PURE CYCLE CORP Form DEF 14A December 12, 2005

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed	by	the	Registrant	ý
-------	----	-----	------------	---

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant O

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement ý **Definitive Additional Materials** o

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

PURE CYCLE CORPORATION (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

_		_			
Dozimant	of Filing	Faa	(Chack tha	appropriate	hov).
I avillelli	OI I IIIII 2	1.00	CHECK THE	abbrobriate	DUAI.

	(1	value of refsoli(s) rining rioxy	statement, if other than the Registrant)
Payment of Fil ý o	ing Fee (Check the app. No fee required. Fee computed on tab (1)	ropriate box): ole below per Exchange Act Ru	ales 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11. Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
	(2)		Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	(3)		Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
	(4)		Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
	(5)		Total fee paid:
0 0	Check box if any par		ed by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the vious filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and
	(2)	Form, Schedule or Registrat	ion Statement No.:
	(3)	Filing Party:	
	(4)	Date Filed:	

unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond

PURE CYCLE CORPORATION 8451 Delaware Street

Thornton, Colorado 80260 (303) 292-3456

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS To be held on January 17, 2006

TO OUR STOCKHOLDERS:

You are cordially invited to attend an Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of PURE CYCLE CORPORATION. The Meeting will be held at 1550 Seventeenth Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202, at the offices of Davis, Graham & Stubbs, on January 17, 2006 at 2 p.m. Mountain Time for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect a board of five directors to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or until their successors are elected and have qualified.
- 2. To ratify the appointment of Anton Collins Mitchell LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the 2006 fiscal year.
- 3. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting or any adjournment(s) thereof.

Only stockholders of record as of 5:00 p.m. Mountain Time on December 1, 2005 will be entitled to notice of or to vote at this Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND, PLEASE DATE AND SIGN THE ENCLOSED PROXY AND RETURN IT PROMPTLY IN THE ACCOMPANYING POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE. STOCKHOLDERS WHO ATTEND THE MEETING MAY REVOKE THEIR PROXIES AND VOTE IN PERSON IF THEY SO DESIRE.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

/s/ Scott E. Lehman Scott E. Lehman, Secretary

December 12, 2005

PURE CYCLE CORPORATION 8451 Delaware Street

Thornton, Colorado 80260 (303) 292-3456

PROXY STATEMENT FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS To be held on January 17, 2006

ABOUT THE MEETING

This proxy statement is furnished to stockholders in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the board of directors of PURE CYCLE CORPORATION (the Company) for use at the Annual Meeting of stockholders of the Company (the Meeting) to be held at 1550 Seventeenth Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202, at the offices of Davis, Graham & Stubbs on January 17, 2006 at 2 p.m. Mountain Time or at any adjournment thereof.

adjournment dieteor.
Proxies were first mailed to stockholders on or about December 12, 2005 and will be solicited chiefly by mail. The cost of soliciting proxies is being paid by the Company. In addition to the mailings, the Company s officers, directors and other regular employees may, without additional compensation, solicit proxies personally or by other appropriate means.
What is the purpose of the Meeting?
At the Meeting, stockholders are asked to act upon the matters outlined above in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and as described in this proxy statement. The matters to be considered are the election of directors, ratification of the appointment of the Company s independent auditors for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2006, and such other matters as may properly come before the meeting. Additionally, management will be available to respond to appropriate questions.
Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?
Only stockholders of record as of 5 p.m. Mountain Time on December 1, 2005 (the Record Date), are entitled to vote on matters presented at the Meeting. If you were a stockholder on that date, you will be entitled to vote all of the shares that you held, on that date, at the Meeting, or any postponements or adjournments thereof. On December 1, 2005, 14,443,994 shares of the Company s 1/3 of \$.01 par value common stock (common stock) were issued and outstanding.
What are the voting rights of the stockholders entitled to vote?
Each outstanding share of the Company s common stock will be entitled to one vote on each matter acted upon. There is no cumulative voting.
How do I vote my shares without attending the Meeting?

Whether you hold shares directly as the stockholder of record or beneficially in street name, you may direct how your shares are voted without attending the Meeting. If you are the stockholder of record, you may vote your shares by completing, signing and dating the enclosed proxy card and then mailing it to the Company s transfer agent in the pre-addressed envelope provided. You may also vote your shares by phone by calling the Company s transfer agent at the number listed on the proxy card. If your shares are held beneficially in street name, you may vote your shares by following the instructions provided by your broker.

May I revoke or change my vote?
A proxy may be revoked by a stockholder at any time prior to the exercise thereof by written notice to the Secretary of the Company, by submission of another proxy bearing a later date or by attending the Meeting and voting in person.
Is my vote confidential?
Proxy instructions, ballots and voting tabulations that identify individual stockholders are handled in a manner that protects your voting privacy. Your vote will not be disclosed within the Company or to third parties, except: (1) as necessary to meet applicable legal requirements, (2) to allow for the tabulation of votes and certification of the vote, and (3) to facilitate a successful proxy solicitation. Occasionally stockholders provide written comments on their proxy cards, which are forwarded to management of the Company.
What is the voting requirement to approve each of the proposals?
The election of directors requires the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast by shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote for the election of directors. This means that the nominees receiving the most votes, by those eligible to vote, will be elected. You may vote FOR all of the nominees or your vote may be WITHHELD with respect to one or more of the nominees; however, a withheld vote or a broker non-vote (defined below) will have no effect on the outcome of the election.
An affirmative vote of the majority of the shares of common stock represented and entitled to vote at the Meeting, assuming a quorum is present, is necessary for the approval of proposal 2 and other matters. For proposal 2, and any other business matters to be voted on, you may vote FOR, AGAINST, or you may ABSTAIN. For the purpose of determining whether a proposal (except for the election of directors) has received a majority vote, abstentions will be included in the vote totals. An abstention has the same effect as a negative vote. Broker non-votes will not be included in the vote totals and, therefore, will have no effect on the vote.
A broker non-vote occurs when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have discretionary voting power with respect to that item and has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner.
If no specification is made, then the shares will be voted FOR the directors nominated by the board of directors and FOR Proposal 2 and otherwise, in accordance with the recommendations of the board of directors.
What constitutes a quorum?

The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a	majority of the outstandi	ng shares of	common stock constitutes a quorum at the Meeting
for the election of directors and for the other proposals.	Abstentions and broker	non-votes	are counted for the purposes of determining whether a
quorum is present at Meeting.			

What happens if additional matter are presented at the Meeting?

Other than the two items of business described in this proxy, the Company is not aware of any other business to be acted upon at the Meeting. If you grant a proxy, the persons named as proxy-holders, Mark W. Harding and Harrison H. Augur, will have the discretion to vote your shares on any additional matter properly presented for a vote at the Meeting. If for any unforeseen reason any of our director nominees are not available for election at the date of the Meeting, then the named proxy-holders will vote your shares for such other candidates as may be nominated by the board.

What if multiple stockholders share the same address?

The Company has adopted a procedure approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), called householding, which reduces printing costs and postage fees. Under this procedure, stockholders of record who have the same address and last name will receive only one copy of the annual report and proxy statement unless one or more of these stockholders notify the Company that they wish to continue receiving individual copies. Stockholders who do not participate in householding will continue to receive separate proxy cards.

2

If a stockholder of record residing at such an address wishes to receive a separate document in the future, he or she may contact our transfer agent at Computershare Investor Services, 350 Indiana St., Suite #800, Golden, CO 80401, telephone (303) 262-0600, or write to the Company s Secretary at the Company s address set forth above. Eligible stockholders of record receiving multiple copies of the annual report and proxy statement can request householding by contacting the Company in the same manner. If shares are owned through a bank, broker or other nominee, the holder can request householding by contacting the nominee.

Where can I find the voting results of the Meeting?

The Company intends to announce preliminary results at the Meeting and will publish final results in the Form 10-Q for the quarter ending February 28, 2006.

VOTING SECURITIES AND PRINCIPAL HOLDERS THEREOF

The following table sets forth, as of December 1, 2005, the beneficial ownership of the Company s issued and outstanding common stock by (i) each person who owns of record (or is known by the Company to own beneficially) 5% or more of the common stock, (ii) each director of the Company and each nominee for director, (iii) each executive officer and (iv) all directors and executive officers as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, the Company believes that each of the beneficial owners of the stock listed has sole investment and voting power with respect to such shares, based on information filed by such person with the Securities and Exchange Commission or based on information provided by such stockholders to the Company.

COMMON STOCK

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Common Stock Shares	Percent of Outstanding Common Shares	
Mark W. Harding 8451 Delaware St. Thornton, CO 80260	887,500(1)		5.8%
Harrison H. Augur P.O. Box 4389 Aspen, CO 81611	83,611(2)		*
Richard L. Guido 121 Antebellum Dr. Meridianville, AL 35759	2,500(3)		*
Peter C. Howell 15289 Russell Road Chagrin Falls, OH 44022			
George M. Middlemas 225 W. Washington, #1500 Chicago, IL 60606	35,833(4)		*
All officers and directors as a group (5 persons)	1,009,444(5)		6.6%
TPC Ventures, LLC 8451 Delaware Street Thornton, CO 80260	1,909,705(6)		13.2%

3

COMMON STOCK

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Common Stock Shares	Percent of Outstanding Common Shares
Par Capital Management, Inc. Par Investment Partners, L.P. Par Group, L.P. One International Place, Suite 2401 Boston, MA 02110	1,856,739	12.9%
Apex Investment Fund II L.P. 225 W. Washington, #1500 Chicago, IL 60606	845,686(7)	5.9%

^{*} Less than 1%

- 1) Includes 764,167 shares purchasable by Mr. Harding under currently exercisable options.
- Includes 2,500 shares purchasable by Mr. Augur under currently exercisable options. Includes 10,000 shares of common stock held by Patience Partners, L.P., a limited partnership in which a foundation controlled by Mr. Augur is a 60% limited partner and Patience Partners, LLC is a 40% general partner. Patience Partners LLC is a limited liability company in which Mr. Augur owns a 50% membership interest.
- 3) Includes 2,500 shares purchasable by Mr. Guido under currently exercisable options.
- 4) Includes 2,500 shares purchasable by Mr. Middlemas under currently exercisable options. By virtue of his position with Apex, Mr. Middlemas is deemed to be the indirect beneficial owner of 845,686 shares owned by Apex. Mr. Middlemas disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares.
- Includes 771,667 shares purchasable by directors and officers under currently exercisable options and 10,000 shares of common stock held by Patience Partners, L.P., as described in note 2 above.
- By reason of his role as manager of TPC Ventures, LLC, Ryan T. Clark is deemed the indirect beneficial owner of these shares.

7)	Apex is controlled through one or more partnerships. The persons who have or share control of
Apex after looking	through one or more intermediate partnerships are referred to herein as ultimate general partners.
The ultimate gener	al partners of Apex are: First Analysis Corporation, a Delaware corporation (FAC), Stellar
Investment Co. (S	Stellar), a corporation controlled by James A. Johnson (Johnson); George M. Middlemas
(Middlemas); an	nd Chartwell Holdings Inc. (Chartwell), a corporation controlled by Paul J. Renze (Renze).

The business address of FAC, Stellar, Johnson, Middlemas, and Maxwell is 225 W. Washington Street, Suite 1550, Chicago, Illinois 60606. The business address of Renze and Chartwell is 20 N Wacker Dr., Suite 2200, Chicago, IL 60606.

In addition to being the ultimate general partner of Apex, FAC is also the ultimate general partner or liquidating trustee of Environmental Private Equity Fund II, L.P. (EPEF), Environmental Venture Fund Liquidating Trust (EVF) and the Productivity Fund II Liquidating Trust (PF II), all of whom own the Company s common stock but are less than 5% owners (with Apex this group is collectively referred to as the Apex Partnerships). Due to these relationships, FAC may be deemed to be the indirect beneficial owner of 1,838,473 shares of the common stock held directly by Apex, EPEF, EF and PF II, which represents 12.7% of our outstanding common stock. By reason of his status as the stockholder of FAC, F. Oliver Nicklin, Jr. may also be deemed to be the indirect beneficial owner of such shares.

By reason of their status as ultimate general partners of Apex, Stellar (and through Stellar, Johnson), Middlemas and Chartwell (and through Chartwell, Renze) may be deemed to be the indirect beneficial owners of 845,686 shares of common stock, or 5.9% of our outstanding stock. When these shares are combined with his personal holdings of 35,833 (including 2,500 shares purchasable under currently exercisable options) shares of common stock,

Middlemas may be deemed to be the beneficial owner (directly with respect to his shares and indirectly as to the balance) of 881,519 shares of common stock, or 6.1% of the total outstanding stock.

By reason of his status as ultimate general partner of EPEF and liquidating trustee of PF II, Maxwell may be deemed to be the indirect beneficial owner of 667,783 shares of common stock, or 4.6% of such shares.

Each of the Apex Partnerships disclaims beneficial ownership of all shares of common stock described herein except those shares that are owned directly by that entity. We understand that each of the other persons named as an officer, director, partner or other affiliate of any Apex Partnership herein disclaims beneficial ownership of all the shares of common stock described herein.

Each of the Apex Partnerships disclaims the existence of a group among any or all of them and further disclaims the existence of a group among any or all of them and any or all of them and any or all of the other persons named as an officer, director, partner or those affiliate of any of them, in each case within the meaning of Section 13(d) (3) of the 1934 Act.

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth the names, ages and titles of the persons who are currently our directors and executive officers, along with other positions they hold with us.

Name	Age	Position
Mark W. Harding	42	Director, President and CFO
Harrison H. Augur (1)(2)(3)	63	Chairman of the Board
Richard L. Guido (1)(2)(3)	61	Director
Peter C. Howell (1)(3)	56	Director
George M. Middlemas (2)	59	Director
Thomas P. Clark	deceased	Former Director (passed away June, 2005) and former CEO (retired November, 2004)

- (1) Member of the Audit Committee.
- (2) Member of the Compensation Committee.
- (3) Member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Board Committees:

Audit Committee Messrs. Augur, Middlemas and Guido were members of the Audit Committee until April 18, 2005, when Mr. Howell was appointed to the Audit Committee and was appointed the Audit Committee Chairman. At that time, Mr. Middlemas stepped down from the Audit Committee. The Board has determined that the Audit Committee members, as so reconstituted, meet the independence standards of NASDAQ. In addition, the board has determined that at least one member of the Audit Committee is a financial expert. That person, Mr. Howell, meets the SEC criteria of Audit Committee financial expert by reason of his education and his experiences in acquisitions and financial reporting.

The functions to be performed by the Audit Committee include the appointment, retention, compensation and oversight of the Company s independent auditors, including pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services to be performed by such auditors. The Company adopted an Audit Committee Charter, which is incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting held April 12, 2004. The Audit Committee Charter is also available on the Company s website at www.purecyclewater.com. The Audit Committee met six times during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2005; all members of the Audit Committee attended all meetings.

Compensation Committee The Compensation Committee consists of Mr. Middlemas (Chairman), Mr. Augur and Mr. Guido. The functions to be performed by the Compensation Committee include establishing the compensation of officers and directors and administering management incentive compensation plans. The Compensation Committee held one meeting during the year ended August 31, 2005, and all members of the committee were present.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Effective April 18, 2005 the board appointed a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the Nominating Committee) consisting on Messrs. Guido (Chairman), Howell and Augur. The board of directors has determined that the members of the Nominating Committee meet the independence standards of NASDAQ. In selecting nominees for the board, the Nominating Committee is seeking a board with a variety of experiences and expertise, and in selecting nominees it will consider business experience in the industry in which the Company operates, financial expertise, independence from the Company, experience with publicly traded companies, experience with relevant regulatory matters in which the Company is involved, and a reputation for integrity and professionalism. Nominees must be at least 21 years of age and less than 70. The Nominating Committee will consider nominations for director made by stockholders of record entitled to vote. In order to make a nomination regarding such nominee, to the Company s Secretary by August 14, 2006. The Nominating Committee evaluates nominees recommended by stockholders utilizing the same criteria it uses for other nominees. The Company has adopted a Nominating Committee Charter which is available on its website at www.purecyclewater.com. The Nominating Committee held one meeting during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2005, and all members of the committee were present.

Stockholder Communications The board of directors has adopted a policy for stockholders to send communications to the board. Stockholders wishing to send communications to the board may contact Mark W. Harding, President of Pure Cycle, at the Company s principal place of business. All such communications shall be shared with the members of the board, or if applicable, a specified committee or director. All directors are expected to attend the Meeting. All but one board member attended the 2005 Annual Meeting.

Board meetings held During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2005, the board of directors held eight meetings. All board members were present at each of the meetings with the exception of one board member who was absent from two meetings.

Relationship of Directors and Officers None of the current directors or officers, or nominees for director, is related to any other officer or director of the Company or to any nominee for director.

Terms of Directors and Officers All directors are elected for one-year terms which expire at the annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors are elected and qualified. The Company s officers are elected annually by the board of directors and hold office until their successors are elected and qualified.

Compensation of Directors Until February 13, 2004, directors did not receive any compensation for serving on the board. Effective February 13, 2004, the board approved the following compensation arrangement for non-employee directors: Each non-employee director will receive a payment of \$10,000 for each full year in which he or she serves as a director, with an additional payment of \$1,000 for each committee on which he or she serves, and \$1,000 for serving as chairman of the board. An additional \$500 will be paid to each director for attendance at each board meeting and, if committee meetings are held separate from board meetings, \$500 will be paid for attendance at such committee meetings.

In addition to cash compensation, as part of the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan approved by stockholders at the 2004 Annual Meeting, each non-employee director receives an option to purchase 5,000 shares of common stock upon initial election or appointment to the board (which vest one half at each of the first and second anniversary dates of the grant), and an option to purchase 2,500 shares for each subsequent full year in which he or she serves as a director, which options vest one year from the date of grant.

Compensation of Officers The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation received by or awarded to the Company s former Chief Executive Officer and the Company s President and Chief Financial Officer for the fiscal years ended August 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003:

6

Compensation Table

		Annual Compensation			Long Term Compensation Awards			
Name and Principal Position (a)	Fiscal Year (b)	Salary (\$) (c)	Bonus (\$) (d)	Other Annual Compensation (\$) (e)	Restricted Stock Award(s) (\$) (f)	Securities Underlying Options/SARS (\$) (g)	Payouts LTIP Payouts (\$) (h)	All Other Compensation
Mark W. Harding) /	, ,	Ì	, ,	,	Ψ,		•
President and CFO	2005 2004 2003	200,000 80,000 80,000	150,000 120,000					
TI D CI 1								
Thomas P. Clark	2005	45,000						
Former CEO (1)	2005 2004 2003	45,000 60,000 60,000	50,000					

⁽¹⁾ Mr. Clark resigned as our CEO in November of 2004, but remained an employee. Mr. Clark passed away in June 2005.

Mr. Harding s 2005 bonus was determined by the Compensation Committee based on the performance of Mr. Harding in negotiating the Arapahoe County Agreement for Water Service, his continued commitment to the success of the Company and his efforts in marketing the Company to the investing public.

Option/SAR Grants in the Last Fiscal Year No option grants were made to executive officers in the last fiscal year.

Aggregate Option/SAR Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year End Option/SAR Values

			Number of	Value of unexercisable
			unexercised	in-the-money
			options/SARs	options/SARs
			at FY-end (#)	at FY-end (\$)
	Shares acquired on		exercisable/	exercisable/
Name	exercise (#)	Value realized	unexercisable	unexercisable
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
Mark W. Harding	135,833	\$ 727,000	764,167 / 0	\$ 4,241,000 / 0

Long-Term Incentive Plans Awards in the Last Fiscal Year With the exception of stock option plans described above, the Company does not have any long-term incentive plans.

Section 16 (a) beneficial ownership reporting compliance The Company s directors and executive officers and persons who are beneficial owners of more than 10% of common stock are required to file reports of their holdings and transactions in common stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission and furnish the Company with such reports. Based solely upon the review of the copies received by the Company, or upon written representations from these persons, the Company believes that, during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2005 all the directors, executive officers, and 10% beneficial owners had complied with the applicable Section 16 (a) filing requirements, except that Mr. Howell and TPC Ventures LLC each filed a late Form 3.

Code of Ethics The Company has a code of ethics for its directors, officers and employees, which can be viewed on our website at www.purecyclewater.com.

Certain relationships and related transactions

Borrowings from Thomas P. Clark

From time to time since December 6, 1987, Thomas P. Clark, a former director and former Chief Executive Officer of the Company, loaned funds to the Company to cover operating expenses. These funds have been treated by the Company as unsecured debt, and promissory notes have been issued to Mr. Clark. The notes bear interest at rates ranging from 8.36% to 9.01% per annum, and mature on October 1, 2007. To date, Mr. Clark has loaned the Company \$310,720, of which \$43,350 has been repaid, leaving a principal balance of \$267,370. As of December 1, 2005, the outstanding balance, including principal and accrued interest, on the Notes totaled \$583,543. All loans were made on terms determined by the board members (Mr. Clark abstaining) to be at market rates. In June 2005, Mr. Clark passed away and therefore these promissory notes are currently payable to Mr. Clark s estate.

Borrowings from LCH, Inc.

LCH, Inc., a Delaware corporation which owns 20% of LC Holdings, Inc., which is 80% owned by Mr. Clark s estate, loaned the Company a total of \$950,000 between November, 1988 and February, 1989. The Notes were secured by a pledge of Company common stock owned by Mr. Clark. During the fiscal year ended August 31, 1998, the Company reached an agreement with LCH, Inc. to defer payment of principal and interest on the Notes until October 1, 2007.

Effective August 31, 2004, LCH, Inc. retired \$2,506,514 in debt (consisting of principal and interest) and terminated its right to receive \$4,000,000 from the sale of Export Water in exchange for payment from the Company of \$950,000 in cash and the surrender by Mr. Clark of 306,279 shares of the Company s common stock that he had pledged to LCH to secure payment of the Company s obligations. In response to a claim by Mr. Clark, on January 13, 2005, the Company paid Mr. Clark \$50,555 in cash and issued Mr. Clark 300,000 shares of restricted common stock.

Office Lease The Company leases office space from the estate of Mr. Clark. Prior to September 1, 2004, the Company was not required to pay rent. Effective September 1, 2004 the Company executed a lease agreement whereby the Company leases the office space on a month-to-month basis for \$1,000 per month, a rate that approximates market value.

Comprehensive Amendment Agreement Mr. Augur and Mr. Middlemas (by reason of his status as ultimate general partner of Apex) are a party to the Comprehensive Amendment Agreement (the CAA) with the Company. Under the CAA the Company is required to distribute to numerous external parties, at varying levels of priority, \$23,415,389 of future proceeds received from the sale of Export Water (as defined therein). Mr. Augur is a partner, with his wife, in a partnership that is entitled to receive a total of \$150,000 thereunder. Apex and therefore by reason of his status as ultimate general partner of Apex, Mr. Middlemas, is entitled to receive a total of \$7,163,264 thereunder.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE(1)

The Audit Committee of the board of directors is comprised of three directors and operates under a written charter adopted by the board of directors. The charter is reassessed and updated at least annually, or as needed, in accordance with applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the NASDAQ Stock Market. Each of the members of the Audit Committee is a non-employee director and is independent as defined by the NASDAQ standards for independence.

Management is responsible for the Company s internal controls and financial reporting process. The independent auditors are responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company s financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and issuing a report thereon. The Audit Committee s primary responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes and recommend to the board of directors the selection of the Company s independent auditors. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed with management, the Company s audited financial statements and discussed not only the acceptability but also the quality of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of the significant judgments and estimates, critical accounting policies and the clarity of disclosures in the audited financial statements prior to issuance.

The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements as of and for the year ended August 31, 2005 with Anton Collins Mitchell LLP (ACM) and discussed not only the acceptability but also the quality of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of the significant judgments and estimates, critical accounting policies and the clarity of disclosures in the audited financial statements prior to issuance. The Audit Committee discussed with ACM matters required to be discussed by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 (Communication with Audit Committees) to the extent applicable. ACM provided the Audit Committee the written disclosures required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Discussions with Audit Committees), and the Audit Committee discussed ACM s independence with ACM.

Based on the foregoing, the Audit Committee recommended to the board of directors that the Company s audited financial statements be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2005.

/s/ Peter C. Howell /s/ Harrison H. Augur /s/ Richard L. Guido

(1) This section is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(Proposal No. 1)

The current number of members of the board of directors is fixed at five. The board of directors nominates the following five persons currently serving on the board for reelection to the board: Mark W. Harding, Harrison H. Augur, Richard L. Guido, Peter C. Howell and George M. Middlemas. Biographical information regarding the directors follows:

Mark W. Harding. Mr. Harding joined Pure Cycle in April 1990 as the Corporate Secretary and Chief Financial Officer. He was appointed President in April 2001, and joined the board of directors in 2004. He brings a background in public finance and management consulting. From 1988 to 1990, Mr. Harding worked for Price Waterhouse, where he provided public finance and other investment banking related services. Mr. Harding is the President and serves on the board of directors of the Rangeview Metropolitan District. Mr. Harding has a B.S. Degree in Computer Science and a Masters in Business Administration in Finance from the University of Denver.

Harrison H. Augur. Mr. Augur joined the board and was elected Chairman in April 2001. For more than 20 years, Mr. Augur has been involved with investment management and venture capital investment groups. Mr. Augur has been a general partner of CA Partners since 1987, and general partner of Patience Partners LLC since 1999. Mr. Augur received a Bachelor of Arts degree from Yale University, an LLB degree from Columbia University School of Law, and an LLM degree from New York University School of Law.

Richard L. Guido. Mr. Guido previously served as a member of the board from July 1996 through August 31, 2003, when he was an employee of Inco Limited (he was employed at Inco Limited from 1980 through February 2004) pursuant to a voting agreement between Inco and the Company. That agreement is no longer in effect. Mr. Guido then rejoined the board in 2004. Mr. Guido was Associate General Counsel of Inco Limited and President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary of Inco United States, Inc. Mr. Guido received a Bachelor of Science degree from the United States Air Force Academy, a Master of Arts degree from Georgetown University, and a Juris Doctor degree from the Catholic University of America.

Peter C. Howell. Mr. Howell was appointed to fill a vacancy on the board on February 3, 2005. From 1997 to present, Mr. Howell has served as an advisor to various business enterprises in the area of acquisitions, marketing and financial reporting. From August 1994 to August 1997, Mr. Howell served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Signature Brands USA, Inc. (formerly known as Health-O-Meter) and from 1989 to 1994 Mr. Howell served as Chief Executive Officer and a director of Mr. Coffee, Inc. Mr. Howell is a member of the board of directors of Libbey, Inc. and a number of private companies. Mr. Howell received a Master of Arts degree in Economics from Cambridge University.

George M. Middlemas. Mr. Middlemas has been a director since April 1993. Mr. Middlemas has been a general partner with Apex Investment Partners, a diversified venture capital management group, since 1991. From 1985 to 1991, Mr. Middlemas was Senior Vice President of Inco Venture Capital Management, primarily involved in venture capital investments for Inco Securities Corporation. From 1979 to 1985, Mr. Middlemas was Vice President and a member of the Investment Committee of Citicorp Venture Capital Ltd., where he sourced, evaluated and completed investments for Citicorp. Mr. Middlemas is a director of Tut Systems and Pennsylvania State University-Library Development Board. Mr. Middlemas received a Bachelors degree in History and Political Science from Pennsylvania State University, a Masters degree in Political Science from the University of Pittsburgh and a Master of Business Administration from Harvard Business School.

The Proxy cannot be voted for more than the five nominees named. Directors are elected for one-year terms or until the next Annual Meeting of the Stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified. All of the nominees have expressed their willingness to serve, but if because of circumstances not contemplated, one or more nominees is not available for election, the proxy-holders named in the enclosed proxy card intend to vote for such other person or persons as the Nominating Committee may nominate.

Mr. Middlemas was designated as a nominee to the board of directors pursuant to the Environmental Private Equity Fund II, L.P. (EPEF) Voting Agreement, which agreement obligates; (by reason of the transfer of Mr. Clark s shares in the Company for estate planning purposes), TPC Ventures, LLC; Ms. Hansson, a former director of the

10

Company; the Apex Partnerships; and Fletcher Byrom, a former director of the Company, to vote for the designee of the EPEF. This agreement will terminate at such time as EPEF no longer owns shares of common stock of the Company, or warrants to acquire shares of common stock, which aggregate 130,100 shares of common stock. As of December 1, 2005, EPEF owned 416,584 shares of common stock.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE $\,$ FOR $\,$ THE ELECTION AS DIRECTORS OF THE SIX PERSONS NOMINATED.

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

(Proposal No. 2)

Action is to be taken by the stockholders at the Meeting with respect to the ratification and approval of the selection by the Audit Committee of the Company s board of directors of Anton Collins Mitchell LLP (ACM) to be the independent auditors of the Company for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2006. In the event of a negative vote on such ratification, the Audit Committee of the board of directors will reconsider its selection. A representative of ACM is expected to be present at the Meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement if he or she desires to do, and is expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Change in Auditors On December 15, 2004, Pure Cycle replaced KPMG, LLP as its independent registered public accountant. The reports of KPMG, LLP for the years ended August 31, 2004 and 2003 did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. The decision to replace KPMG, LLP was approved by the Audit Committee of the board of directors.

KPMG s reports on the financial statements of the Company for the fiscal years ended August 31, 2004 and 2003, did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion, nor was either qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

During the fiscal years ended August 31, 2004 and 2003, and the subsequent interim period through December 15, 2004 (the date of the change in auditors), there were (i) no disagreements with KPMG on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedures which, if not resolved to KPMG s satisfaction, would have caused KPMG to make reference to the subject matter of such disagreement in connection with its reports on the financial statements of the Company, and (ii) no reportable events as listed in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

On December 15, 2005, the Company engaged its new independent registered public accountant, ACM. Since its appointment, the Company has not consulted with ACM on matters of the type contemplated by Item 304(a)(2) of Regulation S-K.

ACM has no direct or indirect financial interest in the Company and does not have any connection with the Company in the capacity of promoter, underwriter, voting trustee, director, officer or employee. Neither the Company, nor any officer, director or associate of the Company has any interest in ACM.

Audit Fees For the fiscal year ended August 31, 2005, ACM s fees for annual audit services and the review of interim financial statements was \$31,500. For the fiscal year ended August 31, 2004, KPMG s fees for annual audit services and the review of interim financial statements was \$45,000. The Audit Committee approved 100% of these fees in accordance with the Audit Committee Charter.

Audit-Related Fees During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2004, KPMG s fees for audit-related services was \$69,200. This related to the review of the Registration Statement used in the equity offering completed in June 2004. There were no audit-related fees incurred during fiscal 2005.

Tax and Other Fees The Company did not pay ACM or KPMG any fees related to tax consultations, tax return preparation or other professional services.

Pre-Approval Policy The Audit Committee has established a pre-approval policy in its Charter. In accordance with the policy, the Audit Committee pre-approves all audit, non-audit and internal control related services provided by the independent auditors prior to the engagement of the independent auditors with respect to such services.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF ANTON COLLINS MITCHELL LLP AS INDEPENDENT AUDITORS.

ACTION TO BE TAKEN UNDER THE PROXY

The accompanying Proxy will be voted FOR approval of proposal 2 and FOR the directors nominated by the board, unless the Proxy is marked in such a manner as to withhold authority to so vote. The accompanying Proxy will also be voted in connection with the transaction of such other business as may properly come before the Meeting or any adjournment or adjournments thereof. Management knows of no other matters, other than the matters set forth above, to be considered at the Meeting. If, however, any other matters properly come before the Meeting or any adjournment thereof, the persons named in the accompanying Proxy will vote such Proxy in accordance with their best judgment on any such matter. The persons named in the accompanying Proxy will also, if in their judgment it is deemed to be advisable, vote to adjourn the Meeting from time to time.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

Stockholder proposals for inclusion in the Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must be received at the principal executive offices of the Company by August 14, 2006 but not before June 15, 2006. For more information refer to the Company s Bylaws which were filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Registration Statement on Form SB-2/A filed on June 10, 2004. The Company is not required to include proposals received outside of these dates in the proxy materials for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

COPIES OF THE COMPANY S ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-KSB FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGIST 31, 2005, AS FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WILL BE PROVIDED TO STOCKHOLDER S, WITHOUT CHARGE, UPON WRITTEN REQUEST TO THE CORPORATE SECRETARY AT: PURE CYCLE CORPORATION, 8451 DELAWARE STREET, THORNTON, CO 80260 OR BY SENDING AN EMAIL TO INFO@PURECYCLEWATER.COM. ADDITIONALLY, A COPY CAN BE FOUND ON THE COMPANY S WEBSITE AT WWW. PURECYCLEWATER.COM.

	000000000.000 ext	
MR A SAMPLE	000000000.000 ext	
DESIGNATION (IF ANY)	000000000.000 ext	
ADD 1	000000000.000 ext	
ADD 2	000000000.000 ext	
ADD 3	000000000.000 ext	
ADD 4	000000000.000 ext	
ADD 5		
ADD 6	C 1234567890	JNT

O Mark this box with an X if you have made changes to your name or address details above.

Annual Meeting Proxy Card

A Election of Directors

1. The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the listed nominees.

01 - Mark W. Harding	For	Withhold		For	Withhold
	O	О	04 - Peter C. Howell	O	0
02 - Harrison H. Augur	0	0	05 - George M. Middlemas	O	o
03 - Richard L. Guido	0	0			

B Issue

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the following proposal.

	For	Against	Abstain
2. Vote to Ratify the selection of Anton Collins	O	O	0

Mitchell LLP as our independent auditors.

Authorized Signatures - Sign Here - This section must be completed for your instructions to be executed.

NOTE: Please sign your name(s) EXACTLY as your name(s) appear(s) on this proxy. All joint holders must sign. When signing as attorney, trustee, executor, administrator, guardian or corporate officer, please provide your FULL title.

Signature 1 - Please keep signature within the box Signature 2 - Please keep signature within the box Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

00 / 00 / 0000

1 U P X H H H P P P P 0 0 7 2 5 0

001CD40001 00HOYB

Proxy - Pure Cycle Corporation

Proxy Solicited by Board of Directors for Annual Meeting - January 17, 2006

Harrison H. Augur and Mark W. Harding, or either of them, each with the power of substitution, are hereby authorized to represent and vote the shares of the undersigned, with all the powers which the undersigned would possess if personally present, at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Pure Cycle Corporation to be held on January 17, 2006 at 2PM Mountain time at the offices of Davis, Graham & Stubbs LLP, 1550 17th Street, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80202, or at any postponement or adjournment thereof.

In their discretion, the Proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

(Continued and to be voted on reverse side.)

```
nbsp;
Loans
 $ (31,745) $ (66,327) $ (98,072) $ 57,851 $ (137,334) $ (79,483)
Loans held for sale
  2,161
           (8,910)
                     (6,749)
                                1,741
                                         (8,713)
                                                   (6.972)
Investment securities:
U.S. government and federal agency obligations
           (1,503)
  5,037
                     3,534
                               (9,129)
                                               (9.066)
State and municipal obligations
           (3,557)
                     6,112
                               5,698
  9,669
                                        (1,344)
                                                  4,354
Mortgage and asset-backed securities
  63,862
            (22,144)
                       41,718
                                  17,036
                                                      23,126
                                             6,090
Other securities
  4,524
           (1.155)
                     3,369
                               1,129
                                        (2.010) (881)
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell
                    (8.065)
                               (4,848)
                                         (12,746) (17,594)
           (704)
Interest earning deposits with banks
                                        198
  1,183
           (574)
                   609
                           198
Total interest income
  47,330
            (104,874)
                         (57,544)
                                    69,676
                                               (155,994)
                                                           (86,318)
Interest expense
Interest bearing deposits:
Savings
  113
         (657) (544)
                                 (923)
                          42
                                         (881)
Interest checking and money market
  6,211
           (35,369) (29,158)
                                  7,117
                                           (61,197)
                                                      (54,080)
Time open and C.D. s of less than $100,000
            (21.874)
                       (25,340)
  (3,466)
                                   (9,775)
                                             (23,860)
                                                         (33,635)
Time open and C.D. s of $100,000 and over
  8,424
                      (20,294)
                                  7,566
           (28,718)
                                           (25,640)
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase
```

```
(8,439)
           (12,947)
                      (21,386)
                                 (16,534) (41,845) (58,379)
Other borrowings
                               38,018
  (4,611)
           (1,767)
                     (6,378)
                                         (13,888) 24,130
Total interest expense
           (101,332)
                       (103,100)
                                   26,434
  (1,768)
                                             (167,353)
                                                         (140,919)
Net interest income, fully taxable equivalent basis
 $49,098
           $ (3,542) $ 45,556
                                $ 43,242
                                          $ 11,359
                                                    $ 54,601
```

Net interest income totaled \$635.5 million in 2009, representing an increase of \$42.8 million, or 7.2%, compared to \$592.7 million in 2008. On a tax equivalent basis, net interest income totaled \$654.2 million and increased \$45.6 million, or 7.5%, over the previous year. This increase was mainly the result of lower rates paid on deposits and borrowings coupled with higher average investment securities balances during the year, but partly offset by lower average loan balances and yields. The net yield on earning assets (tax equivalent) was 3.93% in 2009 compared with 3.96% in the previous year.

During 2009, interest income on loans (tax equivalent) declined \$98.1 million from 2008 due to lower rates earned on most lending products coupled with lower loan balances, especially in business, business real estate and consumer loans. The average rate earned on the loan portfolio decreased 75 basis points to 5.27%

Table of Contents

compared to 6.02% in the previous year. Average loan balances decreased \$306.0 million, or 2.8%, reflecting lower line of credit usage, lower demand and pay-downs. Additionally, the Company has ceased most marine and recreational vehicle lending in the consumer loan portfolio. The student loan portfolio, which was acquired late in the fourth quarter of 2008, contributed \$9.2 million to interest income with average loan balances of \$344.2 million during 2009. Tax equivalent interest earned on investment securities increased by \$54.7 million, or 29.8%, due to higher average balances of securities, partially offset by a decrease in rates earned on these investments. Average balances of mortgage and asset-backed securities increased 51.4% to \$3.7 billion, and state and municipal obligations increased 25.6%. Additionally, average balances of U.S. government and federal agency securities increased 67.8% during the year to \$307.1 million, primarily a result of purchases of U.S. Treasury inflation-protected securities during the last six months of 2009. Interest earned on federal funds sold and resale agreement assets declined \$8.1 million, mainly due to a \$381.5 million decrease in average balances coupled with much lower overnight rates. Average rates (tax equivalent) earned on interest earning assets in 2009 decreased to 4.85% compared to 5.63% in the previous year, or a decline of 78 basis points.

