

INTRUSION INC
Form 10QSB
August 10, 2007

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-QSB

ý **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007

OR

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 0-20191

INTRUSION INC.

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

75-1911917

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

1101 East Arapaho Road, Suite 200, Richardson, Texas 75081

(Address of principal executive offices)
(Zip Code)

(972) 234-6400

(Issuer's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

Former name, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days:

Yes x No o

Edgar Filing: INTRUSION INC - Form 10QSB

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act): Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, on July 27, 2007 was 10,333,250.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (check one): Yes No

INTRUSION INC.

INDEX

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Controls and Procedures

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Item 6. Exhibits

Signature Page

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

INTRUSION INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except par value amounts)

	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 715	\$ 933
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$76 in 2007 and \$90 in 2006	747	844
Inventories, net	224	209
Prepaid expenses	127	198
Total current assets	1,813	2,184
Property and equipment, net	167	162
Other assets	39	41
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,019	\$ 2,387
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 888	\$ 1,099
Line of credit	125	200
Deferred revenue	349	367
Total current liabilities	1,362	1,666
Stockholders' Equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value: Authorized shares 5,000		
Series 1 shares issued and outstanding 260		
Liquidation preference of \$1,331 as of June 30, 2007	918	918
Series 2 shares issued and outstanding 460		
Liquidation preference of \$1,155 as of June 30, 2007	724	724
Series 3 shares issued and outstanding 469		
Liquidation preference of \$1,026 as of June 30, 2007	667	667
Common stock, \$0.01 par value:		
Authorized shares 80,000		
Issued shares 10,343 in 2007 and 8,306 in 2006		
Outstanding shares 10,333 in 2007 and 8,296 in 2006	103	83
Common stock held in treasury, at cost 10 shares	(362)	(362)
Additional paid-in capital	54,885	53,947
Accumulated deficit	(56,099)	(55,077)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(179)	(179)
Total stockholders' equity	657	721
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 2,019	\$ 2,387

See accompanying notes.

INTRUSION INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2007	June 30, 2006
Net product revenue	\$ 829	\$ 957	\$ 1,727	\$ 1,375
Net customer support and maintenance revenue	174	247	368	680
Total revenue	1,003	1,204	2,095	2,055
Cost of product revenue	371	429	774	686
Cost of customer support and maintenance revenue	22	30	40	160
Total cost of revenue	393	459	814	846
Gross profit	610	745	1,281	1,209
Operating expenses:				
Sales and marketing	504	848	1,019	1,665
Research and development	388	615	811	1,407
General and administrative	248	385	475	733
Operating loss	(530)	(1,103)	(1,024)	(2,596)
Other income (expense), net	1	(53)	1	(65)
Interest income (expense), net	(1)	11	1	41
Loss before income tax provision	(530)	(1,145)	(1,022)	(2,620)
Income tax provision				
Net loss	\$ (530)	\$ (1,145)	\$ (1,022)	\$ (2,620)
Preferred stock dividends accrued	(43)	(43)	(86)	(88)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (573)	\$ (1,188)	\$ (1,108)	\$ (2,708)
Net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.39)
Weighted average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	9,271	7,046	8,873	7,014

See accompanying notes.

INTRUSION INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	June 30, 2006
Operating Activities:		
Net loss	\$ (1,022)	\$ (2,620)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	32	59
Provision for doubtful accounts	(14)	(13)
Stock-based compensation	44	363
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	111	(382)
Inventories	(15)	49
Prepaid expenses and other assets	73	47
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(210)	(176)
Deferred revenue	(18)	(244)
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,019)	(2,917)
Investing Activities:		
Maturities of short-term investments		500
Purchases of property and equipment	(37)	(3)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(37)	497
Financing Activities:		
Net activity under line of credit	(75)	
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(87)	(106)
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	1,000	1
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	838	(105)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(218)	(2,525)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	933	2,844
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 715	\$ 319
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON CASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Preferred stock dividends accrued	\$ 86	\$ 88

See accompanying notes.

INTRUSION INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Description of Business

We develop, market, and support a family of network intrusion prevention, intrusion detection, regulated information compliance, data privacy protection and entity identification products. Our product families include the Compliance Commander for regulated information and data privacy protection, Intrusion SpySnare for real-time inline blocking of spyware and unwanted peer-to-peer (P2P) applications, Intrusion SecureNet for network intrusion prevention and detection and TraceCop for identity identification. Intrusion's products help protect critical information assets by quickly detecting, protecting, analyzing and reporting attacks or misuse of classified, private and regulated information for government and enterprise networks.

We market and distribute our products through a direct sales force to end-users, distributors and numerous system integrators, managed service providers and value-added resellers. Our end-user customers include banks, credit unions, other financial institutions, U.S. federal government entities, foreign government entities and local government entities, high technology, e-commerce and telecommunication companies, hospital and other healthcare providers and academic institutions. Essentially, our end-users can be defined as any end-user requiring network security solutions for protecting their mission critical data.

We were organized in Texas in September 1983 and reincorporated in Delaware in October 1995. For more than 15 years, we provided local area networking equipment and were known as Optical Data Systems or ODS Networks. On June 1, 2000, we changed our name from ODS Networks, Inc. to Intrusion.com, Inc., and our ticker symbol from ODSI to INTZ to reflect our focus on intrusion prevention and detection solutions, along with information compliance and data privacy protection products. On November 1, 2001, we changed our name from Intrusion.com, Inc. to Intrusion Inc.

Our principal executive offices are located at 1101 East Arapaho Road, Suite 200, Richardson, Texas 75081, and our telephone number is (972) 234-6400. Our website URL is www.intrusion.com. Information contained in or linked to our website is not a part of this report. References to we, us and our in this report refer to Intrusion Inc. and its subsidiaries.

As of June 30, 2007, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$0.7 million, down from approximately \$0.9 million as of December 31, 2006. We expect our net operating losses and operating cash outflows to continue through at least the third quarter of 2007 and possibly throughout the remainder of fiscal year 2007. In addition, we are obligated to make payments of accrued dividends on all our outstanding shares of preferred stock that will reduce our available cash resources. Of the \$0.7 million in cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2007, \$0.5 million was derived from a private investment by our Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers which closed on June 28, 2007. As of June 30, 2007, due to our borrowing base calculation, we did not have any additional available funding under our existing credit lines. If necessary, we expect to fund our operations through additional investments of private equity, which, if we are able to obtain, will have the effect of diluting our existing common stockholders, perhaps significantly. On March 15, 2007, the Company received a written commitment from our Chief Executive Officer to invest up to \$750,000 in the Company through March 2008, of which \$450,000 was funded on June 28, 2007. Any additional equity or debt financings, if available at all, may be on terms which are not favorable to us and, in the case of equity financings, may result in dilution to our stockholders. If our operations do not generate positive cash flow in the next few months, or if we are not able to obtain additional debt or equity financing on terms and conditions acceptable to us, if at all, we may be unable to implement our business plan, fund our liquidity needs or even continue our operations.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-QSB and Item 310 of Regulation S-B. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. The December 31, 2006 balance sheet was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all the disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. However, we believe that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. In our opinion, all the adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for fair presentation have been included. The results of operations for the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be achieved for the full fiscal year or for any future period. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included herein should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006.

3. Inventories (In thousands)

	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Inventories consist of:		
Finished goods	\$ 203	\$ 180
Work in progress	8	8
Demonstration systems	13	21
Net inventory	\$ 224	\$ 209

4. Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

At June 30, 2007, we have three stock-based compensation plans, which are described below. These plans were developed to retain and attract key employees and directors.

In 1995, we adopted our 1995 Stock Option Plan (the 1995 Plan), which provides for the issuance of up to 400,000 shares of common stock upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 1995 Plan. On April 26, 2001, our stockholders increased the overall number of shares available for issuance pursuant to the plan to 825,000 shares of common stock. The 1995 Plan provided for the issuance of both non-qualified and incentive stock options to our employees, officers, and employee-directors. The 1995 Plan expired by its terms on March 21, 2005 and no options were available for future issuance after the expiration. At June 30, 2007, 67,365 employee options have been exercised and employee options to purchase a total of 367,406 shares of common stock are outstanding. A total of 2,290,295 options have been granted pursuant to the 1995 Plan, of which, 1,855,524 have been cancelled.

In 1995, we adopted the 1995 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (the 1995 Non-Employee Director Plan). The 1995 Non-Employee Director Plan provided for the issuance of non-qualified stock options to non-employee directors. The 1995 Non-Employee Director Plan was amended in April 2002 to increase the number of shares available for issuance to 65,000 from 40,000 shares. The 1995 Non-Employee Director Plan expired by its terms on March 21, 2005 and no options were available for future issuance after the expiration. No options have been exercised under the 1995 Non-Employee Director Plan. Non-employee options to purchase a total of 32,500 shares of common stock are outstanding at June 30, 2007. A total of 62,500 options have been granted to directors pursuant to the 1995 Non-Employee Director Plan, of which, 30,000 have been cancelled.

On March 17, 2005, the Board approved the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2005 Plan), which was approved by the stockholders on June 14, 2005. The 2005 Plan serves as a replacement for the 1995 Non-Employee Director Plan and the 1995 Option Plan which expired by their terms on March 21, 2005. The approval of the 2005 Plan had no effect on the 1995 Plans or any options granted pursuant to either plan. All options will continue with their existing terms and will be subject to the 1995 Non-Employee Director Plan or the 1995 Plan, as applicable. Further, the Company will not be able to re-issue any option which is cancelled or terminated under the 1995 Non-Employee Director Plan or the 1995 Option Plan. Originally, the 2005 Plan provided for the issuance of up to 750,000 shares of common stock upon exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2005 Plan. This amount was increased by an additional 750,000 shares as approved by the Board on January 25, 2007 and the stockholders on May 30, 2007. The 2005 Plan consists of three (3) separate equity incentive programs: the Discretionary Option Grant Program; the Stock Issuance Program; and the Automatic Option Grant Program for non-employee Board members. Officers and employees, non-employee Board members and independent contractors are eligible to participate in the Discretionary Option Grant and Stock Issuance Programs. Participation in the Automatic Option Grant Program is limited to non-employee members of the Board. Each non-employee Board member will receive an option grant for 10,000 shares of Common Stock upon initial election or appointment to the Board, provided that individual has not previously been employed by the Company in the preceding six (6) months. In addition, on the date of each annual stockholders meeting, each Board member will automatically be granted an option to purchase 5,000 shares of Common Stock, provided he or she has served as a non-employee Board member for at least six (6) months. At June 30, 2007, no 2005 Plan options have been exercised and employee and non-employee Board member options to purchase a total of 961,500 shares of common stock are outstanding. A total of 1,071,500 options have been granted under the 2005 Plan, of which 110,000 have been cancelled and options for 538,500 shares remain available for future grant. No shares have been issued pursuant to the Stock Issuance Program.

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors determines for all employee options, the term of each option, option exercise price within limits set forth in the plans, number of shares for which each option is granted and the rate at which each option is exercisable (generally ratably over one, three or five years from grant date). However, the exercise price of any incentive stock option may not be less than the fair market value of the shares on the date granted (or less than 110% of the fair market value in the case of optionees holding more than 10% of our voting stock of the Company), and the term cannot exceed ten years (five years for incentive stock options granted to holders of more than 10%

of our voting stock).

7

Edgar Filing: INTRUSION INC - Form 10QSB

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense under SFAS No. 123(R) for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006 (in thousands, except per share data) which was allocated as follows:

	For Three Months Ended		For Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2007(1)	June 30, 2006
Cost of revenue	\$ 1	\$ 22	\$	\$ 46
Research and development	12	36	20	79
Sales and marketing	13	56	13	125
General and administrative	10	51	11	113
Effect on net loss	\$ 36	\$ 165	\$ 44	\$ 363
Effect on basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.05)

(1) Includes an increase of the estimated forfeiture rate for January 2007 to 20% from 15%. The increase in the forfeiture rate is due to greater than expected option terminations due to higher employee turnover than in the past.

Valuation Assumptions

The fair values of option awards were estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	For Three Months Ended	For Three Months Ended	For Six Months Ended	For Six Months Ended
	June 30, 2007	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2007	June 30, 2006
Weighted average grant date fair value	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.32	\$ 1.03
Weighted average assumptions used:				
Expected dividend yield	0.0	% 0.0	% 0.0	% 0.0
Risk-free interest rate	4.9	% 5.1	% 4.9	% 4.6
Expected volatility	105.0	% 101.0	% 105.1	% 98.6
Expected life (in years)	4.9	5.0	4.9	5.0

The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because our employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

Expected volatility is based on historical volatility and in part on implied volatility. The expected term considers the contractual term of the option as well as historical exercise and forfeiture behavior. The risk-free interest rate is based on the rates in effect on the grant date for U.S. Treasury instruments with maturities matching the relevant expected term of the award.

Stock Incentive Plan Activity

Stock option activity under the Company's various long-term incentive and director compensation plans during the six months ended June 30, 2007, were as follows:

	Number of Options (in thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	1,086	\$ 3.23
Granted	345	0.42
Exercised		
Cancelled		
Forfeited	(45)	1.24
Expired	(25)	3.10
Outstanding at June 30, 2007	1,361	\$ 2.59

Summarized information about outstanding stock options as of June 30, 2007, that are fully vested and those that are expected to vest in the future as well as stock options that are fully vested and currently exercisable, are as follows:

As of June 30, 2007	Outstanding Stock Options (Fully Vested and Expected to Vest)*	Options that are Exercisable
Number of outstanding options	1,358	595
Weighted average remaining contractual life	7.63	6.58
Weighted average exercise price per share	\$ 2.59	\$ 5.24
Intrinsic value (in thousands)	\$ 25	\$

* Includes effects of expected forfeitures

As of June 30, 2007, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested options not yet recognized in the statement of operations totaled approximately \$216 thousand (including estimated forfeitures) and the weighted period over which these awards are expected to be recognized is 1.56 years.

5. Net Loss Per Share

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss attributable to common stockholders for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares and common stock equivalents outstanding for the period. Our common stock equivalents include all common stock issuable upon conversion of preferred stock and the exercise of outstanding options and warrants. The aggregate number of common stock equivalents excluded from the diluted loss per share calculation for the periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 are 4,226,998 and 3,742,472, respectively. Our common stock equivalents are not included in the diluted loss per share for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, as they are antidilutive.

6. New Accounting Pronouncement

In January 2007, we adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). This interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company's financial statements. FIN 48 requires companies to determine whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authorities before any part of the benefit can be recorded in the financial statements. It also provides guidance on the recognition, measurement and classification of income tax uncertainties, along with any related interest and penalties. We did not recognize any adjustments to our financial statements as a result of our implementation of FIN 48.

7. Commitments and Contingencies

We are subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. We do not believe that the outcome of those matters will have a material adverse affect on our consolidated financial position, operating results or cash flows. However, there can be no assurance such legal proceedings will not have a material impact.

8. Common Stock

On June 28, 2007, we completed a private placement to our CEO and CFO of 1,111,111 shares of our common stock for gross proceeds totaling \$500,000. On March 15, 2007, we completed a private placement to our CEO and CFO of 925,926 shares of our common stock for gross proceeds totaling \$500,000.

10

Item 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB, including, without limitation, the section entitled Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such forward-looking statements are generally accompanied by words such as estimate, expect, believe, should, would, could, anticipate, may or other words that convey uncertainty of future events or outcomes. These statements relate to future events or to our future financial performance, and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations, which we describe in more detail elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB under the heading Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations, include, but are not limited to:

- insufficient cash to operate our business and inability to meet certain liquidity requirements;
- loss of revenues due to the failure of our newer products to achieve market acceptance;
- our need to generate substantially greater revenues from sales in order to achieve profitability;
- concentration of our revenues from U.S. government entities and the possibility of loss of one of these customers;
- unique risks associated with government customers;
- our dependence on sales made through indirect channels;
- our ability to sell our products internationally;
- the effect of payment of accrued dividends on our preferred stock on our cash resources;
- substantial dilution upon the conversion or redemption of our preferred stock and exercise of outstanding warrants;
- the impact of conversion of preferred stock or exercise of warrants on the price of our common stock;
- the ability of our preferred stockholders and lenders to hinder additional financing; and
- the influence that our management and larger stockholders have over actions taken by the company.

If one or more of these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, actual results may vary significantly from what we projected. These forward-looking statements and other statements made elsewhere in this report are made in reliance on the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Any forward-looking statement you read in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB reflects our current views with respect to future events and is subject to these and other risks, uncertainties and assumptions relating to our operations, results of operations, growth strategy and liquidity. We assume no obligation to publicly update or revise these forward-looking statements for any reason, or to update the reasons actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements, even if new information becomes available in the future. The section below entitled Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations sets forth and incorporates by reference certain factors that could cause actual future results of the Company to differ materially from these statements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Edgar Filing: INTRUSION INC - Form 10QSB

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based upon our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to product returns, bad debts, inventories, income taxes, warranty obligations, restructuring, maintenance contracts and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

11

Revenue Recognition

We generally recognize product revenue upon shipment of product. We accrue for estimated warranty costs and sales returns at the time of shipment based on our experience. Revenue from maintenance contracts is deferred and recognized over the contractual period the services are performed, generally one year. There is a risk that technical issues on new products could result in unexpected warranty costs and returns. However, as we migrate to more of a software-based business model, the warranty costs should continue to decline. To the extent that they do decline, our warranty reserve from current sales will decrease. To the extent that our warranty costs exceed our expectations, we will increase our warranty reserve to compensate for the additional expense expected to be incurred. We review these estimates periodically and determine the appropriate reserve percentage. However, to date, warranty costs and sales returns have not been material. Historically, our estimates for these items have not differed materially from actual results. Significant or subjective estimates associated with our revenue recognition policy include our estimate of warranty cost and sales returns.

We recognize software revenue from the licensing of our software products in accordance with Statement of Position (SOP) No. 97-2 Software Revenue Recognition , SOP 98-9 Modification of 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, with respect to certain transactions and Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, Revenue Recognition whereby revenue from the licensing of our products is not recognized until all four of the following criteria have been met: i) execution of a written agreement; ii) delivery of the product has occurred; iii) the fee is fixed and determinable; and iv) collectibility is probable. Bundled hardware and perpetual software product sales are recognized at time of delivery, as our licenses are not sold on a subscription basis. In the case of multiple product and service sales, we perform a Vendor Specific Objective Evidence analysis to appropriately determine the amount of revenue derived from each deliverable. If our license strategy changes and we begin to offer licenses on a subscription basis, we would perform this analysis in a similar manner. Under these circumstances, the revenue related to the license would be recognized ratably over the subscription period. Market values are easily obtained for all of our product offerings, as we have historical sales information on our product offerings. We defer and recognize maintenance and support revenue over the term of the contract period, which is generally one year.

We have signed distribution agreements with distributors in the United States, Europe and Asia. In general, these relationships are non-exclusive. Distributors typically maintain an inventory of our products. Under these agreements, we provide certain protection to the distributors for their inventory of our products for price reductions as well as products that are slow moving or have been discontinued by us. Historically, returns from our distributors and charges related to price reductions on inventory held by distributors have not been material. Recognition of sales to distributors and related gross profits are deferred until the distributors resell the merchandise. However, since we have legally sold the inventory to the distributor and we no longer have care, custody or control over the inventory, we recognize the trade accounts receivable and reduce inventory related to the sale at the time of shipment to the distributor. Revenue, offset by deferred cost of sales, is included in deferred revenue in the accompanying financial statements. Since the net balance in deferred revenue represents the sales price less the cost of the product maintained by the distributors, the deferred costs of these products are included in our obsolescence and slow-moving analysis and are written down according to their estimated current value. This transaction effectively recognizes expense for the write-down, if any, and increases the net liability in the deferred revenue account.

We generally recognize service revenue upon delivery of the contracted service. Service revenue, primarily including maintenance, training and installation, are recognized upon delivery of the service and typically are unrelated to product sales. These services are not essential to the functionality of the delivered product. To date, training and installation revenue has not been material.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts and Returns

We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. Our receivables are uncollateralized and we expect to continue this policy in the future. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. Historically, our estimates for sales returns and doubtful accounts have not differed materially from actual results.

Inventory

We write down our inventory for estimated obsolescence or unmarketable inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required. Historically, our estimates for inventory obsolescence have not differed materially from actual results.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain financial data as a percentage of net revenues. The period-to-period comparison of financial results is not necessarily indicative of future results.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2007	June 30, 2006
Net product revenue	82.7	%	79.5	%
Net customer support and maintenance revenue	17.3		20.5	17.6

Although our distributors are independent contractors, improper distributor actions that violate laws or regulations could harm our business.

Distributor activities that violate applicable laws or regulations could result in government or third party actions against us, which could harm our business. Except in China, our distributors are not employees and act independently of us. The most significant area of risk for such activities relates to improper product claims and claims regarding the business opportunity of being a distributor. We implement strict policies and procedures to ensure our distributors will comply with legal requirements. However, given the size of our distributor force, we experience problems with distributors from time to time. For example, product claims made by some of our distributors in 1990 and 1991 led to an investigation by the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) in the United States, which resulted in our entering into a consent decree with the FTC. In addition, rulings by the South Korean Federal Trade Commission and by judicial authorities against us and other companies in South Korea indicate that vicarious liability may be imposed on us for the criminal activity of our distributors. In addition, we have seen an increase in sales aids and promotional material being produced by distributors and distributor groups in some markets, which places an increased burden on us to monitor compliance of such materials and increases the risk that such materials could contain problematic product or marketing claims in violation of our policies and applicable regulations. As we expand internationally, our distributors often attempt to anticipate which markets we will open in the future and begin marketing and sponsoring activities in markets where we are not qualified to conduct business. We could face fines or other legal action if our distributors violate applicable laws and regulations.

If we are unable to retain our existing distributors and recruit additional distributors, our revenue will not increase and may even decline.

We distribute almost all of our products through our distributors and we depend on them to generate virtually all of our revenue. Our distributors may terminate their services at any time, and, like most direct selling companies, we experience high turnover among distributors from year to year. Distributors who join to purchase our products for personal consumption or for short-term income goals frequently only stay with us for a short time. Executive distributors who have committed time and effort to build a sales organization will generally stay for longer periods. Distributors have highly variable levels of training, skills and capabilities. As a result, in order to maintain sales and increase sales in the future, we need to increase our retention of existing distributors and continue to successfully recruit additional distributors. To increase our revenue, we must increase the number of and/or the productivity of our distributors.

We have experienced periodic declines in both active distributors and executive distributors in the past and could experience such declines again in the future. If our initiatives for 2012 do not drive growth in our distributor numbers, particularly in Japan, the United States and Europe where we have experienced some softness in our sponsoring and distributors numbers, our operating results could be harmed. While we take many steps to help train, motivate, and retain distributors, we cannot accurately predict how the number and productivity of distributors may fluctuate because we rely primarily upon our distributor leaders to recruit, train, and motivate new distributors. Our operating results could be harmed if we and our distributor leaders do not generate sufficient interest in our business to retain existing distributors and attract new distributors.

The number and productivity of our distributors could be harmed by several additional factors, including:

- any adverse publicity regarding us, our products, our distribution channel, or our competitors;
 - lack of interest in, or the technical failure of, existing or new products;
- lack of a compelling sponsoring story that generates interest for potential new distributors and effectively draws them into the business;
 - any negative public perception of our products and their ingredients;
- any negative public perception of our distributors and direct selling businesses in general;
 - our actions to enforce our policies and procedures;
 - any regulatory actions or charges against us or others in our industry;
 - general economic and business conditions; and
- potential saturation or maturity levels in a given country or market which could negatively impact our ability to attract and retain distributors in such market.

Because our Japanese operations account for a significant part of our business, continued weakness in our business operations in Japan could harm our business.

Approximately 27% of our 2011 revenue was generated in Japan. We have experienced local currency revenue declines in Japan over the last several years and continue to face challenges in this market. These declines could continue or increase. Factors that could impact our results in the market include:

- continued or increased levels of regulatory and media scrutiny and any regulatory actions taken by regulators, or any adoption of more restrictive regulations, in response to such scrutiny;
 - significant weakening of the Japanese yen;
- increased regulatory constraints with respect to the claims we can make regarding the efficacy of products and tools, which could limit our ability to effectively market them;
- risks that the initiatives we have implemented in Japan, which are patterned after successful initiatives implemented in other markets, will not have the same level of success in Japan, may not generate renewed growth or increased productivity among our distributors, and may cost more or require more time to implement than we have anticipated;
 - inappropriate activities by our distributors and any resulting regulatory actions against us or our distributors;
- improper practices of other direct selling companies or their distributors that increase regulatory and media scrutiny of our industry;
 - any weakness in the economy or consumer confidence; and
- increased competitive pressures from other direct selling companies and their distributors who actively seek to solicit our distributors to join their businesses.

