

KATY INDUSTRIES INC
Form 10-Q
November 14, 2011

United States
Securities and Exchange Commission
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended: September 30, 2011

Or

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-05558

Katy Industries, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

75-1277589
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

305 Rock Industrial Park Drive, Bridgeton, Missouri
(Address of principal executive offices)

63044
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (314) 656-4321

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: KATY INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a
smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer
Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at October 28, 2011
Common Stock, \$1 Par Value	7,951,176 Shares

KATY INDUSTRIES, INC.
FORM 10-Q
September 30, 2011

INDEX

		Page
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION		
Item 1.	Financial Statements:	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> <u>September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010</u>	3,4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations</u> <u>For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30,</u> <u>2011 and October 1, 2010 (unaudited)</u>	5
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> <u>For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and</u> <u>October 1, 2010 (unaudited)</u>	6
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> <u>(unaudited)</u>	7
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial</u> <u>Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	24
PART II OTHER INFORMATION		25
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	25
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	25
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of</u> <u>Proceeds</u>	25
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	25
Item 4.	<u>(REMOVED AND RESERVED)</u>	25
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u>	25
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	25
	<u>Signatures</u>	26

Index

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

KATY INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (UNAUDITED) AND DECEMBER 31, 2010
 (Amounts in Thousands)

ASSETS

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash	\$2,077	\$1,319
Accounts receivable, net	15,469	11,508
Inventories, net	15,165	15,009
Other current assets	753	1,496
Assets held for sale	4,309	4,293
Total current assets	37,773	33,625
OTHER ASSETS:		
Goodwill	665	665
Intangibles, net	2,599	2,832
Other	3,045	3,160
Total other assets	6,309	6,657
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT		
Land and improvements	336	336
Buildings and improvements	9,142	9,031
Machinery and equipment	87,536	89,247
	97,014	98,614
Less - Accumulated depreciation	(77,427)	(76,002)
Property and equipment, net	19,587	22,612
Total assets	\$63,669	\$62,894

Index

KATY INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (UNAUDITED) AND DECEMBER 31, 2010
(Amounts in Thousands, Except Share Data)

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$11,588	\$10,619
Book overdraft	1,034	1,257
Accrued compensation	1,423	1,492
Accrued expenses	10,919	10,460
Payable to related party	1,625	500
Current maturities of long-term debt	6,362	1,275
Revolving credit agreement	18,504	15,432
Liabilities held for sale	1,650	1,345
Total current liabilities	53,105	42,380
LONG-TERM DEBT, less current maturities	-	6,169
OTHER LIABILITIES	5,391	5,306
Total liabilities	58,496	53,855
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 9)		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
15% Convertible preferred stock, \$100 par value; authorized 1,200,000 shares; issued and outstanding 1,131,551 shares; liquidation value \$113,155	108,256	108,256
Common stock, \$1 par value; authorized 35,000,000 shares; issued 9,822,304 shares	9,822	9,822
Additional paid-in capital	27,110	27,385
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,307)	(1,405)
Accumulated deficit	(117,271)	(113,582)
Treasury stock, at cost, 1,871,128 shares	(21,437)	(21,437)
Total stockholders' equity	5,173	9,039
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$63,669	\$62,894

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Index

KATY INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 AND OCTOBER 1, 2010
 (Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)
 (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2011	October 1, 2010	September 30, 2011	October 1, 2010
Net sales	\$33,605	\$33,427	\$93,746	\$97,779
Cost of goods sold	30,041	31,044	84,829	88,387
Gross profit	3,564	2,383	8,917	9,392
Selling, general and administrative expenses	4,570	4,124	13,929	15,286
Severance, restructuring and related charges	393	747	393	1,002
Loss (gain) on disposal of assets	6	(189)	16	(189)
Operating loss	(1,405)	(2,299)	(5,421)	(6,707)
Interest expense	(593)	(355)	(1,392)	(1,171)
Other, net	(42)	106	33	2,466
Loss from continuing operations before income tax (expense) benefit	(2,040)	(2,548)	(6,780)	(5,412)
Income tax (expense) benefit from continuing operations	(9)	(11)	239	501
Loss from continuing operations	(2,049)	(2,559)	(6,541)	(4,911)
Income from operations of discontinued business (net of tax)	917	974	2,852	2,673
Net loss	\$(1,132)	\$(1,585)	\$(3,689)	\$(2,238)
Net (loss) income per share of common stock - Basic and diluted				
Loss from continuing operations	\$(0.26)	\$(0.32)	\$(0.82)	\$(0.62)
Discontinued operations	0.12	0.12	0.36	0.34
Net loss	\$(0.14)	\$(0.20)	\$(0.46)	\$(0.28)
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Basic and diluted	7,951	7,951	7,951	7,951

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Index

KATY INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 AND OCTOBER 1, 2010
 (Amounts in Thousands)
 (Unaudited)

	September 30, 2011	October 1, 2010
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$(3,689)	\$(2,238)
Income from discontinued operations	(2,852)	(2,673)
Loss from continuing operations	(6,541)	(4,911)
Depreciation	3,300	4,562
Amortization of intangible assets	322	334
Write-off and amortization of debt issuance costs	415	411
Stock-based compensation	(610)	(110)
Loss (gain) on sale or disposal of assets	16	(189)
Gain on settlement of existing obligation	-	(2,100)
	(3,098)	(2,003)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(3,997)	(2,860)
Inventories	(213)	(397)
Other assets	977	(434)
Accounts payable	989	1,378
Accrued expenses	53	(500)
Payable to related party	1,125	-
Other	29	(2,625)
	(1,037)	(5,438)
Net cash used in continuing operations	(4,135)	(7,441)
Net cash provided by discontinued operations	3,141	2,422
Net cash used in operating activities	(994)	(5,019)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(238)	(745)
Proceeds from sale of assets	81	128
Net cash used in continuing operations	(157)	(617)
Net cash used in discontinued operations	-	(28)
Net cash used in investing activities	(157)	(645)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net borrowings	3,353	6,114
Decrease in book overdraft	(223)	(918)
Proceeds from term loans	-	8,182
Repayments of term loans	(1,081)	(7,299)
Direct costs associated with debt facilities	(272)	(597)
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,777	5,482

Edgar Filing: KATY INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	132	43
Net increase (decrease) in cash	758	(139)
Cash, beginning of period	1,319	747
Cash, end of period	\$2,077	\$608

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Index

KATY INDUSTRIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Note 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Consolidation Policy and Basis of Presentation – The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Katy Industries, Inc. and subsidiaries in which it has a greater than 50% voting interest or significant influence, collectively “Katy” or the “Company”. All significant intercompany accounts, profits and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2011 and the related Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 and Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 have been prepared without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company for the interim periods. Interim results may not be indicative of results to be realized for the entire year. The condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, together with management’s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, contained in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2010 was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”).

Fiscal Year – The Company operates and reports using a 4-4-5 fiscal year which always ends on December 31. As a result, December and January do not typically consist of five and four weeks, respectively. The three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 consisted of 63 shipping days and 190 shipping days, respectively, and the three and nine months ended October 1, 2010 consisted of 63 shipping days and 191 shipping days, respectively.

Use of Estimates and Reclassifications – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain reclassifications on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows were made to the 2010 amounts in order to conform to the 2011 presentation.

Inventories – The components of inventories are as follows (amounts in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Raw materials	\$ 7,485	\$ 7,845
Finished goods	13,524	12,226
Inventory reserves	(1,165)	(1,250)
LIFO reserve	(4,679)	(3,812)
	\$ 15,165	\$ 15,009

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, approximately 57% and 56%, respectively, of Katy’s inventories were accounted for using the last-in, first-out (“LIFO”) method of costing, while the remaining inventories were accounted

for using the first-in, first-out (“FIFO”) method. Current cost, as determined using the FIFO method, exceeded LIFO cost by \$4.7 million and \$3.8 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Share-Based Payment – Compensation cost recognized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 includes: a) compensation cost for all stock options based on the grant date fair value amortized over the options’ vesting period and b) compensation cost for outstanding stock appreciation rights (“SARs”) as of September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 based on the September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 fair value, respectively. The Company re-measures the fair value of SARs each reporting period until the award is settled and compensation expense is recognized each reporting period for changes in fair value and vesting.

