

Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

Lithium Corp  
Form 10-K  
April 05, 2018  
UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K  
(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from [ ] to [ ]

Commission file number 000-54332

LITHIUM CORPORATION  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada 98-0530295  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1031 Railroad St, Suite 102B., Elko, Nevada 89801  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (775) 410-5287

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange On Which Registered
N/A	N/A

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$0.001 par value  
(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the last 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer	Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if smaller reporting company)	Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant on June 30, 2017, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was \$4,267,353 based on a \$0.06 average bid and asked price of such common equity.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

92,092,553 common shares as of April 5, 2018.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 1. Business	3
Item 1A.Risk Factors	7
Item 1B.Unresolved Staff Comments	10
Item 2. Properties	10
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	16
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	17
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	17
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	19
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19
Item 7A.Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	23
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	24
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	40
Item 9A.Controls and Procedures	40
Item 9B.Other Information	41
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	41
Item 11. Executive Compensation	45
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	47
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	48
Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services	49
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	49

## PART I

### Item 1. Business

This annual report contains forward-looking statements. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “may”, “should”, “expects”, “plans”, “anticipates”, “believes”, “estimates”, “predicts”, “potential” or “continue” or the negative of the other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including the risks in the section entitled “Risk Factors” that may cause our or our industry’s actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the United States, we do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements to conform these statements to actual results.

Our financial statements are stated in United States Dollars (US\$) and are prepared in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

In this annual report, unless otherwise specified, all dollar amounts are expressed in United States dollars and all references to “common shares” refer to the common shares in our capital stock.

As used in this current report and unless otherwise indicated, the terms “we”, “us” and “our” mean Lithium Corporation, unless otherwise indicated.

#### General Overview

We were incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada on January 30, 2007 under the name “Utalk Communications Inc.”. At inception, we were a development stage corporation engaged in the business of developing and marketing a call-back service using a call-back platform. Because we were not successful in implementing our business plan, we considered various alternatives to ensure the viability and solvency of our company.

On August 31, 2009, we entered into a letter of intent with Nevada Lithium regarding a business combination which may be effected in one of several different ways, including an asset acquisition, merger of our company and Nevada Lithium, or a share exchange whereby we would purchase the shares of Nevada Lithium from its shareholders in exchange for restricted shares of our common stock.

Effective September 30, 2009, we effected a 1 old for 60 new forward stock split of our issued and outstanding common stock. As a result, our authorized capital increased from 50,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001 to 3,000,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001 and our issued and outstanding shares increased from 4,470,000 shares of common stock to 268,200,000 shares of common stock.

Also effective September 30, 2009, we changed our name from “Utalk Communications, Inc.” to “Lithium Corporation”, by way of a merger with our wholly owned subsidiary Lithium Corporation, which was formed solely for the change of name. The name change and forward stock split became effective with the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board at the opening for trading on October 1, 2009 under the stock symbol “LTUM”. Our CUSIP number is 536804 107.

On October 9, 2009, we entered into a share exchange agreement with Nevada Lithium and the shareholders of Nevada Lithium. The closing of the transactions contemplated in the share exchange agreement and the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding common stock in the capital of Nevada Lithium occurred on October 19, 2009. In

accordance with the closing of the share exchange agreement, we issued 12,350,000 shares of our common stock to the former shareholders of Nevada Lithium in exchange for the acquisition, by our company, of all of the 12,350,000

3

---

issued and outstanding shares of Nevada Lithium. Also, pursuant to the terms of the share exchange agreement, a director of our company cancelled 220,000,000 restricted shares of our common stock. Nevada Lithium's corporate status was allowed to lapse and the company's status with the Nevada Secretary of State has been revoked.

In June 2013, we purchased a claim in the Cherryville, BC area for 250,000 shares of our common stock. The claim area is known as the BC Sugar Property, and since this time we expanded the claim block considerably, and then have allowed a number of the less prospective claims to lapse. The company's holdings here are currently 1422 acres (575.67 hectares). In January, 2014, we agreed to buy back the shares issued pursuant to the June agreement for \$2,500.

Effective April 23, 2014, we entered into an operating agreement with All American Resources, L.L.C and TY & Sons Investments Inc. with respect to Summa, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company incorporated on December 12, 2013, wherein we hold a 25% membership. Our company's capital contribution to Summa, LLC was \$125,000, of which \$100,000 was in cash and the balance in services. To date we have contributed an additional \$16,700 to Summa, LLC.

Effective August 15, 2014, we entered into an asset purchase agreement with Pathion, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and Pathion Mining Inc., a Nevada corporation. Pursuant to the Agreement, we agreed to sell to Pathion, Inc. and Pathion Mining, our rights, interests and assets relating to our Fish Lake Valley, San Emidio and BC Sugar properties. The asset purchase agreement was set to close at the end of September 2014, but was extended to October 17, 2014 by mutual agreement, and was further extended until January 19, 2015. After Pathion failed to close the agreement within the agreed upon extended timeframe, we gave notice on January 27, 2015 of the termination of the asset purchase agreement entered into on August 15, 2014.

On February 20, 2015, our company signed a letter of intent with Kingsmere Mining Ltd., which is the preliminary step whereby Kingsmere, or their appointee, may choose to buy or option our company's lithium brine properties in Nevada. The letter allowed for a due diligence and election period until April 1, 2015 with closing by April 15, 2015. The terms of the letter of intent with Kingsmere were subsequently extended to May 31, 2015. Our company and Kingsmere were not able to reach an agreement and a press release notifying the public was issued on June 23, 2015.

#### Our Current Business

We are an exploration stage mining company engaged in the identification, acquisition, and exploration of metals and minerals with a focus on lithium mineralization on properties located in Nevada, and Graphite properties in British Columbia.

Our current operational focus is to conduct exploration activities on the tantalum-niobium properties we are optioning, and the BC Sugar property – all in British Columbia, and to verify and validate exploration activities conducted by our partners at Fish Lake Valley, San Emidio, and Salt Wells, and generate additional prospects for our exploration portfolio.

On February 16, 2017 we issued a news release announcing that we had signed a letter of intent with Nevada Sunrise Gold Corp., (“NEV” (TSX-V - NEV, OTC - NVSGF))with respect to our Salt Wells lithium in brine prospect located in Churchill County Nevada.

Under the terms of the agreement NEV was to earn a 100% interest in the Property subject to a 2% Net Smelter Royalty (NSR) by making staged payments of cash and shares over the next two years. The terms were:

·\$10,000 non-refundable deposit on signing the LOI

Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

- \$15,000 & issue 400,000 common shares of NEV on the later of TSX-V approval or the signing of a formal definitive agreement
- \$50,000 & 500,000 shares - 1st anniversary
- \$75,000 & 600,000 shares - 2nd anniversary

NEV was to pay all claim and other property related fees during the earn-in phase of the agreement, and would also retain the right to purchase one half (1%) of the NSR at any time up until the third anniversary of the signing of the formal agreement for \$1,000,000.

NEV was to pay all claim and other property related fees during the earn-in phase of the agreement, and would have also retained the right to purchase one half (1%) of the NSR at any time up until the third anniversary of the signing of the formal agreement for \$1,000,000. Issues arose with respect to the claim block and Nevada Sunrise's understanding of the placement of the block, and ultimately it was determined that the Company would be best served by cancelling the agreement and refunding the money (minus bank fees) that Nevada Sunrise had sent. An informal letter agreement releasing the parties of all obligations save for the Area of Mutual Interest clause, was executed by both parties on May 5, 2017 and funds in the amount of \$24,950 were returned to NEV.

On March 2, 2017 we issued a news release announcing that we had signed a letter of intent with Bormal Resources Inc. with respect to three Tantalum-Niobium properties (Michael, Yeehaw, and Three Valley Gap) located in British Columbia, Canada.

The Michael property in the Trail Creek Mining Division was originally staked to cover one of the most compelling tantalum (Ta) in stream sediment anomalies as seen in the government RGS database in British Columbia. Bormal conducted a stream sediment sampling program in 2014, and determined that the tantalum-niobium in stream sediment anomaly here is bona fide, and in the order of 6 kilometers in length. In November of 2016 Lithium Corporation conducted a short soil geochemistry orientation program on the property as part of its due diligence, and determined that there are elevated levels of Niobium-Tantalum in soils here.

Also in the general area of the Michael property, the Yeehaw property has been staked over a similar but lower amplitude Tantalum/Total Rare Earth Elements (TREE's) in stream sediment anomaly. Both properties are situated in the Eocene Coryell Batholith, and it is thought that these anomalies may arise from either Carbonatite or Pegmatite type deposits. The Company conducted a helicopter borne bio-geochemical survey on these two properties in June 2017, which did return anomalous results. This was followed up by a geological and geochemical examination of the Yeehaw property in early July 2017, and additional work of a similar nature subsequently in July 2017, and in early October 2017. The examination uncovered a zone roughly 30 meters wide which included an interval that is mineralized with approximately 0.75% TREE's that while it was markedly anomalous, it was not exceedingly enriched in TREE's. Preliminary geological, and geochemical work were performed on the Michael property in October of 2016, followed by a brief airborne biogeochemical survey in June of 2017, and additional ground geological and geochemical assessment work in early October, 2017.

The third property – Three Valley Gap, is in the Revelstoke Mining Division and is situated in a locale where several Nb-Ta enriched carbonatites have been noted to occur. A brief field program by Bormal in 2015 located one of these carbonatites, and concurrent soil sampling determined that the soils here are enriched with Nb-Ta over the known carbonatite, and indicated that there are other geochemical anomalies locally that may indicate that more carbonatites exist here and are shallowly buried.

On February 16, 2018, the Company advised Bormal that it would be continuing on with the Yeehaw property, and that it wished to drop any further opportunity to earn an interest in the Michael and Three Valley Gap properties. Also the Company negotiated a reduction in the final tranche of consideration shares to be paid from 750,000 to 400,000. The Company has issued a total of 1,400,000 consideration shares for the property, and is awaiting an assignment of its 100% interest.

On February 16, 2016, we issued a news release announcing that our company has entered into a letter of intent with 1032701 B.C. Ltd. with respect to our Fish Lake Valley lithium brine property in Esmeralda County, Nevada. On March 10, 2016 we issued a news release announcing the signing of the Fish Lake Valley Earn-In Agreement. The terms of the Earn-In Agreement allow 1032701 to earn an 80% interest in Fish Lake Valley for payments over two



years totaling \$300,000 and issuance of 400,000 common shares of the publicly traded company anticipated to result from a Going Public Transaction, and work performed on the property over three years in the amount of \$1,100,000. 1032701 then has a Subsequent Earn-In option to purchase Lithium Corporation's remaining 20% working interest within one year of earning the 80% by paying the Company a further \$1,000,000, at that point the Company would retain a 2.5% Net Smelter Royalty, half of which may be purchased by 1032701 for an additional \$1,000,000. Should the Purchaser elect not to exercise the Subsequent Earn-In, a joint venture will be established. During

the Joint Venture, should either party be diluted below a 10% working interest - their interest in the property will revert to a 7.5% Net Smelter Royalty. The first tranche of cash and shares are to be issued within 60 days of the signing of the formal agreement. Menika Mining, a publicly traded company on the TSX Venture Exchange trading under the symbol MML announced on March 8, 2016 that it intended to acquire 1032701 B.C. Ltd and the right to acquire the Fish Lake Valley Property. Menika Mining completed the acquisition of 1032701 B.C. and fulfilled the initial obligations of the Fish Lake Valley Earn-In-Agreement in April of 2016. To date, we have received \$200,000 and 390,000 common shares in relation to the Fish Lake Valley option agreement.

In April of 2016, our company established a wholly owned subsidiary called Lithium Royalty Corp. The subsidiary is a Nevada Corporation and is the entity in which we plan to build a portfolio of lithium mineral property royalties. Also in April of 2016 Lithium Royalty Corp. gained 100% control of a lithium property consisting of a block of mineral claims named the North Big Smoky Property.

On May 13, 2016, our wholly owned subsidiary sold 100% of the interest in the North Big Smoky Property through a Property Acquisition Agreement with the private company 1069934 Nevada Ltd. ("Purchaser"). Consideration paid to Lithium Royalty Corp. consisted of \$10,000.00, reimbursement of staking and filing fees, 300,000 shares in the "Purchaser Parent", 1069934 B.C. Ltd., Lithium Royalty Corp. retained a 2.5% Net Smelter Royalty ("Vendor NSR") on the North Big Smoky Property and the Purchaser has the right to purchase up to one-half (50%) of the Vendor NSR for \$1,000,000 to reduce the Vendor NSR to 1.25%. On September 16, 2017 Lithium Corporation agreed to sell back the shares of 1069934 Nevada Ltd. to San Antone Minerals Corp. (successor of 1069934) by an agreement dated Sept 13<sup>th</sup>, 2017 for \$3,000, which was to be paid to Lithium Corporation upon completion of a \$500,000 financing, or by October 27, 2017 whichever occurs the earliest. The Company had not received the compensation under the agreement by September 30, 2017 but did so on November 2, 2017.

Effective May 3, 2016, our company entered in to an Exploration Earn-In Agreement with 1067323 B.C. Ltd. with respect to our San Emidio property. The terms of the formal agreement are; payment of \$100,000, issuance of 300,000 common shares of 1067323 B.C. Ltd., or of the publicly traded company anticipated to result from a Going Public Transaction, and work performed on the property by the Optionee in the amount of \$600,000 over the next three years to earn an 80% interest in the property. 1067323 then has a subsequent Earn-In option to purchase Lithium Corporation's remaining 20% working interest within three years of earning the 80% by paying our company a further \$1,000,000, at that point our company would retain a 2.5% Net Smelter Royalty, half of which may be purchased by 1067323 for an additional \$1,000,000. Should the Purchaser elect not to exercise the Subsequent Earn-In, a joint venture will be established. 1067323 B.C. Ltd. merged with American Lithium Corp., and the first tranche of cash and shares were issued in June of 2016. The Company waived the work requirement for the first year and received 100,000 shares of American Lithium Corp. in May of 2017. To date, we have received \$100,000 and 300,000 common shares in relation to the San Emidio option agreement.

