NAVIGANT CONSULTING INC Form SC 13G/A January 31, 2012

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

## **SCHEDULE 13G**

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. 1)\*

# **Navigant Consulting, Inc.**

(Name of Issuer)

#### Common

(Title of Class of Securities)

## 63935N107

(CUSIP Number)

## December 31, 2011

(Date of Event Which Requires Filing of this Statement)

Check the appropriate box to designate the rule pursuant to which this Schedule is filed:

- x Rule 13d-1(b)
- o Rule 13d-1(c)
- o Rule 13d-1(d)

\*The remainder of this cover page shall be filled out for a reporting person's initial filing on this form with respect to the subject class of securities, and for any subsequent amendment containing information which would alter the disclosures provided in a prior cover page.

The information required in the remainder of this cover page shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act (however, see the Notes).

## CUSIP No. 63935N107

1. Names of Reporting Persons

I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only).

Security Investors, LLC

48-1183041

2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{(a)} & & \text{o} \\ \text{(b)} & & \text{o} \\ \text{Not Applicable} & & x \\ \end{array}$ 

- 3. SEC Use Only
- 4. Citizenship or Place of Organization

A limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Kansas.

5. Sole Voting Power 3,918,144 Number of Shares 6. Shared Voting Power Beneficially Owned by Each 7. Sole Dispositive Power Reporting 3,918,144 Person With 8. Shared Dispositive Power -0-

- Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person 3,918,144
- 10. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) Not applicable
- 11. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9) 7.49%
- 12. Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions) IA

2

Item 1.			
	(a)	Name of Issuer	
		Navigant Consulting, Inc	2.
	(b)	Address of Issuer s Prin	cipal Executive Offices
		30 South Wacker Drive,	Suite 3550, Chicago, IL 60606
Item 2.			
	(a)	Name of Person Filing	
		Security Investors, LLC	
	(b)	•	siness Office or, if none, Residence
		One Security Benefit Pla	nce, Topeka, Kansas 66636-0001
	(c)	Citizenship	
		Security Investors, LLC	is a Kansas limited liability company.
	(d)	Title of Class of Securiti	es
		Common Stock	
	(e)	CUSIP Number	
		63935N107	
Item 3.	Tf 4h: a a4a4a		12d 1(h) an 240 12d 2(h) an (a) abaalamba4ban 4ba manan 6th a is a
item 5.		<del>-</del>	.13d-1(b) or 240.13d-2(b) or (c), check whether the person filing is a:
	(a)	0	Broker or dealer registered under section 15 of the Act (15 U.S.C. 780); Bank as defined in section 3(a)(6) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78c);
	(b)	0	
	(c)	0	Insurance company as defined in section 3(a)(19) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78c);
	(d)	0	Investment company registered under section 8 of the Investment Company
	(-)	_	Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-8);
	(e)	X	An investment adviser in accordance with §240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E);
	(f)	O	An employee benefit plan or endowment fund in accordance with
	( )		\$240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(F);
	(g)	O	A parent holding company or control person in accordance with
	<i>a</i> >		\$240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G);
	(h)	О	A savings association as defined in Section 3(b) of the Federal Deposit
			Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1813);
	(i)	О	A church plan that is excluded from the definition of an investment company
			under section 3(c)(14) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C.
			80a-3);
	(j)	О	A non-U.S. institution in accordance with § 240.13d 1(b)(1)(ii)(J);
			Group, in accordance with § 240.13d 1(b)(1)(ii)(K). If filing as a non-U.S.
	(k)	O	institution in accordance with § 240.13d 1(b)(1)(ii)(J), please specify the type
			of institution:

## Item 4. Ownership

Provide the following information regarding the aggregate number and percentage of the class of securities of the issuer identified in Item 1.

(a) Amount beneficially owned:

As of December 31, 2011, Security Investors, LLC has the sole power to direct the vote and dispose of 3,918,144 shares of Navigant Consulting, Inc. common stock of a total of 52,284,490 shares outstanding.\*\*

(b) Percent of class:

7.49% of the common stock

(c) Number of shares as to which the person has:

(iv)

-	3)	Sole power to vote or to direct the vote
(	1)	Sole power to vote or to direct the vote

See Item 4(a) above. 3,918,144 shares of common stock

(ii) Shared power to vote or to direct the vote

Not applicable.

(iii) Sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of

See Item 4(a) above. 3,918,144 shares of common stock. Shared power to dispose or to direct the disposition of

Not applicable

#### Item 5. Ownership of Five Percent or Less of a Class

If this statement is being filed to report the fact that as of the date hereof the reporting person has ceased to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of the class of securities, check the following o.

## Item 6. Ownership of More than Five Percent on Behalf of Another Person

Certain advisory clients of Security Investors, LLC have the right to receive or the power to direct the receipt of dividends from or the profits from the sale of such securities.

Item 7. Identification and Classification of the Subsidiary Which Acquired the Security Being

Reported on By the Parent Holding Company or Control Person

Not applicable.

Item 8. Identification and Classification of Members of the Group

Not applicable.

Item 9. Notice of Dissolution of Group

Not applicable.

<sup>\*\*</sup>This report is being filed on behalf of Security Investors, LLC (SI), a Kansas limited liability company, and/or certain investment advisory clients relating to their collective beneficial ownership of shares of common stock of the Issuer. SI is a registered investment adviser under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. As a result of its role as investment adviser, SI may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of the securities of the Issuer for purposes of §13(d) and 13(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. SI has the sole power to dispose of the shares.

#### Item 10. Certification

By signing below I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the securities referred to above were acquired and are held in the ordinary course of business and were not acquired and are not held for the purpose of or with the effect of changing or influencing the control of the issuer of the securities and were not acquired and are not held in connection with or as a participant in any transaction having that purpose or effect.

### Signature

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

January 31, 2012 Date

/s/Joanna Haigney Signature

Joanna Haigney Senior Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer Name/Title

5

and December 31, 2011, respectively 127,169 127,169

Surplus

238,187 238,761

Retained earnings

706,672 692,043

Accumulated other comprehensive loss

(63,384) (66,758)

Treasury stock, at cost

(20,215) (22,371)

TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	
988,429 968,844	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	
\$8,381,378 \$8,451,470	
See notes to consolidated unaudited financial statements.	
4	•

## ${\bf CONSOLIDATED\ STATEMENTS\ OF\ INCOME\ (Unaudited)}$

## UNITED BANKSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30 2012 2011		Nine Mont Septem 2012	
Interest income				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 75,524	\$ 77,632	\$ 226,852	\$ 208,812
Interest on federal funds sold and other short-term investments	145	366	903	942
Interest and dividends on securities:				
Taxable	4,843	5,686	13,841	18,206
Tax-exempt	824	1,017	2,633	3,033
Total interest income	81,336	84,701	244,229	230,993
Interest expense				
Interest on deposits	7,844	9,667	24,776	29,918
Interest on short-term borrowings	59	53	184	106
Interest on long-term borrowings	3,419	4,229	11,234	12,233
mores on rong term correntings	5,.15	.,>	11,20	12,200
Total interest expense	11,322	13,949	36,194	42,257
Net interest income	70,014	70,752	208,035	188,736
Provision for loan losses	4,346	3,637	11,915	12,873
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	65,668	67,115	196,120	175,863
Other income				
Fees from trust and brokerage services	4,170	3,280	12,167	10,027
Fees from deposit services	10,521	10,462	31,226	30,434
Bankcard fees and merchant discounts	866	1,237	2,251	2,475
Other service charges, commissions, and fees	513	455	1,690	1,290
Income from bank-owned life insurance	1,247	1,544	3,791	3,947
Income from mortgage banking	819	205	1,620	570
Other income	686	1,272	1,992	2,722
m al di di a di a di a di a di a di a di	(1.606)	(2.452)	(4.001)	(7.220)
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(1,606)	(3,453)	(4,991)	(7,339)
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income	(649)	(4,469)	(383)	(6,789)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses	(2,255)	(7,922)	(5,374)	(14,128)
Net gains on sales/calls of investment securities	67	445	184	1,626
Net investment securities losses	(2,188)	(7,477)	(5,190)	(12,502)
Total other income	16,634	10,978	49,547	38,963
Other expense				
Employee compensation	17,258	16,970	53,130	46,855
Employee benefits	5,271	4,361	16,286	12,870
Net occupancy expense	5,060	5,051	15,423	13,578
Other real estate owned (OREO) expense	2,160	2,129	6,648	5,129
Equipment expense	2,051	2,230	6,400	5,341
Data processing expense	3,675	2,941	9,523	8,618

Edgar Filing: NAVIGANT CONSULTING INC - Form SC 13G/A

Bankcard processing expense	342	274	959	749
FDIC insurance expense	1,489	2,308	4,539	6,972
Other expense	16,563	12,609	42,475	33,907
Total other expense	53,869	48,873	155,383	134,019
Income before income taxes	28,433	29,220	90,284	80,807
Income taxes	9,099	9,204	28,891	25,454
Net income	\$ 19,334	\$ 20,016	\$ 61,393	\$ 55,353

## ${\bf CONSOLIDATED\ STATEMENTS\ OF\ INCOME\ (Unaudited) - continued}$

## UNITED BANKSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## (Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30				Nine Months Ended September 30			
	2	012	2	011	2	012	2	2011
Earnings per common share:								
Basic	\$	0.38	\$	0.40	\$	1.22	\$	1.21
Diluted	\$	0.38	\$	0.40	\$	1.22	\$	1.21
Dividends per common share	\$	0.31	\$	0.30	\$	0.93	\$	0.90
Average outstanding shares:								
Basic	50,2	276,074	49,6	528,087	50,	262,089	45,	656,304
Diluted	50,2	295,162	49,6	536,382	50,	298,998	45,	692,106

See notes to consolidated unaudited financial statements

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

## UNITED BANKSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## $(Dollars\ in\ thousands)$

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net income	\$ 19,334	\$ 20,016	\$ 61,393	\$ 55,353
Change in net unrealized (loss) gain on available-for-sale (AFS) securities, net of tax	2,379	1,323	1,514	1,957
Accretion of the net unrealized loss on the transfer of AFS securities to held-to-maturity				
(HTM) securities, net of tax	2	2	4	4
Change in pension plan assets, net of tax	617	340	1,856	1,026
Comprehensive income, net of tax	\$ 22,332	\$ 21.681	\$ 64.767	\$ 58.340

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (Unaudited)

## UNITED BANKSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Common Stock Accumulated Other Total Par Retained Comprehensive Treasury Shareholders **Shares** Value Surplus **Earnings** Income (Loss) Stock **Equity** Balance at January 1, 2012 50,867,630 \$127,169 \$ 238,761 \$692,043 (\$22,371)968,844 66,758) Comprehensive income: Net income 0 0 61,393 0 0 61,393 0 Other comprehensive income, net of tax 0 0 0 3,374 0 3,374 Total comprehensive income, net of tax 64,767 0 0 1,380 0 0 0 Stock based compensation expense 1,380 Distribution of treasury stock for deferred compensation plan (3,318 0 shares) 0 0 0 0 96 96 Purchase of treasury stock (338 shares) 0 0 0 0 0 (10)(10)Cash dividends (\$0.93 per share) 0 0 0 (46,764)0 0 (46,764)Grant of restricted stock (52,700 shares) 0 0 (1,816)0 1,816 0 0 Common stock options exercised (7,510 0 0 0 254 116 shares) (138)0 Balance at September 30, 2012 50,867,630 \$ 127,169 \$ 238,187 \$ 706,672 (\$ 63,384) (\$ 20,215) 988,429

See notes to consolidated unaudited financial statements.

## ${\bf CONDENSED\ CONSOLIDATED\ STATEMENTS\ OF\ CASH\ FLOWS\ (Unaudited)}$

## UNITED BANKSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## $(Dollars\ in\ thousands)$

	Nine Mon Septen	
	2012	2011
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ 89,202	\$ 78,923
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from maturities and calls of securities held to maturity	6,270	4,837
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale	4,346	23,860
Proceeds from maturities and calls of securities available for sale	1,410,192	1,049,184
Purchases of securities available for sale	(1,372,429)	(1,044,536)
Redemption of bank-owned life insurance policies	0	822
Purchases of bank premises and equipment	(4,376)	(5,341)
Proceeds from sales of bank premises and equipment	2,205	24
Proceeds of sales and redemptions of other investment securities	9,988	6,733
Purchases of other investment securities	(5,665)	0
Acquisition of Centra Financial Holdings, Inc., net of cash paid	0	49,085
Net change in loans	(203,877)	10,710
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES  FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(153,346)	95,378
Cash dividends paid	(46,745)	(39,280)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation arrangements	35	159
Acquisition of treasury stock	(10)	(13)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	116	379
Repayment of long-term Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	(55,296)	(50,277)
Redemption of issued trust preferred securities	(5,155)	0
Distribution of treasury stock for deferred compensation plan	96	74
Changes in:		
Deposits	(62,288)	83,252
Federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other short-term borrowings	33,716	38,540
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(135,531)	32,834
(Decrease) Increase in cash and cash equivalents	(199,675)	207,135
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	636,003	461,389
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 436,328	\$ 668,524

See notes to consolidated unaudited financial statements.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

## UNITED BANKSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### 1. GENERAL

The accompanying unaudited consolidated interim financial statements of United Bankshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries ( United or the Company ) have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles for interim financial information generally accepted in the United States and with the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, the financial statements do not contain all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The financial statements presented as of September 30, 2012 and 2011 and for the three-month and nine-month periods then ended have not been audited. The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 has been extracted from the audited financial statements included in United s 2011 Annual Report to Shareholders with amounts for loans, goodwill and other assets adjusted for the finalization of the purchase price allocation for the Centra merger. The accounting and reporting policies followed in the presentation of these financial statements are consistent with those applied in the preparation of the 2011 Annual Report of United on Form 10-K. To conform to the 2012 presentation, certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts, which had no impact on net income, comprehensive income or stockholders—equity. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of financial position and results of operations for the interim periods have been made. Such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature.

The accompanying consolidated interim financial statements include the accounts of United and its wholly owned subsidiaries. United considers all of its principal business activities to be bank related. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated financial statements. Dollars are in thousands, except per share or unless otherwise noted.

## **New Accounting Standards**

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income , which revises the manner in which entities present comprehensive income in their financial statements. The new guidance removes the presentation options in ASC 220 and requires entities to report components of comprehensive income in either a continuous statement of comprehensive income or two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income. The amendments of ASU 2011-05 were effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. However, certain provisions related to the presentation of reclassification adjustments have been deferred by ASU 2011-12, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05. ASU 2011-12 defers changes in ASU 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments to allow the FASB time to redeliberate whether to require presentation of such adjustments on the face of the financial statements to show the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income. ASU 2011-12 allows entities to continue to report reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income consistent with the presentation requirements in effect before ASU 2011-05. All other requirements in ASU 2011-05 are not affected by ASU 2011-12. ASU 2011-12 became effective for United on January 1, 2012. Because ASU 2011-12 revised the presentation of comprehensive income in the financial statements only, the adoption did not have an impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

10

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs , to substantially converge the guidance in U.S. GAAP and IFRS on fair value measurements and disclosures. The amended guidance changes several aspects of the fair value measurement guidance ASC 820, Fair Value Measurement , and includes several new fair value disclosure requirements. ASU 2011-04 was effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of ASU 2011-04 did not have a material impact on United s financial condition or results of operations.

## 2. MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS

At the close of business on July 8, 2011, United acquired 100% of the outstanding common stock of Centra Financial Holdings, Inc. (Centra), a West Virginia corporation headquartered in Morgantown, West Virginia. The acquisition of Centra affords United the opportunity to enhance its existing footprint in Maryland and West Virginia, as well as provide an entry into Pennsylvania. The results of operations of Centra are included in the consolidated results of operations from the date of acquisition.

At consummation, Centra had assets of approximately \$1.31 billion, loans of \$1.04 billion, deposits of \$1.13 billion and shareholders equity of \$131 million. The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting and accordingly, assets acquired, liabilities assumed and consideration exchanged were recorded at estimated fair value on the acquisition date.

The aggregate purchase price was approximately \$170 million, including common stock valued at \$161.4 million and \$8.6 million of cash paid for vested stock options and fractional shares. The number of shares issued in the transaction was 6,548,473, which were valued based on the closing market price of \$24.65 for United s common shares on July 8, 2011. The preliminary purchase price was allocated to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets resulting in preliminary additions to goodwill and core deposit intangibles of approximately \$60 million and \$12 million, respectively. During the third quarter of 2012, United finalized the acquisition-price accounting for Centra and made revisions to the preliminary estimates for the valuation of loans, deferred taxes and goodwill. Revisions to the preliminary estimates resulted in a decrease of \$5.93 million to loans and increases of \$2.00 million to deferred taxes and \$3.93 million to goodwill, respectively.

Because the consideration paid was greater than the net fair value of the acquired assets and liabilities, the Company recorded goodwill as part of the acquisition. None of the goodwill from the Centra acquisition is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. As a result of the merger, United recorded a downward fair value adjustment of \$30.72 million on the loans acquired from Centra, a premium on interest-bearing deposits of \$6.53 million and a discount of \$564 thousand on junior subordinated debt securities. The discount and premium amounts are being amortized or accreted on an accelerated basis over each asset s or liability s estimated remaining life at the time of acquisition. At September 30, 2012, the premium on the interest-bearing deposits has an estimated remaining life of six months while the discount on the junior subordinated debt securities has an estimated remaining life of 3.7 years. United assumed approximately \$621 thousand of liabilities to provide severance benefits to terminated employees of Centra. As of September 30, 2012, no balance remained on these liabilities.

In many cases, determining the estimated fair value of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities required United to estimate cash flows expected to result from those assets and liabilities and to discount those cash flows at appropriate rates of interest. The most significant of those determinations related to the fair valuation of acquired loans. The fair value of the acquired loans was based on the present value of the expected cash flows. Periodic principal and interest cash flows were adjusted for expected losses and prepayments, then discounted to determine the present value and summed to arrive at the estimated fair value. For such loans, the excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the estimated fair value is recognized as interest income over the remaining lives of the loans. The difference between contractually required payments at acquisition and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition reflects the impact of estimated credit losses and other factors, such as prepayments. In accordance with GAAP, there was no carry-over of Centra s previously established allowance for credit losses. As a result, standard industry coverage ratios with regard to the allowance for credit losses are less meaningful after the acquisition of Centra.

11

The acquired loans were divided into loans with evidence of credit quality deterioration, which are accounted for under ASC topic 310-30 (acquired impaired) and loans that do not meet this criteria, which are accounted for under ASC topic 310-20 (acquired performing). Acquired impaired loans have experienced a deterioration of credit quality from origination to acquisition for which it is probable that United will be unable to collect all contractually required payments receivable, including both principal and interest. Subsequent decreases in the expected cash flows require United to evaluate the need for additions to the Company s allowance for credit losses. Subsequent improvements in expected cash flows generally result in the recognition of additional interest income over the then remaining lives of the loans.

In conjunction with the Centra merger, the acquired loan portfolio was accounted for at fair value as follows:

	July 8, 2011
Contractually required principal and interest at acquisition	\$ 1,377,211
Contractual cash flows not expected to be collected	(39,812)
Expected cash flows at acquisition	1,337,399
Interest component of expected cash flows	(321,297)
Desir in a series de la contribio de la contri	¢ 1 016 102
Basis in acquired loans at acquisition estimated fair value	\$ 1,016,102

Included in the above table is information related to acquired impaired loans. Specifically, contractually required principal and interest, cash flows expected to be collected and estimated fair value of acquired impaired loans were \$72,647, \$48,112, and \$46,446, respectively.

The consideration paid for Centra s common equity and the amounts of acquired identifiable assets and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date were as follows:

Purchase price:	
Value of common shares issued (6,548,473 shares)	\$ 161,420
Cash for stock options and fractional shares	8,576
•	
Total purchase price	169,996
Identifiable assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents	57,661
Investment securities	128,078
Loans held for sale	2,062
Loans	1,016,102
Premises and equipment	20,126
Core deposit intangibles	12,439
Other assets	53,656
Total identifiable assets	\$ 1,290,124
Identifiable liabilities:	
Deposits	\$ 1,132,885
Short-term borrowings	28,566
Long-term borrowings	19,436
Other liabilities	3,273
Total identifiable liabilities	1,184,160
Net assets acquired including identifiable intangible assets	105,964
	/
Resulting goodwill	\$ 64,032
	. , , , , , ,

The following table provides a reconciliation of goodwill:

Goodwill at December 31, 2011	\$ 375,626
Reductions:	
Options exercised from previous acquisitions	(43)
Goodwill at September 30, 2012	\$ 375,583

## 3. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Securities held for indefinite periods of time and all marketable equity securities are classified as available for sale and carried at estimated fair value. The amortized cost and estimated fair values of securities available for sale are summarized as follows.

		<b>September 30, 2012</b>				
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Cumulative OTTI in AOCI (1)	
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government						
corporations and agencies	\$ 351,536	\$ 1,022	\$ 5	\$ 352,553	\$ 0	
State and political subdivisions	80,813	4,114	1	84,926	0	
Residential mortgage-backed securities						
Agency	107,983	5,644	0	113,627	0	
Non-agency	27,462	480	2,384	25,558	1,793	
Asset-backed securities	12,811	0	32	12,779	0	
Trust preferred collateralized debt obligations	96,096	0	58,728	37,368	47,226	
Single issue trust preferred securities	15,276	353	3,701	11,928	0	
Other corporate securities	4,995	335	0	5,330	0	
Marketable equity securities	6,411	203	538	6,076	0	
Total	\$ 703,383	\$ 12,151	\$ 65,389	\$ 650,145	\$ 49.019	

	December 31, 2011				
		Gross	Gross	Estimated	Cumulative
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair	OTTI in
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	AOCI (1)
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government					
corporations and agencies	\$ 303,484	\$ 75	\$ 12	\$ 303,547	\$ 0
State and political subdivisions	94,794	4,092	38	98,848	0
Residential mortgage-backed securities					
Agency	185,543	8,036	175	193,404	0
Non-agency	39,526	607	2,592	37,541	2,234
Trust preferred collateralized debt obligations	104,161	0	61,792	42,369	47,167
Single issue trust preferred securities	15,242	304	3,786	11,760	0
Other corporate securities	4,994	96	0	5,090	0
Marketable equity securities	4,341	80	462	3,959	0
Total	\$ 752,085	\$ 13,290	\$ 68,857	\$ 696,518	\$ 49,401

(1) Other-than-temporary impairment in accumulated other comprehensive income. Amounts are before-tax.

