MODEL N, INC. Form SC 13D December 10, 2018

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 13D*

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Model N, Inc. (Name of Issuer)

Common Stock, par value \$0.00015 per share (Title of Class of Securities)

607525102 (CUSIP Number)

Joshua M. Schwartz c/o The Law Office of Matthew J. Day PLLC 120 W. 45th Street, Suite 3600 New York, NY 10036 (917) 836-7361

With a copy to:

The Law Office of Matthew J. Day PLLC 120 W. 45th Street, Suite 3600 New York, NY 10036 Attn: Matthew J. Day, Esq. (212) 673-0484 (Name, Address and Telephone Number of Person Authorized to Receive Notices and Communications)

February 7, 2018

(Date of Event which Requires Filing of this Statement)

If the filing person has previously filed a statement on Schedule 13G to report the acquisition that is the subject of this Schedule 13D, and is filing this schedule because of §§240.13d-1(e), 240.13d-1(f) or 240.13d-1(g), check the following box.

Note: Schedules filed in paper format shall include a signed original and five copies of the schedule, including all exhibits. See Rule 13d-7 for other parties to whom copies are to be sent.

* The remainder of this cover page shall be filled out for a reporting person's initial filing on this form with respect to the subject class of securities, and for any subsequent amendment containing information which would alter disclosures provided in a prior cover page.

The information required on the remainder of this cover page shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act (however, see the Notes).

Schedule 13D CUSIP No. 607525102 Page 2 of 16 NAME OF REPORTING PERSON. 1 Joshua Schwartz CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF (a) A MEMBER OF A GROUP. 2 (b) SEC USE ONLY. 3 SOURCE OF FUNDS. 4 PF CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED 5 PURSUANT TO ITEM 2(D) OR 2(E). CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION. 6 United States of America SOLE VOTING POWER. 7 91,000 NUMBER OF **SHARES** SHARED VOTING POWER. **BENEFICIALLY** OWNED BY -0-**EACH** REPORTING SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER. PERSON WITH 91,000

> AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON.

10

11

SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER.

91,000

12	CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES
13	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11) Less than 1%.
14	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON. IN

Schedule 13D

CUSIP No. 607525102

Page 3 of 16

	Page 5 01 10	
	NAME OF REPORTING PERSON.	
1	JL Nominees Pty Ltd in its capacity as trustee for Justin Liberman Family Trust	
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP.	(a)
2		(b)
3	SEC USE ONLY.	
	SOURCE OF FUNDS.	
4	WC	
5	CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEM 2(D) OR 2(E).	
6	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION. State of Victoria, Australia	
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY	SOLE VOTING POWER. 7 -0- SHARED VOTING POWER. 8 396,652	
EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER. 9 -0-	
	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER. 10 396,652	
11	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON.	

CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES

12 CERTAIN SHARES

396,652

PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN

13 ROW (11)

1.3%

TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON.

14 OO

Schedule 13D

CUSIP No. 607525102

Page 4 of 16

NAME OF REPORTING PERSON. 1 Justin Liberman CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF (a) A MEMBER OF A GROUP. 2 (b) SEC USE ONLY. 3 SOURCE OF FUNDS. 4 AF CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED 5 PURSUANT TO ITEM 2(D) OR 2(E). CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION. 6 Australia SOLE VOTING POWER. 7 -0-NUMBER OF **SHARES** SHARED VOTING POWER. **BENEFICIALLY** OWNED BY 396,652 **EACH** REPORTING SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER. PERSON WITH -0-SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER. 10 396,652 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH 11 REPORTING PERSON.

396,652

12	CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES
13	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11) 1.3%
14	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON. IN

(a)

Schedule 13D

CUSIP No. 607525102

Page 5 of 16

NAME OF REPORTING PERSON. 1 LL Nominees Pty Ltd in its capacity as trustee for Laini Liberman Family Trust CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF

A MEMBER OF A GROUP.

(b)

SEC USE ONLY.

3

2

SOURCE OF FUNDS.

4

WC

CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEM 2(D) OR 2(E).

> CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION.

6

5

State of Victoria, Australia

NUMBER OF **SHARES BENEFICIALLY**

SOLE VOTING POWER.

OWNED BY **EACH** REPORTING PERSON WITH

-0-

SHARED VOTING POWER.

8

7

571,021

SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER.

9

-0-

SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER.

571,021

AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON.

571,021

```
22,543
11
19,798
Impairment and other lease charges (Note 4)
286
706
508
2,336
Other expense (income) (Note 12)
1,479
(166
1,035
(126
Total operating expenses
227,472
206,744
443,311
404,376
```

Income from operations

13,896

```
12,358
20,576
7,896
Interest expense
4,520
4,700
9,055
9,514
Loss on extinguishment of debt (Note 6)
12,635
12,635
Income (loss) before income taxes
9,376
(4,977
11,521
(14,253
Provision (benefit) for income taxes (Note 7)
```

```
Net income (loss)
9,376
(4,977
11,521
$
(14,253
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share (Note 11)
0.21
$
(0.14
0.25
$
(0.41)
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding
44,818,528
34,899,019
44,849,707
34,890,661
```

Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:

```
Net income (loss)
9,376
(4,977
11,521
(14,253
Other comprehensive income (loss)
Comprehensive income (loss)
9,376
(4,977
11,521
(14,253
```

)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CARROLS RESTAURANT GROUP, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS SIX MONTHS ENDED JULY 3, 2016 AND JUNE 28, 2015 (In thousands of dollars) (Unaudited)

,		Six Mont	hs Ended	
		July 3,	June 28,	
		2016	2015	
Cash flows provide	ded from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)		\$11,521	\$(14,253)
Adjustments to re	econcile net income (loss) to net cash provided from operating activities:			
(Gain) loss on dis	posals of property and equipment	(63)	134	
Stock-based comp	pensation	1,171	704	
Impairment and o	ther lease charges	508	2,336	
Depreciation and	amortization	22,543	19,798	
Amortization of c	leferred financing costs	395	468	
Amortization of c	leferred gains from sale-leaseback transactions	(904)	(896)
Loss on extinguis	hment of debt	_	12,635	
Change in refund	able income taxes	_	2,416	
Changes in other	operating assets and liabilities	(6,886)	6,673	
Net cash provided	d from operating activities	28,285	30,015	
Cash flows used t	for investing activities:			
Capital expenditu	res:			
New restaurant de	evelopment	(3,261)	(19)
Restaurant remod	eling	(26,085)	(19,689	
Other restaurant of	capital expenditures	(8,531)	(4,632)
Corporate and res	staurant information systems	(1,629)		
Total capital expe	enditures	(39,506)	(25,648	
Acquisition of res	staurants, net of cash acquired	(19,207))
Proceeds from sal	le of other assets		534	
Properties purcha	sed for sale-leaseback	_	(1,991)
Proceeds from sal	le-leaseback transactions	17,687	3,136	
Proceeds from ins	surance recoveries	1,016		
Net cash used for	investing activities	(40,010)	(24,774)
Cash flows provide	ded from (used for) financing activities			
Proceeds from iss	suance of 8% senior secured second lien notes		200,000	
Redemption of 11	1.25% senior secured second lien notes		(159,771)
Borrowings under	r senior credit facility	12,750		
Repayments unde	er senior credit facility	(12,750)		
Principal paymen	ts on capital leases	(716)	(625)
Financing costs a	ssociated with issuance of debt	(102))
Net cash provided	from (used for) financing activities	(818)	34,542	
Net increase (dec	rease) in cash	(12,543)	39,783	
Cash, beginning of	of period	22,274	21,221	
Cash, end of perio		\$9,731	\$61,004	
Supplemental dis-				
Interest paid on lo		\$8,608	\$8,268	
	ease financing obligations	\$52	\$52	
Accruals for capit		\$4,453	\$2,698	
1	•	*	*	

Income taxes refunded \$— \$2,416 Capital lease obligations acquired or incurred \$263 \$—

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements. 5

Table of Contents
CARROLS RESTAURANT GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of dollars except share and per share amounts)

1. Basis of Presentation

Business Description. At July 3, 2016 Carrols Restaurant Group, Inc. ("Carrols Restaurant Group") operated, as franchisee, 723 restaurants under the trade name "Burger King ®" in 16 Northeastern, Midwestern and Southeastern states.

Basis of Consolidation. Carrols Restaurant Group is a holding company and conducts all of its operations through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Carrols Corporation ("Carrols") and Carrols' wholly-owned subsidiary, Carrols LLC, a Delaware limited liability company. The unaudited consolidated financial statements presented herein include the accounts of Carrols Restaurant Group and its wholly-owned subsidiary Carrols.

Unless the context otherwise requires, Carrols Restaurant Group, Carrols and Carrols LLC are collectively referred to as the "Company." All intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Fiscal Year. The Company uses a 52-53 week fiscal year ending on the Sunday closest to December 31. The fiscal year ended January 3, 2016 contained 53 weeks. The three and six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015 each contained thirteen and twenty-six weeks, respectively. The 2016 fiscal year will end January 1, 2017 and will contain 52 weeks.

Basis of Presentation. The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015 have been prepared without an audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and do not include certain of the information and the footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all normal and recurring adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of such unaudited consolidated financial statements have been included. The results of operations for the three and six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2016. The January 3, 2016 consolidated balance sheet data is derived from those audited financial statements. Use of Estimates. The preparation of the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the unaudited consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Significant items subject to such estimates include: accrued occupancy costs, insurance liabilities, evaluation for impairment of goodwill, long-lived assets and franchise rights, lease accounting matters, the valuation of assets and liabilities acquired and the valuation of deferred income tax assets. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Segment Information. Operating segments are components of an entity for which separate financial information is available and is regularly reviewed by the chief operating decision maker in order to allocate resources and assess performance. The Company's chief operating decision maker currently evaluates the Company's operations from a number of different operational perspectives; however resource allocation decisions are made at a total-Company basis. The Company derives all significant revenues from a single operating segment. Accordingly, the Company views the operating results of its Burger King restaurants as one reportable segment.

Business Combinations. The Company allocates the purchase price of an acquired business to its net identifiable assets and liabilities based on the estimated fair values. The excess of the purchase price over the amount allocated to the assets and liabilities, if any, is recorded as goodwill. The Company uses all available information to estimate fair values of identifiable intangible assets and property acquired. In making these determinations, the Company may engage an independent third party valuation specialist to assist with the valuation of certain leasehold improvements, franchise rights and favorable and unfavorable leases.

