

Corporate Office Properties, L.P.
Form S-4
June 07, 2013

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 7, 2013

Registration Statement No. 333-

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM S-4

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER
THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES, L.P.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

6798
(Primary Standard Industrial
Classification Code Number)
6711 Columbia Gateway Drive
Suite 300
Columbia, Maryland 21046
(443) 285-5400

23-2930022
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

Roger A. Waesche, Jr.
President and Chief Executive Officer
Corporate Office Properties Trust
6711 Columbia Gateway Drive
Suite 300
Columbia, MD 21046
(443) 285-5400

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies to:

Justin W. Chairman, Esq.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1701 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 963-5000

Karen M. Singer, Esq.
Senior Vice President,
General Counsel and Secretary
Corporate Office Properties Trust
6711 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 300

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Columbia, MD 21046
(443) 285-5400

Approximate date of commencement of proposed exchange offer: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement is declared effective.

If the securities being registered on this form are being offered in connection with the formation of a holding company and there is compliance with General Instruction G, please check the following box.

If this form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

If applicable, place an X in the box to designate the appropriate rule provision relied upon in conducting this transaction:

Exchange Act Rule 13e-4(i) (Cross-Border Issuer Tender Offer)

Exchange Act Rule 14d-1(d) (Cross-Border Third-Party Tender Offer)

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

| Title of each class of securities to be registered | Amount to be registered | Proposed maximum offering price per unit(1) | Proposed maximum aggregate offering price | Amount of registration fee |
|--|-------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|
| 3.600% Senior Notes due 2023 | \$350,000,000 | 100% | \$350,000,000 | \$47,740 |
| Guarantees of 3.600% Senior Notes due 2023 | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |

(1) Estimated solely for purposes of calculating the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457(f).

(2) No separate consideration will be received with respect to these guarantees and, therefore, no registration fee is attributed to them.

The Registrants hereby amend this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrants shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until this registration statement shall become effective on such date as the SEC, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

TABLE OF ADDITIONAL REGISTRANT GUARANTOR

| Exact Name of Registrant Guarantor as Specified in its Charter | State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization | Primary Standard Industry Classification Code Number | I.R.S. Employer Identification Number | Address, including Zip Code and Telephone Number, including Area Code, of Registrant's Principal Executive Offices |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Corporate Office Properties Trust

Maryland

6798

23-2947217

6711 Columbia
Gateway
Drive, Suite 300
Columbia, Maryland
21046
(443) 285-5400

Table of Contents

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED JUNE 7, 2013

PROSPECTUS

CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES, L.P.

OFFER TO EXCHANGE

**\$350,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its
3.600% Senior Notes due 2023
which have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended,
for any and all of its outstanding 3.600% Senior Notes due 2023**

Guaranteed by Corporate Office Properties Trust

The exchange offer expires at 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on _____, 2013, unless extended.

We will exchange all outstanding private notes that are validly tendered and not validly withdrawn for an equal principal amount of a new series of notes which are registered under the Securities Act.

The exchange offer is not subject to any conditions other than that it not violate applicable law or any applicable interpretation of the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

You may withdraw tenders of outstanding private notes at any time before the exchange offer expires.

The exchange of notes will not be a taxable event for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

We will not receive any proceeds from the exchange offer.

The terms of the new series of notes are substantially identical to the outstanding private notes, except for transfer restrictions and registration rights relating to the outstanding private notes.

The outstanding private notes are, and the new series of notes will be, fully and unconditionally guaranteed by Corporate Office Properties Trust, a Maryland real estate investment trust, our sole general partner, which has no material assets other than its investment in us.

You may tender outstanding private notes only in denominations of \$1,000 and integral multiples thereof.

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Our affiliates may not participate in the exchange offer.

No public market exists for the outstanding private notes. We do not intend to list the exchange notes on any securities exchange and, therefore, no active public market is anticipated for the exchange notes.

Each broker-dealer that receives exchange notes for its own account pursuant to the exchange offer must acknowledge that it will deliver a prospectus in connection with any resale of such exchange notes. The letter of transmittal delivered with this prospectus states that by so acknowledging and by delivering a prospectus, a broker-dealer will not be deemed to admit that it is an "underwriter" within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. This prospectus, as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time, may be used by a broker-dealer in connection with resales of exchange notes received in exchange for outstanding private notes where such outstanding private notes were acquired by such broker-dealer as a result of market-making activities or other trading activities.

You should carefully read and consider the risk factors beginning on page 16 of this prospectus, and other information that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, before you invest in the securities described in this prospectus.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is _____, 2013.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|------------|
| <u>PROSPECTUS SUMMARY</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>RISK FACTORS</u> | <u>16</u> |
| <u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u> | <u>32</u> |
| <u>THE EXCHANGE OFFER</u> | <u>33</u> |
| <u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u> | <u>43</u> |
| <u>SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA</u> | <u>44</u> |
| <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u> | <u>50</u> |
| <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u> | <u>82</u> |
| <u>BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES</u> | <u>83</u> |
| <u>DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u> | <u>92</u> |
| <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | <u>96</u> |
| <u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u> | <u>123</u> |
| <u>DESCRIPTION OF NOTES</u> | <u>124</u> |
| <u>DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT OF CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES, L.P.</u> | <u>142</u> |
| <u>CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF MARYLAND LAW AND THE COMPANY'S CHARTER AND BYLAWS</u> | <u>145</u> |
| <u>U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES</u> | <u>149</u> |
| <u>PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION</u> | <u>155</u> |
| <u>LEGAL MATTERS</u> | <u>155</u> |
| <u>EXPERTS</u> | <u>155</u> |
| <u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u> | <u>156</u> |
| <u>DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE</u> | <u>156</u> |
| <u>INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | <u>F-1</u> |

You should rely only on the information contained in or incorporated by reference in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. You should assume that the information contained in this prospectus, as well as information that COPT has previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and incorporated by reference, is accurate only as of the date of the applicable document. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since those dates.

This prospectus incorporates important business and financial information about us that is not included in or delivered with this prospectus, and such information is available without charge to holders of the notes upon written or oral request to Investor Relations, Corporate Office Properties Trust, 6711 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 300, Columbia, Maryland 21046 (telephone: (443) 285-5400). To obtain timely delivery, note holders must request the information no later than five business days prior to the expiration of the exchange offer contemplated by this prospectus, or , 2013.

Each broker-dealer that receives exchange notes for its own account pursuant to the exchange offer will acknowledge by participating in this exchange offer, as a condition to participating in this exchange offer, that it will deliver a prospectus in connection with any resale of such exchange notes. By so acknowledging and by delivering a prospectus, a broker-dealer will not be deemed to admit that it is an "underwriter" within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act. This prospectus, as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time, may be used by a broker-dealer in connection with resales of exchange notes received in exchange for outstanding private notes where such outstanding private notes were acquired by such broker-dealer as a result of market-making activities or other trading activities. We have agreed that, starting on the expiration date of the exchange offer and ending on the close of business one year after such expiration date, subject to extension in limited circumstances, we will make this prospectus available to any broker-dealer for use in connection with any such resale. See "Plan of Distribution."

Table of Contents

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights some of the information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. Because this is only a summary, it does not contain all information that may be important to you or that you should consider before participating in this exchange offer. For a more complete understanding of the exchange offer and the exchange notes, we encourage you to read this entire prospectus, including the information under the caption "Risk Factors," and the documents incorporated by reference. Corporate Office Properties, L.P. ("COPLP"), or the Operating Partnership, is a Delaware limited partnership. Corporate Office Properties Trust ("COPT"), or the Company or guarantor, is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. Unless otherwise expressly stated or the context otherwise requires, in this prospectus, "we," "us" and "our" refer collectively to COPT, COPLP and their subsidiaries, references to "Company common shares" or similar references refer to the common shares of beneficial interest, par value \$0.01 per share, of COPT and references to "common units" or similar references refer to the common units of COPLP.

Explanatory Note

This prospectus includes combined disclosure for Corporate Office Properties Trust ("COPT") and Corporate Office Properties, L.P. ("COPLP").

COPT is a real estate investment trust, or REIT, and the sole general partner of COPLP. As of March 31, 2013, COPT owned 96% of the outstanding common units and 97% of the outstanding preferred units in COPLP. The remaining common and preferred units are owned by certain trustees of COPT and certain non-affiliated investors. As the sole general partner of COPLP, COPT controls COPLP and can cause it to enter into major transactions including acquisitions, dispositions, and refinancings and cause changes in its line of business, capital structure, and distribution policies.

There are a few differences between COPT and COPLP which are reflected in the disclosure in this prospectus. We believe it is important to understand the differences between COPT and COPLP in the context of how COPT and COPLP operate as an interrelated, consolidated company. COPT is a real estate investment trust, whose only material asset is its ownership of partnership interests of COPLP. As a result, COPT does not conduct business itself, other than acting as the sole general partner of COPLP, issuing public equity from time to time and guaranteeing certain debt of COPLP. COPT itself is not directly obligated under any indebtedness, but guarantees some of the debt of COPLP, as disclosed in this prospectus. COPLP owns substantially all the assets of COPT either directly or through its subsidiaries, conducts the operations of the business and is structured as a limited partnership with no publicly traded equity. Except for net proceeds from public equity issuances by COPT, which are contributed to COPLP in exchange for partnership units, COPLP generates the capital required by COPT's business through COPLP's operations, by COPLP's direct or indirect incurrence of indebtedness or through the issuance of partnership units.

Noncontrolling interests and shareholders' equity and partners' capital are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of COPT and those of COPLP. The common limited partnership interests in COPLP not owned by COPT are accounted for as partners' capital in COPLP's financial statements and as noncontrolling interests in COPT's financial statements. COPLP's financial statements also reflect COPT's noncontrolling interests in certain real estate partnerships, limited liability companies ("LLCs"), business trusts and corporations; the differences between shareholders' equity, partners' capital and noncontrolling interests result from the differences in the equity issued at COPT and the COPLP levels and in COPT's noncontrolling interests in these real estate partnerships, LLCs, business trusts and corporations. The only other significant differences between the consolidated financial statements of COPT and those of COPLP are assets in connection with a non-qualified elective deferred compensation plan (comprised primarily of mutual funds and equity securities) and the corresponding liability to the plan's participants that are held directly by COPT.

Table of Contents

OUR COMPANY

General. COPT is an office real estate investment trust ("REIT") that focuses primarily on serving the specialized requirements of United States Government agencies and defense contractors, most of whom are engaged in defense information technology and national security related activities. COPT generally acquires, develops, manages and leases office and data center properties concentrated in large office parks located near knowledge-based government demand drivers and/or in targeted markets or submarkets in the Greater Washington, DC/Baltimore region. As of March 31, 2013, investments in real estate included the following:

210 operating office properties totaling 19.1 million square feet;

ten office properties under construction or redevelopment, or for which we were contractually committed to construct, that we estimate will total approximately 1.3 million square feet upon completion, including one partially operational property included above;

land held or under pre-construction totaling 1,703 acres (including 561 controlled but not owned) that we believe is potentially developable into approximately 19.7 million square feet; and

a partially operational, wholesale data center which upon completion and stabilization is expected to have a critical load of 18 megawatts.

COPT conducts almost all of its operations through COPLP, a Delaware limited partnership, of which it is the sole general partner. COPLP owns real estate both directly and through subsidiary partnerships, corporations, business trusts and limited liability companies. COPLP also owns subsidiaries that provide real estate services such as property management, construction and development services primarily for our properties but also for third parties.

Interests in COPLP are in the form of common and preferred units. As of March 31, 2013, COPT owned 96% of the outstanding common units and 97% of the outstanding preferred units in COPLP. The remaining common and preferred units in COPLP were owned by third parties, which included certain of COPT's Trustees.

We believe that COPT is organized and has operated in a manner that permits it to satisfy the requirements for taxation as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and we intend to continue to operate COPT in such a manner. If COPT qualifies for taxation as a REIT, it generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on its taxable income that is distributed to its shareholders. A REIT is subject to a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it distribute to its shareholders at least 90% of its annual taxable income (excluding net capital gains).

Our executive offices are located at 6711 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 300, Columbia, Maryland 21046 and our telephone number is (443) 285-5400.

Table of Contents

THE EXCHANGE OFFER

| | |
|---|---|
| The exchange offer | We are offering to exchange the 3.600% Senior Notes due 2023 offered by this prospectus, referred to as the exchange notes, for the outstanding 3.600% Senior Notes due 2023, referred to as the private notes, that are properly tendered and accepted. You may tender outstanding private notes only in denominations of \$1,000 and integral multiples thereof. We will issue the exchange notes on or promptly after the exchange offer expires. As of the date of this prospectus, \$350,000,000 aggregate principal amount of private notes is outstanding. |
| Expiration date | The exchange offer will expire at 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on _____, 2013 (the 21st business day following commencement of the exchange offer), unless extended, in which case the expiration date will mean the latest date and time to which we extend the exchange offer. |
| Conditions to the exchange offer | <p>The exchange offer is not subject to any condition other than that it not violate applicable law or any applicable interpretation of the staff of the SEC. The exchange offer is not conditioned upon any minimum principal amount of private notes being tendered for exchange.</p> <p>We intend to conduct the exchange offer in accordance with the provisions of the registration rights agreement with respect to the private notes and the applicable requirements of the Securities Act, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, and the rules and regulations of the SEC.</p> |
| Procedures for tendering private notes | If you wish to tender your private notes for the exchange notes pursuant to the exchange offer, you must complete and sign a letter of transmittal in accordance with the instructions contained in the letter and forward it by mail, facsimile or hand delivery, together with any other documents required by the letter of transmittal, to the exchange agent (as defined below), either with the private notes to be tendered or in compliance with the specified procedures for guaranteed delivery of notes. Certain brokers, dealers, commercial banks, trust companies and other nominees may also effect tenders by book-entry transfer. Holders of private notes registered in the name of a broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee are urged to contact such person promptly if they wish to tender private notes pursuant to the exchange offer. See "The Exchange Offer Procedures for Tendering." |

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|--|
| | <p>Letters of transmittal and certificates representing private notes should not be sent to us. Such documents should only be sent to the exchange agent. Questions regarding how to tender private notes and requests for information should be directed to the exchange agent. See "The Exchange Offer Exchange Agent." You do not have any appraisal or dissenters' rights under the indenture in connection with the exchange offer.</p> |
| Acceptance of the private notes and delivery of the exchange notes | <p>Subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions to the exchange offer, we will accept for exchange any and all private notes which are validly tendered in the exchange offer and not withdrawn before 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date.</p> |
| Withdrawal rights | <p>You may withdraw the tender of your private notes at any time before 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date, by complying with the procedures for withdrawal described in this prospectus under the heading "The Exchange Offer Withdrawal of Tenders."</p> |
| U.S. federal tax consequences | <p>The exchange of notes will not be a taxable event for U.S. federal income tax purposes. For a discussion of material federal tax considerations relating to the exchange of notes, see "U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences."</p> |
| Exchange agent | <p>U.S. Bank National Association, the registrar and paying agent for the notes under the indenture governing the notes, is serving as the exchange agent for the notes.</p> |
| Consequences of failure to exchange | <p>If you do not exchange your private notes for the exchange notes, you will continue to be subject to the restrictions on transfer provided in the private notes and in the indenture governing the private notes. In general, the private notes may not be offered or sold, unless registered under the Securities Act, except pursuant to an exemption from, or in a transaction not subject to, the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws. We do not currently plan to register the resale of the private notes under the Securities Act.</p> |
| Registration rights agreement | <p>You are entitled to exchange your private notes for the exchange notes with substantially identical terms. This exchange offer satisfies this right. After the exchange offer is completed, you will no longer be entitled to any exchange or registration rights with respect to your private notes.</p> |

We explain the exchange offer in greater detail beginning on page 33.

Table of Contents

THE EXCHANGE NOTES

The following summary contains basic information about the exchange notes and is not intended to be complete. It does not contain all the information that may be important to you. For a more complete understanding of the exchange notes, please refer to the section entitled "Description of Notes."

The form and terms of the exchange notes are the same as the form and terms of the private notes, except that the exchange notes will be registered under the Securities Act and, therefore, the exchange notes will not be subject to the transfer restrictions, registration rights and provisions providing for an increase in the interest rate applicable to the private notes. The exchange notes will evidence the same debt as the private notes, and both the private notes and the exchange notes are governed by the same indenture.

The following summary of the offering is provided solely for your convenience. This summary is not intended to be complete. You should read the full text and more specific details contained elsewhere in this prospectus. For a more detailed description of the notes, see "Description of Notes."

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Issuer of notes | Corporate Office Properties, L.P. |
| Guarantor | Corporate Office Properties Trust |
| Notes offered | \$350,000,000 aggregate principal amount. |
| Ranking of notes | <p>The notes will be our general unsecured and unsubordinated obligations and will:</p> <p>rank equally in right of payment with all of COPLP's existing and future senior unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness;</p> <p>be effectively subordinated in right of payment to all of COPLP's existing and future secured indebtedness (to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such indebtedness); and</p> <p>be effectively subordinated in right of payment to all existing and future liabilities and other indebtedness, whether secured or unsecured, of our subsidiaries.</p> <p>As of March 31, 2013, we had approximately \$1.01 billion of secured indebtedness and \$951.7 million of unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness outstanding on a consolidated basis. Of such indebtedness, all of the secured indebtedness and none of the unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness was attributable to our subsidiaries.</p> |
| Guarantee | The notes will be fully and unconditionally guaranteed by COPT. The guarantee will be an unsecured and unsubordinated obligation of COPT and will rank equally in right of payment with other unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of COPT. |
| Interest | The notes will bear interest at a rate of 3.600% per year. Interest will be payable semi-annually in arrears on May 15 and November 15 of each year, beginning November 15, 2013. |
| Maturity | The notes will mature on May 15, 2023 unless previously redeemed by COPLP at its option prior to such date. |

Table of Contents

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| COPLP's redemption rights | COPLP may redeem the notes at its option and in its sole discretion, at any time in whole or from time to time in part, at the applicable redemption price specified herein. If the notes are redeemed on or after 90 days prior to the maturity date, the redemption price will be equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes being redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to, but not including, the redemption date. See "Description of Notes COPLP's Redemption Rights." |
| Sinking fund | None. |
| Certain covenants | <p>The indenture governing the notes contains certain covenants that, among other things, limit COPLP, its guarantor's and its subsidiaries' ability to:</p> <p>consummate a merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of their assets; and</p> <p>incur secured and unsecured indebtedness.</p> <p>These covenants are subject to a number of important exceptions and qualifications. See "Description of Notes."</p> |
| Use of Proceeds | The exchange offer satisfies an obligation under the registration rights agreement. We will not receive any cash proceeds from the exchange offer. The net proceeds from the sale of the private notes after deducting discounts and offering expenses, were approximately \$346.1 million. COPLP used the net proceeds from the sale of the private notes to repay borrowing under its unsecured revolving credit facility and for general corporate purposes, including partial repayment of certain of our unsecured term loans. |
| Trading | The notes are a new issue of securities with no established trading market. COPLP does not intend to apply for listing of the notes on any securities exchange or for quotation of the notes on any automated dealer quotation system. |
| Book-entry form | The notes will be issued in the form of one or more fully-registered global notes in book-entry form, which will be deposited with, or on behalf of, The Depository Trust Company, commonly known as DTC, in New York, New York. Beneficial interests in the global certificate representing the notes will be shown on, and transfers will be effected only through, records maintained by DTC and its direct and indirect participants and such interests may not be exchanged for certificated notes, except in limited circumstances. |

Table of Contents

Additional notes

COPLP may, without the consent of holders of the notes, increase the principal amount of the notes by issuing additional notes in the future on the same terms and conditions, except for any difference in the issue price, issue date, interest accrued prior to the issue date of the additional notes, and, if applicable, the first interest payment date, and with the same CUSIP number as the notes offered hereby so long as such additional notes are fungible for U.S. federal income tax purposes with the notes offered hereby.

Risk factors

See "Risk Factors" included in this prospectus and in COPT's most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K, as updated by its subsequent filings under the Exchange Act, as well as other information included in this prospectus, for a discussion of factors you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in the notes.

Trustee and paying agent

U.S. Bank National Association is the trustee and paying agent under the indenture relating to the notes.

Governing law

The indenture, the notes and the guarantees endorsed on the notes will be governed by the laws of the State of New York.

Table of Contents

SUMMARY HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables set forth summary historical consolidated financial and operating data for COPLP and COPT and their respective subsidiaries. You should read the following summary historical financial data in conjunction with the consolidated historical financial statements and notes thereto of COPLP and its subsidiaries, included elsewhere in this prospectus, and COPT and its subsidiaries, incorporated by reference into this prospectus, and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Corporate Office Properties, L.P.

