

USCORP  
Form 10-K  
January 16, 2013

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended  
 September 30, 2012

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period  
from \_\_\_ to \_\_\_

Commission File Number: 000-19061

**USCORP**

(Exact name of the Company as specified in its charter)

Nevada 87-0403330  
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer  
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

4535 W. Sahara Ave. Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89102

(Address of principal executive offices)

(702) 933-4034

(The Company's telephone number, including area code)

Securities  
registered  
pursuant  
to Section  
12(b) of  
the Act:

Title of Each Class	Names of each exchange on which registered
None	None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Shares, \$0.01 Par Value

Edgar Filing: USCORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the Company (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Company was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of The Company's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a small reporting company.

Large Accelerated Filer  Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer  Small Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the Company is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

State the issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year. \$0.0

State the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the stock was sold, or the average bid and asked price of such stock, as of a specified date within the past 60 days. As of January 9, 2013, the value of such stock was \$2,643,897. Shares of common stock held by each executive officer and director and by certain persons who own 5% or more of the outstanding common stock have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

Number of shares outstanding of Issuer's class A common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding on September 30, 2012: 324,009,052 shares. Number of shares outstanding of Issuer's class B common stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding on September 30, 2012: 5,060,500 shares.

USCORP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. Business	1
Item 1A. Risk Factors	15
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	17
Item 2. Properties	17
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	17
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	17
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	18
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	19
Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	24
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	46
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	46
Item 9B. Other Information	47
PART III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	47
Item 11. Executive Compensation	49
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	50
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	52
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	52
PART IV	
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	53



## **PART I**

### **ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS**

#### **BACKGROUND**

USCorp (hereafter, the “Company”, “we” and “our” refer to USCorp) was formed in May 1989 in the state of Nevada as The Movie Greats Network, Inc. In August 1992, the Company changed its name to The Program Entertainment Group, Inc. In August 1997, the Company changed its name to Santa Maria Resources, Inc. In September 2000, the Company changed its name to Fantasticon, Inc. and in January 2002 the Company changed its name to USCorp.

In April 2002, the Company acquired USMetals, Inc. (“USMetals”), a Nevada corporation, and holder of 141 unpatented lode mining claims by issuing 24,200,000 shares of Company Class A Common Stock in exchange for all of the then issued and outstanding shares of USMetals. USMetals became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. On March 22, 2011 USCorp through its wholly owned subsidiary USMetals entered into an Asset Funding/Operation and Shareholders Agreement with Arizona Gold Corp., a private British Columbia Corporation (“AGC”) and its wholly owned subsidiary, AGC Corp, a private Arizona company (“AGCAZ”), providing for the sale of USMetals’ 172 Arizona mining claims known as the Twin Peaks Project (the “Twin Peaks Project”) to AGCAZ in exchange for 90,200,000 shares or 61.34% of AGC’s common stock (the “Transaction”). The Twin Peaks Project now consists of 268 Lode and 8 Placer Claims. The Agreement was subsequently unwound and USMetals become the 100% owner of AGC through the issuance of the shares of USCORP shares of Common A stock. As part of this transaction the “serendipity claims” became fully owned by USmetals.

As reported on Form 8-K on October 1, 2012 the above referenced Agreement with Arizona Gold Corp., a private British Columbia Corporation (“AGC”) and its wholly owned subsidiary, AGC Corp, a private Arizona company (“AGCAZ”) was unwound and as a result AGC Corp, a private Arizona corporation in whose name the Twin Peaks Project claims are held, became a wholly owned (100%) subsidiary of USMetals, Inc., which is a wholly owned (100%) subsidiary of USCorp; All of the Twin Peaks Project Claims are 100% under USMetals’ control and therefore under USCorp’s control; All remaining assets of AGC Corp have been transferred to USMetals, in exchange for shares of USCorp; All AGC Corp shareholders are now shareholders of USCorp; and Arizona Gold Corp, AGC Corp’s parent, will be dissolved in the future.

Southwest Resource Development, Inc. (“Southwest”) was formed and organized under the laws of the State of Nevada on April 3, 2004 as a wholly owned subsidiary of USCorp. On or about May 29, 2004, Southwest acquired 8 lode and

21 placer mining claims (the “Mining Claims”) in Imperial County, California. Since being formed by USCorp Southwest has added additional claims for a total of 200 lode and placer claims that we refer to as the Picacho Salton Project.

Both USMetals and Southwest have acquired additional mining claims since being acquired and USCorp has performed significant exploration work, including the completion of feasibility studies, environmental, ecological and biological reports and performed drilling as described more fully below (See “USMETALS - Summary of Organization and Business” and “SOUTHWEST RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, INC. - Summary of Organization and Business”).

## OVERVIEW

USCorp is an “exploration stage” company. The Company’s mining interests are held in the names of its wholly owned subsidiaries, USMetals, Inc. (“USMetals”) and Southwest Resource Development, Inc. (“Southwest”). USMetals’ operations center on completing exploration and beginning development of the mining property known as the Twin Peaks Project and the mining properties which the Company refers to as the Picacho Salton Project and Serendipity claims. The Company has realized no revenues from operations to date.

The Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, USMetals, owns 276 unpatented mining claims in the Eureka Mining District of Yavapai County, Arizona, called the Twin Peaks Project; and through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Southwest, owns a total of 200 unpatented mining claims in the Mesquite Mining District of Imperial County, California, called the Picacho Salton Project.

### **A. RECENT DEVELOPMENTS.**

We have included in this discussion of Recent Developments summaries of recent press releases, without providing updating or clarifying statements about what we said at the time of the press release. Additional information, including updates and clarifications, if any, follow in subsequent paragraphs and in other sections of this Report.

In October 2011 we announced that Boart Longyear had been retained to perform the drilling program on the Twin Peaks Project property.

In November 2011 we began drilling Phase III of the drilling program on the Twin Peaks Project property.

In January, 2012 our Vice President of Business Development and Investor Relations, Michael Love, attended a Mining Industry Conference in Vancouver, British Columbia.

In February 2012 we attended an Resource Investment Conference in Indio, California that was attended by most of the Officers and Directors of USCorp as well as several shareholders.



Also in February 2012 we reported a significant reduction in our corporate debt. We were able to pay off all of our Convertible Debentures, with a face value of \$557,600 plus interest with a combination of cash and stock.

In May 2012 we held a shareholder and board of directors meeting conference call in which the following notable actions approved: the re-negotiation of the "Gold Bullion Loan" to gain an extension of time to repay the loan from the lender under terms and conditions acceptable to the Board and to the Investors; the spinoff of the corporation's wholly owned subsidiaries, USMetals, Inc. and Southwest Resource Development, Inc., and to distribute to the corporation's shareholders as a dividend shares in USMetals, Inc., and Southwest Resource Development, Inc., at a proportionate rate of 1 subsidiary share for every 10 USCorp shares owned of Common A, Common B, and Series A and B Preferred shares (based on conversion of Preferred shares to Common shares) owned, fractions to be rounded to the next highest full share; the Board was authorized to implement such spinoffs and share distributions under conditions it deems prudent as soon as practical to do so; and unwinding the Asset Funding Agreement with Arizona Gold Corp ("AGCBC") was approved.

In September we published a report on the drilling program that had been conducted at the Twin Peaks Project by AGCBC. It included a description of the work done, an analysis of the results and outlined the Company's plans going forward.

Also in September we completed the unwinding of the Agreement with AGCBC. The key elements of the unwinding were: AGC Corp, a private Arizona corporation in whose name the Twin Peaks Project claims are held, became a wholly owned (100%) subsidiary of USMetals, Inc., which is a wholly owned (100%) subsidiary of USCorp; All of the Twin Peaks Project Claims are 100% under USMetals' control and therefore under USCorp's control; All remaining assets of AGC Corp have been transferred to USMetals, in exchange for shares of USCorp; All AGC Corp shareholders are now shareholders of USCorp; and Arizona Gold Corp, AGC Corp's parent, will be dissolved in the future.

## **B. DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT BUSINESS OPERATIONS.**

The Company's plan of operation and business objectives are to engage in (a) the precious metals exploration, mining, and refining business, and (b) the acquisition of qualified candidates engaged in businesses that would complement the Company's existing or proposed operations. All of the Company's California mining claims are held by its wholly owned subsidiary Southwest Resource Development, Inc. As discussed in this report, the Company's interest in its Arizona mining claims are now held by AGC Corp, an Arizona corporation that is a private, wholly owned subsidiary of USMetals, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of USCorp.

### **USMETALS - Summary of Organization and Business.**

USMetals ("USMetals") was formed and organized under the laws of the State of Nevada on May 3, 2000. On or about April 2, 2002, the Company acquired USMetals and its 141 lode mining claims (the "Mining Claims"). The purpose of USMetals is to engage in the business of acquiring and developing mineral properties, exploring for gold, silver, and other non-ferrous metals and minerals within the contiguous United States. It is the further intention of USMetals to mine and to process any commercially-proven reserves developed at its properties. The company has recently expanded the Twin Peaks Project to a total of 276 Lode and Placer claims.

The Twin Peaks Mining Claims of USMetals are located in West-Central Arizona, in the Eureka Mining District of Yavapai County, Arizona, approximately 42 miles west of Prescott, Arizona. Within the boundaries of these Mining Claims, more commonly referred to as the "Twin Peaks Project", are the historic sites of the Crosby, Hayes, Swiss Belle and Gloryhole Mines, past producers of gold and silver. The Twin Peaks Project claims are geographically located in the southwestern division of the Eureka Mining District, which includes many significant mines and prospects. There are tungsten mines in the Camp Wood area, to the northeast, the existing historic gold mines and prospects which abut the Twin Peaks property to the southeast along the Santa Maria River, and tungsten, copper, and zinc mines to the south and southeast. The area has a long history of mining activities. Mining companies can obtain experienced labor, affordable housing, equipment repair, and mining services within the district.

The Santa Maria River traverses the Mining Claims and USMetals is the only company that holds water rights to that section of the river, a valuable asset for a mining company in this arid country.

All of the Twin Peaks' mining properties are unpatented mining claims; consequently, the Company has only possessory title with respect to such properties. The claims were duly transferred by official deed from the prior owner to USMetals on March 22, 2002 and from USMetals to AGCAZ in May 2011, and in September 2012 the Twin Peaks project claims were returned to USMetals' control under the terms of the unwinding Agreement with AGCBC et al in which AGCAZ became a wholly owned subsidiary of USMetals.. The real property upon which the Twin Peaks

claims are located is subject to a paramount lien by the United States of America; all of the Company's claims are subject to the applicable rules and regulations of the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, which administers our use and activities on said Mining Claims. The Company has paid all of the required fees in order to maintain the Twin Peaks Mining Claims for the current periods. All of the necessary documents and affidavits have been filed with the Yavapai County Recorder.

The Company and USMetals have had a number of strategic working relationships with various independent contractors in order to develop its Mining Claims. USMetals further relies on the declarations and valuations formed and given in past geological exploration and geochemical studies. USMetals has had consulting and/or independent contractor relationships with Pincock Allen & Holt ("PAH") Boart Longyear, LLC, Image2Map Services, LLC, Harris Drilling Company, ALS Chemex, SGS Labs, Country Chemist, and the 129-year-old Jacobs Assay 1880 with offices in Tucson, AZ. that has been recognized by the Bureau of Land Management; Laguna Mountain Environmental, Biozone, Inc. Wondjina Research Institute and Quantum GeoConsultants Group, LLC. It should be noted that if USMetals was forced to disassociate itself with one or more of the abovementioned independent contractors, it could readily secure the services of other individuals or entities to perform the work or services of equal or greater quality; the loss of any one or all of the abovementioned contractors would not cause USMetals material adverse effects; however, each of these firms has demonstrated its capability and reliability in assisting the Company and USMetals to develop the Mining Claims, and, to date, the abovementioned contractors have provided invaluable assistance to The Company's senior executive management in evaluating the potential represented by the Twin Peaks Mining Claims.

The Company in 2007 completed a feasibility study on the Twin Peaks Project that identified mineralized material on the property. During fiscal 2009 we completed Phase 1, Phase 2 and Phase 2.5 of a 3-phase drilling program. For a summary of the results of that drilling program please see “Recent Developments” in our Form 10-K for period ending September 30, 2009 and 2010 respectively. Currently we are in the process of completing Phase 3 of the 2008 drilling program. And completion of the drilling program on the recently acquired additional 104 unpatented mining claims. The current drilling program includes recent discoveries made on the 172 original claims.

## **SOUTHWEST RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, INC. - Summary of Organization and Business**

Southwest Resource Development, Inc. (“Southwest”) was formed and organized under the laws of the State of Nevada on April 3, 2004 as a wholly owned subsidiary of USCorp. On or about May 29, 2004, Southwest acquired 8 lode and 21 placer mining claims (the “Mining Claims”). This claims group has been expanded to a total of 200 claims consisting of 30 placer claims and 170 lode claims, on approximately 5,760 acres. The purpose of Southwest is to engage in the business of acquiring and developing mineral properties, exploring for gold, silver, and other non-ferrous metals and minerals within the contiguous United States. It is the further intention of Southwest to mine and to process any commercially-proven reserves developed at its properties.

In lieu of cash payment for the original 8 lode and 21 placer claims acquired in 2004 the Company entered into what is essentially a joint venture with the former owners whereby the former owners are entitled to receive 20% of all net smelter returns of gold after expenses, whether paid in cash or in kind. All of the remaining claims are wholly owned by USCorp’s subsidiary, Southwest.

The Company has spent the last 8 years developing and implementing a plan that would bring multiple properties under Company ownership. Through its wholly owned subsidiary, Southwest, the Company has now acquired for development of a total of 200 lode and placer claims of precious metal properties located in the Chocolate Mountain region of the Mesquite Mining District in Imperial County, California: Geological testing has successfully recovered gold and silver from dry washes and feeder rills. Laboratory analysis indicates these findings warrant continued development. A feasibility study that identified mineralized material on the Picacho Salton Project was prepared in 2007. The Company has completed archeological and environmental and ecological reports and submitted a Mining Plan of Operations to drill to the Bureau of Land Management who completed their review of the Plan in 2012. As of the date of this report we are in the process of obtaining permits from local state and county agencies in order to proceed with our drilling program..

The Chocolate Mountains region, located in southeastern Imperial county of California, includes the Picacho State Park and surrounding areas that has a rich history of gold mining activities dating back to 1775. This property is in a district that has been producing gold since the 1800s. In 1890 a large stamp mill was built beside the Colorado River at the town of Picacho. The Picacho Mine was opened in the Picacho Basin area and a narrow gauge railroad began hauling ore from the mine to the mill. By 1904, the town of Picacho had a population of 2,500 people. The ruins of the

mill are in the Picacho State Recreation Area a few miles east of the Picacho Salton Project claims. Thousands of people visit the old mill ruins each year. To the south and west of the Picacho Salton Project claims there are ruins of many old placer and lode workings as well as recently producing major mining operations.

Numerous discoveries of placer gold throughout Imperial County have remained undeveloped due to a common problem encountered by small miners. Due to the lack of an adequate water supply to support placer gold recovery operations in the region, scores of small and medium size mining operations have failed to successfully recover precious metals known to exist throughout the region. Southwest believes it has located a potentially adequate water source. Southwest intends to use a state of the art gold recovery system designed and developed for the specific conditions found on these properties. Based on the recent reports of geologists and engineers, Southwest believes this property has the potential to develop into a significant gold producing operation.

Historically, mining has been carried out in the Mesquite Mining District of Imperial County using old hard rock mining and placer methods. However, in 1984, new mining methods (“heap leaching”) were used to develop and mine low-grade ore bodies, with an economically viable cut-off grade as low as .01 to .02 ounces of gold per ton. Geological Support Services, LLC recently completed a feasibility study that has identified mineralized material on the Picacho Salton Project. Southwest intends to go into production as soon as possible after approvals and financing are obtained.

In 2008 we submitted a Mining Plan of Operations (MPO) to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to conduct a 3-phase drilling program. Our MPO has been approved by the BLM in 2012. As of the date of this report we are in the process of obtaining permits from local state and county agencies in order to proceed with our drilling program. (see “Recent Developments”)

**Property descriptions, locations and nature of ownership.**

Picacho Salton Project consisting of 200 Lode and Placer Claims in the Mesquite Mining District of Imperial County, California, U.S.A. The Claims group is situated on approximately 5,760 acres (see maps below). Some of the most recently added property has common borders to Southwest's other gold properties. Means of access to the eastern most portion of the property is by an unmarked private dirt road, south of Picacho State Park. Access to other portions of the property are also by various unmarked dirt roads, please see Maps below.

In Arizona the 276 unpatented lode and placer mining claims, covers 5,520 acres, which the Company refers to as the "Twin Peaks Project". These claims are located in the Eureka Mining District of Yavapai County, Arizona, U.S.A. Access to the property from the west is by county maintained and private dirt roads from Highway 93 (connecting Phoenix, Arizona with Las Vegas, Nevada).

The Company pays an annual Maintenance Fee payment to the Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") for each of its claims. Maintenance Fee payments of \$140 per claim are due on or before August 31 each year.

**Maps indicating the locations of our properties.**

**1. In this Map the boxed areas represent the approximate locations of the company's Picacho Salton Project mining claims in the Mesquite Mining District of Imperial County, California.**

6

---

**2. The number “1” in the map is the approximate location of our Twin Peaks Project claims. The Picacho Salton claims are represented by the number “2” in this map.**

7

---



**3. This map shows the Twin Peak Project claims group outlined in Blue. Shaded areas indicate areas of historical exploration work during the past 30 years.**

8

---

**History of previous operations.**

Twin Peaks Project claims group, in the Eureka Mining District of Yavapai County, Arizona: From a historical perspective, Spaniards arrived in the area over 400 years ago and used the Santa Maria River to gain access to the claims area. According to historical sources, the local Native Americans were used by the Spaniards to mine gold and silver in the area, which was refined and shipped to Spain. More recently, in the 1800s, John Lawler and Charles Crosby pioneered the Eureka Mining District. In 1883, John Lawler discovered the area was rich in gold, silver, lead, and zinc.