Regulatory scrutiny of the direct selling industry in Japan could harm our business if we are not able to successfully limit the number of general inquiries and complaints regarding our business received by consumer protection centers.

We continue to experience a high level of regulatory scrutiny of the direct selling industry in Japan. Several direct sellers in Japan have been penalized for actions of distributors that violated applicable regulations, including one prominent international direct selling company that was suspended from sponsoring activities for three months in 2008, and another large Japanese direct selling company that was suspended from sponsoring activities for six months in 2009. In addition, some Japanese lawmakers have experienced political pressure to discontinue supporting the direct selling industry.

We also continue to experience a high level of general inquiries and complaints to consumer protection centers in Japan and have taken steps to try to resolve these issues including providing additional training to distributors, and restructuring our compliance group in Japan. We have seen improvements in some prefectures, but not in others. We have received warnings from consumer centers in certain prefectures raising concerns about our distributor training and number of general inquiries and complaints. Although we are implementing additional steps to reinforce our

distributor education and training in Japan to help address these concerns, we cannot be sure that such steps will be successful. If consumer complaints and inquiries escalate to a government review or if the current level of complaints and inquiries does not improve, there is an increased likelihood that regulators could take action against us, including a suspension of our sponsoring activities, or we could receive negative media attention, either of which could harm our business. In 2009, Japan implemented a national organization of consumer protection centers, which has further increased scrutiny of our business and industry.

If direct selling regulations in China are modified, interpreted or enforced in a manner that results in negative changes to our business model or the imposition of a range of potential penalties, our business would be significantly negatively impacted.

The government of China has adopted direct selling regulations that impose significant restrictions and limitations on the way we do business. Most notably, the regulations include a restriction on the use of multi-level compensation of individual direct sellers, which is the basis of how we compensate sales people outside of China. We have structured our business model in China based on several factors: our interpretation of applicable regulations, the guidance we have received from government officials, our understanding of the practices of other international direct selling companies operating in China, and our understanding as to how regulators are enforcing the regulations. We have designed a hybrid business model in China where we operate with both independent direct sellers who can sell away from our stores as well as contractual sales promoters who can progress through various leadership positions in our sales organization and become employed sales representatives once they have achieved designated performance levels. We have adopted this hybrid business structure because we believe it provides us with more flexibility in how we compensate our sales leaders in China, enabling us to compensate them at a level that is competitive with other direct selling companies in the market and reflective of the compensation of our distributor sales leaders globally. The nature of the political, regulatory and legal systems in China gives regulatory agencies at both the local and central levels of government broad discretion to interpret and enforce regulations in a fashion that promotes social order. We face a risk that regulators may change the way in which they currently interpret and enforce the direct selling regulations. If our business practices are found to be in violation of applicable regulations as they may be interpreted or enforced in the future, in particular our use of the sales productivity of a sales leader and the sales promoters and employees he/she leads and supervises in setting his/her quarterly compensation level, then we could be forced to change our business model and/or sanctioned, either of which could significantly harm our business.

Our operations in China are subject to significant government scrutiny, and we could be subject to fines or other penalties if our employees or direct sellers engage in activities that violate applicable laws and regulations.

We work diligently to train our sales force in China on how our China business model differs from our global business model. But because there are often foreign sales leaders performing training in China and because our global model varies significantly from our China business model, confusion can result as to how those working in China should promote the business in China. This confusion may lead to governmental reviews and investigations of our operations in China. The legal system in China provides governmental authorities with broad latitude to conduct investigations. We anticipate that our business will continue to attract significant governmental scrutiny, particularly as our business grows and the number of sales employees and contractual sales promoters continues to increase. While we have been able to resolve past investigations and have only been required to pay fines in a limited number of instances, all between 2002 and 2007, we face a risk that future investigations may result in fines or other more significant sanctions.

If we are unable to obtain additional necessary national and local government approvals in China our ability to expand our business could be negatively impacted.

We have completed the required national and local licensing processes for direct selling activities in various locations in China, including major cities or districts in ten provinces and three municipalities. In order to expand our direct selling model into additional provinces, we currently must obtain a series of approvals from district, city,

provincial and national government agencies with respect to each province in which we wish to expand. The process for obtaining the necessary government approvals to conduct direct selling continues to evolve and is lengthy, as we are required to work with a large number of provincial, city, district and national government authorities. The complexity of the approval process as well as the government's continued cautious approach as direct selling develops in China makes it difficult to predict the timeline for obtaining these approvals. If the results of the government's evaluation of our direct selling activities result in further delays in obtaining licenses elsewhere, or if the current processes for obtaining approvals are delayed further for any reason or are changed or are interpreted differently than currently understood, our ability to receive direct selling licenses in China and our growth prospects in this market, could be negatively impacted.

We also face lengthy timelines with respect to product registrations in China. For nutrition products in particular the registration process is laborious. The process for obtaining product permits and licenses may require extended periods of time that may prevent us from being able to launch product initiatives in China on the same timelines as other markets around the world.

If we are unable to effectively manage our rapid growth in China, our operations could be harmed.

We have experienced rapid growth in China, which could strain our ability to effectively manage our operations. We continue to focus resources to successfully manage the necessary expansion of our management team, labor force, manufacturing operations, government relations efforts, and stores and service centers. Insufficient management of such growth could result in, among other things, product delays, operating mistakes and errors, inadequate customer service, inappropriate claims or promotions by sales employees, and governmental inquiries and investigations, all of which could harm our revenue and ability to generate sustained growth and result in unanticipated expenses.

If our Pharmanex BioPhotonic Scanner or ageLOC Galvanic Spa Systems, including our recently launched ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa are determined to be medical devices in a particular geographic market or if our distributors use these products for medical purposes or make improper medical claims, our ability to continue to market and distribute such tools could be harmed.

One of our strategies is to market unique and innovative products and tools that allow our distributors to distinguish our products, including our ageLOC Galvanic Spa Systems and our Pharmanex BioPhotonic Scanner. We do not believe these products are medical devices. However, we have faced regulatory inquiries in Japan, South Korea, Indonesia, Taiwan, Singapore, Thailand, Colombia and the United States regarding our Pharmanex BioPhotonic Scanner and/or our ageLOC Galvanic Spa Systems. While we have successfully worked with regulators to resolve these matters in some markets, we have not been able to market our ageLOC Galvanic Spa Systems as cosmetic devices in Taiwan, Indonesia, Thailand and Colombia, due to similar regulatory restrictions that have required us to register our ageLOC Galvanic Spa Systems as medical devices. There have also been legislative proposals in Singapore and Malaysia relating to the regulation of medical devices that could affect the way we market our ageLOC Galvanic Spa Systems and our Pharmanex BioPhotonic Scanner in these countries. In 2011, a shipment of ageLOC Galvanic Spa System II units into the United States was detained upon import pending a classification review by the Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA"). The matter is still under review and we may elect to limit importation of ageLOC Galvanic Spa System II units into the United States until this review is complete. Any determination by the FDA in the United States or by a regulatory authority in another market that our ageLOC Galvanic Spa Systems must be registered as medical devices could inhibit or restrict our ability to market these products in such market until such registration is obtained, which could harm our business. In addition, if our distributors are using these products to make medical claims or perform medical diagnoses or other activities limited to licensed professionals or approved medical devices, it could negatively impact our ability to market or sell these products. Regulatory scrutiny of a product could also dampen distributor enthusiasm and hinder the ability of distributors to effectively utilize such product.

Where necessary, obtaining medical device registrations could require us to provide documentation concerning product manufacturing and clinical utility and to make design, specification and manufacturing process modifications to meet stringent standards imposed on medical device companies. There can be no assurance we will be able to provide the required medical device documentation, prove clinical utility in a manner sufficient to obtain medical device approval or make such changes promptly or in a manner that is satisfactory to regulatory authorities. If we obtain such medical device approval in order to sell a product in one market, such approval may be used as precedent to a claim that similar approval should be required in another market or negatively impact our ability to import and export our ageLOC Galvanic Spa Systems as cosmetic devices in markets where such approvals are not currently required.

Laws and regulations may prohibit or severely restrict our direct sales efforts and cause our revenue and profitability to decline, and regulators could adopt new regulations that harm our business.

Various government agencies throughout the world regulate direct sales practices. Laws and regulations in Japan, South Korea and China are particularly restrictive and difficult. These laws and regulations are generally intended to prevent fraudulent or deceptive schemes, often referred to as “pyramid” schemes, that compensate participants for recruiting additional participants irrespective of product sales, use high pressure recruiting methods and/or do not involve legitimate products. The laws and regulations in our current markets often:

- impose order cancellations, product returns, inventory buy-backs and cooling-off rights for consumers and distributors;
 - require us or our distributors to register with government agencies;
 - impose caps on the amount of commissions we can pay; and/or
- require us to ensure that distributors are not being compensated based upon the recruitment of new distributors.

Complying with these widely varying and sometimes inconsistent rules and regulations can be difficult and may require the devotion of significant resources on our part. If we are unable to continue business in existing markets or commence operations in new markets because of these laws, our revenue and profitability may decline. To comply with legal limitations on commissions in South Korea, we were required to reduce the commissions paid to our top distributors in that market in December 2011, due in part to substantial sales of products introduced in connection with our global convention. Our business could be harmed in South Korea if we are required to make further commission reductions in order to comply with legal limitations on commissions in the future. In addition, countries where we currently do business could change their laws or regulations to negatively affect or completely prohibit direct sales efforts.

Challenges to the form of our network marketing system could harm our business.

We may be subject to challenges by government regulators and third parties in civil actions regarding the form of our network marketing system. Legal and regulatory requirements concerning our industry involve a high level of subjectivity and are inherently fact-based and subject to interpretation, which provides regulators with more discretion in their application of these laws and regulations. We have seen heightened government scrutiny of our industry in various markets, including Japan, South Korea, China, Europe, and the United Kingdom. From time to time, we

receive formal and informal inquiries from various government regulatory authorities about our business and our compliance with local laws and regulations. For example, in 2009, Belgium authorities alleged that we violated the anti-pyramid regulations in that market and the Hungary Consumer Protection Agency opened an inquiry regarding various marketing claims. We have worked with these local authorities to resolve these matters. However, we are aware that in an unrelated matter involving another direct selling company, a court in Belgium recently held that such direct selling company violated Belgium's anti-pyramid regulations. If authorities in Belgium find the ruling more restrictive than their current interpretation of the anti-pyramid regulations, we may face a similar determination. We are aware of several civil actions that have been taken against some of our competitors in the United States, including one in which a significant settlement has been proposed.

If we are not able to resolve existing regulatory reviews to the satisfaction of the applicable governmental agencies, or there are any new regulatory or civil actions challenges regarding our business or others in our industry, our business could be harmed if such actions result in the imposition of any fines or damages on our business, create adverse publicity, increase scrutiny of our industry, detrimentally affect our efforts to recruit or motivate distributors and attract customers, or interpret laws in a manner inconsistent with our current business practices.

Government regulations relating to the marketing and advertising of our products and services may restrict, inhibit or delay our ability to sell our products and harm our business.

Our products and our related marketing and advertising efforts are subject to numerous domestic and foreign government agencies' and authorities' laws and extensive regulations, which govern the ingredients and products that may be marketed without pre-market approval and/or registration as a drug and the claims that may be made regarding such products. Many of these laws and regulations involve a high level of subjectivity, are inherently fact-based and subject to interpretation, and vary significantly from market to market. These laws and regulations can limit the claims we can make regarding our products and often restrict our ability to introduce products or ingredients into one or more markets. In Europe for example, we are unable to market supplements that contain ingredients that were not marketed prior to May 1997 in Europe ("novel foods") without going through an extensive registration and pre-market approval process. In addition, there has been increased regulatory scrutiny of nutritional supplements and marketing claims under existing and new regulations. At times these laws and regulations may prevent us from launching a product in a market, require us to reformulate a product or limit the claims made regarding a product. For example, in 2010 and 2011, the introduction and launch of our ageLOC Vitality were delayed in certain markets in Europe due to regulatory issues. If these laws and regulations further restrict, inhibit or delay our ability to introduce or market our products or limit the claims we are able to make regarding our products, our business may be harmed.

During recent years, authorities' enforcement activity and interpretation of these regulations suggest a greater allowance for scientific-based and substantiated claims when not involving specific drug or disease claims. As a result, as companies have developed new and innovative products, there has been a trend towards more aggressive claims and the inclusion of greater science regarding the marketing of cosmetic and nutritional products. We believe in order to remain competitive we need to have similarly compelling claims. Because there is a degree of subjectivity in determining whether marketing materials or statements constitute product claims and whether they involve improper drug claims, our claims and our interpretation of applicable regulations may be challenged, which could harm our business. This is a particular risk with respect to our ageLOC line of products based on our novel approach to these products and our focus on genes and sources of aging in both our scientific explanation for support of our products as well as our marketing claims. If regulators take a more restrictive stance regarding such claims, alter their enforcement priorities, or determine that any of our claims violate applicable regulations, we could be fined or forced to modify our claims or stop selling a product.

New regulations governing the marketing and sale of nutritional supplements could harm our business.

There has been an increasing movement in the United States and other markets to increase the regulation of dietary supplements, which could impose additional restrictions or requirements in the future. In the United States, for example, some legislators and industry critics continue to push for increased regulatory authority by the FDA over nutritional supplements. Our business could be harmed if more restrictive legislation is successfully introduced and adopted in the future. For example, the FDA has proposed draft guidance for the industry to clarify the FDA's interpretation of the dietary ingredient notification requirements. This draft guidance is not final but appears to indicate that the FDA is expanding its definition of what is considered a "new dietary ingredient" in the United States. The industry is providing comments and working with the FDA to modify this guidance, however, if enacted in final form as proposed this guidance could impose new and significant regulatory barriers for our nutritional supplement products, many of which could delay or inhibit our ability to formulate, introduce and sell nutritional supplements as we have in the past. We face similar pressures in our other markets, including Europe, which is expected to adopt additional regulations setting new limits on acceptable maximum levels of vitamins and minerals. In the United States, effective December 1, 2009, the FTC approved revisions to its Guides Concerning the Use of Endorsements and Testimonials in Advertising, or Guides, that require disclosure of material connections between an endorser and the company they are endorsing and do not allow marketing using atypical results. Our distributors have historically used testimonials and "before and after" photos to market and sell some of our popular products such as our ageLOC Galvanic Spa Systems and ageLOC Transformation anti-aging skin care system. The alterations we have made to our marketing materials to conform to the requirements and restrictions of the revised Guides may diminish the impact of our marketing efforts and negatively impact our sales results. If we or our distributors fail to comply with these Guides, the FTC could bring an enforcement action against us and we could be fined and/or forced to further alter our marketing materials. Our operations also could be harmed if new laws or regulations are enacted that restrict our ability to market or distribute nutritional supplements or impose additional burdens or requirements on nutritional supplement companies or require us to reformulate our products.

Regulations governing the production and marketing of our personal care products could harm our business.

Our personal care products are subject to various domestic and foreign laws and regulations that regulate cosmetic products and set forth regulations for determining whether a product can be marketed as a "cosmetic" or requires further approval as an over-the-counter drug. A determination that our cosmetic products impact the structure or function of the human body, or improper marketing claims by our distributors may lead to a determination that such products require pre-market approval as a drug. Such regulations in any given market can limit our ability to import products and can delay product launches as we go through the registration and approval process for those products. Furthermore, if we fail to comply with these regulations, we could face enforcement action against us and we could be fined, forced to alter or stop selling our products and/or required to adjust our operations. Our operations also could be harmed if new laws or regulations are enacted that restrict our ability to market or distribute our personal care products or impose additional burdens or requirements on the contents of our personal care products or require us to reformulate our products.

If we are found not to be in compliance with Good Manufacturing Practices our operations could be harmed.

In the United States, FDA regulations on Good Manufacturing Practices and Adverse Event Reporting requirements for the nutritional supplement industry require us and our vendors to maintain good manufacturing processes, including stringent vendor qualifications, ingredient identification, manufacturing controls and record keeping. The ingredient identification requirement, which requires us to confirm the levels, identity and potency of ingredients listed on our product labels within a narrow range, is particularly burdensome and difficult for us with respect to a product like LifePak Nano, which contains as many as 36 different ingredients. We are also required to report serious adverse events associated with consumer use of our products. Our operations could be harmed if regulatory authorities make determinations that we or our vendors are not in compliance with these regulations or public reporting of adverse events harms our reputation for quality and safety. A finding of noncompliance may result in administrative warnings, penalties or actions impacting our ability to continue selling certain of our products. In addition, compliance with these regulations has increased and may further increase the cost of manufacturing certain of our products as we work with our vendors to assure they are qualified and in compliance.

The loss of suppliers or shortages in ingredients could harm our business.

We acquire ingredients and products from two suppliers that each currently manufactures a significant portion of our Nu Skin personal care products. In addition, we currently rely on two suppliers for a majority of Pharmanex nutritional supplement products. In the event we were to lose any of these suppliers and experience any difficulties in finding or transitioning to alternative suppliers, this could harm our business. In addition, we obtain some of our products, including our ageLoc Galvanic Spa systems, from sole suppliers that own or control the product formulations, ingredients, or other intellectual property rights associated with such products. We also license the right to distribute some of our products from third parties. In the event we are unable to renew these contracts, we may need to discontinue some products or develop substitute products, which could harm our revenue. In addition, if we experience supply shortages or regulatory impediments with respect to the raw materials and ingredients we use in our products, we may need to seek alternative supplies or suppliers and may experience difficulties in finding ingredients that are comparable in quality and price. Some of our nutritional products, including g3 juice, incorporate natural products that are only harvested once a year and may have limited supplies. If demand exceeds forecasts, we may have difficulties in obtaining additional supplies to meet the excess demand until the next growing season. If we are unable to successfully respond to such issues, our business could be harmed.

Product diversion to certain markets, including China, may have a negative impact on our business.

From time to time, we see our product being sold through online or other distribution channels in certain markets. Although we have taken steps to try to control this activity for products sold in China, this issue continues to be a significant challenge. Product diversion causes confusion regarding our distribution channels and negatively impacts our distributors' ability to retail our products. It also creates a negative impression regarding the viability of the business opportunity for our distributors and sales representatives, which can harm our ability to recruit new distributors and sales representatives. In addition, in some cases, product diversion schemes may also involve illegal importation, investment or other activities. If we are unable to effectively address this issue or if diversion increases, our business could be harmed.

Changes to our distributor compensation arrangements could be viewed negatively by some distributors, could fail to achieve desired long-term results and have a negative impact on revenue.

Our distributor compensation plan includes some components that differ from market to market. We modify components of our compensation plan from time to time in an attempt to keep our compensation plan competitive and attractive to existing and potential distributors, to address changing market dynamics, to provide incentives to distributors that we believe will help grow our business, to conform to local regulations and to address other business needs. Because of the size of our distributor force and the complexity of our compensation plans, it is difficult to predict how such changes will be viewed by distributors and whether such changes will achieve their desired results. For example, certain changes we made to our compensation plan in the past, which had been successful in several markets, did not achieve anticipated results in Japan, China and certain markets in Southeast Asia and negatively impacted our business.

Production difficulties, quality control problems and inaccurate forecasting could harm our business.

Production difficulties and quality control problems and our reliance on third party suppliers to deliver quality products in a timely manner could harm our business. Occasionally, we have experienced production difficulties with respect to our products, including the import or export of ingredients and delivery of products that do not meet our specifications and quality control standards. These quality problems have resulted in the past, and could result in the future, in stock outages or shortages in our markets with respect to such products, harming our sales and creating inventory write-offs for unusable products.

Our method of launching new products globally on a condensed schedule has increased pressure on our supply chain. If we are not able to accurately forecast sales levels on a market by market basis, or are unable to produce a sufficient supply to meet such demand globally, we may incur higher expedited shipping costs and we may experience stockouts, which could negatively impact the enthusiasm of our distributors. However, if we over forecast demand for a global product launch, we could incur increased write-offs.

Adverse publicity concerning our business, marketing plan, products or people could harm our business and reputation.

The size of our distribution force and the results of our operations can be particularly impacted by adverse publicity regarding us, the nature of our distributor network, our products or the actions of our distributors and employees. Specifically, we are susceptible to adverse publicity concerning:

- suspicions about the legality and ethics of network marketing;
- the safety or effectiveness of ingredients in our or our competitors' products;
- regulatory investigations of us, our competitors and our respective products;
- the actions of our current or former distributors and employees; and
- public perceptions of the direct selling industry or the nutritional or personal care industry generally.

For example, in 2010 we received a 60-day notice from a consumer group in California of its intent to file a citizen enforcement action under California Proposition 65, alleging that we failed to warn consumers of exposure to lead in four of our products. We are aware that a number of other nutritional companies have received similar notices and withdrawals from the same group. In 2010, we also received a letter from the California Attorney General, alleging that one of our products contained lead in excess of the level allowed under California Proposition 65. If one or more of these products is found to be in violation of California Proposition 65, we may be required to reformulate the product, label the product in compliance with California Proposition 65 or, at our election, discontinue selling the product in California. We may also be required to pay civil fines. Although we believe we are in compliance with the requirements of California Proposition 65, any negative media attention or other adverse publicity created by these allegations, or any new or additional allegations, could negatively impact consumer and distributor perceptions of our products and harm our business.

In addition, in the past we have experienced negative publicity that has harmed our business in connection with regulatory investigations and inquiries. Critics of our industry and other individuals who want to pursue an agenda, have in the past and may in the future utilize the internet, the press and other means to publish criticisms of the industry, our company and our competitors, or make allegations regarding our business and operations, or the business and operations of our competitors. We or others in our industry may receive similar negative publicity or allegations in the future, and it may harm our business and reputation.

Non-compliance with anti-corruption laws could harm our business.

Our international operations are subject to anti-corruption laws, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (the “FCPA”). Any allegations that we are not in compliance with anti-corruption laws may require us to dedicate time and resources to an internal investigation of the allegations or may result in a government investigation. Any determination that our operations or activities are not in compliance with existing anti-corruption laws or regulations could result in the imposition of substantial fines, and other penalties. Although we have implemented anti-corruption policies, controls and training globally to protect against violation of these laws, we cannot be certain that these efforts will be effective. We are aware that one of our competitors is under investigation in the United States for allegations that its employees violated the FCPA in China and other markets. If this investigation causes adverse publicity or increased scrutiny of our industry, our business could be harmed.

Our ability to conduct business, particularly in international markets, may be affected by political, legal, tax and regulatory risks.

Our ability to capitalize on growth in new international markets and to maintain the current level of operations in our existing international markets is exposed to risks associated with our international operations, including:

- the possibility that a foreign government might ban or severely restrict our business method of direct selling, or that local civil unrest, political instability or changes in diplomatic or trade relationships might disrupt our operations in an international market;
 - the lack of well-established or reliable legal systems in certain areas where we operate;
 - the presence of high inflation in the economies of international markets in which we operate;

- the possibility that a government authority might impose legal, tax or other financial burdens on us or our distributors, due, for example, to the structure of our operations in various markets;
- the possibility that a government authority might challenge the status of our distributors as independent contractors or impose employment or social taxes on our distributors; and
- the possibility that governments may impose currency remittance restrictions limiting our ability to repatriate cash.

If we are unable to successfully expand and grow operations within developing markets, we may have difficulty achieving our long-term objectives.

A significant percentage of our revenue growth over the past decade has been attributable to our expansion into new markets. Our growth over the next several years depends in part on our ability to successfully introduce products and implement initiatives in developing markets that will help generate growth. In addition to the regulatory difficulties we may face in introducing our products and initiatives in these markets, we could face difficulties in achieving acceptance of our premium-priced products in developing markets. In the past, we have struggled to operate profitably in developing markets. We may experience similar difficulty in our current and future new markets. If we are unable to successfully expand our operations within these developing markets, our opportunities to grow our business may be limited, and, as a result, we may not be able to achieve our long-term objectives.

We depend on our key personnel, and the loss of the services provided by any of our executive officers or other key employees could harm our business and results of operations.

Our success depends to a significant degree upon the continued contributions of our senior and regional management, many of whom would be difficult to replace. We currently have several expatriates serving in key management positions in Japan to strengthen the management team in that market. In order to sustain growth in our markets, we will need to continue to develop and attract qualified management personnel. Our senior and regional management employees may voluntarily terminate their employment with us at any time. If we are not able to successfully retain existing personnel or identify, hire and integrate new personnel, our business and growth prospects could be harmed.

Inability of new products and other initiatives to gain distributor and market acceptance could harm our business.