The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of COPLP audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, whose report with respect thereto is included elsewhere in this prospectus. The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the consolidated statement of operations data for each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 have been derived from the unaudited historical consolidated financial statements of COPLP, not included in this prospectus. The consolidated balance sheet data as of March 31, 2013 and the consolidated statement of operations data for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 have been derived from the unaudited historical consolidated financial statements of COPLP, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus and include all adjustments of a normal and recurring nature that management considers necessary for a fair presentation of such information. COPLP's consolidated results of operations and financial condition as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2013 do not purport to be indicative of its financial condition or results of operations as of or for the year ending December 31, 2013.

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

Corporate Office Properties, L.P. and Subsidiaries
(in thousands, except per unit data and number of properties)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Revenues from real estate operations | \$ 116,735 | \$ 110,661 | \$ 454,171 | \$ 428,496 | \$ 387,559 | \$ 349,463 | \$ 326,223 |
| Construction contract and other service revenues | 14,262 | 21,534 | 73,836 | 84,345 | 104,675 | 343,087 | 188,385 |
| Total revenues | 130,997 | 132,195 | 528,007 | 512,841 | 492,234 | 692,550 | 514,608 |
| Expenses | | | | | | | |
| Property operating expenses | 42,575 | 41,253 | 167,161 | 162,397 | 146,617 | 123,769 | 109,967 |
| Depreciation and amortization associated with real estate operations | 28,252 | 27,834 | 113,480 | 113,111 | 97,897 | 81,446 | 75,264 |
| Construction contract and other service expenses | 13,477 | 20,607 | 70,576 | 81,639 | 102,302 | 336,519 | 184,142 |
| Impairment losses | 1,857 | (4,836) | 43,214 | 83,478 | | | |
| General, administrative and leasing expenses | 7,820 | 9,569 | 31,900 | 30,308 | 28,477 | 27,853 | 28,707 |
| Business development expenses and land carry costs | 1,359 | 1,576 | 5,711 | 6,122 | 6,403 | 5,259 | 2,206 |
| Total operating expenses | 95,340 | 96,003 | 432,042 | 477,055 | 381,696 | 574,846 | 400,286 |
| Operating income | 35,657 | 36,192 | 95,965 | 35,786 | 110,538 | 117,704 | 114,322 |
| Interest expense | (22,307) | (24,431) | (94,624) | (98,222) | (95,729) | (76,718) | (79,542) |
| Interest and other income | 946 | 1,217 | 7,172 | 5,603 | 9,568 | 5,164 | 2,070 |
| (Loss) gain on early extinguishment of debt | (5,184) | | (943) | (1,639) | | | 8,101 |
| Loss on interest rate derivatives | | | | (29,805) | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before equity in (loss) income of unconsolidated entities and income taxes | 9,112 | 12,978 | 7,570 | (88,277) | 24,377 | 46,150 | 44,951 |
| Equity in (loss) income of unconsolidated entities | 41 | (89) | (546) | (331) | 1,376 | (941) | (147) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (16) | (204) | (381) | 6,710 | (108) | (196) | (201) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 9,137 | 12,685 | 6,643 | (81,898) | 25,645 | 45,013 | 44,603 |
| Discontinued operations(1) | 3,786 | (2,450) | 13,677 | (48,404) | 17,054 | 16,310 | 15,655 |
| Income (loss) before gain on sales of real estate | 12,923 | 10,235 | 20,320 | (130,302) | 42,699 | 61,323 | 60,258 |
| Gain on sales of real estate, net of income taxes(2) | 2,354 | | 21 | 2,732 | 2,829 | | 1,090 |
| Net income (loss) | 15,277 | 10,235 | 20,341 | (127,570) | 45,528 | 61,323 | 61,348 |
| Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 336 | 570 | 507 | 244 | (61) | 66 | (353) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to COPLP | 15,613 | 10,805 | 20,848 | (127,326) | 45,467 | 61,389 | 60,995 |
| Preferred unit distributions | (6,271) | (4,190) | (21,504) | (16,762) | (16,762) | (16,762) | (16,762) |
| Issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred units(3) | | | (1,827) | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to COPLP common unitholders | \$ 9,342 | \$ 6,615 | \$ (2,483) | \$ (144,088) | \$ 28,705 | \$ 44,627 | \$ 44,233 |
| Basic earnings per common unit(4) | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.12 | \$ (0.21) | \$ (1.33) | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.46 | \$ 0.51 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.09 | \$ (0.04) | \$ (2.00) | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.73 | \$ 0.80 |
| Diluted earnings per common unit(4) | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.12 | \$ (0.21) | \$ (1.33) | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.46 | \$ 0.51 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.09 | \$ (0.04) | \$ (2.00) | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.72 | \$ 0.79 |
| Weighted average common units outstanding basic | 85,290 | 75,739 | 77,689 | 72,564 | 62,553 | 59,981 | 54,573 |
| Weighted average common units outstanding diluted | 85,342 | 75,783 | 77,689 | 72,564 | 62,886 | 60,458 | 55,261 |

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Balance Sheet Data (as of period end): | | | | | | | |
| Total properties, net | \$ 3,189,973 | \$ 3,338,291 | \$ 3,163,044 | \$ 3,352,975 | \$ 3,445,455 | \$ 3,029,900 | \$ 2,778,466 |
| Total assets | \$ 3,678,041 | \$ 3,790,595 | \$ 3,646,983 | \$ 3,855,967 | \$ 3,836,329 | \$ 3,373,337 | \$ 3,109,690 |
| Debt | \$ 1,957,360 | \$ 2,418,078 | \$ 2,019,168 | \$ 2,426,303 | \$ 2,323,681 | \$ 2,053,841 | \$ 1,856,751 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 2,127,142 | \$ 2,589,799 | \$ 2,200,186 | \$ 2,641,160 | \$ 2,512,504 | \$ 2,252,051 | \$ 2,026,650 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interest | \$ 10,356 | \$ 9,237 | \$ 10,298 | \$ 8,908 | \$ 9,000 | \$ | \$ |
| Total equity | \$ 1,540,543 | \$ 1,191,559 | \$ 1,436,499 | \$ 1,205,899 | \$ 1,314,825 | \$ 1,121,286 | \$ 1,083,040 |
| Other Financial Data (for the period ended): | | | | | | | |
| Cash flows provided by (used in): | | | | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 47,311 | \$ 43,787 | \$ 191,838 | \$ 152,149 | \$ 156,460 | \$ 194,838 | \$ 182,039 |
| Investing activities | \$ (60,176) | \$ 7,791 | \$ 13,744 | \$ (260,387) | \$ (479,167) | \$ (349,076) | \$ (290,822) |
| Financing activities | \$ 25,780 | \$ (49,150) | \$ (200,547) | \$ 103,695 | \$ 324,547 | \$ 155,725 | \$ 90,920 |
| Numerator for diluted EPU(4) | \$ 9,224 | \$ 6,474 | \$ (2,952) | \$ (145,125) | \$ 27,634 | \$ 43,617 | \$ 43,505 |
| Cash distributions declared per common unit | \$ 0.275 | \$ 0.275 | \$ 1.100 | \$ 1.650 | \$ 1.610 | \$ 1.530 | \$ 1.425 |
| Property Data (as of period end): | | | | | | | |
| Number of properties owned(5) | 210 | 231 | 208 | 238 | 256 | 253 | 240 |
| Total rentable square feet owned(5) | 19,128 | 20,237 | 18,831 | 20,514 | 20,432 | 19,543 | 18,559 |

- (1) Includes income derived from three operating properties disposed in 2008, three operating properties disposed in 2010, 23 operating properties disposed in 2011, 35 operating properties disposed in 2012 and 17 operating properties classified as held for sale at March 31, 2013.
- (2) Reflects gain from sales of properties and unconsolidated real estate joint ventures not associated with discontinued operations.
- (3) Reflects a decrease to net income available to common unitholders pertaining to the original issuance costs recognized upon the redemption of the Series G preferred units in 2012.
- (4) Basic and diluted earnings per common unit are calculated based on amounts attributable to common unitholders of COPLP.
- (5) Amounts reported reflect only operating office properties.

Table of Contents

Corporate Office Properties Trust

The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of COPT audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, whose report with respect thereto is incorporated by reference in this prospectus. The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the consolidated statement of operations data for each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of COPT, not included in or incorporated by reference in this prospectus. The consolidated balance sheet data as of March 31, 2013 and the consolidated statement of operations data for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 have been derived from the unaudited historical consolidated financial statements of COPT, which are incorporated by reference in this prospectus and include all adjustments of a normal and recurring nature that management considers necessary for a fair presentation of such information. COPT's consolidated results of operations and financial condition as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2013 do not purport to be indicative of its financial condition or results of operations as of or for the year ending December 31, 2013.

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

Corporate Office Properties Trust and Subsidiaries
(in thousands, except per share data and number of properties)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Revenues from real estate operations | \$ 116,735 | \$ 110,661 | \$ 454,171 | \$ 428,496 | \$ 387,559 | \$ 349,463 | \$ 326,223 |
| Construction contract and other service revenues | 14,262 | 21,534 | 73,836 | 84,345 | 104,675 | 343,087 | 188,385 |
| Total revenues | 130,997 | 132,195 | 528,007 | 512,841 | 492,234 | 692,550 | 514,608 |
| Expenses | | | | | | | |
| Property operating expenses | 42,575 | 41,253 | 167,161 | 162,397 | 146,617 | 123,769 | 109,967 |
| Depreciation and amortization associated with real estate operations | 28,252 | 27,834 | 113,480 | 113,111 | 97,897 | 81,446 | 75,264 |
| Construction contract and other service expenses | 13,477 | 20,607 | 70,576 | 81,639 | 102,302 | 336,519 | 184,142 |
| Impairment losses (recoveries) | 1,857 | (4,836) | 43,214 | 83,478 | | | |
| General, administrative and leasing expenses | 7,820 | 9,569 | 31,900 | 30,314 | 28,501 | 27,877 | 28,739 |
| Business development expenses and land carry costs | 1,359 | 1,576 | 5,711 | 6,122 | 6,403 | 5,259 | 2,206 |
| Total operating expenses | 95,340 | 96,003 | 432,042 | 477,061 | 381,720 | 574,870 | 400,318 |
| Operating income | 35,657 | 36,192 | 95,965 | 35,780 | 110,514 | 117,680 | 114,290 |
| Interest expense | (22,307) | (24,431) | (94,624) | (98,222) | (95,729) | (76,718) | (79,542) |
| Interest and other income | 946 | 1,217 | 7,172 | 5,603 | 9,568 | 5,164 | 2070 |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | (5,184) | | (943) | (1,639) | | | 8,101 |
| Loss on interest rate derivatives | | | | (29,805) | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before equity in (loss) income of unconsolidated entities and income taxes | 9,112 | 12,978 | 7,570 | (88,283) | 24,353 | 46,126 | 44,919 |
| Equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated entities | 41 | (89) | (546) | (331) | 1,376 | (941) | (147) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (16) | (204) | (381) | 6,710 | (108) | (196) | (201) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 9,137 | 12,685 | 6,643 | (81,904) | 25,621 | 44,989 | 44,571 |
| Discontinued operations(1) | 3,786 | (2,450) | 13,677 | (48,404) | 17,054 | 16,310 | 15,655 |
| Income (loss) before gain on sales of real estate | 12,923 | 10,235 | 20,320 | (130,308) | 42,675 | 61,299 | 60,226 |
| Gain on sales of real estate, net of income taxes(2) | 2,354 | | 21 | 2,732 | 2,829 | | 1,090 |
| Net income (loss) | 15,277 | 10,235 | 20,341 | (127,576) | 45,504 | 61,299 | 61,316 |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | (257) | 60 | 636 | 8,148 | (2,744) | (4,970) | (7,351) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to COPT | 15,020 | 10,295 | 20,977 | (119,428) | 42,760 | 56,329 | 53,965 |
| Preferred share dividends | (6,106) | (4,025) | (20,844) | (16,102) | (16,102) | (16,102) | (16,102) |
| Issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred shares(3) | | | (1,827) | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to COPT common shareholders | \$ 8,914 | \$ 6,270 | \$ (1,694) | \$ (135,530) | \$ 26,658 | \$ 40,227 | \$ 37,863 |
| Basic earnings per common share(4) | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.12 | \$ (0.21) | \$ (1.31) | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.50 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.09 | \$ (0.03) | \$ (1.97) | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.77 |
| Diluted earnings per common share(4) | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.12 | \$ (0.21) | \$ (1.31) | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.49 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.09 | \$ (0.03) | \$ (1.97) | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.76 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding basic | 81,397 | 71,458 | 73,454 | 69,382 | 59,611 | 55,930 | 48,132 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted | 81,449 | 71,502 | 73,454 | 69,382 | 59,944 | 56,407 | 48,820 |

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Balance Sheet Data (as of period end): | | | | | | | |
| Total properties, net | \$ 3,189,973 | \$ 3,338,291 | \$ 3,163,044 | \$ 3,352,975 | \$ 3,445,455 | \$ 3,029,900 | \$ 2,778,466 |
| Total assets | \$ 3,685,099 | \$ 3,797,368 | \$ 3,653,759 | \$ 3,863,555 | \$ 3,844,517 | \$ 3,380,022 | \$ 3,114,239 |
| Debt | \$ 1,957,360 | \$ 2,418,078 | \$ 2,019,168 | \$ 2,426,303 | \$ 2,323,681 | \$ 2,053,841 | \$ 1,856,751 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 2,134,200 | \$ 2,596,572 | \$ 2,206,962 | \$ 2,648,748 | \$ 2,521,379 | \$ 2,259,390 | \$ 2,031,816 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interest | \$ 10,356 | \$ 9,237 | \$ 10,298 | \$ 8,908 | \$ 9,000 | \$ | \$ |
| Total equity | \$ 1,540,543 | \$ 1,191,559 | \$ 1,436,499 | \$ 1,205,899 | \$ 1,323,138 | \$ 1,120,632 | \$ 1,082,423 |
| Other Financial Data (for the period ended): | | | | | | | |
| Cash flows provided by (used in): | | | | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 47,311 | \$ 43,787 | \$ 191,838 | \$ 152,143 | \$ 156,436 | \$ 194,817 | \$ 180,892 |
| Investing activities | \$ (60,176) | \$ 7,791 | \$ 13,744 | \$ (260,387) | \$ (479,167) | \$ (349,076) | \$ (290,822) |
| Financing activities | \$ 25,780 | \$ (49,150) | \$ (200,547) | \$ 103,701 | \$ 324,571 | \$ 155,746 | \$ 92,067 |
| Numerator for diluted EPS(4) | \$ 8,796 | \$ 6,129 | \$ (2,163) | \$ (136,567) | \$ 25,587 | \$ 39,217 | \$ 37,135 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.275 | \$ 0.275 | \$ 1.10 | \$ 1.65 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 1.53 | \$ 1.425 |
| Property Data (as of period end): | | | | | | | |
| Number of properties owned(5) | 210 | 231 | 208 | 238 | 256 | 253 | 240 |
| Total rentable square feet owned(5) | 19,128 | 20,237 | 18,831 | 20,514 | 20,432 | 19,543 | 18,559 |

- (1) Includes income derived from three operating properties disposed in 2008, three operating properties disposed in 2010, 23 operating properties disposed in 2011, 35 operating properties disposed in 2012 and 17 operating properties classified as held for sale at March 31, 2013.
- (2) Reflects gain from sales of properties and unconsolidated real estate joint ventures not associated with discontinued operations.
- (3) Reflects a decrease to net income available to common shareholders pertaining to the original issuance costs recognized upon the redemption of the Series G preferred shares of beneficial interest in 2012.
- (4) Basic and diluted earnings per common share are calculated based on amounts attributable to common shareholders of COPT.
- (5) Amounts reported reflect only operating office properties.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below as well as other information and data included in this prospectus before making a decision to exchange your private notes for the exchange notes in the exchange offer. If any of the events described in the risk factors below occur, our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects could be materially adversely affected, which in turn could adversely affect our ability to repay the notes. The risk factors set forth below are generally applicable to the private notes as well as the exchange notes.

Risks Relates to our Business, Operations and Organizational Structure

Our performance and value are subject to risks associated with our properties and with the real estate industry. Real estate investments are subject to various risks and fluctuations in value and demand, many of which are beyond our control. Our economic performance and the value of our real estate assets may decline due to conditions in the general economy and the real estate business which, in turn, could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our shareholders. These conditions include, but are not limited to:

downturns in national, regional and local economic environments, including increases in the unemployment rate and inflation or deflation;

competition from other properties;

deteriorating local real estate market conditions, such as oversupply, reduction in demand and decreasing rental rates;

declining real estate valuations;

increasing vacancies and the need to periodically repair, renovate and re-lease space;

adverse developments concerning our tenants, which could affect our ability to collect rents and execute lease renewals;

government actions and initiatives, including risks associated with the impact of government shutdowns and budgetary reductions or impasses, such as a reduction of rental revenues, non-renewal of leases and/or a curtailment of demand for additional space by our strategic customers;

increasing operating costs, including insurance expenses, utilities, real estate taxes and other expenses, much of which we may not be able to pass through to tenants;

increasing interest rates and unavailability of financing on acceptable terms or at all;

trends in office real estate that may adversely affect future demand, including telecommuting and flexible workplaces that increase the population density per square foot;

adverse changes in taxation or zoning laws;

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

potential inability to secure adequate insurance;

adverse consequences resulting from civil disturbances, natural disasters, terrorist acts or acts of war; and

potential liability under environmental or other laws or regulations.

We may suffer adverse consequences as a result of adverse economic conditions. Our business may be affected by adverse economic conditions in the United States economy or real estate industry as a whole or by the local economic conditions in the markets in which our properties are located,

Table of Contents

including the impact of high unemployment and constrained credit. Adverse economic conditions could increase the likelihood of tenants encountering financial difficulties, including bankruptcy, insolvency or general downturn of business, and as a result could increase the likelihood of tenants defaulting in their lease obligations to us. Such conditions also could increase the likelihood of our being unsuccessful in renewing tenants, renewing tenants on terms less favorable to us or being unable to lease newly constructed properties. In addition, such conditions could increase the level of risk that we may not be able to obtain new financing for development activities, acquisitions, refinancing of existing debt or other capital requirements at reasonable terms, if at all. As a result, adverse economic conditions could collectively have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

We may suffer adverse consequences as a result of our reliance on rental revenues for our income. We earn revenue from renting our properties. Our operating costs do not necessarily fluctuate in relation to changes in our rental revenue. This means that our costs will not necessarily decline and may increase even if our revenues decline.

For new tenants or upon lease expiration for existing tenants, we generally must make improvements and pay other leasing costs for which we may not receive increased rents. We also make building-related capital improvements for which tenants may not reimburse us.

If our properties do not generate revenue sufficient to meet our operating expenses and capital costs, we may have to borrow additional amounts to cover these costs. In such circumstances, we would likely have lower profits or possibly incur losses. We may also find in such circumstances that we are unable to borrow to cover such costs, in which case our operations could be adversely affected. Moreover, there may be less or no cash available for distributions to our unitholders.

In addition, the competitive environment for leasing is affected considerably by a number of factors including, among other things, changes due to economic factors such as supply and demand. These factors may make it difficult for us to lease existing vacant space and space associated with future lease expirations at rental rates that are sufficient to meet our short-term capital needs.

We rely on the ability of our tenants to pay rent and would be harmed by their inability to do so. Our performance depends on the ability of our tenants to fulfill their lease obligations by paying their rental payments in a timely manner. If one or more of our major tenants, or a number of our smaller tenants, were to experience financial difficulties, including bankruptcy, insolvency, government shutdown, or general downturn of business, there could be an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

We may be adversely affected by developments concerning some of our major tenants and sector concentrations, including shutdowns of the United States Government and actual, or potential, reductions in government spending targeting United States Government agencies and defense contractors engaged in knowledge-based activities. As of March 31, 2013, our 20 largest tenants accounted for 64.4% of the total annualized rental revenue of our office properties, and the four largest of these tenants accounted for 62.9% of that portion. We computed the annualized rental revenue by multiplying by 12 the sum of monthly contractual base rents and estimated monthly expense

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

reimbursements under active leases in our portfolio of office properties as of March 31, 2013. Information regarding our four largest tenants is set forth below:

| Tenant | Annualized Rental Revenue at March 31, 2013 (in thousands) | Percentage of Total Annualized Rental Revenue of Office Properties | Number of Leases |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---------------------|
| United States of America | \$ 112,280 | 24.0% | 64 |
| Northrop Grumman Corporation(1) | 29,129 | 6.2% | 12 |
| Booz Allen Hamilton, Inc. | 26,368 | 5.6% | 10 |
| Computer Sciences Corporation(1) | 22,062 | 4.7% | 7 |

(1) Includes affiliated organizations and agencies and predecessor companies.