13

The following is a summary of securities available-for-sale which were in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	Less than Market Value	12 months Unrealized Losses		ealized Market		onger nrealized Losses
<u>September 30, 2012</u>						
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government						
corporations and agencies	\$ 15,276	\$	5	\$ 0	\$	0
State and political subdivisions	660		1	0		0
Residential mortgage-backed securities						
Agency	0		0	0		0
Non-agency	0		0	9,627		2,384
Asset-backed securities	12,779		32	0		0
Trust preferred collateralized debt obligations	0		0	37,368		58,728
Single issue trust preferred securities	0		0	6,981		3,701
Marketable equity securities	733		519	318		19
Total	\$ 29,448	\$	557	\$ 54,294	\$	64,832

	Less than Market Value			12 mont Market Value			
<u>December 31, 2011</u>							
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government							
corporations and agencies	\$ 196,988	\$	12	\$	0	\$	0
State and political subdivisions	3,760		25		968		13
Residential mortgage-backed securities							
Agency	14,789		175		0		0
Non-agency	0		0	12,	369		2,592
Trust preferred collateralized debt obligations	0		0	42,	369		61,792
Single issue trust preferred securities	412		88	6,	956		3,698
Marketable equity securities	2,009		433		133		29
	<b></b>						<0.121
Total	\$ 217,958	\$	733	\$ 62,	795	\$	68,124

Marketable equity securities consist mainly of equity securities of financial institutions and mutual funds within a rabbi trust for the payment of benefits under a deferred compensation plan for certain key officers of United and its subsidiaries. The following table shows the proceeds from maturities, sales and calls of available for sale securities and the gross realized gains and losses on sales and calls of those securities that have been included in earnings as a result of those sales and calls. Gains or losses on sales and calls of available for sale securities were recognized by the specific identification method. The realized losses relate to sales of securities within a rabbi trust for the payment of benefits under a deferred compensation plan for certain key officers of United and its subsidiaries.

		Three Months Ended September 30							
	2012	2011	2012	2011					
Proceeds from sales and calls	\$ 418,389	\$ 362,048	\$ 1,414,539	\$ 1,073,045					
Gross realized gains	29	451	112	1,673					
Gross realized losses	12	5	129	26					

14

## **Table of Contents**

At September 30, 2012, gross unrealized losses on available for sale securities were \$65,389 on 65 securities of a total portfolio of 335 available for sale securities. Securities in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2012 consisted primarily of pooled trust preferred collateralized debt obligations (TRUP CDOs), single issue trust preferred securities and non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities. The TRUP CDOs and the single issue trust preferred securities relate mainly to debt securities issued by financial institutions. In determining whether or not a security is other-than-temporary impaired, management considered the severity and the duration of the loss in conjunction with United s positive intent and the more likely than not ability to hold these securities to recovery of their cost basis or maturity.

Non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities

The majority of the non-agency residential mortgage-backed security portfolio is rated either AAA or AA. The unrealized loss on the non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities portfolio relates primarily to below investment grade securities of various private label issuers. The Company has no exposure to real estate investment trusts (REITS) in its investment portfolio. Approximately 59% of the portfolio includes collateral that was originated during the year of 2005 or before. The remaining 41% includes collateral that was originated in the years of 2006 and 2007. Ninety-nine percent of the non-agency residential mortgage securities are either the senior or super-senior tranches of their respective structure. In determining whether or not the non-agency mortgage-backed securities are other-than-temporarily impaired, management performs an in-depth analysis on each non-agency residential mortgage-backed security on a quarterly basis. The analysis includes a review of the following factors: weighted average loan to value, weighted average maturity, average FICO scores, historical collateral performance, geographic concentration, credit subordination, cross-collateralization, coverage ratios, origination year, full documentation percentage, event risk (repricing), and collateral type. Management completes a monthly stress test to determine the level of loss protection remaining in each individual security and compares the protection remaining to the future expected performance of the underlying collateral. Additionally, management utilizes a third-party cash flow model to perform a cash flow test for each bond below investment grade. The model produces a bond specific set of cash flows based upon assumptions input by management. The input assumptions that are incorporated include the projected constant default rate (CDR) of the underlying mortgages, the loss severity upon default, and the prepayment rate on the underlying mortgage collateral. CDR and loss severities estimated by management after full evaluation of the underlying collateral including recent performance statistics. At September 30, 2012, United determined that one of the non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities was other-than temporarily impaired. The credit-related other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings for the third quarter of 2012 on this non-agency residential mortgage-backed security, which is not expected to be sold, was \$468 thousand.

## Single issue trust preferred securities

The majority of United s single-issue trust preferred portfolio consists of obligations from large cap banks (i.e. banks with market capitalization in excess of \$10 billion). Management reviews each issuer s current and projected earnings trends, asset quality, capitalization levels, TARP participation status, and other key factors. Upon completing the review for the third quarter of 2012, it was determined that none of the single issue securities were other-than-temporarily impaired. With the exception of two securities, all single-issue trust preferred securities are currently receiving interest payments. The two securities that are deferring interest payments are from the same issuer with a total amortized cost of \$633 thousand. The issuer has the contractual ability to defer interest payments for up to 5 years. The available for sale single issue trust preferred securities ratings ranged from a low of CC to a high of BBB. The amortized cost of available for sale single issue trust preferred securities as of September 30, 2012 consisted of \$2.97 million in split-rated bonds and \$12.30 million in below investment grade bonds.

Trust preferred collateralized debt obligations (TRUP CDOs)

In analyzing the duration and severity of the losses on TRUP CDOs, management considered the following: (1) the market for these securities was not active as evidenced by the lack of trades and the widening of the bid/ask spread; (2) the markets for TRUP CDOs are dysfunctional with no significant transactions to report; (3) low market prices for

15

certain bonds, in the overall debt markets, were evidence of credit stress in the general markets and not necessarily an indication of credit problems with a particular issuer; and (4) the general widening in overall risk premiums over the past four years in the broader markets was responsible for a significant amount of the price decline in the TRUP CDO portfolio.

At September 30, 2012, United determined that certain TRUP CDOs were other-than-temporarily impaired. Management completed an in-depth analysis of the collateral pool, cash flow waterfall structure, and expected cash flows of the TRUP CDO portfolio. To determine a net realizable value and assess whether other-than-temporary impairment existed, management performed detailed cash flow analysis to determine whether, in management s judgment, it was more likely that United would not recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. Management s cash flow analysis was performed for each security and considered the current deferrals and defaults within the underlying collateral, the likelihood that current deferrals would cure or ultimately default, potential future deferrals and defaults, potential prepayments, cash reserves, excess interest spread, credit analysis of the underlying collateral and the priority of payments in the cash flow structure. The underlying collateral analysis for each issuer took into consideration multiple factors including TARP participation, capital adequacy, earnings trends and asset quality. After completing its analysis of estimated cash flows, management determined that an adverse change in cash flows had occurred for certain TRUP CDOs as the expected discounted cash flows from these particular securities were less than the discounted cash flows originally expected at purchase or from the previous date of other-than-temporary impairment (cash flows are discounted at the contractual coupon rate for purposes of assessing OTTI). Therefore, based upon management is analysis and judgment, certain TRUP CDOs were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired. The credit-related other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings for the third quarter of 2012 related to these securities was \$1.79 million. At September 30, 2012, the balance of the noncredit-related other-than-temporary impairment recognized on United is TRUP CDO portfolio was \$47.23 million as compared to \$47.17 m

The amortized cost of available for sale TRUP CDOs in an unrealized loss position for twelve months or longer as of September 30, 2012 consisted of \$9.21 million in investment grade bonds, \$5.00 million in split-rated bonds and \$81.89 million in below investment grade bonds.

The following is a summary of the available for sale TRUP CDOs in an unrealized loss position twelve months or greater as of September 30, 2012:

					A	Amortized (	Cost
Class		Amortized	Fair	Unrealized	Investment	Split	Below Investment
Class Senior Ban	ık	Cost \$ 8,485	<b>Value</b> \$ 4,085	Loss \$ 4,400	Grade \$ 0	<b>Rated</b> \$ 5,000	<b>Grade</b> \$ 3,485
	ırance	9,205	7,004	2,201	9,205	0	0
Mezzanine	Bank (now in senior position)	11,835	4,931	6,904	0	0	11,835
Mezzanine	Bank	54,691	17,057	37,634	0	0	54,691
Mezzanine	Insurance	6,500	3,090	3,410	0	0	6,500
Mezzanine	Bank & Insurance (combination)	5,380	1,201	4,179	0	0	5,380
Totals		\$ 96,096	\$ 37,368	\$ 58,728	\$ 9,205	\$ 5,000	\$ 81,891

Management also considered the ratings of the Company s bonds in its portfolio and the extent of downgrades in United s impairment analysis. However, due to historical discrepancies in ratings from the various rating agencies, management considered it imperative to independently perform its own credit analysis based on cash flows as described. The ratings of the investment grade pooled trust preferred securities in the table above range from a low of BBB to a high of AA+. The ratings of the split-rated pooled trust preferred securities range from a low of CCC+ to a high of A2, while the below investment grade pooled trust preferred securities range from a low of CCC- to a high of Ba1. The available for sale single issue trust preferred securities ratings range from a low of CC to a high of BBB.

The Company has recognized cumulative credit-related other-than-temporary impairment of \$34.70 million on sixteen pooled trust preferred securities since the third quarter of 2009. Of the remaining seven securities that have not been deemed to be other-than-temporally impaired, the collateralization ratios range from a low of 77.7% to a high of 329.0%, with a median of 114.9%, and a weighted average of 175.2%. The collateralization ratio is defined as the current performing collateral in a security, divided by the current balance of the specific tranche the Company owns, plus any debt which is senior or pari passu with the Company s security s priority level. Performing collateral excludes the balance of any issuer that has either defaulted or has deferred its interest payment. It is possible for the collateralization of a security that is not other-than-temporarily impaired to be less than 100% due to the excess spread built into the securitization structure.

The following schedule reflects data and certain assumptions that are utilized in the other-than-temporary impairment analysis of the TRUP CDO s at September 30, 2012.

						Lifetime	
						additional	
						projected loss from	Credit
		% of issuers	% of original	% of original	Projected	performing	Related
	Amortized	currently	collateral	collateral	Prepayment	collateral	OTTI
Class	Cost	performing (1)	defaulted (2)	deferring (3)	<b>Rate</b> (4)	(5)	(6)
Senior Bank	\$ 8,485	85.6 - 86.6%	12.9 - 13.3%	6.3 - 8.8%	0.5 - 1.0%	4.0 - 6.2%	\$ 1,219
Senior Insurance	9,205	82.8 - 92.8%	0.0 - 0.0%	4.8 - 9.3%	1.0 - 1.0%	6.3 - 6.4%	0
Mezzanine Bank (now in senior							
position)	11,835	80.3 - 80.6%	3.6 - 9.1%	1.9 - 2.7%	0.3 - 1.0%	7.5 - 8.0%	6,537
Mezzanine Bank	54,691	65.0 - 91.5%	7.5 - 20.6%	3.3 - 31.3%	0.3 - 0.8%	5.4 - 9.0%	24,196
Mezzanine Insurance	6,500	82.8 - 86.5%	0.0 - 0.0%	8.0 - 9.3%	0.0 - 1.0%	6.3 - 7.9%	0
Mezzanine Bank & Ins.	5,380	60.9 - 76.2%	13.4 - 18.8%	16.7 - 31.7%	0.3 - 0.8%	6.3 - 6.7%	2,744

- (1) Represents performing collateral as a percent of non-defaulted, current collateral outstanding. In the Mezzanine Bank line, the percentage of issuers currently performing ranges from 65.0% to 91.5%. The weighted average percentage of performing collateral is 78.5%.
- (2) Defaulted collateral is identified as defaulted when the issuer has been closed by a regulator. All defaults are assumed to have a zero percent recovery in the OTTI cash flow model.
- (3) Deferring collateral is identified when the Company becomes aware that an issuer has announced or elected to defer interest payments on its trust preferred debt. The Company utilizes issuer specific loss assumptions on deferring collateral that range from 100% cure to 100% loss.
- (4) Management utilizes an annual prepayment rate in its assumptions to calculate OTTI. The prepayment rate is pool specific and is assigned based upon management s estimate of potential prepayments over the life of the security.
- (5) Management applied an annual constant default rate to the performing collateral balance for each pool ranging from 0.38% to 1.20%. The calculation in the chart above represents the sum of the incremental projected losses over the remaining life of the security as a percentage of the performing collateral.
- (6) Credit related OTTI represents the cumulative credit-related other-than-temporary impairment recognized over the life of the security. Except for the securities that have already been deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, management does not believe any other individual security with an unrealized loss as of September 30, 2012 is other-than-temporarily impaired. For debt securities, United believes the decline in value resulted from changes in market interest rates, credit spreads and liquidity, not a change in the expected contractual cash flows. Based on a review of each of the securities in the investment portfolio, management concluded that it expected to recover the amortized cost basis of the investment in such securities. As of September 30, 2012, United does not intend to sell any impaired debt security nor is it anticipated that it would be required to sell any impaired debt security before the recovery of its amortized cost basis. For equity securities, United has evaluated the near-term prospects of the investment in relation to the severity and duration of any impairment and based on that evaluation, management does not believe any individual equity security is other-than-temporarily impaired. As of September 30, 2012, United has the ability and intent to hold these equity securities until a recovery of their fair value to at least the cost basis of the investment.

Table of Contents 23

17

During the third quarter of 2012, United also evaluated all of its cost method investments to determine if certain events or changes in circumstances during the third quarter of 2012 had a significant adverse effect on the fair value of any of its cost method securities. United determined that there were no events or changes in circumstances during the third quarter which would have an adverse effect on the fair value of any of its cost method securities. Therefore, no impairment was recorded.

Below is a progression of the credit losses on securities which United has recorded other-than-temporary charges through earnings and other comprehensive income.

Balance of cumulative credit losses at December 31, 2011	\$ 55,738
Additions for credit losses on securities for which OTTI was not previously recognized	85
Additions for additional credit losses on securities for which OTTI was previously recognized	5,289
Balance of cumulative credit losses at September 30, 2012	\$ 61.112

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities available for sale at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 by contractual maturity are shown as follows. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because the issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations without penalties.

	Septembe	er 30, 2012	December	r 31, 2011
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 205,843	\$ 206,048	\$ 259,162	\$ 259,267
Due after one year through five years	193,345	196,671	128,279	130,898
Due after five years through ten years	105,201	109,730	91,031	96,345
Due after ten years	192,583	131,620	269,272	206,049
Marketable equity securities	6,411	6,076	4,341	3,959
Total	\$ 703,383	\$ 650,145	\$ 752,085	\$ 696,518

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of securities held to maturity are summarized as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Septeml Gross Unrealized Gains	ber 30, 2012 Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and				
agencies	\$ 10,954	\$ 2,661	\$ 0	\$ 13,615
State and political subdivisions	14,689	183	0	14,872
Residential mortgage-backed securities				
Agency	65	11	0	76
Non-agency	0	0	0	0
Single issue trust preferred securities	26,996	0	4,037	22,959
Other corporate securities	225	0	0	225
Total	\$ 52,929	\$ 2,855	\$ 4,037	\$ 51,747

	Decem Gross Amortized Unrealized			ber 31, 2011 Gross Unrealized		Estimated Fair																
	Cost		Gains		0 0 00		0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 00 0 00-		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 00 0 00-		0 0 00 0 00-						osses	Value
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and																						
agencies	\$ 11,062	\$	2,785	\$	0	\$ 13,847																
State and political subdivisions	12,794		207		1	13,000																
Residential mortgage-backed securities																						
Agency	77		12		0	89																
Non-agency	0		0		0	0																
Single issue trust preferred securities	32,116		0		6,111	26,005																
Other corporate securities	3,240		0		0	3,240																
Total	\$ 59,289	\$	3,004	\$	6,112	\$ 56,181																

Even though the market value of the held-to-maturity investment portfolio is less than its cost, the unrealized loss has no impact on the net worth or regulatory capital requirements of United. As of September 30, 2012, the Company s three largest held-to-maturity single-issue trust preferred exposures were to Wells Fargo (\$9.89 million), SunTrust Bank (\$7.38 million), and Peoples Bancorp Inc. (\$7.25 million). Other corporate securities consist mainly of bonds of corporations.

The following table shows the gross realized gains and losses on calls that have been included in earnings as a result of those calls. Gains or losses on calls of held-to-maturity securities are recognized by the specific identification method.

	Three	Three Months Ended September 30		onths
	En			led
	Septen			ber 30
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Gross realized gains	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 151	\$ 1
Gross realized losses	0	0	0	0

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt securities held to maturity at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 by contractual maturity are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because the issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations without penalties.

	Septembe	er 30, 2012	Decembe	r 31, 2011
		Estimated		Estimated
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 780	\$ 785	\$ 1,011	\$ 1,019
Due after one year through five years	8,828	9,830	8,548	9,684
Due after five years through ten years	9,106	10,822	10,370	12,115
Due after ten years	34,215	30,310	39,360	33,363
Total	\$ 52,929	\$ 51,747	\$ 59,289	\$ 56,181

The carrying value of securities pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and for other purposes as required or permitted by law, approximated \$604,671 and \$634,944 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

#### 4. LOANS

Major classifications of loans are as follows:

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Commercial, financial and agricultural:		
Owner-occupied commercial real estate	\$ 723,969	\$ 743,502
Nonowner-occupied commercial real estate	1,733,615	1,553,259
Other commercial loans	1,274,150	1,212,205
Total commercial, financial & agricultural	3,731,734	3,508,966
Residential real estate	1,854,332	1,891,725
Construction & land development	557,284	549,877
Consumer:		
Bankcard	10,670	11,519
Other consumer	274,310	272,193
Total gross loans	\$ 6,428,330	\$ 6,234,280

The table above does not include loans held for sale of \$12,905 and \$3,902 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Loans held for sale consist of single-family residential real estate loans originated for sale in the secondary market.

The outstanding balances in the table above includes acquired impaired loans from the Centra merger with a recorded investment of \$34,428 and \$38,954 or less than 1% of total gross loans, at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The contractual principal in these acquired impaired loans was \$57,637 and \$65,051 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The balances above do not include future accretable net interest (i.e. the difference between the undiscounted expected cash flows and the recorded investment in the loan) on the acquired impaired loans.

Activity for the accretable yield for the first nine months of 2012 follows.

Accretable yield at the beginning of the period	\$ 2,323
Additions	0
Accretion (including cash recoveries)	(2,393)
Net reclassifications to accretable from non-accretable	3,627
Maturities, foreclosures and charge-offs	(333)
Accretable yield at the ending of the period	\$ 3,224

United s subsidiary banks have made loans, in the normal course of business, to the directors and officers of United and its subsidiaries, and to their affiliates. Such related party loans were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated persons and did not involve more than normal risk of collectibility. The aggregate dollar amount of these loans was \$187,961 and \$189,763 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

## 5. CREDIT QUALITY

Management monitors the credit quality of its loans on an ongoing basis. Measurement of delinquency and past due status are based on the contractual terms of each loan.

For all loan classes, past due loans are reviewed on a monthly basis to identify loans for nonaccrual status. Generally, when collection in full of the principal and interest is jeopardized, the loan is placed on nonaccrual status. The accrual of interest income on commercial and most consumer loans generally is discontinued when a loan becomes 90 to 120

20

days past due as to principal or interest. However, regardless of delinquency status, if a loan is fully secured and in the process of collection and resolution of collection is expected in the near term (generally less than 90 days), then the loan will not be placed on nonaccrual status. When interest accruals are discontinued, unpaid interest recognized in income in the current year is reversed, and unpaid interest accrued in prior years is charged to the allowance for loan losses. United s method of income recognition for loans that are classified as nonaccrual is to recognize interest income on a cash basis or apply the cash receipt to principal when the ultimate collectibility of principal is in doubt. Management may elect to continue the accrual of interest when the estimated net realizable value of collateral exceeds the principal balance and accrued interest, and the loan is in the process of collection. Nonaccrual loans will not normally be returned to accrual status unless all past due principal and interest has been paid and the borrower has evidenced their ability to meet the contractual provisions of the note.

A loan is categorized as a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if a concession is granted and there is deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower. TDRs can take the form of a reduction of the stated interest rate, splitting a loan into separate loans with market terms on one loan and concessionary terms on the other loan, receipts of assets from a debtor in partial or full satisfaction of a loan, the extension of the maturity date or dates at a stated interest rate lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, the reduction of the face amount or maturity amount of the debt as stated in the instrument or other agreement, the reduction of accrued interest or any other concessionary type of renegotiated debt. As of September 30, 2012, United had TDRs of \$4,091 as compared to \$3,592 as of December 31, 2011. Of the \$4,091 aggregate balance of TDRs at September 30, 2012, \$1,277 was on nonaccrual status and included in the Loans on Nonaccrual Status on the following page. Of the \$3,592 aggregate balance of TDRs at December 31, 2011, \$1,528 was on nonaccrual status and included in the Loans on Nonaccrual Status on the following page. As of September 30, 2012, there were no commitments to lend additional funds to debtors owing receivables whose terms have been modified in TDRs. At September 30, 2012, United had restructured loans in the amount of \$2,160 that were modified by a reduction in the interest rate, \$1,277 that were modified by a combination of a reduction in the interest rate and the principal and \$654 that was modified by a change in terms.

A loan acquired and accounted for under ASC topic 310-30 Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality is reported as an accruing loan and a performing asset.

The following table sets forth United stroubled debt restructurings that have been restructured during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, segregated by class of loans:

### **Troubled Debt Restructurings**

## For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

	Number of Contracts	Outsta Reco	lification anding orded tment	Outs Rec	odification standing corded estment
Commercial real estate:					
Owner-occupied	0	\$	0	\$	0
Nonowner-occupied	0		0		0
Other commercial	0		0		0
Residential real estate	1		640		654
Construction & land development	1		731		625
Consumer:					
Bankcard	0		0		0
Other consumer	0		0		0
Total	2	\$	1,371	\$	1,279

Table of Contents 28

21

No loans were restructured during the third quarter of 2012. During the first nine months of 2012, \$625 of restructured loans was modified by a combination of a reduction in the interest rate and change in terms and the remaining \$654 of restructured loans was modified by a change in terms. In some instances, the post-modification balance on a restructured loan is larger than the pre-modification balance due to the advancement of monies for items such as delinquent taxes on real estate property. The loans were evaluated individually for allocation within United s allowance for loan losses. The modifications had an immaterial impact on the financial condition and results of operations for United.

The following table presents troubled debt restructurings, by class of loan, that had charge-offs during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. These loans were restructured during the last twelve months and subsequently defaulted, resulting in a principal charge-off during the respective time periods.