Our ability to retain key and executive level distributors or to sponsor new executive distributors is critical to our success. Because our products are distributed exclusively through our distributors and we compete with other direct selling companies in attracting distributors, our operating results could be adversely affected if our existing and new business opportunities and incentives, products and other initiatives do not generate sufficient enthusiasm and economic incentive to retain our existing distributors or to sponsor new distributors on a sustained basis. Factors that could affect our ability to continue to introduce new products include, among others, government regulations, the inability to attract and retain qualified research and development staff, the termination of third-party research and collaborative arrangements, proprietary protections of competitors that may limit our ability to offer comparable products and the difficulties in anticipating changes in consumer tastes and buying preferences. In addition, in our more mature markets, one of the challenges we face is keeping distributor leaders with established businesses and high income levels motivated and actively engaged in business building activities and in developing new distributor leaders. There can be no assurance that our initiatives will continue to generate excitement among our distributors in the long-term or that planned initiatives will be successful in maintaining distributor activity and productivity or in motivating distributor leaders to remain engaged in business building and developing new distributor leaders. Some initiatives may have unanticipated negative impacts on our distributors, particularly changes to our compensation plan. The introduction of a new product or key initiative can also negatively impact other product lines to the extent our distributor leaders focus their efforts on the new product or initiative. In addition, if any of our products fail to gain distributor acceptance, we could see an increase in returns.

The loss of key distributors could negatively impact our distributor growth and our revenue.

As of December 31, 2011, we had a global network of more than 850,000 active distributors. More than 40,000 of our distributors were executive distributors. Approximately 604 distributors occupied the highest distributor level under our global compensation plan as of that date. These distributors, together with their extensive networks of downline distributors, generate substantially all of our revenue. As a result, the loss of a high-level distributor or a group of leading distributors in the distributor's network of downline distributors, whether by their own choice or through disciplinary actions by us for violations of our policies and procedures, could negatively impact our distributor growth and our revenue.

Government authorities may question our tax positions or transfer pricing policies or change their laws in a manner that could increase our effective tax rate or otherwise harm our business.

As a U.S. company doing business in international markets through subsidiaries, we are subject to various tax and intercompany pricing laws, including those relating to the flow of funds between our company and our subsidiaries. From time to time, we are audited by tax regulators in the United States and in our foreign markets. If regulators challenge our tax positions, corporate structure, transfer pricing mechanisms or intercompany transfers, we may be subject to fines and payment of back taxes, our effective tax rate may increase and our operations may be harmed. Tax rates vary from country to country, and, if regulators determine that our profits in one jurisdiction may need to be increased, we may not be able to fully utilize all foreign tax credits that are generated, which will increase our effective tax rate. For example, our federal corporate income tax rate in the United States is 35%. If our profitability in a higher tax jurisdiction, such as Japan where our tax rate in 2011 was approximately 45%, increases disproportionately to the rest of our business, our effective tax rate may increase. The various customs, exchange control and transfer pricing laws are continually changing and are subject to the interpretation of government agencies. We have experienced increased efforts by customs authorities to reclassify our products or otherwise increase the level of duties we pay on our products, including in Japan, Taiwan and Thailand. Despite our efforts to be aware of and comply with such laws, and changes to and interpretations thereof, there is a risk that we may not continue to operate in compliance with such laws. We may need to adjust our operating procedures in response to such changes, and as a result, our business may suffer. In addition, due to the international nature of our business, we are subject from time to time to reviews and audits by the foreign taxing authorities of other jurisdictions in which we conduct business throughout the world.

We may be held responsible for certain taxes or assessments relating to the activities of our distributors, which could harm our financial condition and operating results.

Our distributors are subject to taxation, and in some instances, legislation or governmental agencies impose an obligation on us to collect taxes, such as value added taxes, and to maintain appropriate records. In addition, we are subject to the risk in some jurisdictions of being responsible for social security and similar taxes with respect to our distributors. In the event that local laws and regulations or the interpretation of local laws and regulations change to require us to treat our independent distributors as employees, or that our distributors are deemed by local regulatory authorities in one or more of the jurisdictions in which we operate to be our employees rather than independent contractors under existing laws and interpretations, we may be held responsible for social security and related taxes in those jurisdictions, plus any related assessments and penalties, which could harm our financial condition and operating results. If our distributors were deemed to be employees rather than independent contractors, we would also face the threat of increased vicarious liability for their actions.

The loss of or a disruption in our manufacturing and distribution operations could adversely affect our business.

As of December 31, 2011, our principal properties consist of distribution centers where offices are located and where finished merchandise is packed and shipped to distributors in fulfillment of their orders, our worldwide headquarters, three research and development facilities and 40 retail stores and manufacturing facilities in China. Additionally, we also use third party manufacturers to manufacture certain of our products. As a company engaged in manufacturing, distribution and research and development on a global scale, we are subject to the risks inherent in such activities, including industrial accidents, environmental events, fires, strikes and other labor or industrial disputes, disruptions in logistics or information systems, loss or impairment of key manufacturing or distribution sites, product quality control, safety, licensing requirements and other regulatory or government issues, as well as natural disasters, pandemics, border disputes, acts of terrorism and other external factors over which we have no control. These risks may be exacerbated by our efforts to increase facility consolidation covering our manufacturing, distribution and supply footprints or if we are unable to successfully enhance our disaster recovery planning. The loss of, or damage to, any of our facilities or centers, or that of our third party manufacturers could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Disruptions to transportation channels that we use to distribute our products to international warehouses may adversely affect our margins and profitability in those markets.

We may experience disruptions to the transportation channels used to distribute our products, including increased airport and shipping port congestion, a lack of transportation capacity, increased fuel expenses, and a shortage of manpower. Disruptions in our container shipments may result in increased costs, including the additional use of airfreight to meet demand. Although we have not recently experienced significant shipping disruptions, we continue to watch for signs of upcoming congestion. Congestion to ports can affect previously negotiated contracts with shipping companies, resulting in unexpected increases in shipping costs and reduction in our net sales.

Our markets are intensely competitive, and market conditions and the strengths of competitors may harm our business.

The markets for our products are intensely competitive. Our results of operations may be harmed by market conditions and competition in the future. Many competitors have much greater name recognition and financial resources than we have, which may give them a competitive advantage. For example, our Nu Skin products compete directly with branded, premium retail products. We also compete with other direct selling organizations. Some of the leading direct selling companies in our existing markets are Herbalife, Mary Kay, Oriflame, Melaleuca, Avon, Forever Living and Amway. Because of regulatory restrictions concerning claims about the efficacy of personal care products and dietary supplements, we may have difficulty differentiating our products from our competitors' products, and competing products entering the personal care and nutritional market could harm our revenue.

We also compete with other network marketing companies for distributors. Some of these competitors have a longer operating history and greater visibility, name recognition and financial resources than we do. Some of our competitors have also adopted and could continue to adopt some of our successful business strategies, including our global compensation plan for distributors. Consequently, to successfully compete in this market and attract and retain distributors, we must ensure that our business opportunities and compensation plans are financially rewarding. We are beginning our 28th year in this industry and believe we have significant competitive advantages, but we cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully compete in every endeavor in this market.

Product liability claims could harm our business.

We may be required to pay for losses or injuries purportedly or actually caused by our products. Although historically we have had a very limited number and relatively low financial exposure from product claims, we have experienced difficulty in finding insurers that are willing to provide product liability coverage at reasonable rates due to insurance industry trends and the rising cost of insurance generally. As a result, we have elected to self-insure our product liability risks for our product lines. Until we elect and are able at reasonable rates to obtain product liability insurance, if any of our products are found to cause any injury or damage, we will be subject to the full amount of liability associated with any injuries or damages. This liability could be substantial and may exceed our reserves. We cannot predict if and when product liability insurance will be available to us on reasonable terms.

We are involved, and may become involved in the future, in legal proceedings that, if adversely adjudicated or settled, could adversely affect our financial results.

We are and may in the future become party to litigation. In general, litigation claims can be expensive and time consuming to bring or defend against and could result in settlements or damages that could significantly affect financial results. We are currently vigorously contesting certain of these litigation claims. However, it is not possible to predict the final resolution of the litigation to which we currently are or may in the future become party to, and the impact of certain of these matters on our business, results of operations and financial condition could be material.

We are currently involved in two separate disputes with customs authorities in Japan with respect to duty assessments on several of our Pharmanex nutritional products. The first dispute relates to additional customs assessments made by Yokohama Customs for the period of October 2002 through June 2005. In March 2011, the Tokyo District Court denied our complaint and upheld the additional assessments. As a result of this decision, we recorded an expense for the full amount of the disputed assessments, or \$32.8 million, in the first quarter of 2011. We strongly disagree with the Tokyo District Court's decision and have appealed the matter to the Tokyo High Court. We currently anticipate that this appeal will be decided in 2012. The second dispute in Japan relates to additional customs assessments made by Yokohama Customs for the period of October 2006 through September 2009 in connection with post-importation audits, as well as the disputed portion of our import duties from October 2009 to the present, which we have or will hold in bond or pay under protest. The aggregate amount of these assessments and disputed duties was 3.9 billion Japanese yen as of December 31, 2011 (approximately \$50.7 million), net of any recovery of consumption taxes. In addition, we are currently being required to post a bond or make a deposit equal to the difference between our declared duties and the amount the customs authorities have determined we should be paying on all current imports. We are now pursuing this matter in Tokyo District Court. Any adverse rulings in these matters could materially impact our results. Please refer to Item 3. "Legal Proceedings" for more information regarding these litigation matters.

In addition, our intellectual property may infringe on the rights of others, resulting in costly litigation. In recent years, there has been significant litigation in the United States involving patents and other intellectual property rights. In particular, there has been an increase in the filing of suits alleging infringement of intellectual property rights, which pressure defendants into entering settlement arrangements quickly to dispose of such suits, regardless of their merit. Other companies or individuals may allege that we, our customers, licensees or other parties indemnified by us infringe on their intellectual property rights. Even if we believe that such claims are without merit, defending such intellectual property litigation can be costly, distract management's attention and resources, and the outcome is inherently uncertain. Claims of intellectual property infringement also might require us to redesign affected products, enter into costly settlement or license agreements, pay costly damage awards, or face a temporary or permanent injunction prohibiting us from marketing or selling certain of our products. Any of these results may adversely affect

our financial condition.

- 37 -

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights, our ability to compete could be negatively impacted.

The market for our products depends to a significant extent upon the value associated with our product innovations and our brand equity. We rely upon patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws in the United States and similar laws in other countries, and non-disclosure, confidentiality and other types of agreements with our employees, customers, suppliers and other parties, to establish, maintain and enforce our intellectual property rights. Despite these measures, any of our intellectual property rights could be challenged, invalidated, circumvented or misappropriated, or such intellectual property rights may not be sufficient to permit us to provide competitive advantages, which could result in costly product redesign efforts, discontinuance of certain product offerings or other competitive harm. In addition, the laws of certain foreign countries, including emerging markets such as China, may not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. The costs required to protect our patents and trademarks may be substantial. We have filed patent applications to protect our intellectual property rights in our new technologies, however, there can be no assurance that our patent applications will be approved, that any patents issued will adequately protect our intellectual property, or that such patents will not be challenged by third parties or found by a judicial authority to be invalid or unenforceable. Moreover, many of our products rely on technologies developed or licensed by third parties, and we may not be able to obtain or continue to obtain licenses and technologies from these third parties at all or on reasonable terms.

In order to protect or enforce and protect our intellectual property rights, we may initiate litigation against third parties, such as patent infringement suits or interference proceedings. Any lawsuits that we initiate could be expensive, take significant time and divert management's attention from other business concerns. Litigation also puts our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly and our patent applications at risk of not issuing. Additionally, we may provoke third parties to assert claims against us. We may not prevail in any lawsuits that we initiate and the damages or other remedies awarded, if any, may not be commercially valuable. The occurrence of any of these events may adversely affect our financial condition.

If we are unable to protect the confidentiality of our proprietary information and know-how, the value of our products could be adversely affected.

In addition to patented technology, we rely on our unpatented proprietary technology, trade secrets, processes and know-how. Despite these measures, any of our intellectual property rights could, however, be challenged, invalidated, circumvented or misappropriated. We generally seek to protect this information by confidentiality, non-disclosure and assignment of invention agreements with our employees, consultants, scientific advisors and third parties. Our employees may leave to work for competitors. These agreements may be breached, and we may not have adequate remedies for any such breach. In addition, our trade secrets may be disclosed to or otherwise become known or be independently developed by competitors. To the extent that our current or former employees, consultants or contractors use intellectual property owned by others in their work for us, disputes may arise as to the rights in related or resulting know-how and inventions. If, for any of the above reasons, our intellectual property is disclosed or misappropriated, it would harm our ability to protect our rights and adversely affect our financial condition.

We may be subject to claims that our employees or we have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed alleged trade secrets or other proprietary information of our employees' former employers.

We employ individuals who were previously employed at other personal care product or nutritional supplement companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. To the extent that our employees are involved in research areas that are similar to those in which they were involved with their former employers, we may be subject to claims that such employees have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed the alleged trade secrets or other proprietary information of the former employers. Litigation may be necessary to defend against such claims.

Any future acquisitions may expose us to additional risks.

From time to time we review acquisition prospects that would complement our current product offerings, increase the size and geographic scope of our operations or otherwise offer growth and operating efficiency opportunities. The financing for any of these acquisitions could dilute the interests of our stockholders, result in an increase in our indebtedness or both. Acquisitions may entail numerous risks, including:

- difficulties in assimilating acquired operations or products, including the loss of key employees from acquired businesses and disruption to our direct selling channel;
 - diversion of management's attention from our core business;
- adverse effects on existing business relationships with suppliers and customers; and
 - risks of entering markets in which we have limited or no prior experience.

Our failure to successfully complete the integration of any acquired business could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results. In addition, there can be no assurance that we will be able to identify suitable acquisition candidates or consummate acquisitions on favorable terms.

Any failure of our internal controls over financial reporting or our compliance efforts could harm our financial and operating results or result in fines or penalties if our employees or distributors violate any material laws or regulations.

We have implemented internal controls to help ensure the accuracy of our financial reporting and have implemented compliance policies and programs to help ensure that our employees and distributors comply with applicable laws and regulations. Our internal audit team regularly audits our internal controls and various aspects of our business and we regularly assess the effectiveness of our internal controls. In addition, our independent external auditor audits our controls and provides its opinion regarding the effectiveness of our controls. There can be no assurance, however, that these internal or external assessments and audits will identify all significant or material weaknesses in our internal controls. If we fail to identify a material weakness or if we fail to correct any noted weakness there would be a risk that we may have to restate financial statements if the material weakness resulted in a material misstatement in our financial results.

From time to time, we initiate further investigations into our business operations based on the results of these audits or complaints, questions, or allegations made by employees or other parties regarding our business practices and operations. In addition, our business and operations may be investigated by applicable government authorities. In the event any of these investigations identify material violations of applicable laws by our employees or distributors, we could be subject to adverse publicity, fines, penalties or loss of licenses or permits.

System failures could harm our business.

Because of our diverse geographic operations and our complex distributor compensation plan, our business is highly dependent on efficiently functioning information technology systems. These systems and operations are vulnerable to damage or interruption from fires, earthquakes, telecommunications failures and other events. They are also subject to break-ins, sabotage, intentional acts of vandalism and similar misconduct. We have adopted and implemented a Business Continuity/Disaster Recovery Plan. Our primary data sets are archived and stored at third-party secure sites. We have set up a recovery site for certain critical data and operations related to our distributors and we are currently setting up a recovery site for certain other critical data and operations. Despite these precautions, the occurrence of a natural disaster or other unanticipated problems could result in interruptions in services and reduce our revenue and profits.

Epidemics and other global health risks could negatively impact our business.

Our revenue was negatively impacted in 2003 by the SARS epidemic that hit Asia during that year. It is difficult to predict the impact on our business, if any, of a recurrence of SARS, or the emergence of new epidemics, such as avian flu or H1N1 flu. Although such events could generate increased sales of health and immune supplements and certain personal care products, our direct selling and retail activities and results of operations could be harmed if the fear of any communicable and rapidly spreading disease results in travel restrictions or causes people to avoid group meetings or gatherings or interaction with other people. In addition, most of our Pharmanex nutritional supplement revenue is generated from products that are encapsulated in bovine- and/or porcine-sourced gel capsules. If we experience production difficulties, quality control problems, or shortages in supply in connection with bovine or porcine related health concerns, this could result in additional risk of product shortages or write-offs of inventory that no longer can be used. We may be unable to introduce our products in some markets if we are unable to obtain the necessary regulatory approvals or if any product ingredients are prohibited, which could harm our business.

The market price of our Class A common stock is subject to significant fluctuations due to a number of factors that are beyond our control.

Our Class A common stock closed at \$23.39 per share on February 1, 2010 and closed at \$50.60 per share on February 1, 2012. During this two-year period, our Class A common stock traded as low as \$22.86 per share and as high as \$51.67 per share. Many factors could cause the market price of our Class A common stock to fall. Some of these factors include:

- fluctuations in our quarterly operating results;
- the sale of shares of Class A common stock by our original or significant stockholders;
- general trends in the market for our products;

- acquisitions by us or our competitors;
- economic and/or currency exchange issues in markets in which we operate;
- changes in estimates of our operating performance or changes in recommendations by securities analysts; and
 - general business and political conditions.

Broad market fluctuations could also lower the market price of our Class A common stock regardless of our actual operating performance.

If our stockholders sell a substantial number of shares of our Class A common stock in the public market, the market price of our Class A common stock could fall.

Several of our principal stockholders hold a large number of shares of the outstanding Class A common stock. A decision by any of our principal stockholders to aggressively sell shares could depress the market price of our Class A common stock. As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately 62.3 million shares of Class A common stock outstanding.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our principal properties consist of the following:

Operational Facilities. These facilities include administrative offices, walk-in centers, and warehouse/distribution centers. Our operational facilities measuring 30,000 square feet or more include the following:

- our worldwide headquarters in Provo, Utah;
- our worldwide distribution center/warehouse in Provo, Utah; and
- our distribution center in Tokyo, Japan.

Manufacturing Facilities. Each of our manufacturing facilities measure 30,000 square feet or more, and include the following:

- our nutritional supplement manufacturing facility in Zhejiang Province, China;
- our personal care manufacturing facility in Shanghai, China;
- our VitaMeal manufacturing facility in Jixi, Heilongjiang Province, China;
- our herbal extraction facility in Zhejiang Province, China.

Retail Stores. As of December 31, 2011, we operated 40 stores throughout China.

Research and Development Centers. We operate three research and development centers, one in Provo, Utah, one in Shanghai, China, and one in Beijing, China. In 2011, we began construction on state-of-the-art innovation centers at our corporate headquarters in Provo, Utah and our Greater China regional headquarters in Shanghai, China. We believe the Provo and Shanghai facilities will cost approximately \$90 million and \$55 million, respectively, and

anticipate that both facilities will be completed in 2013.

- 41 -

We own our corporate headquarters buildings, distribution center and research and development center located in Provo, Utah. We also own personal care and nutritional supplement plants in China, and a few other minor facilities. We currently lease the other properties described above. We believe that our existing and planned facilities are adequate for our current operations in each of our existing markets.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Japan Customs

We are currently involved in two separate disputes with customs authorities in Japan with respect to duty assessments on several of our Pharmanex nutritional products. The first dispute relates to additional customs assessments made by Yokohama Customs for the period of October 2002 through June 2005. The dispute relates to whether we used the proper valuation method for these products in determining the applicable customs duties. The primary legal issue in the case is whether the relevant import transaction is a sale between our third party manufacturers and our Japan subsidiary, or a sale between our United States subsidiary and our Japan subsidiary. In 1999, we worked with the Yokohama Customs authorities to restructure the form of the relevant transactions in order to have the import transaction be a sale between our third party manufacturers and our Japan subsidiary, and thus have the duties assessed on the price paid to our third party manufacturers. With the input and guidance of the Yokohama Customs authorities, we restructured the form of the transaction and the agreements between the relevant parties based on these discussions so that our United States subsidiary would be acting on behalf of our Japan subsidiary with respect to the purchase of these products rather than as a buyer/seller. Our Japan subsidiary entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with each of our third party manufacturers of the relevant products, which provided that our Japan subsidiary was the purchaser of the products and that our United States subsidiary was acting for and on behalf of our Japan subsidiary with respect to these products. Our Japan subsidiary also entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with our United States subsidiary documenting the same agency relationship. We believe that these legal documents establish that our United States subsidiary was acting as an agent and not buyer and seller of the relevant products. The additional assessment of duties by Yokohama Customs was based on its re-characterization of the transaction as a sale between our United States subsidiary and our Japan subsidiary for custom law purposes despite the legal form of the transaction. We do not believe the legal documentation supports the re-characterization of these transactions. We filed a complaint in the Tokyo District Court Civil Action Section in December 2006 to reverse the additional assessments. In March 2011, the Tokyo District Court denied our complaint and upheld the additional assessments. As a result of this decision, we recorded an expense for the full amount of the disputed assessments, or \$32.8 million, in the first quarter of 2011. The charge was a non-cash item, as we were previously required to pay the assessments. We strongly disagree with the Tokyo District Court's decision and have appealed the matter to the Tokyo High Court. We currently anticipate that this appeal will be decided in 2012.

The second dispute relates to additional customs assessments made by Yokohama Customs for the period of October 2006 through September 2009 in connection with post-importation audits, as well as the disputed portion of our import duties from October 2009 to the present, which we have or will hold in bond or pay under protest. The aggregate amount of these assessments and disputed duties was 3.9 billion Japanese yen as of December 31, 2011 (approximately \$50.7 million), net of any recovery of consumption taxes. Additional assessments related to any prior period would be barred by applicable statutes of limitations. The issue in this case is whether a United States entity utilizing a commissionaire agent in Japan to import its products can use the manufacturer's invoice or must use another valuation method, and, if an alternative method must be used, what the allowable deductions would be in determining the proper valuation. Following our review of the assessments and after consulting with our legal and customs advisors, we believe that the additional assessments are improper and are not supported by applicable customs laws. We filed letters of protest with Yokohama Customs, which were rejected. We then appealed the matter to the Ministry of Finance in Japan. In May 2011, we received notice that, as we had anticipated, the Ministry of Finance in Japan denied our administrative appeal. We disagree with the Ministry of Finance's administrative decision. We are now pursuing the matter in Tokyo District Court, which we believe will provide a more independent determination of the matter. In addition, we are currently being required to post a bond or make a deposit equal to the difference between our declared duties and the amount the customs authorities have determined we should be paying on all current imports. Because we believe that the higher rate determined by the customs authorities is an improper application of the regulations, we are currently expensing the portion of the duties we believe is supported under applicable customs law, and recording the additional deposit or payment as a receivable within long-term assets on our consolidated financial statements. To the extent that we are unsuccessful in recovering the amounts assessed and paid or held in bond, we will likely record a non-cash expense for the full amount of the disputed assessments.

Lazerson, Craig & Harper

In 2010, Scott Lazerson ("Lazerson"), Elizabeth Craig ("Craig") and Brady Harper ("Harper") were arrested by Provo City Police and charged in the Utah Fourth District Court with crimes associated with the alleged theft of our products. After a preliminary hearing, the Court found probable cause to bind the case over against Lazerson with respect to charges of theft by deception, but refused to bind the matter over for trial against Craig and Harper and dismissed charges against them. In September 2011, Craig and Harper filed suit against us and our subsidiaries in the Utah Fourth District Court for malicious prosecution, abuse of criminal process, defamation and intentional infliction of emotional distress. In aggregate, the proposed complaint would seek damages in excess of approximately \$42 million and punitive damages in the amount of \$200 million. We believe the complaint is without merit and intend to vigorously defend ourselves. In August 2011, we filed suit in the Utah Fourth District Court against Lazerson and Nu Lite Sales, LLC ("Nu Lite"), an entity owned by Craig and Harper, alleging fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conversion and unjust enrichment and seeking declaratory and equitable relief. A counterclaim was filed by Nu Lite that includes factual allegations similar to those set forth in the complaint filed on behalf of Craig and Harper. The counterclaim alleges conversion and tortious interference with prospective business relations, and seeks aggregate damages in excess of \$2 million and punitive damages in the amount of \$20 million. We believe the counterclaim is without merit.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND
5. ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our Class A common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and trades under the symbol "NUS." The following table is based upon the information available to us and sets forth the range of the high and low sales prices for our Class A common stock for the quarterly periods during 2010 and 2011 based upon quotations on the NYSE.

Quarter Ended	High	Low
March 31, 2010	\$ 30.23	\$ 22.86
June 30, 2010	33.99	23.12
September 30, 2010	29.87	23.55
December 31, 2010	32.72	28.24

Quarter Ended	High	Low
March 31, 2011	\$ 33.08	\$ 27.50
June 30, 2011	39.35	28.53
September 30, 2011	46.93	35.44
December 31, 2011	51.67	37.67

The market price of our Class A common stock is subject to significant fluctuations in response to variations in our quarterly operating results, general trends in the market for our products and product candidates, economic and currency exchange issues in the foreign markets in which we operate and other factors, many of which are not within our control. In addition, broad market fluctuations, as well as general economic, business, regulatory and political conditions may adversely affect the market for our Class A common stock, regardless of our actual or projected performance.