Most of our leases with the United States Government provide for a series of one-year terms or provide for early termination rights. The United States Government may terminate its leases if, among other reasons, the United States Congress fails to provide funding. If any of our four largest tenants fail to make rental payments to us, including as a result of a government shutdown, or if the United States Government elects to terminate some or all of its leases and the space cannot be re-leased on satisfactory terms, there would be an adverse effect on our financial performance and ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

As of March 31, 2013, 70.2% of the total annualized rental revenue of our office properties held for long-term investment was from properties located near defense installations and other knowledge-based government demand drivers, or that were otherwise at least 50% occupied by United States Government agencies or defense contractors. We expect to further increase our reliance on United States Government agencies and defense contractors, most of whom are engaged in knowledge-based defense and security activities, for revenue. A reduction in government spending targeting these activities could affect the ability of these tenants to fulfill lease obligations, decrease the likelihood that these tenants will renew their leases or enter into new leases and limit our future growth from these sectors. Moreover, uncertainty regarding the potential for future reduction in government spending targeting these activities could also decrease or delay leasing activity from tenants engaged in these activities. The Budget Control Act passed in 2011, which imposed caps on the Federal budget in order to achieve targeted spending levels over the 2013-2021 fiscal years, has fueled further uncertainty regarding future government spending reductions. A reduction in government spending targeting knowledge-based defense and security activities and/or uncertainty regarding the potential for future spending reductions could have an adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows and ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

We may be unable to successfully execute plans to dispose of properties. In 2011, we implemented our Strategic Reallocation Plan to dispose of office properties and land that are no longer closely aligned with our strategy. In 2012, our Board of Trustees also approved a plan by management to shorten the holding period for office properties and developable land in Greater Philadelphia, Pennsylvania because the properties no longer meet our strategic investment criteria. Our failure to successfully execute these and other future disposition plans could adversely affect our ability to effectively execute our business strategy, which in turn could affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

We may suffer adverse consequences due to our inexperience in developing, managing and leasing wholesale data centers. We have significant experience in developing, managing and leasing single user data center space. However, we do not have the same depth and length of experience in relation to wholesale data centers, having acquired our wholesale data center in 2010 and having made limited progress leasing that center through March 31, 2013. This may increase the likelihood of us being

Table of Contents

unsuccessful in executing our plans with respect to our existing wholesale data center or any such centers that we may acquire or develop in the future. If we are unsuccessful in executing our wholesale data center plans, it could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

Most of our properties are geographically concentrated in the Mid-Atlantic region, particularly in the Greater Washington, DC/Baltimore region, or in particular office parks. We may suffer economic harm in the event of a decline in the real estate market or general economic conditions in those regions or parks. Most of our properties are located in the Mid-Atlantic region of the United States and, as of March 31, 2013, our properties located in the Greater Washington, DC/Baltimore region accounted for a combined 83.2% of our total annualized rental revenue from office properties. Our properties are also often concentrated in office parks in which we own most of the properties. Consequently, we do not have a broad geographic distribution of our properties. As a result, a decline in the real estate market or general economic conditions in the Mid-Atlantic region, the Greater Washington, DC/Baltimore region or the office parks in which our properties are located could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

We would suffer economic harm if we were unable to renew our leases on favorable terms. When leases expire, our tenants may not renew or may renew on terms less favorable to us than the terms of their original leases. If a tenant vacates a property, we can expect to experience a vacancy for some period of time, as well as incur higher leasing costs than we would likely incur if a tenant renews. As a result, our financial performance and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders could be adversely affected if we experience a high volume of tenant departures at the end of their lease terms.

We may be adversely affected by trends in the office real estate industry. Some businesses are rapidly evolving to increasingly permit employee telecommuting, flexible work schedules, open workplaces and teleconferencing. These practices enable businesses to reduce their space requirements. A continuation of the movement towards these practices could over time erode the overall demand for office space and, in turn, place downward pressure on occupancy, rental rates and property valuations, each of which could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

We may encounter a decline in the value of our real estate. The value of our real estate could be adversely affected by general economic and market conditions connected to a specific property, a market or submarket, a broader economic region or the office real estate industry. Examples of such conditions include a broader economic recession, declining demand and decreases in market rental rates and/or market values of real estate assets. If our real estate assets decline in value, it could result in our recognition of impairment losses. Moreover, a decline in the value of our real estate could adversely affect the amount of borrowings available to us under credit facilities and other loans, which could, in turn, adversely affect our cash flows and financial condition.

We may not be able to compete successfully with other entities that operate in our industry. The commercial real estate market is highly competitive. We compete for the purchase of commercial property with many entities, including other publicly traded commercial REITs. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial resources than we do. If our competitors prevent us from buying properties that we target for acquisition, we may not be able to meet our property acquisition goals. Moreover, numerous commercial properties compete for tenants with our properties. Some of the properties competing with ours may be newer or in more desirable locations, or the competing properties' owners may be willing to accept lower rates than are acceptable to us. Competition for property acquisitions, or for tenants for properties that we own, could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

Table of Contents

We are dependent on external sources of capital for future growth. Because COPT is a REIT, it must distribute at least 90% of its annual taxable income to its shareholders. Due to this requirement, we are not able to significantly fund our acquisition, construction and development activities using retained cash flow from operations. Therefore, our ability to fund these activities is dependent on our ability to access capital funded by third parties. Such capital could be in the form of new debt, equity issuances of common shares, preferred shares, common and preferred units in COPLP or joint venture funding. These capital sources may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Moreover, additional debt financing may substantially increase our leverage and subject us to covenants that restrict management's flexibility in directing our operations, and additional equity offerings may result in substantial dilution of our unitholders' interests. Our inability to obtain capital when needed could have a material adverse effect on our ability to expand our business and fund other cash requirements.

We use our Revolving Credit Facility to initially finance much of our investing and financing activities. We also use other credit facilities to fund a significant portion of our construction activities. Our lenders under these and other facilities could, for financial hardship or other reasons, fail to honor their commitments to fund our requests for borrowings under these facilities. In the event that one or more lenders under these facilities are not able or willing to fund a borrowing request, it would adversely affect our ability to access borrowing capacity under these facilities, which would in turn adversely affect our financial condition, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

We may be unable to successfully execute our plans to acquire existing commercial real estate properties. We intend to acquire existing commercial real estate properties to the extent that suitable acquisitions can be made on advantageous terms. Acquisitions of commercial properties entail risks, such as the risks that we may not be in a position, or have the opportunity in the future, to make suitable property acquisitions on advantageous terms and/or that such acquisitions will fail to perform as expected. The failure of our acquisitions to perform as expected could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

We may be exposed to unknown liabilities from acquired properties. We may acquire properties that are subject to liabilities in situations where we have no recourse, or only limited recourse, against the prior owners or other third parties with respect to unknown liabilities. As a result, if a liability were asserted against us based upon ownership of those properties, we might have to pay substantial sums to settle or contest it, which could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flow. Examples of unknown liabilities with respect to acquired properties include, but are not limited to:

liabilities for clean-up of disclosed or undisclosed environmental contamination;

claims by tenants, vendors or other persons dealing with the former owners of the properties;

liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business; and

claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

We may suffer economic harm as a result of making unsuccessful acquisitions in new markets. We may pursue selective acquisitions of properties in regions where we have not previously owned properties. These acquisitions may entail risks in addition to those we face in other acquisitions where we are familiar with the regions, such as the risk that we do not correctly anticipate conditions or trends in a new market and are therefore not able to operate the acquired property profitably. If this occurs, it could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

We may be unable to execute our plans to develop and construct additional properties. Although the majority of our investments are in currently leased properties, we also develop, construct and

Table of Contents

redevelop properties, including some that are not fully pre-leased. When we develop, construct and redevelop properties, we assume the risk that actual costs will exceed our budgets, that we will experience conditions which delay or preclude project completion and that projected leasing will not occur, any of which could adversely affect our financial performance, results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our unitholders. In addition, we generally do not obtain construction financing commitments until the development stage of a project is complete and construction is about to commence. We may find that we are unable to obtain financing needed to continue with the construction activities for such projects.

Our data centers may become obsolete. Data centers are much more expensive investments on a per square foot basis than office properties due to the level of infrastructure required to operate the centers. At the same time, technology, industry standards and service requirements for data centers are rapidly evolving and, as a result, the risk of investments we make in data centers becoming obsolete is higher than office properties. Our data centers may become obsolete due to the development of new systems to deliver power to, or eliminate heat from, the servers housed in the properties. Our data centers could also become obsolete from new server technology that requires less critical load and heat removal than our facilities are designed to provide. In addition, we may not be able to efficiently upgrade or change power and cooling systems to meet new demands or industry standards without incurring significant costs that we may not be able to pass on to our tenants. The obsolescence of our data centers could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

Certain of our properties containing data centers contain space not suitable for lease other than as data centers, which could make it difficult or impractical to reposition them for alternative use. Certain of our properties contain data center space, which is highly specialized space containing extensive electrical and mechanical systems that are designed uniquely to run and maintain banks of computer servers. As a result, in the event that we needed to reposition such data center space for another use, major renovations and expenditures could be required.

Real estate investments are illiquid, and we may not be able to sell our properties on a timely basis when we determine it is appropriate to do so. Real estate investments can be difficult to sell and convert to cash quickly, especially if market conditions are not favorable. Such illiquidity could limit our ability to quickly change our portfolio of properties in response to changes in economic or other conditions. Moreover, under certain circumstances, the Internal Revenue Code imposes certain penalties on a REIT that sells property held for less than two years and limits the number of properties it can sell in a given year. In addition, for certain of our properties that we acquired by issuing units in COPLP, we are restricted by agreements with the sellers of the properties for a certain period of time from entering into transactions (such as the sale or refinancing of the acquired property) that will result in a taxable gain to the sellers without the seller's consent. Due to these factors, we may be unable to sell a property at an advantageous time.

We may suffer adverse effects as a result of the indebtedness that we carry and the terms and covenants that relate to this debt. Some of our properties are pledged by us to support repayment of indebtedness. In addition, we rely on borrowings to fund some or all of the costs of new property acquisitions, construction and development activities and other items. Our organizational documents do not limit the amount of indebtedness that we may incur.

Payments of principal and interest on our debt may leave us with insufficient cash to operate our properties or pay distributions to COPT's shareholders required to maintain its qualifications as a REIT. We are also subject to the risks that:

we may not be able to refinance our existing indebtedness, or may refinance on terms that are less favorable to us than the terms of our existing indebtedness;

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

in the event of our default under the terms of our Revolving Credit Facility, the Operating Partnership could be restricted from making cash distributions, which could result in reduced distributions to unitholders or the need for us to incur additional debt to fund distributions; and

if we are unable to pay our debt service on time or are unable to comply with restrictive financial covenants in certain of our debt, our lenders could foreclose on our properties securing such debt or, with respect to our unsecured debt, other properties and assets that we own.

Some of our unsecured debt is cross-defaulted, which means that failure to pay interest or principal on the debt above a threshold value will create a default on certain of our other debt. Any foreclosure of our properties could result in loss of income and asset value that would negatively affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders. In addition, if we are in default and the value of the properties securing a loan is less than the loan balance, we may be required to pay the resulting shortfall to the lender using other assets.

If short-term interest rates were to rise, our debt service payments on debt with variable interest rates would increase, which would lower our net income and could decrease our distributions to our unitholders. We use interest rate swap agreements from time to time to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates. Decreases in interest rates would result in increased interest payments due under interest rate swap agreements in place and, in the event we decided to unwind such agreements, could result in our recognizing a loss and remitting a payment.

We must refinance our debt in the future. As of March 31, 2013, our scheduled debt payments through 2017, including maturities, were as of follows:

| Year | Amount(1) (in thousands) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Nine Months Ending December 31, 2013 | \$ 87,790 |
| 2014 | 158,697 |
| 2015 | 745,635 |
| 2016 | 279,025 |
| 2017 | 551,789 |

(1) Represents principal maturities only and therefore excludes net discounts of \$6.3 million. Maturities include \$21.1 million in 2013 and \$414.3 million in 2015 that may each be extended for one year, subject to certain conditions.

Our operations likely will not generate enough cash flow to repay some or all of this debt without additional borrowings, equity issuances and/or property sales. If we cannot refinance our debt, extend the repayment dates, or raise additional equity prior to the dates when our debt matures, we would default on our existing debt, which would have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

We have certain distribution requirements that reduce cash available for other business purposes. Since COPT is a REIT, COPT must distribute at least 90% of its annual taxable income (excluding capital gains), which limits the amount of cash that can be retained for other business purposes, including amounts to fund acquisitions and development activity. Also, it is possible that because of the differences between the time we actually receive revenue or pay expenses and the period during which we report those items for distribution purposes, we may have to borrow funds for COPT to meet the 90% distribution requirement.

We may be unable to continue to make unitholder distributions at expected levels. We expect to make regular quarterly cash distributions to our unitholders. However, our ability to make such

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

distributions depends on a number of factors, some of which are beyond our control. Some of our loan agreements contain provisions that could restrict future distributions. Our ability to make distributions at expected levels will also be dependent, in part, on other matters, including, but not limited to:

- continued property occupancy and timely receipt of rent obligations;
- the amount of future capital expenditures and expenses relating to our properties;
- the level of leasing activity and future rental rates;
- the strength of the commercial real estate market;
- our ability to compete;
- our costs of compliance with environmental and other laws;
- our corporate overhead levels;
- our amount of uninsured losses; and
- our decision to reinvest in operations rather than distribute available cash.

In addition, we can make distributions to the holders of our common units only after we make preferential distributions to holders of our preferred shares.

Our ability to pay distributions may be limited, and we cannot provide assurance that we will be able to pay distributions regularly. Our ability to pay distributions will depend on our ability to operate profitably and generate cash flow from our operations. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to pay distributions on a regular quarterly basis in the future. Additionally, the terms of some of COPLP's debt may limit its ability to make some types of payments and other distributions to us. This in turn may limit our ability to make some types of payments, including payment of dividends on common or preferred shares, unless we meet certain financial tests or such payments or dividends are required to maintain COPT's qualification as a REIT. As a result, if we are unable to meet the applicable financial tests, we may not be able to pay distributions in one or more periods. Furthermore, any new units in COPLP issued in capital-raising transactions will increase the cash required to continue to pay cash distributions at current levels. Any common or preferred units that may in the future be issued for financing acquisitions, share-based compensation arrangements or otherwise would have a similar effect.

We may incur additional indebtedness, which may harm our financial position and cash flow and potentially impact our ability to pay distributions to unitholders. Our governing documents do not limit us from incurring additional indebtedness and other liabilities. As of March 31, 2013, we had \$2.0 billion of indebtedness outstanding. We may incur additional indebtedness and become more highly leveraged, which could harm our financial position and potentially limit our cash available to pay distributions to unitholders. As a result, we may not have sufficient funds remaining to make expected distributions to our unitholders if we incur additional indebtedness.

Our ability to pay distributions is further limited by the requirements of Maryland law. As a Maryland REIT, COPT may not under applicable Maryland law make a distribution if either of the following conditions exist after giving effect to the distribution: (1) the REIT would not be able to pay its debts as the debts become due in the usual course of business; or (2) the REIT's total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed, if the REIT were dissolved at the time of the distribution, to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of shareholders whose preferential rights are superior to those receiving the distribution. Therefore, we may not be able to make expected distributions to our unitholders if either of the above described conditions exists for COPT after giving effect to the distribution.

Table of Contents

We may issue additional common or preferred units that dilute our unitholders' interests. We may issue additional common units and preferred units without unitholder approval. Similarly, we may cause COPLP to issue its common or preferred units for contributions of cash or property without approval by the limited partners of COPLP or our unitholders. Our existing unitholders' interests could be diluted if such additional issuances were to occur.

We may suffer economic harm as a result of the actions of our partners in real estate joint ventures and other investments. We invest in certain entities in which we are not the exclusive investor or principal decision maker. Investments in such entities may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present when a third party is not involved, including the possibility that the other parties to these investments might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Our partners in these entities may have economic, tax or other business interests or goals that are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, and may be in a position to take actions contrary to our policies or objectives. Such investments may also lead to impasses, for example, as to whether to sell a property, because neither we nor the other parties to these investments may have full control over the entity. In addition, we may in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of the other parties to these investments. Each of these factors could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

We may elect to make additional cash outlays to protect our investment in loans we make that are subordinate to other loans. We have made and may in the future make loans under which we have a secured interest in the ownership of a property that is subordinate to other loans on the property. If a default were to occur under the terms of any such loans with us or under the first mortgage loans related to the properties on such loans, we may, in order to protect our investment, elect to either: (1) purchase the other loan; or (2) foreclose on the ownership interest in the property and repay the first mortgage loan, either of which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

We may be subject to possible environmental liabilities. We are subject to various Federal, state and local environmental laws, including air and water quality, hazardous or toxic substances and health and safety. These laws can impose liability on current and prior property owners or operators for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous substances released on a property, even if the property owner was not responsible for, or even aware of, the release of the hazardous substances. Costs resulting from environmental liability could be substantial. The presence of hazardous substances on our properties may also adversely affect occupancy and our ability to sell or borrow against those properties. In addition to the costs of government claims under environmental laws, private plaintiffs may bring claims for personal injury or other reasons. Additionally, various laws impose liability for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous substances at the disposal or treatment facility. Anyone who arranges for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at such a facility is potentially liable under such laws. These laws often impose liability on an entity even if the facility was not owned or operated by the entity.

Although most of our properties have been subject to varying degrees of environmental assessment, many of these assessments are limited in scope and may not include or identify all potential environmental liabilities or risks associated with the property. Identification of new compliance concerns or undiscovered areas of contamination, changes in the extent or known scope of contamination, discovery of additional sites, human exposure to the contamination or changes in cleanup or compliance requirements could result in significant costs to us that could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

Table of Contents

Terrorist attacks may adversely affect the value of our properties, our financial position and cash flows. We have significant investments in properties located in large metropolitan areas and near military installations. Future terrorist attacks could directly or indirectly damage our properties or cause losses that materially exceed our insurance coverage. After such an attack, tenants in these areas may choose to relocate their businesses to areas of the United States that may be perceived to be less likely targets of future terrorist activity, and fewer customers may choose to patronize businesses in these areas. This in turn would trigger a decrease in the demand for space in these areas, which could increase vacancies in our properties and force us to lease space on less favorable terms. As a result, the occurrence of terrorist attacks could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

We may be subject to other possible liabilities that would adversely affect our financial position and cash flows. Our properties may be subject to other risks related to current or future laws, including laws benefiting disabled persons, state or local laws relating to zoning, construction, fire and life safety requirements and other matters. These laws may require significant property modifications in the future and could result in the levy of fines against us. In addition, although we believe that we adequately insure our properties, we are subject to the risk that our insurance may not cover all of the costs to restore a property that is damaged by a fire or other catastrophic events, including acts of war or, as mentioned above, terrorism. The occurrence of any of these events could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

We may be subject to increased costs of insurance and limitations on coverage, particularly regarding acts of terrorism. Our portfolio of properties is insured for losses under our property, casualty and umbrella insurance policies through September 30, 2013. These policies include coverage for acts of terrorism. Future changes in the insurance industry's risk assessment approach and pricing structure may increase the cost of insuring our properties and decrease the scope of insurance coverage, either of which could adversely affect our financial position and operating results. Most of our loan agreements contain customary covenants requiring us to maintain insurance. Although we believe that we have adequate insurance coverage for purposes of these agreements, we may not be able to obtain an equivalent amount of coverage at reasonable costs, or at all, in the future. In addition, if lenders insist on greater coverage than we are able to obtain, it could adversely affect our ability to finance and/or refinance our properties and execute our growth strategies, which, in turn, would have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

Our business could be adversely affected by a negative audit by the United States Government. Agencies of the United States, including the Defense Contract Audit Agency and various agency Inspectors General, routinely audit and investigate government contractors. These agencies review a contractor's performance under its contracts, cost structure and compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and standards. The United States Government also reviews the adequacy of, and a contractor's compliance with, its internal control systems and policies. Any costs found to be misclassified may be subject to repayment. If an audit or investigation uncovers improper or illegal activities, we may be subject to civil or criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines, and suspension or prohibition from doing business with the United States Government. In addition, we could suffer serious reputational harm if allegations of impropriety were made against us.

