Transocean Ltd. Form FWP November 29, 2011

Filed pursuant to Rule 433

Issuer Free Writing Prospectus dated November 29, 2011

Relating to Preliminary Prospectus Supplement dated November 29, 2011

Registration Statement No. 333-169401

SWISS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF TRANSOCEAN LTD.

The issuer has filed a registration statement (including a prospectus and prospectus supplement) with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission for the offering to which this communication relates. Before you invest, you should read the prospectus in that registration statement, the related prospectus supplement, and other documents the issuer has filed with the SEC for more complete information about the issuer and this offering. You may get these documents for free by visiting EDGAR on the SEC Web site at www.sec.gov. Alternatively, the issuer, any underwriter or any dealer participating in the offering will arrange to send you the prospectus if you request it by calling, toll-free, Barclays Capital Inc. at 888-603-5847 or Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC at 1-800-221-1037. The prospectus and prospectus supplement for this offering are available in Switzerland free of charge from Credit Suisse AG, Zurich (Facsimile +41 44 333 35 93, E-mail: equity.prospectus@credit-suisse.com).

AUDITED SWISS CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF TRANSOCEAN LTD.

The Swiss audited consolidated financial statements of Transocean Ltd. included herein are provided pursuant to Swiss law and are audited in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States, Swiss Auditing Standards and Swiss law. In connection with our efforts to dispose of non-strategic assets: (a) in March 2011, we engaged an unaffiliated advisor to coordinate the sale of the assets of our oil and gas properties reporting unit, and (b) in February 2011, we sold our former subsidiary that owns the High-Specification Jackup *Trident 20*, located in the Caspian Sea. As a result of these developments, we have reclassified the assets and liabilities and operating results associated with these discontinued operations in the unaudited consolidated financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the periods ended March 31, 2011, June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2011, which are incorporated by reference in the prospectus supplement relating to this offering. The following consolidated financial statements have not been recast to reflect these discontinued operations.

Ernst & Young Ltd Brandschenkestrasse 100 P.O. Box CH-8022 Zurich

Phone +41 58 286 31 11 Fax +41 58 286 40 20

www.ey.com/ch

To the General Meeting of

Transocean Ltd., Zug

Zurich, February 28, 2011

Report of the statutory auditor on the consolidated financial statements

As statutory auditor, we have audited the consolidated financial statements of Transocean Ltd. and subsidiaries, which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows and notes thereto (pages AR-72 to AR-119) for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Board of Directors responsibility

The Board of Directors is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and the requirements of Swiss law. This responsibility includes designing, implementing and maintaining an internal control system relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. The Board of Directors is further responsible for selecting and applying appropriate accounting policies and making accounting estimates that are reasonable in the circumstances.

Auditor s responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with Swiss law, Swiss Auditing Standards and auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers the internal control system relevant to the entity s preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity s internal control system. An audit also

Lugar i liling. Transocean Liu i or	III I VVI
includes evaluating the appropriateness of the accounting policies used and the reasonablen evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.	
Opinion	
In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 201 financial position, the results of operations and the cash flows in accordance with accounting and comply with Swiss law.	
Report on other legal requirements	
We confirm that we meet the legal requirements on licensing according to the Auditor Over and article 11 AOA) and that there are no circumstances incompatible with our independent	
In accordance with article 728a paragraph 1 item 3 CO and Swiss Auditing Standard 890, which has been designed for the preparation of consolidated financial statements according	
We recommend that the consolidated financial statements submitted to you be approved.	
Ernst & Young Ltd	
/s/ Robin Errico /s/ Jolanda Dolento Licensed audit expert Licensed audit exp (Auditor in charge)	

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In millions, except per share data)

		2010	Years en	ded December 31, 2009		2008
Operating revenues	_		_		_	
Contract drilling revenues	\$	8,967	\$	10,607	\$	10,756
Contract drilling intangible revenues		98		281		690
Other revenues		511		668		1,228
		9,576		11,556		12,674
Costs and expenses						
Operating and maintenance		5,119		5,140		5,355
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		1,589		1,464		1,436
General and administrative		247		209		199
		6,955		6,813		6,990
Loss on impairment		(1,012)		(334)		(320)
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets, net		257		(9)		(7)
Operating income		1,866		4,400		5,357
Other income (expense), net						
Interest income		23		5		32
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized		(567)		(484)		(640)
Loss on retirement of debt		(33)		(29)		(3)
Other, net		10		32		26
,		(567)		(476)		(585)
		,		ĺ		
Income before income tax expense		1,299		3,924		4,772
Income tax expense		311		754		743
1						
Net income		988		3,170		4,029
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest		27		(11)		(2)
Net income attributable to controlling interest	\$	961	\$	3,181	\$	4,031
Earnings per share						
Basic	\$	2.99	\$	9.87	\$	12.63
Diluted	\$	2.99	\$	9.84	\$	12.53
Weighted-average shares outstanding						
Basic		320		320		318
Diluted		320		321		321

See accompanying notes.

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In millions)

	2010	Years	ended December 31, 2009	2008
Net income	\$ 988	\$	3,170	\$ 4,029
Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes				
Unrecognized components of net periodic benefit costs	(8)		37	(388)
Recognized components of net periodic benefit costs	16		24	5
Unrecognized loss on derivative instruments	(29)		(2)	(1)
Recognized loss on derivative instruments	12		6	
Other, net			1	(3)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes	(9)		66	(387)
Income taxes related to other comprehensive income (loss)	(9)		24	9
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes	(18)		90	(378)
Total comprehensive income	970		3,260	3,651
Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling				
interest	6		(6)	(2)
Total comprehensive income attributable to controlling interest	\$ 964	\$	3,266	\$ 3,653

See accompanying notes.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions, except share data)

	Decem	ber 31,	
	2010		2009
Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,394	\$	1,130
Accounts receivable, net			
Trade	1,811		2,330
Other	189		55
Materials and supplies, net	517		462
Deferred income taxes, net	115		104
Assets held for sale			186
Other current assets	169		209
Total current assets	6,195		4,476
Property and equipment	27,007		27,383
Property and equipment of consolidated variable interest entities	2,214		1,968
Less accumulated depreciation	7,763		6,333
Property and equipment, net	21,458		23,018
Goodwill	8,132		8,134
Other assets	1,026		808
Total assets	\$ 36,811	\$	36,436
Liabilities and equity	0.4=		=00
Accounts payable	\$ 847	\$	780
Accrued income taxes	116		240
Debt due within one year	1,917		1,568
Debt of consolidated variable interest entities due within one year	95		300
Other current liabilities	861		730
Total current liabilities	3,836		3,618
Long-term debt	8,354		8,966
Long-term debt of consolidated variable interest entities	855		883
Deferred income taxes, net	594		726
Other long-term liabilities	1,772		1,684
Total long-term liabilities	11,575		12,259
č			
Commitments and contingencies			
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	25		
Shares, CHF 15.00 par value, 335,235,298 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally			
authorized, 335,235,298 issued and 319,080,678 outstanding at December 31, 2010; and			
502,852,947 authorized, 167,617,649 conditionally authorized, 335,235,298 issued and			
	4.492		4.470
321,223,882 outstanding at December 31, 2009	4,482		4,472
Additional paid-in capital Traceyry shares at cost 2,862,367 and none hold at December 21, 2010 and 2000	7,504		7,407
Treasury shares, at cost, 2,863,267 and none held at December 31, 2010 and 2009,	(240)		
respectively	(240)		0.000
Retained earnings	9,969		9,008
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(332)		(335)
Total controlling interest shareholders equity	21,383		20,552
Noncontrolling interest	(8)		7
Total equity	21,375		20,559

Total liabilities and equity	\$	36,811	\$ 36,436
See accompan	ying notes.		

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

(In millions)

	2010	Years ended December 31, 2009 Shares	2008	Yea 2010	ded December 2009 Amount	31,	2008
Shares							
Balance, beginning of period	321	319	317	\$ 4,472	\$ 4,444	\$	3
Issuance of shares under share-based							
compensation plans	1	2	2	10	28		
Purchases of shares held in treasury	(3	5)					
Cancellation of shares for							
redomestication			(317)				(3)
Issuance of shares for redomestication			317				4,444
Balance, end of period	319	321	319	\$ 4,482	\$ 4,472	\$	4,444
Additional paid-in capital							
Balance, beginning of period				\$ 7,407	\$ 7,313	\$	11,619
Share-based compensation expense				102	81		64
Issuance of shares under share-based							
compensation plans				(11)	7		62
Repurchases of convertible senior notes				14	22		
Redomestication							(4,441)
Changes in ownership of							
noncontrolling interest and other, net				(8)	(16)		9
Balance, end of period				\$ 7,504	\$ 7,407	\$	7,313
Treasury shares, at cost							
Balance, beginning of period				\$	\$	\$	
Purchases of shares held in treasury				(240)			
Balance, end of period				\$ (240)	\$	\$	
Retained earnings							
Balance, beginning of period				\$ 9,008	\$ 5,827	\$	1,796
Net income attributable to controlling							
interest				961	3,181		4,031
Balance, end of period				\$ 9,969	\$ 9,008	\$	5,827
Accumulated other comprehensive							
loss							
Balance, beginning of period				\$ (335)	\$ (420)	\$	(42)
Other comprehensive income (loss)							
attributable to controlling interest				3	85		(378)
Balance, end of period				\$ (332)	\$ (335)	\$	(420)
Total controlling interest							
shareholders equity							
Balance, beginning of period				\$ 20,552	\$ 17,164	\$	13,376
Total comprehensive income							
attributable to controlling interest				964	3,266		3,653
Share-based compensation expense				102	81		64
Issuance of shares under share-based							
compensation plans				(1)	35		62
Purchases of shares held in treasury				(240)			
Repurchases of convertible senior notes				14	22		
Changes in ownership of							
noncontrolling interest and other, net				(8)	(16)		9
Balance, end of period				\$ 21,383	\$ 20,552	\$	17,164

Edgar Filing: Transocean Ltd. - Form FWP

Noncontrolling interest			
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 7	\$ 3	\$ 5
Total comprehensive income (loss)			
attributable to noncontrolling interest	7	(6)	(2)
Reclassification of redeemable			
noncontrolling interest	(26)		
Changes in ownership of			
noncontrolling interest and other, net	4	10	
Balance, end of period	\$ (8)	\$ 7	\$ 3
Total equity			
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 20,559	\$ 17,167	\$ 13,381
Total comprehensive income	971	3,260	3,651
Share-based compensation expense	102	81	64
Issuance of shares under share-based			
compensation plans	(1)	35	62
Purchases of shares held in treasury	(240)		
Repurchases of convertible senior notes	14	22	
Reclassification of redeemable			
noncontrolling interest and other, net	(30)	(6)	9
Balance, end of period	\$ 21,375	\$ 20,559	\$ 17,167

See accompanying notes.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In millions)

	2010	Years er	nded December 31,	,	2008
Cash flows from operating activities					
Net income	\$ 988	\$	3,170	\$	4,029
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:					
Amortization of drilling contract intangibles	(98)		(281)		(690)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,589		1,464		1,436
Share-based compensation expense	102		81		64
Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation plans	(1)		(2)		(10)
(Gain) loss on disposal of assets, net	(257)		9		7
Loss on impairment	1,012		334		320
Loss on retirement of debt	33		29		3
Amortization of debt issue costs, discounts and premiums, net	189		209		176
Deferred income taxes	(145)		13		8
Other, net	(1)		7		41
Deferred revenue, net	205		169		11
Deferred expenses, net	(79)		(38)		(115)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	409		434		(321)
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,946		5,598		4,959
Cash flows from investing activities					
Capital expenditures	(1,411)		(3,052)		(2,208)
Proceeds from disposal of assets, net	(1,411)		(3,032)		348
Proceeds from insurance recoveries for loss of drilling unit	560		10		340
Proceeds from payments on notes receivable	37				
Proceeds from short-term investments	37		564		59
Purchases of short-term investments	31		(269)		(408)
Joint ventures and other investments, net	(4)		45		13
Net cash used in investing activities	(721)		(2,694)		(2,196)
ivet easii used iii iiivestiiig activities	(721)		(2,094)		(2,190)
Cash flows from financing activities					
Change in short-term borrowings, net	(193)		(382)		(837)
Proceeds from debt	2,054		514		2,661
Repayments of debt	(2,565)		(2,871)		(4,893)
Purchases of shares held in treasury	(240)				
Financing costs	(15)		(2)		(24)
Proceeds from (taxes paid for) share-based compensation plans, net	(1)		17		51
Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation plans	1		2		10
Other, net	(2)		(15)		(9)
Net cash used in financing activities	(961)		(2,737)		(3,041)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,264		167		(278)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,130		963		1,241
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 3,394	\$	1,130	\$	963

See accompanying notes.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 Nature of Business

Transocean Ltd. (together with its subsidiaries and predecessors, unless the context requires otherwise, Transocean, the Company, we, us is a leading international provider of offshore contract drilling services for oil and gas wells. Our mobile offshore drilling fleet is considered one of the most modern and versatile fleets in the world. Specializing in technically demanding sectors of the offshore drilling business with a particular focus on deepwater and harsh environment drilling services, we contract our drilling rigs, related equipment and work crews predominantly on a dayrate basis to drill oil and gas wells. At December 31, 2010, we owned, had partial ownership interests in or operated 139 mobile offshore drilling units. As of this date, our fleet consisted of 47 High-Specification Floaters (Ultra-Deepwater, Deepwater and Harsh Environment semisubmersibles and drillships), 25 Midwater Floaters, 10 High-Specification Jackups, 54 Standard Jackups and three Other Rigs. We also have one Ultra-Deepwater Floater and three High-Specification Jackups under construction (see Note 9 Drilling Fleet and Note 25 Subsequent Events).

We also provide oil and gas drilling management services, drilling engineering and drilling project management services, and we participate in oil and gas exploration and production activities. We provide drilling management services through Applied Drilling Technology Inc., our wholly owned subsidiary, and through ADT International, a division of one of our U.K. subsidiaries (together, ADTI). ADTI conducts drilling management services primarily on either a dayrate or a completed-project, fixed-price (or turnkey) basis. Oil and gas properties consist of exploration, development and production activities performed by Challenger Minerals Inc. and Challenger Minerals (North Sea) Limited (together, CMI), our oil and gas subsidiaries.

In December 2008, Transocean Ltd. completed a transaction pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger among Transocean Ltd., Transocean Inc., which was our former parent holding company, and Transocean Cayman Ltd., a company organized under the laws of the Cayman Islands that was a wholly owned subsidiary of Transocean Ltd., pursuant to which Transocean Inc. merged by way of schemes of arrangement under Cayman Islands law with Transocean Cayman Ltd., with Transocean Inc. as the surviving company (the Redomestication Transaction). In the Redomestication Transaction, Transocean Ltd. issued one of its shares in exchange for each ordinary share of Transocean Inc. In addition, Transocean Ltd. issued 16 million of its shares to Transocean Inc. for future use to satisfy Transocean Ltd. s obligations to deliver shares in connection with awards granted under our incentive plans or other rights to acquire shares of Transocean Ltd. (see Note 16 Shareholders Equity). The Redomestication Transaction effectively changed the place of incorporation of our parent holding company from the Cayman Islands to Switzerland. As a result of the Redomestication Transaction, Transocean Inc. became a direct, wholly owned subsidiary of Transocean Ltd. In connection with the Redomestication Transaction, we relocated our principal executive offices to Vernier, Switzerland.

Note 2 Significant Accounting Policies

Accounting estimates The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S.) requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions, including those related to our allowance for doubtful accounts, materials and supplies obsolescence, property and equipment, investments, notes receivable, goodwill and other intangible assets, income taxes, share-based compensation, defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefits and contingencies. We base our estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from such estimates.

Fair value measurements We estimate fair value at a price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the principal market for the asset or liability. Our valuation techniques require inputs that we categorize using a three-level hierarchy, from highest to lowest level of observable inputs, as follows: (1) unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets (Level 1), (2) direct or indirect observable inputs, including quoted prices or other market data, for similar assets or liabilities in active markets or identical assets or liabilities in less active markets (Level 2) and (3) unobservable inputs that require significant judgment for which there is little or no market data (Level 3). When multiple input levels are required for a valuation, we categorize the entire fair value measurement according to the lowest level of input that is significant to the measurement even though we may have also utilized significant inputs that are more readily observable.

Principles of consolidation We consolidate entities in which we have a majority voting interest and entities that meet the criteria for variable interest entities for which we are deemed to be the primary beneficiary for accounting purposes. We eliminate intercompany transactions and accounts in consolidation. We apply the equity method of accounting for investments in entities if we have the ability to exercise significant influence over an entity that (a) does not meet the variable interest entity criteria or (b) meets the variable interest entity criteria, but for which we are not deemed to be the primary beneficiary. We apply the cost method of accounting for investments in other entities if we do not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the unconsolidated affiliate. See Note 4 Variable Interest Entities.

Our investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates, recorded in other assets on our consolidated balance sheets, had carrying amounts of \$19 million and \$11 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We recognized equity in earnings of

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

unconsolidated affiliates, recorded in other, net, on our consolidated statements of operations, in the amount of \$8 million, \$2 million and \$2 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Cash and cash equivalents Cash equivalents are highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less that may include time deposits with commercial banks that have high credit ratings, U.S. Treasury and government securities, Eurodollar time deposits, certificates of deposit and commercial paper. We may also invest excess funds in no-load, open-end, management investment trusts (management trusts). The management trusts invest exclusively in high-quality money market instruments.

Allowance for doubtful accounts We establish an allowance for doubtful accounts on a case-by-case basis, considering changes in the financial position of a major customer, when we believe the required payment of specific amounts owed is unlikely to occur. We derive a majority of our revenues from services to international oil companies and government-owned or government-controlled oil companies. We evaluate the credit quality of our customers on an ongoing basis, and we do not generally require collateral or other security to support customer receivables. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$38 million and \$65 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Materials and supplies Materials and supplies are carried at average cost less an allowance for obsolescence. The allowance for obsolescence was \$70 million and \$66 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Property and equipment Property and equipment, consisting primarily of offshore drilling rigs and related equipment, represented approximately 58 percent of our total assets at December 31, 2010. The carrying amounts of these assets are based on estimates, assumptions and judgments relative to capitalized costs, useful lives and salvage values of our rigs. These estimates, assumptions and judgments reflect both historical experience and expectations regarding future industry conditions and operations. We compute depreciation using the straight-line method after allowing for salvage values. We capitalize expenditures for renewals, replacements and improvements, and we expense maintenance and repair costs as incurred. Upon sale or other disposition of an asset, we recognize a net gain or loss on disposal of the asset, which is measured as the difference between the net carrying amount of the asset and the net proceeds received.

