommittee of the Committee.

The Chief Executive Officer, after reviewing compensation data compiled by Towers Perrin, a compensation consulting firm, and each executive officer's individual experience, performance, responsibility and contribution to the results of the Company's operations, makes compensation recommendations to the Committee for all executive officers other than himself. The Compensation Committee is free to make adjustments to such recommendations as it deems appropriate.

P-13

Table of Contents

Although the Compensation Committee relies on compensation data regarding general industry and the energy services industry compiled by Towers Perrin, Towers Perrin does not recommend the amount or form of executive and director compensation. WEC engaged Towers Perrin to provide a variety of compensation-related services, one of which is to provide the compensation data. Towers Perrin was not engaged directly by the Compensation Committee. However, the Committee has unrestricted access to Towers Perrin and may retain its own compensation consultant at its discretion. For more information regarding our executive compensation processes and procedures, please refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis later in this proxy statement.

Does the Board have a nominating committee? Yes, the Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for, among other things, identifying and evaluating director nominees. The chair of the Committee coordinates this effort. The Board has determined that all members of the Corporate Governance Committee are independent under New York Stock Exchange rules applicable to nominating committee members.

What is the process used to identify director nominees and how do I recommend a nominee to the Corporate Governance Committee? Candidates for director nomination may be proposed by stockholders, the Corporate Governance Committee and other members of the Board. The Committee may pay a third party to identify qualified candidates; however, no such firm was engaged with respect to the nominees listed in this proxy statement. No stockholder nominations or recommendations for director candidates were received.

Stockholders wishing to propose director candidates for consideration and recommendation by the Corporate Governance Committee for election at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must submit the candidates' names and qualifications to the Corporate Governance Committee no later than November 1, 2008, via the Corporate Secretary, Susan H. Martin, at the Company's principal business office, 231 West Michigan Street, P.O. Box 1331, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201.

What are the criteria and process used to evaluate director nominees? The Corporate Governance Committee has not established minimum qualifications for director nominees; however, the criteria for evaluating all candidates, which are reviewed annually, include characteristics such as: proven integrity, mature and independent judgment, vision and imagination, ability to objectively appraise problems, ability to evaluate strategic options and risks, sound business experience and acumen, relevant technological, political, economic or social/cultural expertise, social consciousness, achievement of prominence in career, familiarity with national and international issues affecting the Company's businesses and contribution to the Board's desired diversity and balance.

In evaluating director candidates, the Corporate Governance Committee reviews potential conflicts of interest, including interlocking directorships and substantial business, civic and/or social relationships with other members of the Board that could impair the prospective Board member's ability to act independently from the other Board members and management. The Bylaws state that directors shall be stockholders of WEC.

Once a person has been identified by the Corporate Governance Committee as a potential candidate, the Committee may collect and review publicly available information regarding the person to assess whether the person should be considered further. If the Committee determines that the candidate warrants further consideration, the chair or another member of the Committee contacts the person. Generally, if the person expresses a willingness to be considered and to serve on the Board, the Committee requests information from the candidate, reviews the person's accomplishments and qualifications and conducts one or more interviews with the candidate. In certain instances, Committee members may contact one or more references provided by the candidate or may contact other members of the business community or other persons who may have greater firsthand knowledge of the candidate's accomplishments.

The Committee evaluates all candidates, including those proposed by stockholders, using the criteria and process described above. The process is designed to provide the Board with a diversity of experience to allow it to effectively meet the many challenges WEC faces in today's changing business environment.

Table of Contents

What is the deadline for stockholders to submit proposals for the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

Stockholders who intend to have a proposal considered for inclusion in the Company's proxy materials for presentation at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must submit the proposal to the Company no later than November 20, 2008.

Stockholders who intend to present a proposal at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders without inclusion of such proposal in the Company's proxy materials, or who propose to nominate a person for election as a director at the 2009 Annual Meeting, are required to provide notice of such proposal or nomination, containing the information required by the Company's Bylaws, to the Company at least 70 days and not more than 100 days prior to the scheduled date of the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Correspondence in this regard should be directed to the Corporate Secretary, Susan H. Martin, at the Company's principal business office, 231 West Michigan Street, P.O. Box 1331, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201.

What is WEC's policy regarding director attendance at annual meetings?

All directors are expected to attend the Company's annual meetings of stockholders. All current directors attended the 2007 Annual Meeting.

Where can I find more information about WEC corporate governance?

The Company's website, www.wisconsinenergy.com, contains information on the Company's governance activities. The site includes the Code of Business Conduct, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Board committee charters and other useful information. As policies are continually evolving, the Company encourages you to visit the website periodically. Copies of these documents may also be requested in writing from the Corporate Secretary.

Table of Contents

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

**Members
Audit and Oversight**

Principal Responsibilities; Meetings

Oversee the integrity of the financial statements.

Thomas J. Fischer, Chair

John F. Bergstrom

Oversee management compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.

Barbara L. Bowles

Robert A. Cornog

Review, approve and evaluate the independent auditors' services.

Oversee the performance of the internal audit function and independent auditors.

Prepare the report required by the SEC for inclusion in the proxy statement.

Establish procedures for the submission of complaints and concerns regarding WEC's accounting or auditing matters.

The Committee conducted six meetings in 2007.

Compensation

Identify through succession planning potential executive officers.

John F. Bergstrom, Chair

John F. Ahearne

Provide a competitive, performance-based executive and director compensation program.

Ulice Payne, Jr.

Frederick P. Stratton, Jr.

Set goals for the CEO, annually evaluate the CEO's performance against such goals and determine compensation adjustments based on whether these goals have been achieved.

The Committee conducted six meetings in 2007, including one joint meeting with the Corporate Governance Committee, and executed two signed, written unanimous consents.

Corporate Governance

Establish and review the Corporate Governance Guidelines to ensure the Board is effectively performing its fiduciary responsibilities to stockholders.

Barbara L. Bowles, Chair

Robert A. Cornog

Curt S. Culver

Identify and recommend candidates to be named as nominees of the Board for election as directors.

Frederick P. Stratton, Jr.

Lead the Board in its annual review of the Board's performance.

The Committee conducted three meetings in 2007, including one joint meeting with the Compensation Committee.

Finance

Review and monitor the Company's current and long-range financial policies and strategies, including its capital structure and dividend policy.

Curt S. Culver, Chair

Patricia W. Chadwick

Authorize the issuance of corporate debt within limits set by the Board.

Ulice Payne, Jr.

Frederick P. Stratton, Jr.

Discuss policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management.

Review, approve and monitor the Company's capital and operating budgets.

The Committee conducted three meetings in 2007.

The Board also has an Executive Committee which may exercise all powers vested in the Board except action regarding dividends or other distributions to stockholders, filling Board vacancies and other powers which by law may not be delegated to a committee or actions reserved for a committee comprised of independent directors. The members of the Executive Committee are Gale E. Klappa (Chair), John F. Bergstrom, Barbara L. Bowles, Robert A. Cornog and Frederick P. Stratton, Jr. The Executive Committee did not meet in 2007.

Director Ahearne is currently the Company's Lead Nuclear Director. However, as Director Ahearne is not standing for re-election at the Meeting, and we sold Point Beach Nuclear Plant in September 2007, the Company will not retain this position after the Meeting.

In addition to the number of committee meetings listed in the preceding table, the Board met six times in 2007 and executed three signed, written unanimous consents. The average meeting attendance during the year was 97%. No director attended fewer than 88% of the total number of meetings of the Board and Board committees on which he or she served.

Table of Contents

AUDIT AND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit and Oversight Committee, which is comprised solely of independent directors, oversees the integrity of the financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors of Wisconsin Energy Corporation. In addition, the Committee oversees compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. The Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Board of Directors, which can be found in the Governance section of the Company's website at www.wisconsinenergy.com.

The Committee is also responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the Company's independent auditors, as well as the oversight of the Company's internal audit function. The Committee selected Deloitte & Touche LLP to remain as the Company's independent auditors for 2008, subject to stockholder ratification.

Management is responsible for the Company's financial reporting process, the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and the system of internal controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The Company's independent auditors are responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and issuing a report thereon.

The Committee held six meetings during 2007. Meetings are designed to facilitate and encourage open communication among the members of the Committee, management, the internal auditors and the Company's independent auditors, Deloitte & Touche LLP. During these meetings, we reviewed and discussed with management, among other items, the Company's unaudited quarterly and audited annual financial statements and the system of internal controls designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws. We reviewed the financial statements and the system of internal controls with the Company's independent auditors, both with and without management present, and we discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP matters required by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 114, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T, relating to communications with audit committees, including the quality of the Company's accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements.

In addition, we received the written disclosures and the letter relative to the auditors' independence from Deloitte & Touche LLP, as required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3600T. The Committee discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP its independence and also considered the compatibility of non-audit services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP with maintaining its independence.

Based on these reviews and discussions, the Audit and Oversight Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in Wisconsin Energy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Respectfully submitted to Wisconsin Energy Corporation stockholders by the Audit and Oversight Committee of the Board of Directors.

Thomas J. Fischer, Committee Chair

John F. Bergstrom

Barbara L. Bowles

Robert A. Cornog

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

General Overview. The primary objective of our executive compensation program is to provide a competitive, performance-based plan that enables the Company to attract and retain key individuals and to motivate them to achieve both the Company's long-term and short-term goals. Our program has been designed to provide a level of compensation that is strongly dependent upon the achievement of goals that are aligned with the interests of our stockholders and customers. As a result, a substantial portion of pay is at risk.

The following discussion provides an overview and analysis of our executive compensation program, including the role of the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors, the elements of our executive compensation program, the purposes and objectives of these elements and the manner in which we established the compensation of our executive officers for fiscal year 2007.