Charles Crosby first discovered the Crosby Mine and worked his claims from 1906 to 1933. His works are on a mineralized structure and flat zone. When the Crosby Mine opened in 1906, it processed 120 ounces of gold per day. It operated a 40-stamp amolotion mill until World War II. The Crosby group of claims are in the northeast corner of the Twin Peaks claims group.

From the mid-1920s to the mid-1930s, a prospector worked the Gloryhole claim, in the southwest quadrant of the Company's Twin Peaks claims group. The ore he mined ran over 8 ounces of gold per ton. In 1941 and 1942, the claim was yielding 2.6 ounces of gold per ton. At that time, the ore was shipped to the railhead at Hillside and then by train to a smelter in El Paso, Texas.

In 1885, the Hayes Silver Mine opened. The deposit at the mine was so rich - over 300 ounces of gold and silver per ton - that the owners shipped the ore directly to England for smelting and refining. The Hayes claims group is part of the Company's Twin Peaks claims group and located in the western quadrant of the property.

Picacho Salton Project Claims in the Mesquite Mining District of Imperial County, California: There has been no commercial scale mining on any of the Company's claims in this region.

**The present condition of the property, the work we have completed on the property, our proposed program of exploration and development, and the current state of exploration and development of the property.**

Twin Peaks Project Claims Group: The Company has conducted exploration work on the property, including drilling 3,000 feet of core samples in 2002 (in addition to 10,000 feet drilled by prior owners) and road improvements to repair and create dirt road accesses to the property, and re-stake all claims using GPS. The Company relies on geological work of experts performed by us and under prior ownership in support of our reports of the presence of gold, silver, uranium and other mineralization on the property. In 2007 we completed a feasibility study on the Twin

Peaks Project that identified mineralized material. In December 2007, we received a Cultural Resource Survey (an archeological report) for proposed drill sites as part of the Company's application filed in August 2007 with the BLM to conduct additional drilling to prove up reserves. In August and September and October of 2008 5,000 feet of holes were drilled using reverse circulation drilling, completing Phase One, Phase Two and Phase 2.5 of our current drilling program. During the Phase 1 drilling program the Company participated in a multi-agency test program of the NITON pXRF. The handheld device is purportedly capable of analyzing an ore sample and providing an immediate analysis of all minerals present above an atomic weight of 12. Certified assay results from the labs of samples taken during the Phase 1 and 2 drilling program and the preliminary results produced by the NITON pXRF were compared. The comparison was inconclusive regarding the usefulness of the device in exploration activities. The Company is not conducting mineral extraction operations on this property yet.

In 2011 the BLM approved a revised Mining Plan of Operations for an expanded drilling program on the Twin Peaks Project. Boart Longyear, the drilling company that conducted our Phase 1, 2 and 2.5 drilling programs, has been conducting the revised drilling program, which began in November 2011. The recently completed Phase 3 drilling program was reported in our September 12, 2012 press release and discussed more fully herein (see "Recent Developments").

Regarding the Picacho Salton Project Claims Groups in the Mesquite Mining District of Imperial County: On November 1, 2006 USCorp announced the acquisition of what we then referred to as the "Picacho Salton Mining Property", through its wholly owned subsidiary Southwest. Situated on approximately 5,760 acres covering 200 mining claims of precious metal properties and located in the Mesquite Mining District of Imperial County, California, some of these newly acquired claims have common borders to USCorp's Picacho Gold Property. The Company's California properties are now collectively known as the Picacho Salton Project. The Company has performed exploration work on the property. The Company relies on geological work of experts performed by us and work performed by experts under prior ownership in support of our early reports of the presence of gold and silver on the property. The Company completed a feasibility study in 2007 that identified mineralized material on the Picacho Salton Project. Our MPO has been approved by the BLM. (see "Recent Developments"). There are no current mineral extraction operations on this property. The proposed program is exploratory in nature.

**The physical condition of the plant and equipment and the source of power utilized with respect to each property.**

At this time there are no physical plants on any of the Company's properties. The Company owns rights to water on the Santa Maria River which traverses the Twin Peaks Project property. Power is available on properties adjacent to the Twin Peaks Project and portable generators can be used as necessary. Power is also available on properties adjacent to our placer claims in California and portable generators can be used when necessary. There are natural wells located in several places on our California claims. We will supplement well water with trucked water if necessary.

Adequate roads exist to each of our claims groups. Some existing roads have been repaired or extended.

**A brief description of the rock formations and mineralization of existing or potential economic significance on the properties, including the identity of the principal metallic or other constituents.**

In regards to the Twin Peaks Project, past geologic valuations have been confirmed by recent geological work as reported in the 2007 feasibility study on the project that indicated mineralized material on claims within the boundaries of the Twin Peaks. The Company uses these historical and current reports in support of its determination that economically viable mineralization is present on the properties.

According to past geologic valuations the Crosby claims are within an area of banded gray schist that is surrounded by light-colored granite and intruded by pegmatite, rhyolite-porphry, and basic dikes. The vein strikes N10E, and dips 25 to 30 degrees E, and attains a width of up to 18 inches in the old workings. Rich ore from the oxidized zone shows brecciated quartz with abundant cellular limonite. Several structural zones appear to control the mineralization within the claim group. It can be considered that an alignment of a structural trend exists, with a bearing of about N20E between the Hayes Mine and the Crosby Mine, with the Swiss Belle Mine at midway along the trend. Another structural zone which is expressed by a dike and is reported to run from the Santa Maria River to the base of Hayes Peak has an average bearing of about N53W. The Hayes Shaft was sunk within this dike. The dike probably passes slightly west of the Gloryhole Mine and then intersects a N20E structural zone near the base of Hayes Peak. The structural zones seem to influence wide areas adjacent to them, which is confirmed by favorable assays and also by the Very Low Frequency Electromagnetic survey. Cutoff grade valuations were not performed.

Picacho Salton Project Claims Groups in the Mesquite Mining District of Imperial County: A past geochemical sampling program has indicated mineralized material at the Goldstar placer claims; tonnage and grade valuations were not performed. The Company used such reports in support of its determination that economically viable mineralization may be present on the properties as stated in various historical reports.

**The phased nature of the exploration process, and the place in the process our current exploration activities occupy.**

Phase 1 of the exploration process has been completed on a portion of the Hayes group of claims within the Twin Peaks Project. Phase I supplemented the previous exploration effort with additional geological, geochemical and geophysical surveys, drilling, excavations and road building. We also completed a scoping study. Phase I was designed to furnish pertinent data for the design of Phase II Mining Operation Plan.

In August 2008 we commenced with drilling and assaying in the areas previously targeted in prior geological reports. The drilling program was designed to confirm the geology and mineralization in the target areas; a broad program is not necessary due to prior geological work. Extra samples have been retained for metallurgical testing on promising zones.

The results of testing the samples has allowed us to plan the conceptual mine and milling plans, including flow-sheets that were used in the feasibility study process along with the on-going economic and cost modeling evaluation of the project. While the results were being evaluated we completed the collection of the archeological and environmental data necessary for further exploration.

Phases 1, 2 and 2.5 and 3 of the Twin Peaks drilling program have been completed as of the date of this Report.

**Test Production Program Budget and Plan**

We have plans for Test Production in order to perfect the methods to be used in commercial scale heap leach mining or other appropriate mining method. We have received a Test Production plan and budget for the Picacho Salton Project Claims in the Mesquite Mining District of Imperial County from one of our Consulting Geologists that is summarized as follows:

To start placer testing operations we must first purchase and modify a wash plant. The pad and setup of the wash plant is next.

The dirt access road from the Highway to the site (approximately 2 miles) must be reworked or repaired. We will also need a Front End Loader (“F.E.L.”) with Back-Hoe attachment. For continuous hard work excavating trenches, digging test pits and carrying alluvial material back to the wash plant for processing on a daily basis. It would be used for the duration of the test production program.

The sampling method is standard in geological exploration and is confined to dry arroyo drainages and rills. Grab samples taken outside of the dry river beds and rills will be by prospectors pick or regular pick and shovel. Instruments to be used will be a VLF unit, an EM unit, microscopes, spectrometer, GPS unit, possibly an I.R. unit, a magnetometer and miscellaneous sieves. A 10 or 12 kW generator set will independently power the night lights and camper unit. We need to determine if the present wells go down a minimum of 400 feet to reach adequate water supply to support test production wash plant.

We will make a decision whether to proceed with each successive phase of the exploration program upon completion of the previous phase and upon analysis of the results of that program.

We will follow QA/QC protocols provided by the Society for Mining, Metallurgy and Exploration Guidance on best practices for Exploration [www.smenet.org](http://www.smenet.org).

### **Recent Initial Exploration and Exploitation**

Although many companies and individuals are engaged in the mining business, including large established mining companies, there is a limited supply of desirable mineral lands available for claim staking, lease, or other acquisition in the United States and other areas where USCorp contemplates conducting its exploration and/or production activities. However, it has been determined by qualified geologists and mining companies that USCorp’s Arizona properties have mineralization of a variety of precious and non-precious minerals. Historically, the specific geographic region in which USCorp intends to conduct its exploratory and mining activities has been the subject of various general samplings, which were performed by the State of Arizona, the United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Mines, and the United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management.

The Company has relied upon a number of studies by companies that are not presently affiliated or associated with USCorp to determine the feasibility and valuation of USCorp’s pursuit to develop its Mining Claims. These studies are comprised of several exploration techniques, such as geological and geophysical surveys, drilling, and excavations, in

order to determine the economic potential, and subsequent exploration and mining, of the Claims. These different firms have utilized varied means to calculate the potential of the exploration and development of the Twin Peaks Project's Mining Claims.

### **Early Exploration Conducted and Valuations.**

The Twin Peaks Project: Past geological studies indicate that beginning in 1981 a geologist performed certain exploratory drillings in order to obtain samples of the contents from the Crosby Mine Site No. 6, located Yavapai County, Arizona (one of the claims in in what is now called the Twin Peaks Project). The geologist drilled 28 core drill holes on a grid the Crosby claim site. His report was based on 200-foot depth cores. This area was 18,519 cubic yards, or approximately 20,000 tons of mineralized material. The total area that was drilled was 1,500' x 600' x 200'. A total of 744 core samples were taken from the 6,000-foot of core hole drillings. The samples were assayed for gold and silver.

The results indicated the presence of mineralization of gold and silver. The core samples also revealed quartz monzonite porphyry formations throughout the area of sampling. The many faults located in this area were of considerable importance in controlling supergene enrichment; the largest quantity and highest grade of ore occurs when these faults intersect or are closely spaced. There was significant evidence of this enrichment recorded from the samples taken from the Crosby Mine site area. And, the gold and silver that was found is natural to the formations of the enrichment zone.

### **Recent Exploration and Samplings**

The 2008 geological surveys confirmed prior geological reports. It was verified that the Twin Peaks Project is on a mineralized structure and flat zone with gold and silver carrying mineralization.

Historically, over 10,000 feet of core drillings were performed and over 1,500 fire assays were conducted. These assays showed gold and silver mineralization.

The geological, geophysical, and geochemical studies stated above were reviewed and evaluated by an independent mining, consulting, and geologic firm that was engaged to evaluate the commercial feasibility of the claims. The report and economic study recommended the continuation of exploration and the start of production.

The geological justification for the exploration project at the Twin Peaks Project is that numerous past geological studies have found gold and silver mineralization at various locations within the boundaries of the claims group. There are also areas within the claims group that contain uranium and areas containing polymetals.

In 2011 Pincock, Allen & Holt's Chief Geologist, Bart Stone, conducted an examination of the Twin Peaks project, including the newly added 104 claims located west of the historical claims group.

The PAH Geological Summary contained the following points:

- GEOLOGIC FORMATION. "The Twin Peaks project is situated in the faulted border zone between the Colorado Plateau and the Southern Basin and Range Province of the North American Craton (Craton: a large, stable block of the earth's crust). Pegmatites are abundant and reach lengths of dozens of feet and widths of up to 10 feet.
- (1) Typical mineralogy of the pegmatites includes large Muscovite books, black tourmaline crystals, quartz crystals, and orthoclase and plagioclase class feldspars." (Pegmatites: coarse-grained, igneous rocks, usually granite, characterized by large well-formed crystals, and often contain rare elements.)

- GEOLOGIC ORIGIN OF PRECIOUS METAL MINERALIZATION AT TWIN PEAKS. "Localized faulting has led to Cretaceous and Tertiary volcanic activity and epigenetic hypogene enrichment." Epigenetic refers to ores and mineral products introduced into their surrounding rocks after the rocks themselves had already come into
- (2) existence. Hypogene enrichment (in a deeper layer) is significant because a mineral of supergene origin (from a more superficial layer) is likely to disappear within a few hundred feet of depth. If the relative proportion of supergene to hypogene ore minerals can be estimated (by Phase 3 drilling and assay), the ratio gives a basis for estimating the grade of ore that will be expected in the primary zone below the reach of enrichment.

- ASSAY RESULTS IN SUPPORT OF GEOLOGY. PAH noted that "...good gold values were found near the contact between the Tertiary plug and the underlying granitics just to the south of the Hayes mine area..." PAH also
- (3) noted large pegmatite veins and structural fault zones with gold and silver at various dip and strike angles. Examples of assay results are given in our July 18, 2011 press release.

- ORE TYPE. Gold and silver mineralization in the claims area is characterized by massive white Drusy quartz with relict sulfide casts. Drusy quartz veins are typical of precious metal systems worldwide. Two extensive drusy
- (4) quartz veins carrying gold and silver mineralization were discovered during PAH's site visit in addition to other gold and silver deposits found at fault intersections and in known historical workings on the property.



ESTIMATION OF PRECIOUS METALS AT TWIN PEAKS. PAH concluded that the Twin Peaks property has definite upside potential, that “a potential 5,000,000 tons or more of resources” [gold and silver] resides in three (5) lengthy quartz vein structures, including two discovered during PAH's site visit, and that other gold and silver mineralization [that is, gold and silver bearing ore] also occurs at fault intersections, and in known historic workings on the property.

As discussed herein, Boart Longyear was contracted to complete the revised Phase 3 Drilling program that included additional drilling targets in the newly added claims group. This program began in November 2011 and was reported in our September 12, 2012 press release and discussed more fully herein (see “Recent Developments”)

The geological justification for the exploration project at the Picacho Salton Project claims is that there is visible gold in the ground and past geological studies have found gold and silver at various locations within the boundaries of the claims groups.

In 2007 we conducted additional exploration, testing; GPS locating, surveying and re-staking of all claims; adding a total of 77 significant claims to the group of which 70 claims are primarily gold bearing and seven claims, approximately 140 acres, are Pink Rhyolite (decorative rock) and construction grade aggregate. The Company commissioned a feasibility study covering the mining claims, that says in part: "The feasibility study operating plan assumes an open cast quarry type operation containing [mineralized material]. The plan anticipates conventional truck and shovel mining techniques. Processing to be phased according to ore type and permit approvals. Initial capital costs are anticipated to be \$13,790,300 all amounts are in U.S. Dollars."

**A breakdown of the exploration timetable and budget, including estimated amounts that will be required for each exploration activity.**

Based on the above referenced feasibility study the exploration timetable and budget for the Twin Peaks Project is as follows:

Initial capital costs are currently estimated to be \$12,974,728. All amounts are in US dollars to complete a comprehensive drilling program, road repair and extensions, design and building of a test mill. The estimate of six month time period is an estimate of time need to perform tasks only and does not take into account delays for governmental review and approval of our mining plan.

The exploration timetable and budget for the Picacho Salton Project claims is as follows:

Initial capital costs are anticipated to be \$13,790,300 all amounts are in U.S. Dollars to complete an electromagnetic flyover, comprehensive road repair and extensions, design and purchase of a wash plant. The estimate of twelve week time period is an estimate of time needed to perform tasks only and does not take into account delays for governmental review and approval of our mining plan.

**How the exploration program will be funded.**

Funding will be by equity or debt financing in the form of private placements, working interest joint venture, farm outs, sale or mergers, and/or gold bullion loans in the United States, Europe and Asia. To date we have received the proceeds from a gold bullion loan in the amount of \$635,000 as previously reported in Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 27, 2005, in addition to proceeds from private placements and proceeds from convertible debentures. We continue to pursue additional sources of financing. (see "Recent Developments")

**Identification of who will be conducting any proposed exploration work, and a discussion of their qualifications.**

The Company is utilizing the services of Boart Longyear Drilling, Image2Map Services, Inc., and Pincock Allen & Holt, for exploration and geological work on the Company's properties. Given adequate financing we intend to use additional qualified mining consultants and engineers subject to their availability and willingness and our need, but we have not contracted with any other vendors as of the date of this Report.

Boart Longyear is the leading provider of mineral exploration drilling services and drilling products in the world. The Company is the only integrated drilling services and products provider, combining engineering excellence, global manufacturing facilities and the most experienced drilling services group in the business. With over 120 years of global mineral exploration expertise and the most innovative products in the market, Boart Longyear ultimately delivers unmatched reliability, productivity and safety to the worksite.