Interest expense on deposits decreased \$75.3 million in 2009 compared to 2008. The decline resulted from much lower rates paid on all deposit products, but was partly offset by the effects of higher average balances of money market accounts and certificates of deposit of \$100,000 and over. Average rates paid on deposit balances declined 76 basis points from 1.68% in 2008 to .92% in 2009. Interest expense on borrowings declined \$27.8 million, or 44.1%, as a result of lower rates paid and lower average balances of federal funds purchased and repurchase agreement borrowings. The average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities decreased to 1.04% compared to 1.83% in 2008.

During 2008, interest income on loans (tax equivalent) declined \$79.5 million from 2007 due to lower rates earned on virtually all lending products but offset by higher loan balances, especially in business, consumer and consumer credit card loans. The lower rates earned on the loan portfolio were related to the actions taken by the Federal Reserve Bank during 2008 to reduce interest rate levels, which caused the Company's portfolio to re-price quickly. Tax equivalent interest earned on investment securities increased by \$17.5 million, or 10.5%, due to higher average balances of mortgage-backed and municipal securities, coupled with higher rates earned on these investments. Interest earned on federal funds sold and resale agreement assets declined \$17.6 million, mainly due to lower average balances coupled with lower overnight rates. Average rates (tax equivalent) earned on interest earning assets in 2008 decreased to 5.63% compared to 6.61% in the previous year, or a decline of 98 basis points.

Interest expense on deposits in 2008 decreased \$106.7 million compared to 2007, mainly the result of much lower rates paid on all deposit products but partly offset by the effects of higher average balances of money market accounts and certificates of deposit of \$100,000 and over. Average rates paid on deposit balances declined 100 basis points from 2.68% in 2007 to 1.68% in 2008. Interest expense on borrowings declined \$34.2 million, or 35.2%, mainly as a result of lower rates paid and lower average balances of federal funds purchased and repurchase agreement borrowings. Also, while advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) and the Federal Reserve s Term Auction Facility increased on average by \$788.3 million, rates on these borrowings dropped significantly in 2008. The average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities decreased to 1.83% compared to 3.01% in 2007.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses totaled \$160.7 million in 2009, up from \$108.9 million in the previous year, or an increase of \$51.8 million. In 2007 the provision totaled \$42.7 million. The growth in the provision in 2009 was the result of deteriorating economic conditions affecting the Company s loan portfolio, higher watch list loan totals, and increasing loan loss experience. As a result, the Company increased its allowance for loan losses by \$21.9 million in 2009. The provision for loan losses is recorded to bring the allowance for loan losses to a level deemed adequate by management based on the factors mentioned in the following Allowance for Loan Losses section of this discussion.

Table of Contents 70

Table of Contents 71

Table of Contents

Non-Interest Income

							% Change	
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008		2007	09- 08	08- 07
Deposit account charges and other fees	\$	106,362	\$	110,361	\$	117,350	(3.6)%	(6.0)%
Bank card transaction fees	•	122,124	_	113,862	_	103,613	7.3	9.9
Trust fees		76,831		80,294		78,840	(4.3)	1.8
Bond trading income		22,432		15,665		9,338	43.2	67.8
Consumer brokerage services		10,831		12,156		11,754	(10.9)	3.4
Loan fees and sales		21,273		(2,413)		8,835	N.M.	N.M.
Other		36,732		45,787		41,851	(19.8)	9.4
Total non-interest income	\$	396,585	\$	375,712	\$	371,581	5.6%	1.1%
Non-interest income as a % of total revenue*		38.4%		38.8%		40.8%		
Total revenue per full-time equivalent employee	\$	201.4	\$	185.6	\$	179.0		

Non-interest income totaled \$396.6 million, an increase of \$20.9 million, or 5.6%, compared to \$375.7 million in 2008. Deposit account fees declined \$4.0 million, or 3.6%, as a result of lower overdraft fee revenue, which fell \$6.8 million, or 9.5%. Overdraft fees comprised 60.9% of total deposit account fee income in 2009. Partly offsetting this decline was an increase in cash management fees, which grew \$3.2 million, or 10.6%, over the prior year. Bank card fee income rose \$8.3 million, or 7.3% overall, due to continued growth in transaction fees earned on corporate card, debit card and merchant transactions, which increased 24.1%, 4.6% and 3.4%, respectively, but was negatively impacted by lower retail sales affecting credit card fees. Trust fees decreased \$3.5 million, or 4.3%, mainly in institutional and corporate fees and reflected the impact that lower markets have had on trust asset values during 2009 and the effects of low interest rates on money market assets held in trust accounts. The market value of total customer trust assets (on which fees are charged) totaled \$22.1 billion at year end 2009, and grew 14.0% over year end 2008. Bond trading income rose \$6.8 million due to higher sales of fixed income securities to correspondent banks and corporate customers, while consumer brokerage services revenue declined \$1.3 million due to lower fees earned on sales of annuity and mutual fund products. Loan fees and sales increased by \$23.7 million, as gains on student loan sales increased \$20.8 million. The 2009 gains included the reversal of impairment reserves of \$8.6 million on certain held for sale student loans, through sales of the related loans and recoveries in the fair value of most of the remaining outstanding loans. The impairment had originally been established in 2008 due to liquidity concerns, which at year end 2009 were largely alleviated. In addition, mortgage banking revenue and loan commitment fees both increased over 2008. The decrease in other non-interest income of \$9.1 million from 2008 was mainly due to a gain of \$6.9 million recorded in the second quarter of 2008 on the sale of a banking branch in Independence, Kansas, mentioned previously. Other declines were reported in cash sweep commissions, equipment rental income and fees on

Table of Contents 72

^{*} Total revenue is calculated as net interest income plus non-interest income.

interest rate swap sales. Partly offsetting these declines was an impairment charge of \$1.1 million recorded in 2008 on an office building held for sale, which formerly housed the Company s check processing operations.

During 2008, non-interest income increased \$4.1 million, or 1.1%, over 2007 to \$375.7 million. Results for 2008 included an impairment charge of \$9.4 million, recorded in loan fees and sales, on certain student loans held for sale. The Company has agreements to sell its portfolio of originated student loans to various student loan servicing agencies. Due to uncertainties surrounding some of these agencies—abilities to fulfill these contracts in the future, the Company recorded the impairment in order to adjust a portion of the portfolio, totaling \$206.1 million, to fair value. Most of the charge was reversed in 2009, as mentioned above. During 2008, bank card fee income grew by \$10.2 million, or 9.9%, over 2007 due to solid growth in debit card and corporate credit card fee income, which grew by 9.4% and 28.6%, respectively. However, deposit account fees declined by \$7.0 million, or 6.0%, mainly due to a decrease of \$10.4 million in deposit account overdraft fees. This decline was partly offset by growth in corporate cash management fee income, which increased \$4.5 million, or 17.2%. Trust fee income grew by \$1.5 million, or 1.8%, and was especially affected in the fourth quarter by lower market values of the trust assets on which fees are based. Market values of total trust

25

Table of Contents

assets at year end 2008 were 14.6% lower than at year end 2007. Consumer brokerage services revenue grew by \$402 thousand, or 3.4%, on higher annuity commissions. Bond trading income increased \$6.3 million, or 67.8%, due to increased sales volumes from its correspondent bank and commercial customers. Other non-interest income rose \$3.9 million over the prior year, largely due to the \$6.9 million gain on the Independence branch sale. Additional increases occurred in cash sweep commission income and fees on interest rate swap sales. These increases were partly offset by the impairment charge on the office building mentioned above, in addition to declines in official check sales and equipment rental income.

Investment Securities Gains (Losses), Net

Net gains and losses on investment securities during 2009, 2008 and 2007 are shown in the table below. Included in these amounts are gains and losses arising from sales of bonds from the Company savailable for sale portfolio, including credit-related losses on debt securities identified as other-than-temporarily impaired. Also included are gains and losses on sales of publicly traded common stock held by the holding company, Commerce Bancshares, Inc. (the Parent). Gains and losses relating to non-marketable private equity investments, which are primarily held by the Parent s majority-owned venture capital subsidiaries, are also shown below. These include fair value adjustments, in addition to gains and losses realized upon disposition. The portion of the activity attributable to minority interests is reported as non-controlling interest in the consolidated income statement and resulted in income of \$1.1 million in 2009 and expense of \$299 thousand and \$389 thousand in 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Net securities losses of \$7.2 million were recorded in 2009. Most of the loss resulted from a \$5.0 million net decline in fair value of various private equity securities. In addition, credit-related other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses of \$2.5 million were recorded on certain non-agency mortgage-backed securities with a par value of \$171.6 million. The non credit-related loss on these securities, which was recorded in other comprehensive income, was \$30.3 million.

Net securities gains of \$30.3 million were recorded in 2008, compared to net gains of \$8.2 million in 2007. Most of the net gain in 2008 occurred because of Visa s redemption of certain Class B stock held by its former member banks. The redemption occurred in conjunction with an initial public offering by Visa in which 500 thousand shares of Class B stock held by the Company were redeemed, resulting in a \$22.2 million gain. Also, in December 2008, \$341.4 million in auction rate securities were sold in exchange for federally guaranteed student loans, resulting in a gain of \$7.9 million.

(Dollars in thousands)	2009	2008	2007
Available for sale: Preferred equity securities	\$	\$ (3,504)	\$ (663)
Common stock Auction rate securities		(294) 7,861	2,521
Other bonds: Realized gains OTTI losses	322	1,140	1,069
Non-marketable: Private equity investments	(2,473) (5,044)	2,895	5,307
Visa Class B stock	(3,044)	22,196	3,307

Total investment securities gains (losses), net

\$ (7,195) \$ 30,294

\$ 8,234

26

Table of Contents

Non-Interest Expense

				% Cha	nge
(Dollars in thousands)	2009	2008	2007	09- 08	08- 07
Salaries	\$ 290,289	\$ 286,161	\$ 265,378	1.4%	7.8%
Employee benefits	55,490	47,451	43,390	16.9	9.4
Net occupancy	45,925	46,317	45,789	(.8)	1.2
Equipment	25,472	24,569	24,121	3.7	1.9
Supplies and communication	32,156	35,335	34,162	(9.0)	3.4
Data processing and software	61,789	56,387	50,342	9.6	12.0
Marketing	18,231	19,994	18,199	(8.8)	9.9
Deposit insurance	27,373	2,051	1,412	N.M.	45.3
Loss on purchase of auction rate securities		33,266		N.M.	N.M.
Indemnification obligation	(2,496)	(9,619)	20,951	N.M.	N.M.
Other	67,834	73,468	70,415	(7.7)	4.3
Total non-interest expense	\$ 622,063	\$ 615,380	\$ 574,159	1.1%	7.2%
Efficiency ratio Salaries and benefits as a % of total	59.9%	63.1%	62.7%		
non-interest expense	55.6%	54.2%	53.8%		
Number of full-time equivalent employees	5,125	5,217	5,083		

Non-interest expense was \$622.1 million in 2009, an increase of \$6.7 million, or 1.1%, over the previous year. A major expense to the Company in 2009 was FDIC deposit insurance, which rose dramatically over amounts recorded in previous years. The Company expects these costs to remain high as the banking industry replenishes the FDIC insurance fund, which has been depleted by the recent high levels of bank failures across the country. The Company incurred total annual expense of \$27.4 million during 2009 as a result of normal deposit premiums and special assessments, compared to \$2.1 million in 2008. Also, the FDIC required insured institutions to prepay their quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and subsequent years 2010 through 2012. Accordingly, a cash payment of \$68.7 million was paid by the Company on December 30, 2009. The payment has been recorded as an asset and will be reduced each quarter as the Company s regular quarterly assessments come due and are expensed.

During 2009, salaries and benefits expense increased by \$12.2 million, or 3.6%, over 2008 due to merit increases and higher pension and medical costs. Occupancy expense decreased slightly, while equipment expense increased \$903 thousand, or 3.7%, mainly due to higher data processing equipment depreciation expense. Supplies and communication expense decreased \$3.2 million, or 9.0%, as a result of lower supplies and courier expense. Data processing and software costs grew by \$5.4 million, or 9.6%. Core data processing expense increased \$3.5 million due to several new software and servicing systems, in addition to higher bank card processing costs, which increased in relation to higher bank card revenues. Marketing expense decreased \$1.8 million, or 8.8%. Other expense decreased \$5.6 million, or 7.7%, partly due to declines in travel and entertainment expense and impairment charges on

foreclosed property. Other decreases occurred in leased asset depreciation, professional fees and recruiting expense, which were partly offset by a decline in loan origination cost deferrals.

In 2008, non-interest expense was \$615.4 million, an increase of 7.2% over the previous year. Salaries and benefits expense grew by \$24.8 million, or 8.0%, due to merit increases, higher incentive payments, and increased medical insurance costs. In addition, increased salary costs resulted from higher staffing in areas such as commercial bank card, private banking, and commercial banking, which were part of certain growth initiatives established by the Company in 2007. Occupancy, supplies and communication, and equipment costs grew by 1.2%, 3.4%, and 1.9%, respectively, and were well controlled. Occupancy costs increased mainly as a result of higher building services and repairs expense. Equipment expense grew mainly due to higher repairs and maintenance expense, partly offset by a decline in equipment depreciation expense. Supplies and

27

Table of Contents

communication costs were higher due to increased costs for supplies and courier expense. Data processing and software expense increased \$6.0 million, or 12.0%, mainly due to higher bank card processing costs. Exclusive of bank card costs, core data processing expense increased \$2.1 million, or 6.7%, over the prior year due to investments in new software and servicing systems. Marketing expense also rose by \$1.8 million, or 9.9%, over the prior year mainly related to deposit account product marketing and other campaigns supporting Company initiatives. Other non-interest expense increased \$3.1 million, or 4.3%, in 2008 partly due to a \$2.5 million impairment charge on foreclosed land which was sold later that year. Other increases occurred in travel and entertainment, FHLB letter of credit fees, and credit card rewards expense. Partly offsetting these increases were declines in professional fees and leased asset depreciation.

Non-interest expense in 2008 also included a \$33.3 million non-cash loss related to the purchase of auction rate securities from customers. The securities were purchased at par value from the customers, and this loss represents the amount by which par value exceeded estimated fair value on the purchase date. Most of these securities were subsequently sold in the fourth quarter of 2008, and the gain relating to that transaction was recorded in investments securities gains (losses), as noted above.

Also included in non-interest expense were adjustments to the Company's estimate of its share of certain litigation costs arising from its member bank relationship with Visa. An obligation was initially recorded in 2007 which represented the Company's portion of litigation costs relating to various suits against Visa. The obligation has been periodically adjusted to reflect escrow funding by Visa, suit settlements, and changes in estimates of remaining litigation costs. As a result of these adjustments, reductions to the obligation were recorded in 2009 and 2008 of \$2.5 million and \$9.6 million, respectively.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense was \$73.8 million in 2009, compared to \$85.1 million in 2008 and \$93.7 million in 2007. Income tax expense in 2009 decreased 13.3% from 2008, compared to an 11.8% decrease in pre-tax income. The effective tax rate was 30.4%, 31.1% and 31.2% in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Company s effective tax rates in those years were lower than the federal statutory rate of 35% mainly due to tax-exempt interest on state and local municipal obligations.

Financial Condition

Loan Portfolio Analysis

Classifications of consolidated loans by major category at December 31 for each of the past five years are shown in the table below. This portfolio consists of loans which the Company intends to hold to their maturity, and includes a portfolio of student loans which was acquired in 2008. The Company s portfolio of originated student loans was classified as held for sale in 2006, and is included in the table below only for 2005. Loans held for sale are discussed in the following section. A schedule of average balances invested in each loan category below appears on page 60.

	Balance at December 31									
(In thousands)		2009		2008		2007	2006	2005		
Business	\$	2,877,936	\$	3,404,371	\$	3,257,047	\$ 2,860,692	\$ 2,527,654		
		665,110		837,369		668,701	658,148	424,561		

Edgar Filing: PURE CYCLE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Real estate construction and					
land					
Real estate business	2,104,030	2,137,822	2,239,846	2,148,195	1,919,045
Real estate personal	1,537,687	1,638,553	1,540,289	1,478,669	1,352,339
Consumer	1,333,763	1,615,455	1,648,072	1,435,038	1,287,348
Home equity	489,517	504,069	460,200	441,851	448,507
Student	331,698	358,049			330,238
Consumer credit card	799,503	779,709	780,227	648,326	592,465
Overdrafts	6,080	7,849	10,986	10,601	10,854
Total loans	\$ 10,145,324	\$ 11,283,246	\$ 10,605,368	\$ 9,681,520	\$ 8,893,011

Table of Contents

In December 2008, the Company elected to reclassify certain segments of its real estate, business, and consumer portfolios. The reclassifications were made to better align the loan reporting with its related collateral and purpose. Amounts reclassified to real estate construction and land pertained mainly to commercial or residential land and lots which were held by borrowers for future development. Amounts reclassified to personal real estate related mainly to one to four family rental property secured by residential mortgages. The table below shows the effect of the reclassifications on the various lending categories as of the transfer date. Because the information was not readily available and it was impracticable to do so, periods prior to 2008 were not restated.

(In thousands)	Effect of reclassification
Business Real estate construction and land Real estate business Real estate personal Consumer	\$ (55,991) 158,268 (214,071) 142,093 (30,299)
Net reclassification	\$

The contractual maturities of loan categories at December 31, 2009, and a breakdown of those loans between fixed rate and floating rate loans are as follows:

	Pri	ncipal Payments	Due	
	In	After One	After	
	One Year	Year Through	Five	
(In thousands)	or Less	Five Years	Years	Total
Business	\$ 1,566,491	\$ 1,141,653	\$ 169,792	\$ 2,877,936
Real estate construction and land	477,162	185,124	2,824	665,110
Real estate business	653,649	1,232,146	218,235	2,104,030
Real estate personal	188,587	384,937	964,163	1,537,687
Total business and real estate loans	\$ 2,885,889	\$ 2,943,860	\$ 1,355,014	7,184,763
Consumer ⁽¹⁾ Home equity ⁽²⁾ Student ⁽³⁾ Consumer credit card ⁽⁴⁾				1,333,763 489,517 331,698 799,503

Overdrafts 6,080

Total loans \$ 10,145,324

Loans with fixed rates \$ 614,446 \$ 1,622,946 \$ 465,016 \$ 2,702,408

Loans with floating rates 2,271,443 1,320,914 889,998 4,482,355

Total business and real estate loans \$ 2,885,889 \$ 2,943,860 \$ 1,355,014 \$ 7,184,763

- (1) Consumer loans with floating rates totaled \$113.6 million.
- (2) Home equity loans with floating rates totaled \$483.9 million.
- (3) All student loans have floating rates.
- (4) Consumer credit card loans with floating rates totaled \$732.3 million.

Total loans at December 31, 2009 were \$10.1 billion, a decrease of \$1.1 billion, or 10.1%, from balances at December 31, 2008. The decline in loans during 2009 came principally from business, construction, personal real estate and consumer loans. Business loans declined \$526.4 million, or 15.5%, reflecting lower line of credit usage, lower demand and pay-downs by business loan customers. Lease balances, which are included in the business category, decreased \$26.8 million, or 8.7%, compared with the previous year end balance, as demand for equipment financing weakened. Business real estate loans were lower by \$33.8 million, or 1.6%, and construction loans decreased \$172.3 million, or 20.6%. The decline in construction loans reflected continued uncertain economic conditions in the real estate markets and lower overall demand. Personal real estate loans and consumer loans declined \$100.9 million and \$281.7 million, respectively, as loan pay-downs exceeded new loan originations. Consumer loans also declined, as in mid 2008 the Company ceased most marine and recreational vehicle lending from that portfolio. Home equity loans decreased \$14.6 million due to

29

Table of Contents

fewer new account activations, and student loans declined \$26.4 million due to principal pay-downs. Consumer credit card loans increased by \$19.8 million, or 2.5%.

Period end loans increased \$677.9 million, or 6.4%, in 2008 compared to 2007, resulting from increases in business, business real estate and student loans. In December 2008, the Company acquired \$358.5 million of federally guaranteed student loans from a student loan agency in exchange for certain auction rate securities.

The Company currently generates approximately 31% of its loan portfolio in the St. Louis market, 29% in the Kansas City market, and 40% in various other regional markets. The portfolio is diversified from a business and retail standpoint, with 56% in loans to businesses and 44% in loans to consumers. A balanced approach to loan portfolio management and an historical aversion toward credit concentrations, from an industry, geographic and product perspective, have contributed to low levels of problem loans and loan losses.

Business

Total business loans amounted to \$2.9 billion at December 31, 2009 and include loans used mainly to fund customer accounts receivable, inventories, and capital expenditures. This portfolio also includes direct financing and sales type leases totaling \$281.4 million, which are used by commercial customers to finance capital purchases ranging from computer equipment to office and transportation equipment. These leases comprise 2.8% of the Company s total loan portfolio. Business loans are made primarily to customers in the regional trade area of the Company, generally the central Midwest, encompassing the states of Missouri, Kansas, Illinois, and nearby Midwestern markets, including Iowa, Oklahoma, Colorado and Ohio. The portfolio is diversified from an industry standpoint and includes businesses engaged in manufacturing, wholesaling, retailing, agribusiness, insurance, financial services, public utilities, and other service businesses. Emphasis is upon middle-market and community businesses with known local management and financial stability. The Company participates in credits of large, publicly traded companies when business operations are maintained in the local communities or regional markets and opportunities to provide other banking services are present. Consistent with management s strategy and emphasis upon relationship banking, most borrowing customers also maintain deposit accounts and utilize other banking services. Net loan charge-offs in this category totaled \$12.8 million in 2009 and \$4.4 million in 2008. Non-accrual business loans were \$12.9 million (.4% of business loans) at December 31, 2009 compared to \$4.0 million at December 31, 2008. Included in these totals were non-accrual lease-related loans of \$3.3 million and \$1.0 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Growth opportunities in business loans will largely depend on economic and market conditions affecting businesses and their ability to grow and invest in new capital, and the Company s own solicitation efforts in attracting new, high quality loans. Asset quality is, in part, a function of management s consistent application of underwriting standards and credit terms through stages in economic cycles. Therefore, portfolio growth in 2010 will be dependent upon 1) the strength of the economy, 2) the actions of the Federal Reserve with regard to targets for economic growth, interest rates, and inflationary tendencies, 3) customer demand, and 4) the competitive environment.

Real Estate-Construction and Land

The portfolio of loans in this category amounted to \$665.1 million at December 31, 2009 and comprised 6.6% of the Company s total loan portfolio. These loans are predominantly made to businesses in the local markets of the Company s banking subsidiary. Commercial construction loans are made during the construction phase for small and medium-sized office and medical buildings, manufacturing and warehouse facilities, apartment complexes, shopping centers, hotels and motels, and other commercial properties. Exposure to larger speculative commercial properties remains low. Commercial land and land development loans relate to land owned or developed for use in conjunction with business properties. The largest percentage of residential construction and land development loans are for projects located in the Kansas City and St. Louis metropolitan areas. Credit risk in this sector has risen over the last two years, especially in residential construction and land development lending, as a result of the slowdown in the

housing industry and worsening economic conditions. Net loan charge-offs increased to \$34.1 million in 2009, compared to net charge-offs of \$6.2 million in 2008. The increase in net charge-offs in 2009 was mainly comprised of

30

Table of Contents

\$28.1 million in charge-offs on nine specific loans. Construction and land development loans on non-accrual status rose to \$62.5 million at year end 2009, compared to \$48.9 million at year end 2008. The non-accrual balance included a \$14.8 million residential construction loan within the Bank s market, which was placed on non-accrual status in December 2008. The remainder of the non-accrual balance at year end 2009 was mainly composed of loans to 15 borrowers, with balances ranging from \$500 thousand to \$8.7 million. The Company s watch list, which includes special mention and substandard categories, included \$149.3 million of residential land and construction loans which are being closely monitored.

Real Estate-Business

Total business real estate loans were \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2009 and comprised 20.7% of the Company s total loan portfolio. This category includes mortgage loans for small and medium-sized office and medical buildings, manufacturing and warehouse facilities, shopping centers, hotels and motels, and other commercial properties. Emphasis is placed on owner-occupied and income producing commercial real estate properties, which present lower risk levels. The borrowers and/or the properties are generally located in local and regional markets. Additional information about loans by category is presented on page 38. At December 31, 2009, non-accrual balances amounted to \$21.8 million, or 1.0%, of the loans in this category, up from \$13.1 million at year end 2008. The Company experienced net charge-offs of \$5.2 million in 2009, compared to net charge-offs of \$2.2 million in 2008.

Real Estate-Personal

At December 31, 2009, there were \$1.5 billion in outstanding personal real estate loans, which comprised 15.2% of the Company s total loan portfolio. The mortgage loans in this category are mainly for owner-occupied residential properties. The Company originates both adjustable rate and fixed rate mortgage loans. The Company retains adjustable rate mortgage loans, and may from time to time retain certain fixed rate loans (typically 15-year fixed rate loans) as directed by its Asset/Liability Management Committee. Other fixed rate loans in the portfolio have resulted from previous bank acquisitions. The Company does not purchase loans from outside parties or brokers, and has never maintained or promoted subprime or reduced document products. At December 31, 2009, 54% of the portfolio was comprised of adjustable rate loans while 46% was comprised of fixed rate loans. Levels of mortgage loan origination activity increased slightly in 2009 compared to 2008, with originations of \$199 million in 2009 compared with \$181 million in 2008. Growth in mortgage loan originations continued to be constrained in 2009 as a result of the weakened economy, slower housing starts, and lower resales within the Company s markets. The Company has experienced lower loan losses in this category than many others in the industry, and believes this is partly because it does not offer subprime lending products or purchase loans from brokers. Net loan charge-offs for 2009 amounted to \$2.8 million, compared to \$1.7 million in the previous year. The non-accrual balances of loans in this category increased to \$9.4 million at December 31, 2009, compared to \$6.8 million at year end 2008.

Personal Banking

Total personal banking loans, which include consumer, student and revolving home equity loans, totaled \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2009 and comprised 21.2% of the Company s total loan portfolio. Consumer loans consist of auto, marine, tractor/trailer, recreational vehicle (RV) and fixed rate home equity loans, and totaled \$1.3 billion at year end 2009. Approximately 68% of consumer loans outstanding were originated indirectly from auto and other dealers, while the remaining 32% were direct loans made to consumers. Approximately 28% of the consumer portfolio consists of automobile loans, 50% in marine and RV loans and 10% in fixed rate home equity lending. As mentioned above, total consumer loans declined \$281.7 million in 2009 as a result of a decrease of \$156.0 million in marine and RV loans, due to the Company s decision in 2008 to cease most marine and RV lending. In addition, auto lending declined \$97.0 million, or 20.8%. Net charge-offs on consumer loans were \$32.2 million in 2009 compared to \$21.4 million in 2008. Net charge-offs increased to 2.2% of average consumer loans in 2009 compared to 1.3% in

2008. The increase in net charge-offs in 2009 compared to 2008 was mainly due to higher marine and RV charge-offs. Net charge-offs on marine and RV loans were \$8.3 million higher in 2009 compared to 2008, and were 3.0% of average marine and RV loans in 2009 compared to 1.7% in 2008.

31

Table of Contents

Revolving home equity loans, of which 99% are adjustable rate loans, totaled \$489.5 million at year end 2009. An additional \$658.8 million was available in unused lines of credit, which can be drawn at the discretion of the borrower. Home equity loans are secured mainly by second mortgages (and less frequently, first mortgages) on residential property of the borrower. The underwriting terms for the home equity line product permit borrowing availability, in the aggregate, generally up to 80% or 90% of the appraised value of the collateral property at the time of origination. While in prior years a small percentage of borrowers were permitted borrowing up to 100% of appraised value, this practice was discontinued in 2009.

As mentioned above, in December 2008 the Company acquired federally guaranteed student loans from a student loan agency. The loans were acquired in exchange for certain auction rate securities issued by that agency and purchased earlier in the year by the Bank from its customers. The loans, which had an average estimated life of approximately seven years at purchase date, were recorded at fair value, which resulted in a discount from their face value of approximately 2.5%. At December 31, 2009, these student loan balances totaled \$331.7 million.

Consumer Credit Card

Total consumer credit card loans amounted to \$799.5 million at December 31, 2009 and comprised 7.9% of the Company s total loan portfolio. The credit card portfolio is concentrated within regional markets served by the Company. The Company offers a variety of credit card products, including affinity cards, rewards cards, and standard and premium credit cards, and emphasizes its credit card relationship product, Special Connections. Approximately 65% of the households in Missouri that own a Commerce credit card product also maintain a deposit relationship with the subsidiary bank. Approximately 92% of the outstanding credit card loans have a floating interest rate. Net charge-offs amounted to \$49.3 million in 2009, which was a \$17.8 million increase over 2008. The annual ratio of net credit card loan charge-offs to total average credit card loans totaled 6.8% in 2009 compared to 4.1% in 2008. These ratios, however, remain below national loss averages.

Loans Held for Sale

Total loans held for sale at December 31, 2009 were \$345.0 million, a decrease of \$16.3 million, or 4.5%, from \$361.3 million at year end 2008. Loans classified as held for sale consist of student loans and residential mortgage loans.

Most of the portfolio is comprised of originated loans to students attending colleges and universities. These loans are normally sold to the secondary market when the student graduates and the loan enters into repayment status. Nearly all of these loans are based on variable rates. The Company maintains agreements to sell these student loans to various student loan servicing agencies, including the Missouri Higher Education Loan Authority and the Student Loan Marketing Association. In mid 2008, the Company also entered into an agreement with the Department of Education (DOE) which covers all new loans originated beginning July 1, 2008. Under this agreement, loans originated for the school year 2008-2009 were sold in 2009. Total student loans sold to the DOE and other agencies were approximately \$439 million in 2009 and \$164 million in 2008.

Due to uncertainties during 2008 surrounding some of the student loan agencies future ability to fulfill these contracts, the Company adjusted loans totaling \$206.1 million to fair value and recorded impairment charges of \$9.4 million at year end 2008. Of these losses, \$8.6 million were reversed during 2009, as various sales of the related loans were made in accordance with contractual terms and performance concerns diminished. Due to higher sales of student loans in 2009, student loan balances declined by \$24.0 million, or 6.7%, to \$334.5 million at year end 2009, compared to \$358.6 million at year end 2008.

The remainder of the held for sale portfolio consists of fixed rate mortgage loans, which are sold in the secondary market, generally within three months of origination. These loans totaled \$10.5 million and \$2.7 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

32

Table of Contents

Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company has an established process to determine the amount of the allowance for loan losses, which assesses the risks and losses inherent in its portfolio. This process provides an allowance consisting of a specific allowance component based on certain individually evaluated loans and a general component based on estimates of reserves needed for pools of loans with similar risk characteristics.

Loans subject to individual evaluation are defined by the Company as impaired, and generally consist of business, construction, commercial real estate and personal real estate loans on non-accrual status. In addition, loans that have been modified and meet the criteria for troubled debt restructuring are also included in impaired loans. Impaired loans are evaluated individually for the impairment of repayment potential and collateral adequacy, and in conjunction with current economic conditions and loss experience, allowances are estimated. Loans not individually evaluated are aggregated and reserves are recorded using a consistent methodology that considers historical loan loss experience by loan type, delinquencies, current economic factors, loan risk ratings and industry concentrations.

The Company s estimate of the allowance for loan losses and the corresponding provision for loan losses rests upon various judgments and assumptions made by management. Factors that influence these judgments include past loan loss experience, current loan portfolio composition and characteristics, trends in portfolio risk ratings, levels of non-performing assets, prevailing regional and national economic conditions, and the Company s ongoing examination process including that of its regulators. The Company has internal credit administration and loan review staffs that continuously review loan quality and report the results of their reviews and examinations to the Company s senior management and Board of Directors. Such reviews also assist management in establishing the level of the allowance. The Company s subsidiary bank continues to be subject to examination by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) and examinations are conducted throughout the year, targeting various segments of the loan portfolio for review. In addition to the examination of the subsidiary bank by the OCC, the parent holding company and its non-bank subsidiaries are examined by the Federal Reserve Bank.

At December 31, 2009, the allowance for loan losses was \$194.5 million compared to a balance at year end 2008 of \$172.6 million. The \$21.9 million, or 12.7%, increase in the allowance for loan losses during 2009 was primarily a result of increasing levels of watch list loans and deteriorating general economic conditions. Total loans delinquent 90 days or more increased \$2.7 million, or 6.7% at December 31, 2009 compared to year end 2008. Delinquencies of 90 days or more on consumer credit card loans increased \$3.1 million, or 22.2%, compared to 2008. Loans on non-accrual status increased \$33.7 million to \$106.6 million in 2009 from \$72.9 million in 2008. This growth included increases of \$13.6 million in non-accrual construction and land loans, \$8.6 million in non-accrual business real estate loans, and \$8.9 million in business loans. Other loans identified as potential future problem loans increased \$8.9 million. This group of loans saw a \$58.0 million increase in business real estate loans during the year, offset by declines in business and construction and loans. These trends were reflective of the economic downturn experienced in 2009. The Company s analysis of the allowance considered these trends, which resulted in an increase in the allowance balance during 2009 and 2008. The percentage of allowance to loans increased to 1.92% at December 31, 2009 compared to 1.53% at year end 2008 as a result of the increase in the allowance balance, coupled with a decrease in period end loan balances of 10.1%.

Net loan charge-offs totaled \$138.8 million in 2009, and increased \$69.0 million, compared to net charge-offs of \$69.9 million in 2008. Net charge-offs related to business loans were \$12.8 million in 2009 compared to \$4.4 million in 2008. Construction and land loans incurred net charge-offs of \$34.1 million in 2009 compared to \$6.2 million in 2008. Net charge-offs related to consumer loans increased by \$10.8 million to \$32.2 million at December 31, 2009, representing 23.2% of total net charge-offs during 2009. This increase was due primarily to a \$8.3 million increase in net charge-offs related to marine and recreational vehicle loans. Additionally, net charge-offs related to consumer credit cards increased \$17.8 million to \$49.3 million in 2009 compared to \$31.5 million in 2008. Approximately

35.5% of total net loan charge-offs during 2009 were related to consumer credit card loans compared to 45.1% during 2008. Net consumer credit card charge-offs increased to 6.8% of average consumer credit card loans in 2009 compared to 4.1% in 2008.

33

Table of Contents

The ratio of net charge-offs to total average loans outstanding in 2009 was 1.31% compared to .64% in 2008 and .42% in 2007. The provision for loan losses in 2009 was \$160.7 million, compared to a provision of \$108.9 million in 2008 and \$42.7 million in 2007.

The Company considers the allowance for loan losses of \$194.5 million adequate to cover losses inherent in the loan portfolio at December 31, 2009.

The schedules which follow summarize the relationship between loan balances and activity in the allowance for loan losses:

		Year	rs Enc	ded Decembe	r 31		
(Dollars in thousands)	2009	2008		2007		2006	2005
Net loans outstanding at end of $year^{(A)}$	\$ 10,145,324	\$ 11,283,246	\$	10,605,368	\$	9,681,520	\$ 8,893,011
Average loans outstanding $^{(A)}$	\$ 10,629,867	\$ 10,935,858	\$	10,189,316	\$	9,105,432	\$ 8,549,573
Allowance for loan losses: Balance at beginning of year	\$ 172,619	\$ 133,586	\$	131,730	\$	128,447	\$ 132,394
Additions to allowance through charges to expense Allowances of acquired companies	160,697	108,900		42,732 1,857		25,649 3,688	28,785
Loans charged off: Business Real estate construction and land	15,762 34,812	7,820 6,215		5,822 2,049		1,343	1,083
Real estate business	5,957	2,293		2,396		854	827
Real estate personal	3,150	1,765		181		119	87
Consumer	35,973	26,229		14,842		11,364	13,441
Home equity	1,197	447		451		158	34
Student	54.000	25.025		20.210		00.104	20.262
Consumer credit card	54,060	35,825		28,218		22,104	28,263
Overdrafts	3,493	4,499		4,909		4,940	3,485

Edgar Filing: PURE CYCLE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Total loans charged off	154,410	85,093		58,868	40,944		47,220	
Recovery of loans previously charged off:								
Business Real estate construction	2,925	3,406		1,429	2,166		4,099	
and land	720			37				
Real estate business	709	117		1,321	890		330	
Real estate personal	363	51		42	27		57	
Consumer	3,772	4,782		5,304	5,263		4,675	
Home equity	7	18		5	23			
Consumer credit card	4,785	4,309		4,520	4,250		3,851	
Overdrafts	2,293	2,543		3,477	2,271		1,476	
Total recoveries	15,574	15,226		16,135	14,890		14,488	
Net loans charged off	138,836	69,867		42,733	26,054		32,732	
Balance at end of year	\$ 194,480	\$ 172,619	\$	133,586	\$ 131,730	\$	128,447	
Ratio of allowance to loans at end of year Ratio of provision to	1.92%	1.53%	0	1.26%	1.36%		1.44%	
average loans outstanding	1.51%	1.00%	ó	.42%	.28%	.34%		

⁽A) Net of unearned income, before deducting allowance for loan losses, excluding loans held for sale.

Table of Contents

		Years En	ided Decemb	er 31	
(Dollars in thousands)	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans					
outstanding, by loan category:					
Business	.41%	.13%	.14%	NA	NA
Real estate construction and land	4.61	.89	.30	.01	
Real estate business	.24	.10	.05	NA	.03
Real estate personal	.18	.11	.01	.01	
Consumer	2.20	1.28	.61	.45	.71
Home equity	.24	.09	.10	.03	.01
Consumer credit card	6.77	4.06	3.56	3.00	4.40
Overdrafts	12.27	16.40	10.36	18.18	14.36
Ratio of total net charge-offs to total average loans					
outstanding	1.31%	.64%	.42%	.29%	.38%

NA: Net recoveries were experienced in these years.