Index

Compensation (income) expense is included in selling, general and administrative expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The components of compensation (income) expense are as follows (amounts in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2011	October 1, 2010	September 30, 2011	October 1, 2010
Stock option (income) expense	\$ -	\$ 42	\$ (274)	\$ 173
Stock appreciation right income	(23)	(177)	(336)	(283)
	\$ (23)	\$ (135)	\$ (610)	\$ (110)

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, stock option income resulted from the reversal of compensation expense recognized on the cancellation of unvested stock options previously held by the Company's Chief Executive Officer, the Company's Chief Financial Officer and the Company's former Vice President-Operations.

The fair value of stock options is estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. As the Company does not have sufficient historical exercise data to provide a basis for estimating the expected term, the Company uses the simplified method for estimating the expected term by averaging the minimum and maximum lives expected for each award. In addition, the Company estimated volatility by considering its historical stock volatility over a term comparable to the remaining expected life of each award. The risk-free interest rate is the current yield available on U.S. treasury issues with a remaining term equal to each award. The Company estimates forfeitures using historical results. Its estimates of forfeitures will be adjusted over the requisite service period based on the extent to which actual forfeitures differ, or are expected to differ, from their estimate. There were no stock options granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010.

The fair value of SARs, a liability award, was estimated at September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Company estimated the expected term by averaging the minimum and maximum lives expected for each award. In addition, the Company estimated volatility by considering its historical stock volatility over a term comparable to the remaining expected life of each award. The risk-free interest rate is the current yield available on U.S. treasury issues with a remaining term equal to each award. The Company estimates forfeitures using historical results. Its estimates of forfeitures will be adjusted over the requisite service period based on the extent to which actual forfeitures differ, or are expected to differ, from their estimate. The assumptions for expected term, volatility and risk-free rate are presented in the table below:

	September	
	30, 2011	October 1, 2010
Expected term (years)	1.2 - 5.0	0.1 - 6.0
Volatility	187.4% - 246.2%	139.5% - 207.8%
Risk-free interest rate	0.2% - 1.0%	0.2% - 1.6%

Comprehensive (Loss) Income – The components of comprehensive (loss) income are as follows (amounts in thousands):

Edgar Filing: KATY INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September	October 1,	September	October 1,
	30,	2010	30,	2010
	2011		2011	
Net loss	\$ (1,132)	\$ (1,585)	\$ (3,689)	\$ (2,238)
Foreign currency translation (gains) losses	(94)	(7)	98	53
Comprehensive loss	\$ (1,226)	\$ (1,592)	\$ (3,591)	\$ (2,185)

The components of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income are foreign currency translation adjustments and pension and other postretirement benefits adjustments. The balance of foreign currency translation adjustments was \$0.6 million and \$0.7 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The balance of pension and other postretirement benefits adjustments was \$0.7 million at each of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Index

Segment Reporting – Operating segments are components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief decision maker or group in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company’s chief decision maker reviews the results of operations and requests for capital expenditures based on one industry segment: manufacturing, importing and distributing commercial cleaning and storage products. The Company’s entire revenue is generated through this segment.

Note 2. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards – In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued guidance concerning multiple-deliverable arrangements which would enable vendors to account for products or services separately rather than as a combined unit. This guidance is effective for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The Company’s adoption of this guidance had no effect on the Company’s financial position or results of operations.

In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance which revises two disclosure requirements concerning fair value measurements and clarifies two others. It requires separate presentation of significant transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and disclosure of the reasons for such transfers. It also requires the presentation of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements within Level 3 on a gross basis rather than a net basis. The amendments also clarify that disclosures should be disaggregated by class of asset or liability and that disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques should be provided for both recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements. The guidance about Level 1 and 2 transfers was effective immediately, and the guidance about gross presentation of Level 3 activity is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of the guidance had no effect on the Company’s financial position or results of operations.

Accounting Standards Not Yet Adopted – In May 2011, the FASB issued further guidance which generally aligns the principles of fair value measurements with International Financial Reporting Standards. The guidance clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement requirements and expands the disclosure requirements for fair value measurements, and is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of the guidance to have any effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In June 2011, the FASB issued guidance concerning the presentation of comprehensive income. The guidance gives companies the option to present total comprehensive income, components of net income, and components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, and should be applied retrospectively. While the amendments will amend the presentation of comprehensive income, the adoption will not have any effect on the Company’s financial position or results of operations.

In September 2011, the FASB issued an update to existing guidance on the assessment of goodwill impairment. This update simplifies the assessment of goodwill for impairment by allowing companies to consider qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount before performing the two step impairment review process. It also amends the examples of events or circumstances that would be considered in a goodwill impairment evaluation. This new guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating this guidance, but does not expect the adoption will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

Note 3. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Following is detailed information regarding Katy’s intangible assets (amounts in thousands):

Edgar Filing: KATY INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Patents	\$1,334	\$ (1,104)	\$230	\$1,245	\$ (1,041)	\$204
Customer lists	9,391	(8,368)	1,023	9,391	(8,258)	1,133
Tradenames	4,099	(2,753)	1,346	4,099	(2,604)	1,495
Total	\$14,824	\$ (12,225)	\$2,599	\$14,735	\$ (11,903)	\$2,832

9

Index

All of Katy's intangible assets are definite long-lived intangibles. Estimated aggregate future amortization expense related to intangible assets is as follows (amounts in thousands):

2011 (remainder)	\$264
2012	420
2013	401
2014	384
2015	370
Thereafter	760
	\$2,599

Note 4. INDEBTEDNESS

Long-term debt consists of the following (amounts in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Term loan payable under the PNC Credit Agreement, interest based on Base Rate of 8.50%	\$6,362	\$7,444
Revolving loans payable under the PNC Credit Agreement, interest based on Base Rates (5.25% - 5.50%)	18,504	15,432
Total debt	24,866	22,876
Less revolving loans, classified as current	(18,504)	(15,432)
Less current maturities	(6,362)	(1,275)
Long-term debt	\$-	\$6,169

On May 26, 2010, Continental Commercial Products, LLC ("CCP") and Glit/Gemtex, Ltd. (collectively with CCP, the "Borrowers"), wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, and the Company, as guarantor, entered into a Revolving Credit, Term Loan and Security Agreement (the "PNC Credit Agreement") with PNC Bank, National Association ("PNC Bank"). During the six months ended July 1, 2011, the PNC Credit Agreement was amended four times to reduce the minimum level of required availability (as discussed below) and waive certain financial and capital event covenants. The PNC Credit Agreement was amended a fifth time on August 15, 2011 to waive certain financial and capital event covenants, reduce the maximum amount of the revolving credit facility upon the occurrence of a capital event, amend certain financial covenants for the remaining term of the agreement, and change the termination date of the PNC Credit Agreement from May 26, 2013 to February 28, 2012. Due to the change of the termination date of the PNC Credit Agreement, all outstanding obligations as of September 30, 2011 have been classified as a current liability.