Estimated original useful lives of our drilling units range from 18 to 35 years, buildings and improvements from 10 to 30 years and machinery and equipment from four to 12 years. From time to time, we may review the estimated remaining useful lives of our drilling units, and we may extend the useful life when events and circumstances indicate a drilling unit can operate beyond its remaining useful life. During 2010, we adjusted the useful lives for five rigs, extending the estimated useful lives from between 20 and 36 years to between 25 and 39 years. During 2009, we adjusted the useful lives for 10 rigs, extending the estimated useful lives from between 30 and 35 years to between 33 and 50 years. During 2008, we adjusted the useful lives for five rigs, extending the estimated useful lives from between 30 and 35 years to between 34 and 50 years. We deemed the life extensions appropriate for each of these rigs based on the respective contracts under which the rigs were operating and the additional life-extending work, upgrades and inspections we performed on the rigs. For each of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the changes in estimated useful lives of these rigs resulted in a reduction in depreciation expense of \$23 million (\$0.07 per diluted share), \$23 million (\$0.07 per diluted share) and \$6 million (\$0.02 per diluted share), respectively, which had no tax effect for any period.

During 2008, we also adjusted the useful lives for four rigs that we acquired through a merger transaction (the Merger) with GlobalSantaFe Corporation (GlobalSantaFe), reducing the estimated useful lives from between eight and 16 years to between three and nine years. We determined the appropriate useful lives for each of these rigs based on our review of technical specifications of the rigs and comparisons to the remaining useful lives of comparable rigs in our fleet. In 2008, the change in estimated useful life of these rigs resulted in an increase in depreciation expense of \$46 million (\$0.14 per diluted share), which had no tax effect. See Note 9 Drilling Fleet.

Assets held for sale We classify an asset as held for sale when the facts and circumstances meet the criteria for such classification, including the following: (a) we have committed to a plan to sell the asset, (b) the asset is available for immediate sale, (c) we have initiated actions to complete the sale, including locating a buyer, (d) the sale is expected to be completed within one year, (e) the asset is being actively marketed at a price that is reasonable relative to its fair value, and (f) the plan to sell is unlikely to be subject to significant changes or termination. At December 31, 2010, assets held for sale were less than \$1 million. At December 31, 2009, we had assets held for sale, included in current assets, in the amount of \$186 million. See Note 9 Drilling Fleet and Note 25 Subsequent Events.

Long-lived assets and definite-lived intangible assets We review the carrying amounts of long-lived assets and definite-lived intangible assets, principally property and equipment and a drilling management services customer relationships intangible asset, for potential impairment when events occur or circumstances change that indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable.

For assets classified as held and used, we determine recoverability by evaluating the undiscounted estimated future net cash flows, based on projected dayrates and utilization, of the asset group under review. We consider our asset groups to be Ultra-Deepwater Floaters, Deepwater Floaters, Harsh Environment Floaters, Midwater Floaters, High-Specification Jackups, Standard Jackups and Other Rigs. When an impairment of one or more of our asset groups is indicated, we measure the impairment as the amount to which the asset group s carrying amount exceeds its fair value. We measure the fair values of our contract drilling asset groups by applying a combination of income and market approaches, using projected discounted cash flows and estimates of the exchange price that would be received for

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

the assets in the principal or most advantageous market for the assets in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. For our drilling management services customer relationships asset, we estimate fair value using the excess earnings method, which applies the income approach. For an asset classified as held for sale, we consider the asset to be impaired to the extent its carrying amount exceeds fair value less cost to sell.

In the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, we concluded that our Standard Jackup asset group, customer relationships intangible asset and our assets held for sale were impaired. See Note 5 Impairments and Note 10 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

Goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets We conduct impairment testing for our goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets annually as of October 1 and more frequently, on an interim basis, when an event occurs or circumstances change that may indicate a reduction in the fair value of a reporting unit or the indefinite-lived intangible asset is below its carrying value.

We test goodwill at the reporting unit level, which is defined as an operating segment or a component of an operating segment that constitutes a business for which financial information is available and is regularly reviewed by management. We have identified three reporting units for this purpose: (1) contract drilling services, (2) drilling management services and (3) oil and gas properties. We test goodwill for impairment by comparing the carrying amount of the reporting unit, including goodwill, to the fair value of the reporting unit.

For our contract drilling services reporting unit, we estimate fair value using projected discounted cash flows, publicly traded company multiples and acquisition multiples. To develop the projected cash flows associated with our contract drilling services reporting unit, which are based on estimated future dayrates and utilization, we consider key factors that include assumptions regarding future commodity prices, credit market conditions and the effect these factors may have on our contract drilling operations and the capital expenditure budgets of our customers. We discount the projected cash flows using a long-term weighted-average cost of capital, which is based on our estimate of the investment returns that market participants would require for each of our reporting units. We derive publicly traded company multiples for companies with operations similar to our reporting units using observable information related to shares traded on stock exchanges and, when available, observable information related to recent acquisitions. If the reporting unit s carrying amount exceeds its fair value, we consider goodwill impaired and perform a second step to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. As a result of our annual impairment testing in each of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we concluded that goodwill was not impaired. As a result of our interim impairment testing in the year ended December 31, 2010, we concluded that the goodwill associated with our oil and gas properties reporting unit was impaired. As a result of our annual impairment testing in the year ended December 31, 2008, we concluded that the goodwill associated with our drilling management services reporting unit was impaired. See Note 5 Impairments and Note 10 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

For our trade name intangible asset, which we have identified as indefinite-lived, we estimate fair value using the relief from royalty method, which applies the income approach. As a result of our annual impairment testing in the year ended December 31, 2010, we concluded that the trade name intangible asset for our drilling management services reporting unit was not impaired. As a result of interim impairment testing in the year ended December 31, 2008, we concluded that the trade name intangible asset for our drilling management services reporting unit was impaired. See Note 5 Impairments and Note 10 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

Contingent liabilities We establish liabilities for estimated loss contingencies when we believe a loss is probable and the amount of the probable loss can be reasonably estimated. Once established, we adjust the carrying amount of a contingent liability upon the occurrence of a recognizable event when facts and circumstances change, altering our previous assumptions with respect to the likelihood or amount of loss. See Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies.

Operating revenues and expenses We recognize operating revenues as they are earned, based on contractual daily rates or on a fixed-price basis. In connection with drilling contracts, we may receive revenues for preparation and mobilization of equipment and personnel or for capital improvements to rigs. In connection with new drilling contracts, revenues earned and incremental costs incurred directly related to contract preparation and mobilization are deferred and recognized over the primary contract term of the drilling project using the straight-line method. Our policy to amortize the fees related to contract preparation, mobilization and capital upgrades on a straight-line basis over the estimated firm period of drilling is consistent with the general pace of activity, level of services being provided and dayrates being earned over the life of the contract. For contractual daily rate contracts, we account for loss contracts as the losses are incurred. Costs of relocating drilling units without contracts to more promising market areas are expensed as incurred. Upon completion of drilling contracts, any demobilization fees received are reported in income, as are any related expenses. Capital upgrade revenues received are deferred and recognized over the primary contract term of the drilling project. The actual cost incurred for the capital upgrade is depreciated over the estimated useful life of the asset. We incur periodic survey and drydock costs in connection with obtaining regulatory certification to operate our rigs on an ongoing basis. Costs associated with these certifications are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the period until the next survey.

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Contract drilling intangible revenues In connection with the Merger, we acquired drilling contracts for future contract drilling services of GlobalSantaFe. The terms of these contracts include fixed dayrates that were above or below the market dayrates available for similar contracts as of the date of the Merger. We recognized the fair value adjustments as contract intangible assets and liabilities, recorded in other assets and other long-term liabilities, respectively. We amortize the resulting contract drilling intangible revenues on a straight-line basis over the respective contract period. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized contract intangible revenues of \$98 million, \$281 million and \$690 million, respectively. See Note 10 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

Other revenues Our other revenues represent those derived from drilling management services, integrated services, oil and gas properties, and customer reimbursable revenues. For fixed-price contracts associated with our drilling management services, we recognize revenues and expenses upon well completion and customer acceptance, and we recognize loss provisions on contracts in progress when losses are anticipated. We refer to integrated services as those services we provide through contractors and our employees under certain contracts that include well and logistics services in addition to our normal drilling services. We consider customer reimbursable revenues to be billings to our customers for reimbursement of certain equipment, materials and supplies, third-party services, employee bonuses and other expenses that we recognize in operating and maintenance expense, the result of which has little or no effect on operating income.

Share-based compensation For time-based awards, we recognize compensation expense on a straight-line basis through the date the employee is no longer required to provide service to earn the award (the service period). For market-based awards that vest at the end of the service period, we recognize compensation expense on a straight-line basis through the end of the service period. For performance-based awards with graded vesting conditions, we recognize compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the service period for each separately vesting portion of the award as if the award was, in substance, multiple awards. Share-based compensation expense is recognized, net of a forfeiture rate, estimated at the time of grant based on historical experience and adjusted, if necessary, in subsequent periods based on actual forfeitures.

To measure the fair values of time-based restricted shares and deferred units granted or modified, we use the market price of our shares on the grant date or modification date. To measure the fair values of stock options and stock appreciation rights (SARs) granted or modified, we use the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model and apply assumptions for the expected life, risk-free interest rate, dividend yield and expected volatility. The expected life is based on historical information of past employee behavior regarding exercises and forfeitures of options. The risk-free interest rate is based upon the published U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant or modification for instruments with a similar life. The dividend yield is based on our history and expectation of dividend payouts. The expected volatility is based on a blended rate with an equal weighting of the (a) historical volatility based on historical data for an amount of time approximately equal to the expected life and (b) implied volatility derived from our at-the-money long-dated call options. To measure the fair values of market-based deferred units granted or modified, we use a Monte Carlo simulation model and, in addition to the assumptions applied for the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model, we apply assumptions using a risk neutral model and an average price at the performance start date. The risk neutral model assumes that all peer group stocks grow at the risk-free rate. The average price at the performance start date is based on the average stock price for the preceding 30 trading days.

We recognize share-based compensation expense in the same financial statement line item as cash compensation paid to the respective employees. Tax deduction benefits for awards in excess of recognized compensation costs are reported as a financing cash flow. Share-based compensation expense was \$102 million, \$81 million and \$64 million in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Income tax benefit on share-based compensation expense was \$10 million, \$8 million, and \$8 million in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See Note 17 Share-Based Compensation Plans.

Pension and other postretirement benefits We use a measurement date of January 1 for determining net periodic benefit costs and December 31 for determining benefit obligations and the fair value of plan assets. We determine our net periodic benefit costs based on a market-related valuation of assets that reduces year-to-year volatility by recognizing investment gains or losses over a five-year period from the year in which they occur. Investment gains or losses for this purpose are measured as the difference between the expected return calculated using the market-related value of assets and the actual return based on the market-related value of assets.

The obligations and related costs for our defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans, retiree life insurance and medical benefits, are actuarially determined by applying assumptions, including long-term rate of return on plan assets, discount rates, compensation increases, employee turnover rates and health care cost trend rates. The two most critical assumptions are the long-term rate of return on plan assets and the discount rate.

For the long-term rate of return, we develop our assumptions regarding the expected rate of return on plan assets based on historical experience and projected long-term investment returns, which are weighted to consider each plan starget asset allocation. For the discount rate, we base our assumptions on a yield curve approach using Aa-rated corporate bonds and the expected timing of future benefit payments. For the projected compensation trend rate, we consider short-term and long-term compensation expectations for participants, including salary increases and performance bonus payments. For the health care cost trend rate for other postretirement benefits, we establish our assumptions for health care cost trends, applying an initial trend rate that reflects both our recent historical experience and broader national statistics with an ultimate trend rate that assumes that the portion of gross domestic product devoted to health care eventually becomes constant.

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Pension and other postretirement benefit plan obligations represented an aggregate liability in the amount of their net underfunded status of \$469 million and \$514 million, at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Net periodic benefit costs were \$91 million, \$87 million and \$47 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See Note 13 Postemployment Benefit Plans.

Capitalized interest We capitalize interest costs for qualifying construction and upgrade projects. We capitalized interest costs on construction work in progress of \$89 million, \$182 million and 147 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Derivatives and hedging From time to time, we may enter into a variety of derivative financial instruments in connection with the management of our exposure to variability in foreign exchange rates and interest rates. We record derivatives on our consolidated balance sheet, measured at fair value. For derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting, we recognize the gains and losses associated with changes in the fair value in current period earnings. See Note 12 Derivatives and Hedging and Note 20 Financial Instruments and Risk Concentration.

We may enter into cash flow hedges to manage our exposure to variability of the expected future cash flows of recognized assets or liabilities or of unrecognized forecasted transactions. For a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge, we initially recognize the effective portion of the gains or losses in other comprehensive income and subsequently recognize the gains and losses in earnings in the period in which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. We recognize the gains and losses associated with the ineffective portion of the hedges in interest expense in the period in which they are realized.

We may enter into fair value hedges to manage our exposure to changes in fair value of recognized assets or liabilities, such as fixed-rate debt, or of unrecognized firm commitments. For a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a fair value hedge, we simultaneously recognize in current period earnings the gains or losses on the derivative along with the offsetting losses or gains on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk. The resulting ineffective portion, which is measured as the difference between the change in fair value of the derivative and the hedged item, is recognized in current period earnings.

Foreign currency The majority of our revenues and expenditures are denominated in U.S. dollars to limit our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations, resulting in the use of the U.S. dollar as the functional currency for all of our operations. Foreign currency exchange gains and losses are primarily included in other income (expense) as incurred. We had a net foreign currency exchange gain of less than \$1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. We had net foreign currency exchange losses of \$34 million and \$3 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Income taxes We provide for income taxes based upon the tax laws and rates in effect in the countries in which operations are conducted and income is earned. There is little or no expected relationship between the provision for or benefit from income taxes and income or loss before income taxes because the countries in which we operate have taxation regimes that vary not only with respect to nominal rate, but also in terms of the availability of deductions, credits and other benefits. Variations also arise because income earned and taxed in any particular country or countries may fluctuate from year to year.

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the anticipated future tax effects of temporary differences between the financial statement basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities using the applicable jurisdictional tax rates in effect at year end. We record a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that some or all of the benefit from the deferred tax asset will not be realized. We provide a valuation allowance to offset deferred tax assets for net operating losses (NOL) incurred during the year in certain jurisdictions and for other deferred tax assets where, in our opinion, it is more likely than not that the financial statement benefit of these losses will not be realized. We provide a valuation allowance for foreign tax credit carryforwards to reflect the possible expiration of these benefits prior to their utilization.

We maintain liabilities for estimated tax exposures in our jurisdictions of operation, and the provisions and benefits resulting from changes to those liabilities are included in our annual tax provision along with related interest and penalties. Tax exposure items include potential challenges to permanent establishment positions, intercompany pricing, disposition transactions, and withholding tax rates and their applicability. These exposures are resolved primarily through the settlement of audits within these tax jurisdictions or by judicial means, but can also be affected by changes in applicable tax law or other factors, which could cause us to revise past estimates. See Note 6 Income Taxes.

Reclassifications We have made certain reclassifications to prior period amounts to conform with the current year s presentation. These reclassifications did not have a material effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Subsequent events We evaluate subsequent events through the time of our filing on the date we issue our financial statements. See Note 25 Subsequent Events.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Note 3 New Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

Consolidation Effective January 1, 2010, we adopted the accounting standards update that requires enhanced transparency of our involvement with variable interest entities, which (a) amends certain guidance for determining whether an enterprise is a variable interest entity, (b) requires a qualitative rather than a quantitative analysis to determine the primary beneficiary, and (c) requires continuous assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. We evaluated these requirements, particularly with regard to our interests in Transocean Pacific Drilling Inc. (TPDI) and Angola Deepwater Drilling Company Limited (ADDCL) and our adoption did not have a material effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. See Note 4 Variable Interest Entities.

Fair value measurements and disclosures Effective January 1, 2010, we adopted the effective provisions of the accounting standards update that clarifies existing disclosure requirements and introduces additional disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. The update requires entities to disclose the amounts of and reasons for significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2, the reasons for any transfers into or out of Level 3, and information about recurring Level 3 measurements of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross basis. The update also clarifies that entities must provide (a) fair value measurement disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities and (b) information about both the valuation techniques and inputs used in estimating Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements. We have applied the effective provisions of this accounting standards update in preparing the disclosures in our notes to consolidated financial statements and our adoption did not have a material effect on such disclosures. See Note 2 Significant Accounting Policies.

Subsequent events Effective for financial statements issued after February 2010, we adopted the accounting standards update regarding subsequent events, which clarifies that U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filers are not required to disclose the date through which management evaluated subsequent events in the financial statements. Our adoption did not have a material effect on the disclosures contained within our notes to consolidated financial statements. See Note 2 Significant Accounting Policies.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Fair value measurements and disclosures Effective January 1, 2011, we will adopt the remaining provisions of the accounting standards update that clarifies existing disclosure requirements and introduces additional disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. The update requires entities to separately disclose information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the reconciliation of recurring Level 3 measurements on a gross basis. The update is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. We do not expect that our adoption will have a material effect on the disclosures contained in our notes to consolidated financial statements.

Note 4 Variable Interest Entities

Consolidated variable interest entities TPDI and ADDCL, joint venture companies in which we hold interests, were formed to own and operate certain ultra-deepwater drillships. We have determined that each of these joint venture companies meets the criteria of a variable interest entity for accounting purposes because their equity at risk is insufficient to permit them to carry on their activities without additional subordinated financial support from us. We have also determined, in each case, that we are the primary beneficiary for accounting purposes since (a) we have the power to direct the construction, marketing and operating activities, which are the activities that most significantly impact each entity s economic performance, and (b) we have the obligation to absorb a majority of the losses or the right to receive a majority of the benefits that could be potentially significant to the variable interest entity. As a result, we consolidate TPDI and ADDCL in our consolidated financial statements, we eliminate intercompany transactions, and we present the interests that are not owned by us as noncontrolling interest on our consolidated balance sheets. The carrying amounts associated with these joint venture companies, after eliminating the effect of intercompany transactions, were as follows (in millions):

			Decen	nber 31, 2010			Decen	nber 31, 2009	
	1	Assets	L	iabilities	et carrying amount	Assets	L	iabilities	carrying mount
Variable interest entity									
TPDI	\$	1,598	\$	763	\$ 835	\$ 1,500	\$	763	\$ 737
ADDCL		864		345	519	582		482	100
Total	\$	2,462	\$	1,108	\$ 1,354	\$ 2,082	\$	1,245	\$ 837

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the aggregate carrying amount of assets of our consolidated variable interest entities that were pledged as security for the outstanding debt of our consolidated variable interest entities was \$2,191 million and \$1,975 million, respectively. See Note 11 Debt.

Pacific Drilling Limited (Pacific Drilling), a Liberian company, owns the 50 percent interest in TPDI that is not owned by us, and we present its interest in TPDI as noncontrolling interest on our consolidated balance sheets. Beginning on October 18, 2010, Pacific

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Drilling had the unilateral right to exchange its interest in TPDI for our shares or cash, at its election, at an amount based on an appraisal of the fair value of the drillships, subject to certain adjustments. Accordingly, when this option became exercisable, we reclassified the carrying amount of Pacific Drilling s interest from permanent equity to temporary equity, located between liabilities and equity on our consolidated balance sheets, since the event that gives rise to a potential redemption of the noncontrolling interest is not within our control. See Note 15 Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest.