Since its inception some 42 years ago, Pincock Allen & Holt, (<http://www.pincock.com>) part of Runge Limited, has earned a reputation as a premier international consulting and engineering firm. They are one of the oldest and most respected organizations within the mining and energy consulting community. Pincock Allen & Holt has an unparalleled reputation for integrity and for technical, commercial, and engineering excellence. Its corporate resume includes more than 3,900 successfully completed assignments for many of the world's foremost precious metal, base metal, industrial mineral, coal and energy operations. Pincock Allen & Holt reports are widely used to secure project financing and successfully undergo reviews and audits.

Image2Map Services, Inc. provides image processing and geological interpretations of satellite and aerial imagery to mining and minerals exploration companies worldwide. The company specializes in spectral interpretation to locate potential hydrothermal alteration targets and structure interpretation to locate potential lineaments and circular structures potentially related to mineralization of metallic deposits.

### **Specific Environmental Regulation.**

Mining is subject to potential risks and liabilities associated with pollution of the environment and the disposal of waste products occurring as a result of mineral exploration and production. Environmental liability may result from mining activities conducted by others prior to USMetals' ownership of a property. Insurance for environmental risks (including potential liability for pollution or other hazards as a result of the disposal of waste products occurring from exploration and production) is not generally available at a reasonable price to companies within the industry. To the extent USMetals is subject to environmental liabilities, the payment of such liabilities would reduce funds otherwise available to USMetals and could have a material adverse effect on USMetals.

In the context of environmental compliance and permitting, including the approval of reclamation plans, The Company must comply with standards, laws and regulations which may entail greater or lesser costs and delays depending on the nature of the activity to be permitted, constructed and operated and how stringently the regulations are implemented by the applicable regulatory authority. It is possible that the costs and delays associated with compliance with such laws, regulations and permits could become such that a company would not proceed with the development of a project or the operation or further development of a mine. Laws, regulations and regulatory policies involving the protection and remediation of the environment are constantly changing at all levels of government and are generally becoming more restrictive and the costs imposed on the development and operation of mineral properties are increasing as a result of such changes. The Company has made, and expects to make in the future, significant expenditures to comply with such laws and regulations.

The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") continues the development of a solid waste regulatory program specific to mining operations under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"). The difficulty is that many Federal laws duplicate existing state regulations.

Mining companies in the United States are also subject to regulations under (i) the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA") which regulates and establishes liability for the release of hazardous substances and (ii) the Endangered Species Act ("ESA") which identifies endangered species of plants and animals and regulates activities to protect these species and their habitats. Revisions to CERCLA and ESA are being considered by Congress; the impact on The Company of these revisions is not clear at this time. Environmental laws and regulations enacted and adopted in the future may have a significant impact upon our future operations.

Reclamation plans which are approved by various environmental regulatory authorities are subject to on-going review and modification. Although the Company's management believes that the reclamation plans developed and implemented for its mine sites are reasonable under current conditions, any future re-determination of reclamation conditions or requirements could significantly increase USMetals' and Southwest's costs of implementation of such plans.

USCorp expects to utilize “green” methods as much as possible beyond those required by existing environmental rules and regulations. We are exploring using wind and solar power to supplement our energy requirements; captured rainwater in order to reduce use of ground water and water that may have to be trucked in; solar powered conveyor belts to transport ore for processing, green fuels for vehicles, and other environment-friendly technologies that have been recently developed. USCorp principals have had preliminary discussions with international providers of such services that have been field testing the latest “green” technologies in African mines and other locations.

**Competition.**

There is aggressive competition within the minerals industry to discover and acquire properties considered to have commercial potential. The Company will compete for promising gold exploration projects with other entities, many of which have greater financial and other resources than The Company. In addition, The Company will compete with other firms in its efforts to obtain financing to explore and develop mineral properties including the claims it already owns. Further, the mining industry is typified by companies with significantly greater financial resources and market recognition than the Company. At present, USCorp is not a significant factor within this industry.

**Employees and Independent Contractors.**

As of the date of this Annual Report, the Company did not employ any persons other than its executive officers, an Administrative Assistant, and occasional clerical help.

As of the date of this Annual Report, the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries have utilized as principal consultant and advisors: Boart Longyear Drilling, Harris Drilling, Pincock Allen & Holt, Image2Map Services and Quantum GeoConsultants Group, LLC, which, in turn, may work with subcontractors that perform work indirectly for the Company and its subsidiaries.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

**Lack of Operating History and Earnings.** The Company has no operating history or revenues. The Company expects to incur further losses in the foreseeable future due to significant costs associated with its business development, and the business development of its subsidiaries, including costs associated with its acquisition of new mining claims and/or operations. There can be no assurance that The Company's operations will ever generate sufficient revenues to fund its continuing operations that The Company will ever generate positive cash flow from its operations, or that The Company will attain or thereafter sustain profitability in any future period.

**Speculative Nature of The Company's Proposed Operations; Dependence Upon Management.** The success of The Company's operations, independently and through its subsidiaries, and its proposed plan of operation will depend largely on the operations, financial condition, and management of The Company. While management intends to engage in the business purposes stated herein, there can be no assurance that it, or any of its subsidiaries, will be successful in conducting such business. Presently, the Company is totally dependent upon the personal efforts of its current management. The loss of any officer or director of The Company could have a material adverse effect upon its business and future prospects. The Company does not presently have key-man life insurance upon the life of any of its officers or directors. None of our management are chemists, metallurgists, mining engineers or geologists and as such do not have the technical experience in exploring for, starting, and/or operating a mine. Upon adequate funding management intends to hire qualified and experienced personnel, including additional officers and directors, and mining specialists, professionals and consulting firms to advise management as needed; however there can be no assurance that management will be successful in raising the necessary funds, recruiting, hiring and retaining such qualified individuals. Such consultants have no fiduciary duty to The Company or its shareholders, and may not perform as expected. The success of The Company will, in significant part, depend upon the efforts and abilities of management, including such consultants as are or may be engaged in the future.

**Risks Inherent In Exploration and Mining Operations.** Mineral exploration is highly speculative and capital intensive. Most exploration efforts are not successful, in that they do not result in the discovery of mineralization of sufficient quantity or quality to be profitably mined. The Company's Mining Claims are also indirectly subject to all hazards and risks normally incidental to developing and operating mining properties. These risks include insufficient ore reserves, fluctuations in production costs that may make mining of reserves uneconomic; significant environmental and other regulatory restrictions; and the risks of injury to persons, property or the environment. In particular, the profitability of gold mining operations is directly related to the price of gold. The price of gold fluctuates widely and is affected by numerous factors that are beyond the control of any mining company. These factors include expectations with respect to the rate of inflation, the exchange rates of the dollar and other currencies, interest rates, global or regional political, economic or banking crises, and a number of other factors. If the price of gold should drop dramatically, the value of the Mining Claims could also drop dramatically, and the Company might then be unable to recover its investment in those interests or properties. Selection of a property for exploration or development; the determination to construct a mine and to place it into production, and the dedication of funds necessary to achieve such purposes, are decisions that must be made long before the first revenues from production will be received. Price fluctuations between the time that such decisions are made and the commencement of production can drastically affect the economics of a mine. The volatility of gold prices represents a substantial risk, generally, which no amount of planning or technical expertise can eliminate.

**Uncertainty of Reserves and Mineralization Estimates.** There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating proven and probable reserves and mineralization, including many factors beyond The Company's control. The estimation of reserves and mineralization is a subjective process and the accuracy of any such estimates is a function of the quality of available data and of engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Results of drilling, metallurgical testing and production and the evaluation of mine plans subsequent to the date of any estimate may justify revision of such estimates. No assurances can be given that the volume and grade of reserves recovered and rates of production will not be less than anticipated. Assumptions about prices are subject to great uncertainty and gold prices have fluctuated widely in the past. Declines in the market price of gold or other precious metals also may render reserves or mineralization containing relatively lower grades of ore uneconomic to exploit. Changes in operating and capital costs and other factors including, but not limited to, short-term operating factors such as the need for sequential development of ore bodies and the processing of new or different ore grades, may materially and adversely affect reserves.

**Environmental Risks.** Mining is subject to potential risks and liabilities associated with pollution of the environment and the disposal of waste products occurring as a result of mineral exploration and production. Insurance against environmental risks (including potential liability for pollution or other hazards as a result of the disposal of waste products occurring from exploration and production) is not generally available to The Company (or to other companies within the gold industry) at a reasonable price. To the extent The Company becomes subject to environmental liabilities, the satisfaction of any such liabilities would reduce funds otherwise available and could have a material adverse effect on The Company. Laws and regulations intended to ensure the protection of the environment are constantly changing, and are generally becoming more restrictive.

**Proposed Federal Legislation.** Beginning in the 1990s, the U.S. Congress adopted revisions of the General Mining Law of 1872, which governs the creation of mining claims and related activities on Federal public lands in the United States. Similarly, the U. S. Congress and the Clinton Administration eliminated the U.S. Bureau of Mines, which was the agency responsible for gathering and maintaining data on mines throughout the United States. Beyond changes to the existing laws, the Congress or the Bush Administration, or the incoming Obama Administration may propose or adopt new laws; any such revisions could also impair USMetals' and Southwest's ability to develop, in the future, any mineral prospects that are located on unpatented mining claims on Federal lands.

**Title to Properties.** The validity of unpatented mining claims, which constitute all of The Company's property holdings, is often uncertain and such validity is always subject to contest. Unpatented mining claims are unique property interests and are generally considered subject to greater title risks than patented mining claims, or other real property interests that are owned in fee simple. The Company has not filed any patent applications for any of its properties that are located on Federal public lands in the United States, (specifically, in the States of Arizona and California), and, under changes to the General Mining Law, patents may not be available for such properties. Although management believes it has taken requisite action to acquire satisfactory title to its undeveloped properties, it does not intend to go to the expense to obtain title opinions until financing is secured to develop the property, with the attendant risk that title to some properties, particularly title to undeveloped properties, may be defective.

**Competition.** There is aggressive competition within the minerals industry to discover and acquire properties considered to have commercial potential. The Company will compete for promising gold exploration projects with other entities, many of which have greater financial and other resources than The Company. In addition, the Company will compete with other firms in its efforts to obtain financing to explore and develop mineral properties.

**The Company's Financial Statements Contain a "Going Concern Qualification."** The Company may not be able to operate as a going concern. The independent auditors' report accompanying its financial statements contains an explanation that The Company's financial statements have been prepared assuming that it will continue as a going concern. Note 1 to these financial statements indicates that The Company is in the exploration stage and needs additional funds to implement its plan of operations. This condition raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty. The Company's audit report and financial statements are included herein as "PART II, Item 7".

**Uncertainty As To Management's Ability To Control Costs And Expenses.** With respect to The Company's development of its mining properties and the implementation of commercial operations, management cannot accurately project or give any assurance, with respect to its ability to control development and operating costs and/or expenses. Consequently, if management is not able to adequately control costs and expenses, such operations may not generate any profit or may result in operating losses.



**No Dividends.** The Company has not paid any dividends nor, by reason of its present financial status and contemplated financial requirements, does it anticipate paying any dividends in the foreseeable future.

**Risks of Low-Priced Stocks And Possible Effect of “Penny Stock” Rules on Liquidity.** Currently the Company’s stock is defined as a “penny stock” under Rule 3a51-1 adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. In general, a “penny stock” includes securities of companies which are not listed on the principal stock exchanges or the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation System (“NASDAQ”) or National Market System (“NASDAQ NMS”) and have a bid price in the market of less than \$5.00; and companies with net tangible assets of less than \$2,000,000 (\$5,000,000 if the issuer has been in continuous operation for less than three years), or which has recorded revenues of less than \$6,000,000 in the last three years. “Penny stocks” are subject to rule 15g-9, which imposes additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers that sell such securities to persons other than established customers and “accredited investors” (generally, individuals with net worth in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual incomes exceeding \$200,000, or \$300,000 together with their spouses, or individuals who are officers or directors of the issuer of the securities). For transactions covered by Rule 15g-9, a broker-dealer must make a special suitability determination for the purchaser and have received the purchaser’s written consent to the transaction prior to sale. Consequently, this rule may adversely affect the ability of broker-dealers to sell The Company’s stock, and therefore, may adversely affect the ability of The Company’s stockholders to sell stock in the public market.

**Shares Eligible for Future Sale.** A total of 325,009,052 shares of Voting Class A Common Stock are issued and outstanding as of the date of this Annual Report, of which approximately 264,605,555 shares thereof are “restricted securities” as that term is defined under the Securities Act. Therefore, all such restricted shares must be held indefinitely unless subsequently registered under the Securities Act or an exemption from registration becomes available. One exemption that may be available in the future is Rule 144 adopted under the Securities Act. Generally, under Rule 144 any person holding restricted securities for at least one year may publicly sell in ordinary brokerage transactions, within a 3 month period, the greater of one (1%) percent of the total number of a company’s shares outstanding or the average weekly reported volume during the four weeks preceding the sale, if certain conditions of Rule 144 are satisfied by the company and the seller. Furthermore, with respect to sellers who are “non-affiliates” of the company, as that term is defined in Rule 144, the volume sale limitation does not apply and an unlimited number of shares may be sold, provided the seller meets a holding period of 2 years. However, the SEC revised Rule 144, effective February 15, 2008, which shortens the holding period to six months in some cases and remove the volume restrictions for any such sales. Sales under Rule 144 may have a depressive effect on the market price of The Company’s securities, should a public market be available for The Company’s shares.

We had issued an aggregate of \$700,000 principal amount of convertible debentures (the “Debentures”). These Debentures were issued over a period of two years and accrued interest at the rate of 4% or 5%. The conversion price for these Debentures was \$0.125 per share and could have been converted into an aggregate of 5,600,000 shares of our common stock. On February 9, 2012 we announced a final payment in full of all debentures with a combination of stock and cash. We have paid a total of \$232,000 in cash and issued a total of 23,694,100 shares in order to retire debentures in the amount of \$633,823 (principal and interest).

**Safe Harbor Statement:** Under the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, except for the statements of historical fact contained herein, the information presented constitutes “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements, including but not limited to those with respect to the price of gold, the timing of the exploration of the Company’s properties, the timing of the development of the Company’s properties, the timing and amount of estimated future production, costs of production, mineralization and “reserve” determination involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others, the actual results of current exploration and development activities, conclusions of economic evaluations, changes in project parameters as plans continue to be refined, future prices of gold, silver or other metals and minerals. Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially, there may be other factors that cause results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that such statements will prove to be accurate as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

(See “Forward Looking Statements”, PART I).

#### (D) Reports to Security Holders

The public may read and copy any materials filed with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that SEC internet site is <http://www.sec.gov>.

**ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

Not Applicable.

**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

The Company's principal executive offices are located at 4535 W. Sahara Ave, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89102 and its telephone number is (702) 933-4034

**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

Not Applicable.

**ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES**

Not Applicable.

## PART II

**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE COMPANY'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.**

The Company's securities are quoted on the OTC Markets and as of December 27, 2003 the Company's shares are also traded on the Third Segment of the Berlin Stock Exchange under symbol UCP.BER, WKN # A0BLBB. As of May 11, 2006 USCorp's Class B Non-Voting Common Shares have been included in the Deutsche Boerse Exchange trading within the Open Market (Freiverkehr) under the Symbol "U9C.F" and the WKN# is A0JEQQ.

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the range of high and low closing price quotations for the Company's common stock during the past two fiscal years. These quotations represent inter-dealer prices without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions:

PERIOD	HIGH	LOW
Quarter ended December 31, 2010	0.13	0.05
Quarter ended March 31, 2011	0.08	0.04
Quarter ended June 30, 2011	0.08	0.04
Quarter ended September 30, 2011	0.10	0.04
Quarter ended December 31, 2011	0.05	0.03
Quarter ended March 31, 2012	0.09	0.04
Quarter ended June 30, 2012	0.07	0.04
Quarter ended September 30, 2012	0.05	0.01

On January 11, 2013 the reported closing price for the Company's common stock was \$0.03 per share; there were approximately 1,200 record holders of the Company's shares.

The Company has not paid any dividends and there are presently no plans to pay any such dividends in the foreseeable future. The declaration and payment of dividends in the future will be determined by the Board of Directors in light of conditions then existing, including earnings, financial condition, capital requirements and other factors. There are no contractual restrictions on the Company's present or future ability to pay dividends. Further, there are no restrictions on any of the Company's subsidiaries which would, in the future, adversely affect the Company's ability to pay dividends to its shareholders. The Company desires to pay a dividend when in production, paid in gold out of profits from production.

**Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**

Fiscal 2011 sales of unregistered securities:

The shares and warrants described herein were sold to accredited investors under the Regulation D exemption and were exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated by the SEC under the Securities Act of 1933. Neither we nor any person acting on our behalf offered or sold these securities by any form of general solicitation or general advertising. The shares sold are restricted securities and the certificates representing these shares have been affixed with a standard restrictive legend, which states that the securities cannot be sold without registration under the Securities Act of 1933 or an exemption therefrom. Each purchaser represented to us that he was purchasing the securities for his own account and not for the account of any other persons. Each purchaser was provided with written disclosure that the securities have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and therefore cannot be sold without registration under the Securities Act of 1933 or an exemption therefrom.

During fiscal year 2011, the Company issued 41,594,631 common shares with 41,594,631 warrants attached convertible into the same amount of common shares at a weighted average exercise price of \$0.11 expiring in 2011 and 2012. The Company received proceeds of \$2,907,580.

During fiscal year 2011, the Company issued 5,016,591 shares of common stock to consultants for services rendered valued by the Company at \$358,430.

During fiscal year 2011, holders of the preferred A stock converted 1.05 million preferred A into 8.4 million shares of common stock.

During fiscal year 2011, the Company issued 3,200,000 shares of common stock to extend the maturity date of the Gold Bullion Loan discussed in Note 5.

During fiscal year 2011, the Company issued 800,000 shares of common stock to pay \$50,000 of the convertible debentures discussed in Note 6.

During the fiscal year 2012, the Company issued 6,030,000 common A shares and received proceeds of \$123,350.

During the fiscal year 2012, the Company issued 66,518,322 common A shares to warrant holders and received proceeds of \$636,719.

During the fiscal year 2012, the Company issued 2,165,000 shares of common stock to consultants for services rendered valued by the Company at \$109,850.

During the fiscal year 2012, the Company issued 22,894,000 shares of common stock to pay the convertible dentures.

During the fiscal year 2012, the Company issued 20 million shares of preferred A stock and received proceeds of \$20,000.

During the fiscal year 2012, the Company issued 635,000 shares of common A pursuant to the 2009 stock incentive plan

During the fiscal year 2012, the Company issued 30,800,000 shares pursuant to the unwinding agreement with Arizona Gold Corp. "AGC" in return for the shares issued the company's subsidiary obtained fully ownership of "AGC".

The Company claimed an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Act”) for the private placement of these securities pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Act and/or Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated thereunder since, among other things, the transaction did not involve a public offering, the Investor was an “accredited investor” and/or qualified institutional buyers, the Investor had access to information about the Company and its investment, the Investor took the securities for investment and not resale, and we took appropriate measures to restrict the transfer of the securities.

#### **ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.**

Not Applicable.

#### **ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

You should read the following discussion and analysis in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto, and the other financial data appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report.

The information set forth in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (“MD&A”) contains certain “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, Section 21 E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including, among others (i) expected changes in the Company’s revenues and profitability, (ii) prospective business opportunities and (iii) the Company’s strategy for financing its business. Forward-looking statements are statements other than historical information or statements of current condition. Some forward-looking statements may be identified by use of terms such as “believes”, “anticipates”, “intends” or “expects”. These forward-looking statements relate to the plans, objectives and expectations of the Company for future operations. Although the Company believes that its expectations with respect to the forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions within the bounds of its knowledge of its business and operations, in light of the risks and uncertainties inherent in all future projections, the inclusion of forward-looking statements in this Annual Report should not be regarded as a representation by the Company or any other person that the objectives or plans of the Company will be achieved.

The Company's revenues and results of operations could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements as a result of numerous factors, including, but not limited to, the following: (i) changes in external competitive market factors, (ii) termination of certain operating agreements or inability to enter into additional operating agreements, (iii) inability to satisfy anticipated working capital or other cash requirements, (iv) changes in or developments under domestic or foreign laws, regulations, governmental requirements or in the mining industry, (v) changes in the Company's business strategy or an inability to execute its strategy due to unanticipated changes in the market, (vi) various competitive factors that may prevent the Company from competing successfully in the marketplace, and (ix) the Company's lack of liquidity and its ability to raise additional capital. In light of these risks and uncertainties, there can be no assurance that actual results, performance or achievements of the Company will not differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The foregoing review of important factors should not be construed as exhaustive. The Company undertakes no obligation to release publicly the results of any future revisions it may make to forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

## OVERVIEW

The Company is an "exploration stage" company. During fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, the Company's activities centered on the exploration of USMetals' mining property known as the Twin Peaks Project in the Eureka Mining District of Yavapai County, Arizona, the exploration of the Picacho Salton Project Claims in the Mesquite Mining District of Imperial County, California. During the fiscal year, the Company did not engage in any commercially viable operations and realized no revenues from its activities. The annual costs incurred to date were primarily for the continued exploration of the Company's mining properties including the current drilling program, expansion and maintenance of the Company's website, legal and accounting costs in conjunction with the Company's general and administrative expenses in anticipation of completing exploration and commencing a test production program on the Company's mining properties. The annual maintenance fee payment for the 476 claims owned by the Company is \$140 per 20 acre parcel, rather than per claim due to a change in policy by the BLM. The total annual cost increased from \$66,640 to \$88,200..

All of the Company's mining claims are held through its subsidiaries, USMetals, Inc. and Southwest Resource Development, Inc. Quantum GeoConsultants Group, LLC has agreed to supervise and direct the work of the Twin Peaks Project Picacho Salton Project Teams.

The Company owns 276 unpatented mining claims totaling 5,520 acres in the Eureka Mining District of Yavapai County, Arizona. These claims have a history of mining activity from the middle of the 19th century to the beginning of World War II. Gold, silver, copper and other minerals were recovered. The previous owners started acquisition of this claim group in the early 1940s and by the mid-1980s the claims group totaled 134 claims. Exploration, drilling and assessment work was done and several geological reports were completed indicating the presence of economically viable deposits of precious metals and complex ores.



In 2007 and 2008 we conducted exploration, testing, GPS surveying and re-staking of all claims, and completed Phases 1, 2 and 2.5 of a 3-phase drilling program; and in 2009 we added 36 claims to the group (see “Recent Developments”). In 2007 a feasibility study and technical report were prepared by Geological Support Services, LLC, which stated in part: “The feasibility study operating plan assumes an open cast quarry type operation containing [mineralized material]. The project anticipates utilizing conventional truck and shovel mining methods with the processing of ore at full production of 800 tons per day for the first year. Estimated mine life is 12.9 years. Production levels (and mine life) will increase as proven reserve amounts increase. The feasibility study, at the time it was written, assumed an economic base case, utilizing \$600 per ounce gold and \$12 per ounce silver.

In 2011 and 2012 The Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary, USMetals, Inc. and under the direction of Arizona Gold Corp, (“AGC”) conducted Phase 3 of its drilling program at Twin Peaks. The report provided by the onsite geologist has been reviewed by USC Corp’s Mining Consultant, Edwin Arbar, who has provided the company with an analysis that is summarized below:

#### Project Description and Contractors

Arizona Gold Corporation (“AGC”) contracted Pincock, Allen & Holt (“PAH”), an internationally known consulting firm, as AGC’s Project Consultants and Managers. The original PAH Chief Geologist, Barton Stone, began reconnoitering the Twin Peaks project and surrounding areas. Barton Stone then outlined a 13,000 feet drilling program to be directed at five (5) high interest areas of mineralization. Mr. Stone also recommended taking samples at five (5) foot intervals of cored rock. The five (5) foot sample interval for assay tests is a Mining Industry Standard.

The primary operator with total Project responsibility during the Phase 3 Drilling program was Arizona Gold Corporation (“AGC”). AGC contracted Michael Krokosz at the behest of PAH’s Geologist Craig Horlacher. Mr. Horlacher replaced Mr. Barton Stone who was the previous and first PAH Geologist on the Phase 3 contract at Twin Peaks. Mr. Stone was later reassigned by PAH before drilling was initiated.

The newly appointed PAH Chief Geologist, Craig Horlacher, formulated a sampling protocol for this project. Samples were to be taken at one (1) foot intervals and a portion of the split sample was sent to Arizona Assay Laboratory (“AAL”) to be fire assayed for gold and silver. One-half of each sample was kept by AGC in a secured site at the project office in Wickenburg, Arizona, for future reference. The assayer was instructed to retain all “pulp” material for each sample sent to them for processing and assaying. The samples of “pulp” and the remaining one-half of the core samples are now in the process of being re-checked by spectrographic analysis (XRF) for any metal content that may have been missed or inadvertently overlooked by the assay laboratory, AAL.

AGC contracted Boart Longyear Drilling Services (“BLDS”), rated as one of the largest international drilling companies in the mining industry. BLDS was hired to drill at locations thought to be drill targets of ore. PAH and AGC Geologists initially identified five (5) areas as prime locations to intersect precious metal deposits.

AGC initiated Phase 3 drilling program at Twin Peaks on November 9, 2011 in the Hayes Mine area. The objective was to drill alongside and then drill through the Quartz Dike at the Hayes Mine area. PAH has issued positive statements regarding the potential of the Twin Peaks property. PAH stated “Twin Peaks Property has definite upside potential” and continued, “...that a potential five million tons, or more of resources reside in the lengthy quartz vein structures...” Recent visits by Geologists and Mining Consults have suggested that the Quartz Dikes are a major part of the structurally controlled mineralization that has occurred at the Hayes Mine and other locations. The Hayes Mine was a prolific mineral producer. The mineral production was not from the Dike itself, but from the footwall area that parallels the Quartz Dike.

Michael Krokosz, AGC Chief Geologist, maintained the “sampling protocol” formulated by PAH Geologist, Craig Horlacher, by taking assay test samples at one (1) foot intervals of cored rock material.

## Analysis

There are several lessons learned from the experience of Phase 3 Drilling Project. Number one is the importance of concentrating on locating and testing when encountering the pathfinder metals, Iron and Iron Oxides, when associated with Quartz Dikes or any other rock structure. Ore has appeared in host rocks such as metagranites and schists that are often associated with the Quartz Dikes. Historical Geology comes into play with the association and relationships of various lithologies, which must be studied and recorded further in greater detail.

Quartz Dikes were formed millions of years ago by migrating magmatic solutions under intense heat and pressures thereby filling some fault systems on Twin Peaks that are now prominent Quartz and Pegmatite Dikes. While some faults were being filled with magmatic solutions, some faults were filled to form Quartz Dikes. The tectonic forces caused crushing, heavy fracturing and folding of existing rock structures that allowed the Hydrothermal (mineralized) waters to penetrate, disseminate and (sometimes) deposit metals throughout the fault/fracture zones. This process sometimes included Quartz Dikes and related dike systems. In other words, mineralization came long after the Quartz Dikes were formed. The mineralization was structurally controlled by fault systems and Dikes of all types (including Pegmatite Dikes).

Since it has been determined that mineralization came AFTER the Quartz Dikes were formed, it is now known that the origin of the mineral waters was probably from a massive detachment fault system located at great depths. Blocks of regional plates passed over each other by tectonic forces related to continental subduction.

Although the Phase 3 drilling project did not produce the number of expected results, the project Geologist and others are confident they know where the Feeder may be located. The Feeder location that fed the ore found and mined at the Crosby, Hayes, Swiss Belle and Glory Hole mines can be better identified.

New, state-of-the-art techniques and scientific instruments will be used by USMetals to capitalize on newly discovered locations as a result of the Phase 3 drilling program where significant gold values were discovered during the program, but not pursued, as the company believes should have been by geophysical surveying and testing to identify the structures where the Phase 3 core driller made a significant gold hit.

#### Next Steps

A new plan has been put in place to execute a new drilling program based on the planned geophysical 3-D model that will show the gold target(s) including size and depth of the target.

New ASTER satellite photos have recently been obtained for interpretation by a USMetals contractor. The interpretations will zero in on “geo-magnetic” and “mineral alteration” areas with readings that are then interpreted to identify target areas that indicate deep structural features and areas of alteration that are then targeted for geophysical (sub-surface) exploration.

A geophysical crew will conduct magnetic (magnetometer), VLF (very low frequency), and I. P. (Induced Polarity) surveys in the ASTER targeted areas that will ultimately produce 2-D and 3-D models showing specific locations as drill targets. These scientific procedures (previously unused) will eliminate reliance on visually targeted surface mineralization areas that was previously used by AGC during Phase 3 Drilling Project to locate the drill holes.

The geophysical tests are capable of showing details of sub-surface geological structures up to one thousand (1,000) feet (or more) below surface. USMetals has integrated this new technology for the next phase by employing state-of-the-art technologies previously not used on the Phase 3 drilling project. Newly formulated and patented mineral location Software, NASA satellite technology and complex Electro-Therm computerized systems can now reveal detailed sub-surface geology by sending electrical signals down through solid rock structures to locate Minerals, Oil and Gas.

The Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary Southwest Resource development, Inc., (“Southwest”) owns 200 unpatented lode and placer mining claims totaling approximately 5,760 acres in the Mesquite Mining District of eastern Imperial County, California which the Company refers to as the Picacho Salton Project Claims. These claims and the surrounding Mesquite Mining District have a history of mining activity going back almost 200 years. The majority or most of the exploration, drilling and assessment work at the Picacho Salton Project Claims in the Mesquite Mining District of Imperial County was done and geological reports were completed by prior owners in the 1980s and indicated at that time the presence of economically viable deposits of precious metals.

In 2008 we conducted additional exploration, testing, GPS surveying and re-staking of all claims, and added a total of 77 claims to the group of which 70 claims are primarily gold bearing and seven claims, approximately 140 acres, are Pink Rhyolite (decorative rock) and construction grade aggregate. Geological Support Services LLC completed a feasibility study covering the gold claims, it says in part: “The feasibility study operating plan assumes an open cast quarry type operation containing [mineralized material]. The plan anticipates conventional truck and shovel mining techniques. Processing will be phased according to ore type and permit approvals. Phase 1 being a wash and sedimentation gravity system with initial production capacity of 1,000 tons per day ramping to 6,000 tons per day. This type of operation has been proven to achieve .02 ounce per ton recovery, in the targeted placers. With approval of cyanide leach permits, the implementation of leaching facilities will increase recovery to the 87% target. Also along with the construction of the leaching facilities, the milling circuit for processing the hard rock lode ore will be constructed. This grinding circuit will be designed to crush incoming hard rock down to 150- prior to gravity separation and leaching. Although this study is based on production of 6,000 tons a day it is anticipated that if additional water resources are developed production could be increased to greater levels. Mine life is estimated to be in excess of 20 years. The feasibility study, at the time it was written, assumed an economic base case utilizing a \$600 per ounce gold price.

In 2008 we submitted a Mining Plan of Operations (“MPO”) to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to conduct a 3-phase drilling program. Our MPO has been approved by the BLM and as of the date of this report we are in the process of obtaining permits from local state and county agencies in order to proceed with our drilling program.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

### **Revenues**

During the year ended September 30, 2012 the company recorded revenue in the amount \$265 as compared to \$0 for the prior year. The company is still an exploration stage company and the revenue that was recorded was a result of sale of raw materials not operating income.

### **Operating expenses**

General and Administrative – General and Administrative expenses for the year ended September 30, 2012 totaled \$324,185 as compared to \$350,786 for the prior year. The decrease is mainly related to lower payroll taxes incurred for 2012.

Consulting – Consulting expenses were \$689,328 for the year ended September 30, 2012 as compared to \$1,256,386 for the year ended September 30, 2011. The decrease is mainly related to a decreased reliance on consultants in 2012.

Financing fees – Financing fees for the year ended September 30, 2012 totaled \$1,824,698 as compared to \$0 for the year ended September 30, 2011.

The increase is related to \$1,824,698 in financing fees incurred as a result of allowing warrant holders to purchase shares at a beneficial discount in exchange for the forfeiture of warrants.

Mining Development – Mining Development expenses were \$745,091 for the year ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$171,738 for the year ended September 30, 2011, representing an increase of \$573,353. The increase is related to an increase in exploration activity on the company's mining claims.

Professional Fees – Professional fees for the year ended September 30, 2012 were \$343,314 as compared to \$352,318 for the year ended September 30, 2011. The decrease is related to a decrease in legal fees in 2012.

### **Other income and expenses**

During the twelve months ended September 30, 2012 the company earned interest income in the amount of \$567 compared to earnings of \$285 during the year ended September 30, 2011.

The company incurred \$574,875 in interest expense for year ended September 30, 2012. During the year ended September 30, 2011 the company incurred interest expense in the amount of \$447,054.

The company recorded losses on debt conversion during the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 in the amounts of \$980,514 and \$6,000 respectively. These losses are related to the issuance of stock for settlement of debt during the years.

The company recorded losses on unhedged derivatives during the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 in the amounts of \$418,843 and \$746,989 respectively. These losses are related to the fluctuation of the fair market value of gold bullion.

### **Net loss attributable to common stock**

The company realized a net loss of \$5,900,016 for the year ended September 30, 2012, compared to a net loss of \$3,170,035 for the year ended September 30, 2011, an increase of \$2,729,981. The increase is primarily related to the \$1,824,698 in financing fees incurred as a result of allowing warrant holders to purchase shares at a beneficial discount in exchange for the forfeiture of warrants and the loss on the convertible debt settlement.

### **Liquidity and capital resources**

At September 30, 2012, the company had cash in the amount of \$429,626, and a working capital deficit of \$4,630,824 as compared to cash in the amount of \$1,687,001 and a working capital deficit of \$3,016,543 as of September 30, 2011. In addition, our stockholders' deficit was \$1,789,767 at September 30, 2012, compared to stockholders' deficit of \$2,999,212 at September 30, 2011.

Our accumulated deficit increased from \$20,997,853 at September 30, 2011 to \$27,050,820 at September 30, 2012.

Our operations used net cash of \$(1,974,729) during the year ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$(1,676,112) during the year ended September 30, 2011, an increase of \$(298,617).

Our cash used for investing activities was \$(20,283) for the year ended September 30, 2012 and \$(19,816) for the year ended September 30, 2011.

Our financing activities provided net cash of \$737,637 during the year ended September 30, 2012, compared to net cash of \$3,027,130 during the year ended September 30, 2011.

### **Inflation**

The Company's results of operations have not been affected by inflation and management does not expect inflation to have a material impact on its operations in the future.

**Off- Balance Sheet Arrangements**

The Company currently does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

## ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

**USCorp****(an Exploration Stage Company)****Consolidated Balance Sheets****As of September 30, 2012 and 2011**

	September 30, 2012	(RESTATED) September 30, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$429,626	\$ 1,687,001
Deposit	--	10,000
Deferred charge	42,504	116,204
Total current assets	472,130	1,813,205
Other assets:		
Property & equipment- net	13,150	17,331
Mining claims	2,666,907	--
Real property	161,000	--
Total assets	\$3,313,187	\$ 1,830,536
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable & accrued expenses	\$103,757	\$ 184,937
Loan payable related party	6,000	--
Loan payable	141,000	--
Gold bullion loan	4,852,197	4,063,111
Convertible debenture payable	--	581,700
Total current liabilities	5,102,954	4,829,748
Shareholders' deficit:		
Series A preferred stock, one share convertible to eight shares of common; par value \$0.001, 30,000,000 shares authorized, 5,600,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2011 and 25,600,000 at September 30, 2012	25,600	5,600
Series B preferred stock, one share convertible to two shares of common; 10% cumulative stated dividend, stated value \$0.50, 50,000,000 shares authorized, 141,687 outstanding at September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2012	70,844	70,844
	5,060	5,060



Edgar Filing: USCORP - Form 10-K

Common stock B- \$.001 par value, authorized 250,000,000 shares, issued and outstanding, 5,060,500 shares at September 30, 2011 and 5,060,500 at September 30, 2012

Common stock A- \$.01 par value, authorized 650,000,000 shares authorized, issued and outstanding, 194,966,620 shares at September 30, 2011 and 324,009,052 at September 30, 2012	3,240,089	1,949,665
Subscriptions payable	727,500	--
Subscriptions receivable	(13,250)	(840,000)
Additional paid in capital	21,205,210	16,120,423
Accumulated deficit - exploration stage	(27,050,820)	(20,997,853)
Total shareholders' deficit of the Company	(1,789,767 )	(3,686,261)
Non-controlling interest	--	687,049
Total shareholder's deficit to the Company	(1,789,767 )	(2,999,212)
Total Liabilities & Shareholders' Deficit	\$3,313,187	\$1,830,536

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.**

**USCorp****(an Exploration Stage Company)****Consolidated Statements of Operations****For the Years Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011****and from Inception, (May 22, 1989) through September 30, 2012**

	<b>Years ended</b>		<b>Inception</b>
	<b>September 30, 2012</b>	<b>(RESTATED)</b>	<b>(May 22, 1989)</b>
		<b>September 30, 2011</b>	<b>to</b>
			<b>September 30, 2012</b>
Revenues	\$ 265	\$ -	265
General and administrative expenses:			
Consulting	\$ 689,328	\$ 1,248,386	\$ 9,335,618
Financing Fee	1,824,698	-	1,824,698
General and administrative	324,185	350,786	7,386,008
Mining development	745,091	171,738	1,276,185
Professional fees	343,314	352,318	1,409,765
Total operating expenses	3,926,616	2,123,228	21,232,274
Loss from operations	(3,926,616 )	(2,123,228)	(21,232,274 )
Other income (expenses):			
Interest income	567	285	8,760
Interest expense	(574,875 )	(447,054)	(2,109,854 )
Loss on convertible debt settlement	(980,514 )	(6,000)	(986,514 )
Gain (loss) on unhedged derivative	(418,843 )	(746,989)	(2,731,203 )
Total other income (expense)	(1,973,665 )	(1,199,758)	(5,818,811 )
Net loss	(5,900,016 )	(3,322,986)	(27,050,820 )
Less: Net loss attributable to non-controlling interest	-	(152,951)	-
Net loss attributable to the Company	\$ (5,900,016 )	\$ (3,170,035)	\$ (27,050,820 )
Basic & fully diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.02 )	\$ (0.02 )	
Weighted average of common shares outstanding:			
Basic & fully diluted	260,197,914	170,858,735	

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.**

US Corp and Subsidiaries  
 Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Deficit  
 (An Exploration Stage Company)  
 From Inception (May 22, 1989) to September 30, 2012  
**(RESTATED)**

	Series A preferred Stock		Series B preferred Stock		Common Stock - Class B		Common Stock - Class A	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount
Inception	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of common stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	84,688	847
Net income fiscal 1990	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 1990	-	-	-	-	-	-	84,688	847
Net income fiscal 1991	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 1991	-	-	-	-	-	-	84,688	847
Issuance of common stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	472	5
Net income fiscal 1992	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance of September 30, 1992	-	-	-	-	-	-	85,160	852
Net loss fiscal 1993	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance of September 30, 1993	-	-	-	-	-	-	85,160	852
Net loss fiscal 1994	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

## Edgar Filing: USCORP - Form 10-K

Balance of September 30, 1994	-	-	-	-	-	-	85,160	852
Net income fiscal 1995	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance of September 30, 1995	-	-	-	-	-	-	85,160	852
Net loss fiscal 1996	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance of September 30, 1996	-	-	-	-	-	-	85,160	852
Stock issued for mining claim	-	-	-	-	-	-	150,000	1,500
Issuance of common stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,000	500
Stock issued for services	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,878	149
Net loss fiscal 1997	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 1997	-	-	-	-	-	-	300,038	3,001
Capital contributed by shareholder	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net loss fiscal 1998	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 1998	-	-	-	-	-	-	300,038	3,001
Capital contributed by shareholder	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net income fiscal 1999	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Edgar Filing: USCORP - Form 10-K

Balance at September 30, 1999	-	-	-	-	-	-	300,038	3,001
Capital contributed by shareholder	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net loss fiscal 2000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2000	-	-	-	-	-	-	300,038	3,001
Issuance of common stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	103,535	1,035
Issued stock for compensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,000	500
Capital contributed by shareholder	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net loss fiscal 2001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2001	-	-	-	-	-	-	453,573	4,536
Issued stock to purchase mining claim	-	-	-	-	-	-	24,200,000	242,000
Issued shares to employees	-	-	-	-	-	-	267,500	2,675
Capital contributed by shareholders	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net loss for the fiscal year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2002	-	-	-	-	-	-	24,921,073	249,211

Edgar Filing: USCORP - Form 10-K

Issued stock for services	-	-	-	-	-	-	872,000	8,720
Beneficial conversion feature	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital contributed by shareholders	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net loss for the fiscal year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2003	-	-	-	-	-	-	25,793,073	257,931
Issuance of common stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	550,000	5,500
Issuance of Preferred B Stock	-	-	155,000	77,500	-	-	-	-
Issued stock to pay bills	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,069,945	10,699
Issued stock for services	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,118,444	21,184
Net loss for the fiscal year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2004	-	-	155,000	77,500	-	-	29,531,462	295,314
Issuance of common stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	150,000	1,500
Issued stock for services	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,840,000	28,400
Issued stock to pay debt	-	-	-	-	-	-	400,000	4,000
Issuance of warrants	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Edgar Filing: USCORP - Form 10-K

Net loss for the  
fiscal year

Balance at September 30, 2005	-	-	155,000	77,500	-	-	32,921,462	329,214
Issued stock for services	-	-	-	-	-	-	885,000	8,850
Issuance of Common B	-	-	-	-	5,000,000	5,000	-	-
Net loss for the period	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2006	-	-	155,000	77,500	5,000,000	5,000	33,806,462	338,064
Issued stock for services	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,000	500
Issuance of Common B shares	-	-	-	-	60,500	60	-	-
Issuance of convertible debt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net loss for the fiscal year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2007	-	-	155,000	77,500	5,060,500	5,060	33,856,462	338,564
Issuance of common stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,181,332	121,813
Issued stock for services	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,348,220	73,481
Issuance of Preferred A shares	5,143,750	5,144	-	-	-	-	-	-
Conversion of debentures	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,200,000	72,000
	-	-	(13,313)	(6,656)	-	-	26,626	266



Conversion of preferred stock								
Issuance of convertible debt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net loss for the fiscal period-as restated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2008	5,143,750	5,144	141,687	70,884	5,060,000	5,060	60,612,640	606,126
Issuance of common stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,661,765	126,618
Issued stock for services	-	-	-	-	-	-	845,064	8,451
Issued stock to settle lawsuit	-	-	-	-	-	-	200,000	2,000
Cancellation of Preferred A	(93,750)	(94)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of Preferred A shares	1,443,750	1,444	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of convertible debt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net loss of the year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2009	6,493,750	6,494	141,687	70,884	5,060,000	5,060	74,319,469	743,195
Issuance of common stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	31,680,388	316,804
Issued stock & warrants for services	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,678,566	86,786
Exercise of warrants	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,776,975	117,770
	1,343,750	1,344	-	-	-	-	-	-

Edgar Filing: USCORP - Form 10-K

Issued Preferred  
A shares

Converted preferred A	(1,187,500)	(1,188)	-	-	-	-	9,500,000	95,000
Net loss for the year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2010	6,650,000	6,650	141,687	70,844	5,060,500	5,060	135,955,398	1,359,500
Shares issued for cash	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,959,631	109,596
Shares issued for services	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,016,591	30,166
Shares issued in connection with debt	-	-	-	-	-	-	800,000	8,000
Warrants exercised for Class A common shares	-	-	-	-	-	-	32,235,000	322,350
Warrants exercised for services rendered	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,000,000	20,000
Warrants exercised in connection with debt	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,600,000	16,000
Preferred A converted to common stock	(1,050,000)	(1,050)	-	-	-	-	8,400,000	84,000
Subsidiary shares sold for cash	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shares to be retired associated with the AGC agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Edgar Filing: USCORP - Form 10-K

Warrants issued for services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Increase in non-controlling interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2011	5,600,000	5,600	141,687	70,844	5,060,500	5,060	194,966,620	1,949,600	
Shares issued for cash	20,000,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	6,030,000	60,300	
Shares issued for services	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,165,000	21,650	
Shares issued in connection with debt	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,894,100	228,940	
Warrants exercised for Class A common shares	-	-	-	-	-	-	66,518,332	665,183	
Shares issued pursuant to 2009 stock incentive plan	-	-	-	-	-	-	635,000	6,350	
Financing fees charged for warrants exercised below exercise price	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Share exchange to purchase non-controlling interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,800,000	308,000	
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2012	25,600,000	\$25,600	141,687	\$70,844	5,060,500	\$5,060	324,009,052	\$3,240,000	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



**USCorp****(an Exploration Stage Company)****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****For the Years Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011****and from Inception, (May 22, 1989) through September 30, 2012**

	September 30, 2012	(RESTATED) September 30, 2011	Inception (May 22, 1989) to September 30, 2012
Operating activities:			
Net (loss) for the period	\$(5,900,016)	\$ (3,322,986 )	\$ (27,050,820 )
Items not involving cash:			
Warrants issued for debt extension	-	96,000	96,000
Financing fees for warrants	1,824,698	-	1,824,968
Loss on conversion of notes	980,514	6,000	986,514
Impairment expense	-	-	3,049,465
Interest	574,875	346,004	1,867,594
Shares issued to settle legal liability	-	-	12,000
Loss on non-hedged derivative	418,843	746,989	2,731,203
Shares issued for services	109,850	355,585	5,352,898
Shares issued for 2009 comp plan	17,850	-	17,850
Change in deferred charge	-	(116,204 )	-
Depreciation and amortization	4,464	2,861	24,504
Changes in non-cash working capital:			
Change in deposits	10,000	(10,000 )	-
Change in accounts payable	(15,807 )	159,639	103,757
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(1,974,729)	(1,676,112 )	(10,924,067 )
Investing activities:			
Used in asset purchase	(20,283 )	(19,816 )	(57,654 )
Net cash used in investing activities	(20,283 )	(19,816 )	(57,654 )
Financing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of shares for cash	123,350	1,812,380	7,235,605
Proceeds from convertible note	-	-	1,300,000
Payments on convertible notes	(25,000 )	(133,500 )	(158,500 )
Proceeds from gold bullion note	-	-	648,282
Capital contributed by shareholder	-	-	356,743
Proceeds from related party	6,535	43,632	446,983
Payments on related party	(535)	(85,582 )	(440,983 )
Proceeds from warrants exercised	633,287	1,390,200	2,023,487
Net cash provided by financing activities	737,637	3,027,130	11,411,617

Net increase (decrease) in cash	(1,257,375)	1,331,202	429,626
Cash, beginning of period	1,687,001	355,799	-
Cash, end of period	429,626	1,687,001	429,626
Interest Paid with Cash	\$46,000	-	
Taxes Paid with Cash	\$-	-	
Supplemental schedule of non-cash activities:			
Increase(decrease) in stock based deferred interest charge	42,504	(116,204)	
Interest paid in shares	111,372	-	
Interest paid through debt transfer	-	8,500	

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.**

**USCorp**

**(an Exploration Stage Company)**

**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**

**For the Years Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011**

1. Organization of the Company and Significant Accounting Principles

USCorp (the “Company”) is a publicly held corporation formed in May 1989 in the state of Nevada. In April 2002 the Company acquired USMetals, Inc. (“USMetals”), a Nevada corporation, and its 141 unpatented mining claims known as the Twin Peaks Project in Yavapai County Arizona. The Twin Peaks Project now consists of 268 unpatented Lode and 8 Placer Claims. In addition, The Company, through its subsidiary Southwest Resource Development, Inc., owns 200 unpatented Lode and Placer Claims on five properties in the Mesquite Mining District of Imperial County, California, which the Company collectively refers to as the Picacho Salton Project.

In April 2002 the Company acquired USMetals, Inc. (“USMetals”), a Nevada corporation, by issuing 24,200,000 shares of common stock. USMetals became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company.

On March 22, 2011 the Company through its wholly owned subsidiary USMetals entered into an Asset Funding/Operation and Shareholders Agreement, and exhibits thereto with Arizona Gold Corp., a private British Columbia Corporation (“AGC”) and its wholly owned subsidiary, AGC Corp, a private Arizona company (“AGCAZ”), providing for the sale of 172 Arizona mining claims known as the Twin Peaks Project to AGCAZ in exchange for 90,200,000 shares or 61.34% of AGC’s common stock. The Twin Peaks Project now consists of 268 Lode and 8 Placer Claims.

In September 2012 we completed the unwinding of the Agreement with AGC. The key elements of the unwinding were: AGC Corp, a private Arizona corporation in whose name the Twin Peaks Project claims are held, became a wholly owned (100%) subsidiary of USMetals, Inc., which is a wholly owned (100%) subsidiary of USCorp; All of the Twin Peaks Project Claims are 100% under USMetals’ control and therefore under USCorp’s control; All remaining assets of AGC Corp have been transferred to USMetals, in exchange for shares of USCorp; All AGC Corp shareholders are now shareholders of USCorp; and Arizona Gold Corp, AGC Corp’s parent, will be dissolved in the future.

The Company has minimal revenues to date and has defined itself as an “exploration stage” company.

*Exploration Stage Company-* the Company has no operations or revenues since its inception and therefore qualifies for treatment as an Exploration Stage company as per the accounting guidance. Financial transactions are accounted for as per generally accepted accounting principles. Costs incurred during the development stage are accumulated in “accumulated deficit- exploration stage” and are reported in the Stockholders’ Deficit section of the balance sheet.

*Consolidation-* The consolidated financial statements incorporate the results, cash flows and net assets of USCorp and the entities controlled by it (its subsidiaries) after eliminating internal transactions and recognizing any non-controlling interests in those Entities. Control is achieved where the Group has the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an investee entity so as to obtain economic benefits from its activities. Where subsidiaries are acquired or disposed of in the year, their results and cash flows are included from the effective date of acquisition or up to the effective disposal date.

Where a consolidated company is less than 100% owned by the Group, the non-controlling interest share of the results and net assets are recognized at each reporting date. The interests of non-controlling shareholders are ordinarily measured at the non-controlling interests’ proportionate share of the fair value of the acquirer’s identifiable net assets, but may alternatively be initially measured at fair value. The choice of measurement is made on an acquisition-by-acquisition basis. Subsequent to acquisition, the carrying amount of non-controlling interests is the amount of those interests at initial recognition plus the non-controlling interests’ share of subsequent changes in equity. Total comprehensive income is attributed to the non-controlling interests even if this results in the non-controlling interests having a deficit balance.

Changes in the Group’s interests in subsidiaries that do not result in a loss of control are accounted for as equity transactions. The carrying amount of the Group’s interests and the non-controlling interests are adjusted to reflect the changes in their relative interests in the subsidiaries. Any difference between the amount by which the non-controlling interests are adjusted and the fair value of the consideration paid or received is recognized directly in equity and attributed to equity holders of the parent.



*Use of Estimates-* The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make reasonable estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of the assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses at the date of the financial statements and for the period they include. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

*Cash-* For the purpose of calculating changes in cash flows, cash includes all cash balances and highly liquid short-term investments with an original maturity of three months or less.

*Fair Value of Financial Instruments-* The carrying amounts reflected in the balance sheets for cash, deferred charges, accounts payable and accrued expenses and loans payable approximate the respective fair values due to the short maturities of these items. The Company does not hold any investments that are available-for-sale.

*Long Lived Assets-* The Company reviews for the impairment of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. An impairment loss would be recognized when estimated future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition is less than its carrying amount.

*Property and Equipment-* Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation expense on equipment is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset, which is estimated at three years.

*Income taxes-* The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles which requires an asset and liability approach to financial accounting and reporting for income taxes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed annually for differences between the consolidated financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities that will result in taxable income or deductible expenses in the future based on enacted tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets and liabilities to the amount expected to be realized. Income tax expense is the tax payable or refundable for the period adjusted for the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

The Company follows the accounting requirements associated with uncertainty in income taxes using the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) ASC 740, *Income Taxes*. Using that guidance, tax positions initially need to be recognized in the financial statements when it is more likely than not the positions will be sustained upon examination by the tax authorities. It also provides guidance for derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. As of September 30, 2012, the Company has no uncertain tax positions that qualify for either recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. All tax returns from tax years

2007 to 2010 are subject to IRS audit.

*Mineral Property Expenditures-* Mineral property acquisition costs are capitalized in accordance with FASB ASC 930-805, "Extractive Activities-Mining," when management has determined that probable future benefits consisting of a contribution to future cash inflows have been identified and adequate financial resources are available or are expected to be available as required to meet the terms of property acquisition and budgeted exploration and development expenditures. Mineral property acquisition costs are expensed as incurred if the criteria for capitalization are not met. In the event that mineral property acquisition costs are paid with Company shares, those shares are recorded at the estimated fair value at the time the shares are due in accordance with the terms of the property agreements.

Mineral property exploration costs are expensed as incurred. When it has been determined that a mineral property can be economically developed as a result of establishing proven and probable reserves and pre-feasibility, the costs incurred to develop such property are capitalized. Estimated future removal and site restoration costs, when determinable are provided over the life of proven reserves on a units-of-production basis. Costs, which include production equipment removal and environmental remediation, are estimated each period by management based on current regulations, actual expenses incurred, and technology and industry standards. Any charge is included in exploration expense or the provision for depletion and depreciation during the period and the actual restoration expenditures are charged to the accumulated provision amounts as incurred.

*Revenue Recognition-* Mineral sales will result from undivided interests held by the Company in mineral properties. Sales of minerals will be recognized when delivered to be picked up by the purchaser. Mineral sales from marketing activities will result from sales by the Company of minerals produced by the Company (or affiliated entities) and will be recognized when delivered to purchasers. Mining revenues generated from the Company's day rate contracts, included in mine services revenue, will be recognized as services are performed or delivered.

*Earnings per share*- The Company follows ASC Topic 260 to account for earnings per share. Basic earnings per common share (“EPS”) calculations are determined by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per common share calculations are determined by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares and dilutive common share equivalents outstanding. During periods when common stock equivalents, if any, are anti-dilutive they are not considered in the computation.

***Submission of matters to security holders for vote***

During the period of this report the following matters were submitted to a vote of Security Holders.

A majority the Shareholders of USCorp unanimously approved the following actions be taken by the Board of Directors:

1. The Board of Directors are authorized to re-negotiate the “Gold Bullion Loan” to gain an extension of time to repay the loan from the lender under terms and conditions acceptable to the Board and to the Investors;
2. The Board of Directors are authorized to distribute to the corporation’s shareholders as a dividend shares in the USMetals, Inc., and Southwest Resource Development, Inc., at a proportionate rate of 1 subsidiary share for every 10 USCorp shares owned of Common A, Common B, and Series A and B Preferred shares owned (based on conversion of Preferred shares to Common shares); fractions to be rounded to the next highest full share;
3. The Board of Directors are authorized to implement such spinoff(s) and share distributions under conditions it deems prudent as soon as practical to do so;
4. The Board of Directors are authorized to raise funds by selling stock in a manner, for a price and at a time to be determined by the Board;
5. The Board of Directors are authorized to make whatever acquisitions, mergers or joint ventures it deems necessary or beneficial to further the development of the Company’s mining claims and properties; and
6. The prior actions of the Board of Directors during fiscal 2012 are approved by the Shareholders.

2. Going Concern

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, which assume the continuity of the Company as a going concern. However, the Company has incurred significant losses since its inception and has no revenues and continues to rely on the issuance of shares and warrants to raise capital to fund its business operations.

Management’s plans with regard to this matter are as follows:

Edgar Filing: USCORP - Form 10-K

\* Obtain the necessary approvals and permits to complete exploration and begin test production on our properties as warranted. An application for drilling on Picacho Salton Project has been submitted by us to the Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”) and is being reviewed by them. A drilling plan for the newly-expanded Twin Peaks Project was approved and commenced in November 2011 and was completed in the spring of 2012.

\* Receive BLM permit for Picacho Salton Project in California; Drill the Picacho Salton Project.

\* Receive and analyze the Twin Peaks assays and drill reports and Picacho Salton assays and drill reports;

\* Review the results of the drilling programs on each of the sites when completed. After consideration of the nature of the ore bodies of the properties, Management will make decisions regarding further development of the properties, including beginning commercial scale operations when exploration is completed on the Twin Peaks Project and the Picacho Salton Project.

\* Continue exploration and ramp up transitioning to development and production in order to meet ongoing and anticipated demand for gold and silver.

\* Continue to augment our mining exploration team and strategic business relationships with quality and results-oriented people as needed: professionals and consulting firms to advise management to handle mining operations, acquisitions and development of existing and future mineral resource properties.

\* Continue to recruit strategic business alliances with consultants, engineers, contractors as well as joint venture partners when appropriate, and set up an information and communication network that allows the alliance to function effectively to develop the properties.

\* Draw up and Submit to the BLM the final Mining Plan of Operations ("MPO") for the Twin Peaks; Submit the MPO to the BLM;

\* Submit the Final MPO on the Picacho Salton Project to the BLM.

\* Begin commercial scale operations on one or more of the properties as soon as the required permits and approvals have been granted, or be acquired by a major gold mining company.

\* Continue to acquire additional properties and/or from strategic business relationships with corporations with properties as joint ventures or subsidiaries in order to advance the company's growth plans.

### 3. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment at September 30, 2012 and 2011 is comprised as follows.

	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
Office equipment	\$ 4,034	\$ 3,751
Vehicle	16,065	16,065
Accumulated depreciation	(6,949	) (2,485 )
Property & equipment- net	\$ 13,150	\$ 17,331

In the year ending 2012 the company purchased real property from a related party located near the twin peaks claims. The purchase price of the property was \$161,000, the company made a cash payment of \$20,000 and signed a \$141,000 promissory note. The note bears an annual interest rate of 5% and payments are due quarterly. The note is secured by the real property obtained in the purchase. The company plans to use the house as a headquarters for exploration of the claims.

#### 4. Mineral Property

On March 22, 2011 USCorp (“USCorp” or the “Company”) filed a Current Report on Form 8-K to disclose that its wholly owned subsidiary, USMetals, Inc. (“USMetals”) entered into an Asset Funding/Operation and Shareholders Agreement, and exhibits thereto (the “Transaction”) with Arizona Gold Company, a private British Columbia Corporation (“AGC”), Arizona Gold Founders, LLC a private California limited liability company (“AGF”) and William and Denise DuBarry Hay (collectively, “Hay”) providing for the sale of USMetals’ 172 Arizona mining claims known as the Twin Peaks Project (the “Twin Peaks Project”) to AGC Corp., an Arizona limited liability company, a wholly owned subsidiary of AGC, in exchange for up to 120,000,000 shares or 90.1% of AGC’s common stock (the “Transaction”). USCorp has taken steps to unwind the Transaction pursuant to an Agreement (the “Agreement”) dated June 28, 2012, and amended on June 30, 2012, by a First Amendment to the Agreement to provide that the Closing (as defined in the Agreement) was to take place not later than September 10, 2012 (“Unwinding”).

The Unwinding between the parties was consummated in several steps including the transfer of all of the Twin Peak Claims to USMetals by transfer of the stock of AGC Corp. to it, the delivery of certain USCorp stock to the former shareholders of AGC, and the redelivery of certain shares of USCorp shares to Kaswit and AGF or Hay. A total of 30,800,000 shares valued at \$1,540,000 (\$0.05 per share) were issued to former shareholders of AGC Corp and an additional 12,000,000 shares valued at \$600,000 (\$0.05 per share) remain to be issued. In addition 14,000,000 shares of USCORP valued at \$840,000 were held by “Hay” and per the March 22, 2011 agreement were required to be returned in exchange for the AGC Corp. shares initially issued to “Hay”. The shares receivable were reassigned to “Hays” in return for their outstanding shares of AGC Corp. The total value of the investment purchased was determined to be \$2,666,907. This value was assigned to claims that became 100% owned by USMetals (100% owned subsidiary) as part of the transaction. These claims were analyzed for impairment and the company deemed no impairment necessary as of September 30, 2012.

## 5. Gold Bullion Promissory Note

In September 2005, the Company issued a promissory note to a shareholder and received proceeds of \$648,282. The note requires the Company to pay the shareholder 2,507 ounces of Gold Bullion (.999 pure) and accrued interest of 9% compounded annually. Originally, the promissory note came due in September 2007. Subsequently, the holder of the note extended the maturity date on an informal ongoing basis. The loan had been in default but the maturity date was extended to March 31, 2012 in exchange for 1,600,000 shares of common stock. The loan entered default again until the company negotiated with the lender to extend the maturity date of the loan until December 31, 2012 by the issuance of 2,550,000 share of stock along with the stipulation that cash payments totaling \$78,774 be made per an outlined schedule. At this time the Company has not made the required payments and the loan is considered in default. The Company continues to accrue interest and to calculate the loan at fair value. Due to the fluctuation of price of Gold a gain or loss on the underlying gold derivative on the promissory note has been calculated based upon the difference between the fair market value of an ounce of Gold Bullion on the date the agreement is executed and the current fair market value of Gold Bullion (.999 pure).

	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
Principal	\$635,663	\$635,663
Accrued interest	1,485,340	1,115,096
Life to date loss on unhedged underlying derivative	2,731,194	2,312,352
Carrying value	\$4,852,197	\$4,063,111

## 6. Convertible Debentures

During the fiscal year 2007, the Company issued convertible debentures with a face value of \$1,200,000. The debentures were convertible into common stock at \$0.125 per share. The debentures had an interest rate of 5%. During the fiscal year 2008, the holder of these debentures converted \$900,000 of the debentures to 7,200,000 shares of common stock.

In fiscal year 2008 the Company issued an additional convertible debenture to the same holder and received proceeds of \$200,000. This debenture is exercisable into common stock at \$0.125 per share, and has an interest rate of 4%.

In fiscal year 2009 the Company issued an additional convertible debenture to the same holder and received proceeds of \$200,000. This debenture is exercisable into common stock at \$0.125 per share, and has an interest rate of 4%. The Company issued an additional \$56,700 debenture during fiscal year 2009 exercisable at \$0.15 per share and at an interest rate of 5%.

In fiscal year 2011, all of the debentures had been in default but the maturity dates were extended to March 2012 in exchange for the payment of 800,000 shares of common stock and \$125,000 as payment for \$175,000 of the debentures.

In December 2011 the Company paid \$25,000 as agreed to further reduce the convertible debenture balance.

In the second quarter of 2012 the company settled the remaining debt and related interest through a payment of \$46,000 cash and issuing a total of 22,894,100 shares valued at \$1,602,587 (\$0.07 per share). A total of \$556,700 in principal and \$111,373 in interest were retired.

## **7. Rights of USCorp Securities**

### **SERIES A CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK RIGHTS, PREFERENCES AND ENTITLEMENTS**

**Designation and Amount:** The shares of Series A Preferred Stock and each have a par value of one-tenth of one cent (\$0.001). There are 30,000,000 Series A Preferred shares authorized and 25,600,000 shares outstanding. Preferred A Shares are available to Officers and Directors for purchase at par value per shareholder vote and Board vote. The Corporation may not issue fractional shares of the Series A Preferred Stock.

**Rank:** The Series A Preferred Stock, with respect to rights on liquidation, winding up and dissolution, ranks senior to the Corporation's Class A and Class B Common Stock, and to any issued Preferred B Stock.



Conversion Rights: Each Series A Preferred Share may be converted into eight (8) shares of the Corporation's Class A Common Stock.

Voting: The shares of Preferred A stock hold voting rights of 8 votes for each Preferred A share. The outstanding shares at September 30, 2012 have ability to vote 204,800,000 shares.

#### SERIES B CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK RIGHTS, PREFERENCES AND ENTITLEMENTS

Designation and Amount: The shares of Series B Preferred Stock have a stated value of (\$0.50). There are 50,000,000 Series B Preferred shares authorized and 141,687 shares outstanding. The Corporation may not issue fractional shares of the Series B Preferred Stock.

Rank: The Series B Preferred Stock with respect to rights on liquidation, winding up and dissolution, ranks senior to the Corporation's Common Stock and to any subsequently issued Preferred Stock, but ranks junior to the Corporations Series A Preferred Stock.

Conversion Rights: Each Series B Preferred Share may be converted into two (2) shares of the Corporation's Class A Common Stock.

Voting: The shares of Series B Preferred Stock hold no voting rights.

#### CLASS A COMMON STOCK RIGHTS, PREFERENCES AND ENTITLEMENTS

Designation and Amount: The shares of Class A Common Stock each have a par value of one cent (\$0.01). There are 650,000,000 Class A common shares authorized and 324,009,052 shares outstanding. The Corporation may not issue fractional shares of the Class A Common Stock.

Rank: The Class A Common Stock, with respect to rights on liquidation, winding up and dissolution, ranks senior to the Corporation's Class B Common Stock, and junior to any issued Preferred Stock.

Voting: The shares of Class A Common Stock holds voting rights of 1 vote for each Class A Common share.

#### CLASS B COMMON STOCK RIGHTS, PREFERENCES AND ENTITLEMENTS

Designation and Amount: The shares of Class B Common Stock each have a par value of one-tenth of one cent (\$0.001). There are 250,000,000 Class B Common shares authorized and 5,060,500 shares outstanding. The Corporation may not issue fractional shares of the Class B Common Stock.

Rank: The Class B Common Stock, with respect to rights on liquidation, winding up and dissolution, ranks junior to the Corporation's Class A Common Stock and to any issued Preferred Stock

Conversion Rights: Each Class B Common Stock may not be converted into any other class of stock.

Voting: The shares of Class B Common Stock hold no voting rights.

If all of the preferred shares were converted and warrants exercised as of September 30, 2012 the company would have fully diluted shares of:

	Shares	Convertible to Common
Series A	25,600,000	204,800,000
Series B	141,687	283,374
Common	324,009,052	324,009,052
Warrants		2,500,000
Fully diluted at 9/30/12		531,592,426

## 8. Issuances of Common Stock

### STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

The stockholders' equity of the Company comprises the following classes of capital stock as of September 30, 2012 and 2011:

Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value per share; 30,000,000 shares authorized, 25,600,000 and 5,600,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Holders of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Stock") may convert one share of Series A Preferred Stock into eight shares of Common Stock A.

Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.50 stated value per share; 50,000,000 shares authorized, 141,687 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Holders of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series B Preferred Stock") may convert one share of Series B Preferred Stock into two shares of Common Stock B. Additionally, holders of Series B Preferred Stock are entitled to a 10% cumulative stated dividend.

Common Stock A, par value of \$0.01 per share; 550,000,000 shares authorized, 324,009,052 and 194,966,620 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Common Stock B, par value of \$0.001 per share; 250,000,000 shares authorized, 5,060,500 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Class B Common shares are non-voting shares that trade on the Frankfurt stock exchange under the symbol U9CB.F. There are 250,000,000 shares authorized and 5,060,500 issued and outstanding. The par value of these shares is \$0.001. These shares do not trade in the United States on any market and the Company has no plans to register these shares for trading in the U.S.

### Year Ended September 30, 2011

In October 2010, the Company issued 7,851,333 shares of common stock A for \$189,880 in cash proceeds (\$0.02 per share).

In October 2010, the Company issued 200,000 shares of common stock A for services rendered to them for an aggregate fair market value of \$18,000 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.09/share).

In October 2010, 150,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 150,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$3,000 (\$0.02/share).

In November 2010, the Company issued 650,000 shares of common stock A for \$20,000 in cash proceeds (\$0.03 per share).

In November 2010, 400,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 400,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$8,000 (\$0.02/share).

On November 11, 2010, the Company issued 737,500 shares of common stock A for services rendered to them for an aggregate fair market value of \$51,625 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.07/share).

On November 29, 2010, the Company issued 25,000 shares of common stock A for services rendered to them for an aggregate fair market value of \$1,500 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.06/share).

On December 13, 2010, a Series A Preferred shareholder converted 1,000,000 shares of Series A Preferred Shares into 8,000,000 shares of common stock A.

On January 10, 2011, the Company issued 315,537 shares of common stock A for services rendered to them for an aggregate fair market value of \$18,932 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.06/share).

On February 2, 2011, the Company issued 60,000 shares of common stock A for services rendered to them for an aggregate fair market value of \$3,000 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.05/share).

On February 10, 2011, a Series A Preferred shareholder converted 50,000 shares of Series A Preferred Shares into 400,000 shares of common stock A.

In March 2011, 18,735,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 18,735,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$911,200 (\$0.05/share).

On March 15, 2011, the Company issued 2,000,000 warrants for services rendered to them, with each warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional share of common stock at a price of \$0.10 per share for a period of one year from the date of issue.

The fair value of these warrants was estimated at the date of issuance, March 15, 2011, using the Black- Scholes Option Pricing Model with the current value of the stock on the issuance date at \$0.05; dividend yield of 0%; risk-free interest rate of .23%; volatility rate of 211%; and expiration date of March 15, 2012. The value of the 2,000,000 warrants was determined to be \$60,000.

On March 24, 2011, the Company issued 318,554 shares of common stock A for services rendered to them for an aggregate fair market value of \$15,928 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.05/share).

On March 24, 2011, the Company issued 333,332 shares of common stock A for \$10,000 in cash proceeds (\$0.03 per share).

On March 24, 2011, two shareholders retired common stock A valued at \$840,000 pursuant to the Arizona Gold Corporation Agreement. The Company recorded the retirement as a common stock receivable with a corresponding increase in the Company's non-controlling interest.

In April 2011, 3,800,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 3,800,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$133,500 (\$0.035/share).

On April 8, 2011, the Company issued 83,300 shares of common stock A for \$2,500 in cash proceeds (\$0.03 per share).

In May 2011, the Company issued 160,000 shares of common stock A for services rendered to them for an aggregate fair market value of \$11,200 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.07/share).

In May 2011, 2,250,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 2,250,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$87,500 (\$0.04/share).

On May 5, 2011, the Company issued 166,666 shares of common stock A for \$5,000 in cash proceeds (\$0.03 per share).

On May 12, 2011, 2,000,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 2,000,000 shares of common stock A for services rendered to them for an aggregate fair market value of \$140,000 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.07/share).

On June 7, 2011, the Company issued 1,600,000 shares of common stock A as consideration for a debt extension with an aggregate fair market value of \$96,000 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of the extension (\$0.06/share).

On June 21, 2011, the Company issued 800,000 shares of common stock A as consideration for debt with an aggregate fair market value of \$64,000 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of settlement (\$0.08/share).

On June 30, 2011, 500,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 500,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$20,000 (\$0.04/share).

In July 2011, the Company issued 1,140,000 shares of common stock A for services rendered to them for an aggregate fair market value of \$91,200 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.08/share).

In July 2011, 2,900,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 2,900,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$109,500 (\$0.04/share).

In August 2011, the Company issued 1,875,000 shares of common stock A for \$70,000 in cash proceeds (\$0.04 per share).

On August 10, 2011, the Company issued 60,000 shares of common stock A for services rendered to them for an aggregate fair market value of \$4,200 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.07/share).

On August 30, 2011, 1,000,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 1,000,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$30,000 (\$0.03/share).

On September 30, 2011, 2,500,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 2,500,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$87,500 (\$0.035/share).

Year Ended September 30, 2012

In the year ending September 30, 2012, the Company issued 20 million shares of preferred A stock and received proceeds of \$20,000, from related parties, which consisted of members of the Board of Directors. The preferred A can only be issued to officers and members of the board of directors. The stock carries 8 to 1 conversion rights, the 25,600,000 preferred A shares outstanding on June 30, 2012 can be converted into 204,800,000 shares of common stock at the option of the holders.

In October 2011, the Company issued 750,000 shares of common stock A for \$16,750 in cash proceeds (\$0.02 per share).

In October 2011, 750,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 750,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$23,750 (\$0.03/share).

In November 2011, the Company issued 3,200,000 shares of common stock A for \$64,000 in cash proceeds (\$0.02 per share).

In November 2011, 6,000,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 6,000,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$70,000 (\$0.01/share).

On November 23, 2011, the Company issued 60,000 shares of common stock A for services rendered to them for an aggregate fair market value of \$3,000 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.05/share).

In December 2011, the Company issued 630,000 shares of common stock A for \$12,600 in cash proceeds (\$0.03 per share).

In December, 2011, the Company issued 825,000 shares of common stock A for services rendered to them for an aggregate fair market value of \$41,250 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.05/share).

On December 13, 2011, the Company recorded a stock payable of \$10,000 for common stock A for cash received (\$0.01/share).

On December 16, 2011, 500,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 500,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$10,000 (\$0.02/share).

In January 2012, 11,450,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 11,450,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$142,500 (\$0.01/share).

On January 4, 2012, the Company issued 1,000,000 shares of common stock A to satisfy a stock payable valued at \$10,000 (\$0.01/share).

In February 2012, 9,150,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 9,150,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$105,500 (\$0.01/share).

On March 12, 2012, the Company issued 22,894,100 shares of common stock A as consideration for debt with an aggregate fair market value of \$1,602,587 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of settlement (\$0.07/share).

On March 27, 2012, 5,000,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 5,000,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$25,000 (\$0.005/share).

On March 27, 2012, the Company recorded a \$257,000 stock payable for shares of common stock A for warrants exercised valued at \$204,984. A reduction of the difference (\$52,016) was recorded in additional paid-in capital.

On March 31, 2012, In an effort to raise necessary capital the company allowed holders of 21,900,000 warrants to exchange their outstanding warrant for the right to purchase 21,900,000 shares of common stock at a discounted rate. The purchase price of stock for this issuance ranged from \$0.01 to \$0.08 per share. Cash proceeds of \$234,696 were received through this issuance. A financing fee of \$1,824,968 was recorded for the difference in the fair market value and the purchase price of the stock to reflect the beneficial value it provided to the warrant holders who purchased shares at a discount.

On March 31, 2012, the Company recorded a \$9,600 stock payable for shares of common stock A for services rendered.

In April 2012, the Company issued 14,999,999 shares of common stock A to satisfy a stock payable for cash proceeds of \$150,000 (\$0.01/share).

In April 2012, the Company issued 160,000 shares of common stock A to satisfy a stock payable for services valued at \$9,600 (\$0.06/share).

In April 2012, 5,000,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 5,000,000 shares of common stock A to a related party for a stock receivable of \$5,000 (\$0.001/share).



In May 2012, the Company issued 10,700,000 shares of common stock A to satisfy a stock payable for cash proceeds of \$107,000 (\$0.01/share).

In May 2012, 1,833,333 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 1,833,333 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$17,500 (\$0.01/share).

In May 2012, the Company issued 1,120,000 shares of common stock A for services rendered to them for an aggregate fair market value of \$56,000 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.05/share).

On June 15, 2012, 1,000,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 1,000,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$7,500 (\$0.008/share).

On June 28, 2012, the Company recorded a stock payable of \$2,140,000 pursuant to the Arizona Gold Corporation share exchange agreement. As of September 30, 2012, 12,000,000 of common stock A is due to a former shareholder of Arizona Gold Corporation.

On June 28, 2012, pursuant to the Arizona Gold Corporation (“AGC”) Agreement, common stock A shares due to the Company valued at \$840,000 were given in exchange for AGC stock. The Company reversed the common stock receivable and corresponding non-controlling interest associated with the transaction recorded on March 24, 2011.

In July 2012, the Company issued 450,000 shares of common stock A for \$6,500 in cash proceeds recorded as a stock receivable (\$0.01/share).

On July 2, 2012, 135,000 warrants were exercised and exchanged for 135,000 shares of common stock A for cash proceeds of \$6,750 recorded as a stock receivable (\$0.05/share).

On August 3, 2012, the Company issued 30,800,000 shares of common stock A to satisfy a stock payable valued at \$1,540,000 pursuant to the AGC Agreement.

On August 10, 2012, the Company received cash proceeds of \$5,000 to satisfy an outstanding stock receivable.

In September 2012, the Company issued 635,000 shares of common stock A pursuant to the Company’s 2009 Stock Incentive Plan for an aggregate fair market value of \$17,850 based on the quoted market price of the shares at the time of service (\$0.03/share).

## **9. Common Stock Options and Warrants**

The Company applies ASC 718, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation” to account for its option issues. Accordingly, all options granted are recorded at fair value using a generally accepted option pricing model at the date of the grant. The fair values generated by option pricing model may not be indicative of the future values, if any, that may be received by the option holder.

Edgar Filing: USCORP - Form 10-K

The following is a summary of common stock options outstanding at September 30, 2012:

	Amount	Wgt'd Avg Exercise Price	Wgt'd Years to Maturity
Outstanding at September 30, 2010	101,579,484	\$ 0.03	1.42
Warrants granted and assumed	41,764,999	0.11	
Warrants exercised	(34,485,000 )	0.05	
Warrants Expired	(27,814,484 )	0.03	
Outstanding at September 30, 2011	81,044,999	\$ 0.10	0.71
Warrants granted and assumed	0	0	
Warrants exercised	(69,106,665 )	0.09	
Warrants expired	(9,438,334 )	0.10	
Outstanding at September 30, 2012	2,500,000	\$ 0.24	0.34

All warrants outstanding as of September 30, 2012 are exercisable.

**10. Net Loss per Share**

The Company applies ASC 260, “*Earnings per Share*” to calculate loss per share. In accordance with ASC 260, basic net loss per share has been computed based on the weighted average of common shares outstanding during the years, adjusted for the financial instruments outstanding that are convertible into common stock during the years. The effects of the common stock options and the debentures convertible into shares of common stock, however, have been excluded from the calculation of loss per share because their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. Net loss per share is computed as follows:

	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
Net loss before cumulative preferred dividend	\$ (5,900,016 )	\$ (3,017,084 )
Cumulative dividend preferred payable	(60,277 )	(53,192 )
Net loss to common shareholders	\$ (5,960,293 )	\$ (3,070,276 )
Weighted average	260,197,914	170,858,735

**11. Related Party Transactions**

In the year ending September 30, 2012, the Company issued 20 million shares of preferred A stock and received proceeds of \$20,000, from related parties, which consisted of members of the Board of Directors. The preferred A can only be issued to officers and members of the board of directors. The stock carries 8 to 1 conversion rights, the 25,600,000 preferred A shares outstanding on June 30, 2012 can be converted into 204,800,000 shares of common stock at the option of the holders.

The Company holds consulting agreements with various company officers and related parties are not considered employees and are paid for services rendered based upon management’s judgment of the value received. A total of \$447,628 and \$349,558 was paid to related parties for consulting services in the years ending September 30, 2011 and 2012, respectively.

An officer of the company and a related party were considered employees during the years ending September 30, 2012. Total compensation paid to related party employees was of \$150,440 and \$117,119 for the years ending 2011 and 2012 respectively. Payroll taxes were not paid on this compensation as such a payroll tax accrual has been made for \$32,296 and \$19,867 for 2011 and 2012, respectively.

The company received related party financing of \$43,632 and \$6,535 and made payments of \$85,582 and \$535 on this financing in the years ending 2011 and 2012, respectively. All related party loans bear no interest and are due on demand.

The company has a policy of reimbursing its employees for expenses incurred in carrying out duties for the company. Reimbursed expenses of \$35,945 and \$10,505 were reimbursed to officers and employees of the company during the year ending 2011 and 2012.

Related parties were granted 575,000 shares valued at \$17,250 (\$0.03) in accordance with its 2009 stock incentive plan for its officers, directors, and employees. Details of this plan are presented in Note. 12. An additional 1,055,537 shares valued at \$76,132 were issued to related parties in 2011.

Related parties paid cash of \$75,000 and \$505,000 in exchange for 17,000,000 and 24,850,000 shares in 2011 and 2012, respectively

## **12. Stock Incentive Plan**

The Company provides for a Stock Incentive Plan for its officers, directors, and employees as fully explained in our Form S-8 filing dated December 29, 2009 and as Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 10-K/A for period ending September 30, 2010 filing date April 22, 2011. The plan provides for incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options. The Board of Directors will determine the exercise price of an employee's option at the date of the grant. The exercise price of an incentive stock option may not be less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of the grant, or less than 110% of the fair market value if the participant owns more than 10% of the outstanding common stock. The Board of Directors will also determine the term of an option at the date of the grant. The term of an incentive stock option or non-qualified stock option may not exceed ten years from the date of grant, but any incentive stock option granted to a participant who owns more than 10% of the outstanding common stock will not be exercisable after the expiration of five years after the date the option is granted. Subject to any further limitations in the applicable agreement, if a participant's employment terminates, an incentive stock option will terminate and expire no later than three months after the date of termination of employment

Incentive stock options are also subject to the further restriction that the aggregate fair market value, determined as of the date of the grant, of the market value of the common Stock as to which any incentive stock option first becomes exercisable in any calendar year is limited to \$100,000 per recipient. If incentive stock options covering more than \$100,000 worth of the common stock first become exercisable in any one calendar year, the excess will be non-qualified options. For purposes of determining which options, if any, have been granted in excess of the \$100,000 limit, options will be considered to become exercisable in the order granted. The plan also provides for the payment of professionals with Class A Common Shares of the Company's stock.

### **13. Reclassification of Some Mineral Property Expenditures**

Mineral Property Expenditures were formerly labeled License & claim development expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. With the advent of XBRL tagging of line items (providing a link to a definition of the item) the definition assigned to this item was incorrectly based on the term "License" in the label. It stated: "Costs incurred and are directly related to generating license revenue. Licensing arrangements include, but are not limited to rights to use a patent, copyright, technology, manufacturing process, software or trademark." USCorp does not have any licensing arrangements of any kind. In order to accurately reflect that line in our financials we have changed the label and have assigned the following definition to it: "Exploration expenses, including prospecting would be included in operating expenses. Exploration costs include costs incurred in identifying areas that may warrant examination and in examining, drilling and related activities in specific areas that are considered to have prospects of mineralization."

### **14. Concentrations of Credit Risk**

The Company heavily relies upon the efforts of the Company's chief executive officer and majority shareholder for the success of the Company. A withdrawal of the chief executive's officer efforts would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition.

### **15. Subsequent Events**

Extension of the Gold Bullion Loan: The holder of the Gold Bullion Loan has agreed to extend the loan that was due on March 31, 2012 in exchange for a partial payment of interest comprised of a combination of cash and stock. As of this writing, the company had not made payments based on the required timeline and the note is considered to be in default.

## 16. Restatement

We are restating in its entirety the financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2011 as originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 13, 2012. We have determined that our previously reported results for the year ended September 30, 2011 contained significant errors which effected the consolidated balance sheet, statement of operations, statement of cash flows and statement of stockholders equity. These errors were caused by poor internal controls and an internal staff with limited accounting knowledge. Several stock issuances were not accounted for correctly in the previously reported statements in addition the loss attributable to the non-controlling interest of our subsidiary Arizona gold Corp. (“AGC”) was not separated from losses attributable to the company. We have also made necessary conforming changes in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” resulting from the correction of these errors. The following table summarizes the impact of these corrections on our consolidated balance sheet, statement of operations, statement of cash flows and (loss) per share.

	As of September 30, 2011 As Previously reported	Restatement Adjustments	As of September 30, 2011 As Restated
Total assets	1,829,340	1,196	1,830,536
Total current liabilities	4,821,079	8,669	4,829,748
Shareholders' equity			
Series A preferred stock	4,304	1,296	5,600
Series B preferred stock	63,498	7,346	70,844
Common stock B	5,060	-	5,060
Common stock A	1,949,667	(2)	1,949,665
Subscriptions receivable	-	(840,000)	(840,000)
Additional paid in capital	15,804,892	315,531	16,120,423
Accumulated deficit	(20,819,160)	(178,693)	(20,997,853)
Total shareholders' deficit	(2,991,739)	(694,522)	(3,686,261)
Non-controlling interest	-	687,049	687,049
Total liabilities and shareholders' deficit	(2,991,739)	(7,473)	(2,999,212)
	Year ended September 30, 2011 As Previously reported	Restatement Adjustments	Year ended September 30, 2011 As Restated
Consulting	451,080	797,306	1,248,386
General and administrative	991,835	(641,049)	350,786
Mining development	368,129	(196,391)	171,738
Professional fees	108,930	243,388	352,318
Total operating expenses	1,919,974	203,254	2,123,228
Other expenses	(1,166,800)	(32,958)	(1,199,758)
Net Loss	(3,086,774)	(236,212)	(3,322,986)
Less: Net loss attributable to non-controlling interest	-	(152,951)	(152,951)
Net loss attributable to the Company	(3,086,774)	(83,261)	(3,170,035)
Basic (loss) per share	(0.02)	-	0.02





	Year ended September 30, 2011 As Previously reported	Restatement Adjustments	Year ended September 30, 2011 As Restated
Operating activities			
Net (loss) for the period	(3,086,774)	(236,212)	(3,322,986)
Net cash used by operations	(1,450,287)	(225,825)	(1,676,112)
Net Cash used by investing activities	(29,816)	10,000	(19,816)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,813,080	214,050	3,027,130
Net increase (decrease) in cash	1,332,977	(1,775)	1,331,202
Cash balance at beginning of fiscal year	354,019	1,780	355,799
Cash balance at September 30, 2011	1,686,996	5	1,687,001

**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.**

There are no changes or disagreements with accountants on accounting and financial disclosure.

**ITEM 9A(T). CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining a system of disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e)) under the Exchange Act) that is designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive officer or officers and principal financial officer or officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, the Company carried out an evaluation with the participation of the Company's management, the Company's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined under Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the twelve months ended September 30, 2012. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of September 30, 2012, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at the reasonable assurance level due to the material weaknesses described below.

In light of the material weaknesses described below, we performed additional analysis and other post-closing procedures to ensure our financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Accordingly, we believe that the financial statements included in this report fairly present, in all material respects, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

A material weakness is a control deficiency (within the meaning of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB)) or combination of control deficiencies, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. Management has identified the following two material weaknesses which have caused management to conclude that, as of September 30, 2012, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at the reasonable assurance level:

1. We do not have written documentation of our internal control policies and procedures. Written documentation of key internal controls over financial reporting is a requirement of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Management evaluated the impact of our failure to have written documentation of our internal controls and procedures on our assessment of our disclosure controls and procedures and has concluded that the control deficiency that resulted represented a material weakness.

2. We do not have sufficient segregation of duties within accounting functions, which is a basic internal control. Due to our size and nature, segregation of all conflicting duties may not always be possible and may not be economically feasible. However, to the extent possible, the initiation of transactions, the custody of assets and the recording of transactions should be performed by separate individuals. Management evaluated the impact of our failure to have segregation of duties on our assessment of our disclosure controls and procedures and has concluded that the control deficiency that resulted represented a material weakness.

To address these material weaknesses, management performed additional analyses and other procedures to ensure that the financial statements included herein fairly present, in all material respects, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

#### **Remediation of Material Weaknesses**

To remediate the material weaknesses in our disclosure controls and procedures identified above, we have continued to refine our internal procedures to begin to implement segregation of duties and to reduce the number of audit adjustments. To assist management with additional internal controls, the Company has engaged the services of an independent certified public accounting firm to service as a consultant to the Company on a monthly basis.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Except as noted above, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act, during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### **Changes in Internal Controls**

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, performed an evaluation to determine whether any change in our internal controls over financial reporting occurred during the twelve-month period ended September 30, 2012. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that no change occurred in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the twelve-months ended September 30, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

### **Item 9B. Other Information**

In October 2004 the shareholders approved a new class of Common Stock, 250,000,000 shares of \$.001 par value Series B Common Stock. Effective November 17, 2004, the Company amended its Articles of Incorporation to create a new series "Class B" of \$.001 par value common stock in the amount of 250,000,000 shares.

On October 22, 2012 the shareholders approved increasing the number of authorized Class A Common shares from 550,000,000 to 650,000,000 shares and changing the par value from \$0.01 to \$0.001 per share.

### **SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

On May 30, 2012 at a special meeting the Shareholders and Board of Directors of USCorp the following items were put to the vote of the Shareholders. After some discussion a majority the Shareholders of USCorp unanimously approved the following actions be taken by the Board of Directors:

1. The Board of Directors are authorized to re-negotiate the "Gold Bullion Loan" to gain an extension of time to repay the loan from the lender under terms and conditions acceptable to the Board and to the Investors;
2. The Board of Directors are authorized to distribute to the corporation's shareholders as a dividend shares in USMetals, Inc., and Southwest Resource Development, Inc., at a proportionate rate of 1 subsidiary share for every 10 USCorp shares owned of Common A, Common B, and Series A and B Preferred shares (based on conversion of Preferred shares to Common shares) owned, fractions to be rounded to the next highest full share;

3. The Board of Directors are authorized to to implement such spinoff(s) and share distributions under conditions it deems prudent as soon as practical to do so;
4. The Board of Directors are authorized to raise funds by selling stock in a manner, for a price and at a time to be determined by the Board;
5. The Board of Directors are authorized to to make whatever acquisitions, mergers or joint ventures it deems necessary or beneficial to further the development of the Company's mining claims and properties;
6. and
7. The prior actions of the Board of Directors during fiscal 2012 are approved by the Shareholders.

### PART III

#### **ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.**

Name	Age	Position Held
Robert Dultz	71	Chief Executive Officer, acting CFO, President and a Director and Chairman of the Board of Directors
Spencer Eubank	61	Secretary, Treasurer and a Director
Carl W. O'Baugh	81	Director
B. Keith Simerson	56	Director
Michelle Seibel	57	Director
Michael D. Love	61	Vice President of Business Development and Investor Relations

Directors hold office until the next annual shareholders meeting or until their death, resignation, retirement, removal, disqualification, or until a successor has been elected. Vacancies in the Board are filled by majority vote of the remaining directors. Officers of the Company serve at the will of the Board of Directors.

#### BUSINESS EXPERIENCE OF CURRENT DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

**Robert Dultz**, USCorp's Chairman and CEO since January 2002 and President since 2008, has an over 26 year association with the Twin Peaks property and as an individual is a former owner of a portion of the claims which make up the Twin Peaks property. Mr. Dultz is the former Chairman and President of American Metals and Minerals, Inc., (a public company "AMM" 1980s); He also served in various officer and director positions with Sweet Stuff, Inc. and U.S. Network Funding, Inc. (both public companies 1980s and 1990s) Santa Maria Resources, Inc., (also public "SMRR" 1990s) and U.S. Metals And Minerals, Inc. (a private company "USM&M" 2000s). AMM, SMRR and USM&M were each prior corporate owners of the Twin Peaks claims. Since 2000 he has been a majority shareholder of corporate owners of the claims: U.S. Metals and Minerals and USCorp. Over the past thirty years Mr. Dultz has served on the boards of several publicly traded companies including those named above. For the past five years Mr. Dultz has spent in excess of 90% of his time working for USCorp. He does not serve on the boards of any other public companies at this time. Mr. Dultz has held the same board and officer positions as he holds with USCorp on USCorp's wholly owned subsidiaries, USMetals, Inc., and Southern Resource Development, Inc. since they were acquired. He has not served on the boards of any other public companies during the past five years. Since March, 2011 Mr. Dultz has also served as the Vice President of Arizona Gold Corp., a private British Columbia Corporation.

**Spencer Eubank** is Secretary, Treasurer and Director of the Company. Mr. Eubank has a 20-year history of association with the Twin Peaks Project properties and is a former owner of a portion of the Twin Peaks Project claims. Mr. Eubank is responsible for maintaining the records of the Company and works closely with the senior executive management of the Company in day-to-day operations. Mr. Eubank was elected to the board of directors based on his prior association with corporate owners of the properties, as a shareholder of and consultant to American Metals and Minerals, Inc. (a public company 1980s-1990s), as an officer and director of Santa Maria Resources, Inc. (a public company 1990s) and U.S. Metals and Minerals, Inc. (a private company 1990s); his knowledge of the properties as a former owner of a portion of the claims group that makes up the Twin Peaks Project (1990s), and his consulting experience working with company operators and assisting them in their communications with legal and accounting professionals. In the 1990s and 2000s Mr. Eubank served on the boards of several public, private and not-for-profit Companies as an officer and director including EssxSport Corp. (a public company January 1996 to March 1998), and Pla.Net.Com, Inc. (a public company February 1997 to July 1999); The Laurinburg Group, Inc. (a private company, 1990s), Southern Development Company (a public company, 1990s) and Route 66 Gold Miners, Inc., (a not-for-profit company, 2000s). During the past 5 years, except for a brief period in 2006, Mr. Eubank has held the same board and officer positions with USCorp and on USCorp's wholly owned subsidiaries, USMetals, Inc., and Southern Resource Development, Inc. since they were acquired. He has not served on the boards of any other public companies during the past five years. He devotes approximately 50% of his time to USCorp. Since the early 1990s Mr. Eubank has been the owner of UpAndRunning (1990s) and Business2Business (2000s) independent private research and consulting services. Mr. Eubank has degrees in Theology (B.Th., 1985) and Sociology (B.A., 1988).

**Carl W. O' Baugh**, an Independent Director of the Company since January 2002, and has an over 25-year association with the Twin peaks property. Former Vice President of USCorp and Former President of American Metals and Minerals, Inc., a prior corporate owner of the Twin Peaks claims. He is the former President of Golconda Gems, Inc., that during the 1980s and early 1990s was a wholesale gem cutting, importing and distribution company with operations in the United States and Mexico and over 200 employees. His extensive knowledge and experience of gems, minerals and metals as well as his long association with the Twin Peaks Project Claims were factors in his election to the board of directors. Mr. O' Baugh has not served on the boards of any other public companies in the past 5 years. Mr. O' Baugh has been retired since 2000 and devotes less than 5% of his time to USCorp.

**B. Keith Simerson** is an Independent Director of the Company. Mr. Simerson was elected to the Board based on his expertise in, and track record of, helping companies across many industries, governments, and public-sector agencies and organizations formulate an execute strategy and he is experienced in helping corporations and organizations plan, prepare for, integrate, and coordinate their growth and development. Mr. Simerson is a Partner with Market Strategy Group, LLC, a consultancy that provides a range of strategic planning services to government agencies, corporations, academic institutions, small businesses, and professional services firms. Mr. Simerson earned his Doctorate in Education with emphasis in management and organization development, from the University of North Carolina at Greensboro. He earned an M.A. with emphasis in administration, supervision, and higher education, from Appalachian State University. He also has BA and AAS degrees and specialty certifications. Mr. Simerson is the co-author of *The Manager as Leader* (Praeger Publishers, 2006), *Fired, Laid Off, Out of a Job: A Manual for Understanding, Coping, Surviving* (Greenwood, 2003), and *Evaluating Police Management Development Programs* (Praeger Publishing, 1990). Mr. Simerson is also the author of *Strategic Planning: A Practical Guide to Strategy Formulation and Execution* (ABC-CLIO, 2011). Since 2007 Mr. Simerson has been on the Faculty of Northwestern University's School of Education and Social Policy, where he instructs, researches, and publishes in the areas of Strategic Thinking, Strategy Formulation, Strategic Planning, and Strategy Execution. Mr. Simerson spends less than 5% of his time in service to USCorp.

**Michelle Seibel** is a Director and Assistant Secretary of the Company. Until 2006 Ms. Seibel was an entrepreneurial business owner of Computer Friendly providing IT consulting services in California and has extensive experience in bookkeeping training, and also until 2006 she was the owner of Seibel Custom Applications a business that provided construction management services. She works closely with the Company's Chairman and CEO and was elected to the board based on her business experience and her ability to understand shareholder and investor relations. She has not served on the boards of any other public companies and is not otherwise employed at this time. Ms. Seibel devotes approximately 50% of her time in service to USCorp.

**Michael D. Love**, is USCorp's Vice President of Investor Relations and Business Development. Mr. Love was appointed as an officer of USCorp based on his over 30 years of domestic and international business experience, as well as his knowledge of USCorp, its management, and its properties as a long-time shareholder. Over the years he has raised more than \$3 billion dollars and generated revenue in excess of \$600 million for various projects in the fields of charitable and business fundraising, municipal bonds (as an account executive with MuniCorp of California, Merrill, Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith 1970s and 1980s), commodity trading, (as an account executive with Smith, Barney, Harris & Upham, North Star Metals, and as a member of the Minor Metals Trader Association Western Europe, 1980s and 1990s), marketing of investment and high tech products, real estate sales and acquisitions (as CEO of North Star Metals Development Corp. 1980s), sports promotions (as CEO of CML Promotions, 1980s), newspaper operations (as Chairman of The African Times Newspaper, 1990s) and most recently as CEO and Founder of Ehbet Marketing (1990s and 2000s). During the past ten years Mr. Love has worked on various projects as an independent consultant including being an advisor to USCorp's management. Mr. Love is a Vietnam Veteran and he has a BA in Business Administration. Mr. Love spends approximately 80% of his time in service to USCorp.

(a) Family relationships.

There are no family relationships among the officers or directors.

(b) Involvement in certain legal proceedings.

There have been no events under any bankruptcy act, no criminal proceedings and no judgments or injunctions material to the evaluation of the ability and integrity of any director or executive officer during the past ten years except for the personal bankruptcy of Ms. Seibel in 2006.

(c) Adoption of Code of Ethics.



On September 22, 2004 USCorp adopted a Code of Ethics for officers and directors of the Company, filed previously on Form 10-KSB on November 26, 2004 and included herein by reference.

#### **COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires the Company's officers and directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of its common stock, to file reports of ownership and changes of ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and each exchange (or market quotation system) on which the Company's securities are registered. Officers, directors and greater than ten-percent stockholders are required by SEC regulation to furnish the Company with copies of all ownership forms they file.

Based solely on current management's review of the copies of such forms received by it from former management, the Company believes that, during the year ended September 30, 2011 its officers, directors, and greater than ten-percent beneficial owners complied with all applicable filing requirements.

#### **ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

During the fiscal year, some of USCorp's officers did not devote their full time to the affairs of USCorp, and none of them received monetary compensation for their services as officers; however, USCorp's officers and directors have purchased shares of the Company's Series A Preferred stock at par value. USCorp's Chairman, President and CEO, Robert Dultz, devoted his full time to the affairs of USCorp. Mr. Dultz may receive cash, stock or a combination thereof in repayment of his advances and in compensation for his full time efforts. (see Notes to the Summary Compensation Table below.)

**Summary Compensation Table(1)**

Name and principal position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock awards (\$)	Option awards (\$)	Non-equity incentive plan compensation (\$)	Change in pension value and nonqualified deferred compensation earnings (\$)	All other compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Robert Dultz CEO and CFO	Fiscal 2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$127,146	\$127,146
Robert Dultz CEO and CFO	Fiscal 2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$90,405	\$90,405

(1) Mr. Dultz is USCorp's CEO and Acting CFO. There are no written employment agreements in effect for any executives, officers or directors at this time. Unwritten agreements are that executives, officers and directors who agree to serve might receive monetary compensation for employment (a) when the Company has sufficient financing and (b) if approved by the Board of Directors; however the Board of Directors has not approved any such compensation as of the date of this report. There are no additional unwritten employment agreement provisions in effect for any executives, officers or directors at this time, including no provision that cover compensation for service rendered in the past and up to the point when the Company establishes sufficient financing.

As of the date of this report USCorp does not have an executive committee that approves obligations in excess of \$10,000. These functions are performed by our Board of Directors in accordance with our bylaws, in Article VI, Sections 2 and 8.

## **ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of USCorp's Class A Common Stock by each person or group that is known by USCorp to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of its outstanding Common Stock, each director of USCorp, each person named in the Summary Compensation Table, and all directors and executive officers of USCorp as a group as of September 30, 2012.

Unless otherwise indicated, USCorp believes that the persons named in the table below, based on information furnished by such owners, have sole voting and investment power with respect to the Class A Common Stock beneficially owned by them, where applicable. As of September 30, 2012, there were 354,009,052 shares of Class A Common Stock issued and outstanding.

Edgar Filing: USCORP - Form 10-K

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Class A Common Voting Ownership	Series A Preferred Voting* Ownership	Total Votes	Percentage of Voting Ownership	
Robert Dultz c/o USCorp, 4535 W. Sahara Ave, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89102	18,122,925	20,400,000	181,322,925	51.22	%
Spencer Eubank c/o USCorp, 4535 W. Sahara Ave, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89102	1,619,980	3,250,000	27,619,980	7.75	%
Carl O'Baugh c/o USCorp, 4535 W. Sahara Ave, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89102	426,250	193,750	1,976,250	0.55	%
B. Keith Simerson c/o USCorp, 4535 W. Sahara Ave, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89102	0	256,250	2,050,000	0.58	%
Michelle Seibel 4535 W. Sahara Ave, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89102	1,355,021	350,000	4,155,021	1.17	%
Michael D. Love 4535 W. Sahara Ave, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89102	550,000	1,100,000	9,350,000	2.64	%
Officers, Directors and Affiliates as a group (5 individuals)	20,229,155	25,550,000	226,474,176	63.91	%

\*Series A Preferred Shares are convertible to Common 8 for 1 and are voting before conversion.

### **ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS, RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

In the year ending September 30, 2012, the Company issued 20 million shares of preferred A stock and received proceeds of \$20,000, from related parties, which consisted of members of the Board of Directors. The preferred A can only be issued to officers and members of the board of directors. The stock carries 8 to 1 conversion rights, the 25,600,000 preferred A shares outstanding on September 30, 2012 can be converted into 204,800,000 shares of common stock at the option of the holders.

The Company holds consulting agreements with various company officers and related parties are not considered employees and are paid for services rendered based upon management's judgment of the value received. A total of \$344,060 and \$223,825 was paid to related parties for consulting services in the years ending September 30, 2011 and 2012, respectively.

An officer of the company and a related party were considered employees during the years ending September 30, 2012. Total compensation paid to related party employees was of \$150,440 and \$117,113 for the years ending 2011 and 2012 respectively. Payroll taxes were not paid on this compensation as such a payroll tax accrual has been made for \$32,296 and \$19,867 for 2011 and 2012, respectively.

#### **PART IV**

### **ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy regarding the retention of the independent auditors that requires pre-approval of all services by the Audit Committee or the Chairman of the Audit Committee. When services are pre-approved by the Chairman of the Audit Committee, notice of such approvals is given simultaneously to the other members of the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the fees paid to Donahue Associates, LLC, and to Silberstein Ungar, PLLC for the reports covering fiscal 2011 and 2012 for audit, audit-related, tax and other services.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with the Company's management; and discussed with Donahue Associates, LLC, former independent auditors for the Company, and with Silberstein Ungar, PLLC current independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, Communication with Audit Committees, as amended.

The aggregate fees billed for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2012 for professional services rendered by Silberstein Ungar, PLLC for the audit of the Company's financial statements were \$6,000 for fiscal 2011 and \$12,500 for fiscal 2012 for audits.

The aggregate fees billed for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2011 for professional services rendered by Donahue Associates, LLC for the audit of the Company's financial statements were \$10,700 for fiscal 2010 and \$17,100 for audit and quarterly review of interim financial statements filed on Form 10-Q, respectively, during fiscal 2011.

#### Audit-Related Fees

Silberstein Ungar, PLLC did not bill us for any assurance or related services that were related to the performance of the audit of the financial statements.

#### Tax Fees

Silberstein Ungar, PLLC has provided professional services for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning in the amount of \$0 during fiscal 2012 and 2011.

#### Other Fees

No other fees were paid to Silberstein Ungar, PLLC

**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS**

(A) EXHIBITS

3.1 USCorp Articles of Incorporation as Amended (1)

3.2 USCorp Bylaws (1)

10.1 Stock Incentive Plan (1)

14.1 Code of Ethics for Chief Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers(2)

21.1 List of Subsidiaries (1)

23.1 Consent of Silberstein Ungar, PLLC

31.1 Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002

32.1 Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002

(1) Previously filed on Form 10-K/A April 22, 2011

(2) Previously filed on Form 10-KSB, November 26, 2004

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Company has duly caused this amended report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

USCORP.

Dated: January 15, 2013 By: \s\ Robert Dultz  
Robert Dultz  
President, Chairman, CEO and Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this Annual Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Company and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
\s\ Robert Dultz Robert Dultz	President, Chairman and CEO and acting Chief Financial Officer	January 15, 2013
\s\ Spencer Eubank Spencer Eubank	Secretary-Treasurer and Director	January 15, 2013
\s\ Carl O’Baugh Carl O’Baugh	Director	January 15, 2013
\s\ B. Keith Simerson B. Keith Simerson	Director	January 15, 2013
\s\ Michelle Seibel Michelle Seibel	Director	January 15, 2013
\s\ Michael D. Love Michael D. Love	Vice President of Business Development and Business Relations	January 15, 2013