The PNC Credit Agreement is a \$33.2 million credit facility with an \$8.2 million term loan (the "Term Loan") and a \$25.0 million revolving loan (the "Revolving Credit Facility"), including a \$3.5 million sub-limit for letters of credit. The proceeds of the Term Loan and Revolving Credit Facility were used to repay the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Bank of America ("Bank of America Credit Agreement") and pay fees and expenses associated with the negotiation and consummation of the PNC Credit Agreement. All extensions of credit under the PNC Credit Agreement are collateralized by a first priority security interest in and lien upon substantially all present and future assets and properties of the Company. The Company guarantees the obligations of the Borrowers under the PNC Credit Agreement. Upon the occurrence of the Sale Event, as defined in the Fifth Amendment and Waiver to

Revolving Credit, Term Loan and Security Agreement (“Fifth Amendment”), which occurred on October 4, 2011, the Revolving Credit Facility was reduced to \$8.5 million.

Under the terms of the PNC Credit Agreement, as amended, the principal balance of the Term Loan is payable in monthly installments followed by a final installment on February 28, 2012 equal to the then outstanding and unpaid principal balance of the Term Loan. Mandatory prepayments of the Term Loan will be required upon the occurrence of certain events, including sales of certain assets, and the Company must make annual prepayments of the Term Loan in an amount equal to 50% of excess cash flow (as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement). Upon the occurrence of the Sale Event, as defined in the Fifth Amendment, which occurred on October 4, 2011, all remaining obligations under the Term Loan were repaid by the Company.

Index

The Revolving Credit Facility, as amended, has an expiration date of February 28, 2012 and its borrowing base is determined by eligible inventory and accounts receivable, amounting to \$23.9 million at September 30, 2011. The Company's borrowing base under the PNC Credit Agreement is reduced by the outstanding amount of standby and commercial letters of credit. Currently, the Company's largest letters of credit relate to its casualty insurance programs. At September 30, 2011, total outstanding letters of credit were \$2.4 million. The PNC Credit Agreement requires the Company to have a minimum level of availability such that its eligible collateral must exceed the sum of its outstanding borrowings and letters of credit by a certain amount. The Company amended the PNC Credit Agreement three times during the first quarter of 2011 to reduce the minimum level of required availability. The first and second amendments to the PNC Credit Agreement reduced the minimum level of required availability from \$1.5 million to \$1.1 million through February 4 and February 11, 2011, respectively. The third amendment to the PNC Credit Agreement ("Third Amendment") reduced the minimum level of required availability dollar for dollar by the aggregate amount of cash infusions into the Company (and further distributed to the Borrowers) by members of management of the Company and/or such other persons who are reasonably acceptable to PNC Bank, on the date when made. The minimum level of availability remained at the reduced amount through and including May 1, 2011, and was reinstated to \$1.5 million on May 2, 2011. As a result of \$0.2 million received on February 12, 2011, the minimum level of required availability was reduced from \$1.5 million to \$1.3 million on February 12, 2011. An additional \$0.8 million was received on February 15, 2011, further reducing the minimum level of required availability to \$0.5 million on February 15, 2011.

The Term Loan bears interest at the Company's option at either (i) the Eurodollar Rate (as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement), plus 6.25% or (ii) the Base Rate (as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement), plus 5.25%. Borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility bear interest at the Company's option at either (x) the Eurodollar Rate plus 3.25% or (y) the Base Rate plus 2.25%. For U.S. dollar borrowings, the Base Rate is the highest of (i) the Federal Funds Open Rate (as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement) plus one half of 1.0%, (ii) the interest rate announced by PNC Bank as its base commercial lending rate and (iii) the sum of the Daily LIBOR Rate (as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement) plus 1.0%. For Canadian dollar borrowings, the Base Rate is the higher of (x) the interest rate announced by the PNC Bank Canada Branch as its reference rate of interest for loans in Canadian dollars to Canadian borrowers and (y) the sum of the one month CDOR Rate (as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement) plus 1.75%. An unused commitment fee of 50 basis points per annum will be payable quarterly on the average unused amount of the Revolving Credit Facility.

The PNC Credit Agreement includes financial covenants regarding minimum earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA," as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement) and fixed charge coverage ratio. The third, fourth and fifth amendments to the PNC Credit Agreement amended these covenants. The Company was not in compliance with these financial covenants at July 1, 2011; however, the violation of these financial covenants was waived by the Fifth Amendment. Additionally, the first amendment to the PNC Credit Agreement added a covenant requiring the Company to consummate a capital event no later than May 1, 2011, defined as either a capital infusion, in the form of either equity or debt or pursuant to a sale or other disposition of assets. As the Company did not consummate a capital event by May 1, 2011, which constituted an Event of Default, as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement, the Company entered into a fourth amendment to the PNC Credit Agreement ("Fourth Amendment") on May 16, 2011. The Fourth Amendment waived the default, provided the Company consummated a Sale Event, as defined in the first amendment to the PNC Credit Agreement, no later than July 6, 2011. As the Company did not consummate a Sale Event by July 6, 2011, which constituted an Event of Default, as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement, the Company entered into the Fifth Amendment on August 15, 2011. The Fifth Amendment waived the default, provided the Company consummated a Sale Event, as defined in the Fifth Amendment, no later than September 15, 2011. The Fifth Amendment also further amended the EBITDA covenant and will provide the Borrowers with additional flexibility under this covenant. The Sale Event, as described in Note 11, was consummated on October 4, 2011.

All of the debt under the PNC Credit Agreement is re-priced to current rates at frequent intervals. Therefore, its fair value approximates its carrying value at September 30, 2011. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company had amortization of debt issuance costs, included within interest expense, of \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. For the three and nine months ended October 1, 2010, the Company had amortization of debt issuance costs, included within interest expense, of \$48,000 and \$0.4 million, respectively. Included in amortization of debt issuance costs for the nine months ended October 1, 2010 was approximately \$0.2 million of debt issuance costs written off due to the extinguishment of the Bank of America Credit Agreement. The Company incurred \$0.3 million of debt issuance costs during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, associated with amending the PNC Credit Agreement.

In addition, CCP and the Company entered into an Export-Import Revolving Credit and Security Agreement (“Ex-Im Agreement”) with PNC Bank, which provides for up to a \$1.5 million revolving advance amount on certain foreign accounts receivable as part of the Revolving Credit Facility. Concurrent with the Third Amendment, Fourth Amendment and Fifth Amendment, the Company entered into corresponding amendments to the Ex-Im Agreement to amend the financial covenants contained in the Ex-Im Agreement to be consistent with the related PNC Credit Agreement amendments.

Index

On October 4, 2011, the Company terminated the PNC Credit Agreement and related Ex-Im Agreement and paid in full all amounts outstanding under those agreements, as further discussed in Note 11.

Note 5. RETIREMENT BENEFIT PLANS

Certain subsidiaries have pension plans covering substantially all of their employees. These plans are noncontributory, defined benefit pension plans. The benefits to be paid under these plans are generally based on employees' retirement age and years of service. The Company's funding policies, subject to the minimum funding requirements of employee benefit and tax laws, are to contribute such amounts as determined on an actuarial basis to provide the plans with assets sufficient to meet the benefit obligations. Plan assets consist primarily of fixed income investments, corporate equities and government securities. The Company also provides certain health care and life insurance benefits for some of its retired employees. The postretirement health plans are unfunded.

Information regarding the Company's net periodic benefit cost for pension and other postretirement benefit plans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 is as follows (amounts in thousands):

	Pension Benefits			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2011	October 1, 2010	September 30, 2011	October 1, 2010
Components of net periodic benefit cost:				
Interest cost	\$ 18	\$ 18	\$ 55	\$ 55
Expected return on plan assets	\$ (16)	\$ (16)	(47)	(47)
Amortization of net loss	\$ 11	\$ 11	33	33
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 13	\$ 13	\$ 41	\$ 41

	Other Benefits			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2011	October 1, 2010	September 30, 2011	October 1, 2010
Components of net periodic benefit cost:				
Interest cost	\$ 26	\$ 12	\$ 77	\$ 77
Reversal of amortization of net loss	-	(16)	-	-
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 26	\$ (4)	\$ 77	\$ 77

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company made contributions to the pension plans of \$34,000 and \$63,000, respectively. The Company expects to contribute an additional \$14,000 to the pension plans throughout the remainder of 2011. The Company uses a December 31 measurement date for its pension and other postretirement benefit plans. The fair value of plan assets was determined by using quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1 inputs per the fair value hierarchy).