The following schedule provides a breakdown of the allowance for loan losses by loan category and the percentage of each loan category to total loans outstanding at year end:

thousands)		2009	9		200	8		200	7		200	6		2005
	Lo	oan Loss	% of Loans to	Lo	oan Loss	% of Loans	Lo	oan Loss	% of Loans	Lo	oan Loss	% of Loans	Lo	oan Loss
		lowance location	Total Loans		lowance location	to Total Loans		llowance llocation	to Total Loans		lowance location	to Total Loans		llowance llocation
	\$	42,949	28.4%	\$	37,912	30.2%	\$	29,392	30.7%	\$	28,529	29.5%	\$	26,211
truction and														
		30,776	6.6		23,526	7.4		8,507	6.3		4,605	6.8		3,375
ness		30,640	20.7		25,326	19.0		14,842	21.1		19,343	22.2		19,432
onal		5,231	15.2		4,680	14.5		2,389	14.5		2,243	15.3		4,815
		29,994	13.1		28,638	14.3		24,611	15.6		18,655	14.8		18,951
ity		1,590	4.8		1,332	4.4		5,839	4.3		5,035	4.6		5,916
•		229	3.3			3.2		·			·			497
credit card		51,801	7.9		49,492	6.9		44,307	7.4		39,965	6.7		35,513
		1,270			1,713	.1		2,351	.1		3,592	.1		2,739
ed		-						1,348			9,763			10,998

\$ 194,480 100.0% \$ 172,619 100.0% \$ 133,586 100.0% \$ 131,730 100.0% \$ 128,447

Risk Elements of Loan Portfolio

Management reviews the loan portfolio continuously for evidence of problem loans. During the ordinary course of business, management becomes aware of borrowers that may not be able to meet the contractual requirements of loan agreements. Such loans are placed under close supervision with consideration given to placing the loan on non-accrual status, the need for an additional allowance for loan loss, and (if appropriate) partial or full loan charge-off. Loans are placed on non-accrual status when management does not expect to collect payments consistent with acceptable and agreed upon terms of repayment. Loans that are 90 days past due as to principal and/or interest payments are generally placed on non-accrual, unless they are both well-secured and in the process of collection, or they are consumer loans that are exempt under regulatory rules from being classified as non-accrual. Consumer installment loans and related accrued interest are normally charged down to the fair value of related collateral (or are charged off in full if no collateral) once the loans are more than 120 days delinquent. Credit card loans and the related accrued interest are charged off when the receivable is more than 180 days past due. After a loan is placed on non-accrual status, any interest previously accrued but not yet collected is reversed against current income. Interest is included in income only as received and only after all previous loan charge-offs have been recovered, so long as management is satisfied there is no impairment of collateral values. The loan is returned to accrual status only when the borrower has brought all past due principal and interest payments current and, in the opinion of

35

Table of Contents

management, the borrower has demonstrated the ability to make future payments of principal and interest as scheduled.

The following schedule shows non-performing assets and loans past due 90 days and still accruing interest.

				Γ)ece	ember 31				
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2008		2007		2006		2005
Non-performing assets: Non-accrual loans:	Φ	12 974	¢	4.007	ф	4 700	¢	£ 000	¢	5.016
Business Real estate construction and land	\$	12,874 62,509	\$	4,007 48,871	\$	4,700 7,769	\$	5,808 120	\$	5,916
Real estate business		21,756		13,137		5,628		9,845		3,149
Real estate personal		9,384		6,794		1,095		384		261
Consumer		90		87		547		551		519
Total non-accrual loans		106,613		72,896		19,739		16,708		9,845
Real estate acquired in foreclosure		10,057		6,181		13,678		1,515		1,868
Total non-performing assets	\$	116,670	\$	79,077	\$	33,417	\$	18,223	\$	11,713
Non-performing assets as a percentage of total loans		1.15%		.70%		.32%		.19%		.13%
Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets		.64%		.45%		.21%		.12%		.08%
Past due 90 days and still accruing interest: Business Real estate construction and land Real estate business Real estate personal Consumer Home equity Student Consumer credit card	\$	3,672 1,184 402 3,102 2,045 878 14,346 17,003	\$	1,459 466 1,472 4,717 3,478 440 14,018 13,914	\$	1,427 768 281 5,131 1,914 700 1 10,664	\$	2,814 593 1,336 3,994 1,255 659 1 9,724	\$	1,026 1,075 2,998 1,069 429 74 7,417
	\$	42,632	\$	39,964	\$	20,886	\$	20,376	\$	14,088

Total past due 90 days and still accruing interest

The table below shows the effect on interest income in 2009 of loans on non-accrual status at year end.

(In thousands)

Interest income not recognized

Gross amount of interest that would have been recorded at original rate	\$ 8,332
Interest that was reflected in income	2,136

\$ 6,196

Total non-accrual loans at year end 2009 were \$106.6 million, an increase of \$33.7 million over the balance at year end 2008. Most of the increase occurred in non-accrual construction and land loans, which included a \$19.9 million residential construction loan placed on non-accrual status in December. In addition, business and business real estate non-accrual loans increased \$8.9 million and \$8.6 million, respectively. Foreclosed real estate increased to a total of \$10.1 million at year end 2009. Total non-performing assets remain low compared to the overall banking industry in 2009, with the non-performing loans to total loans ratio at 1.05% at December 31, 2009. Loans past due 90 days and still accruing interest increased \$2.7 million at year end 2009 compared to 2008, mainly due to higher credit card and business loan delinquencies, partly offset by lower real estate and consumer loan delinquencies. Loans past due 90 days includes \$13.8 million in federally guaranteed student loans that the Company intends to hold to maturity.

Commercial loans (business, business real estate, and construction) and personal real estate loans whose terms have been modified in a troubled debt restructuring are generally placed on non-accrual status until a six-month payment history is sustained. Non-accrual loan balances at December 31, 2009 included \$735 thousand of such loans.

36

Table of Contents

The Company seeks to assist customers that are experiencing financial difficulty through renegotiating credit card loans under various debt management and assistance programs. At December 31, 2009, the Company had renegotiated consumer credit card loans of \$16.0 million, of which \$8.4 million were current or less than 30 days past due under the modified terms. These renegotiated loans are excluded from non-performing loans, in accordance with the Company s classification policy on the overall consumer credit card portfolio.

In addition to non-accrual loans, renegotiated loans, and loans past due 90 days and still accruing interest, the Company also has identified loans for which management has concerns about the ability of the borrowers to meet existing repayment terms, which are shown in the table below. These loans are primarily classified as substandard for regulatory purposes under the Company s internal rating system. The loans are generally secured by either real estate or other borrower assets, reducing the potential for loss should they become non-performing. Although these loans are generally identified as potential problem loans, they may never become non-performing.

(In thousands)	December 31 2009	De	December 31 2008		
Potential problem loans: Business Real estate construction and land Real estate business Real estate personal Consumer	\$ 93,256 115,251 98,951 12,013 409		126,409 135,324 40,919 8,336 41		
Total potential problem loans	\$ 319,880	\$	311,029		

Loans with Special Risk Characteristics

Within the loan portfolio, certain types of loans are considered at higher risk of loss due to their terms, location, or special conditions. Certain personal real estate products have contractual features that could increase credit exposure in a market of declining real estate prices, when interest rates are steadily increasing, or when a geographic area experiences an economic downturn. Loans might be considered at higher risk when 1) loan terms require a minimum monthly payment that covers only interest, or 2) loan-to-collateral value (LTV) ratios are above 80%, with no private mortgage insurance. Information presented below is based on LTV ratios which were generally calculated with valuations at loan origination date.

Real Estate Construction and Land Loans

The Company s portfolio of construction loans, as shown in the table below, amounted to 6.6% of total loans outstanding at December 31, 2009.

% of % of

Edgar Filing: PURE CYCLE CORP - Form DEF 14A

(In thousands)	Dec	cember 31 2009	% of Total	Total Loans	De	cember 31 2008	% of Total	Total Loans
Residential land and land development Residential construction Commercial land and land development Commercial construction	\$	181,257 110,165 144,880 228,808	27.2% 16.6 21.8 34.4	1.8% 1.1 1.4 2.3	\$	246,335 141,405 139,726 309,903	29.4% 16.9 16.7 37.0	2.2% 1.3 1.2 2.7
Total real estate construction and land loans	\$	665,110	100.0%	6.6%	\$	837,369	100.0%	7.4%
			37					

Table of Contents

Real Estate Business Loans

Total business real estate loans were \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2009 and comprised 20.7% of the Company s total loan portfolio. These loans include properties such as manufacturing and warehouse buildings, small office and medical buildings, churches, hotels and motels, shopping centers, and other commercial properties. Approximately 52% of these loans were for owner-occupied real estate properties, which present lower risk profiles.

	December 31 2009			% of Total	
(In thousands)				Loans	
Owner-occupied	\$	1,101,870	52.4%	10.9%	
Industrial		142,745	6.8	1.4	
Office		214,408	10.2	2.1	
Retail		210,619	10.0	2.1	
Multi-family		112,664	5.3	1.1	
Farm		131,245	6.2	1.3	
Hotels		115,056	5.5	1.1	
Other		75,423	3.6	.7	
Total real estate business loans	\$	2,104,030	100.0%	20.7%	

Real Estate Personal Loans

The Company s \$1.5 billion personal real estate portfolio is composed of loans collateralized with residential real estate. Included in this portfolio are personal real estate loans made to commercial customers, which totaled \$270.5 million at December 31, 2009. This group of loans has an original weighted average term of approximately 5 years, with 58% of the balance in fixed rate loans and 42% in floating rate loans. The remainder of the personal real estate portfolio, totaling \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2009, is comprised of loans made to the retail customer base. It includes adjustable rate mortgage loans and certain fixed rate loans, retained by the Company as directed by its Asset/Liability Management Committee.

Within the larger mortgage loan group, only 2% were made with interest only payments (see table below). These loans are typically made to high net-worth borrowers and generally have low LTV ratios or have additional collateral pledged to secure the loan and, therefore, they are not perceived to represent above normal credit risk. At December 31, 2009, these loans had a weighted average LTV and FICO score of 55.4% and 757 respectively, and there were no delinquencies noted in this group. The majority of these loans (95.7%) consist of loans written within the Company s five state branch network territories of Missouri, Kansas, Illinois, Oklahoma, and Colorado. Loans originated with interest only payments were not made to qualify the borrower for a lower payment amount.

The following table presents information about the retail based personal real estate loan portfolio for 2009 and 2008.

	2009 Principal		2008 Principal				
	Outstanding % of Loan		Outstanding at	% of Loan			
(Dollars in thousands)	December 31	Portfolio	December 31	Portfolio			
Loans with interest only payments	\$ 25,201	2.0%	\$ 35,649	2.6%			
Loans with no insurance and LTV:	00.205	7 0	74.004	~ A			
Between 80% and 90% Between 90% and 95%	99,395 31,331	7.8 2.5	74,094 25,495	5.4 1.9			
Over 95%	52,033	4.1	35,653	2.6			
Over 80% LTV with no insurance	182,759	14.4	135,242	9.9			
Total loan portfolio from which above loans were identified	1,267,156		1,360,204				
	38						

Table of Contents

Revolving Home Equity Loans

The Company also has revolving home equity loans that are generally collateralized by residential real estate. Most of these loans (95.9%) are written with terms requiring interest only monthly payments. These loans are offered in three main product lines: LTV up to 80%, 80% to 90%, and 90% to 100%. The following tables break out the year end outstanding balances by product for 2009 and 2008.

	Principal Outstanding at December 31		New Lines Originated During		Unused Portion of Available Lines at December 31		Balances Over 30 Days	
(Dollars in thousands)	2009	*	2009	*	2009	*	Past Due	*
Loans with interest only payments	\$ 469,460	95.9%	\$ 30,832	6.3%	\$ 647,669	132.3%	\$ 2,102	.4%
Loans with LTV: Between 80% and 90% Over 90%	63,369 23,369	12.9 4.8	3,181 104	.7	44,261 16,751	9.0 3.5	547 504	.1 .1
Over 80% LTV	86,738	17.7	3,285	.7	61,012	12.5	1,051	.2
Total loan portfolio from which above loans were identified	489,517		32,485		658,845			

^{*} Percentage of total principal outstanding of \$489.5 million at December 31, 2009.

		Unused	
Principal		Portion	
		of	
Outstanding		Available	
at	New Lines	Lines	Balances
	Originated	at	
December 31	During	December 31	Over 30

Edgar Filing: PURE CYCLE CORP - Form DEF 14A

(Dollars in thousands)	2008	*	2008	*	2008	*	Days Past Due	*
Loans with interest only payments	\$ 476,354	94.5%	\$ 172,868	34.3%	\$ 675,819	134.1%	\$ 1,217	.2%
Loans with LTV: Between 80% and 90% Over 90%	66,009 28,292	13.1 5.6	19,578 3,815	3.9 .7	49,781 20,025	9.9 3.9	428 206	.1
Over 80% LTV	94,301	18.7	23,393	4.6	69,806	13.8	634	.1
Total loan portfolio from which above loans were identified	504,069		174,903		690,751			

^{*} Percentage of total principal outstanding of \$504.1 million at December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

Fixed Rate Home Equity Loans

In addition to the residential real estate mortgage loans and the revolving floating rate line product discussed above, the Company offers a third choice to those consumers looking for a fixed rate loan and a fixed maturity date. This fixed rate home equity loan, typically for home repair or remodeling, is an alternative for individuals who want to finance a specific project or purchase, and decide to lock in a specific monthly payment over a defined period. This portfolio of loans totaled \$132.6 million and \$151.4 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. At times, these loans are written with interest only monthly payments and a balloon payoff at maturity; however, less than 4% of the outstanding balance has interest only payments. During 2009, the Company continued limiting the offering of products with LTV ratios over 90%, which resulted in a \$3.5 million decrease in new loans with LTV ratios over 90% in 2009 compared to 2008. The delinquency history on this product has been low, as balances over 30 days past due totaled only \$1.7 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, or 1.3% and .9%, respectively, of the portfolio, at year end 2009 and 2008.

2009					2008				
(Dollars in thousands)	Principal Outstanding at December 31	*	New Loans Originated	*	Principal Outstanding at December 31	*	New Loans Originated	*	
Loans with interest only payments	\$ 4,731	3.6%	\$ 2,355	1.8%	\$ 5,725	3.8%	\$ 5,136	3.4%	
Loans with LTV: Between 80% and 90% Over 90%	19,526 25,398	14.7 19.1	7,682 924	5.8 .7	18,996 34,772	12.5 23.0	10,960 4,431	7.2 3.0	
Over 80% LTV	44,924	33.8	8,606	6.5	53,768	35.5	15,391	10.2	
Total loan portfolio from which above loans were identified	132,747				151,361				

^{*} Percentage of total principal outstanding of \$132.7 million and \$151.4 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Management does not believe these loans collateralized by real estate (personal real estate, revolving home equity, and fixed rate home equity) represent any unusual concentrations of risk, as evidenced by net charge-offs in 2009 of \$2.8 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. The amount of any increased potential loss on high LTV agreements relates mainly to amounts advanced that are in excess of the 80% collateral calculation, not the entire approved line. The Company currently offers no subprime loan products, which is defined as those offerings made to customers with a FICO score below 650, and has purchased no brokered loans.

Other Consumer Loans

Within the consumer loan portfolio of several product lines, the Company experienced rapid growth in marine and RV loans outstanding from 2005 through 2007. The majority of these loans were outside the Company s basic five state branch network. The loss ratios experienced in this portion of the portfolio recently were higher than for other consumer loan products, as reflected in the delinquency figures in the table below. Due to the continued weakening credit and economic conditions, this loan product offering was curtailed in mid 2008, as less than \$10 million in new loans were written over the last three months of 2008, and only \$3.8 million new marine and RV loans written the entire year of 2009. The table below provides the total outstanding principal and other data for this group of direct and indirect lending products at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

40

Table of Contents

		Principal utstanding at		2009 New Loans	(alances		Principal outstanding at	N	2008 ew Loans	C	alances Over 30
(Dollars in thousands)	De	ecember 31	O	riginated	Da	ays Past Due	D	ecember 31	О	riginated	Da	ays Past Due
Passenger vehicles Marine RV Other	\$	371,009 182,866 466,757 42,726	\$	130,839 1,537 2,214 25,345	\$	5,281 5,617 10,793 740	\$	469,100 230,715 566,429 59,322	\$	256,274 43,458 150,792 41,860	\$	8,876 8,174 10,265 1,326
Total	\$	1,063,358	\$	159,935	\$	22,431	\$	1,325,566	\$	492,384	\$	28,641

Additionally, the Company offers low introductory rates on selected consumer credit card products. Out of a portfolio at December 31, 2009 of \$799.5 million in consumer credit card loans outstanding, approximately \$152.0 million, or 19.0%, carried a low introductory rate. These loans are scheduled to convert to the ongoing higher contractual rate on a weighted average of approximately 7 months. To mitigate some of the risk involved with this credit card product, the Company performs credit checks and detailed analysis of the customer borrowing profile before approving the loan application.

Investment Securities Analysis

Investment securities are comprised of securities which are available for sale, non-marketable, and held for trading. During 2009, total investment securities increased \$2.5 billion, or 65.9%, to \$6.4 billion (excluding unrealized gains/losses) compared to \$3.8 billion at the previous year end. During 2009, securities of \$4.1 billion were purchased, which included \$1.1 billion in agency mortgage-backed securities, \$1.6 billion in other asset-backed securities, \$538.5 million in U.S. Treasury inflation-protected securities (TIPS), and \$339.7 million in state and municipal obligations. Total maturities and paydowns were \$1.3 billion during 2009. Sales proceeds were \$207.9 million, of which \$121.8 million related to TIPS sales. During 2010, maturities of approximately \$1.5 billion are expected to occur. The average tax equivalent yield earned on total investment securities was 4.54% in 2009 and 5.09% in 2008.

At December 31, 2009, the fair value of available for sale securities was \$6.3 billion, including a net unrealized gain in fair value of \$103.6 million, compared to a net loss of \$58.7 million at December 31, 2008. The overall unrealized gain in fair value at December 31, 2009 included gains of \$56.8 million in agency mortgage-backed securities, \$22.1 million in state and municipal obligations, and \$28.6 million in marketable equity securities held by the Parent, partly offset by an unrealized loss of \$45.7 million in non-agency mortgage-backed securities.

Table of Contents

Available for sale investment securities at year end for the past two years are shown below:

	December 31							
(In thousands)		2009		2008				
Amortized Cost								
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	\$	436,607	\$	10,478				
Government-sponsored enterprise obligations		162,191		135,825				
State and municipal obligations		917,267		715,421				
Agency mortgage-backed securities		2,205,177		1,685,821				
Non-agency mortgage-backed securities		654,711		742,090				
Other asset-backed securities		1,685,691		275,641				
Other debt securities		164,402		116,527				
Equity securities		11,285		7,680				
Total available for sale investment securities	\$	6,237,331	\$	3,689,483				
Total available for sale investment securities	Ф	0,237,331	Ф	3,069,463				
Fair Value								
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	\$	447,038	\$	11,594				
Government-sponsored enterprise obligations		165,814		141,957				
State and municipal obligations		939,338		719,752				
Agency mortgage-backed securities		2,262,003		1,711,404				
Non-agency mortgage-backed securities		609,016		620,479				
Other asset-backed securities		1,701,569		253,756				
Other debt securities		176,331		121,861				
Equity securities		39,866		49,950				
Total available for sale investment securities	\$	6,340,975	\$	3,630,753				

The largest component of the available for sale portfolio consists of agency mortgage-backed securities, which are collateralized bonds issued by government-sponsored agencies, including FNMA, GNMA, FHLMC, FHLB, and Federal Farm Credit Banks. Non-agency mortgage-backed securities totaled \$654.7 million, on an amortized cost basis, at December 31, 2009, and included Alt-A type mortgage-backed securities of \$221.5 million and prime/jumbo loan type securities of \$433.1 million. Nearly all of these securities had credit ratings of AAA (or the equivalent) from at least two rating agencies at their purchase date. The portfolio does not have exposure to subprime originated mortgage-backed or collateralized debt obligation instruments.

At December 31, 2009, U.S. government obligations included \$435.0 million in TIPS, and state and municipal obligations included \$167.8 million in auction rate securities. Other debt securities include corporate bonds, notes and commercial paper. Available for sale equity securities are mainly comprised of publicly traded stock held by the Parent.

A summary of maturities by category of investment securities and the weighted average yield for each range of maturities as of December 31, 2009, is presented in Note 4 on Investment Securities in the consolidated financial statements. The table below provides additional information for each category of debt securities.

December 31, 2009

	Percent of Total Debt	Weighted Average	Estimated Average
	Securities	Yield	Maturity*
Available for sale debt securities:			
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	7.1%	1.07%	4.4years
Government-sponsored enterprise obligations	2.6	2.62	1.6
State and municipal obligations	14.9	3.23	9.4
Agency mortgage-backed securities	35.9	4.17	2.7
Non-agency mortgage-backed securities	9.7	6.21	3.0
Other asset-backed securities	27.0	2.51	1.2
Other debt securities	2.8	4.69	2.9

^{*} Based on call provisions and estimated prepayment speeds.

Table of Contents

Non-marketable securities, which totaled \$122.1 million at December 31, 2009, included \$30.3 million in Federal Reserve Bank stock and \$42.3 million in Federal Home Loan Bank (Des Moines) stock held by the bank subsidiary in accordance with debt and regulatory requirements. These are restricted securities which, lacking a market, are carried at cost. Other non-marketable securities also include private equity securities which are carried at estimated fair value.

The Company engages in private equity activities through direct private equity investments and through three private equity/venture capital subsidiaries. The subsidiaries hold investments in various portfolio concerns, which are carried at fair value and totaled \$44.8 million at December 31, 2009. The Company expects to fund an additional \$31.9 million to these subsidiaries for investment purposes over the next several years. In addition to investments held by its private equity/venture capital subsidiaries, the Parent directly holds investments in several private equity concerns, which totaled \$3.9 million at year end 2009. Most of the private equity investments are not readily marketable. While the nature of these investments carries a higher degree of risk than the normal lending portfolio, this risk is mitigated by the overall size of the investments and oversight provided by management, which believes the potential for long-term gains in these investments outweighs the potential risks.

Non-marketable securities at year end for the past two years are shown below:

(In thousands)	Dece 2009	mber 31 2008
Debt securities Equity securities	\$ 19,908 102,170	\$ 22,297 117,603
Total non-marketable investment securities	\$ 122,078	\$ 139,900

Deposits and Borrowings

Deposits are the primary funding source for the Bank, and are acquired from a broad base of local markets, including both individual and corporate customers. Total deposits were \$14.2 billion at December 31, 2009, compared to \$12.9 billion last year, reflecting an increase of \$1.3 billion, or 10.2%. Average deposits grew by \$1.6 billion, or 12.8%, in 2009 compared to 2008 with most of this growth centered in non-interest bearing demand deposits, which grew \$250.0 million, or 37.3%, in 2009 compared to 2008. Certificates of deposit with balances under \$100,000 fell on average by \$93.2 million, or 4.3%, while certificates of deposit over \$100,000 grew \$229.0 million, or 14.1%.

The following table shows year end deposits by type as a percentage of total deposits.

December 31 2009 2008

Non-interest bearing demand	12.6%	10.7%
Savings, interest checking and money market	64.8	59.0
Time open and C.D. s of less than \$100,000	12.7	16.0
Time open and C.D. s of \$100,000 and over	9.9	14.3
Total deposits	$\boldsymbol{100.0\%}$	100.0%

Core deposits, which include demand, interest checking, savings, and money market deposits, supported 59% of average earning assets in 2009 and 55% in 2008. Average balances by major deposit category for the last six years appear at the end of this discussion. A maturity schedule of time deposits outstanding at December 31, 2009 is included in Note 7 on Deposits in the consolidated financial statements.

The Company s primary sources of overnight borrowings are federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements). Balances in these accounts can fluctuate significantly on a day-to-day basis, and generally have one day maturities. The Company has also entered into structured repurchase agreements totaling \$500.0 million which mature in mid 2010. Total balances outstanding at year end 2009 were \$1.1 billion, a \$76.7 million increase from \$1.0 billion outstanding at

43

Table of Contents

year end 2008. On an average basis, these borrowings declined \$405.0 million, or 29.5% during 2009, with declines of \$251.1 million in federal funds purchased and \$153.8 million in repurchase agreements. The average rate paid on total federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements was .38% during 2009 and 1.83% during 2008.

Additional short-term borrowings may be periodically acquired under the Federal Reserve s temporary Term Auction Facility (TAF) program, which was instituted in December 2007. The TAF is a credit facility under which banking institutions may bid for term borrowings in bi-weekly auctions. The TAF credit is collateralized similarly to discount window borrowings, generally with investment securities and loans. The amount borrowed under this program totaled \$700.0 million at December 31, 2008. Borrowing activity under the program declined during 2009, and there were no outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2009.

Most of the Company s long-term debt is comprised of fixed rate advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). These borrowings declined from \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2008 to \$724.4 million outstanding at December 31, 2009. Approximately 59% of the outstanding balance is due within the next year. The average rate paid on FHLB advances was 3.68% during 2009 and 3.81% during 2008.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity Management

Liquidity is managed within the Company in order to satisfy cash flow requirements of deposit and borrowing customers while at the same time meeting its own cash flow needs. The Company maintains its liquidity position through a variety of sources including:

A portfolio of liquid assets including marketable investment securities and overnight investments,

A large customer deposit base and limited exposure to large, volatile certificates of deposit,

Lower long-term borrowings that might place demands on Company cash flow,

Relatively low loan to deposit ratio promoting strong liquidity,

Excellent debt ratings from both Standard & Poor s and Moody s national rating services, and

Available borrowing capacity from outside sources.

During 2008, liquidity risk became a concern affecting the general banking industry, as some of the major banking institutions across the country experienced an unprecedented erosion in capital. This erosion was fueled by declines in asset values, losses in market and investor confidence, and higher defaults, resulting in higher costing and less available credit. The Company, as discussed below, took numerous steps to address liquidity risk and over the past few years has developed a variety of liquidity sources which it believes will provide the necessary funds to grow its business into the future. During 2009, overall liquidity improved significantly throughout the banking industry and in the Company by a combination of growth in deposits and a decline in loans outstanding. The Company s average loans to deposits ratio, one measure of liquidity, decreased from 92.1% in 2008 to 79.8% in 2009.

The Company did not apply for funds through the Federal Treasury s Capital Purchase Program. This program is part of the federal government s Troubled Asset Relief Program approved by Congress in October 2008 to build capital in U.S. financial institutions and increase the flow of financing to business and consumers. Under this program, the Company, if approved, would have been eligible to issue senior preferred stock to the Treasury, ranging from

approximately \$140 million to \$400 million, in addition to warrants to purchase common stock. The program was carefully studied and the Company made a business decision not to apply. Management believes that the Company s earnings, capital and liquidity are strong and sufficient to grow its business.

44

Table of Contents

The Company s most liquid assets include available for sale marketable investment securities, federal funds sold, balances at the Federal Reserve Bank (FRB), and securities purchased under agreements to resell (resale agreements). At December 31, 2009 and 2008, such assets were as follows:

(In thousands)	2009	2008
Available for sale investment securities Federal funds sold Resale agreements Balances at the Federal Reserve Bank	\$ 6,340,975 22,590 24,118	\$ 3,630,753 59,475 110,000 638,158
Total	\$ 6,387,683	\$ 4,438,386

Federal funds sold and resale agreements normally have overnight maturities and are used to satisfy the daily cash needs of the Company. Effective October 1, 2008, required and excess cash balances maintained at the FRB began earning interest. These balances are also used for general daily liquidity purposes. The interest rate on these balances during 2009 was 25 basis points. The Company s available for sale investment portfolio has maturities of approximately \$1.5 billion which are scheduled to occur during 2010 and offers substantial resources to meet either new loan demand or reductions in the Company s deposit funding base. The Company pledges portions of its investment securities portfolio to secure public fund deposits, repurchase agreements, trust funds, letters of credit issued by the FHLB, and borrowing capacity at the FRB. At December 31, 2009, total investment securities pledged for these purposes were as follows:

(In thousands)	2009
Investment securities pledged for the purpose of securing: Federal Reserve Bank borrowings FHLB borrowings and letters of credit Repurchase agreements Other deposits	\$ 1,180,924 395,925 1,541,936 1,013,422
Total pledged, at fair value	\$ 4,132,207
Total unpledged and available for pledging, at fair value	\$ 1,765,214

Liquidity is also available from the Company s large base of core customer deposits, defined as demand, interest checking, savings, and money market deposit accounts. At December 31, 2009, such deposits totaled \$11.0 billion and represented 77.4% of the Company s total deposits. These core deposits are normally less volatile, often with customer relationships tied to other products offered by the Company promoting long lasting relationships and stable funding sources. During 2009, total core deposits increased \$2.0 billion, mainly in non-interest bearing demand and money market accounts. This increase was comprised of growth in consumer deposits of \$1.6 million and corporate and non-personal deposits of \$390.4 million. Some of the growth in corporate deposits was the result of both extremely low interest rates and FDIC insurance programs, which effectively guaranteed all such deposits. While the Company considers core consumer deposits less volatile, corporate deposits could decline if interest rates increase significantly or if corporate customers move funds from the Company. In order to address funding needs, should these corporate deposits decline, the Company maintains adequate levels of earning assets maturing in 2010. Time open and certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or greater totaled \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2009. These deposits are normally considered more volatile and higher costing, and comprised 9.9% of total deposits at December 31, 2009.

(In thousands)	2009			2008	
Core deposit base:					
Non-interest bearing demand	\$	1,793,816	\$	1,375,000	
Interest checking		735,870		700,714	
Savings and money market		8,467,046		6,909,592	
Total	\$	10,996,732	\$	8,985,306	

45

Table of Contents

Other important components of liquidity are the level of borrowings from third party sources and the availability of future credit. The Company s outside borrowings are mainly comprised of federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements, and advances from the FRB and the FHLB, as follows:

(In thousands)	2009	2008		
Borrowings: Federal funds purchased Repurchase agreements FHLB advances Subordinated debentures Term auction facility Other long-term debt	\$ 62,130 1,041,061 724,386 4,000	\$	24,900 1,001,637 1,025,721 14,310 700,000 7,750	
Total	\$ 1,839,253	\$	2,774,318	

Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements are generally borrowed overnight and amounted to \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2009. Federal funds purchased are unsecured overnight borrowings obtained mainly from upstream correspondent banks with which the Company maintains approved lines of credit. Repurchase agreements are secured by a portion of the Company s investment portfolio and are comprised of both non-insured customer funds, totaling \$541.1 million at December 31, 2009, and structured repurchase agreements of \$500.0 million purchased from an upstream financial institution. Customer repurchase agreements are offered to customers wishing to earn interest in highly liquid balances and are used by the Company as a funding source considered to be stable, but short-term in nature. Beginning in mid 2008, the Company began to periodically borrow additional short-term funds from the FRB through its Term Auction Facility (TAF). The TAF offered attractive funding with low rates and made possible the reduction in federal funds purchased during 2008. The Company curtailed these borrowings during 2009 as rising deposit balances provided other sources of liquidity, and at December 31, 2009 the Company had no TAF borrowings outstanding. The Company also borrows on a secured basis through advances from the FHLB, which totaled \$724.4 million at December 31, 2009. Most of these advances have fixed interest rates and mature in 2010 through 2011. The Company s other borrowings are comprised of debentures funded by trust preferred securities and debt related to the Company s private equity business. The overall long-term debt position of the Company is small relative to the Company s overall liability position.

The Company pledges certain assets, including loans and investment securities, to both the Federal Reserve Bank and the FHLB as security to establish lines of credit and borrow from these entities. Based on the amount and type of collateral pledged, the FHLB establishes a collateral value from which the Company may draw advances against the collateral. Also, this collateral is used to enable the FHLB to issue letters of credit in favor of public fund depositors of the Company. The Federal Reserve Bank also establishes a collateral value of assets pledged and permits borrowings from either the discount window or the Term Auction Facility. The following table reflects the collateral value of assets pledged, borrowings, and letters of credit outstanding, in addition to the estimated future funding capacity available to the Company at December 31, 2009:

December 31, 2009

(In thousands)	FHLB	Federal Reserv	
Total collateral value pledged Advances outstanding Letters of credit issued	\$ 2,150,085 (724,386) (533,309)	\$	1,980,798
Available for future advances	\$ 892,390	\$	1,980,798

46

Table of Contents

The Company had an average loans to deposits ratio of 79.8% at December 31, 2009, which is considered in the banking industry to be a conservative measure of good liquidity. Also, the Company receives outside ratings from both Standard & Poor s and Moody s on both the consolidated company and its subsidiary bank, Commerce Bank, N.A. These ratings are as follows:

	Standard & Poor s	Moody s
Commerce Bancshares, Inc.		
Counterparty rating	A-1	
Commercial paper rating	A-1	P-1
Commerce Bank, N. A.		
Issuer rating	A+	Aa2
Bank deposits	A+	Aa2
Bank financial strength rating		B+

The Company considers these ratings to be indications of a sound capital base and good liquidity, and believes that these ratings would help ensure the ready marketability of its commercial paper, should the need arise. No commercial paper has been outstanding over the past ten years. The Company has little subordinated debt or hybrid instruments which would affect future borrowings capacity. Because of its lack of significant long-term debt, the Company believes that, through its Capital Markets Group or in other public debt markets, it could generate additional liquidity from sources such as jumbo certificates of deposit, privately-placed corporate notes or other forms of debt. Future financing could also include the issuance of common or preferred stock.

The cash flows from the operating, investing and financing activities of the Company resulted in a net decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$835.5 million in 2009, as reported in the consolidated statements of cash flows on page 67 of this report. Operating activities, consisting mainly of net income adjusted for certain non-cash items, provided cash flow of \$295.3 million and has historically been a stable source of funds. Investing activities used total cash of \$1.6 billion in 2009, and consist mainly of purchases and maturities of available for sale investment securities and changes in the level of the Company s loan portfolio. The investment securities portfolio grew during 2009, using cash of \$2.5 billion, while the loan portfolio decreased, providing cash of \$999.1 million. Investing activities are somewhat unique to financial institutions in that, while large sums of cash flow are normally used to fund growth in investment securities, loans, or other bank assets, they are normally dependent on the financing activities described below.

Financing activities provided total cash of \$441.5 million, resulting from a \$1.3 billion increase in deposits, partly offset by net debt repayments of \$1.1 billion. The stock sale program (described below) provided cash of \$98.2 million, while cash dividend payments totaled \$74.7 million. Future short-term liquidity needs for daily operations are not expected to vary significantly and the Company maintains adequate liquidity to meet these cash flows. The Company s sound equity base, along with its low debt level, common and preferred stock availability, and excellent debt ratings, provide several alternatives for future financing. Future acquisitions may utilize partial funding through one or more of these options.

Cash flows resulting from the Company s transactions in its common stock were as follows:

(In millions)	2009		2008	2007
Stock sale program Exercise of stock-based awards and sales to affiliate non-employee directors Purchases of treasury stock Cash dividends paid	\$	98.2 5.5 (.5) (74.7)	\$ 16.0 (9.5) (72.1)	\$ 13.7 (128.6) (68.9)
Cash provided (used)	\$	28.5	\$ (65.6)	\$ (183.8)

47

Table of Contents

The Parent faces unique liquidity constraints due to legal limitations on its ability to borrow funds from its bank subsidiary. The Parent obtains funding to meet its obligations from two main sources: dividends received from bank and non-bank subsidiaries (within regulatory limitations) and from management fees charged to subsidiaries as reimbursement for services provided by the Parent, as presented below:

(In millions)	2009	2008	2007
Dividends received from subsidiaries Management fees	\$ 45.1 46.6	\$ 76.2 44.0	\$ 179.5 39.1
Total	\$ 91.7	\$ 120.2	\$ 218.6

These sources of funds are used mainly to pay cash dividends on outstanding common stock, pay general operating expenses, and purchase treasury stock when appropriate. At December 31, 2009, the Parent savailable for sale investment securities totaled \$115.2 million at fair value, consisting mainly of publicly traded common stock and non-agency backed collateralized mortgage obligations. To support its various funding commitments, the Parent maintains a \$20.0 million line of credit with its subsidiary bank. The Parent had no borrowings outstanding under the line at December 31, 2009.

Company senior management is responsible for measuring and monitoring the liquidity profile of the organization with oversight by the Company s Asset/Liability Committee. This is done through a series of controls, including a written Contingency Funding Policy and risk monitoring procedures, including daily, weekly and monthly reporting. In addition, the Company prepares forecasts which project changes in the balance sheet affecting liquidity, and which allow the Company to better plan for forecasted changes.

Capital Management

The Company maintains strong regulatory capital ratios, including those of its banking subsidiary, in excess of the well-capitalized guidelines under federal banking regulations. The Company s capital ratios at the end of the last three years are as follows:

	2009	2008	2007	Well-Capitalized Regulatory Guidelines		
Risk-based capital ratios:						
Tier I capital	13.04%	10.92%	10.31%	6.00%		
Total capital	14.39	12.31	11.49	10.00		
Leverage ratio	9.58	9.06	8.76	5.00		
Tangible equity to assets	9.71	8.25	8.61			

Dividend payout ratio **44.15** 38.54 33.76

The components of the Company s regulatory risked-based capital and risk-weighted assets at the end of the last three years are as follows:

(In thousands)	2009	2008	2007
Regulatory risk-based capital:			
Tier I capital	\$ 1,708,901	\$ 1,510,959	\$ 1,375,035
Tier II capital	177,077	191,957	157,154
Total capital	1,885,978	1,702,916	1,532,189
Total risk-weighted assets	13,105,948	13,834,161	13,330,968

In February 2008, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to purchase additional shares of common stock under its repurchase program, which brought the total purchase authorization to 3,000,000 shares. During 2009, approximately 16,000 shares were acquired under the current Board authorization at an average price of \$33.50 per share.

48

Table of Contents

The Company s common stock dividend policy reflects its earnings outlook, desired payout ratios, the need to maintain adequate capital levels and alternative investment options. Per share cash dividends paid by the Company increased .8% in 2009 compared with 2008. The Company paid its sixteenth consecutive annual stock dividend in December 2009.

Common Equity Offering

On February 27, 2009, the Company entered into an equity distribution agreement with a broker dealer, acting as the Company s sales agent, relating to the offering of the Company s common stock having aggregate gross sales proceeds of up to \$200 million. This offering was described in a prospectus supplement, including the associated base prospectus, which the Company filed with the SEC on February 27, 2009.

Sales of these shares were made by means of brokers transactions on or through the Nasdaq Global Select Market, trading facilities of national securities associations or alternative trading systems, block transactions and such other transactions as agreed upon by the Company and the sales agent, at market prices prevailing at the time of the sale or at prices related to the prevailing market prices. The Company and the sales agent determined jointly, as often as daily, how many shares to sell under this offering. On July 31, 2009, the Company terminated the offering.

Total shares sold under the offering amounted to 2,894,773. Total gross proceeds for the entire offering were \$100.0 million, with an average sale price of \$34.55 per share, and total commissions paid to the sales agent for the sale of these shares were \$1.5 million. After payment of commissions and SEC, legal and accounting fees relating to the offering, net proceeds for the entire offering totaled \$98.2 million, with average net sale proceeds of \$33.91 per share.

Commitments, Contractual Obligations, and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Various commitments and contingent liabilities arise in the normal course of business, which are not required to be recorded on the balance sheet. The most significant of these are loan commitments, totaling \$7.0 billion (including approximately \$3.3 billion in unused approved credit card lines), and the contractual amount of standby letters of credit, totaling \$404.1 million at December 31, 2009. Since many commitments expire unused or only partially used, these totals do not necessarily reflect future cash requirements. Management does not anticipate any material losses arising from commitments and contingent liabilities and believes there are no material commitments to extend credit that represent risks of an unusual nature.

