BALL Corp Form DEF 14A March 15, 2017

Use these links to rapidly review the document <u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u>

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.

Filed by the Registrant ý

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- ý Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

BALL CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- ý No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3)

(4)

o

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(5)	Total fee paid:
Fee p	aid previously with preliminary materials.
	k box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee and previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Date Filed:

BALL CORPORATION

Notice of 2017

Annual Meeting

of Shareholders

and Proxy Statement

Wednesday, April 26, 2017, 8:00 A.M., local time

10 Longs Peak Drive, Broomfield, Colorado Ball and

are trademarks of Ball Corporation, Reg. U.S. Pat. & Tm. Office

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS PROXY STATEMENT	1
ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING	2
VOTING SECURITIES AND PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS	<u>3</u>
BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP	<u>4</u>
VOTING ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	<u>5</u>
DIRECTOR NOMINEES AND CONTINUING DIRECTORS	<u>6</u>
BOARD LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE AND RISK OVERSIGHT	7
BOARD DIVERSITY	<u>13</u>
GOVERNANCE OF THE CORPORATION	<u>13</u>
BOARD MEETINGS AND ANNUAL MEETING	<u>14</u>
	<u>15</u>
BOARD COMMITTEES TRANSACTIONS WITH BELATED BEDGONS, BROMOTERS, AND GERTAIN CONTROL BEDGONS.	<u>15</u>
TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS, PROMOTERS AND CERTAIN CONTROL PERSONS	<u>18</u>
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	<u>19</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	<u>19</u>
COMPENSATION OBJECTIVES AND PHILOSOPHY	<u>25</u>
ROLE OF THE HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE AND EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION CONSULTANT	<u>25</u>
MARKET REFERENCE POINTS AND PEER GROUPS	<u>25</u>
PROCESS FOR DETERMINING EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	<u>27</u>
ELEMENTS OF BALL'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM AND 2016 PERFORMANCE	
SPECIFICS RELATED TO THE 2016 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION ELEMENTS	<u>28</u>
OTHER EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION POLICIES AND GUIDELINES	<u>30</u>
TABLES AND NARRATIVES	<u>37</u>
SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE	<u>39</u>
GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE	<u>39</u>
OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2016	<u>41</u>
	<u>44</u>

<u>OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN 2016</u>	
NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION	<u>45</u>
	<u>45</u>
PENSION BENEFITS	<u>47</u>
OTHER POTENTIAL POST-TERMINATION EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	<u>50</u>
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	<u></u>
EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION	
REPORT OF THE HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	<u>56</u>
REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	<u>56</u>
VOTING ITEM 2 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITOR	<u>56</u>
VOTING ITEM 3 APPROVAL OF THE AMENDED AND RESTATED 2013 STOCK AND CASH INCENTIVE PLAN	<u>57</u>
	<u>58</u>
VOTING ITEM 4 ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	<u>66</u>
<u>VOTING ITEM 5 ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF THE ADVISORY NON-BINDING</u> SHAREHOLDER VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	<u>68</u>
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2018 ANNUAL MEETING	<u>—</u>
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	
HOUSEHOLDING	<u>69</u>
SOLICITATION AND OTHER MATTERS	<u>69</u>
EXHIBIT A BALL CORPORATION BYLAWS	<u>69</u>
	<u>A-1</u>
EXHIBIT B BALL CORPORATION AMENDED AND RESTATED 2013 STOCK AND CASH INCENTIVE PLAN	<u>B-1</u>
ANNEX I BALL CORPORATION AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER	<u>I-1</u>
ANNEX II BALL CORPORATION NOMINATING/ CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE CHARTER	II-1
ANNEX III BALL CORPORATION HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE CHARTER	
ANNEX IV BALL CORPORATION FINANCE COMMITTEE CHARTER	<u>III-1</u>
i	<u>IV-1</u>

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Wednesday, April 26, 2017 8:00 A.M., local time

10 Longs Peak Drive, Broomfield, Colorado 80021

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Ball Corporation will be held at the Corporation's offices, 10 Longs Peak Drive, Broomfield, Colorado 80021-2510, on Wednesday, April 26, 2017, at 8:00 A.M. (MDT) for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect four directors for three-year terms expiring at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held in 2020;
- To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Corporation for 2017;
- 3. To approve the Amended and Restated 2013 Stock and Cash Incentive Plan;
- 4. To approve, by non-binding advisory vote, the compensation of the named executive officers ("NEOs") as disclosed in the following Proxy Statement;
- To recommend, by non-binding advisory vote, the frequency of the non-binding advisory shareholder vote to approve the compensation of the NEOs; and
- 6. To consider any other business as may properly come before the meeting, although it is anticipated that no business will be conducted other than the matters listed above.

Only holders of common stock of record at the close of business on March 1, 2017, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof. A Proxy Statement containing important information about the meeting and the matters being voted upon appears on the following pages.

Your vote is important. You are urged to read the accompanying proxy materials carefully and in their entirety and submit your proxy as soon as possible so that your shares can be voted at the meeting in accordance with your instructions. You have a choice of submitting your proxy by the Internet or by telephone, or, if you request a paper copy of the materials, by mail.

By Order of the Board of Directors, Charles E. Baker Corporate Secretary

March 15, 2017 Broomfield, Colorado

PLEASE NOTE: The 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders will be held to tabulate the Votes cast and to report the results of voting on the items described above. No management presentations or other business matters are planned for the meeting.

Table of Contents

BALL CORPORATION 10 Longs Peak Drive, Broomfield, Colorado 80021-2510

PROXY STATEMENT March 15, 2017

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD WEDNESDAY, APRIL 26, 2017

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Shareholder Meeting

The Proxy Statement, Form 10-K and Annual Report are Available at http://materials.proxyvote.com

To Shareholders of Ball Corporation:

This Proxy Statement and the accompanying proxy are furnished to shareholders in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of Ball Corporation (the "Corporation" or "Ball") of proxies to be voted at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "Annual Meeting") to be held April 26, 2017, for the purposes stated in the accompanying notice of the meeting. We are first furnishing and making available to shareholders the proxy materials on March 15, 2017.

Please submit your proxy as soon as possible so that your shares can be voted at the meeting. All properly completed proxies submitted by telephone or the Internet, and all properly executed written proxies returned by shareholders who request paper copies of the proxy materials, that are delivered pursuant to this solicitation, will be voted at the meeting in accordance with the directions given in the proxy, unless the proxy is revoked prior to completion of voting at the meeting. Only holders of record of shares of the Corporation's common stock as of the close of business on March 1, 2017, the record date for the Annual Meeting, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting, or at any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

Any Ball Corporation shareholder of record as of March 1, 2017, the record date, desiring to submit a proxy by telephone or via the Internet will be required to enter the unique voter control number imprinted on the Ball Corporation proxy card, and therefore should have the proxy card for reference when initiating the process.

To submit your proxy by telephone, call 1-800-690-6903 on a touch-tone telephone and follow the menu instructions provided. There is no charge for this call.

To submit your proxy over the Internet, log on to the Website www.proxyvote.com and follow the instructions provided.

Similar instructions are included on the enclosed proxy card.

A shareholder of record of the Corporation may revoke a proxy in writing at any time prior to the meeting by sending written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary; by voting again by telephone; by voting via the Internet; by voting in writing if you requested your materials in paper copy; or by voting in person at the meeting.

ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING

Why am I receiving the Proxy Statement? You are receiving the Proxy Statement because you owned shares of Ball Corporation common stock on March 1, 2017, the record date, and that entitles you to vote at the Annual Meeting. The Corporation's Board of Directors ("Board") is soliciting your proxy to vote at the scheduled 2017 Annual Meeting or at any later meeting should the scheduled Annual Meeting be adjourned or postponed for any reason. Your proxy will authorize specified people (proxies) to vote on your behalf at the Annual Meeting in accordance with your written instructions. By use of a proxy, you can vote, whether or not you attend the meeting.

What will I be voting on? You will be voting on (1) the election of four director nominees named in this Proxy Statement for terms expiring at the 2020 annual meeting of shareholders; (2) the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for 2017; (3) the approval of the Amended and Restated 2013 Stock and Cash Incentive Plan; (4) an advisory vote to approve the compensation of the named executive officers; and (5) an advisory vote on the frequency of the shareholder vote to approve the compensation of the named executive officers.

What are the Board of Directors' recommendations? The Board recommends a vote (1) FOR the election of the four director nominees named in this Proxy Statement; (2) FOR the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm for 2017; (3) FOR the approval of the Amended and Restated 2013 Stock and Cash Incentive Plan; (4) FOR the advisory vote on the compensation of the named executive officers; and (5) FOR the selection of "One Year" on the proposal recommending the frequency of the shareholder vote to approve the compensation of the named executive officers.

Could other matters be decided at the Annual Meeting? We do not know of any other matters that will be raised at the Annual Meeting. The Chairman will allow presentation of a proposal or a nomination for the Board from the floor at the Annual Meeting only if the proposal or nomination was properly submitted. The proxies will have discretionary authority, to the extent permitted by law, to vote for or against other matters that may properly come before the Annual Meeting as those persons deem advisable.

How many votes can be cast by all shareholders? Each share of Ball Corporation common stock (other than 688 shares of common stock that have been granted as restricted stock without voting rights) is entitled to one vote on each of the four directors to be elected and one vote on each other matter that is properly presented at the Annual Meeting.

How do I vote my shares if I am a record holder? If you are a record holder of shares; that is, the shares are registered in your name and not the name of your broker or other nominee, you are urged to submit your proxy as soon as possible, so that your shares can be voted at the meeting in accordance with your instructions. You may submit your proxy by telephone or via the Internet as instructed on page 2 of the Proxy Statement and on your proxy card, or you can complete, sign, date and mail your proxy card if you request a paper copy of the proxy materials. You may also vote by attending the Annual Meeting, or sending a personal representative to the Annual Meeting with an appropriate proxy, in order to vote. Unless you or a personal representative plan to be in attendance and vote at the meeting, your vote must be received no later than 11:59 P.M. (EDT) on Tuesday, April 25, 2017.

How do I vote my shares if I hold my shares under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") or the 401(k) Plan? Participants may vote their shares in the manner set forth above; however, shares held through the Plans must be voted by 11:59 P.M. (EDT) on Sunday, April 23, 2017. The Trustee of the 401(k) Plan will vote the unvoted shares for each voting item in the same proportion as the voted shares for each item. The Administrator of the ESPP will vote the unvoted shares for that Plan in accordance with the Board of Directors' recommendations.

How do I vote my shares if I hold my shares in "street name" through a bank or broker? If you hold your shares as a beneficial owner through a bank, broker or other nominee, you must provide voting instructions to your bank, broker or other nominee by the deadline provided in the materials you receive from your bank, broker or other nominee to ensure your shares are voted in the way you would like at the meeting. Your bank, broker or other nominee will send you specific instructions in this regard to vote your shares. If you do not provide instructions to your bank, broker or other nominee, whether your shares are voted depends on the type of item being considered for a vote. For example, under applicable stock exchange rules, brokers are permitted to vote on "discretionary" items if the voting instructions from the beneficial owners of the shares are not provided in a timely manner. Brokers are not permitted to vote on "nondiscretionary" items. The proposal to approve the appointment of independent auditors is considered a "discretionary" item. This means that brokerage firms may vote in their discretion on this matter on behalf of clients who have not furnished voting instructions at least ten days before the date of the meeting. In contrast, the other items to be voted on at the Annual Meeting are "nondiscretionary" items. This means brokerage firms that have not received voting instructions from their clients on these items may not vote on them. These so-called "broker nonvotes" will be included in the calculation of the number of votes considered to be present at the meeting for purposes of determining a quorum, but will not be considered in determining the number of votes

necessary for approval and will have no effect on the outcome of the votes for such items.

Can I revoke my proxy or change my vote? Shareholders of record may revoke their proxies or change their votes in writing at any time prior to the meeting by sending written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary; by voting again by telephone or via the Internet; by voting in writing if they requested their materials in paper copy; or by voting in person at the meeting. Attendance in and of itself at the Annual Meeting will not revoke a proxy. For shares you hold beneficially but not of record, you may change your vote by submitting new voting instructions to your broker or nominee or, if you have obtained a valid proxy from your broker or nominee giving you the right to vote your shares, by attending the meeting and voting in person.

VOTING SECURITIES AND PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

At the close of business on March 1, 2017, there were outstanding 175,066,162 shares of common stock. Other than 688 shares of common stock granted as restricted stock without voting rights, each of the shares of common stock is entitled to one vote. Shareholders do not have cumulative voting rights with respect to the election of directors.

Based on Schedule 13G filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), the following table indicates the beneficial owners of more than 5% of the Corporation's outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2016:

Name and Address	Shares	Percent	
of Beneficial Owner	Beneficially Owned	of Class	
The Vanguard Group	16,593,122 (1)	9.4	ļ
100 Vanguard Boulevard			
Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355			
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc.			
100 East Pratt Street	15,729,315 (2)	8.9)
Baltimore, Maryland 21202			
Fidelity Management & Research LLC			
245 Summer Street	12,107,274 (3)	6.9)
Boston, Massachusetts 02210			
BlackRock, Inc.			
55 East 52nd Street	10,233,947 (4)	5.9)
New York, New York 10055			

(1)

269,927 shares with sole power to vote or direct to vote.

29,215 shares with shared power to vote or direct to vote.

16,295,990 shares with sole power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of.

297,132 shares with shared power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of.

Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company ("VFTC"), a wholly owned subsidiary of The Vanguard Group, Inc., is the beneficial owner of 222,257 shares or 0.12% of the Common Stock outstanding of the Company as a result of its serving as investment manager of collective trust accounts.

Vanguard Investments Australia, Ltd. ("VIA"), a wholly owned subsidiary of The Vanguard Group, Inc., is the beneficial owner of 122,545 shares or 0.07% of the Common Stock outstanding of the Company as a result of its serving as investment manager of Australian investment offerings.

- (2) 4,494,300 shares with sole voting power and 15,729,315 shares with sole dispositive power. No shares with shared voting power and shared dispositive power.
- (3)
 1,483,587 shares with sole power to vote or direct to vote. 12,107,274 shares with sole power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of. No shares with shared power to vote or to direct the vote and no shares with shared power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of.

(4)

8,756,498 shares with sole voting power and 10,233,947 shares with sole dispositive power. No shares with shared voting power and no shares with shared dispositive power.

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP

The following table lists the beneficial ownership of common stock of the Corporation of our director nominees, continuing directors, all individuals who served as either our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") or our Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") during the last fiscal year, the three other most highly compensated executive officers of the Corporation and, as a group, all of such persons and our other executive officers as of the close of business on March 1, 2017.

Title of Class	Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾	Percent of Class (2)	Included in Shares Beneficially Owned Number of Shares Which Become Available or Subject to Options Exercisable or Which Become Exercisable Within 60 Days of March 1, 2017 (3)	Excluded fron Deferred Share or Stock Unit Equivalent (4)	Restricted Stock Shares or Units (5)
Common	Robert W. Alspaugh	3,000	*		27,787	35,678
Common	Charles E. Baker	284,925 (6)	*	225,456	62,793	30,259
Common	Erik C. M. Bouts	37,177	*	20,587		46,988
Common	Michael J. Cave	3,000	*		3,032	9,498
Common	Hanno C. Fiedler	126,730	*			34,366
Common	John A. Hayes	1,505,731 (7)	*	1,278,810	270,614	137,177
Common	Daniel J. Heinrich	3,000	*			6,000
Common	R. David Hoover	1,546,071 (8)	*	1,153,000	100,073	17,342
Common	Scott C. Morrison	444,202	*	277,069	137,514	50,393
Common	Georgia R. Nelson	9,000	*		34,434	33,678
Common	Cynthia A. Niekamp	3,000	*			6,000
Common	Lisa A. Pauley	328,136 ⁽⁹⁾	*	142,655	77,835	31,717
Common	George M. Smart	37,442	*		13,302	33,678
Common	Theodore M. Solso	60,941 (10)			62,367	33,678
Common Common	Stuart A. Taylor II All of the above and present executive officers as a	83,678	*		53,157	33,678
	group (20)	4,738,272 (11)	2.7	3,269,602	930,813	657,054

- (1) Full voting and dispositive investment power, unless otherwise noted.
- * Indicates less than 1% ownership.
- (3) Includes RSUs that may vest or options that may vest or be acquired upon exercise during the next 60 days.
- (4)
 These deferred shares or stock units are equivalent to an equal number of shares of common stock that have been deferred to the Ball Corporation Deferred Compensation Company Stock Plans, with no voting rights or dispositive investment power with respect to the underlying common stock prior to its issuance.

- (5) These Restricted Stock Shares or RSUs have no voting rights or dispositive investment power.
- (6) Includes 1,040 shares owned by Mr. Baker's children, as to which he disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (7) Includes 80,672 shares held in trust, as to which he disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (8) Includes 32,605 shares held in trust for Mr. Hoover's spouse, as to which he disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (9) Includes 131,694 shares owned by Ms. Pauley's spouse, as to which she disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (10) Includes 28,000 shares held in trust, as to which Mr. Solso disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (11) Includes 274,011 shares to which beneficial ownership is disclaimed. In addition, no shares have been pledged as security.

VOTING ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Pursuant to our Amended Articles of Incorporation, as amended, and the Indiana Business Corporation Law, our Board of Directors is divided into three classes, as nearly equal in number as possible, with directors serving staggered three-year terms. Amendments to the Indiana Business Corporation Law in 2009 made this classified Board structure statutorily required for Ball Corporation, effective from and after July 31, 2009. On April 26, 2017, four persons are to be elected to serve as directors until the 2020 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Unless otherwise instructed on the accompanying proxy, the persons named in the proxy intend to vote for nominees John A. Hayes, George M. Smart, Theodore M. Solso and Stuart A. Taylor II to hold office as directors of the Corporation until the 2020 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Class II), or, in each case, until his respective successor is elected and qualified. Each of the nominees has consented to be named as a candidate in the Proxy Statement and has agreed to serve if elected. If, for any reason, any of the nominees becomes unavailable for election, the shares represented by proxies will be voted for any substitute nominee or nominees designated by the Board. The Board has no reason to believe that any of the nominees will be unable to serve.

Under the Corporation's Amended Articles of Incorporation, as amended, in an uncontested election, which is the case at this Annual Meeting, directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast by the shares entitled to vote in the election at a meeting at which a quorum is present. If more "against" than "for" votes are received, the Corporation's Bylaws require the director to tender his or her resignation and the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee must make a recommendation to the Board to consider whether to accept the resignation. The relevant Bylaw provisions are set out in Exhibit A to this Proxy Statement. For this vote, abstentions and broker nonvotes are considered neither votes "for" nor "against" and will not affect the outcome of the vote. Proxies may not be voted for a greater number of persons than the four named nominees.

Set forth for each director nominee in Class II and for each continuing director in Classes I and III is the director's principal occupation and employment during the past five years or, if longer, the period during which the director has served as a director, and certain other information, including his or her public company directorships during the past five years.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" the election of each nominee for Director named.

Table of Contents

DIRECTOR NOMINEES AND CONTINUING DIRECTORS

To Be Elected for a Term of Three Years Until the 2020 Annual Meeting (Class II)

John A. Hayes

Director since: 2010

Age: 51

Other Public Company Boards: None

Mr. Hayes has been Chairman, Ball Corporation since April 2013; President and Chief Executive Officer, Ball Corporation, since January 2011. He was President and Chief Operating Officer, January 2010 to January 2011; Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, 2008 to 2010; President, Ball Packaging Europe and Senior Vice President, Ball Corporation, 2007 to 2008; Executive Vice President, Ball Packaging Europe and Vice President, Ball Corporation, 2005 to 2006; Vice President, Corporate Strategy, Marketing and Development, 2003 to 2005; Vice President, Corporate Planning and Development, 2000 to 2003; Senior Director, Corporate Planning and Development, 1999.