	Three Months E Number of	nded September 30 Recorded	Nine Months Er Number of	nded September Recorded
(In thousands)	Contracts	Investment	Contracts	Investment
Troubled Debt Restructurings				
Commercial real estate:				
Owner-occupied	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
Nonowner-occupied	0	0	0	0
Other commercial	0	0	0	0
Residential real estate	0	0	0	0
Construction & land development	1	625	1	625
Consumer:				
Bankcard	0	0	0	0
Other consumer	0	0	0	0
Total	1	\$ 625	1	\$ 625

The following table sets forth United s age analysis of its past due loans, segregated by class of loans:

## Age Analysis of Past Due Loans

## As of September 30, 2012

(In thousands) Commercial real estate:	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or more Past Due	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans	Recorded Investment >90 Days & Accruing
Owner-occupied	\$ 7,194	\$ 16,734	\$ 23,928	\$ 700.041	\$ 723,969	\$ 3,074
Nonowner-occupied	12.244	9,998	22,242	1,711,373	1,733,615	48
Other commercial	29,791	25,643	55,434	1,218,716	1,274,150	1,637
Residential real estate	47,201	23,021	70,222	1,784,110	1,854,332	5,932
Construction & land development	4,734	19,014	23,748	533,536	557,284	688
Consumer:						
Bankcard	407	191	598	10,072	10,670	191
Other consumer	7,954	1,012	8,966	265,344	274,310	905
Total	\$ 109,525	\$ 95,613	\$ 205,138	\$ 6,223,192	\$ 6,428,330	\$ 12,475

## Age Analysis of Past Due Loans

## As of December 31, 2011

Commercial real estate:	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or more Past Due	Total Past Due	Current	Total Financing Receivables	Recorded Investment >90 Days & Accruing
Owner-occupied	\$ 4,625	\$ 4,707	\$ 9,332	\$ 734,170	\$ 743,502	\$ 1,597
Nonowner-occupied	16.694	13,285	29,979	1,523,280	1,553,259	2,233
Other commercial	7,131	14,153	21,284	1,190,921	1,212,205	761
Residential real estate	52.654	26,617	79,271	1,812,454	1,891,725	8,833
Construction & land development	13,809	17.820	31.629	518.248	549.877	1,840
Consumer:	13,009	17,620	31,029	310,240	347,077	1,040
Bankcard	222	176	398	11,121	11,519	176
Other consumer	5,739	841	6,580	265.613	272,193	739
Outer consumer	3,739	041	0,360	203,013	272,193	139
Total	\$ 100,874	\$ 77,599	\$ 178,473	\$ 6,055,807	\$ 6,234,280	\$ 16,179

The following table sets forth United s nonaccrual loans, segregated by class of loans:

## **Loans on Nonaccrual Status**

	September 30, 2012		Dec	ember 31, 2011
Commercial real estate:				
Owner-occupied	\$	13,660	\$	3,110
Nonowner-occupied		9,950		11,052
Other commercial		24,006		13,392
Residential real estate		17,089		17,784
Construction & land development		18,326		15,980
Consumer:				
Bankcard		0		0
Other consumer		107		102
Total	\$	83,138	\$	61,420

United assigns credit quality indicators of either pass, special mention, substandard or doubtful to its loans. For United s loans with a corporate credit exposure, United internally assigns a grade based on the creditworthiness of the borrower. For loans with a consumer credit exposure, United internally assigns a grade based upon an individual loan s delinquency status. United updates these grades on a quarterly basis.

For loans with a corporate credit exposure, special mention loans have potential weaknesses that deserve management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loans or in the Company s credit position at some future date. Borrowers may be experiencing adverse operating trends (declining revenues or margins) or an ill proportioned balance sheet (e.g., increasing inventory without an increase in sales, high leverage, tight liquidity). Adverse economic or market conditions, such as interest rate increases or the entry of a new competitor, may also support a special mention rating. Nonfinancial reasons for rating a credit exposure special mention include management problems, pending litigation, an ineffective loan agreement or other material structural weakness, and any other significant deviation from prudent lending practices. For consumer credit exposures, loans that are past due 30-89 days are considered special mention.

A substandard loan with a corporate credit exposure is inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness, or weaknesses, that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt by the borrower. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. They require more intensive

23

supervision by management. Substandard loans are generally characterized by current or expected unprofitable operations, inadequate debt service coverage, inadequate liquidity, or marginal capitalization. Repayment may depend on collateral or other credit risk mitigants. For some substandard loans, the likelihood of full collection of interest and principal may be in doubt and thus, placed on nonaccrual. For loans with a consumer credit exposure, loans that are 90 days or more past due or that have been placed on nonaccrual are considered substandard.

A loan with corporate credit exposure is classified as doubtful if it has all the weaknesses inherent in a loan classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. A doubtful loan has a high probability of total or substantial loss, but because of specific pending events that may strengthen the loan, its classification as loss is deferred. Doubtful borrowers are usually in default, lack adequate liquidity or capital, and lack the resources necessary to remain an operating entity. Pending events can include mergers, acquisitions, liquidations, capital injections, the perfection of liens on additional collateral, the valuation of collateral, and refinancing. Generally, there are not any loans with a consumer credit exposure that are classified as doubtful. Usually, they are charged-off prior to such a classification. Loans classified as doubtful are also considered impaired.

The following tables set forth United s credit quality indicators information, by class of loans:

## **Credit Quality Indicators**

## **Corporate Credit Exposure**

## As of September 30, 2012

	Commercia Owner- occupied	al Real Estate Nonowner- occupied	Other Commercial	Constru & La Develop	nd
Grade:					
Pass	\$ 664,198	\$ 1,605,048	\$ 1,175,048	\$ 470	),567
Special mention	23,080	72,654	56,403	25	5,976
Substandard	36,691	55,913	40,654	60	),741
Doubtful	0	0	2,045		0
Total	\$ 723,969	\$ 1.733.615	\$ 1.274.150	\$ 557	7.284

## As of December 31, 2011

	Commercia	Commercial Real Estate		
	Owner-	Owner- Nonowner-		& Land
	occupied	occupied	Commercial	Development
Grade:				
Pass	\$ 679,651	\$ 1,407,006	\$ 1,073,347	\$ 462,517
Special mention	38,150	67,035	78,158	41,093
Substandard	25,701	79,218	57,436	45,267
Doubtful	0	0	3,264	1,000
Total	\$ 743,502	\$ 1,553,259	\$ 1,212,205	\$ 549,877

## **Credit Quality Indicators**

## **Consumer Credit Exposure**

As of	Sep	tembei	: 30,	201	2
-------	-----	--------	-------	-----	---

	Residential		Other
	Real Estate	Bankcard	Consumer
Grade:			
Pass	\$ 1,811,442	\$ 10,072	\$ 265,514
Special mention	9,843	407	7,821
Substandard	33,047	191	975
Doubtful	0	0	0
Total	\$ 1,854,332	\$ 10,670	\$ 274,310

## As of December 31, 2011

	Residential		Other
	Real Estate	Bankcard	Consumer
Grade:			
Pass	\$ 1,784,363	\$ 11,079	\$ 265,995
Special mention	56,722	304	5,366
Substandard	50,640	136	832
Doubtful	0	0	0
Total	\$ 1,891,725	\$ 11,519	\$ 272,193

Loans are designated as impaired when, in the opinion of management, based on current information and events, the collection of principal and interest in accordance with the loan contract is doubtful. Typically, United does not consider loans for impairment unless a sustained period of delinquency (i.e. 90 days or more) is noted or there are subsequent events that impact repayment probability (i.e. negative financial trends, bankruptcy filings, eminent foreclosure proceedings, etc.). Impairment is evaluated in total for smaller-balance loans of a similar nature and on an individual loan basis for other loans. Consistent with United s existing method of income recognition for loans, interest on impaired loans, except those classified as nonaccrual, is recognized as income using the accrual method. Impaired loans, or portions thereof, are charged off when deemed uncollectible.

The following table sets forth United s impaired loans information, by class of loans:

	Impaired Loans								
	September 30, 2012 Unpaid			De	cember 31, 2	011			
					Unpaid				
	Recorded	Principal	Related	Recorded	Principal	Related			
	Investment	Balance	Allowance	Investment	Balance	Allowance			
With no related allowance recorded:									
Commercial real estate:									
Owner-occupied	\$ 13,851	\$ 14,183	\$ 0	\$ 3,540	\$ 4,934	\$ 0			
Nonowner-occupied	10,768	14,420	0	30,382	32,573	0			
Other commercial	3,775	5,284	0	7,831	9,405	0			
Residential real estate	5,527	7,499	0	18,750	20,613	0			
Construction & land development	9,536	11,889	0	23,654	33,172	0			
Consumer:									
Bankcard	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Edgar Filing: NAVIGANT CONSULTING INC - Form SC 13G/A

Other consumer	152	152	0	120	144	0
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	\$ 1,094	\$ 1,094	\$ 371	\$ 1,638	\$ 1,638	\$ 269
Nonowner-occupied	4,579	5,579	1,213	2,086	2,413	596
Other commercial	30,569	33,071	8,692	20,453	22,630	5,888

Impaired Loans	

	September 30, 2012			De	December 31, 2011				
		Unpaid			Unpaid				
	Recorded	Principal	Related	Recorded	Principal	Related			
	Investment	Balance	Allowance	Investment	Balance	Allowance			
Residential real estate	9,395	10,788	2,266	8,868	10,867	1,925			
Construction & land development	12,992	16,988	3,091	10,714	12,210	2,480			
Consumer:									
Bankcard	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Other consumer	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total:									
Commercial real estate:									
Owner-occupied	\$ 14,945	\$ 15,277	\$ 371	\$ 5,178	\$ 6,572	\$ 269			
Nonowner-occupied	15,347	19,999	1,213	32,468	34,986	596			
Other commercial	34,344	38,355	8,692	28,284	32,035	5,888			
Residential real estate	14,922	18,287	2,266	27,618	31,480	1,925			
Construction & land development	22,528	28,877	3,091	34,368	45,382	2,480			
Consumer:									
Bankcard	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Other consumer	152	152	0	120	144	0			

## Impaired Loans

impaired Loans								
For the Three Months Ended								

	Septembe	September 30, 2011				
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized		Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	
With no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	\$ 14,169	\$	163	\$ 6,958	\$	57
Nonowner-occupied	20,208		159	15,554		674
Other commercial	5,269		51	3,901		100
Residential real estate	8,539		33	10,871		264
Construction & land development	9,546		44	11,219		191
Consumer:						
Bankcard	0		0	0		0
Other consumer	152		0	251		21
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	\$ 982	\$	10	\$ 499	\$	0
Nonowner-occupied	4,201		30	3,806		75
Other commercial	28,477		166	13,660		1,215
Residential real estate	9,272		5	11,637		185
Construction & land development	13,628		73	7,254		115
Consumer:						
Bankcard	0		0	0		0
Other consumer	0		0	0		0
Total:						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	\$ 15,151	\$	173	\$ 7,457	\$	57
Nonowner-occupied	24,409		189	19,360		749
Other commercial	33,746		217	17,561		1,315
Residential real estate	17,811		38	22,508		449
Construction & land development	23,174		117	18,473		306
Consumer:						
Bankcard	0		0	0		0
Other consumer	152		0	251		21

26

Impaired Loans

# **Table of Contents**

	Impaired Loans  For the Nine Months Ended							
	Septembe			September 1	er 30. 2	011		
	Average Interest			Average		terest		
	Recorded Income		Recorded	Ir	come			
	Investment	Reco	gnized	Investment	Recognize			
With no related allowance recorded:								
Commercial real estate:								
Owner-occupied	\$ 10,652	\$	569	\$ 12,475	\$	98		
Nonowner-occupied	24,192		441	12,621		911		
Other commercial	5,681		157	11,317		198		
Residential real estate	11,764		245	15,081		384		
Construction & land development	13,435		282	26,915		342		
Consumer:								
Bankcard	0		0	0		0		
Other consumer	152		0	529		21		
With an allowance recorded:								
Commercial real estate:								
Owner-occupied	\$ 1,107	\$	44	\$ 499	\$	0		
Nonowner-occupied	3,495		100	4,113		139		
Other commercial	25,781		1,527	8,495		1,276		
Residential real estate	9,388		417	15,627		328		
Construction & land development	12,861		252	8,542		188		
Consumer:								
Bankcard	0		0	0		0		
Other consumer	0		0	0		0		
Total:								
Commercial real estate:								
Owner-occupied	\$ 11,759	\$	613	\$ 12,974	\$	98		
Nonowner-occupied	27,687		541	16,734		1,050		
Other commercial	31,462		1,684	19,812		1,474		
Residential real estate	21,152		662	30,708		712		
Construction & land development	26,296		534	35,457		530		
Consumer:								
Bankcard	0		0	0		0		
Other consumer	152		0	529		21		

# 6. ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

The allowance for loan losses is management s estimate of the probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Management s evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and the appropriate provision for credit losses is based upon a quarterly evaluation of the portfolio. This evaluation is inherently subjective and requires significant estimates, including the amounts and timing of estimated future cash flows, estimated losses on pools of loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends, all of which are susceptible to constant and significant change. Allocations are made for specific commercial loans based upon management s estimate of the borrowers ability to repay and other factors impacting collectibility. Other commercial loans not specifically reviewed on an individual basis are evaluated based on historical loss percentages applied to loan pools that have been segregated by the type of risk. Allocations for loans other than commercial loans are made based upon historical loss experience adjusted for current environmental conditions. The allowance for loan losses includes estimated probable inherent but undetected losses within the portfolio due to uncertainties in economic conditions, delays in obtaining information, including unfavorable information about a borrower s financial condition, the difficulty in identifying triggering events that correlate perfectly to subsequent loss rates, and risk factors that have not yet fully manifested themselves in loss allocation factors. In addition, a portion of the allowance accounts for the inherent imprecision in the allowance for loan losses analysis.

For purposes of determining the general allowance, the loan portfolio is segregated by loan product type to recognize differing risk profiles among loan categories. It is further segregated by credit grade for risk-rated loan pools and delinquency for homogeneous loan pools. The outstanding principal balance within each pool is multiplied by historical loss data and certain qualitative factors to derive the general loss allocation per pool. Specific loss allocations are calculated for loans in excess of \$500 thousand in accordance with ASC topic 310. Risk characteristics of owner-occupied commercial real estate loans and other commercial loans are similar in that they are normally dependent upon the borrower s internal cash flow from operations to service debt. Nonowner-occupied commercial real estate loans differ in that cash flow to service debt is normally dependent on external income from third parties for use of the real estate such as rents, leases and room rates. Residential real estate loans are dependent upon individual borrowers who are affected by changes in general economic conditions, demand for housing and resulting residential real estate valuation. Construction and land development loans are impacted mainly by demand whether for new residential housing or for retail, industrial, office and other types of commercial construction within a given area. Consumer loan pool risk characteristics are influenced by general, regional and local economic conditions. During the first nine months of 2012, there were no material changes to the accounting policy or methodology related to the allowance for loan losses.

Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan losses, while recoveries of previously charged-off amounts are credited to the allowance for loan losses. For commercial loans, when a loan or a portion of a loan is identified to contain a loss, a charge-off recommendation is directed to management to charge-off all or a portion of that loan. Generally, any unsecured commercial loan more than six months delinquent in payment of interest must be charged-off in full. If secured, the charge-off is generally made to reduce the loan balance to a level equal to the liquidation value of the collateral when payment of principal and interest is six months delinquent. Any commercial loan, secured or unsecured, on which a principal or interest payment has not been made within 90 days, is reviewed monthly for appropriate action.

For consumer loans, closed-end retail loans that are past due 120 cumulative days delinquent from the contractual due date and open-end loans 180 cumulative days delinquent from the contractual due date are charged-off. Any consumer loan on which a principal or interest payment has not been made within 90 days is reviewed monthly for appropriate action. For a one-to-four family open-end or closed-end residential real estate loan, home equity loan, or high-loan-to-value loan that has reached 180 or more days past due, management evaluates the collateral position and charge-offs any amount that exceeds the value of the collateral. Retail credits for which the borrower is in bankruptcy, all amounts deemed unrecoverable are charged-off within 60 days or before of the receipt of the notification. On retail credits effected by fraud, a loan is charged-off within 90 days of the discovery of the fraud. In the event of the borrower s death and if repayment within the required timeframe is uncertain, the loan is generally charged-off as soon as the amount of the loss is determined.

United maintains an allowance for loan losses and a reserve for lending-related commitments such as unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit. The reserve for lending-related commitments of \$1,788 and \$1,853 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, is separately classified on the balance sheet and is included in other liabilities. The combined allowance for loan losses and reserve for lending-related commitments are referred to as the allowance for credit losses.

28

A progression of the allowance for loan losses, by portfolio segment, for the periods indicated is summarized as follows:

# **Allowance for Loan Losses**

# For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

	Commercial Real Estate						Allowance	
	Owner- occupied	Nonowner- occupied	Other Commercial	Residential Real Estate	Construction & Land Development	Consumer	for Estimated Imprecision	Total
Allowance for Loan Losses:								
Beginning balance	\$ 3,074	\$ 12,328	\$ 17,413	\$ 13,839	\$ 23,212	\$ 2,249	\$ 1,298	\$ 73,413
Charge-offs	377	87	983	1,975	692	339	0	4,453
Recoveries	17	30	49	235	37	74	0	442
Provision	793	499	3,386	2,668	(3,551)	493	58	4,346
Ending balance	\$ 3,507	\$ 12,770	\$ 19,865	\$ 14,767	\$ 19,006	\$ 2,477	\$ 1,356	\$ 73,748

# Allowance for Loan Losses and Carrying Amount of Loans

# For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

	Commercial Real Estate					Construction				Allowance for						
	-	Owner- ccupied		onowner- ccupied		Other mmercial		esidential eal Estate		& Land velopment	Co	nsumer		timated precision		Total
Allowance for Loan Losses:																
Beginning balance	\$	3,670	\$	11,647	\$	20,803	\$	13,880	\$	19,151	\$	2,151	\$	2,572	\$	73,874
Charge-offs		491		2,566		1,527		6,818		1,825		1,148		0		14,375
Recoveries		30		82		1,227		668		53		274		0		2,334
Provision		298		3,607		(638)		7,037		1,627		1,200		(1,216)		11,915
Ending balance	\$	3,507	\$	12,770	\$	19,865	\$	14,767	\$	19,006	\$	2,477	\$	1,356	\$	73,748
Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$	370 3,137	\$	1,214 11,556	\$	8,692 11,173	\$	2,266 12,501	\$	3,091 15,915	\$	0 2,477	\$	0	\$	15,633 58,115
Ending Balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Financing receivables:																
Ending balance	\$ ~	723,969	\$ 1	,733,615	\$ 1	,274,150	\$ 1	,854,332	\$	557,284	\$ 2	284,980	\$	0	\$ 6	,428,330
Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment		12,599	\$	12,999	\$	33,138	\$	10,989	\$	19,281	\$	0	\$	0	\$	89,006
Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ '	709,696	\$ 1	,711,293	\$ 1	,239,445	\$ 1	,839,719	\$	519,798	\$ 2	284,945	\$	0	\$6	,304,896

# Edgar Filing: NAVIGANT CONSULTING INC - Form SC 13G/A

Ending Balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality

it quality \$ 1,674 \$ 9,323 \$ 1,567 \$ 3,624 \$ 18,205 \$ 35 \$ 0 \$ 34,428

29

# Allowance for Loan Losses and Carrying Amount of Loans

# For the Year Ended December 31, 2011

	C	ommercia	l Rea	al Estate					Co	nstruction			All	lowance for		
	_	owner- ecupied		onowner- ccupied	Co	Other mmercial		sidential al Estate		& Land velopment	Co	onsumer		timated precision		Total
Allowance for Loan Losses:																
Beginning balance	\$	3,116	\$	12,456	\$	21,918	\$	11,653	\$	18,738	\$	2,161	\$	2,991	\$	73,033
Charge-offs		1,230		897		2,765		7,069		6,290		1,354		0		19,605
Recoveries		2		639		1,924		248		136		356		0		3,305
Provision		1,782		(551)		(274)		9,048		6,567		988		(419)		17,141
Ending balance	\$	3,670	\$	11,647	\$	20,803	\$	13,880	\$	19,151	\$	2,151	\$	2,572	\$	73,874
Ending Balance: individually																
evaluated for impairment	\$	269	\$	596	\$	5,888	\$	1,925	\$	2,480	\$	0	\$	0	\$	11,158
Ending Balance: collectively																
evaluated for impairment	\$	3,401	\$	11,051	\$	14,915	\$	11,955	\$	16,671	\$	2,151	\$	2,572	\$	62,716
Ending Balance: loans																
acquired with deteriorated																
credit quality	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
Financing receivables:																
Ending balance	\$ 7	43,502	\$ 1	,553,259	\$ 1	,212,205	\$ 1	,891,725	\$	549,877	\$ 2	283,712	\$	0	\$6	,234,280
Ending Balance: individually																
evaluated for impairment	\$	2,321	\$	29,794	\$	25,532	\$	18,288	\$	20,835	\$	0	\$	0	\$	96,770
Ending Balance: collectively																
evaluated for impairment	\$ 7	738,596	\$ 1	,514,173	\$ 1	,184,565	\$ 1	,871,354	\$	508,921	\$ 2	280,947	\$	0	\$6	,098,556
Ending Balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$	2,585	\$	9,292	\$	2,108	\$	2,083	\$	20,121	\$	2,765	\$	0	\$	38,954

#### 7. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following is a summary of intangible assets subject to amortization and those not subject to amortization:

	Gross Carrying Amount	• 0			
Amortized intangible assets:					
Core deposit intangible assets	\$ 43,434	(\$ 32,658)	\$ 10,776		
Goodwill not subject to amortization			\$ 375,583		

	Gross Carrying Amount	Acc	ecember 31, 2 umulated ortization	Net	Net Carrying Amount		
Amortized intangible assets:							
Core deposit intangible assets	\$ 43,434	(\$	30,484)	\$	12,950		
Goodwill not subject to amortization				\$	375,626		

During the year of 2011, United acquired Centra adding preliminary amounts of \$60,099 to goodwill and \$12,439 to core deposit intangible assets. During the third quarter of 2012, United finalized the acquisition-price accounting for Centra and made a revision of \$3,934 to increase the preliminary estimate of goodwill.

United incurred amortization expense of \$697 and \$2,183 for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and \$860 and \$1,597 for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, related to intangible assets. The table presented below sets forth the anticipated amortization expense for intangible assets for each of the next five years:

Year	Amount
2012	\$ 2,843
2013	1,969
2014	1,478
2015	1,149
2016 and thereafter	5,511

# 8. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase are a significant source of funds for the Company. United has various unused lines of credit available from certain of its correspondent banks in the aggregate amount of \$234,000. These lines of credit, which bear interest at prevailing market rates, permit United to borrow funds in the overnight market, and are renewable annually subject to certain conditions. At September 30, 2012,

31

federal funds purchased were \$15,441 while securities sold under agreements to repurchase were \$273,041. The securities sold under agreements to repurchase were accounted for as collateralized borrowings. They were recorded at the amounts at which the securities were acquired or sold plus accrued interest.

United has a \$10,000 line of credit with an unrelated financial institution to provide for general liquidity needs. The line is an unsecured, revolving line of credit. The line will be renewable on a 360 day basis and will carry an indexed, floating-rate of interest. The line requires compliance with various financial and nonfinancial covenants. At September 30, 2012, United had no outstanding balance under this line of credit.

Effective December 31, 2011, United Bank (VA) no longer participates in the Treasury Investment Program, which is essentially the U.S. Treasury s savings account for companies depositing employment and other tax payments. All amounts due to the U.S. Treasury were paid by year-end 2011.

#### 9. LONG-TERM BORROWINGS

Table of Contents

United s subsidiary banks are members of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). Membership in the FHLB makes available short-term and long-term borrowings from collateralized advances. All FHLB borrowings are collateralized by a mix of single-family residential mortgage loans, commercial loans and investment securities. At September 30, 2012, United had an unused borrowing amount of approximately \$1,843,401 available subject to delivery of collateral after certain trigger points. Advances may be called by the FHLB or redeemed by United based on predefined factors and penalties.

At September 30, 2012, United had \$86,513 of FHLB advances with a weighted-average interest rate of 4.40% scheduled to mature within the next seven years.

The scheduled maturities of these FHLB borrowings are as follows:

Year	Amount
2012	\$ 0
2013	29,103
2014	26,572
2015	4,676
2016 and thereafter	26,164
Total	\$ 86.513

At September 30, 2012, United has a total of twelve statutory business trusts that were formed for the purpose of issuing or participating in pools of trust preferred capital securities (Capital Securities) with the proceeds invested in junior subordinated debt securities (Debentures) of United. The Debentures, which are subordinate and junior in right of payment to all present and future senior indebtedness and certain other financial obligations of United, are the sole assets of the trusts and United s payment under the Debentures is the sole source of revenue for the trusts. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the outstanding balances of the Debentures were \$198,487 and \$203,557, respectively, and were included in the category of long-term debt on the Consolidated Balance Sheets entitled Other long-term borrowings. The Capital Securities are not included as a component of shareholders—equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. United fully and unconditionally guarantees each individual trust—s obligations under the Capital Securities.