A table summarizing contractual cash obligations of the Company at December 31, 2009 and the expected timing of these payments follows:

Payments Due by Period

(In thousands)	One Year or Less	After One Year Through Three Years		After Three Years Through Five Years		After Five Years		Total
Long-term debt obligations, including structured repurchase	\$ 927,597	\$	125,386	\$	52,703	\$	130,376	\$ 1,236,062

Edgar Filing: PURE CYCLE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Total	\$ 3,574,334	\$ 726,620	\$ 150,246	\$ 156,238	\$ 4,607,438
Time open and C.D. s*	2,604,292	534,819	74,122	486	3,213,719
Time and CD at	2 604 202	524 910	74 122	106	2 212 710
Purchase obligations	36,640	58,189	17,210	4,149	116,188
Operating lease obligations	5,805	8,226	6,211	21,227	41,469
agreements*					

^{*} Includes principal payments only.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company has unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate in future periods. Due to the uncertainty of the amounts to be ultimately paid as well as the timing of such payments, all uncertain tax liabilities that have not been paid have been excluded from the table above. Further detail on the impact of income taxes is located in Note 9 of the consolidated financial statements.

49

Table of Contents

The Company funds a defined benefit pension plan for a majority of its employees. Under the funding policy for the plan, contributions are made as necessary to provide for current service and for any unfunded accrued actuarial liabilities over a reasonable period. During recent years, the Company has not been required to make cash contributions to the plan and does not expect to do so in 2010.

The Company has investments in several low-income housing partnerships within the area it serves. At December 31, 2009, these investments totaled \$4.7 million and were recorded as other assets in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. These partnerships supply funds for the construction and operation of apartment complexes that provide affordable housing to that segment of the population with lower family income. If these developments successfully attract a specified percentage of residents falling in that lower income range, state and/or federal income tax credits are made available to the partners. The tax credits are normally recognized over ten years, and they play an important part in the anticipated yield from these investments. In order to continue receiving the tax credits each year over the life of the partnership, the low-income residency targets must be maintained. Under the terms of the partnership agreements, the Company has a commitment to fund a specified amount that will be due in installments over the life of the agreements, which ranges from 10 to 15 years. These unfunded commitments are recorded as liabilities on the Company s consolidated balance sheet, and aggregated \$3.7 million at December 31, 2009.

The Company regularly purchases various state tax credits arising from third-party property redevelopment. While most of the tax credits are resold to third parties, some are periodically retained for use by the Company. During 2009, purchases and sales of tax credits amounted to \$51.4 million and \$42.5 million, respectively. At December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding purchase commitments totaling \$114.7 million.

The Parent has investments in several private equity concerns which are classified as non-marketable securities in the Company s consolidated balance sheet. Under the terms of the agreements with three of these concerns, the Parent has unfunded commitments outstanding of \$1.4 million at December 31, 2009. The Parent also expects to fund \$31.9 million to venture capital subsidiaries over the next several years.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

The Company s Asset/Liability Management Committee (ALCO) measures and manages the Company s interest rate risk on a monthly basis to identify trends and establish strategies to maintain stability in earnings throughout various rate environments. Analytical modeling techniques provide management insight into the Company s exposure to changing rates. These techniques include net interest income simulations and market value analyses. Management has set guidelines specifying acceptable limits within which net interest income and market value may change under various rate change scenarios. These measurement tools indicate that the Company is currently within acceptable risk guidelines as set by management.

The Company s main interest rate measurement tool, income simulations, projects net interest income under various rate change scenarios in order to quantify the magnitude and timing of potential rate-related changes. Income simulations are able to capture option risks within the balance sheet where expected cash flows may be altered under various rate environments. Modeled rate movements include—shocks, ramps and twists—. Shocks are intended to capture interest rate risk under extreme conditions by immediately shifting rates up and down, while ramps measure the impact of gradual changes and twists measure yield curve risk. The size of the balance sheet is assumed to remain constant so that results are not influenced by growth predictions. The table below shows the expected effect that gradual basis point shifts in the LIBOR/swap curve over a twelve month period would have on the Company—s net interest income, given a static balance sheet.

Edgar Filing: PURE CYCLE CORP - Form DEF 14A

	Decembe	er 31, 2009 % of Net	September 30, 2009 % of Net			December 31, 2008 % of Net		
(Dollars in millions)	 crease crease)	Interest Income		crease crease)	Interest Income		crease crease)	Interest Income
300 basis points rising 200 basis points rising 100 basis points rising	\$ 21.6 17.3 10.6	3.22% 2.57 1.58	\$	26.3 21.4 12.1	3.97% 3.23 1.83	\$	37.3 30.6 18.1	6.38% 5.23 3.10

50

Table of Contents

The Company also employs a sophisticated simulation technique known as a stochastic income simulation. This technique allows management to see a range of results from hundreds of income simulations. The stochastic simulation creates a vector of potential rate paths around the market s best guess (forward rates) concerning the future path of interest rates and allows rates to randomly follow paths throughout the vector. This allows for the modeling of non-biased rate forecasts around the market consensus. Results give management insight into a likely range of rate-related risk as well as worst and best-case rate scenarios.

The Company also uses market value analyses to help identify longer-term risks that may reside on the balance sheet. This is considered a secondary risk measurement tool by management. The Company measures the market value of equity as the net present value of all asset and liability cash flows discounted along the current LIBOR/swap curve plus appropriate market risk spreads. It is the change in the market value of equity under different rate environments, or effective duration that gives insight into the magnitude of risk to future earnings due to rate changes. Market value analyses also help management understand the price sensitivity of non-marketable bank products under different rate environments.

The Company s modeling of interest rate risk as of December 31, 2009 shows that under various rising rate scenarios, net interest income would show growth. The Company has not modeled falling rate scenarios due the extremely low interest rate environment. At December 31, 2009, the Company calculated that a gradual increase in rates of 100 basis points would increase net interest income by \$10.6 million, or 1.6%, compared with an increase of \$18.1 million projected at December 31, 2008. A 200 basis point gradual rise in rates calculated at December 31, 2009 would increase net interest income by \$17.3 million, or 2.6%, down from an increase of \$30.6 million last year. Also, a gradual increase of 300 basis points would increase net interest income by \$21.6 million, or 3.2%, compared to a growth of \$37.3 million at December 31, 2008.

Using rising rate models, the potential increase in net interest income is lower at December 31, 2009 when compared to the prior year due to several factors. These factors include a decline of \$255.8 million in average loan balances in 2009 compared to the previous year, which are mainly variable rate assets, and average growth of \$1.7 billion in available for sale securities, most of which have fixed rates. In addition to the change in earning assets, average interest bearing deposits grew during 2009 by \$1.3 billion, mainly in money market deposit accounts, which have lower rates and can re-price upwards more slowly.

Thus, under rising rate scenarios, the Company benefits from the repricing of its loan portfolio, the majority of which is variable rate. However, higher levels of fixed rate securities will partly offset the effect of the loan portfolio on interest income. Additionally, deposit balances have a smaller impact on net interest income when rates are rising, due to lower overall rates and fewer accounts that carry variable rates moving in sequence with market rates.

Through review and oversight by the ALCO, the Company attempts to engage in strategies that neutralize interest rate risk as much as possible. The Company s balance sheet remains well-diversified with moderate interest rate risk and is well-positioned for future growth. The use of derivative products is limited and the deposit base is strong and stable. The loan to deposit ratio is still at relatively low levels, which should present the Company with opportunities to fund future loan growth at reasonable costs. The Company believes that its approach to interest rate risk has appropriately considered its susceptibility to both rising and falling rates and has adopted strategies which minimize impacts of interest rate risk.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company maintains an overall interest rate risk management strategy that permits the use of derivative instruments to modify exposure to interest rate risk. The Company s interest rate risk management strategy includes the ability to modify the re-pricing characteristics of certain assets and liabilities so that changes in interest rates do not

adversely affect the net interest margin and cash flows. Interest rate swaps are used on a limited basis as part of this strategy. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had entered into three interest rate swaps with a notional amount of \$16.9 million which are designated as fair value hedges of certain fixed rate loans. The Company also sells swap contracts to customers who wish to modify their interest rate sensitivity. The Company offsets the interest rate risk of these swaps by purchasing

51

Table of Contents

matching contracts with offsetting pay/receive rates from other financial institutions. The notional amount of these types of swaps at December 31, 2009 was \$486.6 million.

The Company enters into foreign exchange derivative instruments as an accommodation to customers and offsets the related foreign exchange risk by entering into offsetting third-party forward contracts with approved, reputable counterparties. In addition, the Company takes proprietary positions in such contracts based on market expectations. Hedge accounting has not been applied to these foreign exchange activities. This trading activity is managed within a policy of specific controls and limits. Most of the foreign exchange contracts outstanding at December 31, 2009 mature within 90 days, and the longest period to maturity is 12 months.

Additionally, interest rate lock commitments issued on residential mortgage loans held for resale are considered derivative instruments. The interest rate exposure on these commitments is economically hedged primarily with forward sale contracts in the secondary market.

In all of these contracts, the Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties, who may be bank customers or other financial institutions. The Company controls the credit risk of its financial contracts through credit approvals, limits and monitoring procedures. Because the Company generally enters into transactions only with high quality counterparties, there have been no losses associated with counterparty nonperformance on derivative financial instruments.

The following table summarizes the notional amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's derivative instruments at December 31, 2009 and 2008. Notional amount, along with the other terms of the derivative, is used to determine the amounts to be exchanged between the counterparties. Because the notional amount does not represent amounts exchanged by the parties, it is not a measure of loss exposure related to the use of derivatives nor of exposure to liquidity risk. Positive fair values are recorded in other assets and negative fair values are recorded in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

(In thousands)	Notional Amount	2009 Positive Fair Value	Negative Fair Value	Notional Amount	2008 Positive Fair Value	Negative Fair Value
Interest rate swaps Interest rate caps	\$ 503,530 16,236	\$ 16,962 239	\$ (17,816) (239)	\$ 492,111	\$ 25,274	\$ (26,568)
Credit risk participation	10,200	_0,	(=0)			
agreements	53,246	140	(239)	47,750	117	(178)
Foreign exchange contracts:						
Forward contracts	17,475	415	(295)	6,226	207	(217)
Option contracts				3,300	18	(18)
Mortgage loan commitments	9,767	44	(16)	23,784	198	(6)
Mortgage loan forward sale						
contracts	19,986	184	(5)	26,996	21	(88)
Total at December 31	\$ 620,240	\$ 17,984	\$ (18,610)	\$ 600,167	\$ 25,835	\$ (27,075)

Operating Segments

The Company segregates financial information for use in assessing its performance and allocating resources among three operating segments. The results are determined based on the Company's management accounting process, which assigns balance sheet and income statement items to each responsible segment. These segments are defined by customer base and product type. The management process measures the performance of the operating segments based on the management structure of the Company and is not necessarily comparable with similar information for any other financial institution. Each segment is managed by executives who, in conjunction with the Chief Executive Officer, make strategic business decisions regarding that segment. The three reportable operating segments are Consumer, Commercial and Wealth (formerly titled Money Management). Additional information is presented in Note 13 on Segments in the consolidated financial statements.

52

Table of Contents

The Company uses a funds transfer pricing method to value funds used (e.g., loans, fixed assets, cash, etc.) and funds provided (deposits, borrowings, and equity) by the business segments and their components. This process assigns a specific value to each new source or use of funds with a maturity, based on current LIBOR interest rates, thus determining an interest spread at the time of the transaction. Non-maturity assets and liabilities are assigned to LIBOR based funding pools. This method helps to provide an accurate means of valuing fund sources and uses in a varying interest rate environment. The Company also assigns loan charge-offs and recoveries (labeled in the table below as provision for loan losses) directly to each operating segment instead of allocating an estimated loan loss provision. The operating segments also include a number of allocations of income and expense from various support and overhead centers within the Company.

The table below is a summary of segment pre-tax income results for the past three years.

(Dollars in thousands)	Consumer	Commercial	Wealth	Segment Totals	Other/ Elimination	Consolidated Totals
Year ended December 31, 2009: Net interest income Provision for loan losses Non-interest income Investment securities losses, net Non-interest expense	\$ 348,362 (84,019) 162,374 (301,622)	\$ 258,886 (54,230) 115,697 (192,722)	\$ 42,074 (520) 114,838 (106,604)	\$ 649,322 (138,769) 392,909 (600,948)	\$ (13,820) (21,928) 3,676 (7,195) (21,115)	\$ 635,502 (160,697) 396,585 (7,195) (622,063)
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 125,095	\$ 127,631	\$ 49,788	\$ 302,514	\$ (60,382)	\$ 242,132
Year ended December 31, 2008: Net interest income Provision for loan losses Non-interest income Investment securities gains, net Non-interest expense	\$ 323,568 (56,639) 146,051 (285,466)	\$ 203,950 (13,526) 107,586 (180,930)	\$ 37,188 (265) 114,482 (131,982)	\$ 564,706 (70,430) 368,119 (598,378)	\$ 28,033 (38,470) 7,593 30,294 (17,002)	\$ 592,739 (108,900) 375,712 30,294 (615,380)
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 127,514	\$ 117,080	\$ 19,423	\$ 264,017	\$ 10,448	\$ 274,465

2009 vs. 2008

Increase (decrease) in income before income taxes:

Amount	\$ (2,419)	\$ 10,551	\$ 30,365	\$ 38,497	\$ (70,830)	\$ (32,333)
Percent	(1.9)%	9.0%	156.3%	14.6%	N.M.	(11.8)%
Year ended December 31, 2007: Net interest income Provision for loan	\$ 318,970	\$ 184,263	\$ 34,484	\$ 537,717	\$ 355	\$ 538,072
losses Non-interest income Investment securities gains, net	(34,737) 167,352	(8,376) 124,377	(154) 106,026	(43,267) 397,755	535 (26,174) 8,234	(42,732) 371,581 8,234
Non-interest expense	(275,161)	(180,389)	(90,280)	(545,830)	(28,329)	(574,159)
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 176,424	\$ 119,875	\$ 50,076	\$ 346,375	\$ (45,379)	\$ 300,996
2008 vs. 2007 Increase (decrease) in income before income taxes:						
Amount	\$ (48,910)	\$ (2,795)	\$ (30,653)	\$ (82,358)	\$ 55,827	\$ (26,531)
Percent	(27.7)%	(2.3)%	(61.2)%	(23.8)%	N.M.	(8.8)%

Consumer

The Consumer segment includes consumer deposits, consumer finance, consumer debit and credit card bank cards, and student lending. Pre-tax income for 2009 was \$125.1 million, a decrease of \$2.4 million, or 1.9%, from 2008. The decline in profitability was mainly due to an increase of \$27.4 million in net loan charge-offs and an increase of \$16.2 million in non-interest expense, which were partly offset by higher net interest income of \$24.8 million and \$16.3 million in non-interest income. The increase in net interest income resulted

53

Table of Contents

mainly from a \$53.0 million decrease in deposit interest expense, mainly in premium money market accounts and short-term certificates of deposit. This effect was partly offset by a decline of \$7.8 million in net allocated funding credits assigned to the Consumer segment s loan and deposit portfolios and a \$20.4 million decrease in loan interest income. The increase in net loan charge-offs occurred mainly in consumer credit card and marine and RV loans. An increase of \$16.3 million, or 11.2%, in non-interest income resulted mainly from higher gains on sales of student loans, including the reversal of an impairment reserve discussed above in the Non-Interest Income section of this discussion. This increase in income was partly offset by a decline in overdraft charges. Non-interest expense grew \$16.2 million, or 5.7%, over the prior year due to higher FDIC insurance expense and data processing costs, partly offset by lower bank card servicing expense. Total average loans increased slightly in 2009 over the prior year due to the student loan portfolio acquired late in 2008, partly offset by declines in other types of consumer loans. Average deposits increased 2.8% over the prior period, resulting mainly from growth in interest checking and premium money market deposit accounts, partly offset by a decline in certificates of deposit.

Pre-tax profitability for 2008 was \$127.5 million, a decrease of \$48.9 million, or 27.7%, from 2007. The decrease was due to increases of \$10.3 million in non-interest expense and \$21.9 million in net loan charge-offs. In addition, non-interest income declined \$21.3 million, while net interest income increased \$4.6 million. The increase in net interest income resulted mainly from an \$83.9 million decline in deposit interest expense, partly offset by a \$64.5 million decrease in net allocated funding credits assigned to the Consumer segment and a \$14.8 million decrease in loan interest income. The decrease in non-interest income resulted largely from lower overdraft and return item fees, an impairment charge taken on certain held for sale student loans, and lower gains on student loan sales. These declines were partly offset by an increase in bank card fee income (primarily debit card fees). Non-interest expense increased \$10.3 million over the previous year mainly due to higher bank card processing costs, telephone support fees and teller services processing costs. Net loan charge-offs increased \$21.9 million, or 63.1%, in the Consumer segment, with most of the increase due to higher consumer credit card and marine and RV loan charge-offs. Total average assets directly related to the segment rose 6.5% over 2007, mainly due to growth in consumer loans and consumer credit card loans. Average deposits decreased slightly from the prior year, mainly due to lower balances in long-term certificates of deposit, partly offset by growth in premium money market deposit accounts.

Commercial

The Commercial segment provides corporate lending (including the Small Business Banking product line within the branch network), leasing, international services, and business, government deposit, and related commercial cash management services, as well as merchant and commercial bank card products. In 2009, pre-tax profitability for the Commercial segment increased \$10.6 million, or 9.0%, compared to the prior year. The growth was mainly due to a \$54.9 million, or 26.9%, increase in net interest income and an \$8.1 million increase in non-interest income. Partly offsetting the increases in income were higher net loan charge-offs of \$40.7 million and non-interest expense of \$11.8 million. The increase in net interest income was mainly due to lower net allocated funding costs of \$129.6 million and a decrease of \$6.7 million in deposit interest expense, which were partly offset by a decline in loan interest income of \$81.3 million. The growth in net loan charge-offs included a \$27.9 million increase in construction and land loan net charge-offs and smaller increases in other commercial loan categories. Non-interest income increased \$8.1 million, or 7.5%, over the prior year and included higher commercial cash management fees and bank card fees (mainly corporate card), partly offset by lower cash sweep commissions. Non-interest expense increased \$11.8 million, or 6.5%, over the previous year, mainly due to higher FDIC insurance expense and an increase in salaries and benefits expense. Total average assets directly related to the segment declined 4.7% from 2008. Average segment loans decreased 4.9% compared to 2008 as a result of declines in business and business real estate loans, while average deposits increased 38.0% due to growth in non-interest bearing demand and money market deposit accounts.

Pre-tax income for 2008 decreased \$2.8 million, or 2.3%, compared to the prior year. Most of the decrease was due to a decline of \$16.8 million in non-interest income and an increase of \$5.2 million in net loan charge-offs. Net interest income increased \$19.7 million, or 10.7%, which resulted from lower net allocated funding costs of \$81.1 million and lower deposit interest expense of \$7.0 million, partly offset by a \$68.3 million

54

Table of Contents

decline in loan interest income. Non-interest income decreased by 13.5% from the previous year largely due to lower bank card fees, partly offset by higher commercial cash management fees. Non-interest expense increased slightly over the prior year and included higher salaries expense and impairment charges on foreclosed land, partly offset by lower commercial card servicing costs. Net loan charge-offs were \$13.5 million in 2008 compared to \$8.4 million in 2007. The increase was mainly due to higher construction and land loan net charge-offs. Total average assets directly related to the segment rose 7.0% over 2007, largely due to growth in business and business real estate loans. Average deposits increased 7.2% due to growth in non-interest bearing demand, money market and interest checking deposit accounts.

Wealth

The Wealth segment provides traditional trust and estate planning, advisory and discretionary investment management services, brokerage services, and includes Private Banking accounts. At December 31, 2009 the Trust group managed investments with a market value of \$12.8 billion and administered an additional \$9.3 billion in non-managed assets. It also provides investment management services to The Commerce Funds, a series of mutual funds with \$1.4 billion in total assets at December 31, 2009. The Capital Markets Group sells primarily fixed-income securities to individuals, corporations, correspondent banks, public institutions, and municipalities, and also provides investment safekeeping and bond accounting services. Pre-tax profitability for the Wealth segment was \$49.8 million in 2009 compared to \$19.4 million in 2008, an increase of \$30.4 million. The profitability increase was the result of a \$25.4 million decline in non-interest expense, which was due to a \$33.3 million loss on the purchase of auction rate securities in 2008, which is discussed above in the Non-Interest Expense section of this discussion. Partly offsetting this decline in expense were increases in FDIC insurance costs, allocated processing costs, and salaries and benefits expense. Net interest income increased \$4.9 million, or 13.1%, largely due to a \$13.9 million decline in interest expense on short-term jumbo certificates of deposit, and a \$7.6 million decline in overnight borrowings expense. These effects were partly offset by a \$13.2 million decrease in assigned net funding credits. Non-interest income increased slightly over the prior year due to higher bond trading income in the Capital Markets Group, partly offset by lower trust fee income and cash sweep commissions. Average assets decreased \$7.7 million during 2009 mainly due to a decline in the trading securities portfolio. Average deposits increased \$400.3 million, or 25.3%, during 2009, due to growth in premium money market accounts and certificates of deposit over \$100,000.

In 2008, pre-tax income for the Wealth segment was \$19.4 million compared to \$50.1 million in 2007, a \$30.7 million decline mainly due to the auction rate securities loss mentioned above. Excluding this loss, segment profitability in 2008 would have been \$52.7 million, a 5.2% increase over 2007. Net interest income increased \$2.7 million, or 7.8%, over the prior year, due to lower interest expense on short-term borrowings and deposits, partly offset by lower interest income on overnight investments. Non-interest income increased \$8.5 million, or 8.0%, mainly due to higher private client and corporate trust fees and bond trading income. Non-interest expense, excluding the auction rate securities loss, rose \$8.4 million over 2007, mainly in salary expense. Average assets decreased \$305.2 million during 2008 because of lower overnight investments of liquid funds. Average deposits increased \$306.5 million during 2008, due to growth in short-term certificates of deposit over \$100,000.

The segment activity, as shown above, includes both direct and allocated items. Amounts in the Other/Elimination column include activity not related to the segments, such as certain administrative functions, the investment securities portfolio, and the effect of certain expense allocations to the segments. Also included in this category is the excess of the Company s provision for loan losses over net loan charge-offs, which are generally assigned directly to the segments. In 2009, the pre-tax loss in this category was \$60.4 million, compared to profitability of \$10.4 million in 2008. The decline in profitability was partly due to items relating to the Bank s relationship with Visa which were not assigned to a segment. As mentioned earlier, Visa-related stock redemption gains of \$22.2 million and indemnification obligation reversals of \$9.6 million were recorded in 2008, compared to obligation reversals of \$2.5 million in 2009. In addition, unallocated net interest income in this category, relating to earnings on the

Company s investment portfolio and interest expense on overnight borrowings not allocated to the segments, decreased \$41.9 million

55

Table of Contents

in 2009. These declines in profitability were partly offset by a \$16.5 million decrease in the unallocated loan loss provision.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Fair Value Measurements The Company adopted new accounting guidance for determining fair value, issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), on January 1, 2008. Under this guidance, fair value is defined as a market-based measurement and should be determined based on assumptions that a market participant would use when pricing an asset or liability. Additionally, it establishes a fair value hierarchy that provides the highest priority to measurements using quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to measurements based on unobservable data. No new fair value measurements are required. The guidance for initial recognition of fair value for certain derivative contracts held by the Company was modified. Former accounting guidance precluded immediate recognition in earnings of an unrealized gain or loss, measured as the difference between the transaction price and fair value of these instruments at initial recognition. This guidance was nullified and in accordance with the new recognition requirements, the Company increased equity by \$903 thousand on January 1, 2008.

In April 2009, the FASB issued additional guidance on reliance on transaction prices or quoted prices when estimating fair value when market volume and activity have significantly decreased. The guidance reaffirms the definition of fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. It provides a two-step process to determine whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability when compared with normal market activity for the asset or liability, and whether a transaction is not orderly. If it is determined that there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability in relation to normal market activity for the asset or liability, transactions or quoted prices may not be determinative of fair value. Accordingly, further analysis of the transactions or quoted prices is needed, and a significant adjustment to the transactions or quoted prices may be necessary to estimate fair value. The Company adopted the guidance in March 2009, and its application did not result in a change in valuation techniques and related inputs.

Business Combinations In December 2007, the FASB issued guidance which, while retaining the fundamental requirements of the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations, broadened the scope and improved the application of this method. Under the new guidance, the acquirer in a business combination must recognize the assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, measured at their fair values as of that date. The recognition at the acquisition date of an allowance for loan losses on acquired loans was eliminated, as credit-related factors are now incorporated directly into the fair value of the loans. Costs incurred to effect the acquisition are to be recognized separately from the acquisition. Assets and liabilities arising from contractual contingencies must be measured at fair value as of the acquisition date. Contingent consideration must also be measured at fair value as of the acquisition date. The guidance also changes the accounting for negative goodwill arising from a bargain purchase, requiring recognition in earnings instead of allocation to assets acquired. For business combinations achieved in stages (step acquisitions), the assets and liabilities must be recognized at the full amounts of their fair values, while under former guidance the entity was acquired in a series of purchases, with costs and fair values being identified and measured at each step. The new accounting requirements apply to business combinations occurring after January 1, 2009.

Non-controlling Interests Also in December 2007, the FASB issued guidance which clarifies that a non-controlling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. A single method of accounting exists for changes in a parent s ownership interest if the parent retains its controlling interest, deeming these to be equity transactions. Such changes include the parent s purchases and sales of ownership interests in its subsidiary and the subsidiary s acquisition and issuance of its ownership interests. The parent must recognize a gain or loss in net income when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. The

guidance changed the way the consolidated income statement is presented, requiring consolidated net income to be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the non-controlling interest, and requires disclosure of these

56

Table of Contents

amounts on the face of the consolidated statement of income. The guidance was effective on January 1, 2009, and its adoption did not have a significant effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Income per Share In June 2008, the FASB issued guidance which defined unvested stock awards which contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends as securities which participate in undistributed earnings. Such participating securities must be included in the computation of income per share under the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines income per share for common stock and for participating securities according to dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. The Company was required to apply the two-class method to its computation of income per share effective January 1, 2009, and its application did not have a significant effect on the computation of income per share attributable to common shareholders.

Benefit Plans In December 2008, the FASB expanded its disclosure requirements about pension and other postretirement benefit plan assets. These disclosures, for each major asset category, include fair value measurements, valuation techniques, risk concentrations, and rate of return assumptions. Information about asset investment policies and strategies, such as investment goals and risk management practices, must also be provided. The new disclosures are required on an annual basis, effective with the December 31, 2009 financial statements.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments In April 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance for the measurement and recognition of other-than-temporary impairment for debt securities. The guidance addresses how to evaluate whether an impairment of a debt security is other than temporary, determination of the amount of impairment to be recognized in earnings and other comprehensive income, and subsequent accounting for these securities. It requires a new presentation on the statement of earnings which shows the total impairment, offset for that amount considered noncredit-related and recognized in other comprehensive income. Various additional disclosures are required for investments in an unrealized loss position, in addition to information about the methodologies and inputs used in calculating the portion of impairment recognized in earnings. The Company adopted the new guidance in March 2009, and has presented the required disclosures in Note 4 on Investment Securities in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Subsequent Events The FASB issued guidance in May 2009 for accounting and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The guidance sets the period after the balance sheet date during which management should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, and the circumstances under which they should be recognized. The guidance was effective with the June 30, 2009 financial statements, and its application did not have a significant effect on the Company's financial statements.

Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets The FASB issued additional guidance in June 2009 with the objective of providing greater transparency about transfers of financial assets and a transferor s continuing involvement. The new guidance limits the circumstances in which a financial asset should be derecognized when the transferor has not transferred the entire original financial asset, or when the transferor has continuing involvement with the transferred asset. It establishes conditions for reporting a transfer of a portion of a financial asset as a sale. Also, it eliminates the exception for qualifying special purpose entities from consolidation guidance, and the exception that permitted sale accounting for certain mortgage securitizations when a transferor has not surrendered control over the transferred assets. The new accounting requirements must be applied to transactions occurring on or after January 1, 2010. The Company does not expect their adoption to have a significant effect on its financial statements.

Variable Interest Entities In June 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to variable interest entities. This guidance replaces a quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which entity, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which entity has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact its economic performance and

the obligation to absorb its losses or the right to receive its benefits. This guidance requires reconsideration of whether an entity is a variable interest entity when any changes in facts or circumstances occur such that the holders of the equity investment at risk, as a group, lose

57

Table of Contents

the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance. It also requires ongoing assessments of whether a variable interest holder is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. The guidance was effective on January 1, 2010, and its adoption did not have a significant effect on the Company s financial statements.

Effects of Inflation

The impact of inflation on financial institutions differs significantly from that exerted on industrial entities. Financial institutions are not heavily involved in large capital expenditures used in the production, acquisition or sale of products. Virtually all assets and liabilities of financial institutions are monetary in nature and represent obligations to pay or receive fixed and determinable amounts not affected by future changes in prices. Changes in interest rates have a significant effect on the earnings of financial institutions. Higher interest rates generally follow the rising demand of borrowers and the corresponding increased funding requirements of financial institutions. Although interest rates are viewed as the price of borrowing funds, the behavior of interest rates differs significantly from the behavior of the prices of goods and services. Prices of goods and services may be directly related to that of other goods and services while the price of borrowing relates more closely to the inflation rate in the prices of those goods and services. As a result, when the rate of inflation slows, interest rates tend to decline while absolute prices for goods and services remain at higher levels. Interest rates are also subject to restrictions imposed through monetary policy, usury laws and other artificial constraints.

During the second half of 2008, the national economy experienced a significant deterioration which has continued throughout 2009. As a result, interest rates fell significantly and have remained at low levels, while prices of consumer goods and services have been relatively constant. New legislation was enacted to mitigate the effects of the recession and revive the economy, and additional legislation is probable. It is difficult to predict the inflationary impact of the recession and the accompanying legislative measures taken to combat it.

Corporate Governance

The Company has adopted a number of corporate governance measures. These include corporate governance guidelines, a code of ethics that applies to its senior financial officers and the charters for its audit committee, its committee on compensation and human resources, and its committee on governance/directors. This information is available on the Company s web site www.commercebank.com under Investor Relations.

Forward-Looking Statements

This report may contain forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties and include information about possible or assumed future results of operations. Many possible events or factors could affect the future financial results and performance of the Company. This could cause results or performance to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. Words such as expects, anticipates, believes, estimates, variatio of such words and other similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions which are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed or forecasted in, or implied by, such forward-looking statements. Readers should not rely solely on the forward-looking statements and should consider all uncertainties and risks discussed throughout this report. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. The Company does not undertake to update forward-looking statements to reflect circumstances or events that occur after the date the forward-looking statements are made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Such possible events or factors include: changes in economic conditions in the Company s market area; changes in policies by regulatory agencies, governmental legislation and regulation; fluctuations in interest rates; changes in liquidity requirements; demand for loans in the Company s market area; changes in

accounting and tax principles; estimates made on income taxes; and competition with other entities that offer financial services.

58

Table of Contents

SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY STATEMENTS OF INCOME

Year Ended December 31, 2009 (In thousands, except per share data)	1	12/31/09		For the Qua 9/30/09		r Ended 6/30/09	3	3/31/09
Interest income Interest expense	\$	194,999 (30,496)	\$	201,647 (38,108)	\$	198,992 (41,547)	\$	193,874 (43,859)
Net interest income Non-interest income Investment securities losses, net Salaries and employee benefits Other expense Provision for loan losses		164,503 103,457 (1,325) (85,480) (69,197) (41,002)		163,539 102,135 (945) (87,267) (67,222) (35,361)		157,445 98,562 (2,753) (86,279) (73,732) (41,166)		150,015 92,431 (2,172) (86,753) (66,133) (43,168)
Income before income taxes Income taxes Non-controlling interest		70,956 (21,493) 159		74,879 (23,415) 185		52,077 (15,257) 148		44,220 (13,592) 208
Net income	\$	49,622	\$	51,649	\$	36,968	\$	30,836
Net income per common share basic* Net income per common share diluted*	\$ \$.60 .60	\$ \$.63 .63	\$ \$.46 .46	\$ \$.38 .38
Weighted average shares basic* Weighted average shares diluted*		82,684 83,040		82,169 82,491		80,251 80,524		79,487 79,807
Year Ended December 31, 2008 (In thousands, except per share data)	1.	2/31/08		For the Qua		Ended 6/30/08	,	3/31/08
Interest income Interest expense		209,628 (53,339)	\$	209,464 (57,900)	\$			222,553 (82,446)
Net interest income Non-interest income Investment securities gains, net Salaries and employee benefits Other expense Provision for loan losses		156,289 85,226 4,814 (83,589) (60,099) (41,333)		151,564 95,593 1,149 (83,766) (100,680) (29,567)		144,779 102,733 1,008 (83,247) (63,818) (18,000)		140,107 92,160 23,323 (83,010) (57,171) (20,000)

Income before income taxes Income taxes Non-controlling interest	61,30 (17,75 28	7)	34,293 (9,534) (86)	83,455 (27,118) (358)		95,409 (30,668) (574)
Net income	\$ 43,83	6 \$	24,673	\$ 55,979	\$	64,167
Net income per common share basic* Net income per common share diluted*	\$.5 \$.5			\$.70 \$.70	\$ \$.81 .80
Weighted average shares basic* Weighted average shares diluted*	79,40 79,98		79,228 79,868	79,119 79,754		79,027 79,702
Year Ended December 31, 2007 (In thousands, except per share data)	12/31/07	,	For the Qua 9/30/07	rter Ended 6/30/07	3/	/31/07
Interest income Interest expense	\$ 236,75 (99,28		238,274 (103,012)	\$ 232,808 (98,944)		228,267 (96,788)
Net interest income Non-interest income Investment securities gains (losses), net Salaries and employee benefits Other expense Provision for loan losses	137,46 98,10 3,27 (78,43 (84,20 (14,06	01 (0 (3) (4)	135,262 95,137 1,562 (77,312) (61,608) (11,455)	133,864 94,059 (493) (76,123) (60,251) (9,054)		131,479 84,284 3,895 (76,900) (59,328) (8,161)
Income before income taxes Income taxes Non-controlling interest	62,13 (18,18 (26	7)	81,586 (25,515) (173)	82,002 (26,453) 25		75,269 (23,582) (191)
Net income	\$ 43,69	2 \$	55,898	\$ 55,574	\$	51,496
Net income per common share basic* Net income per common share diluted*	\$.5 \$.5			\$.69 \$.68	\$ \$.64 .63
Weighted average shares basic* Weighted average shares diluted*	79,02 79,80		79,291 80,064	80,207 81,027		80,606 81,515

* Restated for the 5% stock dividend distributed in 2009.

59

Table of Contents

AVERAGE BALANCE SHEETS AVERAGE RATES AND YIELDS

		2009	Average		2007			
iousands)	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Rates Earned/ Paid	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Rates Earned/ Paid	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense
	¢ 2110 <i>77</i> 0	¢ 117.707	2.746/	¢ 2.479.027	¢ 170.620	4.000	¢ 2.110.296	\$ 209,523
construction and	\$ 3,119,778	\$ 116,686	3.74%	\$ 3,478,927	\$ 170,620	4.90%	\$ 3,110,386	\$ 209,523
construction and	739,896	26,746	3.61	701,519	34,445	4.91	671,986	49,436
business	2,143,675	108,107	5.04	2,281,664	136,955	6.00	2,204,041	154,819
personal	1,585,273	87,085	5.49	1,522,172	88,322	5.80	1,521,066	90,537
	1,464,170	101,761	6.95	1,674,497	119,837	7.16	1,558,302	115,184
	495,629	21,456	4.33	474,635	23,960	5.05	443,748	33,526
	344,243	9,440	2.74	13,708	287	2.10		
edit card	727,422 9,781	89,045	12.24	776,810 11,926	83,972	10.81	665,964 13,823	84,856
	10,629,867	560,326	5.27	10,935,858	658,398	6.02	10,189,316	737,881
or sale ecurities:	397,583	8,219	2.07	347,441	14,968	4.31	321,916	21,940
nent & federal	207 1 42	10.053	2.55	102.002	7.420	4.06	410 170	16.505
. 1	307,142	10,973	3.57	183,083	7,439	4.06	410,170	16,505
cipal	972 (97	42 002	5.02	(05.542	27.770	5 42	504 154	22.416
d accet boolead	873,607	43,882	5.02	695,542	37,770	5.43	594,154	33,416
d asset-backed	3,739,967	167,087	4.47	2,469,467	125,369	5.08	2,120,521	102,243
able securities(B)	179,847	9,793	5.45	98,650	4,243	4.30	129,622	7,355
rities ^(B)	16,927	506	2.99	28,840	1,355	4.70	22,321	1,144
ble securities ^(B)	136,911	6,398	4.67	133,996	7,730	5.77	92,251	5,710
nent securities	5,254,401	238,639	4.54	3,609,578	183,906	5.09	3,369,039	166,373
s sold and								
chased under	42.014	222	= 4	405.050	0.007	1.05	FOT 20.4	05.001
o resell	43,811 325,744	222 807	.51 .25	425,273 46,670	8,287 198	1.95 .42	527,304	25,881

15,364,820

865,757

5.63

14,407,575

952,075

ng deposits with

t earning assets

16,651,406

808,213

4.85

e carming assets		10,001,100	000,210	1100	-	15,501,020	005,757	3.03	11,107,575	752,075
ce for loan		(101 417)				(145 176)			(122.224)	
ain (loss) on		(181,417)				(145,176)			(132,234)	
curities		24,105				27,068			25,333	
from banks gs and		364,579				451,105			463,970	
net		411,366 349,164				412,852 343,664			400,161 315,522	
	\$	17,619,203			\$:	16,454,333			\$ 15,480,327	
ES AND										
ng deposits:	\$	438,748	642	.15	\$	400,948	1,186	.30	\$ 392,942	2,067
king and money		8,547,801	30,789	.36		7,400,125	59,947	.81	6,996,943	114,027
C.D. s of less		2,055,952	51,982	2.53		2,149,119	77,322	3.60	2,359,386	110,957
C.D. s of over		1,858,543	35,371	1.90		1,629,500	55,665	3.42	1,480,856	73,739
t bearing		12,901,044	118,784	.92		11,579,692	194,120	1.68	11,230,127	300,790
s purchased and										
repurchase ings ^(D)		968,643 920,467	3,699 31,527	.38 3.43		1,373,625 1,092,746	25,085 37,905	1.83 3.47	1,696,613 292,446	83,464 13,775
vings		1,889,110	35,226	1.86		2,466,371	62,990	2.55	1,989,059	97,239
t bearing		14,790,154	154,010	1.04%	-	14,046,063	257,110	1.83%	13,219,186	398,029
		920,118				670,118			647,888	
Table of	f Co	ontents								143

140,333

134,278

bearing demand

176,676

	1,732,255			1,597,819			1,478,975	
ies and equity	\$ 17,619,203			\$ 16,454,333			\$ 15,480,327	
margin (T/E)		\$ 654,203			\$ 608,647			\$ 554,046
interest ts			3.93%			3.96%		
ncrease net interest) compared to								
ır			7.48%			9.85%		

- (A) Loans on non-accrual status are included in the computation of average balances. Included in interest income above are loan fees and late charges, net of amortization of deferred loan origination fees and costs, which are immaterial. Credit card income from merchant discounts and net interchange fees are not included in loan income.
- (B) Interest income and yields are presented on a fully-taxable equivalent basis using the Federal statutory income tax rate. Loan interest income includes tax free loan income (categorized as business loan income) which includes tax equivalent adjustments of \$3,922,000 in 2009, \$3,553,000 in 2008, \$2,895,000 in 2007, \$1,826,000 in 2006, \$1,035,000 in 2005, and \$726,000 in 2004. Investment securities interest income include tax equivalent adjustments of \$14,779,000 in 2009, \$12,355,000 in 2008, \$13,079,000 in 2007, \$9,476,000 in 2006, \$3,626,000 in 2005, and \$1,682,000 in 2004. These adjustments relate to state and municipal obligations, other marketable securities, trading securities, and non-marketable securities.