References to we, us, our and WEC in this discussion and analysis mean Wisconsin Energy Corporation and its management, as applicable.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors is responsible for making decisions regarding compensation for executive officers of WEC and its principal subsidiaries and for developing our executive compensation philosophy. The assessment of the Chief Executive Officer's performance and determination of the CEO's compensation are among the principal responsibilities of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee also approves the compensation of each of our other executive officers and recommends the compensation of our Board of Directors, with input from the Corporate Governance Committee, for approval by the Board. In addition, the Compensation Committee administers our long-term incentive compensation programs, including the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, and the WEC Performance Unit Plan, as amended, which are discussed further below.

The Compensation Committee is comprised solely of directors who are independent directors under our corporate governance guidelines and the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. No member of the Compensation Committee is a current or former employee of WEC or its subsidiaries.

Elements of WEC's Executive Compensation Program. The principal goal of the Compensation Committee is to provide an executive compensation program that is competitive with programs of comparable employers, aligns management's incentives with the short-term and long-term interests of our stockholders and encourages the retention of top performers. To achieve this goal, we compensate executives through a mix of compensation elements that include:

annual base salary;

annual cash incentive compensation (based principally on earnings and cash flow performance);

long-term incentive compensation through a mix of: (1) stock options; (2) performance units; and (3) dividends on the performance units;

retirement programs; and

other employee benefit programs, including executive perquisites.

In addition, under our compensation program, each executive officer is entitled to severance compensation if his or her employment is terminated in connection with a change in control of WEC.

A more detailed discussion of each of these elements is set forth below. Except as described in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, we do not have any particular policies with respect to the allocation of cash versus non-cash compensation or short-term versus long-term incentive compensation.

Competitive Data. As a general matter, we believe the labor market for WEC executive officers is consistent with that of general industry. Although we recognize our business has become less diversified and more focused on the energy services industry as we have divested non-core assets, our goal is to have an executive compensation program that will allow us to be competitive in recruiting the most qualified candidates to serve as executive officers of the Company, including individuals who may be employed outside of the energy services industry. Further, in order to retain top performing executive officers, we believe our compensation practices must be competitive with those of general industry.

In order to confirm that our annual executive compensation is competitive with the market, we consider market data obtained from Towers Perrin, a compensation consulting firm retained by management. For 2007, Towers Perrin provided us with compensation data from its 2007 Executive Compensation Data Bank, which contains information obtained from approximately 950 companies of varying sizes in a wide range of businesses throughout general industry, including information from approximately 94 companies within the energy services industry (i.e., companies with regulated and/or unregulated utility operations and independent power producers).

Table of Contents

The extent to which we consider this market data in establishing individual elements of compensation is described in more detail below. For Messrs. Klappa, Leverett and Fleming, the term market median means the median level for an executive officer serving in a comparable position in a comparably sized company (revenues of \$3 billion to \$6 billion) in general industry based on our analysis of the Towers Perrin survey data. With respect to Mr. Kuester, given the nature of his position as principal executive officer of our electric utility generation operations, we consider the average of (1) the median level for an individual serving as the top generation officer of a company comparable in size to We Energies (revenues of \$3 billion to \$6 billion) in the energy services industry and (2) the median level for the chief executive officer in general industry in a business comparable in size to the generation operations of Wisconsin Energy. With respect to Ms. Rappé, given the scope of her responsibilities as Chief Administrative Officer of the Company, we consider the average of (1) the median level for an individual serving as the top administrative officer of a company comparable in size to We Energies in the energy services industry and (2) the median level for the top administrative officer in general industry in a business comparable in size to Wisconsin Energy.

Retirement of Named Executive Officer. Mr. Salustro retired effective February 28, 2007, and is only included in the compensation tables that follow because his total compensation, excluding change in pension value and nonqualified deferred compensation earnings, was greater than Mr. Fleming's and Ms. Rappé's total compensation calculated on the same basis as a result of the value of Mr. Salustro's accelerated options under SFAS 123R. Due to Mr. Salustro's retirement, we do not believe an analysis of his compensation is material to our investors, and therefore, did not include such a discussion in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Annual Base Salary. The annual base salary component of our executive compensation program provides each executive officer with a fixed level of annual cash compensation. We believe that providing a base level of annual cash compensation through a base salary is an established market practice and is a necessary component of a competitive overall executive compensation program.

In determining the annual base salaries to be paid to our named executive officers for 2007, we targeted base salaries to be within 10% of the market median for each named executive officer. Actual salary determinations were made taking into consideration factors such as the relative levels of individual experience, performance, responsibility and contribution to the results of the Company's operations.

With respect to Mr. Klappa, based on the factors described above and the results of the Board's annual CEO evaluation, the Compensation Committee approved an annual base salary of \$1,075,356 for 2007, which represented an increase of approximately 7.0% from 2006. The Committee determined Mr. Klappa's increase was appropriate in recognition of his demonstrated leadership abilities and the Company's results in 2006. This annual base salary was within 10% of the market median for general industry.

With respect to each other named executive officer, Mr. Klappa recommended an annual base salary to the Compensation Committee based on a review of market compensation data and the factors described above. The Compensation Committee approved Mr. Klappa's recommendations, which represented an increase in base salary of approximately (i) 7.0% for Messrs. Leverett and Kuester, (ii) 5.0% for Mr. Fleming and (iii) 4.5% for Ms. Rappé over 2006 levels. Mr. Klappa based his recommendations on their pay relative to the comparative data provided by Towers Perrin and each individual's contributions to the overall results of the Company. The annual base salaries of Messrs. Kuester and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé, were within 10% of the appropriate market median. The annual base salary for Mr. Leverett was more than 10% above the market median for general industry. We believe that Mr. Leverett's responsibilities and contributions vary widely from those of his counterparts within general industry, and thus, additional compensation is warranted. In recognition of his significant responsibilities and contributions to the strategic direction of the Company beyond those of a typical principal financial officer, the Compensation Committee approved a higher level of base salary for Mr. Leverett.

Annual Cash Incentive Compensation. We provide annual cash incentive compensation through our Short-Term Performance Plan (STPP). The STPP provides for annual cash awards to named executive officers based upon the achievement of pre-established stockholder, customer and employee focused objectives. All payments under the plan are at risk. Payments are made only if performance goals are achieved, and awards may be less or greater than targeted amounts based on actual performance. Annual bonuses under the STPP are intended to reward achievement of short-term goals that contribute to stockholder value, as well as individual contributions to successful operations.

2007 Target Awards. Each year, the Compensation Committee approves a target level of compensation under the STPP for each of our named executive officers. This target level of compensation is expressed as a percentage of base salary. Each of Messrs. Klappa, Leverett and Kuester, and Ms. Rappé, has an employment agreement with WEC that specifies a minimum target level of compensation under the STPP based on a percentage of such executive officer's annual base salary. The target levels contained in the employment agreements were negotiated and, we believe, consistent with market practice at the time the agreements were entered into. Based upon our annual review of these target levels in 2007, we believe they continue to be supported by market data. Under the terms of these employment agreements, the target award may not be adjusted below these minimum levels unless the Board of Directors or Compensation Committee takes action resulting in the lowering of target awards for the entire senior executive group. Mr. Fleming's employment agreement provides for a target level of compensation under the STPP equal to 70% of his annual base salary.

Table of Contents

For 2007, the Compensation Committee approved the following target awards under the STPP for each named executive officer:

Executive Officer	Target STPP Award as a Percentage of Base Salary
Mr. Klappa	100%
Mr. Leverett	80%
Mr. Kuester	80%
Mr. Fleming	70%
Ms. Rappé	60%

For 2007, the possible payout for any named executive officer ranged from 0% of the target award to 210% of the target award, based on our performance.

2007 Performance Goals. The Compensation Committee adopted the 2007 STPP with a continued principal focus on financial results. In December 2006, the Compensation Committee approved the two primary performance measures to be used in 2007: (1) earnings per share from ongoing operations (75% weight); and (2) cash flow (25% weight). We believe these measures are key indicators of financial strength and performance and are recognized as such by the investment community. In January 2007, the Compensation Committee approved threshold level, target level, above target level and maximum payout level performance goals for each of these performance measures under the STPP. If the threshold level, target level, above target level or maximum payout level performance goal was achieved for both performance measures, officers participating in the STPP could receive 50%, 100%, 125% or 200%, respectively, of the target award.

In December 2006 and January 2007, the Compensation Committee also approved the operational performance measures of, and targets for, customer satisfaction, supplier and workforce diversity and safety. Although the Committee believes that achievement of financial performance goals are necessary, it also recognizes the importance of strong operational results to the success of the Company.

The target levels for 2007 cash flow were originally established in January 2007 assuming we would own Point Beach Nuclear Plant for the full year. When the Compensation Committee established the 2007 target levels, it assumed we would own Point Beach for a full year due to the level of uncertainty surrounding (1) our ability to obtain the regulatory approvals required to consummate the sale and (2) the timing of the sale. The Committee recognized that the target levels would need to be revised if the sale of Point Beach occurred prior to the end of 2007, but determined it was more appropriate to know the actual date of closing and adjust the target levels accordingly rather than speculate as to such timing. As previously reported, we sold Point Beach effective September 28, 2007.

In October 2007, the Compensation Committee determined that no adjustment to the earnings per share target levels was necessary as a result of the sale of Point Beach, but the Committee did decide to adjust the cash flow target levels. However, the Committee delayed making any adjustments until additional information regarding the impact of the Point Beach sale was available.