Unconsolidated variable interest entities In January 2010, we completed the sale of two Midwater Floaters, *GSF Arctic II* and *GSF Arctic IV*, to subsidiaries of Awilco Drilling Limited (ADL), a U.K. company (see Note 9 Drilling Fleet). We have determined that ADL meets the criteria of a variable interest entity for accounting purposes because its equity at risk is insufficient to permit it to carry on its activities without additional subordinated financial support. We have also determined that we are not the primary beneficiary for accounting purposes since, although we hold a significant financial interest in the variable interest entity and have the obligation to absorb losses or receive benefits that could be potentially significant to the variable interest entity, we do not have the power to direct the marketing and operating activities, which are the activities that most significantly impact the entity is economic performance.

In connection with the sale, we received net cash proceeds of \$38 million and non-cash proceeds in the form of two notes receivable in the aggregate amount of \$165 million. The notes receivable, which are secured by the drilling units, have stated interest rates of 9 percent and are payable in scheduled quarterly installments of principal and interest through maturity in January 2015 (see Note 19 Fair Values of Financial Instruments). We have also committed to provide ADL with a working capital loan, which is also secured by the drilling units, with a maximum borrowing amount of \$35 million. Additionally, we operated *GSF Arctic IV* under a short-term bareboat charter with ADL, until November 2010. We evaluate the credit quality and financial condition of ADL quarterly. At December 31, 2010, the notes receivable and working capital loan receivable had no amounts past due and had aggregate carrying amounts of \$109 million and \$6 million, respectively.

Note 5 Impairments

Long-lived assets During the year ended December 31, 2010, we determined that the Standard Jackup asset group in our contract drilling services reporting unit was impaired due to projected declines in dayrates and utilization. We measured the fair value of this asset group by applying a combination of income and market approaches, using projected discounted cash flows and estimates of the exchange price that would be received for the assets in the principal or most advantageous market for the assets in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. Our valuation utilized the projection of the future performance of the asset group based on unobservable inputs that require significant judgment for which there is little or no market data, including assumptions regarding long-term projections for future revenues and costs, dayrates, rig utilization and idle time. As a result, we determined that the carrying amount of the Standard Jackup asset group exceeded its fair value, and we recognized a loss on impairment of long-lived assets in the amount of \$1.0 billion (\$3.15 per diluted share), which had no tax effect, during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets As a result of interim impairment testing in the year ended December 31, 2010, we determined that the goodwill associated with our oil and gas properties reporting unit was impaired. Accordingly, we recognized a loss on impairment of the full carrying amount of the goodwill associated with the reporting unit in the amount of \$2 million (\$0.01 per diluted share), which had no tax effect. As a result of our annual impairment testing in the year ended December 31, 2008, we determined that the goodwill associated with our drilling management services reporting unit was impaired. Accordingly, we recognized a loss on impairment of the full carrying amount of goodwill associated with this reporting unit in the amount of \$176 million (\$0.55 per diluted share), which had no tax effect.

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we determined that the trade name intangible asset associated with our drilling management services reporting unit was impaired due to market conditions resulting from the global economic downturn and continued pressure on commodity prices. We estimated the fair value of the trade name intangible asset using the relief from royalty method, a valuation methodology that applies the income approach. Our valuation required us to project the future performance of the drilling management services reporting unit based on unobservable inputs that require significant judgment for which there is little or no market data, including assumptions for future commodity prices, projected demand for our services, rig availability and dayrates. As a result of our evaluations in each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we determined that the carrying amount of the trade name intangible asset exceeded its fair value, and we recognized a loss on impairment of \$6 million (\$0.02 per diluted share, which had no tax effect) and \$31 million (\$20 million or \$0.06 per diluted share, net of tax), respectively. The carrying amount of the trade name intangible asset, recorded in other assets on our consolidated balance sheets, was \$39 million at both December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

Definite-lived intangible assets During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we determined that the customer relationships intangible asset associated with our drilling management services reporting unit was impaired due to market conditions resulting from the global economic downturn and continued pressure on commodity prices. We estimated the fair value of the customer relationships intangible asset using the multiperiod excess earnings method, a valuation methodology that applies the income approach. Our valuation required us to project the future performance of the drilling management services reporting unit based on unobservable inputs that require significant judgment for which there is little or no market data, including assumptions for future commodity prices, projected demand for our services, rig availability and dayrates. As a result of our evaluations in each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we determined that the carrying amount of the customer relationships intangible asset exceeded its fair value and

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

recognized a loss on impairment of \$49 million (\$0.15 per diluted share, which had no tax effect) and \$16 million (\$11 million or \$0.04 per diluted share, net of tax), respectively. There was no impairment for the year ended December 31, 2010. The carrying amount of the customer relationships intangible asset, recorded in other assets on our consolidated balance sheets was \$59 million and \$64 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Assets held for sale During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we determined that *GSF Arctic II* and *GSF Arctic IV*, both classified as assets held for sale, were impaired due to the global economic downturn and pressure on commodity prices, both of which had an adverse effect on our industry. We estimated the fair values of these rigs based on an exchange price that would be received for the assets in the principal or most advantageous market for the assets in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date and considering our undertakings to the Office of Fair Trading in the U.K. (OFT) that required the sale of the rigs with certain limitations and in a limited amount of time. We based our estimates on unobservable inputs that require significant judgment, for which there is little or no market data, including non-binding price quotes from unaffiliated parties, considering the then-current market conditions and restrictions imposed by the OFT. For each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, as a result of our evaluation, we recognized a loss on impairment of \$279 million (\$0.87 per diluted share) and \$97 million (\$0.30 per diluted share), respectively, which had no tax effect. The carrying amount of assets held for sale was \$186 million at December 31, 2009, and these assets were sold in the year ended December 31, 2010. See Note 9 Drilling Fleet.

Note 6 Income Taxes

Tax Provision Transocean Ltd., a holding company and Swiss resident, is exempt from cantonal and communal income tax in Switzerland, but is subject to Swiss federal income tax. At the federal level, qualifying net dividend income and net capital gains on the sale of qualifying investments in subsidiaries are exempt from Swiss federal income tax. Consequently, Transocean Ltd. expects dividends from its subsidiaries and capital gains from sales of investments in its subsidiaries to be exempt from Swiss federal income tax.

We conduct operations through our various subsidiaries in a number of countries throughout the world, all of which have taxation regimes with varying nominal rates, deductions, credits and other tax attributes. Our provision for income taxes is based on the tax laws and rates applicable in the jurisdictions in which we operate and earn income. There is little to no expected relationship between the provision for or benefit from income taxes and income or loss before income taxes considering, among other factors, (a) changes in the blend of income that is taxed based on gross revenues versus income before taxes, (b) rig movements between taxing jurisdictions and (c) our rig operating structures.

The components of our provision (benefit) for income taxes were as follows (in millions):

	Years ended December 31,						
	2010		2009		2008		
Current tax expense	\$ 456	\$	741	\$		735	
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	(145)		13			8	
Income tax expense	\$ 311	\$	754	\$		743	

T.CC4: 4	22.00/	10.207	15 607
Effective tax rate	23.9%	19.2%	15.6%

We are subject to changes in tax laws, treaties and regulations in and between the countries in which we operate, or in which we are incorporated or resident. A material change in these tax laws, treaties or regulations could result in a higher or lower effective tax rate on our worldwide earnings.

A reconciliation of the differences between our income tax expense computed at the Swiss holding company statutory rate of 7.83 percent and our reported provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, was as follows (in millions):

	Years ended December 31,			
		2010		2009
Income tax expense at the federal statutory rate	\$	102	\$	307
Taxes on earnings subject to rates greater than the Swiss rate		89		321
Taxes on impairment loss subject to rates less than the Swiss				
rate		79		
Changes in unrecognized tax benefits		71		135
Change in valuation allowance		(4)		46
Benefit from foreign tax credits		(23)		(49)
Other, net		(3)		(6)
Income tax expense	\$	311	\$	754

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

For the year ended December 31, 2008, our parent holding company was a Cayman Islands company and our earnings were not subject to income tax in the Cayman Islands because the country does not levy tax on corporate income. As a result, we have not presented a reconciliation of the differences between the income tax provision computed at the statutory rate and the reported provision for income taxes for this period.

The significant components of our deferred tax assets and liabilities were as follows (in millions):

	December 31,						
	2010		2009				
Deferred tax assets							
Drilling contract intangibles	\$ 6	\$	14				
Net operating loss carryforwards	114		135				
Tax credit carryforwards	29		29				
Accrued payroll expenses not currently deductible	72		74				
Deferred income	84		74				
Other	61		29				
Valuation allowance	(94)		(98)				
Total deferred tax assets	272		257				
Deferred tax liabilities							
Depreciation and amortization	(699)		(834)				
Drilling management services intangibles	(26)		(27)				
Other	(26)		(18)				
Total deferred tax liabilities	(751)		(879)				
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$ (479)	\$	(622)				

Our deferred tax assets include U.S. foreign tax credit carryforwards of \$29 million, which will expire between 2015 and 2020. Deferred tax assets related to our NOLs were generated in various worldwide tax jurisdictions. The tax effect of our Brazilian NOLs, which do not expire, was \$53 million and \$59 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. On December 31, 2009, our unrecognized U.S. capital loss carryforward expired. We did not recognize a deferred tax asset for the capital loss carryforward, as it was not probable that we would realize the benefit of this tax attribute. Our operations do not normally generate capital gain income, which is the only type of income that may be offset by capital losses. Certain activities related to the TODCO tax sharing agreement also serve to increase or decrease the capital loss carryforward.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the valuation allowance against our non-current deferred tax assets did not change significantly. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the valuation allowance against our non-current deferred tax assets increased from \$52 million to \$98 million, resulting primarily from reassessments of valuation allowances, as well as the corresponding NOLs associated with our Brazil operations.

Our deferred tax liabilities include taxes related to the earnings of certain subsidiaries that are not permanently reinvested or that will not be permanently reinvested in the future. Should our expectations change regarding future tax consequences, we may be required to record additional deferred taxes that could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or

cash flows.

We consider the earnings of certain of our subsidiaries to be indefinitely reinvested. As such, we have not provided for taxes on these unremitted earnings. Should we make a distribution from the unremitted earnings of these subsidiaries, we would be subject to taxes payable to various jurisdictions. At December 31, 2010, the amount of indefinitely reinvested earnings was approximately \$2.0 billion. If all of these indefinitely reinvested earnings were distributed, we would be subject to estimated taxes of \$150 million to \$200 million.

Tax returns We file federal and local tax returns in several jurisdictions throughout the world. With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to examinations of our U.S. and non-U.S. tax matters for years prior to 1999. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the amount of current tax benefit recognized from the settlement of disputes with tax authorities and from the expiration of statutes of limitations was insignificant.

Our tax returns in the other major jurisdictions in which we operate are generally subject to examination for periods ranging from three to six years. We have agreed to extensions beyond the general examination periods in four major jurisdictions for up to 16 years. Tax authorities in certain jurisdictions are examining our tax returns and in some cases have issued assessments. We are defending our tax positions in those jurisdictions. While we cannot predict or provide assurance as to the final outcome of these proceedings, we do not expect the ultimate liability to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, or results of operations, although it may have a material adverse effect on our consolidated cash flows.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

The following is a reconciliation of our unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties (in millions):

	Years ended December 31,									
		2010		2009		2008				
Balance, beginning of period	\$	460	\$	372	\$	299				
Additions for current year tax positions		46		64		46				
Additions for prior year tax positions		9		62		67				
Reductions for prior year tax positions		(11)		(22)		(36)				
Settlements		(17)		(3)		(3)				
Reductions related to statute of limitation										
expirations		(2)		(13)		(1)				
Balance, end of period	\$	485	\$	460	\$	372				

The liabilities related to our unrecognized tax benefits, including related interest and penalties that we recognize as a component of income tax expense, were as follows (in millions):

	December 31,							
	2010		2009					
Unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties	\$ 485	\$		460				
Interest and penalties	235			200				
Unrecognized tax benefits, including interest and penalties	\$ 720	\$		660				

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized interest and penalties related to our unrecognized tax benefits, recorded as a component of income tax expense, in the amount of \$35 million, \$51 million and \$24 million, respectively. If recognized, \$693 million of our unrecognized tax benefits, including interest and penalties, as of December 31, 2010, would favorably impact our effective tax rate.

It is reasonably possible that our existing liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits may increase or decrease in the year ending December 31, 2011 primarily due to the progression of open audits or the expiration of statutes of limitation. However, we cannot reasonably estimate a range of potential changes in our existing liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits due to various uncertainties, such as the unresolved nature of various audits.

Tax positions With respect to our 2004 and 2005 U.S. federal income tax returns, the U.S. tax authorities have withdrawn all of their previously proposed tax adjustments, except a claim regarding transfer pricing for certain charters of drilling rigs between our subsidiaries, resulting in a total proposed adjustment of approximately \$79 million, excluding interest. We believe an unfavorable outcome on this assessment with respect to 2004 and 2005 activities would not result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Although we believe the transfer pricing for these charters is materially correct, we have been unable to reach a resolution with the tax authorities. In August 2010, we filed a petition with the U.S. Tax Court to resolve this issue.

In May 2010, we received an assessment from the U.S. tax authorities related to our 2006 and 2007 U.S. federal income tax returns. In July 2010, we filed a protest letter with the U.S. tax authorities covering this assessment. The significant issues raised in the assessment relate to transfer pricing for certain charters of drilling rigs between our subsidiaries and the creation of intangible assets resulting from the performance of engineering services between our subsidiaries. These two items would result in net adjustments of approximately \$278 million of additional taxes, excluding interest. An unfavorable outcome on these adjustments could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We believe our returns are materially correct as filed, and we intend to continue to vigorously defend against all such claims.

In addition, the May 2010 assessment included adjustments related to a series of restructuring transactions that occurred between 2001 and 2004. These restructuring transactions impacted our basis in our former subsidiary TODCO, which we disposed of in 2004 and 2005. The authorities are disputing the amount of capital losses that resulted from the disposition of TODCO. We utilized a portion of the capital losses to offset capital gains on our 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 tax returns. The majority of the capital losses were unutilized and expired on December 31, 2009. The adjustments would also impact the amount of certain net operating losses and other carryovers into 2006 and later years. The authorities are also contesting the characterization of certain amounts of income received in 2006 and 2007 as capital gain and thus the availability of the capital gain for offset by the capital loss. These claims with respect to our U.S. federal income tax returns for 2006 through 2009 could result in net tax adjustments of approximately \$295 million. An unfavorable outcome on these potential adjustments could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We believe that our tax returns are materially correct as filed, and we intend to vigorously defend against any potential claims.

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

The May 2010 assessment also included certain claims with respect to withholding taxes and certain other items resulting in net tax adjustments of approximately \$166 million, exclusive of interest. In addition, the tax authorities assessed penalties associated with the various tax adjustments in the aggregate amount of approximately \$92 million, exclusive of interest. We believe that our tax returns are materially correct as filed, and we intend to vigorously defend against any potential claims.

Norwegian civil tax and criminal authorities are investigating various transactions undertaken by our subsidiaries in 2001 and 2002 as well as the actions of certain of our former external advisors on these transactions. The authorities issued tax assessments of (a) approximately \$268 million plus interest, related to certain restructuring transactions, (b) approximately \$117 million plus interest, related to the migration of a subsidiary that was previously subject to tax in Norway, (c) approximately \$71 million plus interest, related to a 2001 dividend payment and (d) approximately \$7 million plus interest, related to certain foreign exchange deductions and dividend withholding tax. We have filed or expect to file appeals to these tax assessments. We may be required to provide some form of financial security, in an amount up to \$1.0 billion, including interest and penalties, for these assessed amounts as this dispute is appealed and addressed by the Norwegian courts. The authorities have indicated that they plan to seek penalties of 60 percent on all matters. For these matters, we believe our returns are materially correct as filed, and we have and will continue to respond to all information requests from the Norwegian authorities. We intend to vigorously contest any assertions by the Norwegian authorities in connection with the various transactions being investigated. An unfavorable outcome on these Norwegian civil tax matters could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. While we cannot predict or provide assurance as to the final outcome of these proceedings, we do not expect the ultimate resolution of these matters to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position or results of operations, although it may have a material adverse effect on our consolidated cash flows.

The Norwegian authorities issued notification of criminal charges against Transocean Ltd. and certain of its subsidiaries related to disclosures included in one of our Norwegian tax returns. This notification, however, does not itself constitute an indictment under Norwegian law nor does it initiate legal proceedings but represents a formal expression of suspicion and continued investigation. All income taxes, interest charges and penalties related to this Norwegian tax return have previously been settled. We believe that these charges are without merit and plan to vigorously defend Transocean Ltd. and its subsidiaries to the fullest extent.

Certain of our Brazilian income tax returns for the years 2000 through 2004 are currently under examination. The Brazilian tax authorities have issued tax assessments totaling \$115 million, plus a 75 percent penalty of \$86 million and \$138 million of interest through December 31, 2010. An unfavorable outcome on these proposed assessments could result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We believe our returns are materially correct as filed, and we are vigorously contesting these assessments. On January 25, 2008, we filed a protest letter with the Brazilian tax authorities, and we are currently engaged in the appeals process.

Note 7 Earnings Per Share

The reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used for the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share is as follows (in millions, except per share data):

Edgar Filing: Transocean Ltd. - Form FWP

	20	10		Years ended 200		mber 31,	2008			
	Basic	10	Diluted	Basic	0)	Diluted	Basic	00	Diluted	
Numerator for earnings per share										
Net income attributable to controlling										
interest	\$ 961	\$	961	\$ 3,181	\$	3,181	\$ 4,031	\$	4,031	
Undistributed earnings allocable to										
participating securities	(5)		(5)	(18)		(18)	(10)		(10)	
Net income available to shareholders	\$ 956	\$	956	\$ 3,163	\$	3,163	\$ 4,021	\$	4,021	
Denominator for earnings per share										
Weighted-average shares outstanding	320		320	320		320	318		318	
Effect of dilutive securities:										
Stock options and other share-based										
awards						1			2	
Stock warrants									1	
Weighted-average shares for per share										
calculation	320		320	320		321	318		321	
Earnings per share	\$ 2.99	\$	2.99	\$ 9.87	\$	9.84	\$ 12.63	\$	12.53	

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 we have excluded 2.2 million, 1.7 million and 0.4 million share-based awards, respectively, from the calculation since the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

The 1.625% Series A Convertible Senior Notes, 1.50% Series B Convertible Senior Notes and 1.50% Series C Convertible Senior Notes did not have an effect on the calculation for the periods presented. See Note 11 Debt.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Note 8 Other Comprehensive Income

The allocation of other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to controlling interest and to noncontrolling interest was as follows (in millions):

	Controlling interest	,	2010 Non- ntrolling terest (a)		Total		Years ontrolling interest	N cont	December 1909 on- rolling rest (a)		, otal		trolling terest	2008 Non- controlling interest (a)	1	Cotal
Unrecognized components of																
net periodic benefit costs	\$ (8) \$		\$	(8)	\$	37	\$		\$	37	\$	(388)	\$	\$	(388)
Recognized components of																
net periodic benefit costs	16				16		24				24		5			5
Unrecognized gain (loss) on																
derivative instruments	(10)	(19)		(29)		(4)		2		(2)		(1)			(1)
Recognized (gain) loss on																
derivative instruments	14		(2)		12		3		3		6					
Other, net							1				1		(3)			(3)
·																
Other comprehensive income																
(loss) before income taxes	12		(21)		(9)		61		5		66		(387)			(387)
Income taxes related to other													,			
comprehensive income	(9)			(9)		24				24		9			9
Other comprehensive income		,			(-)											
(loss), net of tax	\$ 3	\$	(21)	\$	(18)	\$	85	\$	5	\$	90	\$	(378)	\$	\$	(378)
(1033), fict of tax	ψ ,	φ	(21)	φ	(10)	Ψ	0.5	Ψ	3	Ψ	90	Ψ	(376)	Ψ	Ψ	(376)

⁽a) Includes amounts attributable to noncontrolling interest and redeemable noncontrolling interest.