Under the provisions of the subordinated debt, United has the right to defer payment of interest on the subordinated debt at any time, or from time to time, for periods not exceeding five years. If interest payments on the subordinated debt are deferred, the dividends on the Capital Securities are also deferred. Interest on the subordinated debt is cumulative. United has not deferred any payment as of September 30, 2012.

43

The Trust Preferred Securities currently qualify as Tier I capital to United for regulatory purposes.

#### 10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

United is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers and to alter its own exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. These financial instruments include loan commitments, standby letters of credit, and commercial letters of credit. The instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the financial statements.

United s maximum exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty to the financial instrument for the loan commitments and standby letters of credit is the contractual or notional amount of those instruments. United uses the same policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. Collateral may be obtained, if deemed necessary, based on management s credit evaluation of the counterparty.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the commitment contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require the payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily, and historically do not, represent future cash requirements. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary upon the extension of credit, is based on management s credit evaluation of the counterparty. United had approximately \$2,041,620 and \$1,667,859 of loan commitments outstanding as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, the majority of which expire within one year.

Commercial and standby letters of credit are agreements used by United s customers as a means of improving their credit standing in their dealings with others. Under these agreements, United guarantees certain financial commitments of its customers. A commercial letter of credit is issued specifically to facilitate trade or commerce. Typically, under the terms of a commercial letter of credit, a commitment is drawn upon when the underlying transaction is consummated as intended between the customer and a third party. United has issued commercial letters of credit of \$250 and \$290 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. A standby letter of credit is generally contingent upon the failure of a customer to perform according to the terms of an underlying contract with a third party. United has issued standby letters of credit of \$99,004 and \$130,034 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. In accordance with the Contingencies Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, United has determined that substantially all of its letters of credit are renewed on an annual basis. The fees associated with these letters of credit are immaterial.

On October 24, 2012, United Bankshares, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, United Bank, Inc. of West Virginia, agreed to settle two class actions. The class actions alleged that United Bank improperly posted, processed, and paid consumer checking account debit card transactions, which allegedly resulted in the assessment of improper overdraft fees. These cases are virtually identical to cases filed against more than 70 other United States banks over the last three years.

The first case has been consolidated, with similar cases against a myriad of other banks, into a federal multidistrict litigation pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida that is known as In re Checking Account Overdraft Litigation, Case No. 1:09-md-02036-JLK. The second case is pending in the Circuit Court of Jackson County, West Virginia. Without admitting liability or any wrongdoing and to avoid further litigation expense, United Bankshares, Inc. and United Bank, Inc. of West Virginia agreed to settle these cases in exchange for a payment of \$3.3 million and an agreement to pay certain settlement-related expenses. The settlement is subject to court approval. As of September 30, 2012, the \$3.3 million settlement amount was fully accrued by the Company.

33

# Edgar Filing: NAVIGANT CONSULTING INC - Form SC 13G/A

# **Table of Contents**

In addition, United and its subsidiaries are currently involved in various legal proceedings in the normal course of business. Management is vigorously pursuing all its legal and factual defenses and, after consultation with legal counsel, believes that all such litigation will be resolved with no material effect on United s financial position.

# 11. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

United uses derivative instruments to help manage adverse prices or interest rate movements on the value of certain assets or liabilities and on future cash flows. These derivatives may consist of interest rate swaps, caps, floors, collars, futures, forward contracts, written and purchased options. United also executes derivative instruments with its commercial banking customers to facilitate its risk management strategies.

United accounts for its derivative financial instruments in accordance with the Derivatives and Hedging topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. The Derivatives and Hedging topic require all derivative instruments to be carried at fair value on the balance sheet. United has designated certain derivative instruments used to manage interest rate risk as hedge relationships with certain assets, liabilities or cash flows being hedged. Certain derivatives used for interest rate risk management are not designated in a hedge relationship.

Derivative instruments designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivative instruments designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. As of September 30, 2012, United has only fair value hedges.

For a fair value hedge, the fair value of the interest rate swap is recognized on the balance sheet as either a freestanding asset or liability with a corresponding adjustment to the hedged financial instrument. Subsequent adjustments due to changes in the fair value of a derivative that qualifies as a fair value hedge are offset in current period earnings. For a cash flow hedge, the fair value of the interest rate swap is recognized on the balance sheet as either a freestanding asset or liability with a corresponding adjustment to other comprehensive income within shareholders equity, net of tax. Subsequent adjustments due to changes in the fair value of a derivative that qualifies as a cash flow hedge are offset to other comprehensive income, net of tax. The portion of a hedge that is ineffective is recognized immediately in earnings.

At inception of a hedge relationship, United formally documents the hedged item, the particular risk management objective, the nature of the risk being hedged, the derivative being used, how effectiveness of the hedge will be assessed and how the ineffectiveness of the hedge will be measured. United also assesses hedge effectiveness at inception and on an ongoing basis using regression analysis. Hedge ineffectiveness is measured by using the change in fair value method. The change in fair value method compares the change in the fair value of the hedging derivative to the change in the fair value of the hedged exposure, attributable to changes in the benchmark rate. The portion of a hedge that is ineffective is recognized immediately in earnings. Prior to January 1, 2006, United used the shortcut method for interest rate swaps that met the criteria as defined under the Derivatives and Hedging topic. Effective January 1, 2006, United adopted an internal policy of accounting for all new derivative instruments entered thereafter whereby the shortcut method would no longer be used.

The derivative portfolio also includes derivative financial instruments not included in hedge relationships. These derivatives consist of interest rate swaps used for interest rate management purposes and derivatives executed with commercial banking customers to facilitate their interest rate management strategies. For derivatives that are not designated in a hedge relationship, changes in the fair value of the derivatives are recognized in earnings in the same period as the change in fair value. Gains and losses on other derivative financial instruments are included in noninterest income and noninterest expense, respectively.

34

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the interest rate derivatives portfolio used for interest-rate risk management purposes and designated as accounting hedges under the Derivatives and Hedging topic at September 30, 2012.

# **Derivative Classifications and Hedging Relationships**

# **September 30, 2012**

	Notional Amount	Average Pay Rate
Fair Value Hedges:		
Pay Fixed Swaps (Hedging Commercial Loans)	\$ 52,942	5.14%
Total Derivatives Used in Fair Value Hedges	\$ 52,942	
Total Derivatives Used for Interest Rate Risk Management and Designated as Hedges	\$ 52,942	

The following tables summarize the fair value of United s derivative financial instruments.

	Asset Derivatives					
	September 3	0, 2012	December 31	1, 2011		
	Balance		Balance			
	Sheet Location	Fair Value	Sheet Location	Fair Value		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments						
Interest rate contracts	Other assets	\$ 2,831	Other assets	\$ 3,817		
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 2,831		\$ 3,817		
Total asset derivatives		\$ 2,831		\$ 3,817		

	Liability Derivatives					
	September 30,	2012	December 31, 2011			
	Balance		Balance			
	Sheet Location	Fair Value	Sheet Location	Fair Value		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments						
Interest rate contracts	Other liabilities	\$ 2,470	Other liabilities	\$ 1,233		
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 2,470		\$ 1,233		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments						
Interest rate contracts	Other liabilities	\$ 2,831	Other liabilities	\$ 3,817		
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 5,301		\$ 3,817		
Total liability derivatives		\$ 5,301		\$ 5,050		

# Edgar Filing: NAVIGANT CONSULTING INC - Form SC 13G/A

Derivative contracts involve the risk of dealing with both bank customers and institutional derivative counterparties and their ability to meet contractual terms. Credit risk arises from the possible inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts. United s exposure is limited to the replacement value of the contracts rather than the notional amount of the contract. The Company s agreements generally contain provisions that limit the unsecured exposure up to an agreed upon threshold. Additionally, the Company attempts to minimize credit risk through certain approval processes established by management.

The effect of United s derivative financial instruments on its unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are presented as follows:

		Three Months Ended				
	Income Statement Location	September 30, 2012		mber 30, 011		
Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships						
Interest rate contracts	Interest income/ (expense)	\$ (72)	\$	20		
Total derivatives in fair value hedging relationships		\$ (72)	\$	20		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments						
Interest rate contracts (1)	Other income	\$ 356	\$	557		
Interest rate contracts (2)	Other expense	\$ (356)	\$	(557)		
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 0	\$	0		
Total derivatives		\$ (72)	\$	20		

		Nine Mo	Nine Months En			
	Income Statement Location	September 30, 2012	Sept	ember 30, 2011		
Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships						
Interest rate contracts	Interest income/ (expense)	\$ (126)	\$	61		
Total derivatives in fair value hedging relationships		\$ (126)	\$	61		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments						
Interest rate contracts (1)	Other income	\$ 987	\$	1,363		
Interest rate contracts (2)	Other expense	\$ (987)	\$	(1,363)		
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 0	\$	0		
Total derivatives		\$ (126)	\$	61		

# 12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

United determines the fair values of its financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy established by ASC topic 820, which also clarifies that fair value of certain assets and liabilities is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants.

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents net gains from derivative assets not designated as hedging instruments.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents net losses from derivative liabilities not designated as hedging instruments.

The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect United s market assumptions.

The three levels of the fair value hierarchy, based on these two types of inputs, are as follows:

- Level 1 Valuation is based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.
- Level 2 Valuation is based on observable inputs including quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in less active markets, and model-based valuation techniques for which significant assumptions can be derived primarily from or corroborated by observable data in the market.
- Level 3 Valuation is based on model-based techniques that use one or more significant inputs or assumptions that are unobservable in the market.

When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities, United looks to active and observable markets to price identical assets or liabilities whenever possible and classifies such items in Level 1. When identical assets and liabilities are not traded in active markets, United looks to market observable data for similar assets and liabilities and classifies such items as Level 2. Nevertheless, certain assets and liabilities are not actively traded in observable markets and United must use alternative valuation techniques using unobservable inputs to determine a fair value and classifies such items as Level 3. For assets and liabilities that are not actively traded, the fair value measurement is based primarily upon estimates that require significant judgment. Therefore, the results may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the asset or liability. Additionally, there are inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, could significantly affect the results of current or future values. The level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant in the fair value measurement.

In accordance with ASC topic 820, the following describes the valuation techniques used by United to measure certain financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis in the financial statements:

Securities available for sale: Securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted market prices, when available (Level 1). If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are measured utilizing independent valuation techniques of identical or similar securities for which significant assumptions are derived primarily from or corroborated by observable market data. Using a market approach valuation methodology, third party vendors compile prices from various sources and may determine the fair value of identical or similar securities by using pricing models that considers observable market data (Level 2). Management internally reviews the fair values provided by third party vendors on a monthly basis. Management s review consists of comparing fair values assigned by third party vendors to trades and offerings observed by management. The review requires some degree of judgment as to the number or percentage of securities to review on the part of management which could fluctuate based on results of past reviews and in comparison to current expectations. Exceptions that are deemed to be material are reviewed by management. Additionally, to assess the reliability of the information received from third party vendors, management obtains documentation from third party vendors related to the sources, methodologies, and inputs utilized in valuing securities classified as Level 2. Management analyzes this information to ensure the underlying assumptions appear reasonable. Management also obtains an independent service auditor s report from third party vendors to provide reasonable assurance that appropriate controls are in place over the valuation process. Upon completing its review of the pricing from third party vendors at September 30, 2012, management determined that the prices provided by its third party pricing source were reasonable and in line with management s expectations for the market values of these securities. Therefore, prices obtained from third party vendors that did not reflect forced liquidation or distressed sales were not adjusted by management at September 30, 2012. Management utilizes a number of factors to determine if a market is inactive, all

of which may require a significant level of judgment. Factors that management considers include: a significant widening of the bid-ask spread, a considerable decline in the volume and level of trading activity in the instrument, a significant variance in prices among market participants, and a significant reduction in the level of observable inputs. Any securities available for sale not valued based upon quoted market prices or third party pricing models that consider observable market data are considered Level 3. Currently, United considers its valuation of available-for-sale TRUP CDOs as Level 3. The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic assumes that fair values of financial assets are determined in an orderly transaction and not a forced liquidation or distressed sale at the measurement date. Based on financial market conditions, United feels that the fair values obtained from its third party vendor reflect forced liquidation or distressed sales for these TRUP CDOs due to decreased volume and trading activity. Additionally, management held discussions with institutional traders to identify trends in the number and type of transactions related to the TRUP CDOs sector. Based upon management s review of the market conditions for TRUP CDOs, it was determined that an income approach valuation technique (present value technique) that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs is more representative of fair value than the valuation technique used by United s third party vendor. The present value technique discounts expected future cash flows of a security to arrive at a present value. Management considers the following items when calculating the appropriate discount rate: the implied rate of return when the market was last active, changes in the implied rate of return as markets moved from very active to inactive, recent changes in credit ratings, and recent activity showing that the market has built in increased liquidity and credit premiums. Management s internal credit review of each security was also factored in to determine the appropriate discount rate. The credit review considered each security s collateral, subordination, excess spread, priority of claims, principal and interest. Discount margins used in the valuation at September 30, 2012 ranged from LIBOR plus 6.00% to LIBOR plus 30.00%. Management completed a sensitivity analysis on the fair value of its TRUP CDOs. Given a comprehensive 200 basis point increase in the discount rates, the total fair value of these securities would decline by approximately 14%.

Derivatives: United utilizes interest rate swaps to hedge exposure to interest rate risk and variability of cash flows associated to changes in the underlying interest rate of the hedged item. These hedging interest rate swaps are classified as either a fair value hedge or a cash flow hedge. United s derivative portfolio also includes derivative financial instruments not included in hedge relationships. These derivatives consist of interest rate swaps used for interest rate management purposes and derivatives executed with commercial banking customers to facilitate their interest rate management strategies. United utilizes third-party vendors for derivative valuation purposes. These vendors determine the appropriate fair value based on a net present value calculation of the cash flows related to the interest rate swaps using primarily observable market inputs such as interest rate yield curves (Level 2). Valuation adjustments to derivative fair values for liquidity and credit risk are also taken into consideration, as well as the likelihood of default by United and derivative counterparties, the net counterparty exposure and the remaining maturities of the positions. Values obtained from third party vendors are typically not adjusted by management. Management internally reviews the derivative values provided by third party vendors on a quarterly basis. All derivative values are tested for reasonableness by management utilizing a net present value calculation.

For a fair value hedge, the fair value of the interest rate swap is recognized on the balance sheet as either a freestanding asset or liability with a corresponding adjustment to the hedged financial instrument. Subsequent adjustments due to changes in the fair value of a derivative that qualifies as a fair value hedge are offset in current period earnings either in interest income or interest expense depending on the nature of the hedged financial instrument. For a cash flow hedge, the fair value of the interest rate swap is recognized on the balance sheet as either a freestanding asset or liability with a corresponding adjustment to other comprehensive income within shareholders—equity, net of tax. Subsequent adjustments due to changes in the fair value of a derivative that qualifies as a cash flow hedge are offset to other comprehensive income, net of tax. The portion of a hedge that is ineffective is recognized immediately in earnings.

For derivatives that are not designated in a hedge relationship, changes in the fair value of the derivatives are recognized in earnings in the same period as the change in the fair value. Unrealized gains and losses due to changes in the fair value of other derivative financial instruments not in hedge relationship are included in noninterest income and noninterest expense, respectively.

38

The following tables present the balances of financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy.

	Fair Value at September 30, 2012 Using				
Description	Balance as of September 30, 2012	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets		ĺ	, ,	` ´	
Available for sale debt securities:					
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S.					
Government corporations and agencies	\$ 352,553	\$ 0	\$ 352,553	\$ 0	
State and political subdivisions	84,926	0	84,926	0	
Residential mortgage-backed securities					
Agency	113,627	0	113,627	0	
Non-agency	25,558	0	25,558	0	
Asset-backed securities	12,779	0	12,779	0	
Trust preferred collateralized debt obligations	37,368	0	0	37,368	
Single issue trust preferred securities	11,928	499	11,429	0	
Other corporate securities	5,330	0	5,330	0	
Total available for sale debt securities	644,069	499	606,202	37,368	
Available for sale equity securities:					
Financial services industry	5,061	2,305	2,756	0	
Equity mutual funds (1)	69	69	0	0	
Other equity securities	946	946	0	0	
Total available for sale equity securities	6,076	3,320	2,756	0	
1 3	,	,	,		
Total available for sale securities	650,145	3,819	608,958	37,368	
Derivative financial assets:	050,115	3,017	000,750	37,300	
Interest rate contracts	2,831	0	2,831	0	
Liabilities	2,031	Ŭ	2,031		
Derivative financial liabilities:					
Interest rate contracts	5,301	0	5,301	0	

<sup>(1)</sup> The equity mutual funds are within a rabbi trust for the payment of benefits under a deferred compensation plan for certain key officers of United and its subsidiaries.

Fair Value at December 31, 2011 Using

Available-for-sale

# **Table of Contents**

**Quoted Prices** in Active Markets Significant for Other Significant Balance as Identical Observable Unobservable of Assets December 31, Inputs Inputs (Level 2011 Description 1) (Level 2) (Level 3) Assets Available for sale debt securities: U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. \$ \$ 0 Government corporations and agencies \$ 303,547 0 \$ 303,547 State and political subdivisions 98,848 0 98,848 0 Residential mortgage-backed securities Agency 193,404 0 193,404 0 37,541 364 Non-agency 37,177 0 Trust preferred collateralized debt obligations 42,369 42,369 0 Single issue trust preferred securities 11,760 428 11,332 0 Other corporate securities 5,090 5,090 0 Total available for sale debt securities 692,559 792 649,398 42,369 Available for sale equity securities: 2.243 1.923 320 0 Financial services industry Equity mutual funds (1) 860 860 0 0 Other equity securities 856 856 0 0 Total available for sale equity securities 3,959 3,639 320 0 649,718 42,369 Total available for sale securities 696,518 4,431 Derivative financial assets: 3,817 3,817 0 Interest rate contracts Liabilities Derivative financial liabilities: Interest rate contracts 5,050 0 5,050 0

The following table presents additional information about financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 on a recurring basis and for which United has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value:

	Securities		
	Trust preferred collateralized debt obligations		
	September 30, Decem		ember 31, 2011
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 42,369	\$	49,908
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):			
Included in earnings (or changes in net assets)	(4,752)		(17,252)
Included in other comprehensive income	(249)		9,713
Purchases, issuances, and settlements	0		0

<sup>(1)</sup> The equity mutual funds are within a rabbi trust for the payment of benefits under a deferred compensation plan for certain key officers of United and its subsidiaries.

# Edgar Filing: NAVIGANT CONSULTING INC - Form SC 13G/A

Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	0	0
Balance, ending of period	\$ 37,368	\$ 42,369
The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings (or changes in net assets) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at reporting date	0	0

# Edgar Filing: NAVIGANT CONSULTING INC - Form SC 13G/A

#### **Table of Contents**

Certain financial assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. Adjustments to the fair value of these assets usually result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

The following describes the valuation techniques used by United to measure certain financial assets recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in the financial statements.

Loans held for sale: Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. These loans currently consist of one-to-four family residential loans originated for sale in the secondary market. Fair value is based on the price secondary markets are currently offering for similar loans using observable market data which is not materially different than cost due to the short duration between origination and sale (Level 2). As such, United records any fair value adjustments on a nonrecurring basis. No nonrecurring fair value adjustments were recorded on loans held for sale during the quarter ended September 30, 2012. Gains and losses on sale of loans are recorded within income from mortgage banking on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Impaired Loans: Loans are designated as impaired when, in the judgment of management based on current information and events, it is probable that all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement will not be collected. Impairment is measured based upon the present value of expected future cash flows from the loan discounted at the loan's effective rate and the loan's observable market price or the fair value of collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent. Fair value is measured using a market approach based on the value of the collateral securing the loans. Collateral may be in the form of real estate or business assets including equipment, inventory, and accounts receivable. The vast majority of the collateral is real estate. The value of real estate collateral is determined utilizing an appraisal conducted by an independent, licensed appraiser outside of the Company using comparable property sales (Level 2). However, if the collateral is a house or building in the process of construction or if an appraisal of the real estate property is over two years old, then the fair value is considered Level 3. The value of business equipment is based upon an outside appraisal if deemed significant, or the net book value on the applicable business financial statements if not considered significant using observable market data. Likewise, values for inventory and accounts receivables collateral are based on financial statement balances or aging reports (Level 3). Impaired loans allocated to the Allowance for Loan Losses are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Any fair value adjustments are recorded in the period incurred as provision for credit losses expense on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

OREO: OREO consists of real estate acquired in foreclosure or other settlement of loans. Such assets are carried on the balance sheet at the lower of the investment in the assets or the fair value of the assets less estimated selling costs. Fair value is determined by one of two market approach methods depending on whether the property has been vacated and an appraisal can be conducted. If the property has yet to be vacated and thus an appraisal cannot be performed, a Brokers Price Opinion (i.e. BPO), is obtained. A BPO represents a best estimate valuation performed by a realtor based on knowledge of current property values and a visual examination of the exterior condition of the property. Once the property is subsequently vacated, a formal appraisal is obtained and the recorded asset value appropriately adjusted. On the other hand, if the OREO property has been vacated and an appraisal can be conducted, the fair value of the property is determined based upon the appraisal using a market approach. An authorized independent appraiser conducts appraisals for United. Appraisals for property other than ongoing construction are based on consideration of

41

comparable property sales (Level 2). In contrast, valuation of ongoing construction assets requires some degree of professional judgment. In conducting an appraisal for ongoing construction property, the appraiser develops two appraised amounts: an as is appraised value and a completed value. Based on professional judgment and their knowledge of the particular situation, management determines the appropriate fair value to be utilized for such property (Level 3). As a matter of policy, valuations are reviewed at least annually and appraisals are generally updated on a bi-annual basis with values lowered as necessary.

Intangible Assets: For United, intangible assets consist of goodwill and core deposit intangibles. Goodwill is tested for impairment at least annually or sooner if indicators of impairment exist. Goodwill impairment would be defined as the difference between the recorded value of goodwill (i.e. book value) and the implied fair value of goodwill. In determining the implied fair value of goodwill for purposes of evaluating goodwill impairment, United determines the fair value of the reporting unit using a market approach and compares the fair value to its carrying value. If the carrying value exceeds the fair value, a step two test is performed whereby the implied fair value is computed by deducting the fair value of all tangible and intangible net assets from the fair value of the reporting unit. Core deposit intangibles relate to the estimated value of the deposit base of acquired institutions. Management reviews core deposit intangible assets on an annual basis, or sooner if indicators of impairment exist, and evaluates changes in facts and circumstances that may indicate impairment in the carrying value. No fair value measurement of intangible assets was made during the first nine months of 2012, due to the fact that there were no indicators of possible impairment.