Note 6. STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS

The Company has various stock incentive plans that provide for the granting of stock options, nonqualified stock options, SARs, restricted stock, performance units or shares and other incentive awards to certain employees and directors. Options have been granted at or above the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant, typically vest over a three-year period, and are exercisable not less than twelve months or more than ten years after the date of grant. SARs have been granted at or above the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant, typically vest over periods up to three years, and expire ten years from the date of issue. No more than 50% of the cumulative number of vested SARs held by an employee can be exercised in any one calendar year.

Index

The following table summarizes stock option activity under each of the Company's applicable plans:

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	1,362,000	\$ 1.96		
Granted	-	\$-		
Exercised	-	\$-		
Expired	(304,000)	\$4.21		
Cancelled	(1,000,000)	\$1.17		
Outstanding at September 30, 2011	58,000	\$ 3.89	1.2 years	\$-
Vested and Exercisable at September 30, 2011	58,000	\$ 3.89	1.2 years	\$-

A summary of the status of the Company's non-vested stock options as of September 30, 2011 is presented in the table below:

	Options	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Non-Vested at December 31, 2010	374,999	\$ 0.88
Granted	-	\$ -
Vested	-	\$ -
Cancelled	(374,999)	\$ 0.88
Non-Vested at September 30, 2011	-	\$ -

Expense of \$47,000 was reversed in the first quarter of 2011 related to 83,333 non-vested options awarded under the 2009 Vice President-Operations' Plan which were cancelled in the first quarter of 2011 as a result of the departure of the Company's Vice President-Operations. Effective March 28, 2011, the Company entered into the 2011 Change in Control Plan (the "2011 CIC Plan"). Eligible participants in the 2011 CIC Plan must surrender any and all rights in any options and/or SARs previously awarded by the Company, and acknowledge that he/she will not be entitled to receive any further options and/or SARs from the Company or any other equity-based awards. Each participant is entitled to receive in connection with a change in control an amount equal to his/her share of the aggregate fair market value of the consideration to be delivered to the shareholders of the Company with respect to the outstanding securities of the Company, net of costs or expenses, as applicable, relating to the transaction that results in the change in control, subject to the terms and conditions of the 2011 CIC Plan. As of the effective date of the 2011 CIC Plan, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer were participants. As a result of their participation, 875,000 options were cancelled, of which 291,666 were non-vested. Expense of \$0.2 million was reversed in the first quarter of 2011 related to these non-vested options.

Index

The following table summarizes SARs activity under each of the Company's applicable plans:

Non-Vested at December 31, 2010	66,332
Granted	6,000
Vested	(9,333)
Cancelled	(35,000)
Non-Vested at September 30, 2011	27,999
Total Outstanding at September 30, 2011	307,267

At September 30, 2011, the aggregate liability related to SARs was \$4,000 and is included in accrued expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Note 7. INCOME TAXES

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, various states and foreign jurisdictions. The Company and its subsidiaries are generally no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local examinations by tax authorities for years before 2006.

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had deferred tax assets, net of deferred tax liabilities, of \$78.2 million. Domestic net operating loss ("NOL") carry forwards comprised \$53.9 million of the deferred tax assets for both periods. The Company's history of operating losses in many of its taxing jurisdictions provides significant negative evidence with respect to its ability to generate future taxable income. As a result, valuation allowances have been recorded as of such dates for the full amount of deferred tax assets, net of the amount of deferred tax liabilities.

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

Included in the balances at each of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, of liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits. Because of the impact of deferred tax accounting, other than interest and penalties, the recognition of these liabilities would not affect the annual effective tax rate. The income tax benefit for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 primarily reflects current tax benefit for the recognition of uncertain tax positions of \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, due to the expiration of certain statutes of limitations. The Company anticipates reductions to the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits of an additional \$0.1 million within the next twelve months due to expiring statutes of limitations.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties accrued related to the unrecognized tax benefits in the income tax provision. The Company had approximately \$23,000 and \$0.1 million of interest and penalties accrued at each of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Note 8. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Kohlberg & Co., L.L.C., whose affiliate holds all 1,131,551 shares of the Company's Convertible Preferred Stock, provides ongoing management oversight and advisory services to the Company. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company owed Kohlberg \$1.6 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, for these services, which is recorded in current liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. For each of the three and nine

months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, is recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for these services.

Concurrent with the Third Amendment (described above), in February 2011, loans of \$0.1 million each were received from two directors of the Company, and a loan of \$50,000 was received from the Company's Chief Executive Officer, which are recorded in other liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. In connection with these loans, the Company entered into subordinated promissory notes with these individuals. These notes mature on November 26, 2013 and accrue interest at a rate of 15% per year, to be paid in quarterly installments, which may be paid by capitalizing such interest and adding such capitalized interest to the principal amount of the subordinated notes. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, \$10,000 and \$24,000, respectively, of interest was capitalized into the principal amount of the notes.

Index

Note 9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

General Environmental Claims

The Company and certain of its current and former direct and indirect corporate predecessors, subsidiaries and divisions are involved in remedial activities at certain present and former locations and have been identified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), state environmental agencies and private parties as potentially responsible parties (“PRPs”) at a number of hazardous waste disposal sites under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (“Superfund”) or equivalent state laws and, as such, may be liable for the cost of cleanup and other remedial activities at these sites. Responsibility for cleanup and other remedial activities at a Superfund site is typically shared among PRPs based on an allocation formula. Under the federal Superfund statute, parties could be held jointly and severally liable, thus subjecting them to potential individual liability for the entire cost of cleanup at the site. Based on its estimate of allocation of liability among PRPs, the probability that other PRPs, many of whom are large, solvent, public companies, will fully pay the costs apportioned to them, currently available information concerning the scope of contamination, estimated remediation costs, estimated legal fees and other factors, the Company has recorded and accrued for environmental liabilities in amounts that it deems reasonable and believes that any liability with respect to these matters in excess of the accruals will not be material. The ultimate costs will depend on a number of factors and the amount currently accrued represents management’s best current estimate on an undiscounted basis of the total costs to be incurred. The Company expects this amount to be substantially paid over the next five to ten years.

W.J. Smith Wood Preserving Company (“W.J. Smith”)

The matter with W. J. Smith, a subsidiary of the Company, originated in the 1980s when the United States and the State of Texas, through the Texas Water Commission, initiated environmental enforcement actions against W.J. Smith alleging that certain conditions on the W.J. Smith property (the “Property”) violated environmental laws. In order to resolve the enforcement actions, W.J. Smith engaged in a series of cleanup activities on the Property and implemented a groundwater monitoring program.

In 1993, the EPA initiated a proceeding under Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”) against W.J. Smith and the Company. The proceeding sought certain actions at the site and at certain off-site areas, as well as development and implementation of additional cleanup activities to mitigate off-site releases. In December 1995, W.J. Smith, the Company and the EPA agreed to resolve the proceeding through an Administrative Order on Consent under Section 7003 of RCRA. While the Company has completed the cleanup activities required by the Administrative Order on Consent under Section 7003 of RCRA, the Company still has further post-closure obligations in the areas of groundwater monitoring and ongoing site operations and maintenance costs, as well as potential contractual obligations related to real estate matters.

Since 1990, the Company has spent in excess of \$7.0 million undertaking cleanup and compliance activities in connection with this matter. While ultimate liability with respect to this matter is not easy to determine, the Company has recorded and accrued amounts that it deems reasonable for prospective liabilities with respect to this matter.