In December 2007, based upon the effect the sale of Point Beach was projected to have on our cash used in investing activities and cash provided by operating activities during the fourth quarter, the Committee increased the threshold level, target level, above target level and maximum payout level performance goals for cash flow by \$26.6 million. The earnings per share from ongoing operations goals for 2007 were a threshold level goal of \$2.61 per share, a target level goal of \$2.66 per share, an above target level goal of \$2.68 and a maximum payout level goal of \$2.74 per share. The performance goals for cash flow, as adjusted in December 2007, were set at a threshold level goal of (\$976.8) million, a target level goal of (\$937.4) million, an above target level goal of (\$917.7) million and a maximum payout level goal of (\$858.7) million. Also in December, the Compensation Committee recognized that actual cash flow results would need to be adjusted for the following factors related to the sale of Point Beach:

Cash proceeds from the sale and associated tax payments;

Transaction costs incurred in 2007;

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Transfer of nuclear decommissioning funds to the buyer;

Taxes associated with the dissolution of the nuclear decommissioning trusts, as well as any cash received upon dissolution; and

Refunds to customers of the proceeds received from the sale.

Actual adjustments could not be made in December 2007 as we had not yet received the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin order regarding the use of the proceeds received from the sale.

The Compensation Committee established the target levels for earnings per share based upon expected earnings growth in 2007 in the utility industry. For example, the target level performance goal was set to approximate the median level of expected earnings growth

P-20

Table of Contents

in the utility industry while the maximum payout level goal would only be earned if the Company's actual earnings per share growth in 2007 exceeded the 90th percentile of expected earnings growth in the utility industry. The Committee projected our 2007 earnings growth off of our year-end earnings per share from continuing operations in 2006. The Committee then established cash flow target levels to correspond to the budget necessary to achieve the same levels of earnings per share performance (i.e., the 100% cash flow target corresponds to the budget necessary to achieve the 100% earnings per share target).

In January 2007, the Compensation Committee also approved operational goals under the annual incentive plan. Annual incentive awards could be increased or decreased by up to 10% of the target award based upon the Company's performance in the operational areas of customer satisfaction (5% weight), supplier and workforce diversity (2.5%) and safety (2.5%).

In addition to applying these financial and operational factors, the Compensation Committee retains the right to exercise discretion in adjusting awards under the STPP when it is deemed appropriate.

2007 Performance Under the STPP. In January 2008, the Compensation Committee reviewed our actual performance for 2007 against the financial and operational performance goals established under the STPP, subject to final audit. In 2007, the Company's financial performance substantially exceeded the target level goals and satisfied the maximum payout level goals established for both earnings per share from ongoing operations and cash flow. In 2007, our earnings per share from ongoing operations were \$2.84 per share and our cash flow was (\$688.8) million. Cash flow is measured by subtracting cash used in investing activities from cash provided by operating activities, excluding the items discussed above related to the sale of Point Beach. The Compensation Committee was able to approve the necessary adjustments to the cash flow results as proposed by management in January 2008 as we had received the order from the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin regarding the use of the Point Beach sale proceeds. Our cash flow measure is not a measure of financial performance under generally accepted accounting principles.

By satisfying the maximum payout level performance goals with respect to both earnings per share from ongoing operations and cash flow, officers participating in the STPP, including the named executive officers, earned 200% of the target award from the financial goal component of the STPP.

With respect to operational goals in 2007, our performance generated an additional 2.5% based on our performance in supplier and workforce diversity. In 2007, the Company's performance exceeded targeted levels with respect to both measures. The Company also achieved target level performance with respect to customer satisfaction but did not achieve the levels necessary to further increase the STPP award for 2007. The Compensation Committee measured customer satisfaction levels based on the results of surveys that an independent third party conducted of customers who had direct contact with the Company during the year, which measured (1) our customers' satisfaction with the Company in general and (2) our customers' satisfaction with respect to their particular contacts with the Company. With respect to safety measures, although the Company exceeded the target level for lost-time injuries, it did not meet the target level for Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) recordable injuries, resulting in a neutral impact on the STPP award.

Based on the Company's performance against the financial and operational goals established by the Compensation Committee, Mr. Klappa received annual incentive cash compensation under the STPP of \$2,177,596 for 2007. This represented 202.5% of his annual base salary. Messrs. Leverett, Kuester and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé, received annual cash incentive compensation for 2007 under the STPP equal to 162%, 162%, 141.8% and 121.5% of their respective annual base salaries, representing 202.5% of the target award for each officer.

In view of the discretionary component of the annual cash incentive plan, the Compensation Committee also considered other significant accomplishments of the Company in 2007. These accomplishments included:

Strong financial performance

Record earnings from continuing operations of \$2.84 per share of WEC common stock.

An 8.7% increase in the dividend.

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Debt to total capital ratio of 55.3% at year-end 2007, attributing 50% common equity treatment to our 2007 Series A Junior Subordinated Notes, which we believe is similar to the treatment given by the rating agencies. The year-end debt to total capital ratio was significantly better than our target of 61.5%.

WEC common stock traded at an all time high of \$50.48 per share on December 21, 2007.

Significant achievements with respect to our Point Beach nuclear facility

Completed the sale of Point Beach for the highest price per kilowatt of capacity ever achieved for the full sale of a nuclear plant in the U.S.

Allowed to recover all of our transaction and transition costs by the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, subject to audit.

P-21

Table of Contents

Continued progress in *Power the Future* strategic plan

Continued to progress on time and on budget with major construction projects at Oak Creek and Port Washington.

Made significant progress with the construction of the Blue Sky Green Field wind project.

Operational excellence

Named most reliable utility in the Midwest for the fifth time in the last six years by P.A. Consulting.

Major improvements in customer satisfaction based on customer surveys.

Produced a record amount of electricity at our Presque Isle Power Plant, the most in the plant's operating history of more than 50 years.

Completed a \$257 million environmental upgrade at Pleasant Prairie Power Plant on time and under budget.

Improved employee safety in 2007, with a 22% reduction in lost-time accidents and a nearly 6% reduction in OSHA recordable injuries.

Leadership and excellence in corporate governance as evidenced by continued receipt during 2007 of a rating of 10, the highest possible score, from GovernanceMetrics International (only one of three U.S. companies to consistently earn a 10 for governance practices).

Completed 2007 with our retail electric rates ranking 4% below the national average.

In view of the financial and operational accomplishments and the accomplishments listed above, the Compensation Committee determined that the awards under the STPP were appropriate in relation to our 2007 performance without any further adjustment.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation. The Compensation Committee administers our 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, which is a stockholder approved, long-term incentive plan designed to link the interests of our executives and other key employees to creating long-term stockholder value. It allows for various types of awards tied to the performance of our common stock, including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and performance shares. In 2005, the Compensation Committee approved the Wisconsin Energy Corporation Performance Unit Plan, under which the Compensation Committee may award performance units. The Compensation Committee primarily uses (1) stock options and (2) performance units to deliver long-term incentive opportunities.

Each year, the Compensation Committee makes annual stock option grants as part of our long-term incentive program. These stock options have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant and expire on the 10th anniversary of the grant date. Since management benefits from a stock option award only to the extent our stock price appreciates above the exercise price of the stock option, stock options align the interests of management with those of our stockholders in attaining long-term stock price appreciation.

The Compensation Committee also makes annual grants of performance units under the Performance Unit Plan. The performance units are designed to provide an additional form of long-term incentive compensation that more closely aligns the interests of management with those of a typical utility stockholder who is focused not only on stock price appreciation but also on receiving dividend payments. Under the terms of the

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

performance units, payouts are based on the Company's level of total stockholder return (stock price appreciation plus dividends) in comparison to a peer group of companies over a three-year performance period. In addition, each holder of performance units receives a cash dividend when WEC declares a dividend on its common stock in an amount equal to the number of performance units granted to the holder at the target 100% rate multiplied by the amount of the dividend paid on a share of our common stock. The performance units are settled in cash.

Aggregate 2007 Long-Term Incentive Awards. In establishing the target value of long-term incentive awards for each named executive officer in 2007, we analyzed the market compensation data included in the Towers Perrin survey. For Messrs. Klappa and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé, we determined the ratio of (1) the market median value of long-term incentive compensation to (2) the market median level of annual base salary, and multiplied each annual base salary by the applicable market ratio to determine the value of long-term incentive awards to be granted. For Messrs. Leverett and Kuester, we used the average of the results obtained for each to develop a uniform target level of long-term incentive compensation that applied to each officer. We used a different method to establish the amount of long-term incentive awards granted to Messrs. Leverett and Kuester as we wanted to establish parity in long-term incentive opportunity between the heads of the financial and key operational areas of the Company because of the critical role each plays in executing the Company's long-term strategy. This target value of long-term incentive compensation for each named executive officer was presented to and approved by the Compensation Committee.

In 2007, the Compensation Committee approved a stock option grant designed to represent approximately two-thirds of the value of the long-term incentive award and a performance unit grant designed to represent approximately one-third of the value of the long-term incentive award. When the Compensation Committee initially implemented performance awards in 2004, the Compensation

Table of Contents

Committee made 75% of the award option grants and 25% performance units. As the market continued to move away from stock options, we increased the size of the performance units as a component of our long-term incentive awards and decreased the relative size of stock option awards, and have continued to maintain this model.

2007 Stock Option Grants. In December 2006, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of stock options to each of our named executive officers and established an overall pool of options that were granted to approximately 130 other employees. These option grants were made effective January 3, 2007, the first trading day of 2007. The options were granted with an exercise price equal to the average of the high and low prices reported on the New York Stock Exchange for shares of WEC common stock on the January 3, 2007 grant date. The options were granted in accordance with our standard practice of making annual stock option grants in January of each year, and the timing of the grants was not tied to the timing of any release of material non-public information. These stock options have a term of 10 years and vest 100% on the third anniversary of the date of grant. The vesting of the stock options may be accelerated in connection with a change in control or an executive officer's termination of employment. See Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control under Executive Officers Compensation for additional information.