## Competition

The mining industry is intensely competitive. We compete with numerous individuals and companies, including many major mining companies, which have substantially greater technical, financial and operational resources and staffs. Accordingly, there is a high degree of competition for access to funds. There are other competitors that have operations in the area and the presence of these competitors could adversely affect our ability to compete for financing and obtain the service providers, staff or equipment necessary for the exploration and exploitation of our properties.

## Compliance with Government Regulation

Mining operations and exploration activities are subject to various national, state, provincial and local laws and regulations in United States and Canada, as well as other jurisdictions, which govern prospecting, development, mining, production, exports, taxes, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, protection of the environment,

mine safety, hazardous substances and other matters.

6

---

We believe that we are and will continue to be in compliance in all material respects with applicable statutes and the regulations passed in the United States and Canada. There are no current orders or directions relating to our company with respect to the foregoing laws and regulations.

#### Research and Development

We have not incurred any research and development expenditures over the last two fiscal years.

#### Intellectual Property

We do not currently have any intellectual property, other than our domain name and website, [www.lithiumcorporation.com](http://www.lithiumcorporation.com).

#### Employees

We have no employees. Our officers and directors provide their services to our company as independent consultants.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business operations are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to those set forth below:

##### Risks Associated with Mining

All of our properties are in the exploration stage. There is no assurance that we can establish the existence of any mineral resource on any of our properties in commercially exploitable quantities. Until we can do so, we cannot earn any revenues from operations and if we do not do so we will lose all of the funds that we expend on exploration. If we do not discover any mineral resource in a commercially exploitable quantity, our business could fail.

Despite exploration work on our mineral properties, we have not established that any of them contain any mineral reserve, nor can there be any assurance that we will be able to do so. If we do not, our business could fail.

A mineral reserve is defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission in its Industry Guide 7 (which can be viewed over the Internet at <http://www.sec.gov/about/forms/industryguides.pdf>) as that part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination. The probability of an individual prospect ever having a “reserve” that meets the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission’s Industry Guide 7 is extremely remote; in all probability our mineral resource property does not contain any “reserve” and any funds that we spend on exploration will probably be lost.

Even if we do eventually discover a mineral reserve on one or more of our properties, there can be no assurance that we will be able to develop our properties into producing mines and extract those resources. Both mineral exploration and development involve a high degree of risk and few properties which are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines.

The commercial viability of an established mineral deposit will depend on a number of factors including, by way of example, the size, grade and other attributes of the mineral deposit, the proximity of the resource to infrastructure such as a smelter, roads and a point for shipping, government regulation and market prices. Most of these factors will be beyond our control, and any of them could increase costs and make extraction of any identified mineral resource unprofitable.

Mineral operations are subject to applicable law and government regulation. Even if we discover a mineral resource in a commercially exploitable quantity, these laws and regulations could restrict or prohibit the exploitation of that mineral resource. If we cannot exploit any mineral resource that we might discover on our properties, our business may fail.

7

---

Both mineral exploration and extraction require permits from various foreign, federal, state, provincial and local governmental authorities and are governed by laws and regulations, including those with respect to prospecting, mine development, mineral production, transport, export, taxation, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection, mine safety and other matters. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain or maintain any of the permits required for the continued exploration of our mineral properties or for the construction and operation of a mine on our properties at economically viable costs. If we cannot accomplish these objectives, our business could fail.

We believe that we are in compliance with all material laws and regulations that currently apply to our activities but there can be no assurance that we can continue to remain in compliance. Current laws and regulations could be amended and we might not be able to comply with them, as amended. Further, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain or maintain all permits necessary for our future operations, or that we will be able to obtain them on reasonable terms. To the extent such approvals are required and are not obtained, we may be delayed or prohibited from proceeding with planned exploration or development of our mineral properties.

If we establish the existence of a mineral resource on any of our properties in a commercially exploitable quantity, we will require additional capital in order to develop the property into a producing mine. If we cannot raise this additional capital, we will not be able to exploit the resource, and our business could fail.

If we do discover mineral resources in commercially exploitable quantities on any of our properties, we will be required to expend substantial sums of money to establish the extent of the resource, develop processes to extract it and develop extraction and processing facilities and infrastructure. Although we may derive substantial benefits from the discovery of a major deposit, there can be no assurance that such a resource will be large enough to justify commercial operations, nor can there be any assurance that we will be able to raise the funds required for development on a timely basis. If we cannot raise the necessary capital or complete the necessary facilities and infrastructure, our business may fail.

Mineral exploration and development is subject to extraordinary operating risks. We do not currently insure against these risks. In the event of a cave-in or similar occurrence, our liability may exceed our resources, which would have an adverse impact on our company.

Mineral exploration, development and production involves many risks which even a combination of experience, knowledge and careful evaluation may not be able to overcome. Our operations will be subject to all the hazards and risks inherent in the exploration for mineral resources and, if we discover a mineral resource in commercially exploitable quantity, our operations could be subject to all of the hazards and risks inherent in the development and production of resources, including liability for pollution, cave-ins or similar hazards against which we cannot insure or against which we may elect not to insure. Any such event could result in work stoppages and damage to property, including damage to the environment. We do not currently maintain any insurance coverage against these operating hazards. The payment of any liabilities that arise from any such occurrence would have a material adverse impact on our company.

Mineral prices are subject to dramatic and unpredictable fluctuations.

We expect to derive revenues, if any, either from the sale of our mineral resource properties or from the extraction and sale of lithium and/or associated byproducts. The price of those commodities has fluctuated widely in recent years, and is affected by numerous factors beyond our control, including international, economic and political trends, expectations of inflation, currency exchange fluctuations, interest rates, global or regional consumptive patterns, speculative activities and increased production due to new extraction developments and improved extraction and production methods. The effect of these factors on the price of base and precious metals, and therefore the economic viability of any of our exploration properties and projects, cannot accurately be predicted.

The mining industry is highly competitive and there is no assurance that we will continue to be successful in acquiring mineral claims. If we cannot continue to acquire properties to explore for mineral resources, we may be required to reduce or cease operations.

8

---

The mineral exploration, development, and production industry is largely un-integrated. We compete with other exploration companies looking for mineral resource properties. While we compete with other exploration companies in the effort to locate and acquire mineral resource properties, we will not compete with them for the removal or sales of mineral products from our properties if we should eventually discover the presence of them in quantities sufficient to make production economically feasible. Readily available markets exist worldwide for the sale of mineral products. Therefore, we will likely be able to sell any mineral products that we identify and produce.

In identifying and acquiring mineral resource properties, we compete with many companies possessing greater financial resources and technical facilities. This competition could adversely affect our ability to acquire suitable prospects for exploration in the future. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that we will acquire any interest in additional mineral resource properties that might yield reserves or result in commercial mining operations.

#### Risks Related to our Company

The fact that we have not earned any operating revenues since our incorporation raises substantial doubt about our ability to continue to explore our mineral properties as a going concern.

We have not generated any revenue from operations since our incorporation and we anticipate that we will continue to incur operating expenses without revenues unless and until we are able to identify a mineral resource in a commercially exploitable quantity on one or more of our mineral properties and we build and operate a mine. We had cash in the amount of \$326,092 as of December 31, 2017. At December 31, 2017, we had working capital deficit of \$125,117. We incurred a net loss of \$336,812 for the year ended December 31, 2017. We estimate our average monthly operating expenses to be approximately \$35,000, including property costs, management services and administrative costs. Should the results of our planned exploration require us to increase our current operating budget, we may have to raise additional funds to meet our currently budgeted operating requirements for the next 12 months. As we cannot assure a lender that we will be able to successfully explore and develop our mineral properties, we will probably find it difficult to raise debt financing from traditional lending sources. We have traditionally raised our operating capital from sales of equity securities, but there can be no assurance that we will continue to be able to do so. If we cannot raise the money that we need to continue exploration of our mineral properties, we may be forced to delay, scale back, or eliminate our exploration activities. If any of these were to occur, there is a substantial risk that our business would fail.

Management has plans to seek additional capital through private placements of its capital stock. These conditions raise substantial doubt about our company's ability to continue as a going concern. Although there are no assurances that management's plans will be realized, management believes that our company will be able to continue operations in the future. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets, or the amounts of and classification of liabilities that might be necessary in the event our company cannot continue in existence." We continue to experience net operating losses.

#### Risks Associated with Our Common Stock

Trading on the OTC Bulletin Board may be volatile and sporadic, which could depress the market price of our common stock and make it difficult for our stockholders to resell their shares.

Our common stock is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board service of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"). Trading in stock quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board is often thin and characterized by wide fluctuations in trading prices, due to many factors that may have little to do with our operations or business prospects. This volatility could depress the market price of our common stock for reasons unrelated to operating performance. Moreover, the OTC Bulletin Board is not a stock exchange, and trading of securities on the OTC Bulletin Board is often more sporadic than the trading of securities listed on a quotation system like NASDAQ or a stock exchange like Amex. Accordingly, shareholders may have difficulty reselling any of their shares.



Our stock is a penny stock. Trading of our stock may be restricted by the Securities and Exchange Commission's penny stock regulations and FINRA's sales practice requirements, which may limit a stockholder's ability to buy and sell our stock.

9

---

## Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

Our stock is a penny stock. The Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) has adopted Rule 15c-2-07 which generally defines “penny stock” to be any equity security that has a market price (as defined) less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. Our securities are covered by the penny stock rules, which impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell to persons other than established customers and “accredited investors”. The term “accredited investor” refers generally to institutions with assets in excess of \$5,000,000 or individuals with a net worth in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000 or \$300,000 jointly with their spouse. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document in a form prepared by the SEC which provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker-dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer’s account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker-dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing prior to effecting the transaction and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer’s confirmation. In addition, the penny stock rules require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from these rules, the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser’s written agreement to the transaction. These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for the stock that is subject to these penny stock rules. Consequently, these penny stock rules may affect the ability of broker-dealers to trade our securities. We believe that the penny stock rules discourage investor interest in, and limit the marketability of, our common stock.

In addition to the “penny stock” rules promulgated by the SEC, FINRA has adopted rules that require that in recommending an investment to a customer, a broker-dealer must have reasonable grounds for believing that the investment is suitable for that customer. Prior to recommending speculative low priced securities to their non-institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer’s financial status, tax status, investment objectives and other information. Under interpretations of these rules, FINRA believes that there is a high probability that speculative low-priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. FINRA’s requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy our common stock, which may limit your ability to buy and sell our stock.

### Other Risks

#### Trends, Risks and Uncertainties

We have sought to identify what we believe to be the most significant risks to our business, but we cannot predict whether, or to what extent, any of such risks may be realized nor can we guarantee that we have identified all possible risks that might arise. Investors should carefully consider all of such risk factors before making an investment decision with respect to our common stock.

#### Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

As a “smaller reporting company”, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

#### Item 2. Properties

Our corporate head office is located at 1031 Railroad St., Ste 102B, Elko Nevada 89801, our monthly rent is \$350, which also includes storage space for field gear.

#### Mineral Properties

##### Fish Lake Valley Property

Fish Lake Valley is a lithium/boron/potassium enriched playa (also known as a salar, or salt pan), which is located in northern Esmeralda County in west central Nevada, and the area of greatest interest is roughly centered at 417050E 4195350N (NAD 27 CONUS). After staking numerous new claims in 2016 we currently hold 143, nominally 80-acre Association Placer claims that cover approximately 11,360 acres (4,597 hectares). Lithium-enriched Tertiary-era Fish Lake formation rhyolitic tuffs or ash flow tuffs have accumulated in a valley or basinal environment. Over time interstitial formational waters in contact with these tuffs, have become enriched in lithium, boron and potassium which could possibly be economic, and amenable to extraction by evaporative methods.

The property was originally held under mining lease purchase agreement dated June 1, 2009, between Nevada Lithium Corporation, and Nevada Alaska Mining Co. Inc., Robert Craig, Barbara Craig, and Elizabeth Dickman. Nevada Lithium issued to the vendors \$350,000 worth of common stock of our company in eight regular disbursements. All disbursements were made of stock worth a total of \$350,000, and claim ownership was transferred to our company.

The geological setting at Fish Lake Valley is highly analogous to the salars of Chile, Bolivia, and Peru, and more importantly Clayton Valley, where Albemarle has its Silver Peak lithium-brine operation. Access is excellent in Fish Lake Valley with all-weather gravel roads leading to the property from state highways 264, and 265, and maintained gravel roads ring the playa. Power is available approximately 10 miles from the property, and the village of Dyer is approximately 12 miles to the south, while the town of Tonopah, Nevada is approximately 50 miles to the east.

Our company completed a number of geochemical and geophysical studies on the property, and conducted a short drill program on the periphery of the playa in the fall of 2010. Near-surface brine sampling during the spring of 2011 outlined a boron/lithium/potassium anomaly on the northern portions of the northern playa, that is roughly 1.3 x 2 miles long, which has a smaller higher grade core where lithium mineralization ranges from 100 to 150 mg/L (average 122.5 mg/L), with boron ranging from 1,500 to 2,670 mg/L (average 2,219 mg/L), and potassium from 5,400 to 8,400 mg/L (average 7,030 mg/L). Wet conditions on the playa precluded drilling there in 2011, and for a good portion of 2012, however a window of opportunity presented itself in late fall 2012. In November/December 2012 we conducted a short direct push drill program on the northern end of the playa, wherein a total of 1,240.58 feet (378.09 meters) was drilled in 20 holes at 17 discrete sites, and an area of 3,356 feet (1,023 meters) by 2,776 feet (846 meters) was systematically explored by grid probing. The deepest hole was 81 feet (24.69 meters), and the shallowest hole that produced brine was 34 feet (10.36 meters). The average depth of the holes drilled during the program was 62 feet (18.90 meters). The program successfully demonstrated that lithium-boron-potassium-enriched brines exist to at least 62 feet (18.9 meters) depth in sandy or silty aquifers that vary from approximately three to ten feet (one to three meters) in thickness. Average lithium, boron and potassium contents of all samples are 47.05 mg/L, 992.7 mg/L, and 0.535% respectively, with lithium values ranging from 7.6 mg/L to 151.3 mg/L, boron ranging from 146 to 2,160.7 mg/L, and potassium ranging from 0.1 to 1.3%. The anomaly outlined by the program is 1,476 by 2,461 feet (450 meters by 750 meters), and is not fully delimited, as the area available for probing was restricted due to soft ground conditions to the east and to the south. A 50 mg/L lithium cutoff is used to define this anomaly and within this zone average lithium, boron and potassium contents are 90.97 mg/L, 1,532.92 mg/L, and 0.88% respectively. On September 3, 2013, we announced that drilling had commenced at Fish Lake Valley. Due to storms and wet conditions in the area that our company had hoped to concentrate on, the playa was not passable, and so the program concentrated on larger step-out drilling well off the playa. This 11 hole, 1,025 foot program did prove that mineralization does not extend much, if at all, past the margins of the playa, as none of the fluids encountered in this program were particularly briny, and returned values of less than 5 mg/L lithium.