The following table summarizes United s financial assets that were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the period:

Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2012 Using

			in Active Markets for		gnificant			
		ance as of tember 30,	Identical Assets (Level	Ol	Other oservable Inputs	Une	gnificant observable Inputs	YTD
Description	БСР	2012	1)		Level 2)		Level 3)	Losses
Assets			ĺ		ŕ		,	
Impaired Loans	\$	58,629	\$0	\$	37,126	\$	21,503	\$ 2,291
OREO		50,040	0		48,510		1,530	3,669

Quoted Prices

**Fair Value Measurements** at December 31, 2011 Using

		Quoted Price	es		
		in			
		Active			
		Markets for	Significant		
	Balance as	Identical	Other	Significant	
	of	Assets	Observable	Unobservable	
	December 31	(Level	Inputs	Inputs	YTD
Description	2011	1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Losses
Assets					
Impaired Loans	\$ 43,759	\$ 0	\$ 29,429	\$ 14,330	\$ 1,598
OREO	51,760	0	45,249	6,511	1,840

The following methods and assumptions were used by United in estimating its fair value disclosures for other financial instruments:

Cash and Cash Equivalents: The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and cash equivalents approximate those assets fair values.

Securities held to maturity and other securities: The estimated fair values of held to maturity are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are measured utilizing independent valuation techniques of identical or similar securities for which significant assumptions are derived primarily from or corroborated by observable market data. Third party vendors compile prices from various sources and may determine the fair value of identical or similar securities by using pricing models that considers observable market data. Any securities held to maturity not valued based upon the methods above are valued based on a discounted cash flow methodology using appropriately adjusted discount rates reflecting nonperformance and liquidity risks. Other securities consist mainly of shares of Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock that do not have readily determinable fair values and are carried at cost.

Loans: The fair values of certain mortgage loans (e.g., one-to-four family residential), credit card loans, and other consumer loans are based on quoted market prices of similar loans sold in conjunction with securitization transactions, adjusted for differences in loan characteristics. The fair values of other loans (e.g., commercial real estate and rental property mortgage loans, commercial and industrial loans, financial institution loans and agricultural loans) are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using market interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar creditworthiness, which include adjustments for liquidity concerns.

<u>Deposits:</u> The fair values of demand deposits (e.g., interest and noninterest checking, regular savings and certain types of money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). The carrying amounts of variable-rate, fixed-term money market accounts and certificates of deposit approximate their fair values at the reporting date. Fair values of fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits.

<u>Short-term Borrowings:</u> The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased, borrowings under repurchase agreements and other short-term borrowings approximate their fair values.

<u>Long-term Borrowings</u>: The fair values of United s Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings and trust preferred securities are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, based on United s current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

The estimated fair values of United s financial instruments are summarized below:

			Fair value weasurements			
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
September 30, 2012						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 436,328	\$ 436,328	\$ 0	\$ 436,328	\$ 0	
Securities available for sale	650,145	650,145	3,819	608,958	37,368	
Securities held to maturity	52,929	51,747	0	46,128	5,619	
Other securities	63,639	60,812	0	0	60,812	
Loans held for sale	12,905	12,905	0	12,905	0	
Loans	6,348,865	6,256,350	0	0	6,256,350	
Derivative financial assets	2,831	2,831	0	2,831	0	
Deposits	6,753,924	6,783,521	0	6,783,521	0	
Short-term borrowings	288,482	288,482	0	288,482	0	
Long-term borrowings	285,000	291,527	0	291,527	0	
Derivative financial liabilities	5,301	5,301	0	5,301	0	

Fair Value Measurements

Fair	Value	Measurements

			Quoted Prices		
			in Active Markets for Identical	Significant Other	Significant
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Assets (Level 1)	Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
December 31, 2011					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 636,003	\$ 636,003	\$ 0	\$ 636,003	\$ 0
Securities available for sale	696,518	696,518	4,431	649,718	42,369
Securities held to maturity	59,289	56,181	0	50,471	5,710
Other securities	68,412	65,399	0	0	65,399
Loans held for sale	3,902	3,902	0	3,902	0
Loans	6,156,903	6,146,858	0	0	6,146,858
Derivative financial assets	3,817	3,817	0	3,817	0
Deposits	6,819,010	6,850,251	0	6,850,251	0
Short-term borrowings	254,766	254,766	0	254,766	0
Long-term borrowings	345,366	354,496	0	354,496	0
Derivative financial liabilities	5,050	5,050	0	5,050	0

#### 13. STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

On May 16, 2011, United s shareholders approved the 2011 Long-Term Incentive Plan (2011 LTI Plan). The 2011 LTI Plan became effective as of July 1, 2011. The 2011 LTI Plan replaced the 2006 Stock Option Plan which expired during the second quarter of 2011. An award granted under the 2011 LTI Plan may consist of any non-qualified stock options or incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, or restricted stock units. These awards all relate to the common stock of United. The maximum number of shares of United common stock which may be issued under the 2011 LTI Plan is 1,500,000. Any and all shares may be issued in respect of any of the types of awards, provided that (1) the aggregate number of shares that may be issued in respect of restricted stock awards, and restricted stock units awards which are settled in shares is 350,000, and (2) the aggregate number of shares that may be issued pursuant to stock options is 1,150,000. The shares to be offered under the 2011 LTI Plan may be authorized and unissued shares or treasury shares. With respect to awards that are intended to satisfy the requirements for performance-based compensation under Code Section 162(m), the maximum number of options and stock appreciation rights, in the aggregate, which may be awarded pursuant to the 2011 LTI Plan to any individual participant during any calendar year is 100,000, and the maximum number of shares of restricted stock and/or shares subject to a restricted stock units award that may be granted pursuant to the 2011 LTI Plan to any individual participant during any calendar year is 50,000 shares. A participant may be any key employee of United or its affiliates or a non-employee member of United s Board of Directors. Subject to certain change in control provisions, stock options, SARs, restricted stock and restricted stock units will vest in 25% increments over the first four anniversaries of the awards unless the Committee specifies otherwise in the award agreement. No award will vest sooner than 1/3 per year over the first three anniversaries of the award. A Form S-8 was filed on September 2, 2011 with the Securities and Exchange Commission to register all the shares which were available for the 2011 LTI Plan. During the first nine months of 2012, a total of 188,775 non-qualified stock options and 52,700 shares of restricted stock were granted under the 2011 LTI Plan.

Compensation expense of \$496 thousand and \$1.38 million related to the nonvested awards under the 2011 LTI Plan and the 2006 Stock Option Plan was incurred for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, as compared to the compensation expense of \$336 thousand and \$797 thousand related to the nonvested awards under the 2006 Stock Option Plan incurred for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011, respectively. Compensation expense was included in employee compensation in the unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income.

# Stock Options

United currently has options outstanding from various option plans other than the 2006 Stock Option Plan (the Prior Plans); however, no common shares of United stock are available for grants under the Prior Plans as these plans have expired. Awards outstanding under the Prior Plans will remain in effect in accordance with their respective terms. The maximum term for options granted under the plans is ten (10) years.

A summary of activity under United s stock option plans as of September 30, 2012, and the changes during the first nine months of 2012 are presented below:

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012				
	Shares	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Weighted Remaining Contractual Term (Yrs.)	l Average  Exercise  Price	
Outstanding at January 1, 2012	1,682,018	, arac	(1154)	\$ 29.55	
Granted	188,775			29.40	
Exercised	7,510			15.34	
Forfeited or expired	55,605			30.22	
Outstanding at September 30, 2012	1,807,678	\$ 948	5.0	\$ 29.57	
Exercisable at September 30, 2012	1,013,553	\$ 171	2.5	\$ 32.03	

The following table summarizes the status of United s nonvested stock option awards during the first nine months of 2012:

		ghted-Average Date Fair Value
	Shares	Per Share
Nonvested at January 1, 2012	607,600	\$ 7.09
Granted	188,775	6.86
Vested	0	0.00
Forfeited or expired	2,250	7.12
Nonvested at September 30, 2012	794,125	\$ 7.04

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, 7,510 and 33,007 shares, respectively, were issued in connection with stock option exercises. All shares issued in connection with stock option exercises were issued from available treasury stock for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. The total intrinsic value of options exercised under the Plans during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$98 thousand and \$473 thousand, respectively.

# Restricted Stock

Under the 2011 LTI Plan, United may award restricted common shares to key employees and non-employee directors. In the first quarter of 2012, United granted restricted shares to participants with a four-year time-based vesting period. Recipients of restricted shares do not pay any consideration to United for the shares, have the right to vote all shares subject to such grant and receive all dividends with respect to such shares, whether or not the shares have vested. Presently, these nonvested participating securities have an immaterial impact on diluted earnings per share.

The following summarizes the changes to United s restricted common shares for the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

	Number of Shares	Grant 1	hted-Average Date Fair Value Per Share
Outstanding at January 1, 2012	0	\$	0.00
Granted	52,700		29.40
Vested	0		0.00
Forfeited	140		29.40
Outstanding at September 30, 2012	52,560	\$	29.40

# 14. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

United has a defined benefit retirement plan covering a majority of all employees. Pension benefits are based on years of service and the average of the employee s highest five consecutive plan years of basic compensation paid during the ten plan years preceding the date of determination. Contributions are intended to provide not only for benefits attributed to service to date, but also for those expected to be earned in the future.

In September of 2007, after a recommendation by United s Pension Committee and approval by United s Board of Directors, the United Bankshares, Inc. Pension Plan (the Plan) was amended to change the participation rules. The decision to change the participation rules for the Plan followed current industry trends, as many large and medium size companies had taken similar steps. The amendment provides that employees hired on or after October 1, 2007, will not be eligible to participate in the Plan. However, new employees will continue to be eligible to participate in United s Savings and Stock Investment 401(k) plan. This change had no impact on current employees hired prior to October 1, 2007 as they will continue to participate in the Plan, with no change in benefit provisions, and will continue to be eligible to participate in United s Savings and Stock Investment 401(k) plan. During 2011, Centra s 401(k) plan was merged into United s Savings and Stock Investment 401(k) plan.

Included in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2011 are the following amounts that have not yet been recognized in net periodic pension cost: unrecognized prior service costs of \$4 (\$3 net of tax) and unrecognized actuarial losses of \$50,590 (\$32,884 net of tax). The amortization of these items expected to be recognized in net periodic pension cost during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 is \$1 (\$1 net of tax), and \$4,124 (\$2,680 net of tax), respectively.

Net periodic pension cost for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 included the following components:

	Three Mont Septemb	ber 30	Nine Montl Septemb	per 30
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service cost	\$ 704	\$ 625	\$ 2,096	\$ 1,855
Interest cost	1,213	1,134	3,613	3,366
Expected return on plan assets	(2,011)	(2,101)	(5,989)	(6,234)
Amortization of transition asset	0	0	0	0
Recognized net actuarial loss	1,037	574	3,089	1,705
Amortization of prior service cost	1	1	1	1
Net periodic pension (benefit) cost	\$ 944	\$ 233	\$ 2,810	\$ 693
Weighted-Average Assumptions:				
Discount rate	5.15%	5.75%	5.15%	5.75%
Expected return on assets	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%
Rate of compensation increase (prior to age 45)	3.75%	3.75%	3.75%	3.75%
Rate of compensation increase	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%

#### 15. INCOME TAXES

United records a liability for uncertain income tax positions based on a recognition threshold of more-likely-than-not, and a measurement attribute for all tax positions taken on a tax return, in order for those tax positions to be recognized in the financial statements.

As of September 30, 2012, United has provided a liability for \$2,000 of unrecognized tax benefits related to various federal and state income tax matters. The entire amount of unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, would impact United s effective tax rate. Over the next 12 months, the statute of limitations will close on certain income tax periods. However, at this time, United cannot reasonably estimate the amount of tax benefits it may recognize over the next 12 months.

United is currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the Internal Revenue Service and State Taxing authorities for the years ended December 31, 2009 through December 31, 2011.

As of September 30, 2012 and 2011, the total amount of accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions was \$768 and \$171, respectively. United accounts for interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as part of its provision for federal and state income taxes.

#### 16. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The components of total comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	Three Mon Septem	ber 30	Nine Mont Septem	ber 30
Net Income	2012 \$ 19,334	2011 \$ 20,016	2012 \$ 61,393	2011 \$ 55,353
Available for sale ( AFS ) securities:	<b>р 19,334</b>	\$ 20,010	\$ 01,393	ф 55,555
AFS securities with OTTI charges during the period	(2,558)	(7,622)	(8,838)	(17,482)
Related income tax effect	895	2,668	3,093	6,119
Less: OTTI charges recognized in net income	2,255	7,922	5,374	14,128
Related income tax benefit	(789)	(2,773)	(1,881)	(4,945)
Reclassification of previous noncredit OTTI to credit OTTI	950	4,169	3,846	10,143
Related income tax benefit	(331)	(1,459)	(1,345)	(3,550)
reduce meetic an ection	(331)	(1,137)	(1,5 15)	(3,330)
Net unrealized (losses) gains on AFS securities with OTTI	422	2,905	249	4,413
AFS securities all other:				
Change in net unrealized gain on AFS securities arising during the period	3,027	(1,988)	1,929	(2,131)
Related income tax effect	(1,059)	696	(675)	746
Net reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net income	(17)	(445)	17	(1,647)
Related income tax expense (benefit)	6	155	(6)	576
	1,957	(1,582)	1,265	(2,456)
Net effect of AFS securities on other comprehensive income	2,379	1,323	1,514	1,957

	Three Mon Septem	iber 30	Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Held to maturity ( HTM ) securities:					
Accretion on the unrealized loss for securities transferred from AFS to the HTM investment					
portfolio prior to call or maturity	2	2	6	6	
Related income tax expense	0	0	(2)	(2)	
Net effect of HTM securities on other comprehensive income	2	2	4	4	
Pension plan:					
Amortization of prior service cost	1	0	1	1	
Related income tax benefit	0	0	0	0	
Recognized net actuarial loss	1,036	574	3,089	1,705	
Related income tax benefit	(420)	(234)	(1,234)	(680)	
Net effect of change in pension plan asset on other comprehensive income	617	340	1,856	1,026	
Total change in other comprehensive income	2,998	1,665	3,374	2,987	
<u>.</u>				ĺ	
Total Comprehensive Income	\$ 22,332	\$ 21,681	\$ 64,767	\$ 58,340	

# 17. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of basic earnings per share with that of diluted earnings per share is presented as follows:

		Three Months Ended September 30				Nine Months Ended September 30			
		2012		2011	2012			2011	
<u>Basic</u>									
Net Income	\$	19,334	\$	20,016	\$	61,393	\$	55,353	
Average common shares outstanding	50	,276,074	49	,628,087	50,	262,089	45	,656,304	
Earnings per basic common share	\$	0.38	\$	0.40	\$	1.22	\$	1.21	
<u>Diluted</u>									
Net Income	\$	19,334	\$	20,016	\$	61,393	\$	55,353	
Average common shares outstanding	50	,276,074	49	,628,087	50,	262,089	45	,656,304	
Equivalents from stock options		19,088		8,295		36,909		35,802	
Average diluted shares outstanding	50	,295,162	49	,636,382	50.	298,998	45	,692,106	
Earnings per diluted common share ARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES	\$	0.38	\$	0.40	\$	1.22	\$	1.21	

Variable interest entities (VIEs) are entities that either have a total equity investment that is insufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or whose equity investors lack the characteristics of a controlling financial interest (i.e., ability to make significant decisions, through voting rights, right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity, and obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity). VIEs can be structured as corporations, trusts, partnerships, or other legal entities. United s business practices include relationships with certain VIEs. For United, the business purpose of these relationships primarily consists of funding activities in the form of issuing trust preferred securities.

United currently sponsors twelve statutory business trusts that were created for the purpose of raising funds that qualify for Tier I regulatory capital. These trusts, of which several were acquired through bank acquisitions, issued or participated in pools of trust preferred capital securities to third-party investors with the proceeds invested in junior subordinated debt securities of United. The Company, through a small capital contribution, owns 100% of the voting equity shares of each trust. The assets, liabilities, operations, and cash flows of each trust are solely related to the issuance, administration, and repayment of the preferred equity securities held by third-party investors. United fully and unconditionally guarantees the obligations of each trust and is obligated to redeem the junior subordinated debentures upon maturity.

The trusts utilized in these transactions are VIEs as the third-party equity holders lack a controlling financial interest in the trusts through their inability to make decisions that have a significant effect on the operations and success of the entities. United does not consolidate these trusts as it is not the primary beneficiary of these entities because United s equity interest does not absorb the majority of the trusts expected losses or receive a majority of their expected residual returns.

Information related to United s statutory trusts is presented in the table below:

		S	mount of Capital ecurities		
Description	Issuance Date		Issued	Interest Rate	Maturity Date
Century Trust	March 23, 2000	\$	8,800	10.875% Fixed	March 8, 2030
Sequoia Trust I	March 28, 2001	\$	2,000	10.18% Fixed	June 8, 2031
United Statutory Trust III	December 17, 2003	\$	20,000	3-month LIBOR + 2.85%	December 17, 2033
United Statutory Trust IV	December 19, 2003	\$	25,000	3-month LIBOR + 2.85%	January 23, 2034
United Statutory Trust V	July 12, 2007	\$	50,000	6.67% Fixed, until October 2012	October 1, 2037
United Statutory Trust VI	September 20, 2007	\$	30,000	6.60% Fixed, until October 2012	December 15, 2037
Premier Statutory Trust II	September 25, 2003	\$	6,000	3-month LIBOR + 3.10%	October 8, 2033
Premier Statutory Trust III	May 16, 2005	\$	8,000	3-month LIBOR + 1.74%	June 15, 2035
Premier Statutory Trust IV	June 20, 2006	\$	14,000	3-month LIBOR + 1.55%	September 23, 2036
Premier Statutory Trust V	December 14, 2006	\$	10,000	3-month LIBOR + 2.08%	March 1, 2037
Centra Statutory Trust I	September 20, 2004	\$	10,000	3-month LIBOR + 2.29%	September 20, 2034
Centra Statutory Trust II	June 15, 2006	\$	10,000	3-month LIBOR + 1.65%	July 7, 2036

United, through its banking subsidiaries, also makes limited partner equity investments in various low income housing and community development partnerships sponsored by independent third-parties. United invests in these partnerships to either realize tax credits on its consolidated federal income tax return or for purposes of earning a return on its investment. These partnerships are considered VIEs as the limited partners lack a controlling financial interest in the entities through their inability to make decisions that have a significant effect on the operations and success of the partnerships. United s limited partner interests in these entities are immaterial, however; these partnerships are not consolidated as United is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary.

On July 11, 2012, a wholly-owned subsidiary of United submitted a redemption notice to the trustee of Sequoia Trust I, to redeem \$5.0 million of the trust preferred securities outstanding. The Federal Reserve Board did not object to the partial redemption of the securities. The redemption was made by a cash payment on August 20, 2012 (the Redemption Date ) equal to the redemption price of 104.581% of \$5.0 million of the principal amount of the Securities (total of \$5.23 million) plus any accrued and unpaid interest up to the Redemption Date. The partial redemption was funded with excess cash currently available to United.

The following table summarizes quantitative information about United s significant involvement in unconsolidated VIEs:

	As of S	September 30, 2	2012	As of December 31, 2011		
	Aggregate	Aggregate	Risk Of	Aggregate	Aggregate	Risk Of
	Assets	Liabilities	Loss (1)	Assets	Liabilities	Loss (1)
Trust preferred securities	\$ 201,081	\$ 194,441	\$ 6,640	\$ 206,258	\$ 199,593	\$ 6,665

(1) Represents investment in VIEs.

# Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Congress passed the Private Securities Litigation Act of 1995 to encourage corporations to provide investors with information about the company s anticipated future financial performance, goals, and strategies. The act provides a safe harbor for such disclosure, in other words, protection from unwarranted litigation if actual results are not the same as management expectations.

United desires to provide its shareholders with sound information about past performance and future trends. Consequently, any forward-looking statements contained in this report, in a report incorporated by reference to this report, or made by management of United in this report, in any other reports and filings, in press releases and in oral statements, involves numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties.

Actual results could differ materially from those contained in or implied by United s statements for a variety of factors including, but not limited to: changes in economic conditions; movements in interest rates; competitive pressures on product pricing and services; success and timing of business strategies; the nature and extent of governmental actions and reforms; and rapidly changing technology and evolving banking industry standards.

#### INTRODUCTION

The following discussion and analysis presents the significant changes in financial condition and the results of operations of United and its subsidiaries for the periods indicated below. This discussion and the unaudited consolidated financial statements and the notes to unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of United Bankshares, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, unless otherwise indicated. Management has evaluated all significant events and transactions that occurred after September 30, 2012, but prior to the date these financial statements were issued, for potential recognition or disclosure required in these financial statements.

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes thereto, which are included elsewhere in this document.

In addition, on July 8, 2011, United completed its acquisition of Centra Financial Holdings, Inc. (Centra) of Morgantown, West Virginia. The results of operations of Centra are included in the consolidated results of operations from the date of acquisition. As a result, the comparisons of the first nine months of 2012 to the first nine months of 2011 are impacted by increased levels of average balances, income, expense, and asset quality results due to the acquisition. At consummation, Centra had assets of approximately \$1.3 billion, loans of \$1.0 billion, deposits of \$1.1 billion and shareholders equity of \$131 million.

# APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting and reporting policies of United conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions and judgments, which are reviewed with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates. These policies, along with the disclosures presented in the financial statement notes and in this financial review, provide information on how significant assets and liabilities are valued in the financial statements and how those values are determined. Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to the methods, assumptions, and estimates underlying those amounts, management has identified the determination of the allowance for credit losses, the valuation of investment securities and the related other-than-temporary impairment analysis, and the calculation of the income tax provision to be the accounting areas that require the most subjective or complex judgments, and as such could be most subject to revision as new information becomes available.

# Allowance for Credit Losses

As explained in Note 6, Allowance for Credit Losses to the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements, allowance for credit losses represents management s estimate of the probable credit losses inherent in the lending portfolio. Determining the allowance for credit losses requires management to make estimates of losses that are highly uncertain and require a high degree of judgment. At September 30, 2012, the allowance for loan losses was \$73.8 million and is subject to periodic adjustment based on management s assessment of current probable losses in the loan portfolio. Such adjustment from period to period can have a significant impact on United s consolidated financial statements. To illustrate the potential effect on the financial statements of our estimates of the allowance for loan losses, a 10% increase in the allowance for loan losses would have required \$7.4 million in additional allowance (funded by additional provision for credit losses), which would have negatively impacted the first nine months of 2012 net income by approximately \$4.8 million, or \$0.10 diluted per common share. Management s evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses and the appropriate provision for credit losses is based upon a quarterly evaluation of the loan portfolio and lending related commitments. This evaluation is inherently subjective and requires significant estimates, including estimates related to the amounts and timing of future cash flows, value of collateral, losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends, all of which are susceptible to constant and significant change. The allowance allocated to specific credits and loan pools grouped by similar risk characteristics is reviewed on a quarterly basis and adjusted as necessary based upon subsequent changes in circumstances. In determining the components of the allowance for credit losses, management considers the risk arising in part from, but not limited to, charge-off and delinquency trends, current economic and business conditions, lending policies and procedures, the size and risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, concentrations of credit, and other various factors. Additional information relating to United s allowance for credit losses including the methodology used to determine the allowance for credit losses is described in Note 6. A discussion of the factors leading to changes in the amount of the allowance for credit losses is included in the Provision for Credit Losses section of this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A). Additional information relating to United s loans is included in Note 4, Loans to the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

# **Investment Securities**

Accounting estimates are used in the presentation of the investment portfolio and these estimates impact the presentation of United s financial condition and results of operations. United classifies its investments in debt and equity securities as either held to maturity or available for sale. Securities held to maturity are accounted for using historical costs, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts. Securities available for sale are accounted for at fair value, with the net unrealized gains and losses, net of income tax effects, presented as a separate

51

component of shareholders equity. When available, fair values of securities are based on quoted prices or prices obtained from third party vendors. Third party vendors compile prices from various sources and may determine the fair value of identical or similar securities by using pricing models that consider observable market data. Prices obtained from third party vendors that do not reflect forced liquidation or distressed sales are not adjusted by management. Where prices reflect forced liquidation or distressed sales, as is the case with United s portfolio of pooled trust preferred securities, management estimates fair value based on a discounted cash flow methodology using appropriately adjusted discount rates reflecting nonperformance and liquidity risks. Due to the subjective nature of this valuation process, it is possible that the actual fair values of these securities could differ from the estimated amounts, thereby affecting United s financial position, results of operations and cash flows. The potential impact to United s financial position, results of operations or cash flows for changes in the valuation process cannot be reasonably estimated.