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, were as follows (in millions):

]	December	December 31	Redeemable						
	Controlling interest		Non- controlling interest		non- controlling interest		Controlling interest	Non- controlling interest		non- controlling interest	
Unrecognized components of net periodic											
benefit costs (a)	\$	(335)	\$		\$	\$	(334)	\$		\$	
Unrecognized gain (loss) on derivative											
investments		5		(3)		(13)	1		5		
Unrealized loss on marketable securities		(2)					(2)				
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	(332)	\$	(3)	\$	(13)\$	(335)	\$	5	\$	

(a) Amounts are net of income tax effect of \$36 million and \$45 million for December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

18

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Note 9 Drilling Fleet

Expansion Construction work in progress, recorded in property and equipment, was \$1.5 billion and \$3.7 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The following table presents actual capital expenditures and other capital additions, including capitalized interest, for our remaining major construction projects for the three years ended December 31, 2010 (in millions):

	2010		2009	2008	2007 - 2006	Total
Deepwater Champion (a)	\$ 206	\$	263	\$ 155	\$ 109	\$ 733
Discoverer India (b)	203		291	250		744
Discoverer Luanda (b) (c)	174		220	208	107	709
Transocean Honor (d)	97					97
Dhirubhai Deepwater KG2 (b) (e)	36		371	91	179	677
Development Driller III (b) (a)	24		117	133	350	624
Discoverer Inspiration (b)	12		224	205	238	679
High-Specification Jackup TBN1 (f)	9					9
High-Specification Jackup TBN2 (f)	9					9
Discoverer Americas (b)	6		148	167	311	632
Discoverer Clear Leader (b)	6		115	107	409	637
Petrobras 10000 (b) (g)	6		735			741
Dhirubhai Deepwater KG1 (b) (e)			295	105	279	679
Sedco 700-series upgrades (b)			71	124	396	591
Capitalized interest	89		182	147	93	511
Mobilization costs	89		155			244
Total	\$ 966	\$	3,187	\$ 1,692	\$ 2,471	\$ 8,316

⁽a) These costs include our initial investment in *Deepwater Champion* of \$109 million and our initial investment in *Development Driller III* of \$350 million, representing the estimated fair value of the rig at the time of our merger with GlobalSantaFe in November 2007.

⁽b) The accumulated construction costs of these rigs are no longer included in construction work in progress, as their construction projects had been completed as of December 31, 2010.

⁽c) The costs for *Discoverer Luanda* represent 100 percent of expenditures incurred since inception. ADDCL is responsible for all of these costs. We hold a 65 percent interest in ADDCL, and Angco Cayman Limited holds the remaining 35 percent interest.

⁽d) In November 2010, we made an initial installment payment of \$97 million to purchase a PPL Pacific Class 400 design jackup, to be named *Transocean Honor*, for \$195 million. The High-Specification Jackup is under construction at PPL Shipyard Pte Ltd. in Singapore and is expected for delivery in the fourth quarter of 2011.

- (e) The costs for *Dhirubhai Deepwater KG1* and *Dhirubhai Deepwater KG2* represent 100 percent of TPDI s expenditures, including those incurred prior to our investment in the joint venture. TPDI is responsible for all of these costs. We hold a 50 percent interest in TPDI, and Pacific Drilling holds the remaining 50 percent interest.
- (f) In December 2010, we made initial installment payments of \$9 million each, to purchase two Keppel FELS Super B class design jackups for \$186 million each. The two High-Specification Jackups are under construction at Keppel FELS yard in Singapore and are expected for delivery in the fourth quarter of 2012.
- In June 2008, we reached an agreement with a joint venture formed by subsidiaries of Petrobras and Mitsui to acquire *Petrobras 10000* under a capital lease contract. In connection with the agreement, we agreed to provide assistance and advisory services for the construction of the rig and operating management services once the rig commenced operations. On August 4, 2009, we accepted delivery of *Petrobras 10000* and recorded non-cash additions of \$716 million to property and equipment, net, along with a corresponding increase to long-term debt. Total capital additions include \$716 million in capital costs incurred by Petrobras and Mitsui for the construction of the drillship and \$19 million of other capital expenditures. The capital lease agreement has a 20-year term, after which we will have the right and obligation to acquire the drillship for one dollar. See Note 11 Debt and Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies.

In March 2010, we acquired *GSF Explorer*, an asset formerly held under capital lease, in exchange for a cash payment in the amount of \$15 million, terminating the capital lease obligation. See Note 11 Debt.

Dispositions During the year ended December 31, 2010, we completed the sale of two Midwater Floaters, *GSF Arctic II* and *GSF Arctic IV*. In connection with the sale, we received net cash proceeds of \$38 million and non-cash proceeds in the form of two notes receivable in the aggregate amount of \$165 million (see Note 4 Variable Interest Entities and Note 19 Fair Values of Financial Instruments). We operated *GSF Arctic IV* under a short-term bareboat charter with the new owner of the vessel, until November 2010. As a result of the sale, we recognized a loss on disposal of assets in the amount of \$15 million (\$0.04 per diluted share), which had no tax effect for the year ended December 31, 2010. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized a gain on disposal of other unrelated assets in the amount of \$5 million. In December 2010, we entered into an agreement to sell our Standard Jackup

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Transocean Mercury and related equipment. As of December 31, 2010, *Transocean Mercury* had a net carrying amount of less than \$1 million, recorded in assets held for sale on our consolidated balance sheet. See Note 25 Subsequent Events.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we received net proceeds of \$10 million in connection with our sale of *Sedco 135-D* and disposals of other unrelated property and equipment, and these disposals had no net effect on income taxes or net income. In addition, we received net proceeds of \$4 million in exchange for our 45 percent ownership interest in Caspian Drilling Company Limited, which operates *Dada Gorgud* and *Istigal* under long-term bareboat charters with the owner of the rigs and \$38 million in exchange for our interest in Arab Drilling & Workover Company. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized a loss on disposal of assets of \$9 million, which had no tax effect.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we completed the sale of three Standard Jackups, *GSF High Island VIII*, *GSF Adriatic III* and *GSF High Island I*. We received cash proceeds of \$320 million associated with the sales, which had no effect on earnings.

Deepwater Horizon On April 22, 2010, the Ultra-Deepwater Floater *Deepwater Horizon* sank after a blowout of the Macondo well caused a fire and explosion on the rig. The rig s insured value was \$560 million, which was not subject to a deductible, and our insurance underwriters declared the vessel a total loss. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we received \$560 million in cash proceeds from insurance recoveries related to the loss of the drilling unit and, for the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized a gain on the loss of the rig in the amount of \$267 million (\$0.83 per diluted share), which had no tax effect. See Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies.

Note 10 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets The gross carrying amounts of goodwill and accumulated impairment were as follows (in millions):

	Year	ended	December 31,	2010		Year	ended	December 31,	2009	
	Gross carrying amount		ccumulated npairment		Net carrying amount	Gross carrying amount		cumulated pairment		Net carrying amount
Contract drilling services										
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 10,626	\$	(2,494)	\$	8,132	\$ 10,620	\$	(2,494)	\$	8,126
Purchase price adjustment						6				6
Balance, end of period	10,626		(2,494)		8,132	10,626		(2,494)		8,132
Drilling management										
services										
Balance, beginning of period	176		(176)			176		(176)		
Balance, end of period	176		(176)			176		(176)		

Oil and gas properties						
Balance, beginning of period	2		2	2		2
Impairment		(2)	(2)			
Balance, end of period	2	(2)		2		2
Total goodwill						
Balance, beginning of period	10,804	(2,670)	8,134	10,798	(2,670)	8,128
Impairment		(2)	(2)			
Purchase price adjustment				6		6
Balance, end of period	\$ 10,804	\$ (2,672)	\$ 8,132 \$	10,804	\$ (2,670)	\$ 8,134

The gross carrying amounts of the ADTI trade name, which we consider to be an indefinite-lived intangible asset, and accumulated impairment were as follows (in millions):

	Year Gross carrying amount	Acc	December 31, 2 cumulated apairment	Net carrying amount	Year Gross carrying amount	Ac	December 31, cumulated	C	Net carrying amount
Trade name			·puil·iii				·P		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 76	\$	(37)	\$ 39	\$ 76	\$	(31)	\$	45
Impairment							(6)		(6)
Balance, end of period	\$ 76	\$	(37)	\$ 39	\$ 76	\$	(37)	\$	39

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Definite-lived intangible assets The gross carrying amounts of our drilling contract intangibles and drilling management customer relationships, both of which we consider to be definite-lived intangible assets and intangible liabilities, and accumulated amortization and impairment were as follows (in millions):

	Year	l December 31, 2 ccumulated	2010	1	Year	ed December 31, 2 Accumulated	2009	
	Gross carrying amount	mortization and mpairment		Net carrying amount	Gross carrying amount	amortization and impairment		Net carrying amount
Drilling contract intangible assets								
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 191	\$ (167)	\$	24	\$ 191	\$ (123)	\$	68
Amortization		(18)		(18)		(44)		(44)
Balance, end of period	191	(185)		6	191	(167)		24
Customer relationships								
Balance, beginning of period	148	(84)		64	148	(27)		121
Amortization		(5)		(5)		(8)		(8)
Impairment						(49)		(49)
Balance, end of period	148	(89)		59	148	(84)		64
Total definite-lived								
intangible assets								
Balance, beginning of period	339	(252)		87	339	(150)		189
Amortization		(23)		(23)		(53)		(53)
Impairment						(49)		(49)
Balance, end of period	\$ 339	(275)		64	\$ 339	\$ (252)	\$	87
Drilling contract intangible liabilities								
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,494	\$ (1,226)	\$	268	\$ 1,494	\$ (901)	\$	593
Amortization		(116)		(116)		(325)		(325)
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,494	(1,342)		152	\$ 1,494	\$ (1,226)	\$	268

We amortize the drilling contract intangibles over the term of the respective drilling contracts using the straight-line method of amortization, recognized in contract intangible revenues on our consolidated statements of operations. We amortize the customer relationships intangible asset over its 15-year life using the straight-line method of amortization, recognized in operating and maintenance expense on our consolidated statements of operations. The estimated net future amortization related to intangible assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2010, was as follows (in millions):

	Drilling contract intangibles		Customer relationships	
Years ending December 31,				
2011	\$	(45)	\$	5
2012		(42)		5
2013		(25)		5

2014	(15)	5
2015	(14)	5
Thereafter	(6)	34
Total intangible assets (liabilities), net	\$ (147) \$	59

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Note 11 Debt

Debt, net of unamortized discounts, premiums and fair value adjustments, was comprised of the following (in millions):

	Transocean Ltd. and subsidiaries	December 31, 2010 Consolidated variable interest entities	Consolidated total	Transocean Ltd. and subsidiaries	December 31, 2009 Consolidated variable interest entities	Consolidated total
ODL Loan Facility	\$ 10	\$	\$ 10	\$ 10	\$	\$ 10
Commercial paper program (a)	88		88	281		281
6.625% Notes due April 2011 (a)	167		167	170		170
5% Notes due February 2013	255		255	247		247
5.25% Senior Notes due March 2013						
(a)	511		511	496		496
TPDI Credit Facilities due						
March 2015		560	560		581	581
4.95% Senior Notes due						
November 2015 (a)	1,099		1,099			
ADDCL Credit Facilities due						
November 2017		242	242		454	454
6.00% Senior Notes due March 2018						
(a)	997		997	997		997
7.375% Senior Notes due April 2018						
(a)	247		247	247		247
TPDI Notes due October 2019		148	148		148	148
6.50% Senior Notes due						
November 2020 (a)	899		899			
Capital lease obligation due July 2026				15		15
8% Debentures due April 2027 (a)	57		57	57		57
7.45% Notes due April 2027 (a)	96		96	96		96
7% Notes due June 2028	313		313	313		313
Capital lease contract due						
August 2029	694		694	711		711
7.5% Notes due April 2031 (a)	598		598	598		598
1.625% Series A Convertible Senior						
Notes due December 2037 (a)	11		11	1,261		1,261
1.50% Series B Convertible Senior						
Notes due December 2037 (a)	1,625		1,625	2,057		2,057
1.50% Series C Convertible Senior						
Notes due December 2037 (a)	1,605		1,605	1,979		1,979
6.80% Senior Notes due March 2038						
(a)	999		999	999		999
Total debt	10,271	950	11,221	10,534	1,183	11,717
Less debt due within one year						
ODL Loan Facility	10		10	10		10
Commercial paper program (a)	88		88	281		281

Edgar Filing: Transocean Ltd. - Form FWP

6.625% Notes due April 2011 (a)	167		167			
TPDI Credit Facilities due						
March 2015		70	70		52	52
ADDCL Credit Facilities due						
November 2017		25	25		248	248
Capital lease contract due						
August 2029	16		16	16		16
1.625% Series A Convertible Senior						
Notes due December 2037 (a)	11		11	1,261		1,261
1.50% Series B Convertible Senior						
Notes due December 2037 (a)	1,625		1,625			
Total debt due within one year	1,917	95	2,012	1,568	300	1,868
Total long-term debt	\$ 8,354	\$ 855	\$ 9,209	\$ 8,966	\$ 883	\$ 9,849

⁽a) Transocean Inc., a 100 percent owned subsidiary of Transocean Ltd., is the issuer of the notes and debentures, which have been guaranteed by Transocean Ltd. Transocean Ltd. has also guaranteed borrowings under the commercial paper program and the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility. Transocean Ltd. has no independent assets or operations, its guarantee of debt securities of Transocean Inc. is full and unconditional and its only other subsidiary, not owned indirectly through Transocean Inc., is minor. Transocean Inc. s only operating assets are its investments in its operating subsidiaries. Transocean Inc. s independent assets and operations, other than those related to investments in its subsidiaries and balances primarily pertaining to its cash and cash equivalents and debt are less than two percent of the total consolidated assets and operations of Transocean Ltd., and thus, substantially all of the assets and operations exist within these non-guarantor operating companies. Furthermore, Transocean Ltd. and Transocean Inc. are not subject to any significant restrictions on their ability to obtain funds from their consolidated subsidiaries or entities accounted for under the equity method by dividends, loans or return of capital distributions.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Scheduled maturities In preparing the scheduled maturities of our debt, we assume the noteholders will exercise their options to require us to repurchase the 1.50% Series B Convertible Senior Notes and 1.50% Series C Convertible Senior Notes in December 2011 and 2012, respectively. At December 31, 2010, the scheduled maturities of our debt were as follows (in millions):

	Transocean Ltd. and subsidiaries	Consolidated variable interest entities		Consolidated total
Years ending December 31,				
2011	\$ 1,970	\$	95	\$ 2,065
2012	1,741		97	1,838
2013	770		98	868
2014	22		100	122
2015	1,123		340	1,463
Thereafter	4,797		220	5,017
Total debt, excluding unamortized discounts, premiums and fair value				
adjustments	10,423		950	11,373
Total unamortized discounts, premiums and fair value adjustments	(152)			(152)
Total debt	\$ 10,271	\$	950	\$ 11,221

ODL Loan Facility We have a \$10 million loan facility with Overseas Drilling Limited (ODL) under a loan agreement dated December 2009, as amended (the ODL Loan Facility). ODL may demand repayment of the borrowings under the loan facility at any time upon written notice, five business days in advance. Any amounts due to us from ODL may be offset against the borrowings at the time of repayment. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, \$10 million was outstanding under the ODL Loan Facility.

Commercial paper program We maintain a commercial paper program (the Program), which is supported by the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility, under which we may issue privately placed, unsecured commercial paper notes for general corporate purposes up to a maximum aggregate outstanding amount of \$1.5 billion. Proceeds from commercial paper issuance under the Program may be used for general corporate purposes. At December 31, 2010, \$88 million in commercial paper was outstanding at a weighted-average interest rate of 1.0 percent, including commissions.

6.625% Notes and 7.5% Notes In April 2001, Transocean Inc. issued \$700 million aggregate principal amount of 6.625% Notes due April 2011 and \$600 million aggregate principal amount of 7.5% Notes due April 2031. The indenture pursuant to which the notes were issued contains restrictions on creating liens, engaging in sale/leaseback transactions and engaging in merger, consolidation or reorganization transactions. At December 31, 2010, \$166 million and \$600 million principal amount of the 6.625% Notes and 7.5% Notes, respectively, were outstanding.

Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility We have a \$2.0 billion, five-year revolving credit facility under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated November 27, 2007, as amended (Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility). We may borrow under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility at either (1) the adjusted London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a margin (the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility Margin) based on our Debt Rating (based on our current Debt Rating, a margin of 1.325 percent) or (2) the Base Rate plus the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility Margin, less one percent per annum. Throughout the term of the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility, we pay a facility

fee on the daily amount of the underlying commitment, whether used or unused, which ranges from 0.10 percent to 0.30 percent, based on our Debt Rating, and was 0.175 percent at December 31, 2010. The Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility expires on November 27, 2012 and may be prepaid in whole or in part without premium or penalty. The Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility includes limitations on creating liens, incurring subsidiary debt, transactions with affiliates, sale/leaseback transactions, mergers and the sale of substantially all assets. The Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility also includes a covenant imposing a maximum debt to tangible capitalization ratio of 0.6 to 1.0. Borrowings under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility are subject to acceleration upon the occurrence of an event of default. At December 31, 2010, we had \$1.9 billion available borrowing capacity, we had \$81 million in letters of credit issued and outstanding and we had no borrowings outstanding under the Five-Year Revolving Credit Facility.

5% Notes and 7% Notes Two of our wholly-owned subsidiaries are the obligors on the 5% Notes due 2013 (the 5% Notes) and the 7% Notes due 2028 (the 7% Notes), and we have not guaranteed either obligation. The respective obligor may redeem the 5% Notes and the 7% Notes in whole or in part at a price equal to 100 percent of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, and a make-whole premium. The indentures related to the 5% Notes and the 7% Notes contain limitations on creating liens and sale/leaseback transactions. At December 31, 2010, \$250 million and \$300 million aggregate principal amount of the 5% Notes and the 7% Notes, respectively, remained outstanding. See Note 12 Derivatives and Hedging.