The closing price of our Class A common stock on February 1, 2012, was \$50.60. The approximate number of holders of record of our Class A common stock as of February 1, 2012 was 571. This number of holders of record does not represent the actual number of beneficial owners of shares of our Class A common stock because shares are

frequently held in “street name” by securities dealers and others for the benefit of individual owners who have the right to vote their shares.

Dividends

We declared and paid a \$0.125 per share dividend for Class A common stock in March, June, September and December of 2010, a \$0.135 per share quarterly dividend for Class A common stock in March and June 2011 and a \$0.16 per share quarterly dividend in September and December of 2011. The board of directors has approved an increased quarterly cash dividend of \$0.20 per share of Class A common stock to be paid on March 14, 2012, to stockholders of record on February 24, 2012. Annually, this would increase the dividend to \$0.80 from \$0.59 in the prior year. Management believes that cash flows from operations will be sufficient to fund this and future dividend payments, if any.

We expect to continue to pay dividends on our common stock. However, the declaration of dividends is subject to the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon various factors, including our net earnings, financial condition, cash requirements, future prospects and other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that may yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (in millions)(1)
October 1 – 31, 2011	231,900	\$ 41.19	231,900	\$ 93.1
November 1 – 30, 2011	52,919	45.05	52,683	90.7
December 1 – 31, 2011	88,178	47.01	88,178	86.3
Total	372,997(2)	43.11	372,761	

(1) In August 1998, our board of directors approved a plan to repurchase \$10.0 million of our Class A common stock on the open market or in private transactions. Our board has from time to time increased the amount authorized under the plan and a total amount of approximately \$485.0 million is currently authorized. As of December 31, 2011, we had repurchased approximately \$398.7 million of shares under the plan. There has been no termination or expiration of the plan since the initial date of approval.

(2) We have authorized the repurchase of shares acquired by our employees and distributors in certain foreign markets because of regulatory and other issues that make it difficult or costly for these persons to sell such shares in the open market. These shares were awarded or acquired in connection with our initial public offering in 1996. Of the shares listed in this column, in November 236 shares at an average price per share of \$49.00 relate to repurchases from such employees and distributors.

Stock Performance Graph

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the cumulative total stockholder return (stock price appreciation plus dividends) on our Class A Common Stock with the cumulative total return of the S&P 500 Index, a market-weighted index of publicly traded peers used in last year's report (the "Old Peer Group"), and a market-weighted index of a new peer group of publicly traded peers (the "New Peer Group") for the period from December 31, 2006 through December 31, 2011. The graph assumes that \$100 was invested in each of the Class A Common Stock, the S&P 500 Index, and each of the indexes of publicly traded peers on December 31, 2006 and that all dividends were reinvested. We have omitted Alberto Culver Co. from our New Peer Group. Alberto Culver Co. was removed because it was acquired in 2011 and is no longer publicly traded. We have added the following companies to our New Peer Group: Nature's Sunshine Products, Inc., Weight Watchers International, Inc., Mannatech, Inc. and Elizabeth Arden, Inc., because we believe these companies operate in industries and product categories that are similar to ours. The New Peer Group consists of the following companies, which compete in our industry and product categories: Avon Products, Inc., Estee Lauder, Tupperware Corporation, Herbalife LTD., USANA Health Sciences, Inc., Nature's Sunshine Products, Inc., Weight Watchers International, Inc., Mannatech, Inc. and Elizabeth Arden, Inc.

Measured Period	Company	S&P 500 Index	New Peer Group Index	Old Peer Group Index
December 31, 2006	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
December 31, 2007	92.44	105.49	110.51	116.59
December 31, 2008	60.50	66.46	71.55	75.47
December 31, 2009	160.70	84.05	102.74	113.01
December 31, 2010	184.22	96.71	125.81	137.10
December 31, 2011	300.26	98.75	139.22	145.23

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data as of and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 have been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	(U.S. dollars in thousands, except per share data and cash dividends)				
Income Statement Data:					
Revenue	\$ 1,157,667	\$ 1,247,646	\$ 1,331,058	\$ 1,537,259	\$ 1,743,991
Cost of sales	209,283	228,597	243,648	272,431	322,624
Gross profit	948,384	1,019,049	1,087,410	1,264,828	1,421,367
Operating expenses:					
Selling expenses	499,095	533,151	559,605	646,348	751,448
General and administrative expenses	358,601	360,470	369,368	401,418	436,177
Restructuring charges	19,775	—	10,724	—	—
Total operating expenses	877,471	893,621	939,697	1,047,766	1,187,625
Operating income	70,913	125,428	147,713	217,062	233,742
Other income (expense), net	(2,435)	(24,775)	(6,589)	(9,449)	(6,973)
Income before provision for income taxes	68,478	100,653	141,124	207,613	226,769
Provision for income taxes	24,606	35,306	51,279	71,562	73,439
Net income	\$ 43,872	\$ 65,347	\$ 89,845	\$ 136,051	\$ 153,330
Net income per share:					
Basic	\$ 0.68	\$ 1.03	\$ 1.42	\$ 2.18	\$ 2.47
Diluted	\$ 0.67	\$ 1.02	\$ 1.40	\$ 2.11	\$ 2.38
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (000s):					
Basic	64,783	63,510	63,333	62,370	62,066
Diluted	65,584	64,132	64,296	64,547	64,546
Balance Sheet Data (at end of period):					
Cash and cash equivalents and current investments	\$ 92,552	\$ 114,586	\$ 158,045	\$ 230,337	\$ 290,701
Working capital	95,175	124,036	152,731	206,078	288,916
Total assets	683,243	709,772	748,449	892,224	990,956

Edgar Filing: INTRUSION INC - Form 10QSB

Current portion of long-term debt	31,441	30,196	35,400	27,865	28,608
Long-term debt	169,229	158,760	121,119	133,013	107,944
Stockholders' equity	275,009	316,180	375,687	471,249	574,236
Cash dividends declared	0.42	0.44	0.46	0.50	0.59
Supplemental Operating Data (at end of period):					
Approximate number of active distributors(1)	755,000	761,000	761,000	799,000	855,000
Number of executive distributors(1)	30,002	30,588	32,939	35,676	41,816

(1) Active distributors include preferred customers and distributors purchasing products directly from us during the three months ended as of the date indicated. An executive distributor is an active distributor who has achieved required personal and group sales volumes.

ITEM 7.MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operation should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes thereto, which are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

We are a leading, global direct selling company with operations in 52 markets worldwide. We develop and distribute innovative, premium-quality anti-aging personal care products and nutritional supplements under our Nu Skin and Pharmanex brands, respectively. We strive to secure competitive advantage in four key areas: our people, our products, the culture we promote, and the business opportunities we offer. In 2011, we posted record revenue of \$1.74 billion. Revenue in 2011 grew 13%, driven by sustained interest in our product portfolio, including our ageLOC anti-aging products, healthy distributor sponsoring and retention and continued growth in our emerging markets, including China, South Asia and South Korea. As of December 31, 2011, we had a global network of more than 850,000 active independent distributors. More than 40,000 of our distributors were qualified sales leaders we refer to as "executive distributors." Our executive distributors play a critical leadership role in the growth and development of our business. Approximately 88% of our 2011 revenue came from markets outside the United States. While we have become more geographically diverse over the past decade, Japan, our largest revenue market, accounted for approximately 27% of our 2011 total revenue. Due to the size of our foreign operations, our results are often impacted by foreign currency fluctuations. In addition, our results are generally impacted by global economic, political, demographic and business conditions.

Our revenue depends on the number and productivity of our active distributors and executive distributor leaders. We have been successful in attracting and motivating distributors by:

- developing and marketing innovative, technologically and scientifically advanced products;
- providing compelling initiatives and strong distributor support; and
- offering attractive incentives that motivate distributors to build sales organizations.

Our distributors market and sell our products and recruit new distributors based on the distinguishing benefits and innovative characteristics of our products. As a result, it is vital to our business that we continuously leverage our research and development resources to develop and introduce innovative products and provide our distributors with an attractive portfolio of products. Over the last four years, we have successfully introduced a suite of innovative ageLOC anti-aging skin care and nutritional products, including our ageLOC Transformation daily skin care system, Galvanic Spa Gels with ageLOC, ageLOC Galvanic Spa Body Shaping Gel and ageLOC Dermatic Effects Body Contouring Lotion ageLOC Vitality nutritional supplement, ageLOC R2 anti-aging nutritional supplement system. We are currently developing additional ageLOC anti-aging products for the future. Our ageLOC products are designed to positively influence the expression of genes that we believe play a critical role in the aging process. We also offer unique initiatives, products, and business tools, such as our ageLOC Galvanic Spa Systems and Pharmanex BioPhotonic Scanner, to help distributors effectively differentiate our earnings opportunity and product offering. Any delays or difficulties in introducing compelling products or attractive initiatives or tools into our markets may have a negative impact on our revenue and distributor recruiting.

We generally introduce a new product, in all markets where the product is registered, through limited offerings in connection with global and regional distributor events. The limited offerings typically generate significant distributor activity and a high level of distributor purchasing. This generally results in a higher than normal increase in revenue

during the quarter of the limited offerings. For example, limited offerings of ageLOC R2 in connection with our global convention in October 2011 generated over \$78 million in the fourth quarter of 2011. We typically launch a product for general sales a few months following the limited offerings. Information regarding product launches below refers to the launch of the product for general sales and not to the limited offering used to introduce the product.

Our extensive global distributor network helps us to rapidly introduce products and penetrate our markets with little up-front promotional expense. Similar to other companies in our industry, we experience a high level of turnover among our distributors. As a result, it is important that we regularly introduce innovative and compelling products and initiatives in order to maintain a compelling business opportunity that will attract new distributors. We have also developed, and continue to promote in many of our markets, product subscription and loyalty programs that provide incentives for customers to commit to purchase a specific amount of products on a monthly basis. We believe these subscription programs have improved customer retention, have had a stabilizing impact on revenue, and have helped generate recurring sales for our distributors. Subscription orders represented 56% of our revenue in 2011.

Despite difficult economic conditions, we experienced healthy growth in 2011. We believe we have benefited from the nature of our distribution model and strong execution around a demonstrative product/opportunity initiative, which has helped offset to some degree the impact of weaker consumer spending. As a direct selling company, we offer a direct selling opportunity that allows an individual to supplement his/her income by selling our products and building a sales organization to market and sell our products. As the economy and the labor market decline, we find that there can be an increase in the number of people interested in becoming distributors in order to supplement their income. We believe that this increase in interest in our direct selling opportunity coupled with the strong marketing position of our new ageLOC anti-aging products and our other products and tools have helped us to continue growing our business in these difficult economic conditions. However, if the economic problems are prolonged or worsen, we expect that we could see a negative impact on our business as distributors may have a more difficult time selling products and finding new customers.

Our business is subject to various laws and regulations globally, particularly with respect to network marketing activities, cosmetics, and nutritional supplements. Accordingly, we face certain risks, including any improper claims or activities of our distributors or any inability to obtain or maintain necessary product registrations. For example, we continue to experience heightened regulatory and media scrutiny of the direct selling industry in Japan. Several direct sellers in Japan have been penalized for actions of distributors that violated applicable regulations. We could face similar penalties if we are unable to effectively manage the activities of our distributors.

Income Statement Presentation

We report revenue in five geographic regions and we translate revenue from each market's local currency into U.S. dollars using weighted-average exchange rates. The following table sets forth revenue information by region for the periods indicated. This table should be reviewed in connection with the tables presented under "Results of Operations," which disclose selling expenses and other costs associated with generating the aggregate revenue presented.

Revenue by Region

(U.S. dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31,								
	2009		2010		2011				
North Asia	\$606.1	45	%	\$686.1	45	%	\$751.2	43	%
Greater China	210.4	16		268.2	17		341.9	20	
Americas	260.9	20		250.0	16		252.0	14	
South Asia/Pacific	120.1	9		182.8	12		236.2	14	
Europe	133.6	10		150.2	10		162.7	9	
	\$1,331.1	100	%	\$1,537.3	100	%	\$1,744.0	100	%

Cost of sales primarily consists of:

- cost of products purchased from third-party vendors, generally in U.S. dollars;
- costs of self-manufactured products;
- cost of sales materials which we sell to distributors at or near cost;
- amortization expenses associated with certain products and services such as the Pharmanex BioPhotonic Scanners that are leased to distributors;
- freight cost of shipping products to distributors and import duties for the products; and
- royalties and related expenses for licensed technologies.

We source the majority of our products from third-party manufacturers located in the United States. Due to Chinese government restrictions on the importation of finished goods applicable to the current scope of our business in China, we are required to manufacture the bulk of our own products for distribution in China. Cost of sales and gross profit may fluctuate as a result of changes in the ratio between self-manufactured products and products sourced from third-party suppliers. In addition, because we purchase a significant majority of our goods in U.S. dollars and recognize revenue in local currencies, we are subject to exchange rate risks in our gross margins. Because our gross margins vary from product to product and are higher in some markets such as Japan, changes in product mix and geographic revenue mix can impact our gross margins.

Selling expenses are our most significant expense and are classified as operating expenses. Selling expenses include distributor commissions, costs for incentive trips and other rewards, as well as wages, benefits, bonuses and other labor and unemployment expenses we pay to our sales force in China. Our global compensation plan, which we employ in all of our markets except China, is an important factor in our ability to attract and retain distributors. We

pay monthly commissions to several levels of distributors on each product sale based upon a distributor's personal and group product volumes, as well as the group product volumes of up to six levels of executive distributors in such distributor's downline sales organization. We do not pay commissions on sales materials, which are sold to distributors at or near cost. Small fluctuations occur in the amount of commissions paid as the network of distributors actively purchasing products changes from month to month. However, due to the size of our distributor force of more than 850,000 active distributors, the fluctuation in the overall payout is relatively small. The overall compensation has typically averaged between 41% and 44% of global product sales. From time to time, we make modifications and enhancements to our global compensation plan in an effort to help motivate distributors and develop leadership characteristics, which can have an impact on selling expenses.

Distributors also have the opportunity to make retail profits by purchasing products from us at wholesale and selling them to customers with a retail mark-up. We do not account for nor pay additional commissions on these retail mark-ups received by distributors. In many markets, we also allow individuals who are not distributors, whom we refer to as “preferred customers,” to buy products directly from us at wholesale or discounted prices. We pay commissions on preferred customer purchases to the referring distributors.

General and administrative expenses include:

- wages and benefits;
- rents and utilities;
- depreciation and amortization;
- promotion and advertising;
- professional fees;
- travel;
- research and development; and
- other operating expenses.

Labor expenses are the most significant portion of our general and administrative expenses. Promotion and advertising expenses include costs of distributor conventions held in various markets worldwide, which we expense in the period in which they are incurred. Because our various distributor conventions are not always held during each fiscal year, or in the same period each year, their impact on our general and administrative expenses may vary from year to year and from quarter to quarter. For example, we held our global convention in October 2011 and will have another global convention in the fall of 2013 as we currently plan to hold a global convention every other year. In addition, we hold regional conventions and conventions in our major markets at different times during the year. These conventions have significant expenses associated with them. Because we have not incurred expenses for these conventions during every fiscal year or in comparable interim periods, year-over-year comparisons have been impacted accordingly.

Provision for income taxes depends on the statutory tax rates in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. For example, statutory tax rates in 2011 were approximately 16.5% in Hong Kong, 17% in Taiwan, 24.5% in South Korea, 45% in Japan and 25% in China. We are subject to taxation in the United States at the statutory corporate federal tax rate of 35% and we pay taxes in multiple states within the United States at various tax rates. Our overall effective tax rate was 32.4% for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Critical Accounting Policies

The following critical accounting policies and estimates should be read in conjunction with our audited Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes thereto. Management considers our critical accounting policies to be the recognition of revenue, accounting for income taxes, accounting for intangible assets and accounting for stock-based compensation. In each of these areas, management makes estimates based on historical results, current trends and future projections.

Revenue. We recognize revenue when products are shipped, which is when title and risk of loss pass to our independent distributors and preferred customers who are our customers. With some exceptions in various countries, we offer a return policy whereby distributors can return unopened and unused product for up to 12 months subject to a 10% restocking fee. Reported revenue is net of returns, which have historically been less than 5% of annual revenue. A reserve for product returns is accrued based on historical experience. We classify selling discounts as a reduction of revenue. Our selling expenses are computed pursuant to our global compensation plan for our distributors, which is focused on remunerating distributors based primarily upon the selling efforts of the distributors and the volume of products purchased by their downlines, and not their personal purchases.

Income Taxes. We account for income taxes in accordance with the Income Taxes Topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Codification. These standards establish financial accounting and reporting standards for the effects of income taxes that result from an enterprise's activities during the current and preceding years. We take an asset and liability approach for financial accounting and reporting of income taxes. We pay income taxes in many foreign jurisdictions based on the profits realized in those jurisdictions, which can be significantly impacted by terms of intercompany transactions among our affiliates around the world. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are created in this process. As of December 31, 2011, we had net deferred tax assets of \$51.4 million. These net deferred tax assets assume sufficient future earnings will exist for their realization, as well as the continued application of current tax rates. In certain foreign jurisdictions valuation allowances have been recorded against the deferred tax assets specifically related to use of net operating losses. When we determine that there is sufficient taxable income to utilize the net operating losses, the valuation allowances will be released. In the event we were to determine that we would not be able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax assets in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax assets would be charged to earnings in the period such determination was made.

We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and in various state and foreign jurisdictions. During 2011, we entered into a closing agreement with the United States Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") for all adjustments for the 2005 through 2008 tax years. With a few exceptions, we are no longer subject to U.S., federal, state and local income tax examination by tax authorities for the years before 2005. In 2009, we entered into a voluntary program with the IRS called Compliance Assurance Process ("CAP"). The objective of CAP is to contemporaneously work with the IRS to achieve federal tax compliance and resolve all or most of the issues prior to filing of the tax return. We have elected to participate in the CAP program for 2012 and may elect to continue participating in CAP for future tax years; we may withdraw from the program at any time. In major foreign jurisdictions, we are no longer subject to income tax examinations for years before 2005. Along with the IRS examination, we are currently under examination in certain foreign jurisdictions; however, the outcomes of those reviews are not yet determinable.

At December 31, 2011, we had \$7.4 million in unrecognized tax benefits of which \$3.1 million, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. In comparison, at December 31, 2010, we had \$14.8 million in unrecognized tax benefits of which \$2.4 million, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. During each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we recognized approximately \$(0.8) million and \$(1.7) million in interest and penalties expenses/(benefits), respectively. We had approximately \$3.3 million, \$1.6 million and \$0.8 million of accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions at December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively. Interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are recognized as a component of income tax expense.

We are subject to regular audits by federal, state and foreign tax authorities. These audits may result in additional tax liabilities. We account for such contingent liabilities in accordance with relevant accounting standards and believe we have appropriately provided for income taxes for all years. Several factors drive the calculation of our tax reserves. Some of these factors include: (i) the expiration of various statutes of limitations; (ii) changes in tax law and regulations; (iii) issuance of tax rulings; and (iv) settlements with tax authorities. Changes in any of these factors may result in adjustments to our reserves, which would impact our reported financial results.

Intangible Assets. Acquired intangible assets may represent indefinite-lived assets, determinable-lived intangibles, or goodwill. Of these, only the costs of determinable-lived intangibles are amortized to expense over their estimated life. The value of indefinite-lived intangible assets and residual goodwill is not amortized, but is tested at least annually for impairment. Our impairment testing for goodwill is performed separately from our impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangibles. We test goodwill for impairment, at least annually, by reviewing the book value compared to the fair value at the reportable unit level. We test individual indefinite-lived intangibles at least annually by reviewing the individual book values compared to the fair value. Considerable management judgment is necessary to measure fair value. We did not recognize any impairment charges for goodwill or intangible assets during the periods presented.

Stock-Based Compensation. All share-based payments to employees are recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values using an option-pricing model at the date of grant. We use a Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model to calculate the fair value of options. Stock based compensation expense is recognized net of any estimated forfeitures on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our operating results as a percentage of revenue for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2009		2010		2011	
Revenue	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%
Cost of sales	18.3		17.7		18.5	
Gross profit	81.7		82.3		81.5	
Operating expenses:						
Selling expenses	41.4		42.1		43.1	
General and administrative expenses	28.4		26.1		25.0	
Restructuring charges	0.8		—		—	
Total operating expenses	70.6		68.2		68.1	
Operating income	11.1		14.1		13.4	
Other income (expense), net	(0.5)	(0.6)	(0.4)
Income before provision for income taxes	10.6		13.5		13.0	
Provision for income taxes	3.8		4.6		4.2	
Net income	6.8	%	8.9	%	8.8	%

2011 Compared to 2010

Overview

Revenue in 2011 increased 13% to \$1.74 billion from \$1.54 billion in 2010. Our revenue growth in 2011 was driven by sustained interest in our product portfolio, including our ageLOC anti-aging products, healthy distributor sponsoring and retention and continued growth in our emerging markets, including China, South Asia and South Korea. Over the last four years, we have successfully introduced a suite of innovative ageLOC anti-aging skin care and nutritional products, including our ageLOC Transformation anti-aging skin care system, ageLOC Edition Galvanic Spa System II, Galvanic Spa Gels with ageLOC, and ageLOC Vitality nutritional supplement. In connection with our global convention in October 2011, we introduced our ageLOC R2 anti-aging nutritional supplement system and our ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa together with our ageLOC Galvanic Spa Body Shaping Gel and ageLOC Dermatic Effects Body Contouring Lotion. Limited offerings of ageLOC R2 and ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa with its associated products generated over \$78 million and \$18 million, respectively, in the fourth quarter of 2011. We currently plan to launch these products in the majority of our markets globally throughout 2012 and 2013. Foreign currency exchange fluctuations had a 6% positive impact on revenue in 2011 compared to 2010. Our executive and active distributors globally grew 17% and 7%, respectively, compared to the prior-year period.

Earnings per share in 2011 increased to \$2.38, or \$2.69 excluding non-cash charges of \$32.8 million associated with the first quarter Japan customs ruling, discussed below under Gross Profit, compared to \$2.11 in 2010 on a diluted basis. Earnings per share excluding Japan customs expense is a non-GAAP financial measure. See “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” below. The increase in earnings is largely the result of increased revenue, as discussed above, coupled with improved margins and controlled expenses.

Revenue

North Asia. The following table sets forth revenue for the North Asia region and its principal markets (U.S. dollars in millions):

	2010	2011	Change
Japan	\$ 471.4	\$ 472.5	*
South Korea	214.7	278.7	30%
North Asia total	\$ 686.1	\$ 751.2	9%

* Change less than 1%

Foreign currency fluctuations positively impacted revenue by 8% in this region compared to the prior-year period.

Excluding the impact of foreign currency fluctuations, revenue in Japan decreased 9% in 2011 compared to 2010. The March 2011 natural disasters that occurred in Japan negatively impacted our sales in this market during the year. Although this market is still in the process of recovering, we do not currently anticipate any increase in the negative impact of these natural disasters during 2012. We continue to experience some weakness in Japan, with active and executive distributor counts decreasing 8% and 6%, respectively, in Japan compared to the prior year. The direct selling industry and most direct selling companies in Japan have been in decline for several years in this challenging market. Substantial regulatory and media scrutiny of the industry continues to negatively impact the industry and our business. As a result of this increased scrutiny, we continue to focus on distributor compliance and have also been cautious in both our corporate and our distributor's marketing activities. In the first quarter of 2011, following a successful introduction in late 2010, we launched our ageLOC Vitality. We launched ageLOC R2 in Japan in January 2012 and currently plan to launch our ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa and related products in the second half of 2012. We believe that we may continue to see modest local currency revenue declines in Japan during 2012, based on continued weakness in distributor numbers and our anticipation that difficult regulatory conditions will continue throughout 2012.

South Korea posted strong year-over-year revenue growth. This growth reflects continued strong distributor growth and interest generated by our ageLOC products including the launch of our ageLOC Edition Galvanic Spa System II and the restaging of our TRA weight management products in the first half of 2011, and the introduction of ageLOC R2 during the fourth quarter of 2011. We currently plan to launch our ageLOC R2 in South Korea in the first half of 2012 and our ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa and related products in the first quarter of 2013. Our active and executive distributor counts in South Korea increased 20% and 24%, respectively, compared to the prior year.