If the estimated value of investments is less than the cost or amortized cost, the investment is considered impaired and management evaluates whether an event or change in circumstances has occurred that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment. If such an event or change has occurred, management must exercise judgment to determine the nature of the potential impairment (i.e., temporary or other-than-temporary) in order to apply the appropriate accounting treatment. If United intends to sell, or is more likely than not they will be required to sell an impaired debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current period credit loss, other-than-temporary impairment is recognized in earnings. The amount recognized in earnings is equal to the entire difference between the security s amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. If United does not intend to sell, and is not more likely than not they will be required to sell the impaired debt security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period credit loss, the other-than-temporary impairment is separated into the following: 1) the amount representing the credit loss, which is recognized in earnings, and 2) the amount related to all other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income. Given the recent disruptions in the financial markets, the decision to recognize other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities has become more difficult as complete information is not always available and market conditions and other relevant factors are subject to rapid changes. Therefore, the other-than-temporary impairment assessment has become a critical accounting policy for United. For additional information on management s consideration of investment valuation and other-than-temporary impairment, see Note 3, Investment Securities, and Note 12, Fair Value Measurements, to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

#### Income Taxes

United s calculation of income tax provision is inherently complex due to the various different tax laws and jurisdictions in which we operate and requires management s use of estimates and judgments in its determination. The current income tax liability also includes income tax expense related to our uncertain tax positions as required in ASC topic 740, Income Taxes. Changes to the estimated accrued taxes can occur due to changes in tax rates, implementation of new business strategies, resolution of issues with taxing authorities and recently enacted statutory, judicial and regulatory guidance. These changes can be material to the Company s operating results for any particular reporting period. The analysis of the income tax provision requires the assessments of the relative risks and merits of the appropriate tax treatment of transactions, filing positions, filing methods and taxable income calculations after considering statutes, regulations, judicial precedent and other information. United strives to keep abreast of changes in the tax laws and the issuance of regulations which may impact tax reporting and provisions for income tax expense. United is also subject to audit by federal and state authorities. Because the application of tax laws is subject to varying interpretations, results of these audits may produce indicated liabilities which differ from United s estimates and provisions. United continually evaluates its exposure to possible tax assessments arising from audits and records its estimate of probable exposure based on current facts and circumstances. The potential impact to United s operating results for any of the changes cannot be reasonably estimated. See Note 15, Income Taxes, to the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding United s ASC topic 740 disclosures.

52

Any material effect on the financial statements related to these critical accounting areas are further discussed in this MD&A.

#### USE OF FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

United determines the fair value of its financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy established in ASC topic 820, whereby the fair value of certain assets and liabilities is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. ASC topic 820 establishes a three-level hierarchy for disclosure of assets and liabilities recorded at fair value. The classification of assets and liabilities within the hierarchy is based on whether the inputs in the methodology for determining fair value are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market-based information obtained from independent sources (Level 1 or Level 2), while unobservable inputs reflect management s estimate of market data (Level 3). For assets and liabilities that are actively traded and have quoted prices or observable market data, a minimal amount of subjectivity concerning fair value is needed. Prices and values obtained from third party vendors that do not reflect forced liquidation or distressed sales are not adjusted by management. When quoted prices or observable market data are not available, management s judgment is necessary to estimate fair value.

At September 30, 2012, approximately 9.09% of total assets, or \$761.65 million, consisted of financial instruments recorded at fair value. Of this total, approximately 92.07% or \$701.24 million of these financial instruments used valuation methodologies involving observable market data, collectively Level 1 and Level 2 measurements, to determine fair value. Approximately 7.93% or \$60.40 million of these financial instruments were valued using unobservable market information or Level 3 measurements. Most of these financial instruments valued using unobservable market information were pooled trust preferred investment securities classified as available-for-sale. At September 30, 2012, only \$5.30 million or less than 1% of total liabilities were recorded at fair value. This entire amount was valued using methodologies involving observable market data. United does not believe that any changes in the unobservable inputs used to value the financial instruments mentioned above would have a material impact on United s results of operations, liquidity, or capital resources. See Note 12, Fair Value Measurements, to the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding ASC topic 820 and its impact on United s financial statements.

#### FINANCIAL CONDITION

United s total assets as of September 30, 2012 were \$8.38 billion which was a decrease of \$70.09 million or less than 1% from December 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily the result of a \$199.68 million or 31.40% decrease in cash and cash equivalents, a \$57.51 million or 6.98% decrease in investment securities and a \$12.26 million or 3.48% decrease in other assets. Partially offsetting these decreases in total assets was an increase in portfolio loans of \$191.84 million or 3.08% and an increase of \$9.00 million or 230.73% in loans held for sale. The decrease in total assets is reflected in a corresponding decrease in total liabilities of \$89.68 million or 1.20% while shareholders equity increased \$19.59 million or 2.02%. The decrease in total liabilities was due mainly to a \$65.09 million and a \$60.37 million decrease in deposits and long-term borrowings, respectively, which were partially offset by a \$33.72 million increase in short-term borrowings.

The following discussion explains in more detail the changes in financial condition by major category.

# **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

Cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2012 decreased \$199.68 million or 31.40% from year-end 2011. Of this total decrease, interest-bearing deposits with other banks decreased \$209.09 million or 41.29% as United placed less excess cash in an interest-bearing account with the Federal Reserve. Partially offsetting this decrease in interest-bearing deposits with other banks is a \$9.40 million or 7.31% increase in cash and due from banks and a \$12 thousand

53

or 1.19% increase in federal funds sold. During the first nine months of 2012, net cash of \$89.20 million was provided by operating activities. Net cash of \$153.35 million and \$135.53 million were used in investing activities and financing activities, respectively. See the unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for data on cash and cash equivalents provided and used in operating, investing and financing activities for the first nine months of 2012 and 2011.

#### Securities

Total investment securities at September 30, 2012 decreased \$57.51 million or 6.98% from year-end 2011. Securities available for sale decreased \$46.37 million or 6.66%. This change in securities available for sale mainly reflects \$1.42 billion in sales, maturities and calls of securities, \$1.37 billion in purchases, and an increase of \$1.95 million in market value. Securities held to maturity decreased \$6.36 million or 10.73% from year-end 2011 due primarily to calls and maturities of securities. Other investment securities decreased \$4.77 million or 6.98% from year-end 2011.

The following table summarizes the changes in the available for sale securities since year-end 2011:

	September 30 D		De	ecember 31		%
(Dollars in thousands)		2012		2011	\$ Change	Change
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and						
agencies	\$	352,553	\$	303,547	\$ 49,006	16.14%
State and political subdivisions		84,926		98,848	(13,922)	(14.08%)
Mortgage-backed securities		139,185		230,945	(91,760)	(39.73%)
Asset-backed securities		12,779		0	12,779	100.00%
Marketable equity securities		6,076		3,959	2,117	53.47%
Trust preferred collateralized debt obligations		37,368		42,369	(5,001)	(11.80%)
Single issue trust preferred securities		11,928		11,760	168	1.43%
Corporate securities		5,330		5,090	240	4.72%
Total available for sale securities, at fair value	\$	650,145	\$	696,518	\$ (46,373)	(6.66%)

The following table summarizes the changes in the held to maturity securities since year-end 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)	Sep	tember 30 2012	Dec	cember 31 2011	\$ Change	% Change
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and					_	
agencies	\$	10,954	\$	11,062	\$ (108)	(0.98%)
State and political subdivisions		14,689		12,794	1,895	14.81%
Mortgage-backed securities		65		77	(12)	(15.58%)
Single issue trust preferred securities		26,996		32,116	(5,120)	(15.94%)
Other corporate securities		225		3,240	(3,015)	(93.06%)
Total held to maturity securities, at amortized cost	\$	52,929	\$	59,289	\$ (6,360)	(10.73%)

At September 30, 2012, gross unrealized losses on available for sale securities were \$65.39 million. Securities in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2012 consisted primarily of pooled trust preferred collateralized debt obligations (TRUP CDOs), single issue trust preferred securities and non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities. The TRUP CDOs and the single issue trust preferred securities relate mainly to securities of financial institutions.

As of September 30, 2012, United s mortgage-backed securities had an amortized cost of \$135.51 million, with an estimated fair value of \$139.26 million. The portfolio consisted primarily of \$108.05 million in agency residential mortgage-backed securities with a fair value of \$113.70 million and \$27.46 million in non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities with an estimated fair value of \$25.56 million.

# Edgar Filing: NAVIGANT CONSULTING INC - Form SC 13G/A

# **Table of Contents**

As of September 30, 2012, United s corporate securities had an amortized cost of \$143.59 million, with an estimated fair value of \$77.81 million. The portfolio consisted primarily of \$96.07 million in pooled trust preferred securities with a fair value of \$37.37 million and \$42.27 million in single issue trust preferred securities with an estimated fair value of \$34.89 million. In addition to the trust preferred securities, the Company held positions in various other corporate securities with an amortized cost of \$5.22 million and a fair value of \$5.56 million, only one of which was individually significant.

The pooled trust preferred securities consisted of positions in 23 different securities. The underlying issuers in the pools were primarily financial institutions and to a lesser extent, insurance companies. The Company has no exposure to Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) in its investment portfolio. The Company owns both senior and mezzanine tranches in pooled trust preferred securities and does not own any income notes. The senior and mezzanine tranches of trust preferred collateralized debt obligations generally have some protection from defaults in the form of over-collateralization and excess spread revenues, along with waterfall structures that redirect cash flows in the event certain coverage test requirements are failed. Generally, senior tranches have the greatest protection, with mezzanine tranches subordinated to the senior tranches, and income notes subordinated to the mezzanine tranches. Senior tranches represent \$17.69 million of the Company s pooled securities, while mezzanine tranches represent \$78.41 million. Of the \$78.41 million in mezzanine tranches, \$11.84 million are now in the senior position as the senior notes have been paid to a zero balance. As of September 30, 2012, \$9.21 million of the pooled trust preferred securities were investment grade, \$5.00 million were split-rated, and the remaining \$81.89 million were below investment grade. In terms of capital adequacy, the Company allocates additional risk-based capital to the below investment grade securities.

As of September 30, 2012, United s single issue trust preferred securities had an amortized cost of \$42.27 million. Of the \$42.27 million, \$10.89 million or 25.77% were investment grade; \$7.90 million or 18.68% were split-rated; \$15.60 million or 36.90% were below investment grade; and \$7.88 million or 18.65% were unrated. The three largest exposures accounted for 58.02% of the \$42.27 million. These included Wells Fargo at \$9.89 million, SunTrust Bank at \$7.38 million and Peoples Bancorp, Inc. at \$7.25 million. All single-issue trust preferred securities, with the exception of two securities totaling \$633 thousand, are currently receiving full scheduled principal and interest payments.

During the first nine months of 2012, United recognized net other-than-temporary impairment charges totaling \$4.75 million and \$622 thousand, respectively, on certain TRUP CDOs and non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities, which are not expected to be sold. Other than these securities, management does not believe that any other individual security with an unrealized loss as of September 30, 2012 is other-than-temporarily impaired. United believes the decline in value resulted from changes in market interest rates, credit spreads and liquidity, not an adverse change in the expected contractual cash flows. Based on a review of each of the securities in the investment portfolio, management concluded that it was not probable that it would be unable to realize the cost basis investment and appropriate interest payments on such securities. United has the intent and the ability to hold these securities until such time as the value recovers or the securities mature. However, United acknowledges that any impaired securities may be sold in future periods in response to significant, unanticipated changes in asset/liability management decisions, unanticipated future market movements or business plan changes.

Further information regarding the amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities, including remaining maturities as well as a more detailed discussion of management s other-than-temporary impairment analysis, is presented in Note 3 to the unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

55

#### Loans

Loans held for sale increased \$9.00 million or 230.73% as loan originations exceeded loan sales in the secondary market during the first nine months of 2012. Portfolio loans, net of unearned income, increased \$191.84 million or 3.08% from year-end 2011 mainly due to a \$222.77 million or 6.35% increase in the total commercial, financial and agricultural loans category, and a \$7.41 million or 1.35% increase in construction and land development loans. Within the commercial, financial and agricultural loans category, commercial real estate loans increased \$160.82 million or 7.00% while commercial loans (not secured by real estate) increased \$61.95 million or 5.11%. Partially offsetting these increases in portfolio loans was a decrease of \$37.39 million or 1.98% in residential real estate loans.

The following table summarizes the changes in the loan categories since year-end 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30 2012	December 31 2011	\$ Change	% Change
Loans held for sale	\$ 12,905	\$ 3,902	\$ 9,003	230.73%
Commercial, financial, and agricultural:				
Owner-occupied commercial real estate	\$ 723,969	\$ 743,502	\$ (19,533)	(2.63%)
Nonowner-occupied commercial real estate	1,733,615	1,553,259	180,356	11.61%
Other commercial loans	1,274,150	1,212,205	61,945	5.11%
Total commercial, financial, and agricultural Residential real estate Construction & land development	\$ 3,731,734 1,854,332 557,284	\$ 3,508,966 1,891,725 549,877	\$ 222,768 (37,393) 7,407	6.35% (1.98%) 1.35%
Consumer:	337,264	349,677	7,407	1.55%
Bankcard	10,670	11,519	(849)	(7.37%)
Other consumer	274,310	272,193	2,117	0.78%
Less: Unearned income	(5,717)	(3,503)	(2,214)	63.20%
Total Loans, net of unearned income	\$ 6,422,613	\$ 6,230,777	\$ 191,836	3.08%

For a further discussion of loans see Note 4 to the unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Other Assets

Other assets decreased \$12.26 million or 3.48% from year-end 2011 due mainly to decreases in income tax receivable of \$5.76 million, deferred tax assets of \$4.06 million, OREO of \$1.72 million, prepaid regulatory assessments of \$4.13 million and core deposit intangibles of \$2.17 million due to amortization. Partially offsetting these decreases from year-end 2011 was an increase of \$4.58 million in cash surrender values of bank-owned life insurance policies and an increase of \$1.22 million in accounts receivable.

## **Deposits**

Deposits represent United s primary source of funding. Total deposits at September 30, 2012 remained flat, decreasing \$65.09 million or less than 1% from year-end 2011. In terms of composition, noninterest-bearing deposits increased \$188.04 million or 11.61% while interest-bearing deposits decreased \$253.12 million or 4.87% from December 31, 2011.

The increase in noninterest-bearing deposits was due mainly to increases in commercial noninterest-bearing deposits of \$151.35 million or 13.47%, public noninterest-bearing deposits of \$62.90 million or 68.91% and personal noninterest-bearing deposits of \$7.76 million or 2.03%.

The decrease in interest-bearing deposits was due mainly to a \$187.06 million or 8.70% decrease in interest-bearing MMDAs, a \$119.75 million or 9.86% decrease in time deposits under \$100,000, and a \$84.59 million or 7.85% decrease in time deposits over \$100,000 due in large part to historically low interest rates. The \$187.06 million

56

decrease in interest-bearing MMDAs is mainly due to a \$209.70 million or 15.21% decrease in personal MMDAs and a \$79.48 million or 11.23% decrease in commercial MMDAs which were partially offset by a \$102.12 million or 159.16% increase in public funds MMDAs. The \$119.75 million decrease in time deposits under \$100,000 is the result of fixed rate certificate of deposits (CDs) declining \$123.51 million. The \$84.59 million decrease in time deposits over \$100,000 is due to a \$40.22 million decrease in Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) balances over \$100,000 and a \$47.83 million decrease in fixed rate CDs over \$100,000. Partially offsetting these decreases in interest-bearing deposits was a \$101.11 million or 35.52% increase in interest-bearing checking deposits mainly due to increases in state and municipal interest-bearing checking accounts of \$54.28 million, personal interest-bearing checking accounts of \$23.69 million and commercial interest-bearing checking accounts of \$23.13 million. In addition, regular savings accounts increased \$37.17 million or 7.84% mainly due to an increase of \$35.88 million in personal savings accounts. With low market interest rates, depositors moved their funds into short-term interest MMDAs and savings accounts where they can wait for rates to increase before extending maturities.

The following table summarizes the changes in the deposit categories since year-end 2011:

(Dollars In thousands)	September 30 2012	December 31 2011	\$ Change	% Change
` '	2012	2011	5 Change	% Change
Demand deposits	\$ 948,008	\$ 878,838	\$ 69,170	7.87%
Interest-bearing checking	385,775	284,670	101,105	35.52%
Regular savings	510,986	473,819	37,167	7.84%
Money market accounts	2,822,254	2,890,445	(68,191)	(2.36%)
Time deposits under \$100,000	1,094,215	1,213,964	(119,749)	(9.86%)
Time deposits over \$100,000	992,686	1,077,274	(84,588)	(7.85%)
Total deposits	\$ 6,753,924	\$ 6,819,010	\$ (65,086)	(0.95%)

#### **Borrowings**

Total borrowings at September 30, 2012 decreased \$26.65 million or 4.44% during the first nine months of 2012. Since year-end 2011, short-term borrowings increased \$33.72 million or 13.24% due to a \$8.32 million increase in federal funds purchased and a \$25.40 million increase in securities sold under agreements to repurchase. Long-term borrowings decreased \$60.37 million or 17.48% since year-end 2011 due mainly to a net repayment of \$55.30 million in long-term FHLB advances.

The table below summarizes the change in the borrowing categories since year-end 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)	Sej	otember 30 2012	De	cember 31 2011	\$ Change	% Change
Federal funds purchased	\$	15,441	\$	7,120	\$ 8,321	116.87%
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase		273,041		247,646	25,395	10.25%
Long-term FHLB advances		86,513		141,809	(55,296)	(38.99%)
Issuances of trust preferred capital securities		198,487		203,557	(5,070)	(2.49%)
Total borrowings	\$	573,482	\$	600,132	\$ (26,650)	(4.44%)

For a further discussion of borrowings see Notes 8 and 9 to the unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents 75

57

#### **Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities**

Accrued expenses and other liabilities at September 30, 2012 increased \$2.12 million or 3.45% from year-end 2011. In particular, income taxes payable increased \$2.91 million due to a timing difference in payments while other accrued expenses increased \$2.20 million due mainly to an accrual of \$3.30 million with respect to a litigation settlement related to overdraft claims against United. Partially offsetting these increases in accrued expenses and other liabilities was a \$1.03 million decrease in interest payable, a \$1.40 million decrease in incentives payable and a \$988 thousand decrease in other taxes payable.

#### Shareholders Equity

Shareholders equity at September 30, 2012 increased \$19.59 million or 2.02% from December 31, 2011 as United continued to balance capital adequacy and the return to shareholders. The increase in shareholders equity was due mainly to earnings net of dividends declared which equaled \$14.63 million for the first nine months of 2012.

Accumulated other comprehensive income increased \$3.37 million or 5.05% due mainly to the after-tax accretion of pension costs of \$1.86 million, a \$1.27 million increase, net of deferred income taxes, in the fair value of United savailable for sale investment portfolio and the after tax non-credit portion of OTTI losses of \$249 thousand for the first nine months of 2012.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Overview

Net income for the first nine months of 2012 was \$61.39 million or \$1.22 per diluted share compared to \$55.35 million or \$1.21 per share for the first nine months of 2011. Net income for the third quarter of 2012 was \$19.33 million or \$0.38 per diluted share, as compared to \$20.02 million or \$0.40 per diluted share for the prior year third quarter. United s annualized return on average assets for the first nine months of 2012 was 0.97% and return on average shareholders equity was 8.32% as compared to 0.98% and 8.62% for the first nine months of 2011. For the third quarter of 2012, United s annualized return on average assets was 0.92% and return on average shareholders equity was 7.76% as compared to 0.95% and 8.26% for the third quarter of 2011.

As previously stated, United completed its acquisition of Centra during the third quarter of 2011. As a result, comparisons for the first nine months of 2012 to the same time period in 2011 are impacted by increased levels of average balances, income, and expense due to the acquisition. The results for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 included an accrual of \$3.3 million with respect to a settlement of claims asserted in class actions against United Bank, Inc. of West Virginia. In addition, the results for the first nine months and third quarter of 2012 included noncash, before-tax, other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$5.37 million and \$2.26 million, respectively, on certain investment securities. The results for the first nine months and third quarter of 2011 included before-tax, other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$14.13 million and \$7.92 million, respectively, on certain investment securities.

Net interest income for the first nine months of 2012 was \$208.04 million, an increase of \$19.30 million or 10.23% from the prior year s first nine months. Net interest income for the third quarter of 2012 was \$70.01 million, a decrease of \$738 thousand or 1.04% from prior year s third quarter. The provision for credit losses was \$11.92 million and \$4.35 million for the first nine months and third quarter of 2012, respectively, as compared to \$12.87 million and \$3.64 million for the first nine months and third quarter of 2011, respectively.

Noninterest income for the first nine months of 2012 was \$49.55 million which was an increase of \$10.58 million or 27.16% from the first nine months of 2011. For the third quarter of 2012, noninterest income was \$16.63 million, an increase of \$5.66 million or 51.52% from the third quarter of 2011. Included in noninterest income were the previously mentioned other-than-temporary impairment charges on investment securities. For the first nine months of 2012, noninterest expense increased \$21.36 million or 15.94% from the first nine months of 2011. For the third quarter of 2012, noninterest expense increased \$5.00 million or 10.22% from the third quarter of 2011. As previously mentioned, included in noninterest expense for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012 was the accrual for the settlement of claims asserted in class actions against United.

58

For the first nine months of 2012 and 2011, income tax expense was \$28.89 million and \$25.45 million, respectively. The effective tax rate for the first nine months of 2012 and 2011 was 32.00% and 31.50%, respectively. Income taxes for the third quarter of 2012 were \$9.10 million as compared to \$9.20 million for the third quarter of 2011. For the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, United seffective tax rates were 32.00% and 31.50%, respectively.

The following discussion explains in more detail the results of operations by major category.

#### **Net Interest Income**

Net interest income represents the primary component of United s earnings. It is the difference between interest income from earning assets and interest expense incurred to fund these assets. Net interest income is impacted by changes in the volume and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, as well as changes in market interest rates. Such changes, and their impact on net interest income in 2012 and 2011, are presented below.