5.25%, 6.00% and 6.80% Senior Notes In December 2007, Transocean Inc. issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 5.25% Senior Notes due March 2013 (the 5.25% Senior Notes), \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 6.00% Senior Notes due March 2018 (the 6.00% Senior Notes) and \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 6.80% Senior Notes due March 2038 (the 6.80% Senior Notes). Transocean Inc. may redeem some or all of the notes at any time, at a redemption price equal to 100 percent of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, and a make-whole premium. The indenture pursuant to which the notes were issued contains restrictions on creating liens, engaging in sale/leaseback transactions and engaging in merger, consolidation or

23

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

reorganization transactions. At December 31, 2010, \$500 million, \$1 billion and \$1 billion principal amount of the 5.25% Senior Notes, the 6.00% Senior Notes and the 6.80% Senior Notes, respectively, were outstanding. See Note 12 Derivatives and Hedging.

TPDI Credit Facilities TPDI has a bank credit agreement for a \$1.265 billion secured credit facility (the TPDI Credit Facilities), comprised of a \$1.0 billion senior term loan, a \$190 million junior term loan and a \$75 million revolving credit facility, which was established to finance the construction of and is secured by *Dhirubhai Deepwater KG1* and *Dhirubhai Deepwater KG2*. One of our subsidiaries participates in the senior and junior term loans with an aggregate commitment of \$595 million. The senior term loan, the junior term loan and the revolving credit facility bear interest at LIBOR plus the applicable margins of 1.45 percent, 2.25 percent and 1.45 percent, respectively. The senior term loan requires quarterly payments with a final payment in March 2015. The junior term loan and the revolving credit facility are due in full in March 2015. The TPDI Credit Facilities may be prepaid in whole or in part without premium or penalty. The TPDI Credit Facilities have covenants that require TPDI to maintain a minimum cash balance and available liquidity, a minimum debt service ratio and a maximum leverage ratio. At December 31, 2010, \$1.1 billion was outstanding under the TPDI Credit Facilities, of which \$543 million was due to one of our subsidiaries and was eliminated in consolidation. The weighted-average interest rate on December 31, 2010 was 1.9 percent.

In April 2010, TPDI obtained a letter of credit in the amount of \$60 million to satisfy its liquidity requirements under the TPDI Credit Facilities. The letter of credit was issued under an uncommitted credit facility that has been established by one of our subsidiaries.

4.95% Senior Notes and 6.50% Senior Notes In September 2010, we issued \$1.1 billion aggregate principal amount of 4.95% Senior Notes due November 2015 (the 4.95% Senior Notes) and \$900 million aggregate principal amount of 6.50% Senior Notes due November 2020 (the 6.50% Senior Notes, and together with the 4.95% Senior Notes, the Senior Notes). We are required to pay interest on the Senior Notes on May 15 and November 15 of each year, beginning November 15, 2010. We may redeem some or all of the Senior Notes at any time at a redemption price equal to 100 percent of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, and a make whole premium. The indenture pursuant to which the Senior Notes were issued contains restrictions on creating liens, engaging in sale/leaseback transactions and engaging in merger, consolidation or reorganization transactions. At December 31, 2010, \$1.1 billion and \$900 million aggregate principal amount of the 4.95% Senior Notes and 6.50% Senior Notes, respectively, were outstanding.

ADDCL Credit Facilities ADDCL has a senior secured bank credit agreement for a credit facility (the ADDCL Primary Loan Facility) comprised of Tranche A, Tranche B and Tranche C for \$215 million, \$270 million and \$399 million, respectively, which was established to finance the construction of and is secured by *Discoverer Luanda*. Unaffiliated financial institutions provide the commitment for and borrowings under Tranche A, and one of our subsidiaries provides the commitment for Tranche C. In March 2010, ADDCL terminated Tranche B, having repaid borrowings of \$235 million under Tranche B using borrowings under Tranche C. Tranche A bears interest at LIBOR plus the applicable margin of 0.725 percent. Tranche A requires semi-annual payments beginning six months after the rig s first well commencement date and matures in December 2017. The ADDCL Primary Loan Facility contains covenants that require ADDCL to maintain certain cash balances to service the debt and also limits ADDCL s ability to incur additional indebtedness, to acquire assets, or to make distributions or other payments. At December 31, 2010, \$215 million was outstanding under Tranche A at a weighted-average interest rate of 1.2 percent. At December 31, 2010, \$399 million was outstanding under Tranche C, which was eliminated in consolidation.

Additionally, ADDCL has a secondary bank credit agreement for a \$90 million credit facility (the ADDCL Secondary Loan Facility), for which one of our subsidiaries provides 65 percent of the total commitment. The facility bears interest at LIBOR plus the applicable margin, ranging from 3.125 percent to 5.125 percent, depending on certain milestones. The ADDCL Secondary Loan Facility is payable in full in

December 2015, and it may be prepaid in whole or in part without premium or penalty. Borrowings under the ADDCL Secondary Loan Facility are subject to acceleration by the unaffiliated financial institution upon the occurrence of certain events of default, including the occurrence of a credit rating assignment of less than Baa3 or BBB- by Moody s Investors Service or Standard & Poor s Ratings Services, respectively, for Transocean Inc. s long-term, unsecured, unguaranteed and unsubordinated indebtedness. At December 31, 2010, \$77 million was outstanding under the ADDCL Secondary Loan Facility, of which \$50 million was provided by one of our subsidiaries and has been eliminated in consolidation. The weighted-average interest rate on December 31, 2010 was 3.4 percent.

7.375% Senior Notes In March 2002, we completed an exchange offer and consent solicitation for TODCO s 7.375% Senior Notes (the Exchange Offer). As a result of the Exchange Offer, we issued \$247 million principal amount of our 7.375% Senior Notes. The indenture pursuant to which the 7.375% Senior Notes were issued contains restrictions on creating liens, engaging in sale/leaseback transactions and engaging in merger, consolidation or reorganization transactions. At December 31, 2010, \$246 million principal amount of the 7.375% Senior Notes were outstanding.

TPDI Notes TPDI has issued promissory notes (the TPDI Notes) payable to its two shareholders, Pacific Drilling and one of our subsidiaries, which have maturities through October 2019. At December 31, 2010, the aggregate outstanding principal amount was \$296 million, of which \$148 million was due to one of our subsidiaries and has been eliminated in consolidation. The weighted-average interest rate on December 31, 2010 was 2.6 percent.

7.45% Notes and 8% Debentures In April 1997, a predecessor of Transocean Inc. issued \$100 million aggregate principal amount of 7.45% Notes due April 2027 (the 7.45% Notes) and \$200 million aggregate principal amount of 8% Debentures due April 2027 (the 8% Debentures). The 7.45% Notes and the 8% Debentures are redeemable at any time at Transocean Inc. s option subject to a make-whole premium. The indenture pursuant to which the 7.45% Notes and the 8% Debentures were issued contains restrictions on

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

creating liens, engaging in sale/leaseback transactions and engaging in merger, consolidation or reorganization transactions. At December 31, 2010, \$100 million and \$57 million principal amount of the 7.45% Notes and the 8% Debentures, respectively, were outstanding.

GSF Explorer capital lease obligation During the year ended December 31, 2010, we acquired *GSF Explorer*, an asset formerly held under a capital lease, in exchange for a cash payment of \$15 million, thereby terminating the capital lease obligation. In connection with the termination of the capital lease obligation, we recognized a gain on debt retirement of \$2 million, which had no per diluted share or tax effect. See Note 9 Drilling Fleet.

1.625% Series A, 1.50% Series B and 1.50% Series C Convertible Senior Notes In December 2007, we issued \$2.2 billion aggregate principal amount of 1.625% Series A Convertible Senior Notes due December 2037 (the Series A Convertible Senior Notes), \$2.2 billion aggregate principal amount of 1.50% Series B Convertible Senior Notes due December 2037 (the Series B Convertible Senior Notes) and \$2.2 billion aggregate principal amount of 1.50% Series C Convertible Senior Notes due December 2037 (the Series C Convertible Senior Notes, and together with the Series A Convertible Senior Notes and Series B Convertible Senior Notes, the Convertible Senior Notes). The Convertible Senior Notes may be converted under the circumstances specified below at a rate of 5.9310 shares per \$1,000 note, equivalent to a conversion price of \$168.61 per share, subject to adjustments upon the occurrence of certain events. Upon conversion, we will deliver, in lieu of shares, cash up to the aggregate principal amount of notes to be converted and shares in respect of the remainder, if any, of our conversion obligation. In addition, if certain fundamental changes occur on or before December 20, 2011, with respect to Series B Convertible Senior Notes, or December 20, 2012, with respect to Series C Convertible Senior Notes, we will, in some cases, increase the conversion rate for a holder electing to convert notes in connection with such fundamental change.

Holders may convert their notes only under the following circumstances: (1) during any calendar quarter if the last reported sale price of our shares for at least 20 trading days in a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding calendar quarter is more than 130 percent of the conversion price, (2) during the five business days after the average trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of the notes is equal to or less than 98 percent of the average conversion value of such notes during the preceding five trading-day period as described herein, (3) during specified periods if specified distributions to holders of our shares are made or specified corporate transactions occur, (4) prior to the close of business on the business day preceding the redemption date if the notes are called for redemption or (5) on or after September 15, 2037 and prior to the close of business on the business day prior to the stated maturity of the notes. As of December 31, 2010, no shares were issuable upon conversion of any series of the Convertible Senior Notes since none of the circumstances giving rise to potential conversion were present.

We may redeem some or all of the notes at any time after December 20, 2011, in the case of the Series B Convertible Senior Notes, and December 20, 2012, in the case of the Series C Convertible Senior Notes, in each case at a redemption price equal to 100 percent of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. Holders of the Series B Convertible Senior Notes have the right to require us to repurchase their notes on December 15, 2011. In addition, holders of any series of notes will have the right to require us to repurchase their notes on December 14, 2012, December 15, 2017, December 15, 2022, December 15, 2027 and December 15, 2032, and upon the occurrence of a fundamental change, at a repurchase price in cash equal to 100 percent of the principal amount of the notes to be repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any.

The carrying amounts of the liability components of the Convertible Senior Notes were as follows (in millions):

	Princ amo	ipal	Una	ber 31, 2010 mortized iscount	(Carrying amount	Principal amount	Una	nber 31, 2009 amortized liscount	Carrying amount
Carrying amount of liability component										
Series A Convertible Senior Notes due										
2037	\$	11	\$		\$	11	\$ 1,299	\$	(38)	\$ 1,261
Series B Convertible Senior Notes due										
2037		1,680		(55)		1,625	2,200		(143)	2,057
Series C Convertible Senior Notes due 2037		1,722		(117)		1,605	2,200		(221)	1,979

The carrying amounts of the equity components of the Convertible Senior Notes were as follows (in millions):

D	ecember .	31,	
2010		2009	
\$	1 \$		114
21	0		275
27	6		352
\$	2010 \$	2010	\$ 1 \$ 210

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Including the amortization of the unamortized discount, the effective interest rates were 5.08 percent for the Series B Convertible Senior Notes and 5.28 percent for the Series C Convertible Senior Notes. At December 31, 2010, the remaining period over which the discount will be amortized is less than one year for the Series B Convertible Senior Notes and 1.9 years for the Series C Convertible Senior Notes. Interest expense, excluding amortization of debt issue costs, was as follows (in millions):

	Years ended December 31,									
		2010			2009		2008			
Interest expense										
Series A Convertible Senior Notes due 2037	\$		58	\$	85	\$		97		
Series B Convertible Senior Notes due 2037			98		100			97		
Series C Convertible Senior Notes due 2037			98		100			97		

Holders of the Series A Convertible Senior Notes had the option to require Transocean Inc., our wholly owned subsidiary and the issuer of the Series A Convertible Senior Notes, to repurchase all or any part of such holder s notes on December 15, 2010. As a result, we were required to repurchase an aggregate principal amount of \$1,288 million of our Series A Convertible Senior Notes for an aggregate cash payment of \$1,288 million. On December 31, 2010, Transocean Inc. called the remaining \$11 million of Series A Convertible Senior Notes for redemption on January 31, 2011. See Note 25 Subsequent Events.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, we repurchased an aggregate principal amount of \$520 million of the Series B Convertible Senior Notes for an aggregate cash payment of \$505 million and an aggregate principal amount of \$478 million of the Series C Convertible Senior Notes for an aggregate cash payment of \$453 million. In connection with the repurchases, we recognized a loss on retirement of \$35 million (\$0.11 per diluted share), with no tax effect, associated with the debt components of the repurchased notes, and we recorded additional paid-in capital of \$14 million associated with the equity components of the repurchased notes.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we repurchased an aggregate principal amount of \$901 million of the Series A Convertible Senior Notes for an aggregate cash payment of \$865 million. We recognized a loss of \$28 million associated with the debt component of the instrument and recorded additional paid-in capital of \$22 million associated with the equity component of the instrument.

Note 12 Derivatives and Hedging

Cash flow hedges TPDI has entered into interest rate swaps, which have been designated and have qualified as a cash flow hedge, to reduce the variability of cash interest payments associated with the variable-rate borrowings under the TPDI Credit Facilities. The aggregate notional amount corresponds with the aggregate outstanding amount of the borrowings under the TPDI Credit Facilities. As of December 31, 2010, the aggregate notional amount was \$1.1 billion, of which \$543 million was attributable to the intercompany borrowings provided by one of our subsidiaries and the related balances have been eliminated in consolidation. At December 31, 2010, the weighted-average variable interest rate associated with the interest rate swaps was 0.3 percent, and the weighted-average fixed interest rate was 2.3 percent. At December 31, 2010, the interest rate swaps represented a liability measured at a fair value of \$11 million, recorded in other long-term liabilities, with a corresponding increase to accumulated other comprehensive loss. At December 31, 2009, the interest rate swaps represented an asset measured at a fair value of \$6 million, recorded in other long-term liabilities, with

a corresponding net decrease to accumulated other comprehensive loss. The amount associated with the ineffective portion of the cash flow hedges was less than \$1 million, recorded in interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

In February 2009, Transocean Inc. entered into interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional value of \$1 billion, which were designated and qualified as a cash flow hedge, to reduce the variability of our cash interest payments on the borrowings under a term loan. Under the interest rate swaps, Transocean Inc. received interest at one-month LIBOR and paid interest at a fixed rate of 0.768 percent over the six-month period ended August 6, 2009. Upon their stated maturity, Transocean Inc. settled these interest rate swaps with no gain or loss recognized. No ineffectiveness was recorded in interest expense.

Fair value hedges Two of our wholly owned subsidiaries have entered into interest rate swaps, which are designated and have qualified as fair value hedges, to reduce our exposure to changes in the fair values of the 5.25% Senior Notes and the 5.00% Notes. The interest rate swaps have aggregate notional amounts of \$500 million and \$250 million, respectively, equal to the face values of the hedged instruments and have stated maturities that coincide with those of the hedged instruments. We have determined that the hedging relationships qualify for, and we have applied, the shortcut method of accounting, under which the interest rate swaps are considered to have no ineffectiveness and no ongoing assessment of effectiveness is required. At December 31, 2010, the weighted-average variable interest rate on the interest rate swaps was 3.5 percent, and the fixed interest rates matched those of the underlying debt instruments. At December 31, 2010, the interest rate swaps represented an asset measured at fair value of \$17 million, recorded in other assets, with a corresponding increase to the carrying amounts of the underlying debt instruments. At December 31, 2009, the interest rate swaps represented a liability measured at a fair value of \$4 million, recorded in other long-term liabilities, with a corresponding decrease to the carrying amount of the underlying debt instrument.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Note 13 Postemployment Benefit Plans

Defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement employee benefit plans

Overview We maintain a single qualified defined benefit pension plan in the U.S. (the U.S. Plan) and a single funded supplemental benefit plan (the Supplemental Plan). The U.S. Plan covers substantially all U.S. employees, and the Supplemental Plan, along with two other unfunded supplemental benefit plans (the Other Supplemental Plans), provide certain eligible employees with benefits in excess of those allowed under the U.S. Plan. Additionally, we maintain two funded and two unfunded defined benefit plans (collectively, the Frozen Plans) that we assumed in connection with our mergers with GlobalSantaFe and R&B Falcon, all of which were frozen prior to the respective mergers and for which benefits no longer accrue but the pension obligations have not been fully distributed. We refer to the U.S. Plan, the Supplemental Plan, the Other Supplemental Plans and the Frozen Plans, collectively, as the U.S. Plans.

We maintain a defined benefit plan in the U.K. (the U.K. Plan) covering certain current and former employees in the U.K. We also provide several funded defined benefit plans, primarily group pension schemes with life insurance companies, and two unfunded plans, covering our eligible Norway employees and former employees (the Norway Plans). We also maintain unfunded defined benefit plans (the Other Plans) that provide retirement and severance benefits for certain of our Indonesian, Nigerian and Egyptian employees. We refer to the U.K. Plan, the Norway Plans and the Other Plans, collectively, as the Non-U.S. Plans.

We refer to the U.S. Plans and the Non-U.S. Plans, collectively, as the Transocean Plans . Additionally, we have several unfunded contributory and noncontributory other postretirement employee benefits plans (the OPEB Plans) covering substantially all of our U.S. employees.