Our business could be adversely affected by security breaches through cyber attacks, cyber intrusions or otherwise. We face risks associated with security breaches, whether through cyber attacks or cyber intrusions over the Internet, malware, computer viruses, attachments to e-mails, persons inside our organization or persons with access to systems inside our organization, and other

Table of Contents

significant disruptions of our information technology networks and related systems. Our information technology networks and related systems are essential to our business operations. Despite our activities to maintain the security and integrity of our networks and related systems, there can be no absolute assurance that these activities will be effective. A security breach involving our networks and related systems could disrupt our operations in numerous ways that could ultimately have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make expected distributions to our unitholders.

COPT's ownership limits are important factors. COPT's Declaration of Trust limits ownership of its common shares by any single shareholder to 9.8% of the number of the outstanding common shares or 9.8% of the value of the outstanding common shares, whichever is more restrictive. COPT's Declaration of Trust also limits ownership by any single shareholder of our common and preferred shares in the aggregate to 9.8% of the aggregate value of the outstanding common and preferred shares. We call these restrictions the "Ownership Limit." COPT's Declaration of Trust allows our Board of Trustees to exempt shareholders from the Ownership Limit. The Ownership Limit and the restrictions on ownership of COPT's common shares may delay or prevent a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for our common units or otherwise be in the best interest of our unitholders.

COPT's Declaration of Trust includes other provisions that may prevent or delay a change of control. Subject to the requirements of the New York Stock Exchange, our Board of Trustees has the authority, without shareholder approval, to issue additional securities on terms that could delay or prevent a change in control. In addition, our Board of Trustees has the authority to reclassify any of our unissued common shares into preferred shares. Our Board of Trustees may issue preferred shares with such preferences, rights, powers and restrictions as our Board of Trustees may determine, which could also delay or prevent a change in control.

The Maryland business statutes impose potential restrictions that may discourage a change of control of our company. Various Maryland laws may have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire us, even if the acquisition would be advantageous to unitholders. Resolutions adopted by our Board of Trustees and/or provisions of our bylaws exempt us from such laws, but our Board of Trustees can alter its resolutions or change our bylaws at any time to make these provisions applicable to us.

COPT's failure to qualify as a REIT would have adverse tax consequences, which would substantially reduce funds available to make distributions to our unitholders. We believe that since 1992 that COPT has qualified for taxation as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes. We plan for COPT to continue to meet the requirements for taxation as a REIT. Many of these requirements, however, are highly technical and complex. The determination that we are a REIT requires an analysis of various factual matters and circumstances that may not be totally within our control. For example, to qualify as a REIT, at least 95% of COPT's gross income must come from certain sources that are specified in the REIT tax laws. COPT is also required to distribute to shareholders at least 90% of its REIT taxable income (excluding capital gains). The fact that COPT holds most of its assets through COPLP and its subsidiaries further complicates the application of the REIT requirements. Even a technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize COPT's REIT status. Furthermore, Congress and the Internal Revenue Service might make changes to the tax laws and regulations and the courts might issue new rulings that make it more difficult or impossible for COPT to remain qualified as a REIT.

If COPT fails to qualify as a REIT, it would be subject to Federal income tax at regular corporate rates. Also, unless the Internal Revenue Service granted relief under certain statutory provisions, COPT would remain disqualified as a REIT for four years following the year it first fails to qualify. If COPT fails to qualify as a REIT, it would have to pay significant income taxes and would therefore have less money available for investments or for COPLP to make distributions to its unitholders. In addition, if COPT fails to qualify as a REIT, it will no longer be required to pay dividends. As a result of all these

Table of Contents

factors, COPT's failure to qualify as a REIT could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital and would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of our units.

We could face possible adverse changes in tax laws, which may result in an increase in our tax liability. From time to time changes in state and local tax laws or regulations are enacted, which may result in an increase in our tax liability. The shortfall in tax revenues for states and municipalities in recent years may lead to an increase in the frequency and size of such changes. If such changes occur, we may be required to pay additional taxes on our assets or income. These increased tax costs could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and the amount of cash available for payment of dividends.

We may experience significant losses and harm to our financial condition if financial institutions holding our cash and cash equivalents file for bankruptcy protection. We believe that we maintain our cash and cash equivalents with high quality financial institutions. We have not experienced any losses to date on our deposited cash. However, we may incur significant losses and harm to our financial condition in the future if any of these financial institutions files for bankruptcy protection.

Certain of our Trustees have potential conflicts of interest. Certain members of our Board of Trustees own partnership units in COPLP. These individuals may have interests that conflict with the interests of our unitholders or COPT's shareholders. For example, if COPLP sells or refinances certain of the properties that these Trustees contributed to COPLP, the Trustees could suffer adverse tax consequences. Their personal interests could conflict with our interests if such a sale or refinancing would be advantageous to us. We have certain policies in place that are designed to minimize conflicts of interest. We cannot, however, provide assurance that these policies will be successful in eliminating the influence of such conflicts, and if they are not successful, decisions could be made that might fail to reflect fully the interests of all of our unitholders.

Risks Relates to this Offering and the Exchange Notes

The effective subordination of the notes may limit our ability to satisfy our obligations under the notes. The notes will be our senior unsecured and unsubordinated obligations and will rank equally in right of payment with all of our existing and future unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness. However, the notes will be effectively subordinated in right of payment to all of our existing and future secured indebtedness (to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such indebtedness). The indenture governing the notes places limitations on our ability to incur secured indebtedness, but does not prohibit us from incurring secured indebtedness in the future. Consequently, in the event of a bankruptcy, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or similar proceeding with respect to us, the holders of any secured indebtedness will be entitled to proceed directly against the collateral that secures such indebtedness. Therefore, such collateral will not be available for satisfaction of any amounts owed under our unsecured indebtedness, including the notes, until such secured indebtedness is satisfied in full. As of March 31, 2013, we had \$1.01 billion of secured indebtedness and \$951.7 million of unsecured indebtedness, including \$180.0 million in 4.25% exchangeable senior notes (including unamortized discount totaling \$6.3 million).

In addition, none of our subsidiaries will guarantee the notes. Payments on the notes are only required to be made by COPLP and by COPT. As a result, no payments are required to be made by, and holders of notes will not have a claim against the assets of, our subsidiaries, except if those assets are transferred, by dividend or otherwise, to COPLP or to COPT.

Therefore, although the notes are unsubordinated obligations, they will be effectively subordinated to all existing and future unsecured and secured liabilities and preferred equity of COPLP's subsidiaries. In the event of a bankruptcy, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or similar proceeding with respect to any such subsidiary, we, as an equity owner of such subsidiary, and therefore holders of

Table of Contents

our debt, including the notes, will be subject to the prior claims of such subsidiary's creditors, including trade creditors, and preferred equity holders. All of the \$1.01 billion of secured indebtedness we had as of March 31, 2013 was indebtedness of our subsidiaries.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow to meet our debt service obligations. Our ability to make payments on and to refinance our indebtedness, including the notes, and to fund our operations, working capital and capital expenditures, depends on our ability to generate cash in the future. To a certain extent, our cash flow is subject to general economic, industry, financial, competitive, operating, legislative, regulatory and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

Holders of our currently outstanding 4.25% exchangeable senior notes due 2030 (the "2030 Notes") have the right to require us to repurchase such 2030 Notes for cash on specified dates or upon the occurrence of designated events. As of March 31, 2013, we had \$186.3 million principal amount of 2030 Notes outstanding. Any of our future debt agreements or securities may contain similar provisions. We may not have sufficient funds to make the required repurchase or settlement of such 2030 Notes in cash at the applicable time and, in such circumstances, may not be able to arrange the necessary financing on favorable terms, or at all. Similarly, COPT may not have sufficient funds with which to pay such amounts in respect of its guarantee of the notes. In addition, our ability to make the required repurchase or settlement may be limited by law or the terms of other debt agreements or securities, as may be COPT's ability to make payments in respect of its guarantee on such notes. However, our failure to make the required repurchase or settlement of the 2030 Notes, and COPT's failure to pay such amounts pursuant to its guarantee of the 2030 Notes, would constitute an event of default under the applicable indentures which, in turn, could constitute an event of default under other debt agreements, thereby resulting in their acceleration and required prepayment and further restricting our ability to make such payments and repurchases.

We cannot assure you that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future sources of cash will be available to us in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay amounts due on our indebtedness, including the notes, or to fund our other liquidity needs. Additionally, if we incur additional indebtedness in connection with future acquisitions or development projects or for any other purpose, our debt service obligations could increase.

We may need to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness, including the notes, on or before maturity. Our ability to refinance our indebtedness or obtain additional financing will depend on, among other things:

our financial condition and market conditions at the time; and

restrictions in the agreements governing our indebtedness.

As a result, we may not be able to refinance any of our indebtedness, including the notes, on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. If we do not generate sufficient cash flow from operations, and additional borrowings or refinancings or proceeds of asset sales or other sources of cash are not available to us, we may not have sufficient cash to enable us to meet all of our obligations, including payments on the notes. Accordingly, if we cannot service our indebtedness, we may have to take actions such as seeking additional equity or delaying capital expenditures, or strategic acquisitions and alliances, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our operations. We cannot assure you that we will be able to effect any of these actions on commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

COPT has no significant operations and no material assets, other than its investment in COPLP. The notes will be fully and unconditionally guaranteed by COPT which has no significant operations and no material assets, other than its investment in COPLP. Furthermore, COPT's guarantee of the notes will be effectively subordinated to all existing and future unsecured and secured liabilities and preferred equity of its subsidiaries (including us and any entity COPT accounts for under the equity method of accounting). As of March 31, 2013, the total indebtedness of COPT's subsidiaries (including

Table of Contents

COPLP) was approximately \$1.96 billion (excluding trade payables, distributions payable, accrued expenses and committed letters of credit).

There is currently no public trading market for the notes, and an active public trading market for the notes may not develop or, if it develops, may not be maintained or be liquid. The failure of an active public trading market for the notes to develop or be maintained is likely to adversely affect the market price and liquidity of the notes.

The notes are a new issue of securities, and there is currently no existing trading market for the notes. COPLP does not intend to apply for listing of the notes on any securities exchange or for quotation of the notes on any automated dealer quotation system. Accordingly, an active trading market may not develop for the notes and, even if one develops, may not be maintained. If an active trading market for the notes does not develop or is not maintained, the market price and liquidity of the notes is likely to be adversely affected, and holders may not be able to sell their notes at desired times and prices or at all. If any of the notes are traded after their purchase, they may trade at a discount from their purchase price.

The liquidity of the trading market, if any, and future trading prices of the notes will depend on many factors, including, among other things, prevailing interest rates, the financial condition, results of operations, business, prospects and credit quality of COPLP, COPT and our subsidiaries, and other comparable entities, the market for similar securities and the overall securities market, and may be adversely affected by unfavorable changes in any of these factors, some of which are beyond our control. In addition, market volatility or events or developments in the credit markets could materially and adversely affect the market value of the notes, regardless of COPLP, COPT or their respective subsidiaries' financial condition, results of operations, business, prospects or credit quality.

The indenture and our existing credit facilities governing the notes contains restrictive covenants that limit our operating flexibility. The indenture governing the notes contains financial and operating covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to take specific actions, even if we believe them to be in our best interest, including restrictions on our ability to:

consummate a merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets; and

incur additional secured and unsecured indebtedness.

In addition, the credit agreements governing our unsecured revolving credit facility and unsecured term loans require us to meet specified financial covenants relating to the minimum amounts of net worth, fixed charge coverage, unsecured debt service coverage, the maximum amount of secured indebtedness, leverage ratio and certain investment limitations. These covenants may restrict our ability to expand or fully pursue our business strategies. The indentures governing our 2030 Notes also contain certain covenants. Our ability to comply with these and other provisions of the indenture governing the notes, the indentures governing the 2030 Notes and our credit agreements may be affected by changes in our operating and financial performance, changes in general business and economic conditions, adverse regulatory developments or other events adversely impacting us. The breach of any of these covenants, including those contained in our credit agreements, the indentures governing the 2030 Notes and the indenture governing the notes, could result in a default under our indebtedness, which could cause those and other obligations to become due and payable. If any of our indebtedness is accelerated, we may not be able to repay it.

Despite our substantial indebtedness, we or our subsidiaries may still incur significantly more debt, which could exacerbate any or all of the risks related to our indebtedness, including our inability to pay the principal of or interest on the notes. As of March 31, 2013, we had \$1.01 billion of secured indebtedness and \$951.7 million of unsecured indebtedness, including \$180.0 million in 4.25% exchangeable senior notes. We and our subsidiaries may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. The indenture governing the 2030 Notes does not limit our ability or that of

Table of Contents

our subsidiaries to incur additional debt. Although the credit agreements governing our unsecured and secured indebtedness limit, and the indenture governing the notes will limit, our ability to incur additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions and, under certain circumstances, debt incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. To the extent that we or our subsidiaries incur additional indebtedness or other such obligations, we may face additional risks associated with our indebtedness, including our possible inability to pay the principal of or interest on the notes.

Federal and state statutes allow courts, under specific circumstances, to void guarantees and require holders of notes to return payments received from guarantors. Under the federal bankruptcy law and comparable provisions of state fraudulent transfer laws, a guarantee, such as the guarantee provided by COPT, could be voided, or claims in respect of a guarantee could be subordinated to all other debts of that guarantor if, among other things, the guarantor, at the time it incurred the indebtedness evidenced by its guarantee:

received less than reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration for the incurrence of the guarantee; and

either:

was insolvent or rendered insolvent by reason of the incurrence of the guarantee;

was engaged in a business or transaction for which the guarantor's remaining assets constituted unreasonably small capital;

intended to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay those debts as they mature;

or

intended to hinder, delay or defraud creditors.

In addition, any payment by that guarantor pursuant to its guarantee could be voided and required to be returned to the guarantor, or to a fund for the benefit of the creditors of the guarantor. The measures of insolvency for purposes of these fraudulent transfer laws will vary depending upon the law applied in any proceeding to determine whether a fraudulent transfer has occurred. Generally, however, a guarantor would be considered insolvent if:

the sum of its debts, including contingent liabilities, was greater than the fair saleable value of all of its assets;

the present fair saleable value of its assets was less than the amount that would be required to pay its probable liability on its existing debts, including contingent liabilities, as they became absolute and mature; or

it could not pay its debts as they become due.

The court might also void such guarantee, without regard to the above factors, if it found that a guarantor entered into its guarantee with actual or deemed intent to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors.

A court would likely find that a guarantor did not receive reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration for its guarantee unless it benefited directly or indirectly from the issuance of the notes. If a court voided such guarantee, holders of the notes would no longer have a claim against such guarantor or the benefit of the assets of such guarantor constituting collateral that purportedly secured such guarantee and would be creditors solely of us. In addition, the court might direct holders of the notes to repay any amounts already received from a guarantor. If the court were to void COPT's

Table of Contents

guarantee, we cannot assure you that funds would be available to pay the notes from any of our subsidiaries or from any other source.

An increase in interest rates could result in a decrease in the relative value of the notes. In general, as market interest rates rise, notes bearing interest at a fixed rate generally decline in value because the premium, if any, over market interest rates will decline. Consequently, if you purchase these notes and market interest rates increase, the market value of your notes may decline. We cannot predict the future level of market interest rates.

A downgrade in our credit ratings could materially adversely affect our business and financial condition. In April 2013, we received investment grade corporate credit ratings from Fitch, Moody's and S&P. We plan to manage our operations to maintain investment grade status with a capital structure consistent with our current profile, but there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain our current credit ratings. Any downgrades in terms of ratings or outlook by any of the noted rating agencies could have a material adverse impact on our cost and availability of capital, which could in turn have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

We may choose to redeem the notes when prevailing interest rates are relatively low. The notes are redeemable at our option and we may choose to redeem some or all of the notes from time to time, especially when prevailing interest rates are lower than the rate borne by the notes. If prevailing rates are lower at the time of redemption, you may not be able to reinvest the redemption proceeds in a comparable security at an effective interest rate as high as the interest rate on the notes being redeemed. See "Description of Notes COPLP's Redemption Rights."

The market price of the notes may fluctuate significantly.

The market price of the notes may fluctuate significantly in response to many factors, including:

actual or anticipated variations in our operating results, funds from operations, cash flows, liquidity or distributions;

changes in our earnings estimates or those of analysts;

publication of research reports about us or the real estate industry or the office and industrial sectors in which we operate;

the failure to maintain our current credit ratings or comply with our debt covenants;

increases in market interest rates;

changes in market valuations of similar companies;

adverse market reaction to any securities we may issue or additional debt we incur in the future;

additions or departures of key management personnel;

actions by institutional investors;

speculation in the press or investment community;

continuing high levels of volatility in the credit markets;

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

the realization of any of the other risk factors included in or incorporated by reference in this prospectus; and

general market and economic conditions.

In addition, many of the factors listed above are beyond our control. These factors may cause the market price of the notes to decline, regardless of our financial condition, results of operations, business or prospects. It is impossible to assure investors that the market price of the notes will not fall in the future, and it may be difficult for investors to resell the notes at prices they find attractive, or at all.

Table of Contents

If the procedures for tendering your private notes in this exchange offer are not followed, you may not receive exchange notes in exchange for your private notes. We will issue the exchange notes in exchange for your private notes only if you tender the private notes and deliver a properly completed and duly executed letter of transmittal and other required documents before expiration of the exchange offer. You should allow sufficient time to ensure timely delivery of the necessary documents. Neither the exchange agent nor we are under any duty to give notification of defects or irregularities with respect to the tenders of private notes for exchange. If you are the beneficial holder of private notes that are registered in the name of your broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee, and you wish to tender private notes in the exchange offer, you should promptly contact the person in whose name your private notes are registered and instruct that person to tender your private notes on your behalf.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus and the documents incorporated herein by reference contain "forward-looking" statements, within the meaning of federal securities law, that are based on our current expectations, estimates and projections about future events and financial trends affecting the financial condition and operations of our business. Additionally, documents we subsequently file with the SEC and incorporate by reference will contain forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "believe," "anticipate," "expect," "estimate," "plan" or other comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which we cannot predict with accuracy and some of which we might not even anticipate. Although we believe that the expectations, estimates and projections reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions at the time made, we can give no assurance that these expectations, estimates and projections will be achieved. Accordingly, future events and actual results may differ materially from those addressed in the forward-looking statements. We caution readers that forward-looking statements reflect our opinion only as of the date on which they were made. You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The following factors, among others, could cause actual results and future events to differ materially from those set forth or contemplated in the forward-looking statements:

general economic and business conditions, which will, among other things, affect office property and data center demand and rents, tenant creditworthiness, interest rates, financing availability and property values;

adverse changes in the real estate markets, including, among other things, increased competition with other companies;

governmental actions and initiatives, including risks associated with the impact of a government shutdown and budgetary reductions or impasses, such as a reduction in rental revenues, non-renewal of leases and/or a curtailment of demand for additional space by our strategic customers;

our ability to borrow on favorable terms;

risks of real estate acquisition and development activities, including, among other things, risks that development projects may not be completed on schedule, that tenants may not take occupancy or pay rent or that development or operating costs may be greater than anticipated;

our ability to sell properties included in our Strategic Reallocation Plan (as defined herein);

Table of Contents

risks of investing through joint venture structures, including risks that our joint venture partners may not fulfill their financial obligations as investors or may take actions that are inconsistent with our objectives;

changes in our plans for properties or views of market economic conditions or failure to obtain development rights, either of which could result in recognition of significant impairment losses;

our ability to satisfy and operate effectively under federal income tax rules relating to real estate investment trusts and partnerships;

the dilutive effects of issuing additional common shares;

our ability to achieve projected results; and

environmental requirements.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update or supplement forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. For further information on these and other factors that could impact COPT and our future results, see "Risk Factors."

THE EXCHANGE OFFER

Purpose of the Exchange Offer

On May 6, 2013, COPLP issued \$350.0 million of the private notes to J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, the initial purchasers, pursuant to a purchase agreement. The initial purchasers subsequently sold the private notes to "qualified institutional buyers," as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act, in reliance on Rule 144A, and to certain non-U.S. persons located outside the United States, in reliance on Regulation S under the Securities Act. As a condition to the sale of the private notes, we entered into a registration rights agreement with the initial purchasers on May 6, 2013. The registration rights agreement provides that:

(1) COPLP and COPT must use commercially reasonable efforts to cause an exchange offer registration statement to be declared effective by the SEC on or prior to 180 days after the closing date of the offering of the private notes; and

(2) unless the exchange offer would not be permitted by applicable law or SEC policy or applicable interpretation of the staff of the SEC, COPLP and COPT will:

(a) commence the exchange offer promptly after the exchange offer registration statement is declared effective by the SEC and keep the exchange offer open for at least 20 business days (or longer, if required by applicable securities laws) after the date notice is sent to holders of entitled securities (as defined below); and

(b) use all commercially reasonable efforts to complete the exchange offer on or prior to 60 days (or longer, if required by applicable securities laws) after the date on which the exchange offer registration statement is declared effective by the SEC; and

(3) if obligated to file the shelf registration statement, COPLP and COPT will use all commercially reasonable efforts to file a shelf registration statement with the SEC on or prior to 30 days after such filing obligation arises, to cause the shelf registration statement to be declared effective by the SEC on or prior to 90 days after such filing obligation arises and to keep the shelf registration statement continuously effective for a period of one year after the effective date of the shelf registration statement.