60

Table of Contents

3,279,810

6.35

843,608

13,000,420

2006		Years Er	nded December 2005			2004		Averag
	Average			Average			Average	Baland Five
Interest Income/	Rates Earned/	Average	Interest Income/	Rates Earned/	Average	Interest Income/	Rates Earned/	Year Compo
111001110,	241110 64	Tiverage	2110 01110,	241110 64	11, et age	211001110	201110	Growt
Expense	Paid	Balance	Expense	Paid	Balance	Expense	Paid	Rate
\$ 177.543	6.60%	\$ 2.336.681	\$ 125.354	5.36%	\$ 2.119.823	\$ 88.106	4.16%	8.0
•			·			•		11.:
•		1,794,269			•	•		3.
•		, ,	·			•		3.0
•		, ,	80,431			•		4.3
	7.60	429,911	26,463	6.16	381,111	17,481	4.59	5.4
		357,319	17,050	4.77	326,120	9,790	3.00	N
77,737	13.06	554,471	66,552	12.00	515,585	57,112	11.08	7.
		13,995			13,319			(5.9
645,155	7.09	8,549,573	521,661	6.10	8,117,608	425,420	5.24	5.:
21,788	6.90	11,909	657	5.52	12,505	644	5.15	N
22.817	3.56	1.066.304	39,968	3.75	1.721.301	67.988	3.95	(29.
•			·					65.2
•		•	·		•	•		5.0
10,695	5.35	216,984	8,808	4.06	163,843	3,539	2.16	1.3
884	5.07	10,624	478	4.50	14,250	557	3.91	3.:
7,863	9.23	78,709	4,984	6.33	75,542	3,695	4.89	12.0
161,028	4.52	4,322,385	175,807	4.07	4,891,875	185,122	3.78	1.4
15,637	5.22	116,553	4,102	3.52	84,113	1,312	1.56	(12.: N
	Interest Income/ Expense \$ 177,543	Interest Income/ Rates Earned/ Expense Paid \$ 177,543	Interest Rates Income/ Earned/ Average Expense Paid Balance \$ 177,543	Average	Average	Different Rates Interest Rates Income/ Earned/ Average Expense Paid Balance Expense Paid Exp	Description	Description Color Color

Table of Contents 145

5.40

702,227

13,106,101

612,498

4.67

4.9

(129,224)			(129,272)			(132,554)			6.4
(9,443) 470,826 376,375 250,260			22,607 508,389 369,471 201,829			90,692 553,074 340,188 191,655			NN (8.0 3.8 12.7
4,238,604			\$ 13,973,444			\$ 14,149,156			4.4
393,870 6,717,280	2,204 94,238	.56 1.40	\$ 403,158 6,745,714	1,259 52,112	.31 .77	\$ 401,935 6,171,456	1,250 26,707	.31 .43	1.7 6.7
2,077,257	85,424	4.11	1,736,804	50,597	2.91	1,678,659	38,924	2.32	4.1
1,288,845	58,381	4.53	983,703	30,779	3.13	788,800	14,912	1.89	18.7
0,477,252	240,247	2.29	9,869,379	134,747	1.37	9,040,850	81,793	.90	7.3
1,455,544 182,940	70,154 8,744	4.82 4.78	1,609,868 366,072	48,776 12,464	3.03 3.40	1,827,428 419,215	22,560 8,519	1.23 2.03	(11.9 17.0
1,638,484	78,898	4.82	1,975,940	61,240	3.10	2,246,643	31,079	1.38	(3.4
2,115,736	319,145	2.63%	11,845,319	195,987	1.65%	11,287,493	112,872	1.00%	5.5
642,545 99,396 1,380,927			655,729 90,752 1,381,644			1,288,434 119,498 1,453,731			(6.5 8.1 3.5
4,238,604			\$ 13,973,444			\$ 14,149,156			4.4
	\$ 524,463			\$ 506,240			\$ 499,626		
		3.95%			3.89%			3.81%	

3.60% 1.32% (1.14)%

- (C) The Company s portfolio of originated student loans was classified as held for sale in the first quarter of 2006 and, accordingly, is included in the held for sale balances for 2006 through 2009. In December 2008, the Company purchased \$358,451,000 of student loans which it intends to hold to maturity.
- (D) Interest expense of \$38,000, \$123,000 and \$113,000 which was capitalized on construction projects in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, is not deducted from the interest expense shown above.

61

Table of Contents

QUARTERLY AVERAGE BALANCE SHEETS AVERAGE RATES AND YIELDS

	Year Ended December 31, 2009									
	Fourth (Quarter	Third Q	Third Quarter Second Quarter				First Quarter		
		Average	Average		Average	Average				
		Rates		Rates		Rates		Rates		
	Average	Earned/	Average	Earned/	Average	Earned/	Average	Earned/		
(Dollars in millions)	Balance	Paid	Balance	Paid	Balance	Paid	Balance	Paid		
ASSETS										
Loans:										
Business ^(A)	\$ 2,866	3.77%	\$ 3,019	3.77%	\$ 3,260	3.81%	\$ 3,341	3.61%		
Real estate construction and	Ψ 2,000	3.7776	ψ 3,019	3.7776	φ 3,200	3.0170	ψ 3,3 .1	2.0170		
land	695	3.93	699	3.74	751	3.50	816	3.34		
Real estate business	2,113	4.98	2,147	5.04	2,174	5.05	2,141	5.10		
Real estate personal	1,547	5.32	1,578	5.38	1,596	5.55	1,621	5.72		
Consumer	1,358	7.03	1,424	6.99	1,498	6.87	1,579	6.92		
Home equity	488	4.33	492	4.35	498	4.33	505	4.31		
Student	335	2.28	341	2.37	347	2.61	354	3.69		
Consumer credit card	749	11.80	729	12.60	698	12.70	734	11.90		
Overdrafts	11		11		9		8			
Total loans	10,162	5.27	10,440	5.31	10,831	5.27	11,099	5.24		
Loans held for sale	322	1.70	294	1.95	514	1.53	463	3.00		
Investment securities:										
U.S. government & federal										
agency	518	3.02	413	4.47	159	3.03	134	3.61		
State & municipal										
obligations ^(A)	931	4.80	908	4.97	906	5.22	747	5.13		
Mortgage and asset-backed										
securities	4,478	3.86	3,985	4.47	3,649	4.66	2,827	5.20		
Other marketable securities ^(A)	188	5.45	195	5.20	193	5.40	142	5.84		
Trading securities ^(A)	14	2.66	18	3.08	19	3.12	17	3.01		
Non-marketable securities ^(A)	134	6.02	134	4.98	139	3.65	141	4.09		
Total investment securities	6,263	4.02	5,653	4.58	5,065	4.70	4,008	5.11		
Federal funds sold and										
securities purchased under										
agreements to resell	10	.85	31	.66	26	.56	110	.42		
Interest earning deposits with	10	.03	31	.00	20	.50	110	.42		
banks	290	.25	204	.23	213	.10	601	.30		

Total interest earning assets	17,047	4.66	16,622	4.93	16,649	4.91	16,281	4.93
Less allowance for loan losses	(188)		(186)		(178)		(173)	
Unrealized gain (loss) on investment securities Cash and due from banks	115 366		41 357		(13) 357		(49) 378	
Land, buildings and equipment net Other assets	408 345		411 363		412 348		415 340	
Total assets	\$ 18,093		\$ 17,608		\$ 17,575		\$ 17,192	
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY Interest bearing deposits:	Y							
Savings Interest checking and money	\$ 442	.14	\$ 443	.15	\$ 452	.15	\$ 418	.15
market Time open & C.D. s under \$100,000	9,181	.33	8,653	.35	8,460	.37	7,881	.41
	1,895	1.93	2,108	2.54	2,130	2.74	2,092	2.86
Time open & C.D. s \$100,000 & over	1,559	1.46	1,785	1.87	2,004	1.98	2,093	2.19
Total interest bearing deposits	13,077	.69	12,989	.90	13,046	1.00	12,484	1.11
Borrowings: Federal funds purchased and securities sold under								
agreements to repurchase Other borrowings	980 773	.33 3.62	938 833	.35 3.66	963 873	.35 3.79	995 1,208	.50 2.86
Total borrowings	1,753	1.78	1,771	1.90	1,836	1.99	2,203	1.80
Total interest bearing liabilities	14,830	.82%	14,760	1.02%	14,882	1.12%	14,687	1.21%
Non-interest bearing demand deposits Other liabilities	1,167 217		878 186		861 168		771 135	

Equity	1,879	1,784	1,664	1,599	
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 18,093	\$ 17,608	\$ 17,575	\$ 17,192	
Net interest margin (T/E)	\$ 170	\$ 168	\$ 162	\$ 154	
Net yield on interest earning assets		3.95%	4.02%	3.91%	3.83%

(A) Includes tax equivalent calculations.

62

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

			Yea	r Ended Dec	ember 31, 20				
	Fourth (Quarter	Third Q	uarter	First Quarter				
		Average		Average		Average		Average	
		Rates		Rates		Rates		Rates	
	Average	Earned/	Average	Earned/	Average	Earned/	Average	Earned/	
(Dollars in millions)	Balance	Paid	Balance	Paid	Balance	Paid	Balance	Paid	
ASSETS									
Loans:									
Business(A)	\$ 3,389	4.52%	\$ 3,474	4.70%	\$ 3,550	4.80%	\$ 3,504	5.60%	
Real estate construction and	,		,				,		
land	724	4.21	698	4.78	700	4.85	684	5.85	
Real estate business	2,285	5.76	2,325	5.80	2,282	5.98	2,234	6.49	
Real estate personal	1,543	5.67	1,509	5.75	1,510	5.84	1,526	5.95	
Consumer	1,670	7.08	1,717	7.07	1,675	7.13	1,636	7.35	
Home equity	494	4.58	479	4.72	466	4.93	459	6.03	
Student	55	2.09	.,,	,2	100	,5	,	0.02	
Consumer credit card	770	11.21	790	10.76	786	10.15	761	11.14	
Overdrafts	11	11.21	12	10.70	11	10.13	14	11.11	
Overtarts	11		12		11		14		
Total loans	10,941	5.77	11,004	5.88	10,980	5.93	10,818	6.51	
Total Ioans	10,941	5.11	11,004	3.00	10,980	3.93	10,616	0.51	
Loans held for sale	393	3.70	352	4.26	331	4.40	313	5.04	
Investment securities:	373	3.70	332	4.20	331	4.40	313	3.04	
U.S. government & federal									
agency	113	3.88	117	4.08	199	4.08	304	4.11	
State & municipal	113	3.00	117	4.00	199	4.00	304	4.11	
obligations ^(A)	1,007	5.25	700	5.40	566	5.59	506	5.67	
Mortgage and asset-backed	1,007	3.23	700	3.40	300	3.39	300	3.07	
securities	2,528	5.25	2,454	5.04	2,522	4.99	2,373	5.03	
Other marketable securities ^(A)	73	7.07	82	3.23	127	2.81	114	4.95	
Trading securities ^(A)	20	4.13	23	3.23	22	3.59	50	5.89	
Non-marketable securities ^(A)	150	5.75	145	5.83	130	5.72	111	5.77	
Non-marketable securities	130	3.13	143	3.83	130	3.12	111	3.11	
Total investment securities	3,891	5.25	3,521	5.06	3,566	4.97	3,458	5.08	
Total investment securities	3,091	3.23	3,321	3.00	3,300	4.57	3,436	3.00	
Federal funds sold and									
securities purchased under									
agreements to resell	369	.54	420	2.01	421	2.16	491	2.78	
agreements to resen	186	.42	120	2.01	721	2.10	7/1	2.70	
	100	,T <i>L</i>							

151

Interest earning deposits with banks

Table of Contents

Total interest earning assets	15,780	5.40	15,297	5.55	15,298	5.57	15,080	6.03
Less allowance for loan losses Unrealized gain (loss) on	(160)		(144)		(141)		(135)	
investment securities Cash and due from banks	(11) 424		17 464		38 455		64 460	
Land, buildings and equipment net Other assets	416 345		412 341		412 342		412 347	
Total assets	\$ 16,794		\$ 16,387		\$ 16,404		\$ 16,228	
LIABILITIES AND EQUIT	Y							
Interest bearing deposits: Savings	\$ 402	.19	\$ 410	.31	\$ 410	.31	\$ 381	.38
Interest checking and money market Time open & C.D. s under \$100,000	7,509	.59	7,499	.77	7,413	.76	7,178	1.13
	2,053	3.00	2,041	3.14	2,187	3.76	2,318	4.38
Time open & C.D. s \$100,000 & over	1,787	2.88	1,555	2.95	1,585	3.52	1,590	4.38
Total interest bearing deposits	11,751	1.35	11,505	1.47	11,595	1.69	11,467	2.22
Borrowings: Federal funds purchased and securities sold under								
agreements to repurchase Other borrowings	1,082 1,534	.75 2.99	1,368 1,103	1.58 3.61	1,420 998	1.67 3.56	1,628 730	2.90 4.14
Total borrowings	2,616	2.06	2,471	2.48	2,418	2.45	2,358	3.29
Total interest bearing liabilities	14,367	1.48%	13,976	1.65%	14,013	1.82%	13,825	2.40%
Non-interest bearing demand deposits	691		668		660		661	

152

Edgar Filing: PURE CYCLE CORP - Form DEF 14/	Α

Other liabilities Equity		124 1,612		123 1,620			134 1,597		180 1,562	
Total liabilities and equity	\$	16,794		\$ 16,387		\$	16,404	9	\$ 16,228	
Net interest margin (T/E)	\$	161		\$ 156		\$	148	:	\$ 144	
Net yield on interest earning assets			4.06%		4.04%)		3.90%		3.83%
(A) Includes tax equiva	lent	calculations	r .							

63

Table of Contents

Item 7a. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information required by this item is set forth on pages 50 through 52 of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders Commerce Bancshares, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Commerce Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Commerce Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 26, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

Kansas City, Missouri February 26, 2010

64

Table of Contents

Commerce Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

==

	December 31		
	2009	2008	
	(In thousands)		
ASSETS	¢ 10 145 224	¢ 11 202 246	
Loans Allowance for loan losses	\$ 10,145,324 (194,480)	\$ 11,283,246 (172,619)	
Net loans	9,950,844	11,110,627	
Loans held for sale Investment securities:	345,003	361,298	
Available for sale (\$537,079,000 and \$525,993,000 pledged in 2009 and	< 2.40 OFF	2 (20 = 22	
2008, respectively, to secure structured repurchase agreements) Trading	6,340,975 10,335	3,630,753 9,463	
Non-marketable	122,078	139,900	
Total investment securities	6,473,388	3,780,116	
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell	22,590	169,475	
Interest earning deposits with banks	24,118	638,158	
Cash and due from banks	417,126	491,723	
Land, buildings and equipment net Goodwill	402,633 125,585	411,168 125,585	
Other intangible assets net	14,333	17,191	
Other assets	344,569	427,106	
Total assets	\$ 18,120,189	\$ 17,532,447	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY Deposits:			
Non-interest bearing demand	\$ 1,793,816	\$ 1,375,000	
Savings, interest checking and money market	9,202,916	7,610,306	
Time open and C.D. s of less than \$100,000	1,801,332	2,067,266	
Time open and C.D. s of \$100,000 and over	1,412,387	1,842,161	

Total deposits	14,210,451	12,894,733
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase Other borrowings Other liabilities	1,103,191 736,062 184,580	1,026,537 1,747,781 283,929
Total liabilities	16,234,284	15,952,980
Commerce Bancshares, Inc. stockholders equity: Preferred stock, \$1 par value Authorized and unissued 2,000,000 shares Common stock, \$5 par value Authorized 100,000,000 shares; issued 83,127,401 and 75,901,097 shares in 2009 and 2008, respectively Capital surplus Retained earnings Treasury stock of 22,328 and 18,789 shares in 2009 and 2008, respectively, at cost Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	415,637 854,490 568,532 (838) 46,407	379,505 621,458 633,159 (761) (56,729)
Total Commerce Bancshares, Inc. stockholders equity Non-controlling interest	1,884,228 1,677	1,576,632 2,835
Total equity	1,885,905	1,579,467
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 18,120,189	\$ 17,532,447
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

156

Table of Contents

65

Table of Contents

Commerce Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

==

(In thousands, except per share data)	For the You	ears Ended De 2008	cember 31 2007
(In thousands, except per share data)	2009	2008	2007
INTEREST INCOME Interest and fees on loans Interest on loans held for sale Interest on investment securities Interest on federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell	\$ 556,404 8,219 223,860	\$ 654,845 14,968 171,551 8,287	\$ 734,986 21,940 153,294 25,881
Interest on deposits with banks	807	198	
Total interest income	789,512	849,849	936,101
INTEREST EXPENSE Interest on deposits:			
Savings, interest checking and money market	31,431	61,133	116,094
Time open and C.D. s of less than \$100,000 Time open and C.D. s of \$100,000 and over	51,982 35,371	77,322 55,665	110,957 73,739
Interest on federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements	00,071	55,005	73,737
to repurchase	3,699	25,085	83,464
Interest on other borrowings	31,527	37,905	13,775
Total interest expense	154,010	257,110	398,029
Net interest income	635,502	592,739	538,072
Provision for loan losses	160,697	108,900	42,732
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	474,805	483,839	495,340
NON-INTEREST INCOME			
Deposit account charges and other fees	106,362	110,361	117,350
Bank card transaction fees Trust fees	122,124 76,831	113,862 80,294	103,613 78,840
Bond trading income	22,432	15,665	9,338
Consumer brokerage services	10,831	12,156	11,754
Loan fees and sales	21,273	(2,413)	8,835
Other	36,732	45,787	41,851

Total non-interest income	396,585			375,712		371,581
INVESTMENT SECURITIES GAINS (LOSSES), NET Impairment losses on debt securities Less noncredit-related losses on securities not expected to be sold		(32,783) 30,310				
Net impairment losses Realized gains (losses) on sales and fair value adjustments		(2,473) (4,722)		30,294		8,234
Investment securities gains (losses), net		(7,195)		30,294		8,234
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE Salaries and employee benefits Net occupancy Equipment Supplies and communication Data processing and software Marketing Deposit insurance Loss on purchase of auction rate securities Indemnification obligation Other		345,779 45,925 25,472 32,156 61,789 18,231 27,373 (2,496) 67,834		333,612 46,317 24,569 35,335 56,387 19,994 2,051 33,266 (9,619) 73,468		308,768 45,789 24,121 34,162 50,342 18,199 1,412 20,951 70,415
Total non-interest expense		622,063		615,380		574,159
Income before income taxes Less income taxes		242,132 73,757		274,465 85,077		300,996 93,737
Net income before non-controlling interest Less non-controlling interest expense (income)		168,375 (700)		189,388 733		207,259 599
NET INCOME	\$	169,075	\$	188,655	\$	206,660
Net income per share basic Net income per share diluted	\$ \$	2.07 2.07	\$ \$	2.37 2.36	\$ \$	2.58 2.56

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Commerce Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Years Ended December 31					er 31
(In thousands)		2009		2008		2007
OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net income	\$	169,075	\$	188,655	\$	206,660
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by	4	202,072	Ψ	100,000	4	200,000
operating activities:						
Provision for loan losses		160,697		108,900		42,732
Provision for depreciation and amortization		51,514		50,696		52,469
Amortization of investment security premiums, net		2,348		3,946		7,398
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)		(7,310)		2,656		(11,227)
Investment securities (gains) losses, net		7,195		(30,294)		(8,234)
Gain on sale of branch		(644)		(6,938)		
Impairment losses (reversals) on loans held for sale		(3,796)		9,398		
Net gains on sales of loans held for sale		(12,201)		(3,168)		(5,670)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale		577,726		235,305		420,295
Originations of loans held for sale		(545,380)		(366,873)		(371,918)
Net (increase) decrease in trading securities		(14,014)		13,281		(19,058)
Stock-based compensation		6,642		6,389		6,263
Decrease in interest receivable		2,943		2,908		8,324
Increase (decrease) in interest payable		(18,574)		(28,351)		5,270
Increase (decrease) in income taxes payable		(3,067)		(1,204)		7,743
Net tax benefit related to equity compensation plans		(557)		(1,928)		(2,283)
Loss on purchase of auction rate securities				33,266		
Prepayment of FDIC insurance premiums		(63,739)				(a. a.c.)
Other changes, net		(13,570)		650		(3,062)
Net cash provided by operating activities		295,288		217,294		335,702
INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
Net cash and cash equivalents paid in acquisitions/dispositions		(3,494)		(54,490)		(14,046)
Cash paid in exchange of investment securities for student loans		(3,424)		(17,164)		(14,040)
Proceeds from sales of available for sale securities		207,852		131,843		239,541
Proceeds from maturities/pay downs of available for sale		207,002		131,013		237,311
securities		1,332,347		1,311,605		1,135,260
Purchases of available for sale securities		(4,078,962)		(2,396,109)		(1,095,686)
Net (increase) decrease in loans		999,086		(412,593)		(793,214)
Purchases of land, buildings and equipment		(29,247)		(42,563)		(55,102)
Sales of land, buildings and equipment		151		495		4,888
Net cash used in investing activities		(1,572,267)		(1,478,976)		(578,359)

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Net increase in non-interest bearing demand, savings, interest			
checking and money market deposits	2,041,513	381,276	253,221
Net increase (decrease) in time open and C.D. s	(693,941)	(36,612)	379,002
Net increase (decrease) in federal funds purchased and securities			
sold under agreements to repurchase	76,654	(212,375)	(542,992)
Repayment of long-term borrowings	(311,719)	(10,855)	(33,095)
Additional long-term borrowings	100,000	375,000	542,000
Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings	(800,000)	799,997	
Purchases of treasury stock	(528)	(9,490)	(128,578)
Issuance of stock under open market stock sale program, stock			
purchase and equity compensation plans	103,641	15,978	13,661
Net tax benefit related to equity compensation plans	557	1,928	2,283
Cash dividends paid on common stock	(74,720)	(72,055)	(68,915)
Net cash provided by financing activities	441,457	1,232,792	416,587
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(835,522)	(28,890)	173,930
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	1,299,356	1,328,246	1,154,316
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 463,834	\$ 1,299,356	\$ 1,328,246

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

67

Table of Contents

Commerce Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

==

Commerce Bancshares, Inc. Shareholders

	Co	ommon	23	Capital	F	Retained	T	Treasury ((Comp	umulated Other orehensiv ncome		Non- ntrolling	
thousands, except per share data)	S	Stock		Surplus	E	Carnings		Stock		(Loss)	Iı	nterest	Total
lance, December 31, 2006	\$ 3	352,330	\$	427,421	\$	683,176	\$	(20,613)	\$	(200)	\$	4,422	\$ 1,446,530
et income						206,660						599	207,259
ange in unrealized gain (loss) on ailable for sale securities, net of tax ange related to pension plan, net of										19,363			19,363
K										6,944			6,94
tal comprehensive income													233,560
stributions to non-controlling erest rchase of treasury stock						(60.015)		(128,578)				(2,551)	(2,55)
sh dividends paid (\$.864 per share) et tax benefit related to equity mpensation plans ock-based compensation				2,283 6,263		(68,915)							2,283 6,263
uance under stock purchase and uity compensation plans, net mmon stock issued in South Tulsa		144		(12,339)				25,856					13,66
nancial Corp. acquisition stock dividend, net loption of guidance on recognition		7,220		(303) 51,895		(152,225)		27,917 92,941					27,614 (169
income tax positions						446							440
lance, December 31, 2007	3	359,694		475,220		669,142		(2,477)		26,107		2,470	1,530,150
et income						188,655						733	189,388
ange in unrealized gain (loss) on ailable for sale securities, net of tax ange related to pension plan, net of										(66,445)			(66,445

Table of Contents 161

(16,39

(16,391)

						106,552
					(2(0)	(26
			(2.400)		(368)	(368
		(52.055)	(9,490)			(9,490
		(72,055)				(72,055
						1,928
	6,389					6,389
1,778	2,994		11,206			15,978
18,033	134,927	(153,118)				(158
		903				903
		(716)				(710
		(, 10)				(, -
		348				348
		J 1 0				JTO
379,505	621,458	633,159	(761)	(56,729)	2,835	1,579,46
		169 075			(700)	168,375
		107,075			(700)	100,57.
				7 506		7.50
				7,596		7,59
				02.055		02.07/
				93,075		93,075
				2,465		2,465
						271,511
					(458)	(458
			(528)			(528
		(74,720)				(74,72
	557					55'
	6,642					6,642
	18,033	18,033 134,927 379,505 621,458	1,778 2,994 18,033 134,927 (153,118) 903 (716) 348 379,505 621,458 633,159 169,075	1,928 6,389 1,778 2,994 18,033 134,927 (153,118) 903 (716) 348 379,505 621,458 633,159 (761) 169,075 (528)	(72,055) 1,928 6,389 1,778 2,994 18,033 134,927 (153,118) 903 (716) 348 379,505 621,458 633,159 (761) (56,729) 169,075 7,596 93,075 2,465	1,928 6,389 1,778 1,994 18,033 134,927 (153,118) 903 (716) 348 379,505 621,458 633,159 (761) (56,729) 2,835 169,075 (700) 7,596 93,075 2,465 (458) (74,720)

Table of Contents 162

451

5,48

3,127

1,910

uity compensation plans, net

suance of stock under open market

e program 14,474 83,679 98,15.6 stock dividend, net 19,748 139,027 (158,982) (20%

lance, December 31, 2009 \$ 415,637 \$ 854,490 \$ 568,532 \$ (838) \$ 46,407 \$ 1,677 \$ 1,885,909

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

68

Table of Contents

Commerce Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations

Commerce Bancshares, Inc. (the Company) conducts its principal activities through its banking and non-banking subsidiaries from approximately 370 locations throughout Missouri, Illinois, Kansas, Oklahoma and Colorado. Principal activities include retail and commercial banking, investment management, securities brokerage, mortgage banking, credit related insurance, and private equity investment activities.

Basis of Presentation

The Company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and reporting practices applicable to the banking industry. The preparation of financial statements under GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and notes. These estimates are based on information available to management at the time the estimates are made. While the consolidated financial statements reflect management is best estimates and judgment, actual results could differ from those estimates. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries (after elimination of all material intercompany balances and transactions). Certain amounts for prior years have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on net income or total assets. The Company evaluated subsequent events for recognition or disclosure through February 26, 2010, the date on which the financial statements were issued.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

In the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include Cash and due from banks , Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell , and Interest earning deposits with banks as segregated in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Loans and Related Earnings

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or pay-off are reported at their outstanding principal balances, net of undisbursed loan proceeds, the allowance for loan losses, and any deferred fees and costs on originated loans. Origination fee income received on loans and amounts representing the estimated direct costs of origination are deferred and amortized to interest income over the life of the loan using the interest method. Prepayment premium or yield maintenance agreements are generally required on all term commercial loans with fixed rate intervals of three years or more.

Interest on loans is accrued based upon the principal amount outstanding. Interest income is recognized primarily on the level yield method. Loan and commitment fees on commercial and consumer loans, net of costs, are deferred and recognized in income over the term of the loan or commitment as an adjustment of yield. Annual fees charged on credit card loans are capitalized to principal and amortized over 12 months to loan fees and sales in the accompanying consolidated income statements. Other credit card fees, such as cash advance fees and late payment fees, are recognized in income as an adjustment of yield when charged to the cardholder s account.

Loans are evaluated regularly by management for impairment. Loans are considered impaired when it becomes probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Once a loan has been identified as impaired, impairment is measured based on the present value of the expected future cash flows at the loan s initial effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral for collateral-dependent loans. Included in impaired loans are all non-accrual business, lease, construction, business real estate, and personal real estate loans. These types of loans are placed on non-accrual status when the collection of interest or principal is 90 days or more past due, unless the loan

69

Table of Contents

is adequately secured and in the process of collection. They are also generally placed on non-accrual status if their terms have been modified in a troubled debt restructuring. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, any interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed against current income. Loans may be returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans are generally applied to principal unless the remaining principal balance has been determined to be fully collectible.

Consumer, home equity and credit card loans are excluded from the definition of an impaired loan, unless they have been subject to a troubled debt restructuring. Consumer installment loans and related accrued interest are normally charged down to the fair value of related collateral (or are charged off in full if no collateral) once the loans are more than 120 days delinquent. Credit card loans are charged off against the allowance for loan losses when the receivable is more than 180 days past due. The interest and fee income previously capitalized but not collected on credit card charge-offs is reversed against interest income.

A loan which has undergone a troubled debt restructuring is considered to be impaired. A loan is accounted for as a troubled debt restructuring if the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrowers financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. A troubled debt restructuring typically involves a modification of terms such as a reduction of the stated interest rate or face amount of the loan, a reduction of accrued interest, or an extension of the maturity date(s) at a stated interest rate lower than the current market rate for a new loan with similar risk. The Company measures the impairment loss of a troubled debt restructuring based on the difference between the original loan s carrying amount and the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the original, contractual rate of the loan.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale include student loans and fixed rate residential mortgage loans. These loans are typically classified as held for sale upon origination based upon management s intent to sell all the production of these loans. They are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value. Fair value is determined based on prevailing market prices for loans with similar characteristics, sale contract prices, or, for those portfolios for which management has concerns about contractual performance, discounted cash flows analyses. Declines in fair value below cost (and subsequent recoveries) are recognized in loan fees and sales. Deferred fees and costs related to these loans are not amortized but are recognized as part of the cost basis of the loan at the time it is sold. Gains or losses on sales are recognized upon delivery and included in loan fees and sales.

Allowance/Provision for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level believed to be appropriate by management to provide for probable loan losses inherent in the portfolio as of the balance sheet date, including known or anticipated problem loans as well as for loans which are not currently known to require specific allowances. Management s judgment as to the amount of the allowance is a result of the review of larger individual loans, collateral values, the overall risk characteristics of the portfolio, changes in the character or size of the portfolio, the level of impaired and non-performing assets, historical charge-off amounts, geographic location, prevailing economic conditions and other relevant factors (including individual valuations on impaired loans in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 310-10-35.) Personal loans (consumer, home equity and credit card loans) are segregated by loan type and by sub-type, and are evaluated on a group basis. Loans are charged off to the extent they are deemed to be uncollectible, reducing the allowance. Recoveries of loans previously charged off are added to the allowance. The amount of the allowance for loan losses is highly dependent on management s estimates of variables affecting valuation, appraisals of collateral, evaluations of performance and status, and the amount and timing of

future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans. Such estimates, appraisals, evaluations, and cash flows may be subject to frequent adjustments due to changing economic prospects of borrowers or properties. These estimates are reviewed periodically and adjustments, if necessary, are recorded in the provision for loan losses in the periods in which they become known. In addition, the Company s estimate of the allowance is subject to review by regulatory agencies that could require adjustments to the allowance.

70

Table of Contents

Operating, Direct Financing and Sales Type Leases

The net investment in direct financing and sales type leases is included in loans on the Company s consolidated balance sheets, and consists of the present values of the sum of the future minimum lease payments and estimated residual value of the leased asset. Revenue consists of interest earned on the net investment, and is recognized over the lease term as a constant percentage return thereon. The net investment in operating leases is included in other assets on the Company s consolidated balance sheets. It is carried at cost, less the amount depreciated to date. Depreciation is recognized, on the straight-line basis, over the lease term to the estimated residual value. Operating lease revenue consists of the contractual lease payments and is recognized over the lease term in other non-interest income. Estimated residual values are established at lease inception utilizing contract terms, past customer experience, and general market data and are reviewed, and adjusted if necessary, on an annual basis.

Investments in Debt and Equity Securities

The Company has classified the majority of its investment portfolio as available for sale. From time to time, the Company sells securities and utilizes the proceeds to reduce borrowings, fund loan growth, or modify its interest rate profile. Securities classified as available for sale are carried at fair value. Changes in fair value, excluding certain losses associated with other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI), are reported in other comprehensive income (loss), a component of stockholders equity. Securities are periodically evaluated for OTTI in accordance with guidance provided in ASC 320-10-35. For securities with OTTI, the entire loss in fair value is required to be recognized in current earnings if the Company intends to sell the securities or believes it likely that it will be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery. If neither condition is met, but the Company does not expect to recover the amortized cost basis, the Company determines whether a credit loss has occurred, which is then recognized in current earnings. The noncredit-related portion of the overall loss is reported in other comprehensive income (loss). Mortgage and asset-backed securities whose credit ratings are below AA at their purchase date are evaluated for OTTI under ASC 325-40-35, which requires evaluations for OTTI at purchase date and in subsequent periods. Gains and losses realized upon sales of securities are calculated using the specific identification method and are included in Investment securities gains (losses), net in the consolidated statements of income. Premiums and discounts are amortized to interest income over the estimated lives of the securities. Prepayment experience is continually evaluated and a determination made regarding the appropriate estimate of the future rate of prepayment. When a change in a bond s estimated remaining life is necessary, a corresponding adjustment is made in the related amortization of premium or discount accretion.

Non-marketable securities include certain private equity investments, consisting of both debt and equity instruments. These securities are carried at fair value in accordance with ASC 946-10-15, with changes in fair value reported in current earnings. In the absence of readily ascertainable market values, fair value is estimated using internally developed models. Changes in fair value and gains and losses from sales are included in Investment securities gains (losses), net. Other non-marketable securities acquired for debt and regulatory purposes are accounted for at cost.

Trading account securities, which are bought and held principally for the purpose of resale in the near term, are carried at fair value. Gains and losses, both realized and unrealized, are recorded in non-interest income.

Purchases and sales of securities are recognized on a trade date basis. A receivable or payable is recognized for pending transaction settlements.

Securities Purchased under Agreements to Resell and Securities Sold under Agreements to Repurchase

The Company may enter into short-term purchases of securities under agreements to resell, which represent short-term secured loans and are reflected as assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The Company also sells securities under agreements to repurchase, which are accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and are reflected as liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Repurchase agreements are offered to cash management customers as an automated,

71

Table of Contents

collateralized investment product. Repurchase agreements may also be used by the Bank to obtain favorable borrowing rates on its purchased funds, and at December 31, 2009, included \$500.0 million of structured agreements. Securities are transferred by book entry into custody accounts at the Federal Reserve Bank. Additional collateral may be required or returned, when appropriate, to maintain full collateralization of the transactions. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had pledged \$1.5 billion of available for sale securities as collateral for repurchase agreements.

Land, Buildings and Equipment

Land is stated at cost, and buildings and equipment are stated at cost, including capitalized interest when appropriate, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using straight-line and accelerated methods. The Company generally assigns depreciable lives of 30 years for buildings, 10 years for building improvements, and 3 to 8 years for equipment. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or remaining lease terms. Maintenance and repairs are charged to non-interest expense as incurred.

Foreclosed Assets

Foreclosed assets consist of property that has been repossessed. Collateral obtained through foreclosure is comprised of commercial and residential real estate and other non-real estate property, including auto and recreational and marine vehicles. The assets are initially recorded at the lower of the related loan balance or fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs, with any valuation adjustments charged to the allowance for loan losses. Fair values are estimated primarily based on appraisals when available or quoted market prices of liquid assets. After their initial recognition, foreclosed assets are valued at the lower of the amount recorded at acquisition date or the current fair value less estimated costs to sell. Any resulting valuation adjustments, in addition to gains and losses realized on sales and net operating expenses, are recorded in other non-interest expense.

Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets that have indefinite useful lives are not amortized, but are tested annually for impairment. Intangible assets that have finite useful lives, such as core deposit intangibles and mortgage servicing rights, are amortized over their estimated useful lives. Core deposit intangibles are amortized over periods of 8 to 14 years, representing their estimated lives, using accelerated methods. Mortgage servicing rights are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income, considering appropriate prepayment assumptions.

When facts and circumstances indicate potential impairment of amortizable intangible assets, the Company evaluates the recoverability of the asset carrying value, using estimates of undiscounted future cash flows over the remaining asset life. Any impairment loss is measured by the excess of carrying value over fair value. Goodwill impairment tests are performed on an annual basis or when events or circumstances dictate. In these tests, the fair value of each reporting unit, or segment, is compared to the carrying amount of that reporting unit in order to determine if impairment is indicated. If so, the implied fair value of the reporting unit is goodwill is compared to its carrying amount and the impairment loss is measured by the excess of the carrying value over fair value. There has been no impairment resulting from goodwill impairment tests. However, adverse changes in the economic environment, operations of the reporting unit, or other factors could result in a decline in the implied fair value.

Income Taxes

Amounts provided for income tax expense are based on income reported for financial statement purposes and do not necessarily represent amounts currently payable under tax laws. Deferred income taxes are provided for temporary differences between the financial reporting bases and income tax bases of the Company s assets and liabilities, net

operating losses, and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and

72

Table of Contents

liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates that are expected to apply to taxable income when such assets and liabilities are anticipated to be settled or realized. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized as tax expense or benefit in the period that includes the enactment date of the change. In determining the amount of deferred tax assets to recognize in the financial statements, the Company evaluates the likelihood of realizing such benefits in future periods. A valuation allowance is established if it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to income taxes within income tax expense in the consolidated statements of income.

The Company and its eligible subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return. State and local income tax returns are filed on a combined, consolidated or separate return basis based upon each jurisdiction s laws and regulations.

Derivatives

The Company is exposed to market risk, including changes in interest rates and currency exchange rates. To manage the volatility relating to these exposures, the Company s risk management policies permit its use of derivative products. The Company manages potential credit exposure through established credit approvals, risk control limits and other monitoring procedures. The Company uses derivatives on a limited basis mainly to stabilize interest rate margins and hedge against interest rate movements. The Company more often manages normal asset and liability positions by altering the products it offers and by selling portions of specific loan or investment portfolios as necessary.