Greater China. The following table sets forth revenue for the Greater China region and its principal markets (U.S. dollars in millions):

	2010	2011	Change
China	\$ 91.4	\$ 152.5	67%
Taiwan	107.1	108.9	2%
Hong Kong	69.7	80.5	15%
Greater China total	\$ 268.2	\$ 341.9	27%

Foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations positively impacted revenue in the Greater China region by 5% in 2011.

Strong revenue and sales force growth in the Greater China region, including significant growth in China, was driven by continued interest in our business opportunity and our strong product portfolio, including our ageLOC products. The region was also positively impacted by successful sales initiatives and excitement surrounding the initial introduction of ageLOC R2 and our ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa and related products in the fourth quarter of 2011. We currently plan to introduce ageLOC R2 and our ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa and related products through a second limited offer in connection with the Greater China regional convention in the second quarter of 2012. We currently plan to launch our ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa and associated products in China and Hong Kong, and our ageLOC R2 throughout the region in 2013.

Local currency revenue in China and Hong Kong were up 59% and 16%, respectively, while Taiwan was down 5% in 2011 compared to 2010. Hong Kong benefited from sales of our new ageLOC R2 and our ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa and related products, as most of the sales in the region during the limited offer were recorded in Hong Kong, including sales to distributors from outside Hong Kong. China reported a 50% and 77% increase in preferred customers and number of sales representatives, respectively, compared to the prior-year period. Active distributors in Taiwan remained level and executive distributors increased 15% compared to the prior-year period. Executive and active distributors in Hong Kong were up 24% and 5%, respectively, compared to 2010.

Americas. The following table sets forth revenue for the Americas region (U.S. dollars in millions):

	2010	2011	Change
Americas	\$ 250.0	\$ 252.0	1%

Revenue in the Americas increased slightly in 2011 compared to 2010. Successful product launches in the region in 2010 presented a difficult year-over-year comparison for 2011. The region was positively impacted by the introduction of our ageLOC R2 and our ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa and related products in the fourth quarter of 2011 at our global convention in the United States. We launched our ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa and related products in the United States and Canada in January 2012 and currently plan to launch these products in the majority of our markets in the region in 2012. Regional results also benefited from approximately \$13 million of convention sales to distributors from outside the region. Excluding the impact of the non-region convention sales, revenue in the Americas would have been down 4% in 2011, compared to the prior-year. The opening of Argentina in the second quarter of 2011 contributed to 37% local currency revenue growth in Latin America, compared to the prior year. Active distributors in the region increased 3% in 2011 and executive distributors increased 1% compared to the

prior-year period.

- 56 -

South Asia/Pacific. The following table sets forth revenue for the South Asia/Pacific region (U.S. dollars in millions):

	2010	2011	Change
South Asia/Pacific	\$ 182.8	\$ 236.2	29%

Foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations positively impacted revenue in South Asia/Pacific by 7% in 2011 compared to the same prior-year period. Excluding the impact of foreign currency fluctuations, revenue growth of 22% in this region was driven primarily by robust distributor growth and activity, along with continued interest in our strong product portfolio, including our ageLOC and TRA weight management products. The region was positively impacted by the introduction of our ageLOC R2 and our ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa and related products in connection with our global convention in the fourth quarter of 2011. In January 2012, we launched our ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa and associated products in the Pacific. We currently plan to launch our ageLOC R2 and ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa and associated products throughout the region in 2012. Executive distributors in the region increased 43% while active distributors increased 18% compared to the prior year. We currently anticipate that extensive flooding in Thailand during the fourth quarter of 2011 may continue to negatively impact sales and distributor activities in that market through the first half of 2012.

Europe. The following table sets forth revenue for the Europe region (U.S. dollars in millions):

	2010	2011	Change
Europe	\$ 150.2	\$ 162.7	8%

Foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations positively impacted revenue in Europe by 5% in 2011 compared to the prior year. On a local currency basis, revenue in Europe grew by 4% in 2011 compared to 2010. However, local currency revenue in Europe decreased 6% year-over-year in the fourth quarter, primarily due to softness in our distributor numbers and difficulty obtaining regulatory approvals to introduce our ageLOC products in each of the markets in this region. We introduced our ageLOC Galvanic Body Spa and related products in Europe in the first quarter of 2012, and currently plan to launch these products during the second quarter of 2012. We currently plan to introduce our ageLOC R2 in the majority of our markets in the region in the fourth quarter of 2012, followed by a second quarter 2013 launch. Our active distributor count in our Europe region increased by 2% and our executive distributor count remained level when compared to 2010.

Gross profit

Gross profit as a percentage of revenue in 2011 decreased to 81.5% compared to 82.3% in 2010. In March 2011, the Tokyo District Court upheld a disputed \$32.8 million customs assessment on certain of our products imported into Japan. As a result of this decision, we recorded an expense within cost of sales for the full amount of the disputed assessments in the first quarter of 2011. The charge is a non-cash item, as we were previously required to pay the assessments. We have appealed this decision and expect a decision on the appeal in 2012. Excluding this \$32.8 million non-cash charge, gross profit as a percentage of revenue for 2011 was 83.4%, reflecting supply chain improvements and foreign currency benefits. Gross profit excluding Japan customs expense is a non-GAAP financial measure. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" below. We anticipate that our gross profit as a percentage of revenue will be approximately 83.5% in 2012.

Selling expenses

Selling expenses increased as a percentage of revenue at 43.1% in 2011 compared to 42.1% in 2010. This increase reflects growth in the number of independent distributors qualifying for various promotional sales incentives and trips.

General and administrative expenses

General and administrative expenses decreased as a percentage of revenue to 25.0% in 2011 from 26.1% in 2010, primarily as a result of increased revenue and controlled expenses.

Other income (expense), net

Other income (expense), net was \$7.0 million of expense in 2011 compared to \$9.4 million of expense in 2010. The decrease in expense is due primarily to the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Because it is impossible to predict foreign currency fluctuations, we cannot estimate the degree to which our other income expense will be impacted in the future. Other income (expense), net also includes approximately \$4.8 million and \$5.8 million in interest expense during 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Provision for income taxes

Provision for income taxes increased to \$73.4 million in 2011 from \$71.6 million in 2010. The effective tax rate decreased to 32.4% in 2011 from 34.5% of pre-tax income in 2010. The lower income tax rate was primarily attributable to a one-time discrete tax benefit of \$7.7 million associated with the effective settlement of an IRS audit for tax years 2005 – 2008. During the third quarter, we entered into a closing agreement with the IRS on the Extraterritorial Income Exclusion for the exportation of products outside the United States. We anticipate our tax rate will be approximately 35.5% to 36% in 2012.

Net income

As a result of the foregoing factors, net income increased to \$153.3 million in 2011, or \$173.8 million excluding \$32.8 million (approximately \$20.5 million, net of tax) in Japan customs expense, compared to \$136.1 million in 2010. Net income excluding Japan customs expense is a non-GAAP financial measure. See “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” below.

2010 Compared to 2009

Overview

Revenue in 2010 increased 15% to \$1.54 billion from \$1.33 billion in 2009. Our revenue growth in 2010 was driven by the global launch of our ageLOC anti-aging products, including our ageLOC Transformation skin care system. We also introduced ageLOC Vitality, our first ageLOC nutritional product designed to address the internal sources of aging, in Japan, the United States, Canada, and certain of our markets in Europe and Latin America during the second half of 2010. Foreign currency exchange fluctuations had a 5% positive impact on revenue in 2010 compared to 2009. Our revenue growth rates were the highest in China, South Korea and the South Asia/Pacific region. We also saw improving trends in Japan, as the rate of local currency revenue decline in that market decreased compared to the prior year.

Earnings per share in 2010 increased to \$2.11 compared to \$1.40 in 2009 on a diluted basis. The increase in earnings is largely the result of increased revenue, as discussed above, coupled with improved margins and controlled expenses. Earnings per share comparisons were also impacted by restructuring charges in 2009 totaling \$6.8 million (net of taxes of \$3.9 million), or \$.11 per share, primarily related to transformation efforts to streamline our operations in Japan.

Revenue

North Asia. The following table sets forth revenue for the North Asia region and its principal markets (U.S. dollars in millions):

	2009	2010	Change
Japan	\$ 461.9	\$ 471.4	2%
South Korea	144.2	214.7	49%
North Asia total	\$ 606.1	\$ 686.1	13%

Foreign currency fluctuations positively impacted revenue by 8% in this region compared to the prior-year period. Currency fluctuations positively impacted revenue in Japan by 6% and in South Korea by 13% in 2010. Our active and executive distributor counts decreased 4% and 3%, respectively, in Japan in 2010 compared to 2009. In South Korea, our active and executive distributor counts increased 17% and 19%, respectively, comparing 2010 to 2009.

Local currency revenue in Japan decreased 4% in 2010 compared to 2009. We continued to experience some weakness in Japan, as evidenced by the declines in both our active and executive distributors. The direct selling industry and most direct selling companies in Japan have declined for several years in this challenging market. Increased regulatory and media scrutiny of the industry continued to negatively impact the industry and our business. As a result of this increased scrutiny, we continued to focus on distributor compliance and were also more cautious in both our corporate and our distributor's marketing activities. These challenges were partially offset by distributor and product initiatives, including the launch of the full ageLOC Transformation skin care system in the second quarter of 2010 and the limited offering of our ageLOC Vitality in the second half of 2010. Local currency revenue in Japan decreased 8% year-over-year in the fourth quarter primarily due to a difficult comparison with the strong introduction of ageLOC Transformation in the fourth quarter of 2009.

South Korea posted strong year-over-year local currency revenue growth of 36%. This growth was driven by the introduction of our ageLOC Transformation skin care system, which generated approximately \$20 million in sales during a limited offering in the first quarter of 2010, and continued excitement and sponsoring activities surrounding ageLOC Transformation throughout the remainder of the year. This excitement contributed to the healthy growth in active and executive distributors in this market.

Greater China. The following table sets forth revenue for the Greater China region and its principal markets (U.S. dollars in millions):

	2009	2010	Change
Taiwan	\$ 91.7	\$ 107.1	17%
China	71.1	91.4	29%
Hong Kong	47.6	69.7	46%
Greater China total	\$ 210.4	\$ 268.2	27%

Foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations positively impacted revenue in the Greater China region by 3% in 2010. Revenue growth in the region was driven by the introduction of ageLOC Transformation during the second quarter of 2010, generating approximately \$20 million in sales during a limited offering of this product in connection with our Greater China regional convention.

Local currency revenue in Taiwan was up 11% in 2010 compared to 2009. As discussed above, the growth was driven by the launch of ageLOC Transformation, which led to healthy growth in the number of active and executive distributors as well as revenue. Active distributors in Taiwan increased 5% and executive distributors increased 1% compared to the prior-year period.

On a local currency basis, revenue in China increased 27% in 2010 compared to 2009. China reported a 28% and 38% increase in our preferred customers and number of sales representatives, respectively, compared to the prior-year period. Revenue and sales force growth in China were primarily the result of successful sales initiatives and excitement surrounding our ageLOC Transformation skin care system, which we introduced at the regional convention in the second quarter of 2010 and launched in China in the fourth quarter of 2010. Strong sales of the ageLOC Edition Galvanic Spa System II also contributed to growth in this market. We continued to focus our efforts on managing our sales force to ensure compliance with our policies and local regulations in this market.

Hong Kong local currency revenue was up 47% in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily as a result of the introduction of our ageLOC Transformation skin care system at the regional convention in the second quarter. Approximately \$17 million of revenue was generated from convention sales to distributors from outside of Hong Kong. Executive and active distributors in Hong Kong were down 2% and 5%, respectively, compared to 2009.

Americas. The following table sets forth revenue for the Americas region (U.S. dollars in millions):

	2009	2010	Change
Americas	\$ 260.9	\$ 250.0	(4%)

Revenue in the United States declined 3% in 2010 compared to 2009. In the fourth quarter of 2010, U.S. revenue declined 23% compared to the same prior-year period. Approximately \$17 million in sales at our global convention held in the U.S. during the fourth quarter of 2009, and the introduction of our ageLOC Transformation skin care system in connection with the global convention created a difficult comparison for the fourth quarter of 2010. Excluding the impact of \$11 million of 2009 global convention sales to non-U.S. based distributors, revenue in the U.S. would have been down 5% for the fourth quarter of 2010 and up 3% for the year compared to the same prior-year periods. Our recent growth initiatives have had less of an impact on distributor productivity and active distributor growth in the U.S. than in many of our other markets. Active distributors in the U.S. decreased 4% in 2010 and executive distributors increased 1% compared to the prior-year period.

On a local currency basis, revenue decreased by 7% in Canada and by 19% in Latin America in 2010 compared to 2009, respectively. Revenue declines in these markets were primarily a result of decreased distributor activity.

South Asia/Pacific. The following table sets forth revenue for the South Asia/Pacific region (U.S. dollars in millions):

	2009	2010	Change
South Asia/Pacific	\$ 120.1	\$ 182.8	52%

Foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations positively impacted revenue in South Asia/Pacific by 13% in 2010 compared to the same prior-year period. Revenue growth was driven largely by strong sales and sponsoring activity in connection with the general launch of our ageLOC Transformation skin care system. Continued interest in our TRA weight management products and ageLOC Edition Galvanic Spa System II also contributed to strong growth in this region. Executive distributors in the region increased 33% while active distributors increased 18% compared to the prior year.

Europe. The following table sets forth revenue for the Europe region (U.S. dollars in millions):

	2009	2010	Change
Europe	\$ 133.6	\$ 150.2	12%

Foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations negatively impacted revenue in Europe by 4% in 2010 compared to the prior year. On a local currency basis, revenue in Europe grew by 16% in 2010 compared to 2009. Growth in Europe was driven by sustained interest in our ageLOC anti-aging products and LifePak nutrition supplements. We also began initial marketing activities in Ukraine during the fourth quarter of 2010. Our active and executive distributor counts in our Europe region increased by 14% and 11%, respectively, in 2010 compared to 2009.

Gross profit

Gross profit as a percentage of revenue in 2010 increased to 82.3% compared to 81.7% in 2009. The increase was a result of strong sales of our higher margin ageLOC products, and foreign currency benefits in 2010.

Selling expenses

Selling expenses remained relatively level as a percentage of revenue at 42.1% in 2010 compared to 42.0% in 2009.

As part of our compensation plan improvements, we increased our focus on distributor recognition. Accordingly, during 2010, the costs of certain incentive trips and other rewards earned by distributors, previously recorded as general and administrative expenses, have been reclassified as selling expenses. In order to provide a meaningful comparison, we made this reclassification for both 2009 and 2010.

General and administrative expenses

General and administrative expenses decreased as a percentage of revenue to 26.1% in 2010 from 27.8% in 2009, primarily as a result of increased revenue and controlled expenses.

Restructuring charges

During 2009, we recorded restructuring charges of \$10.7 million primarily related to transformation efforts in Japan designed to improve operational efficiencies and align organizationally in Japan with how we are organized globally in our other markets. There were no similar charges in 2010.

Other income (expense), net

Other income (expense), net was \$9.4 million of expense in 2010 compared to \$6.6 million of expense in 2009. The increase in expense is due primarily to the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Other income (expense), net also includes approximately \$5.8 million and \$6.9 million in interest expense during 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Provision for income taxes

Provision for income taxes increased to \$71.6 million in 2010 from \$51.3 million in 2009. The effective tax rate decreased to 34.5% in 2010 from 36.3% of pre-tax income in 2009. The lower income tax rate was due to an increased benefit relating to the expiration of the statute of limitations in 2010 compared to 2009.

Net income

As a result of the foregoing factors, net income increased to \$136.1 million in 2010 from \$89.8 million in 2009.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, our principal uses of cash have included operating expenses, particularly selling expenses, and working capital (principally inventory purchases), as well as capital expenditures, stock repurchases, dividends, debt repayment, and the development of operations in new markets. We have generally relied on cash flow from operations to fund operating activities, and we have at times incurred long-term debt in order to fund strategic transactions and stock repurchases.

We typically generate positive cash flow from operations due to favorable margins. We generated \$224.3 million in cash from operations in 2011 compared to \$187.9 million in 2010. This increase in cash generated from operations is primarily due to the increase in revenue in 2011 as well as increased profitability from our restructuring efforts.

As of December 31, 2011, working capital was \$288.9 million compared to \$206.1 million as of December 31, 2010. Our working capital increased primarily due to an increase in cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents, including current investments, at December 31, 2011 were \$290.7 million compared to \$230.3 million at December 31, 2010. The increase in cash was primarily the result of the increase in our cash generated from operations in 2011.

Capital expenditures in 2011 totaled \$41.8 million, and we anticipate capital expenditures of approximately \$100.0 million for 2012. This year-over-year increase reflects significant construction projects as noted below, which we currently anticipate will be completed in 2013. The capital expenditures in 2012 are primarily related to:

- planning and construction of a new innovation center on our Provo campus and a new Greater China regional headquarters in Shanghai, China, and related real estate acquisitions;

- the build-out and upgrade of leasehold improvements in our various markets, including retail stores in China; and
- purchases of computer systems and software, including equipment and development costs.

- 62 -

We currently have debt pursuant to various credit facilities and other borrowings. The following table summarizes these debt arrangements as of December 31, 2011:

Facility or Arrangement(1)	Original Principal Amount	Balance as of December 31, 2011(2)	Interest Rate	Repayment terms
2003 \$205.0 million multi-currency uncommitted shelf facility:				
U.S. dollar denominated:	\$40.0 million	\$28.6 million	6.2%	Notes due July 2016 with annual principal payments that began in July 2010.
	\$20.0 million	\$17.1 million	6.2%	Notes due January 2017 with annual principal payments that began in January 2011.
Japanese yen denominated:	3.1 billion yen	1.3 billion yen (\$17.4 million as of December 31, 2011)	1.7%	Notes due April 2014 with annual principal payments that began in April 2008.
	2.3 billion yen	1.9 billion yen (\$25.3 million as of December 31, 2011)	2.6%	Notes due September 2017 with annual principal payments that began in September 2011.
	2.2 billion yen	1.9 billion yen (\$24.2 million as of December 31, 2011)	3.3%	Notes due January 2017 with annual principal payments that began in January 2011.
2010 committed loan:				
U.S. dollar denominated:	\$30.0 million	\$24.0 million	Variable 30 day: 1.29%	Amortizes at \$1.5 million per quarter.
2004 \$25.0 million revolving credit	N/A	None	N/A	

facility

2009 \$100.0 million uncommitted multi-currency shelf facility	N/A	None	N/A
---	-----	------	-----

(1) Each of the credit facilities and arrangements listed in the table are secured by guarantees issued by our material domestic subsidiaries and by pledges of 65% of the outstanding stock of our material foreign subsidiaries. The 2010 committed loan is also secured by deeds of trust with respect to our corporate headquarters and distribution center in Provo, Utah.

(2) The current portion of our long-term debt (i.e. becoming due in the next 12 months) includes \$14.0 million of the balance of our Japanese yen-denominated debt under the 2003 multi-currency uncommitted shelf facility, \$8.6 million of the balance on our U.S. dollar denominated debt under the 2003 multi-currency uncommitted shelf facility and \$6.0 million of our 2010 committed loan.

Our board of directors has approved a stock repurchase program authorizing us to repurchase our outstanding shares of Class A common stock on the open market or in private transactions. The repurchases are used primarily to offset dilution from our equity incentive plans and for strategic initiatives. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we repurchased approximately 1.9 million shares of Class A common stock under this program for approximately \$67.1 million. In June 2010, our board of directors authorized an increase of \$150.0 million in the amount available under our ongoing stock repurchase program. At December 31, 2011, \$86.3 million was available for repurchases under the stock repurchase program.

Our board of directors declared cash dividends on our Class A common stock of \$0.135 per share during the first two quarters of 2011 and of \$0.16 during the last two quarters of 2011. These quarterly cash dividends totaled approximately \$36.6 million and were paid during 2011 to stockholders of record in 2011. The board of directors has approved an increased quarterly cash dividend of \$0.20 per share of Class A common stock to be paid on March 14, 2012, to stockholders of record on February 24, 2012. Annually, this would increase the dividend to \$0.80 from \$0.59 in the prior year. Currently, we anticipate that our board of directors will continue to declare quarterly cash dividends and that the cash flows from operations will be sufficient to fund our future dividend payments. However, the continued declaration of dividends is subject to the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon various factors, including our net earnings, financial condition, cash requirements, future prospects and other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors.

We believe we have sufficient liquidity to be able to meet our obligations on both a short- and long-term basis. We currently believe that existing cash balances, future cash flows from operations and existing lines of credit will be adequate to fund our cash needs on both a short- and long-term basis. The majority of our historical expenses have been variable in nature and as such, a potential reduction in the level of revenue would reduce our cash flow needs. In the event that our current cash balances, future cash flow from operations and current lines of credit are not sufficient to meet our obligations or strategic needs, we would consider raising additional funds in the debt or equity markets or restructuring our current debt obligations. Additionally, we would consider realigning our strategic plans, including a reduction in capital spending, stock repurchases or dividend payments.

Contractual Obligations and Contingencies

The following table sets forth payments due by period for fixed contractual obligations as of December 31, 2011 (U.S. dollars in thousands):

	Total	2012	2013-2014	2015-2016	Thereafter
Long-term debt obligations	\$ 136,552	\$ 28,608	\$63,216	\$33,628	\$ 11,100
Operating lease obligations	63,247	16,006	26,460	19,879	902
Purchase obligations(1)	161,868	100,905	27,917	25,023	8,023
Other long-term liabilities reflected on the balance sheet(2)	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$ 361,667	\$ 145,519	\$117,593	\$78,530	\$ 20,025

(1) The amounts reported under purchase obligations do not include anticipated expenditures related to ongoing construction projects at our corporate headquarters in Provo, Utah and our Greater China regional headquarters in Shanghai, China. We currently anticipate the Provo and Shanghai facilities will cost approximately \$90 million and \$55 million, respectively, and anticipate that both facilities will be completed in 2013.

(2) Other long-term liabilities reflected on the balance sheet of \$67.6 million primarily consisting of long-term tax related balances, in which the timing of the commitments is uncertain.

We are currently involved in a dispute with customs authorities in Japan with respect to duty assessments on several of our Pharmanex nutritional products, which is separate and distinct from the dispute discussed above under Gross Profit. The dispute relates to additional customs assessments made by Yokohama Customs for the period of October 2006 through September 2009 in connection with post-importation audits, as well as the disputed portion of our import duties from October 2009 to the present, which we have or will hold in bond or pay under protest. The aggregate amount of these assessments and disputed duties was 3.9 billion Japanese yen as of December 31, 2011 (approximately \$50.7 million), net of any recovery of consumption taxes. Additional assessments related to any prior period would be barred by applicable statutes of limitations. The issue in this case is whether a United States entity utilizing a commissionaire agent in Japan to import its products can use the manufacturer's invoice or must use another valuation method, and, if an alternative method must be used, what the allowable deductions would be in determining the proper valuation. Following our review of the assessments and after consulting with our legal and customs advisors, we believe that the additional assessments are improper and are not supported by applicable customs laws. We filed letters of protest with Yokohama Customs, which were rejected. We then appealed the matter to the Ministry of Finance in Japan. In May 2011, we received notice that, as we had anticipated, the Ministry of Finance in Japan denied our administrative appeal. We disagree with the Ministry of Finance's administrative decision. We are now pursuing the matter in Tokyo District Court, which we believe will provide a more independent determination of the matter. In addition, we are currently being required to post a bond or make a deposit equal to the difference between our declared duties and the amount the customs authorities have determined we should be paying on all current imports. Because we believe that the higher rate determined by the customs authorities is an improper application of

the regulations, we are currently expensing the portion of the duties we believe is supported under applicable customs law, and recording the additional deposit or payment as a receivable within long-term assets on our consolidated financial statements. To the extent that we are unsuccessful in recovering the amounts assessed and paid or held in bond, we will likely record a non-cash expense for the full amount of the disputed assessments. We anticipate that additional disputed duties will be reduced going forward as we recently began purchasing a majority of the affected products in Japan from a Japanese company that purchases and imports the products from the manufacturer.

Seasonality and Cyclicity

In addition to general economic factors, we are impacted by seasonal factors and trends such as major cultural events and vacation patterns. For example, most Asian markets celebrate their respective local New Year in the first quarter, which generally has a negative impact on that quarter. We believe that direct selling in Japan, the United States and Europe is also generally negatively impacted during the third quarter, when many individuals, including our distributors, traditionally take vacations.

We have experienced rapid revenue growth in certain new markets following commencement of operations. This initial rapid growth has often been followed by a short period of stable or declining revenue, then followed by renewed growth fueled by product introductions, an increase in the number of active distributors and increased distributor productivity. The contraction following initial rapid growth has been more pronounced in certain new markets, due to other factors such as business or economic conditions or distributor distractions outside the market.