Upon the effectiveness of the exchange offer registration statement, we will offer the exchange notes in exchange for the private notes. The registration rights agreement is listed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is part.

Table of Contents

Resale of the Exchange Notes

Under existing interpretations by the staff of the SEC contained in no-action letters to third parties, the exchange notes will generally be freely transferable by holders (other than by any holder that is an affiliate (as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act) of COPLP or COPT) after the exchange offer without further registration under the Securities Act, except that participating broker-dealers (as defined below) will be required to deliver a prospectus in connection with any resale or other transfer of the exchange notes as described below.

If you wish to exchange your private notes for exchange notes in the exchange offer, you will be required to make certain representations. If you are not able to make these representations, you will not be entitled to participate in the exchange offer or to exchange your private notes for exchange notes.

Any broker-dealer who holds private notes acquired for its own account as a result of market-making activities or other trading activities (a participating broker-dealer) who exchanges those private notes for exchange notes in the exchange offer must deliver a prospectus meeting the requirements of the Securities Act in connection with any resale of those exchange notes. We understand that the staff of the SEC has taken the position that participating broker-dealers may fulfill their prospectus delivery requirements with respect to exchange notes, other than a resale of an unsold allotment from the initial offering of the private notes, with the prospectus contained in the exchange offer registration statement. Under the registration rights agreement, for a period of 180 days following the expiration date of the exchange offer, participating broker-dealers will be entitled to use the prospectus contained in the exchange offer registration statement in connection with the resale of the exchange notes (and we will agree to keep the exchange offer registration statement continuously effective and the related prospectus current during such period).

Terms of the Exchange Offer

Upon the terms and subject to the conditions described in this prospectus and in the accompanying letter of transmittal, which together constitute the exchange offer, we will accept any and all private notes validly tendered and not withdrawn before the expiration date. We will issue \$1,000 principal amount of exchange notes in exchange for each \$1,000 principal amount of outstanding private notes surrendered pursuant to the exchange offer. You may tender private notes only in integral multiples of \$1,000.

The form and terms of the exchange notes are the same as the form and terms of the private notes except that:

the exchange notes will be registered with the SEC and thus will not be subject to restrictions on transfer or bear legends restricting their transfer; and

the exchange notes will not provide for the payment of additional interest as described below or be entitled to registration rights under the registration rights agreement.

The exchange notes will evidence the same debt as the private notes and will be issued under the same indenture, so the exchange notes and the private notes will be treated as a single class of debt securities under the indenture.

As of the date of this prospectus, \$350.0 million in aggregate principal amount of the private notes are outstanding and registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC. Only registered holders of the private notes, or their legal representative or attorney-in-fact, as reflected on the records of the trustee under the indenture, may participate in the exchange offer. We will not set a fixed record date for determining registered holders of the private notes entitled to participate in the exchange offer.

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

You do not have any appraisal or dissenters' rights under the indenture in connection with the exchange offer. We intend to conduct the exchange offer in accordance with the provisions of the registration rights agreement and the applicable requirements of the Securities Act, the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations of the SEC.

We will be deemed to have accepted validly tendered private notes when, as and if we have given written notice of acceptance to the exchange agent. The exchange agent will act as your agent for the purposes of receiving the exchange notes from us.

If you tender private notes in the exchange offer you will not be required to pay brokerage commissions or fees with respect to the exchange of private notes pursuant to the exchange offer. We will pay all charges and expenses, other than the applicable taxes described below, in connection with the exchange offer.

Expiration Date; Extensions; Amendments

The term "expiration date" will mean 5:00 p.m., New York City time on _____, 2013 (the 21st business day following commencement of the exchange offer), unless we extend the exchange offer, in which case the term expiration date will mean the latest date and time to which we extend the exchange offer.

To extend the exchange offer, we will notify the exchange agent and each registered holder of any extension in writing by a press release or other public announcement before 9:00 a.m., New York City time, on the next business day after the previously scheduled expiration date. The notice of extension will disclose the aggregate principal amount of the private notes that have been tendered as of the date of such notice.

We reserve the right, in our reasonable discretion:

to delay accepting any private notes due to an extension of the exchange offer; or

if any conditions listed below under " Conditions" are not satisfied, to terminate the exchange offer,

in each case by written notice of the delay, extension or termination to the exchange agent and by press release or other public announcement.

We will follow any delay in acceptance, extension or termination as promptly as practicable by written notice to the registered holders by a press release or other public announcement. If we amend the exchange offer in a manner we determine constitutes a material change, we will promptly disclose the amendment in a prospectus supplement that we will distribute to the registered holders. We will also extend the exchange offer for a period of five to ten business days, depending upon the significance of the amendment and the manner of disclosure, if the exchange offer would otherwise expire during the five to ten business day period.

Interest on the Exchange notes

The exchange notes will bear interest at the same rate and on the same terms as the private notes. Consequently, the exchange notes will bear interest at a rate equal to 3.600% per year (calculated using a 360-day year). Interest will be payable on the exchange notes semi-annually on each May 15 and November 15.

Interest on the exchange notes will accrue from the last interest payment date on which interest was paid on the private notes or, if no interest has been paid on the private notes, from the date of initial issuance of the private notes. We will deem the right to receive any interest accrued but unpaid on the private notes waived by you if we accept your private notes for exchange.

Table of Contents

Procedures for Tendering

Valid Tender

Except as described below, a tendering holder must, prior to the expiration date, transmit to the exchange agent, at the address listed under the heading " Exchange Agent":

a properly completed and duly executed letter of transmittal, including all other documents required by the letter of transmittal; or

if the private notes are tendered in accordance with the book-entry procedures listed below, an agent's message.

In addition, a tendering holder must:

deliver certificates, if any, for the private notes to the exchange agent at or before the expiration date; or

deliver a timely confirmation of book-entry transfer of the private notes into the exchange agent's account at DTC, the book-entry transfer facility, along with the letter of transmittal or an agent's message; or

comply with the guaranteed delivery procedures described below.

The term "agent's message" means a message, transmitted by DTC to and received by the exchange agent and forming a part of a book-entry confirmation, that states that DTC has received an express acknowledgment that the tendering holder agrees to be bound by the letter of transmittal and that we may enforce the letter of transmittal against this holder.

If the letter of transmittal is signed by a person other than the registered holder of private notes, the letter of transmittal must be accompanied by a written instrument of transfer or exchange in satisfactory form duly executed by the registered holder with the signature guaranteed by an eligible institution. The private notes must be endorsed or accompanied by appropriate powers of attorney. In either case, the private notes must be signed exactly as the name of any registered holder appears on the private notes.

If the letter of transmittal or any private notes or powers of attorney are signed by trustees, executors, administrators, guardians, attorneys-in-fact, officers of corporations or others acting in a fiduciary or representative capacity, these persons should so indicate when signing. Unless waived by us, proper evidence satisfactory to us of their authority to so act must be submitted.

By tendering private notes pursuant to the exchange offer, each holder will represent to us that, among other things, the exchange notes are being acquired in the ordinary course of business of the person receiving the exchange notes, whether or not that person is the holder, and neither the holder nor the other person has any arrangement or understanding with any person to participate in the distribution of the exchange notes. In the case of a holder that is not a broker-dealer, that holder, by tendering private notes pursuant to the exchange offer, will also represent to us that the holder is not engaged in and does not intend to engage in a distribution of the exchange notes.

The method of delivery of private notes, letters of transmittal and all other required documents is at your election and risk. If the delivery is by mail, we recommend that you use registered mail, properly insured, with return receipt requested. In all cases, you should allow sufficient time to assure timely delivery. You should not send letters of transmittal or private notes to us.

If you are a beneficial owner whose private notes are registered in the name of a broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee, and wish to tender, you should promptly instruct the registered holder to tender on your behalf. Any registered holder that is a participant in DTC's book-entry transfer facility system may make book-entry delivery of the private notes by causing DTC

Table of Contents

to transfer the private notes into the exchange agent's account, including by means of DTC's Automated Tender Offer Program.

Signature Guarantees

Signatures on a letter of transmittal or a notice of withdrawal must be guaranteed, unless the private notes surrendered for exchange are tendered:

by a registered holder of the private notes who has not completed the box entitled "Special Issuance Instructions" or "Special Delivery Instructions" on the letter of transmittal; or

for the account of an "eligible institution."

If signatures on a letter of transmittal or a notice of withdrawal are required to be guaranteed, the guarantees must be by an "eligible institution." An "eligible institution" is an "eligible guarantor institution" meeting the requirements of the registrar for the notes, which requirements include membership or participation in the Security Transfer Agent Medallion Program, or STAMP, or such other "signature guarantee program" as may be determined by the registrar for the notes in addition to, or in substitution for, STAMP, all in accordance with the Exchange Act.

Book-Entry Transfer

The exchange agent will make a request to establish an account for the private notes at DTC for purposes of the exchange offer within two business days after the date of this prospectus. Any financial institution that is a participant in DTC's systems must make book-entry delivery of private notes by causing DTC to transfer those private notes into the exchange agent's account at DTC in accordance with DTC's procedure for transfer. The participant should transmit its acceptance to DTC at or prior to the expiration date or comply with the guaranteed delivery procedures described below. DTC will verify this acceptance, execute a book-entry transfer of the tendered private notes into the exchange agent's account at DTC and then send to the exchange agent confirmation of this book-entry transfer. The confirmation of this book-entry transfer will include an agent's message confirming that DTC has received an express acknowledgment from this participant that this participant has received and agrees to be bound by the letter of transmittal and that we may enforce the letter of transmittal against this participant.

Delivery of exchange notes issued in the exchange offer may be effected through book-entry transfer at DTC. However, the letter of transmittal or facsimile of it or an agent's message, with any required signature guarantees and any other required documents, must:

be transmitted to and received by the exchange agent at the address listed under " Exchange Agent" at or prior to the expiration date; or

comply with the guaranteed delivery procedures described below.

Delivery of documents to DTC in accordance with DTC's procedures does not constitute delivery to the exchange agent.

Guaranteed Delivery

If a registered holder of private notes desires to tender the private notes, and the private notes are not immediately available, or time will not permit the holder's private notes or other required documents to reach the exchange agent before the expiration date, or the procedure for book-entry transfer described above cannot be completed on a timely basis, a tender may nonetheless be made if:

the tender is made through an eligible institution;

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

prior to the expiration date, the exchange agent received from an eligible institution a properly completed and duly executed notice of guaranteed delivery, substantially in the form provided by us, by facsimile transmission, mail or hand delivery:

1. stating the name and address of the holder of private notes and the amount of private notes tendered;
2. stating that the tender is being made; and
3. guaranteeing that within three New York Stock Exchange trading days after the expiration date, the certificates for all physically tendered private notes, in proper form for transfer, or a book-entry confirmation, as the case may be, and a properly completed and duly executed letter of transmittal, or an agent's message, and any other documents required by the letter of transmittal will be deposited by the eligible institution with the exchange agent; and

the certificates for all physically tendered private notes, in proper form for transfer, or a book-entry confirmation, as the case may be, and a properly completed and duly executed letter of transmittal, or any agent's message, and all other documents required by the letter of transmittal, are received by the exchange agent within three New York Stock Exchange trading days after the expiration date.

Determination of Validity

We will determine in our sole discretion all questions as to the validity, form and eligibility of private notes tendered for exchange. This discretion extends to the determination of all questions concerning the time of receipt, acceptance and withdrawal of tendered private notes. These determinations will be final and binding. We reserve the absolute right to reject any and all private notes not properly tendered or any private notes our acceptance of which would, in the opinion of our counsel, be unlawful. We also reserve the right to waive any defects, irregularities or conditions of tender as to any particular private note either before or after the expiration date, including the right to waive the ineligibility of any tendering holder. Our interpretation of the terms and conditions of the exchange offer as to any particular private note either before or after the expiration date, including the letter of transmittal and the instructions to the letter of transmittal, shall be final and binding on all parties. Unless waived, you must cure any defects or irregularities with respect to tenders of private notes within the time we determine. Although we intend to notify you of defects or irregularities with respect to tenders of private notes, neither we, the exchange agent nor any other person will incur any liability for failure to give you that notification. Unless waived, we will not deem tenders of private notes to have been made until you cure the defects or irregularities.

Other Rights

While we have no present plan to acquire any private notes that are not tendered in the exchange offer or to file a registration statement to permit resales of any private notes that are not tendered in the exchange offer, we reserve the right in our sole discretion to purchase or make offers for any private notes that remain outstanding after the expiration date.

Acceptance of Private Notes for Exchange; Issuance of Exchange Notes

Upon the terms and subject to the conditions of the exchange offer, we will accept, promptly after the expiration date, all private notes properly tendered. We will issue the exchange notes promptly after acceptance of the private notes. For purposes of the exchange offer, we will be deemed to have accepted properly tendered private notes for exchange when, as and if we have given oral or written notice to the exchange agent, with prompt written confirmation of any oral notice.

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

In all cases, issuance of exchange notes for private notes will be made only after timely receipt by the exchange agent of:

certificates for the private notes, or a timely book-entry confirmation of the private notes, into the exchange agent's account at the book-entry transfer facility;

a properly completed and duly executed letter of transmittal or an agent's message; and

all other required documents.

For each private note accepted for exchange, the holder of the private note will receive an exchange note having a principal amount equal to that of the surrendered private note.

Return of Notes

Unaccepted or non-exchanged private notes will be returned without expense to the tendering holder of the private notes. In the case of private notes tendered by book-entry transfer in accordance with the book-entry procedures described above, the non-exchanged private notes will be credited to an account maintained with DTC as promptly as practicable after the expiration or termination of the exchange offer.

Withdrawal of Tenders

Except as otherwise provided in this prospectus, you may withdraw tenders of private notes at any time before 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date.

For a withdrawal to be effective, the exchange agent must receive a written notice of withdrawal at the address or, in the case of eligible institutions, at the facsimile number, indicated under " Exchange Agent" before the expiration date. Any notice of withdrawal must:

specify the name of the person, referred to as the depositor, having tendered the private notes to be withdrawn;

identify the private notes to be withdrawn, including the certificate number or numbers and principal amount of the private notes;

contain a statement that the holder is withdrawing its election to have the private notes exchanged;

be signed by the holder in the same manner as the original signature on the letter of transmittal by which the private notes were tendered, including any required signature guarantees, or be accompanied by documents of transfer to have the trustee with respect to the private notes register the transfer of the private notes in the name of the person withdrawing the tender; and

specify the name in which the private notes are registered, if different from that of the depositor.

If certificates for private notes have been delivered or otherwise identified to the exchange agent, then, prior to the release of these certificates the withdrawing holder must also submit the serial numbers of the particular certificates to be withdrawn and signed notice of withdrawal with signatures guaranteed by an eligible institution, unless this holder is an eligible institution. If private notes have been tendered in accordance with the procedure for book-entry transfer described above, any notice of withdrawal must specify the name and number of the account at the book-entry transfer facility to be credited with the withdrawn private notes.

We will determine in our sole discretion all questions as to the validity, form and eligibility of the notices, and our determination will be final and binding on all parties. We will not deem any properly withdrawn private notes to have been validly tendered for purposes of the

exchange offer, and we will

Table of Contents

not issue exchange notes with respect to those private notes, unless you validly retender the withdrawn private notes. You may retender properly withdrawn private notes by following the procedures described above under " Procedures for Tendering" at any time before 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date.

Conditions

Notwithstanding any other term of the exchange offer, we will not be required to accept for exchange, or exchange the exchange notes for, any private notes, and may terminate the exchange offer as provided in this prospectus before the expiration of the exchange offer, if the exchange offer violates applicable law or an applicable interpretation of the staff of the SEC.

Termination of Rights

All of your rights under the registration rights agreement will terminate upon consummation of the exchange offer, except with respect to our continuing obligations:

to indemnify you and parties related to you against liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act; and

to provide, upon your request, the information required by Rule 144A(d)(4) under the Securities Act to permit resales of the notes pursuant to Rule 144A.

Shelf Registration

If:

- (1) COPLP and COPT:
 - (a) do not cause the exchange offer registration statement to become effective on or prior to 240 days after the closing of the offering of private notes; or
 - (b) determine that the consummation of the exchange offer is not permitted because the exchange offer is not permitted by applicable law or SEC policy or applicable interpretations of the staff of the SEC; or
 - (c) receive a written request from any initial purchasers representing that it holds registrable securities that are or were ineligible to be exchange in the exchange offer; or

COPLP and COPT will use commercially reasonable efforts to cause to be filed with the SEC as soon as reasonably practicable but in no event more than 30 days after such determination, date, or request, a shelf registration statement, and will use commercially reasonable efforts to have the shelf registration statement declared effective by the SEC within 90 days after such determination, date, or request.

If:

- (1) any registration statement as required by the registration rights agreement is not declared effective by the SEC on or prior to the date specified for such effectiveness; or
- (2) the exchange offer is not completed within 240 days of the closing of the offering of private notes; or
- (3) the shelf registration statement is declared effective but thereafter ceases to be effective (other than under circumstances described below) during the periods specified in the registration rights agreement, or if COPLP and COPT through their

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

omission fail to name as a selling security holder any holder that had complied timely with its obligations under the registration rights agreement in a manner to entitle such holder to be named in the shelf registration

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

statement or any prospectus (each such event referred to in clauses (1) through (3) above, a registration default),

then COPLP will pay additional interest to each holder of registrable securities from and including the date on which any such registration default shall occur to but excluding the date on which all registration defaults have been cured or cease to exist.

With respect to the first 90-day period during which a registration default is continuing, additional interest will be paid at a rate equal to 0.25% per annum of the principal amount of entitled securities outstanding. If all registration defaults are not cured or cease to exist prior to the end of such 90-day period, then, from and including the first day after such 90-day period, the rate at which additional interest is payable will increase by an additional 0.25% per annum. However, the maximum rate of additional interest will in no event exceed 0.50% per annum. Additional interest will accrue and be payable to but excluding the date on which all registration defaults have been cured or cease to exist.

Additional interest will be computed on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months and will be paid to the holders of the registrable securities in the same manner and times as interest is otherwise payable on the registrable securities. From and including the date on which all registration defaults have been cured or otherwise ceased to exist, additional interest will cease to accrue unless and until a subsequent registration default occurs. Additional interest will not be payable on any private notes or exchange notes other than registrable securities.

Holders of the notes will be required to make certain representations to COPLP (as described in the registration rights agreement) in order to participate in the exchange offer. In order to include registrable securities in the shelf registration statement, if filed, and receive additional interest relating to a registration default with respect to the shelf registration statement, a holder will be required to provide certain information to COPLP and to be named as a selling security holder in the shelf registration statement and the related prospectus, and will be subject to certain civil liability provisions under the Securities Act in connection with sales under the shelf registration statement. By including registrable securities in the shelf registration statement, if any, a holder will be deemed to have agreed to indemnify us against certain losses arising out of information furnished by such holder in writing for inclusion in any shelf registration statement.

If a shelf registration statement becomes effective under the Securities Act then, during any 365-day period thereafter COPLP may, by notice to holders of entitled securities registered pursuant to the shelf registration statement, suspend the availability of the shelf registration statement and the use of the related prospectus for up to two periods not to exceed a total of 60 days during any such 365-day period if:

such action is required by applicable law; or

the happening of any event or the discovery of any fact makes any statement made in the shelf registration statement or the related prospectus untrue in any material respect or constitutes an omission to state a material fact in the shelf registration statement or related prospectus.

Each holder of registrable securities will be required to discontinue disposition of those registrable securities pursuant to the shelf registration statement upon receipt from us of notice of any events described in the preceding sentence but will not be entitled to receive additional interest unless such suspension exceeds the number of days or periods specified above. If we effect the exchange offer, we will also be permitted to require any broker-dealers to discontinue disposition of exchange notes pursuant to this prospectus on the same terms and conditions described in this paragraph. If we suspend the use of the shelf registration statement or this prospectus during the period we are otherwise required to keep such registration statement effective, then the period that COPLP and COPT are required to keep the shelf registration statement effective or during which the exchange offer registration statement must remain effective and participating broker-dealers are entitled to use

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

such prospectus, as the case may be, will be extended by a number of days equal to the period of any such suspension.