Assumptions The following were the weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations:

	Γ	December 31, 2010		1	December 31, 2009	
	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	OPEB Plans	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	OPEB Plans
Discount rate	5.48%	5.81%	4.92%	5.84%	5.59%	5.52%
Compensation trend rate	4.24%	4.65%	n/a	4.21%	4.73%	n/a

The following were the weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit costs:

Year en	ided December :	31, 2010	Year ei	ided December :	31, 2009	Year	ended December	er 31, 2008
U.S.	Non-U.S.	OPEB	U.S.	Non-U.S.	OPEB	U.S.	Non-U.S.	
Plans	Plans	Plans	Plans	Plans	Plans	Plans	Plans	OPEB Plans

Edgar Filing: Transocean Ltd. - Form FWP

Discount rate	5.86%	5.67%	5.51%	5.41%	6.06%	5.34%	6.14%	5.97%	5.96%
Expected rate of return	8.49%	6.65%	n/a	8.50%	6.59%	n/a	8.50%	7.16%	n/a
Compensation trend rate	4.21%	4.77%	n/a	4.21%	4.55%	n/a	4.57%	4.64%	n/a
Health care cost trend rate									
-initial	n/a	n/a	8.00%	n/a	n/a	8.99%	n/a	n/a	8.55%
-ultimate	n/a	n/a	5.00%	n/a	n/a	5.00%	n/a	n/a	5.00%
-ultimate year	n/a	n/a	2016	n/a	n/a	2016	n/a	n/a	2014

n/a means not applicable.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Funded status The changes in projected benefit obligation, plan assets and funded status and the amounts recognized on our consolidated balance sheets were as follows (in millions):

		Y U.S. Plans	No	ended Dec on-U.S. Plans	(er 31, 2010 OPEB Plans)	Total		U.S. Plans	N	ended Dec on-U.S. Plans	(er 31, 2009 OPEB Plans)	Total
Change in projected benefit																
obligation																
Projected benefit obligation,																
beginning of period	\$	932	\$	403	\$	54	\$	1,389	\$	900	\$	250	\$	64	\$	1,214
Plan amendments														5		5
Actuarial (gains) losses, net		89		(46)		2		45		(31)		86		(16)		39
Service cost		42		20		1		63		44		18		1		63
Interest cost		54		20		3		77		50		17		3		70
Foreign currency exchange rate				(13)				(13)				40				40
Benefits paid		(51)		(14)		(5)		(70)		(32)		(11)		(4)		(47)
Participant contributions				2		1		3				2		1		3
Special termination benefits		3						3								
Settlements and curtailments		(1)		2				1		1		1				2
Projected benefit obligation, end																
of period	\$	1,068	\$	374	\$	56	\$	1,498	\$	932	\$	403	\$	54	\$	1,389
Change in plan assets																
Fair value of plan assets,																
beginning of period	\$	594	\$	281	\$		\$	875	\$	455	\$	208	\$		\$	663
Actual return on plan assets		85		29				114		121		31				152
Foreign currency exchange rate																
changes				(11)				(11)				31				31
Employer contributions		69		45		4		118		50		20		3		73
Participant contributions				2		1		3				2		1		3
Benefits paid		(51)		(14)		(5)		(70)		(32)		(11)		(4)		(47)
Fair value of plan assets, end of																
period	\$	697	\$	332	\$		\$	1,029	\$	594	\$	281	\$		\$	875
Funded status, end of period	\$	(371)	\$	(42)	\$	(56)	\$	(469)	\$	(338)	\$	(122)	\$	(54)	\$	(514)
Balance sheet classification, end of period:																
Pension asset, non-current	\$		\$	(8)	\$		\$	(8)	\$		\$		\$		\$	
Accrued pension liability,	ψ		ψ	(0)	Ψ		ψ	(6)	Ψ		ψ		Ψ		Ψ	
current		3		2		4		9		5		2		3		10
Accrued pension liability,																
non-current		368		48		52		468		333		120		51		504
Accumulated other																
comprehensive income (loss)																
(a)		(308)		(61)		(2)		(371)		(264)		(117)		2		(379)

⁽a) Amounts are before income tax effect.

The aggregate projected benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for plans with a projected benefit obligation in excess of plan assets were as follows (in millions):

				December	31, 2	2010				Decembe	r 31, 2	009	
	U.S. Non-U.S. Plans Plans				0	PEB		U.S.	N	on-U.S.	Ol	PEB	
		Plans]	Plans	P	Plans	Total	Plans		Plans	Pl	ans	Total
Projected benefit obligation	\$	1,068	\$	290	\$	56	\$ 1,414	\$ 932	\$	403	\$	54	\$ 1,389
Fair value of plan assets		697		248			945	594		281			875

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$1.3 billion and \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The aggregate accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets were as follows (in millions):

				Decembe	r 31, 2	2010			Decembe	r 31, 20	009	
				_	PEB		U.S.	on-U.S.		PEB		
	U.S. Non-U.S. Plans Plans			P	lans	Total	Plans	Plans	Pl	ans	Total	
Accumulated benefit obligation	\$	921	\$	269	\$	56	\$ 1,246	\$ 789	\$ 344	\$	54	\$ 1,187
Fair value of plan assets		697		248			945	594	281			875

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Plan assets We periodically review our investment policies, plan assets and asset allocation strategies to evaluate performance relative to specified objectives. In determining our asset allocation strategies for the U.S. Plans, we review the results of regression models to assess the most appropriate target allocation for each plan, given the plan s status, demographics and duration. For the U.K. Plans, the plan trustees establish the asset allocation strategies consistent with the regulations of the U.K. pension regulators and in consultation with financial advisors and company representatives. Investment managers for the U.S. Plans and the U.K. Plan are given established ranges within which the investments may deviate from the target allocations. For the Norway Plans, we establish minimum returns under the terms of investment contracts with insurance companies.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the weighted-average target and actual allocations of the investments for our funded Transocean Plans were as follows:

				Actual allocation a	at December 31,	
	Target all	location	20	010	200)9
	U.S.	Non-U.S.	U.S.	Non-U.S.	U.S.	Non-U.S.
	Plans	Plans	Plans	Plans	Plans	Plans
Equity securities	65%	52%	65%	53%	72%	57%
Fixed income securities	35%	15%	34%	10%	27%	11%
Other investments	%	33%	1%	37%	1%	32%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

As of December 31, 2010, the investments for our funded Transocean Plans were categorized as follows (in millions):

	Quot U.S. Plans	for ide No	es in activ entical ass on-U.S. Plans	ean	U.S Plan	oth	Sig er obs No	nber 31, i gnificant servable n-U.S. Plans	input Tra	ts ansocean Plans	U.S. Plans	Non	otal ·U.S. ans	nsocean Plans
Equity securities:														
U.S.	\$ 35	59 \$		\$ 359	\$		\$	28	\$	28	\$ 359	\$	28	\$ 387
Non-U.S.	ç	91		91		2		148		150	93		148	241
Total equity securities	45	50		450		2		176		178	452		176	628
Fixed income securities:														
U.S. government	5	59		59							59			59
U.S. corporate	17	75		175							175			175
Non-U.S. government								34		34			34	34
Non-U.S.		7		7							7			7
Total fixed income														
securities	24	11		241				34		34	241		34	275
Other investments:														
Cash		4	31	35							4		31	35
Property								7		7			7	7

Investment contracts					84	84		84	84
Total other									
investments	4	31	35		91	91	4	122	126
Total investments	\$ 695	\$ 31	\$ 726	\$ 2	\$ 301	\$ 303	\$ 697	\$ 332	\$ 1,029

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

As of December 31, 2009, the investments for our funded Transocean Plans were categorized as follows (in millions):

	Quoted f	prices or ide					S	mber 3 lignifica bservab	nt			Total		
	U.S. lans	Non- Pla	-U.S. ans	ansocean Plans	U. Pla			n-U.S. lans	T	ransocean Plans	J.S. lans	n-U.S. lans	Tr	ansocean Plans
Equity securities:														
U.S.	\$ 341	\$		\$ 341	\$		\$	25	\$	25	\$ 341	\$ 25	\$	366
Non-U.S.	88			88		2		136		138	90	136		226
Total equity securities	429			429		2		161		163	431	161		592
Fixed income securities:														
U.S. government	109			109							109			109
U.S. corporate	38			38							38			38
Non-U.S. government								30		30		30		30
Non-U.S.	12			12							12			12
Total fixed income														
securities	159			159				30		30	159	30		189
Other investments:														
Cash	4		2	6							4	2		6
Property								11		11		11		11
Investment contracts								77		77		77		77
Total other investments	4		2	6				88		88	4	90		94
Total investments	\$ 592	\$	2	\$ 594	\$	2	\$	279	\$	281	\$ 594	\$ 281	\$	875

The U.S. Plans invest in passively managed funds that reference market indices. The Non-U.S. Plans invest in actively managed funds that are measured for performance against relevant index benchmarks or that are subject to contractual terms under selected insurance programs. Each plan s investment managers have discretion to select the securities held within each asset category. Given this discretion, the managers may occasionally invest in our debt or equity securities, and may hold either long or short positions in such securities. As the plan investment managers are required to maintain well diversified portfolios, the actual investment in our securities would be immaterial relative to asset categories and the overall plan assets.

Net periodic benefit costs Net periodic benefit costs, before tax, included the following components (in millions):

		Year e	nded I	Decembe	r 31, 2	010	Year er	ided l	Decembe	r 31,	2009	Year	ende	ed Decembe	r 31,	2008
	_	J.S. lans		ı-U.S. lans		nsocean Plans	U.S. Plans		n-U.S. lans	Tr	ansocean Plans	U.S. Plans]	Non-U.S. Plans	Tr	ansocean Plans
Service cost	\$	42	\$	20	\$ 62 \$		44	\$	18	\$	62	\$ 30) \$	16	\$	46
Interest cost		54		20		74	50		17		67	47	7	17		64
Expected return on																
plan assets		(58)		(17)		(75)	(55)		(16)		(71)	(53	3)	(21)		(74)

Settlements and									
curtailments	5	3	8	4	2	6	(1)		(1)
Special termination									
benefits	3		3				3		3
Actuarial losses,									
net	13	4	17	18	2	20	4		4
Prior service cost									
(credit), net	(1)		(1)	(1)	1			1	1
Transition									
obligation, net		1	1					1	1
Net periodic benefit									
costs	\$ 58	\$ 31	\$ 89	\$ 60	\$ 24	\$ 84 \$	30	\$ 14	\$ 44

For the OPEB Plans, the combined components of net periodic benefit costs, including service cost, interest cost, amortization of prior service cost and recognized net actuarial losses were \$2 million, \$3 million and \$3 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The following table presents the amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income, before tax, that have not been recognized as components of net periodic benefit costs (in millions):

	December 31, 2010						December 31, 2009									
		J.S. lans		n-U.S. lans	_	PEB ans	,	Total		U.S. Plans		on-U.S. Plans	_	PEB lans	ŋ	Γotal
Actuarial loss, net	\$	319	\$	52	\$	7	\$	378	\$	277	\$	117	\$	5	\$	399
Prior service cost (credit), net		(11)		8		(5)		(8)		(13)		(2)		(7)		(22)
Transition obligation, net				1				1				2				2
Total	\$	308	\$	61	\$	2	\$	371	\$	264	\$	117	\$	(2)	\$	379

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

The following table presents the amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit costs during the year ending December 31, 2011 (in millions):

	Year ending December 31, 2011								
	U.S. Plans	3	Non-l Pla			OPEB Plans		Total	
Actuarial loss, net	\$	22	\$	2	\$		\$		24
Prior service cost (credit), net		(1)		1		(2)			(2)
Transition obligation, net									
Total amount expected to be recognized	\$	21	\$	3	\$	(2)	\$		22

Funding contributions During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we contributed \$115 million, \$73 million and \$78 million, respectively, to the Transocean Plans and the OPEB Plans using our cash flows from operations. For the year ending December 31, 2011, we expect to contribute \$93 million to the Transocean Plans, and we expect to fund benefit payments of approximately \$4 million for the OPEB Plans as costs are incurred.

Benefit payments The following were the projected benefits payments (in millions):

	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	OPEB Plans	Total
Years ending December 31,				
2011	\$ 37	\$ 7	\$ 4	\$ 48
2012	40	7	4	51
2013	42	8	4	54
2014	45	8	4	57
2015	47	9	4	60
2016-2020	285	57	22	364

Defined contribution plans

We sponsor three defined contribution plans, including (1) one qualified defined contribution savings plan covering certain employees working in the U.S. (the U.S. Savings Plan), (2) one defined contribution savings plan covering certain employees working outside the U.S. and U.K. (the Non-U.S. Savings Plan), and (3) one defined contribution pension plan that covers certain employees working outside the U.S. (the Non-U.S. Pension Plan).

For the U.S. Savings Plan and the Non-U.S. Savings Plan, we make a matching contribution of up to 6.0 percent of each covered employee s base salary, based on the employee s contribution to the plan. For the Non-U.S. Pension Plan, we contribute between 4.5 percent and 6.5 percent of each covered employee s base salary, based on the employee s years of eligible service. We recorded approximately \$69 million, \$67 million and

\$51 million of expense related to our defined contribution plans for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Severance plan

Following our merger with GlobalSantaFe in 2007, we established a plan to consolidate operations and administrative functions and identified 377 employees that were involuntarily terminated pursuant to this plan. We recognized \$5 million, \$17 million and \$5 million of severance expense, recorded in operating and maintenance expense and in general and administrative expense, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. No additional expense will be recognized under the severance plan, which expired in January 2010. The liability associated with the severance plan, recorded in other current liabilities, was \$1 million and \$17 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Since the severance plan s inception in 2007, we have paid an aggregate amount of \$74 million in termination benefits under the plan, including \$21 million, \$18 million and \$33 million paid during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies

Lease obligations

We have operating lease commitments expiring at various dates, principally for real estate, office space and office equipment. In August 2009, we accepted delivery of *Petrobras 10000*, an asset held under a capital lease through August 2029. Additionally, in March 2010, we acquired *GSF Explorer*, an asset formerly held under a capital lease, in exchange for a cash payment terminating the capital lease obligation in the amount of \$15 million (see Note 11 Debt). Rental expenses for all leases, including leases with terms of less than one year, was approximately \$98 million, \$99 million and \$89 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, future minimum rental payments related to noncancellable operating leases and the capital leases were as follows (in millions):

	Capital leases	Operating leases
Years ending December 31,		
2011	\$ 66	\$ 36
2012	72	31
2013	72	23
2014	73	16
2015	73	12
Thereafter	990	32
Total future minimum rental payment	\$ 1,346	\$ 150
Less amount representing imputed interest	(652)	
Present value of future minimum rental payments under capital		
leases	694	
Less current portion included in debt due within one year	(16)	
Long-term capital lease obligation	\$ 678	

The following were the aggregate carrying amount of our assets held under capital lease, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively (in millions):

	December 31,					
	2010		2009			
Property and equipment, cost	\$ 740	\$		982		
Accumulated depreciation	(20)			(27)		
Property and equipment, net	\$ 720	\$		955		

Depreciation expense associated with our assets held under capital lease was \$23 million, \$14 million and \$12 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The amount for the year ended December 31, 2010 includes only three months of depreciation expense for *GSF Explorer* through the date of our acquisition of the rig in March 2010.

Purchase obligations

At December 31, 2010, our purchase obligations, primarily related to our newbuilds, were as follows (in millions):

	urchase oligations
Years ending December 31,	
2011	\$ 381
2012	149
2013	
2014	
2015	
Thereafter	
Total	\$ 530

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Macondo well incident

Overview On April 22, 2010, the Ultra-Deepwater Floater *Deepwater Horizon* sank after a blowout of the Macondo well caused a fire and explosion on the rig. Eleven persons were declared dead and others were injured as a result of the incident. At the time of the explosion, *Deepwater Horizon* was located approximately 41 miles off the coast of Louisiana in Mississippi Canyon Block 252 and was contracted to BP America Production Co.

As we continue to investigate the cause or causes of the incident, we are evaluating its consequences. Although we cannot predict the final outcome or estimate the reasonably possible range of loss with certainty, we have recognized a liability for estimated loss contingencies that we believe are probable and for which a reasonable estimate can be made. We have also recognized a receivable for the portion of this liability that we believe is recoverable from insurance. As of December 31, 2010, the amount of the estimated liability was \$135 million, recorded in other current liabilities, and the corresponding estimated recoverable amount was \$94 million, recorded in accounts receivable, net, on our consolidated balance sheet. New information or future developments could require us to adjust our disclosures and our estimated liabilities and insurance recoveries. See Contractual indemnity.

Litigation As of December 31, 2010, 304 actions or claims were pending against Transocean entities, along with other unaffiliated defendants, in state and federal courts. Additionally, government agencies have initiated investigations into the Macondo well incident. We have categorized below the nature of the legal actions or claims. We are evaluating all claims and intend to vigorously defend any claims and pursue any and all defenses available. In addition, we believe we are entitled to contractual defense and indemnity for all wrongful death and personal injury claims made by non-employees and third-party subcontractors employees as well as all liabilities for pollution or contamination, other than for pollution or contamination originating on or above the surface of the water. See Contractual indemnity.

Wrongful death and personal injury As of December 31, 2010, we and one or more of our subsidiaries have been named, along with other unaffiliated defendants, in 30 complaints that were pending in state and federal courts in Louisiana and Texas involving multiple plaintiffs that allege wrongful death and other personal injuries arising out of the Macondo well incident. Per the order of the Multi-District Litigation Panel (the MDL), these claims have been centralized for discovery purposes in the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana. The complaints generally allege negligence and seek awards of unspecified economic damages and punitive damages. BP plc (together with its affiliates, BP), MI-SWACO, Weatherford Ltd. and Cameron International Corporation and certain of its affiliates have, based on contractual arrangements, also made indemnity demands upon us with respect to personal injury and wrongful death claims asserted by our employees or representatives of our employees against these entities. See Contractual indemnity.

Economic loss As of December 31, 2010, we and one or more of our subsidiaries were named, along with other unaffiliated defendants, in 70 individual complaints as well as 185 putative class-action complaints that were pending in the federal and state courts in Louisiana, Texas, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Kentucky, South Carolina, Tennessee, Florida and possibly other courts. The complaints generally allege, among other things, potential economic losses as a result of environmental pollution arising out of the Macondo well incident and are based primarily on the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA) and state OPA analogues. See Environmental matters. One complaint also alleges a violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, but we were not named in this particular master complaint. The plaintiffs are generally seeking awards of unspecified economic, compensatory and punitive damages, as well as injunctive relief. See Contractual indemnity. Per the order of the MDL, the economic loss claims filed in federal courts have been or will be centralized for discovery purposes in the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana. Absent agreement of the parties, however, the cases will be tried in the courts from which they

were transferred.

Federal securities claims Three federal securities law class actions are currently pending in the U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, naming us and certain of our officers and directors as defendants. Two of these actions generally allege violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), Rule 10b-5 promulgated under the Exchange Act and Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act in connection with the Macondo well incident. The plaintiffs are generally seeking awards of unspecified economic damages, including damages resulting from the decline in our stock price after the Macondo well incident. The third action was filed by a former GlobalSantaFe shareholder, alleging that the proxy statement related to our shareholder meeting in connection with our merger with GlobalSantaFe violated Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act, Rule 14a-9 promulgated thereunder and Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. The plaintiff claims that GlobalSantaFe shareholders received inadequate consideration for their shares as a result of the alleged violations and seeks rescission and compensatory damages.

Shareholder derivative claims In June 2010, two shareholder derivative suits were filed by our shareholders naming us as a nominal defendant and certain of our officers and directors as defendants in the District Courts of the State of Texas. The first case generally alleges breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement and waste of corporate assets in connection with the Macondo well incident and the other generally alleges breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment and waste of corporate assets in connection with the Macondo well incident. The plaintiffs are generally seeking, on behalf of Transocean, restitution and disgorgement of all profits, benefits and other compensation from the defendants.

Environmental matters Environmental claims under two different schemes, statutory and common law, and in two different regimes, federal and state, have been asserted against us. See Litigation Economic loss. Liability under many statutes is imposed without fault, but such statutes often allow the amount of damages to be limited. In contrast, common law liability requires proof of fault and causation, but generally has no readily defined limitation on damages, other than the type of damages that may be redressed. We

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

have described below certain significant applicable environmental statutes and matters relating to the Macondo well incident. As described below, we believe that we have limited statutory environmental liability and we are entitled to contractual defense and indemnity for all liabilities for pollution or contamination, other than for pollution or contamination originating on or above the surface of the water. See Contractual indemnity.