Results from the work done in the past by Lithium Corporation have been very positive, and our company believes that the playa at Fish Lake Valley may be conducive to the formation of a "Silver Peak" style lithium brine deposit.

Early in 2016 the company signed an Exploration Earn-In Agreement with 1032701 B.C. Ltd., a private British Columbia company with respect to our Fish Lake Valley lithium brine property, wherein 1032701 B.C. Ltd., may acquire an initial 80% undivided interest in the Fish Lake Valley property through the payment of an aggregate of US\$300,000 in cash, completing a "Going Public Transaction" on or before May 6, 2016, and subject to the completion of the "Going Public Transaction, arranging for the issuance of a total of 400,000 common shares in the capital of the resulting issuer as follows: (i) within five business days following the effective date,

· Pay \$100,000 to our company and issue 200,000 common shares of the TSX-V listed public company.

Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

On or before the first anniversary of the signing of the Definitive Agreement pay \$100,000 to our company and issue 100,000 common shares of the Optionee/TSX-V listed public company.

On or before the second anniversary of the signing of the definitive agreement pay \$100,000 to our company and issue 100,000 common shares of the Optionee/TSX-V listed public company.

The Optionee must make qualified exploration or development expenditures on the property of \$200,000 before the first anniversary, an additional \$300,000 before the second anniversary, an additional \$600,000 prior to the third anniversary, and make all payments and perform all other acts to maintain the Property in good standing before fully earning their 80% interest. Additionally, after the initial earn-in the Optionee has the right for up to 12 months to purchase our 20% interest in the property for \$1,000,000, at which point our interest would revert to a 2 1/2% Net Smelter Royalty (NSR). The Optionee may then elect at any time to purchase one half (1.25%) of our NSR for \$1,000,000.

American Lithium Corp. subsequently acquired 100% of 1032701 BC, and currently maintains 1032701 as a fully owned subsidiary. A formal option agreement was entered into, effective March 31, 2016. An amendment to the agreement was entered into on the 14<sup>th</sup> of February 2018 whereby American Lithium will issue the 10,000 post consolidation "Agreement Year" shares to Lithium Corporation as mandated by the agreement, as well as a further 80,000 shares in consideration for Lithium Corporation agreeing to extend the work commitment date for Year 2 of the agreement to September 30, 2018.

### San Emidio Property

The San Emidio property, located in Washoe County in northwestern Nevada, was acquired through the staking of claims in September 2011. The twenty, 80-acre, Association Placer claims currently held here cover an area of approximately 1,600 acres (640 hectares). Ten claims in the southern portions of the original claim block that was staked in 2011 were allowed to lapse on September 1, 2012, and a further ten claims were then staked and recorded. These new claims are north of and contiguous to the surviving claims from our earlier block. In 2015 eight claims were allowed to lapse, but then in 2016 a further 10 claims were staked and recorded. The property is approximately 65 miles north-northeast of Reno, Nevada, and has excellent infrastructure.

We identified this prospect during 2009, and 2010 through surficial geochemical sampling, and geological interpretation. The early reconnaissance sampling determined that anomalous values for lithium occur in sediments over a good portion of the playa. Our company conducted near-surface brine sampling in the spring of 2011, and a high resolution gravity geophysical survey in summer/fall 2011. Our company then permitted a 7 hole drilling program with the Bureau of Land Management in late fall 2011, and a direct push drill program was commenced in early February 2012. Drilling here delineated a narrow elongated shallow brine reservoir which is greater than 2.5 miles length, somewhat distal to the basinal feature outlined by the earlier gravity survey. The anomaly aligns with the present day topographical low in the valley, which could be the result of extension along a north-easterly trending fault. Two values of over 20 milligrams/liter lithium were obtained from two shallow direct push probe holes located centrally in this brine anomaly.

We drilled this prospect in late October 2012, further testing the area of the property in the vicinity where prior exploration by our company discovered elevated lithium levels in subsurface brines. During the 2012 program a total of 856 feet (260.89 meters) was drilled at 8 discrete sites. The deepest hole was 160 feet (48.76 meters), and the shallowest hole that produced brine was 90 feet (27.43 meters). The average depth of the seven hole program was 107 feet (32.61 meters). The program better defined the lithium-in-brine anomaly that was discovered in early 2012. This anomaly is approximately 0.6 miles (370 meters) wide at its widest point by more than 2 miles (3 kilometers) long. The peak value seen within the anomaly is 23.7 mg/l lithium, which is 10 to 20 times background levels outside the anomaly. Our company believes that, much like Fish Lake Valley, the playa at San Emidio may be conducive to the formation of a "Silver Peak" style lithium brine deposit, and the recent drilling indicates that the anomaly occurs at or near the intersection of several faults that may have provided the structural setting necessary for the formation of a lithium-in-brine deposit at depth.

Our company entered into an exploration earn-in agreement on the property on May 3, 2016 with 1067323 B.C. Ltd., wherein the Optionee was to pay an initial \$100,000 and issue 100,000 shares within 30 days of a "Going Public Transaction". 1067323 subsequently merged with American Lithium Corp., who then assumed the duties of the

Optionee, and fulfilled the initial obligations. The further terms of the agreement are that American Lithium is to issue 100,000 shares to Lithium Corporation on or before both the first & second anniversaries of the going public transaction. Additionally American Lithium is to conduct \$100,000 exploration work in year 1, \$200,000 in year 2, and \$300,000 in year 3. On fulfillment of all its obligations American Lithium will have earned an 80% interest in the property. The Optionee also has the option to earn a further

20% interest in the property by paying \$1,000,000 to the company within 36 months of the exercise of the initial earn-in. If American Lithium exercises its right with respect to the subsequent earn-in then Lithium Corporation's interest will revert to a 2.5% Net Smelter Revenue (NSR) interest. American Lithium then has the right at any time to purchase one half of the NSR (1.25%) for \$1,000,000. Competing claims have been staked by third parties to the east and south of Lithium Corporation's property here.

### BC Sugar Flake Graphite Property

On June 6, 2013, we entered into a mining claim sale agreement with Herb Hyder wherein Mr. Hyder agreed to sell to our company a 50.829 acre (20.57 hectare) claim located in the Cherryville area of British Columbia. As consideration for the purchase of the property, we issued 250,000 shares of our company's common stock to Mr. Hyder. In addition to the acquired claim, our company staked or acquired another 13 claims at various times over the subsequent months, to bring the total area held under tenure to approximately 19,816 acres (8,020 hectares). Since that time the company has let all but what appears to be the most prospective claims lapse, and currently the company holds one title – the "Heavy Weather" claim that is 1422 acres (575.67 hectares) in size. The flake graphite mineralization of interest here is hosted predominately in graphitic quartz/biotite, and lesser graphitic calc-silicate gneisses. The rocks and mineralization in the general area of the BC Sugar prospect are similar to the host rocks in the area of the crystal graphite deposit 55 miles (90 kms) to the southeast that is being mined by Eagle Graphite.

The BC Sugar property is within the Shushwap Metamorphic Complex, in a geological environment favorable for the formation of flake graphite deposits, and is in an area of excellent logistics and infrastructure, with a considerable network of logging roads within the project area. Additionally the town of Lumby is approximately 19 miles (30 kms) to the south of the property, while the City of Vernon is only 30 miles (50 kms) to the southwest of the western portions of the claim block.

Work progressed, and the property expanded throughout the summer of 2013, and culminated with the receipt of the final assays from the last phases of the prospecting and geological program in December of 2013. That work increased the area known to be underlain by graphitic bearing gneisses, and further evaluations were made in the area of the Sugar Lake, Weather Station, and Taylor Creek showings. In the general vicinity of the Weather Station showing that was initially discovered in early July 2013, a further 13 samples were taken, and hand trenching was performed at one of several outcrops in the area. In the trench a 5.2 meter interval returned an average of 3.14% graphitic carbon, all in an oxidized relatively friable gneissic host rock. Additionally a hydrothermal or vein type mineralized graphitic quartz boulder was discovered in the area which graded up to 4.19% graphitic carbon. The source of this boulder was not discovered during this program, but it is felt to be close to its point of origin. Samples representative of the mineralization encountered here were taken for petrographic study, which was received in late 2013. A brief assessment work program was performed in September 2014 to ensure all claims in the package were in good standing prior to the anticipated sale of this asset to Pathion Inc. Recommendations were made by the consulting geologist who wrote the assessment report with respect to trenching, and eventually drilling the Weather Station showing. Our company submitted a Notice of Work to the BC Government in early May 2015 to enable our company to conduct a program of excavator trenching, sampling and geological mapping on the Weather Station showing. In May of 2015 we signed an agreement with KLM Geosciences LLC of Las Vegas to conduct a short Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) survey on the property in the Weather Station – Taylor Creek areas. The GPR survey as well as a GEM-2 frequency domain electromagnetic (FDEM) survey took place in approximately mid-May 2015. The GPR survey did not provide useful data because of the moisture saturation in the shallow subsurface. The FDEM survey successfully generated an anomaly over known mineralization and possibly indicates that the mineralization may extend both to the west and to the east in areas blanketed by glaciofluvial till.

In August of 2015, our Notice of Work for trenching was approved by the BC Government and in October we commenced work. A trench of 265.76 feet (81 meters) was excavated and graphitic gneiss was mapped and sampled. In all 23 samples were taken over the 69 meters of exposed mineralization that could be safely sampled. Trench



## Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

depths varied from 1.2 meters in areas of semi-consolidated rock to 4.8 meters in areas of mainly decomposed material. There was an approximately 12 meter section of the trench of sand, and fluvial till in an ancient stream bed where the excavator could not reach the graphitic material that is inferred to exist at depths greater than 5 meters. Also there was a 4 meter section at depths from 4.8 to 5 meters where graphite mineralization could be seen at depth, but could not be safely sampled.

13

---

The entire 69 meter interval that was sampled averaged 1.997% graphitic carbon, and mineralization remains open in all directions. Within that interval there was a 30 meter section that averaged 2.73% graphitic carbon, and within that interval there was a 12 meter section that averaged 2.99% graphitic carbon. The best mineralization, and most friable material is proximal to the aforementioned abandoned creek channel, and it appears that proximity to this feature gave rise to the deep weathering profile encountered here. Determining the tenor, and extent of the friable material were the two major objectives of this program as this material, which is very similar to that mined at Eagle Graphite's operation is very easy/economical to be mined and processed, and typically contains the highest percentages of graphite over consistent widths.

A "min-bulk sample" was taken from the Weather Zone in October 2017, and submitted to SGS Vancouver for preliminary bench tests, and further petrographic analysis. Tests indicated that the "fairly coarse" flake graphite was easily liberated from the unconsolidated host material, and initial flotation tests were positive with over 80% of the graphite in the sample being floated off.

The company has recently revised its trenching permit and is intending to conduct a program of up to 14 mechanized test pits in spring 2018. This work will range in an area from 1 to 1.5 kilometers to the east of the Weather Station Zone in a zone of numerous discrete FDEM conductors detected during the 2015 geophysical survey.

#### The Hughes Claims

Effective April 23, 2014, we entered into an operating agreement with All American Resources, LLC and TY & Sons Investments Inc. with respect to Summa, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company incorporated on December 12, 2013. Through our 25% membership interest in Summa we hold an indirect interest in a number of patented mining claims that spring from the once considerable mineral holdings of Howard Hughes's Summa Corp. Our company's capital contribution paid to Summa, LLC was \$125,000, of which \$100,000 was in cash and the balance in services.

Lithium Corporation participated in the formation of Summa, which holds 88 fee-title patented lode claims that cover approximately 1,191.3 acres of prospective mineral lands. Our company signed a joint operating agreement with the other participants in Spring 2014 to govern the conduct of Summa, and the development of the lands. Our company's director, Tom Lewis, was named as a managing member of Summa, and as such has a direct say in the day to day operations of that company.

The Hughes lands are situated in six discrete prospect areas in Nevada, the most notable of which being the Tonopah block in Nye County where Summa holds 56 claims that cover approximately 770 acres in the heart of the historic mining camp where over 1.8 million ounces of gold and 174 million ounces of silver were produced predominately in the early 1900's. The Hughes claims include a number of the prolific past producers in Tonopah, such as the Belmont, the Desert Queen, and the Midway mines. In addition there are also claims in the area of the past producing Klondyke East mining district, which is to the south of Tonopah, and at the town of Belmont (not to be confused with the Belmont claim in Tonopah), Nevada, another notable silver producer from the 1800's, which is roughly 40 miles to the northeast of Tonopah.

Summa has conducted preliminary research on the Hughes properties, focusing on the Tonopah area where reporting in the 1980's, indicated that over 2.175 million tons of mine dumps and mill tailings exist at surface on Summa's properties that contain in the order of 3.453 million ounces of silver, and 28,500 ounces of gold. In addition to this easily extractable surficial resource, other reports indicate that 300 - 500,000 tons of mineralized material is expected to remain at depth in old workings on Summa's properties, which is believed to contain an average 20 ounces silver and 0.02 ounces gold per ton. Also several partially tested exploration targets have been identified on Summa's Tonopah claims, where further work could potentially lead to a marked increase in known underground resources.



West Kirkland Mining has been working on the development of their 75% owned project in Tonopah, most recently drilling to increase the resource at the Three Hills gold/silver deposit where they intend to kick-off their mining efforts in the future. To that end they have bought an additional six patented mining claims here recently, and have also negotiated an agreement to procure rights for the water that they will need for processing. Presently the reserve at their Hasbrouck/Three Hills/Hill of Gold project stands at 45.3 million tons containing 762,000 ounces gold, and 10.6 million ounces Silver. Most recently West Kirkland has entered into an option agreement with Tonopah Divide Mining Company on the 2506 acre property that Tonopah Divide holds adjacent to West Kirkland's Hasbrouck property.