Exchange Agent

We have appointed U.S. Bank National Association as exchange agent for the exchange offer of notes. All executed letters of transmittal and any other required documents should be directed to the exchange agent at the address or facsimile number set forth below. You should direct questions and requests for assistance and requests for additional copies of this prospectus or of the letter of transmittal and requests for notices of guaranteed delivery to the exchange agent addressed as follows:

U.S. Bank National Association
Global Corporate Trust Services
1021 East Cary Street
Richmond, VA 23219
Attention: Becky D. Burton Corporate Trust Department
Reference: Corporate Office Properties Trust
3.600% Senior Notes due 2023

Fees and Expenses

We will bear the expenses of soliciting tenders. We have not retained any dealer manager in connection with the exchange offer and will not make any payments to brokers, dealers or others soliciting acceptances of the exchange offer. We will, however, pay the exchange agent reasonable and customary fees for its services and will reimburse it for its reasonable out-of-pocket expenses.

We will pay the cash expenses incurred in connection with the exchange offer. These expenses include registration fees, fees and expenses of the exchange agent and the trustee, accounting and legal fees and printing costs, among others.

We will pay all transfer taxes, if any, applicable to the exchange of notes pursuant to the exchange offer. If, however, a transfer tax is imposed for any reason other than the exchange of the private notes pursuant to the exchange offer, then you must pay the amount of the transfer taxes. If satisfactory evidence of payment of such taxes or exemption therefrom is not submitted with the letter of transmittal, the amount of such transfer taxes will be billed directly to you.

Consequence of Failures to Exchange

Participation in the exchange offer is voluntary. We urge you to consult your financial and tax advisors in making your decisions on what action to take. Private notes that are not exchanged for exchange notes pursuant to the exchange offer will remain restricted securities. Accordingly, those private notes may be resold only:

to a person whom the seller reasonably believes is a qualified institutional buyer in a transaction meeting the requirements of Rule 144A;

in a transaction meeting the requirements of Rule 144 under the Securities Act;

outside the United States to a foreign person in a transaction meeting the requirements of Rule 903 or 904 of Regulation S under the Securities Act;

in accordance with another exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act and based upon an opinion of counsel if we so request;

to us; or

pursuant to an effective registration statement.

Table of Contents

In each case, the private notes may be resold only in accordance with any applicable securities laws of any state of the United States or any other applicable jurisdiction.

Accounting Treatment

The exchange notes will be recorded at the same carrying value as the original notes, as reflected in our accounting records on the date of the exchange. Accordingly, no gain or loss for accounting purposes will be recognized.

USE OF PROCEEDS

The exchange offer satisfies an obligation under the registration rights agreement. We will not receive any cash proceeds from the exchange offer.

The net proceeds from the sale of the private notes after deducting discounts and offering expenses, were approximately \$346.1 million. We used the net proceeds from the sale of the private notes to repay borrowing under our unsecured revolving credit facility and for general corporate purposes, including partial repayment of certain of our unsecured term loans.

Table of Contents

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables set forth summary historical consolidated financial and operating data for COPLP and COPT and their respective subsidiaries. You should read the following summary historical financial data in conjunction with the consolidated historical financial statements and notes thereto of COPLP and its subsidiaries, included elsewhere in this prospectus, and COPT and its subsidiaries, incorporated by reference into this prospectus, and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Corporate Office Properties, L.P.

The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of COPLP audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, whose report with respect thereto is included elsewhere in this prospectus. The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the consolidated statement of operations data for each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 have been derived from the unaudited historical consolidated financial statements of COPLP, not included in this prospectus. The consolidated balance sheet data as of March 31, 2013 and the consolidated statement of operations data for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 have been derived from the unaudited historical consolidated financial statements of COPLP, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus and include all adjustments of a normal and recurring nature that management considers necessary for a fair presentation of such information. COPLP's consolidated results of operations and financial condition as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2013 do not purport to be indicative of its financial condition or results of operations as of or for the year ending December 31, 2013.

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

Corporate Office Properties, L.P. and Subsidiaries
(in thousands, except per unit data and number of properties)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Revenues from real estate operations | \$ 116,735 | \$ 110,661 | \$ 454,171 | \$ 428,496 | \$ 387,559 | \$ 349,463 | \$ 326,223 |
| Construction contract and other service revenues | 14,262 | 21,534 | 73,836 | 84,345 | 104,675 | 343,087 | 188,385 |
| Total revenues | 130,997 | 132,195 | 528,007 | 512,841 | 492,234 | 692,550 | 514,608 |
| Expenses | | | | | | | |
| Property operating expenses | 42,575 | 41,253 | 167,161 | 162,397 | 146,617 | 123,769 | 109,967 |
| Depreciation and amortization associated with real estate operations | 28,252 | 27,834 | 113,480 | 113,111 | 97,897 | 81,446 | 75,264 |
| Construction contract and other service expenses | 13,477 | 20,607 | 70,576 | 81,639 | 102,302 | 336,519 | 184,142 |
| Impairment losses | 1,857 | (4,836) | 43,214 | 83,478 | | | |
| General, administrative and leasing expenses | 7,820 | 9,569 | 31,900 | 30,308 | 28,477 | 27,853 | 28,707 |
| Business development expenses and land carry costs | 1,359 | 1,576 | 5,711 | 6,122 | 6,403 | 5,259 | 2,206 |
| Total operating expenses | 95,340 | 96,003 | 432,042 | 477,055 | 381,696 | 574,846 | 400,286 |
| Operating income | 35,657 | 36,192 | 95,965 | 35,786 | 110,538 | 117,704 | 114,322 |
| Interest expense | (22,307) | (24,431) | (94,624) | (98,222) | (95,729) | (76,718) | (79,542) |
| Interest and other income | 946 | 1,217 | 7,172 | 5,603 | 9,568 | 5,164 | 2,070 |
| (Loss) gain on early extinguishment of debt | (5,184) | | (943) | (1,639) | | | 8,101 |
| Loss on interest rate derivatives | | | | (29,805) | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before equity in (loss) income of unconsolidated entities and income taxes | 9,112 | 12,978 | 7,570 | (88,277) | 24,377 | 46,150 | 44,951 |
| Equity in (loss) income of unconsolidated entities | 41 | (89) | (546) | (331) | 1,376 | (941) | (147) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (16) | (204) | (381) | 6,710 | (108) | (196) | (201) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 9,137 | 12,685 | 6,643 | (81,898) | 25,645 | 45,013 | 44,603 |
| Discontinued operations(1) | 3,786 | (2,450) | 13,677 | (48,404) | 17,054 | 16,310 | 15,655 |
| Income (loss) before gain on sales of real estate | 12,923 | 10,235 | 20,320 | (130,302) | 42,699 | 61,323 | 60,258 |
| Gain on sales of real estate, net of income taxes(2) | 2,354 | | 21 | 2,732 | 2,829 | | 1,090 |
| Net income (loss) | 15,277 | 10,235 | 20,341 | (127,570) | 45,528 | 61,323 | 61,348 |
| Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 336 | 570 | 507 | 244 | (61) | 66 | (353) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to COPLP | 15,613 | 10,805 | 20,848 | (127,326) | 45,467 | 61,389 | 60,995 |
| Preferred unit distributions | (6,271) | (4,190) | (21,504) | (16,762) | (16,762) | (16,762) | (16,762) |
| Issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred units(3) | | | (1,827) | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to COPLP common unitholders | \$ 9,342 | \$ 6,615 | \$ (2,483) | \$ (144,088) | \$ 28,705 | \$ 44,627 | \$ 44,233 |
| Basic earnings per common unit(4) | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.12 | \$ (0.21) | \$ (1.33) | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.46 | \$ 0.51 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.09 | \$ (0.04) | \$ (2.00) | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.73 | \$ 0.80 |
| Diluted earnings per common unit(4) | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.12 | \$ (0.21) | \$ (1.33) | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.46 | \$ 0.51 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.09 | \$ (0.04) | \$ (2.00) | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.72 | \$ 0.79 |
| Weighted average common units outstanding basic | 85,290 | 75,739 | 77,689 | 72,564 | 62,553 | 59,981 | 54,573 |
| Weighted average common units outstanding diluted | 85,342 | 75,783 | 77,689 | 72,564 | 62,886 | 60,458 | 55,261 |

45

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Balance Sheet Data (as of period end): | | | | | | | |
| Total properties, net | \$ 3,189,973 | \$ 3,338,291 | \$ 3,163,044 | \$ 3,352,975 | \$ 3,445,455 | \$ 3,029,900 | \$ 2,778,466 |
| Total assets | \$ 3,678,041 | \$ 3,790,595 | \$ 3,646,983 | \$ 3,855,967 | \$ 3,836,329 | \$ 3,373,337 | \$ 3,109,690 |
| Debt | \$ 1,957,360 | \$ 2,418,078 | \$ 2,019,168 | \$ 2,426,303 | \$ 2,323,681 | \$ 2,053,841 | \$ 1,856,751 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 2,127,142 | \$ 2,589,799 | \$ 2,200,186 | \$ 2,641,160 | \$ 2,512,504 | \$ 2,252,051 | \$ 2,026,650 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interest | \$ 10,356 | \$ 9,237 | \$ 10,298 | \$ 8,908 | \$ 9,000 | \$ | \$ |
| Total equity | \$ 1,540,543 | \$ 1,191,559 | \$ 1,436,499 | \$ 1,205,899 | \$ 1,314,825 | \$ 1,121,286 | \$ 1,083,040 |
| Other Financial Data (for the period ended): | | | | | | | |
| Cash flows provided by (used in): | | | | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 47,311 | \$ 43,787 | \$ 191,838 | \$ 152,149 | \$ 156,460 | \$ 194,838 | \$ 182,039 |
| Investing activities | \$ (60,176) | \$ 7,791 | \$ 13,744 | \$ (260,387) | \$ (479,167) | \$ (349,076) | \$ (290,822) |
| Financing activities | \$ 25,780 | \$ (49,150) | \$ (200,547) | \$ 103,695 | \$ 324,547 | \$ 155,725 | \$ 90,920 |
| Numerator for diluted EPU(4) | \$ 9,224 | \$ 6,474 | \$ (2,952) | \$ (145,125) | \$ 27,634 | \$ 43,617 | \$ 43,505 |
| Cash distributions declared per common unit | \$ 0.275 | \$ 0.275 | \$ 1.100 | \$ 1.650 | \$ 1.610 | \$ 1.530 | \$ 1.425 |
| Property Data (as of period end): | | | | | | | |
| Number of properties owned(5) | 210 | 231 | 208 | 238 | 256 | 253 | 240 |
| Total rentable square feet owned(5) | 19,128 | 20,237 | 18,831 | 20,514 | 20,432 | 19,543 | 18,559 |

- (1) Includes income derived from three operating properties disposed in 2008, three operating properties disposed in 2010, 23 operating properties disposed in 2011, 35 operating properties disposed in 2012 and 17 operating properties classified as held for sale at March 31, 2013.
- (2) Reflects gain from sales of properties and unconsolidated real estate joint ventures not associated with discontinued operations.
- (3) Reflects a decrease to net income available to common unitholders pertaining to the original issuance costs recognized upon the redemption of the Series G preferred units in 2012.
- (4) Basic and diluted earnings per common share are calculated based on amounts attributable to common unitholders of COPLP.
- (5) Amounts reported reflect only operating office properties.

Table of Contents

Corporate Office Properties Trust

The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of COPT audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, whose report with respect thereto is incorporated by reference in this prospectus. The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the consolidated statement of operations data for each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of COPT, not included in or incorporated by reference in this prospectus. The consolidated balance sheet data as of March 31, 2013 and the consolidated statement of operations data for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 have been derived from the unaudited historical consolidated financial statements of COPT, which are incorporated by reference in this prospectus and include all adjustments of a normal and recurring nature that management considers necessary for a fair presentation of such information. COPT's consolidated results of operations and financial condition as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2013 do not purport to be indicative of its financial condition or results of operations as of or for the year ending December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Corporate Office Properties Trust and Subsidiaries
(in thousands, except per share data and number of properties)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Revenues from real estate operations | \$ 116,735 | \$ 110,661 | \$ 454,171 | \$ 428,496 | \$ 387,559 | \$ 349,463 | \$ 326,223 |
| Construction contract and other service revenues | 14,262 | 21,534 | 73,836 | 84,345 | 104,675 | 343,087 | 188,385 |
| Total revenues | 130,997 | 132,195 | 528,007 | 512,841 | 492,234 | 692,550 | 514,608 |
| Expenses | | | | | | | |
| Property operating expenses | 42,575 | 41,253 | 167,161 | 162,397 | 146,617 | 123,769 | 109,967 |
| Depreciation and amortization associated with real estate operations | 28,252 | 27,834 | 113,480 | 113,111 | 97,897 | 81,446 | 75,264 |
| Construction contract and other service expenses | 13,477 | 20,607 | 70,576 | 81,639 | 102,302 | 336,519 | 184,142 |
| Impairment losses (recoveries) | 1,857 | (4,836) | 43,214 | 83,478 | | | |
| General, administrative and leasing expenses | 7,820 | 9,569 | 31,900 | 30,314 | 28,501 | 27,877 | 28,739 |
| Business development expenses and land carry costs | 1,359 | 1,576 | 5,711 | 6,122 | 6,403 | 5,259 | 2,206 |
| Total operating expenses | 95,340 | 96,003 | 432,042 | 477,061 | 381,720 | 574,870 | 400,318 |
| Operating income | 35,657 | 36,192 | 95,965 | 35,780 | 110,514 | 117,680 | 114,290 |
| Interest expense | (22,307) | (24,431) | (94,624) | (98,222) | (95,729) | (76,718) | (79,542) |
| Interest and other income | 946 | 1,217 | 7,172 | 5,603 | 9,568 | 5,164 | 2070 |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | (5,184) | | (943) | (1,639) | | | 8,101 |
| Loss on interest rate derivatives | | | | (29,805) | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before equity in (loss) income of unconsolidated entities and income taxes | 9,112 | 12,978 | 7,570 | (88,283) | 24,353 | 46,126 | 44,919 |
| Equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated entities | 41 | (89) | (546) | (331) | 1,376 | (941) | (147) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (16) | (204) | (381) | 6,710 | (108) | (196) | (201) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 9,137 | 12,685 | 6,643 | (81,904) | 25,621 | 44,989 | 44,571 |
| Discontinued operations(1) | 3,786 | (2,450) | 13,677 | (48,404) | 17,054 | 16,310 | 15,655 |
| Income (loss) before gain on sales of real estate | 12,923 | 10,235 | 20,320 | (130,308) | 42,675 | 61,299 | 60,226 |
| Gain on sales of real estate, net of income taxes(2) | 2,354 | | 21 | 2,732 | 2,829 | | 1,090 |
| Net income (loss) | 15,277 | 10,235 | 20,341 | (127,576) | 45,504 | 61,299 | 61,316 |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | (257) | 60 | 636 | 8,148 | (2,744) | (4,970) | (7,351) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to COPT | 15,020 | 10,295 | 20,977 | (119,428) | 42,760 | 56,329 | 53,965 |
| Preferred share dividends | (6,106) | (4,025) | (20,844) | (16,102) | (16,102) | (16,102) | (16,102) |
| Issuance costs associated with redeemed preferred shares(3) | | | (1,827) | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to COPT common shareholders | \$ 8,914 | \$ 6,270 | \$ (1,694) | \$ (135,530) | \$ 26,658 | \$ 40,227 | \$ 37,863 |
| Basic earnings per common share(4) | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.12 | \$ (0.21) | \$ (1.31) | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.50 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.09 | \$ (0.03) | \$ (1.97) | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.77 |
| Diluted earnings per common share(4) | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.12 | \$ (0.21) | \$ (1.31) | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.49 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.09 | \$ (0.03) | \$ (1.97) | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.76 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding basic | 81,397 | 71,458 | 73,454 | 69,382 | 59,611 | 55,930 | 48,132 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted | 81,449 | 71,502 | 73,454 | 69,382 | 59,944 | 56,407 | 48,820 |

48

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Balance Sheet Data (as of period end): | | | | | | | |
| Total properties, net | \$ 3,189,973 | \$ 3,338,291 | \$ 3,163,044 | \$ 3,352,975 | \$ 3,445,455 | \$ 3,029,900 | \$ 2,778,466 |
| Total assets | \$ 3,685,099 | \$ 3,797,368 | \$ 3,653,759 | \$ 3,863,555 | \$ 3,844,517 | \$ 3,380,022 | \$ 3,114,239 |
| Debt | \$ 1,957,360 | \$ 2,418,078 | \$ 2,019,168 | \$ 2,426,303 | \$ 2,323,681 | \$ 2,053,841 | \$ 1,856,751 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 2,134,200 | \$ 2,596,572 | \$ 2,206,962 | \$ 2,648,748 | \$ 2,521,379 | \$ 2,259,390 | \$ 2,031,816 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interest | \$ 10,356 | \$ 9,237 | \$ 10,298 | \$ 8,908 | \$ 9,000 | \$ | \$ |
| Total equity | \$ 1,540,543 | \$ 1,191,559 | \$ 1,436,499 | \$ 1,205,899 | \$ 1,323,138 | \$ 1,120,632 | \$ 1,082,423 |
| Other Financial Data (for the period ended): | | | | | | | |
| Cash flows provided by (used in): | | | | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 47,311 | \$ 43,787 | \$ 191,838 | \$ 152,143 | \$ 156,436 | \$ 194,817 | \$ 180,892 |
| Investing activities | \$ (60,176) | \$ 7,791 | \$ 13,744 | \$ (260,387) | \$ (479,167) | \$ (349,076) | \$ (290,822) |
| Financing activities | \$ 25,780 | \$ (49,150) | \$ (200,547) | \$ 103,701 | \$ 324,571 | \$ 155,746 | \$ 92,067 |
| Numerator for diluted EPS(4) | \$ 8,796 | \$ 6,129 | \$ (2,163) | \$ (136,567) | \$ 25,587 | \$ 39,217 | \$ 37,135 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.275 | \$ 0.275 | \$ 1.10 | \$ 1.65 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 1.53 | \$ 1.425 |
| Property Data (as of period end): | | | | | | | |
| Number of properties owned(5) | 210 | 231 | 208 | 238 | 256 | 253 | 240 |
| Total rentable square feet owned(5) | 19,128 | 20,237 | 18,831 | 20,514 | 20,432 | 19,543 | 18,559 |

- (1) Includes income derived from three operating properties disposed in 2008, three operating properties disposed in 2010, 23 operating properties disposed in 2011, 35 operating properties disposed in 2012 and 17 operating properties classified as held for sale at March 31, 2013.
- (2) Reflects gain from sales of properties and unconsolidated real estate joint ventures not associated with discontinued operations.
- (3) Reflects a decrease to net income available to common shareholders pertaining to the original issuance costs recognized upon the redemption of the Series G preferred shares of beneficial interest in 2012.
- (4) Basic and diluted earnings per common share are calculated based on amounts attributable to common shareholders of COPT.
- (5) Amounts reported reflect only operating office properties.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion relates to the consolidated financial statements of COPLP and subsidiaries, and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. For purposes of this section, the terms "we," "us" and "our" refer collectively to COPLP and its subsidiaries.

This section contains "forward-looking" statements, as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, that are based on our current expectations, estimates and projections about future events and financial trends affecting the financial condition and operations of our business. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "believe," "anticipate," "expect," "estimate," "plan" or other comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which we cannot predict with accuracy and some of which we might not even anticipate. Although we believe that the expectations, estimates and projections reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions at the time made, we can give no assurance that these expectations, estimates and projections will be achieved. Future events and actual results may differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Important factors that may affect these expectations, estimates and projections include, but are not limited to:

general economic and business conditions, which will, among other things, affect office property and data center demand and rents, tenant creditworthiness, interest rates, financing availability and property values;

adverse changes in the real estate markets, including, among other things, increased competition with other companies;

governmental actions and initiatives, including risks associated with the impact of a government shutdown or budgetary reductions or impasses, such as a reduction in rental revenues, non-renewal of leases and/or a curtailment of demand for additional space by our strategic customers;

our ability to borrow on favorable terms;

risks of real estate acquisition and development activities, including, among other things, risks that development projects may not be completed on schedule, that tenants may not take occupancy or pay rent or that development or operating costs may be greater than anticipated;

our ability to sell properties included in our Strategic Reallocation Plan;

risks of investing through joint venture structures, including risks that our joint venture partners may not fulfill their financial obligations as investors or may take actions that are inconsistent with our objectives;

changes in our plans for properties or views of market economic conditions or failure to obtain development rights, either of which could result in recognition of significant impairment losses;

our ability to satisfy and operate effectively under Federal income tax rules relating to real estate investment trusts and partnerships;

the dilutive effects of issuing additional common shares;

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

our ability to achieve projected results; and

environmental requirements.