Oil Pollution Act OPA imposes strict liability on responsible parties of vessels or facilities from which oil is discharged into or upon navigable waters or adjoining shore lines. OPA defines the responsible parties with respect to the source of discharge. We believe that the owner or operator of a mobile offshore drilling unit (MODU), such as Deepwater Horizon, is only a responsible party with respect to discharges from the vessel that occur on or above the surface of the water. As the responsible party for Deepwater Horizon, we believe we are responsible only for the discharges of oil emanating from the rig. Therefore, we believe we are not responsible for the discharged hydrocarbons from the Macondo well.

Responsible parties for discharges are liable for: (1) removal and cleanup costs, (2) damages that result from the discharge, including natural resources damages, generally up to a statutorily defined limit, (3) reimbursement for government efforts and (4) certain other specified damages. For responsible parties of MODUs, the limitation on liability is determined based on the gross tonnage of the vessel. The statutory limits are not applicable, however, if the discharge is the result of gross negligence, willful misconduct, or violation of federal construction or permitting regulations by the responsible party or a party in a contractual relationship with the responsible party.

Additionally, the National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC), a division of the U.S. Coast Guard, is charged with administering the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund (OSLTF). The NPFC collects fines and civil penalties under OPA from responsible parties, as defined in the statute. The payments are directed to the OSLTF. To date, the NPFC has issued nine invoices to BP, Anadarko Petroleum Corporation (together with its affiliates, Anadarko) and MOEX Offshore LLC (together with its affiliates, MOEX), as the operator and leasehold owners of the well and, thus, the statutorily defined responsible parties for discharges from the well and wellhead. To date, BP has paid all nine of these invoices. Invoices have also been sent to us, and we have acknowledged responsible party status only with respect to discharges from the vessel on or above the surface of the water, if any.

In addition, on December 15, 2010, the Department of Justice (the DOJ) filed a civil lawsuit against us and other unaffiliated defendants. The complaint alleges violations under OPA and the Clean Water Act, and the DOJ reserved its rights to amend the complaint to add new claims and defendants. The complaint asserts that all defendants named are jointly and severally liable for all removal costs and damages resulting from the Macondo well incident. In addition to the civil complaint, the DOJ served us with Civil Investigative Demands (CIDs) on December 8, 2010. These demands are part of an on-going investigation by the DOJ to determine if we made false claims in connection with the operator s acquisition of the leasehold interest in the Mississippi Canyon Block 252, Gulf of Mexico and drilling operations on *Deepwater Horizon*.

We have also received claims directly from individuals, pursuant to OPA, requesting compensation for loss of income as a result of the Macondo well incident. BP has accepted responsible party status with the U.S. Coast Guard for the release of hydrocarbons from the Macondo well and has stated its intent to pay all legitimate claims, and we have not paid any of these claims.

Other federal statutes Several of the claimants have made assertions under other statutes, including the Clean Water Act, the Endangered Species Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, the Clean Air Act, the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act.

State environmental laws As of December 31, 2010, claims had been asserted by private claimants under state environmental statutes in Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas. As described below, claims asserted by various state and local governments are pending in Alabama, Florida, Louisiana and Texas.

In June 2010, the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (the LDEQ) issued a consolidated compliance order and notice of potential penalty to us and certain of our subsidiaries asking us to eliminate and remediate discharges of oil and other pollutants into waters and property located in the State of Louisiana, and to submit a plan and report in response to the order. We requested that the LDEQ rescind the enforcement actions against us and our subsidiaries because the remediation actions that are the subject of such orders are actions that do not involve us or our subsidiaries, as we are not involved in the remediation or clean-up activities. Alternatively, if the LDEQ would not rescind the enforcement actions altogether, we requested the LDEQ to dismiss the enforcement actions against us and certain of our subsidiaries as these entities are not proper parties to the enforcement actions and were improperly served. In October 2010, the LDEQ rescinded its enforcement actions against us and our subsidiaries but reserved its rights to seek civil penalties for future violations of the Louisiana Environmental Quality Act.

In September 2010, the State of Louisiana filed a declaratory judgment seeking to designate us as a responsible party under OPA and the Louisiana Oil Spill Prevention and Response Act (LOSPRA) for the discharges emanating from the Macondo well. Specifically the declaratory judgment claims (1) that we are a responsible party under OPA for all hydrocarbons discharged from the Macondo well, including underwater discharges of oil from the well head; (2) that we, as a responsible party, are jointly, severally, and strictly liable for the spill from the Macondo well in accordance with OPA; (3) that we are a responsible party under the Louisiana Oil Spill Prevention and Response Act for all hydrocarbons discharged from the Macondo well, including underwater discharges of oil from the well head; (4) that we, as a responsible party, are jointly, severally, and strictly liable for the spill from the Macondo well in accordance with the LOSPRA; and (5) seeks an award Plaintiff s costs incurred in pursuing this action as allowed by law.

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Additionally, suits have been filed by the State of Alabama and the cities of Greenville, Evergreen, Georgiana and McKenzie, Alabama in the U.S. District Court, Middle District of Alabama; the Mexican States of Veracruz, Quintana Roo and Tamaulipas in the U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas; and the City of Panama City Beach, Florida in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Florida. Generally, these governmental entities allege economic losses under OPA and other statutory environmental state claims and also assert various common law state claims. The claims of the State of Alabama, the cities in Alabama, and the Mexican States have been centralized in the MDL and will proceed in accordance with the MDL scheduling order, and the City of Panama City Beach's claim was voluntarily dismissed.

By letter dated May 5, 2010, the Attorneys General of the five Gulf Coast states of Alabama, Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas informed us that they intend to seek recovery of pollution clean-up costs and related damages arising from the Macondo well incident. In addition, by letter dated June 21, 2010, the Attorneys General of the 11 Atlantic Coast states of Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, North Carolina, Rhode Island and South Carolina informed us that their states have not sustained any damage from the Macondo well incident but they would like assurances that we will be responsible financially if damages are sustained. We responded to each letter from the Attorneys General and indicated that we intend to fulfill our obligations as a responsible party for any discharge of oil from *Deepwater Horizon* on or above the surface of the water, and we assume that the operator will similarly fulfill its obligations under OPA for discharges from the undersea well. Other than the lawsuit filed by the State of Alabama discussed above, no further requests have been made or actions taken with regard to the initial communication.

Wreck removal By letter dated December 6, 2010, the Coast Guard requested us to formulate and submit a comprehensive oil removal plan to remove any diesel fuel contained in the sponsons and fuel tanks that can be recovered from *Deepwater Horizon*. We have conducted a survey of the rig wreckage and are reviewing the results. We have insurance coverage for wreck removal for up to 25 percent of *Deepwater Horizon s* insured value, or \$140 million, with any excess wreck removal liability generally covered to the extent of our remaining excess liability limits.

Contractual indemnity Under our drilling contract for *Deepwater Horizon*, the operator has agreed, among other things, to assume full responsibility for and defend, release and indemnify us from any loss, expense, claim, fine, penalty or liability for pollution or contamination, including control and removal thereof, arising out of or connected with operations under the contract other than for pollution or contamination originating on or above the surface of the water from hydrocarbons or other specified substances within the control and possession of the contractor, as to which we agreed to assume responsibility and protect, release and indemnify the operator. Although we do not believe it is applicable to the Macondo well incident, we also agreed to indemnify and defend the operator up to a limit of \$15 million for claims for loss or damage to third parties arising from pollution caused by the rig while it is off the drilling location, while the rig is underway or during drive off or drift off of the rig from the drilling location. The operator has also agreed, among other things, (1) to defend, release and indemnify us against loss or damage to the reservoir, and loss of property rights to oil, gas and minerals below the surface of the earth and (2) to defend, release and indemnify us and bear the cost of bringing the well under control in the event of a blowout or other loss of control. We agreed to defend, release and indemnify the operator for personal injury and death of our employees, invitees and the employees of its other subcontractors, other than us. We have also agreed to defend, release and indemnify the operator for damages to the rig and equipment, including salvage or removal costs.

Although we believe we are entitled to contractual defense and indemnity, given the potential amounts involved in connection with the Macondo well incident, the operator may seek to avoid its indemnification obligations. In particular, the operator, in response to our request for indemnification, has generally reserved all of its rights and stated that it could not at this time conclude that it is obligated to indemnify us. In doing so, the operator has asserted that the facts are not sufficiently developed to determine who is responsible and has cited a variety of possible legal theories based upon the contract and facts still to be developed. We believe this reservation of rights is without justification and that the

operator is required to honor its indemnification obligations contained in our contract and described above.

Other legal proceedings

Asbestos litigation In 2004, several of our subsidiaries were named, along with numerous other unaffiliated defendants, in 21 complaints filed on behalf of 769 plaintiffs in the Circuit Courts of the State of Mississippi and which claimed injuries arising out of exposure to asbestos allegedly contained in drilling mud during these plaintiffs employment in drilling activities between 1965 and 1986. A Special Master, appointed to administer these cases pre-trial, subsequently required that each individual plaintiff file a separate lawsuit, and the original 21 multi-plaintiff complaints were then dismissed by the Circuit Courts. The amended complaints resulted in one of our subsidiaries being named as a direct defendant in seven cases. We have or may have an indirect interest in an additional 12 cases. The complaints generally allege that the defendants used or manufactured asbestos-containing products in connection with drilling operations and have included allegations of negligence, products liability, strict liability and claims allowed under the Jones Act and general maritime law. The plaintiffs generally seek awards of unspecified compensatory and punitive damages. In each of these cases, the complaints have named other unaffiliated defendant companies, including companies that allegedly manufactured the drilling-related products that contained asbestos. The preliminary information available on these claims is not sufficient to determine if there is an identifiable period for alleged exposure to asbestos, whether any asbestos exposure in fact occurred, the vessels potentially involved in the claims, or the basis on which the plaintiffs would support claims that their injuries were related to exposure to asbestos. However, the initial evidence available would suggest that we would have significant defenses to liability and damages. In 2009, two cases that were part of the original 2004 multi-plaintiff suits went to trial in Mississippi against unaffiliated defendant companies which allegedly manufactured drilling-related products containing asbestos. We were not a defendant in either of these cases. One of the cases resulted in a substantial jury verdict in

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

favor of the plaintiff, and this verdict was subsequently vacated by the trial judge on the basis that the plaintiff failed to meet its burden of proof. While the court s decision is consistent with our general evaluation of the strength of these cases, it has not been reviewed on appeal. The second case resulted in a verdict completely in favor of the defendants. There were two additional trials in 2010, one resulting in a substantial verdict for the plaintiff and one resulting in a complete verdict for the defendants. We were not a defendant in either case and both of the matters are currently on appeal. We intend to defend these lawsuits vigorously, although there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome. We historically have maintained broad liability insurance, although we are not certain whether insurance will cover the liabilities, if any, arising out of these claims. Based on our evaluation of the exposure to date, we do not expect the liability, if any, resulting from these claims to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

One of our subsidiaries was involved in lawsuits arising out of the subsidiary s involvement in the design, construction and refurbishment of major industrial complexes. The operating assets of the subsidiary were sold and its operations discontinued in 1989, and the subsidiary has no remaining assets other than the insurance policies involved in its litigation, with its insurers and, either directly or indirectly as the beneficiary of a qualified settlement fund, funding from settlements with insurers, assigned rights from insurers and coverage-in-place settlement agreements with insurers, and funds received from the communication of certain insurance policies. The subsidiary has been named as a defendant, along with numerous other companies, in lawsuits alleging bodily injury or personal injury as a result of exposure to asbestos. As of December 31, 2010, the subsidiary was a defendant in approximately 1,037 lawsuits. Some of these lawsuits include multiple plaintiffs and we estimate that there are approximately 2,440 plaintiffs in these lawsuits. For many of these lawsuits, we have not been provided with sufficient information from the plaintiffs to determine whether all or some of the plaintiffs have claims against the subsidiary, the basis of any such claims, or the nature of their alleged injuries. The first of the asbestos-related lawsuits was filed against this subsidiary in 1990. Through December 31, 2010, the amounts expended to resolve claims, including both defense fees and expenses and settlement costs, have not been material, all known deductibles have been satisfied or are inapplicable, and the subsidiary s defense fees and expenses and costs of settlement have been met by insurance made available to the subsidiary. The subsidiary continues to be named as a defendant in additional lawsuits, and we cannot predict the number of additional cases in which it may be named a defendant nor can we predict the potential costs to resolve such additional cases or to resolve the pending cases. However, the subsidiary has in excess of \$1 billion in insurance limits potentially available to the subsidiary. Although not all of the policies may be fully available due to the insolvency of certain insurers, we believe that the subsidiary will have sufficient funding from settlements and claims payments from insurers, assigned rights from insurers and coverage-in-place settlement agreements with insurers to respond to these claims. While we cannot predict or provide assurance as to the final outcome of these matters, we do not believe that the current value of the claims where we have been identified will have a material impact on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Rio de Janeiro tax assessment In the third quarter of 2006, we received tax assessments of approximately \$188 million from the state tax authorities of Rio de Janeiro in Brazil against one of our Brazilian subsidiaries for taxes on equipment imported into the state in connection with our operations. The assessments resulted from a preliminary finding by these authorities that our subsidiary s record keeping practices were deficient. We currently believe that the substantial majority of these assessments are without merit. We filed an initial response with the Rio de Janeiro tax authorities on September 9, 2006 refuting these additional tax assessments. In September 2007, we received confirmation from the state tax authorities that they believe the additional tax assessments are valid, and as a result, we filed an appeal on September 27, 2007 to the state Taxpayer s Council contesting these assessments. While we cannot predict or provide assurance as to the final outcome of these proceedings, we do not expect it to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Brazilian import license assessment In the fourth quarter of 2010, one of our Brazilian subsidiaries received an assessment from the Brazilian federal tax authorities in Rio de Janeiro of approximately \$235 million based upon the alleged failure to timely apply for import licenses for certain equipment and for allegedly providing improper information on import license applications. We responded to the assessment on December 22, 2010, and we currently believe that a substantial majority of the assessment is without merit. While we cannot predict or provide assurance as to the final outcome of these proceedings, we do not expect it to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of

financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Patent litigation In 2007, several of our subsidiaries were sued by Heerema Engineering Services (Heerema) in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas for patent infringement, claiming that we infringe their U.S. patent entitled Method and Device for Drilling Oil and Gas. Heerema claims that our Enterprise class, advanced Enterprise class, Express class and Development Driller class of drilling rigs operating in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico infringe on this patent. Heerema seeks unspecified damages and injunctive relief. The court has held a hearing on construction of Heerema s patent but has not yet issued a decision. We deny liability for patent infringement, believe that Heerema s patent is invalid and intend to vigorously defend against the claim. We do not expect the liability, if any, resulting from this claim to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Other matters We are involved in various tax matters and various regulatory matters. We are also involved in lawsuits relating to damage claims arising out of hurricanes Katrina and Rita, all of which are insured and which are not material to us. In addition, as of December 31, 2010, we were involved in a number of other lawsuits, including a dispute for municipal tax payments in Brazil and a dispute involving customs procedures in India, neither of which is material to us, and all of which have arisen in the ordinary course of our business. We do not expect the liability, if any, resulting from these other matters to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We cannot predict with certainty the outcome or effect of any of the litigation matters specifically described above or of any such other pending or threatened litigation. There can be no assurance that our beliefs or expectations as to the outcome or effect of any lawsuit or other litigation matter will prove correct and the eventual outcome of these matters could materially differ from management s current estimates.

Other environmental matters

Hazardous waste disposal sites We have certain potential liabilities under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) and similar state acts regulating cleanup of various hazardous waste disposal sites, including those described below. CERCLA is intended to expedite the remediation of hazardous substances without regard to fault. Potentially responsible parties (PRPs) for each site include present and former owners and operators of, transporters to and generators of the substances at the site. Liability is strict and can be joint and several.

We have been named as a PRP in connection with a site located in Santa Fe Springs, California, known as the Waste Disposal, Inc. site. We and other PRPs have agreed with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the DOJ to settle our potential liabilities for this site by agreeing to perform the remaining remediation required by the EPA. The form of the agreement is a consent decree, which has been entered by the court. The parties to the settlement have entered into a participation agreement, which makes us liable for approximately eight percent of the remediation and related costs. The remediation is complete, and we believe our share of the future operation and maintenance costs of the site is not material. There are additional potential liabilities related to the site, but these cannot be quantified, and we have no reason at this time to believe that they will be material.

One of our subsidiaries has been ordered by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (CRWQCB) to develop a testing plan for a site known as Campus 1000 Fremont in Alhambra, California. This site was formerly owned and operated by certain of our subsidiaries. It is presently owned by an unrelated party, which has received an order to test the property. We have also been advised that one or more of our subsidiaries is likely to be named by the EPA as a PRP for the San Gabriel Valley, Area 3, Superfund site, which includes this property. Testing has been completed at the property but no contaminants of concern were detected. In discussions with CRWQCB staff, we were advised of their intent to issue us a no further action letter but it has not yet been received. Based on the test results, we would contest any potential liability. We have no knowledge at this time of the potential cost of any remediation, who else will be named as PRPs, and whether in fact any of our subsidiaries is a responsible party. The subsidiaries in question do not own any operating assets and have limited ability to respond to any liabilities.

Resolutions of other claims by the EPA, the involved state agency or PRPs are at various stages of investigation. These investigations involve determinations of:

- the actual responsibility attributed to us and the other PRPs at the site;
- appropriate investigatory or remedial actions; and

allocation of the costs of such activities among the PRPs and other site users.

Our ultimate financial responsibility in connection with those sites may depend on many factors, including:

- the volume and nature of material, if any, contributed to the site for which we are responsible;
- the numbers of other PRPs and their financial viability; and
- the remediation methods and technology to be used.

It is difficult to quantify with certainty the potential cost of these environmental matters, particularly in respect of remediation obligations. Nevertheless, based upon the information currently available, we believe that our ultimate liability arising from all environmental matters, including the liability for all other related pending legal proceedings, asserted legal claims and known potential legal claims which are likely to be asserted, is adequately accrued and should not have a material effect on our financial position, or ongoing results of operations. Estimated costs of future expenditures for environmental remediation obligations are not discounted to their present value.

Contamination litigation

On July 11, 2005, one of our subsidiaries was served with a lawsuit filed on behalf of three landowners in Louisiana in the 12th Judicial District Court for the Parish of Avoyelles, State of Louisiana. The lawsuit named 19 other defendants, all of which were alleged to have contaminated the plaintiffs property with naturally occurring radioactive material, produced water, drilling fluids, chlorides, hydrocarbons, heavy metals and other contaminants as a result of oil and gas exploration activities. Experts retained by the plaintiffs issued a report suggesting significant contamination in the area operated by the subsidiary and another codefendant, and claimed that over \$300 million would be required to properly remediate the contamination. The experts retained by the defendants conducted their own investigation and concluded that the remediation costs would amount to no more than \$2.5 million.