CARROLS RESTAURANT GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)
(in thousands of dollars except share and per share amounts)

The Company estimates that the seller's carrying value of acquired restaurant equipment, subject to certain adjustments, and restaurant equipment subject to capital leases is equivalent to fair value of this equipment at the date of the acquisitions. The fair values of assumed franchise agreements are valued as if the remaining term of the agreement is at the market rate. The fair values of acquired land, buildings and certain leasehold improvements are determined using both the cost approach and market approach. The fair value of the favorable and unfavorable leases acquired, as well as the fair value of land, buildings and leasehold improvements acquired, is measured using significant inputs observable in the open market. The Company categorizes all such inputs as Level 2 inputs under ASC 820. The fair value of acquired franchise rights is primarily determined using the income approach. Fair Value of Financial Instruments. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. In determining fair value, the accounting standards establish a three level hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value as follows: Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; Level 2 inputs are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, including quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities; and Level 3 inputs are unobservable and reflect our own assumptions. Financial instruments include cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and long-term debt. The carrying amounts of cash, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of these financial instruments. The fair value of the Carrols Restaurant Group 8.0% Senior Secured Second Lien Notes due 2022 is based on a recent trading value, which is considered Level 2, and at July 3, 2016 was approximately \$217.5 million.

Fair value measurements of non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities are primarily used in the impairment analysis of long-lived assets and intangible assets. Long-lived assets and definite-lived intangible assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis using Level 3 inputs. Goodwill is reviewed annually for impairment on the last day of the fiscal year, or more frequently if impairment indicators arise.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements. In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842), which requires the recognition of lease assets and lease liabilities on the balance sheet and the disclosure of key information about leasing arrangements. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018 and interim periods within those fiscal years with early adoption permitted. The ASU requires a modified retrospective approach to implementation for leases existing at or subsequent to the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of this standard will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting, which to simplifies certain elements of accounting for employee share-based payment transactions, including income tax consequences, the classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. This ASU is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of this standard will have on its consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(in thousands of dollars except share and per share amounts)

2. Acquisitions

In 2012, as part of an acquisition of restaurants from Burger King Corporation ("BKC") the Company was assigned BKC's right of first refusal on franchisee restaurant sales in 20 states (the "ROFR"). Since the beginning of 2015, the Company has acquired an aggregate of 73 restaurants from other franchisees in the following transactions:

			Number of	
Clasina Data	Number of	Purchase	Fee-Owned	Market I costion
Closing Date	Restaurants	Price	Restaurants	Market Location
			(1)	
2015:				
March 31, 2015	4	\$794		Northern Vermont
August 4, 2015	5	663		Charlotte, North Carolina
October 1, 2015	(2)5	5,044	1	Wheeling, West Virginia
October 20, 2015	1	709		Kalamazoo, Michigan
November 17, 2015	2	618		Evansville, Indiana
November 17, 2015	(2)6	10,945	5	Evansville, Indiana
December 1, 2015	(2)23	26,175	10	Detroit, Michigan
December 8, 2015	9	7,802		Northern New Jersey
	55	52,750	16	
2016:				
February 23, 2016	(2) 12	7,127		Scranton/Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania
May 25, 2016	6	12,080	5	Detroit, Michigan
	18	19,207	5	-
Total 2015 and 2016	73	\$71,957	21	

The 2015 and 2016 acquisitions included the purchase of 21 fee-owned restaurants. Three of these fee-owned restaurants were sold in sale-leaseback transactions during 2015 for net proceeds of \$4.3 million and an additional twelve fee-owned restaurants were sold in sale-leaseback transactions in the first six months of 2016 for net proceeds of \$17.7 million. Five of the remaining fee-owned restaurants at July 3, 2016 are expected to be sold in

sale-leaseback transactions during the remaining fee-owned restaurants at July 3, 2016 are expected to be sold in sale-leaseback transactions during the remainder of 2016, although there can be no assurance that such transactions will be completed in 2016 or at all.

(2) Acquisitions resulting from the exercise of the ROFR.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(in thousands of dollars except share and per share amounts)

The Company allocated the aggregate purchase price to the net tangible and intangible assets acquired in the acquisitions at their estimated fair values. The following table summarizes the allocation of the aggregate purchase price for the two 2016 acquisitions:

Inventory	\$178
Land and buildings	7,134
Restaurant equipment	393
Restaurant equipment - subject to capital lease	244
Leasehold improvements	1,070
Franchise fees	235
Franchise rights (Note 3)	10,133
Favorable leases (Note 3)	48
Goodwill (Note 3)	1,046
Capital lease obligations for restaurant equipment	(263)
Unfavorable leases (Note 3)	(1,011)
Net assets acquired	\$19,207

Goodwill recorded in connection with these acquisitions was attributable to the workforce of the acquired restaurants and synergies expected to arise from cost savings opportunities. Acquired goodwill that is expected to be deductible for income tax purposes was \$395 in the first six months of 2016.

The restaurants acquired in 2015 and 2016 contributed restaurant sales of \$23.6 million and \$42.3 million in the three and six months ended of 2016, respectively. It is impracticable to disclose net earnings for the post-acquisition period for the acquired restaurants as net earnings of these restaurants were not tracked on a collective basis due to the integration of administrative functions, including field supervision.

The unaudited pro forma impact on the results of operations for the restaurants acquired in 2015 and 2016 for the three and six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015 is included below. The unaudited pro forma results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the results that would have occurred had the acquisitions been consummated at the beginning of the periods presented, nor are they necessarily indicative of any future consolidated operating results. The following table summarizes the Company's unaudited pro forma operating results:

	Three Mo	nths Ended	Six Months Ended	
	July 3, June 28,		July 3,	June 28,
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Restaurant sales	\$242,572	\$243,150	\$469,083	\$458,054
Net income (loss)	\$9,751	\$(2,347)	\$12,537	\$(10,430)
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share	\$0.22	\$(0.07)	\$0.28	\$(0.30)

This unaudited pro forma financial information does not give effect to any anticipated synergies, operating efficiencies or cost savings or any transaction and integration costs related to the acquired restaurants.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(in thousands of dollars except share and per share amounts)

3. Intangible Assets

Goodwill. The Company is required to review goodwill for impairment annually, or more frequently, when events and circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may be impaired. If the determined fair value of goodwill is less than the related carrying amount, an impairment loss is recognized. The Company performs its annual impairment assessment as of the last day of its fiscal year and does not believe circumstances have changed since the last assessment date which would make it necessary to reassess its value. There have been no recorded goodwill impairment losses during the three and six months ended July 3, 2016 or June 28, 2015. The change in goodwill for the six months ended July 3, 2016 is summarized below:

Goodwill at January 3, 2016 \$20,438 Acquisitions of restaurants (Note 2) 1,046 Goodwill at July 3, 2016 \$21,484

Franchise Rights. Amounts allocated to franchise rights for each acquisition of Burger King restaurants are amortized using the straight-line method over the average remaining term of the acquired franchise agreements plus one twenty-year renewal period.

The Company assesses the potential impairment of franchise rights whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. If an indicator of impairment exists, an estimate of the aggregate undiscounted cash flows from the acquired restaurants is compared to the respective carrying value of franchise rights for each acquisition. If an asset is determined to be impaired, the loss is measured by the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over its fair value. No impairment charges were recorded related to the Company's franchise rights for the three and six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015. The change in franchise rights for the six months ended July 3, 2016 is summarized below:

Balance at January 3, 2016 \$118,881 Acquisitions of restaurants (Note 2) 10,133 Amortization expense (2,912) Balance at July 3, 2016 \$126,102

Amortization expense related to franchise rights was \$1.5 million and \$1.2 million for the three months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015, respectively and \$2.9 million and \$2.3 million for the six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015, respectively. The Company expects annual amortization expense to be \$5.9 million in 2016 and in each of the following five years.

Favorable and Unfavorable Leases. Amounts allocated to favorable and unfavorable leases are being amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining terms of the underlying lease agreements as a net reduction of restaurant rent expense. Additions to unfavorable lease liabilities from the 2016 acquisitions included in Note 2 totaled \$1.0 million for the six months ended July 3, 2016.

The net reduction of rent expense related to the amortization of favorable and unfavorable leases was \$0.2 million in each of the three months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015 and \$0.4 million in each of the six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015. The Company expects the net annual reduction of rent expense to be \$0.8 million in 2016, \$0.7 million in 2017 and 2018, \$0.6 million in 2019 and 2020, and \$0.5 million in 2021.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(in thousands of dollars except share and per share amounts)

4. Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Other Lease Charges

The Company reviews its long-lived assets, principally property and equipment, for impairment at the restaurant level. If an indicator of impairment exists for any of its assets, an estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows over the life of the primary asset for each restaurant is compared to that long-lived asset's carrying value. If the carrying value is greater than the undiscounted cash flow, the Company then determines the fair value of the asset and if an asset is determined to be impaired, the loss is measured by the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over its fair value. For closed restaurant locations, the Company reviews the future minimum lease payments and related ancillary costs from the date of the restaurant closure to the end of the remaining lease term and records a lease charge for the lease liabilities to be incurred, net of any estimated sublease recoveries.

The Company determined the fair value of restaurant equipment, for those restaurants reviewed for impairment, based on current economic conditions and the Company's history of using these assets in the operation of its business. These fair value asset measurements rely on significant unobservable inputs and are considered Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

During the three and six months ended July 3, 2016, the Company recorded asset impairment charges of \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, consisting primarily of capital expenditures at previously impaired restaurants. During the three months ended July 3, 2016, the Company reduced other lease charges by \$0.2 million due to favorable lease terminations for three of its previously closed restaurant properties.

During the three months ended June 28, 2015, the Company recorded other lease charges of \$0.3 million associated with the closure of two of the Company's restaurants in the second quarter of 2015 and asset impairment charges of \$0.3 million resulting from capital expenditures at previously impaired restaurants. During the six months ended June 28, 2015, the Company recorded other lease charges of \$1.5 million associated with the closure of ten of the Company's restaurants and asset impairment charges of \$0.8 million, including \$0.6 million of capital expenditures at previously impaired restaurants.