We undertake no obligation to update or supplement forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

Overview

COPLP is the entity through which COPT, a fully-integrated and self-managed REIT, and our sole general partner, conducts almost all of its operations and owns substantially all of its assets. We focus primarily on serving the specialized requirements of United States Government agencies and defense contractors, most of whom are engaged in defense information technology and national security related activities. We generally acquire, develop, manage and lease office and data center properties concentrated in large office parks located near knowledge-based government demand drivers and/or in targeted markets or submarkets in the Greater Washington, DC/Baltimore region.

Our revenues relating to real estate operations are derived from rents and property operating expense reimbursements earned from tenants leasing space in our properties. Most of our expenses relating to our real estate operations take the form of: property operating costs, such as real estate taxes, utilities and repairs and maintenance; and depreciation and amortization associated with our operating properties. Most of our profitability from real estate operations depends on our ability to maintain high levels of occupancy and increase rents, which is affected by a number of factors, including, among other things, our tenants' ability to fulfill their lease obligations and their continuing space needs based on, among other things, employment levels, business confidence, competition and general economic conditions of the markets in which we operate.

Our strategy for operations and growth focuses on serving the specialized requirements of United States Government agencies and defense contractors, most of whom are engaged in defense information technology and national security related activities. These tenants' missions generally pertain more to knowledge-based activities (such as cyber security, research and development and other highly technical defense and security areas) than to force structure (troops) and weapon system production. As a result of this strategy, a large concentration of our revenue is derived from several large tenants. As of March 31, 2013, 64.4% of our annualized rental revenue (as defined below) from office properties was from our 20 largest tenants, 40.5% from our four largest tenants and 24.0% from our largest tenant, the United States Government. In addition, as of March 31, 2013, 70.2% of the total annualized rental revenue of our office properties held for long-term investment was from properties located near defense installations and other knowledge-based government demand drivers (referred to elsewhere as "Strategic Demand Drivers"), or that were otherwise at least 50% leased by United States Government agencies or defense contractors; we refer to these properties herein as "Strategic Tenant Properties."

We made significant progress in 2012 under the Strategic Reallocation Plan that we launched in 2011, which entails the disposition by the end of 2013 of approximately \$562.0 million in office properties and land no longer closely aligned with our strategy, and use of the proceeds to invest in Strategic Tenant Properties, to repay borrowings and for general corporate purposes. In 2012, we completed dispositions of 35 operating properties totaling 2.3 million square feet and non-operating properties for aggregate transaction values totaling \$313.6 million. Aggregate dispositions since implementation of the Strategic Reallocation Plan total \$390.3 million, including 58 operating properties totaling 3.2 million square feet. We used most of the proceeds from these sales to pay down our Revolving Credit Facility. In 2012, we also approved a plan for the future disposition of our office properties and developable land in Greater Philadelphia, Pennsylvania because the properties no longer meet our strategic investment criteria; we expect this disposition to occur in the next four years.

Our operations in recent years have been hindered by continuing delays in Federal budget approvals and mounting uncertainty regarding the potential for future reductions in government spending targeting defense, as well as the otherwise challenging economic conditions in the United States. Furthermore, the Budget Control Act passed in 2011, which imposed caps on the Federal budget in order to achieve targeted spending levels over the 2013-2021 fiscal years, resulted in approximately \$110 billion being sequestered from the United States Government's funding levels for

Table of Contents

the 2013 fiscal year beginning in March 2013, approximately 50% of which are scheduled to come from defense. We believe that this defense spending uncertainty has delayed our progress in leasing existing properties and new construction proximate to Strategic Demand Drivers. In addition, the otherwise challenging economic conditions have prompted certain tenants to consolidate operations and businesses to close, downsize their space requirements or cancel or delay expansion plans in our regions, placing downward pressure on occupancy and rental rates.

Despite these challenges, our office property portfolio's occupancy improved to 87.8% as of December 31, 2012, a 1.6% increase over year end 2011. We also successfully completed 3.3 million square feet of leasing, including 1.2 million of construction and redevelopment space. The improvement in our portfolio's occupancy was attributable primarily to an improvement in occupancy of our Same Office Properties (defined below) to 89.1% at December 31, 2012 (up from 88.3% at December 31, 2011) and our dispositions in 2012 of lower occupancy properties under the Strategic Reallocation Plan. Our properties proximate to Strategic Demand Drivers were 92.1% occupied at December 31, 2012, notably stronger than our other properties, which were 84.4% occupied. Our office property portfolio's occupancy was 87.6% as of March 31, 2013.

We believe that the continuing Federal budget discussions will eventually lead to modest additional reductions in defense spending. However, if such reductions were to occur, we continue to believe that our properties' proximate to Strategic Demand Drivers will not be significantly affected, and could position us for future growth, for reasons that include the following:

we expect defense spending reductions, should they occur, will be targeted more towards force structure (troops) and weapon system production than towards the knowledge-based activities of most of our tenants, which we believe are considered increasingly critical to our national security;

in 2011, Federal agencies completed their relocation to the following government installations that serve as demand drivers to our portfolio of Strategic Tenant Properties primarily in connection with mandates by the Base Realignment and Closure Commission of the United States Congress ("BRAC"): Fort George G. Meade (which also houses the recently-formed United States Cyber Command), Redstone Arsenal, Fort Belvoir, San Antonio and Aberdeen Proving Ground; the shifting of jobs by defense contractors supporting these agencies that we believe still needs to occur has been delayed by the defense spending uncertainty;

if defense construction spending is cut, government demand to lease space in our business parks could possibly increase if the government decides to lease space instead of build it.

We believe that the outlook for our properties proximate to Strategic Demand Drivers would be hindered more by an extended period of uncertainty regarding future defense spending reductions than by the actual spending reductions.

The relative contribution to our operations by properties not proximate to Strategic Demand Drivers has decreased due to our property dispositions in 2011 and 2012, and we expect that trend to continue as we complete the Strategic Reallocation Plan. Nevertheless, our market strategy is to continue to own these types of properties in targeted markets or submarkets in the Greater Washington, DC/Baltimore region with strong growth attributes. These properties tend to be more subject to general market conditions that have been affected by the slow economic recovery. As a result, we expect a longer road to recovery to pre-recession occupancy levels for these properties.

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

Our capital strategy is aimed at maintaining a flexible capital structure, and we believe that we significantly improved our balance sheet and expanded our access to capital in 2012 not only through our execution of the Strategic Reallocation Plan but also by:

COPT issuing 6.9 million Series L Cumulative Preferred Shares (the "Series L Preferred Shares") at a price of \$25.00 per share for net proceeds of \$165.7 million after underwriting discounts but before offering expenses. The Series L Preferred Shares are nonvoting, redeemable for cash at \$25.00 per share at our option on or after June 27, 2017 and accrue dividends equal to 7.375% of the liquidation preference. COPT contributed the net proceeds from the sale to COPLP in exchange for 6.9 million Series L Preferred Units. The Series L Preferred Units carry terms that are substantially the same as the Series L Preferred Shares. The net proceeds were used to pay down our Revolving Credit Facility and for general corporate purposes;

COPT redeeming all of its Series G Preferred Shares of beneficial interest (the "Series G Preferred Shares") at a price of \$25.00 per share, or \$55.0 million in the aggregate, plus accrued and unpaid dividends thereon through the date of redemption. These shares accrued dividends equal to 8.0% of the liquidation preference. In connection with this redemption, COPLP redeemed the Series G Preferred Units previously owned by COPT that carried terms substantially the same as the Series G Preferred Shares;

COPT completing a public offering of 8.6 million common shares at a price of \$24.75 per share for net proceeds of \$204.9 million, after underwriter discounts but before offering expenses, that were contributed to COPLP in exchange for 8.6 million common units. The net proceeds were used to pay down our Revolving Credit Facility and for general corporate purposes;

entering into unsecured term loan agreements, under which we borrowed \$370 million in the aggregate. The net proceeds from these borrowings were used to pay down our Revolving Credit Facility; and

establishing an at-the-market ("ATM") stock offering program under which we COPT may, from time to time, offer and sell common shares in "at the market" stock offerings having an aggregate gross sales price of up to \$150.0 million. The proceeds from any such offering will be contributed to COPLP in exchange for common units.

We further improved our balance sheet and expanded our access to capital during the three months ended March 31, 2013, and through the date of this prospectus, by:

COPT completing a public offering of 4,485,000 common shares at a price of \$26.34 per share for net proceeds of \$118.1 million, after underwriter discounts but before offering expenses, that were contributed to COPLP in exchange for 4,485,000 common units. The net proceeds were used to pay down our Revolving Credit Facility and for general corporate purposes;

repaying a \$53.7 million principal amount of our 4.25% Exchangeable Senior Notes for an aggregate repayment amount of \$56.4 million, and recognized a \$5.3 million loss of early extinguishment of debt, including unamortized loan issuance costs;

COPT redeeming all of its outstanding Series J Preferred Shares at a price of \$25 per share, or \$84.8 million in the aggregate, plus accrued and unpaid dividends thereon through the date of redemption, using proceeds from the March 2013 public offering of common shares. These shares accrued dividends equal to 7.625% of the liquidation preference. In connection with this redemption, COPLP redeemed the Series J Preferred Units previously owned by COPT that carried terms substantially the same as the Series J Preferred Shares. We recognized a \$2.9 million decrease to net income available to common unitholders pertaining to the original issuance costs incurred on the Series J Preferred Units at the time of the redemption; and

Table of Contents

COPLP issuing a \$350.0 million aggregate principal amount of 3.600% Senior Notes due 2023 at an initial offering price of 99.816% of their face value. The proceeds from the offering, after deducting discounts of the initial purchasers of the Notes, but before other offering expenses, were approximately \$347.1 million. We used the net proceeds of the offering to repay borrowings under our Revolving Credit Facility and for general corporate purposes, including partial repayment of certain of our unsecured term loans.

These activities contributed towards our: improving the relationship of our outstanding debt relative to both assets and net operating income; and paying down our Revolving Credit Facility to zero as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, providing significant liquidity and flexibility for future investing and financing activities.

Our 2012 investing activities grew our portfolio's concentration in Strategic Tenant Properties through the dispositions of nonstrategic properties discussed above and by:

placing into service an aggregate of 371,000 square feet in four newly constructed properties proximate to Strategic Demand Drivers that were 45.8% leased as of December 31, 2012; and

acquiring for \$48.3 million a property in Herndon, Virginia totaling 202,000 square feet that was 100% leased to a defense contractor.

In addition, during the three months ended March 31, 2013, we placed into service an aggregate of 236,000 square feet in three newly constructed properties proximate to defense installations and other knowledge-based demand drivers that were 100% leased as of March 31, 2013.

We discuss significant factors contributing to changes in our net income attributable to common shareholders and diluted earnings per share over the last three years and during the three month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 in the section below entitled "Results of Operations." In addition, the section below entitled "Liquidity and Capital Resources" includes discussions of, among other things:

how we expect to generate cash for short and long-term capital needs;

our off-balance sheet arrangements in place that are reasonably likely to affect our financial condition; and

our commitments and contingencies.

We refer to the measure "annualized rental revenue" in various sections of the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of this prospectus. Annualized rental revenue is a measure that we use to evaluate the source of our rental revenue as of a point in time. It is computed by multiplying by 12 the sum of monthly contractual base rents and estimated monthly expense reimbursements under active leases as of a point in time. Our computation of annualized rental revenue excludes the effect of lease incentives, although the effect of this exclusion is generally not material. We consider annualized rental revenue to be a useful measure for analyzing revenue sources because, since it is point-in-time based, it does not contain increases and decreases in revenue associated with periods in which lease terms were not in effect; historical revenue under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP") does contain such fluctuations. We find the measure particularly useful for leasing, tenant, segment and industry analysis.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP, which require us to make certain estimates and assumptions. A summary of our significant accounting policies is provided in Note 2 to our 2012 annual consolidated financial statements. The following section is a summary of certain aspects of those accounting policies involving estimates and assumptions that (1) require our

Table of Contents

most difficult, subjective or complex judgments in accounting for uncertain matters or matters that are susceptible to change and (2) materially affect our reported operating performance or financial condition. It is possible that the use of different reasonable estimates or assumptions in making these judgments could result in materially different amounts being reported in our consolidated financial statements. While reviewing this section, you should refer to Note 2 to our 2012 annual consolidated financial statements, including terms defined therein.

Acquisitions of Properties

When we acquire properties, we allocate the purchase price to numerous tangible and intangible components. Most of the terms in this bullet section are discussed in further detail in Note 2 to the 2012 annual consolidated financial statements entitled "Acquisitions of Properties." Our process for determining the allocation to these components requires many estimates and assumptions, including the following:

(1) determination of market rental rates; (2) estimation of leasing and tenant improvement costs associated with the remaining term of acquired leases; (3) assumptions used in determining the in-place lease value, if-vacant value and tenant relationship value, including the rental rates, period of time that it will take to lease vacant space and estimated tenant improvement and leasing costs; and (4) allocation of the if-vacant value between land and building. A change in any of the above key assumptions, which are subjective, can materially change not only the presentation of acquired properties in our consolidated financial statements but also our reported results of operations. The allocation to different components affects the following:

the amount of the purchase price allocated among different categories of assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets; the amount of costs assigned to individual properties in multiple property acquisitions; and the amount of gain recognized in our consolidated statements of operations should we determine that the fair value of the acquisition exceeds its cost;

where the amortization of the components appear over time in our consolidated statements of operations. Allocations to above- and below-market leases are amortized into rental revenue, whereas allocations to most of the other tangible and intangible assets are amortized into depreciation and amortization expense; and

the timing over which the items are recognized as revenue or expense in our consolidated statements of operations. For example, for allocations to the as-if vacant value, the land portion is not depreciated and the building portion is depreciated over a longer period of time than the other components (generally 40 years). Allocations to above- and below-market leases, in-place lease value and tenant relationship value are amortized over significantly shorter timeframes, and if individual tenants' leases are terminated early, any unamortized amounts remaining associated with those tenants are written off upon termination. These differences in timing can materially affect our reported results of operations. In addition, we establish lives for tenant relationship values based on our estimates of how long we expect the respective tenants to remain in the properties.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We assess each of our operating properties for impairment quarterly using cash flow projections and estimated fair values that we derive for each of the properties. We update the leasing and other assumptions used in these projections regularly, paying particular attention to properties that have experienced chronic vacancy or face significant market challenges. We review our plans and intentions for our development projects and land parcels quarterly. Each quarter, we also review the reasonableness of changes in our estimated operating property fair values from amounts estimated in the prior quarter. If events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying values of certain operating properties, properties in development or land held for future development may be impaired,

Table of Contents

we perform a recovery analysis for such properties. For long-lived assets to be held and used, we analyze recoverability based on the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated from the operations and eventual disposition of the assets over, in most cases, a ten-year holding period. If we believe there is a significant possibility that we might dispose of the assets earlier, we analyze recoverability using a probability weighted analysis of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated from the operations and eventual disposition of the assets over the various possible holding periods. If the analysis indicates that the carrying value of a tested property is not recoverable from estimated future cash flows, it is written down to its estimated fair value and an impairment loss is recognized. If and when our plans change, we revise our recoverability analyses to use the cash flows expected from the operations and eventual disposition of each asset using holding periods that are consistent with our revised plans.

Property fair values are determined based on contract prices, indicative bids, discounted cash flow analyses or yield analyses. Estimated cash flows used in such analyses are based on our plans for the property and our views of market and economic conditions. The estimates consider items such as current and future rental rates, occupancies for the tested property and comparable properties, estimated operating and capital expenditures and recent sales data for comparable properties; most of these items are influenced by market data obtained from third party sources such as CoStar Group and real estate leasing and brokerage firms and our direct experience with the properties and their markets. Determining the appropriate capitalization or yield rate also requires significant judgment and is typically based on many factors, including the prevailing rate for the market or submarket, as well as the quality and location of the properties. Changes in the estimated future cash flows due to changes in our plans for a property, views of market and economic conditions and/or our ability to obtain development rights could result in recognition of impairment losses which could be substantial.

Properties held for sale are carried at the lower of their carrying values (i.e., cost less accumulated depreciation and any impairment loss recognized, where applicable) or estimated fair values less costs to sell. Accordingly, decisions to sell certain operating properties, properties in development or land held for development will result in impairment losses if carrying values of the specific properties exceed their estimated fair values less costs to sell. The estimates of fair value consider matters such as recent sales data for comparable properties and, where applicable, contracts or the results of negotiations with prospective purchasers. These estimates are subject to revision as market conditions, and our assessment of such conditions, change.

Assessment of Lease Term

As discussed above, a significant portion of our portfolio is leased to the United States Government, and the majority of those leases consist of a series of one-year renewal options. Applicable accounting guidance requires us to recognize minimum rental payments on a straight-line basis over the terms of each lease and to assess the lease terms as including all periods for which failure to renew the lease imposes a penalty on the lessee in such amounts that a renewal appears, at the inception of the lease, to be reasonably assured. Factors to consider when determining whether a penalty is significant include the uniqueness of the purpose or location of the property, the availability of a comparable replacement property, the relative importance or significance of the property to the continuation of the lessee's line of business and the existence of leasehold improvements or other assets whose value would be impaired by the lessee vacating or discontinuing use of the leased property. We have concluded for a number of our leases, based on the factors above, that the United States Government's exercise of all of those renewal options is reasonably assured. Changes in these assessments could result in the write-off of any recorded assets associated with straight-line rental revenue and acceleration of depreciation and amortization expense associated with costs we have incurred related to these leases.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition on Tenant Improvements

Most of our leases involve some form of improvements to leased space. When we are required to provide improvements under the terms of a lease, we need to determine whether the improvements constitute landlord assets or tenant assets. If the improvements are landlord assets, we capitalize the cost of the improvements and recognize depreciation expense associated with such improvements over the shorter of the useful life of the assets or the term of the lease and recognize any payments from the tenant as rental revenue over the term of the lease. If the improvements are tenant assets, we defer the cost of improvements funded by us as a lease incentive asset and amortize it as a reduction of rental revenue over the term of the lease. Our determination of whether improvements are landlord assets or tenant assets also may affect when we commence revenue recognition in connection with a lease.

In determining whether improvements constitute landlord or tenant assets, we consider numerous factors that may require subjective or complex judgments, including: whether the improvements are unique to the tenant or reusable by other tenants; whether the tenant is permitted to alter or remove the improvements without our consent or without compensating us for any lost fair value; whether the ownership of the improvements remains with us or remains with the tenant at the end of the lease term; and whether the economic substance of the lease terms is properly reflected.

Collectability of Accounts and Deferred Rent Receivable

Allowances for doubtful accounts and deferred rent receivable are established based on quarterly analyses of the risk of loss on specific accounts. The analyses place particular emphasis on past-due accounts and consider information such as the nature and age of the receivables, the payment history of the tenants, the financial condition of the tenants and our assessment of their ability to meet their lease obligations, the basis for any disputes and the status of related negotiations. Our estimate of the required allowance is subject to revision as these factors change and is sensitive to the effects of economic and market conditions on tenants.

Accounting Method for Investments

We use three different accounting methods to report our investments in entities: the consolidation method; the equity method; and the cost method (see Note 2 to our 2012 annual consolidated financial statements). We use the consolidation method when we own most of the outstanding voting interests in an entity and can control its operations. We also consolidate certain entities when control of such entities can be achieved through means other than voting rights ("variable interest entities" or "VIEs") if we are deemed to be the primary beneficiary. Generally, this applies to entities for which either: (1) the equity investors (if any) lack one or more of the essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest; (2) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without additional subordinated financial support; or (3) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportionate to their economic interests and the activities of the entity involve, or are conducted on behalf of, an investor with a disproportionately small voting interest. We use the equity method of accounting when we own an interest in an entity and can exert significant influence over, but cannot control, the entity's operations.

In making these determinations, we need to make subjective estimates and judgments regarding the entity's future operating performance, financial condition, future valuation and other variables that may affect the cash flows of the entity. We must consider both our and our partner's ability to participate in the management of the entity's operations and make decisions that allow the parties to manage their economic risks. We may also need to estimate the probability of different scenarios taking place over time and their effect on the partners' cash flows. The conclusion reached as a result of this process affects whether or not we use the consolidation method in accounting for our investment or the equity method. Whether or not we consolidate an investment can materially affect our consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsAccounting for Interest Rate Derivatives

We use interest rate derivatives to hedge the cash flows associated with interest rates on debt, including forecasted borrowings. When we designate a derivative as a cash flow hedge, we defer the effective portion of changes in its fair value to the accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) section of shareholders' equity and recognize the ineffective portion of changes in fair value of derivatives in earnings. If and when a derivative ceases to qualify as a cash flow hedge, we reclassify the associated accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to net earnings (loss). Our accounting for derivatives requires that we make judgments in determining the nature of the derivatives and their effectiveness as hedges, including ones regarding the likelihood that a forecasted transaction will take place. Therefore, these judgments could materially affect our consolidated financial statements.