Asbestos Claims

A. The Company has been named as a defendant in eleven lawsuits filed in state court in Alabama by a total of approximately 325 individual plaintiffs. There are over 100 defendants named in each case. In all eleven cases, the Plaintiffs claim that they were exposed to asbestos in the course of their employment at a former U.S. Steel plant in Alabama and, as a result, contracted mesothelioma, asbestosis, lung cancer or other illness. They claim that while in the plant they were exposed to asbestos in products which were manufactured by each defendant. In nine of the cases,

Plaintiffs also assert wrongful death claims. The Company will vigorously defend the claims against it in these matters. The liability of the Company cannot be determined at this time.

B. Sterling Fluid Systems (USA) (“Sterling”) has tendered approximately 2,915 cases pending in Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Illinois, Nevada, Mississippi, Wyoming, Louisiana, Georgia, Massachusetts, Missouri, Kentucky, California, South Carolina, Rhode Island and Canada to the Company for defense and indemnification. With respect to one case, Sterling has demanded that the Company indemnify it for a \$200,000 settlement. Sterling bases its tender of the complaints on the provisions contained in a 1993 Purchase Agreement between the parties whereby Sterling purchased the LaBour Pump business and other assets from the Company. Sterling has not filed a lawsuit against the Company in connection with these matters.

Index

The tendered complaints all purport to state claims against Sterling and its subsidiaries. The Company and its current subsidiaries are not named as defendants. The plaintiffs in the cases also allege that they were exposed to asbestos and products containing asbestos in the course of their employment. Each complaint names as defendants many manufacturers of products containing asbestos, apparently because plaintiffs came into contact with a variety of different products in the course of their employment. Plaintiffs claim that LaBour Pump Company, a former division of an inactive subsidiary of the Company, and/or Sterling may have manufactured some of those products.

With respect to many of the tendered complaints, including the one settled by Sterling for \$200,000, the Company has taken the position that Sterling has waived its right to indemnity by failing to timely request it as required under the 1993 Purchase Agreement. With respect to the balance of the tendered complaints, the Company has elected not to assume the defense of Sterling in these matters.

C. LaBour Pump Company, a former division of an inactive subsidiary of the Company, has been named as a defendant in approximately 430 of the New Jersey cases tendered by Sterling. The Company has elected to defend these cases, the majority of which have been dismissed or settled for nominal sums. There are approximately 90 cases which remain active as of September 30, 2011.

While the ultimate liability of the Company related to the asbestos matters above cannot be determined at this time, the Company has recorded and accrued amounts that it deems reasonable for prospective liabilities with respect to these matters.

Other Claims

There are a number of product liability and workers' compensation claims pending against the Company and its subsidiaries. Many of these claims are proceeding through the litigation process and the final outcome will not be known until a settlement is reached with the claimant or the case is adjudicated. The Company estimates that it can take up to ten years from the date of the injury to reach a final outcome on certain claims. With respect to the product liability and workers' compensation claims, the Company has provided for its share of expected losses beyond the applicable insurance coverage, including those incurred but not reported to the Company or its insurance providers, which are developed using actuarial techniques. Such accruals are developed using currently available claim information, and represent management's best estimates, including estimated legal fees, on an undiscounted basis. The ultimate cost of any individual claim can vary based upon, among other factors, the nature of the injury, the duration of the disability period, the length of the claim period, the jurisdiction of the claim and the nature of the final outcome.

Although management believes that the actions specified above in this section individually and in the aggregate are not likely to have outcomes that will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flow, further costs could be significant and will be recorded as a charge to operations when, and if, current information dictates a change in management's estimates.

Note 10. SEVERANCE, RESTRUCTURING AND RELATED CHARGES

Over the past several years, the Company has initiated several cost reduction and facility consolidation initiatives, resulting in severance, restructuring and related charges. These initiatives resulted from the on-going strategic reassessment of the Company's various businesses as well as the markets in which they operate.

Wilco facility relocation – In the second quarter of 2010, the Company informed employees of its intent to close the Wilco facility in Atlanta, Georgia and relocate the manufacturing and distribution functions to the CCP location in Bridgeton, Missouri. The relocation was completed by the end of 2010. Management believes that no further material charges will be incurred for this activity. Following is a rollforward of restructuring liabilities by type for the

Wilco facility relocation (amounts in thousands):

	One-time Termination Benefits
Restructuring liabilities at December 31, 2010	\$ 125
Additions	-
Payments	(125)
Restructuring liabilities at September 30, 2011	\$ -

Index

Consolidation of St. Louis manufacturing/distribution facilities – In 2002, the Company committed to a plan to consolidate the manufacturing and distribution of the four CCP facilities in the St. Louis, Missouri area. Management believed that in order to implement a more competitive cost structure, the excess capacity at the four plastic molding facilities in this area would need to be eliminated. This plan was completed by the end of 2003. Management believes that no further charges will be incurred for this activity, except for potential adjustments to noncancelable lease liabilities as actual activity compares to assumptions made. Following is a rollforward of restructuring liabilities for the consolidation of St. Louis manufacturing/distribution facilities (amounts in thousands):

	Contract Termination Costs
Restructuring liabilities at December 31, 2010	\$ 314
Additions	393
Payments	(45)
Other	(393)
Restructuring liabilities at September 30, 2011	\$ 269

This amount relates to noncancelable lease liabilities for abandoned facilities, net of potential sub-lease revenue. Total maximum potential amount of lease loss, excluding any sub-lease rentals, is \$0.4 million as of September 30, 2011. The Company has included \$0.1 million as an offset for sub-lease rentals. This amount is expected to be paid in 2011. In the third quarter of 2011 the Company recorded an additional restructuring charge of \$0.4 million related to a sub-lease rental. As of September 30, 2011, the Company does not anticipate any further significant severance, restructuring and other related charges in the upcoming year related to the plan discussed above.

A rollforward of all restructuring liabilities is as follows (amounts in thousands):

	Total	One-time Termination Benefits	Contract Termination Costs
Restructuring liabilities at December 31, 2010	\$ 439	\$ 125	\$ 314
Additions	393	-	393
Payments	(170)	(125)	(45)
Other	(393)	-	(393)
Restructuring liabilities at September 30, 2011	\$ 269	\$ -	\$ 269

Note 11. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On October 4, 2011, the Company sold certain assets and liabilities related to the DISCO division of Continental Commercial Products, LLC to DISCO Acquisition Corp., a Pennsylvania corporation and an affiliate of Cellucap Manufacturing Company, for \$19.0 million, subject to pre-closing and post-closing adjustments based on working capital levels, an indemnification escrow of \$475,000 and a deferred lease maintenance adjustment of \$10,000 (the “Sale Event”). The Company used net proceeds from the transaction to reduce its outstanding balance under the PNC Credit Agreement. The sale of the DISCO division met the criteria for classification as discontinued operations and held for sale as of September 30, 2011, in accordance with GAAP; therefore, the Company has classified the results of the DISCO division as discontinued operations for all periods presented, and the assets and liabilities of the DISCO division as held for sale. Selected financial data for discontinued operations is summarized as follows (amounts in thousands):

Three Months Ended

Nine Months Ended

Edgar Filing: KATY INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, 2011	October 1, 2010	September 30, 2011	October 1, 2010
Net sales	\$ 4,185	\$ 4,157	\$ 12,622	\$ 12,278
Pre-tax operating income	\$ 917	\$ 974	\$ 2,852	\$ 2,673

Index

The components of assets and liabilities held for sale are as follows (amounts in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Assets		
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 2,185	\$ 2,033
Inventories, net	1,426	1,441
Other current assets	18	16
Intangibles, net	628	698
Property and equipment, net	52	105
	\$ 4,309	\$ 4,293
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,174	\$ 975
Accrued compensation	52	56
Accrued expenses	424	314
	\$ 1,650	\$ 1,345

On October 4, 2011, Continental Commercial Products, LLC, Glit/Gemtex, Ltd. and 3254018 Nova Scotia Limited (collectively, the “Borrowers”), wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, entered into a Loan and Security Agreement with the PrivateBank and Trust Company (the “PB Loan Agreement”). The PB Loan Agreement provides the Company a \$20.0 million revolving credit facility, including a \$3.0 million sub-limit for letters of credit and a \$2.5 million sub-limit for capital expenditures (“CapEx Sublimit”). The proceeds of the Borrowers’ initial borrowing under the PB Loan Agreement were used to repay the PNC Credit Agreement and pay fees and expenses related to the negotiation and consummation of the credit facility. All extensions of credit under the PB Loan Agreement are collateralized by a first priority security interest in and lien upon substantially all present and future assets and properties of the Company and the Borrowers. The Company guarantees the obligations of the Borrowers under the PB Loan Agreement.