The following table presents the activity in the accrual for closed restaurant locations:

	Six Months Ended	Year Ended
	July 3,	January 3,
	2016	2016
Balance, beginning of the period	\$2,088	\$ 1,721
Provisions for restaurant closures		1,472
Changes in estimates of accrued costs	(210) (95)
Payments, net	(306)	(1,228)
Other adjustments, including the effect of discounting future obligations	80	218
Balance, end of the period	\$1,652	\$ 2,088

Changes in estimates of accrued costs primarily relate to revisions or terminations of certain closed restaurant leases, sublease income assumptions and other costs.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(in thousands of dollars except share and per share amounts)

5. Other Liabilities, Long-Term

Other liabilities, long-term, at July 3, 2016 and January 3, 2016 consisted of the following:

	July 3,	January 3,
	2016	2016
Accrued occupancy costs	\$11,268	\$ 10,473
Accrued workers' compensation and general liability claims	2,891	3,606
Deferred compensation	1,407	997
Long-term obligation to BKC for ROFR	_	190
Other	1,729	1,849
	\$17,295	\$ 17,115

Long-term accrued occupancy costs above include obligations pertaining to closed restaurant locations, contingent rent and accruals to expense operating lease rental payments on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

6. Long-term Debt

Long-term debt at July 3, 2016 and January 3, 2016 consisted of the following:

	July 3,	January 3,
	2016	2016
Collateralized:		
Carrols Restaurant Group 8% Senior Secured Second Lien Notes	\$200,000	\$200,000
Capital leases	7,546	8,006
	207,546	208,006
Less: current portion	(1,516)	(1,435)
Less: deferred financing costs	(4,172)	(4,529)
	\$201,858	\$202,042

8% Notes. On April 29, 2015, the Company issued \$200 million of 8.0% Senior Secured Second Lien Notes due 2022 (the "8% Notes") pursuant to an indenture dated as of April 29, 2015 governing such notes. The 8% Notes mature and are payable on May 1, 2022. Interest is payable semi-annually on May 1 and November 1. The 8% Notes are guaranteed by the Company's subsidiaries and are secured by second-priority liens on substantially all of the Company's and its subsidiaries' assets (including a pledge of all of the capital stock and equity interests of its subsidiaries). The Company recorded a loss on debt extinguishment of \$12.6 million in the second quarter of 2015 due to the repurchase and redemption of its prior 11.25% Senior Secured Second Lien Notes.

The 8% Notes are redeemable at the option of the Company in whole or in part at any time after May 1, 2018 at a price of 104% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, if redeemed before May 1, 2019, 102% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, if redeemed after May 1, 2019 but before May 1, 2020 and 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, if redeemed after May 1, 2020. Prior to May 1, 2018, the Company may redeem some or all of the 8% Notes at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount of each note plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, and a make-whole premium. In addition, the indenture governing the 8% Notes also provides that the Company may redeem up to 35% of the 8% Notes using the proceeds of certain equity offerings completed before May 15, 2018.

The 8% Notes are jointly and severally guaranteed, unconditionally and in full by the Company's subsidiaries which are directly or indirectly 100% owned by the Company. Separate condensed consolidating information is not included because Carrols Restaurant Group is a holding company that has no independent assets or operations. There are no significant restrictions on its ability or any of the guarantor subsidiaries' ability to obtain funds from its respective subsidiaries. All consolidated amounts in our financial statements are representative of the combined guarantors.

CARROLS RESTAURANT GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(in thousands of dollars except share and per share amounts)

The indenture governing the 8% Notes includes certain covenants, including limitations and restrictions on the Company and its subsidiaries who are guarantors under such indenture to, among other things: incur indebtedness or issue preferred stock; incur liens; pay dividends or make distributions in respect of capital stock or make certain other restricted payments or investments; sell assets; agree to payment restrictions affecting certain subsidiaries; enter into transaction with affiliates; or merge, consolidate or sell substantially all of the Company's assets.

The indenture governing the 8% Notes and the security agreement provide that any capital stock and equity interests of any of the Company's subsidiaries will be excluded from the collateral to the extent that the par value, book value or market value of such capital stock or equity interests exceeds 20% of the aggregate principal amount of the 8% Notes then outstanding.

The indenture governing the 8% Notes contains customary default provisions, including without limitation, a cross default provision pursuant to which it is an event of default under the 8% Notes and the indenture governing the 8% Notes if there is a default under any of the Company's indebtedness having an outstanding principal amount of \$20.0 million or more which results in the acceleration of such indebtedness prior to its stated maturity or is caused by a failure to pay principal when due.

Senior Credit Facility. On May 30, 2012, the Company entered into a senior credit facility, which was most recently amended on February 12, 2016 to provide for aggregate revolving credit borrowings of up to \$55.0 million (including \$20.0 million available for letters of credit) and to extend the maturity date to February 12, 2021. The senior credit facility also provides for potential incremental borrowing increases of up to \$25.0 million, in the aggregate. There were no revolving credit borrowings outstanding under the senior credit facility at July 3, 2016. After reserving \$13.4 million for letters of credit issued under the senior credit facility, \$41.6 million was available for revolving credit borrowings under the senior credit facility at July 3, 2016.

Borrowings under the senior credit facility bear interest at a rate per annum, at the Company's option, of:

- (i) the Alternate Base Rate plus the applicable margin of 1.75% to 2.75% based on the Company's Adjusted Leverage Ratio, or
- (ii) the LIBOR Rate plus the applicable margin of 2.75% to 3.75% based on the Company's Adjusted Leverage Ratio (all terms as defined under the senior credit facility).

At July 3, 2016 the Company's LIBOR Rate margin was 2.75% and the Alternate Base Rate margin was 1.75% based on the Company's Adjusted Leverage Ratio at the end of the first quarter of 2016.

The Company's obligations under the senior credit facility are guaranteed by its subsidiaries and are secured by first priority liens on substantially all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries, including a pledge of all of the capital stock and equity interests of its subsidiaries.

Under the senior credit facility, the Company is required to make mandatory prepayments of borrowings in the event of dispositions of assets, debt issuances and insurance and condemnation proceeds (all subject to certain exceptions). The senior credit facility contains certain covenants, including without limitation, those limiting the Company's and its subsidiaries' ability to, among other things, incur indebtedness, incur liens, sell or acquire assets or businesses, change the character of its business in all material respects, engage in transactions with related parties, make certain investments, make certain restricted payments or pay dividends. In addition, the senior credit facility requires the Company to meet certain financial ratios, including a Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio, Adjusted Leverage Ratio and First Lien Leverage Ratio (all as defined under the senior credit facility). The Company was in compliance with the covenants under its senior credit facility at July 3, 2016.

The senior credit facility contains customary default provisions, including that the lenders may terminate their obligation to advance and may declare the unpaid balance of borrowings, or any part thereof, immediately due and payable upon the occurrence and during the continuance of customary defaults which include, without limitation,

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(in thousands of dollars except share and per share amounts)

payment default, covenant defaults, bankruptcy type defaults, cross-defaults on other indebtedness, judgments or upon the occurrence of a change of control.

7. Income Taxes

The provision (benefit) for income taxes for the three and six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015 was comprised of the following:

	Three	C: M41
	Months	Six Months
	Ended	Ended
	July June 28,	July June 28,
	20162015	20162015
Current	\$—\$ —	\$—\$ —
Deferred	2,605(2,46)0	2,641(6,199)
Valuation allowance	(2,)6025,460	(2,646,199
	\$—\$ —	\$—\$ —

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amount used for income tax purposes.

Since 2014, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance on all of its net deferred income tax assets. The Company performs an ongoing assessment of positive and negative evidence regarding the realization of its deferred income tax assets as required by ASC 740. Under ASC 740, the weight given to negative and positive evidence is commensurate only to the extent that such evidence can be objectively verified. ASC 740 also prescribes that objective historical evidence, in particular the Company's three-year cumulative loss position, be given greater weight than subjective evidence, including the Company's forecasts of future taxable income, which include assumptions that cannot be objectively verified. The Company determined, based on the required weight of that evidence under ASC 740, that a valuation allowance was still needed on all of its net deferred income tax assets at July 3, 2016. Consequently, the Company recorded no provision or benefit for income taxes in the three and six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015.

The Company decreased its valuation allowance by \$2.6 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, in the three and six months ended July 3, 2016 due primarily to the reduction of federal and state net operating loss carryforwards. For the three and six months ended June 28, 2015, the Company increased its valuation allowance by \$2.5 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, for its incremental net deferred income tax assets in the period. At July 3, 2016, the Company's valuation allowance on all its net deferred tax assets was \$28.0 million.

The Company's federal net operating loss carryforwards expire beginning in 2033. As of July 3, 2016, the Company had federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$48.6 million. The Company's state net operating loss carryforwards expire beginning in 2017 through 2034.

The estimation of future taxable income for federal and state purposes and the Company's ability to realize deferred tax assets can significantly change based on future events and operating results. Thus, recorded valuation allowances may be subject to future changes that could have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements. If the Company determines that it is more likely than not that it will realize these deferred tax assets in the future, the Company will make an adjustment to the valuation allowance at that time.

The Company's policy is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. At July 3, 2016 and January 3, 2016, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits and no accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions. The tax years 2012 - 2015 remain open to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions to which the Company is subject. Although it is not reasonably possible to estimate the amount by which unrecognized tax benefits may increase within the next twelve months due to the uncertainties regarding the timing of any examinations, the Company does not expect unrecognized tax benefits to significantly change in the next twelve

months.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(in thousands of dollars except share and per share amounts)

8. Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation expense was \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million in the three months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015, respectively and \$1.2 million and \$0.7 million in the six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015, respectively.

A summary of all non-vested shares activity for the six months ended July 3, 2016 was as follows:

Weighted

Shares Average Grant Date

Price

Non-vested at January 3, 2016 468,770 \$ 7.40 Granted 327,140 12.28 Vested (83,692) 8.01 Non-vested at July 3, 2016 712,218 \$ 9.57

The fair value of non-vested shares is based on the closing price on the date of grant. The weighted average grant date fair value for non-vested shares issued during the three months ended July 3, 2016 was \$12.29. As of July 3, 2016, the total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense was approximately \$5.3 million, which the Company expects to recognize over a remaining weighted average vesting period for non-vested shares of 2.6 years. The Company expects to record an additional \$0.9 million as compensation expense for the remainder of 2016.

9. Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Guarantees. Fiesta Restaurant Group, Inc. ("Fiesta"), a former wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, was spun-off in 2012 to the Company's stockholders. As of July 3, 2016, the Company is a guarantor under 27 Fiesta restaurant property leases, with lease terms expiring on various dates through 2030, and is the primary lessee on five Fiesta restaurant property leases, which it subleases to Fiesta. The Company is fully liable for all obligations under the terms of the leases in the event that Fiesta fails to pay any sums due under the lease, subject to indemnification provisions of the Separation and Distribution Agreement entered into in connection with the spin-off of Fiesta. The maximum potential amount of future undiscounted rental payments the Company could be required to make under these leases at July 3, 2016 was \$27.6 million. The obligations under these leases will generally continue to decrease over time as these operating leases expire. No payments related to these guarantees have been made by the Company to date and none are expected to be required to be made in the future. The Company has not recorded a liability for these guarantees in accordance with ASC 460 - Guarantees as Fiesta has indemnified the Company for all such obligations and the Company did not believe it was probable it would be required to perform under any of the guarantees or direct obligations.