Distributor Information

The following table provides information concerning the number of active and executive distributors as of the dates indicated. Active distributors are those distributors and preferred customers who were resident in the countries in which we operated and purchased products for resale or personal consumption directly from us during the three months ended as of the date indicated. Executive distributors are active distributors who have achieved required monthly personal and group sales volumes as well as sales employees and contractual sales promoters in China who have completed a qualification process.

	As of December 31, 2009		As of December 31, 2010		As of December 31, 2011	
	Active	Executive	Active	Executive	Active	Executive
North Asia	319,000	14,144	329,000	14,687	338,000	15,293
Greater China	106,000	6,938	118,000	8,015	143,000	11,808
Americas	171,000	5,522	161,000	5,305	166,000	5,356
South Asia/Pacific	71,000	2,950	84,000	3,930	99,000	5,619
Europe	94,000	3,385	107,000	3,739	109,000	3,740
Total	761,000	32,939	799,000	35,676	855,000	41,816

Quarterly Results

The following table sets forth selected unaudited quarterly data for the periods shown (U.S. dollars in millions, except per share amounts):

	2010				2011			
	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
Revenue	\$364.1	\$388.4	\$383.6	\$401.2	\$395.8	\$424.4	\$428.4	\$495.3
Gross profit	299.3	320.4	314.8	330.3	295.2	353.3	357.8	415.1

Edgar Filing: INTRUSION INC - Form 10QSB

Operating income	46.1	59.2	52.9	58.9	24.9	66.0	67.2	75.6
Net income	31.0	32.4	35.3	37.3	15.3	41.7	46.8	49.5
Net income per share:								
Basic	0.50	0.51	0.57	0.60	0.25	0.67	0.75	0.80
Diluted	0.48	0.50	0.55	0.58	0.24	0.65	0.72	0.76

- 66 -

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. ASU 2011-04 provides a consistent definition of fair value and ensures that the fair value measurement and disclosure requirements are similar between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 changes certain fair value measurement principles and enhances the disclosure requirements particularly for Level 3 fair value measurements. This guidance will be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011, and will be applied prospectively. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting ASU 2011-04, but believe there will be no significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05 as amended by ASU 2011-12, Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU 2011-05 requires entities to present items of net income and other comprehensive income either in one continuous statement, referred to as the statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate, but consecutive, statements of net income and other comprehensive income. This guidance will be effective as of January 1, 2012 for us and is not expected to have a significant impact on our financial statements, other than presentation.

In September 2011, the FASB ratified ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment. ASU 2011-08 allows an entity the option of performing a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of its reporting units. If, based on the qualitative assessment, an entity concludes it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, quantitative testing for impairment is not necessary. The new accounting standard is applicable for goodwill impairment testing performed in years beginning after December 15, 2011 and early adoption is permitted. We do not expect this pronouncement to have a significant impact on our financial statements.

Currency Risk and Exchange Rate Information

A majority of our revenue and many of our expenses are recognized outside of the United States, except for inventory purchases, which are primarily transacted in U.S. dollars from vendors in the United States. The local currency of each of our subsidiaries' primary markets is considered the functional currency. All revenue and expenses are translated at weighted-average exchange rates for the periods reported. Therefore, our reported revenue and earnings will be positively impacted by a weakening of the U.S. dollar and will be negatively impacted by a strengthening of the U.S. dollar. Given the large portion of our business derived from Japan, South Korea and China, any weakening of these currencies negatively impacts reported revenue and profits, whereas a strengthening of these currencies positively impacts our reported revenue and profits. Given the uncertainty of exchange rate fluctuations, it is difficult to predict the effect of these fluctuations on our future business, product pricing and results of operation or financial condition. However, based on current exchange rate levels, we currently anticipate that foreign currency fluctuations will have a slightly negative impact on reported revenue in 2012.

We may seek to reduce our exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates through the use of foreign currency exchange contracts, through intercompany loans of foreign currency and through our Japanese yen-denominated debt. We do not use derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. We regularly monitor our foreign currency risks and periodically take measures to reduce the impact of foreign exchange fluctuations on our operating results. At December 31, 2010 and 2011, we held forward contracts designated as foreign currency cash flow hedges with notional amounts totaling approximately \$22.2 million and \$83.6 million, respectively, to hedge forecasted foreign-currency-denominated intercompany transactions. Because of our foreign exchange contracts at December 31, 2011, the impact of a 10% appreciation or 10% depreciation of the U.S. dollar

against the Japanese yen would not represent a material potential loss in fair value, earnings or cash flows against these contracts. This potential loss does not consider the underlying foreign currency transaction or translation exposures to which we are subject.

Following are the weighted-average currency exchange rates of U.S. \$1 into local currency for each of our international or foreign markets in which revenue exceeded U.S. \$5.0 million for at least one of the quarters listed:

	2010				2011			
	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
Japan(1)	90.6	92.0	85.7	82.6	82.3	81.5	77.7	77.3
Taiwan	31.9	31.8	31.9	30.3	29.3	28.9	29.1	30.3
Hong Kong	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8
South Korea	1,142.0	1,163.2	1,182.3	1,133.5	1,119.4	1,083.0	1,083.4	1,146.3
Malaysia	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.2
Thailand	32.9	32.4	31.6	30.0	30.5	30.3	30.1	31.0
China	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.7	6.6	6.5	6.4	6.4
Singapore	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.3
Canada	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

(1) As of February 1, 2012, the exchange rate of U.S. \$1 into the Japanese yen was approximately– 76.20

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Regulation G, Conditions for Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures, and other SEC regulations define and prescribe the conditions for use of certain non-GAAP financial information. Our measures of earnings per share, gross profit and net income, each excluding the Japan customs expense, meet the definition of non-GAAP financial measures. Earnings per share, gross profit and net income, each excluding the Japan customs expense, are used in addition to and in conjunction with results presented in accordance with GAAP and should not be relied upon to the exclusion of GAAP financial measures.

Management believes these non-GAAP financial measures assist management and investors in evaluating, and comparing from period to period, results from ongoing operations in a more meaningful and consistent manner while also highlighting more meaningful trends in the results of operations.

The following is a reconciliation of gross profit, as reported, to gross profit excluding Japan customs expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2010	2011		
Revenue as reported	\$ 1,537,259	\$ 1,743,991		
GAAP gross profit as reported	\$ 1,264,828	\$ 1,421,367		
Japan customs expense	–	32,754		
Gross profit excluding Japan customs expense	\$ 1,264,828	\$ 1,454,121		
Gross profit as a percent of revenue as reported	82.3	%	81.5	%
Gross profit as a percent of revenue excluding Japan customs expense	82.3	%	83.4	%

The following is a reconciliation of net income and diluted earnings per share, as reported, to net income and diluted earnings per share excluding Japan customs expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2010	2011		
Net income as reported	\$ 136,051	\$ 153,330		
Japan customs expense	–	32,754		
Tax effect of Japan customs expense	–	(12,275)		
Net income excluding Japan customs expense	\$ 136,051	\$ 173,809		
Diluted earnings per share as reported	\$ 2.11	\$ 2.38		
Diluted earnings per share, excluding Japan customs expense	\$ 2.11	\$ 2.69		

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information required by Item 7A of Form 10-K is incorporated herein by reference from the information contained in Item 7. “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation - Currency Risk and Exchange Rate Information” and Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

1. Financial Statements. Set forth below is the index to the Financial Statements included in this Item 8:

	Page
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2011	71
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011	72
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011	73
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011	74
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	75
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	102

2. Financial Statement Schedules: Financial statement schedules have been omitted because they are not required or are not applicable, or because the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
 Consolidated Balance Sheets
 (U.S. dollars in thousands)

	December 31,	
	2010	2011
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$230,337	\$272,974
Current investments		17,727
Accounts receivable	25,701	31,615
Inventories, net	114,475	112,111
Prepaid expenses and other	52,013	95,660
	422,526	530,087
Property and equipment, net	133,722	149,505
Goodwill	112,446	112,446
Other intangible assets, net	78,270	83,333
Other assets	145,260	115,585
Total assets	\$892,224	\$990,956
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$25,480	\$32,181
Accrued expenses	146,108	180,382
Current portion of long-term debt	27,865	28,608
Related party payable	16,995	
	216,448	241,171
Long-term debt	133,013	107,944
Other liabilities	71,514	67,605
Total liabilities	420,975	416,720
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 10 and 21)		
Stockholders' equity		
Class A common stock – 500 million shares authorized, \$.001 par value, 90.6 million shares issued	91	91
Additional paid-in capital	256,505	292,240
Treasury stock, at cost – 28.5 and 28.3 million shares	(476,748)	(522,162)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(58,539)	(62,565)
Retained earnings	749,940	866,632
	471,249	574,236
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$892,224	\$990,956

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

- 71 -

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
 Consolidated Statements of Income
 (U.S. dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2010	2011
Revenue	\$ 1,331,058	\$ 1,537,259	\$ 1,743,991
Cost of sales	243,648	272,431	322,624
Gross profit	1,087,410	1,264,828	1,421,367
Operating expenses:			
Selling expenses	559,605	646,348	751,448
General and administrative expenses	369,368	401,418	436,177
Restructuring charges	10,724	—	—
Total operating expenses	939,697	1,047,766	1,187,625
Operating income	147,713	217,062	233,742
Other income (expense), net (Note 25)	(6,589)	(9,449)	(6,973)
Income before provision for income taxes	141,124	207,613	226,769
Provision for income taxes	51,279	71,562	73,439
Net income	\$ 89,845	\$ 136,051	\$ 153,330
Net income per share:			
Basic	\$ 1.42	\$ 2.18	\$ 2.47
Diluted	\$ 1.40	\$ 2.11	\$ 2.38
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (000s):			
Basic	63,333	62,370	62,066
Diluted	64,296	64,547	64,546

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	Class A Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Retained Earnings	Total
Balance at January 1, 2009	\$91	\$218,928	\$(417,017)	\$ (70,061)	\$584,239	\$316,180
Comprehensive income:						
Net income	—	—	—	—	89,845	89,845
Foreign currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	1,830	—	1,830
Net unrealized gains on foreign currency cash flow hedges	—	—	—	97	—	97
Total comprehensive income						91,772
Repurchase of Class A common stock (Note 11)	—	—	(21,144)	—	—	(21,144)
Exercise of employee stock options (0.6 million shares)/vesting of stock awards	—	1,633	4,594	—	—	6,227
Excess tax benefit from equity awards	—	1,669	—	—	—	1,669
Stock-based compensation	—	9,989	—	—	—	9,989
Cash dividends	—	—	—	—	(29,006)	(29,006)
Balance at December 31, 2009	91	232,219	(433,567)	(68,134)	645,078	375,687
Comprehensive income:						
Net income	—	—	—	—	136,051	136,051
Foreign currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	9,661	—	9,661
Net unrealized gains on foreign currency cash flow hedges	—	—	—	60	—	60
Less: reclassification adjustment for realized gains in current earnings	—	—	—	(126)	—	(126)
Total comprehensive income						145,646
Repurchase of Class A common stock (Note 11)	—	—	(58,516)	—	—	(58,516)

Reclassification of treasury shares held						
by subsidiary	—	3,122	(3,122)	—	—	—
Exercise of employee stock options (1.5 million shares)/vesting of stock awards	—	2,724	18,457	—	—	21,181
Excess tax benefit from equity awards	—	7,605	—	—	—	7,605
Stock-based compensation	—	10,835	—	—	—	10,835
Cash dividends	—	—	—	—	(31,189)	(31,189)
Balance at December 31, 2010	91	256,505	(476,748)	(58,539)	749,940	471,249
Comprehensive income:						
Net income	—	—	—	—	153,330	153,330
Foreign currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	(2,985)	—	(2,985)
Net unrealized losses on foreign currency cash flow hedges	—	—	—	(1,954)	—	(1,954)
Less: reclassification adjustment for realized gains in current earnings	—	—	—	913	—	913
Total comprehensive income						149,304
Repurchase of Class A common stock (Note 11)	—	—	(67,149)	—	—	(67,149)
Exercise of employee stock options (2.1 million shares)/vesting of stock awards	—	7,978	21,735	—	—	29,713
Excess tax benefit from equity awards	—	12,657	—	—	—	12,657
Stock-based compensation	—	15,100	—	—	—	15,100
Cash dividends	—	—	—	—	(36,638)	(36,638)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$91	\$292,240	\$(522,162)	\$ (62,565)	\$866,632	\$574,236

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2010	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$89,845	\$136,051	\$153,330
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	28,557	29,616	32,850
Japan customs expense	—	—	32,754
Foreign currency (gains)/losses	(1,966)	3,681	4,162
Stock-based compensation	9,989	10,835	15,450
Deferred taxes	12,350	(13,735)	108
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(7,043)	(6,649)	(5,890)
Inventories, net	9,740	(4,293)	2,415
Prepaid expenses and other	(3,850)	3,854	(4,690)
Other assets	(18,690)	(1,631)	(16,809)
Accounts payable	3,602	(568)	6,077
Accrued expenses	8,598	13,777	1,624
Other liabilities	2,812	16,945	2,934
Net cash provided by operating activities	133,944	187,883	224,315
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment	(20,215)	(53,783)	(41,809)
Proceeds on investment sales	—	—	6,634
Purchases of investments	—	—	(24,361)
Acquisition of LifeGen (Note 22)	—	—	(11,663)
Net cash used in investing activities	(20,215)	(53,783)	(71,199)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Payment of cash dividends	(29,006)	(31,189)	(36,638)
Repurchase of shares of common stock	(21,144)	(58,516)	(67,149)
Exercise of distributor and employee stock options	6,227	21,181	29,713
Income tax benefit of options exercised	1,101	6,908	12,059
Payments on long-term debt	(30,188)	(37,401)	(28,001)
Related party payment	—	—	(16,995)
Proceeds from long-term debt	—	30,000	—
Net cash used in financing activities	(73,010)	(69,017)	(107,011)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	2,740	7,209	(3,468)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	43,459	72,292	42,637

Edgar Filing: INTRUSION INC - Form 10QSB

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	114,586	158,045	230,337
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 158,045	\$ 230,337	\$ 272,974

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

- 74 -

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. The Company

Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. (the “Company”) is a leading, global direct selling company that develops and distributes premium-quality, innovative personal care products and nutritional supplements that are sold worldwide under the Nu Skin and Pharmanex brands and a small number of other products and services. The Company reports revenue from five geographic regions: North Asia, which consists of Japan and South Korea; Greater China, which consists of Mainland China, Hong Kong, Macau and Taiwan; Americas, which consists of the United States, Canada and Latin America; South Asia/Pacific, which consists of Australia, Brunei, French Polynesia, Indonesia, Malaysia, New Caledonia, New Zealand, the Philippines, Singapore and Thailand; and Europe, which consists of several markets in Europe as well as Israel, Russia and South Africa (the Company’s subsidiaries operating in these countries are collectively referred to as the “Subsidiaries”).

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and the Subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

Use of estimates

The preparation of these financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, required management to make estimates and assumptions that affected the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash equivalents are short-term, highly liquid instruments with original maturities of 90 days or less.

Inventories

Inventories consist primarily of merchandise purchased for resale and are stated at the lower of cost or market, using the first-in, first-out method. The Company had reserves for obsolete inventory totaling \$10.5 million and \$7.1 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

Inventories consist of the following (U.S. dollars in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2010	2011
Raw materials	\$ 31,497	\$ 24,668
Finished goods	82,978	87,443

\$ 114,475

\$ 112,111

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Property and equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings	39 years
Furniture and fixtures	5 - 7 years
Computers and equipment	3 -- 5 years
Leasehold improvements	Shorter of estimated useful life or lease term
Scanners	3 years
Vehicles	3 - 5 years

Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. When an asset is sold or otherwise disposed of, the cost and associated accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the resulting gain or loss is recognized in the statement of income. Property and equipment are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value.

Goodwill and other intangible assets

Acquired intangible assets may represent indefinite-lived assets, determinable-lived intangibles, or goodwill. Of these, only the costs of determinable-lived intangibles are amortized to expense over their estimated life. The value of indefinite-lived intangible assets and residual goodwill is not amortized, but is tested at least annually for impairment. Our impairment testing for goodwill is performed separately from our impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangibles. We test goodwill for impairment, at least annually, by reviewing the book value compared to the fair value at the reportable unit level. We test individual indefinite-lived intangibles at least annually by reviewing the individual book values compared to the fair value. Considerable management judgment is necessary to measure fair value. We did not recognize any impairment charges for goodwill or intangible assets during the periods presented.

Revenue recognition

Revenue is recognized when products are shipped, which is when title and risk of loss pass to independent distributors and preferred customers who are the Company's customers. A reserve for product returns is accrued based on historical experience totaling \$3.3 million and \$5.2 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2011, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, the Company recorded sales returns of \$44.8 million, \$55.4 million and \$56.5 million, respectively. The Company generally requires cash or credit card payment at the point of sale. Accounts receivable generally represents amounts due from credit card companies and are generally collected within a few days of the purchase. As such, the Company has determined that no allowance for doubtful accounts is necessary. Amounts received prior to shipment and title passage to distributors are recorded as deferred revenue. The global compensation plan for the Company's distributors generally does not provide rebates or selling discounts to distributors who purchase its products and services. The Company classifies selling discounts

and rebates, if any, as a reduction of revenue at the time the sale is recorded.

- 76 -

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Advertising expenses

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expense incurred for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011 totaled approximately \$2.0 million, \$2.1 million and \$2.3 million, respectively.

Selling expenses

Selling expenses are the Company's most significant expense and are classified as operating expenses. Selling expenses include distributor commissions as well as wages, benefits, bonuses and other labor and unemployment expenses the Company pays to its sales force in China. The Company pays monthly commissions to several levels of distributors on each product sale based upon a distributor's personal and group product volumes, as well as the group product volumes of up to six levels of executive distributors in such distributor's downline sales organization. The Company does not pay commissions on sales materials.

The Company's distributors may make retail profits by purchasing the products from the Company at wholesale and selling them to customers with a retail mark-up. The Company does not account for nor pay additional commissions on these retail mark-ups received by distributors. In many markets, the Company also allows individuals who are not distributors, referred to as "preferred customers," to buy products directly from the Company at wholesale or discounted prices. The Company pays commissions on preferred customer purchases to the referring distributors.

As part of the Company's compensation plan improvements, the Company increased its focus on distributor recognition. Accordingly, during 2010, the costs of certain incentive trips and other rewards earned by distributors, previously recorded as general and administrative expenses, have been reclassified as selling expenses. In order to provide a meaningful comparison, the Company has made this reclassification for both the current and prior-year periods

Research and development

The Company's research and development activities are conducted primarily through its Pharmanex division. Research and development costs are included in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income and are expensed as incurred and totaled \$10.4 million, \$12.4 million and \$13.6 million in 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with the Income Taxes Topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Codification. These standards establish financial accounting and reporting standards for the effects of income taxes that result from an enterprise's activities during the current and preceding years. The Company takes an asset and liability approach for financial accounting and reporting of income taxes. The Company pays income taxes in many foreign jurisdictions based on the profits realized in those jurisdictions, which can be significantly impacted by terms of intercompany transactions between the Company and its foreign affiliates. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are created in this process. As of December 31, 2011, the Company has net deferred tax assets of \$51.4 million. The Company has netted these deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities by jurisdiction. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts expected to be ultimately

realized.

- 77 -

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Uncertain Tax Positions

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and in various state and foreign jurisdictions. During the third quarter, the Company entered into a closing agreement with the United States Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") for all adjustments for the 2005 through 2008 tax years. With a few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S., federal, state and local income tax examination by tax authorities for the years before 2005. In 2009, the Company entered into a voluntary program with the IRS called Compliance Assurance Process ("CAP"). The objective of CAP is to contemporaneously work with the IRS to achieve federal tax compliance and resolve all or most of the issues prior to filing of the tax return. The Company has elected to participate in the CAP program for 2012 and may elect to continue participating in CAP for future tax years; the Company may withdraw from the program at any time. In major foreign jurisdictions, the Company is no longer subject to income tax examinations for years before 2005. Along with the IRS examination, the Company is currently under examination in certain foreign jurisdictions; however, the outcomes of those reviews are not yet determinable.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits included in other liabilities is as follows (U.S. dollars in thousands):

Gross Balance at January 1, 2009	\$	30,915	
Increases related to prior year tax positions		2	
Increases related to current year tax positions		3,618	
Settlements		(946)
Decreases due to lapse of statutes of limitations		(4,858)
Currency adjustments		(456)
Gross Balance at December 31, 2009	\$	28,275	
Gross Balance at January 1, 2010	\$	28,275	
Decreases related to prior year tax positions		(1,206)
Increases related to current year tax positions		2,236	
Settlements			
Decreases due to lapse of statutes of limitations		(15,395)
Currency adjustments		911	
Gross Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	14,821	
Gross Balance at January 1, 2011	\$	14,821	
Decreases related to prior year tax positions		(7,138)
Increases related to current year tax positions		1,415	
Settlements		(499)
Decreases due to lapse of statutes of limitations		(1,255)
Currency adjustments		43	
Gross Balance at December 31, 2011	\$	7,387	

At December 31, 2011, the Company had \$7.4 million in unrecognized tax benefits of which \$3.1 million, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. In comparison, at December 31, 2010, the Company had \$14.8 million

in unrecognized tax benefits of which \$2.4 million, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. The Company's unrecognized tax benefits relate to multiple foreign and domestic jurisdictions. Due to potential increases in unrecognized tax benefits from the multiple jurisdictions in which the Company operates, as well as the expiration of various statutes of limitation, it is reasonably possible that the Company's gross unrecognized tax benefits, net of foreign currency adjustments, may change within the next 12 months by a range of approximately \$0 to \$2 million.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

During each of the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, the Company recognized approximately \$0.1 million, (\$1.7) million and (\$0.8) million, respectively in interest and penalties expenses/(benefits). The Company had approximately \$3.3 million, \$1.6 million and \$0.8 million of accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions at December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively. Interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are recognized as a component of income tax expense.

Net income per share

Net income per share is computed based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the periods presented. Additionally, diluted earnings per share data gives effect to all potentially dilutive common shares that were outstanding during the periods presented (Note 11).

Foreign currency translation

A significant portion of the Company's business operations occurs outside the United States. The local currency of each of the Company's Subsidiaries is considered its functional currency. All assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars at exchange rates existing at the balance sheet dates, revenue and expenses are translated at weighted-average exchange rates and stockholders' equity is recorded at historical exchange rates. The resulting foreign currency translation adjustments are recorded as a separate component of stockholders' equity in the consolidated balance sheets and transaction gains and losses are included in other income and expense in the consolidated financial statements. Net of tax the accumulated other comprehensive income related to the foreign currency translation adjustments are \$68.2 million, \$58.5 million and \$61.5 million at December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

Fair value of financial instruments

The carrying value of financial instruments including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair values due to the short-term nature of these instruments. The Company's current investments as of December 31, 2011 include certificates of deposits and pre-refunded municipal bonds that are classified by management as held-to-maturity as the Company had the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity. The carrying value of these current investments approximate fair values due to the short-term nature of these instruments. As of December 31, 2010 and 2011, the long-term debt fair value is \$169.4 million and \$145.0 million, respectively. Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information.

The FASB Codification defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. On a quarterly basis, the Company measures at fair value certain financial assets, including cash equivalents. Accounting standards specify a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company's market assumptions. These two types of inputs have created the following fair-value hierarchy:

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Level 1 – quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 – inputs, other than the quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly;

Level 3 – unobservable inputs based on the Company's own assumptions.

Accounting standards permit companies, at their option, to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The Company has elected to not fair value existing eligible items.

Stock-based compensation

All share-based payments, including grants of stock options and restricted stock units, are required to be recognized in our financial statements based upon their respective grant date fair values. The Black-Scholes option pricing model is used to estimate the fair value of stock options. The determination of the fair value of stock options is affected by our stock price and a number of assumptions, including expected volatility, expected life, risk-free interest rate and expected dividends. We use historical volatility as the expected volatility assumption required in the Black-Scholes model. The expected life of the stock options is based on historical data trended into the future. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based on observed interest rates appropriate for the expected terms of our stock options. The fair value of our restricted stock units is based on the closing market price of our stock on the date of grant less our expected dividend yield. We recognize stock-based compensation net of any estimated forfeitures on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award.

The total compensation expense related to equity compensation plans was approximately \$10.0 million, \$10.8 million and \$15.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, all stock-based compensation expense was recorded within general and administrative expenses.

Reporting comprehensive income

Comprehensive income is defined as the change in equity of a business enterprise during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources, and it includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners.

Accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities

The Company recognizes all derivatives as either assets or liabilities, with the instruments measured at fair value.