The ongoing litigation with respect to Summa's Tonopah holdings has precluded investing time or money into the property, however the company is strongly of the opinion we will prevail and once things have settled down there we will set out to determine how best to capitalize on this asset. Please refer to Item 3. Legal Proceedings for further details on the proceedings.

#### British Columbia Tantalum Properties

On March 1<sup>st</sup> 2017 the company signed a letter of intent (LOI) with Bormal Resources Inc. wherein the company may earn an interest in three properties in British Columbia. The Michael property in the Trail Creek Mining Division was originally staked by Bormal to cover one of the most compelling tantalum (Ta) in stream sediment anomalies as seen in the government RGS database in British Columbia. Bormal conducted a stream sediment sampling program in 2014, and determined that the tantalum-niobium (Nb) in stream sediment anomaly is bona fide, and in the order of 6 kilometers in length. In November of 2016 Lithium Corporation conducted a short soil geochemical orientation program on the property as part of its due diligence, and determined that there are elevated levels of niobium-tantalum in soils here.

Also in the general area of the Michael property the Yeehaw prospect has been staked by Bormal over a similar but lower amplitude Tantalum/Rare Earth Element (REE's) stream sediment anomaly. Both properties are situated depicted on government geological maps as being within the Eocene Coryell batholith, and it is thought that these anomalies may arise from either carbonatite or pegmatite type deposits.

The third property at Three Valley Gap, is in the Revelstoke Mining Division and is situated in a locale where several Nb-Ta enriched carbonatites have been noted to occur. A brief field program by Bormal in 2015 located one of these carbonatites, and concurrent soil sampling determined that the soils here are enriched with Nb-Ta over the known carbonatite, and indicated that there are other geochemical anomalies locally that may indicate that more carbonatites exist here and are shallowly buried.

Lithium Corporation conducted fieldwork on the Michael, and Yeehaw properties during summer 2017. At Yeehaw a 30 meter wide structure was discovered that is anomalous for Titanium and Rare Earth Elements, while soil sampling at Michael detected an anomaly that is greater than 800 meters in length that exhibits increased Tantalum-Niobium plus Rare Earth Element mineralization. The Company has dropped any further interest in the Michael property, and has earned its 100% interest in the Yeehaw property, and is currently determining what work will be done there in 2018.

#### Mount Heimdal Flake Graphite Property

On April 15, 2013, we entered into a mining option agreement with, Tom Lewis, president, of our company, wherein we had the option to acquire a 100% interest in the Mount Heimdal flake graphite property in the Slocan Mining Division of British Columbia, Canada. After conducting prospecting and sampling work on the property in 2013, and 2014 it was decided that the claims were not as prospective for hosting commercial quantities of graphite as hoped, and the mineral tenures were allowed to lapse, the last one reverting to the crown on November 26, 2016.

Our company is looking to ramp up its generative program exploring for new deposits of next generation battery related materials and are currently pursuing other properties which are believed to be prospective for hosting lithium, graphite or other “energy metals” as well as continuing to evaluate opportunities brought to our company by third parties.

## Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

We are currently pursuing other properties which are believed to be prospective for hosting lithium or graphite mineralization, as well as evaluating opportunities brought to our company by third parties.

Additionally our company is looking to ramp up its generative program exploring for new deposits of next generation battery related materials.

### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we may become involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of its operations in the normal course of business. We are not involved in any pending legal proceeding or litigation and, to the best of our knowledge, no governmental authority is contemplating any proceeding to which we are a party or to which any of our properties is subject, which would reasonably be likely to have a material adverse effect on us, except for the following:

On December 4, 2015 a claim was filed in the United States District Court, District of Nevada by Jablonski Enterprises, Ltd. against a number of defendants both individually, and in their various capacities with different organizations or governmental departments. Included in the list of defendants were our company, Summa, LLC, Henry Tonking, GIS Land Services, Greg Ekins, the Nye County Assessor, the Mapping Administrator for Nye County, the Nye County District Attorney the Nye County Deputy District Attorney, and our president Tom Lewis, amongst others. This challenge was with respect to land in Tonopah, Nye County that is a part of the Hughes claim package, and the plaintiff alleged that the recorded title to the parcel of land, that comprises the Hughes claims here, was wrongfully changed by the County Recorder from Jablonski Enterprises, Ltd. to Summa, LLC. Additionally the plaintiff alleged that the defendants, including our company and our president engaged in racketeering, and were part of a conspiracy to fraudulently strip the lands from Jablonski Enterprises. Title had been legally changed pursuant to a prior court order issued by the United States District Court of Nevada, and the company, its president and all other defendants deny any wrongdoing.

Dual identical actions were filed by Jablonski at this time against our company and the other defendants: the first mentioned above was filed in the United States District Court, District of Nevada, and the second case was filed in the Fifth Judicial District Court of Nevada in Nye County against an identical list of defendants.

Tom Lewis was never legally served, and received notice in April 2016 that the Plaintiff Jablonski Enterprises was discontinuing its action against him.

On May 3, 2016, the case in the Fifth Judicial District Court of Nevada was dismissed with prejudice, and the defendants, including our company, were awarded legal fees and costs to be paid by the plaintiff, and the Judge was to decide whether Nevada's Anti-Slapp legislation for frivolous actions applied in this matter. Should the Anti-Slapp portion of the co-Defendants petition be approved it could result in punitive damages of up to \$10,000 for each Defendant. The plaintiff immediately appealed, and March 8, 2017 was set as the date to hear the appeal.

On February 6, 2017 Chief Judge Gloria M. Navarro of the United States District Court, District of Nevada dismissed with prejudice the case against Lithium Corporation and the other defendants.

On March 8, 2017 in the Fifth Judicial District Court of Nevada, Judge Wanker dismissed the appeal stemming from May 3, 2016, and upheld the defendant's right to costs, but has yet to make a written final ruling with respect to costs, and the Anti-Slapp sanctions.

On March 13<sup>th</sup> 2018 Summa was victorious in a "Quiet Title" ruling set out in the Fifth Judicial District Court where Judge Wanker ruled that Summa's claim to title in the contested claims is superior to that of any other entity that has come forward with a claim to date.

Our company has always been of the opinion that these actions were baseless and without merit, and we intend to continue to vigorously defend our position as need be, however given the totality of the evidence presented during the Quiet Title hearing, and the force of the Judge's ruling we are optimistic that this was the last challenge with respect to these claims.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common shares are quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol “LTUM.” The following quotations, obtained from Stockwatch, reflect the high and low bids for our common shares based on inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

The high and low bid prices of our common stock for the periods indicated below are as follows:

OTC Bulletin Board <sup>(1)</sup>

Quarter Ended	High	Low
December 31, 2017	\$0.2855	\$0.276
September 30, 2017	\$0.081	\$0.075
June 30, 2017	\$0.062	\$0.0576
March 31, 2017	\$0.07	\$0.066
December 31, 2016	\$0.076	\$0.067
September 30, 2016	\$0.097	\$0.093
June 30, 2016	\$0.095	\$0.0815
March 31, 2016	\$0.0419	\$0.0293
December 31, 2015	\$0.0302	\$0.0256

(1) Over-the-counter market quotations reflect inter-dealer prices without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not represent actual transactions.

Our shares are issued in registered form. Nevada Agency and Transfer Company, 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 880, Reno, Nevada 89501 (Telephone: (775) 322-0626; Facsimile: (775) 322-5623 is the registrar and transfer agent for our common shares.

On April, 2018, the shareholders’ list showed 54 registered shareholders with 92,092,553 common shares outstanding.

Dividend Policy

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock and have no present intention of paying any dividends on the shares of our common stock. Our current policy is to retain earnings, if any, for use in our operations and in the development of our business. Our future dividend policy will be determined from time to time by our board of directors.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

On December 29, 2009, our board of approved the adoption of the 2009 Stock Plan which permits our company to issue up to 6,055,000 shares of our common stock to directors, officers, employees and consultants. This plan has not been approved by our security holders.

The following table summarizes certain information regarding our equity compensation plans as at December 31, 2017:





## Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	Nil	Nil	Nil
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	1,450,000 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.04	4,605,000
Total	1,400,000	\$ 0.04	4,605,000

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes 650,000 unexercised stock options issued on February 10, 2016, 600,000 unexercised stock options issued on November 12 and 2014, 200,000 unexercised stock options issued on March 15, 2013.

## Convertible Securities

As of December 31, 2017, we had outstanding options to purchase 1,450,000 shares of our common stock exercisable at a weighted average price of \$0.04.

On May 31, 2012, the directors of our company determined that due to current adverse market conditions, it would be in the best interests of our company to re-price an aggregate of 500,000 incentive stock options granted to directors and officers of our company on September 23, 2010 with an exercise price of \$0.28, and an aggregate of 450,000 incentive stock options granted to directors and officers of our company on September 23, 2010 with an exercise price of \$0.25, to reflect the closing price for our company's common shares quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board on May 29, 2012 of \$0.07.

Also on May 31, 2012, our company granted an aggregate of 400,000 incentive stock options to certain directors and consultants of our company at an exercise price of \$0.07, exercisable for a period of five years from the date of grant. This option price on all directors and consultants options was revised downward to \$0.045 on March 15, 2013.

On March 15, 2013, we granted an aggregate of 200,000 stock options to consultants of our company pursuant to our 2009 Stock Option Plan. The stock options are exercisable for five years from the date of grant at an exercise price of \$0.045 per share.

On November 12, 2014, our company granted an aggregate of 700,000 stock options to directors and consultants of our company pursuant to our 2009 Stock Option Plan. The stock options are exercisable for five years from the date of grant at an exercise price of \$0.045 per share.

On February 10, 2016, our company granted an aggregate of 850,000 stock options to directors and consultants of our company pursuant to our 2009 Stock Option Plan. The stock options are exercisable for five years from the date of grant at an exercise price of \$0.045 per share.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities; Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

We did not sell any equity securities which were not registered under the Securities Act during the year ended December 31, 2017 that were not otherwise disclosed on our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q or our current reports on Form 8-K filed during the year ended December 31, 2017.

18

---

## Purchase of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

We did not purchase any of our shares of common stock or other securities during our fourth quarter of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

As a “smaller reporting company”, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

## Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated audited financial statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this annual report. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to those discussed below and elsewhere in this annual report, particularly in the section entitled “Risk Factors” beginning on page 6 of this annual report.

Our consolidated audited financial statements are stated in United States Dollars and are prepared in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

### Plan of Operations and Cash Requirements

#### Cash Requirements

Our current operational focus is to conduct exploration activities on the Fish Lake Valley property and San Emidio prospect in Nevada and the BC Sugar and Mount Heimdal properties in British Columbia. We expect to review other potential exploration projects from time to time as they are presented to us.

Our net cash from financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$283,315 as compared to \$67,500 during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Over the next twelve months we expect to expend funds as follows:

#### Estimated Net Expenditures During the Next Twelve Months

	\$
General, Administrative Expenses	190,000
Exploration Expenses	150,000
Travel	30,000
Total	370,000

We have suffered recurring losses from operations. The continuation of our company is dependent upon our company attaining and maintaining profitable operations and raising additional capital as needed.

The continuation of our business is dependent upon obtaining further financing, a successful program of exploration and/or development, and, finally, achieving a profitable level of operations. The issuance of additional equity securities by us could result in a significant dilution in the equity interests of our current stockholders. Obtaining commercial loans, assuming those loans would be available, will increase our liabilities and future cash commitments.

There are no assurances that we will be able to obtain further funds required for our continued operations. As noted herein, we are pursuing various financing alternatives to meet our immediate and long-term financial requirements. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available to us when needed or, if available, that it can be obtained on commercially reasonable terms. If we are not able to obtain the additional financing on a timely basis, we will be unable to conduct our operations as planned, and we will not be able to meet our other obligations as they become due. In such event, we will be forced to scale down or perhaps even cease our operations.

## Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

### Results of Operations - Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

The following summary of our results of operations should be read in conjunction with our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017, which are included herein.

Our operating results for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2016 and the changes between those periods for the respective items are summarized as follows:

	Twelve Month Period Ended December 31, 2017	Twelve Month Period Ended December 31, 2016	Change Between Twelve Month Periods Ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016
Revenue	\$-	\$-	\$-
Professional fees	38,055	62,830	(24,775 )
Exploration expenses	11,787	133,713	(121,926)
Consulting fees	120,549	111,091	9,458
Insurance expense	16,211	18,308	(2,097 )
Investor relations	64,122	26,072	38,050
Transfer agent and filing fees	10,705	9,886	819
Travel	21,694	5,729	15,965
General and administrative	11,409	10,511	898
Interest (income)	(104 )	(62 )	(42 )
Change in fair value of marketable securities	42,384	126,317	(83,933 )
Other income	-	(4,990 )	4,990
Net loss	\$ 336,812	\$ 499,405	\$(162,593)

Our financial statements report a net loss of \$336,812 for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2017 compared to a net loss of \$499,405 for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2016. Our losses have decreased by \$162,593, primarily as a result of decreases in professional fees, exploration expense and a change in the fair value of marketable securities held by the Company offset by increases in investor relations.

Our operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017 were \$294,532 compared to \$378,140 as of December 31, 2016. The decrease in operating expenses was primarily as a result of decreases in professional fees and exploration expense offset by increases in investor relations.

### Liquidity and Financial Condition

#### Working Capital

At	At
	December

Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

December 31, 2016  
31, 2017

Current assets	\$400,914	\$342,962
Current liabilities	526,031	412,914
Working capital	\$(125,117)	\$(69,952 )

20

---

## Cash Flows

	Year Ended	
	December 31	
	2017	2016
Net cash (used in) operating activities	\$(337,185)	\$(190,635)
Net cash (used in) investing activities	98,332	213,300
Net cash (used in) financing activities	283,315	67,500
Net increase (decrease) in cash during period	\$44,462	\$90,165

Our total current liabilities as of December 31, 2017 were \$526,031 as compared to total current liabilities of \$412,914 as of December 31, 2016. The increase was a result of the Company having sold properties during the year and awaiting payment of future option payments, at which point ownership of the properties transfers to the purchaser.

## Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities was \$337,185 for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared with net cash used in operating activities of \$190,635 in the same period in 2016.

## Investing Activities

Net cash from investing activities was \$98,332 for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$213,300 in the same period in 2016.

## Financing Activities

Net from financing activities was \$283,315 for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$67,500 in financing activities in the same period in 2016.

## Contractual Obligations

As a “smaller reporting company”, we are not required to provide tabular disclosure obligations.

## Going Concern

As of December 31, 2017, our company had a net loss of \$336,812 and has earned no revenues. Our company intends to fund operations through equity financing arrangements, which may be insufficient to fund its capital expenditures, working capital and other cash requirements for the year ending December 31, 2018. The ability of our company to emerge from the development stage is dependent upon, among other things, obtaining additional financing to continue operations, and development of our business plan. In response to these problems, management intends to raise additional funds through public or private placement offerings. These factors, among others, raise substantial doubt about our company’s ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to stockholders.





### Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are affected by management's application of accounting policies. We believe that understanding the basis and nature of the estimates and assumptions involved with the following aspects of our financial statements is critical to an understanding of our financial statements.

### Exploration Stage Company

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles related to accounting and reporting by exploration stage companies. An exploration stage company is one in which planned principal operations have not commenced or if its operations have commenced, there has been no significant revenues there from.

### Accounting Basis

The Company uses the accrual basis of accounting and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP" accounting). The Company has adopted a December 31 fiscal year end.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash includes cash on account, demand deposits, and short-term instruments with maturities of three months or less.

### Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts, the balances of which at times may exceed federally insured limits. The Company continually monitors its banking relationships and consequently has not experienced any losses in such accounts. The Company believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Revenue Recognition

The Company has yet to realize revenues from operations. Once the Company has commenced operations, it will recognize revenues when delivery of goods or completion of services has occurred provided there is persuasive evidence of an agreement, acceptance has been approved by its customers, the fee is fixed or determinable based on the completion of stated terms and conditions, and collection of any related receivable is probable.

### Loss per Share

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. The computation of diluted earnings per share assumes the conversion, exercise or contingent issuance of securities only when such conversion, exercise or issuance would have a dilutive effect on earnings per share. The dilutive effect of convertible securities is reflected in diluted earnings per share by application of the "if converted" method. In the periods in which a loss is incurred, the effect of potential issuances of shares under options and warrants would be anti-dilutive, and therefore basic and diluted losses per share are the same.

## Income Taxes

The asset and liability approach is used to account for income taxes by recognizing deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities.

## Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, deposits, prepaid expenses, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities. Unless otherwise noted, it is management's opinion that the Company is not exposed to significant interest, currency or credit risks arising from these financial instruments. Because of the short maturity and capacity of prompt liquidation of such assets and liabilities, the fair value of these financial instruments approximate their carrying values, unless otherwise noted.

## Mineral Properties

Costs of exploration, carrying and retaining unproven mineral lease properties are expensed as incurred. Mineral property acquisition costs are capitalized including licenses and lease payments. Although the Company has taken steps to verify title to mineral properties in which it has an interest, these procedures do not guarantee the Company's title. Such properties may be subject to prior agreements or transfers and title may be affected by undetected defects. Impairment losses are recorded on mineral properties used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amount.

## Optioned Properties

Properties under the Company's ownership which have been optioned to a third party are deemed the Company's property until all obligations under an option agreement are met, at which point the ownership of the property transfers to the third party. All non-refundable payments received prior to all obligations under an option agreement being met are considered liabilities until such time all obligations have been met, at which time ownership of the property transfers to the third party and the Company includes option payments into its statement of operations.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB"), issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2016-01, "Financial Instruments-Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities," which amends the guidance in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles on the classification and measurement of financial instruments. Changes to the current guidance primarily affect the accounting for equity investments, financial liabilities under the fair value option, and the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments. In addition, the ASU clarifies guidance related to the valuation allowance assessment when recognizing deferred tax assets resulting from unrealized losses on available-for-sale debt securities. The new standard is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017, and are to be adopted by means of a cumulative-effect adjustment to the balance sheet at the beginning of the first reporting period in which the guidance is effective. Early adoption is not permitted except for the provision to record fair value changes for financial liabilities under the fair value option resulting from instrument-specific credit risk in other comprehensive income. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this standard.

In May 2017, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2017-09, Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Scope of Modification Accounting, which clarifies when a change to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award must be accounted for as a modification. The new guidance requires modification accounting if the fair value, vesting condition or the classification of the award is not the same immediately before and after a change to the terms and conditions of the award. The new guidance is

effective for all entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of this ASU to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements and does not plan to early adopt the ASU.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As a “smaller reporting company”, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and  
Stockholders of Lithium Corporation

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Lithium Corporation (the Company) as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes and schedules (collectively referred to as the financial statements). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company suffered a net loss from operations and has a net capital deficiency, which raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans regarding those matters are described in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ M&K CPAS, PLLC

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2016.

Houston, TX

April 5, 2018

24

---

LITHIUM Corporation  
Balance Sheets

	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash	\$ 326,092	\$ 281,630
Marketable securities	18,285	41,284
Deposits	700	700
Prepaid expenses	55,837	19,348
Total Current Assets	400,914	342,962
<b>OTHER ASSETS</b>		
Mineral properties	231,527	159,859
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 632,441</b>	<b>\$ 502,821</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 9,045	\$ 15,313
Allowance for optioned properties	516,986	397,601
<b>TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>	<b>526,031</b>	<b>412,914</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>526,031</b>	<b>412,914</b>
Commitments and contingencies		
<b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Common stock, 3,000,000,000 shares authorized, par value \$0.001; 89,368,553 and 81,704,075 common shares outstanding, respectively	89,369	81,705
Common stock payable	-	11,334
Additional paid in capital	3,760,095	3,463,903
Additional paid in capital - options	191,513	191,513
Additional paid in capital - warrants	369,115	308,322
Accumulated deficit	(4,303,682)	(3,966,870)
<b>TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>106,410</b>	<b>89,907</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>\$ 632,441</b>	<b>\$ 502,821</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.





LITHIUM Corporation  
Statements of Operations

	Year Ended December 31, 2017	Year Ended December 31, 2016
REVENUE	\$-	\$-
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Professional fees	38,055	62,830
Exploration expenses	11,787	133,713
Consulting fees	120,549	111,091
Insurance expense	16,211	18,308
Investor relations	64,122	26,072
Transfer agent and filing fees	10,705	9,886
Travel	21,694	5,729
General and administrative expenses	11,409	10,511
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	294,532	378,140
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(294,532 )	(378,140 )
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES)		
Other income	-	4,990
Change in fair value of marketable securities	(42,384 )	(126,317 )
Interest income	104	62
TOTAL OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)	(42,280 )	(121,265 )
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(336,812 )	(499,405 )
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	-	-
NET LOSS	\$(336,812 )	\$(499,405 )
NET LOSS PER SHARE: BASIC AND DILUTED	\$(0.00 )	\$(0.01 )
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING: BASIC AND DILUTED	85,385,402	79,904,411

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

LITHIUM Corporation  
Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

	Common Stock Shares	Common Stock Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Additional Paid-in Capital - Warrants	Additional Paid-in Capital - Options	Common Stock Payable	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance, December 31, 2015	77,361,408	\$77,362	\$3,387,780	\$303,422	\$159,301	\$-	\$(3,467,465 )	\$460,400
Stock issued for cash	3,376,000	3,377	54,123	-	-	-	-	57,500
Stock issued on stock option exercise	200,000	200	-	-	4,800	-	-	5,000
Stock issued on stock warrant exercise	100,000	100	-	4,900	-	-	-	5,000
Stock issued for services	666,667	666	22,000	-	-	-	-	22,666
Stock based compensation Stock payable for services	-	-	-	-	27,412	-	-	27,412
	-	-	-	-	-	11,334	-	11,334
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	(499,405 )	(499,405 )
Balance, December 31, 2016	81,704,075	81,705	3,463,903	308,322	191,513	11,334	(3,966,870 )	89,907
Stock issued for cash	4,300,000	4,300	210,715	-	-	-	-	215,015
Stock returned to treasury	(1,076,000 )	(1,076 )	1,076	-	-	-	-	-
Stock issued on stock warrant exercise	3,007,145	3,007	-	60,793	-	-	-	63,800
Stock issued for services	333,333	333	11,001	-	-	(11,334)	-	-
Stock issued on stock option exercise	100,000	100	4,400	-	-	-	-	4,500
Stock issued on mineral property acquisition	1,000,000	1,000	69,000	-	-	-	-	70,000
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	(336,812 )	(336,812 )

Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

Balance, December 31, 2017	89,368,553	\$89,369	\$3,760,095	\$369,115	\$191,513	\$-	\$(4,303,682)	\$106,410
----------------------------------	------------	----------	-------------	-----------	-----------	-----	---------------	-----------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

27

---

LITHIUM Corporation  
Statements of Cash Flows

	Year ended December 31, 2017	Year ended December 31, 2016
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net loss for the period	\$(336,812)	\$(499,405)
Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Stock based compensation	-	27,412
Change in fair value of marketable securities	42,384	126,317
Stock issued in exchange for services	-	22,666
Writedown of investment	-	88,997
Stock payable in exchange for services	-	11,334
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses	(36,489 )	24,231
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(6,268 )	7,813
Net Cash Used in Operating Activities	(337,185)	(190,635)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Cash call on long term investment	-	(16,700 )
Cash used in properties	(1,668 )	-
Cash from properties	100,000	230,000
Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities	98,332	213,300
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Shares issued for warrants/options exercise	68,300	10,000
Shares issued for cash	215,015	57,500
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	283,315	67,500
Increase in cash	44,462	90,165
Cash, beginning of period	281,630	191,465
Cash, end of period	\$326,092	\$281,630
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:</b>		
Cash paid for interest	\$-	\$-
Cash paid for income taxes	\$-	\$-
<b>NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS:</b>		
Marketable securities received as consideration for mineral property option	\$19,385	\$167,601
Shares issued as consideration for mineral property option	\$70,000	\$-
Cashless exercise of warrants	\$1,731	\$-
Value of shares returned to treasury	\$1,076	\$1,076
Shares for services issued from stock payable	\$11,334	\$-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.



Lithium Corporation  
Notes to the Financial Statements  
December 31, 2017

#### Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Lithium Corporation (formerly Utalk Communications Inc.) (the "Company") was incorporated on January 30, 2007 under the laws of Nevada. On September 30, 2009, Utalk Communications Inc. changed its name to Lithium Corporation.

Nevada Lithium Corporation was incorporated on March 16, 2009 under the laws of Nevada under the name Lithium Corporation. On September 10, 2009, the Company amended its articles of incorporation to change its name to Nevada Lithium Corporation. By agreement dated October 9, 2009 Nevada Lithium Corporation and Lithium Corporation amalgamated as Lithium Corporation. Lithium Corporation is engaged in the acquisition and development of certain lithium interests in the state of Nevada, and flake graphite prospects in British Columbia and is currently in the exploration stage.

#### Accounting Basis

The Company uses the accrual basis of accounting and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP" accounting). The Company has adopted a December 31 fiscal year end.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash includes cash on account, demand deposits, and short-term instruments with maturities of three months or less.

#### Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts, the balances of which at times may exceed federally insured limits. The Company continually monitors its banking relationships and consequently has not experienced any losses in such accounts. The Company believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company has yet to realize revenues from operations. Once the Company has commenced operations, it will recognize revenues when delivery of goods or completion of services has occurred provided there is persuasive evidence of an agreement, acceptance has been approved by its customers, the fee is fixed or determinable based on the completion of stated terms and conditions, and collection of any related receivable is probable.

#### Loss per Share

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. The computation of diluted earnings per share assumes the conversion, exercise or contingent issuance of securities only when such conversion, exercise or issuance would have a dilutive effect on earnings per share. The dilutive effect of convertible securities is reflected in diluted earnings per share by application of the "if converted" method. In the periods in which a loss is incurred, the effect of potential issuances of shares under options and warrants would be anti-dilutive, and therefore basic and diluted losses per share are the same.

Income Taxes

The asset and liability approach is used to account for income taxes by recognizing deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities.



### Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, deposits, prepaid expenses, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities. Unless otherwise noted, it is management's opinion that the Company is not exposed to significant interest, currency or credit risks arising from these financial instruments. Because of the short maturity and capacity of prompt liquidation of such assets and liabilities, the fair value of these financial instruments approximate their carrying values, unless otherwise noted.

### Mineral Properties

Costs of exploration, carrying and retaining unproven mineral lease properties are expensed as incurred. Mineral property acquisition costs are capitalized including licenses and lease payments. Although the Company has taken steps to verify title to mineral properties in which it has an interest, these procedures do not guarantee the Company's title. Such properties may be subject to prior agreements or transfers and title may be affected by undetected defects. Impairment losses are recorded on mineral properties used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amount.

### Optioned Properties

Properties under the Company's ownership which have been optioned to a third party are deemed the Company's property until all obligations under an option agreement are met, at which point the ownership of the property transfers to the third party. All non-refundable payments received prior to all obligations under an option agreement being met are considered liabilities until such time all obligations have been met, at which time ownership of the property transfers to the third party and the Company includes option payments into its statement of operations.

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB"), issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2016-01, "Financial Instruments-Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities," which amends the guidance in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles on the classification and measurement of financial instruments. Changes to the current guidance primarily affect the accounting for equity investments, financial liabilities under the fair value option, and the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments. In addition, the ASU clarifies guidance related to the valuation allowance assessment when recognizing deferred tax assets resulting from unrealized losses on available-for-sale debt securities. The new standard is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017, and are to be adopted by means of a cumulative-effect adjustment to the balance sheet at the beginning of the first reporting period in which the guidance is effective. Early adoption is not permitted except for the provision to record fair value changes for financial liabilities under the fair value option resulting from instrument-specific credit risk in other comprehensive income. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this standard.

In May 2017, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2017-09, Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Scope of Modification Accounting, which clarifies when a change to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award must be accounted for as a modification. The new guidance requires modification accounting if the fair value, vesting condition or the classification of the award is not the same immediately before and after a change to the terms and conditions of the award. The new guidance is effective for all entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of this ASU to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements and does not plan to early adopt the ASU.