Litigation. On August 21, 2012 Alan Vituli, the Company's former chairman and chief executive officer, filed an action in the Superior Court of the State of Delaware (the "Court") against the Company and Carrols. On October 1, 2013, Mr. Vituli filed an amended and supplemental complaint (the "Amended Complaint") which, among other things, sought damages in excess of \$3.55 million in the aggregate, unspecified consequential and punitive damages, attorney's fees and costs and various requests for declaratory judgment all in connection with Mr. Vituli's departure as chief executive officer, chairman, and director of Carrols Restaurant Group and Carrols and as nonexecutive chairman and director of Fiesta Restaurant Group, Inc., now an independent public company, but the Company's indirect wholly owned subsidiary for the time period at issue in the Amended Complaint. At the direction of the Court, the Company, Carrols, and Mr. Vituli, being all of the remaining parties to the litigation, agreed to explore the possibility of a mediated settlement of the litigation. On July 28, 2016 and July 29, 2016 the Company, Carrols, and Mr. Vituli participated in a mediation whereby the parties agreed to fully resolve all of Mr. Vituli's claims in the lawsuit for a total payment by the Company of \$2.0 million. The Company has accrued \$1.85 million in the second quarter of 2016 for this settlement which is net of an estimated contribution from its insurance carrier and is reflected in other income

(expense) in the accompanying unaudited consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss). Upon execution of releases and

CARROLS RESTAURANT GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(in thousands of dollars except share and per share amounts)

payment of the settlement amount, the litigation will be dismissed, which the Company anticipates occurring in due course.

The Company is a party to various other litigation matters that arise in the ordinary course of business. The Company does not believe that the outcome of any of these other matters meet the disclosure or recognition standards, nor will they have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial statements.

10. Transactions with Related Parties

In connection with an acquisition of restaurants from BKC in 2012, the Company issued to BKC 100 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock which is convertible into 9,414,580 shares of Carrols Restaurant Group Common Stock, which currently constitutes approximately 20.8% of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock on a fully diluted basis. Pursuant to the terms of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, BKC also has two representatives on the Company's board of directors.

Each of the Company's restaurants operates under a separate franchise agreement with BKC. These franchise agreements generally provide for an initial term of twenty years and currently have an initial franchise fee of fifty thousand dollars. Any franchise agreement, including renewals, can be extended at the Company's discretion for an additional twenty-year term, with BKC's approval, provided that among other things, the restaurant meets the current Burger King image standard and the Company is not in default under terms of the franchise agreement. In addition to the initial franchise fee, the Company generally pays BKC a monthly royalty at a rate of 4.5% of sales. Royalty expense was \$10.2 million and \$9.2 million in the three months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015, respectively, and was \$19.6 million and \$17.4 million in the six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015, respectively. The Company is also generally required to contribute 4% of restaurant sales from its restaurants to an advertising fund utilized by BKC for its advertising, promotional programs and public relations activities, and additional amounts for participation in local advertising campaigns in markets that approve such additional spending. Advertising expense related to BKC was \$10.5 million and \$8.2 million in the three months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015, respectively, and was \$19.5 million and \$15.3 million in the six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015, respectively.

As of July 3, 2016, the Company leased 286 of its restaurant locations from BKC and for 159 of these locations the terms and conditions of the lease with BKC are identical to those between BKC and the third-party lessor. Aggregate rent related to BKC leases for the three months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015 was \$7.1 million and \$7.3 million, respectively, and was \$14.5 million and \$14.6 million in the six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015, respectively. The Company believes the related party lease terms have not been significantly affected by the fact that the Company and BKC are deemed related parties.

As of July 3, 2016, the Company owed BKC \$0.6 million associated with its purchase of the ROFR and \$6.9 million related to the payment of advertising, royalties and rent, which is remitted on a monthly basis.

11. Net Income (Loss) per Share

The Company applies the two-class method to calculate and present net income (loss) per share. The Company's non-vested share awards and Series A Convertible Preferred Stock issued to BKC contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends and are considered participating securities for purposes of computing net income (loss) per share pursuant to the two-class method. Under the two-class method, net earnings are reduced by the amount of dividends declared (whether paid or unpaid) and the remaining undistributed earnings are then allocated to common stock and participating securities, based on their respective rights to receive dividends. As the Company incurred net losses for the three and six months ended June 28, 2015 and those losses are not allocated to the participating securities under the two-class method, such method is not applicable for the aforementioned interim reporting periods.

Basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the

Basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the reporting period. Diluted net income

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(in thousands of dollars except share and per share amounts)

(loss) per share reflects additional shares of common stock outstanding, where applicable, calculated using the treasury stock method or the two-class method.

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share:

	Three Months Ended		Six Mont	hs Ended
	July 3,	June 28,	July 3,	June 28,
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Basic net income (loss) per share:				
Net income (loss)	\$9,376	\$ (4,977)	\$11,521	\$(14,253)
Less: Income attributable to non-vested shares	(148)		(180)	
Less: Income attributable to preferred stock	(1,951)		(2,398)	
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	\$7,277	\$ (4,977)	\$8,943	\$(14,253)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	35,117,4	163 4,899,019	35,109,61	3 4,890,661
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$0.21	\$ (0.14)	\$0.25	\$(0.41)
Diluted net income (loss) per share:				
Net income (loss)	\$9,376	\$ (4,977)	\$11,521	\$(14,253)
Shares used in computing basic net income (loss) per share	35,117,4	163 4,899,019	35,109,61	3 4,890,661
Dilutive effect of preferred stock and non-vested shares	9,701,06	55—	9,740,097	' <u> </u>
Shares used in computing diluted net income (loss) per share	44,818,5	5 28 4,899,019	44,849,70	734,890,661
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$0.21	\$ (0.14)	\$0.25	\$(0.41)
Shares excluded from diluted net income (loss) per share computations (1)		10,019,107	_	10,019,107
		1 1 1 0	. 1	

Shares issuable upon conversion of preferred stock and non-vested shares were excluded from the computation of diluted net income (loss) per share because their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

12. Other Expense (Income)

In the three months ended July 3, 2016, the Company recorded a gain of \$0.5 million related to an insurance recovery from a fire at one of its restaurants and expense of \$1.85 million related to an agreement to settle and resolve the ongoing litigation with its former Chairman and CEO. Additionally, in the six months ended July 3, 2016, the Company recorded a gain \$0.5 million related to a settlement for a partial condemnation on one of its operating restaurant properties.

ITEM 2—MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Throughout this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we refer to Carrols Restaurant Group, Inc. as "Carrols Restaurant Group" and, together with our consolidated subsidiaries, as "we", "our" and "us" unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires. Any reference to "Carrols" refers to our wholly-owned subsidiary, Carrols Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiary, unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires. Any reference to "Carrols LLC" refers to Carrols' wholly-owned subsidiary, Carrols LLC, a Delaware limited liability company.

Table of Contents

We use a 52-53 week fiscal year ending on the Sunday closest to December 31. The three and six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015 each contained thirteen and twenty-six weeks, respectively. Our fiscal year ending January 1, 2017 will contain 52 weeks and our fiscal year ended January 3, 2016 contained 53 weeks. Introduction

We are a holding company and conduct all of our operations through our direct and indirect subsidiaries and have no assets other than the shares of capital stock of Carrols, our direct wholly-owned subsidiary. The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (or "MD&A") is written to help the reader understand our company. The MD&A is provided as a supplement to, and should be read in conjunction with our unaudited interim Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying financial statement notes appearing elsewhere in this report and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2016. The overview provides our perspective on the individual sections of MD&A, which include the following:

Company Overview—a general description of our business and our key financial measures.

Recent and Future Events Affecting Our Results of Operations—a description of recent events that affect, and future events that may affect, our results of operations.

Results from Operations—an analysis of our results of operations for the three and six months ended July 3, 2016 compared to the three and six months ended June 28, 2015 including a review of material items and known trends and uncertainties.

Liquidity and Capital Resources—an analysis of historical information regarding our sources of cash and capital expenditures, the existence and timing of commitments and contingencies, changes in capital resources and a discussion of cash flow items affecting liquidity.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies—an overview of accounting policies requiring critical judgments and estimates.

Effects of New Accounting Standards—a discussion of new accounting standards and any implications related to our financial statements.

Forward Looking Statements—cautionary information about forward-looking statements and a description of certain risks and projections.

Company Overview

We are one of the largest restaurant companies in the United States and have been operating restaurants for more than 50 years. We are the largest Burger King® franchisee in the United States, based on number of restaurants, and have operated Burger King restaurants since 1976. As of July 3, 2016, our restaurant operations consisted of 723 franchised Burger King restaurants in 16 states.

In 2012, as part of an acquisition of restaurants from Burger King Corporation ("BKC") we were assigned BKC's right of first refusal on franchisee restaurant sales in 20 states (the "ROFR"). In the first six months of 2016 we acquired 18 restaurants, twelve in the Scranton-Wilkes Barre, Pennsylvania market and six in the Detroit, Michigan market.

During the year ended January 3, 2016 we acquired 55 restaurants in eight separate transactions and during the year.

During the year ended January 3, 2016 we acquired 55 restaurants in eight separate transactions and during the year ended December 28, 2014 we acquired 123 restaurants in five separate transactions.

For 2016, we have modified our groupings of restaurants for reporting and analysis purposes. We refer to our restaurants acquired in 2014, 2015 and 2016 as our "acquired restaurants". All of our other restaurants, including restaurants acquired before 2014, are referred to as our "legacy restaurants".

The following is an overview of the key financial measures discussed in our results of operations:

Restaurant sales consist of food and beverage sales at our restaurants, net of discounts and excluding sales tax collected. Restaurant sales are influenced by changes in comparable restaurant sales, menu price increases,

Table of Contents

acquisitions, new restaurant development and closures of restaurants. Restaurants, including restaurants we acquire, are included in comparable restaurant sales after they have been open for 12 months. For comparative purposes, the calculation of the changes in comparable restaurant sales is based on either a 52-week or 53-week year.

Cost of sales consists of food, paper and beverage costs including packaging costs, less purchase discounts. Cost of sales is generally influenced by changes in commodity costs, the mix of items sold, promotional discounting and the effectiveness of our restaurant-level controls to manage food and paper costs.

Restaurant wages and related expenses include all restaurant management and hourly productive labor costs and related benefits, employer payroll taxes and restaurant-level bonuses. Payroll and related benefits are subject to inflation, including minimum wage increases and increased costs for health insurance, workers' compensation insurance and federal and state unemployment insurance.

Restaurant rent expense includes base rent and contingent rent on our leases characterized as operating leases, the amortization of acquired favorable and unfavorable leases and is reduced by the amortization of deferred gains on sale-leaseback transactions.

Other restaurant operating expenses include all other restaurant-level operating costs, the major components of which are royalty expenses paid to BKC, utilities, repairs and maintenance, real estate taxes and credit card fees.

Advertising expense includes advertising payments to BKC based on a percentage of sales as required under our franchise agreements and additional marketing and promotional expenses in certain of our markets. General and administrative expenses are comprised primarily of (1) salaries and expenses associated with corporate and administrative functions that support the development, growth and operations of our restaurants, (2) legal, auditing and other professional fees (3) acquisition costs and (4) stock-based compensation expense.

EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, Restaurant-Level EBITDA and Adjusted net income. EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, Restaurant-Level EBITDA and Adjusted net income are non-GAAP financial measures. EBITDA represents net income or loss, before provision or benefit for income taxes, interest expense and depreciation and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA represents EBITDA as adjusted to exclude impairment and other lease charges, acquisition costs, loss on extinguishment of debt, stock compensation expense and non-recurring income or expense. Restaurant-Level EBITDA represents income or loss from operations adjusted to exclude general and administrative expenses, depreciation and amortization, impairment and other lease charges and other income and expense. Adjusted net income represents net income or loss adjusted to exclude loss on extinguishment of debt, impairment and other lease charges, acquisition costs and non-recurring income and expense.

We are presenting Adjusted EBITDA, Restaurant-Level EBITDA and Adjusted net income because we believe that they provide a more meaningful comparison than EBITDA and net income or loss of our core business operating results, as well as with those of other similar companies. Additionally, we present Restaurant-Level EBITDA because it excludes the impact of general and administrative expenses and other income and expense which are not directly related to restaurant operations. Management believes that Adjusted EBITDA, Restaurant-Level EBITDA and Adjusted net income, when viewed with our results of operations in accordance with GAAP and the accompanying reconciliations on page 28, provide useful information about operating performance and period-over-period growth, and provide additional information that is useful for evaluating the operating performance of our core business without regard to potential distortions. Additionally, management believes that Adjusted EBITDA and Restaurant-Level EBITDA permit investors to gain an understanding of the factors and trends affecting our ongoing cash earnings, from which capital investments are made and debt is serviced.

However, EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, Restaurant-Level EBITDA and Adjusted net income are not measures of financial performance or liquidity under GAAP and, accordingly, should not be considered as alternatives to net income or loss, income or loss from operations or cash flow from operating activities as indicators of

operating performance or liquidity. Also, these measures may not be comparable to similarly titled captions of other companies. For a reconciliation between net income or loss to EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted net income and the reconciliation of income or loss from operations to Restaurant-Level EBITDA, see page 28.

EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, Restaurant-Level EBITDA and Adjusted net income have important limitations as analytical tools. These limitations include the following:

EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Restaurant-Level EBITDA do not reflect our capital expenditures, future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments to purchase capital equipment;

EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Restaurant-Level EBITDA do not reflect the interest expense or the cash requirements necessary to service principal or interest payments on our debt;

Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets that we currently depreciate and amortize will likely have to be replaced in the future, and EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Restaurant-Level EBITDA do not reflect the cash required to fund such replacements; and

EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, Restaurant-Level EBITDA and Adjusted net income do not reflect the effect of earnings or charges resulting from matters that our management does not consider to be indicative of our ongoing operations. In addition, some of these charges (such as impairment and other lease charges and acquisition and integration costs) have recurred and may reoccur.

Depreciation and amortization primarily includes the depreciation of fixed assets, including equipment, owned buildings and leasehold improvements utilized in our restaurants, the amortization of franchise rights resulting from our acquisitions of Burger King restaurants and the amortization of franchise fees paid to BKC.

Impairment and other lease charges are determined through our assessment of the recoverability of property and equipment and intangible assets by determining whether the carrying value of these assets can be recovered over their respective remaining lives through undiscounted future operating cash flows. A potential impairment charge is evaluated whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of these assets may not be fully recoverable. Lease charges are recorded for our obligations under the related leases for closed locations net of estimated sublease recoveries.

Interest expense consists primarily of interest expense associated with our \$200.0 million of 8% Senior Secured Second Lien Notes due 2022 (the "8% Notes"), amortization of deferred financing costs and interest on revolving credit borrowings under our senior secured credit facility.

Recent and Future Events Affecting our Results of Operations

Burger King Restaurant Acquisitions

From the beginning of 2015 through July 3, 2016, we have acquired 73 restaurants from other franchisees in the following transactions (\$ in thousands):

Closing Date	Number of Restaurants	Purchase Price	Number of Fee-Owned Restaurants	Market Location
2015:				
March 31, 2015	4	\$794		Northern Vermont
August 4, 2015	5	663		Charlotte, North Carolina
October 1, 2015	(1)5	5,044	1	Wheeling, West Virginia
October 20, 2015	1	709		Kalamazoo, Michigan
November 17, 2015	2	618		Evansville, Indiana
November 17, 2015	(1)6	10,945	5	Evansville, Indiana
December 1, 2015	(1)23	26,175	10	Detroit, Michigan
December 8, 2015	9	7,802		Northern New Jersey
	55	52,750	16	
2016:				
February 23, 2016	(1) 12	7,127		Scranton/Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania
May 25, 2016	6	12,080	5	Detroit, Michigan
	18	19,207	5	
	73	\$71,957	21	

⁽¹⁾ Acquisitions resulting from the exercise of our ROFR.

The 2015 and 2016 acquisitions included 21 fee-owned properties of which three were sold in sale-leaseback transactions in 2015 for net proceeds of \$4.3 million and twelve additional properties were sold in sale-leaseback transactions in the first six months of 2016 for net proceeds of \$17.7 million. Five of the remaining acquired fee-owned properties valued at \$7.1 million are expected to be sold in sale-leaseback transactions during the remainder of 2016, although there can be no assurance that all of such transactions will be completed in 2016 or at all. The unaudited pro forma impact on the results of operations for the 2015 and 2016 acquisitions is included below. The unaudited pro forma results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the results that would have occurred had the acquisitions been consummated at the beginning of the period presented, nor are they necessarily indicative of any future consolidated operating results. The following table summarizes certain unaudited pro forma financial information related to our operating results for the three and six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015:

	Three Mo	nths	Six Months Ended		
	Ended		SIX MOHUIS EHUCU		
	July 3,	June 28,	July 3,	June 28,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015	
Restaurant sales	\$242,572	\$243,150	\$469,083	\$458,054	
Income from operations	\$14,271	\$14,988	\$21,592	\$11,719	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$28,083	\$26,613	\$46,938	\$36,106	
2016 Capital Expenditur	res and Rei	modeling C	Commitme	nt with BKC	

On December 17, 2015, we and BKC entered into the Second Amendment to Operating Agreement (the "Amendment"), which amended the operating agreement and a subsequent amendment to the operating agreement, previously entered into between us and BKC in connection with an acquisition of restaurants from BKC in 2012. As

amended, the operating agreement requires that we will remodel to BKC's current 20/20 image a cumulative total of 455 restaurants by December 31, 2016. As of July 3, 2016 we had remodeled a total of 414 restaurants associated with this requirement. In addition, under a separate agreement we have agreed to remodel 46 of the restaurants acquired in 2014 over a five year period ending in 2018 and at July 3, 2016 we had remodeled a total of 25 restaurants associated with this agreement. At July 3, 2016 we had 475 restaurants with the 20/20 restaurant image including restaurants we have acquired.

Under the operating agreement, beginning on January 1, 2016 and until we exceed operating 1,000 Burger King restaurants, a minimum of 10% of our annual new restaurant growth (including acquisitions) must come from the development of new Burger King restaurants (which includes restaurants we relocate within their market area); provided that for 2016 only, any new restaurant development that would otherwise have been required to be opened in 2016 may be deferred and opened in 2017.

In 2016, we anticipate that total capital expenditures will range from \$85 million to \$90 million although the actual amount of capital expenditures may differ from these estimates. Capital expenditures in 2016 include remodeling 85 to 90 restaurants to the BKC 20/20 image standard at an approximate average cost of \$480,000 per restaurant, rebuilding 4 to 6 restaurants and the construction of 7 to 8 new restaurants (all of which will be relocations within their respective markets) at an average cost of \$1,200,000 per restaurant, which excludes the cost of land. Capital expenditures in 2016 also include approximately \$5 million to \$6 million for the replacement of certain restaurant-level back of house systems, kitchen equipment and other corporate-level expenditures, approximately \$10 million to \$11 million for ongoing restaurant maintenance capital expenditures and the net carryover of approximately \$13 million in 2016 for 2015 and 2016 remodeling projects that span two fiscal years. We will review on an ongoing basis our future remodel and development plans in relation to our available capital resources and alternate investment opportunities.

Refinancing of Indebtedness and Amendment to our Senior Credit Facility

On April 29, 2015, we issued \$200 million of the 8% Notes and used a portion of the net proceeds to repurchase and redeem all of our \$150 million of outstanding 11.25% Senior Secured Second Lien Notes and to pay related fees and expenses. We received net proceeds of approximately \$35.2 million which were used for working capital and general corporate purposes, capital expenditures to remodel our restaurants and subsequent restaurant acquisitions. On February 12, 2016, we entered into an amendment to our senior credit facility to increase revolving credit borrowing capacity by \$25 million to \$55 million (including \$20.0 million available for letters of credit). The amendment also extended the maturity date of the senior credit facility to February 12, 2021 and reduced by 0.25% the interest rate for revolving credit borrowings to, at our option, (i) the alternate base rate plus the applicable margin of 1.75% to 2.75% based on our adjusted leverage ratio, or (ii) the LIBOR rate plus the applicable margin of 2.75% to 3.75% based on our adjusted leverage ratio (all as defined under the amended credit agreement).

At July 3, 2016 our LIBOR Rate margin was 2.75% and the Alternate Base Rate margin was 1.75%.

See —"Liquidity and Capital Resources" for a further discussion of the 8% Notes and our amended senior credit facility. Future Restaurant Closures

We evaluate the performance of our restaurants on an ongoing basis including an assessment of the current and future operating results of the restaurant in relation to its cash flow and future occupancy costs, and with regard to franchise agreement renewals, the cost of required capital improvements. We may elect to close restaurants based on these evaluations.

In the first six months of 2016 we closed one restaurant, excluding one restaurant relocated within its respective market. In 2015, we closed 23 restaurants and sold one restaurant. We may incur lease charges in the future from closures of underperforming restaurants prior to the expiration of their lease term. We currently anticipate that in all of 2016 we will close one restaurant, other than restaurants relocated within their market area. Our determination of whether to close restaurants in the future is subject to further evaluation and may change.

We do not believe that the future impact on our results of operations due to restaurant closures will be material, although there can be no assurance in this regard.

Valuation of Deferred Income Tax Assets

In 2014 we established a valuation allowance on all of our net deferred income tax assets as required under ASC 740. We currently perform an ongoing assessment of positive and negative evidence regarding the realization of our net deferred income tax assets as required by ASC 740 and consider all available positive and negative evidence to determine, based on the required weight of that evidence as prescribed by ASC 740, that a valuation allowance for all of our net deferred income tax assets is still needed.

At July 3, 2016 we determined a valuation allowance was still needed and for the three and six months ended July 3, 2016 the total valuation allowance decreased \$2.6 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, due primarily to the reduction of net operating loss carryforwards in the second quarter of 2016. At July 3, 2016, our valuation allowance on all of our net deferred tax assets was \$28.0 million.