The plaintiffs and the codefendant threatened to add GlobalSantaFe as a defendant in the lawsuit under the single business enterprise doctrine contained in Louisiana law. The single business enterprise doctrine is similar to corporate veil piercing doctrines. On August 16, 2006, our subsidiary and its immediate parent company, each of which is an entity that no longer conducts operations or holds assets, filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. Later that day, the plaintiffs dismissed our subsidiary from the lawsuit. Subsequently, the codefendant filed various motions in the lawsuit and in the Delaware bankruptcies attempting to assert alter ego and single business enterprise claims against GlobalSantaFe and two other subsidiaries in the lawsuit. The efforts to assert alter ego and single business enterprise theory claims against

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

GlobalSantaFe were rejected by the Court in Avoyelles Parish, and the lawsuit against the other defendant went to trial on February 19, 2007. This lawsuit was resolved at trial with a settlement by the codefendant that included a \$20 million payment and certain cleanup activities to be conducted by the codefendant. The codefendant further claimed to receive a right to continue to pursue the original plaintiff s claims.

The codefendant sought to dismiss the bankruptcies. In addition, the codefendant filed proofs of claim against both our subsidiary and its parent with regard to its claims arising out of the settlement of the lawsuit. On February 15, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court denied the codefendant s request to dismiss the bankruptcy case but modified the automatic stay to allow the codefendant to proceed on its claims against the debtors, our subsidiary and its parent, and their insurance companies. The codefendant subsequently filed suit against the debtors and certain of its insurers in the Court of Avoyelles Parish to determine their liability for the settlement. The denial of the motion to dismiss the bankruptcies was appealed. On appeal the bankruptcy cases were ordered to be dismissed, and the bankruptcies were dismissed on June 14, 2010.

On March 10, 2010, GlobalSantaFe and the two subsidiaries filed a declaratory judgment action in State District Court in Houston, Texas against the codefendant and the debtors seeking a declaration that GlobalSantaFe and the two subsidiaries had no liability under legal theories advanced by the codefendant. This action is currently stayed.

On March 11, 2010, the codefendant filed a motion for leave to amend the pending litigation in Avoyelles Parish to add GlobalSantaFe, Transocean Worldwide Inc., its successor and our wholly owned subsidiary, and one of the subsidiaries as well as various additional insurers. Leave to amend was granted and the amended petition was filed. An extension to respond for all purposes was agreed until April 28, 2010 for the debtors, GlobalSantaFe, Transocean Worldwide Inc. and the subsidiary. On April 28, 2010, GlobalSantaFe and its two subsidiaries filed various exceptions seeking dismissal of the Avoyelles Parish lawsuit, which have been denied. Subsequent to denial supervisory writs were filed with the Third Circuit Court of Appeals for the State of Louisiana.

On December 15, 2010, as permitted under the existing Case Management Order, GlobalSantaFe and various subsidiaries served third-party demands joining various insurers in the Avoyelles Parish lawsuit seeking insurance coverage for the claims brought against GlobalSantaFe and various subsidiaries.

We believe that these legal theories should not be applied against GlobalSantaFe or Transocean Worldwide Inc. Our subsidiary, its parent and GlobalSantaFe intend to continue to vigorously defend against any action taken in an attempt to impose liability against them under the theories discussed above or otherwise and believe they have good and valid defenses thereto. We do not believe that these claims will have a material impact on our consolidated statement of financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Retained risk

Our hull and machinery and excess liability insurance program consists of commercial market and captive insurance policies primarily with 12-month and 11-month policy periods beginning on May 1, 2010 and June 1, 2010, respectively.

Under the hull and machinery program, we generally maintain a \$125 million per occurrence deductible, limited to a maximum of \$250 million per policy period. Subject to the same shared deductible, we also have coverage for costs incurred to mitigate damage to a rig up to an amount equal to 25 percent of a rig s insured value. Also subject to the same shared deductible, we have coverage for wreck removal for an amount up to 25 percent of a rig s insured value, with any excess generally covered to the extent of our excess liability coverage described below. However, the shared deductible is \$0 in the event of a total loss or a constructive total loss of a drilling unit.

We carry \$950 million of commercial market excess liability coverage, exclusive of deductibles and self-insured retention, noted below, which generally covers offshore risks such as personal injury, third-party property claims, and third-party non-crew claims, including wreck removal and pollution. Our excess liability coverage has separate (1) \$10 million per occurrence deductibles on crew personal injury liability and on collision liability claims and (2) a separate \$5 million per occurrence deductible on other third-party non-crew claims. These types of excess liability coverages are subject to an additional aggregate self-insured retention of \$50 million that is applied to any occurrence in excess of the per occurrence deductible until the \$50 million is exhausted. We generally retain the risk for any liability losses in excess of \$1.0 billion.

We also carry \$100 million of additional insurance that generally covers expenses that would otherwise be assumed by the well owner, such as costs to control the well, redrill expenses and pollution from the well. This additional insurance provides coverage for such expenses in circumstances in which we have legal or contractual liability arising from our gross negligence or willful misconduct. As of December 31, 2010, the insured value of our drilling rig fleet was approximately \$38.5 billion in the aggregate, excluding rigs under construction.

We have elected to self-insure operators extra expense coverage for ADTI and CMI. This coverage provides protection against expenses related to well control, pollution and redrill liability associated with blowouts. ADTI s customers assume, and indemnify ADTI for, liability associated with blowouts in excess of a contractually agreed amount, generally \$50 million.

We generally do not have commercial market insurance coverage for physical damage losses, including liability for wreck removal expenses, to our fleet caused by named windstorms in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and war perils worldwide. Except with respect to *Dhirubhai Deepwater KG1* and *Dhirubhai Deepwater KG2*, we generally do not carry insurance for loss of revenue unless contractually required.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Letters of credit and surety bonds

We had letters of credit outstanding totaling \$595 million and \$567 million at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. These letters of credit guarantee various import duties, contract bidding and performance activities under various committed and uncommitted credit lines provided by several banks.

In April 2010, TPDI obtained a letter of credit in the amount of \$60 million to satisfy its liquidity requirements under the TPDI Credit Facilities, which is included in the total as of December 31, 2010. The letter of credit was issued under an uncommitted credit facility that has been established by one of our subsidiaries. See Note 11 Debt.

As is customary in the contract drilling business, we also have various surety bonds in place that secure customs obligations relating to the importation of our rigs and certain performance and other obligations. Surety bonds outstanding totaled \$27 million and \$31 million at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Note 15 Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest

In October 2010, Pacific Drilling s interest in TPDI became redeemable, and we reclassified to temporary equity the carrying amount associated with its interest (see Note 4 Variable Interest Entities). Changes in redeemable noncontrolling interest were as follows:

	Years ended December 31,				
	20	010	2009	2008	
Redeemable noncontrolling interest					
Balance, beginning of period	\$	9	\$	\$	
Reclassification from noncontrolling interest		26			
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest					
(a)		13			
Other comprehensive income attributable to					
noncontrolling interest (a)		(14)			
Balance, end of period	\$	25	\$	\$	

⁽a) Amounts represent activity following reclassification to temporary equity in October 2010.

Note 16 Shareholders Equity

Shares held by subsidiary In connection with the Redomestication Transaction in December 2008, we issued 16 million of our shares to one of our subsidiaries for future use to satisfy our obligations to deliver shares in connection with awards granted under our incentive plans or other rights to acquire our shares. At December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, our subsidiary held 13,291,353 shares and 14,011,416 shares, respectively.

Share repurchase program In May 2009, at our annual general meeting, our shareholders approved and authorized our board of directors, at its discretion, to repurchase an amount of our shares for cancellation with an aggregate purchase price of up to CHF 3.5 billion, which is equivalent to approximately \$3.8 billion, using an exchange rate of USD 1.00 to CHF 0.93 as of the close of trading on December 31, 2010. On February 12, 2010, our board of directors authorized our management to implement the share repurchase program.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, following the authorization by our board of directors, we repurchased 2,863,267 of our shares under our share repurchase program for an aggregate purchase price of CHF 257 million, equivalent to \$240 million. At December 31, 2010, we held 2,863,267 treasury shares purchased under our share repurchase program, recorded at cost.

Distribution In May 2010, at our annual general meeting, our shareholders approved a cash distribution in the form of a par value reduction in the aggregate amount of CHF 3.44 per issued share, equal to approximately \$3.70, using an exchange rate of USD 1.00 to CHF 0.93 as of the close of trading on December 31, 2010. According to the May 2010 shareholder resolution and pursuant to applicable Swiss law, we were required to submit an application to the Commercial Register of the Canton of Zug in relation to each quarterly installment to register the relevant partial par value reduction, together with, among other things, a compliance deed issued by an independent notary public. On August 13, 2010, the Commercial Register of the Canton of Zug rejected our application to register the first of the four partial par value reductions. We appealed the Commercial Register s decision, and on December 9, 2010, the Administrative Court of the Canton of Zug rejected our appeal. The Administrative Court held that the statutory requirements for the registration of the par value reduction in the commercial register could not be met given the existence of lawsuits filed in the United States related to the Macondo well incident that were served in Switzerland and the reference to such lawsuits in the compliance deed. The Administrative Court s opinion also held that under these circumstances it was not possible to submit an amended compliance deed. Based on these considerations, we do not believe that a financial obligation existed for the distribution. See Note 25 Subsequent Events.

TRANSOCEAN LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Note 17 Share-Based Compensation Plans

Overview We have (i) a long-term incentive plan (the Long-Term Incentive Plan) for executives, key employees and outside directors under which awards can be granted in the form of stock options, restricted shares, deferred units, SARs and cash performance awards and (ii) other incentive plans under which awards are currently outstanding. Awards that may be granted under the Long-Term Incentive Plan include traditional time-vesting awards (time-based awards) and awards that are earned based on the achievement of certain performance criteria (performance-based awards) or market factors (market-based awards). Our executive compensation committee of our board of directors determines the terms and conditions of the awards granted under the Long-Term Incentive Plan. As of December 31, 2010, we had 36 million shares authorized and 16 million shares available to be granted under the Long-Term Incentive Plan.

Time-based awards typically vest either in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary date of the grant or in an aggregate installment at the end of the stated vesting period. Performance-based and market-based awards are typically awarded subject to either a two-year or a three-year measurement period during which the number of options, shares or deferred units remains uncertain. At the end of the measurement period, the awarded number of options, shares or deferred units is determined (the determination date) subject to the stated vesting period. The two-year awards generally vest in three equal installments beginning on the determination date and on January 1 of each of the two subsequent years. The three-year awards generally vest in one aggregate installment following the determination date. Once vested, options and SARs generally have a 10-year term during which they are exercisable.

In connection with the Redomestication Transaction, we adopted and assumed the Long-Term Incentive Plan and other employee benefit plans and arrangements of Transaccan Inc., and those plans and arrangements were amended as necessary to give effect to the Redomestication Transaction, including to provide (1) that our shares will be issued, held, available or used to measure benefits as appropriate under the plans and arrangements, in lieu of Transaccan Inc. ordinary shares, including upon exercise of any options or SARs issued under those plans and arrangements; and (2) for the appropriate substitution of us for Transaccan Inc. in those plans and arrangements. Additionally, we issued 16 million of our shares to Transaccan Inc., 13 million of which remained available as of December 31, 2010, for future use to satisfy our obligations to deliver shares in connection with awards granted under incentive plans, warrants or other rights to acquire our shares (see Note 16 Shareholders Equity).

As of December 31, 2010, total unrecognized compensation costs related to all unvested share-based awards totaled \$99 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years. During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we recognized additional share-based compensation expense of \$12 million and \$8 million, respectively, in connection with modifications of share-based awards. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we did not recognize a significant amount of additional share-based compensation expense in connection with modifications of share-based awards.

Option valuation assumptions We estimated the fair value of each option award under the Long-Term Incentive Plan on the grant date using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

Years ended December 31,

Edgar Filing: Transocean Ltd. - Form FWP

	2010	2009	2008
Dividend yield	4%		
Expected price volatility	39%	49%	36%
Risk-free interest rate	2.30%	1.80%	3.00%
Expected life of options	4.7 years	4.8 years	4.4 years
Weighted-average fair value of options granted	\$ 30.03	\$ 26.07	\$ 49.32

We estimated the fair value of each option grant under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

		Years ended Dece	mber 31,	
	2010 (a)	2009 (a)		2008
Dividend yield				
Expected price volatility				31%
Risk-free interest rate				3.15%
Expected life of options				1.0 year
Weighted-average fair value of options granted	\$	\$	\$	41.39

⁽a) As of January 1, 2009, we discontinued offering the ESPP.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

Time-Based Awards

Stock options The following table summarizes vested and unvested time-based vesting stock option (time-based options) activity under our incentive plans during the year ended December 31, 2010:

	Number of shares under option	Weighted-average exercise price per share	Weighted-average remaining contractual term (years)	Aggregate intrinsic value (in millions)
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	1,828,655	\$ 60.69	4.96	\$ 40
Granted	253,288	82.55		
Exercised	(289,445)	42.26		
Forfeited	(138,815)	71.37		
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	1,653,683	\$ 66.37	5.29	\$ 5
Vested and exercisable at December 31,				
2010	1,183,283	\$ 61.28	3.99	\$ 10

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of time-based options granted during the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$30.03 per share. The total pretax intrinsic value of time-based options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$11 million. There were 470,400 unvested time-based options outstanding as of December 31, 2010.

There were time-based options to purchase 597,898 and 276,281 shares granted during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, with weighted-average grant-date fair values of \$26.07 and \$49.32 per share, respectively. There were 980,105 and 1,066,173 time-based options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The total pretax intrinsic value of time-based options exercised was \$43 million and \$101 million during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. There were 656,790 and 273,314 unvested time-based options outstanding as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Restricted shares The following table summarizes unvested share activity for time-based vesting restricted shares (time-based shares) granted under our incentive plans during the year ended December 31,2010:

	Number of shares	Weighted-average grant-date fair value per share
Unvested at January 1, 2010	98,386	\$ 112.14
Vested	(92,573)	111.32
Forfeited	(1,874)	109.97
Unvested at December 31, 2010	3,939	\$ 132.32

We did not grant any time-based shares during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. There were 259,057 time-based shares granted during the year ended December 31, 2008. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of time-based shares granted was \$126.26 per share for the year ended December 31, 2008. There were 320,782 and 129,979 time-based shares that vested during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The total grant-date fair value of time-based shares that vested was \$10 million, \$39 million and \$14 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Deferred units A deferred unit is a unit that is equal to one share but has no voting rights until the underlying shares are issued. The following table summarizes unvested activity for time-based vesting deferred units (time-based units) granted under our incentive plans during the year ended December 31, 2010:

	Number of units	Weighted-average grant-date fair value per share
Unvested at January 1, 2010	1,455,447	\$ 76.58
Granted	1,055,367	76.83
Vested	(559,339)	81.11
Forfeited	(106,691)	78.65
Unvested at December 31, 2010	1,844,784	\$ 75.23

The total grant-date fair value of the time-based units vested during the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$45 million.

There were 1,287,893 and 498,216 time-based units granted during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of time-based units granted was \$60.53 and \$143.85 per share for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. There were 282,543 and 25,740 time-based units that vested during the years ended

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The total grant-date fair value of deferred units that vested was \$33 million and \$3 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

SARs The following table summarizes share-settled SARs activity under our incentive plans during the year ended December 31, 2010:

	Number of awards	Weighted-average exercise price per share	Weighted-average remaining contractual term (years)	Aggregate intrinsic value (in millions)
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	189,139	\$ 93.28	6.76	\$
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	189,139	\$ 93.28	5.76	\$
Vested and exercisable at December 31, 2010	189,139	\$ 93.28	5.76	\$

At January 1 and December 31, 2010, we have presented the aggregate intrinsic value as zero since the weighted-average exercise price per share exceeded the market price of our shares on those dates. We did not grant share-settled SARs during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008. There were no performance-based options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2010. There were 224 and 315,408 share-settled SARs exercised, with a total pretax intrinsic value of zero, during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. There were no unvested share-settled SARs outstanding as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Performance-Based Awards

Stock options We grant performance-based stock options (performance-based options) that can be earned depending on the achievement of certain performance targets. The number of options earned is quantified upon completion of the performance period at the determination date. The following table summarizes vested and unvested performance-based option activity under our incentive plans during the year ended December 31, 2010:

	Number of shares under option	Weighted-average exercise price per share	Weighted-average remaining contractual term (years)	Aggregate intrinsic value (in millions)
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	179,262	\$ 75.30	6.22	\$ 1
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	179,262	\$ 75.30	5.22	\$
Vested and exercisable at December 31, 2010	179,262	\$ 75.30	5.22	\$

At December 31, 2010, we have presented the aggregate intrinsic value as zero since the weighted-average exercise price per share exceeded the market price of our shares on that date. We did not grant performance-based options during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

There were no performance-based options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. There were 212,840 performance-based options exercised, with a total pretax intrinsic value of \$22 million, during the year ended December 31, 2008. There were no unvested performance-based stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Market-Based Awards

Deferred units We grant market-based deferred units (market-based units) that can be earned depending on the achievement of certain market conditions. The number of units earned is quantified upon completion of the specified period at the determination date. The following table summarizes unvested activity for market-based units granted under our incentive plans during the year ended December 31, 2010:

	Number of units	Weighted-average grant-date fair value per share
Unvested at January 1, 2010	330,870	\$ 93.70
Granted	122,934	82.55
Forfeited	(30,898)	84.48
Unvested at December 31, 2010	422,906	\$ 89.14

There were 285,012 and 99,464 market-based units granted with a weighted-average grant-date fair value of \$75.98 and \$144.32 per share during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. No market-based units vested in the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

ESPP Through December 31, 2008, we offered an ESPP under which certain full-time employees could choose to have between two and 20 percent of their annual base earnings withheld to purchase up to \$21,150 of our shares each year. The purchase price of the shares was 85 percent of the lower of the beginning-of-year or end-of-year market price of our shares. At December 31, 2008, 577,537 shares were available for issuance under the ESPP. As of January 1, 2009, we discontinued offering the ESPP.

Note 18 Supplemental Balance Sheet Information

Other current liabilities were comprised of the following (in millions):

	December 31,				
		2010		2009	
Other current liabilities					
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	\$	272	\$		263
Deferred revenue		150			147
Accrued taxes, other than income		123			102
Accrued interest		97			83
Unearned income		15			15
Other		204			120
Total other current liabilities	\$	861	\$		730

Other long-term liabilities were comprised of the following (in millions):

	December 31,				
	2010		2009		
Other long-term liabilities					
Long-term income taxes payable	\$ 655	\$		594	
Accrued pension liabilities	416			453	
Deferred revenue	393			214	
Drilling contract intangibles	152			268	
Accrued retiree life insurance and medical benefits	53			51	
Other	103			104	
Total other long-term liabilities	\$ 1,772	\$		1,684	

Note 19 Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments, for which estimating fair value is practicable, by applying the following methods and assumptions:

Cash and cash equivalents The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, which are stated at cost plus accrued interest, approximates fair value because of the short maturities of those instruments.

Accounts receivable The carrying amount, net of valuation allowance, approximates fair value because of the short maturities of those instruments.

Short-term investments The carrying amount of our short-term investments approximates fair value and represents our estimate of the amount we expect to recover. Our short-term investments primarily include our investment in The Reserve Internati