The PB Loan Agreement has an expiration date of September 29, 2014 and its borrowing base is determined by eligible inventory and accounts receivable. The Company’s borrowing base under the PB Loan Agreement is reduced by the outstanding amount of standby and commercial letters of credit and any outstanding borrowings under the CapEx Sublimit.

Borrowings under the PB Loan Agreement bear interest at a per annum rate equal to the sum of the Prime Rate Revolving Loans Applicable Margin plus the Prime Rate (each as defined in the PB Loan Agreement), or at a per annum rate equal to the sum of the LIBOR Rate Revolving Loans Applicable Margin plus the LIBOR Rate (each as defined in the PB Loan Agreement). An unused commitment fee of 50 basis points per annum is payable monthly on the average unused amount of the PB Loan Agreement. The PB Loan Agreement includes a financial covenant regarding fixed charge coverage ratio.

In connection with the execution of the PB Loan Agreement, the PNC Credit Agreement, dated as of May 26, 2010, as amended, and the related Ex-Im Agreement, were terminated effective October 4, 2011, and all amounts outstanding under those agreements were paid in full.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Index

- Increases in the cost of, or in some cases continuation of, the current price levels of thermoplastic resins, paper board packaging, latex binders, cotton and other raw materials.
 - Our inability to reduce product costs, including manufacturing, sourcing, freight, and other product costs.
 - Our inability to protect our intellectual property rights adequately.
 - Our inability to expand our customer base and increase corresponding revenues.
- Our inability to achieve product price increases, especially as they relate to potentially higher raw material costs.
- Unfavorable economic or business conditions, as well as our exposure to the credit risks of our customers and distributors, which may reduce our sales or make it difficult to collect accounts receivable.
 - Competition from foreign and domestic competitors.
 - The potential impact of rising interest rates on our debt outstanding under the PB Loan Agreement.
 - Our inability to meet covenants associated with the PB Loan Agreement.
- Our inability to access funds under our current loan agreements or refinance our loan agreements given the current instability in the credit markets.
- Our failure to identify, and promptly and effectively remediate, any material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting.
 - The potential impact of rising costs for insurance for properties and various forms of liabilities.
 - The potential impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates related to our Canadian operations.
- Labor issues, including union activities that require an increase in production costs or lead to a strike, thus impairing production and decreasing sales, and labor relations issues at entities involved in our supply chain, including both suppliers and those involved in transportation and shipping.
- Changes in significant laws and government regulations affecting environmental compliance and income taxes.

OVERVIEW

We are a manufacturer, importer and distributor of commercial cleaning and storage products. Our commercial cleaning products are sold primarily to janitorial/sanitary and foodservice distributors that supply end users such as restaurants, hotels, healthcare facilities and schools. Our storage products are primarily sold through major home improvement and mass market retail outlets.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 versus Three Months Ended October 1, 2010

Net sales increased slightly from \$33.4 million during the three months ended October 1, 2010 to \$33.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2011. Net sales increased approximately 3.5% due to pricing and currency

translation changes which were almost entirely offset by a 3.0% decrease due to volume shortfall in our Continental and Glit business units. Gross margin was 10.6% for the three months ended September 30, 2011, an increase of 3.5 percentage points from the same period a year ago. The variance in our LIFO adjustment quarter over quarter was nominal. The increase in gross margin was primarily a result of lower headcount, reduced occupancy expense resulting from the relocation of our Wilen facility from Atlanta, Georgia to Bridgeton, Missouri in 2010, and lower depreciation from assets becoming fully depreciated.

Index

Selling, general and administrative (“SG&A”) expenses were \$4.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$4.1 million for the same period a year ago. The variance was primarily due to a favorable adjustment made in the prior year to the environmental reserves as a result of the expiration of statutes of limitation on certain claims.

Operating results for the three months ended September 30, 2011 were negatively impacted by severance, restructuring and related charges of \$0.4 million associated with the Company’s noncancelable lease liability for an abandoned facility, and the related sub-lease rental. Results for the three months ended October 1, 2010 were negatively impacted by \$0.7 million in charges associated with the Company’s initiative to close its Wilen facility in Atlanta, Georgia and relocate the manufacturing and distribution functions to Bridgeton, Missouri.

Interest expense of \$0.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was an increase of \$0.2 million from the same period of the prior year due to the accelerated amortization of debt issuance costs subsequent to the Fifth Amendment of the PNC Credit Agreement (as defined below).

Loss from continuing operations of \$2.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 were an improvement of \$0.5 million from the three months ended October 1, 2010. The variance was primarily a result of improved gross margin, offset partially by increased SG&A expenses and interest expense, as discussed above.

With the sale of the DISCO division on October 4, 2011 (as discussed in Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements), all activity associated with this division has been classified as discontinued operations. Income from operations for this division was approximately \$0.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, and \$1.0 million for the three months ended October 1, 2010.

Overall, we reported a net loss of \$1.1 million, or \$0.14 per share, for the third quarter of 2011, as compared to a net loss of \$1.6 million, or \$0.20 per diluted share, for the third quarter of 2010. The fluctuation was a result of decreased severance, restructuring and related charges and improved gross margin.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 versus Nine Months Ended October 1, 2010

Net sales decreased 4.1% from \$97.8 million during the nine months ended October 1, 2010 to \$93.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The majority of the decrease was a result of volume shortfall in our Continental and Glit business units, primarily due to four less shipping days in the first nine months of 2011 than in the first nine months of 2010 due to inclement weather shutdowns. Net sales increased approximately 2.6% year over year due to pricing and currency translation changes. Gross margin was 9.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, a slight decrease from 9.6% for the same period a year ago. Gross margin was impacted by an unfavorable variance in our LIFO adjustment of \$0.2 million resulting from inventory fluctuations and resin prices. Excluding the LIFO adjustment, gross margin increased 0.1 percentage points from the first nine months of 2010. The increase was primarily a result of lower headcount, reduced occupancy costs related to the relocation of our Wilen facility, and lower depreciation from assets becoming fully depreciated. These favorable variances were almost entirely offset by increased raw material costs.

SG&A expenses were \$13.9 million for the first nine months of 2011, a \$1.4 million reduction from the same period a year ago. The decrease was a result of cost-cutting efforts including, but not limited to, a reduction in supervisor salary expenses and headcount reduction, as well as decreases in stock-based compensation expense due to our lower stock price and the reversal of prior years’ compensation expense as a result of cancelled unvested stock options during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Operating results for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were negatively impacted by severance, restructuring and related charges of \$0.4 million associated with the Company's noncancelable lease liability for an abandoned facility, and the related sub-lease rental. Results for the nine months ended October 1, 2010 were negatively impacted by \$1.0 million in charges associated with the Company's initiative to close its Wilen facility in Atlanta, Georgia and relocate the manufacturing and distribution functions to Bridgeton, Missouri.

Operating loss decreased from \$6.7 million during the nine months ended October 1, 2010 to \$5.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 primarily due to the decrease in SG&A expenses described above.