We believe that it is likely that our Federal net operating loss carryforwards, included in our deferred tax assets, will be utilized in the future as they do not begin to expire until 2033, although no assurance of this can be provided. However, the valuation allowance on our net deferred tax assets is required based on the relevant accounting literature which does not permit us to consider our projection of future taxable income as more persuasive evidence than our recent operating losses over the past three years when assessing recoverability. As of July 3, 2016, we had federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$48.6 million.

As a result of the valuation allowance on all of our net deferred tax assets, we recorded no provision or benefit for income taxes in the first six months of 2016 or the first six months of 2015. We will continue to monitor and evaluate the positive and negative evidence considered in arriving at the above conclusion, in order to assess whether such conclusion remains appropriate in future periods.

Regulatory Changes Affecting Labor Costs

Certain of the states and municipalities in which we operate have increased their minimum wage rates for 2016 and in many cases have also approved additional increases for future periods. Most notably, New York State has increased the minimum wage applicable to our business to \$9.75 an hour in 2016 (from \$8.75 an hour in 2015) with subsequent annual increases reaching \$15.00 an hour by July 1, 2021. Beginning for 2015, we are receiving a New York State minimum wage tax credit subsidy that is partially offsetting these additional costs for our employees who are 19 and under and also in college or high school. The credit diminishes over the next few years but currently totals approximately \$500,000 per year. We had 132 restaurants in New York State at July 3, 2016. We typically attempt to offset the effects of wage inflation, at least in part, through periodic menu price increases. However, no assurance can be given that we will be able to offset these wage increases in the future.

In 2016 the Department of Labor issued a rule, effective December 1, 2016, which amended the minimum wage and overtime pay protections of the Fair Labor Standards Act and increased significantly the annual salary level of an employee to be considered exempt to \$47,476 from \$23,660. The rule also establishes a mechanism for automatically updating this salary level every three years. We are currently evaluating how we will compensate our salaried employees currently under the new exempt salary level as part of complying with this rule.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended July 3, 2016 Compared to Three Months Ended June 28, 2015

The following table sets forth, for the three months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015, selected operating results as a percentage of total restaurant sales:

Three Months

Ended

July 3, June 28, 2016 2015

Costs and expenses (all restaurants):

Cost of sales 25.7% 27.6 % Restaurant wages and related expenses 30.5% 30.4 % Restaurant rent expense 6.7% 6.7 % 6.7% Other restaurant operating expenses 15.5% 15.4 % Advertising expense 4.5% 3.7 % General and administrative 5.9% 5.9 % 5.9%

Since the beginning of the second quarter of 2015, we have acquired 73 restaurants, opened two new restaurants that were relocations within their market area, closed nine restaurants (excluding relocations) and sold one restaurant. Restaurant Sales. Total restaurant sales in the second quarter of 2016 increased 10.2% to \$241.4 million from \$219.1 million in the second quarter of 2015. Comparable restaurant sales increased 0.7% due to an increase in average check of 2.1% partially offset by a decrease in in customer traffic of 1.4%. Comparable restaurant sales increased 10.3% in the second quarter of 2015. The effect in the second quarter of 2016 from menu price increases taken since the beginning of 2015 was approximately 2.8%. Sales in the second quarter of 2016 were \$180.9 million for our legacy restaurants and \$60.5 million for our acquired restaurants.

Operating Costs and Expenses (percentages stated as a percentage of total restaurant sales). Cost of sales decreased to 25.7% in the second quarter of 2016 from 27.6% in the second quarter of 2015 due primarily to lower commodity costs (1.4%), which included a 17% decrease in beef prices compared to the prior year period, the effect of menu price increases (0.8%) and improvements in restaurant-level food and cash controls at our legacy and acquired restaurants (0.3%), partially offset by higher promotional discounting.

Restaurant wages and related expenses increased slightly to 30.5% in the second quarter of 2016 from 30.4% in the second quarter of 2015 due primarily to the effect of fixed and hourly labor rate increases on relatively flat comparable restaurant sales (0.7%), partially offset by lower restaurant-level incentive bonuses (0.4%) and lower unemployment taxes.

Other restaurant operating expenses increased slightly to 15.5% in the second quarter of 2016 from 15.4% in the second quarter of 2015.

Advertising expense increased to 4.5% in the second quarter of 2016 from 3.7% in the second quarter of 2015 due to the expiration of advertising credits at the end of 2015 that were associated with prior BKC restaurant equipment initiatives and an increase in local advertising in certain of our markets that began in the first quarter of 2016. Restaurant rent expense was 6.7% in both the second quarter of 2016 and the second quarter of 2015. Restaurant-Level EBITDA. As a result of the factors discussed above and the acquisition of 73 restaurants since the beginning of the second quarter of 2015, Restaurant-Level EBITDA increased \$5.9 million, or 16.6%, to \$41.5 million in the second quarter of 2016, and, as a percentage of total restaurant sales, increased to 17.2% in the second quarter of 2016 from 16.2% in the prior year period. For a reconciliation between Restaurant-Level EBITDA and loss from operations see page 28.

	Three Months Ended			
	July 3, % (1)	June 28, % (1) 2015		
Restaurant Sales:	2010	2010		
Legacy restaurants	\$180,892	\$180,265		
Acquired restaurants	60,476	38,837		
Total	\$241,368	\$219,102		

Restaurant-Level EBITDA:

Legacy restaurants	\$32,784	18.1% \$30,758	17.1%
Acquired restaurants	8,718	14.4% 4,836	12.5%
Total	\$41,502	17.2% \$35,594	16.2%

(1) Restaurant-Level EBITDA margin is calculated as a percentage of restaurant sales for each respective group of restaurants.

Restaurant-Level EBITDA margin increased 1.0% for our legacy restaurants due primarily to lower beef and other commodity prices and lower restaurant-level incentive bonuses partially offset by higher advertising costs as discussed above. Restaurant-Level EBITDA margin increased 1.9% for our acquired restaurants due to similar factors and lower repairs and maintenance expenses in the second quarter of 2016 compared to the prior year period and also due to higher average unit sales volumes at the restaurants we acquired in 2015, relative to our 2014 acquisitions. Restaurant-Level EBITDA margin for our acquired restaurants is lower in the second quarter of 2016 compared to our legacy restaurants due primarily to the effect of lower average restaurant sales volumes on fixed labor and operating costs, including rent and utility costs, and higher repairs and maintenance expenses related to deferred maintenance prior to our ownership.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses increased \$1.5 million in the second quarter of 2016 to \$14.4 million, although, as a percentage of total restaurant sales, was 5.9% in both periods. The increase in total general and administrative expenses was due primarily to incremental district manager salaries and travel costs and restaurant manager training costs related to the 2015 acquisitions and higher acquisition costs of \$0.2 million, partially offset by lower administrative bonus accruals of \$0.3 million.

Adjusted EBITDA. As a result of the factors above, Adjusted EBITDA increased to \$27.9 million in the second quarter of 2016 from \$23.3 million in the second quarter of 2015, and, as a percentage of total restaurant sales, increased to 11.6% in the second quarter of 2016 from 10.6% in the prior year period. For a reconciliation between net income (loss) and EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA see page 28.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation and amortization expense increased to \$11.5 million in the second quarter of 2016 from \$9.8 million in the second quarter of 2015 due primarily to our ongoing remodeling initiatives and assets acquired in the 2015 and 2016 acquisitions.

Impairment and Other Lease Charges. Impairment and other lease charges were \$0.3 million in the second quarter of 2016 due primarily to capital expenditures at previously impaired restaurants.

Other Income and Expense. In the second quarter of 2016 we had a gain of \$0.5 million related to an insurance recovery from a fire at one of our restaurants and expense of \$1.85 million related to an agreement to settle and resolve the ongoing litigation with our former Chairman and CEO.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased to \$4.5 million in the second quarter of 2016 from \$4.7 million in the second quarter of 2015 due to our refinancing in the second quarter of 2015 discussed above. The weighted average interest rate on our long-term debt, excluding lease financing obligations, was 7.99% in the second quarter of 2016 and 9.04% in the second quarter of 2015.

Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes. Due to the valuation allowance on all of our net deferred income tax assets discussed above, we did not record any provision or benefit for income taxes in the second quarter of 2016 and the second quarter of 2015.

Net Income (Loss). As a result of the above, net income for the second quarter of 2016 was \$9.4 million, or \$0.21 per diluted share, compared to a net loss in the second quarter of 2015 of \$5.0 million, or \$0.14 per diluted share. Six Months Ended July 3, 2016 Compared to Six Months Ended June 28, 2015

The following table sets forth, for the six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015, selected operating results as a percentage of total restaurant sales:

Six Months Ended

July 3, June 28, 2016 2015

Costs and expenses (all restaurants):

Cost of sales26.1%28.5%Restaurant wages and related expenses31.4%31.5%Restaurant rent expense6.9%7.0%Other restaurant operating expenses15.7%16.0%Advertising expense4.3%3.7%General and administrative5.9%5.9%

Since the beginning of 2015, we acquired 73 restaurants, opened two new restaurants that were relocations within their market area, closed 24 restaurants (excluding relocations) and sold one restaurant.

Restaurant Sales. Total restaurant sales in the first six months of 2016 increased 12.5% to \$463.9 million from \$412.3 million in the first six months of 2015. Comparable restaurant sales increased 3.0% in the first six months of 2016 due to an increase in average check of 2.6% and increase in customer traffic of 0.4%. Comparable restaurant sales increased 9.4% in the first six months of 2015. The effect in the first six months of 2016 from menu price increases taken since the beginning of 2015 was approximately 2.6%. Sales in the first six months of 2016 were \$350.0 million for our legacy restaurants and \$113.9 million for our acquired restaurants.

Operating Costs and Expenses (percentages stated as a percentage of total restaurant sales). Cost of sales decreased to 26.1% in the first six months of 2016 from 28.5% in the first six months of 2015 due primarily to lower commodity prices (1.5%), which included a 20% decrease in beef prices compared to the prior year period, the effect of menu price increases (0.8%) and improvements in restaurant-level food and cash controls at our legacy and acquired restaurants (0.3%), partially offset by higher promotional discounting.

Restaurant wages and related expenses decreased slightly to 31.4% in the first six months of 2016 from 31.5% in the first six months of 2015 due primarily to lower medical claims (0.2%), lower restaurant-level incentive bonuses and lower unemployment taxes, substantially offset by higher hourly labor rates.

Other restaurant operating expenses decreased to 15.7% in the first six months of 2016 from 16.0% in the first six months of 2015 due primarily to lower utility costs (0.3%).