Concentration of OperationsCustomer Concentration of Property Operations

The table below sets forth the 20 largest tenants in our portfolio of office properties based on percentage of annualized rental revenue:

**Percentage of Annualized Rental
Revenue of Office Properties for 20 Largest
Tenants as of**

| Tenant | December 31, | | | |
|---|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | March 31, 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| United States of America | 24.0% | 24.2% | 22.2% | 21.6% |
| Northrop Grumman Corporation(1) | 6.2% | 6.3% | 6.9% | 7.2% |
| Booz Allen Hamilton, Inc. | 5.6% | 5.5% | 5.1% | 4.7% |
| Computer Sciences Corporation(1) | 4.7% | 4.8% | 4.8% | 4.1% |
| General Dynamics Corporation(1) | 4.0% | 3.6% | 1.5% | 1.0% |
| The MITRE Corporation | 1.9% | 1.9% | 1.8% | 1.8% |
| The Boeing Company(1) | 1.8% | 1.4% | 1.3% | 1.3% |
| CareFirst, Inc. | 1.8% | 1.9% | 1.6% | 1.7% |
| Wells Fargo & Company(1) | 1.7% | 1.7% | 1.7% | 1.6% |
| The Aerospace Corporation(1) | 1.7% | 1.7% | 1.7% | 1.7% |
| ITT Exelis(1) | 1.6% | 1.7% | 1.7% | 1.8% |
| Kratos Defense & Security Solution, Inc.(1) | 1.5% | 1.5% | 1.4% | 1.4% |
| L-3 Communications Holdings, Inc.(1) | 1.4% | 1.4% | 1.6% | 1.6% |
| AT&T Corporation(1) | 1.2% | 1.2% | 1.2% | 1.2% |
| Raytheon Company(1) | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.0% | N/A |
| Science Applications International Corporation(1) | 0.9% | 1.0% | 0.9% | N/A |
| Lockheed Martin Corporation | 0.8% | 0.8% | N/A | N/A |
| The Johns Hopkins Institutions(1) | 0.8% | 0.8% | 0.8% | 0.8% |
| Unisys Corporation | 0.8% | 0.8% | 0.8% | 0.9% |
| TASC Inc. | 0.8% | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Ciena Corporation | N/A | 1.0% | 1.1% | 1.0% |
| Comcast Corporation(1) | N/A | N/A | 1.2% | 1.3% |
| Merck & Co., Inc.(1) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.6% |
| First Mariner Bank(1) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.6% |
| Subtotal of 20 largest tenants | 64.4% | 64.5% | 60.3% | 57.9% |
| All remaining tenants | 35.6% | 35.5% | 39.7% | 42.1% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

(1)

Includes affiliated organizations and agencies and predecessor companies.

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

The United States Government's concentration increased each of the last two years in large part due to it taking occupancy of a significant portion of our newly-constructed square feet placed into service and our significant dispositions of properties in which it was not a tenant.

Our Strategic Tenant Properties accounted for 70.2% of our annualized rental revenue from office properties held for long-term investment as of March 31, 2013 and 70.0% at December 31, 2012. We believe that we are well positioned for future growth in the concentration of our revenue derived from customers in these sectors, as discussed further in the section of this prospectus entitled "Business and Growth Strategies."

Geographic Concentration of Property Operations

The table below sets forth the regional allocation of our annualized rental revenue of office properties as of the end of the last three calendar years:

| Region | Percentage of Annualized Rental Revenue of Office Properties as of | | | | Number of Office Properties as of | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| Baltimore/Washington Corridor | 46.8% | 47.5% | 45.6% | 44.1% | 99 | 98 | 111 | 112 |
| Northern Virginia | 19.6% | 19.1% | 16.0% | 16.4% | 19 | 19 | 17 | 17 |
| San Antonio | 6.2% | 6.3% | 5.8% | 5.7% | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 |
| Washington, DC Capitol Riverfront | 3.1% | 3.1% | 3.0% | 3.4% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| St. Mary's and King George Counties | 3.5% | 3.4% | 3.4% | 2.9% | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 |
| Greater Baltimore | 8.5% | 8.8% | 12.6% | 14.9% | 32 | 32 | 46 | 66 |
| Suburban Maryland | 1.7% | 1.7% | 4.1% | 3.9% | 3 | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| Colorado Springs | 5.5% | 5.4% | 5.1% | 5.2% | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Greater Philadelphia | 2.0% | 2.0% | 1.7% | 1.5% | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Other | 3.1% | 2.7% | 2.7% | 2.0% | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 210 | 208 | 238 | 256 |

The most significant changes in our regional allocations set forth above were due to newly-constructed properties placed into service and our significant dispositions of properties in the Greater Baltimore and Suburban Maryland regions.

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

Occupancy and Leasing

Office Properties

The tables below set forth occupancy information pertaining to our portfolio of operating office properties:

| | March 31, | | December 31, | |
|---|-----------|----------|--------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Occupancy rates at period end | | | | |
| Total | 87.6% | 87.8% | 86.2% | 87.6% |
| Baltimore/Washington Corridor | 88.2% | 89.4% | 87.9% | 88.1% |
| Northern Virginia | 89.6% | 89.2% | 84.8% | 91.9% |
| San Antonio | 96.3% | 96.4% | 90.7% | 100.0% |
| Washington, DC Capitol Riverfront | 88.1% | 89.0% | 91.6% | 98.5% |
| St. Mary's and King George Counties | 87.2% | 85.9% | 87.3% | 86.8% |
| Greater Baltimore | 78.9% | 78.6% | 84.5% | 85.0% |
| Suburban Maryland | 94.1% | 94.1% | 79.6% | 76.5% |
| Colorado Springs | 81.3% | 77.8% | 74.9% | 76.2% |
| Greater Philadelphia | 89.9% | 100.0% | 99.7% | 100.0% |
| Other | 95.8% | 94.6% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Average contractual annual rental rate per square foot at year end(1) | \$ 27.95 | \$ 27.92 | \$ 26.59 | \$ 25.58 |

- (1) Includes estimated expense reimbursements.

| | Rentable Square Feet | Occupied Square Feet |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| December 31, 2011 | 20,514 | 17,685 |
| Square feet vacated upon lease expiration(1) | | (782) |
| Occupancy of previously vacated space in connection with new lease(2) | | 717 |
| Square feet constructed or redeveloped | 425 | 548 |
| Acquisition | 202 | 202 |
| Dispositions | (2,302) | (1,833) |
| Other changes | (8) | 4 |
| December 31, 2012 | 18,831 | 16,541 |
| Square feet vacated upon lease expiration(1) | | (357) |
| Occupancy of previously vacated space in connection with new lease(2) | | 354 |
| Square feet constructed or redeveloped | 295 | 213 |
| Other changes | 2 | (2) |
| March 31, 2013 | 19,128 | 16,749 |

- (1) Includes lease terminations and space reductions occurring in connection with lease renewals.

- (2) Excludes occupancy of vacant square feet acquired or developed.

Please refer to the section above entitled "Overview" for discussion regarding our leasing activity in 2012 and the three months ended March 31, 2013, and our expectations regarding the future outlook. As the table above reflects, much of the increase in our total occupancy since 2011 was

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

attributable to our disposition of properties with lower occupancy rates. Occupancy of our 2012 Same Office Properties pool was 89.1% at December 31, 2012, up slightly from 88.3% at December 31, 2011.

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

In 2012, we completed 3.3 million square feet of leasing, including 1.2 million of construction and redevelopment space. Our construction leasing was highlighted by: Strategic Demand Driver leasing of 363,000 square feet in three properties proximate to Redstone Arsenal in Huntsville (our first construction leasing in that region) and 115,000 square feet in Riverwood Corporate Park in the Baltimore/Washington Corridor; and 315,000 square feet in two properties on land we acquired in Ashburn, Virginia, a market we were targeting to add to our Northern Virginia holdings. At December 31, 2012, we had 1.4 million square feet under construction that was 67% leased.

In 2012, we renewed 64.3% of the square footage of our lease expirations (including the effect of early renewals). The annualized rents of these renewals decreased on average by approximately 4.2% and revenue under GAAP increased on average by approximately 2.2% relative to the leases previously in place for the space; these leases had a weighted average lease term of approximately 3.3 years and the average estimated tenant improvements and lease costs associated with completing this leasing was approximately \$6.35 per square foot.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we completed 756,000 square feet of leasing and renewed 57.3% of the square footage of our lease expirations (including the effect of early renewals) for the period, which included the effect of an anticipated significant tenant move-out in one property.

We believe that our continuing exposure to the challenging leasing environment described above in the section entitled "Overview" is mitigated to a certain extent by the generally long-term nature of our leases and the staggered timing of our future lease expirations. Our weighted average lease term for office properties at March 31, 2013 was approximately four years. The table below sets forth as of March 31, 2013 our scheduled lease expirations of office properties by region in terms of percentage of annualized rental revenue:

| | Expiration of Annualized Rental Revenue of Office Properties | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Nine Months Ending 12/31/13 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Thereafter | Total |
| Baltimore/Washington Corridor | 8.5% | 4.5% | 7.5% | 5.6% | 7.5% | 13.2% | 46.8% |
| Northern Virginia | 0.4% | 5.8% | 4.6% | 1.1% | 2.2% | 5.5% | 19.6% |
| San Antonio | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 6.2% | 6.2% |
| Washington, DC Capitol Riverfront | 1.1% | 0.7% | 0.3% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.6% | 3.1% |
| St. Mary's and King George Counties | 0.7% | 0.7% | 1.1% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.6% | 3.5% |
| Greater Baltimore | 0.2% | 0.6% | 0.9% | 1.4% | 1.2% | 4.2% | 8.5% |
| Suburban Maryland | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 1.5% | 1.7% |
| Colorado Springs | 0.3% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.6% | 0.7% | 2.5% | 5.5% |
| Greater Philadelphia | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.4% | 2.0% |
| Other | 0.0% | 0.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.4% | 3.1% |
| Total | 11.2% | 13.8% | 15.7% | 9.5% | 11.7% | 38.1% | 100.0% |

With regard to leases expiring during the remainder of 2013, we believe that the weighted average annualized rental revenue per occupied square foot for such leases at March 31, 2013 was, on average, approximately 5% to 8% higher than estimated current market contractual rents for the related space, with specific results varying by market.

As noted above, most of the leases with our largest tenant, the United States Government, provide for consecutive one-year terms or provide for early termination rights; all of the leasing statistics set forth above assume that the United States Government will remain in the space that they lease through the end of the respective arrangements, without ending consecutive one-year leases prematurely or exercising early termination rights.

Table of Contents

Wholesale Data Center Property

Our wholesale data center property, which upon completion is expected to have a critical load of 18 megawatts, had six megawatts in operation at March 31, 2013, of which 4.3 were leased to tenants with further expansion rights of up to a combined 5.2 megawatts. This leasing includes our completion in 2012 and the three months ended March 31, 2013 of new leases that provide for initial commitments of 1.3 megawatts with further expansion rights for 0.9 additional megawatts. We expect that leasing of this property could continue to be slow, and expect, due to the long lease commencement lead time required for this type of property, that any new leasing completed in 2013 will contribute minimally to our income for that year. We plan to hold this property long-term. However, if our strategic plan for this property changes, we could recognize a significant impairment charge.

Results of Operations

We evaluate the operating performance of our properties using NOI from real estate operations, our segment performance measure derived by subtracting property operating expenses from revenues from real estate operations. We view our NOI from real estate operations as comprising the following primary categories of operating properties:

office properties owned and 100% operational throughout the two periods being compared, excluding operating properties disposed or held for future disposition. We define these as changes from "Same Office Properties." For further discussion of the concept of "operational," you should refer to the section of Note 2 of the 2012 annual consolidated financial statements entitled "Properties";

office properties acquired during the two periods being compared;

constructed office properties placed into service that were not 100% operational throughout the two periods being compared;

office properties held for sale as of March 31, 2013;

office properties in the Greater Philadelphia region. In September 2012, we shortened the holding period for these properties because they no longer meet our strategic investment criteria; and

property dispositions.

You may refer to Note 14 to our consolidated quarterly financial statements and Note 17 to our consolidated annual financial statements for summaries of operating properties that were either disposed or classified as held for sale and therefore are included in discontinued operations.

In addition to owning properties, we provide construction management and other services. The primary manner in which we evaluate the operating performance of our construction management and other service activities is through a measure we define as NOI from service operations, which is based on the net of the revenues and expenses from these activities. The revenues and expenses from these activities consist primarily of subcontracted costs that are reimbursed to us by customers along with a management fee. The operating margins from these activities are small relative to the revenue. We believe NOI from service operations is a useful measure in assessing both our level of activity and our profitability in conducting such operations.

We believe that operating income, as reported on our consolidated statements of operations, is the most directly comparable generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") measure for both NOI from real estate operations and NOI from service operations. Since both of these measures exclude certain items includable in operating income, reliance on these measures has limitations; management

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

compensates for these limitations by using the measures simply as supplemental measures that are considered alongside other GAAP and non-GAAP measures.

The table below reconciles NOI from real estate operations and NOI from service operations to operating income reported on our consolidated statement of operations:

| | For the Three Months Ended March 31, | | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---|-----------|----------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (in thousands) | | | | |
| NOI from real estate operations | \$ 78,011 | \$ 78,758 | \$ 312,365 | \$ 308,012 | \$ 288,959 |
| NOI from service operations | 785 | 927 | 3,260 | 2,706 | 2,373 |
| NOI from discontinued operations | (3,851) | (9,350) | (25,355) | (41,913) | (48,017) |
| Depreciation and amortization associated with real estate operations | (28,252) | (27,834) | (113,480) | (113,111) | (97,897) |
| Impairment losses | (1,857) | 4,836 | (43,214) | (83,478) | |
| General, administrative and leasing expenses | (7,820) | (9,569) | (31,900) | (30,308) | (28,477) |
| Business development expenses and land carry costs | (1,359) | (1,576) | (5,711) | (6,122) | (6,403) |
| Operating income | \$ 35,657 | \$ 36,192 | \$ 95,965 | \$ 35,786 | \$ 110,538 |

Comparison of the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

| | For the Three Months Ended March 31, | | |
|--|---|------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Variance |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Revenues | | | |
| Revenues from real estate operations | \$ 116,735 | \$ 110,661 | \$ 6,074 |
| Construction contract and other service revenues | 14,262 | 21,534 | (7,272) |
| Total revenues | 130,997 | 132,195 | (1,198) |
| Expenses | | | |
| Property operating expenses | 42,575 | 41,253 | 1,322 |
| Depreciation and amortization associated with real estate operations | 28,252 | 27,834 | 418 |
| Construction contract and other service expenses | 13,477 | 20,607 | (7,130) |
| Impairment losses (recoveries) | 1,857 | (4,836) | 6,693 |
| General, administrative and leasing expenses | 7,820 | 9,569 | (1,749) |
| Business development expenses and land carry costs | 1,359 | 1,576 | (217) |
| Total operating expenses | 95,340 | 96,003 | (663) |
| Operating income | 35,657 | 36,192 | (535) |
| Interest expense | (22,307) | (24,431) | 2,124 |
| Interest and other income | 946 | 1,217 | (271) |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | (5,184) | | (5,184) |
| Equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated entities | 41 | (89) | 130 |
| Income tax expense | (16) | (204) | 188 |
| Income from continuing operations | 9,137 | 12,685 | (3,548) |
| Discontinued operations | 3,786 | (2,450) | 6,236 |
| Gain on sales of real estate | 2,354 | | 2,354 |
| Net income | 15,277 | 10,235 | 5,042 |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | 336 | 570 | (234) |
| Preferred unit distributions | (6,271) | (4,190) | (2,081) |

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

| | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Net income attributable to COPLP common unitholders | \$ | 9,342 | \$ | 6,615 | \$ | 2,727 |
|---|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|

Edgar Filing: Corporate Office Properties, L.P. - Form S-4

Table of Contents

NOI from Real Estate Operations

| | For the Three Months Ended March 31, | | |
|--|--|-------------|-----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Variance |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per square foot data) | | |
| Revenues | | | |
| Same Office Properties | \$ 108,413 | \$ 106,209 | \$ 2,204 |
| Constructed office properties placed in service | 2,821 | 563 | 2,258 |
| Acquired office properties | 1,606 | | 1,606 |
| Properties held for sale | 5,308 | 4,926 | 382 |
| Greater Philadelphia properties | 2,487 | 2,172 | 315 |
| Dispositions | 35 | 9,982 | (9,947) |
| Other | 1,407 | 1,452 | (45) |
| | 122,077 | 125,304 | (3,227) |
| Property operating expenses | | | |
| Same Office Properties | 38,887 | 38,725 | 162 |
| Constructed office properties placed in service | 851 | 119 | 732 |
| Acquired office properties | 432 | | 432 |
| Properties held for sale | 1,749 | 1,628 | 121 |
| Greater Philadelphia properties | 838 | 513 | 325 |
| Dispositions | | 4,626 | (4,626) |
| Other | 1,309 | 935 | 374 |
| | 44,066 | 46,546 | (2,480) |
| NOI from real estate operations | | | |
| Same Office Properties | 69,526 | 67,484 | 2,042 |
| Constructed office properties placed in service | 1,970 | 444 | 1,526 |
| Acquired office properties | 1,174 | | 1,174 |
| Properties held for sale | 3,559 | 3,298 | 261 |
| Greater Philadelphia properties | 1,649 | 1,659 | (10) |
| Dispositions | 35 | 5,356 | (5,321) |
| Other | 98 | 517 | (419) |
| | \$ 78,011 | \$ 78,758 | \$ (747) |
| Same Office Properties rent statistics | | | |
| Average occupancy rate | 88.9% | 87.7% | 1.2% |
| Average straight-line rent per occupied square foot(1) | \$ 5.93 | \$ 5.93 | \$ |

(1)

Includes minimum base rents, net of abatements, and lease incentives on a straight-line basis for the three month periods set forth above.

The increase in revenues from our Same Office Properties was attributable to a \$1.7 million increase in rental revenue (including \$454,000 in connection with lease terminations) and a \$478,000 increase in tenant recoveries and other real estate operations revenue.

Our Same Office Properties pool for purposes of comparing the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 consisted of 183 office properties, comprising 86.1% of our operating office square footage as of March 31, 2013. This pool of properties included the following changes from the pool used for purposes of comparing 2012 and 2011: the addition of one property acquired and fully operational by January 1, 2012; and five properties placed in service and 100% operational by January 1, 2012. Operating office properties disposed, held for sale or otherwise no longer held for

Table of Contents

long-term investment (currently our Greater Philadelphia properties) by March 31, 2013 were also excluded from all presented Same Office Property pools.

Impairment Losses

During the current period, we recognized a non-cash impairment loss of \$1.9 million in connection with our shortening of the holding period for a property that we expect to sell. During the prior period, in connection primarily with the Strategic Reallocation Plan to dispose of office properties and land that are no longer aligned with our strategy, we determined that the carrying amounts of certain properties identified for disposition (the "Impaired Properties") will not likely be recovered from the cash flows from the operations and sales of such properties over the shorter holding periods; accordingly, we recognized aggregate impairment losses of \$6.6 million in the prior period (including \$11.4 million classified as discontinued operations and \$1.1 million in exit costs).

General and Administrative Expenses

The decrease in general and administrative expenses was attributable in large part to additional expenses incurred in 2012 in connection with our executive transition during the period and certain staffing reductions made to adjust the size of the organization due in large part to our property dispositions.

Interest Expense

The decrease in interest expense was due primarily to a \$433.3 million decrease in our average outstanding debt resulting from our repayments of debt using proceeds from property dispositions and equity issuances.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt

The loss on early extinguishment of debt in the current period was attributable primarily to a \$5.3 million loss recognized on our repayment of a \$53.7 million principal amount of our 4.25% Exchangeable Senior Notes.

Discontinued Operations

The increase in discontinued operations was due primarily to \$11.4 million in impairment losses and \$4.1 million in gain on sales in the prior period primarily in connection with the Strategic Reallocation Plan.

Gain on Sales of Real Estate

The increase in gain on sales of real estate was attributable to the condemnation of a land parcel in the Greater Baltimore region in connection with an interstate widening project.

Preferred Unit Distributions