Derivative accounting guidance requires that all derivative financial instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value, with the adjustment to fair value recorded in current earnings. Derivatives that are part of a qualifying hedging relationship under ASC 815-20-25 can be designated, based on the exposure being hedged, as fair value or cash flow hedges. Under the fair value hedging model, gains or losses attributable to the change in fair value of the derivative, as well as gains and losses attributable to the change in fair value of the hedged item, are recognized in current earnings. Under the cash flow hedging model, the effective portion of the gain or loss related to the derivative is recognized as a component of other comprehensive income. The ineffective portion is recognized in current earnings.

The Company formally documents all hedging relationships between hedging instruments and the hedged item, as well as its risk management objective. At December 31, 2009, the Company had three interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges. The Company performs quarterly assessments, using the regression method, to determine whether the hedging relationship has been highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values.

Derivative contracts are also offered to customers to assist in hedging their risks of adverse changes in interest rates and foreign exchange rates. The Company serves as an intermediary between its customers and the markets. Each contract between the Company and its customers is offset by a contract between the Company and various counterparties. These contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting. They are carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded in other non-interest income. Since each customer contract is paired with an offsetting contract, the impact to net income is minimized.

The Company enters into interest rate lock commitments on mortgage loans, which are commitments to originate loans whereby the interest rate on the loan is determined prior to funding. The Company also has corresponding forward sales contracts related to these interest rate lock commitments. Both the mortgage loan commitments and the related sales contracts are accounted for as derivatives and carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded in loan fees and sales. Fair values are based upon quoted prices and, in 2007 and prior years, fair value measurements

exclude the value of loan servicing rights or other ancillary values. In accordance with new accounting guidance effective in 2008, the value of loan servicing rights was incorporated into subsequent fair value measurements for mortgage loan commitments.

73

Table of Contents

Pension Plan

The Company s pension plan is described in Note 10, Employee Benefit Plans. In 2008, the Company changed the measurement date of its plan assets and benefit obligations from September 30 to December 31, as required by ASC 715-30-35-62.

The measurement of the projected benefit obligation and pension expense involve actuarial valuation methods and the use of various actuarial and economic assumptions. The Company monitors the assumptions and updates them periodically. Due to the long-term nature of the pension plan obligation, actual results may differ significantly from estimations. Such differences are adjusted over time as the assumptions are replaced by facts and values are recalculated.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company s stock-based employee compensation plan is described in Note 11, Stock-Based Compensation and Directors Stock Purchase Plan. In accordance with the requirements of ASC 718-10-30-3 and 35-2, the Company measures the cost of stock-based compensation based on the grant-date fair value of the award, recognizing the cost over the requisite service period. The fair value of an award is estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The expense recognized is based on an estimation of the number of awards for which the requisite service is expected to be rendered, and is included in salaries and employee benefits in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Treasury Stock

Purchases of the Company s common stock are recorded at cost. Upon re-issuance for acquisitions, exercises of stock-based awards or other corporate purposes, treasury stock is reduced based upon the average cost basis of shares held.

Income per Share

Basic income per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each year. Diluted income per share includes the effect of all dilutive potential common shares (primarily stock options and stock appreciation rights) outstanding during each year. On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted new guidance (ASC 260-10-45-61A) requiring the application of the two-class method of computing income per share. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines income per share for common stock and for participating securities, according to dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Under the new guidance, the Company s restricted share awards are considered to be a class of participating security. All income per share data has been restated to reflect the application of the two-class method for common shareholders. The effects of the revisions were not significant. In addition, all per share data has been restated to reflect the 5% stock dividend distributed in December 2009.

2. Acquisitions and Dispositions

In February 2009, the Company sold its branch in Lakin, Kansas. In this transaction, the Company sold the bank facility and certain deposits totaling approximately \$4.7 million and recorded a gain of \$644 thousand.

During the second quarter of 2008, the Company sold its banking branch in Independence, Kansas. In this transaction, approximately \$23.3 million in loans, \$85.0 million in deposits, and various other assets and liabilities were sold, and

the Company recorded a gain of \$6.9 million.

Information about the Company s most recent acquisitions, which occurred in 2007, is listed below. The Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of the purchased institutions in exchange for cash or stock. Results of operations are included in the Company s consolidated financial results beginning on the acquisition date.

74

Table of Contents

(Dollars in millions)	Acquisition Date	Number of Locations	ssets chased	1	tangible Assets cognized	C	onsideration
Commerce Bank Denver, Colorado South Tulsa Financial Corporation	7/1/07	1	\$ 103.9	\$	20.0	\$	29.5 - cash
Tulsa, Oklahoma	4/1/07	2	\$ 127.3	\$	15.3	\$	27.6 - stock

3. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

Major classifications within the Company s loan portfolio at December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

(In thousands)	2009	2008
Business	\$ 2,877,936	\$ 3,404,371
Real estate construction and land	665,110	837,369
Real estate business	2,104,030	2,137,822
Real estate personal	1,537,687	1,638,553
Consumer	1,333,763	1,615,455
Home equity	489,517	504,069
Student	331,698	358,049
Consumer credit card	799,503	779,709
Overdrafts	6,080	7,849
Total loans	\$ 10,145,324	\$ 11,283,246

In December 2008, the Company elected to reclassify certain segments of its real estate, business, and consumer portfolios. The reclassifications were made to better align the loan reporting with its related collateral and purpose. The reclassification increased construction real estate loans by \$158.3 million and personal real estate loans by \$142.1 million, while business real estate loans decreased \$214.1 million, business loans decreased \$56.0 million and consumer loans decreased \$30.3 million.

Loans to directors and executive officers of the Parent and its significant subsidiaries, and to their associates, are summarized as follows:

(In thousands)

common stock.

Balance at December 31, 2009

Balance at January 1, 2009	\$ 109,803
Additions	35,652
Amounts collected	(68,179)
Amounts written off	

\$

77,276

Management believes all loans to directors and executive officers have been made in the ordinary course of business with normal credit terms, including interest rate and collateral considerations, and do not represent more than a normal risk of collection. There were no outstanding loans at December 31, 2009 to principal holders of the Company s

The Company s lending activity is generally centered in Missouri, Illinois, Kansas and other nearby states including Iowa, Oklahoma, Colorado, Ohio, and others. The Company maintains a diversified portfolio with limited industry concentrations of credit risk. Loans and loan commitments are extended under the Company s normal credit standards, controls, and monitoring features. Most loan commitments are short and intermediate term in nature. Loan maturities, with the exception of residential mortgages, generally do not exceed five years. Collateral is commonly required and would include such assets as marketable securities and cash equivalent assets, accounts receivable and inventory, equipment, other forms of personal property, and real estate. At December 31, 2009, unfunded loan commitments totaled \$7.0 billion (which included \$3.3 billion in unused approved lines of credit related to credit card loan agreements) which could be drawn by customers subject to certain review and terms of agreement. At December 31, 2009, loans of

75

Table of Contents

\$3.0 billion were pledged at the FHLB as collateral for borrowings and letters of credit obtained to secure public deposits. Additional loans of \$1.5 billion were pledged at the Federal Reserve Bank as collateral for discount window borrowings and borrowings under the Term Auction Facility.

The Company has a net investment in direct financing and sales type leases of \$281.4 million and \$308.2 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which is included in business loans on the Company s consolidated balance sheets. This investment includes deferred income of \$32.3 million and \$37.5 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The net investment in operating leases amounted to \$7.2 million and \$10.2 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and is included in other assets on the Company s consolidated balance sheets.

A summary of the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

(In thousands)	Years Ended December 31 2009 2008			2007	
Balance, January 1	\$ 172,619	\$	133,586	\$	131,730
Additions: Provision for loan losses Allowance of acquired banks	160,697		108,900		42,732 1,857
Total additions	160,697		108,900		44,589
Deductions: Loan losses Less recoveries	154,410 15,574		85,093 15,226		58,868 16,135
Net loan losses	138,836		69,867		42,733
Balance, December 31	\$ 194,480	\$	172,619	\$	133,586

The Company had ceased recognition of interest income on loans with a carrying value of \$106.6 million and \$72.9 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The interest income not recognized on these non-accrual loans was \$6.2 million, \$2.7 million and \$2.3 million during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Loans 90 days delinquent and still accruing interest amounted to \$42.6 million and \$40.0 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The following table presents information on impaired loans at December 31:

(In thousands)	2009	2008
Impaired loans for which a related allowance has been provided Impaired loans for which no related allowance has been provided	\$ 62,554 60,109	\$ 36,865 46,946
Total impaired loans	\$ 122,663	\$ 83,811
Allowance related to impaired loans	\$ 8,134	\$ 6,361

At December 31, 2009, impaired loans were comprised mainly of loans on non-accrual status, totaling \$106.6 million, in addition to certain loans whose terms have been modified in a troubled debt restructuring. These consisted of renegotiated credit card loans under various debt management and assistance programs, and totaled \$16.0 million at December 31, 2009.

Average impaired loans were \$125.6 million during 2009, \$34.3 million during 2008 and \$23.8 million during 2007. The interest income recorded on these loans during their impairment period was not significant. Impaired loan balances increased \$38.9 million during 2009, with the largest increases in non-accrual construction and land real estate loans, business loans, and business real estate loans.

In addition to the portfolio of loans which are intended to be held to maturity, the Company originates loans which it intends to sell in secondary markets. Loans classified as held for sale primarily consist of loans

76

Table of Contents

originated to students while attending colleges and universities. The Company maintains contracts with the Federal Department of Education and various student loan agencies to sell student loans at various times while the student is attending school or shortly after graduation. Also included as held for sale are certain fixed rate residential mortgage loans which are sold in the secondary market, generally within three months of origination. The following table presents information about loans held for sale, including an impairment valuation allowance resulting from declines in fair value below cost, which is further discussed in Note 16 on Fair Value Measurements. Previously recognized impairment losses amounting to \$8.6 million were reversed during 2009, as various impaired loans were sold, and increases in fair value were recorded on other unsold loans due to improving investor liquidity.

(In thousands)	2009			2008		
Balance outstanding at end of year: Student loans, at cost Residential mortgage loans, at cost Valuation allowance on student loans	\$	335,358 10,473 (828)	\$	367,954 2,742 (9,398)		
Total loans held for sale, at lower of cost or fair value	\$	345,003	\$	361,298		
Net gains on sales: Student loans Residential mortgage loans	\$	9,738 2,463	\$	2,139 1,029		
Total gains on sales of loans held for sale, net	\$	12,201	\$	3,168		

4. Investment Securities

Investment securities, at fair value, consisted of the following at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

(In thousands)	2009		2008
Available for sale: U.S. government and federal agency obligations Government-sponsored enterprise obligations State and municipal obligations Agency mortgage-backed securities Non-agency mortgage-backed securities Other asset-backed securities	\$	447,038 165,814 939,338 2,262,003 609,016 1,701,569	\$ 11,594 141,957 719,752 1,711,404 620,479 253,756
other asset sacred securities		1,701,00	200,700

Other debt securities Equity securities	176,331 39,866	121,861 49,950
Total available for sale	6,340,975	3,630,753
Trading Non-marketable	10,335 122,078	9,463 139,900
Total investment securities	\$ 6,473,388	\$ 3,780,116

Most of the Company s investment securities are classified as available for sale, and this portfolio is discussed in more detail below. Securities which are classified as non-marketable include Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock and Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) stock held for debt and regulatory purposes, which totaled \$72.6 million and \$84.4 million at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Investment in FRB stock is based on the capital structure of the investing bank, and investment in FHLB stock is mainly tied to the level of borrowings from the FHLB. These holdings are carried at cost. Non-marketable securities also include private equity investments, which amounted to \$49.5 million and \$55.4 million at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. In the absence of readily ascertainable market values, these securities are carried at estimated fair value.

77

Table of Contents

A summary of the available for sale investment securities by maturity groupings as of December 31, 2009 is shown below. The weighted average yield for each range of maturities was calculated using the yield on each security within that range weighted by the amortized cost of each security at December 31, 2009. Yields on tax exempt securities have not been adjusted for tax exempt status. The investment portfolio includes agency mortgage-backed securities, which are guaranteed by government-sponsored agencies such as FHLMC, FNMA and GNMA, and non-agency mortgage-backed securities which have no guarantee, but are collateralized by residential mortgages. Also included are certain other asset-backed securities, primarily collateralized by credit cards, automobiles and commercial loans. These securities differ from traditional debt securities primarily in that they have uncertain maturity dates and are priced based on estimated prepayment rates on the underlying collateral. The Company does not have exposure to subprime originated mortgage-backed or collateralized debt obligation instruments.

(Dollars in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield
U.S. government and federal agency obligations: Within 1 year After 1 but within 5 years After 5 but within 10 years	\$ 2,957 176,821 256,829	\$ 2,966 177,253 266,819	.89% .15 1.70
Total U.S. government and federal agency obligations	436,607	447,038	1.07
Government-sponsored enterprise obligations: Within 1 year After 1 but within 5 years	21,866 140,325	22,263 143,551	3.50 2.49
Total government-sponsored enterprise obligations	162,191	165,814	2.62
State and municipal obligations: Within 1 year After 1 but within 5 years After 5 but within 10 years After 10 years	115,275 397,748 136,815 267,429	116,865 411,609 139,797 271,067	3.61 3.38 3.46 2.74
Total state and municipal obligations	917,267	939,338	3.23
Mortgage and asset-backed securities: Agency mortgage-backed securities Non-agency mortgage-backed securities	2,205,177 654,711	2,262,003 609,016	4.17 6.21

Edgar Filing: PURE CYCLE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Other asset-backed securities	1	,685,691	1,701,569	2.51
Total mortgage and asset-backed securities	4	1,545,579	4,572,588	3.84
Other debt securities: After 1 but within 5 years		164,402	176,331	
Total other debt securities		164,402	176,331	
Equity securities		11,285	39,866	
Total available for sale investment securities	\$ 6	5,237,331	\$ 6,340,975	

Included in U.S. government securities are \$435.0 million, at fair value, of U.S. Treasury inflation-protected securities (TIPS), which were purchased during the second half of 2009. Interest paid on these securities increases with inflation and decreases with deflation, as measured by the Consumer Price Index. At maturity, the principal paid is the greater of an inflation-adjusted principal or the original principal. Included in state and municipal obligations are \$167.8 million, at fair value, of auction rate securities (ARS),

78

Table of Contents

which were purchased from bank customers in the third quarter of 2008. These bonds are historically traded in a competitive bidding process at weekly/monthly auctions. These auctions have not functioned since early 2008, and this market has not recovered. Interest is currently being paid at the maximum failed auction rates. Equity securities are primarily comprised of investments in common stock held by the Parent.

For securities classified as available for sale, the following table shows the unrealized gains and losses (pre-tax) in accumulated other comprehensive income, by security type. Included in gross unrealized losses at December 31, 2009 are other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses of \$30.3 million relating to certain non-agency mortgage-backed securities, which represent the noncredit-related portion of the overall impairment amount.

(In thousands)	Amortized Cost		Gross Unrealized Gains		Gross Unrealized Losses		I	Fair Value	
December 31, 2009 U.S. government and federal agency obligations Government-sponsored enterprise obligations State and municipal obligations Mortgage and asset-backed securities:	\$	436,607 162,191 917,267	\$	10,764 3,743 25,099	\$	(333) (120) (3,028)	\$	447,038 165,814 939,338	
Agency mortgage-backed securities		2,205,177		58,740		(1,914)		2,262,003	
Non-agency mortgage-backed securities Other asset-backed securities		654,711 1,685,691		4,505 17,143		(50,200) (1,265)		609,016 1,701,569	
Total mortgage and asset-backed securities		4,545,579		80,388		(53,379)		4,572,588	
Other debt securities Equity securities		164,402 11,285		11,929 28,581				176,331 39,866	
Total	\$	6,237,331	\$	160,504	\$	(56,860)	\$	6,340,975	
December 31, 2008									
U.S. government and federal agency obligations Government-sponsored enterprise obligations	\$	10,478 135,825	\$	1,116 6,132	\$	(6.169)	\$	11,594 141,957	
State and municipal obligations Mortgage and asset-backed securities:		715,421		10,794		(6,463)		719,752	
Agency mortgage-backed securities		1,685,821		26,609		(1,026)		1,711,404	
Non-agency mortgage-backed securities Other asset-backed securities		742,090 275,641		816 113		(122,427) (21,998)		620,479 253,756	
Total mortgage and asset-backed securities		2,703,552		27,538		(145,451)		2,585,639	

Other debt securities Equity securities	116,527 7,680	5,404 42,270	(70)	121,861 49,950	
Total	\$ 3,689,483	\$ 93,254	\$ (151,984)	\$ 3,630,753	
	79				

Table of Contents

The Company s impairment policy requires a review of all securities for which fair value is less than amortized cost. Special emphasis and analysis is placed on securities whose credit rating has fallen below A3/A-, whose fair values have fallen more than 20% below purchase price for an extended period of time, or have been identified based on management s judgment. These securities are placed on a watch list, and for all such securities, detailed cash flow models are prepared which use inputs specific to each security. Inputs to these models include factors such as cash flow received, contractual payments required, and various other information related to the underlying collateral (including current delinquencies), collateral loss severity rates (including loan to values), expected delinquency rates, credit support from other tranches, and prepayment speeds. Stress tests are performed at varying levels of delinquency rates, prepayment speeds and loss severities in order to gauge probable ranges of credit loss. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of securities on this watch list was \$298.8 million.

Prior to March 2009, the Company had not incurred OTTI on any of its debt securities. However, as of December 31, 2009, the Company had recorded OTTI on twelve non-agency mortgage-backed securities, having an aggregate par value of \$171.6 million. The credit portion of the impairment totaled \$2.5 million and was recorded in current earnings. The noncredit-related portion of the impairment totaled \$30.3 million on a pre-tax basis, and has been recognized in other comprehensive income. The Company does not intend to sell these securities and believes it is not more likely than not that it will be required to sell the securities before the recovery of their amortized cost.

The credit portion of the loss on these securities was based on the cash flows projected to be received over the estimated life of the securities, discounted to present value, and compared to the current amortized cost bases of the securities. Significant inputs to the cash flow models used to calculate the credit losses on these securities included the following:

Significant Inputs	Range
Decree of CDD	(0) 250
Prepayment CPR	6% - 25%
Projected cumulative default	7% - 39%
Credit support	3% - 14%
Loss severity	32% - 57%

The following table shows changes in the credit losses recorded in current earnings, for which a portion of an OTTI was recognized in other comprehensive income.

(In thousands) 2009

Balance, January 1	\$
Credit losses on debt securities for which impairment was not previously recognized	3,619
Credit losses reversed on securities sold	(1,146)

Balance, December 31 \$ 2,473

80

Table of Contents

Securities with unrealized losses recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income are shown in the table below, along with the length of the impairment period. The table includes securities for which a portion of an OTTI has been recognized in other comprehensive income.

(In thousands)	Less the Fair Value		12 months Unrealized Losses		12 months Fair Value		s or longer Unrealized Losses		Tot Fair Value		unrealized Losses	
At December 31, 2009 U.S. government and federal agency obligations	\$	168,172	\$	333	\$		\$		\$	168,172	\$	333
Government-sponsored enterprise obligations State and municipal obligations Mortgage and asset-backed securities:		24,842 16,471		120 121		104,215		2,907		24,842 120,686		120 3,028
Agency mortgage-backed securities Non-agency mortgage-backed securities Other asset-backed securities		214,571 209,961 290,183		1,911 18,512 218		150 215,158 34,456		3 31,688 1,047		214,721 425,119 324,639		1,914 50,200 1,265
Total mortgage and asset-backed securities		714,715		20,641		249,764		32,738		964,479		53,379
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$	924,200	\$	21,215	\$	353,979	\$	35,645	\$	1,278,179	\$	56,860

	Less than 12 months				2 months	O	Total			
(In thousands)	Fair Value		realized Losses	,	Fair Value	_	ealized osses	Fair Value		nrealized Losses
At December 31, 2008 State and municipal obligations Mortgage and asset-backed securities:	\$ 175,770	\$	6,457	\$	369	\$	6	\$ 176,139	\$	6,463
Agency mortgage-backed securities	183,577		1,003		4,664		23	188,241		1,026

Edgar Filing: PURE CYCLE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Non-agency mortgage-backed securities	412,002	95,153	176,013	27,274	588,015	122,427
Other asset-backed securities	216,187	16,696	22,514	5,302	238,701	21,998
Total mortgage and asset-backed securities	811,766	112,852	203,191	32,599	1,014,957	145 451
asset-backed securities	811,700	112,832	203,191	32,399	1,014,937	145,451
Other debt securities	2,691	70			2,691	70
other deet securities	2,001	70			2,001	70
Total temporarily impaired						
securities	\$ 990,227	\$ 119,379	\$ 203,560	\$ 32,605	\$ 1,193,787	\$ 151,984

Out of the total available for sale portfolio, consisting of approximately 1,200 individual securities at December 31, 2009, 146 securities were temporarily impaired, of which 46 securities, or 4% of the portfolio value, have been in a loss position for 12 months or longer.

The unrealized losses on the Company s investments, as shown in the preceding tables, are largely contained in the portfolio of non-agency mortgage-backed securities. These securities are not guaranteed by an outside agency and are dependent on payments received from the underlying mortgage collateral. While virtually all of these securities, at purchase date, were comprised of senior tranches and were highly rated by various rating agencies, the adverse housing market, liquidity pressures and overall economic climate has resulted in low fair values for these securities. Also, as mentioned above, the Company maintains a watch list comprised mainly of these securities, and has recorded OTTI losses on certain securities. The Company continues to closely monitor the performance of these securities. Additional OTTI losses may arise in future periods, due to further deterioration in expected cash flows, loss severities and delinquency levels of the securities underlying collateral, which would negatively affect the Company s financial results.

The Company does not intend to sell these investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity.

81

Table of Contents

The following table presents proceeds from sales of securities and the components of investment securities gains and losses which have been recognized in earnings.

(In thousands)	2009	2008	2007
Proceeds from sales of available for sale securities Proceeds from sales/redemption of non-marketable securities	\$ 202,544 5,308	\$ 109,543 22,300	\$ 235,294 4,247
Total proceeds	\$ 207,852	\$ 131,843	\$ 239,541
Available for sale:			
Gains realized on sales	\$ 10,311	\$ 9,946	\$ 5,043
Losses realized on sales	(9,989)	(4,743)	(2,116)
Other-than-temporary impairment recognized on debt securities	(2,473)		
Non-marketable:			
Gains realized on sales/redemption	1,087	22,300	51
Losses realized on sales	(170)		(19)
Fair value adjustments, net	(5,961)	2,791	5,275
Investment securities gains (losses), net	\$ (7,195)	\$ 30,294	\$ 8,234

Investment securities with a fair value of \$4.1 billion and \$2.6 billion were pledged at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, to secure public deposits, securities sold under repurchase agreements, trust funds, and borrowings at the Federal Reserve Bank. Securities pledged under agreements pursuant to which the collateral may be sold or re-pledged by the secured parties approximated \$537.1 million, while the remaining securities were pledged under agreements pursuant to which the secured parties may not sell or re-pledge the collateral. Except for obligations of various government-sponsored enterprises such as FNMA, FHLB and FHLMC, no investment in a single issuer exceeds 10% of stockholders equity.

5. Land, Buildings and Equipment

Land, buildings and equipment consist of the following at December 31, 2009 and 2008:

(In thousands)	2009	2008
Land Buildings and improvements	\$ 107,002 504,916	\$ 100,879 493,042

Equipment	225,621	224,869
Total	837,539	818,790
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	434,906	407,622
Net land, buildings and equipment	\$ 402,633	\$ 411,168

Depreciation expense of \$37.0 million, \$35.3 million and \$35.7 million for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, was included in occupancy expense and equipment expense in the consolidated income statements. Repairs and maintenance expense of \$18.6 million, \$20.1 million and \$18.5 million for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, was included in occupancy expense and equipment expense. No interest expense was capitalized on construction projects in 2009, 2008 or 2007.

82

Table of Contents

6. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other intangible assets are summarized in the following table.

December 31, 2009 Gross						Cuasa	December 31, 2008								
(In thousands)	C	arrying		cumulated ortization				Net Amount		Gross Carrying Amount			Valuation Allowance	A	Net Amount
Amortizable intangible assets: Core deposit	\$	25 720	¢	(12.066)	¢		¢	12.754	\$	25 720	\$	(0.224)	¢	¢	16 206
premium Mortgage		25,720		(12,966)	Ф		\$,	Ф	25,720	Ф	(9,324)		\$	16,396
servicing rights		2,898		(1,206)		(113)		1,579		1,816		(871)	(150)		795
Total amortizable intangible assets		28,618		(14,172)		(113)		14,333		27,536		(10,195)	(150)		17,191
Goodwill		125,585						125,585		125,585					125,585
Total intangible assets	\$	154,203	\$	(14,172)	\$	(113)	\$	139,918	\$	153,121	\$	(10,195)	\$ (150)	\$	142,776

As a result of ongoing assessments, no impairment of goodwill was recorded in 2009, 2008 or 2007. Further, the regular annual review on January 1, 2010 revealed no impairment as of that date. Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by operating segment for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009 are shown in the following table.

(In thousands)	Consumer	Commercial	Wealth	Total
	Segment	Segment	Segment	Goodwill
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 67,653	\$ 56,171	\$ 746	\$ 124,570

Purchase accounting adjustments to prior year				
acquisitions	259	1,034		1,293
Other	(147)	(131)		(278)
Balance at December 31, 2008 and 2009	\$ 67,765	\$ 57,074	\$ 746	\$ 125,585

Changes in the net carrying amount of other intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009 are shown in the following table.

(In thousands)	Core Deposit remium	Se	ortgage rvicing Rights
Balance at December 31, 2007 Originations Amortization	\$ 20,538 (4,142)	\$	875 255 (185)
Impairment			(150)
Balance at December 31, 2008 Originations	16,396		795 1,082
Amortization Impairment recovery	(3,642)		(335)
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 12,754	\$	1,579

Mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) are initially recorded at fair value and subsequently amortized over the period of estimated servicing income. They are periodically reviewed for impairment and if impairment is indicated, recorded at fair value. At December 31, 2009, a temporary impairment of \$113 thousand was recognized. Temporary impairment, including impairment recovery, is effected through a change in a valuation allowance. The fair value of the MSRs is based on the present value of expected future cash flows, as further discussed in Note 16 on Fair Value Measurements.

83

Table of Contents

Aggregate amortization expense on intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$4.0 million, \$4.3 million and \$4.2 million, respectively. The following table shows the estimated future amortization expense based on existing asset balances and the interest rate environment as of December 31, 2009. The Company s actual amortization expense in any given period may be different from the estimated amounts depending upon the acquisition of intangible assets, changes in mortgage interest rates, prepayment rates and other market conditions.

(In thousands)

2010	\$ 3,372
2011	2,839
2012	2,311
2013	1,787
2014	1,319

7. Deposits

At December 31, 2009, the scheduled maturities of total time open and certificates of deposit were as follows:

(In thousands)

Due in 2010	\$ 2,604,292
Due in 2011	482,146
Due in 2012	52,673
Due in 2013	27,357
Due in 2014	46,765
Thereafter	486

Total \$ 3,213,719

The following table shows a detailed breakdown of the maturities of time open and certificates of deposit, by size category, at December 31, 2009.

Certificates of		Certificates of	
	Other Time		Other Time
Deposit under	Deposits	Deposit over	Deposits

Edgar Filing: PURE CYCLE CORP - Form DEF 14A

(In thousands)	,	\$100,000	unc	der \$100,000	\$100,000	ov	er \$100,000	Total
Due in 3 months or less Due in over 3 through	\$	282,394	\$	58,045	\$ 456,493	\$	19,929	\$ 816,861
6 months Due in over 6 through		265,639		67,889	263,803		20,084	617,415
12 months		661,513		64,584	420,442		23,477	1,170,016
Due in over 12 months		308,841		92,427	195,693		12,466	609,427
Total	\$	1,518,387	\$	282,945	\$ 1,336,431	\$	75,956	\$ 3,213,719

Regulations of the Federal Reserve System require cash balances to be maintained at the Federal Reserve Bank, based on certain deposit levels. The minimum reserve requirement for the Bank at December 31, 2009 totaled \$119.7 million.

Table of Contents

8. Borrowings

The following table sets forth selected information for short-term borrowings (borrowings with an original maturity of less than one year).

	X 7			Maximum	
(Dollars in thousands)	Year End Weighted Rate	Average Weighted Rate	Average Balance Outstanding	Outstanding at any Month End	Balance at December 31
2009					
Federal funds purchased and repurchase					
agreements	.1%	.1%	\$ 468,643	\$ 674,121	\$ 603,191
2008					
Federal funds purchased and repurchase					
agreements	.1	1.8	873,625	1,253,655	526,537
FHLB advances	2.7	2.7	29,508	100,000	100,000
Term auction facility borrowings	.4	1.4	155,738	700,000	700,000
2007					
Federal funds purchased and repurchase					
agreements	2.8	4.7	1,196,612	1,800,505	739,219

Short-term borrowings consist primarily of federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements), which generally mature within 90 days. Short-term repurchase agreements at December 31, 2009 were comprised of non-insured customer funds totaling \$541.1 million, which were secured by a portion of the Company s investment portfolio.

During 2008 and early 2009, the Company periodically borrowed under the Federal Reserve s temporary Term Auction Facility (TAF) program. The TAF is a credit facility in which local Federal Reserve Banks auction term funds, generally with a 28 or 84-day maturity, at a rate determined as the result of the auction and fixed over the time to maturity. The TAF credit is collateralized similarly to discount window borrowings, generally with investment securities and loans. The Company has not borrowed under the TAF program since the first quarter of 2009, during which these borrowings averaged \$287.8 million.

Long-term borrowings of the Company consisted of the following at December 31, 2009.

			Year End	
		Maturity	Weighted	Year End
(Dollars in thousands)	Borrower	Date	Rate	Balance

FHLB advances	Subsidiary bank	2010	3.9%	\$ 427,597
		2011	1.2	92,250
		2012-16	4.3	104,539
		2017	3.5	100,000
Structured repurchase agreements	Subsidiary bank	2010	.5	500,000
Structured note payable	Venture capital subsidiary	2012	.0	7,515
Subordinated debentures	Subsidiary holding company	2030	10.9	4,000
Non-recourse lease financing notes	Bank leasing subsidiary	2011	6.3	161

Total \$ 1,236,062

The Bank is a member of the Des Moines FHLB and has access to term financing from the FHLB. These borrowings are secured under a blanket collateral agreement including primarily residential mortgages as well as all unencumbered assets and stock of the borrowing bank. Total outstanding advances at December 31, 2009 were \$724.4 million. Nearly all of the outstanding advances have fixed interest rates and contain prepayment penalties. The FHLB has issued letters of credit, totaling \$533.3 million at December 31, 2009, to secure the Company s obligations to depositors of public funds.

The structured repurchase agreements mature in 2010, with \$350.0 million based on a LIBOR-based floating interest rate with an embedded floor, and the remaining \$150.0 million based on a fixed rate. The

85

Table of Contents

Company has contracted to enter into new repurchase agreements, totaling \$400.0 million, upon maturity. These borrowings have a floating interest rate based upon a published constant maturity swap (CMS) rate and will mature in 2013 through 2014.

In certain acquisition transactions, the Company assumed subordinated debentures which were issued by the acquired bank holding companies to wholly owned grantor trusts. The trusts were formed to issue preferred securities representing undivided beneficial interests in the assets of the trusts and to invest the gross proceeds of such preferred securities in the debentures. While the trusts are accounted for as unconsolidated equity investments, the preferred securities issued by the trusts qualify as Tier I Capital for regulatory purposes. In December 2009, \$10.3 million of subordinated debentures were redeemed by the Company. The remaining debentures outstanding at December 31, 2009 totaled \$4.0 million, which the Company intends to redeem in 2010 as permitted under contractual provisions.

Other long-term debt includes \$7.5 million borrowed from third-party insurance companies by a venture capital subsidiary, a Missouri Certified Capital Company, to support its investment activities. Because the insurance companies receive tax credits, the borrowings do not bear interest. This debt is secured by assets of the subsidiary and guaranteed by the Parent, evidenced by letters of credit from the Bank.

Cash payments for interest on deposits and borrowings during 2009, 2008 and 2007 on a consolidated basis amounted to \$172.6 million, \$285.5 million and \$393.1 million, respectively.

9. Income Taxes

The components of income tax expense (benefit) from operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

(In thousands)	(Current	D	eferred	Total
Year ended December 31, 2009: U.S. federal State and local	\$	77,753 3,314	\$	(6,719) (591)	\$ 71,034 2,723
	\$	81,067	\$	(7,310)	\$ 73,757
Year ended December 31, 2008: U.S. federal State and local	\$	81,536 885	\$	3,193 (537)	\$ 84,729 348
	\$	82,421	\$	2,656	\$ 85,077
Year ended December 31, 2007: U.S. federal	\$	102,666	\$	(9,866)	\$ 92,800

State and local		2,298	(1,361)	937	
	\$ 1	104 064	\$ (11,227)	\$ 03 737	
	Φ 1	104,904	Φ (11,227)	\$ 93,131	

The components of income tax expense (benefit) recorded directly to stockholders equity for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

(In thousands)	2009	2008	2007
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale Compensation expense for tax purposes in excess of amounts recognized	\$ 61,701	\$ (40,724)	\$,
for financial reporting purposes Accumulated pension (benefit) loss Other	(557) 1,476	(1,941) (9,833) 549	(2,298) 4,256
Income tax expense (benefit) allocated to stockholders equity	\$ 62,620	\$ (51,949)	\$ 13,860

86

Table of Contents

Significant components of the Company s deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

(In thousands)	2009	2008
Deferred tax assets: Loans, principally due to allowance for loan losses Unrealized loss on securities available for sale	\$ 90,774	\$ 82,840 22,317
Equity-based compensation	13,987	12,622
Accrued expenses	7,102	9,681
Deferred compensation	5,264	4,951
Other	7,758	6,316
Total deferred tax assets	124,885	138,727
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Equipment lease financing	45,551	45,926
Unrealized gain on securities available for sale	39,384	
Land, buildings and equipment	25,571	24,295
Accretion on investment securities	6,055	2,633
Intangibles	4,919	5,305
Prepaid expenses	3,137	3,449
Other	2,926	2,948
Total deferred tax liabilities	127,543	84,556
Net deferred tax asset (liability)	\$ (2,658)	\$ 54,171

The Company acquired a federal net operating loss (NOL) carryforward of approximately \$4.3 million in connection with a 2003 acquisition. The NOL carryforward will begin to expire in 2020. At December 31, 2009, the tax benefit related to the remaining NOL carryforward was \$564 thousand. Management believes it is more likely than not that the results of future operations will generate sufficient taxable income to realize the total deferred tax assets.

A reconciliation between the expected federal income tax expense using the federal statutory tax rate of 35 percent and the Company s actual income tax expense for 2009, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

(In thousands) 2009 2008 2007

Computed expected tax expense Increase (decrease) in income taxes resulting from:	\$ 84,991	\$ 95,806	\$ 105,139
Tax-exempt interest, net of cost to carry	(11,813)	(9,902)	(9,238)
Tax deductible dividends on allocated shares held by the Company s ESOP	(1,087)	(1,084)	(1,079)
State and local income taxes, net of federal tax benefit Other	1,770 (104)	226 31	609 (1,694)
Offici	(104)	31	(1,094)
Total income tax expense	\$ 73,757	\$ 85,077	\$ 93,737

Cash payments of income taxes, net of refunds, amounted to \$82.9 million, \$84.4 million and \$95.4 million on a consolidated basis during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Parent had net receipts of \$4.9 million, \$2.7 million and \$6.2 million during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, from tax benefits.

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted an amendment to ASC 740 Income Taxes , which requires a company to evaluate whether a tax position taken by the company will more likely than not be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority. The amendment also provides guidance on how a company should measure the amount of benefit that the company is to recognize in its financial statements. As a result of the implementation of this guidance, the Company recorded a \$446 thousand decrease to the liability for unrecognized tax benefits which was accounted for as an increase to the January 1, 2007 balance of retained earnings. As of January 1, 2007, the total gross amount of unrecognized

87

Table of Contents

tax benefits was \$2.4 million and the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that would impact the effective tax rate, if recognized, was \$2.0 million.

It is the Company s policy to recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. Interest and penalties recorded in income tax expense, classified as a component of income tax expense, was income of \$156 thousand and \$73 thousand in 2009 and 2008, respectively, and expense of \$122 thousand in 2007. Accrued interest and penalties were \$335 thousand and \$491 thousand as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$2.7 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, and the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that would impact the effective tax rate, if recognized, was \$2.1 million and \$2.5 million, respectively. While it is expected that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will change in the next twelve months, the Company does not expect this change to have a material impact on the results of operations or the financial position of the Company.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of multiple state and local jurisdictions. Tax years 2006 through 2009 remain open to examination for U.S. federal income tax and tax years 2006 through 2009 remain open to examination by significant state tax jurisdictions.

The activity in the accrued liability for unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 was as follows:

(In thousands)	2009	2008
Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of year	\$ 3,350	\$ 3,258
Gross increases tax positions in prior period	9	19
Gross decreases tax positions in prior period	(667)	(403)
Gross increases current-period tax positions	349	779
Settlements	(14)	
Lapse of statute of limitations	(313)	(303)
Unrecognized tax benefits at end of year	\$ 2,714	\$ 3,350

10. Employee Benefit Plans

Employee benefits charged to operating expenses are summarized in the table below. Substantially all of the Company s employees are covered by a defined contribution (401K) plan, under which the Company makes matching contributions.

(In thousands)	2009	2008	2007
----------------	------	------	------

Edgar Filing: PURE CYCLE CORP - Form DEF 14A

Payroll taxes Medical plans 401K plan Pension plans Other	\$ 20,587 20,164 9,771 3,023 1,945	\$ 20,2 17,3 9,5 (1,7 2,0	40 37 97)	19,386 13,583 8,839 (763) 2,345
Total employee benefits	\$ 1,945 55,490	\$ 47,4		43,390

A large portion of the Company s current employees are covered by a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan, however, participation in the pension plan is not available to employees hired after June 30, 2003. All participants are fully vested in their benefit payable upon normal retirement date, which is based on years of participation and average annualized earnings. Certain key executives also participate in a supplemental executive retirement plan (the CERP) that the Company funds only as retirement benefits are disbursed. The CERP carries no segregated assets.

Effective January 1, 2005 substantially all benefits accrued under the pension plan were frozen. Certain annual salary credits to pension accounts were discontinued, however, the accounts continue to accrue interest at a stated annual rate. Enhancements were then made to the 401K plan, which have increased

88

Table of Contents

employer contributions to the 401K plan. Enhancements were also made to the CERP, providing credits based on hypothetical contributions in excess of those permitted under the 401K plan.

