

WESTERN ASSET MUNICIPAL PARTNERS FUND INC.
Form N-Q
October 25, 2010

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM N-Q

**QUARTERLY SCHEDULE OF PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS OF REGISTERED
MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY**

Investment Company Act file number

811-07362

Western Asset Municipal Partners Fund Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

55 Water Street, New York, NY
(Address of principal executive offices)

10041
(Zip code)

Robert I. Frenkel, Esq.
Legg Mason & Co., LLC
100 First Stamford Place
Stamford, CT 06902
(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(888)777-0102

Date of fiscal year end: November 30

Date of reporting period: August 31, 2010

ITEM 1. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

**WESTERN ASSET MUNICIPAL
PARTNERS FUND INC.**

FORM N-Q

AUGUST 31, 2010

WESTERN ASSET MUNICIPAL PARTNERS FUND INC.

Schedule of investments (unaudited)

August 31, 2010

| SECURITY | RATE | MATURITY DATE | FACE AMOUNT | VALUE |
|--|--------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| MUNICIPAL BONDS 96.1% | | | | |
| Arizona 1.7% | | | | |
| Glendale, AZ, Transportation Excise Tax Revenue, NATL | 5.000% | 7/1/28 | \$ 2,855,000 | \$ 3,073,408 |
| Phoenix, AZ, Civic Improvement Corp., Water System Revenue | 5.000% | 7/1/29 | 1,000,000 | 1,106,620 |
| <i>Total Arizona</i> | | | | <i>4,180,028</i> |
| California 13.8% | | | | |
| California Health Facilities Finance Authority Revenue, Catholic Healthcare West | 5.625% | 7/1/32 | 5,000,000 | 5,188,600 |
| California Housing Finance Agency Revenue, Home Mortgage | 4.800% | 8/1/37 | 2,000,000 | 1,645,240(a) |
| California State, GO | 5.250% | 10/1/21 | 2,500,000 | 2,892,400 |
| California State, GO, Unrefunded Balance | 5.125% | 6/1/24 | 35,000 | 35,576 |
| California Statewide CDA Revenue, Insured Health Facility L.A., Jewish Home, CA, Mortgage Insurance | 5.000% | 11/15/28 | 1,500,000 | 1,524,810 |
| Los Angeles, CA, Department of Water & Power Revenue, Power Systems, Subordinated, AGM | 5.000% | 7/1/35 | 5,000,000 | 5,259,850 |
| M-S-R Energy Authority, CA, Gas Revenue | 7.000% | 11/1/34 | 2,490,000 | 3,027,765 |
| M-S-R Energy Authority, CA, Gas Revenue | 6.500% | 11/1/39 | 3,000,000 | 3,486,540 |
| Southern California Public Power Authority, Project Number 1 | 5.000% | 11/1/33 | 2,000,000 | 1,928,100 |
| Turlock, CA, Irrigation District Revenue | 5.000% | 1/1/35 | 2,500,000 | 2,611,650 |
| Turlock, CA, Public Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue, AGM | 5.000% | 9/1/30 | 2,500,000 | 2,546,100 |
| University of California Revenues, AMBAC | 5.000% | 5/15/36 | 2,620,000 | 2,704,574 |
| <i>Total California</i> | | | | <i>32,851,205</i> |
| Colorado 5.2% | | | | |
| Colorado Health Facilities Authority Revenue: Poudre Valley Health Care | 5.000% | 3/1/25 | 2,850,000 | 2,908,567 |
| Sisters of Charity Leavenworth Health System Inc. Colorado Springs, CO, Hospital Revenue | 5.250% | 1/1/25 | 3,500,000 | 3,809,190 |
| Public Authority for Colorado Energy, Natural Gas Purchase Revenue | 6.375% | 12/15/30 | 495,000 | 508,306(b) |
| Public Authority for Colorado Energy, Natural Gas Purchase Revenue | 5.750% | 11/15/18 | 480,000 | 516,298 |
| Public Authority for Colorado Energy, Natural Gas Purchase Revenue | 6.500% | 11/15/38 | 4,000,000 | 4,588,360 |
| <i>Total Colorado</i> | | | | <i>12,330,721</i> |
| Connecticut 0.3% | | | | |
| Connecticut State HFA, Housing Mortgage Finance Program | 6.000% | 11/15/38 | 635,000 | 669,804 |
| Florida 6.0% | | | | |
| Florida State Board of Education, GO | 5.000% | 6/1/26 | 5,500,000 | 6,383,355(c) |
| Miami-Dade County, FL, Aviation Revenue, Miami International Airport, AGM | 5.000% | 10/1/41 | 1,000,000 | 1,022,630 |
| | 5.000% | 7/1/30 | 2,000,000 | 2,129,300 |