The Company's Subsidiaries enter into significant transactions with each other and third parties that may not be denominated in the respective Subsidiaries' functional currencies. The Company regularly monitors its foreign currency risks and seeks to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates using foreign currency exchange contracts and through certain intercompany loans of foreign currency.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The Company hedges its exposure to future cash flows from forecasted transactions over a maximum period of 24 months. Hedge effectiveness is assessed at inception and throughout the life of the hedge to ensure the hedge qualifies for hedge accounting treatment. Changes in fair value associated with hedge ineffectiveness, if any, are recorded in the results of operations currently. In the event that an anticipated transaction is no longer likely to occur, the Company recognizes the change in fair value of the derivative in its results of operations currently.

Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded in current earnings or accumulated other comprehensive loss, depending on the intended use of the derivative and its resulting designation. The gains and losses in accumulated other comprehensive loss stemming from these derivatives will be reclassified into earnings in the period during which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The fair value of the receivable and payable amounts related to these unrealized gains and losses is classified as other current assets and liabilities. The Company does not use such derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. Gains and losses on certain intercompany loans of foreign currency are recorded as other income and expense in the consolidated statements of income.

Recent accounting pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. ASU 2011-04 provides a consistent definition of fair value and ensures that the fair value measurement and disclosure requirements are similar between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 changes certain fair value measurement principles and enhances the disclosure requirements particularly for Level 3 fair value measurements. This guidance will be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011, and will be applied prospectively. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting ASU 2011-04, but believes there will be no significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05 as amended by ASU 2011-12, Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU 2011-05 requires entities to present items of net income and other comprehensive income either in one continuous statement, referred to as the statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate, but consecutive, statements of net income and other comprehensive income. This guidance will be effective as of January 1, 2012 for the Company and is not expected to have a significant impact on its financial statements, other than presentation.

In September 2011, the FASB ratified ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment. ASU 2011-08 allows an entity the option of performing a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of its reporting units. If, based on the qualitative assessment, an entity concludes it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, quantitative testing for impairment is not necessary. The new accounting standard is applicable for goodwill impairment testing performed in years beginning after December 15, 2011 and early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect this pronouncement to have a significant impact on its financial statements.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

3. Related Party Transactions

The Company leased corporate office and warehouse space from two entities that are owned by certain officers and directors of the Company. Total lease payments to these two affiliated entities were \$3.9 million, \$3.6 million and none for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011. On December 30, 2010, the Company purchased the corporate office and warehouse space from these two affiliated entities for approximately \$33.0 million. Approximately \$16.0 million was paid in cash and the remaining \$17.0 million (see related party payable on the consolidated balance sheet) was paid in January 2011.

4. Prepaid Expenses and Other

Prepaid expenses and other consist of the following (U.S. dollars in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2010	2011
Deferred tax assets	\$ 26,094	\$ 32,867
Prepaid income taxes		30,223
Prepaid inventory	7,799	12,232
Prepaid rent and insurance	4,005	4,001
Prepaid other taxes and duties	2,727	2,406
Deposits	5,320	4,240
	-----	-----
Other	6,068	9,691
	\$ 52,013	\$ 95,660

5. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are comprised of the following (U.S. dollars in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2010	2011
Land	\$ 16,480	\$ 19,561
Buildings	34,293	41,495
Construction in progress	8,070	14,286
Furniture and fixtures	46,799	48,071

Edgar Filing: INTRUSION INC - Form 10QSB

Computers and equipment	87,653	92,336	
Leasehold improvements	55,526	60,120	
Scanners	18,803	15,741	-----
	-----		-----
Vehicles	2,222	2,153	-
	269,846	293,763	
Less: accumulated depreciation	(136,124)	(144,258)	
	\$ 133,722	\$ 149,505	

Depreciation of property and equipment totaled \$21.8 million, \$22.7 million and \$25.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

6. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other intangible assets consist of the following (U.S. dollars in thousands):

	Carrying Amount at December 31,	
	2010	2011
Goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets:		
Goodwill	\$ 112,446	\$ 112,446
Trademarks and trade names	24,599	24,599
	\$ 137,045	\$ 137,045

	December 31, 2010		December 31, 2011		
Finite life intangible assets:	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Weighted-average Amortization Period
Scanner technology	\$ 46,482	\$ 18,423	\$ 46,482	\$ 21,457	18 years
Developed technology	22,500	13,436	22,500	14,261	20 years
Distributor network	11,598	8,587	11,598	9,089	15 years
Trademarks	13,323	9,524	13,401	10,214	15 years
Other	32,989	23,251	46,652	26,878	8 years
	\$ 126,892	\$ 73,221	\$ 140,633	\$ 81,899	15 years

Amortization of finite-life intangible assets totaled \$6.8 million, \$6.9 million and \$7.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively. Annual estimated amortization expense is expected to approximate \$6.0 million for each of the five succeeding fiscal years.

All of the Company's goodwill is based in the U.S. Goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets are not amortized, rather they are subject to annual impairment tests. Annual impairment tests were completed resulting in no impairment charges for any of the periods shown. Finite life intangibles are amortized over their useful lives unless circumstances occur that cause the Company to revise such lives or review such assets for impairment.

7. Other Assets

Other assets consist of the following (U.S. dollars in thousands):

Edgar Filing: INTRUSION INC - Form 10QSB

	December 31,	
	2010	2011
Deferred taxes	\$ 45,027	\$ 29,661
Deposits for noncancelable operating leases	14,261	15,559
Deposit for customs assessment (Note 21)	65,255	50,719
Other	20,717	19,646
	\$ 145,260	\$ 115,585

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

8. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following (U.S. dollars in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2010	2011
Accrued commissions and other payments to distributors	\$ 66,335	\$ 68,925
Other taxes payable	15,948	12,628
Accrued payroll and payroll taxes	13,063	18,039
Accrued payable to vendors	9,744	12,752
Deferred revenue	2,730	22,007
Other accrued employee expenses	19,704	18,588
Other	18,584	27,443
	\$ 146,108	\$ 180,382

9. Long-Term Debt

The following tables summarize the Company's long-term debt arrangements as of December 31, 2011:

Facility or Arrangement(1)	Original Principal Amount	Balance as of December 31, 2010	Balance as of December 31, 2011(2)	Interest Rate	Repayment terms
2003 \$205.0 million multi-currency uncommitted shelf facility:					
U.S. dollar denominated:	\$40.0 million	\$34.3 million	\$28.6 million	6.2%	Notes due July 2016 with annual principal payments that began in July 2010.
	\$20.0 million	\$20.0 million	\$17.1 million	6.2%	Notes due January 2017 with annual principal payments that began in January 2011.
				1.7%	

Edgar Filing: INTRUSION INC - Form 10QSB

Japanese yen denominated:	3.1 billion yen	1.8 billion yen (\$22.0 million as of December 31, 2010)	1.3 billion yen (\$17.4 million as of December 31, 2011)		Notes due April 2014 with annual principal payments that began in April 2008.
	2.3 billion yen	2.3 billion yen (\$27.9 million as of December 31, 2010)	1.9 billion yen (\$25.3 million as of December 31, 2011)	2.6%	Notes due September 2017 with annual principal payments that began in September 2011.
	2.2 billion yen	2.2 billion yen (\$26.7 million as of December 31, 2010)	1.9 billion yen (\$24.2 million as of December 31, 2011)	3.3%	Notes due January 2017 with annual principal payments that began in January 2011.
2010 committed loan: U.S. dollar denominated:	\$30.0 million	\$30.0 million	\$24.0 million	Variable 30 day: 1.29%	Amortizes at \$1.5 million per quarter.
2004 \$25.0 million revolving credit facility	N/A	None	None	N/A	
2009 \$100.0 million uncommitted multi-currency shelf facility	N/A	None	None	N/A	

(1) Each of the credit facilities and arrangements listed in the table are secured by guarantees issued by the Company's domestic subsidiaries and by pledges of 65% of the outstanding stock of its material foreign subsidiaries. The 2010 committed loan is also secured by deeds of trust with respect to the Company's corporate headquarters and distribution center in Provo, Utah.

(2) The current portion of the Company's long-term debt (i.e. becoming due in the next 12 months) includes \$14.0 million of the balance of its Japanese yen-denominated debt under the 2003 multi-currency uncommitted shelf facility, \$8.6 million of the balance on its U.S. dollar denominated debt under the 2003 multi-currency uncommitted shelf facility and \$6.0 million of its 2010 committed loan.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Interest expense relating to debt totaled \$6.9 million, \$5.8 million and \$4.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

The notes and shelf facility contain other terms and conditions and affirmative and negative financial covenants customary for credit facilities of this type, including a requirement to maintain a minimum cash balance of \$65.0 million. As of December 31, 2011, the Company is in compliance with all financial covenants under the notes and shelf facility.

Maturities of all long-term debt at December 31, 2011, based on the year-end exchange rate, are as follows (U.S. dollars in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	
2012	\$ 28,608
2013	40,608
2014	22,608
2015	16,814
2016	16,814
Thereafter	11,100
Total	\$ 136,552

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

10. Lease Obligations

The Company leases office space and computer hardware under noncancelable long-term operating leases. Most leases include renewal options of at least three years. Minimum future operating lease obligations at December 31, 2011 are as follows (U.S. dollars in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	
2012	\$ 16,006
2013	14,548
2014	11,912
2015	10,339
2016	9,540
Thereafter	902
Total	\$ 63,247

Rental expense for operating leases totaled \$33.8 million, \$28.8 million and \$25.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

11. Capital Stock

The Company's authorized capital stock consists of 25 million shares of preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share, 500 million shares of Class A common stock, par value \$.001 per share, and 100 million shares of Class B common stock, par value \$.001 per share. The shares of Class A common stock and Class B common stock are identical in all respects, except for voting rights and certain conversion rights and transfer restrictions, as follows: (1) each share of Class A common stock entitles the holder to one vote on matters submitted to a vote of the Company's stockholders and each share of Class B common stock entitles the holder to ten votes on each such matter; (2) stock dividends of Class A common stock may be paid only to holders of Class A common stock and stock dividends of Class B common stock may be paid only to holders of Class B common stock; (3) if a holder of Class B common stock transfers such shares to a person other than a permitted transferee, as defined in the Company's Certificate of Incorporation, such shares will be converted automatically into shares of Class A common stock; and (4) Class A common stock has no conversion rights; however, each share of Class B common stock is convertible into one share of Class A common stock, in whole or in part, at any time at the option of the holder. All outstanding Class B shares have been converted to Class A shares. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, there were no preferred or Class B common shares outstanding.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Weighted-average common shares outstanding

The following is a reconciliation of the weighted-average common shares outstanding for purposes of computing basic and diluted net income per share (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2010	2011
Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding	63,333	62,370	62,066
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock awards and options	963	2,177	2,480
Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding	64,296	64,547	64,546

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, other stock options totaling 4.8 million, 0.4 million and none, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were anti-dilutive.

Repurchases of common stock

The board of directors has approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the Company to repurchase the Company's outstanding shares of Class A common stock on the open market or in private transactions. The repurchases are used primarily to offset dilution from the Company's equity incentive plans and for strategic initiatives. During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, the Company repurchased approximately 1.2 million, 2.2 million and 1.9 million shares of Class A common stock for an aggregate price of approximately \$21.1 million, \$58.5 million and \$67.1 million, respectively. Between August 1998 and December 31, 2011, the Company repurchased a total of approximately 23.7 million shares of Class A common stock under this program for an aggregate price of approximately \$398.4 million. In June 2010, the Company's board of directors authorized an increase of \$150.0 million in the amount available under the Company's ongoing stock repurchase program. At December 31, 2011, \$86.3 million was available for repurchases under the stock repurchase program.

12. Stock-Based Compensation

At December 31, 2011, the Company had the following stock-based employee compensation plans:

Equity Incentive Plans

During the year ended December 31, 1996, the Company's board of directors adopted the Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc., 1996 Stock Incentive Plan (the "1996 Stock Incentive Plan"). In April 2006, the Company's Board of Directors approved the Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2006 Stock Incentive Plan"). The 2006 Stock Incentive Plan was approved by the Company's stockholders at the Company's 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders held in May of 2006. The 1996 Stock Incentive Plan and the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan provide for granting of stock awards and options to purchase common stock to executives, other employees, independent consultants and directors of the Company and its Subsidiaries. Stock options granted under these plans are generally non-qualified stock

options, but the plans permit some stock options granted to qualify as “incentive stock options” under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. The exercise price of a stock option generally is equal to the fair market value of the Company’s common stock on the stock option grant date. The contractual term of stock options granted since 1996 is generally ten years. However, for stock options granted beginning in the second quarter of 2006, the contractual term has been shortened to seven years. Currently, all shares issued upon the exercise of stock options are from the Company’s treasury shares. With the adoption of the 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan discussed below, no further grants will be made under the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan or the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

In April 2010, the Company's Board of Directors approved the Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan"). This plan was approved by the Company's stockholders at the Company's 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders held in May of 2010. The 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan provides for granting of a variety of equity based awards including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, other share based awards, performance cash, performance shares and performance units to executives, other employees, independent consultants and directors of the Company and its subsidiaries. Options granted under the 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan are generally non-qualified stock options, but the 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan permits some stock options granted to qualify as "incentive stock options" under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. The exercise price of a stock option generally is equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the stock option grant date. The contractual term of a stock option granted under the 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan is seven years. Currently, all shares issued upon the exercise of stock options are from the Company's treasury shares. Seven million shares, subject to certain adjustments, were authorized for issuance under the 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

The Company has traditionally granted time-vested options. However, the Company has made several performance based grants over the last four years. The following is a summary of the terms of the two most significant grants of performance awards. The compensation committee of the board of directors approved the grant of performance stock options to certain senior level executives in the fourth quarter of 2007 under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. Vesting for the options is performance based, with the options vesting in two installments if the Company's earnings per share equal or exceed the two established performance levels, measured in terms of diluted earnings per share. Fifty percent of the options vest upon earnings per share meeting or exceeding the first performance level and fifty percent of the options vest upon earnings per share meeting or exceeding the second performance level. Both of the performance levels were met prior to December 31, 2010 for these performance stock options, which resulted in cumulative compensation expense of \$8.3 million.

In November 2010, the compensation committee of the board of directors approved the grant of performance stock options to certain key employees under the 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan. Vesting for the options is performance based, with the options vesting in three installments if the Company's earnings per share equal or exceed the three established performance levels, measured in terms of diluted earnings per share. One third of the options will vest upon earnings per share meeting or exceeding the first performance level, one third of the options will vest upon earnings per share meeting or exceeding the second performance level and one third of the options will vest upon earnings per share meeting or exceeding the third performance level. All unvested options will terminate upon the Company's failure to meet certain performance thresholds for each of years 2013 through 2015. In addition, all unvested options will terminate on March 30, 2016. The Company records an expense each period for the estimated amount of expense associated with the Company's projected achievement of the performance based targets.

The Company has also issued other performance based awards to a limited number of participants that similarly vest, or become eligible for vesting, upon achievement of various performance targets.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The fair value of stock option awards was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions and weighted-average fair values as follows:

Stock Options:	2009	December 31,		2011
		2010		
Weighted average grant date fair value of grants	\$ 2.84	\$ 8.61		\$ 9.98
Risk-free interest rate(1)	2.3 %	1.8 %		1.8 %
Dividend yield(2)	3.2 %	2.6 %		2.6 %
Expected volatility(3)	40.7 %	37.8 %		38.4 %
Expected life in months(4)	69 months	69 months		63 months

(1) The risk-free interest rate is based upon the rate on a zero coupon U.S. Treasury bill, for periods within the contractual life of the option, in effect at the time of the grant.

(2) The dividend yield is based on the average of historical stock prices and actual dividends paid.

(3) Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of our stock price, over a period similar to the expected life of the option.

(4) The expected term of the option is based on the historical employee exercise behavior, the vesting terms of the respective option, and a contractual life of either seven or ten years.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Options under the plans as of December 31, 2011 and changes during the year ended December 31, 2011 were as follows:

	Share	Weighted-average	Term	Weighted-average Remaining Contractual Intrinsic Value
	(in thousands)	Exercise Price	(in years)	(in thousands)
Options activity – service based				
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	4,483.9	\$ 15.82		
Granted	187.5	36.10		
Exercised	(1,149.2)	16.57		
Forfeited/cancelled/expired	(16.7)	12.32		
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	3,505.5	16.68	3.65	\$ 111,793
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	2,311.4	17.02	3.10	72,930
Options activity – performance based				
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	3,586.5	\$ 24.90		
Granted	57.5	31.92		
Exercised	(790.0)	17.14		
Forfeited/cancelled/expired	(100.0)	23.72		
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	2,754.0	27.32	5.20	\$ 58,532
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	639.4	17.25	3.01	20,027
Options activity – all options				
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	8,070.4	\$ 19.86		
Granted	245.0	35.12		
Exercised	(1,939.2)	16.80		
Forfeited/cancelled/expired	(116.7)	22.09		
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	6,259.5	21.36	4.33	\$ 170,325
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	2,950.8	17.07	3.09	92,957

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pretax intrinsic value (the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the respective years and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2011. This amount varies based on the fair market value of the Company's stock. The total fair value of options vested and expensed was \$5.2 million, net of tax, for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Cash proceeds, tax benefits, and intrinsic value related to total stock options exercised during 2009, 2010 and 2011, were as follows (in millions):

	2009	December 31, 2010	2011
Cash proceeds from stock options exercised	\$ 6.2	\$ 21.2	\$ 29.7
Tax benefit realized for stock options exercised	2.9	10.3	17.4
Intrinsic value of stock options exercised	8.2	25.4	61.6

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Nonvested restricted stock awards as of December 31, 2011 and changes during the year ended December 31, 2011 were as follows:

	Number of Shares (in thousands)	Weighted-average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	530.3	\$ 22.88
Granted	334.0	32.10
Vested	(185.5)	21.49
Forfeited	(15.1)	25.89
Nonvested at December 31, 2011	663.7	27.84

As of December 31, 2011, there was \$11.6 million of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to nonvested restricted stock awards. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.5 years. As of December 31, 2011, there was \$16.3 million of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to nonvested stock option awards. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years.

13. Fair Value

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets are categorized based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value.

The following tables present the fair value hierarchy for those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2011 (U.S. dollars in thousands):

	Fair Value at December 31, 2010			
	Level			Total
	Level 1	2	Level 3	
Financial assets (liabilities):				
Cash				
equivalents	\$ 41,101	\$	\$	\$ 41,101
Forward				
contracts		45		45
Insurance company				
contracts			12,967	12,967
Total	\$ 41,101	\$ 45	\$ 12,967	\$ 54,113

Edgar Filing: INTRUSION INC - Form 10QSB

Fair Value at December 31, 2011
 Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Total

Financial assets (liabilities):

Cash				
equivalents	\$15,733	\$	\$	\$15,733
Forward				
contracts		(1,580)		(1,580)
Insurance company				
contracts			14,925	14,925
Total	\$15,733	\$ (1,580)	\$ 14,925	\$29,078

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following methods and assumptions were used to determine the fair value of each class of assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets:

Cash equivalents: Cash equivalents primarily consist of highly rated money market funds with maturities of three months or less, and are purchased daily at par value with specified yield rates. Due to the high ratings and short-term nature of the funds, the Company considers all cash equivalents as Level I.

Forward contracts: To hedge foreign currency risks, the Company uses foreign currency exchange forward contracts, where possible and practical. These forward contracts are valued using standard valuation formulas with assumptions about foreign currency exchange rates derived from existing exchange rates.

Insurance Company Contracts: ASC 820 preserves practicability exceptions to fair value measurements provided by other applicable GAAP. The guidance in ASC 715-30-35-60 allows a reporting entity, as a practical expedient, to use cash surrender value or conversion value as an expedient for fair value when it is present. Accordingly, the Company determines the fair value of its insurance company contracts as the cash-surrender value of life insurance policies held in its Rabbi Trust as disclosed in Note 16 "Executive Deferred Compensation Plan".

The following table provides a summary of changes in fair value of the Company's Level 3 marketable securities (U.S. dollars in thousands):

	Insurance Company Contracts
Beginning balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 10,574
Actual return on plan assets: Relating to assets still held at the reporting date	1,090
Purchases and issuances	2,197
Sales and settlements	(894)
Transfers into Level III	
Ending balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 12,967
Actual return on plan assets: Relating to assets still held at the reporting date	(365)
Purchases and issuances	2,883
Sales and settlements	(560)

Transfers into Level

III

Ending balance at December 31,

2011

\$ 14,925

14. Income Taxes

Consolidated income before provision for income taxes consists of the following for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011 (U.S. dollars in thousands):

	2009	2010	2011
U.S.	\$ 71,338	\$ 141,069	\$ 142,929
Foreign	69,786	66,544	83,840
Total	\$ 141,124	\$ 207,613	\$ 226,769

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The provision for current and deferred taxes for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011 consists of the following (U.S. dollars in thousands):

	2009	2010	2011
Current			
Federal	\$ 9,409	\$ 45,761	\$ 14,723
State	1,690	3,825	2,245
Foreign	27,784	27,450	56,973
	38,883	77,036	73,941
Deferred			
Federal	14,266	(2,558)	17,756
State	937	212	582
Foreign	(2,807)	(3,128)	(18,840)
	12,396	(5,474)	(502)
Provision for income taxes	\$ 51,279	\$ 71,562	\$ 73,439

The Company's foreign taxes paid are high relative to foreign operating income and the Company's U.S. taxes paid are low relative to U.S. operating income due largely to the flow of funds among the Company's Subsidiaries around the world. As payments for services, management fees, license arrangements and royalties are made from the Company's foreign affiliates to its U.S. corporate headquarters, these payments often incur withholding and other forms of tax that are generally creditable for U.S. tax purposes. Therefore, these payments lead to increased foreign effective tax rates and lower U.S. effective tax rates. Variations (or shifts) occur in the Company's foreign and U.S. effective tax rates from year to year depending on several factors. These factors include the impact of global transfer prices, the timing and level of remittances from foreign affiliates, profits and losses in various markets, in the valuation of deferred tax assets or liabilities, or changes in tax laws, regulations, accounting principles, or interpretations thereof.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The principal components of deferred taxes are as follows (U.S. dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2010	2011
Deferred tax assets:		
Inventory differences	\$ 5,572	\$ 3,796
Foreign tax credit and other foreign benefits	25,408	25,149
Stock-based compensation	9,632	9,674
Accrued expenses not deductible until paid	28,325	37,992
Foreign currency exchange	17,727	16,927
Net operating losses	12,481	11,656
Capitalized research and development	18,295	14,746
Asian marketing rights	483	
Other	7,023	568
Gross deferred tax assets	124,946	120,508
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Exchange gains and losses	4,763	3,300
Pharmanex intangibles step-up	12,923	12,179
Amortization of intangibles	10,193	14,457
Foreign outside basis in controlled foreign corporation	10,683	16,081
Prepaid expenses	11,239	
Other	3,921	11,431
Gross deferred tax liabilities	53,722	57,448
Valuation allowance	(11,351)	(11,611)
Deferred taxes, net	\$ 59,873	\$ 51,449

At December 31, 2011, the Company had foreign operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$51.3 million for tax purposes, which will be available to offset future taxable income. If not used, \$16.1 million of carryforwards will expire between 2012 and 2021, while \$35.2 million do not expire. A valuation allowance of approximately \$44.6 million has been placed on these foreign operating loss carryforwards.

The valuation allowance primarily represents amounts for foreign operating loss carryforwards for which it is more likely than not some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. In making such determination, the Company considers all available positive and negative evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary difference, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations. When the Company determines that there is sufficient taxable income to utilize the net operating losses, the valuation will be released which would reduce the provision for income taxes.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The components of deferred taxes, net on a jurisdiction basis are as follows (U.S. dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2010	2011
Net current deferred tax assets	\$ 26,094	\$ 32,867
Net noncurrent deferred tax assets	45,027	29,661
Total net deferred tax assets	71,121	62,528
Net current deferred tax liabilities	8	7
Net noncurrent deferred tax liabilities	11,240	11,072
Total net deferred tax liabilities	11,248	11,079
Deferred taxes, net	\$ 59,873	\$ 51,449

The Company is subject to regular audits by federal, state and foreign tax authorities. These audits may result in proposed assessments that may result in additional tax liabilities.

The actual tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011 compared to the statutory U.S. Federal tax rate is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2009		2010		2011	
Income taxes at statutory rate	35.00	%	35.00	%	35.00	%
Non-deductible expenses	.24		.10		.16	
Extraterritorial income tax credit	.00		.00		(3.39)
Other	1.10		(.63)	.62	
	36.34	%	34.47	%	32.39	%

The decrease in the effective tax rate in 2011 compared to 2010 was primarily attributable to a one-time discrete tax benefit of \$7.7 million associated with the effective settlement of an IRS audit for tax years 2005 – 2008. During the third quarter, we entered into a closing agreement with the IRS on the Extraterritorial Income Exclusion for the exportation of products outside the United States.