Note 2 – Going Concern

As reflected in the accompanying financial statements, the Company has a working capital deficiency of \$125,117 (2016: \$69,952) and has used \$337,185 (2016: \$190,635) of cash in operations for the year ended December 31, 2017. This raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. The ability of the Company to continue as a going concern is dependent on the Company's ability to raise additional capital and implement its business plan. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might be necessary if the Company is unable to continue as a going concern.

Management believes that actions presently being taken to obtain additional funding and implement its strategic plans provide the opportunity for the Company to continue as a going concern.

#### Note 3 – Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Under FASB ASC 820-10-5, fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (an exit price). The standard outlines a valuation framework and creates a fair value hierarchy in order to increase the consistency and comparability of fair value measurements and the related disclosures. Under GAAP, certain assets and liabilities must be measured at fair value, and FASB ASC 820-10-50 details the disclosures that are required for items measured at fair value.

The Company has certain financial instruments that must be measured under the new fair value standard. The Company's financial assets and liabilities are measured using inputs from the three levels of the fair value hierarchy. The three levels are as follows:

Level 1 - Inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 - Inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (e.g., interest rates, yield curves, etc.), and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means (market corroborated inputs).

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that reflect our assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

The following schedule summarizes the valuation of financial instruments at fair value on a recurring basis in the balance sheets as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively:

	Fair Value		
	Measurements at		
	December 31, 2017		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets			
Cash	\$326,092	\$ -	\$ -
Marketable securities	18,285	-	-
Total Assets	344,377	-	-
Liabilities			
Total Liabilities	-	-	-
	\$344,377	\$ -	\$ -

	Fair Value		
	Measurements at		
	December 31, 2016		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets			
Cash	\$281,630	\$ -	\$ -
Marketable securities	41,284	-	-
Total Assets	322,914	-	-
Liabilities			

Total Liabilities	-	-	-
	\$322,914	\$ -	\$ -

31

---

## Note 4 – Marketable Securities

The Company owns marketable securities (common stock) as outlined below:

Balance, December 31, 2016	\$41,284
Additions	19,385
Unrealized loss on mark down to fair value	(42,384)
Balance, December 31, 2017	\$18,285

## Note 5 - Prepaid Expenses

Prepaid expenses consisted of the following at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Bonds	\$ 1,214	\$ 2,181
Transfer agent fees	-	2,125
Insurance	5,992	4,226
Office Misc.	-	350
Investor relations	48,631	10,466
Total prepaid expenses	\$ 55,837	\$ 19,348

## Note 6 - Mineral Properties

Yeehaw and Melissa Properties

On March 17, 2017, the Company entered into an agreement whereby the Company has the option to acquire mineral properties in Revelstoke, British Columbia. To acquire the properties, the Company must issue 1,000,000 common shares on March 1, 2017 (issued) and 750,000 common shares (400,000 common shares as amended – see Note 9) on the anniversary of the agreement. The properties are subject to a 1% NSR, which may be purchased by the Company for \$500,000. As at December 31, 2017, the Company has recorded \$71,668 (2016: \$0) in acquisition costs related to the Yeehaw and Melissa properties.

Fish Lake Property

The Company purchased a 100% interest in the Fish Lake property by making staged payments of \$350,000 worth of common stock. Title to the pertinent claims was transferred to the Company through quit claim deed dated June 1, 2011, and this quit claim was recorded at the county level on August 3, 2011 and at the BLM on August 4, 2011. Quarterly stock disbursements were made on the following schedule:

- 1st Disbursement: Within 10 days of signing agreement (paid)
- 2nd Disbursement: within 10 days of June 30, 2009 (paid)
- 3rd Disbursement: within 10 days of December 30, 2009 (paid)
- 4th Disbursement: within 10 days of March 31, 2010 (paid)
- 5th Disbursement: within 10 days of June 30, 2010 (paid)
- 6th Disbursement: within 10 days of September 30, 2010 (paid)
- 7th Disbursement: within 10 days of December 31, 2010 (paid)
- 8th Disbursement: within 10 days of March 31, 2011 (paid)

As at December 31, 2017, the Company has recorded \$436,764 in acquisition costs related to the Fish Lake Property and associated impairment of \$276,908 related to abandonment of claims. The carrying value of the Fish Lake Property was \$159,859 as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

## Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

On March 10, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement with respect to the Fish Lake Property whereby the purchaser may earn an 80% interest in the property for payments of \$300,000, 40,000 shares (post 10:1 rollback) and work performed on the property over the next three years totaling \$1,100,000 and \$30,000 reimbursement of costs relating to the property. Should these terms be met, the purchaser has the ability to purchase the remaining 20% of the property for \$1,000,000. The Company shall retain a 2.5% NSR on the property should they sell 100% of their interest.

As of December 31, 2017, the Company has received \$230,000 and 30,000 common shares (valued at \$84,085) in relation to the option agreement. The \$314,085 has been recorded as a liability against the property until either the purchaser returns the property to the Company or the purchaser has met all the obligations associated with the agreement, at which time the liability will be charged to the statement of operations.

The market value as at December 31, 2017 of the 30,000 common shares received is \$10,971. As a result, a fair value charge of \$28,530 (2016: \$44,584) has been recorded.

### Sugar Property

In June 2013, the company purchased claims in the Cherryville, BC area for 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock. Since this time the company has expanded the claim block considerably, and has expended approximately \$45,000 to date exploring this property for flake graphite deposits. In January, 2014, the company agreed to buy back the shares issued pursuant to the June agreement for \$2,500. The buy-back was completed in April, 2014 and recorded the purchase of stock in the Company's equity.

### Staked Properties

The Company has staked claims with various registries as summarized below:

Name	Claims	Cost	Impairment	Net Carry Value
San Emidio	20 (1,600 acres)	\$11,438	\$ (11,438 )	\$ 0
Cherryville/BC Sugar	8019.41 (hectares)	\$21,778	(21,778 )	\$ 0

The Company performs an impairment test on an annual basis to determine whether a write-down is necessary with respect to the properties. The Company believes no circumstances have occurred and no evidence has been uncovered that warrant a write-down of the mineral properties other than those abandoned by management and thus included in write-down of mineral properties. During the years-ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, no impairment charge was taken.

On May 3, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement with respect to the San Emidio Property whereby the purchaser may earn an 80% interest in the property for payments of \$100,000, 30,000 shares (post 10:1 rollback) and work performed on the property over the next three years totaling \$600,000. Should these terms be met, the purchaser has the ability to purchase the remaining 20% of the property for \$1,000,000. The Company shall retain a 2.5% NSR on the property should they sell 100% of their interest.

As of December 31, 2017, the Company has received \$100,000 and 20,000 common shares (valued at \$102,901) in relation to the option agreement. The \$202,901 has been recorded as a liability against the property until either the purchaser returns the property to the Company or the purchaser has met all the obligations associated with the agreement, at which time the liability will be charged to the statement of operations.

The market value as at December 31, 2017 of the 20,000 common shares received is \$7,314. As a result, a fair value charge of \$13,584 (2016: \$81,733) has been recorded.



Note 7 – Allowance for Optioned Properties

Fish Lake Valley

On March 10, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement with respect to the Fish Lake Property whereby the purchaser may earn an 80% interest in the property for payments of \$300,000, 40,000 shares (post 10:1 rollback) and work performed on the property over the next three years totaling \$1,100,000 and \$30,000 reimbursement of costs relating to the property. Should these terms be met, the purchaser has the ability to purchase the remaining 20% of the property for \$1,000,000. The Company shall retain a 2.5% NSR on the property should they sell 100% of their interest.

As of December 31, 2017, the Company has received \$230,000 and 30,000 common shares (valued at \$84,085) in relation to the option agreement. The \$314,085 has been recorded as a liability against the property until either the purchaser returns the property to the Company or the purchaser has met all the obligations associated with the agreement, at which time the liability will be charged to the statement of operations.

San Emidio

On May 3, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement with respect to the San Emidio Property whereby the purchaser may earn an 80% interest in the property for payments of \$100,000, 30,000 shares (post 10:1 rollback) and work performed on the property over the next three years totaling \$600,000. Should these terms be met, the purchaser has the ability to purchase the remaining 20% of the property for \$1,000,000. The Company shall retain a 2.5% NSR on the property should they sell 100% of their interest.

As of December 31, 2017, the Company has received \$100,000 and 20,000 common shares (valued at \$102,901) in relation to the option agreement. The \$202,901 has been recorded as a liability against the property until either the purchaser returns the property to the Company or the purchaser has met all the obligations associated with the agreement, at which time the liability will be charged to the statement of operations.

Note 8 - Capital Stock

The Company is authorized to issue 300,000,000 shares of it \$0.001 par value common stock. On September 30, 2009, the Company effected a 60-for-1 forward stock split of its \$0.001 par value common stock.

All share and per share amounts have been retroactively restated to reflect the splits discussed above.

Common Stock

On April 12, 2016, the Company issued 700,000 common shares for gross proceeds of \$17,500.

On May 11, 2016 the Company issued 100,000 common shares for gross proceeds of \$2,500 pursuant to the exercise of stock options.

On May 24, 2016, the Company issued 2,400,000 common shares for gross proceeds of \$33,100.

On May 31, 2016, the Company issued 276,000 common shares for gross proceeds of \$6,900.

On June 9, 2016 the Company issued 100,000 common shares for gross proceeds of \$2,500 pursuant to the exercise of stock options.

On August 9, 2016, the Company issued 666,667 common shares in relation to a services agreement dated April 1, 2016. These shares were valued at \$22,666, being the fair market value at the date of issuance.

## Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

On August 25, 2016, the Company issued 100,000 common shares for gross proceeds of \$5,000 pursuant to the exercise of warrants.

On February 10, 2017, the Company issued and removed from stock payable 333,333 common shares in relation to a services agreement dated April 1, 2016. These shares were valued at \$11,334, being the fair market value at the date of contract.

On February 10, 2017, the Company issued 1,353,904 common shares for gross proceeds of \$63,800 pursuant to the exercise of warrants.

On March 16, 2017, 1,076,000 shares were cancelled and returned to treasury.

On March 27, 2017, the Company issued 2,400,000 common shares for gross proceeds of \$120,000.

On July 31, 2017, the Company issued 1,900,000 common shares for gross proceeds of \$95,000.

On September 5, 2017, the Company issued 1,000,000 shares as consideration for the Yeehaw and Melissa Properties (see Note 3).

On November 21, 2017, the Company issued 100,000 shares gross proceeds of \$4,500 pursuant to the exercise of stock options.

On November 27, 2017, the Company issued 1,475,514 shares pursuant to a cashless exercise of warrants.

On November 28, 2017, the Company issued 105,000 shares pursuant to a cashless exercise of warrants.

On December 21, 2017, the Company issued 72,727 shares pursuant to a cashless exercise of warrants.

There were 89,368,553 shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2017 (2016: 81,704,075).

### Warrants

On October 15, 2015, the Company issued 2,700,000 warrants exercisable at \$0.05 for the first 12 months after closing and \$0.075 for the following 12 months after closing. The fair value of the warrants has been measured at \$45,473.

On March 27, 2017, as part of the issuance of common stock, the Company issued 2,400,000 warrants exercisable at \$0.05 for the first 12 months after closing and \$0.075 for the following 12 months after closing. The fair value of the warrants has been measured at \$86,180. The warrants vested six months after being granted.

On July 31, 2017, as part of the issuance of common stock, the Company issued 1,900,000 warrants exercisable at \$0.075 for 24 months after closing. The fair value of the warrants has been measured at \$69,489. The warrants vested six months after being granted.

The table below outlines the change in warrants during the year-ended December 31, 2017 and 2016:

	Number
Balance, December 31, 2015	2,700,000
Granted	3,376,000
Exercised	(100,000 )

Balance, December 31, 2016	5,976,000
Granted	4,300,000
Cancelled	(1,076,000)
Expired	(2,000,000)
Exercised	(3,576,000)
Balance, December 31, 2017	3,624,000

The table below outlines details of warrants outstanding at December 31, 2017:

Grant Date	Number	Exercise Price	Expiry Date
May 24, 2016	1,224,000	\$ 0.075	May 24, 2018
March 27, 2017	2,400,000	\$ 0.050	March 27, 2019
Total	3,624,000		

The outstanding warrants have a fair value of \$881,061 as at December 31, 2017. The Company uses the Black-Scholes valuation model to value warrants. The Black-Scholes model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. The model requires management to make estimates, which are subjective and may not be representative of actual results. Assumptions used to determine the fair value of the remaining stock options are as follows: Risk free interest rate of 1.9%; Volatility of 160%; Expected life of 2 years and a dividend rate of zero.

#### Stock Based Compensation

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company granted 500,000 consultants options at an exercise price of \$0.28 and 400,000 options at an exercise price of \$0.24 to consultants in exchange for various professional services. On May 31, 2012, the options granted with exercise prices of \$0.28 and \$0.24 were modified to exercise prices at \$0.07. The modification resulted in stock based compensation of \$11,524. Also on May 31, 2012, the Company granted an additional 400,000 options to consultants for management services with an exercise price of \$0.07. These options were vested on the date of grant and resulted in stock-based compensation of \$23,891. On September 30, 2014, 250,000 options expired unexercised as a result of a director resigning from the Company.