Edgar Filing: WESTERN ASSET MUNICIPAL PARTNERS FUND INC. - Form N-Q

Orlando & Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority

Revenue

| | | | | |
|---|--------|---------|-----------|-------------------|
| Orlando, FL, Utilities Commission, Utility System Revenue | 5.250% | 10/1/22 | 3,440,000 | 4,278,569 |
| Seminole Tribe Florida Special Obligation Revenue | 5.250% | 10/1/27 | 500,000 | 463,270(d) |
| <i>Total Florida</i> | | | | <i>14,277,124</i> |

Illinois 11.8%

Chicago, IL, Midway Airport Revenue:

| | | | | |
|--|--------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| NATL | 5.500% | 1/1/29 | 2,000,000 | 2,001,560 |
| NATL | 5.625% | 1/1/29 | 3,750,000 | 3,751,650(a) |
| Chicago, IL, Park District, GO, Refunding, FGIC | 5.000% | 1/1/29 | 5,000,000 | 5,363,950 |
| Chicago, IL, Public Building Commission, Building Revenue, Chicago School Reform, FGIC | 5.250% | 12/1/18 | 1,000,000 | 1,176,800 |

See Notes to Schedule of Investments.

WESTERN ASSET MUNICIPAL PARTNERS FUND INC.

Schedule of investments (unaudited) (cont d)

August 31, 2010

| SECURITY | RATE | MATURITY DATE | FACE AMOUNT | VALUE |
|--|--------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Illinois continued | | | | |
| Cook County, IL, Community College District No. 524 | | | | |
| Moraine Valley, GO, NATL | 5.000% | 12/1/25 | \$ 1,500,000 | \$ 1,659,990 |
| Illinois EFA Revenue, Northwestern University | 5.500% | 12/1/13 | 2,000,000 | 2,178,120 |
| Illinois Health Facilities Authority Revenue: | | | | |
| Refunding, Lutheran General Health System | 7.000% | 4/1/14 | 1,450,000 | 1,615,474 |
| Refunding, SSM Health Care, NATL | 6.550% | 6/1/13 | 1,850,000 | 2,143,651(e) |
| Servantoor Project, AGM | 6.000% | 8/15/12 | 1,370,000 | 1,432,198(e) |
| South Suburban Hospital Project | 7.000% | 2/15/18 | 555,000 | 665,378(e) |
| Illinois Municipal Electric Agency Power Supply, FGIC | 5.250% | 2/1/28 | 4,145,000 | 4,457,118 |
| Illinois State, GO, First Series, AGM | 5.500% | 5/1/16 | 1,500,000 | 1,713,975 |
| <i>Total Illinois</i> | | | | <i>28,159,864</i> |
| Indiana 1.3% | | | | |
| Indiana Bond Bank Revenue | 5.000% | 8/1/23 | 715,000 | 746,160(b) |
| Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Hospital Revenue, Community Hospital Project, AMBAC | 5.000% | 5/1/35 | 2,390,000 | 2,341,722 |
| <i>Total Indiana</i> | | | | <i>3,087,882</i> |
| Maryland 3.3% | | | | |
| Maryland State Economic Development Corp., EDR, Transportation Facilities Project | 5.750% | 6/1/35 | 1,000,000 | 1,044,650 |
| Maryland State Health & Higher EFA Revenue: | | | | |
| Carroll County General Hospital | 6.000% | 7/1/37 | 3,000,000 | 3,067,830 |
| Suburban Hospital | 5.500% | 7/1/16 | 2,500,000 | 2,738,525 |
| University of Maryland Medical Systems | 6.000% | 7/1/32 | 1,000,000 | 1,101,490(b) |
| <i>Total Maryland</i> | | | | <i>7,952,495</i> |
| Massachusetts 1.7% | | | | |
| Massachusetts State HEFA Revenue, Partners Health | 5.750% | 7/1/32 | 2,405,000 | 2,536,024(b) |
| Massachusetts State HEFA Revenue, Partners Health, Unrefunded Balance | 5.750% | 7/1/32 | 95,000 | 97,019 |
| Massachusetts State Water Pollution Abatement Trust Revenue, MWRA Program, Unrefunded Balance | 5.750% | 8/1/29 | 355,000 | 356,182 |
| Massachusetts State Water Resources Authority, NATL | 5.000% | 8/1/34 | 1,000,000 | 1,078,610 |
| <i>Total Massachusetts</i> | | | | <i>4,067,835</i> |
| Michigan 4.8% | | | | |
| Detroit, MI, GO, District State Aid | 5.250% | 11/1/24 | 3,500,000 | 3,780,175 |
| Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority Revenue: | | | | |
| McLaren Health Care Corp. | 5.750% | 5/15/38 | 2,000,000 | 2,105,160 |
| Refunding, Sparrow Hospital Obligated | 5.000% | 11/15/36 | 2,500,000 | 2,471,025 |
| Trinity Health | 5.375% | 12/1/30 | 3,000,000 | 3,043,080 |
| <i>Total Michigan</i> | | | | <i>11,399,440</i> |
| Missouri 0.9% | | | | |
| Boone County, MO, Hospital Revenue, Boone Hospital Center | 5.375% | 8/1/38 | 2,000,000 | 2,041,860 |
| Nevada 0.9% | | | | |