An employer must recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan as an asset or liability in its balance sheet and must recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through other comprehensive income. Effective in 2008, plan assets and benefit obligations must be measured as of fiscal year end. Accordingly, during 2008 the Company changed its measurement date from September 30 to December 31. The change resulted in an increase of \$561 thousand, on a pre-tax basis, to retained earnings, which was recorded on December 31, 2008.

Under the Company s funding policy for the defined benefit pension plan, contributions are made to a trust as necessary to provide for current service and for any unfunded accrued actuarial liabilities over a reasonable period. To the extent that these requirements are fully covered by assets in the trust, a contribution might not be made in a particular year. The Company made no contributions to the defined benefit pension plan in 2009, and the minimum required contribution for 2010 is expected to be zero. The Company does not expect to make any further contributions other than the necessary funding contributions to the CERP. Contributions to the CERP were \$10 thousand, \$12 thousand and \$10 thousand during fiscal 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Benefit obligations of the CERP at the December 31, 2009 and 2008 valuation dates are shown in the table immediately below. In all other tables presented, the pension plan and the CERP are presented on a combined basis.

(In thousands)	2009		2008		
Projected benefit obligation Accumulated benefit obligation	· ·	2,557 2,557		2,169 2,169	

The following items are components of the net pension cost for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

(In thousands)	2009	2008	2007
Service cost-benefits earned during the year Interest cost on projected benefit obligation Expected return on plan assets Amortization of unrecognized net loss	\$ 683 5,473 (6,123) 2,990	\$ 1,025 5,236 (8,165) 107	\$ 1,053 5,033 (7,568) 719
Net periodic pension cost (income)	\$ 3,023	\$ (1,797)	\$ (763)

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the pension plans funded status, using valuation dates of December 31, 2009 and 2008.

(In thousands)	2009		2008	
Change in projected benefit obligation				
Projected benefit obligation at prior valuation date Service cost Interest cost Benefits paid Actuarial (gain) loss	\$	91,430 683 5,473 (4,639) 5,201	\$	86,266 1,222 6,519 (5,751) 3,174
Projected benefit obligation at valuation date		98,148		91,430
Change in plan assets				
Fair value of plan assets at prior valuation date Actual return (loss) on plan assets Employer contributions Benefits paid		85,852 12,275 10 (4,639)		104,754 (13,163) 12 (5,751)
Fair value of plan assets at valuation date		93,498		85,852
Funded status and net amount recognized at valuation date	\$	(4,650)	\$	(5,578)

The accumulated benefit obligation, which represents the liability of a plan using only benefits as of the measurement date, was \$98.1 million and \$91.4 million for the combined plans on December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Amounts not yet reflected in net periodic benefit cost and included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) at December 31, 2009 and 2008 are shown below, including amounts recognized in other comprehensive income during the periods. All amounts are shown on a pre-tax basis.

(In thousands)	2009	2008

Prior service credit (cost) Accumulated loss	\$ (28,828)	\$ (32,769)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss Cumulative employer contributions in excess of net periodic benefit cost	(28,828) 24,178	(32,769) 27,191
Net amount recognized as an accrued benefit liability on the December 31 balance sheet	\$ (4,650)	\$ (5,578)
Net gain (loss) arising during period Amortization of net loss	\$ 951 2,990	\$ (26,544) 107
Total recognized in other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 3,941	\$ (26,437)
Total income (expense) recognized in net periodic pension cost and other comprehensive income	\$ 918	\$ (24,640)

The estimated net loss to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic pension cost in 2010 is \$2.3 million.

90

Table of Contents

The following assumptions, on a weighted average basis, were used in accounting for the plans.

	2009	2008	2007
Determination of benefit obligation at year end: Discount rate Assumed credit on cash balance accounts	5.75%	6.00%	6.25%
	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Determination of net periodic benefit cost for year ended: Discount rate Long-term rate of return on assets Assumed credit on cash balance accounts	6.00%	6.25%	5.75%
	7.25%	8.00%	8.00%
	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%

The following table shows the fair values of the Company s pension plan assets by asset category. Information about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value are provided in Note 16 on Fair Value Measurements.

		Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2009 Quoted					
		Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs			
(In thousands)	Total	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)			
Assets: Government-sponsored enterprise obligations ^(a) State and municipal obligations Non-agency mortgage-backed securities Other asset-backed securities Corporate bonds ^(b) International bonds Equity securities: ^(c)	\$ 13,042 2,053 3,919 1,349 23,104 500	\$	\$ 13,042 2,053 3,919 1,349 23,104 500	\$			
U.S. large-cap U.S. mid-cap Emerging markets Goldman Sachs Financial Square Government(d)	22,819 10,595 781 15,336	22,819 10,595 781 15,336					

Total \$ 93,498 \$ 49,531 \$ 43,967 \$

- (a) This category represents obligations issued by agencies such as the Federal Home Loan Bank, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp, the Federal National Mortgage Association, and the Government National Mortgage Association.
- (b) This category represents investment grade bonds of U.S. issuers from diverse industries.
- (c) This category represents investments in individual common stocks and equity funds. The majority of these investments are in equity mutual funds, which have diversified investment holdings across the financial services, healthcare, energy, telecommunications, and industrial materials sectors.
- (d) Amounts in this fund are short-term placements pending reinvestment.

The investment policy of the pension plan is designed for growth in value within limits designed to safeguard against significant losses within the portfolio. The policy sets guidelines regarding the types of investments held that may change from time to time, currently including items such as holding bonds rated investment grade or better, and prohibiting investment in Company stock. The plan does not utilize derivatives. Management believes there are no significant concentrations of risk within the plan asset portfolio at December 31, 2009. Under the policy, the long-term investment target mix for the plan through 2007 was 60% equity securities and 40% fixed income. To better match expected benefit payments in the future, the investment mix was modified during 2008 and at December 31, 2008, plan assets were more heavily weighted in fixed income debt securities and money market funds. The same investment mix was

91

Table of Contents

generally maintained throughout 2009, and the current target is 35% equity and 65% fixed income securities. The Company regularly reviews its policies on investment mix and may make changes depending on economic conditions and perceived investment risk.

The selection of a discount rate was based on a review of various bond indices. These portfolios are comprised of high quality fixed-income instruments whose cash flows approximate the future estimated benefit payments under the plan. The selection process also considers the results of actuarial modeling tools and assumptions.

The assumed overall expected long-term rate of return on pension plan assets used in calculating 2009 pension plan expense was 7.25%. Determination of the plan s rate of return is based upon historical returns for equities and fixed income indexes. The average 10-year annualized return for the Company s pension plan is 3.6%. During 2009, the plan s rate of return was 13.8%, compared to a loss of 9.7% in 2008. The rate used in plan calculations may be adjusted by management for current trends in the economic environment. With a portion of the plan s investments in equities, the actual return for any one plan year may fluctuate with changes in the stock market. Due to market gains experienced during 2009 and therefore higher asset values and lower anticipated amortization of investment losses in 2010, the Company expects to incur \$1.9 million pension expense in 2010 compared to \$3.0 million in 2009.

The following future benefit payments are expected to be paid:

(In thousands)

2010	\$ 5,735
2011	5,954
2012	6,117
2013	6,314
2014	6,491
2015-2019	34,990

11. Stock-Based Compensation and Directors Stock Purchase Plan*

The Company s stock-based compensation is provided under a stockholder-approved plan which allows for issuance of various types of awards, including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units, performance awards and stock-based awards. At December 31, 2009, 3,097,406 shares remained available for issuance under the plan. The stock-based compensation expense that was charged against income was \$6.6 million, \$6.4 million and \$6.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement for share-based compensation arrangements was \$2.5 million, \$2.4 million and \$2.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

During 2009, stock-based compensation was issued primarily in the form of nonvested stock awards. Nonvested stock is awarded to key employees, by action of the Board of Directors. These awards generally vest after 5 to 7 years of continued employment, but vesting terms may vary according to the specifics of the individual grant agreement. There are restrictions as to transferability, sale, pledging, or assigning, among others, prior to the end of the vesting period. Dividend and voting rights are conferred upon grant. A summary of the status of the Company s nonvested share

awards as of December 31, 2009 and changes during the year then ended is presented below.

92

Table of Contents

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Dat Fair Valu		
Nonvested at January 1, 2009	239,313	\$	39.82	
Granted Vested Forfeited	180,812 (52,505) (6,221)		34.11 38.08 38.41	
Nonvested at December 31, 2009	361,399	\$	37.23	

The total fair value (at vest date) of shares vested during 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$1.7 million, \$1.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

In determining compensation cost, the Black-Scholes option-pricing model is used to estimate the fair value of options and stock appreciation rights (SARs) on date of grant. The Black-Scholes model is a closed-end model that uses the assumptions in the following table. Expected volatility is based on historical volatility of the Company s stock. The Company uses historical exercise behavior and other factors to estimate the expected term of the options and SARs, which represents the period of time that the options and SARs granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate for the expected term is based on the U.S. Treasury zero coupon spot rates in effect at the time of grant.

Below are the fair values of SARs granted, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, including the model assumptions for those grants. SARs were granted with exercise prices equal to the market price of the Company s stock at the date of grant and have 10-year contractual terms. SARs vest on a graded basis over 4 years of continuous service. All SARs must be settled in stock under provisions of the plan. Stock options, which were granted in 2005 and previous years, vested on a graded basis over 3 years of continuous service and have 10-year contractual terms.

		2009	2	2008		2007	
Weighted per share average fair value at grant date Assumptions:	\$	7.12	\$	7.88	\$	10.85	
Dividend yield		2.7%		2.3%		1.9%	
Volatility		20.8%		18.4%		19.9%	
Risk-free interest rate		3.2%		3.5%		4.6%	
Expected term	7	.3 years	7	.2 years	7	7.4 years	

A summary of option activity during 2009 is presented below.

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price		Average Exercise		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Iı	ggregate ntrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2009	2,514,776	\$	30.53					
Granted Forfeited Expired Exercised	(11,493) (215,496)		32.91 22.21					
Outstanding at December 31, 2009 Exercisable at December 31, 2009 Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2009	2,287,787 2,287,787 2,287,787	\$ \$ \$	31.30 31.30 31.30	3.3 years 3.3 years 3.3 years	\$ \$ \$	16,980 16,980 16,980		

93

Table of Contents

A summary of SAR activity during 2009 is presented below.

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price		Average Exercise		Average Exercise		Average Exercise		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Int	gregate rinsic alue
Outstanding at January 1, 2009	1,679,987	\$	41.74									
Granted Forfeited Expired Exercised	7,350 (9,016) (8,568)		37.12 40.72 41.87									
Outstanding at December 31, 2009 Exercisable at December 31, 2009 Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2009	1,669,753 1,651,782 813,902	\$ \$ \$	41.71 41.73 42.16	7.2 years 7.2 years 6.8 years	\$ \$ \$	27 26 13						

Additional information about stock options and SARs exercises is presented below.

(In thousands)	2009	2008	2007
Intrinsic value of options and SARs exercised Cash received from options and SARs exercised	\$ 3,249 \$ 4,729	\$ 10,006 \$ 15,186	\$ 9,808 \$ 12,919
Tax benefit realized from options and SARs exercised	\$ 4,729 \$ 636	\$ 13,180	\$ 12,919

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$11.2 million of unrecognized compensation cost (net of estimated forfeitures) related to unvested options, SARs and stock awards. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.8 years.

The Company has a directors stock purchase plan whereby outside directors of the Company and its subsidiaries may elect to use their directors fees to purchase Company stock at market value each month end. Remaining shares available for issuance under this plan were 80,431 at December 31, 2009. In 2009, 22,240 shares were purchased at an average price of \$34.07 and in 2008, 19,663 shares were purchased at an average price of \$40.27.

* All share and per share amounts in this note have been restated for the 5% stock dividend distributed in 2009.

12. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is the total of net income and all other non-owner changes in equity. Items recognized under accounting standards as components of comprehensive income are displayed in the consolidated statements of changes in equity, and additional information is presented below about the Company s components of other comprehensive income.

The first component is the unrealized holding gains and losses on available for sale securities. These gains and losses have been separated into two groups in the table below, as required by new accounting guidance on other-than-temporary impairment on debt securities, which the Company adopted in March 2009. Under this guidance, credit-related losses on debt securities with other-than-temporary impairment are recorded in current earnings, while the noncredit-related portion of the overall loss in fair value is recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). The Company recorded other-than-temporary impairments on certain debt securities during 2009. Changes in the noncredit-related loss in fair value of these securities, after other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) was initially recognized, are shown separately in the table below. The remaining unrealized holding gains and losses shown in the table apply to available for sale investment securities for which OTTI has not been recorded (and include holding gains and losses on certain securities prior to the recognition of OTTI).

In the calculation of other comprehensive income, certain reclassification adjustments are made to avoid double counting gains and losses that are included as part of net income for a period that also had been

94

Table of Contents

included as part of other comprehensive income in that period or earlier periods. The reclassification amounts and the related income tax expense or benefit for the three years ended December 31 are shown in the table below.

The second component of other comprehensive income is pension gains and losses that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost, and corresponding adjustments when these gains and losses are subsequently amortized to net periodic benefit cost.

(In thousands)	2009		2008	2007	
Available for sale debt securities for which OTTI has been recognized: Unrealized holding gains subsequent to initial OTTI recognition Income tax expense	\$ 12,251 (4,655)	\$		\$	
Net unrealized gains	7,596				
Other available for sale investment securities:					
Unrealized holding gains (losses)	150,443		(101,968)	34,192	
Income tax (expense) benefit on unrealized gains/losses Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses realized and	(57,152)		38,684	(13,025)	
included in net income Reclassification adjustment for tax expense (benefit) on realized	(322)		(5,201)	(2,927)	
gains/losses	106		2,040	1,123	
Net unrealized gains (losses)	93,075		(66,445)	19,363	
Prepaid pension cost:					
Amortization of accumulated pension loss	2,990		107	719	
Net gain (loss) arising during period	951		(26,544)	10,481	
Income tax (expense) benefit on change in pension loss	(1,476)		10,046	(4,256)	
Change in pension loss	2,465		(16,391)	6,944	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 103,136	\$	(82,836)	\$ 26,307	

The end of period components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are shown in the table below. At December 31, 2009, accumulated other comprehensive income was \$46.4 million, net of tax. It was comprised of

\$18.9 million in unrealized holding losses on available for sale debt securities for which OTTI has been recorded, \$83.2 million in unrealized holding gains on other available for sale securities, and \$17.9 million in accumulated pension loss.

(In thousands)	Gain	arealized is (Losses) Securities	Pension Loss	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)			
Balance at December 31, 2007 Current period other comprehensive income	\$	30,033 (66,445)	\$	(3,926) (16,391)	\$	26,107 (82,836)	
Balance at December 31, 2008 Current period other comprehensive loss		(36,412) 100,671		(20,317) 2,465		(56,729) 103,136	
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$	64,259	\$	(17,852)	\$	46,407	
	95						

Table of Contents

13. Segments

The Company segregates financial information for use in assessing its performance and allocating resources among three operating segments. The Consumer segment includes consumer deposits, consumer finance, consumer debit and credit bank cards, and student loans. The Commercial segment, which includes Small Business accounts, provides corporate lending, leasing, merchant and commercial bank card products, and international services, as well as business, government deposit and cash management services. The Wealth segment provides traditional trust and estate tax planning services, brokerage services, and advisory and discretionary investment management services, and includes Private Banking accounts. The Wealth segment (formerly titled Money Management) also includes the Capital Markets Group, which sells fixed-income securities and provides investment safekeeping and bond accounting services.

The Company s business line reporting system derives segment information from the internal profitability reporting system used by management to monitor and manage the financial performance of the Company. This information is based on internal management accounting policies, which have been developed to reflect the underlying economics of the businesses. The policies address the methodologies applied in connection with funds transfer pricing and assignment of overhead costs among segments. Funds transfer pricing was used in the determination of net interest income by assigning a standard cost (credit) for funds used (provided) by assets and liabilities based on their maturity, prepayment and/or repricing characteristics. Income and expense that directly relate to segment operations are recorded in the segment when incurred. Expenses that indirectly support the segments are allocated based on the most appropriate method available.

The Company uses a funds transfer pricing method to value funds used (e.g., loans, fixed assets, and cash) and funds provided (e.g., deposits, borrowings, and equity) by the business segments and their components. This process assigns a specific value to each new source or use of funds with a maturity, based on current LIBOR interest rates, thus determining an interest spread at the time of the transaction. Non-maturity assets and liabilities are assigned to LIBOR based funding pools. This provides an accurate means of valuing fund sources and uses in a varying interest rate environment.

As products or business units grow or diminish, or processing channels are refined, or as periodic changes in organizational structure are made, management may decide that associated business activities should also be rearranged between reportable segments. In the first quarter of 2009, selected business units were realigned between reportable segments so that brokerage services and Private Banking accounts were moved from the Consumer to the Wealth segment, while portions of indirect lending were moved from Commercial to the Consumer segment. The figures presented below for 2008 and 2007 have been revised to incorporate these changes in order to provide comparable data.

96

Table of Contents

The following tables present selected financial information by segment and reconciliations of combined segment totals to consolidated totals. There were no material intersegment revenues between the three segments.

Segment Income Statement Data

C	Consumer	Co	Commercial		Wealth		Segment Totals		Other/ Elimination		onsolidated Totals
\$	348,362 (84,019) 162,374 (301,622)	\$	258,886 (54,230) 115,697 (192,722)	\$	42,074 (520) 114,838 (106,604)	\$	649,322 (138,769) 392,909 (600,948)	\$	(13,820) (21,928) 3,676 (7,195) (21,115)	\$	635,502 (160,697) 396,585 (7,195) (622,063)
\$	125,095	\$	127,631	\$	49,788	\$	302,514	\$	(60,382)	\$	242,132
\$	323,568 (56,639) 146,051 (285,466)	\$	203,950 (13,526) 107,586 (180,930)	\$	37,188 (265) 114,482 (131,982)	\$	564,706 (70,430) 368,119 (598,378)	\$	28,033 (38,470) 7,593 30,294 (17,002)	\$	592,739 (108,900) 375,712 30,294 (615,380)
\$	127,514	\$	117,080	\$	19,423	\$	264,017	\$	10,448	\$	274,465
\$	318,970 (34,737) 167,352	\$	184,263 (8,376) 124,377	\$	34,484 (154) 106,026	\$	537,717 (43,267) 397,755	\$	355 535 (26,174) 8,234 (28,320)	\$	538,072 (42,732) 371,581 8,234 (574,159)
	\$ \$ \$	(84,019) 162,374 (301,622) \$ 125,095 \$ 323,568 (56,639) 146,051 (285,466) \$ 127,514 \$ 318,970 (34,737)	\$ 348,362	\$ 348,362	\$ 348,362	\$ 348,362 \$ 258,886 \$ 42,074 (84,019) (54,230) (520) 114,838 (301,622) (192,722) (106,604) \$ 125,095 \$ 127,631 \$ 49,788 (56,639) (13,526) (265) 146,051 107,586 114,482 (285,466) (180,930) (131,982) \$ 127,514 \$ 117,080 \$ 19,423 \$ 318,970 \$ 184,263 \$ 34,484 (34,737) (8,376) (154) 167,352 124,377 106,026	Consumer Commercial Wealth \$ 348,362 (84,019) (54,230) (520) (162,374 \$ (54,230) (520) (114,838 (301,622) (192,722) (106,604) \$ 125,095 \$ 127,631 \$ 49,788 \$ \$ 323,568 (56,639) (13,526) (265) (146,051) (137,586 (114,482) (285,466) (180,930) (131,982) \$ 127,514 \$ 117,080 \$ 19,423 \$ \$ 318,970 (8,376) (154) (154) (167,352) (124,377) (106,026)	Consumer Commercial Wealth Totals \$ 348,362 (84,019) (54,230) (520) (138,769) (138,769) (162,374 (115,697 (114,838 (392,909 (1301,622) (192,722) (106,604) (600,948) (600,948) \$ 125,095 (301,622) (192,722) (106,604) (600,948) \$ 323,568 (56,639) (13,526) (265) (70,430) (146,051 (107,586 (114,482 (368,119 (285,466) (180,930) (131,982) (598,378) \$ 127,514 (180,930) (131,982) (598,378) \$ 127,514 (180,930) (131,982) (598,378) \$ 318,970 (34,737) (8,376) (154) (43,267) (167,352 (124,377) (106,026 (397,755) (154) (43,267) (167,352 (124,377) (106,026 (397,755) (106,026 (397,024) (106,026 (397,024) (106,026 (397,024) (106,026 (397,024) (106,026 (397,024) (106,026 (397,024) (106,026 (397,024) (106,026 (397,024) (106,026 (397,024) (106,026 (397,02	Consumer Commercial Wealth Totals El \$ 348,362 (84,019) (54,230) (54,230) (520) (138,769) (138,769) (12,374 (115,697) (114,838) 392,909 (301,622) (192,722) (106,604) (600,948) (600,948) \$ 125,095 \$ 127,631 \$ 49,788 \$ 302,514 \$ \$ 323,568 (56,639) (13,526) (13,526) (265) (70,430) (146,051 (107,586 (114,482) (368,119)) (285,466) (180,930) (131,982) (598,378) \$ 127,514 \$ 117,080 \$ 19,423 \$ 264,017 \$ \$ 318,970 (34,737) (8,376) (154) (43,267) (154) (43,267) (167,352 (124,377) 106,026 (397,755)	Consumer Commercial Wealth Totals Elimination \$ 348,362 (84,019) (54,230) (52,0374 (138,769) (54,230) (520) (138,769) (21,928) (12,374 (115,697 (114,838 (392,909 (21,928) 3,676 (21,115) (301,622) (192,722) (106,604) (600,948) (21,115) (7,195) (21,115) \$ 125,095 (301,622) (192,722) (106,604) (600,948) (21,115) \$ 49,788 (600,948) (21,115) \$ (60,382) \$ 323,568 (56,639) (13,526) (265) (70,430) (13,526) (265) (70,430) (38,470) (38,470) (14,482 (368,119) (17,593) \$ 302,94 (17,002) \$ 127,514 (180,930) (131,982) (598,378) (170,002) \$ 30,294 (17,002) \$ 318,970 (34,737) (8,376) (154) (43,267) (34,737) (8,376) (154) (43,267) (34,735) (26,174) (16,352 (124,377) (106,026 (397,755) (26,174) \$ 318,970 (17,352) (124,377) (106,026 (397,755) (26,174) \$ 318,970 (34,737) (8,376) (154) (34,267) (34,2	Consumer Commercial Wealth Totals Elimination \$ 348,362 (84,019) (54,230) (520) (138,769) (21,928) (13,820) (54,230) (520) (138,769) (21,928) (21,928) (162,374) (115,697) (114,838) (392,909) (77,195) (21,115) (600,948) (71,195) (21,115) \$ 125,095 (301,622) (192,722) (106,604) (600,948) (21,115) \$ 323,568 (203,950) (13,526) (265) (70,430) (38,470) (38,470) (38,470) (146,051) (107,586) (114,482) (265) (70,430) (38,470) (38,470) (38,470) (114,482) (285,466) (180,930) (131,982) (598,378) (17,002) \$ 30,294 (17,002) \$ 127,514 (17,080) (131,982) (598,378) (17,002) (34,737) (8,376) (154) (43,267) (535 (26,174) (167,352) (124,377) (106,026) (397,755) (26,174) \$ 318,970 (26,174) (43,267) (535 (26,174) (43,267) (535 (26,174) (43,267) (26,174) (26,174)

Income (loss) before

income taxes \$ 176,424 \$ 119,875 \$ 50,076 \$ 346,375 \$ (45,379) \$ 300,996

The segment activity, as shown above, includes both direct and allocated items. Amounts in the Other/Elimination column include activity not related to the segments, such as that relating to administrative functions, the investment securities portfolio, and the effect of certain expense allocations to the segments. The provision for loan losses in this category contains the difference between loan charge-offs and recoveries assigned directly to the segments and the recorded provision for loan loss expense. Included in this category s net interest income are earnings of the investment portfolio, which are not allocated to a segment.

97

Table of Contents

Segment Balance Sheet Data

(In thousands)	Consumer	Commercial	Wealth	Segment Totals	Other/ Elimination	Consolidated Totals
Average balances for 2009: Assets Loans, including held for sale	\$ 3,891,311 3,772,374	\$ 6,232,582 6,099,415	\$ 722,053 683,763	\$ 10,845,946 10,555,552	\$ 6,773,257 471,898	\$ 17,619,203 11,027,450
Goodwill and other intangible assets Deposits	80,071 8,287,307	60,599 3,518,042	746 1,980,511	141,416 13,785,860	35,302	141,416 13,821,162
Average balances for 2008: Assets Loans, including held for sale Goodwill and other intangible assets Deposits	\$ 3,852,938 3,741,173 82,800 8,063,478	\$ 6,539,526 6,413,457 61,314 2,550,056	\$ 729,710 677,143 746 1,580,260	\$ 11,122,174 10,831,773 144,860 12,193,794	\$ 5,332,159 451,526 56,016	\$ 16,454,333 11,283,299 144,860 12,249,810

The above segment balances include only those items directly associated with the segment. The Other/Elimination column includes unallocated bank balances not associated with a segment (such as investment securities and federal funds sold), balances relating to certain other administrative and corporate functions, and eliminations between segment and non-segment balances. This column also includes the resulting effect of allocating such items as float, deposit reserve and capital for the purpose of computing the cost or credit for funds used/provided.

The Company s reportable segments are strategic lines of business that offer different products and services. They are managed separately because each line services a specific customer need, requiring different performance measurement analyses and marketing strategies. The performance measurement of the segments is based on the management structure of the Company and is not necessarily comparable with similar information for any other financial institution. The information is also not necessarily indicative of the segments financial condition and results of operations if they were independent entities.

14. Common Stock

On December 15, 2009, the Company distributed a 5% stock dividend on its \$5 par common stock for the sixteenth consecutive year. All per share data in this report has been restated to reflect the stock dividend.

Basic income per share is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted income per share gives effect to all dilutive potential

common shares that were outstanding during the year. Presented below is a summary of the components used to calculate basic and diluted income per share, which have been restated for all stock dividends. On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted new accounting guidance which requires application of the two-class method of computing income per share. Under this guidance, unvested share-based awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends are considered securities which participate in undistributed earnings with common stock. The two-class method requires the calculation of separate income per share amounts for the unvested share-based awards and for common stock. Income per share attributable to common stock is shown in the table below. Prior period income per share data has been retroactively adjusted to conform to the pronouncement. Unvested share-based awards are further discussed in Note 11 on Stock-Based Compensation.

98

Table of Contents

(In thousands, except per share data)		2009		2008		2007
Basic income per common share: Net income attributable to Commerce Bancshares, Inc. Less income allocated to unvested restricted stockholders	\$	169,075 741	\$	188,655 592	\$	206,660 601
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	168,334	\$	188,063	\$	206,059
Distributed income Undistributed income	\$	74,384 93,950	\$ \$	71,829 116,234	\$ \$	68,714 137,345
Weighted average common shares outstanding		81,160		79,194		79,777
Distributed income per share Undistributed income per share	\$.91 1.16	\$.90 1.47	\$.86 1.72
Basic income per common share	\$	2.07	\$	2.37	\$	2.58
Diluted income per common share: Net income attributable to Commerce Bancshares, Inc. Less income allocated to unvested restricted stockholders	\$	169,075 740	\$	188,655 589	\$	206,660 597
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	168,335	\$	188,066	\$	206,063
Distributed income Undistributed income	\$	74,384 93,951	\$ \$	71,829 116,237	\$ \$	68,714 137,349
Weighted average common shares outstanding Net effect of the assumed exercise of stock-based awards based on the		81,160		79,194		79,777
treasury stock method using the average market price for the respective periods		317		634		819
Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding		81,477		79,828		80,596

Distributed income per share Undistributed income per share	\$.91 1.16	\$.90 1.46	\$.85 1.71
Diluted income per common share	\$ 2.07	\$ 2.36	\$ 2.56

On February 27, 2009, the Company initiated an at-the-market offering of its common stock, which was terminated on July 31, 2009. Pursuant to this offering, the Company issued a total of 2,894,773 shares for gross proceeds of \$100.0 million, which were used for general corporate purposes.

The table below shows activity in the outstanding shares of the Company s common stock during 2009. Shares in the table below are presented on an historical basis and have not been restated for the 5% stock dividend in 2009.

(In thousands)

Shares outstanding at January 1, 2009	75,791
Issuance of stock:	
Awards and sales under employee and director plans	394
Stock offering	2,895
5% stock dividend	3,949
Purchases of treasury stock	(16)
Other	(5)

Shares outstanding at December 31, 2009

83,008

The Company maintains a treasury stock buyback program authorized by its Board of Directors. At December 31, 2009, 2,861,783 shares were available for purchase under the current Board authorization.

99

Table of Contents

15. Regulatory Capital Requirements

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and additional discretionary actions by regulators that could have a direct material effect on the Company s financial statements. The regulations require the Company to meet specific capital adequacy guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company s assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company s capital classification is also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of Tier I capital to total average assets (leverage ratio), and minimum ratios of Tier I and Total capital to risk-weighted assets (as defined). To meet minimum, adequately capitalized regulatory requirements, an institution must maintain a Tier I capital ratio of 4.00%, a Total capital ratio of 8.00% and a leverage ratio of 4.00%. The minimum required ratios for well-capitalized banks (under prompt corrective action provisions) are 6.00% for Tier I capital, 10.00% for Total capital and 5.00% for the leverage ratio.

The following tables show the capital amounts and ratios for the Company (on a consolidated basis) and the Bank, together with the minimum and well-capitalized capital requirements, at the last two year ends.

(Dollars in thousands)	Actual Amount	Ratio	1	Minimu Capital Requi Amount		Well-Capita Capital Requi Amount	
December 31, 2009: Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets): Commerce Bancshares, Inc. (consolidated) Commerce Bank, N.A.	\$ 1,885,978 1,658,312	14.39% 12.83	\$	1,048,476 1,034,224	8.00% 8.00	\$ N.A. 1,292,780	N.A. 10.00%
Tier I Capital (to risk-weighted assets): Commerce Bancshares, Inc. (consolidated) Commerce Bank, N.A.	\$ 1,708,901 1,496,295	13.04% 11.57	\$	524,238 517,112	4.00% 4.00	\$ N.A. 775,668	N.A. 6.00%
Tier I Capital (to adjusted quarterly average assets): (Leverage Ratio) Commerce Bancshares, Inc. (consolidated)	\$ 1,708,901	9.58%	\$	713,619	4.00%	N.A.	N.A.

Commerce Bank, N.A. 1,496,295 8.43 710,119 4.00 \$ 887,649 5.00%

		Actual		(Minimu Capital Requi		Well-Capita Capital Requi	
(Dollars in thousands)		Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
D 1 01 0000								
December 31, 2008: Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets): Commerce Bancshares, Inc.								
(consolidated)	\$	1,702,916	12.31%	\$	1,106,733	8.00%	N.A.	N.A.
Commerce Bank, N.A.	7	1,540,064	11.21	_	1,099,425	8.00	\$ 1,374,281	10.00%
Tier I Capital (to risk-weighted assets): Commerce Bancshares, Inc. (consolidated) Commerce Bank, N.A.	\$	1,510,959 1,368,254	10.92% 9.96	\$	553,366 549,712	4.00% 4.00	\$ N.A. 824,569	N.A. 6.00%
Tier I Capital (to adjusted quarterly average assets): (Leverage Ratio) Commerce Bancshares, Inc.								
(consolidated)	\$	1,510,959	9.06%	\$	666,841	4.00%	N.A.	N.A.
Commerce Bank, N.A.		1,368,254	8.24		664,022	4.00	\$ 830,027	5.00%

At December 31, 2009, the Company met all capital requirements to which it is subject, and the Bank s capital position exceeded the regulatory definition of well-capitalized.

100

Table of Contents

16. Fair Value Measurements

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial and nonfinancial assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Various financial instruments such as available for sale and trading securities, certain non-marketable securities relating to private equity activities, and derivatives are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, the Company may be required to record at fair value other assets and liabilities on a nonrecurring basis, such as loans held for sale, mortgage servicing rights and certain other investment securities. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve lower of cost or market accounting, or write-downs of individual assets.

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Depending on the nature of the asset or liability, the Company uses various valuation techniques and assumptions when estimating fair value. For accounting disclosure purposes, a three-level valuation hierarchy of fair value measurements has been established. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs that are observable for the assets or liabilities, either directly or indirectly (such as interest rates, yield curves, and prepayment speeds).

Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value. These may be internally developed, using the Company s best information and assumptions that a market participant would consider.

When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded or disclosed at fair value, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. When possible, the Company looks to active and observable markets to price identical assets or liabilities. When identical assets and liabilities are not traded in active markets, the Company looks to market observable data for similar assets and liabilities. Nevertheless, certain assets and liabilities are not actively traded in observable markets and the Company must use alternative valuation techniques to derive an estimated fair value measurement. The Company adopted new guidance in March 2009 for estimating fair values for securities where the market volume and level of activity have significantly decreased. The application of the new guidance did not result in a change in valuation technique or related inputs.

Valuation methods for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis

Following is a description of the Company s valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

Available for sale investment securities

For available for sale securities, changes in fair value, including that portion of other-than-temporary impairment unrelated to credit loss, are recorded in other comprehensive income. As mentioned in Note 4 on Investment Securities, the Company records the credit-related portion of other-than-temporary impairment in current earnings. This portfolio comprises the majority of the assets which the Company records at fair value. Most of the portfolio, which includes government-sponsored enterprise, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities, are priced utilizing industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including time value, yield curves, volatility factors, prepayment speeds, default rates, loss severity, current market and contractual prices for the underlying financial instruments, as well as other relevant economic measures. Substantially all of these assumptions are observable in the marketplace, can be derived from

101

Table of Contents

observable data, or are supported by observable levels at which transactions are executed in the marketplace. These measurements are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. Where quoted prices are available in an active market, the measurements are classified as Level 1. Most of the Level 1 measurements apply to common stock and U.S. Treasury obligations.

Valuation methods and inputs, by major security type:

U.S. government and federal agency obligations

These securities are valued using live data from active market makers and inter-dealer brokers.

Government-sponsored enterprise obligations

Government-sponsored enterprise obligations are evaluated using cash flow valuation models. Inputs used are live market data, cash settlements, Treasury market yields, and floating rate indices such as LIBOR, CMT, and Prime.

State and municipal obligations, excluding auction rate securities

A yield curve is generated and applied to bond sectors, and individual bond valuations are extrapolated. Inputs used to generate the yield curve are bellwether issue levels, established trading spreads between similar issuers or credits, historical trading spreads over widely accepted market benchmarks, new issue scales, and verified bid information. Bid information is verified by corroborating the data against external sources such as broker-dealers, trustees/paying agents, issuers, or non-affiliated bondholders.

Mortgage and asset-backed securities

All mortgage-backed securities (agency and non-agency) and other asset-backed securities are valued at the tranche level. For each tranche valuation, the process generates predicted cash flows for the tranche and determines a benchmark yield. The final price is determined by inputting the predicted cash flows into a model that will determine principal and interest payments along with an average life. The yield from the model is used to discount the predicted cash flows to generate an evaluated price. Inputs for the model include a swap curve or a Treasury benchmark curve, as well as a spread that is generated based on average life, type, volatility, ratings, collateral and collateral performance.

Other debt securities

Other debt securities are valued using active markets and inter-dealer brokers as well as bullet spread scales and option adjusted spreads. The spreads and models use yield curves, terms and conditions of the bonds, and any special features (i.e., call or put options, redemption features, etc.).

Equity securities

Equity securities are priced using the market prices for each security from the major stock exchanges or other electronic quotation systems.

At December 31, 2009, the Company held certain auction rate securities (ARS) in its available for sale portfolio, totaling \$167.8 million. Nearly all of these securities were purchased from customers during the third quarter of 2008. The auction process by which the ARS are normally priced has failed since the first quarter of 2008, and the fair value

of these securities cannot be based on observable market prices due to the illiquidity in the market. The fair values of the ARS are currently estimated using a discounted cash flows analysis. The analysis compares the present value of cash flows based on mandatory rates paid under failing auctions with the present value of estimated cash flows for similar securities, after adjustment for liquidity premium and nonperformance risk. The cash flows were projected over an estimated market recovery period, or in some cases, a shorter period if refinancing by specific issuers is expected. The discount rate was based on the published Treasury rate for the period commensurate with the estimated holding period. In developing the inputs, discussions were held with traders, both internal and external to the Company, who are familiar with the ARS markets. Because many of the inputs significant to the measurement are not observable, these measurements are classified as Level 3 measurements.

102

Table of Contents

Trading securities

The securities in the Company s trading portfolio are priced by averaging several broker quotes for identical instruments, and are classified as Level 2 measurements.

Private equity investments

These securities are held by the Company s venture capital subsidiaries and are included in non-marketable investment securities in the consolidated balance sheets. Valuation of these nonpublic investments requires significant management judgment due to the absence of quoted market prices. Each quarter, valuations are performed utilizing available market data and other factors. Market data includes published trading multiples for private equity investments of similar size. The multiples are considered in conjunction with current operating performance, future expectations, financing and sales transactions, and other investment-specific issues. The Company applies its valuation methodology consistently from period to period, and believes that its methodology is similar to that used by other market participants. These fair value measurements are classified as Level 3.

Derivatives

The Company s derivative instruments include interest rate swaps, foreign exchange forward contracts, commitments and sales contracts related to personal mortgage loan origination activity, and certain credit risk guarantee agreements. When appropriate, the impact of credit standing as well as any potential credit enhancements, such as collateral, has been considered in the fair value measurement.

Valuations for interest rate swaps are derived from proprietary models whose significant inputs are readily observable market parameters, primarily yield curves. The results of the models are constantly validated through comparison to active trading in the marketplace. These fair value measurements are classified as Level 2.

Fair value measurements for foreign exchange contracts are derived from a model whose primary inputs are quotations from global market makers, and are classified as Level 2.

The fair values of mortgage loan commitments and forward sales contracts on the associated loans are based on quoted prices for similar loans in the secondary market. However, these prices are adjusted by a factor which considers the likelihood that a commitment will ultimately result in a closed loan. This estimate is based on the Company s historical data and its judgment about future economic trends. Based on the unobservable nature of this adjustment, these measurements are classified as Level 3.

The Company s contracts related to credit risk guarantees, as discussed in Notes 18 and 19, are valued under an internally developed methodology which uses significant unobservable inputs and assumptions about the creditworthiness of the counterparty to the guaranteed interest rate swap contract. Consequently, these measurements are classified as Level 3.

Assets held in trust

Assets held in an outside trust for the Company s deferred compensation plan consist of investments in mutual funds. The fair value measurements are based on quoted prices in active markets and classified as Level 1. The Company has recorded an asset representing the total investment amount. The Company has also recorded a corresponding nonfinancial liability, representing the Company s liability to the plan participants.