On March 15, 2013, all pre-existing options were modified to exercise prices of \$0.045. The modification resulted in stock-based compensation of \$8,848. Also on March 15, 2013, the Company issued an additional 200,000 options at an exercise price of \$0.045 to consultants for management services. These options were vested on the date of grant and resulted in stock-based compensation of \$7,794.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option valuation model to value stock options. The Black-Scholes model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. The model requires management to make estimates, which are subjective and may not be representative of actual results. Assumptions used to determine the fair value of the remaining stock options are as follows:

	Modification	New Options
Risk free interest rate	0.35%	0.67%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected stock price volatility	129%	129%
Expected life of options	3 years	5 years

On November 12, 2014, the Company granted 700,000 options at an exercise price of \$0.045 in exchange for various professional and managerial services. The fair value of these options was \$38,723. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option valuation model to value stock options. The Black-Scholes model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. The model

requires management to make estimates, which are subjective and may not be representative of actual results. Assumptions used to determine the fair value of the remaining stock options are as follows:

36

---

Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

Risk free interest rate	1.65%
Expected dividend yield	0%
Expected stock price volatility	150%
Expected life of options	5 years

On February 10, 2016, the Company granted 850,000 options at an exercise price of \$0.025 in exchange for various professional and managerial services. The fair value of these options was \$27,412. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option valuation model to value stock options. The Black-Scholes model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. The model requires management to make estimates, which are subjective and may not be representative of actual results. Assumptions used to determine the fair value of the remaining stock options are as follows:

Risk free interest rate	1.15%
Expected dividend yield	0%
Expected stock price volatility	163%
Expected life of options	4.90 years

The following table summarizes the stock options outstanding at December 31, 2017:

Issue Date	Number	Price	Expiry Date	Outstanding at December 31, 2017
March 15, 2013	200,000	\$0.045	March 15, 2018	200,000
November 12, 2014	600,000	\$0.045	November 12, 2019	600,000
February 10, 2016	650,000	\$0.025	January 8, 2022	650,000

Total stock-based compensation for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$0 and \$27,412, respectively.

Note 9 – Related Party Transactions

The Company paid consulting fees totaling \$68,000 and \$52,000 to related parties for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Note 10 - Income Taxes

As of December 31, 2017, the Company had net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$4,303,000 that may be available to reduce future years' taxable income in varying amounts through 2033. Future tax benefits which may arise as a result of these losses have not been recognized in these financial statements, as their realization is determined not likely to occur and accordingly, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance for the deferred tax asset relating to these tax loss carry-forwards.

The provision for Federal income tax consists of the following for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016:

	2017	2016
Federal income tax benefit attributable to:		
Current operations	\$114,516	\$169,798
Less: valuation allowance	(114,516)	(169,798)

Net provision for Federal income taxes      \$-                      \$-

The cumulative tax effect at the expected rate of 34% of significant items comprising our net deferred tax amount is as follows at December 31, 2017 and 2016:

37

---



	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Deferred tax asset attributable to:		
Net operating loss carryover	\$1,463,094	\$1,348,578
Less: valuation allowance	(1,463,094)	(1,348,578)
Net deferred tax asset	\$0	\$0

Due to the change in ownership provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1986, net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$4,303,000 for Federal income tax reporting purposes are subject to annual limitations. Should a change in ownership occur net operating loss carry forwards may be limited as to use in future years.

#### Note 11 – Commitment and Contingencies

From time to time, we may become involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of its operations in the normal course of business. We are not involved in any pending legal proceeding or litigation and, to the best of our knowledge, no governmental authority is contemplating any proceeding to which we are a party or to which any of our properties is subject, which would reasonably be likely to have a material adverse effect on us, except for the following:

On December 4, 2015 a claim was filed in the United States District Court, District of Nevada against a number of defendants both individually, and in their various capacities with different organizations or governmental departments. Included in the list of defendants was our Company and its president Tom Lewis, amongst others. This challenge was with respect to land in Tonopah, Nye County that is a part of the Hughes claim package, and the plaintiff alleged that the recorded title to the parcel of land, that comprises the Hughes claims here, was wrongfully changed by the County Recorder to Summa, LLC, a company which our Company holds a significant investment. Additionally the plaintiff alleged that the defendants, including the Company and its president engaged in racketeering, and were part of a conspiracy to fraudulently strip the lands from the plaintiff. Title had been legally changed pursuant to a prior court order issued by the United States District Court of Nevada, and the Company, its president and all other defendants deny any wrongdoing.

Dual identical actions were filed by the plaintiff at this time against the Company and the other defendants: the first mentioned above was filed in the United States District Court, District of Nevada, and the second case was filed in the Fifth Judicial District Court of Nevada in Nye County against an identical list of defendants.

Tom Lewis was never legally served, and received notice in April 2016 that the Plaintiff was discontinuing its action against him.

On May 3, 2016, the case in the Fifth Judicial District Court of Nevada was dismissed with prejudice, and the defendants, including the Company, were awarded legal fees and costs to be paid by the plaintiff, and the Judge was to decide whether Nevada’s Anti-Slapp legislation for frivolous actions applied in this matter. Should the Anti-Slapp portion of the co-Defendants petition be approved it could result in punitive damages of up to \$10,000 for each Defendant. The plaintiff immediately appealed, and March 8, 2017 was set as the date to hear the appeal.

On February 6, 2017, the United States District Court, District of Nevada dismissed with prejudice the case against the Company and the other defendants.

On March 8, 2017 the Fifth Judicial District Court of Nevada dismissed the appeal stemming from May 3, 2016, and upheld the defendant’s right to costs, and pending submittal of additional costs for council to attend that hearing will

make a written final ruling shortly with respect to costs, and the Anti-Slapp sanctions. At the same time a trial date was set for May 8, 2018 where the court will hear Summa's petition for "Quiet Title" with respect to its Tonopah properties.

On March 13<sup>th</sup> 2018 Summa was victorious in a “Quiet Title” ruling set out in the Fifth Judicial District Court where Judge Wanker ruled that Summa’s claim to title in the contested claims is superior to that of any other entity that has come forward with a claim to date.

The Company is of the opinion that these actions were baseless and without merit, and intends to continue to vigorously defend our position as need be.

#### Note 11 - Subsequent Events

The Company has analyzed its operations subsequent to December 31, 2017 through the date these financial statements were issued, and has determined that it does not have any material subsequent events to disclose other than those described below.

On February 14, 2018, the Company entered into an amendment agreement with respect to the Fish Lake Valley property whereby the \$300,000 work commitment has been extended from March 10, 2018 to September 30, 2018 and equity to be paid to the Company, in aggregate, has been changed from 40,000 common shares (post 10:1 rollback) to 39,000 common shares.

On February 16, 2018, the Company entered into an amendment agreement with respect to the Yeehaw and Melissa Properties whereby the Company, to maintain its option on the properties in good standing, must pay 400,000 common shares on the first anniversary of the effective date of the agreement.

## Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

There were no disagreements related to accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, internal controls or auditing scope or procedure during the two fiscal years and interim periods.

### Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

#### Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We have established disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to the officers who certify the Company's financial reports and to other members of senior management and the Board of Directors.

Based on their evaluation, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) were ineffective as of December 31, 2017 to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms. Our officers also concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are ineffective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

#### Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act). The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives.

Management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) 2013 Framework in Internal Control — Integrated Framework. Based on this evaluation, management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that, as of December 31, 2017, our internal control over financial reporting was ineffective.

We noted the following deficiencies that we believe to be material weaknesses.

The Company's system of internal controls failed to identify certain journal entries that were identified by the Company's external auditor.

The Company did not establish a formal written policy for the approval, identification and authorization of related party transactions.

Our conclusion related to the effectiveness of our controls is based solely on adjustments made by our external auditor as part of their yearend audit and not based upon any other control deficiencies within the entity.



Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company’s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended December 31, 2017, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

All directors of our company hold office until the next annual meeting of the security holders or until their successors have been elected and qualified. The officers of our company are appointed by our board of directors and hold office until their death, resignation or removal from office. Our directors and executive officers, their ages, positions held, and duration as such, are as follows:

Name	Position Held with the Company	Age	Date First Elected or Appointed
Tom Lewis	President, Treasurer, Secretary and Director	63	August 25, 2009
James Brown	Director	54	December 19, 2012
Brian Goss	Director	39	May 30, 2014

Business Experience

The following is a brief account of the education and business experience during at least the past five years of each director, executive officer and key employee of our company, indicating the person’s principal occupation during that period, and the name and principal business of the organization in which such occupation and employment were carried out.

Tom Lewis – President, Secretary, Treasurer, Director

Tom Lewis acted as president, treasurer, secretary and director of our company since August 25, 2009. Mr. Lewis resigned as president, treasurer and secretary of our company on August 13, 2014 and resumed the positions of President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer on February 7, 2017. Mr. Lewis has more than 38 years’ experience in the oil and gas and mineral exploration industries. He has held various positions including project geologist, project manager, senior project geologist, and vice president exploration. He also was an integral member of the development team that explored, and developed the Cortez Hills deposit in Crescent Valley Nevada.

In 1974, Mr. Lewis started his career in the oil fields, and worked in the geophysical, and drilling industries until 1981, when he became a petroleum landman for Westburne Petroleum & Minerals. While there he was responsible for the acquisition and disposition of interests and maintaining title to petroleum lands in various locales in the United States, and Western Canada. In 1989, he started his own business as a consulting geologist and has worked in numerous locations over the past 25 years, including the United States, Mexico, Canada, Portugal, Chile, Africa, India and Honduras. Some of the positions he held include: working with Teck Cominco in 1996 evaluating and exploring precious metal deposits in Southern Mexico; project manager on the Farim phosphate deposit for Champion

Resources in Guinea Bissau, West Africa in 1998; project geologist in 2001 and 2002 for Crystal Graphite Corporation, project geologist on the Midway Gold project in Tonopah, Nevada, followed by two years as senior geologist at the Cortez Joint Venture in Crescent Valley, Nevada. By August 2005 he was named vice president of exploration in Portugal for St. Elias Mines, working on the Jales project, and developing grass roots projects in Nevada. Following his experience in Portugal and Nevada he consulted to Selkirk Metals and New World Resource Corp. on projects in western Canada and Nevada. Most recently he consulted to Kinross Gold USA evaluating possible acquisitions.

#### James Brown - Director

James Brown has acted as a director of our company since December 19, 2012. Mr. Brown is a mining engineer with more than 25 years' experience in the coal mining and exploration industry in Australia and Indonesia, including 22 years at Australian based coal producer New Hope Corporation. During this time he has held positions from front line mine planning and supervision, land acquisition, government approvals and mine and business development. Mr. Brown is also the managing director of Altura Mining Limited (ASX: AJM) an Australian listed company focused primarily on developing the Pilgangoora lithium deposit in Western Australia. Mr. Brown has overseen the growth of Altura from \$10 million to \$782 million in market capitalization. James is a member of the Australian Institute of Company Directors (MAICD).

#### Brian Goss –Director

Brian Goss has acted as a director of our company since May 30, 2014. Mr. Goss acted as president, treasurer, secretary and director of our company since August 13, 2014. Mr. Goss resigned as president, treasurer and secretary of our company on February 7, 2017. Mr. Goss served as president, chief executive officer, chief financial officer, treasurer and a director of Graphite Corp. July 9, 2012 through August 12, 2014. Mr. Goss graduated from Wayne State University with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Geology in 2003. Mr. Goss worked the 2002-2003 field seasons for Kennecott Exploration during the early exploration stages of the Eagle Project, a Duluth Type high grade nickel and copper deposit in Michigan's Upper Peninsula. At the end of 2003, he moved to Northeast Nevada to explore for Carlin Type gold deposits. From 2004-2007, he worked as a staff geologist for Cameco Corporation, and subsequently in its spin out company, Centerra Gold Inc., on the REN deposit where the exploration team drilled deep exploration holes using pre-collars with core tails to contribute to the expansion of the +1 million ounce gold deposit that was subsequently taken over by Barrick Gold. Mr. Goss also held several other project geologist positions before founding Rangefront Geological in early 2008. Mr. Goss has built Rangefront into a premier geological services company that caters to a large spectrum of clients in the mining and minerals exploration industries.

#### Employment Agreements

We have no formal employment agreements with any of our directors or officers.

#### Family Relationships

There are no family relationships between any of our directors, executive officers and proposed directors or executive officers.

#### Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

To the best of our knowledge, none of our directors or executive officers has, during the past ten years:

1. been convicted in a criminal proceeding or been subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offences);
2. had any bankruptcy petition filed by or against the business or property of the person, or of any partnership, corporation or business association of which he was a general partner or executive officer, either at the time of the bankruptcy filing or within two years prior to that time;
3. been subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction or federal or state authority, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or



otherwise limiting, his involvement in any type of business, securities, futures, commodities, investment, banking, savings and loan, or insurance activities, or to be associated with persons engaged in any such activity;

been found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the SEC or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated;

been the subject of, or a party to, any federal or state judicial or administrative order, judgment, decree, or finding, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated (not including any settlement of a civil proceeding among private litigants), relating to an alleged violation of any federal or state securities or commodities law or regulation, any law or regulation respecting financial institutions or insurance companies including, but not limited to, a temporary or permanent injunction, order of disgorgement or restitution, civil money penalty or temporary or permanent cease-and-desist order, or removal or prohibition order, or any law or regulation prohibiting mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity; or

been the subject of, or a party to, any sanction or order, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any self-regulatory organization (as defined in Section 3(a)(26) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(26)), any registered entity (as defined in Section 1(a)(29) of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1(a)(29)), or any equivalent exchange, association, entity or organization that has disciplinary authority over its members or persons associated with a member.

Compliance with Section 16(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our executive officers and directors and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file with the SEC initial statements of beneficial ownership, reports of changes in ownership and annual reports concerning their ownership of our shares of common stock and other equity securities, on Forms 3, 4 and 5, respectively. Executive officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders are required by the SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file.

Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by our company, or written representations from certain reporting persons that no Form 5s were required for those persons, we believe that, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, all filing requirements applicable to our officers, directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners as well as our officers, directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners of our subsidiaries were complied with, with the exception of the following:

Name	Number of Late Reports	Number of Transactions Not Reported on a Timely Basis	Failure to File Requested Forms
Brian Goss <sup>(1)</sup>	1	1	0

(1) The insider was late filing a Form 4, Statement of Changes of Beneficial Ownership.

Code of Ethics

Effective March 25, 2011, our company’s board of directors adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to, among other persons, our company’s principal executive officer and our principal financial and accounting officer, as well as persons performing similar functions. As adopted, our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics sets forth written standards that are designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote:

1. honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships;
2. full, fair, accurate, timely, and understandable disclosure in reports and documents that we file with, or submit to, the SEC and in other public communications made by us;

3. compliance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations;
4. the prompt internal reporting of violations of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics to an appropriate person or persons identified in the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics; and
5. accountability for adherence to the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics requires, among other things, that all of our company's personnel shall be accorded full access to our president and secretary with respect to any matter which may arise relating to the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. Further, all of our company's personnel are to be accorded full access to our company's board of directors if any such matter involves an alleged breach of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by our president or secretary.