Advertising expense increased to 4.3% in the first six months of 2016 from 3.7% in the first six months of 2015 due to the expiration of advertising credits at the end of 2015 that were associated with prior BKC restaurant equipment initiatives and an increase in local advertising in certain of our markets that began in March 2016. We currently anticipate advertising expenditures for all of 2016 to range from 4.2% to 4.4%.

Restaurant rent expense decreased to 6.9% in the first six months of 2016 from 7.0% in the first six months of 2015 due in part to the effect of higher sales volumes in the first six months of 2016 on fixed rental costs and the closure of 24 restaurants with lower sales volumes since the beginning of 2015.

Restaurant-Level EBITDA. As a result of the factors above and the acquisition of 73 restaurants since the beginning of 2015, Restaurant-Level EBITDA increased \$17.8 million, or 32.8%, to \$72.2 million in the first six months of 2016, and, as a percentage of total restaurant sales, increased to 15.6% in the first six months of 2016 from 13.2% in the prior year period. For a reconciliation between Restaurant-Level EBITDA and income from operations see page 28.

	Six Months Ended			
	July 3, % (1)	June 28, $\%$ (1)		
	2016	2015		
Restaurant Sales:				
Legacy restaurants	\$349,968	\$340,895		
Acquired restaurants	113,919	71,377		
Total	\$463.887	\$412.272		

Restaurant-Level EBITDA:

Legacy restaurants	\$57,600	16.5% \$47,699	14.0%
Acquired restaurants	14,623	12.8% 6,704	9.4 %
Total	\$72,223	15.6% \$54,403	13.2%

(1) Restaurant-Level EBITDA margin is calculated as a percentage of restaurant sales for each respective group of restaurants.

Restaurant-Level EBITDA margin increased 2.5% for our legacy restaurants due primarily to a comparable restaurant sales increase in the first six months of 2016 of 3.2%, lower beef prices and lower utility costs, partially offset by higher advertising costs as discussed above. Restaurant-Level EBITDA margin increased 3.4% for our acquired restaurants due to similar factors including a comparable restaurant sales increase of 2.4% in the first six months of 2016, higher vendor rebates, lower repairs and maintenance expenses compared to the prior year period and higher average unit sales volumes at the restaurants we acquired in 2015, relative to our 2014 acquisitions. Restaurant-Level EBITDA margin for our acquired restaurants is lower in the first six months of 2016 compared to our legacy restaurants due primarily to the effect of lower average restaurant sales volumes on fixed operating expenses, including fixed labor costs, rent and utility costs.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses increased \$3.1 million in the first six months of 2016 to \$27.6 million and, as a percentage of total restaurant sales, was 5.9% in both periods. The increase in total general and administrative expenses was due primarily to additional district manager salaries and travel costs and restaurant manager training costs related to the 2015 and 2016 acquisitions and higher acquisition costs of \$0.4 million.

Adjusted EBITDA. As a result of the factors above, Adjusted EBITDA increased to \$46.4 million in the first six months of 2016 from \$31.0 million in the first six months of 2015. For a reconciliation between net income (loss) and EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA see page 28.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation and amortization expense increased to \$22.5 million in the first six months of 2016 from \$19.8 million in the first six months of 2015 due primarily to our ongoing remodeling initiatives and assets acquired in the 2015 and 2016 acquisitions.

Impairment and Other Lease Charges. Impairment and other lease charges were \$0.5 million in the first six months 2016 due primarily to capital expenditures at previously impaired restaurants.

Other Income and Expense. In the first six months of 2016 we had a gain of \$0.5 million related to a settlement for a partial condemnation on one of our operating restaurant properties, a gain of \$0.5 million related to an insurance recovery from a fire at one of our restaurants and expense of \$1.85 million related to an agreement to settle and resolve the ongoing litigation with our former Chairman and CEO.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased to \$9.1 million in the first six months of 2016 from \$9.5 million in the first six months of 2015 due to our refinancing in the second quarter of 2015 discussed above. The weighted average interest rate on our long-term debt, excluding lease financing obligations, decreased to 8.00% in the first six months of 2016 from 10.04% in the first six months of 2015.

Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes. Due to the valuation allowance on all of our net deferred income tax assets discussed above, we did not record any provision or benefit for income taxes in the first six months of 2016 and the first six months of 2015.

Net Income (Loss). As a result of the above, net income for first six months of 2016 was \$11.5 million, or \$0.25 per diluted share, compared to a net loss in first six months of 2015 of \$14.3 million, or \$0.41 per diluted share. Reconciliations of net income (loss) to EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted net income and income from operations to Restaurant-Level EBITDA for three and six months ended July 3, 2016 and June 28, 2015 are as follows:

			Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
		July 3,	June 28,	July 3,	June 28,	
Reconciliation of EBITDA and Adjusted E	BITDA:	2016	2015	2016	2015	
Not income (loss)						
Net income (loss)		\$9,376	\$(4,977)	\$11,321	\$(14,253)	
Provision (benefit) for income taxes		4.520	4.700	0.055	<u> </u>	
Interest expense		4,520	4,700	9,055	9,514	
Depreciation and amortization		11,486	9,793	22,543	19,798	
EBITDA		25,382	9,516	43,119	15,059	
Impairment and other lease charges		286	706	508	2,336	
Acquisition costs (1)		230	49	638	260	
Gain on condemnation and fire (2)		-) —	,		
Accrued litigation settlement (3)		1,850	_	1,850		
Stock-based compensation expense		606	363	1,171	704	
Loss on extinguishment of debt		_	12,635	_	12,635	
Adjusted EBITDA		\$27,898	\$23,269	\$46,380	\$30,994	
Reconciliation of Restaurant-Level EBITD	A:					
Income from operations	\$13,8	396 \$12,3	358 \$20,5°	76 \$7,896		
Add:						
General and administrative expenses	14,35	5 12,90	3 27,561	24,499		
Depreciation and amortization	11,48	6 9,793	22,543	3 19,798		
Impairment and other lease charges	286	706	508	2,336		
Other expense (income)	1,479	(166) 1,035	(126)	
Restaurant-Level EBITDA	\$41,5	502 \$35,5	594 \$72,22	23 \$54,40	3	
Reconciliation of Adjusted net income:						
Net income (loss)	\$9,376	\$(4,97	7) \$11,521	\$(14,25	(3)	
Add:		, ,	,	•		
Loss on extinguishment of debt	_	12,635		12,635		
Impairment and other lease charges	286	706	508	2,336		
Gain on condemnation and fire (2)	(456) —	(906) —		
Accrued litigation settlement (3)	1,850	_	1,850	_		
Acquisition costs (1)	230	49	638	260		
Adjusted net income		5 \$8,413				
Adjusted diluted net earnings per share (4)		\$0.19	\$0.30	\$0.02		
rajasted difface net cultures per siture (4)	Ψ0.23	ψ 0.17	Ψ0.50	1 6 .	1.0	

⁽¹⁾ Acquisition costs for the periods presented include primarily legal and professional fees incurred in connection with the 2015 and 2016 acquisitions, which were included in general and administrative expense.

Other (income) expense for the three months ended July 3, 2016 includes a gain of \$0.5 million related to an

insurance recovery from a fire at one of our restaurants. Additionally, for the six months ended July 3, 2016, other (income) expense includes a gain of \$0.5 million related to a settlement for a partial condemnation on one of our operating restaurant properties.

- (3) Other (income) expense includes an accrual of \$1.85 million related to an agreement to settle and resolve the ongoing litigation with our former Chairman and CEO.
- (4) Adjusted diluted net earnings per share is calculated based on Adjusted net income and the dilutive weighted average common shares outstanding for the respective periods.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We do not have significant receivables or inventory and receive trade credit based upon negotiated terms in purchasing food products and other supplies. We are able to operate with a substantial working capital deficit because: restaurant operations are primarily conducted on a cash basis;

rapid turnover results in a limited investment in inventories; and

eash from sales is usually received before related liabilities for food, supplies and payroll are paid.

Interest payments under our debt obligations, capital expenditures, including our commitment to BKC to remodel restaurants in 2016, payments of royalties and advertising to BKC and payments related to our lease obligations represent significant liquidity requirements for us as well as any discretionary expenditures for the acquisition of additional Burger King restaurants. We believe that cash generated from our operations and availability of revolving credit borrowings under our senior credit facility will provide sufficient cash availability to cover our anticipated working capital needs, capital expenditures and debt service requirements for the next twelve months.

Operating Activities. Net cash provided from operating activities in the first six months of 2016 decreased to \$28.3 million from \$30.0 million in the first six months of 2015 due primarily to a decrease in cash from changes in the components of net working capital of \$16.0 million offset by an increase in Adjusted EBITDA of \$15.4 million. Investing Activities. Net cash used for investing activities in the first six months of 2016 and 2015 was \$40.0 million and \$24.8 million, respectively.

As discussed above, in the first six months of 2016 we acquired 18 restaurants in two transactions for a total cash purchase price of \$19.2 million and in the first six months of 2015 the acquisition of four restaurants for a cash purchase price of \$0.8 million.

Capital expenditures are a large component of our investing activities and include: (1) new restaurant development, which may include the purchase of real estate; (2) restaurant remodeling, which includes the renovation or rebuilding of the interior and exterior of our existing restaurants, including expenditures associated with our commitment to BKC to remodel restaurants to the 20/20 image and franchise agreement renewals; (3) other restaurant capital expenditures, which include capital maintenance expenditures for the ongoing reinvestment and enhancement of our restaurants, and from time to time, to support BKC's initiatives; and (4) corporate and restaurant information systems, including expenditures for our point-of-sale systems for restaurants that we acquire.

The following table sets forth our capital expenditures for the periods presented (in thousands):

Six Months Ended July 3, 2016

New restaurant development	\$3,261
Restaurant remodeling	26,085
Other restaurant capital expenditures	8,531
Corporate and restaurant information systems	1,629
Total capital expenditures	\$39,506
Number of new restaurant openings	2
Six Months Ended June 28, 2015	
New restaurant development	\$19
Restaurant remodeling	19,689
Other restaurant capital expenditures	4,632
Corporate and restaurant information systems	1,308
Total capital expenditures	\$25,648
Number of new restaurant openings	

Investing activities in the first six months of 2016 and 2015 also included proceeds from sale-leaseback transactions of \$17.7 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, and in the first six months of 2016 insurance proceeds of \$1.0 million related to fires at two of our restaurants.

Investing activities in the first six months of 2015 also included the purchase of two restaurant properties for \$2.0 million that were subsequently sold in sale-leaseback transactions.

Financing Activities. Net cash used for financing activities in the first six months of 2016 was \$0.8 million due primarily to principal payments on capital leases. Net cash provided from financing activities in the first six months of 2015 was \$34.5 million due primarily to the refinancing in the second quarter of 2015 discussed above.