Table of Contents

The table below presents the December 31, 2009 carrying values of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

		а		ue Measure ember 31, 2		
(In thousands)	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Ol	gnificant Other bservable Inputs Level 2)	Un	ignificant observable Inputs Level 3)
Assets:						
Available for sale securities:						
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	\$ 447,038	\$ 447,038	\$		\$	
Government-sponsored enterprise obligations	165,814			165,814		
State and municipal obligations	939,338			771,502		167,836
Agency mortgage-backed securities	2,262,003			2,262,003		
Non-agency mortgage-backed securities	609,016			609,016		
Other asset-backed securities	1,701,569			1,701,569		
Other debt securities	176,331	25.270		176,331		
Equity securities	39,866	25,378		14,488		
Trading securities	10,335			10,335		44.007
Private equity investments	44,827			17 (16		44,827
Derivatives	17,984	2 410		17,616		368
Assets held in trust	3,419	3,419				
Total assets	6,417,540	475,835		5,728,674		213,031
Liabilities:						
Derivatives	18,610			18,350		260
Total liabilities	\$ 18,610	\$	\$	18,350	\$	260

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

	(Level 3)								
	State and	I	Private						
	Municipal]	Equity						
(In thousands)	Obligations		estments	Deriv	vatives		Total		
`	Ü								
For the year ended December 31, 2009:									
Balance at January 1, 2009 Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):	\$ 167,996	\$	49,494	\$	64	\$	217,554		
Included in earnings Included in other comprehensive income	4,496		(4,791)		99		(4,692) 4,496		
Purchases, issuances, and settlements, net	(4,656)		124		(55)		(4,587)		
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 167,836	\$	44,827	\$	108	\$	212,771		
Total gains or losses for the annual period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at December 31, 2009	\$	\$	(4,791)	\$	223	\$	(4,568)		
	104								

Table of Contents

Gains and losses on the Level 3 assets and liabilities in the table above are reported in the following income categories:

(In thousands)	Loan Fees and Sales		Other Non-Interest Income		Investment Securities Losses, Net			Total
For the year ended December 31, 2009:								
Total gains or losses included in earnings	\$	83	\$	16	\$	(4,791)	\$	(4,692)
Change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at December 31, 2009	\$	207	\$	16	\$	(4,791)	\$	(4,568)

Valuation methods for instruments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis

Following is a description of the Company s valuation methodologies used for other financial and nonfinancial instruments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

Collateral dependent impaired loans

While the overall loan portfolio is not carried at fair value, adjustments are recorded on certain loans to reflect partial write-downs that are based on the value of the underlying collateral. In determining the value of real estate collateral, the Company relies on external appraisals and assessment of property values by its internal staff. In the case of non-real estate collateral, reliance is placed on a variety of sources, including external estimates of value and judgments based on the experience and expertise of internal specialists. Because many of these inputs are not observable, the measurements are classified as Level 3. The carrying value of these impaired loans was \$57.8 million at December 31, 2009, and charge-offs of \$39.1 million related to these loans were recorded during 2009.

Loans held for sale

Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. The portfolio consists primarily of student loans, and to a lesser extent, residential real estate loans. The Company's student loans are contracted for sale with the Federal Department of Education (DOE) and various investors in the secondary market. Beginning in 2008, the secondary market for student loans was disrupted by liquidity concerns. Consequently, several investors are currently unable to consistently purchase loans under existing contractual terms. Loans under contract to these investors, in addition to another investor whose future liquidity was of concern, were identified for evaluation in 2008. The identified loans were evaluated using a fair value measurement method based on a discounted cash flows analysis, which was classified as Level 3, resulting in impairment losses of \$9.4 million during 2008. Of these losses, \$8.6 million were reversed during 2009, as various sales of the related loans were made in accordance with contractual terms and

performance concerns for one large investor diminished. The remainder of the identified portfolio, for which performance concern remains, was carried at \$22.3 million at December 31, 2009. The measurement of fair value for the remaining student loans, most of which will be sold to the DOE, is based on the specific prices mandated in the underlying sale contracts, the estimated exit price, and is classified as Level 2. Fair value measurements on mortgage loans held for sale are based on quoted market prices for similar loans in the secondary market and are classified as Level 2.

Private equity investments and restricted stock

These assets are included in non-marketable investment securities in the consolidated balance sheets. They include private equity investments held by the Parent company which are carried at cost, reduced by other-than-temporary impairment. These investments are periodically evaluated for impairment based on

105

Table of Contents

their estimated fair value. The valuation methodology is described above under the recurring measurements for Private equity securities . Also included is stock issued by the Federal Reserve and Federal Home Loan Banks which is held by the Bank as required for regulatory purposes. There are generally restrictions on the sale and/or liquidation of these investments, and their carrying value approximates fair value. Fair value measurements for these securities are classified as Level 3.

Mortgage servicing rights

The Company initially measures its mortgage servicing rights at fair value, and amortizes them over the period of estimated net servicing income. They are periodically assessed for impairment based on fair value at the reporting date. Mortgage servicing rights do not trade in an active market with readily observable prices. Accordingly, the fair value is estimated based on a valuation model which calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income. The model incorporates assumptions that market participants use in estimating future net servicing income, including estimates of prepayment speeds, market discount rates, cost to service, float earnings rates, and other ancillary income, including late fees. The fair value measurements are classified as Level 3.

Goodwill and core deposit premium

Valuation of goodwill to determine impairment is performed on an annual basis, or more frequently if there is an event or circumstance that would indicate impairment may have occurred. The process involves calculations to determine the fair value of each reporting unit on a stand-alone basis. A combination of formulas using current market multiples, based on recent sales of financial institutions within the Company s geographic marketplace, is used to estimate the fair value of each reporting unit. That fair value is compared to the carrying amount of the reporting unit, including its recorded goodwill. Impairment is considered to have occurred if the fair value of the reporting unit is lower than the carrying amount of the reporting unit. These measurements are classified as Level 3.

Core deposit premiums are recognized at the time a portfolio of deposits is acquired, using valuation techniques which calculate the present value of the estimated net cost savings attributable to the core deposit base, relative to alternative costs of funds and tax benefits, if applicable, over the expected remaining economic life of the depositors. Subsequent evaluations are made when facts or circumstances indicate potential impairment may have occurred. The Company uses estimates of discounted future cash flows, comparisons with alternative sources for deposits, consideration of income potential generated in other product lines by current customers, geographic parameters, and other demographics to estimate a current fair value of a specific deposit base. If the calculated fair value is less than the carrying value, impairment is considered to have occurred. This measurement is classified as Level 3.

Foreclosed assets

Foreclosed assets consist of loan collateral which has been repossessed through foreclosure. This collateral is comprised of commercial and residential real estate and other non-real estate property, including auto, recreational and marine vehicles. Foreclosed assets are recorded as held for sale initially at the lower of the loan balance or fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are updated periodically, and the assets may be marked down further, reflecting a new cost basis. Fair value measurements may be based upon appraisals or third-party price opinions and, accordingly, those measurements are classified as Level 2. Other fair value measurements may be based on internally developed pricing methods, and those measurements are classified as Level 3.

106

Table of Contents

For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during 2009, and still held as of December 31, 2009, the following table provides the adjustments to fair value recognized in 2009, the level of valuation assumptions used to determine each adjustment, and the carrying value of the related individual assets or portfolios at December 31, 2009.

		Fair V	Value Measurements	Using	g		
		Quoted Prices in Active	Significant	Sig	gnificant		
		Markets for Identical	Other Observable	Other Unobservable			
(In thousands)	12/31/09	Assets (Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)		Inputs Level 3)		tal Gains Losses)
(In monsumus)	12/31/07	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(1	20 (01 5)	(-	203303)
Loans	\$ 57,761	\$	\$	\$	57,761	\$	(39,054)
Private equity investments	1,880				1,880		(1,170)
Mortgage servicing rights	1,579				1,579		37
Foreclosed assets	2,770		2,770				(612)

17. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments held by the Company, in addition to a discussion of the methods used and assumptions made in computing those estimates, are set forth below.

Loans

The fair values of loans are estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. This method of estimating fair value does not incorporate the exit-price concept of fair value prescribed by ASC 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures .

Investment Securities

A detailed description of the fair value measurement of the debt and equity instruments in the available for sale and trading sections of the investment security portfolio is provided in Note 16 on Fair Value Measurements. A schedule of investment securities by category and maturity is provided in Note 4 on Investment Securities.

Federal Funds Sold and Securities Purchased under Agreements to Resell, Interest Earning Deposits With Banks and Cash and Due From Banks

The carrying amounts of federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell, interest earning deposits with banks, and cash and due from banks approximate fair value. Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell generally mature in 90 days or less.

Accrued Interest Receivable/Payable

The carrying amounts of accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable approximate their fair values because of the relatively short time period between the accrual period and the expected receipt or payment due date.

Derivative Instruments

A detailed description of the fair value measurement of derivative instruments is provided in the preceding note on Fair Value Measurements. Fair values are generally estimated using observable market prices or pricing models.

Deposits

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity is equal to the amount payable on demand. Such deposits include savings and interest and non-interest bearing demand deposits. These fair value estimates do not recognize any benefit the Company receives as a result of being able to administer, or control, the

107

Table of Contents

pricing of these accounts. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of cash flows, taking early withdrawal optionality into account. Discount rates are based on the Company s approximate cost of obtaining similar maturity funding in the market.

Borrowings

The fair value of short-term borrowings such as federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and borrowings under the TAF, which mature or reprice within 90 days, approximates their carrying value. The fair value of long-term debt is estimated by discounting contractual maturities using an estimate of the current market rate for similar instruments.

The estimated fair values of the Company s financial instruments are as follows:

	2	009	2008		
	Carrying	Estimated Carrying		Estimated	
(In thousands)	Amount	Fair Value	Amount	Fair Value	
Financial Assets					
Loans, including held for sale	\$ 10,490,327	\$ 10,513,232	\$ 11,644,544	\$ 12,052,924	
Available for sale investment securities	6,340,975	6,340,975	3,630,753	3,630,753	
Trading securities	10,335	10,335	9,463	9,463	
Non-marketable securities	122,078	122,078	139,900	139,900	
Federal funds sold and securities purchased	,	,	,	,	
under agreements to resell	22,590	22,590	169,475	169,475	
Accrued interest receivable	74,553	74,553	77,496	77,496	
Derivative instruments	17,984	17,984	25,835	25,835	
Cash and due from banks	417,126	417,126	491,723	491,723	
Interest earning deposits with banks	24,118	24,118	638,158	638,158	
Financial Liabilities					
Non-interest bearing demand deposits	\$ 1,793,816	\$ 1,793,816	\$ 1,375,000	\$ 1,375,000	
Savings, interest checking and money	, , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , ,	
market deposits	9,202,916	9,202,916	7,610,306	7,610,306	
Time open and C.D. s	3,213,719	3,243,627	3,909,427	3,971,227	
Federal funds purchased and securities sold	, ,	, ,			
under agreements to repurchase	1,103,191	1,102,995	1,026,537	1,020,539	
Other borrowings	736,062	757,365	1,747,781	1,799,814	
Accrued interest payable	21,570	21,570	40,168	40,168	
Derivative instruments	18,610	18,610	27,075	27,075	

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

The fair value of letters of credit and commitments to extend credit is based on the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements. The aggregate of these fees is not material. These instruments are also referenced in Note 19 on Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees.

Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time based on relevant market information. They do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company s entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for many of the Company s financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, risk characteristics and economic conditions. These estimates are subjective, involve uncertainties and cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

108

Table of Contents

18. Derivative Instruments

The notional amounts of the Company s derivative instruments are shown in the table below. These contractual amounts, along with other terms of the derivative, are used to determine amounts to be exchanged between counterparties, and are not a measure of loss exposure. The largest group of notional amounts relate to interest rate swaps, which are discussed in more detail below.

	December			
(In thousands)	2009	2008		
Interest rate swaps	\$ 503,530	\$ 492,111		
Interest rate caps	16,236	Ψ .> = ,111		
Credit risk participation agreements	53,246	47,750		
Foreign exchange contracts:	•			
Forward contracts	17,475	6,226		
Option contracts		3,300		
Mortgage loan commitments	9,767	23,784		
Mortgage loan forward sale contracts	19,986	26,996		
Total notional amount	\$ 620,240	\$ 600,167		

The Company s mortgage banking operation makes commitments to extend fixed rate loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties, which are considered to be derivative instruments. These commitments are recognized on the balance sheet at fair value from their inception through their expiration or funding, and have an average term of 60 to 90 days. The Company s general practice is to sell such loans in the secondary market. The Company obtains forward sale contracts with investors in the secondary market in order to manage these risk positions. Most of the contracts are matched to a specific loan on a best efforts basis, in which the Company is obligated to deliver the loan only if the loan closes. The sale contracts are also accounted for as derivatives. Hedge accounting has not been applied to these activities.

The Company s foreign exchange activity involves the purchase and sale of forward foreign exchange contracts, which are commitments to purchase or deliver a specified amount of foreign currency at a specific future date. This activity enables customers involved in international business to hedge their exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. The Company minimizes its related exposure arising from these customer transactions with offsetting contracts for the same currency and time frame. In addition, the Company uses foreign exchange contracts, to a limited extent, for trading purposes, including taking proprietary positions. Risk arises from changes in the currency exchange rate and from the potential for counterparty nonperformance. These risks are controlled by adherence to a foreign exchange trading policy which contains control limits on currency amounts, open positions, maturities and losses, and procedures for approvals, record-keeping, monitoring and reporting. Hedge accounting has not been applied to these foreign exchange activities.

Credit risk participation agreements arise when the Company contracts with other financial institutions, as a guarantor or beneficiary, to share credit risk associated with certain interest rate swaps. The Company s risks and responsibilities as guarantor are further discussed in Note 19 on Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees.

109

Table of Contents

The Company s interest rate risk management strategy includes the ability to modify the repricing characteristics of certain assets and liabilities so that changes in interest rates do not adversely affect the net interest margin and cash flows. Interest rate swaps are used on a limited basis as part of this strategy. At December 31, 2009, the Company had entered into three interest rate swaps with a notional amount of \$16.9 million, which are designated as fair value hedges of certain fixed rate loans. Gains and losses on these derivative instruments, as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged loans attributable to the hedged risk, are recognized in current earnings. These gains and losses are reported in interest and fees on loans in the accompanying statements of income. The table below shows gains and losses related to fair value hedges.

	For the Years Ended December 31					
(In thousands)	200	19	2008		2007	
Gain (loss) on interest rate swaps Gain (loss) on loans		573 \$ 571)	1,029	\$	(489) 482	
Amount of hedge ineffectiveness	\$	2 \$	(6)	\$	(7)	

The Company s other derivative instruments are accounted for as free-standing derivatives, and changes in their fair value are recorded in current earnings. These instruments include interest rate swap contracts sold to customers who wish to modify their interest rate sensitivity. These swaps are offset by matching contracts purchased by the Company from other financial institutions. Because of the matching terms of the offsetting contracts, in addition to collateral provisions which mitigate the impact of non-performance risk, changes in fair value subsequent to initial recognition have a minimal effect on earnings. The notional amount of these types of swaps at December 31, 2009 was \$486.6 million. The Company is party to master netting arrangements with its institutional counterparties; however, the effect of offsetting assets and liabilities under these arrangements is not significant. Collateral, usually in the form of marketable securities, is posted by the counterparty with liability positions, in accordance with contract thresholds.

The Company s interest rate swap arrangements with other financial institutions contain contingent features relating to debt ratings or capitalization levels. Under these provisions, if the Company s debt rating falls below investment grade or if the Company ceases to be well-capitalized under risk-based capital guidelines, certain counterparties can require immediate and ongoing collateralization on interest rate swaps in net liability positions, or in certain circumstances, can require instant settlement of the contracts. The aggregate fair value of interest rate swap contracts with credit risk-related contingent features that were in a liability position on December 31, 2009 was \$16.5 million, for which the Company had posted collateral of \$12.7 million. If the credit risk-related contingent features relating to collateral were triggered on December 31, 2009, the Company would be required to post an additional \$4.9 million of collateral to certain counterparties. If other credit-related settlement features were also triggered at December 31, 2009, a cash disbursement of \$1.0 million, in addition to collateral posted, would be required.

The banking customer counterparties are engaged in a variety of businesses, including real estate, building materials, communications, consumer products, and manufacturing. The manufacturing group is the largest, with a combined notional amount of 34.2% of the total customer swap portfolio. If this group of manufacturing counterparties failed to

perform, and if the underlying collateral proved to be of no value, the Company would incur a loss of \$4.9 million, based on amounts at December 31, 2009.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted new accounting guidance which modified the accounting for initial recognition of fair value for certain interest rate swap contracts held by the Company. Former accounting guidance precluded immediate recognition in earnings of an unrealized gain or loss, measured as the difference between the transaction price and fair value of these instruments at initial recognition. Under the new guidance, the immediate recognition of a gain or loss is appropriate under certain circumstances and, in accordance with transition provisions, the Company increased equity by \$903 thousand on January 1, 2008 to reflect interest rate swaps at fair value.

110

Table of Contents

The fair values of the Company s derivative instruments are shown in the table below. Information about the valuation methods used to measure fair value is provided in Note 16 on Fair Value Measurements.

	Asse	et Derivatives Decen 2009	s nber 31 2008	Liabili	Liability Derivatives December 2009		
(In thousands)	Balance Sheet Location	Fair	Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair '	Value	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: Interest rate swaps	Other assets	\$ 64	\$	Other liabilities	\$ (918)	\$ (1,413)	
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 64	\$		\$ (918)	\$ (1,413)	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:							
Interest rate swaps Interest rate caps Credit risk participation	Other assets Other assets	\$ 16,898 239	\$ 25,274	Other liabilities Other liabilities	\$ (16,898) (239)	\$ (25,155)	
agreements Foreign exchange contracts:	Other assets	140	117	Other liabilities	(239)	(178)	
Forward contracts Option contracts Mortgage loan	Other assets Other assets	415	207 18	Other liabilities Other liabilities	(295)	(217) (18)	
commitments Mortgage loan forward	Other assets	44	198	Other liabilities	(16)	(6)	
sale contracts	Other assets	184	21	Other liabilities	(5)	(88)	
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 17,920	\$ 25,835		\$ (17,692)	\$ (25,662)	
Total derivatives		\$ 17,984	\$ 25,835		\$ (18,610)	\$ (27,075)	

The effects of derivative instruments on the consolidated statements of income are shown in the table below.

	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative					
		For the Years Ended December				er 31	
(In thousands)		2	009		2008	2	2007
Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships: Interest rate swaps	Interest and fees on loans	\$	573	\$	(1,035)	\$	(489)
Total		\$	573	\$	(1,035)	\$	(489)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: Interest rate swaps Interest rate caps	Other non-interest income Other non-interest income	\$	360 11	\$	1,519	\$	270
Credit risk participation agreements Foreign exchange contracts:	Other non-interest income		16		19		14
Forward contracts Option contracts Mortgage loan commitments	Other non-interest income Other non-interest income Loan fees and sales		130 (164)		35 184		(53) 49
Mortgage loan forward sale contracts	Loan fees and sales		247		(58)		(54)
Total		\$	600	\$	1,699	\$	226
	111						

Table of Contents

19. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

The Company leases certain premises and equipment, all of which were classified as operating leases. The rent expense under such arrangements amounted to \$6.3 million, \$6.1 million and \$5.9 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. A summary of minimum lease commitments follows:

(In thousands)	Type of Property Real					
Year Ended December 31	Property		Equipment		Total	
2010	\$ 5,199	\$	606	\$	5,805	
2011	4,221	*	358		4,579	
2012	3,469		178		3,647	
2013	3,220		53		3,273	
2014	2,938				2,938	
After	21,227				21,227	
Total minimum lease payments				\$	41,469	

All leases expire prior to 2055. It is expected that in the normal course of business, leases that expire will be renewed or replaced by leases on other properties; thus, the future minimum lease commitments are not expected to be less than the amounts shown for 2010.

The Company engages in various transactions and commitments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet customer financing needs. The Company uses the same credit policies in making the commitments and conditional obligations described below as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The following table summarizes these commitments at December 31:

(In thousands)	2009	2008
Commitments to extend credit:		
Credit card	\$ 3,285,041	\$ 3,613,374
Other	3,753,526	4,251,532
Standby letters of credit, net of participations	404,144	417,969
Commercial letters of credit	21,329	24,245

Commitments to extend credit are legally binding agreements to lend to a borrower providing there are no violations of any conditions established in the contract. As many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Refer to Note 3 on Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses for further discussion.

Commercial letters of credit act as a means of ensuring payment to a seller upon shipment of goods to a buyer. The majority of commercial letters of credit issued are used to settle payments in international trade. Typically, letters of credit require presentation of documents which describe the commercial transaction, evidence shipment, and transfer title.

The Company, as a provider of financial services, routinely issues financial guarantees in the form of financial and performance standby letters of credit. Standby letters of credit are contingent commitments issued by the Company generally to guarantee the payment or performance obligation of a customer to a third party. While these represent a potential outlay by the Company, a significant amount of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon. The Company has recourse against the customer for any amount it is required to pay to a third party under a standby letter of credit. The letters of credit are subject to the same credit policies, underwriting standards and approval process as loans made by the Company. Most of the standby letters of credit are secured and in the event of nonperformance by the customer, the Company has rights to the underlying collateral, which could include commercial real estate, physical plant and property, inventory, receivables, cash and marketable securities.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had recorded a liability in the amount of \$3.1 million, representing the carrying value of the guarantee obligations associated with the standby letters of credit. This amount will

112

Table of Contents

be amortized into income over the life of the commitment. Commitments outstanding under these letters of credit, which represent the maximum potential future payments guaranteed by the Company, were \$404.1 million at December 31, 2009.

The Company regularly purchases various state tax credits arising from third-party property redevelopment. While most of the tax credits are resold to third parties, some are periodically retained for use by the Company. During 2009, purchases and sales of tax credits amounted to \$51.4 million and \$42.5 million, respectively. At December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding purchase commitments totaling \$114.7 million. The commitments are expected to be funded in 2010 through 2013.

The Company periodically enters into risk participation agreements (RPAs) as a guarantor to other financial institutions, in order to mitigate those institutions—credit risk associated with interest rate swaps with third parties. The RPA stipulates that, in the event of default by the third party on the interest rate swap, the Company will reimburse a portion of the loss borne by the financial institution. These interest rate swaps are normally collateralized (generally with real property, inventories and equipment) by the third party, which limits the credit risk associated with the Company s RPAs. The third parties usually have other borrowing relationships with the Company. The Company monitors overall borrower collateral, and at December 31, 2009, believes sufficient collateral is available to cover potential swap losses. The Company receives a fee from the institution at the inception of the contract, which is recorded as a liability representing the fair value of the RPA. Any future changes in fair value, including those due to a change in the third party—s creditworthiness, are recorded in current earnings. The terms of the RPAs, which correspond to the terms of the underlying swaps, range from 5 to 10 years. At December 31, 2009, the liability recorded for guarantor RPAs was \$239 thousand, and the notional amount of the underlying swaps was \$34.0 million.

The Company guarantees payments to holders of certain trust preferred securities issued by a wholly owned grantor trust, as discussed in Note 8 on Borrowings. These preferred securities totaled \$4.0 million at December 31, 2009, carry an interest rate of 10.9%, and are due in 2030. The Company intends to redeem the securities in 2010.

The Company has committed to borrow \$400.0 million under structured repurchase agreements in August 2010. These borrowings have a floating interest rate based upon a CMS rate and will mature in 2013 through 2014. They will largely replace several other structured repurchase agreements which will mature in August 2010. These types of borrowings are secured with marketable securities.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had recorded a liability of \$8.8 million representing its obligation to share certain estimated litigation costs of Visa. This obligation resulted from revisions in October 2007 to Visa s by-laws affecting all member banks, as part of an overall reorganization in which the member banks indemnified Visa on certain covered litigation. The covered litigation related mainly to American Express and Discover suits, which are now settled, and other interchange litigation, which has not yet been settled. As part of the reorganization, Visa held an initial public offering in March 2008. An escrow account was established in conjunction with the offering, and is being used to fund actual litigation settlements as they occur. The escrow account was funded initially with proceeds from the offering, and subsequently with contributions by Visa. The Company s indemnification obligation is periodically adjusted to reflect changes in estimates of litigation costs, and is reduced as funding occurs in the escrow account. The Company currently anticipates that its proportional share of eventual escrow funding will more than offset its liability related to the Visa litigation.

In the normal course of business, the Company had certain lawsuits pending at December 31, 2009. In the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel, none of these suits will have a significant effect on the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

20. Related Parties

The Company s Chief Executive Officer and its Vice Chairman are directors of Tower Properties Company (Tower) and, together with members of their immediate families, beneficially own approximately 73% of the outstanding stock of Tower. At December 31, 2009, Tower owned 183,186 shares of Company stock. Tower is primarily engaged in the business of owning, developing, leasing and managing real property.

113

Table of Contents

Payments from the Company and its affiliates to Tower are summarized below. The Company leases several surface parking lots owned by Tower for employee use. Other payments, with the exception of dividend payments, relate to property management services, including construction oversight, on four Company-owned office buildings and related parking garages in downtown Kansas City.

(In thousands)	2009	2008	2007
Rent on leased parking lots	\$ 353	\$ 501	\$ 423
Leasing agent fees	14	19	19
Operation of parking garages	115	114	104
Building management fees	1,704	1,525	1,638
Property construction management fees	61	118	269
Dividends paid on Company stock held by Tower	167	166	158
Total	\$ 2,414	\$ 2,443	\$ 2,611

Tower has a \$13.5 million line of credit with the Bank which is subject to normal credit terms and has a variable interest rate. No loans were outstanding during the past three years under this line of credit. Letters of credit may be collateralized under this line of credit, and fees received for these letters of credit totaled \$218 thousand in 2008 and \$35 thousand in 2007. From time to time, the Bank extends additional credit to Tower for construction and development projects. No construction loans were outstanding during 2009, 2008 and 2007.

During 2009, Tower leased office space in the Kansas City bank headquarters building, owned by the Company. Rent paid to the Company, at \$15.25 per square foot, totaled \$45 thousand in 2009.

Directors of the Company and their beneficial interests have deposit accounts with the Bank and may be provided with cash management and other banking services, including loans, in the ordinary course of business. Such loans were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other unrelated persons, and did not involve more than the normal risk of collectability.

In December 2008 and at various times during 2009, the Company purchased, through market transactions, corporate bonds issued by Enterprise Rent-A-Car Company, whose Chairman and CEO is a director of the Company. The bonds, totaling \$12.9 million at book value, were sold in the public market during December 2009 for a gain of \$3.8 million.

114

21. Parent Company Condensed Financial Statements

Following are the condensed financial statements of Commerce Bancshares, Inc. (Parent only) for the periods indicated:

Condensed Balance Sheets

	December 31			
(In thousands)	2009	2008		
Assets				
Investment in consolidated subsidiaries:				
Banks	\$ 1,670,328	\$ 1,427,170		
Non-banks	43,173	44,487		
Cash	52	71		
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	47,525	66,425		
Investment securities:				
Available for sale	115,157	47,471		
Non-marketable	3,911	5,247		
Advances to subsidiaries, net of borrowings	13,797	4,717		
Income tax benefits	1,201			
Other assets	14,138			
Total assets	\$ 1,909,282	\$ 1,608,587		
Liabilities and stockholders equity				
Indemnification obligation	\$ 8,837	-		
Pension obligation	4,650	,		
Income taxes payable		3,403		
Other liabilities	11,567	11,642		
Total liabilities	25,054	31,955		
Stockholders equity	1,884,228	1,576,632		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,909,282	\$ 1,608,587		
1 1 1 1 J	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , ,		

Condensed Statements of Income

(In thousands)	For the Yes	ars Ended De 2008	2007	
Income Dividends received from consolidated subsidiaries: Banks Non-banks Earnings of consolidated subsidiaries, net of dividends Interest and dividends on investment securities Management fees charged subsidiaries Investment securities gains Other	\$ 45,001 129 128,536 1,406 46,613 1,804 2,538	\$ 75,900 270 103,618 2,326 44,035 20,857 642	\$ 172,187 7,280 44,086 4,524 39,074 2,110 1,913	
Total income	226,027	247,648	271,174	
Expense Salaries and employee benefits Professional fees Data processing fees paid to affiliates Indemnification obligation Other	39,528 3,080 11,337 (2,495) 10,941	36,586 2,698 11,677 (9,619) 11,280	30,509 3,997 11,097 20,951 11,182	
Total expense	62,391	52,622	77,736	
Income tax expense (benefit)	(5,439)	6,371	(13,222)	
Net income	\$ 169,075	\$ 188,655	\$ 206,660	

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

		For the Years Ended December 31			er 31	
(In thousands)		2009		2008		2007
Operating Activities						
Net income	\$	169,075	\$	188,655	\$	206,660
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by	-	,		•		,
operating activities:						
Earnings of consolidated subsidiaries, net of dividends		(128,536)		(103,618)		(44,086)
Other adjustments, net		(1,093)		(21,257)		11,619
Net cash provided by operating activities		39,446		63,780		174,193
Towarding Antivities						
Investing Activities (Increase) decrease in securities purchased under agreements to						
resell		18,900		(66,425)		
(Increase) decrease in investment in subsidiaries, net		353		99		(43,977)
Proceeds from sales of investment securities		11,812		26,653		55,866
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities		105,944		73,291		62,256
Purchases of investment securities		(195,935)		(13,232)		(70,389)
(Increase) decrease in advances to subsidiaries, net		(9,080)		(20,425)		5,268
Net purchases of equipment		(409)		(127)		(1,691)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		(68,415)		(166)		7,333
Financing Activities						
Purchases of treasury stock		(528)		(9,490)		(128,578)
Issuance under open market stock sale program, stock purchase and		(326)		(9,490)		(120,370)
equity compensation plans		103,641		15,978		13,661
Net tax benefit related to equity compensation plans		557		1,928		2,283
Cash dividends paid on common stock		(74,720)		(72,055)		(68,915)
		(,)		(. =,)		(==,===)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		28,950		(63,639)		(181,549)
		(4.0)				(2.2)
Decrease in cash		(19)		(25)		(23)
Cash at beginning of year		71		96		119
Cash at end of year	\$	52	\$	71	\$	96
Table of Contents						255

Dividends paid by the Parent to its shareholders were substantially provided from Bank dividends. The Bank may distribute dividends without prior regulatory approval that do not exceed the sum of net income for the current year and retained net income for the preceding two years, subject to maintenance of minimum capital requirements. The Parent charges fees to its subsidiaries for management services provided, which are allocated to the subsidiaries based primarily on total average assets. The Parent makes advances to non-banking subsidiaries and its subsidiary bank holding company. Advances are made to the Parent by its subsidiary bank holding company for investment in temporary liquid securities. Interest on such advances is based on market rates.

For the past several years, the Parent has maintained a \$20.0 million line of credit for general corporate purposes with the Bank. The line of credit is secured by marketable investment securities. The Parent did not borrow under this line during 2009, while average borrowings were \$245 thousand during 2008 and \$4.5 million in 2007. Interest was paid at the quoted Call Money rate during the periods outstanding in 2008 and 2007, which was 6.1% and 6.4%, respectively.

The Parent plans to fund an additional \$33.3 million relating to private equity investments over the next several years. The investments are made directly by the Parent and through non-bank subsidiaries.

At December 31, 2009, the fair value of available for sale investment securities held by the Parent consisted of investments of \$33.3 million in marketable common stock and \$81.9 million in non-agency mortgage-backed securities. These mortgage-backed securities were purchased at fair value from the Bank

116

Table of Contents

in December 2009. The Parent sunrealized net gain in fair value on its investments was \$28.4 million at December 31, 2009. The corresponding net of tax unrealized gain included in stockholders equity was \$17.6 million. Also included in stockholders equity was an unrealized net of tax gain in fair value of investment securities held by subsidiaries, which amounted to \$46.7 million at December 31, 2009.

In 2007, the Parent recorded a liability related to its share of certain estimated Visa litigation costs under an indemnification obligation to Visa, as discussed on page 113. This liability was \$8.8 million at December 31, 2009 compared to \$11.3 million at December 31, 2008. Adjustments to the liability have been recorded as covered suits have been settled or additional funding has been made to Visa s litigation escrow account, which resulted in net declines in the liability of \$2.5 million in 2009 and \$9.6 million during 2008. Also during 2008, the Parent recorded a gain of \$22.2 million on the redemption of Visa Class B stock, which occurred in conjunction with Visa s initial public offering in March 2008.

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

There were no changes in or disagreements with accountants on accounting and financial disclosure.

Item 9a. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this annual report.

Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control Integrated Framework*, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009.

The Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 has been audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which follows.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

No change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting occurred that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, such controls during the last quarter of the period covered by this report.

117

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders Commerce Bancshares, Inc.:

We have audited Commerce Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Commerce Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, and our report dated February 26, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

Kansas City, Missouri February 26, 2010

Item 9b. OTHER INFORMATION

None

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by Items 401, 405 and 407(c)(3), (d)(4) and (d)(5) of Regulation S-K regarding executive officers is included in Part I under the caption Executive Officers of the Registrant and under the captions Election of the 2013 Class of Directors , Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance , Audit Committee Report , and Committee on Governance/Directors in the definitive proxy statement, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The Company s financial officer code of ethics for the chief executive officer and senior financial officers of the Company is available at www.commercebank.com. Amendments to, and waivers of, the code of ethics are posted on this website.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Items 402 and 407(e)(4) and (e)(5) of Regulation S-K regarding executive compensation is included under the captions Executive Compensation , Compensation and Human Resources Committee Report , and Compensation and Human Resources Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation in the definitive proxy statement, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Items 201(d) and 403 of Regulation S-K is covered under the captions Equity Compensation Plan Information and Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management in the definitive proxy statement, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by Items 404 and 407(a) of Regulation S-K is covered under the captions Election of the 2013 Class of Directors and Corporate Governance in the definitive proxy statement, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required is included under the captions Pre-approval of Services by the External Auditor and Fees Paid to KPMG LLP in the definitive proxy statement, which is incorporated herein by reference.

119

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

		Page
(1)	Financial Statements:	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	65
	Consolidated Statements of Income	66
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	67
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity	68
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	69
	Summary of Quarterly Statements of Income	59
(2)	Financial Statement Schedules:	
	All schedules are omitted as such information is inapplicable or is included in the	
	financial statements.	

(b) The exhibits filed as part of this report and exhibits incorporated herein by reference to other documents are listed in the Index to Exhibits (pages E-1 through E-2).

120

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized this 26th day of February 2010.

Commerce Bancshares, Inc.

By: /s/ James L. Swarts James L. Swarts Vice President and Secretary

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 26th day of February 2010.

By: /s/ Charles G. Kim Charles G. Kim Chief Financial Officer

By: /s/ Jeffery D. Aberdeen Jeffery D. Aberdeen Controller (Chief Accounting Officer)

David W. Kemper (Chief Executive Officer) John R. Capps W. Thomas Grant, II James B. Hebenstreit Jonathan M. Kemper Terry O. Meek Benjamin F. Rassieur, III Andrew C. Taylor Kimberly G. Walker Robert H. West

A majority of the Board of Directors*

David W. Kemper, Director and Chief Executive Officer, and the other Directors of Registrant listed, executed a power of attorney authorizing James L. Swarts, their attorney-in-fact, to sign this report on their behalf.

By: /s/ James L. Swarts James L. Swarts Attorney-in-Fact

121

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

- 3 Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws:
- (a) Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended, were filed in quarterly report on Form 10-Q dated August 10, 1999, and the same are hereby incorporated by reference.
- (b) Restated By-Laws, as amended, were filed in current report on Form 8-K dated October 29, 2007, and the same are hereby incorporated by reference.
- 4 Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures:
- (a) Pursuant to paragraph (b)(4)(iii) of Item 601 Regulation S-K, Registrant will furnish to the Commission upon request copies of long-term debt instruments.
- 10 Material Contracts (Each of the following is a management contract or compensatory plan arrangement):
- (a) Commerce Bancshares, Inc. Executive Incentive Compensation Plan amended and restated as of January 1, 2009 was filed in quarterly report on Form 10-Q dated August 7, 2009, and the same is hereby incorporated by reference.
- (b) Commerce Bancshares, Inc. 1987 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan amended and restated as of July 24, 2009 was filed in quarterly report on Form 10-Q dated August 7, 2009, and the same is hereby incorporated by reference.
- (c) Commerce Bancshares, Inc. Stock Purchase Plan for Non-Employee Directors amended and restated as of October 4, 1996 was filed in quarterly report on Form 10-Q dated November 8, 1996, and the same is hereby incorporated by reference.
- (d) Commerce Bancshares, Inc. 1996 Incentive Stock Option Plan amended and restated as of April 2001 was filed in quarterly report on Form 10-Q dated May 8, 2001, and the same is hereby incorporated by reference.
- (e) Commerce Executive Retirement Plan amended and restated as of January 1, 2005 was filed in current report on Form 8-K dated January 4, 2005, and the same is hereby incorporated by reference.
- (f) Commerce Bancshares, Inc. Restricted Stock Plan amended and restated as of July 24, 2009 was filed in quarterly report on Form 10-Q dated August 7, 2009, and the same is hereby incorporated by reference.
- (g) Form of Severance Agreement between Commerce Bancshares, Inc. and certain of its executive officers entered into as of October 4, 1996 was filed in quarterly report on Form 10-Q dated November 8, 1996, and the same is hereby incorporated by reference.
- (h) Trust Agreement for the Commerce Bancshares, Inc. Executive Incentive Compensation Plan amended and restated as of January 1, 2001 was filed in quarterly report on Form 10-Q dated May 8, 2001, and the same is hereby incorporated by reference.
- (i) Commerce Bancshares, Inc. 2010 Compensatory Arrangement with CEO and Named Executive Officers was filed in current report on Form 8-K dated February 11, 2010, and the same is hereby incorporated by reference.

- (j) Commerce Bancshares, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan amended and restated as of July 24, 2009 was filed in quarterly report on Form 10-Q dated August 7, 2009, and the same is hereby incorporated by reference.
- (k) Commerce Bancshares, Inc. Notice of Grant of Stock Options and Option Agreement was filed in quarterly report on Form 10-Q dated August 5, 2005, and the same is hereby incorporated by reference.

E-1

Table of Contents

- (l) Commerce Bancshares, Inc. Restricted Stock Award Agreement, pursuant to the Restricted Stock Plan, was filed in quarterly report on Form 10-Q dated August 5, 2005, and the same is hereby incorporated by reference.
- (m) Commerce Bancshares, Inc. Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement and Commerce Bancshares, Inc. Restricted Stock Award Agreement, pursuant to the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, were filed in current report on Form 8-K dated February 23, 2006, and the same are hereby incorporated by reference.
- 21 Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 23 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- 24 Power of Attorney
- 31.1 Certification of CEO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of CFO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32 Certifications of CEO and CFO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

E-2