Edgar Filing: WESTERN ASSET MUNICIPAL PARTNERS FUND INC. - Form N-Q

| | | | | |
|---|--------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| Clark County, NV, GO, AMBAC | 5.000% | 11/1/21 | 2,000,000 | 2,224,600 |
| New Jersey 2.2% | | | | |
| New Jersey EDA, PCR, Revenue, Public Service Electric and Gas Co. Project, NATL | 6.400% | 5/1/32 | 5,150,000 | 5,169,210(a) |
| New York 12.7% | | | | |
| Brooklyn Arena, NY, Local Development Corp., Barclays Center Project | 6.250% | 7/15/40 | 1,000,000 | 1,066,440 |
| Liberty, NY, Development Corporation Revenue, Goldman Sachs Headquarters | 5.250% | 10/1/35 | 2,000,000 | 2,124,300 |

See Notes to Schedule of Investments.

WESTERN ASSET MUNICIPAL PARTNERS FUND INC.

Schedule of investments (unaudited) (cont d)

August 31, 2010

| SECURITY | RATE | MATURITY DATE | FACE AMOUNT | VALUE |
|---|--------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| New York continued | | | | |
| Nassau County, NY, Industrial Development Agency Revenue, Continuing Care Retirement, Amsterdam at Harborside | 6.700% | 1/1/43 | \$ 500,000 | \$ 505,115 |
| New York City, NY, GO, Unrefunded Balance | 6.000% | 5/15/30 | 20,000 | 20,239 |
| New York City, NY, TFA Revenue, Unrefunded Balance, Future Tax Secured | 5.500% | 11/15/17 | 4,115,000 | 4,567,444 |
| New York Liberty Development Corp., Liberty Revenue Refunding, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower | 5.125% | 1/15/44 | 5,000,000 | 5,147,750 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue, Court Facilities Lease, NYC Issue, Non State Supported Debt, AMBAC | 5.500% | 5/15/30 | 3,365,000 | 3,982,107 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority Revenue, Non-State Supported Debt, Columbia University | 5.000% | 7/1/38 | 2,000,000 | 2,195,160 |
| New York State Thruway Authority, Second General Highway & Bridge Trust Fund, AMBAC | 5.000% | 4/1/26 | 4,700,000 | 5,203,981 |
| New York State Urban Development Corp. Revenue, State Personal Income Tax | 5.000% | 3/15/26 | 5,000,000 | 5,548,550 |
| <i>Total New York</i> | | | | <i>30,361,086</i> |
| North Carolina 3.2% | | | | |
| North Carolina Medical Care Commission Health Care Facilities Revenue, Novant Health Obligation Group | 5.000% | 11/1/39 | 1,200,000 | 1,235,148 |
| Wake County, NC, GO | 5.000% | 3/1/23 | 5,000,000 | 6,309,750 |
| <i>Total North Carolina</i> | | | | <i>7,544,898</i> |
| Ohio 0.5% | | | | |
| Ohio State Air Quality Development Authority Revenue, FirstEnergy Generation Corp. | 5.700% | 8/1/20 | 1,000,000 | 1,106,020 |
| Oregon 0.6% | | | | |
| Multnomah County, OR, Hospital Facilities Authority Revenue, Providence Health Systems | 5.250% | 10/1/18 | 1,250,000 | 1,371,763 |
| Pennsylvania 1.7% | | | | |
| Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, Sewer Sludge Disposal Revenue, Philadelphia Biosolids Facility | 6.250% | 1/1/32 | 500,000 | 542,990 |
| Philadelphia, PA, Gas Works Revenue, 7th General Ordinance, AMBAC | 5.000% | 10/1/17 | 2,685,000 | 2,990,660 |
| Philadelphia, PA, School District, GO, AGM | 5.500% | 2/1/31 | 500,000 | 536,360(b) |
| <i>Total Pennsylvania</i> | | | | <i>4,070,010</i> |
| Puerto Rico 1.3% | | | | |
| Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., Sales Tax Revenue | 5.500% | 8/1/42 | 3,000,000 | 3,187,740 |
| Tennessee 2.2% | | | | |
| Memphis-Shelby County, TN, Airport Authority Revenue, AMBAC | 6.000% | 3/1/24 | 1,655,000 | 1,671,517(a) |
| Tennessee Energy Acquisition Corp., Gas Revenue | 5.000% | 2/1/20 | 3,555,000 | 3,640,640 |

Edgar Filing: WESTERN ASSET MUNICIPAL PARTNERS FUND INC. - Form N-Q

| | | | | |
|--|--------|----------|-----------|-----------------|
| <i>Total Tennessee</i> | | | | 5,312,157 |
| Texas 13.9% | | | | |
| Aledo, TX, GO, ISD, School Building, PSF | 5.000% | 2/15/30 | 5,000,000 | 5,306,500 |
| Austin, TX, Water & Wastewater System Revenue | 5.000% | 11/15/26 | 2,500,000 | 2,839,000 |
| Austin, TX, Water & Wastewater System Revenue | 5.125% | 11/15/28 | 2,210,000 | 2,494,007 |
| Beaumont, TX, ISD, GO, School Building, PSF | 5.000% | 2/15/33 | 1,100,000 | 1,174,855 |
| Brazos River, TX, Harbor Navigation District, Brazoria County Environmental, Dow Chemical Co. Project | 5.950% | 5/15/33 | 4,750,000 | 4,957,955(a)(f) |
| Harris County, TX, Health Facilities Development Corp., Hospital Revenue, Memorial Hermann Healthcare Systems | 5.250% | 12/1/18 | 2,960,000 | 3,151,690 |

See Notes to Schedule of Investments.

WESTERN ASSET MUNICIPAL PARTNERS FUND INC.

Schedule of investments (unaudited) (cont d)

August 31, 2010

| SECURITY | RATE | MATURITY DATE | FACE AMOUNT | VALUE |
|---|--------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Texas continued | | | | |
| Kemp, TX, ISD, GO, School Building Mesquite, TX, ISD No. 1, GO, Capital Appreciation, PSFG | 5.250% | 2/15/33 | \$ 3,450,000 | \$ 3,766,675 |
| North Texas Tollway Authority Revenue | 0.000% | 8/15/27 | 1,000,000 | 444,620 |
| Spring, Tex, ISD, GO, SchoolHouse, PSF | 5.750% | 1/1/40 | 2,500,000 | 2,676,950 |
| Texas State Turnpike Authority Revenue, First Tier, AMBAC | 5.000% | 8/15/23 | 1,000,000 | 1,155,810 |
| <i>Total Texas</i> | 5.500% | 8/15/39 | 5,000,000 | 5,099,700 |
| | | | | 33,067,762 |
| Washington 4.7% | | | | |
| Chelan County, WA, Public Utility District, Chelan Hydro System No.1, Construction Revenue, AMBAC | 5.450% | 7/1/37 | 2,900,000 | 2,926,854(a) |
| Port of Seattle, WA, Revenue, Refunding, Intermediate Lien, NATL | 5.000% | 3/1/30 | 2,000,000 | 2,092,420 |
| Washington State Health Care Facilities Authority Revenue, PeaceHealth | 5.000% | 11/1/28 | 3,000,000 | 3,134,340 |
| Washington State, GO | 5.000% | 8/1/22 | 2,590,000 | 3,114,915 |
| <i>Total Washington</i> | | | | 11,268,529 |
| Wisconsin 1.4% | | | | |
| Wisconsin State HEFA Revenue, SSM Health Care Corp. | 5.000% | 6/1/25 | 3,110,000 | 3,369,187 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENTS BEFORE SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS (Cost | | \$216,853,727) | | 229,071,220 |
| SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS 3.9% | | | | |
| California 1.8% | | | | |
| California Health Facilities Finance Authority Revenue, Hospital Adventist Health Systems, LOC-Wells Fargo Bank N.A. | 0.190% | 9/1/25 | 4,300,000 | 4,300,000(g)(h) |
| Illinois 0.4% | | | | |
| Illinois Development Finance Authority Revenue, Evanston Northwestern, SPA-JPMorgan Chase | 0.230% | 5/1/31 | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000(g)(h) |
| New York 1.5% | | | | |
| New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, Water & Sewer System Revenue, SPA-Landesbank Hessen-Thuringen | 0.280% | 6/15/39 | 3,600,000 | 3,600,000(g)(h) |
| Virginia 0.2% | | | | |
| Virginia Commonwealth University, VA, AMBAC, LOC-Wells Fargo Bank N.A. | 0.230% | 11/1/30 | 400,000 | 400,000(g)(h) |
| TOTAL SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS (Cost \$9,400,000) | | | | 9,400,000 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENTS 100.0% (Cost \$226,253,727#) | | | | \$ 238,471,220 |

Edgar Filing: WESTERN ASSET MUNICIPAL PARTNERS FUND INC. - Form N-Q

- (a) Income from this issue is considered a preference item for purposes of calculating the alternative minimum tax (AMT).
 - (b) Pre-Refunded bonds are escrowed with U.S. government obligations and/or U.S. government agency securities and are considered by the manager to be triple-A rated even if issuer has not applied for new ratings.
 - (c) Security is purchased on a when-issued basis.
 - (d) Security is exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. This security may be resold in transactions that are exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. This security has been deemed liquid pursuant to guidelines approved by the Board of Directors, unless otherwise noted.
 - (e) Bonds are escrowed to maturity by government securities and/or U.S. government agency securities and are considered by the manager to be triple-A rated even if issuer has not applied for new ratings.
 - (f) Variable rate security. Interest rate disclosed is that which is in effect at August 31, 2010.
 - (g) Variable rate demand obligations have a demand feature under which the Fund can tender them back to the issuer or liquidity provider on no more than 7 days notice.
 - (h) Maturity date shown is the final maturity date. The security may be sold back to the issuer before final maturity.
- # Aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes is substantially the same.

See Notes to Schedule of Investments.

WESTERN ASSET MUNICIPAL PARTNERS FUND INC.

Schedule of investments (unaudited) (cont d)

August 31, 2010

Abbreviations used in this schedule:

| | |
|-------|---|
| AGM | - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corporation - Insured Bonds |
| AMBAC | - American Municipal Bond Assurance Corporation - Insured Bonds |
| CDA | - Communities Development Authority |
| EDA | - Economic Development Authority |
| EDR | - Economic Development Revenue |
| EFA | - Educational Facilities Authority |
| FGIC | - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company - Insured Bonds |
| GO | - General Obligation |
| HEFA | - Health & Educational Facilities Authority |
| HFA | - Housing Finance Authority |
| ISD | - Independent School District |
| LOC | - Letter of Credit |
| MWRA | - Massachusetts Water Resources Authority |
| NATL | - National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation - Insured Bonds |
| PCR | - Pollution Control Revenue |
| PSF | - Permanent School Fund |
| PSFG | - Permanent School Fund Guaranty |
| SPA | - Standby Bond Purchase Agreement - Insured Bonds |
| TFA | - Transitional Finance Authority |

Summary of Investments by Industry

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Health Care | 19.2% |
| Local General Obligation | 15.8 |
| Power | 12.0 |
| Special Tax Obligation | 10.6 |
| Industrial Revenue | 10.2 |
| Transportation | 9.0 |
| Water & Sewer | 5.5 |
| Pre-Refunded/Escrowed to Maturity | 4.0 |
| State General Obligation | 3.2 |
| Education | 3.0 |
| Leasing | 2.2 |
| Housing | 1.0 |
| Solid Waste/Resource Recovery | 0.2 |
| Other | 0.2 |
| Short-Term Investments | 3.9 |
| | 100.0% |

As a percentage of total investments. Please note that Fund holdings are as of August, 31, 2010 and are subject to change.

Ratings Table*

S&P/Moody s/Fitch**

| | |
|------------|--------|
| AAA/Aaa | 27.8% |
| AA/Aa | 29.7 |
| A | 30.9 |
| BBB/Baa | 7.2 |
| NR | 0.5 |
| A-1/VMIG 1 | 3.9 |
| | 100.0% |

* As a percentage of total investments.

** The ratings shown are based on each portfolio security's rating as determined by S&P, Moody's, or Fitch, each a Nationally Recognized Statistical Ratings Organization (NRSRO). These ratings are the opinions of the NRSRO and are not measures of quality or guarantees of performance. Securities may be rated by other NRSROs, and these ratings may be higher or lower. In the event that a security is rated by multiple NRSROs and receives different ratings, the Fund will treat the security as being rated in the highest rating category received from an NRSRO.

See pages 6 through 9 for definitions of ratings.

See Notes to Schedule of Investments.

Bond Ratings (unaudited)

The definitions of the applicable rating symbols are set forth below:

Standard & Poor's Ratings Service (Standard & Poor's) Ratings from AA to CCC may be modified by the addition of a plus (+) or minus () sign to show relative standings within the major rating categories.

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| AAA | An obligation rated AAA has the highest rating assigned by Standard & Poor's. The obligor's capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation is extremely strong. |
| AA | An obligation rated AA differs from the highest-rated obligations only to a small degree. The obligor's capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation is very strong. |
| A | An obligation rated A is somewhat more susceptible to the adverse effects of changes in circumstances and economic conditions than obligations in higher-rated categories. However, the obligor's capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation is still strong. |
| BBB | An obligation rated BBB exhibits adequate protection parameters. However, adverse economic conditions or changing circumstances are more likely to lead to a weakened capacity of the obligor to meet its financial commitment on the obligation. |
| BB, B, CCC, CC and C | Obligations rated BB, B, CCC, CC and C are regarded as having significant speculative characteristics. BB indicates the degree of speculation and C the highest. While such obligations will likely have some quality and protective characteristics, these may be outweighed by large uncertainties or major exposures to adverse conditions. |
| D | An obligation rated D is in payment default. The D rating category is used when payments on an obligation, including a regulatory capital instrument, are not made on the date due even if the applicable grace period has not expired, unless Standard & Poor's believes that such payments will be made during such grace period. The D rating also will be used upon the filing of a bankruptcy petition or the taking of similar action if payments on an obligation are jeopardized. An obligation's rating is lowered to D upon completion of a distressed exchange offer, whereby some or all of the issue is either repurchased for an amount of cash or replaced by other instruments having a total value that is less than par. |

Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) Numerical modifiers 1, 2 and 3 may be applied to each generic rating from Aa to Caa, where 1 is the highest and 3 the lowest ranking within its generic category.

| | |
|-----|--|
| Aaa | Bonds rated Aaa are judged to be of the highest quality, with minimal credit risk. |
| Aa | Obligations rated Aa are judged to be of high quality and are subject to very low credit risk. |
| A | Obligations rated A are considered upper-medium grade and are subject to low credit risk. |
| Baa | Obligations rated Baa are subject to moderate credit risk. They are considered medium grade and as such may possess certain speculative characteristics. |
| Ba | Obligations rated Ba are judged to have speculative elements and are subject to substantial credit risk. |
| B | Obligations rated B are considered speculative and are subject to high credit risk. |
| Caa | Obligations rated Caa are judged to be of poor standing and are subject to very high credit risk. |

Bond Ratings (unaudited)(continued)

- Ca Obligations rated Ca are highly speculative and are likely in, or very near, default, with some prospect of recovery for principal and interest.
- C Obligations rated C are the lowest rated class of bonds and are typically in default, with little prospect of recovery for principal and interest.

Fitch Ratings Service (Fitch) Ratings from AA to CCC may be modified by the addition of a plus (+) or minus () sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories.

- AAA Bonds rated AAA by Fitch denote the lowest expectation of default risk. They are assigned only in cases of exceptionally strong capacity for payment of financial commitments. This capacity is highly unlikely to be adversely affected by foreseeable events.
- AA Bonds rated AA denote expectations of very low default risk. They indicate very strong capacity for payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.
- A Bonds rated A denote expectations of low default risk. The capacity for payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be more vulnerable to adverse business or economic conditions than is the case for higher ratings.
- BBB Bonds rated BBB indicate that expectations of default risk are currently low. The capacity for payment of financial commitments is considered adequate but adverse business or economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.
- BB, B, CCC, CC and C Bonds rated BB , B , CCC , CC and C are regarded, on balance, as predominantly speculative with respect to capacity to pay interest and repay principal in accordance with the terms of the obligation. BB represents a lower degree of speculation than B , and C the highest degree of speculation. While such bonds will likely have some quality and protective characteristics, these are outweighed by large uncertainties or major risk exposures to adverse conditions.
- D Bonds rated D indicate an issuer that in Fitch Ratings opinion has entered into bankruptcy filings, administration, receivership, liquidation or other formal winding-up procedure, or which has otherwise ceased business.
- NR indicates that the bond is not rated by Standard & Poor s, Moody s or Fitch.