8% Senior Secured Second Lien Notes. The 8% Notes mature on May 1, 2022. Interest is payable semi-annually on May 1 and November 1. The 8% Notes are guaranteed by our material subsidiaries and are secured by second-priority liens on substantially all of our and our subsidiaries' assets (including a pledge of all of the capital stock and equity interests of our subsidiaries).

The 8% Notes are redeemable at our option in whole or in part at any time after May 1, 2018 at a price of 104% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, if redeemed before May 1, 2019, 102% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, if redeemed after May 1, 2019 but before May 1, 2020 and 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, if redeemed after May 1, 2020. Prior to May 1, 2018, we may redeem some or all of the 8% Notes at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount of each 8% Note plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, and a make-whole premium. In addition, the indenture governing the 8% Notes also provides that we may redeem up to 35% of the 8% Notes using the proceeds of certain equity offerings completed before May 1, 2018.

The 8% Notes are jointly and severally guaranteed, unconditionally and in full by our subsidiaries which are directly or indirectly 100% owned by us. Separate condensed consolidating information is not included because Carrols Restaurant Group is a holding company that has no independent assets or operations. There are no significant restrictions on our ability or any of the guarantor subsidiaries' ability to obtain funds from its respective subsidiaries. All consolidated amounts in our financial statements are representative of the combined guarantors.

The indenture governing the 8% Notes includes certain covenants, including limitations and restrictions on our and our subsidiaries who are guarantors under such indenture to, among other things: incur indebtedness or issue preferred stock; incur liens; pay dividends or make distributions in respect of capital stock or make certain other restricted payments or investments; sell assets; agree to payment restrictions affecting certain subsidiaries; enter into transaction with affiliates; or merge, consolidate or sell substantially all of our assets.

The indenture governing the 8% Notes and the security agreement provide that any capital stock and equity interests of any of our subsidiaries will be excluded from the collateral to the extent that the par value, book value or market value of such capital stock or equity interests exceeds 20% of the aggregate principal amount of the 8% Notes then outstanding.

The indenture governing the 8% Notes contains customary default provisions, including without limitation, a cross default provision pursuant to which it is an event of default under the 8% Notes and the indenture governing the 8% Notes if there is a default under any of our indebtedness having an outstanding principal amount of \$20.0 million or more which results in the acceleration of such indebtedness prior to its stated maturity or is caused by a failure to pay principal when due.

Senior Credit Facility. On May 30, 2012, we entered into a senior credit facility, which was most recently amended on February 12, 2016 to provide for aggregate revolving credit borrowings of up to \$55.0 million (including \$20.0 million available for letters of credit) and to extend the maturity date to February 12, 2021. The senior credit facility also provides for potential incremental borrowing increases of up to \$25.0 million, in the aggregate.

At July 3, 2016 there were no revolving credit borrowings outstanding under the senior credit facility. After reserving \$13.4 million for letters of credit issued under the senior credit facility for workers' compensation and other insurance policies, \$41.6 million was available for revolving credit borrowings at July 3, 2016.

Effective on February 12, 2016, borrowings under the senior credit facility bear interest at a rate per annum, at our option, of:

- (i) the Alternate Base Rate plus the applicable margin of 1.75% to 2.75% based on the our Adjusted Leverage Ratio, or
- (ii) the LIBOR Rate plus the applicable margin of 2.75% to 3.75% based on the our Adjusted Leverage Ratio (all terms as defined under the senior credit facility).

Our obligations under the senior credit facility are guaranteed by our subsidiaries and are secured by first priority liens on substantially all of our assets and those of our subsidiaries, including a pledge of all of the capital stock and equity interests of our subsidiaries.

Under the senior credit facility, we are required to make mandatory prepayments of borrowings in the event of dispositions of assets, debt issuances and insurance and condemnation proceeds (all subject to certain exceptions). The senior credit facility contains certain covenants, including without limitation, those limiting our and our subsidiaries' ability to, among other things, incur indebtedness, incur liens, sell or acquire assets or businesses, change the character of its business in all material respects, engage in transactions with related parties, make certain investments, make certain restricted payments or pay dividends. In addition, the senior credit facility requires us to meet certain financial ratios, including a Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio, Adjusted Leverage Ratio and First Lien Leverage Ratio (all as defined under the senior credit facility). We were in compliance with the covenants under its senior credit facility at July 3, 2016.

The senior credit facility contains customary default provisions, including that the lenders may terminate their obligation to advance and may declare the unpaid balance of borrowings, or any part thereof, immediately due and payable upon the occurrence and during the continuance of customary defaults which include, without limitation, payment default, covenant defaults, bankruptcy type defaults, cross-defaults on other indebtedness, judgments or upon the occurrence of a change of control.

Contractual Obligations

A table of our contractual obligations as of January 3, 2016 was included in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2016. There have been no significant changes to our contractual obligations during the six months ended July 3, 2016.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements other than our operating leases, which are primarily for our restaurant properties and not recorded on our consolidated balance sheet.

Inflation

The inflationary factors that have historically affected our results of operations include increases in food and paper costs, labor and other operating expenses, the cost of providing medical and prescription drug insurance to our employees and energy costs. Wages paid in our restaurants are impacted by changes in the Federal and state hourly minimum wage rates and the Fair Labor Standards Act. Accordingly, changes in the Federal and state hourly minimum wage rates directly affect our labor costs. We typically attempt to offset the effect of inflation, at least in part, through periodic menu price increases and various cost reduction programs. However, no assurance can be given that we will be able to offset such inflationary cost increases in the future.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

Our unaudited interim consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Preparing consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are affected by the application of our accounting policies. Our significant accounting policies are described in the "Significant Accounting Policies" footnote in the notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2016. Critical accounting estimates are those that require application of management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods. There have been no material changes affecting our critical accounting policies previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2016.

Forward Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains statements which constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Statements that are predictive in nature or that depend upon or refer to future events or conditions are forward-looking statements. These statements are often identified by the words "may", "might", "will", "should", "anticipate", "believe", "expect", "intend", "estimate", "hope", "plan expressions. In addition, expressions of our strategies, intentions or plans are also forward looking statements. These statements reflect management's current views with respect to future events and are subject to risks and uncertainties, both known and unknown. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of their date. There are important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward-looking statements, many of which are beyond our control. Investors are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties, and that actual results may differ materially from those projected or implied in the forward-looking statements. We have identified significant factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those stated or implied in the forward-looking statements. We believe important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations include the following, in addition to other risks and uncertainties discussed herein and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2016:

Effectiveness of the Burger King® advertising programs and the overall success of the Burger King brand; Increases in food costs and other commodity costs;

Competitive conditions;

Our ability to integrate any restaurants we acquire;

Regulatory factors;

Environmental conditions and regulations;

Edgar Filing: MODEL N, INC. - Form SC 13D

Table of Contents

General economic conditions, particularly in the retail sector;

Weather conditions;

Fuel prices;

Significant disruptions in service or supply by any of our suppliers or distributors;

Changes in consumer perception of dietary health and food safety;

Labor and employment benefit costs, including the effects of minimum wage increases, health care reform and changes in the Fair Labor Standards Act;

The outcome of pending or future legal claims or proceedings;

Our ability to manage our growth and successfully implement our business strategy;

Our inability to service our indebtedness;

Our borrowing costs and credit ratings, which may be influenced by the credit ratings of our competitors;

The availability and terms of necessary or desirable financing or refinancing and other related risks and uncertainties; and

Factors that affect the restaurant industry generally, including recalls if products become adulterated or misbranded, liability if our products cause injury, ingredient disclosure and labeling laws and regulations, reports of cases of food borne illnesses such as "mad cow" disease, and the possibility that consumers could lose confidence in the safety and quality of certain food products, as well as negative publicity regarding food quality, illness, injury or other health concerns.

ITEM 3—QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There were no material changes from the information presented in Item 7A included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2016 with respect to our market risk sensitive instruments.

A 1% change in interest rates would have resulted in a nominal change to interest expense for the six months ended July 3, 2016 and no change in the six months ended June 28, 2015.

ITEM 4—CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Our senior management is responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d – 15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive officer or officers and principal financial officer or officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as well as other key members of our management. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of July 3, 2016.

No change occurred in our internal control over financial reporting during the second quarter of 2016 that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On August 21, 2012 Alan Vituli, our former chairman and chief executive officer, filed an action in the Superior Court of the State of Delaware (the "Court") against us and Carrols. On October 1, 2013, Mr. Vituli filed an amended and supplemental complaint (the "Amended Complaint") which, among other things, sought damages in excess of \$3.55 million in the aggregate, unspecified consequential and punitive damages, attorney's fees and costs and various requests for declaratory judgment all in connection with Mr. Vituli's departure as chief executive officer, chairman, and director of Carrols Restaurant Group and Carrols and as nonexecutive chairman and director of Fiesta Restaurant Group, Inc., now an independent public company, but our indirect wholly owned subsidiary for the time period at issue in the Amended Complaint. At the direction of the Court, we, Carrols, and Mr. Vituli, being all of the remaining parties to the litigation, agreed to explore the possibility of a mediated settlement of the litigation. On July 28, 2016 and July 29, 2016 we, Carrols, and Mr. Vituli participated in a mediation whereby the parties agreed to fully resolve all of Mr. Vituli's claims in the lawsuit for a total payment by us of \$2.0 million. We have accrued \$1.85 million in the second quarter of 2016 for this settlement which is net of an estimated contribution from our insurance carrier. Upon execution of releases and payment of the settlement amount, the litigation will be dismissed, which we anticipate occurring in due course.

We are a party to various other litigation matters that arise in the ordinary course of business. We do not believe that the outcome of any of these other matters meet the disclosure or recognition standards, nor will they have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Part I-Item 1A of Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2016 describes important factors that could materially adversely affect our business, consolidated financial condition or results of operations or cause our operating results to differ materially from those indicated or suggested by forward-looking statements made in this Form 10-Q or presented elsewhere by management from time-to-time. There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2016.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

Item 5. Other Information

None

Edgar Filing: MODEL N, INC. - Form SC 13D

Table of Contents

т.	_			• •
Item	6	$H\mathbf{v}$	hı	hite
110111	v.	$L\Lambda$	ш	o_{1}

(a) The following exhibits are filed as part of this report.

Exhibit

No.

- Chief Executive Officer's Certificate Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Carrols Restaurant Group, Inc.
- Chief Financial Officer's Certificate Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Carrols Restaurant Group, Inc.
- Chief Executive Officer's Certificate Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Carrols Restaurant Group, Inc.
- Chief Financial Officer's Certificate Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Carrols Restaurant Group, Inc.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

Edgar Filing: MODEL N, INC. - Form SC 13D

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CARROLS RESTAURANT GROUP, INC.

Date: August 10, 2016 /s/ Daniel T. Accordino

(Signature)

Daniel T. Accordino Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 10, 2016 /s/ Paul R. Flanders

(Signature)
Paul R. Flanders

Vice President – Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer