

FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC
Form 10-Q
October 30, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-16577

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter).

| | |
|---|---|
| Michigan (State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or organization) | 38-3150651 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) |
|---|---|

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| 5151 Corporate Drive, Troy, Michigan (Address of principal executive offices) (248) 312-2000 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code) | 48098-2639 (Zip code) |
|--|--------------------------|

Not applicable
(Former name, former address and formal fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No .

As of October 28, 2013, 56,123,009 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, were issued and outstanding.

Table of Contents

FLAGSTAR BANCORP, INC.
FORM 10-Q
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

- Item 1. Financial Statements
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition – September 30, 2013 (unaudited) and December 31, 2012
Consolidated Statements of Operations – For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) – For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity – For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)
Note 1 - Nature of Business
Note 2 - Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies
Note 3 - Fair Value Measurements
Note 4 - Investment Securities
Note 5 - Loans Held-for-Sale
Note 6 - Loans Repurchased With Government Guarantees
Note 7 - Loans Held-for-Investment
Note 8 - Private-Label Securitization and Variable Interest Entities
Note 9 - Mortgage Servicing Rights
Note 10 - Derivative Financial Instruments
Note 11 - Federal Home Loan Bank Advances
Note 12 - Long-Term Debt
Note 13 - Representation and Warranty Reserve
Note 14 - Stockholders' Equity
Note 15 - Earnings (Loss) Per Share
Note 16 - Stock Based Compensation
Note 17 - Income Taxes
Note 18 - Regulatory Capital Requirements
Note 19 - Legal Proceedings, Contingencies and Commitments
Note 20 - Segment Information
- Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Table of Contents

FLAGSTAR BANCORP, INC.
FORM 10-Q
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

PART II. – OTHER INFORMATION

| | |
|----------|--|
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> |
| Item 3. | <u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u> |
| Item 4. | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> |
| Item 5. | <u>Other Information</u> |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> |

SIGNATURES

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition

(In thousands, except share data)

| | September 30, 2013 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2012 |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | |
| Cash and cash items (includes \$460 and \$0 of consolidated VIEs, respectively) (1) | \$68,228 | \$38,070 |
| Interest-earning deposits | 2,482,882 | 914,723 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 2,551,110 | 952,793 |
| Trading securities | 50,053 | 170,086 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | 495,423 | 184,445 |
| Loans held-for-sale (includes \$1,826,060 and \$2,865,696 measured at fair value, respectively)(2) | 1,879,290 | 3,939,720 |
| Loans repurchased with government guarantees | 1,231,765 | 1,841,342 |
| Loans held-for-investment, net | | |
| Loans held-for-investment (\$250,297 and \$20,219 measured at fair value which includes \$161,762 and \$0 of consolidated VIEs, respectively) (1) (2) | 4,013,507 | 5,438,101 |
| Less: allowance for loan losses | (207,000 |) (305,000) |
| Total loans held-for-investment, net | 3,806,507 | 5,133,101 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 797,029 | 710,791 |
| Repossessed assets, net | 66,530 | 120,732 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 301,737 | 301,737 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 229,117 | 219,059 |
| Other assets | 399,254 | 508,206 |
| Total assets | \$11,807,815 | \$14,082,012 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Deposits | | |
| Non-interest bearing | \$1,002,472 | \$1,309,649 |
| Interest bearing | 5,646,813 | 6,984,646 |
| Total deposits | 6,649,285 | 8,294,295 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 2,907,598 | 3,180,000 |
| Long-term debt (includes \$112,954 and \$0 of consolidated VIEs at fair value, respectively) (1) (2) | 360,389 | 247,435 |
| Representation and warranty reserve | 174,000 | 193,000 |
| Other liabilities (\$28,470 and \$19,100 measured at fair value and \$136 and \$0 of consolidated VIEs, respectively) (1) (2) | 444,188 | 1,007,920 |
| Total liabilities | 10,535,460 | 12,922,650 |
| Commitments and contingencies – Notes 8 and 19 | — | — |
| Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Preferred stock \$0.01 par value, liquidation value \$1,000 per share, 25,000,000 shares authorized; 266,657 issued and outstanding, respectively | 264,726 | 260,390 |
| Common stock \$0.01 par value, 70,000,000 shares authorized; 56,114,572 and 55,863,053 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 561 | 559 |
| Additional paid in capital | 1,478,391 | 1,476,569 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 4,429 | (1,658) |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|---|
| Accumulated deficit | (475,752 |) (576,498 |) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,272,355 | 1,159,362 | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$11,807,815 | \$14,082,012 | |

(1) Amounts represent the assets and liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities ("VIEs").

(2) Amounts represent the assets and liabilities for which the Company has elected the fair value option.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(In thousands, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | |
| Interest Income | | | | |
| Loans | \$75,633 | \$114,158 | \$249,312 | \$343,677 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale or trading | 1,465 | 4,912 | 5,397 | 20,333 |
| Interest-earning deposits and other | 1,709 | 672 | 4,145 | 1,546 |
| Total interest income | 78,807 | 119,742 | 258,854 | 365,556 |
| Interest Expense | | | | |
| Deposits | 10,023 | 17,819 | 35,680 | 55,126 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 24,434 | 27,091 | 72,766 | 81,870 |
| Other | 1,665 | 1,753 | 4,960 | 5,270 |
| Total interest expense | 36,122 | 46,663 | 113,406 | 142,266 |
| Net interest income | 42,685 | 73,079 | 145,448 | 223,290 |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,053 | 52,595 | 56,030 | 225,696 |
| Net interest income (expense) after provision for loan losses | 38,632 | 20,484 | 89,418 | (2,406) |
| Non-Interest Income | | | | |
| Loan fees and charges | 20,876 | 37,359 | 84,152 | 102,116 |
| Deposit fees and charges | 5,410 | 5,255 | 15,749 | 15,216 |
| Loan administration | 30,434 | 11,099 | 86,947 | 74,997 |
| Gain (loss) on trading securities | 13 | 237 | 85 | (2,023) |
| Net gain on loan sales | 75,073 | 334,427 | 357,404 | 751,945 |
| Net transaction costs on sales of mortgage servicing rights | (1,763) | (1,332) | (10,246) | (4,631) |
| Net gain on investment securities available-for-sale | — | 2,616 | — | 2,946 |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment (loss) gain | — | — | (8,789) | 2,810 |
| Loss recognized in other comprehensive income before taxes | — | — | — | (5,002) |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings | — | — | (8,789) | (2,192) |
| Representation and warranty reserve – change in estimate | (5,205) | (124,492) | (51,541) | (231,058) |
| Other non-interest income | 9,458 | 8,568 | 65,437 | 28,132 |
| Total non-interest income | 134,296 | 273,737 | 539,198 | 735,448 |

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
 Consolidated Statements of Operations, Continued
 (In thousands, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | |
| Non-Interest Expense | | | | |
| Compensation and benefits | 61,552 | 67,386 | 209,696 | 198,776 |
| Commissions | 12,099 | 19,888 | 44,962 | 53,193 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 18,644 | 18,833 | 60,218 | 54,490 |
| Asset resolution | 16,295 | 12,487 | 48,661 | 70,108 |
| Federal insurance premiums | 7,910 | 12,643 | 26,941 | 37,071 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | — | 15,246 | — | 15,246 |
| Loan processing expense | 10,890 | 15,662 | 43,390 | 37,480 |
| Legal and professional expense | 19,593 | 57,209 | 64,822 | 87,110 |
| Other non-interest expense | 11,453 | 14,137 | 30,732 | 38,261 |
| Total non-interest expense | 158,436 | 233,491 | 529,422 | 591,735 |
| Income before federal income taxes | 14,492 | 60,730 | 99,194 | 141,307 |
| Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes | 220 | (20,380) | (5,888) | (19,880) |
| Net Income | 14,272 | 81,110 | 105,082 | 161,187 |
| Preferred stock dividend/accretion (1) | (1,449) | (1,417) | (4,336) | (4,241) |
| Net income applicable to common stock | \$12,823 | \$79,693 | \$100,746 | \$156,946 |
| Income per share | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.16 | \$1.37 | \$1.61 | \$2.63 |
| Diluted | \$0.16 | \$1.36 | \$1.59 | \$2.61 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | | | |
| Basic | 56,096,376 | 55,801,692 | 56,041,844 | 55,735,095 |
| Diluted | 56,541,089 | 56,233,165 | 56,458,898 | 56,083,757 |

The preferred stock dividend/accretion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, (1) respectively, represents only the accretion. On January 27, 2012, the Company elected to defer payment of dividends and interest on the preferred stock.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(In thousands)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | |
| Net income | \$14,272 | \$81,110 | \$105,082 | \$161,187 |
| Other comprehensive income, before tax | | | | |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | | | | |
| Unrealized gains on investment securities available-for-sale | 3,441 | 12,180 | 6,087 | 26,411 |
| Reclassification of gain on sale of investment securities available-for-sale | — | (2,616 |) — | (2,946 |
| Subsequent decreases in the fair value of investment securities available-for-sale previously written down as impaired | — | — | (2,681 |) — |
| Additions for the amount related to the credit loss for which an other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized | — | — | 8,789 | 2,192 |
| Total investment securities available-for-sale, before tax | 3,441 | 9,564 | 12,195 | 25,657 |
| Deferred tax benefit related to other comprehensive income resulting from the dissolution and sales on investments securities available-for-sale | — | (19,880 |) (6,108 |) (19,880 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | 3,441 | (10,316 |) 6,087 | 5,777 |
| Comprehensive income | \$17,713 | \$70,794 | \$111,169 | \$166,964 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
 Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
 (In thousands)

| | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Additional Paid in Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit) | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2011 (Unaudited) | \$254,732 | \$556 | \$1,471,463 | \$ (7,819) | \$ (639,216) | \$1,079,716 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | 161,187 | 161,187 |
| Total other comprehensive income | — | — | — | 5,777 | — | 5,777 |
| Restricted stock issued | — | 1 | (1) | — | — | — |
| Accretion of preferred stock | 4,241 | — | — | — | (4,241) | — |
| Stock-based compensation | — | 1 | 3,918 | — | — | 3,919 |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | \$258,973 | \$558 | \$1,475,380 | \$ (2,042) | \$ (482,270) | \$1,250,599 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 (Unaudited) | \$260,390 | \$559 | \$1,476,569 | \$ (1,658) | \$ (576,498) | \$1,159,362 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | 105,082 | 105,082 |
| Total other comprehensive income | — | — | — | 6,087 | — | 6,087 |
| Restricted stock issued | — | 1 | (1) | — | — | — |
| Accretion of preferred stock | 4,336 | — | — | — | (4,336) | — |
| Stock-based compensation | — | 1 | 1,823 | — | — | 1,824 |
| Balance at September 30, 2013 | \$264,726 | \$561 | \$1,478,391 | \$ 4,429 | \$ (475,752) | \$1,272,355 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 105,082 | \$ 161,187 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 56,030 | 225,696 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 17,200 | 14,774 |
| Loss on fair value of mortgage servicing rights | 3,236 | 165,897 |
| Net gain on loan sales | (357,404 |) (751,945 |
| Net transaction costs on sales of mortgage servicing rights | 10,246 | 4,631 |
| Net gain on investment securities | (85 |) (923 |
| Other than temporary impairment losses on securities classified as available-for-sale | 8,789 | 2,192 |
| Net (gain) loss on transferors' interest | (45,534 |) 1,771 |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held-for-sale | 35,038,925 | 38,985,990 |
| Origination and repurchase of mortgage loans held-for-sale, net of principal repayments | (32,445,369 |) (39,789,896 |
| Net change in: | | |
| Decrease (increase) in repurchase of mortgage loans with government guarantees, net of claims received | 609,577 | (31,895 |
| Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable | 42,680 | (1,258 |
| Proceeds from sales of trading securities | 120,122 | 141,220 |
| Increase in other assets | (13,141 |) (222,898 |
| Decrease in payable for mortgage repurchase option | (56,978 |) (25,828 |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate | 51,541 | 231,058 |
| Net charge-offs in representation and warranty reserve | (85,129 |) (166,183 |
| (Decrease) increase in other liabilities | (233,460 |) 171,707 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 2,826,328 | (884,703 |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Proceeds received from the sale of investment securities available-for-sale | — | 234,212 |
| Repayment of investment securities available-for-sale | 45,769 | 54,074 |
| Purchase of investment securities available-for-sale | (436,585 |) — |
| Net change from sales of loans held-for-investment | (471,249 |) (248,640 |
| Principal repayments net of origination of portfolio loans | 1,551,144 | 156,320 |
| Proceeds received from the disposition of repossessed assets | 83,139 | 91,580 |
| Acquisitions of premises and equipment, net of proceeds | (27,067 |) (22,387 |
| Proceeds received from the sale of mortgage servicing rights | 222,804 | 24,712 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 967,955 | 289,871 |

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, continued
 (In thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Net (decrease) increase in deposit accounts | (1,645,010 |) 1,799,181 |
| Net decrease in Federal Home Loan Bank advances | (272,402 |) (865,000) |
| Payment on long-term debt | (7,026 |) (25) |
| Net disbursement of payments of loans serviced for others | (282,968 |) (94,013) |
| Net receipt of escrow payments | 11,440 | 27,028 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (2,195,966 |) 867,171 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 1,598,317 | 272,339 |
| Beginning cash and cash equivalents | 952,793 | 731,058 |
| Ending cash and cash equivalents | \$2,551,110 | \$1,003,397 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information | | |
| Loans held-for-investment transferred to repossessed assets | \$167,898 | \$328,384 |
| Interest paid on deposits and other borrowings | \$109,342 | \$138,466 |
| Federal income taxes paid | \$5,300 | \$225 |
| Reclassification of loans originated for investment to loans held-for-sale | \$542,822 | \$288,428 |
| Reclassification of mortgage loans originated held-for-sale then to loans held-for-investment | \$53,208 | \$39,788 |
| Mortgage servicing rights resulting from sale or securitization of loans | \$323,216 | \$370,013 |
| Recharacterization of investment securities available-for-sale to loans held-for-investment | \$73,283 | \$— |
| Reconsolidation of HELOC's of variable interest entities (VIEs) | \$170,507 | \$— |
| Reconsolidation of long-term debt of VIEs | \$119,980 | \$— |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1 – Nature of Business

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. ("Flagstar" or the "Company"), the holding company for Flagstar Bank, FSB (the "Bank") is a Michigan-based savings and loan holding company founded in 1993. The Company's business is primarily conducted through its principal subsidiary, the Bank, a federally chartered stock savings bank founded in 1987. At September 30, 2013, the Company's total assets were \$11.8 billion. The Company has the largest bank headquartered in Michigan, one of the ten largest savings banks in the United States.

In preparing these consolidated financial statements, subsequent events were evaluated through the time the financial statements were issued. The consolidated financial statements are considered issued when they are widely distributed to all stockholders and other financial statement users, or filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). All material subsequent events have been either recognized in the Consolidated Financial Statements or disclosed in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The primary business of the Company is conducted through the Mortgage Banking segment, in which the Company originates or purchases residential first mortgage loans throughout the country and sells them into securitization pools, primarily to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (collectively, government sponsored enterprises or the "GSEs") or as whole loans and generally retains the right to service the mortgage loans that it sells. These mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs") are sold by the Company in transactions separate from the sale of the underlying mortgages. The Company has, from time to time, retained certain loan originations in the held-for-investment portfolio. Mortgage loans are originated through home lending centers, national call centers, the Internet, unaffiliated banks and mortgage brokerage companies. As of September 30, 2013, the Company operated 45 home loan centers in 19 states.

The Company also offers a range of products and services to consumers and businesses through the Community Banking segment. As of September 30, 2013, the Company operated 111 banking centers in Michigan. The Company offers consumer products including deposit accounts, standard and jumbo home mortgage loans, home equity lines of credit ("HELOC") and personal loans, including auto and boat loans. The Company offers commercial loans and treasury management services. Commercial products offered include deposit and sweep accounts, telephone banking, term loans and lines of credit, lease financing, government banking products and treasury management services including remote deposit and merchant services.

The Bank is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") of the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("U.S. Treasury"). The Bank is also subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB"). The Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC through the Deposit Insurance Fund. The Company is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve ("Federal Reserve"). The Bank is also a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Indianapolis.

Note 2 – Basis of Presentation, Accounting Policies and Recent Developments

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") for complete financial statements. These interim financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals that management believes are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations, financial position and cash flows. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, are not

necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the full year ending December 31, 2013. In addition, certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which are available on the Company's Investor Relations web page, at www.flagstar.com, and on the SEC website, at www.sec.gov.

Table of Contents

Variable Interest Entities

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include variable interest entities ("VIEs") in which the Company has determined to have a controlling financial interest. The Company consolidates a VIE if it has: (i) a variable interest in the entity; (ii) the power to direct activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance; and (iii) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE (i.e., the Company is considered to be the primary beneficiary). Variable interests can include equity interests, subordinated debt, derivative contracts, leases, service agreements, guarantees, standby letters of credit, loan commitments, and other contracts, agreements and financial instruments.

A VIE is an entity that lacks equity investors or whose equity investors do not have a controlling financial interest in the entity through their equity investments. The entity that has a controlling financial interest in a VIE is referred to as the primary beneficiary and consolidates the VIE. On a quarterly basis, the Company will reassess whether it has a controlling financial interest in and is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. The quarterly reassessment process considers whether the Company has acquired or divested the power to direct the activities of the VIE through changes in governing documents or other circumstances.

The reassessment also considers whether the Company has acquired or disposed of a financial interest that could be significant to the VIE, or whether an interest in the VIE has become significant or is no longer significant. The consolidation status of the VIEs with which the Company is involved may change as a result of such reassessments. Changes in consolidation status are applied prospectively, with assets and liabilities of a newly consolidated VIE initially recorded at fair value. A gain or loss may be recognized upon deconsolidation of a VIE depending on the carrying amounts of deconsolidated assets and liabilities compared to the fair value of retained interests and ongoing contractual arrangements. The Company primarily uses VIEs for its securitization activities, in which the Company transfers whole loans or debt securities into a trust or other vehicle such that the assets are legally isolated from the creditors of the Company. Assets held in a trust can only be used to settle obligations of the trust. The creditors of these trusts typically have no recourse to the Company except in accordance with the Company's obligations under standard representations and warranties. When the Company is the servicer of whole loans held in a securitization trust, including home equity loans, the Company has the power to direct the most significant activities of the trust. The Company does not have the power to direct the most significant activities of a residential mortgage agency trust unless the Company holds substantially all of the issued securities and has the unilateral right to liquidate the trust. The Company consolidates a whole-loan securitization trust if it has the power to direct the most significant activities and also holds securities issued by the trust or has other contractual arrangements, other than standard representations and warranties, which could potentially be significant to the trust.

As a result of the settlement agreement with Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp., formerly known as Financial Security Assurance Inc. ("Assured"), the Company reconsolidated the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts assets and liabilities at June 30, 2013. The Company became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts because the Company obtained the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the trusts (power to select or remove the servicer) and the obligation to absorb expected losses and receive residual returns (support of the guarantor and holder of residual interests in trusts), which is reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a VIE. See Note 8 for information on VIEs.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

From time to time, new accounting pronouncements are issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") or other standard setting bodies that are adopted by the Company as of the specified effective date. Unless otherwise discussed, the impact of recently issued standards that are not yet effective will not have a material impact

on the Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto or results of operations upon adoption.

In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2013-04, "Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date." The guidance requires an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date, as the sum of (a) the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors and (b) any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. The guidance also requires an entity to disclose the nature and amount of the obligation as well as other information about those obligations. This guidance is effective retrospectively, for annual and interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of the guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

Table of Contents

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, "Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists." The guidance requires an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. To the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. This guidance is effective prospectively, for annual and interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of the guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

Recent Developments

Preferred Stock and Warrant

On December 18, 2012, the U.S. Treasury announced its intention to auction, during 2013, the preferred stock of a number of institutions, including the Company, that the U.S. Treasury purchased in 2009 under the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") Capital Purchase Program. The auction of the Company's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C (the "Series C Preferred Stock"), closed on March 28, 2013. The U.S. Treasury also auctioned the warrant to purchase up to approximately 645,138 shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Common Stock") at an exercise price of \$62.00 per share (the "Warrant"). That auction closed on June 5, 2013. As a result of the auctions, the Series C Preferred Stock and the Warrant, which previously was acquired under the TARP Capital Purchase Program, are now held by third party investors unaffiliated with the U.S. government.

Commercial Loan Sales

Effective December 31, 2012, the Bank entered into a definitive Transaction Purchase and Sale Agreement (the "CIT Agreement") with CIT Bank, the wholly-owned U.S. commercial bank subsidiary of CIT Group Inc. ("CIT"). Under the terms of the CIT Agreement, CIT acquired \$1.3 billion in commercial loan commitments, \$784.3 million of which was outstanding at December 31, 2012 for a purchase price of \$779.2 million. The Company recognized a gain of \$1.0 million recorded in "net gain on sale of assets" on the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The loans sold consist primarily of asset-based loans, equipment leases and commercial real estate loans. The sale resulted in a reversal of \$12.6 million to the allowance for loan loss associated with such loans and which the reversal was recognized at December 31, 2012.

Effective February 5, 2013, the Bank entered into a definitive Asset and Portfolio Purchase and Sale Agreement (the "Customers Agreement") with Customers Bank ("Customers") located in Wyomissing, Pennsylvania. Under the terms of the Customers Agreement, Customers acquired \$187.6 million in commercial loan commitments, \$150.9 million of which were outstanding at December 31, 2012. The loans sold consist primarily of commercial and industrial loans. The transaction settled on March 28, 2013 for a purchase price of \$148.5 million.

Litigation Settlements

In 2009 and 2010, the Bank received repurchase demands from Assured with respect to HELOCs that were sold by the Bank in connection with the two non-agency HELOC securitizations. On February 5, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court") issued a decision in the lawsuit filed by Assured. The Court found in favor of Assured on its claims for breach of contract against the Bank in the amount of \$89.2 million plus

contractual interest and attorneys' fees and costs. On April 1, 2013, the Court issued a final judgment against the Company for a total of \$106.5 million, consisting of \$90.7 million in damages plus \$15.9 million in pre-judgment interest. The Bank filed a notice of appeal later that month. The Court subsequently issued a memorandum order, in which the court reserved the decision regarding attorneys' fees until after the appeal. On June 21, 2013, the Bank entered into an agreement with Assured (the "Assured Settlement Agreement") to settle the litigation and the Bank's pending appeal. Pursuant to the terms of the Assured Settlement Agreement, Assured's judgment against the Bank has been deemed fully satisfied, the Bank's appeal has been dismissed, and, among other consideration and transaction provisions, the Bank has paid Assured \$105.0 million. In addition, the Bank has assumed responsibility for future payments due by Assured to noteholders in the Flagstar non-agency HELOC securitization trust (the "FSTAR 2005-1") and Flagstar non-agency HELOC securitization trust (the "FSTAR 2006-2"), (collectively the "HELOC securitization trusts"), and will receive future reimbursements for claims paid to which Assured would otherwise have been entitled. As a result, the Bank recorded a \$49.1 million gain during the second quarter 2013, arising from the reconsolidation of the assets and liabilities of the HELOC securitization trusts at fair value and the reversal of related reserves for pending and

Table of Contents

threatened litigation. Due to the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company reconstituted the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts assets and liabilities at June 30, 2013. The Company subsequently became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts, which is reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a VIE.

In May 2010, the Bank received repurchase demands from MBIA Insurance Corporation ("MBIA") with respect to closed-end, fixed and adjustable second mortgage loans that were sold by the Bank in connection with its two non-agency second mortgage loan securitizations. On January 11, 2013, MBIA filed a lawsuit against the Bank in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, alleging a breach of various loan level representations and warranties and seeking relief for breach of contract, as well as full indemnification and reimbursement of amounts that it has paid and will pay under the respective insurance policies, plus interest and costs. In the litigation, MBIA alleged damages to date of \$165.0 million and unspecified future damages. In March 2013, the Bank filed a motion to dismiss, and MBIA filed a motion for partial summary judgment on the basis of collateral estoppel. On May 2, 2013, the Bank entered into an agreement with MBIA (the "MBIA Settlement Agreement") to settle the litigation. Pursuant to the terms of the MBIA Settlement Agreement, MBIA dismissed its lawsuit against the Bank and in exchange, among other consideration and transaction provisions, the Bank paid MBIA \$110.0 million. Following the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the Flagstar non-agency second mortgage securitization trust (the "FSTAR 2006-1") which was recorded as available-for-sale investment securities, was dissolved and the Company then transferred the loans associated with the securitization to its loans held-for-investment portfolio at fair value, approximately \$73.3 million of second mortgage loans, and dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. As a result, the Company recognized a \$4.9 million loss during the second quarter 2013. In addition, the MBIA Settlement Agreement also noted that MBIA will be required to satisfy all of its obligation under the Flagstar non-agency second mortgage securitization trust (the "FSTAR 2007-1") insurance policy and related FSTAR 2007-1 obligations without further recourse to the Company.

For further information, see Notes 8 and 19.

Note 3 – Fair Value Measurements

The Company utilizes fair value measurements to record certain assets and liabilities at fair value and to determine fair value disclosures. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability, in either case through an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The determination of fair values of financial instruments often requires the use of estimates. In cases where quoted market values in an active market are not available, the Company uses present value techniques and other valuation methods to estimate the fair values of its financial instruments. These valuation models rely on market-based parameters when available, such as interest rate yield curves, credit spreads or unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs may be based on management's judgment, assumptions and estimates related to credit quality, the Company's future earnings, interest rates and other relevant inputs. These valuation methods require considerable judgment and the resulting estimates of fair value can be significantly affected by the assumptions made and methods used.

Valuation Hierarchy

U.S. GAAP establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements that is based on the transparency of the inputs used in the valuation process. The three levels of the hierarchy, highest ranking to lowest, are as follows.

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets in which the Company can participate as of the measurement date;

Level 2 - Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, and other inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument; and

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that reflect the Company's own assumptions about the expectations that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input within the valuation hierarchy that is significant to the overall fair value measurement. Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized at the end of the reporting period.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

14

Table of Contents

Assets

Trading securities. These securities are comprised of U.S. government sponsored agency securities, U.S. Treasury bonds and non-investment grade residual securities that arose from securitization trusts of the Company. The U.S. government sponsored agency securities and U.S. Treasury bonds trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices and are therefore classified within the Level 1 valuation hierarchy. The non-investment grade residual securities do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices and are therefore classified within the Level 3 valuation hierarchy. Under Level 3, the fair value of residual securities is determined by discounting estimated net future cash flows using expected prepayment rates and discount rates that approximate current market rates. Estimated net future cash flows include assumptions related to expected credit losses on these securities. The Company maintains a model that evaluates the default rate and severity of loss on the residual securities collateral, considering such factors as loss experience, delinquencies, loan-to-value ratios, borrower credit scores and property type.

Investment securities available-for-sale. These securities are comprised of U.S. government sponsored agencies and non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs") and municipal obligations.

U.S. government sponsored agencies are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy due to the quoted prices for these securities being available in an active market.

The quoted market prices are not available for municipal obligations and the fair values are estimated using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows and those securities are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

Non-agency CMOs are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy and were previously classified within Level 3. Non-agency CMOs were transferred from Level 3 to Level 2 during the first quarter 2012 due to increased market liquidity and an increase in the number of available pricing models. The non-agency CMOs are valued based on pricing provided by external pricing services. Previously, the markets were illiquid and fair values were based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement, which was the reason for a Level 3 classification. As of September 30, 2012, the Company sold the remaining securities in non-agency collateralized mortgage obligation securities that were related to the investments arising out of strategies to fully utilize available balance sheet leverage capacity.

The Company determined the fair value of the mortgage securitization, FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust, using a discounted estimated net future cash flow model and therefore classified it within the Level 3 valuation hierarchy as the model utilizes significant inputs which are unobservable. As of June 30, 2013, following the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization, which was recorded as available-for-sale investment securities, was collapsed and the Company then transferred the loans associated with the securitization to its loan held-for-investment portfolio at fair value and dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust.

Loans held-for-sale. The Company generally estimates the fair value of loans held-for-sale based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans. Where quoted market prices were available, such market prices were utilized as estimates for fair values. Otherwise, the fair value of loans was computed by discounting cash flows using observable inputs inclusive of interest rates, prepayment speeds and loss assumptions for similar collateral. These measurements are classified as Level 2.

Loans held-for-investment. Loans held-for-investment are generally recorded at amortized cost. The Company does not record these loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time, a loan becomes impaired when it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Once a loan is identified as impaired, the fair value of the impaired loan is estimated using one of several methods, including collateral value, market value of similar debt, or discounted cash flows. The fair value of the underlying collateral is determined, where possible, using market prices derived from appraisals or broker price

opinions which are considered to be Level 3. Fair value may also be measured using the present value of expected cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. The Company records the impaired loans as a non-recurring Level 3 valuation.

Loans held-for-investment on a recurring basis are loans that were previously recorded as loans held-for-sale but subsequently transferred to the held-for-investment category. As the Company selected the fair value option for the held-for-sale loans, they continue to be reported at fair value and measured consistent with the Level 2 methodology for loans held-for-sale.

As of June 30, 2013, the HELOC securitizations have been reconsolidated such that the HELOC loans associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 securitization trusts have been recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statement as loans held-for-investment, as a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement. These loans are recorded at fair value using the present value of expected cash flows discounted at market rates typical of assets with similar risk profiles. The Company

Table of Contents

records these loans as a recurring Level 3 valuation. Included in loans held-for-investment prior to June 30, 2013 was transferors' interest on the HELOC securitization trusts. The Company determined the fair value of transferors' interest based on the claims due to the note insurer and continuing credit losses on the loans underlying the securitizations, which were considered to be Level 3.

Also, included in loans held-for-investment are the second mortgage loans associated with the previous FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. The loans are valued using a discounted estimated net future cash flow model and therefore classified within the Level 3 valuation hierarchy as the model utilizes significant inputs which are unobservable. As of June 30, 2013, following the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization, which was recorded as available-for-sale investment securities, was collapsed and the Company then transferred the second mortgage loans associated with the mortgage securitization to its loans held-for-investment portfolio at fair value and dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. The Company records these loans as a recurring Level 3 valuation. See Note 8 - Private-Label Securitization and Variable Interest Entities for additional information.

Reposessed assets. Loans on which the underlying collateral has been reposessed are adjusted upon transfer to reposessed assets to fair value less costs to sell. Subsequently, reposessed assets are carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value, less anticipated marketing and selling costs. Fair value is generally based upon third-party appraisals or internal estimates and considered a Level 3 classification.

MSRs. Although there are MSR sales transacting, the current market for MSRs is not sufficiently liquid to provide participants with quoted market prices for all tranches of MSRs. Therefore, the Company uses an option-adjusted spread valuation approach to determine the fair value of MSRs. This approach consists of projecting servicing cash flows under multiple interest rate scenarios and discounting these cash flows using risk-adjusted discount rates. The key assumptions used in the valuation of MSRs include mortgage prepayment speeds and discount rates. Management obtains third-party valuations of the MSR portfolio on a quarterly basis from independent valuation experts to assess the reasonableness of the fair value calculated by its internal valuation model. In certain circumstances, based on the probability of the completion of a sale of MSRs pursuant to a bona-fide purchase offer, the Company considers the bid price of that offer and identifiable transaction costs in comparison to the calculated fair value and may adjust the estimate of fair value to reflect the terms of the pending transaction. Due to the nature of the valuation inputs, MSRs are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. See Note 9 - Mortgage Servicing Rights, for the key assumptions used in the residential MSR valuation process.

Derivative financial instruments. Certain classes of derivative contracts are listed on an exchange and are actively traded, and they are therefore classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. These include U.S. Treasury futures and U.S. Treasury options. The Company's forward loan sale commitments and interest rate swaps are valued based on quoted prices for similar assets in an active market with inputs that are observable and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Rate lock commitments are valued using internal models with significant unobservable market parameters and therefore are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. The Company assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and determined that the credit valuation adjustments were not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. The derivatives are reported in either "other assets" or "other liabilities" on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Liabilities

Warrants. Warrant liabilities are valued using a binomial lattice model and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Significant observable inputs include expected volatility, a risk free rate and an expected life. Warrant liabilities are reported in "other liabilities" on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Long-term debt. As of June 30, 2013, following the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company re consolidated the debt associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts at fair value. The fair value of the debt is estimated using quantitative models which incorporate observable and, in some instances, unobservable inputs including security prices, interest rate yield curves, option volatility, currency, commodity or equity rates and correlations between these inputs. The Company also considers the impact of its own credit spreads in determining the discount rate used to value these liabilities. The credit spread is determined by reference to observable spreads in the secondary bond markets, which are considered to be Level 3. The Company records this debt as a recurring Level 3 valuation.

DOJ litigation settlement. On February 24, 2012, the Company announced that the Bank had entered into an agreement (the "DOJ Agreement") with the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") relating to certain underwriting practices associated with loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") of the Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). The Bank and the DOJ entered into the DOJ Agreement pursuant to which the Bank agreed to comply

Table of Contents

with all applicable HUD and FHA rules related to the continued participation in the direct endorsement lender program, make an initial payment of \$15.0 million within 30 business days of the effective date of the DOJ Agreement, make payments of approximately \$118.0 million contingent upon the occurrence of certain future events (the "Additional Payments"), and complete a monitoring period by an independent third party chosen by the Bank and approved by HUD. The Company made the initial payment of \$15.0 million on April 3, 2012.

The Company elected the fair value option to account for the liability representing the obligation to make Additional Payments under the DOJ Agreement. As of September 30, 2013, the Bank has accrued \$28.5 million, which represents the fair value of the Additional Payments. The signed DOJ Agreement establishes a legally enforceable contract with a stipulated payment plan that meets the definition of a financial liability. The Company made the fair value election as of December 31, 2011, the date the Company first recognized the financial instrument in its financial statements.

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the cash flows were discounted using a 12.1 percent and 14.9 percent, respectively, discount rate that is inclusive of the risk free rate based on the expected duration of the liability and an adjustment for non-performance risk that represents the Company's credit risk. The model assumes 12 quarters of sustained profitability prior to reversing the valuation allowance associated with the deferred tax asset. The decrease in the discounted cash flow rate is primarily due to the adjustments for non-performance risk that represents the Company's credit risk.

The liability is classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy given the projections of earnings and growth rate assumptions are unobservable inputs. The litigation settlement is included in other liabilities on the Consolidated Financial Statements and changes in the fair value of the litigation settlement will be recorded each quarter in other non-interest expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. See Note 19 - Legal Proceedings, Contingencies and Commitments, for further information on the DOJ litigation settlement.

Table of Contents

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

The following tables present the financial instruments carried at fair value as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, by caption on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition and by level in the valuation hierarchy.

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Fair Value |
|--|------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|
| September 30, 2013 | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Trading securities | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury bonds | \$50,053 | \$— | \$— | \$50,053 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | | | | |
| U.S. government sponsored agencies | 474,681 | — | — | 474,681 |
| Municipal obligations | — | 20,742 | — | 20,742 |
| Loans held-for-sale | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage loans | — | 1,826,060 | — | 1,826,060 |
| Loans held-for-investment | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage loans | — | 19,276 | — | 19,276 |
| Second mortgage loans | — | — | 69,259 | 69,259 |
| HELOC loans | — | — | 161,762 | 161,762 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | — | — | 797,029 | 797,029 |
| Derivative assets | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury futures | 929 | — | — | 929 |
| Forward agency and loan sales | 2,495 | — | — | 2,495 |
| Rate lock commitments | — | — | 64,195 | 64,195 |
| Interest rate swaps | — | 1,411 | — | 1,411 |
| Total derivative assets | 3,424 | 1,411 | 64,195 | 69,030 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$528,158 | \$1,867,489 | \$1,092,245 | \$3,487,892 |
| Derivative liabilities | | | | |
| Agency forwards | — | (69,807 |) — | (69,807) |
| Interest rate swaps | — | (1,411 |) — | (1,411) |
| Total derivative liabilities | — | (71,218 |) — | (71,218) |
| Warrant liabilities | — | (8,232 |) — | (8,232) |
| Long-term debt | — | — | (112,954 |) (112,954) |
| DOJ litigation | — | — | (28,470 |) (28,470) |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$— | \$(79,450 |) \$(141,424 |) \$(220,874) |

Table of Contents

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Fair Value |
|--|------------------------|--------------|------------|------------------|
| December 31, 2012 | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Trading securities | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury bonds | \$ 170,086 | \$— | \$— | \$ 170,086 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | | | | |
| Mortgage securitization | — | — | 91,117 | 91,117 |
| U.S. government sponsored agencies | 79,717 | — | — | 79,717 |
| Municipal obligations | — | 13,611 | — | 13,611 |
| Loans held-for-sale | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage loans | — | 2,865,696 | — | 2,865,696 |
| Loans held-for-investment | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage loans | — | 20,219 | — | 20,219 |
| Transferors' interest | — | — | 7,103 | 7,103 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | — | — | 710,791 | 710,791 |
| Derivative assets | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury futures | 2,203 | — | — | 2,203 |
| Rate lock commitments | — | — | 86,200 | 86,200 |
| Agency forwards | 3,618 | — | — | 3,618 |
| Interest rate swaps | — | 5,813 | — | 5,813 |
| Total derivative assets | 5,821 | 5,813 | 86,200 | 97,834 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 255,624 | \$ 2,905,339 | \$ 895,211 | \$ 4,056,174 |
| Derivative liabilities | | | | |
| Forward agency and loan sales | \$— | \$(14,021) | \$— | \$(14,021) |
| Interest rate swaps | — | (5,813) | — | (5,813) |
| Total derivative liabilities | — | (19,834) | — | (19,834) |
| Warrant liabilities | — | (11,346) | — | (11,346) |
| DOJ litigation | — | — | (19,100) | (19,100) |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$— | \$(31,180) | \$(19,100) | \$(50,280) |

A determination to classify a financial instrument within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy is based upon the significance of the unobservable inputs to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 inputs, observable inputs (that is, inputs that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources). Also, the Company manages the risk associated with the observable components of Level 3 financial instruments using securities and derivative positions that are classified within Level 1 or Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy; these Level 1 and Level 2 risk management instruments are not included below, and therefore the gains and losses in the tables do not reflect the effect of the Company's risk management activities related to such Level 3 instruments. If the market for an instrument becomes more liquid or active and pricing models become available which allow for readily observable inputs, the Company will transfer the instruments from Level 3 to Level 2 valuation hierarchy.

Non-agency CMOs were transferred from Level 3 to Level 2 during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 due to increased market liquidity and an increase in the number of available pricing models. The non-agency CMOs were valued based on pricing provided by external pricing services and were subsequently sold during the third quarter 2012.

Transferor's interest was transferred into Level 3 during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 due to the assumptions utilized in the valuation of the claims to the note insurer and continuing credit losses on the loans underlying the securitization. Transferor's interest was valued based on pricing of the loans underlying the

securitization and were now classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. As of June 30, 2013, following the Assured Settlement Agreement, the transferor's interest has been reversed and the assets and liabilities of the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust have been recorded on the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

The Company had no transfers of assets or liabilities recorded at fair value between the fair value Levels for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

Fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs

The tables below include a roll forward of the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition amounts for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (including the change in fair value) for financial instruments classified by the Company within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

| Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | Balance at Beginning of Period | Recorded in Earnings | | Recorded in OCI | | | Settlements | Balance at End of Period | Unrealized Gains / (Losses) Held at End of Period (4) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|---|
| | | Total Unrealized Gains / (Losses) | Total Realized Gains / (Losses) | Total Unrealized Gains / (Losses) | Purchases | Sales | | | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans held-for-investment | | | | | | | | | |
| Second mortgage loans | \$73,327 | \$1,548 | \$265 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$(5,881) | \$69,259 | \$14,192 |
| HELOC loans | 170,507 | 526 | 2,750 | — | 96 | — | (12,118) | 161,761 | 16,020 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 729,019 | 169 | — | — | 86,109 | — | (18,268) | 797,029 | (67) |
| Derivative financial instruments | | | | | | | | | |
| Rate lock commitments | \$(23,746) | \$(32,390) | — | — | \$75,433 | \$(16,804) | \$(3,078) | 64,195 | 37,441 |
| Totals | \$949,107 | \$34,633 | \$3,015 | \$— | \$161,638 | \$(16,804) | \$(39,345) | \$1,092,244 | \$67,586 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$(119,980) | — | \$(5,139) | — | — | — | \$12,165 | \$(112,954) | — |
| DOJ litigation | (23,270) | — | (5,200) | — | — | — | — | (28,470) | — |
| Totals | \$(143,250) | — | \$(10,339) | — | — | — | \$12,165 | \$(141,424) | — |

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

Investment securities available-for-sale (1)(2)(3)

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|-----|---------|-------------|----------|---------|--------|
| Non-agency CMOs | \$204,326 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$(204,326) | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Mortgage securitization | 100,306 | — | — | 400 | — | (4,598) | — | 96,108 | — |
| Loans held-for-investment | | | | | | | | | |
| Transferors' interest | 7,660 | 75 | (118) | — | — | — | — | 7,617 | 75 |
| | 638,865 | (28,762) | — | — | 131,837 | (9,589) | (45,552) | 686,799 | 44,141 |

Mortgage servicing
rights
Derivative financial
instruments

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|-------|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|
| Rate lock commitments | 132,388 | 255,947 | — | — | 287,537 | (344,909) | (100,913) | -230,050 | 85,980 |
| Totals | \$1,083,545 | \$227,260 | \$(118) | \$400 | \$419,374 | \$(563,422) | \$(146,465) | \$(1,020,574) | \$130,196 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| DOJ litigation | \$(19,100) | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$(19,100) | \$— |

Table of Contents

| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | Recorded in Earnings | | | Recorded in OCI | | Purchases | Sales | Settlements | Balance at End of Period | Changes In Unrealized Held at End of Period (4 |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | Balance at Beginning of Period | Total Unrealized Gains / (Losses) | Total Realized Gains / (Losses) | Total Unrealized Gains / (Losses) | Total Unrealized Gains / (Losses) | | | | | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | | | | | | | | | | |
| (1)(2)(3) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage securitization | \$91,117 | \$— | \$(8,789) |)\$871 | \$— | \$(73,327) | \$(9,872) | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Loans held-for-investment | | | | | | | | | | |
| Second mortgage loans | — | 1,548 | (6,951) |)— | 80,543 | — | (5,881) | — | \$69,259 | 14,192 |
| HELOC loans | — | 526 | 2,750 | — | 170,603 | — | (12,118) | — | \$161,761 | 16,020 |
| Transferor's interest | 7,103 | (174) |)45,708 | — | — | (52,637) | — | — | — | — |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 710,791 | 84,161 | — | — | 323,216 | (233,742) | (87,397) | — | 797,029 | 63,507 |
| Derivative financial instruments | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rate lock commitments | 86,200 | — | (134,162) |)— | 313,521 | (167,292) | (34,072) | — | 64,195 | (8,686) |
| Totals | \$895,211 | \$86,061 | \$(101,444) |)\$871 | \$887,883 | \$(526,998) | \$(149,340) | \$— | \$1,092,244 | \$85,033 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$— | \$— | \$(5,139) |)\$— | \$(119,980) | \$— | \$12,165 | \$— | \$(112,954) | \$— |
| DOJ litigation | (19,100) | — | (9,370) |)— | — | — | — | — | (28,470) | — |
| Totals | \$(19,100) | \$— | \$(14,509) |)\$— | \$(119,980) | \$— | \$12,165 | \$— | \$(141,424) | \$— |
| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | | | | | | | | | | |
| (1)(2)(3) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-agency CMOs | \$254,928 | \$(2,192) |)\$330 | \$17,160 | \$— | \$(249,246) | \$(20,980) | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Mortgage securitization | 110,328 | — | — | 2,091 | — | (16,311) | — | — | 96,108 | — |
| Loans held-for-investment | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transferor's interest | 9,594 | (1,578) | (118) |)— | — | — | (281) | — | 7,617 | (1,578) |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 510,475 | (64,348) |)— | — | 370,012 | (27,791) | (101,549) | — | 686,799 | (33,032) |
| Derivative financial instruments | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rate lock commitments | 70,965 | 490,712 | — | — | 673,989 | (753,822) | (251,794) | — | 230,050 | 92,462 |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------|-----------|---------|----------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| Totals | \$956,290 | \$422,594 | \$212 | \$19,251 | \$1,044,001 | \$(1,047,170) | \$(374,604) | \$1,020,574 | \$57,852 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| DOJ litigation | \$(18,300) | \$— | \$(800) | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$(19,100) | \$— |

(1) Realized gains (losses), including unrealized losses deemed other-than-temporary and related to credit issues, are reported in non-interest income.

(2) U.S. government agency investment securities available-for-sale are valued predominantly using quoted broker/dealer prices with adjustments to reflect for any assumptions a willing market participant would include in its valuation. Non-agency CMOs investment securities available-for-sale are valued using internal valuation models and pricing information from third parties.

(3) Management had anticipated that the non-agency CMOs would be classified under Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. However, due to illiquidity in the markets, the fair value of these securities has been determined using internal models and therefore is classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy and pricing information from third parties.

(4) This reflects the amount of total gains (losses) for the period which are included in earnings, which are attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets still held at the end of the period.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the quantitative information about recurring Level 3 fair value financial instruments and the fair value measurements as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

| | Fair Value | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Input | Range (Weighted Average) |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| September 30, 2013 | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| FSTAR 2006-1 second mortgage loans | \$69,259 | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate Prepay rate - 12 month historical average CDR rate - 12 month historical average | 7.2% - 10.8% (9.0%) 8.8% - 13.2% (11.0%) 4.3% - 6.4% (5.4%) |
| FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC loans | \$81,325 | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate Prepay rate - 3 month historical average Cumulative loss rate Loss severity | 5.6% - 8.4% (7.0%) 9.6% - 14.4% (12.0%) 11.6% - 17.4% (14.5%) 80.0% - 120.0% (100.0%) |
| FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC loans | \$80,437 | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate Prepay rate - 3 month historical average Cumulative loss rate Loss severity | 7.2% - 10.8% (9.0%) 15.2% - 22.8% (19.0%) 39.7% - 59.6% (49.7%) 80.0% - 120.0% (100.0%) |
| Mortgage servicing rights | \$797,029 | Discounted cash flows | Option adjusted spread Constant prepayment rate Weighted average cost to service per loan | 5.2% - 7.8% (6.5%) 9.8% - 14.7% (12.3%) 59.9% - 89.9% (74.9%) |
| Rate lock commitments | \$64,195 | Mark-to-Market | Origination pull-through rate | 64.5% - 96.7% (80.6%) |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| FSTAR 2005-1 Long-term debt | \$(58,609) | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate Prepay rate - 3 month historical average Cumulative loss rate Loss severity | 5.6% - 8.4% (7.0%) 9.6% - 14.4% (12.0%) 11.6% - 17.4% (14.5%) 80.0% - 120.0% (100.0%) |
| FSTAR 2006-2 Long-term debt | \$(54,345) | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate Prepay rate - 3 month historical average Cumulative loss rate Loss severity | 7.2% - 10.8% (9.0%) 15.2% - 22.8% (19.0%) 39.7% - 59.6% (49.7%) 80.0% - 120.0% (100.0%) |
| DOJ litigation settlement | \$(28,470) | Discounted cash flows | Asset growth rate MSR growth rate Return on assets (ROA) improvement Peer group ROA | 4.4% - 6.6% (5.5%) 0.9% - 1.4% (1.2%) 0.02% - 0.04% (0.03%) 0.5% - 0.8% (0.7%) |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| December 31, 2012 | Fair Value | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Input | Range (Weighted Average) |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization | \$91,117 | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate Prepay rate - 12 month historical average CDR rate - 12 month historical average Loss severity | 7.2% - 10.8% (9.0%) 7.6% - 11.3% (9.4%) 5.3% - 8.0% (6.7%) 80.0% - 120.0% (100.0%) |
| FSTAR 2005-1 transferors' interest | \$7,103 | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate Prepay rate - 3 month historical average Cumulative loss rate Loss severity | 4.6% - 6.9% (5.7%) 9.6% - 14.4% (12.0%) 11.4% - 17.2% (14.3%) 80.0% - 120.0% (100.0%) |
| Mortgage servicing rights | \$710,791 | Discounted cash flows | Option adjusted spread Constant prepayment rate Weighted average cost to service per loan | 4.9% - 7.4% (6.1%) 14.0% - 20.3% (17.3%) 58.6% - 87.9% (73.3%) |
| Rate lock commitments | \$86,200 | Mark-to-Market | Origination pull-through rate | 62.8% - 94.2% (78.5%) |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| DOJ litigation settlement | \$(19,100) | Discounted cash flows | Asset growth rate MSR growth rate Return on assets (ROA) improvement Peer group ROA | 4.4% - 6.6% (5.5%) 0.9% - 1.4% (1.2%) 0.02% - 0.04% (0.03%) 0.5% - 0.8% (0.7%) |

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust are discount rates, prepayment rates and default rates. While loss severity (in the event of default) is an unobservable input, the sensitivity of the fair value to this input is zero because of the insurer coverage on the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Increases in both prepay rates and default rates in isolation result in a higher fair value;

Table of Contents

however, generally a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates, which would offset a portion of the fair value change.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the second mortgage loans associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust are discount rates, prepayment rates and default rates. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Increases in both prepay rates and default rates in isolation result in a higher fair value; however, generally a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates, which would offset a portion of the fair value change.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the HELOC loans are discount rates, prepayment rates, loss rates and loss severity. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Increases in both prepay rates and loss rates in isolation result in a lower fair value; however, generally a change in the assumption used for the loss rate is accompanied by a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates, which would offset a portion of the fair value change. Significant increases (decreases) in the loss severity rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

The significant unobservable inputs previously used in the fair value measurement of the transferors' interest are discount rates, prepayment rates, loss rates and loss severity. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Increases in both prepay rates and loss rates in isolation result in a lower fair value; however, generally a change in the assumption used for the loss rate is accompanied by a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates, which would offset a portion of the fair value change. Significant increases (decreases) in the loss severity rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the MSRs are option adjusted spreads, prepayment rates, and cost to service. Significant increases (decreases) in all the assumptions in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the rate lock commitments is the pull through rate. The pull through rate is a statistical analysis of the Company's actual rate lock fallout history to determine the sensitivity of the residential mortgage loan pipeline compared to interest rate changes and other deterministic values. New market prices are applied based on updated loan characteristics and new fall out ratios (i.e., the inverse of the pull through rate) are applied accordingly. Significant increases (decreases) in the pull through rate in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. Generally, a change in the assumption utilized for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption utilized for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in assumption utilized for prepayment rates.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the long-term debt are discount rates, prepayment rates, loss rates and loss severity. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Increases in both prepay rates and loss rates in isolation result in a lower fair value; however, generally a change in the assumption used for the loss rate is accompanied by a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates, which would offset a portion of the fair value change. Significant increases (decreases) in the loss severity rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the DOJ litigation settlement are future balance sheet and growth rate assumptions for overall asset growth, MSR growth, peer group return on assets, and

return on assets improvement. The current assumptions are based on management's approved, strategic performance targets beyond the current strategic modeling horizon (2013). The Bank's target asset growth rate post 2013 is based off of growth in the balance sheet post TARP repayment. Significant increases (decreases) in the bank's growth rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Significant increases (decreases) in the bank's MSR growth rate in isolation would result in a marginally lower (higher) fair value measurement. Significant increases (decreases) in the peer group's return on assets improvement in isolation would result in a marginally higher (lower) fair value measurement. Significant increases (decreases) in the bank's return on assets improvement in isolation would result in a marginally higher (lower) fair value measurement.

The Company also has assets that under certain conditions are subject to measurement at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These assets are measured at the lower of cost or market and had a fair value below cost at the end of the period as summarized below.

Table of Contents

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis

| | Level 3 |
|--|-----------|
| September 30, 2013 | |
| Impaired loans held-for-investment (1) | |
| Residential first mortgage loans | \$55,935 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 11,005 |
| Reposessed assets (2) | 66,530 |
| Totals | \$133,470 |
| December 31, 2012 | |
| Impaired loans held-for-investment (1) | |
| Residential first mortgage loans | \$147,036 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 73,810 |
| Reposessed assets (2) | 120,732 |
| Totals | \$341,578 |

The Company recorded \$41.4 million and \$122.1 million in fair value losses on impaired loans (included in provision for loan losses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations) during the three and nine months ended (1) September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to \$26.7 million and \$116.6 million in fair value losses on impaired loans during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

The Company recorded \$3.9 million and \$6.3 million in losses related to write-downs of reposessed assets based on the estimated fair value of the specific assets, and recognized net gains of \$4.5 million and \$15.1 million on sales of reposessed assets (both write-downs and net gains/losses are included in asset resolution expense on the (2) Consolidated Statements of Operations) during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to a gain of \$0.9 million and a loss of \$8.9 million related to write-downs of reposessed assets based on the estimated fair value of the specific assets, and recognized net gains of \$4.2 million and \$6.6 million on sales of reposessed assets during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

The following tables present the quantitative information about non-recurring Level 3 fair value financial instruments and the fair value measurements as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

| | Fair Value | Valuation Technique(s) | Unobservable Input | Range (Weighted Average) |
|--|------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| September 30, 2013 (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Impaired loans held-for-investment | | | | |
| Residential mortgage loans | \$55,935 | Fair value of collateral | Loss severity discount | 0% - 100% (46.4%) |
| Commercial real estate loans | \$11,005 | Fair value of collateral | Loss severity discount | 0% - 100% (38.2%) |
| Reposessed assets | \$66,530 | Fair value of collateral | Loss severity discount | 0% - 100% (47.1%) |
| | Fair Value | Valuation Technique(s) | Unobservable Input | Range (Weighted Average) |
| December 31, 2012 (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Impaired loans held-for-investment | | | | |
| Residential mortgage loans | \$147,036 | Fair value of collateral | Loss severity discount | 0% - 100% (46.6%) |
| Commercial real estate loans | \$73,810 | Fair value of collateral | Loss severity discount | 0% - 100% (41.6%) |
| Reposessed assets | \$120,732 | Fair value of collateral | Loss severity discount | 0% - 100% (44.0%) |

The Company has certain impaired residential and commercial real estate loans that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Such amounts are generally based on the fair value of the underlying collateral supporting the loan. Appraisals or other third party price opinions are generally obtained to support the fair value of the collateral and incorporate measures such as recent sales prices for comparable properties. In cases where the carrying value exceeds

the fair value of the collateral less cost to sell, an impairment charge is recognized.

Reposessed assets are measured and reported at fair value through a charge-off to the allowance for loan losses based upon the fair value of the reposessed asset. The fair value of reposessed assets, upon initial recognition, are estimated using Level 3 inputs based on customized discounting criteria. The significant unobservable inputs used in the Level 3 fair value

24

Table of Contents

measurements of the Company's impaired loans and repossessed assets included in the table above primarily relate to internal valuations or analysis.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The accounting guidance for financial instruments requires disclosures of the estimated fair value of certain financial instruments and the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate their fair values. Certain financial instruments and all non-financial instruments are excluded from the scope of this guidance. Accordingly, the fair value disclosures required by this guidance are only indicative of the value of individual financial instruments as of the dates indicated and should not be considered an indication of the fair value of the Company.

The following table presents the carrying amount and estimated fair value of certain financial instruments.

| | September 30, 2013 | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| | Carrying Value | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Financial Instruments | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$2,551,110 | \$2,551,110 | \$2,551,110 | \$— | \$— |
| Trading securities | 50,053 | 50,053 | 50,053 | — | — |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | 495,423 | 495,423 | 474,681 | 20,742 | — |
| Loans held-for-sale | 1,879,290 | 1,879,595 | — | 1,879,595 | — |
| Loans repurchased with government guarantees | 1,231,765 | 1,165,620 | — | 1,165,620 | — |
| Loans held-for-investment, net | 3,806,507 | 3,632,209 | — | 19,276 | 3,612,933 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 49,312 | 49,312 | — | 49,312 | — |
| Repossessed assets | 66,530 | 66,530 | — | — | 66,530 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 301,737 | 301,737 | 301,737 | — | — |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 797,029 | 797,029 | — | — | 797,029 |
| Customer initiated derivative interest rate swaps | 1,411 | 1,411 | — | 1,411 | — |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Retail deposits | | | | | |
| Demand deposits and savings accounts | (3,931,479) | (3,814,340) | — | (3,814,340) | — |
| Certificates of deposit | (1,436,279) | (1,446,906) | — | (1,446,906) | — |
| Government deposits | (555,954) | (550,815) | — | (550,815) | — |
| Wholesale deposits | (55,881) | (56,117) | — | (56,117) | — |
| Company controlled deposits | (669,692) | (660,032) | — | (660,032) | — |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | (2,907,598) | (3,091,378) | (3,091,378) | — | — |
| Long-term debt | (360,389) | (205,031) | — | (92,077) | (112,954) |
| Accrued interest payable | (17,483) | (17,483) | — | (17,483) | — |
| Warrant liabilities | (8,232) | (8,232) | — | (8,232) | — |
| DOJ litigation settlement | (28,470) | (28,470) | — | — | (28,470) |
| Customer initiated derivative interest rate swaps | (1,411) | (1,411) | — | (1,411) | — |
| Derivative Financial Instruments | | | | | |
| Forward agency and loan sales | (69,807) | (69,807) | — | (69,807) | — |
| Rate lock commitments | 64,195 | 64,195 | — | — | 64,195 |
| U.S. Treasury and agency futures/forwards | 3,424 | 3,424 | 3,424 | — | — |

Table of Contents

| | December 31, 2012 | | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value | | | |
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Financial Instruments | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$952,793 | \$952,793 | \$952,793 | \$— | \$— |
| Trading securities | 170,086 | 170,086 | 170,086 | — | — |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | 184,445 | 184,445 | 79,717 | 13,611 | 91,117 |
| Loans held-for-sale | 3,939,720 | 3,945,133 | — | 3,945,133 | — |
| Loans repurchased with government guarantees | 1,841,342 | 1,704,317 | — | 1,704,317 | — |
| Loans held-for-investment, net | 5,133,101 | 5,119,704 | — | 20,219 | 5,099,485 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 91,992 | 91,992 | — | 91,992 | — |
| Repossessed assets | 120,732 | 120,732 | — | — | 120,732 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 301,737 | 301,737 | 301,737 | — | — |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 710,791 | 710,791 | — | — | 710,791 |
| Customer initiated derivative interest rate swaps | 5,813 | 5,813 | — | 5,813 | — |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Retail deposits | | | | | |
| Demand deposits and savings accounts | (3,192,006) | (3,121,643) | — | (3,121,643) | — |
| Certificates of deposit | (3,175,481) | (3,199,242) | — | (3,199,242) | — |
| Government accounts | (819,078) | (816,258) | — | (816,258) | — |
| Wholesale deposits | (99,338) | (101,729) | — | (101,729) | — |
| Company controlled deposits | (1,008,392) | (1,005,780) | — | (1,005,780) | — |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | (3,180,000) | (3,422,567) | (3,422,567) | — | — |
| Long-term debt | (247,435) | (78,220) | — | (78,220) | — |
| Accrued interest payable | (13,420) | (13,420) | — | (13,420) | — |
| Warrant liabilities | (11,346) | (11,346) | — | (11,346) | — |
| DOJ litigation settlement | (19,100) | (19,100) | — | — | (19,100) |
| Customer initiated derivative interest rate swaps | (5,813) | (5,813) | — | (5,813) | — |
| Derivative Financial Instruments | | | | | |
| Forward agency and loan sales | (14,021) | (14,021) | — | (14,021) | — |
| Rate lock commitments | 86,200 | 86,200 | — | — | 86,200 |
| U.S. Treasury and agency futures/forwards | 5,821 | 5,821 | 5,821 | — | — |

The methods and assumptions used by the Company in estimating fair value of financial instruments that were not previously disclosed, are as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents. Due to their short-term nature, the carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value.

Loans repurchased with government guarantees. The fair value of loans is estimated by using internally developed discounted cash flow models using market interest rate inputs as well as management's best estimate of spreads for similar collateral.

Loans held-for-investment. The fair value of loans is estimated by using internally developed discounted cash flow models using market interest rate inputs as well as management's best estimate of spreads for similar collateral.

Table of Contents

Federal Home Loan Bank stock. No secondary market exists for Federal Home Loan Bank stock. The stock is bought and sold at par by the Federal Home Loan Bank. Management believes that the recorded value is the fair value.

Accrued interest receivable. The carrying amount is considered a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Deposit accounts. The fair value of demand deposits and savings accounts approximates the carrying amount. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using the rates currently offered for certificates of deposit with similar remaining maturities.

Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Rates currently available to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate the fair value of the existing debt.

Long-term debt. The fair value of the long-term debt is estimated based on a discounted cash flow model that incorporates the Company's current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Accrued interest payable. The carrying amount is considered a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Fair Value Option

The Company elected to measure at fair value certain financial assets and financial liabilities. The Company elected fair value option for the following items to mitigate a divergence between accounting losses and economic exposure.

The Company elected the fair value option for held-for-sale loans, originated post 2009, and the litigation settlement liability to better reflect the management of these financial instruments on a fair value basis. Loan held-for-investment include loans that were originated as loans held-for-sale and later transferred to loans held-for-investment at fair value. Interest income on loans held-for-sale is accrued on the principal outstanding primarily using the "simple-interest" method. Interest expense on the litigation settlement will be included in the overall change in fair value of the liability each quarter. Direct loan origination cost and fees on loans held-for-sale are recognized in income at origination.

As of June 30, 2013, following the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the Company dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust and transferred the second mortgage loans, underlying the collapsed FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization which were carried at fair value in available-for-sale investment securities. The change in fair value relating to the loans is recorded in other non-interest income.

As of June 30, 2013, following the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company elected the fair value option for the assets and liabilities of reconsolidated VIEs related to the HELOC securitization trusts. This option is generally elected for newly consolidated VIEs for which predominantly all of the Company's interests, prior to consolidation, are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recorded to earnings. The change in fair value relating to the assets and liabilities of these transactions is recorded in other non-interest income. Accordingly, such an election allows the Company to continue fair value accounting through earnings for those interests and eliminate income statement mismatch otherwise caused by differences in the measurement basis of the consolidated VIEs assets and liabilities.

The Company elected the fair value option to account for the liability representing the obligation to make Additional Payments under the DOJ Agreement. The signed DOJ Agreement establishes a legally enforceable contract with a stipulated payment plan that meets the definition of a financial liability. The Company made the fair value election as of December 31, 2011, the date the Company first recognized the financial instrument in its financial statements.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the change in fair value included in earnings (and the account recorded in) for the assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | | | | |
| Net gain on loan sales | \$63,394 | \$273,270 | \$131,701 | \$571,248 |
| Loans held-for-investment | | | | |
| Interest income on loans | \$— | \$(324) | \$(779) | \$(705) |
| Other non-interest income | 1,811 | — | 38,638 | — |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Long-term debt | | | | |
| Other non-interest income | \$(1,884) | \$— | \$(1,884) | \$— |
| DOJ Agreement liability | | | | |
| Legal and professional expense | \$5,200 | \$— | \$9,370 | \$800 |

The following table reflects the difference between the aggregate fair value and aggregate remaining contractual principal balance outstanding as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 for assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected.

| | September 30, 2013 (Dollars in thousands) | | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|------------------------------|--|-------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------|---|
| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Fair Value | Fair Value Over / (Under) Unpaid Principal Balance | Unpaid Principal Balance | Fair Value | Fair Value Over / (Under) Unpaid Principal Balance |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Nonaccrual loans | | | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$222 | \$240 | \$18 |
| Loans held-for-investment | 2,983 | 3,192 | 209 | 2,021 | 2,064 | 43 |
| Total non-accrual loans | \$2,983 | \$3,192 | 209 | \$2,243 | \$2,304 | \$61 |
| Other performing loans | | | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$1,737,030 | \$1,826,060 | \$89,030 | \$2,734,756 | \$2,865,456 | \$130,700 |
| Loans held-for-investment | 278,035 | 247,105 | (30,930) | 17,589 | 18,155 | 566 |
| Total other performing loans | \$2,015,065 | \$2,073,165 | \$58,100 | \$2,752,345 | \$2,883,611 | \$131,266 |
| Total loans | | | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$1,737,030 | \$1,826,060 | \$89,030 | \$2,734,978 | \$2,865,696 | \$130,718 |
| Loans held-for-investment | 281,018 | 250,297 | (30,721) | 19,610 | 20,219 | 609 |
| Total loans | \$2,018,048 | \$2,076,357 | \$58,309 | \$2,754,588 | \$2,885,915 | \$131,327 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$(124,674) | \$(112,954) | \$(11,720) | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| DOJ litigation settlement | N/A (1) | (28,470) | N/A (1) | N/A (1) | (19,100) | N/A (1) |

Remaining principal outstanding is not applicable to the litigation settlement because it does not obligate the (1) Company to return a stated amount of principal at maturity, but instead return an amount based upon performance on the underlying terms in the DOJ Agreement.

Table of Contents

Note 4 – Investment Securities

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, investment securities were comprised of the following.

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| September 30, 2013 | | | | |
| Trading securities | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury bonds | \$49,999 | \$54 | \$— | \$50,053 |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |
| U.S. government sponsored agencies | \$470,252 | \$4,944 | \$(515) |) \$474,681 |
| Municipal obligations | 20,742 | — | — | 20,742 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$490,994 | \$4,944 | \$(515) |) \$495,423 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Trading securities | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury bonds | \$169,991 | \$95 | \$— | \$170,086 |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |
| Mortgage securitization | \$101,272 | \$— | \$(10,155) |) \$91,117 |
| U.S. government sponsored agencies | 77,328 | 2,389 | — | 79,717 |
| Municipal obligations | 13,611 | — | — | 13,611 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$192,211 | \$2,389 | \$(10,155) |) \$184,445 |

Trading securities

Trading securities are comprised of AAA-rated U.S. Treasury bonds. U.S. Treasury bonds held in trading are distinguished from available-for-sale based upon the intent of the Company to use them as an economic offset against changes in the valuation of the MSR portfolio; however, these securities do not qualify as an accounting hedge.

For U.S. Treasury bonds held, the Company had an unrealized gain of \$0.1 million and an unrealized loss of \$0.1 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to an unrealized gain of \$0.2 million and an unrealized loss of \$21.5 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

The Company had no sales during the three months ended September 30, 2013 and sold \$120.0 million of U.S. Treasury bonds, which resulted in a realized gain of \$0.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to no sales during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and \$290.0 million in sales of U.S. Treasury bonds, which resulted in a realized gain of \$19.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

The Company purchased no trading securities during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to no purchases during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and \$170.0 million during nine months ended September 30, 2012.

The Company has pledged certain trading securities, primarily U.S. Treasury bonds, to collateralize servicing related exposures with Fannie Mae. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company pledged \$43.6 million and \$55.9 million, respectively, of trading securities.

Available-for-sale securities

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had \$495.4 million and \$184.4 million, respectively, in investment securities available-for-sale which were comprised of U.S. government sponsored agencies, mortgage securitization and municipal obligations. Securities available-for-sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of other comprehensive loss to the extent they are temporary in nature or "other-than-temporary impairments" ("OTTI"). As of June 30, 2013, the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust was dissolved and the Company transferred the second mortgage loans associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization into its loans held-for-investment portfolio.

Table of Contents

The Company purchased \$416.6 million and \$436.6 million of U.S. government sponsored agencies and municipal obligations during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to no purchases during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

The Company has pledged available-for-sale securities, primarily U.S. government sponsored agencies, to collateralize lines of credit and/or borrowings with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. At September 30, 2013, the Company pledged \$426.1 million of available-for-sale securities, compared to none at December 31, 2012.

The following table summarizes by duration the unrealized loss positions on investment securities available-for-sale.

| Type of Security December 31, 2012 | Unrealized Loss Position with Duration 12 Months and Over | | | Unrealized Loss Position with Duration Under 12 Months | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------|
| | Fair Value | Number of Securities | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Number of Securities | Unrealized Loss |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Mortgage securitization | \$91,117 | 1 | \$(10,155) | \$— | — | \$— |

The credit losses in the portfolio reflect the economic conditions present in the United States over the course of the last several years and the forecasted effect of changes in such conditions, including changes in the forecast level of home prices. The continued decline in the delinquency rates of the mortgages in the underlying securitization suggest a stabilization of expected future defaults and reflect the recent improvements in the housing market.

At September 30, 2013, the Company had no OTTI. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recognized a loss of \$8.8 million of additional OTTI on the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization, which was dissolved at June 30, 2013 as a result of the MBIA Settlement Agreement. The second mortgage loans associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization were transferred into loans held-for-investment portfolio at June 30, 2013 also a result of the MBIA Settlement Agreement and the Company recognized a tax benefit of \$6.1 million during the second quarter 2013 representing the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with the previously unrealized losses on the mortgage securitization recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recognized zero and \$2.2 million, respectively, of OTTI on CMOs, representing seven securities that had losses prior to September 30, 2012. All OTTI due to credit losses has been recognized in current operations. At December 31, 2012, the cumulative amount of OTTI due to credit losses totaled \$2.8 million on one mortgage securitization in the available-for-sale portfolio, of which a \$5.0 million net loss was recognized in other comprehensive income. The following table shows the activity for OTTI credit loss.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | |
|--|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Beginning balance of amount related to credit losses on non-agency CMOs and mortgage securitization | \$— | \$(50,821) | \$(2,793) | \$(59,376) |
| Reductions for increases in cash flows expected to be collected that are recognized over the remaining life of the non-agency CMOs and mortgage securitization | — | 1,080 | 389 | 6,680 |
| Reductions for non-agency CMOs sold during the period (realized) | — | 46,948 | 11,193 | 52,095 |
| Additions for the amount related to the credit loss for which an OTTI impairment was not previously | — | — | (8,789) | (2,192) |

recognized

Ending balance of amount related to credit losses on non-agency CMOs and mortgage securitization \$— \$(2,793) \$— \$(2,793)

Gains (losses) on sales for available-for-sale securities are reported in "net gain on securities available-for-sale" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, there were no sales of U.S. government sponsored agencies, compared to \$215.5 million and \$253.7 million, respectively, of sales of non-agency CMOs and U.S. government sponsored agencies resulting in a gain of \$2.6 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The gain on the sale of non-agency CMOs and seasoned agency securities completed during three months ended September 30, 2012 resulted in the Company also recognizing \$19.9 million of tax benefits representing the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with unrealized losses on this portfolio previously recorded in other comprehensive income.

30

Table of Contents

Note 5 – Loans Held-for-Sale

The loans held-for-sale are summarized as follows.

| | September 30, 2013 (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2012 |
|----------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Consumer loans | | |
| Residential first mortgage | \$ 1,879,290 | \$ 3,012,039 |
| Commercial loans | | |
| Commercial real estate | — | 280,399 |
| Commercial and industrial | — | 488,361 |
| Commercial lease financing | — | 158,921 |
| Total commercial loans | — | 927,681 |
| Total loans held-for-sale | \$ 1,879,290 | \$ 3,939,720 |

The decrease in the loans held-for-sale was primarily due to the agreements to sell the Northeast-based commercial loan portfolio, through which the Company sold \$909.3 million of commercial loans and a decline in mortgage loan originations during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$1.8 billion and \$2.9 billion of loans held-for-sale were recorded at fair value, respectively, under the fair value option. Such loans will be reported at fair value with any adjustments in fair value recorded through the income statement. The Company estimates the fair value of mortgage loans based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans for which quoted market prices were available. The fair values of loans were estimated by discounting estimated cash flows using management's best estimate of market interest rates for similar collateral.

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$0.1 billion and \$1.1 billion of loans held-for-sale were recorded at lower of cost or market ("LOCOM") based on a decision to sell the loans. The LOCOM loans were transferred into the held-for-sale portfolio from the held-for-investment portfolio. At the time of the transfer, any amount by which cost exceeded fair value was recorded as a valuation allowance.

The following table sets forth the activity related to residential first mortgage loans held-for-sale.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | |
|---|---|---------------|--|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 2,331,458 | \$ 2,459,482 | \$ 3,939,720 | \$ 1,800,885 |
| Net loan originations | 7,804,233 | 15,214,247 | 31,591,435 | 40,075,076 |
| Net loans sold, servicing retained | (8,420,997) | (14,345,659) | (32,932,561) | (38,423,599) |
| Net loans sold, servicing released | (40,430) | (82,771) | (228,683) | (435,124) |
| Other loan sales | (109,549) | — | (1,258,400) | — |
| Loan amortization and prepayments | 139,530 | 26,916 | 296,530 | (13,942) |
| Loans transferred from (to) other loan portfolios | 175,045 | (20,279) | 471,249 | 248,640 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 1,879,290 | \$ 3,251,936 | \$ 1,879,290 | \$ 3,251,936 |

The Company has pledged certain loans held-for-sale to collateralize lines of credit and/or borrowings with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company pledged \$1.5 billion and \$2.4 billion, respectively, of loans held-for-sale.

Note 6 – Loans Repurchased with Government Guarantees

Pursuant to Ginnie Mae servicing guidelines, the Company has the unilateral option to repurchase certain delinquent loans (loans past due 90 days or more) securitized in Ginnie Mae pools, if the loans meet defined delinquent loan criteria. As a result of this unilateral option, once the delinquency criteria have been met, and regardless of whether the repurchase option has been exercised, the Company must treat the loans as having been repurchased and recognize the loans as loans held-for-sale on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition and also recognize a corresponding liability for a similar amount recorded in

31

Table of Contents

other liabilities on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. If the loans are actually repurchased, the Company transfers the loans to loans repurchased with government guarantees and eliminates the corresponding liability. At September 30, 2013, the amount of such loans actually repurchased totaled \$1.2 billion and were classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees, and those loans which the Company had not yet repurchased but had the unilateral right to repurchase totaled \$15.4 million and were classified as loans held-for-sale. At December 31, 2012, the amount of such loans actually repurchased totaled \$1.8 billion and were classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees, and those loans which the Company had not yet repurchased but had the unilateral right to repurchase totaled \$72.4 million and were classified as loans held-for-sale.

Substantially all of these loans continue to be insured or guaranteed by the FHA, and the Company's management believes that the reimbursement process is proceeding appropriately. These repurchased loans earn interest at a statutory rate, which varies and is based upon the 10-year U.S. Treasury note rate at the time the underlying loan becomes delinquent.

The Company has pledged certain loans repurchased with government guarantees to collateralize lines of credit and/or borrowings with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company pledged \$0.7 billion and \$1.5 billion, respectively, of loans repurchased with government guarantees.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company participated in a HUD-coordinated market auction of loans repurchased with government guarantees, which resulted in the conveyance in an accelerated fashion of \$121.7 million and \$263.4 million of unpaid principal balance, respectively, of loans to HUD. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, the Company participated in a HUD-coordinated market auction, which resulted in the conveyance in an accelerated fashion of \$302.4 million unpaid principal balance of loans to HUD within prescribed time frames. As a result, the Company recognized a reduction in otherwise expected curtailments of debenture interest income previously provided for, resulting in a benefit of \$7.8 million that was applied against asset resolution expense during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Note 7 – Loans Held-for-Investment

Loans held-for-investment are summarized as follows.

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Consumer loans | | |
| Residential first mortgage | \$2,478,599 | \$3,009,251 |
| Second mortgage | 174,383 | 114,885 |
| Warehouse lending | 390,348 | 1,347,727 |
| HELOC | 307,552 | 179,447 |
| Other | 39,043 | 49,611 |
| Total consumer loans | 3,389,925 | 4,700,921 |
| Commercial loans | | |
| Commercial real estate | 420,879 | 640,315 |
| Commercial and industrial | 187,639 | 90,565 |
| Commercial lease financing | 15,064 | 6,300 |
| Total commercial loans | 623,582 | 737,180 |
| Total loans held-for-investment | 4,013,507 | 5,438,101 |
| Less allowance for loan losses | (207,000) | (305,000) |
| Loans held-for-investment, net | \$3,806,507 | \$5,133,101 |

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the loans held-for-investment include \$250.3 million and \$20.2 million of loans accounted for under the fair value option. During the second quarter 2013, the Company settled a separate litigation with each MBIA and Assured, which resulted in the Company reconsolidating \$170.5 million of loans associated with the HELOC securitization trusts and transferring \$73.3 million of second mortgage loans associated with the collapse of the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization at June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company transferred \$7.2 million and \$53.2 million in loans held-for-sale to loans held-for-investment. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company transferred \$21.3 million and \$39.8 million, respectively, in loans held-for-sale to loans held-for-investment. The loans transferred were carried at fair value, and will continue to be reported at fair value while classified as held-for-investment.

The Company has pledged certain loans held-for-investment to collateralize lines of credit and/or borrowings with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago and the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company pledged \$2.5 billion and \$3.3 billion, respectively, of loans held-for-investment.

The Company's commercial leasing activities consist primarily of equipment leases. Generally, lessees are responsible for all maintenance, taxes, and insurance on leased properties. The following table lists the components of the net investment in financing leases.

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Total minimum lease payment to be received | \$14,941 | \$5,634 |
| Estimated residual values of lease properties | 1,319 | 913 |
| Unearned income | (1,300 |) (346) |
| Net deferred fees and other | 104 | 99 |
| Net investment in commercial financing leases | \$15,064 | \$6,300 |

The allowance for loan losses by class of loan is summarized in the following tables.

| | Residential First Mortgage | Second Mortgage | Warehouse Lending | HELOC | Other Consumer | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial and Industrial | Commercial Financing | Lease Total |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance allowance for loan losses | \$177,334 | \$18,839 | \$721 | \$14,868 | \$1,780 | \$27,322 | \$2,136 | \$— | \$243,000 |
| Charge-offs | (34,666) | (1,534) | (45) | (872) | (1,341) | (8,419) | (302) | — | (47,179) |
| Recoveries | 2,256 | 348 | — | 143 | 470 | 3,860 | 49 | — | 7,126 |
| Provision | 1,653 | 1,042 | (268) | (5,032) | 1,221 | 3,729 | 1,612 | 96 | 4,053 |
| Ending balance allowance for loan losses | \$146,577 | \$18,695 | \$408 | \$9,107 | \$2,130 | \$26,492 | \$3,495 | \$96 | \$207,000 |
| Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance allowance for loan losses | \$175,716 | \$20,083 | \$1,556 | \$17,853 | \$2,585 | \$58,407 | \$8,508 | \$2,292 | \$287,000 |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|
| Charge-offs | (23,999) | (3,990) | — | (1,483) | (892) | (15,532) | (12) | — | (45,908) |
| Recoveries | 5,899 | 428 | — | 44 | 448 | 4,461 | 33 | — | 11,313 |
| Provision | 47,236 | 2,367 | (518) | 1,142 | 88 | 1,499 | 348 | 433 | 52,595 |
| Ending balance allowance for loan losses | \$204,852 | \$18,888 | \$1,038 | \$17,556 | \$2,229 | \$48,835 | \$8,877 | \$2,725 | \$305,000 |
| Nine Months Ended | | | | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance allowance for loan losses | \$219,230 | \$20,201 | \$899 | \$18,348 | \$2,040 | \$41,310 | \$2,878 | \$94 | \$305,000 |
| Charge-offs (1) | (123,456) | (5,522) | (45) | (3,745) | (2,627) | (42,931) | (302) | — | (178,628) |
| Recoveries | 14,296 | 825 | — | 705 | 844 | 7,862 | 66 | — | 24,598 |
| Provision | 36,507 | 3,191 | (446) | (6,201) | 1,873 | 20,251 | 853 | 2 | 56,030 |
| Ending balance allowance for loan losses | \$146,577 | \$18,695 | \$408 | \$9,107 | \$2,130 | \$26,492 | \$3,495 | \$96 | \$207,000 |
| Nine Months Ended | | | | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance allowance for loan losses | \$179,218 | \$16,666 | \$1,250 | \$14,845 | \$2,434 | \$96,984 | \$5,425 | \$1,178 | \$318,000 |
| Charge-offs | (142,001) | (13,330) | — | (12,159) | (2,810) | (91,842) | (1,616) | — | (263,758) |
| Recoveries | 13,031 | 1,716 | — | 394 | 1,055 | 8,797 | 69 | — | 25,062 |
| Provision | 154,604 | 13,836 | (212) | 14,476 | 1,550 | 34,896 | 4,999 | 1,547 | 225,696 |
| Ending balance allowance for loan losses | \$204,852 | \$18,888 | \$1,038 | \$17,556 | \$2,229 | \$48,835 | \$8,877 | \$2,725 | \$305,000 |

(1) Includes charge-offs of \$26.8 million and \$65.1 million related to the sale of residential first mortgage non-performing and TDR loans, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

| | Residential First Mortgage | Second Mortgage | Warehouse Lending | HELOC | Other Consumer | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial and Industrial | Commercial Lease Financing | Total |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans | | | | | | | | | |
| held-for-investment | | | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated | \$413,370 | \$22,423 | \$— | \$541 | \$— | \$15,989 | \$4,439 | \$— | \$456,762 |
| Collectively evaluated (1) | 2,045,953 | 82,701 | 390,348 | 145,249 | 39,043 | 404,890 | 183,200 | 15,064 | 3,306,448 |
| Total loans | \$2,459,323 | \$105,124 | \$390,348 | \$145,790 | \$39,043 | \$420,879 | \$187,639 | \$15,064 | \$3,763,210 |
| Allowance for loan losses | | | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated | \$81,087 | \$8,571 | \$— | \$540 | \$— | \$1,161 | \$88 | \$— | \$91,447 |
| Collectively evaluated | 65,490 | 10,124 | 408 | 8,567 | 2,130 | 25,331 | 3,407 | 96 | 115,553 |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$146,577 | \$18,695 | \$408 | \$9,107 | \$2,130 | \$26,492 | \$3,495 | \$96 | \$207,000 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans | | | | | | | | | |
| held-for-investment | | | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated | \$805,787 | \$16,949 | \$— | \$734 | \$— | \$95,322 | \$41 | \$— | \$918,833 |
| Collectively evaluated (1) | 2,203,464 | 97,936 | 1,347,727 | 178,713 | 49,611 | 544,993 | 90,524 | 6,300 | 4,519,268 |
| Total loans | \$3,009,251 | \$114,885 | \$1,347,727 | \$179,447 | \$49,611 | \$640,315 | \$90,565 | \$6,300 | \$5,438,101 |
| Allowance for loan losses | | | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated | \$150,545 | \$7,028 | \$— | \$3,074 | \$— | \$2,538 | \$10 | \$— | \$163,195 |
| Collectively evaluated | 68,685 | 13,173 | 899 | 15,274 | 2,040 | 38,772 | 2,868 | 94 | 141,805 |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$219,230 | \$20,201 | \$899 | \$18,348 | \$2,040 | \$41,310 | \$2,878 | \$94 | \$305,000 |

(1) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

A specific reserve is established on a loan when it is probable all amounts due will not be collected pursuant to the contractual terms of the loan and the recorded investment in the loan exceeds its fair value. Fair value is measured using either the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the observable market price of the loan, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent, reduced by estimated disposal costs.

A general reserve for losses inherent on non-impaired loans is calculated using the Company's loss history by specific product, or if the product is not sufficiently seasoned, peer loss data. The loss history is normally a one to two year rolling average updated periodically as new data becomes available. In addition to the loss history, the Company will also include a qualitative adjustment that considers economic risks, industry and geographic concentrations and other

factors not adequately captured in the Company's loss methodology.

The Company's procedure is to recognize losses through charge-offs when there is a high likelihood of loss after considering the borrower's financial condition, underlying collateral and guarantees, and the finalization of collection activities. Upon recognition of the loss the corresponding reserve will be released and the loan re-evaluated for any additional reserves.

Non-performing commercial and commercial real estate loans are considered to be impaired and typically have an reserve allocated based on the underlying collateral's appraised value, less management's estimates of costs to sell. In estimating the fair value of collateral, the Company utilizes outside fee-based appraisers to evaluate various factors such as occupancy and rental rates in its real estate markets and the level of obsolescence that may exist on assets acquired from commercial business loans. Appraisals are updated at least annually but may be obtained more frequently if changes to the property or market conditions warrant.

Impaired residential loans include loan modifications considered to be troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") and certain non-performing loans that have been charged down to collateral value. Fair value of non-performing residential mortgage loans, including re-defaulted TDRs and certain other severely past due loans, is based on the underlying collateral's value obtained through appraisals or broker's price opinions, updated at least semi-annually, less management's estimates of cost to sell. The allowance allocated to TDRs performing under the terms of their modification is typically based on the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate as these loans are not considered to be collateral dependent.

For those loans not individually evaluated for impairment, management has sub-divided the commercial and consumer loans into homogeneous portfolios.

Table of Contents

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days or Greater Past Due | Total Past Due | Current | Total Investment Loans |
|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | | | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage | \$46,862 | \$14,201 | \$115,832 | \$176,895 | \$2,301,704 | \$2,478,599 |
| Second mortgage | 1,010 | 646 | 1,906 | 3,562 | 170,821 | 174,383 |
| Warehouse lending | — | — | — | — | 390,348 | 390,348 |
| HELOC | 2,804 | 3,353 | 5,387 | 11,544 | 296,008 | 307,552 |
| Other | 500 | 44 | 164 | 708 | 38,335 | 39,043 |
| Total consumer loans | 51,176 | 18,244 | 123,289 | 192,709 | 3,197,216 | 3,389,925 |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | — | 208 | 11,076 | 11,284 | 409,595 | 420,879 |
| Commercial and industrial | — | — | — | — | 187,639 | 187,639 |
| Commercial lease financing | — | — | 4,439 | 4,439 | 10,625 | 15,064 |
| Total commercial loans | — | 208 | 15,515 | 15,723 | 607,859 | 623,582 |
| Total loans (1) | \$51,176 | \$18,452 | \$138,804 | \$208,432 | \$3,805,075 | \$4,013,507 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | | | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage | \$62,445 | \$16,693 | \$306,486 | \$385,624 | \$2,623,627 | \$3,009,251 |
| Second mortgage | 1,171 | 727 | 3,724 | 5,622 | 109,263 | 114,885 |
| Warehouse lending | — | — | — | — | 1,347,727 | 1,347,727 |
| HELOC | 2,484 | 910 | 3,025 | 6,419 | 173,028 | 179,447 |
| Other | 587 | 248 | 183 | 1,018 | 48,593 | 49,611 |
| Total consumer loans | 66,687 | 18,578 | 313,418 | 398,683 | 4,302,238 | 4,700,921 |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 6,979 | 6,990 | 86,367 | 100,336 | 539,979 | 640,315 |
| Commercial and industrial | — | — | 41 | 41 | 90,524 | 90,565 |
| Commercial lease financing | — | — | — | — | 6,300 | 6,300 |
| Total commercial loans | 6,979 | 6,990 | 86,408 | 100,377 | 636,803 | 737,180 |
| Total loans | \$73,666 | \$25,568 | \$399,826 | \$499,060 | \$4,939,041 | \$5,438,101 |

(1) Includes \$3.5 million and \$1.1 million of loans 90 days or greater past due accounted for under the fair value option at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Loans on which interest accruals have been discontinued totaled approximately \$141.9 million and \$401.7 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and \$398.9 million at September 30, 2012. Interest on these loans is recognized as income when collected. Interest that would have been accrued on such loans totaled approximately \$2.3 million and \$6.2 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to \$5.5 million and \$14.5 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had no loans 90 days past due and still accruing.

Troubled Debt Restructuring

The Company may modify certain loans in both consumer and commercial loan portfolios to retain customers or to maximize collection of the outstanding loan balance. The Company has maintained several programs designed to assist borrowers by extending payment dates or reducing the borrower's contractual payments. All loan modifications are made on a case-by-case basis. The Company's standards relating to loan modifications consider, among other factors, minimum verified income requirements, cash flow analysis, and collateral valuations. Each potential loan

modification is individually reviewed and the terms of the loan are modified to meet a borrower's specific circumstances at a point in time. All loan modifications, including those classified as TDRs, are reviewed and approved. Loan modification programs for borrowers have resulted in a significant increase in restructured loans. These loans are classified as TDRs and are included in non-accrual loans if the loan

Table of Contents

was non-performing prior to the restructuring. TDRs result in those instances in which a borrower demonstrates financial difficulty and for which a concession has been granted, which includes reductions of interest rate, extensions of amortization period, principal and/or interest forgiveness and other actions intended to minimize the economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of collateral. These loans will continue on non-accrual status until the borrower has established a willingness and ability to make the restructured payments for at least six months, after which they will begin to accrue interest.

The following table provides a summary of TDRs outstanding by type and performing status.

| | TDRs | | Total |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | Performing | Non-performing | |
| September 30, 2013 | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Consumer loans (1) | | | |
| Residential first mortgage | \$338,247 | \$38,811 | \$377,058 |
| Second mortgage | 29,155 | 1,185 | 30,340 |
| HELOC | 20,269 | 2,461 | 22,730 |
| Other consumer | — | — | — |
| Total consumer loans | 387,671 | 42,457 | 430,128 |
| Commercial loans (2) | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 268 | 2,284 | 2,552 |
| Total TDRs | \$387,939 | \$44,741 | \$432,680 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | |
| Consumer loans (1) | | | |
| Residential first mortgage | \$573,941 | \$140,773 | \$714,714 |
| Second mortgage | 14,534 | 2,415 | 16,949 |
| Total consumer loans | 588,475 | 143,188 | 731,663 |
| Commercial loans (2) | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 1,287 | 2,056 | 3,343 |
| Total TDRs | \$589,762 | \$145,244 | \$735,006 |

(1) The allowance for loan losses on consumer TDR loans totaled \$85.5 million and \$159.0 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(2) The allowance for loan losses on commercial TDR loans totaled \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

TDRs returned to performing, or accrual, status totaled \$5.1 million and \$39.0 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and are excluded from non-performing loans, compared to \$34.3 million and \$95.4 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. TDRs that have demonstrated a period of at least six months of consecutive performance under the modified terms, are returned to performing or accrual status and are excluded from non-performing loans. Although these TDRs have returned to performing status, they will still continue to be classified as impaired until repaid in full, or foreclosed and sold, and included as such in the tables within "repossessed assets." At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, remaining commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose terms have been modified in a commercial or consumer TDR were immaterial. Some loan modifications classified as TDRs may not ultimately result in the full collection of principal and interest, as modified, but may give rise to potential incremental losses. Such losses are factored into the Company's allowance for loan losses estimate. Management evaluates loans for impairment both collectively and individually depending on the risk characteristics underlying the loan and the availability of data. Management continues to review this process for operational efficiency purposes which will allow for periodic review and updates of impairment data of TDRs grouped by similar risk characteristics. The Company measures impairment using the discounted cash flow method for performing TDRs and measures impairment based on collateral values for re-defaulted TDRs.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the number of accounts, pre-modification unpaid principal balance, and post-modification unpaid principal balance that were new TDRs during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. In addition, the table presents the number of accounts and unpaid principal balance of loans that have subsequently defaulted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 that had been modified in a TDR, during the 12 months preceding each quarterly period. TDRs are considered subsequently defaulted when greater than 90 days past due for both consumer and commercial loan portfolios.

| | Number of Accounts | Pre-Modification Unpaid Principal Balance | Post-Modification Unpaid Principal Balance (1) | Increase (Decrease) in Allowance at Modification |
|--|-----------------------|--|--|---|
| Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Residential first mortgages | 36 | \$ 8,426 | \$ 8,536 | \$ 548 |
| Second mortgages | 122 | 3,240 | 3,218 | 169 |
| HELOC (2) | 11 | 127 | 127 | (5) |
| Commercial real estate | 4 | 2,482 | 2,482 | — |
| Total TDR loans | 173 | \$ 14,275 | \$ 14,363 | \$ 712 |
| TDRs that subsequently defaulted in previous 12 months (4) | | | | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Residential first mortgages | 4 | | \$ 1,077 | \$ — |
| Second mortgages | 15 | | 274 | 134 |
| Commercial real estate | 12 | | 34 | — |
| Total TDR loans | 31 | | \$ 1,385 | \$ 134 |
| Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | | | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Residential first mortgages | 156 | \$ 47,297 | \$ 52,865 | \$ 5,236 |
| Second mortgages | 46 | 2,802 | 1,929 | (25) |
| Total TDR loans | 202 | \$ 50,099 | \$ 54,794 | \$ 5,211 |
| TDRs that subsequently defaulted in previous 12 months (4) | | | | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Residential first mortgages | 23 | | \$ 7,973 | \$ 2,652 |
| Second mortgages | 9 | | 562 | 119 |
| Total TDR loans | 32 | | \$ 8,535 | \$ 2,771 |

Table of Contents

| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | Number of Accounts | Pre-Modification Unpaid Principal Balance | Post-Modification Unpaid Principal Balance (1) | Increase (Decrease) in Allowance at Modification |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|
| New TDRs | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Residential first mortgages | 300 | \$81,154 | \$ 71,327 | \$2,372 |
| Second mortgages (3) | 466 | 18,549 | 16,285 | 510 |
| HELOC (2) (3) | 301 | 27,223 | 22,865 | (6) |
| Commercial real estate | 4 | 2,482 | 2,482 | — |
| Total TDR loans | 1,071 | \$ 129,408 | \$ 112,959 | \$2,876 |
| TDRs that subsequently defaulted in previous 12 months (4) | Number of Accounts | | Unpaid Principal Balance | Increase in Allowance at Subsequent Default |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Residential first mortgages | 24 | | \$ 5,970 | \$ 1,083 |
| Second mortgages | 29 | | 896 | 502 |
| Commercial real estate | 19 | | 165 | — |
| Total TDR loans | 72 | | \$ 7,031 | \$ 1,585 |
| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | Number of Accounts | Pre-Modification Unpaid Principal Balance | Post-Modification Unpaid Principal Balance (1) | Increase (Decrease) in Allowance at Modification |
| New TDRs | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Residential first mortgages | 692 | \$228,213 | \$ 237,064 | \$28,559 |
| Second mortgages | 194 | 12,010 | 8,336 | (181) |
| Other consumer | 19 | 779 | 637 | 9 |
| Total TDR loans | 905 | \$241,002 | \$ 246,037 | \$28,387 |
| TDRs that subsequently defaulted in previous 12 months (4) | Number of Accounts | | Unpaid Principal Balance | Increase in Allowance at Subsequent Default |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Residential first mortgages | 48 | | \$ 14,434 | \$4,055 |
| Second mortgages | 14 | | 855 | 375 |
| Total TDR loans | 62 | | \$ 15,289 | \$4,430 |

(1) Post-modification balances include past due amounts that are capitalized at modification date.

(2) HELOC post-modification unpaid principal balance reflects write downs.

New TDRs during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, include 463 loans for a total of \$30.8 million of (3) post modification unpaid principal balance second mortgage and HELOC loans that were reconsolidated as a result of the litigation settlements with MBIA and Assured.

(4) Subsequent default is defined as a payment re-defaulted within 12 months of the restructuring date.

Table of Contents

The following table presents impaired loans with no related allowance and with an allowance recorded.

| | September 30, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| With no related allowance recorded | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | | | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage loans | \$84,182 | \$139,499 | \$— | \$231,750 | \$360,575 | \$— |
| Second mortgage | 1 | 3,473 | — | 1,170 | 4,545 | — |
| HELOC | 1 | 1,690 | — | — | 2,506 | — |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 7,582 | 12,572 | — | 79,782 | 109,483 | — |
| | \$91,766 | \$157,234 | \$— | \$312,702 | \$477,109 | \$— |
| With an allowance recorded | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | | | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage | \$329,188 | \$329,076 | \$81,086 | \$574,037 | \$573,610 | \$150,545 |
| Second mortgage | 22,423 | 22,423 | 8,571 | 15,779 | 15,779 | 7,028 |
| HELOC | 540 | 540 | 540 | 734 | 734 | 3,074 |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 3,968 | 6,633 | 1,161 | 15,540 | 22,917 | 2,538 |
| Commercial and industrial | — | — | — | 41 | 97 | 10 |
| Commercial lease financing | 4,439 | 4,439 | 88 | — | — | — |
| | \$360,558 | \$363,111 | \$91,446 | \$606,131 | \$613,137 | \$163,195 |
| Total | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | | | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage | \$413,370 | \$468,575 | \$81,086 | \$805,787 | \$934,185 | \$150,545 |
| Second mortgage | 22,424 | 25,896 | 8,571 | 16,949 | 20,324 | 7,028 |
| HELOC | 541 | 2,230 | 540 | 734 | 3,240 | 3,074 |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 11,550 | 19,205 | 1,161 | 95,322 | 132,400 | 2,538 |
| Commercial and industrial | — | — | — | 41 | 97 | 10 |
| Commercial lease financing | 4,439 | 4,439 | 88 | — | — | — |
| Total impaired loans | \$452,324 | \$520,345 | \$91,446 | \$918,833 | \$1,090,246 | \$163,195 |

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | | | | | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage | \$ 500,264 | \$ 3,858 | \$ 773,690 | \$ 26,754 | \$ 664,074 | \$ 4,554 | \$ 750,966 | \$ 28,468 |
| Second mortgage | 21,856 | 302 | 16,916 | 331 | 20,357 | 195 | 15,745 | 303 |
| Warehouse lending | 27 | — | 224 | — | 13 | — | 265 | — |
| HELOC | 607 | 1 | 219 | — | 778 | 110 | 571 | — |
| Other consumer | — | — | 42 | — | — | — | 22 | — |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 34,897 | 9 | 150,125 | 337 | 36,860 | 647 | 170,410 | 590 |
| Commercial and industrial | 168 | — | 84 | 1 | 94 | — | 689 | 1 |
| Commercial lease financing | 4,822 | — | — | — | 3,521 | — | — | — |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 562,641 | \$ 4,170 | \$ 941,300 | \$ 27,423 | \$ 725,697 | \$ 5,506 | \$ 938,668 | \$ 29,362 |

The Company utilizes an internal risk rating system which is applied to all commercial and commercial real estate credits. Management conducts periodic examinations which serve as an independent verification of the accuracy of the ratings assigned. Loan grades are based on different factors within the borrowing relationship: entity sales, debt service coverage, debt/total net worth, liquidity, balance sheet and income statement trends, management experience, business stability, financing structure of the deal, and financial reporting requirements. The underlying collateral is also rated based on the specific type of collateral and corresponding LTV. The combination of the borrower and collateral risk ratings result in the final rating for the borrowing relationship. Descriptions of the Company's internal risk ratings as they relate to credit quality follow the ratings used by the U.S. bank regulatory agencies as listed below.

Pass. Pass assets are not impaired nor do they have any known deficiencies that could impact the quality of the asset.

Special mention. Assets identified as special mention possess credit deficiencies or potential weaknesses deserving management's close attention. Special mention assets have a potential weakness or pose an unwarranted financial risk that, if not corrected, could weaken the assets and increase risk in the future.

Substandard. Assets identified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Assets so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. For HELOC loans and other consumer loans, the Company evaluates credit quality based on the aging and status of payment activity and includes all non-performing loans.

Doubtful. Assets identified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of current existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. The possibility of a loss on a doubtful asset is high. However, due to important and reasonably specific pending factors, which may work to strengthen (or weaken) the asset, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until its more exact status can be determined.

Table of Contents

| Commercial Credit Exposure | | September 30, 2013 | | | | Total | |
|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------|----------------|
| | | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial and Industrial | Commercial Lease Financing | Commercial | | |
| | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Grade | | | | | | | |
| Pass | | \$ 279,492 | \$ 171,432 | \$ 10,625 | | \$ 461,549 | |
| Watch | | 34,938 | 6,109 | — | | 41,047 | |
| Special mention | | 8,707 | 9,601 | — | | 18,308 | |
| Substandard | | 97,742 | 497 | 4,439 | | 102,678 | |
| Total loans | | \$ 420,879 | \$ 187,639 | \$ 15,064 | | \$ 623,582 | |
| Consumer Credit Exposure | | September 30, 2013 | | | | | |
| | | Residential First Mortgage | Second Mortgage | Warehouse | HELOC | Other Consumer | Total Consumer |
| | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Grade | | | | | | | |
| Pass | | \$ 2,012,524 | \$ 142,927 | \$ 250,598 | \$ 278,596 | \$ 38,835 | \$ 2,723,480 |
| Watch | | 350,244 | 29,550 | 118,750 | 23,570 | 44 | 522,158 |
| Special Mention | | — | — | 21,000 | — | — | 21,000 |
| Substandard | | 115,831 | 1,906 | — | 5,386 | 164 | 123,287 |
| Total loans | | \$ 2,478,599 | \$ 174,383 | \$ 390,348 | \$ 307,552 | \$ 39,043 | \$ 3,389,925 |
| Commercial Credit Exposure | | December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| | | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial and Industrial | Commercial Lease Financing | Commercial | Total Commercial | |
| | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Grade | | | | | | | |
| Pass | | | \$ 277,037 | \$ 82,184 | \$ 6,300 | 365,521 | |
| Watch | | | 181,722 | 695 | — | 182,417 | |
| Special mention | | | 49,215 | 947 | — | 50,162 | |
| Substandard | | | 132,341 | 6,739 | — | 139,080 | |
| Total loans | | | \$ 640,315 | \$ 90,565 | \$ 6,300 | \$ 737,180 | |
| Consumer Credit Exposure | | December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| | | Residential First Mortgage | Second Mortgage | Warehouse | HELOC | Other Consumer | Total Consumer |
| | | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Grade | | | | | | | |
| Pass | | \$ 2,118,961 | \$ 95,969 | \$ 1,081,579 | \$ 175,512 | \$ 49,180 | \$ 3,521,201 |
| Watch | | 583,804 | 15,192 | 266,148 | 910 | 248 | 866,302 |
| Substandard | | 306,486 | 3,724 | — | 3,025 | 183 | 313,418 |
| Total loans | | \$ 3,009,251 | \$ 114,885 | \$ 1,347,727 | \$ 179,447 | \$ 49,611 | \$ 4,700,921 |

Note 8 – Private-Label Securitization and Variable Interest Entities

The Company previously participated in four private-label securitizations of financial assets involving two HELOC loan transactions and two second mortgage loan transactions. The private-label securitizations have been reconsolidated or dissolved as a result of the settlement agreements with MBIA and Assured. See Note 19 - Legal Proceedings, Contingencies and Commitments, for further information on the settlement agreements.

Table of Contents

In December 2005, the Company completed the \$600.0 million FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust. As a result of this securitization, the Company recorded assets of \$26.1 million in residual interests. The offered securities in the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust were insured by Assured. Due to the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company reconsolidated the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust's assets and liabilities, of approximately \$85.2 million of HELOC loans and \$62.1 million of long-term debt at June 30, 2013. As a result, the Company recognized \$16.6 million of a net fair value adjustment during the second quarter of 2013 and the Company eliminated the residual interests. The Company subsequently became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust, which is reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a VIE. The Company elected the fair value option for the assets and liabilities associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust. At September 30, 2013, the Company has a fair value of HELOC loans of \$81.3 million and long-term debt of \$58.6 million recorded as a VIE associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust.

In December 2006, the Company completed the \$302.2 million FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust. As a result of this securitization, the Company recorded assets of \$11.2 million in residual interests. The offered securities in the 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust were insured by Assured. Due to the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company reconsolidated the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust's assets and liabilities, of approximately \$85.3 million of HELOC loans and \$57.9 million of long-term debt at June 30, 2013. As a result, the Company recognized \$27.5 million of a net fair value adjustment during second quarter 2013 and eliminated the residual interests. The Company subsequently became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust, which is reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a VIE. The Company elected the fair value option for the assets and liabilities associated with the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust. At September 30, 2013, the Company has a fair value of HELOC loan of \$80.4 million and long-term debt of \$54.3 million recorded as a VIE associated with the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust.

In April 2006, the Company completed the \$400.0 million FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust involving fixed second mortgage loans that the Company held at the time in its investment securities portfolio. The offered securities in the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust were insured by MBIA. Due to the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust was collapsed and the Company transferred the loans associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust, approximately \$73.3 million of second mortgage loans to its loans held-for-investment portfolio at June 30, 2013. As a result, the Company recognized a \$4.9 million loss during the second quarter 2013. The Company elected the fair value option for the assets associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. At September 30, 2013, the Company recorded a fair value of \$69.3 million of second mortgage loans associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust.

In March 2007, the Company completed the \$620.9 million FSTAR 2007-1 mortgage securitization trust transaction involving closed-ended, fixed and adjustable rate second mortgage loans and recorded \$22.6 million in residual interests and servicing assets. The financial assets were derecognized by the Company upon transfer to the FSTAR 2007-1 mortgage securitization trust, which then issued and sold mortgage-backed securities to third party investors. The Company relinquished control over the loans at the time the financial assets were transferred to the FSTAR 2007-1 mortgage securitization trust and the Company recognized a gain on the sale of the transferred assets. In June 2007, the Company completed a secondary closing for \$98.2 million and recorded an additional \$4.2 million in residual interests. The offered securities in the FSTAR 2007-1 mortgage securitization trust were insured by MBIA. In accordance with the MBIA Settlement Agreement, MBIA will be required to satisfy all of its obligation under the FSTAR 2007-1 insurance policy and related FSTAR 2007-1 obligations without further recourse to the Company.

The Company previously acted as the principal underwriter of the beneficial interests that were sold to investors. The financial assets were derecognized when they were transferred to the securitization trusts, which then issued and sold mortgage-backed securities to third party investors. The Company relinquished control over the loans at the time the financial assets were transferred to the securitization trusts. The Company has not engaged in any private-label

securitization activity except for these four securitizations completed from 2005 to 2007. As a result of the settlement agreement with Assured, the Company became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts because the Company obtained the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the trusts (power to select or remove the servicer) and the obligation to absorb expected losses and receive residual returns (support of the guarantor and holder of residual interests in trusts).

Consolidated VIEs

Consolidated VIEs at September 30, 2013 consisted of the HELOC securitization trusts formed in 2005 and 2006. The Company has determined the trusts are VIEs and has concluded that the Company is the primary beneficiary of these trusts because it has the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance

Table of Contents

and has either the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The change in the consolidated VIE was a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement as of June 30, 2013. Under the terms of the Assured Settlement Agreement, Assured terminated its pending lawsuit against the Company and will not pursue any related claims at any time in the future. In exchange, the Company paid Assured \$105.0 million and assumed responsibility for future claims associated with the two HELOC securitization trusts, including the right to receive from Assured all future reimbursements for claims paid to which Assured would have been entitled. Upon effecting the settlement, the Company reversed the transferor's interest, as this interest would represent an equity interest in the trust which would be reversed upon consolidation of the trusts. As a result, the Company recognized \$49.1 million of income, arising from its deemed receipt of the net fair value of the assets in the two HELOC securitization trusts and the reversal of its related reserves for pending and threatened litigation during the second quarter 2013.

The beneficial owners of the trusts can look only to the assets of the HELOC securitization trusts for satisfaction of the debt issued by the HELOC securitization trusts and have no recourse against the assets of the Company.

The following table provides a summary of the classifications of consolidated VIE assets and liabilities included in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

| | 2005-1 | 2006-2 | Total |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| September 30, 2013 | | | |
| HELOC Securitizations | | | |
| Assets | | | |
| Cash and cash items | \$460 | \$— | \$460 |
| Loans held-for-investment | 81,325 | 80,437 | 161,762 |
| Liabilities | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$58,609 | \$54,345 | \$112,954 |
| Other liabilities | 136 | — | 136 |

The economic performance of the VIEs is most significantly impacted by the performance of the underlying loans. The principal risks to which the entities were exposed include credit risk and interest rate risk. Credit risk was managed through credit enhancement in the form of reserve accounts, over collateralization, excess interest on the loans, the subordination of certain classes of asset-backed securities to other classes, and in the case of the home equity transaction, an insurance policy with a third party guaranteeing payment of accrued and unpaid interest and principal on the securities. Interest rate risk was managed by interest rate swaps between the VIEs and third parties.

Unconsolidated VIEs

The following table provides a summary of the unconsolidated VIE (the FSTAR 2007-1 mortgage securitization trust) with which the Company has a significant continuing involvement, but is not the primary beneficiary. The following table sets forth certain characteristics of each of the fixed rate second mortgage underlying the FSTAR 2007-1 mortgage securitization trust at its inception and the current characteristics as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

| | 2007-1 at Inception | 2007-1 Current Levels | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| FSTAR 2007-1 mortgage securitization trust | | | |
| Number of loans | 12,416 | 4,360 | |
| Aggregate principal balance | \$622,100 | \$175,815 | |
| Average principal balance | \$50 | \$40 | |
| Weighted average fully indexed interest rate | 8.22 | % 7.11 | % |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Weighted average original term | 194 months | 194 months |
| Weighted average remaining term | 185 months | 109 months |
| Weighted average original credit score | 726 | 713 |

Table of Contents

Transferors' Interests

Under the terms of the HELOC securitizations, the trusts purchased, and were initially obligated to pay, for any subsequent additional draws on the lines of credit transferred to the trusts. Upon entering a rapid amortization period, the Company became obligated to fund the purchase of those additional balances as they arise in exchange for a beneficial interest in the trust, which is known as the transferors' interest. The Company must continue to fund the required purchase of additional draws by the trust as long as the securitization remains active. As a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company has reconsolidated the assets and liabilities associated with the HELOC securitization trusts and therefore, the related interests have been reversed as of June 30, 2013. See Note 19 - Legal Proceedings, Contingencies and Commitments, for further information on the Assured Settlement Agreement.

FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC Securitization. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, outstanding claims due to the note insurer were zero and \$16.8 million, respectively, and based on the Company's internal model, the Company believed that because of the claims due to the note insurer and continuing credit losses on the loans underlying the securitization, the fair value/carrying amount of the transferors' interest was zero and \$7.1 million, respectively. The Company previously recorded a liability to reflect the expected liability arising from losses on future draws associated with this securitization. As a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company reconsolidated the assets and liabilities associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust and reversed the transferors' interests as of June 30, 2013.

FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC Securitization. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, outstanding claims due to the note insurer were zero and \$88.7 million, respectively, and based on the Company's internal model, the Company believed that because of the claims due to the note insurer and continuing credit losses on the loans underlying the securitization, there was no carrying amount of the transferors' interest. The Company recorded a liability of \$7.6 million to reflect the expected liability arising from losses on future draws associated with this securitization. As a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company reconsolidated the assets and liabilities associated with the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust and reversed the transferors' interests as of June 30, 2013.

Unfunded Commitments

The table below identifies separately for each HELOC securitization trust: (i) the notional amount of the total unfunded commitment under the Company's contractual arrangements, (ii) unfunded commitments that have been frozen or suspended because the borrowers do not currently meet the contractual requirements under their HELOC with the Company, and (iii) the amount currently fundable because the underlying borrowers' lines of credit are still active. As of June 30, 2013, the Company no longer has any unfunded commitments related to the HELOC securitization trusts.

| | FSTAR 2005-1 | FSTAR 2006-2 | Total |
|--|--------------|--------------|----------|
| December 31, 2012 | | | |
| Notional amount of unfunded commitments (1) | \$30,767 | \$27,447 | \$58,214 |
| Less: Frozen or suspended unfunded commitments | 27,825 | 26,958 | 54,783 |
| Unfunded commitments still active | 2,942 | 489 | 3,431 |

The Company's total potential funding obligation is dependent on both (a) borrower behavior (for example, the amount of additional draws requested) and (b) the contractual draw period (remaining term) available to the (1) borrowers. Because borrowers can make principal payments and restore the amounts available for draws and then borrow additional amounts as long as their lines of credit remain active, the funding obligation has no specific limitation and it is not possible to define the maximum funding obligation.

Credit Risk on Securitization

With respect to the issuance of private-label securitizations, the Company retains certain limited credit exposure in that it retains non-investment grade residual securities in addition to customary representations and warranties, which is no longer a credit risk as of June 30, 2013. To the extent that actual credit losses exceed the assumptions, the value of the Company's residual interest in the securitization trusts and unreimbursed draws will be diminished. Following the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company now has credit exposure associated with non-performing loans in securitization trusts on HELOCs. The value of the Company's transferors' interests previously reflected the Company's credit loss assumptions as applied to the underlying collateral pool and as of June 30, 2013 the transferors' interests has been reversed. The assets and liabilities of the HELOC securitization trusts are reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a VIE. The servicing related to second mortgage and HELOC loans underlying the private-label securitizations are currently serviced by a third party servicer.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's balance of retained assets with credit exposure, which includes transferors' interests that were included as unreimbursed HELOC draws which are included in loans held-for-investment at December 31, 2012.

| | December 31, 2012 | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Amount of Loans Serviced | Balance of Retained Assets With Credit Exposure |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Private-label securitization | \$— | \$7,103 |

Note 9 – Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company recognizes MSR assets, at fair value, related to residential first mortgage loans sold when it retains the obligation to service these loans. MSRs are subject to changes in value from, among other things, changes in interest rates, prepayments of the underlying loans and changes in credit quality of the underlying portfolio. The Company subsequently measures its servicing assets for residential first MSRs, at fair value, as elected, each reporting date with any changes in fair value recorded in earnings in the period in which the changes occur. As such, the Company currently hedges certain risks of fair value changes of MSRs using derivative instruments that are intended to change in value inversely to part or all of the changes in the components underlying the fair value of MSRs.

The table summarizes activity related to the MSRs as follows.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | |
|---|---|--------------|--|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$729,019 | \$638,865 | \$710,791 | \$510,475 |
| Additions from loans sold with servicing retained | 86,109 | 131,837 | 323,216 | 370,012 |
| Reductions from bulk sales (1) | — | (9,589) | (233,742) | (27,791) |
| Changes in fair value due to (2) | | | | |
| Decrease in MSR value (3) | (18,268) | (45,552) | (87,397) | (101,549) |
| All other changes in valuation inputs or assumptions (4) | 169 | (28,762) | 84,161 | (64,348) |
| Fair value of MSRs at end of period | \$797,029 | \$686,799 | \$797,029 | \$686,799 |
| Unpaid principal balance of residential first mortgage loans serviced for others (period end) | \$74,200,317 | \$82,414,799 | \$74,200,317 | \$82,414,799 |

(1) Includes bulk sales related to underlying serviced loans totaling zero and \$23.4 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to \$1.2 billion and \$3.6 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

(2) Changes in fair value are included within loan administration income on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(3) Represents decrease in MSR value associated with loans that were paid-off during the period.

(4) Represents estimated MSR value change resulting primarily from market-driven changes in interest rates.

The fair value of residential MSRs is estimated using a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration expected mortgage loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs, and other economic factors, which are determined based on current market conditions. The Company periodically obtains third-party valuations of its residential MSRs to assess the reasonableness of the fair value calculated by the valuation model. In certain circumstances, based on the probability of the completion of a sale of MSRs pursuant to a bona-fide purchase offer, the Company considers the bid price of that offer and identifiable transaction costs in comparison to the calculated fair value and may adjust the estimate of fair value to reflect the terms of the pending transaction.

Table of Contents

The key economic assumptions used in determining the fair value of those MSR's capitalized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 periods were as follows.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Weighted-average life (in years) | 6.2 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.9 | |
| Weighted-average constant prepayment rate | 13.0 | % 17.3 | % 14.0 | % 15.7 | % |
| Weighted-average discount rate | 8.7 | % 7.2 | % 8.1 | % 7.1 | % |

The key economic assumptions reflected in the overall fair value of the entire portfolio of MSR's were as follows.

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|---|
| Weighted-average life (in years) | 6.4 | 5.3 | |
| Weighted-average constant prepayment rate | 12.3 | % 17.3 | % |
| Weighted-average discount rate | 8.5 | % 7.0 | % |

Contractual servicing fees. Contractual servicing fees, including late fees and ancillary income, for each type of loan serviced are presented below. Contractual servicing fees are included within loan administration income on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Residential first mortgage | \$52,483 | \$53,433 | \$157,329 | \$152,369 |
| Other | 75 | 203 | 347 | 509 |
| Total | \$52,558 | \$53,636 | \$157,676 | \$152,878 |

Note 10 – Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company recognizes all derivative instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at fair value. Derivative instruments are contracts between two or more parties that have a notional amount and an underlying variable, require a small or no net investment, and allow for the net settlement of positions. A derivative's notional amount serves as the basis for the payment provision of the contract, and takes the form of units, such as shares or dollars. A derivative's underlying variable is a specified interest rate, security price, commodity price, foreign exchange rate, index, or other variable. The interaction between the notional amount and the underlying variable determines the number of units to be exchanged between the parties and influences the fair value of the derivative contract. Generally, these instruments help the Company manage exposure to interest rate risk, mitigate the credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio, hedge against changes in foreign currency exchange rates, and meet client financing and hedging needs. The following derivative financial instruments were identified and recorded at fair value as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

- Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Ginnie Mae and other forward loan sale contracts;
- Rate lock commitments;
- Interest rate swaps; and
- U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures and options.

Derivative assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet, after taking into account the effects of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements. Gross positive fair values are netted with gross negative fair values by counterparty pursuant to a valid master netting agreement. In addition, payables and receivables in respect of collateral received from or paid to a given counterparty are included in this netting. These agreements allow the

Company to settle all derivative contracts held with a single counterparty on a net basis, and to offset net derivative positions with related collateral, where applicable. As a result, the Company could have derivative contracts with negative fair values included in derivative assets on the balance sheet and contracts with positive fair values included in derivative liabilities.

On January 1, 2013, the Company adopted the update to FASB ASC Topic 210, "Balance Sheet: Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities," and applied the provisions retrospectively. Under the amended guidance, an entity is required to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of financial statements to understand the effect

Table of Contents

of those arrangements on its financial position. The guidance applies to derivatives accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 815, "Derivatives and Hedging," including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The adoption of the guidance did not have a material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

Derivatives Not Designated in Hedge Relationships

Like other financial services institutions, the Company originates loans and extends credit, both of which expose the Company to interest rate risk. The Company actively manages the overall loan portfolio and the associated interest rate risk in a manner consistent with asset quality objectives. This objective is accomplished primarily through the use of an investment-grade diversified dealer-traded basket of swaps. These transactions may generate fee income, and diversify and reduce overall portfolio interest rate risk volatility. Although the Company utilizes swaps for risk management purposes, they are not treated as hedging instruments.

The Company hedges the risk of overall changes in fair value of loans held-for-sale and rate lock commitments generally by selling forward contracts on securities of GSEs. The forward contracts used to economically hedge the loan commitments are accounted for as non-designated hedges and naturally offset rate lock commitment mark-to-market gains and losses recognized as a component of gain on loan sale. The Company recognized pre-tax losses of \$130.0 million and \$77.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to pre-tax gains of \$5.8 million and \$64.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, on hedging activity relating to loan commitments and loans held-for-sale. Additionally, the Company hedges the risk of overall changes in fair value of MSR through the use of various derivatives including purchases of forward contracts on securities of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the purchase/sale of U.S. Treasury futures contracts and the purchase/sale of euro dollar future contracts. These derivatives are accounted for as non-designated hedges against changes in the fair value of MSRs and recognized as a component of loan administration. The Company recognized a loss of \$4.0 million and a loss of \$67.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to a gain of \$31.8 million and a gain of \$88.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, on MSR fair value hedging activities. The Company does not apply hedge accounting to any derivatives.

The Company uses a combination of derivatives (U.S. Treasury futures, euro dollar futures, swap futures, and "to be announced" forwards) and certain trading securities to hedge the MSRs. For accounting purposes, these hedges represent economic hedges of the MSR asset with both the hedges and the MSR asset carried at fair value on the balance sheet. Certain hedging strategies that the Company uses to manage its investment in MSRs may be ineffective to fully offset changes in the fair value of such asset due to changes in interest rates and market liquidity. As both the hedges and the MSR asset are carried at fair value on the balance sheet, any hedge ineffectiveness is recognized in current period earnings.

The Company writes and purchases interest rate swaps to accommodate the needs of customers requesting such services. Customer-initiated trading derivatives are used primarily to provide derivative products to customers enabling them to manage interest rate risk exposure. Customer-initiated trading derivatives are tailored to meet the needs of the counterparties involved and, therefore, contain a greater degree of credit risk and liquidity risk than exchange-traded contracts, which have standardized terms and readily available price information. The Company mitigates most of the inherent market risk of customer-initiated interest rate swap contracts by taking offsetting positions. Market risk from unfavorable movements in interest rates is generally economically hedged by concurrently entering into offsetting derivative contracts. The offsetting derivative contracts have nearly identical notional values, terms and indices. These limits are established annually and reviewed quarterly. Interest rate swaps are agreements in which two parties exchange fixed cash payments for variable payments based on a designated market rate or index, or

variable payments based on two different rates or indices, applied to a specified notional amount until a stated maturity. The Company's swap agreements are structured such that variable payments are primarily based on LIBOR (one-month, three-month or six-month) or prime. These instruments are principally negotiated over-the-counter and are subject to credit risk, market risk and liquidity risk.

Table of Contents

The Company had the following derivative financial instruments.

| | Notional Amount | Fair Value | Expiration Dates |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| September 30, 2013 | | | |
| Assets (1) | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures | \$5,139,600 | \$929 | 2013 |
| Mortgage backed securities forwards | \$100,000 | \$2,495 | 2013 |
| Rate lock commitments | 2,755,914 | 64,195 | 2013 |
| Interest rate swaps | 77,276 | 1,411 | Various |
| Total derivative assets | \$8,072,790 | \$69,030 | |
| Liabilities (2) | | | |
| Forward agency and loan sales | 4,346,181 | 69,807 | 2013 |
| Interest rate swaps | 77,276 | 1,411 | Various |
| Total derivative liabilities | \$4,423,457 | \$71,218 | |
| December 31, 2012 | | | |
| Assets (1) | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures | \$11,778,600 | \$2,203 | 2013 |
| Mortgage backed securities forwards | 1,275,000 | 3,619 | 2013 |
| Rate lock commitments | 5,149,891 | 86,200 | 2013 |
| Interest rate swaps | 101,246 | 5,954 | Various |
| Total derivative assets | \$18,304,737 | \$97,976 | |
| Liabilities (2) | | | |
| Forward agency and loan sales | \$7,385,430 | \$14,021 | 2013 |
| Interest rate swaps | 101,246 | 5,954 | Various |
| Total derivative liabilities | \$7,486,676 | \$19,975 | |

(1) Asset derivatives are included in "other assets" on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

(2) Liability derivatives are included in "other liabilities" on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Customer initiated derivatives. Fee income on customer-initiated trading derivatives are earned from entering into various transactions at the request of the customer, primarily interest rate swap contracts. Fair values of customer-initiated derivative financial instruments represent the net unrealized gains or losses on such contracts and are recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition in "other assets" and "other liabilities." Changes in fair value are recognized in "other non-interest income" on the Consolidated Statements of Income. There were no net gains (losses) recognized in income on customer-initiated derivative instruments as a component of other non-interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company enters into legally enforceable master netting agreements which reduce risk by permitting the closeout and netting of transactions with the same counterparty upon the occurrence of certain events. A master netting agreement allows two counterparties, who have multiple derivative contracts with each other, the ability to net settle amounts under all contracts, including any related collateral posted, through a single payment and in a single currency. The rights of offset associated with the derivative assets and liabilities are subject to the provisions of collateral agreements, certain of which as applicable to the Company are unilateral and/or contain restrictions on minimum collateral.

Collateral agreements require the counterparty to post, on a daily basis, collateral (typically cash or investment securities) equal to the Company's net derivative receivable. For highly-rated counterparties, the agreements may include minimum dollar posting thresholds, but allow for the Company to call for immediate, full collateral coverage when credit-rating thresholds are triggered by counterparties. The Company's collateral agreements contain provisions

that require collateralization of the Company's net liability derivative positions. Required collateral coverage is based on certain net liability thresholds. Under circumstances which constitute default under the agreements, the counterparties to the derivatives could request immediate full collateral coverage for derivatives in net liability positions. The Company's collateral agreements in which the collateral is restricted include provisions requiring unilateral funding of coverage for derivatives in net liability positions, as well as minimum collateral positions.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the derivatives subject to a master netting arrangement, including the cash pledged as collateral.

| September 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|---|---|-----------------|------------|
| Economic Undesignated Hedges | Gross Amount | Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | Net Amount Presented in the Statement of Financial Position | Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | | |
| | | | | Financial Instruments | Cash Collateral | Net Amount |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures | \$8,004 | \$1,980 | \$6,024 | \$— | \$5,095 | \$929 |
| Mortgage backed securities forwards | 79,905 | — | 79,905 | — | 77,410 | 2,495 |
| Forward agency and loan sales | 1,088 | 1,088 | — | — | — | — |
| Rate lock commitments | 65,700 | 1,505 | 64,195 | — | — | 64,195 |
| Interest rate swaps | 3,649 | — | 3,649 | — | 2,238 | 1,411 |
| Total derivative assets | \$158,346 | \$4,573 | \$153,773 | \$— | \$84,743 | \$69,030 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures | \$1,980 | \$1,980 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Forward agency and loan sales | 70,895 | 1,088 | 69,807 | — | — | 69,807 |
| Rate lock commitments | 1,505 | 1,505 | — | — | — | — |
| Interest rate swaps | 1,411 | — | 1,411 | — | — | 1,411 |
| Total derivative liabilities | \$75,791 | \$4,573 | \$71,218 | \$— | \$— | \$71,218 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Economic Undesignated Hedges | Gross Amount | Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | Net Amount Presented in the Statement of Financial Position | Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | | |
| | | | | Financial Instruments | Cash Collateral | Net Amount |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures | \$36,801 | \$5,076 | \$31,725 | \$15,006 | \$14,516 | \$2,203 |
| Mortgage backed securities forwards | 42,194 | — | 42,194 | (4) | 38,579 | 3,619 |
| Forward agency and loan sales | 3,401 | 3,401 | — | — | — | — |
| Rate lock commitments | 86,286 | 86 | 86,200 | — | — | 86,200 |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Interest rate swaps | 14,164 | — | 14,164 | — | 8,210 | 5,954 |
| Total derivative assets | \$182,846 | \$8,563 | \$174,283 | \$15,002 | \$61,305 | \$97,976 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures | \$5,076 | \$5,076 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Forward agency and loan sales | 17,422 | 3,401 | 14,021 | — | — | 14,021 |
| Rate lock commitments | 86 | 86 | — | — | — | — |
| Interest rate swaps | 5,954 | — | 5,954 | — | — | 5,954 |
| Total derivative liabilities | \$28,538 | \$8,563 | \$19,975 | \$— | \$— | \$19,975 |

Table of Contents

The Company pledged a total of \$84.7 million and \$76.3 million of investment securities and cash collateral to counterparties at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, for derivative activities. The Company pledged \$84.7 million and \$61.3 million in cash collateral to counterparties at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and zero and \$15.0 million in U.S. Treasury bonds at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The total collateral pledged is included in assets on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Counterparty credit risk. The Bank is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the counterparties to its various derivative financial instruments. The Company manages this risk by selecting only well-established, financially strong counterparties, spreading the credit risk among such counterparties, and by placing contractual limits on the amount of unsecured credit risk from any single counterparty.

Note 11 – Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

The portfolio of Federal Home Loan Bank advances includes floating rate short-term daily adjustable advances and long-term fixed rate advances. The following is a breakdown of the advances outstanding.

| | September 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---|
| | Amount | Rate | Amount | Rate | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Short-term floating rate daily adjustable advances | \$7,598 | 0.45 | % \$280,000 | 0.50 | % |
| Long-term fixed rate term advances | 2,900,000 | 3.30 | % 2,900,000 | 3.30 | % |
| Total | \$2,907,598 | 3.29 | % \$3,180,000 | 3.80 | % |
| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Maximum outstanding at any month end | \$2,907,598 | \$3,400,000 | \$2,907,598 | \$3,703,000 | |
| Average outstanding balance | 2,900,519 | 3,561,532 | 2,968,308 | 3,884,049 | |
| Average remaining borrowing capacity | 461,899 | 1,138,447 | 801,969 | 849,789 | |
| Weighted-average interest rate | 3.34 | % 3.03 | % 3.28 | % 2.82 | % |

The following outlines the Company's FHLB advance final maturity dates.

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|-------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| 2013 | \$7,598 | \$280,000 |
| 2014 | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| 2015 | 750,000 | 750,000 |
| 2016 | 1,650,000 | 1,650,000 |
| 2017 | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| Total | \$2,907,598 | \$3,180,000 |

At September 30, 2013, the Company had the authority and approval from the Federal Home Loan Bank to utilize a line of credit of up to \$7.0 billion and the Company may access that line to the extent that collateral is provided. At September 30, 2013, the Company had \$2.9 billion of advances outstanding and an additional \$0.4 billion of collateralized borrowing capacity available at the FHLB. The advances are collateralized by non-delinquent single-family residential first mortgage loans, loans repurchased with government guarantees, certain other loans and investment securities.

Table of Contents

Note 12 – Long-Term Debt

The Company sponsored nine trust subsidiaries which issued trust preferred securities to third party investors and loaned the proceeds to the Company in the form of junior subordinated notes included in long-term debt. The following table presents the outstanding balance on each junior subordinated note and related interest rates of the long-term debt as of the dates indicated.

| | September 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|---|------------------------|------|-------------------|-----------|------|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Junior Subordinated Notes | | | | | | |
| Floating 3 Month LIBOR (1) | | | | | | |
| Plus 3.25%, matures 2032 | \$25,774 | 3.50 | % | \$25,774 | 3.56 | % |
| Plus 3.25%, matures 2033 | 25,774 | 3.52 | % | 25,774 | 3.59 | % |
| Plus 3.25%, matures 2033 | 25,780 | 3.50 | % | 25,780 | 3.56 | % |
| Plus 2.00%, matures 2035 | 25,774 | 2.27 | % | 25,774 | 2.34 | % |
| Plus 2.00%, matures 2035 | 25,774 | 2.27 | % | 25,774 | 2.34 | % |
| Plus 1.75%, matures 2035 | 51,547 | 2.00 | % | 51,547 | 2.06 | % |
| Plus 1.50%, matures 2035 | 25,774 | 1.77 | % | 25,774 | 1.84 | % |
| Plus 1.45%, matures 2037 | 25,774 | 1.70 | % | 25,774 | 1.76 | % |
| Plus 2.50%, matures 2037 | 15,464 | 2.75 | % | 15,464 | 2.81 | % |
| Subtotal | \$247,435 | | | \$247,435 | | |
| Notes associated with consolidated VIEs | | | | | | |
| HELOC securitizations | | | | | | |
| Plus 0.23% (2), matures 2018 | 58,609 | | | — | | |
| Plus 0.16% (3), matures 2019 | 54,345 | | | — | | |
| Total long-term debt | \$360,389 | | | \$247,435 | | |

(1) The securities are currently callable by the Company.

(2) The Notes will accrue interest at a rate equal to the least of (i) one-month LIBOR plus 0.23 percent (ii) the net weighted average coupon, and (iii) 16.00 percent.

The interest rate for the notes may adjust monthly and will be subject to (i) a cap based on the weighted average of (3) the loan rates on the mortgage loans, minus the rates at which certain fees and expenses of the issuing entity are calculated and minus any required spread and adjusted for actual days and (ii) a fixed cap of 16.00 percent.

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 the three-month LIBOR interest rate was 0.25 percent and 0.31 percent, respectively. At September 30, 2013, the one month LIBOR interest rate was 0.18 percent.

Trust Preferred Securities

The trust preferred securities outstanding mature 30 years from issuance and are callable by the Company. Interest on all junior subordinated notes related to trust preferred securities is payable quarterly. Under the terms of the related indentures, the Company may defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive quarters without default or penalty. In January 2012, the Company exercised its contractual rights to defer its interest payments with respect to trust preferred securities. The payments are periodically evaluated and will be reinstated when appropriate, subject to the provisions of the Company's Supervisory Agreement.

Notes Associated with Consolidated VIEs

As previously discussed in Note 8 - Private-Label Securitization and Variable Interest Entities, the Company determined it was the primary beneficiary of VIEs associated with HELOC securitizations and such VIEs are therefore consolidated in the Consolidated Financial Statements. As of June 30, 2013, following the Assured Settlement

Agreement, the Company reconsolidated the assets and liabilities associated with the HELOC securitization trusts, the proceeds of which were used by the trust to repay outstanding debt.

The final legal maturities of the long-term debt associated with the VIEs are June 2018 and June 2019, respectively, however these debt agreements have contractual provisions that allow for the debt to be paid off based on the cash flows of the

51

Table of Contents

collateral. As of September 30, 2013, the Company's cash flow analysis indicated that the notes are estimated to be paid off by July 2015 for FSTAR 2005-1 (0.23 percent) and April 2016 for FSTAR 2006-2 (0.16 percent). The estimated maturity dates may change going forward as the inputs used (prepayments, defaults, etc.) for the cash flow analysis will likely change. The debt pays interest based on a spread over the 30-day LIBOR interest rate.

Note 13 - Representation and Warranty Reserve

The following table shows the activity in the representation and warranty reserve.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period, | \$ 185,000 | \$ 161,000 | \$ 193,000 | \$ 120,000 |
| Provision | | | | |
| Charged to gain on sale for current loan sales | 3,719 | 6,432 | 14,588 | 17,126 |
| Charged to representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate | 5,205 | 124,492 | 51,541 | 231,058 |
| Total | 8,924 | 130,924 | 66,129 | 248,184 |
| Charge-offs, net | (19,924) | (89,924) | (85,129) | (166,184) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 174,000 | \$ 202,000 | \$ 174,000 | \$ 202,000 |

The liability for representation and warranty reserve reflects management's best estimate of probable losses with respect to the Bank's representation and warranty on the mortgage loans it originates and sells into the secondary market. At the time a loan is sold, an estimate of the fair value of such loss associated with the mortgage loans is recorded in representation and warranty reserve in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and charged against the net gain on loan sales in the Consolidated Statement of Operations at the time of the sale. The Company recognizes changes afterwards in the liability when additional relevant information becomes available. Changes in the estimate are recorded in representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate on the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Charge-offs are recorded in representation and warranty reserve on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

The Company routinely obtains information from the GSEs regarding the historical trends of demand requests, and occasionally obtains information on anticipated future loan reviews and potential repurchase demand projections. The Company believes this information provides helpful but limited insight in anticipating GSE behavior, thus helping to better estimate future repurchase requests and validate representation and warranty assumptions. Estimating the balance of the representation and warranty reserve involves using assumptions regarding future repurchase request volumes, expected loss severity on these requests and claims appeal success rates. To assess the sensitivity of the representation and warranty reserve model to adverse changes, management periodically runs a sensitivity analysis using its reserve model by assuming hypothetical increases in the level of repurchase volume.

Reserve levels are a function of expected losses based on actual pending and expected claims and repurchase requests, historical experience and loan volume. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provisions could be required that could adversely affect operations or financial position in future periods.

During the third quarter of 2013, the Company made enhancements to the assumptions of the repurchase and make-whole put-back mix based on recent behavior of the GSEs. In addition, the Company made enhancements to the loss severity rate assumptions for post 2008 vintages by taking into account Housing Price Index ("HPI") data as published by the Federal Housing Finance Agency to update LTVs along with leveraging data from the most recent 12 month of repossessed asset sales. The enhancements resulted in a net decrease in the representation and warranty

reserve of \$11.0 million.

Table of Contents

Note 14 – Stockholders' Equity

Preferred Stock

Preferred stock with a par value of \$0.01 and a liquidation value of \$1,000 and additional paid in capital attributable to preferred stock at September 30, 2013 is summarized as follows.

| | Rate | Earliest Redemption Date | Shares Outstanding | Preferred Shares | Additional Paid in Capital |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Series C Preferred Stock | 5.0 | % January 31, 2012 | 266,657 | \$3 | \$264,723 |

On December 18, 2012, the U.S. Treasury announced its intention to auction the Series C Preferred Stock issued and outstanding under the TARP Capital Purchase Program during 2013. On March 15, 2013, the U.S. Treasury announced that it had priced auctions for the preferred stock of several institutions, including the Company, which it had purchased in early 2009 through the TARP Capital Purchase Program. The auction closed on March 28, 2013. The U.S. Treasury also auctioned the Warrant, which closed on June 5, 2013, to purchase up to approximately 645,138 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$62.00 per share. The Series C Preferred Stock and Warrants are now held by unrelated third party investors and are no longer held by the U.S. government under the TARP Capital Purchase Program.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The following table sets forth the components in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for each type of available-for-sale security.

| | Pre-tax Amount | Income Tax (Expense) Benefit (1) | After-Tax Amount |
|--|------------------------|--|------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) September 30, 2013 | | | |
| Net unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale, U.S. government sponsored agencies | \$4,429 | \$— | \$4,429 |
| Total net unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale December 31, 2012 | \$4,429 | \$— | \$4,429 |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on securities available-for-sale, U.S. government sponsored agencies | \$2,389 | \$— | \$2,389 |
| FSTAR 2006-1 securitization trust | (10,155 |) 6,108 | (4,047 |
| Total net unrealized gain (loss) on securities available-for-sale | \$(7,766 |) \$6,108 | \$(1,658 |

(1) The income tax benefit reflects the amount which existed at the time the Company established the valuation allowance for deferred securities that were held at the date disposed or matured.

As of June 30, 2013, following the MBIA settlement, the Company collapsed the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust and transferred the loans associated with the mortgage securitization to its loans held-for-investment portfolio. The Company also recognized a tax benefit of \$6.1 million during the second quarter 2013, representing the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with the previously unrealized losses on the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust recorded in other comprehensive income (loss).

Table of Contents

Note 15 – Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share, excluding dilution, is computed by dividing earnings available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue Common Stock were exercised and converted into Common Stock or resulted in the issuance of Common Stock that could then share in the earnings of the Company.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share of Common Stock.

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended September | |
|---|---|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, | | 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | | | |
| Net income | \$14,272 | \$81,110 | \$105,082 | \$161,187 |
| Less: preferred stock dividend/accretion | (1,449 |) (1,417 |) (4,336 |) (4,241 |
| Net income from continuing operations | 12,823 | 79,693 | 100,746 | 156,946 |
| Deferred cumulative preferred stock dividends | (3,613 |) (3,481 |) (10,707 |) (10,294 |
| Net income applicable to Common Stock | \$9,210 | \$76,212 | \$90,039 | \$146,652 |
| Weighted average shares | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 56,096 | 55,802 | 56,042 | 55,735 |
| Effect of dilutive securities | | | | |
| Warrants | 235 | — | 223 | — |
| Stock-based awards | 210 | 431 | 194 | 349 |
| Weighted average diluted common shares | 56,541 | 56,233 | 56,459 | 56,084 |
| Earnings per common share | | | | |
| Net income applicable to Common Stock | \$0.16 | \$1.37 | \$1.61 | \$2.63 |
| Effect of dilutive securities | | | | |
| Warrants | — | — | (0.01 |) — |
| Stock-based awards | — | (0.01 |) (0.01 |) (0.02 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$0.16 | \$1.36 | \$1.59 | \$2.61 |

Note 16 - Stock Based Compensation

Stock-Based Compensation

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$1.1 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, compared to \$1.8 million and \$5.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Incentive Compensation Plans

The Incentive Compensation Plans are administered by the compensation committee of the Company's board of directors. Each year, the compensation committee decides which employees of the Company will be eligible to participate in the plans. The Company had a reduction in expense of \$2.1 million and a \$14.0 million expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to expenses of \$8.4 million and \$22.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

Note 17 – Income Taxes

During 2009, the Company established a valuation allowance for its deferred tax assets, primarily due to significant cumulative pre-tax losses, economic uncertainties existing at that time and uncertainty regarding the amount of future taxable income that the Company could forecast.

Management assesses the valuation allowance recorded against the deferred tax assets at each reporting period. The decision regarding the appropriateness of the allowance is subject to considerable judgment and requires an evaluation of all the positive and negative evidence existing at the end of such period. If based on such evidence it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized in future periods, a valuation allowance is required. At

Table of Contents

September 30, 2013, the Company continues to be in a three year cumulative loss position, which represents significant negative evidence and outweighs the existing positive evidence. As a result the Company continues to have a full valuation allowance established against its net deferred tax assets of \$300.3 million and \$341.9 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company will continue to regularly assess the realizability of its deferred tax assets. Changes in historical earnings performance and future earnings projections, among other factors, may cause the Company to adjust its valuation allowance, which will impact the Company's income tax expense in the period it determines that these factors have changes.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

The Company's effective tax rate differs from the statutory federal tax rate. The following is a summary of such differences.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Provision at statutory federal income tax rate (35%) | \$5,072 | \$21,256 | \$34,718 | \$49,458 |
| Increases (decreases) resulting from | | | | |
| Valuation allowance | (7,267 |) (21,155 |) (37,994 |) (50,613 |
| Residual tax effect associated with other comprehensive income | — | (19,880 |) (6,108 |) (19,880 |
| DOJ litigation settlement | 1,820 | — | 3,280 | 280 |
| Other | 595 | (601 |) 216 | 875 |
| Provision (benefit) at effective federal income tax rate | \$220 | \$(20,380 |) \$(5,888 |) \$(19,880 |

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, the net provision for federal income taxes as a percentage of pre-tax income was 1.5 percent, compared to a benefit of 33.6 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the net benefit for federal income taxes as a percentage of pre-tax income was 5.9 percent, compared to a benefit of 14.1 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Note 18 — Regulatory Matters

Regulatory Capital

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the U.S. bank regulatory agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Consolidated Financial Statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures that have been established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum capital amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below). The Bank's primary regulatory agency, the OCC, requires that the Bank maintain minimum ratios of tangible capital (as defined in the regulations) of 1.5 percent, Tier 1 capital to adjusted tangible assets and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 4.0 percent, and total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets of 8.0 percent. The Bank is also subject to prompt corrective action capital requirement

regulations set forth by the FDIC. The FDIC requires the Bank to maintain minimum ratios of Tier 1 capital to adjusted tangible assets of 5.0 percent, Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 6.0 percent, and total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets of 10.0 percent.

To be categorized as "well capitalized," the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the table below, as of the date of filing of its quarterly report with the OCC. The Bank is considered "well capitalized" at both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

Table of Contents

| | Actual | | For Capital Adequacy Purposes | | Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions | | |
|--|------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|--|-------|---|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2013 | | | | | | | |
| Tangible capital (to tangible assets) | \$ 1,402,423 | 11.98 | % N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Tier 1 capital (to adjusted tangible assets) | 1,402,423 | 11.98 | % \$ 468,345 | 4.0 | % \$ 585,432 | 5.0 | % |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets) | 1,402,423 | 26.57 | % 211,130 | 4.0 | % 316,695 | 6.0 | % |
| Total capital (to risk weighted assets) | 1,470,060 | 27.85 | % 422,260 | 8.0 | % 527,825 | 10.0 | % |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Tangible capital (to tangible assets) | \$ 1,295,841 | 9.26 | % N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Tier 1 capital (to adjusted tangible assets) | 1,295,841 | 9.26 | % \$ 559,985 | 4.0 | % \$ 699,982 | 5.0 | % |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets) | 1,295,841 | 15.90 | % 325,956 | 4.0 | % 488,926 | 6.0 | % |
| Total capital (to risk weighted assets) | 1,400,126 | 17.18 | % 651,902 | 8.0 | % 814,877 | 10.0 | % |
| N/A - Not applicable. | | | | | | | |

Consent Order

Effective October 23, 2012, the Bank's board of directors executed a Stipulation and Consent (the "Stipulation"), accepting the issuance of a Consent Order (the "Consent Order") by the OCC. The Consent Order replaces the supervisory agreement entered into between the Bank and the Office of Thrift Supervision (the "OTS") on January 27, 2010, which the OCC terminated simultaneous with issuance of the Consent Order. The Company is still subject to the Supervisory Agreement with the Federal Reserve (discussed below).

Under the Consent Order, the Bank is required to adopt or review and revise various plans, policies and procedures related to, among other things, regulatory capital, enterprise risk management and liquidity. Specifically, under the terms of the Consent Order, the Bank's board of directors has agreed to, among other things, which include but not limited to the following:

Review, revise, and forward to the OCC a written capital plan for the Bank covering at least a three-year period and establishing projections for the Bank's overall risk profile, earnings performance, growth expectations, balance sheet mix, off-balance sheet activities, liability and funding structure, capital and liquidity adequacy, as well as a contingency capital funding process and plan that identifies alternative capital sources should the primary sources not be available;

Adopt and forward to the OCC a comprehensive written liquidity risk management policy that systematically requires the Bank to reduce liquidity risk; and

Develop, adopt, and forward to the OCC a written enterprise risk management program that is designed to ensure that the Bank effectively identifies, monitors, and controls its enterprise-wide risks, including by developing risk limits for each line of business.

Each of the plans, policies and procedures referenced above in the Consent Order, as well as any subsequent amendments or changes thereto, must be submitted to the OCC for a determination that the OCC has no supervisory objection to them. Upon receiving a determination of no supervisory objection from the OCC, the Bank must

implement and adhere to the respective plan, policy or procedure. The foregoing summary of the Consent Order does not purport to be a complete description of all of the terms of the Consent Order, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the copy of the Consent Order filed with the SEC as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2012.

The Bank intends to address the banking issues identified by the OCC in the manner required for compliance by the OCC. There can be no assurance that the OCC will not provide substantive comments on the capital plan or other submissions that the Bank makes pursuant to the Consent Order that will have a material impact on the Company. The Company believes that the actions taken, or to be taken, to address the banking issues set forth in the Consent Order should, over time, improve its enterprise risk management practices and risk profile. For further information regarding the risks related to the Consent Order, please also refer to the section captioned "FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS" below and the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A to Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Supervisory Agreement

The Company is subject to the Supervisory Agreement, which will remain in effect until terminated, modified, or suspended in writing by the Federal Reserve. The failure to comply with the Supervisory Agreement could result in the initiation of further enforcement action by the Federal Reserve, including the imposition of further operating restrictions, and could result in additional enforcement actions against the Company. The Company has taken actions which it believes are appropriate to comply with, and intends to maintain compliance with, all of the requirements of the Supervisory Agreement.

Pursuant to the Supervisory Agreement, the Company submitted a capital plan to the OTS, predecessor in interest to the Federal Reserve. In addition, the Company agreed to request prior non-objection of the Federal Reserve to pay dividends or other capital distributions; purchase, repurchase or redeem certain securities; and incur, issue, renew, roll over or increase any debt and enter into certain affiliate transactions. The Company also agreed to comply with restrictions on the payment of severance and indemnification payments, director and management changes and employment contracts and compensation arrangements. A complete description of all of the terms of the Supervisory Agreement and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the copy of the Supervisory Agreement filed with the SEC as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 28, 2010. For further information regarding the risks related to the Supervisory Agreement, please also refer to the section captioned "FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS" below and the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A to Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Regulatory Developments

Among the recent legislative and regulatory developments affecting the banking industry are evolving regulatory capital standards for banking organizations. These evolving standards include the so-called "Basel III" initiatives that are part of the effort by international banking supervisors to improve the ability of the banking sector to absorb shocks in periods of financial and economic stress and changes by the U.S. bank regulatory agencies to reduce the use of credit ratings in the rules governing regulatory capital.

In December 2010, the Basel Committee released its final framework for strengthening international capital and liquidity regulation, now officially identified by the Basel Committee as "Basel III." Basel III, when implemented by the U.S. bank regulatory agencies and fully phased-in, will require U.S. banks to maintain substantially more capital, with a greater emphasis on common equity.

In June 2012, the U.S. banking regulators adopted final market risk capital rules to implement the enhancements to the market risk framework adopted by the Basel Committee (commonly referred to as "Basel II.5"). The final rules were effective January 1, 2013 and, among other things, establish new stressed Value at Risk ("VaR") and incremental risk charges for covered trading positions and replace references to credit ratings in the market risk rules with alternative methodologies for assessing credit risk.

In August 2012, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies requested comment on three sets of proposed rules that implement the Basel III capital framework. The first of the three rules addressed minimum capital requirements, regulatory capital, and additional capital "buffer standards to enhance the resilience of banking organizations to withstand periods of financial stress. The second set of rules proposed revisions to the methodologies for calculating risk-weighted assets incorporating aspects of the Basel II standardized approach and established alternative standards of creditworthiness in place of credit ratings. The final proposal included proposed changes to the U.S. bank regulatory agencies current advanced approaches risk-based capital rule.

In July 2013, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies adopted an interim final rule ("the rule") that revises the risk-based and leverage capital requirements for banking organizations. The rule consolidates three separate notices of proposed rulemaking published in the Federal Register in August 2012, with selected changes. The rule implements a revised definition of regulatory capital, a new common equity tier 1 minimum capital requirement, and a higher minimum tier 1 capital requirement and requires new deductions from capital for investments in unconsolidated financial institutions, mortgage servicing assets and deferred tax assets that exceed specified thresholds. The rule incorporates these new requirements into the U.S. bank regulatory agencies prompt corrective action framework. In addition, the rule establishes limits on a banking organization's capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments if the banking organization does not hold a specified amount of common equity tier 1 capital in addition to the amount necessary to meet its minimum risk-based capital requirements. Further, the rule amends the methodologies for determining risk-weighted assets for all banking organizations. The rule also adopts changes to the U.S. bank regulatory agencies regulatory capital requirements that meet the requirements of section 171 and section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. The rule codifies the U.S. bank regulatory agencies regulatory capital rules, which have previously resided in various appendices to their respective regulations, into a harmonized integrated regulatory framework. In addition, the OCC is amending the market risk capital rule

Table of Contents

(market risk rule) to apply to Federal savings associations, and the Federal Reserve is amending the advanced approaches and market risk rules to apply to top-tier savings and loan holding companies domiciled in the United States, except for certain savings and loan holding companies that are substantially engaged in insurance underwriting or commercial activities. The mandatory compliance date is January 1, 2014 for advanced approaches banking organizations that are not savings and loan holding companies and January 1, 2015 for all other covered banking organizations with transitional provisions applicable to capital adjustments and deductions through December 31, 2017. Once fully phased in, the Basel III capital rules will significantly reduce the allowable amount of the fair value of MSRs and deferred tax assets included in Tier 1 capital.

In October 2013, the OCC and Federal Reserve published a final rule that replaces their existing risk-based and leverage capital rules. The final rule is consistent with the interim final rule.

Note 19 – Legal Proceedings, Contingencies and Commitments

Legal Proceedings

The Company and certain subsidiaries are subject to various pending or threatened legal proceedings arising out of the normal course of business or operations. Although there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, the Company, together with its subsidiaries, believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims presently asserted against the Company, including the matters described below. With respect to such legal proceedings, the Company intends to continue to defend itself vigorously, litigating or settling cases according to management's judgment as to the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

On at least a quarterly basis, the Company assesses the liabilities and loss contingencies in connection with pending or threatened legal proceedings utilizing the latest information available. The Company establishes reserves for legal claims and regulatory matters when the Company believes it is probable that a loss may be incurred and the amount of such loss can be reasonably estimated. Once established, accrued reserves are adjusted from time to time, as appropriate, in light of additional information.

Resolutions of legal claims are inherently dependent on the specific facts and circumstances of each specific case; therefore the actual costs of resolving these claims may be substantially higher or lower than the amounts reserved. Based on current knowledge, and after consultation with legal counsel, management believes that current reserves are adequate and the amount of any incremental liability that may arise is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Consolidated Financial Statements. Certain legal claims considered by the Company in its analysis of the sufficiency of its related reserves include the following.

DOJ Litigation Settlement

In February 2012, the Company announced that the Bank had entered into the DOJ Agreement for \$133.0 million relating to certain underwriting practices associated with loans insured by FHA. Pursuant to the DOJ Agreement, the Bank agreed to:

- Comply with all applicable HUD and FHA rules related to the continued participation in the direct endorsement lender program;
- Make an initial payment of \$15.0 million within 30 business days of the effective date of the DOJ Agreement (which was paid on April 3, 2012);
- Make the Additional Payments of approximately \$118.0 million, the payment of which is contingent only upon the occurrence of certain future events; and
- Complete a monitoring period by an independent third party chosen by the Bank and approved by HUD.

Subject to the Bank's full compliance with the terms of the DOJ Agreement, the government agreed to:

Immediately release the Bank and all of the current and former officers, directors, employees, affiliates and assigns from any civil or administrative claim it has or may have under various federal laws, the common law or equitable theories of fraud or mistake of fact in connection with the mortgage loans the Bank endorsed for FHA insurance during the period January 1, 2002 to the date of the DOJ Agreement (the "Covered Period");

Not refuse to pay any insurance claim or seek indemnification or other relief in connection with the mortgage loans the Bank endorsed for FHA insurance during the Covered Period but for which no claims have yet been paid on the basis of the conduct alleged in the complaint or referenced in the DOJ Agreement; and

Table of Contents

Not seek indemnification or other relief in connection with the mortgage loans the Bank endorsed for FHA insurance during the Covered Period and for which HUD has paid insurance claims on the basis of the conduct alleged in the complaint or referenced in the DOJ Agreement.

The Company elected the fair value option to account for the liability representing the obligation to make Additional Payments under the DOJ Agreement. As of September 30, 2013, the Bank has accrued \$28.5 million, which represents the fair value of the Additional Payments. See Note 3 - Fair Value Measurements, for further information on the fair value of the DOJ litigation settlement. Other than as set forth above, the DOJ Agreement does not have any effect on FHA insured loans in the Company's portfolio, including loans classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees as discussed in Note 6 - Loans Repurchased with Government Guarantees. The Company believes that such loans retain FHA insurance, and the Company continues to process such loans for insurance claims in the normal course and to receive payments thereon from the FHA. Based on the experience subsequent to the Bank's agreement with the DOJ, the Company believes that such claims are not subject to denial or dispute other than in the normal course of processing insurance claims.

Mortgage-Related Litigation, Regulatory and Other Matters

Regulatory Matters

From time to time, governmental agencies conduct investigations or examinations of various mortgage related practices of the Bank. Ongoing investigations relate to whether the Bank has properly complied with laws or regulations relating to mortgage origination or servicing practices and to whether its practices with regard to servicing residential first mortgage loans are adequate. The Bank is cooperating with such agencies and providing information as requested. In addition, the Bank has routinely been named in civil actions throughout the country by borrowers and former borrowers relating to the origination, purchase, sale and servicing of mortgage loans.

Repurchase Demands and Indemnification Claims

In the normal course of its operations, the Bank receives repurchase and indemnification demands from counterparties involved with the purchase of residential first mortgages for alleged breaches of representations and warranties. The Bank establishes a representation and warranty reserve in connection with the estimated potential liability for such potential demands.

In 2009 and 2010, the Bank received repurchase demands from Assured with respect to HELOCs that were sold by the Bank in connection with the HELOC securitizations. Assured is the note insurer of the HELOC securitizations. In April 2011, Assured filed a lawsuit against the Bank in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, alleging a breach of various loan level representations and warranties and seeking relief for breach of contract, as well as full indemnification and reimbursement of amounts that it had paid under the insurance policies, plus interest and costs. Assured sought \$111.0 million in damages. In March 2012, the Court dismissed Assured's claims for indemnification and reimbursement, but allowed the case to proceed on the breach of contract claims related to the Bank's repurchase obligations. The Court issued a memorandum opinion in September 2012, supporting and explaining the Court's March decision. In February 2013, following a bench trial, the Court issued a decision in favor of Assured and awarded it \$89.2 million, plus contractual interest and attorneys' fees and costs. On April 1, 2013, the Court issued a final judgment against the Company for a total of \$106.5 million, consisting of \$90.7 million in damages plus \$15.9 million in pre-judgment interest. The Bank filed a notice of appeal later that month. The Court subsequently issued a memorandum order, in which the Court reserved the decision regarding the amount of attorneys' fees to which Assured is entitled until after the appeals process concludes. On June 21, 2013, the Bank entered into an agreement with Assured to settle this lawsuit and the Bank's pending appeal. Pursuant to the terms of the Assured Settlement Agreement, Assured's judgment against the Bank has been deemed fully satisfied, the Bank's appeal has

been dismissed, and, among other consideration and transaction provisions, the Bank has paid Assured \$105.0 million during the second quarter 2013. In addition, the Bank has assumed responsibility for future payments due by Assured to noteholders in the HELOC securitization trust, and will receive future reimbursements for claims paid to which Assured would otherwise have been entitled. As a result, the Bank recorded a \$49.1 million gain during the second quarter 2013, arising from the reconsolidation of the net fair value of the assets and liabilities in the HELOC securitization trusts on the Consolidated Financial Statements and the reversal of related reserves for pending and threatened litigation.

Also in May 2010, the Bank received repurchase demands from MBIA with respect to closed-end, fixed and adjustable second mortgage loans that were sold by the Bank in connection with its non-agency second mortgage loan securitizations. MBIA is the note insurer of each of the two second mortgage loan securitizations. On January 11, 2013, MBIA filed a complaint against the Bank in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, alleging a breach of various loan level representations and warranties and seeking relief for breach of contract, as well as full indemnification and

Table of Contents

reimbursement of amounts that it has paid and will pay under the respective insurance policies, plus interest and costs. MBIA alleged damages to date of \$165.0 million and unspecified future damages. In March 2013, the Bank filed a motion to dismiss, and MBIA filed a motion for partial summary judgment on the basis of collateral estoppel. On May 2, 2013, the Bank entered into an agreement with MBIA to settle the lawsuit. Pursuant to the terms of the MBIA Settlement Agreement, MBIA has dismissed the lawsuit against the Bank and in exchange, among other consideration and transaction provisions, the Bank has paid MBIA \$110.0 million. As a result of the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization, which was recorded as available-for-sale investment securities, was collapsed and the Company then transferred the loans associated with the securitization to its loans held-for-investment portfolio at fair value and dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. As a result, the Company recognized a \$4.9 million loss during the second quarter 2013.

Other Matters

In May 2012, the Bank and its subsidiary, Flagstar Reinsurance Company, were named as defendants in a putative class action lawsuit filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, alleging a violation of Section 2607 of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA"). Section 2607(a) of RESPA generally prohibits anyone from "accept[ing] any fee, kickback or thing of value pursuant to any agreement or understanding, oral or otherwise, that business related incident to or part of a real estate settlement service involving a federally related mortgage loan shall be referred to any person." Section 2607(b) of RESPA also prohibits anyone from "accept[ing] any portion, split, or percentage of any charge made or received for the rendering of a real estate settlement service in connection with a federally related mortgage loan other than for services actually performed." The lawsuit specifically alleges that the Bank and Flagstar Reinsurance Company violated Section 2607 of RESPA through a captive reinsurance arrangement involving (i) allegedly illegal payments to Flagstar Reinsurance Company for the referral of private mortgage insurance business from the Bank to private mortgage insurers to Flagstar Reinsurance Company and (ii) Flagstar Reinsurance Company's purported receipt of an unlawful split of private mortgage insurance premiums. In January 2013, the plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint identifying new plaintiffs. On June 27, 2013, the court denied the Bank's and Flagstar Reinsurance's motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint based upon the statute of limitations and equitable tolling. The parties are in the process of completing limited discovery. At the conclusion of the limited discovery, the Court said it would entertain dispositive motions, and the Company intends to re-file a motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint.

On August 15, 2013, shareholder Kenneth Taylor filed a derivative action in the Circuit Court of Oakland County, Michigan against several current and former members of the Company's Board of Directors and executive officers, including Joseph Campanelli, Michael Tierney, Paul Borja, Todd McGowan, Daniel Landers, Matthew Kerin, Walter Carter, Gregory Eng, Jay Hansen, David Matlin, James Ovenden, Mark Patterson, Michael Shonka, and David Treadwell. The lawsuit requests unspecified monetary damages and purports to seek to remedy defendants' alleged breaches of fiduciary duties and unjust enrichment from 2011 to present, focusing on the events leading up to the Company's February 24, 2012 settlement with the U.S. Department of Justice, as well as the settlement itself. Defendants' Answer or responsive pleading is currently due on November 27, 2013. On October 23, 2013, shareholder Joel Rosenfeld filed a second derivative action in the same court. Focusing on the February 24, 2012 settlement with the DOJ and the Company's prior litigation with Assured Guaranty, the Rosenfeld action alleges very similar claims to those alleged in the Taylor action. It also names the same defendants and seeks similar damages. It is the Company's understanding a motion will be made to consolidate the two actions.

Litigation Accruals and Other Possible Contingent Liabilities

When establishing an accrual for contingent liabilities, the Company determines a range of potential losses for each matter that is probable to result in a loss and where the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company then records the amount it considers to be the best estimate within the range. As of September 30, 2013, the

Company's total accrual for contingent liabilities was \$31.7 million, which includes the accruals for the DOJ Agreement and pending cases. There may be further losses that could arise, the occurrence of which is not probable (but is reasonably possible), or the amount of which is not reasonably estimable; in either case, such losses are not included in the accrual for contingent liabilities. It is possible that the ultimate resolution of those matters, or one or more other unexpected future developments, could result in a loss or losses that, individually or in the aggregate, may be material to the Company's results of operations, or cash flows, for the relevant period(s).

Table of Contents

Contingencies and Commitments

A summary of the contractual amount of significant commitments is as follows.

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Commitments to extend credit | | |
| Mortgage loans (interest-rate lock commitments) | \$2,755,914 | \$5,149,891 |
| HELOC | 64,193 | 53,276 |
| Other | 7,467 | 7,042 |
| Standby and commercial letters of credit | 7,503 | 66,005 |

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend funds or provide liquidity subject to specific contractual conditions. Since many of these commitments expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash flow requirements. The Company sold \$63.0 million of Northeast-based commercial letters of credit, during the first quarter 2013, related to the sale of commercial loans associated with the CIT Agreement.

The Company enters into forward contracts for the future delivery or purchase of agency and loan sale contracts. These contracts are considered to be derivative instruments under U.S. GAAP. Changes to the fair value of these forward loan sales as a result of changes in interest rates are recorded on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as an other asset. Further discussion on derivative instruments is included in Note 10 - Derivative Financial Instruments.

The Company has unfunded commitments under its contractual arrangement with the HELOC securitization trusts to fund future advances on the underlying HELOC. Refer to further discussion of this issue as presented in Note 8 – Private-label Securitization and Variable Interest Entities.

Standby and commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Standby letters of credit generally are contingent upon the failure of the customer to perform according to the terms of the underlying contract with the third party, while commercial letters of credit are issued specifically to facilitate commerce and typically result in the commitment being drawn on when the underlying transaction is consummated between the customer and the third party.

For information regarding the representation and warranty reserve, see Note 13 - Representation and Warranty Reserve.

Note 20 – Segment Information

The Company's operations are conducted through three operating segments: Mortgage Banking, Community Banking and Other, which includes the remaining reported activities. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise that engage in business activity from which revenues are earned and expenses incurred for which discrete financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by executive management in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The operating segments have been determined based on the products and services offered and reflect the manner in which financial information is currently evaluated by management. Each segment operates under the same banking charter, but is reported on a segmented basis for this report. Each of the operating segments is complementary to each other and because of the interrelationships of the segments, the information presented is not indicative of how the segments would perform if they operated as independent entities. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

In late 2012, the Company reorganized the way its operations are managed. The segments are based on an internally-aligned segment leadership structure, which is how the results are monitored and performance assessed. The three operating segments are organized in a combination of the business model and the services provide a competitive advantage that supports revenue and earnings. The business model emphasizes the delivery of a complete set of mortgage and banking products and services, and is distinguished by local delivery, customer service and product pricing.

Revenues are comprised of net interest income (before the provision for loan losses) and non-interest income. Non-interest expenses are fully allocated to each operating segment. Allocation methodologies are subject to periodic adjustment as the internal management accounting system is revised and the business or product lines within the segments change. Also,

61

Table of Contents

because the development and application of these methodologies is a dynamic process, the financial results presented may be periodically revised.

The Mortgage Banking segment originates, acquires, sells and services mortgage loans. The origination and acquisition of mortgage loans comprises the majority of the lending activity. Mortgage loans are originated through home lending centers, national call centers, the Internet and unaffiliated banks and mortgage banking and brokerage companies, where the net interest income and the gains from sales associated with these loans are recognized in the Mortgage Banking segment. Also, the Mortgage Banking segment service mortgage loans for others and sells MSRs into the secondary market.

The Community Banking segment originates loans, deposits and fee based services to consumer, business and mortgage lending customers through its Branch Banking, Business and Commercial Banking, Government Banking, and Warehouse Lending groups. Products offered through these teams include checking accounts, savings accounts, money market accounts, certificates of deposit, investment and insurance services consumer loans, commercial loans and warehouse lines of credit. Other financial services available to consumer and commercial customers include lines of credit, revolving credit, customized treasury management solutions, equipment leasing, inventory and accounts receivable lending and capital markets services such as interest rate risk protection products.

The Other segment includes the funding revenue associated with stockholders' equity, the impact of interest rate risk management, the impact of balance sheet funding activities, changes or credits of an unusual or infrequent nature that are not reflective of the normal operations of the operating segments and miscellaneous other expenses of a corporate nature. In addition, the Other segment includes revenue and expenses related to treasury and corporate assets, liabilities and equity not directly assigned or allocated to the Community Banking or Mortgage Banking operating segments.

Table of Contents

The following table presents financial information by business segment for the periods indicated.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | Total |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Mortgage Banking | Community Banking | Other | |
| Summary of Operations | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net interest income (loss) | \$40,150 | \$25,489 | \$(22,954) |) \$42,685 |
| Net gain on loan sales | 74,968 | 105 | — |) 75,073 |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate | (5,205 |) — | — |) (5,205) |
| Other non-interest income | 52,837 | 9,340 | 2,251 |) 64,428 |
| Total net interest income and non-interest income | 162,750 | 34,934 | (20,703) |) 176,981 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,290 | (6,343) |) — |) (4,053) |
| Asset resolution | (14,091) |) (2,204) |) — |) (16,295) |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | (1,792) |) (1,024) |) (2,742) |) (5,558) |
| Other non-interest expense | (96,173) |) (36,278) |) (4,132) |) (136,583) |
| Total non-interest expense | (109,766) |) (45,849) |) (6,874) |) (162,489) |
| Income (loss) before federal income taxes | \$52,984 | \$(10,915) |) \$(27,577) |) \$14,492 |
| Benefit for federal income taxes | — | — | (220) |) (220) |
| Net income (loss) | \$52,984 | \$(10,915) |) \$(27,797) |) \$14,272 |
| Average balances | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$2,145,245 | \$11,721 | \$— |) \$2,156,966 |
| Loans held-for-investment | 2,902,511 | 981,358 | 166,751 |) 4,050,620 |
| Total assets | 7,381,508 | 1,327,466 | 3,630,545 |) 12,339,519 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | — | 5,887,049 | 19,949 |) 5,906,998 |
| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | | |
| | Mortgage Banking | Community Banking | Other | Total |
| Summary of Operations | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net interest income (loss) | \$49,054 | \$40,385 | \$(16,360) |) \$73,079 |
| Net gain on loan sales | 334,248 | 179 | — |) 334,427 |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate | (124,492 |) — | — |) (124,492) |
| Other non-interest income | 46,595 | 11,164 | 6,043 |) 63,802 |
| Total net interest income and non-interest income | 305,405 | 51,728 | (10,317) |) 346,816 |
| Provision for loan losses | (50,785) |) (1,810) |) — |) (52,595) |
| Asset resolution | (12,853) |) 366 | — |) (12,487) |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | (1,630) |) (917) |) (2,705) |) (5,252) |
| Other non-interest expense | (148,968) |) (46,258) |) (20,526) |) (215,752) |
| Total non-interest expense | (214,236) |) (48,619) |) (23,231) |) (286,086) |
| Income (loss) before federal income taxes | \$91,169 | \$3,109 | \$(33,548) |) \$60,730 |
| Provision for federal income taxes | — | — | 20,380 |) 20,380 |
| Net income (loss) | \$91,169 | \$3,109 | \$(13,168) |) \$81,110 |
| Average balances | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$3,301,860 | \$— | \$— |) \$3,301,860 |
| Loans held-for-investment | 3,387,490 | 3,138,425 | 7,654 |) 6,533,569 |
| Total assets | 9,683,973 | 3,279,824 | 2,193,328 |) 15,157,125 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | — | 6,655,728 | 271,914 |) 6,927,642 |

Table of Contents

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Mortgage Banking | Community Banking | Other | Total |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Summary of Operations | | | | |
| Net interest income (loss) | \$125,904 | \$84,412 | \$(64,868) |) \$145,448 |
| Net gain on loan sales | 357,009 | 395 | — | 357,404 |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate | (51,541 |) — | — | (51,541) |
| Other non-interest income | 159,908 | 29,427 | 44,000 | 233,335 |
| Total net interest income and non-interest income | 591,280 | 114,234 | (20,868) |) 684,646 |
| Provision for loan losses | (33,471 |) (22,559 |) — | (56,030) |
| Asset resolution | (47,208 |) (1,461 |) 8 | (48,661) |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | (5,270 |) (2,961 |) (7,709 |) (15,940) |
| Other non-interest expense | (321,323 |) (130,375 |) (13,123 |) (464,821) |
| Total non-interest expense | (407,272 |) (157,356 |) (20,824 |) (585,452) |
| Income (loss) before federal income taxes | 184,008 | (43,122 |) (41,692 |) 99,194 |
| Benefit for federal income taxes | — | — | 5,888 | 5,888 |
| Net income (loss) | \$184,008 | \$(43,122 |) \$(35,804 |) \$105,082 |
| Average balances | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$2,575,561 | \$220,251 | \$— | \$2,795,812 |
| Loans held-for-investment | 3,102,363 | 1,299,410 | 61,419 | 4,463,192 |
| Total assets | 8,238,110 | 1,743,516 | 3,011,096 | 12,992,722 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | — | 6,436,520 | 21,309 | 6,457,829 |
| | | | | |
| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | | |
| | Mortgage Banking | Community Banking | Other | Total |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Summary of Operations | | | | |
| Net interest income (loss) | \$145,026 | \$113,413 | \$(35,149) |) \$223,290 |
| Net gain on loan sales | 751,426 | 519 | — | 751,945 |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate | (231,058 |) — | — | (231,058) |
| Other non-interest income | 168,330 | 31,043 | 15,188 | 214,561 |
| Total net interest income and non-interest income | 833,724 | 144,975 | (19,961) |) 958,738 |
| Provision for loan losses | (181,123 |) (44,573 |) — | (225,696) |
| Asset resolution | (63,974 |) (6,134 |) — | (70,108) |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | (4,607 |) (2,816 |) (7,351 |) (14,774) |
| Other non-interest expense | (330,655 |) (138,112 |) (38,086 |) (506,853) |
| Total non-interest expense | (580,359 |) (191,635 |) (45,437 |) (817,431) |
| Income (loss) before federal income taxes | 253,365 | (46,660 |) (65,398 |) 141,307 |
| Provision for federal income taxes | — | — | 19,880 | 19,880 |
| Net income (loss) | \$253,365 | \$(46,660 |) \$(45,518 |) \$161,187 |
| Average balances | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$2,892,439 | \$— | \$— | \$2,892,439 |
| Loans held-for-investment | 3,635,764 | 2,939,249 | 8,627 | 6,583,640 |
| Total assets | 9,553,388 | 3,054,209 | 2,020,599 | 14,628,196 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | — | 6,532,189 | 278,532 | 6,810,721 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Where we say "we," "us," or "our," we usually mean Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. However, in some cases, a reference to "we," "us," or "our" will include our wholly-owned subsidiary Flagstar Bank, FSB, and Flagstar Capital Markets Corporation ("FCMC"), our wholly-owned subsidiary, which we collectively refer to as the "Bank."

FORWARD – LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended. Forward-looking statements, by their nature, involve estimates, projections, goals, forecasts, assumptions, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed in a forward-looking statement. Examples of forward-looking statements include statements regarding our expectations, beliefs, plans, goals, objectives and future financial or other performance. Words such as "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates" and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made. Except to fulfill our obligations under the U.S. securities laws, we undertake no obligation to update any such statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which it is made.

There are a number of important factors that could cause future results to differ materially from historical performance and these forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include:

- (1) Volatile interest rates-which affect, among other things, (i) the mortgage business, (ii) our ability to originate loans and sell assets at a profit, (iii) prepayment speeds and (iv) our cost of funds-could adversely affect earnings;
- (2) Competitive factors for mortgage loan originations could negatively affect gain on loan sale margins;
- (3) Competition from banking and non-banking companies for deposits and loans can affect our earnings, gain on sale margins and market share;
- (4) Changes in the regulation of financial services companies and government-sponsored housing enterprises and, in particular, declines in the liquidity of the secondary market for residential mortgage loan sales;

Changes in regulatory capital requirements or an inability to achieve or maintain desired capital ratios could

- (5) adversely affect our earnings opportunities and our ability to originate certain types of loans, as well as our ability to sell certain types of assets for fair market value;

General business and economic conditions, including unemployment rates, movements in interest rates, the slope

- (6) of the yield curve, any increase in mortgage fraud and other related criminal activity and the further decline of asset values in certain geographic markets;

- (7) Repurchases and indemnity demands by mortgage loan purchasers, guarantors and insurers, uncertainty related to foreclosure procedures, and the outcome of current and future legal or regulatory proceedings;

Both the volume and the nature of consumer actions and other forms of litigation against financial institutions have

- (8) increased, and to the extent that such actions are brought against us, the cost of defending such suits as well as potential exposure to liability;

- (9) Our compliance with the terms and conditions of the agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice, the impact of performance and enforcement of commitments under, and provisions contained in the agreement, and our accuracy

and ability to estimate the financial impact of that agreement, including the fair value of the future payments required;

Our, or the Bank's, failure to comply with the terms and conditions of the supervisory agreement with the Board (10) of Governors of the Federal Reserve or the consent order with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, respectively, could result in further enforcement actions against us; and

Table of Contents

- (11) The downgrade of the long-term credit rating of the United States by one or more ratings agencies could materially affect global and domestic financial markets and economic conditions.

All of the above factors are difficult to predict, contain uncertainties that may materially affect actual results, and may be beyond our control. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for our management to predict all such factors or to assess the effect of each such factor on our business.

Please also refer to Item 1A to Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and Item 1A to Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which are incorporated by reference herein, for further information on these and other factors affecting us.

Although we believe that these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable estimates and assumptions, they are not guaranties of future performance and are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties, contingencies and other factors. Accordingly, we cannot give you any assurance that our expectations will in fact occur or that actual results will not differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in forward-looking statements, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the results or conditions described in such statements or our objectives and plans will be achieved.

General

We are a Michigan-based savings and loan holding company founded in 1993. Our business is primarily conducted through our principal subsidiary, the Bank, a federally chartered stock savings bank founded in 1987. At September 30, 2013, our total assets were \$11.8 billion, making us the largest bank headquartered in Michigan and one of the 10 largest savings banks in the United States. Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "FBC." We are considered a controlled company for NYSE purposes, because MP Thrift Investments, L.P. ("MP Thrift") held approximately 63.4 percent of our common stock as of September 30, 2013.

As a savings and loan holding company, we are subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve (the "Federal Reserve"). The Bank is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") of the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("U.S. Treasury"). The Bank is also subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC through the Deposit Insurance Fund. The Bank is also subject to the rule-making, supervision and examination authority of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB"), which is responsible for enforcing the principal federal consumer protection laws. The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Indianapolis.

Our primary business is conducted through our Mortgage Banking segment, in which we originate or purchase residential first mortgage loans throughout the country and sell them into securitization pools, primarily to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (collectively, government sponsored enterprises or the "GSEs") or as whole loans. Approximately 98.8 percent of our total loan originations during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 represented mortgage loans that were collateralized by residential first mortgages on single-family residences and were eligible for sale to the GSEs. Our revenue primarily consists of net gain on loan sales, loan fees and charges, net loan administration income, and interest income from residential first mortgage loans held-for-investment and held-for-sale, and second mortgage loans held-for-investment. We originate residential first mortgage loans through our wholesale relationships with approximately 1,400 mortgage brokers and over 1,000 correspondents, which are located in all 50 states and serviced by 132 account executives. We also operate 45 home lending centers located in 19 states, which primarily originate one-to-four family residential first mortgage loans as part of our Mortgage Banking segment. These loan origination centers employ approximately 227 loan officers. We also originate mortgage loans through referrals from our banking centers, consumer direct call center and our website, www.flagstar.com. The combination of our home lending, broker and correspondent channels gives us broad access to customers across diverse geographies to originate, fulfill, sell and service our residential first mortgage loan products. Our servicing activities primarily include collecting cash for principal, interest and escrow payments from borrowers, assisting homeowners through loss mitigation activities, and accounting for and remitting principal and interest payments to mortgage-backed securities investors and escrow payments to third parties.

Our business also includes the activities conducted through our Community Banking segment, in which our revenue includes net interest income and fee-based income from community banking services. At September 30, 2013, we operated 111 banking centers (of which 11 are located in retail stores), all of which are located in Michigan. Of the 111 banking centers, 68 facilities are owned and 43 facilities are leased. Through our banking centers, we gather deposits and offer a line of consumer and commercial financial products and services to individuals and businesses. We provide deposit and cash management services to governmental units on a relationship basis. We leverage our banking centers to cross-sell loans, deposit products and insurance and investment services to existing customers and to increase our customer base by attracting new customers. At September 30, 2013, we had a total of \$6.6 billion in deposits, including \$5.4 billion in retail deposits, \$0.7 billion in company controlled deposits, \$0.6 billion in government deposits, and \$0.1 billion in wholesale deposits.

At September 30, 2013, we had 3,428 full-time equivalent salaried employees of which 359 were account executives and loan officers.

Table of Contents

Operating Segments

Our business is comprised of three operating segments - Mortgage Banking, Community Banking and Other. Our Mortgage Banking segment originates, acquires, sells and services residential first mortgage loans on one-to-four family residences. Our Community Banking segment currently offers a line of financial products and services to individuals, small and middle market businesses, and mortgage lenders. Our Other segment includes corporate treasury, tax benefits not assigned to specific operating segments, and miscellaneous other expenses of a corporate nature. Each operating segment supports and complements the operations of the other. For example, funding for the Mortgage Banking segment is primarily provided by deposits obtained through the Community Banking segment. Financial information regarding the three operating segments is set forth in Note 20 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein. A more detailed discussion of the three operating segments is set forth below.

Mortgage Banking

Our Mortgage Banking segment originates, acquires, sells and services one-to-four family residential first mortgage loans. The origination or acquisition of residential first mortgage loans held-for-sale constitutes our most significant lending activity.

During 2012 and continuing into 2013, we remained one of the country's leading mortgage loan originators. We utilize three production channels to originate or acquire mortgage loans: home lending centers (also referred to as "retail"), as well as brokers and correspondents (also collectively referred to as "wholesale"). Each production channel produces similar mortgage loan products which are made following the same underwriting standards. We expect to continue to leverage technology to streamline the mortgage origination process and bring service and convenience to brokers and correspondents. Sales support offices are maintained that assist brokers and correspondents nationwide. We also continue to make increasing use of the Internet as a tool to facilitate the mortgage loan origination process through each of our production channels. Brokers and correspondents are able to register and lock loans, check the status of inventory, deliver documents in electronic format, generate closing documents, and request funds through the Internet. Most mortgage loans that closed in 2012 and continuing into 2013 utilized the Internet in the completion of the mortgage origination or acquisition process.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, 47.6 percent of our residential first mortgage originations were purchase mortgages, as compared to 22.5 percent in the three months ended September 30, 2012. The purchase and refinance mix of our mortgage originations has historically tracked close to the mix of the overall mortgage industry. This is also the case in each of our production channels.

Home Lending Centers. In a home lending center transaction, loans are originated through a nationwide network of our stand-alone loan origination centers, as well as referrals from our Community Banking segment and the national call center. When loans are originated on a retail basis, mostly all aspects of the lending process are completed internally including the origination documentation (inclusive of customer disclosures) as well as the funding of the transactions. At September 30, 2013 we maintained 45 loan origination centers. At the same time, our centralized loan processing gains efficiencies and allows lending sales staff to focus on originations.

Broker. In a broker transaction, an unaffiliated bank or mortgage brokerage company completes several steps of the loan origination process including the loan paperwork, but the loans are underwritten on a loan-level basis to our underwriting standards and we supply the funding for the loan at closing (also known as "table funding") thereby becoming the lender of record. Currently, we have active broker relationships with approximately 1,400 banks, credit unions, and mortgage brokerage companies located in all 50 states.

Correspondent. In a correspondent transaction, an unaffiliated bank or mortgage company completes the loan paperwork and also supplies the funding for the loan at closing. After the bank or mortgage company has funded the transaction, we purchase the loan at a market price. We do not acquire loans from correspondents on a bulk basis without prior review. Instead, we perform a full review of each loan, purchasing only those that were originated in accordance with our underwriting guidelines. We have active correspondent relationships with over 1,000 companies, including banks, credit unions, and mortgage companies located in all 50 states.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2013, we ranked in the top ten mortgage lenders based on our residential first mortgage loan originations. The following tables disclose residential first mortgage loan originations by channel, type and mix for each respective period.

| | Three Months Ended | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | September 30, 2013 | June 30, 2013 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Home Lending Centers | \$411,940 | \$575,016 | \$697,340 | \$998,804 | \$961,591 |
| Broker | 1,845,465 | 2,974,555 | 3,201,371 | 4,524,775 | 4,117,742 |
| Correspondent | 5,478,385 | 7,332,558 | 8,524,540 | 9,833,218 | 9,434,287 |
| Total | \$7,735,790 | \$10,882,129 | \$12,423,251 | \$15,356,797 | \$14,513,620 |
| Purchase originations | \$3,682,411 | \$3,146,501 | \$2,339,269 | \$2,915,724 | \$3,267,788 |
| Refinance originations | 4,053,379 | 7,735,628 | 10,083,982 | 12,441,073 | 11,245,832 |
| Total | \$7,735,790 | \$10,882,129 | \$12,423,251 | \$15,356,797 | \$14,513,620 |
| Conventional | \$5,247,910 | \$7,681,337 | \$8,591,784 | \$10,427,131 | \$10,020,863 |
| Government | 1,930,538 | 2,535,378 | 2,799,000 | 3,363,134 | 3,178,563 |
| Jumbo | 557,342 | 665,414 | 1,032,467 | 1,566,532 | 1,314,194 |
| Total | \$7,735,790 | \$10,882,129 | \$12,423,251 | \$15,356,797 | \$14,513,620 |

Underwriting

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we primarily originated residential first mortgage loans for sale to the GSEs, each of which has its particular underwriting guidelines.

Residential first mortgage loans

At September 30, 2013, most of our held-for-investment residential first mortgage loans had been originated in 2008 or prior years with underwriting criteria that varied by product and with the standards in place at the time of origination. Loans originated after 2008 are loans that generally satisfy specific criteria for sale into securitization pools insured by the GSEs or were repurchased from the GSEs subsequent to such sales. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we began to originate amortizing jumbo adjustable-rate mortgages (adjustable-rate mortgages with loan balances above the GSE limits) for our held-for-investment portfolio, in addition to the non-agency jumbo mortgages we have originated and sold into secondary market. While jumbo mortgage originations during the three months ended September 30, 2013, were not material, further volume growth is planned for next quarter as well as for 2014. The underwriting criteria is similar to lenders originating for securitization for those borrowers who have performed exceptionally well in recent years.

At September 30, 2013, the largest geographic concentrations of our residential first mortgage loans in our held-for-investment portfolio were in California, Florida and Michigan, which represented 52.1 percent.

Table of Contents

Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of the residential first mortgage loans in our held-for-investment portfolio at September 30, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination | 2009 and Prior | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | Total / Weighted Average | | |
|---|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Unpaid principal balance (1) | \$2,307,340 | \$21,652 | \$30,638 | \$29,889 | \$64,839 | \$2,454,358 | | |
| Average note rate | 3.98 | % 4.69 | % 4.46 | % 3.87 | % 3.52 | % 3.98 | % | |
| Average original FICO score | 711 | 715 | 736 | 739 | 768 | 713 | | |
| Average current FICO score (2) | 707 | 721 | 745 | 754 | 769 | 710 | | |
| Average original LTV ratio | 75.4 | % 78.9 | % 78.4 | % 72.6 | % 69.7 | % 75.3 | % | |
| Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3) | 85.6 | % 73.5 | % 72.9 | % 65.9 | % 69.0 | % 84.7 | % | |
| Underwritten with low or stated income documentation | 35.0 | % — | % 1.0 | % — | % — | % 32.0 | % | |

(1) Unpaid principal balance does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the nine months ended September 30, 2013

(3) The housing price index ("HPI") loan-to-value ("LTV") is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA") data as of June 30, 2013.

Average original LTV represents the loan balance at origination, as a percentage of the original appraised value of the property. LTVs are refreshed quarterly based on estimates of home prices using the most current FHFA data, and the refreshed LTVs reflect the modest recovery in home prices over the past 18 months.

Residential first mortgage loans are underwritten on a loan-by-loan basis rather than on a pool basis. Generally, residential first mortgage loans in the held-for-investment loan portfolio were initially reviewed by one of our in-house loan underwriters or by a contract underwriter. In all cases, loans must be underwritten to our underwriting standards.

Our current criteria for underwriting generally includes, but are not limited to, full documentation of borrower income and other relevant financial information, fully indexed rate consideration for variable rate loans, and for GSE loans, the specific GSEs eligible LTV ratios with full appraisals when required. Variances from any of these standards are permitted only to the extent allowable under the specific program requirements. These specific program requirements include the ability to originate loans with less than full documentation and variable rate loans with an initial interest rate less than the fully indexed rate. Mortgage loans are collateralized by a first or second mortgage on a one-to-four family residential property.

In general, for loans originated in 2008 and prior, those loans with a balance under \$1,000,000 required a valid GSE automated underwriting system ("AUS") response for approval consideration. Documentation and ratio guidelines were driven by the AUS response. A FICO credit score for the borrower was required and a full appraisal of the underlying property that serve as collateral was obtained.

For loan balances over \$1,000,000, traditional manual underwriting documentation and ratio requirements are required as are two years plus year to date of income documentation and two months of bank statements. Income documentation based solely on a borrower's statement was an available underwriting option for each loan category. Even so, in these cases employment of the borrower was verified under the vast majority of loan programs, and income levels were typically checked against third party sources to confirm validity.

Our underwriting process, which relies on the electronic submission of data and images and is based on an imaging workflow process, allows for underwriting at a higher level of accuracy and with more timeliness than exists with processes that rely on paper submissions. We also provide our underwriters with integrated quality control tools, such as automated valuation models, multiple fraud detection engines and the ability to electronically submit IRS Form 4506 to ensure underwriters have the information that they need to make informed decisions. The process begins with the submission of an electronic application and an initial determination of eligibility. The application and required documents are then uploaded to our corporate underwriting department and all documents are identified by optical character recognition or our underwriting staff. The underwriter is responsible for checking the data integrity and reviewing credit. The file is then reviewed in accordance with the applicable guidelines established by us for the particular product. Quality control checks are performed by the underwriting department using the tools outlined above, as necessary, and a decision is then made and communicated to the prospective borrower.

Table of Contents

The following table identifies our held-for-investment mortgages by major category, at September 30, 2013. Loans categorized as subprime were initially originated for sale and, as of September 30, 2013, comprised approximately 0.1 percent of the portfolio of first lien mortgage loans.

| September 30, 2013 | Unpaid Principal Balance (1) | Average Note Rate | Average Original FICO Score | Average Current FICO Score (2) | Weighted Average Maturity (months) | Average Original LTV Ratio | Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3) |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage loans | | | | | | | |
| Amortizing | | | | | | | |
| 3/1 ARM | \$126,051 | 3.35 | % 691 | 699 | 256 | 80.6 | % 79.4 |
| 5/1 ARM | 331,876 | 3.54 | % 719 | 730 | 273 | 74.1 | % 73.4 |
| 7/1 ARM | 65,020 | 3.70 | % 754 | 763 | 334 | 70.2 | % 67.9 |
| Other ARM | 55,535 | 3.15 | % 676 | 687 | 249 | 83.3 | % 75.5 |
| Fixed mortgage loans (4) | 751,872 | 4.60 | % 697 | 665 | 320 | 76.2 | % 89.0 |
| Total amortizing | 1,330,354 | 4.11 | % 704 | 690 | 300 | 76.1 | % 82.6 |
| Interest only | | | | | | | |
| 3/1 ARM | 177,543 | 3.50 | % 722 | 725 | 262 | 74.6 | % 84.9 |
| 5/1 ARM | 686,800 | 3.30 | % 723 | 738 | 264 | 75.0 | % 85.0 |
| 7/1 ARM | 40,747 | 6.17 | % 732 | 735 | 284 | 73.9 | % 95.6 |
| Other ARM | 37,521 | 3.33 | % 727 | 731 | 266 | 76.3 | % 89.4 |
| Other interest only | 141,171 | 6.35 | % 724 | 723 | 285 | 73.6 | % 96.4 |
| Total interest only | 1,083,782 | 3.84 | % 724 | 733 | 272 | 74.6 | % 86.9 |
| Option ARMs | 37,521 | 2.96 | % 717 | 711 | 300 | 69.1 | % 95.0 |
| Subprime (5) | | | | | | | |
| 3/1 ARM | 49 | 10.30 | % 685 | 720 | 265 | 95.0 | % 69.5 |
| Other ARM | 165 | 9.89 | % 591 | 657 | 281 | 90.0 | % 81.9 |
| Other subprime | 2,487 | 8.24 | % 625 | 656 | 284 | 71.3 | % 95.6 |
| Total subprime | \$2,701 | 8.38 | % 624 | 657 | 283 | 72.8 | % 94.3 |
| Total residential first mortgage loans | \$2,454,358 | 3.98 | % 713 | 710 | 280 | 75.3 | % 84.7 |
| Second mortgage loans (6) (7) | \$174,364 | 7.15 | % 729 | 729 | 116 | 20.5 | % 21.5 |
| HELOC loans (6) (7) | \$307,130 | 5.53 | % 728 | 728 | 49 | 26.9 | % 28.1 |

(1) Unpaid principal balance does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

(3) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level FHFA data as of June 30, 2013.

(4) Includes substantially fixed rate mortgage loans.

(5) Subprime loans are defined in accordance with the FDIC's assessment regulations definitions for subprime loans, which includes loans with FICO scores below 620 or similar characteristics.

(6) Reflects lower LTV only as to second liens because information regarding the first liens is not available.

(7) Includes \$69.3 million and \$161.8 million of second mortgage and home equity line of credit ("HELOC") loans, respectively, that were re-consolidated as a result of the settlements with MBIA Insurance Corporation ("MBIA") and Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp., formerly known as Financial Security Assurance Inc. ("Assured") and accounted for under the fair value option at September 30, 2013. The LTV information is not available for these loans.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth characteristics of those loans in our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio as of September 30, 2013 that were originated with less documentation than is now required by the GSEs. Loans as to which underwriting information was accepted from a borrower without validating that particular item of information are referred to as "low doc" or "stated." Substantially all of those loans were underwritten with verification of employment, but with the related job income, personal assets, or both, stated by the borrower without verification of actual amount. The lack of verification of borrower provided information may increase the risk profile of those loans. Loans as to which underwriting information was supported by third party documentation or procedures are referred to as "full doc," and the information therein is referred to as "verified." Also set forth are different types of loans that may have a higher risk of non-collection than other loans.

| September 30, 2013 | Low Doc % of Held-for-Investment loans (Dollars in thousands) | % of Residential First Mortgage loans | Unpaid Principal Balance (1) |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Characteristics | | | |
| SISA (stated income, stated asset) | 1.89 | % 3.07 | % \$75,395 |
| SIVA (stated income, verified assets) | 10.88 | % 17.67 | % 433,794 |
| High LTV (i.e., at or above 95% at origination) | 0.19 | % 0.30 | % 7,425 |
| Second lien products (HELOCs, second mortgages) | 3.64 | % 5.92 | % 145,184 |
| Loan types | | | |
| Option ARM loans | 0.56 | % 0.90 | % 22,194 |
| Interest-only loans | 9.85 | % 16.00 | % 392,656 |
| Subprime (2) | 0.04 | % 0.60 | % 1,484 |

(1) Unpaid principal balance does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Subprime loans are defined in accordance with the FDIC's assessment regulations definitions for subprime loans, which includes loans with FICO scores below 620 or similar characteristics.

Adjustable-rate mortgage loans. Adjustable rate mortgage ("ARM") loans held-for-investment were originated using Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac guidelines as a base framework, and the debt-to-income ratio guidelines and documentation typically followed the AUS guidelines. Our underwriting guidelines were designed with the intent to minimize layered risk. The maximum ratios allowable for purposes of both the LTV ratio and the combined loan-to-value ("CLTV") ratio, which includes second mortgages on the same collateral, was 100 percent, but subordinate (or second mortgage) financing was not allowed over a 90 percent LTV ratio. At a 100 percent LTV ratio with private mortgage insurance, the minimum acceptable FICO score, or the "floor," was 700, and at lower LTV ratio levels, the FICO floor was 620. All occupancy and specific-purpose loan types were allowed at lower LTVs. At times ARMs were underwritten at an initial rate, also known as the "start rate," that was lower than the fully indexed rate but only for loans with lower LTV ratios and higher FICO scores. Other ARMs were either underwritten at the note rate if the initial fixed term was two years or greater, or at the note rate plus two percentage points if the initial fixed rate term was six months to one year.

Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of our ARM loans in our residential first mortgage held-for-investment loan portfolio at September 30, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination | 2009 and Prior | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | Total / Weighted Average |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Unpaid principal balance (1) | \$1,460,752 | \$8,309 | \$16,357 | \$14,981 | \$58,429 | \$1,558,828 |
| Average note rate | 3.44 | % 4.12 | % 4.19 | % 3.82 | % 3.49 | % 3.46 |
| Average original FICO score | 717 | 731 | 743 | 760 | 769 | 719 |
| | 727 | 736 | 759 | 777 | 771 | 730 |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Average current FICO score

(2)

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|
| Average original LTV ratio | 75.4 | % 74.4 | % 74.3 | % 63.4 | % 70.1 | % 75.1 | % |
|----------------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|

| | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|
| Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3) | 82.5 | % 72.8 | % 68.6 | % 57.8 | % 69.5 | % 81.5 | % |
|--|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|

| | | | | | | | |
|--|------|-----|-------|-----|-----|--------|---|
| Underwritten with low or stated income documentation | 35.0 | % — | % 1.0 | % — | % — | % 33.0 | % |
|--|------|-----|-------|-----|-----|--------|---|

(1) Unpaid principal balance does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

(3) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level FHFA data as of June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

Option ARMs. We previously offered option ARMs, which are adjustable rate mortgage loans that permit a borrower to select one of three monthly payment options when the loan is first originated: (i) a principal and interest payment that would fully repay the loan over its stated term, (ii) an interest-only payment that would require the borrower to pay only the interest due each month but would have a period (usually 10 years) after which the entire amount of the loan would need to be repaid or refinanced, and (iii) a minimum payment amount selected by the borrower and which might exclude principal and some interest, with the unpaid interest added to the balance of the loan (i.e., a process known as "negative amortization").

Set forth below is a table describing specific characteristics of option ARMs in our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio at September 30, 2013, which were originated in 2008 or prior.

| Year of Origination | 2008 and Prior (Dollars in thousands) | |
|--|--|---|
| Unpaid principal balance (1) | \$37,521 | |
| Average note rate | 2.96 | % |
| Average original FICO score | 717 | |
| Average current FICO score (2) | 711 | |
| Average original LTV ratio | 69.1 | % |
| Average original CLTV ratio | 74.1 | % |
| Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3) | 95.0 | % |
| Underwritten with low or stated income documentation | \$22,194 | |
| Total principal balance with any accumulated negative amortization | \$24,145 | |
| Percentage of total ARMS with any accumulated negative amortization | 1.6 | % |
| Amount of net negative amortization (i.e., deferred interest) accumulated as interest income during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 | \$2,414 | |

(1) Unpaid principal balance does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

(3) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level FHFA data as of June 30, 2013.

Set forth below are the accumulated amounts of interest income arising from the net negative amortization portion of loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

| | Unpaid Principal Balance of Loans in Negative Amortization At Year-End (1) (Dollars in thousands) | Amount of Net Negative Amortization Accumulated as Interest Income During Period |
|------|---|--|
| 2013 | \$24,145 | \$ 2,414 |
| 2012 | \$41,944 | \$ 3,910 |

(1) Unpaid principal balance does not include premiums or discounts.

Set forth below are the frequencies at which the interest rate on ARM loans outstanding at September 30, 2013, will reset.

| Reset frequency | # of Loans (Dollars in thousands) | Balance | % of the Total | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|---|
| Monthly | 102 | \$20,555 | 1.3 | % |
| Semi-annually | 3,030 | 933,672 | 59.9 | % |
| Annually | 2,492 | 363,715 | 23.3 | % |
| No reset — non-performing loans | 809 | 240,886 | 15.5 | % |
| Total | 6,433 | \$1,558,828 | 100.0 | % |

Table of Contents

Set forth below as of September 30, 2013, are the amounts of the ARM loans in our held-for-investment loan portfolio with interest rate reset dates in the periods noted. As noted in the above table, loans may reset more than once over a three-year period and non-performing loans do not reset while in the non-performing status. Accordingly, the table below may include the same loans in more than one period.

| | 1 st Quarter | 2 nd Quarter | 3 rd Quarter | 4 th Quarter |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| 2013 (1) | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$535,342 |
| 2014 | 557,897 | 589,821 | 598,258 | 585,596 |
| 2015 | 594,817 | 604,224 | 618,929 | 599,816 |
| Later years (2) | 614,818 | 618,987 | 650,761 | 648,647 |

(1) Reflect loans that have reset through September 30, 2013.

(2) Later years reflect one reset period per loan.

Interest-only mortgages. We offered, on a limited basis, adjustable rate, fixed term loans with 10-year, interest-only options. These loans were originated using Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac guidelines as a base framework. We generally applied the debt-to-income ratio guidelines and documentation using the automated underwriting Approve/Reject response requirements of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of the interest-only mortgage loans in our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio at September 30, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination | 2009 and Prior | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | Total / Weighted Average |
|--|------------------------|--------|------|------|------|--------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Unpaid principal balance (1) | \$1,082,837 | \$945 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$1,083,782 |
| Average note rate | 3.84 | % 5.16 | % — | % — | % — | % 3.84 |
| Average original FICO score | 724 | 688 | — | — | — | 724 |
| Average current FICO score (2) | 733 | 719 | — | — | — | 733 |
| Average original LTV ratio | 74.7 | % 57.5 | % — | % — | % — | % 74.6 |
| Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3) | 86.9 | % 56.1 | % — | % — | % — | % 86.9 |
| Underwritten with low or stated income documentation | 36.0 | % — | % — | % — | % — | % 36.0 |

(1) Unpaid principal balance does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

(3) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level FHFA data as of June 30, 2013.

Set forth below is a table describing the amortization date and payment shock of current interest only mortgage loans at the dates indicated in our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio at September 30, 2013.

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Thereafter | Total / Weighted Average |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|--------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Unpaid principal balance (1) | \$17,168 | \$291,471 | \$364,793 | \$63,454 | \$293,271 | \$12,278 | \$1,042,435 |
| Weighted average rate | 3.45 | % 3.45 | % 3.39 | % 3.70 | % 4.57 | % 5.06 | % 3.67 |
| Average original monthly payment per | \$1,333 | \$1,375 | \$1,408 | \$1,701 | \$2,714 | \$1,893 | \$1,668 |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|
| loan (dollars) | | | | | | | | |
| Average current monthly payment per loan (dollars) | \$1,126 | \$901 | \$801 | \$1,028 | \$2,019 | \$1,529 | \$1,090 | |
| Average amortizing payment per loan (dollars) | \$1,705 | \$1,801 | \$1,620 | \$1,840 | \$3,222 | \$2,133 | \$1,998 | |
| Loan count | 58 | 931 | 1,292 | 207 | 586 | 37 | 3,111 | |
| Payment shock (dollars) | \$579 | \$901 | \$819 | \$812 | \$1,203 | \$604 | \$909 | |
| Payment shock (percent) | 51.0 | % 100.0 | % 102.0 | % 79.0 | % 60.0 | % 40.0 | % 83.0 | % |

(1) Unpaid principal balance does not include premiums or discounts.

Table of Contents

Second mortgage loans. The majority of second mortgages we originated were closed in conjunction with the closing of the residential first mortgages originated by us. We generally required the same levels of documentation and ratios as with our residential first mortgages. For second mortgages closed in conjunction with a residential first mortgage loan that was not being originated by us, our allowable debt-to-income ratios for approval of the second mortgages were capped at 40 percent to 45 percent. In the case of a loan closing in which full documentation was required and the loan was being used to acquire the borrower's primary residence, we allowed a CLTV ratio of up to 100 percent; for similar loans that also contained higher risk elements, we limited the maximum CLTV to 90 percent. FICO floors ranged from 620 to 720, and fixed and adjustable rate loans were available with terms ranging from five to 20 years.

Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of the second mortgage loans in our held-for-investment portfolio at September 30, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination | 2009 and Prior | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | Total / Weighted Average | |
|---|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------------------|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Unpaid principal balance (1) | \$173,222 | \$329 | \$44 | \$288 | \$481 | \$174,364 | |
| Average note rate | 7.16 | % 6.85 | % 6.99 | % 4.36 | % 4.33 | % 7.15 | % |
| Average original FICO score | 729 | 694 | 664 | 758 | 733 | 729 | |
| Average original LTV ratio (2) | 20.5 | % 14.6 | % 17.9 | % 21.0 | % 46.6 | % 20.5 | % |
| Average original CLTV ratio | 50.7 | % 64.0 | % 93.8 | % 79.2 | % 63.3 | % 50.8 | % |
| Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3) | 21.5 | % 13.5 | % 15.4 | % 19.8 | % 46.4 | % 21.5 | % |

(1) Unpaid principal balance does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Reflects lower LTV only as to second liens because information regarding the first liens is not available.

(3) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level FHFA data as of June 30, 2013. The HPI LTV is not available for the loans associated with the MBIA Settlement.

Includes \$69.3 million of second mortgage at September 30, 2013 that were reconsolidated as a result of the MBIA Settlement Agreement and accounted for under the fair value option. The LTV information is not yet available for these loans.

Home Equity Line of Credit loans. We originated HELOC loans from 2002 to mid-2009. The majority of these HELOC loans were closed in conjunction with the closing of related first mortgage loans originated and serviced by us. Documentation requirements for HELOC applications were generally the same as those required of borrowers for the first mortgage loans originated by us, and debt-to-income ratios were capped at 50 percent. For HELOCs closed in conjunction with the closing of a first mortgage loan that was not being originated by us, our debt-to-income ratio requirements were capped at 40 percent to 45 percent and the LTV was capped at 80 percent. The qualifying payment varied over time and included terms such as either 0.75 percent of the line amount or the interest only payment due on the full line based on the current rate plus 0.5 percent. HELOCs were available in conjunction with primary residence transactions that required full documentation, and the borrower was allowed a CLTV ratio of up to 100 percent. For similar loans that also contained higher risk elements, we limited the maximum CLTV to 90 percent. FICO floors ranged from 620 to 720. The HELOC terms called for monthly interest only payments with a balloon principal payment due at the end of 10 years. At times, initial teaser rates were offered for the first three months.

HELOC loan originations were re-launched in June 2011 as a banking center originated portfolio product. Current HELOC guidelines and pricing parameters have been established to attract high credit quality loans with long term profitability. The minimum FICO is 680, maximum CLTV is 80 percent, and the maximum debt-to-income ratio is 45 percent.

Table of Contents

Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of the HELOCs in our held-for-investment portfolio at September 30, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination | 2009 and Prior (1) | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | Total / Weighted Average |
|---|------------------------|------|---------|---------|----------|--------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Unpaid principal balance (2) | \$279,406 | \$— | \$1,874 | \$9,031 | \$16,819 | \$307,130 |
| Average note rate (3) | 5.73 | % — | % 3.91 | % 3.78 | % 3.34 | % 5.53 |
| Average original FICO score | 724 | — | 754 | 762 | 767 | 728 |
| Average original LTV ratio | 25.2 | % — | % 42.6 | % 46.4 | % 43.3 | % 26.9 |
| Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (4) | 27.6 | % — | % 32.6 | % 34.8 | % 32.3 | % 28.1 |

Includes \$161.8 million of HELOC loans at September 30, 2013 that were reconsolidated as a result of the Assured (1) Settlement Agreement and accounted for under the fair value option. The LTV information is not yet available for these loans.

(2) Unpaid principal balance does not include premiums or discounts.

Average note rate reflects the rate that is currently in effect. As these loans adjust on a monthly basis, the average (3) note rate could increase, but would not decrease, as currently the minimum rate on virtually all of the loans is in effect.

The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level FHFA data as of (4) June 30, 2013. Reflects lower LTV because these are second liens and information regarding the first lien is not available. The HPI LTV is not available for the loans reconsolidated as part of the Assured Settlement Agreement.

Community Banking

Our Community Banking segment consists primarily of four groups: Branch Banking, Commercial and Business Banking, Government Banking, and Warehouse Lending. Our Community Banking segment's two strategic responsibilities are providing a stable funding source for the Mortgage Banking segment and operating as a standalone, profitable line of business. The groups within the Community Banking segment originate consumer loans, commercial loans and warehouse loans, gather consumer, business and governmental deposits, offer investments and insurance services and offer liquidity management products. The liquidity management products include customized treasury management solutions, equipment and technology leasing, international services, capital markets services such as interest rate risk protection products, foreign exchange hedging, and trading of securities. At September 30, 2013, Branch Banking included 111 banking centers located throughout Michigan. Commercial and Business Banking includes relationship and portfolio managers throughout Michigan's major markets. Government Banking provides deposit and cash management services to all sizes of government units and school districts on a relationship basis throughout Michigan. Warehouse Lending offers lines of credit to other mortgage lenders, allowing those lenders to fund the closing of residential first mortgage loans.

Our Community Banking segment intends to achieve our strategic objective of becoming a standalone, profitable line of business through implementation of a number of important initiatives, including strengthening the leadership team, enhancing the sales process, improving operating efficiencies, and developing a streamlined account opening strategy. Branch Banking intends to continue optimizing our network of offices through strategic growth and relocations. Commercial and Business Banking intends to continue our focus on acquiring new customer relationships throughout Michigan, and Government Banking anticipates acquiring new and expanding existing relationships through a focus on checking accounts and treasury services.

Our Community Banking segment's mission is to build strong and lasting relationships with customers, and such relationships are intended to include the delivery of multiple financial products and services. Regardless of whether

customers are first introduced to us through a deposit account, mortgage loan, or other product, the Community Banking segment's focus is to strengthen those relationships by meeting multiple additional financial needs. Our Community Banking segment also cross-sells primary products, such as checking accounts, savings accounts, investment products, and consumer loans, to new and existing customers.

Commercial loans held-for-investment. Our Commercial and Business Banking group includes relationship and portfolio managers throughout Michigan's major markets. Our commercial loans held-for-investment totaled \$623.6 million at September 30, 2013 and \$737.2 million at December 31, 2012, and consists of three loan types: commercial real estate, commercial and industrial and commercial lease financing, each of which is discussed in more detail below. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, we originated \$68.5 million and \$190.8 million, respectively, in commercial loans, compared to \$157.2 million and \$621.2 million, respectively, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The

Table of Contents

following table identifies the commercial loan held-for-investment portfolio by loan type and selected criteria at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Commercial Loans Held-for-Investment

| September 30, 2013 | Balance | Average Note Rate | Loan on Non-accrual Status |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Commercial real estate loans: | | | |
| Fixed rate | \$215,441 | 5.5 | % \$11,076 |
| Adjustable rate | 206,066 | 3.1 | % — |
| Total commercial real estate loans | 421,507 | | \$11,076 |
| Net deferred fees and other | (628 |) | |
| Total commercial real estate loans | \$420,879 | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans: | | | |
| Fixed rate | \$13,667 | 3.9 | % \$— |
| Adjustable rate | 174,714 | 2.9 | % — |
| Total commercial and industrial loans | 188,381 | | \$— |
| Net deferred fees and other | (742 |) | |
| Total commercial and industrial loans | \$187,639 | | |
| Commercial lease financing loans: | | | |
| Fixed rate | \$14,941 | 4.2 | % \$4,439 |
| Net deferred fees and other | 123 | | |
| Total commercial lease financing loans | \$15,064 | | |
| Total commercial loans: | | | |
| Fixed rate | \$244,049 | 5.3 | % \$15,515 |
| Adjustable rate | 380,780 | 3.0 | % — |
| Total commercial loans | 624,829 | | \$15,515 |
| Net deferred fees and other | (1,247 |) | |
| Total commercial loans | \$623,582 | | |

Table of Contents

Commercial Loans Held-for-Investment

| December 31, 2012 | Balance | Average Note Rate | Loan on Non-accrual Status |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Commercial real estate loans: | | | |
| Fixed rate | \$342,296 | 5.5 | 38,909 |
| Adjustable rate | 299,489 | 4.1 | 47,458 |
| Total commercial real estate loans | 641,785 | | \$86,367 |
| Net deferred fees and other | (1,470) |) | |
| Total commercial real estate loans | \$640,315 | | |
| Commercial and industrial loans: | | | |
| Fixed rate | \$33,124 | 3.5 | \$— |
| Adjustable rate | 58,544 | 2.7 | 41 |
| Total commercial and industrial loans | 91,668 | | \$41 |
| Net deferred fees and other | (1,103) |) | |
| Total commercial and industrial loans | \$90,565 | | |
| Commercial lease financing loans: | | | |
| Fixed rate | \$5,634 | 6.2 | \$— |
| Net deferred fees and other | 666 | | |
| Total commercial lease financing loans | \$6,300 | | |
| Total commercial loans: | | | |
| Fixed rate | \$381,054 | 5.2 | 38,909 |
| Adjustable rate | 358,033 | 3.9 | 47,499 |
| Total commercial loans | 739,087 | | \$86,408 |
| Net deferred fees and other | (1,907) |) | |
| Total commercial loans | \$737,180 | | |

At September 30, 2013, our commercial real estate loans held-for-investment totaled \$420.9 million, or 10.4 percent of our held-for-investment loan portfolio, our commercial and industrial held-for-investment loan portfolio was \$187.6 million, or 4.7 percent of our held-for-investment loan portfolio, and our commercial lease financing loans held-for-investment totaled \$15.1 million, or 0.4 percent of our held-for-investment loan portfolio. At December 31, 2012, our commercial real estate held-for-investment loan portfolio totaled \$640.3 million, or 11.8 percent of our held-for-investment loan portfolio, our commercial and industrial held-for-investment loan portfolio was \$90.6 million, or 1.7 percent of our held-for-investment loan portfolio, and our commercial lease financing held-for-investment loans totaled \$6.3 million, or 0.1 percent of our held-for-investment loan portfolio.

The following table sets forth the unpaid principal balance of our commercial loan held-for-investment portfolio at September 30, 2013 by year of origination.

| Year of Origination | 2009 and Prior | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | Total |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$238,086 | \$10,836 | \$13,273 | \$79,153 | \$80,159 | \$421,507 |
| Commercial and industrial | 734 | 474 | 30,598 | 40,040 | 116,535 | 188,381 |
| Commercial lease financing | — | — | 3,265 | 11,441 | 235 | 14,941 |
| Total | \$238,820 | \$11,310 | \$47,136 | \$130,634 | \$196,929 | \$624,829 |

The average loan balance in our total commercial held-for-investment loan portfolio was approximately \$0.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, with the largest loan being \$39.3 million. There are approximately 25 loans with more than \$5.0 million of unpaid principal balance and those loans comprised approximately \$229.5 million, or 36.7 percent, of the total commercial held-for-investment loan portfolio in the aggregate.

Table of Contents

Commercial real estate loans. Our commercial real estate held-for-investment loan portfolio is comprised of loans that are collateralized by real estate properties intended to be income-producing in the normal course of business.

The following table discloses our total unpaid principal balance of commercial real estate held-for-investment loans by geographic concentration.

| State | September 30, 2013 | |
|------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | Percent | Amount (1) |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Michigan | 71.4 | % \$301,002 |
| California | 5.3 | % 22,477 |
| Indiana | 4.9 | % 20,745 |
| Georgia | 4.2 | % 17,775 |
| Florida | 3.8 | % 15,813 |
| Colorado | 2.2 | % 9,339 |
| Other | 8.2 | % 34,356 |
| Total | 100.0 | % \$421,507 |

(1) Unpaid principal balance does not include premiums or discounts.

Commercial and industrial loans. Commercial and industrial held-for-investment loan facilities typically include lines of credit to small or middle market businesses for use in normal business operations to finance working capital needs, equipment purchases and expansion projects.

Commercial lease financing loans. Our commercial lease financing held-for-investment loan portfolio is comprised of equipment leased to customers in a direct financing lease. The net investment in financing leases includes the aggregate amount of lease payments to be received and the estimated residual values of the equipment, less unearned income. Income from lease financing is recognized over the lives of the leases on an approximate level rate of return on the unrecovered investment. The residual value represents the estimated fair value of the leased asset at the end of the lease term. Unguaranteed residual values of leased assets are reviewed at least annually for impairment. If any declines in residual values are determined to be other-than-temporary they will be recognized in earnings in the period such determinations are made.

Warehouse lending. We also continue to offer warehouse lines of credit to other mortgage lenders. These allow the lender to fund the closing of residential first mortgage loans. Each extension or drawdown on the line is collateralized by the residential first mortgage loan being funded. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we subsequently acquired approximately 80.5 percent of residential first mortgage loans funded through the warehouse lines. Underlying mortgage loans are predominately originated using GSE underwriting standards. These lines of credit are, in most cases, personally guaranteed by one or more principal officers of the borrower. The aggregate committed amount of adjustable rate warehouse lines of credit granted to other mortgage lenders at September 30, 2013 was \$2.1 billion, of which \$0.4 billion was outstanding and bearing an average interest rate of 5.1 percent, compared to \$2.3 billion committed at December 31, 2012, of which \$1.3 billion was outstanding with an average interest rate of 5.4 percent. The levels of outstanding balances of such warehouse lines are generally correlated to the level of our overall production levels because many of our correspondents (from whom we purchase mortgage loans) are also warehouse lending customers. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our warehouse lines funded 58.3 percent of the loans in our correspondent channel, as compared to 68.8 percent during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. There were 296 warehouse lines of credit to other mortgage lenders with an average size of \$7.1 million at September 30, 2013, compared to 311 warehouse lines of credit with an average size of \$7.5 million at December 31, 2012. We had no warehouse lines on non-accrual status at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Summary of Operations

Our net income applicable to common stock for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$100.7 million (\$1.59 per diluted share), compared to \$156.9 million (\$2.61 per diluted share) for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012, was due to the following factors:

Net gain on loan sales decreased \$394.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, to \$357.4 million, primarily due to lower residential first mortgage rate lock commitments and a lower base gain on sale margin;

Net interest margin decreased to 1.71 percent, as compared to 2.28 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to the sale of commercial and non-performing mortgage loans, lower average balance on warehouse loans, and lower yield on mortgage loans held-for-sale. This resulted in a net interest income decrease of \$77.8 million to \$145.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013;

Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate decreased \$179.5 million to \$51.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to representation and warranty model enhancements implemented in the first quarter of 2012 and lower charge-offs; and

Provision for loan losses decreased by \$169.7 million from the nine months ended September 30, 2012, to \$56.0 million, was primarily due to the refinements in the estimation process that occurred during the first quarter 2012, the release of reserves associated with the second and third quarter 2013 TDR and non-performing loan sales, TDR redefault enhancements and continued normal run-off, partially offset by enhancements made to the allowance for loan losses methodology in the second and third quarters 2013.

Table of Contents

Selected Financial Ratios

(Dollars in thousands, except share data)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Mortgage loans originated (1) | \$7,737,143 | \$14,513,635 | \$31,042,635 | \$38,230,061 | |
| Other loans originated | \$93,347 | \$165,668 | \$235,850 | \$640,697 | |
| Mortgage loans sold and securitized | \$8,344,737 | \$13,876,626 | \$32,291,437 | \$37,483,736 | |
| Interest rate spread – bank only (2) | 1.42 | % 1.84 | % 1.51 | % 2.02 | % |
| Net interest margin – bank only (3) | 1.68 | % 2.21 | % 1.77 | % 2.33 | % |
| Interest rate spread – consolidated (2) | 1.39 | % 1.81 | % 1.48 | % 2.00 | % |
| Net interest margin – consolidated (3) | 1.62 | % 2.16 | % 1.71 | % 2.28 | % |
| Average common shares outstanding | 56,096,376 | 55,801,692 | 56,041,844 | 55,735,095 | |
| Average fully diluted shares outstanding | 56,541,089 | 56,233,165 | 56,458,898 | 56,083,757 | |
| Average interest earning assets | \$10,564,417 | \$13,476,917 | \$11,311,033 | \$13,021,941 | |
| Average interest paying liabilities | \$9,054,952 | \$10,737,734 | \$9,673,571 | \$10,943,347 | |
| Average stockholders' equity | \$1,266,267 | \$1,236,411 | \$1,226,683 | \$1,160,031 | |
| Return on average assets | 0.42 | % 2.10 | % 1.03 | % 1.43 | % |
| Return on average equity | 4.05 | % 25.78 | % 10.95 | % 18.04 | % |
| Efficiency ratio | 89.5 | % 67.3 | % 77.3 | % 61.7 | % |
| Efficiency ratio (credit-adjusted) (4) | 78.0 | % 46.9 | % 65.3 | % 43.8 | % |
| Equity/assets ratio (average for the period) | 10.26 | % 8.16 | % 9.44 | % 7.93 | % |
| Charge-offs to average LHFI | 3.96 | % 2.12 | % 4.60 | % 4.83 | % |
| Charge-offs to average LHFI, adjusted (5) | 1.30 | % 2.12 | % 2.65 | % 4.83 | % |
| | | September 30, | December 31, | September 30, | |
| | | 2013 | 2012 | 2012 | |
| Book value per common share | | \$17.96 | \$16.12 | \$17.76 | |
| Number of common shares outstanding | | 56,114,572 | 55,863,053 | 55,828,470 | |
| Mortgage loans serviced for others | | \$74,200,317 | \$76,821,222 | \$82,414,799 | |
| Weighted average service fee (basis points) | | 29.3 | 29.2 | 30.1 | |
| Capitalized value of mortgage servicing rights | | 1.07 | % 0.93 | % 0.83 | % |
| Ratio of allowance for loans losses to non-performing LHFI (6)(7) | | 152.6 | % 76.3 | % 76.5 | % |
| Ratio of allowance for loan losses to LHFI (6)(7) | | 5.50 | % 5.61 | % 4.65 | % |
| Ratio of non-performing assets to total assets (bank only) | | 1.74 | % 3.70 | % 3.48 | % |
| Equity-to-assets ratio | | 10.78 | % 8.23 | % 8.39 | % |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio (to adjusted total assets) (8) | | 11.98 | % 9.26 | % 9.31 | % |
| Total risk-based capital ratio (to risk-weighted assets) (8) | | 27.85 | % 17.18 | % 17.58 | % |
| Number of banking centers | | 111 | 111 | 111 | |
| Number of loan origination centers | | 45 | 31 | 31 | |
| Number of employees (excluding loan officers and account executives) | | 3,069 | 3,328 | 3,240 | |
| Number of loan officers and account executives | | 359 | 334 | 336 | |

(1) Includes residential first mortgage and second mortgage loans.

(2) Interest rate spread is the difference between the annualized average yield earned on average interest-earning assets for the period and the annualized average rate of interest paid on average interest-bearing liabilities for the period.

(3) Net interest margin is the annualized effect of the net interest income divided by that period's average interest-earning assets.

(4) See Non-GAAP reconciliation.

(5)

Excludes charge-offs of \$26.8 million and \$65.1 million related to the sale of non-performing loans and TDRs, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

(6) Bank only and does not include non-performing loans held-for-sale.

(7) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

(8) Based on adjusted total assets for purposes of tangible capital and core capital, and risk-weighted assets for purposes of risk-based capital and total risk-based capital. These ratios are applicable to the Bank only.

Table of Contents

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is primarily the dollar value of the average yield we earn on the average balances of our interest-earning assets, less the dollar value of the average cost of funds we incur on the average balances of our interest-bearing liabilities. Interest income recorded on loans is reduced by the amortization of net premiums and net deferred loan origination costs.

We recognized \$42.7 million in net interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2013, which represented a decrease of 41.6 percent, compared to \$73.1 million reported for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The \$30.4 million decrease for the three months ended September 30, 2013 is primarily due to a decrease in average yield on interest-earning assets and lower average balances of loans held-for-investment and held-for-sale, partially offset by an increase in average interest-earning deposits. Net interest income represented 24.1 percent of our total revenue during the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 21.1 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013 decreased to \$36.1 million, compared to \$46.7 million for three months ended September 30, 2012. The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased 15 basis points to 1.58 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2013 from 1.73 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and the average yield on interest-earning assets decreased 56 basis points, to 2.98 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2013 from 3.54 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2012. As a result, our interest rate spread was 1.39 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 1.81 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Our consolidated net interest margin for the three months ended September 30, 2013 was 1.62 percent, compared to 2.16 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The Bank had a net interest margin of 1.68 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 2.21 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recognized \$145.4 million in net interest income, which represented a decrease of 34.9 percent, compared to \$223.3 million recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The \$77.9 million decrease for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, is primarily due to a decrease of \$1.7 billion in average interest earning assets and yield reductions of 69 basis points on interest-earning assets. Net interest income as a percentage of total revenue was 21.2 percent during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 23.3 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we had average interest-earning assets of \$11.3 billion, compared to \$13.0 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in average interest-earning assets is primarily due to a \$2.1 billion decrease in average loans held-for-investment from the commercial loan sale and non-performing and TDR loans sales during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Average interest bearing liabilities totaled \$9.7 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$10.9 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease reflects a \$0.9 billion decrease in average FHLB advances. As a result, our interest rate spread was 1.48 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 2.00 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Our consolidated net interest margin was impacted by the contraction of our interest rate spread during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The result was a net interest margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 of 1.71 percent, compared to 2.28 percent the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The Bank recorded a net interest margin of 1.77 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 2.33 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

The following table presents interest income from average earning assets, expressed in dollars and yields, and (on a consolidated basis, rather than on a Bank-only basis) interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed in dollars and rates. Interest income recorded on our loans is adjusted by the amortization of net premiums, net deferred loan origination costs and the amount of negative amortization (i.e., capitalized interest) arising from our option ARM loans.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | 2012 | | | | |
|--|--|----------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------------------|---|--|
| | Average Balance | Interest | Annualized Yield/ Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Annualized Yield/ Rate | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Interest-Earning Assets | | | | | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$2,156,966 | \$22,348 | 4.14 | % \$3,301,860 | \$30,578 | 3.70 | % | |
| Loans repurchased with government guarantees | 1,364,949 | 12,307 | 3.61 | % 2,070,813 | 15,450 | 2.98 | % | |
| Loans held-for-investment | | | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans (1) | 3,412,909 | 34,711 | 4.06 | % 4,717,672 | 51,078 | 4.32 | % | |
| Commercial loans (1) | 637,711 | 6,267 | 3.85 | % 1,815,897 | 17,052 | 3.67 | % | |
| Loans held-for-investment | 4,050,620 | 40,978 | 4.03 | % 6,533,569 | 68,130 | 4.14 | % | |
| Investment securities available-for-sale or trading | 295,923 | 1,465 | 1.98 | % 505,361 | 4,912 | 3.89 | % | |
| Interest-earning deposits and other | 2,695,959 | 1,709 | 0.25 | % 1,065,314 | 672 | 0.25 | % | |
| Total interest-earning assets | 10,564,417 | 78,807 | 2.98 | % 13,476,917 | 119,742 | 3.54 | % | |
| Other assets | 1,775,102 | | | 1,680,208 | | | | |
| Total assets | \$12,339,519 | | | \$15,157,125 | | | | |
| Interest-Bearing Liabilities | | | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | \$394,418 | \$183 | 0.18 | % \$364,612 | \$246 | 0.27 | % | |
| Savings deposits | 2,815,893 | 4,268 | 0.60 | % 1,768,897 | 2,886 | 0.65 | % | |
| Money market deposits | 314,459 | 144 | 0.18 | % 457,425 | 530 | 0.46 | % | |
| Certificates of deposit | 1,787,318 | 4,068 | 0.90 | % 3,227,201 | 9,847 | 1.21 | % | |
| Total retail deposits | 5,312,088 | 8,663 | 0.65 | % 5,818,135 | 13,509 | 0.92 | % | |
| Demand deposits | 55,571 | 106 | 0.76 | % 107,944 | 130 | 0.48 | % | |
| Savings deposits | 163,869 | 113 | 0.27 | % 291,046 | 404 | 0.55 | % | |
| Certificates of deposit | 303,329 | 221 | 0.29 | % 375,922 | 601 | 0.64 | % | |
| Total government deposits | 522,769 | 440 | 0.33 | % 774,912 | 1,135 | 0.58 | % | |
| Wholesale deposits | 72,141 | 920 | 5.06 | % 334,595 | 3,175 | 3.77 | % | |
| Total deposits | 5,906,998 | 10,023 | 0.67 | % 6,927,642 | 17,819 | 1.02 | % | |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 2,900,519 | 24,434 | 3.34 | % 3,561,532 | 27,091 | 3.03 | % | |
| Other | 247,435 | 1,665 | 2.67 | % 248,560 | 1,753 | 2.81 | % | |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 9,054,952 | 36,122 | 1.58 | % 10,737,734 | 46,663 | 1.73 | % | |
| Other liabilities (2) | 2,018,300 | | | 3,182,980 | | | | |
| Stockholders' equity | 1,266,267 | | | 1,236,411 | | | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$12,339,519 | | | \$15,157,125 | | | | |
| Net interest-earning assets | \$1,509,465 | | | \$2,739,183 | | | | |
| Net interest income | | \$42,685 | | | \$73,079 | | | |
| Interest rate spread (3) | | | 1.39 | % | | 1.81 | % | |
| Net interest margin (4) | | | 1.62 | % | | 2.16 | % | |
| | | | 116.7 | % | | 125.5 | % | |

Ratio of average interest-earning
assets to interest-bearing liabilities

- Consumer loans include: residential first mortgage, second mortgage, warehouse lending, HELOC and other
- (1) consumer loans. Commercial loans include: commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial lease financing loans.
 - (2) Includes company controlled deposits that arise due to the servicing of loans for others, which do not bear interest.
 - (3) Interest rate spread is the difference between rates of interest earned on interest-earning assets and rates of interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities.
 - (4) Net interest margin is net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

83

Table of Contents

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | 2012 | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|------------------------------|---|--|
| | Average Balance | Interest | Annualized Yield/ Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Annualized Yield/ Rate | | |
| (Dollars in Thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-Earning Assets | | | | | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$2,795,812 | \$71,357 | 3.40 | % \$2,892,439 | \$83,912 | 3.87 | % | |
| Loans repurchased with government guarantees | 1,558,495 | 40,532 | 3.47 | % 2,053,455 | 49,910 | 3.24 | % | |
| Loans held-for-investment | | | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans (1) | 3,795,003 | 116,625 | 4.10 | % 4,781,021 | 155,705 | 4.35 | % | |
| Commercial loans (1) | 668,189 | 20,798 | 4.10 | % 1,802,619 | 54,150 | 3.95 | % | |
| Loans held-for-investment | 4,463,192 | 137,423 | 4.10 | % 6,583,640 | 209,855 | 4.24 | % | |
| Investment securities available-for-sale or trading | 294,722 | 5,397 | 2.44 | % 644,166 | 20,333 | 4.21 | % | |
| Interest-earning deposits and other | 2,198,812 | 4,145 | 0.25 | % 848,241 | 1,546 | 0.24 | % | |
| Total interest-earning assets | 11,311,033 | 258,854 | 3.05 | % 13,021,941 | 365,556 | 3.74 | % | |
| Other assets | 1,681,689 | | | 1,606,255 | | | | |
| Total assets | \$12,992,722 | | | \$14,628,196 | | | | |
| Interest-Bearing Liabilities | | | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | \$392,695 | \$627 | 0.21 | % \$357,715 | \$687 | 0.26 | % | |
| Savings deposits | 2,588,468 | 13,302 | 0.69 | % 1,736,348 | 9,609 | 0.74 | % | |
| Money market deposits | 349,016 | 697 | 0.27 | % 475,477 | 1,766 | 0.50 | % | |
| Certificates of deposit | 2,353,359 | 15,914 | 0.90 | % 3,142,051 | 29,992 | 1.28 | % | |
| Total retail deposits | 5,683,538 | 30,540 | 0.72 | % 5,711,591 | 42,054 | 0.98 | % | |
| Demand deposits | 89,416 | 327 | 0.49 | % 100,850 | 369 | 0.49 | % | |
| Savings deposits | 213,403 | 591 | 0.37 | % 277,970 | 1,171 | 0.56 | % | |
| Certificates of deposit | 395,499 | 1,372 | 0.46 | % 376,628 | 1,843 | 0.65 | % | |
| Total government deposits | 698,318 | 2,290 | 0.44 | % 755,448 | 3,383 | 0.60 | % | |
| Wholesale deposits | 75,973 | 2,850 | 5.01 | % 343,682 | 9,689 | 3.77 | % | |
| Total Deposits | 6,457,829 | 35,680 | 0.74 | % 6,810,721 | 55,126 | 1.08 | % | |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 2,968,308 | 72,766 | 3.28 | % 3,884,049 | 81,870 | 2.82 | % | |
| Other | 247,435 | 4,960 | 2.68 | % 248,577 | 5,270 | 2.83 | % | |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 9,673,572 | 113,406 | 1.57 | % 10,943,347 | 142,266 | 1.74 | % | |
| Other liabilities (2) | 2,092,467 | | | 2,524,818 | | | | |
| Stockholders' equity | 1,226,683 | | | 1,160,031 | | | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$12,992,722 | | | \$14,628,196 | | | | |
| Net interest-earning assets | \$1,637,461 | | | \$2,078,594 | | | | |
| Net interest income | | \$145,448 | | | \$223,290 | | | |
| Interest rate spread (3) | | | 1.48 | % | | 2.00 | % | |
| Net interest margin (4) | | | 1.71 | % | | 2.28 | % | |
| Ratio of average interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities | | | 116.9 | % | | 119.0 | % | |

Consumer loans include: residential first mortgage, second mortgage, warehouse lending, HELOC and other (1) consumer loans. Commercial loans include: commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial lease financing loans.

(2) Includes company controlled deposits that arise due to the servicing of loans for others, which do not bear interest.

- (3) Interest rate spread is the difference between rates of interest earned on interest-earning assets and rates of interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities.
- (4) Net interest margin is net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents

Rate/Volume Analysis

The following tables present the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for the components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities that are presented in the preceding table. The table below distinguishes between the changes related to average outstanding balances (changes in volume while holding the initial rate constant) and the changes related to average interest rates (changes in average rates while holding the initial balance constant). Changes attributable to both a change in volume and a change in rates were included as changes in rate.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 Versus 2012 Increase (Decrease) | | |
|--|--|------------|------------|
| | Due to: | | |
| | Rate | Volume | Total |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Interest-Earning Assets | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$2,373 | \$(10,603) | \$(8,230) |
| Loans repurchased with government guarantees | 2,123 | (5,266) | (3,143) |
| Loans held-for-investment | | | |
| Consumer loans (1) | (2,272) | (14,095) | (16,367) |
| Commercial loans (2) | 37 | (10,822) | (10,785) |
| Total loans held-for-investment | (2,235) | (24,917) | (27,152) |
| Securities available-for-sale or trading | (1,412) | (2,035) | (3,447) |
| Interest-earning deposits and other | 17 | 1,020 | 1,037 |
| Total other interest-earning assets | \$866 | \$(41,801) | \$(40,935) |
| Interest-Bearing Liabilities | | | |
| Demand deposits | \$(83) | \$20 | \$(63) |
| Savings deposits | (316) | 1,698 | 1,382 |
| Money market deposits | (222) | (164) | (386) |
| Certificates of deposit | (1,409) | (4,370) | (5,779) |
| Total retail deposits | (2,030) | (2,816) | (4,846) |
| Demand deposits | 40 | (64) | (24) |
| Savings deposits | (116) | (175) | (291) |
| Certificates of deposit | (265) | (115) | (380) |
| Total government deposits | (341) | (354) | (695) |
| Wholesale deposits | 222 | (2,477) | (2,255) |
| Total deposits | (2,149) | (5,647) | (7,796) |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 2,344 | (5,001) | (2,657) |
| Other | (80) | (8) | (88) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$115 | \$(10,656) | \$(10,541) |
| Change in net interest income | \$751 | \$(31,145) | \$(30,394) |

(1) Consumer loans include residential first mortgage, second mortgage, warehouse lending, HELOC and other consumer loans.

(2) Commercial loans include commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial lease financing loans.

Table of Contents

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 Versus 2012 Increase (Decrease) | | |
|--|---|--------------|---------------|
| | Due to: | | |
| | Rate | Volume | Total |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Interest-Earning Assets | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$ (9,751 |) \$ (2,804 |) \$ (12,555 |
| Loans repurchased with government guarantees | 2,653 | (12,031 |) (9,378 |
| Loans held-for-investment | | | |
| Consumer loans (1) | (6,949 |) (32,131 |) (39,080 |
| Commercial loans (2) | 228 | (33,580 |) (33,352 |
| Total loans held-for-investment | (6,721 |) (65,711 |) (72,432 |
| Securities available-for-sale or trading | (3,904 |) (11,032 |) (14,936 |
| Interest-earning deposits and other | 137 | 2,462 | 2,599 |
| Total other interest-earning assets | \$ (17,586 |) \$ (89,116 |) \$ (106,702 |
| Interest-Bearing Liabilities | | | |
| Demand deposits | \$ (127 |) \$ 67 | \$ (60 |
| Savings deposits | (1,031 |) 4,724 | 3,693 |
| Money market deposits | (599 |) (470 |) (1,069 |
| Certificates of deposit | (6,537 |) (7,541 |) (14,078 |
| Total retail deposits | (8,294 |) (3,220 |) (11,514 |
| Demand deposits | 1 | (43 |) (42 |
| Savings deposits | (307 |) (273 |) (580 |
| Certificates of deposit | (564 |) 93 | (471 |
| Total government deposits | (870 |) (223 |) (1,093 |
| Wholesale deposits | 722 | (7,561 |) (6,839 |
| Total deposits | (8,442 |) (11,004 |) (19,446 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 10,234 | (19,338 |) (9,104 |
| Other | (286 |) (24 |) (310 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$ 1,506 | \$ (30,366 |) \$ (28,860 |
| Change in net interest income | \$ (19,092 |) \$ (58,750 |) \$ (77,842 |

(1) Consumer loans include residential first mortgage, second mortgage, warehouse lending, HELOC and other consumer loans.

(2) Commercial loans include commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial lease financing loans.

Table of Contents

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision reflects our estimate to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level to cover probable losses inherent in the portfolio for each of the respective periods.

The provision for loan losses was \$4.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, a decrease from \$52.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in the provision was primarily the result of the release of reserves associated with the third quarter 2013 TDR and non-performing loan sale, TDR redefault enhancements and normal run-off, partially offset by other enhancements to the allowance for loan losses methodology during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recorded a provision for loan losses of \$56.0 million, as compared to \$225.7 million recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in the provision during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, was primarily due to the refinements in the estimation process that occurred during the first quarter 2012, the release of reserves associated with the second and third quarter 2013 TDR and non-performing loan sales, TDR redefault enhancements and continued normal run-off, partially offset by enhancements made to the allowance for loan losses methodology in the second and third quarters 2013.

Net charge-offs for the three months ended September 30, 2013 totaled \$40.1 million, compared to \$34.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. As a percentage of the average loans held-for-investment, annualized net charge-offs for the three months ended September 30, 2013 increased to 3.96 percent from 2.12 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2012, primarily attributable to TDR and non-performing loan sales during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Net charge-offs for the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 totaled \$154.0 million, compared to \$238.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was primarily due to the refinements in the estimation process that occurred during the first quarter 2012 from the write-off of all specific valuation allowances to conform with the OCC's application of regulatory guidance as the Bank transitioned to Call Report requirements for March 31, 2012. The impact of the refinements adopted during the first quarter of 2012 resulted in an increase to our allowance for loan loss of \$59.0 million in the consumer portfolio and \$11.0 million in the commercial portfolio. As a percentage of the average loans held-for-investment, annualized net charge-offs for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 decreased to 4.60 percent from 4.83 percent during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

See the section captioned "Allowance for Loan Losses" in this discussion for further analysis of the provision for loan losses.

Table of Contents

Non-Interest Income

The following table sets forth the components of our non-interest income.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Loan fees and charges | \$20,876 | \$37,359 | \$84,152 | \$102,116 |
| Deposit fees and charges | 5,410 | 5,255 | 15,749 | 15,216 |
| Loan administration | 30,434 | 11,099 | 86,947 | 74,997 |
| Net gain (loss) on trading securities | 13 | 237 | 85 | (2,023) |
| Net gain on loan sales | 75,073 | 334,427 | 357,404 | 751,945 |
| Net transaction costs on sales of mortgage servicing rights | (1,763) | (1,332) | (10,246) | (4,631) |
| Net gain on securities available-for-sale | — | 2,616 | — | 2,946 |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment (loss) gain | — | — | (8,789) | 2,810 |
| Gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income before taxes | — | — | — | (5,002) |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings | — | — | (8,789) | (2,192) |
| Representation and warranty reserve – change in estimate | (5,205) | (124,492) | (51,541) | (231,058) |
| Other non-interest income | 9,458 | 8,568 | 65,437 | 28,132 |
| Total non-interest income | \$134,296 | \$273,737 | \$539,198 | \$735,448 |

Total non-interest income was \$134.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013, which was a \$139.4 million decrease from \$273.7 million of non-interest income during the three months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease during the three months ended September 30, 2013, was due to decreases in net gain on loan sales and loan fees and charges, partially offset by increases in loan administration income, and representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, total non-interest income decreased to \$539.2 million, from \$735.4 million of non-interest income during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, were primarily due to the same reasons stated above.

Loan fees and charges. Our Community Banking and Mortgage Banking segments both earn loan origination fees and collect other charges in connection with originating residential first mortgages, commercial loans and other consumer loans. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, we recorded loan fees and charges of \$20.9 million, a decrease from the \$37.4 million recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2012. Loan fees and charges during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were \$84.2 million, compared to \$102.1 million recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in loan fees and charges during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, is primarily due to a decrease in consumer loan originations to \$7.8 billion and \$31.1 billion, respectively, compared to \$14.5 billion and \$38.2 billion during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Commercial loan origination fees are capitalized and added as an adjustment to the basis of the individual loans originated. These fees are accreted into income as an adjustment to the loan yield over the life of the loan or when the loan is sold. We account for substantially all residential first mortgage originations as held-for-sale using the fair value method and no longer apply deferral of non-refundable fees and costs to those loans.

Loan administration. When our Mortgage Banking segment sells mortgage loans in the secondary market, it usually retains the right to continue to service these loans and earn a servicing fee, also referred to herein as loan administration income. Our mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs") are accounted for utilizing the fair value method. See

Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein.

88

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes loan administration income.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Servicing income on residential first mortgage servicing | | | | |
| Servicing fees, ancillary income and charges (1) | \$52,558 | \$53,636 | \$157,675 | \$152,878 |
| Fair value adjustments | (18,099) | (74,314) | (3,236) | (165,897) |
| (Loss) gain on hedging activity | (4,025) | 31,777 | (67,492) | 88,016 |
| Total loan administration | \$30,434 | \$11,099 | \$86,947 | \$74,997 |

(1)Includes the servicing fees, ancillary income and charges on other consumer mortgage servicing.

The increase in loan administration income during the three months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily attributable to a lower pace of decline in the fair value adjustments of MSR's, offset by losses incurred in our MSR hedging activity. Servicing fees, ancillary income and charges on our residential first mortgage servicing remained essentially unchanged during the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The total unpaid principal balance of loans serviced for others at September 30, 2013 was \$74.2 billion, compared to \$82.4 billion at September 30, 2012.

Loan administration income was \$86.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$75.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily due to a lower pace of decline in the fair value adjustments to our MSR's, partially offset by losses incurred in our MSR hedging activity. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, we sold servicing rights on a bulk basis associated with underlying mortgage loans totaling \$23.4 billion and \$3.6 billion, respectively.

Net gain on loan sales. Our Mortgage Banking segment records the transaction fee income it generates from the origination. The amount of net gain on loan sales recognized is a function of the volume of mortgage loans originated for sale and the fair value of these loans, net of related selling expenses. Net gain on loan sales is increased or decreased by any mark to market pricing adjustments on loan commitments and forward sales commitments, increases to the representation and warranty reserve related to loans sold during the period, and related administrative expenses. The volatility in the gain on sale spread is attributable to market pricing, which changes with demand and the general level of interest rates. Historically, pricing competition on mortgage loans is lower in periods of low or decreasing interest rates, due to higher consumer demand usually evidenced by higher loan origination levels, resulting in higher spreads on origination. Conversely, pricing competition increases when interest rates rise, which generally reduces consumer demand, thus decreasing spreads on origination and compressing gain on sale. Increases or decreases in competition may also arise as competitors enter and/or leave the loan origination market.

The following table provides information on our net gain on loan sales reported in our Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein, and loans sold within the period.

| | Three Months Ended | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | September 30, 2013 | June 30, 2013 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Net gain on loan sales | \$75,073 | \$144,791 | \$137,540 | \$238,953 | \$344,427 |
| Mortgage rate lock commitments (gross) | \$8,340,000 | \$12,359,000 | \$12,142,000 | \$16,242,000 | \$18,089,000 |
| Loans sold or securitized | \$8,344,737 | \$11,123,821 | \$12,822,879 | \$15,610,590 | \$13,876,627 |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---|
| Net margin on loan sales | 0.90 | % 1.30 | % 1.07 | % 1.53 | % 2.42 | % |
| Mortgage rate lock commitments (fallout adjusted) (1) | \$6,605,432 | \$9,837,573 | \$9,848,417 | \$12,587,980 | \$13,972,922 | |
| Net margin on mortgage rate lock commitments (fallout adjusted) (1) | 1.14 | % 1.47 | % 1.40 | % 1.90 | % 2.39 | % |

(1) Fallout adjusted are mortgage rate lock commitments which are adjusted by a percentage of mortgage loans in the pipeline that are not expected to close based on previous historical experience and the level of interest rates.

Table of Contents

Net gain on loan sales decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to lower level of mortgage rate lock commitments (given the rising interest rate environment) and a decline in gain on loan sale margin and loan origination volume. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the gross mortgage rate-lock commitments of \$8.3 billion also decreased, compared to \$18.1 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2012. Loan sales of \$8.3 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2013 decreased, compared to \$13.9 billion sold during the three months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in the gross mortgage rate lock commitments and net gain on loan sales during the three months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012 was reflective of the increase in mortgage interest rates, as well as increased competition in the mortgage market during 2013.

Net gain on loan sales decreased during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, from the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Loan sales decreased to \$32.3 billion in loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$37.5 billion sold in the nine months ended September 30, 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the mortgage rate lock commitments decreased to \$32.8 billion, compared to \$50.5 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in gain on loan sales was primarily due to a lower volume of mortgage rate lock commitments and a lower gain on sale margin, reflecting lower base production margin, as well as higher hedging costs, loan level pricing adjustments and the impact from guarantee fee changes from the GSEs.

The net gain on loan sale includes changes in amounts related to derivatives, lower of cost or market adjustments on loans transferred to held-for-investment and provisions to representation and warranty reserve. Changes in amounts related to loan commitments and forward sales commitments amounted to losses of \$130.0 million and \$77.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to gains of \$5.8 million and \$64.0 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The provision for representation and warranty reserve included in net gain on loan sales reflects our initial estimate of losses on probable mortgage repurchases arising from current loan sales and amounted to \$3.7 million and \$14.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to \$6.4 million and \$17.1 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

Net transaction costs on sales of mortgage servicing rights. As part of our business model, our Mortgage Banking segment occasionally sells MSR in transactions separate from the sale of the underlying loans. We carry our MSR at fair value. Our income or loss on changes in the valuation of MSR is recorded through our loan administration income. The gain or loss recognized is the transaction costs and the reserves on the sales completed during the period or adjustments to transaction costs or reserves from prior sales.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, we recorded costs on sales of MSR of \$1.8 million, compared to \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we had no sales on a bulk basis of servicing rights and we sold servicing rights with respect to \$40.4 million of mortgage loans when we sold the underlying loans on a servicing released basis. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we sold \$1.2 billion of servicing rights on a bulk basis associated with underlying mortgage loans and \$0.1 billion on a servicing released basis.

We recorded costs on sales of MSR of \$10.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$4.6 million recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we sold \$23.4 billion of servicing rights on a bulk basis associated with underlying mortgage loans and \$0.2 billion on a servicing released basis (i.e., sold together with the sale of the underlying loans). During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we sold servicing rights on a bulk basis associated with underlying mortgage loans totaling \$3.6 billion and on a servicing released basis totaling \$0.4 billion. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012, was primarily due to an increase in bulk mortgage servicing sales.

Net gain on securities available-for-sale. Securities classified as available-for-sale are comprised of U.S. government sponsored agencies, non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs"), mortgage securitization and municipal obligations. Gains on the sale of U.S. government sponsored agency securities available-for-sale that are booked with underlying mortgage products originated by the Bank, are reported within net gain on loan sales. Securities in U.S. government sponsored agency securities available-for-sale typically have remained in the portfolio less than 90 days before sale. Gains on sale for all other available-for-sale securities types are reported in net gain on sale of available-for-sale securities.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we had no sales of purchased U.S. government sponsored agencies, compared to \$4.6 million of purchased U.S. government sponsored agencies resulting in a net gain of \$0.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2012 we sold \$210.9 million of purchased non-agency CMOs, which included a net gain of \$2.2 million. The sale of the remaining non-agency CMOs and

Table of Contents

seasoned agency securities completed during the three months ended September 30, 2012, resulted in the Company also recognizing \$19.9 million of tax benefits representing the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with previously unrealized losses on these securities recorded in other comprehensive income.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we had no sales of purchased U.S. government sponsored agencies, compared to \$4.6 million of purchased U.S. government sponsored agencies resulting in a gain of \$0.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we dissolved the mortgage securitization. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we sold \$249.1 million of purchased non-agency CMOs, which included a net gain on sale of \$2.6 million.

Net impairment loss recognized through earnings. We recognize other-than-temporary impairments ("OTTI") related to credit losses through operations with any remainder recognized through other comprehensive income (loss). All OTTI due to credit losses were recognized as expense in current operations. We previously had OTTI related to the mortgage securitization and non-agency CMOs.

As of June 30, 2013, we dissolved our mortgage securitization and we no longer carry any OTTI associated with the mortgage securitization. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recognized \$8.8 million of credit losses with respect to the dissolution of our mortgage securitization.

As of September 30, 2012 we no longer carry any OTTI associated with the non-agency CMOs. During the three months ending September 30, 2012, we sold our remaining portfolio of non-agency CMOs and for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, there was \$2.2 million of credit losses recognized with respect to the non-agency CMOs.

Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate. We maintain a representation and warranty reserve to account for the expected losses related to loans we might be required to repurchase (or the indemnity payments we may have to make to purchasers). The representation and warranty reserve takes into account both our estimate of expected losses on loans sold during the current accounting period, as well as adjustments due to our change in estimate of expected losses from probable repurchase obligations related to loans sold in prior periods.

Estimating the balance of the representation and warranty reserve involves using assumptions regarding future repurchase request volumes, expected loss severity on these requests and claims appeal success rates. The assumptions used to estimate the representation and warranty reserve contain a level of uncertainty and risk that could have a material impact on the reserve balance if they differ from actual results. For instance, to illustrate the sensitivity, among other factors, of the reserve to adverse changes, if the expected levels of demands in the model assumptions increased or decreased by 20.0 percent at September 30, 2013, the result would be a \$23.0 million increase or decrease in the representation and warranty reserve balance. If our loss severity rate increased or decreased by 20.0 percent at September 30, 2013, the result would be a \$29.0 million increase or decrease in the representation and warranty reserve balance. In order to estimate the sensitivity of the representation and warranty reserve to a particular factor, the factors varied within the model while keeping the other variables constant. For example, when estimating the impact to the representation and warranty reserve due to a change in expected levels of demands, the level of expected demands for vintages within the model varied by the percentages, holding other factors constant.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we recorded an expense of \$5.2 million, compared to the \$124.5 million expense recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease from the three months ended September 30, 2012 is primarily due to lower level of charge-offs and the completion of certain model enhancements, which updated model assumptions based on more clarity regarding the expected GSE requests for both older and newer vintages that decreased the reserve requirement.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recorded an expense of \$51.5 million, compared to the \$231.1 million recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease from the nine months ended September 30, 2012 is primarily due to lower level of charge-offs and the implementation of certain enhancements within the representation and warranty model in the first quarter 2012.

Other non-interest income. Other non-interest income includes certain miscellaneous fees, including dividends received on Federal Home Loan Bank stock and our fair value adjustment relating to the loans held-for-investment carried under the fair value option.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, other non-interest income increased to \$65.4 million, compared to \$28.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase from the nine months ended September 30, 2012

Table of Contents

is primarily due to a \$36.8 million net fair value adjustment during the second quarter 2013 related to the Assured and MBIA settlement agreements. See Note 3 - Fair Value Measurements and Note 19 - Legal Proceedings, Contingencies and Commitments, for further information on the fair value adjustment relating to the litigation settlements.

Non-Interest Expense

The following table sets forth the components of our non-interest expense.

| | Three Months Ended September | | Nine Months Ended September | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|---|
| | 30, 2013 | 2012 | 30, 2013 | 2012 | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Compensation and benefits | \$61,552 | \$67,386 | \$209,696 | \$198,776 | |
| Commissions | 12,099 | 19,888 | 44,962 | 53,193 | |
| Occupancy and equipment | 18,644 | 18,833 | 60,218 | 54,490 | |
| Asset resolution | 16,295 | 12,487 | 48,661 | 70,108 | |
| Federal insurance premiums | 7,910 | 12,643 | 26,941 | 37,071 | |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | — | 15,246 | — | 15,246 | |
| Loan processing expense | 10,890 | 15,662 | 43,390 | 37,480 | |
| Legal and professional expense | 19,593 | 57,209 | 64,822 | 87,110 | |
| Other non-interest expense | 11,453 | 14,137 | 30,732 | 38,261 | |
| Total non-interest expense | \$158,436 | \$233,491 | 529,422 | 591,735 | |
| Efficiency ratio (1) | 89.5 | % 67.3 | % 77.3 | % 61.7 | % |
| Efficiency ratio (credit-adjusted) (2) | 78.0 | % 46.9 | % 65.3 | % 43.8 | % |

(1) Total operating and administrative expenses divided by the sum of net interest income and non-interest income.

(2) Based on efficiency ratios as calculated, less representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate and asset resolution expense, see "Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures."

The 32.1 percent and 10.5 percent decrease in non-interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, was primarily due to decreases in legal and professional fees, commissions, loss on extinguishment of debt, and federal insurance premium expense. In addition, we had an overall decrease in asset resolution expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to nine months ended September 30, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, we had decreases in compensation and benefits and loan processing expense, partially offset by an increase in asset resolution expense. The decreases in both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, reflect lower variable expenses related to the decrease in mortgage originations, as well as reduced fixed costs as a result of our ongoing initiatives to optimize our cost structure.

The efficiency ratio generally measures how effective the company is operating, measured by dividing non-interest expense by total revenues (net interest income plus non-interest income). Given the significant amount of credit-related costs that flow through our non-interest expense and non-interest income, we show our efficiency ratio on a credit adjusted basis as well. Our efficiency ratio increased to 89.5 percent and 77.3 percent during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to 67.3 percent and 61.7 percent during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. In each case, the increase in our efficiency ratio was driven primarily by declines in non-interest income and net interest income, both resulting from a decrease in mortgage banking activity.

Compensation and benefits. The \$5.8 million decrease in compensation and benefits expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to a reduction in

annual incentive compensation and a decrease in both headcount and contract employees, both consistent with our ongoing efforts to optimize our cost structure and manage expenses more in line with revenues. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compensation and benefits expense increased \$10.8 million, primarily attributable to a higher level of average full-time equivalent employees and an increase reflecting an accrual for severance due to the previously announced outsourcing of our default servicing business, during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Our full-time equivalent non-commissioned salaried employees decreased at September 30, 2013, overall by 171 from September 30, 2012 to a total of 3,069 at September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

Commissions. Commission expense, which is a variable cost associated with loan originations, totaled \$12.1 million, equal to 15 basis points of total loan originations during the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$19.9 million, equal to 14 basis points of total loan originations in the three months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in commissions was primarily due to the decrease in loan originations for the three months ended September 30, 2013. Loan originations decreased to \$7.8 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2013 from \$14.7 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, commission expense totaled \$45.0 million, equal to 14 basis points of total loan originations, compared to \$53.2 million, equal to 14 basis points of total loan originations in the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in commissions is primarily due to a decrease in loan originations during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Loan originations decreased to \$31.3 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 from \$38.9 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Asset resolution. Asset resolution expenses consist of expenses associated with foreclosed properties (including the foreclosure claims in process with respect to government insured loans for which we file claims with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development) and other disposition and carrying costs, loss provisions, and gains and losses on the sale of real estate owned properties that we have obtained through foreclosure or other proceedings.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013 asset resolution expenses increased \$3.8 million to \$16.3 million, as compared to \$12.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily due to the third quarter 2012 HUD-coordinated market auction of loans repurchased with government guarantees, which resulted in an expense reduction of \$7.8 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, asset resolution expense decreased \$21.4 million to \$48.7 million, compared to \$70.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was primarily due the third quarter 2012 HUD-coordinated market auction of loans repurchased with government guarantees, which resulted in an expense reduction of \$7.8 million and decreases in debenture interest expense on government insured loans, agency fee accruals and commercial and residential real estate owned valuations, primarily due to improvement in home prices.

Federal insurance premiums. Our FDIC insurance expense decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The \$4.7 million decrease was primarily due to a reduction in our accrual for expense based on lower estimates of our assessment base. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our FDIC insurance premiums were \$26.9 million, compared to \$37.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Our assessment rate reflected improvement in risk assessment values related to balance sheet liquidity and lower underperforming assets, and a decrease in our average total assets used in the calculation of our assessment base.

Loss on extinguishment of debt. The \$15.2 million in loss on extinguishment of debt during the third quarter 2012, is the result of the penalties paid for the prepayment of \$500.0 million of FHLB advances.

Loan processing expense. Loan processing expense decreased to \$10.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$15.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to a \$6.8 billion decrease in loan originations during the three months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 loan processing expense increased to \$43.4 million, compared to \$37.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, reflecting increases in contract underwriting expenses and costs related to the transfer of loans due to servicing sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Legal and professional expense. Legal and professional expense decreased to \$19.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to a \$39.9 million decrease in our legal settlement reserve for pending and threatened litigation and lower expenses related to our vendor management and procurement initiatives, partially offset a \$5.2 million increase in legal settlement expense related to the fair value of the DOJ litigation.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 legal and professional expense decreased overall to \$64.8 million, compared to \$87.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was primarily due to a \$48.3 million decrease in legal settlement reserve for pending and threatened litigation and lower expenses related to our vendor management and procurement initiatives, partially offset by \$8.6 million increase in legal settlement expense related to the DOJ litigation and an \$18.1 million increase in consultant fee expense.

Table of Contents

Provision for Federal Income Taxes

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, the net provision for federal income taxes as a percentage of pre-tax income was 1.5 percent, compared to a benefit of 33.6 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the net benefit for federal income taxes as a percentage of pre-tax income was 5.9 percent, compared to a benefit of 14.1 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. For each year, the benefit for federal income taxes varies from statutory rates primarily because of a change in balance to our valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets.

During the second quarter 2013, as a result of the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization, which was recorded as available-for-sale investment securities, was collapsed and we then transferred the second mortgage loans associated with the mortgage securitization trust to our loans held-for-investment portfolio at fair value and dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. In conjunction with this, we also recognized \$6.1 million of tax benefits representing the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with previously unrealized losses on this security.

During the third quarter 2012, we sold our remaining non-agency CMOs and seasoned agency securities. As a result of the sale of these securities, the Company also recognized \$19.9 million of tax benefit representing the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with previously unrealized losses on these securities recorded in other comprehensive income.

Deferred taxes are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. In addition, a deferred tax asset is recorded for net operating loss carry forwards, tax accounting differences and unused tax credits. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates that will apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized as income or expense in the period that includes the enactment date.

We had a \$300.3 million and \$341.9 million valuation allowance against deferred tax assets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. We will continue to regularly assess the realizability of our deferred tax assets. In doing so, we consider historical financial performance, expectation of future earnings, the ability to carry back losses to recoup taxes previously paid, length of statutory carry forward periods, experience with operating loss and tax credit carry forwards not expiring unused, tax planning strategies and timing of reversals of temporary differences. Significant judgment is required in assessing future earnings trends and the timing of reversals of temporary differences. Our evaluation is based on current tax laws as well as our expectations of future performance. Changes in historical earnings performance and future earnings projections, among other factors, may cause us to adjust our valuation allowance, which will impact our income tax expense in the period we determine that these factors have changes. See Note 17 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Table of Contents

OPERATING SEGMENTS

Overview

For detail on each segment's objectives, strategies, and priorities, please read this section in conjunction with Note 20 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein, for a full understanding of our consolidated financial performance.

The operating segments are based on an internally-aligned segment leadership structure, which is how the results are monitored and performance assessed. We have three major operating segments: Mortgage Banking, Community Banking and Other. The Mortgage Banking segment originates, acquires, sells and services mortgage loans. The origination and acquisition of mortgage loans is the majority of the lending activity. Mortgage loans are originated through home lending centers, national call centers, the Internet, unaffiliated banks and mortgage brokerage companies, where the net interest income and the gains from sales associated with these loans are recognized in the Mortgage Banking segment. Also, the Mortgage Banking segment services mortgage loans for others and sells MSRs into the secondary market. The Community Banking segment originates loans to and collects deposits from consumer and business customers through Commercial, Business, Government and Branch Banking groups. Products offered through these groups include checking accounts, savings accounts, money market accounts, certificates of deposit, investment and insurance services, consumer loans and commercial loans. Other financial services available to consumer and commercial customers include lines of credit, revolving credit, customized treasury management solutions, equipment leasing, inventory and accounts receivable lending and capital markets services such as interest rate risk protection products. The Other segment includes corporate treasury, income and expense impact of equity and cash, the effect of eliminations of transactions between segments, tax benefits not assigned to specific operating segments, the impact of interest rate risk management, the impact of balance sheet funding activities, charges or credits of unusual or infrequent nature that are not reflective of the normal operations of the operating segments and miscellaneous other expenses of a corporate nature. Each operating segment supports and complements the operations of the other, with funding for the Mortgage Banking segment primarily provided by deposits obtained through Community Banking and with the Community Banking segment providing warehouse lines of credit to mortgage originators, most of which sell loans to the Mortgage Banking segment. A discussion of our three operating segments is set forth below.

The operating segment results are generated utilizing our management reporting system, which assigns balance sheet and income statement items to each of the operating segments. The process is designed around our organizational and management structure and, accordingly, the results derived may not be directly comparable with similar information published by other financial institutions. Revenue is recorded in the operating segment responsible for the related product or service.

The management accounting process that develops the operating segment reporting utilizes various estimates and allocation methodologies to measure the performance of the operating segments. Expenses are allocated to operating segments using a two-phase approach. The first phase consists of measuring and assigning costs to activities within each operating area to create a driver-based cost. These driver-based costs are then allocated, with the resulting amount allocated to operating segments that own the related products. The second phase consists of the allocation of overhead costs to all three operating segments from the Other segment.

The net income (loss) by operating segment is presented in the following table.

| Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | |
|---------------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|------|
| | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Mortgage Banking | \$52,984 | \$91,169 | \$184,008 | \$253,365 |
| Community Banking | (10,915 |) 3,109 | (43,122 |) (46,660 |
| Other | (27,797 |) (13,168 |) (35,804 |) (45,518 |
| Total net income | \$14,272 | \$81,110 | \$105,082 | \$161,187 |

Table of Contents

The selected average balances by operating segment are presented in the following table.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Average loans held-for-sale | | | | |
| Mortgage Banking | \$2,145,245 | \$3,301,860 | \$2,575,561 | \$2,892,439 |
| Community Banking | 11,721 | — | 220,251 | — |
| Average loans held-for-investment | | | | |
| Mortgage Banking | \$2,902,511 | \$3,387,490 | \$3,102,363 | \$3,635,764 |
| Community Banking | 981,358 | 3,138,425 | 1,299,410 | 2,939,249 |
| Other | 166,751 | 7,654 | 61,419 | 8,627 |
| Average total assets | | | | |
| Mortgage Banking | \$7,381,508 | \$9,683,973 | \$8,238,110 | \$9,553,388 |
| Community Banking | 1,327,466 | 3,279,824 | 1,743,516 | 3,054,209 |
| Other | 3,630,545 | 2,193,328 | 3,011,096 | 2,020,599 |
| Average interest-bearing deposits | | | | |
| Community Banking | \$5,887,049 | \$6,655,728 | \$6,436,520 | \$6,532,189 |
| Other | 19,949 | 271,914 | 21,309 | 278,532 |

Mortgage Banking

Our Mortgage Banking segment originates, acquires, sells and services one-to-four family residential first mortgage loans. The Mortgage Banking segment also services mortgage loans on a fee basis for others and sells MSR's into the secondary market. Funding for our Mortgage Banking segment is provided primarily by deposits and borrowings obtained by our Community Banking segment.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net interest income | \$40,150 | \$49,054 | \$125,904 | \$145,026 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,290 | (50,785) | (33,471) | (181,123) |
| Net gain on loan sales | 74,968 | 334,248 | 357,009 | 751,426 |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate | (5,205) | (124,492) | (51,541) | (231,058) |
| Other non-interest income | 52,837 | 46,595 | 159,908 | 168,330 |
| Asset resolution | (14,091) | (12,853) | (47,208) | (63,974) |
| Other non-interest expense | (97,965) | (150,598) | (326,593) | (335,262) |
| Net income | \$52,984 | \$91,169 | \$184,008 | \$253,365 |
| Average balances | | | | |
| Total loans held-for-sale | \$2,145,245 | \$3,301,860 | \$2,575,561 | \$2,892,439 |
| Total loans held-for-investment | 2,902,511 | 3,387,490 | 3,102,363 | 3,635,764 |
| Total assets | 7,381,508 | 9,683,973 | 8,238,110 | 9,553,388 |

The Mortgage Banking segment net income decreased \$38.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in net gain on loan sales from lower residential first mortgage originations, partially offset by a decrease in non-interest expense, representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate and provision for loan losses. The decreases in net gain on loan sales was primarily due to lower residential first mortgage rate lock commitments and a lower base gain

on sale margin during the three months ended September 30, 2013. The decrease in the representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate for the three months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, was primarily due to lower level of charge-offs and the completion of certain enhancements within the representation and warranty model that decreased the reserve requirement. The decrease in the provision for loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, was primarily due to continued run-off of the portfolio, refinement of the qualitative factors and the release of reserves resulting from the sale of TDR and non-performing loans.

Table of Contents

The Mortgage Banking segment net income decreased \$69.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in mortgage loan originations resulting in lower net gain on loan sales during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in the representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012, is primarily due to lower level of charge-offs and the implementation of certain enhancements within the representation and warranty model in the first quarter 2012. The decrease in the provision for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012, was primarily due to continued run-off of the portfolio, model enhancements of the qualitative factors and the release of reserves resulting from the sale of TDR and non-performing loans.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, other non-interest income decreased to \$52.8 million, as compared to \$46.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, other non-interest income decreased to \$159.9 million, as compared to \$168.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Net loan fees and charges, included in other non-interest income, decreased to \$19.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to \$34.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, net loan fees and charges decreased to \$78.2 million, as compared to \$93.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Also included in other non-interest income, net servicing revenue, which is the combination of net loan administration income (including the off-balance sheet hedges of MSR's) and the gain (loss) on trading securities (i.e., the on-balance sheet hedges of MSR's), increased to \$43.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to \$11.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to a lower pace of decline in fair value adjustments to our MSR's and partially offset by a decrease in hedge performance gain during the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. Net servicing revenue increased to \$100.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to \$72.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Community Banking

Our Community Banking segment's two strategic responsibilities are providing a stable funding source for the Mortgage Banking segment and operating as a standalone, profitable line of business. The groups within the Community Banking segment originate consumer loans, commercial loans and warehouse loans, gather consumer, business and governmental deposits, offer investment and insurance services and offer liquidity management products. The liquidity management products include customized treasury management solutions, equipment and technology leasing, international services, capital markets services such as interest rate risk protection products, foreign exchange hedging, and trading of securities.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net interest income | \$25,489 | \$40,385 | \$84,412 | \$113,413 |
| Provision for loan losses | (6,343 |) (1,810 |) (22,559 |) (44,573 |
| Non-interest income | 9,445 | 11,343 | 29,822 | 31,562 |
| Non-interest expense | (39,506 |) (46,809 |) (134,797 |) (147,062 |
| Net (loss) income | \$(10,915 |) \$3,109 | \$(43,122 |) \$(46,660 |
| Average balances | | | | |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total loans held-for-investment | \$981,358 | \$3,138,425 | \$1,299,410 | \$2,939,249 |
| Total assets | 1,327,466 | 3,279,824 | 1,743,516 | 3,054,209 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 5,887,049 | 6,655,728 | 6,436,520 | 6,532,189 |

The Community Banking segment reported a net loss of \$10.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to net income of \$3.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$14.0 million. This decrease was primarily due to a reduction in net interest income as a result of lower average warehouse loans due to a decline in mortgage loan originations during the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Community Banking segment reported a \$3.5 million decrease in net loss as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in net loss was primarily due to the \$22.0 million decrease in provision for loan losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012, partially offset by a decrease in net interest income as a result of lower average commercial and warehouse loans due to a decrease in loan originations during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Other

The Other segment includes the treasury, income and expense impact of equity and cash, the effect of eliminations of transactions between segments, tax benefits not assigned to specific operating segments, the funding revenue associated with stockholders' equity, the impact of interest rate risk management, the impact of balance sheet funding activities, and changes or credit of an unusual or infrequent nature that are not reflective of the normal operations of the operating segments and miscellaneous other expenses of a corporate nature.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net interest expense | \$(22,954) | \$(16,360) | \$(64,868) | \$(35,149) |
| Non-interest income | 2,251 | 6,043 | 44,000 | 15,188 |
| Non-interest expense | (6,874) | (23,231) | (20,824) | (45,437) |
| Income (loss) before taxes | (27,577) | (33,548) | (41,692) | (65,398) |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes | (220) | 20,380 | 5,888 | 19,880 |
| Net loss | \$(27,797) | \$(13,168) | \$(35,804) | \$(45,518) |
| Average balances | | | | |
| Total assets | \$3,630,545 | \$2,193,328 | \$3,011,096 | \$2,020,599 |

Net interest income includes the impact of administering our investment securities portfolios and the net impact of derivatives used to hedge interest rate sensitivity. Non-interest income includes insurance income, miscellaneous fee income not allocated to other operating segments, such as bank owned life insurance income and any Treasury related items and trading asset gains or losses.

Non-interest expense includes certain corporate administrative and other miscellaneous expenses. The provision for income taxes is not allocated to the operating segments as new corporate income tax liability will not occur until after the utilization of the existing deferred tax assets.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the Other segment net loss increased by \$14.6 million, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily due to a \$20.6 million reduction in provision for income taxes, relating to the tax benefit representing the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with the previously unrealized losses on the non-agency CMOs sold during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, Other segment net loss decreased by \$9.7 million, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decreased net loss was primarily due to the prepayment penalty from the early retirement of Federal Home Loan Bank advances in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, offset by a decrease in the benefit for income taxes.

Table of Contents

Analysis of Items on Statements of Financial Condition

Assets

Interest-earning deposits. Interest-earning deposits, on which we earn a minimal interest rate, increased \$1.6 billion at September 30, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012, primarily due to the additional funds received from the Northeast-based commercial loans sales completed in the first quarter 2013 and the non-performing and TDR loan sales completed in the second and third quarter 2013, and lower mortgage loan origination volume.

Trading securities. Trading securities are comprised of U.S. Treasury bonds. Changes to the fair value of trading securities are recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein. U.S. Treasury bonds held in trading are distinguished from those investment securities available-for-sale and were previously based upon the intent of management to use them as an offset against changes in the valuation of the MSR portfolio, however, these do not qualify as an accounting hedge. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 there were \$50.1 million and \$170.1 million, respectively, in trading securities. The decrease was due to the sale of \$120.0 million of U.S. Treasury bonds during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The U.S. Treasury bonds were originally purchased to meet collateral pledging requirements. During the second quarter 2012, the U.S. Treasury bonds were sold, due to the increase in our cash accounts and no longer in need of collateral, as well as, the yield was unattractive relative to alternatives. See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Investment securities available-for-sale. Investment securities available-for-sale comprised of U.S. government sponsored agencies, mortgage securitization and municipal obligations, increased from \$184.4 million at December 31, 2012, to \$495.4 million at September 30, 2013. The increase was primarily due to the purchase of \$436.6 million in U.S. government sponsored agencies and municipal obligations during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The investment securities available-for-sale were purchased as part of our strategy to redeploy a portion of our liquid cash into higher yielding, yet very liquid, investment alternatives. See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Loans held-for-sale. Essentially all of our mortgage loans produced are sold into the secondary market on a whole loan basis or by securitizing the loans into securities. At September 30, 2013, we held loans held-for-sale of \$1.9 billion, which was a decrease of \$2.0 billion from \$3.9 billion held at December 31, 2012. The decrease in the balance of loans held-for-sale was primarily due to a decrease in mortgage loan originations, driven by an increase in interest rates, the first quarter 2013 loan sales related to the agreement to sell the Northeast commercial loans and the sale of non-performing and TDR loans during the second and third quarter 2013. We continue to de-risk our balance sheet through loan sales and other opportunities. For further information on loans held-for-sale, see Note 5 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Loans repurchased with government guarantees. Pursuant to Ginnie Mae servicing guidelines, we have the unilateral option to repurchase certain delinquent loans securitized in Ginnie Mae pools, if the loans meet defined criteria. As a result of this unilateral option, once the delinquency criteria have been met and regardless of whether the repurchase option has been exercised, we must treat the loans as having been repurchased and recognize the loans on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein, and also recognize a corresponding deemed liability for a similar amount. If the loans are actually repurchased, we eliminate the corresponding liability. At September 30, 2013, the amount of such loans actually repurchased totaled \$1.2 billion and were classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees. These loans which we have not yet repurchased but had the unilateral right to repurchase totaled \$15.4 million and were classified as loans held-for-sale. At December 31, 2012, the amount of such loans actually repurchased totaled \$1.8 billion and were classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees, and those loans which we have not yet repurchased but had the unilateral right to repurchase

totaled \$72.4 million and were classified as loans held-for-sale. The balance of this portfolio has continued to decrease during 2013, driven primarily by reductions in repurchases, normal pay-downs, re-sales and accelerated dispositions. The reduction in this portfolio will help contribute to lower asset resolution costs.

Substantially all of these remaining loans continue to be insured or guaranteed by the Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") and management believes that the reimbursement process is proceeding appropriately. These repurchased loans earn interest at a statutory rate, which varies for each loan, but is based on the 10-year U.S. Treasury note rate at the time the loan becomes greater than 60 days delinquent. This interest is recorded as interest income and the related claims settlement expenses are recorded in asset resolution expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein. For further information on loans repurchased with government guarantees, see Note 6 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Table of Contents

Loans held-for-investment. Our largest category of earning assets consists of loans held-for-investment. Loans held-for-investment consist of residential first mortgage loans that are not held for resale (usually shorter duration and adjustable rate loans and second mortgages), warehouse loans to other mortgage lenders, HELOC, other consumer loans, commercial real estate loans, commercial and industrial loans and commercial lease financing loans. Loans held-for-investment decreased from \$5.4 billion at December 31, 2012, to \$4.0 billion at September 30, 2013, primarily due to warehouse loans declining 71.0 percent to \$390.3 million at September 30, 2013, compared to December 31, 2012, reflecting the decrease in mortgage loan originations. Residential first mortgage loans decreased to \$2.5 billion, primarily due to the sale of non-performing and TDR loans. Commercial real estate loans decreased to \$420.9 million at September 30, 2013 from \$640.3 million at December 31, 2012, primarily due to the sale of TDR and non-performing loans and payoffs and charge-offs. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in commercial and industrial loans to \$187.6 million at September 30, 2013 from \$90.6 million at December 31, 2012. Loans held-for-investment includes \$250.3 million and \$20.2 million of loans value under the fair value option at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. At June 30, 2013, we recorded \$73.3 million of second mortgage loans and \$170.5 million of HELOC loans at fair value as a result of the settlement agreements with MBIA and Assured, respectively. For information relating to the concentration of credit of our loans held for investment, see Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statement, herein.

Quality of Earning Assets

Management considers a number of qualitative and quantitative factors in assessing the level of its collectively evaluated reserves and individually evaluated reserves. See the section captioned "Allowance for Loan Losses" in this discussion. As illustrated in the tables following, trends in certain credit quality characteristics such as non-performing loans and delinquency statistics have recently stabilized or even begun to show signs of improvement. This is predominantly a result of the run off of the legacy portfolios combined with the addition of new commercial loans with strong credit characteristics.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth certain information about our non-performing assets as of the end of each of the last five quarters.

NON-PERFORMING LOANS AND ASSETS

| | September 30, 2013 | June 30, 2013 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 | |
|--|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Non-performing loans held-for-investment | \$94,062 | \$161,725 | \$223,388 | \$254,582 | \$289,468 | |
| Non-performing TDRs | 21,104 | 24,025 | 56,498 | 60,516 | 55,396 | |
| Non-performing TDRs at inception but performing for less than six months | 23,638 | 72,186 | 89,417 | 84,728 | 54,084 | |
| Total non-performing loans held-for-investment | 138,804 | 257,936 | 369,303 | 399,826 | 398,948 | |
| Real estate and other non-performing assets, net | 66,530 | 86,382 | 114,356 | 120,732 | 119,468 | |
| Non-performing assets held-for-investment, net | 205,334 | 344,318 | 483,659 | 520,558 | 518,416 | |
| Non-performing loans held-for-sale | 3,099 | 3,351 | 394 | 1,835 | 2,086 | |
| Total non-performing assets including loans held-for-sale | \$208,433 | \$347,669 | \$484,053 | \$522,393 | \$520,502 | |
| Ratio of non-performing assets to total assets (bank only) | 1.74 | % 2.71 | % 3.70 | % 3.70 | % 3.48 | % |
| Ratio of non-performing loans held-for-investment to loans held-for-investment | 3.46 | % 5.74 | % 7.79 | % 7.35 | % 6.09 | % |
| Ratio of allowance to non-performing loans held-for-investment (1) | 152.6 | % 94.2 | % 78.5 | % 76.3 | % 76.5 | % |
| Ratio of allowance for loan losses to loans held-for-investment (1) | 5.50 | % 5.75 | % 6.11 | % 5.61 | % 4.65 | % |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans held-for-investment (annualized) (1) (2) | 3.96 | % 6.96 | % 2.93 | % 3.18 | % 2.12 | % |
| Ratio of non-performing assets to loans held-for-investment and repossessed assets | 5.03 | % 7.52 | % 9.96 | % 9.36 | % 7.77 | % |

(1) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

(2) Includes charge-offs of \$26.8 million and \$38.3 million related to the sale of non-performing loans and TDRs, during the three months ended September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, respectively.

The following table sets forth the activity for unpaid principal balance, which does not include premiums or discounts, of non-performing commercial assets, primarily commercial real estate and commercial and industrial loans.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | September 30, 2012 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | September 30, 2012 |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Beginning balance | \$98,537 | \$183,738 | \$139,128 | \$145,006 |
| Additions | 2,104 | 55,870 | 115,849 | 239,720 |
| Returned to performing | — | (241 |) — | (11,411) |
| Principal payments | (11,021 |) (28,038 |) (83,378 |) (50,008) |
| Sales | (41,248 |) (22,702 |) (89,340 |) (39,839) |
| Charge-offs, net of recoveries | (4,811 |) (11,050 |) (35,350 |) (97,548) |
| Valuation write-downs | (3,300 |) (1,351 |) (6,648 |) (9,694) |
| Ending balance | \$40,261 | \$176,226 | \$40,261 | \$176,226 |

101

Table of Contents

Past due loans held-for-investment

Loans are considered to be past due when any payment of principal or interest is 30 days past due. While it is the goal of management to work out a satisfactory repayment schedule or modification with a past due borrower, we will undertake foreclosure proceedings if the delinquency is not satisfactorily resolved. Our practices regarding past due loans are designed to both assist borrowers in meeting their contractual obligations and minimize losses incurred by the bank. We customarily mail several notices of past due payments to the borrower within 30 days after the due date and late charges are assessed in accordance with certain parameters. Our collection department makes telephone or personal contact with borrowers after loans are 30 days past due. In certain cases, we recommend that the borrower seek credit-counseling assistance and may grant forbearance if it is determined that the borrower is likely to correct a past due loan within a reasonable period of time. We cease the accrual of interest on loans that we classify as "non-performing" once they become 90 days past due or earlier when concerns exist as to the ultimate collection of principal or interest. Such interest is recognized as income only when it is actually collected.

At September 30, 2013, we had \$208.4 million of loans held-for-investment that were determined to be past due loans. Of those past due loans, \$138.8 million of loans were non-performing held-for-investment. At December 31, 2012, we had \$499.1 million of loans held-for-investment that were determined to be past due loans. Of those past due loans, \$399.8 million of loans were non-performing held-for-investment. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we sold \$508.3 million of unpaid principal balance of non-performing and TDR loans. The decrease from December 31, 2012 to September 30, 2013 was primarily due to the second and third quarter 2013 non-performing and TDR loan sales.

Consumer loans. As of September 30, 2013, non-performing consumer loans totaled \$123.3 million, a decrease from \$313.4 million at December 31, 2012, primarily due to the second and third quarter 2013 non-performing and TDR loan sales. Net charge-offs in consumer loans totaled \$35.2 million and \$118.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to \$23.5 million and \$154.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, primarily due to charge-offs of \$26.8 million and \$65.1 million related to the sale of non-performing and TDR loans during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

Commercial loans. As of September 30, 2013, non-performing commercial loans totaled \$15.5 million, a decrease of from \$86.4 million at December 31, 2012, primarily driven by charge-offs, net run-off and transfers to repossessed assets. Non-performing commercial as a percentage of the commercial loans, decreased to 2.5 percent in September 30, 2013 from 11.7 percent at December 31, 2012, primarily due to continued work-outs. Net charge-offs in commercial loans totaled \$4.8 million and \$35.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, which was a decrease from \$11.1 million and \$84.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, primarily due to the first quarter 2013 commercial loan sales.

Troubled debt restructurings (held-for-investment)

Troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") are modified loans in which a concession not otherwise available is provided to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties. Our ongoing loan modification efforts to assist homeowners and other borrowers continued to increase our overall balance of TDRs. Non-performing TDRs were 15.2 percent and 36.3 percent of total non-performing loans at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

TDRs can be classified as either performing or non-performing. Non-performing TDRs are included in non-accrual loans and performing TDRs are excluded from non-accrual loans because it is probable that all contractual principal and interest due under the restructured terms will be collected. Within consumer non-performing loans, residential first mortgage TDRs were 33.5 percent of residential first mortgage non-performing loans at September 30, 2013, compared to 45.9 percent at December 31, 2012. The level of modifications that were determined to be TDRs in these

portfolios is expected to result in elevated non-performing loan levels for longer periods, because TDRs remain in non-performing status until a borrower has made at least six consecutive months of payments under the modified terms, or ultimate resolution occurs. TDRs primarily reflect our loss mitigation efforts to proactively work with borrowers having difficulty making their payments. Although many of the TDRs continue to be performing, we have increased our reserve on TDRs, which also increased the allowance for loan losses.

102

Table of Contents

| | TDRs Held-for-Investment | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | Performing | Non-performing | Total |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| September 30, 2013 | | | |
| Consumer loans (1) | \$387,671 | \$42,457 | \$430,128 |
| Commercial loans (2) | 268 | 2,284 | 2,552 |
| Total TDRs | \$387,939 | \$44,741 | \$432,680 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | |
| Consumer loans (1) | \$588,475 | \$143,188 | \$731,663 |
| Commercial loans (2) | 1,287 | 2,056 | 3,343 |
| Total TDRs | \$589,762 | \$145,244 | \$735,006 |

Consumer loans include: residential first mortgage, second mortgage, warehouse lending, HELOC and other (1) consumer loans. The allowance for loan losses on consumer TDR loans totaled \$85.5 million and \$159.0 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Commercial loans include: commercial real estate, commercial and industrial and commercial lease financing (2) loans. The allowance for loan losses on commercial TDR loans totaled \$0.1 and \$0.3 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The following table sets forth the activity during each of the periods presented with respect to performing TDRs and non-performing TDRs.

| | TDRs Held-for-Investment | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Performing | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$451,097 | \$576,097 | \$589,761 | \$517,176 |
| Additions | 7,394 | 26,035 | 52,479 | 105,175 |
| Transfer to non-performing TDR | (9,250) | (14,495) | (33,023) | (68,079) |
| Transfer from non-performing TDR | 5,068 | 34,290 | 39,002 | 95,460 |
| Principal repayments | (989) | (2,266) | (6,253) | (14,582) |
| Reductions (1) | (65,381) | (5,376) | (254,027) | (20,865) |
| Ending balance | \$387,939 | \$614,285 | \$387,939 | \$614,285 |
| Non-performing | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$96,211 | \$133,088 | \$145,245 | \$196,585 |
| Additions | 6,969 | 14,322 | 44,451 | 54,294 |
| Transfer from performing TDR | 9,250 | 14,495 | 33,023 | 68,079 |
| Transfer to performing TDR | (5,068) | (34,290) | (39,002) | (95,460) |
| Principal repayments | (669) | (982) | (7,218) | (40,399) |
| Reductions (1) | (61,952) | (17,153) | (131,758) | (73,619) |
| Ending balance | \$44,741 | \$109,480 | \$44,741 | \$109,480 |

(1) Includes loans paid in full or otherwise settled, sold or charged off.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding past due loans at the dates listed. At September 30, 2013, 84.9 percent of all past due loans were loans in which we had a first lien position on residential real estate, compared to 77.3 percent at December 31, 2012.

| Days Past Due | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| 30 – 59 days | | |
| Consumer loans | | |
| Residential first mortgage (1) | \$46,862 | \$62,445 |
| Second mortgage (1) | 1,010 | 1,171 |
| HELOC (1) | 2,804 | 2,484 |
| Other | 500 | 587 |
| Commercial loans | | |
| Commercial real estate (1) | — | 6,979 |
| Total 30-59 days past due | 51,176 | 73,666 |
| 60 – 89 days | | |
| Consumer loans | | |
| Residential first mortgage (1) | 14,201 | 16,693 |
| Second mortgage (1) | 646 | 727 |
| HELOC (1) | 3,353 | 910 |
| Other | 44 | 248 |
| Commercial loans | | |
| Commercial real estate (1) | 208 | 6,990 |
| Total 60-89 days past due | 18,452 | 25,568 |
| Greater than 90 days | | |
| Consumer loans | | |
| Residential first mortgage (1) | 115,832 | 306,486 |
| Second mortgage (1) | 1,906 | 3,724 |
| HELOC (1) | 5,387 | 3,025 |
| Other | 164 | 183 |
| Commercial loans | | |
| Commercial real estate (1) | 11,076 | 86,367 |
| Commercial and industrial | — | 41 |
| Commercial lease financing | 4,439 | — |
| Total greater than 90 days past due | 138,804 | 399,826 |
| Total past due loans (2) | \$208,432 | \$499,060 |

(1) Includes loans that are secured by real estate.

(2) Includes loans carried under the fair value option of \$6.9 million at September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding non-performing loans (i.e., greater than 90 days past due loans) as to which we have ceased accruing interest.

| | September 30, 2013 | | As a % of Loan Specified Portfolio | As a % of Non- Accrual Loans | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| | Investment Loan Portfolio | Non- Accrual Loans | | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Consumer loans | | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage | \$2,478,599 | \$115,832 | 4.7 | % 83.4 | % |
| Second mortgage | 174,383 | 1,906 | 1.1 | % 1.4 | % |
| Warehouse lending | 390,348 | — | — | % — | % |
| HELOC | 307,552 | 5,387 | 1.8 | % 3.9 | % |
| Other consumer | 39,043 | 164 | 0.4 | % 0.1 | % |
| Total consumer loans | 3,389,925 | 123,289 | 3.6 | % 88.8 | % |
| Commercial loans | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 420,879 | 11,076 | 2.6 | % 8.0 | % |
| Commercial and industrial | 187,639 | — | — | % — | % |
| Commercial lease financing | 15,064 | 4,439 | 29.5 | % 3.2 | % |
| Total commercial loans | 623,582 | 15,515 | 2.5 | % 11.2 | % |
| Total loans (1) | \$4,013,507 | \$138,804 | 3.5 | % 100.0 | % |
| Less allowance for loan losses | (207,000) | | | | |
| Total loans held-for-investment, net | \$3,806,507 | | | | |

(1) Includes \$0.4 million of non-accrual second mortgage and \$2.8 million of non-accrual HELOC loans, respectively, carried under the fair value option at September 30, 2013.

The following table sets forth the performing and non-accrual residential first mortgage loans by year of origination (i.e., vintage) and the total amount of unpaid principal balance loans outstanding at September 30, 2013.

| Vintage | September 30, 2013 | | Balance |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Performing Loans | Non-Accrual Loans | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Pre-2005 | \$682,129 | \$ 10,909 | \$693,038 |
| 2005 | 514,108 | 11,375 | 525,483 |
| 2006 | 185,645 | 11,267 | 196,912 |
| 2007 | 669,220 | 43,236 | 712,456 |
| 2008 | 87,409 | 26,477 | 113,886 |
| 2009 | 37,843 | 6,757 | 44,600 |
| 2010 | 25,067 | 2,394 | 27,461 |
| 2011 | 39,860 | 2,851 | 42,711 |
| 2012 | 30,392 | 566 | 30,958 |
| 2013 | 66,853 | — | 66,853 |
| Total loans | \$2,338,526 | \$ 115,832 | \$2,454,358 |
| Net deferred fees and other | | | 24,241 |
| Total residential first mortgage loans | | | \$2,478,599 |

Table of Contents

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable losses in our loans held-for-investment portfolio as of the date of the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein. The allowance provides for probable losses that have been identified with specific customer relationships, individually evaluated, and for probable losses believed to be inherent in the loan portfolio but that have not been specifically identified, collectively evaluated. The consumer loan portfolio includes residential first mortgages, second mortgages, construction, warehouse lending and consumer loans. The commercial loan portfolio includes commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial lease financing loans.

As the process for determining the adequacy of the allowance requires subjective and complex judgment by management about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain, subsequent evaluations of the loan portfolio, in light of the factors then prevailing, may result in significant changes in the allowance for loan losses. In estimating the amount of credit losses inherent in our loan portfolio various assumptions are made. For example, when assessing the condition of the overall economic environment assumptions are made regarding current economic trends and their impact on the loan portfolio. If the anticipated recovery is not as strong or timely as management's expectations, it may affect the estimate of the allowance for loan losses. For impaired loans that are collateral dependent, the estimated fair value of the collateral may deviate significantly from the net proceeds received when the collateral is sold.

The allowance for loan losses includes specific reserves for impaired loans, non-specific reserves for losses inherent on non-impaired loans utilizing the Company's loss history by specific product, or if the product is not sufficiently seasoned, peer loss data. The loss history is normally a one to two year rolling average updated periodically as new data becomes available. In addition to the loss history, the Company will also include a qualitative adjustment that considers economic risks, industry and geographic concentrations and other factors not adequately captured in the Company's loss methodology. Our procedure is to recognize losses through charge-offs when there is a high likelihood of loss after considering the borrower's financial condition, underlying collateral and guarantees, and the finalization of collection activities.

The allowance for loan losses was \$207.0 million and \$305.0 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses was driven primarily by the charge-off of reserves related to non-performing and TDR loans sold during the second and third quarter 2013 and decreased reserves from normal loan run-off.

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of non-performing loans increased to 152.6 percent at September 30, 2013 from 76.3 percent at December 31, 2012, which was primarily due to non-performing and TDR loan sales during the second and third quarter 2013 and normal loan run-off in the commercial non-performing portfolio.

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans held-for-investment decreased to 5.50 percent as of September 30, 2013 from 5.61 percent as of December 31, 2012, primarily due to continued run-off of the portfolio, including the second and third quarter 2013 non-performing and TDR loan sales and an overall improvement in the credit quality of the loan portfolio during 2013 (delinquency improvement, reduction in non-performing loans, lower charge-off levels, improvements in FICO and LTV in the retail portfolio, further reductions in the older vintages in the commercial and retail portfolios).

The allowance for loan losses is considered adequate based upon management's assessment of relevant factors, including the types and amounts of non-performing loans, historical and current loss experience on such types of loans, and the current economic environment.

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth certain information regarding the allocation of our allowance for loan losses to each loan category.

| | September 30, 2013 | | | Percentage to | |
|---|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|---|
| | Investment | Percent | Allowance | Total | |
| | Loan | of | Amount | Allowance | |
| | Portfolio | Portfolio | | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Consumer loans | | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage | \$2,459,323 | 65.3 | % \$146,577 | 70.9 | % |
| Second mortgage | 105,124 | 2.8 | % 18,695 | 9.0 | % |
| Warehouse lending | 390,348 | 10.4 | % 408 | 0.2 | % |
| HELOC | 145,790 | 3.9 | % 9,107 | 4.4 | % |
| Other | 39,043 | 1.0 | % 2,130 | 1.0 | % |
| Total consumer loans | 3,139,628 | 83.4 | % 176,917 | 85.5 | % |
| Commercial loans | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 420,879 | 11.2 | % 26,492 | 12.8 | % |
| Commercial and industrial | 187,639 | 5.0 | % 3,495 | 1.7 | % |
| Commercial lease financing | 15,064 | 0.4 | % 96 | — | % |
| Total commercial loans | 623,582 | 16.6 | % 30,083 | 14.5 | % |
| Total consumer and commercial loans (1) | \$3,763,210 | 100.0 | % \$207,000 | 100.0 | % |

(1) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

Table of Contents

The following table set forth certain information regarding our allowance for loan losses.

| | Three Months Ended September | | Nine Months Ended September | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 30, 2013 | 2012 | 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$243,000 | \$287,000 | \$305,000 | \$318,000 |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,053 | 52,595 | 56,030 | 225,696 |
| Charge-offs | | | | |
| Consumer loans | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage (1) | (34,666) | (23,999) | (123,456) | (142,001) |
| Second Mortgage | (1,534) | (3,990) | (5,522) | (13,330) |
| Warehouse lending | (45) | — | (45) | — |
| HELOC | (872) | (1,483) | (3,745) | (12,159) |
| Other consumer | (1,341) | (892) | (2,627) | (2,810) |
| Total consumer loans | (38,458) | (30,364) | (135,395) | (170,300) |
| Commercial loans | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | (8,419) | (15,532) | (42,931) | (91,842) |
| Commercial and industrial | (302) | (12) | (302) | (1,616) |
| Total commercial loans | (8,721) | (15,544) | (43,233) | (93,458) |
| Total charge offs | (47,179) | (45,908) | (178,628) | (263,758) |
| Recoveries | | | | |
| Consumer loans | | | | |
| Residential first mortgage | 2,256 | 5,899 | 14,296 | 13,031 |
| Second mortgage | 348 | 428 | 825 | 1,716 |
| HELOC | 143 | 44 | 705 | 394 |
| Other consumer | 470 | 448 | 844 | 1,055 |
| Total consumer loans | 3,217 | 6,819 | 16,670 | 16,196 |
| Commercial loans | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | 3,860 | 4,461 | 7,862 | 8,797 |
| Commercial and industrial | 49 | 33 | 66 | 69 |
| Total commercial loans | 3,909 | 4,494 | 7,928 | 8,866 |
| Total recoveries | 7,126 | 11,313 | 24,598 | 25,062 |
| Charge-offs, net of recoveries | (40,053) | (34,595) | (154,030) | (238,696) |
| Ending balance | \$207,000 | \$305,000 | \$207,000 | \$305,000 |
| Net charge-off ratio | 3.96 % | 2.12 % | 4.60 % | 4.83 % |
| Net charge-off ratio, adjusted (1) | 1.30 % | 2.12 % | 2.65 % | 4.83 % |

(1) Excludes charge-offs of \$26.8 million and \$65.1 million related to the sale of non-performing loans and TDRs, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

Mortgage servicing rights. At September 30, 2013, MSR's included residential MSR's at fair value amounting to \$797.0 million, compared to \$710.8 million at December 31, 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, we recorded additions to our MSR's of \$323.2 million and \$370.0 million, respectively. Also, during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we reduced the amount of MSR's by \$233.7 million related to bulk servicing sales, \$87.4 million related to loans that paid off during the period and an increase in the fair value of MSR's of \$84.2 million resulting from the realization of expected cash flows and market driven changes, primarily as a result of increases in mortgage loan rates that led to an expected decrease in prepayment speeds. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we reduced the amount of MSR's by \$27.8 million related to bulk servicing sales, \$101.5 million related to loans that paid off during the period, and a decrease in the fair value of MSR's of \$64.3 million resulting from the realization of expected cash flows and market driven changes, primarily as a result of decreases in mortgage

loan rates that led to an expected increase in prepayment speeds. Once fully phased in, the Basel III capital rules will significantly reduce the allowable amount of the fair value of MSR's included in Tier 1 capital. We intend to reduce the our MSR concentration in future periods as it relates to the exclusion from our allowable

108

Table of Contents

capital levels under Basel III. See Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein. Our ratio of MSR to Tier 1 capital is 56.8 percent at September 30, 2013. See "Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures."

The principal balance of the loans underlying our total MSRs was \$74.2 billion at September 30, 2013, compared to \$76.8 billion at December 31, 2012, with the decrease primarily attributable to our bulk servicing sales of \$23.4 billion in underlying loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, partially offset by loan origination activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Reposessed assets. Real property we acquire as a result of the foreclosure process is classified as real estate owned until it is sold. It is transferred from the loans held-for-investment portfolio at the lower of cost or market value, less disposal costs. Management decides whether to rehabilitate the property or sell it "as is" and whether to list the property with a broker. The decrease in reposessed assets from December 31, 2012 to September 30, 2013, was primarily due to a decrease in new reposessed assets and a non-performing loan sale resulting in an additional \$31.8 million reduction during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The following table provides the activity for reposessed assets during each of the past five quarters.

| | Three Months Ended | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | September 30, 2013 | June 30, 2013 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$86,382 | \$114,356 | \$120,732 | \$119,468 | \$107,235 |
| Additions | 12,447 | 15,274 | 30,952 | 35,688 | 41,259 |
| Disposals | (32,299) |) (43,248) |) (37,328) |) (34,424) |) (29,026) |
| Ending balance | \$66,530 | \$86,382 | \$114,356 | \$120,732 | \$119,468 |

Federal Home Loan Bank stock. At September 30, 2013, holdings of Federal Home Loan Bank stock remained unchanged from \$301.7 million at December 31, 2012. Once purchased, Federal Home Loan Bank shares must be held for five years before they can be redeemed. As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank, we are required to hold shares of Federal Home Loan Bank stock in an amount equal to at least 1.0 percent of aggregate unpaid principal balance of our mortgage loans, home purchase contracts and similar obligations at the beginning of each year, or 5.0 percent of our Federal Home Loan Bank advances, whichever is greater.

Premises and equipment. Premises and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation increased \$10.1 million from \$219.1 million at December 31, 2012 to \$229.1 million at September 30, 2013. The increase was primarily due to software implementation and building improvements.

Derivatives. We write and purchase interest rate swaps to accommodate the needs of customers requesting such services. Customer-initiated activity represented 100.0 percent of total interest rate swap contracts at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Customer-initiated trading derivatives are used primarily to focus on providing derivative products to customers that enables them to manage interest rate risk exposure. Market risk from unfavorable movements in interest rates is generally economically hedged by concurrently entering into offsetting derivative contracts resulting in no net exposure to us, outside of counterparty performance. The offsetting derivative contracts generally have nearly identical notional values, terms and indices. See Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein.

The following table provides derivative activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013.

Table of Contents

| | Interest Rate Contracts (Notional Amount) | | | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$138,923 | \$117,293 | \$202,492 | \$64,720 |
| Additions | 19,274 | 57,753 | 33,362 | 111,457 |
| Maturities/amortizations | (3,645 |) (1,395 |) (9,449 |) (2,526 |
| Terminations | — | — | (71,853 |) — |
| Ending balance | \$154,552 | \$173,651 | \$154,552 | \$173,651 |

Other assets. Other assets decreased \$109.0 million from December 31, 2012 to September 30, 2013. This was primarily due to a decrease in accrued interest receivable, a reduction in servicing sales receivables and a decrease in agency loans advances.

Accrued interest receivable, which is included in other assets, decreased \$42.7 million from December 31, 2012 to September 30, 2013 from \$92.0 million to \$49.3 million, respectively. This was primarily due to our interest-earning assets declining by \$2.3 billion to \$9.9 billion at September 30, 2013, as compared to \$12.2 billion at December 31, 2012, primarily due to the sales of commercial and troubled debt restructured loans. We typically collect interest in the month following the month in which it is earned.

Liabilities

Deposits. Our deposits consist of four primary categories: retail deposits, government deposits, wholesale deposits and company controlled deposits. Total deposit accounts decreased \$1.6 billion, or 19.8 percent at September 30, 2013, from December 31, 2012, primarily due to decreases in certificates of deposits and government deposits.

We call on local governmental agencies, and other public units, as an additional source for deposit funding. These deposit accounts include \$228.4 million of certificates of deposit with maturities typically less than one year and \$327.6 million in checking and savings accounts at September 30, 2013.

We generate deposits from our retail banking network and no longer purchase wholesale deposits. Wholesale deposits continued to run-off during the nine ended September 30, 2013 and decreased by \$43.5 million from December 31, 2012.

Company controlled deposits arise due to our servicing of loans for others and represent the portion of the investor custodial accounts on deposit with the Bank. These deposits do not currently bear interest.

We participate in the Certificates of Deposit Account Registry Service ("CDARS") program, through which certain customer certificates of deposit ("CD") are exchanged for CDs of similar amounts from other participating banks. This gives customers the potential to receive FDIC insurance up to \$50.0 million. At September 30, 2013, \$403.2 million of total CDs were enrolled in the CDARS program, with \$386.4 million originating from public entities and \$35.7 million originating from retail customers. In exchange, we received reciprocal CDs from other participating banks totaling \$6.3 million from public entities and \$396.9 million from retail customers at September 30, 2013. We reduced our reliance on CDARS deposits at September 30, 2013, with total CDARS balances declining \$744.7 million from December 31, 2012.

We continue to increase our core deposit accounts and improve our mix of deposits. The overall need for deposit funding declined in the third quarter 2013, consistent with the slow-down in mortgage originations. This has allowed us to run-off higher costing deposits, as we continue to have success in bringing in core checking, savings and money

market accounts.

110

Table of Contents

The composition of our deposits was as follows.

| | September 30, 2013 (Dollars in thousands) | | | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------|----------|
| | Balance | Yield/Rate | % of Deposits | Balance | Yield/Rate | % of Deposits | |
| Retail deposits | | | | | | | |
| Demand accounts | \$721,039 | 0.08 | % 10.8 | % \$681,983 | 0.16 | % 8.2 | % |
| Savings accounts | 2,911,966 | 0.53 | % 43.9 | % 2,108,170 | 0.72 | % 25.4 | % |
| Money market demand accounts | 298,474 | 0.17 | % 4.5 | % 401,853 | 0.41 | % 4.8 | % |
| Certificates of deposit (1) | 1,436,279 | 0.85 | % 21.6 | % 3,175,481 | 0.93 | % 38.3 | % |
| Total retail deposits | 5,367,758 | 0.53 | % 80.8 | % 6,367,487 | 0.74 | % 76.7 | % |
| Government deposits | | | | | | | |
| Demand accounts | 160,881 | 0.28 | % 2.4 | % 98,890 | 0.38 | % 1.2 | % |
| Savings accounts | 166,695 | 0.27 | % 2.5 | % 263,841 | 0.53 | % 3.2 | % |
| Certificates of deposit | 228,378 | 0.33 | % 3.4 | % 456,347 | 0.57 | % 5.5 | % |
| Total government deposits (2) | 555,954 | 0.30 | % 8.3 | % 819,078 | 0.53 | % 9.9 | % |
| Wholesale deposits | 55,881 | 4.75 | % 0.8 | % 99,338 | 4.41 | % 1.2 | % |
| Company controlled deposits (3) | 669,692 | — | % 10.1 | % 1,008,392 | — | % 12.2 | % |
| Total deposits (4) | \$6,649,285 | 0.50 | % 100.0 | % \$8,294,295 | 0.68 | % 100.0 | % |

(1) The aggregate amount of certificates of deposit with a minimum denomination of \$100,000 was approximately \$1.0 billion and \$2.3 billion at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(2) Government deposits include funds from municipalities and schools.

(3) These accounts represent a portion of the investor custodial accounts and escrows controlled by us in connection with loans serviced for others and that have been placed on deposit with the Bank.

(4) The aggregate amount of deposits with a balance over \$250,000 was approximately \$1.7 billion and \$1.9 billion at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Federal Home Loan Bank advances decreased \$0.3 billion to \$2.9 billion at September 30, 2013 from December 31, 2012. We rely upon advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank as a source of funding for the origination or purchase of loans for sale in the secondary market and for providing duration specific short-term and medium-term financing. The outstanding balance of Federal Home Loan Bank advances fluctuates from time to time depending on our current inventory of mortgage loans held-for-sale and the availability of lower cost funding sources. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we had an increase in funds available from other sources, including proceeds from the sale of commercial and residential first mortgage non-performing and TDR loans, which reduced the need for the short-term borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank.

Long-term debt. As part of our overall capital strategy, we previously raised capital through the issuance of trust-preferred securities by our special purpose financing entities formed for the offerings. The outstanding trust preferred securities mature 30 years from issuance, are callable by us after five years, and pay interest quarterly. Under these trust preferred arrangements, we have the right to defer interest payments to the trust preferred security holders for up to five years.

On January 27, 2012, we provided notice to holders of the trust preferred securities exercising the contractual right to defer regularly scheduled quarterly payments of interest, beginning with the February 2012 payment, with respect to trust preferred securities. Under the terms of the related indentures, we may defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive quarters without default or penalty. These payments will be periodically evaluated and reinstated when appropriate, subject to provisions of the Consent Order and Supervisory Agreement.

As of June 30, 2013, following the Assured Settlement Agreement, we re consolidated the debt associated with the HELOC securitizations at fair value. We were determined to be the primary beneficiary of VIEs associated with HELOC securitizations which are consolidated in the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein. We acquired all remaining HELOC loans, the proceeds of which were used by the trust to repay outstanding debt. The total fair value of the VIE long-term debt is \$113.0 million as of September 30, 2013.

Representation and warranty reserve We sell most of the residential first mortgage loans that we originate into the secondary mortgage market. When we sell mortgage loans, we make customary representations and warranties to the purchasers, including sponsored securitization trusts and their insurers (primarily Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac), about various characteristics of each loan, such as the manner of origination, the nature and extent of underwriting standards applied and the

Table of Contents

types of documentation being provided. Typically, these representations and warranties are in place for the life of the loan. If a defect in the origination process is identified, we may be required to either repurchase the loan or indemnify the purchaser for losses it sustains on the loan. If there are no such defects, generally we have no liability to the purchaser for losses it may incur on such loan.

We maintain a representation and warranty reserve to account for the expected losses related to loans we might be required to repurchase (or the indemnity payments we may have to make to purchasers). The representation and warranty reserve takes into account both our estimate of expected losses on loans sold during the current accounting period, as well as adjustments to our previous estimates of expected losses on loans sold. In each case, these estimates are based on the most recent data available to us, including data from third parties, regarding demands for loan repurchases, actual loan repurchases, and actual credit losses on repurchased loans, among other factors. Provisions added to the representation and warranty reserve for current loan sales reduce our net gain on loan sales. Adjustments to our previous estimates are recorded under non-interest income in the income statement as an increase or decrease to representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate.

During the third quarter of 2013, we made enhancements to the assumptions of the repurchase and makewhole putback mix based on recent behavior of the GSEs. In addition, we made enhancements to the loss severity rate assumptions for post 2008 vintages by taking into account HPI data as published by the FHFA to update LTVs along with leveraging data from the most recent 12 month of repossessed asset sales. The enhancements resulted in a net decrease in the representation and warranty reserve of \$11.0 million.

Activity in the representation and warranty reserve during the last five quarters is provided in the table below.

| | Three Months Ended | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | September 30, 2013 | June 30, 2013 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
| | (Dollar in thousands) | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$185,000 | \$185,000 | \$193,000 | \$202,000 | \$161,000 |
| Provision for new loans sales | 3,719 | 5,052 | 5,817 | 7,285 | 6,432 |
| Provision adjustment for previous estimates | 5,205 | 28,941 | 17,396 | 25,231 | 124,492 |
| Charge-offs, net of recoveries | (19,924) | (33,993) | (31,213) | (41,516) | (89,924) |
| Ending balance | \$174,000 | \$185,000 | \$185,000 | \$193,000 | \$202,000 |

A significant factor in the estimate of expected losses is the activity of the GSEs, including the number of loan files they review or intend to review, the number of subsequent repurchase demands made by the GSEs and the percentage of those repurchase demands that actually result in a repurchase by the Bank. The majority of our loan sales have been to GSEs, which are a significant source of our current repurchase demands. These demands are concentrated in the post-2006 and pre-2009 origination years. While we have an established history of GSE demands, this pattern has recently changed, becoming more volatile in the level of demands from period to period and also increasing in the number of demands overall, thereby increasing our loss estimates.

The following table summarizes the amount of quarterly Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac audit file review requests by number of accounts. Such requests precede the repurchase demands that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac may make thereafter.

| | Three Months Ended | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | September 30, 2013 | June 30, 2013 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
| Fannie Mae | 2,105 | 3,765 | 2,572 | 1,659 | 1,224 |
| Freddie Mac | 1,687 | 742 | 803 | 1,595 | 1,664 |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Total | 3,792 | 4,507 | 3,375 | 3,254 | 2,888 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we had \$361.6 million in Fannie Mae new repurchase demands and \$166.9 million in Freddie Mac new repurchase demands. The following table summarizes the amount of quarterly new repurchase demands we have received by loan origination year.

112

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | September 30, 2013 | June 30, 2013 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| 2005 and prior | \$26,497 | \$21,666 | \$22,926 | \$13,927 | \$15,649 |
| 2006 | 22,647 | 22,448 | 27,945 | 31,851 | 23,462 |
| 2007 | 42,827 | 64,433 | 120,478 | 84,612 | 113,280 |
| 2008 | 22,102 | 27,493 | 52,461 | 38,048 | 57,230 |
| 2009-2013 | 12,509 | 16,484 | 25,574 | 34,509 | 49,767 |
| Total | \$126,582 | \$152,524 | \$249,384 | \$202,947 | \$259,388 |
| Number of accounts | 804 | 800 | 1,239 | 1,025 | 1,316 |

The following table summarizes the aggregate amount of pending repurchase demands at the end of each quarterly period noted.

| | Three Months Ended | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | September 30, 2013 | June 30, 2013 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Period end balance | \$155,159 | \$114,965 | \$186,970 | \$224,182 | \$425,570 |
| Percent non-agency (approximately) | 0.7 | % 1.6 | % 0.2 | % 0.3 | % 0.1 |

The following table summarizes the trends with respect to key model attributes and assumptions for estimating the representation and warranty reserve.

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|---|
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | | |
| Unpaid principal balance of loans sold (1) | \$239,100,000 | \$215,000,000 | |
| Loan file review as percentage of unpaid principal balance | 14.2 | % 12.5 | % |
| Repurchase demand rate | 12.3 | % 14.4 | % |
| Actual repurchase rate (2) | 40.5 | % 38.6 | % |
| Loss severity rate (2) | 23.8 | % 35.0 | % |

(1) Includes servicing sold with recourse.

(2) Weighted average of the appeals loss rate. See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Other liabilities. Other liabilities primarily consist of a reserve for possible contingent liabilities, undisbursed payments, escrow accounts, forward agency and derivative liability and the Ginnie Mae liability resulting from the recognition of our unilateral right to repurchase certain mortgage loans currently included in Ginnie Mae securities. Other liabilities decreased at September 30, 2013, from December 31, 2012, primarily due to a \$283.0 million decrease in undisbursed payments liability from \$365.2 million at December 31, 2012 to \$82.2 million at September 30, 2013. These amounts represent payments received from borrowers for interest, principal and related loan charges which have not been remitted to investors. The Ginnie Mae liability totaled \$15.4 million and \$72.4 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. These amounts are for certain loans sold to Ginnie Mae, as to which we have not yet repurchased, but have the unilateral right to do so. With respect to such loans sold to Ginnie Mae, a corresponding asset was included in loans held-for-sale. Escrow accounts totaled \$51.2 million and \$39.7 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Escrow accounts are maintained on behalf of mortgage customers and include funds collected for real estate taxes, homeowners insurance and other

insured product liabilities. For further information on our loans held-for-sale, see Note 5 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Other liabilities also included an accrual for possible contingent liabilities. As of September 30, 2013, our total accrual for contingent liabilities was \$31.7 million, which decreased from December 31, 2012, primarily due to the Litigation Settlements with MBIA and Assured. See Note 19 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements, herein.

Table of Contents

Capital Resources and Liquidity

Our principal uses of funds include loan originations and operating expenses. At September 30, 2013, we had outstanding rate-lock commitments to lend \$3.4 billion in mortgage loans, compared to \$6.6 billion at December 31, 2012. These commitments may expire without being drawn upon and therefore, do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Total commercial and consumer unused collateralized lines of credit totaled \$1.9 billion at September 30, 2013 and \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2012.

Capital. We had net income of \$100.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. We did not pay any cash dividends on our common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 or during the year ended December 31, 2012. On February 19, 2008, our board of directors suspended future dividends payable on our common stock. Under the capital distribution regulations, a savings bank that is a subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company must either notify or seek approval from the OCC of an association capital distribution at least 30 days prior to the declaration of a dividend or the approval by our board of directors of the proposed capital distribution. The 30-day period allows the OCC to determine whether or not the distribution would not be advisable. Because we are under the Consent Order, we currently must seek approval from the OCC prior to making a capital distribution from the Bank. In addition, under the Supervisory Agreement, the Company agreed to request prior non-objection of the Federal Reserve to pay dividends or other capital distributions.

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

At September 30, 2013, the Bank was considered "well-capitalized" for regulatory purposes. The following table shows the regulatory capital ratios as of the dates indicated. These ratios are applicable to the Bank only.

| | September 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | September 30, 2012 | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|---|--|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | | |
| Tier 1 leverage (to adjusted tangible assets) | \$ 1,402,423 | 11.98 | % \$ 1,295,841 | 9.26 | % \$ 1,379,701 | 9.31 | % | |
| Total adjusted tangible asset base (1) | \$ 11,708,635 | | \$ 13,999,636 | | \$ 14,819,100 | | | |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets) | \$ 1,402,423 | 26.57 | % \$ 1,295,841 | 15.90 | % \$ 1,379,701 | 16.31 | % | |
| Total capital (to risk weighted assets) | 1,470,060 | 27.85 | % 1,400,126 | 17.18 | % 1,487,851 | 17.58 | % | |
| Risk weighted asset base (1) | \$ 5,278,254 | | \$ 8,146,771 | | \$ 8,461,130 | | | |

(1) Based on adjusted total assets for purposes of core capital and risk-weighted assets for purposes of total risk-based capital.

Liquidity. Liquidity measures the ability to meet current and future cash flow needs as they become due. The liquidity of a financial institution reflects its ability to meet loan requests, to accommodate possible outflows in deposits and to take advantage of interest rates and market opportunities. The ability of a financial institution to meet current financial obligations is a function of the balance sheet structure, the ability to liquidate assets, and the access to various sources of funds.

We primarily originate agency eligible loans and therefore the majority of new residential first mortgage loan originations are readily convertible to cash, either by selling them as part of our monthly agency sales, private party

whole loan sales, or by pledging them to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis and borrowing against them. We use the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis as our primary source for funding our residential mortgage business due to its flexibility in terms of being able to borrow or repay borrowings as daily cash needs require. We have been successful in increasing the amount of assets that qualify as eligible collateral at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis and continue to review such opportunities on an on-going basis. Adding eligible collateral pools gives us added capacity and flexibility to manage our funding requirements.

The amount we can borrow, or the value we receive for the assets pledged to our liquidity providers, varies based on the amount and type of pledged collateral as well as the perceived market value of the assets and the "haircut" off the market value of the assets. That value is sensitive to the pricing and policies of our liquidity providers and can change with little or no notice.

In addition to operating expenses at a particular level of mortgage originations, our cash flows are fairly predictable and relate primarily to the funding cash outflows of residential first mortgages and the securitization and sales cash inflows of

Table of Contents

those residential first mortgages. Our mortgage warehouse funding line of business also generates cash flows as funds are extended to correspondent relationships to close new loans. Those loans are repaid when the correspondent sells the loan. Other material cash flows relate to growing our commercial lines of business and the loans we service for others (primarily the GSEs) and consist primarily of principal, interest, taxes and insurance. Those monies come in over the course of the month and are paid out based on predetermined schedules. Those flows are largely a function of the size of the servicing book and the volume of refinancing activity of the loans serviced. In general, monies received in one month are paid during the following month with the exception of taxes and insurance monies that are held until such are due.

As governed and defined by our internal liquidity policy, we maintain adequate excess liquidity levels appropriate to cover both unanticipated operational and regulatory requirements. In addition to this standby liquidity, we also maintain targeted minimum levels of unused borrowing capacity as an additional cushion against unexpected liquidity needs. Each business day, we forecast 90 days of daily cash needs. This allows us to determine our projected near term daily cash fluctuations and also to plan and adjust, if necessary, future activities. As a result, we would be able to make adjustments to operations as required to meet the liquidity needs of our business, including adjusting deposit rates to increase deposits, planning for additional Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings, accelerating sales of loans held-for-sale (GSEs and or private), selling loans held-for-investment or securities, borrowing through the use of repurchase agreements, reducing originations, making changes to warehouse funding facilities, or borrowing from the discount window.

Our liquidity position is continuously monitored and adjustments are made to the balance between sources and uses of funds as deemed appropriate. Management is not aware of any events that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, capital resources or operations.

Borrowings. The Federal Home Loan Bank provides loans, also referred to as advances, on a fully collateralized basis, to savings banks and other member financial institutions. We are currently authorized through a resolution of our board of directors to apply for advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank using approved loan types as collateral. At September 30, 2013, we had an authorized line of credit of \$7.0 billion that could be utilized to the extent we provide sufficient collateral. At September 30, 2013, we had available collateral sufficient to access \$3.3 billion of the line and as to which we had \$2.9 billion of advances outstanding.

We have arrangements with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago to borrow as appropriate from its discount window. The discount window is a borrowing facility that is intended to be used only for short-term liquidity needs arising from special or unusual circumstances. The amount we are allowed to borrow is based on the lendable value of the collateral that we provide. To collateralize the line, we pledge commercial and industrial loans that are eligible based on Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago guidelines. At September 30, 2013, we had pledged commercial and industrial loans amounting to \$41.0 million with a lendable value of \$23.9 million. At December 31, 2012, we had pledged commercial and industrial loans amounting to \$122.1 million with a lendable value of \$77.9 million. The decrease in the available loan collateral was due to the commercial loan sales during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had no borrowings outstanding against this line of credit.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

Various elements of our accounting policies, by their nature, are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. Certain accounting policies that, due to the judgment, estimates and assumptions inherent in those policies are critical to an understanding of our Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein. These policies relate to: (a) fair value measurements; (b) the determination of our allowance for loan losses; (c) the determination of our representation and warranty reserve; and (d) the determination of the accrual for pending and threatened litigation. We believe the judgment, estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein, are appropriate given the factual circumstances at the time. However, given the sensitivity of our Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein, to these critical accounting policies, the use of other judgments, estimates and assumptions could result in material differences in our results of operations and/or financial condition. For further information on our critical accounting policies, please refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which is available on our website, www.flagstar.com, under the Investor Relations section, or on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission, at www.sec.gov.

Variable Interest Entities

As a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement, we elected the fair value option for the assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs related to the HELOC securitizations. This option is generally elected for newly consolidated VIEs for which predominantly all of our interests, prior to consolidation, are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recorded to earnings. Accordingly, such an election allows us to continue fair value accounting through earnings for those interests and eliminate income statement mismatch otherwise caused by differences in the measurement basis of the consolidated VIEs assets and liabilities.

Consolidated VIEs at September 30, 2013 consisted of the HELOC securitization trusts formed in 2005 and 2006. We have determined the trusts are VIEs and has concluded that we are the primary beneficiary of these trusts because we have the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance and has either the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The change in the consolidated VIE was a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement. A VIE is an entity that lacks equity investors or whose equity investors do not have a controlling financial interest in the entity through their equity investments. The entity that has a controlling financial interest in a VIE is referred to as the primary beneficiary and consolidates the VIE. On a quarterly basis, we will reassesses whether we have a controlling financial interest in and is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. The quarterly reassessment process considers whether we have acquired or divested the power to direct the activities of the VIE through changes in governing documents or other circumstances.

The reassessment also considers whether we have acquired or disposed of a financial interest that could be significant to the VIE, or whether an interest in the VIE has become significant or is no longer significant. The consolidation status of the VIEs with which we are involved may change as a result of such reassessments. Changes in consolidation status are applied prospectively, with assets and liabilities of a newly consolidated VIE initially recorded at fair value. A gain or loss may be recognized upon deconsolidation of a VIE depending on the carrying amounts of deconsolidated assets and liabilities compared to the fair value of retained interests and ongoing contractual arrangements.

Table of Contents

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

In addition to results presented in accordance with GAAP, this report includes non-GAAP financial measures such as pre-tax pre-credit-cost income, the efficiency ratio and the ratio of total non-performing assets to Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets) and general reserves. We believe these non-GAAP financial measures provide additional information that is useful to investors in helping to understand the underlying performance and trends of our unique business model. Such measures also help investors to facilitate performance comparisons and benchmarks with other bank and thrift peers in our industry.

Non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations, which are not required to be uniformly applied and are not audited. Readers should be aware of these limitations and should be cautious with respect to the use of such measures. To mitigate these limitations, we have practices in place to ensure that these measures are calculated using the appropriate GAAP or regulatory components in their entirety and to ensure that our performance is properly reflected to facilitate consistent period-to-period comparisons. Although we believe the non-GAAP financial measures disclosed in this report enhance investors' understanding of our business and performance, these non-GAAP measures should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for those financial measures prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Pre-tax pre-credit-cost income. Pre-tax pre-credit-cost income, as defined by our management, represents net income before taxes, and excludes credit related expenses (defined by management as provision for loan losses, asset resolution expense, other than temporary impairment, representation and warranty reserve provision and the write down of residual and transferors' interest). While these items represent an integral part of our banking operations, in each case, the excluded items are items that management believes are particularly impacted or increased due to economic stress or significant changes in the credit cycle and are therefore likely to make it more difficult to understand our underlying performance trends and our ability to generate income from our Community Banking and Mortgage Banking segments. Net interest income, non-interest income and non-interest expense are all calculated in accordance with GAAP and are presented in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein. Net income is adjusted only for the specific items listed above in the calculation of pre-tax pre-credit-cost income, and these adjustments represent the excluded items in their entirety for each period presented to better facilitate period to period comparisons.

Viewed together with our GAAP results, management believes pre-tax pre-credit cost income provides investors and stakeholders with a functional measurement to evaluate and better understand trends in our period to period ability to generate income and capital to offset credit related expenses, in each case exclusive of the effects of past and current economic stress and the credit cycle. As recent results for the banking industry demonstrate, provisions for loan losses, increases in representation and warranty reserve, asset impairments and mark-downs and expenses related to the resolution and disposition of assets can vary significantly from period to period, making a measure that helps isolate the impact of those credit related expenses on profitability integral to helping investors understand the business model. The "Asset Resolution," "Quality of Earning Assets," and "Representation and Warranty Reserve" sections of this report isolate the different credit quality challenges and issues and the impact of the associated credit related expenses on our income statement.

Like all non-GAAP measurements, pre-tax pre-credit-cost income usefulness is inherently limited. Because our calculation of pre-tax pre-credit-cost income may differ from the calculation of similar measures used by other bank and thrift holding companies, pre-tax pre-credit-cost income should be used to determine and evaluate period to period trends in our performance, rather than in comparison to other similar non-GAAP measurements utilized by other companies. In addition, investors should keep in mind that income tax expense (benefit), the provision for loan losses, and the other items excluded from income and expenses in the pre-tax pre-credit cost income calculation are recurring and integral expenses to our operations, and that these expenses will still accrue under GAAP, thereby reducing

GAAP earnings and, ultimately, stockholders' equity.

Efficiency ratio and efficiency ratio (credit-adjusted). The efficiency ratio, which generally measures the productivity of a bank, is calculated as non-interest expense divided by total operating income. Total operating income includes net interest income and total non-interest income. Management utilizes the efficiency ratio to monitor its own productivity and believes the ratio provides investors with a meaningful tool to monitor period to period productivity trends.

Under the efficiency ratio (credit adjusted), non-interest expense (GAAP) is presented excluding asset resolution expense to arrive at adjusted non-interest expense (non-GAAP), which is the numerator for the efficiency ratio. Non-interest income (GAAP) is presented excluding representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate to arrive at adjusted non-interest income (non-GAAP), which is included in the denominator for the efficiency ratio. As the provision for loan losses is already excluded by the ratio's own definition, we believe that the exclusion of asset resolution expense and representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate provides investors with a more complete picture of our productivity and ability to generate operating income. The efficiency ratio (credit adjusted) provides investors with a meaningful base for period to period

117

Table of Contents

comparisons, which management believes will assist investors in analyzing our operating results and predicting future performance. These non-GAAP financial measures are also utilized internally by management to assess the performance of our own business.

Our calculations of the efficiency ratio may differ from the calculation of similar measures used by other bank and thrift holding companies, and should be used to determine and evaluate period to period trends in our performance, rather than in comparison to other similar non-GAAP measurements utilized by other companies. In addition, investors should keep in mind that the items excluded from income and expenses in the efficiency ratio (credit adjusted) are recurring and integral expenses to our operations, and that these expenses will still accrue under similar GAAP measures.

Non-performing assets / Tier 1 + Allowance for Loan Losses. The ratio of non-performing assets to Tier 1 and allowance for loan losses divides the total level of non-performing assets held for investment by Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets), as defined by bank regulations, plus allowance for loan losses. We believe these measurements are meaningful measures of capital adequacy used by investors, regulators, management and others to evaluate the adequacy of capital in comparison to other companies within the industry.

Mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital ratio. The ratio of mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital divides the total mortgage servicing rights by Tier 1 capital, as defined by bank regulations. We believe these measurements are meaningful measures of capital adequacy, especially in relation to the level of our mortgage servicing rights. This ratio allows our investors, regulators, management and other parties to measure the adequacy and quality of our mortgage servicing rights and capital, in comparison to other companies within our industry.

Table of Contents

The following table displays the calculation for the non-GAAP measures.

| Non-GAAP Reconciliation (Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited) | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | Three Months Ended | | | | Nine Months Ended | | | |
| | September 30, 2013 | June 30, 2013 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 | September 30, 2013 | September 30, 2012 | |
| Pre-tax, pre-credit-cost income | | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) before tax provision | \$ 14,492 | \$ 61,095 | \$ 23,607 | \$ (88,577) | \$ 60,730 | \$ 99,194 | \$ 141,307 | |
| Add back | | | | | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,053 | 31,563 | 20,415 | 50,351 | 52,595 | 56,030 | 225,696 | |
| Asset resolution | 16,295 | 15,921 | 16,445 | 21,241 | 12,487 | 48,661 | 70,108 | |
| Other than temporary impairment on available-for-sale investments | — | 8,789 | — | — | — | 8,789 | 2,192 | |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate | 5,205 | 28,940 | 17,395 | 25,231 | 124,492 | 51,541 | 231,058 | |
| Write down of transferors' interest | — | — | 174 | 780 | 118 | 174 | 1,771 | |
| Total credit-related-costs | \$ 25,553 | \$ 85,213 | \$ 54,429 | \$ 97,603 | \$ 189,692 | \$ 165,195 | \$ 530,825 | |
| Pre-tax, pre-credit-cost income | \$ 40,045 | \$ 146,308 | \$ 78,036 | \$ 9,026 | \$ 250,422 | \$ 264,389 | \$ 672,132 | |
| Efficiency ratio (credit-adjusted) | | | | | | | | |
| Net interest income (a) | \$ 42,685 | \$ 47,096 | \$ 55,669 | \$ 73,941 | \$ 73,079 | \$ 145,448 | \$ 223,290 | |
| Non-interest income (b) | 134,296 | 219,959 | 184,943 | 285,795 | 273,737 | 539,198 | 735,448 | |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate (c) | 5,205 | 28,940 | 17,395 | 25,231 | 124,492 | 51,541 | 231,058 | |
| Adjusted income | \$ 182,186 | \$ 295,995 | \$ 258,007 | \$ 384,967 | \$ 471,308 | \$ 736,187 | \$ 1,189,796 | |
| Non-interest expense (d) | 158,436 | 174,397 | 196,590 | 397,962 | 233,491 | 529,422 | 591,735 | |
| Asset resolution expense (e) | (16,295) | (15,921) | (16,445) | (21,241) | (12,487) | (48,661) | (70,108) | |
| Adjusted non-interest expense | \$ 142,141 | \$ 158,476 | \$ 180,145 | \$ 376,721 | \$ 221,004 | \$ 480,761 | \$ 521,627 | |
| Efficiency ratio (d/(a+b)) | 89.5 | % 65.3 | % 81.7 | % 110.6 | % 67.3 | % 77.3 | % 61.7 | % |
| | 78.0 | % 53.5 | % 69.8 | % 97.9 | % 46.9 | % 65.3 | % 43.8 | % |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Efficiency ratio
(credit-adjusted)
((d-e)/((a+b)+c))

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Non-performing assets / Tier 1 capital + allowance for loan losses | | | | |
| Non-performing assets | \$205,334 | \$520,558 | \$518,416 | |
| Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets) (1) | 1,402,423 | 1,295,841 | 1,379,701 | |
| Allowance for loan losses | 207,000 | 305,000 | 305,000 | |
| Tier 1 capital + allowance for loan losses | \$1,609,423 | \$1,600,841 | \$1,684,701 | |
| Non-performing assets / Tier 1 capital + allowance for loan losses | 12.8 | % 32.5 | % 30.8 | % |
| Mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital ratio | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 | |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 797,029 | 710,791 | 686,799 | |
| Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets) (1) | 1,402,423 | 1,295,841 | 1,379,701 | |
| Mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital ratio | 56.8 | % 54.9 | % 49.8 | % |
| (1) Represents Tier 1 capital for the Bank. | | | | |

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments due to changes in interest rates, currency exchange rates, or equity prices. We do not have any material foreign currency exchange risk or equity price risk. The primary market risk is interest rate risk and results from timing differences in the repricing of our assets and liabilities, changes in the relationships between rate indices, and the potential exercise of explicit or embedded options.

Interest rate risk is managed by the asset liability committee ("ALCO"), which is composed of several of our executive officers and other members of management, in accordance with policies approved by our board of directors. The ALCO formulates strategies based on appropriate levels of interest rate risk. In determining the appropriate level of interest rate risk, the ALCO considers the impact projected interest rate scenarios have on earnings and capital, liquidity, business strategies, and other factors. The ALCO meets monthly or as deemed necessary to review, among other things, the sensitivity of assets and liabilities to interest rate changes, the book and fair values of assets and liabilities, unrealized gains and losses, purchase and sale activity, loans held-for-sale and commitments to originate loans, and the maturities of investments, borrowings and time deposits.

Financial instruments used to manage interest rate risk include financial derivative products such as interest rate swaps and forward sales commitments. Further discussion of the use of and the accounting for derivative instruments is included in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1 Financial Statements, herein. All of our derivatives are accounted for at fair market value. All mortgage loan production originated for sale is accounted for on a fair value basis.

To effectively measure and manage interest rate risk, sensitivity analysis is used to determine the impact on net market value of various interest rate scenarios, balance sheet trends, and strategies. From these simulations, interest rate risk is quantified and appropriate strategies are developed and implemented. Additionally, duration and net interest income sensitivity measures are utilized when they provide added value to the overall interest rate risk management process. The overall interest rate risk position and strategies are reviewed by executive management and the board of directors on an ongoing basis. Business is traditionally managed to reduce overall exposure to changes in interest rates. However, management has the latitude to increase interest rate sensitivity position within certain limits if, in management's judgment, the increase will enhance profitability.

Net Portfolio Value ("NPV") analysis provides a fair value of the balance sheet in alternative interest rate scenarios. The NPV does not take into account management intervention and assumes the new rate environment is constant and the change is instantaneous.

The following table is a summary of the changes in our NPV that are projected to result from hypothetical changes in market interest rates. NPV is the market value of assets, less the market value of liabilities, adjusted for the market value of off balance sheet instruments. The interest rate scenarios presented in the table include interest rates at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and as adjusted by instantaneous parallel rate changes upward to 300 basis points and downward to 100 basis points. The scenarios are not comparable due to differences in the interest rate environments, including the absolute level of rates and the shape of the yield curve. Each rate scenario reflects unique prepayment, repricing, and reinvestment assumptions. Management derives these assumptions by considering published market prepayment expectations, the repricing characteristics of individual instruments or groups of similar instruments, our historical experience, and our asset and liability management strategy. Further, this analysis assumes that certain instruments would not be affected by the changes in interest rates or would be partially affected due to the characteristics of the instruments.

This analysis is based on our interest rate exposure at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and does not contemplate any actions that we might undertake in response to changes in market interest rates, which could impact NPV. Further, as this framework evaluates risks to the current statement of financial condition only, changes to the volumes and pricing of new business opportunities that can be expected in the different interest rate outcomes are not incorporated in this analytical framework. For instance, analysis of our history suggests that declining interest rate levels are associated with higher loan production volumes at higher levels of profitability. While this "natural business hedge" historically offset most, if not all, of the identified risks associated with declining interest rate scenarios, these factors fall outside of the NPV framework. Further, there can be no assurance that this natural business hedge would positively affect the net portfolio value in the same manner and to the same extent as in the past.

There are limitations inherent in any methodology used to estimate the exposure to changes in market interest rates. It is not possible to fully model the market risk in instruments with leverage, option, or prepayment risks. Also, we are affected by basis risk, which is the difference in repricing characteristics of similar term rate indices. As such, this analysis is not intended to be a precise forecast of the effect a change in market interest rates would have on us.

Table of Contents

While each analysis involves a static model approach to a dynamic operation, the NPV model is the preferred method. If NPV increases in any interest rate scenario, that would indicate an increasing direction for the margin in that hypothetical rate scenario. A perfectly matched balance sheet would possess no change in the NPV, no matter what the rate scenario. The following table presents the NPV in the stated interest rate scenarios (dollars in millions).

| September 30, 2013 | | | | | December 31, 2012 | | | | |
|--------------------|---------|------|-----------|----------|-------------------|---------|------|------------|----------|
| Scenario | NPV | NPV% | \$ Change | % Change | Scenario | NPV | NPV% | \$ Change | % Change |
| 300 | \$1,207 | 11.0 | % \$38 | 3.3 | % 300 | \$1,006 | 7.5 | % \$(223) | (18.1)% |
| 200 | \$1,219 | 10.9 | % \$50 | 4.3 | % 200 | \$1,139 | 8.4 | % \$(91) | (7.4)% |
| 100 | \$1,214 | 10.7 | % \$45 | 3.9 | % 100 | \$1,228 | 8.9 | % \$(2) | — % |
| Current | \$1,169 | 10.1 | % \$— | — | % Current | \$1,230 | 8.8 | % \$— | — % |
| (100) | \$1,111 | 9.5 | % \$(58) | (5.0)% | (100) | \$1,150 | 8.3 | % \$(80) | (6.5)% |

Our balance sheet exhibits minimal sensitivity for fairly small rate movements with a slightly positive benefit for a 100 basis point increase. The positive effect generally arises because the amount of assets that would be expected to re-price in the near term would exceed the amount of liabilities that could similarly re-price over the same time period because such liabilities may have longer maturities or re-pricing terms. However, the negative convexity of our balance sheet takes over as interest rates continue to rise. The amount of price sensitivity tends to decrease as rates rise and increase as rates fall. Negative convexity is a measure of the sensitivity of the duration to changes in interest rates.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. A review and evaluation was performed by our principal executive and financial officers regarding the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2013 (a) pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based on that review and evaluation, the principal executive and financial officers have concluded that our current disclosure controls and procedures, as designed and implemented, are operating effectively.

Changes in Internal Controls. During the quarter ended September 30, 2013, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. (b)

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, the Company is party to legal proceedings incident to its business. See Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1 Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in response to Item 1A to Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Sale of Unregistered Securities

The Company made no sales of unregistered securities during the quarter ended September 30, 2013.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company made no purchases of its equity securities during the quarter ended September 30, 2013.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

The Company had no defaults on senior securities.

The following sets forth arrearage of the payment of dividends on preferred stock.

Under the terms of the Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C (the "Series C Preferred Stock") the Company may defer payments of dividends. Beginning with the February 2012 payment, the Company has exercised its contractual right to defer regularly scheduled quarterly payments of dividends on Series C Preferred Stock, and is therefore currently in arrears with the dividend payments. As of September 30, 2013, the amount of the arrearage on the dividend payments of the Series C Preferred Stock was \$26.1 million.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No. Description

31.1 Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

31.2 Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

32.1 Section 906 Certification, as furnished by the Chief Executive Officer

32.2 Section 906 Certification, as furnished by the Chief Financial Officer

101 Financial statements from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FLAGSTAR BANCORP, INC.
Registrant

Date: October 30, 2013

/s/ Alessandro DiNello
Alessandro DiNello
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Paul D. Borja
Paul D. Borja
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|--|
| 31.1 | Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2 | Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| 32.1 | Section 906 Certification, as furnished by the Chief Executive Officer |
| 32.2 | Section 906 Certification, as furnished by the Chief Financial Officer |
| 101 | Financial statements from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. |