Short-Term Security Ratings (unaudited)

Standard & Poor s Short-Term Notes Ratings

- SP-1 A short-term obligation rated SP-1 is rated in the highest category by Standard & Poor s. Strong capacity to pay principal and interest. An issue determined to possess a very strong capacity to pay debt service is given a plus (+) designation.
- SP-2 A short-term obligation rated SP-2 is a Standard & Poor s rating indicating satisfactory capacity to pay principal and interest, with some vulnerability to adverse financial and economic changes over the term of the notes.

Bond Ratings (unaudited)(continued)

SP-3 A short-term obligation rated SP-3 is a Standard & Poor's rating indicating speculative capacity to pay principal and interest.

Standard & Poor's Short-Term Issuer Credit Ratings

- A-1 A short-term obligation rated A-1 is rated in the highest category by Standard & Poor's. The obligor's capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation is strong. Within this category, certain obligations are designated with a plus sign (+). This indicates that the obligor's capacity to meet its financial commitment on these obligations is extremely strong.
- A-2 A short-term obligation rated A-2 by Standard & Poor's is somewhat more susceptible to the adverse effects of changes in circumstances and economic conditions than obligations in higher rating categories. However, the obligor's capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation is satisfactory.
- A-3 A short-term obligation rated A-3 by Standard & Poor's exhibits adequate protection parameters. However, adverse economic conditions or changing circumstances are more likely to lead to a weakened capacity of the obligor to meet its financial commitment on the obligation.
- B A short-term obligation rated B by Standard & Poor's is regarded as having significant speculative characteristics. Ratings of B-1, B-2 and B-3 may be assigned to indicate finer distinctions within the B category. The obligor currently has the capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation; however, it faces major ongoing uncertainties which could lead to the obligor's inadequate capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation.

Moody's Variable Rate Demand Obligations (VRDO) Ratings

- VMIG 1 Moody's highest rating for issues having a demand feature VRDO. This designation denotes superior credit quality. Excellent protection is afforded by the superior short-term credit strength of the liquidity provider and structural and legal protections that ensure the timely payment of purchase price on demand.
- VMIG 2 This designation denotes strong credit quality. Good protection is afforded by the strong short-term credit strength of the liquidity provider and structural and legal protections that ensure the timely payment of purchase price on demand.
- VMIG 3 This designation denotes acceptable credit quality. Adequate protection is afforded by the strong short-term credit strength of the liquidity provider and structural and legal protections that ensure the timely payment of purchase price on demand.

Moody's Short-Term Obligation Ratings

- MIG 1 Moody's highest rating for short-term municipal obligations. This designation denotes superior credit quality. Excellent protection is afforded by established cash flows, highly reliable

Bond Ratings *(unaudited)(continued)*

| | |
|-------|--|
| | liquidity support, or demonstrated broad-based access to the market for refinancing. |
| MIG 2 | This designation denotes strong credit quality. Margins of protection are ample, although not as large as the preceding group. |
| MIG 3 | This designation denotes acceptable credit quality. Liquidity and cash flow protection may be narrow, and market access for refinancing is likely to be less well-established. |
| SG | This designation denotes speculative-grade credit quality. Debt instruments in this category may lack sufficient margins of protection. |

Moody's Short-Term Security Ratings

| | |
|-----|--|
| P-1 | Moody's highest rating for commercial paper and for VRDO prior to the advent of the VMIG 1 rating. Have a superior ability to repay short-term debt obligations. |
| P-2 | Have a strong ability to repay short-term debt obligations. |
| P-3 | Have an acceptable ability to repay short-term debt obligations. |
| NP | Issuers do not fall within any of the Prime rating categories. |

Fitch's Short-Term Issuer or Obligation Ratings

| | |
|----|--|
| F1 | Fitch's highest rating indicating the strongest capacity for timely payment of financial commitments; may have an added + to denote any exceptionally strong credit feature. |
| F2 | Fitch rating indicating good intrinsic capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. |
| F3 | Fitch rating indicating intrinsic capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is adequate. |

Notes to Schedule of Investments (unaudited)

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

Western Asset Municipal Partners Fund Inc. (the Fund) was incorporated in Maryland on November 24, 1992 and is registered as a diversified, closed-end management investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act). The Board of Directors authorized 100 million shares of \$0.001 par value common stock. The Fund's primary investment objective is to seek a high level of current income which is exempt from federal income taxes, consistent with the preservation of capital. As a secondary investment objective, the Fund intends to enhance portfolio value by purchasing tax exempt securities that, in the opinion of the investment manager, may appreciate in value relative to other similar obligations in the marketplace.