Net interest income for the first nine months of 2012 was \$208.04 million, which was an increase of \$19.30 million or 10.23% from the first nine months of 2011. The \$19.30 million increase in net interest income occurred because total interest income increased \$13.24 million while total interest expense declined \$6.06 million from the first nine months of 2011. Net interest income for the third quarter of 2012 was \$70.01 million, which was a decrease of \$738 thousand or 1.04% from the third quarter of 2011. The \$738 thousand decrease in net interest income occurred because total interest income decreased \$3.37 million while total interest expense declined \$2.63 million from the third quarter of 2011. On a linked-quarter basis, net interest income for the third quarter of 2012 increased \$959 thousand or 1.39% from the second quarter of 2012. The \$959 thousand increase in net interest income occurred because total interest income increased \$231 thousand while total interest expense declined \$728 thousand from the second quarter of 2012. For the purpose of this remaining discussion, net interest income is presented on a tax-equivalent basis to provide a comparison among all types of interest earning assets. The tax-equivalent basis adjusts for the tax-favored status of income from certain loans and investments. Although this is a non-GAAP measure, United s management believes this measure is more widely used within the financial services industry and provides better comparability of net interest income arising from taxable and tax-exempt sources. United uses this measure to monitor net interest income performance and to manage its balance sheet composition.

Tax-equivalent net interest income for the first nine months of 2012 was \$212.82 million, an increase of \$19.23 million or 9.93% from the first nine months of 2011. This increase in tax-equivalent net interest income was primarily attributable to an increase in average earning assets from the Centra acquisition. Average earning assets increased \$787.55 million or 11.79% from the first nine months of 2011. Average net loans increased \$731.71 million or 13.38% for the first nine months of 2012. In addition, the average cost of funds declined 23 basis points from the first nine months of 2011. Partially offsetting the increases to tax-equivalent net interest income for the first nine months of 2012 was a decline of 27 basis points in the average yield on earning assets as compared to the first nine months of 2011. The net interest margin for the first nine months of 2012 was 3.80%, which was a decrease of 7 basis points from a net interest margin of 3.87% for the first nine months of 2011.

Tax-equivalent net interest income for the third quarter of 2012 was \$71.57 million, a decrease of \$951 thousand or 1.31% from the third quarter of 2011 due mainly to a decrease in the average yield on earning assets. The third quarter of 2012 average yield on earning assets decreased 13 basis points from the third quarter of 2011. In addition, average earning assets decreased \$90.48 million or 1.21% from the third quarter of 2011 as average short-term investments and average investment securities declined \$199.54 million and \$87.94 million, respectively. Average net loans did increase \$197.00 million or 3.23% for the third quarter of 2012 from the third quarter of 2011 partially offsetting the decreases in average short-term investments and investment securities. Partially offsetting the decreases to tax-equivalent net interest income for the third quarter of 2012 was a decline of 14 basis points in the average cost of funds as compared to the third quarter of 2011. The net interest margin for the third quarter of 2012 was 3.87%, which equaled the net interest margin for the third quarter of 2011.

59

On a linked-quarter basis, United s tax-equivalent net interest income for the third quarter of 2012 increased \$951 thousand or 1.35% from the second quarter of 2012 due mainly to increases in average net loans and the average yield on earning assets. Average net loans increased \$137.23 million or 2.23%. The third quarter of 2012 average yield on earning assets increased 7 basis points while the average cost of funds decreased 3 basis points from the second quarter of 2012. Overall, average earning assets decreased \$165.52 million or 2.20% during the quarter as average short-term investments and average investment securities decreased \$292.15 million and \$10.59 million, respectively. The net interest margin of 3.87% for the third quarter of 2012 was an increase of 11 basis points from the net interest margin of 3.76% for the second quarter of 2012.

The following tables reconcile the difference between net interest income and tax-equivalent net interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2012, September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

	Т	Three Months Ended				
	September 30	September 30	June 30			
(Dollars in thousands)	2012	2011	2012			
Net interest income, GAAP basis	\$ 70,014	\$ 70,752	\$ 69,055			
Tax-equivalent adjustment (1)	1,552	1,765	1,560			
Tax-equivalent net interest income	\$ 71,566	\$ 72,517	\$ 70,615			

	Nine Mor	Nine Months Ended			
	September 30	Sep	tember 30		
(Dollars in thousands)	2012		2011		
Net interest income, GAAP basis	\$ 208,035	\$	188,736		
Tax-equivalent adjustment (1)	4,781		4,855		
Tax-equivalent net interest income	\$ 212,816	\$	193,591		

(1) The tax-equivalent adjustment combines amounts of interest income on federally nontaxable loans and investment securities using the statutory federal income tax rate of 35%. All interest income on loans and investment securities was subject to state income taxes.

60

The following tables show the unaudited consolidated daily average balance of major categories of assets and liabilities for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, with the interest and rate earned or paid on such amount. The interest income and yields on federally nontaxable loans and investment securities are presented on a tax-equivalent basis using the statutory federal income tax rate of 35%. Interest income on all loans and investment securities was subject to state income taxes.

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2012			Months Endember 30, 201	
(Dollars in thousands)	Average Balance	Interest (1)	Avg. Rate (1)	Average Balance	Interest (1)	Avg. Rate (1)
ASSETS		` ′	, ,		` '	, i
Earning Assets:						
Federal funds sold and securities repurchased under						
agreements to resell and other short-term investments	\$ 329,177	\$ 145	0.18%	\$ 528,718	\$ 366	0.27%
Investment Securities:						
Taxable	649,466	4,843	2.98%	725,005	5,686	3.14%
Tax-exempt	96,788	1,268	5.24%	109,188	1,565	5.73%
Total Securities	746,254	6,111	3.28%	834,193	7,251	3.48%
Loans, net of unearned income (2)	6,367,867	76,632	4.79%	6,170,974	78,849	5.08%
Allowance for loan losses	(73,398)			(73,504)		
Net loans	6,294,469		4.85%	6,097,470		5.14%
Total earning assets	7,369,900	\$ 82,888	4.48%	7,460,381	\$ 86,466	4.61%
Other assets	946,199			922,191		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 8,316,099			\$ 8,382,572		
LIABILITIES						
Interest-Bearing Funds:						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 4,959,606	\$ 7,844	0.63%	\$ 5,217,555	\$ 9,667	0.74%
Short-term borrowings	280,319	59	0.08%	248,829	53	0.08%
Long-term borrowings	287,661	3,419	4.73%	354,482	4,229	4.73%
Total Interest-Bearing Funds	5,527,586	11,322	0.81%	5,820,866	13,949	0.95%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	1,754,351			1,557,654		
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	42,513			42,340		
	12,0 10			1_,0 10		
TOTAL LIABILITIES	7,324,450			7,420,860		
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	991,649			961,712		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			, .		
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND						
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 8,316,099			\$ 8,382,572		
NET INTEREST INCOME		\$ 71,566			\$ 72,517	
		Ψ.1,500			Ψ , <b>2</b> ,517	
INTEREST SPREAD			3.67%			3.66%
NET INTEREST MARGIN			3.87%			3.87%
			5.0770			3.0770

(1)

The interest income and the yields on federally nontaxable loans and investment securities are presented on a tax-equivalent basis using the statutory federal income tax rate of 35%.

(2) Nonaccruing loans are included in the daily average loan amounts outstanding.

61

		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011		
	Average	Interest	Avg. Rate	Average	Interest	Avg. Rate	
(Dollars in thousands)	Balance	(1)	(1)	Balance	(1)	(1)	
ASSETS							
Earning Assets:							
Federal funds sold and securities repurchased under							
agreements to resell and other short-term investments	\$ 494,828	\$ 903	0.24%	\$ 407,700	\$ 942	0.31%	
Investment Securities:							
Taxable	670,920	13,841	2.75%	706,212	18,206	3.44%	
Tax-exempt	101,914	4,050	5.30%	97,908	4,6	6.36%	
Total Securities	772,834	17,891	3.09%	804,120	22,873	3.79%	
Loans, net of unearned income (2)	6,273,433	230,216	4.90%	5,541,356	212,033	5.11%	
Allowance for loan losses	(73,490)	ĺ		(73,120)			
Net loans	6,199,943		4.96%	5,468,236		5.18%	
Net loans	0,177,743		4.90 %	3,400,230		3.1070	
m . I	7.467.605	Φ <b>3</b> 40 010	4.450	( (00 05(	A 225 040	1.50g	
Total earning assets	7,467,605	\$ 249,010	4.45%	6,680,056	\$ 235,848	4.72%	
Other assets	945,296			854,463			
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 8,412,901			\$ 7,534,519			
LIABILITIES							
Interest-Bearing Funds:							
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 5,096,255	\$ 24,776	0.65%	\$ 4,619,519	\$ 29,918	0.87%	
Short-term borrowings	276,566	184	0.09%	246,748	106	0.06%	
Long-term borrowings	313,876	11,234	4.78%	342,758	12,233	4.77%	
Long term borrowings	313,070	11,231	1.7070	312,730	12,233	1.7770	
TALLA ON ' E 1	5 (0) (07	26 104	0.050	5 200 025	12.257	1.000	
Total Interest-Bearing Funds	5,686,697	36,194	0.85%	5,209,025	42,257	1.08%	
Non-interest bearing deposits	1,694,676			1,418,784			
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	45,289			48,326			
TOTAL LIABILITIES	7,426,662			6,676,135			
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	986,239			858,384			
-							
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 8,412,901			\$ 7,534,519			
The same same same same same same same sam	\$ 0,.12,701			,00 1,017			
NET INTEREST INCOME		\$ 212,816			\$ 193,591		
NET INTEREST INCOME		Φ 212,810			φ 193,391		
NAMED DOMESTICAL OF THE PARTY O			2.60~			2 6 4 67	
INTEREST SPREAD			3.60%			3.64%	
NET INTEREST MARGIN			3.80%			3.87%	

<sup>(1)</sup> The interest income and the yields on federally nontaxable loans and investment securities are presented on a tax-equivalent basis using the statutory federal income tax rate of 35%.

### **Provision for Loan Losses**

The provision for loan losses for the first nine months of 2012 and 2011 was \$11.92 million and \$12.87 million, respectively. For the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the provision for loan losses was \$4.35 million and \$3.64 million, respectively. Net charge-offs for the first nine months of 2012 were \$12.04 million as compared to \$12.40 million for the first nine months of 2011. Net charge-offs were \$4.01 million for the third quarter of 2012 as compared to net charge-offs of \$3.26 million for the same quarter in 2011. Annualized net charge-offs as a

<sup>(2)</sup> Nonaccruing loans are included in the daily average loan amounts outstanding.

percentage of average loans were 0.26% and 0.25% for the first nine months and third quarter of 2012. This ratio compares favorably to United s most recently reported Federal Reserve peer group s net charge-offs to average loans percentage of 0.65% for the second quarter of 2012. On a linked-quarter basis, United s provision for loan losses increased \$910 thousand while net charge-offs were flat from the second quarter of 2012.

62

#### **Table of Contents**

At September 30, 2012, nonperforming loans were \$98.43 million or 1.53% of loans, net of unearned income compared to nonperforming loans of \$79.66 million or 1.28% of loans, net of unearned income at December 31, 2011. The components of nonperforming loans include: 1) nonaccrual loans, 2) loans which are contractually past due 90 days or more as to interest or principal, but have not been put on a nonaccrual basis and 3) loans whose terms have been restructured for economic or legal reasons due to financial difficulties of the borrowers.

Loans past due 90 days or more were \$12.48 million at September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$3.70 million or 22.89% from \$16.18 million at year-end 2011. The decrease in loans past due 90 days or more was primarily due to the renewal of several large commercial relationships which were matured at year-end. At September 30, 2012, nonaccrual loans were \$81.86 million, an increase of \$21.97 million or 36.68% from \$59.89 million at year-end 2011. The increase in nonaccrual loans was primarily due to the deterioration in financial condition of two large relationships which necessitated transfer of the relationships to nonaccrual status. Restructured loans were \$4.09 million at September 30, 2012 as compared to \$3.59 million restructured loans at year-end 2011. The increase of \$499 thousand was due to the restructure of a commercial loan. The loss potential on these loans has been properly evaluated and allocated within the company s allowance for loan losses.

Nonperforming assets include nonperforming loans and real estate acquired in foreclosure or other settlement of loans (OREO). Total nonperforming assets of \$148.47 million, including OREO of \$50.04 million at September 30, 2012, represented 1.77% of total assets which compares favorably to United s most recently reported Federal Reserve peer group banking companies (bank holding companies with total assets between \$3 and \$10 billion) percentage of 2.33% at June 30, 2012.

Loans are designated as impaired when, in the opinion of management, the collection of principal and interest in accordance with the loan contract is doubtful. At September 30, 2012, impaired loans were \$102.24 million, which was a decrease of \$25.80 million or 20.15% from the \$128.04 million in impaired loans at December 31, 2011. This decrease in impaired loans was due mainly to decreased outstanding principal associated with impaired loans in the Company s non-owner occupied commercial real estate, residential real estate and construction and land development portfolios as a result of repayment of principal through the sale of associated collateral combined with improved borrower collateral positions which led to reduction of impairments. The loss potential on these loans has been properly evaluated and allocated within the Company s allowance for loan losses. For further details regarding impaired loans, see Note 5 to the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

United maintains an allowance for loan losses and a reserve for lending-related commitments. The combined allowance for loan losses and reserve for lending-related commitments are referred to as the allowance for credit losses. At September 30, 2012, the allowance for credit losses was \$75.54 million which was comparable to \$75.73 million at December 31, 2011.

At September 30, 2012, the allowance for loan losses was \$73.75 million as compared to \$73.87 million at December 31, 2011. As a percentage of loans, net of unearned income, the allowance for loan losses was 1.15% at September 30, 2012 and 1.18% of loans, net of unearned income at December 31, 2011. The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans or coverage ratio was 74.93% and 92.73% at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. For United, this ratio at September 30, 2012 decreased from the ratio at December 31, 2011 because nonperforming loans increased \$18.76 million or 23.55% while the allowance for loan losses was relatively flat from year-end 2011, decreasing \$126 thousand or less than 1%. Adjustments to risk grades based on delinquency and loss trends of such loans and changes in qualitative risk factors within the allowance for loan loss analysis resulted in increased allocations of \$1.09 million or 1.53%. The increased allocations did not increase the overall level of the reserve because of a decrease in the estimate for imprecision of \$1.22 million. The decrease in the estimate

63

#### **Table of Contents**

for imprecision is attributable to refined methodology utilized by the Bank to fully allocate amounts reserved for losses inherent in the Bank s portfolio. The Company s detailed methodology and analysis indicated only a slight decrease in the allowance for loan losses primarily due to the offsetting factors of the reduction in additional allocations to watch-rated loans and increased loss allocations on impaired loans.

Allocations are made for specific commercial loans based upon management s estimate of the borrowers ability to repay and other factors impacting collectibility. Other commercial loans not specifically reviewed on an individual basis are evaluated based on historical loss percentages applied to loan pools that have been segregated by risk. Allocations for loans other than commercial loans are made based upon historical loss experience adjusted for current environmental conditions. The allowance for credit losses includes estimated probable inherent but undetected losses within the portfolio due to uncertainties in economic conditions, delays in obtaining information, including unfavorable information about a borrower s financial condition, the difficulty in identifying triggering events that correlate perfectly to subsequent loss rates, and risk factors that have not yet fully manifested themselves in loss allocation factors. In addition, a portion of the allowance accounts for the inherent imprecision in the analysis of the allowance for credit losses.

United s formal company-wide review of the allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2012 produced increased allocations in three of the six loan categories. The allowance allocated to commercial real estate nonowner-occupied loans increased by \$1.12 million due to an increase in historical loss rates and outstanding loan balances. The allocation related to the residential real estate loan pool increased by \$887 thousand due to an increase in historical loss rates and specific allocations for impaired loans. The consumer loan pool experienced an increase in allocation of \$326 thousand due to an increase in historical loss rates and increased outstanding balances. Offsetting these increases was a decrease in the other commercial loan pool allocation of \$939 thousand driven by a combination of lower historical loss rates and a decrease in specific allocations for floorplan lending. The commercial real estate owner-occupied loan pool allocation experienced a decrease of \$163 thousand and the real estate construction and development allocation decreased \$145 thousand due to a change in methodology adopted by the Bank whereas the qualitative factors component is no longer applied to additional allocations within the allowance calculation. In summary, the overall level of the allowance for loan losses was stable in comparison to year-end 2011 as a result of offsetting factors within the portfolio as described above.

An allowance is established for probable credit losses on impaired loans via specific allocations. Nonperforming commercial loans and leases are regularly reviewed to identify impairment. A loan or lease is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will not be able to collect all amounts contractually due. Measuring impairment of a loan requires judgment and estimates, and the eventual outcomes may differ from those estimates. Impairment is measured based upon the present value of expected future cash flows from the loan discounted at the loan s effective rate, the loan s observable market price or the fair value of collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. When the selected measure is less than the recorded investment in the loan, an impairment has occurred. The allowance for impaired loans was \$15.63 million at September 30, 2012 and \$11.16 million at December 31, 2011. Compared to the prior year-end, this element of the allowance increased by \$4.47 million due to increased specific allocations for the nonowner-occupied commercial real estate, other commercial loan, and real estate construction and development loan pools.

An allowance is also recognized for imprecision inherent in loan loss migration models and other estimates of loss. There are many factors affecting the allowance for loan losses and reserve for lending-related commitments; some are quantitative while others require qualitative judgment. Although management believes its methodology for determining the allowance adequately considers all of the potential factors to identify and quantify probable losses in the portfolio, the process includes subjective elements and is therefore susceptible to change. This estimate for imprecision has been established to recognize the variance, within a reasonable margin, of the loss estimation process. The estimate for imprecision decreased at September 30, 2012 by \$1.22 million to \$1.36 million. This estimate for imprecision represents 1.84% of the Company s total allowance for credit losses and in as much as this variance approximates a pre-determined narrow parameter, the methodology has confirmed that the Company s allowance for credit losses is at an appropriate level.

64

#### **Table of Contents**

Management believes that the allowance for credit losses of \$75.54 million at September 30, 2012 is adequate to provide for probable losses on existing loans and lending-related commitments based on information currently available. Note 6 to the accompanying unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements provides a progression of the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment.

United s loan administration policies are focused on the risk characteristics of the loan portfolio in terms of loan approval and credit quality. The commercial loan portfolio is monitored for possible concentrations of credit in one or more industries. Management has established lending limits as a percentage of capital per type of credit concentration in an effort to ensure adequate diversification within the portfolio. Most of United s commercial loans are secured by real estate located in West Virginia, southeastern Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, Maryland and the District of Columbia. It is the opinion of management that these commercial loans do not pose any unusual risks and that adequate consideration has been given to these loans in establishing the allowance for credit losses.

Management is not aware of any potential problem loans, trends or uncertainties, which it reasonably expects will materially impact future operating results, liquidity, or capital resources which have not been disclosed. Additionally, management has disclosed all known material credits, which cause management to have serious doubts as to the ability of such borrowers to comply with the loan repayment schedules.

#### Other Income

Other income consists of all revenues, which are not included in interest and fee income related to earning assets. Noninterest income has been and will continue to be an important factor for improving United s profitability. Recognizing the importance, management continues to evaluate areas where noninterest income can be enhanced.

Noninterest income was \$49.55 million for the first nine months of 2012 which was an increase of \$10.58 million or 27.16% from the first nine months of 2011. For the third quarter of 2012, noninterest income was \$16.63 million, an increase of \$5.66 million or 51.52% from the third quarter of 2011.

Net losses on investment securities transactions for the first nine months of 2012 were \$5.19 million as compared to net losses of \$12.50 million for the first nine months of 2011. Included in net losses on investment securities for the first nine months of 2012 were before-tax other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$5.37 million on certain investment securities. Included in net losses on investment securities for the first nine months of 2011 were before-tax, other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$14.13 million on certain investment securities and a before-tax, net gain of \$1.63 million on the sale of investment securities. Excluding the results of security transactions, noninterest income for the first nine months of 2012 would have increased \$3.27 million or 6.36% from the same period in 2011. For the third quarter of 2012, net losses on investment securities transactions were \$2.19 million as compared to net losses of \$7.48 million for the third quarter of 2011. Included in net losses on investment securities transactions for the third quarter of 2012 were before-tax other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$2.26 million on certain investment securities. Included in net losses on investment securities. Excluding the results of security transactions, noninterest income for the third quarter of 2012 would have increased \$367 thousand or 1.99% from the third quarter of 2011.

Revenue from trust and brokerage services for the first nine months of 2012 increased \$2.14 million or 21.34% from the first nine months of 2011. Revenue from trust and brokerage services was \$12.17 million for the first nine months of 2012 as compared to \$10.03 million for the first nine months of 2011. For the third quarter of 2012, revenue from trust and brokerage services increased \$890 thousand or 27.13% from the prior year s third quarter. Revenue from trust and brokerage services was \$4.17 million for the third quarter of 2012 as compared to \$3.28 million for the third quarter of 2011. The higher amounts of revenue in 2012 were due to increases in volume and the value of assets under management.

65

#### **Table of Contents**

Fees from deposit services for the first nine months of 2012 were \$31.23 million, an increase of \$792 thousand or 2.60% from the first nine months of 2011. In particular, debit card income and account analysis fees increased \$1.70 million and \$292 thousand, respectively, during the first nine months of 2012. Partially offsetting these increases were decreases in overdraft fees and ATM fees of \$707 thousand and \$287 thousand, respectively, for the first nine months of 2012. For the third quarter of 2012, fees from deposit services were \$10.52 million which was relatively flat from the third quarter of 2011. In particular, an increase in debit card income of \$1.20 million was offset by declines in ATM fees of \$790 thousand and other deposit service charges of \$399 thousand.

Fees from bankcard services decreased \$224 thousand or 9.05% and \$371 thousand or 29.99%, for the first nine months and third quarter of 2012, respectively, as compared to the same time periods in 2011. Fees from bankcard services were \$2.25 million and \$866 thousand for the first nine months and third quarter of 2012, respectively, as compared to \$2.48 million and \$1.24 million, respectively, for the first nine months and third quarter of 2011. The decreases for 2012 were due to a lower volume of transactions.

Income from bank-owned life insurance decreased \$156 thousand or 3.95% and \$297 thousand or 19.24% for the first nine months and third quarter of 2012, respectively, as compared to the first nine months and third quarter of 2011. These decreases in income as compared to the same time period in 2011 were due to decreases in the cash surrender values of the insurance policies.

Mortgage banking income increased \$1.05 million or 184.21% and \$614 thousand or 299.51% for the first nine months and third quarter of 2012 from the same periods in 2011. These increases were due primarily to increased mortgage loan production and sales in the secondary market. Mortgage loan sales were \$91.46 million in the first nine months of 2012 as compared to \$41.03 million in the first nine months of 2011. Mortgage loan sales were \$43.36 million in the third quarter of 2012 as compared to \$19.75 million in the third quarter of 2011.

Other income decreased \$730 thousand or 26.82% for the first nine months of 2012. This decrease in other income is due mainly to a decrease of \$377 thousand from derivatives not in a hedging relationship as a result of a change in value. For the third quarter of 2012, other income decreased \$586 thousand or 46.07% due mainly to a decrease of \$201 thousand from derivatives not in a hedging relationship as a result of a change in value. Corresponding amounts of expense from derivatives not in a hedging relationship are included in other expense in the income statement.

On a linked-quarter basis, noninterest income for the third quarter of 2012 was flat from the second quarter of 2012. Included in the results for the third quarter of 2012 and second quarter of 2012 were noncash, before-tax, other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$2.26 million and \$1.74 million, respectively. Excluding the results of the noncash, other-than-temporary impairment charges as well as net gains and losses from sales and calls of investment securities, noninterest income would have increased \$692 thousand or 3.82% on a linked-quarter basis due primarily to increases in mortgage banking income due to increased production and sales of mortgage loans in the secondary market and in income from trust and brokerage services due to increases in volume and the value of assets under management.