In addition, our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics emphasizes that all employees, and particularly managers and/or supervisors, have a responsibility for maintaining financial integrity within our company, consistent with generally accepted accounting principles, and federal, provincial and state securities laws. Any employee who becomes aware of any incidents involving financial or accounting manipulation or other irregularities, whether by witnessing the incident or being told of it, must report it to his or her immediate supervisor or to our company's president or secretary. If the incident involves an alleged breach of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by the president or secretary, the incident must be reported to any member of our board of directors. Any failure to report such inappropriate or irregular conduct of others is to be treated as a severe disciplinary matter. It is against our company policy to retaliate against any individual who reports in good faith the violation or potential violation of our company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by another.

Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics was attached as an exhibit to our annual report filed on Form 10-K with the SEC on April 15, 2013. We will provide a copy of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics to any person without charge, upon request. Requests can be sent to: Lithium Corporation, 1031 Railroad St, Suite 102B., Elko, Nevada 89801.

#### Board and Committee Meetings

Our board of directors held no formal meetings during the year ended December 31, 2017. All proceedings of the board of directors were conducted by resolutions consented to in writing by all the directors and filed with the minutes of the proceedings of the directors. Such resolutions consented to in writing by the directors entitled to vote on that resolution at a meeting of the directors are, according to the Nevada General Corporate Law and our Bylaws, as valid and effective as if they had been passed at a meeting of the directors duly called and held.

#### Nomination Process

As of December 31, 2017, we did not effect any material changes to the procedures by which our shareholders may recommend nominees to our board of directors. Our board of directors does not have a policy with regards to the consideration of any director candidates recommended by our shareholders. Our board of directors has determined that it is in the best position to evaluate our company's requirements as well as the qualifications of each candidate when the board considers a nominee for a position on our board of directors. If shareholders wish to recommend candidates directly to our board, they may do so by sending communications to the president of our company at the address on the cover of this annual report.

#### Audit Committee

Currently our audit committee consists of our entire board of directors. We do not have a standing audit committee as we currently have limited working capital and no revenues. Should we be able to raise sufficient funding to execute our business plan, we will form an audit, compensation committee and other applicable committees utilizing our directors' expertise.

## Audit Committee Financial Expert

Currently our audit committee consists of our entire board of directors. We do not currently have a director who is qualified to act as the head of the audit committee.

## Item 11. Executive Compensation

The particulars of the compensation paid to the following persons:

- (a) our principal executive officer;
- (b) each of our two most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers at the end of the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016; and

up to two additional individuals for whom disclosure would have been provided under (b) but for the fact that the individual was not serving as our executive officer at the end of the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, who (c) we will collectively refer to as the named executive officers of our company, are set out in the following summary compensation table, except that no disclosure is provided for any named executive officer, other than our principal executive officers, whose total compensation did not exceed \$100,000 for the respective fiscal year:

## SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Tom Lewis <sup>(1)</sup> President, Treasurer, Secretary, and Director	2017	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	68,000	(2) 68,000
	2016	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	52,000	52,000 <sup>(2)</sup>
Brian Goss <sup>(3)</sup> Director, Former President, Treasurer, Secretary	2017	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	2016	Nil	Nil	Nil	16,125	Nil	Nil	52,000	68,125

Tom Lewis acted as president, treasurer, secretary and director of our company since August 25, 2009. Mr. Lewis (1) resigned as president, treasurer and secretary of our company on August 13, 2014. Mr. Lewis resumed his positions of President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer on February 7, 2017

(2) Mr. Lewis provides consulting services to our company as needed in relation to administration, project generation, and exploration of our company's properties.

(3) Mr. Goss has acted as a director of our company since May 30, 2014 and served as president, treasurer and secretary of our company from August 13, 2014 until February 7, 2017.

45

---

There are no arrangements or plans in which we provide pension, retirement or similar benefits for directors or executive officers. Our directors and executive officers may receive share options at the discretion of our board of directors in the future. We do not have any material bonus or profit sharing plans pursuant to which cash or non-cash compensation is or may be paid to our directors or executive officers, except that share options may be granted at the discretion of our board of directors.

2017 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

None

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The particulars of unexercised options, stock that has not vested and equity incentive plan awards for our named executive officers are set out in the following table:

Name	Option Awards					Stock Awards			Equity Incentive Awards:
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards; Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested
Brian Goss	500,000	Nil	Nil	\$ 0.045	Nov. 12, 2019	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

During our fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 there were no options exercised by our named officers.

Compensation of Directors

We do not have any agreements for compensating our directors for their services in their capacity as directors, although such directors are expected in the future to receive stock options to purchase shares of our common stock as awarded by our board of directors.

The following table sets forth a summary of the compensation paid to our non-employee directors in 2017:





## DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Tom Lewis <sup>(1)</sup>	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
James Brown <sup>(2)</sup>	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Brian Goss <sup>(3)</sup>	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

Tom Lewis acted as president, treasurer, secretary and director of our company since August 25, 2009. Mr. Lewis (1)resigned as president, treasurer and secretary of our company on August 13, 2014. Mr. Lewis resumed his positions as president, Chief Financial Officer and treasurer on February 7, 2017.

(2)James Brown was appointed as a director of our company on December 19, 2012.

Brian Goss has acted as a director of our company since May 30, 2014. Mr. Goss was appointed as president, (3)treasurer and secretary of our company on August 13, 2014. Mr. Goss resigned as president, treasurer and secretary on February 7, 2017.

## Pension, Retirement or Similar Benefit Plans

There are no arrangements or plans in which we provide pension, retirement or similar benefits for directors or executive officers. We have no material bonus or profit sharing plans pursuant to which cash or non-cash compensation is or may be paid to our directors or executive officers, except that stock options may be granted at the discretion of the board of directors or a committee thereof.

## Indebtedness of Directors, Senior Officers, Executive Officers and Other Management

None of our directors or executive officers or any associate or affiliate of our company during the last two fiscal years, is or has been indebted to our company by way of guarantee, support agreement, letter of credit or other similar agreement or understanding currently outstanding.

## Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth, as of April 2, 2018, certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common shares by each shareholder known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common shares, as well as by each of our current directors and executive officers as a group. Each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of common stock, except as otherwise indicated. Beneficial ownership consists of a direct interest in the shares of common stock, except as otherwise indicated.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Class <sup>(1)</sup>
--------------------------------------	---	------------------------------------

Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

Tom Lewis <sup>(2)</sup>	7,000,000	
PO Box 2053	Common	7.6%
Richland, WA 99352	Shares	

James Brown <sup>(3)</sup>		
Apartment Pearl Garden, Unit No. Wp00606	Nil	0%
Jl. Jen. Gatot Subroto Kav 5-7		
Jakarta 12930 Indonesia		

## Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Class <sup>(1)</sup>
Brian Goss <sup>(4)</sup> 1031 Railroad Street Suite 102B Elko, NV 89801	500,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	1.08%
Directors and Executive Officers as a Group	7,500,000 Common Shares	8.68%
John Hiner 9443 Axlund Road Lynden, WA 98264	9,970,000 Common Shares	10.83%
Altura Lithium Pty. Ltd. P.O. Box 4088 Springfield, Qld., 4300 Australia	11,000,000 Common Shares	11.94%
Shareholders Holding Over 5%	20,970,000 Common Shares	22.77%

Under Rule 13d-3, a beneficial owner of a security includes any person who, directly or indirectly, through any contract, arrangement, understanding, relationship, or otherwise has or shares: (i) voting power, which includes the power to vote, or to direct the voting of shares; and (ii) investment power, which includes the power to dispose or direct the disposition of shares. Certain shares may be deemed to be beneficially owned by more than one person (if, for example, persons share the power to vote or the power to dispose of the shares). In addition, shares are deemed to be beneficially owned by a person if the person has the right to acquire the shares (for example, upon (1) exercise of an option) within 60 days of the date as of which the information is provided. In computing the percentage ownership of any person, the amount of shares outstanding is deemed to include the amount of shares beneficially owned by such person (and only such person) by reason of these acquisition rights. As a result, the percentage of outstanding shares of any person as shown in this table does not necessarily reflect the person's actual ownership or voting power with respect to the number of shares of common stock actually outstanding on March 15, 2016. As of March 15, 2016 there were 74,911,408 shares of our company's common stock issued and outstanding.

Tom Lewis acted as president, treasurer, secretary and director of our company since August 25, 2009. Mr. Lewis (2) resigned as president, treasurer and secretary of our company on August 13, 2014. Mr. Lewis resumed his positions as president, Chief Financial Officer and treasurer on February 7, 2017.

(3) James Brown was appointed as a director of our company on December 19, 2012.

Mr. Goss has acted as a director of our company since May 30, 2014 and was appointed as president, treasurer and (4) secretary of our company on August 13, 2014. Mr. Goss resigned as president, treasurer and secretary on February 7, 2017.

(5) Includes options to acquire 500,000 shares of common stock by Mr. Goss exercisable within 60 days.

### Changes in Control

We are unaware of any contract or other arrangement or provisions of our Articles or Bylaws the operation of which may at a subsequent date result in a change of control of our company. There are not any provisions in our Articles or Bylaws, the operation of which would delay, defer, or prevent a change in control of our company.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Except as disclosed herein, no director, executive officer, shareholder holding at least 5% of shares of our common stock, or any family member thereof, had any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction, or proposed transaction since the year ended December 31, 2017, in which the amount involved in the transaction exceeded or exceeds the lesser of \$120,000 or one percent of the average of our total assets at the year-end for the last three completed fiscal years.

Director Independence

We currently act with three directors, consisting of Tom Lewis, James Brown and Brian Goss.

We have determined that James Brown is an independent director, as that term is used in Rule 4200(a)(15) of the Rules of National Association of Securities Dealers.

Currently our audit committee consists of our entire board of directors. We currently do not have nominating, compensation committees or committees performing similar functions. There has not been any defined policy or procedure requirements for shareholders to submit recommendations or nomination for directors.

From inception to present date, we believe that the members of our audit committee and the board of directors have been and are collectively capable of analyzing and evaluating our financial statements and understanding internal controls and procedures for financial reporting.

#### Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The aggregate fees billed for the most recently completed fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 and for fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for the audit of our annual financial statements and review of the financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for these fiscal periods were as follows:

	Year Ended	
	December	
	31,	December
	2017	31, 2016
Audit Fees	\$ 15,800	\$ 15,350
Audit Related Fees	Nil	Nil
Tax Fees	Nil	Nil
All Other Fees	Nil	Nil
Total	\$ 15,800	\$ 15,350

Our board of directors pre-approves all services provided by our independent auditors. All of the above services and fees were reviewed and approved by the board of directors either before or after the respective services were rendered.

Our board of directors has considered the nature and amount of fees billed by our independent auditors and believes that the provision of services for activities unrelated to the audit is compatible with maintaining our independent auditors' independence.

#### PART IV

#### Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

##### (a) Financial Statements

(1) Financial statements for our company are listed in the index under Item 8 of this document.

(2) All financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, not material or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

(b)Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
(3)	Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws
3.1	<u>Articles of Incorporation</u> (Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on December 21, 2007)
3.2	<u>Bylaws</u> (Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on December 21, 2007)
3.3	<u>Articles of Merger</u> (Incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 2, 2009)
3.4	<u>Certificate of Change</u> (Incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 2, 2009)
(4)	Instruments Defining the Rights of Security Holders, Including Indentures
4.1	<u>2009 Stock Option Plan</u> (Incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 30, 2009)
(10)	Material Contracts
10.1	<u>Lease Purchase Agreement dated June 1, 2009 between Nevada Lithium Corporation, Nevada Mining Co., Inc., Robert Craig, Barbara Craig and Elizabeth Dickman.</u> (Incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 26, 2009)
10.3	<u>Mining Option Agreement dated April 15, 2013 between our company and Thomas Lewis</u> (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 22, 2013)
10.4	<u>Mining Claim Sale Agreement dated June 6, 2013 between our company and Herb Hyder</u> (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 12, 2013)
10.5	<u>Trust Agreement dated August 30, 2013 between our company and Tom Lewis</u> (incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 7, 2013)
10.6	<u>Operating Agreement dated effective April 23, 2014 between our company, All American Resources, L.L.C. and TY &amp; Sons Investments Inc.</u> (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 29, 2014)
10.7	<u>Asset Purchase Agreement dated August 15, 2014 between our company and Pathion, Inc.</u> (incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 7, 2014)
10.8	<u>Exploration Earn-In Agreement dated effective February 10, 2016 between our company and 1032701 B.C. Ltd.</u> (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 15, 2016)

Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

- 10.9 Exploration Earn-In Agreement dated effective February 10, 2016 between our company, 1067323 Nevada Ltd. and 1067323 B.C. Ltd. (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 11, 2016)
- 10.10 Property Acquisition Agreement dated May 13, 2016 between Lithium Royalty Corp (a 100% owned subsidiary of our company) and 1069934 B.C. Ltd.

50

---



Edgar Filing: Lithium Corp - Form 10-K

Exhibit Number	Description
10.11	Letter Agreement Dated February 9, 2017 between our company, and Nevada Sunrise Gold Corp.
10.12	Letter Agreement Dated March 1, 2017 between our company, and Bormal Resources Inc.
(14)	Code of Ethics
14.1	<u>Code of Business Conduct and Ethics</u> (incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on April 15, 2013)
(21)	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
21.1	Nevada Lithium Corporation, a Nevada corporation
(31)	Rule 13a-14 (d)/15d-14d Certifications
31.1*	Section 302 Certification by the Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer
(32)	Section 1350 Certifications
32.1*	Section 906 Certification by the Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer
101*	Interactive Data File
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

\*Filed herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereto duly authorized.

LITHIUM CORPORATION  
(Registrant)

Dated: April 5, 2018 /s/ Tom Lewis  
Tom Lewis  
President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer, Secretary and Director  
(Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Dated: April 5, 2018 /s/ Tom Lewis  
Tom Lewis  
President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer, Secretary and Director  
(Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

Dated: April 5, 2018 /s/ Brian Goss  
Brian Goss  
Director

Dated: April 5, 2018 /s/ James Brown  
James Brown  
Director