15. Employee Benefit Plan

The Company has a 401(k) defined contribution plan which permits participating employees to defer up to a maximum of 100% of their compensation, subject to limitations established by the Internal Revenue Service. Employees age 18 and older are eligible to contribute to the plan starting the first day of employment. After completing at least one day of service, employees are eligible to receive matching contributions from the Company. In 2009, 2010, and 2011 the Company matched employees' base pay up to 3.5%, 4% and 4%, respectively. The

Company's matching contributions cliff vest after two years of service. The Company recorded compensation expense of \$1.7 million, \$2.1 million and \$2.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively, related to its contributions to the plan. The Company may make an additional discretionary contributions to the plan of up to 10% of employees' base pay. The Company's discretionary contributions vest 20% per year for an employee's first five years of service. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the first year of this "retire ready" contribution, the Company currently plans to make additional discretionary contributions of approximately \$2.0 million.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan for its employees in Japan. All employees of Nu Skin Japan, after certain years of service, are entitled to pension plan benefits when they terminate employment with Nu Skin Japan. The accrued pension liability was \$5.9 million, \$7.4 million and \$8.4 million as of December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively. Although Nu Skin Japan has not specifically funded this obligation, as it is not required to do so, Nu Skin Japan believes it maintains adequate cash balances for this defined benefit pension plan. The Company recorded pension expense of \$0.6 million, \$1.1 million and \$0.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

16. Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

The Company has an executive deferred compensation plan for select management personnel. Under this plan, the Company may make a contribution of up to 10% of a participant's salary. In addition, each participant has the option to defer a portion of their compensation up to a maximum of 80% of their base salary and 100% of their bonuses. Participant contributions are immediately vested. Company contributions vest 50% after ten years of service and 5% each year of service thereafter. In addition, any unvested company contributions will fully vest on the earlier of: (a) the participant attaining 60 years of age; and (b) death or disability.

The Company recorded compensation expense of \$1.1 million, \$3.4 million and \$1.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively, related to its contributions to the plan. The total long-term deferred compensation liability under the deferred compensation plan was \$15.0 million and \$17.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, respectively, related to its contributions to the plan and is included in other long-term liabilities.

All benefits under the deferred compensation plan are unsecured obligations of the Company. The Company has contributed assets to a "rabbi trust" for the payment of benefits under the deferred compensation plan. As the assets of the trust are available to satisfy the claims of general creditors if the Company becomes insolvent, the amounts held in the trust are accounted for as an investment on the Company's consolidated balance sheet of \$13.0 million and \$14.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

17. Derivative Financial Instruments

At December 31, 2010 and 2011, the Company held forward contracts designated as foreign currency cash flow hedges with notional amounts totaling approximately \$22.2 million and \$83.6 million, respectively, to hedge forecasted foreign-currency-denominated intercompany transactions.

The contracts held at December 31, 2011 have maturities through May 2013, and accordingly, all unrealized gains and losses on foreign currency cash flow hedges included in accumulated other comprehensive loss will be recognized in current earnings over the next 17 months. There were no pre-tax net (losses)/gains on foreign currency cash flow hedges recorded in current earnings for the years ended December 31, 2009. There were \$0.1 million of pre-tax net gains and \$1.4 million of pre-tax net losses on foreign currency cash flow hedges recorded in current earnings for years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

18. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Cash paid for interest totaled \$7.0 million, \$6.2 million and \$5.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively. Cash paid for income taxes totaled \$36.8 million, \$61.2 million and \$75.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively. There was a non-cash item for the year ended December 31, 2010, as described in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements pertaining to the related party purchase of corporate office and warehouse space.

19. Segment Information

The Company operates in a single operating segment by selling products to a global network of independent distributors that operates in a seamless manner from market to market, except for its operations in Mainland China. In Mainland China, the Company utilizes an employed sales force, contractual sales promoters and direct sellers to sell its products through fixed retail locations. Selling expenses are the Company's largest expense comprised of the commissions paid to its worldwide independent distributors as well as remuneration to its sales force in Mainland China. The Company manages its business primarily by managing its global sales force. The Company does not use profitability reports on a regional or divisional basis for making business decisions. However, the Company does report revenue in five geographic regions: North Asia, Greater China, Americas, South Asia/Pacific and Europe.

Revenue generated in each of these regions is set forth below (U.S. dollars in thousands):

Revenue:	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2010	2011
North Asia	\$ 606,113	\$ 686,073	\$ 751,165
Greater China	210,379	268,171	341,919
Americas	260,865	250,008	251,984
South Asia/Pacific	120,123	182,796	236,212
Europe	133,578	150,211	162,711
Total	\$ 1,331,058	\$ 1,537,259	\$ 1,743,991

Revenue generated by each of the Company's product lines is set forth below (U.S. dollars in thousands):

Revenue:	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2010	2011
Nu Skin	\$ 752,681	\$ 913,819	\$ 964,130
Pharmanex	565,592	612,209	770,192
Other	12,785	11,231	9,669
Total	\$ 1,331,058	\$ 1,537,259	\$ 1,743,991

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Additional information as to the Company's operations in the most significant geographical areas is set forth below (U.S. dollars in thousands):

Revenue:	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2010	2011
Japan	\$ 461,914	\$ 471,425	\$ 472,519
South Korea	144,199	214,648	278,646
United States	218,557	212,070	211,788
Mainland China	71,086	91,352	152,538
Europe	111,862	124,497	140,497
Taiwan	91,727	107,133	108,857
Hong Kong	47,566	69,686	80,524

Long-lived assets:	December 31,	
	2010	2011
Japan	\$ 12,473	\$ 14,113
South Korea	9,396	11,451
United States	84,829	98,205
Europe	2,697	1,966
Mainland China	11,646	15,135
Taiwan	2,200	1,556
Hong Kong	1,561	1,030

20. Restructuring Charges

During 2009, the Company recorded restructuring charges of \$10.7 million, related to restructuring of its Japan operations, including an approximate 30% headcount reduction as well as facility relocations and closures. \$7.4 million related to severance payments to terminated employees and \$3.3 million of these charges related to facility relocation or closing costs. The majority of these severance charges were related to a voluntary employment reduction program. The restructuring charges for facility relocation or closing costs related to costs incurred during 2009 for leases terminated in that period.

21. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is subject to governmental regulations pertaining to product formulation, labeling and packaging, product claims and advertising and to the Company's direct selling system. The Company is also subject to the jurisdiction of numerous foreign tax and customs authorities. Any assertions or determination that either the

Company or the Company's distributors is not in compliance with existing statutes, laws, rules or regulations could potentially have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations. In addition, in any country or jurisdiction, the adoption of new statutes, laws, rules or regulations or changes in the interpretation of existing statutes, laws, rules or regulations could have a material adverse effect on the Company and its operations. Although management believes that the Company is in compliance in all material respects with the statutes, laws, rules and regulations of every jurisdiction in which it operates, no assurance can be given that the Company's compliance with applicable statutes, laws, rules and regulations will not be challenged by foreign authorities or that such challenges will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations or cash flows. The Company and its Subsidiaries are defendants in litigation and proceedings involving various matters. Except as noted below, in the opinion of the Company's management, based upon advice of its counsel handling such litigation and proceedings, adverse outcomes, if any, will not likely result in a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The Company is subject to regular audits by federal, state and foreign tax authorities. These audits may result in additional tax liabilities. The Company believes it has appropriately provided for income taxes for all years. Several factors drive the calculation of its tax reserves. Some of these factors include: (i) the expiration of various statutes of limitations; (ii) changes in tax law and regulations; (iii) issuance of tax rulings; and (iv) settlements with tax authorities. Changes in any of these factors may result in adjustments to the Company's reserves, which would impact its reported financial results.

In March 2011, the Tokyo District Court upheld a disputed \$32.8 million customs assessment on certain of the Company's products imported into Japan during the period of October 2002 through June 2005. As a result of this decision, the Company recorded an expense for the full amount of the disputed assessments in the first quarter of 2011. The charge was a non-cash item, as the Company was previously required to pay the assessments. The Company currently anticipates that this appeal will be decided in 2012.

The Company is currently involved in a separate dispute with customs authorities in Japan with respect to duty assessments on several of the Company's Pharmanex nutritional products. The dispute relates to additional customs assessments made by Yokohama Customs for the period of October 2006 through September 2009 in connection with post-importation audits, as well as the disputed portion of the Company's import duties from October 2009 to the present, which the Company has or will hold in bond or pay under protest. The aggregate amount of these assessments and disputed duties was 3.9 billion Japanese yen as of December 31, 2011 (approximately \$50.7 million), net of any recovery of consumption taxes. Additional assessments related to any prior period would be barred by applicable statutes of limitations. The issue in this case is whether a United States entity utilizing a commissionaire agent in Japan to import its products can use the manufacturer's invoice or must use another valuation method, and, if an alternative method must be used, what the allowable deductions would be in determining the proper valuation. Following the Company's review of the assessments and after consulting with the Company's legal and customs advisors, the Company believes that the additional assessments are improper and are not supported by applicable customs laws. The Company filed letters of protest with Yokohama Customs, which were rejected. The Company then appealed the matter to the Ministry of Finance in Japan. In May 2011, the Company received notice that, as anticipated, the Ministry of Finance in Japan denied the Company's administrative appeal. The Company disagrees with the Ministry of Finance's administrative decision. The Company is now pursuing the matter in Tokyo District Court, which the Company believes will provide a more independent determination of the matter. In addition, the Company is currently being required to post a bond or make a deposit equal to the difference between the Company's declared duties and the amount the customs authorities have determined the Company should be paying on all current imports. Because the Company believes that the higher rate determined by the customs authorities is an improper application of the regulations, the Company is currently expensing the portion of the duties the Company believes is supported under applicable customs law, and recording the additional deposit or payment as a receivable within long-term assets on its consolidated financial statements. To the extent that the Company is unsuccessful in recovering the amounts assessed and paid or held in bond, the Company will likely be required to record a non-cash expense for the full amount of the disputed assessments. The Company anticipates that additional disputed duties will be reduced going forward as the Company recently began purchasing a majority of the affected products in Japan from a Japanese company that purchases and imports the products from the manufacturer.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

22. LifeGen Acquisition

On December 13, 2011, a subsidiary of the Company, entered into an asset purchase agreement with LifeGen Technologies, LLC (“LifeGen”), to acquire substantially all of the assets of LifeGen, a genomics company based in Madison, Wisconsin for approximately \$11.7 million in cash. The closing of the purchase occurred simultaneously when the parties entered into the asset purchase agreement. The purchase resulted in the transfer of substantially all of the assets of LifeGen to the Company, including LifeGen’s proprietary tissue bank and gene expression database, patents and other intellectual property related to anti-aging gene research. The Company has allocated the purchase price primarily to the patents and will amortize the purchase price over the remaining life of the patents, which was approximately 17 years.

23. Dividends per Share

Quarterly cash dividends for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011 totaled \$31.2 million and \$36.6 million or \$0.125 per share in 2010 and \$0.135 per share in the first two quarters of 2011 and 0.16 per share in the last two quarters of 2011. The board of directors has declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.20 per share for all classes of common stock to be paid on March 14, 2012 to stockholders of record on February 24, 2012.

24. Quarterly Results

The following table sets forth selected unaudited quarterly data for the periods shown (U.S. dollars in millions, except per share amounts):

	2010				2011			
	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
Revenue	\$364.1	\$388.4	\$383.6	\$401.2	\$395.8	\$424.4	\$428.4	\$495.3
Gross profit	299.3	320.4	314.8	330.3	295.2	353.3	357.8	415.1
Operating income	46.1	59.2	52.9	58.9	24.9	66.0	67.2	75.6
Net income	31.0	32.4	35.3	37.3	15.3	41.7	46.8	49.5
Net income per share:								
Basic	0.50	0.51	0.57	0.60	0.25	0.67	0.75	0.80
Diluted	0.48	0.50	0.55	0.58	0.24	0.65	0.72	0.76

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

25. Other income (expense), net

Other income (expense), net was \$6.6 million, \$9.4 million and \$7.0 million of expense in 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively. The Company recorded foreign currency transaction losses with respect to its intercompany receivables and payables with certain of its international affiliates, including markets that are newly opened or have remained in a loss position since inception. Generally, foreign currency transaction losses with these affiliates would be offset by gains related to the foreign currency transactions of the Company's yen-based bank debt. Other income (expense), net also includes approximately \$6.9 million, \$5.8 million and \$4.8 million in interest expense during 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively. It is impossible to predict foreign currency fluctuations. The Company cannot estimate the degree to which its operations will be impacted in the future, but it remains subject to these currency risks. However, the majority of these transaction losses are non-cash, non-operating losses.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing in Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Salt Lake City, Utah
February 27, 2012

- 102 -

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”). Disclosure controls and procedures are the controls and other procedures that we designed to ensure that we record, process, summarize and report in a timely manner the information we must disclose in reports that we file with or submit to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Exchange Act. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. During the fourth quarter of 2011, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorization of management and directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, we assessed, as of December 31, 2011, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. This assessment was based on criteria established in the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our assessment, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective at a reasonable assurance level as of December 31, 2011.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

The information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Part III is hereby incorporated by reference to our Definitive Proxy Statement filed or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders except for certain information required by Item 10 with respect to our executive officers which is set forth under Item 1. "Business", of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Documents filed as part of this Form 10-K:

1. Financial Statements. See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 of Part II.
2. Financial Statement Schedules. N/A
3. Exhibits. References to the "Company" shall mean Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. Unless otherwise noted, the SEC file number for exhibits incorporated by reference is 001-12421.

- *2.1 LifeGen Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 13, 2011 between LifeGen Technologies, LLC and Nu Skin International, Inc. (the Company undertakes to furnish a copy of any omitted schedule or similar attachments to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.)
- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-12073) (the "Form S-1")).
- 3.2 Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009).
- 3.3 Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Relative Participating, Optional and Other Special Rights of Preferred Stock and Qualifications, Limitations and Restrictions Thereof (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004).
- 3.4 Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011)
- 4.1 Specimen Form of Stock Certificate for Class A Common Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-90716)).
- 4.2 Specimen Form of Stock Certificate for Class B Common Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form S-1).
- 10.1 Credit Agreement, dated as of May 10, 2001, among the Company, various financial institutions, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006).
- 10.2 First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of December 14, 2001, among the Company, various financial institutions, and Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006).
- 10.3 Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of October 22, 2003 between the Company, various financial institutions, and Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003).
- 10.4 Third Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of May 10, 2004, among the Company, various financial institutions, and Bank One, N.A. as Administrative

Edgar Filing: INTRUSION INC - Form 10QSB

Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004).

- 105 -

- 10.5 Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of July 28, 2006, among the Company, various financial institutions, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent (as successor to Bank One, N.A.) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 23, 2006).
- 10.6 Fifth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of October 5, 2006, among the Company, various financial institutions, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent (as successor to Bank One, N.A.) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 10, 2006).
- 10.7 Sixth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of August 8, 2007, among the Company, various financial institutions, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent (as successor to Bank One, N.A.) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 15, 2007).
- 10.8 Seventh Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 7, 2007, among the Company, various financial institutions, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent (as successor to Bank One, N.A.) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 13, 2007).
- 10.9 Eighth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of February 29, 2008, among the Company, various financial institutions, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent (as successor to Bank One, N.A.) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.87 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007).
- 10.10 Ninth Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of August 25, 2009, among the Company, various financial institutions, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (as successor to Bank One N.A.) as successor administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 31, 2009).
- 10.11 Letter Agreement among the Company, various financial institutions, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent (as successor to Bank One, N.A.) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 13, 2007).
- 10.12 Credit Agreement, dated as of December 29, 2010, among the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.)

- 10.13 Private Shelf Agreement, dated as of August 26, 2003, between the Company and Prudential Investment Management, Inc. (the "Private Shelf Agreement") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).
- 10.14 First Amendment to the Private Shelf Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2003 between the Company and Prudential Investment Management, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.53 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003).

- 10.15 Second Amendment to the Private Shelf Agreement, dated as of May 18, 2004, between the Company, Prudential Investment Management, Inc., and the holders of the Series A Senior Notes and Series B Senior Notes issued under the Private Shelf Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004).
- 10.16 Third Amendment to the Private Shelf Agreement dated June 13, 2005 between the Company, Prudential Investment Management, Inc. and certain other lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005).
- 10.17 Fourth Amendment to the Private Shelf Agreement dated July 28, 2006 between the Company, Prudential Investment Management, Inc. and certain other lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 23, 2006).
- 10.18 Fifth Amendment to the Private Shelf Agreement dated October 5, 2006 between the Company, Prudential Investment Management, Inc. and certain other lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 10, 2006).
- 10.19 Sixth Amendment to the Private Shelf Agreement, dated as of November 7, 2007, between the Company, Prudential Investment Management, Inc. and certain other lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 13, 2007).
- 10.20 Seventh Amendment to the Private Shelf Agreement, dated as of February 25, 2008, between the Company, Prudential Investment Management, Inc. and certain other lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.83 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007).
- 10.21 Multi-Currency Private Shelf Agreement dated as of October 1, 2009, between the Company, Prudential Investment Management, Inc. and certain other lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009).
- 10.22 Letter Agreement among the Company, Prudential Investment Management, Inc. and certain other lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 13, 2007).
- 10.23 Letter Agreement dated October 1, 2009, among the Company, Prudential Investment Management, Inc. and certain other lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009).

- 10.24 Series C Senior Notes Nos. C-1 and C-2 issued February 7, 2005 by the Company to Prudential Investment Management, Inc. and/or its affiliates pursuant to the Private Shelf Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 8, 2005).

- 10.25 Series D Senior Notes Nos. D-1, D-2, D-3 and D-4 issued October 3, 2006 by the Company to Prudential Investment Management, Inc. and/or its affiliates pursuant to the Private Shelf Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 10, 2006).
- 10.26 Series E Senior Notes Nos. E-1, E-2, E-3, E-4 and E-5 issued January 19, 2007 by the Company to Prudential Investment Management, Inc. and/or its affiliates pursuant to the Private Shelf Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 25, 2007).
- 10.27 Series E Senior Note E-6, issued July 20, 2007, by the Company to Prudential Insurance Company of America pursuant to the Private Shelf Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K filed January 14, 2008).
- 10.28 Series EE Senior Note EE-1, issued January 8, 2008, by the Company to Prudential Insurance Company of America pursuant to the Private Shelf Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on 8-K filed January 14, 2008).
- 10.29 Series F Senior Notes Nos. F-1 and F-2 issued September 28, 2007 by the Company to Prudential Investment Management, Inc. and/or its affiliates pursuant to the Private Shelf Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007).
- 10.30 Pledge Agreement dated October 12, 2000, by and between the Company and State Street Bank and Trust Company of California, N.A., acting in its capacity as collateral agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).
- 10.31 Pledge Amendments executed by the Company dated December 31, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003).
- 10.32 Pledge Agreement dated as of January 31, 2005 by and among Nu Skin Asia Investment, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, and U.S. Bank National Association, as agent for and on behalf of the Benefited Parties under the Amended and Restated Collateral Agency and Intercreditor Agreement (referred to below) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on March 10, 2005).
- 10.33 Amended and Restated Collateral Agency and Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of August 26, 2003, by and among the Company and various of its subsidiaries, U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent, and various lending institutions (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Company's Annual

Edgar Filing: INTRUSION INC - Form 10QSB

Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).

- 10.34 Real Estate Purchase and Sale Agreement, and other ancillary agreements, dated as of December 30, 2010 between Aspen Country, LLC and Nu Skin International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).

- 10.35 Real Estate Purchase and Sale Agreement, and other ancillary agreements, dated as of December 30, 2010 between Scrub Oak, LLC and Nu Skin International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
- 10.36 Form of Promissory Notes dated as of December 30, 2010 by Nu Skin International, Inc., with a schedule of material differences (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
- 10.37 Design and Construction Agreements effective March 10, 2011, between Nu Skin International, Inc. and each of Bolin Cywinski Jackson and Okland Construction Company, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011).
- 10.38 Tenth Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of February 11, 2011, among the Company, various financial institutions, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (as successor to Bank One N.A.) as successor administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011).
- 10.39 Form of Termination of Lock-up Agreements dated as of September 1, 2010 between the Company and each of Blake and Nancy Roney, Steven and Kalleen Lund, and Sandra Tillotson (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
- #10.40 Form of Indemnification Agreement to be entered into between the Company and certain of its officers and directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).
- #10.41 Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of January 1, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007).
- #10.42 Amendment to the Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of January 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.50 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).
- #10.43 Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Trust dated December 14, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 19, 2005).

Edgar Filing: INTRUSION INC - Form 10QSB

- #10.44 Second Amended and Restated Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. 1996 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).
- #10.45 Form of Master Stock Option Agreement (1996 Plan) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007).

- #10.46 Form of Stock Option Agreement for Directors (1996 Plan) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006).
- #10.47 Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 1, 2006).
- #10.48 Form of Master Stock Option Agreement (2006 Plan) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006).
- #10.49 Form of Master Stock Option Agreement (2006 Plan Performance Option (U.S.)) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.54 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007).
- #10.50 Form of Master Stock Option Agreement for Directors (2006 Plan) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.59 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).
- #10.51 Form of Director Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2006 Plan) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007).
- #10.52 Form of Master Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2006 Plan) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006).
- #10.53 Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2010).
- #10.54 Form of 2010 Plan U.S. Stock Option Master Agreement and Grant Notice (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 2, 2010).
- #10.55 Form of 2010 Plan U.S. Restricted Stock Unit Master Agreement and Grant Notice (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 2, 2010).
- #10.56 Form of 2010 Plan U.S. Performance Stock Option Master Agreement and Grant Notice (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.54 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).

- #10.57 Form of 2010 Plan U.S. Performance Restricted Stock Unit Master Agreement and Grant Notice (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 2, 2010).
- #10.58 Form of 2010 Plan Director Stock Option Master Agreement and Grant Notice (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010).

- #10.59 Form of 2010 Plan Director Restricted Stock Unit Master Agreement and Grant Notice (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010).
- #10.60 Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. 2009 Key Employee Death Benefit Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
- #10.61 Employment Letter between the Company and Truman Hunt dated January 17, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.67 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007).
- #10.62 Summary of Modifications to Truman Hunt's Employment Letter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.69 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).
- #10.63 Joseph Y. Chang Employment Agreement dated November 9, 2009, between Mr. Chang and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009).
- #10.64 Daniel Chard Employment Agreement effective February 13, 2006 between Mr. Chard and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.61 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006).
- #10.65 Summary of Modifications to Dan Chard's Employment Letter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009).
- #10.66 Event Appearance Bonus Guidelines (Approved for Sandra Tillotson in October 2006) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.68 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006).
- #10.67 Ashok Pahwa Settlement and Release Agreement dated April 1, 2010, between Mr. Pahwa and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010).
- #10.68 Gary Sumihiro Settlement and Release Agreement dated March 1, 2009, between Mr. Sumihiro and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009).

- #10.69 Gary Sumihiro Consulting Agreement dated March 1, 2009, between Mr. Sumihiro and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009).
- #10.70 Form of Key Employee Covenants (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007).
- *21.1 Subsidiaries of the Company.
- *23.1 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

- *31.1 Certification by M. Truman Hunt, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *31.2 Certification by Ritch N. Wood, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *32.1 Certification by M. Truman Hunt, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *32.2 Certification by Ritch N. Wood, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

**101.INS XBRL Instance Document

**101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

**101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

**101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

**101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

**101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished, not filed, herewith.

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on February 27, 2012.

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.

By: /s/ M. Truman Hunt
M. Truman Hunt, Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on February 27, 2012.

Signatures	Capacity in Which Signed
/s/ Blake M. Roney Blake M. Roney	Chairman of the Board
/s/ M. Truman Hunt M. Truman Hunt	President and Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ Ritch N. Wood Ritch N. Wood	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Accounting Officer)
/s/ Sandra N. Tillotson Sandra N. Tillotson	Senior Vice President, Director
/s/ Steven J. Lund Steven J. Lund	Director
/s/ Daniel W. Campbell Daniel W. Campbell	Director
/s/ E.J. "Jake" Garn E. J. "Jake" Garn	Director
/s/ Andrew D. Lipman Andrew D. Lipman	Director
/s/ Patricia A. Negrón	Director

Patricia A. Negrón

/s/ David D. Ussery
David D. Ussery

Director

/s/ Thomas R. Pisano
Thomas R. Pisano

Director

/s/ Nevin N. Andersen
Nevin N. Andersen

Director

/s/ Neil Offen
Neil Offen

Director

- 113 -