Specific qualifications, attributes, skills and experience: Prior to joining Ball Corporation in 1999, Mr. Hayes was a Vice President of Lehman Brothers Inc. and part of an investment banking team which focused on merger and acquisition and financing advice to several major companies, including the Corporation. At Ball, Mr. Hayes initially headed our corporate development and planning activities as Senior Director and then Vice President, Corporate Planning and Development, taking on the added responsibilities of marketing and new product development from 2003 to mid-2005. He then served as President of Ball Packaging Europe, which produced excellent financial results and strong revenue growth under his leadership. During 2008 and 2009, Mr. Hayes served as Ball's Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, successfully leading our key operating divisions through the economic and financial crisis. In January 2010, he was named our President and Chief Operating Officer and joined the Ball Board. In January 2011, he became our President and Chief Executive Officer, and in April 2013 he also became our Chairman. Mr. Hayes' extensive investment banking and leadership experience within Ball, including as CEO for the past six years, make him well qualified to serve as a director.

George M. Smart

Director since: 2005

Age: 71

Board Committees: Human Resources and Nominating/Corporate Governance

Other Public Company Boards: Director, FirstEnergy Corp., Akron, Ohio.

Mr. Smart has served as Chairman of FirstEnergy since 2004, except for a brief transition period from January 1, 2015, to April 30, 2015, when he was its Lead Independent Director.

Specific qualifications, attributes, skills and experience: Mr. Smart's long career and success in the U.S. can manufacturing industry make him well qualified to serve as a director. He steadily assumed increasing responsibility at Central States Can Co., a division of Van Dorn Company, culminating in his acting as its President and Chief Executive Officer from 1978 to 1993. When Central States was acquired in 1993, Mr. Smart and his management team established a start-up company, Phoenix Packaging Corporation, to manufacture and sell full-panel easy-open ends for food containers, including to Ball's food can division. Serving as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer for Phoenix, Mr. Smart led its growth to a profitable company with revenues in excess of \$80 million, when it was sold to Sonoco Products Company and became Sonoco-Phoenix, Inc. in 2001. Mr. Smart served as President of Sonoco-Phoenix until 2004 and has been Chairman of the Board of FirstEnergy Corp. since 2004, except for a brief transition period in 2015, when he was its Lead Independent Director. Mr. Smart also previously served on the boards of Belden & Blake Corporation, Commercial Intertech Corporation, Unizan Financial, Van Dorn Company and as Chairman of the Can Manufacturers Institute.

Theodore M. Solso

Lead Independent Director since: 2013

Director since: 2003

Age: 70

Board Committees: Human Resources and Nominating/Corporate Governance

Other Public Company Boards: Director, General Motors Company. In the past five years, Mr. Solso has served on the boards of Ashland Inc., Covington, Kentucky; and Cummins Inc., Columbus, Indiana.

Mr. Solso was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Cummins Inc. from 2000 to 2011.

Specific qualifications, attributes, skills and experience: Mr. Solso had a successful 40-year career at Cummins Inc., a Fortune 500 manufacturing company with operations around the world. This culminated with Mr. Solso becoming Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Cummins in January 2000, a position he held through 2011, for a total of 12 years. Under his leadership, Cummins increased revenues from \$6.6 billion in 2000 to over \$18 billion in 2011. During the same period, its earnings per share and operating cash flow increased from \$0.35 and \$550 million, to \$9.55 and \$2.1 billion, respectively. Mr. Solso has been on the Ball Board since 2003 and is a trustee of Earth University in Costa Rica. He also serves on the board of Ad Astra Rocket Company, Houston, Texas; and General Motors Co., Detroit, Michigan, and was elected its Lead Independent Director in January 2016. Mr. Solso's long experience in leadership positions with a major global manufacturing company and his service on other public company boards make him well qualified to serve as a director.

Director since: 1999

Board Committees: Audit and Human Resources

Age: 56

Other Public Company Boards: Director, Hillenbrand, Inc., Batesville, Indiana; and Essendant, Inc., Deerfield, Illinois.

Mr. Taylor has been the Chief Executive Officer, The Taylor Group LLC, Chicago, Illinois, since June 2001; he was Senior Managing Director, Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc., Chicago, Illinois, 1999 to 2001.

Specific qualifications, attributes, skills and experience: Prior to starting his own private equity firm, Mr. Taylor spent 19 years in investment banking. The majority of that time was spent at Morgan Stanley in its Corporate Finance Department. In that capacity he executed a number of mergers and acquisitions and financings, including working with Ball in 1993 on the acquisition of Heekin Can Company. He also spent time at several other firms including Bear Stearns where he was a Senior Managing Director and Head of the Chicago office. In 2001, Mr. Taylor established The Taylor Group LLC, of which he is Chief Executive Officer, a successful investment company that primarily invests in small to mid-market businesses. Mr. Taylor has been a director of Ball since 1999, acted as our Presiding Director from 2004 to 2008 and chairs our Human Resources Committee. He is also a director of two other U.S.-based public companies. Mr. Taylor's extensive experience as an investment banker, entrepreneurial investor and Ball Board member make him well qualified to serve as a director.

Table of Contents

To Continue in Office Until the 2018 Annual Meeting (Class III)

Robert W. Alspaugh

Director since: 2008

Age: 70

Board Committees: Audit and Finance

Other Public Company Boards: Director, Autoliv, Inc., Stockholm, Sweden; VeriFone Systems, Inc., San Jose, California; and Triton International, Hamilton, Bermuda.

Mr. Alspaugh was the Chief Executive Officer for KPMG International from 2002 to 2005.

Specific qualifications, attributes, skills and experience: Mr. Alspaugh enjoyed a distinguished 35-year career with KPMG, with increasing responsibility, which culminated in his acting as Deputy Chairman and Chief Operating Officer of KPMG-U.S. from 1998 to 2002 and Chief Executive Officer of KPMG International from 2002 to October 2005. Mr. Alspaugh's extensive experience, qualifications and skills as a leader of one of the "big four" global accounting firms enhance his service as Chair of the Corporation's Audit Committee and he has provided valuable input as a result. He also sits on three other public company boards, one in the U.S. and the others overseas (where he chairs the audit committees), thus providing good cross-functional background and experience, with an international component. Mr. Alspaugh's extensive professional experience as a leader of a major global accounting firm, advising and supporting large international corporations, as well as his service on other company boards, make him well qualified to serve as a director. Mr. Alspaugh currently serves on the audit committees of three other public companies, and Ball Corporation's board of directors has determined that such simultaneous service will not impair his ability to effectively serve on Ball's audit committee.

Michael J. Cave

Director since: 2014

Age: 56

Board Committee: Audit and Finance

Other Public Company Boards: Director, Esterline Technologies, Bellevue, Washington; Harley-Davidson, Inc., Milwaukee, Wisconsin; and Aircastle Limited, Stamford, Connecticut.

Mr. Cave was Senior Vice President, The Boeing Company, and President of Boeing Capital Corp. from 2010 to 2014, and served for many years in senior management positions at Boeing.

Specific qualifications, attributes, skills and experience: Mr. Cave served for 31 years in various managerial capacities for The Boeing Company. Most recently, Mr. Cave served as Senior Vice President and President of Boeing Capital Corp., a subsidiary of The Boeing Company, from 2010 to 2014. Prior to that, he served as Senior Vice President of Business Development and Strategy at The Boeing Company, as well as Vice President of Business Strategy & Marketing of Boeing Commercial Airplanes from 2006 until late 2009. Prior to that, Mr. Cave served as Vice President & General Manager of Boeing's Airplane Programs division and focused on the strategy, product development and business results associated with those products. From 2003 to 2006, Mr. Cave served as the Chief Financial Officer of Boeing's Commercial Airplanes division and held various other senior positions prior to 2003. In addition to his accounting and financial expertise, Mr. Cave has broad experience in marketing and informational systems. He also serves on the Board of Directors of Harley Davidson, Inc. (and as its non-executive Chairman), Aircastle Limited and Esterline Technologies. In 2004, Mr. Cave was honored with the Award for Executive Excellence by the Hispanic Engineer National Achievement Awards Corporation. His experience and qualifications described above make him well qualified to serve as a director.

R. David Hoover

Director since: 1996

Age: 71

Board Committee: Finance

Other Public Company Boards: Director, Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, Indiana; Edgewell Personal Care Company, St. Louis, Missouri. During the past five years, Mr. Hoover was also a director of Steelcase, Inc., Grand Rapids, Michigan.

Mr. Hoover was Chairman, Ball Corporation, January 2011 to April 2013; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, January 2010 to January 2011; Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, April 2002 to January 2010; President and Chief Executive Officer, January 2001 to April 2002; Vice Chairman, President and Chief Operating Officer, April 2000 to January 2001; Vice Chairman, President and Chief Financial Officer, January 2000 to April 2000; Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer, 1998 to 2000; Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, 1997 to 1998; Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, 1996 to 1997.

Specific qualifications, attributes, skills and experience: Mr. Hoover has enjoyed a varied and successful 47-year career with Ball as a director, officer and employee serving in multiple corporate and divisional roles, including as Vice President and Treasurer from 1987 through 1992, Chief Financial Officer from 1993 to April 2000, and Chief Operating Officer for the balance of 2000. He was our Chief Executive Officer from January 2001 to January 2011, and led the Corporation through an unprecedented period of growth in revenues, earnings per share and free cash flow. Mr. Hoover's considerable working knowledge and leadership experience with respect to our Corporation make him uniquely qualified to serve as a director. He has been a Ball Board member for over 20 years, serving as Chairman from 2002 until 2013, and serves as a director of two other U.S.-based public companies. Mr. Hoover has also served on the Board of Trustees of DePauw University since 2002 and serves on the board of the Children's Hospital Colorado.

Table of Contents

To Continue in Office Until the 2019 Annual Meeting (Class I)

Hanno C. Fiedler

Director since: 2002

Age: 71

Board Committees: Audit and Nominating/Corporate Governance

Other Public Company Boards: In the past five years, Mr. Fiedler served on the Supervisory Boards of manroland AG, Offenbach, Germany; Pfleiderer AG, Neumarkt, Germany; Langmatz GmbH (now Langmatz AG), Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany; Thyssenkrupp Steel AG, Duisburg, Germany; HowaldtswerkeDeutsche Werft AG, Kiel, Germany; and Pfleiderer Unternehmensverwaltung GmbH, Neumarkt, Germany.

Mr. Fiedler was Executive Vice President, Ball Corporation, and Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Ball Packaging Europe, December 2002 to December 2005; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Schmalbach-Lubeca AG, 1996 to 2002.

Specific qualifications, attributes, skills and experience: After a successful career with TRW, Inc., in 1996 Mr. Fiedler became Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Schmalbach-Lubeca AG, one of the largest and most successful rigid packaging companies based in Europe. When Ball acquired the beverage can business of Schmalbach-Lubeca in December 2002, Mr. Fiedler became Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Ball Packaging Europe GmbH and also joined the Board of Ball Corporation. In that capacity, Mr. Fiedler provided excellent leadership to our newly-acquired European business which generated strong earnings performance during his tenure, despite the adverse effects of the German mandatory deposit system for rigid packaging which was initiated in 2003. Mr. Fiedler retired from active management of Ball Packaging Europe at the end of 2005. He has served on the Supervisory Boards of a number of major German companies. His leadership experience within the rigid container industry worldwide, with specific emphasis on Europe, makes him well qualified to serve as a director.

Daniel J. Heinrich

Director since: 2016

Age: 60

Board Committees: Audit and Nominating/Corporate Governance

Other Public Company Boards: Mr. Heinrich currently serves on the board of directors for ARAMARK, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and Edgewell Personal Care Company, St. Louis, Missouri.

Mr. Heinrich was executive vice president and chief financial officer of The Clorox Company from 2003 to 2011. Previous corporate roles include senior vice president and treasurer at Transamerica Finance Corporation; senior vice president, treasurer and controller at Granite Management Company; and senior vice president, chief accounting officer and controller at First Nationwide Bank.

Specific qualifications, attributes, skills and experience: Mr. Heinrich joined The Clorox Company in 2001 as vice president and controller and served as its executive vice president and chief financial officer from 2003 until 2011. As CFO and the senior financial executive for Clorox, Mr. Heinrich served as a member of its executive and employee benefits committees; secretary to the audit and finance committees of the board, and board member for most of the company's subsidiaries. He had senior management responsibility for the financial aspects of a large, global organization including its global business services, mergers and acquisitions, accounting, tax and information technology activities. Mr. Heinrich also serves on the boards of ARAMARK and Edgewell Personal Care, where he chairs their audit committees and serves on their finance committees. Additionally, Mr. Heinrich serves on the board of a large, privately held winery in California, where he is a member of its audit and finance committee, and its management development and compensation committee, and participates on various operating and advisory committees including information systems, new product development, agriculture and operations/supply chain. Mr. Heinrich's extensive management and board experience clearly qualify him to serve as a director of our Corporation.

Georgia R. Nelson

Director since: 2006

Age: 67

Board Committees: Human Resources and Nominating/Corporate Governance

Other Public Company Boards: Ms. Nelson is a director of Cummins Inc., Columbus, Indiana; Transalta Corporation, Calgary, Alberta; and Sims Metal Management Ltd., Botany, Australia.

Ms. Nelson has been President and Chief Executive Officer, PTI Resources, LLC, Chicago, Illinois, since June 2005; was President, Midwest Generation EME LLC, Chicago, Illinois, April 1999 to June 2005; and was General Manager, Edison Mission Energy Americas, Irvine, California, January 2002 to June 2005.

Specific qualifications, attributes, skills and experience: Ms. Nelson has enjoyed a successful career in the energy industry, serving as a senior executive for several U.S. and international energy companies, including as President of Midwest Generation EME, LLC from April 1999 to June 2005 and General Manager of Edison Mission Energy Americas from January 2002 to June 2005. She has had extensive international experience as well as environmental and policy experience on four continents. Ms. Nelson regularly lectures on business and corporate governance matters including at Northwestern University's Kellogg Graduate School of Management, and serves on the advisory committee of the Center for Executive Women at Northwestern. Ms. Nelson is a National Association of Corporate Directors ("NACD") Board Leadership Fellow. She also serves as a director of CH2M Inc. Previously Ms. Nelson served on four other publicly traded company boards. Ms. Nelson's leadership roles in global businesses as well as her service on other company boards, clearly qualify her to serve as a director of our Corporation.

Director since: 2016

Age: 57

Board Committees: Human Resources and Finance

Other Public Company Boards: Ms. Niekamp currently serves on the board of directors of Magna International Inc., Toronto, Ontario. In the past five years, she also served on the board of Cooper Tire & Rubber Company.

Ms. Niekamp is a former senior executive of PPG Industries, Inc., having served most recently as senior vice president of automotive coatings. Prior to that, she was president and general manager of TorqTransfer Systems at BorgWarner Inc.; senior vice president and chief financial officer at MeadWestvaco Corporation (now WestRock Company); and held various leadership roles at TRW, Inc. and General Motors Company.

Specific qualifications, attributes, skills and experience: Ms. Niekamp joined PPG in 2009 as vice president of automotive coatings and was promoted to senior vice president in 2010. She had responsibility for a sizeable business with operations across 15 countries and more than 6,000 employees. She also served as member of the PPG operating committee until her retirement in 2016. While at PPG, Ms. Niekamp charted and implemented a strategy to improve the financial performance of the business unit and to double its revenues. She also accelerated the business' growth into emerging countries, diversified the customer base and pursued strategic acquisitions. Previously, Ms. Niekamp served as president and general manager of BorgWarner's TorqTransfer Systems division, a supplier of engineered-four-wheel drive systems to major automakers. She also served in various executive roles for MeadWestvaco Corporation (now WestRock Company), including vice president, corporate strategy and planning; senior vice president, strategy and specialty operations; and chief financial officer, and has previously served on four other publicly traded company boards. Ms. Niekamp's extensive management and board experience clearly qualify her to serve as a director of our Corporation.

BOARD LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE AND RISK OVERSIGHT

In April 2013, John A. Hayes was named Chairman of the Board, having been elected a director in 2010. In 2011, prior to his election as Chairman, Mr. Hayes was named President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), while R. David Hoover, our predecessor CEO, continued to serve as Chairman of the Board. The decision to split the position of Chairman and CEO at that time was part of an orderly succession plan by which Mr. Hayes transitioned into his current role. Mr. Hayes assumed the position of Chairman after more than 14 years with Ball, most recently serving as President and CEO and a member of the Board.

Our Board of Directors is composed of Mr. Hayes and Mr. Hoover, as well as nine other directors, all of whom are independent directors. The Board has four standing committees. Audit, Nominating/Corporate Governance, Human Resources and Finance. Each of the committees, except for Finance, is composed solely of independent directors (the Finance Committee is primarily composed of independent directors), with each of the four committees, except Finance, having an independent director serving as chairman. Mr. Solso has served as Lead Independent Director since April 2013.

Although the Corporation's Bylaws do not require that the roles of Chairman and CEO be combined, we believe our Corporation and its shareholders are well served by this traditional board leadership model. Having a single person lead the Corporation and the Board provides clear leadership, helps to maintain uniform management vision for the Corporation and the Board and provides efficiency. The Board believes that the CEO is the person best suited to serve as Chairman, because he is the person most familiar with the Corporation's businesses and the most capable of effectively identifying strategic priorities and opportunities and leading the Board in the discussion of the execution of the Corporation's strategy. Pursuant to SEC and New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") rules, regularly scheduled executive sessions of nonmanagement directors are held. Executive sessions of independent directors are also held at least annually. Such meetings promote open discussion by nonmanagement and independent directors, enabling them to serve as a check on management, if necessary. The meetings of the independent directors are chaired by the Lead Independent Director, who is appointed by the Board.

In accordance with NYSE requirements, our Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing the risk management function of the Corporation. While the Audit Committee has primary responsibility for overseeing risk management, the entire Board is involved in overseeing risk management for the Corporation. Additionally, each Board committee considers the specific risks within its area of responsibility. Our Internal Audit Department has, for many years, analyzed various areas of risk to the Corporation and has provided risk assessment and analysis to our Audit Committee. In 2007, the Corporation established a comprehensive Enterprise Risk Management process which is now supervised by our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, whereby key corporate and divisional risks are systematically identified and assessed on a regular basis. The results of this ongoing risk assessment are reported to our Audit Committee and to our Board at least annually.

One of the responsibilities of our Board of Directors is to evaluate the effectiveness of the Board and make recommendations involving its organization and operation. We recognize that different board leadership structures may be appropriate for different companies and at different times. We believe our current leadership structure, with Mr. Hayes serving as Chairman, President and CEO, a Board with a majority of independent directors, an independent chairman for each of our standing Board committees, except Finance, and separate meetings of nonmanagement and independent directors, the latter led by the Lead Independent Director, provides the most effective form of leadership for our Corporation at this time. We believe that our directors provide effective oversight of risk management through the Board's regular dialogue with Ball management, the Enterprise Risk Management process, annual Board and Committee self-evaluation and assessment of specific risks within each Board committee's areas of responsibility.

BOARD DIVERSITY

Ball's Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee consistently applies the principles of diversity in its consideration of candidates for Board positions. In addition to considering characteristics such as race, gender and national origin, the Committee considers a variety of other characteristics such as business and professional experience, education and skill, all leading to differences of viewpoint and other individual qualities that contribute to Board heterogeneity. This has resulted in a diverse group of talented and capable Board members, as described in more detail under "Director Nominees and Continuing Directors."

GOVERNANCE OF THE CORPORATION

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board has established Corporate Governance Guidelines to comply with the relevant provisions of Section 303A of the NYSE Listed Company Manual (the "NYSE Listing Standards"). The Corporate Governance Guidelines are set forth on the Corporation's Website at www.ball.com/investors under "Corporate Governance." A copy may also be obtained upon request from the Corporation's Corporate Secretary.

Policies on Business Ethics and Conduct

Ball established a Corporate Compliance Committee in 1993, which now consists of a focal point in each operating division and which is chaired by a designated Compliance Officer. The Committee provides quarterly reports to management and to the Audit Committee. The Committee also publishes a code of business ethics, which is in the form of the Business Ethics Code of Conduct. The Board has adopted a separate additional business ethics statement referred to as the Ball Corporation Executive Officers and Directors Business Ethics Statement ("Executive Officers and Directors Ethics Statement") designed to establish principles requiring the highest level of ethical behavior toward achieving business success within the requirements of the law and the Corporation's policies and ethical standards. The Business Ethics Code of Conduct and the Executive Officers and Directors Ethics Statement are set forth on the Corporation's Website at www.ball.com/investors under "Corporate Governance" and then under "Highlights." Copies may also be obtained upon request from the Corporation's Corporate Secretary.

Director Training

All new directors receive orientation training soon after being elected to the Board. Continuing education programs are made available to directors including internal presentations, third-party presentations and externally offered programs. Four directors attended externally offered director training programs in 2016.

Communications With Directors

The Corporation has established means for shareholders or others to send communications to the Board. Persons interested in communicating with the Board, its individual directors or its committees may send communications in writing to the Corporate Secretary or the Chairman of the Board. The communication should be sent in care of the Corporate Secretary, Ball Corporation, by mail to P.O. Box 5000, Broomfield, Colorado 80038-5000 or facsimile transmission to 303-460-2691.

In accordance with the NYSE and SEC requirements, the Corporation has established additional means for interested parties to send communications to the Board and selected committees, which are described on the Corporation's Website at www.ball.com/investors under "Corporate Governance."

Shareholder proposals for inclusion in the Corporation's proxy materials will continue to be handled and must be communicated as disclosed in this Proxy Statement under "Shareholder Proposals for 2018 Annual Meeting."

Meetings of Nonmanagement and Independent Directors

The Board meets regularly and not less than four times per year. Nonmanagement directors meet regularly, usually in conjunction with a regular Board meeting. Independent directors meet at least annually. Theodore M. Solso serves as Lead Independent Director.

Director Independence Standards

Pursuant to the NYSE Listing Standards, the Board has adopted a policy adhering to the director independence requirements of the NYSE in determining the independence of directors. These standards are described on the Corporation's Website at www.ball.com/investors under "Corporate Governance."

The Board has determined that a majority of the Board is independent. Based upon the NYSE independence standards, during 2016 each of the members of the Board was and currently is independent with the exception of Messrs. Hayes and Hoover.

BOARD MEETINGS AND ANNUAL MEETING

The members of the Board are expected to attend all meetings of the Board, relevant committee meetings and the Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The Board held seven meetings during 2016. Every legacy director attended 80% or more of the aggregate of the total number of meetings of the Board and the total number of meetings held by all committees of the Board on which the director served. All such directors attended the 2016 Annual Meeting. Mr. Heinrich and Ms. Niekamp attended all Board and applicable committee meetings since their respective appointments.

BOARD COMMITTEES

The Board has an Audit Committee, Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, Human Resources Committee and Finance Committee.

Audit Committee

The primary purpose of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities to oversee management's conduct and the integrity of the Corporation's public financial reporting process including the oversight of (1) accounting policies; (2) the system of internal accounting controls over financial reporting; (3) disclosure controls and procedures; (4) the performance of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Corporation (the "independent auditor"); (5) the Internal Audit Department; and (6) oversight of the Corporation's risk management. The Audit Committee is also responsible for engaging and evaluating the Corporation's independent auditor and its lead engagement partner, including the qualifications and independence of both; resolving any differences between management and the independent auditor regarding financial reporting; reviewing and preapproving all audit and non-audit fees and services provided by the independent auditor; and establishing procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters.

Members of the Audit Committee are Messrs. Alspaugh, Cave, Fiedler, Heinrich (who joined the Committee in October 2016) and Taylor. The Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is independent and financially literate, has accounting or financial management expertise and is an Audit Committee financial expert under the NYSE Listing Standards and the SEC regulations. The Audit Committee met seven times during 2016.

The Report of the Audit Committee is set forth later in this Proxy Statement. The Committee has considered the non-audit services provided during 2016 and 2015 by the independent auditor as disclosed below and determined the services were compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence. The Committee believes the fees paid to the independent auditor in respect of the services were appropriate, necessary and cost-efficient in the management of the business of the Corporation and are compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence.

Audit Fees and Services

The following table represents fees for professional services rendered by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Corporation's independent auditor, for 2016 and 2015. Audit fees included the audit of the Corporation's annual Consolidated Financial Statements, reviews of quarterly reports and the auditor's report under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, together with fees for statutory and subsidiary audits, SEC registration statements, comfort letter and consents. Audit-related services consisted principally of consultations related to the Corporation's acquisitions and divestitures, audits of employee

Table of Contents

benefit plans, audits of carve-out financial statements, and derivative transactions. Tax fees consisted principally of tax compliance matters related to tax audits, return preparation fees and fees for tax consultations.

	Fiscal 2016	Fiscal 2015
Audit Fees Audit Reports	\$ 11,871,000	\$ 7,555,000
Audit-Related Fees		
Benefit Plans	\$ 164,000	\$ 23,000
Consultations	\$ 3,672,000	\$ 2,627,000
Tax Fees		
Tax Compliance Matters	\$ 410,000	\$ 762,000
Tax Consultations	\$ 4,685,000	\$ 3,075,000
All Other Fees	\$ 93,000	\$ 113,000

The Audit Committee's Charter requires management to submit for preapproval all audit, audit-related and non-audit-related services to be performed by the independent auditor. Management and the independent auditor submit a report of fees for review and preapproval by the Committee on a quarterly basis. The Audit Committee requires management and the independent auditor to submit a report at least annually regarding audit, audit-related, tax and all other fees paid by the Corporation to the independent auditor for services rendered in the immediately preceding two fiscal years. The Committee considers whether the fees for non-audit and audit-related services are compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence and requires management and the independent auditor to confirm this as well. The Audit Committee preapproved 100% of all of the above-referenced fees paid in 2016 and 2015 for services that were provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

There were no hours expended by persons other than the independent auditor's full-time, regular employees on the independent auditor's engagement to audit the Corporation's financial statements.

A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is set forth on the Corporation's Website at www.ball.com/investors, under "Corporate Governance."

Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for assisting the Board in fulfilling its responsibility to identify qualified individuals to become Board members; recommending to the Board the selection of Board nominees for the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders; addressing the independence and effectiveness of the Board by advising and making recommendations on matters involving the organization and operation of the Board, Corporate Governance Guidelines and directorship practices; overseeing the evaluation of the Board and its committees; and reviewing and assessing the Corporation's sustainability activities and performance. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee utilizes the standards set forth below for considering director nominees.

Members of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee are Messrs. Fiedler, Heinrich (who joined the Committee in October 2016), Smart, Solso and Ms. Nelson. The Board has determined that the members of the Committee are independent under the NYSE Listing Standards. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee met four times during 2016.

The Board has established a process whereby nominees for the Board may be submitted by members of the Board, the CEO, shareholders and any other persons. The Committee considers these recommended candidates in light of criteria set forth below.

The Committee will seek candidates who meet at a minimum the following criteria: (1) have sufficient time to attend or otherwise be present at Board, relevant Board committee and Shareholders' meetings; (2) will subscribe to Ball Corporation's Corporate Governance Guidelines and the Executive Officers and Directors Ethics Statement; (3) demonstrate credentials and experience in a broad range of corporate matters; (4) have experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that would qualify them to serve as a director; (5) will subscribe to the finalized strategic and

Table of Contents

operating plans of the Corporation as approved by the Board from time to time; (6) are not affiliated with special interest groups that represent major causes or constituents; and (7) meet the criteria, if any, for being a director of the Corporation as set forth in the Indiana Business Corporation Law, the Articles of Incorporation and the Bylaws of the Corporation.

The Committee will apply the principles of diversity in consideration of candidates. The Committee may utilize and pay third-party consultants to identify and screen candidates on a confidential basis for service on the Board. The Committee will also determine candidates' qualifications in light of the standards set by the Committee and by evaluating the qualifications of all candidates in an attempt to select the most qualified nominees suited to serve as a director while attempting to ensure that a majority of the Board is independent and, where needed, to meet the NYSE and SEC requirements for financial literacy, accounting or financial management expertise or audit committee financial expert status.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will consider candidates recommended by shareholders in accordance with the Corporation's Bylaws. Any such recommendation should be in writing and addressed to the Chair, Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, in care of the Corporate Secretary, Ball Corporation, by mail to P.O. Box 5000, Broomfield, Colorado 80038-5000.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee received no recommendations for candidates as nominees for the Board from a security holder or group of security holders that beneficially owned more than 5% of the Corporation's voting common stock for at least one year as of the date of the recommendation.

A copy of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee Charter is set forth on the Corporation's Website at www.ball.com/investors under "Corporate Governance."

Human Resources Committee

The primary purpose of the Human Resources Committee is to assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities related to the evaluation and compensation of the CEO and overseeing the compensation of the other executive officers of the Corporation; approving the Corporation's stock and cash incentive compensation programs including awards to executive officers and the number of shares to be optioned and/or granted from time to time to employees of the Corporation; approving and receiving reports on major benefit plans, plan changes and determinations and discontinuations of benefit plans; discussing the performance evaluation system and succession planning system of the Corporation, including discussions with the Chairman of the Board and the CEO about the succession plan for the Chairman of the Board and the CEO; hiring experts, including executive compensation consultants, as deemed appropriate to advise the Committee; assessment of compensation-related risks; and authorizing the administration of compensation programs and the filing of required reports with federal, state and local governmental agencies.

Members of the Human Resources Committee are Messrs. Smart, Solso, Taylor, Mses. Nelson and Niekamp (who joined the Committee in October 2016). The Board has determined that the members of the Committee are independent under the NYSE Listing Standards. The Human Resources Committee met five times during 2016. A copy of the Human Resources Committee Charter is set forth on the Corporation's Website at www.ball.com/investors under "Corporate Governance."

Finance Committee

The Finance Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibility to oversee management in the financing and related risk management of the Corporation, the status of the Corporation's retirement plans and insurance policies and the Corporation's policies relating to interest rates, commodity hedging and currency hedging. The Committee may hire experts as deemed appropriate to advise the Committee in the performance of its duties. The Committee reports to the Board concerning the financing of the Corporation and the performance of the Committee.

The members of the Finance Committee are Messrs. Alspaugh, Cave, Hoover and Ms. Niekamp (who joined the Committee in October 2016). The Committee met four times during 2016. A copy of the Finance Committee Charter is set forth on the Corporation's Website at www.ball.com/investors under "Corporate Governance."

Table of Contents

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS, PROMOTERS AND CERTAIN CONTROL PERSONS

Ball Corporation has adopted a policy with respect to transactions with related persons requiring its executive officers and directors to comply with all SEC and NYSE requirements concerning transactions between the Corporation and "related persons," as defined in the applicable SEC and NYSE rules. To facilitate compliance with the related persons policy, the Board adopted procedures for the review, approval or ratification of any transaction required to be reported under the applicable rules. The policy provides that each executive officer and director will promptly report to the Chairman of the Board any transaction with the Corporation undertaken or contemplated by such officer or director, by any beneficial owner of 5% or more of the Corporation's voting securities or by any immediate family member. The Chairman of the Board will refer any transaction to the General Counsel for review and recommendation. Upon receipt of such review and recommendation, the matter will be brought before the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee to consider whether the transaction in question should be approved, ratified, suspended, revoked or terminated. This policy for transactions with related persons is stated in writing and is part of the Ball Corporation Executive Officers and Directors Ethics Statement. The written form of the policy can be found on the Corporation's Website, as indicated in the section "Policies on Business Ethics and Conduct" on page 14 herein.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The Compensation Discussion and Analysis ("CD&A") portion of our proxy materials describes Ball Corporation's 2016 executive compensation program and its strong alignment with our pay-for-performance philosophy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Ball Corporation experienced an exciting and pivotal year in 2016 with the successful completion of the Rexam acquisition as well as the required sale of the divestment business. Annual net sales were \$9.1 billion and comparable net earnings were \$563 million. Given the midyear timing of the acquisition close, results include six months performance of our legacy operations and six months of the combined post-acquisition operations, as well as the impact of associated integration and separation costs. In addition to the acquisition investment, more than \$400 million of growth capital investments in 2016 position the Company for positive Economic Value Added ("EVA®") dollars growth going forward. The chart below summarizes certain key financial results for fiscal year 2016 compared to fiscal year 2015:

	2016	2015	% Growth
Revenue (net sales)	\$9.1 billion	\$8.0 billion	13.8%
Net Earnings (comparable basis)*	\$563 million	\$490 million	14.9%
EVA® Generated*	\$198.6 million	\$180.6 million	10.0%
Closing Stock Price on December 31	\$75.07	\$72.73	3.2%
Diluted Earnings Per Share (comparable basis)*	\$3.49	\$3.48	

*

These financial measures are on a non-U.S. GAAP basis and should be considered in connection with the Consolidated Financial Statements contained within Item 8 of the 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K (the "Annual Report"). Non-U.S. GAAP measures should not be considered in isolation and should not be considered superior to, or a substitute for financial measures calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP. A reconciliation of non-GAAP measures to U.S. GAAP is available in Items 6 and 7 of the Annual Report.

Ball's stock price closed 2016 at \$75.07, an increase of 3.2% over the prior year. Also during 2016, Ball continued to pay a quarterly cash dividend of 13 cents per share. Including reinvested dividends, Ball generated a total return of 4.0% for the same period. The 2016 year-over-year increase was below the 16.5% increase for the Dow Jones Containers and Packaging Index and the 9.5% increase for the S&P 500. That said, since the end of 2013, Ball's stock price has increased 48.7% as compared to 23.9% for the Dow Jones Containers and Packaging Index and 29.1% for the S&P 500.

The solid 2016 business results are a continuation of the performance we have delivered over the last decade and provide a firm foundation for further growth following the acquisition of Rexam. The graph below compares the cumulative ten-year total return to holders of Ball Corporation's common stock with the cumulative total returns of the S&P 500 Index and the Dow Jones U.S. Containers & Packaging Index. The graph tracks the performance of a \$100 investment in our common stock (with the reinvestment of all dividends) and in each of the indexes from December 31, 2006, to December 31, 2016.

Source: Bloomberg

The stock price performance included in this graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

Table of Contents

Much of our financial success is attributable to the fact that we continue to focus on the key components of our financial strategy, which include:

Growing earnings before interest and taxes ("EBIT") by maximizing value in existing businesses, expansion into new markets and products through internal capital investments, and merger and acquisition activities;

Maximizing free cash flow;

Disciplined and balanced capital allocation; and

Generating incremental Economic Value Added ("EVA®") over our 9% after-tax hurdle rate, which is above our weighted average cost of capital ("WACC"), and over time leads to a higher share price and shareholder returns.

In 2016, we had many operational successes that were driven by our over 18,450 global employees and our focused execution of Ball's Drive for 10 vision for continued, long-term value creation, including:

Maximizing value in our existing businesses, by leveraging plant floor systems in our metal beverage facilities to improve efficiencies and reduce costs, implementing cost-out and value-in initiatives across all our businesses, and continuing our commitment to sustainability by balancing the economic, environmental and social impacts of our products and operations in our business decisions throughout the year;

Expanding into new products and capabilities, with the continued rollout of our widget can and bottle can capabilities to take advantage of ever-shifting beverage customer preferences, as well as our new G3-HD two-piece tinplate aerosol can;

Aligning ourselves with the right customers and markets, by investing capital to meet double-digit volume growth for specialty beverage containers in existing and emerging drink categories, which on a post-acquisition basis, represent over 30% of our global beverage packaging mix;

Broadening our geographic reach, through the Rexam acquisition, as well as with new investments in a metal beverage manufacturing facility in Myanmar, and the construction of a metal beverage container facility in Monterrey, Mexico, producing cans and ends, as well as the further improving production at our extruded aluminum aerosol manufacturing facility in India; and

Leveraging our technological expertise, including expanding our cyber capabilities to further enhance our aerospace technical expertise across a broader customer portfolio and subsequently achieving record aerospace contracted backlog of \$1.4 billion during 2016.

These ongoing business developments help us stay close to our customers while expanding and/or sustaining our industry positions with major beverage, food, personal care, household products and aerospace customers. The actions we took in 2016, including our successful acquisition of Rexam, are linked directly to our Drive for 10 vision, and position Ball well for continued success in 2017 and beyond. We are actively integrating the newly acquired assets and see opportunities for additional disciplined growth in selected markets and products, and our strong balance sheet provides a solid foundation to support all of our activities.

Pay-for-Performance Continues to Serve as the Foundation of our Executive Compensation Program The design, governance and administration of our executive compensation program is centered on the principle of aligning pay to performance, achieved by linking the majority of executive compensation opportunities to long-term shareholder returns and the value-added financial performance of Ball. We believe this principle has directly contributed to the successful performance of the business through:

A management-as-owners culture that builds a management team with meaningful ownership in Ball. Executives are closely aligned to shareholder interests through established ownership expectations, equity-settled long-term incentives and specialized opportunities that encourage individuals to make meaningful, personal investments in Ball Corporation common stock.

Table of Contents

Incentive pay programs that utilize value-added financial performance metrics specifically, EVA®, ROAIC, TSR and absolute stock price growth that allow for close alignment with shareholder value generation by creating accountability for both the efficient deployment of capital and strong earnings generation.

The major compensation elements of Ball's pay-for-performance philosophy are shown in the table below, with the page number in the CD&A that details the specifics of each of these components:

Compensation Element	Basis for Performance Measurement	Alignment with Principle of Pay-for-Performance	Page					
Short-Term Annual Cash Compensation								
Base Salary	Individual performance and contribution based on primary duties and responsibilities	Competitive compensation element required to recruit and retain top executive talent; pay for primary duties and responsibilities	31					
Economic Value Added (EVA®) Annual Incentive Plan	EVA® Growth (net operating profit after-tax, less a cost of capital charge)	Measures the increase in actual economic value generated by the business	31					
Long-Term Incentives (Cash)								
Long-Term Cash Incentive Plan ("LTCIP")	ROAIC	Rewards ROAIC performance above a target rate set above Ball's WACC and shareholder returns that outperform the market	33					
	Relative TSR vs. S&P 500 subset							
Long-Term Incentives (Equity)								
Stock Options/Stock-Settled Stock Appreciation Rights ("SARs")	Stock Price Appreciation	Rewards absolute stock price growth over time	35					
Performance-Contingent Restricted Stock Units ("PC-RSUs")	Absolute EVA® Dollars Growth	Builds executive ownership with stock unit awards that vest contingent upon the achievement of absolute EVA® dollar growth relative to compound growth rate targets over a 3-year period	35					
	Stock Price							
Restricted Stock/RSUs	Stock Price	Granted from time-to-time, generally in connection with the promotion or recruitment of individuals to facilitate ownership and retention	35					

Other One-Time Incentives to Drive a Successful Integration and an Ownership-Based Culture

Special Acquisition-Related Incentive Program ("SAIP")	Cumulative EVA® Dollars	In alignment with shareholder interests, this incentive only provides for a reward if the business meets the cumulative 3 1/2-year EVA® and cash flow targets	36
	Cumulative Cash Flow		
	Stock Price		
Deposit Share Program ("DSP")	Stock Price	Especially as the acquired business allowed for onboarding new management personnel, this program promotes an employees-as-owners culture by making available a deposit share opportunity, but only in exchange for the recipient voluntarily investing in and holding shares of Ball Corporation common stock	36

Our Heavy Weighting of Compensation to Performance Creates Pay-for-Performance Linkage Consistent with our management-as-owners, pay-for-performance philosophy described previously, the majority of the target total compensation for our executives is variable based on performance, which constitutes pay at risk. The CEO is eligible to participate in the same executive programs as the CFO and the other NEOs; however, a larger portion of the CEO's target total compensation is at risk. The following charts represent the mix of target total compensation awarded to Ball's CEO and other NEOs in 2016, excluding the one-time DSP and SAIP awards made in 2016 to the CEO, NEOs and select members of management, as further described under "Other One-Time Incentives to Drive a Successful Integration and

Table of Contents

an Ownership-Based Culture" sections of this proxy. As illustrated, 86% of the target total compensation awarded to the CEO and 70% awarded to other NEOs in 2016 was based on elements that are at risk and may vary from year to year depending on business performance. This is generally consistent with competitive market data, which shows that CEOs have 86% and all other NEOs have 72% of their target total compensation based on elements that are at risk. Furthermore, 68% of the CEO's and 49% of the other NEOs' target total compensation was based on long-term performance. Again, this is consistent with competitive market data, which shows that CEOs have 69% and all other NEOs have 52% of their target total compensation based on long-term performance. This emphasis on longer term compensation, through performance based long-term cash and stock awards, ensures a strong continued alignment between Ball's executive ownership and shareholder value creation objectives.

Our Compensation Plans are Closely Linked to Business Performance Ball's fiscal 2016 financial results and the resulting EVA® improvement were directly linked to the pay outcome of our annual short-term incentive plan, since the payout factor is based on the amount of profits generated, in excess of both operating and capital costs, resulting in EVA® in excess of targets, as shown below:

satisfied, in excess of both operating and capital costs, resulting in 2 1710 in excess of targets, as shown below.

Annual Cash Compensation

Compensation Element

Economic Value Added ("EVA®") Annual Incentive

For Ball's Consolidated Plan, the actual EVA® generated in excess of Ball's internal 9% after-tax hurdle rate for fiscal year 2016 of

2016 Performance Achievement

Payout was at 136% of target for all NEOs except Mr. Bouts whose payout was 138% of target.

2016 Pay Outcome

\$198.6 million exceeded our \$174.0 million EVA® incentive plan target by \$24.6 million. The actual EVA® generated in the Global Beverage Packaging business also exceeded its EVA® incentive plan target.

Likewise, our fiscal year 2016 results reflect a continuation of the successful execution of our business strategy and strong performance in prior years; therefore, pay realized by our NEOs from long-term incentive performance cycles completed at 2016 year-end reflects our commitment to improved financial performance and stock price growth, as shown below:

Table of Contents

Compensation Element 2016 Performance Achievement 2016 Pay Outcome

Long-Term Incentives (Equity)

Performance-Contingent RSUs ("PC-RSUs") 2014-2016 Cycle

Actual EVA® generated was \$198.6 million compared to the target of \$167.9 million.

PC-RSUs granted in 2014 vested for all NEOs, except Mr. Bouts, on January 25, 2017, at amounts that were 200% of target, based on the actual EVA® growth relative to target.

Long-Term Incentives (Cash)

Long-Term Cash Incentive Plan ("LTCIP") 2014-2016 Cycle

Actual 3-year average ROAIC of 12.7% exceeded the target of 9.0%.

Relative TSR versus the S&P 500 subset was at the 70th percentile, which exceeded the target of 50th percentile.

All of our NEOs, except Mr. Bouts, received LTCIP payout equal to 189.2% of target, based on the blended ROAIC and TSR performance relative to targets.

We Are Committed to Shareholder-Oriented Corporate Governance Our governance process ensures that the executive compensation program is appropriately maintained and updated to always meet a standard of excellence in pay-for-performance alignment. Specifically, a number of practices and policies are in place to promote the continuous improvement and accountability of our executive compensation program:

A Human Resources Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Committee") composed entirely of directors who meet the NYSE independence standards;

An executive compensation consultant, engaged by and reporting directly to the Committee;

A review of total compensation via tally sheets;

External benchmarking of compensation levels and incentive design practices;

Dividend equivalents for performance-based awards which accrue during the performance period and are paid only if performance measures are achieved;

Nominal perquisites that are not grossed-up for taxes, unless it would be seen as customarily aligned with market to do so (e.g., an expense allowance intended to reimburse a known fixed cost);

Ongoing assessment of the relationship between risk and compensation programs;

Executive stock ownership guidelines for executives and directors, which have been attained by all, with the exception of Mr. Heinrich and Ms. Niekamp, who joined the Board in August 2016 and are in the process of attaining shares within the required period;

An anti-hedging policy for our executives and directors;

A shareholder-approved recoupment or "clawback" provision for cash incentive and stock compensation, which in the case of fraud or intentional misconduct by any executive at a level of vice president or above, may result in full reimbursement to Ball of any incentive compensation or cancellation of any outstanding awards to the executive; and

Change in control agreements with multiples that do not exceed two times pay and that require a termination of employment following a change in control ("double trigger") before severance benefits are due. Excise tax gross-ups have been eliminated for any new change-in-control agreements entered into after January 1, 2010.

Composition of our NEOs in 2016 This year's NEOs are shown below:

Officers	Title
John A. Hayes Scott C. Morrison Erik C. M. Bouts Charles E. Baker Lisa A. Pauley	President and CEO since 2011, elected Chairman in 2013 SVP and CFO since 2010 SVP and COO, Global Beverage Packaging from February 2015 to December 31, 2016 VP and General Counsel since 2004, elected Corporate Secretary in 2011 SVP, Human Resources and Administration since 2011

Table of Contents

NEO Target Compensation Awarded in 2016 After review of competitive market data based on both General Industry and Peer Group, Ball's financial and operational performance, executive compensation consultant and CEO recommendations, tally sheet analysis, executive individual performance, and internal pay comparisons, the Committee authorized the following target total compensation elements for the CEO and other NEOs:

Base salary increases to all NEOs based on analysis of external market data;

Continued utilization of the short-term annual incentive EVA® plan;

Continued utilization of the long-term cash incentive plan for all NEOs. LTCIP targets for the 2016-2018 cycle were defined as a fixed dollar amount and the mix of the target value of long-term incentive vehicles was targeted 20% for LTCIP, 40% for SARs and 40% for PC-RSUs for all NEOs; and

Continued utilization of PC-RSUs and SARs awards. As outlined above, the performance measure and degree of vesting for the 2016-2018 PC-RSU awards is based on a future target value of absolute EVA® dollars generated in excess of Ball's 9% after-tax hurdle rate as the capital charge, relative to compound growth rate targets achieved over a three-year period. PC-RSU awards for 2016-2018 have a potential outcome to executives of 0% to 200%.

The Committee is confident that our executive compensation program, along with our management-as-owners culture and our pay-for-performance philosophy, have directly contributed to the successful performance of the business and resulted in an executive team closely aligned with shareholders.

Other One-Time Incentives to Drive a Successful Integration and an Ownership-Based Culture With the acquisition of Rexam in 2016, the Company, Ball's employees and Ball's shareholders now find themselves with an exciting opportunity, composed of new customers, new locations, expanded capabilities and a workforce of talented employees. Management is focused on driving shareholder value through the identification of synergies, executing value capture initiatives, and ultimately generating additional EVA® and cash flow through the newly combined beverage packaging business.

Special Acquisition-Related Incentive Program ("SAIP"): NEOs, select members of the broader management team and other key employees have been identified as being critical to leading the successful integration of Rexam and the generation of cash flow to pay down the debt associated with the transaction. These employees have been offered an opportunity for such one-time incentives, and will ultimately only receive a reward if the cumulative EVA® and cumulative cash flow dollar targets are met. It should be noted that this program will pay out only in 2020 and not before (if there is any payout), such that the $3^1/2$ -year integration process can be measured holistically. There will be no interim payouts, ensuring the focus is on the long-term success of the Company.

To ensure the immediate focus on integration objectives, the Company felt it important to communicate the SAIP award eligibility and considers them as having been granted in 2016. However, due to the cumulative nature for the entire $3^{1}/2$ -year performance period, the cumulative EVA® and cash flow performance metrics were finalized in 2017. As such, per FASB ASC Topic 718 ("Topic 718"), these awards are not considered granted for accounting purposes until 2017 and are not included in the disclosure tables contained within this CD&A, and will be included in next year's filing.

Deposit Share Program ("DSP"): To ensure the alignment with shareholder interests and in promoting an ownership-based culture, Ball encourages NEOs and other select members of the broader management team, especially new leadership within the Company, to invest in common shares. In support of doing so, Ball has provided for eligible participants to receive grants of restricted stock units, contingent upon the recipient buying and holding common shares. The recipients must voluntarily invest in the Corporation and hold such shares, and will receive the matching shares over a four-year period, subject to minimum ownership requirements. Based on just the few months this opportunity has been available, it is clear that our new leadership have quickly become oriented to the Ball's EVA® and ownership-based culture, and are focused on goals that will ensure long-term Company success.

While the Rexam acquisition was prominent in 2016, it has been a tremendous year for the Company outside of the transaction as well. For example, the backlog within the Aerospace business is the highest it has ever been and the Aerosol business continues to be a global market-leader. Regardless of the business employees find themselves in, all are focused on driving global cross-Company best practices,

implementing shared service efficiencies, driving EVA@ dollar growth and providing cash flow to help pay down the Company's debt, ensuring continual progress against our Drive for 10 vision.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION OBJECTIVES AND PHILOSOPHY

The primary objective of the Corporation's executive compensation program is to attract and retain exceptional leaders and enable them to behave like an owner one of our key business values. When setting executive compensation, the Corporation applies a consistent approach for all executive officers and intends that the combination of compensation elements closely aligns the executives' financial interests with those of the shareholders. The program is mainly designed to:

Attract, motivate and retain a highly capable and performance-focused executive team;

Promote a culture of management owners whose financial interests are aligned with those of the Corporation's shareholders;

Pay-for-performance, such that total compensation reflects the individual performance of executives and the absolute and relative performance of the Corporation; and

Efficiently manage the potential stock dilution, cash flow, tax and reported earnings implications of executive compensation, consistent with the other objectives of the program.

Target total compensation is composed of base salary, annual EVA® incentive compensation and long-term incentive compensation in the form of both cash and equity. In support of the Corporation's emphasis on significant ownership by key executives, the Corporation delivers long-term incentive opportunities that encourage ownership. Generally, the amount of compensation realized or potentially realizable does not directly impact the level at which future pay opportunities are set. However, when granting equity awards, the Committee reviews and considers both individual performance and the number of outstanding and previously granted equity awards.

In addition to promoting share ownership, the Corporation's executive compensation objectives and philosophy focus on rewarding performance. This means that shareholder returns along with corporate performance, both short-term and long-term, comprise the largest portion of executive pay.

ROLE OF THE HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE AND EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION CONSULTANT

The Committee oversees the administration of the executive compensation program and determines the compensation of the executive officers of the Corporation. The Committee is solely composed of nonmanagement directors, all of whom meet the independence requirements of the NYSE. Furthermore, the Committee has retained an independent consultant (the "Consultant") to assist in fulfilling its responsibilities. The Consultant is employed by Pay Governance, LLC, and is engaged by and reports directly to the Committee. Specifically, the Consultant's role is to develop recommendations for the Committee related to all aspects of the executive compensation program and the Consultant works with management to obtain information necessary to develop the recommendations. The Committee assessed Pay Governance's independence in 2016, as required under NYSE listing rules. Based on this review, we do not believe that any conflict of interest exists with the work performed by Pay Governance and consider them to be independent.

MARKET REFERENCE POINTS AND PEER GROUPS

When benchmarking compensation to the competitive market, we use two market reference points for our executive officers. This two-pronged approach provides a spectrum of relevant information on executive compensation levels, practices and trends in the marketplace.

The primary market reference point ("General Industry") reflects the broad talent market in which we compete. The critical skills required by the Corporation's management team have historically been found both inside and outside of the containers and packaging industry, and as such, the Committee believes it is appropriate to focus on General Industry market levels as the primary market reference point for evaluating the

competitiveness of our executive compensation program. These data are size-adjusted to reflect the relative size of the Corporation or the relevant business unit for the executive. Size-adjusting the data ensures that market levels are being developed for like roles within businesses of similar size and scope. Data for the General Industry are collected from multiple proprietary survey sources published by leading market data providers.

Table of Contents

The secondary market reference point ("Peer Group") is composed of companies within the containers and packaging, distiller and brewer, food, household durable and nondurable goods, aerospace and industrial manufacturing industries. We use the secondary reference point to identify any differences in compensation practices between related industry peers and the broader General Industry. Our Peer Group consisted of 15 companies with fiscal-annual revenues ranging from 0.4x to 2.5x Ball's revenues. Data for the Peer Group are collected from both proprietary survey sources where custom analyses for selected peer groups are available and publicly disclosed data from SEC filings.

In developing the Peer Group, the Consultant used objective, quantitative financial and industry criteria, as well as qualitative criteria regarding the nature of our business operations. Specifically, the Consultant used the following principles and criteria in identifying the Peer Group companies:

Design Principle	Criteria		
Quantitative financial criteria to ensure organizations are comparable in terms of size and structure	Revenue between an approximate range of 0.4x to 2.5x Ball's revenues		
	Market capitalization between 0.25x to 5.0x Ball's market capitalization (used as a secondary reference)		
	Ratio of market capitalization to revenue between 0.5x and 2.0x		
Qualitative criteria regarding	Positive operating margins ranging from 5% to 20%		
appropriate industry, business types and organizational complexity	Ball's direct peers in the containers and packaging industry		
	Nondurable consumer product companies with some or all of the following characteristics: containers and packaging are a critical element of the final product, there is a substantial business focus on meeting annual performance expectations, and the individual consumer represents the ultimate purchaser of the product		
	Broader manufacturing companies within the aerospace, office services supplies, capital goods, chemical manufacturing, paper products and steel industries		
For 2016, our Peer Group included the following companies, reflecting the removal of Exelis, as they were acquired by Harris Corporation, and			

Avery Dennison Corporation Bemis Company, Inc. Campbell Soup Company ConAgra Brands, Inc. Eastman Chemical Company Greif, Inc. Molson Coors Brewing Company Owens-Illinois, Inc.

the addition of WestRock, the combined entity of two 2015 peer companies (MeadWestvaco and Rock-Tenn):

Sealed Air Corporation Silgan Holdings, Inc. Sonoco Products Company United States Steel Corp.

Crown Holdings Inc. PPG Industries, Inc. WestRock Company

In the third quarter of 2016, the Committee approved adjustments to the peer group to better reflect the increased size and broader global footprint of the Company following the Rexam acquisition. Specific changes included the removal of Bemis Company, Greif, Molson Coors Brewing Company, Silgan Holdings and Sonoco Products, and the addition of Alcoa, General Mills, Kellogg, Nucor and Sherwin-Williams Company. This amended Peer Group will be used for all 2017 pay decisions.

Table of Contents

The chart below illustrates the Corporation's relative positioning compared to the 2016 Peer Group on relevant financial metrics.

Ball Market Capitalization, Revenue and Net Income as Compared to the Peer Group

Note: Financial statistics shown above in \$M, are on a U.S.-GAAP, as-reported basis and were provided by the Consultant and obtained from the S&P's Capital IQ database.

- * Market Cap is as of December 31, 2015.
- ** Revenue and Net Income are as reported for FY 2015.

PROCESS FOR DETERMINING EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Committee reviews and adjusts executive target total compensation levels, including long-term incentive levels in January of each year.

The Corporation begins the annual process by reviewing each executive officer's target total compensation in relation to the 50th percentile of both the primary and secondary market reference points, e.g., General Industry and Peer Group. The data is gathered by the Consultant and presented to the Corporation and the Committee in detailed reports providing a comparative analysis of our executive officer compensation to the market data. The Consultant collaborates with the Corporation's Executive Compensation Department when preparing such reports.

Additionally, the Consultant creates tally sheets for each executive outlining each executive's annual target and actual pay in relation to competitive market information as well as total accumulated pay under various corporate performance scenarios, both recent and projected. The tally sheets are used to analyze and determine executive officer pay recommendations and understand the potential realizable compensation under various performance scenarios. The Consultant also prepares for the Committee an independent review and recommendation of the CEO's compensation. In its deliberations, the Committee meets with the CEO and other members of senior management, as appropriate, to discuss the application of the competitive benchmarking (pay and performance) relative to the unique structure and needs of the Corporation.

The CEO's target total compensation package is set by the Committee during an executive session based on the Committee's review of the competitive information and recommendation prepared by the Consultant, assessment of the CEO's individual performance in conjunction with the financial and operating performance of the Corporation and appropriate business judgment.

Table of Contents

A recommendation for the target total compensation of the Corporation's other executive officers, including the CFO and other NEOs, is made by the CEO after reviewing the executive's and the Corporation's business performance in conjunction with the executive's responsibility and experience when compared to the competitive market information prepared by the Consultant. The compensation package for the other executive officers is established by the Committee taking into consideration the recommendation of the CEO, the executive officer's individual job responsibilities, experience and overall performance, along with appropriate business judgment.

The Committee may also adjust an executive's compensation level during the year as a result of a promotion. Such adjustments take into consideration competitive market data and a recommendation provided by the Consultant, as well as the recommendation of the CEO, which takes into account the additional responsibilities assigned and overall experience and performance of the executive.

ELEMENTS OF BALL'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM AND 2016 PERFORMANCE

The primary elements of the Corporation's executive compensation program are designed to be consistent with the compensation objectives described previously. The elements are outlined in the following table. The purpose of each element is also provided to demonstrate how each fits with the overall compensation objectives, specifically, stock ownership and pay-for-performance.

Component	Element	Purpose	Performance Measures	2016 Performance Outcome
Base Compensation Current Year	Annual Base Salary	Fixed element of pay based on an individual's primary duties and responsibilities.	Individual performance and contribution based on primary duties and responsibilities.	All NEOs received base pay increases, which included increases for certain NEOs to better-align total compensation with market median, as applicable.
Annual Incentive Performance Based Cash	Annual EVA® Incentive Compensation Plan	Designed to reward achievement of specified annual corporate and/or operating unit financial goals pursuant to EVA® principles.	Actual 2016 EVA® based on the amount of corporate net operating profit after-tax, less a charge for capital employed in the business based on the Corporation's 9% after-tax internal hurdle rate, as compared to the 2016 EVA® incentive plan target.	Resulted in an award of 136% of target for all NEOs except Mr. Bouts, who received an award of 138% of target (as his target is based on a combination of his respective operating unit's financial and EVA® goals and the Corporation's consolidated plan).
Long-Term Incentive Performance Based Cash	Long-Term Cash Incentive Plan	Designed to promote long-term creation of shareholder value in relative terms (TSR performance versus a subset of companies in the S&P 500) and absolute terms (ROAIC) and provide an executive retention incentive.	50% based on TSR over 3 years relative to a subset of S&P 500 companies and 50% based on ROAIC over 3 years, as compared to targets.	The 2014-2016 cycle resulted in an award payment of 189.2% of target for all NEOs, except Mr. Bouts (as he was hired in 2015 and not eligible for the 2014-2016 cycle), based on above-target performance for relative TSR (70th percentile) and above-target ROAIC performance (12.7%).

Table of Contents

Component	Element	Purpose	Performance Measures	2016 Performance Outcome
Long-Term Incentive Performance Based Equity	Stock Options and Stock-Settled SARs	Designed to promote share ownership and long-term performance resulting in the creation of shareholder value.	Stock price appreciation relative to the grant date stock price (exercise price) of the stock options/SAR grants.	Stock price performance ending December 31, 2016, excluding reinvestment of dividends:
	Restricted Stock/RSUs	Designed to promote share ownership, provide a retention incentive and provide long-term incentive for the creation of shareholder value.	Stock price appreciation.	Ball vs. S&P 500 1-year: 3.2% vs. 9.5%. Ball vs. S&P 500 3-year: 48.7% vs. 29.1%.
	Performance-Contingent RSUs	Designed to promote share ownership through the achievement of absolute EVA® dollar growth relative to compound growth rate targets over a 3-year period.	Actual absolute EVA® dollars, equal to or exceeding a future estimated absolute EVA® dollar target.	For all NEOs, except Mr. Bouts (as he was hired in 2015 and not eligible for the 2014-2016 cycle), resulted in vesting of the 2014-2016 PC-RSU award on January 25, 2017, based on actual EVA® generated of \$198.6 million compared to our compound growth rate target of \$167.9 million (award equal to 200% of target).
Other One-Time Incentives to Drive a Successful Integration and an Ownership-Based Culture	Special Acquisition-Related Incentive Program PRSUs	Designed specifically to promote the successful integration of the newly acquired beverage business.	Cumulative EVA® and cumulative cash flow, with targets (and associated minimum and maximum performance ranges), established for each measure.	No awards were vested during 2016, as the performance period ends on December 31, 2019. Payouts, if any, will be made in 2020 and based upon the integration success over the entire 3 ¹ / ₂ -year performance period.
	Deposit Share Program RSUs	Designed to promote financial investment in the Corporation, promote share ownership and provide long-term incentive for performance resulting in the creation of shareholder value.	Attainment of required holding period and stock price appreciation.	Grants will vest over a 4-year period, subject to satisfying the holding period and employee ownership requirements.

Table of Contents

Component	Element	Purpose	Performance Measures	2016 Performance Outcome
Benefits	Life Insurance and Pension Benefits	Supports basic life insurance and retirement income security needs.	N/A	N/A
	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP")	Replicates benefits provided under the U.S. qualified pension plan, not otherwise payable due to IRS qualified plan limits.		
	Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation	Provides eligible participants the ability to defer certain pretax compensation into a savings plan to support retirement income or other needs.		
	Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits	Noncash compensation generally nominal in value, which may consist of financial planning, executive physicals, aircraft usage and insurance premiums. The percent of total compensation may exceed the nominal range for an executive on foreign assignment.		

SPECIFICS RELATED TO THE 2016 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION ELEMENTS

When determining our executive target total compensation decisions in January 2016, the Committee took into account the Corporation's operating and financial performance in 2015, which resulted in a total return to shareholders of 7.5%, based on stock price appreciation plus reinvested dividends, compared to a (0.7%) return of the S&P 500 and the (5.8%) return of the Dow Jones Containers and Packaging Index. The Corporation also generated significant free cash flow of \$558 million (excluding cash costs related to the Rexam acquisition), achieved EVA® dollars greater than 2014 levels and an after-tax return on average invested capital of 13.2%. The Committee also recognized that all NEOs contributed to the many other successes of the Corporation, including: (1) leveraging plant floor systems in our metal beverage facilities to improve efficiencies and reduce costs, (2) implementing cost-out and value-in initiatives across all of our global businesses, (3) investing capital to meet global high single-digit volume growth for specialty beverage containers, (4) expanding our global reach by initiating investments in metal beverage facilities in Monterrey, Mexico, and Myanmar, as well as an extruded aluminum aerosol facility in India, (5) leveraging our global capabilities in metal manufacturing, and (6) successfully delivering multiple aerospace technologies and instruments to government and commercial customers throughout 2015 which improved year-over-year financial performance. During 2015, the Company was again recognized by the Dow Jones Sustainability Index for maintaining our position as the only packaging company to be listed on both the North American and World indexes, and also received a perfect score on the Corporate Equality Index, a national benchmarking survey on corporate policies and practices related to LGBT workplace equality, administered by the Human Rights Campaign Foundation.

Table of Contents

Base Salary

Base salary levels are set on the basis of factors such as job responsibilities, the CEO's subjective judgment of individual performance and contributions to overall business performance, experience level, internal merit increase budgets, external market base salary movement and market competitiveness as compared to 50th percentile data. With respect to promotions, we may initially position an individual below the 50th percentile and then adjust their base pay closer to the market median over time, in order to ensure that the individual is successfully performing and growing into their new role. The Committee reviewed base salary levels during the executive compensation review as outlined under the section entitled "Process for Determining Executive Compensation," which included an analysis of external market data, prepared by the Consultant and approved salary increases for all NEOs in late January 2016, with changes effective retroactively to January 1, 2016.

NEO	2016 Base Salary	Rationale
John A. Hayes	\$ 1,240,000	2016 base salary was based on the executive compensation review, including an analysis of external market data. Mr. Hayes' 2016 base salary reflected a merit increase consistent with the Corporation's merit increase budget.
Scott C. Morrison	\$ 667,313	2016 base salary was based on the executive compensation review, including an analysis of external market data, and reflected a merit increase consistent with the Corporation's merit increase budget.
Erik C. M. Bouts	\$ 695,243	2016 base salary was based on the executive compensation review, including an analysis of external market data, and reflected a merit increase consistent with the Corporation's merit increase budget. Mr. Bouts was paid in Swiss francs; his 2016 base salary and his annual non-equity incentive and other compensation was converted to U.S. dollars based upon 0.981, the exchange rate on December 31, 2016.
Charles E. Baker	\$ 493,368	2016 base salary was based on the executive compensation review, including an analysis of external market data, and reflected a merit increase consistent with the Corporation's merit increase budget.
Lisa A. Pauley	\$ 464,851	2016 base salary was based on the executive compensation review, including an analysis of external market data, and reflected a merit increase consistent with the Corporation's merit increase budget.

Annual Incentive

This short-term annual pay-for-performance incentive is used to encourage and reward the CEO and other NEOs for making decisions that improve performance as measured by EVA®. It is designed to produce sustained shareholder value by establishing a direct link between EVA® improvement and incentive compensation. EVA® was selected as the measure for Ball's Annual Incentive Compensation Plan because it has been demonstrated to correlate management's incentive with share price growth and shareholder returns. EVA® is calculated by subtracting a charge for the use of invested capital from net operating profit after-tax as illustrated below:

		Net Operating		Capital Charge (the Amount of
EVA®	=	Profit After-Tax	minus	Capital Invested by Ball multiplied
		("NOPAT")		by Ball's After-Tax Hurdle Rate)

Generating profits in excess of both operating and capital costs (debt and equity) creates EVA® dollars. If EVA® improves, value has been created.

Performance Measures Targets are established annually for each operating unit and for the Corporation as a whole based on prior performance. The Plan design motivates continuous improvement in order to achieve payouts at or above target over time.

The Corporation's and/or operating unit's EVA® financial performance determines the amount, if any, of awards earned under the Annual Incentive Compensation Plan. Such awards are based on actual EVA® performance relative to the

Table of Contents

established EVA® target. For any one year, the EVA® target is equal to the sum of the prior year's target EVA® plus one-half the amount of the prior year's EVA® gain or shortfall relative to the prior year's EVA® target and is calculated as follows:

Current Year's	Prior Year's	mlus 1/2	Prior Year's		Prior Year's
EVA® Target	EVA® Target	plus 1/2	Actual EVA®	minus	EVA® Target

Improvement in EVA® occurs when the amount of NOPAT, less a charge for capital employed in the business, increases over time. It establishes a direct link between annual incentive compensation and continuous improvement of return on invested capital relative to a 9% after-tax "hurdle rate." The Corporation has established a minimum 9% after-tax as the hurdle rate when evaluating capital expenditures and strategic initiatives in most regions in which we do business. This hurdle rate is above the Corporation's actual cost of capital.

For a given year, a payout at 100% of target annual incentive compensation is achieved when actual EVA® is equal to the EVA® target. Actual annual incentive payments each year can range from 0% to 200% of the targeted incentive opportunity based on corporate performance and/or the performance of the operating unit over which the executive has responsibility. For the Corporation's consolidated plan, a payout of 0% is realized when actual EVA® is \$138 million less than targeted EVA®. A payout of 200% or greater may be achieved if actual EVA® is \$69 million or higher than target EVA®. However, any amounts over 200% of target are banked and remain at risk until paid over time in one-third increments whenever actual performance under the Annual Incentive Plan results in a payout of less than 200% of target. When the bank balance falls below \$10,000 it is paid in full. All payments from the bank balance are made at the same time annual incentive payments are made. In 2016, the Corporation's consolidated actual EVA® performance exceeded our EVA® target by \$24.6 million and resulted in a payout of 136% of target, as shown below:

Performance Measure	Minimum	Target	Maximum	Actual
EVA®	\$ 36.0 million \$	174.0 million \$	243.0 million \$	198.6 million

Mr. Bouts' EVA® target was based on a combination of his respective operating unit's financial and EVA® goals and the Corporation's consolidated plan; however, due to the competitively sensitive nature of such financial metrics, these values have been excluded.

Target Incentive Percentages and 2016 Incentive Paid A target incentive opportunity is established each year as a percentage of an executive's annual base salary and is targeted at approximately the 50th percentile of the competitive market with the opportunity to earn more for above-target performance or less for below-target performance. The 2016 target incentive opportunity for Messrs. Hayes, Morrison and Baker and Ms. Pauley was dependent upon the Corporation's consolidated EVA® performance; whereas for Mr. Bouts, 80% was dependent upon the EVA® performance of the Global Beverage Packaging operating unit and 20% dependent upon the Corporation's consolidated EVA® performance. The table below summarizes for each NEO the 2016 target incentive opportunity as compared to the actual incentive paid as a result of the year's strong EVA® performance. The value paid may include a one-third increment of a prior bank balance.

	Target Annual	Incentive	entive Actual Annual Incentive		
NEO	% of Base	\$ Value	% of Base	\$ Value Paid	
John A. Hayes	125%\$	1,548,269	170%\$	2,105,646	
Scott C. Morrison	80%\$	533,382	109%\$	725,400	
Erik C. M. Bouts	75%\$	521,433	103%\$	717,491	
Charles E. Baker	65%\$	320,366	88%\$	435,698	
Lisa A. Pauley	70%\$	325,110	95%\$	442,150	
Erik C. M. Bouts Charles E. Baker	75% \$ 65% \$	521,433 320,366	103% \$ 88% \$	717,49 435,698	

Certain U.S.-based executives, including the CEO and other NEOs, may elect to defer the payment of all or a portion of their annual incentive compensation into the 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan and/or the 2005 Deferred Compensation Company Stock Plan, as described in the "Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation" section.

Table of Contents

Long-Term Incentives

This element of compensation is designed to provide ownership and cash opportunities to promote the achievement of longer term financial performance goals and enhanced TSR. The Corporation's long-term incentive opportunity is generally provided through a combination of equity and cash awards, which the Committee believes best matches the compensation principles for the program.

For 2016, the target award mix of long-term incentive vehicles was 20% LTCIP, 40% SARs and 40% PC-RSUs as described previously. The total target amount of long-term incentives, based on the grant date expected value, is generally established in relation to the 50th percentile of the competitive market, individual roles and responsibilities, individual performance (as outlined in the preceding "Base Salary" section) and the Corporation's financial and operating performance.

Mix of Long-Term Vehicles

NEO	Total Target Long-Term Value	% LTCIP (2016-2018 Cycle)	% Options/ SARs	% Performance- Contingent RSUs (2016-2018 Cycle)		
John A. Hayes	\$ 5,775,252	20%	40%	40%		
Scott C. Morrison	\$ 1,340,056	20%	40%	40%		
Erik C. M. Bouts	\$ 1,179,714	20%	40%	40%		
Charles E. Baker	\$ 675,042	20%	40%	40%		
Lisa A. Pauley	\$ 645,009	20%	40%	40%		

The long-term incentive awards provide value only if the Corporation achieves positive stock price and financial performance. This emphasis on long-term compensation, through performance-based long-term cash and equity awards, ensures a strong continued alignment with the Corporation's executive ownership and shareholder value creation objectives.

Performance-Based Cash Awards The Corporation's performance-based long-term cash incentive award, LTCIP, is intended to focus executives on the achievement of multiyear performance goals that will enhance shareholder value. The Corporation's TSR and ROAIC are considered in determining the amount, if any, of awards earned under the Corporation's LTCIP. Performance is measured on a cumulative basis over a three-year performance cycle. Awards pursuant to the LTCIP are generally made on an annual basis such that three performance cycles overlap. Any actual award earned is paid at the end of the three-year performance cycle. During 2016, there were three overlapping cycles:

2014-2016 Awarded in 2014, completed at the end of 2016, vesting took place in early 2017.

2015-2017 Awarded in 2015, in process, will complete at the end of 2017, payment in early 2018, if performance measures are attained.

2016-2018 Awarded in 2016, in process, will complete at the end of 2018, payment in early 2019, if performance measures are attained; included in the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table."

The LTCIP provides executives the opportunity to earn awards based on a combination of two performance measures. One-half of the award is based on the Corporation's three-year TSR as measured against the TSRs of a subset of companies in the S&P 500 excluding companies in the S&P 500 Index that are classified as being part of the Financial or Utilities industry sectors or the Transportation industry group. Companies added to the S&P 500 during the performance cycle are also excluded. TSR is measured by comparing the average daily closing price and dividends of the Corporation in the third year of the performance cycle with the average daily closing price and dividends prior to the start of the performance cycle relative to the distribution of the equivalent TSRs during the performance cycle of the group of companies as described above. The target performance requirement for the TSR measure is the 50th percentile of the S&P 500 subset described above. The other one-half of the award is based on ROAIC performance over the three-year period. ROAIC is calculated by dividing the average of the Corporation's net operating profit after-tax over the relevant performance cycle by its average invested capital over such period. The target performance requirement for the ROAIC

Table of Contents

measure is 9% after-tax, which is above the Corporation's estimated WACC. The target, minimum and maximum performance requirements are as follows:

Performance Measure	Minimum	Target	Maximum
TSR	37.5th percentile	50th percentile	75th percentile
ROAIC (after-tax)	7%	9%	11%

For each measure, minimum performance results in a zero payout factor, target performance results in a 100% payout factor and maximum performance results in a 200% payout factor for the respective one-half of the award. Performance between minimum, target and maximum is extrapolated to determine the payout factor.

Each NEO's incentive opportunity is established by considering external long-term incentive market data and the Corporation's internal pay equity. Each NEO's LTCIP opportunity is set as a fixed target dollar amount based on the 20% target award mix of long-term incentive vehicles, which ensures that the value of Ball's long-term incentives remain consistent with competitive market practices.

The executive's award for any given performance cycle is calculated as follows:

LTCIP Payment =				50% x		50% x
		Fixed Target	timas	TSR	, luc	ROAIC
	=	Dollar Amount	times	Payout	plus	Payout
				Factor		Factor

For the 2016-2018 performance cycle, the fixed target dollar incentive opportunities awarded in early 2016 to the CEO and other NEOs, and reported in the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table," are as follows:

NEO	Target LTCIP Dollar Value for the 2016-2018 Performance Cycle
John A. Hayes	\$ 1,155,000
Scott C. Morrison	\$ 268,000
Erik C. M. Bouts	\$ 215,898
Charles E. Baker	\$ 135,000
Lisa A. Pauley	\$ 129,000

For the 2014-2016 performance cycle, the incentive opportunities for the CEO and other NEOs were as follows:

NEO	Target LTCIP Dollar Value for the 2014-2016 Performance Cycle
John A. Hayes	\$ 995,000
Scott C. Morrison	\$ 236,000
Erik C. M. Bouts	N/A
Charles E. Baker	\$ 125,000
Lisa A. Pauley	\$ 115,000

As a result of the Corporation's actual performance for the 2014-2016 performance cycle of 70th percentile TSR and 12.7% ROAIC, cash payouts (made in early 2017) to the CEO and other eligible NEOs are 189.2% of the target opportunities and reported in the "Summary Compensation Table."

Table of Contents

Equity-Based Awards The Corporation's equity awards may be provided through various forms (SARs, Incentive Stock Options ("ISOs"), Non-Qualified Stock Options ("NQSOs"), PC-RSUs, restricted stock and RSUs), all of which are tied to the price of Ball Corporation common stock. Annual equity awards associated with target total compensation are typically granted in January on the date of the quarterly meeting of the Board; however, equity awards may be granted during the year as part of an executive's promotion, extraordinary performance or for retention purposes. In the case of newly hired executives, equity awards may be granted upon the executive joining the Corporation. Annual equity-based awards are determined for each NEO in order to bring target total compensation to the level deemed appropriate by the Committee in relation to the external market 50th percentile and each executive's roles, responsibilities and performance.

In January 2016, the Committee approved the award of SARs and PC-RSUs to the CEO, other NEOs and executive officers. Each form of equity is described below. The target values of these awards were based on the total target award mix of long-term incentive vehicles as previously described (40% SARs and 40% PC-RSUs). The number and/or value of the equity awarded in 2016 to the CEO and other NEOs is reported in the "Summary Compensation Table" and the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table." All equity awards are pursuant to the provisions of the 2013 Stock and Cash Incentive Plan.

Stock-Settled SARs and Stock Options: SARs, ISOs and/or NQSOs are granted in order to reward executives for the creation of shareholder value, and will only provide value to executives if the price of the Corporation's stock increases. Such awards generally vest at 25% per year for four years and expire in ten years. The grant value of each SAR, ISO or NQSO is based on the closing price of the Corporation's common stock on the date of grant.

Performance-Contingent RSUs: PC-RSUs are granted in order to promote share ownership through the achievement of defined multiyear performance goals that enhance shareholder value and align with the Corporation's Drive for 10 vision. The performance measure is a future target value of the Corporation's absolute EVA® dollars generated in excess of Ball's 9% after-tax hurdle rate. The future dollar absolute EVA® target value is calculated by increasing the prior year actual EVA® dollars generated in excess of the 9% after-tax hurdle rate by a compound annual growth rate of 4% over the three-year cycle. Given the challenging nature of this measure, a minimum and maximum performance range exists and may result in an actual payout of between 0% and 200%. The minimum performance measure, which would result in a 0% payout, is the prior year-end achieved absolute EVA® dollars. In this case, even though we would have continued to generate positive EVA®, the lack of growth in that figure results in a zero payout. The maximum performance measure is only achieved if we grow absolute EVA® dollars at an aggressive compound annual growth rate of 8% over the three years of the cycle. Performance between minimum, target and maximum is extrapolated to determine the payout factor. Awards are generally made on an annual basis such that three performance cycles overlap. Any actual award earned is paid at the end of the three-year performance cycle. During 2016, there were three overlapping cycles:

2014-2016 PC-RSUs were granted in 2014, completed at the end of 2016, and vesting took place in early 2017. The actual EVA® generated was \$198.6 million compared to our compound growth rate target of \$167.9 million and 200% of all granted units for that three-year cycle vested for the eligible NEOs. The PC-RSUs vested in January 2017 and the value realized on vesting will be reported in the "Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table" in 2018.

2015-2017 PC-RSUs were granted in 2015. This is in process and will complete at the end of 2017 and vesting will occur in early 2018, if the performance measure is attained. The target, minimum and maximum performance requirements for the 2015-2017 award are as follows:

Performance Measure	Minimum	Target	Maximum
Target Absolute EVA® Dollars	\$190.7 million	\$214.5 million	\$240.2 million

2016-2018 PC-RSUs were granted in 2016. This is in process and will complete at the end of 2018 and vesting will occur in early 2019, if the performance measure is attained. The target, minimum and maximum performance requirements for the

2016-2018 award are as follows:

Performance Measure	Minimum	Target	Maximum
Target Absolute EVA® Dollars	\$180.6 million	\$203.2 million	\$227.5 million

Restricted Stock or RSUs: The Committee or CEO may also grant restricted stock or RSUs generally in connection with the promotion or recruitment of individuals to facilitate ownership and retention. Pursuant to the provisions of the

Table of Contents

2013 Stock and Cash Incentive Plan, the Committee delegated to the CEO the authority to grant up to a maximum of 10,000 restricted shares or RSUs to any one individual in a calendar year, except the CEO may not make such grants to officers of the Corporation. Any such grant is ratified by the Committee at the first Committee meeting following such grant. Grants made are generally effective at the closing stock price on the day of the grant or may be effective at the closing stock price on a specific day in the future as defined by the Committee or the CEO. As an example, the future grant of a restricted stock award may be approved pending the effective date of a promotion, employment or a specific date. These awards generally vest in either 20% or 25% increments on each anniversary of the grant date. These grants serve as a long-term incentive element, promote share ownership and may provide an executive retention incentive.

Other One-Time Incentives to Drive a Successful Integration and an Ownership-Based Culture

These elements of compensation are designed to provide ownership, align priorities of management and shareholders and ensure success of the Rexam integration. Management is focused on driving shareholder value through the identification of synergies, executing value capture initiatives, and ultimately generating additional EVA® and cash flow through the newly combined business.

Special Acquisition-Related Incentive Program ("SAIP"): In conjunction with the 2016 Rexam acquisition, the Corporation implemented a special incentive program designed specifically to motivate participating employees to successfully integrate the Rexam acquisition into the Corporation and generate cash flow to facilitate paying down the related Company debt. The SAIP is based on a 3½-year performance period, beginning July 1, 2016, and ending on December 31, 2019, and is based on cumulative EVA® dollar and cash flow targets. SAIP awards are in the form of Performance Restricted Stock Units ("PRSUs") and the PRSUs will cliff-vest at the end of the performance period, if the performance targets are met. No interim payouts or vesting will occur during the performance period. Additionally, as the performance targets have been established to be challenging, minimum, target and maximum values have been established for each of the two performance measures; however, due to the competitive and sensitive nature of the financial metrics, these values have been excluded. The actual payout will be between 0% and 200% of the target award for each employee, with performance between minimum, target and maximum being extrapolated to determine the payout factor.

To ensure the immediate focus on integration objectives, the Company felt it important to communicate the SAIP award eligibility and considers them as having been granted in 2016. However, due to the cumulative nature for the entire $3^{1}/2$ -year performance period, the cumulative EVA® and cash flow performance metrics were finalized in 2017. As such, per FASB ASC Topic 718 ("Topic 718"), these awards, which are set out in footnote (1) to the Summary Compensation Table, are not considered granted for accounting purposes until 2017 and are not included in the disclosure tables contained within this CD&A, and will be included in next year's filing.

Deposit Share Program ("DSP"): Introduced in 2001, the Corporation may from time-to-time grant restricted stock or RSUs pursuant to the DSP. This program was used in 2016 with the intent to further drive an ownership culture, especially within the new leadership team that may have had little-to-no Ball stock ownership prior to the acquisition, and to further align leadership focus with shareholder interests. Under this program, a participant receives one matching RSU for every acquired common stock share newly attained and held by the participant (either in the open market, through the exercise of stock options or settlement of SARs, or deferral, if eligible, of annual incentive compensation to the Deferred Compensation Company Stock Plan) during a specified acquisition period, up to a maximum number of shares preestablished by the Committee. Essentially, the Company is providing an incentive only if a participant should choose to newly invest in the Company. As long as a participant continues to hold their newly acquired shares, the RSUs will cliff vest four years from the date of grant; or, if stock ownership guidelines are met, 30% of the units vest at the end of the second year and again at the end of the third year, and 40% will vest at the end of the fourth year. RSUs granted pursuant to the DSP are made on the 15th day of each month following the participant's submission of adequate documentation to the Corporation detailing the acquisition of the newly acquired shares. The Committee approved opportunities under the DSP to our NEOs and select members of the broader management team in July 2016. The number and/or value of the RSUs awarded in 2016 to the CEO and other NEOs is reported in the "Summary Compensation Table" and the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table."

Retirement Benefits

The Corporation strives for overall benefits to be competitive with the market. The CEO and other U.S.-based NEOs participate in the same benefit plans and on the same terms as provided to all U.S. salaried employees, with the exception of the differences noted below.

Table of Contents

Included in these benefits for the U.S. salaried employees are the annual pension accruals under the qualified pension plan ("Salaried Pension Plan") and contributions to the qualified 401(k) savings plan. The Corporation sponsors two qualified salaried defined benefit pension plans in the U.S., one covering its Aerospace subsidiary's employees and the other covering all other U.S. salaried employees. Prior to January 1, 2007, the benefits were determined by final average salary, covered compensation and years of service. Beginning in 2007, the benefit in both plans is an accumulated annual credit based on base salary, the Social Security Wage Base ("SSWB") and a multiplier that is based on service.

The 401(k) savings plan is a tax-qualified defined contribution plan that allows U.S. salaried employees, including the U.S.-based NEOs, to contribute to the plan 1% to 55% of their base salary up to IRS-determined limits on a before-tax basis. Prior to January 1, 2007, the Corporation matched 50% of the first 6% of base salary contributed to the plan. Beginning in 2007, the Corporation matches 100% of the first 3% of base salary contributed, and 50% of the next 2% of base salary contributed, up to a maximum match of 4% of base salary contributed.

Certain executives, including the U.S.-based NEOs, also receive benefits under the non-qualified SERP which replaces benefits otherwise available in the qualified pension plan except for limits on covered compensation in the qualified plan set by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). The SERP is designed to provide retirement benefits that are calculated on base salary that exceeds the maximum amount of pay that can be included in the pension calculation under a pension plan that is tax qualified under the Code. Further information regarding the Salaried Pension Plan and the SERP are provided in the "Pension Benefits" section.

The Corporation's U.S. pension plans and SERP provide pension benefits based on base salary only and do not include incentive compensation as part of the pension calculation.

In addition, Mr. Bouts had accrued benefits under a defined contribution pension plan generally available to all salaried employees in Zurich, Switzerland ("PersonalVorsorgestiftung").

Additionally, the Corporation provides a deferred compensation benefit to certain U.S. employees. Under the terms of the deferred compensation program, participants are eligible to defer current annual incentive compensation to be paid and/or RSUs to be issued in the future. When amounts are deferred, the participant becomes a general unsecured creditor of the Corporation and deferred amounts become subject to claims on the same basis as other general unsecured creditors to the Corporation. The deferred compensation plans provide a means for participants to accumulate funds for retirement or other purposes.

OTHER EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION POLICIES AND GUIDELINES

Plan Terms and Procedures

In 2016, the annual and long-term incentives awarded were established and paid pursuant to the terms of the Ball Corporation 2013 Stock and Cash Incentive Plan and the Ball Corporation Annual EVA® Incentive Compensation Plan, which are administered by the Committee. The 2013 Stock and Cash Incentive Plan permits grants of cash awards, stock options, SARs or stock awards (e.g., shares, restricted stock and RSUs).

Risk Assessment

The Committee continually reviews the relationship between risk and reward in our compensation programs; both through recurring in-depth reviews and ongoing review of any program changes as they occur. At this time, the Committee does not believe that these compensation programs encourage excessive or inappropriate risk. The Corporation's internal assessment of risk confirms that our compensation arrangements do not foster undue risk taking. They are performance driven and have strong governance and control mechanisms.

The Committee's executive compensation Consultant conducted a thorough risk assessment of our executive compensation programs in 2016, and reported on this to the Committee. The Consultant reviews a number of criteria regarding compensation design and governance and whether financial risks, operational risks or reputational risks may be generated through any of our programs, policies or practices. The Consultant concluded that they did not identify any elements within Ball's compensation programs and processes that pose material risk to the Corporation. The basis for the Consultant's conclusion is that the Corporation's incentive plans and processes are well designed, diversified and appropriately structured to mitigate risk without diluting incentives for high performance.

Table of Contents

Executive

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Consistent with its stock ownership philosophy, the Corporation has established guidelines for senior management. The 2016 stock ownership guidelines (minimum requirements) are as follows:

Ownership Multiple (of Base Salary)

CEO 5 times
CFO, EVPs and SVPs 3 times
Other Executives 1 to 2 times

As of December 31, 2016, all executive officers including the CEO and the other NEOs have met their ownership guidelines. Furthermore, the Corporation has established a 10,000 share stock ownership guideline for each nonmanagement director and all have met their ownership guidelines with the exception of Mr. Heinrich and Ms. Niekamp, who joined the Board in August 2016 and are in the process of attaining shares within the required period.

Anti-Hedging Policy

The Corporation does not allow an executive or director to lock in some or all of any company share price appreciation, which would neutralize the economic risk associated with holding Ball Corporation common stock. However, executives and directors are permitted to use contracts to purchase or sell Ball Corporation common stock including pursuant to SEC Rule 10b5-1, subject to preapproval and applicable rules. Put and call options and other hedging transactions involving Corporation stock (including selling the stock "short") are not permitted.

Severance and Change in Control Benefits

The CEO and other NEOs are covered by arrangements that specify payments in the event the executive's employment is terminated. The type and amount of payments vary by executive level and whether the termination is following a change in control of the Corporation. These severance benefits, which are competitive with General Industry practices, are payable only if the executive's employment is terminated as specified in each of the agreements. Further discussion is provided in the "Other Potential Post-Termination Employment Benefits" section.

Employment Agreement with Mr. Bouts

Mr. Bouts had an employment contract with the Corporation due to the customary nature of such agreements for executives working in Europe. His employment agreement was for an unspecified period and provided that either party could terminate the agreement by giving three months' written notice at the end of a month, and such notice was given in December 2016.

Accounting and Tax Considerations

When establishing pay elements or associated programs, the Committee reviews projections of the estimated pro forma expense and tax impact of all material elements of the executive compensation program. Generally, an accounting expense is accrued over the requisite service period of the particular pay element, which in many cases is equal to the performance cycle, and the Corporation realizes a tax deduction upon payment to and/or realization by the executive.

The Plans are intended to meet the deductibility requirements of Code Section 162(m) as performance-based pay, resulting in amounts paid being tax deductible to the Corporation. Code Section 162(m) generally provides that publicly-held corporations may not deduct in any one taxable year certain compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the CEO or any other executive officer (other than the CFO as such) whose total compensation is required to be disclosed in the "Summary Compensation Table" by reason of being the next three most highly-compensated executive officers. To the extent that any cash compensation for any NEO, otherwise deductible for a particular tax year, would not be deductible in that year because of the limitations of Code Section 162(m), the Committee has mandated that such compensation will be deferred until retirement; however, the Committee, in its sole discretion, may approve payment of nondeductible compensation from time to time if it deems circumstances warrant it.

Table of Contents

Beginning January 1, 2006, the Corporation began accounting for stock-based awards including current and prior year stock options, SARs, restricted stock and RSUs in accordance with the requirements of Topic 718, which addresses accounting for stock compensation.

In December 2005, the Committee approved three new deferred compensation plans that incorporate rules applicable to non-qualified deferred compensation as provided by Code Section 409A regulations. In 2008, the Corporation reviewed and updated all plans and agreements to conform to Code Section 409A final regulations.

Code Section 280G considerations related to tax reimbursements made to executives for taxes on amounts paid in the event of termination following a change in control are discussed in the narrative to the "Other Potential Post-Termination Employment Benefits" section.

TABLES AND NARRATIVES

Set forth on the following pages are tables showing, for the CEO, CFO and the three other highest paid executive officers of the Corporation, the following: (1) fiscal year 2016 elements of compensation in summary form; (2) equity and non-equity incentives awarded in 2016; (3) outstanding stock options and stock awards held as of December 31, 2016; (4) the value realized on stock options or SARs exercised and stock awards that vested during 2016; (5) information regarding non-qualified deferred compensation; (6) projected pension benefit values; and projections for other potential post-termination benefits. The "Director Compensation Table" summarizes the fiscal year 2016 elements of compensation for the Corporation's nonmanagement directors. Accompanying each table are narratives and/or footnotes intended to further the understanding of the information disclosed in the tables. The tables should be read in conjunction with the CD&A beginning on page 19, which explains the Corporation's compensation objectives and philosophy, its process for determining executive compensation and a description of the elements of compensation.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The "Summary Compensation Table" represents all fiscal year 2016 elements of compensation for the Corporation's NEOs including:

Base salary earned,

Awards earned under the Annual EVA® Incentive Compensation Plan for 2016 performance,

Awards earned under the LTCIP for the three-year performance cycle ended in 2016,

Fair value of PC-RSU and/or other RSU awards granted in 2016, including the one-time awards under DSP, calculated in accordance with Topic 718, and

Fair value of SAR awards granted in 2016, calculated in accordance with Topic 718.

For additional information regarding the DSP awards, please refer to the "Other One-Time Incentives to Drive a Successful Integration and an Ownership-Based Culture" sections of this proxy.

The 2016 payout factors used to determine the amounts earned for the Annual EVA® Incentive Compensation Plan and LTCIP for the CEO, CFO and the other NEOs are provided in the "2016 Performance Outcome" column under "Elements of Ball's Executive Compensation Program and 2016 Performance."

In addition to these elements of compensation, the table also presents the change in 2016 in the value of pensions payable at age 65 for the NEOs as well as above-market earnings associated with non-qualified deferred compensation. Certain of the Corporation's predecessor deferred compensation plans provide for an interest rate that is equal to the Moody's Seasoned Corporate Bond Index ("Moody's") and in some plans, an

interest rate that is 5 percentage points higher than Moody's, and in others, a fixed interest rate equal to 9%. No additional deferrals are permitted into these plans. Any earnings credited to accounts within plans that provide the Moody's rate plus 5 percentage points and/or the 9% fixed interest that is in excess of above-market earnings that would have been credited at a rate that is 120% of the applicable federal long-term rate have been classified as above-market earnings on deferred compensation.

Table of Contents

The "All Other Compensation" column represents the sum of the values of:

Perquisites and other personal benefits,

Corporation contributions to defined contribution plans or deferred compensation plans,

Corporation-paid insurance premiums,

Company match of securities purchases pursuant to the Corporation's broad-based Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP"), and

Tax gross-up payments on housing benefits and tax equalization payments related to foreign assignments pursuant to the Corporation's broad-based Mobility program.

The individual values are disclosed in the "All Other Compensation Table" that follows the "Summary Compensation Table."

Details regarding post-termination compensation are discussed in the section entitled "Other Potential Post-Termination Employment Benefits."

Summary Compensation Table

ar	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) (1)	Option Awards (\$) (2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) (3)	Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) (4)	All (Compensa
16	\$ 1,238,615	\$	\$ 3,278,877	\$ 2,310,256	\$ 3,988,186	\$ 291,439	\$
15	\$ 1,198,462	\$	\$ 2,200,017	\$ 2,200,006	\$ 3,534,326	\$ 99,027	\$
14	\$ 1,149,327	\$:	\$ 1,933,358	\$ 1,746,180	\$ 4,255,890	\$ 243,109	\$
16	\$ 666,728		\$ 1,343,405	\$ 536,052	\$ 1,171,912	\$ 159,716	
15	\$ 648,751	\$	\$ 1,000,036	\$ 499,996	\$ 1,032,757	\$ 62,951	\$
14	\$ 596,384	\$;	\$ 458,805	\$ 413,982	\$ 1,259,021	\$ 135,697	\$
16	\$ 695,243	\$	\$ 1,289,269	\$ 481,947	717,491	\$ 211,507	\$
15	\$ 631,632	\$ 285,401	\$ 1,711,747	\$ 400,483	\$ 861,230	\$ 93,204	\$
14	\$	\$;	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
16	\$ 492,871	\$!	\$ 915,939	\$ 270,023	\$ 672,198	\$ 208,398	\$
15	\$ 478,431	\$	\$ 249,981	\$ 250,005	\$ 603,975	\$ 97,438	\$
14	\$ 460,156	\$	\$ 242,897	\$ 219,744	\$ 750,604	\$ 197,300	\$
16	\$ 464,443	\$	\$ 1,065,388	\$ 258,020	\$ 659,730	\$ 177,826	\$

Change in

15	\$ 452,665 \$	\$ 247,996 \$	248,003 \$	582,058 \$	39,679 \$
14	\$ 439,475 \$	\$ 223,269 \$	202,086 \$	820,656 \$	169,316 \$

Reflects the fair value of PC-RSU awards granted for each reported year, calculated in accordance with Topic 718 assuming the probable outcome. The assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K in Notes 1 and 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for fiscal year ended December 31, 2016. At the maximum number, the values for 2016 PC-RSUs are: Mr. Hayes \$4,619,993; Mr. Morrison \$1,072,010; Mr. Bouts \$963,738; Mr. Baker \$540,037, and Ms. Pauley \$515,977; and values for 2015 PC-RSUs are: Mr. Hayes \$4,400,033; Mr. Morrison \$1,000,056; Mr. Bouts \$800,944; Mr. Baker \$499,962, and Ms. Pauley \$495,993.

As noted on pages 24 and 36, although SAIP PRSUs were granted in 2016, they are not considered granted for accounting purposes and will be included in next year's Summary Compensation Table. The number of SAIP PRSUs awarded to each of the NEOs, at the maximum number, are: Mr. Hayes 51,404; Mr. Morrison 27,664; Mr. Bouts 29,788; Mr. Baker 20,452; and Ms. Pauley 19,270. These respective award amounts were based on the Committee's assessment of each NEO's anticipated contribution to the integration of the Rexam business and the execution of ancillary opportunities.

Reflects the fair value of ISO or SAR equity awards granted for each reported year, calculated in accordance with Topic 718. The assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K in Notes 1 and 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.

Includes payouts from the Annual Incentive Compensation Plan and LTCIP, which were earned in 2016 and paid or deferred in 2017. The detail for each NEO is as follows:

Mr. Hayes Annual Incentive Compensation Plan \$2,105,646; LTCIP \$1,882,540; and \$1,052,823 of the annual incentive was deferred in February 2017.

Mr. Morrison Annual Incentive Compensation Plan \$725,400; LTCIP \$446,512; and \$100,000 of the annual incentive was deferred in February 2017.

Mr. Bouts Annual Incentive Compensation Plan = \$717,491.

Mr. Baker Annual Incentive Compensation Plan \$435,698; LTCIP \$236,500; and \$100,000 of the annual incentive was deferred in February 2017.

Ms. Pauley Annual Incentive Compensation Plan \$442,150; LTCIP \$217,580; no portion of the annual incentive was deferred in February 2017.

(4) The aggregate change in pension value and above-market earnings, on deferred compensation for each NEO, is as follows:

Mr. Hayes \$284,894 aggregate change in pension value and \$6,545 above-market earnings on deferred compensation.

Mr. Morrison \$159,716 aggregate change in pension value.

Mr. Bouts \$211,507 aggregate change in pension value.

Mr. Baker \$175,136 aggregate change in pension value and \$33,262 above-market earnings on deferred compensation.

Ms. Pauley \$176,824 aggregate change in pension value and \$1,002 above-market earnings on deferred compensation.

The change in pension value includes benefit accruals during 2016 and the impact of changes in assumptions from December 31, 2015, to December 31, 2016. The discount rate for this time period decreased from 4.60% to 3.87%, which increases the present value of the pension benefits.

- May include the value of financial planning services, the incremental cost for the personal use of the corporate aircraft, the value of executive physical examinations, employer contributions to 401(k), employer contributions to the 2005 Deferred Compensation Company Stock Plan, employer paid disability insurance premiums and the value of the Corporation's match for the ESPP. Additional information for all is included in the "All Other Compensation Table" below.
- Mr. Bouts was paid in Swiss francs; his 2016 base salary and his annual non-equity incentive and other compensation was converted to U.S. dollars based upon 0.981, the exchange rate on December 31, 2016.

All Other Compensation Table

			Registrant			Registrant	
	Perquisites	Payments/	Contributions			Contributions	
	and Other	Accruals on	to Defined		Discounted	to Deferred	Tax
	Personal	Termination	Contribution	Insurance	Securities	Compensation	Reimburse-
NEO	Benefits (1) (2)	Plans	Plans	Premiums	Purchases	Plans	ments (3)

John A. Hayes	\$ 47,400 \$	\$ 10,600 \$	1,892 \$	1,200 \$	20,000 \$	768
Scott C. Morrison	\$ 30,630 \$	\$ 10,600 \$	1,799 \$	1,200 \$	20,000 \$	
Erik C. M. Bouts	\$ 55,498 \$	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$	31,629
Charles E. Baker	\$ \$	\$ 10,600 \$	2,180 \$	1,200 \$	20,000 \$	
Lisa A. Pauley	\$ 10,284 \$	\$ 10,600 \$	2,158 \$	\$	20,000 \$	

- Includes \$10,000 for financial planning services for Messrs. Hayes and Morrison, and the incremental costs for the personal use of the corporate aircraft for Mr. Hayes of \$36,550 and Mr. Morrison of \$18,105. The amount for Mr. Bouts includes housing allowance of \$41,181 and a car lease of \$8,723, paid in Swiss francs and converted to U.S. dollars based upon 0.981, the exchange rate on December 31, 2016.
- The incremental costs of the personal use of our corporate aircraft are determined based on the variable operating costs to the Corporation, including aircraft operating costs, supplies, jet fuel and ancillary costs. Because virtually all aircraft usage is for business travel, this methodology excludes fixed costs that do not change based on usage.
- The amount for Mr. Hayes represents tax equalization payments related to his foreign assignment as it is the Corporation's policy to neutralize the tax effects by limiting the assignee's tax costs to what the assignee would have paid had the assignee not resided in the foreign location. The amount for Mr. Bouts represents tax gross-up on his housing allowance.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE

The "Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table" summarizes the plan-based awards granted by the Corporation to the NEOs during 2016, which includes the following:

Annual cash incentives pursuant to the Annual Incentive Compensation Plan for the 2016 performance cycle,

Cash-based long-term incentives under the LTCIP for the 2016-2018 three-year performance cycle,

Fair value of PC-RSUs for the 2016-2018 three-year performance cycle and/or other RSUs, calculated in accordance with Topic 718, and

Fair value of stock-settled SARs and/or ISOs, calculated in accordance with Topic 718.

Table of Contents

Awards made under the Annual EVA® Incentive Compensation Plan are determined based on EVA® performance. For the NEOs, awards can range from 0% to 200% of target. Amounts earned in excess of 200% are banked and may be paid over time in one-third increments based on corporate and/or operating unit performance.

Awards under the LTCIP are granted on an annual basis and are determined based on the Corporation's TSR relative to the subset of S&P 500 companies described in the CD&A as well as the Corporation's ROAIC. The award made in 2016 is for the three-year performance cycle beginning January 1, 2016, and ending December 31, 2018.

PC-RSUs were granted to the NEOs in 2016. The awards will cliff vest after the performance cycle if the Corporation's performance measure and basis for the degree of vesting of the units, which is based on a future target value of absolute EVA® dollars generated in excess of Ball's 9% after-tax hurdle rate as the capital charge, relative to compound growth rate targets is achieved over a three-year period. PC-RSUs awarded in 2016 have a potential outcome to the executive from 0% to 200%. SARs were granted to the NEOs in 2016. The awards vest annually in 25% increments starting on the first anniversary of the date of grant. If the price of the Corporation's stock increases during the vesting period, each NEO would receive, upon exercise, a number of shares of Corporation stock that reflects the value of the appreciation over the original grant price.

As described under "Other One-Time Incentives to Drive a Successful Integration and an Ownership-Based Culture," a DSP opportunity was provided to the NEOs in 2016. All NEOs acquired the preestablished number of shares provided by the opportunity and therefore were granted an equal amount of RSUs. As long as the executive continues to hold the newly acquired shares, the RSUs will cliff vest four years from the date of grant; or, if stock ownership guidelines are met, 30% of the shares or units will vest at the end of the second year and third year and 40% will vest at the end of the fourth year.

Dividends or dividend equivalents are paid quarterly on the number of unvested restricted shares or RSUs accounted for on the record date used for determining dividends payable to shareholders and at the same dividend rate as paid to shareholders. Dividend equivalents related to PC-RSUs granted pursuant to the 2013 Stock and Cash Incentive Plan will be accrued and paid only if the performance condition is achieved and the restrictions on the units lapse.

The vesting of plan-based awards may be accelerated as described in the narrative to the "Other Potential Post-Termination Employment Benefits Table."

Table of Contents

Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Under	e Payouts an Awards	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of	Grant Date per Share Fair Value	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities	P		
ıt P		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	Stock or Units (#)	of All Other Stock Awards	Underlying Options (#)	(\$
16 (2) 16 (3) 16 (4) 16 16 (5)	\$ \$		\$1,155,000 \$1,548,269		0	34,947	69,894	12,000	\$80.740	124,341	
16 (2) 16 (3) 16 (4) 16 16 (5)	\$ \$	0	· ·	\$536,000 \$1,066,765	0	8,109	16,218	10,000	\$80.740	28,851	
16 (2) 16 (3) 16 (4) 16 16 (5)		0	•	\$431,796 \$1,042,865	0	7,290	14,580	10,000	\$80.740	25,939	
16 (2) 16 (3) 16 (4) 16 16 (5)		0	· ·	\$270,000 \$640,732	0	4,085	8,170	8,000	\$80.740	14,533	
16 (2) 16 (3) 16 (4) 16 16 (5)	\$ \$	0		\$258,000 \$650,220	0	3,903	7,806	10,000	\$80.740	13,887	

⁽¹⁾The grant date fair value of equity incentive plan awards, based on the probable outcome of the performance condition, and stock and option awards all calculated in accordance with Topic 718, and as referenced in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K in Notes 1 and 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for

the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.

- (2) Represents grants made under the LTCIP.
- (3) Represents grants made under the Annual EVA® Incentive Compensation Plan.
- (4)
 Represents PC-RSUs granted January 27, 2016, at a value of \$66.10 per unit, with an assumption of probable outcome at target if the performance measurements are met.
- (5) Represents RSU grants made under the DSP.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2016

The following table outlines the outstanding option awards and stock awards held by the NEOs as of December 31, 2016. The outstanding option awards and stock awards represented in the table were granted to the NEOs over a period of several years, including 2016.

Option Awards Stock Awards

imber of ecurities iderlying exercised otions (#) ercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (1)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (2)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) (3)	Incentive Pla Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (4
83,000 (5)			\$ 25.0550	4/23/2018	12,000 \$	900,840	107,60
183,000 (5)			\$ 20.0400	1/28/2019			
207,200 (5)			\$ 25.2250	1/27/2020			
171,960 (5)			\$ 35.8350	1/26/2021			
209,200 (5)			\$ 37.7000	1/25/2022			
136,800 (5)	45,600 (5)		\$ 45.9300	1/30/2023			
89,000 (5)	89,000 (5)		\$ 49.0700	1/29/2024			
38,733 (5)	116,197 (5)		\$ 66.1500	2/4/2025			
(5)	124,341 (5)		\$ 66.1000	1/27/2026			
18,000 (5)			\$ 25.0550	4/23/2018	15,005 \$	1,126,425	25,01
3,400			\$ 20.0400	1/28/2019			
31,600 (5)			\$ 20.0400	1/28/2019			
6,800			\$ 25.2250	1/27/2020			
32,200 (5)			\$ 25.2250	1/27/2020			
40,600 (5)			\$ 35.8350	1/26/2021			
400			\$ 37.7000	1/25/2022			
45,400 (5)	40.550		\$ 37.7000	1/25/2022			
31,650 (5)	10,550 (5)		\$ 45.9300	1/30/2023			
21,100 (5)	21,100 (5)		\$ 49.0700	1/29/2024			
8,803 (5)	26,408 (5)		\$ 66.1500	2/4/2025			
(5)	28,851 (5)	3	\$ 66.1000	1/27/2026			
7,051 (5)	21,152 (5)	9	\$ 66.1500	2/4/2025	23,125 \$	1,735,994	13,34
(5)	25,939 (5)	9	\$ 66.1000	1/27/2026			
12,320 (5)		9	\$ 24.6600	4/25/2017	8,000 \$	600,560	12,81

Equity

26,000 (5)		\$ 25.0550	4/23/2018			
48,200 (5)		\$ 20.0400	1/28/2019			
32,000 (5)		\$ 25.2250	1/27/2020			
27,000 (5)		\$ 35.8350	1/26/2021			
27,000 (5)		\$ 37.7000	1/25/2022			
17,775 (5)	5,925 (5)	\$ 45.9300	1/30/2023			
11,200 (5)	11,200 (5)	\$ 49.0700	1/29/2024			
4,402 (5)	13,204 (5)	\$ 66.1500	2/4/2025			
(5)	14,533 (5)	\$ 66.1000	1/27/2026			
2,720		\$ 25.0550	4/23/2018	10,000 \$	750,700	12,2
11,280 (5)		\$ 25.0550	4/23/2018			
4,200		\$ 20.0400	1/28/2019			
23,800 (5)		\$ 20.0400	1/28/2019			
4,600		\$ 25.2250	1/27/2020			
14,000 (5)		\$ 25.2250	1/27/2020			
13,700 (5)		\$ 35.8350	1/26/2021			
20,200 (5)		\$ 37.7000	1/25/2022			
15,375 (5)	5,125 (5)	\$ 45.9300	1/30/2023			
10,300 (5)	10,300 (5)	\$ 49.0700	1/29/2024			
4,366 (5)	13,099 (5)	\$ 66.1500	2/4/2025			
(5)	13,887 (5)	\$ 66.1000	1/27/2026			

- (1) The unexercisable stock options and SARs become exercisable in 25% annual increments on the anniversary of the grant date, beginning on the first anniversary.
- (2) The vesting schedule for units not yet vested for each NEO is as follows:

Mr. Hayes 3,600 on September 15, 2018; 3,600 on September 15, 2019; and 4,800 on September 15, 2020.

Mr. Morrison 1,669 on February 13, 2017; 1,668 on February 13, 2018; 3,000 on September 15, 2018; 1,668 on February 13, 2019; 3,000 on September 15, 2019; and 4,000 on September 15, 2020.

Mr. Bouts 4,375 on February 13, 2017; 4,375 on February 13, 2018; 3,000 on September 15, 2018; 4,375 on February 13, 2019; 3,000 on September 15, 2019; and 4,000 on September 15, 2020.

Mr. Baker 2,400 on September 15, 2018; 2,400 on September 15, 2019; and 3,200 on September 15, 2020.

Ms. Pauley 3,000 on September 15, 2018; 3,000 on September 15, 2019; and 4,000 on September 15, 2020.

(3) The market value of shares is based on \$75.07, the closing price of Ball Corporation common stock on December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

(4) The vesting dates for the units not yet vested for each NEO for years 2017, 2018 and 2019, contingent on meeting the performance goal of the period ending December 31 in years 2016, 2017 and 2018, respectively, and upon certification of the performance measures by Board, are as follows:

	~January 31,	~January 31,	~January 31,		
	2017	2018	2019		
Mr. Hayes	39,400	33,258	34,947		
Mr. Morrison	9,350	7,559	8,109		
Mr. Bouts	N/A	6,054	7,290		
Mr. Baker	4,950	3,779	4,085		
Ms. Pauley	4,550	3,749	3,903		

(5)

Represents a grant of stock-settled SARs.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN 2016

The following table summarizes for each NEO the options exercised and the stock awards vested during 2016. The options that were exercised by each NEO were granted in prior years and became exercisable pursuant to a prescribed vesting schedule. The value realized on exercise reflects the appreciation in the stock price from the option base price on grant date to the exercise date and is reported on a before-tax basis. The shares acquired upon vesting for each NEO were for RSUs granted in prior years that vested pursuant to a prescribed vesting schedule. The value realized reflects the closing stock price on the vesting date and is also reported on a before-tax basis. NEOs can defer the receipt of units of certain awards into the Ball Corporation 2005 Deferred Compensation Company Stock Plan, pursuant to which distributions may take place no earlier than the participant's separation from service. Information regarding the 2005 Deferred Compensation Company Stock Plan is provided in the "Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation" section that follows. Footnotes are provided to detail circumstances when amounts realized upon vesting were deferred. The value realized on vesting also includes the vested value of dividend equivalents paid during 2016 on outstanding RSUs or payment on accrued dividend equivalent earned for the 2013-2016 PC-RSU cycle.

Option Awards	Stock Awards

NEO	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (2)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) (1)(2)(3)
John A. Hayes	9,600	\$ 510,490	40,110	\$ 2,746,243
Scott C. Morrison	10,919	\$ 1,332,960	10,932	\$ 754,110
Erik C. M. Bouts		\$	4,375	\$ 294,544
Charles E. Baker	17,348	\$ 2,186,330	5,205	\$ 358,050
Lisa A. Pauley	14,826	\$ 1,546,915	4,489	\$ 309,603

- (1) Value realized on vesting is based on the closing stock price on the day the RSUs vested.
- (2)
 Amounts deferred to the 2005 Deferred Compensation Company Stock Plan upon vesting of stock awards for each NEO was:

Mr. Hayes Deferred 40,110 vested RSUs valued at \$2,680,551

Mr. Morrison Deferred 2,316 vested RSUs valued at \$154,778

Ms. Pauley Deferred 4,489 vested RSUs valued at \$300,000

(3)

Value realized on vesting also includes the value of dividend equivalents vested and paid during 2016 on outstanding RSU balances eligible for dividend equivalents on the record date at a dividend rate equal to that paid to the Corporation's common shareholders. Dividend equivalents related to PC-RSUs granted pursuant to the 2013 Stock and Cash Incentive Plan are accrued and paid only if the performance condition is achieved and the restrictions on the units vest. Dividend equivalents paid during 2016 for each NEO was:

Mr. Hayes \$65,692

Mr. Morrison \$19,871

Mr. Bouts \$9,425

Mr. Baker \$10,200

Ms. Pauley \$9,603

NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION

The Corporation has three active deferred compensation plans to which eligible participants may make contributions: the 2005 Ball Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan, the 2005 Ball Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan, and the 2005 Ball Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors.

2005 Deferred Compensation Plan and 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors Eligible employee participants may defer payment of a portion or all of their annual incentive compensation, and nonmanagement

Table of Contents

members of the Corporation's Board may defer a portion or all of their annual director fees; and may also include the deferral of payments of other forms of a NEO's cash compensation, as mandated by the Committee, to the extent that such compensation would not be deductible in a given year as a result of the limitations of Code Section 162(m). Amounts deferred or credited are notionally invested among various investment funds where the return on the participant's balance is determined as if the amounts were invested in those funds. The menu of investment funds consists of 20 mutual fund-like investments. The one-year annual rate of return of the funds ranged from (1.20%) to 24.09%, and the three-year average annual rate of return of the funds ranged from (1.09%) to 13.15%. Distributions are based on the payment schedule elected by the participant, and may occur in service or commence at a defined point no sooner than six months following separation of service, in the form of either a lump sum and/or annual installments ranging between two and 15 years.

2005 Deferred Compensation Company Stock Plan Eligible employee participants may defer payment of a portion or all of their annual incentive compensation, and nonmanagement members of the Corporation's Board as participants may defer payment of a portion or all of their annual director fees. Elections to defer annual incentive compensation or director fees are made annually. Participants may also elect to defer the issuance of RSUs. Amounts are deferred or credited to a participant account as stock units with each unit having the value equivalent to one share of Ball Corporation common stock, and also receive a 20% Corporation match with a maximum match of \$20,000 per year. Pursuant to specified timing rules, participants may reallocate a prescribed percentage of units to other mutual fund-like investments; however, at least 50% of the balance will remain in stock units until retirement. Dividend equivalents, applicable to any balance denominated in units, are credited to each participant's accounts as of each dividend payment date for the Corporation's common stock. Distributions follow the payment schedule elected by the participant and may commence at a defined point no sooner than six months following separation of service, in the form of a lump sum and/or annual installments ranging between two and 15 years.

The basis for investment earnings on prior plans varies as follows:

2001 Deferred Compensation Plan and 2002 Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors Balance is notionally invested in mutual fund-like investments.

2000 Deferred Compensation Company Stock Plan Balance is represented in the form of stock units, with each unit having a value equivalent to one share of Ball Corporation common stock. Dividend equivalents are credited to the account as of each dividend payment date for the Corporation's common stock.

1989 Deferred Compensation Plan Provides for an annual return equal to the average composite yield on Moody's for the 12 months ending October 31.

1986 Deferred Compensation Plan and 1986 Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors and 1988 Deferred Compensation Plan Provides for an annual return equal to the average composite yield on Moody's for the 12 months ending October 31 plus 5 percentage points. Additionally, the 1988 Deferred Compensation Plan includes a fixed rate set by the Corporation at 9% for Company directed deferrals.

Ball-InCon Deferred Compensation Plan Depending on the time of the initial deferral, the plan provides for an annual return equal to the average composite yield on Moody's for the 12 months ending October 31 or the Moody's rate plus 5 percentage points.

The following table provides information related to the Corporation's deferred compensation plans. The "Aggregate Balance at Last FYE" column represents compensation earned, deferred and accumulated by the NEOs over many years and does not represent current year compensation.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table

NEO	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	;	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
John A. Hayes	\$ 2,680,551	\$ 20,000	\$ 1,319,440	\$	\$	25,622,724
Scott C. Morrison	\$ 316,966	\$ 20,000	\$ 507,968	\$	\$	11,540,430
Charles E. Baker	\$ 100,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 419,920	\$	\$	7,601,881
Lisa A. Pauley	\$ 517,845	\$ 20,000	\$ 369,405	\$	\$	6,853,755

Mr. Hayes \$20,000 of the Registrant Contributions and \$6,545 of the Aggregate Earnings are reported as compensation in the "Summary Compensation Table" for fiscal year 2016 and \$6,221,916 of the Aggregate Balance was reported as compensation in the "Summary Compensation Table" since 2006. The Aggregate Earnings reflects \$350,506 from cash accounts composed of \$9,000 based on Moody's rate plus 5 percentage points and \$341,506 based on notional investments in investment funds, plus \$968,934 based on an increase in value and dividend equivalents on equity accounts.

Mr. Morrison \$20,000 of the Registrant Contributions is reported as compensation in the "Summary Compensation Table" for fiscal year 2016 and \$1,920,441 of the Aggregate Balance was reported as compensation in the "Summary Compensation Table" since 2010. The Aggregate Earnings reflects \$96,840 from cash accounts composed of notional investments in investment funds, plus \$411,128 based on an increase in value and dividend equivalents on equity accounts.

Mr. Baker \$20,000 of the Registrant Contributions and \$33,262 of the Aggregate Earnings are reported as compensation in the "Summary Compensation Table" for fiscal year 2016 and \$2,040,915 of the Aggregate Balance has been reported as compensation in the "Summary Compensation Table" since 2011. The Aggregate Earnings reflects \$242,817 from cash accounts composed of \$45,736 based on Moody's rate plus 5 percentage points, \$1,743 based on Moody's rate and \$195,338 based on notional investments in investment funds, plus \$177,103 based on an increase in value and dividend equivalents on equity accounts.

Ms. Pauley \$20,000 of the Registrant Contributions and \$1,002 of the Aggregate Earnings are reported as compensation in the "Summary Compensation Table" for fiscal year 2016 and \$1,126,357 of the Aggregate Balance has been reported as compensation in the "Summary Compensation Table" for years 2011, 2014 and 2015. The Aggregate Earnings reflects \$145,898 from cash accounts composed of \$1,377 based on Moody's rate plus 5 percentage points and \$144,521 based on notional investments in investment funds, plus \$223,507 based on an increase in value and dividend equivalents on equity accounts.

PENSION BENEFITS

Retirement benefits are provided to the U.S.-based NEOs under a qualified salaried defined benefit pension plan and a non-qualified Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP"). The "Pension Benefits Table" shows each U.S.-based NEO's number of years of credited service, present value of accumulated benefits and payments during fiscal year 2016 for the qualified plan and the SERP. The present value of the accumulated benefit is the December 31, 2016, value of the annual benefit that was earned as of December 31, 2016.

The Corporation offers two qualified salaried defined benefit pension plans in the U.S. that provide the same benefits. One plan covers its Aerospace subsidiary's salaried employees and the other covers all other U.S. salaried employees. The U.S.-based NEOs are covered under the latter. The qualified plans were designed to provide tax-qualified pension benefits that are generally available to all U.S. salaried employees. Effective January 1, 2007, the Corporation changed the formula by which the accrued pension benefit under the plans is determined. Prior to January 1, 2007, the accrued pension benefit expressed as a monthly annuity payable at age 65 was based on final average salary, covered compensation and years of service. Effective January 1, 2007, the accrued pension benefit is a monthly annuity that is equivalent to a lump sum payable when the participant reaches age 65 calculated on base salary each year, the Social Security Wage Base ("SSWB") and a multiple based on years of service. Payments of accrued benefits earned may be in the form of an annuity, lump sum or a combination of both, depending on the election of the participant at retirement. The Corporation also sponsors a non-qualified SERP that mirrors the pension plans and is designed to replace the benefits that would have been provided under the pension plans if they were not subject to IRS-imposed limits. Under the Code, the maximum permissible benefit from the qualified plans for retirement in 2016 is \$210,000 and annual

Table of Contents

compensation exceeding \$265,000 in 2016 cannot be considered in computing the maximum permissible benefit under the plans.

In addition, Mr. Bouts had accrued benefits under a defined contribution pension plan generally available to all salaried employees in Zurich, Switzerland ("PersonalVorsorgestiftung").

Terms for U.S.-Accrued Benefits Prior to January 1, 2007

The monthly accrued benefit for benefits earned prior to January 1, 2007, was determined according to the following formula:

1% times Final Monthly Average Salary plus 0.5% times Final Monthly Average Salary in excess of Covered Compensation times Benefit Service through December 31, 2006, up to a maximum of 35 years, where

Salary is defined to be a NEO's monthly base salary excluding bonus and incentive compensation.

Final Monthly Average Salary is calculated based on the highest average for any 60 consecutive months out of the last 120 months through December 31, 2006.

Covered Compensation is an average of the SSWB in effect during a NEO's career. The SSWB is the maximum monthly amount of income on which FICA taxes are due. The years included in the average are the 35 years ending in the year the NEO is eligible for an unreduced social security benefit. This portion of the benefit formula accounts for the fact that social security does not cover earnings over a certain level.

Benefit Service is a NEO's service as a salaried employee with the Corporation plus any service with a predecessor plan as appropriate. Participants are 100% vested in their benefit at the time they are credited with five or more years of service with the Corporation.

Normal retirement age under the plan is 65 with a minimum of five years of benefit service, but a participant may elect to receive payment upon termination or at any time after reaching age 55. Benefits paid before age 65 are subject to reduction based on the age and service at termination. Participants who terminate employment after age 55 with at least ten years of vesting service will receive a reduction of benefit equal to 4% for each year that benefit commencement age precedes age 65 but is greater than age 60, and a 6% reduction for each year that benefit commencement age precedes age 60. Benefits for participants not meeting these requirements are reduced for payment prior to age 65 on an actuarial equivalent basis.

Terms for U.S.-Accrued Benefits Beginning January 1, 2007

The monthly annuity, which is the equivalent of a lump sum benefit payable at age 65, is based on a percentage of the participant's base pay each year as follows:

If, at the beginning of the year, benefit service is:

Annual lump sum benefit accrued and payable at age 65

0 to 9 full years of benefit service	11.5% of base pay + 5% of base pay over 50% of SSWB $^{(1)}$
10 to 19 full years of benefit service	13.0% of base pay + 5% of base pay over 50% of SSWB $^{(1)}$
20 or more full years of benefit service	15.0% of base pay + 5% of base pay over 50% of SSWB $^{(1)}$

(1) SSWB is the maximum earnings on which the participant pays FICA tax each year. This portion of the pension formula accounts for the fact that social security does not cover earnings over a certain level.

Base pay is the NEO's base salary during the calendar year excluding incentive compensation, severance pay or vacation payouts.

Upon termination or retirement, the vested pension benefit accrued beginning January 1, 2007, may be paid to the participant in either a lump sum or an annuity. If the benefit is paid prior to age 65, the benefit will be reduced 5% compounded annually for each year the payment is made

before such age.

Table of Contents

Terms for U.S. SERP Accrued Benefits

Since the SERP mirrors the U.S. qualified pension plan, the formulas for deriving the SERP accrued benefits are the same as those described for the pension plans; however, the amount of retirement benefit the participants receive is equal to the difference between the benefit calculated without IRS limits and the benefit calculated with IRS limits. Effective January 1, 2007, the SERP was amended by the Committee to provide participants with benefits accrued as of December 31, 2006, a one-time option to elect the form of payment under which the participant will receive benefits in the future. The payment options available consist of various annuities and a lump sum. For all SERP benefits accrued beginning January 1, 2007, participants will receive benefits only in the form of a lump sum. In accordance with Code Section 409A, payments from the SERP will commence six months after termination of employment. The SERP was also amended to provide that when determining lump sum payments, the SERP would use the same assumptions that exist in the salaried retirement plans except that the interest rate used shall be equal to four-fifths of the interest rate used to determine lump sum benefits under those salaried retirement plans in recognition that payments from the SERP cannot be rolled into a tax-deferred account such as an IRA.

Terms for Swiss Pension Plan (PersonalVorsorgestiftung)

The Corporation offers a defined contribution pension plan to employees of the Ball Beverage Packaging regional office in Zurich, Switzerland. The Corporation's contribution to the Swiss plan is a fixed amount determined according to the participant's age and based on a percentage of the participant's base pay each year as follows:

If the participant is between: The Corporation Contributes: 25 and 34 years of age 7.0% of pensionable salary 35 and 44 years of age 9.0% of pensionable salary 45 and 54 years of age 11.0% of pensionable salary 55 and 65 years of age 13.0% of pensionable salary

Pensionable salary is defined as annual base salary excluding irregular payments, such as bonus or any kind of allowance, up to a maximum of 846,000 Swiss francs.

Participants may also make personal contributions to the plan by selecting one of the following options:

If the Participant Is Between:	Option 1 (Standard) the Participant Contributes:	Option 2 (Medium) the Participant Contributes:	Option 3 (High) the Participant Contributes:
25 and 34 years of age	5.0% of pensionable salary	6.0% of pensionable salary	7.0% of pensionable salary
35 and 44 years of age	6.0% of pensionable salary	7.5% of pensionable salary	9.0% of pensionable salary
45 and 54 years of age	7.0% of pensionable salary	9.0% of pensionable salary	11.0% of pensionable salary
55 and 65 years of age	8.0% of pensionable salary	10.5% of pensionable salary	13.0% of pensionable salary

In general, the participants withdraw their total accrued retirement savings when leaving service and must transfer this to the new employer's pension plan. Upon retirement, benefits may be paid to the participant in either a lump sum or an annuity. The annuity is calculated by multiplying the savings by the conversion rate valid at that time. Normal retirement age is 64 for women/65 for men; however, early retirement is possible from age 58. If the benefit is paid prior to normal retirement age, the benefit will be reduced due to the missing contribution years, as well as the longer period over which the pension is drawn and will result in the conversion rate being lowered.

Table of Contents

Present Value Assumptions

The Present Value of Accumulated Benefit reported in the Pension Benefits table is based on the following assumptions, which are consistent with those used for the Corporation's Consolidated Financial Statements on Ball Corporation's Form 10-K for fiscal year ending December 31, 2016:

Discount Rate at December 31, 2016 3.87% for U.S. accounting assumptions

Mortality RP-2000 Mortality Table projected generationally from 2004

Preretirement Decrements None

Qualified Form of Pension Payment Life Only Annuity 40% and Lump Sum 60%

Pension Benefits Table

NEO	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service	 Present Value of eccumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)		
John A. Hayes	U.S. Qualified	17.88	\$ 413,605	\$		
•	U.S. SERP	17.88	\$ 760,073	\$		
Scott C. Morrison	U.S. Qualified	16.26	\$ 424,099	\$		
	U.S. SERP	16.26	\$ 272,890	\$		
Erik C. M. Bouts	Swiss PersonalVorsorgestiftung	1.92	\$ 304,711	\$		
Charles E. Baker	U.S. Qualified	23.46	\$ 726,562	\$		
	U.S. SERP	23.46	\$ 271,772	\$		
Lisa A. Pauley	U.S. Qualified	29.00	\$ 728,227	\$		
·	U.S. SERP	29.00	\$ 125,624	\$		

OTHER POTENTIAL POST-TERMINATION EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

This section provides information related to the potential post-termination employment benefits that could be payable or due to the CEO and other NEOs under various termination scenarios. Such potential benefits payable or due may result from the Corporation's obligation to the executive under (1) any existing compensation and benefit plan, policy, practice or program of the Corporation that is generally available to all participants, or (2) under any agreement specifically entered into by the Corporation and the executive.

In general, the compensation and benefit elements provided to employees, including the CEO and other NEOs, are governed by provisions, terms or procedures of plan documents, policies and practices that define the rights of and the obligations due to the participant in the case of termination of employment. These provisions, terms or procedures apply to all employees, including the CEO and other NEOs receiving such compensation or benefit. Such compensation and benefit elements would include annual incentive compensation, long-term cash incentives, long-term equity incentives, retirement benefits and deferred compensation.

The Corporation has entered into certain severance benefit and change-in-control agreements with the CEO and other NEOs which contain provisions that require the Corporation to provide post-termination payments or benefits to each executive in the event of termination of employment without cause or termination following a change in control of the Corporation. The respective agreements with the U.S.-based NEOs contain customary noncompete provisions, non-solicitation provisions, non-disparagement provisions and confidentiality covenants, and were amended and restated in 2008 to conform to Code Section 409A. The Corporation does not have employment agreements with any of the NEOs, except for Mr. Bouts, as noted previously. The key provisions, terms or procedures that would apply to the CEO and other NEOs for the various compensation and benefit elements under various termination scenarios are summarized below. It is followed by another table containing an estimate of the compensation payable or the value of compensation elements due to the CEO and other NEOs under the various

termination scenarios assuming termination was effective at the end of the fiscal year 2016.

Post-Termination Employment Benefits Summary

Component	Voluntary or Termination Component for Cause		Disability	Termination Without Cause	Termination Following a Change in Control		
Cash Severance	No additional benefits received.	No additional benefits received.	No additional benefits received.	CEO 2 times base salary plus target annual incentive.	All NEOs 2 times base salary plus target annual		
				All Other NEOs 1.25 to 1.5 times base salary plus target annual incentive.	incentive, which is paid in a lump sum.		
				Form of payment is a lump sum to all NEOs.			
Treatment of Annual Incentives	If termination occurs mid-performance cycle, other than for cause, NEOs with combined age and service years of 70 or above (minimum age of 55) receive a prorated portion of the award at the end of the performance cycle contingent on meeting the performance goal. If termination is for cause, then any payment is forfeited.	If death occurs mid-performance cycle, NEOs' beneficiaries receive a prorated portion of the award at the end of the performance cycle contingent on meeting the performance goal.	If disability occurs mid-performance cycle, NEOs receive a prorated portion of the award at the end of the performance cycle contingent on meeting the performance goal.	If terminated mid-performance cycle, NEOs receive a prorated portion of the award at the end of the performance cycle contingent on meeting the performance goal.	If terminated mid-performance cycle, NEOs receive a prorated portion of the target award.		
Treatment of Long-Term Cash Incentives	If termination occurs mid-performance cycle, NEOs age 55 or above receive a prorated portion of the award at the end of the performance cycle contingent on meeting the performance goal.	If death occurs mid-performance cycle, NEOs' beneficiaries receive a prorated portion of the award at the end of the performance cycle contingent on meeting the performance goal.	If disability occurs mid-performance cycle, NEOs receive a prorated portion of the award at the end of the performance cycle contingent on meeting the performance goal.	If terminated mid-performance cycle, NEOs age 55 or above receive a prorated portion of the award at the end of the performance cycle contingent on meeting the performance goal.	NEOs receive a lump sum payment based on the performance at the end of the calendar year immediately preceding the change in control.		

Treatment of Restricted Stock Units All unvested stock/units are forfeited.

All unvested stock/units vest.

All unvested stock/units vest.

All unvested stock/units are forfeited, except for one-time RSU award granted at hire to Mr. Bouts which continues to vest

post-termination.

All unvested stock/units vest.

Component	Voluntary or Termination for Cause	Death	Disability	Termination Without Cause	Termination Following a Change in Control
Treatment of Performance-Contingent RSUs	For U.Sbased NEOs age 55 or above with 15 years of service or age 60 or above with 10 years of service and who have signed a noncompetition agreement, unvested units will vest on the vest date if the performance measure is achieved. For all other NEOs, the unvested units are forfeited.	All unvested units vest at the end of the performance cycle, if the performance measure is achieved.	All unvested units vest at the end of the performance cycle, if the performance measure is achieved.	For U.Sbased NEOs age 55 or above with 15 years of service or age 60 or above with 10 years of service and who have signed a noncompetition agreement, unvested units will vest on the vest date if the performance measure is achieved. For all other NEOs, the unvested units are forfeited.	All unvested units vest.
Treatment of Stock Options/SARs	For U.Sbased NEOs age 55 or above with 15 years of service or age 60 or above with 10 years of service and who have signed a noncompetition agreement, unvested options/SARs will continue to vest under the normal schedule and options/SARs will remain exercisable for a maximum of 5 years (ISO tax treatment is only available for 90 days). For all other U.Sbased NEOs under age 55, unvested options/SARs are forfeited and vested options/SARs remain exercisable for a	All options/SARs vest.	Options/SARs continue to vest pursuant to the original vesting schedule.	For U.Sbased NEOs age 55 or above with 15 years of service or age 60 or above with 10 years of service and who have signed a noncompetition agreement, unvested options/SARs will continue to vest under the normal schedule and options/SARs will remain exercisable for a maximum of 5 years (ISO tax treatment is only available for 90 days). For all other U.Sbased NEOs under age 55, unvested options/SARs are forfeited and vested options/SARs remain exercisable for a	All options/SARs vest and in lieu of common stock issuable upon exercise, the NEOs are paid a lump sum amount equal to the number of outstanding shares underlying the options/SARs times the excess of the closing stock price on the date of termination over the exercise price.

maximum of 30 days. For non-U.S.-based NEOs, unvested options/SARs are forfeited and vested

options/SARs will

remain

exercisable for a maximum of 90 days.

maximum of 30 days. For non-U.S.-based NEOs, unvested options/SARs are forfeited and vested

options/SARs will

remain

exercisable for a maximum of 90 days.

Table of Contents

Component	Voluntary or Termination for Cause	Death	Disability	Termination Without Cause	Termination Following a Change in Control
Treatment of Deposit Shares	NEOs with combined age and service years of 70 or above (minimum age of 55) receive a prorated portion of unvested stock/units, unless terminated for cause. If termination is for cause, then any award is forfeited.	All unvested stock/ units vest.	All unvested stock/ units vest.	NEOs with combined age and service years of 70 or above (minimum age of 55) receive a prorated portion of unvested stock/units.	All unvested stock/ units vest.
Retirement Benefits	No additional benefits received.	No additional benefits received.	No additional benefits received.	CEO Paid a lump sum amount equal to an additional 2 years of service credited.	All U.Sbased NEOs Paid a lump sum amount equal to an additional 2 years of service
				All Other U.Sbased NEOs Paid a lump sum amount equal to an additional 1.25 to 1.5 years of service credited.	credited.
Health and Welfare Benefits	No additional benefits received.	No additional benefits received.	Continued for period of disability.	CEO Continued for 2 years.	All NEOs Continued for
				All Other NEOs Continued for 1.25 to 1.5 years.	2 years.
Other Benefits	For all U.Sbased NEOs, financial planning services valued at \$10,000 per year for 2 years.	No additional benefits received.	For all U.Sbased NEOs, long-term disability payment of up to \$15,000 per month.	For all U.Sbased NEOs, outplacement benefits valued at \$20,000 and financial planning services valued at \$10,000 per year for 2 years.	For all U.Sbased NEOs, outplacement benefits valued at \$20,000 and payment for excise taxes incurred as a result of Code Section 280G excess payments, if applicable.

A termination without cause will be triggered if the NEO is terminated in either an Actual Termination not for cause or a Constructive Termination. An Actual Termination is any termination by the Corporation for reasons other than death or disability or for cause or by the executive for reasons other than Constructive Termination. A Constructive Termination means, in general terms, any significant reduction in

duties, compensation or benefits or change of office location from those in effect immediately prior to the change in control, unless agreed to by the executive.

Payments associated with a termination following a change in control will be triggered if both of the following two events occur:

- 1. A change in control occurs. A "change in control" can occur by virtue, in general terms, of an acquisition by any person of 30% or more of the Corporation's voting shares, a merger in which the shareholders of the Corporation before the merger own 50% or less of the Corporation's voting shares after the merger, shareholder approval of a plan of liquidation or a plan to sell or dispose of substantially all of the assets of the Corporation, and if, during any two-year period, directors at the beginning of the period fail to constitute a majority of the Board.
- 2. The executive is terminated in either an Actual Termination or a Constructive Termination not for cause.

With respect to change-in-control agreements executed prior to 2010, in the event benefits are paid because of a change in control and such benefits are subject to Code Section 280G, the Corporation would reimburse the executive for such excise taxes paid, together with taxes incurred as a result of such reimbursement. Beginning in 2010, all newly executed change-in-control agreements do not include excise tax reimbursement.

Table of Contents

The table below represents the amounts potentially payable to the NEOs under various termination scenarios. The values assume termination on December 31, 2016, with stock awards and unexercisable stock options benefit values based on the Corporation's December 31, 2016, stock price of \$75.07 and PC-RSUs using a payout at target.

Estimated Post-Termination Employment Benefits Table

NEO		Vo	oluntary	Death	Disability	Without Cause	For Cause	Change in Control
John A. Hayes	Cash Severance Long-Term	\$	9	8	\$	\$ 5,573,768 \$		\$ 5,573,768
	Cash Incentive			2,115,476	2,115,476			2,115,476
	Outstanding Stock Awards Outstanding Performance			900,840	900,840			900,840
	Awards Unexercisable			8,077,907	8,077,907			8,077,907
	Stock Options Retirement			5,794,600	5,794,600			5,794,600
	Benefits Health &					267,259		267,259
	Welfare Perquisites		20,000			39,963 40,000		44,391 20,000
	Total	\$	20,000 \$	5 16,888,823	\$ 16,888,823	\$ 5,920,990 \$		\$ 22,794,241
Scott C. Morrison	Cash							
Scott C. Morrison	Severance Long-Term	\$	9	5	\$	\$ 1,800,166 \$		\$ 2,400,221
	Cash Incentive Outstanding			484,159	484,159			484,159
	Stock Awards Outstanding Performance			1,126,425	1,126,425			1,126,425
	Awards Unexercisable			1,878,101	1,878,101			1,878,101
	Stock Options Retirement			1,350,380	1,350,380			1,350,380
	Benefits Health &					117,313		163,838
	Welfare Perquisites		20,000			30,878 40,000		46,581 20,000

	Total	\$ 20,000 \$	4,839,065	\$ 4,839,065 \$	1,988,357	\$ \$	7,469,705
Erik C. M. Bouts	Cash Severance Long-Term	\$ \$		\$ \$	1,825,014	\$ \$	2,433,352
	Cash Incentive Outstanding Stock Awards		378,767 1,735,994	378,767 1,735,994	985,294		378,767 1,735,994
	Outstanding Performance		1,733,994	1,733,994	983,294		1,733,994
	Awards Unexercisable		1,001,734	1,001,734			1,001,734
	Stock Options Retirement Benefits		421,349	421,349			421,349
	Health & Welfare Perquisites				5,299		7,066 20,000
	Total	\$ \$	3,537,844	\$ 3,537,844 \$	2,815,607	\$ \$	5,998,262
Charles E. Baker	Cash Severance Long-Term	\$ \$		\$ \$	1,016,545	\$ \$	1,626,473
	Cash Incentive Outstanding	242,692	242,692	242,692	242,692	242,692	242,692
	Stock Awards Outstanding Performance	55,252	600,560	600,560	55,252		600,560
	Awards Unexercisable	961,947	961,947	961,947	961,947	961,947	961,947
	Stock Options Retirement	711,995	711,995	711,995	711,995	711,995	711,995
	Benefits Health &				98,707		168,394
	Welfare Perquisites	11,000			24,506 31,000		45,633 20,000
	Total	\$ 1,982,886 \$	2,517,194	\$ 2,517,194 \$	3,142,644	\$ 1,916,634 \$	4,377,694
Lisa A. Pauley	Cash Severance Long-Term	\$ \$		\$ \$	1,184,330	\$ \$	1,579,107
	Cash Incentive	237,737 68,989	237,737 750,700	237,737 750,700	237,737 68,989	237,737	237,737 750,700

Edgar Filing: BALL Corp - Form DEF 14A

Outstanding						
Stock Awards						
Outstanding						
Performance						
Awards	916,004	916,004	916,004	916,004	916,004	916,004
Unexercisable						
Stock Options	658,552	658,552	658,552	658,552	658,552	658,552
Retirement						
Benefits				91,330		127,993
Health &						
Welfare				29,658		45,471
Perquisites	20,000			40,000		20,000
Total	\$ 1,901,282 \$	2,562,993 \$	2,562,993 \$	3,226,600 \$	1,812,293 \$	4,335,564

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The table set forth below summarizes the 2016 compensation paid to each of the Corporation's nonmanagement directors. The elements of the nonmanagement director compensation program are evaluated and determined by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, which takes into account market data provided by the Consultant. Effective January 1, 2016, the director compensation program consisted of a \$70,000 annual fixed cash retainer, a \$15,000 target annual incentive cash retainer, an annual restricted stock award valued at \$130,000, an annual committee chair cash retainer of \$10,000 for Nominating/Corporate Governance and Finance Committees, and \$15,000 for Human Resources and Audit Committees, and an annual fixed cash retainer of \$20,000 for the lead independent director. The annual incentive retainer is subject to the Corporation's performance under the same performance measures as the Annual Consolidated EVA® Incentive Compensation Plan, which is based on EVA® principles. The actual amount paid may range from \$0 to \$30,000. Additionally, all nonmanagement directors were granted award opportunities under the DSP in 2016, and newly elected directors were each awarded a one-time grant of 3,000 RSUs upon joining the Board. The elements of deferral for nonmanagement directors are detailed in the "Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation" section. The Director Compensation Table sets out the compensation earned for 2016, with any other compensation payments noted. The Corporation has established a 10,000 share stock ownership guideline for each nonmanagement director; all directors currently meet this guideline, with the exception of Mr. Heinrich and Ms. Niekamp, who joined the Board in August 2016 and are in the process of attaining shares within the required period.

Director Compensation Table

	•	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) (1)		Stock Awards (\$) (2)	Option Awards (\$)	•			Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) (4)	All Other Compensation (\$)		
ı	\$	85,000	\$	372,252	\$		\$	20,400	\$		\$	20,
	\$	70,000	\$	372,252	\$		\$	20,400	\$		\$	17,
	\$	70,000	\$	372,252	\$		\$	20,400	\$		\$	
	\$	35,000	\$	470,430	\$		\$	8,361	\$		\$	
)	\$	80,000	\$	364,932	\$		\$	20,400	\$		\$	19,
	\$	71,500	\$	372,252	\$		\$	20,400	\$		\$	17,
)	\$	35,000	\$	470,430	\$		\$	8,361	\$		\$	
	\$	70,000	\$	364,932	\$		\$	20,400	\$		\$	
	\$	100,000	\$	372,252	\$		\$	20,400	\$		\$	20,
	\$	87,250	\$	372,252	\$							

Change in