Interest expense was \$1.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, an increase of \$0.2 million from the nine months ended October 1, 2010. Interest expense for the nine months ended October 1, 2010 included \$0.2 million of debt issuance costs that were written off with the extinguishment of the Bank of America Credit Agreement. The year over year increase was a result of accelerated amortization of debt issuance costs subsequent to the Fifth Amendment of the PNC Credit Agreement and higher average borrowings.

Index

Other income during the nine months ended October 1, 2010 consisted primarily of a \$2.1 million gain recognized from a settlement of an existing obligation due to Pentland.

The income tax benefit for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 includes a benefit for the recognition of uncertain tax positions of \$0.3 million as the statutes of limitations on certain tax years expired.

Loss from continuing operations of \$6.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$1.7 million greater than the nine months ended October 1, 2010. Prior year results included the \$2.1 million Pentland gain previously discussed. The remaining improvement was primarily a result of reduced SG&A expenses.

With the sale of the DISCO division on October 4, 2011, all activity associated with this division has been classified as discontinued operations. Income from operations for this division was approximately \$2.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and \$2.7 million for the nine months ended October 1, 2010.

Overall, we reported a net loss of \$3.7 million, or \$0.46 per share, for the first nine months of 2011, as compared to a net loss of \$2.2 million, or \$0.28 per share, for the first nine months of 2010. Excluding the \$2.1 million Pentland settlement gain in prior year, net loss decreased \$0.6 million year over year, as reduced SG&A expenses were largely offset by increased raw material costs.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We require funding for working capital needs and capital expenditures. We believe that our cash flow from operations and the use of available borrowings under the PB Loan Agreement (as defined below) provide sufficient liquidity for our operations going forward. As of September 30, 2011, we had cash of \$2.1 million and outstanding checks of \$1.0 million as compared to cash of \$1.3 million and outstanding checks of \$1.3 million at December 31, 2010. As of September 30, 2011, we had outstanding borrowings of \$24.9 million (83% of total capitalization) under the PNC Credit Agreement. Our unused borrowing availability at September 30, 2011 on the Revolving Credit Facility (as defined below) was \$1.5 million after the \$1.5 million minimum availability requirement discussed below. As of December 31, 2010, we had outstanding borrowings of \$22.9 million (72% of total capitalization) with unused borrowing availability of \$0.5 million after the \$1.1 million minimum availability requirement discussed below.

PNC Credit Agreement

On May 26, 2010, Continental Commercial Products, LLC (“CCP”) and Glit/Gemtex, Ltd. (collectively with CCP, the “Borrowers”), wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, and the Company, as guarantor, entered into a Revolving Credit, Term Loan and Security Agreement (the “PNC Credit Agreement”) with PNC Bank, National Association (“PNC Bank”). During the six months ended July 1, 2011, the PNC Credit Agreement was amended four times to reduce the minimum level of required availability (as discussed below) and waive certain financial and capital event covenants. The PNC Credit Agreement was amended a fifth time on August 15, 2011 to waive certain financial and capital event covenants, reduce the maximum amount of the revolving credit facility upon the occurrence of a capital event, amend certain financial covenants for the remaining term of the agreement, and change the termination date of the PNC Credit Agreement from May 26, 2013 to February 28, 2012. Due to the change of the termination date of the PNC Credit Agreement, all outstanding obligations as of September 30, 2011 have been classified as a current liability.

The PNC Credit Agreement is a \$33.2 million credit facility with an \$8.2 million term loan (the “Term Loan”) and a \$25.0 million revolving loan (the “Revolving Credit Facility”), including a \$3.5 million sub-limit for letters of credit. The proceeds of the Term Loan and Revolving Credit Facility were used to repay the Second Amended and Restated

Credit Agreement with Bank of America (“Bank of America Credit Agreement”) and pay fees and expenses associated with the negotiation and consummation of the PNC Credit Agreement. Upon the occurrence of the Sale Event, as defined in the Fifth Amendment and Waiver to Revolving Credit, Term Loan and Security Agreement (“Fifth Amendment”), the Revolving Credit Facility was reduced to \$8.5 million. The PNC Credit Agreement resulted in two important benefits related to our long-term strategy: (1) the reduction of the availability block under the Revolving Credit Facility from \$5.0 million to \$1.5 million, as compared to the revolver under the Bank of America Credit Agreement, allows for additional borrowing capacity and increased working capital flexibility and (2) the additional \$1.7 million availability under the Term Loan, as compared to the term loan under the Bank of America Credit Agreement, allowed us to negotiate a settlement of an existing obligation due to Pentland USA, Inc. (“Pentland”), whereby we accelerated all amounts due to Pentland in 2010 of \$1.9 million and paid an additional \$0.1 million in satisfaction of a liability of \$4.1 million. This settlement eliminates cash requirements of \$1.8 million in 2011 and \$0.4 million in 2012.

Index

All extensions of credit under the PNC Credit Agreement are collateralized by a first priority security interest in and lien upon substantially all present and future assets and properties of the Company. The Company guarantees the obligations of the Borrowers under the PNC Credit Agreement.

Under the terms of the PNC Credit Agreement, as amended, the principal balance of the Term Loan is payable in monthly installments followed by a final installment on February 28, 2012 equal to the then outstanding and unpaid principal balance of the Term Loan. Mandatory prepayments of the Term Loan will be required upon the occurrence of certain events, including sales of certain assets, and the Company must make annual prepayments of the Term Loan in an amount equal to 50% of excess cash flow (as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement). Upon the occurrence of the Sale Event, as defined in the Fifth Amendment, all remaining obligations under the Term Loan will be repaid by the Company.

The Revolving Credit Facility, as amended, has an expiration date of February 28, 2012 and its borrowing base is determined by eligible inventory and accounts receivable, amounting to \$23.9 million at September 30, 2011. The Company's borrowing base under the PNC Credit Agreement is reduced by the outstanding amount of standby and commercial letters of credit. Currently, the Company's largest letters of credit relate to its casualty insurance programs. At September 30, 2011, total outstanding letters of credit were \$2.4 million. The PNC Credit Agreement requires the Company to have a minimum level of availability such that its eligible collateral must exceed the sum of its outstanding borrowings and letters of credit by a certain amount. The Company amended the PNC Credit Agreement three times during the first quarter of 2011 to reduce the minimum level of required availability. The first and second amendments to the PNC Credit Agreement reduced the minimum level of required availability from \$1.5 million to \$1.1 million through February 4 and February 11, 2011, respectively. The third amendment to the PNC Credit Agreement ("Third Amendment") reduced the minimum level of required availability dollar for dollar by the aggregate amount of cash infusions into the Company (and further distributed to the Borrowers) by members of management of the Company and/or such other persons who are reasonably acceptable to PNC Bank, on the date when made. The minimum level of availability remained at the reduced amount through and including May 1, 2011, and was reinstated to \$1.5 million on May 2, 2011. As a result of \$0.2 million received on February 12, 2011, the minimum level of required availability was reduced from \$1.5 million to \$1.3 million on February 12, 2011. An additional \$0.8 million was received on February 15, 2011, further reducing the minimum level of required availability to \$0.5 million on February 15, 2011.

The Term Loan bears interest at the Company's option at either (i) the Eurodollar Rate (as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement), plus 6.25% or (ii) the Base Rate (as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement), plus 5.25%. Borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility bear interest at the Company's option at either (x) the Eurodollar Rate plus 3.25% or (y) the Base Rate plus 2.25%. For U.S. dollar borrowings, the Base Rate is the highest of (i) the Federal Funds Open Rate (as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement) plus one half of 1.0%, (ii) the interest rate announced by PNC Bank as its base commercial lending rate and (iii) the sum of the Daily LIBOR Rate (as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement) plus 1.0%. For Canadian dollar borrowings, the Base Rate is the higher of (x) the interest rate announced by the PNC Bank Canada Branch as its reference rate of interest for loans in Canadian dollars to Canadian borrowers and (y) the sum of the one month CDOR Rate (as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement) plus 1.75%. An unused commitment fee of 50 basis points per annum will be payable quarterly on the average unused amount of the Revolving Credit Facility.