#### Other Expenses

Just as management continues to evaluate areas where noninterest income can be enhanced, it strives to improve the efficiency of its operations to reduce costs. Other expenses include all items of expense other than interest expense, the provision for loan losses, and income taxes. For the first nine months of 2012, noninterest expense increased \$21.36 million or 15.94% from the first nine months of 2011. This increase was mainly the result of the Centra merger. Noninterest expense increased \$5.00 million or 10.22% for the third quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. This increase was due mainly to the previously mentioned accrual of \$3.3 million with respect to class actions against United Bank, Inc. of West Virginia.

66

#### **Table of Contents**

Employee compensation increased \$6.28 million or 13.39% for the first nine months of 2012 when compared to the first nine months of 2011. This increase was due mainly to the additional employees from the Centra merger. Employee compensation for the third quarter of 2012 increased \$288 thousand or 1.70% from the third quarter of 2011 due to an increase in base salaries.

Employee benefits expense for the first nine months of 2012 increased \$3.42 million or 26.54% from the first nine months of 2011. Employee benefits expense for the third quarter of 2012 increased \$910 thousand or 20.87% from the third quarter of 2011. Specifically within employee benefits expense, pension expense increased \$2.56 million and \$909 thousand for the first nine months and third quarter of 2012, respectively, from the same periods last year. In addition, health insurance expense increased \$246 thousand while FICA expense increased \$309 thousand for the first nine months of 2012 as compared to the first nine months of 2011.

Net occupancy expense for the first nine months of 2012 increased \$1.85 million or 13.59% from the first nine months of 2011. The increase was due mainly to the additional offices acquired from Centra. In particular, building rental expense increased \$746 thousand for the first nine months of 2012, respectively, while building depreciation and maintenance increased \$335 thousand and \$324 thousand, respectively. Net occupancy expense for the third quarter of 2012 was flat from the third quarter of 2011.

Other real estate owned (OREO) expense for the first nine months and third quarter of 2012 increased \$1.52 million or 29.62% and \$31 thousand or 1.46%, respectively, from the first nine months and third quarter of 2011. The increase was due mainly to decreases in the fair values of OREO properties.

Equipment expense for the first nine months of 2012 increased \$1.06 million or 19.83% from the first nine months of 2011. This increase was due to higher depreciation and maintenance expense as a result of the Centra merger. Equipment expense for the third quarter of 2012 decreased slightly from the third quarter of 2011 due to a decrease in maintenance.

Data processing expense increased \$905 thousand or 10.50% for the first nine months of 2012 as compared to the first nine months of 2011. For the third quarter of 2012, data processing expense increased \$734 thousand or 24.96% from the third quarter of 2011. These increases were due mainly to the conversion to a new servicer.

Bankcard processing expense for the first nine months and third quarter of 2012 increased \$210 thousand or 28.04% and \$68 thousand or 24.82%, respectively, from the first nine months and third quarter of 2011. The increase was due to a higher volume of transactions.

FDIC insurance expense for the first nine months and third quarter of 2012 decreased \$2.43 million or 34.90% and \$819 thousand or 35.49%, respectively, from the first nine months and third quarter of 2011. The decreases were due to lower premiums.

Other expense for the first nine months and third quarter of 2012 increased \$8.57 million or 25.27% and \$3.95 million or 31.36% from the first nine months and third quarter of 2011, respectively. These increases were due mainly to the previously mentioned accrual of \$3.3 million with respect to class actions against United Bank, Inc. of West Virginia. The additional increase from the first nine months of 2011 was due mainly to higher general operating expenses as a result of the Centra merger. In particular, ATM expense for the first nine months of 2012 increased \$1.53 million and consulting and legal expense increased \$1.42 million from the first nine months of 2011. Other increases for the first nine months of 2012 included amortization expense on intangibles of \$586 thousand, advertising expenses of \$479 thousand, and office supplies of \$493 thousand.

67

On a linked-quarter basis, noninterest expense for the third quarter of 2012 increased \$2.62 million or 5.11% from the second quarter of 2012. This increase was due primarily to the litigation settlement accrual as well as an increase of \$1.04 million in data processing expense related to the overlap of data processors and the conversion to a new servicer. Partially offsetting these increases were decreases of \$707 thousand and \$552 thousand in employee compensation and benefits, respectively.

#### **Income Taxes**

Income tax expense for the first nine months of 2012 and 2011 was \$28.89 million and \$25.45 million, respectively. For the first nine months of 2012 and 2011, United s effective tax rate was 32.00% and 31.50%, respectively. Income taxes for the third quarter of 2012 were \$9.10 million as compared to \$9.20 million for the third quarter of 2011. For the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, United s effective tax rate was 32.00% and 31.50%, respectively. For further details related to income taxes, see Note 15 of the unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained within this document.

# Contractual Obligations, Commitments, Contingent Liabilities and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

United has various financial obligations, including contractual obligations and commitments, that may require future cash payments. Please refer to United s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for disclosures with respect to United s fixed and determinable contractual obligations. There have been no material changes outside the ordinary course of business since year-end 2011 in the specified contractual obligations disclosed in United s 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

As of September 30, 2012, United recorded a liability for uncertain tax positions, including interest and penalties, of \$2.00 million in accordance with ASC topic 740. This liability represents an estimate of tax positions that United has taken in its tax returns which may ultimately not be sustained upon examination by tax authorities. Since the ultimate amount and timing of any future cash settlements cannot be predicted with reasonable certainty, this estimated liability is excluded from the contractual obligations table in the 2011 Form 10-K report.

United also enters into derivative contracts, mainly to protect against adverse interest rate movements on the value of certain assets or liabilities, under which it is required to either pay cash to or receive cash from counterparties depending on changes in interest rates. Derivative contracts are carried at fair value and not notional value on the consolidated balance sheet. Because the derivative contracts recorded on the balance sheet at September 30, 2012 do not present the amounts that may ultimately be paid under these contracts, they are excluded from the contractual obligations table in the 2011 Form 10-K report. Further discussion of derivative instruments is presented in Note 11 to the unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

United is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include loan commitments and standby letters of credit. United s maximum exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty to the financial instrument for the loan commitments and standby letters of credit is the contractual or notional amount of those instruments. United uses the same policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Further discussion of off-balance sheet commitments is included in Note 10 to the unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

68

#### Liquidity

In the opinion of management, United maintains liquidity that is sufficient to satisfy its depositors—requirements and the credit needs of its customers. Like all banks, United depends upon its ability to renew maturing deposits and other liabilities on a daily basis and to acquire new funds in a variety of markets. A significant source of funds available to United is—core deposits—Core deposits include certain demand deposits, statement and special savings and NOW accounts. These deposits are relatively stable, and they are the lowest cost source of funds available to United. Short-term borrowings have also been a significant source of funds. These include federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase as well as advances from the FHLB. Repurchase agreements represent funds which are obtained as the result of a competitive bidding process.

Liquid assets are cash and those items readily convertible to cash. All banks must maintain sufficient balances of cash and near-cash items to meet the day-to-day demands of customers and United s cash needs. Other than cash and due from banks, the available for sale securities portfolio and maturing loans are the primary sources of liquidity.

The goal of liquidity management is to ensure the ability to access funding which enables United to efficiently satisfy the cash flow requirements of depositors and borrowers and meet United s cash needs. Liquidity is managed by monitoring funds availability from a number of primary sources. Substantial funding is available from cash and cash equivalents, unused short-term borrowing and a geographically dispersed network of branches providing access to a diversified and substantial retail deposit market.

Short-term needs can be met through a wide array of outside sources such as correspondent and downstream correspondent federal funds and utilization of Federal Home Loan Bank advances.

Other sources of liquidity available to United to provide long-term as well as short-term funding alternatives, in addition to FHLB advances, are long-term certificates of deposit, lines of credit, borrowings that are secured by bank premises or stock of United s subsidiaries and issuances of trust preferred securities. In the normal course of business, United through its Asset Liability Committee evaluates these as well as other alternative funding strategies that may be utilized to meet short-term and long-term funding needs.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, cash of \$89.20 million was provided by operating activities due mainly to net income of \$61.39 million for the first nine months of 2012. Net cash of \$153.35 million was used in investing activities which was primarily due to net loan growth of \$203.88 million. Partially offsetting the net cash used for loan growth were net purchases of \$48.38 million over sales, calls and maturities of investment securities. During the first nine months of 2012, net cash of \$135.53 million was used in financing activities due primarily to a decline of \$62.29 million in deposits, the net repayment of \$55.30 million in long-term FHLB advances and the payment of cash dividends in the amount of \$46.75 million for the first nine months of 2012. Partially offsetting these uses of cash in financing activities was cash provided by a growth in short-term borrowings of \$33.72 million. The net effect of the cash flow activities was a decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$199.68 million for the first nine months of 2012.

United anticipates it can meet its obligations over the next 12 months and has no material commitments for capital expenditures. There are no known trends, demands, commitments, or events that will result in or that are reasonably likely to result in United s liquidity increasing or decreasing in any material way. United also has lines of credit available. See Notes 8 and 9 to the accompanying unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more details regarding the amounts available to United under lines of credit.

The Asset Liability Committee monitors liquidity to ascertain that a liquidity position within certain prescribed parameters is maintained. No changes are anticipated in the policies of United s Asset Liability Committee.

69

#### **Capital Resources**

United s capital position is financially sound. United seeks to maintain a proper relationship between capital and total assets to support growth and sustain earnings. United has historically generated attractive returns on shareholders—equity. Based on regulatory requirements, United and its banking subsidiaries are categorized as—well capitalized—institutions. United s risk-based capital ratios of 13.75% at September 30, 2012 and 13.83% at December 31, 2011, were both significantly higher than the minimum regulatory requirements. United—s Tier I capital and leverage ratios of 12.51% and 10.60%, respectively, at September 30, 2012, are also well above regulatory minimum requirements.

Total shareholders equity was \$988.43 million at September 30, 2012, which increased \$19.59 million or 2.02% from December 31, 2011. United s equity to assets ratio was 11.79% at September 30, 2012 as compared to 11.46% at December 31, 2011. The primary capital ratio, capital and reserves to total assets and reserves, was 12.58% at September 30, 2012 as compared to 12.25% at December 31, 2011. United s average equity to average asset ratio was 11.72% for the first nine months of 2012 as compared to 11.39% for the first nine months of 2011. All of these financial measurements reflect a financially sound position.

During the third quarter of 2012, United s Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.31 per share. Cash dividends were \$0.93 per common share for the first nine months of 2012. Total cash dividends declared were \$15.59 million for the third quarter of 2012 and \$46.76 million for the first nine months of 2012 as compared to \$15.06 million and \$41.26 million, respectively, for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011.

#### Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The objective of United s Asset Liability Management function is to maintain consistent growth in net interest income within United s policy guidelines. This objective is accomplished through the management of balance sheet liquidity and interest rate risk exposures due to changes in economic conditions, interest rate levels and customer preferences.

### Interest Rate Risk

Management considers interest rate risk to be United s most significant market risk. Interest rate risk is the exposure to adverse changes in United s net interest income as a result of changes in interest rates. United s earnings are largely dependent on the effective management of interest rate risk.

Management of interest rate risk focuses on maintaining consistent growth in net interest income within Board-approved policy limits. United s Asset Liability Management Committee (ALCO), which includes senior management representatives and reports to the Board of Directors, monitors and manages interest rate risk to maintain an acceptable level of change to net interest income as a result of changes in interest rates. Policy established for interest rate risk is stated in terms of the change in net interest income over a one-year and two-year horizon given an immediate and sustained increase or decrease in interest rates. The current limits approved by the Board of Directors are structured on a staged basis with each stage requiring specific actions.

United employs a variety of measurement techniques to identify and manage its exposure to changing interest rates. One such technique utilizes an earnings simulation model to analyze the sensitivity of net interest income to movements in interest rates. The model is based on actual cash flows and repricing characteristics for on and off-balance sheet instruments and incorporates market-based assumptions regarding the impact of changing interest rates on the prepayment rate of certain assets and liabilities. The model also includes executive management projections for activity levels in product lines offered by United. Assumptions based on the historical behavior of deposit rates and balances in relation to changes in interest rates are also incorporated into the model. Rate scenarios could involve parallel or nonparallel shifts in the yield curve, depending on historical, current, and expected conditions, as well as the need to capture any material effects of explicit or embedded options. These assumptions are inherently uncertain

and, as a result, the model cannot precisely measure net interest income or precisely predict the impact of fluctuations in interest rates on net interest income. Actual results will differ from simulated results due to timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes as well as changes in market conditions and management strategies.

Interest sensitive assets and liabilities are defined as those assets or liabilities that mature or are repriced within a designated time frame. The principal function of interest rate risk management is to maintain an appropriate relationship between those assets and liabilities that are sensitive to changing market interest rates. The difference between rate sensitive assets and rate sensitive liabilities for specified periods of time is known as the GAP. Earnings-simulation analysis captures not only the potential of these interest sensitive assets and liabilities to mature or reprice but also the probability that they will do so. Moreover, earnings-simulation analysis considers the relative sensitivities of these balance sheet items and projects their behavior over an extended period of time. United closely monitors the sensitivity of its assets and liabilities on an on-going basis and projects the effect of various interest rate changes on its net interest margin.

The following table shows United s estimated earnings sensitivity profile as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Percentage Change in Net Interest Incon			
Change in Interest Rates (basis points)	<b>September 30, 2012</b>	December 31, 2011		
+200	4.61%	8.61%		
+100	1.17%	3.58%		
-100	(0.06%)	(1.43%)		

At September 30, 2012, given an immediate, sustained 100 basis point upward shock to the yield curve used in the simulation model, net interest income for United is estimated to increase by 1.17% over one year as compared to an increase of 3.58% at December 31, 2011. A 200 basis point immediate, sustained upward shock in the yield curve would increase net interest income by an estimated 4.61% over one year as of September 30, 2012, as compared to an increase of 8.61% as of December 31, 2011. A 100 basis point immediate, sustained downward shock in the yield curve would decrease net interest income by an estimated 0.06% over one year as of September 30, 2012 as compared to a decrease of 1.43%, over one year as of December 31, 2011. With the federal funds rate at 0.25% at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, management believed a 200 basis point immediate, sustained decline in rates was highly unlikely.

This analysis does not include the potential increased refinancing activities, which should lessen the negative impact on net income from falling rates. While it is unlikely market rates would immediately move 100 or 200 basis points upward or downward on a sustained basis, this is another tool used by management and the Board of Directors to gauge interest rate risk. All of these estimated changes in net interest income are and were within the policy guidelines established by the Board of Directors.

To further aid in interest rate management, United s subsidiary banks are members of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). The use of FHLB advances provides United with a low risk means of matching maturities of earning assets and interest-bearing funds to achieve a desired interest rate spread over the life of the earning assets. In addition, United uses credit with large regional banks and trust preferred securities to provide funding.

As part of its interest rate risk management strategy, United may use derivative instruments to protect against adverse price or interest rate movements on the value of certain assets or liabilities and on future cash flows. These derivatives commonly consist of interest rate swaps, caps, floors, collars, futures, forward contracts, written and purchased options. Interest rate swaps obligate two parties to exchange one or more payments generally calculated with reference to a fixed or variable rate of interest applied to the notional amount. United accounts for its derivative activities in accordance with the provisions of ASC topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging.

United previously sold residential mortgage loans in a securitization transaction and retained an interest-only strip, and lower-rated subordinated classes of asset-backed securities, all of which are subordinated interests in the securitized assets. United recognized the excess of all cash flows attributable to the subordinated interests using the effective yield method. However, because the carrying value of United's subordinated interest has been zero since June 30, 2005, the difference between the cash flows associated with these underlying mortgages and amounts owed to third party investors has been recognized in interest income as cash is received by United over the remaining life of the loans. However, the securitization trust (the Trust) is subject to an adverse judgment arising from a class action suit. An order granting supplemental damages and entry of final judgment was entered March 5, 2010. Subsequent to the order, the Trust entered into a settlement with all members of the Settlement Class wherein the Trust agreed not to appeal the case and the plaintiffs agreed to accept reduced and limited damages in settlement and dismissal of their claims with prejudice. The settlement will be strictly paid from the residual cash flows from the Trust and not the Company. Because the future payments and prepayments of loans in the Trust cannot be predicted, United cannot currently determine the amounts, if any, of residual cash flows that will be received. During the first nine months of 2012, United received no cash on the retained interest in the securitization.

#### **Extension Risk**

A key feature of most mortgage loans is the ability of the borrower to repay principal earlier than scheduled. This is called a prepayment. Prepayments arise primarily due to sale of the underlying property, refinancing, or foreclosure. In general, declining interest rates tend to increase prepayments, and rising interest rates tend to slow prepayments. Like other fixed-income securities, when interest rates rise, the value of mortgage- related securities generally declines. The rate of prepayments on underlying mortgages will affect the price and volatility of mortgage-related securities and may shorten or extend the effective maturity of the security beyond what was anticipated at the time of purchase. If interest rates rise, United sholdings of mortgage-related securities may experience reduced returns if the borrowers of the underlying mortgages pay off their mortgages later than anticipated. This is generally referred to as extension risk.

At September 30, 2012, United s mortgage related securities portfolio had an amortized cost of \$135 million, of which approximately \$65 million or 48% were fixed rate collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs). These fixed rate CMOs consisted primarily of planned amortization class (PACs), sequential-pay and accretion directed (VADMs) bonds having an average life of approximately 1.7 years and a weighted average yield of 5.48%, under current projected prepayment assumptions. These securities are expected to have very little extension risk in a rising rate environment. Current models show that with an immediate, sustained upward shock of 300 basis points, the average life of these securities would extend to 2.8 years. The projected price decline of the fixed rate CMO portfolio in rates up 300 basis points would be 4.4%, less than the price decline of a 2 year treasury note. By comparison, the price decline of a 30-year current coupon mortgage backed security (MBS) for an immediate, sustained upward shock of 300 basis points would be approximately 18%.

United had approximately \$31 million in 15-year mortgage backed securities with a projected yield of 4.80% and a projected average life of 2.8 years as of September 30, 2012. This portfolio consisted of seasoned 15-year mortgage paper with a weighted average loan age (WALA) of 7.3 years and a weighted average maturity (WAM) of 7.3 years.

United had approximately \$4 million in 20-year mortgage backed securities with a projected yield of 4.83% and a projected average life of 2.8 years on September 30, 2012. This portfolio consisted of seasoned 20-year mortgage paper with a weighted average loan age (WALA) of 9.6 years and a weighted average maturity (WAM) of 9.8 years.

United had approximately \$6 million in 30-year mortgage backed securities with a projected yield of 6.59% and a projected average life of 3.8 years on September 30, 2012. This portfolio consisted of seasoned 30-year mortgage paper with a weighted average loan age (WALA) of 12.6 years and a weighted average maturity (WAM) of 16.4 years.

72

#### **Table of Contents**

The remaining 20% of the mortgage related securities portfolio at September 30, 2012, included adjustable rate securities (ARMs), balloon securities, and 10-year mortgage backed pass-through securities.

## Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of September 30, 2012, an evaluation was performed under the supervision of and with the participation of United s management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of United s disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, United s management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that United s disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2012 were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period required by the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms. There have been no changes in United s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2012, or in other factors that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect United s internal control over financial reporting.

73

## **PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

#### Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On October 24, 2012, United Bankshares, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, United Bank, Inc. of West Virginia, agreed to settle two class actions. The class actions alleged that United Bank improperly posted, processed, and paid consumer checking account debit card transactions, which allegedly resulted in the assessment of improper overdraft fees. These cases are virtually identical to cases filed against more than 70 other United States banks over the last three years.

The first case has been consolidated, with similar cases against a myriad of other banks, into a federal multidistrict litigation pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida that is known as In re Checking Account Overdraft Litigation, Case No. 1:09-md-02036-JLK. The second case is pending in the Circuit Court of Jackson County, West Virginia. Without admitting liability or any wrongdoing and to avoid further litigation expense, United Bankshares, Inc. and United Bank, Inc. of West Virginia agreed to settle these cases in exchange for a payment of \$3.3 million and an agreement to pay certain settlement-related expenses. The settlement is subject to court approval. As of September 30, 2012, the \$3.3 million settlement amount was fully accrued by the Company.

In the normal course of business, United and its subsidiaries are currently involved in various legal proceedings. Management is vigorously pursuing all its legal and factual defenses and, after consultation with legal counsel, believes that all such litigation will be resolved with no material effect on United s financial position.

#### Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, please refer to United s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for disclosures with respect to United s risk factors which could materially affect United s business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in the Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing United. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to United or that United currently deems to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect United s business, financial condition and/or operating results. There are no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in United s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

#### Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

There have been no United equity securities sales during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 that were not registered. The table below includes certain information regarding United spurchase of its common shares during the quarter ended September 30, 2012:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1) (2)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans (3)	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans (3)
7/01 7/31/2012	0	\$ 00.00	0	322,200
8/01 8/31/2012	112	\$ 25.96	0	322,200
9/01 9/30/2012	0	\$ 00.00	0	322,200
Total	112	\$ 25.96	0	

Table of Contents 94

74

#### **Table of Contents**

- (1) Includes shares exchanged in connection with the exercise of stock options under United s stock option plans. Shares are purchased pursuant to the terms of the applicable stock option plan and not pursuant to a publicly announced stock repurchase plan. For the quarter ended September 30, 2012, no shares were exchanged by participants in United s stock option plans.
- (2) Includes shares purchased in open market transactions by United for a rabbi trust to provide payment of benefits under a deferred compensation plan for certain key officers of United and its subsidiaries. For the quarter ended September 30, 2012, the following shares were purchased for the deferred compensation plan: August 2012 112 shares at an average price of \$25.96.
- (3) In May of 2006, United s Board of Directors approved a repurchase plan to repurchase up to 1.7 million shares of United s common stock on the open market (the 2006 Plan). The timing, price and quantity of purchases under the plan are at the discretion of management and the plan may be discontinued, suspended or restarted at any time depending on the facts and circumstances.

# Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

#### Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

None.

#### Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

- (a) None.
- (b) No changes were made to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to United s Board of Directors.

#### Item 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K

Exhibit 3.1	Articles of Incorporation

Exhibit 3.2 Bylaws

Exhibit 31.1 Certification as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 31.2 Certification as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by

Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by

Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 101 Interactive data file (XBRL)

Table of Contents 95

75

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED BANKSHARES, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: November 8, 2012 /s/ Richard M. Adams

Richard M. Adams, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 8, 2012 /s/ Steven E. Wilson

Steven E. Wilson, Executive Vice President, Treasurer,

Secretary and Chief Financial Officer

76

# **Table of Contents**

#### **EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit No.	Description	Page Number
3.1	Articles of Incorporation	(a)
3.2	Bylaws	(b)
31.1	Certification as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Executive Officer	78
31.2	Certification as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Financial Officer	79
32.1*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Executive Officer	80
32.2*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Financial Officer	81
101 Footnotes:	Interactive data file (XBRL)	(c)

#### Furnished not filed.

- (a) Incorporated by reference to a Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 23, 2008 and filed December 31, 2008 for United Bankshares, Inc., File No. 0-13322.
- (b) Incorporated into this filing by reference to a Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 25, 2010 and filed January 29, 2010 for United Bankshares, Inc., File No. 0-13322.
- (c) Exhibit not provided herein. The interactive data file (XBRL) exhibit is available through United s corporate website at www.ubsi-inc.com.

77