The following are significant accounting policies consistently followed by the Fund and are in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

(a) Investment Valuation. Securities are valued at the mean between the last quoted bid and asked prices provided by an independent pricing service, which are based on transactions in municipal obligations, quotations from municipal bond dealers, market transactions in comparable securities and various other relationships between securities. When prices are not readily available, or are determined not to reflect fair value, the Fund values these securities at fair value as determined in accordance with procedures approved by the Fund's Board of Directors. Short-term obligations with maturities of 60 days or less are valued at amortized cost, which approximates fair value.

The Fund has adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Codification Topic 820 (ASC Topic 820). ASC Topic 820 establishes a single definition of fair value, creates a three-tier hierarchy as a framework for measuring fair value based on inputs used to value the Fund's investments, and requires additional disclosure about fair value. The hierarchy of inputs is summarized below.

- Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments
- Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)
- Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including the Fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

The Fund uses valuation techniques to measure fair value that are consistent with the market approach and/or income approach, depending on the type of the security and the particular circumstance. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable securities. The income approach uses valuation techniques to discount estimated future cash flows to present value.

Edgar Filing: WESTERN ASSET MUNICIPAL PARTNERS FUND INC. - Form N-Q

The following is a summary of the inputs used in valuing the Fund's assets carried at fair value:

| DESCRIPTION | QUOTED PRICES (LEVEL 1) | OTHER SIGNIFICANT OBSERVABLE INPUTS (LEVEL 2) | SIGNIFICANT UNOBSERVABLE INPUTS (LEVEL 3) | TOTAL |
|------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|----------------|
| Municipal bonds | | \$ 229,071,220 | | \$ 229,071,220 |
| Short-term investments | | 9,400,000 | | 9,400,000 |
| Total investments | | \$ 238,471,220 | | \$ 238,471,220 |

See Schedule of Investments for additional detailed categorizations.

(b) Securities Traded on a When-Issued Basis. The Fund may trade securities on a when-issued basis. In a when-issued transaction, the securities are purchased or sold by the Fund with payment and delivery taking place in the future in order to secure what is considered to be an advantageous price and yield to the Fund at the time of entering into the transaction.

Notes to Schedule of Investments (unaudited) (continued)

Purchasing such securities involves risk of loss if the value of the securities declines prior to settlement. These securities are subject to market fluctuations and their current value is determined in the same manner as for other securities.

(c) Security Transactions. Security transactions are accounted for on a trade date basis.

2. Investments

At August 31, 2010, the aggregate gross unrealized appreciation and depreciation of investments for federal income tax purposes were substantially as follows:

| | | |
|-------------------------------|----|------------|
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ | 12,490,579 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | | (273,086) |
| Net unrealized appreciation | \$ | 12,217,493 |

3. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Financial Accounting Standards Board Codification Topic 815 requires enhanced disclosure about an entity's derivative and hedging activities.

During the period ended August 31, 2010, the volume of derivative activity for the Fund was as follows:

| | | |
|-----------------------------|----|---------------------------------|
| | | Average market value |
| Futures contracts (to sell) | \$ | 6,140,625 |

At August 31, 2010, there were no open positions held in this derivative.

ITEM 2. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

(a) The registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act")) are effective as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report that includes the disclosure required by this paragraph, based on their evaluation of the disclosure controls and procedures required by Rule 30a-3(b) under the 1940 Act and 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

(b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act) that occurred during the registrant's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are likely to materially affect the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 3. EXHIBITS.

Certifications pursuant to Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, are attached hereto.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Western Asset Municipal Partners Fund Inc.

By /s/ R. Jay Gerken
R. Jay Gerken
Chief Executive Officer

Date: October 25, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By /s/ R. Jay Gerken
R. Jay Gerken
Chief Executive Officer

Date: October 25, 2010

By /s/ Kaprel Ozsolak
Kaprel Ozsolak
Chief Financial Officer

Date: October 25, 2010