The PNC Credit Agreement includes financial covenants regarding minimum earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA," as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement) and fixed charge coverage ratio. The third, fourth and fifth amendments amended these covenants. The Company was not in compliance with these financial covenants at July 1, 2011; however, the violation of these financial covenants was waived by the Fifth Amendment. Additionally, the first amendment to the PNC Credit Agreement added a covenant requiring the Company to consummate a capital event no later than May 1, 2011, defined as either a capital infusion, in the form of

either equity or debt or pursuant to a sale or other disposition of assets. As the Company did not consummate a capital event by May 1, 2011, which constituted an Event of Default, as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement, the Company entered into a fourth amendment to the PNC Credit Agreement (“Fourth Amendment”) on May 16, 2011. The Fourth Amendment waived the default, provided the Company consummate a Sale Event, as defined in the first amendment to the PNC Credit Agreement, no later than July 6, 2011. As the Company did not consummate a Sale Event by July 6, 2011, which constituted an Event of Default, as defined in the PNC Credit Agreement, the Company entered into the Fifth Amendment on August 15, 2011. The Fifth Amendment waived the default, provided the Company consummate a Sale Event, as defined in the Fifth Amendment, no later than September 15, 2011. The Fifth Amendment also further amended the EBITDA covenant and will provide the Borrowers with additional flexibility under this covenant. The Sale Event, as described in Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, was consummated on October 4, 2011.

All of the debt under the PNC Credit Agreement is re-priced to current rates at frequent intervals. Therefore, its fair value approximates its carrying value at September 30, 2011. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company had amortization of debt issuance costs, included within interest expense, of \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. For the three and nine months ended October 1, 2010, the Company had amortization of debt issuance costs, included within interest expense, of \$48,000 and \$0.4 million, respectively. Included in amortization of debt issuance costs for the nine months ended October 1, 2010 was approximately \$0.2 million of debt issuance costs written off due to the extinguishment of the Bank of America Credit Agreement. The Company incurred \$0.3 million of debt issuance costs during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, associated with amending the PNC Credit Agreement.

Index

In addition, CCP and the Company entered into an Export-Import Revolving Credit and Security Agreement (“Ex-Im Agreement”) with PNC Bank, which provides for up to a \$1.5 million revolving advance amount on certain foreign accounts receivable as part of the Revolving Credit Facility. Concurrent with the Third Amendment, Fourth Amendment and Fifth Amendment, the Company entered into corresponding amendments to the Ex-Im Agreement to amend the financial covenants contained in the Ex-Im Agreement to be consistent with the related PNC Credit Agreement amendments.

PrivateBank Loan Agreement

On October 4, 2011, Continental Commercial Products, LLC, Glit/Gemtex, Ltd. and 3254018 Nova Scotia Limited (collectively, the “Borrowers”), wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, entered into a Loan and Security Agreement with the PrivateBank and Trust Company (the “PB Loan Agreement”). The PB Loan Agreement is a \$20.0 million revolving credit facility, including a \$3.0 million sub-limit for letters of credit and a \$2.5 million sub-limit for capital expenditures (“CapEx Sublimit”). The proceeds of the Borrowers’ initial borrowing under the PB Loan Agreement were used to repay the PNC Credit Agreement and pay fees and expenses related to the negotiation and consummation of the credit facility. All extensions of credit under the PB Loan Agreement are collateralized by a first priority security interest in and lien upon substantially all present and future assets and properties of the Company and the Borrowers. The Company guarantees the obligations of the Borrowers under the PB Loan Agreement.

The PB Loan Agreement has an expiration date of September 29, 2014 and its borrowing base is determined by eligible inventory and accounts receivable. The Company’s borrowing base under the PB Loan Agreement is reduced by the outstanding amount of standby and commercial letters of credit and any outstanding borrowings under the CapEx Sublimit.

Borrowings under the PB Loan Agreement bear interest at a per annum rate equal to the sum of the Prime Rate Revolving Loans Applicable Margin plus the Prime Rate (each as defined in the PB Loan Agreement), or at a per annum rate equal to the sum of the LIBOR Rate Revolving Loans Applicable Margin plus the LIBOR Rate (each as defined in the PB Loan Agreement). An unused commitment fee of 50 basis points per annum is payable monthly on the average unused amount of the PB Loan Agreement, which includes a financial covenant regarding fixed charge coverage ratio.

In connection with the execution of the PB Loan Agreement, the PNC Credit Agreement, dated as of May 26, 2010, as amended, and the related Ex-Im Agreement, were terminated effective October 4, 2011, and all amounts outstanding under those agreements were paid in full.

Cash Flows

Cash used in operations was \$1.0 million in the first nine months of 2011 as compared to \$5.0 million in the same period in 2010. The variance was primarily a result of a \$3.1 million letter of credit cash collateralization payment to Bank of America and a \$2.0 million settlement payment to Pentland USA, Inc. As of September 30, 2011 we were turning our inventory at 4.8 times per year as compared to 4.0 times per year as of December 31, 2010 and 4.5 times per year as of October 1, 2010.

Cash flows used in financing activities in the first nine months of 2011 reflect a \$2.0 million increase in our debt levels and a \$0.2 million decrease in our book overdraft since December 31, 2010, as well as \$0.3 million of cash used associated with debt facilities, offset by \$0.3 million in cash provided by notes payable to related parties.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

As of September 30, 2011, the Company had no off-balance sheet arrangements.

SEVERANCE, RESTRUCTURING AND RELATED CHARGES

See Note 10 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further discussion of severance, restructuring and related charges.

Index

ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER CONTINGENCIES

See Note 9 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a discussion of environmental and other contingencies.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

See Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a discussion of recently issued accounting pronouncements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

We disclosed details regarding certain of our critical accounting policies in the Management's Discussion and Analysis section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (Part II, Item 7). There have been no changes to these policies as of September 30, 2011.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") is reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules, regulations and related forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Katy carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period of our report. Based upon that evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in Katy's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Katy's internal control over financial reporting.

Index

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Except as otherwise noted in Note 9 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, during the quarter for which this report is filed, there have been no material developments in previously reported legal proceedings, and no other cases or legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the Company's business and other nonmaterial proceedings, were brought against the Company.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

We are affected by risks specific to us as well as factors that affect all businesses operating in a global market. The significant factors known to us that could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, or operating results are described in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on March 31, 2011. There has been no material change in those risk factors.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

Item 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Item 6. EXHIBITS [NEEDS TO BE UPDATED]

Exhibit

Number Exhibit Title

Page

10.1 Loan and Security Agreement dated September 30, 2011 among Continental Commercial Products, LLC, Glit/Gemtex, Ltd., 3254018 Nova Scotia Limited, Katy Industries, Inc. and The PrivateBank and Trust Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 11, 2011).

10.2 Asset Purchase Agreement dated August 23, 2011 by and between Continental Commercial Products, LLC, Katy Industries, Inc., and DISCO Acquisition Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 11, 2011).

31.1 CEO Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 CFO Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 CEO Certification required by 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. #

32.2 CFO Certification required by 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. #

101 Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-5: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (iv) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

These certifications are being furnished solely to accompany this report pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, and are not being filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Katy Industries, Inc. whether made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

Index

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

KATY INDUSTRIES, INC.

Registrant

DATE: November 14, 2011

By /s/ David J. Feldman
David J. Feldman
President and Chief Executive Officer

By /s/ James W. Shaffer
James W. Shaffer
Vice President, Treasurer and Chief
Financial Officer