For purposes of determining the appropriate number of options to grant to a particular named executive officer, the value of an option was determined based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model for purposes of the compensation valuation primarily because the market information we review from Towers Perrin calculates the value of option awards on this basis. The following table provides the number of options granted to each named executive officer.

Executive Officer	Options Granted
Mr. Klappa	271,000
Mr. Leverett	129,000
Mr. Kuester	129,000
Mr. Fleming	61,500
Ms. Rappé	48,500

For financial reporting purposes under SFAS 123R, the stock options granted to the above named executive officers in 2007 had a grant date fair value of \$9.12 per option.

2007 Performance Units. In 2007, the Compensation Committee granted performance units to each of our named executive officers and approved a pool of performance units that were granted to approximately 130 other employees. With respect to the 2007 performance units, the amount of the benefit that ultimately vests will be dependent upon the Company's total stockholder return over a three-year period ending December 31, 2009, as compared to the total stockholder return of a custom peer group of companies described below. Total stockholder return is the calculation of total return (stock price appreciation plus reinvestment of dividends) based upon an initial investment of \$100 and subsequent \$100 investments at the end of each quarter during the three-year performance period.

Upon vesting, the performance units will be settled in cash in an amount determined by multiplying the number of performance units that have vested by the closing price of the Company's common stock on the last trading day of the performance period.

The peer group used for purposes of the performance units is comprised of: Allegheny Energy, Inc.; Alliant Energy Corporation; Ameren Corporation; American Electric Power Company, Inc.; Avista Corporation; Consolidated Edison, Inc.; DTE Energy Company; Duke Energy Corp.; Energy East Corporation; Entergy Corporation; Exelon Corporation; FirstEnergy Corp.; FPL Group, Inc.; NiSource Inc.; Northeast Utilities; Nstar; OGE Energy Corp.; Pinnacle West Capital Corporation; Pepco Holdings, Inc.; Progress Energy Inc.; Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated; Puget Energy, Inc.; SCANA Corporation; Sempra Energy; Sierra Pacific Resources; The Southern Company; Westar Energy, Inc.; Wisconsin Energy Corporation; WPS Resources Corporation (now known as Integrys Energy Group, Inc.); and Xcel Energy Inc. This peer group was chosen at the time of grant because we believed that, at that time, these companies were similar to WEC in terms of business model and long-term strategies.

Beginning in 2008 with the grant of performance units on January 2, 2008, we have recomprised the custom peer group to remove Entergy Corporation, Exelon Corporation, FPL Group, Inc. and Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated as these companies have significantly increased (or publicly announced that they intend to significantly increase) their revenue from non-regulated operations, which is not consistent with our business model and long-term strategy. In addition, we removed Energy East Corporation and Puget Energy, Inc. as both companies are in the process of being acquired by a foreign utility holding company and a private equity firm, respectively. We have added Portland General,

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Great Plains Energy and PG&E Corporation to our custom peer group. Subject to the discussion below under 2007 Payouts Under Previously Granted Long-Term Incentive Awards regarding Puget Energy, our total stockholder return is currently compared to the total stockholder return of the peer group of companies identified above for grants of performance units prior to 2008.

P-23

Table of Contents

The required performance percentile rank and the applicable vesting percentage are set forth in the chart below.

Performance	Vesting
Percentile Rank	Percent
< 25 th Percentile	0%
25 th Percentile	25%
Target (50 th Percentile)	100%
75 th Percentile	125%
90 th Percentile	175%

If the Company's rank is between the benchmarks identified above, the vesting percentage will be determined by interpolating the appropriate vesting percentage. Unvested performance units generally are immediately forfeited upon a named executive officer's cessation of employment with WEC prior to completion of the three-year performance period. However, the performance units will vest immediately at the target 100% rate upon (1) the termination of the named executive officer's employment by reason of disability or death or (2) a change in control of WEC while the named executive officer is employed by WEC. In addition, a prorated number of performance units (based upon the target 100% rate) will vest upon the termination of employment of the named executive officer by reason of retirement prior to the end of the three-year performance period.

For purposes of determining the appropriate number of performance units to grant to a particular named executive officer, the value of a unit was determined based on an assumed approximate value of \$46.325 per unit. The assumed approximate value was based on trading prices in November 2006, the time at which we were analyzing target compensation levels for 2007. The following table provides the number of units granted to each named executive officer at the 100% target level.

Executive	Performance
Officer	Units Granted
Mr. Klappa	27,000
Mr. Leverett	12,750
Mr. Kuester	12,750
Mr. Fleming	6,100
Ms. Rappé	4,800

For financial reporting purposes under SFAS 123R, the performance units granted to the above named executive officers in 2007 had a grant date fair value of \$47.93 per unit.

2007 Payouts Under Previously Granted Long-Term Incentive Awards. In 2005, the Compensation Committee granted performance unit awards to participants in the plan, including the named executive officers (other than Mr. Fleming who was not an officer of the Company at the time). The terms of the performance units granted in 2005 were substantially similar to those of the performance units granted in 2007 described above. The required performance percentile ranks and related vesting schedule were identical to that of the 2007 units described above.

Payouts under the 2005 performance units were based on our total stockholder return for the three-year performance period ended December 31, 2007 against substantially the same group of peer companies used for the 2007 performance unit awards, except that Puget Energy, Inc. was excluded from the group. In light of Puget Energy's October 2007 announcement that it was entering into a merger agreement and the subsequent increase in its stock price related thereto, which we believe was not the result of ongoing operating performance, the Compensation Committee modified the peer group established for the 2005 performance unit grant to exclude Puget Energy. The Compensation Committee believes our total stockholder return should be compared to the total stockholder return of companies whose results are based on operating performance and not extraordinary events. Therefore, the Committee excluded Puget Energy even though such exclusion caused the payout under the 2005 performance unit grant to increase slightly.

For the three-year performance period ended December 31, 2007, our total stockholder return was at approximately the 54th percentile of the peer group (excluding Puget Energy), resulting in the performance units vesting at a level of 103.6%. If Puget Energy were included in the calculation, our total stockholder return would have been at approximately the 52nd percentile of the peer group, which would have resulted in the performance units vesting at a level of 101.7%. The actual payouts were determined by multiplying the number of vested performance units

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

by the closing price of our common stock (\$48.71) on December 31, 2007, the last trading day of the performance period. The actual payout to each named executive officer is reflected in the Option Exercises and Stock Vested for Fiscal Year 2007 table below. This table also reflects amounts realized by any named executive officer in connection with the exercise in 2007 of any vested stock options and the amounts realized by any named executive officer in connection with the vesting

P-24

Table of Contents

of previously granted restricted stock. For information on other outstanding equity awards held by our named executive officers at December 31, 2007, please refer to the table entitled *Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2007* below.

Stock Ownership Guidelines. The Compensation Committee believes that an important adjunct to the long-term incentive program is significant stock ownership by officers who participate in the program, including the named executive officers. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee has implemented stock ownership guidelines for officers of the Company. These guidelines provide that each executive officer should, over time (generally within five years of appointment as an executive officer), acquire and hold Company common stock having a minimum fair market value ranging from 150% to 300% of base salary. In addition to certificated shares, holdings of each of the following are included in determining compliance with our stock ownership guidelines: restricted stock; WEC phantom stock units held in the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan; WEC stock held in the 401(k) plan; performance units at target; vested stock options; shares held in our dividend reinvestment plan; and shares held by a brokerage account, jointly with an immediate family member or in a trust.

Policy Regarding Hedging the Economic Risk of Stock Ownership. Certain forms of hedging or monetization transactions, such as zero-cost collars and forward sale contracts, allow a director, officer or employee to lock in much of the value of his or her stock holdings, often in exchange for all or part of the potential for upside appreciation in the stock. These transactions allow the director, officer or employee to continue to own the covered securities, but without the full risks and rewards of ownership. When that occurs, the director, officer or employee may no longer have the same objectives as our other stockholders. Therefore, we have a policy under which directors, officers and employees are prohibited from engaging in any such transactions.

Analysis of Aggregate Salary, Annual Incentive and Long-Term Incentive Compensation. The discussion above describes the manner in which we determined the (1) annual base salary, (2) target level annual cash incentive compensation and (3) long-term incentive compensation awards for each named executive officer. As we developed preliminary target compensation levels for each of these elements of total compensation, we compared the aggregate amount of these elements to the market compensation data. The purpose of this review was to confirm that the aggregate targeted compensation did not deviate significantly from market medians.

Retirement Programs. We also maintain four different retirement plans in which our named executive officers participate: a defined benefit pension plan of the cash balance type, two supplemental executive retirement plans and individual letter agreements with each of the named executive officers. We believe our retirement plans are a valuable benefit in the attraction and retention of our employees, including our executive officers. We believe the value of ensuring long-term financial security for our employees, beyond their employment with the Company, is a valuable component of our overall compensation program, which will inspire increased loyalty and improved performance. For more information about our retirement plans, see *Pension Benefits at Fiscal Year-End 2007* and *Retirement Plans* later in this proxy statement.

Other Benefits, Including Perquisites. The Company provides its executive officers with employee benefits and a limited number of perquisites. Except as specifically noted elsewhere in this proxy statement, the employee benefits programs in which executive officers participate (which provide benefits such as medical benefits coverage, retirement benefits and annual contributions to a qualified savings plan) are generally the same programs offered to substantially all of the Company's salaried employees.

The perquisites made available to executive officers include the availability of financial planning, limited spousal travel and payment of the cost of a physical exam that is required annually. The Company also pays periodic dues and fees for certain club memberships for the named executive officers and other designated officers. In addition, executive officers receive tax gross-ups to reimburse the officer for certain tax liabilities. For a more detailed discussion of perquisites made available to our named executive officers, please refer to the notes following the Summary Compensation Table below.

We periodically review market data regarding executive perquisite practices. For 2007, we reviewed a survey conducted by The Ayco Company, L.P., a financial services firm (AYCO), of 272 companies throughout general industry. Based upon this review, we believe that the perquisites we provide to our executive officers are generally market competitive. We gross-up the financial planning benefits provided to our executives only if the executive uses the Company's identified preferred provider, AYCO. We believe the use of our preferred financial adviser provides administrative benefits and eases communication between Company personnel and the financial adviser. We pay periodic dues and fees for certain club memberships as we have found that the use of these facilities helps foster better customer relationships. However, we do not pay any additional expenses incurred for the personal use of these facilities. Although we do not permit personal use of the airplane in which the Company owns a partial interest, we do allow spousal travel if an executive's spouse is accompanying the executive on business travel and the airplane is not fully utilized by Company personnel. There is no incremental cost to the Company for this travel, other than the tax gross-ups, as the airplane cost is the same regardless of whether an executive's spouse travels.

In addition, each of our executive officers participates in a death benefit only plan. Under the terms of the plan, upon an executive officer's death a benefit is paid to his or her designated beneficiary in an amount equal to the after-tax value of three times the officer's base salary if the officer is employed by WEC at the time of death or the after-tax value of one times final base salary if death occurs post-retirement.

Table of Contents

Severance Benefits and Change in Control. Competitive practices dictate that companies should provide reasonable severance benefits to employees. In addition, we believe it is important to provide protections to our executive officers in connection with a change in control of WEC. Our belief is that the interests of stockholders will be best served if the interests of our executive officers are aligned with them, and providing change in control benefits should eliminate, or at least reduce, the reluctance of management to pursue potential change in control transactions that may be in the best interests of stockholders.

Each of Messrs. Klappa, Leverett, Kuester and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé, has an employment agreement with the Company, which includes change in control and severance provisions. Under the terms of these agreements, the applicable named executive officer is entitled to certain benefits in the event of a termination of employment. In the event of a termination of employment (1) by us for any reason other than cause, death or disability in anticipation of or following a change in control, (2) by the applicable executive officer for good reason in connection with or in anticipation of a change in control or (3) by the applicable executive officer after completing one year of service following a change in control, each named executive officer is generally entitled to:

A lump sum payment equal to three times: (1) the highest annual base salary in effect during the last three years and (2) the higher of the current year target bonus amount or the highest bonus paid in any of the last three years (except for Ms. Rappé, whose payment is based upon the current year target bonus amount);

A lump sum payment assuming three years of additional credited service under the qualified and non-qualified retirement plans based upon the highest annual base salary in effect during the last three fiscal years and highest bonus amount;

A lump sum payment equal to the value of three additional years of Company match in the 401(k) plan and the WEC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan;

Continuation of health and certain other welfare benefit coverage for three years following termination of employment;

Full vesting of stock options, restricted stock and performance units;

Financial planning services and other benefits; and

A gross-up payment should any payments trigger federal excise taxes.

In the absence of a change in control, if we terminate the employment of the applicable executive officer for any reason other than cause, death or disability, or the applicable executive officer terminates his or her employment for good reason, the payments to the applicable named executive officer will be the same as those described above, except that with respect to Messrs. Leverett, Kuester and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé, (1) the multiple for the lump sum payment in the first bullet point will be reduced to two, (2) the number of additional years of credited service for qualified and non-qualified retirement plans will be two, (3) the number of additional years of matching in the 401(k) plan and the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan will be two, and (4) health and certain other welfare benefits will continue for two years following termination of employment.

The amounts payable under these agreements are consistent with market standards as confirmed by an analysis of data provided by Towers Perrin in 2007. The Compensation Committee did not consider other compensation paid to our executive officers, or analyze the amount payable under these arrangements, when they approved the agreements upon each officer's commencement of employment with the Company.

In addition, our supplemental executive retirement plan provides that in the event of a change in control, each named executive officer will be entitled to a lump sum payment of amounts due under the plan without regard to whether such officer's employment has been terminated.

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

For a more detailed discussion of the benefits and tables that describe payouts under various termination scenarios, see Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control later in this proxy statement.

Impact of Prior Compensation. The Compensation Committee did not consider the amounts realized or realizable from prior incentive compensation awards in establishing the levels of short-term and long-term incentive compensation for 2007.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits the deductibility of certain executives compensation that exceeds \$1 million per year, unless the compensation is performance-based under Section 162(m) and is issued through a plan that has been approved by stockholders. Although the Compensation Committee takes into consideration the provisions of Section 162(m), maintaining tax deductibility is but one consideration among many in the design of the executive compensation program.

With respect to 2007 compensation for the named executive officers, the annual stock option grants under the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, have been structured to qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m). Annual cash incentive awards under the STPP and performance units under the Performance Unit Plan do not qualify for tax deductibility under Section 162(m).

P-26

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

The Compensation Committee

John F. Bergstrom, Committee Chair
John F. Ahearne
Ulice Payne, Jr.
Frederick P. Stratton, Jr.

P-27

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS COMPENSATION**

The following table summarizes total compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to WEC's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, each of WEC's other three most highly compensated executive officers and Mr. Salustro, who retired effective February 28, 2007 (the named executive officers), during 2007 and 2006.

Summary Compensation Table

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Option Awards ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽⁵⁾ (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation ⁽⁶⁾ (\$)	All Other Compensation ⁽¹⁴⁾⁽¹⁵⁾ (\$)	Total (\$)
Gale E. Klappa									
Chairman of the Board,	2007	1,075,356		1,338,713	2,246,334	2,177,596	4,700,118 ⁽⁷⁾	223,749 ⁽¹⁶⁾	11,761,866
President and Chief Executive Officer of WEC, WE and WG	2006	1,005,000		1,392,112	1,422,493	2,060,250	1,838,928 ⁽⁷⁾	209,828	7,928,611
Allen L. Leverett									
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of WEC, WE and WG	2007	576,000		610,603	913,011	933,120	197,018 ⁽⁸⁾	84,733	3,314,485
	2006	538,200		767,686	520,850	882,648	⁽⁹⁾	79,542	2,788,926
Frederick D. Kuester									
Executive Vice President of WEC and WG;	2007	622,752		630,140	913,011	1,008,859	2,650,828 ⁽¹⁰⁾	110,334	5,935,924
Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of WE	2006	582,000		787,223	520,850	954,480	689,533 ⁽¹⁰⁾	116,210	3,650,296
James C. Fleming									
Executive Vice President and General Counsel of WEC, WE and WG	2007	420,000		250,780	379,210	595,350	177,938 ⁽¹¹⁾	66,315	1,889,593
	2006	400,008	150,000	145,153	192,250	574,012	147,488 ⁽¹¹⁾	271,484	1,880,395
Kristine A. Rappé⁽¹⁾									
Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of WEC, WE and WG	2007	376,752		288,896	476,379	457,753	438,017 ⁽¹²⁾	61,188	2,098,985
Larry Salustro									
Executive Vice President of WEC, WE and WG	2007	70,812 ⁽²⁾		202,941 ⁽⁴⁾	1,731,867	114,716	⁽¹³⁾	109,119 ⁽¹⁷⁾	2,229,455
	2006	424,872		823,758	520,850	518,344	575,196 ⁽¹³⁾	115,895	2,978,915

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (1) Ms. Rappé became a named executive officer in 2007 and, therefore, no information has been provided for 2006.
- (2) Represents pro rata amount earned by Mr. Salustro prior to his retirement.
- (3) For 2007, the amounts reported reflect the amounts recognized for financial statement reporting purposes during 2007 in our 2007 consolidated financial statements in accordance with SFAS 123R for stock option awards and performance unit awards made in 2005, 2006 and 2007 and various restricted stock grants that have not yet vested. For 2006, the amounts reported reflect the amounts recognized for financial statement reporting purposes during 2006 in our 2006 consolidated financial statements in accordance with SFAS 123R for stock option awards and performance unit awards made in 2005 and 2006, performance share awards made in 2004 and various restricted stock grants that had not yet vested. The expenses related to performance units/shares and restricted stock are reflected in column (e) above, and the expenses related to stock options are reflected in column (f) above. The actual value received by the executives from these awards may range from \$0 to greater than the reported amounts recognized for financial statement reporting purposes, depending upon Company performance and the executive's number of additional years of service with the Company. In accordance with Item 402 of Regulation S-K, the amounts reported in the table above do not reflect the amount of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions used for financial reporting purposes. In accordance with SFAS 123R, we made certain assumptions in our valuation of the stock options, the performance units/shares and the restricted stock for financial reporting purposes. See "Stock Options" in Note A Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Note J Common Equity in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K and our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a description of these assumptions. For 2007, the

Table of Contents

assumptions made in connection with the valuation of stock options are the same as described in Note A in our 2007 Annual Report, except that the expected life of the options is 4.6 years for Mr. Salustro and 6.5 years for the rest of the named executive officers. The change in the expected life of the options to 4.6 years for Mr. Salustro and 6.5 years for the rest of the named executive officers from 6.0 years, as set forth in Note A, resulted from Mr. Salustro being retirement eligible as of December 31, 2007, which none of the other named executive officers were, while the assumption described in Note A is a weighted average of all option holders. For 2006, the assumptions made in connection with the valuation of the stock options are the same as described in Note A in our 2006 Annual Report, except that the expected life of the options is 6.5 years. The change in the expected life of the options to 6.5 years from 6.3 years, as set forth in Note A, resulted from none of the named executive officers being retirement eligible as of December 31, 2006, whereas the assumption in Note A is a weighted average of all option holders, some of who were retirement eligible.

The reported amounts for 2007 include expenses attributable to stock options and unvested stock awards granted in prior years, respectively, for each named executive officer as follows: Mr. Klappa \$1,422,494 and \$900,323; Mr. Leverett \$520,851 and \$403,585; Mr. Kuester \$520,851 and \$423,122; Mr. Fleming \$192,250 and \$151,736; Ms. Rappé \$328,939 and \$210,960; and Mr. Salustro \$764,367 and \$168,438. For additional information regarding the value of option awards and stock awards granted in 2007, see column (1) in Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2007.

The reported amounts for 2006 include expenses attributable to stock options and unvested stock awards granted in prior years, respectively, for each named executive officer as follows: Mr. Klappa \$776,533 and \$923,840; Mr. Leverett \$277,333 and \$565,190; Mr. Kuester \$277,333 and \$584,727; Mr. Fleming \$0 and \$0; and Mr. Salustro \$277,333 and \$621,262. In December 2004, the Compensation Committee approved the acceleration of vesting of all unvested options awarded, including those awarded to executive officers, in 2002, 2003 and 2004 in anticipation of the impact of adoption of SFAS 123R. Therefore, the amounts reported for 2006 only reflect compensation expense for two years of option awards (2005 and 2006) and do not reflect any compensation expense for the options awarded to the named executive officers in 2004 as they were fully vested prior to 2006.

- (4) Includes the reversal of \$2,601 that was expensed in 2006 related to the 2005 grant of performance units that vested upon Mr. Salustro's retirement in February 2007.
- (5) Consists of amounts earned under Wisconsin Energy's Short-Term Performance Plan for 2007 and 2006. See Note (2) under Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2007 for a description of the terms of the 2007 awards.
- (6) The amounts reported for 2007 and 2006 reflect the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of each named executive officer's accumulated benefit under all defined benefit plans from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2005 to December 31, 2006, respectively. The named executive officers did not receive any above-market or preferential earnings on deferred compensation in 2007 or 2006.
- (7) The change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Klappa's pension benefit does not constitute a cash payment to Mr. Klappa. Wisconsin Energy's pension benefit obligations to Mr. Klappa will be offset by pension benefits Mr. Klappa is entitled to receive from a prior employer for nearly 29 years of service. The amount reported for Mr. Klappa represents only Wisconsin Energy's obligation of the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Klappa's accumulated benefit under all defined benefit plans. Based on information received from the prior employer, we have estimated the portion of Mr. Klappa's total accumulated pension benefit for which Wisconsin Energy will be responsible. For 2007, the total aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Klappa's accumulated benefit is \$5,080,365 \$380,247 of which we estimate the prior employer is obligated to pay. A significant reason for the increase in Mr. Klappa's benefit in 2007 is the result of his years of credited service going from 29.33 to 30.33. At 30 years of service, WEC's pension plan pays an unreduced benefit for all employees who retire at or after age 62 as opposed to age 65. Therefore, beginning in 2007, Mr. Klappa's accumulated benefit is calculated assuming he begins receiving benefits at age 62 rather than age 65. The increase in actuarial present value related to the change in the unreduced benefit date is \$2,537,230.

This large increase in the actuarial present value of Mr. Klappa's pension benefit is expected to be a one-time occurrence, based upon the current terms of WEC's pension plan and assuming the key assumptions used to calculate the actuarial present value in 2007 remain the same.

For 2006, the total aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Klappa's accumulated benefit was \$1,970,360 \$131,432 of which we estimate the prior employer is obligated to pay. If Mr. Klappa's prior employer becomes unable to pay its portion of his accumulated pension benefit, Wisconsin Energy is obligated to pay the total amount.

- (8) The change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Leverett's pension benefit does not constitute a cash payment to Mr. Leverett. Wisconsin Energy's pension benefit obligations to Mr. Leverett will be offset by pension benefits Mr. Leverett is entitled to receive from a prior employer for approximately 15 years of service. The amount reported for Mr. Leverett represents only Wisconsin Energy's obligation of the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Leverett's accumulated benefit under

P-29

Table of Contents

all defined benefit plans. Based on information received from the prior employer, we have estimated the portion of Mr. Leverett's total accumulated pension benefit for which Wisconsin Energy will be responsible. For 2007, the total aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Leverett's accumulated benefit is \$190,462. However, because the change in the actuarial present value of his prior employer's obligation decreased by (\$6,556), Wisconsin Energy's obligation of the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Leverett's total accumulated pension benefit is actually \$197,018 for 2007. If Mr. Leverett's prior employer becomes unable to pay its portion of Mr. Leverett's accumulated pension benefit, Wisconsin Energy is obligated to pay the total amount.

- (9) A change in the assumptions used to calculate the actuarial present values under Wisconsin Energy's defined benefit plans as a result of a change in the tax laws caused Mr. Leverett's reported amount to be negative. The tax laws no longer allowed for an acceleration of nonqualified retirement benefits, and therefore our actuarial valuation began to assume a life annuity rather than a lump sum payment for the nonqualified benefits. The discount rate used to measure the actuarial present value under the nonqualified plans changed to 5.75% from 4.68%. The change affected all named executive officers, but only Mr. Leverett's balance was small enough to result in a negative change in present value. This change in assumptions did not constitute a plan change. The aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Leverett's accumulated benefit in 2006 under all defined benefit plans was (\$109,950).
- (10) The change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Kuester's pension benefit does not constitute a cash payment to Mr. Kuester. Wisconsin Energy's pension benefit obligations to Mr. Kuester will be offset by pension benefits Mr. Kuester is entitled to receive from a prior employer for nearly 32 years of service. The amount reported for Mr. Kuester represents only Wisconsin Energy's obligation of the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Kuester's accumulated benefit under all defined benefit plans. Based on information received from the prior employer, we have estimated the portion of Mr. Kuester's total accumulated pension benefit for which Wisconsin Energy will be responsible. For 2007, the total aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Kuester's accumulated benefit is \$2,865,319 - \$214,491 of which we estimate the prior employer is obligated to pay. A significant reason for the increase in Mr. Kuester's benefit in 2007 is the result of his years of credited service going from 34.33 to 35.33. At 35 years of service, the WEC pension plan pays an unreduced benefit for all employees who retire at or after age 60 as opposed to age 62. Therefore, beginning in 2007, Mr. Kuester's accumulated benefit is calculated assuming he begins receiving benefits at age 60 rather than 62. The increase in actuarial present value related to the change in the unreduced benefit date is \$1,065,601.

This large increase in the actuarial present value of Mr. Kuester's pension benefit is expected to be a one-time occurrence, based upon the current terms of WEC's pension plan and assuming the key assumptions used to calculate the actuarial present value in 2007 remain the same.

For 2006, the total aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Kuester's accumulated benefit was \$802,868 - \$113,335 of which we estimate the prior employer is obligated to pay. If Mr. Kuester's prior employer becomes unable to pay its portion of Mr. Kuester's accumulated pension benefit, Wisconsin Energy is obligated to pay the total amount.

- (11) The change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Fleming's pension benefit does not constitute a cash payment to Mr. Fleming. In addition to Mr. Fleming's participation in Wisconsin Energy's qualified pension plan and supplemental executive retirement plan, the present value of the amount to be credited to a special supplemental pension account is \$122,305 for 2007 and \$126,418 for 2006, which will be paid upon termination of employment after age 65. See Pension Benefits at Fiscal Year-End 2007 and Retirement Plans later in this proxy statement for additional details.
- (12) The change in the actuarial present value of Ms. Rappé's pension benefit does not constitute a cash payment to Ms. Rappé.
- (13) The change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Salustro's pension benefit does not constitute a cash payment to Mr. Salustro. Wisconsin Energy's pension benefit obligations to Mr. Salustro will be offset by pension benefits Mr. Salustro is entitled to receive from a prior employer for approximately 15 years of service. For 2007, the amount reported for Mr. Salustro represents only Wisconsin Energy's obligation of the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Salustro's accumulated benefit under all defined benefit plans. Based on information received from the prior employer, we have estimated the portion of Mr. Salustro's total accumulated pension benefit for which Wisconsin Energy will be responsible. The total aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Salustro's accumulated benefit is \$563,635. Of Mr. Salustro's total aggregate benefit, the actual present value of the portion payable by his prior employer is \$868,793. Therefore, the change in Wisconsin Energy's obligation of the actuarial present value of Mr. Salustro's accumulated benefit is (\$305,158). For 2006, the amount reported for Mr. Salustro represents the total aggregate change in the actuarial present value of

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Mr. Salustro's accumulated benefit. If Mr. Salustro's prior employer becomes unable to pay its portion of his accumulated pension benefit, Wisconsin Energy is obligated to pay the total amount.

P-30

Table of Contents

- (14) Messrs. Klappa, Leverett, Kuester, Fleming and Salustro, and Ms. Rappé, received perquisites in 2007 as indicated below.

Type of Perquisite	Named Executive Officer					
	Gale E. Klappa	Allen L. Leverett	Frederick D. Kuester	James C. Fleming	Kristine A. Rappé	Larry Salustro
Club Dues	X	X	X	X	X ^(a)	
Financial Planning	X	X	X	X	X	X
Medical Physical	X	X	X	X	X	
Spousal Travel	X ^(b)					

- (a) Although the Company paid certain club dues and fees for Ms. Rappé in 2007, we received a cash credit from a club that more than offset the dues and fees paid during the year. Therefore, there was no incremental cost to the Company for Ms. Rappé's 2007 club dues and fees.
- (b) Mr. Klappa's spouse will occasionally accompany him on certain business trips, flying on the airplane in which we own a partial interest. There is no incremental cost to the Company for this travel as the cost for the plane is the same regardless of whether his spouse travels. The only cost to Wisconsin Energy related to Mr. Klappa's spouse's travel on the airplane is the tax gross-up paid to Mr. Klappa to reimburse him for taxes paid on compensation imputed pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, which amount is separately reflected in the Summary Compensation Table as described in Note (15) below.
- (15) We maintain a Death Benefit Only Plan. Pursuant to the terms of the Plan, upon an officer's death a benefit is paid to his or her designated beneficiary in an amount equal to the after-tax value of three times the officer's base salary if the officer is employed by Wisconsin Energy at the time of death or the after-tax value of one times final base salary if death occurs post-retirement. We recognized expenses for the Death Benefit Only Plan as follows in 2007: Mr. Klappa (\$69,997), Mr. Leverett (\$14,719), Mr. Kuester (\$43,797), Mr. Fleming (\$11,312), Ms. Rappé (\$13,992) and Mr. Salustro (\$12,160).
In addition to the perquisites and amounts recognized under the Death Benefit Only Plan identified above, All Other Compensation for Messrs. Klappa, Leverett, Kuester, Fleming and Salustro, and Ms. Rappé, consists of:

Employer matching of contributions into the 401(k) plan in the amount of \$6,750 for each named executive;

Make-whole payments under the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan that provide a match at the same level as the 401(k) plan (3% for up to 6% of wages) for all deferred salary and bonus not otherwise eligible for a match in the amounts of \$87,318, \$37,009, \$40,567, \$22,020, \$10,925 and \$17,856, respectively; and

Tax reimbursements or gross-ups for all applicable perquisites in the amounts of \$18,843, \$8,837, \$5,808, \$8,023, \$0 and \$6,068, respectively.

- (16) Includes \$14,927 attributable to Wisconsin Energy's Directors Charitable Awards Program in connection with Mr. Klappa's service on the Company's Board of Directors. See Director Compensation for a description of the Directors Charitable Awards Program.
- (17) Includes \$61,284, representing the value of a prorated number of 2007 vacation days and unused vacation days from prior years, which was paid to Mr. Salustro upon his retirement, consistent with the Company's policy for all salaried employees.
- Percentages of Total Compensation.* For Messrs. Klappa, Leverett, Kuester, Fleming and Salustro, and Ms. Rappé, (1) salary (as reflected in column (c) above) represented approximately 9%, 17%, 10%, 22%, 3% and 18%, respectively, of total compensation (as shown in column (j)

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

above) for 2007, (2) annual incentive compensation (as reflected in column (g) above) represented approximately 19%, 28%, 17%, 32%, 5% and 22%, respectively, of total compensation in 2007, and (3) salary and annual incentive compensation together represented approximately 28%, 46%, 27%, 54%, 8% and 40%, respectively, of total compensation in 2007.

For Messrs. Klappa, Leverett, Kuester, Fleming and Salustro, (1) salary (as reflected in column (c) above) represented approximately 13%, 19%, 16%, 21% and 14%, respectively, of total compensation (as shown in column (j) above) for 2006, (2) annual incentive compensation (as reflected in column (g) above) represented approximately 26%, 32%, 26%, 31% and 17%, respectively, of total compensation in 2006, and (3) salary and annual incentive compensation together represented approximately 39%, 51%, 42%, 52% and 32%, respectively, of total compensation in 2006.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2007**

The following table shows additional data regarding incentive plan awards to the named executive officers in 2007.

(a) Name	(b) Grant Date	(c) Action Date ⁽¹⁾	(d) Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			(e) Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽³⁾			(f) All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Units (#)	(g) All Other Option Awards ⁽⁴⁾ Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	(h) Exercise Price ⁽⁵⁾ (\$/Sh)	(i) Closing Price ⁽⁶⁾ (\$/Sh)	(j) Grant Date Fair Value of Option Awards ⁽⁷⁾ (\$)
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)					
Gale E. Klappa	1/18/07		537,678	1,075,356	2,258,248								
	1/03/07	12/7/06				6,750	27,000	47,250					1,294,110
	1/03/07	12/7/06							271,000	47.755	47.93	2,471,520	
Allen L. Leverett	1/18/07		230,400	460,800	967,680								
	1/03/07	12/7/06				3,188	12,750	22,313					611,108
	1/03/07	12/7/06							129,000	47.755	47.93	1,176,480	
Frederick D. Kuester	1/18/07		249,101	498,202	1,046,224								
	1/03/07	12/7/06				3,188	12,750	22,313					611,108
	1/03/07	12/7/06							129,000	47.755	47.93	1,176,480	
James C. Fleming	1/18/07		147,000	294,000	617,400								
	1/03/07	12/7/06				1,525	6,100	10,675					292,373
	1/03/07	12/7/06							61,500	47.755	47.93	560,880	
Kristine A. Rappé	1/18/07		113,026	226,051	474,707								
	1/03/07	12/7/06				1,200	4,800	8,400					230,064
	1/03/07	12/7/06							48,500	47.755	47.93	442,320	
Larry Salustro	1/18/07		28,325	56,650	118,965								
	1/03/07	12/7/06				3,188	12,750	22,313					611,108
	1/03/07	12/7/06							129,000	47.755	47.93	967,500	

(1) On December 7, 2006, the Compensation Committee awarded the 2007 option and performance unit grants effective the first trading day of 2007 (January 3, 2007).

(2) Non-equity incentive plan awards consist of awards under Wisconsin Energy's Short-Term Performance Plan. The target bonus levels established for each of Messrs. Klappa, Leverett, Kuester, Fleming and Salustro, and Ms. Rappé, for 2007 were 100%, 80%, 80%, 70%, 80% and 60% of base salary, respectively. Pursuant to the terms of their respective employment agreements, the target bonus levels for each of Messrs. Klappa, Leverett and Kuester, and Ms. Rappé, may not be adjusted downward except by an action of the Board or Compensation Committee which lowers the target bonus for the entire senior executive group. Based on certain financial and operational goals established by the Compensation Committee, actual payments to the named executive officers could have ranged from 0% of the target award to 210% of the target. Based on actual performance for 2007, each named executive officer earned 202.5% of the target award and these amounts are reported above in the Summary Compensation Table. Mr. Salustro's award represents 162% of his prorated 2007 base salary of \$70,812. For a more detailed description of the Short-Term Performance Plan, see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.

(3) Consists of performance units awarded under the Wisconsin Energy Corporation Performance Unit Plan, as amended. Upon vesting, the performance units will be settled in cash in an amount determined by multiplying the number of performance units which have become

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

vested by the closing price of Wisconsin Energy's common stock on the last trading day of the performance period. The number of performance units that ultimately will vest is dependent upon Wisconsin Energy's total stockholder return over a three-year period ending December 31, 2009 as compared to the total stockholder return of a Custom Peer Group consisting of 30 companies. These companies are: Allegheny Energy, Inc.; Alliant Energy Corporation; Ameren Corporation; American Electric Power Company, Inc.; Avista Corporation; Consolidated Edison, Inc.; DTE Energy Company; Duke Energy Corp.; Energy East Corporation; Entergy Corporation; Exelon Corporation; FirstEnergy Corp.; FPL Group, Inc.; NiSource Inc.; Northeast Utilities; Nstar; OGE Energy Corp.; Pinnacle West Capital Corporation; Pepco Holdings, Inc.; Progress Energy Inc.; Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated; Puget Energy, Inc.; SCANA Corporation; Sempra Energy; Sierra Pacific Resources; The Southern Company; Westar Energy, Inc.; Wisconsin Energy Corporation; WPS Resources Corporation (now known as Integrys Energy Group, Inc.); and Xcel Energy Inc.

P-32

Table of Contents

Total stockholder return is the calculation of total return (stock price appreciation plus reinvested dividends) based upon an initial investment of \$100 and subsequent \$100 investments at the end of each quarter during the three-year performance period. The regular vesting schedule for the performance units is as follows:

Percentile	Vesting Percent
< 25 th Percentile	0%
25 th Percentile	25%
Target (50 th Percentile)	100%
75 th Percentile	125%
90 th Percentile	175%

If Wisconsin Energy's rank is between the benchmarks identified above, the vesting percentage will be determined by interpolating the appropriate vesting percentage. Except as discussed herein, unvested performance units are immediately forfeited upon cessation of employment with Wisconsin Energy prior to completion of the three-year performance period.

The performance units will vest immediately at the target 100% rate upon (1) the termination of the named executive officer's employment by reason of disability or death or (2) a change in control of Wisconsin Energy while employed by the Company. In addition, a prorated number of performance units (based upon the target 100% rate) will vest upon the termination of employment by reason of retirement prior to the end of the three-year performance period. Participants, including the named executive officers, will receive a cash dividend when Wisconsin Energy declares a dividend on its common stock in an amount equal to the number of performance units granted to the named executive officer at the target 100% rate multiplied by the amount of the dividend paid on a share of common stock. The performance units have no voting rights attached to them.

- (4) Consists of non-qualified stock options to purchase shares of Wisconsin Energy common stock pursuant to the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. These options have exercise prices equal to the fair market value of Wisconsin Energy common stock on the date of grant. These options were granted for a term of ten years, subject to earlier termination in certain events related to termination of employment. The options fully vest and become exercisable three years from the date of grant. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, the options become immediately exercisable upon the occurrence of a change in control or termination of employment by reason of retirement, disability or death. The exercise price may be paid by delivery of already-owned shares. Tax withholding obligations related to exercise may be satisfied by withholding shares otherwise deliverable upon exercise, subject to certain conditions. Subject to the limitations of the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, the Compensation Committee has the power to amend the terms of any option (with the participant's consent).
- (5) The exercise price of the option awards is equal to the fair market value of Wisconsin Energy's common stock on the date of grant, January 3, 2007. Fair market value is the average of the high and low prices of Wisconsin Energy common stock reported in the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transaction Report on the grant date.
- (6) Reflects the closing market price of Wisconsin Energy common stock reported in the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transaction Report on the grant date.
- (7) Grant date fair value of each award as determined in accordance with SFAS 123R, which includes the value of the right to receive dividends. The actual value received by the executives from these awards may range from \$0 to greater than the reported amounts, depending upon Company performance and the executive's number of additional years of service with the Company.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2007**

The following table reflects the number and value of exercisable and unexercisable options as well as the number and value of other stock awards held by the named executive officers at fiscal year-end 2007.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options: Exercisable ⁽¹⁾ (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options: Unexercisable ⁽²⁾ (#)	Option Awards	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (#)	Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested ⁽³⁾ (\$)
			Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)				Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested ⁽³⁾ (\$)
Gale E. Klappa	250,000			25.3100	4/14/13				
	200,000			33.4350	1/02/14				
		280,000		34.2000	1/18/15				
		252,000		39.4750	1/03/16				
		271,000		47.7550	1/03/17				
						26,259 ⁽⁴⁾	1,279,076		
								29,600 ⁽⁹⁾	1,441,816 ⁽⁹⁾
								27,000 ⁽¹⁰⁾	1,315,170 ⁽¹⁰⁾
Allen L. Leverett	200,000			29.1300	7/01/13				
	150,000			33.4350	1/02/14				
		100,000		34.2000	1/18/15				
		95,000		39.4750	1/03/16				
		129,000		47.7550	1/03/17				
						6,407 ⁽⁵⁾	312,085		
								12,800 ⁽⁹⁾	623,488 ⁽⁹⁾
								12,750 ⁽¹⁰⁾	621,053 ⁽¹⁰⁾
Frederick D. Kuester	200,000			31.0700	10/13/13				
	150,000			33.4350	1/02/14				
		100,000		34.2000	1/18/15				
		95,000		39.4750	1/03/16				
		129,000		47.7550	1/03/17				
						15,763 ⁽⁶⁾	767,816		
								12,800 ⁽⁹⁾	623,488 ⁽⁹⁾
								12,750 ⁽¹⁰⁾	621,053 ⁽¹⁰⁾
James C. Fleming		75,000		39.4750	1/03/16				
		61,500		47.7550	1/03/17				
						2,086 ⁽⁷⁾	101,609		
								7,900 ⁽⁹⁾	384,809 ⁽⁹⁾
								6,100 ⁽¹⁰⁾	297,131 ⁽¹⁰⁾
Kristine A. Rappé	10,000			27.3130	6/02/09				
	20,925			33.4350	1/02/14				

Edgar Filing: WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

	65,000	34.2000	1/18/15		
	58,000	39.4750	1/03/16		
	48,500	47.7550	1/03/17		
				7,143 ⁽⁸⁾	347,936
					6,200 ⁽⁹⁾
					302,002 ⁽⁹⁾
					4,800 ⁽¹⁰⁾
					233,808 ⁽¹⁰⁾
Larry					
Salustro	95,000	39.4750	1/03/16		
	129,000	47.7550	1/03/17		

(1) All options reported in this column are fully vested and exercisable.

(2) All options reported in this column with an exercise price of \$34.20 and an expiration date of January 18, 2015, fully vest and become exercisable on January 18, 2008. All options reported in this column with an exercise price of \$39.475 and an expiration date of January 3, 2016, fully vest and become exercisable on January 3, 2009. All options reported in this column with an exercise price of \$47.755 and an expiration date of January 3, 2017, fully vest and become exercisable on January 3, 2010.

P-34

Table of Contents

- (3) Based on the closing price of Wisconsin Energy common stock reported in the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transaction Report on December 31, 2007, the last trading day of the year.
- (4) Effective April 14, 2003, Mr. Klappa was granted a restricted stock award of 39,510 shares which vests at the rate of 10% for each year of service until 100% vesting occurs on April 14, 2013. Earlier vesting may occur due to (1) a termination of employment by (a) death, (b) disability, (c) a change in control of the Company, (d) Mr. Klappa for good reason, or (e) the Company without cause, or (2) action by the Compensation Committee. The number of shares reported includes shares acquired pursuant to the reinvestment of dividends on the restricted stock.
- (5) Effective July 1, 2003, Mr. Leverett was granted a restricted stock award of 28,850 shares. Two-thirds of the shares vested on July 1, 2005 and the remaining one-third vest at the rate of 20% for each year of service after that date until 100% vesting occurs on July 1, 2010. Earlier vesting may occur due to (1) a termination of employment by (a) death, (b) disability, (c) a change in control of the Company, (d) Mr. Leverett for good reason, or (e) the Company without cause, or (2) action by the Compensation Committee. The number of shares reported includes shares acquired pursuant to the reinvestment of dividends on the restricted stock.
- (6) Effective October 13, 2003, Mr. Kuester was granted a restricted stock award of 24,140 shares, which vest at the rate of 10% for each year of service until 100% vesting occurs on October 13, 2013. Earlier vesting may occur due to (1) a termination of employment by (a) death, (b) disability, (c) a change in control of the Company, (d) Mr. Kuester for good reason, or (e) the Company without cause, or (2) action by the Compensation Committee. The number of shares reported includes shares acquired pursuant to the reinvestment of dividends on the restricted stock.
- (7) Effective January 6, 2006, Mr. Fleming was granted a restricted stock award of 2,500 shares, which vest at the rate of 20% for each year of service until 100% vesting occurs on January 6, 2011. Earlier vesting may occur due to termination of employment by death, disability or a change in control of the Company or by action of the Compensation Committee. The number of shares reported includes shares acquired pursuant to the reinvestment of dividends on the restricted stock.
- (8) Effective each of May 19, 1998, June 2, 1999, October 21, 2000 and February 7, 2001, Ms. Rappé was granted shares of restricted stock that vest in full ten years from the respective grant date, subject to a performance accelerator. The performance accelerator is triggered by achieving certain cumulative earnings per share targets measured from the respective grant date. Ten percent annually is available for accelerated vesting and the stock is subject to cumulative vesting. Earlier vesting may occur due to termination of employment by death, disability or a change in control of the Company or by action of the Compensation Committee. In addition, the stock vests upon retirement at or after attainment of age 60. The number of shares reported includes shares acquired pursuant to the reinvestment of dividends on the restricted stock.
- (9) The number of performance units reported vest at the end of the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2008. The number of performance units reported and their corresponding value are based upon a payout at the target amount.
- (10) The number of performance units reported vest at the end of the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2009. The number of performance units reported and their corresponding value are based upon a payout at the target amount.

Table of Contents**Option Exercises and Stock Vested for Fiscal Year 2007**

This table shows the number and value of (1) stock options that were exercised by the named executive officers, (2) restricted stock awards that vested and (3) performance units that vested in 2007.

(a) Name	(b) Option Awards		(d) Stock Awards	
	(b) Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	(c) Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	(d) Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	(e) Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Gale E. Klappa			4,479 ⁽²⁾	223,121 ⁽³⁾
			21,238 ⁽⁴⁾	1,034,503 ⁽⁵⁾
Allen L. Leverett			2,108 ⁽²⁾	93,595 ⁽³⁾
			9,324 ⁽⁴⁾	454,172 ⁽⁵⁾
Frederick D. Kuester			2,725 ⁽²⁾	125,387 ⁽³⁾
			9,324 ⁽⁴⁾	454,172 ⁽⁵⁾
James C. Fleming			511 ⁽²⁾⁽⁶⁾	23,838 ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾
Kristine A. Rappé	26,005	583,222 ⁽¹⁾	261 ⁽²⁾⁽⁶⁾	12,576 ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾
			6,216 ⁽⁴⁾	302,781 ⁽⁵⁾
Larry Salustro	635,000	12,462,344 ⁽¹⁾	28,802 ⁽²⁾	1,343,615 ⁽³⁾
			12,186 ⁽⁷⁾	593,585 ⁽⁷⁾

- (1) Value realized upon exercise of options is determined by multiplying the number of shares received upon exercise by the difference between the market price of Wisconsin Energy common stock at the time of exercise and the exercise price.
- (2) Reflects the number of shares of restricted stock that vested in 2007.
- (3) Restricted stock value realized is determined by multiplying the number of shares of restricted stock that vested by the fair market value of Wisconsin Energy common stock on the date of vesting. We compute fair market value as the average of the high and low prices of Wisconsin Energy common stock reported in the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transaction Report on the vesting date.
- (4) Reflects the number of performance units that vested as of December 31, 2007, the end of the applicable three-year performance period. The performance units were settled in cash.
- (5) Performance units value realized is determined by multiplying the number of performance units that vested by the closing market price of Wisconsin Energy common stock on December 31, 2007.
- (6) Mr. Fleming and Ms. Rappé deferred \$23,772 and \$12,465, respectively, into the WEC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan. The number of phantom stock units received in the WEC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan equaled the number of shares of restricted stock deferred.
- (7) Represents a prorated amount of performance units granted in 2005, 2006 and 2007 that vested upon Mr. Salustro's retirement, effective February 28, 2007, pursuant to the terms of the WEC Performance Unit Plan and the corresponding value received, determined by multiplying the number of performance units vested by \$48.71, the closing price of WEC common stock on March 1, 2007.

Table of Contents**Pension Benefits at Fiscal Year-End 2007**

The following table sets forth information for each named executive officer regarding their pension benefits at fiscal year-end 2007 under WEC's four different retirement plans discussed below.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service ⁽¹⁾ (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Gale E. Klappa	WEC Plan	4.67	69,474	
	SERP A	4.67	646,996	
	Individual Letter Agreement	30.33	9,717,828	
Allen L. Leverett	WEC Plan	4.50	56,117	
	SERP A	4.50	351,576	